DOCUMENTS
GOVERNMENT INFORMATION CENTER
SAN FRANCISCO PUBLIC LIBRARY

CLOSED
STACKS

SAN FRANCISCO PUBLIC LIBRARY
VITA SINE LITERIS MORS EST

BOOK NO. 22
ACCESSION 269234
352 Sa52:7
NOT TO BE TAKEN FROM THE LIBRARY

Form No. 37—5M

SAN FRANCISCO PUBLIC LIBRARY
3 1223 90119 9373

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Vol. 22—New Series No. 1

Monday, January 3, 1927

Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
77 Sutter Street, S. F.

*352
Sa52:7 22

269234

JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, JANUARY 3, 1927, 10 A. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, January 3, 1927, 10 a. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Stanton, Todd—13.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon—5.

Supervisor Badaracco excused on account of being in Sacramento on official business.

Quorum present.

His Honor Mayor Rolph presidin. (At the hour of 11:05 a. m. excused himself and called Supervisor McSheehy to the chair.)

Mayor's Veto, Embarcadero Busses.

The following was presented, read by the Clerk and *laid over one week*:

December 31, 1926.

Honorable Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco, California.

Gentlemen:

I return to you herewith, without my approval, Resolution No. 26582 (New Series), finally adopted by your Honorable Board on December 20, 1926, for the following reasons:

1. Attached hereto is an opinion of the City Attorney which states that the power of choosing the particular make of automobile bus to be purchased for The Embarcadero service rests with the City Engineer and the Board of Public Works. The City Engineer having already made his recommendation and the Board of Public Works having acted upon it in accordance with a previous ordinance of your Honorable Board, the present legislation is both illegal and unnecessary.

Respectfully,
(Sgd.) JAMES ROLPH, JR.,
Mayor.

Resolution No. 26582 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of forty-seven thousand seven hundred and forty-two dollars is hereby appropriated from the Municipal Railway Depreciation Fund and authorized to be expended by the Board of Public Works for Embarcadero busses as follows:

For the purchase of six White chassis, complete, to meet City Engineer's specifications, $30,129.

For California Motor Coach bodies, $17,613.

Total, $47,742.

City Attorney's Opinion, Embarcadero Busses.

Dec. 30, 1926.

Subject: Right of Board of Supervisors to designate equipment to be used by public utility.

Dear Sir:

I am in receipt of your letter of December 28th, enclosing a copy of Resolution No. 26582 (New Series), which reads as follows:

"Resolution No. 26582 (New Series). Resolved, That the sum of forty-seven thousand seven hundred and forty-two dollars is hereby appropriated from the Municipal Railway Depreciation Fund and authorized to be expended by the Board of Public Works for Embarcadero busses, as follows:

For the purchase of six White chassis, complete, to meet City Engineer's specifications, $30,129.

For California Motor Coach bodies, $17,613.

Total, $47,472."

You ask that you be advised as to whether or not the Board of Supervisors has the right to designate the purchase of the special equipment mentioned in the resolution.

Opinion.

Section 9 of Chapter I, Article VI of the Charter provides:

"The Board of Public Works shall have charge, superintendence and control under such ordinances as may from time to time be adopted by the Supervisors:

Sub. 8. Of the construction, maintenance and operation of any and all public utilities, owned, controlled or operated by the City and County, or which may hereafter be so constructed, owned, controlled or operated. Full authority is vested in the Board of Public Works to carry out the powers granted in this paragraph, and it may, in accordance with such ordinances as the Supervisors may enact, contract for work to be performed, or materials or equipment to be furnished, or for expert, technical or professional services to be rendered, wherever such work, services, materials or equipment are certified by the City Engineer to be necessary in connection with the construction, maintenance or operation of such utilities."

Under the provisions of the subdivision quoted, it would appear that to some extent a dual control is exercised over public utilities owned and operated by the City, one by the Board of Public Works pursuant to the direct provisions of the section and the other by the Board of Supervisors under such ordinances as that Board may enact as provided in the section. It was undoubtedly the intention of the charter framers that the powers of each of the controlling authorities should be so exercised, that one would to some extent be a check upon the other, but that neither should so operate that the people should not receive the fullest benefit of their publicly-owned utilities.

I am of the opinion that it lies within the power of the Board of Supervisors, acting by ordinance, to regulate the method as to how the Board of Public Works shall acquire equipment for the particular utility, or, in other words, it may specify the manner of entering into contracts for the needed equipment, the class of service to be furnished and may specify to a very large degree the character of the equipment which may in its opinion be necessary for that particular service, and the Board of Public Works is bound by its ordinance in this regard. To illustrate: As the Board of Supervisors has authorized the operation of a bus line on The Embarcadero, it has the right to regulate the character of service which it wishes to furnish to the public, and would, therefore, have the right to specify the number of busses, their size, etc., and the Board of Public Works would not have the right to depart from the regulations.

Your attention is, however, directed to the power of the City Engineer over all equipment which may be purchased by the Board of Public Works. That official must certify to its necessity, and it is only when he does so that the Board of Public Works, even pursuant to the order of the Board of Supervisors, has the right to contract for it. This brings us, therefore, to the question as to the power of the Board of Supervisors over the specific type of the equipment. If the City Engineer should certify that a certain type of equipment (which would furnish the service demanded by the Board of Supervisors) was necessary for the particular utility, the Board of Supervisors would not have the right to compel the purchase of equipment of another type.

You are, therefore, advised that the Board of Supervisors has the right to regulate the method of entering into all contracts for public utility equipment and to specify its general character and the class of service to be installed, but not the independent power to compel the purchase of any particular type of equipment to give that service.

I note, however, that the resolution which you forwarded me states that a portion of the appropriation is made "for the purchase of six White chassis, complete, to meet City Engineer's specifications". If the City Engineer has approved the six White chassis, or certified to their necessity for the particular utility in question, the Board has the right to specify this particular type of chassis in its resolution appropriating the money for their purchase.

Sincerely yours,
(Sgd.) JNO. J. O'TOOLE,
City Attorney.

Police Telephone Number.

The following was presented and read:

The Pacific Telephone and Telegraph Company,
Northern California and Nevada.
San Francisco, Dec. 20, 1926.

Mr. Wm. P. Stanton, Chairman Telephone Committee, City and County of San Francisco, San Francisco, Cal.

Dear Sir:

Referring to a resolution pre-

PUBLIC LIBRARY

sented by Supervisor Andrew J. Gallagher under date of November 22, 1926, regarding telephone calls to the Police Department, in which Mr. Gallagher requested that citizens needing service shall merely have to call direct to the Police Department and be connected with that department.

I wish to advise that it is our present practice to accept calls by name for the Police Department, and all calls are connected to the Police Department's private branch exchange board and their operator routes the calls to the proper district police station.

It is my understanding that these calls are being handled very satisfactorily and that there is no delay in the citizens getting the Police Department at all times. I might also state that all operators entering the service of our company are impressed with the importance of the calls for the Police and Fire Department, also the Emergency Hospital. You will note that these numbers appear on the outside of our telephone directory and are easily accessible to the public.

Yours very truly,
T. F. DELURY,
Division Commercial Representative.

Referred to Telephone Committee.

Protest Against Employment of Lighting Survey Engineer.

Supervisor Colman presented:

Communication, from Adolph Uhl, protesting employment of Street Lighting Survey engineer.

Referred to Lighting Committee.

PRESENTATION OF PROPOSALS.

Bids were received for water towers and Monitor batteries for the Fire Deaprtment, for X-ray films for the San Francisco Hospital and for 200 galvanized iron garbage cans for the School Department and were *referred to the Supplies Committee.*

UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

Final Passage.

The following matters heretofore passed for printing were taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Authorizations.

Resolution No. 26625 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

Library Fund.

(1) C. A. Nichols Publishing Co., public library books (claim dated Nov. 30, 1926), $552.83.

(2) San Francisco News Co., public library books (claim dated Nov. 30, 1926), $3,847.85.

(3) G. E. Stechert & Co., public library books (claim dated Nov. 30, 1926), $1,610.36.

(4) G. E. Stechert & Co., public library books (claim dated Nov. 30, 1926), $1,033.02.

(5) Geo. C. Terrill, counters and shelving for public libraries (claim dated Nov. 30, 1926), $594.50.

(6) American Building Maintenance Co., janitor service for public libraries (claim dated Nov. 30, 1926), $700.

(7) Foster & Futernick Co., binding public library books (claim dated Nov. 30, 1926), $1,610.

Hetch Hetchy Water Bonds, Issue 1925.

(8) Dodge, Sweeney & Co., groceries, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Dec. 8, 1926), $770.08.

(9) The Giant Powder Co., Con., explosives, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Dec. 8, 1926, $9,869.46.

(10) Hercules Powder Co., explosives, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Dec. 10, 1926), $5,550.15.

(11) Ingersoll-Rand Co. of California machinery parts, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Dec. 10, 1926), $1,953.75.

(12) J. H. McCallum, lumber, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Dec. 10, 1926), $561.56.

(13) Standard Oil Co., gasoline and oils, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Dec. 9, 1926), $1,001.39.

(14) Standard Oil Co. of California, gasoline and oils, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Dec. 9, 1926), $758.63.

(15) Standard Oil Co. of California, gasoline and oils, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Dec. 9, 1926), $751.98.

(16) Standard Oil Co. of Californit, gasoline and oils, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Dec. 8, 1926), $543.07.

(17) State Compensation Insurance Fund, insurance premium, Hetch Hetchy employees (claim dated Dec. 8, 1926), $3,900.99.

(18) San Joaquin Light and Power Corporation, round hollow drill steel, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Dec. 10, 1926), $9,726.60.

(19) Western Meat Co., foodstuffs furnished, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Dec. 8, 1926), $1,436.99.

(20) The Worthington Co., Inc., compressor parts, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Dec. 10, 1926), $915.92.

(21) Standard Oil Co., gasoline and oils, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Dec. 11, 1926), $532.17.

(22) Hales & Symons, Inc., lumber, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Dec. 11, 1926), $1,088.25.

Park Fund.

(23) J. A. Bryant, seventh payment, construction of clubhouse, Golden Gate Park (claim dated Dec. 17, 1926), $547.37.

(24) National Ice Cream Co., ice cream furnished parks (claim dated Dec. 17, 1926), $665.95.

Kezar Bequest Fund.

(25) J. A. Bryant, seventh payment, construction of clubhouse, Golden Gate Park (claim dated Dec. 17, 1926), $9,098.13.

Municipal Railway Fund.

(26) Crown Oil Co., gasoline furnished Municipal Railways (claim dated Dec. 10, 1926), $1,310.31.

(27) San Francisco City Employees' Retirement System, for pensions, etc., Municipal Railway employees (claim dated Dec. 7, 1926), $6,719.18.

(28) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., electricity furnished Municipal Railways during November (claim dated Dec. 13, 1926), $36,742.92.

(29) Panama Lamp and Commercial Co., electric lamps for Municipal Railways (claim dated Dec. 14, 1926), $975.

Municipal Railway Depreciation Fund.

(30) Bethlehem Steel Co., track crossings for Municipal Railways (claim dated Dec. 13, 1926), $2,266.

Municipal Railway Depreciation Reserve Fund.

(31) The White Company, one White model chassis for Municipal Railway system (claim dated Dec. 10, 1926), $3,799.25.

Special School Tax.

(32) George H. Tay Co., drinking fountains, hardware, etc., for public schools (claim dated Dec. 13, 1926), $606.79.

(33) American Marble and Mosaic Co., final payment, marble toilet partitions, Lowell High School (claim dated Dec. 10, 1926), $861.

(34) O. Monson, extra work, Galileo High School construction (claim dated Dec. 10, 1926), $1,716.75.

Playground Fund.

(35) Spring Valley Water Co., water for playgrounds (claim dated Dec. 15, 1926), $566.22.

Relief Home Bonds, Issue 1923.

(36) Clinton Construction Co., extra work, new Relief Home construction (claim dated Dec. 15, 1926), $756.26.

School Bonds, Issue 1923.

(37) James L. McLaughlin Co., extra work, construction of Anza Street (Lafayette) School (claim dated Dec. 15, 1926), $1,074.

General Fund, 1926-1927.

(38) Crown Oil Co., gasoline, Fire Department (claim dated Nov. 30, 1926), $810.65.

(39) Dan P. Maher Co., sponges, varnishes, etc., Fire Department (claim dated Nov. 30, 1926), $602.77.

(40) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., gas and electricity, Fire Department (claim dated Nov. 30, 1926), $1,927.42.

(41) Seagrave Co., apparatus parts, Fire Department (claim dated Nov. 30, 1926), $707.15.

(42) Shell Company, fuel oil, Fire Department (claim dated Nov. 30, 1926), $1,574.03.

(43) Spring Valley Water Co., water and installing hydrants, Fire Department (claim dated Nov. 30, 1926), $934.56.

(44) Birstol Co., four millivoltmeters, etc., for electrolysis survey of high-pressure system (claim dated Dec. 13, 1926), $1,138.25.

(45) Kleiber Motor Co., one Kleiber motor truck for street cleaning (claim dated Dec. 10, 1926), $5,316.

(46) Spring Valley Water Co., water for street sprinkling (claim dated Dec. 13, 1926), $500.33.

(47) Pacific Portland Cement Co., cement for street repair (claim dated Dec. 10, 1926), $1,437.27.

(48) Standard Oil Co., asphalt for street repair (claim dated Dec. 10, 1926), $571.15.

(49) Standard Oil Co. of California, asphalt for street repair (claim dated Dec. 11, 1926), $1,970.94.

(50) F. W. Hyland, improvement of Twenty-fourth avenue between Ulloa and Vicente streets (claim dated Dec. 15, 1926), $3,163.

(51) James T. Tobin, improvement of sidewalks at playgrounds, Russia, Madrid and Edinburgh streets (claim dated Dec. 15, 1926), $918.

(52) T. M. Gallagher, improvement of Madrid street between Silver avenue and Peru street (claim dated Dec. 15, 1926), $2,415.

(53) Doherty Bros., one Ford truck for use of Sheriff (claim dated Dec. 15, 1926), $657.

(54) Langendorf Baking Co., bread for County Jail No. 1 (claim dated Dec. 15, 1926), $844.19.

(55) Del Monte Meat Co., meats for County Jails (claim dated Dec. 15, 1926), $1,196.34.

(56) San Francisco Bulletin, offi-

cial advertising (claim dated Dec. 20, 1926), $594.30.

(57) Sonoma State Home, maintenance of feeble-minded children for November (claim dated Nov. 30, 1926), $8,885.

(58) Louis T. Samuels, rent of premises No. 333 Kearny street for month ending Jan. 4, 1927 (claim dated Dec. 20, 1926), $1,186.

(59) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., street lighting for November (claim dated Dec. 20, 1926), $51,320.46.

(60) E. B. Frick, M. D., room allowances for San Francisco Hospital employees (claim dated Nov. 30, 1926), $1,650.50.

(61) O'Brien, Spotorno & Mitchell, turkeys for S. F. Hospital (claim dated Nov. 30, 1926), $710.84.

(62) L. Scatena & Galli Fruit Co., vegetables for S. F. Hospital (claim dated Nov. 30, 1926), $568.44.

(63) D. N. & E. Walter & Co., linoleum for S. F. Hospital (claim dated Nov. 30, 1926), $716.

(64) Department Public Works, for labor furnished to S. F. Hospital, July to October, inclusive (claim dated Dec. 3, 1926), $3,852.

(65) Department Public Works, for material furnished to S. F. Hospital, July to October, inclusive (claim dated Dec. 3, 1926), $682.24.

(66) Spring Valley Water Co., water, S. F. Hospital (claim dated Nov. 30, 1926), $1,509.90.

(67) Bernhard's, Inc., mattresses and pillows for S. F. Hospital (claim dated Nov. 30, 1926), $2,519.25.

(68) James H. Barry Co., printing annual report, Department of Public Health (claim dated Dec. 15, 1926), $871.

(69) Pierce-Arrow Pacific Sales Co., one Pierce-Arrow seven-passenger sedan, for use of the Mayor's department (claim dated Dec. 20, 1926), $6,250.

Auditorium Fund.

(70) Musical Association of San Francsco, for services of Symphony Orchestra in rendition of "The Messiah," December 11, 1926 (claim dated Dec. 20, 1926), $3,125..

(71) V. Shepard, manager, for services of Katheryne Meisle and Arthur Hackett, solosists, "The Messiah," December 11, 1926 (claim dated Dec. 20, 1926), $1,550.

(72) Louise Bennett, secretary of Municipal Chorus, for payment to chorus singers and for expense in "The Messiah," December 11, 1926 (claim dated Dec. 20, 1926), $835.

Publicity and Advertising—Appropriation No. 55.

(73) Citizens' Armistice Day Committee, Thos. F. Boyle, treasurer, for expense of Armistice Day exercises for the publicity and advertising of San Francisco (claim dated Dec. 20, 1926), $4,930.09

General Fund, 1925-1926.

(74) F. L. Hansen, final payment, construction of Children's Ward, southeast wing of S. F. Hospital (claim dated Dec. 16, 1926), $17,957.34.

(75) Turner Company, first and final payment, mechanical equipment, Children's Ward, southeast wing of S. F. Hospital (claim dated Dec. 15, 1926), $3,740.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Stanton, Todd —13.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon—5.

(Supervisor Powers voted *no* on Item No. 58 only.)

Appropriation, Payment of Tax Refund Judgments.

Resolution No. 26626 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside and appropriated out of "Tax Judgments" Appropriation 59, Fiscal Year 1926-1927, and authorized in payment to the hereinafter named persons as attorneys and agents for judgment creditors; being payments of one-tenth of amount of judgments, including interest, as approved by the City Attorney, to-wit:

(1) To R. H. Morrow, for judgment creditor, George Howard Thompson, $725.28.

(2) To Hugo D. Newhouse, for judgment creditors, per schedule attached to claim, $18,423.98.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Stanton, Todd —13.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon—5.

Appropriation, $10,000, Payment to San Francisco Chamber of Commerce as City's Portion of Fund for Construction of Victory Highway.

Resolution No. 26627 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $10,000 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of "Publicity and Advertising," Appropriation 55, Fiscal Year 1926-1927, and authorized in payment to the San Francisco Chamber of Commerce, as the City and County's portion of a fund made up of appropriations by coun-

ties in the northern and central portions of California, the States of Utah and Nevada and of the United States, for the construction of the so-called Victory Highway into the northern portion of California; additional to $10,000 heretofore appropriated by Resolution No. 22149 (New Series), and being for the publicity and advertising of San Francisco.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Stanton, Todd —13.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon—5.

Appropriation for Fencing and Skylights, County Jail, and Stage Fittings, Etc., Mission High School.

Resolution No. 26628 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned funds for the following purposes, to-wit:

Repairs to Public Buildings, Budget Item No. 68.

(1) For construction of posts and fences surrounding County Jails Nos. 2 and 3, and for installing 10 metal framed skylights on roof of County Jail No. 2, $1,625.

School Bonds, Issue 1923.

For the furnishing of stage fittings, draperies and electrical fixtures for the new Mission High School:

(2) Stage fittings and draperies, by American Studios, $7,450.

(3) Electrical fixtures, by Edw. F. Dowd, $9,950.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Stanton, Todd —13.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon—5.

Appropriations, Tubercular Sanitarium, Patrol Driver Police Department, One-half Cost Improvement of Hudson Avenue.

Resolution No. 26629 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside and appropriated out of General Fund, 1926-1927, for the following purposes, to-wit:

(1) To the credit of the Tubercular Sanitarium Fund to enable final payments on contracts for the construction of the Tubercular Preventorium in San Mateo County, $30,000.

(2) To the credit of Appropriation 42-A, Police Department, for the employment of additional auto patrol driver, Police Department, January 1 to June 30, 1927, $1,200.

(3) For the payment of one-half the cost of grading and sewer construction in Hudson avenue between Lane and Keith streets, $5,305.26.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Stanton, Todd —13.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon—5.

Appropriation, $27,690, for Land for John McLaren Park.

Resolution No. 26630 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $27,690 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of "Purchase of lands for public purposes in Mission District," Budget Item No. 73, Fiscal Year 1926-1927, and authorized in payment to San Francisco and Fresno Land Company; being payment for 18.46 acres of land required for the "John McLaren Park," as per acceptance of offers by Resolution No. 26295 (New Series); said lands being situate and described, to-wit:

Commencing at extreme north point of Subdivision 1 in Section 33, Township 2 South, Range 5 West M. D. B. and M.; running thence south 52 deg. 31 min. west 1452 feet; thence north 69 deg. 30 min. west 544½ feet; thence north 71 deg. 15 min. east 132 feet; thence north 61 deg. 30 min. east 428.52 feet; thence south 18 deg. 18 min. east 10.12 feet; thence north 60 deg. 50 min. east 244.38 feet; thence north 18 deg. 18 min. west 7.29 feet; thence north 61 deg. 30 min. east 111.77 feet; thence north 72 deg. east 891 feet to the point of commencement, containing 8.36 acres, more or less; and

Commencing at extreme north point of Subdivision 1 in Section 33, Township 2 South, Range 5 West, M. D. B. and M.; running thence south 53 deg. east 6½ chains; thence south 80 deg. 30 min. east 22.58 chains; thence north 86 deg. 30 min. east 11½ chains; thence north 66 deg. 45 min. east 1.46 chains; thence north 81 deg. west 40.20 chains to point of beginning; containing 10.10 acres, more or less. Said lands being situate in the City and County of San Francisco. (Claim dated Dec. 20, 1926.)

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Col-

man, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Stanton, Todd —13.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon—5.

Appropriation, $84,405, Lands for John McLaren Park.

Resolution No. 26631 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $84,445 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of "Purchase of lands for public purposes in Mission District," Budget Item No. 73, Fiscal Year 1926-1927, and authorized in payment to Crocker Estate Company; being payment for lands required for the "John McLaren Park"; said lands being situate in the City and County of San Francisco, and as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 26296 (New Series), to-wit:

Commencing at the point of intersection of the southeasterly line of Woolsey street with the northeasterly line of Mansfield street; running thence southeasterly along said northeasterly line of Mansfield street and said line produced, a distance of three hundred twenty-two and twenty-nine hundredths (322.29) feet; thence at right angles northeasterly thirty-five and thirty-nine hundredths (35.39) feet to the true point of beginning for this description; thence bearing south 53 deg. east four hundred twenty-nine (429.00) feet; thence south 80 deg. 19 min. 3 sec. east fourteen hundred eighty-two and twenty-nine hundredths (1482.29) feet; thence south 0 deg. 20 min. east twelve hundred fifty-three and forty-six hundredths (1253.46) feet; thence north 71 deg. 9 min. west two hundred nine and sixty-six hundredths (209.66) feet to a point which is distant one hundred seventy-nine and thirty-three hundredths (179.33) feet at right angles southeasterly from the southeasterly line of Gundlach street and three hundred eleven and forty-nine hundredths (311.49) feet at right angles northeasterly from the southwesterly line of Visitacion avenue; thence bearing north 68 deg. 44 min. 22 sec. west twenty-seven hundred nineteen and twenty-four hundredths (2719.24) feet; thence north 52 deg. 30 min. east eleven hundred sixty-one and fifty-seven hundredths (1161.57) feet to the true point of beginning, containing fifty-six and twenty-seven hundredths (57.27) acres, more or less.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Stanton, Todd —13.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon—5.

Appropriation, $22,500, Payment to Order of B'Nai Brith for Land and Improvements Required for School Purposes.

Resolution No. 26632 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $22,500 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923, and authorized in payment to San Francisco Lodge No. 21, Independent Order of B'Nai Brith, being payment for land and improvements situate and commencing at a point on the easterly line of Arguello boulevard, distant thereon 332 feet 7 inches northerly from the northerly line of Geary street, running thence northerly along said easterly line of Arguello boulevard 108 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 120 feet; thence at a right angle southerly 108 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 120 feet to the easterly line of Arguello boulevard and point of commencement. (Claim dated Dec. 20, 1926.) Required for school purposes.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Stanton, Todd—12.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon—5.

Excused from voting—Supervisor Marks—1.

Oil Tank Permits.

Resolution No. 26633 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits be and the same are hereby granted:

Oil Tanks.

City and County of San Francisco, south side of Hearst street, 150 feet east of Forester street, 1500 gallons capacity.

J. V. Costello, 21 Presidio Terrace, 1500 gallons capacity.

Henry Ernst & Sons, south side of O'Farrell street, east of Larkin street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Henry Ernst & Sons, west line of Gough street, 100 feet south of Sacramento street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Herman Hogrefe, west side of Powell street, 150 feet south of Washington street, 1500 gallons capacity.

M. A. Isaacs, east side of Sansome street, 200 feet north of Jackson street, 600 gallons capacity.

Presidio Golf Club, 8 Presidio Terrace, 1500 gallons capacity.

Traung Label and Lithograph Co., Inc., northeast corner of Pacific and Battery streets, 2000 gallons capacity.

S. I. Warner, west side of San Benito way, 100 feet south of St. Francis boulevard, 600 gallons capacity.

Louis S. Veedy, 3435 Pacific avenue, 750 gallons capacity.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits shall become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Stanton, Todd —13.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon—5.

Automobile Parking Station Permit.

Resolution No. 26634 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That E. W. Knepper be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to operate and maintain an automobile parking station on the north side of Eddy street, 212 feet west of Taylor street, with entrance on Ellis street 137 feet 6 inches west of Taylor street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Stanton, Todd —13.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon—5.

Ordering Plans, Etc., for the Improvement of Castro Street Between Twenty-ninth and Thirtieth Streets.

Bill No. 7864, Ordinance No. 7375 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the preparation of plans and specifications for the improvement of Castro street between Twenty-ninth and Thirtieth streets, and a portion of Thirtieth street east of Castro street, by grading, construction of retaining walls and appurtenances, and ordering the improvement of Castro street between Twenty-ninth and Thirtieth streets and a portion of Thirtieth street east of Castro street in accordance with the said plans and specifications prepared therefor; authorizing and directing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for said improvement.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized, instructed and empowered to prepare plans and specifications for the improvement of Castro street between Twenty-ninth and Thirtieth streets, and a portion of Thirtieth street east of Castro street by grading, construction of retaining walls and appurtenances, and to enter into contract for said improvement of Castro street between Twenty-ninth and Thirtieth streets, and a portion of Thirtieth street east of Castro street, in accordance with the said plans and specifications prepared therefor.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Stanton, Todd —13.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon—5.

Amending Zoning Ordinance Placing the Southwesterly Side of Dewey Boulevard Southeasterly From the Plaza in Second Residential District Instead of in the First.

Bill No. 7865, Ordinance No. 7376 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings, and the locations of buildings designed for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Section 6 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place the southwesterly side of Dewey boulevard commencing at the Plaza and running thence southeasterly 42.92 feet, and extending to a depth of 50 feet, in the Commercial District; also the southwesterly side of Dewey boulevard, commencing at a point 42.92 feet southeasterly from the Plaza and running thence southeasterly 99 feet and extending to the rear lot lines, in the Commercial District; also the southeasterly side of the Plaza commencing at a

point 50 feet southwesterly from Dewey boulevard and running to Magellan avenue and extending to the rear lot line, in the Second Residential District instead of the First Residential District.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Stanton, Todd —13.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon—5.

Amending Zoning Ordinance Placing the Northeast Corner of San Jose Avenue and Havelock Street in the Commercial Instead of First Residential District.

Bill No. 7866, Ordinance No. 7377 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings, and the locations of buildings designed for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

That Section 13 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, be changed so as to place the northeast corner of San Jose avenue and Havelock street, and extending to the depth of the rear lot lines, in the Commercial District instead of the First Residential District.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect on date of its approval and shall continue in effect for the period of ninety (90) days thereafter, at which time it shall cease to be in effect, and the premises hereinabove described shall revert to and be in the First Residential District.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington. Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Stanton, Todd —13.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon—5.

Amending Zoning Ordinance Placing Certain Lots Fronting on Randolph Street and Worcester Avenue in the Commercial District Instead of in the First Residential.

Bill No. 7867, Ordinance No. 7378 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings, and the locations of buildings designed for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

(New Series), the title of which is

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

That Section 13 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, be changed so as to place Lots 1, 28, 29, 30, 31 and 32 of Block 7125, fronting on Randolph street and Worcester avenue, in the Commercial District instead of the First Residential District.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Stanton, Todd —13.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon—5.

Spur Track Permit, Fishbeck Soap Company.

Bill No. 7869, Ordinance No. 7379 (New Series), as follows:

Granting permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to Fishbeck Soap Company, a corporation, et al., to construct, maintain and operate a spur track on Carolina street between Mariposa and Eighteenth streets as hereinafter described.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, is hereby granted to Fishbeck Soap Company (a corporation) et al., to construct, maintain and operate a spur track as follows, to-wit:

Beginning at a point in the center line of the passing track of the Western Pacific Railroad Company as the same now exists in Block 173, San Francisco, California, thence in a general southerly direction with turnout to the right approximately 145 feet; thence on a curve to the right having a radius of 286.84 feet, a distance of approximately 115 feet to a point on the southerly line of Mariposa street, distant thereon approximately one foot westerly from the westerly line of Carolina street, and crossing the northerly line of said Mariposa

street approximately 10 feet westerly from the westerly line of said Carolina street; thence in a southerly direction approximately 315 feet.

Said permission is granted subject to the provisions of Ordinance No. 69 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors, approved October 12, 1906, and the provisions and conditions of Section 8 thereof are hereby specifically contained in the permit hereby granted and shall be construed as a part hereof as completely as though the same were written in this ordinance.

Provided, that said spur track shall be laid under the supervision and to the lines and grades as furnished by the City Engineer's office and that any and all expense connected with the installation of the track, restoration of the pavement and any additional requirements for the surface drainage to be paid for by the Fishbeck Soap Company (a corporation) et al.; provided that the Fishbeck Soap Company (a corporation) et al. shall erect and maintain all-night lighted arc lamps to be placed where directed by the Lighting Committee of the Board of Supervisors.

Fishbeck Soap Company (a corporation) et al. shall provide a clear sidewalk space of seven feet in width, adjacent to the curb and at the grade of Carolina street, that the center of the proposed track be moved inside the property line a sufficient distance to provide for this walk; that concrete retaining walls, railings, etc., be provided for, including an electric light; and that detail plans for same be approved by the Board of Public Works.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Stanton, Todd —13.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon—5.

PRESENTATION OF BILLS AND ACCOUNTS.

Demands not required by law to be passed to print, and amounting to $40,816.57, were allowed and ordered paid by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Stanton, Todd —13.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon—5.

NEW BUSINESS.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Authorizations.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy:

Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

Hetch Hetchy Bonds, Issue 1925.

(1) City Coal Co., coal for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Dec. 23, 1926), $726.69.

(2) J. E. French Company, one Dodge Brothers auto, for use as ambulance, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Dec. 24, 1926), $1,363.

(3) Hercules Powder Co., explosives, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Dec. 23, 1926), $2,332.58.

(4) Makins Produce Co., eggs, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Dec. 23, 1926), $653.25.

(5) J. H. McCallum, lumber, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Dec. 23, 1926), $573.53.

(6) J. F. Mitchell Machine and Gear Works, machinery parts, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Dec. 23, 1926), $573.75.

(7) Panama Lamp and Commercial Co., electric lamps, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Dec. 23, 1926), $907.20.

(8) Standard Oil Co., gasoline, etc., Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Dec. 23, 1926), $826.11.

(9) Seattle Frog and Switch Co., rail switches, frogs, etc., Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Dec. 24, 1926), $635.37.

(10) The White Company, White truck parts, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Dec. 23, 1926), $647.46.

Tubercular Sanitarium Fund.

(11) M. E. Ryan, first payment, electrical work on Tuberculosis Preventorium (claim dated Dec. 28, 1926), $3,044.01.

Special School Tax.

(12) Samuel Lightner Hyman and A. Appleton, third payment, architectural services for addition to Madison School (claim dated Dec. 28, 1926), $529.41.

(13) Thos. Skelly, first payment, plumbing work for addition to Commodore Sloat School (claim dated Dec. 27, 1926), $1,236.75.

(14) George H. Tray Co., urinal stalls for schools (claim dated Dec. 28, 1926), $852.

School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923.

(15) L. Flatland, final payment, electrical work, addition to High School of Commerce (claim dated Dec. 28, 1926), $3,283.62.

(16) A. Lettich, final payment, plumbing work, addition to High School of Commerce (claim dated Dec. 27, 1926), $1,613.60.

(17) Jas. L. McLaughlin Co., final payment, general construction of Anza Street (Lafayette) School (claim dated Dec. 28, 1926), $84,-982.75.

(18) R. W. Moller, fourth payment, general construction of Mission (Everett) Junior High School (claim dated Dec. 27, 1926), $18,-946.27.

(19) Macdonald & Kahn, seventeenth payment, general construction of new Mission High School (claim dated Dec. 29, 1926), $23,-605.38.

Playground Fund.

(20) Phil B. Bekeart Co., playground balls (claim dated Dec. 28, 1926), $1,395.50.

(21) J. L. Stuart Mfg. Co., furnishing and installing curtains for playgrounds (claim dated Dec. 28, 1926), $635.25.

General Fund, 1925-1926.

(22) Frank J. McHugh, first payment, City's portion for widening of Clement street from Arguello boulevard to Funston avenue (claim dated Dec. 28, 1926), $4,700.

Auditorium Fund.

(23) Selby C. Oppenheimer, for services of Julia Claussen, soloist at Pop Concert of December 3, 1926 (claim dated Jan. 3, 1927), $900.

General Fund, 1926-1927.

(24) Patrick & Co., license plates (claim dated Jan. 3, 1927), $2,-562.07.

(25) Ming Cheung et al., refund of erroneous assessment tax (claim dated Dec. 22, 1926), $732.

(26) California Rock Co., gravel for street repair (claim dated Dec. 28, 1926), $1,012.59.

(27) A. Ginocchio & Son, coal for street repair (claim dated Dec. 28, 1926), $612.63.

(28) Jas. E. Lennon Lime and Cement Co., cement for street repair (claim dated Dec. 28, 1926), $1,-796.73.

(29) Photostat Corporation, photostat paper for Bureau of Engineering (claim dated Dec. 28, 1926), $526.80.

(30) Symon Brothers, team hire for street cleaning (claim dated Dec. 23, 1926), $747.50.

(31) Western Lime and Cement Co., cement for sewer repair (claim dated Dec. 22, 1926), $677.50.

(32) McCarthy Bros., coffee for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Nov. 30, 1926), $637.88.

(33) Dodge, Sweeney & Co., groceries, S. F. Hospital (claim dated Nov. 30, 1926), $841.46.

(34) South San Francisco Packing and Provision Co., meats for S. F. Hospital (claim dated Nov. 30, 1926), $942.02.

(35) San Francisco Dairy Co., milk, etc., for S. F. Hospital (claim dated Nov. 30, 1926), $3,894.90.

(36) Oliva Brothers, potatoes, etc., for S. F. Hospital (claim dated Nov. 30, 1926), $796.51.

(37) Sussman-Wormser Co., sugar for S. F. Hospital (claim dated Nov. 30, 1926), $1,695.56.

(38) L. Dinkelspiel Co., dry goods, S. F. Hospital (claim dated Nov. 30, 1926), $535.10.

(39) A. P. Hotaling & Co., bandages for S. F. Hospital (claim dated Nov. 30, 1926), $870.

(40) Travers Surgical Co., gauze for S. F. Hospital (claim dated Nov. 30, 1926), $2,367.

(41) Haas Bros., groceries for S. F. Hospital (claim dated Nov. 30, 1926), $663.34.

(42) Spring Valley Water Co., water for Relief Home (claim dated Nov. 30, 1926), $761.28.

(43) California Meat Co., meats, Relief Home (claim dated Nov. 30, 1926), $703.34.

(44) Del Monte Meat Co., meats, Relief Home (claim dated Nov. 30, 1926), $2,640.58.

(45) Department of Public Works, labor furnished Relief Home (claim dated Dec. 3, 1926), $556.95.

(46) C. Nauman & Co., vegetables, Relief Home (claim dated Nov. 30, 1926), $918.27.

(47) O'Brien, Spotorno & Mitchell, turkeys for Relief Home (claim dated Nov. 30, 1926), $1,-093.56.

(48) Sussman-Wormser Co., sugar for Relief Home (claim dated Nov. 30, 1926), $1,131.03.

Special School Tax.

(49) W. P. Fuller & Co., paints for schools (claim dated Dec. 23, 1926), $653.50.

Relief Home Bonds, Issue 1923.

(50) C. F. Webber & Co., office furniture for new Relief Home (claim dated Nov. 30, 1926), $1,-061.21.

Maintenance of Aquarium—Appropriation 57.

(51) California Academy of Sciences, maintenance of Steinhart

Aquarium, month of December, 1926 (claim dated Jan. 3, 1927), $3,819.

Appropriations, Window and Door Shades, Mission High School; Painting, Sherman School.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923, for the following purposes, to-wit:

(1) For cost of furnishing and installing window and door shades in the new Mission High School, per award to D. N. & E. Walter & Co., $1,675.50.

(2) For cost of painting work on the Sherman School to be erected on city property, south side Union street between Franklin and Gough streets; per award to D. Zelinsky & Sons, $6,650.

Appropriation, Land for School Purposes.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside and appropriated out of School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923, and authorized in payment to the hereinafter named persons, being payments for lands required for school purposes, to-wit:

(1) To Hee Suey Law Jessie, for land situate and commencing at a point on the southerly line of Washington street, distant thereon 87 feet 6 inches easterly from the easterly line of Powell street; running thence easterly along the southerly line of Washington street 25 feet; thence at a right angle southerly 137 feet 6 inches; thence at right angle westerly 25 feet; thence at right angle northerly 137 feet 6 inches; as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 26617 (New Series), $3,750.

(2) To Loo Yee Tow and Loo Lee Kern, for land situate and commencing at a point on the southerly line of Washington street, distant thereon 112 feet 6 inches easterly from the easterly line of Powell street, running thence easterly along the southerly line of Washington street 25 feet; thence at a right angle southerly 137 feet 6 inches; thence at right angle westerly 25 feet; thence at right angle northerly 137 feet 6 inches; as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 26618 (New Series), $3,750.

Action Deferred.

The following resolution was *laid over one week*:

Appropriation, $851.84, Payment to Crocker National Bank, Fiscal Agent.

Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $851.84 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of Urgent Necessity, Budget Item No. 25, and authorized in payment to Crocker National Bank of San Francisco, being reimbursement for clerk hire and payments made as fiscal agent for account of City and County bonds and bond interest. (Claim dated Dec. 23, 1926.)

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Appropriation, $12,200, Payment to John J. Lanahan for Land Required for Playground Purposes.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy:

Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $12,200 be and the same is hereby authorized in payment out of Playground Fund to John J. Lanahan and Margaret Lanahan, being payment for lands required for playground purposes, as follows, to-wit:

Commencing at point of intersection of the northerly line of Minerva street and the easterly line of Capitol avenue, running thence easterly on Minerva street 100 feet; thence at right angle northerly 125 feet; thence at right angle easterly 50 feet; thence at right angle southerly 125 feet to the northerly line of Minerva street; thence at a right angle easterly along Minerva street 50 feet; thence at right angle northerly 250 feet to the southerly line of Montana street; thence at right angle westerly along Montana street 200 feet to the easterly line of Capitol avenue; thence at right angle southerly along Capitol avenue 250 feet to the point of commencement. Being all of Lot No. 1, Block "S", Railroad Homestead Association, and all of Lots 1, 2, 3, 4, 7 and 8 in Block "S", Railroad Homestead Association No. 2. (Claim dated Dec. 29, 1926.)

Appropriation Out of County Road Fund for Widening San Jose Avenue and Grand View Avenue.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside and appropriated out of County Road Fund, and authorized in payment to the hereinafter named persons, being payments for

properties required for road widenings, to-wit:

(1) To Anna Castagnetto, for property beginning at intersection of southwesterly line of Cotter street with southeasterly line of San Jose avenue, running thence southwesterly along the southeasterly line of San Jose avenue 101.700 feet to the southwesterly boundary line of the Demartini Tract, of irregular dimensions, and being portions of Lots 1, 2, 3 and 4 of the Demartini Tract; as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 26587 (New Series), required for widening of San Jose avenue, $2,700.

(2) To Myra L. Fuller, as administratrix of the estate of James Park Quirk, deceased, for Lot 181 of Heyman Tract, as recorded on pages 158 and 159 of Map Book "E" and "F", records of the City and County of San Francisco; as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 26591 (New Series), and required for the widening of Grand View avenue, $600.

Accepting Offer of Catherine Roberts to Sell Land for Widening Garfield Street.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 26635 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the owner of the following described land sought to be acquired by the City and County of San Francisco for widening of Garfield street from Orizaba avenue to Junipero Serra boulevard, has offered to convey the property desired by the City and County of San Francisco for the sum set forth opposite her name, viz.:

Catherine Roberts, $60 — Beginning at a point on the southerly line of Garfield street, distant thereon 25 feet westerly from the westerly line of Head street, and running thence westerly along said southerly line of Garfield street 50 feet; thence at a right angle southerly 12 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 50 feet, and thence at a right angle northerly 12 feet to the point of beginning.

Being a portion of Lots Nos. 30 and 31, Block No. 44, City Land Association, as per map thereof filed August 24, 1870, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, in Book "C" and "D" of Maps, page 11.

Whereas, the City Attorney has recommended the acceptance of the said offer and the acquisition of the property owned by said person and offered to the City and County of San Francisco for the price set forth, which said price is in accordance with the City's appraisement of the property; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the said offer of sale be accepted and the City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to examine the title of said property, and, if the same is found in satisfactory condition, to accept, in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, a deed conveying title thereto, and file the same for record with a copy of this resolution attached thereto as evidence of acceptance by the City and County of San Francisco, upon payment of the agreed purchase price.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Stanton, Todd —13.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon—5.

Accepting Offer of Higgins & Sons to Sell Land for Widening Alemany Boulevard.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 26636 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the owners of the following described land situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and sought to be acquired by the City and County of San Francisco for the widening of Alemany boulevard, have offered to convey the property desired by said City and County of San Francisco for the sum set forth opposite their names, viz.:

Higgins & Sons, $9,465.

Parcel 1. Beginning at the intersection of the easterly line of Orizaba avenue with the southerly line of Sagamore street, and running thence southerly along said easterly line of Orizaba avenue 48.987 feet to the northwesterly corner of the property conveyed by the Ocean Shore Railroad Company to the City and County of San Francisco by deed recorded April 6, 1925, in Volume 1053, page 61, Official Records of the City and County of San Francisco; thence deflecting to the left 68 deg. 00 min. 52 sec., and running southeasterly along the northeasterly line of said property so conveyed, a distance of 517.644 feet to the northeasterly corner thereof; thence deflecting 111 deg. 59 min. 08 sec. to the left and running northerly 10.784 feet; thence deflecting 68 deg. 00 min. 52 sec. to the left and running northwesterly parallel with said northeasterly line of said property so conveyed a distance of 451.067 feet, running thence on a

curve to the right tangent to the last described course, having a radius of 12 feet and central angle 158 deg. 00 min. 52 sec., a distance of 33.094 feet to a point distant southerly at right angles 40 feet from the southerly line of Sagamore street; running thence easterly parallel with said southerly line of Sagamore street 413.772 feet; thence northerly at right angles 40 feet to said southerly line of Sagamore street; thence westerly at right angles along said southerly line 480 feet to the point of beginning.

Being a portion of Lots 1, 2, 3 and 4 in Block "A", as per map of the lands of the Railroad Homestead Associaion, recorded in Map Book "C" and "D", page 111, records of the City and County of San Francisco.

Parcel 2. Beginning at a point in the easterly line of Orizaba avenue, distant northerly thereon 86.169 feet from the northerly line of Palmetto avenue (formerly known as San Jose avenue), and running thence northerly along said easterly line of Orizaba avenue 28.570 feet to the southwesterly corner of the property conveyed by the Ocean Shore Railroad Company to the City and County of San Francisco by deed recorded April 6, 1925, in Volume 1053, page 61, Official Records of the City and County of San Francisco; thence deflecting to the right 111 deg. 59 min. 08 sec. and running southeasterly along the southwesterly line of said property so conveyed 212.993 feet; thence deflecting to the right 68 deg. 00 min. 52 sec. and running southerly 10.784 feet; thence deflecting to the right 111 deg. 59 min. 08 sec. and running northwesterly parallel with said southwesterly line of said property so conveyed 195.207 feet; thence along a curve to the left tangent to the last described course and having a radius of 12 feet and a central angle of 111 deg. 59 min. 08 sec., a distance of 23.454 feet to the point of beginning.

Being a portion of Lots 1 and 2 in Block "A", as per map of the lands of the Railroad Homestead Association, recorded in Map Book "C" and "D", page 111, records of the City and County of San Francisco.

Whereas, the City Attorney has recommended the acceptance of the said offer and the acquisition of the property owned by said parties and offered to the City and County of San Francisco for the sum set forth, which said price is in accordance with the City's appraisement of the property; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the said offer of sale be accepted and the City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to examine the title of said property, and, if the same is found in satisfactory condition, to accept, in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, a deed conveying title thereto, and file the same for record with a copy of this resolution attached thereto as evidence of acceptance by the City and County of San Francisco, upon payment of the agreed purchase price.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Stanton, Todd —13.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon—5.

Accepting Offer of Veterans' Welfare Board et al. to Sell Land Required for Widening San Jose Avenue.

Supervisor McSheehy presented: Resolution No. 26637 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the owner of the following described land sought to be acquired by the City and County of San Francisco for the widening of San Jose avenue from Circular avenue to Capistrano avenue has offered to convey the property desired by the City and County of San Francisco for the sum set forth opposite its name and the further consideration mentioned below, viz.:

Veterans' Welfare Board, $210.50 —Beginning at a point on the southeasterly line of San Jose avenue, distant thereon 25.425 feet northeasterly from the northeasterly line of Cotter street, and running thence northeasterly along the southeasterly line of San Jose avenue 25.425 feet to the northeasterly boundary of Lot 47 of the Demartini Tract; thence southeasterly along said northeasterly boundary parallel with Cotter street, 16.515 feet to a point distant 80 feet at right angles southeasterly from the northwesterly line of San Jose avenue; thence deflecting 100 deg. 38 min. 37 sec. to the right and running southwesterly, parallel with the northwesterly line of San Jose avenue, 25.437 feet to the southwesterly boundary of said Lot 47; thence deflecting 79 deg. 21 min. 23 sec. to the right and running northwesterly along said southwesterly boundary 16.446 feet to the point of beginning. Being portion of Lot 47 of the Demartini Tract.

As a further consideration the

City and County of San Francisco agrees to restore sidewalk where sidewalks are now constructed.

Whereas, the City Attorney has recommended the acceptance of the said offer and the acquisition of the property owned by said Board and offered to the City and County of San Francisco for the price set forth, which said price is in accordance with the City's appraisement of the property; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the said offer of sale be accepted and the City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to examine the title of said property, and, if the same is found in satisfactory condition, to accept, in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, a deed conveying title thereto, and file the same for record with a copy of this resolution attached thereto as evidence of acceptance by the City and County of San Francisco, upon payment of the agreed purchase price.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Stanton, Todd —13.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon—5.

Authorizing Settlement of Litigation Brought By the City and County of San Francisco.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 26641 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the City Attorney be and he is hereby authorized to accept for and on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco the sum of thirteen hundred and sixty-five dollars ($1,365) in full payment and satisfaction of all claims sued upon in that certain action pending in the Superior Court of the State of California, in and for the City and County of San Francisco, and entitled "City and County of San Francisco (a municipal corporation) vs. Robert Carpenter Durie, etc., defendant", said action being numbered 171511 in said court, and to accept said sum in full payment and satisfaction of all demands against the defendant in said action, and on the payment of said sum to compromise and dismiss said action.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Stanton, Todd —13.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon—5.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Amending Zoning Ordinance, Van Ness Avenue South of Washington Street.

On motion of Supervisor Hayden:

Bill No. 7870, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings, and the locations of buildings designed for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Section 2 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to extend the Light Industrial classification of the lot on the westerly side of Van Ness avenue, commencing at a point 72 feet southerly from Washington street and running thence southerly 50 feet, to a depth of 211 feet and 9 inches.

Oil and Boiler Permits.

On motion of Supervisor Deasy:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits be and the same are hereby granted:

Oil Tanks.

E. L. Bareilley, 3070 Jackson street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Christiansen Bros., southeast corner of Bay and Divisadero streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

City and County of San Francisco, south side of Union street between Franklin and Gough streets (Sherman School), 1500 gallons capacity.

I. Epp, southeast corner of Scott street and Capra way, 1500 gallons capacity.

Chas. A. Johnson, northeast corner of Pierce street and Toledo way, 1500 gallons capacity.

P. C. Katz, 71 Parker avenue, 750 gallons capacity.

H. Keenan, southwest corner of Turk and Hyde streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

W. S. King, north side of Haight street, 137 feet 6 inches east of Steiner street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Marian Realty Company, south-

east corner of Gough and Clay streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

R. Miller, north side of Golden Gate avenue, 200 feet west of Divisadero street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Oil-O-Matic Company of California, southwest corner of Broadway and Broderick street, 1500 gallons capacity.

M. O'Neill, south side of Pacific avenue, 250 feet west of Divisadero street, 1500 gallons capacity.

V. Rasmussen, east side of Pierce street, 100 feet north of Alhambra street, 1500 gallons capacity.

William Staller, west side of Fillmore street, 200 feet north of Chestnut street, 1500 gallons capacity.

R. J. Stempel, west side of Fillmore street, 226 feet north of Chestnut street, 1500 gallons capacity.

R. J. Stempel, southwest corner of Beach and Fillmore streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

L. D. Stoff, southeast corner of Twenty-sixth avenue and Lake street, 1500 gallons capacity.

T. B. Strand, south side of Filbert street, 155 feet west of Franklin street, 1500 gallons capacity.

E. G. Sullivan, 1448 Sacramento street, 600 gallons capacity.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits shall become null and void.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits be and the same are hereby granted:

Oil Tanks.

H. A. Balintine, 30 Santa Clara avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

Excelsior School, Excelsior and London streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

Laguna Honda School, Seventh avenue, Irving and Judah streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

Longfellow School, southwest corner of Lowell and Morse streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

Frank McCoppin School, Seventh avenue, Balboa and Cabrillo streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

Bryant School, Bryant, Twenty-second and Twenty-third streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

Cleveland School, Persia avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

Denman School, Hayes and Pierce streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

Kate Kennedy School, Thirtieth and Noe streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

Farragut School, Holloway, Capitol and Faxon avenues, 1500 gallons capacity.

Joachim and Juliette Dumas northeast corner of Hampshire and Mariposa streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

Mercantile Trust Company of California, northeast corner of Twenty-second and Mission streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

Mary Petersen, east side of Buena Vista avenue, 80 feet north of Waller street, 1500 gallons capacity.

W. S. Ray Manufacturing Company, 170 Sutter street, two 600-gallon capacity tanks for demonstration purposes.

Boilers.

Standard Filter Company, 850 Ellis street, 3½ horse-power.

Traung Label and Lithograph Company, Inc., southeast corner of Battery and Pacific streets, 50 horsepower.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits shall become null and void.

Street Lights.

Supervisor Stanton presented:

Resolution No. 26638 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Pacific Gas & Electric Company be and it is hereby authorized and requested to remove and install the following street lights:

Remove Gas.

Steiner street between Sacramento and Clay streets.

Sacramento and Steiner streets.

South side Clay street, first and second west of Stockton street.

North side Clay street, first west of Stockton street.

Sterling and Essex streets.

West side Essex street, first south of Folsom street.

East side Jones street between Sacramento and Clay streets.

West side Jones street between Sacramento and Clay streets.

South side Filbert street, east of Pierce street.

North side Filbert street, east of Pierce street.

South side Filbert street, west of Steiner street.

South side Harrison street, west of Ninth street.

South side Harrison street, east of Tenth street.

North side Harrison street, west of Tenth street.

Change 250 *C. P.*

Thirty-fourth avenue between Balboa and Cabrillo, one pole south

Install 400 *M. R.*

Sunnydale avenue and Schwerin street.

Forty-first avenue and Clement street.

Forty-seventh avenue and Anza street.

Forty-second avenue and Clement street.

Steiner street between Sacramento and Clay streets.

Steiner and Sacramento streets.

Baker street between North Point and Beach streets.

Baker street between Beach and Jefferson streets.

Clay street between Stockton and Powell streets.

Sterling and Essex streets.

Jones street between Sacramento and Clay streets.

Winding way and Drake street.

Athens street between Cordova and Naples street.

Naples street between Curtis and Prague streets.

Silver avenue, corner Oxford, Yale and Princeton streets.

Filbert street between Steiner and Pierce streets.

Harrison street between Ninth and Tenth streets.

Faxon avenue between Thrift and Montana streets.

Forty-second avenue between Irving and Judah streets.

Thirty-fourth avenue between Irving and Judah streets.

Corner Thirty-fourth avenue and Judah street.

Install 600 *M. R.*

Taraval street and Great Highway.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Stanton, Todd —13.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon—5.

Extension of Time, Fire Hydrant Contract.

Supervisor Badaracco presented:

Resolution No. 26639 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That an extension of time of thirty days be hereby granted upon recommendation of the Board of Fire Commissioners to The Moore Dry Dock Company on contract awarded by Resolution No. 26267 (New Series) (Proposal No. 221) for furnishing fire hydrants.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Stanton, Todd —13.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon—5.

Action Deferred.

The following matters were *continued one week and made a Special Order of Business for* 11 *a. m.*:

Minority Report of Supervisor Deasy on Lease of 333 Kearny Street.

December 29, 1926.

Hon. Board of Supervisors, City Hall, City.

Gentlemen:

Your minority of the Building Committee beg leave to report to your Hononable Board a resolution passed unanimously by the Board of Supervisors July 17, 1926, No. 25899, requesting the Board of Public Works to confer with the Chief of Police to determine the amount of additional space required in the Hall of Justice for the growing needs of the Police Department and to report to the Board their findings.

On August 28, 1926, the Chief of Police advised the Board in the following letter:

"Please be advised that the Police Department can occupy all the rooms vacated by the District Attorney, Public Defender, Probation Officer and their respective staffs, which are located in the Hall of Justice, at Kearny and Washington streets.

"Said premises can be utilized and occupied immediately without any alterations for some time, therefore I would respectfully request that proper authorization be granted to this department for the occupancy of the above mentioned space."

I therefore recommend to the Board of Supervisors that the vacant space now existing in the Hall of Justice be assigned to the Police Department in accordance with their letter to the Board of August 28, 1926.

Yours truly,

C. J. DEASY.

Supervisor Deasy's Resolution.

Whereas, the certain rooms situate in the Hall of Justice heretofore occupied by the District Attorney, the Public Defender and the Adult Probation Officer have been vacant since the removal of the said offices and officials to premises at No. 333 Kearny street and are still vacant and unoccupied; and

Whereas, the Chief of the Police Department, by communication heretofore filed set forth that the said vacated premises if made available could be occupied and utilized by the Police Department without any alterations being made therein; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the said vacated rooms in the Hall of Justice, situ-

ated mainly on the third and fourth floors and to be more specifically specified by their respective numbers be and the same are hereby assigned to the Police Department for its use and purposes.

Majority Report.

San Francisco, December 27, 1926.

To the Honorable Board of Supervisors, City and County of San Francisco.

Gentlemen:

Your majority Committee on Public Buildings and Lands, to which was referred the resolution terminating the lease by the City of premises known as 333 Kearny street, now occupied by the District Attorney, Public Defender, the Adult Probation Officer and the Grand Jury, on the 1st day of May, 1927, and directing the said herein named officials to be reinstated in the Hall of Justice, would respectfully report that careful consideration has been given to the subject matter expressed in the resolution, taking into consideration that the alterations heretofore ordered and now under progress in the Hall of Justice will be completed within a period of about 90 days, and will, in the opinion of your committee, more adequately accommodate the hereinbefore referred to officials of the City and County.

Your committee has realized the inconvenience to the public by reason of the removal of the said officials from the Hall of Justice, with the thought of remedying such conditions at the earliest opportunity.

Your committee feels that it is a necessity, by reason of the duties of the District Attorney, the Public Defender and the Adult Probation Officer, that such offices should be in the Hall of Justice, contiguous to the court located therein. With this aim in view your committee would respectfully report in favor of the passing of the resolution cancelling the present lease of the premises No. 333 Kearny street, to take effect the 1st day of May, 1927, and of directing the removal of the hereinbefore mentioned offices and respective officials to the Hall of Justice, and to occupy the certain rooms hereinafter to be designated and assigned to them by the Public Buildings and Lands Committee of your Board.

Very respectfully,
CHAS. J. POWERS.
LEWIS F. BYINGTON.

Supervisor Powers Presents:

Whereas, in the month of ——— a resolution was introduced by a Special Committee and passed by a majority vote of the Board of Supervisors, directing the District Attorney, Public Defender, Adult Probation Officer and the County Grand Jury to move from their rooms in the Hall of Justice to No. 333 Kearny street; and

Whereas, this committee recommended that a lease be entered into between the Board of Supervisors and Louis T. Samuels for said property at a rental of 15 cents per square foot, amounting to $74,081.25, for a term of five (5) years, with a stipulation that the lease can be cancelled by giving ninety (90) days' notice to said owner; and

Whereas, since entering into this lease alterations have been made in the Hall of Justice which will provide for 7591 square feet of floor space, together with 4870 square feet now unoccupied, making a total of 12,461 square feet, which is more than sufficient to take care of the needs of the Police Department and of the other departments now occupying temporary quarters at No. 333 Kearny street; and

Whereas, the service rendered to the public has been very inadequate, owing to the distance of several blocks between the Hall of Justice and No. 333 Kearny street, and numerous complaints have been made; and

Whereas, the expenditure of $74,081.25 for the rental of these offices is a direct wastage of public funds and should terminate as soon as possible; and

Whereas, these alterations will be completed in about ninety (90) days from date and far better offices and accommodations will be provided for the District Attorney, the Public Defender, the Adult Probation Officer and the County Grand Jury in the Hall of Justice than they now have at No. 333 Kearny street; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the cancellation clause in the lease between the City and County of San Francisco and the owner of the building known as No. 333 Kearny street, entered into on the 2d day of June, 1926, be terminated on the 1st day of May, 1927, and that the District Attorney, Public Defender, Adult Probation Officer and the County Grand Jury be directed to move from their offices at No. 333 Kearny street to the Hall of Justice and occupy rooms as designated by the

Public Buildings and Lands Committee of this Board, and that proper furnishings and equipment be placed in said rooms.

ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND MOTIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

Reception to World Tour Visitors.

Supervisor Gallagher presented: Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, many round-the-world steamers make San Francisco a regular stop and bring to our City tourists and citizens from all parts of the world; and

Whereas, often for severals days these vessels remain in port while the passengers are the recipients of San Francisco's hospitality and are permitted to visit the many places of interest in our City; be it therefore

Resolved, That with a desire to make these visitors most welcome and that they may leave our City with an affectionate regard for its people and its splendid surroundings, the Public Welfare Committee is requested to consider and report suggestions and plans for reception and entertainment of the officers and passengers on said vessels.

Referred to Public Welfare Committee.

Masquerade Ball Permit.

Supervisor Schmidt presented: Resolution No. 26640 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Workmen's Circle be and it is hereby granted permission to hold a masquerade ball at Scottish Rite Auditorium January 30, 1927.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Stanton, Todd —13.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon—5.

Boxing in Civic Auditorium.

Hearing on the application of the Association Athletic Club for permission to conduct boxing exhibitions in the Civic Auditorium was *continued until Monday, January* 10, 1927, *at* 2:30 *p. m.*

Airport Hearing.

On motion of Supervisor Kent, hearing on the question of an airport site was *continued until Monday, January* 10, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*

Height of Buildings.

Supervisor Hayden announced that on January 11th, at 2:30 p. m., a meeting will be held in the chambers of the Board at which the question of limiting the height of buildings in the district bounded by Fillmore and Jackson and Lyon streets and San Francisco Bay will be considered.

ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business, the Board at 12:45 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors April 11, 1927.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated, and approved as above recited.

JOHN S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco.

Vol. 22—New Series No. 2

Monday, January 10, 1927

Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
77 Sutter Street, S. F.

JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, JANUARY 10, 1927, 10 A. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, January 10, 1927, 10 a. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Gallagher—2.

Supervisor Bath excused on account of illness.

Quorum present.

His Honor Mayor Rolph being absent, Supervisor McSheehy was elected to preside.

APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the previous meeting was laid over until next meeting for approval.

ROLL CALL FOR PETITIONS FROM MEMBERS.

Wrestling in the Auditorium.

The following was presented by Supervisor Badaracco and read by the Clerk:

Communication, from Unione Sportiva Italiana requesting favorable action on its application for rental of the Civic Auditorium for a wrestling bout on January 24, 1927, declaring that said affair was being conducted for building benefit purposes and that great loss will be suffered inasmuch as expensive arrangements have been made for the same.

Ordered *filed*.

Invitation to California School of Fine Arts Dedication.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication, from Walter S. Martin, president of the San Francisco Art Association, extending a special invitation to the members of the Board of Supervisors to be present at a reception to celebrate the opening of the buildings of the California School of Fine Arts, at Chestnut and Jones streets on Saturday, January 15th, from 3 to 6 p. m.

Invitation *accepted*.

Salary Standardization.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication, from James J. Maher, secretary Civil Service Commission, reminding Finance Committee that it is waiting for a date to be fixed for the proposed conference to be held by Finance Committee and Civil Service Commission for the purpose of providing ways and means for the completion of the salary classification and standardization.

Referred to Finance Committee.

Salary Increases, Board of Health, Recommended.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication, from Wm. C. Hassler, Health Officer, recommending salary increases to Health Department employees as follows:

Budget Item 786: 4 sanitary inspectors.

Budget Item 805: 1 chief medical inspector of schools.

Budget Item 806: 2 assistant medical inspectors of schools.

Budget Item 807: 4 assistant medical inspectors of schools.

Referred to Finance Committee.

Temporary Airport Site.

Communication, from J. J. Kelly, secretary Central Council of Civic Clubs, advising that said organization voted an endorsement of a temporary airport site at South San Francisco for one year's duration, while investigations as to whether landing conditions at other proposed sites are being made.

Ordered *filed*.

Protest Against Zone Change.

Communication, from Alan C. Van Fleet, representing First Church of Christ Scientist, opposing the application of the Hanni Auto Company to change the zone

in the vicinity of its property from Second Residential to Light Industrial.

Referred to City Planning Committee.

Leave of Absence, Supervisor Byington.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

San Francisco, Cal.,
January 10, 1927.

Honorable Board of Supervisors,
City Hall, San Francisco, California.

Gentlemen:

Request having been made of the Mayor by the Honorable Lewis F. Byington for leave of absence, with permission to leave the State for a period of ten days, beginning January 12, 1927, I am directed by the Mayor to request your Honorable Board to concur with him in granting this leave.

Respectfully,
WM. F. BENEDICT,
Assistant Secretary to the Mayor.

Whereupon, the following resolution was presented and *adopted*:

Resolution No. 26680 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Supervisor Lewis F. Byington be and he is hereby granted a leave of absence of ten (10) days from and after January 12, 1927, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Gallagher—2.

Leave of Absence, Sigmund Stern, Playground Commissioner.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

San Francisco, Cal.,
January 4, 1927.

Honorable Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco,
City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen:

Application has been made to me by Mrs. Sigmund Stern, member of the Board of Playground Commissioners, for leave of absence, with permission to leave the State of California for a period of forty-five days, commencing January the sixth.

I hereby request that you concur with me in granting said leave of absence.

Yours very truly,
JAMES ROLPH, JR.,
Mayor.

Whereupon, the following resolution was presented and *adopted*:

Resolution No. 26686 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Mrs. Sigmund Stern, member of the Board of Playground Commissioners, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of forty-five days, commencing January 6, 1927, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent — Supervisors Bath, Gallagher—2.

Communication from Chief of Police as to Requirements in Hall of Justice.

November 17, 1926.

To the Honorable, the Building Committee of the Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco, California.

Gentlemen:

In the event the fourth floor of the Hall of Justice is set aside for use of the Police Department it will be occupied as follows:

The automobile detail will be moved from the first floor to the fourth floor into the rooms formerly occupied by the assistant district attorneys on the southeast corner of the building.

The robbery retail will be assigned to the room immediately adjoining the automobile detail and

The bunko and pickpocket detail and the homicide detail will be assigned to rooms formerly occupied by Mrs. Evans.

The rooms formerly occupied by the Public Defender will be assigned to the women protective officers.

The rooms formerly occupied by the Adult Probation Officer will oe occupied by the check detail, efficiency bureau and retail shopping detail.

The rooms formerly occupied by the District Attorney at the southwest corner of the building will be occupied by the Department School of Instructions.

The burglary detail will be assigned to the room on the first floor now occupied by the automobile detail and the pawnshop detail will be allowed the use of the room vacated by the efficiency bureau.

The carrying out of the fore-

going will mean the occupation of all the rooms vacated by the District Attorney, Adult Probation Officer and Public Defender.

Very truly yours,

(Sgd.) D. J. O'BRIEN,
Chief of Police.

Presented by Supervisor Deasy—Read and *filed*.

Action Deferred.

The following matters, on motion of Supervisor Todd, were *continued until* 11 *a. m., January* 24, 1927:

Statement of Supervisor Havenner.

The following statement was read into the record by Supervisor Havenner:

One year ago half of the members of this Board of Supervisors were inducted into office after a municipal election which resulted in a tremendous landslide of votes for economy in government and for definite progress towards the completion of San Francisco's program for municipal ownership of all public utilities. Six members of the preceding Board of Supervisors, who had banded themselves together in a campaign ticket, were swept out of office, and the leader of the successful candidates received approximately twice as many votes as did the high man on the defeated ticket. Incidentally, the total vote for the successful leader was far greater than had ever before been cast for a supervisorial candidate in San Francisco.

Economy and public utility progress were unquestionably the key notes of this unprecedented turnover in our municipal government. The people had rebelled against extravagance and high taxes and against mere lip service in the cause of municipal ownership. Their vote was a mandate to curb the mounting municipal expenses, reduce taxes and proceed without further delay to complete the municipal public utility program.

I know that the present majority of the Board of Supervisors have tried faithfully to carry out these expressed wishes of the people and I believe it to be proper to give at this time an accounting of the first year of our new stewardship.

Immediately after the Board was reorganized a year ago we found ourselves confronted with a deficit of $1,400,000 in the revenues required to finance the program of expenditures which had been authorized for the fiscal year 1925-26. This was due to an erroneous compilation of the budget and the tax rate by the Finance Committee of the preceding Board of Supervisors. By careful economy the present Board succeeded in wiping out this deficit during the first six months of the calendar year just ended. This was done without impeding the normal progress of our City or seriously interfering with the development of a single important civic project.

The budget for the present fiscal year, 1926-27, was fixed at $33,284,382, representing a reduction of more than $1,700,000 from the budget total of the preceding year. As a result of this budget, which was unanimously adopted and signed by the Mayor without the change of a single item, the tax rate for the current year was fixed at $3.66, which is 47 cents less than the $4.13 rate in effect last year. Today the property owners of San Francisco are enjoying an average reduction of 12 per cent in their tax bills as compared with last year. It is a matter of gratification to us to know that this is the first year in more than two decades when the annual budget total has been fixed below that of the previous year and that the present reduction of our tax rate is the greatest in our City's history.

I am also happy to report that, despite these unprecedented reductions, our present budget and tax rate will produce more money for schools, street repair and construction, and the maintenance of our parks and playgrounds than ever before.

One of the most important public undertakings initiated by this Board of Supervisors is a scientific reappraisal of the real property of the City and County for the purpose of equalizing the assessment rolls. It is a matter of common knowledge that, during a long period of years, inequalities in assessments have accumulated throughout the City, with the result that a large number of property owners have been and are now paying more than their just proportion of the taxes necessary to maintain our municipal government. When these inequalities are wiped out there is every reason to expect that the tax bills of a great majority of San Francisco property owners can again be reduced. The reappraisal has been in progress since the first of the fiscal year and is now well advanced toward completion. It has been made at public conferences and mass meetings of interested citizens in every section of San Francisco and the valuations placed upon property represent a concensus of opinions

of the property owners themselves. This democratic method of evaluing property for taxation—which is based upon the ideal of taxation with direct representation—has been successfully adopted in a number of other large cities. It has invariably resulted both in an increase of the assessment roll and a reduction of the tax rate, while commanding the almost unanimous support of the property owners who helped to put it into effect.

The principal excuse offered by our predecessors in office for their failure to make progress in municipal ownership of public utilities was that the charter limitation upon bonded indebtedness rendered it impossible for them to raise the necessary money. The present Board of Supervisors, at the very earliest legal opportunity, submitted a charter amendment, patterned after the laws of New York and other large cities, exempting water bonds from the municipal debt limit. This amendment was approved by the people, with an emphatic vote, at last November's election, and will undoubtedly be ratified early in the session of the State Legislature which opens today. Ratification of this amendment will open the way for the acquisition of the Spring Valley Water properties, the completion of the municipal power project and the Hetch Hetchy Water aqueducts, for needed extensions of the Municipal Railway and for the purchase, in due time, of all privately-owned street railway lines. I think I can speak for a majority of my colleagues in pledging that we will present to the people the necessary legislation to complete these projects without delay.

In anticipation of the expiration within the next few years of the important franchises of the Market Street Railways and other privately-owner street car lines, this Board has authorized the appointment of a Citizens' Advisory Committee to cooperate with us in a survey and study of the street railway problem, so that the City may be in readiness to act promptly and intelligently when the franchises expire.

This Board has devoted more time and study, during the year just ended, to consideration of the necessity for a bridge across San Francisco Bay than has been expended in all the prior years. I predict that we will be able to take, in the immediate future, definite steps towards actual commencement of this vastly important project.

Reverting for a moment to the present fiscal budget and the progressive projects which it has made possible, we desire to call attention to the fact that purchase of large acreages of land for the site of the new John McLaren Park in the Mission District has already been effected; that the site for the new War Memorial in the Civic Center has been cleared and construction work is about to begin; that the money is available for the permanent repair of the Fine Arts Palace on the Exposition site, which will be undertaken in the near future under the direction of the Exposition Preservation League; that the purchase of a municipal airport is now under active consideration by the Board and will undoubtedly be effected shortly after the new Airport Charter Amendment is ratified; that greater progress has been made in installing traffic signals and safety devices on our streets during the present year than ever in the past, and that the program of street construction and repair, which will be completed this year, is far more extensive than any in the City's history. This year's budget has also provided, in compliance with the urgent request of leaders in philanthropic and charitable work, a substantial increase in the appropriation for the maintenance of indigent minors.

I believe that this recital of facts justifies us in reporting to the people who elected us that we have kept faith with them. Our pledge of economy and tax reduction has been fulfilled this year, and I am confident that we can adhere to it successfully in the future. We have taken every necessary step to hasten the accomplishment of our municipal ownership program, and the ratification of the new charter amendment will make it possible, within the present year, to carry an important part of that program into effect. San Francisco today is on the threshhold of its greatest era of progress and development. We dedicate ourselves anew to the pledges made a year ago, and trust that we may be able to contribute, by conscientious service, to the realization of our City's splendid destiny.

SPECIAL ORDER—11 A. M.

Minority Report of Supervisor Deasy on Lease of 333 Kearny Street.

December 29, 1926.

Hon. Board of Supervisors, City Hall, City.

Gentlemen:

Your minority of the Building

Committee beg leave to report to your Honorable Board a resolution passed unanimously by the Board of Supervisors July 17, 1926, No. 25899, requesting the Board of Public Works to confer with the Chief of Police to determine the amount of additional space required in the Hall of Justice for the growing needs of the Police Department and to report to the Board their findings.

On August 28, 1926. the Chief of Police advised the Board in the following letter:

"Please be advised that the Police Department can occupy all the rooms vacated by the District Attorney, Public Defender, Probation Officer and their respective staffs, which are located in the Hall of Justice, at Kearny and Washington streets.

"Said premises can be utilized and occupied immediately without any alterations for some time, therefore I would respectfully request that proper authorization be granted to this department for the occupancy of the above mentioned space."

I therefore recommend to the Board of Supervisors that the vacant space now existing in the Hall of Justice be assigned to the Police Department in accordance with their letter to the Board of August 28, 1926.

Yours truly,
C. J. DEASY.

Supervisor Deasy's Resolution.

Whereas, the certain rooms situate in the Hall of Justice heretofore occupied by the District Attorney, the Public Defender and the Adult Probation Officer have been vacant since the removal of the said offices and officials to premises at No. 333 Kearny street and are still vacant and unoccupied; and

Whereas, the Chief of the Police Department, by communication heretofore filed, set forth that the said vacated premises, if made available, could be occupied and utilized by the Police Department without any alterations being made therein; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the said vacated rooms in the Hall of Justice, situated mainly on the third and fourth floors and to be more specifically specified by their respective numbers be and the same are hereby assigned to the Police Department for its use and purposes.

Majority Report.

San Francisco,
December 27, 1926.

To the Honorable Board of Supervisors, City and County of San Francisco.

Gentlemen:

Your majority committee on Public Buildings and Lands, to which was referred the resolution terminating the lease by the City of premises known as 333 Kearny street, now occupied by the District Attorney, Public Defender, the Adult Probation Officer and the Grand Jury, on the 1st day of May, 1927, and directing the said herein named officials to be reinstated in the Hall of Justice, would respectfully report that careful consideration has been given to the subject matter expressed in the resolution, taking into consideration that the alterations heretofore ordered and now under progress in the Hall of Justice will be completed within a period of about 90 days, and will, in the opinion of your committee, more adequately accommodate the hereinbefore referred to officials of the City and County.

Your committee has realized the inconvenience to the public by reason of the removal of the said officials from the Hall of Justice, with the thought of remedying such conditions at the earliest opportunity.

Your committee feels that it is a necessity, by reason of the duties of the District Attorney, the Public Defender and the Adult Probation Officer, that such offices should be in the Hall of Justice, contiguous to the court located therein. With this aim in view your committee would respectfully report in favor of the passing of the resolution cancelling the present lease of the premises No. 333 Kearny street, to take effect the 1st day of May, 1927, and of directing the removal of the hereinbefore mentioned offices and respective officials to the Hall of Justice, and to occupy the certain rooms hereinafter to be designated and assigned to them by the Public Buildings and Lands Committee of your Board.

Very respectfully,
CHAS. J. POWERS.
LEWIS F. BYINGTON.

Supervisor Powers Presents:

Whereas, in the month of ——— a resolution was introduced by a Special Committee and passed by a majority vote of the Board of Supervisors, directing the District Attorney, Public Defender, Adult Pro-

bation Officer and the County Grand Jury to move from their rooms in the Hall of Justice to No. 333 Kearny street; and

Whereas, this committee recommended that a lease be entered into between the Board of Supervisors and Louis T. Samuels for said property at a rental of 15 cents per square foot, amounting to $74,081.25, for a term of five (5) years, with a stipulation that the lease can be cancelled by giving ninety (90) days' notice to said owner; and

Whereas, since entering into this lease alterations have been made in the Hall of Justice which will provide for 7591 square feet of floor space, together with 4870 square feet now unoccupied, making a total of 12,461 square feet, which is more than sufficient to take care of the needs of the Police Department and of the other departments now occupying temporary quarters at No. 333 Kearny street; and

Whereas, the service rendered to the public has been very inadequate, owing to the distance of several blocks between the Hall of Justice and No. 333 Kearny street, and numerous complaints have been made; and

Whereas, the expenditure of $74,081.25 for the rental of these offices is a direct wastage of public funds and should terminate as soon as possible; and

Whereas, these alterations will be completed in about ninety (90) days from date and far better offices and accommodations will be provided for the District Attorney, the Public Defender, the Adult Probation Officer and the County Grand Jury in the Hall of Justice than they now have at No. 333 Kearny street; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the cancellation clause in the lease between the City and County of San Francisco and the owner of the building known as No. 333 Kearny street, entered into on the 2d day of June, 1926, be terminated on the 1st day of May, 1927, and that the District Attorney, Public Defender, Adult Probation Officer and the County Grand Jury be directed to move from their offices at No. 333 Kearny street to the Hall of Justice and occupy rooms as designated by the Public Buildings and Lands Committee of this Board, and that proper furnishings and equipment be placed in said rooms.

SPECIAL ORDERS—11 A. M.

Bridge Hearings.

The following matters were taken up:

Applications for Franchises to Construct Bridges Across San Francisco Bay.

Application of Bay Cities Bridge Corporation for a franchise for a toll bridge between San Francisco and Alameda.

Proposed rail and highway crossing for San Francisco Bay from the foot of Sixteenth street, San Francisco, to the foot of Pacific avenue, Alameda.

Beginning at the intersection of the center line of Sixteenth street with the center line of Third (Kentucky) street, in the City and County of San Francisco, and extending thence easterly along the center line of Sixteenth street to the waterfront line; thence easterly along a straight line to a point on the center line of Pacific avenue, in the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, which point is one hundred feet westerly from the intersection of said center line of Pacific avenue with the center line of Bryant street.

That the length of said bridge is 27,750 feet and that the width of the roadway on said bridge is 38 feet.

Filed April 6, 1925.

DUDLEY D. SALES,
Attorney.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, January* 17, 1927, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Gallagher—2.

Application of Elbert W. Davis for a franchise for a toll bridge between San Franicsco and Alameda.

Across San Franicsco Bay, beginning at a point in the City and County of San Francisco on the bulkhead line of San Francisco Harbor between Piers 26 and 28, and running approximately north 67 degrees 45 minutes east 17,962 feet, more or less, to a point in the City of Oakland, County of Alameda, State of California, on the easterly shore of San Francisco Bay.

That the length of said bridge is to be 17,962 feet and that the

breadth thereof is to be 65 feet, more or less.

Filed June 29, 1925.

JAMES M. OLIVER,
Attorney.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, January* 17, 1927, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Gallagher—2.

Application of John Bermingham, Jr., 250 Cervantes boulevard, for a franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge across San Francisco Bay between the City and County of San Francisco and the County of Alameda.

Beginning at the intersection of the center line of Seventh and Mission streets, in the City and County of San Francisco, along Seventh street to Seventh and Sixteenth; thence in a southeasterly direction to Nineteenth and Third; thence in a southerly direction along Third street to the intersection of Third street and Jerrold avenue and waterfront; thence proceeding in a northerly direction, crossing San Francisco Bay to a point from which a branch will extend 4500 feet easterly to the intersection of Pacific avenue and Bryant street, in the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California; thence from said aforementioned intersecting point proceeding in a northerly direction, crossing the Oakland Estuary, to the intersection of Peralta street and Seventh street, in the City of Oakland, County of Alameda, State of California.

That the total length of said bridge, approaches thereto and branch thereof, aforementioned, is 65,450 feet, that the width of said bridge is ninety (90) feet.

Filed September 28, 1925.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, January* 17, 1927, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Gallagher—2.

Application of A. M. Bergstrom for a franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge across San Francisco Bay between the City and County of San Francisco and the County of Alameda.

That the said toll bridge is to be located across the Bay of San Francisco along the following described line, to-wit:

Beginning at a point in San Francisco at about the foot of Davidson avenue, on Hunter's Point, and running northerly sixty-two (62) degrees east four and four-tenths (4.4) miles; thence on a one (1) degree curve to the north one and six-tenths (1.6) miles to true north to a point on the easterly shore of the Bay of San Francisco at about the foot of Webster street, in the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California.

That the length of said bridge is to be six (6) miles and the breadth is to be sixty (60) feet, more or less.

Filed September 28, 1925.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, January* 17, 1927, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Gallagher—2.

Application of New York and San Francisco Development Company for franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge between San Francisco and Alameda.

Bridge to be constructed across San Francisco Bay from a point therein at or near the junction of Evans avenue and Boalt street, Hunter's Point, in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to or near the junction of South High street and Washington street, in the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California; that the length of said bridge is approximately six and one-half miles from shore line to shore line, and that its breadth or width is approximately from 60 to 90 feet.

Filed January 11, 1926.

ROTHCHILD & GOLDEN,
Attorneys.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued*

until Monday, January 17, 1927, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Gallagher—2.

Application of Roy C. Hackley for a franchise to construct a toll bridge between San Francisco, Pier 26, and Alameda County.

Beginning at a point in the City and County of San Francisco on the bulkhead line of San Francisco Harbor, south of and adjacent to Pier 26, and running approximately north 61 degrees east and fifty-three hundred feet, more or less; thence running approximately north 89 degrees east five thousand feet, more or less; thence running approximately north 59 degrees 30 minutes east eight thousand feet, more or less, to a point in the City of Oakland, County of Alameda, State of California, on the easterly shore of said San Francisco Bay.

That the length of said bridge is to be eighteen thousand three hundred feet (18,300) and that the breadth thereof is to be 78 feet, more or less.

Roy A. Bronson and E. D. Bronson, Jr., attorneys, 68 Post street.

Filed February 6, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, January* 17, 1927, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Gallagher—2.

Two applications of the Construction Company of North America for a franchise to construct and open a toll bridge between San Francisco and Alameda County.

Commencing at a point in said City and County of San Francisco near the corner of First and Bryant streets, on what is known as Rincon Hill, and running thence on less than a two-degree curve a distance of approximately 1700 lineal feet to the line of bulkhead wharf connecting Piers 30 and 32 on the waterfront of the said City and County of San Francisco; thence on a line approximately north 65 degrees east 21,000 lineal feet, more or less, to the Oakland Mole of the Southern Pacific Company in Alameda County.

That the length of said bridge is to be 4½ miles and that the width thereof is to be approximately 78 feet.

ROBERT J. McGAHIE,
Attorney, Mills Building.

First application filed February 15, 1926.

Second application field April 5, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, January* 17, 1927, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Gallagher—2.

Application of Allan C. Rush for a franchise to construct a toll bridge between San Francisco and Alameda County.

Construction of a suspension bridge from the City and County of San Francisco over and across Goat Island to the east shore of the Bay of San Francisco, comprising three main double traffic track entrance therein, and being of a height of two hundred feet above high tide, between all bridge piers in the waters of San Francisco Channel, in which channel the bridge will be suspended over "but three piers," twenty-four hundred feet apart, thus in nowise impeding the traffic of the waters of the Bay.

Filed June 19, 1926.

ALLAN C. RUSH,
1632 McCullom street, Los Angeles, California.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, January* 17, 1927, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Gallagher—2.

Application of Robert Lee Dunn, 778 Monadnock Building, for a franchise to construct a toll bridge along the following described line, to-wit:

Beginning at the intersection of the center line of Second street with the center line of Berry street, in the City and County of San Francisco and extending thence easterly to the waterfront line; thence easterly along a straight line to the intersection of the center lines of Pacific avenue and Bryant street, City of Alameda, California.

Length of said bridge is twenty-six thousand feet; that the width of the roadway on said bridge is eighty feet.

Filed June 21, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, January* 17, 1927, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Gallagher—2.

Application of Edward A. Bertram for a franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge to be located, to-wit:

Beginning at Twelfth and Howard streets, San Francisco, by 1½ per cent viaduct to Beale and Howard streets; thence to Southern Pacific Mole, Oakland; thence by 1½ per cent viaduct to West Fourteenth street level, and Sixteenth Street Railroad Station.

The length of said bridge proper is 21,000 feet and its width of roadway is 63 feet.

Filed June 21, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, January* 17, 1927, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Gallagher—2.

Application of R. S. Chew for franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge or bridges.

The said proposed bridge or bridges are to be located and are described as follows:

The westerly end of a bridge and the approaches thereto, which will connect with public streets, are to be located in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California (which location is in the county situated on the left bank descending of the Bay of San Francisco, across which said bridge is to be constructed), and at a point therein at or near the summit of an eminence known as Telegraph Hill; from this point the bridge will project easterly a distance of nine thousand feet (more or less) to the westerly side of Yerba Buena (or Goat) Island; thence easterly across said island a roadway or viaduct will be constructed for a distance of four thousand feet (more or less) to its easterly side; from thence there will be projected in an easterly direction a distance of twenty-two thousand feet (more or less), a bridge structure, viaduct, causeway and/or fill to suitable connections with lines or railway and public highways in Alameda County, State of California.

Filed June 28, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, January* 17, 1927, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Gallagher—2.

Application of Inter-Cities Bridge Company for a franchise to construct, maintain and operate a toll bridge across the Bay of San Francisco and over the following route:

Beginning at the intersection of Broadway and Battery street, in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and extending thence easterly to the waterfront; thence on a line approximately north 60 degrees east to the westerly point of Yerba Buena or Goat Island; thence by contour meander to the easterly point of Yerba Buena or Goat Island; thence on a line approximately north 75 degrees to the foot of Yerba Buena street, in the City of Oakland, County of Alameda, State of California.

That the length of said bridge, inclusive of approximately 4100 feet on ground support over Yerba Buena or Goat Island, and 5670 feet to be supported by an earth fill mole in the approach to the said Oakland terminal, is to be approximately 27,000 feet. That the width of said bridge is to be approximately 120 feet, providing two 20-foot vehicular lanes and accommo-

dations for four lines of electric transportation tracks.

Filed July 12, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, January 17, 1927, at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Gallagher—2.

Application of G. Lindenthal for franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge.

Said bridge is to be located along the following described line:

Commencing at a point in the center line of Jerrold avenue, in the City and County of San Francisco, about midway between Ship street and Dock street, in said City and County of San Francisco; thence on a curve easterly and northerly a distance of 30,000 feet, more or less, to the southerly foot of Webster street, in the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California.

The width of said bridge at the easterly end thereof for approximately 16,000 feet will be 75 feet, and the width of the remaining portion thereof will be approximately 40 feet. The length of said bridge will be approximately 30,000 feet.

Filed August 2, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, January 17, 1927, at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Gallagher—2.

Preliminary application of Fowler Engineering Corporation for a franchise for the building of a bridge from Telegraph Hill to Goat Island, 3 2000-foot spans.

Filed July 28, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, January 17, 1927, at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Gallagher—2.

Application of W. F. Holcomb for franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge across San Francisco Bay between the City and County of San Francisco and Alameda County.

Beginning at or near and within one hundred feet northerly or southerly of the intersection of the center line of Second street with the center line of Berry street, in the City and County of San Francisco and extending thence easterly to the waterfront line; thence easterly along a straight line to, at or near and within one hundred feet northerly or southerly of the intersection of the center lines of Pacific avenue and Bryant street, City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California.

That the length of said bridge will be twenty-six thousand feet; that the width of the roadway on said bridge will be eighty-nine feet.

Filed August 9, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, January 17, 1927, at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Gallagher—2.

Application of Robert E. Fitzgerald for franchise to erect, maintain and operate and take tolls on a toll bridge over and across the Bay of San Francisco. The location of said bridge is described as follows:

The center line of said bridge will commence at a point on The Embarcadero of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, at a point approximately midway between Vallejo street and Broadway street; thence extending on a line approximately north 60 degrees east to the westerly point of Yerba Buena Island, also known as Goat Island; thence following the contour of said island to a point on the easterly shore thereof where said shore line would be intersected by a center line of Seventh street in the City of Oakland, if produced, upon its present course as

the course of said street now exists along the northerly line of Oakland Mole; thence easterly along said line of said street, if so produced, to the center line of said Seventh street at the present westerly end thereof.

Filed August 9, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, January* 17, 1927, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Gallagher—2.

Application of Thos. E. Slaven, filed August 16, 1926, for franchise to construct, maintain and operate a toll bridge. Said bridge is to be constructed over and across the Bay of San Francisco, and over the following route:

The location of said bridge is particularly described as follows: The center line of said bridge will commence at a point on The Embarcadero of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, approximately where the center line of said Embarcadero intersects the center line of Pier 7, if produced. Thence extending on a line north approximately 67 degrees 30 minutes east to a point on the southwesterly shore of Yerba Buena or Goat Island; thence easterly following the contour of said island to a point on the easterly shore thereof, located about six hundred feet in a northerly direction from the light house located on the southern portion of said island; thence north eighty-five degrees thirty minutes east, approximately 8700 feet to a point where said line intersects the center line of Seventh street, Oakland, if produced, upon its present course as the course of said street now exists along the northern line of Oakland Mole; thence easterly along said line of said street, if so produced, to the center line of said Seventh street at the present westerly end thereof.

The length of said bridge, exclusive of land approaches, being approximately 9300 feet west of said island and approximately 10,400 feet east of said island, and the breadth of said bridge being approximately 115 feet.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, January* 17, 1927, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Gallagher—2.

Application of E. D. Bronson, Jr., for a franchise for a toll bridge across San Francisco Bay.

Filed August 16, 1926.

Beginning at a point in the City and County of San Francisco on the bulkhead line of San Francisco Harbor, south of and adjacent to Pier 26, and running north approximately 68 degrees east seventeen thousand nine hundred fifty feet (17,950'), more or less, to a point in the City of Oakland, County of Alameda, State of California, on the easterly shore of said San Francisco Bay; and the said toll bridge to be approximately seventeen thousand nine hundred fifty (17,950') feet in length and one hundred ten (110') feet in breadth, more or less.

Roy A. Bronson, E. D. Bronson, Jr., attorneys at law, 68 Post street, Room 403, San Francisco, California.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, January* 17, 1927, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Gallagher—2.

Tunnels.

Application of O. A. Ellis for franchise for two tunnels under the Bay of San Francisco from San Francisco to Oakland.

One of the tunnels will be for interurban street car traffic, with double track, and the San Francisco terminal between Third and Fourth streets on Harrison street.

The other tunnel will be for auto and railroad traffic, with San Francisco terminal between Second and Third streets on Harrison street.

The Oakland terminal will be at Seventh and Cedar streets.

Filed June 25, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued*

until Monday, January 17, 1927, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Gallagher—2.

Mission Rock Starting Point for Bay Bridge.

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, there are pending before the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco various applications for franchises to construct and operate toll bridges over and across the Bay of San Francisco from various points in the City and County of San Francisco to various points in the County of Alameda; and

Whereas, no application has been made to construct or operate a bridge from Mission Rock in the City and County of San Francisco to any point in the County of Alameda; and

Whereas, it appears to the Board of Supervisors that a toll bridge over and across the Bay of San Francisco from Mission Rock to some suitable point in the County of Alameda will best serve the people of San Francisco and that Mission Rock is the most feasible and logical starting point for a transbay bridge between San Francisco and Alameda; and now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors does hereby select Mission Rock as the best and most feasible starting point for a transbay bridge between San Francisco and the County of Alameda and does hereby designate said site as the point in San Francisco from which the first transbay bridge shall be constructed; and be it

Further Resolved, That all persons, firms and corporations who have heretofore made application for a franchise to construct and operate transbay toll bridges between San Francisco and Alameda County, as well as all other persons interested be invited to present to this Board of Supervisors applications, plans and specifications for a franchise for a transbay toll bridge from Mission Rock in the City and County of San Francisco to some point in the County of Alameda.

Referred to Special Bridge Committee.

UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

Final Passage.

The following matters, heretofore passed for printing, were taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Authorizations.

Resolution No. 26642 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

Park Fund.

(1) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., gas and electric service for parks (claim dated Dec. 24, 1926), $1,352.49.

(2) Spring Valley Water Co., water for parks (claim dated Dec. 24, 1926), $1,290.67.

School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923.

(3) D. N. & E. Walter Co., first and final payment for window and door shades for LeConte School (claim dated Dec. 20, 1926), $676.50.

Hetch Hetchy Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1925.

(4) Hercules Powder Co., explosives for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Dec. 21, 1926), $1,448.36.

(5) J. F. Mitchell Machine and Gear Works, machinery parts for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Dec. 21, 1926), $549.

County Road Fund.

(6) Meyer Bros., furnishing fill for widening of Portola Drive (claim dated Dec. 16, 1926), $863.25.

Tearing-Up Sstreets Fund.

(7) Gladding, McBean & Co., sewer pipe for sidesewers (claim dated Dec. 20, 1926), $525.

Municipal Railway Fund.

(8) Market Street Railway Co., electric power furnished Municipal Railways, and reimbursement for November under agreement of Dec. 12, 1918 (claim dated Dec. 18, 1926), $4,570.88.

Auditorium Fund.

(9) Peter D. Conley, services as ticket seller, expense paid, etc., in connection with Municipal Pop Concerts, for period August 1 to December 31, 1926 (claim dated Dec. 20, 1926), $666.50.

(10) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., gas and electric service furnished the Auditorium for November (claim dated Dec. 15, 1926), $595.59.

General Fund, 1926-1927.

(11) A. Carlisle & Co., printing and stationery for departments

(claim dated Dec. 27, 1926), $750.50.

(12) California Printing Co., printing for departments (claim dated Dec. 27, 1926), $604.55.

(13) Neal, Stratford & Kerr, printing for departments (claim dated Dec. 22, 1926), $1,992.05.

(14) Hall, Glocker & Prost, furniture for departments (claim dated Dec. 22, 1926), $629.30.

(15) Hall, Glocker & Prost, furniture for departments (claim dated Dec. 22, 1926), $536.20.

(16) Rucker, Fuller Desk Co., furniture for departments (claim dated Dec. 22, 1926), $763.10.

(17) Brandt Automatic Cashier Co., equipment for departments of the Tax Collector and the Assessor (claim dated Dec. 22, 1926), $1,230.25.

(18) F. W. Wentworth & Co., furniture for departments (claim dated Dec. 22, 1926), $767.85.

(19) Park Commission, to reimburse Park Fund, for labor and water furnished and used in Civic Center (claim dated Dec. 24, 1926), $735.43.

(20) Crown Oil Co., gasoline for Police Dept. (claim dated Dec. 20, 1926), $1,078.78.

(21) San Francisco Bulletin, official advertising (claim dated Dec. 27, 1926), $1,681.95.

(22) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., lighting public buildings (claim dated Dec. 16, 1926), $4,458.57.

(23) H. F. Dugan, drugs for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Dec. 23, 1926), $1,543.10.

(24) Jacobson, Reimers Co., groceries for S. F. Hospital (claim dated Dec. 23, 1926), $705.60.

(25) Sussman, Wormser & Co., groceries for S. F. Hospital (claim dated Dec. 23, 1926), $1,109.

(26) Del Monte Meat Co., meats, S. F. Hospital (claim dated Dec. 23, 1926), $1,019.77.

(27) California Meat Co., meats, S. F. Hospital (claim dated Dec. 23, 1926), $928.74.

(28) Morris & Co., butter, S. F. Hospital (claim dated Dec. 23, 1926), $1,887.10.

(29) Wilsey-Bennett Co., eggs, S. F. Hospital (claim dated Dec. 23, 1926), $2,598.30.

(30) L. Dinkelspiel Co., sheets for S. F. Hospital (claim dated Dec. 23, 1926), $1,823.

(31) Mangrum & Otter, dishwashing machine, S. F. Hospital (claim dated Dec. 23, 1926), $894.15.

(32) Edward McRoskey Mattress Co., mattresses for S. F. Hospital (claim dated Dec. 23, 1926), $7,860.

(33) E. J. Treacy, first payment for installing safety zone buttons (claim dated Dec. 22, 1926), $2,082.50.

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Gallagher—2.

Appropriation, $5,993.74, Refund Tax Judgments.

Resolution No. 26643 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $5,993.74 be and the same is hereby authorized in payment out of Tax Judgments—Appropriation 59, Fiscal Year 1926-1927, to Heller, Ehrman, White & McAuliffe, attorneys and agents for and in behalf of judgment creditors; being payment of one-tenth of judgments plus interest. (Claim dated Dec. 13, 1926.)

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Gallagher—2.

Authorizations.

Resolution No. 26646 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

Hetch Hetchy Bonds, Issue 1925.

(1) City Coal Co., coal for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Dec. 23, 1926), $726.69.

(2) J. E. French Company, one Dodge Brothers auto, for use as ambulance, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Dec. 24, 1926), $1,363.

(3) Hercules Powder Co., explosives, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Dec. 23, 1926), $2,332.58.

(4) Makins Produce Co., eggs, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Dec. 23, 1926), $653.25.

(5) J. H. McCallum, lumber, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Dec. 23, 1926), $573.53.

(6) J. F. Mitchell Machine and Gear Works, machinery parts, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Dec. 23, 1926), $573.75.

(7) Panama Lamp and Commercial Co., electric lamps, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Dec. 23, 1926), $907.20.

(8) Standard Oil Co., gasoline, etc., Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Dec. 23, 1926), $826.11.

(9) Seattle Frog and Switch Co., rail switches, frogs, etc., Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Dec. 24, 1926), $635.37.

(10) The White Company, White truck parts, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Dec. 23, 1926), $647.46.

Tubercular Sanitarium Fund.

(11) M. E. Ryan, first payment, electrical work on Tuberculosis Preventorium (claim dated Dec. 28, 1926), $3,044.01.

Special School Tax.

(12) Samuel Lightner Hyman and A. Appleton, third payment, architectural services for addition to Madison School (claim dated Dec. 28, 1926), $529.41.

(13) Thos. Skelly, first payment, plumbing work for addition to Commodore Sloat School (claim dated Dec. 27, 1926), $1,236.75.

(14) George H. Tray Co., urinal stalls for schools (claim dated Dec. 28, 1926), $852.

School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923.

(15) L. Flatland, final payment, electrical work, addition to High School of Commerce (claim dated Dec. 28, 1926), $3,283.62.

(16) A. Lettich, final payment, plumbing work, addition to High School of Commerce (claim dated Dec. 27, 1926), $1,613.60.

(17) Jas. L. McLaughlin Co., final payment, general construction of Anza Street (Lafayette) School (claim dated Dec. 28, 1926), $84,-982.75.

(18) R. W. Moller, fourth payment, general construction of Mission (Everett) Junior High School (claim dated Dec. 27, 1926), $18,-946.27.

(19) Macdonald & Kahn, seventeenth payment, general construction of new Mission High School (claim dated Dec. 29, 1926), $23,-605.38.

Playground Fund.

(20) Phil B. Bekeart Co., playground balls (claim dated Dec. 28, 1926), $1,395.50.

(21) J. L. Stuart Mfg. Co., furnishing and installing curtains for playgrounds (claim dated Dec. 28, 1926), $635.25.

General Fund, 1925-1926.

(22) Frank J. McHugh, first payment, City's portion for widening of Clement street from Arguello boulevard to Funston avenue (claim dated Dec. 28, 1926), $4,700.

Auditorium Fund.

(23) Selby C. Oppenheimer, for services of Julia Claussen, soloist at Pop Concert of December 3, 1926 (claim dated Jan. 3, 1927), $900.

General Fund, 1926-1927.

(24) Patrick & Co., license plates (claim dated Jan. 3, 1927), $2,-562.07.

(25) Ming Cheung et al., refund of erroneous assessment tax (claim dated Dec. 22, 1926), $732.

(26) California Rock Co., gravel for street repair (claim dated Dec. 28, 1926), $1,012.59.

(27) A. Ginocchio & Son, coal for street repair (claim dated Dec. 28, 1926), $612.63.

(28) Jas. E. Lennon Lime and Cement Co., cement for street repair (claim dated Dec. 28, 1926), $1,-796.73.

(29) Photostat Corporation, photostat paper for Bureau of Engineering (claim dated Dec. 28, 1926), $526.80.

(30) Symon Brothers, team hire for street cleaning (claim dated Dec. 23, 1926), $747.50.

(31) Western Lime and Cement Co., cement for sewer repair (claim dated Dec. 22, 1926), $677.50.

(32) McCarthy Bros., coffee for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Nov. 30, 1926), $637.88.

(33) Dodge, Sweeney & Co., groceries, S. F. Hospital (claim dated Nov. 30, 1926), $841.46.

(34) South San Francisco Packing and Provision Co., meats for S. F. Hospital (claim dated Nov. 30, 1926), $942.02.

(35) San Francisco Dairy Co., milk, etc., for S. F. Hospital (claim dated Nov. 30, 1926), $3,894.90.

(36) Oliva Brothers, potatoes, etc., for S. F. Hospital (claim dated Nov. 30, 1926), $796.51.

(37) Sussman-Wormser Co., sugar for S. F. Hospital (claim dated Nov. 30, 1926), $1,695.56.

(38) L. Dinkelspiel Co., dry goods, S. F. Hospital (claim dated Nov. 30, 1926), $535.10.

(39) A. P. Hotaling & Co., bandages for S. F. Hospital (claim dated Nov. 30, 1926), $870.

(40) Travers Surgical Co., gauze for S. F. Hospital (claim dated Nov. 30, 1926), $2,367.

(41) Haas Bros., groceries for S. F. Hospital (claim dated Nov. 30, 1926), $663.34.

(42) Spring Valley Water Co., water for Relief Home (claim dated Nov. 30, 1926), $761.28.

(43) California Meat Co., meats, Relief Home (claim dated Nov. 30, 1926), $703.34.

(44) Del Monte Meat Co., meats, Relief Home (claim dated Nov. 30, 1926), $2,640.58.

(45) Department of Public Works,

labor furnished Relief Home (claim dated Dec. 3, 1926), $556.95.

(46) C. Nauman & Co., vegetables, Relief Home (claim dated Nov. 30, 1926), $918.27.

(47) O'Brien, Spotorno & Mitchell, turkeys for Relief Home (claim dated Nov. 30, 1926), $1,093.56.

(48) Sussman-Wormser Co., sugar for Relief Home (claim dated Nov. 30, 1926), $1,131.03.

Special School Tax.

(49) W. P. Fuller & Co., paints for schools (claim dated Dec. 23, 1926), $653.50.

Relief Home Bonds, Issue 1923.

(50) C. F. Webber & Co., office furniture for new Relief Home (claim dated Nov. 30, 1926), $1,061.21.

Maintenance of Aquarium—Appropriation 57.

(51) California Academy of Sciences, maintenance of Steinhart Aquarium, month of December, 1926 (claim dated Jan. 3, 1927), $3,819.

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Gallagher—2.

Appropriation, $12,200, Payment to John J. Lanahan for Land Required for Playground Purposes.

Resolution No. 26647 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $12.200 be and the same is hereby authorized in payment out of Playground Fund to John J. Lanahan and Margarget Lanahan, being payment for lands required for playground purposes, as follows, to-wit:

Commencing at point of intersection of the northerly line of Minerva street and the easterly line of Capitol avenue, running thence easterly on Minerva street 100 feet; thence at right angle northerly 125 feet; thence at right angle easterly 50 feet; thence at right angle southerly 125 feet to the northerly line of Minerva street; thence at a right angle easterly along Minerva street 50 feet; thence at right angle northerly 250 feet to the southerly line of Montana street; thence at right angle westerly along Montana street 200 feet to the easterly line of Capitol avenue; thence at right angle southerly along Capitol avenue 250 feet to the point of commencement. Being all of Lot No. 1, Block "S", Railroad Homestead Association, and all of Lots 1, 2, 3, 4, 7 and 8 in Block "S", Railroad Homestead Association No. 2. (Claim dated Dec. 29, 1926.)

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Gallagher—2.

Appropriation Out of County Road Fund for Widening San Jose Avenue and Grand View Avenue.

Resolution No. 26648 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside and appropriated out of County Road Fund, and authorized in payment to the hereinafter named persons, being payments for properties required for road widenings, to-wit:

(1) To Anna Castagnetto, for property beginning at intersection of southwesterly line of Cotter street with southeasterly line of San Jose avenue, running thence southwesterly along the southeasterly line of San Jose avenue 101.700 feet to the southwesterly boundary line of the Demartini Tract, of irregular dimensions, and being portions of Lots 1, 2, 3 and 4 of the Demartini Tract; as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 26587 (New Series), required for widening of San Jose avenue, $2,700.

(2) To Myra L. Fuller, as administratrix of the estate of James Park Quirk, deceased, for Lot 181 of Heyman Tract, as recorded on pages 158 and 159 of Map Book "E" and "F", records of the City and County of San Francisco; as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 26591 (New Series), and required for the widening of Grand View avenue, $600.

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Gallagher—2.

Appropriation, $2,520, Increased Wage for Corporals of Police.

Resolution No. 26649 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $2,520 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of General Fund, 1926-1927, to the credit of Police Department, Personal Services, Appropriation 42-A, to provide the increased wage for twenty-eight corporals of police advanced from the position of police patrolmen,

being for the balance of the fiscal year beginning January 1, 1927.

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Gallagher—2.

Oil and Boiler Permits.

Resolution No. 26650 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits be and the same are hereby granted:

Oil Tanks.

H. A. Balintine, 30 Santa Clara avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

Excelsior School, Excelsior and London streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

Laguna Honda School, Seventh avenue, Irving and Judah streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

Longfellow School, southwest corner of Lowell and Morse streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

Frank McCoppin School, Seventh avenue, Balboa and Cabrillo streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

Bryant School, Bryant, Twenty-second and Twenty-third streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

Cleveland School, Persia avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

Denman School, Hayes and Pierce streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

Kate Kennedy School, Thirtieth and Noe streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

Farragut School, Holloway, Capitol and Faxon avenues, 1500 gallons capacity.

Joachim and Juliette Dumas northeast corner of Hampshire and Mariposa streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

Mercantile Trust Company of California, northeast corner of Twenty-second and Mission streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

Mary Petersen, east side of Buena Vista avenue, 80 feet north of Waller street, 1500 gallons capacity.

W. S. Ray Manufacturing Company, 170 Sutter street, two 600-gallon capacity tanks for demonstration purposes.

Boilers.

Standard Filter Company, 850 Ellis street, 3½ horse-power.

Traung Label and Lithograph Company, Inc., southeast corner of Battery and Pacific streets, 50 horsepower.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits shall become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Gallagher—2.

Resolution No. 26651 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits be and the same are hereby granted:

Oil Tanks.

E. L. Bareilley, 3070 Jackson street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Christiansen Bros., southeast corner of Bay and Divisadero streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

City and County of San Francisco, south side of Union street between Franklin and Gough streets (Sherman School), 1500 gallons capacity.

I. Epp, southeast corner of Scott street and Capra way, 1500 gallons capacity.

Chas. A. Johnson, northeast corner of Pierce street and Toledo way, 1500 gallons capacity.

P. C. Katz, 71 Parker avenue, 750 gallons capacity.

H. Keenan, southwest corner of Turk and Hyde streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

W. S. King, north side of Haight street, 137 feet 6 inches east of Steiner street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Marian Realty Company, southeast corner of Gough and Clay streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

R. Miller, north side of Golden Gate avenue, 200 feet west of Divisadero street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Oil-O-Matic Company of California, southwest corner of Broadway and Broderick street, 1500 gallons capacity.

M. O'Neill, south side of Pacific avenue, 250 feet west of Divisadero street, 1500 gallons capacity.

V. Rasmussen, east side of Pierce street, 100 feet north of Alhambra street, 1500 gallons capacity.

William Staller, west side of Fillmore street, 200 feet north of Chestnut street, 1500 gallons capacity.

R. J. Stempel, west side of Fillmore street, 226 feet north of Chestnut street, 1500 gallons capacity.

R. J. Stempel, southwest corner of Beach and Fillmore streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

L. D. Stoff, southeast corner of Twenty-sixth avenue and Lake street, 1500 gallons capacity.

T. B. Strand, south side of Filbert street, 155 feet west of Franklin street, 1500 gallons capacity.

E. G. Sullivan, 1448 Sacramento street, 600 gallons capacity.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits shall become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Gallagher—2.

Amending Zoning Ordinance, Van Ness Avenue South of Washington Street.

Bill No. 7870, Ordinance No. 7380 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings, and the locations of buildings designed for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Section 2 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to extend the Light Industrial classification of the lot on the westerly side of Van Ness avenue, commencing at a point 72 feet southerly from Washington street and running thence southerly 50 feet, to a depth of 211 feet and 9 inches.

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Gallagher—2.

Amending Zoning Ordinance, Grant Avenue and Filbert Street.

Bill No. 7868, Ordinance No. 7382 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings, and the locations of buildings designed for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Section 1 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place the northwest corner of Grant avenue and Filbert street, extending 46 feet 6 inches on Grant avenue and 85 feet on Filbert street, in the Commercial District instead of in the Second Residential District.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

No—Supervisor Badaracco—1.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Rereferred.

The following resolution was ordered *rereferred to the Finance Committee*:

Appropriation, $20,000, Transcontinental Highways Exposition.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy:

Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the State of Nevada invites the world to participate in a Nevada Transcontinental Highways Exposition, to be held at Reno, Nevada, June 25 to July 31, 1927; and

Whereas, Nevada's Transcontinental Highways Exposition will celebrate the completion of the great highway systems across the continent; and

Whereas, San Francisco will be greatly benefited as a result of the completion of this great highway project; traffic and trade relations will be brought two days nearer our doors than by present facilities; therefore, be it

Resolved, That San Francisco participate in this Nevada Transcontinental Highways Exposition and that the sum of twenty thousand dollars ($20,000) be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of the Publicity and Advertising Fund, Budget Item No. ——, Fiscal Year 1926-1927, and that the Public Welfare Committee be and it is hereby authorized to make the necessary arrangements for participation in this Nevada Transcontinental Highways Exposition.

PRESENTATION OF BILLS AND ACCOUNTS.

Your Finance Committee, having examined miscellaneous demands not required by law to be passed to print, and amounting to $49,477.07, recommends same be allowed and ordered paid.

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, By-

ington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Gallagher—2.

NEW BUSINESS.

Auditorium Rentals.

Supervisor Havenner presented:

Resolution No. 26652 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following persons and organizations be and they are hereby granted permission to occupy the Civic Auditorium on the dates hereinafter mentioned, the necessary fee having been deposited with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, to-wit:

January 18 and 19, 1927, Frank Healy, Chaliapin, "Barber of Seville," Main Hall, 6 to 12 p. m.

January 20, 1927, Alfred Hertz, Municipal Symphony, Main Hall, 6 to 12 p. m.

January 21, 1927, Community Chest banquet, George Filmer, chairman, Polk Hall, 6 to 12 p. m.

January 22, 1927, Butchers' Union, ball, Main Hall, 6 to 12 p. m.

January 23, 1927, Elywn Concert Bureau, Main Hall, 6 to 12 p. m.

January 25 to February 8, 1927, George Wahlgren, manager Auto Show, Main, Polk and Larkin halls.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes— Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Gallagher—2.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Authorizations.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

Park Fund.

(1) De Graff Bros. Co., tulip and hyacinth bulbs for parks (claim dated Jan. 7, 1927), $950.

(2) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., installing underground service in Fleishhacker Playfield (claim dated Jan. 7, 1927), $520.56.

(3) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., installing underground service for Harding Park (claim dated Jan. 7, 1927), $2,124.08.

Playground Fund.

(4) Standard Fence Co., installing fence at Excelsior Playground (claim dated Jan. 5, 1927), $841.15.

School Bonds, Issue 1918.

(5) Fred Medart Mfg. Co., gymnasium equipment, High School of Commerce (claim dated Jan. 4, 1927), $1,244.08.

Special School Tax.

(6) S. W. Band, eighth payment, plumbing and gas-fitting, additional units to Galileo High School (claim dated Jan. 4, 1927), $1,233.75.

(7) P. J. Enright, fifth payment, mechanical equipment, additional units to Galileo High School (claim dated Jan. 4, 1927), $2,880.04.

(8) Mahony Bros., third payment, addition to Commodore Sloat School (claim dated Jan. 4, 1927), $7,851.30.

(9) Knittle Bros., first payment, mechanical equipment, addition to Madison School (claim dated Jan. 4, 1927), $800.44.

(10) E. K. Nelson, first payment, general construction, addition to Madison School (claim dated Jan. 4, 1927), $8,043.38.

County Road Fund.

(11) Fay Improvement Co., second payment, improvement of Geneva avenue, Prague street to County Line (claim dated Jan. 4, 1927), $4,500.

(12) James M. Smith, fourth payment, improvement and extension of Market street from Mono to Ord streets (claim dated Jan. 4, 1927), $4,950.

Fire Department Land and Construction Fund.

(13) Elliott & Grant, fourth payment, general construction of Fire Department Engine House No. 19 (claim dated Jan. 4, 1927), $2,532.41.

Sunset Tunnel Assessment Fund.

(14) Leonard F. Youdall and R. G. Clifford (Youdall Construction Co.), seventh payment, construction of Sunset Tunnel (claim dated Jan. 4, 1927), $53,836.50.

Tubercular Sanitarium Fund.

(15) Ajax Construction Co., second payment, water supply system for Tuberculosis Preventorium (claim dated Jan. 4, 1927), $1,835.25.

(16) Latourette-Fical Co., first payment, plumbing work, Tuberculosis Preventorium (claim dated Jan. 4, 1927), $8,745.75.

(17) Reilly & Nemetz, second payment, general construction, Tuberculosis Preventorium (claim dated Jan. 4, 1927), $29,440.96.

Relief Home Bonds, Issue 1923.

(18) Frederick W. Snook Co., nineteenth payment, mechanical equipment, new Relief Home (claim dated Jan. 4, 1927), $3,009.99.

(19) Frederick W. Snook Co., twenty-third payment, plumbing and gas-fitting, new Relief Home (claim dated Jan. 4, 1927), $2,780.62.

School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923.

(20) Grant & Hart, installing gate at Alvarado School yard (claim dated Dec. 30, 1926), $830.

(21) Latourette-Fical Co., third payment, plumbing work for West Portal School (claim dated Jan. 4, 1927), $2,145.23.

(22) Latourette-Fical Co., final payment, mechanical equipment for Anza street (Lafayette) School (claim dated Jan. 4, 1927), $5,278.14.

(23) Latourette-Fical Co., fourteenth payment, mechanical equipment for new Mission High School (claim dated Jan. 4, 1927), $1,024.24.

(24) Butte Electrical Equipment Co., final payment, electrical work for Anza Street (Lafayette) School (claim dated Jan. 4, 1927), $2,510.45.

(25) Anderson & Ringrose, first payment, general construction of Hearst-Moulder School (claim dated Jan. 4, 1927), $12,544.87.

(26) O. Monson, seventh payment, general construction of West Portal School (claim dated Jan. 4, 1927), $6,828.75.

(27) Latourette-Fical Co., first payment, plumbing and gas-fitting, Mission (Everett) Junior High School (claim dated Jan. 4, 1927), $7,262.10.

(28) L. Flatland, first payment, electrical work, additions to Sunnyside School (claim dated Jan. 4, 1927), $1,338.75.

(29) A. Lettich, final payment, plumbing for Anza Street (Lafayette) School (claim dated Jan. 4, 1927), $500.

(30) Amoroso & Damico, seventh payment, general construction, Edison School (claim dated Jan. 4, 1927), $12,708.37.

(31) O. Monson, fourth payment, general construction, addition to Francis Scott Key School (claim dated Jan. 4, 1927), $5,572.50.

(32) F. L. Hansen, eleventh payment, general construction, Henry Durant School (claim dated Jan. 4, 1927), $7,884.94.

(33) A. Lettich, fifth payment, mechanical equipment, annex to Guadalupe School (claim dated Jan. 4, 1927), $1,064.63.

(34) Anderson & Ringrose, third payment, general construction, annex to Guadalupe School (claim dated Jan. 4, 1927), $22,575.

Special School Tax.

(35) O. Monson, eighth payment, general construction, additional units to Galileo High School (claim dated Jan. 4, 1927), $25,852.50.

Hetch Hetchy Operative Revenue Fund.

(36) Railroad Commission of the State of California, for expense of valuation of electric properties of Pacific Gas and Electric Co. and Great Western Power Co. (claim dated Jan. 10, 1927), $2,500.

Hetch Hetchy Water Bonds, Issue 1925.

(37) Ingersoll-Rand Co. of California, machinery parts, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Dec. 29, 1926), $2,424.42.

(38) United States Rubber Co., rubber supplies, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Dec. 29, 1926), $503.55.

(39) Associated Oil Co., oils for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Dec. 30, 1926), $503.57.

(40) The Giant Powder Co., Con., explosives for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Dec. 30, 1926), $5,250.

(41) Hercules Powder Co., explosives for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Dec. 30, 1926), $850.97.

(42) John A. Roebling's Sons Co. of California, wire for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Dec. 30, 1926), $743.26.

Hetch Hetchy Power Operative Fund.

(43) Pope & Talbot, lumber (claim dated Dec. 30, 1926), $563.45.

General Fund, 1926-1927.

(44) The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company, printing and publishing of Law and Motion and Trial Calendars, etc. (claim dated Jan. 10, 1927), $1,075.

(45) San Francisco Bulletin, official advertising, Board of Supervisors (claim dated Jan. 10, 1927), $506.92.

(46) San Francisco Bulletin, official advertising, Board of Public Works (claim dated Jan. 5, 1927), $556.87.

(47) San Francisco Society for the Prevention of Cruelty to Animals, impounding, feeding, etc., of animals (claim dated Jan. 10, 1927), $1,250.

(48) Jewish Community Center, refund of taxes and penalties paid on exempt church property (claim dated Jan. 10. 1927), $1,322.54.

(49) James Rolph, Jr., Mayor's incidentals for January (claim dated Jan. 10, 1927), $625.

(50) Daniel J. O'Brien, police contingent expense (claim dated Jan. 1, 1927), $750.

(51) Feeble-minded Department of Juvenile Court, salaries and expenses for months of November and December, 1926 (claim dated Jan. 4, 1927), $709.95.

(52) Eaton & Smith, first payment, improvement of Sutro Monument and grounds (claim dated Jan. 4, 1927), $3,600.

(53) John Spargo, second payment, additions in lightwell of Hall of Justice (claim dated Jan. 4, 1927), $1,107.19.

(54) Schultz Construction Co., third payment, hauling and laying high-pressure water mains in Butchertown District (claim dated Jan. 4, 1927), $9,000.

(55) Western Rock Products Co., sand for street repair (claim dated Jan. 4, 1927), $1,797.32.

(56) W. R. Ames Co., street cans for street cleaning (claim dated Jan. 4, 1927), $548.

(57) California Petroleum Corp., fuel oil for Civic Center power house (claim dated Jan. 4, 1927), $1,035.60.

(58) Langendorf Baking Co., bread, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Nov. 30, 1926), $1,090.35.

(59) California Petroleum Co., fuel oil, Relief Home (claim dated Nov. 30, 1926), $1,587.92.

(60) J. T. Freitas Co. Inc., butter and eggs, Relief Home (claim dated Nov. 30, 1926), $2,351.10.

(61) San Francisco Dairy Co., milk, Relief Home (claim dated Nov. 30, 1926), $1,947.68.

(62) Louis Strauss, Inc., men's clothing, Relief Home (claim dated Nov. 30, 1926), $778.50.

(63) The Hobart Manufacturing Co., food chopper, mixer, etc., for Relief Home (claim dated Nov. 30, 1926), $1,202.10.

(64) Walter G. Preddey, motiograph projector for Relief Home (claim dated Nov. 30, 1926), $1,262.28.

(65) Levi Strauss & Co., spreads for Relief Home (claim dated Nov. 30, 1926), $1,110.

Publicity and Advertising (Appropriation 55.)

(66) Rudolph G. Theurkauf, one floral float designed and executed for the Pasadena Tournament of Roses, January 1, 1927, for the publicity and advertising of San Francisco (claim dated Jan. 10, 1927), $1,000.

General Fund, 1926-1927.

(67) Spring Valley Water Co., water service, Fire Department hydrants for month of December, 1926 (claim dated Dec. 29, 1926), $14,412.60.

Appropriating $6,836.61 in Payment of Tax Refund Judgments.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $6,836.61 be and the same is hereby authorized in payment out of "Tax Judgments," Appropriation 59, to Tobin & Tobin, attorneys for and in behalf of judgment creditors, as per schedule attached to claim; being fourth installment of one-tenth of said judgments with interest. (Claim dated Jan. 4, 1927.) Approved by the City Attorney.

Appropriation, $9,465, Payment to Higgins & Sons for land required for the Widening of Alemany Boulevard.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $9,465 be and the same is hereby authorized in payment to Higgins & Sons out of $45,000 appropriated out of County Road Fund by Resolution No. 26338 (New Series) for lands required for the widening of Alemany avenue; said lands being particularly described in acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 26636 (New Series. (Claim dated Jan. 5, 1927.)

Ordering Construction of Sewers in Noriega Street Between Twenty-fourth and Twenty-ninth Avenues.

The following matter was taken up:

Bill No. 7877, Ordinance No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Authorizing the preparation of plans and specifications for the construction of a reinforced concrete sewer in Noriega street between Twenty-fourth and Twenty-ninth avenues, and ordering the construction of a reinforced concrete sewer in Noriega street between Twenty-fourth and Twenty-ninth avenues in accordance with plans and specifications prepared therefor; authorizing and directing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for said sewer construction.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized, instructed and empowered to prepare plans and specifications for the construction of a reinforced concrete sewer in Noriega street between Twenty-fourth and Twenty-ninth avenues, and to enter into contract

for the construction of a reinforced concrete sewer in Noriega street between Twenty-fourth and Twenty-ninth avenues in accordance with plans and specifications prepared therefor.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Motion.

Supervisor Colman moved reference to the City Planning Commission.

Motion *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Harrelson, Hayden, Powers —5.

Noes — Supervisors Badaracco, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —12.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Final Passage.

Whereupon, the foregoing bill was *finally passed* by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —15.

Noes—Supervisors Byington, Powers—2.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Appropriations for the Improvement of the Lower Road of Great Highway, Equipment, Relief Home, Painting, Eetc., Police Courts, District Attorney, Etc.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned funds for the following purposes, to-wit:

County Road Fund.

(1) For the paving of the lower road of the Great Highway, Ocean Beach, $15,000.

Relief Home Equipment, Budget Item No. 64.

(2) For the purchase of miscellaneous equipment for the new Relief Home, $4,163.27.

Repairs to Public Buildings, Budget Item No. 68.

(3) For cleaning and painting, etc., in Police Court rooms, rooms of District Attorney, Police Department, elevator shafts, etc., at Hall of Justice, $2,475.

Settlement of Claims, Hetch Hetchy Development Work.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 26653 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, upon recommendation of the City Engineer and City Attorney, that the offer of the following named persons to waive all claims for damages which they may have against the City and County of San Francisco by reason of the drying up of springs and loss of water on their ranch, situated in the County of Tuolumne, State of California, possibly due to the construction of the Hetch Hetchy aqueduct tunnel, for the sum set forth opposite their names, viz.:

Robert L. Milligan and Emma Milligan, $300—Lots 7, 8, 9 and 10, in Section 33, Township 1 South, Range 16 East M. D. B. and M., containing 154.98 acres, be and the same is hereby accepted.

The City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to supervise the payment of said sum to said claimants upon the receipt of a deed conveying to the City and County of San Francisco a clear title to the right to all of such waters as may hereafter seep or percolate from the said ranch into said tunnel, and file the same for record, with a copy of this resolution attached thereto as evidence of acceptance by the City and County of San Francisco, upon payment of the agreed purchase price.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Gallagher—2.

Accepting Offer of Catherine Hobbs Glatt et al., to Sell Land Required for the Widening of Garfield Street.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 26654 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the owners of the following described lands sought to be acquired by the City and County of San Francisco for the widening of Garfield street, from Orizaba avenue to Junipero Serra boulevard, have offered to convey the properties desired by the City and County of San Francisco for the sums set forth opposite their names, viz.:

Catherine Hobbs Glatt, $60—Beginning at the point of intersection of the easterly line of Ramsell street with the southerly line of Garfield street, and running thence easterly along the southerly line of Garfield street 50 feet; thence at right angles southerly 12 feet; thence at right angles westerly 50

feet to a point on the easterly line of Ramsell street, distant thereon 12 feet southerly from the southerly line of Garfield street; thence at right angles northerly along the easterly line of Ramsell street 12 feet to the point of beginning. Being portion of City Land Association Block No. 35.

Henry C. Schmalz and Florinda E. Schmalz, $30—Beginning at a point on the southerly line of Garfield street, distant thereon 75 feet westerly from the westerly line of Head street, and running thence westerly along said southerly line of Garfield street 25 feet; thence at a right angle southerly 12 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 25 feet, and thence at a right angle northerly 12 feet to the point of beginning. Being a portion of Lot No. 29, Block No. 44, City Land Association, as per map thereof filed August 24, 1870, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, in Book "C" and "D" of Maps, page 11.

Whereas, the City Attorney has recommended the acceptance of the said offers and the acquisition of the properties owned by said persons and offered to the City and County of San Francisco for the prices set forth, which said prices are in accordance with the City's appraisement of the properties; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the said offers of sale be accepted and the City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to examine the titles of said properties, and, if the same are found in satisfactory condition, to accept, in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, deeds conveying title thereto, and file the same for record with a copy of this resolution attached thereto as evidence of acceptance by the City and County of San Francisco upon payment of the agreed purchase prices.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes— Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Gallagher—2.

Appropriation, $468.26, for Equipment, Emergency Hospital.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 26655 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $468.26 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of "Equipment, Emergency Hospital," Budget Item No. 85, for the purchase of equipment for the Emergency Hospitals.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes— Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Gallagher—2.

Cancellation of Demands.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 26656 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That demand, Auditor's Number 3871, drawn in favor of John S. Drum, in the sum of $300,000, dated December 7, 1925, and demand, Auditor's Number 3385, drawn in favor of Wells Fargo Bank and Trust Company, in the sum of $51,000, dated November 9, 1925, and demand, Auditor's Number 11, drawn in favor of the Mercantile Trust Company, in the sum of $290,000, dated April, 1925, be and each of them is hereby cancelled; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Auditor be and is hereby authorized and directed to cancel said demands hereinabove described.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes— Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Gallagher—2.

Action Deferred.

The following matter was *laid over one week*:

Appropriation, $851.84, Payment to Crocker National Bank, Fiscal Agent.

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $851.84 be and is hereby appropriated out of Urgent Necessity, Budget Item No. 25, and authorized in payment to Crocker National Bank of San Francisco; being reimbursement for clerk hire and payments made as fiscal agents for account of City and County bonds and bond interest. (Claim dated Dec. 23, 1926.)

Cancellation of Duplicate Assessments.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 26657 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the Auditor has reported the following duplicate assessments for 1926, and recommends their cancellation; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Auditor be

authorized to cancel such duplicate assessments, viz.:

Vol. 17, page 168, line 9, Chris Nelson & Co., No. 1058 Folsom street, personal property in the sum of $175, amount of tax unpaid $7.23, being duplicate assessment, should be cancelled. For duplicate see Vol. 17, page 95, line 49, Nelson & Co., 1058 Folsom street.

Vol. 17, page 42, line 16, T. A. Fisher, 2118 Fillmore, 547 Masonic avenue, personal property in the sum of $205, tax unpaid $8.47, being a duplicate assessment, should be cancelled. For duplicate see Vol. 5, page 185, line 27, T. A. Fisher, same address.

Vol. 17, page 6, line 14, Dr. J. D. Ball, 2308 Divisadero street, personal property in the sum of $785, tax unpaid $32.42, being a duplicate assessment, should be cancelled. For duplicate see Vol. 2, page 20, line 28, Dr. Jau Don Ball, same address.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes— Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Ronconvieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Gallagher—2.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Amending Zoning Ordinance Placing West Side of Thirtieth Avenue Between Escolta Way and Wawona Street in the Second Residential District Instead of the First Residential District.

On motion of Supervisor Hayden:

Bill No. 7871, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series) entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings, and the locations of buildings designed for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Sections 5 and 14 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, are hereby ordered changed so as to place the westerly side of Thirtieth avenue between Escolta way and Wawona street, for a depth of 210 feet, in the Second Residential District instead of the First Residential District.

Amending Zoning Ordinance Placing Northeast Corner of Sloat Boulevard and Forty-fifth Avenue in the Commercial District Instead of the First Residential District.

Also, Bill No. 7872, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings, and the locations of buildings designed for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Sections 5 and 14 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, are hereby ordered changed so as to place the northeast corner of Sloat boulevard and Forty-fifth avenue, for a distance of 44.277 feet along Forty-fifth avenue and a distance of 120 feet along Sloat boulevard, in the Commercial District instead of the First Residential District.

Action Deferred.

The following bill was presented by Supervisor Hayden and *laid over one week*:

Amending Zoning Ordinance Placing the Southerly Side of California Street Westerly from Van Ness Avenue in the Light Industrial District Instead of the Second Residential District.

Bill No. ——, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings, and the locations of buildings designed for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

That Section 2 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, be changed so as to place the southerly side of California street, commencing at a point 134 feet westerly from Van Ness avenue and running thence

westerly a distance of 106 feet 3 inches and extending to the depth of the rear lot lines, in the Light Industrial District instead of in the Second Residential District.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Oil and Boiler Permits.

On motion of Supervisor Deasy:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits be and the same are hereby granted:

Oil Tanks.

Chas. H. Layne, 1430 Clay street, 1500 gallons capacity.

G. L. Nelson, south side of Alhambra street, 168 feet east of Broderick street, 1500 gallons capacity.

F. W. Stephenson, 2546 Jackson street, 1500 gallons capacity.

E. J. Wade, south side of Golden Gate avenue, 96 feet west of Broderick street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Boiler.

Joachim and Juliette Dumas, northeast corner of Hampshire and Mariposa streets, 25 horsepower.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits shall become null and void.

Hand Laundry Permit.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That F. H. Wong be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to operate and maintain a hand laundry at 635 Clay street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Blasting Permits.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Hyman Rosenberg be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to explode blasts on property situate on the west side of San Bruno avenue, 200 feet south of Cortland avenue, for purpose of grading private property and street, provided said permittee shall execute and file a good and sufficient bond in the sum of $5,000, as fixed by the Board of Public Works and approved by his Honor the Mayor, in accordance with Ordinance No. 1204 (New Series); provided, also, that said blasts shall be exploded only between the hours of 7 a. m. and 6 p. m., and that the work of blasting shall be performed to the satisfaction of the Board of Public Works, and that if any of the conditions of this resolution be violated by said Hyman Rosenberg, then the privileges and all rights accruing thereunder shall immediately become null and void.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That J. P. Holland be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to explode blasts on property situate on the east side of Illinois street between Twentieth and Twenty-second streets, provided said permittee shall execute and file a good and sufficient bond in the sum of $10,000, as fixed by the Board of Public Works and approved by his Honor the Mayor, in accordance with Ordinance No. 1204 (New Series); provided, also, that said blasts shall be exploded only between the hours of 7 a. m. and 6 p. m., and that the work of blasting shall be performed to the satisfaction of the Board of Public Works, and that if any of the conditions of this resolution be violated by said J. P. Holland, then the privileges and all rights accruing thereunder shall immediately become null and void.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That R. J. O'Connell be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at the will of the Board of Supervisors, to explode blasts on property situate on the west side of Upper Terrace, 250 feet south of Clifford Terrace, provided said permittee shall execute and file a good and sufficient bond in the sum of $5,000, as fixed by the Board of Public Works and approved by his Honor the Mayor, in accordance with Ordinance No. 1204 (New Series); provided, also, that said blasts shall be exploded only between the hours of 7 a. m. and 6 p. m., and that the work of blasting shall be performed to the satisfaction of the Board of Public Works, and that if any of the conditions of this resolution be violated by said R. J. O'Connell, then the privileges and all rights accruing thereunder shall immediately become null and void.

Automobile Supply Station Permit.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Standard Oil Company of California be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to operate and maintain an automo-

bile supply station on the northwest corner of Twelfth street and Van Ness avenue.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Dyeing and Cleaning Works Permit.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Joachim and Juliette Dumas be and are hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to operate and maintain a cleaning and dyeing works on the northeast corner of Hampshire and Mariposa streets.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Garage Permit.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Alfonse Buckman be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to operate and maintain a public garage at 538 Bush street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Auto Supply Station Permit.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That D. J. Seegelken be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to operate and maintain an automobile supply station on the northeast corner of Twenty-second avenue and Irving street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Accepting Offer of Titsuji Nobusada to Sell Land and Improvements on Twenty-fourth Avenue South of Riveria Street, Required for School Purposes.

Supervisor Powers presented:

Resolution No. 26658 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, an offer has been received from Titsuji Nobusada to convey to the City and County of San Francisco certain land and improvements, situate on the east line of Twenty-fourth avenue, distant 200 feet southerly from Rivera street, required for school purposes; and

Whereas, the price at which said parcel of land and improvements is offered is the reasonable value thereof; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the offer of the said owner to convey to the City and County of San Francisco a good and sufficient fee simple title to the following described land, free of all encumbrances, for the sum of $900, be and the same is hereby accepted, the said land being described as follows, to-wit:

Commencing at a point on the easterly line of Twenty-fourth avenue, distant thereon 200 feet southerly from the southerly line of Rivera street; running thence southerly along said easterly line of Twenty-fourth avenue 25 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 120 feet; thence at a right angle northerly 25 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 120 feet to the easterly line of Twenty-fourth avenue and point of commencement. Being a portion of O. L. Block 1099, also known as Block 2326 on Assessor's Map Book.

The City Attorney is hereby directed to examine the title to said land and, if the same is found to be vested in the aforesaid owner, free of all encumbrances, and that the taxes up to and including the current fiscal year are paid, and that the so-called McEnerney title has been procured or sufficient money reserved for the purpose of procuring the same, to report the result of his examination to the Board of Supervisors, and also to cause a good and sufficient deed for said land to be executed and delivered to the City and County upon payment of the agreed purchase price as aforesaid. And the said deed to said land is hereby accepted.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Gallagher—2.

Street Lights.

Supervisor Stanton presented:

Resolution No. 26659 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Pacific Gas and Electric Company be and it is hereby authorized and requested to remove and install the following street lights:

Install 600 *C. P. Ornamental Brackets.*

Mission street between Cortland avenue and Silver avenue, on each alternate pole.

Install 600 *M. R.*

East side and west side Dolores street between Twenty-third and Twenty-fourth streets.

East side and west side Dolores street between Twenty-third and Twenty-second streets.

Northeast and southwest corners Dolores street and Twenty-third street.

Remove 600 *M. R.*

Mission street between Cortland and Silver avenues.

Remove Gas Lamps.

East side Dolores street, 416 and 207 feet north of Twenty-fourth street.

West side Dolores street, 308 and 95 feet north of Twenty-fourth street.

East side Dolores street, 416 and 216 feet north of Twenty-third street.

West side Dolores street, 106 and 317 feet north of Twenty-third street.

Southeast and northwest corners Dolores and Twenty-third streets.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent — Supervisors Bath, Gallagher—2.

Authorizing Public Utilities Committee to Make Special Study of Methods of Administration of Municipally Owned Public Utilities in Other Cities.

The following resolution heretofore presented by Supervisor Marks and referred to the Public Utilities Committee is returned by said committee with favorable recommendation and *adopted* by the following vote:

Resolution No. 26660 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the ultimate acquisition of all public utilities by the municipality is a part of the organic law of the City and County of San Francisco; and

Whereas, the people have repeatedly expressed themselves in favor of such acquisition and operation, as a result of which many utilities are gradually becoming municipalized; and

Whereas, municipal ownership and operation of public utilities will entail large capital expenditures and will involve problems of administration calling for expert business and financial ability in public officials selected to direct and manage such enterprises; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Public Utilities Committee of the Board of Supervisors be and it is hereby authorized and requested to make special study of a method of administration of municipally-owned public utilities by a commission or commissions, with special attention to such plan of management in other cities, and at its earliest opportunity report its recommendations to the Board.

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent — Supervisors Bath, Gallagher—2.

Passed for Printing.

The following bill was *passed for printing*:

Providing for Sale of City Property.

On motion of Supervisor Powers:

Bill No. 7873, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Providing for the disposal at private sale of certain land belonging to the City and County of San Francisco, in accordance with the provisions of Article II, Chapter II, Section 9 of the Charter.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. That public interest and necessity demand the sale of the following described land owned and held by the City and County of San Francisco, situated within the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and being more particularly described as follows, to-wit:

Parcel "A." Beginning at the point of intersection of the northerly line of Twenty-ninth street with the westerly line of Kenyon avenue; thence along said northerly line of Twenty-ninth street south 85 deg. 24 min. 56 sec. west 560 feet to the easterly line of Stanford Heights avenue; thence along said easterly line of Stanford Heights avenue north 4 deg. 35 min. 4 sec. west 228 feet to the southerly line of Valley street; thence along said southerly line of Valley street north 85 deg. 24 min. 56 sec. east 216.295 feet; thence south 58 deg. 36 min. east 145.267 feet; thence along the arc of a curve to the left with a radius of 545 feet, tangent to the preceding course southeasterly 227.972 feet; thence tangent to the preceding course south 82 deg. 34 min. east 19.846 feet to the aforesaid westerly line of Kenyon avenue; thence along said westerly line of Kenyon avenue south 4 deg. 35 min. 4 sec. east 46.459 feet to the point of beginning. Being part of Block 60, Stanford Heights, and containing 2.071 acres.

Parcel "B." Beginning at the point of intersection of the easterly line of Kenyon avenue with

the northerly line of Twenty-ninth street; thence along said easterly line of Kenyon avenue north 4 deg. 35 min. 4 sec. west 31.822 feet; thence south 84 deg. 34 min. east 152.831 feet to the aforesaid northerly line of Twenty-ninth street; thence along said northerly line of Twenty-ninth street south 85 deg. 24 min. 56 sec. west 149.482 feet to the point of beginning. Being part of Block 61, Stanford Heights, and containing 0.055 acres.

Parcel "C." Beginning at the point of intersection of the northerly line of Thirty-first street with the westerly line of Burnett avenue; thence along said northerly line of Thirty-first street south 85 deg. 24 min. 56 sec. west 560 feet to the easterly line of Twin Peaks avenue; thence along said easterly line of Twin Peaks avenue north 4 deg. 35 min. 4 sec. west 228 feet to the southerly line of Clarkson street; thence along said southerly line of Clarkson street north 85 deg. 24 min. 56 sec. east 413.101 feet; thence south 26 deg. 16 min. east 71.833 feet and south 44 deg. 36 min. east 187.186 feet to the aforesaid westerly line of Burnett avenue; thence along said westerly line of Burnett avenue south 4 deg. 35 min. 4 sec. east 17.889 feet to the point of beginning. Being part of Block 90, Stanford Heights, and containing 2.528 acres.

Section 2. Said parcels of land shall be sold together for cash, in lawful money of the United States of America, at a private sale to be held in accordance with the provisions of Article II, Chapter II, Section 9 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 3. The Clerk of the Board of Supervisors is hereby directed to publish in the official newspaper and in one other daily newspaper published in this City and County for two weeks successive next before the day on or after which the sale is to be made, a notice of such sale describing the land to be sold with common certainty.

Section 4. The Mayor, Assessor and the chairman of the Finance Committee of the Board of Supervisors are hereby directed to appraise said land within three weeks after the final passage of this ordinance as required by Article II, Chapter II, Section 9 of the Charter.

Section 5. Upon receipt and examination of bids or offers for said land hereinabove described, the Mayor shall accept the highest bid made, provided said bid be for at least ninety (90) per cent of the value found by said appraisers, and shall immediately thereafter, at the next meeting of the Supervisors, report the fact of such sale to the Supervisors, with a statement of the sum bid and the name of the highest bidder, with a request that the Board confirm such sale.

Section 6. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Extension of Time, Safety Zone Buttons.

Supervisor Todd presented:

Resolution No. 26661 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That E. J. Treacy be granted an extension of ninety (90) days' time from December 19, 1926, within which to complete his contract for the installation of safety zone buttons. This extension is granted on the recommendation of the Board of Public Works and for the reason that the delay has been due to the buttons being galvanized.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Gallagher—2.

Cancellation of Certificates of Sale, Properties Sold for the Widening of Virginia Avenue.

Supervisor Marks presented:

Resolution No. 26662 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the Board of Public Works has reported that certain lots struck off and sold to the City and County of San Francisco, as purchaser, at an auction sale June 23, 1924, in connection with the assessment for the widening of Virginia avenue between Mission and Coleridge streets, have been redeemed and the full redemption price has been paid; therefore, be it

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of said Board of Public Works, the original certificate of sale of the said lots of land be and the same are hereby cancelled. Certificate and subdivision numbers on report and plat on file in the office of the Board of Public Works.

Certificate No. 1, Subdivision No. 30; 3, 33; 4, 34; 5, 42; 6, 63; 7, 99; 8, 100; 9, 101; 10, 102; 11, 103; 12, 117; 13, 124; 14, 128; 15, 129; 16, 135; 17, 139; 18, 142; 19, 151; 20, 185; 21, 187; 22, 188; 23, 189; 24, 201; 26, 220; 27, 229; 28, 240; 29, 241; 30, 242; 31, 257; 32, 268; 33, 276; 34, 280; 35, 299; 36, 300; 37, 310; 38, 315; 40, 317; 41, 318; 45, 328; 46, 329; 47, 330; 48, 331; 49,

339; 50, 352; 51, 365; 52, 366; 53, 370; 57, 377; 58, 378; 59, 379; 60, 380; 61, 381; 62, 382; 63, 383; 64, 384; 66, 388; 67, 389; 70, 397; 71, 398; 73, 403; 74, 404; 75, 405; 76, 406; 77, 407.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes— Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Gallagher—2.

Cancellation of Certificates of Sale.

Supervisor Marks presented:

Resolution No. 26663 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the Board of Public Works has reported that certain lots struck off and sold to the City and County of San Francisco, as purchaser, at an auction sale August 30, 1917, in connection with the assessment for the widening of Circular avenue between Diamond street and Sunnyside avenue, have been redeemed and the full redemption price has been paid; therefore, be it

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of said Board of Public Works, the original certificates of sale of the said lots of land be and the same are hereby cancelled. Certificate and subdivision numbers on report and plat on file in the office of the Board of Public Works.

Certificate No. 1, Subdivision No. 4; 2, 12; 3, 13; 4, 14; 5, 25; 6, 40; 7, 41; 8, 43; 9, 44; 10, 58; 11, 64; 12, 72; 13, 75; 14, 79; 15, 80; 16, 82; 17, 83; 19, 105; 20, 106; 21, 108; 22, 109; 23, 110; 24, 111; 25, 112; 26, 113; 27, 114; 28, 115; 29, 116; 30, 117; 31, 118; 32, 119; 33, 120; 34, 121; 35, 122; 36, 123; 37, 124; 38, 125; 39, 126; 40, 128; 41, 132; 42, 133; 43, 140; 44, 141; 45, 142; 46, 148; 49, 160; 52, 166; 53, 167; 54, 171; 55, 172; 60, 182; 61, 203; 62, 208; 63, 209; 64, 220; 65, 227; 66, 229; 67, 234; 68, 288; 69, 289; 70, 290; 71, 291; 72, 292; 73, 293; 74, 294; 75, 295; 76, 296; 77, 297; 78, 298; 79, 299; 80, 300; 81, 301; 82, 302; 83, 303; 84, 304; 85, 311; 87, 325; 88, 326; 89, 327; 90, 329; 91, 334; 92, 335; 93, 336; 94, 337; 95, 338; 96, 339; 97, 340; 98, 341; 99, 342; 100, 343; 101, 344; 102, 345; 103, 346; 104, 347; 105, 348; 106, 349; 107, 350; 108, 351; 109, 352; 110, 353; 111, 354; 112, 356; 113, 357; 114, 360; 115, 361; 116, 363; 117, 364; 118, 367; 119, 368; 120, 369; 121, 370; 122, 371; 123, 372; 124, 377; 125, 381; 128, 412; 129, 419; 130, 422; 131, 423; 132, 426; 133, 431; 133-A, 442; 134, 446; 135, 447; 136, 448; 137, 449; 138, 461; 139, 466; 140, 470; 141, 471; 142, 474; 143, 475; 144, 478; 145, 479; 146, 484; 147, 485; 148, 489; 149, 490; 151, 509; 152, 536; 153, 540; 154, 572; 155, 576; 156, 584; 157, 589; 160, 599; 161, 600; 162, 603; 170, 619; 171, 620; 172, 621; 173, 627; 174, 629; 175, 634; 176, 643; 177, 648; 178, 652; 179, 654; 180, 664; 181, 665; 185, 670; 186, 674; 187, 676; 188, 677; 202, 695; 205, 703; 206, 706; 207, 707; 211, 745; 212, 755; 213, 756; 214, 758; 215, 759; 226, 796; 228, 800; 229, 804; 230, 805; 231, 806; 238, 831; 239, 865; 240, 871; 241, 872; 242, 873; 243, 874; 244, 875; 245, 876; 246, 877; 247, 878; 248, 879; 249, 880; 250, 881; 251, 882; 252, 883; 253, 884; 254, 887; 255, 896; 256, 900; 257, 932; 258, 935; 259, 936; 260, 937; 261, 965; 264, 974; 265, 983; 266, 984; 267, 985; 275, 1023; 276, 1027; 277, 1029; 278, 1051; 279, 1058; 280, 1059; 281, 1065; 282, 1213; 283, 1214; 284, 1215; 289, 1267; 290, 1268; 291, 1269; 292, 1270; 293, 1271; 294, 1272; 295, 1276; 296, 1277; 297, 1278; 298, 1279; 299, 1280; 300, 1281; 301, 1282; 302, 1283; 303, 1284; 304, 1285; 305, 1286; 306, 1287; 307, 1288; 308, 1289; 309, 1290; 310, 1291; 311, 1295; 312, 1296; 313, 1298; 314, 1299; 315, 1300; 316, 1301; 317, 1302; 318, 1303; 319, 1304; 320, 1305; 321, 1306; 322, 1307; 323, 1309; 324, 1310; 325, 1311; 326, 1312; 327, 1313; 328, 1314; 329, 1315; 330, 1399; 331, 1410; 332, 1414; 333, 1432; 334, 1433; 335, 1435; 336, 1436; 337, 1437; 338, 1438; 339, 1467; 340, 1468; 341, 1469; 342, 1518; 343, 1519; 344, 1523; 345, 1524; 346, 1525; 347, 1526; 349, 1531; 350, 1534; 351, 1540; 352, 1546; 353, 1547; 354, 1556; 355, 1566; 356, 1567; 358, 1590; 359, 1596; 360, 1612; 361, 1614; 362, 1618; 363, 1619; 364, 1622; 365, 1625; 366, 1630; 377, 1751; 378, 1762; 379, 1765; 380, 1766; 381, 1777; 382, 1781; 383, 1789; 384, 1790; 385, 1791; 386, 1794; 387, 1795; 388, 1804; 389, 1805; 390, 1832; 391, 1833; 392, 1837; 393, 1838; 394, 1839; 395, 1874; 405, 1965; 406, 1966; 407, 1967; 408, 1969; 409, 1977; 410, 1978; 415, 2014; 416, 2021; 417, 2025; 418, 2026; 425, 2087; 426, 2090; 427, 2091; 428, 2095; 429, 2096; 432, 2110; 433, 2111; 434, 2122; 442, 2197; 443, 2208; 444, 2220; 448, 2375; 449, 2378; 450, 2382; 451, 2410; 452, 2411; 453, 2414; 454, 2415; 455, 2416; 456, 2420; 457,

PUBLIC LIBRARY

2421; 458, 2422; 459, 2509; 460, 2510; 461, 2534; 286, 1245; 227, 798.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes— Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Gallagher—2.

Cancellation of Certificates of Sale, Extension of Vulcan Street.

Supervisor Marks presented:

Resolution No. 26664 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the Board of Public Works has reported that Lots Nos. 2 and 5, struck off and sold to the City and County of San Francisco, as purchaser, at an auction sale November 4, 1914, in connection with the assessment for the extension of Vulcan street from its present easterly termination easterly to the westerly line of Ord street, has been redeemed and the full redemption price has been paid; therefore, be it

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of said Board of Public Works the original certificate of sale No. 1, Lot No. 2, and certificate of sale No. 4, Lot 5, as shown on plat on file in the office of the Board of Public Works be and the same are hereby cancelled.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes— Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Gallagher—2.

Cancellation of Certificates of Sale, Extension of Saturn Street.

Supervisor Marks presented:

Resolution No. 26665 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the Board of Public Work has reported that certain lots struck off and sold to the City and County of San Francisco, as purchaser, at an auction sale August 28, 1917 in connection with the assessment for the extension of Saturn street from its present easterly termination easterly to the westerly line of Ord street, have been redeemed and the full redemption price has been paid; therefore, be it

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of said Board of Public Works, the original certificate of sale of the following lots of land be and the same are hereby cancelled. Certificate and subdivision numbers on report and plat on file in the office of the Board of Public Works.

Certificate No. 1, Subdivision No. 1; Certificate No. 2, Subdivision No. 2; Certificate No. 3, Subdivision No. 3; Certificate No. 4, Subdivision No. 9; Certificate No. 5, Subdivision No. 10; Certificate No. 7, Subdivision No. 12; Certificate No. 8, Subdivision No. 13; Certificate No. 9, Subdivision No. 22.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes— Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Gallagher—2.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Fixing Sidewalk Widths on Laidley Street.

On motion of Supervisor Marks:

Bill No. 7874, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by amending Sections ninety-one and eight hundred and seventy-six thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled, "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Board of Public Works, filed in this office December 31, 1926, by amending Sections ninety-one and eight hundred and seventy-six thereof, to read as follows:

Section 91. The width of sidewalks on Laidley street between Miguel street and Castro street shall be ten (10) feet.

Section 876. The width of sidewalks on Laidley street between Harry street and Fairmount street shall be ten (10) feet.

The width of sidewalks on Laidley street between Fairmount street and Miguel street shall be as shown on that certain map entitled, "Map of Laidley street between Fairmount street and Miguel street," showing the location of street and curb lines and the width of sidewalks.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths

shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect and be in force from and after its passage.

Establishing Grades, Tuscany Alley.

On motion of Supervisor Marks:

Bill No. 7875, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Establishing grades on Tuscany alley between Lombard street and a line parallel with and 137.50 feet southerly therefrom.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The grades on Tuscany alley between Lombard street and a line parallel with and 137.50 feet southerly therefrom are hereby established at points hereinafter named and at heights above city base as hereinafter stated, in accordance with recommendation of the Board of Public Works, filed December 22, 1926.

Tuscany Alley.

11 feet southerly from Lombard street, 76.00 feet.

69.50 feet southerly from Lombard street, 85.00 feet.

100 feet southerly from Lombard street, 86.00 feet.

137.50 feet southerly from Lombard street, 87.00 feet.

On Tuscany alley between Lombard street and a line parallel with and 137.50 feet southerly therefrom, be established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor and the present official grade of Lombard street at Tuscany alley.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Extension of Time, Improvement of Douglass Street.

Supervisor Marks presented:

Resolution No. 26666 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Keystone Construction Company is hereby granted an extension of sixty days' time from and after January 19, 1927, within which to complete the improvement of Douglass street between Twentieth and Twenty-first streets. The work is well under way, but has been delayed on account of the recent rains.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes— Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson. Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Gallagher—2.

Extension of Time, Laguna Honda Boulevard.

Supervisor Marks presented:

Resolution No. 26667 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That A. E. Hennessey is hereby granted the following extensions of time to complete street work under public contract.

Ninety days from December 23, 1926, to complete improvement of Laguna Honda boulevard between Hernandez avenue and Portola drive. The work is practically completed.

Ninety days' time from December 18, 1926, to complete the improvement of Bluxome street between Fourth and Sixth streets. The contractor is waiting for the railroad company to raise the tracks on Bluxome street.

Ninety days' time from December 18, 1926, to complete the improvement of Wisconsin street between Twenty-second and Twenty-third streets, and of Twenty-second street between Wisconsin and Carolina streets. This extension is requested by the contractor pending the issuance of the acceptance of the work.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes— Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Gallagher—2.

Authorizing the Execution of a Deed by the Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors to Affronting and Adjacent Property Owners to Portions of Streets Closed by Resolution No. 26323 (New Series) in Exchange for Property for New Streets to Be Opened and Widened in Lieu of Such Closed Streets.

Supervisor Marks presented:

Resolution No. 26668 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, this Board on the 18th day of October, 1926 (after proceedings theretofore had, pursuant to the provisions of Chapter III of Article VI of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco), duly adopted Resolution No. 26323 (New Series), closing and abandoning portions of Duncan street, Twenty-eighth street, Valley street, Twenty-ninth street, Day street, Fowler avenue and Stanford Heights avenue, as in said resolution described; and

Whereas, on the 27th day of October, 1926, said resolution was duly approved by the Mayor of the City and County of San Francisco; and

Whereas, the Mercantile Trust Company of California, owner of lands adjacent to or fronting on the aforesaid portions of said streets so closed and abandoned, has offered to convey to the City and County of San Francisco property for the opening and widening of streets in lieu of such portions of streets so closed and abandoned; and

Whereas, said Mercantile Trust Company of California, pursuant to its aforesaid offer, has caused to be made, executed and delivered to said City and County of San Francisco good and sufficient conveyances vesting in said City and County of San Francisco, for street purposes, the title to the parcels of land hereinafter more particularly described in lieu of said portions of said streets so closed and abandoned as hereinbefore recited; and

Whereas, the said parcels of land so conveyed to said City and County of San Francisco as new streets and portions of streets in lieu of those closed and abandoned as aforesaid are more particularly described as follows, to-wit:

Parcel 1. Beginning at a point on the northerly line of Duncan street, distant thereon south 85 deg. 24 min. 56 sec. west 187.827 feet from the westerly line of Stanford Heights avenue; thence along said northerly line of Duncan street south 85 deg. 24 min. 56 sec. west 83.172 feet; thence north 37 deg. 16 min. 18 sec. west 125.824 feet; thence north 52 deg. 43 min. 42 sec. east 29.455 feet; thence north 57 deg. 48 min. 26 sec. east 40.704 feet; thence south 37 deg. 16 min. 18 sec. east 167.138 feet to the point of beginning.

Being part of Block No. 40, Stanford Heights, as per map thereof filed July 18, 1891, in Book "E" and "F" of Maps, pages 154 and 155, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California.

Parcel 2. Beginning at the point of intersection of the southerly line of Valley street with the westerly line of Stanford Heights avenue; thence along said westerly line of Stanford Heights avenue south 4 deg. 35 min. 4 sec. east 228 feet to the northerly line of Twenty-ninth street; thence along said northerly line of Twenty-ninth street south 85 deg. 24 min. 56 sec. west 3.432 feet; thence along the arc of a curve to the left whose center bears south 89 deg. 14 min. 45 sec. west 15 feet from this point northerly 2.696 feet; thence along the arc of a curve to the left with a radius of 549 feet, tangent to the preceding course, northwesterly 220.742 feet; thence along the arc of a curve to the left with a radius of 15 feet, tangent to the preceding course, northwesterly 25.255 feet; thence along the arc of a curve to the right with a radius of 480 feet, tangent to the preceding course, southwesterly 97.094 feet; thence north 4 deg. 35 min. 4 sec. west 45.498 feet to the southerly line of Valley street; thence along said southerly line of Valley street north 85 deg. 24 min. 56 sec. east 181.5 feet to the point of beginning.

Being part of Block No. 59, Stanford Heights, as per map thereof recorded July 18, 1891, in Book "E" and "F" of Maps, pages 154 and 155, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California.

Parcel 3. Beginning at the point of intersection of the southerly line of Duncan street with the easterly line of Fowler avenue; thence along said southerly line of Duncan street north 85 deg. 24 min. 56 sec. east 64.737 feet; thence south 37 deg. 16 min. 18 sec. east 197.053 feet; thence north 52 deg. 43 min. 42 sec. east 307.092 feet to said southerly line of Duncan street; thence along said southerly line of Duncan street north 85 deg. 24 min. 56 sec. east 86.626 feet; thence south 37 deg. 16 min. 18 sec. east 58.216 feet; thence along the arc of a curve to the right with a radius of 225 feet, tangent to the preceding course southeasterly 186.499 feet to the northerly line of Twenty-eighth street; thence along said northerly line of Twenty-eighth street south 85 deg. 24 min. 56 sec. west 73.587 feet; thence along the arc of a curve to the left whose center bears north 72 deg. 48 min. 45 sec. west 155 feet from this point northerly 147.326 feet; thence tangent to the preceding course north 37 deg. 16 min. 18 sec. west 45 feet; thence south 52 deg. 43 min. 42 sec. west 310 feet; thence south 37 deg. 16 min. 18 sec. east 13.851 feet to said northerly line of Twenty-eighth street; thence along said northerly line of Twenty-eighth street south 85 deg. 24 min. 56 sec. west 136.746 feet; thence north 52 deg. 43 min. 42 sec. east 45.09 feet; thence north 37 deg. 16 min. 18 sec. west 188.124 feet; thence south 42 deg. 39 min. 41 sec. west 14.496 feet to the aforesaid easterly line of Fowler avenue; thence along said easterly line of Fowler avenue north 4 deg. 35 min. 4 sec. west 55.161 feet to the point of beginning.

Being part of Block No. 45, Stanford Heights, as per map thereof filed July 18, 1891, in Book "E" and "F" of Maps at pages 154 and 155, in the office of the Recorder of

the City and County of San Francisco, State of California.

Parcel 4. Beginning at the point of intersection of the southerly line of Twenty-eighth street with the easterly line of Fowler avenue; thence along said southerly line of Twenty-eighth street north 85 deg. 24 min. 56 sec. east 85.653 feet; thence south 52 deg. 43 min. 42 sec. west 101.77 feet to said easterly line of Fowler avenue; thence along said easterly line of Fowler avenue north 4 deg. 35 min. 4 sec. west 54.961 feet to the point of beginning.

Being part of Block No. 54, Stanford Heights, as per map thereof filed July 18, 1891, in Book "E" and "F" of Maps at pages 154 and 155, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California.

Parcel 5. Beginning at a point on the easterly line of Fowler avenue, distant thereon north 4 deg. 35 min. 4 sec. west 31.273 feet from the northerly line of Valley street; thence along said easterly line of Fowler avenue north 4 deg. 35 min. 4 sec. west 88.384 feet; thence south 47 deg. 20 min. 19 sec. east 69.559 feet; thence along the arc of a curve to the left with a radius of 420 feet, tangent to the preceding course, southeasterly 107.119 feet to the northerly line of Valley street; thence along said northerly line of Valley street south 85 deg. 24 min. 56 sec. west 99.546 feet; thence along the arc of a curve to the left whose center bears south 59 deg. 52 min. 3 sec. west 15 feet from this point northwesterly 5.534 feet; thence along the arc of a curve to the right with a radius of 480 feet, tangent to the preceding course, northwesterly 32.945 feet; thence tangent to the preceding course north 47 deg. 20 min. 19 sec. west 4.662 feet to the point of beginning.

Being part of Block No. 54, Stanford Heights, as per map thereof filed July 18, 1891, in Book "E" and "F" of Maps at pages 154 and 155, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California.

Parcel 6. Beginning at a point on the southerly line of Twenty-eighth street, distant thereon north 85 deg. 24 min. 56 sec. east 168.935 feet from the easterly line of Fowler avenue; thence along said southerly line of Twenty-eighth street north 85 deg. 24 min. 56 sec. east 93.125 feet; thence along the arc of a curve to the left whose center bears north 33 deg. 48 min. 16 sec. east 155 feet from this point southeasterly 207.704 feet to said southerly line of Twenty-eighth street; thence along said southerly line of Twenty-eighth street north 85 deg. 24 min. 56 sec. east 93.126 feet; thence along the arc of a curve to the right whose center bears north 61 deg. 54 min. 12 sec. west 225 feet from this point southwesterly 24.669 feet; thence along the arc of a curve to the left with a radius of 45 feet, tangent to the preceding course, southerly 56.274 feet; thence tangent to the preceding course, south 37 deg. 16 min. 18 sec. east 143.73 feet; thence along the arc of a curve to the left with a radius of 15 feet, tangent to the preceding course, southeasterly 23.562 feet; thence tangent to the preceding course, north 52 deg. 43 min. 42 sec. east 40.723 feet to the westerly line of Stanford Heights avenue; thence along said westerly line of Stanford Heights avenue south 4 deg. 35 min. 4 sec. east 51.91 feet to the northerly line of Valley street; thence along said northerly line of Valley street south 85 deg. 24 min. 56 sec. west 127.952 feet; thence north 37 deg. 16 min. 18 sec. west 133.319 feet; thence along the arc of a curve to the left with a radius of 45 feet, tangent to the preceding course, westerly 56.274 feet; thence along the arc of a curve to the right with a radius of 225 feet, tangent to the preceding course, northwesterly 281.37 feet; thence tangent to the preceding course north 37 deg. 16 min. 18 sec. west 0.023 feet to the point of beginning.

Being part of Block No. 54, Stanford Heights, as per map thereof filed July 18, 1891, in Book "E" and "F" of Maps at pages 154 and 155, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California.

Parcel 7. Beginning at the point of intersection of the southerly line of Valley street with the easterly line of Fowler avenue; thence along said southerly line of Valley street north 85 deg. 24 min. 56 sec. east 49.104 feet; thence south 43 deg. 58 min. west 65.512 feet to the said easterly line of Fowler avenue; thence along said easterly line of Fowler avenue north 4 deg. 35 min. 4 sec. west 43.366 feet to the point of beginning.

Being part of Block No. 59, Stanford Heights, as per map thereof filed July 18, 1891, in Book "E" and "F" of Maps at pages 154 and 155, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California.

Parcel 8. Beginning at a point on the southerly line of Valley street, distant thereon north 85 deg. 24 min. 56 sec. east 119.123 feet

from the easterly line of Fowler avenue; thence along said southerly line of Valley street north 85 deg. 24 min. 56 sec. east 192.589 feet; thence along the arc of a curve to the left, whose center bears north 1 deg. 24 min. 56 sec. east 420 feet from this point, easterly 87.965 feet to the southerly line of Valley street; thence along said southerly line of Valley street north 85 deg. 24 min. 56 sec. east 81.984 feet; thence south 4 deg. 35 min. 4 sec. east 45.498 feet; thence along the arc of a curve to the right whose center bears north 19 deg. 47 min. 20 sec. west 480 feet from this point, westerly 374.662 feet to the point of beginning.

Being part of Block No. 59, Stanford Heights, as per map thereof filed July 18, 1891, in Book "E" and "F" of Maps at pages 154 and 155, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California.

Whereas, it is deemed advisable by this Board of Supervisors that said parcels of land be opened as new streets and portions of streets in lieu of those so closed and abandoned; and

Whereas, said new streets and portions of streets so conveyed to the City and County of San Francisco for street purpose, as aforesaid, will and do constitute ample consideration to said City and County for its deed to the portions of said streets closed and abandoned, as hereinbefore recited, and will be of much greater practical value both to the City and County of San Francisco and to the general public. Now, therefore, be it

Resolved, that the Mayor of the City and County of San Francisco and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco be and they are hereby authorized and directed, acting for and on behalf of said City and County, in its name and under its corporate seal, to execute, acknowledge and deliver to said Mercantile Trust Company of California deeds conveying to said Mercantile Trust Company of California, all of the right, title and interest of the City and County of San Francisco, in and to the parcels of land, situate in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, more particularly described as follows, to-wit:

All those portions of Duncan street, Twenty-eighth street, Valley street, Twenty-ninth street, Day street, Fowler avenue and Stanford Heights avenue, as closed and abandoned by Resolution No. 26323 (New Series). Be it

Further Resolved, That the Clerk of this Board is hereby directed to advertise this resolution as required by law.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes— Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Gallagher—2.

Passed for Printing.

The following bill was *passed for printing*:

Conditional Acceptance, Streets.

On motion of Supervisor Marks:

Bill No. 7876, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Providing for conditional acceptance of the roadway of Alpha street between Arleta and Raymond avenues, Byxbee street between Holloway avenue and Garfield street, Brazil avenue between La Grande avenue and Prague street, Castro street between Chenery and Laidley streets and the intersection of Castro and Surrey streets, Douglas street between Clipper and Twenty-sixth streets, Twenty-sixth street between Diamond and Douglass streets and the crossing of Twenty-sixth and Douglass streets and the intersection of Douglass and Clipper streets, Forty-third avenue between Santiago and Taraval streets, Hawes street between Quesada and Revere avenues, Kirkham street between Twenty-fourth and Twenty-fifth avenues, Lawton street between Forty-fifth and Forty-sixth avenues, Lane street between McKinnon and Newcomb avenues, Majestic avenue between Lakeview avenue and Ridge lane, McCormick place between Pacific street and its southerly termination, Ortega street between Ninth and Tenth avenues, Niagara avenue between Howth street and Edgar place, including the intersection of Niagara and Williar avenues, Palou avenue between Hawes and Ingalls streets and between Ingalls and Jennings streets and the crossing of Palou avenue and Hawes street, Palou avenue and Ingalls street and Palou avenue and Jennings street, Prentiss street between Jarboe and Tompkins avenues, Rivera street between Forty-fourth avenue and Forty-fifth avenue, Rivera street between Forty-fifth avenue and Forty-sixth avenue, Santiago street between Forty-fourth avenue and Forty-fifth avenue, Santiago street between Forty-fifth avenue and Forty-sixth avenue, Forty-fourth avenue be-

tween Rivera street and Santiago street, Forty-fifth avenue between Rivera street and Santiago street, Forty-fifth avenue between Santiago street and Taraval street, Forty-sixth avenue between Rivera street and Santiago street, Santiago street between Twenty-ninth and Thirtieth avenue, Seneca avenue between Delano and Otsego avenues, Sixteenth avenue between Noriega and Ortega streets and the crossing of Sixteenth avenue and Noriega street, Santiago street between Thirtieth and Thirty-first avenues, Santiago street between Thirty-first and Thirty-second avenues, Seventeenth avenue between Taraval and Ulloa streets, Twenty-sixth avenue between Kirkham and Lawton streets, Tompkins avenue between Anderson and Ellsworth streets, Twenty-fifth avenue between Ulloa and Vincente streets, Thirtieth avenue between Rivera and Santiago streets, Vinton court between Grant avenue and its westerly termination, Wawona street between Forty-fifth and Forty-sixth avenues and Wawona street between Forty-seventh avenue and the Great Highway, crossings of Sixteenth avenue and Ortega street, Twenty-fifth avenue and Kirkham street, Kirkham street and Seventeenth avenue, Santiga street and Thirtieth avenue, Santiago street and Thirty-second avenue, Hollywood court between Pope street and its easterly termination, Sabin place between California street and its northerly termination.

Be is ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The roadways of the following-named streets, including the curbs on both sides thereof, having been constructed to the satisfaction of the Board of Public Works, and of the Board of Supervisors, are hereby conditionally accepted by the City and County of San Francisco (except those portions required by law to be kept in order by the railroad company having tracks thereon), in accordance with the provisions of Section 23, Chapter 2, Article VI, of the Charter, said roadways having been paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete and curbs laid thereon, and are in good condition throughout, to-wit:

Alpha street between Arleta and Raymond avenues, paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs laid thereon, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Brazil avenue between La Grande avenue and Prague street, paved with concrete and concrete curbs laid thereon, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains has been laid therein.

Byxbee street between Holloway avenue and Garfield street, paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs laid thereon, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Douglass street between Clipper and Twenty-sixth streets, Twenty-sixth street between Diamond and Douglass streets and the crossing of Twenty-sixth and Douglass streets, and the intersection of Douglass and Clipper streets, paved with concrete and asphaltic concrete and concrete laid thereon, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Castro street between Chenery and Laidley streets and the intersection of Castro and Surrey streets, paved with concrete and concrete curbs have been laid thereon, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Forty-third avenue between Santiago and Taraval streets, paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs laid thereon, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Hawes street between Quesada and Revere avenues, paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs laid thereon, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Kirkham street between Twenty-fourth and Twenty-fifth avenues, paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs laid thereon, sewers and gas mains have been laid theien, no water mains have been laid therein.

Lawton street between Forty-fifth and Forty-sixth avenues, paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs laid thereon, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Lane street between McKinnon and Newcomb avenues, paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs laid thereon, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein

Majestic avenue between Lake View and Ridge lane, paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete and concrete curbs laid thereon, sewers and gas mains have been laid

therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

McCormick place between Pacific street and its southerly termination, paved with concrete and concrete curbs laid thereon, sewers have been laid therein, no gas or water mains have been laid therein.

Ortega street between Ninth and Tenth avenues, paved with concrete and concrete curbs laid thereon, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Niagara avenue between Howth street and Edgar place, including the intersection of Niagara avenue and Williar avenue, paved with concrete and concrete curbs laid thereon, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Palou avenue between Hawes and Ingalls streets, and between Ingalls and Jennings streets and the crossings of Palou avenue and Hawes street, Palou avenue and Ingalls street and Palou avenue and Jennings street, paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs laid thereon, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Prentiss street between Jarboe and Tompkins avenues, paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete and concrete curbs laid thereon, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Rivera street between Forty-fourth and Forty-fifth avenues, Rivera street between Forty-fifth and and Forty-sixth avenues, Santiago street between Forty-fourth and Forty-fifth avenues, Santiago street between Forty-fifth and Forty-sixth avenues, Forty-fourth avenue between Rivera and Santiago streets, Forty-fifth avenue between Rivera and Santiago streets, Forty-fifth avenue between Santiago and Taraval streets, Forty-sixth avenue between Rivera and Santiago streets, paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs laid thereon, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Santiago street between Twenty-ninth and Thirtieth avenues, paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs laid thereon, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Seneca avenue between Delano and Otsego avenues, paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs laid thereon, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Sixteenth avenue between Noriega and Ortega streets and the crossing of Sixteenth avenue and Noriega street, paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs laid thereon, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Santiago street between Thirtieth and Thirty-first avenues, paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs laid thereon, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Santiago street between Thirty-first and Thirty-second avenues, paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs laid thereon, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Seventeenth avenue between Taraval and Ulloa streets, paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs laid thereon, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Twenty-sixth avenue between Kirkham and Lawton streets, paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs laid thereon, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Tompkins avenue between Anderson and Ellsworth streets, paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs laid thereon, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Twenty-fifth avenue between Ulloa and Vicente streets, paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs laid thereon, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Thirtieth avenue between Rivera and Santiago streets, paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs laid thereon, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Vinton court between Grant avenue and its westerly termination, paved with concrete and concrete curbs laid thereon, sewers have been laid therein, no gas or water mains have been laid therein.

Wawona street between Forty-fifth and Forty-sixth avenues and Wawona street between Forty-seventh avenue and the Great Highway, paved with asphaltic concrete

and concrete curbs laid thereon, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Crossings of Sixteenth avenue and Ortega street, Twenty-fifth avenue and Kirkham street, Kirkham street and Seventeenth avenue, Santiago street and Thirtieth avenue, Santiago street and Thirty-second avenue, paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs laid thereon, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Hollywood court between Pope street and its easterly termination, paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs laid thereon, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Sabin place between California street and its northerly termination, paved with concrete and concrete curbs laid thereon, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Closing and Abandoning Certain Streets and Alleys in and Adjacent to Stanford Heights and Sunnyside Addition No. 1 as Described in Resolution of Intention No. 26493 (New Series), Approved December 10, 1926.

Supervisor Marks presented:

Resolution No. 26669 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, this Board has by Resoluiton No. 26493 (New Series) declared its intention to close and abandon certain streets and alleys in and adjacent to Stanford Heights and Sunnyside Addition No. 1, in the City and County of San Francisco; and

Whereas, proper notice of said resolution and of said proposed closing and abandonment of certain streets and alleys in and adjacent to Stanford Heights and Sunnyside Addition No. 1, as described in Resolution of Intention No. 26493 (New Series), was duly given by the Board of Public Works of said City and County of San Francisco by publication and posting in the manner provided by the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco; and

Whereas, more than ten days have elapsed after the expiration of the time of publication of said notice; and

Whereas, no objection or objections to the closing and abandonment of said streets and alleys in and adjacent to Stanford Heights and Sunnyside Addition No. 1, as described in Resolution of Intention No. 26493 (New Series), was or were made or delivered to the Clerk of this Board within said period of ten days or at all; and

Whereas, it is the opinion of this Board that the public interest and convenience will be conserved by the closing and abandonment of said parts of said streets; and

Whereas, in and by said Resolution No. 26493 (New Series) this Board did declare that the damages, costs and expenses of closing said parts of said streets are nominal and no assessment district is necessary to be formed for the purpose of paying the damages, costs and expenses thereof, the Board of Supervisors hereby declares and determines that the whole damage, cost and expense of closing said streets shall be paid out of the revenues of the City and County of San Francisco; and

Whereas, the said work is for the closing and abandonment of certain streets and alleys in and adjacent to Stanford Heights and Sunnyside Addition No. 1, as described in Resolution of Intention No. 26493 (New Series), and it appears to this Board that no assessment is necessary; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the said closing and abandonment of said streets and alleys in and adjacent to Stanford Heights and Sunnyside Addition No. 1, as described in Resolution No. 26493 (New Series), be and the same is hereby ordered and that said streets and alleys be and the same are hereby closed and abandoned as public streets and alleys as described in Resolution of Intention No. 26493 (New Series); and

Further Resolved, That the Clerk of this Board transmit a certified copy of this resolution to the Board of Public Works and that the Board of Public Works be instructed to proceed thereafter as required by law and the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco; and the Clerk of this Board is hereby directed to advertise this resolution in The Bulletin as required by law.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes— Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Gallagher—2.

Authorizing Execution of a Deed By the Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors to Affronting and Adjacent Property Owners to Portion of the Property Deeded to the City and County of San Francisco by the Ocean Shore Railroad Company for the Proposed Alemany Boulevard, by Deed Dated May 1, 1925, and Recorded in Volume 1083 of Official Records at Page 55, and Not Required for Street Purposes, in Exchange for Property for New Street or Streets to be Opened and Widened in Lieu of Such Property Not Required for Street Purposes.

Supervisor Marks presented:

Resolution No. 26670 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the portion of the property deed to the City and County of San Francisco and not required for street purposes is more particularly described as follows, to-wit:

Beginning at a point on the southwesterly line of Farragut avenue, distant thereon 70 feet southeasterly from the southeasterly line of Winnipeg avenue, and running thence southeasterly along the southwesterly line of Farragut avenue 9.30 feet to the southeasterly boundary of the property of the City and County of San Francisco; thence southwesterly along said southeasterly boundary on a curve to the right of 2914-foot radius, tangent to a line deflected 91 deg. 13 min. 56 sec. to the right from the preceding course, central angle 4 deg. 28 min. 41 sec., a distance of 227.82 feet; thence northeasterly on a curve to the left of 2400-foot radius, tangent to a line deflected 177 deg. 47 min. 42 sec. to the right from the tangent to the preceding curve, central angle 3 deg. 30 min. 19 sec., a distance of 146.83 feet; thence northeasterly, tangent to the preceding curve, parallel with the southeasterly line of Winnipeg avenue and distant 70 feet at right angles southeasterly therefrom, a distance of 80.60 feet to the point of beginning; being portion of Block 10 of West End Map No. 2; and

Whereas, Rudolph Mohr, Jr., and Olive M. Mohr, his wife, and Howard E. Mohr and Lynna R. Mohr, his wife, owners of lands adjacent to or fronting on the aforesaid portion of said property not required for street purposes have offered to convey, or cause to be conveyed, to the City and County of San Francisco property for the widening of the proposed Alemany boulevard in lieu of such portion of property not required for street purposes; and

Whereas, said Rudolph Mohr, Jr., and Olive M. Mohr, his wife, and Howard E. Mohr and Lynna R. Mohr, his wife, pursuant to their aforesaid offer, have caused to be made, executed and delivered to said City and County of San Francisco good and sufficient conveyances vesting in said City and County of San Francisco for street purposes the title to the parcel of land hereinafter more particularly described, in lieu of said portion of property not required for street purposes as hereinbefore recited; and

Whereas, the said parcel of land so conveyed to said City and County of San Francisco as a new portion of a street in lieu of that portion of property not required for street purposes as aforesaid, is more particularly described as follows, to-wit:

Beginning at a point on the northeasterly line of Lawrence avenue, distant thereon 43 feet southeasterly from the southeasterly line of Winnipeg avenue, said point of beginning being on the southeasterly boundary of the property of the City and County of San Francisco, and running thence southeasterly along the northeasterly line of Lawrence avenue 5.63 feet; thence northeasterly on a curve to the left of 2400-foot radius, tangent to a line deflected 82 deg. 20 min. 57 sec. to the left from the preceding course, central angle 4 deg. 8 min. 44 sec., a distance of 173.65 feet to the southeasterly boundary of the property of the City and County of San Francisco; thence southwesterly along said southeasterly boundary on a curve to the right of 2914.90-foot radius, tangent to a line deflected 177 deg. 47 min. 42 sec. to the left from the tangent to the preceding curve, central angle 3 deg. 25 min. 31 sec., a distance of 174.26 feet to the point of beginning; being portion of Block 10 of West End Map No. 2; and

Whereas, it is deemed advisable by this Board of Supervisors that said parcel of land be opened as a new portion of a street in lieu of that portion of property not required for street purposes; and

Whereas, said new portion of a street so conveyed to the City and County of San Francisco for street purposes, as aforesaid, will and does constitute ample consideration to said City and County for its deed to the portion of property not required for street purposes, as hereinbefore recited, and will be of much greater practical value, both to the City and County of San Francisco and to the general public; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That equity requires that the portion of property not required for street purposes, as aforesaid, should be conveyed by the City and County of San Francisco to said Rudolph Mohr, Jr., and Olive M. Mohr, his wife, and Howard E. Mohr and Lynna R. Mohr, his wife; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Mayor of the City and County of San Francisco and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco be and they are hereby authorized and directed, acting for and on behalf of said City and County, in its name and under its corporate seal, to execute, acknowledge and deliver to said Rudolph Mohr, Jr., and Olive M. Mohr, his wife, and Howard E. Mohr and Lynna R. Mohr, his wife, a deed conveying to said Rudolph Mohr, Jr., and Olive M. Mohr, his wife, and Howard E. Mohr and Lynna R. Mohr, his wife, all of the right, title and interest of the City and County of San Francisco in and to the parcel of land situate in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, more particularly described as follows, to-wit:

Beginning at a point on the southwesterly line of Farragut avenue, distant thereon 70 feet southeasterly from the southeasterly line of Winnipeg avenue, and running thence southeasterly along the southwesterly line of Farragut avenue 9.30 feet to the southeasterly boundary of the property of the City and County of San Francisco; thence southwesterly along said southeasterly boundary on a curve to the right of 2914.90-foot radius, tangent to a line deflected 91 deg. 13 min. 56 sec. to the right from the preceding course, central angle 4 deg. 28 min. 41 sec., a distance of 227.82 feet; thence northeasterly on a curve to the left of 2400-foot radius, tangent to a line deflected 177 deg. 47 min. 42 sec. to the right from the tangent to the preceding curve, central angle 3 deg. 30 min. 19 sec., a distance of 146.83 feet; thence northeasterly tangent to the preceding curve, parallel with the southeasterly line of Winnipeg avenue and distant 70 feet at right angles southeasterly therefrom, a distance of 80.60 feet to the point of beginning; being portion of Block 10 of West End Map No. 2; be it

Further Resolved, That the Clerk of this Board is hereby directed to advertise this resolution in The Bulletin as required by law.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes— Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Gallagher—2.

Award of Contract, Garbage Cans.

Supervisor Badaracco presented:

Resolution No. 26671 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of contract be hereby made to John H. Blakeway Sheet Metal Works on bid submitted January 3, 1927 (Proposal No. 273), for furnishing the following, viz.:

200 galvanized iron garbage cans for School Department, at $6.49 each.

Resolved, That a bond in the sum of $300 be required for the faithful performance of said contract.

Resolved, That all other bids submitted thereon be rejected.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes— Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Gallagher—2.

Award of Contract, X-Ray Supplies, San Francisco Hospital.

Supervisor Badaracco presented:

Resolution No. 26672 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of contract be hereby made on bids submitted December 6, 1926 (Proposal No. 272), for furnishing the following, viz.:

X-Ray Supplies for San Francisco Hospital.

Item No. 1—390 dozen X-Ray films, superspeed, 8x10 Eastman, $2.30 dozen; Kahn & Co.

Item No. 2—180 dozen X-Ray films, superspeed, 11x14, Eastman, $4.60 dozen; Eastman Kodak Stores, Inc.

Item No. 3—180 dozen X-Ray films, superspeed, 14x17, Eastman (films in boxes of 6 dozen preferred), $7.02 dozen; Eastman Kodak Stores, Inc.

Item No. 7—100 lbs. sodium bisulphite (in 5-lb. tins preferred), $0.118 lb.; Hirsch & Kaye.

Item No. 8—24 cans Buck's Developer No. 4, $3.26 can; Hirsch & Kaye.

Resolved, That bonds for the faithful performance of said contracts be not required as above, if for definite quantities for immediate delivery.

Note: All above awards are made to the lowest bidder except when award is made in consideration of deliveries or on account of the quality as determined by such tests as required or recommended by the Purchaser of Supplies.

Resolved, That all other bids submitted thereon be rejected.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Gallagher—2.

Award of Contract, Safety Buttons, Etc.

Supervisor Badaracco presented:

Resolution No. 26673 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That on recommendation of the Traffic Committee of the Board of Supervisors award of contract be hereby made on bids received December 20, 1926 (Proposal No. 266), for furnishing the following, viz.:

To Rincon Foundry Company, 2500 traffic safety buttons, cast iron, no coating, each $1.86.

To Graybar Electric Co., Inc., 7500 lag screws and expansion shields, per 100, $9.90.

Resolved, That bonds for the faithful performance of said contracts be required as follows, viz.:

Rincon Foundry Company, Inc., amount of bond, $1,000.

Graybar Electric Company, Inc., amount of bond, $200.

Resolved, That all other bids submitted hereon be rejected.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Gallagher—2.

Award of Contract, Furniture, School Department.

Supervisor Badaracco presented:

Resolution No. 26674 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of contract be hereby made on bids submitted December 6, 1926 (Proposal No. 264), for furnishing the following, viz.:

Furniture for School Department.

Item No. 1—1800 chair desks, movable, size No. 1; quarter-sawed oak chair with birch, maple or other close-grained writing surface; full boxed seat; screwed and glued corner blocks; banister or vertical slat back; book rack; solid or closed kick board in rear; no inkwells; finish to be not less than one coat of filler, one coat of shellac, and one coast of varnish or lacquer; as City sample; awarded to Heywood-Wakefield Company; price, each, $6.90.

Item No. 2—80 desks, flat top; double pedestal; closed panel back; top, panels and front to be quarter-sawed oak; top 50x32 inches; 5-ply, and not less than 1⅛ inches thick; side drawers to be controlled by two locking devices, one to each side; awarded to H. S. Crocker Co., Inc.; price, each, $30.49.

Resolved, That bonds for the faithful performance of said contracts be required as follows, viz.:

Heywood-Wakefield Co., amount of bond, $3,000.

H. S. Crocker Co., Inc., amount of bond, $500.

Note: All above awards are made to the lowest bidder except when award is made in consideration of deliveries or on account of the quality as determined by such tests as required or recommended by the Purchaser of Supplies.

Resolved, That all other bids submitted hereon be rejected.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Gallagher—2.

ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND MOTIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

Passed for Printing.

The following resolution was *passed for printing*:

Blasting Permit.

On motion of Supervisor Marks:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Federal Construction Company is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, for sixty days, to explode blasts during the construction of street and sewer work in the City Land Association Tract, in the district bounded by the northerly line of Garfield street, the northerly line of Randolph street, the easterly line of Head street and the westerly line of Monticello street, provided said permittee shall execute and file a good and

sufficient bond in the sum of $10,000 as fixed by the Board of Public Works and approved by his Honor the Mayor, in accordance with Ordinance No. 1204; provided, also, that said blasts shall be exploded only between the hours of 7 a. m. and 6 p. m., and that the work of blasting shall be performed to the satisfaction and under the supervision of the Board of Public Works, and that if any of the conditions of this resolution be violated by said Federal Construction Company, then the privileges and all the rights accruing thereunder shall immediately become null and void.

Community Chest Advertising on Street Cars.

Supervisor Marks presented:

Resolution No. 26675 (New Series), as follows:

Be it Resolved by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco that permission be and the same is hereby granted to Community Chest of San Francisco and to the Market Street Railway Company and the Municipal Railway to propel street cars on the streets of the City and County of San Francisco with advertisements of the public appeal for funds of said Community Chest of San Francisco from the date of the adoption of this resolution to and including the 20th day of February, 1927, printed, pasted or painted on or attached to the outside of said cars.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent — Supervisors Bath. Gallagher—2.

Award of Contract, Bullet-Proof Windshields.

Supervisor Badaracco presented:

Resolution No. 26676 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of contract be hereby made to Howard Automobile Company on bid submitted December 6, 1926 (Proposal No. 263), for the following, viz.:

To furnish and install bullet-proof glass windshields on 30 automobiles, Police Department, for the sum of.....	$3,415.00
Less allowance for trade-in of old windshields.....	258.67
Balance	$3,156.33

Resolved, That a bond in the sum of $500 be required for the faithful performance of said contract.

Resolved, That all other bids submitted thereon be rejected.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Gallagher—2.

Flood-Lighting Safety Zones.

Supervisor Todd presented a resolution providing for flood lighting for safety zones, which resolution was *ordered referred to the Lighting Committee.*

RECESS.

Whereupon, the Board at the hour of 12:15 p. m. took a recess until 2 p. m.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk.

REASSEMBLED—2:30 P. M.

The Board of Supervisors reassembled at 2:30 p. m.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

(Supervisor Bath excused on account of illness.)

Quorum present.

Supervisor McSheehy presiding.

Amending Zoning Ordinance, Chestnut and Steiner Streets.

Bill No. 7877, Ordinance No. 7383 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries, and the location of buildings for specific uses and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

That Section 2 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, be changed by placing the southwest corner of

Chestnut and Steiner streets, for a distance of 206 feet 3 inches on Chestnut street and 137 feet 6 inches on Steiner street, in the Commercial District instead of in the Second Residential District.

City Attorney's Opinion, Re Zoning Chestnut Street.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication, from City Attorney John J. O'Toole, relative to the rezoning of Chestnut street at Steiner street, and specifically as to whether applications must first be referred to City Planning Commission or whether Supervisors can act without intervention of committee; whether Board can rezone a particular portion of an application without rereference to commission, and whether commission can recommend rezoning without notice to owners and adjacent property owners.

Ordered *filed.*

Privilege of the Floor.

L. Solomon, L. M. Hotevall and *W. D. Thompson* were heard on the pending matter.

Final Passage.

Whereupon, the foregoing bill was *finally passed* by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Kent —2.

Airport Hearing.

Consideration of location of an airport site was *continued for one week.*

The members of the Board agreed to go on a tour of inspection of various proposed sites on Tuesday of this week.

Balboa Street Extension, Municipal Railway.

Supervisor Todd presented:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the public interest will be promoted by the construction of an extension of the Municipal Railway along Balboa street from Thirty-third avenue easterly to Arguello boulevard, and thence along Turk street to Divisadero street; thence by the most available route to Market street, and that this extension be included in any proposition to incur a bonded debt for Municipal Railway construction.

Referred to the Public Utilities Committee.

Proposed Salary Increases.

Supervisor McSheehy stated that he had a statement up to January 1, 1927, from the bookkeeper which shows that the finances of the City are such at this time that great care will have to be exercised in the expenditure of money for the balance of the year and that if any further increases in salary are granted it will mean that there will be a deficit at the end of the year.

Sealers of Weights and Measures.

Supervisor Shannon moved the passage of No. 63 on the Calendar, providing increase of salary for the Deputy Sealers of Weights and Measures.

Supervisor Powers, seconded by Supervisor Byington, moved as amendment to postpone action for one week, making it a Special Order of Business for 3 p. m.

Amendment *carried* by the following:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton—14.

Noes—Supervisors Deasy, Shannon, Todd—3.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Action Deferred.

The following matters, laid over from a previous meeting, were thereupon taken up and again *laid over until January* 17, 1927, *and made a Special Order of Business for* 3 *p. m.*:

Report of Finance Committee on Salary Increases.

San Francisco, Dec. 20, 1926.

Honorable Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco.

Gentlemen:

In the last municipal campaign the people of San Francisco, by overwhelming vote, declared for strict economy in the conduct of the business of this City and County. In compiling the budget for the present fiscal year your Finance Committee complied with that mandate for economy and submitted an estimate of expenditures totaling $33,284,382, which represented a reduction of $1,776,127 from the nominal budget total of the previous fiscal year. As a matter of fact, the previous year's budget had been erroneously compiled, with the result that a deficit of $1,400,000 occurred, so that the actual reduction in authorized ex-

penditures for the present year amounts to $3,176,127.

This year's budget was unanimously adopted by the Board of Supervisors and signed by the Mayor without the change of a single item in the report of the Finance Committee. A tax rate 47 cents less than that of the previous year was adopted, and 72,000 taxpayers of this City and County are now enjoying an average reduction of 12 per cent in their tax bills for the current year.

When the budget was compiled, your Finance Committee took the position that the people's mandate for economy was intended to apply not only to elected officials, but to all employees of the City and County as well, and not a single salary raise or reduction was included in the budget. Half of the fiscal year has now elapsed and a survey of the City and County finances shows that a moderate surplus will accumulate in the treasury by the end of the year. Your Finance Committee has, therefore, taken under consideration various requests for salary increases for City and County employees who now receive small incomes, and for certain others who have not enjoyed a raise for a number of years. After a careful investigation of these requests your Finance Committee, on November 29 last, recommended small increases of salary for about 640 employees, which will aggregate an expenditure of about $70,000 in next year's budget, representing approximately one cent in the tax rate.

Certain members of your Honorable Board have seen fit to recommend additional increases for about 187 employees, 102 of whom were included in the recommendations already submitted by the Finance Committee. These additional increases would amount to $37,104, and would entail a further advance of one-half cent in the tax rate.

During the past three weeks your Finance Committee has been approached, personally and by petition, by no less than one thousand city employees, all asking for salary increases. If these requests were allowed they would necessitate an increase of approximately a quarter of a million dollars in the next budget. Your Finance Committee recognizes the fact that all employees would like to have a salary raise, but it is obviously impossible to increase the entire City and County payroll, and your Committee has endeavored to confine its recommendations chiefly to those employees who are now receiving what may be characterized as a minimum wage. The first year of the new economy regime is only half over, and your Finance Committee believes that a faithful adherence to its obligation to the people's demand for economy will not justify any further increases at this time.

In the face of these facts as herein stated the Finance Committee recommends that all requests for raises of salaries made on November 29, 1926, be disapproved, and that the Civil Service Commission be requested to report as soon as possible their recommendations on the standardization of positions as provided for by the Charter.

Respectfully submitted,

JAS. B. McSHEEHY,
F. R. HAVENNER,
MILO F. KENT,
Finance Committee.

Adjuster of Licenses.

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, pursuant to Ordinance No. 7117 (New Series), and in accordance with Subdivision "C" of Section 3 thereof, the Auditor is hereby authorized and requested to make transfer of requisite amount to meet increased amount set opposite the following budget item, effective from and after January 1, 1927, to-wit:

Tax Collector's Office.

Budget Item No. 197—Adjuster of licenses, $3,300.

Female Jailer.

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, pursuant to Ordinance No. 7117 (New Series), and in accordance with Subdivision "C" of Section 3 thereof, the Auditor is hereby authorized and requested to make transfer of requisite amount to meet increased amount set opposite the following budget item, effective from and after January 1, 1927, to-wit:

Sheriff's Office.

Budget Item No. 314—1 female jailer, $2,244.

Sealer of Weights and Measures.

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, pursuant to Ordinance No. 7117 (New Series), and in accordance with Subdivision "C" of Section 3 thereof, the Auditor is hereby authorized and requested to make transfers of requisite amounts

to meet increased amounts set opposite the following budget items effective from and after January 1, 1927, to-wit:

Office of Sealer of Weights and Measures.

Budget Item No. 396—Chief deputy, $3,600.

Budget Item No. 397—6 deputy sealers, at $3,000 each, $18,000.

Nurses.

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, pursuant to Ordinance No. 7117 (New Series), and in accordance with Subdivision "C" of Section 3 thereof, the Auditor is hereby authorized and requested to make transfers of requisite amounts to meet increased amounts set opposite the following budget items effective from and after January 1, 1927, to-wit:

Tuberculosis Bureau.

Budget Item No. 838—8 field nurses, from $1,980 to $2,400.

School Medical Inspection.

Budget Item No. 840—30 field nurses, from $1,980 to $2,400.

Child Welfare.

Budget Item No. 842—Field nurse for foster homes, from $1,980 to $2,400.

Budget Item No. 843—7 field nurses for health centers, from $1,980 to $2,400.

Social Service.

Budget Item No. 844—Field nurse, from $1,980 to $2,400.

Phone Operators.

Supervisor Todd presented:

Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, pursuant to Ordinance No. 7117 (New Series), and in accordance with Subdivision "C" of Section 3 thereof, the Auditor is hereby authorized and requested to make transfers of requisite amounts to meet increased amounts set opposite the following budget items effective from and after January 1, 1927, to-wit:

Department of Public Health—Central Office.

Budget Item No. 774—2 phone operators, from $1,800 to $2,100.

San Francisco Hospital.

Budget Item No. 940—2 phone operators, from $1,800 to $2,100.

Assessor, Department of Public Works, Department of Electricity and Department of Public Health.

Supervisor Stanton presented:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, pursuant to Ordinance No. 7117 (New Series), and in accordance with Subdivision "C" of Section 3 thereof, the Auditor is hereby authorized and requested to make transfers of requisite amounts to meet increased amounts set opposite the following Budget Items, effective from and after January 1, 1927, to-wit:

Assessor.

Budget Item No. 224—Fifteen assistant appraisers (at $2,400 each), $36,000.

Bureau of Engineering.

Budget Item No. 532—One inspector of streets and sewers, $2,520.

Budget Item No. 533—One inspector of streets and sewers, $2,400.

Board of Public Works—(Bureau of Accounting).

Budget Item No. 422—Two stenographers (at $2,220 each a year), $4,440.

Budget Item No. 423—Four stenographers (at $2,100 each a year), $8,400.

Board of Public Works—(Bureau of Architecture).

Budget Item No. 429—Stenographer, $2,220.

Department of Electricity.

Budget Item No. 617—One stenographer-typewriter, $2,220.

Budget Item No. 638—Two clerks (at $2,400 each), $4,800.

Department of Public Health.

Budget Item No. 771—Three stenographers (at $2,400 a year), $7,200.

Budget Item No. 772—Two clerks (at $2,400 each a year), $4,800.

Budget Item No. 777—Two clerks (at $2,400 each a year), $4,800.

Budget Item No. 779—One clerk (mortuary), $2,400.

Budget Item No. 790—Psychologist, $1,920.

Budget Item No. 803—Clerk, $2,400.

Budget Item No. 860—Stenographer, $2,400.

Deptartment of Public Works (Bureau of Repair).

Supervisor Todd presented:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, pursuant to Ordinance No. 7117 (New Series), and in accordance with Subdivision "C" of Section 3 thereof, the Auditor is hereby authorized and requested to make transfers of requisite amounts to meet increased amounts set opposite the following Budget items, effective from and after January 1, 1927, to-wit:

Department of Public Works—(Bureau of Building Repair).

Budget Item No. 432—Superintendent of painters, from $3,150 to $3,450.

Budget Item No. 433—Foreman plumber, from $3,000 to $3,300.

Budget Item No. 434—Foreman cement finisher, from $3,000 to $3,300.

Budget Item No. 435—Foreman carpenter, from $3,000 to $3,300.

Budget Item No. 436—Foreman tinner, from $3,000 to $3,300.

Budget Item No. 437—Foreman wireman, from $3,000 to $3,300.

Budget Item No. 470—Foreman steamfitter, from $3,000 to $3,300.

Additional Resolutions for Increases.

The following were also presented and *laid over one week*:

Board of Public Works, Sheriff's Office and Police Department.

Supervisor Hayden presented:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, pursuant to Ordinance No. 7117 (New Series), and in accordance with Subdivision "C" of Section 3 thereof, the Auditor is hereby authorized and requested to make transfers of requisite amounts to meet increased amounts set opposite the following Budget items, effective from and after January 1, 1927, to-wit:

Board of Public Works—Commissioners and General Office.

Budget Item No. 412—Messenger-clerk, from $2,280 to $2,400.

Board of Public Works—Bureau of Architecture.

Budget Item No. 428—Apprentice draftsman to messenger, from $1,500 and $1,800.

Sheriff's Office.

Budget Item No. 312—Two cooks, from $2,100 to $2,340.

Police Department—Uniform Police.

Budget Item No. 762—Cook, from $2,100 to $2,340.

Justices' Courts.

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, pursuant to Ordinance No. 7117 (New Series), and in accordance with Subdivision "C" of Section 3 thereof, the Auditor is hereby authorized and requested to make transfers of requisite amounts to meet increased amounts set opposite the following Budget items, effective from and after January 1, 1927, to-wit:

Justices' Courts.

Budget Item No. 1—Clerk (at $2,700 a year), $2,550.

Assessor.

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, pursuant to Ordinance No. 7117 (New Series), and in accordance with Subdivision "C" of Section 3 thereof, the Auditor is hereby authorized and requested to make transfer of requisite amount to meet increased amounts set opposite the following Budget item, effective from and after January 1, 1927, to-wit:

Assessor.

Budget Item No. — —Stenographer-typist (at $2,400 a year), $1,950.

County Clerk.

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, pursuant to Ordinance No. 7117 (New Series), and in accordance with Subdivision "C" of Section 3 thereof, the Auditor is hereby authorized and requested to make transfers of requisite amounts to meet increased amounts set opposite the following Budget items, effective from and after January 1, 1927, to-wit:

County Clerk.

Budget Item No. 289—Ten assistant registry clerks, at $2,700 each, $25,500.

Budget Item No. 290—Sixteen Superior Court clerks, at $2,700 each, $40,800.

Budget Item No. 291—Four Police Court clerks, at $2,700 each, $10,200.

Department of Public Works.

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, pursuant to Ordinance No. 7117 (New Series), and in accordance with Subdivision "C" of Section 3 thereof, the Auditor is hereby authorized and requested to make transfers of requisite amounts to meet increased amounts set opposite the following Budget items, effective from and after January 1, 1927, to-wit:

Department of Public Works.

Budget Item No. 563—Ten chauffeurs, at $8 a day, $21,000.

Budget Item No. 587—Nineteen chauffeurs, at $8 per day, $42,750.

Budget Item No. 601—Three chauffeurs, at $8 per day, $6,750.

Fire Department.

Supervisor Todd presented:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, pursuant to Ordinance No. 7117 (New Series), and in accordance with Subdivision "C"

of Section 3 thereof, the Auditor is hereby authorized and requested to make transfers of requisite amounts to meet increased amounts set opposite the following Budget items, effective from and after January 1, 1927, to-wit:

Sealer of Weights and Measures.

Budget Item No. 395—Sealer, from $4,800 to $5,400.

Police Department—Uniform Force.

Budget Item No. 760—Four matrons, from $2,100 to $2,400.

Department of Public Works.

Supervisor Todd presented:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, pursuant to Ordinance No. 7117 (New Series), and in accordance with Subdivision "C" of Section 3 thereof, the Auditor is hereby authorized and requested to make transfers of requisite amounts to meet increased amounts set opposite the following Budget items, effective from and after January 1, 1927, to-wit:

Fire Department—Pumping Stations.

Budget Item No. 686—Two chief engineers, from $3,360 to $3,480.

Budget Item No. 687—Five assistant engineers, from $2,760 to $2,880.

Budget Item No. 688—Seven firemen, from $2,340 to $2,460.

Department of Public Works.

Supervisor Todd presented:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, pursuant to Ordinance No. 7117 (New Series), and in accordance with Subdivision "C" of Section 3 thereof, the Auditor is hereby authorized and requested to make transfers of requisite amounts to meet increased amounts set opposite the following Budget items, effective from and after January 1, 1927, to-wit:

Department of Public Works—Commissioners and General Office.

Budget Item No. 407 — Experienced clerk, from $2,400 to $2,700.

Budget Item No. 408—Ordinary clerk, from $2,400 to $2,700.

Bureau of Accounting.

Budget Item No. 420 — Experienced clerk, from $2,400 to $2,700.

Boxing in Auditorium.

The following was read by the Clerk:

San Francisco, Jan. 10, 1927.

To the Honorable the Board of Supervisors.

Gentlemen:

I have previously endeavored to make my position absolutely clear on the general question whether boxing contests should be permitted in the Municipal Auditorium. In view of the fact that boxing has been legalized in California by direct vote of the people, and that the people of San Francisco voted by a majority of 3 to 2 in favor of the State boxing law, I can see no valid reason why an arbitrary prohibition should be enforced against boxing in the Auditorium.

However, since the Auditorium Committee voted to recommend the issuance of a specific permit for boxing in the Auditorium, certain questions having to do with a technical interpretation of the State boxing law have been presented to the City Attorney, and an opinion of the City Attorney just presented to this Board indicates that we cannot grant an individual permit to a boxing promoter unless that promoter is granted what would amount to an annual lease for a fixed series of dates in the Auditorium.

I desire to inform the members of the Board of Supervisors that when the subject of boxing permits was under consideration by the Auditorium Committee I pointed out to the applicants, with a great deal of emphasis, that it would be impossible for this Board of Supervisors to grant an annual lease for the use of the Auditorium or to guarantee in advance any fixed series of dates extending throughout the year. After explaining in detail to the applicants why such a guarantee would be impossible, I asked whether they were still desirous of presenting their applications for specific individual dates. They replied that they were desirous of so doing, and the Auditorium Committee had every right to assume that the applicants were in possession of legal advice to the effect that they would be permitted by the State Boxing Commission to hold boxing contests under these restricted conditions. The City Attorney's opinion, now before us, says:

"It is not the legal duty of the Board of Supervisors to inquire of the State Boxing Commission whether the holder of a State boxing permit can legally hold a contest in the Municipal Auditorium any more than it would be the duty of any private lessor of a building to ascertain whether a prospective lessee could operate a certain kind of business on the premises leased, provided the business is not of itself unlawful."

The City Attorney's opinion, therefore, would seem to place this Auditorium Committee and this Board of Supervisors in a somewhat anomalous position with respect to boxing in the Auditorium. We are

told, first, that it is not the legal duty of this Board to inquire of the State Boxing Commission whether the holder of a State boxing permit can legally hold a contest in the Auditorium; and we are told at the same time that it is not legal for the Board to rent the Auditorium for boxing contests unless the building is leased for a term of at least one year.

On the academic question whether boxing, which is legal, shall be permitted in the Auditorium, I have not changed my views in any respect and I am still prepared to vote aye, but in view of the City Attorney's advice that it would be illegal to rent the Auditorium for boxing unless a lease for a period of one year were given, I cannot see how we can proceed at this time to grant the application now before us. It would seem to be necessary to require applicants for boxing permits in the Auditorium to bring to this Board of Supervisors an official assurance from the State Boxing Commission that the holding of any specific boxing contest, for which a permit is applied, would not be in violation of the law which it is the duty of that Commission to enforce.

In passing it may be said that the State law which regulates boxing contests likewise regulates wrestling contests, and the two classes of sports appear to be on the same basis under the law. It has been the custom for a number of years to permit wrestling contests to be held in the Auditorium, and the preceding Auditorium Committee, with the consent of previous Boards of Supervisors, has repeatedly sanctioned such contests. If an official interpretation of the State law is to be required before boxing contests are permitted in the Auditorium, the same interpretation seems to be necessary with respect to wrestling contests. There is now pending before this Board an application for a wrestling contest, which, in accordance with the established custom of the Auditorium Committee for years and the policy adopted by this Board of Supervisors, was received by the Clerk of the Auditorium Committee in the ordinary course of events and is presented to this Board today for action. The State Boxing Commission has never interfered with the holding of these wrestling contests in the Auditorium, and it should be known that the present applicant for a wrestling permit proceeded in good faith, as did the Clerk of the Auditorium Committee in accepting his application. Furthermore, a very considerable financial hardship will undoubtedly be suffered by the applicant for this wrestling permit if it should be denied by the Board of Supervisors. In view of the City Attorney's opinion, however, I can only suggest to the applicant for the wrestling permit that he obtain and present to this Board at its next meeting a formal ruling from the State Boxing Commission as to whether his proposed exhibition can legally be held in the Municipal Auditorium.

Respectfully,

FRANCK C. HAVENNER.

Communications favoring boxing in the Auditorium have been received from Will J. Benson, Twin Peaks Parlor No. 214, N. S. G. W., and Mr. and Mrs. Will Irwin.

Communications in opposition were read from the Down Town Association, Federation of Women's Clubs, Ernest C. Dettner and the California Club.

Mrs. Stokes, president of the Federation of Women's Clubs; Miss Ward and Dr. Caslon, on behalf of the women's clubs, vigorously opposed boxing in the Auditorium, stating that the Exposition Auditorium was presented to the City to aid in the cultural side of the life of our city.

Mrs. Mary Schwab, attorney-at-law; Mme. Christine La Barque, a teacher of music, and Mrs. Mildred Pollock, member of the City Playground Commission, all favored the measure.

Willie Ritchie, former boxing champion, and Charles Morris, attorney for the boxing interests, both urged the granting of permits.

Mr. Ritchie said he thought the people should not lose sight of the fact that a successful athlete must lead a clean life; that he does not smoke or drink and must take the very best care of himself in every way.

Supervisor Gallagher presented a petition in favor of boxing in the Auditorium signed by 1750 people.

Supervisors Colman, Byington, Hayden, Harrelson, Marks and McSheehy spoke against the granting of the permit, and Supervisors Stanton, Roncovieri, Gallagher, Havenner and Shannon in favor.

Boxing Permit.

Supervisor Havenner presented: Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Association Athletic Club be and it is hereby granted permission to conduct a boxing exhibition under the laws of the State of California in the Civic Auditorium on Wednesday evening, March 30, 1927, upon the payment

of the fee required for the use of the building for such purpose.

Proposed Amendments.

Supervisor Gallagher moved to amend (First) That it is the sense of this Board of Supervisors that, within the laws of the State of California and the ordinances of this City and County, we find no sound objection to boxing exhibitions in the Civic Auditorium and we favor the same fair deal for those exhibitions as has been allowed every other Auditorium event. (Second) That the Auditorium Committee is instructed to consider and to recommend ways and means that, within the laws of the State of California, will permit such exhibitions in the same manner and under the same general conditions as other events therein.

Amendment to the Amendment.

Supervisor Marks moved as an amendment to the amendment that the words in the first part of Supervisor Gallagher's amendment "And we favor the same fair deal for these exhibitions as has been allowed every other Auditorium event", and insert in lieu thereof the words "The Board of Supervisors favors a fair deal for persons desiring to exhibit in the Auditorium."

Amendment to the amendment *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Harrelson, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Shannon, Todd—9.

Noes — Supervisors Badaracco, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton—8.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Whereupon, Supervisor Gallagher's first proposed amendment was *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—11.

Noes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Harrelson, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy—6.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Whereupon, Supervisor Gallagher's second proposed amendment was *approved* by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—11.

Noes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Harrelson, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy—6.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Whereupon, Supervisor Shannon moved that the foregoing resolution providing for the granting of a boxing permit to the Association Athletic Club be rereferred to the Auditorium Committee.

Motion *carried*.

Referred.

Whereupon, Resolution No. 16 on the Calendar was *rereferred to the Auditorium Committee.*

Wrestling in the Auditorium.

Supervisor Havenner presented:

Resolution No. 26677 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following organization be and it is hereby granted permission to occupy the Civic Auditorium on the date hereinafter mentioned, the necessary fee having been deposited with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, to-wit:

Unione Italiana Sportiva, A. Bignami, manager, L'Italia wrestling contest, Main Hall, January 24, 1927, 6 p. m. 12 p. m.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Action Deferred.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon the following matters were *continued one week*:

Consideration of Mayor's Veto, Embarcadero Busses.

Consideration of the veto of his Honor the Mayor of Resolution No. 26582 (New Series), appropriating $47,742 out of the Municipal Railway Depreciation Fund to be expended by the Board of Public Works for Embarcadero busses.

SET-BACK LINE HEARINGS—2 P. M.

Hearing of objections to the establishment of set-back lines along Tenth, Eleventh, Fifteenth and Seventeenth avenues and Sears street, fixed for the hour of 2 p. m. this day.

Bill No. ——, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Establishing set-back lines along portions of Tenth, Eleventh, Fifteenth and Seventeenth avenues and Sears street.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby recited that on the 6th day of December, 1926, the Board of Supervisors adopted Resolution of Intention No. 126 to establish set-back lines along Tenth, Eleventh, Fifteenth and Seventeenth avenues and Sears

street, and fixed the 10th day of January, 1927, at 2 o'clock p. m., at the chambers of the Board of Supervisors, as the time and place for hearing objections thereto; that thereafter and more than ten days prior to said hearing the said resolution was published and notices of the passage of said resolution were posted along the line of said streets, in time, form and manner required by Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series); that said hearing was held at the time and place aforesaid, and that all objections made at said hearing have been properly overruled.

Section 2. Pursuant to the foregoing recitals and in accordance with the provisions of said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), set-back lines are hereby established as follows:

Along the easterly side of Tenth avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Kirkham street and running thence northerly to a point 100 feet southerly from Judah street, said set-back line to be 7 feet.

Along the westerly side of Tenth avenue between Quintara street and Pacheco street, said set-back line to be 8 feet; along the easterly side of Tenth avenue, commencing at Quintara street and running thence northerly 325 feet, said set-back line to be 8 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 4 feet; thence northerly to Pacheco street, said set-back line to be 2 feet.

Along the easterly side of Eleventh avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Quintara street and running thence northerly to Oriole way, said set-back line to be 8 feet.

Along the northwesterly side of Sears street, commencing at a point 85 feet southwesterly from Sickles avenue and running thence southwesterly 425 feet, said set-back line to be 13 feet.

Along the easterly side of Fifteenth avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Geary street and running thence northerly to a point 100 feet southerly from Clement street, said set-back line to be 12 feet.

Along the westerly side of Seventeenth avenue, commencing at a point 90 feet northerly from Geary street and running thence northerly 30 feet, said set-back line to be 12 feet; thence northerly 30 feet, said set-back line to be 16 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 20 feet; thence northerly to a point 75 feet southerly from Clement street, said set-back line to be 24 feet; along the easterly side of Seventeenth avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Geary street and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 14 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 16 feet; thence northerly 50 feet, said set-back line to be 18 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 22 feet; thence northerly 50 feet, said set-back line to be 26 feet; thence northerly 150 feet, said set-back line to be 28 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 26 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 22 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 20 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 17 feet.

As shown on the maps filed in the office of the Board of Supervisors and to which reference is hereby made for further particulars.

Section 3. No structures shall be erected in the area between said set-back lines and the street lines except as provided in said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), and the penalties for the violation of this ordinance shall be as fixed by the ordinance aforesaid.

Hearing of objections to the establishment of set-back lines along Seventeenth, Eighteenth, Nineteenth, Twenty-third, Thirtieth, Thirty-ninth and Forty-third avenues, fixed for the hour of 2 p. m. this day.

Bill No. ——, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Establishing set-back line along portions of Seventeenth, Eighteenth, Nineteenth, Twenty-third, Thirtieth, Thirty-ninth and Forty-third avenues.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby recited that on the 6th day of December, 1926, the Board of Supervisors adopted Resolution of Intention No. 125 to establish set-back lines along Seventeenth, Eighteenth Nineteenth, Twenty-third, Thirtieth, Thirty-ninth and Forty-third avenues, and fixed the 10th day of January, 1927, at 2 o'clock p. m., at the chambers of the Board of Supervisors, as the time and place for hearing objections thereto; that thereafter and more than ten days prior to said hearing the said resolution was published and notices of the passage of said resolution were posted along the line of said streets, in time, form and manner required by Ordinance 5636 (New Series); that said hearing was held at the

time and place aforesaid, and that all objections made at said hearing have been properly overruled.

Section 2. Pursuant to the foregoing recitals and in accordance with the provisions of said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), set-back lines are hereby established as follows:

Along both sides of Seventeenth avenue between Pacheco street and Quintara street, said set-back line to be 15 feet.

Along the easterly side of Eighteenth avenue, commencing at Quintara street and running thence northerly 75 feet 9 inches, said set-back line to be 5 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 7½ feet; thence northerly to Pacheco street, said set-back line to be 10 feet.

Along the westerly side of Nineteenth avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Rivera street and running thence northerly 19 feet 9 inches, said set-back line to be 3 feet; thence northerly 50 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly to Quintara street, said set-back line to be 9 feet.

Along the westerly side of Thirty-ninth avenue, commencing at Cabrillo street and running thence northerly to a point 100 feet southerly from Balboa street, said set-back line to be 6 feet.

Along the easterly side of Forty-third avenue, commencing at a point 65 feet 5 inches northerly from Point Lobos avenue and running thence northerly to Clement street, said set-back line to be 10 feet.

Along the easterly side of Nineteenth avenue, commencing at Pacheco street and running thence northerly 450 feet, said set-back line to be 100 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 2/3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 1/3 feet.

Along the westerly side of Twenty-third avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Lawton street and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 350 feet, said set-back line to be 9 feet; along the easterly side of Twenty-third avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Lawton street and running thence northerly to a point 100 feet southerly from Kirkham street, said set-back line to be 9 feet.

Along the easterly side of Thirtieth avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Ulloa street and running thence northerly 50 feet, said set-back line to be 20 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 15 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 12 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 9 feet; thence northerly to a point 100 feet southerly from Taraval street, said set-back line to be 6 feet.

As shown on the maps filed in the office of the Board of Supervisors and to which reference is hereby made for further particulars.

Section 3. No structures shall be erected in the area between said set-back lines and the street lines, except as provided in said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), and the penalties for the violation of this ordinance shall be as fixed by the ordinance aforesaid.

Action Deferred.

The following matter was *continued one week*:

HEARING OF APPEAL—2 P. M.

Douglass Street.

Appeal of property owners from the assessment issued for the improvement of Douglass street from the southerly line of Twenty-fifth street to the southerly line of Twenty-sixth street, including the easterly and westerly intersections of Clipper street with Douglass street, and the crossing of Twenty-sixth street and Douglass street; and the improvement of Twenty-sixth street between Diamond and Douglass streets, by grading to official line and grade; by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks of the full official width on the angular corners thereof; by the construction of the necessary catch-basins with accompanying 10-inch ironstone pipe culverts; by the construction of 8-inch and 15-inch ironstone pipe sewers with accompanying Y branches on the 15-inch sewer, and the necessary manholes as may be required; by the construction of a concrete pavement on Douglass street from the southerly line of Twenty-fifth street to a line at right angles with the easterly line of Douglass street at its intersection with the northerly line of Clipper street; by the construction of a concrete pavement on Twenty-sixth street from the westerly line of Diamond street to a line parallel with and 280 feet westerly therefrom, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement on the remainder of the roadways thereof.

Extension of Highway Approved.

Supervisor Badaracco presented:

Resolution No. 26678 (New Sereis), as follows:

Whereas, there is about to be introduced in the State Legislature two bills, one appropriating the sum of $500,000 for the surveying, locating, extension, construction and maintenance of Junipero Serra boulevard from Sloat boulevard to the northerly boundary line of the City of Burlingame, County of San Mateo; the other calling for the appropriation of $5,000,000 for the survey, location, construction and maintenance of the Bay Shore Highway from the City and County of San Francisco to San Mateo, respectively; and

Whereas, the extension and construction of said highways are urgently needed to facilitate traffic, trade and commerce, and said highways will be of great benefit to not only the residents and citizens of the bay district of California, but to all citizens and visitors in said State of California; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco do heartily favor the extension and construction of said highways and urgently request the State Legislature to speedily enact said beneficial legislation.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Lincoln Day Celebration.

Supervisor Havenner presented:

Resolution No. 26679 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That his Honor the Mayor be and he is hereby requested to appoint a committee of three members of this Board to represent the City in the matter of the the celebration of Lincoln's Birthday to be held in the Civic Auditorium on Saturday, February 12, 1927.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Condemnation of Land for School Purposes.

Supervisor Powers presented:

Resolution No. 26681 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, that public interest and necessity require the acquisition by the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, of the following described land, situated in the City and County of San Francisco, and any and all rights and claims thereto, for school purposes, to-wit:

Beginning at a point on the easterly line of Arguello boulevard (formerly First avenue), distant thereon 332 feet and 7 inches northerly from the northerly line of Geary street; running thence northerly along the said easterly line of Arguello boulevard 108 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 120 feet; thence at a right angle southerly 108 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 120 feet to the point of beginning. Being a portion of Western Addition Block No. 647.

Resolved, That all the land, rights and claims above described are hereby declared to be suitable, adaptable, necessary and required for a public use by the City and County of San Francisco for school purposes; and be it further

Resolved, That the City Attorney is hereby directed to forthwith institute in the Superior Court of the State of California, in and for the City and County of San Francisco, proceedings in eminent domain against the owner or owners of said lot, piece or parcel of land and any and all persons having or claiming to have an interest in the lands hereinabove described, for the purpose of acquiring title in fee simple to such described land for the City and County of San Francisco for the uses herein set forth, and to prosecute such proceedings to a speedy termination.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Masquerade Ball Permits.

Supervisor Schmidt presented:

Resolution No. 26682 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Bayern Bund be and it is hereby granted permission to hold a masquerade ball in California Hall, Saturday, January 29, 1927.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers,

Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Also, Resolution No. 26683 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Hermann Sons be and they are hereby granted permission to hold masquerade ball in Civic Auditorium, Saturday evening, February 12, 1927.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Also, Resolution No. 26684 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the United Swiss Society be and it is hereby granted permission to hold masquerade ball in the California Hall, Saturday, February 5, 1927.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Closing and Abandoning Portion of Cunningham Place.

Supervisor Marks presented:

Resolution No. 26685 (New Series), as follows:

Closing and abandoning a portion of Cunningham place as hereinafter described.

Whereas, on the 4th day of October, 1926, the Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco duly and regularly passed Resolution No. 26274 (New Series), which resolution was presented to his Honor the Mayor for his approval and was duly and regularly approved by the Mayor of the City and County of San Francisco on the 15th day of October, 1926; said resolution being in words and figures as follows, to-wit:

Resolution No. 26274 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the public interest requires that a portion of Cunningham place be closed up and abandoned as hereinafter described; be it

Further Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to close up and abandon all that certain portion of Cunningham place, described as follows, to-wit:

Commencing at a point on the southerly line of Cunningham place, distant thereon 179 feet westerly from the westerly line of Valencia street and running westerly on said southerly line of Cunningham place 183 feet to the easterly line of Angelica street; thence at a right angle northerly along the easterly line of Angelica street 35 feet to the northerly line of Cunningham place; thence at a right angle easterly along the northerly line of Cunningham place 173 feet; thence at a right angle southerly along the easterly boundary of part of Cunningham place 23 feet to the northerly line of the easterly section of Cunningham place; thence at a right angle easterly along the northerly line of Cunningham place 10 feet; thence at a right angle southerly 12 feet to the southerly line of Cunningham place and point of commencement. Being the westerly portion of Cunningham place.

Said closing up and abandonment of said portion of said street shall be done and made in the manner and in accordance with the provisions of Section 2 of Chapter III of Article VI of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco as amended, and the sections of said chapter and article following said Section 2. Be it

Further Resolved, That there are no costs or expenses to be incurred in connection with said closing and abandoning.

And the Clerk is hereby directed to transmit to the Board of Public Works a certified copy of this resolution and the Board of Public Works is hereby directed to give notice of said contemplated closing and abandonment of said portion of Cunningham place in the manner provided by law, and to cause notice to be published in The San Francisco Bulletin as required by law.

Adopted—Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, October 4, 1926.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, Welch—17.

Absent—Supervisor Kent—1.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk.

Approved, San Francisco, October 15, 1926.

JAMES ROLPH, JR.,
Mayor.

And, whereas, the Clerk of the Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco did transmit to the Board of Public Works of the City and County of San Francisco a certified copy of said resolution,

and the said Board of Public Works did, upon receipt of said resolution, cause to be posted in the manner and as required by law, notices of the passage of said resolution, and did also cause in the manner and as required by law, a notice, similar in substance, to be published for a period of ten days in the official newspaper of the City and County of San Francisco; and

Whereas, certain persons did make objections to the same within ten days after the expiration of said publication of said notice; and

Whereas, all objections to the closing of said portion of Cunninghame place have been withdrawn;

Further Resolved, That a turning space for the owners of the property adjacent to that portion of Cunningham place which remains an open public street will be provided by the Playground Commissioners by setting back the playground fence to conform to the heavy ticked line shown on the map entitled "Map showing portion of Cunningham place to be closed"; the portion to be dedicated for street purposes being more particularly described as follows:

Beginning at a point on the southerly line of Cunningham place, distant westerly thereon 100 feet from the westerly line of Valencia street and running thence westerly along said southerly line of Cunningham place 79 feet; thence at right angles southerly 10 feet to the beginning of a curve to the left, tangent to the last described course, and having a radius of 20 feet and central angle 90 degrees; thence in a southeasterly direction along said curve 31.416 feet; thence easterly along the tangent to said curve and parallel with said southerly line of Cunningham place 59 feet; thence at right angles northerly 30 feet to the point of beginning. Being a portion of Mission Block 72.

Whereas, the public interest and convenience requires said improvement to be done as specifically described in Resolution No. 26274 (New Series); and

Whereas, the Supervisors have acquired jurisdiction to order that said portion of Cunningham place described in Resolution No. 26274 (New Series) be closed and abandoned; therefore,

Resolved, That it be ordered and it is hereby ordered that the portion of Cunningham place as specifically described and proposed in said Resolution No. 26274 (New Series) be closed and abandoned;

Further Resolved, That the entire damages, costs and expenses of closing said portion of said Cunningham place described in Resolution of Intention No. 26274 (New Series), shall be paid out of the revenues of the City and County of San Francisco as proposed and provided in Resolution of Intention No. 26274 (New Series); and be it

Further Resolved, That the said closing and abandonment of said portion of Cunningham place shall be done in the manner and in accordance with the provisions of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Clerk of this Board transmit a copy of this resolution to the Board of Public Works and that the Board of Public Works proceed thereafter as required by law, and the Clerk is hereby directed to advertise this resolution in The Bulletin as required by law.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Gallagher—2.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Modification of Set-back Line Ordinance.

On motion of Supervisor Hayden:

Bill No. 7878, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 6421 (New Series) respecting the establishing of set-back lines along a portion of Seventeenth avenue.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 6421 (New Series) establishing set-back lines along Seventeenth avenue (and other streets) is hereby amended in respect to said Seventeenth avenue northerly from Kirkham street and the set-back lines established thereon are hereby changed and modified and as so changed and modified are established as follows:

Along both sides of Seventeenth avenue, commencing at points 100 feet northerly from Kirkham street and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 3 1-3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 6 2-3 feet; thence northerly 300 feet, said set-back lines to be 10 feet; thence

northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 6 2-3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 3 1-3 feet.

Amendment to Tunnel Procedure Ordinance.

On motion of Supervisor Harrelson:

Bill No. 7879, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), entitled "Amending 'The Tunnel Procedure Ordinance' of the City and County of San Francisco, being Ordinance No. 2186 (New Series), approved February 19, 1913, by adding a new section thereto to be numbered and known as Section 38b, providing for the segregating of the assessment levied on any subdivision as assessed, when said subdivison has been subdivided into smaller parcels or lots subsequent to the time of the original recordation of said assessment in the office of the Tax Collector of said City and County; providing for the apportionment of such assessment among such smaller parcels or lots; also, providing for the execution of 'request and agreement of property owners for deferred payments' of said assessment when segregated and apportioned among said smaller parcels of lots."

ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business, the Board at 8:30 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors May 2, 1927.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated, and approved as above recited.

JOHN S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco.

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Vol. 22—New Series No. 3

Monday, January 17, 1927

Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
77 Sutter Street, S. F.

JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, JANUARY 17, 1927, 10 A. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, January 17, 1927, 10 a. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Kent —2.

Supervisor Bath excused on account of illness.

Quorum present.

His Honor Mayor Rolph presiding.

His Honor the Mayor was in the chair at 10 a. m. and he requested that note be made of the time the Board went into session.

At 10:30 a. m. he relinquished the chair to Supervisor McSheehy, explaining that important business in his office required his presence there.

APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the meeting of November 8, 1926, was considered read and approved.

ROLL CALL FOR PETITIONS FROM MEMBERS.

Letter of Thanks, Edgar C. Levey.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

January 7, 1927.

Honorable Board of Supervisors, City and County of San Francisco, City Hall, San Francisco, California.

Gentlemen and Friends:

Permit me to express to you and to your Legislative Committee, Hon. J. B. Badaracco, chairman, my sincere thanks and appreciation for the kindness and courtesy shown me in the presentation of the beautiful basket of flowers which graced my desk following my election as Speaker of the Assembly.

I wish to assure you that, as recognition has now been given San Francisco for the first time in many years, the entire delegation will use every effort and every endeavor to merit the confidence thus reposed in it.

With kindest personal regards to each of you, I am

Yours sincerely,

EDGAR C. LEVEY.

Filed and ordered spread in Journal.

Communication from City Attorney in re Injunction Against Operation of City Incinerator.

January 15, 1927.

Honorable Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, California.

Dear Sirs:

Please be advised that this office is in receipt of a notice of motion for an injunction to prevent the City and County of San Francisco et al. from operating the city incinerator pending final judgment in the case of San Francisco Development Co. vs. City and County of San Francisco et al. This matter has been noticed for January 21. 1927. It will probably be heard within a week of that date.

The action is based upon the proposition that the operation of the incinerator is a nuisance. This, of course, is a question of fact to be decided by the court. Should a judgment be rendered in favor of the plaintiff, and it is quite possible that such may be the fact, the City will be without means of garbage disposal. It is needless for me to comment upon the seriousness of such a situation. I therefore trust that your Honorable Board will lose no time in taking some action, either to dispose of the City's garbage in some manner other than it is being disposed of at the present time, or forthwith remedy the conditions which are causing the operation of the present incinerator to be complained of.

The action to enjoin the use of the incinerator has been pending

since October 4, 1926. I have received numerous continuances from counsel for the plaintiff, and in that manner the case has been carried over from time to time. Further continuances cannot be procured indefinitely, and it now appears as though we are right up against the proposition of determining the question of whether or not the operation of the incinerator is a nuisance so far as the people in the immediate locality thereof are concerned.

Trusting that this matter will receive the immediate attention of your Board, I am

Sincerely yours,

JNO. J. O'TOOLE,
City Attorney.

Read and *referred to the Health Committee.*

County Boards of Supervisors' Convention.

Supervisor Shannon announced that the County Boards of Supervisors would meet in convention at Sacramento March 9 to 11, 1927.

Leave of Absence, Mrs. Ernest J. Mott.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

January 10, 1927.

Honorable Board of Supervisors,
City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen:

Application has been made to me by Mrs. Ernest J. Mott, member of the Board of Education, for a leave of absence, with permission to absent herself from the State of California for a period of three weeks, commencing January 10, 1927.

Will you please concur with me in granting this leave of absence?

Respectfully yours,

JAMES ROLPH, JR.,
Mayor.

Whereupon, the following resolution was *adopted* by the following vote:

Resolution No. 26709 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Mrs. Ernest J. Mott, member of the Board of Education, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of three weeks, commencing January 10, 1927, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Kent—2.

Former Mayor Schmitz.

Former Mayor Schmitz was noted present, and being called upon by the chair, wished all a Happy New Year and declared that he will be here at subsequent meetings to take part in debate. He referred to the garbage question and other matters that call for solution in the near future.

UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

Final Passage.

The following matters heretofore passed for printing were taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Authorizations.

Resolution No. 26687 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

Park Fund.

(1) De Graff Bros. Co., tulip and hyacinth bulbs for parks (claim dated Jan. 7, 1927), $950.

(2) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., installing underground service in Fleishhacker Playfield (claim dated Jan. 7, 1927), $520.56.

(3) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., installing underground service for Harding Park (claim dated Jan. 7, 1927), $2,124.08.

Playground Fund.

(4) Standard Fence Co., installing fence at Excelsior Playground (claim dated Jan. 5, 1927), $841.15.

School Bonds, Issue 1918.

(5) Fred Medart Mfg. Co., gymnasium equipment, High School of Commerce (claim dated Jan. 4, 1927), $1,244.08.

Special School Tax.

(6) S. W. Band, eighth payment, plumbing and gas-fitting, additional units to Galileo High School (claim dated Jan. 4, 1927), $1,233.75.

(7) P. J. Enright, fifth payment, mechanical equipment, additional units to Galileo High School (claim dated Jan. 4, 1927), $2,880.04.

(8) Mahony Bros., third payment, addition to Commodore Sloat School (claim dated Jan. 4, 1927), $7,851.30.

(9) Knittle Bros., first payment, mechanical equipment, addition to Madison School (claim dated Jan. 4, 1927), $800.44.

(10) E. K. Nelson, first payment, general construction, addition to Madison School (claim dated Jan. 4, 1927), $8,043.38.

County Road Fund.

(11) Fay Improvement Co., second payment, improvement of

Geneva avenue, Prague street to County Line (claim dated Jan. 4, 1927), $4,500.

(12) James M. Smith, fourth payment, improvement and extension of Market street from Mono to Ord streets (claim dated Jan. 4, 1927), $4,950.

Fire Department Land and Construction Fund.

(13) Elliott & Grant, fourth payment, general construction of Fire Department Engine House No. 19 (claim dated Jan. 4, 1927), $2,532.41.

Sunset Tunnel Assessment Fund.

(14) Leonard F. Youdall and R. G. Clifford (Youdall Construction Co.), seventh payment, construction of Sunset Tunnel (claim dated Jan. 4, 1927), $53,836.50.

Tubercular Sanitarium Fund.

(15) Ajax Construction Co., second payment, water supply system for Tuberculosis Preventorium (claim dated Jan. 4, 1927), $1,835.25.

(16) Latourette-Fical Co., first payment, plumbing work, Tuberculosis Preventorium (claim dated Jan. 4, 1927), $8,745.75.

(17) Reilly & Nemetz, second payment, general construction, Tuberculosis Preventorium (claim dated Jan. 4, 1927), $29,440.96.

Relief Home Bonds, Issue 1923.

(18) Frederick W. Snook Co., nineteenth payment, mechanical equipment, new Relief Home (claim dated Jan. 4, 1927), $3,009.99.

(19) Frederick W. Snook Co., twenty-third payment, plumbing and gas-fitting, new Relief Home (claim dated Jan. 4, 1927), $2,780.62.

School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923.

(20) Grant & Hart, installing gate at Alvarado School yard (claim dated Dec. 30, 1926), $830.

(21) Latourette-Fical Co., third payment, plumbing work for West Portal School (claim dated Jan. 4, 1927), $2,145.23.

(22) Latourette-Fical Co., final payment, mechanical equipment for Anza street (Lafayette) School (claim dated Jan. 4, 1927), $5,278.14.

(23) Latourette-Fical Co., fourteenth payment, mechanical equipment for new Mission High School (claim dated Jan. 4, 1927), $1,024.24.

(24) Butte Electrical Equipment Co., final payment, electrical work for Anza Street (Lafayette) School (claim dated Jan. 4, 1927), $2,510.45.

(25) Anderson & Ringrose, first payment, general construction of Hearst-Moulder School (claim dated Jan. 4, 1927), $12,544.87.

(26) O. Monson, seventh payment, general construction of West Portal School (claim dated Jan. 4, 1927), $6,828.75.

(27) Latourette-Fical Co., first payment, plumbing and gas-fitting, Mission (Everett) Junior High School (claim dated Jan. 4, 1927), $7,262.10.

(28) L. Flatland, first payment, electrical work, additions to Sunnyside School (claim dated Jan. 4, 1927), $1,338.75.

(29) A. Lettich, final payment, plumbing for Anza Street (Lafayette) School (claim dated Jan. 4, 1927), $500.

(30) Amoroso & Damico, seventh payment, general construction, Edison School (claim dated Jan. 4, 1927), $12,708.37.

(31) O. Monson, fourth payment, general construction, addition to Francis Scott Key School (claim dated Jan. 4, 1927), $5,572.50.

(32) F. L. Hansen, eleventh payment, general construction, Henry Durant School (claim dated Jan. 4, 1927), $7,884.94.

(33) A. Lettich, fifth payment, mechanical equipment, annex to Guadalupe School (claim dated Jan. 4, 1927), $1,064.63.

(34) Anderson & Ringrose, third payment, general construction, annex to Guadalupe School (claim dated Jan. 4, 1927), $22,575.

Special School Tax.

(35) O. Monson, eighth payment, general construction, additional units to Galileo High School (claim dated Jan. 4, 1927), $25,852.50.

Hetch Hetchy Operative Revenue Fund.

(36) Railroad Commission of the State of California, for expense of valuation of electric properties of Pacific Gas and Electric Co. and Great Western Power Co. (claim dated Jan. 10, 1927), $2,500.

Hetch Hetchy Water Bonds, Issue 1925.

(37) Ingersoll-Rand Co. of California, machinery parts, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Dec. 29, 1926), $2,424.42.

(38) United States Rubber Co., rubber supplies, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Dec. 29, 1926), $503.55.

(39) Associated Oil Co., oils for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Dec. 30 1926), $503.57.

(40) The Giant Powder Co., Con., explosives for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Dec. 30, 1926), $5,250.

(41) Hercules Powder Co., explosives for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Dec. 30, 1926), $850.97.

(42) John A. Roebling's Sons Co. of California, wire for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Dec. 30, 1926), $743.26.

Hetch Hetchy Power Operative Fund.

(43) Pope & Talbot, lumber (claim dated Dec. 30, 1926), $563.45.

General Fund, 1926-1927.

(44) The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company, printing and publishing of Law and Motion and Trial Calendars, etc. (claim dated Jan. 10, 1927), $1 075.

(45) San Francisco Bulletin, official advertising, Board of Supervisors (claim dated Jan. 10, 1927), $506.92.

(46) San Francisco Bulletin, official advertising, Board of Public Works (claim dated Jan. 5, 1927), $556.87.

(47) San Francisco Society for the Prevention of Cruelty to Animals, impounding, feeding, etc., of animals (claim dated Jan. 10, 1927), $1,250.

(48) Jewish Community Center, refund of taxes and penalties paid on exempt church property (claim dated Jan. 10, 1927), $1,322.54.

(49) James Rolph, Jr., Mayor's incidentals for January (claim dated Jan. 10, 1927), $625.

(50) Daniel J. O'Brien, police contingent expense (claim dated Jan. 1, 1927), $750.

(51) Feeble-minded Department of Juvenile Court, salaries and expenses for months of November and December, 1926 (claim dated Jan. 4, 1927), $709.95.

(52) Eaton & Smith, first payment, improvement of Sutro Monument and grounds (claim dated Jan. 4, 1927), $3 600.

(53) John Spargo, second payment, additions in lightwell of Hall of Justice (claim dated Jan. 4, 1927), $1 107.19.

(54) Schultz Construction Co., third payment, hauling and laying high-pressure water mains in Butchertown District (claim dated Jan. 4, 1927), $9,000.

(55) Western Rock Products Co., sand for street repair (claim dated Jan. 4 1927), $1,797.32.

(56) W. R. Ames Co., street cans for street cleaning (claim dated Jan. 4, 1927), $548.

(57) California Petroleum Corp., fuel oil for Civic Center power house (claim dated Jan. 4, 1927), $1,035.60.

(58) Langendorf Baking Co., bread, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Nov. 30, 1926), $1,-090.35.

(59) California Petroleum Co., fuel oil, Relief Home (claim dated Nov. 30, 1926), $1,587.92.

(60) J. T. Freitas Co. Inc., butter and eggs, Relief Home (claim dated Nov. 30, 1926), $2,351.10.

(61) San Francisco Dairy Co., milk, Relief Home (claim dated Nov. 30, 1926), $1,947.68.

(62) Louis Strauss, Inc., men's clothing, Relief Home (claim dated Nov. 30, 1926). $778.50.

(63) The Hobart Manufacturing Co., food chopper, mixer, etc., for Relief Home (claim dated Nov. 30, 1926), $1,202.10.

(64) Walter G. Preddey, motiograph projector for Relief Home (claim dated Nov. 30, 1926), $1,-262.28.

(65) Levi Strauss & Co., spreads for Relief Home (claim dated Nov. 30, 1926), $1,110.

Publicity and Advertising (Appropriation 55.)

(66) Rudolph G. Theurkauf, one floral float designed and executed for the Pasadena Tournament of Roses, January 1, 1927, for the publicity and advertising of San Francisco (claim dated Jan. 10, 1927), $1,000.

General Fund, 1926-1927.

(67) Spring Valley Water Co., water service, Fire Department hydrants for month of December, 1926 (claim dated Dec. 29, 1926), $14,-412.60.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Kent —2.

Appropriating $6,836.61 in Payment of Tax Refund Judgments.

Resolution No. 26688 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $6,836.61 be and the same is hereby authorized in payment out of "Tax Judgments," Appropriation 59, to Tobin & Tobin, attorneys for and in behalf of judgment creditors, as per schedule attached to claim; being fourth installment of one-tenth of said judgments with interest. (Claim dated Jan. 4, 1927.) Approved by the City Attorney.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Kent —2.

Appropriation, $9,465, Payment to Higgins & Sons for land required for the Widening of Alemany Boulevard.

Resolution No. 26689 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $9,465 be and the same is hereby authorized in payment to Higgins & Sons out of $45,000 appropriated out of County Road Fund by Resolution No. 26338 (New Series) for lands required for the widening of Alemany avenue; said lands being particularly described in acceptance of offer by Resolution No 26636 (New Series. (Claim dated Jan. 5, 1927.)

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath Kent —2.

Appropriations for the Improvement of the Lower Road of Great Highway, Equipment, Relief Home, Painting, Eetc., Police Courts, District Attorney, Etc.

Resolution No. 26690 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned funds for the following purposes, to-wit:

County Road Fund.

(1) For the paving of the lower road of the Great Highway, Ocean Beach, $15,000.

Relief Home Equipment, Budget Item No. 64.

(2) For the purchase of miscellaneous equipment for the new Relief Home, $4,163.27.

Repairs to Public Buildings, Budget Item No. 68.

(3) For cleaning and painting, etc., in Police Court rooms, rooms of District Attorney, Police Department, elevator shafts, etc., at Hall of Justice, $2,475.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Kent —2.

Appropriation, $851.84, Payment to Crocker National Bank, Fiscal Agent.

Resolution No. 26691 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved That the sum of $851.84 be and is hereby appropriated out of Urgent Necessity, Budget Item No. 25, and authorized in payment to Crocker National Bank of San Francisco; being reimbursement for clerk hire and payments made as fiscal agents for account of City and County bonds and bond interest. (Claim dated Dec. 23, 1926.)

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Kent —2.

Oil and Boiler Permits.

Resolution No. 26692 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits be and the same are hereby granted:

Oil Tanks.

Chas. H. Layne, 1430 Clay street, 1500 gallons capacity.

G. L. Nelson, south side of Alhambra street, 168 feet east of Broderick street, 1500 gallons capacity.

F. W. Stephenson, 2546 Jackson street, 1500 gallons capacity.

E. J. Wade, south side of Golden Gate avenue, 96 feet west of Broderick street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Boiler.

Joachim and Juliette Dumas, northeast corner of Hampshire and Mariposa streets, 25 horsepower.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits shall become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton,

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Kent —2.

Hand Laundry Permit.

Resolution No. 26693 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That F. H. Wong be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to operate and maintain a hand laundry at 635 Clay street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Kent —2.

Blasting Permits.

Resolution No. 26694 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Hyman Rosenberg be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to explode blasts on property situate on the west side of San Bruno avenue, 200 feet south of Cortland avenue, for purpose of grading private property and street, provided said permittee shall execute and file a good and sufficient bond in the sum of $5,000, as fixed by the Board of Public Works and approved by his Honor the Mayor, in accordance with Ordinance No. 1204 (New Series); provided, also, that said blasts shall be exploded only between the hours of 7 a. m. and 6 p. m., and that the work of blasting shall be performed to the satisfaction of the Board of Public Works, and that if any of the conditions of this resolution be violated by said Hyman Rosenberg, then the privileges and all rights accruing thereunder shall immediately become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Kent —2.

Resolution No. 26695 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That J. P. Holland be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to explode blasts on property situate on the east side of Illinois street between Twentieth and Twenty-second streets, provided said permittee shall execute and file a good and sufficient bond in the sum of $10,000, as fixed by the Board of Public Works and approved by his Honor the Mayor, in accordance with Ordinance No. 1204 (New Series); provided, also, that said blasts shall be exploded only between the hours of 7 a. m. and 6 p. m., and that the work of blasting shall be performed to the satisfaction of the Board of Public Works, and that if any of the conditions of this resolution be violated by said J. P. Holland, then the privileges and all rights accruing thereunder shall immediately become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Kent —2.

Resolution No. 26696 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That R. J. O'Connell be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at the will of the Board of Supervisors, to explode blasts on property situate on the west side of Upper Terrace, 250 feet south of Clifford Terrace, provided said permittee shall execute and file a good and sufficient bond in the sum of $5,000, as fixed by the Board of Public Works and approved by his Honor the Mayor, in accordance with Ordinance No. 1204 (New Series); provided, also, that said blasts shall be exploded only between the hours of 7 a. m. and 6 p. m., and that the work of blasting shall be performed to the satisfaction of the Board of Public Works, and that if any of the conditions of this resolution be violated by said R. J. O'Connell, then the privileges and all rights accruing thereunder shall immediately become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Kent —2.

Automobile Supply Station Permit.

Resolution No. 26697 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Standard Oil Company of California be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to operate and maintain an automobile supply station on the northwest corner of Twelfth street and Van Ness avenue.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Kent —2.

Dyeing and Cleaning Works Permit.

Resolution No. 26698 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Joachim and Juliette Dumas be and are hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to operate and maintain a cleaning and dyeing works on the northeast corner of Hampshire and Mariposa streets.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Kent —2.

Garage Permit.

Resolution No. 26699 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Alfonse Buckman be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to operate and maintain a public garage at 538 Bush street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Kent —2.

Auto Supply Station Permit.

Resolution No. 26700 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That D. J. Seegelken be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to operate and maintain an automobile supply station on the northeast corner of Twenty-second avenue and Irving street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Kent —2.

Blasting Permit.

Resolution No. 26701 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Federal Construction Company is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, for sixty days, to explode blasts during the construction of street and sewer work in the City Land Association Tract, in the district bounded by the northerly line of Garfield street, the northerly line of Randolph street, the easterly line of Head street and the westerly line of Monticello street, provided said permittee shall execute and file a good and sufficient bond in the sum of $10,000 as fixed by the Board of Public Works and approved by his Honor the Mayor, in accordance with Ordinance No. 1204; provided, also, that said blasts shall be exploded only between the hours of 7 a. m. and 6 p. m., and that the work of blasting shall be performed to the satisfaction and under the supervision of the Board of Public Works, and that if any of the conditions of this resolution be violated by said Federal Construction Company, then the privileges and all the rights accruing thereunder shall immediately become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Kent —2.

Ordering Construction of Sewers in Noriega Street Between Twenty-fourth and Twenty-ninth Avenues.

Bill No. 7877, Ordinance No. 7383 (New Series), as follows:

Authorizing the preparation of plans and specifications for the construction of a reinforced concrete sewer in Noriega street between Twenty-fourth and Twenty-ninth avenues, and ordering the construction of a reinforced concrete sewer in Noriega street between Twenty-fourth and Twenty-ninth avenues in accordance with plans and specifications prepared therefor; authorizing and directing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for said sewer construction.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized, instructed and empowered to prepare plans and specifications for the construction of a reinforced concrete sewer in Noriega street between Twenty-fourth and Twenty-ninth avenues, and to enter into contract for the construction of a reinforced concrete sewer in Noriega street between Twenty-fourth and Twenty-ninth avenues in accordance with plans and specifications prepared therefor.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Kent —2.

Amending Zoning Ordinance Placing West Side of Thirtieth Avenue Between Escolta Way and Wawona Street in the Second Residential District Instead of the First Residential District.

Bill No. 7871, Ordinance No. 7384 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series) entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings, and the locations of buildings designed for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Sections 5 and 14 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, are hereby ordered changed so as to place the westerly side of Thirtieth avenue between Escolta way and Wawona street, for a depth of 210 feet, in the Second Residential District instead of the First Residential District.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Kent —2.

Amending Zoning Ordinance Placing Northeast Corner of Sloat Boulevard and Forty-fifth Avenue in the Commercial District Instead of the First Residential District.

Bill No. 7872, Ordinance No. 7385 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings, and the locations of buildings designed for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Sections 5 and 14 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, are hereby ordered changed so as to place the northeast corner of Sloat boulevard and Forty-fifth avenue, for a distance of 44.277 feet along Forty-fifth avenue and a distance of 120 feet along Sloat boulevard, in the Commercial District instead of the First Residential District.

Ayes—Suervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Supervisor Badaracco excused from voting.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Kent —2.

Modification of Set-back Line Ordinance.

Bill No. 7878, Ordinance No. 7386 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 6421 (New Series) respecting the establishing of set-back lines along a portion of Seventeenth avenue.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 6421 (New Series) establishing set-back lines along Seventeenth avenue (and other streets) is hereby amended in respect to said Seventeenth avenue northerly from Kirkham street and the set-back lines established thereon are hereby changed and modified and as so changed and modified are established as follows:

Along both sides of Seventeenth avenue, commencing at points 100 feet northerly from Kirkham street and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 3 1-3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 6 2-3 feet; thence northerly 300 feet, said set-back lines to be 10 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 6 2-3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 3 1-3 feet.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Kent —2.

Providing for Sale of City Property.

Bill No. 7873, Ordinance No. 7387 (New Series), as follows:

Providing for the disposal at private sale of certain land belonging to the City and County of San Francisco, in accordance with the provisions of Article II, Chapter II, Section 9 of the Charter.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. That public interest and necessity demand the sale of the following described land owned and held by the City and County of San Francisco, situated within the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and being more particularly described as follows, to-wit:

Parcel "A." Beginning at the point of intersection of the northerly line of Twenty-ninth street with the westerly line of Kenyon avenue; thence along said northerly line of Twenty-ninth street south 85 deg. 24 min. 56 sec. west 560 feet to the easterly line of Stanford Heights avenue; thence along said easterly line of Stanford Heights avenue north 4 deg. 35 min. 4 sec. west 228 feet to the southerly line of Valley street; thence along said southerly line of Valley street north 85 deg. 24 min. 56 sec. east 216.295 feet; thence south 58 deg. 36 min. east 145.267 feet; thence along the arc of a curve to the left with a radius of 545 feet, tangent to the preceding course southeasterly 227.972 feet; thence tangent to the preceding course south 82 deg. 34 min. east 19.846 feet to the aforesaid westerly line of Kenyon avenue; thence along said westerly line of Kenyon avenue south 4 deg. 35 min. 4 sec. east 46.459 feet to the point of beginning. Being part of Block 60, Stanford Heights, and containing 2.071 acres.

Parcel "B." Beginning at the point of intersection of the easterly line of Kenyon avenue with the northerly line of Twenty-ninth street; thence along said easterly line of Kenyon avenue north 4 deg. 35 min. 4 sec. west 31.822 feet; thence south 82 deg. 34 min. east 152.831 feet to the aforesaid northerly line of Twenty-ninth street; thence along said northerly line of Twenty-ninth street south 85 deg. 24 min. 56 sec. west 149.482 feet to the point of beginning. Being part of Block 61, Stanford Heights, and containing 0.055 acres.

Parcel "C." Beginning at the point of intersection of the northerly line of Thirty-first street with the westerly line of Burnett avenue; thence along said northerly line of Thirty-first street south 85 deg. 24 min. 56 sec. west 560 feet to the easterly line of Twin Peaks avenue; thence along said easterly line of Twin Peaks avenue north 4 deg. 35 min. 4 sec. west 228 feet to the southerly line of Clarkson street; thence along said southerly line of Clarkson street north 85 deg. 24 min. 56 sec. east 413.101 feet; thence south 26 deg. 16 min. east 71.833 feet and south 44 deg. 36 min. east 187.186 feet to the aforesaid westerly line of Burnett avenue; thence along said westerly line of Burnett avenue south 4 deg. 35 min. 4 sec. east 17.889 feet to the point of beginning. Being part of Block 90, Stanford Heights, and containing 2.528 acres.

Section 2. Said parcels of land shall be sold together for cash, in lawful money of the United States of America, at a private sale to be held in accordance with the provisions of Article II, Chapter II, Section 9 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 3. The Clerk of the Board of Supervisors is hereby directed to publish in the official newspaper and in one other daily newspaper published in this City and County for two weeks successive next before the day on or after which the sale is to be made, a notice of such sale describing the land to be sold with common certainty.

Section 4. The Mayor, Assessor and the chairman of the Finance Committee of the Board of Supervisors are hereby directed to appraise said land within three weeks after the final passage of this ordinance as required by Article II, Chapter II, Section 9 of the Charter.

Section 5. Upon receipt and examination of bids or offers for said land hereinabove described, the Mayor shall accept the highest bid made, provided said bid be for at least ninety (90) per cent of the value found by said appraisers, and shall immediately thereafter, at the next meeting of the Supervisors, report the fact of such sale to the Supervisors, with a statement of the sum bid and the name of the highest bidder, with a request that the Board confirm such sale.

Section 6. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Kent —2.

Fixing Sidewalk Widths on Laidley Street.

Bill No. 7874, Ordinance No. 7388 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled. "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by amending Sections ninety-one and eight hundred and seventy-six thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061,

entitled, "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Board of Public Works, filed in this office December 31, 1926, by amending Sections ninety-one and eight hundred and seventy-six thereof, to read as follows:

Section 91. The width of sidewalks on Laidley street between Miguel street and Castro street shall be ten (10) feet.

Section 876. The width of sidewalks on Laidley street between Harry street and Fairmount street shall be ten (10) feet.

The width of sidewalks on Laidley street between Fairmount street and Miguel street shall be as shown on that certain map entitled, "Map of Laidley street between Fairmount street and Miguel street," showing the location of street and curb lines and the width of sidewalks.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect and be in force from and after its passage.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Kent —2.

Establishing Grades, Tuscany Alley.

Bill No. 7875, Ordinance No. 7389 (New Series), as follows:

Establishing grades on Tuscany alley between Lombard street and a line parallel with and 137.50 feet southerly therefrom.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The grades on Tuscany alley between Lombard street and a line parallel with and 137.50 feet southerly therefrom are hereby established at points hereinafter named and at heights above city base as hereinafter stated, in accordance with recommendation of the Board of Public Works, filed December 22, 1926.

Tuscany Alley.

11 feet southerly from Lombard street, 76.00 feet.

69.50 feet southerly from Lombard street, 85.00 feet.

100 feet southerly from Lombard street, 86.00 feet.

137.50 feet southerly from Lombard street, 87.00 feet.

On Tuscany alley between Lombard street and a line parallel with and 137.50 feet southerly therefrom, be established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor and the present official grade of Lombard street at Tuscany alley.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Kent —2.

Conditional Acceptance, Certain Streets.

Bill No. 7876, Ordinance No. 7390 (New Series), as follows:

Providing for conditional acceptance of the roadway of Alpha street between Arleta and Raymond avenues, Byxbee street between Holloway avenue and Garfield street, Brazil avenue between La Grande avenue and Prague street, Castro street between Chenery and Laidley streets and the intersection of Castro and Surrey streets, Douglass street between Clipper and Twenty-sixth streets, Twenty-sixth street between Diamond and Douglass streets and the crossing of Twenty-sixth and Douglass streets and the intersection of Douglass and Clipper streets, Forty-third avenue between Santiago and Taraval streets, Hawes street between Quesada and Revere avenues, Kirkham street between Twenty-fourth and Twenty-fifth avenues, Lawton street between Forty-fifth and Forty-sixth avenues, Lane street between McKinnon and Newcomb avenues, Majestic avenue between Lakeview avenue and Ridge lane, McCormick place between Pacific street and its southerly termination, Ortega street between Ninth and Tenth avenues, Niagara avenue between Howth street and Edgar place, including the intersection of Niagara and Williar avenues, Palou avenue between Hawes and Ingalls streets and between Ingalls and Jennings streets and the crossings of Palou avenue and Hawes street, Palou avenue and Ingalls street and Palou avenue and Jennings street, Prentiss street between Jarboe and Tompkins avenues, Rivera street between Forty-fourth avenue and Forty-fifth avenue, Rivera street between Forty-fifth avenue and Forty-sixth avenue, Santiago street between Forty-fourth avenue and Forty-fifth avenue, Santiago street between Forty-fifth avenue and Forty-sixth

avenue, Forty-fourth avenue between Rivera street and Santiago street, Forty-fifth avenue between Rivera street and Santiago street, Forty-fifth avenue between Santiago street and Taraval street, Forty-sixth avenue between Rivera street and Santiago street, Santiago street between Twenty-ninth and Thirtieth avenues, Seneca avenue between Delano and Otsego avenues, Sixteenth avenue between Noriega and Ortega streets and the crossing of Sixteenth avenue and Noriega street, Santiago street between Thirtieth and Thirty-first avenues, Santiago street between Thirty-first and Thirty-second avenues, Seventeenth avenue between Taraval and Ulloa streets, Twenty-sixth avenue between Kirkham and Lawton streets, Tompkins avenue between Anderson and Ellsworth streets, Twenty-fifth avenue between Ulloa and Vincente streets, Thirtieth avenue between Rivera and Santiago streets, Vinton court between Grant avenue and its westerly termination, Wawona street between Forty-fifth and Forty-sixth avenues and Wawona street between Forty-seventh avenue and the Great Highway, crossings of Sixteenth avenue and Ortega street, Twenty-fifth avenue and Kirkham street, Kirkham street and Seventeenth avenue, Santiga street and Thirtieth avenue, Santiago street and Thirty-second avenue, Hollywood court between Pope street and its easterly termination, Sabin place between California street and its northerly termination.

Be is ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The roadways of the following-named streets, including the curbs on both sides thereof, having been constructed to the satisfaction of the Board of Public Works, and of the Board of Supervisors, are hereby conditionally accepted by the City and County of San Francisco (except those portions required by law to be kept in order by the railroad company having tracks thereon), in accordance with the provisions of Section 23, Chapter 2, Article VI, of the Charter, said roadways having been paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete and curbs laid thereon, and are in good condition throughout, to-wit:

Alpha street between Arleta and Raymond avenues, paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs laid thereon, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Brazil avenue between La Grande avenue and Prague street, paved with concrete and concrete curbs laid thereon, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains has been laid therein.

Byxbee street between Holloway avenue and Garfield street, paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs laid thereon, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Douglass street between Clipper and Twenty-sixth streets, Twenty-sixth street between Diamond and Douglass streets and the crossing of Twenty-sixth and Douglass streets, and the intersection of Douglass and Clipper streets, paved with concrete and asphaltic concrete and concrete laid thereon, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Castro street between Chenery and Laidley streets and the intersection of Castro and Surrey streets, paved with concrete and concrete curbs have been laid thereon, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Forty-third avenue between Santiago and Taraval streets, paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs laid thereon, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Hawes street between Quesada and Revere avenues, paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs laid thereon, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Kirkham street between Twenty-fourth and Twenty-fifth avenues, paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs laid thereon, sewers and gas mains have been laid theien, no water mains have been laid therein.

Lawton street between Forty-fifth and Forty-sixth avenues, paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs laid thereon, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Lane street between McKinnon and Newcomb avenues, paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs laid thereon, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein

Majestic avenue between Lake View and Ridge lane, paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete and concrete curbs laid thereon, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

McCormick place between Pacific

street and its southerly termination, paved with concrete and concrete curbs laid thereon, sewers have been laid therein, no gas or water mains have been laid therein.

Ortega street between Ninth and Tenth avenues, paved with concrete and concrete curbs laid thereon, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Niagara avenue between Howth street and Edgar place, including the intersection of Niagara avenue and Williar avenue, paved with concrete and concrete curbs laid thereon, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Palou avenue between Hawes and Ingalls streets, and between Ingalls and Jennings streets and the crossings of Palou avenue and Hawes street, Palou avenue and Ingalls street and Palou avenue and Jennings street, paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs laid thereon, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Prentiss street between Jarboe and Tompkins avenues, paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete and concrete curbs laid thereon, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Rivera street between Forty-fourth and Forty-fifth avenues, Rivera street between Forty-fifth and and Forty-sixth avenues, Santiago street between Forty-fourth and Forty-fifth avenues, Santiago street between Forty-fifth and Forty-sixth avenues, Forty-fourth avenue between Rivera and Santiago streets, Forty-fifth avenue between Rivera and Santiago streets, Forty-fifth avenue between Santiago and Taraval streets, Forty-sixth avenue between Rivera and Santiago streets, paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs laid thereon, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Santiago street between Twenty-ninth and Thirtieth avenues, paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs laid thereon, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Seneca avenue between Delano and Otsego avenues paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs laid thereon, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Sixteenth avenue between Noriega and Ortega streets and the crossing of Sixteenth avenue and Noriega street, paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs laid thereon, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Santiago street between Thirtieth and Thirty-first avenues, paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs laid thereon, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Santiago street between Thirty-first and Thirty-second avenues, paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs laid thereon, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Seventeenth avenue between Taraval and Ulloa streets, paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs laid thereon, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Twenty-sixth avenue between Kirkham and Lawton streets, paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs laid thereon, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Tompkins avenue between Anderson and Ellsworth streets, paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs laid thereon, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Twenty-fifth avenue between Ulloa and Vicente streets, paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs laid thereon, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Thirtieth avenue between Rivera and Santiago streets, paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs laid thereon, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Vinton court between Grant avenue and its westerly termination, paved with concrete and concrete curbs laid thereon, sewers have been laid therein, no gas or water mains have been laid therein.

Wawona street between Forty-fifth and Forty-sixth avenues and Wawona street between Forty-seventh avenue and the Great Highway, paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs laid thereon, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Crossings of Sixteenth avenue and Ortega street, Twenty-fifth avenue and Kirkham street, Kirkham street and Seventeenth avenue, San-

tiago street and Thirtieth avenue, Santiago street and Thirty-second avenue, paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs laid thereon, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Hollywood court between Pope street and its easterly termination, paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs laid thereon, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Sabin place between California street and its northerly termination, paved with concrete and concrete curbs laid thereon, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Kent —2.

Tunnel Procedure Ordinance Amended.

Bill No. 7879, Ordinance No. 7391 (New Series), as follows:

Amending "The Tunnel Procedure Ordinance" of the City and County of San Francisco, being Ordinance No. 2186 (New Series), approved February 19, 1913, by adding a new section thereto to be numbered and known as Section 38b, providing for the segregating of the assessment levied on any subdivision as assessed, when said subdivision has been subdivided into smaller parcels or lots subsesquent to the time of the original recordation of said assessment in the office of the Tax Collector of said City and County; providing for the apportionment of such assessment among such smaller parcels or lots; also providing for the execution of "request and agreement of property owner for deferred payments" of said assessment when segregated and apportioned among said smaller parcels or lots.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. A new section is hereby added to "The Tunnel Procedure Ordinance," being Ordinance No. 2186 (New Series), approved February 19, 1913, providing for the segregating of the assessment levied on any subdivision as assessed, when said subdivision has been subdivided into smaller parcels or lots subsequent to the time of the original recordation of said assessment in the office of the Tax Collector of said City and County, providing for apportionment of such assessment among such smaller parcels or lots; also providing for execution of "Request and Agreement of Property Owner for Deferred Payments" of said assessments when segregated and apportioned among said smaller parcels or lots, said new section to be numbered and known as Section 38b and to read as follows:

Section 38b. When any assessment has been levied under the provisions of this ordinance and it shall appear that any subdivision shown upon the map and list constituting such assessment has been resubdivided into smaller parcels or lots by the owner or owners thereof subsequent to the time of recording of said assessment in the office of the Tax Collector of said City and County as in this ordinance provided, the assessment upon said subdivision may be segregated and apportioned between and upon the said several smaller parcels thereof as shown on the map or plat accompanying the written application for segregation and apportionment hereinafter referred to, subject to the following conditions and in the manner following:

All persons interested in said subdivision shall join in and make a written application for said segregation and reapportionment of such assessment to the Board of Public Works of said City and County not later than twenty (20) days prior to any date of delinquency. Said application shall be accompanied by a map or plat drawn to scale showing said subdivision, the various parcels thereof into which the same has been so subdivided, with the linear dimensions of each parcel, and showing also the names of the owners of each parcel, and said parcels shall be thereon identified by the consecutive letters of the alphabet, beginning with "A," so that each parcel may be referred to by its appropriate letter or letters.

In each instance the Board of Public Works shall forthwith segregate the total assessment as levied upon the original subdivision and apportion the same among the smaller lots thereof in proportion to area so that each smaller lot shall have apportioned to it such proportion of the whole original assessment as its area bears to the area of the whole original subdivision.

The Board of Public Works shall thereupon certify and cause to be filed with the Tax Collector a map or plat drawn to scale showing said subdivision, the various parcels into

which the same has been subdivided by said applicants for said segregation and also a "Segregation List" referring to said smaller lots upon said maps by the number of the original assessment and the respective letters designating said smaller lots, respectively, snowing the names of the persons to whom property represented thereby is assessed, and the amount of the segregated assessment apportioned to each of said smaller lots. When said maps and list have been prepared and such segregation and apportionment of said assessment made and shown thereon as aforesaid, said Board shall certify and deliver the same to the Tax Collector. The Tax Collector shall thereupon record said maps, together with a copy of said "Segregation List" in the same manner as the map of the original assessment district, and shall enter in his record of the list of said original assessment, opposite each subdivision thereof affected, a memorandum of the fact that such assessment has been segregated.

No segregation or apportionment shall be made, the aggregate of which shall be less than the original assessment upon the tract so segregated into smaller parcels or which shall diminish or decrease the amount of said original assessment. All the provisions of this ordinance, including among others those relative to the lien of said assessment, the payment of said assessments, the delinquency of said assessments and the sale of property assessed therefor, and redemption from such sale shall apply to each of said smaller lots and to the assessment segregated and apportioned to each of said smaller lots, respectively, in the same manner as though each of said smaller lots had been originally so assessed. In all cases where agreements for the payment of assessments in installments shall have been executed and delivered to cover the original subdivision, such agreements shall continue to bind, as herein provided, the persons executing the same to pay the amount of the original assessment upon the entire tract covered by such agreement, unless the owners of each of the smaller lots of land into which said subdivision originally assessed shall have been divided, shall have paid the amount of the segregated assessment apportioned to said smaller lots of land, or shall have executed and delivered agreements for the payment of assessments in installments on said smaller lots of land. When any tract originally assessed has been subdivided and the assessments thereon segregated and apportioned as herein provided, the entire assessment on each of the smaller lots into which such tract originally assessed shall have been subdivided and the assessments thereon segregated as herein provided, together with interest thereon, shall become due and payable on the date when the next installment of the assessment on such original tract shall have become due in accordance with said agreement, unless all of the owners of said original tract as so subdivided shall have paid the assessment or executed and delivered agreements for the payment of the assessments in installments on each of the smaller lots into which said original tract has been subdivided. Said applications shall set forth the various smaller lots into which the tract originally so assessed has been subdivided and the amount of the assessment which has been so segregated and apportioned to each of said smaller parcels or lots, and said smaller parcels or lots shall be identified in the same manner as the same are set forth in the segregation list of the Board of Public Works. Such application shall be substantially in the following form:

Agreement of Property Owners for Deferred Payments on Reapportioned Assessment.

The undersigned is the owner (are the owners) in fee simple absolute of that certain tract or parcel of land in the City and County of San Francisco hereinafter referred to, and constitute the whole of the ownership thereof.

Under and by virtue of Resolution No. .....(here insert the number of the resolution pursuant to which the original assessment has been levied) (New Series) passed by the Board of Supervisors of said City and County of San Francisco certain proceedings have been had resulting in an assessment of $..... upon that certain real property, designated and identified on the map and list constituting such assessment as Subdivision No. ..... That said subdivision has been resubdivided into lots owned by the undersigned and the original assessment thereon segregated and apportioned as appears from the map and list thereof filed with the Tax Collector on the ..... day of ....., 19....., and which lot is designated thereon as resubdivision No. ....., which is hereby referred to and made part hereof. The undersigned hereby ratifies affirms and approves said subdivision, segregation and apportionment of the as-

sessment thereon and the undersigned hereby requests the privilege of paying such reapportioned assessments in installments in accordance with the provisions of Ordinance No. 2186 (New Series) and that in consideration thereof the undersigned hereby waives all objections of whatsoever kind or nautre against said resubdivision, segregation and apportionment of such assessment, and said original assessment, and all proceedings with reference to the same hereby consenting to and confirming the same, and hereby undertakes and agrees to pay such portion of said assessment as is so apportioned to the lot or lots owned by the undersigned, at least in equal installments not to exceed in number ..... (giving the number as provided), in time, form and manner as provided in Ordinance No. 2186 (New Series), together with interest thereon at the rate of ..... per cent per annum (the amount fixed by said proceedings), in like time, from and manner, and to that end the undersigned owner (or owners) of each of said smaller lots upon which said assessment has been so segregated and apportioned do.. hereby undertake and agree to pay that portion of the said unpaid assessment, together with interest threon, as so segregated and apportioned to the respective smaller lot or lots owned by the undersigned, at the time, in the manner, at the same rate of interest, and subject to the same penalties and procedure in case of default as provided by the ordinance above referred to in the case of original agreements covering the tract originally assessed; that in case of default in the payment of any installment provided for herein, or interest accrued on deferred payments, at the time and place herein provided, then the entire remaining unpaid installments shall become immediately dut and payable, together with the interest thereon, and said Tax Collector of said City and County shall forthwith, upon twelve (12) days' written notice to the undersigned mailed to the last known address of the undersigned, sell the aforesaid property at public auction to the first person offering a sum sufficient to realize the entire unpaid balance of said assessment, with accrued interest and costs of sale, provided the same have not been paid before the expiration of said twelve (12) days, subject only to the right of redemption as provided in said ordinance in the case of sales for nonpayment of said assessment; that at such sale said City and County may be a bidder; that said assessment as so apportioned shall be and continue a lien upon said smaller lots or parcels into which said original subdivision has been so divided until all of said installments of principal and interest have been fully paid. It is understood and agreed that the unpaid balance due on any assessment as so segregated and apportioned, or any one or more of said installments thereof, may be paid by the undersigned (or either of them) at the office of said Tax Collector at any time hereafter, upon paying such unpaid balance of installments at said office, together with interest thereon falling due at the date of the maturity of the installment next falling due.

It is agreed that in case it should appear at any time that this application is not executed by the owners in fee simple of all of said smaller lots and consented to by all persons having any right, title or interests therein, or that this application is held by a court of competent jurisdiction to be invalid, the Tax Collector may, and we hereby authorize and consent that he shall forthwith sell the whole of the subdivision originally assessed in the manner provided by said "Tunnel Procedure Ordinance" to pay the portion of the assessment then remaining unpaid.

I (or we) certify, under oath, that the following is (or are) the only person.., firm.. or corporation.. holding or owning any mortgage, deed of trust lien or other encumbrance against or embracing the property herein described:

Name

.....
.....
.....

Address

.....
.....
.....

This agreement shall inure to and bind the heirs, executors, successors and assigns of the respective parties hereto and each and all of the successors in interest of the parties hereto to the parcel of land herein described.

Witness the hand of the undersigned this .... day of ....., 19..,

(Asknowledgment)

(Signatures)

In the event any person or persons, firm, or crporation, are interested in said subdivision or any portion thereof as owners of anv mortgage, deed of trust, lien, or other encumbrance thereon (except any lien for taxes or assessments),

they and each of them shall be required to execute the following consent and waiver at the end of said agreement and their signatures shall be acknowledged in the manner herein provided:

"The undersigned mortgagee, owner of deed of trust, lienholder or owner of other encumbrance of the above designated property or portions thereof do hereby consent to the aforesaid segregation and apportionment of said assessment, do hereby waive all objections to said assessment as so apportioned and consent that the same shall have priority over any lien of the undersigned upon the same.

(Acknowledgment.)

(Signatures.)

Forms of such agreements must be furnished to the property owners by said Tax Collector without charge.

The foregoing agreement shall be acknowledged before an official authorized by law to acknowledge conveyances of real property or by the County Clerk upon payment to him of twenty-five (25) cents, and the Tax Collector shall cause the same to be recorded in the office of the County Recorder, who shall make no charge therefor. In case it should appear at any time that any agreement made as herein provided for was not executed by all of the owners of such subdivision and consented to by all persons having any rights therein or thereto or if for any reason such agreement is or becomes at any time invalid or unenforceable, the Tax Collector shall (subject to the right of redemption as in Section 21 of this ordinance provided) forthwith sell the entire subdivision originally assessed in the manner set forth in Sections 15 and 16 hereof to pay the portion of the assessment remaining unpaid. No subdivision shall be segregated under the provisions of this section more than once.

Should it appear at any time that any person or persons owning any interest in any portion of said original subdivision has or have failed to execute said agreement for deferred payments, or that there is some defect in said agreement as executed, such omission may be cured by said person or persons executing and filing a new or separate agreement in the manner and form hereinabove provided, prior to any sale of said property or any portion thereof for delinquency under the provisions of this ordinance, and payment to Tax Collector of costs and expenses, if any, incurred in connection with said delinquent sale proceedings.

Applications for the segregation of the assessments upon two or more subdivisions may be made at one time and in one application, and acted upon at one time by the Board of Public Works.

This section shall not be deemed to repeal or supersede or abridge the provisions of Sections 38 or 38a hereof, but shall provide an additional or alternative method of relief in the case to which it relates.

This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Kent —2.

PRESENTATION OF BILLS AND ACCOUNTS.

Your Finance Committee, having examined miscellaneous demands not required by law to be passed to print, and amounting to $79,189.75, recommends same be allowed and ordered paid.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Kent —2.

Urgent Necessity.

Edna Nelson et al., compensation insurance, $83.32.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Kent —2.

NEW BUSINESS.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Authorizations.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter named funds in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

Library Fund.

(1) American Building Maintenance Co., cleaning and janitorial services for libraries (claim dated Dec. 31, 1926), $738.25.

(2) Foster & Futernick Co., binding library books (claim dated Dec. 31, 1926), $1,181.60.

(3) G. E. Stechert & Co., library books (claim dated Dec. 31, 1926), $2,668.20.

(4) G. E. Stechert & Co., library books (claim dated Dec. 31, 1926), $4,545.27.

(5) San Francisco News Co., library periodicals (claim dated Dec. 31, 1926), $4,016.13.

(6) San Francisco News Co., library books (claim dated Dec. 31, 1926), $2,356.62.

Park Fund.

(7) Montague Range & Furnace Co., gas plates, etc., for park purposes (claim dated Jan. 14, 1927), $622.20.

(8) R. J. O'Connell, red rock for park purposes (claim dated Jan. 14, 1927), $1,329.

Tearing-up Streets Fund.

(9) Gladding, McBean & Co., sewer pipe for sidesewers (claim dated Jan. 12, 1927), $1,050.

Publicity and Advertising—Appropriation 55.

(10) Capital Decorating & Mfg. Co., decorations for account of Christmas eve festival (claim dated Jan. 17, 1927), $900.

(11) Philip H. Sapiro, orchestra, music, rehearsals, etc., for account of Christmas eve festival (claim dated Jan. 17, 1927), $528.37.

School Bonds, Issue 1918.

(12) Underwood Typewrtier Co., Inc., one bookkeeping machine for High School of Commerce (claim dated Jan. 11, 1927), $824.58.

Hetch Hetchy Water Bonds, Issue 1925.

(13) Bald Eagle Meat Market, meats furnished, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Jan. 5, 1927), $2,697.82.

(14) Bald Eagle Meat Market, meats furnished, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Jan. 6, 1927), $2,177.38.

(15) Bald Eagle Meat Market, meat furnished Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Jan. 6, 1927), $751.52.

(16) The Giant Powder Co., Con., explosives, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Jan. 6, 1927), $5,650.

(17) Hales & Symons, lumber, etc., Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Jan. 5, 1927), $1,068.56.

(18) A. Levy & J. Zentner Co., fruits and vegetables for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Jan. 5, 1927), $588.36.

(19) J. H. McCallum, lumber, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Jan. 7, 1927), $818.29.

(20) J. F. Mitchell, machinery parts, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Jan. 6, 1927), $667.70.

(21) The Charles Nelson Co., lumber, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Jan. 6, 1927), $1,052.11.

Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1910.

(22) M. M. O'Shaughnessy, reimbursement of revolving fund expenditures, per vouchers (claim dated Jan. 12, 1927), $1,116.61.

Municipal Railway Fund.

(23) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., electrical service for Municipal Railways (claim dated Jan. 12, 1927), $37,905.92.

(24) San Francisco City Employees Retirement System, pensions, etc., for Municipal Railway employees (claim dated Jan. 10, 1927), $6,940.27.

(25) Dan P. Maher Paint Co., varnish for Municipal Railways (claim dated Jan. 7, 1927), $1,050.

(26) Westinghouse Electric & Mfg. Co., railway parts (claim dated Jan. 7, 1927), $562.50.

Municipal Railway Depreciation Fund.

(27) Westinghouse Electric & Mfg. Co., first payment, equipment for Municipal Railways (claim dated Jan. 10, 1927), $3,458.25.

Municipal Railway Compensation Insurance Fund.

(28) San Francisco City Employees Retirement System, for pensions, etc., for Municipal Railway employees (claim dated Jan. 5, 1927), $630.87.

Relief Home Bonds, Issue 1923.

(29) Clinton Construction Co. twenty-third payment, general construction of new Relief Home buildings (claim dated Jan. 10, 1927), $12,558.75.

School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923.

(30) Bureau of Engineering Supplies, Dept. Public Works, blue prints, etc., for Portola Junior High School (claim dated Dec. 29, 1926), $593.07.

(31) Bureau of Engineering Supplies, Dept. Public Works, blue prints, etc., for new Mission High School (claim dated Dec. 29, 1926), $544.68.

(32) Anderson & Ringrose, second payment, general construction of addition to Sunnyside School (claim dated Jan. 11, 1927), $12,525.

(33) A. Lettich, eighth payment, mechanical equipment for Henry Durant School (claim dated Jan. 10, 1927), $3,649.53.

(34) W. & J. Sloane, first and

final payment for window and door shades for the Anza street (Lafayette) School (claim dated Jan. 11, 1927), $926.

(35) Burnham Plumbing Co., twelfth payment, plumbing and gasfitting, etc., for new Mission High School (claim dated Jan. 12, 1927), $2,033.31.

(36) Crown Electric Co., fourth payment, electrical work on West Portal School (claim dated Jan. 12, 1927), $758.63.

(37) Crown Electric Co., thirteenth payment, electrical work on new Mission High School (claim dated Jan. 12, 1927), $2,066.25.

(38) Crown Electric Co., sixth payment, electrical work for Edison School (claim dated Jan. 12, 1927), $826.50.

General Fund, 1926-1927.

(39) St. Vincent's School, maintenance of minors (claim dated Jan. 5, 1927), $2,110.76.

(40) S. F. Nursery for Homeless Children, maintenance of minors (claim dated Jan. 5, 1927), $540.

(41) S. F. Protestant Orphanage, maintenance of minors (claim dated Jan. 5, 1927), $1,082.64.

(42) Roman Catholic Orphanage, maintenance of minors (claim dated Jan. 5, 1927), $4,060.34.

(43) Boys' Aid Society, maintenance of minors (claim dated Jan. 5, 1927), $1,641.78.

(44) The Albertinum School, maintenance of minors (claim dated Jan. 5, 1927), $1,312.97.

(45) St. Catherine's Home and Training School, maintenance of minors (claim dated Jan. 5, 1927), $625.92.

(46) The Little Children's Aid, maintenance of minors (claim dated Jan. 5, 1927), $12,124.27.

(47) Eureka Benevolent Society, maintenance of minors (claim dated Jan. 5, 1927), $4,165.79.

(48) Children's Agency, maintenance of minors (claim dated Jan. 5, 1927), $29,264.02.

(49) Associated Charities, widows' pensions (claim dated Jan. 14, 1927), $8,154.70.

(50) Eureka Benevolent Society, widows' pensions (claim dated Jan. 14, 1927), $887.50.

(51) Little Children's Aid, widows' pensions (claim dated Jan. 14, 1927), $8,554.99.

(52) H. T. Guerin, grading and construction of sewer in Hudson avenue between Lane and Keith streets (claim dated Jan. 12, 1927), $5,305.26.

(53) Crown Oil Co., gasoline for Police Dept. (claim dated Jan. 10, 1927), $1,045.74.

(54) California Agencies Inc., renewal premium on depository bond of $200,000, Crocker First National Bank of San Francisco (claim dated Jan. 7, 1927), $1,000.

(55) California Agencies Inc., renewal premium on official bond of John H. Thieler, Treasurer (claim dated Jan. 7, 1927), $1,000.

(56) Symon Brothers, team hire for street cleaning (claim dated Jan. 10, 1927), $759.

(57) Acme Gravel Co., concrete mix for street repair (claim dated Jan. 10, 1927), $794.69.

(58) Spring Valley Water Co., water for public buildings (claim dated Jan. 10, 1927), $1,675.73.

(59) Haas Brothers, tobacco for Relief Home (claim dated Nov. 30, 1926), $2,249.83.

(60) California Meat Co., meats for Relief Home (claim dated Dec. 31, 1926), $1,721.23.

(61) Del Monte Meat Co., meats for Relief Home (claim dated Dec. 31, 1926), $4,693.27.

(62) J. T. Freitas Co., Inc., eggs for Relief Home (claim dated Dec. 31, 1926), $2,562.63.

(63) C. Nauman & Co., vegetables, etc., for Relief Home (claim dated Dec. 31, 1926), $934.98.

(64) O'Brien, Spotorno & Mitchell, turkeys for Relief Home (claim dated Dec. 31, 1926), $1,181.99.

(65) Sussman, Wormser & Co., groceries for Relief Home (claim dated Dec. 31, 1926), $778.

(66) Dr. E. B. Frick, room allowance for employees during December, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Dec. 31, 1926), $1,822.36.

(67) O'Brien, Spotorno & Mitchell, turkeys for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Dec. 31, 1926), $811.51.

(68) California Petroleum Corporation, fuel oil, etc., for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Dec. 31, 1926), $2,188.60.

(69) Bernhard's Inc., mattresses for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Dec. 31, 1926), $1,783.20.

(70) Spring Valley Water Co., water furnished hospitals (claim dated Dec. 31, 1926), $1,398.76.

(71) Pendleton Woolen Mills, blankets for Relief Home (claim dated Dec. 31, 1926), $2,677.58.

(72) Acme Gravel Co., concrete mix for street reconstruction (claim dated Jan. 11, 1927), $628.28.

(73) Rock, Sand & Gravel Sales Co., rock, etc., for street reconstruction (claim dated Jan. 11, 1927), $759.57.

(74) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., lighting public buildings (claim dated Jan. 11, 1927), $3,954.73.

(75) Napa State Hospital, main-

tenance of criminal insane (claim dated Jan. 10, 1927), $660.

(76) San Francisco Bulletin, official advertising (claim dated Jan. 17, 1927), $1,101.37.

Appropriation, $1,747.21, Payment to Percy E. Towne, Tax Refund Judgment.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $1,747.21 be and the same is authorized in payment out of Tax Judgments—Appropriation 59—to Percy E. Towne, attorney for and as agent for judgment creditors; being third installment of one-tenth, plus interest, of final judgment against the City and County; as approved by the City Attorney. (Claim dated January 8, 1927.)

Appropriations, Land for Potrero Playground and Aquatic Park.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter named funds in payment to the hereinafter mentioned for lands required for the following purposes, to-wit:

Playground Fund.

(1) To A. L. Tubbs Company, for portion of Lot 1, Block 4163, and Lot 1, Block 4164, required for the Potrero Playground (claim dated Jan. 6, 1927), $8,848.

Aquatic Park Land Purchases, Contract—Budget Item No. 53.

(2) To Wm. B. Sharp, Ellen Dore, Charlotte E. Horrigan and Maurice Dore, being final adjustment of taxes for year ending June 30, 1926. on Lot 1, Block 426, Lots 2, 2A, 2B in Block 428, acquired for Aquatic Park purposes (claim dated Jan. 11, 1927), $619.50.

(3) To Whittell Realty Co., being final adjustment of taxes for year ending June 30, 1926, on Lots 1, 1A, 1B, Block 428, acquired for Aquatic Park purposes (claim dated Jan. 11, 1927), $973.75.

Appropriation, $900, Payment to Titsuji Nobusada for Land and Improvements on Twenty-fourth Avenue Required for School Purposes.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $900 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be paid out of School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923, to Titsuji Nobusada; being payment for land and improvements on the east line of Twenty-fourth avenue, commencing 200 feet south from the southerly line of Rivera street, thence southerly on Twenty-fourth avenue 25 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 120 feet; of uniform dimensions 25 by 120 feet; as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 26658 (New Series); and required for school purposes. (Claim dated January 17, 1927.)

Amendment to Ordinance Providing for the Appointment of the Board of Trustees, Exempt Firemen's Relief.

Also, Bill No. 7880, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Section 6 of Ordinance No. 938 (New Series), approved July 29, 1903, entitled "Providing for the appointment of a Board of Trustees for the disbursement of the exempt firemen's relief appropriation."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. That Section 6 of Ordinance No. 938 is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 6. The Exempt Firemen's Relief Appropriation shall be applied to the relief of such enrolled firemen who are physically disabled from earning a livelihood. Said Board shall grant relief to such disabled members as it deems just. The decision of said Board as to the fact and duration of disability and the amount of the relief to be granted shall be conclusive. Such appropriation shall be used exclusively for the purposes herein specified, and to pay the necessary expenses for stationery and office rent for said Board. The relief for disability shall in no case exceed the sum of one hundred ($100) dollars per month to any member. Fifty ($50) dollars may be allowed for funeral expenses of any deceased member. Said Exempt Firemen's Relief Appropriation not to exceed five thousand ($5,000) dollars a year as provided by Section 1 (Subdivision 36), Article II, Chapter II of the Charter.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Condemnation Proceedings for Land for Widening Portola Drive and Opening New Boulevard.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 26702 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, that public interest and necessity require the acquisition by the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, of the following described lots, pieces or parcels of land situated in

the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and more particularly described as follows, to-wit:

Parcel 1: Beginning at the point of intersection of the southeasterly line of Portola drive with the southwesterly line of Stanford Heights avenue, and running thence southwesterly along the southeasterly line of Portola drive 135 feet; thence at right angles southeasterly 114 feet; thence at right angles northeasterly 59.176 feet to the westerly line of Stanford Heights avenue; thence northerly along the westerly line of Stanford Heights avenue 132.195 feet; thence deflecting 35 degrees to the left and running northwesterly along the southwesterly line of Stanford Heights avenue 5.712 feet to the point of beginning. Being portion of Block 40 of Stanford Heights.

Parcel 2: Beginning at a point on the southeasterly line of Portola drive, distant thereon 135 feet southwesterly from the southwesterly line of Stanford Heights avenue, and running thence southwesterly along the southeasterly line of Portola drive 200 feet; thence at right angles southeasterly 89.020 feet to the proposed southeasterly line of Portola drive; thence deflecting 82 deg. 36 min. 30 sec. to the left and running northeasterly along said proposed southeasterly line 70.309 feet to the proposed southwesterly line of the proposed boulevard; thence deflecting 88 deg. 47 min. 34 sec. to the right and running southeasterly along said proposed southwesterly line 52.849 feet; thence deflecting 141 deg. 41 min. 17 sec. to the left and running northerly 51.320 feet; thence deflecting 45 deg. 30 min. 13 sec. to the right and running northeasterly, parallel with the southeasterly line of Portola drive and distant 114 feet at right angles southeasterly therefrom 100 feet; thence at right angles northwesterly 114 feet to the point of beginning. Being portion of Stanford Heights Block No. 40.

Parcel 3: Beginning at the point of intersection of the northerly line of Duncan street with the westerly line of Stanford Heights avenue, and running thence northerly along the westerly line of Stanford Heights avenue 295.772 feet to a point distant 114 feet at right angles southeasterly from the southeasterly line of Portola drive; thence deflecting 125 deg. to the left and running southwesterly, parallel with the southeasterly line of Portola drive, and distant 114 feet at right angles southeasterly therefrom a distance of 159.176 feet; thence deflecting 45 deg. 30 min. 13 sec. to the left and running southwesterly 51.320 feet to the proposed southwesterly line of the proposed boulevard; thence deflecting 38 deg. 18 min. 43 sec. to the left and running southeasterly along said proposed southwesterly line 175.599 feet to a point on the northerly line of Duncan street, distant thereon 54.220 feet westerly from the westerly line of Stanford Heights avenue; thence deflecting 61 deg. 11 min. 4 sec. to the left and running easterly along the northerly line of Duncan street 54.220 feet to the point of beginning. Being portion of Stanford Height. Block No. 40.

Parcel 4: Beginning at a point on the southeasterly line of Portola drive, distant thereon 192.809 feet northeasterly from the northeasterly line of Duncan street, and running thence northeasterly along t southeasterly line of Portola drive 220 feet; thence at right angles southeasterly 89.020 feet to the proposed southeasterly line of Portola drive; thence deflecting 97 deg. 23 min. 30 sec. to the right and running southwesterly along said proposed southeasterly line 98.770 fe thence deflecting 5 deg. 4 min. 4 sec. to the left and continuing southwesterly along said proposed southeasterly line 122.149 feet; thence deflecting 87 deg. 41 min. 1 sec. to the right and running nor westerly 71.383 feet to the point of beginning. Being portion of Block No. 40 of Stanford Heights.

Parcel 5: Beginning at a point on the southeasterly line of Portola drive, distant thereon 162.809 feet northeasterly from the northeasterly line of Duncan street, and running thence northeasterly along the southeasterly line of Portola drive 30 feet; thence at right angles southeasterly 71.383 feet to the proposed southeasterly line of Portola drive; thence deflecting 92 deg. 18 min. 46 sec. to the right and running southwesterly along said proposed southeasterly line 30.025 feet; thence deflecting 87 deg. 41 min. 14 sec. to the right and running northwesterly 70.172 feet to the point of beginning. Being portion of Block No. 40 of Stanford Heights.

Parcel 6: Beginning at the point of intersection of the northeasterly line of Duncan street with the southeasterly line of Portola drive and running thence northeasterly along the southeasterly line of Portola drive 162.809 feet; thence at right angles southeasterly 70.172 feet to the proposed southeasterly line of Portola drive; thence deflecting 92 deg. 18 min. 46 sec. to the right and running southwesterly along said proposed southeast-

erly line 73.368 feet to a point on the northerly line of Duncan street; thence deflecting 32 deg. 41 min. 14 sec. to the right and running westerly along the northerly line of Duncan street 109.260 feet; thence deflecting 55 deg. to the right and running northwesterly along the northeasterly line of Duncan street 4.541 feet to the point of beginning. Being portion of Block No. 40 of Stanford Heights.

Be it Further Resolved, That said properties are suitable, adaptable, necessary and required for the public use of said City and County of San Francisco, to-wit, for the widening of Portola drive and opening of a new street or boulevard. It is necessary that a fee simple title be taken for such use.

The City Attorney is hereby ordered and directed to commence proceedings in eminent domain against the owners of said tracts of land and of any and all interests therein or claims thereto for the condemnation thereof for the public use of said City and County of San Francisco as aforesaid.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Kent —2.

Cancellation of Certificates of Sale.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 26703 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the Auditor and Tax Collector have reported that Lots 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10 and 11 of Block 5817 were sold to the State for delinquent taxes for 1925 on June 28, 1926; that subsequently said lots were resubdivided into two lots, the northerly half of which was numbered Lot 5 and the southerly half was numbered Lot 6; that the area of Lot 6 has been redeemed from said sale and that Lot 5 is owned by the City and County, and it is recommended that the sale of Lot 5 above recited de cancelled, and the City Attorney having consented thereto, it is

Resolved, That the Auditor and Recorder be directed to cancel Sale No. 1509 to the south half of the lots above described (now Lot 5) made June 28, 1926, and assessed to the Ocean Shore Railway Company in R. E. Vol. 32, page 115.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Kent —2.

Cancellation of Assessment.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 26704 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the Auditor and Tax Collector have reported that the taxes on the following listed assessments were fully paid, but that through error were not marked "paid" upon the assessment book and the property assessed was sold for delinquent taxes on June 28, 1926; therefore,

Resolved, That the Auditor and Recorder be directed to cancel the sales of the following:

Lots 17 and 18, Block 2093, Vol. 14, page 15, assessed to Jos. C. Raas, paid April 20, 1926, second installment, Sale No. 616.

Lot No. 28, Block 2828, Vol. 18, page 3, assessed to Martha A. Delling, paid April 24, 1926, second installment, Sale No. 818.

Lot No. 13, Block 6088, Vol. 33, page 197, assessed to Josephine Schneider, paid April 24, 1926, second installment, Sale No. 1643.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Kent —2.

Action Deferred.

The following matter was *laid over one week and made a Special Order of Business for* 3 *p. m.*:

Amending Zoning Ordinance, California Street.

Bill No. ——, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings, and the locations of buildings designed for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

That Section 2 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, be changed so as to place the southerly side of California street, commencing at a point 134 feet westerly from Van

Ness avenue and running thence westerly a distance of 106 feet and 3 inches, and extending to the depth of the rear lot lines, in the Light Industrial District instead of in the Second Residential District.

Passed for Printing.

The following resolution was *passed for printing*:

Oil Tank Permits.

On motion of Supervisor Deasy:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, Thta the following revocable permits be and the same are hereby granted:

Oil Tanks.

Mrs. John E. Blake, north line of Beach street, 201 feet east of Avila street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Domestic Engineering Corporation, 3245 Pacific avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

Edward Jose, east side of Shrader street, 80 feet south of Fulton street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Kroehler Mfg. Co., northeast corner of San Bruno and Fitzgerald avenues, 1500 gallons capacity.

Lager & Val Franz, east side of Funston avenue, 50 feet north of Anza street, 1500 gallons capacity.

George Rolph, 3937 Washington street, 1500 gallons capacity.

C. A. Rosen, north side of Golden Gate avenue, 50 feet east of Scott street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Stock, Maas & Sauer, west side of Fillmore street, 350 feet north of Chestnut street, 1500 gallons capacity.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, othrewise said permits shall become null and void.

Masquerade Ball Permits.

On motion of Supervisor Schmidt:

Resolution No. 26705 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Drei Bund be and it is hereby granted permission to hold a masquerade ball at California Hall, Turk and Polk streets, Saturday evening, February 19, 1927.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Kent —2.

Also, Resolution No. 26706 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Educational and Dramatic Association of Slavonians be and it is hereby granted permission to hold a masquerade ball at Eagles' Auditorium Sunday evening, February 20, 1927.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Kent —2.

Approval of Taxicab Stand Permits.

Supervisor Schmidt presented:

Resolution No. 26707 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following permits for taxicab stands are hereby approved:

Yellow Checker Cab Co., 304-308 Townsend street, 1989 Hyde street, 2087 Market street.

Red Top Cab Co., 2004-2006 Sutter street.

Garfield Cab Co., 565 Kearny street.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Kent —2.

Street Lights.

Supervisor Stanton presented:

Resolution No. 26708 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Pacific Gas and Electric Company be and it is hereby authorized and requested to install and remove the following street lights:

Install 400 *M. R.*

Miguel street between Bemis and Beacon streets (at bend of road).

Howard street between Eighteenth and Nineteenth streets, in front of Norwegian Church.

Twelfth avenue between Taraval and Santiago streets.

Lowell street between Hanover and Brunswick streets.

Regent street, opposite No. 80.

Lawrence street between Mission and Huron streets.

West side of Shakespeare street north of Rhine street (pole No. 1885).

Seventeenth street, 100 feet west of Ord street.

Twenty-sixth street, west of Dolores street.

Twenty sixth street, east of Dolores street.

Thirtieth street between San Jose avenue and Dolores street, northeast corner.

Venard alley, off Chestnut street.

Remove 400 *M. R.*

Twenty-first and Michigan streets.

Install 100 *C. P.*

Hernandez avenue between Garcia and Laguna Honda boulevard.

Remove Single-Top Gas.

South side of Twenty-sixth street, first and second west of Dolores street.

North side of Twenty-sixth street, first west of Dolores street.

Sharp place, off Union street.

Venard alley, off Chestnut street.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Kent—2.

Bridge Hearing Continued.

Supervisor Shannon: (At 11 a. m.) I move that the further consideration of bridge matters be continued until 4 p. m. this afternoon.

Supervisor Gallagher: I second it.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Kent—2.

Whereupon, the following applications were taken up and disposed of as follows:

Application of Bay Cities Bridge Corporation for a franchise for a toll bridge between San Francisco and Alameda.

Proposed rail and highway crossing for San Francisco Bay from the foot of Sixteenth street, San Francisco, to the foot of Pacific avenue, Alameda.

Beginning at the intersection of the center line of Sixteenth street with the center line of Third (Kentucky) street, in the City and County of San Francisco, and extending thence easterly along the center line of Sixteenth street to the waterfront line; thence easterly along a straight line to a point on the center line of Pacific avenue, in the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, which point is one hundred feet westerly from the intersection of said center line of Pacific avenue with the center line of Bryant street.

That the length of said bridge is 27,750 feet and that the width of the roadway on said bridge is 38 feet.

Filed April 6, 1925.

DUDLEY D. SALES,
Attorney.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until 4 p. m. this afternoon* by the following vote:

Ayes— Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Kent—2.

Application of Elbert W. Davis for a franchise for a toll bridge between San Francisco and Alameda.

Across San Francisco Bay, beginning at a point in the City and County of San Francisco on the bulkhead line of San Francisco Harbor between Piers 26 and 28, and running approximately north 67 degrees 45 minutes east 17,962 feet, more or less, to a point in the City of Oakland, County of Alameda, State of California, on the easterly shore of San Francisco Bay.

That the length of said bridge is to be 17,962 feet and that the breadth thereof is to be 65 feet, more or less.

Filed June 29, 1925.

JAMES M. OLIVER,
Attorney.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until 4 p. m. this afternoon* by the following vote:

Ayes— Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Kent—2.

Application of John Bermingham, Jr., 250 Cervantes boulevard, for a franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge across San Francisco Bay between the City and County of San Francisco and the County of Alameda.

Beginning at the intersection of the center line of Seventh and Mission streets, in the City and County of San Francisco, along Seventh street to Seventh and Sixteenth; thence in a southeasterly direction to Nineteenth and Third; thence in a southerly direction along Third street to the intersection of Third street and Jerrold avenue and waterfront; thence proceeding in a northerly direction, crossing San Francisco Bay to a point from which a branch will extend 4500 feet easterly to the intersection of Pacific avenue and Bryant street, in the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California; thence

from said aforementioned intersecting point proceeding in a northerly direction, crossing the Oakland Estuary, to the intersection of Peralta street and Seventh street, in the City of Oakland, County of Alameda, State of California.

That the total length of said bridge, approaches thereto and branch thereof, aforementioned, is 65,450 feet, that the width of said bridge is ninety (90) feet.

Filed September 28, 1925.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until 4 p. m. this afternoon* by the following vote:

Ayes— Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Kent —2.

Application of A. M. Bergstrom for a franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge across San Francisco Bay between the City and County of San Francisco and the County of Alameda.

That the said toll bridge is to be located across the Bay of San Francisco, along the following described line, to-wit:

Beginning at a point in San Francisco at about the foot of Davidson avenue, on Hunter's Point, and running northerly sixty-two (62) degrees east four and four-tenths (4.4) miles; thence on a one (1) degree curve to the north one and six-tenths (1.6) miles to true north to a point on the easterly shore of the Bay of San Francisco at about the foot of Webster street, in the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California.

That the length of said bridge is to be six (6) miles and the breadth is to be sixty (60) feet, more or less.

Filed September 28, 1925.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until 4 p. m. this afternoon* by the following vote:

Ayes— Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Kent —2.

Application of New York and San Francisco Development Company for franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge between San Francisco and Alameda.

Bridge to be constructed across San Francisco Bay from a point therein at or near the junction of Evans avenue and Boalt street, Hunter's Point, in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to or near the junction of South High street and Washington street, in the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California; that the length of said bridge is approximately six and one-half miles from shore line to shore line, and that its breadth or width is approximately from 60 to 90 feet.

Filed January 11, 1926.

ROTHCHILD & GOLDEN,
Attorneys.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until 4 p. m. this afternoon* by the following vote:

Ayes— Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Kent —2.

Application of Roy C. Hackley for a franchise to construct a toll bridge between San Francisco, Pier 26, and Alameda County.

Beginning at a point in the City and County of San Francisco on the bulkhead line of San Francisco Harbor, south of and adjacent to Pier 26, and running approximately north 61 degrees east and fifty-three hundred feet, more or less; thence running approximately north 89 degrees east five thousand feet, more or less; thence running approximately north 59 degrees 30 minutes east eight thousand feet, more or less, to a point in the City of Oakland, County of Alameda, State of California, on the easterly shore of said San Francisco Bay.

That the length of said bridge is to be eighteen thousand three hundred feet (18,300) and that the breadth thereof is to be 78 feet, more or less.

Roy A. Bronson and E. D. Bronson, Jr., attorneys, 68 Post street.

Filed February 6, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until 4 p. m. this afternoon* by the following vote:

Ayes— Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden,

Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Kent—2.

Two applications of the Construction Company of North America for a franchise to construct and open a toll bridge between San Francisco and Alameda County.

Commencing at a point in said City and County of San Francisco near the corner of First and Bryant streets, on what is known as Rincon Hill, and running thence on less than a two-degree curve a distance of approximately 1700 lineal feet to the line of bulkhead wharf connecting Piers 30 and 32 on the waterfront of the said City and County of San Francisco; thence on a line approximately north 65 degrees east 21,000 lineal feet, more or less, to the Oakland Mole of the Southern Pacific Company in Alameda County.

That the length of said bridge is to be 4½ miles and that the width thereof is to be approximately 78 feet.

ROBERT J. McGAHIE,
Attorney, Mills Building.

First application filed February 15. 1926.

Second application filed April 5, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until 4 p. m. this afternoon* by the following vote:

Ayes— Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Kent—2.

Application of Allan C. Rush for a franchise to construct a toll bridge between San Francisco and Alameda County.

Construction of a suspension bridge from the City and County of San Francisco over and across Goat Island to the east shore of the Bay of San Francisco, comprising three main double traffic track entrance therein, and being of a height of two hundred feet above high tide, between all bridge piers in the waters of San Francisco Channel, in which channel the bridge will be suspended over "but three piers," twenty-four hundred feet apart, thus in nowise impeding the traffic of the waters of the Bay.

Filed June 19, 1926.

ALLAN C. RUSH,
1632 McCullom street, Los Angeles, California.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until 4 p. m. this afternoon* by the following vote:

Ayes— Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Kent—2.

Application of Robert Lee Dunn, 778 Monadnock Building, for a franchise to construct a toll bridge along the following described line, to-wit:

Beginning at the intersection of the center line of Second street with the center line of Berry street, in the City and County of San Francisco and extending thence easterly to the waterfront line; thence easterly along a straight line to the intersection of the center lines of Pacific avenue and Bryant street, City of Alameda, California.

Length of said bridge is twenty-six thousand feet; that the width of the roadway on said bridge is eighty feet.

Filed June 21, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until 4 p. m. this afternoon* by the following vote:

Ayes— Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Kent—2.

Application of Edward A. Bertram for a franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge to be located, to-wit:

Beginning at Twelfth and Howard streets, San Francisco, by 1½ per cent viaduct to Beale and Howard streets; thence to Southern Pacific Mole, Oakland; thence by 1½ per cent viaduct to West Fourteenth street level, and Sixteeenth Street Railroad Station.

The length of said bridge proper is 21,000 feet and its width of roadway is 63 feet.

Filed June 21, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until*

4 *p. m. this afternoon* by the following vote:

Ayes— Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Kent —2.

Application of R. S. Chew for franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge or bridges.

The said proposed bridge or bridges are to be located and are described as follows:

The westerly end of a bridge and the approaches thereto, which will connect with public streets, are to be located in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California (which location is in the county situated on the left bank descending of the Bay of San Francisco, across which said bridge is to be constructed), and at a point therein at or near the summit of an eminence known as Telegraph Hill; from this point the bridge will project easterly a distance of nine thousand feet (more or less) to the westerly side of Yerba Buena (or Goat) Island; thence easterly across said island a roadway or viaduct will be constructed for a distance of four thousand feet (more or less) to its easterly side; from thence there will be projected in an easterly direction a distance of twenty-two thousand feet (more or less), a bridge structure, viaduct, causeway and/or fill to suitable connections with lines or railway and public highways in Alameda County, State of California.

Filed June 28, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until 4 p. m. this afternoon* by the following vote:

Ayes— Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Kent —2.

Application of Inter-Cities Bridge Company for a franchise to construct, maintain and operate a toll bridge across the Bay of San Francisco and over the following route:

Beginning at the intersection of Broadway and Battery street, in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and extending thence easterly to the waterfront; thence on a line approximately north 60 degrees east to the westerly point of Yerba Buena or Goat Island; thence by contour meander to the easterly point of Yerba Buena or Goat Island; thence on a line approximately north 75 degrees to the foot of Yerba Buena street, in the City of Oakland, County of Alameda, State of California.

That the length of said bridge, inclusive of approximately 4100 feet on ground support over Yerba Buena or Goat Island, and 5670 feet to be supported by an earth fill mole in the approach to the said Oakland terminal, is to be approximately 27,000 feet. That the width of said bridge is to be approximately 120 feet, providing two 20-foot vehicular lanes and accommodations for four lines of electric transportation tracks.

Filed July 12, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until 4 p. m. this afternoon* by the following vote:

Ayes— Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Kent —2.

Application of G. Lindenthal for franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge.

Said bridge is to be located along the following described line:

Commencing at a point in the center line of Jerrold avenue, in the City and County of San Francisco, about midway between Ship street and Dock street, in said City and County of San Francisco; thence on a curve easterly and northerly a distance of 30.000 feet, more or less, to the southerly foot of Webster street, in the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California.

The width of said bridge at the easterly end thereof for approximately 16,000 feet will be 75 feet, and the width of the remaining portion thereof will be approximately 40 feet. The length of said bridge will be approximately 30,000 feet.

Filed August 2, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until 4 p. m. this afternoon* by the following vote:

Ayes— Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Ronco-

vieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Kent —2.

Preliminary application of Fowler Engineering Corporation for a franchise for the building of a bridge from Telegraph Hill to Goat Island, 3 2000-foot spans.

Filed July 28, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until 4 p. m. this afternoon* by the following vote:

Ayes— Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Kent —2.

Application of W. F. Holcomb for franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge across San Francisco Bay between the City and County of San Francisco and Alameda County.

Beginning at or near and within one hundred feet northerly or southerly of the intersection of the center line of Second street with the center line of Berry street, in the City and County of San Francisco and extending thence easterly to the waterfront line; thence easterly along a straight line to, at or near and within one hundred feet northerly or southerly of the intersection of the center lines of Pacific avenue and Bryant street, City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California.

That the length of said bridge will be twenty-six thousand feet; that the width of the roadway on said bridge will be eighty-nine feet.

Filed August 9, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until 4 p. m. this afternoon* by the following vote:

Ayes— Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Kent —2.

Application of Robert E. Fitzgerald for franchise to erect, maintain and operate and take tolls on a toll bridge over and across the Bay of San Francisco. The location of said bridge is described as follows:

The center line of said bridge will commence at a point on The Embarcadero of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, at a point approximately midway between Vallejo street and Broadway street; thence extending on a line approximately north 60 degrees east to the westerly point of Yerba Buena Island, also known as Goat Island; thence following the contour of said island to a point on the easterly shore thereof where said shore line would be intersected by a center line of Seventh street in the City of Oakland, if produced, upon its present course as the course of said street now exists along the northerly line of Oakland Mole; thence easterly along said line of said street, if so produced, to the center line of said Seventh street at the present westerly end thereof.

Filed August 9, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until 4 p. m. this afternoon* by the following vote:

Ayes— Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Kent —2.

Application of Thos. E. Slaven, filed August 16, 1926, for franchise to construct, maintain and operate a toll bridge. Said bridge is to be constructed over and across the Bay of San Francisco, and over the following route:

The location of said bridge is particularly described as follows: The center line of said bridge will commence at a point on The Embarcadero of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, approximately where the center line of said Embarcadero intersects the center line of Pier 7, if produced. Thence extending on a line north approximately 67 degrees 30 minutes east to a point on the southwesterly shore of Yerba Buena or Goat Island; thence easterly following the contour of said island to a point on the easterly shore thereof, located about six hundred feet in a northerly direction from the light house located on the southern portion of said island; thence north eighty-five degrees thirty minutes east, approximately 8700 feet to a point where said line intersects the center line of Seventh street, Oakland, if produced, upon its present course as the course of said street now exists

along the northern line of Oakland Mole; thence easterly along said line of said street, if so produced, to the center line of said Seventh street at the present westerly end thereof.

The length of said bridge exclusive of land approaches being approximately 9300 feet west of said island and approximately 10,400 feet east of said island, and the breadth of said bridge being approximately 115 feet.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until 4 p. m. this afternoon* by the following vote:

Ayes— Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Kent —2.

Application of E. D. Bronson, Jr., for a franchise for a toll bridge across San Francisco Bay.

Filed August 16, 1926.

Beginning at a point in the City and County of San Francisco on the bulkhead line of San Francisco Harbor, south of and adjacent to Pier 26, and running north approximately 68 degrees east seventeen thousand nine hundred fifty feet (17,950'), more or less, to a point in the City of Oakland, County of Alameda, State of California, on the easterly shore of said San Francisco Bay; and the said toll bridge to be approximately seventeen thousand nine hundred fifty (17,950') feet in length and one hundred ten (110') feet in breadth, more or less.

Roy A. Bronson, E. D. Bronson, Jr., attorneys at law, 68 Post street, room 403, San Francisco, California.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until 4 p. m. this afternoon* by the following vote:

Ayes— Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Kent —2.

Tunnels.

Application of O. A. Ellis for franchise for two tunnels under the Bay of San Francisco from San Francisco to Oakland.

One of the tunnels will be for interurban street car traffic, with double track, and the San Francisco terminal between Third and Fourth streets on Harrison street.

The other tunnel will be for auto and railroad traffic, with San Francisco terminal between Second and Third streets on Harrison street.

The Oakland terminal will be at Seventh and Cedar streets.

Filed June 25, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until 4 p. m. this afternoon* by the following vote:

Ayes— Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Kent —2.

Spur Track Permit, Pratt Building Material Company.

The following bill, on motion of Supervisor Marks, was *passed for printing*, which action was subsequently rescinded and the bill ordered *referred to the Streets Committee*:

Bill No. ——, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Granting permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to Pratt Building Material Company to construct, maintain and operate spur tracks in Berry street between De Haro and Seventh streets as hereinafter described.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, is hereby granted to Pratt Building Material Company to construct, maintain and operate spur tracks as follows:

1. Beginning at a point in the existing track in Berry street, 350 feet southwesterly from the southwesterly line of Seventh street, said point being 41.9 feet, more or less, measured perpendicularly from the southeasterly line of Berry street; thence southwesterly through a number six switch a distance of 55 feet to a point; thence on a curve to the left with a radius of 230 feet for a distance of 33 feet to a point; thence tangent to last mentioned curve for a distance of 109 feet, more or less, to a point on the southeasterly line of Berry street, said point being 540 feet, more or less, from the southwesterly line of Seventh street.

2. Beginning at a point in the above described proposed spur track 437 feet southwesterly from the

southwesterly line of Seventh street, said point being 29 feet, more or less, measured perpendicularly from the southeasterly line of Berry street; thence southwesterly through a number eight switch to the left for a distance of 75 feet, more or less, to a point on the southeasterly line of Berry street, said point being 505 feet, more or less, from the southwesterly line of Seventh street.

Said permission is granted subject to the provisions of Ordinance No. 69 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors, approved October 12, 1906, and the provisions and conditions of Section 8 thereof are hereby specifically contained in the permit hereby granted and shall be construed as a part hereof, as completely as though the same were written in this ordinance.

Provided, that said spur track shall be laid under the supervision and to the lines and grades as furnished by the City Engineer's office and that any and all expenses connected with the installation of the track, restoration of the pavement and any additional requirements for the surface drainage to be paid for by Pratt Building Material Company.

Provided, that Pratt Building Material Company shall erect and maintain all-night lighted arc lamps where directed by the Lighting Committee of the Board of Supervisors.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

(*See action of Board in afternoon session.*)

Dismissal of Condemnation Proceedings, City and County of San Francisco v. Kate Buschel et al.

Supervisor McSheehy presented: Resolution No. 26710 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the City Attorney be and he is hereby authorized and directed to dismiss that certain action in condemnation entitled "City and County of San Francisco vs. Kate Buschel, George Von Ahn and Bertha Von Ahn et al.," and numbered 148000 in the files thereof, in so far as the land described as Parcel 4, Paragraph IV of the complaint therein on file is concerned.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Kent—2.

RECESS.

At the hour of 12:10 p. m. the Board took a recess until 2 p. m.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk.

REASSEMBLED—2 P. M.

The Board of Supervisors reassembled at 2 p. m.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Supervisor McSheehy in the chair.

Pratt Spur Track Permit.

Supervisor Stanton, seconded by Supervisor Schmidt, moved that the action taken this morning granting a spur track permit to Pratt Building Material Company was passed for printing be rescinded and that the matter go back to the Streets Committee for further hearing.

Supervisor Hayden asked for a segregation of the question.

Whereupon, the roll was called on the rescinding of the previous action and the reference of the bill to the Streets Committee with the following result:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—12.

Noes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Hayden—4.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Byington—2.

Airport Hearing Continued.

On motion of Supervisor Kent the proposed hearing relative to the selection of an airport site for San Francisco was *continued until January 31, 1927, at 2 p. m.*

CONSIDERATION OF MAYOR'S VETO.

Action Deferred.

The following matter was *continued until Monday, January 24, 1927, at 2 p. m.*:

Embarcadero Busses.

Consideration of the veto of his Honor the Mayor of Resolution No. 26582 (New Series), appropriating $47,742 out of the Municipal Railway Depreciation Fund to be expended by the Board of Public Works for Embarcadero busses.

SET-BACK LINE HEARINGS—2 P. M.

Hearing of objections to the establishment of set-back lines along Tenth, Eleventh, Fifteenth and Seventeenth avenues and Sears street, fixed for the hour of 2 p. m. this day.

No objection being offered, the following bill was *passed for printing*:

Bill No. 7881, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Establishing set-back lines along portions of Tenth, Eleventh, Fifteenth and Seventeenth avenues and Sears street.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby recited that on the 6th day of December, 1926, the Board of Supervisors adopted Resolution of Intention No. 126 to establish set-back lines along Tenth, Eleventh, Fifteenth and Seventeenth avenues and Sears street, and fixed the 10th day of January, 1927, at 2 o'clock p. m., at the chambers of the Board of Supervisors, as the time and place for hearing objections thereto; that thereafter and more than ten days prior to said hearing the said resolution was published and notices of the passage of said resolution were posted along the line of said streets, in time, form and manner required by Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series); that said hearing was held at the time and place aforesaid, and that all objections made at said hearing have been properly overruled.

Section 2. Pursuant to the foregoing recitals and in accordance with the provisions of said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), set-back lines are hereby established as follows:

Along the easterly side of Tenth avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Kirkham street and running thence northerly to a point 100 feet southerly from Judah street, said set-back line to be 7 feet.

Along the westerly side of Tenth avenue between Quintara street and Pacheco street, said set-back line to be 8 feet; along the easterly side of Tenth avenue, commencing at Quintara street and running thence northerly 325 feet, said set-back line to be 8 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 4 feet; thence northerly to Pacheco street, said set-back line to be 2 feet.

Along the easterly side of Eleventh avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Quintara street and running thence northerly to Oriole way, said set-back line to be 8 feet.

Along the northwesterly side of Sears street, commencing at a point 85 feet southwesterly from Sickles avenue and running thence southwesterly 425 feet, said set-back line to be 13 feet.

Along the easterly side of Fifteenth avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Geary street and running thence northerly to a point 100 feet southerly from Clement street, said set-back line to be 12 feet.

Along the westerly side of Seventeenth avenue, commencing at a point 90 feet northerly from Geary street and running thence northerly 30 feet, said set-back line to be 12 feet; thence northerly 30 feet, said set-back line to be 16 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 20 feet; thence northerly to a point 75 feet southerly from Clement street, said set-back line to be 24 feet; along the easterly side of Seventeenth avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Geary street and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 14 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 16 feet; thence northerly 50 feet, said set-back line to be 18 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 22 feet; thence northerly 50 feet, said set-back line to be 26 feet; thence northerly 150 feet, said set-back line to be 28 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 26 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 22 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 20 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 17 feet.

As shown on the maps filed in the office of the Board of Supervisors and to which reference is hereby made for further particulars.

Section 3. No structures shall be erected in the area between said set-back lines and the street lines except as provided in said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), and the penalties for the violation of this ordinance shall be as fixed by the ordinance aforesaid.

Hearing of objections to the establishment of set-back lines along Seventeenth, Eighteenth, Nineteenth, Twenty-third, Thirtieth, Thirty-ninth and Forty-third avenues, fixed for the hour of 2 p. m. this day.

No objection being offered, the following bill was *passed for printing*:

Bill No. 7882, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Establishing set-back line along portions of Seventeenth, Eighteenth, Nineteenth, Twenty-third, Thirtieth, Thirty-ninth and Forty-third avenues.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby recited that on the 6th day of December, 1926, the Board of Supervisors adopted Resolution of Intention No. 125 to establish set-back lines along Seventeenth, Eighteenth Nineteenth, Twenty-third, Thirtieth, Thirty-ninth and Forty-third avenues, and fixed the 10th day of January, 1927, at 2 o'clock p. m., at the chambers of the Board of Supervisors, as the time and place for hearing objections thereto; that thereafter and more than ten days prior to said hearing the said resolution was published and notices of the passage of said resolution were posted along the line of said streets, in time, form and manner required by Ordinance 5636 (New Series); that said hearing was held at the time and place aforesaid, and that all objections made at said hearing have been properly overruled.

Section 2. Pursuant to the foregoing recitals and in accordance with the provisions of said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), set-back lines are hereby established as follows:

Along both sides of Seventeenth avenue between Pacheco street and Quintara street, said set-back line to be 15 feet.

Along the easterly side of Eighteenth avenue, commencing at Quintara street and running thence northerly 75 feet 9 inches, said set-back line to be 5 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 7½ feet; thence northerly to Pacheco street, said set-back line to be 10 feet.

Along the westerly side of Nineteenth avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Rivera street and running thence northerly 19 feet 9 inches, said set-back line to be 3 feet; thence northerly 50 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly to Quintara street, said set-back line to be 9 feet.

Along the westerly side of Thirty-ninth avenue, commencing at Cabrillo street and running thence northerly to a point 100 feet southerly from Balboa street, said set-back line to be 6 feet.

Along the easterly side of Forty-third avenue, commencing at a point 65 feet 5 inches northerly from Point Lobos avenue and running thence northerly to Clement street, said set-back line to be 10 feet.

Along the easterly side of Nineteenth avenue, commencing at Pacheco street and running thence northerly 450 feet, said set-back line to be 100 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 2/3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 1/3 feet.

Along the westerly side of Twenty-third avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Lawton street and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 350 feet, said set-back line to be 9 feet; along the easterly side of Twenty-third avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Lawton street and running thence northerly to a point 100 feet southerly from Kirkham street, said set-back line to be 9 feet.

Along the easterly side of Thirtieth avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Ulloa street and running thence northerly 50 feet, said set-back line to be 20 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 15 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 12 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 9 feet; thence northerly to a point 100 feet southerly from Taraval street, said set-back line to be 6 feet.

As shown on the maps filed in the office of the Board of Supervisors and to which reference is hereby made for further particulars.

Section 3. No structures shall be erected in the area between said set-back lines and the street lines, except as provided in said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), and the penalties for the violation of this ordinance shall be as fixed by the ordinance aforesaid.

Hearing of objections to the establishment of set-back lines along portions of Nineteenth, Twenty-third and Thirtieth avenues, fixed for the hour of 2 p. m. this day.

No objections being offered, the following bill was *passed for printing*:

Bill No. 7883, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Establishing set-back lines along portions of Nineteenth, Twenty-third and Thirtieth avenues.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby recited that on the 13th day of December, 1926, the Board of Supervisors adopted Resolution of Intention No. 128 to establish set-back lines along Nineteenth, Twenty-third and Thirtieth avenues, and fixed the 17th day of January, 1927, at 2 o'clock p. m., at the chambers of the Board of Supervisors, as the time and place for hearing objections thereto; that thereafter and more than ten days prior to said hearing the said resolution was published and notices of the passage of said resolution were posted along the line of said streets, in time, form and manner required by Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series); that said hearing was held at the time and place aforesaid, and that all objections made at said hearing have been properly overruled.

Section 2. Pursuant to the foregoing recitals and in accordance with the provisions of said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), setback lines are hereby established as follows:

Along the easterly side of Nineteenth avenue, commencing at Pacheco street and running thence northerly 450 feet, said set-back line to be 10 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 2-3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 1-3 feet.

Along the westerly side of Twenty-third avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Lawton street and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 350 feet, said setback line to be 9 feet; along the easterly side of Twenty-third avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Lawton street and running thence northerly to a point 100 feet southerly from Kirkham street, said set-back line to be 9 feet.

Along the easterly side of Thirtieth avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Ulloa street and running thence northerly 50 feet, said set-back line to be 20 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 12 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 9 feet; thence northerly to a point 100 feet southerly from Taraval street, said set-back line to be 6 feet.

As shown on the maps filed in the office of the Board of Supervisors and to which reference is hereby made for further particulars.

Section 3. No structures shall be erected in the area between said set-back lines and the street lines except as provided in said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), and the penalties for the violation of this ordinance shall be as fixed by the ordinance aforesaid.

Rereferred.

On motion of Supervisor Hayden the following matter was *rereferred to the City Planning Committee*:

Hearing of objections to the establishment of set-back lines on portions of Sagamore street and Sickles avenue, fixed for the hour of 2 p. m. this day.

Bill No. ——, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Establishing set-back lines along portions of Sagamore street and Sickles avenue.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby recited that on the 13th day of December, 1926, the Board of Supervisors adopted Resolution of Intention No. 127 to establish set-back lines along Sagamore street and Sickles avenue, and fixed the 17th day of January, 1927, at 2 o'clock p. m., at the chambers of the Board of Supervisors, as the time and place for hearing objections thereto; that thereafter and more than ten days prior to said hearing the said resolution was published and notices of the passage of said resolution were posted along the line of said streets, in time, form and manner required by Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series); that said hearing was held at the time and place aforesaid, and that all objections made at said hearing have been properly overruled.

Section 2. Pursuant to the foregoing recitals and in accordance with the provisions of said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), setback lines are hereby established as follows:

Along the southeasterly line of Sagamore street between Orizaba avenue and Capitol avenue, said setback line to be 20 feet.

Along the southeasterly line of Sagamore street, commencing at Capitol avenue and running thence northeasterly 717.86 feet, said setback line to be 20 feet, said setback line to continue thence northeasterly and with a radius of 200 feet to the southwesterly line of Plymouth avenue.

Along the southerly line of Sickles avenue between San Jose avenue and Winnipeg avenue, said set-back line to be 20 feet.

Along the southerly line of Sickles avenue between Winnipeg avenue and Sears street, said set-back line to be 20 feet.

Along the southerly line of Sickles avenue between Sears street and Huron avenue, said set-back line to be 20 feet.

Along the southerly line of Sickles avenue between Huron avenue and Mission street, said set-back line to be 20 feet.

As shown on the maps filed in the office of the Board of Supervisors and to which reference is hereby made for further particulars.

Section 3. No structures shall be erected in the area between said set-back lines and street lines except as provided in said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), and the penalties for the violation of this ordinance shall be as fixed by the ordinance aforesaid.

HEARING OF APPEAL—2 P. M.

Action Deferred.

The following matter was *continued until January* 31, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*:

Douglass Street.

Appeal of property owners from the assessment issued for the improvement of Douglass street from the southerly line of Twenty-fifth street to the southerly line of Twenty-sixth street, including the easterly and westerly intersections of Clipper street with Douglass street, and the crossing of Twenty-sixth street and Douglass street; and the improvement of Twenty-sixth street between Diamond and Douglass streets, by grading to official line and grade; by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks of the full official width on the angular corners thereof; by the construction of the necessary catch-basins with accompanying 10-inch ironstone pipe culverts; by the construction of 8-inch and 15-inch ironstone pipe sewers with accompanying Y branches on the 15-inch sewer, and the necessary manholes as may be required; by the construction of a concrete pavement on Douglass street from the southerly line of Twenty-fifth street to a line at right angles with the easterly line of Douglass street at its intersection with the northerly line of Clipper street; by the construction of a concrete pavement on Twenty-sixth street from the westerly line of Diamond street to a line parallel with and 280 feet westerly therefrom, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement on the remainder of the roadways thereof.

Bridge Matters.

The matter of bridge franchise applications laid over from 11 a. m. were taken up:

Formation of a Transbay Bridge District.

Supervisor Havenner presented the following resolution and asked its reference to the Special Bridge Committee:

Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco proceed forthwith to take the necessary legal steps, in accordance with the advice of the City Attorney, to form a bridge district under the State Bridge and Highway District Law, consisting of San Francisco and Alameda counties, for the purpose of financing, constructing and operating a bridge across San Francisco Bay under public ownership.

Supervisor Gallagher's Resolution.

Whereupon, Supervisor Gallagher offered verbally as an amendment the following resolution:

Resolved, That it is the sense of the Board, in consideration of Supervisor Havenner's resolution, that the committee is not to understand that it acts as an estoppel of the Board in the consideration of franchises now before us, and it is the hope of the Board that the provisions of Supervisor Havenner's resolution shall run concurrently with the action of the Board on petitions now pending.

Point of Order.

Supervisor McSheehy raised the point of order that the motion is made that the Havenner resolution be referred to committee, and a motion has been made to amend the resolution. Therefore, to amend the resolution would require the suspension of the rules, which has not been asked for, and you cannot vote on this matter until heard by committee unless the rules are suspended.

Statement by Supervisor Gallagher.

Supervisor Gallagher requested the following statement be made part of the record:

"I do not want to be impolite—all of us being committed to the policy of public ownership by the terms of the Charter, as one member of this Board I do not think reiteration is necessary, but to delay further bridge proceedings, while the details of a bridge district plan is being worked out, is almost a criminal assault upon the rights of the people. If we can judge by expressions of personal opinion on every side there prevails a wish for action on this bridge project which assumes the proportions of a mandate. Were it not for my belief in this bridge district I would suspect this proposal was

designed to be put forth by that potential element which has been assiduously doing everything to delay our franchise while always keeping alive the devout wish we would do something to invalidate the proceedings. I will read my remarks given at another time, which express my opinion on this matter:

"'Under the procedure and under the statute law, unless I am wrong, and it has been said here a dozen times if it is desired to find out if the people of these counties desire a publicly-owned bridge there is nothing to prevent the people of those counties from taking the proceedings indicated in the City Attorney's letter.'

"To expect a bridge can be built cheaper under public ownership than under private ownership is hoping against our experience in every matter of the expenditure of the public funds. To drive that capital away is not business-like; to throw out all the maps, plans and preparations of nineteen participants in face of the fact that they have spent years of study and huge sums of money, is not only unnecessary, but is working directly into the hands of people who desire that there be no bridge over San Francisco Bay. However, I have no objection to the formation of a bridge district, providing it does not block or delay the granting of a franchise. To that end I favor an early declaration on this most important project. I suggest, while this proposal of Supervisor Havenner is being thoroughly and fairly considered, its consideration shall not estop the regular proceedings. The petitioners are here, ready to build a bridge at once. Millions of dollars are waiting to be spent in the building of a bridge. Labor needs employment, and over 60 per cent of these millions would be spent for labor. The bridge can be taken over and publicly owned whenever our peole desire it, and I desire that statement to go in the record in support of that resolution which I have presented."

Question of Personal Privilege.

Supervisor Havenner rose to a question of personal privilege and said:

"I object to the form of Supervisor Gallagher's resolution. He reads into the resolution which I have introduced here an intent which it does not state and which it does not contain. I have no desire to deprive this Board, if it so desires, of the right to go ahead and vote on any question in connection with the bridge matter while my resolution is being considered, but I do object to having a resolution written into the special records of this Board which implies that my resolution does something it does not do, and as a question of personal privilege I object to the acceptance of that resolution in its present form, because it does not deal with anything now before this Board."

Whereupon, Supervisor Havenner's and Supervisor Gallagher's resolutions were *referred to the Special Bridge Committee.*

Supervisor Gallagher called attention to a previous motion made by him:

"On January 17, 1927, the Board agreed to take a vote upon the granting of a franchise by proper resolution for a bridge across San Francisco Bay, and that the petitions would be taken up in the order of their presentation to the Board for a vote. It was then moved by Supervisor McSheehy that my motion go to the Bridge Committee. That vote, I think, was 9 to 7. I then moved that the Bridge Committee be requested to vote on that motion, which was referred by January 10. That was the instruction of the Board, to bring it out on January 10. They have failed to do so."

Motion.

"Now, Mr. Chairman, I desire to move you that Tuesday, February 15, 1927, at 10 o'clock a. m., be set as the time when the Board will consider and commence to vote on bridge applications now pending."

Point of Order.

Supervisor McSheehy raised the point of order that Supervisor Gallagher's motion was not in order for the reason that the bridge matter is before a committee of this Board and the committee has not reported on the particular matter referred to it. Point of order not well taken.

Statement of Supervisor Havenner.

Supervisor Havenner, in answer to a remark of Supervisor Gallagher, made the following statement for the record:

"I want to make a statement in response to what Supervisor Gallagher says about me, that no attorney for any project now before this Board has said to me that it meant $600,000 for him to get a franchise, or it meant any amount for him to get a franchise. No attorney, nor any attache of any project before this Board, has every discussed that matter with me in any manner, and I had no knowledge then of such editorial as that in advance. I would prefer the

Supervisor not to say those things unless has has information upon it.

"I would say it is extremely inconsistent at this time to commit ourselves definitely now to the proposition of voting for a private franchise on a specific date until the committee acts on those resolutions, and I hope they will act speedily on mine. I think it will be absurd."

Communications.

The following telegram and communication was presented and read by the Clerk:

"Washington, D. C., Jan. 15.
Hon. James B. McSheehy, City Hall,
San Francisco, California.

Retal understands Saturday's San Francisco Examiner carried detailed account of General Jadwin's statement before subcommittee. Am mailing you today copy of hearing. Have taken up matter with Jadwin, who informs me that with the evidence before him now he would not favor bridge north of Hunter's Point but is willing to consider further evidence.

Mrs. Julius Kahn, M. C."

"Washington, D. C.,
Jan. 15, 1927.

Hon. James B. McSheehy, City Hall.

Chronicle article January 5th reference to Subcommittee on Appropriations meeting quoting Mrs. Kahn, Congressman Carter of Oakland and myself as present and questioning General Jadwin in reference to east bay bridge mistake. We were not present. I have not seen or discussed bridge matters with General Jadwin since arrival here. I looked up record of meeting referred to and find that Congressman Barbour of Fresno, California, chairman of Subcommittee on Appropriations, asked General Jadwin certain questions concerning all bay bridge matter which are printed in detail in report copy of which I am mailing you. Would respectfully suggest that you wire General Jadwin and General Deakyne direct in reference to elaboration of War Department's views as referred to in your telegram of this date. Am transmitting your telegram to War Department engineers.

Richard J. Welch, M. C."

Amendment Proposed.

Supervisor Havenner moved as an amendment that Supervisor Gallagher's motion be referred to the Special Bridge Committee.

Meeting of Special Bridge Committee.

Whereupon, Supervisor McSheehy called a meeting of the Special Bridge Committee for Saturday morning, January 22, 1927, at 10 a. m.

Substitute for the Whole.

Supervisor Roncovieri offered the following substitute for the whole:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, financial problems connected with the building of a bridge across the Bay are various and extremely involved and absolutely fundamental in arriving at a favorable decision of policy as between a bridge built by public funds or one to be built by private capital; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Bridge Committee of this Board be requested and empowered to employ expert financial accountants and actuaries who shall prepare from the records of this Board and other data a complete set-up of figures tabulating in parallel form the more profitable policy to be adopted.

Referred to Special Bridge Committee.

Privilege of the Floor.

Chas. Brennan was granted the privilege of the floor and asked if those who desire to present any matters to the committee might do so on Saturday.

Clerk was directed to notify all applicants of the meeting of the Special Bridge Committee on Saturday.

Referred.

Thereupon, the roll was called and Supervisor Gallagher's motion was *referred to the Special Bridge Committee* by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—10.

Noes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden—4.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Harrelson, Powers—4.

Motion Lost.

Whereupon, Supervisor Shannon moved that further consideration of the application of the Bay Cities Bridge Corporation be continued until Monday, February 14, 1927, at 11 a. m.

Motion *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Todd —9.

Noes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Stanton —5.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Harrelson, Powers—4.

Motion Carried.

Supervisor Shannon thereupon moved that further consideration of the application of the Bay Cities Bridge Corporation be continued until Monday, January 31, 1927, at 11 a. m.

Applications for Franchises to Construct Bridges Across San Francisco Bay.

Whereupon, the following applications were taken up:

Application of Bay Cities Bridge Corporation for a franchise for a toll bridge between San Francisco and Alameda.

Proposed rail and highway crossing for San Francisco Bay from the foot of Sixteenth street, San Francisco, to the foot of Pacific avenue, Alameda.

Beginning at the intersection of the center line of Sixteenth street with the center line of Third (Kentucky) street, in the City and County of San Francisco, and extending thence easterly along the center line of Sixteenth street to the waterfront line; thence easterly along a straight line to a point on the center line of Pacific avenue, in the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, which point is one hundred feet westerly from the intersection of said center line of Pacific avenue with the center line of Bryant street.

That the length of said bridge is 27,750 feet and that the width of the roadway on said bridge is 38 feet.

Filed April 6, 1925.

DUDLEY D. SALES,
Attorney.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until January* 31, 1927, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—13.

No—Supervisor Gallagher—1.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Harrelson, Powers—4.

Application of Elbert W. Davis for a franchise for a toll bridge between San Francisco and Alameda.

Across San Francisco Bay, beginning at a point in the City and County of San Francisco on the bulkhead line of San Francisco Harbor between Piers 26 and 28, and running approximately north 67 degrees 45 minutes east 17,962 feet, more or less, to a point in the City of Oakland, County of Alameda, State of California, on the easterly shore of San Francisco Bay.

That the length of said bridge is to be 17,962 feet and that the breadth thereof is to be 65 feet, more or less.

Filed June 29, 1925.

JAMES M. OLIVER,
Attorney.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until January* 31, 1927, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—13.

No—Supervisor Gallagher—1.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Harrelson, Powers—4.

Application of John Bermingham, Jr., 250 Cervantes boulevard, for a franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge across San Francisco Bay between the City and County of San Francisco and the County of Alameda.

Beginning at the intersection of the center line of Seventh and Mission streets, in the City and County of San Francisco, along Seventh street to Seventh and Sixteenth; thence in a southeasterly direction to Nineteenth and Third; thence in a southerly direction along Third street to the intersection of Third street and Jerrold avenue and waterfront; thence proceeding in a northerly direction, crossing San Francisco Bay to a point from which a branch will extend 4500 feet easterly to the intersection of Pacific avenue and Bryant street, in the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California; thence from said aforementioned intersecting point proceeding in a northerly direction, crossing the Oakland Estuary, to the intersection of Peralta street and Seventh street, in the City of Oakland, County of Alameda, State of California.

That the total length of said bridge, approaches thereto and branch thereof, aforementioned, is 65,450 feet, that the width of said bridge is ninety (90) feet.

Filed September 28, 1925.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until January* 31, 1927, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Ron-

covieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—13.

No—Supervisor Gallagher—1.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Harrelson, Powers—4.

Application of A. M. Bergstrom for a franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge across San Francisco Bay between the City and County of San Francisco and the County of Alameda.

That the said toll bridge is to be located across the Bay of San Francisco along the following described line, to-wit:

Beginning at a point in San Francisco at about the foot of Davidson avenue, on Hunter's Point, and running northerly sixty-two (62) degrees east four and four-tenths (4.4) miles; thence on a one (1) degree curve to the north one and six-tenths (1.6) miles to true north to a point on the easterly shore of the Bay of San Francisco at about the foot of Webster street, in the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California.

That the length of said bridge is to be six (6) miles and the breadth is to be sixty (60) feet, more or less.

Filed September 28, 1925.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until January* 31, 1927, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—13.

No—Supervisor Gallagher—1.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Harrelson, Powers—4.

Application of New York and San Francisco Development Company for franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge between San Francisco and Alameda

Bridge to be constructed across San Francisco Bay from a point therein at or near the junction of Evans avenue and Boalt street, Hunter's Point, in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to or near the junction of South High street and Washington street, in the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California; that the length of said bridge is approximately six and one-half miles from shore line to shore line, and that its breadth or width is approximately from 60 to 90 feet.

Filed January 11, 1926.

ROTHCHILD & GOLDEN,
Attorneys.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until January* 31, 1927, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—13.

No—Supervisor Gallagher—1.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Harrelson, Powers—4.

Application of Roy C. Hackley for a franchise to construct a toll bridge between San Francisco, Pier 26, and Alameda County.

Beginning at a point in the City and County of San Francisco on the bulkhead line of San Francisco Harbor, south of and adjacent to Pier 26, and running approximately north 61 degrees east and fifty-three hundred feet, more or less; thence running approximately north 89 degrees east five thousand feet, more or less; thence running approximately north 59 degrees 30 minutes east eight thousand feet, more or less, to a point in the City of Oakland, County of Alameda, State of California, on the easterly shore of said San Francisco Bay.

That the length of said bridge is to be eighteen thousand three hundred feet (18,300) and that the breadth thereof is to be 78 feet, more or less.

Roy A. Bronson and E. D. Bronson, Jr., attorneys, 68 Post street.

Filed February 6, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until January* 31, 1927, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—13.

No—Supervisor Gallagher—1.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Harrelson, Powers—4.

Two applications of the Construction Company of North America for a franchise to construct and open a toll bridge between San Francisco and Alameda County.

Commencing at a point in said City and County of San Francisco near the corner of First and Bryant streets, on what is known as Rincon Hill, and running thence on less than a two-degree curve a dis-

tance of approximately 1700 lineal feet to the line of bulkhead wharf connecting Piers 30 and 32 on the waterfront of the said City and County of San Francisco; thence on a line approximately north 65 degrees east 21,000 lineal feet, more or less, to the Oakland Mole of the Southern Pacific Company in Alameda County.

That the length of said bridge is to be 4½ miles and that the width thereof is to be approximately 78 feet.

ROBERT J. McGAHIE,
Attorney, Mills Building.

First application filed February 15, 1926.

Second application field April 5, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until January* 31, 1927, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—13.

No—Supervisor Gallagher—1.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Harrelson, Powers—4.

Application of Allan C. Rush for a franchise to construct a toll bridge between San Francisco and Alameda County.

Construction of a suspension bridge from the City and County of San Francisco over and across Goat Island to the east shore of the Bay of San Francisco, comprising three main double traffic track entrance therein, and being of a height of two hundred feet above high tide, between all bridge piers in the waters of San Francisco Channel, in which channel the bridge will be suspended over "but three piers," twenty-four hundred feet apart, thus in nowise impeding the traffic of the waters of the Bay.

Filed June 19, 1926.

ALLAN C. RUSH,
1632 McCullom street, Los Angeles, California.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until January* 31, 1927, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—13.

No—Supervisor Gallagher—1.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Harrelson, Powers—4.

Application of Robert Lee Dunn, 778 Monadnock Building, for a franchise to construct a toll bridge along the following described line, to-wit:

Beginning at the intersection of the center line of Second street with the center line of Berry street, in the City and County of San Francisco and extending thence easterly to the waterfront line; thence easterly along a straight line to the intersection of the center lines of Pacific avenue and Bryant street, City of Alameda, California.

Length of said bridge is twenty-six thousand feet; that the width of the roadway on said bridge is eighty feet.

Filed June 21, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until January* 31, 1927, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—13.

No—Supervisor Gallagher—1.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Harrelson, Powers—4.

Application of Edward A. Bertram for a franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge to be located, to-wit:

Beginning at Twelfth and Howard streets, San Francisco, by 1½ per cent viaduct to Beale and Howard streets; thence to Southern Pacific Mole, Oakland; thence by 1½ per cent viaduct to West Fourteenth street level, and Sixteenth Street Railroad Station.

The length of said bridge proper is 21,000 feet and its width of roadway is 63 feet.

Filed June 21, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until January* 31, 1927, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—13.

No—Supervisor Gallagher—1.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Harrelson, Powers—4.

Application of R. S. Chew for franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge or bridges.

The said proposed bridge or bridges are to be located and are described as follows:

The westerly end of a bridge and the approaches thereto, which will

connect with public streets, are to be located in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California (which location is in the county situated on the left bank descending of the Bay of San Francisco, across which said bridge is to be constructed), and at a point therein at or near the summit of an eminence known as Telegraph Hill; from this point the bridge will project easterly a distance of nine thousand feet (more or less) to the westerly side of Yerba Buena (or Goat) Island; thence easterly across said island a roadway or viaduct will be constructed for a distance of four thousand feet (more or less) to its easterly side; from thence there will be projected in an easterly direction a distance of twenty-two thousand feet (more or less), a bridge structure, viaduct, causeway and/or fill to suitable connections with lines or railway and public highways in Alameda County, State of California.

Filed June 28, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until January* 31, 1927, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—13.

No—Supervisor Gallagher—1.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Harrelson, Powers—4.

Application of Inter-Cities Bridge Company for a franchise to construct, maintain and operate a toll bridge across the Bay of San Francisco and over the following route:

Beginning at the intersection of Broadway and Battery street, in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and extending thence easterly to the waterfront; thence on a line approximately north 60 degrees east to the westerly point of Yerba Buena or Goat Island; thence by contour meander to the easterly point of Yerba Buena or Goat Island; thence on a line approximately north 75 degrees to the foot of Yerba Buena street, in the City of Oakland, County of Alameda, State of California.

That the length of said bridge, inclusive of approximately 4100 feet on ground support over Yerba Buena or Goat Island, and 5670 feet to be supported by an earth fill mole in the approach to the said Oakland terminal, is to be approximately 27,000 feet. That the width of said bridge is to be approximately 120 feet, providing two 20-foot vehicular lanes and accommodations for four lines of electric transportation tracks.

Filed July 12, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until January* 31, 1927, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—13.

No—Supervisor Gallagher—1.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Harrelson, Powers—4.

Application of G. Lindenthal for franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge.

Said bridge is to be located along the following described line:

Commencing at a point in the center line of Jerrold avenue, in the City and County of San Francisco, about midway between Ship street and Dock street, in said City and County of San Francisco; thence on a curve easterly and northerly a distance of 30,000 feet, more or less, to the southerly foot of Webster street, in the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California.

The width of said bridge at the easterly end thereof for approximately 16,000 feet will be 75 feet, and the width of the remaining portion thereof will be approximately 40 feet. The length of said bridge will be approximately 30,000 feet.

Filed August 2, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until January* 31, 1927, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—13.

No—Supervisor Gallagher—1.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Harrelson, Powers—4.

Preliminary application of Fowler Engineering Corporation for a franchise for the building of a bridge from Telegraph Hill to Goat Island, 3 2000-foot spans.

Filed July 28, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until January* 31, 1927, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco,

Byington, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—13.

No—Supervisor Gallagher—1.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Harrelson, Powers—4.

Application of W. F. Holcomb for franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge across San Francisco Bay between the City and County of San Francisco and Alameda County.

Beginning at or near and within one hundred feet northerly or southerly of the intersection of the center line of Second street with the center line of Berry street, in the City and County of San Francisco and extending thence easterly to the waterfront line; thence easterly along a straight line to, at or near and within one hundred feet northerly or southerly of the intersection of the center lines of Pacific avenue and Bryant street, City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California.

That the length of said bridge will be twenty-six thousand feet; that the width of the roadway on said bridge will be eighty-nine feet.

Filed August 9, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until January* 31, 1927, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—13.

No—Supervisor Gallagher—1.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Harrelson, Powers—4.

Application of Robert E. Fitzgerald for franchise to erect, maintain and operate and take tolls on a toll bridge over and across the Bay of San Francisco. The location of said bridge is described as follows:

The center line of said bridge will commence at a point on The Embarcadero of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, at a point approximately midway between Vallejo street and Broadway street; thence extending on a line approximately north 60 degrees east to the westerly point of Yerba Buena Island, also known as Goat Island; thence following the contour of said island to a point on the easterly shore thereof where said shore line would be intersected by a center line of Seventh street in the City of Oakland, if produced, upon its present course as the course of said street now exists along the northerly line of Oakland Mole; thence easterly along said line of said street, if so produced, to the center line of said Seventh street at the present westerly end thereof.

Filed August 9, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until January* 31, 1927, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—13.

No—Supervisor Gallagher—1.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Harrelson, Powers—4.

Application of Thos. E. Slaven, filed August 16, 1926, for franchise to construct, maintain and operate a toll bridge. Said bridge is to be constructed over and across the Bay of San Francisco, and over the following route:

The location of said bridge is particularly described as follows: The center line of said bridge will commence at a point on The Embarcadero of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, approximately where the center line of said Embarcadero intersects the center line of Pier 7, if produced. Thence extending on a line north approximately 67 degrees 30 minutes east to a point on the southwesterly shore of Yerba Buena or Goat Island; thence easterly following the contour of said island to a point on the easterly shore thereof, located about six hundred feet in a northerly direction from the light house located on the southern portion of said island; thence north eighty-five degrees thirty minutes east, approximately 8700 feet to a point where said line intersects the center line of Seventh street, Oakland, if produced, upon its present course as the course of said street now exists along the northern line of Oakland Mole; thence easterly along said line of said street, if so produced, to the center line of said Seventh street at the present westerly end thereof.

The length of said bridge, exclusive of land approaches, being approximately 9300 feet west of said island and approximately 10,400 feet east of said island, and the breadth of said bridge being approximately 115 feet.

On motion of Supervisor Shan-

non, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until January* 31, 1927, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—13.

No—Supervisor Gallagher—1.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Harrelson, Powers—4.

Application of E. D. Bronson, Jr., for a franchise for a toll bridge across San Francisco Bay.

Filed August 16, 1926.

Beginning at a point in the City and County of San Francisco on the bulkhead line of San Francisco Harbor, south of and adjacent to Pier 26, and running north approximately 68 degrees east seventeen thousand nine hundred fifty feet (17,950'), more or less, to a point in the City of Oakland, County of Alameda, State of California, on the easterly shore of said San Francisco Bay; and the said toll bridge to be approximately seventeen thousand nine hundred fifty (17,950') feet in length and one hundred ten (110') feet in breadth, more or less.

Roy A. Bronson, E. D. Bronson, Jr., attorneys at law, 68 Post street, Room 403, San Francisco, California.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until January* 31, 1927, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—13.

No—Supervisor Gallagher—1.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Harrelson, Powers—4.

Tunnels.

Application of O. A. Ellis for franchise for two tunnels under the Bay of San Francisco from San Francisco to Oakland.

One of the tunnels will be for interurban street car traffic, with double track, and the San Francisco terminal between Third and Fourth streets on Harrison street.

The other tunnel will be for auto and railroad traffic, with San Francisco terminal between Second and Third streets on Harrison street.

The Oakland terminal will be at Seventh and Cedar streets.

Filed June 25, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until January* 31, 1927, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—13.

No—Supervisor Gallagher—1.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Harrelson, Powers—4.

Report of Traffic Committee.

To the Honorable Board of Supervisors.

Gentlemen:

The Traffic Committee, to which the communication of the Traffic Survey Committee was referred, has had the same under consideration and recommends as follows:

That the following locations for traffic signals set forth in said report as being of primary importance and signals thereat should be installed at the earliest moment and in the order stated, meet with the approval of the committee, and this Board should concur therein by the approval of this report.

The locations are as follows: Kearny and Post, Bush and Montgomery, Stockton and Geary, Montgomery and Sutter, Kearny and Sutter, Powell and Geary, Powell and Ellis, Powell and O'Farrell, Stockton and O'Farrell, Montgomery and Pine, Sansome and California, Grant and Sutter, Bush and Kearny, Stockton and Post, Sansome and Bush, Powell and Post, Montgomery and California, Pine and Sansome, Mission and First, Powell and Sutter, Stockton and Sutter, Second and Mission, Kearny and California, Pine and Kearny, Third and Howard, Fourth and Howard, Sixth and Mission, Eighth and Mission, Bush and Grant avenue, Twenty-second and Mission, Van Ness and Bush, Valencia and Market, Mason and Geary, Van Ness and Golden Gate, Van Ness and Geary, Van Ness and Pine, Grant avenue, Columbus and Broadway, Sixth and Howard, Third and Folsom, Polk and Bush, Van Ness and California, Post and Mason, Columbus avenue, Kearny and Pacific, Van Ness and Eddy, Van Ness and McAllister, Second and Howard, Van Ness and O'Farrell, Mission, Van Ness and McCoppin, Twenty-second and Valencia, Valencia and Mission, Castro and Eighteenth, Jackson and Van Ness, Eighth and Howard, Mission and Twenty-ninth, Seventeenth and Valencia, Grant avenue and Clay, Van Ness and Pacific, Post and Polk, Polk and Sutter, Stockton, Columbus avenue and Green, Grant avenue and Pacific, Arguello and Geary, Polk and Pine, Fourth and Folsom, Mission and Seven-

teenth, Twenty-fourth and Mission, Van Ness and Union, Powell and Bush, Stockton and Pacific, Grant avenue and Pine, Seventeenth, Castro and Market, Divisadero and Fulton, Grant avenue and California, Eighth avenue and Geary, Fillmore and Bush, Fillmore and Pine, Potrero avenue and Sixteenth, Montgomery and Columbus avenue, Stockton and Sacramento, Divisadero and Geary, Divisadero and Golden Gate avenue, Potrero and Brannan, Tenth avenue and Geary, Van Ness and Lombard, Powell and Pine, Eighteenth avenue and Geary, Noe, Market and Sixteenth, Potrero and Mariposa, Divisadero and Bush, Powell and Pacific, Nineteenth and Lincoln way, Twenty-fourth avenue and Geary, Potrero and Brannan, Divisadero and Pine, Dolores and Sixteenth, Stanyan and Fulton, Twenty-fourth avenue and Fulton, Tenth and Brannan, Castro and Twenty-fourth, Bryant and Division, Eleventh and Bryant, Mason and Market, Jones and Market, Tenth and Market, Ninth and Market, Drumm and Market, Front and Market. Seventh and Market, Fulton and Market.

Respectfully submitted,

CHAS. F. TODD.
EDWIN G. BATH.
WALTER J. SCHMIDT.

Motion.

Supervisor Gallagher moved that Laguna and Fell streets be included among the first ten locations.

Whereupon, the foregoing was ordered printed on the Calendar and *laid over one week.*

SPECIAL ORDER—3 P. M.

Report of Finance Committee on Salary Increases.

The following matters were taken up

San Francisco, Dec. 20, 1926.

Honorable Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco.
Gentlemen:

In the last municipal campaign the people of San Francisco, by overwhelming vote, declared for strict economy in the conduct of the business of this City and County. In compiling the budget for the present fiscal year your Finance Committee complied with that mandate for economy and submitted an estimate of expenditures totaling $33,284,382, which represented a reduction of $1,776,127 from the nominal budget total of the previous fiscal year. As a matter of fact, the previous year's budget had been erroneously compiled, with the result that a deficit of $1,400,000 occurred, so that the actual reduction in authorized expenditures for the present year amounts to $3,176,127.

This year's budget was unanimously adopted by the Board of Supervisors and signed by the Mayor without the change of a single item in the report of the Finance Committee. A tax rate 47 cents less than that of the previous year was adopted, and 72,000 taxpayers of this City and County are now enjoying an average reduction of 12 per cent in their tax bills for the current year.

When the budget was compiled, your Finance Committee took the position that the people's mandate for economy was intended to apply not only to elected officials, but to all employees of the City and County as well, and not a single salary raise or reduction was included in the budget. Half of the fiscal year has now elapsed and a survey of the City and County finances shows that a moderate surplus will accumulate in the treasury by the end of the year. Your Finance Committee has, therefore, taken under consideration various requests for salary increases for City and County employees who now receive small incomes, and for certain others who have not enjoyed a raise for a number of years. After a careful investigation of these requests your Finance Committee, on November 29 last, recommended small increases of salary for about 640 employees, which will aggregate an expenditure of about $70,000 in next year's budget, representing approximately one cent in the tax rate.

Certain members of your Honorable Board have seen fit to recommend additional increases for about 187 employees, 102 of whom were included in the recommendations already submitted by the Finance Committee. These additional increases would amount to $37,104, and would entail a further advance of one-half cent in the tax rate.

During the past three weeks your Finance Committee has been approached, personally and by petition, by no less than one thousand city employees, all asking for salary increases. If these requests were allowed they would necessitate an increase of approximately a quarter of a million dollars in the next budget. Your Finance Committee recognizes the fact that all employees would like to have a salary raise, but it is obviously impossible to increase the entire City and County payroll, and your Committee has endeavored to confine its recommendations chiefly to those

employees who are now receiving what may be characterized as a minimum wage. The first year of the new economy regime is only half over, and your Finance Committee believes that a faithful adherence to its obligation to the people's demand for economy will not justify any further increases at this time.

In the face of these facts as herein stated the Finance Committee recommends that all requests for raises of salaries made on November 29, 1926, be disapproved, and that the Civil Service Commission be requested to report as soon as possible their recommendations on the standardization of positions as provided for by the Charter.

Respectfully submitted,

JAS. B. McSHEEHY,
Chairman;
F. R. HAVENNER,
MILO F. KENT,
Finance Committee.

Adjuster of Licenses.

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, pursuant to Ordinance No. 7117 (New Series), and in accordance with Subdivision "C" of Section 3 thereof, the Auditor is hereby authorized and requested to make transfer of requisite amount to meet increased amount set opposite the following budget item, effective from and after January 1, 1927, to-wit:

Tax Collector's Office.

Budget Item No. 197—Adjuster of licenses, $3,300.

Female Jailer.

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, pursuant to Ordinance No. 7117 (New Series), and in accordance with Subdivision "C" of Section 3 thereof, the Auditor is hereby authorized and requested to make transfer of requisite amount to meet increased amount set opposite the following budget item, effective from and after January 1, 1927, to-wit:

Sheriff's Office.

Budget Item No. 314—1 female jailer, $2,244.

Sealer of Weights and Measures.

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, pursuant to Ordinance No. 7117 (New Series), and in accordance with Subdivision "C" of Section 3 thereof, the Auditor is hereby authorized and requested to make transfers of requisite amounts to meet increased amounts set opposite the following budget items effective from and after January 1, 1927, to-wit:

Office of Sealer of Weights and Measures.

Budget Item No. 396—Chief deputy, $3,600.

Budget Item No. 397—6 deputy sealers, at $3,000 each, $18,000.

Nurses.

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, pursuant to Ordinance No. 7117 (New Series), and in accordance with Subdivision "C" of Section 3 thereof, the Auditor is hereby authorized and requested to make transfers of requisite amounts to meet increased amounts set opposite the following budget items effective from and after January 1, 1927, to-wit:

Tuberculosis Bureau.

Budget Item No. 838—8 field nurses, from $1,980 to $2,400.

School Medical Inspection.

Budget Item No. 840—30 field nurses, from $1,980 to $2,400.

Child Welfare.

Budget Item No. 842—Field nurse for foster homes, from $1,980 to $2,400.

Budget Item No. 843—7 field nurses for health centers, from $1,980 to $2,400.

Social Service.

Budget Item No. 844—Field nurse, from $1,980 to $2,400.

Phone Operators.

Supervisor Todd presented:

Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, pursuant to Ordinance No. 7117 (New Series), and in accordance with Subdivision "C" of Section 3 thereof, the Auditor is hereby authorized and requested to make transfers of requisite amounts to meet increased amounts set opposite the following budget items effective from and after January 1, 1927, to-wit:

Department of Public Health—Central Office.

Budget Item No. 774—2 phone operators, from $1,800 to $2,100.

San Francisco Hospital.

Budget Item No. 940—2 phone operators, from $1,800 to $2,100.

Assessor, Department of Public Works, Department of Electricity and Department of Public Health.

Supervisor Stanton presented:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, pursuant to Ordinance No. 7117 (New Series), and

in accordance with Subdivision "C" of Section 3 thereof, the Auditor is hereby authorized and requested to make transfers of requisite amounts to meet increased amounts set opposite the following Budget items, effective from and after January 1, 1927, to-wit:

Assessor.

Budget Item No. 224—Fifteen assistant appraisers (at $2,400 each), $36,000.

Bureau of Engineering.

Budget Item No. 532—One inspector of streets and sewers, $2,520.

Budget Item No. 533—One inspector of streets and sewers, $2,400.

Board of Public Works—(Bureau of Accounting).

Budget Item No. 422—Two stenographers (at $2,220 each a year), $4,440.

Budget Item No. 423—Four stenographers (at $2,100 each a year), $8,400.

Board of Public Works—(Bureau of Architecture).

Budget Item No. 429—Stenographer, $2,220.

Department of Electricity.

Budget Item No. 617—One stenographer-typewriter, $2,220.

Budget Item No. 638—Two clerks (at $2,400 each), $4,800.

Department of Public Health.

Budget Item No. 771—Three stenographers (at $2,400 a year), $7,200.

Budget Item No. 772—Two clerks (at $2,400 each a year), $4,800.

Budget Item No. 777—Two clerks (at $2,400 each a year), $4,800.

Budget Item No. 779—One clerk (mortuary), $2,400.

Budget Item No. 790—Psychologist, $1,920.

Budget Item No. 803—Clerk, $2,400.

Budget Item No. 860—Stenographer, $2,400.

Deptartment of Public Works (Bureau of Repair).

Supervisor Todd presented:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, pursuant to Ordinance No. 7117 (New Series), and in accordance with Subdivision "C" of Section 3 thereof, the Auditor is hereby authorized and requested to make transfers of requisite amounts to meet increased amounts set opposite the following Budget items, effective from and after January 1, 1927, to-wit:

Department of Public Works—(Bureau of Building Repair).

Budget Item No. 432—Superintendent of painters, from $3,150 to $3,450.

Budget Item No. 433—Foreman plumber, from $3,000 to $3,300.

Budget Item No. 434—Foreman cement finisher, from $3,000 to $3,300.

Budget Item No. 435—Foreman carpenter, from $3,000 to $3,300.

Budget Item No. 436—Foreman tinner, from $3,000 to $3,300.

Budget Item No. 437—Foreman wireman, from $3,000 to $3,300.

Budget Item No. 470—Foreman steamfitter, from $3,000 to $3,300.

Board of Public Works, Sheriff's Office and Police Department.

Supervisor Hayden presented:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, pursuant to Ordinance No. 7117 (New Series), and in accordance with Subdivision "C" of Section 3 thereof, the Auditor is hereby authorized and requested to make transfers of requisite amounts to meet increased amounts set opposite the following Budget items, effective from and after January 1, 1927, to-wit:

Board of Public Works—Commissioners and General Office.

Budget Item No. 412—Messenger-clerk, from $2,280 to $2,400.

Board of Public Works—Bureau of Architecture.

Budget Item No. 428—Apprentice draftsman to messenger, from $1,500 and $1,800.

Sheriff's Office.

Budget Item No. 312—Two cooks, from $2,100 to $2,340.

Police Department—Uniform Police.

Budget Item No. 762—Cook, from $2,100 to $2,340.

Justices' Courts.

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, pursuant to Ordinance No. 7117 (New Series), and in accordance with Subdivision "C" of Section 3 thereof, the Auditor is hereby authorized and requested to make transfers of requisite amounts to meet increased amounts set opposite the following Budget items, effective from and after January 1, 1927, to-wit:

Justices' Courts.

Budget Item No. 1—Clerk (at $2,550 a year), $2,700.

Assessor.

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, pursuant to Ordinance No. 7117 (New Series), and in accordance with Subdivision "C" of Section 3 thereof, the Auditor is hereby authorized and requested to make transfer of requisite amount to meet increased amounts set opposite the following Budget item, effective from and after January 1, 1927, to-wit:

Assessor.

Budget Item No. — —Stenographer-typist (at $2,400 a year), $1,950.

County Clerk.

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, pursuant to Ordinance No. 7117 (New Series), and in accordance with Subdivision "C" of Section 3 thereof, the Auditor is hereby authorized and requested to make transfers of requisite amounts to meet increased amounts set opposite the following Budget items, effective from and after January 1, 1927, to-wit:

County Clerk.

Budget Item No. 289—Ten assistant registry clerks, at $2,700 each, $25,500.

Budget Item No. 290—Sixteen Superior Court clerks, at $2,700 each, $40,800.

Budget Item No. 291—Four Police Court clerks, at $2,700 each, $10,200.

Department of Public Works.

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, pursuant to Ordinance No. 7117 (New Series), and in accordance with Subdivision "C" of Section 3 thereof, the Auditor is hereby authorized and requested to make transfers of requisite amounts to meet increased amounts set opposite the following Budget items, effective from and after January 1, 1927, to-wit:

Department of Public Works.

Budget Item No. 563—Ten chauffeurs, at $8 a day, $21,000.

Budget Item No. 587—Nineteen chauffeurs, at $8 per day, $42,750.

Budget Item No. 601—Three chauffeurs, at $8 per day, $6,750.

Sealer of Weights and Measures and Police Department.

Supervisor Todd presented:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, pursuant to Ordinance No. 7117 (New Series), and in accordance with Subdivision "C" of Section 3 thereof, the Auditor is hereby authorized and requested to make transfers of requisite amounts to meet increased amounts set opposite the following Budget items, effective from and after January 1, 1927, to-wit:

Sealer of Weights and Measures.

Budget Item No. 395—Sealer, from $4,800 to $5,400.

Police Department—Uniform Force.

Budget Item No. 760—Four matrons, from $2,100 to $2,400.

Department of Public Works.

Supervisor Todd presented:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, pursuant to Ordinance No. 7117 (New Series), and in accordance with Subdivision "C" of Section 3 thereof, the Auditor is hereby authorized and requested to make transfers of requisite amounts to meet increased amounts set opposite the following Budget items, effective from and after January 1, 1927, to-wit:

Fire Department—Pumping Stations.

Budget Item No. 686—Two chief engineers, from $3,360 to $3,480.

Budget Item No. 687—Five assistant engineers, from $2,760 to $2,880.

Budget Item No. 688—Seven firemen, from $2,340 to $2,460.

Department of Public Works.

Supervisor Todd presented:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, pursuant to Ordinance No. 7117 (New Series), and in accordance with Subdivision "C" of Section 3 thereof, the Auditor is hereby authorized and requested to make transfers of requisite amounts to meet increased amounts set opposite the following Budget items, effective from and after January 1, 1927, to-wit:

Department of Public Works—Commissioners and General Office.

Budget Item No. 407 — Experienced clerk, from $2,400 to $2,700.

Budget Item No. 408—Ordinary clerk, from $2,400 to $2,700.

Bureau of Accounting.

Budget Item No. 420 — Experienced clerk, from $2,400 to $2,700.

Salaries of School Janitors and Janitresses.

Supervisor Gallagher rose to a question of personal privilege, as to whether the Finance Committee had, in accordance with the following, conferred with the Board of Education in the matter of an increase of $5 per month in salaries of school janitors and janitresses. He called attention to the following motion made by him and carried

at the meeting of the Board of Supervisors on November 29, 1926, to-wit:

"I move you that it be the sense of this Board that the janitors and janitresses employed in the School Department be allowed an additional increase of $5 per month, commencing as of January 1, 1927; that the Board of Education be conferred with by the Finance Committee to the end that the amount sufficient to allow such increase be allotted from their funds, if possible, and if funds within the authority of the Board of Education are not available, then that the Finance Committee allow said appropriation on their promise to pay such raise, a sum equal to a raise of $5, in addition to that already given for each janitor and janitress employed in the Public School Department of the City and County."

Thereupon, his resolution having been in committee for over thirty days, it was called up in the Board for consideration.

The Chair ruled that the Gallagher resolution was now in the hands of the Board and was granted preference in consideration.

Whereupon, Supervisor Gallagher moved that the Finance Committee request the Board of Education to allow to all school janitors and janitresses an additional salary of $5 per month as of January 1st or as soon thereafter as possible out of Janitorial Service and Engineering Supplies, and if a deficit occurs in said fund as a result, that the Board of Supervisors pledge itself and the Finance Committee to make good the deficit out of any surplus available.

Referred.

Supervisor McSheehy moved as an amendment that the subject matter of Supervisor Gallagher's motion be referred to the Finance Committee.

Amendment *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —15.

Noes — Supervisors Deasy, Gallagher—2.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Motion.

Supervisor McSheehy moved that all proposed salary increases be referred to the Finance Committee.

Supervisor Stanton moved as an amendment that No. 46, providing for increases in Assessor's office, Bureau of Engineering, Board of Public Works (Bureau of Accounting), (Bureau of Architecture), Department of Electricity and Department of Public Health be taken up now and voted upon.

Supervisor Badaracco moved as an amendment to the amendment consideration of proposed matters be continued until January 24, 1927, and considered as a Special Order at 2:30 p. m.

Amendment to the amendment *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Todd—14.

Noes — Supervisors Deasy, Gallagher, Stanton—3.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Citizens' Committee, Music Week.

Supervisor Havenner moved that the Public Welfare Committee be requested to appoint a committee of citizens to conduct Music Week, May 1-8, 1927.

Motion *carried.*

Window Ventilators.

Supervisor McSheehy moved that the Building Committee be instructed to put proper ventilators in the three windows of the chambers.

Motion *carried.*

ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND MOTIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

Contstitutional Amendment, Taxation of Public Utilities.

The following was presented by Supervisors Badaracco, Schmidt and Shannon:

Resolution No. 26711 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, a constitutional amendment has been submitted to the Legislature of the State of California, now assembled, providing for the taxation of all publicly owned light, power, heat, transportation, telegraph and telephone projects to the same extent as like property owned and operated by private corporations and natural persons; and

Whereas, legislation identical with that now proposed was defeated in the 1925 session of the Legislature, largely because of the active opposition of the representatives of San Francisco in the Senate and Assembly; and

Whereas, the adoption of such proposed constitutional amendment would be extremely detrimental to the successful expansion and operation of municipally owned projects

by the City and County of San Francisco; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, By the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco that said Board opposes the adoption of such amendment and requests the co-operation of Assemblymen and Senators from this city to secure its defeat; and be it

Further Resolved, That a copy of this resolution be forwarded to each Senator and Assemblyman from San Francisco.

Adopted by the following vote:

A y e s — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—13.

Absent — Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Powers—5.

Citizens' Committee to Invite Democratic National Convention.

Supervisor Hayden presented:

Resolution No. 26712 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That his Honor the Mayor be respectfully requested to appoint a committee of citizens to make the necessary plans, through civic and political organizations, to invite the next Democratic National Convention to meet in San Francisco in 1928.

Adopted by the following vote:

A y e s — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—13.

Absent — Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Powers—5.

Citizens' Committee to Invite Republican National Convention.

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Resolution No. 26713 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That his Honor the Mayor be respectfully requested to appoint a committee of citizens to make the necessary plans, through civic and political organizations, to invite the next Republican National Convention to meet in San Francisco in 1928.

Adopted by the following vote:

A y e s — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—13.

Absent — Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Powers—5.

ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business, the Board at 8:15 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors May 16, 1927.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated, and approved as above recited.

JOHN S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco.

Vol. 22—New Series No. 4

Monday, January 24, 1927

Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
77 Sutter Street, S. F.

JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, JANUARY 24, 1927, 10 A. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, January 24, 1927, 10 a. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Byington, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Supervisor Bath excused on account of illness.

Quorum present.

His Honor Mayor Rolph being absent, Supervisor Badaracco was elected to preside.

Supervisor Shannon asked that his Honor the Mayor, who had appeared earlier in the day and had returned to his office, be now notified that the Board was in session.

APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the meeting of November 15, 1926, was considered read and approved.

ROLL CALL FOR PETITIONS FROM MEMBERS.

Protest Against Changing Zones, Bay View District.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication, from the Bay View Promotion Association, protesting against the contemplated change of zone to Heavy Industrial character in block bounded by Donner avenue, Egbert, Ingalls and Hawes streets.

Considered and postponed for two weeks.

Zoning Change Protest, California Street, West of Van Ness Avenue.

Communication, from the Presidents of nine Churches of Christ Scientist against the rezoning into Light Industrial District of that portion of California street between Van Ness avenue and Franklin street.

Read by the Clerk.

Inauguration of Embarcadero Bus Service.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication, from W. J. Fitzgerald, Secretary Board of Public Works, advising that The Embarcadero bus service will be inaugurated with fitting ceremony at the Ferry Building at 12 o'clock noon, Thursday, January 27, 1927, and inviting the Board of Supervisors to participate.

Relative to Salary Increases for Janitors and Janitresses, School Department.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication, from the San Francisco Labor Council, urging the Board of Supervisors to make the necessary appropriation and direct the Board of Education to use the money so appropriated for increasing the salaries of janitors and janitresses in the Public Schools, which the Council believes is one of the most meritorious appeals pending before the Board.

Action deferred one week.

Relative to Bridge Applications.

The following were presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication, from the Civic League of Improvements Clubs and Associations, stating that by reason of the lack of decisive action in getting a franchise for a transbay bridge that there remain at present possibly only five applications whose legality cannot be disputed before the Board of Supervisors because the Civil Code provides that no corporation which shall not secure within the period of six months a bridge franchise for which it has applied shall automatically cease to exist, and consequently would have no further legal standing before the Board.

Over one week.

Also, *communication*, from business men and property owners north of Market street requesting that

the Board of Supervisors select Telegraph Hill on this side of the Bay as San Francisco's terminal.

Over one week.

Political Meetings in Auditorium.

Communication, from Anna C. Wellbrock, suggesting the allowing of a mass meeting on Saturday night before each election in order that the people may have an opportunity to hear and see all candidates running for office, and further that speeches be broadcast and screen with slides be provided for each candidate and music provided for entertainment.

Referred to Auditorium Committee.

Death of Edward F. Moran.

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Resolution No. 26719 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, death has unexpectedly called Edward F. Moran, leading attorney of San Francisco, who has been actively interested in civic affairs for over a quarter of a century; and

Whereas, Mr. Moran has served his city faithfully and with distinction as Chief Examiner and Civil Service Commissioner, and for the past fifteen years as attorney for the Auditor; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors express its sincere sympathy and regret at his untimely demise; and be it

Further Resolved, That copies of this resolution be forwarded to members of his family, and that when the Board adjourns it do so in respect to his memory.

Adopted unanimously by rising vote.

Supervisor McSheehy presented the following for the record:

It becomes the sad duty of this Board to register the death of Edward F. Moran, who has been associated with the government of this City for many years, first as secretary and examiner of the Board of Civil Service Commissioners, immediately after adoption of the present City Charter, and later as the attorney of the Auditor. In these capacities he has rendered faithful service and his counsel has been such as to entitle him to receive the respect and confidence of his associates, and the City has gained much by accepting his advice. These many years of association with Mr. Moran have created friendships that have been most sincere and a memory of them will long endure. We deeply grieve at the severance of these associations and tender our condolence to the surviving members of his family.

Ordered spread in Journal.

Relative to Embarcadero Busses.

The following was presented, read by the Clerk and ordered *filed*:

December 23, 1926.

James Rolph, Junior, Mayor.

Sir:

In considering Resolution No. 26582 (New Series), finally passed by the Board of Supervisors on December 20, 1926, wherein the sum of $47,742 was appropriated for the purchase of six White motor busses with wooden bodies to be used in The Embarcadero bus service, it might be well for you to have before you the following facts:

By Ordinance No. 7268 (New Series), approved October 2, 1926, this Board was authorized and directed to buy in the open market six busses for The Embarcadero bus service. Pursuant to these directions the City Engineer prepared the necessary specifications, and by Resolution No. 94539 (Second Series), passed November 8, 1926, this Board directed its Superintendent Bureau of Accounts to issue the necessary purchase order for two White busses, two Mack busses and two Fageol busses, and by Resolution No. 94539-A (Second Series), passed by this Board on November 8, 1926, the Board of Supervisors was requested to appropriate the sum of $54,287.88 to defray the cost of the said six busses. Paragraph 1, Section 9, Article VI, Chapter I of the Charter provides among other things that the necessity for equipment for public utilities must first be certified by the City Engineer before they may be purchased. In this particular instance the City Engineer in letter addressed to the Board of Public Works under date of October 28, 1926, did certify that a total of six busses, each of 29 passenger-carrying capacity, was necessary for The Embarcadero bus line. We quote the following paragraphs from said letter:

"In accordance with the provisions of Ordinance No. 7268 and Ordinance No. 6440, I do hereby certify that the necessities of construction in connection with the operation of an automobile passenger bus service along The Embarcadero between the Third and Townsend street station at the south and the Golden Gate Ferry on the north require the immediate purchase in the open market of six automobile busses.

"This will leave your Board free to exercise its discretion in the pur-

chase of the necessary busses, but I wish your Board to understand that it is nevertheless my opinion that the particular type of busses as recommended under date of October 6, 1926, will best meet the requirements of the proposed service, considering price and serviceability."

After the receipt of the City Engineer's certifications, this Board in its discretion determined that two White, two Mack and two Fageol busses were best suited for The Embarcadero bus service.

Resolution No. 26582 (New Series), finally passed by the Board of Supervisors on December 20, 1926, appropriating money for these busses, does not conform to the request of the Board of Public Works as to the amount of money necessary to buy the six busses which our Superintendent Bureau of Accounts was directed to purchase. The total amount necessary to purchase the six busses as requested by the Board of Public Works is $54,287.88, whereas the resolution of the Board of Supervisors (No. 26582) which is now before you, provides but $47,742. Further, the resolution of the Board of Supervisors designates the particular make of bus for which the appropriation is provided and also the type of body which is to be mounted on the chassis. In the opinion of this Board the Board of Supervisors have exceeded their authority in designating the type of equipment and the amount of money for the purchase thereof. We feel that this authority is vested only in the Board of Public Works after the proper certification for the necessity of the equipment has been made by the City Engineer, and that therefore Resolution No. 26582 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors designating the particular make of the motor bus, which is at variance with the ones selected by this Board, is in excess of their authority.

Respectfully,
BOARD OF PUBLIC WORKS.
W. J. Fitzgerald, Secretary.

SET-BACK LINE HEARING, 10 A. M.

Forty-second Avenue and Ulloa Street.

Hearing of objections to the establishment of set-back lines along portions of Forty-second avenue and on Ulloa street.

Objection being offered to Ulloa street set-back, same was *rereferred to the City Planning Committee*, and thereupon the following bill was *passed for printing*:

Bill No. 7883, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Establishing set-back lines along portions of Forty-second avenue and Ulloa street.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby recited that on the 27th day of December, 1926, the Board of Supervisors adopted Resolution of Intention No. 129 to establish set-back lines along Forty-second avenue and Ulloa street, and fixed the 24th day of January, 1927, at 10 o'clock a. m., at the chambers of the Board of Supervisors, as the time and place for hearing objections thereto; that thereafter and more than ten days prior to said hearing the said resolution was published and notices of the passage of said resolution were posted along the line of said streets, in time, form and manner required by Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series); that said hearing was held at the time and place aforesaid, and that all objections made at said hearing have been properly overruled.

Section 2. Pursuant to the foregoing recitals and in accordance with the provisions of said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), set-back lines are hereby established as follows:

Along the easterly side of Forty-second avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Anza street and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 14 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 20 feet; thence northerly 125 feet, said set-back line to be 25 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 21½ feet; thence northerly 75 feet, said set-back line to be 25 feet.

Along both sides of Ulloa street between Thirty-third avenue and Thirty-fourth avenue, said set-back lines to be 8 feet.

As shown on the maps filed in the office of the Board of Supervisors and to which reference is hereby made for further particulars.

Section 3. No structures shall be erected in the area between said set-back lines and the street lines except as provided in said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), and the penalties for the violation of this ordinance shall be as fixed by the ordinance aforesaid.

Ulloa Street.

Hearing of objections to establishment of set-back lines on Ulloa street.

No objection being offered, the following bill was *passed for printing*:

Bill No. 7884, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Establishing set-back lines along portions of Ulloa street.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby recited that on the 24th day of December, 1926, the Board of Supervisors adopted Resolution of Intention No. 130 to establish set-back lines along Ulloa street, and fixed the 24th day of January, 1927, at 10 o'clock a. m., at the chambers of the Board of Supervisors, as the time and place for hearing objections thereto; that thereafter and more than ten days prior to said hearing the said resolution was published and notices of the passage of said resolution were posted along the line of said street, in time, form and manner required by Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series); that said hearing was held at the time and place aforesaid, and that all objections made at said hearing have been property overruled.

Section 2. Pursuant to the foregoing recitals and in accordance with the provisions of said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), set-back lines are hereby established as follows:

Along the northerly side of Ulloa street, commencing at Thirty-seventh avenue and running thence easterly to a point 107½ feet westerly from Thirty-sixth avenue, said set-back line to be 8 feet; along the southerly side of Ulloa street between Thirty-sixth avenue and Thirty-seventh avenue, said set-back line to be 8 feet.

Along both sides of Ulloa street between Thirty-seventh avenue and Thirty-eighth avenue, said set-back lines to be 8 feet.

Along both sides of Ulloa street between Thirty-eighth avenue and Thirty-ninth avenue, said set-back lines to be 8 feet.

Along both sides of Ulloa street between Thirty-ninth avenue and Fortieth avenue, said set-back lines to be 8 feet.

Along both sides of Ulloa street between Fortieth avenue and Forty-first avenue, said set-back lines to be 8 feet.

Along both sides of Ulloa street between Forty-first avenue and Forty-second avenue, said set-back lines to be 8 feet.

As shown on the maps filed in the office of the Board of Supervisors and to which reference is hereby made for further particulars.

Section 3. No structures shall be erected in the area between said set-back lines and the street lines except as provided in said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), and the penalties for the violation of this ordinance shall be as fixed by the ordinance aforesaid.

PRESENTATION OF PROPOSALS.

Sealed roposals were received and opened at the hour of 11 a. m. for furnishing dry goods and wearing apparel for the use of the various institutions of the City and County.

Referred to the Supplies Committee.

UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

Final Passage.

The following matters, heretofore passed for printing, were taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Authorizations.

Resolution No. 26714 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter named funds in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

Library Fund.

(1) American Building Maintenance Co., cleaning and janitorial services for libraries (claim dated Dec. 31, 1926), $738.25.

(2) Foster & Futernick Co., binding library books (claim dated Dec. 31, 1926), $1,181.60.

(3) G. E. Stechert & Co., library books (claim dated Dec. 31, 1926), $2,668.20.

(4) G. E. Stechert & Co., library books (claim dated Dec. 31, 1926), $4,545.27.

(5) San Francisco News Co., library periodicals (claim dated Dec. 31, 1926), $4,016.13.

(6) San Francisco News Co., library books (claim dated Dec. 31, 1926), $2,356.62.

Park Fund.

(7) Montague Range & Furnace Co., gas plates, etc., for park purposes (claim dated Jan. 14, 1927), $622.20.

(8) R. J. O'Connell, red rock for park purposes (claim dated Jan. 14, 1927), $1,329.

Tearing-up Streets Fund.

(9) Gladding, McBean & Co., sewer pipe for sidesewers (claim dated Jan. 12, 1927), $1,050.

Publicity and Advertising—Appropriation 55.

(10) Capital Decorating & Mfg. Co., decorations for account of Christmas eve festival (claim dated Jan. 17, 1927), $900.

(11) Philip H. Sapiro, orchestra, music, rehearsals, etc., for account of Christmas eve festival (claim dated Jan. 17, 1927), $528.37.

School Bonds, Issue 1918.

(12) Underwood Typewrtier Co., Inc., one bookkeeping machine for High School of Commerce (claim dated Jan. 11, 1927), $824.58.

Hetch Hetchy Water Bonds, Issue 1925.

(13) Bald Eagle Meat Market, meats furnished, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Jan. 5, 1927), $2,697.82.

(14) Bald Eagle Meat Market, meats furnished, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Jan. 6, 1927), $2,177.38.

(15) Bald Eagle Meat Market, meat furnished Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Jan. 6, 1927), $751.52.

(16) The Giant Powder Co., Con., explosives, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Jan. 6, 1927), $5,650.

(17) Hales & Symons, lumber, etc., Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Jan. 5, 1927), $1,068.56.

(18) A. Levy & J. Zentner Co., fruits and vegetables for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Jan. 5, 1927), $588.36.

(19) J. H. McCallum, lumber, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Jan. 7, 1927), $818.29.

(20) J. F. Mitchell, machinery parts, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Jan. 6, 1927), $667.70.

(21) The Charles Nelson Co., lumber, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Jan. 6, 1927), $1,052.11.

Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1910.

(22) M. M. O'Shaughnessy, reimbursement of revolving fund expenditures, per vouchers (claim dated Jan. 12, 1927), $1,116.61.

Municipal Railway Fund.

(23) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., electrical service for Municipal Railways (claim dated Jan. 12, 1927), $37,905.92.

(24) San Francisco City Employees Retirement System, pensions, etc., for Municipal Railway employees (claim dated Jan. 10, 1927), $6,940.27.

(25) Dan P. Maher Paint Co., varnish for Municipal Railways (claim dated Jan. 7, 1927), $1,050.

(26) Westinghouse Electric & Mfg. Co., railway parts (claim dated Jan. 7, 1927), $562.50.

Municipal Railway Depreciation Fund.

(27) Westinghouse Electric & Mfg. Co., first payment, equipment for Municipal Railways (claim dated Jan. 10, 1927), $3,458.25.

Municipal Railway Compensation Insurance Fund.

(28) San Francisco City Employees Retirement System, for pensions, etc., for Municipal Railway employees (claim dated Jan. 5, 1927), $630.87.

Relief Home Bonds, Issue 1923.

(29) Clinton Construction Co., twenty-third payment, general construction of new Relief Home buildings (claim dated Jan. 10, 1927), $12,558.75.

School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923.

(30) Bureau of Engineering Supplies, Dept. Public Works, blue prints, etc., for Portola Junior High School (claim dated Dec. 29, 1926), $593.07.

(31) Bureau of Engineering Supplies, Dept. Public Works, blue prints, etc., for new Mission High School (claim dated Dec. 29, 1926), $544.68.

(32) Anderson & Ringrose, second payment, general construction of addition to Sunnyside School (claim dated Jan. 11, 1927), $12,525.

(33) A. Lettich, eighth payment, mechanical equipment for Henry Durant School (claim dated Jan. 10, 1927), $3,649.53.

(34) W. & J. Sloane, first and final payment for window and door shades for the Anza street (Lafayette) School (claim dated Jan. 11, 1927), $926.

(35) Burnham Plumbing Co., twelfth payment, plumbing and gasfitting, etc., for new Mission High School (claim dated Jan. 12, 1927), $2,033.31.

(36) Crown Electric Co., fourth payment, electrical work on West Portal School (claim dated Jan. 12, 1927), $758.63.

(37) Crown Electric Co., thirteenth payment, electrical work on new Mission High School (claim dated Jan. 12, 1927), $2,066.25.

(38) Crown Electric Co., sixth payment, electrical work for Edison School (claim dated Jan. 12, 1927), $826.50.

General Fund, 1926-1927.

(39) St. Vincent's School, maintenance of minors (claim dated Jan. 5, 1927), $2,110.76.

(40) S. F. Nursery for Homeless Children, maintenance of mi-

nors (claim dated Jan. 5, 1927), $540.

(41) S. F. Protestant Orphanage, maintenance of minors (claim dated Jan. 5, 1927), $1,082.64.

(42) Roman Catholic Orphanage, maintenance of minors (claim dated Jan. 5, 1927), $4,060.34.

(43) Boys' Aid Society, maintenance of minors (claim dated Jan. 5, 1927), $1,641.78.

(44) The Albertinum School, maintenance of minors (claim dated Jan. 5, 1927), $1,312.97.

(45) St. Catherine's Home and Training School, maintenance of minors (claim dated Jan. 5, 1927), $625.92.

(46) The Little Children's Aid, maintenance of minors (claim dated Jan. 5, 1927), $12,124.27.

(47) Eureka Benevolent Society, maintenance of minors (claim dated Jan. 5, 1927), $4,165.79.

(48) Children's Agency, maintenance of minors (claim dated Jan. 5, 1927), $29,264.02.

(49) Associated Charities, widows' pensions (claim dated Jan. 14, 1927), $8,154.70.

(50) Eureka Benevolent Society, widows' pensions (claim dated Jan. 14, 1927), $887.50.

(51) Little Children's Aid, widows' pensions (claim dated Jan. 14, 1927), $8,554.99.

(52) H. T. Guerin, grading and construction of sewer in Hudson avenue between Lane and Keith streets (claim dated Jan. 12, 1927), $5,305.26.

(53) Crown Oil Co., gasoline for Police Dept. (claim dated Jan. 10, 1927), $1,045.74.

(54) California Agencies Inc., renewal premium on depository bond of $200,000, Crocker First National Bank of San Francisco (claim dated Jan. 7, 1927), $1,000.

(55) California Agencies Inc., renewal premium on official bond of John H. Thieler, Treasurer (claim dated Jan. 7, 1927), $1,000.

(56) Symon Brothers, team hire for street cleaning (claim dated Jan. 10, 1927), $759.

(57) Acme Gravel Co., concrete mix for street repair (claim dated Jan. 10, 1927), $794.69.

(58) Spring Valley Water Co., water for public buildings (claim dated Jan. 10, 1927), $1,675.73.

(59) Haas Brothers, tobacco for Relief Home (claim dated Nov. 30, 1926), $2,249.83.

(60) California Meat Co., meats for Relief Home (claim dated Dec. 31, 1926), $1,721.23.

(61) Del Monte Meat Co., meats for Relief Home (claim dated Dec. 31, 1926), $4,693.27.

(62) J. T. Freitas Co., Inc., eggs for Relief Home (claim dated Dec. 31, 1926), $2,562.63.

(63) C. Nauman & Co., vegetables, etc., for Relief Home (claim dated Dec. 31, 1926), $934.98.

(64) O'Brien, Spotorno & Mitchell, turkeys for Relief Home (claim dated Dec. 31, 1926), $1,181.99.

(65) Sussman, Wormser & Co., groceries for Relief Home (claim dated Dec. 31, 1926), $778.

(66) Dr. E. B. Frick, room allowance for employees during December, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Dec. 31, 1926), $1,822.36.

(67) O'Brien, Spotorno & Mitchell, turkeys for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Dec. 31, 1926), $811.51.

(68) California Petroleum Corporation, fuel oil, etc., for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Dec. 31, 1926), $2,188.60.

(69) Bernhard's Inc., mattresses for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Dec. 31, 1926), $1,783.20.

(70) Spring Valley Water Co., water furnished hospitals (claim dated Dec. 31, 1926), $1,398.76.

(71) Pendleton Woolen Mills, blankets for Relief Home (claim dated Dec. 31, 1926), $2,677.58.

(72) Acme Gravel Co., concrete mix for street reconstruction (claim dated Jan. 11, 1927), $628.28.

(73) Rock, Sand & Gravel Sales Co., rock, etc., for street reconstruction (claim dated Jan. 11, 1927), $759.57.

(74) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., lighting public buildings (claim dated Jan. 11, 1927), $3,954.73.

(75) Napa State Hospital, maintenance of criminal insane (claim dated Jan. 10, 1927), $660.

(76) San Francisco Bulletin, official advertising (claim dated Jan. 17, 1927), $1,101.37.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Appropriation, $1,747.21, Payment to Percy E. Towne, Tax Refund Judgment.

Resolution No. 26715 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $1,747.21 be and the same is authorized in payment out of Tax Judgments—Appropriation 59—to Percy E. Towne, attorney for and as agent for judgment creditors; being third installment of one-tenth, plus interest, of final judgment against the City and County; as approved by

the City Attorney. (Claim dated January 8, 1927.)

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Appropriations, Land for Potrero Playground and Aquatic Park.

Resolution No. 26716 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter named funds in payment to the hereinafter mentioned for lands required for the following purposes, to-wit:

Playground Fund.

(1) To A. L. Tubbs Company, for portion of Lot 1, Block 4163, and Lot 1, Block 4164, required for the Potrero Playground (claim dated Jan. 6, 1927), $8,848.

Aquatic Park Land Purchases, Contract—Budget Item No. 53.

(2) To Wm. B. Sharp, Ellen Dore, Charlotte E. Horrigan and Maurice Dore, being final adjustment of taxes for year ending June 30, 1926, on Lot 1, Block 426, Lots 2, 2A, 2B in Block 428, acquired for Aquatic Park purposes (claim dated Jan. 11, 1927), $619.50.

(3) To Whittell Realty Co., being final adjustment of taxes for year ending June 30, 1926, on Lots 1, 1A, 1B, Block 428, acquired for Aquatic Park purposes (claim dated Jan. 11, 1927), $973.75.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Appropriation, $900, Payment to Titsuji Nobusada for Land and Improvements on Twenty-fourth Avenue Required for School Purposes.

Resolution No. 26717 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $900 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be paid out of School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923, to Titsuji Nobusada; being payment for land and improvements on the east line of Twenty-fourth avenue, commencing 200 feet south from the southerly line of Rivera street, thence southerly on Twenty-fourth avenue 25 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 120 feet; of uniform dimensions 25 by 120 feet; as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 26658 (New Series); and required for school purposes. (Claim dated January 17, 1927.)

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Oil Tank Permits.

Resolution No. 26718 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, Thta the following revocable permits be and the same are hereby granted:

Oil Tanks.

Mrs. John E. Blake, north line of Beach street, 201 feet east of Avila street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Domestic Engineering Corporation, 3245 Pacific avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

Edward Jose, east side of Shrader street, 80 feet south of Fulton street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Kroehler Mfg. Co., northeast corner of San Bruno and Fitzgerald avenues, 1500 gallons capacity.

Lager & Val Franz, east side of Funston avenue, 50 feet north of Anza street, 1500 gallons capacity.

George Rolph, 3937 Washington street, 1500 gallons capacity.

C. A. Rosen, north side of Golden Gate avenue, 50 feet east of Scott street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Stock, Maas & Sauer, west side of Fillmore street, 350 feet north of Chestnut street, 1500 gallons capacity.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, othrewise said permits shall become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Amendment to Ordinance Providing for the Appointment of the Board of Trustees, Exempt Firemen's Relief.

Bill No. 7880, Ordinance No. 7392 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Section 6 of Ordinance No. 938 (New Series), approved July 29, 1903, entitled "Providing for the appointment of a Board of Trustees for the disbursement of the exempt firemen's relief appropriation."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. That Section 6 of Ordinance No. 938 is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 6. The Exempt Firemen's Relief Appropriation shall be applied to the relief of such enrolled firemen who are physically disabled from earning a livelihood. Said Board shall grant relief to such disabled members as it deems just. The decision of said Board as to the fact and duration of disability and the amount of the relief to be granted shall be conclusive. Such appropriation shall be used exclusively for the purposes herein specified, and to pay the necessary expenses for stationery and office rent for said Board. The relief for disability shall in no case exceed the sum of one hundred ($100) dollars per month to any member. Fifty ($50) dollars may be allowed for funeral expenses of any deceased member. Said Exempt Firemen's Relief Appropriation not to exceed five thousand ($5,000) dollars a year as provided by Section 1 (Subdivision 36), Article II, Chapter II of the Charter.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Establishing Set-back Lines, Tenth, Eleventh, Fifteenth and Seventeenth Avenues and Sears Street.

Bill No. 7881, Ordinance No. 7393 (New Series), as follows:

Establishing set-back lines along portions of Tenth, Eleventh, Fifteenth and Seventeenth avenues and Sears street.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby recited that on the 6th day of December, 1926, the Board of Supervisors adopted Resolution of Intention No. 126 to establish set-back lines along Tenth, Eleventh, Fifteenth and Seventeenth avenues and Sears street, and fixed the 10th day of January, 1927, at 2 o'clock p. m., at the chambers of the Board of Supervisors, as the time and place for hearing objections thereto; that thereafter and more than ten days prior to said hearing the said resolution was published and notices of the passage of said resolution were posted along the line of said streets, in time, form and manner required by Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series); that said hearing was held at the time and place aforesaid, and that all objections made at said hearing have been properly overruled.

Section 2. Pursuant to the foregoing recitals and in accordance with the provisions of said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), set-back lines are hereby established as follows:

Along the easterly side of Tenth avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Kirkham street and running thence northerly to a point 100 feet southerly from Judah street, said set-back line to be 7 feet.

Along the westerly side of Tenth avenue between Quintara street and Pacheco street, said set-back line to be 8 feet; along the easterly side of Tenth avenue, commencing at Quintara street and running thence northerly 325 feet, said set-back line to be 8 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 4 feet; thence northerly to Pacheco street, said set-back line to be 2 feet.

Along the easterly side of Eleventh avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Quintara street and running thence northerly to Oriole way, said set-back line to be 8 feet.

Along the northwesterly side of Sears street, commencing at a point 85 feet southwesterly from Sickles avenue and running thence southwesterly 425 feet, said set-back line to be 13 feet.

Along the easterly side of Fifteenth avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Geary street and running thence northerly to a point 100 feet southerly from Clement street, said set-back line to be 12 feet.

Along the westerly side of Seventeenth avenue, commencing at a point 90 feet northerly from Geary street and running thence northerly 30 feet, said set-back line to be 12 feet; thence northerly 30 feet, said set-back line to be 16 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 20 feet; thence northerly to a point 75 feet southerly from Clement street, said set-back line to be 24 feet; along the easterly side of Seventeenth avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Geary street and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 14 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 16 feet; thence northerly 50 feet, said set-back line to be 18 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 22 feet; thence northerly 50 feet, said set-back line to be 26 feet; thence northerly 150 feet, said set-back line to be 28 feet; thence northerly 25

feet, said set-back line to be 26 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 22 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 20 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 17 feet.

As shown on the maps filed in the office of the Board of Supervisors and to which reference is hereby made for further particulars.

Section 3. No structures shall be erected in the area between said set-back lines and the street lines except as provided in said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), and the penalties for the violation of this ordinance shall be as fixed by the ordinance aforesaid.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Establishing Set-back Lines, Seventeenth, Eighteenth, Nineteenth, Twenty-third, Thirtieth, Thirty-ninth and Forty-third Avenues.

Bill No. 7882, Ordinance No. 7394 (New Series), as follows:

Establishing set-back line along portions of Seventeenth, Eighteenth, Nineteenth, Twenty-third, Thirtieth, Thirty-ninth and Forty-third avenues.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby recited that on the 6th day of December, 1926, the Board of Supervisors adopted Resolution of Intention No. 125 to establish set-back lines along Seventeenth, Eighteenth Nineteenth, Twenty-third, Thirtieth, Thirty-ninth and Forty-third avenues, and fixed the 10th day of January, 1927, at 2 o'clock p. m., at the chambers of the Board of Supervisors, as the time and place for hearing objections thereto; that thereafter and more than ten days prior to said hearing the said resolution was published and notices of the passage of said resolution were posted along the line of said streets, in time, form and manner required by Ordinance 5636 (New Series); that said hearing was held at the time and place aforesaid, and that all objections made at said hearing have been properly overruled.

Section 2. Pursuant to the foregoing recitals and in accordance with the provisions of said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), set-back lines are hereby established as follows:

Along both sides of Seventeenth avenue between Pacheco street and Quintara street, said set-back line to be 15 feet.

Along the easterly side of Eighteenth avenue, commencing at Quintara street and running thence northerly 75 feet 9 inches, said set-back line to be 5 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 7½ feet; thence northerly to Pacheco street, said set-back line to be 10 feet.

Along the westerly side of Nineteenth avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Rivera street and running thence northerly 19 feet 9 inches, said set-back line to be 3 feet; thence northerly 50 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly to Quintara street, said set-back line to be 9 feet.

Along the westerly side of Thirty-ninth avenue, commencing at Cabrillo street and running thence northerly to a point 100 feet southerly from Balboa street, said set-back line to be 6 feet.

Along the easterly side of Forty-third avenue, commencing at a point 65 feet 5 inches northerly from Point Lobos avenue and running thence northerly to Clement street, said set-back line to be 10 feet.

Along the easterly side of Nineteenth avenue, commencing at Pacheco street and running thence northerly 450 feet, said set-back line to be 100 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 2/3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 1/3 feet.

Along the westerly side of Twenty-third avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Lawton street and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 350 feet, said set-back line to be 9 feet; along the easterly side of Twenty-third avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Lawton street and running thence northerly to a point 100 feet southerly from Kirkham street, said set-back line to be 9 feet.

Along the easterly side of Thirtieth avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Ulloa street and running thence northerly 50 feet, said set-back line to be 20 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 15 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 12 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 9 feet; thence northerly to a point 100 feet southerly from Tara-

val street, said set-back line to be 6 feet.

As shown on the maps filed in the office of the Board of Supervisors and to which reference is hereby made for further particulars.

Section 3. No structures shall be erected in the area between said set-back lines and the street lines, except as provided in said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), and the penalties for the violation of this ordinance shall be as fixed by the ordinance aforesaid.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Establishing Set-back Lines, Nineteenth, Twenty-third and Thirtieth Avenues.

Bill No. 7883, Ordinance No. 7395 (New Series), as follows:

Establishing set-back lines along portions of Nineteenth, Twenty-third and Thirtieth avenues.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby recited that on the 13th day of December, 1926, the Board of Supervisors adopted Resolution of Intention No. 128 to establish set-back lines along Nineteenth, Twenty-third and Thirtieth avenues, and fixed the 17th day of January, 1927, at 2 o'clock p. m., at the chambers of the Board of Supervisors, as the time and place for hearing objections thereto; that thereafter and more than ten days prior to said hearing the said resolution was published and notices of the passage of said resolution were posted along the line of said streets, in time, form and manner required by Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series); that said hearing was held at the time and place aforesaid, and that all objections made at said hearing have been properly overruled.

Section 2. Pursuant to the foregoing recitals and in accordance with the provisions of said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), set-back lines are hereby established as follows:

Along the easterly side of Nineteenth avenue, commencing at Pacheco street and running thence northerly 450 feet, said set-back line to be 10 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 2-3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 1-3 feet.

Along the westerly side of Twenty-third avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Lawton street and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 350 feet, said set-back line to be 9 feet; along the easterly side of Twenty-third avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Lawton street and running thence northerly to a point 100 feet southerly from Kirkham street, said set-back line to be 9 feet.

Along the easterly side of Thirtieth avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Ulloa street and running thence northerly 50 feet, said set-back line to be 20 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 12 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 9 feet; thence northerly to a point 100 feet southerly from Taraval street, said set-back line to be 6 feet.

As shown on the maps filed in the office of the Board of Supervisors and to which reference is hereby made for further particulars.

Section 3. No structures shall be erected in the area between said set-back lines and the street lines except as provided in said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), and the penalties for the violation of this ordinance shall be as fixed by the ordinance aforesaid.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco. Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

PRESENTATION OF BILLS AND ACCOUNTS.

Demands not required by law to be passed to print, and amounting to $68,335.17, were allowed and ordered paid by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

NEW BUSINESS.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Authorizations.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby

authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned funds in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

Municipal Railway Fund.

(1) Crown Oil Company, gasoline for Municipal Railways (claim dated Jan. 13, 1927), $1,342.75.

(2) Granfield Tire and Supply Company, cord tires for Municipal Railways (claim dated Jan. 13, 1927), $550.

(3) Market Street Railway Company, reimbursement under agreement of December 12, 1918 (claim dated Jan. 13, 1927), $1,605.93.

(4) Market Street Railway Company, electric power furnished Municipal Railways (claim dated Jan. 13, 1927), $3,273.92.

Municipal Railway Depreciation Reserve Fund.

(5) Jones Bros. Asbestos Suppy Company, Inc., rail insulation for Municipal Railways (claim dated Jan. 13, 1927), $795.

School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1918.

(6) Remington Typewriter Company, one bookkeeping machine for High School of Commerce (claim dated Jan. 18, 1927), $662.

Sunset Tunnel Assessment Fund.

(7) City Title Insurance Company, title insurance premiums and expenses in connection with acquisition of properties required for construction of Duboce (Sunset) Tunnel (claim dated Jan. 14, 1927), $898.10.

Hetch Hetchy Water Bonds, Issue 1925.

(8) Myers-Whaley Co., conveyor chains, etc., for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Jan. 13, 1927), $640.61.

(9) Safety Cable Company, cable for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Jan. 13, 1927), $3.224.

(10) Thomson, Wood & Hoffman, attorneys and counsellors at law, proportion of fee payable for final opinion on 1925 Hetch Hetchy Water Bonds (claim dated Jan. 24, 1927), $600.

Hetch Hetchy Operative Revenue Fund.

(11) John J. Dailey, legal services for January, in valuation of San Francisco electric properties (claim dated Jan 12, 1927), $850.

(12) N. Randall Ellis, engineering services for January, in valuation of San Francisco electric properties (claim dated Jan. 12, 1927), $750.

(13) H. G. Butler, expert services in severance damage, in connection with valuation of San Francisco electric properties (claim dated Jan. 12, 1927), $900.

Park Fund.

(14) J. A. Bryant, part of eighth payment for construction of basketball pavilion, Kezar Stadium (claim dated Jan. 21, 1927), $2,475.78.

General Fund, 1925-1926.

(15) J. A. Byarnt, part of eighth payment for construction of basketball pavilion, Kezar Stadium (claim dated Jan. 21, 1927), $5,018.22.

Duplicate Tax Fund.

(16) J. S. Herman, refund of duplicate taxes paid for year 1926-1927 (claim dated Jan. 14, 1927), $763.66.

California Palace Legion of Honor —(*Appropriation* 61).

(17) Frederick M. Gilbred, standards and carpet cords for California Palace Legion of Honor (claim dated Jan. 21, 1927), $565.

General Fund, 1926-1927.

(18) Spring Valley Water Company, water for Fire Department buildings, removing and installing hydrants (claim dated Dec. 31, 1926), $1,235.35.

(19) Crown Oil Company, gasoline for Fire Department (claim dated Dec. 31, 1926), $1,111.61.

(20) Pacific Gas and Electric Company, gas and electric service for Fire Department buildings (claim dated Dec. 31, 1926), $2,070.30.

(21) Shell Company, fuel oil for Fire Department (claim dated Dec. 31, 1926), $1,518.76.

(22) Thompson Bros., wheels and tires for Fire Department (claim dated Dec. 31, 1926), $662.40.

(23) Del Monte Meat Company, meats for County Jails (claim dated Jan. 17, 1927), $1,312.37.

(24) Langendorf Baking Company, bread for County Jails (claim dated Jan. 17, 1927), $1,116.03.

(25) Pacific States Electric Company, wire for Department of Electricity (claim dated Dec. 31, 1926), $1,160.27.

(26) Acme Gravel Company, concrete mix for street repair (claim dated Jan. 13, 1927), $585.02.

(27) Santa Cruz Portland Cement Company cement for street repair (claim dated Jan. 13, 1927), $1,518.01.

(28) Spring Valley Water Company, water for street cleaning (claim dated Jan. 13, 1927), $627.33.

(29) Muir & Symon, one tank buoy at outlet for Baker's Beach sewer (claim dated Jan. 18, 1927), $511.

(30) Old Mission Portland Cement Company, cement for street repair (claim dated Jan. 18, 1927), $674.46.

(31) Acme Gravel Company, concrete mix for street repair (claim dated Jan. 18, 1927), $830.58.

(32) California Petroleum Corporation, fuel oil for Civic Center Power House (claim dated Jan. 18, 1927), $1,518.96.

(33) H. F. Dugan, drugs for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Jan. 20, 1927), $799.88.

(34) Langendorf Baking Company, bread for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Jan. 20, 1927), $1,158.24.

(35) California Meat Company, meats for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Jan. 20, 1927), $1,456.76.

(36) South San Francisco Packing and Provision Company, meats for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Jan. 20, 1927), $1,330.93.

(37) Del Monte Meat Company, meats for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Jan. 20, 1927), $1,524.82.

(38) San Francisco Dairy Company, milk for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Jan. 20, 1927), $3,889.98.

(39) Wisley-Bennett Co., eggs for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Jan. 20, 1927), $2,136.66.

(40) Morris & Co., butter for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Jan. 30, 1927), $1,979.13.

(41) C. Nauman & Co., potatoes for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Jan. 20, 1927), $731.56.

(42) California Petroleum Company, fuel oil for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Jan. 20, 1927), $2,589.32.

(43) Spring Valley Water Company, water for Relief Home (claim dated Jan. 20, 1927), $777.04.

(44) Baumgarten Bros., meats, Relief Home (claim dated Jan. 20, 1927), $508.70.

(45) California Petroleum Company, fuel oil for Relief Home (claim dated Jan. 20, 1927), $2,06[illegible].

(46) San Francisco Dairy Company, milk for Relief Home (claim dated Jan. 20, 1927), $2,013.76.

(47) The Colson Company, trucks for Relief Home (claim dated Jan. 20, 1927), $564.02.

(48) Market Street Railway Company, payment for lands bounded by Frederick, Willard, Golden Gate Park and Arguello boulevard, as per Ordinance No. 5830 (New Series) (claim dated Jan. 24, 1927), $7,750.

(49) Market street Railway Company, refund of taxes paid on lands bounded by Frederick and Willard streets, Golden Gate Park and Arguello boulevard (claim dated Jan. 18, 1927), $594.75.

(50) Pacific Gas and Electric Company, street lighting for December, 1926 (claim dated Jan. 24, 1927), $53,173.75.

(51) San Francisco Bulletin, official advertising (claim dated Jan 24, 1927), $1,597.35.

(52) San Francisco Bulletin, official advertising (claim dated Jan 19, 1927), $575.62.

(53) Sonoma State Home, maintenance of feeble-minded (claim dated Jan. 24, 1927), $8,615.

Playground Fund.

(54) Klawans & McMillin, tennis nets, rackets, etc., for playgrounds (claim dated Jan. 19, 1927), $2,548.

Auditorium Fund.

(55) Musical Association of San Francisco, services of San Francisco Symphony Orchestra for Pop Concert of Jan. 20, 1927 (claim dated Jan. 24, 1927), $2,000.

Publicity and Advertising—Appropriation No. 55.

(56) Thos. F. Boyle, treasurer of State Convention of County Officers at San Francisco; expense incurred at convention of State officers of Auditor, Tax Collector and Recorder, held in San Francisco; per vouchers with Auditor (claim dated Jan. 21, 1927); for publicity and advertising of San Francisco, $1,500.

(57) Capital Decorating and Manufacturing Company, for decorating of Market street from the Ferry Building to Ninth street during the Community Chest drive, for the publicity and advertising of San Francisco (claim dated Jan. 24, 1927), $850.

Appropriation, $900, Payment to Ray T. Higgins, Land Required for Playground Purposes.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $900 be and the same is hereby authorized in payment out of Playground Fund to Ray T. Higgins, being payment for part of Lot 4, in Block "S," Railroad Homestead Association, required for playground purposes (Ocean View playground).

Appropriations for Safety Lane Markers and Repairs to Rubble Wall Supporting Roadway, Fort Mason Pumping Station.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned funds for the following purposes, to-wit:

Traffic Signals, Etc., Budget Item No. 69.

(1) For installation of safety lane markers, Contract No. 2, per award to Lottie M. Neil, $5,850.

Street Work in Front of City Property, Budget Item No. 36.

(2) For repairs to rubble wall

supporting roadway adjacent to Pumping Station at Fort Mason (to credit of Appropriation 25-B), $1,500.

Accepting Offer of Thomas J. Lyons and Mary Lyons to Sell for $9,700 Certain Property Required for Bay Shore Boulevard.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 26720 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the owners of the following described land situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and sought to be acquired by the City and County of San Francisco for the opening of Bay Shore boulevard have offered to convey the property desired by said City and County of San Francisco for the sum set forth opposite their names, viz.:

Thomas J. Lyons and Marie Lyons, $9,700—Commencing at a point on the southeasterly line of Silver avenue, distant thereon 48 feet 11 inches southwesterly from the westerly line of Charter Oak avenue, running thence southwesterly and along said line of Silver avenue 71 feet 6 inches; thence southerly and parallel with the westerly line of Charter Oak avenue 38 feet 11 inches to the northerly line of Lot No. 64 in Block "P", Thornton & Williams portion of Silver Terrace; thence easterly along the northerly line of Lot No. 64 in Block "P", Thornton & Williams portion of Silver Terrace 75 feet; thence northerly parallel with the westerly line of Charter Oak avenue 44 feet 8 inches to a point; thence northwesterly 37 feet 5¾ inches to the point of commencement. Being part of Lots Nos. 65 and 66 in Block "P", Thornton & Williams portion to Silver Terrace.

Wheresa, the City Attorney has recommended the acceptance of the said offer and the acquisition of the property owned by said persons and offered to the City and County of San Francisco for the price set forth, which said price is in accordance with the City's appraisement of the property; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the said offer of sale be accepted and the City Attoroney is hereby authorized and directed to examine the title of said property, and if the same is found in satisfactory condition to accept in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco a deed conveying title thereto, and file the same for record with a copy of this resolution attached thereto as evidence of acceptance by the City and County of San Francisco, upon payment of the agreed purchase price.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Baccaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Accepting Offer of Agostino Cassassa to Sell for $2,000 Certain Property Required for the Widening of San Jose Avenue from Circular Avenue to Capistrano Avenue.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 26721 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the owner of the following described land sought to be acquired by the City and County of San Francisco for the widening of San Jose avenue from Circular avenue to Capistrano avenue has offered to convey the property described by the City and County of San Francisco for the sum set forth opposite his name and the further consideration mentioned below, viz.:

Agostino Cassassa, $2,000—Beginning at a point on the northwesterly line of San Jose avenue (said line being the southeasterly boundary line of the San Miguel Rancho), distant thereon 106.52 feet northeasterly from the first angle point northeasterly from the northeasterly line of Tingley street produced northwesterly (said angle point being the southwesterly terminus of Course 12 of the San Miguel Ranch Survey and B. P. 12 of the Belle Roche City Survey, recorded on pages 107 and 108 of Map Book 1, records of City and County of San Francisco), and running thence northeasterly along the northwesterly line of San Jose avenue 50 feet; thence deflecting 83 deg. 27 min. to the left and running northwesterly 22.045 feet; thence deflecting 107 deg. 43 min. to the left and running southwesterly 52.147 feet; thence deflecting 72 deg. 17 min. to the left and running southeasterly 11.880 feet to the point of beginning. Being portion of Lot 1 of Belle Rochee City Block 1.

The above amount includes in addition to the payment of the above described parcel all damages in full to the building now partially located on the above described parcel; said building to be relocated by the owner within thirty (30) days after recordation of the deed conveying the above mentioned parcel to the City and County of San Francisco.

Whereas, the City Attorney has recommended the acceptance of the said offer and the acquisition of the

property owned by said person and offered to the City and County of San Francisco for the price set forth, which said price is in accordance with the City's appraisement of the property; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the said offer of sale be accepted and the City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to examine the title of said property, and if the same is found in satisfactory condition to accept in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco a deed conveying title thereto, and file the same for record with a copy of this resolution attached thereto as evidence of acceptance by the City and County of San Francisco, upon payment of the agreed purchase price.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Accepting Offer of Margaret Keeley to Sell for $1,700 Certain Property Required for Market Street Extension.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 26722 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the following owner of property adjacent to the Market street extension has offered to release the City and County of San Francisco, its contractors or agents, from all claim or claims of damages to her property or the building thereon caused by the establishment of grades on Market street extension and the grading and construction of Market street extension to the proposed official grade and the grading and repaving of adjacent streets to said Market street extension.

Whereas, the City Attorney has recommended the acceptance of the said offer as per the following terms, namely:

Margaret Keeley, $1,700.

Parcel 1. All that certain piece or parcel of land situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and known as Lot 17 in Block 2657, as per the Assessor's Block Book of the City and County of San Francisco.

Parcel 2. All that certain piece or parcel of land situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and known as Lot 16 in Block 2657, as per the Assessor's Block Book of the City and County of San Francisco.

Resolved, That the said offer be accepted and the City Attorney be authorized to close negotiations and superintend the payment of money to the above mentioned person, upon the receipt of the proper release.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Accepting Offer of Henry Krohn and Emma Krohn to sell for $700 Certain Property Required for the Opening of Bernal Cut.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 26723 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the owners of the following described land situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and sought to be acquired by the City and County of San Francisco for the opening of Bernal Cut, extending from Mission and Randall streets southwesterly along the Southern Pacific Railroad right of way to the intersection of San Jose avenue and Circular avenue, have offered to convey the property desired by said City and County for the sum set forth opposite their names, viz.:

Henry Krohn and Emma Krohn, $700—All of Lot 42, Jones' Addition to Fairmount Tract, as recorded in Map Book "E" and "F", page 169, Records of the City and County of San Francisco, saving and excepting therefrom the following described parcel: Beginning at a point on the southeasterly line of Arlington street, distant thereon 213 feet southwesterly from the southwesterly line of Roanoke street; thence southwesterly along said southeasterly line 25 feet to the southwesterly boundary line of said Lot 42; thence at right angles southeasterly along said southwesterly line 67.40 feet; thence deflecting 78 deg. 15 min. to the left and running northeasterly 25.535 feet to the northeasterly boundary line of said Lot 42; thence deflecting 101 deg. 45 min. to the left and running northwesterly 72.60 feet to the southeasterly line of Arlington street and the point of beginning.

Whereas, the City Attorney has recommended the acceptance of the said offer and the acquisition of the property owned by said persons and offered to the City and County of San Francisco for the price set forth, which said price is in accordance with the City's appraisement of the property; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the said offer of sale be accepted, and the City At-

torney is hereby authorized and directed to examine the title of said property, and, if the same is found in satisfactory condition, to accept, in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, a deed conveying title thereto, and file the same for record with a copy of this resolution attached thereto as evidence of acceptance by the City and County of San Francisco, upon payment of the agreed purchase price.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

City Attorney to Commence Condemnation Proceedings for the Acquisition of Certain Property Required for the Extension of Melrose Avenue to Mangels Avenue.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 26724 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, that public interest and necessity require the acquisition by the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, of the following described lots, pieces or parcels of land situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and more particularly described as follows, to-wit:

Parcel 1: Beginning at a point on the northerly line of Lot 4, Block 7, Sunnyside, distant thereon 40.297 feet easterly from the easterly line of Hamburg street; thence along the northerly line of said Lot 4, easterly 59.703 feet to the easterly line of said Lot 4; thence along the easterly line of said Lot 4, southerly 15.681 feet; thence deflecting 50 deg. 37 min. 12 sec. to the right southwesterly 14.689 feet to a point on the southerly line of said Lot 4, distant thereon 11.354 feet westerly from the southeasterly corner of said Lot 4; thence along the southerly line of said Lot 4, westerly 78.807 feet; thence deflecting 140 deg. 37 min. 12 sec. to the right, northeasterly 39.403 feet to the point of beginning. Containing 1820 square feet.

Parcel 2: Beginning at a point on the easterly line of Hamburg street, distant thereon 2.237 feet northerly from the southerly line of Lot 7, Block 7, Sunnyside; thence along said easterly line of Hamburg street northerly 47.763 feet to the northerly line of Lot 6 of said Block 7; thence along the northerly line of said Lot 6 easterly 58.189 feet; thence deflecting 140 deg. 37 min. 12 sec. to the right, southwesterly 75.282 feet to the point of beginning. Containing 1390 square feet.

Be it Further Resolved, That said properties are suitable, adaptable, necessary and required for the public use of said City and County of San Francisco, to-wit: for the extension of Melrose avenue to Mangels avenue.

The City Attorney is hereby ordered and directed to commence proceedings in eminent domain against the owners of said tracts of land and of any and all interests therein or claims thereto for the condemnation thereof for the public use of said City and County of San Francisco as aforesaid.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

City Attorney to Commence Condemnation Proceedings for the Acquisition of Certain Property Required for the Widening of Grand View Avenue from Market Street Southerly to Twenty-third Street.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 26725 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, that public interest and necessity require the acquisition by the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, of the following described lots, pieces or parcels of land situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and more particularly described as follows, to-wit:

Parcel 1. Beginning at a point on the northwesterly line of Grand View avenue, distant thereon 238.617 feet southerly from the southerly line of Romain street, and running thence southwesterly along the northwesterly line of Grand View avenue 33.800 feet; thence deflecting 8 deg. 49 min. to the right and continuing southwesterly along the northwesterly line of Grand View avenue 16.307 feet; thence deflecting 83 deg. 27 min. 56 sec. to the right and running northwesterly 6.054 feet to the proposed northwesterly line of Grand View avenue; thence deflecting 96 deg. 11 min. 3 sec. to the right and running northeasterly along the proposed northwesterly line of Grand View avenue 50.266 feet; thence deflecting 83 deg. 48 min. 57 sec. to the right and running southeasterly

1.148 feet to the point of beginning. Being portion of Block 19 of Market Street Homestead.

Parcel 2. Beginning at a point on the northwesterly line of Grand View avenue, distant thereon 288.723 feet southerly from the southerly line of Romain street, and running thence southwesterly along the northwesterly line of Grand View avenue 25.120 feet; thence deflecting 83 deg. 27 min. 56 sec. to the right and running northwesterly 5.899 feet to the proposed northwesterly line of Grand View avenue; thence deflecting 96 deg. 11 min. 3 sec. to the right and running northeasterly along the proposed northwesterly line of Grand View avenue 25.103 feet; thence deflecting 83 deg. 48 min. 57 sec. to the right and running southeasterly 6.054 feet to the point of beginning. Being portion of Block 19 of Market Street Homestead.

Parcel 3. Beginning at a point on the northwesterly line of Grand View avenue, distant thereon 313.843 feet southerly from the southerly line of Romain street, and running thence southwesterly along the northwesterly line of Grand View avenue 50.240 feet; thence deflecting 83 deg. 27 min. 56 sec. to the right and running northwesterly 5.590 feet to the proposed northwesterly line of Grand View avenue; thence deflecting 96 deg. 11 min. 3 sec. to the right and running northeasterly along the proposed northwesterly line of Grand View avenue 50.206 feet; thence deflecting 83 deg. 48 min. 57 sec. to the right and running southeasterly 5.899 feet to the point of beginning. Being portion of Block 19 of Market Street Homestead.

Parcel 4. Beginning at a point on the northwesterly line of Grand View avenue, distant thereon 364.083 feet southerly from the southerly line of Romain street, said point being on the northeasterly boundary line of Lot 14 of Block 19 of Pioche & Robinson's Subdivision of a Portion of San Miguel Rancho, and running thence southwesterly along the northwesterly line of Grand View avenue 45.833 feet to the southwestrly line of said Lot 14; thence deflecting 82 deg. 26 min. 9 sec. to the right and running northwesterly along said southwesterly boundary line 5.320 feet to the proposed northwesterly line of Grand View avenue; thence deflecting 97 deg. 12 min. 50 sec. to the right and running northeasterly along the proposed northwesterly line of Grand View avenue 45.898 feet to the northeasterly boundary line of said Lot 14; thence deflecting 83 deg. 48 min. 57 sec. to the right and running southeasterly along said northeasterly boundary line 5.590 feet to the point of beginning. Being all of said Lot 14 lying southeasterly of the proposed northwesterly line of Grand View avenue.

Parcel 5. Beginning at a point on the northwesterly line of Grand View avenue, distant thereon 270 feet northeasterly from the northerly line of Morgan alley, said point being on the southwesterly boundary line of Lot 19 of Block 19 of Pioche & Robinson's Sub. of a Portion of San Miguel Rancho, and running thence northeasterly along the northwesterly line of Grand View avenue 45.833 feet to the northeasterly boundary line of said Lot 19; thence deflecting 97 deg. 33 min. 51 sec. to the left and running northwesterly along said northeasterly boundary line 5.320 feet to the proposed northwesterly line of Grand View avenue; thence deflecting 82 deg. 47 min. 10 sec. to the left and running southwesterly along said proposed line 45.891 feet to the southwesterly boundary line of said Lot 19; thence deflecting 98 deg. 16 min. 16 sec. to the left and running southeasterly along said southwesterly boundary line 5.050 feet to the point of beginning. Being all of said Lot 19 lying southeasterly of the proposed northwesterly line of Grand View avenue.

Parcel 6. Beginning at the point of intersection of the northerly line of Twenty-second street with the southeasterly line of Grand View avenue, and running thence easterly along the northerly line of Twenty-second street 46 feet 10 inches; thence at right angles northerly 74.045 feet to a point on the southeasterly line of Grand View avenue; thence southwesterly along the southeasterly line of Grand View avenue 87.647 feet to the point of beginning. Being portion of Block 5, Noe Garden Homestead Union.

Be it Further Resolved, That said properties are suitable, adaptable, necessary and required for the public use of said City and County of San Francisco, to-wit, for the widening of Grand View avenue from Market street southerly to Twenty-third street.

The City Attorney is hereby ordered and directed to commence proceedings in eminent domain against the owners of said tracts of land and of any and all interests therein or claims thereto for the condemnation thereof for the public use of said City and County of San Francisco, as aforesaid.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Bodaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher,

Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Accepting Offer of J. R. Alexander and Grace R. Alexander to Sell for $1,650 Certain Property Required for the Improvement of Castro Street Between Twenty-ninth and Thirtieth Streets.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 26726 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the owners of the following described land situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and sought to be acquired by the City and County of San Francisco for the widening and improvement of Castro street between Twenty-ninth and Thirtieth streets have offered to convey the property described by said City and County for the sum set forth opposite their names, viz.:

J. R. Alexander and Grace R. Alexander, $1,650—Commencing at a point formed by the intersection of the northerly line of Day street with the easterly line of Castro street, thence running northerly along the said easterly line of Castro street one hundred fourteen (114) feet; thence at a right angle easterly thirty (30) feet; thence at a right angle southerly one hundred fourteen (114) feet to the northerly line of Day street, and thence westerly along the said northerly line of Day street thirty (30) feet to its intersection with the easterly line of Castro street and the point of commencement. Being a portion of Horner's Addition Block Number 171.

Whereas, the City Attorney has recommended the acceptance of the said offer and the acquisition of the property owned by said persons and offered to the City and County of San Francisco for the price set forth, which said price is in accordance with the City's appraisement of the property; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the said offer of sale be accepted, and the City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to examine the title of said property, and if the same is found in satisfactory condition to accept in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco a deed conveying title thereto, and file the same for record with a copy of this resolution attached thereto as evidence of acceptance by the City and County of San Francisco, upon payment of the agreed purchase price.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Baclaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Accepting Offer of Alma Dewar to Sell for $50 Certain Property Required for the Widening of Grand View Avenue.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 26727 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the owner of the following described land, situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and sought to be acquired by said City and County for the widening of Grand View avenue, has offered to convey the property described by said City and County of San Francisco for the sum set forth opposite her name, viz.:

Alma Dewar, $50—Beginning at a point on the northerly line of Twenty-first street, distant thereon 352.122 feet westerly from the westerly line of Douglass street, and running thence westerly along the northerly line of Twenty-first street 15.440 feet to the southeasterly line of Grand View avenue; thence northerly along the southeasterly line of Grand View avenue 33.900 feet to the proposed southeasterly line of Grand View avenue; thence deflecting 174 deg. 58 min. 53 sec. to the right and running southwesterly along the proposed southeasterly line of Grand View avenue 13.902 feet; thence southerly along the proposed easterly line of Grand View avenue on a curve to the left of 14-foot radius, tangent to the preceding course, central angle 108 deg. 1 min. 30 sec., a distance of 26.400 feet to tangency with the northerly line of Twenty-first street at the point of beginning. Being portion of Lot 1 of Block 20 of Pioche and Robinson's Subdivision of a Portion of San Miguel Rancho.

It is understood and agreed that the assessment for the proposed improvement of Grand View avenue that will be levied against the remaining portion of the above-mentioned Lot 1 will not exceed the assessment placed against an inside 25x100-foot lot for the same proposed improvement, and in any event not to exceed the sum of $250.

Whereas, the City Attorney has recommended the acceptance of the said offer and the acquisition of the property owned by said party and offered to the City and County of San Francisco for the sum set forth, which said price is in accordance with the City's appraisement of the property; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the said offer of sale be accepted, and the City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to examine the title of said property, and, if the same is found in satisfactory condition, to accept, in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, a deed conveying title thereto, and file the same for record with a copy of this resolution attached thereto as evidence of acceptance by the City and County of San Francisco, upon payment of the agreed purchase price.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Accepting Offer of John Clervi to Sell for $13,195 Certain Property Required for the Opening of the Bay Shore Boulevard.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 26728 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the owner of the following described land, situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and sought to be acquired by said City and County for the opening of Bay Shore boulevard, has offered to convey the property desired by said City and County of San Francisco for the sum set forth opposite his name, viz.:

John Clervi, $13,195—Beginning at the point of intersection of the southerly boundary of Lot 335, Precita Valley Lands, with the easterly line of San Bruno avenue, and running thence northerly along the easterly line of San Bruno avenue 60 feet to the northerly boundary of Lot 335, Precita Valley Lands; thence at right angles easterly along said northerly boundary 65 feet; thence at right angles southerly 60 feet to the southerly boundary of said Lot 335; thence at right angles westerly along said southerly boundary 65 feet to the point of beginning. Being the westerly 65 feet of Lot 335, Precita Valley Lands.

The above sum includes, in addition to the payment for the above described land, all payment in full for the improvements partially located thereon; said improvements to be relocated by the undersigned within 90 days after recordation of the deed conveying the above-described parcel to the City and County of San Francisco.

Whereas, the City Attorney has recommended the acceptance of the said offer and the acquisition of the property owned by said party and offered to the City and County of San Francisco for the sum set forth, which said price is in accordance with the City's appraisement of the property; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the said offer of sale be accepted, and the City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to examine the title of said property, and, if the same is found in satisfactory condition, to accept, in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, a deed conveying title thereto, and file the same for record with a copy of this resolution attached thereto as evidence of acceptance by the City and County of San Francisco, upon payment of the agreed purchase price.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Mayor to Sell at Auction Certain Properties Required for the Bernal Cut Improvement.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 26729 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Mayor be and he is hereby authorized and directed to sell at public auction after at least five (5) days of published notice the following described personal property owned by the City and County of San Francisco, to-wit:

Dwelling houses and appurtenances situated on those certain pieces or parcels of land required for the opening of Bernal Cut, and known as No. 331 Arlington street, No. 15 Fairmount street and Nos. 917-919 San Jose avenue.

The terms of said sale shall be cash upon delivery of bill of sale, said dwelling houses to be removed by the purchaser within thirty (30) days of purchase thereof.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Cancellation of Assessments and Sale of Property for Delinquent Taxes.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 26730 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the Auditor has reported that the following property was erroneously assessed for the year 1926-7, and was owned by the State of California, and has recommended that the said assessment

be cancelled, and the City Attorney having consented thereto; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Auditor be directed to cancel the following assessments:

Vol. 11—Block 1680, Lot 30—The Present Era Bldg. Co.

Vol. 12—Block 1839, Lot 2A—V. C. and D. E. Marchus.

Vol. 15—Block 2333, Lot 9—Mary B. Colligan.

Vol. 15—Block 2350, Lot 7—Einar J. N. Berg.

Vol. 15—Block 2357, Lot 1-H—Jno. J. and Eileen Hannon.

Vol. 15—Block 2399, Lot 8—E. J. Hargrave.

Vol. 15—Block 2400, Lot 10—E. J. Hargrave.

Vol. 16—Block 2421, Lots 26-31 and 35—Meyer Bros.

Vol. 16—Block 2428, Lot 8-J—Geo. and Helen Rehn.

Vol. 19—Block 3121, Lot 32—Jno. B. and E. S. Hammil.

Vol. 39—Block 6992, Lot 16—E. J. and Kath. Hargrave.

Vol. 39—Block 3993, Lot 37—Thorir Jacobson.

Also that the following assessment and sale for delinquent taxes for the year 1925-6 be cancelled by the Auditor and Recorder for the same reasons, viz.:

Lot 10, Block 2400, Volume 15, was assessed in the name of E. S. Lowrey and J. J. Daly, and sold to the State June 28, 1926, under Sale No. 699.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bvington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Amending Zoning Ordinance, Ingalls Street Between Donner and Egbert Avenues.

On Motion of Supervisor Hayden:

Bill No. 7884, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings, and the locations of buildings designed for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Section II of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituing a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place the southeasterly side of Ingalls street between Donner avenue and Egbert avenue, for a distance of 225 fee on Donner avenue and 300 feet on Egbert avenue, in the Heavy Industrial District instead of the First Residential District.

Amending Zoning Ordinance, Northwest Corner Thomas Avenue and Jennings Street.

Also, Bill No. 7885, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings, and the locations of buildings designed for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Section 11 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place the northwest corner of Thomas avenue and Jennings street, for a distance of 35 feet on Thomas avenue and 100 feet on Jennings street, in the Second Residential District instead of in the First Residential District.

Rescinding Set-Back Lines on North Side of Pacific Avenue Between Webster and Fillmore Streets.

Supervisor Hayden presented:

Resolution No. 26931 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That so much of Resolution No. 20903 as states the intention to establish set-back lines on the north side of Pacific avenue between Webster and Fillmore streets, be and the same is hereby rescinded.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bvington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Withdrawn.

The following matter was *withdrawn* at Supervisor Hayden's request:

Zone Change Denied, Northeast Corner Nineteenth Avenue and Ulloa Street.

Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the application of Young's Finance Corporation to change classification of the northeast corner of Nineteenth avenue and Ulloa street from First Residential to Commercial District be denied.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Oil and Boiler Permits.

On motion of Supervisor Deasy:

Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits be and the same are hereby granted:

Oil Tanks.

Christiansen Bros., north side of Bay street, 40 feet west of Divisadero street, 1500 gallons capacity.

D. J. Clancy, southeast corner of Broderick and Greenwich streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

Henry Ernst & Sons, northwest corner of Twelfth avenue and California street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Vic Gullmes, north side of Broderick street, 90 feet west of Grove street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Chas. A. Johnson, east side of Laguna street, 50 feet north of Chestnut street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Chas. A. Johnson, east side of Laguna street, 100 feet north of Chestnut street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Chas. A. Johnson, east side of Laguna street, 150 feet north of Chestnut street, 1500 gallons capacity.

J. G. Kincannon, east side of Larkin street, 100 feet north of Turk street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Frank J. Klimm Co., southwest corner of Sutter and Polk streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

P. Mirovingo, south side of Brosnan street, 300 feet west of Valencia street, 1500 gallons capacity.

N. J. Nelson, north side of Toledo way, 63 feet west of Mallorca way, 1500 gallons capacity.

Thomas Quistad, north side of Alhambra street, 110 feet west of Cervantes boulevard, 1500 gallons capacity.

Boilers.

J. W. Canepa, 1299 Bush street, 5 horse-power.

L. W. Critchlow, 266 Dore street, 25 horse-power.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits shall become null and void.

Garage Permits.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New ries), as follows:

Resolved, That Ernest B. Bridgett be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred public garage permit, heretofore granted John C. Wormuth by Resolution No. 20558 (New Series) for premises at 1717 Ocean avenue.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New ries), as follows:

Resolved, That Harry Morgan be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred public garage, heretofore granted Manfred Johnson by Resolution No. 21810 (New Series), for premises at 1271 Forty-eighth avenue.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Parking Station Permit.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New ries), as follows:

Resolved, That Louis Ross be and he is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to operate and maintain an automobile parking station on the south side of Mission street, 91 feet 8 inches west of Main street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Masquerade Ball Permit.

Supervisor Schmidt presented:

Resolution No. 26732 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Independent Rifles be and it is hereby granted permission to hold a masquerade ball Saturday evening, January 22, 1927, in California Hall.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

City Engineer Requested to Ascertain from State Board of Harbor Commissioners if Garbage Depot Site Is Available on the Channel Between Third and Sixth Streets or Elsewhere and to Provide Plans, Etc., Therefor.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 26733 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That under the provi-

sions of Ordinance No. 5503 (New Series), the City Engineer is requested to ascertain from the Board of State Harbor Commissioners if a site is procurable for a garbage depot on the Channel between Third and Sixth streets, or elsewhere, and that the necessary plans and specifications be prepared and estimates made thereon for the erection of said garbage depot.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

City Attorney to Commence Condemnation Proceedings for the Acquisition of Certain Land on Lombard Street Required for School Purposes.

Supervisor Powers presented:

Resolution No. 26734 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco that the immediate acquisition, construction, completion and equipment by the City and County of San Francisco of permanent buildings and improvements to be used by the said City and County for public schools and the acquisition of necessary lands therefor is an imperative and public necessity, and that the public interest and necessity demand such acquisition, construction and equipment by the City and County of San Francisco.

That the lands to be so acquired for public use and necessity are situated in the City and County of San Francisco and described as follows:

Commencing at a point on the northerly line of Lombard street, distant thereon 101½ feet easterly from the intersection of the northerly line of Lombard street with the easterly line of Mason street, running thence easterly along the northerly line of Lombard street 36 feet; thence at right angles northerly 137½ feet; thence at right angles westerly 36 feet; thence at right angles 137½ feet to the northerly line of Lombard street and the point of commencement. Being Lot No. 15, Block 64, on Assessor's Map Book.

Further Resolved, That the land above described is hereby selected by the City and County of San Francisco for school use and purposes, and that the whole thereof is necessary for the City and County of San Francisco, and suitable and adaptable for said public uses and purposes; and be it

Further Resolved, That the City Attorney is hereby directed to forthwith institute in the Superior Court of the State of California, in and for the City and County of San Francisco, condemnation proceedings against the owners of and all persons having or claiming to have an interest in the land hereinbefore described, for the purpose of acquiring a title in fee simple to such described land for the City and County of San Francisco for public uses herein set forth.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Passed for Printing.

The following bill was *passed for printing*:

Amending Ordinance No. 1008, "Building Law."

On motion of Supervisor Powers:

Bill No. 7886, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1008 (New Series), known as the "Building Law," of the City and County of San Francisco, approved December 22, 1909, by adding a new section thereto to be known as Section 50a; and also amending Section 100 and Section 103 of said ordinance.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1008 (New Series), known as the "Building Law," of the City and County of San Francisco, approved December 22, 1909, is hereby amended to read as follows:

Welding for Structural Steel Members.

Section 50a. Where electric arc or spot welded connections are used, subject to compression or shear, they shall be designed to have a factor of safety of 6 and in no case shall the allowable stress exceed 6000 pounds per square inch.

Where welding is used in buildings over one story high all column connections shall be riveted and all columns and column ties shall be solid structural shapes or structural shapes assembled together with riveted connections.

Section 2.

Reinforced Concrete Floors.

Section 100. Floors of reinforced concrete built in conformity with the requirements for reinforced concrete as outlined under Class B buildings may be built in Class A buildings.

No concrete slab shall have a span exceeding sixteen (16) feet, and in buildings over 86 feet in height no slab shall be over ten (10) feet span.

No slab or stone concrete shall be less than three and one-half inches thick, except roof slabs, which may be three inches thick. Slabs over eight feet span and less than twelve feet span shall not be less than 4½ inches thick. Slabs over twelve feet span shall not be less than 5 inches thick. Slabs may be built of concrete in which broken brick, terra cotta or cinders are used in place of stone, provided they are made not less than 4 inches thick for floors and not less than 3½ inches thick for roofs.

Where supporting steel beams are not more than 24 inches center to center and the superimposed load does not exceed 125 pounds per square foot, roof and floor slabs may be constructed not less than 2 inches thick of Portland cement concrete with stone, brick, terra cotta or cinder aggregate.

Such slabs may be supported on a rib metal reinforcing lath weighing not less than 4 pounds per square yard. If not supported on ribe metal lath the 2-inch slab shall be reinforced with .06 square inches of steel per foot of width transverse to the beams and .15 longitudinal.

Such 2-inch slab construction shall be limited to 12 stories above the sidewalk or to the upper 12 stories of buildings 13, 14 or 15 stories above the sidewalk.

Section 3.

Fireproofing.

Section 103. All parts of the steel frame, including cast iron columns, shall be covered with fireproofing. The fireproofiing shall be continuous and no pipes of any description shall be laid in any fireproofing nor shall any fireproofing be cut to allow the passage of any pipe or duct through any part except floor slabs.

In roofs where space is left between the ceiling and roof beams, fireproofing may be omitted from the steel frame, except around columns, and where columns project above the roof they shall be fireproof, but this shall not apply to the exposed beam supporting tanks, etc.

In floor slabs where air space is left between the floor slab and ceiling and said ceiling is not less than ¾ inches thick composed of a scratch coat of Portland cement placter ¼ inch thick and two coats of gypsum plaster, supported on metal lath, fireproofing may be omitted from the steel frame except around columns and beams and girders framing into columns.

Section 4. This ordinance shall take effect and be in force immediately.

Extension of Time, Ajax Construction Company, Construction of Tuberculosis Preventorium.

Supervisor Powers presented:

Resolution No. 26735 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Ajax Construction Company be and it is hereby granted an extension of thirty (30) days' time on its contract for the construction of the water supply system at the Tuberculosis Preventorium, which is now under course of construction at Pulgas Tunnel, San Mateo County, California; this being the first extension.

This extension of time is in accordance with the recommendation of the Board of Public Works setting forth that delays were caused by rain.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Fixing Sidewalk Widths on Sussex Street Between Castro and Diamond Streets.

On motion of Supervisor Badaracco:

Bill No. 7887, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section, to be numbered nine hundred and forty-eight.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Board of Public Works filed in this office January 11, 1927, by adding thereto a new section, to be numbered nine hundred and forty-eight, to read as follows:

Section 948. The width of sidewalks on Sussex street between Castro and Diamond streets shall be eight (8) feet.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Fixing Sidewalk Widths on Sussex Street Between Diamond and Elk Streets.

Also, Bill No. 7888, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section, to be numbered nine hundred and forty-seven.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Board of Public Works filed in this office January 14, 1927, by adding thereto a new section, to be numbered nine hundred and forty-seven, to read as follows:

Section 947. The width of sidewalks on Sussex street between Diamond and Elk streets shall be ten (10) feet.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Fixing Sidewalk Widths on Diamond Street Between Thirtieth and Sussex Streets.

Also, Bill No. 7889, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section, to be numbered nine hundred and forty-nine.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Board of Public Works filed in this office January 11, 1927, by adding thereto a new section, to be numbered nine hundred and forty-nine, to read as follows:

Section 949. The width of sidewalks on Diamond street between Thirtieth and Sussex streets shall be eight (8) feet.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Fixing Sidewalks Widths on Schwerin Street Between Sunnydale Avenue and the County Line.

Also, Bill No. 7890, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section, to be numbered nine hundred and forty-six.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Board of Public Works filed in this office January 8, 1927, by adding thereto a new section, to be numbered nine hundred and forty-six, to read as follows:

Section 946. The width of sidewalks on Schwerin street between Sunnydale avenue and the County Line shall be twelve (12) feet.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Fixing Sidewalk Widths on O'Farrell Street and on Ellis Street Between Franklin and Divisadero Streets.

Also, Bill No. 7891, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto new sections to be numbered nine hundred and forty-four and nine hundred and forty-five.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Board of Public Works filed in this office January 8, 1927, by adding thereto new sections, to be numbered nine hundred and forty-four and nine hundred and forty-five, to read as follows:

Section 944. The width of sidewalks on O'Farrell street between Franklin street and Divisadero street shall be twelve (12) feet.

Section 945. The width of sidewalks on Ellis street between Franklin street and Divisadero street shall be twelve (12) feet.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Fixing Sidewalk Widths on Capitol Avenue Between Montana Street and Thrift Street.

Also, Bill No. 7892, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section, to be numbered nine hundred and forty-three.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Board of Public Works filed in this office January 8, 1927, by adding thereto a new section, to be numbered nine hundred and forty-three, to read as follows:

Section 943. The width of sidewalks on Capitol avenue between Montana street and Thrift street shall be twelve (12) feet.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Establishing Grades on Fitzgerald Avenue Between Charter Oak Avenue and a Line Southeasterly Therefrom.

Also, Bill No. 7893, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Establishing grades on Fitzgerald avenue between Charter Oak avenue and a line at right angles to the southwesterly line of, 330.75 feet southeasterly therefrom.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The grades on Fitzgerald avenue between Charter Oak avenue and a line at right angles to the southwesterly line of, 330.75 feet southeasterly therefrom are hereby established at points hereinafter named and at heights above city base as hereinafter stated, in accordance with recommendation of the Board of Public Works filed January 13, 1927.

Fitzgerald Avenue.

On a line at right angles to the southwesterly line of, 330.75 feet southeasterly from Charter Oak avenue, 38 feet.

On a line at right angles to the southwesterly line of, 188.79 feet southeasterly from Charter Oak avenue, 40.20 feet.

On a line at right angles to the southwesterly line of, 38.79 feet southeasterly from Charter Oak avenue, 44.70 feet.

On Fitzgerald avenue between Charter Oak avenue and a line at right angles to the southwesterly line of, 330.75 feet southeasterly therefrom, be established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor and the present official grades of Charter Oak avenue at Fitzgerald avenue.

Ordering Street Work on Chestnut Street, Baker to Lyon Streets.

Also, Bill No. 7894, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors January 11, 1927, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in three installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of *Chestnut street from Baker street to Lyon street* by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks six feet in width where artificial stone sidewalks six feet or more in width have not already been constructed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ordering Street Work on Twenty-eighth Street Between Castro and Diamond Streets.

Also, Bill No. 7895, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors January 11, 1927, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, made be paid in twenty installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of *Twenty-eighth street between Castro street and Diamond street* by grading to official line and grade; by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks six (6) feet in width adjacent to the curb; by the construction of the necessary side sewers, and by the construction of a concrete pavement on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ordering Street Work on Moultrie Street Between Eugenia and Powhattan Avenues.

Also, Bill No. 7896, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors January 11, 1927, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in twenty installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of *Moultrie street between Eugenia avenue and Powhattan avenue* where not already improved, by the construction of concrete curbs; and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and a 1½-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ordering Street Work on Peabody Street Between Leland and Visitacion Avenues.

Also, Bill No. 7897, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors January 11, 1927, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in twenty installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of *Peabody street between Leland avenue and Visitacion avenue*, where not already improved, by the construction of concrete curbs where not already constructed, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and a 1½-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface on the roadway thereof, where not already constructed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ordering Street Work on Twenty-sixth Avenue Between Santiago and Rivera Streets.

Also, Bill No. 7898, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors January 11, 1927, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of the following portions of *Twenty-sixth avenue between Rivera and Santiago streets*: The easterly one-half of Twenty-sixth avenue from Rivera street to a line parallel with and 350 feet southerly therefrom, by the construction of concrete curbs, by the construction of a 14-foot concrete strip and asphaltic concrete pavements as may be required, and by the construction of that portion of an 8-inch ironstone pipe sewer with accompanying manholes, Y branches and side-sewers that said frontages would be liable for.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ordering Street Work on Twenty-second Avenue Between Lawton and Moraga Streets.

Also, Bill No. 7899, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors January 11, 1927, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of

San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of *Twenty-second avenue between Lawton street and Moraga street*, and of *Moraga street between Twenty-second avenue and Twenty-third avenue*, where not already improved, by the construction of concrete curbs and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement consisting of a six (6) inch concrete foundation and one and one-half (1½) inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ordering Street Work on Ney Street Between Craut and Congdon Streets.

Also, Bill No. 7900, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors January 11, 1927, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in three installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The construction of full width artificial stone sidewalks on *Ney street between Craut street and Congdon street* where full width sidewalks have not already been constructed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ordering Street Work on Portions of Forty-seventh Avenue Between Santiago and Taraval Streets.

Also, Bill No. 7901, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors December 6, 1926, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement

Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of the following portions of *Forty-seventh avenue between Santiago and Taraval streets*: The easterly one-half of Forty-seventh avenue from the southerly line of Santiago street to a line parallel with and 100 feet southerly therefrom, the easterly one-half of Forty-seventh avenue from a line parallel with and 150 feet southerly from Santiago street to a line parallel with and 300 feet southerly from Santiago street, the easterly one-half of Forty-seventh avenue from Taraval street to a line parallel with and 225 feet northerly therefrom, the westerly one-half of Forty-seventh avenue from a line parallel with and 100 feet northerly from Taraval street to a line parallel with and 175 feet northerly from Taraval street, by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of that portion of a 12-inch ironstone pipe sewer, one manhole and accompanying side sewers that said frontages would be liable for, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and a 1½-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface, on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ordering Street Work on Twenty-ninth Street Between Diamond and Castro Streets.

Also, Bill No. 7902, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors January 11, 1927, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in three installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The construction of full width artificial stone sidewalks on *Twenty-ninth street between Diamond street and Castro street*, where artificial stone sidewalks six feet or more in width have not already been constructed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ordering Street Work on Geary Street Between Twenty-fourth and Twenty-eighth Avenues.

Also, Bill No. 7903, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors January 11, 1927, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board

of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in three installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The construction of artificial stone sidewalks of the full official width on *Geary street between Twenty-fourth avenue and Twenty-eighth avenue*, where artificial stone sidewalks of the full official width have not already been constructed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ordering Street Work on Thirty-first Avenue Between Fulton and Cabrillo Streets.

Also, Bill No. 7904, Ordinance No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors January 11, 1927, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in three installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The construction of artificial stone sidewalks six feet in width on *Thirty-first avenue between Fulton and Cabrillo streets* where artificial stone sidewalks six feet or more in width have not already been constructed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Blasting Permit.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Eaton & Smith are hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to explode blasts while grading on Caine avenue between Lakeview avenue and Lobos street, provided said permittee shall execute and file a good and sufficient bond in the sum of $2,500 as fixed by the Board of Public Works and approved by his Honor the Mayor, in accordance with Ordinance No. 1204; provided, also, that said blasts shall be exploded only between the hours of 7 a. m. and 6 p. m., and that the work of blasting shall be performed to the satisfaction of the Board of Public Works, and that if any of the conditions of this resolution be violated by said Eaton & Smith, then the privileges and all the rights accruing thereunder shall immediately become null and void.

Intention to Change Grades.

Supervisor Badaracco presented:

Resolution No. 26736 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to change and establish grades on the following named streets, at the points hereinafter specified and at the elevations above city base, as hereinafter stated, in accordance with Resolution No. 95456 (Second Series) of the Board of Public Works, adopted ——— and written recommendation of said Board filed January 13, 1927, to-wit:

Sussex Street.

Conrad street intersection, 314 feet. (The same being the present official grade.

10 feet southerly from the northerly line of, 59.40 feet easterly from Conrad street, 312.42 feet.

10 feet southerly from the northerly line of, 79.40 feet easterly from Conrad street, 311.48 feet.

10 feet southerly from the northerly line of, 99.40 feet easterly from Conrad street, 309.76 feet.

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

10 feet northerly from the southerly line of, 59.40 feet easterly from Conrad street, 311.44 feet.

10 feet northerly from the southerly line of, 79.40 feet easterly from Conrad street, 310.25 feet.

10 feet northerly from the southerly line of, 99.40 feet easterly from Conrad street, 308.42 feet.

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

10 feet northerly from the southerly line of, at Van Buren street westerly line, 302 feet.

Southerly line of, 8.07 feet easterly from Van Buren street westerly line, 300.80 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Southerly line of, 8.07 feet westerly from Van Buren street easterly line, 300.80 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

10 feet northerly from the southerly line of, at Van Buren street easterly line, 301 feet.

10 feet southerly from the northerly line of, 55.86 feet westerly from the first curve easterly from Conrad street, 305.08 feet.

10 feet southerly from the northerly line of, 40.36 feet westerly from the first curve easterly from Conrad street, 303.61 feet.

10 feet southerly from the northerly line of, 24.86 feet westerly from the first curve easterly from Conrad street, 302.50 feet.

10 feet southerly from the northerly line of, at the beginning of the first curve easterly from Conrad street, 300.39 feet.

10 feet southerly from the northerly line of, 24.86 feet easterly (measured along the curb line) from the beginning of the first curve easterly from Conrad street, 297.07 feet.

(Reverse vertical curve passing through the last five described points.)

10 feet southerly from the northerly line of, 22.39 feet westerly (measured along the curb line) from the easterly end of the first curve easterly from Conrad street, 296.54 feet.

10 feet southerly from the northerly line of, at the easterly end of the first curve easterly from Conrad street, 293.46 feet.

10 feet southerly from the northerly line of, 22.39 feet easterly from the easterly end of the first curve easterly from Conrad street, 291.30 feet.

10 feet southerly from the northerly line of, at the westerly end of the first curve westerly from Diamond street, 289.43 feet.

10 feet southerly from the northerly line of, 22.39 feet easterly (measured along the curb line) from the westerly end of the first curve westerly from Diamond street, 287.22 feet.

(Reverse vertical curve passing through the last five described points.)

10 feet northerly from the southerly line of, at the easterly end of the curve beginning at Van Buren street, 293 feet.

10 feet northerly from the southerly line of, at the westerly end of the first curve westerly from Diamond street, 287.90 feet.

10 feet southerly from the northerly line of, on a radial line 75 feet (measured along the center line of the street) westerly from the beginning of the first curve westerly from Diamond street, 283 feet.

10 feet northerly from the southerly line of, on a radial line 75 feet (measured along the center line of the street) westerly from the beginning of the first curve westerly from Diamond street, 281.30 feet.

10 feet northerly from the southerly line of, at Diamond street westerly line, 269 feet.

10 feet southerly from the northerly line of, at Diamond street westerly line, 270.70 feet.

Northern line of, 8 feet easterly from Diamond street westerly line, 270.70 feet. (The same being the recommended grade.)

Southerly line of, 13 feet easterly from Diamond street westerly line, 267.70 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

On Sussex street between Conrad street and the westerly curb line of Diamond street be changed and established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that no assessment district is necessary, as no damage will result from said change of grades, inasmuch as the streets are ungraded and there are no existing street improvements.

The Board of Public Works is hereby directed to cause to be conspicuously posted along the street or streets upon which such change or modification of grade or grades is contemplated, notice of the passage of this resolution of intention.

Adopted by the following vote:

A y e s — Supervisors Badaracco,

Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Intention to Change Grades.

Supervisor Badaracco presented: Resolution No. 26737 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to change and establish grades on the following named streets, at the points hereinafter specified and at the elevations above city base, as hereinafter stated, in accordance with Resolution No. 95373 (Second Series) of the Board of Public Works, adopted ————————, and written recommendation of said Board, filed January 8, 1927, to-wit:

Lower Terrace.

10 feet southeasterly from the northwesterly line of, 3.64 feet northeasterly from the second angle southwesterly from the end of the first curve southeasterly from the intersection of Levan street, 363 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

40 feet southeasterly from the northwesterly line of, 3.64 feet northeasterly from the second angle southwesterly from the end of the first curve southeasterly from the intersection of Levan street, 363 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

6 feet northwesterly from the southeasterly line of, produced 69.58 feet southwesterly from the beginning of the curve northeasterly from Saturn street, 356.50 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Southeasterly line of, produced 69.58 feet southwesterly from the beginning of the curve northeasterly from Saturn street, 356.50 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Southeasterly line of, produced 66.58 feet southwesterly from the beginning of the curve northeasterly from Saturn street, 361 feet.

6 feet northwesterly from the southeasterly line of, produced 66.58 feet southwesterly from the beginning of the curve northeasterly from Saturn street, 361 feet.

Southeasterly line of, 44.58 feet southeasterly from the beginning of the curve northeasterly from Saturn street, 361.60 feet.

6 feet northwesterly from the southeasterly line of, 44.58 feet from the beginning of the curve northeasterly from Saturn street, 361.60 feet.

Southeasterly line of, 39.58 feet southwesterly from the beginning of the curve northeasterly from Saturn street, 365.98 feet.

13 feet northwesterly from the southeasterly line of, 39.58 feet southwesterly from the beginning of the curve northeasterly from Saturn street, 365.58 feet.

Southeasterly line of, at the beginning of the curve northeasterly from Saturn street, 369 feet.

13 feet northwesterly from the southeasterly line of, at the beginning of the curve northeasterly from Saturn street, 369 feet.

43 feet northwesterly from the southeasterly line of, at the beginning of the curve northeasterly from Saturn street, 368 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

On a line at right angles to the westerly line of, 29.74 feet southerly from the first angle easterly from Levant street intersection, 373.50 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

On Lower Terrace between a line at right angles to the easterly line of, produced 69.58 feet southwesterly from the beginning of the curve northeasterly from Saturn street and a line at right angles to the westerly line of, 29.74 feet southerly from the first angle easterly from Levant street intersection be changed and established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that no assessment district is necessary, as no damage will result from said change of grades, inasmuch as the streets are ungraded and there are no existing street improvements.

The Board of Public Works is hereby directed to cause to be conspicuously posted along the street or streets upon which such change or modification of grade or grades is contemplated, notice of the passage of this resolution of intention.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Authorizing Exchange of Deeds.

Supervisor Badaracco presented: Resolution No. 26738 (New Series), as follows:

Authorizing the execution of a deed by the Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors to affronting and adjacent property owners to a certain portion of Seventeenth street closed by Resolution No.

24891 (New Series) in exchange for property for a new street to be opened in lieu of such closed street.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Supervisor Badaracco Appointed on Advisory Corps, Redwood Empire Association.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 26739 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Supervisor John B. Badaracco is hereby appointed a member of the Advisory Corps of the Redwood Empire Association to represent the City and County of San Francisco in proceedings of said organization.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Condemnation of Land for School Purposes.

Supervisor Powers presented:

Resolution No. 26740 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, That public interest and necessity require the acquisition by the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, of the following properties situated in the City and County of San Francisco and more particularly described as follows, to-wit:

Beginning at a point on the northwesterly line of Cayuga avenue, distant southwesterly thereon 99 feet from the southwesterly line of Oneida avenue (said point being the southeasterly corner of Lot No. 7, Block No. 15, as per West End Map No. 1, recorded in Map Book 2 "A" and "B," page 45, Records of the City and County of San Francisco), and running thence southwesterly along the prolongation of said northwesterly line of Cayuga avenue a distance of 116 feet to the beginning of a curve to the right tangent to the last described course and having a radius of 340 feet and a central angle of 49 deg. 30 min., running thence southwesterly along said curve 293.739 feet; thence southwesterly along the tangent to said curve 199.488 feet to the beginning of a curve to the right tangent to the last described course and having a radius of 20 feet and a central angle of 82 deg. 24 min. 31 sec.; thence in a westerly direction along said curve 28.766 feet to its point of compounding with a curve to the right of radius 370 feet and central angle 8 deg. 56 min. 41 sec.; thence northwesterly along the last described curve 57.763 feet; thence northwesterly a distance of 137.400 feet along a curve to the left tangent to the last described curve and having a radius of 430 feet and central angle of 18 deg. 18 min. 29 sec.; thence northwesterly along a curve to the right tangent to the last described curve and having a radius of 80.102 feet and central angle of 8 deg. 56 min. 51 sec., a distance of 12.509 feet to the southwesterly line of Seneca avenue; thence southeasterly along said southwesterly line 157.967 feet to the most easterly corner of Lot No. 1 in Block No. 14, as per said West End Map No. 1; thence deflecting to the left 77 deg. 46 min. and running 61.39 feet to the most southerly corner of Lot No. 5 in said Block No. 15; thence northeasterly 82.23 feet to the most southerly corner of Lot No. 4 in said Block No. 15; thence northeasterly 40.31 feet to the most southerly corner of Lot No. 6 in said Block No. 15; thence northeasterly 165.17 feet to the most easterly corner of said Lot No. 6; thence easterly 142.64 feet to the point of beginning. Being a portion of Rancho Rincon de las Salinas y Potrero Viejo or Bernal Rancho. Containing 90,448 square feet.

Be it

Further Resolved, That said properties are suitable, adaptable, necessary and required for the public use of said City and County of San Francisco for school purposes. It is necessary that a fee simple title be taken for such use. The City Attorney is hereby ordered and directed to commence proceedings in eminent domain against the owners of said land and of any and all interests therein or claims thereto for the condemnation thereof for the public use of said City and County of San Francisco as aforesaid.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Street Lights.

Supervisor Stanton presented:

Resolution No. 26741 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Pacific Gas and Electric Company be and it is hereby authorized and requested to remove, change and install the following street lights:

Install 400 *M. R.*

Northeast corner Forty-sixth avenue and Irving street.

Northwest corner Second and Bryant streets.

North side of Bryant, west of Second street.

Thomas avenue, 300 feet north of Hawes avenue.

Scott and North Point streets.

Scott street between Polk and Larkin streets.

Chestnut street between Polk and Larkin streets.

San Jose and Santa Rosa avenues.

Install 600 *M. R.*

Sloat boulevard and St. Francis Circle.

Sloat boulevard and St. Francis boulevard.

Fillmore street and Retiro way.

Fillmore street, second pole north of Cervantes boulevard.

Change 400 *M. R.*

McAllister street between Stanyan and Parker avenue.

Remove Gas.

Northeast and southwest corners Forty-sixth avenue and Irving streets.

West side of Forty-sixth avenue, 220 feet south of Irving street.

North side of Bryant street, first, second and third east of Third street.

South side of Bryant street, first and third east of Third street.

Bryant and Center streets.

South side of Francisco street, first and second east of Polk street.

North side of Francisco street, first east of Polk street.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Ronco-vieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

SPECIAL ORDER, 11 A. M.

Minority Report of Supervisor Deasy on Lease of 333 Kearny Street.

December 29, 1926.

Hon. Board of Supervisors, City Hall, City.

Gentlemen:

Your minority of the Building Committee beg leave to report to your Honorable Board a resolution passed unanimously by the Board of Supervisors July 17, 1926, No. 25899, requesting the Board of Public Works to confer with the Chief of Police to determine the amount of additional space required in the Hall of Justice for the growing needs of the Police Department and to report to the Board their findings.

On August 28, 1926. the Chief of Police advised the Board in the following letter:

"Please be advised that the Police Department can occupy all the rooms vacated by the District Attorney, Public Defender, Probation Officer and their respective staffs, which are located in the Hall of Justice, at Kearny and Washington streets.

"Said premises can be utilized and occupied immediately without any alterations for some time, therefore I would respectfully request that proper authorization be granted to this department for the occupancy of the above mentioned space."

I therefore recommend to the Board of Supervisors that the vacant space now existing in the Hall of Justice be assigned to the Police Department in accordance with their letter to the Board of August 28, 1926.

Yours truly,

C. J. DEASY.

Supervisor Deasy's Resolution.

Whereas, the certain rooms situate in the Hall of Justice heretofore occupied by the District Attorney, the Public Defender and the Adult Probation Officer have been vacant since the removal of the said offices and officials to premises at No. 333 Kearny street and are still vacant and unoccupied; and

Whereas, the Chief of the Police Department, by communication heretofore filed, set forth that the said vacated premises, if made available, could be occupied and utilized by the Police Department without any alterations being made therein; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the said vacated rooms in the Hall of Justice, situated mainly on the third and fourth floors and to be more specifically specified by their respective numbers be and the same are hereby assigned to the Police Department for its use and purposes.

Majority Report.

San Francisco,
December 27, 1926.

To the Honorable Board of Supervisors, City and County of San Francisco.

Gentlemen:

Your majority committee on Public Buildings and Lands, to which was referred the resolution terminating the lease by the City of premises known as 333 Kearny street, now occupied by the District Attorney, Public Defender, the Adult Probation Officer and the Grand Jury, on the 1st day of May, 1927, and directing the said herein named officials to be reinstated in the Hall of Justice, would respectfully report that careful consideration has been given to the subject matter expressed in the resolution, taking into consideration that the alterations heretofore ordered and now under progress in the Hall of Justice will be completed within a period of about 90 days, and will, in the opinion of your committee, more adequately accommodate the hereinbefore referred to officials of the City and County.

Your committee has realized the inconvenience to the public by reason of the removal of the said officials from the Hall of Justice, with the thought of remedying such conditions at the earliest opportunity.

Your committee feels that it is a necessity, by reason of the duties of the District Attorney, the Public Defender and the Adult Probation Officer, that such offices should be in the Hall of Justice, contiguous to the court located therein. With this aim in view your committee would respectfully report in favor of the passing of the resolution cancelling the present lease of the premises No. 333 Kearny street, to take effect the 1st day of May, 1927, and of directing the removal of the hereinbefore mentioned offices and respective officials to the Hall of Justice, and to occupy the certain rooms hereinafter to be designated and assigned to them by the Public Buildings and Lands Committee of your Board.

Very respectfully,
CHAS. J. POWERS.
LEWIS F. BYINGTON.

Supervisor Powers Presents:

Whereas, in the month of ——— a resolution was introduced by a Special Committee and passed by a majority vote of the Board of Supervisors, directing the District Attorney, Public Defender, Adult Probation Officer and the County Grand Jury to move from their rooms in the Hall of Justice to No. 333 Kearny street; and

Whereas, this committee recommended that a lease be entered into between the Board of Supervisors and Louis T. Samuels for said property at a rental of 15 cents per square foot, amounting to $74,081.25, for a term of five (5) years, with a stipulation that the lease can be cancelled by giving ninety (90) days' notice to said owner; and

Whereas, since entering into this lease alterations have been made in the Hall of Justice which will provide for 7591 square feet of floor space, together with 4870 square feet now unoccupied, making a total of 12,461 square feet, which is more than sufficient to take care of the needs of the Police Department and of the other departments now occupying temporary quarters at No. 333 Kearny street; and

Whereas, the service rendered to the public has been very inadequate, owing to the distance of several blocks between the Hall of Justice and No. 333 Kearny street, and numerous complaints have been made; and

Whereas, the expenditure of $74,081.25 for the rental of these offices is a direct wastage of public funds and should terminate as soon as possible; and

Whereas, these alterations will be completed in about ninety (90) days from date and far better offices and accommodations will be provided for the District Attorney, the Public Defender, the Adult Probation Officer and the County Grand Jury in the Hall of Justice than they now have at No. 333 Kearny street; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the cancellation clause in the lease between the City and County of San Francisco and the owner of the building known as No. 333 Kearny street, entered into on the 2d day of June, 1926, be terminated on the 1st day of May, 1927, and that the District Attorney, Public Defender, Adult Probation Officer and the County Grand Jury be directed to move from their offices at No. 333 Kearny street to the Hall of Justice and occupy rooms as designated by the Public Buildings and Lands Committee of this Board, and that proper furnishings and equipment be placed in said rooms.

Privilege of the Floor.

Captain Quinn, Police Department, and *Mr. Nichols*, representing

Adult Probation Bureau, were heard.

Motion.

Supervisor Havenner moved that Captain Quinn furnish plans, etc., showing needed space for Police Department in the Hall of Justice and an estimate of the requirements to take care of normal growth.

Motion *carried*.

Action Deferred.

Whereupon, on motion of Supervisor Havenner, the foregoing matters were *continued one week and made a Special Order of Business for* 4 *p. m., January* 31, 1927.

Bridge Matters.

Supervisor Havenner moved that Major Schultz and Major Jackson be invited by the Clerk to attend the meeting this afternoon when report of Special Bridge Committee would be under consideration.

Chas. Brennan was granted the privilege of the floor and warned the Board that consideration of bridge hearing might invalidate all the proceedings, at great loss to all the applicants.

Motion.

Supervisor Schmidt moved that the City Attorney be asked to attend at 2:30 p. m. to advise the Board in the matter.

Motion *carried*.

RECESS.

Whereupon, the Board took a recess until 2 p. m.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk.

REASSEMBLED.

The Board of Supervisors reassembled at 2 p. m.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Quorum present.

Supervisor Bath excused on account of illness.

His Honor Mayor Rolph being absent Supervisor Badaracco was elected to preside.

Special Bridge Committee Report.

City Attorney O'Toole, pursuant to request made at this morning's meeting attended the session this afternoon and was asked as to the legality of proceeding with bridge matters at this time.

Chas. Brennan, attorney for one of the applicants for a bridge franchise, was granted the privilege of the floor and reaffirmed his statement made at the morning session "that any contemplated action this afternoon by the Board of Supervisors, upon these bridge matters, might invalidate all so-called bridge proceedings or hearings that have heretofore been had, or that might come hereafter, upon this theory. A resolution was introduced by Supervisor Havenner, I believe last Monday, and by the Board referred to the Special Bridge Committee, and that Bridge Committee met Saturday and took the resolution under consideration, and, by a vote of six members of the Committee, a substitute resolution was adopted by that Committee, and it was the intention, as I understand it, of the Committee to refer that resolution back, the substitute resolution, to the Board today for its consideration. Now, at the last meeting of the Board at which these bridge hearings were on the calendar, they were continued until January 31st, and my understanding of the law is that the hearings must be continued from time to time before the entire Board, and I just raised the point that, if consideration is given to the bridge hearings today, this not being one of the adjourned meetings for the bridge hearings, it might tend to invalidate these proceedings, and I did it out of an abundance of caution. I merely made the suggestion to the gentlemen to have them act on it as they saw fit, after consulting with you."

City Attorney O'Toole: The provision of the Political Code relative to the granting of these franchises for bridges provides that the Board shall adjourn the hearing, and uses the word "adjourn", adjourn the hearings from time to time, and either take such testimony themselves, or refer the matter to some judicial officer of the State for the purpose of taking testimony. Now, you have been proceeding for several months, adjourning your hearings from time to time. At these hearings you have taken up the character and nature of the different plans and the character and nature of the different proposed sites. And I think at least, and I will advise you that, at least it would be extremely dangerous, even to the person who might be successful in having his particular plan adopted, to take any action toward selecting a site, or recommending the adoption of any particular plan, except in a regular

meeting to which the bridge hearings have been adjourned. I give you that information really out of an excess of precaution, to the end that that question, or that objection, will not be raised, whenever the Board finally decides what particular site and what particular plan they will adopt for the transbay bridge. Therefore, my advice to the Board is, as only a week intervenes between today and the time of the adjourned hearing, that the Board continue the matter until the adjourned hearing.

Action Deferred.

Whereupon, action was *deferred until the next meeting of the Board, January* 31, 1927.

SPECIAL ORDER—3 P. M.

Amending Zoning Ordinance, California Street, West of Van Ness Avenue.

Bill No. 7905, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings, and the locations of buildings designed for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

That Section 2 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, be changed so as to place the southerly side of California street, commencing at a point 134 feet westerly from Van Ness avenue and running thence westerly a distance of 106 feet and 3 inches, and extending to the depth of the rear lot lines, in the Light Industrial District instead of in the Second Residential District.

Protest.

Supervisor Hayden presented:

San Francisco, California,
January 14, 1927.

To the Honorable Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

We, the undersigned, the presidents of the nine Churches of Christ, Scientist, in the City of San Francisco, acting for and in behalf of the members of these churches, respectfully petition your honorable body to deny the pending application to rezone a portion of California street between Van Ness avenue and Franklin street so as to permit the construction of industrial buildings and the establishment and operation of automobile machine shops.

The area included is opposite First Church of Christ, Scientist. This church was erected in its present location because of the then existing residential conditions and because of the confidence that these conditions would be maintained in its immediate vicinity.

The invasion of the street by industries of the character contemplated will cause a very material depreciation in the value of the edifice which this Christian Science Church has erected in good faith. Because of the noise, smoke and fumes, our property will be made unsuitable for the purposes for which it is used. Because of the congestion it will cause on the street it will seriously affect traffic conditions.

One of the moving reasons for the construction of this church in its present location was because of the beautiful residential situation. Entirely apart from our immediate interest as the owners of the church property so directly affected, we are interested as citizens of San Francisco in preserving and maintaining the residential character of this section of our City.

Fred N. Bigelow, President, First Church of Christ, Scientist.

Louis Andresen, President, Second Church of Christ, Scientist.

Clara E. Morgan, President, Third Church of Christ, Scientist.

E. H. Normand, President, Fourth Church of Christ, Scientist.

Aline M. Hoffman, President, Fifth Church of Christ, Scientist.

Helen H. Ashton, President, Sixth Church of Christ, Scientist.

Joseph A. Hawkins, President, Seventh Church of Christ, Scientist.

Mildred G. Hackney, President, Eighth Church of Christ, Scientist.

F. G. Darlington, President, Ninth Church of Christ, Scientist.

Privilege of the Floor.

Messrs. Van Fleet, Joel, Madden, Jensen, Mrs. Robt. Dean, Wood, and *Girard* were granted the privilege of the floor and heard in the pending matter.

Passed for Printing.

Whereupon, the roll was called and the foregoing bill was *passed for printing* by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—13.

Noes — Supervisors Gallagher, Hayden, Marks—3.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Shannon—2.

Consideration of Mayor's Veto, Embarcadero Busses.

Consideration of the veto of his Honor the Mayor of Resolution No. 26582 (New Series), appropriating $47,742 out of the Municipal Railway Depreciation Fund to be expended by the Board of Public Works for Embarcadero busses.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication, from the Board of Public Works, stating that the Embarcadero bus line will be formally opened on Thursday, January 27, 1927, at 12 o'clock noon, at the Ferry Building.

The question being raised as to why White busses had not been purchased as provided in ordinance.

Mr. Reardon, President of the Board of Works, informed the Board that they now have four of the busses required and will soon have the other two. The Board of Works urchased these busses under the ordinance authorizing it to purchase them, which has never been rescinded.

Motion.

Supervisor Havenner moved that further action on the veto be deferred.

Motion *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Havenner, Marks, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—7.

Noes—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Hayden—3.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Byington, Deasy, Harrelson, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri—8.

Salary Increases.

On motion of Supervisor Gallagher, all resolutions for salary increases were *continued for one week.*

Federal Building in Civic Center.

On motion of Supervisor Havenner the Clerk was authorized to wire to the Postmaster-General and ask him if the Post Office Department, which now has under consideration the construction of a new $5,000,000 Federal Building in San Francisco, cannot be authorized to confer with the Board of Supervisors to ascertain whether it may not be possible by joint action of the City and the Federal government to make use of the site at the entrance of Market street to the Civic Center between Fulton and Grove streets.

ADJOURNMENT.

Whereupon, the Board at the hour of 7:35 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors May 16, 1927.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated, and approved as above recited.

JOHN S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco.

Vol. 22—New Series No. 5

Monday, January 31, 1927

Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

PUBLIC LIBRARY

The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
77 Sutter Street, S. F.

JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, JANUARY 31, 1927, 10 A. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, January 31, 1927, 10 a. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Marks —2.

Quorum present.

Supervisors Ill.

Supervisor Todd reported that he had been to see Supervisor Bath and that he is very ill and that his doctor says that it will be a month or possibly two months longer before he will be able to attend to any business.

The Board instructed the Clerk to send to Supervisor Bath an expression of sorrow of the members of the Board that he is ill and a hope for his speedy recovery.

The Clerk informed the Board that Supervisor Marks was ill and unable to attend the meeting of the Board.

His Honor Mayor Rolph presiding.

APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the preceding meeting was laid over for approval until next meeting.

ROLL CALL FOR PETITIONS FROM MEMBERS.

Requirements of Police Department in the Hall of Justice.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication, from Chief of Police D. J. O'Brien, transmitting report of Detective Sergeant Frank Latalipe as to floor space required for Police Department and the proposed location of sub-units of the Detective Bureau on the fourth floor of the Hall of Justice.

Subject matter *continued one week.*

Federal Building in Civic Center.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication, from his Honor the Mayor Rolph, suggesting that consideration be given to the old Hall of Records site, bounded by Hyde, Leavenworth, McAllister and Fulton streets, as a possible site for the proposed additional Federal Building in San Francisco.

Referred to the Public Buildings and Lands Committee.

Transfer of Title to Property 333 Kearny Street.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication, from Louis T. Samuels, advising that the property situated at 333 Kearny street, and now occupied by various departments heretofore accommodated in the Hall of Justice, has been sold to A. P. Jacobs and that title has been passed to him, and advising that any rents due under the lease are to be paid to said A. P. Jacobs excepting rents still due and unpaid for the months of June and July, 1926.

Continued one week.

Relative to the Death of Edward F. Moran, Attorney for Auditor.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication, from Ramsey Moran, thanking, on behalf of his family, the Board of Supervisors for their floral tribute and resolution of condolence on the occasion of the death of his lamented father, Edward F. Moran.

Ordered *filed.*

Protest Against Delay in Matter of the Construction of the Hetch Hetchy Water System.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication, from Adolph Uhl, protesting against alleged delay for which Board of Supervisors are charged as responsible in the matter of the construction of the Hetch Hetchy Water System.

Referred to the Public Utilities Committee.

Protest Against Changing Zone on California Street, West of Van Ness Avenue.

The following matters were received and ordered filed:

Protest of Fred N. Bigelow and eight other presidents of the nine Churches of Christ Scientist in San Francisco, praying for denial of the pending application to rezone a portion of California street between Van Ness avenue and Franklin street so as to permit the construction of industrial buildings and establishment and operation of automobile machine shops.

Also, *protest* of Charles W. Fay, Bruce Cornwall, C. S. S. Dutton, Mrs. A. W. Swinerton, Mrs. A. P. Hotaling, Jr., Arthur C. Parker, Florence G. Parker, Walker R. Props and Laura C. Props, against the invasion of California street between Van Ness avenue and Franklin street by industrial enterprises.

Also, *petition* of numerous property owners in the vicinity of California and Franklin streets to the same effect and purpose.

Protest Against Alleged Delay in Construction of Hetch Hetchy Water System.

January 30, 1927.

To the Board of Supervisors, City and County of San Francisco.

Gentlemen:

As a taxpayer of the City and County of San Francisco I respectfully protest the delays for which you are responsible in matter of construction of the Hetch Hetchy Water System—for example:

On October 4, 1926, the City Engineer filed with your Honorable Board a budget of costs covering construction of shafts, etc., in connection with the Coast Range tunnel.

The City Engineer many years ago adopted a tunnel through the Coast Range having a capacity of 250,000,000 gallons. Due consideration was given the matter of an alternative scheme of pipe lines. You are aware of this fact.

The budget of October 4 was passed along to the Public Utility Committee; but same has not been approved. No! In place of approving same the Public Utility Committee on October 27 requested of the City Engineer a report on a pipe line in place of tunnel through the Coast Range. Inquiry at the City Engineer's office indicates that the City Engineer furnished the Public Utility Committee with the desired information on November 3.

Four months have elapsed since the City Engineer filed his budget, positive fact that failure of the Public Utility Committee in approving this budget caused a further delay of four months in making Hetch Hetchy water available to San Francisco. This is regrettable and inexcusable, to say the least.

There was before this Board on January 1, 1926, the budget of the City Engineer, under date of December 26, 1925, for work on the Foothill and Coast Range, in the sum of $8,000,000. Last February you went over the ground with the City Engineer, but in place of approving the budget item covering work on the tunnel construction in the Coast Range, you demanded that certain drilling be done in the Coast Range. You were well within your rights in making the demand, absurd though it seems on the part of laymen to interfere (your interference has positively caused months of delay in construction work on the tunnel).

Gentlemen, the mistake you made and the criticism you are subjecting yourselves to, lies in the fact that you should have requested data on the pipe line when you went over the ground in February, 1926, in place of October 27th, when the shaft construction should be under way.

Failure on part of the present Board of Supervisors to approve the City Engineer's budget of December, 1925, makes you responsible for one year's delay in making Hetch Hetchy water available to San Francisco. (Failure on part of your predecessors to approve the City Engineer's budget of November, 1924, was also the cause of many months delay in making the water available.) Approval of the Engineer's budget in November, 1924, would have made the water available by December, 1929; but as matters stand at this writing, avoiding any further delays, the water will not be available until December, 1931.

Do you realize Hetch Hetchy water is no more available *now* to San Francisco than it was in 1916 when construction was begun? The time of making the water available to San Francisco depends entirely upon the construction of the tunnel through the Coast Range. If the work on all of the units of the Hetch Hetchy system had been carried on simultaneously, construction would be finished in five years, politics being barred. Although 10 million bonds were voted in October, 1924, for constructing Coast Range Tunnel, at this writing ac-

tual construction has not been started. Positively inexcusable to say the least.

Regarding pipe lines of 60 million gallons capacity in lieu of the tunnel with a capacity of 400 million gallon capacity, at first blush the 60 million gallon pipe lines at half the cost of the tunnel seems desirable; but when you have given careful consideration to the following it will be conclusive that the City Engineer's judgment in adopting the tunnel is wise and commendable. In *the first place*, although the cost of pipe lines with 60 million gallon capacity is one-half the cost of the tunnel, nevertheless the operating costs, pumping, etc., connected with the pipe lines will equal the additional interest covering the greater cost of the tunnel. *In the second place*, should our expectations regarding a *greater San Francisco* be realized sooner than we expect, with a corresponding increase in population, the 60 million gallon supply must be augmented by an additional 60 million gallons or the tunnel then built. A second unit of 60 million gallons would make the pipe lines decidedly more costly than the tunnel. Operating costs are eliminated in the tunnel as the water flows by gravity.

In order to avoid further delay I respectfully request that your Honorable Board will give immediate consideration to approval of the City Engineer's budget of October 4, 1926.

Yours truly,
(Sgd.) ADOLPH UHL.

Referred to Public Utilities Committee.

Leave of Absence, W. W. Wymore, Board of Health.

The following presented and read by the Clerk:

January 28, 1927.

To the Honorable, the Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Calif.

Gentlemen:

In accordance with the provisions of Section 3, Article XVI of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, I herewith respectfully request permission to absent myself from the City and County of San Francisco and the State of California for a period of six months from February 1, 1927.

In conformity with Charter provisions I have this day forwarded a similar communication to his Honor James Rolph, Jr., Mayor, City and County of San Francisco.

Very truly yours,
W. W. WYMORE, M. D.,
Member Board of Health.

Whereupon, the following resolution was presented and *adopted* by the following vote:

Resolution No. 26744 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Hon. W. W. Wymore, M. D., member of the Board of Health, is hereby granted a leave of absence, for a period of six months, commencing February 1, 1927, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Marks —2.

Leave of Absence, J. W. Ward, Board of Health.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

San Francisco, Cal.,
January 24, 1927.

To the Hon. Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco, California.

Gentlemen:

Application has been made to me by the Hon. James W. Ward, M. D., member of the Department of Public Health, for a leave of absence, with permission to absent himself from the State of California for a period of sixty days, commencing January 24, 1927.

Will you please concur with me in granting this leave of absence?

Respectfully yours,
JAMES ROLPH, JR.,
Mayor.

Whereupon, the following resolution was presented and *adopted* by the following:

Resolution No. 26743 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Hon. James W. Ward, M. D., member of the Department of Public Health, is hereby granted a leave of absence, for a period of sixty days, commencing January 24, 1927, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Marks —2.

UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

Final Passage.

The following matters, heretofore passed for printing, were taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Authorizations.

Resolution No. 26745 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned funds in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

Municipal Railway Fund.

(1) Crown Oil Company, gasoline for Municipal Railways (claim dated Jan. 13, 1927), $1,342.75.

(2) Granfield Tire and Supply Company, cord tires for Municipal Railways (claim dated Jan. 13, 1927), $550.

(3) Market Street Railway Company, reimbursement under agreement of December 12, 1918 (claim dated Jan. 13, 1927), $1,605.93.

(4) Market Street Railway Company, electric power furnished Municipal Railways (claim dated Jan. 13, 1927), $3,273.92.

Municipal Railway Depreciation Reserve Fund.

(5) Jones Bros. Asbestos Suppy Company, Inc., rail insulation for Municipal Railways (claim dated Jan. 13, 1927), $795.

School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1918.

(6) Remington Typewriter Company, one bookkeeping machine for High School of Commerce (claim dated Jan. 18, 1927), $662.

Sunset Tunnel Assessment Fund.

(7) City Title Insurance Company, title insurance premiums and expenses in connection with acquisition of properties required for construction of Duboce (Sunset) Tunnel (claim dated Jan. 14, 1927), $898.10.

Hetch Hetchy Water Bonds, Issue 1925.

(8) Myers-Whaley Co., conveyor chains, etc., for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Jan. 13, 1927), $640.61.

(9) Safety Cable Company, cable for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Jan. 13, 1927), $3,224.

(10) Thomson, Wood & Hoffman, attorneys and counsellors at law, proportion of fee payable for final opinion on 1925 Hetch Hetchy Water Bonds (claim dated Jan. 24, 1927), $600.

Hetch Hetchy Operative Revenue Fund.

(11) John J. Dailey, legal services for January, in valuation of San Francisco electric properties (claim dated Jan 12, 1927), $850.

(12) N. Randall Ellis, engineering services for January, in valuation of San Francisco electric properties (claim dated Jan. 12, 1927), $750.

(13) H. G. Butler, expert services in severance damage, in connection with valuation of San Francisco electric properties (claim dated Jan. 12, 1927), $900.

Park Fund.

(14) J. A. Bryant, part of eighth payment for construction of basketball pavilion, Kezar Stadium (claim dated Jan. 21, 1927), $2,475.78.

General Fund, 1925-1926.

(15) J. A. Byarnt, part of eighth payment for construction of basketball pavilion, Kezar Stadium (claim dated Jan. 21, 1927), $5,018.22.

Duplicate Tax Fund.

(16) J. S. Herman, refund of duplicate taxes paid for year 1926-1927 (claim dated Jan. 14, 1927), $763.66.

California Palace Legion of Honor —(Appropriation 61).

(17) Frederick M. Gilbred, standards and carpet cords for California Palace Legion of Honor (claim dated Jan. 21, 1927), $565.

General Fund, 1926-1927.

(18) Spring Valley Water Company, water for Fire Department buildings, removing and installing hydrants (claim dated Dec. 31, 1926), $1,235.35.

(19) Crown Oil Company, gasoline for Fire Department (claim dated Dec. 31, 1926), $1,111.61.

(20) Pacific Gas and Electric Company, gas and electric service for Fire Department buildings (claim dated Dec. 31, 1926), $2,070.30.

(21) Shell Company, fuel oil for Fire Department (claim dated Dec. 31, 1926), $1,518.76.

(22) Thompson Bros., wheels and tires for Fire Department (claim dated Dec. 31, 1926), $662.40.

(23) Del Monte Meat Company, meats for County Jails (claim dated Jan. 17, 1927), $1,312.37.

(24) Langendorf Baking Company, bread for County Jails (claim dated Jan. 17, 1927), $1,116.03.

(25) Pacific States Electric Company, wire for Department of Electricity (claim dated Dec. 31, 1926), $1,160.27.

(26) Acme Gravel Company, concrete mix for street repair (claim dated Jan. 13, 1927), $585.02.

(27) Santa Cruz Portland Cement Company, cement for street repair (claim dated Jan. 13, 1927), $1,518.01.

(28) Spring Valley Water Com-

pany, water for street cleaning (claim dated Jan. 13, 1927), $627.33.

(29) Muir & Symon, one tank buoy at outlet for Baker's Beach sewer (claim dated Jan. 18, 1927), $511.

(30) Old Mission Portland Cement Company, cement for street repair (claim dated Jan. 18, 1927), $674.46.

(31) Acme Gravel Company, concrete mix for street repair (claim dated Jan. 18, 1927), $830.58.

(32) California Petroleum Corporation, fuel oil for Civic Center Power House (claim dated Jan. 18, 1927), $1,518.96.

(33) H. F. Dugan, drugs for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Jan. 20, 1927), $799.88.

(34) Langendorf Baking Company, bread for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Jan. 20, 1927), $1,158.24.

(35) California Meat Company, meats for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Jan. 20, 1927), $1,456.76.

(36) South San Francisco Packing and Provision Company, meats for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Jan. 20, 1927), $1,330.93.

(37) Del Monte Meat Company, meats for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Jan. 20, 1927), $1,524.82.

(38) San Francisco Dairy Company, milk for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Jan. 20, 1927), $3,889.98.

(39) Wisley-Bennett Co., eggs for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Jan. 20, 1927), $2,136.66.

(40) Morris & Co., butter for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Jan. 30, 1927), $1,979.13.

(41) C. Nauman & Co., potatoes for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Jan. 20, 1927), $731.56.

(42) California Petroleum Company, fuel oil for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Jan. 20, 1927), $2,589.32.

(43) Spring Valley Water Company, water for Relief Home (claim dated Jan. 20, 1927), $777.04.

(44) Baumgarten Bros., meats, Relief Home (claim dated Jan. 20, 1927), $508.70.

(45) California Petroleum Company, fuel oil for Relief Home (claim dated Jan. 20, 1927), $2.06.

(46) San Francisco Dairy Company, milk for Relief Home (claim dated Jan. 20, 1927), $2,013.76.

(47) The Colson Company, trucks for Relief Home (claim dated Jan. 20, 1927), $564.02.

(48) Market Street Railway Company, payment for lands bounded by Frederick, Willard, Golden Gate Park and Arguello boulevard, as per Ordinance No. 5830 (New Series) (claim dated Jan. 24, 1927), $7,750.

(49) Market street Railway Company, refund of taxes paid on lands bounded by Frederick and Willard streets, Golden Gate Park and Arguello boulevard (claim dated Jan. 18, 1927), $594.75.

(50) Pacific Gas and Electric Company, street lighting for December, 1926 (claim dated Jan. 24, 1927), $53,173.75.

(51) San Francisco Bulletin, official advertising (claim dated Jan 24, 1927), $1,597.35.

(52) San Francisco Bulletin, official advertising (claim dated Jan. 19, 1927), $575.62.

(53) Sonoma State Home, maintenance of feeble-minded (claim dated Jan. 24, 1927), $8,615.

Playground Fund.

(54) Klawans & McMillin, tennis nets, rackets, etc., for playgrounds (claim dated Jan. 19, 1927), $2,548.

Auditorium Fund.

(55) Musical Association of San Francisco, services of San Francisco Symphony Orchestra for Pop Concert of Jan. 20, 1927 (claim dated Jan. 24, 1927), $2,000.

Publicity and Advertising—Appropriation No. 55.

(56) Thos. F. Boyle, treasurer of State Convention of County Officers at San Francisco; expense incurred at convention of State officers of Auditor, Tax Collector and Recorder, held in San Francisco; per vouchers with Auditor (claim dated Jan. 21, 1927); for publicity and advertising of San Francisco, $1,500.

(57) Capital Decorating and Manufacturing Company, for decorating of Market street from the Ferry Building to Ninth street during the Community Chest drive, for the publicity and advertising of San Francisco (claim dated Jan. 24, 1927), $850.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Marks —2.

Appropriation, $900, Payment to Ray T. Higgins, Land Required for Playground Purposes.

Resolution No. 26746 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $900 be and the same is hereby authorized in payment out of Playground Fund to Ray T. Higgins, being payment for part of Lot 4, in Block "S," Railroad Homestead Association, required for playground purposes (Ocean View playground).

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco,

Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Marks—2.

Appropriations for Safety Lane Markers and Repairs to Rubble Wall Supporting Roadway, Fort Mason Pumping Station.

Resolution No. 26747 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned funds for the following purposes, to-wit:

Traffic Signals, Etc., Budget Item No. 69.

(1) For installation of safety lane markers, Contract No. 2, per award to Lottie M. Neil, $5,850.

Street Work in Front of City Property, Budget Item No. 36.

(2) For repairs to rubble wall supporting roadway adjacent to Pumping Station at Fort Mason (to credit of Appropriation 29-B), $1,500.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Marks—2.

Oil and Boiler Permits.

Resolution No. 26748 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits be and the same are hereby granted:

Oil Tanks.

Christiansen Bros., north side of Bay street, 40 feet west of Divisadero street, 1500 gallons capacity.

D. J. Clancy, southeast corner of Broderick and Greenwich streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

Henry Ernst & Sons, northwest corner of Twelfth avenue and California street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Vic Gullmes, north side of Broderick street, 90 feet west of Grove street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Chas. A. Johnson, east side of Laguna street, 50 feet north of Chestnut street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Chas. A. Johnson, east side of Laguna street, 100 feet north of Chestnut street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Chas. A. Johnson, east side of Laguna street, 150 feet north of Chestnut street, 1500 gallons capacity.

J. G. Kincannon, east side of Larkin street, 100 feet north of Turk street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Frank J. Klimm Co., southwest corner of Sutter and Polk streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

P. Mirovingo, south side of Brosnan street, 300 feet west of Valencia street, 1500 gallons capacity.

N. J. Nelson, north side of Toledo way, 63 feet west of Mallorca way, 1500 gallons capacity.

Thomas Quistad, north side of Alhambra street, 110 feet west of Cervantes boulevard, 1500 gallons capacity.

Boilers.

J. W. Canepa, 1299 Bush street, 5 horse-power.

L. W. Critchlow, 266 Dore street, 25 horse-power.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits shall become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Marks—2.

Garage Permits.

Resolution No. 26749 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Ernest B. Bridgett be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred public garage permit, heretofore granted John C. Wormuth by Resolution No. 20558 (New Series) for premises at 1717 Ocean avenue.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Marks—2.

Resolution No. 26750 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Harry Morgan be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred public garage, heretofore granted Manfred Johnson by Resolution No. 21810 (New Series), for premises at 1271 Forty-eighth avenue.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hay-

den, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Marks —2.

Parking Station Permit.

Resolution No. 26751 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Louis Ross be and he is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to operate and maintain an automobile parking station on the south side of Mission street, 91 feet 8 inches west of Main street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Marks —2.

Blasting Permit.

Resolution No. 26752 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Eaton & Smith are hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to explode blasts while grading on Caine avenue between Lakeview avenue and Lobos street, provided said permittee shall execute and file a good and sufficient bond in the sum of $2,500 as fixed by the Board of Public Works and approved by his Honor the Mayor, in accordance with Ordinance No. 1204; provided, also, that said blasts shall be exploded only between the hours of 7 a. m. and 6 p. m., and that the work of blasting shall be performed to the satisfaction of the Board of Public Works, and that if any of the conditions of this resolution be violated by said Eaton & Smith, then the privileges and all the rights accruing thereunder shall immediately become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Marks —2.

Establishing Set-back Lines, Forty-second Avenue and Ulloa Street.

Bill No. 7883, Ordinance No. 7396 (New Series), as follows:

Establishing set-back lines along portions of Forty-second avenue and Ulloa street.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby recited that on the 27th day of December, 1926, the Board of Supervisors adopted Resolution of Intention No. 129 to establish set-back lines along Forty-second avenue and Ulloa street, and fixed the 24th day of January, 1927, at 10 o'clock a. m., at the chambers of the Board of Supervisors, as the time and place for hearing objections thereto; that thereafter and more than ten days prior to said hearing the said resolution was published and notices of the passage of said resolution were posted along the line of said streets, in time, form and manner required by Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series); that said hearing was held at the time and place aforesaid, and that all objections made at said hearing have been properly overruled.

Section 2. Pursuant to the foregoing recitals and in accordance with the provisions of said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), set-back lines are hereby established as follows:

Along the easterly side of Forty-second avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Anza street and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 14 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 20 feet; thence northerly 125 feet, said set-back line to be 25 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 21½ feet; thence northerly 75 feet, said set-back line to be 25 feet.

Along both sides of Ulloa street between Thirty-third avenue and Thirty-fourth avenue, said set-back lines to be 8 feet.

As shown on the maps filed in the office of the Board of Supervisors and to which reference is hereby made for further particulars.

Section 3. No structures shall be erected in the area between said set-back lines and the street lines except as provided in said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), and the penalties for the violation of this ordinance shall be as fixed by the ordinance aforesaid.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Marks —2.

Establishing Set-back Lines, Ulloa Street.

Bill No. 7884, Ordinance No. 7397 (New Series), as follows:

Establishing set-back lines along portions of Ulloa street.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby recited that on the 24th day of December, 1926, the Board of Supervisors adopted Resolution of Intention No. 130 to establish set-back lines along Ulloa street, and fixed the 24th day of January, 1927, at 10 o'clock a. m., at the chambers of the Board of Supervisors, as the time and place for hearing objections thereto; that thereafter and more than ten days prior to said hearing the said resolution was published and notices of the passage of said resolution were posted along the line of said street, in time, form and manner required by Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series); that said hearing was held at the time and place aforesaid, and that all objections made at said hearing have been property overruled.

Section 2. Pursuant to the foregoing recitals and in accordance with the provisions of said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), set-back lines are hereby established as follows:

Along the northerly side of Ulloa street, commencing at Thirty-seventh avenue and running thence easterly to a point 107½ feet westerly from Thirty-sixth avenue, said set-back line to be 8 feet; along the southerly side of Ulloa street between Thirty-sixth avenue and Thirty-seventh avenue, said set-back line to be 8 feet.

Along both sides of Ulloa street between Thirty-seventh avenue and Thirty-eighth avenue, said set-back lines to be 8 feet.

Along both sides of Ulloa street between Thirty-eighth avenue and Thirty-ninth avenue, said set-back lines to be 8 feet.

Along both sides of Ulloa street between Thirty-ninth avenue and Fortieth avenue, said set-back lines to be 8 feet.

Along both sides of Ulloa street between Fortieth avenue and Forty-first avenue, said set-back lines to be 8 feet.

Along both sides of Ulloa street between Forty-first avenue and Forty-second avenue, said set-back lines to be 8 feet.

As shown on the maps filed in the office of the Board of Supervisors and to which reference is hereby made for further particulars.

Section 3. No structures shall be erected in the area between said set-back lines and the street lines except as provided in said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), and the penalties for the violation of this ordinance shall be as fixed by the ordinance aforesaid.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Marks —2.

Amending Zoning Ordinance, Northwest Corner Thomas Avenue and Jennings Street.

Bill No. 7885, Ordinance No. 7398 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings, and the locations of buildings designed for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Section 11 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place the northwest corner of Thomas avenue and Jennings street, for a distance of 35 feet on Thomas avenue and 100 feet on Jennings street, in the Second Residential District instead of in the First Residential District.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Marks —2.

Amending Zoning Ordinance, California Street, West of Van Ness Avenue.

Bill No. 7905, Ordinance No. 7399 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings, and the locations of buildings designed for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

That Section 2 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, be changed so as to place the southerly side of California street, commencing at a point 134 feet westerly from Van Ness avenue and running thence westerly a distance of 106 feet and 3 inches, and extending to the depth of the rear lot lines, in the Light Industrial District instead of in the Second Residential District.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Marks —2.

Amending Ordinance No. 1008, "Building Law."

Bill No. 7886, Ordinance No. 7400 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1008 (New Series), known as the "Building Law," of the City and County of San Francisco, approved December 22, 1909, by adding a new section thereto to be known as Section 50a; and also amending Section 100 and Section 103 of said ordinance.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1008 (New Series), known as the "Building Law," of the City and County of San Francisco, approved December 22, 1909, is hereby amended to read as follows:

Welding for Structural Steel Members.

Section 50a. Where electric arc or spot welded connections are used, subject to compression or shear, they shall be designed to have a factor of safety of 6 and in no case shall the allowable stress exceed 6000 pounds per square inch.

Where welding is used in buildings over one story high all column connections shall be riveted and all columns and column ties shall be solid structural shapes or structural shapes assembled together with riveted connections.

Section 2.

Reinforced Concrete Floors.

Section 100. Floors of reinforced concrete built in conformity with the requirements for reinforced concrete as outlined under Class B buildings may be built in Class A buildings.

No concrete slab shall have a span exceeding sixteen (16) feet, and in buildings over 86 feet in height no slab shall be over ten (10) feet span.

No slab or stone concrete shall be less than three and one-half inches thick, except roof slabs, which may be three inches thick. Slabs over eight feet span and less than twelve feet span shall not be less than 4½ inches thick. Slabs over twelve feet span shall not be less than 5 inches thick. Slabs may be built of concrete in which broken brick, terra cotta or cinders are used in place of stone, provided they are made not less than 4 inches thick for floors and not less than 3½ inches thick for roofs.

Where supporting steel beams are not more than 24 inches center to center and the superimposed load does not exceed 125 pounds per square foot, roof and floor slabs may be constructed not less than 2 inches thick of Portland cement concrete with stone, brick, terra cotta or cinder aggregate.

Such slabs may be supported on a rib metal reinforcing lath weighing not less than 4 pounds per square yard. If not supported on ribe metal lath the 2-inch slab shall be reinforced with .06 square inches of steel per foot of width transverse to the beams and .15 longitudinal.

Such 2-inch slab construction shall be limited to 12 stories above the sidewalk or to the upper 12 stories of buildings 13, 14 or 15 stories above the sidewalk.

Section 3.

Fireproofing.

Section 103. All parts of the steel frame, including cast iron columns, shall be covered with fireproofing. The fireproofing shall be continuous and no pipes of any description shall be laid in any fireproofing nor shall any fireproofing be cut to allow the passage of any pipe or duct through any part except floor slabs.

In roofs where space is left between the ceiling and roof beams, fireproofing may be omitted from the steel frame, except around columns, and where columns project above the roof they shall be fireproof, but this shall not apply to the exposed beam supporting tanks, etc.

In floor slabs where air space is left between the floor slab and ceiling and said ceiling is not less than ¾ inches thick composed of a scratch coat of Portland cement placter ¼ inch thick and two coats of gypsum plaster, supported on metal lath, fireproofing may be

omitted from the steel frame except around columns and beams and girders framing into columns.

Section 4. This ordinance shall take effect and be in force immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Marks —2.

Fixing Sidewalk Widths on Sussex Street Between Castro and Diamond Streets.

Bill No. 7887, Ordinance No. 7401 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section, to be numbered nine hundred and forty-eight.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Board of Public Works filed in this office January 11, 1927, by adding thereto a new section, to be numbered nine hundred and forty-eight, to read as follows:

Section 948. The width of sidewalks on Sussex street between Castro and Diamond streets shall be eight (8) feet.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Marks —2.

Fixing Sidewalk Widths on Sussex Street Between Diamond and Elk Streets.

Bill No. 7888, Ordinance No. 7402 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section, to be numbered nine hundred and forty-seven.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Board of Public Works filed in this office January 14, 1927, by adding thereto a new section, to be numbered nine hundred and forty-seven, to read as follows:

Section 947. The width of sidewalks on Sussex street between Diamond and Elk streets shall be ten (10) feet.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Marks —2.

Fixing Sidewalk Widths on Diamond Street Between Thirtieth and Sussex Streets.

Bill No. 7889, Ordinance No. 7403 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section, to be numbered nine hundred and forty-nine.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Board of Public Works filed in this office January 11, 1927, by adding thereto a new section, to be numbered nine hundred and forty-nine, to read as follows:

Section 949. The width of sidewalks on Diamond street between Thirtieth and Sussex streets shall be eight (8) feet.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Marks —2.

Fixing Sidewalks Widths on Schwerin Street Between Sunnydale Avenue and the County Line.

Bill No. 7890, Ordinance No. 7404 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section, to be numbered nine hundred and forty-six.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Board of Public Works filed in this office January 8, 1927, by adding thereto a new section, to be numbered nine hundred and forty-six, to read as follows:

Section 946. The width of sidewalks on Schwerin street between Sunnydale avenue and the County Line shall be twelve (12) feet.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Marks —2.

Fixing Sidewalk Widths on O'Farrell Street and on Ellis Street Between Franklin and Divisadero Streets.

Bill No. 7891, Ordinance No. 7405 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto new sections to be numbered nine hundred and forty-four and nine hundred and forty-five.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Board of Public Works filed in this office January 8, 1927, by adding thereto new sections, to be numbered nine hundred and forty-four and nine hundred and forty-five, to read as follows:

Section 944. The width of sidewalks on O'Farrell street between Franklin street and Divisadero street shall be twelve (12) feet.

Section 945. The width of sidewalks on Ellis street between Franklin street and Divisadero street shall be twelve (12) feet.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Marks —2.

Fixing Sidewalk Widths on Capitol Avenue Between Montana Street and Thrift Street.

Bill No. 7892, Ordinance No. 7406 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section, to be numbered nine hundred and forty-three.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Board of Public Works filed in this office January 8, 1927, by adding thereto a new section, to be numbered nine hundred and forty-three, to read as follows:

Section 943. The width of sidewalks on Capitol avenue between Montana street and Thrift street shall be twelve (12) feet.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Marks —2.

Establishing Grades on Fitzgerald Avenue Between Charter Oak Avenue and a Line Southeasterly Therefrom.

Bill No. 7893, Ordinance No. 7407 (New Series), as follows:

Establishing grades on Fitzgerald avenue between Charter Oak avenue and a line at right angles to the southwesterly line of, 330.75 feet southeasterly therefrom.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The grades on Fitzgerald avenue between Charter Oak avenue and a line at right angles to the southwesterly line of, 330.75 feet southeasterly therefrom are hereby established at points hereinafter named and at heights above city base as hereinafter stated, in accordance with recommendation of the Board of Public Works filed January 13, 1927.

Fitzgerald Avenue.

On a line at right angles to the southwesterly line of, 330.75 feet southeasterly from Charter Oak avenue, 38 feet.

On a line at right angles to the southwesterly line of, 188.79 feet southeasterly from Charter Oak avenue, 40.20 feet.

On a line at right angles to the southwesterly line of, 38.79 feet southeasterly from Charter Oak avenue, 44.70 feet.

On Fitzgerald avenue between Charter Oak avenue and a line at right angles to the southwesterly line of, 330.75 feet southeasterly therefrom, be established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor and the present official grades of Charter Oak avenue at Fitzgerald avenue.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Marks —2.

Ordering Street Work on Chestnut Street, Baker to Lyon Streets.

Bill No. 7894, Ordinance No. 7408 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors January 11, 1927, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in three installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of *Chestnut street from Baker street to Lyon street* by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks six feet in width where artificial stone sidewalks six feet or more in width have not already been constructed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Marks —2.

Ordering Street Work on Twenty-eighth Street Between Castro and Diamond Streets.

Bill No. 7895, Ordinance No. 7409 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors January 11, 1927, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board

of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, made be paid in twenty installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of *Twenty-eighth street between Castro street and Diamond street* by grading to official line and grade; by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks six (6) feet in width adjacent to the curb; by the construction of the necessary side sewers, and by the construction of a concrete pavement on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Marks —2.

Ordering Street Work on Moultrie Street Between Eugenia and Powhattan Avenues.

Bill No. 7896, Ordinance No. 7410 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors January 11, 1927, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in twenty installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of *Moultrie street between Eugenia avenue and Powhattan avenue* where not already improved, by the construction of concrete curbs; and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and a 1½-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Marks —2.

Ordering Street Work on Peabody Street Between Leland and Visitacion Avenues.

Bill No. 7897, Ordinance No. 7411 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors January 11, 1927, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of

Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in twenty installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of *Peabody street between Leland avenue and Visitacion avenue*, where not already improved, by the construction of concrete curbs where not already constructed, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and a 1½-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface on the roadway thereof, where not already constructed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Marks —2.

Ordering Street Work on Twenty-sixth Avenue Between Santiago and Rivera Streets.

Bill No. 7898, Ordinance No. 7412 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors January 11, 1927, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of the following portions of *Twenty-sixth avenue between Rivera and Santiago streets*: The easterly one-half of Twenty-sixth avenue from Rivera street to a line parallel with and 350 feet southerly therefrom, by the construction of concrete curbs, by the construction of a 14-foot concrete strip and asphaltic concrete pavements as may be required, and by the construction of that portion of an 8-inch ironstone pipe sewer with accompanying manholes, Y branches and side-sewers that said frontages would be liable for.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Marks —2.

Ordering Street Work on Twenty-second Avenue Between Lawton and Moraga Streets.

Bill No. 7899, Ordinance No. 7413 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors January

11, 1927, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of *Twenty-second avenue between Lawton street and Moraga street*, and of *Moraga street between Twenty-second avenue and Twenty-third avenue*, where not already improved, by the construction of concrete curbs and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement consisting of a six (6) inch concrete foundation and one and one-half (1½) inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Marks —2.

Ordering Street Work on Ney Street Between Craut and Congdon Streets.

Bill No. 7900, Ordinance No. 7414 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors January 11, 1927, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in three installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The construction of full width artificial stone sidewalks on *Ney street between Craut street and Congdon street* where full width sidewalks have not already been constructed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Marks —2.

Ordering Street Work on Portions of Forty-seventh Avenue Between Santiago and Taraval Streets.

Bill No. 7901, Ordinance No. 7415 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors December

6, 1926, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of the following portions of *Forty-seventh avenue between Santiago and Taraval streets*: The easterly one-half of Forty-seventh avenue from the southerly line of Santiago street to a line parallel with and 100 feet southerly therefrom, the easterly one-half of Forty-seventh avenue from a line parallel with and 150 feet southerly from Santiago street to a line parallel with and 300 feet southerly from Santiago street, the easterly one-half of Forty-seventh avenue from Taraval street to a line parallel with and 225 feet northerly therefrom, the westerly one-half of Forty-seventh avenue from a line parallel with and 100 feet northerly from Taraval street to a line parallel with and 175 feet northerly from Taraval street, by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of that portion of a 12-inch ironstone pipe sewer, one manhole and accompanying side sewers that said frontages would be liable for, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and a 1½-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface, on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Marks —2.

Ordering Street Work on Twenty-ninth Street Between Diamond and Castro Streets.

Bill No. 7902, Ordinance No. 7416 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors January 11, 1927, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in three installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The construction of full width artificial stone sidewalks on *Twenty-ninth street between Diamond street and Castro street*, where artificial stone sidewalks six feet or more in width have not already been constructed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hay-

den, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Marks —2.

Ordering Street Work on Geary Street Between Twenty-fourth and Twenty-eighth Avenues.

Bill No. 7903, Ordinance No. 7417 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors January 11, 1927, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in three installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The construction of artificial stone sidewalks of the full official width on *Geary street between Twenty-fourth avenue and Twenty-eighth avenue*, where artificial stone sidewalks of the full official width have not already been constructed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Marks —2.

Ordering Street Work on Thirty-first Avenue Between Fulton and Cabrillo Streets.

Bill No. 7904, Ordinance No. 7418 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors January 11, 1927, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in three installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The construction of artificial stone sidewalks six feet in width on *Thirty-first avenue between Fulton and Cabrillo streets* where artificial stone sidewalks six feet or more in width have not already been constructed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Marks —2.

PRESENTATION OF BILLS AND ACCOUNTS.

Demands not required by law to be passed to print, and amounting to $56,466.14, were allowed and ordered paid by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Marks —2.

Urgent Necessity.

Spring Valley Water Co., water, horse troughs, $44.01.

Edna Nelson et al., compensation award, $104.15.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Marks —2.

NEW BUSINESS.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Authorizations.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned funds for the following purposes, to-wit:

Park Fund.

(1) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., gas and electric service for parks (claim dated Jan. 28, 1927), $707.87.

(2) Spring Valley Water Co., water furnished parks (claim dated Jan. 28, 1927), $857.68.

(3) Berringer & Russell, hay, etc., for parks (claim dated Jan. 28, 1927), $694.22.

Auditorium Fund.

(4) Selby C. Oppenheimer, for services of Maier and Pattison, soloists, Municipal Pop Concert of January 20th (claim dated Jan. 31, 1927), $1,200.

Publicity and Advertising (Appropriation 55).

(5) Capitol Decorating & Mfg. Co., for decorating of Market street during visit of Holland battleship "Sumatra," for the publicity and advertising of San Francisco (claim dated Jan. 21, 1927), $750.

Hetch Hetchy Water Bonds, Issue 1925.

(6) Beronio Lumber Co., lumber for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Jan. 20, 1927), $1,994.01.

(7) Edison Storage Battery Supply Co., storage battery cells, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Jan. 20, 1927), $3,590.82.

(8) The Giant Powder Co., Con., explosives for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Jan. 20, 1927), $5,347.43.

(9) The B. F. Goodrich Rubber Co., conveyor belt, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Jan. 20, 1927), $615.60.

(10) Ingersoll-Rand Co. of California, machinery parts, Hetch Hetch construction (claim dated Jan. 20, 1927), $2,024.72.

(11) The Charles Nelson Co., lumber for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Jan. 20, 1927), $504.06.

(12) State Compensation Insurance Fund, insurance premium on Hetch Hetchy employees (claim dated Jan. 20, 1927), $4,458.24.

(13) General Electric Co., machinery parts, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Jan. 21, 1927), $1,042.84.

(14) Ingersoll-Rand Co. of California, machine parts, etc., Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Jan. 21, 1927), $1,335.48.

(15) The Charles Nelson Co., lumber, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Jan. 21, 1927), $510.82.

Municipal Railway Fund.

(16) Christenson Lumber Co., redwood ties for Municipal Railways (claim dated Jan. 22, 1927), $2,095.08.

School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923.

(17) Anderson & Ringrose, extra work, general construction of the additions to Guadalupe School (claim dated Jan. 15, 1927), $613.

Hetch Hetchy Water Bonds, Issue 1925.

(18) A. Guthrie & Co., first payment, construction of aqueduct tunnels in Foothill Division, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Jan. 26, 1927), $21,335.21.

County Road Fund.

(19) Fay Improvement Co., third payment, improvement of Geneva avenue from Prague street to county line (claim dated Jan. 26, 1927), $9,400.

General Fund, 1925-1926.

(20) Eaton & Smith, City's share of one-half of cost of improvement of Virginia avenue from Eugenie avenue to Winfield street (claim dated Jan. 26, 1927), $4,294.97.

(21) C. B. Eaton, final payment for improvement of City property at Twenty-sixth and Douglass streets (claim dated Jan. 26, 1927), $2,338.20.

General Fund, 1926-1927.

(22) Schultz Construction Co., fourth payment, hauling and laying high pressure water mains in Butchertown District (claim dated Jan. 26, 1927), $10,000.

(23) California Rock Co., gravel for street repair (claim dated Jan. 19, 1927), $1,934.62.

(24) California Petroleum Corporation, fuel oil for street repair (claim dated Jan. 19, 1927), $655.80.

(25) Pacific Portland Cement Co., cement for street repair (claim dated Jan. 19, 1927), $1,471.42.

(26) Western Rock Products Co., sand for street repair (claim dated Jan. 19, 1927), $3,375.16.

(27) California Petroleum Corporation, fuel oil, Hall of Justice (claim dated Jan. 21, 1927), $586.84.

(28) Symon Brothers, teams for street cleaning (claim dated Jan. 22, 1927), $799.25.

(29) Spring Valley Water Co., water service for Fire Department hydrants (claim dated Jan. 27, 1927), $14,426.40.

(30) Haas Brothers, sugar, Relief Home (claim dated Jan. 25, 1927), $2,148.32.

(31) Hastings Clothing Co., nurses' capes, S. F. Hospital (claim dated Dec. 31, 1926), $687.50.

(32) Chas. Brown & Sons, crockery, Relief Home (claim dated Jan. 20, 1927), $2,207.14.

Appropriations for the Acquisition of Properties Required for the Opening of Bernal Cut.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized in payment out of "Bernal Cut Rights of Way," Budget Item No. 77, Fiscal Year 1925-1926, in payment to the hereinafter named persons; being payments for properties required for the opening of Bernal Cut, to-wit:

(1) To Henry Krohn and Emma Krohn, for portion of Lot 42, in Jones Addition to Fairmount Tract; per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 26723, New Series (claim dated Jan. 27, 1927), $700.

(2) To Agostino Cassassa, for portion of Lot 1, of Belle Roche City Block 1, including moving of building; per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 26721, New Series (claim dated Jan. 27, 1927), $2,000.

Appropriations for Acquisition of Properties Required for Street and Boulevard Purposes.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized in payment out of County Road Fund (appropriations heretofore made), in payment to the hereinafter named persons; being payments for properties required for street and boulevard improvements, to-wit:

(1) To Thomas J. Lyons and Marie Lyons, for portions of Lots Nos. 65 and 66 in Block "P", Thornton & Williams portion of Silver Terrace, as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 26720 (New Series), and required for the opening of the Bay Shore boulevard (claim dated Jan. 27, 1927), $9,700.

(2) To Margaret Keeley, for release of all claims of damages to property; being all of Lots 16 and 17 in Block 2657, as per Assessor's Block Book of the City and County, and as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 26722 (New Series), Market street extension (claim dated Jan. 27, 1927), $1,700.

(3) To J. R. Alexander, for a portion of Horner's Addition Block No. 171, as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 26726 (New Series), required for the widening and improvement of Castro street (claim dated Jan. 27, 1927), $1,650.

(4) To John Clervi, for the westerly 65 feet of Lot 335, Precita Valley Lands, as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 26728 (New Series), and required for the opening of the Bay Shore boulevard (claim dated Jan. 27, 1927), $13,195.

Appropriations for Tax Judgment Refunds.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized in payment out of "Tax Judgments," Appropriation 59, in payment to the hereinafter named persons, attorneys and agents for and in behalf of judgment creditors, representing one-tenth of judgment plus interest, as per schedules attached to claims and as approved by the City Attorney, to-wit:

(1) To Brobeck, Phleger and Harrison, fourth installment, $692.85.

(2) To Brobeck, Phleger & Harrison, fourth installment, $15,301.34.

(3) To Charles W. Slack and Edgar T. Zook, $3,650.85.

Appropriations for Lights in Cells of County Jail and Changes in the Yard of the Francis Scott Key School.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of the

hereinafter mentioned funds for the following purposes, to-wit:

Repairs to Public Buildings, Budget Item No. 68.

(1) For the installation of low power electric lights in each of the cells of the County Jail, $1,500.

Special School Tax, Budget Item No. 1.

(2) For cost of changes in the yard of the Francis Scott Key School, $5,000.

Appropriations, Work in Front of City Property and Sewer Work on Thirty-fourth Avenue.

Supervisor McSheehy presented: Resolution No. 26753 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned funds for the following purposes, to-wit:

Street Work in Front of City Property, Budget Item No. 36.

(1) For cost of constructing sidewalks on Banks street between Tompkins and Jarboe avenues, fronting the Paul Revere School, $250.

(2) For cost of the improvement of the crossing of Thirty-seventh avenue and Ulloa street, City's liability, $98.25.

Extension and Construction of Main Sewers, Budget Item No. 39.

(3) For cost of raising to height of twelve feet the manhole of bond sewer at Thirty-fourth avenue and Yorba street, $120.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Marks —2.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Ordering Preparation of Plans and Specifications for the Improvement of the Southeasterly Side of San Jose Avenue Between Gorham and Cotter Streets.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy:

Bill No. 7906, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the preparation of plans and specifications for the improvement of the southeasterly side of San Jose avenue between Gorham and Cotter streets, and ordering the improvement of the southeasterly side of San Jose avenue between Gorham and Cotter streets; authorizing and directing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for said improvement in accordance with the plans and specifications prepared therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized, instructed and empowered to prepare plans and specifications for the improvement of the southeasterly side of San Jose avenue between Gorham and Cotter streets, and to enter into contract for the improvement of the southeasterly side of San Jose avenue between Gorham and Cotter streets, in accordance with the plans and specifications prepared therefor.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ordering Plans, Etc., for Improvement of Grand View Avenue and Portion of Market Street From Elizabeth Street to Stanton Street.

Also, Bill No. 7907, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Authorizing the preparation of plans and specifications for the improvement of Grand View avenue, including a portion of Market street from Elizabeth street to Stanton street, and ordering the improvement of Grand View avenue, including a portion of Market street from Elizabeth street to Stanton street in accordance with plans and specifications prepared therefor; authorizing and directing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for said improvement.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized, instructed and empowered to prepare plans and specifications for the improvement of Grand View avenue, including a portion of Market street from Elizabeth street to Stanton street, and to enter into contract for the improvement of Grand View avenue, including a portion of Market street from Elizabeth street to Stanton street in accordance with the plans and specifications prepared therefor.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Authorizing the Trustees of Robinson Bequest Fund to Sell Mortgage Bonds and Reinvest Surplus Moneys in said Bequest Fund.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 26754 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the trustees of the H. E. Robinson Bequest Fund be and they are hereby authorized to sell forty (40) one thousand dollars ($1,000) six per cent First Mortgage Serial Gold Bonds, maturity 1931, numbered 261/300 of the Granada Realty Company, San Francisco, in the amount of forty thousand five hundred dollars ($40,500) plus accrued interest to date; and be it

Further Resolved, That said trustees are authorized and empowered to reinvest all surplus moneys in said Bequest Fund in six per cent gold bonds of the Fourth and Market Realty Company, San Francisco, as selected by the City and County Treasurer.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Marks —2.

Accepting Offer of John Mathews et al. to Sell for $1,680 Property Required for the Opening of Bernal Cut.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 26755 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the owners of the following described land situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and sought to be acquired by the City and County of San Francisco for the opening of Bernal Cut, extending from Mission and Randall streets southwesterly along the Southern Pacific Railroad right of way to the intersection of San Jose avenue and Circular avenue, have offered to convey the property desired by said City and County for the sum set forth opposite their names, viz.:

John Mathews and Annie Mathems, $1,680—Lot 15 in Block 6689, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco.

Whereas, the City Attorney has recommended the acceptance of the said offer and the acquisition of the property owned by said persons and offered to the City and County of San Francisco for the price set forth, which said price is in accordance with the City's appraisement of the property; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the said offer of sale be accepted and the City Attorney is hereby authorized and directetd to examine the title of said property, and if the same is found in satisfactory condition, to accept in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco a deed conveying title thereto, and file the same for record with a copy of this resolution attached thereto as evidence of acceptance by the City and County of San Francisco, upon payment of the agreed purchase price.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Marks —2.

Accepting Offers of Fred Isaacson et al. to Sell for $800 Certain Property Required for the Opening of the Bernal Cut.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 26756 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the owners of the following described land situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and sought to be acquired by the City and County of San Francisco for the opening of Bernal Cut, extending from Mission and Randall streets, southwesterly along the Southern Pacific Railroad right of way to the intersection of San Jose avenue and Circular avenue, have offered to convey the property desired by said City and County for the sum set forth opposite their names, viz.:

Fred Isaacson and Otto Nylund, $800—Beginning at a point on the southeasterly line of Arlington street, distant thereon 113 feet southwesterly from the southwesterly line of Roanoke street; thence southwesterly along said southeasterly line 75 feet to the northeasterly boundary line of the property of H. H. and Theodora J. Van Bilderbeck; thence at right angles southeasterly along said northeasterly line 100 feet, more or less, to the northwesterly boundary line of the Southern Pacific Company's right of way; thence northeasterly along said norhwesterly line 78 feet, more or less, to the southwesterly boundary line of Block 35, Mission and 30th Street Homestead Union; thence northwesterly along said southwesterly line 110 feet, more or less, to the southeasterly line of Arlington street and the point of beginning.

Saving and excepting therefrom the following described parcel:

Beginning at a point on the southeasterly line of Arlington street, distant thereon 113 feet southwesterly from the southwesterly line of Roanoke street; thence southwesterly along said southeasterly line 75 feet to the northeasterly boundary line of the property of H. H. and Theodora J. Van Bilderbeck; thence at right angles southeasterly along said northeasterly line 77.80 feet; thence deflecting 78 deg. 15 min. to the left and running northeasterly 25.535 feet; thence deflecting 3 deg. 53 min. 32 sec. to the right and running northeasterly 51.923 feet to the southwesterly boundary line of said Block 35 of Mission and 30th Street Homestead Union; thence deflecting 105 deg. 38 min. 32 sec. to the left and running northwesterly along said southwesterly line 97.00 feet to the southeasterly line of Arlington street and the point of beginning.

Being a portion of Lot 1 and all of Lot 2, Block 35, Fairmount Tract, as recorded in Map Book 2 "A" and "B", pages 6 and 7, records of the City and County of San Francisco.

Whereas, the City Attorney has recommended the acceptance of the said offer and the acquisition of the property owned by said persons and offered to the City and County of San Francisco for the price set forth, which said price is in accordance with the City's appraisement of the property; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the said offer of sale be accepted and the City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to examine the title of said property, and if the same is found in satisfactory condition, to accept in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco a deed conveying title thereto, and file the same for record with a copy of this resolution attached thereto, as evidence of acceptance by the City and County of San Francisco, upon payment of the agreed purchase price.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Marks —2.

Accepting Offer of O. H. Ferguson to Sell for $4,000 Certain Property Required for the Widening of Alemany Boulevard.

Supervisor McSheehy presented: Resolution No. 26757 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the owner of the following described land situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and sought to be acquired by the City and County of San Francisco for the widening of Alemany boulevard, has offered to convey the property desired by said City and County of San Francisco for the sum set forth opposite his name, viz.:

O. H. Ferguson, $4,000.

Parcel 1. Beginning at a point on the southwesterly line of Onondaga avenue, distant thereon 402.70 feet northwesterly from the northwesterly line of Mission street; thence south 63 deg. 23 min. 18 sec. east along said southewesterly line 24.958 feet to the northwesterly boundary line of the property of the City and County of San Francisco; thence deflecting to the right 86 deg. 41 min. 48 sec. and running south 23 deg. 18 min. 30 sec. west along said northwesterly line 189.856 feet; thence southwesterly along a curve to the right, radius 2252 feet tangent to the preceding course 50.55 feet, more or less, to the southwesterly line of Lot 6 in Block 12, West End Map No. 1; thence northwesterly, along the southwesterly line of said Lot 6, 25 feet, more or less, to its intersection with a curve to the left of 2227 feet radius and concentric with the first circular curve herein described; thence northeasterly along said curve of 2227 feet radius 37.99 feet, more or less, to a point distant south 23 deg 18 min. 30 sec. west 202.40 feet from the point of beginning; thence north 23 deg. 18 min. 30 sec. east 202.40 feet to the southwesterly line of Onondaga avenue and the point of beginning.

Being portions of Lots 6, 7 and 8 in Block 12, West End Map No 1, as recorded in Map Book 2 "A" and "B", page 45, records of the City and County of San Francisco.

Parcel 2. Beginning at a point on the northeasterly line of Onondaga avenue, distant thereon 261.106 feet southeasterly from the southeasterly line of Cayuga avenue; thence southeasterly along said northeasterly line 27.538 feet to the northwesterly boundary line of the property of the City and County of San Francisco; thence deflecting to the left 93 deg. 01 min. 35 sec. and running northeasterly along said northwesterly line 103.379 feet; thence deflecting to the left 176 deg. 58 min. 25 sec. and running southwesterly 53.235 feet; thence southwesterly on a curve to the right, tangent to the preceding course,

radius 50 feet, central angle 56 deg. 39 min. 15 sec., a distance of 49.440 feet to the southeasterly boundary line of the property of Frank Cresta; thence deflecting to the left 56 deg. 39 min. 15 sec. from a line tangent to said curve of preceding course, and running southwesterly along said southeasterly line 8.243 feet to the northeasterly line of Onondaga avenue and the point of beginning.

Being a portion of Lot 9, Block 13, as shown in West End Map No. 1, recorded in Map Book 2 "A" and "B", page 45, records of the City and County of San Francisco.

Whereas, the City Attorney has recommended the acceptance of the said offer and the acquisition of the property owned by said party and offered to the City and County of San Francisco for the sum set forth, which said price is in accordance with the City's appraisement of the property; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the said offer of sale be accepted and the City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to examine the title of said property, and if the same is found in satisfactory condition, to accept in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco a deed conveying title thereto, and file the same for record with a copy of this resolution attached thereto as evidence of acceptance by the City and County of San Francisco, upon payment of the agreed purchase price.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent. McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Marks —2.

Accepting Offer of J. Willis Turner to Sell for $1,500 Certain Land Required for Opening of the Bay Shore Boulevard.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 26758 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the owner of the following described land situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and sought to be acquired by said City and County for the opening of Bay Shore boulevard, has offered to convey the property desired by said City and County of San Francisco for the sum set forth opposite his name, viz.:

J. Willis Turner, $1,500—Beginning at a point on the northwesterly line of Wheat street, distant thereon 125 feet southwesterly from the southwesterly line of Paul street; thence southwesterly along said northwesterly line 50 feet, thence at right angles northwesterly 100 feet; thence at right angles northeasterly 50 feet; thence at right angles southeasterly 73.675 feet; thence deflecting to the right 55 deg. 02 min. 30 sec. and running southerly 8.290 feet; thence southeasterly, easterly and northerly along a curve to the left, tangent to the preceding course, radius 11.857 feet, central angle 145 deg. 02 min. 30 sec., a distance of 30.016 feet to tangency with the northwesterly line of Wheat street at the point of beginning. Being portions of Lots 18 and 19, Block 6, Garden Tract Homestead Association, as recorded in Map Book "C and D," pages 23 and 25, records of the City and County of San Francisco.

Whereas, the City Attorney has recommended the acceptance of the said offer and the acquisition of the property owned by said person and offered to the City and County of San Francisco for the sum set forth, which said price is in accordance with the City's appraisement of the property; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the said offer of sale be accepted and the City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to examine the title of said property, and if the same is found in satisfactory condition, to accept in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco a deed conveying title thereto, and file the same for record with a copy of this resolution attached thereto as evidence of acceptance by the City and County of San Francisco, upon payment of the agreed purchase price.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Marks —2.

Action Deferred.

The following matter was *laid over one week and made a Special Order of Business for 3 p. m. Monday, February 7, 1927:*

Granting of Day to Day Garbage Permit.

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the garbage incinerator located at Eighth and De Haro streets and owned by the City and County of San Francisco has been operated by the Scavengers' Protective Union from November 14, 1918, to date, on a revocable day to day agreement, without said City

and County deriving any revenue therefrom; and

Whereas, the City and County of San Francisco has had an investment of $400,000 in this incinerator and no revenues have been received, and reports show that during the last two and one-half months the Scavengers' Protective Union has received $39,401.81, with an expenditure of $16,296.81, showing a profit of $23,105; and

Whereas, an offer has been made by the City Garbage Removal Company, Inc., to take over the operation of said incinerator and pay the City and County a daily rental of $137 for this privilege, said daily rental being based on an annual rental of $50,000; and

Whereas, the Finance and Health Committee of the Board of Supervisors, sitting in joint session Thursday, January 27, 1927, by unanimous consent, recommends to said Board of Supervisors that pending definite arrangements, etc., for the disposal of garbage by other means, for which plans are under way, it is deemed reasonable that the City and County of San Francisco should receive whatever revenue it is possible to secure from the operation of the said incinerator until such time as it is abandoned; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco will give a revocable day to day permit to occupy the above-mentioned incinerator and to dispose of the garbage of the City therein, to the person, firm or corporation offering the highest bid for said privilege, at the open session of said Board to be held on Monday, February 7, 1927, commencing at 10 o'clock a. m. The person, firm or corporation to whom said privilege is granted must within three days after the granting of same enter into a written contract with the City and County to carry out the terms of said premit, and file with this Board a bond in the sum of $10,000 for the faithful performance of said contract.

Action Deferred.

The following matter was *continued one week and made a Special Order of Business for* 11 *a. m. Monday, February* 7, 1927:

Denial of Application for Change of Zone on Lombard Street West of Octavia Street.

Supervisor Hayden presented:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the application of E. Ravn to change the north side of Lombard street, commencing 141 feet 3 inches west of Octavia street, thence westerly 50 feet, from the Second Residential to the Commercial District, be denied.

Rereferred.

The following matter was *rereferred to the City Planing Committee*:

City Planning Commission Requested to Recommend Set-back Line, South Side of Broadway Between Lyon and Baker Streets.

Supervisor Hayden presented:

Resolution No ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the City Planing Commission be requested to recommend an amendment of the ordinance establishing set-back lines along the south side of Broadway between Lyon and Baker streets in accordance with the petition of Bertha G. Sloss attached hereto.

Action Deferred.

The following matter was *laid over until February* 7, 1927:

Amending Zoning Ordinance, Ingalls Street Between Donner and Egbert Avenues.

On motion of Supervisor Hayden:

Bill No. ——, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings, and the locations of buildings designed for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Section 11 of the Use of Property Zine Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place the southeasterly side of Ingalls street between Donner avenue and Egbert avenue, for a distance of 225 feet on Donner avenue and 300 feet on Egbert avenue, in the Heavy Industrial District instead of the First Residential District.

Passed for Printing.

The following resolution was *passed for printing*:

Oil and Boiler Permits.

On motion of Supervisor Deasy:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits be and the same are hereby granted:

Oil Tanks.

Atlas Heating and Ventilating

Company, 782 Twelfth avenue, 750 gallons capacity.

Bear Glace Fruit Company, 512 Brannan street, 1500 gallons capacity.

California-Jones Company, southwest corner of Jones and California streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

D. Comis, north line of Lombard street, 25 feet east of Scott street, 1500 gallons capacity.

J. Kelley, east line of Retiro way, 325 feet north of Beach street, 1500 gallons capacity.

E. Ellingson, southwest corner of Twentieth and Castro streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

J. Greenbach, north line of Sacramento street between Van Ness avenue and Franklin street, 1500 gallons capacity.

W. I. Heller, southwest corner of Thirtieth avenue and Camino del Mar, 1500 gallons capacity.

Axel Johnson, north side of Bay street, 100 feet east of Polk street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Axel Johnson, north side of Bay street, 125 feet east of Polk street, 1500 gallons capacity.

J. Kelley, east line of Retiro way, 225 feet north of Beach street, 1500 gallons capacity.

J. O. Kuykendall, 1442 Hyde street, 1500 gallons capacity.

E. V. Lacey, south line of Page street, east of Octavia street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Jos. Marymont, 1977 Pacific avenue, 600 gallons capacity.

Monson Bros., west line of Franklin street, 40 feet south of Willow street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Dr. A. W. Morton, south side of Oak street, 141 feet west of Shrader street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Dr. A. W. Morton, east side of Baker street, 75 feet north of Fell street, 1500 gallons capacity.

E. Nelson, west side of Broderick street, 30 feet south of Oak street, 1500 gallons capacity.

R. O'Connor, northeast corner of Pine and Broderick streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

Parkside Creamery, 1467 Haight street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Chas. Sands, 1523 Laguna street, 1500 gallons capacity.

H. E. Van Horn, northeast corner of San Leandro way and St. Francis boulevard, 1500 gallons capacity.

Boilers.

Bear Glace Fruit Company, 512 Brannan street, 35 horsepower.

Parkside Creamery, 1467 Haight street, 30 horsepower.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits shall become null and void.

Masquerade Ball Permits.

Supervisor Schmidt presented:

Resolution No. 26759 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That San Francisco Manneichor be and it is hereby given permission to hold masquerade ball in California Hall, Polk and Turk streets, Saturday evening, February 26, 1927.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Marks —2.

Also, Resolution No. 26760 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Thyra No. 3 Lodge of Dania (Danish Ladies' Society) be and it is hereby given permission to hold masquerade ball in Druids' Temple, 44 Page street, Saturday evening, February 5, 1927.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Marks —2.

Accepting Offer of Emma Gilli to Sell Certain Land and Improvements on Otsego Avenue Required for School Purposes.

Supervisor Powers presented:

Resolution No. 26761 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, an offer has been received from Emma Gilli to convey to the City and County of San Francisco certain land and improvements situate on the easterly line of Otsego avenue, 30 feet southerly from Oneida avenue, required for school purposes; and

Whereas, the price at which said parcel of land is offered is the reasonable value thereof; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the offer of the said owner to convey to the City and County of San Francisco a good and sufficient fee simple title to the following described land, free of all encumbrances, for the sum of $3,000, be and the same is hereby accepted, the said land being described as follows, to-wit:

Commencing at a point on the easterly line of Otsego avenue, distant thereon 30 feet southerly from the southerly line of Oneida avenue; running thence southerly along said easterly line of Otsego avenue 25

feet; thence at a right angle easterly 80 feet; thence at a right angle northerly 25 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 80 feet to the easterly line of Otsego avenue and point of commencement. Being a portion of Block 15, West End Map No. 1; and also known as Block 6960 on Assessor's Map Book.

The City Attorney is hereby directed to examine the title to said land, and if the same is found to be vested in the aforesaid owner, free of all encumbrances, and that the taxes up to and including the current fiscal year are paid, and that the so-called McEnerney title has been procured or sufficient money reserved for the purpose of procuring the same, to report the result of his examination to the Board of Supervisors, and also to cause a good and sufficient deed for said land to be executed and delivered to the City and County upon payment of the agreed purchase price as aforesaid. And the said deed to said land is hereby accepted.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Marks —2.

Traffic Signals Approved and Recommended.

Supervisor Todd presented:

Resolution No. 26762 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following locations for traffic signals are hereby approved and are recommended to be installed, viz.:

Kearny and Post, Bush and Montgomery, Stockton and Geary, Montgomery and Sutter, Kearny and Sutter, Powell and Geary, Powell and Ellis, Powell and O'Farrell, Stockton and O'Farrell, Montgomery and Pine, Sansome and California, Grant avenue and Sutter, Bush and Kearny, Stockton and Post, Sansome and Bush, Powell and Post, Montgomery and California, Pine and Sansome, Mission and First, Powell and Sutter, Stockton and Sutter, Second and Mission, Kearny and California, Pine and Kearny, Third and Howard, Fourth and Howard, Sixth and Mission, Eighth and Mission, Bush and Grant avenue, Twenty-second and Mission, Van Ness avenue and Bush, Valencia and Market, Mason and Geary, Van Ness and Golden Gate avenues, Van Ness avenue and Geary, Van Ness avenue and Pine, Grant avenue, Columbus avenue and Broadway, Sixth and Howard, Third and Folsom, Polk and Bush, Van Ness avenue and California, Post and Mason, Columbus avenue, Kearny and Pacific, Van Ness avenue and Eddy, Van Ness avenue and McAllister, Second and Howard, Van Ness avenue and O'Farrell, Mission, Van Ness and McCoppin, Twenty-second and Valencia, Valencia and Mission, Castro and Eighteenth, Jackson and Van Ness avenue, Eighth and Howard, Mission and Twenty-ninth, Seventeenth and Valencia, Grant avenue and Clay, Van Ness avenue and Pacific, Post and Polk, Polk and Sutter, Stockton, Columbus avenue and Green, Grant avenue and Pacific, Arguello boulevard and Geary, Polk and Pine, Fourth and Folsom, Mission and Seventeenth, Twenty-fourth and Mission, Van Ness avenue and Union, Powell and Bush, Stockton and Pacific, Grant avenue and Pine, Seventeenth. Castro and Market, Divisadero and Fulton, Grant avenue and California, Eighth avenue and Geary, Fillmore and Bush, Fillmore and Pine, Potrero avenue and Sixteenth, Montgomery, Washington and Columbus avenue, Stockton and Sacramento, Divisadero and Geary, Divisadero and Golden Gate avenue, Potrero avenue and Brannan, Tenth avenue and Geary, Van Ness avenue and Lombard, Powell and Pine, Eighteenth avenue and Geary, Noe, Market and Sixteenth, Potrero avenue and Mariposa, Divisadero and Bush, Powell and Pacific, Nineteenth avenue and Lincoln way, Twenty-fourth avenue and Geary, Potrero avenue and Brannan, Divisadero and Pine, Dolores and Sixteenth, Stanyan and Fulton, Twenty-fourth avenue and Fulton, Tenth and Brannan, Castro and Twenty-fourth, Bryant and Division, Eleventh and Bryant, Mason and Market, Jones and Market, Tenth and Market, Ninth and Market, Drumm and Market, Front and Market, Pine and Market, Seventh and Market, Fulton and Market.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Marks —2.

Taxicab Stands Revoked and Cancelled.

Supervisor Schmidt presented:

Resolution No. 26763 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following permits for taxicab stands be and the

same are hereby revoked and cancelled:

Checker Cab Company— 473, 534-538 and 670 Broadway, 466 and 504 Bush street, 201 Battery street, 4834 Balboa street, 575 and 903 Columbus avenue, 500 Castro street, 1200 Church street, 601 and 731 Clement street, Clement street southeast corner of Seventh avenue, 746 Clement street, 43 and 63 Eddy street, 119, 127, 149, 34, 519 and 508 Ellis street, 4097 Eighteenth, 3253 and 3259 Fillmore street, 311 Fourteenth, 56 Fourth, 91 and 93 Fifth, 56, 541, 5420-5424, 5800, 855, 1125, 1501, 1795 and 5301 Geary street, 660 and 814 Great Highway, 201 and 492 Guerrero, 568 and 588 Green street, 901 Geneva avenue, Howard street near New Montgomery, 601 Irving street, 14 Jones street, 1901 Jackson street, 250 Kearny street, 955 Larkin street, 399, 919 and 1168 Leavenworth street, 207, 209, 215, 402 and 1310 Mason street, 1315 Market street, 1800, 4637 and 2795 Mission street, 609 Montgomery street, 180 New Montgomery street, 175 O'Farrell street, 1081 Oak street, 1501 Ocean avenue, 247, 208 and 435 Powell street, 1699, 1659, 456, 521, 440 and 555 Post street, 2135 Polk street, 458 Presidio avenue, 397 Pine street, 1180 Potrero avenue, 3015 Sixteenth, 825 Sutter street, 1500 Stockton street, 13 and 3301 Sacramento street, 2399 San Bruno avenue, 801 and 1620 Taylor street, 76, 715 and 2500 Third, 204 Townsend street, 24 Twenty-ninth, 629 and 2181 Union street, 1097 Valencia street, 1251 and 2251 Van Ness avenue, 1320 Washington street.

Yellow Cab Company—900 and 2196 Bush street, Bush and Leavenworth streets, 834 Divisadero street, 500 Clement street, 200 and 1994 Ellis street, 108 Fourth, 494 and 2200 Geary street, 52 and 212 Grant avenue, 686 Golden Gate avenue, 636 Great Highway, 1369 and 1989 Hyde street, 1555 and 1568 Haight street, Irving street corner Forty-eighth avenue, 2701 Lombard street, 256 Leavenworth street, 108 and 146 Mason street, 2087, 2104, 2105 and 2107 Market street, 909, 1996, 3302, 1895, 3253 and 4599 Mission street, Ninth avenue and Lincoln way, 313 Ninth avenue, 164-168 and 171 O'Farrell street, 546, 465 and 400 Post street, 1001 Page street, 39 Sixth, 1510 Stockton street, 2911 and 1100 Sacramento street, 501 Taylor street, 719 and 759 Third, 304-308 Townsend street, 1293 Twentieth avenue, 1650 Valencia street, 9 West Portal avenue.

List of cab stands and locations for cancellation for nonpayment of municipal license:

White Top Cab Company—548 Broadway, 515 and 600 Bush street, 453 Columbus avenue, 224 Ellis street, 117 Fourth, 696 Filbert street, 533 Geary street, 700 and 720 Great Highway, 232 Jones street, 19 Mason street, 699, 895 and 799 Mission street, 99 Ninth, 740 O'Farrell street, 1800 Powell street, 611 Post street, 217 Scott street, 172 Turk street.

Gray Top Cab Company—519 Broadway, 1900 Bush street, 500 Columbus avenue, 33 and 39 Eddy street, 28, 203 and 213 Jones street, 211 and 219 Kearny street, 602 Larkin street, 1256 Powell street, 102 and 702 Turk street.

Red Top Cab Company—2004 Sutter street.

F. L. Morris—131 Columbus avenue, 567 and 607 Jackson street, 861 Kearny street.

Blue Bird Cab Company—561-63 Jackson street, 527 Kearny street, 344 Sutter street, 22 Sansome street.

Gray Cab Company—502 and 1597 California street, 54, 122, 501 and 482 Ellis street, 157 Mason street, 119, 121, 114 and 807 Powell street, 46 Third.

Emilio Cervantes—693 Broadway.

Ed Rowland—618 Broadway.

M. Tsutsui — 1726 Buchanan street.

F. E. Roach—Bush street and Van Ness avenue (St. Francis Hospital).

F. J. Ward—180 Eddy street.

M. J. Ward—57 Powell street.

T. J. Edwards—186 Eddy street.

R. Bruhns—1482 Eddy street.

Pete Galegaris—1494 Eddy street.

Hotel St. Clair—157 Ellis street.

Wm. J. Gallagher—76 Ellis street, 181 O'Farrell street.

Madden & Gibbons—263 Ellis street, 375 O'Farrell street.

H. L. Sales—415 Ellis street.

Golden Gate S. S. Company—398 Ellis street.

A. Testino — Fulton street at Eighth avenue.

Ed Godsey—41 Fourth.

Roy O'Leary—100 Fourth, 3017 Sixteenth.

F. Street and E. H. Pennock—29 Fifth.

W. Cramer—350 Geary street.

Green Cab Company—414 Geary street, 601 Taylor street.

F. F. Garich—580 Geary street.

Pacific S. S. Company—347 Geary street.

Frank Mordusky—463 Grant avenue.

Golden Gate Cab Company—453 Grant avenue, 17 Golden Gate avenue, 24 Sixth, 182 Third, 10 Van Ness avenue.

Leong Sing You—805 Grant avenue.

Edward Chan—804 Grant avenue,

George Penick—694 Great Highway.
William Roote—6 Kearny street.
J. O'Rourke—140 Kearny street.
E. Rameres—840 Kearny street.
Garfield Cab Company—565 Kearny street.
S. Albasa—849 Kearny street.
F. Beck's Company—2741 Lombard street.
A. Ettin—2751 Lombard street, 2914 Lyon street.
B. E. Moss—2773 Lombard street.
E. J. Pollard—317 Leavenworth street.
Atlas Limousine Company—55 Mason street.
Beckel, Cordy & Hutchinson—115 Mason street.
Mike Manuck—251 and 315 Mason street.
Al Esposito—285 O'Farrell street.
E. G. Cuneo—342 Mason street.
L. F. Bailey—318 Market street.
A. Andrew—648 Market street.
Gray Line S. S. Company—654, 745, 867, 877, 935 and 844 Market street.
Thomas B. McCarthy—354 O'Farrell street.
J. A. Andriozzi—211 O'Farrell street.
Frank Sharon—21 Powell street.
Atlas Taxi Company—233 Powell street.
George C. Conde—212 Powell street.
Limousine Service Company—254 Powell street.
Lincoln Taxi Company—460 Powell street.
Cadillac Taxi Company—583 Powell street, 621 Union street, 600, 583, 599 and 601 Post street.
Paul Chamber—609 Polk street.
Stacey Rice—1155 Polk street.
L. E. Hinrichs—584 Pacific avenue.
J. Robertson—74 Sixth.
M. Malinoff—129 Sixth.
M. M. Fowler—6 Seventh.
Nels Thornessee—3098 Sixteenth.
T. Treat Taxi—1951 Sutter street.
Wm. H. Riley—149 Turk street.
M. E. Heill—134 Turk street.
Joseph D. Bengarden—210 Turk street.
Wm. Thompson—68 Townsend street.
C. F. West and Ed B. Houck—2228 Union street.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Marks—2.

Closing and Abandoning a Portion of Clement Street and Portions of Forty-sixth, Forty-seventh and Forty-eighth Avenues.

Supervisor Marks presented:

Resolution No. 26764 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, this Board has by Resolution No. 26536 (New Series) declared its intention to close up and abandon a portion of Clement street, and portions of Forty-sixth, Forty-seventh and Forty-eighth avenues, situated in the City and County of San Francisco and hereinafter more particularly described; and

Whereas, proper notice of said resolution and of said proposed closing and abandoning of a portion of Clement street, and portions of Forty-sixth, Forty-seventh and Forty-eighth avenues was duly given by the Board of Public Works of said City and County of San Francisco by publication and posting in the manner provided by Section 3, Chapter 3, Article 6 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco; and

Whereas, more than ten (10) days have elapsed after the expiration of the publication of said notice, and no objections to the closing and abandonment of said portion of Clement street, and portions of Forty-sixth, Forty-seventh and Forty-eighth avenues were made or delivered to the Clerk of this Board within said period of ten (10) days, or at all; and

Whereas, it is the opinion of this Board that the public interests and convenience will be conserved by the closing and abandonment of a portion of Clement street, and portions of Forty-sixth, Forty-seventh and Forty-eighth avenues; and

Whereas, in and by said Resolution No. 26536 (New Series) this Board did declare that the damages, costs and expenses of closing said street shall be paid out of the revenues of the City and County of San Francisco; and

Whereas, the said work is for closing of a portion of said Clement street, and portions of Forty-sixth, Forty-seventh and Forty-eighth avenues, and it appears to this Board that no assessment is necessary; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That said closing and abandonment of a portion of Clement street, and portions of Forty-sixth, Forty-seventh and Forty-eighth avenues be and the same is hereby ordered and that said portion of Clement street and said portions of Forty-sixth, Forty-seventh and Forty-eighth avenues be and the same are hereby closed and abandoned as public streets. The said

portion of Clement street and said portions of Forty-sixth avenue, Forty-seventh avenue and Forty-eighth avenue hereinabove referred to are more particularly bounded and described as follows, to-wit:

Parcel I. All of Clement street between the westerly line of Forty-fifth avenue and the westerly termination of Clement street at Forty-eighth avenue.

Parcel II. All of Forty-sixth avenue between the northerly line of Point Lobos avenue and the northerly termination of Forty-sixth avenue at Clement street.

Parcel III. All of Forty-seventh avenue between the northerly line of Point Lobos avenue and the northerly termination of Forty-seventh avenue at Clement street.

Parcel IV. Forty-eighth avenue from the northerly line of Clement street southerly as follows: Commencing at the point of intersection of the easterly line of Forty-eighth avenue with the northerly line of Clement street, which northerly line of Clement street bears north 86 deg. and 41 min. east, and running thence south 3 deg. and 19 min. east 366.00 feet along said easterly line of Forty-eighth avenue to the northerly line of Point Lobos avenue; thence south 85 deg. 00 min. 46 sec. west 5.387 feet along said northerly line of Point Lobos avenue to the northeasterly line of Harding boulevard; thence north 26 deg. 14 min. west 166.099 feet along said northeasterly line of Harding boulevard to the westerly line of said Forty-eighth avenue; thence north 3 deg. 19 min. west 213.165 feet along said westerly line of Forty-eighth avenue to the northerly line of Clement street produced westerly; thence north 86 deg. 41 min. east 70 feet along said northerly line of Clement street produced, to the point of commencement.

Be it Further Resolved, That the Clerk of this Board transmit a certified copy of this resolution to the Board of Public Works and that the Board of Public Works be instructed to proceed thereafter as required by law and the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco; and the Clerk of this Board is hereby directed to advertise this resolution in The Bulletin as required by law.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Marks —2.

Passed for Printing.

The following resolution was *passed for printing*:

Pipe Line Permit, Standard Oil Company.

On motion of Supervisor Marks:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Standard Oil Company of California is hereby granted permission to lay pipes and conduits and connections from its property at the southeast corner of Leavenworth and Jefferson streets northerly across Jefferson street to the center of said Jefferson street.

The said pipes, conduits and connections shall be laid to the satisfaction and under the supervision of the Board of Public Works in accordance with the provisions of Ordinance No. 2201 (New Series) and Ordinance No. 2884 (New Series) entitled "Regulating the making and rebuilding of excavations in the public streets, alleys, sidewalks and other public places."

Extensions of Time.

Supervisor Marks presented:

Resolution No. 26765 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Fay Improvement Company be and it is hereby granted the following extensions of time, viz.:

Thirty days' time from and after February 4, 1927, within which to complete the improvement of the crossing of Baker and Beach streets. This extension of time is granted for the reason that the delay has been caused by inclement weather.

Thirty days' time from and after February 4, 1927, within which to complete the improvement of Baker street between North Point and Beach streets. This extension of time is granted for the reason that the delay has been caused by inclement weather.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Marks —2.

Also, Resolution No. 26766 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Municipal Construction Company be and it is hereby granted an extension of ninety days' time from and after January 6, 1927, within which to complete the improvement of the crossing of Twenty-first avenue and Ortega street. Contractor has been delayed by rainy weather.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Marks —2.

Passed for Printing:

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Establishing Grades.

On motion of Supervisor Marks:

Bill No. 7905, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Establishing grades on Bowdoin and Boylston streets between Silver avenue and the northerly line of Hale street and on Hale street between Bowdoin street and the easterly line of Boylston street.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The grades on Bowdoin and Boylston streets between Silver avenue and the northerly line of Hale street, and on Hale street between Bowdoin street and the easterly line of Boylston street, are hereby established at points hereinafter named and at heights above city base as hereinafter stated, in accordance with recommendation of the Board of Public Works filed January 18, 1927.

Bowdoin Street.

10 feet westerly from the easterly line of, at Silver avenue northerly line, 129.20 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

10 feet easterly from the westerly line of, at Silver avenue northerly line, 131.75 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

On a line at right angles to the easterly line of, 85 feet southerly from Hale street, 126.64 feet.

On a line at right angles to the easterly line of, at Hale street southerly line, 122.77 feet.

On a line at right angles to the easterly line of, at Hale street northerly line, 120.00 feet.

Boylston Street.

10 feet westerly from the easterly line of, at Silver avenue northerly line, 95.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

10 feet easterly from the westerly line of, at Silver avenue northerly line, 97.20 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

10 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 50 feet northerly from Silver avenue, 92.00 feet.

10 feet easterly from the westerly line of, on a line at right angles to the easterly line of, 50 feet northerly from Silver avenue, 92.60 feet.

Easterly line of, at Hale street southerly line, 84.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

10 feet westerly from the easterly line of, at Hale street southerly line, 84.50 feet.

10 feet easterly from the westerly line of, at Hale street southerly line, 85.50 feet.

Westerly line of, at Hale street southerly line, 86.00 feet.

Easterly line of, at Hale street northerly line, 84.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

10 feet westerly from the easterly line of, at Hale street northerly line, 84.50 feet.

10 feet easterly from the westerly line of, at Hale street northerly line, 85.50 feet.

Westerly line of, at Hale street northerly line, 86.00 feet.

Hale Street.

Southerly line of, at Boylston street easterly line, 84.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

10 feet westerly from Boylston street easterly line, at Hale street southerly line, 84.50 feet.

10 feet easterly from Boylston street westerly line, at Hale street southerly line, 85.50 feet.

Northerly line of, at Boylston street easterly line, 84.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

10 feet westerly from Boylston street easterly line, at Hale street northerly line, 84.50 feet.

10 feet easterly from Boylston street westerly line, at Hale street northerly line, 85.50 feet.

Northerly line of, at Boylston street westerly line, 86.00 feet.

On a line at right angles to the southerly line of, at Boylston street westerly line, 86.00 feet.

On a line at right angles to the southerly line of, 100 feet westerly from Boylston street, 98.00 feet.

On a line at right angles to the southerly line of, 150 feet westerly from Boylston street, 103.10 feet.

On a line at right angles to the southerly line of, 200 feet westerly from Boylston street, 106.43 feet.

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

On a line at right angles to the southerly line of, 471 feet westerly from Boylston street, 119.60 feet.

10 feet northerly from the southerly line of, at Bowoin street easterly line, 122.30 feet.

10 feet southerly from the northerly line of, at Bowoin street easterly line, 120.50 feet.

On Bowdoin and Boylston streets between Silver avenue and the northerly line of Hale street, and

on Hale street between Bowdoin street and the easterly line of Boylston street be established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Also, Bill No. 7909, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Establishing grades on Schwerin street between Sunnydale avenue and a line parallel with and 850.33 feet southerly therefrom.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The grades on Schwerin street between Sunnydale avenue and a line parallel with and 850.33 feet southerly therefrom are hereby established at points hereinafter named and at heights above city base as hereinafter stated, in accordance with recommendation of the Board of Public Works filed January 18, 1927.

Schwerin Street.

Sunnydale avenue, 45.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

621.25 feet southerly from Sunnydale avenue, 30.41 feet.

681.25 feet southerly from Sunnydale avenue, 29.52 feet.

741.25 feet southerly from Sunnydale avenue, 29.67 feet.

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

850.33 feet southerly from Sunnydale avenue, 30.90 feet.

On Schwerin street between Sunnydale avenue and a line parallel with and 850.33 feet southerly therefrom, be established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Intention to Change Grades.

Supervisor Marks presented:

Resolution No. 26767 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to change and establish grades on the following named streets, at the points hereinafter specified and at the elevations above city base, as hereinafter stated, in accordance with Resolution No. 95667 (Second Series) of the Board of Public Works, adopted January 24, 1927, and written recommendation of said Board filed January 26, 1927, to-wit:

Cayuga Avenue.

15 feet southeasterly from the northwesterly line of, at Santa Rosa avenue northeasterly line, 116.60 feet.

15 feet northwesterly from the southeasterly line of, at Santa Rosa avenue northeasterly line, 117.00 feet.

12 feet southwesterly from Santa Rosa avenue northeasterly line at Cayuga avenue northwesterly line, 116.60 feet.

12 feet northeasterly from Santa Rosa avenue southwesterly line at Cayuga avenue northwesterly line, 116.60 feet.

15 feet southeasterly from the northwesterly line of, at Santa Rosa avenue southwesterly line, 116.60 feet.

15 feet northwesterly from the southeasterly line of, at Santa Rosa avenue southwesterly line, 117.00 feet.

On a line at right angles to the southwesterly line of Santa Rosa avenue at Cayuga avenue southeasterly line, 117.50 feet.

15 feet northwesterly from the southeasterly line of, on a line at right angles to the northwesterly line of, 763.12 feet southwesterly from Santa Rosa avenue, 122.50 feet.

Northwesterly curb line of, 769.73 feet southwesterly from Santa Rosa avenue, 122.50 feet.

Northeasterly curb line of San Juan avenue at Cayuga avenue northwesterly line, 122.60 feet.

Northerly curb line of Bauer street at Cayuga avenue southeasterly line, 122.60 feet.

Southwesterly curb line of San Juan avenue at Cayuga avenue northwesterly line, 122.90 feet.

On a line at right angles to the northwesterly line of, at San Juan avenue southwesterly line, 123.00 feet.

On a line at right angles to the northwesterly line of, at Santa Ynez avenue northeasterly line, 129.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Santa Rosa Avenue.

On a line at right angles to the northeasterly line of, at Alemany avenue northwesterly line, 141.20 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

On a line at right angles to the southwesterly line of, at Cayuga avenue southeasterly line, 117.50 feet.

15 feet northwesterly from Cayuga avenue southeasterly line at Santa Rosa avenue northeasterly line, 117.00 feet.

15 feet southeasterly from Cayuga avenue northwesterly line at Santa Rosa avenue northeatserly line, 116.60 feet.

15 feet northwesterly from Cayuga avenue, southeasterly line at

Santa Rosa avenue southwesterly line, 117.00 feet.

15 feet southeasterly from Cayuga avenue northwesterly line at Santa Rosa avenue southwesterly line, 116.60 feet.

12 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, at Cayuga avenue northwesterly line, 116.60 feet.

12 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, at Cayuga avenue northwesterly line, 116.60 feet.

12 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, 247.94 feet northwesterly from Cayuga avenue, 118.42 feet.

12 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, 286.49 feet northwesterly from Cayuga avenue, 118.73 feet.

Capistrano avenue, 120.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Bauer Street.

On a line at right angles to the northeasterly line of, at Alemany avenue northwesterly line, 150.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Northerly curb line of, at Cayuga avenue southeasterly line, 122.60 feet.

San Juan Avenue.

Capistrano avneue, 124.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

12 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, 114.27 feet southeasterly from Capistrano avenue, 123.29 feet.

12 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, 109.69 feet southeasterly from Capistrano avenue, 123.46 feet.

Northeasterly curb line of, at Cayuga avenue northwesterly line, 122.60 feet.

Southwesterly curb line of, at Cayuga avenue northwesterly line, 122.90 feet.

On Cayuga avenue between the northeasterly line of Santa Rosa avenue and Santa Ynez avenue; on Santa Rosa avenue between Alemany and Capistrano avenues; on Bauer street between Alemany and Cayuga avenues, and on San Juan avenue between Capistrano and Cayuga avenues, be changed and established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that no assessment district is necessary, as no damage will result from said change of grades, inasmuch as the streets are ungraded and there are no existing street improvements.

The Board of Public Works is hereby directed to cause to be conspicuously posted along the street or streets upon which such change or modification of grade or grades is contemplated, notice of the passage of this resolution of intention.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Marks —2.

Award of Contract, Athletic Goods.

Supervsior Badaracco presented:

Resolution No. 26768 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of contract be hereby made for furnishing athletic goods for playgrounds (Proposal No. 247) as follows, viz.:

General Specifications.

Quantities: It is stipulated that the City has the option of increasing the quantities herein stated 20 per cent *provided* the City exercises said option within 15 days after the official award of contract.

Item No. 13. 112 dozen basketballs, in seam, on sample 7G (Draper-Maynard Co.) Delivery 20 dozen at a time. Price $64 a dozen; Klawans & McMullin.

Resolved, That no bond be required on above contract.

Note: All above awards are made to the lowest bidder except when award is made in consideration of deliveries or on account of the quality as determined by such tests as required or recommended by the Purchaser of Supplies.

Resolved, That all other bids submitted thereon be rejected.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Marks —2.

Award of Contract, Water Towers and Motor Batteries.

Supervisor Badaracco presented:

Resolution No. 26769 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of contract be hereby made to Union Machine Company on bid submitted January 3, 1927 (Proposal No. 270), for furnishing the following, viz.:

2 water towers and monitor batteries complete for Fire Department at $11,300 each.

Resolved, That a bond in the sum of $5,000 be required for the faithful performance of said contract.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco,

Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Marks —2.

Award of Contract, Furniture, School Department.

Supervisor Badaracco presented:

Resolution No. 26770 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of contract be hereby made on bid submitted December 6, 1926 (Proposal No. 264), for furnishing the following, viz.:

Furniture for School Department.

Item No. 1. 1500 chair desks, movable, size No. 1, quarter-sawed oak chair with birch, maple or other close-grained writing surface; full boxed seat; screwed and glued corner blocks; banister or vertical slat back; book rack; solid or closed kick board in rear; no inkwells; finish to be not less than one coat of filler, one coat of shellac and one coat of varnish or lacquer; awarded to R. Brandlein & Co. Price each, $7.33.

Resolved, That a bond in the sum of $1,000 be required for the faithful performance of said contract.

Note: All above awards are made to the lowest bidder except when award is made in consideration of deliveries or on account of the quality as determined by such tests as required or recommended by the Purchaser of Supplies.

Resolved, That all other bids submitted thereon be rejected.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Marks —2.

SPECIAL ORDER—11 A. M.

Salary Increases—Janitors and Janitresses, School Department.

Supervisor Gallagher moved that the Finance Committee be directed to try and convince the Board of Education of the need of granting a further increase of $5 per month, beginning February 1, by the Board of Education to the janitors and janitresses of the School Department, and we hereby agree to recommend an appropriation at the earliest possible date of $6,500 out of any surplus to be found by the Finance Committee, which amount is to be applied to Engineering and Janitorial Salary Fund of the Board of Education.

Supervisor Byington raised the point of order that the motion was out of order and illegal.

Point of order *not well taken.*

Whereupon, Supervisor Badaracco asked for a segregation of the motion, which was allowed and the motion as segregated voted upon as follows:

(1) In re $5 increase by the Board of Education as of February 1, 1927.

Motion *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—11.

Noes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Harrelson, Kent, McSheehy —5.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Marks —2.

(2) Appropriation of $6,500 out of any surplus to be found by the Finance Committee.

Motion *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Deasy, Gallagher, Hayden, Stanton—4.

Noes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Todd —12.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Marks —2.

Explanation of Vote.

Supervisor Byington explained his vote by saying that he believed the motion to be out of order and illegal.

Supervisor Colman explained his vote by saying that he considered it interference with proper function of the Board of Education and a futile act.

Supervisor Badaracco explained his vote as to the second part of the motion by saying it was a function of the Board of Education to make these increases.

Supervisor Havenner considered it an idle gesture.

Supervisor Roncovieri voted with the understanding that this action is recommendatory only.

Hearing of East Bay Cities Bridge Representatives.

Supervisor Byington moved that immediately upon reconvening this afternoon that the courtesy of the floor be extended to representatives of East Bay cities on matters pertaining to the proposed bay bridge.

No objection and it was *so ordered.*

RECESS.

Whereupon, the Board at the hour of 12:30 p. m. took a recess until 2:30 p. m.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk.

REASSEMBLED—2:30 P. M.

The Board of Supervisors reassembled at 2:30 p. m.

Members present:

Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Marks—2.

Quorum present.

His Honor Mayor Rolph being absent, Supervisor McSheehy was elected to preside.

BRIDGE MATTERS.

Excerpt From Minutes of Special Bridge Committee, Saturday, January 22, 1927.

The Special Bridge Committee presented the following:

"*Supervisor Havenner*: I would like to move at this time, Mr. Chairman, than the Clerk of the Board be asked to write the members of the Alameda County Board of Supervisors to confer with the members of this Board on the general question of the advisability of forming a bridge district, consisting of two counties, for the construction of this bridge.

Supervisor Shannon: I desire to submit the following substitute (typewritten):

The undersigned, members of the Special Bridge Committee of the Board of Supervisors, hereby submit the following report for adoption by the Board:

1. Public ownership of the Bay Bridge, together with control of the site and plans by the County of San Francisco and the War Department alone, is hereby declared to be the policy of this Board. As the means of securing these two objects, the following program is hereby adopted:

That the bridge shall be constructed by private capital under franchise of this County; that the franchise shall contain provisions by which this County, or a bridge district, may acquire the structure after completion without condemnation proceedings and on terms of price stipulated in the franchise; bridge to revert to the public free on expiration of the franchise if not previously acquired. Advantages thus secured are: Control by this Board of the site and plans, subject only to War Department authority; conservation of the public bonding capacity for other pending projects; public ownership of the completed structure whenever the public desires.

2. The Bay Bridge is hereby defined as a structure for accommodating suburban electric trains, automobiles and pedestrians. Estimates for carrying transcontinental trains have shown the added cost prohibitive. If, however, the coaches of such trains can be brought across the bridge in sections behind light locomotive, without increasing cost of bridge or terminals above the cost for a suburban bridge, such plan is favored. Tubes are hereby declared undesirable unless within pierhead limits.

3. Compliance is hereby made with the provisions of the State toll bridge law, which requires this Board to designate "the precise point where such bridge is proposed to be located."

In accordance with the judgment of your Committee and the advice of the City Engineer, the following terminal areas are designated, subject to the approval of the War Department: The area known as Rincon Hill, San Francisco; the area bounded by Seventh, Bay, Eighth and Pine streets, Oakland.

No bridge project now before the Board connects these two points, except the Ellis tunnel, 200 to 300 feet below water level. Four projects on routes farther north have the disadvantages of interfering with the main anchorage and with the water fronts on both sides of the Bay and of being accessible to only one railroad.

4. The following immediate action, therefore, is hereby determined:

(a) That a detailed ruling on these designed terminals shall immediately be sought from the War Department by a committee of two Supervisors, the appointment of which to be made by the chairman of the Finance Committee. This committee to be accompanied by the City Engineer and a representative of the City Attorney's office; that this committee be and it is hereby instructed to obtain, if possible, the War Department's authorization for a surface bridge, adequate in height and length to span, linking these two potnis in a direct or indirect line without interference to the proper use of the harbor or its waterfronts.

(b) That upon obtaining favorable ruling from the War Depart-

ment, this Board shall immediately reopen its bridge hearings for consideration of any and all plans that shall then be submitted, for a suburban, automobile and pedestrian bridge connecting Rincon Hill, San Francisco, with the area bounded by Seventh, Bay, Eighth and Pine streets, Oakland, conforming with the War Department's requirements and accompanied by proper evidence of good faith and financial reliability on the part of the proponents.

(c). That if the War Department refuses to allow any reasonably economical structure to be erected between these two poins this Board shall proceed to designate other terminals north of Hunter's Point and apply to the War Department for its authorization.

(Signed):

WARREN SHANNON,
J. B. BADARACCO,
J. B. McSHEEHY,
MILO F. KENT,
CHARLES F. TODD,
MILTON MARKS."

I move this as a substitute for the motions that are now before us and for all the matters that are now before the Committee.

After discussion, the roll was called with the following result:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Marks, McSheehy, Shannon, Todd —5.

No—Supervisor Havenner—1.

Absent—Supervisor Kent—1.

City Attorney's Opinion.

The following was read by the Clerk:

January 31, 1927.

Subject: Transbay Bridge—Powers of Board of Supervisors.

Dear Sirs:

You have transmitted to me a partial record of the proceedings of the meeting of your Board, held on the afternoon of Monday, January 24th, during which proceedings various members asked to be advised on various subjects relative to the above matter.

Question 1. By Supervisor Havenner (Reporter's Trans., p. 8): The question whether "Under the law governing these bridge proceedings a committee or the entire Board would have a legal right to express a preference for a site, or for terminals for a site without having had any discussion in the committee itself, or the Board itself, of the merits of the site?"

Question 2. By Supervisor Havenner (Reporter's Trans., p. 12): "If the Board of Supervisors selects or designates a site for a bridge has any person or corporation who has made an application to construct a bridge from the site recommended any preferential right to construct the bridge?"

Question 3. By Supervisor Gallagher (Reporter's Trans., p. 12): "Can the subcommittee on bridges of the Board of Supervisors recommend a site to the Board, and can the Board of Supervisors legally decide upon that site without voting upon it, as per an application submitted upon almost the exact site and pending in the Board?"

Question 4. By Supervisor Stanton (Reporter's Trans., p. 19): "Will the consideration of the report of the Bridge Committee by the Board of Supervisors be liable to declare the whole bridge proposition invalid?"

Question 5. By Supervisor Gallagher (Reporter's Trans., p. 28): "If the Construction Company of North America controls by option to purchase the key properties to the bridge (meaning Rincon Hill), how con you exclude their petition now before the Board, and how can those lands be reclaimed in case we desire to give some other competitor the right to build the bridge, or build the bridge ourselves?"

Question 6. Submitted in writing by Supervisor Shannon: "Would the selection of the Rincon Hill and Seventh and Bay streets site, and a decision to award a franchise to a private bidder, give a monopoly to any certain invidiviual or company?"

Before proceeding to answer the questions asked, permit me to most respectfully direct your attention to the great difficulty in answering the questions on so important a subject that have been hurriedly framed, and which possibly to some extent do not contain the real question intended to be asked, and that I believe that the results would be far more satisfactory to your Board and would relieve this office of considerable work if all questions were submitted in writing as required by the Charter.

Opinion.

Question 1. The report of a committee of your Board is in no way binding upon the Board—it may be accepted or rejected. Should a committee make a report without any discussion of the merits of the matters contained in its report, this fact of itself is no ground for refusing to consider it. If the Board believes that the matter was not sufficiently considered it may be referred back to the committee or rejected. Lack of discussion or lack of consideration would go to the

weight or value, and not the legality of the report. Your Board has full power to deal with the report as you see fit. It is a parliamentary rather than a legal matter. I direct your attention, however, that Sections 2870 and 2871 of the Political Code provide for all hearings by the Board and not by a committee thereof, and that while the matter involving the granting of a bridge franchise might be referred to a committee for recommendation, the committee should be guided by the matters which have been presented in open board and should not hear any new or additional evidence.

Question 2. Before any franchise is granted, necessarily the site must be selected. Section 4 of the Act of 1881 provides "That whenever the Supervisors of any county or counties desire to erect a bridge on any public highway, or to grant the privilege so to do to any individual or corporation, across a navigable stream, said board or boards shall notify the State Engineer of such purpose, *and of the precise point where said bridge is proposed to be located.*"

Supervisor Badaracco: I would suggest that the Clerk read the question and then the answer and then the opinion from the City Attorney. Let him read the question and then the opinion.

The Chairman: The Clerk is reading it just as it has been forwarded to him by the City Attorney.

Supervisor Stanton: The Supervisor's idea is to read the answers to the question and not read all the questions and then all the answers.

Supervisor Badaracco: He is going to read Question No. 3.

The Clerk: I have not finished No. 2 yet.

Supervisor Badaracco: When he reads No. 3 I would suggest he read Question No. 3, too.

The Clerk: All right, I can do that.

The Chairman: All right, Supervisor.

The Clerk (reading): After this is done, the State Engineer, after the required notice, fixes the length of the spans, etc. You are therefore advised that your Board should, before adopting any partciular plan for the bridge, select the site. When the site has been selected the owner of the particular site on the left bank of the stream descending is entitled over all others to a preference in procuring the authority to construct the bridge, and if he does not exercise it the owner of the site on the right bank is entitled to the preference, and if neither owner applies for the privilege within a reasonable time after the necessity for the bridge arises the Board may grant authority to another person to construct it. There is nothing in the statute which gives any applicant, except the owners of the termini on either side any preference, and if the Board deemed that the plan submitted by any applicant for any partciular site not best suited to meet the public convenience and necessity, it is not compelled to accept the particular plan submitted and may permit other applicants to make application for a franchise for that particular site.

Question 3. A subcommittee can recommend a site for a bridge to the Board, and the Board has full power to determine on a site by a majority vote, and the Board by designating a particular site does not at the same time have to grant the application of any particular corporation or individual who may have made application for a franchise from that particular site. The selection of the site is one matter and the granting of a franchise is another.

Question 4. If the subcommittee on bridges, in making up their report, have acted only upon such evidence and information as may have been presented to the Board at regular bridge hearings, the Board may consider the report without endangering the validity of the so-called "bridge hearings." If other evidence or information forms the basis of the report of the committee, that evidence or information should be presented directly with the report.

Question 5. The proceedings authorizing the granting of a bridge franchise being statutory will be strictly construed, and I am of the opinion that an option to purchase property does not make the holder of the option the owner of the property, and that therefore the mere fact that the Construction Company of North America held an option to purchase certain property which you might select as the starting point for a bridge would not give that company any preferential right. It is the owner of the property that has the right. I am, however, of the opinion that ownership might be acquired at any time before the hearing by the State Engineer as provided in Section 4 of the Act of 1881, after the Board has designated the precise point where the bridge is to be located. And in this regard I direct your attention to the case of Galvin vs. Board of

Supervisors, 195 Cal. 686. In this case one of the questions before the Court was whether proper notice of the hearing of the application for a franchise had been given to the State Engineer, and if the proper hearing had been held. The Court decided that it had not, and that it could not be waived giving as one of the reasons that the owners of the land on either bank of the waters at the points thereon where the bridge is to be placed are entitled to notice of the application, to the end that they may avail themselves of the preference given by Section 2854 of the Political Code. From the language used in this case it would appear that the party who was the owner of the site at the time of the hearing by the State Engineer is entitled to the preference.

Question 6. The granting of an exclusive franchise to construct or operate a toll bridge is not of itself a monopoly, even though it may be exclusive. This principle has received the approval of many of the highest courts of the country. I presume, however, that the word "monopoly" is not used in the question asked in the strict legal sense. The Board of Supervisors in granting any franchise to construct and operate a toll bridge has broad powers as to the conditions under which the franchise shall be granted, and may make such conditions a part of the franchise. I believe that such conditions might be made a part of any bridge franchise granted by your Board, that while the owner of the franchise would have the exclusive privilege of operating the bridge it would have to be so operated that all of the public would have equal right to its use.

Sincerely yours,
(Signed) JNO. J. O'TOOLE,
City Attorney.

Privilege of the Floor.

Mr. Leroy Goodrich, representing East Bay cities, was granted the privilege of the floor. He expressed himself as ready and willing to cooperate in the matter of constructing a bridge between San Francisco and the East Bay cities. "The bay is not a barrier. It should be a bond between the cities on the two sides of the bay, and we cannot help but feel that this entire bridge question must be looked at simply as a matter of providing better, easier, cheaper, more economical, speedier intercourse, neighborly, friendly intercourse, day after day, between the peoples of the cities on the two sides of the bay. That is the way we are trying to approach the whole question on our side of the bay."

There are, however, fundamental considerations affecting this bridge matter. It must not interfere with our $50,000,000 project for harbor development.

* * * * *

The harbor plan which has been adopted by the city of Oakland and by the city of Alameda for harbor development here was submitted to the United States Engineering Office and it has been approved and ratified by them. It was recommended in person to the Rivers and Harbors Committee by General Taylor, then Chief of Engineers, last June before he left office, and has subsequently been given the complete approval of General Jadwin, now the Chief of Engineers, and upon their recommendation Congress appropriated $1,370,000 for the immediate digging of these two channels, the work on which is to be begun almost immediately. In fact, just this last week they issued a special permit by which we could enter into a contract to do some work in advance of the government appropriation being available, so that the work can be expedited, because they realize that it is of pressing importance that it get under way just as rapidly as possible.

Now we, therefore, are going ahead with this entire program on the east side of the bay, and the government is appropriating its money, not only for the dredging of the channels, but, subsequently, for the maintenance of this and some other channels. In addition to that the government has expressly made the requirement, because of the growth of shipping over there, that there should be no bridges, even on our side of the bay, that would in any way impede the channels of navigation. There was, for example, at the foot of Webster street here, a bridge going across Oakland to Alameda, and that bridge was a menace to navigation and an obstacle to commerce, and the government came along and ordered the removal of the bridge, and Alameda County has been expending, during the last couple of years, nearly $4,000,000 on the construction of a tube under the estuary, and that tube will be completed probably about September, I think, of this year. So that is another investment of $4,000,000 there to make easier and safe and better navigation from one part to another of harbors on the east side of the bay. The engineering office has even gone further than that in its insistence upon the removal of obstructions, because

they have asked, up here in the tidal canal, that the bridges far up there, governing the entrance into San Leandro Bay, that some of those bridges which are old and antiquated be removed and that the number be decreased. They have suggested a smaller number of bridges or preferably tubes up in that area, so that there would be no obstacle to commerce in that district. Now, what we suggest to you, first of all, is that the cities over on the east side of the bay have, therefore, entered into a program—Alameda and Oakland, at least—in concert, for the development of their harbors, and such a program, of such size and such importance to the cities themselves, that they could not—they simply could not—look in any friendly way toward any project that would, because of its very nature, disrupt or interefere with or make impossible that entire program. We feel, too, that there is an interest in this thing that is decidedly paramount to the interests that any of us may have here, and I want to speak of that for a minute or two, very frankly. We here around San Francisco Bay are interested, or it seems to me that we should be interested, in cooperation, in unified action among the cities here for our common advantage, for our personal advantage. Whatever helps you to grow on your side of the bay indirectly helps us. What helps us on the other side of the bay to grow indirectly helps you. And there should not be, and it seems to me if we approach these questions in the right spirit there will not be, any opposing interests on the two sides of the bay. We have too many common interests for anything of that sort. But we expect to get—just as you expect to get—from harbor development or anything of that kind, first of all, an immediate return to ourselves. The development of tonnage in San Francisco Bay, no matter who handles it, is of advantage to every city around the bay. It adds to our wealth and our prosperity and the business that we can do, and it contributes towards the upbuilding of all these cities around the bay in the immediate future. There is an interest that is decidedly paramount to that, because the simple fact that we live about the bay here does not give us the ownership of the bay itself. It does not leave us free to devise whatever plan we may, in whatever location, for intercourse with each other, regardless of the interests of others who may not be here. The whole nation has an interest in the development of harbor facilities in San Francisco Bay, because the whole nation will be served by those facilities in time of peace. In time of war the nation has a particular interest in a question such as this question that we are discussing, of crossing the bay by some bridge device. The nation is interested, first, for the reason that here in the center of the bay, out here, is the anchorage for the fleet, and this is the natural home, San Francisco Bay, and the natural base for the fleet of the United States in time of war. The nation is further interested because of the fact that three times boards of investigation and inquiry, appointed by the Navy Department, have made a study of available bases on the Pacific Coast, and three times the navy boards have unanimously made the same report, that the Navy Department wants a base on San Francisco Bay, and three times they have designated the location of the base that they want over here adjacent to the west end of Alameda, where there was once offered by the city of Alameda an area of approximately 5000 acres for that particular purpose. It is inconceivable, we feel, that the Navy Department or the United States government either would or could consent to any project that we, in common, might agree upon for the bridging or the crossing of the bay that would in any way interfere with free access to that naval base site of the vessels of the United States Navy or any of the auxiliary vessels. In addition to that, during the last war the government came out here and demanded the construction, as you know, of shipbuilding yards, and those shipbuilding yards, all of them, practically, were located in this area, at least were located in the long estuary, where they could be sheltered, in a land-locked harbor where they were sheltered, one of them at Moore's over here, and one at the Bethlehem plant, in Alameda, were two of the foremost shipbuilding yards in the entire United States.

Now, we knew, I say, very little about the proposed program; we knew nothing officially only through the press as to what had been discussed here for the bridging of the bay. But a bridge running from any point in San Francisco and having its terminus on the other side of the bay here at Seventh and Pine streets, or anywhere in that area, would do two things: First, it would completely obstruct harbor development and the development

PUBLIC LIBRARY

of tonnage for the harbors of Alameda and Oakland.

Mr. Locke said the East Bay cities would feel it necessary to enter a vigorous protest in Washington against any permit being granted to San Francisco to construct a bridge that would interfere with the pending harbor developments of the East Bay cities.

* * * * *

"I have this in mind as a solution of the problem, and my colleagues agree with me, I think, and that is that San Francisco and the East Bay cities enter into some kind of an arrangement, such as has been entered into heretofore by the East Bay cities, and was recently entered between Alameda and Oakland, for the appointment of a joint committee to study this entire question of bridge, the location and the character of the bridge, and instead of having a San Francisco bridge committee, why not have a Bay Cities bridge committee? Instead of leaving the entire matter in the hands of one city, would it not show a more friendly spirit if you would enter into such a cooperative arrangement as has been suggested? And take the three large cities on the east side of the Bay and have, say, two representatives from each and two from the Board of Supervisors of Alameda County, and let that committee of eight form a joint committee with your committee of seven on this side of the Bay, and let us sit down together, with our feet under the table, and I think that we can arrive at a solution that will be acceptable and most satisfactory to all concerned, and for the best interests of all concerned."

Mr. Hickok, City Manager of Alameda: Mr. Chairman and Gentlemen: It is true that there was an engineering committee appointed at that time. That committee consisted of Mr. O'Shaughnessy, City Engineer of San Francisco; Mr. Marston Campbell, at that time City Engineer of Oakland, and Professor Derleth of the University of California any myself. We gave the matter consideration over a period of some weeks and finally came to the conclusion that we did not possess at that time sufficient engineering information nor experience of that particular type to bring in a proper report. And we so reported back to our respective governing bodies, advising them along the lines that you have mentioned, that expert bridge engineers be brought in and be given a sufficient amount of money to make the necessary borings at that time, to ascertain what the foundations were and so forth. So far as I know nothing has been done as the result of that report. And while I am on my feet I might bring out a point which was mentioned awhile ago, referring to a joint conference which was held by a committee for the Board of Supervisors of San Francisco with the committee which was appointed by the Supervisors of Alameda County. That was in 1921 I happened to be on the committee from Alameda and so I am familiar with what was done. The final action was that this committee from the City of San Francisco went over and met with the Board of Supervisors in Alameda County, at the Alameda Court House, and it seemed that an impasse had been reached where the two committees could not agree. The point on which they could not agree was this, and it resulted in the effort being dropped at that time, namely, that the City of San Francisco insisted that if a joint bridge was built publicly by the two communities on either side of the Bay that that bridge must carry, in addition to vehicular and interurban traffic, transcontinental trains. That was a fundamental point that the people of San Francisco, the representatives of San Francisco, at that time insisted upon. The representatives of Alameda County took the opposite point, that they would never agree to such a bridge carrying transcontinental trains, and on that point the matter was dropped.

Supervisor Stanton: Do I understand you to say that you would never agree to a bridge carrying transcontinental trains?

Mr. Hickok: I said that was the position that they took—it may have been changed since then— in 1921.

Supervisor Stanton: I remember reading that; I believe that somebody made the statement that Oakland was not going to be a flag station to San Francisco.

Mr. Hickok: That is right. That was the position and the point upon which they failed to agree. The gentleman, one of your Supervisors, said that they failed to agree on the location of the bridge at that time. The location was not the point at issue.

A. J. Cleary, engineer for the Construction Company of North America, was granted the privilege of the floor, and took the opportunity to denounce the authors of what he said were misstatements voiced in the Board of Supervisors regarding that company's bridge plan. He read the following letter from the company in which it agreed to turn

over to the City its plans, maps and options on Rincon Hill property, free of cost, if the City decides to build a bridge itself, to-wit:

"To the Honorable Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Gentlemen:

The report of your committee on the transbay bridge fixed Rincon Hill in San Francisco, and the area bounded by Bay and Pine streets, Seventh and Eighth streets, in Alameda County, as the termini for the bridge that would best serve the City and County of San Francisco and Alameda County. The application for a transbay bridge franchise, filed with the undersigned fixed practically the same locations as the termini of the bridge that this company proposed to construct if its application were granted. Since the report of your committee has been given publicity, certain proponents of other bridge plans and several obstructionists who are opposing all practical plans for a transbay bridge have charged that the Supervisors' bridge committe's report was prompted by a desire to favor the Construction Company of North America. This company has expended much time and money in investigations before it selected these terminal sites and before it prepared its plans. It believes that the location chosen and the plans it proposed are the solution of the problem for a transbay bridge that offers the most direct communication between the East Bay cities and San Francisco, not only for pedestrians, automobilists, interurban and trancontinental lines, but also for a union terminal that will be the greatest advantage to the industrial development of San Francisco. The building of such a bridge and the establishment of such a terminal will be of untold benefit to our City and will give added impetus to its stride to reach its goal as the country's premier metropolis. The Construction Company of North America stood ready, if its application were granted, to finance and construct this bridge without delay. However, it has never been so deeply interested itself in constructing the bridge as in helping to designate, by route and design, the bridge that would best serve the needs of San Francisco and the bay district. This company is convinced that your committee's report designates the most desirable and most practical route. Some interests have suggested that the adoption of the committee's report will be tantamount to granting the Construction Company of North America a franchise, and on that alleged ground are opposing its adoption. To obviate this ground of opposition and prompted solely by the desire to cooperate with the City in the accomplishment of this great work, this company offers to the City, gratuitously, all of the plans and computations, working papers and property option it may possess should the City decide to build the bridge itself. This company will ever stand ready to give its best efforts to this cause, whether it is finally determined to build a bridge as a municipal or a private enterprise. It desires only to obtain for San Francisco and the bay region a transbay bridge, the best bridge, built without delay."

CONSTRUCTION COMPANY OF NORTH AMERICA.

Communication and Telegram.

Supervisor Stanton presented the following, which was read by Clerk and ordered *filed*:

January 22, 1927.

Honorable Richard J. Welch, Washington, D. C.:

Considerable confusion exists here owing to conflicting press reports about statements purporting to emanate from General Jadwin in interviews and in testimony before Special Committee of House on present position of War Department on delayed ruling on hearing of December, 1925, at San Francisco, reference bay bridges. Will appreciate immediate answer following question: Does War Department demand that Supervisors grant franchise to some applicant before announcing its decision on question whether bridge can be built north of Hunter's Point, or will Department announce decision on policy in accordance with purpose of hearing held here eighteen months ago so that Supervisors may proceed with definite knowledge of Department's position, to end that useless efforts may be avoided and costly delay obviated? Believe a reply from you will clarify situation greatly. Please send reply 204 Stevenson street.

WILLIAM P. STANTON,
Member Board of Supervisors.

Congress of the United States, House of Representatives, January 22, 1927.

Honorable William P. Stanton, San Francisco.

My dear Supervisor:

I am enclosing herewith copy of a communication from Herbert Deakyne, Brigadier-General, Acting

Chief of Engineers of the United States War Department. In answer to your telegram of January 15, 1927, same was referred by me to Major-General Edgar Jadwin, Chief of Engineers, United States Army, War Department.

Very truly yours,
RICHARD J. WELCH. M. C.

War Department, Office Chief of Engineers, January 19, 1927.

Honorable Richard J. Welch, House of Representatives.

Dear Mr. Welch:

The receipt is acknowledged of your letter of January 17, enclosing a telegram from Honorable William P. Stanton, requesting information as to the War Department's policy in regard to bridging San Francisco Bay. In reply I have to state that the policy announced in 1921 and reaffirmed in 1923, that no bridge will be approved north of Hunter's Point is still in full force and effect. The application for a modification of this policy which gave rise to the hearings held in June, 1925, is still under consideration, action having been deferred at the request of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors, the Chamber of Commerce and other local organizations to allow them to present additional data. The War Department does not demand that the Board of Supervisors grant a franchise for a bridge at any particular site before taking action on the application of 1925 for a modification of policy. This office stands ready to take action on the application of 1925 at any time the local interests desire.

Respectfully,
HERBERT DEAKYNE,
Brigadier-General, Acting Chief of Engineers.

Supervisor Stanton stated that later he intended to move that Clerk send telegram to War Department asking for a ruling.

Motion.

Supervisor Gallagher, seconded by Supervisor Schmidt, thereupon moved that the report of the Bridge Committee, now pending, be filed.

Statement of Supervisor Gallagher.

The following was read by the Clerk:

The Clerk (reading): "The reputed dubious origin of the report of the committee, its manner of presentation, and its engulfing ramifications, to say nothing of its doubtful legality, at least in a parliamentary way, must arouse some slight confusion and doubt in the minds of some of the Supervisors, and is certain to do so among the people. A charge is made that the location is already under option by a petitioner, and it is further charged that a large railway corporation is in control of much of the right of way needed for a bridge. For the committee to say that this is a substitute for all matters pending before the committee is an exhibition of faith in mere language that is at least amusing. The committee, at the meeting held Saturday, January 22, 1927, considered but one of the numerous motions, resolutions and declarations referred to them, to-wit: The resolution declaring for the submission of legislation provided for a bridge district. This report is neither an amendment nor does it even answer several important questions which they, the committee, had in their charge. In a most surprising and unprecedented manner, our City Engineer made an appearance and was asked what appeared to be a set of carefully prepared and apparently agreed upon beforehand questions. With a startling exhibition of engineering legerdemain he, the City Engineer, waved out of serious consideration all but two bridge propositions north of Hunter's Point. Also a most unusual and unethical procedure was the presentation of this report as a motion or substitute, already signed by six or seven members of the committee, thereby excluding any opportunity to interfere with or preclude change or substitution in the provisions of this report. The declaration in the report for public ownership is a mere gesture and meaningless for two reasons: It is already within the power of the people to take over this, or any similar utility, when they are ready to pay. It must be apparent that two and possibly three municipal elections will have occurred before the bridge can be constructed, and the members of this committee well know that they cannot bind their successors. There is considerable cunning evident in this report. It has some forward looking features. It does provide for some action. It does not dispose of the petitions now pending but withholds action thereon pending future events. It provides for competitive bidding on the Rincon Hill location, provided, however, that this Rincon Hill location land is not already definitely tied up by certain financial and corporate interests interested, either in preventing or delaying the bridge proj-

ect, or in forcing themselves in a splendid strategic position as against competitors. Therefore, the introduction of this report necessarily clouds some of the issues and delays, at least temporarily, some of the others. It is a document on a most important matter, and its adoption can only lead to interminable delay, if not procrastination, with knowledge beforehand that the governmental department in charge of this matter desires us to present a definite proposition. The committee's recommendation to send a committee to Washington is a mere gesture. There is no discernible constructive result to be attained from the adoption of this report, except to confuse and becloud. Since the report has engendered so much suspicion and doubt, it should be speedly filed in the City's archives, as a master stroke of secret session favoritism and meaningless words. Andrew J. Gallagher."

Amendment.

Whereupon, Supervisor Shannon moved as an amendment to Supervisor Gallagher's motion that the entire matter now before us be referred to the Special Bridge Committee.

Point of Order.

Supervisor Gallagher raised to a point of order that the motion to refer is out of order since the matter has once been referred and the motion should have been to rerefer.

Chair: Point of order not well taken.

Report of Chairman, Special Bridge Committee.

Thereupon, Supervisor McSheehy presented:

"San Francisco,
January 28, 1927.

"To the Honorable Members of the Board of Supervisors:

"As chairman of the Special Bridge Committee, consisting of seven members of the Board, in which six members reported that a bridge be constructed by private capital under a franchise of this county, and that the franchise shall contain provisions by which this county or a bridge district may acquire the structure after completion without condemnation proceedings and on terms or price stipulated in the franchise; bridge to revert to the public free on expiration of the franchise if not previously acquired. The advantages thus secured are: Control by this Board of the site and plans, subject only to War Department authority; speed and facility of construction; conservation of the public bonding capacity for other investments by ownership, without obstacles, whenever the public desires. This bridge shall have a terminal on the area known as Rincon Hill in San Francisco and the area bounded by Seventh, Bay, Eighth and Pine streets, Oakland.

"On January 22, 1927, I received the following letter from Richard J. Welch, Congressman and former Supervisor, addressed to him by Herbert Deakyne, Brigadier-General and Acting Chief of Engineers:

" 'My dear Mr. Welch:

" '1. Referring to telegram addressed to you by Supervisor McSheehy of the Board of Supervisors of San Francisco, relative to the War Department policy with respect to bridging San Francisco Bay, I have to say that the policy announced in 1921 and reaffirmed in 1923, that no bridge would be approved north of Hunter's Point, is still in full force and effect.

" '2. The application for a modification of this policy which gave rise to the hearings held in June, 1925, is still under consideration, action having been deferred at the request of local organizations, particularly the Board of Supervisors, the Chamber of Commerce and the Downtown Association.

" '3. The War Department does not demand that the Board of Supervisors grant a franchise for a bridge at any particular site before taking action on the application of 1925 for a modification of policy. This office is ready to take action as soon as it is assured that the delay requested is no longer desired. As the matter now stands there has been no withdrawal of the request for delay by the organizations named above.

" 'Sincerely yours,
" 'HERBERT DEAKYNE,
" 'Brigadier-General,
" 'Acting Chief of Engineers.'

"The purpose of that letter simply is that the War Department will not modify its ruling unless good reasons are shown.

"Eighteen applications were received, asking for a franchise, four at Hunter's Point and fourteen north of Hunter's Point. A number of the applicants stated that they spent as high as $30,000 in the preparation of plans and specifications. This large amount would not have been spent if the applicants did not feel that the War De-

partment would modify its ruling of 1921. Six of the seven members feel likewise and that is why we introduced the report that you now have before you. The following is a list of reasons why I personally signed that report.

"1. Action: Give the War Department something concrete to work on.

"2. Locations are the most advantageous for the building of a bridge between the City and County of San Francisco and the City of Oakland.

"3. Rincon Hill has an approximate elevation of 90 feet above mean high water, which is a wonderful advantage.

"4. The City of San Francisco owns a number of public streets on Rincon Hill. The right of eminent domain will permit the City of San Francisco to condemn any properties adjacent to these streets.

"5. This bridge will accommodate vehicular and interurban travel. It can also accommodate transcontinental travel under certain conditions, the details of which can be worked out later. I would not favor any franchise that does not permit the use of the bridge by all railroads.

"6. This bridge is almost in the center of what is known as the downtown business district and the topography of the entire hill is such that it is almost isolated and unoccupied, and being in such a condition lends itself to such modern improvements that it will not congest the adjacent neighborhood.

"7. No citizens of this bay region desire to harm the waterfront of Oakland or the proposed naval base. This is entirely a matter of engineering and I believe that by high levels over the mouth of the Oakland inner harbor or a tube within the Oakland pier-head limits, if necessary, all damages can be avoided. It is possible that the naval base might gain more direct access both to Oakland and San Francisco.

"8. The bridge should be built for about fifty million dollars and if it can be built for that price I feel that tolls for vehicular and interurban travel can be such that the bridge will be a financial success from the start.

"9. Our records will show that during the bridge hearings that the president of one of the ferry companies stated that his company could make a profit on a carrying charge of thirty cents for an automobile from San Francisco to Oakland. With that statement we must erect a bridge that can carry automobiles for at least that price, if not less.

"10. The Oakland terminal is bounded by Seventh, Bay, Eighth and Pine streets. This location is so situated that it has easy access to the Southern Pacific and Key Route Railroads and to a number of the main streets leading into and out of the City of Oakland.

"11. A vehicular bridge cannot be erected at this point and be made to pay. We must have interurban travel as well.

"A great deal of criticism has been hurled at the committee for their report and as chairman of the committee I resent the criticism as unwarranted, unfounded and not constructive. I am the last man in the world that should hold a brief for the Construction Company of North America, and I hold none. Every member of this Board has received from the Construction Company of North America a copy in booklet form, and on page 1 of this booklet the following description of their bridge route appears:

" 'Commencing at a point in said City and County of San Francisco near the corner of First and Bryant streets on what is known as Rincon Hill and running thence on less than a 2 degree curve a distance of approximately 1700 lineal feet to the line of bulkhead wharf connecting Piers 30 and 32 on the waterfront of the said City and County of San Francisco; thence on a line approximately north 65 degrees east 21,000 lineal feet, more or less, to the Oakland Mole of the Southern Pacific Company in Alameda County.'

"The committee has not followed this description in the designation of our terminals. I do not know whether the War Department, and I doubt if any member of this Board knows whether the War Department will acquiesce in the terminals recommended by the committee, but I do know that the people of San Francisco want a bridge and the sooner we get started the sooner we will get a bridge. For these reasons and these reasons only I signed the report of the Special Bridge Committee. I hope the Board will favor the report as I feel that it is a step in the right direction.

"JAS B. McSHEEHY,
"Chairman, Special Bridge Committee."

Privilege of the Floor.

Charles Brennan was granted the

privilege of the floor and addressed the Board as follows:

Mr. Chairman and gentlemen of the Board: On several occasions I have had the privilege of appearing before this Board, during the hearings that have been had on these bridge matters, and on each one of those ocassions I have tried, honestly and in good faith, to give this Board the benefit of whatever study I have made of these bridge situations as various developments have arisen. On several occasions I have informed the Board what I believe to be the law, and on each one of those occasions the City Attorney has been requested for an opinion, and in each one of those opinions those things which I have told the Board were the law have been said by the City Attorney to be the law. I have been particularly careful in every statement that I have made, to adhere strictly to the truth, and on this occasion I desire now to speak particularly to a statement, or to a matter that has arisen, through a statement that the Chairman has made with reference to the reason why the War Department at Washington is holding up its decision. I know the reason. And if you will just refresh your memory you will know it. In the letter addressed to you by Congressman Welch, the letter from General Deakyne to Congressman Welch, reference is made there to the fact that a request was made by this Board of Supervisors not to hand down that decision. And I spent fifty minutes one day, on the floor of this Board of Supervisors, telling you why that decision was not down, and I am going to tell it to you again now, and that is the only reason that it is not down: the reason that the decision of the War Department is not down is because this Board of Supervisors sent a telegram, on the 12th day of last July, to the War Department in Washington, requesting that the decision be withheld, and that action immediately followed receipt of a telegram from the then Brigadier General or Major General, Harry Taylor, who was at that time Chief of Engineers of the War Department, in which he said that that decision of the War Department on the hearing of December 25th would be down here not later than the 10th day of July. That is in your records. He went out of office on the 28th day of June. In the latter part of June this Board sent a telegram to United States Senator Hiram W. Johnson, and Senator Johnson went to the War Department in Washington, and was informed by them, and so informed this Board that that decision would be down here within two weeks. And that very time, and during my absence from the city, which, of course, is not important, but I merely mention it at the time that I happened to be absent from the city, there was organized, through the instrumentality of three men, using the bridge committee of the Downtown Association of San Francisco, and an organization known as the San Francisco-Alameda County Bridge Commission, and the head of that bridge commission was, and is now, and for thirty years has been, the lobbyist for the Southern Pacific Railroad Company, and at one time, if it may please you, Mr. Chairman, participated in a political event that is today known and was then the "Shame of California." And when I brought those facts to your attention, on the 10th day of last July, and when Supervisor Gallagher denounced that organization, and when some of the rest of the members of this Board did the same thing, and when you found that that commission, so organized, had brazenly and boldly declared to the War Department that they represented the Board of Supervisors of San Francisco, you sent a telegram to Washington repudiating that commission and saying, "Do not recognize any other body than ours, and do not send this decision down until we ask for it." That was the 12th day of last July. And since that time many things have happened. I am prepared, when you want me to, to give you the chronology of this and the history of it. I have it all. I am not going to take up your time now. But I am not going to sit down here, representing people who have spent nearly $50,000 in an effort to solve this problem for you, and spent it honestly, and have it represented here that the War Department is not ready to render that decision when I know that they are, and when there are two letters that are on file here, read today, one to yourself and the other to Supervisor Stanton, in which the Acting Chief of Engineers of the War Department in Washington says that the War Department does not require that you fix a particular site, and that the War Department there is ready to render its decision whenever the interests here desire, and nothing is holding them up back there but your telegram of July 12th of last year. That is the situation.

I will tell you something. I am

talking to a board of eighteen, and you have known me since I was a boy here in San Francisco, and you have seen me through these bridge hearings, and you know I have been honest with you in everything I have said, and everything that I have done; and I say to you now that we are entitled to some consideration upon this matter; and I say to you more than that, leave us out of the question, because we are only one of nineteen, and I do not know whether we will get this franchise. Somebody ought to get it—leave us out. I say that you owe to the people of San Francisco and all California, and of all the West, and of Oakland, and Alameda and Berkeley, to go about this thing in a logical, intelligible, reasonable, common-sense way, and what is that: That is, say to the War Department at Washington: "What will you permit us to do? You have said in 1921 to the early part of 1925 that you would permit no bridge north of Hunter's Point." In August, 1925, when but two petitions were before this Board, and the question was put to them whether or not, both of them being north of Hunter's Point, they thought a franchise would be granted, with that ruling staring the Board in the face, and they answered that they did not know. The committee reported back to this Board, and said that it believed that the Board should ask for another hearing, and that hearing was granted by the War Department, and the hearing was properly noticed, and the notices were sent out on the 30th day of October, 1925, by Major Schulz, who is the engineer in charge of this district, and the hearings were held on the 11th, 12th and 18th days of December, and now I am going to read you what the purpose of those hearings was, as announced in this room by Major Schulz, if you but give me the time. As I read them, you learn what the hearings were for, but I want to say just a word in advance, that the hearings were for the particular purpose of gathering information and data to be submitted to the War Department at Washington. And what for? Looking to a modification of the ruling of 1921, as reaffirmed in 1925, that was the purpose, and if there is any doubt about it, I am going to read from the opening talk by Major Schulz (reading):

"Major Schulz: The meeting will please come to order. The purpose of this hearing is set forth in the notice of the same which I shall read (reads):

" 'Notice of Public Hearing.

" 'The War Department, having approved the requests of the City and County of San Francisco, California, the City of Alameda, California, et al., for the holding of another public hearing on the subject of the construction of bridges across San Francisco Bay, in order to permit the presentation of additional information and evidence, together with new and modified designs for the purpose of securing a modification of the existing War Department policy relating to the construction of San Francisco Bay crossings, notice is hereby given that a public hearing on the subject will be held by the undersigned at San Francisco, California, in the chambers of the Board of Supervisors, City Hall, at 10 o'clock a. m., on Friday, December 11, 1925.

" 'All interested parties are invited to be present or to be represented at the above time and place, particularly navigation interests and the officials of any county, city, town or local association whose interests may be affected by the construction of the proposed work. They will be given an opportunity to express their views upon the suitability of the location and the adequacy of the plans in reference to navigation, and to suggest changes considered desirable in the interest of navigation.

" 'Oral statements will be heard, but for accuracy of record all important facts and arguments should be submitted in writing, as the records of the hearing will be forwarded for consideration by the War Department. Written statements may be handed to the undersigned at the hearing or mailed to him beforehand.

" 'John W. N. Schulz,

" 'Major Corps of Engineers, District Engineer.' "

And then he continues in his talk, and he says (reading):

"It is desired to have a frank and full expression of the views of all interested parties and as complete a statement as possible of all information bearing upon the question at issue."

What question? The question of the modification of the ruling of 1921, and on the ruling of 1921, and Mr. Byington, if you please, I would like to have you, as you are doing, give me your attention on this matter, because at all of these times you were not present, and you had. I know, honestly, a misconcep-

tion of this entire thing, as some of you other gentlemen have, quite as honestly——— (Continuing reading):

"The Department will give full weight to all evidence and arguments presented at the hearing; and all pertinent material which the parties considered should be brought out at this time. Later ex parte evidence and arguments are not desired by the reviewing authorities unless it is clearly shown that the matter is new and material and that there are good reasons why it could not be presented at the hearing. Such matters will be called to the attention of the opposing interest, and they will be given an opportunity to reply, if the decision of the Department is otherwise likely to differ from their wishes. I shall read the fundamental law bearing on the matter in hand, being Section 9 of the River and Harbor Act, approved March 3, 1899, as follows:"

And he reads the act, and after reading the act——

"Therefore, in this State, in the waterways that we now have under consideration, there is required the approval of the State authorities and the approval of the War Department, before any bridge can be constructed. A general act of the State Legislature delegates the authority to give the State's approval of the county on the left bank of the stream, which, in the case of a bridge from San Francisco across the Bay here, is, of course, the City and County of San Francisco. Also, the plans must, under State law, be approved by the State Engineer.

"It may not be amiss at this time to indicate more particularly the relations of the War Department to the subject of bridging the Bay and its interest in the matter. As I have already said, the law provides that no bridge or similar structure can be built on or over any navigable water of the United States until the location and plans are approved by the Chief of Engineers of the United States Army and by the Secretary of War. The function of the Engineer Branch of the United States Army is to see that any bridges or other crossings do not unreasonably obstruct navigation. Any bridge is somewhat of an obstruction. But to harmonize the conflicting interests of navigation and land transportation it is necessay to determine whether a bridge is an unreasonable obstruction. It it is not unreasonably obstructive, the plans are approved and the bridge is allowed to be built. The matter of a Bay crossing between San Francisco and the East Bay cities was thoroughly considered in a hearing granted by the War Department in 1921, before Colonel Herbert Deakyne, who was then District Engineer. That hearing was primarily on the Davies-Modjeski plan which, as many present probably know, consisted of a tube under the San Francisco side of the Bay under the main channel, rising then onto a bridge and changing at the east side to a trestle structure and finally to a solid fill, which connected with the mainland at Alameda. A number of other plans were also presented and considered at the hearing. As a result of the discussion at the above hearing and careful consideration on the part of the War Department, it was thought advisable at that time to announce a policy of the War Department, which would be the guide in the future. This policy is as follows:"

And he reads it, we are familiar with that——

(Continuing reading.)

"The foregoing is still the policy of the War Department at the present time. Recently, however, the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco and the city council of Alameda, together with certain other interests, requested the War Department to grant a new hearing to determine whether or not a modification of the existing rules could be made."

Could that be clearer? Is there any doubt about that? What is the purpose? To get evidence looking for a modification. (Continuing reading.)

"This request met with the approval of the War Department and the present hearing is the result thereof. In considering the question, we must look to the future and attempt to make our plans so that, as San Francisco develops and the commercial and maritime activity increases, it will not be found that a mistake has been made in allowing a structure which, while it may not obstruct navigation when it is built, will later be found to be obstructive as the commerce increases and the number of vessels on the Bay increases accordingly. There are several important points to be considered in connection with a possible bridge structure. First, of course, the character and size of opening left for the passage of vessels. This includes height and length of spans for navigation openings, and the number of piers. If a tunnel is built, ships will pass

over it without hindrance, and the principal point to be considered is the width of channel covered by the tunnel and the depth of water over the highest part of the tunnel structure. Another feature to be considered is the degree to which the structure will hinder the flow of tides. Another feature is the relation of any structure to be built across the Bay to a naval base in San Francisco Bay. This is a matter of great importance from the national standpoint, and, I think I am safe in saying, from the standpoint of the rounded and sound development of San Francisco Bay and the San Francisco Bay cities as well.

"All interested parties are invited to present a thorough and candid discussion of the case, and are particularly reminded at this time that the hearing has been called to enable arguments and plans to be advanced with a view toward obtaining a modification of the existing War Department policy and that the present hearing is not to be construed as having been called for the consideration of any application for a permit to build any particular structure. In order not to unduly protract the hearing and to render the record unnecessarily complicated and voluminous, it is requested that speakers make their remarks as brief and to the point as practicable."

Now, I have read you enough, perhaps, to fix here once and for all time—I want to read some more from it. I want to read you how much evidence there was submitted to this War Department, that does not know anything about the Bay of San Francisco, that knows very little about the Bay of San Francisco, and where a bridge ought to be built, that same committee, if you please, that same body of citizens, that same corps of specially selected engineers—s e l e c t e d by whom? And from where? Shall we go back and tell these men who have been studying your bay since 1839 what they shall do about permitting you to put a bridge across it, when they have the final say, and that has been determined? Let me show you how much evidence was given. I will read over the index of the contents. Here are the gentlemen who spoke:

Frank H. Ainsworth; Air-Line High Bridge; Alameda Chamber of Commerce; City of Alameda; Alameda County Commuters' Club; American Shipmasters' Association of the Pacific Coast; Bay Cities Bridge Corporation; Bergstrom bridge; Berkeley Chamber of Commerce; City of Berkeley; Berkeley Manufacturers' Association; Bermingham bridge; Edward A. Bertram bridge; Charles A. Brennan; E. D. Bronson, Jr., California Bridge and Tunnel Company; Chamber of Commerce, Crescent City; Chamber of Commerce, Palo Alto; Chamber of Commerce, Redwood City; Chamber of Commerce, San Leandro; R. S. Chew; chief of staff of 12th Naval District; City and County of San Francisco; city manager of Alameda; city manager of Berkeley; city of Oakland; Civic League of Improvement Clubs; C. D. Clarke; A. J. Cleary; Captain C. W. Cole, commandant, 12th Naval District; commissioner of public works, Oakland; Commonwealth Club of California; Construction Company of North America; Maurice C. Couchot; Leonard M. Cox, J. Vipond Davies; district engineer, U. S. Engineer Office; Downtown Association of San Francisco; Clerk John S. Dunnigan of the Board of Supervisors; Oscar A. Ellis; Charles Evan Fowler; Andrew J. Gallagher; George W. Gerhard; Leroy R. Goodrich; Roy C. Hackley; harbor engineer, Oakland; Harry L. Hess; Clifton E. Hichok; John J. Houlihan; Ted Huggins; Holloway Jones; Charles Keller; Lochiel M. King; A. D. Lee; John B. Leonard; Major John G. Little; Mayor of Alameda; Sylvester J. McAtee; Robert J. McGahie; H. H. Meday; Clay Miller, president San Francisco Chamber of Commerce; Motor Car Dealers' Association of San Francisco; Navy Department; National Automobile Club; New York and San Francisco Development Company; James M. Oliver; Frank Otis; Pacific American Steamship Association; Pacific Highway Association; Captain W. J. Peterson; Roy W. Pilling; C. A. Pistolesi; Public Ownership Association; Redwood Highway Association; Jesse Rosenwald; Herbert L. Rothchild; Allen C. Rush; Dudley D. Sales; Major John W. N. Schulz, Corps of Engineers; Shipowners' Association of the Pacific Coast; Southern Pacific Company; Southern Promotion Association; Steamboat Owners' Association of California; Joseph B. Strauss; Sunshine transbay boulevard bridge; Supervisor Richard J. Welch; Taxpayers' Association; Edward K. Taylor; Sidney W. Taylor; J. H. Therien; Walter G. Tibbitts; C. L. Traver; E. L. Vander Naillen; B. G. Vann; Victory tubes; Harry L. Wells, and D. E. Wiseman.

Now, that was a public hearing, and that was a hearing held for the purpose of presenting data and in-

formation to the War Department, looking to a modification of their ruling, and the whole world was notified, and the papers contained the notice and the hearing was had here, and now I am going to ask you, what is there that these gentlemen could present to the War Department, looking to a modification of this ruling, that has not already been presented? And I want to say to you this, that on the tenth day of last December Major-General Jadwin informed this Board he would receive a committee of three from this Board, but notified you particularly there would be no public hearing—no further public hearing.

Now, I have just skimmed the surface here. There is plenty more data in this book; and there is a lot more data in that brief case, and if you will give me the time, which I do not expect to get now, I will give you the history of it in a greater detail, but I am saying to you now, in all sincerity and in all seriousness, when you say that the War Department at Washington is waiting for you to select a site or grant a franchise for this bridge, you have a misconception of the situation, as honest as it might be.

And I want to say that: That if this Board of Supervisors attempts, or believes it is the policy to be followed, to grant a franchise to somebody north of Hunter's Point, so that they might take that franchise back to Washington, upon the specious argument that they have spent $3,000 or $30,000, believing that they can get the War Department to change its decision by exerting some sort of influence or of presenting some sort of argument, I am going to stand on this floor, if you will give me the opportunity, and I am going to fight that as hard as I can, because I am not going to let any man walk out of here who is north of Hunter's Point with a franchise in his hand, that I know under the law of California, he can hold for seven years, and you cannot take it away from him; and I say to you now, and I say it to you because I believe I am entitled to say it to you, I may be presuming just a little bit upon what I believe to be the personal friendship of every man who is listening to me on this Board, but I am going to say to you now that that argument is designed for only one purpose: I did not have the courage, I did not have the honesty, I did not have the good faith to abide by the decision of the War Department, which knows more about bridging the bay than any other body, so I came north of Hunter's Point, and give me the franchise, for God's sake, give it to me, I represent a body of promoters, and I have got money jingling in my pocket, give me the franchise and I will go back, and sit down, and in my bland and convincing way, I will make those hard-shelled men of the War Board take my bridge.

Now, I am going to say in conclusion that I believe we are entitled, and when I say "we" I do not mean the Bermingham plan, I have not spoken at any time since I first presented this matter of the Bermingham plan, to tell you of its virtues, and ask you to vote for it, and I do not intend to do that until the time comes. We presented our plan out in the open, we made our fight in the open, but I do say this to you, and when I say "we" I mean all of us who are at Hunter's Point, and who went there in good faith, accepting the judgment of the War Department, and adopting it as our policy. I am going to say that the "we" includes all of us who were there, and the people of San Francisco who say to you, "Give us a bridge, whether it is Brennan's bridge or whether it is somebody else's bridge, give us a bridge," and I am going to say that the way to get that bridge is to ask the War Department where they will permit you to build it, because if they say you cannot build it north of Hunter's Point, that is our policy, then you will never build it north of Hunter's Point, and if they say that you can build it north of Hunter's Point, then you can go ahead and select the course that you believe to be the best.

Now, Supervisor Havenner says he knows what the tone of the War Department is. I do not know. I have no means of knowing, but I do know this: That the War Department decision will finally control this proposition, and that sooner or later, at the finish, you have got to build the bridge where they tell you to build it, whether it is north or south of Hunter's Point, and if that is the fact, why waste any more time.

We have come here day after day, at some expense of time and effort, and while I do not care to make a point of it particularly, I have come here in the last few weeks sick. I have been a sick man, but I have

come here every day, because I wanted to see how this thing was progressing; and now, have talked to you at some force, but I have talked to you earnestly, and I have talked to you honestly; and if you want to do the right thing for the people of San Francisco, I say to you: Send a telegram to the War Department, and if the telegram comes back and says, "No bridge north of Hunter's Point," and then if you, in your wisdom and your judgment, are dissatisfied, fight it out with the War Department, if you have evidence they haven't got, if you have information and data that they have not got, present it to them, but do not present it to them through an intermediary. Don't give it to one of these men, fifteen men north of Hunter's Point, and say, "Here, because you have said that you can go back there, we give you something that is of incalculable value, and which we could not take away from you, in any event, for seven years, take it back to Washington, represent us, and we will get behind you."

Why do you have to have anybody represent you? Represent yourselves. Get this decision from Washington, and then if it does not please you, whatever it is, then fight it out, and that goes for a publicly-owned bridge, as well as a privately-owned bridge, because the City of San Francisco won't build this bridge, and Alameda won't build that bridge, and I won't build the bridge, unless the War Department indicates where we can build it; and I say to you now, once and for all, you do not have to present to the War Department a specific plan, and those two letters that are on file, and if you will read the last paragraph, which you did not read, evidently overlooked it, you will find what I told you is the truth——

Supervisor Gallagher: What is that seven years?

Mr. Brennan: It means you can grant a franchise, and that if work is not commenced upon the franchise for one of ten thousand different reasons, that any good lawyer could give, he could come back to the Board of Supervisors, and the same would be his right, that this happened or that happened and we want an extension. In order to facilitate the building of the Carquinez bridge, if you want the history of it, they recently extended it seven years.

Communication From Chew Bridge Corporation.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

San Francisco, Cal.,
January 30, 1927.

To the Honorable Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, California.

Gentlemen of the Board:

Being faced with the probability of further delay, and also the possibility of having to return home before final action is taken, I wish at this time to submit the following statement:

You now have before you the resolution of your Bridge Committee, one of its salient features being the elimination of transcontinental passenger service into San Francisco. To me this feature is one of supreme importance to the people of San Francisco. It is the dream and desire of every city of any consequence in this country to have a union passenger terminal for its traveling public. Many cities have spent countless millions for this very purpose.

The Chew Telegraph Hill-Goat Island plan which you have before you calls for this very feature. The objections stated by this committee are that because of the added cost of such a bridge the rates will be prohibitive, and that the burden of this cost will ultimately have to be borne by the commuting and automobile traveling public. In the face of this objection I want to make the following statement:

I wish to reaffirm the offer made at your preliminary hearing to post $1,000,000 in cash or bonds for the faithful performance of every agreement entered into with your Board.

Regarding the added cost of the transcontinental feature, I am prepared at this time to state that any such additional burden will be absorbed by the parties behind this project or who will become interested in it.

Going still further, I am prepared at this time to stipulate that in the draft of the final franchise the fares now charged transbay traffic shall not be increased and that they may possibly be less, and that such fares will be maintained for a period of five years after the completion of the bridge.

We are prepared to acquire sufficient territory on the shoulder of Telegraph Hill and construct thereon the largest union railroad passenger terminal in the world. In addition thereto we are providing the City of San Francisco with a subway which will remove from the congested areas of San Francisco

the traffic problem connected with the solution of a bridge across San Francisco Bay.

Before leaving I would like to suggest, if it is not asking too much, that this Board take immediate action on the proposal of publicly building this bridge. If you should choose to build this bridge by public funds, all proponents are definitely out of it, and I feel that we should not waste any more of your valuable time. I think this is a logical and fair request to make.

Summarizing briefly, we are prepared at this time to give San Francisco a transbay bridge, the world's largest union railway terminal, and a subway, without adding any additional burden to traveling public, and a bridge that will in no way interfere with the extensive and pretentious plans of harbor improvement of the East Bay cities.

In conclusion, may I ask that you include in this resolution instructions to your committee that goes to Washington that it take a set of the Chew Telegraph Hill-Goat Island plans with it so that in case the proposed Rincon Hill route is disapproved it can ask for a ruling on our plans? I do this for these reasons:

1. You were told last week by City Engineer O'Shaughnessy that Rincon Hill or Telegraph Hill are the logical places for a bridge carrying the commuting public.

2. Captain Cole of the Navy filed a written statement in these chambers at the hearing before Major Schulz, in which he said that a high-span bridge from Telegraph Hill to Goat Island and the Key Route pier was the least objectionable of all the proposed plans.

3. An unofficial report published in the San Francisco Call, which we believe was authentic, was to the effect that Major Schulz had recommended to the War Department that a high-span bridge be allowed north of Jackson street.

4. Mr. Leroy Goodrich, president of the Board of Works of Oakland, is reported as having told Supervisors McSheehy and Havenner and City Attorney O'Toole last Saturday that a bridge from Telegraph Hill to Goat Island and the Key Route pier would not interfere with Oakland's proposed harbor improvements.

Very truly yours,
VICTOR S. KNUTSON.

Rereferred.

The question being on rereference to the Committee, the roll was called and the motion *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Todd—13.

Noes — Supervisors Gallagher, Schmidt, Stanton—3.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Marks—2.

Explanation of Vote.

Supervisor Gallagher explained his vote by saying that he voted *no* in an attempt to get action on this important question and this motion provokes delay.

Applications for Franchises to Construct Bridges Across San Francisco Bay.

Whereupon, the following applications were taken up:

Application of Bay Cities Bridge Corporation for a franchise for a toll bridge between San Francisco and Alameda.

Proposed rail and highway crossing for San Francisco Bay from the foot of Sixteenth street, San Francisco, to the foot of Pacific avenue, Alameda.

Beginning at the intersection of the center line of Sixteenth street with the center line of Third (Kentucky) street, in the City and County of San Francisco, and extending thence easterly along the center line of Sixteenth street to the waterfront line; thence easterly along a straight line to a point on the center line of Pacific avenue, in the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, which point is one hundred feet westerly from the intersection of said center line of Pacific avenue with the center line of Bryant street.

That the length of said bridge is 27,750 feet and that the width of the roadway on said bridge is 38 feet.

Filed April 6, 1925.

DUDLEY D. SALES,
Attorney.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Tuesday, February 8, 1927, at 11 a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Todd—11.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Marks, Stanton—7.

Application of Elbert W. Davis for a franchise for a toll bridge between San Franicsco and Alameda.

Across San Franicsco Bay, begin-

ning at a point in the City and County of San Francisco on the bulkhead line of San Francisco Harbor between Piers 26 and 28, and running approximately north 67 degrees 45 minutes east 17,962 feet, more or less, to a point in the City of Oakland, County of Alameda, State of California, on the easterly shore of San Francisco Bay.

That the length of said bridge is to be 17,962 feet and that the breadth thereof is to be 65 feet, more or less.

Filed June 29, 1925.

JAMES M. OLIVER,
Attorney.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Tuesday, February 8, 1927, at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Todd—11.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Marks, Stanton—7.

Application of John Bermingham, Jr., 250 Cervantes boulevard, for a franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge across San Francisco Bay between the City and County of San Francisco and the County of Alameda.

Beginning at the intersection of the center line of Seventh and Mission streets, in the City and County of San Francisco, along Seventh street to Seventh and Sixteenth; thence in a southeasterly direction to Nineteenth and Third; thence in a southerly direction along Third street to the intersection of Third street and Jerrold avenue and waterfront; thence proceeding in a northerly direction, crossing San Francisco Bay to a point from which a branch will extend 4500 feet easterly to the intersection of Pacific avenue and Bryant street, in the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California; thence from said aforementioned intersecting point proceeding in a northerly direction, crossing the Oakland Estuary, to the intersection of Peralta street and Seventh street, in the City of Oakland, County of Alameda, State of California.

That the total length of said bridge, approaches thereto and branch thereof, aforementioned, is 65,450 feet, that the width of said bridge is ninety (90) feet.

Filed September 28, 1925.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Tuesday, February 8, 1927, at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Todd—11.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Marks, Stanton—7.

Application of A. M. Bergstrom for a franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge across San Francisco Bay between the City and County of San Francisco and the County of Alameda.

That the said toll bridge is to be located across the Bay of San Francisco along the following described line, to-wit:

Beginning at a point in San Francisco at about the foot of Davidson avenue, on Hunter's Point, and running northerly sixty-two (62) degrees east four and four-tenths (4.4) miles; thence on a one (1) degree curve to the north one and six-tenths (1.6) miles to true north to a point on the easterly shore of the Bay of San Francisco at about the foot of Webster street, in the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California.

That the length of said bridge is to be six (6) miles and the breadth is to be sixty (60) feet, more or less.

Filed September 28, 1925.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Tuesday, February 8, 1927, at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Todd—11.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Marks, Stanton—7.

Application of New York and San Francisco Development Company for franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge between San Francisco and Alameda.

Bridge to be constructed across San Francisco Bay from a point therein at or near the junction of Evans avenue and Boalt street, Hunter's Point, in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to or near the junction of South High street and Washington street, in the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California; that the length of said bridge is approximately six and one-

half miles from shore line to shore line, and that its breadth or width is approximately from 60 to 90 feet.

Filed January 11, 1926.

ROTHCHILD & GOLDEN,
Attorneys.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Tuesday, February 8, 1927, at 11 a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Todd—11.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Marks, Stanton—7.

Application of Roy C. Hackley for a franchise to construct a toll bridge between San Francisco, Pier 26, and Alameda County.

Beginning at a point in the City and County of San Francisco on the bulkhead line of San Francisco Harbor, south of and adjacent to Pier 26, and running approximately north 61 degrees east and fifty-three hundred feet, more or less; thence running approximately north 89 degrees east five thousand feet, more or less; thence running approximately north 59 degrees 30 minutes east eight thousand feet, more or less, to a point in the City of Oakland, County of Alameda, State of California, on the easterly shore of said San Francisco Bay.

That the length of said bridge is to be eighteen thousand three hundred feet (18,300) and that the breadth thereof is to be 78 feet, more or less.

Roy A. Bronson and E. D. Bronson, Jr., attorneys, 68 Post street.

Filed February 6, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Tuesday, February 8, 1927, at 11 a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Todd—11.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Marks, Stanton—7.

Two applications of the Construction Company of North America for a franchise to construct and open a toll bridge between San Francisco and Alameda County.

Commencing at a point in said City and County of San Francisco near the corner of First and Bryant streets, on what is known as Rincon Hill, and running thence on less than a two-degree curve a distance of approximately 1700 lineal feet to the line of bulkhead wharf connecting Piers 30 and 32 on the waterfront of the said City and County of San Francisco; thence on a line approximately north 65 degrees east 21,000 lineal feet, more or less, to the Oakland Mole of the Southern Pacific Company in Alameda County.

That the length of said bridge is to be 4½ miles and that the width thereof is to be approximately 78 feet.

ROBERT J. McGAHIE,
Attorney, Mills Building.

First application filed February 15, 1926.

Second application field April 5, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Tuesday, February 8, 1927, at 11 a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Todd—11.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Marks, Stanton—7.

Application of Allan C. Rush for a franchise to construct a toll bridge between San Francisco and Alameda County.

Construction of a suspension bridge from the City and County of San Francisco over and across Goat Island to the east shore of the Bay of San Francisco, comprising three main double traffic track entrance therein, and being of a height of two hundred feet above high tide, between all bridge piers in the waters of San Francisco Channel, in which channel the bridge will be suspended over "but three piers," twenty-four hundred feet apart, thus in nowise impeding the traffic of the waters of the Bay.

Filed June 19, 1926.

ALLAN C. RUSH,
1632 McCullom street, Los Angeles, California.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Tuesday, February 8, 1927, at 11 a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Todd—11.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Marks, Stanton—7.

Application of Robert Lee Dunn, 778 Monadnock Building, for a franchise to construct a toll bridge along the following described line, to-wit:

Beginning at the intersection of the center line of Second street with the center line of Berry street, in the City and County of San Francisco and extending thence easterly to the waterfront line; thence easterly along a straight line to the intersection of the center lines of Pacific avenue and Bryant street, City of Alameda, California.

Length of said bridge is twenty-six thousand feet; that the width of the roadway on said bridge is eighty feet.

Filed June 21, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Tuesday, February* 8, 1927, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Todd—11.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Marks, Stanton—7.

Application of Edward A. Bertram for a franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge to be located, to-wit:

Beginning at Twelfth and Howard street, San Francisco, by 1½ per cent viaduct to Beale and Howard streets; thence to Southern Pacific Mole, Oakland; thence by 1½ per cent viaduct to West Fourteenth street level, and Sixteenth Street Railroad Station.

The length of said bridge proper is 21,000 feet and its width of roadway is 63 feet.

Filed June 21, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Tuesday, February* 8, 1927, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Todd—11.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Marks, Stanton—7.

Application of R. S. Chew for franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge or bridges.

The said proposed bridge or bridges are to be located and are described as follows:

The westerly end of a bridge and the approaches thereto, which will connect with public streets, are to be located in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California (which location is in the county situated on the left bank descending of the Bay of San Francisco, across which said bridge is to be constructed), and at a point therein at or near the summit of an eminence known as Telegraph Hill; from this point the bridge will project easterly a distance of nine thousand feet (more or less) to the westerly side of Yerba Buena (or Goat) Island; thence easterly across said island a roadway or viaduct will be constructed for a distance of four thousand feet (more or less) to its easterly side; from thence there will be projected in an easterly direction a distance of twenty-two thousand feet (more or less), a bridge structure, viaduct, causeway and/or fill to suitable connections with lines or railway and public highways in Alameda County, State of California.

Filed June 28, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Tuesday, February* 8, 1927, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Todd—11.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Marks, Stanton—7.

Application of Inter-Cities Bridge Company for a franchise to construct, maintain and operate a toll bridge across the Bay of San Francisco and over the following route:

Beginning at the intersection of Broadway and Battery street, in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and extending thence easterly to the waterfront; thence on a line approximately north 60 degrees east to the westerly point of Yerba Buena or Goat Island; thence by contour meander to the easterly point of Yerba Buena or Goat Island; thence on a line approximately north 75 degrees to the foot of Yerba Buena street, in the City of Oakland, County of Alameda, State of California.

That the length of said bridge, inclusive of approximately 4100 feet on ground support over Yerba Buena or Goat Island, and 5670 feet to be supported by an earth fill mole in the approach to the said Oakland terminal, is to be approximately 27,000 feet. That the width of said bridge is to be approxi-

mately 120 feet, providing two 20-foot vehicular lanes and accommodations for four lines of electric transportation tracks.

Filed July 12, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Tuesday, February 8, 1927, at 11 a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Todd—11.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Marks, Stanton—7.

Application of G. Lindenthal for franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge.

Said bridge is to be located along the following described line:

Commencing at a point in the center line of Jerrold avenue, in the City and County of San Francisco, about midway between Ship street and Dock street, in said City and County of San Francisco; thence on a curve easterly and northerly a distance of 30,000 feet, more or less, to the southerly foot of Webster street, in the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California.

The width of said bridge at the easterly end thereof for approximately 16,000 feet will be 75 feet, and the width of the remaining portion thereof will be approximately 40 feet. The length of said bridge will be approximately 30,000 feet.

Filed August 2, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Tuesday, February 8, 1927, at 11 a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Todd—11.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Marks, Stanton—7.

Preliminary application of Fowler Engineering Corporation for a franchise for the building of a bridge from Telegraph Hill to Goat Island, 3 2000-foot spans.

Filed July 28, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Tuesday, February 8, 1927, at 11 a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Todd—11.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Marks, Stanton—7.

Application of W. F. Holcomb for franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge across San Francisco Bay between the City and County of San Francisco and Alameda County.

Beginning at or near and within one hundred feet northerly or southerly of the intersection of the center line of Second street with the center line of Berry street, in the City and County of San Francisco and extending thence easterly to the waterfront line; thence easterly along a straight line to, at or near and within one hundred feet northerly or southerly of the intersection of the center lines of Pacific avenue and Bryant street, City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California.

That the length of said bridge will be twenty-six thousand feet; that the width of the roadway on said bridge will be eighty-nine feet.

Filed August 9, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Tuesday, February 8, 1927, at 11 a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Todd—11.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Marks, Stanton—7.

Application of Robert E. Fitzgerald for franchise to erect, maintain and operate and take tolls on a toll bridge over and across the Bay of San Francisco. The location of said bridge is described as follows:

The center line of said bridge will commence at a point on The Embarcadero of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, at a point approximately midway between Vallejo street and Broadway street; thence extending on a line approximately north 60 degrees east to the westerly point of Yerba Buena Island, also known as Goat Island; thence following the contour of said island to a point on the easterly shore thereof where said shore line would be intersected by a center line of Seventh street in the City of Oakland, if produced, upon its present course as the course of said street now exists along the northerly line of Oakland

Mole; thence easterly along said line of said street, if so produced, to the center line of said Seventh street at the present westerly end thereof.

Filed August 9, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Tuesday, February 8, 1927, at 11 a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Todd—11.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Marks, Stanton—7.

Application of Thos. E. Slaven, filed August 16, 1926, for franchise to construct, maintain and operate a toll bridge. Said bridge is to be constructed over and across the Bay of San Francisco, and over the following route:

The location of said bridge is particularly described as follows: The center line of said bridge will commence at a point on The Embarcadero of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, approximately where the center line of said Embarcadero intersects the center line of Pier 7, if produced. Thence extending on a line north approximately 67 degrees 30 minutes east to a point on the southwesterly shore of Yerba Buena or Goat Island; thence easterly following the contour of said island to a point on the easterly shore thereof, located about six hundred feet in a northerly direction from the light house located on the southern portion of said island; thence north eighty-five degrees thirty minutes east, approximately 8700 feet to a point where said line intersects the center line of Seventh street, Oakland, if produced, upon its present course as the course of said street now exists along the northern line of Oakland Mole; thence easterly along said line of said street, if so produced, to the center line of said Seventh street at the present westerly end thereof.

The length of said bridge, exclusive of land approaches, being approximately 9300 feet west of said island and approximately 10,400 feet east of said island, and the breadth of said bridge being approximately 115 feet.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Tuesday, February 8, 1927, at 11 a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Todd—11.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Marks, Stanton—7.

Application of E. D. Bronson, Jr., for a franchise for a toll bridge across San Francisco Bay.

Filed August 16, 1926.

Beginning at a point in the City and County of San Francisco on the bulkhead line of San Francisco Harbor, south of and adjacent to Pier 26, and running north approximately 68 degrees east seventeen thousand nine hundred fifty feet (17,950'), more or less, to a point in the City of Oakland, County of Alameda, State of California, on the easterly shore of said San Francisco Bay; and the said toll bridge to be approximately seventeen thousand nine hundred fifty (17,950') feet in length and one hundred ten (110') feet in breadth, more or less.

Roy A. Bronson, E. D. Bronson, Jr., attorneys at law, 68 Post street, Room 403, San Francisco, California.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Tuesday, February 8, 1927, at 11 a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Todd—11.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Marks, Stanton—7.

Tunnels.

Application of O. A. Ellis for franchise for two tunnels under the Bay of San Francisco from San Francisco to Oakland.

One of the tunnels will be for interurban street car traffic, with double track, and the San Francisco terminal between Third and Fourth streets on Harrison street.

The other tunnel will be for auto and railroad traffic, with San Francisco terminal between Second and Third streets on Harrison street.

The Oakland terminal will be at Seventh and Cedar streets.

Filed June 25, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Tuesday, February 8, 1927, at 11 a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri,

Schmidt, Shannon, Todd—11.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Marks, Stanton—7.

333 Kearny Street.

The resolution pertaining to the lease of offices at 333 Kearny street was *continued for one week.*

Airport Hearing.

The consideration of proposed sites for San Francisco's airport was *continued for one week.*

Proposed Salary Increases. Nurses.

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, pursuant to Ordinance No. 7117 (New Series), and in accordance with Subdivision "C" of Section 3 thereof, the Auditor is hereby authorized and requested to make transfers of requisite amounts to meet increased amounts set opposite the following budget items, effective from and after January 1, 1927, to-wit:

Budget Item No. 1061—Ten nurses at $2,400 each a year, $21,900.

Budget Item No. 1062—Relief nurses at $2,400 a year, $2,190.

Justices' Courts and San Francisco Hospital.

Supervisor Hayden presented:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, pursuant to Ordinance No. 7117 (New Series), and in accordance with Subdivision "C" of Section 3 thereof, the Auditor is hereby authorized and requested to make transfers of requisite amounts to meet increased amounts set opposite the following budget items, effective from and after January 1, 1927, to-wit:

Justices' Courts.

Budget Item No. 346—Two clerks at $2,100 each, $3,900.

San Francisco Hospital.

Budget Item No. 938—Two clerks at $2,100 each, $3,300.

Department of Public Works—Commissioners and General Office.

Supervisor Todd presented:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, pursuant to Ordinance No. 7117 (New Series), and in accordance with Subdivision "C" of Section 3 thereof, the Auditor is hereby authorized and requested to make transfer of requisite amount to meet increased amount set opposite the following budget item, effective from and after February 1, 1927, to-wit:

Department of Public Works—Commissioners and General Office.

Budget Item No. 413—General clerk, at $2,280.

Board of Public Works.

Supervisor Badaracco presented:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, pursuant to Ordinance No. 7117 (New Series), and in accordance with Subdivision "C" of Section 3 thereof, the Auditor is hereby authorized and requested to make transfers of requisite amounts to meet increased amounts set opposite the following budget items, effective from and after January 1, 1927, to-wit:

Board of Public Works.

Budget Item No. 441—Fifty-seven janitors at $1,920 a year, $109,440.

Budget Item No. 446—Seventeen elevator operators at $1,920 each, $32,640.

Budget Item No. 447—Two watchmen at $1,920 each a year, $3,840.

Budget Item No. 490—Two watchmen at $1,920 each a year, $3,840.

Budget Item No. 576—Ten watchmen bridge tenders at $1,920 each a year, $19,200.

Budget Item No. 577—Two watchmen, relief and vacation, at $1,920 a year, $3,840.

Budget Item No. 585—Six watchmen at $1,920 each a year, $11,520.

Messenger.

Supervisor Badaracco presented:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, pursuant to Ordinance No. 7117 (New Series), and in accordance with Subdivision "C" of Section 3 thereof, the Auditor is hereby authorized and requested to make transfer of requisite amount to meet the increased amount set opposite the following budget item, effective from and after January 1, 1927, to-wit:

Budget Item No. 510—Messenger, $1,800.

Consideration of the salary increases previously proposed, as well as those introduced by Supervisors Todd, Hayden, Shannon and Badaracco were *continued for one week and made the first Special Order of Business,* except the question of increase in pay of $5 per month for janitors and janitresses in the School Department, who are paid less than the same class of work

is receiving in other departments of the City government.

It had previously been admitted that even though the Board of Supervisors might make an appropriation to cover the increase (provided available funds could be found) there is no way by which they could compel the Board of Education to expend the money for that purpose.

Mr. Hardy, on behalf of the Board of Education, stated it is their intention to put on an additional ten janitors, which would practically absorb any seeming surplus in their funds.

Action Deferred.

The following matters was *continued one week*:

HEARING OF APPEAL—2 P. M.

Douglass Street.

Appeal of property owners from the assessment issued for the improvement of Douglass street from the southerly line of Twenty-fifth street to the southerly line of Twenty-sixth street, including the easterly and westerly intersections of Clipper street with Douglass street, and the crossing of Twenty-sixth street and Douglass street; and the improvement of Twenty-sixth street between Diamond and Douglass streets, by grading to official line and grade; by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks of the full official width on the angular corners thereof; by the construction of the necessary catch-basins with accompanying 10-inch ironstone pipe culverts; by the construction of 8-inch and 15-inch ironstone pipe sewers with accompanying Y branches on the 15-inch sewer, and the necessary manholes as may be required; by the construction of a concrete pavement on Douglass street from the southerly line of Twenty-fifth street to a line at right angles with the easterly line of Douglass street at its intersection with the northerly line of Clipper street; by the construction of a concrete pavement on Twenty-sixth street from the westerly line of Diamond street to a line parallel with and 280 feet westerly therefrom, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement on the remainder of the roadways thereof.

Members Excused.

Supervisor Schmidt moved that public notice be given by the chair whenever members desired to be excused from the meeting.

Motion *carried*.

Accepting Offer of Mrs. Leseberg to Sell Certain Land Required for School Purposes.

Supervisor Powers presented:

Resolution No. 26771 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, an offer has been received from Mrs. Leseberg (formerly Lottie Conradt) to convey to the City and County of San Francisco certain land and improvements situate on the west line of Thirty-first avenue, distant 59 feet southerly from Anza street, required for school purposes; and

Whereas, the price at which said parcel of land and improvements is offered is the reasonable value thereof; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the offer of the said owner to convey to the City and County of San Francisco a good and sufficient fee simple title to the following described land, free of all encumbrances, for the sum of $4,545.38, including cost of street work assessment, be and the same is hereby accepted, the said land being described as follows, to-wit:

Commencing at a point on the westerly line of Thirty-first avenue, distant thereon 59 feet southerly from the southerly line of Anza street, running thence southerly along said westerly line of Thirty-first avenue 50 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 156 feet; thence northwesterly 50 feet, more or less; thence easterly 165 feet 6 inches to the westerly line of Thirty-first avenue and point of commencement. Being a portion of Outside Land Block No. 314, also known as Block 1574 on Assessor's Map Book.

The City Attorney is hereby directed to examine the title to said land, and if the same is found to be vested in the aforesaid owner, free of all encumbrances, and that the taxes up to and including the current fiscal year are paid, and that the so-called McEnerney title has been procured or sufficient money reserved for the purpose of procuring the same, to report the result of his examination to the Board of Supervisors, and also to cause a good and sufficient deed for said land to be executed and delivered to the City and County upon payment of the agreed purchase price as aforesaid. And the said deed to said land is hereby accepted.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —11.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Marks—6.

Additional U. S. District Judge for Northorn California.

Supervisor Gallagher presented:

Resolution No. 26772 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, it is a matter of common knowledge that the congested condition of the calendars of the United States District Court renders it an impossibility for the business of the court to be handled by two United States District Judges only; and

Whereas, it appears that there is no likelihood of the business pending before said courts falling off, on the contrary there seems to be a steady increase therein; and

Whereas, it is the judgment of this Board that the only relief that can be afforded both litigants and the judiciary would be by the appointment of another judge; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board send a copy of this resolution to our Senators and Representatives in Congress with an urgent and earnest request that they do all in their power to secure action by this Congress looking to the appointment of an additional United States District Judge for the Northern District of California.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —12.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Marks—6.

ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business, the Board at 7:30 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors May 31, 1927.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated, and approved as above recited.

JOHN S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco.

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Vol. 22—New Series No. 6

Monday, February 7, 1927

Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
337 Bush Street, S. F.

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, FEBRUARY 7, 1927,
10 A. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, February 7, 1927, 10 a. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Marks —2.

Supervisors Bath and Marks excused on account of illness.

Quorum present.

His Honor Mayor Rolph presiding.

APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the meeting of November 22, 1926, was considered read and approved.

ROLL CALL FOR PETITIONS FROM MEMBERS.

Improved Street Lighting, North Beach District.

Supervisor Badaracco presented:

Communication, from North Beach Merchants' Association, transmitting report of its joint committee on street lights, recommending certain improvements on Columbus avenue from Washington to Greenwich streets; on Grant avenue from Columbus avenue to Filbert street; on Stockton street, north to Filbert street, and on Broadway between Powell and Mason streets.

Referred to Lighting Committee.

Increased Salaries for Janitors and Janitresses in the Public Schools Recommended.

Communication, from the San Francisco Labor Council, recommending speedy action on proposed increases for janitors and janitresses employed in the public schools.

Read and ordered *filed.*

Relative to Federal Building in San Francisco.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication, from Carl T. Schuneman, Assistant Secretary of the Treasury, replying to telegram of January 25th of the Board of Supervisors, asking that representatives of the Treasury, Post Office and Judiciary Departments, confer with the Board of Supervisors on the prospective site for a new Federal Building at the Civic Center, and assuring the Board that should San Francisco be selected as one of the projects to be completed under the present authorizations, arrangements will be made with the representatives of the various departments to confer with regard to selection of a site with the Board of Supervisors.

Ordered *filed.*

Also, telegrams from Hon. Samuel M. Shortridge, Richard J. Welch, Mrs. Julius Kahn and Hiram W. Johnson to the same effect.

Read and ordered *filed.*

UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

Final Passage.

The following matters heretofore passed for printing were taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Authorizations.

Resolution No. 26773 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned funds for the following purposes, to-wit:

Park Fund.

(1) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., gas and electric service for parks (claim dated Jan. 28, 1927), $707.87.

(2) Spring Valley Water Co., water furnished parks (claim dated Jan. 28, 1927), $857.68.

(3) Berringer & Russell, hay, etc., for parks (claim dated Jan. 28, 1927), $694.22.

Auditorium Fund.

(4) Selby C. Oppenheimer, for services of Maier and Pattison, soloists, Municipal Pop Concert of January 20th (claim dated Jan. 31, 1927), $1,200.

Publicity and Advertising (Appropriation 55).

(5) Capitol Decorating & Mfg. Co., for decorating of Market street during visit of Holland battleship "Sumatra," for the publicity and advertising of San Francisco (claim dated Jan. 21, 1927), $750.

Hetch Hetchy Water Bonds, Issue 1925.

(6) Beronio Lumber Co., lumber for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Jan. 20, 1927), $1,994.01.

(7) Edison Storage Battery Supply Co., storage battery cells, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Jan. 20, 1927), $3,590.82.

(8) The Giant Powder Co., Con., explosives for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Jan. 20, 1927), $5,347.43.

(9) The B. F. Goodrich Rubber Co., conveyor belt, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Jan. 20, 1927), $615.60.

(10) Ingersoll-Rand Co. of California, machinery parts, Hetch Hetch construction (claim dated Jan. 20, 1927), $2,024.72.

(11) The Charles Nelson Co., lumber for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Jan. 20, 1927), $504.06.

(12) State Compensation Insurance Fund, insurance premium on Hetch Hetchy employees (claim dated Jan. 20, 1927), $4,458.24.

(13) General Electric Co., machinery parts, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Jan. 21, 1927), $1,042.84.

(14) Ingersoll-Rand Co. of California, machine parts, etc., Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Jan. 21, 1927), $1,335.48.

(15) The Charles Nelson Co., lumber, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Jan. 21, 1927), $510.82.

Municipal Railway Fund.

(16) Christenson Lumber Co., redwood ties for Municipal Railways (claim dated Jan. 22, 1927), $2,095.08.

School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923.

(17) Anderson & Ringrose, extra work, general construction of the additions to Guadalupe School (claim dated Jan. 15, 1927), $613.

Hetch Hetchy Water Bonds, Issue 1925.

(18) A. Guthrie & Co., first payment, construction of aqueduct tunnels in Foothill Division, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Jan. 26, 1927), $21,335.21.

County Road Fund.

(19) Bay Improvement Co., third payment, improvement of Geneva avenue from Prague street to county line (claim dated Jan. 26, 1927), $9,400.

General Fund, 1925-1926.

(20) Eaton & Smith, City's share of one-half of cost of improvement of Virginia avenue from Eugenie avenue to Winfield street (claim dated Jan. 26, 1927), $4,294.97.

(21) C. B. Eaton, final payment for improvement of City property at Twenty-sixth and Douglass streets (claim dated Jan. 26, 1927), $2,338.20.

General Fund, 1926-1927.

(22) Schultz Construction Co., fourth payment, hauling and laying high pressure water mains in Butchertown District (claim dated Jan. 26, 1927), $10,000.

(23) California Rock Co., gravel for street repair (claim dated Jan. 19, 1927), $1,934.62.

(24) California Petroleum Corporation, fuel oil for street repair (claim dated Jan. 19, 1927), $655.88.

(25) Pacific Portland Cement Co., cement for street repair (claim dated Jan. 19, 1927), $1,471.42.

(26) Western Rock Products Co., sand for street repair (claim dated Jan. 19, 1927), $3,375.16.

(27) California Petroleum Corporation, fuel oil, Hall of Justice (claim dated Jan. 21, 1927), $586.84.

(28) Symon Brothers, teams for street cleaning (claim dated Jan. 22, 1927), $799.25.

(29) Spring Valley Water Co., water service for Fire Department hydrants (claim dated Jan. 27, 1927), $14,426.40.

(30) Haas Brothers, sugar, Relief Home (claim dated Jan. 25, 1927), $2,148.32.

(31) Hastings Clothing Co., nurses' capes, S. F. Hospital (claim dated Dec. 31, 1926), $687.50.

(32) Chas. Brown & Sons, crockery, Relief Home (claim dated Jan. 20, 1927), $2,207.14.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—14.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Byington, Marks, Shannon—4.

Appropriations for the Acquisition of Properties Required for the Opening of Bernal Cut.

Resolution No. 26774 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized in payment out of

"Bernal Cut Rights of Way," Budget Item No. 77, Fiscal Year 1925-1926, in payment to the hereinafter named persons; being payments for properties required for the opening of Bernal Cut, to-wit:

(1) To Henry Krohn and Emma Krohn, for portion of Lot 42, in Jones Addition to Fairmount Tract; per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 26723, New Series (claim dated Jan. 27, 1927), $700.

(2) To Agostino Cassassa, for portion of Lot 1, of Belle Roche City Block 1, including moving of building; per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 26721, New Series (claim dated Jan. 27, 1927), $2,000.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—14.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Byington, Marks, Shannon—4.

Appropriations for Acquisition of Properties Required for Street and Boulevard Purposes.

Resolution No. 26775 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized in payment out of County Road Fund (appropriations heretofore made), in payment to the hereinafter named persons; being payments for properties required for street and boulevard improvements, to-wit:

(1) To Thomas J. Lyons and Marie Lyons, for portions of Lots Nos. 65 and 66 in Block "P", Thornton & Williams portion of Silver Terrace, as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 26720 (New Series), and required for the opening of the Bay Shore boulevard (claim dated Jan. 27, 1927), $9,700.

(2) To Margaret Keeley, for release of all claims of damages to property; being all of Lots 16 and 17 in Block 2657, as per Assessor's Block Book of the City and County, and as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 26722 (New Series), Market street extension (claim dated Jan. 27, 1927), $1,700.

(3) To J. R. Alexander, for a portion of Horner's Addition Block No. 171, as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 26726 (New Series), required for the widening and improvement of Castro street (claim dated Jan. 27, 1927), $1,650.

(4) To John Clervi, for the westerly 65 feet of Lot 335, Precita Valley Lands, as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 26728 (New Series), and required for the opening of the Bay Shore boulevard (claim dated Jan. 27, 1927), $13,195.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—14.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Byington, Marks, Shannon—4.

Appropriations for Tax Judgment Refunds.

Resolution No. 26776 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized in payment out of "Tax Judgments," Appropriation 59, in payment to the hereinafter named persons, attorneys and agents for and in behalf of judgment creditors, representing one-tenth of judgment plus interest, as per schedules attached to claims and as approved by the City Attorney, to-wit:

(1) To Brobeck, Phleger and Harrison, fourth installment, $692.85.

(2) To Brobeck, Phleger & Harrison, fourth installment, $15,301.34.

(3) To Charles W. Slack and Edgar T. Zook, $3,650.85.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—14.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Byington, Marks, Shannon—4.

Appropriations for Lights in Cells of County Jail and Changes in the Yard of the Francis Scott Key School.

Resolution No. 26777 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned funds for the following purposes, to-wit:

Repairs to Public Buildings, Budget Item No. 68.

(1) For the installation of low power electric lights in each of the cells of the County Jail, $1,500.

Special School Tax, Budget Item No. 1.

(2) For cost of changes in the yard of the Francis Scott Key School, $5,000.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—14.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Byington, Marks, Shannon—4.

Oil and Boiler Permits.

Resolution No. 26778 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revo-

cable permits be and the same are hereby granted:

Oil Tanks.

Atlas Heating and Ventilating Company, 782 Twelfth avenue, 750 gallons capacity.

Bear Glace Fruit Company, 512 Brannan street, 1500 gallons capacity.

California-Jones Company, southwest corner of Jones and California streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

D. Comis, north line of Lombard street, 25 feet east of Scott street, 1500 gallons capacity.

J. Kelley, east line of Retiro way, 325 feet north of Beach street, 1500 gallons capacity.

E. Ellingson, southwest corner of Twentieth and Castro streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

J. Greenbach, north line of Sacramento street between Van Ness avenue and Franklin street, 1500 gallons capacity.

W. I. Heller, southwest corner of Thirtieth avenue and Camino del Mar, 1500 gallons capacity.

Axel Johnson, north side of Bay street, 100 feet east of Polk street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Axel Johnson, north side of Bay street, 125 feet east of Polk street, 1500 gallons capacity.

J. Kelley, east line of Retiro way, 225 feet north of Beach street, 1500 gallons capacity.

J. O. Kuykendall, 1442 Hyde street, 1500 gallons capacity.

E. V. Lacey, south line of Page street, east of Octavia street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Jos. Marymont, 1977 Pacific avenue, 600 gallons capacity.

Monson Bros., west line of Franklin street, 40 feet south of Willow street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Dr. A. W. Morton, south side of Oak street, 141 feet west of Shrader street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Dr. A. W. Morton, east side of Baker street, 75 feet north of Fell street, 1500 gallons capacity.

E. Nelson, west side of Broderick street, 30 feet south of Oak street, 1500 gallons capacity.

R. O'Connor, northeast corner of Pine and Broderick streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

Parkside Creamery, 1467 Haight street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Chas. Sands, 1523 Laguna street, 1500 gallons capacity.

H. E. Van Horn, northeast corner of San Leandro way and St. Francis boulevard, 1500 gallons capacity.

Boilers.

Bear Glace Fruit Company, 512 Brannan street, 35 horsepower.

Parkside Creamery, 1467 Haight street, 30 horsepower.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits shall become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—14.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Byington, Marks, Shannon—4.

Pipe Line Permit, Standard Oil Company.

Resolution No. 26779 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Standard Oil Company of California is hereby granted permission to lay pipes and conduits and connections from its property at the southeast corner of Leavenworth and Jefferson streets northerly across Jefferson street to the center of said Jefferson street.

The said pipes, conduits and connections shall be laid to the satisfaction and under the supervision of tne Board of Public Works in accordance with the provisions of Ordinance No. 2201 (New Series) and Ordinance No. 2884 (New Series) entitled "Regulating the making and rebuilding of excavations in the public streets, alleys, sidewalks and other public places."

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—14.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Byington, Marks, Shannon—4.

Ordering Preparation of Plans and Specifications for the Improvement of the Southeasterly Side of San Jose Avenue Between Gorham and Cotter Streets.

Bill No. 7906, Ordinance No. 7419 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the preparation of plans and specifications for the improvement of the southeasterly side of San Jose avenue between Gorham and Cotter streets, and ordering the improvement of the southeasterly side of San Jose avenue between Gorham and Cotter streets; authorizing and directing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for said improvement in accordance with the plans and specifications prepared therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized, instructed and empowered to prepare plans and specifications for the improvement of the southeasterly side of San Jose avenue between Gorham and Cotter streets, and to enter into contract for the improvement

of the southeasterly side of San Jose avenue between Gorham and Cotter streets, in accordance with the plans and specifications prepared therefor.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—14.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Byington, Marks, Shannon—4.

Ordering Plans, Etc., for Improvement of Grand View Avenue and Portion of Market Street From Elizabeth Street to Stanton Street.

Bill No. 7907, Ordinance No. 7420 (New Series), as follows:

Authorizing the preparation of plans and specifications for the improvement of Grand View avenue, including a portion of Market street from Elizabeth street to Stanton street, and ordering the improvement of Grand View avenue, including a portion of Market street from Elizabeth street to Stanton street in accordance with plans and specifications prepared therefor; authorizing and directing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for said improvement.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized, instructed and empowered to prepare plans and specifications for the improvement of Grand View avenue, including a portion of Market street from Elizabeth street to Stanton street, and to enter into contract for the improvement of Grand View avenue, including a portion of Market street from Elizabeth street to Stanton street in accordance with the plans and specifications prepared therefor.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—14.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Byington, Marks, Shannon—4.

Establishing Grades.

Bill No. 7908, Ordinance No. 7421 (New Series), as follows:

Establishing grades on Bowdoin and Boylston streets between Silver avenue and the northerly line of Hale street and on Hale street between Bowdoin street and the easterly line of Boylston street.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The grades on Bowdoin and Boylston streets between Silver avenue and the northerly line of Hale street, and on Hale street between Bowdoin street and the easterly line of Boylston street, are hereby established at points hereinafter named and at heights above city base as hereinafter stated, in accordance with recommendation of the Board of Public Works filed January 18, 1927.

Bowdoin Street.

10 feet westerly from the easterly line of, at Silver avenue northerly line, 129.20 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

10 feet easterly from the westerly line of, at Silver avenue northerly line, 131.75 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

On a line at right angles to the easterly line of, 85 feet southerly from Hale street, 126.64 feet.

On a line at right angles to the easterly line of, at Hale street southerly line, 122.77 feet.

On a line at right angles to the easterly line of, at Hale street northerly line, 120.00 feet.

Boylston Street.

10 feet westerly from the easterly line of, at Silver avenue northerly line, 95.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

10 feet easterly from the westerly line of, at Silver avenue northerly line, 97.20 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

10 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 50 feet northerly from Silver avenue, 92.00 feet.

10 feet easterly from the westerly line of, on a line at right angles to the easterly line of, 50 feet northerly from Silver avenue, 92.60 feet.

Easterly line of, at Hale street southerly line, 84.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

10 feet westerly from the easterly line of, at Hale street southerly line, 84.50 feet.

10 feet easterly from the westerly line of, at Hale street southerly line, 85.50 feet.

Westerly line of, at Hale street southerly line, 86.00 feet.

Easterly line of, at Hale street northerly line, 84.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

10 feet westerly from the easterly line of, at Hale street northerly line, 84.50 feet.

10 feet easterly from the westerly line of, at Hale street northerly line, 85.50 feet.

Westerly line of, at Hale street northerly line, 86.00 feet.

Hale Street.

Southerly line of, at Boylston street easterly line, 84.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

10 feet westerly from Boylston street easterly line, at Hale street southerly line, 84.50 feet.

10 feet easterly from Boylston street westerly line, at Hale street southerly line, 85.50 feet.

Northerly line of, at Boylston street easterly line, 84.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

10 feet westerly from Boylston street easterly line, at Hale street northerly line, 84.50 feet.

10 feet easterly from Boylston street westerly line, at Hale street northerly line, 85.50 feet.

Northerly line of, at Boylston street westerly line, 86.00 feet.

On a line at right angles to the southerly line of, at Boylston street westerly line, 86.00 feet.

On a line at right angles to the southerly line of, 100 feet westerly from Boylston street, 98.00 feet.

On a line at right angles to the southerly line of, 150 feet westerly from Boylston street, 103.10 feet.

On a line at right angles to the southerly line of, 200 feet westerly from Boylston street, 106.43 feet.

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

On a line at right angles to the southerly line of, 471 feet westerly from Boylston street, 119.60 feet.

10 feet northerly from the southerly line of, at Bowoin street easterly line, 122.30 feet.

10 feet southerly from the northerly line of, at Bowoin street easterly line, 120.50 feet.

On Bowdoin and Boylston streets between Silver avenue and the northerly line of Hale street, and on Hale street between Bowdoin street and the easterly line of Boylston street be established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—14.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Byington, Marks, Shannon—4.

Bill No. 7909, Ordinance No. 7422 (New Series), as follows:

Establishing grades on Schwerin street between Sunnydale avenue and a line parallel with and 850.33 feet southerly therefrom.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The grades on Schwerin street between Sunnydale avenue and a line parallel with and 850.33 feet southerly therefrom are hereby established at points hereinafter named and at heights above city base as hereinafter stated, in accordance with recommendation of the Board of Public Works filed January 18, 1927.

Schwerin Street.

Sunnydale avenue, 45.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

621.25 feet southerly from Sunnydale avenue, 30.41 feet.

681.25 feet southerly from Sunnydale avenue, 29.52 feet.

741.25 feet southerly from Sunnydale avenue, 29.67 feet.

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

850.33 feet southerly from Sunnydale avenue, 30.90 feet.

On Schwerin street between Sunnydale avenue and a line parallel with and 850.33 feet southerly therefrom, be established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—14.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Byington, Marks, Shannon—4.

PRESENTATION OF BILLS AND ACCOUNTS.

Demands not required by law to be passed to print, and amounting to $45,258.89, were allowed and ordered paid by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Marks —2.

NEW BUSINESS.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Authorizations.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned funds in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

Tearing-up Streets Fund.

(1) Gladding, McBean & Co., sewer pipe for sidesewers (claim dated Jan. 31, 1927), $525.

Tubercular Sanitarium Fund.

(2) Latourette-Fical Co., second payment, plumbing work on Tubercular Sanitarium (claim dated Feb. 1, 1927), $1,109.44.

(3) Reilly & Nemetz, third payment, general construction of Tubercular Sanitarium (claim dated Feb. 1, 1927), $17,898.15.

(4) M. E. Ryan, second payment, electrical fixtures for Tubercular Sanitarium (claim dated Feb. 2, 1927), $911. 23.

(5) Reilly & Nemetz, extra work on Tubercular Sanitarium (claim dated Feb. 1, 1927), $665.

Fire Department Land and Construction Fund.

(6) Elliott & Grant, fifth payment, construction of Fire Department house, Engine No. 19 (claim dated Feb. 2, 1927), $6,547.02.

Sunset Tunnel Assessment Fund.

(7) Leonard F. Youdall and R. G. Clifford (Youdall Construction Co.), eighth payment, construction of tunnel under Buena Vista Park (claim dated Feb. 1, 1927), $53,-213.58.

Hetch Hetchy Water Bonds, Issue 1925.

(8) Beronio Lumber Co., lumber for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Jan. 28, 1927), $514.15.

(9) Central Coal Co., coal for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Jan. 28, 1927), $686.45.

(10) Hercules Powder Co., explosives for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Jan. 28, 1927), $1,914.64.

(11) J. H. McCallum, lumber for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Jan. 28, 1927), $999.10.

(12) Myers-Whaley Co., shoveling machine parts, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Jan. 28, 1927), $896.37.

(13) Myers-Whaley Co., conveyor chain, etc., Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Jan. 27, 1927), $701.12.

(14) The Charles Nelson Co., lumber for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Jan. 28, 1927), $503.80.

(15) Old Mission Portland Cement Co., cement for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Jan. 28, 1927), $607.25.

(16) Safety Cable Co., wire for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Jan. 28, 1927), $1,012.02.

(17) Standard Oil Co., gasoline, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Jan. 28, 1927), $541.50.

(18) A. M. Scott Tire Co., cord tires and tubes, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Jan. 27, 1927), $688.16.

(19) Hales & Symons, lumber, etc., for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Feb.2, 1927), $2,002.50.

(20) T. M. Hughes, oak wood, Hecth Hetchy construction (claim dated Jan. 31, 1927), $766.25.

(21) Tilden Lumber & Mill Co., lumber, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Feb. 2, 1927), $510.98.

(22) Wilkinson Bros., oak wood, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Jan. 31, 1927), $936.88.

Hetch Hetchy Power Operative Fund.

(23) U. S. Department of the Interior, national park service, City's portion of expense of surveys in connection with construction of road from Mather to old Tioga road (claim dated Jan. 27, 1927), $6,000.

(24) J. H. McCallum, lumber (claim dated Jan. 28, 1927), $759.60.

(25) National Pole & Treating Co., 100 cedar poles (claim dated Jan. 28, 1927), $560.

M. H. de Young Memorial Museum Fund (Appropriation 60).

(26) Frederick H. Meyer, architectural services for account of M. He de Young Memorial Museum (claim dated Feb. 4, 1927), $1,112.50.

California Palace of the Legion of Honor (Appropriation No. 61).

(27) Johnck, Kibbee & Co., catalogs, halftones, photography, etc., for the California Palace of the Legion of Honor (claim dated Feb. 4, 1927), $1,470.30.

(28) W. Elmer Schofield, painting "The Birches" for California Palace of the Legion of Honor (claim dated Feb. 4, 1927), $1,500.

School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923.

(29) Anderson & Ringrose, fourth payment, general construction of annex to Guadalupe School (claim dated Feb. 1, 1927), $11,985.

(30) Amoroso & Damico, eighth payment, general construction of Edison School (claim dated Feb. 2, 1927), $19,550.25.

(31) S. W. Band, third payment, plumbing work for additions to Francis Scott Key School (claim dated Feb. 1, 1927), $1,762.50.

(32) Crown Electric Co., seventh payment, electrical work for Edison School (claim dated Feb. 2, 1927), $797.63.

(33) Thomas Day Co., electrical

fixtures for the Anza street (Lafayette) School (claim dated Feb. 1, 1927), $1,245.

(34) F. L. Hansen, twelfth payment, general construction of Henry Durant School (claim dated Feb. 1, 1927), $12,864.98.

(35) Latourette-Fical Co., final payment, plumbing work for West Portal School (claim dated Feb. 2, 1927), $1,983.

(36) Mahony Bros., first payment, general construction of the Sherman School (claim dated Feb. 1, 1927), $4,065.75.

(37) MacDonald & Kahn, eighteenth payment, general construction of the new Mission High School (claim dated Feb. 1, 1927), $4,294.45.

(38) O. Monson, fifth payment, general construction of additions to the Francis Scott Key School (claim dated Feb. 1, 1927), $11,467.50.

(39) R. W. Moller, fifth payment, general construction of Mission (Everett) Junior High School (claim dated Feb. 2, 1927), $14,151.40.

(40) J. E. O'Mara, final payment, mechanical equipment for West Portal School (claim dated Feb. 2, 1927), $3,051.10.

(41) Severin Electric Co., electrical fixtures, additional units to Galileo High School (claim dated Feb. 1, 1927), $870.

(42) Ward & Blohme, final payment, architectural services for Anza street (Lafayette) School (claim dated Feb. 2, 1927), $2,780.10.

(43) John Reid, Jr., tenth payment, architectural services for additions to Galileo High School (claim dated Jan. 26, 1927), $1,417.87.

(44) John Reid, Jr., first payment, architectural services for South Side High School, first unit (claim dated Jan. 11, 1927), $4 860.

(45) John Reid, Jr., sixth payment, architectural services for West Portal School (claim dated Jan. 4, 1927), $935.68.

Special School Tax.

(46) O. Monson, ninth payment, general construction of additional units to Galileo High School (claim dated Feb. 1, 1927), $24,659.25.

(47) J. E. O'Mara, first payment, plumbing work for additions to Madison School (claim dated Feb. 1, 1927), $1,191.

(48) Mahony Bros., fourth payment, general construction of additions to Commodore Sloat School (claim dated Feb. 2, 1927), $7,448.79.

(49) Thos. Skelly, second payment, plumbing work for additions to the Commodore Sloat School (claim dated Feb. 1, 1927), $1,790.25.

(50) John Reid, Jr., third payment, architectural services on additions to Commodore Sloan School (claim dated Jan. 12, 1927), $751.01.

Municipal Railway Fund.

(51) American Brake Shoe and Foundry Co., brake shoes for Municipal Railways (claim dated Jan. 27, 1927), $2,747.32.

(52) American Smelting & Refining Co., trolley wire for Municipal Railways (claim dated Jan. 27, 1927), $1,794.79.

(53) R. W. Jamison, trolley wheels for Municipal Railways (claim dated Jan. 27, 1927), $807.08.

Maintenance of Aquarium—Appropriation 57.

(54) California Academy of Sciences, maintenance of Steinhart Aquarium, month of January (claim dated Feb. 7, 1927), $3,552.40.

Relief Home Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923.

(55) L. Ebbets, first payment, general construction of conservatory for use of Relief Home (claim dated Feb. 1, 1927), $3,771.75.

Hetch Hetchy Operative Revenue Fund.

(56) Railroad Commission of the State of California, for February, 1927, expense of valuation proceedings of the properties of the Pacific Gas and Electric Co. and Great Western Power Co. (claim dated Feb. 7, 1927), $2,500.

General Fund, 1926-1927.

(57) San Francisco Society for the Prevention of Cruelty to Animals, impounding, feeding, etc., of animals (claim dated Feb. 7, 1927), $1,250.

(58) James Rolph, Jr., Mayor's incidentals for February (claim dated Feb. 7, 1927), $625.

(59) John Spargo, third payment, general construction of additions in lightwell space, Hall of Justice (claim dated Feb. 2, 1927), $1,720.31.

(60) Standard Oil Co., asphalt for street repair (claim dated Jan. 27, 1927), $1,499.07.

(61) Standard Oil Co., asphalt for street repair (claim dated Jan. 28, 1927), $2,695.11.

(62) Standard Oil Co., asphalt for street repair (claim dated Jan. 27, 1927), $1,481.55.

(63) Standard Oil Co., asphalt for street repair (claim dated Jan. 27, 1927), $2,177.79.

(64) Standard Oil Co., asphalt for street repair (claim dated Jan. 27, 1927), $1,467.65.

(65) A. P. Jacobs, rent for premises, No. 333 Kearny street, for month ending Feb. 3, 1927, $1,186.

(66) San Francisco Bulletin, official advertising (claim dated Feb. 7, 1927), $1,024.12.

(67) Daniel J. O'Brien, police contingent expense (claim dated Feb. 1, 1927), $750.

(68) Eastman Kodak Stores, Inc., X-ray films for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Jan. 31, 1927), $2,091.60.

(69) Kahn & Company, X-ray films for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Jan. 31, 1927), $897.

(Supervisor Powers requested to be recorded as voting *no* on Item 65.)

Appropriation, $10,000, City's Contribution to Construction of "Victory Highway."

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of ten thousand dollars ($10,000) be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of County Road Fund, and authorized in payment to Thos. F. Boyle, Auditor, trustee; being San Francisco's portion of contribution to the construction of the "Victory Highway," pursuant to the provisions of Section 13, Chapter 2, Article II of the Charter. (Claim dated Feb. 7, 1927.)

Appropriations, Payment to Fred G. H. Spreen and Others for Land Required for School Purposes.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside and appropriated out of School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923, and authorized in payment to the hereinafter named persons for properties required for school purposes, to-wit:

(1) To Fred G. H. Spreen, for lands commencing at point on westerly line of Twenty-third avenue, distant thereon 100 feet southerly from the southerly line of Quintara street; thence southerly on the westerly line of Twenty-third avenue 50 feet; thence westerly 120 feet; thence northerly 50 feet; thence easterly 120 feet; per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 26593 (New Series), $1,800.

(2) To Emma Gilli, for land and improvements commencing at point on the easterly line of Otsego avenue, distant thereon 30 feet southerly from the southerly line of Oneida avenue; thence southerly on the easterly line of Otsego avenue 25 feet; thence easterly 80 feet; thence northerly 25 feet; thence westerly 80 feet; as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. —— (New Series), $3,000.

(3) To Mrs. Leseberg (formerly Lottie Conradt), for land and improvements commencing at point on the westerly line of Thirty-first avenue, distant thereon 59 feet southerly from the southerly line of Anza street, running thence southerly along said westerly line of Thirty-first avenue 50 feet; thence westerly 156 feet; thence northwesterly 50 feet, more or less; thence easterly 165½ feet; as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 26771 (New Series), $4,545.38.

Appropriations, Payment to John Joseph Matthews and Others for Properties Required for Bernal Cut.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized in payment out of "Bernal Cut Rights of Way," Budget Item No. 77, Fiscal Year 1925-1926, to the hereinafter named persons; being payments for properties required for the opening of Bernal Cut, to-wit:

(1) To John Joseph Matthews, for Lot 15 in Block 6689, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco; as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 26755 (New Series), $1,680.

(2) To Fred Isaacson and Otto Nylund, for lands beginning at a point on the southeasterly line of Arlington street, distant thereon 113 feet southwesterly from the southwesterly line of Roanoke street; thence southwesterly along said southwesterly line 75 feet; thence at right angles southeasterly 100 feet, more or less; of irregular dimensions, and as per acceptance by Resolution No. 26756 (New Series), $800.

Appropriations, Payment to J. Willis Turner and Others for Properties Required for Boulevard Purposes.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized in payment out of County Road Fund to the hereinafter named persons; being payments for properties required for boulevard purposes, to-wit:

(1) To J. Willis Turner, for land beginning at a point on the north-

westerly line of Wheat street, distant thereon 125 feet southwesterly from the southwesterly line of Paul street; thence southwesterly along said northwesterly line 50 feet; thence at right angles northwesterly 100 feet, of irregular dimensions; as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 26758 (New Series), required for Bay Shore boulevard, $1,500.

(2) To O. H. Ferguson, for land beginning at a point on the southwesterly line of Onondaga avenue, distant thereon 402.70 feet northwesterly from the northwesterly line of Mission street; of irregular dimsnsions, and being portions of Lots 6, 7 and 8 in Block 12, West End Map No. 1; as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 26757 (New Series), required for opening of Alemany boulevard, $4,000.

Appropriation, $6,000, Credit to San Francisco Hospital for Employment of Additional Nurses, Etc.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $6,000 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of Urgent Necessity, Budget Item No. 25, Fiscal Year 1926-1927, to the credit of San Francisco Hospital, Appropriation 46 A, for the employment of additional nurses, orderlies, porters and pantry maid, room rent, etc., necessary on account of the increased number of patients being cared for.

(Request of Department of Public Health, dated Feb. 4, 1927.)

Appropriations, Plumbing, Sherman School; Architectural Fees, Twenty-fourth Avenue Police Station; Restoration of Fire House No. 23; Sewing Machines, Etc., Relief Home.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned funds for the following purposes, to-wit:

School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923.

(1) For the cost of furnishing and installing plumbing work in the Sherman School, by the Bureau of Repair, Department of Public Works, $14,775.

Police Building—Budget Item No. 50.

(2) For cost of architectural fees for the preparation of plans and specifications for the building of a police station on the east side of Twenty-fourth avenue, north of Taraval street, $4,500.

Repairs to Public Buildings—Budget Item No. 68.

(3) For cost of restoring building which houses Fire Dept. Engine Company No. 23, recently damaged by fire, $2,200.

Relief Home Equipment—Budget Item No. 64.

(4) For the purchase of sewing machines, wash trays, etc., as requested by Department of Public Health, for equipment for the new Relief Home, $3,690.84.

Appropriations, Islais Creek Drainage Culvert; Mezzanine Floor, Tax Collector's Office.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No 26780 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned funds for the following purposes, to-wit:

Extension of Main Sewers, Etc.—Budget Item No. 39.

(1) For the construction of the Islais Creek drainage culvert, being additional to $2,500 to enable final payment, $485.

Repairs to Public Buildings—Budget Item No. 68.

(2) For the construction of a mezzanine floor in the office of the Tax Collector, including painting and electric ceiling fixtures, $400.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Marks —2.

Accepting Statement of City's Percentage of Gross Receipts of Market Street Railway Company.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No 26781 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the statement heretofere filed by the Market Street Railway Company showing gross receipts from passenger fares for the month of December, 1926, upon which percentages in the following amounts are due the City and County be and the same are hereby accepted, to-wit:

Parkside (Wawona and Taraval street, etc.), $515.35.

Gough Street Railroad Company, $51.15.

Parnassus and Ninth avenues, $340.01.

Further Resolved, That the Market Street Railway Company be and

is hereby directed to deposit with the Treasurer of the City and County the hereinabove mentioned sums, the same to be placed to the credit of the General Fund.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Marks —2.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Authorizing Plans, Etc., for Construction of South Side High School.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy:

Bill No. 7910, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Authorizing the preparation of plans and specifications for the construction of the South Side High School, ordering the construction of the South Side High School, to be erected on City property on Onondaga avenue between Otsego and Cayuga streets, in accordance with the plans and specifications prepared therefor and approved by the Board of Education; authorizing and directing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for said construction, and permitting progressive payments to me made during the course of construction.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized, instructed and empowered to prepare plans and specifications for the construction of the South Side High School, and to enter into contract for the construction of the South Side High School, to be erected on City property on Onondaga avenue between Otsego and Cayuga streets, in accordance with the plans and specifications prepared therefor and approved by the Board of Education.

Section 2. The said Board of Public Works is hereby authorized and permitted to incorporate in the contract for the construction of the said South Side High School conditions that progressive payments shall be made in the manner set forth in said specifications and as provided by Section 21, Chapter I, Article VI of the Charter.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ordering Roof for Sun Porch, Ward 20, Tuberculosis Department, San Francisco Hospital.

Also, Bill No. 7911, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the construction of a roof over the sun porch on Ward 20 in the Tuberculosis Department of the San Francisco Hospital, authorizing the preparation of plans and specifications for said construction, and authorizing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for said construction in accordance with plans and specifications prepared therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. That Board of Public Works is hereby authorized, instructed and empowered to enter into contract for the construction of a roof over the sun porch on Ward 20 in the Tuberculosis Department of the San Francisco Hospital in accordance with plans and specifications prepared therefor, which plans and specifications are hereby authorized to be prepared.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Mayor Authorized to Lease Spring Valley Property Adjoining Fleishhacker Park.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 26782 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Mayor be authorized to execute in the name of the City and County a certain indenture of lease by which the City and County of San Francisco leases from the Spring Valley Water Company a portion of the Lake Merced land lying south of Sloat boulevard and adjacent to the Fleishhacker Park and containing 4.2 acres, for a period of two years from July 2, 1926, such lease having been recommended by the Board of Park Commissioners and transmitted by a communication of said Board dated February 3, 1927.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—14.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Byington, Marks, Shannon—4.

Action Deferred.

The following resolution was introduced by Supervisor McSheehy and, on motion, *laid over until February* 28, 1927:

Transfer of Twin Peaks Tunnel Funds to Reimburse Municipal Railway Funds for Moneys Advanced for the Construction of the Twin Peaks Tunnel.

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $42,508.21 be and is hereby ordered transferred from the Twin Peaks Ridge Tunnel Assessment Fund to the Municipal Railway Fund and the Board of Public Works, Tax Collector, Auditor and Treasurer are hereby directed to make such entries in their official records and books of account as will correctly show such transfer; said transfer is for the purpose of reimbursing said Municipal Railway Fund for moneys advanced therefrom and used in the construction of the Twin Peaks Tunnel project.

Action Deferred.

The following matter was *continued one week and made a Special Order for* 2:30 *p. m.*:

Amending Zoning Ordinance, Ingalls Street.

Bill No. ——, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings, and the locations of buildings designed for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Section 11 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place the southeasterly side of Ingalls street between Donner avenue and Egbert avenue, for a distance of 225 feet on Donner avenue and 300 feet on Egbert avenue, in the Heavy Industrial District instead of the First Residential District.

Action Deferred.

The following matter was presented by Supervisor Hayden and *laid over one week and made a Special Order for* 4 *p. m.*:

Denial of Application to Change Zone on Lombard Street West of Octavia Street.

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the application of E. Ravn to change the north side of Lombard street, commencing 141 feet 3 inches west of Octavia street, thence westerly 50 feet, from the Second Residential to the Commercial District be denied.

Action Deferred.

The following matter was *laid over one week and made a Special Order for* 2:30 *p. m.*:

Reception of Garbage Disposal Bids.

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the garbage incinerator located at Eighth and De Haro streets and owned by the City and County of San Francisco has been operated by the Scavengers Protective Union from November 14, 1918, to date, on a revocable day to day agreement, without said City and County deriving any revenue therefrom; and

Whereas, the City and County of San Francisco has had an investment of $400,000 in this incinerator and no revenues have been received, and reports show that during the last two and two-thirds months the Scavengers Protective Union has received $39,401.81, with an expenditure of $16,296.81, showing a profit of $23,105; and

Whereas, an offer has been made by the City Garbage Removal Company, Inc., to take over the operation of said incienrator and pay the City and County a daily rental of $137 for this privilege, said daily rental being based on an annual rental of $50,000; and

Whereas, the Finance and Health Committee of the Board of Supervisors, sitting in joint session Thursday, January 27, 1927, by unanimous consent, recommends to said Board of Supervisors that pending definite arrangements, etc., for the disposal of garbage by other means, for which plans are under way, it is deemed reasonable that the City and County of San Francisco should receive whatever revenue it is possible to secure from the operation of the said incinerator until such time as it is abandoned; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco will give a revocable, day to day permit to occupy the above mentioned incinerator and to dispose of the garbage of the City therein, to the person, firm or corporation offering the highest bid for said privilege, at the open session of said Board to be held on Monday, February 14, 1927, commencing at 10 o'clock a. m. The person, firm or corporation to whom said privilege is granted must

within three days after the granting of same enter into a written contract with the City and County to carry out the terms of said permit, and file with this Board a bond in the sum of $10,000 for the faithful performance of said contract.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Oil and Boiler Permits.

On motion of Supervisor Deasy:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits be and the same are hereby granted:

Oil Tanks.

Atlas Heating and Ventilating Co., south side of Vallejo street, 119 feet east of Brederick street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Geo. Dolan, 5550 California street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Henry Ernst & Sons, northwest corner of Birch and Laguna streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

A. C. Hamerton, south side of Fell street, 136 feet west of Scott street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Edward Helmke, 170 Parnassus avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

J. C. Kirby, south side of Oak street, 81 feet east of Cole street, 1500 gallons capacity.

E. V. Lacey, south side of O'Farrell street, 125 feet east of Leavenworth street, 1500 gallons capacity.

M. McDonough, northwest corner of Forty-second avenue and Fulton street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Henry Mottet, southwest corner of Harrison and Merlin streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

R. J. Stempel, west side of Pierce street, 200 feet south of Capra way, 1500 gallons capacity.

Wm. Van Herrick, west side of Grove street, 200 feet west of Franklin street, 1500 gallons capacity.

P. W. Wood, Inc., northeast corner of Twenty-second avenue and Fulton street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Boiler.

Henry Mottet, southwest corner of Harrison and Merlin streets, 35-horsepower.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits shall become null and void.

Transfer of Automobile Supply Station Permit.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New ries), as follows:

Resolved, That O. F. Werner be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred automobile supply station permit heretofore granted W. H. Hoffman by Resolution No. 25893 (New Series) for premises on the southwest corner of Eddy and Webster streets.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Cleaning and Dyeing Works Permit.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Henry Mottet be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to operate and maintain a cleaning and dyeing works on the southwest corner of Harrison and Merlin streets.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Automobile Parking Station Permit.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That E. P. Marcher be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to operate and maintain an automobile parking station on the south side of Stevenson street, 412 feet 6 inches east of Sixth street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Transfer of Garage Permit.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Chas. Obenhuber be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred public garage permit heretofore granted J. V. Kays by Resolution No. 18414 (New Series) for prem ises at 1335 Larkin street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Automobile Supply Station Permit.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Sam Ganci be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to operate and maintain an automobile supply station on the east side of Diamond street, 50 feet north of Bosworth street, with entrance on Bosworth street, 25 feet east of Diamond street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within

six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Transfer of Garage Permit.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Edw. Beronio be and is hereby granted permission to have transferred public garage permit heretofore granted A. V. Beronio and Jos. Wyss by Resolution No. 18340 (New Series) for premises at 630 Franklin street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Action Deferred.

The following resolution was, on motion of Supervisor Schmidt, *continued one week and made a Special Order for 2 p. m.*:

Ocean Palace Amusement Park Permit.

Supervisor Schmidt presented:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Ocean Palace Amusement Company be granted permission to construct, maintain and operate an amusement park as defined in Ordinance No. 5240 (New Series), in and upon so much of the block bounded by Wawona street, Forty-sixth and Forty-seventh avenues and Sloat boulevard as is now permitted or hereafter may be permitted by Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), known as the Zoning Ordinance.

Taxicab Permits Approved.

Supervisor Schmidt presented:

Resolution No. 26783 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following permits for taxicab stands be approved:

Elmer E. Jordan, 382 Ellis street; Yellow Checker Cab Co., 344 Mason street, 346 Mason street, 397 Geary street, 75 Turk street, 1314 Castro street, 900 Hyde street and 2535 Mission street; Gray Cab Co., 309 O'Farrell street; Red Top Cab Co., 2200 Union street; Blue Bird Cab Co., 854 Kearny street and 344 Sutter street.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Marks —2.

Mayor Authorized to Sell Frame Building, 1757 Bush Street, Heretofore Occupied by Players' Guild.

Supervisor Powers presented:

Resolution No. 26784 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That his Honor the Mayor be and he is hereby authorized and requested to sell at public auction the certain frame building (heretofore being a church building) and recently occupied by the Players' Guild and known as No. 1757 Bush street, on property of the City and County of San Francisco, in accordance with provisions of the Charter. The sale of said building is recommended by the Board of Education, being unfit for further use and purposes of the department; said sale not to include any other building than the one herein set forth specifically.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayen, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—14.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Byington, Marks, Shannon—4.

Condemnation of Lands Required for Playground Purposes.

Supervisor Powers presented:

Resolution No. 26785 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, That public interest and necessity require the acquisition by the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, of the following described property situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and more particularly described as follows, to-wit:

Parcel 1. Commencing at a point on the easterly line of Arkansas street, distant thereon two hundred (200) feet northerly from the point formed by the intersection of the said easterly line of Arkansas street with the northerly line of Twenty-third street, running thence northerly along the said easterly line of Arkansas street twenty-five (25) feet; thence at a right angle easterly one hundred (100) feet; thence at a right angle southerly twenty-five (25) feet, and thence at a rgiht angle westerly one hundred (100) feet to the easterly line of Arkansas street and point of commencement. Being a portion of Potrero Nuevo Block Number 227.

Parcel 2. Commencing at a point on the easterly line of Arkansas street, distant thereon two hundred and twenty-five (225) feet northerly from the point formed by the intersection of the said easterly line

of Arkansas street with the northerly line of Twenty-third street, and running thence northerly along the said easterly line of Arkansas street fifty (50) feet; thence at a right angle easterly one hundred (100) feet: thence at a right angle southerly fifty (50) feet, and thence at a right angle westerly one hundred (100) feet to the easterly line of Arkansas street and the point of commencement. Being a portion of Potrero Nuevo Block Number 227.

Parcel 3. Commencing at a point on the easterly line of Arkansas street, distant thereon two hundred and seventy-five (275) feet northerly from the point formed by the intersection of the said easterly line of Arkansas street with the northerly line of Twenty-third street, running thence northerly along the said easterly line of Arkansas street twenty-five (25) feet; thence at a right angle easterly one hundred (100) feet; thence at a right angle southerly twenty-five (25) feet, and thence at a right angle westerly one hundred (100) feet to the easterly line of Arkansas street and the point of commencement. Being a portion of Poerero Nuevo Block Number 227.

Parcel 4. Commencing at a point on the easterly line of Arkansas street, distant thereon three hundred (300) feet northerly from the point formed by the intersection of the said easterly line of Arkansas street with the northerly line of Twenty-third street; thence running northerly along the said easterly line of Arkansas street twenty-five (25) feet; thence at a right angle easterly one hundred (100) feet; thence at a right angle southerly twenty-five (25) feet, and thence at a right angle westerly one hundred (100) feet to the easterly line of Arkansas street and the point of commencement. Being a portion of Potrero Nuevo Block Number 227.

Parcel 5. Commencing at a point on the easterly line of Arkansas street, distant thereon three hundred and twenty-five (325) feet northerly from the point formed by the intersection of the said easterly line of Arkansas street with the northerly line of Twenty-third street, running thence northerly along the said easterly line of Arkansas street fifty (50) feet; thence at a right angle easterly one hundred (100) feet; thence at a right angle southerly fifty (50) feet, and thence at a right angle westerly one hundred (100) feet to the easterly line of Arkansas street and the point of commencement. Being a portion of Potrero Nuevo Block Number 227.

Parcel 6. Commencing at a point on the easterly line of Arkansas street, distant thereon three hundred and seventy-five (375) feet northerly from the point formed by the intersection of the said easterly line of Arkansas street with the northerly line of Twenty-third street, running thence northerly along the said easterly line of Arkansas street twenty-five (25) feet; thence at a right angle easterly one hundred (100) feet; thence at a right angle southerly twenty-five (25) feet, and thence at a right angle westerly one hundred (100) feet to the easterly line of Arkansas street and the point of commencement. Being a portion of Potrero Nuevo Block Number 227.

Parcel 7. Commencing at a point on the easterly line of Arkansas street, distant thereon four hundred and twenty-five (425) feet northerly from the point formed by the intersection of the said easterly line of Arkansas street with the northerly line of Twenty-third street, and running thence northerly along the said easterly line of Arkansas street twenty-five (25) feet; thence at a right angle easterly one hundred (100) feet; thence at a right angle southerly twenty-five (25) feet, and thence at a right angle westerly one hundred (100) feet to the easterly line of Arkansas street and the point of commencement. Being portions of Blocks Numbers 227 and 228 of Potrero Nuevo.

Parcel 8. Commencing at a point on the easterly line of Arkansas street, distant thereon four hundred fifty (450) feet northerly from the point formed by the intersection of the said easterly line of Arkansas street with the northerly line of Twenty-third street; thence running northerly along the said easterly line of Arkansas street twenty-eight (28) feet; thence at a right angle easterly one hundred (100) feet; thence at a right angle southerly twenty-eight (28) feet, and thence at a right angle westerly one hundred (100) feet to the easterly line of Arkansas street and the point of commencement. Being a portion of Potrero Nuevo Block Number 227.

Parcel 9. Commencing at a point on the easterly line of Arkansas street, distant thereon four hundred and seventy-eight (478) feet northerly from the point formed by the intersection of the said easterly line of Arkansas street with the northerly line of

Twenty-third street, running thence northerly along the said easterly line of Arkansas street twenty-seven (27) feet eleven (11) inches; thence at a right angle easterly one hundred (100) feet; thence at a right angle southerly twenty-seven (27) feet eleven (11) inches, and thence at a right angle westerly one hundred (100) feet to the easterly line of Arkansas street and the point of commencement. Being a portion of Potrero Nuevo Block Number 227.

Parcel 10. Commencing at a point on the westerly line of Connecticut street, distant thereon four hundred seventy-eight (478) feet northerly from the point formed by the intersection of the said westerly line of Connecticut street with the northerly line of Twenty-third street; thence running northerly along the said westerly line of Connecticut street twenty-seven (27) feet eleven (11) inches; thence at a right angle westerly one hundred (100) feet; thence at a right angle southerly twenty-seven (27) feet eleven (11) inches, and thence at a right angle easterly one hundred (100) feet to the westerly line of Connecticut street and the point of commencement. Being portion of Potrero Nuevo Blocks Numbers 227 and 228.

Parcel 11. Commencing at a point on the westerly line of Connecticut street, distant thereon two hundred and fifty (250) feet northerly from the point formed by the intersection of the said westerly line of Connecticut street with the northerly line of Twenty-third street, running thence northerly along the said westerly line of Connecticut street fifty (50) feet; thence at a right angle westerly one hundred (100) feet; thence at a right angle southerly fifty (50) feet, and thence at a right angle easterly one hundred (100) feet to the westerly line of Connecticut street and the point of commencement. Being portions of Potrero Nuevo Blocks Numbers 227 and 228.

Parcel 12. Commencing at a point on the westerly line of Connecticut street, distant thereon one hundred and seventy-five (175) feet northerly from the point formed by the intersection of the said westerly line of Connecticut street with the northerly line of Twenty-third street, running thence northerly along the said westerly line of Connecticut street seventy-five (75) feet; thence at a right angle westerly one hundred (100) feet; thence at a right angle southerly seventy-five (75) feet, and thence at a right angle easterly one hundred (100) feet to the westerly line of Connecticut street and the point of commencement. Being a portion of Block Number 227 of Potrero Nuevo.

Parcel 13. Commencing at a point on the westerly line of Connecticut street, distant thereon one hundred and twenty-five (125) feet northerly from the point formed by the intersection of the said westerly line of Connecticut street with the northerly line of Twenty-third street, running thence northerly along the said westerly line of Connecticut street twenty-five (25) feet; thence at a right angle westerly one hundred (100) feet; thence at a right angle southerly twenty-five (25) feet, and thence at a rihgt angle easterly one hundred (100) feet to the westerly line of Connecticut street and the point of commencement. Being a portion of Potrero Nuevo Block Number 227.

Parcel 14. Commencing at a point on the westerly line of Connecticut street, distant thereon one hundred (100) feet northerly from the point formed by the intersection of the said westerly line of Connecticut street with the northerly line of Twenty-third street, running thence northerly along the said westerly line of Connecticut street twenty-five (25) feet; thence at a right angle westerly one hundred (100) feet; thence at a right angle southerly twenty-five (25) feet, and thence at a right angle easterly one hundred (100) feet to the westerly line of Connecticut street and the point of commencement. Being a portion of Potrero Nuevo Block Number 227.

Parcel 15. Commencing at a point formed by the intersection of the northerly line of Twenty-third street with the easterly line of Connecticut street, running thence northerly along the said easterly line of Connecticut street twenty-five (25) feet; thence at a ringht angle easterly one hundred (100) feet; thence at a right angle southerly twenty-five (25) feet to the northerly line of Twenty-third street, and thence westerly along the said northerly line of Twenty-third street one hundred (100) feet to its intersection with the easterly line of Connecticut street and the point of commencement. Being a portion of Potrero Nuevo Block Number 250.

Parcel 16. Commencing at a point on the easterly line of Con-

necticut street, distant thereon fifty (50) feet northerly from the point formed by the intersection of the said easterly line of Connecticut street with the northerly line of Twenty-third street, and running thence northerly along the said easterly line of Connecticut street seventy-five (75) feet; thence at a right angle easterly one hundred (100) feet; thence at a right angle southerly seventy-five (75) feet, and thence at a right angle westerly one hundred (100) feet to the easterly line of Connecticut street and the point of commencement. Being a portion of Potrero Nuevo Block Number 250.

Parcel 17. Commencing at a point on the easterly line of Connecticut street, distant thereon one hundred twenty-five (125) feet northerly from the point formed by the intersection of the said easterly line of Connecticut street with the northerly line of Twenty-third street; thence running northerly along the said easterly line of Connecticut street twenty-five (25) feet; thence at a right angle easterly one hundred twenty (120) feet; thence at a right angle southerly twenty-five (25) feet, and thence at a right angle westerly one hundred twenty (120) feet to the easterly line of Connecticut street and the point of commencement. Being a portion of Potrero Nuevo Block Number 250.

Parcel 18. Commencing at a point on the easterly line of Connecticut street, distant thereon three hundred fifty (350) feet northerly from the point formed by the intersection of the said easterly line of Connecticut street with the northerly line of Twenty-third street; thence running northerly along the said easterly line of Connecticut street twenty-five (25) feet; thence at a right angle easterly one hundred (100) feet; thence at a right angle southerly twenty-five (25) feet, and thence at a right angle westerly one hundred (100) feet to the easterly line of Connecticut street and the point of commencement. Being a portion of Potrero Nuevo Block Number 250.

Parcel 19. Commencing at a point on the easterly line of Connecticut street, distant thereon three hundred and seventy-five feet northerly from the point formed by the intersection of the said easterly line of Connecticut street with the northerly line of Twenty-third street, running thence northerly along the said easterly line of Connecticut street twenty-five (25) feet; thence at a right angle easterly one hundred (100) feet; thence at a right angle southerly twenty-five (25) feet, and thence at a right angle westerly one hundred (100) feet to the easterly line of Connecticut street and the point of commencement. Being a portion of Potrero Nuevo Block Number 250.

Parcel 20. Commencing at a point on the easterly line of Connecticut street, distant thereon four hundred eighteen (418) feet three (3) inches southerly from the point formed by the intersection of the said easterly line of Connecticut street with the southerly line of Twenty-second street; thence running southerly along the said easterly line of Connecticut street forty-seven (47) feet nine (9) inches; thence at a right angle easterly one hundred (100) feet; thence at a right angle northerly fifteen (15) feet five (5) inches, and thence in a general northwesterly direction one hundred five (105) feet one and one-half (1½) inches, more or less, to the easterly line of Connecticut street and the point of commencement. Being a portion of Potrero Nuevo Blocks Numbers 249 and 250.

Parcel 21. Commencing at a point on the westerly line of Missouri street, distant thereon sixty-two (62) feet six (6) inches northerly from the point formed by the intersection of the said westerly line of Missouri street with the northerly line of Twenty-third street; running thence northerly along the said westerly line of Missouri street thirty-seven (37) feet six (6) inches; thence at a right angle westerly one hundred (100) feet; thence at a right angle southerly thirty-seven (37) feet six (6) inches, and thence at a right angle easterly one hundred (100) feet to the westerly line of Missouri street and the point of commencement. Being a portion of Potrero Nuevo Block Number 250.

Parcel 22. Commencing at a point on the westerly line of Missouri street, distant thereon twenty-five (25) feet northerly from the point formed by the intersection of the said westerly line of Missouri street with the northerly line of Twenty-third street, running thence northerly along the said westerly line of Missouri street thirty-seven (37) feet six (6) inches; thence at a right angle westerly one hundred (100) feet; thence at a right angle southerly thirty-seven (37) feet six (6) inches, and thence at a right angle easterly one hundred (100)

feet to the westerly line of Missouri street and the point of commencement. Being a portion of Potrero Nuevo Block Number 250.

Parcel 23. Commencing at a point formed by the intersection of the northerly line of Twenty-third street with the westerly line of Missouri street, thence running northerly along the said westerly line of Missouri street twenty-five (25) feet; thence at a right angle westerly one hundred (100) feet; thence at a right angle southerly twenty-five (25) feet to the northerly line of Twenty-third street, and thence easterly along the said northerly line of Twenty-third street one hundred (100) feet to its intersection with the westerly line of Missouri street and the point of commencement. Being a portion of Potrero Nuevo Block Number 250.

Be it Further Resolved, That said properties are suitable, adaptable, necessary and required for the public use of said City and County of San Francisco, to-wit: For playground purposes. It is necessary that a fee simple title be taken for such use.

The City Attorney is hereby ordered and directed to commence proceedings in eminent domain against the owners of said tracts of land and of any and all interests therein or claims thereto for the condemnation thereof for the public use of said City and County of San Francisco, as aforesaid.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Marks —2.

Accepting Offer of A. G. Von Krazmer to Sell Property on Twenty-fourth Avenue, South of Rivera Street, Required for School Purposes.

Supervisor Powers presented:

Resolution No. 26786 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, an offer has been received from A. G. Van Krazmer to convey to the City and County of San Francisco certain land situated on the easterly line of Twenty-fourth avenue, distant 25 feet southerly from Rivera street, required for school purposes; and

Whereas, the price at which said parcel of land is offered is the reasonable value thereof; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the offer of the said owner to convey to the City and County of San Francisco a good and sufficient fee simple title to the following described land, free of all encumbrances, for the sum of $900, be and the same is hereby accepted, the said land being described as follows, to-wit:

Commencing at a point on the easterly line of Twenty-fourth avenue, distant thereon 225 feet southerly from the southerly line of Rivera street, running thence southerly along said easterly line of Twenty-fourth avenue 25 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 120 feet; thence at a right angle northerly 25 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 120 feet to the easterly line of Twenty-fourth avenue and point of commencement; being a portion of Outside Lands Block 1099, also known as Block 2326 on Assessor's Map Book.

The City Attorney is hereby directed to examine the title to said land and, if the same is found to be vested in the aforesaid owner, free of all encumbrances, and that the taxes up to and including the current fiscal year are paid, and that the so-called McEnerney title has been procured or sufficient money reserved for the purpose of procuring the same, to report the result of his examination to the Board of Supervisors, and also to cause a good and sufficient deed for said land to be executed and delivered to the City and County upon payment of the agreed purchase price as aforesaid. And the said deed to said land is hereby accepted.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Marks —2.

Mayor Authorized to Sell Property at 507 and 511 Otsego Street at Public Auction.

Supervisor Powers presented:

Resolution No. 26787 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That his Honor the Mayor be and he is hereby authorized and requested to sell at public auction, in accordance with provisions of the Charter, the certain frame buildings situate on property of the City and County and known as Nos. 507 and 511 Otsego street.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Galla-

gher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Marks —2.

Transfer of Property at West Portal of Twin Peaks Tunnel to Playground Commission.

Supervisor Powers presented:

Resolution No. 26788 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the Playground Commission, by communication dated September 21, 1926, asked to have transferred to its department, for playground purposes, the certain strip of land belonging to the City and County of San Francisco, and situate over the westerly portal of the Twin Peaks Tunnel, of dimensions approximately 150 feet frontage on Ulloa street and 555 feet fronting along Lennox way; and

Whereas, the Board of Public Works, having supervision over the said strip of land, has recommended the transfer as well as the Board of Education and the Parks and Playgrounds Committee of this Board; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the said strip of land as hereinbefore referred to and set forth herein be and is hereby transferred to the care and custody of the Playground Commission for the purpose of establishing a children's playground thereon, under such restrictions as suggested by the Board of Public Works.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—14.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Byington, Marks, Shannon—4.

Accepting Offer of Daisy M. Hill to Sell Certain Land on Twenty-third Avenue, North of Rivera Street, Required for School Purposes.

Supervisor Powers presented:

Resolution No. 26789 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, an offer has been received from Daisy M. Hill to convey to the City and County of San Francisco certain land situate west line of Twenty-third avenue, distant 250 feet northerly from Rivera street, of dimensions 25 x 120 feet, required for school purposes; and

Whereas, the price at which said parcel of land is offered is the reasonable value thereof; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the offer of the said owner to convey to the City and County of San Francisco a good and sufficient fee simple title to the following described land, free of all encumbrances, for the sum of $900 be and the same is hereby accepted, the said land being described as follows, to-wit:

Commencing at a point on the westerly line of Twenty-third avenue, distant thereon 250 feet northerly from the northerly line of Rivera street, running thence northerly 25 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 120 feet; thence at a right angle southerly 25 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 120 feet to the westerly line of Twenty-third avenue and point of commencement; being a portion of Outside Lands Block 1049, also known as Block 2194 on Assessor's Map Book.

The City Attorney is hereby directed to examine the title to said land and, if the same is found to be vested in the aforesaid owner, free of all encumbrances, and that the taxes up to and including the current fiscal year are paid, and that the so-called McEnerney title has been procured or sufficient money reserved for the purpose of procuring the same, to report the result of his examination to the Board of Supervisors and also to cause a good and sufficient deed for said land to be executed and delivered to the City and County upon payment of the agreed purchase price as aforesaid. And the said deed to said land is hereby accepted.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Marks —2.

Accepting Offer of George Y. Henderson et al. to Sell Land Required for Hetch Hetchy Right of Way.

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Resolution No. 26790 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the City and County of San Francisco, by deed dated September 28, 1923, and recorded October 19, 1923, in Book 94 of Official Records of San Mateo County, page 117, acquired from George Y. Henderson, Lillian L. Henderson and Ida H. Sevier a certain parcel of land situate in the County of San Mateo, State of California, now in use as a portion of the Hetch Hetchy Aqueduct right of way, and described in said deed as follows, to-wit:

A portion of that certain tract of land containing 427.06 acres conveyed to George Y. Henderson, Ida H. Sevier and Alice J. Henderson

by a decree of partial distribution in Estate of James W. Henderson, dated April 14, 1911, a certified copy of which was recorded August 20, 1918, in Book 274 of Deeds, page 204, San Mateo County Records; also by a decree of distribution in Estate of James W. Henderson, dated February 20, 1912, a certified copy of which was recorded August 20, 1918, in Book 275 of Deeds, page 229, San Mateo County Records; said portion being described as follows:

A strip of land 80 feet in width, lying 40 feet each side of the center line described as follows:

Beginning at a point in the boundary line between lands of the grantors and lands now or formerly of Maud Lee Flood, distant along said line southwesterly 1272.9 feet from a ½-inch pipe set in a concrete monument in the southerly boundary of the Central Pacific Railway Company right of way; thence from the point of beginning north 88 deg. 11 min. 50 sec. east 4585 feet, more or less, to a point in the northwesterly line of Willow road, distant northeasterly along said northwesterly line of Willow road 2560.3 feet from a ½-inch iron pipe set in a concrete monument in the northerly line of Bay road; thence continuing north 88 deg. 11 min. 50 sec. east 35 feet, more or less, to the center line of Willow road. Containing 8.421 acres, more or less.

The monument first hereinabove mentioned marks a corner common to lands of the grantors and lands of the Central Pacific Railway and a point in the boundary of lands of Maud Lee Flood; and

Whereas, the grant and conveyance of said strip of land was made subject to certain reservations and conditions in said deed more specifically set forth; and

Whereas, Newbridge Park Realty Company of San Francisco is now engaged in the development for business and residential purposes of the property through which said 80-foot strip extends; and

Whereas, pursuant to the right to lay out streets and dedicate them as set forth in the conditions of the said deed, said Newbridge Park Realty Company has seen fit to occupy and has occupied said right of way as and for a street for its entire length through the property of said George Y. Henderson, Lillian L. Henderson and Ida H. Sevier; and

Whereas, the grantors of said real property hereinbefore mentioned have agreed that the conditions and reservations expressly set forth in said deed be cancelled, and new conditions substituted therefor; and

Whereas, the substitution of the new conditions and reservations in place of the conditions expressly set forth in the deed is for the best interests of the City and County of San Francisco; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Mayor of said City and County is hereby authorized and directed to enter into, in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, a contract and agreement with George Y. Henderson, Lillian L. Henderson, Ida H. Sevier and Newbridge Park Realty Company, a corporation, wherein and whereby the said George Y. Henderson, Lillian L. Henderson and Ida H. Sevier agree to waive and set aside certain conditions in said deed made to the City and County of San Francisco, dated September 28, 1923, and recorded October 19, 1923, in Book 94 of Official Records of San Mateo County, page 117. It shall be provided in said agreement that the Newbridge Park Realty Company shall have the right to lay out streets and dedicate the same over any part of the parcel of real property hereinbefore described, provided that an area 40 feet in width, 20 feet on each side of the center line of said 80-foot strip, shall be left perpetually unpaved in the central portion of the street constructed over the right of way, except at intersections with other streets, and only the outer 20 feet on each side of the right of way shall be used for street and sidewalk purposes except at street intersections.

It shall be further provided in said agreement that the Newbridge Park Realty Company shall construct a curb along each side of said 40-foot unpaved area, and also across said unpaved area at each intersecting street, which said curb shall be of substantial construction and high enough to keep vehicles from entering said unpaved area. Said agreement shall also provide for the protection of pipe lines and other structures already constructed and hereafter to be constructed by the City and County of San Francisco, and for regulating the installation of pipes and other underground structures by the Newbridge Park Realty Company within the right of way. Said agreement shall also provide for the maintenance and replacement of

any payment, curb or other structure constructed on the right of way by the Newbridge Park Realty Company and later damaged by the City and County of San Francisco in the course of the construction or maintenance of the City's pipe lines or other srtuctures, at the expense of the Newbridge Park Realty Company. Said agreement shall also provide that the same shall be binding upon the Newbridge Park Realty Company, its successors in interest and/or assigns, including any municipality or other political subdivision that may at any future time accept for street purposes any portion of the City and County of San Francisco's 80-foot right of way.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—14.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Byington, Marks, Shannon—4.

Extension of Municipal Bus Line, Sunset District.

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Resolution No. 26791 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Board of Public Works be and it is hereby authorized and requested to extend the Municipal Railway bus line along Irving street from its present terminus at Thirty-fifth avenue to Forty-eighth avenue, with transfer privileges to the Great Highway bus line, as recommended by the Board of Public Works in Resolution No. 95556 (Second Series).

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—14.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Byington, Marks, Shannon—4.

Authorizing Chairman of Public Welfare Committee to Take Charge of Arrangements in Connection With San Francisco's Participation at the Nevada Transcontinental Highways Exposition.

Supervisor Kent presented:

Resolution No. 26792 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the Nevada Transcontinental Highways Exposition will be held at Reno, Nevada, June 25 to July 31, 1927, inclusive; and

Whereas, the City of San Francisco has officially indorsed and approved this exposition and has promised to cooperate in every way possible towards its success; and

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors has already made an appropriation for plans and preliminary work in connection with San Francisco's exhibit at said exposition; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Chairman of the Public Welfare Committee be and he is hereby authorized and requested to take charge of all necessary arrangements in connection with San Francisco's participation at the Nevada Transcontinental Highways Exposition.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Marks —2.

Passed for Printing.

The following bill was *passed for printing*:

Spur Track Permit, United States Government, Fort Mason.

On motion of Supervisor Badaracco:

Bill No. 7912, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordinance granting to the United States the right to construct, maintain and operate in perpetuity a spur track railroad, extending from Fort Mason Military Reservation to the Presidio Reservation in the City and County of San Francisco, over and along certain public streets in said City and County.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Pursuant to the provisions of Section 8 of Article I of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, added to said Charter as an amendment, duly ratified and adopted at the general election held on the 2d day of November, 1926, and approved by the Legislature of the State of California on the 10th day of January, 1927, the City and County of San Francisco does hereby grant to the United States the right to construct, maintain and operate in perpetuity a spur track railroad, extending from Fort Mason Military Reservation to the Presidio Reservation, in said City and County, over and along the following streets and property in said City and County of San Francisco, to-wit:

Along Beach street from the east line of Laguna street to the west line of Webster street; along Webster street from the south line of Beach street to the north line of

Tonquin street; along Tonquin street from the east line of Webster street to the west line of Lyon street.

Section 2. The right hereby granted shall include the right to construct, maintain and operate a switch into the United States Army Supply Depot at Fort Mason from a point on Beach street, approximately seventy (70) feet west of the west line of Leguna street, as shown on blueprint filed December 2, 1915, in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 3. This ordinance shall become effective upon the conveyance by the Secretary of War of the United States to the City and County of San Francisco of the hereinafter described real property, in accordance with the provisions of an act of Congress entitled: "An act authorizing the Secretary of War to convey certain portions of the Military Reservation of the Presidio of San Francisco to the City and County of San Francisco for educational, art, exposition and park purposes," approved March 3, 1925. The real property to be conveyed by said Secretary of War to the City and County of San Francisco is that portion of the Presidio Reservation in said City and County, described as follows, to-wit:

Commencing at a point on the westerly line of Lyon street, distant thereon 5.17 feet southerly from the northerly line of Bay street if extended and produced westerly, and running thence northerly along the westerly line of Lyon street 1196.80 feet; thence southwesterly on a curve to the left of 612-foot radius, central angle 155 deg. 47 min. 50 sec., tangent to a line deflected 102 deg. 6 min. 5 sec. to the left from the preceding course a distance of 1664.13 feet to the westerly line of Lyon street and the point of commencement; containing 9.93 acres, more or less.

Intention to Change Grades.

Supervisor Badaracco presented:

Resolution No. 26793 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to change and establish grades on the following named streets, at the points hereinafter specified and at the elevations above city base, as hereinafter stated, in accordance with Resolution No. 95744 (Second Series) of the Board of Public Works, adopted January 28, 1927, and written recommendation of said Board filed January 31, 1927, to-wit:

Lyon Street.

On a line at right angles to the easterly line of, 200 feet northerly from Chestnut street, 13.48 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

On a line at right angles to the easterly line of, at Francisco street southerly line, 10.00 feet.

15 feet northerly from Francisco street southerly line, at Lyon street easterly line, 9.85 feet.

15 feet southerly from Francisco street northerly line, at Lyon street easterly line, 9.47 feet.

19 feet westerly from the easterly line of, at Francisco street northerly line, 9.32 feet.

19 feet easterly from the westerly line of, on a line at ight angles to the easterly line of, at Francisco street northerly line, 9.32 feet.

Bay street, 6.60 feet.

North Point street, 5.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Francisco Street.

Baker street, 6.50 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

15 feet northerly from the southerly line of, at Lyon street easterly line, 9:85 feet.

15 feet southerly from the northerly line of, at Lyon street easterly line, 9.47 feet.

Bay Street.

Baker street, 4.50 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Lyon street, 6.60 feet.

On Lyon street between a line at right angles to the easterly line of, 200 feet northerly from Chestnut street and North Point street, and on Francisco and Bay streets between Baker and Lyon streets, be changed and established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that no assessment district is necessary, as no damage will result from said change of grades, inasmuch as the streets are ungraded and there are no existing street improvements.

The Board of Public Works is hereby directed to cause to be conspicuously posted along the street or streets upon which such change or modification of grade or grades is contemplated, notice of the passage of this resolution of intention.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden,

Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Marks —2.

Passed for Printing.

The following bill was *passed for printing*:

Establishing Grades.

On motion of Supervisor Badaracco:

Bill No. 7913, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Establishing grades on Boylston and Bowdoin streets between Hale and Gaven streets, on Sweeny street between the easterly line of Boylston street and Bowdoin street, and on Gaven street between Boylston and Bowdoin streets.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The grades on Boylston and Bowdoin streets between Hale and Gaven streets, on Sweeny street between the easterly line of Boylston street and Bowdoin street, and on Gaven street between Boylston and Bowdoin streets, are hereby established at points hereinafter named and at heights above city base as hereinafter stated, in accordance with recommendation of the Board of Public Works filed February 2, 1927.

Bowdoin Street.

On a line at right angles to the easterly line of, at Hale street northerly line, 120.00 feet. (The same being the recommended grade.)

On a line at right angles to the easterly line of, at Sweeny street southerly line, 90.00 feet.

10 feet northerly from Sweeny street southerly line, at Bowdoin street easterly line, 89.50 feet.

10 feet southerly from the northerly line of, at Bowdoin street easterly line, 87.50 feet.

On a line at right angles to the easterly line of, at Sweeny street northerly line, 87.00 feet.

On a line at right angles to the easterly line of, at Gaven street southerly line, 50.00 feet.

10 feet northerly from Gaven street southerly line, at Bowdoin street easterly line, 49.33 feet.

10 feet southerly from Gaven street northerly line, at Bowdoin street easterly line, 48.67 feet.

On a line at right angles to the easterly line of, at Gaven street northerly line, 48.00 feet.

Boylston Steet.

10 feet westerly from the easterly line of, at Hale street northerly line, 84.50 feet. (The same being the recommended grade.)

10 feet easterly from the westerly line of, at Hale street northerly line, 85.50 feet. (The same being the recommended grade.)

Easterly line of, at Sweeny street southerly line, 72.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

10 feet westerly from the easterly line of, at Sweeny street southerly line, 72.50 feet.

10 feet easterly from the westerly line of, at Sweeny street southerly line, 73.50 feet.

Westerly line of, at Sweeny street southerly line, 74.00 feet.

Westerly line of, at Sweeny street northerly line, 74.00 feet.

10 feet easterly from the westerly line of, at Sweeny street northerly line, 73.50 feet.

10 feet westerly from the easterly line of, at Sweeny street northerly line, 72.50 feet.

Easterly line of, at Sweeny street northerly line, 72.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

On a line at right angles to the westerly line of, at Gaven street southerly line, 40.50 feet.

10 feet northerly from Gaven street southerly line, at Boylston street easterly line, 40.00 feet.

On a line at right angles to Gaven street southerly line, at Boylston street westerly line, 40.50 feet.

Sweeny Street.

On a line at right angles to the northerly line of, at Boylston street easterly line, 72.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Southerly line of, at Boylston street easterly line, 72.00 feet. (The ame being the present official grade.)

10 feet westerly from Boylston street easterly line, at Sweeny street northerly line, 72.50 feet.

10 feet easterly from Boylston street westerly line, at Sweeny street northerly line, 73.50 feet.

Northerly line of, at Boylston street westerly line, 74.00 feet.

10 feet westerly from Boylston street easterly line, at Sweeny street southerly line, 72.50 feet.

10 feet easterly from Boylston street westerly line, at Sweeny street southerly line, 73.50 feet.

On a line at right angles to the southerly line of, at Boylston street westerly line, 74.00 feet.

On a line at right angles to the northerly line of, 100 feet easterly from Bowdoin street, 86.00 feet.

10 feet southerly from the northerly line of, at Bowdoin street easterly line, 87.50 feet.

10 feet northerly from the southerly line of, at Bowdoin street easterly line, 89.50 feet.

Gaven Street.

10 feet northerly from the southerly line of, at Boylston street easterly line, 40.00 feet.

On a line at right angles to the southerly line of, at Boylston street westerly line, 40.50 feet.

On a line at right angles to the northerly line of, 100 feet easterly from Bowdoin street, 47.60 feet.

10 feet southerly from the northerly line of, at Bowdoin street easterly line, 48.67 feet.

10 feet notherly from the southerly line of, at Bowdoin street easterly line, 49.33 feet.

On Boylston and Bowdoin streets between Hale and Gaven streets, on Sweeny street between the easterly line of Boylston street and Bowdoin street and on Gaven street between Boylston and Bowdoin streets be established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

Extensions of Time.

Supervisor Badaracco presented: Resolution No. 26794 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Eaton & Smith be and are hereby granted an extension of ninety days' time from and after January 3, 1927, within which to complete the improvement of Elk street between Bosworth and Chenery streets. This extension of time is granted for the reason that the contractor has been delayed by shortage of material for fill.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Marks —2.

Also, Resolution No. 26795 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That M. J. Treacy be and he is hereby granted an extension of ninety days' time from and after January 9, 1927, within which to complete the improvement of Munich street between Persia and Russia avenues. This extension of time is granted for the reason that the contractor has been delayed by waiting for the fill to settle.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Marks —2.

Also, Resolution No. 26796 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That E. J. Treacy be and he is hereby granted an extension of ninety days' time from and after February 11, 1927, within which to complete the improvement of Rhode Island street between Twentieth and Twenty-second streets, including the crossing of Rhode Island and Twentieth streets. This extension is granted for the reason that the contractor has been delayed by inclement weather.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Marks —2.

Also, Resolution No. 26797 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That T. M. Gallagher be and he is hereby granted an extension of ninety days' time from and after January 6, 1927, within which to complete the improvement of Elizabeth street between Hoffman avenue and Burnham street. This extension of time is granted for the reason that the contractor has been delayed by inclement weather.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Marks —2.

Also, Resolution No. 26798 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That M. J. Treacy be and he is hereby granted the following extensions of time, viz.:

Ninety days' time from and after February 21, 1927, within which to complete the improvement of Peralta avenue between York street and Holladay avenue. This extension is granted for the reason that the contractor has been delayed by the prevailing inclement weather.

Ninety days' time from and after January 11, 1927, within which to complete the improvement of Twentieth avenue between Judah and Kirkham streets. This extension is granted for the reason that work has been delayed on account of the desirability of allowing the heavy fill sufficient time to settle.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri,

Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Marks —2.

Also, Resolution No. 26799 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Eaton & Smith be and are hereby granted an extension of ninety days' time from and after January 14, 1927, to complete the improvement of Sutro Monument. This extension is granted for the reason that the contractor has been delayed due to rain.

Adopted by the following vote.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Marks —2.

Also, Resolution No. 26800 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Chas. L. Harney be and he is hereby granted the following extensions of time, viz.:

Ninety days' time from and after January 6, 1927, within which to complete the improvement of Twenty-fifth street between Hoffman avenue and Homestead street, and the crossing of Homestead and Twenty-fifth streets. This extension is granted for the reason that the contractor has been delayed by rain and unsettled weather.

Ninety days' time from and after February 7, 1927, within which to complete the improvement of Twenty-fifth treet between Hoffman avenue and Fountain street, and the crossing of Twenty-fifth street and Hoffman avenue. Contractor has been delayed by rain and unsettled weather.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Marks —2.

Also, Resolution No. 26801 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the United Improvement Company be and is hereby granted an extension of ninety days' time from and after February 15, 1927, within which to complete the construction of sewers in Holladay avenue between Esmeralda avenue and Mayflower street, etc. This extension of time is granted for the reason that the contractor has been delayed by the wet weather.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman. Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Marks —2.

Award of Contract, Hardware, Etc., Lumber.

Supervisor Badaracco presented:

Resolution No. 26802 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of contract for furnishing supplies in the following classes, viz.:

Class 6—Item 618 (g) and Item 625.

Class 7—Hardware and castings.

Class 11—Lumber.

that may be ordered from time to time during the six-months period commencing January 1 and ending June 30, 1926, be made on bids submitted December 13, 1926 (Proposal No. 261), and tabulation thereof on file in Bureau of Supplies, which is hereby made a part hereof; said matter having heretofore received full consideration in a meeting of the Supplies Committee and the awards herein approved by it are now made to the following viz.:

American Brake Shoe and Foundry Co., bond required, $1,000; Enterprise Foundry Co., bond required, $200; A. J. Glesener Co., bond required, $100; M. Greenberg's Sons, bond required, $100; Antone Lettich, bond required, $100; Levenson Co., no bond required; C. W. Marwedel, bond required, $100; J. H. McCallum, bond required, $500; Pacific Abrasive Supply Co. no bond required; San Francisco Lumber Co., bond required, $100; San Francisco Stove Works, bond required, $100.

Note: All above awards are made to the lowest bidder except when award is made in consideration of deliveries or on account of the quality as determined by such tests as required or recommended by the Purchaser of Supplies.

Resolved, That all other bids submitted thereon be rejected.

Resolved, That all bids in Class 9, paints, painters' supplies and glass, be rejected.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri,

Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Marks —2.

Award of Contract, Dry Goods and Wearing Apparel.

Supervisor Badaracco presented: Resolution No. 26803 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of contract for furnishing dry goods and wearing apparel be made on bids submitted January 24, 1927 (Proposal 271), and tabulation thereof on file in Bureau of Supplies, which is hereby made a part hereof; said matter having heretofore received full consideration in an open public meeting of the Supplies Committee and the awards herein approved by it are now made to the following viz.:

1—James Rae.
2—Neustadter Brothers.
3—D. N. & E. Walter & Co.
4—Walton N. Moore Dry Goods Co., Inc.
5—Levi Strauss & Co.
7—Buckingham & Hecht.
9—Carl Munter & Co.
10—L. Dinkelspiel Co.
11—N. & S. E. Kalischer.
13—Greenebaum, Weil & Michels.
14—Eloesser, Heynemann Co.
15—Leighton Jellett Co., Inc.
16—Philadelphia Shoe Co.
18—G. C. Hall & Son.

Resolved, That no bonds be required on above contracts.

Note: All above awards are made to the lowest bidder, except when award is made in consideration of deliveries or on account of the quality as determined by such tests as required or recommended by the Purchaser of Supplies.

Resolved, That all other bids submitted thereon be rejected.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Marks —2.

ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND MOTIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

Accepting Offer of John Garvey to Sell Land Required for School Purposes.

Supervisor Powers presented:

Resolution No. 26804 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, an offer has been received from John Garvey to convey to the City and County of San Francisco certain land situate east line of Thirty-second avenue, distant 150 feet southerly from Anza street, required for school purposes; and

Whereas, the price at which said parcel of land is offered is the reasonable value thereof; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the offer of the said owner to convey to the City and County of San Francisco a good and sufficient fee simple title to the following described land, free of all encumbrances, for the sum of $1,750 be and the same is hereby accepted, the said land being described as follows, to-wit:

Commencing at a point on the easterly line of Thirty-second avenue, distant thereon 150 feet southerly from the southerly line of Anza street, running thence southerly along said easterly line of Thirty-second avenue 27 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 84 feet 4¾ inches; thence southeasterly 27 feet; thence westerly 86 feet, more or less, to the easterly line of Thirty-second avenue and point of commencement. Being a portion of Outside Land Block 314, also known as Block 1574 on Assessor's Map Book.

The City Attorney is hereby directed to examine the title to said land and if the same is found to be vested in the aforesaid owner, free of all encumbrances, and that the so-called McEnerney title has been procured or sufficient money reserved for the purpose of procuring the same, to report the result of his examination to the Board of Supervisors, and also to cause a good and sufficient deed for said land to be executed and delivered to the City and County upon payment of the agreed purchase price as aforesaid. And the said deed to said land is hereby accepted.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —12.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Marks—6.

Site for Federal Building.

Supervisor Powers presented:

Resolution No. 26805 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, a communication from his Honor the Mayor has suggested that the United States Government might be prevailed upon to erect a Federal Building within the area of the Civic Center so as to coordinate City, State and Federal buildings within the group; and

Whereas, his Honor has suggested that the City property in the Civic Center situate at Hyde, Leavenworth, McAllister streets, being the old site of the Hall of Records, be offered to the government for the erection of such a building; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the suggestion of his Honor the Mayor is hereby acquiesced in, and the said parcel of land as hereinbefore referred to be and is hereby tendered to the United States Government for the purpose of erecting thereon a building for the transaction of its public and governmental business.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —12.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Marks—6.

Proposed Amendments to Building Laws.

Supervisor Gallagher presented:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Building Committee of this Board be requested to consider and to recommend, if they deem advisable, the following amendments to the Building Laws, i. e.:

1. Providing for a Board of Condemnation of Buildings that are a menace to health or to life;

2. Requiring that all residences hereafter erected shall display house numbers of a sufficient size and attached to the street exterior of same;

3. That all theaters and places of public assemblage shall have at least four (4) additional inches from the rear of one seat to the next in the rear; this amendment to obviate the great inconvenience to persons entering or emerging from theater seats while end seats on aisles are occupied.

Referred to Public Buildings Committee.

Consideration of Salary Increase Resolutions.

Resolutions lettered "A" to "V" on today's calendar were taken up for consideration.

Report of Finance Committee on Salary Increases.

San Francisco,
December 20, 1926.

Honorable Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco.

Gentlemen:

In the last municipal campaign the people of San Francisco, by overwhelming vote, declared for strict economy in the conduct of the business of this City and County. In compiling the budget for the present fiscal year your Finance Committee complied with that mandate for economy, and submitted an estimate of expenditures totaling $33,284,382, which represented a reduction of $1,776,127 from the nominal budget total of the previous fiscal year. As a matter of fact the previous year's budget had been erroneously compiled, with the result that a deficit of $1,400,000 occurred, so that the actual reduction in authorized expenditures for the present year amounts to $3,176,127.

This year's budget was unanimously adopted by the Board of Supervisors and signed by the Mayor, without the change of a single item in the report of the Finance Committee. A tax rate 47 cents less than that of the previous year was adopted, and 72,000 taxpayers of this City and County are now enjoying an average reduction of 12 per cent in their tax bills for the current year.

When the budget was compiled your Finance Committee took the position that the people's mandate for economy was intended to apply not only to elected officials, but to all employees of the City and County as well, and not a single salary raise or reduction was included in the budget. Half of the fiscal year has now elapsed and a survey of the City and County finances shows that a moderate surplus will accumulate in the treasury by the end of the year. Your Finance Committee has therefore taken under consideration various requests for salary increases for City and County employees who now receive small incomes, and for certain others who have not enjoyed a raise for a number of years. After a careful investigation of these requests your Finance Committee, on November 29 last, recommended small increases of salary for about 640 employees, which will aggregate an expenditure of about $70,000 in next year's budget, representing approximately one cent in the tax rate.

Certain members of your Honorable Board have seen fit to recommend additional increases for about 187 employees, 102 of whom were included in the recommendations already submitted by the Finance Committee. These additional increases would amount to $37,104, and would entail a further advance of ½ cent in the tax rate.

During the past three weeks your Finance Committee has been approached personally, and by petition, by no less than one thousand city employees, all asking for salary increases. If these requests were allowed they would necessitate an increase of approximately a quarter of a million dollars in the next budget. Your Finance Committee recognizes the fact that all employees would like to have a salary raise, but it is obviously impossible to increase the entire City and County payroll, and your committee has endeavored to confine its recommendations chiefly to those employees who are now receiving what may be characterized as a minimum wage. The first year of the new economy regime is only half over and your Finance Committee believes that a faithful adherence to its obligation to the people's demand for economy will not justify any further increases at this time.

In the face of these facts as herein stated the Finance Committee recommends that all requests for raises of salaries made on November 29, 1926, be disapproved and that the Civil Service Commission be requested to report as soon as possible their recommendations on the standardization of positions as provided for by the Charter.

Respectfully submitted,
FINANCE COMMITTEE.
JAS. B. McSHEEHY,
Chairman.
F. R. HAVENNER.
MILO F. KENT.

Adjuster of Licenses.

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, pursuant to Ordinance No. 7117 (New Series), and in accordance with Subdivision "C" of Section 3 thereof, the Auditor is hereby authorized and requested to make transfer of requisite amount to meet increased amount set opposite the following budget item, effective from and after January 1, 1927, to-wit:

Tax Collector's Office.

Budget Item No. 197—Adjuster of licenses, $3,300.

Female Jailer.

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, pursuant to Ordinance No. 7117 (New Series), and in accordance with Subdivision "C" of Section 3 thereof, the Auditor is hereby authorized and requested to make transfer of requisite amount to meet increased amount set opposite the following budget item, effective from and after January 1, 1927, to-wit:

Sheriff's Office.

Budget Item No. 314—1 female jailer, $2,244.

Sealer of Weights and Measures.

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, pursuant to Ordinance No. 7117 (New Series), and in accordance with Subdivision "C" of Section 3 thereof, the Auditor is hereby authorized and requested to make transfers of requisite amounts to meet increased amounts set opposite the following budget items effective from and after January 1, 1927, to-wit:

Office of Sealer of Weights and Measures.

Budget Item No. 396—Chief deputy, $3,600.

Budget Item No. 397—6 deputy sealers, at $3,000 each, $18,000.

Nurses.

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, pursuant to Ordinance No. 7117 (New Series), and in accordance with Subdivision "C" of Section 3 thereof, the Auditor is hereby authorized and requested to make transfers of requisite amounts to meet increased amounts set opposite the following budget items effective from and after January 1, 1927, to-wit:

Tuberculosis Bureau.

Budget Item No. 838—8 field nurses, from $1,980 to $2,400.

School Medical Inspection.

Budget Item No. 840—30 field nurses, from $1,980 to $2,400.

Child Welfare.

Budget Item No. 842—Field nurse for foster homes, from $1,980 to $2,400.

Budget Item No. 843—7 field nurses for health centers, from $1,980 to $2,400.

Social Service.

Budget Item No. 844—Field nurse, from $1,980 to $2,400.

Phone Operators.

Supervisor Todd presented:

Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, pursuant to Ordinance No. 7117 (New Series), and in accordance with Subdivision "C" of Section 3 thereof, the Auditor is hereby authorized and requested to make transfers of requisite amounts

to meet increased amounts set opposite the following budget items effective from and after January 1, 1927, to-wit:

Department of Public Health—Central Office.

Budget Item No. 774—2 phone operators, from $1,800 to $2,100.

San Francisco Hospital.

Budget Item No. 940—2 phone operators, from $1,800 to $2,100.

Assessor, Department of Public Works, Department of Electricity and Department of Public Health.

Supervisor Stanton presented:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, pursuant to Ordinance No. 7117 (New Series), and in accordance with Subdivision "C" of Section 3 thereof, the Auditor is hereby authorized and requested to make transfers of requisite amounts to meet increased amounts set opposite the following budget items, effective from and after January 1, 1927, to-wit:

Assessor.

Budget Item No. 224—Fifteen assistant appraisers (at $2,400 each), $36,000.

Bureau of Engineering.

Budget Item No. 532—One inspector of streets and sewers, $2,520.

Budget Item No. 533—One inspector of streets and sewers, $2,400.

Board of Public Works—(Bureau of Accounting).

Budget Item No. 422—Two stenographers (at $2,220 each a year), $4,440.

Budget Item No. 423—Four stenographers (at $2,100 each a year), $8,400.

Board of Public Works—(Bureau of Architecture).

Budget Item No. 429—Stenographer, $2,220.

Department of Electricity.

Budget Item No. 617—One stenographer-typewriter, $2,220.

Budget Item No. 638—Two clerks (at $2,400 each), $4,800.

Department of Public Health.

Budget Item No. 771—Three stenographers (at $2,400 a year), $7,200.

Budget Item No. 772—Two clerks (at $2,400 each a year), $4,800.

Budget Item No. 777—Two clerks (at $2,400 each a year), $4,800.

Budget Item No. 779—One clerk (mortuary), $2,400.

Budget Item No. 790—Psychologist, $1,920.

Budget Item No. 803—Clerk, $2,400.

Budget Item No. 860—Stenographer, $2,400.

Department of Public Works (Bureau of Repair).

Supervisor Todd presented:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, pursuant to Ordinance No. 7117 (New Series), and in accordance with Subdivision "C" of Section 3 thereof, the Auditor is hereby authorized and requested to make transfers of requisite amounts to meet increased amounts set opposite the following Budget items, effective from and after January 1, 1927, to-wit:

Department of Public Works—(Bureau of Building Repair).

Budget Item No. 432—Superintendent of painters, from $3,150 to $3,450.

Budget Item No. 433—Foreman plumber, from $3,000 to $3,300.

Budget Item No. 434—Foreman cement finisher, from $3,000 to $3,300.

Budget Item No. 435—Foreman carpenter, from $3,000 to $3,300.

Budget Item No. 436—Foreman tinner, from $3,000 to $3,300.

Budget Item No. 437—Foreman wireman, from $3,000 to $3,300.

Budget Item No. 470—Foreman steamfitter, from $3,000 to $3,300.

Board of Public Works, Sheriff's Office and Police Department.

Supervisor Hayden presented:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, pursuant to Ordinance No. 7117 (New Series), and in accordance with Subdivision "C" of Section 3 thereof, the Auditor is hereby authorized and requested to make transfers of requisite amounts to meet increased amounts set opposite the following Budget items, effective from and after January 1, 1927, to-wit:

Board of Public Works—Commissioners and General Office.

Budget Item No. 412—Messenger-clerk, from $2,280 to $2,400.

Board of Public Works—Bureau of Architecture.

Budget Item No. 428—Apprentice draftsman to messenger, from $1,500 and $1,800.

Sheriff's Office.

Budget Item No. 312—Two cooks, from $2,100 to $2,340.

Police Department—Uniform Police.

Budget Item No. 762—Cook, from $2,100 to $2,340.

Justices' Courts.

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, pursuant to Ordinance No. 7117 (New Series), and in accordance with Subdivision "C" of Section 3 thereof, the Auditor is hereby authorized and requested to make transfers of requisite amounts to meet increased amounts set opposite the following Budget items, effective from and after January 1, 1927, to-wit:

Justices' Courts.

Budget Item No. 1—Clerk (at $2,550 a year), $2,700.

Assessor.

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, pursuant to Ordinance No. 7117 (New Series), and in accordance with Subdivision "C" of Section 3 thereof, the Auditor is hereby authorized and requested to make transfer of requisite amount to meet increased amounts set opposite the following Budget item, effective from and after January 1, 1927, to-wit:

Assessor.

Budget Item No. — —Stenographer-typist (at $2,400 a year), $1,950.

County Clerk.

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, pursuant to Ordinance No. 7117 (New Series), and in accordance with Subdivision "C" of Section 3 thereof, the Auditor is hereby authorized and requested to make transfers of requisite amounts to meet increased amounts set opposite the following Budget items, effective from and after January 1, 1927, to-wit:

County Clerk.

Budget Item No. 289—Ten assistant registry clerks, at $2,700 each, $25,500.

Budget Item No. 290—Sixteen Superior Court clerks, at $2,700 each, $40,800.

Budget Item No. 291—Four Police Court clerks, at $2,700 each, $10,200.

Department of Public Works.

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, pursuant to Ordinance No. 7117 (New Series), and in accordance with Subdivision "C" of Section 3 thereof, the Auditor is hereby authorized and requested to make transfers of requisite amounts to meet increased amounts set opposite the following Budget items, effective from and after January 1, 1927, to-wit:

Department of Public Works.

Budget Item No. 563—Ten chauffeurs, at $8 a day, $21,000.

Budget Item No. 587—Nineteen chauffeurs, at $8 per day, $42,750.

Budget Item No. 601—Three chauffeurs, at $8 per day, $6,750.

Sealer of Weights and Measures and Police Department.

Supervisor Todd presented:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, pursuant to Ordinance No. 7117 (New Series), and in accordance with Subdivision "C" of Section 3 thereof, the Auditor is hereby authorized and requested to make transfers of requisite amounts to meet increased amounts set opposite the following Budget items, effective from and after January 1, 1927, to-wit:

Sealer of Weights and Measures.

Budget Item No. 395—Sealer, from $4,800 to $5,400.

Police Department—Uniform Force.

Budget Item No. 760—Four matrons, from $2,100 to $2,400.

Department of Public Works.

Supervisor Todd presented:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, pursuant to Ordinance No. 7117 (New Series), and in accordance with Subdivision "C" of Section 3 thereof, the Auditor is hereby authorized and requested to make transfers of requisite amounts to meet increased amounts set opposite the following Budget items, effective from and after January 1, 1927, to-wit:

Fire Department—Pumping Stations.

Budget Item No. 686—Two chief engineers, from $3,360 to $3,480.

Budget Item No. 687—Five assistant engineers, from $2,760 to $2,880.

Budget Item No. 688—Seven firemen, from $2,340 to $2,460.

Department of Public Works.

Supervisor Todd presented:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, pursuant to Ordinance No. 7117 (New Series), and in accordance with Subdivision "C" of Section 3 thereof, the Auditor is hereby authorized and requested to make transfers of requisite amounts to meet increased amounts set opposite the following Budget items, effective from and after January 1, 1927, to-wit:

Department of Public Works—Commissioners and General Office.

Budget Item No. 407 — Experienced clerk, from $2,400 to $2,700.

Budget Item No. 408—Ordinary clerk, from $2,400 to $2,700.

Bureau of Accounting.

Budget Item No. 420 — Experienced clerk, from $2,400 to $2,700.

Bureau of Supplies.

Supervisor Badaracco presented:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, pursuant to Ordinance No. 7117 (New Series), and in accordance with Subdivision "C" of Section 3 thereof, the Auditor is hereby authorized and requested to make transfers of requisite amounts to meet increased amounts set opposite the following budget items, effective from and after January 1, 1927, to-wit:

Bureau of Supplies.

Budget Item No. 154—One stenographer-file clerk, $1,800.

Budget Item No. 155—One tabulator, $1,800.

Superior Court.

Supervisor Badaracco presented:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, pursuant to Ordinance No. 7117 (New Series), and in accordance with Subdivision "C" of Section 3 thereof, the Auditor is hereby authorized and requested to make transfer of requisite amount to meet increased amount set opposite the following budget item, effective from and after January 1, 1927, to-wit:

Superior Court.

Budget Item No. 333—Eight translators, at $2,400 each, $19,200.

Board of Public Works.

Supervisor Badaracco presented:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, pursuant to Ordinance No. 7117 (New Series), and in accordance with Subdivision "C" of Section 3 thereof, the Auditor is hereby authorized and requested to make transfers of requisite amounts to meet increased amounts set opposite the following budget items, effective from and after January 1, 1927, to-wit:

Board of Public Works.

Budget Item No. 441—Fifty-seven janitors at $1,920 a year, $109,440.

Budget Item No. 446—Seventeen elevator operators at $1,920 each, $32,640.

Budget Item No. 447—Two watchmen at $1,920 each a year, $3,840.

Budget Item No. 490—Two watchmen at $1,920 each a year, $3,840.

Budget Item No. 576—Ten watchmen bridge tenders at $1,920 each a year, $19,200.

Budget Item No. 577—Two watchmen, relief and vacation, at $1,920 a year, $3,840.

Budget Item No. 585—Six watchmen at $1,920 each a year $11,520.

Messenger.

Supervisor Badaracco presented:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, pursuant to Ordinance No. 7117 (New Series), and in accordance with Subdivision "C" of Section 3 thereof, the Auditor is hereby authorized and requested to make transfer of requisite amount to meet increased amount set opposite the following budget item, effective from and after January 1, 1927, to-wit:

Budget Item No. 510—Messenger, $1,800.

Relief Nurses.

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, pursuant to Ordinance No. 7117 (New Series), and in accordance with Subdivision "C" of Section 3 thereof, the Auditor is hereby authorized and requested to make transfers of requisite amounts to meet increased amounts set opposite the following budget items, effective from and after January 1, 1927, to-wit:

Budget Item No. 1061—Ten nurses at $2,400 each a year, $21,900.

Budget Item No. 1062—Relief nurses at $2,400 a year $2,190.

Justices' Courts and San Francisco Hospital.

Supervisor Hayden presented:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, pursuant to Ordinance No. 7117 (New Series), and in accordance with Subdivision "C" of Section 3 thereof, the Auditor is hereby authorized and requested to make transfers of requisite amounts to meet increased amounts set opposite the following budget items, effective from and after January 1, 1927, to-wit:

Justices' Courts.

Budget Item No. 346—Two clerks at $2,100 each. $3.900.

San Francisco Hospital.

Budget Item No. 938—Two clerks at $2,100 each, $3,300.

Department of Public Works—Commissioners and General Office.

Supervisor Todd presented:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, pursuant to Ordinance No. 7117 (New Series), and in accordance with Subdivision "C" of Section 3 thereof, the Auditor is hereby authorized and requested to make transfer of requisite amount to meet increased amount set opposite the following budget item, effective from and after February 1, 1927, to-wit:

Department of Public Works—Commissioners and General Office.

Budget Item No. 413—General clerk, at $2,280.

Supervisor Shannon, seconded by Supervisor Badaracco, moved that resolution lettered "C", as follows:

Sealer of Weights and Measures.

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, pursuant to Ordinance No. 7117 (New Series), and in accordance with Subdivision "C" of Section 3 thereof, the Auditor is hereby authorized and requested to make transfers of requisite amounts to meet increased amounts set opposite the following budget items effective from and after January 1, 1927, to-wit:

Office of Sealer of Weights and Measures.

Budget Item No. 396—Chief deputy, $3,600.

Budget Item No. 397—6 deputy sealers, at $3,000 each, $18,000.

be favorably passed upon, the same to be effective as of July 1, 1927.

Point of Order.

Supervisor McSheehy raised the point of order that "We cannot legally commit ourselves to an expenditure out of next year's budget."

Point of order *sustained* by the chair.

Supervisor Shannon appealed from the decision of the chair.

Whereupon, the question being put, "Shall the decision of the Chair be the decision of the Board," the roll was called and the chair *sustained* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Powers, Schmidt, Stanton—9.

Noes — Supervisors Badaracco, Deasy, Hayden, Roncovieri, Shannon, Todd—6.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Marks —2.

Excused from voting—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Supervisor Shannon moved that resolution lettered "A" be amended by striking out the word "January" and inserting the word "July."

Supervisor Havenner moved as an amendment to the amendment "Reference to the Finance Committee."

Amendment to the amendment *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt—8.

Noes — Supervisors Badaracco, Deasy, Gallagher, Hayden, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—8.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Marks —2.

Whereupon, the roll being called upon the adoption of the Resolution "A", the resolution was *defeated* by the following vote:

Adjuster of Licenses.

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, pursuant to Ordinance No. 7117 (New Series), and in accordance with Subdivision "C" of Section 3 thereof, the Auditor is hereby authorized and requested to make transfer of requisite amount to meet increased amount set opposite the following budget item, effective from and after January 1, 1927, to-wit:

Tax Collector's Office.

Budget Item No. 197—Adjuster of licenses, $3,300.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Deasy, Gallagher, Hayden, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—8.

Noes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt—8.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Marks —2.

Explanation of Vote.

Supervisor Byington explained his vote by saying that he intended to vote *no* on the ground that the motion to amend (providing that the resolution became effective July 1st) is illegal inasmuch as the Board cannot legally provide for expenditures in next year's budget.

RECESS.

Whereupon, the Board at the hour of 12:45 p. m. took a recess until 2:30 p. m.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk.

REASSEMBLED.

The Board of Supervisors reassembled at 2:30 p. m.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Marks —2.

Quorum present.

Supervisor McSheehy in the chair.

Proposed Salary Increases.

The following matters were taken up:

Female Jailer.

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, pursuant to Ordinance No. 7117 (New Series), and in accordance with Subdivision "C" of Section 3 thereof, the Auditor is hereby authorized and requested to make transfer of requiste amount to meet increased amount set opposite the following budget item, effective from and after January 1, 1927, to-wit:

Sheriff's Office.

Budget Item No. 314—1 female jailer, $2,244.

Supervisor Shannon requested that the foregoing be amended by inserting the word "January" in lieu of the word "February", 1927.

So ordered.

Whereupon, on motion of Supervisor Shannon, the foregoing resolution was *refused passage* by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Deasy, Gallagher, Hayden, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—8.

Noes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt—8.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Marks —2.

Sealer of Weights and Measures.

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, pursuant to Ordinance No. 7117 (New Series), and in accordance with Subdivision "C" of Section 3 thereof, the Auditor is hereby authorized and requested to make transfers of requisite amounts to meet increased amounts set opposite the following budget item, effective from and after January 1, 1927, to-wit:

Sealer of Weights and Measures.

Budget Item No. 396—Chief deputy, $3,600.

Budget Item No. 397—6 deputy sealers, at $3,000 each, $18,000.

Supervisor Shannon requested that the foregoing be amended by inserting the word "January" in lieu of the word "February", 1927.

So ordered.

Whereupon, on motion of Supervisor Shannon, the foregoing resolution was *refused passage* by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Deasy, Gallagher, Hayden, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—8.

Noes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt—8.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Marks —2.

Nurses.

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, pursuant to Ordinance No. 7117 (New Series), and in accordance with Subdivision "C" of Section 3 thereof, the Auditor is hereby authorized and requested to make transfers of requisite amounts to meet increased amounts set opposite the following budget items, effective from and after January 1, 1927, to-wit:

Nurses—Tuberculosis Bureau.

Budget Item No. 838—8 field nurses, from $1,980 to $2,400.

School Medical Inspection.

Budget Item No. 840—30 field nurses, from $1,980 to $2,400.

Child Welfare.

Budget Item No. 842—Field nurse for foster homes, from $1,980 to $2,400.

Budget Item No. 843—7 field nurses from health centers, from $1,980 to $2,400.

Social Service.

Budget Item No. 844—Field nurse, from $1,980 to $2,400.

Motion.

Supervisor Shannon moved the privilege of the floor for Dr. Hassler.

Supervisor Havenner raised the point of order that under Rule 43 Dr. Hassler had no right to the floor.

Chair ruled point of order *not well taken.*

Whereupon, Supervisor Havenner appealed from the decision of the chair and the chair was *overruled* by the following:

Ayes—Supervisors Deasy, Gallagher, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—5.

Noes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt—10.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Marks —2.

Excused from voting—Supervisor Hayden—1.

Whereupon, Dr. Hassler, at the

request of Supervisor Gallagher, was allowed to state, through the chair, that he favored the proposed increase.

Supervisor Shannon requested that the foregoing be amended by inserting the word "January" in lieu of the word "February", 1927.

So ordered.

Whereupon, on motion of Supervisor Shannon, the foregoing resolution was *refused passage* by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Deasy, Gallagher, Hayden, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—8.

Noes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt—8.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Marks —2.

Phone Operators.

Supervisor Todd presented:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, pursuant to Ordinance No. 7117 (New Series), and in accordance with Subdivision "C" of Section 3 thereof, the Auditor is hereby authorized and requested to make transfers of requisite amounts to meet increased amounts set opposite the following budget items, effective from and after January 1, 1927, to-wit:

Department of Public Health—Central Office.

Budget Item No. 774—2 phone operators, from $1,800 to $2,100.

San Francisco Hospital.

Budget Item No. 940—2 phone operators, from $1,800 to $2,100.

Supervisor Todd requested that the foregoing be amended by inserting the word "January" in lieu of the word "February", 1927.

So ordered.

Whereupon, on motion of Supervisor Todd, the foregoing resolution was *refused passage* by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Deasy, Gallagher, Hayden, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—8.

Noes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt—8.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Marks —2.

Assessor, Department of Public Works, Department of Electricity and Department of Public Health.

Supervisor Stanton presented:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, pursuant to Ordinance No. 7117 (New Series), and in accordance with Subdivision "C" of Section 3 thereof, the Auditor is hereby authorized and requested to make transfers of requisite amounts to meet increased amounts set opposite the following budget items, effective from and after January 1, 1927, to-wit:

Assessor.

Budget Item No. 224—Fifteen assistant appraisers (at $2,400 each), $36,000.

Bureau of Engineering.

Budget Item No. 532—One inspector of streets and sewers, $2,520.

Budget Item No. 533—One inspector of streets and sewers, $2,400.

Board of Public Works—(Bureau of Accounting).

Budget Item No. 422—Two stenographers (at $2,200 each a year), $4,440.

Budget Item No. 423—Four stenographers (at $2,100 each a year), $8,400.

Board of Public Works—(Bureau of Architecture).

Budget Item No. 429—Stenographer, $2,220.

Department of Electricity.

Budget Item No. 617—One stenographer-typewriter, $2,220.

Budget Item No. 638—Two clerks (at $2,400 each), $4,800.

Department of Public Health.

Budget Item No. 771—Three stenographers (at $2,400 a year), $7,200.

Budget Item No. 772—Two clerks (at $2,400 each a year), $4,800.

Budget Item No. 777—Two clerks (at $2,400 each a year), $4,800.

Budget Item No. 779—One clerk (mortuary), $2,400.

Budget Item No. 790—Psychologist, $1,920.

Budget Item No. 803—Clerk, $2,400.

Budget Item No. 860—Stenographer, $2,400.

Supervisor Stanton requested that the foregoing be amended by inserting the word "January" in lieu of the word "February", 1927.

So ordered.

Whereupon, on motion of Supervisor Stanton, the foregoing resolution was *refused passage* by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Deasy, Gallagher, Hayden, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—8.

Noes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt—8.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Marks —2.

Department of Public Works (Bureau of Repair).

Supervisor Todd presented:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, pursuant to Ordinance No. 7117 (New Series), and in accordance with Subdivision "C" of Section 3 thereof, the Auditor is hereby authorized and requested to make transfers of requisite amounts to meet increased amounts set opposite the following budget items, effective from and after January 1, 1927, to-wit:

Department of Public Works—(Bureau of Building Repair).

Budget Item No. 432—Superintendent of painters, from $3,150 to $3,450.

Budget Item No. 433—Foreman plumber, from $3,000 to $3,300.

Budget Item No. 434—Foreman cement finisher, from $3,000 to $3,300.

Budget Item No. 435—Foreman carpenter, from $3,000 to $3,300.

Budget Item No. 436—Foreman tinner, from $3,000 to $3,300.

Budget Item No. 437—Foreman wireman, from $3,000 to $3,300.

Budget Item No. 470—Foreman steamfitter, from $3,000 to $3,300.

Supervisor Todd requested that the foregoing be amended by inserting the word "January" in lieu of the word "February", 1927.

So ordered.

Whereupon, on motion of Supervisor Todd, the foregoing resolution was *refused passage* by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Deasy, Gallagher, Hayden, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—8.

Noes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt—8.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Marks—2.

Board of Public Works, Sheriff's Office and Police Department.

Supervisor Hayden presented:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, pursuant to Ordinance No. 7117 (New Series), and in accordance with Subdivision "C" of Section 3 thereof, the Auditor is hereby authorized and requested to make transfers of requisite amounts to meet increased amounts set opposite the following budget items, effective from and after January 1, 1927, to-wit:

Board of Public Works—Commissioners and General Office.

Budget Item No. 412—Messenger-clerk, from $2,280 to $2,400.

Board of Public Works—Bureau of Architecture.

Budget Item No. 428—Apprentice draftsman to messenger, from $1,500 to $1,800.

Sheriff's Office.

Budget Item No. 312—Two cooks, from $2,100 to $2,340.

Police Department—Uniform Police.

Budget Item No. 762—Cook, from $2,100 to $2,340.

Supervisor Hayden requested that the foregoing be amended by inserting the word "January" in lieu of the word "February", 1927.

So ordered.

Whereupon, on motion of Supervisor Hayden, the foregoing resolution was *refused passage* by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Deasy, Gallagher, Hayden, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—8.

Noes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt—8.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Marks—2.

Justices' Courts.

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, pursuant to Ordinance No. 7117 (New Series), and in accordance with Subdivision "C" of Section 3 thereof, the Auditor is hereby authorized and requested to make transfer of requisite amount to meet increased amount set opposite the following budget item, effective from and after January 1, 1927, to-wit:

Justices' Courts.

Budget Item No. 1—Clerk (at $2,550 a year), $2,700.

Supervisor Shannon requested that the foregoing be amended by inserting the word "January" in lieu of the word "February", 1927.

So ordered.

Whereupon, on motion of Supervisor Shannon, the foregoing resolution was *refused passage* by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Deasy, Gallagher, Hayden, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—8.

Noes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt—8.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Marks—2.

Assessor.

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, pursuant to Ordinance No. 7117 (New Series), and in accordance with Subdivision "C" of Section 3 thereof, the Auditor is

hereby authorized and requested to make transfer of requisite amount to meet increased amount set opposite the following budget item, effective from and after January 1, 1927, to-wit:

Assessor.

Budget Item No. — —Stenographer-typist (at $2,400 a year), $1,950.

Supervisor Shannon requested that the foregoing be amended by inserting the word "January" in lieu of the word "February", 1927.

So ordered.

Whereupon, on motion of Supervisor Shannon, the foregoing resolution was *refused passage* by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Deasy, Gallagher, Hayden, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—8.

Noes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt—8.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Marks —2.

County Clerk.

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, pursuant to Ordinance No. 7117 (New Series), and in accordance with Subdivision "C" of Section 3 thereof, the Auditor is hereby authorized and requested to make transfers of requisite amounts to meet increased amounts set opposite the following budget items, effective from and after January 1, 1927, to-wit:

County Clerk.

Budget Item No. 289—Ten assistant registry clerks, at $2,700 each, $25,500.

Budget Item No. 290—Sixteen Superior Court clerks, at $2,700 each, $40,800.

Budget Item No. 291—Four Police Court clerks, at $2,700 each, $10,200.

Supervisor Shannon requested that the foregoing be amended by inserting the word "January" in lieu of the word "February", 1927.

So ordered.

Whereupon, on motion of Supervisor Shannon, the foregoing resolution was *refused passage* by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Deasy, Gallagher, Hayden, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—8.

Noes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt—8.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Marks —2.

Department of Public Works.

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, pursuant to Ordinance No. 7117 (New Series), and in accordance with Subdivision "C" of Section 3 thereof, the Auditor is hereby authorized and requested to make transfers of requisite amounts to meet increased amounts set opposite the following budget items, effective from and after January 1, 1927, to-wit:

Department of Public Works.

Budget Item No. 563—Ten chauffeurs at $8 a day, $21,000.

Budget Item No. 587—Nineteen chauffeurs at $8 per day, $42,750.

Budget Item No. 601—Three chauffeurs at $8 per day, $6,750.

Supervisor Shannon requested that the foregoing be amended by inserting the word "January" in lieu of the word "February", 1927.

So ordered.

Whereupon, on motion of Supervisor Shannon, the foregoing resolution was *refused passage* by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Deasy, Gallagher, Hayden, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—8.

Noes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt—8.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Marks —2.

Sealer of Weights and Measures and Police Department.

Supervisor Todd presented:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, pursuant to Ordinance No. 7117 (New Series), and in accordance with Subdivision "C" of Section 3 thereof, the Auditor is hereby authorized and requested to make transfers of requisite amounts to meet increased amounts set opposite the following budget items, effective from and after January 1, 1927, to-wit:

Sealer of Weights and Measures.

Budget Item No. 395—Sealer, from $4,800 to $5,400.

Police Department—Uniform Force.

Budget Item No. 760—Four matrons, from $2,100 to $2,400.

Supervisor Todd requested that the foregoing be amended by inserting the word "January" in lieu of the word "February", 1927.

So ordered.

Whereupon, on motion of Supervisor Todd, the foregoing resolu-

tion was *refused passage* by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Deasy, Gallagher, Hayden, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—8.

Noes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt—8.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Marks —2.

Fire Department—Pumping Station.

Supervisor Todd Presented:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, pursuant to Ordinance No. 7117 (New Series), and in accordance with Subdivision "C" of Section 3 thereof, the Auditor is hereby authorized and requested to make transfers of requisite amounts to meet increased amounts set opposite the following budget items, effective from and after January 1, 1927, to-wit:

Fire Department — Pumping Stations.

Budget Item No. 686—Two chief engineers, from $3,360 to $3,480.

Budget Item No. 687—Five assistant engineers, from $2,760 to $2,880.

Budget Item No. 688—Seven firemen, from $2,340 to $2,460.

Supervisor Todd requested that the foregoing be amended by inserting the word "January" in lieu of the word "February", 1927.

So ordered.

Whereupon, on motion of Supervisor Todd, the foregoing resolution was *refused passage* by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Deasy, Gallagher, Hayden, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—8.

Noes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt—8.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Marks —2.

Department of Public Works.

Supervisor Todd presented:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, pursuant to Ordinance No. 7117 (New Series), and in accordance with Subdivision "C" of Section 3 thereof, the Auditor is hereby authorized and requested to make transfers of requisite amounts to meet increased amounts set opposite the following budget items, effective from and after January 1, 1927, to-wit:

Department of Public Works—Commissioners and General Office.

Budget Item No. 407—Experienced clerk, from $2,400 to $2,700.

Budget Item No. 408—Ordinary clerk, from $2,400 to $2,700.

Bureau of Accounting.

Budget Item No. 420—Experienced clerk, from $2,400 to $2,700.

Supervisor Todd requested that the foregoing be amended by inserting the word "January" in lieu of the word "February", 1927.

So ordered.

Whereupon, on motion of Supervisor Todd, the foregoing resolution was *refused passage* by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Deasy, Gallagher, Hayden, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—8.

Noes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt—8.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Marks —2.

Bureau of Supplies.

Supervisor Badaracco presented:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, pursuant to Ordinance No. 7117 (New Series), and in accordance with Subdivision "C" of Section 3 thereof, the Auditor is hereby authorized and requested to make transfers of requisite amounts to meet increased amounts set opposite the following budget items, effective from and after January 1, 1927, to-wit:

Bureau of Supplies.

Budget Item No. 154—One stenographer-file clerk, $1,800.

Budget Item No. 155—One tabulator, $1,800.

Supervisor Badaracco requested that the foregoing be amended by inserting the word "January" in lieu of the word "February", 1927.

So ordered.

Whereupon, on motion of Supervisor Badaracco, the foregoing resolution was *refused passage* by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Deasy, Gallagher, Hayden, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—8.

Noes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt—8.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Marks —2.

Superior Court.

Supervisor Badaracco presented:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, pursuant to Ordinance No. 7117 (New Series), and in accordance with Subdivision "C" of Section 3 thereof, the Auditor is hereby authorized and requested to make transfer of requisite amount

to meet increased amount set opposite the following budget item, effective from and after January 1, 1927, to-wit:

Superior Court.

Budget Item No. 333—Eight translators, at $2,400 each, $19,200.

Supervisor Badaracco requested that the foregoing be amended by inserting the word "January" in lieu of the word "February", 1927.

So ordered.

Whereupon, on motion of Supervisor Badaracco, the foregoing resolution was *refused passage* by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Deasy, Gallagher, Hayden, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—8.

Noes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt—8.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Marks —2.

Board of Public Works.

Supervisor Badaracco presented: Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, pursuant to Ordinance No. 7117 (New Series), and in accordance with Subdivision "C" of Section 3 thereof, the Auditor is hereby authorized and requested to make transfers of requisite amounts to meet increased amounts set opposite the following budget items, effective from and after January 1, 1927, to-wit:

Board of Public Works.

Budget Item No. 441—Fifty-seven janitors at $1,920 a year, $109,440.

Budget Item No. 446—Seventeen elevator operators, at $1,920 each, $32,640.

Budget Item No. 447—Two watchmen, at $1,920 each a year, $3,840.

Budget Item No. 490—Two watchmen, at $1,920 each a year, $3,840.

Budget Item No. 576—Ten watchmen, bridge tenders, at $1,920 each a year, $19,200.

Budget Item No. 577—Two watchmen, relief and vacation, at $1,920 a year, $3,840.

Budget Item No. 585—Six watchmen, at $1,920 each a year, $11,520.

Supervisor Badaracco requested that the foregoing be amended by inserting the word "January" in lieu of the word "February", 1927.

So ordered.

Whereupon, on motion of Supervisor Badaracco, the foregoing resolution was *refuscd passage* by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Deasy, Gallagher, Hayden, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—8.

Noes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt—8.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Marks —2.

Messenger.

Supervisor Badaracco presented: Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, pursuant to Ordinance No. 7117 (New Series), and in accordance with Subdivision "C" of Section 3 thereof, the Auditor is hereby authorized and requested to make transfer of requisite amount to meet the increased amount set opposite the following budget item, effective from and after January 1, 1927, to-wit:

Budget Item No. 510—Messenger, $1,800.

Supervisor Badaracco requested that the foregoing be amended by inserting the word "January" in lieu of the word "February", 1927.

So ordered.

Whereupon, on motion of Supervisor Badaracco, the foregoing resolution was *refused passage* by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Deasy, Gallagher, Hayden, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—8.

Noes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt—8.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Marks —2.

Relief Nurses.

Supervisor Shannon presented: Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That pursuant to Ordinance No. 7117 (New Series), and in accordance with Subdivision "C" of Section 3 thereof, the Auditor is hereby authorized and requested to make transfers of requisite amounts to meet increased amounts set opposite the following budget items, effective from and after January 1, 1927, to-wit:

Budget Item No. 1061—Ten nurses, at $2,400 each a year, $2,190.

Budget Item No. 1062—Relief nurses, at $2,400 a year, $2,190.

Supervisor Shannon requested that the foregoing be amended by inserting the word "January" in lieu of the word "February", 1927.

So ordered.

Whereupon, on motion of Supervisor Shannon, the foregoing resolution was *refused passage* by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Deasy, Gallagher, Hayden, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—8.

Noes—Supervisors Byington, Col-

man, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt—8.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Marks —2.

Justices' Courts and San Francisco Hospital.

Supervisor Hayden presented:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, pursuant to Ordinance No. 7117 (New Series), and in accordance with Subdivision "C" of Section 3 thereof, the Auditor is hereby authorized and requested to make transfers of requisite amounts to meet increased amounts set opposite the following budget item, effective from and after January 1, 1927, to-wit:

Justices' Courts.

Budget Item No. 346—Two clerks at $2,100 each, $3,900.

San Francisco Hospital.

Budget Item No. 938—Two clerks at $2,100 each, $3,300.

Supervisor Hayden requested that the foregoing be amended by inserting the word "January" in lieu of the word "February", 1927.

So ordered.

Whereupon, on motion of Supervisor Hayden, the foregoing resolution was *refused passage* by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Deasy, Gallagher, Hayden, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—8.

Noes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt—8.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Marks —2.

Department of Public Works—Commissioners and General Office.

Supervisor Todd presented:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, pursuant to Ordinance No. 7117 (New Series), and in accordance with Subdivision "C" of Section 3 thereof, the Auditor is hereby authorized and requested to make transfer of requisite amount to meet increased amount set opposite the following budget item, effective from and after February 1, 1927, to-wit:

Department of Public Works—Commissioners and General Office.

Budget Item No. 413—General clerk, at $2,280.

Supervisor Todd requested that the foregoing be amended by inserting the word "January" in lieu of the word "February", 1927.

So ordered.

Whereupon, on motion of Supervisor Todd, the foregoing resolution was *refused passage* by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Deasy, Gallagher, Hayden, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—8.

Noes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt—8.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Marks —2.

Proposed Amendments to Rules.

Supervisor Kent presented:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Judiciary Committee be requested to consider and report an amendment to the rules of this Board by which all matters which are of such public importance as require the presence of citizens interested, may be placed upon a special calendar to be considered by this Board at its afternoon sessions and to the exclusion of all other matters.

Referred to Judiciary Committee.

Action Deferred.

Lease of 333 Kearny Street.

Consideration on minority and majority report relative to lease of premises No. 333 Kearny street was, on motion of Supervisor Powers, *continued one week.*

Airport Site.

Consideration of proposed sites for airport for San Francisco was, on motion of Supervisor Kent, *continued one week and made a Special Order of Business for* 11 *a. m. February* 14, 1927.

Zone Change—Ingalls Street.

Consideration of proposed zone change on Ingalls street, etc., was, on motion of Supervisor Hayden, *laid over one week and made a Special Order for* 2:30 *p. m.*

Garbage Bids.

Consideration of resolution providing for reception of bids for disposal of garbage was *continued one week and made a Special Order for* 2:30 *p. m.*

ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business, the Board at 6:35 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors June 20, 1927.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated, and approved as above recited.

JOHN S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco.

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Vol. 22—New Series No. 7

Monday, February 14, 1927

Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
337 Bush Street, S. F.

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, FEBRUARY 14, 1927,
10 A. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, February 14, 1927, 10 a. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath—2.

Quorum present.

His Honor Mayor Rolph presiding.

APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the previous meeting was laid over for approval until next meeting.

ROLL CALL FOR PETITIONS FROM MEMBERS.

Communication Relative to the Embellishment of the Walls of the Pantages Theater in Civic Center.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication, from his Honor the Mayor, calling attention to the fact that nothing is being done in the matter of the embellishment of the blank walls of the Pantages Theater in accordance with the agreement between the owners of the building and the City and County of San Francisco. Declaring that constant complaints are coming in regarding the unsightly nature of the blank walls and asking that some action be taken to remove the so-called "eye sore".

Referred to Public Buildings Committee.

Letter of Thanks, Community Chest.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication, from his Honor the Mayor, transmitting letter from George Filmer, chairman, Campaign Committee, Community Chest, thanking the Board and the Mayor for the decorations on Market street marking the opening of the Community Chest campaign, and thanking the Board for its splendid cooperation in every direction in this matter.

Ordered *filed.*

Letter of Thanks, Santa Cruz County Scenic Committee.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk::

Communication, from George H. Rostron, chairman of the meeting of Supervisors of the several coast counties, thanking the Board for the courtesy, attention and entertainment as well as cooperation furnished by the Board of Supervisors of San Francisco in the matter of the recent meeting held January 29th in San Francisco looking to the development of the proposed scenic road along the Pacific Coast.

Ordered *filed.*

Relative to Nuisance Caused by Garbage Incinerator.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication, from the City Attorney, John J. O'Toole, referring to his letter of January 15th advising of the fact that a notice of motion for an injunction to prevent the City and others from operating the City Incinerator pending final judgment in the case of the San Francisco Development Company vs. the City and County of San Francisco had been served and filed, and stating that after several postponements the motion will unquestionably be heard on February 16th, and declaring that if judgment is rendered against the City we will be in a very embarrassing predicament, and requesting that action be taken to solve the City's garbage problem in order to avoid the closing of the incinerator by court order.

Ordered *filed.*

Protest Against Garbage Incinerator.

Communication, from Mrs. Margaret Kenny, 240 Mississippi street, complaining of the maintenance of garbage incinerator and its huge smokestack giving off nauseating

odors at all times, and requesting that something be done to remedy the condition complained of.

Read by the Clerk.

Protest Against Sportsmen's Show.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication, from Mrs. J. E. Blake, secretary of the Marina District Improvement Association, protesting on behalf of the members of said association and residents of the Marina District against the use of any of the Marina Park lands for the purposes of a Sportsmen's Show Exhibition which has been scheduled for May 14 to May 22, inclusive, 1927.

Referred to Police Committee.

Removal of Garbage Incinerator Nuisance.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication, from City Attorney John J. O'Toole, requesting that no time be lost in taking action to solve the City's garbage problem in order to avoid the closing of the incinerator by court order, saying that he is satisfied he can obtain no further continuances; also, communication from Mrs. Margaret Kenny, 240 Mississippi street, requesting consideration in the matter of bringing about the removal of the garbage incinerator on Illinois street.

Ordered *filed*.

Relative to Statement in San Francisco Bulletin Reflecting on the Members of the Board of Supervisors.

Supervisor Havenner read from the San Francisco Evening Bulletin an article which he considered as a reflection on the integrity of the members of the Board of Supervisors, referring to some "secret arrangement" for the selection of a certain bay bridge-site to the exclusion of others.

Whereupon, Supervisor Havenner moved that Mr. Stanton, the publisher of the San Francisco Evening Bulletin, be requested to attend this meeting of the Board of Supervisors at 3 p. m. this afternoon to substantiate the statement published in his paper.

Motion *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Gallagher, Havenner Kent, Schmidt, Todd—5.

Noes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Harrelson, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Stanton—8.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Deasy, Roncovieri, Shannon —5.

Announcement of President Coolidge's Washington's Birthday Address.

His Honor Mayor Rolph announced that President Coolidge's Washington's Birthday address would be broadcast on February 22, and asked that the Auditorium Committee arrange for its presentation to the people in the Civic Auditorium and was advised that arrangements to that effect had been made.

AIRPORT HEARING—11 A. M.

At 11 a. m. his Honor the Mayor retired and Supervisor Kent, chairman of the Airport Committee, was called upon to preside.

Whereupon, the Board of Supervisors resolved itself into Committee of the Whole for the purpose of considering the matter of the selection of an airport site for San Francisco.

All members before noted being present.

Selection of Mills Field Site.

Thereupon, the following resolution was presented by Supervisor Kent and read by the Clerk:

Resolution No. 26816 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the United States Government is desirous that the City and County of San Francisco acquire an airport, and there appearing to be a need and a demand for an airport for the handling of air mail and other purposes for which airports are used; and

Whereas, there is not a sufficiently large area of land within the confines of the City and County of San Francisco suitable for airport purposes, and as a proper survey of sites suitable for a permanent airport is not practical at this time by reason of the necessity of observation of climatic conditions of proposed permanent airport sites for a period of at least one year, and it is estimated that it will take approximately two years to put in condition for the use of an airport any of the proposed permanent sites, and there has been provision made in the budget for the present fiscal year for the acquisition of an airport, and the people having at the recent election made known their desire to acquire an airport, and it further appearing that the hereinafter described parcel of land, situate in the County of San Mateo, State of California, may be put in condition for the uses of a temporary airport and in a shorter space of time than any other available site; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Committee on Airports negotiate with the owners of the following described property situate in San Mateo County, California, and described as follows:

Sections 34 and 35 of Township 3 South, Range 5 West, and Section 2

of Township 4 South, Range 5 West, M. D. B. and M., which property is owned by the Mills Estate, Incorporated, for the purpose of leasing the said property for a temporary airport site and to lease the said property for a period of three (3) years at a rental not to exceed ten ($10) dollars per acre per year, and the additional sum of twenty-five ($25) dollars per acre at the termination of the lease to allow the lessor to recondition the land used as a temporary airport and providing for such other terms as are equitable to the owners of the land and the City and County of San Francisco, and that the City Attorney prepare such a lease and submit the same for approval of this Board.

Privilege of the Floor.

Jos. Phillips, right of way agent, explained the advantages of the proposed site and what he expected could be done to have the land donated for airport purposes.

Mr. Berensen, representing Varney Field, was also heard with reference to the advantages of Varney Field and the terms upon which it could be purchased.

RECESS.

Whereupon, at the hour of 12:30 p. m., the Board took a recess until 2:30 p. m.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk.

REASSEMBLED—2:30 P. M.

The Board of Supervisors reassembled at the hour of 2:30 p. m.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called at the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath—2.

Quorum present.

His Honor Mayor Rolph being absent, Supervisor Byington was called to the chair.

Committee of the Whole.

The Board of Supervisors resolved itself into Committee of the Whole for the purpose of considerating proposed airport sites.

Privilege of the Floor.

Mr. Berensen, representing Varney Field, was granted the privilege of the floor and addressed the Board and continued his argument in favor of Varney Field.

Motion.

Supervisor Kent, seconded by Supervisor McSheehy, moved that the Mills site, near San Bruno, be recommended.

Motion *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Todd—15.

No—Supervisor Stanton—1.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath—2.

Committee Reports.

Whereupon, on motion of Supervisor McSheehy, the Committee arose and reported its recommendation that the foregoing resolution be adopted.

Adopted.

Thereupon, the foregoing resolution, Resolution No. 26816 (New Series), was *adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath—2.

UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

None.

PRESENTATION OF BILLS AND ACCOUNTS.

Demands not required by law to be passed to print, and amounting to $45,258.89, were allowed and ordered paid by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath—2.

Action Deferred.

The following matter was *deferred one week*:

Urgent Necessity.

Spring Valley Water Co., water for horse troughs, $40.31.

NEW BUSINESS.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Authorizations.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts

in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

Library Fund.

(1) American Building Maintenance Co., janitor service for public libraries (claim dated Jan. 31, 1927), $700.

(2) Foster & Futernick Co., library book binding (claim dated Jan. 31, 1927), $689.30.

(3) G. E. Stechert & Co., library books (claim dated Jan. 31, 1927), $2,649.82.

(4) San Francisco News Co., library books (claim dated Jan. 31, 1927), $1,828.69.

Municipal Railway Fund.

(5) James E. Power Co., tires and tubes furnished Municipal Railways (claim dated Feb. 9, 1927), $963.

Municipal Railway Depreciation Fund.

(6) Westinghouse Electric & Mfg. Co., second payment, electrical equipments, etc., for Municipal Railways (claim dated Feb. 3, 1927), $56,283.95.

Relief Home Bonds, Issue 1923.

(7) M. E. Ryan, fifteenth payment, electrical work for new Relief Home (claim dated Feb. 8, 1927), $560.

School Bonds, Issue 1923.

(8) Geo. A. Applegarth, fourth payment, architectural services for Edison School (claim dated Feb. 9, 1927), $836.73.

(9) Alta Electric Co., second payment, electrical work for annex to Guadalupe School (claim dated Feb. 8, 1927), $1,074.73.

(10) Crown Electric Co., final payment, electrical work for West Portal School (claim dated Feb. 9, 1927), $2,069.30.

(11) Crown Electric Co., fourteenth payment, electrical work for new Mission High School (claim dated Feb. 9, 1927), $1,357.50.

(12) Latourette-Fical Co., fifteenth payment, mechanical equipment for new Mission High School (claim dated Feb. 8, 1927), $1,899.93.

(13) Latourette-Fical Co., sixth payment, plumbing work for Henry Durant School (claim dated Feb 8, 1927), $821.78.

(14) Latourette-Fical Co., second payment, plumbing work for Mission (Everett) Junior High School (claim dated Feb. 8, 1927), $1,995.23.

(15) A. Lettich, first payment, plumbing work for Hearst-Moulder School (claim dated Feb. 9, 1927), $2,362.50.

(16) Frederick H. Meyer and Albin R. Johnson, sixth payment, architectural services for Henry Durant School (claim dated Feb. 9, 1927), $1,592.06.

(17) John Reid, Jr., eighteenth payment, architectural services for new Mission High School (claim dated Feb. 9, 1927), $1,608.74.

School Bonds, Issue 1918.

(18) Burroughs Adding Machine Co., posting and billing machines for High School of Commerce (claim dated Feb. 8, 1927), $5,712.25.

Special School Tax.

(19) Alta Electric Co., first payment, electrical work for addition to Commodore Sloat School (claim dated Feb. 8, 1927), $1,849.20.

(20) S. W. Band, ninth payment, plumbing work, additional units to Galileo High School (claim dated Feb. 8, 1927), $1,128.

(21) P. J. Enright, sixth payment, mechanical equipment, additional units to Galileo High School (claim dated Feb. 9, 1927), $1,239.33.

(22) E. K. Nelson, second payment, general construction, additions to Madison School (claim dated Feb. 9, 1927), $7,191.

(23) Joseph J. Rankin, fourth payment, architectural services for Francis Scott Key School (claim dated Feb. 9, 1927), $790.34.

(24) The Scott Co., first payment, mechanical equipment, additions to Commodore Sloat School (claim dated Feb. 8, 1927), $2,316.37.

Hetch Hetchy Water Bonds, Issue 1925.

(25) T. E. Connally and J. M. De Luca, first payment, construction of aqueduct tunnels in Foothill Division, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Feb. 8, 1927), $45,033.

(26) A. Guthrie & Co., care of Anglo & London Paris National Bank, second payment, construction of aqueduct tunnels in Foothill Division, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Feb. 8, 1927), $44,076.43.

General Fund, 1925-1926.

(27) Crown Electric Co., final payment, electrical work for children's ward, southeast wing of San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Feb. 8, 1927), $1,107.21.

(28) John Spargo, fourth payment, general construction of photograph gallery at Hall of Justice (claim dated Feb. 8, 1927), $1,510.13.

General Fund, 1926-1927.

(29) Protestant Orphanage, maintenance of minors (claim dated Feb. 8, 1927), $1,111.84.

(30) San Francisco Nursery for Homeless Children, maintenance of minors (claim dated Feb. 8, 1927), $540.

(31) Boys' Aid Society, maintenance of minors (claim dated Feb. 8, 1927), $1,537.88.

(32) St. Vincent's School, maintenance of minors (claim dated Feb. 8, 1927), $1,880.38.

(33) Roman Catholic Orphanage, maintenance of minors (claim dated Feb. 8, 1927), $4,149.42.

(34) Albertinum Orphanage, maintenance of minors (claim dated Feb. 8, 1927), $1,363.67.

(35) Little Children's Aid, maintenance of minors (claim dated Feb. 8, 1927), $11,686.74.

(36) Eureka Benevolent Society, maintenance of minors (claim dated Feb. 8, 1927), $4,167.62.

(37) Children's Agency, maintenance of minors (claim dated Feb. 8, 1927), $29,526.89.

(38) St. Catherine's Training Home, maintenance of minors (claim dated Feb. 27, 1927), $566.33.

(39) Associated Charities, widows' pensions (claim dated Feb. 11, 1927), $8,135.89.

(40) Eureka Benevolent Society, widows' pensions (claim dated Feb. 11, 1927), $882.07.

(41) Little Children's Aid, widows' pensions (claim dated Feb. 11, 1927), $8,603.82.

(42) Preston School of Industry, maintenance of minors (claim dated Feb. 8, 1927), $752.25.

(43) Sonoma State Home, maintenance of feeble-minded (claim dated Feb. 14, 1927), $9,060.

(44) San Francisco Bulletin, official advertising, Board of Supervisors (claim dated Feb. 14, 1927), $568.57.

(45) San Francisco Bulletin, official advertising, Board of Public Works (claim dated Feb. 8, 1927), $727.42.

(46) The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company, Law Motion Trial Calendar, etc., for January (claim dated Feb. 14, 1927), $1,075.

(47) Equitable Asphalt Maintenance Co., asphalt street resurfacing (claim dated Feb. 2, 1927), $883.40.

(48) Standard Oil Co. of California, asphalt for streets (claim dated Feb. 2, 1927), $577.88.

(49) Santa Cruz Portland Cement Co., cement for street repair (claim dated Feb. 2, 1927), $2,384.72.

(50) Bode Gravel Co., gravel for street repair (claim dated Feb. 2, 1927), $695.01.

(51) Symon Brothers, teams furnished for street cleaning (claim dated Feb. 4, 1927), $747.50.

(52) Spring Valley Water Co., water for street sprinkling (claim dated Feb. 7, 1927), $553.75.

(53) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., lighting public buildings (claim dated Feb. 7, 1927), $4,526.66.

(54) Spring Valley Water Co., water for public buildings (claim dated Feb. 7, 1927), $1,693.68.

(55) Philadelphia Shoe Co., shoes for Relief Home (claim dated Feb. 10, 1927), $1,164.

(56) E. B. Frick, room allowances for San Francisco Hospital employees (claim dated Feb. 10, 1927), $1,740.25.

(57) The Beecher Co., wheel chairs, etc., for S. F. Hospital (claim dated Feb. 10, 1927), $2,631.75.

(58) Reid Brothers, bedside tables, etc., for S. F. Hospital (claim dated Feb. 10, 1927), $2,674.05.

(59) C. Nauman & Co., vegetables for S. F. Hospital (claim dated Feb. 10, 1927), $726.62.

(60) Building Supplies Co., paper towels, etc., for S. F. Hospital (claim dated Feb. 10, 1927), $507.96.

Appropriations, Payments to A. G. Von Krazmer and Daisy M. Hill for Properties Required for School Purposes.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside and appropriated out of School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923, and authorized in payment to the hereinafter named persons; being payments for properties required for school purposes, to-wit:

(1) To A. G. Von Krazmer, for land on the easterly line of Twenty-fourth avenue, commencing 225 feet southerly from the southerly line of Rivera street; thence southerly on Twenty-fourth avenue 25 feet; thence easterly 120 feet; thence northerly 25 feet; thence westerly 120 feet; as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 26786 (New Series), $900.

(2) To Daisy M. Hill, for land on the westerly line of Twenty-third avenue, commencing 250 feet northerly from Rivera street; thence running northerly 25 feet; thence westerly 120 feet; thence southerly 25 feet; thence easterly 120 feet; as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 26789 (New Series), $900.

Appropriation, $1,750, Payment to John Garvey for Land on Thirty-second Avenue South of Anza Street Required for School Purposes.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $1,750 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of School Bond Construction Fund, Issue 1923, and authorized in payment to John Garvey; being payment for land on the easterly line of Thirty-second avenue, commencing 150 feet southerly from the southerly line of Anza street, running thence southerly on

easterly line of Thirty-second avenue 27 feet; thence at right angles easterly 84 feet 4¾ inches; thence southeasterly 27 feet; thence westerly 86 feet, more or less; per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 26804 (New Series), and required for school purposes. (Claim dated Feb. 14, 1927.)

Appropriation, $2,379.10, Payment to William F. Humphrey, Attorney Representing Judgment Creditors, Refund of Tax Judgment.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $2,379.10 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of "Tax Judgments", Appropriation 59, and authorized in payment to William F. Humphrey, attorney for and in behalf of judgment creditors, representing one-tenth of judgment plus interest, as per schedule attached to claim, and as approved by the City Attorney. (Claim dated Feb. 3, 1927.)

Appropriating $1,655.12 Payment to Butte Electric and Manufacturing Company for Burglar Alarm System in the Treasurer and Tax Collector's Offices.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $1,665.12 be and the same is hereby authorized in payment out of Urgent Necessity to Butte Electric & Manufacturing Co.; being first payment for the installation of a burglar alarm system in the offices of the Treasurer and the Tax Collector. (Payable out of appropriation by Resolution No. 26508, New Series, $3,276.)

Authorizing Settlement of Litigation Brought by the City and County of San Francisco in Intervention.

Supervisor McMheehy presented: Resolution No. 26807 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the City Attorney be and he is hereby authorized to accept for and on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco the sum of five hundred dollars ($500) in full payment and satisfaction of all claims sued upon in that certain action pending in the Superior Court of the State of California, in and for the City and County of San Francisco, and entitled "Redmond O'Connor, plaintiff, City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, plaintiff in intervention, vs. Acme Gravel Co., a corporation, William T. Whitfield and F. T. O'Sullivan, copartners doing business under the firm name and style of Sunset Hauling Co., H. Mahler et al., defendants," said action being number 170637 in said Court, and to accept said sum in full payment and satisfaction of all demands against the defendants and each of them, in said action, and arising out of the injuries sustained by Redmond O'Connor, and on the payment of said sum to compromise and dismiss said action.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—13.

Absent—Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Deasy, Harrelson, Kent—5.

Accepting Offer of H. H. Van Bilderbeck et al. to Sell for $334 Certain Land Required for the Opening of Bernal Cut.

Supervisor McSheehy presented: Resolution No. 26808 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the owners of the following described land, situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and sought to be acquired by the City and County of San Francisco for the opening of Bernal Cut, extending from Mission and Randall streets southwesterly along the Southern Pacific Railroad right of way to the intersection of San Jose avenue and Circular avenue, have offered to convey the property desired by said City and County for the sum set forth opposite their names, viz.:

H. H. Van Bilderbeck and Theodora J. Van Bilderbeck, $334—Beginning at a point on the southeasterly line of Arlington street, distant thereon 188 feet southwesterly from the southwesterly line of Roanoke street; thence southwesterly along said southeasterly line 25 feet to the northeasterly boundary line of the property of Henry H. and Emma Krohn; thence southeasterly at right angles along said northeasterly line 95 feet, more or less, to the northwesterly boundary line of the Southern Pacific Company's right of way; thence northeasterly along said northwesterly line 26 feet, more or less, to the southwesterly boundary line of the property of Angelo and Annie Basso; thence northwesterly along said southwesterly line 100 feet, more or less, to the southeasterly line of Arlington street and the point of beginning; saving and excepting therefrom the following described parcel:

Beginning at a point on the southeasterly line of Arlington street, distant thereon 188 feet southwesterly from the southwesterly line of Roanoke street; thence southwesterly along said southeast-

erly line 2 feet to the northeasterly boundary line of the property of Henry H. and Emma Krohn; thence at right angles southeasterly along said northeasterly line 72.60 feet; thence deflecting 78 deg. 15 min. to the left and running northeasterly 25.535 feet to the southwesterly boundary line of the property of Angelo and Annie Basso; thence deflecting 101 deg. 45 min. to the left and running northwesterly along said southwesterly line 77.8 feet to the southeasterly line of Arlington street and the point of beginning. Being a portion of Lot 1, Block 35, Fairmount Tract, as recorded in Map Book 2 "A" and "B", pages 6 and 7, records of the City and County of San Francisco.

Whereas, the City Attorney has recommended the acceptance of the said offer and the acquisition of the property owned by said persons and offered to the City and County of San Francisco for the price set forth, which said price is in accordance with the City's appraisement of the property; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the said offer of sale be accepted, and the City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to examine the title of said property, and if the same is found in satisfactory condition to accept in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco a deed conveying title thereto and file the same for record with a copy of this resolution attached thereto as evidence of acceptance by the City and County of San Francisco, upon payment of the agreed purchase price.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—13.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Deasy, Harrelson, Kent—5.

Accepting Offer of G. Viola to Sell for $104 Certain Land Required for the Widening of San Jose Avenue.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 26809 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the owner of the following described land, situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and sought to be acquired by the City and County of San Francisco for the widening of San Jose avenue from Circular avenue to Capistrano avenue, has offered to convey the property desired by said City and County for the sum set forth opposite his name, viz.:

G. Viola, $104—Beginning at a point on the northwesterly line of San Jose avenue (said line being the southeasterly boundary line of the San Miguel Rancho), distant thereon 81.52 feet northeasterly from the first angle point northeasterly from the northeasterly line of Tingley street produced southwesterly (said angle point being the southwesterly terminus of Course 12 of the San Miguel Ranch Survey and B. P. of the Belle Roche City Survey, recorded on pages 107 and 108 of Map Book 1, records of City and County of San Francisco), and running thence northeasterly along the northwesterly line of San Jose avenue 25 feet; thence deflecting 83 deg. 27 min. to the left, and running northwesterly 6.631 feet; thence deflecting 107 deg. 43 min. to the left, and running southwesterly 26.073 feet; thence deflecting 72 deg. 17 min. to the left, and running southeasterly 1.549 feet to the point of beginning. Being portion of Lot 1 of Belle Roche City Block 1.

Whereas, the City Attorney has recommended the acceptance of the said offer and the acquisition of the property owned by said person and offered to the City and County of San Francisco for the price set forth, which said price is in accordance with the City's appraisement of the property; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the said offer be accepted and the City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to examine the title of said property, and if the same is found in satisfactory condition to accept in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco a deed conveying title thereto, and file the same for record with a copy of this resolution attached thereto as evidence of acceptance by the City and County of San Francisco, upon payment of the agreed purchase price.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton Todd—13.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Deasy, Harrelson, Kent—5.

Passed for Printing.

The following resolution was *passed for printing*:

Transfer of Funds—Credits of Board of Public Works.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following

amounts be and the same are hereby set aside and appropriated out of General Fund, 1926-1927, to the credit of Department of Public Works, budget items as follows, to-wit:

Sewage Pumping Stations.

Item No. 609—Power, $50.

Item No. 610—Materials and supplies, $750.

Miscellaneous.

Item No. 612—Maintenance and repairs to equipment, $2,000.

Item No. 613—Materials and supplies, $500.

Bureau of Accounts.

Item No. 417—Experienced clerks (additional employments), $3,900.

Item No. 423—Stenographer (additional employment for six months), $990.

Bureau of Building Repair.

Item No. 474—Transportation, autos, $380.

Item No. 476—Materials and supplies, $4.150.

Item No. 482—Engine-room supplies, $350.

Bureau of Building Inspection.

Item No. 509—Building inspector (additional employment), $2,550.

Street Cleaning Department.

Item No. 587—Chauffeur (two additional for six months), $2,100.

Bureau of Sewer Repair.

Item No. 599—Bricklayer (additional employment, six months), $1,650.

Item No. 600—Hod carrier (additional employment, six months), $1,350.

Item No. 80 (Board of Supervisors) — Three double teams, 300 days, at $11.50 per day, $10,350.

Total, $31,070.

Action Deferred.

The following resolution was, on motion, *laid over one week*:

Appropriation, $5,000, Additional and Emergency Supplies, Board of Health.

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $5,000 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of Urgent Necessity, Budget Item No. 25, for additional and emergency supplies by the Board of Public Health under the direction of the Superintendent of the Relief Home.

Transfer of Funds to Cover Increases in Department of Electricity.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 26810 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, pursuant to Ordinance No. 7117 (New Series) and in accordance with Subdivision "C" of Section 3 thereof, the Auditor is hereby authorized and requested to make transfer of requisite amounts to meet increased amounts set opposite the following budget items, effective from and after March 1, 1927, to-wit:

Department of Electricity.

Budget Item No. 619—Chief inspector, $3,300 per annum.

Budget Item No. 620—18 inspectors, at $3,000 per annum, $54,000.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—13.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Deasy, Harrelson, Kent—5.

Passed for Printing.

The following resolution was *passed for printing*:

Oil Tank Permits.

On motion of Supervisor Deasy:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits be and the same are hereby granted:

Oil Tanks.

Lloyd Ackerman, west side of Lyon street, 100 feet south of Broadway, 1500 gallons capacity.

Acme Building Co., south side of Washington street, 50 feet east of Mason street, 1500 gallons capacity.

G. Connelly, west side of Retiro way, 150 feet south of Marina boulevard, 1500 gallons capacity.

Walter Hansen, west side of San Felipe way between Monterey boulevard and San Jacinto way, 600 gallons capacity.

P. Harris, south side of Pine street, 20 feet west of Broderick street, 1500 gallons capacity.

J. Harold Johnson, northwest corner of Beach street and Cervantes boulevard, 1500 gallons capacity.

H. Kalisky, 2864 Jackson street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Mangels Bros., 35 Santa Clara avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

Marian Realty Co., southeast corner of Jackson and Gough streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

J. E. O'Brien, southwest corner of Twenty-fifth avenue and Cabrillo street, 1500 gallons capacity.

W. S. Ray Mfg. Co., 2614 Gough street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Roman Catholic Archbishop of San Francisco, southeast corner of Ashton and De Montford avenues, 1500 gallons capacity.

W. U. Whirter, west side of Noe street, 250 feet south of Market street, 1500 gallons capacity.

D. W. Williams, southeast corner of Upland and Manor drive, 1500 gallons capacity.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits shall become null and void.

Endorsing Proposed Sportsmen's Show and Outdoor Exposition of Wild Life.

Supervisor Schmidt presented:

Resolution No. 26811 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, it has been announced that an exhibition will be given in this City during the month of May, whereat will be shown the State's resources of fish and game and also afford to the student of natural history an opportunity to become familiar with our varieties of wild life, which exhibition will doubtless attract thousands of visitors to our City; therefore,

Resolved, That this Board endorses the proposed exhibition and commends the Associated Sportsmen's Clubs of California for their efforts in providing this entertainment and display for the public.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—13.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Deasy, Harrelson, Kent—5.

Accepting Offer of John Mahoney to Sell for $2,000 Certain Land on Twenty-fourth Avenue, South of Rivera Street, Required for School Purposes.

Supervisor Powers presented:

Resolution No. 26812 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, an offer has been received from John Mahoney to convey to the City and County of San Francisco certain land situate east line of Twenty-fourth avenue, distant three hundred (300) feet southerly from Rivera street, required for school purposes; and

Whereas, the price at which said parcel of land is offered is the reasonable value thereof; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the offer of the said owner to convey to the City and County of San Francisco a good and sufficient fee simple title to the following described land, free of all encumbrances, for the sum of $2,000, be and the same is hereby accepted, the said land being described as follows, to-wit:

Commencing at a point on the easterly line of Twenty-fourth avenue, distant thereon 300 feet southerly from the southerly line of Rivera street, running thence southerly along said easterly line of Twenty-fourth avenue 50 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 120 feet; thence at a right angle northerly 50 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 120 feet to the easterly line of Twenty-fourth avenue and point of commencement. Being a portion of Outside Land Block 1099, also known as Block 2326 on Assessor's Map Book.

The City Attorney is hereby directed to examine the title to said land, and if the same is found to be vested in the aforesaid owner, free of all encumbrances, and that the taxes up to and including the current fiscal year are paid, and that the so-called McEnerney title has been procured, or sufficient money reserved for the purpose of procuring the same, to report the result of his examination to the Board of Supervisors, and also to cause a good and sufficient deed for said land to be executed and delivered to the City and County upon payment of the agreed purchase price as aforesaid. And the said deed to said land is hereby accepted.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—13.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Deasy, Harrelson, Kent—5.

Accepting Offer of John J. McDonnell to Sell for $2,000 Certain Land on Twenty-fourth Avenue, South of Rivera Street, Required for School Purposes.

Supervisor Powers presented:

Resolution No. 26813 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, an offer has been received from John J. McDonnell to convey to the City and County of San Francisco certain land situate east line of Twenty-fourth avenue, distant 350 feet southerly from Rivera street, required for school purposes; and

Whereas, the price at which said parcel of land is offered is the reasonable value thereof; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the offer of the said owner to convey to the City and County of San Francisco a good and sufficient fee simple title to the following described land, free of all encumbrances, for the sum of $2,000, be and the same is hereby accepted, the said land being described as follows, to-wit:

Commencing at a point on the easterly line of Twenty-fourth avenue, distant thereon 350 feet south-

erly from the southerly line of Rivera street, running thence southerly along said easterly line of Twenty-fourth avenue 50 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 120 feet; thence at a right angle northerly 50 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 120 feet to the easterly line of Twenty-fourth avenue and point of commencement. Being a portion of Outside Land Block 1099, also known as Block 2326 on Assessor's Map Book.

The City Attorney is hereby directed to examine the title to said land, and, if the same is found to be vested in the aforesaid owner, free of all encumbrances, and that the taxes up to and including the current fiscal year are paid, and that the so-called McEnerney title has been procured or sufficient money reserved for the purpose of procuring the same, to report the result of his examination to the Board of Supervisors, and also to cause a good and sufficient deed for said land to be executed and delivered to the City and County upon payment of the agreed purchase price as aforesaid. And the said deed to said land is hereby accepted.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—13.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Deasy, Harrelson, Kent—5.

SPECIAL ORDER—2 P. M.

Ocean Palace Amusement Permit.

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Ocean Palace Amusement Company is hereby granted permission to construct, maintain and operate an amusement park as defined in Ordinance No. 5240 (New Series), in and upon so much of the block bounded by Wawona street, Forty-sixth and Forty-seventh avenues and Sloat boulevard as is now permitted or hereafter may be permitted by Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), known as the Zoning Ordinance.

Privilege of the Floor.

The following were granted the privilege of the floor and protested against the passage of the resolution:

Adolph Uhl, A. H. Steel, A. W. Stokes, representing San Francisco Center, Women's Clubs; N. W. Mohr, representing Franciscan Fathers; Russell Ward, M. Crozier, representing Central Council of Civic Clubs; Mrs. R. L. Hart and property owners.

John Kalish and *Aaron Cohen*, representing the Ocean Palace Amusement Company, were heard in favor of and urging the granting of the permit.

Passed for Printing.

Whereupon, the roll was called and the foregoing resolution was *passed for printing* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Deasy, Gallagher, Hayden, Marks, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—10.

Noes—Supervisors Colman, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Powers—6.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath—2.

SPECIAL ORDER—2:30 P. M.

Garbage Incinerator Permit.

The following matter was, on motion of Supervisor McSheehy, *laid over two weeks and made a Special Order for 2 p. m.*:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the garbage incinerator located at Eighth and De Haro streets and owned by the City and County of San Francisco has been operated by the Scavengers' Protective Union from November 14, 1918, to date, on a revocable day to day agreement, without said City and County deriving any revenue therefrom; and

Whereas, the City and County of San Francisco has had an investment of $400,000 in this incinerator and no revenues have been received, and reports show that during the last two and one-half months the Scavengers' Protective Union has received $39,401.81, with an expenditure of $16,296.81, showing a profit of $23,105; and

Whereas, an offer has been made by the City Garbage Removal Company, Inc., to take over the operation of said incinerator and pay the City and County a daily rental of $137 for this privilege, said daily rental being based on an annual rental of $50,000; and

Whereas, the Finance and Health Committee of the Board of Supervisors, sitting in joint session Thursday, January 27, 1927, by unanimous consent, recommends to said Board of Supervisors that pending definite arrangements, etc., for the disposal of garbage by other means, for which plans are under way, it is deemed reasonable that the City and County of San Francisco should receive whatever revenue it is possible to secure from the operation of the said incinerator until such time as it is abandoned; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco will give a revocable day to day permit to occupy the above-mentioned incinerator and to dispose of the garbage of the City therein, to the person, firm or corporation offering the highest bid for said privilege, at the open session of said Board to be held on Monday, February 7, 1927, commencing at 10 o'clock a. m. The person, firm or corporation to whom said privilege is granted must within three days after the granting of same enter into a written contract with the City and County to carry out the terms of said permit, and file with this Board a bond in the sum of $10,000 for the faithful performance of said contract.

SPECIAL ORDER—4 P. M.

Denying Zoning Change, Lombard Street.

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the application of E. Ravn to change the north side of Lombard street, commencing 141 feet 3 inches west of Octavia street, thence westerly 50 feet, from the Second Residential to the Commercial District, be denied.

Privilege of the Floor.

David Supple, attorney representing E. Ravn, was granted the privilege of the floor and heard at length in opposition to the resolution and in favor of rezoning Lombard street for commercial purposes.

E. Ravn, applicant, was also heard to the same effect.

David Bohn favored the resolution denying the proposed change. He declared that the building was now rented to colored tenants, depreciating adjoining property and that the shop was frequently operated until midnight to the annoyance and discomfort of the neighbors.

John Cheregino spoke in favor of Ravn's application.

Adopted.

Thereupon, the following resolution was *adopted* by the following vote:

Resolution No. 26806 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the application of E. Ravn to change the north side of Lombard street, commencing 141 feet 3 inches west of Octavia street, thence westerly 50 feet, from the Second Residential to the Commercial District, be denied.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton—11.

Noes—Supervisors McSheehy, Powers, Todd—3.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Deasy, Kent—4.

SPECIAL ORDER—2 P. M.

Hearing of Appeal—Douglass Street.

The following matter was, on motion, *laid over one week*:

Appeal of property owners from the assessment issued for the improvement of Douglass street from the southerly line of Twenty-fifth street to the southerly line of Twenty-sixth street, including the easterly and westerly intersections of Clipper street with Douglass street, and the crossing of Twenty-sixth street and Douglass street; and the improvement of Twenty-sixth street between Diamond and Douglass streets, by grading to official line and grade; by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks of the full official width on the angular corners thereof; by the construction of the necessary catchbasins with accompanying 10-inch ironstone pipe culverts; by the construction of 8-inch and 15-inch ironstone pipe sewers with accompanying Y branches on the 15-inch sewer, and the necessary manholes as may be required; by the construction of a concrete pavement on Douglass street from the southerly line of Twenty-fifth street to a line at right angles with the easterly line of Douglass street at its intersection with the northerly line of Clipper street; by the construction of a concrete pavement on Twenty-sixth street from the westerly line of Diamond street to a line parallel with and 280 feet westerly therefrom, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement on the remainder of the roadways thereof.

Minority Report of Supervisor Deasy on Lease of 333 Kearny Street.

The following matters were, on motion, *continued one week*:

December 29, 1926.

Hon. Board of Supervisors, City Hall, City.

Gentlemen:

Your minority of the Building Committee beg leave to report to your Honorable Board a resolution passed unanimously by the Board of Supervisors July 17, 1926, No. 25899, requesting the Board of Public Works to confer with the Chief of Police to determine the amount of additional space required in the Hall of Justice for the growing needs of the Police Department and

to report to the Board their findings.

On August 28, 1926, the Chief of Police advised the Board in the following letter:

"Please be advised that the Police Department can occupy all the rooms vacated by the District Attorney, Public Defender, Probation Officer and their respective staffs, which are located in the Hall of Justice, at Kearny and Washington streets.

"Said premises can be utilized and occupied immediately without any alterations for some time, therefore I would respectfully request that proper authorization be granted to this department for the occupancy of the above mentioned space."

I therefore recommend to the Board of Supervisors that the vacant space now existing in the Hall of Justice be assigned to the Police Department in accordance with their letter to the Board of August 28, 1926.

Yours truly,
C. J. DEASY.

Supervisor Deasy's Resolution.

Whereas, the certain rooms situate in the Hall of Justice heretofore occupied by the District Attorney, the Public Defender and the Adult Probation Officer have been vacant since the removal of the said offices and officials to premises at No. 333 Kearny street and are still vacant and unoccupied; and

Whereas, the Chief of the Police Department, by communication heretofore filed, set forth that the said vacated premises, if made available, could be occupied and utilized by the Police Department without any alterations being made therein; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the said vacated rooms in the Hall of Justice, situated mainly on the third and fourth floors and to be more specifically specified by their respective numbers be and the same are hereby assigned to the Police Department for its use and purposes.

Majority Report.

San Francisco,
December 27, 1926.

To the Honorable Board of Supervisors, City and County of San Francisco.

Gentlemen:

Your majority committee on Public Buildings and Lands, to which was referred the resolution terminating the lease by the City of premises known as 333 Kearny street, now occupied by the District Attorney, Public Defender, the Adult Probation Officer and the Grand Jury, on the 1st day of May, 1927, and directing the said herein named officials to be reinstated in the Hall of Justice, would respectfully report that careful consideration has been given to the subject matter expressed in the resolution, taking into consideration that the alterations heretofore ordered and now under progress in the Hall of Justice will be completed within a period of about 90 days, and will, in the opinion of your committee, more adequately accommodate the hereinbefore referred to officials of the City and County.

Your committee has realized the inconvenience to the public by reason of the removal of the said officials from the Hall of Justice, with the thought of remedying such conditions at the earliest opportunity.

Your committee feels that it is a necessity, by reason of the duties of the District Attorney, the Public Defender and the Adult Probation Officer, that such offices should be in the Hall of Justice, contiguous to the court located therein. With this aim in view your committee would respectfully report in favor of the passing of the resolution cancelling the present lease of the premises No. 333 Kearny street, to take effect the 1st day of May, 1927, and of directing the removal of the hereinbefore mentioned offices and respective officials to the Hall of Justice, and to occupy the certain rooms hereinafter to be designated and assigned to them by the Public Buildings and Lands Committee of your Board.

Very respectfully,
CHAS. J. POWERS.
LEWIS F. BYINGTON.

Supervisor Powers Presents:

Whereas, in the month of ——— a resolution was introduced by a Special Committee and passed by a majority vote of the Board of Supervisors, directing the District Attorney, Public Defender, Adult Probation Officer and the County Grand Jury to move from their rooms in the Hall of Justice to No. 333 Kearny street; and

Whereas, this committee recommended that a lease be entered into between the Board of Supervisors and Louis T. Samuels for said property at a rental of 15 cents per square foot, amounting to $74,081.25, for a term of five (5) years, with a stipulation that the lease can be

cancelled by giving ninety (90) days' notice to said owner; and

Whereas, since entering into this lease alterations have been made in the Hall of Justice which will provide for 7591 square feet of floor space, together with 4870 square feet now unoccupied, making a total of 12,461 square feet, which is more than sufficient to take care of the needs of the Police Department and of the other departments now occupying temporary quarters at No. 333 Kearny street; and

Whereas, the service rendered to the public has been very inadequate, owing to the distance of several blocks between the Hall of Justice and No. 333 Kearny street, and numerous complaints have been made; and

Whereas, the expenditure of $74,081.25 for the rental of these offices is a direct wastage of public funds and should terminate as soon as possible; and

Whereas, these alterations will be completed in about ninety (90) days from date and far better offices and accommodations will be provided for the District Attorney, the Public Defender, the Adult Probation Officer and the County Grand Jury in the Hall of Justice than they now have at No. 333 Kearny street; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the cancellation clause in the lease between the City and County of San Francisco and the owner of the building known as No. 333 Kearny street, entered into on the 2d day of June, 1926, be terminated on the 1st day of May, 1927, and that the District Attorney, Public Defender, Adult Probation Officer and the County Grand Jury be directed to move from their offices at No. 333 Kearny street to the Hall of Justice and occupy rooms as designated by the Public Buildings and Lands Committee of this Board, and that proper furnishings and equipment be placed in said rooms.

Proposed Change in Time of Meetings of Board of Supervisors.

Supervisor Todd presented:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That from and after February 14, 1927, the regular meetings of the Board of Supervisors shall commence at 1 p. m. each Monday instead of 10 a. m. as at present.

Referred to Special Rules Committee.

ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND MOTIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

Accepting Offer of Chas. V. Miller to Sell Certain Land Required for School Purposes.

Supervisor Powers presented:

Resolution No. 26814 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, an offer has been received from Chas. V. Miller to convey to the City and County of San Francisco certain land situate east line of Twenty-fourth avenue, distant 225 feet northerly from Rivera street, required for school purposes; and

Whereas, the price at which said parcel of land is offered is the reasonable value thereof; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the offer of the said owner to convey to the City and County of San Francisco a good and sufficient fee simple title the following described land, free of all encumbrances, for the sum of $1,900 be and the same is hereby accepted, the said land being described as follows, to-wit:

Commencing at a point on the easterly line of Twenty-fourth avenue, distant thereon 225 feet northerly from the northerly line of Rivera street, running thence northerly along said easterly line of Twenty-fourth avenue 50 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 120 feet; thence at a right angle southerly 50 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 120 feet to the easterly line of Twenty-fourth avenue and point of commencement. Being a portion of Outside Land Block 1049, also known as Block 2194 on Assessor's Map Book.

The City Attorney is hereby directed to examine the title to said land, and if the same is found to be vested in the aforesaid owner, free of all encumbrances, and that the taxes up to and including the current fiscal year are paid, and that the so-called McEnerney title has been procured or sufficient money reserved for the purpose of procuring the same, to report the result of his examination to the Board of Supervisors, and also to cause a good and sufficient deed for said land to be executed and delivered to the City and County upon payment of the agreed purchase price as aforesaid. And the said deed to said land is hereby accepted.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden,

Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—13.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Deasy, Harrelson, Kent—5.

Masquerade Ball Permit.

Supervisor Schmidt presented:

Resolution No. 26815 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Benevolent Society of the Garibaldi Guard be and it is hereby granted permission to hold a masquerade ball in Garibaldi Hall, 441 Broadway, Sunday, March 6, 1927.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—13.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Deasy, Harrelson, Kent—5.

Demand for Municipal Band.

Supervisor Roncovieri presented:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the demand of the Municipal Band in the sum of $440.90 for services rendered on October 10, 1926, at Mission Park for the special reception of the officers and dignitaries taking part in the Sesqui-Centennial Celebration be and is hereby ordered paid out of Budget Item No. 1079, Publicity and Advertising (Appropriation 55).

Referred to Finance Committee.

Appropriation, $6,000, for Ten Police Motorcycles.

Supervsior Todd presented:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $6,000 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of any fund available, by the Police Department for the purchase of ten (10) additional motorcycles to be furnished the traffic squad of said department and used in running down so-called "hit and run" drivers who are menacing the lives of our citizens.

Referred to Finance Committee.

Traffic Fines Fund Created.

Supervisor Todd presented:

Bill No. ——, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Creating a special fund to be known as "The Traffic Fines Fund, Special Deposit", providing for the payment of all fines for traffic law violations into said fund for the purchase and maintenance of traffic signal equipment, and fixing the duties of officials in relation thereto.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. In accordance with the provisions of Section 3, Chapter 1, Article 3 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, a special fund, to be known as "The Traffic Fines Fund, Special Deposit," is hereby created. To such fund shall be paid any and all moneys collected as fines for violations of the traffic regulations of this City and County.

Section 2. The moneys so collected shall be devoted exclusively to the purchase of traffic signal equipment and the maintenance and upkeep of the traffic signal system, and may be appropriated from time to time by the Board of Supervisors for the purposes indicated.

Section 3. It shall be the duty of the County Clerk to deposit all moneys received for fines for violations of the traffic regulations of the City and County into "The Traffic Fines Fund, Special Deposit", for the purposes indicated in Section 2 of this ordinance.

Section 4. All ordinances or parts of ordinances that may be in conflict with this ordinance are hereby repealed.

Section 5. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Referred to Finance and Traffic Committees.

ADJOURNMENT.

Whereupon, the Board at the hour of 7:15 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors June 27, 1927.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated, and approved as above recited.

JOHN S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco.

PUBLIC LIBRARY

Vol. 22—New Series No. 8

Monday, February 21, 1927

Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
337 Bush Street, S. F.

JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, FEBRUARY 21, 1927, 10 A. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, February 21, 1927, 10 a. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Gallagher—2.

Supervisors Bath and Gallagher excused on account of illness.

Quorum present.

His Honor Mayor Rolph presiding.

APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the previous meeting was laid over until next meeting for approval.

ROLL CALL FOR PETITIONS FROM MEMBERS.

Through Line Service From the Ferry to the Beach.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication, from Theodore J. Savage, attorney for the Parkside Realty Company, requesting that before other street railway extensions are made that those districts who were assessed for rapid transportation west of the Twin Peaks be given consideration which he alleges has been long delayed, and specifying true service operating from the Ferry out Market street through the Twin Peaks Tunnel over Sloat boulevard to the Ocean Beach and Fleishhacker Playground.

Referred to the Public Utilities Committee.

Letter of Thanks.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication, from County Recorders' Association, addressed to Hon. James Rolph, Jr., Mayor, transmitting resolution unanimously endorsed by said association, expressing its sincere appreciation to the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors of San Francisco for the many courtesies extended to its members during its recent session in this City.

Ordered *filed.*

Rejection of Plumbing Bids Protested.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication, from R. J. H. Forbes, secretary, The Builders Exchange, protesting the rejection of plumbing bids recently submitted on the Sherman School work and the action providing that the work be ordered done by the Board of Public Works, requesting that the matter be reopened, bids regularly invited and contract awarded to the lowest responsible bidder as has been the City's policy in the past.

Referred to the Public Buildings Committee.

New Building for Health Department.

Supervisor Hayden presented:

Communication, from the Civic League of Improvement Clubs and Associations, drawing attention to the building and premises which for a long time past and still are occupied by the Department of Public Health, and suggesting that the Board of Supervisors proceed at once to acquire site in Civic Center and in the very first bond issue that money be provided for the erection of a building thereon for the Board of Health.

Referred to the Public Buildings Committee.

Relative to Holidays for Per Diem Men.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication, from the San Francisco Labor Council, requesting that Ordinance No. 7309 (New Series), designating the legal holidays for per diem employees of the Board of Public Works, be enforced.

Ordered *filed.*

Protest, Chestnut and Steiner Streets Zone Change.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication, from Marina Home Owners Protective Association, protesting the rezoning of the southeast corner of Chestnut and Steiner streets, and the southwest corner of Chestnut and Pierce streets, from Second Residential to Commercial.

Ordered *filed*.

Protest, Ocean Palace Amusement Park Permit.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication, from Mrs. Florence Dale, declaring that contrary to the statement made before the Board of Supervisors Monday, February 14, 1927, that she is not in favor of granting a permit for the Ocean Palace Amusement Company, and expressing the hope that in the City's welfare no permit for any concession of the character sought shall be permitted near the highway or playground adjoining the Fleishhacker Pool.

Ordered *filed*.

Airport Selection Approved.

Supervisor Kent presented:

Communication, from San Francisco Chamber of Commerce, expressing deep gratification upon the action taken by the Board of Supervisors creating a temporary airport near San Bruno on the Mills Estate property.

Supervisor Havenner suggested that the field be named after D. O. Mills.

Read and ordered *filed*.

City Planning Conference, Oakland.

Communication, from Frank C. Merritt, City Clerk, Oakland, California, announcing state-wide city planning conference March 4 and 5, 1927, under the joint auspices of the League of California Municipalities and the California Real Estate Association which will be held in the City of Oakland on the dates mentioned and urging all San Francisco city officials to attend.

Read and *referred to City Planning Committee*.

Dedication of Carquinez Bridge.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication, from the American Toll Bridge Company, inviting attendance of City officials to the dedicatory services to be held on May 21, 1927, to mark the opening of America's highway bridge over Carquinez Straits.

Referred to the Public Welfare and Publicity Committee.

Leave of Absence, Supervisor Lewis F. Byington.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

San Francisco, Cal.,
February 21, 1927.

Hon. Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen:

Application has been made to me by the Honorable Lewis F. Byington, member of your Honorable Board, for a leave of absence, with permission to absent himself from the State of California for a period of thirty (30) days, commencing February 21, 1927.

Will you please concur with me in granting this leave of absence?

Very sincerely yours,
JAMES ROLPH, JR.,
Mayor.

Whereupon, the following resolution was presented and *adopted*:

Resolution No. 26873 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Hon. Lewis F. Byington, member of the Board of Supervisors, is hereby granted a leave of absence, for a period of thirty days, commencing February 21, 1927, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Deasy—3.

UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

Final Passage.

The following matters, heretofore passed for printing, were taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Authorizations.

Resolution No. 26819 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned funds in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

Tearing-up Streets Fund.

(1) Gladding, McBean & Co., sewer pipe for sidesewers (claim dated Jan. 31, 1927), $525.

Tubercular Sanitarium Fund.

(2) Latourette-Fical Co., second payment, plumbing work on Tubercular Sanitarium (claim dated Feb. 1, 1927), $1,109.44.

(3) Reilly & Nemetz, third payment, general construction of Tu-

bercular Sanitarium (claim dated Feb. 1, 1927), $17,898.15.

(4) M. E. Ryan, second payment, electrical fixtures for Tubercular Sanitarium (claim dated Feb. 2, 1927), $911. 23.

(5) Reilly & Nemetz, extra work on Tubercular Sanitarium (claim dated Feb. 1, 1927), $665.

Fire Department Land and Construction Fund.

(6) Elliott & Grant, fifth payment, construction of Fire Department house, Engine No. 19 (claim dated Feb. 2, 1927), $6,547.02.

Sunset Tunnel Assessment Fund.

(7) Leonard F. Youdall and R. G. Clifford (Youdall Construction Co.), eighth payment, construction of tunnel under Buena Vista Park (claim dated Feb. 1, 1927), $53,-213.58.

Hetch Hetchy Water Bonds, Issue 1925.

(8) Beronio Lumber Co., lumber for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Jan. 28, 1927), $514.15.

(9) Central Coal Co., coal for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Jan. 28, 1927), $686.45.

(10) Hercules Powder Co., explosives for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Jan. 28, 1927), $1,914.64.

(11) J. H. McCallum, lumber for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Jan. 28, 1927), $999.10.

(12) Myers-Whaley Co., shoveling machine parts, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Jan. 28, 1927), $896.37.

(13) Myers-Whaley Co., conveyor chain, etc., Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Jan. 27, 1927), $701.12.

(14) The Charles Nelson Co., lumber for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Jan. 28, 1927), $503.80.

(15) Old Mission Portland Cement Co., cement for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Jan. 28, 1927), $607.25.

(16) Safety Cable Co., wire for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Jan. 28, 1927), $1,012.02.

(17) Standard Oil Co., gasoline, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Jan. 28, 1927), $541.50.

(18) A. M. Scott Tire Co., cord tires and tubes, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Jan. 27, 1927). $688.16.

(19) Hales & Symons, lumber, etc., for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Feb.2, 1927), $2,002.50.

(20) T. M. Hughes, oak wood, Hecth Hetchy construction (claim dated Jan. 31, 1927), $766.25.

(21) Tilden Lumber & Mill Co., lumber, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Feb. 2, 1927), $510.98.

(22) Wilkinson Bros., oak wood, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Jan. 31, 1927), $936.88.

Hetch Hetchy Power Operative Fund.

(23) U. S. Department of the Interior, national park service, City's portion of expense of surveys in connection with construction of road from Mather to old Tioga road (claim dated Jan. 27, 1927), $6,000.

(24) J. H. McCallum, lumber (claim dated Jan. 28, 1927), $759.60.

(25) National Pole & Treating Co., 100 cedar poles (claim dated Jan. 28, 1927), $560.

M. H. de Young Memorial Museum Fund (Appropriation 60).

(26) Frederick H. Meyer, architectural services for account of M. He de Young Memorial Museum (claim dated Feb. 4, 1927), $1,112.50.

California Palace of the Legion of Honor (Appropriation No. 61).

(27) Johnck, Kibbee & Co., catalogs, halftones, photography, etc., for the California Palace of the Legion of Honor (claim dated Feb. 4, 1927), $1,470.30.

(28) W. Elmer Schofield, painting "The Birches" for California Palace of the Legion of Honor (claim dated Feb. 4, 1927), $1,500.

School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923.

(29) Anderson & Ringrose, fourth payment, general construction of annex to Guadalupe School (claim dated Feb. 1, 1927), $11,985.

(30) Amoroso & Damico, eighth payment, general construction of Edison School (claim dated Feb. 2, 1927), $19,550.25.

(31) S. W. Band, third payment, plumbing work for additions to Francis Scott Key School (claim dated Feb. 1, 1927), $1,762.50.

(32) Crown Electric Co., seventh payment, electrical work for Edison School (claim dated Feb. 2, 1927). $797.63.

(33) Thomas Day Co., electrical fixtures for the Anza street (Lafayette) School (claim dated Feb. 1, 1927), $1,245.

(34) F. L. Hansen, twelfth payment, general construction of Henry Durant School (claim dated Feb. 1, 1927), $12,864.98.

(35) Latourette-Fical Co., final payment, plumbing work for West Portal School (claim dated Feb. 2, 1927), $1,983.

(36) Mahony Bros., first payment,

general construction of the Sherman School (claim dated Feb. 1, 1927), $4,065.75.

(37) MacDonald & Kahn, eighteenth payment, general construction of the new Mission High School (claim dated Feb. 1, 1927), $4,294.45.

(38) O. Monson, fifth payment, general construction of additions to the Francis Scott Key School (claim dated Feb. 1, 1927), $11,467.50.

(39) R. W. Moller, fifth payment, general construction of Mission (Everett) Junior High School (claim dated Feb. 2, 1927), $14,151.40.

(40) J. E. O'Mara, final payment, mechanical equipment for West Portal School (claim dated Feb. 2, 1927), $3,051.10.

(41) Severin Electric Co., electrical fixtures, additional units to Galileo High School (claim dated Feb. 1, 1927), $870.

(42) Ward & Blohme, final payment, architectural services for Anza street (Lafayette) School (claim dated Feb. 2, 1927), $2,780.10.

(43) John Reid, Jr., tenth payment, architectural services for additions to Galileo High School (claim dated Jan. 26, 1927), $1,417.87.

(44) John Reid, Jr., first payment, architectural services for South Side High School, first unit (claim dated Jan. 11, 1927), $4,860.

(45) John Reid, Jr., sixth payment, architectural services for West Portal School (claim dated Jan. 4, 1927), $935.68.

Special School Tax.

(46) O. Monson, ninth payment, general construction of additional units to Galileo High School (claim dated Feb. 1, 1927), $24,659.25.

(47) J. E. O'Mara, first payment, plumbing work for additions to Madison School (claim dated Feb. 1, 1927), $1,191.

(48) Mahony Bros., fourth payment, general construction of additions to Commodore Sloat School (claim dated Feb. 2, 1927), $7,448.79.

(49) Thos. Skelly, second payment, plumbing work for additions to the Commodore Sloat School (claim dated Feb. 1, 1927), $1,790.25.

(50) John Reid, Jr., third payment, architectural services on additions to Commodore Sloan School (claim dated Jan. 12, 1927), $751.01.

Municipal Railway Fund.

(51) American Brake Shoe and Foundry Co., brake shoes for Municipal Railways (claim dated Jan. 27, 1927), $2,747.32.

(52) American Smelting & Refining Co., trolley wire for Municipal Railways (claim dated Jan. 27, 1927), $1,794.79.

(53) R. W. Jamison, trolley wheels for Municipal Railways (claim dated Jan. 27, 1927), $807.08.

Maintenance of Aquarium—Appropriation 57.

(54) California Academy of Sciences, maintenance of Steinhart Aquarium, month of January (claim dated Feb. 7, 1927), $3,552.40.

Relief Home Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923.

(55) L. Ebbets, first payment, general construction of conservatory for use of Relief Home (claim dated Feb. 1, 1927), $3,771.75.

Hetch Hetchy Operative Revenue Fund.

(56) Railroad Commission of the State of California, for February, 1927, expense of valuation proceedings of the properties of the Pacific Gas and Electric Co. and Great Western Power Co. (claim dated Feb. 7, 1927), $2,500.

General Fund, 1926-1927.

(57) San Francisco Society for the Prevention of Cruelty to Animals, impounding, feeding, etc., of animals (claim dated Feb. 7, 1927), $1,250.

(58) James Rolph, Jr., Mayor's incidentals for February (claim dated Feb. 7, 1927), $625.

(59) John Spargo, third payment, general construction of additions in lightwell space, Hall of Justice (claim dated Feb. 2, 1927), $1,720.31.

(60) Standard Oil Co., asphalt for street repair (claim dated Jan. 27, 1927), $1,499.07.

(61) Standard Oil Co., asphalt for street repair (claim dated Jan. 28, 1927), $2,695.11.

(62) Standard Oil Co., asphalt for street repair (claim dated Jan. 27, 1927), $1,481.55.

(63) Standard Oil Co., asphalt for street repair (claim dated Jan. 27, 1927), $2,177.79.

(64) Standard Oil Co., asphalt for street repair (claim dated Jan. 27, 1927), $1,467.65.

(65) A. P. Jacobs, rent for premises, No. 333 Kearny street, for month ending Feb. 3, 1927, $1,186.

(66) San Francisco Bulletin, official advertising (claim dated Feb. 7, 1927), $1,024.12.

(67) Daniel J. O'Brien, police contingent expense (claim dated Feb. 1, 1927), $750.

(68) Eastman Kodak Stores, Inc.,

X-ray films for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Jan. 31, 1927), $2,091.60.

(69) Kahn & Company, X-ray films for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Jan. 31, 1927), $897.

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —15.

(Supervisor Powers voted *no* on Item No. 65.)

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Gallagher—3.

Resolution No. 26820 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

Library Fund.

(1) American Building Maintenance Co., janitor service for public libraries (claim dated Jan. 31, 1927), $700.

(2) Foster & Futernick Co., library book binding (claim dated Jan. 31, 1927), $689.30.

(3) G. E. Stechert & Co., library books (claim dated Jan. 31, 1927), $2,649.82.

(4) San Francisco News Co., library books (claim dated Jan. 31, 1927), $1,828.69.

Municipal Railway Fund.

(5) James E. Power Co., tires and tubes furnished Municipal Railways (claim dated Feb. 9, 1927), $963.

Municipal Railway Depreciation Fund.

(6) Westinghouse Electric & Mfg. Co., second payment, electrical equipments, etc., for Municipal Railways (claim dated Feb. 3, 1927), $56,283.95.

Relief Home Bonds, Issue 1923.

(7) M. E. Ryan, fifteenth payment, electrical work for new Relief Home (claim dated Feb. 8, 1927), $560.

School Bonds, Issue 1923.

(8) Geo. A. Applegarth, fourth payment, architectural services for Edison School (claim dated Feb. 9, 1927), $836.73.

(9) Alta Electric Co., second payment, electrical work for annex to Guadalupe School (claim dated Feb. 8, 1927), $1,074.73.

(10) Crown Electric Co., final payment, electrical work for West Portal School (claim dated Feb. 9, 1927), $2,069.30.

(11) Crown Electric Co., fourteenth payment, electrical work for new Mission High School (claim dated Feb. 9, 1927), $1,357.50.

(12) Latourette-Fical Co., fifteenth payment, mechanical equipment for new Mission High School (claim dated Feb. 8, 1927), $1,899.93.

(13) Latourette-Fical Co., sixth payment, plumbing work for Henry Durant School (claim dated Feb 8, 1927), $821.78.

(14) Latourette-Fical Co., second payment, plumbing work for Mission (Everett) Junior High School (claim dated Feb. 8, 1927), $1,995.23.

(15) A. Lettich, first payment, plumbing work for Hearst-Moulder School (claim dated Feb. 9, 1927), $2,362.50.

(16) Frederick H. Meyer and Albin R. Johnson, sixth payment, architectural services for Henry Durant School (claim dated Feb. 9, 1927), $1,592.06.

(17) John Reid, Jr., eighteenth payment, architectural services for new Mission High School (claim dated Feb. 9, 1927), $1,608.74.

School Bonds, Issue 1918.

(18) Burroughs Adding Machine Co., posting and billing machines for High School of Commerce (claim dated Feb. 8, 1927), $5,712.25.

Special School Tax.

(19) Alta Electric Co., first payment, electrical work for addition to Commodore Sloat School (claim dated Feb. 8, 1927), $1,849.20.

(20) S. W. Band, ninth payment, plumbing work, additional units to Galileo High School (claim dated Feb. 8, 1927), $1,128.

(21) P. J. Enright, sixth payment, mechanical equipment, additional units to Galileo High School (claim dated Feb. 9, 1927), $1,239.33.

(22) E. K. Nelson, second payment, general construction, additions to Madison School (claim dated Feb. 9, 1927), $7,191.

(23) Joseph J. Rankin, fourth payment, architectural services for Francis Scott Key School (claim dated Feb. 9, 1927), $790.34.

(24) The Scott Co., first payment, mechanical equipment, additions to Commodore Sloat School (claim dated Feb. 8, 1927), $2,316.37.

Hetch Hetchy Water Bonds, Issue 1925.

(25) T. E. Connally and J. M. De Luca, first payment, construction of aqueduct tunnels in Foothill Division, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Feb. 8, 1927), $45,033.

(26) A. Guthrie & Co., care of Anglo & London Paris National Bank, second payment, construction of aqueduct tunnels in Foothill Division, Hetch Hetchy construction

(claim dated Feb. 8, 1927), $44,-076.45.

General Fund, 1925-1926.

(27) Crown Electric Co., final payment, electrical work for children's ward, southeast wing of San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Feb. 8, 1927), $1,107.21.

(28) John Spargo, fourth payment, general construction of photograph gallery at Hall of Justice (claim dated Feb. 8, 1927), $1,910.15.

General Fund, 1926-1927.

(29) Protestant Orphanage, maintenance of minors (claim dated Feb. 8, 1927), $1,111.84.

(30) San Francisco Nursery for Homeless Children, maintenance of minors (claim dated Feb. 8, 1927), $540.

(31) Boys' Aid Society, maintenance of minors (claim dated Feb. 8, 1927), $1,537.88.

(32) St. Vincent's School, maintenance of minors (claim dated Feb. 8, 1927), $1,880.38.

(33) Roman Catholic Orphanage, maintenance of minors (claim dated Feb. 8, 1927), $4,149.42.

(34) Albertinum Orphanage, maintenance of minors (claim dated Feb. 8, 1927), $1,363.67.

(35) Little Children's Aid, maintenance of minors (claim dated Feb. 8, 1927), $11,686.74.

(36) Eureka Benevolent Society, maintenance of minors (claim dated Feb. 8, 1927), $4,167.62.

(37) Children's Agency, maintenance of minors (claim dated Feb. 8, 1927), $29,526.89.

(38) St. Catherine's Training Home, maintenance of minors (claim dated Feb. 27, 1927), $566.33.

(39) Associated Charities, widows' pensions (claim dated Feb. 11, 1927), $8,135.89.

(40) Eureka Benevolent Society, widows' pensions (claim dated Feb. 11, 1927), $882.07.

(41) Little Children's Aid, widows' pensions (claim dated Feb. 11, 1927), $8,603.82.

(42) Preston School of Industry, maintenance of minors (claim dated Feb. 8, 1927), $752.25.

(43) Sonoma State Home, maintenance of feeble-minded (claim dated Feb. 14, 1927), $9 060.

(44) San Francisco Bulletin, official advertising, Board of Supervisors (claim dated Feb. 14, 1927), $568.57.

(45) San Francisco Bulletin, official advertising, Board of Public Works (claim dated Feb. 8, 1927), $727.42.

(46) The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company, Law Motion Trial Calendar, etc., for January (claim dated Feb. 14, 1927), $1,075.

(47) Equitable Asphalt Maintenance Co., asphalt street resurfacing (claim dated Feb. 2, 1927), $883.40.

(48) Standard Oil Co. of California, asphalt for streets (claim dated Feb. 2, 1927), $577.88.

(49) Santa Cruz Portland Cement Co., cement for street repair (claim dated Feb. 2, 1927), $2,384.72.

(50) Bode Gravel Co., gravel for street repair (claim dated Feb. 2, 1927), $695.01.

(51) Symon Brothers, teams furnished for street cleaning (claim dated Feb. 4, 1927), $747.50.

(52) Spring Valley Water Co., water for street sprinkling (claim dated Feb. 7, 1927), $553.75.

(53) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., lighting public buildings (claim dated Feb. 7, 1927), $4,526.66.

(54) Spring Valley Water Co., water for public buildings (claim dated Feb. 7, 1927), $1,693.68.

(55) Philadelphia Shoe Co., shoes for Relief Home (claim dated Feb. 10, 1927), $1,164.

(56) E. B. Frick, room allowances for San Francisco Hospital employees (claim dated Feb. 10, 1927), $1,740.25.

(57) The Beecher Co., wheel chairs, etc., for S. F. Hospital (claim dated Feb. 10, 1927), $2,-631.75.

(58) Reid Brothers, bedside tables, etc., for S. F. Hospital (claim dated Feb. 10, 1927), $2,674.05.

(59) C. Nauman & Co., vegetables for S. F. Hospital (claim dated Feb. 10, 1927), $726.62.

(60) Building Supplies Co., paper towels, etc., for S. F. Hospital (claim dated Feb. 10, 1927), $507.96.

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Gallagher—3.

Appropriations, Payments to A. G. Von Krazmer and Daisy M. Hill for Properties Required for School Purposes.

Resolution No. 26821 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside and appropriated out of School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923, and authorized in payment to the hereinafter named persons; being payments for properties required for school purposes, to-wit:

(1) To A. G. Von Krazmer, for land on the easterly line of Twenty-fourth avenue, commencing 225 feet southerly from the southerly line of Rivera street; thence southerly on Twenty-fourth avenue 25 feet; thence easterly 120 feet; thence northerly 25 feet; thence westerly

120 feet; as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 26786 (New Series), $900.

(2) To Daisy M. Hill, for land on the westerly line of Twenty-third avenue, commencing 250 feet northerly from Rivera street; thence running northerly 25 feet; thence westerly 120 feet; thence southerly 25 feet; thence easterly 120 feet; as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 26789 (New Series), $900.

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Gallagher—3.

Appropriation, $1,750, Payment to John Garvey for Land on Thirty-second Avenue South of Anza Street Required for School Purposes.

Resolution No. 26822 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $1,750 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of School Bond Construction Fund, Issue 1923, and authorized in payment to John Garvey; being payment for land on the easterly line of Thirty-second avenue, commencing 150 feet southerly from the southerly line of Anza street, running thence southerly on easterly line of Thirty-second avenue 27 feet; thence at right angles easterly 84 feet 4¾ inches; thence southeasterly 27 feet; thence westerly 86 feet, more or less; per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 26804 (New Series), and required for school purposes. (Claim dated Feb. 14, 1927.)

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Gallagher—3.

Appropriation, $2,379.10, Payment to William F. Humphrey, Attorney Representing Judgment Creditors, Refund of Tax Judgment.

Resolution No. 26823 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $2,379.10 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of "Tax Judgments", Appropriation 59, and authorized in payment to William F. Humphrey, attorney for and in behalf of judgment creditors, representing one-tenth of judgment plus interest, as per schedule attached to claim, and as approved by the City Attorney. (Claim dated Feb. 3, 1927.)

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Gallagher—3.

Transfer of Funds—Credits of Board of Public Works.

Resolution No. 26824 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside and appropriated out of General Fund, 1926-1927, to the credit of Department of Public Works, budget items as follows, to-wit:

Sewage Pumping Stations.

Item No. 609—Power, $50.

Item No. 610—Materials and supplies, $750.

Miscellaneous.

Item No. 612—Maintenance and repairs to equipment, $2,000.

Item No. 613—Materials and supplies, $500.

Bureau of Accounts.

Item No. 417—Experienced clerks (additional employments), $3,900.

Item No. 423—Stenographer (additional employment for six months), $990.

Bureau of Building Repair.

Item No. 474—Transportation, autos, $380.

Item No. 476—Materials and supplies, $4,150.

Item No. 482—Engine-room supplies, $350.

Bureau of Building Inspection.

Item No. 509—Building inspector (additional employment), $2,550.

Street Cleaning Department.

Item No. 587—Chauffeur (two additional for six months), $2,100.

Bureau of Sewer Repair.

Item No. 599—Bricklayer (additional employment, six months), $1,650.

Item No. 600—Hod carrier (additional employment, six months), $1,350.

Item No. 80 (Board of Supervisors) — Three double teams, 300 days, at $11.50 per day, $10,350.

Total, $31,070.

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy. Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Gallagher—3.

Appropriation, $10,000, City's Contribution to Construction of "Victory Highway."

Resolution No. 26825 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of ten thousand dollars ($10,000) be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of County Road Fund, and authorized in payment to Thos. F. Boyle, Auditor, trustee; being San Francisco's portion of contribution to the construction of the "Victory Highway," pursuant to the provisions of Section 13, Chapter 2, Article II of the Charter. (Claim dated Feb. 7. 1927.)

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Gallagher—3.

Appropriations, Payment to Fred G. H. Spreen and Others for Land Required for School Purposes.

Resolution No. 26826 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside and appropriated out of School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923, and authorized in payment to the hereinafter named persons for properties required for school purposes, to-wit:

(1) To Fred G. H. Spreen, for lands commencing at point on westerly line of Twenty-third avenue, distant thereon 100 feet southerly from the southerly line of Quintara street; thence southerly on the westerly line of Twenty-third avenue 50 feet; thence westerly 120 feet; thence northerly 50 feet; thence easterly 120 feet; per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 26593 (New Series), $1,800.

(2) To Emma Gilli, for land and improvements commencing at point on the easterly line of Otsego avenue, distant thereon 30 feet southerly from the southerly line of Oneida avenue; thence southerly on the easterly line of Otsego avenue 25 feet; thence easterly 80 feet; thence northerly 25 feet; thence westerly 80 feet; as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. —— (New Series), $3 000.

(3) To Mrs. Leseberg (formerly Lottie Conradt), for land and improvements commencing at point on the westerly line of Thirty-first avenue, distant thereon 59 feet southerly from the southerly line of Anza street, running thence southerly along said westerly line of Thirty-first avenue 50 feet; thence westerly 156 feet; thence northwesterly 50 feet, more or less; thence easterly 165½ feet; as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 26771 (New Series), $4,545.38.

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Gallagher—3.

Appropriations, Payment to John Joseph Matthews and Others for Properties Required for Bernal Cut.

Resolution No. 26827 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized in payment out of "Bernal Cut Rights of Way," Budget Item No. 77, Fiscal Year 1925-1926, to the hereinafter named persons; being payments for properties required for the opening of Bernal Cut, to-wit:

(1) To John Joseph Matthews, for Lot 15 in Block 6689, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco; as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 26755 (New Series), $1,680.

(2) To Fred Isaacson and Otto Nylund, for lands beginning at a point on the southeasterly line of Arlington street, distant thereon 113 feet southwesterly from the southwesterly line of Roanoke street; thence southwesterly along said southwesterly line 75 feet; thence at right angles southeasterly 100 feet, more or less; of irregular dimensions, and as per acceptance by Resolution No. 26756 (New Series), $800

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Gallagher—3.

Appropriations, Payment to J. Willis Turner and Others for Properties Required for Boulevard Purposes.

Resolution No. 26828 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized in payment out of County Road Fund to the hereinafter named persons; being payments for properties required for boulevard purposes, to-wit:

(1) To J. Willis Turner for land beginning at a point on the northwesterly line of Wheat street, dis-

tant thereon 125 feet southwesterly from the southwesterly line of Paul street; thence southwesterly along said northwesterly line 50 feet; thence at right angles northwesterly 100 feet, of irregular dimensions; as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 26758 (New Series), required for Bay Shore boulevard, $1,500.

(2) To O. H. Ferguson, for land beginning at a point on the southwesterly line of Onondaga avenue, distant thereon 402.70 feet northwesterly from the northwesterly line of Mission street; of irregular dimsnsions, and being portions of Lots 6, 7 and 8 in Block 12, West End Map No. 1; as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 26757 (New Series), required for opening of Alemany boulevard, $4,000.

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden. Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Gallagher—3.

Appropriation, $6,000, Credit to San Francisco Hospital for Employment of Additional Nurses, Etc.

Resolution No. 26829 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $6,000 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of Urgent Necessity, Budget Item No. 25, Fiscal Year 1926-1927, to the credit of San Francisco Hospital, Appropriation 46 A, for the employment of additional nurses, orderlies, porters and pantry maid, room rent, etc., necessary on account of the increased number of patients being cared for.

(Request of Department of Public Health, dated Feb. 4, 1927.)

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks. McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Gallagher—2.

Appropriations, Plumbing, Sherman School; Architectural Fees, Twenty-fourth Avenue Police Station; Restoration of Fire House No. 23; Sewing Machines, Etc., Relief Home.

Resolution No. 26830 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned funds for the following purposes, to-wit:

School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923.

(1) For the cost of furnishing and installing plumbing work in the Sherman School, by the Bureau of Repair, Department of Public Works, $14,775.

Police Building—Budget Item No. 50.

(2) For cost of architectural fees for the preparation of plans and specifications for the building of a police station on the east side of Twenty-fourth avenue, north of Taraval street, $4,500.

Repairs to Public Buildings—Budget Item No. 68.

(3) For cost of restoring building which houses Fire Dept. Engine Company No. 23, recently damaged by fire, $2,200.

Relief Home Equipment—Budget Item No. 64.

(4) For the purchase of sewing machines, wash trays, etc., as requested by Department of Public Health, for equipment for the new Relief Home, $3,690.84.

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Gallagher—2.

Oil and Boiler Permits.

Resolution No. 26831 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits be and the same are hereby granted:

Oil Tanks.

Atlas Heating and Ventilating Co., south side of Vallejo street, 119 feet east of Brederick street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Geo. Dolan, 5550 California street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Henry Ernst & Sons, northwest corner of Birch and Laguna streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

A. C. Hamerton, south side of Fell street, 136 feet west of Scott street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Edward Helmke, 170 Parnassus avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

J. C. Kirby, south side of Oak street, 81 feet east of Cole street, 1500 gallons capacity.

E. V. Lacey, south side of O'Farrell street, 125 feet east of Leavenworth street, 1500 gallons capacity.

M. McDonough, northwest corner of Forty-second avenue and Fulton street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Henry Mottet, southwest corner of Harrison and Merlin streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

R. J. Stempel, west side of Pierce street, 200 feet south of Capra way, 1500 gallons capacity.

Wm. Van Herrick, west side of Grove street, 200 feet west of Franklin street, 1500 gallons capacity.

P. W. Wood, Inc., northeast corner of Twenty-second avenue and Fulton street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Boiler.

Henry Mottet, southwest corner of Harrison and Merlin streets, 35-horsepower.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits shall become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Gallagher—2.

Resolution No. 26832 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits be and the same are hereby granted:

Oil Tanks.

Lloyd Ackerman, west side of Lyon street, 100 feet south of Broadway, 1500 gallons capacity.

Acme Building Co., south side of Washington street, 50 feet east of Mason street, 1500 gallons capacity.

G. Connelly, west side of Retiro way, 150 feet south of Marina boulevard, 1500 gallons capacity.

Walter Hansen, west side of San Felipe way between Monterey boulevard and San Jacinto way, 600 gallons capacity.

P. Harris, south side of Pine street, 20 feet west of Broderick street, 1500 gallons capacity.

J. Harold Johnson, northwest corner of Beach street and Cervantes boulevard, 1500 gallons capacity.

H. Kalisky, 2864 Jackson street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Mangels Bros., 35 Santa Clara avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

Marian Realty Co., southeast corner of Jackson and Gough streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

J. E. O'Brien, southwest corner of Twenty-fifth avenue and Cabrillo street, 1500 gallons capacity.

W. S. Ray Mfg. Co., 2614 Gough street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Roman Catholic Archbishop of San Francisco, southeast corner of Ashton and De Montford avenues, 1500 gallons capacity.

W. U. Whirter, west side of Noe street, 250 feet south of Market street, 1500 gallons capacity.

D. W. Williams, southeast corner of Upland and Manor drive, 1500 gallons capacity

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits shall become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Gallagher—2.

Transfer of Automobile Supply Station Permit.

Resolution No. 26833 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That O. F. Werner be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred automobile supply station permit heretofore granted W. H. Hoffman by Resolution No. 25893 (New Series) for premises on the southwest corner of Eddy and Webster streets.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Gallagher—2.

Cleaning and Dyeing Works Permit.

Resolution No. 26834 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Henry Mottet be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to operate and maintain a cleaning and dyeing works on the southwest corner of Harrison and Merlin streets.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Gallagher—2.

Automobile Parking Station Permit.

Resolution No. 26835 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That E. P. Marcher be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to operate and maintain an automobile parking station

on the south side of Stevenson street, 412 feet 6 inches east of Sixth street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

A y e s — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, K e n t, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Gallagher—2.

Transfer of Garage Permit.

Resolution No. 26836 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Chas. Obenhuber be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred public garage permit heretofore granted J. V. Kays by Resolution No. 18414 (New Series) for premises at 1335 Larkin street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

A y e s — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, K e n t, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Gallagher—2.

Automobile Supply Station Permit.

Resolution No. 26837 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Sam Ganci be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to operate and maintain an automobile supply station on the east side of Diamond street, 50 feet north of Bosworth street, with entrance on Bosworth street, 25 feet east of Diamond street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

A y e s — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, K e n t, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Gallagher—2.

Transfer of Garage Permit.

Resolution No. 26838 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Edw. Beronio be and is hereby granted permission to have transferred public garage permit heretofore granted A. V. Beronio and Jos. Wyss by Resolution No. 18340 (New Series) for premises at 630 Franklin street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

A y e s — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, K e n t, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Gallagher—2.

Ocean Palace Amusement Permit.

Resolution No. 26839 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Ocean Palace Amusement Company is hereby granted permission to construct, maintain and operate an amusement park as defined in Ordinance No. 5240 (New Series), in and upon so much of the block bounded by Wawona street, Forty-sixth and Forty-seventh avenues and Sloat boulevard as is now permitted or hereafter may be permitted by Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), known as the Zoning Ordinance.

A y e s — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, K e n t, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Gallagher—2.

(Mrs. S. H. Reader, Mrs. Thos. R. Best and Mrs. Hart were heard in opposition to the foregoing.)

Authorizing Plans, Etc., for Construction of South Side High School.

Bill No. 7910, Ordinance No. 7423 (New Series), as follows:

Authorizing the preparation of plans and specifications for the construction of the South Side High School, ordering the construction of the South Side High School, to be erected on City property on Onondaga avenue between Otsego and Cayuga streets, in accordance with the plans and specifications prepared therefor and approved by the Board of Education; authorizing and directing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for said construction, and permitting progressive payments to me made during the course of construction.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized, instructed and empowered to prepare plans and specifications for the construction of the South Side High School, and to enter into contract

for the construction of the South Side High School, to be erected on City property on Onondaga avenue between Otsego and Cayuga streets, in accordance with the plans and specifications prepared therefor and approved by the Board of Education.

Section 2. The said Board of Public Works is hereby authorized and permitted to incorporate in the contract for the construction of the said South Side High School conditions that progressive payments shall be made in the manner set forth in said specifications and as provided by Section 21, Chapter I, Article VI of the Charter.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Gallagher—2.

Ordering Roof for Sun Porch, Ward 20, Tuberculosis Department, San Francisco Hospital.

Bill No. 7911, Ordinance No. 7424 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the construction of a roof over the sun porch on Ward 20 in the Tuberculosis Department of the San Francisco Hospital, authorizing the preparation of plans and specifications for said construction, and authorizing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for said construction in accordance with plans and specifications prepared therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. That Board of Public Works is hereby authorized, instructed and empowered to enter into contract for the construction of a roof over the sun porch on Ward 20 in the Tuberculosis Department of the San Francisco Hospital in accordance with plans and specifications prepared therefor, which plans and specifications are hereby authorized to be prepared.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Gallagher—2.

Spur Track Permit, United States Government, Fort Mason.

Bill No. 7912, Ordinance No. 7425 (New Series), as follows:

Ordinance granting to the United States the right to construct, maintain and operate in perpetuity a spur track railroad, extending from Fort Mason Military Reservation to the Presidio Reservation in the City and County of San Francisco, over and along certain public streets in said City and County.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Pursuant to the provisions of Section 8 of Article I of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, added to said Charter as an amendment, duly ratified and adopted at the general election held on the 2d day of November, 1926, and approved by the Legislature of the State of California on the 10th day of January, 1927, the City and County of San Francisco does hereby grant to the United States the right to construct, maintain and operate in perpetuity a spur track railroad, extending from Fort Mason Military Reservation to the Presidio Reservation, in said City and County, over and along the following streets and property in said City and County of San Francisco, to-wit:

Along Beach street from the east line of Laguna street to the west line of Webster street; along Webster street from the south line of Beach street to the north line of Tonquin street; along Tonquin street from the east line of Webster street to the west line of Lyon street.

Section 2. The right hereby granted shall include the right to construct, maintain and operate a switch into the United States Army Supply Depot at Fort Mason from a point on Beach street, approximately seventy (70) feet west of the west line of Leguna street, as shown on blueprint filed December 2, 1915, in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 3. This ordinance shall become effective upon the conveyance by the Secretary of War of the United States to the City and County of San Francisco of the hereinafter described real property, in accordance with the provisions of an act of Congress entitled: "An act authorizing the Secretary of War to convey certain portions of the Military Reservation of the Presidio of San Francisco to the City

and County of San Francisco for educational, art, exposition and park purposes," approved March 3, 1925. The real property to be conveyed by said Secretary of War to the City and County of San Francisco is that portion of the Presidio Reservation in said City and County, described as follows, to-wit:

Commencing at a point on the westerly line of Lyon street, distant thereon 5.17 feet southerly from the northerly line of Bay street if extended and produced westerly, and running thence northerly along the westerly line of Lyon street 1196.80 feet; thence southwesterly on a curve to the left of 612-foot radius, central angle 155 deg. 47 min. 50 sec., tangent to a line deflected 102 deg. 6 min. 5 sec. to the left from the preceding course a distance of 1664.13 feet to the westerly line of Lyon street and the point of commencement; containing 9.93 acres, more or less.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Gallagher—2.

Establishing Grades.

Bill No. 7913, Ordinance No. 7426 (New Series), as follows:

Establishing grades on Boylston and Bowdoin streets between Hale and Gaven streets, on Sweeny street between the easterly line of Boylston street and Bowdoin street, and on Gaven street between Boylston and Bowdoin streets.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The grades on Boylston and Bowdoin streets between Hale and Gaven streets, on Sweeny street between the easterly line of Boylston street and Bowdoin street, and on Gaven street between Boylston and Bowdoin streets, are hereby established at points hereinafter named and at heights above city base as hereinafter stated, in accordance with recommendation of the Board of Public Works filed February 2, 1927.

Bowdoin Street.

On a line at right angles to the easterly line of, at Hale street northerly line, 120.00 feet. (The same being the recommended grade.)

On a line at right angles to the easterly line of, at Sweeny street southerly line, 90.00 feet.

10 feet northerly from Sweeny street southerly line, at Bowdoin street easterly line, 89.50 feet.

10 feet southerly from the northerly line of, at Bowdoin street easterly line, 87.50 feet.

On a line at right angles to the easterly line of, at Sweeny street northerly line, 87.00 feet.

On a line at right angles to the easterly line of, at Gaven street southerly line, 50.00 feet.

10 feet northerly from Gaven street southerly line, at Bowdoin street easterly line, 49.33 feet.

10 feet southerly from Gaven street northerly line, at Bowdoin street easterly line, 48.67 feet.

On a line at right angles to the easterly line of, at Gaven street northerly line, 48.00 feet.

Boylston Steet.

10 feet westerly from the easterly line of, at Hale street northerly line, 84.50 feet. (The same being the recommended grade.)

10 feet easterly from the westerly line of, at Hale street northerly line, 85.50 feet. (The same being the recommended grade.)

Easterly line of, at Sweeny street southerly line, 72.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

10 feet westerly from the easterly line of, at Sweeny street southerly line, 72.50 feet.

10 feet easterly from the westerly line of, at Sweeny street southerly line, 73.50 feet.

Westerly line of, at Sweeny street southerly line, 74.00 feet.

Westerly line of, at Sweeny street northerly line, 74.00 feet.

10 feet easterly from the westerly line of, at Sweeny street northerly line, 73.50 feet.

10 feet westerly from the easterly line of, at Sweeny street northerly line, 72.50 feet.

Easterly line of, at Sweeny street northerly line, 72.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

On a line at right angles to the westerly line of, at Gaven street southerly line, 40.50 feet.

10 feet northerly from Gaven street southerly line, at Boylston street easterly line, 40.00 feet.

On a line at right angles to Gaven street southerly line, at Boylston street westerly line, 40.50 feet.

Sweeny Street.

On a line at right angles to the northerly line of, at Boylston street easterly line, 72.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Southerly line of, at Boylston street easterly line, 72.00 feet. (The ame being the present official grade.)

10 feet westerly from Boylston

street easterly line, at Sweeny street northerly line, 72.50 feet.

10 feet easterly from Boylston street westerly line, at Sweeny street northerly line, 73.50 feet.

Northerly line of, at Boylston street westerly line, 74.00 feet.

10 feet westerly from Boylston street easterly line, at Sweeny street southerly line, 72.50 feet.

10 feet easterly from Boylston street westerly line, at Sweeny street southerly line, 73.50 feet.

On a line at right angles to the southerly line of, at Boylston street westerly line, 74.00 feet.

On a line at right angles to the northerly line of, 100 feet easterly from Bowdoin street, 86.00 feet.

10 feet southerly from the northerly line of, at Bowdoin street easterly line, 87.50 feet.

10 feet northerly from the southerly line of, at Bowdoin street easterly line, 89.50 feet.

Gaven Street.

10 feet northerly from the southerly line of, at Boylston street easterly line, 40.00 feet.

On a line at right angles to the southerly line of, at Boylston street westerly line, 40.50 feet.

On a line at right angles to the northerly line of, 100 feet easterly from Bowdoin street, 47.60 feet.

10 feet southerly from the northerly line of, at Bowdoin street easterly line, 48.67 feet.

10 feet notherly from the southerly line of, at Bowdoin street easterly line, 49.33 feet.

On Boylston and Bowdoin streets between Hale and Gaven streets, on Sweeny street between the easterly line of Boylston street and Bowdoin street and on Gaven street between Boylston and Bowdoin streets be established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Gallagher—2.

PRESENTATION OF BILLS AND ACCOUNTS.

Your Finance Committee, having examined miscellaneous demands not required by law to be passed to print, and amounting to $71,502.33, recommends same be allowed and ordered paid.

Urgent Necessity.

Edna Nelson et al., compensation insurance, $83.32.

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Gallagher—3.

NEW BUSINESS.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Authorizations.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

Park Fund.

(1) Architects and Engineers Associated, professional services for construction of basketball pavilion, Kezar Stadium (claim dated Feb. 18, 1927), $2,773.92.

(2) J. A. Bryant, tenth payment, construction of basketball pavilion, Kezar Stadium (claim dated Feb. 18, 1927), $28,700.

(3) Berringer & Russell, alfalfa, barley, etc., for parks (claim dated Feb. 18, 1927), $810.87.

Duplicate Tax Fund.

(4) J. M. McGee, refund of duplicate payment of taxes, 1925-1926 (claim dated Feb. 10, 1927), $662.25.

Hetch Hetchy Operative Revenue Fund.

(5) John J. Dailey, legal services for February, 1927, in valuation of San Francisco electric properties (claim dated Feb. 15, 1927), $850.

(6) N. Randall Ellis, engineering services, February, 1927, in valuation of San Francisco electric properties (claim dated Feb. 15, 1927), $750.

Hetch Hetchy Power Operative Fund.

(7) Hetch Hetchy Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1925, for materials, supplies and equipment furnished and services rendered Hetch Hetchy Power Operative Fund, July 1 to December 31, 1926 (claim dated Feb. 14, 1927), $32,970.27.

(8) United States, Department of the Interior, national park service, annual payment for Hetch Hetchy right of way through Yosemite National Park, as per act of Congress approved December 19, 1913

(claim dated Feb. 14, 1927), $15,000.

Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1910.

(9) Department of Public Health, for care of Hetch Hetchy patients in San Francisco Hospital during months of July, August, September, October and November, 1926 (claim dated Feb. 14, 1927), $774.

Hetch Hetchy Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1925.

(10) Hercules Powder Co., explosives for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Feb. 11, 1927), $5,300.

(11) Ingersoll-Rand Co. of California, machinery parts, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Feb. 11, 1927), $1,843.67.

(12) Beronio Lumber Co., lumber for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Feb. 14, 1927), $726.38.

(13) Joshua Hendy Iron Works, hoist with motor for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Feb. 14, 1927), $2,365.

(14) Ingersoll-Rand Co. of California, machinery parts for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Feb. 15, 1927), $5,782.40.

(15) Ingersoll-Rand Co. of California, machinery parts for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Feb. 15, 1927), $539.25.

(16) J. H. McCallum, lumber for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Feb. 14, 1927), $680.34.

(17) J. F. Mitchell Machine & Gear Works, machine parts for Hetch Hetchy consrtuction (claim dated Feb. 14, 1927), $816.60.

(18) Myers-Whaley Co., conveyor chain, etc., for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Feb. 14, 1927), $703.92.

(19) Pacific States Electric Co., electric supplies and equipment for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Feb. 14, 1927), $541.03.

(20) Panama Lamp & Commercial Co., electric lamps for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Feb. 14, 1927), $1,134.

(21) Standard Oil Co., gasoline, oils, etc., Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Feb. 14, 1927), $586.90.

(22) Standard Oil Co., gasoline, oils, etc. (claim dated Feb. 14, 1927), $623.84.

Playground Fund.

(23) Klawans & McMullin, basketballs for playgrounds (claim dated Feb. 16, 1927), $1,280.

(24) Guerin Bros., grading work at Ocean View Playground (claim dated Feb. 16, 1927), $828.39.

Auditorium Fund.

(25) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., gas and electricity furnished Auditorium (claim dated Feb. 15, 1927), $781.22.

Sunset Tunnel Assessment Fund.

(26) Leonard F. Youdall and R. G. Clifford, extra work, construction of tunnel under Buena Vista Park (Sunset Tunnel) (claim dated Feb. 15, 1927), $5,934.85.

Special School Tax.

(27) J. H. McCallum, lumber for Schools (claim dated Feb. 10, 1927), $539.54.

School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1918.

(28) E. P. Finigan, gymnasium equipment for High School of Commerce (claim dated Feb. 15, 1927), $705.60.

(29) C. F. Webber & Co., Inc., gymnasium equipment for High School of Commerce (claim dated Feb. 15, 1927), $600.

School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923.

(30) O. Monson, final payment, general construction of West Portal School (claim dated Feb. 16, 1927), $41,027.50.

Municipal Railway Fund.

(31) Westinghouse Electric and Mfg. Co., electric motor parts for Municipal Railways (claim dated Feb. 9, 1927), $912.58.

(32) Westinghouse Traction Brake Co., first payment for air brake equipment, Municipal Railways (claim dated Feb. 10, 1927), $6,896.64.

(33) San Francisco City Employees' Retirement System, for pensions, etc., for Municipal Railway employees (claim dated Feb. 14, 1927), $7,164.57.

(34) Crown Oil Co., gasoline, Municipal Railways (claim dated Feb. 15, 1927), $1,419.55.

(35) Market Street Railway Co., January reimbursement (claim dated Feb. 15, 1927), $1,592.84.

(36) Market Street Railway Co., January electric power (claim dated Feb. 15, 1927), $3,274.52.

(37) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., electrical power (claim dated Feb. 15, 1927), $37,807.91.

General Fund. 1926-1927.

(38) California Meat Co., meats for Relief Home (claim dated Feb. 17, 1927), $1,192.17.

(39) California Petroleum Corporation, fuel oil for Relief Home (claim dated Feb. 17, 1927), $2,210.64.

(40) Del Monte Meat Co., meats for Relief Home (claim dated Feb. 17, 1927), $3,447.45.

(41) San Francisco Dairy Co., milk for Relief Home (claim dated Feb. 17, 1927), $2,013.76.

(42) Sperry Flour Co., flour, etc., for Relief Home (claim dated Feb. 17, 1927), $703.61.

(43) Louis Straus, clothing for Relief Home (claim dated Feb. 17, 1927), $810.

(44) Spring Valley Water Co., water for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Feb. 17, 1927), $1,374.72.

(45) Spring Valley Water Co., water for Relief Home (claim dated Feb. 17, 1927), $777.04.

(46) H. F. Dugan, drugs for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Feb. 17, 1927), $813.95.

(47) L. Lagomarsino Co., vegetables for S. F. Hospital (claim dated Feb. 17, 1927), $548.56.

(48) Langendorf Baking Co., bread for S. F. Hospital (claim dated Feb. 17, 1927), $1,180.41.

(49) Del Monte Meat Co., meats for S. F. Hospital (claim dated Feb. 17, 1927), $1,277.67.

(50) J. T. Freitas Co., eggs for S. F. Hospital (claim dated Feb. 17, 1927), $1,802.88.

(51) Morris & Co., butter for S. F. Hospital (claim dated Feb. 17, 1927), $1,989.07.

(52) Pacific Gas & Electric Co., January street lighting (claim dated Feb. 21, 1927), $53,378.14.

(53) Crown Oil Co., gasoline for Police Department (claim dated Feb. 14, 1927), $1,060.52.

(54) Frank T. Sheehy, lamps, etc., furnished Department of Elections (claim dated Feb. 17, 1927), $1,284.87.

(55) Argonaut Steamship Lines, freight on voting machines (claim dated Feb. 17, 1927), $723.64.

(56) Dollar Steamship Co., freight on voting machines (claim dated Feb 17, 1927), $1,231.46.

(57) California Printing Co., printing for departments (claim dated Feb. 21, 1927), $712.

(58) Pacific Gas & Electric Co., gas and electricity for Fire Department (claim dated Jan. 31, 1927), $2,319.12.

(59) City Coal Co., fuel for Fire Department (claim dated Jan. 31, 1927), $511.25.

(60) Crown Oil Co., gasoline, Fire Department (claim dated Jan. 31, 1927), $689.15.

(60a) Pioneer Rubber Mills, hose for Fire Department (claim dated Jan. 31, 1927), $7,400.

(61) Shell Company, fuel oil, etc., for Fire Department (claim dated Jan. 31, 1927), $790.

(62) Spring Valley Water Co., water furnished and hydrants installed. Fire Department (claim dated Jan. 31, 1927), $1,503.42.

(63) California Petroleum Corporation, fuel oil for street repair (claim dated Feb. 15, 1927), $1,277.96.

(64) California Petroleum Corporation, fuel oil, Civic Center Power House (claim dated Feb. 15, 1927), $1,623.08.

(65) Owen L. Gibson, refund of penalties paid for account of first installment of taxes for the fiscal year 1926-1927; check for payment of same in due time having miscarried (claim dated Jan. 20, 1927), $904.34.

California Palace Legion of Honor (Appropriation 61).

(66) American Railway Express Co., expressage on exhibits (claim dated Feb. 18, 1927), $1,182.98.

Water Works Fund.

(67) The Spring Valler Water Co., for laying of water main in Rutland avenue for account of Municipal Water Works (claim dated Feb. 16, 1927), $3,122.36.

Publicity and Advertising (Appropriation 55).

(68) San Francisco Convention and Tourist League, printing, advertising, transportation, etc., for the publicity and advertising of San Francisco (claim dated Feb. 21, 1927), $4,244.93.

General Fund, 1926-1927.

(69) California Pacific Title Co., for account of title reports on 491 parcels of property required for the John McLeran Park (claim dated Feb. 21, 1927), $3,682.50.

(70) Title Insurance and Guaranty Co., for account of title reports on 583 parcels of property required for the John McLeran Park (claim dated Feb. 21, 1927), $2,877.50.

(71) City Title Insurance Co., for account of title reports on 494 parcels of property required for the John McLaren Park (claim dated Feb. 21, 1927), $3,705.

Appropriations, Payment to Oscar Samuels et al., Refund of Tax Judgment.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside and appropriated out of "Tax Judgments," Appropriation 59, Fiscal Year 1926-1927, and authorized in payment to the hereinafter named persons; being one-tenth of amount of judgments, including interest, and as approved by the City Attorney, to-wit:

(1) To Oscar Samuels and J. Samuels, as attorneys for judgment creditors, as per schedule attached to claim (claim dated Feb. 14, 1927), $1,010.34.

(2) To Goldman, Nye & Spicer, as attorneys for judgment creditors, as per schedule attached to claim (claim dated Feb. 15, 1927), $1,176.29.

Appropriations, Payments to John Mahoney, John J. McDonnell and Chas. V. Miller for Lands Required for School Purposes.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set asiue and appropriated out of School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923, and authorized in payment to the hereinafter named persons; being payments for lands required for school purposes in the Sunset District, to-wti:

(1) To John Mahoney, for land situate on the easterly line of Twenty-fourth avenue, commencing 300 feet southerly from the southerly line of Rivera street, running thence southerly along the easterly line of Twenty-fourth avenue 50 feet; thence easterly 120 feet; thence northerly 50 feet; thence westerly 120 feet; as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 26812 (New Series), $2,000.

(2) To John J. McDonnell, for land situate on the easterly line of Twenty-fourth avenue, commencing 350 feet southerly from the southerly line of Rivera street, running thence southerly along the easterly line of Twenty-fourth avenue 50 feet; thence easterly 120 feet; thence northerly 50 feet; thence westerly 120 feet; as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 26813 (New Series), $2,000.

(3) To Chas. V. Miller, for land situate on the easterly line of Twenty-fourth avenue, commencing 225 feet northerly from the northerly line of Rivera street, running thence northerly along the easterly line of Twenty-fourth avenue 50 feet; thence easterly 120 feet; thence southerly 50 feet; thence westerly 120 feet; as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 26814 (New Series), $1,900.

Appropriations, Payments to Louis T. Messier et al. for Properties Required for Ocean View Playground Purposes.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized in payment out of Playground Fund to the hereinafter named persons; being payments for properties required for "Ocean View" playground purposes, to-wit:

(1) To Louis T. Messier and Mary Messier, for Lots 44 and 45, Block 7093, as per Assessor's Block Books, together with the improvements thereon (claim dated Feb. 1, 1927), $3,400.

(2) To Rosa Kirin, for Lot 48, Block 7093, as per Assessor's Block Books, together with the improvements thereon (claim dated Feb. 1, 1927), $2,600.

Appropriation, $41,300, Investment of Robinson Bequest Fund in Bonds of Fourth and Market Realty Company.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $41,300 be and the same is hereby set aside and authorized in payment out of the Robinson Bequest Fund to John H. Thieler, Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco, for the purchase by him of forty-one $1,000 bonds of the Fourth and Market Realty Company, bearing interest at six per centum per annum, including accrued interest on said forty-one bonds; as authorized by Resolution No. 26754 (New Series). (Claim dated Feb. 17, 1927.)

Appropriation, $1,600 for Dental Unit and Employment of Dentist, Bay View School.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $1,600 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of "Urgent Necessity," Budget Item No. 25, for the purchase of a dental unit to be installed in the Bay View School, and for the employment of a dentist at $100 per month for the months of March, April, May and June, 1927. For which purpose the sum of $1,200 is placed to the credit of Appropriation 43-D, and the sum of $400 to the credit of Appropriation 43-A.

Authorizing Contract With Rudolph Theurkauf and William A. Theurkauf for Construction and Installation of Exhibit at Transcontinental Highway Exposition.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 26840 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, enter into a contract with Rudolph Theurkauf and William A. Theurkauf wherein it shall be agreed that said Rudolph Theurkauf and William A. Theurkauf shall design, construct and install a portable structure to be used as an exhibit for and on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco at the Transcontinental Highway Exposition to be held at the City of Reno, State of Nevada, from June 28, 1927, to July 31, 1927, or approximately similar dates, the said Rudolph Theurkauf and William A. Theurkauf to furnish and supply at their own cost and ex

pense all materials and labor for the construction of said exhibit; construct the same complete in San Francisco and properly crate and ship the same to Reno, State of Nevada, and to thereupon install the same in said exposition and to take charge, maintain and care for such exhibit at all times while said exposition is open and to furnish two attendants at said exposition during all of said times with instructions for the performance of said work by said Rudolph Theurkauf and William A. Theurkauf; that the said City and County of San Francisco pay to Rudolph Theurkauf and William A Theurkauf the sum of $15,460 20, payable as follows, to-wit: $1,000 on the execution of said agreement; $2,000 thirty days after work is commenced on said exhibit; $1,000 sixty days after work is commenced on said exhibit; $1,000 ninety days after work is commenced on said exhibit; $1,000 when said exhibit is installed in the exposition building at Reno, Nevada; the sum of $4,000 on July 11, 1927, and the balance, to-wit, the sum of $5,460.20 at the close of said exposition and when said exhibit is recrated and ready for reshipment to San Francisco. Be it

Further Resolved, That the Mayor of the City and County of San Francisco be and he is hereby authorized, empowered and directed to enter into and to execute said contract for and on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Gallagher—2.

Accepting Offer of Alice R. Tice to Sell for $1,150 Certain Property Required for the Widening of Garfield Street.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 26841 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the owner of the following described land sought to be acquired by the City and County of San Francisco for the widening of Garfield street from Orizaba avenue to Junipero Serra boulevard has offered to convey the property desired by the City and County of San Francisco for the sum set forth opposite her name, viz.:

Alice R. Tice, $1,150—All of Lot 20 in Block 6990 as per the Assessor's Map of the City and County of San Francisco.

Whereas, the City Attorney has recommended the acceptance of the said offer and the acquisition of the property owned by said person and offered to the City and County of San Francisco for the price set forth, which said price is in accordance with the City's appraisement of the property; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the said offer of sale be accepted, and the City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to examine the title of said property, and if the same is found in satisfactory condition to accept in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco a deed conveying title thereto, and file the same for record with a copy of this resolution attached thereto as evidence of acceptance by the City and County of San Francisco, upon payment of the agreed purchase price.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Gallagher—2.

Accepting Offer of Albert Tassi to Sell Certain Property Required for the Widening of San Jose Avenue.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 26842 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the owner of the following described land situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and sought to be acquired by the City and County of San Francisco for the widening of San Jose avenue from Circular avenue to Capistrano avenue has offered to convey the property desired by said City and County for the sum set forth opposite his name, viz.:

Albert Tassi, $20—Beginning at a point on the northwesterly line of San Jose avenue (said line being the southeasterly boundary line of the San Miguel Rancho), distant thereon 73.90 feet northeasterly from the first angle point northeasterly from the northeasterly line of Tingley street produced northwesterly (said angle point being the southwesterly terminus of Course 12 of the San Miguel Ranch Survey and B. P. 12 of the Belle Roche City Survey, recorded on pages 107 and 108 of Map Book 1, Records of City and County of San Francisco), and running thence northeasterly along the northwesterly line of San Jose avenue 7.618 feet; thence deflecting 83 deg. 27 min. to the left and running north-

westerly 1.549 feet; thence deflecting 107 deg. 43 min. to the left and running southwesterly 7.945 feet to the point of beginning. Being portion of Lot 1 of Belle Roche City Block 1.

Whereas, the City Attorney has recommended the acceptance of the said offer and the acquisition of the property owned by said person and offered to the City and County of San Francisco for the price set forth, which said price is in accordance with the City's appraisement of the property; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the said offer of sale be accepted, and the City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to examine the title of said property, and if the same is found in satisfactory condition to accept in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco a deed conveying title thereto and file the same for record with a copy of this resolution attached thereto as evidence of acceptance by the City and County of San Francisco, upon payment of the agreed purchase price.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Gallagher—2.

Accepting Offer of Anglo-American Land Company to Sell Certain Property Required for the Extension of Nineteenth Street.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 26843 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the owner of the following described land situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and sought to be acquired by the City and County of San Francisco for the extension of Nineteenth street, has offered to convey the property desired by said City and County of San Francisco for the sum set forth opposite its name, viz.:

Anglo-American Land Company, $10—Beginning at the point of intersection of the southerly line of Nineteenth street with the westerly boundary line of Clover Heights; thence along said southerly line of Nineteenth street extended in a westerly direction 87.313 feet; thence deflecting 88 deg. 07 min. 44 sec. to the right and running in a northerly direction 50.027 feet; thence deflecting 91 deg. 52 min. 16 sec. to the right and running in an easterly direction 88.946 feet to the westerly boundary line of Clover Heights; thence at right angles southerly along said westerly boundary of Clover Heights 50 feet to the point of beginning.

Whereas, the City Attorney has recommended the acceptance of the said offer and the acquisition of the property owned by said company and offered to the City and County of San Francisco for the sum set forth, which said price is in accordance with the City's appraisement of the property; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the said offer of sale be accepted, and the City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to examine the title of said property, and, if the same is found in satisfactory condition, to accept, in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, a deed conveying title thereto, and file the same for record with a copy of this resolution attached thereto as evidence of acceptance by the City and County of San Francisco, upon payment of the agreed purchase price.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Gallagher—2.

Accepting Offer of The Lurie Company to Sell for $1,733 Certain Property Required for the Widening of Alemany Boulevard.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 26844 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the owner of the following described land situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and sought to be acquired by the City and County of San Francisco for the widening of Alemany boulevard, has offered to convey the property desired by said City and County of San Francisco for the sum set forth opposite its name, viz.:

The Lurie Company, $1,733—Beginning at a point on the center line of Ralston street produced southerly, distant thereon 662 feet southerly from the southerly line of Stanley street as shown in map entitled "Alemany Tract," recorded in Map Book "K", pages 77 and 78, records of the City and County of San Francisco; thence northerly along said center line produced 28.989 feet to the southeasterly boundary line of the property of the City and County of San Francisco;

thence deflecting to the right 64 deg. 01 min. 22 sec. and running northeasterly along said southeasterly line 540.077 feet; thence continuing northeasterly along said southeasterly line, being a curve to the right, tangent to the preceding course at last described point, radius 1116.3 feet, central angle 1 deg. 57 min. 12 sec., a distance of 38.055 feet to the center line of Arch street produced southerly; thence deflecting to the right 114 deg. 01 min. 26 sec. from a line tangent to said curve at last described point and running southerly along said center line produced 32.923 feet; thence southwesterly along a curve to the left, tangent to a line which deflects to the right 65 deg. 16 min. 09 sec. from the preceding course, said curve having a radius of 1086.28 feet and central angle of 1 deg. 14 min. 47 sec., a distance of 23.628 feet; thence continuing southwesterly along a line tangent to said curve of preceding course at last described point, a distance of 544.690 feet; thence deflecting to the right 25 deg. 58 min. 38 sec. and running westerly 8.994 feet to the center line of Ralston street produced southerly and the point of beginning.

Whereas, the City Attorney has recommended the acceptance of the said offer and the acquisition of the property owned by said company and offered to the City and County of San Francisco for the sum set forth, which said price is in accordance with the City's appraisement of the property; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the said offer of sale be accepted, and the City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to examine the title of said property, and, if the same is found in satisfactory condition, to accept, in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, a deed conveying title thereto, and file the same for record with a copy of this resolution attached thereto as evidence of acceptance by the City and County fo San Francisco, upon payment of the agreed purchase price.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Gallagher—2.

Approval of Budget of Expenditures for Hetch Hetchy Construction of Coast Range Division of Water Supply Aqueduct.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 26845 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, in accordance with the provisions of Ordinance No. 6440 (New Series), prescribing the procedure under which the Board of Public Works may construct public utilities, the Board of Public Works has procured from the City Engineer and filed with the Board of Supervisors an estimate or budget of proposed expenditures which will be necessary for preliminary construction work, shaft construction and commencement of tunnel construction in the Coast Range Division of the Hetch Hetchy Aqueduct, together with a general description and specification of the proposed work, all of which construction will conform with the Hetch Hetchy Aqueduct construction program approved in Resolution No. 24083 (New Series), and will cover the work necessary in the Coast Range Division up to the point where extensive tunneling operations will commence; and

Whereas, the total amount of said budget is the sum of $1,502,554; and

Whereas, it appears to the satisfaction of the Board that said proposed work is in accordance with the plan approved by this Board in Resolution No. 24083 (New Series), and that the proposed expenditures are necessary for the prosecution of said work; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That said budget and description of proposed work submitted by the Board of Public Works are hereby approved, and the additional sum of $1,502,554 is hereby appropriated from the "Hetch Hetchy Water Construction Fund" (Issue of 1925), for the purpose of meeting the expenditures shown by said budget. Be it

Further Resolved, That the Auditor be and he is hereby directed to credit this appropriation with funds available or to become available through the sale of Hetch Hetchy Water Bonds, Issue of 1925, up to the amount of said appropriation before having fully satisfied the requirements of Resolution No. 25173 (New Series) for credits to the appropriation of $6,525,000 therein mentioned.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Ronco-

vieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Gallagher—2.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Mayor to Execute Lease with Mills Estate for Lands Required for Airport Purposes.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy:

Bill No. 7914, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Authorizing and directing the Mayor and Clerk of the Board of Supervisors to execute a lease in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco with the Mills Estate Incorporated for certain lands situated in the County of San Mateo, State of California, required for airport purposes.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Pursuant to Resolution No. 26816 (New Series), the Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors are hereby authorized and directed to enter into the following lease with the Mills Estate Incorporated for land situated in the County of San Mateo, State of California, required for airport purposes; said lease to read as follows:

This lease, made and entered into this ..... day of March, 1927, by and between Mills Estate Incorporated, a corporation duly organized and existing under and by virtue of the laws of the State of California, and hereinafter called the "lessor", and City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, hereinafter called the "lessee".

Witnesseth: That the lessor has lease, demised and let, and does by these presents hereby lease, demise and let unto the lessee all of that certain real property situate in the County of San Mateo, State of California, described as follows, to-wit:

A portion of Sections 34 and 35, Township 3 S, R. 5 W., and Sections 2 and 3, Township 4 S., R. 5 W., M. D. B. & M.

and containing an area of one hundred and fifty (150) acres, more or less, the location and dimensions of said property being more definitely shown on the print hereto attached, marked "Exhibit A", and made a part of this lease.

To have and to hold the same unto the said lessee for the term of three (3) years from the 15th day of March, 1927, at the annual rental of fifteen hundred ($1500) dollars, payable in advance on the 15th day of March of each year during the said term. That the lessee agrees to pay the rental hereinbefore reserved, at the time and in the manner hereinbefore set forth, and to have, hold, use and enjoy said premises upon the terms and conditions herein contained.

1. That the lessee shall have the right to construct and maintain a water pipe line and water tank on the lands belonging to the lessor and adjacent on the west to the premises herein demised, it being the intention of the lessee to connect the said water tank and pipe line with the mains of the Spring Valley Water Company or such other water company as may be most convenient for the said lessee for the purpose of supplying water for all necessary uses upon the land herein demised, and that upon the expiration of the term of this lease, or the sooner termination thereof, the lessee shall have the right to remove said pipe line and water tank from the said lands upon which the same may have been constructed or erected. That said lessor shall have the right, at its own cost, to make connections with said water pipe line to obtain such metered water service therefrom as will not interfere with the use of water required by the lessee.

2. That, subject to the provisions hereinafter contained, the lessee shall have the right to extend this lease for a further period of one (1) year or two (2) years upon the same terms and conditions as are herein contained, provided that the lessee shall give to the lessor notice of its intention to extend said lease at least ninety (90) days prior to the date of the expiration thereof. That said extension may be cancelled by said lessor as to the first or second or first and second years thereof, provided said land is sold by said lessor and written notice thereof is given by the lessor to the lessee to that effect at least nine (9) months prior to the beginning of any extended tenancy year or years according to this lease, as to which said extension is to be cancelled.

3. That the lessee shall have the right and privilege of terminating this lease on the 15th day of March during any year of the term hereof, provided that the lessee gives to the lessor at least ninety (90) days' notice in writing of its intention to terminate the same, and that upon the termination of said lease the

said lessor shall be released from all further obligation to pay rental for said premises.

4. That the said above described premises shall be used by the lessee for and as an airport and as a landing place for airplanes and flying machines of all descriptions, and that the lessee shall have the right to erect on said premises all necessary buildings and equipment for the handling and landing of said airplines, and may in addition thereto, erect and maintatin on said premises suitable buildings for the housing of any and all employees of the lessee in and about the said premises, and may in addition thereto, sub-let a portion of said premies to be used by the sub-lessees for the supplying of any and all articles necessary or proper to be used in and about the said premises, or by the persons frequenting the same, and that the lessee shall withtin ninety (90) days after the date of the expiration or termination of this lease, or any extension thereof, remove said buildings and equipment from said premises.

5. That upon the expiration of the term of this lease, or the sooner termination thereof, the lessee shall remove all foreign substances, such as cinders, gravel, concrete, and building foundations, from and off said flying field, it being agreed that the lessee, in making such removals, shall be permitted to dump the said materials in the old Borrow Pit or sloughs on the property herein demised. And that in addition to the removal of said foreign substances, the lessee shall on the expiration of the term of this lease or on the sooner termination thereof, pay to the lessor the sum of thirty-seven hundred and fifty ($3750) dollars, in lawful money of the United States, which said sum shall be paid to the lessor for the purpose of enabling the lessor to recondition the land herein demised and make it fit for the purposes for which the same is now being used; and on the removal of said foreign substances, and the payment of said sum of thirty-seven hundred and fifty ($3750) dollars, the lessee shall be released from the payment of all further amounts by reason of any damage done to said premises by reason of placing said foreign substances upon said premises.

6. The lessee shall have the privilege of seeding such portion of the above demised premises as it shall deem proper, but the consent of the lessor must be secured for such seeding that may be done by the lessee, in order that no deletrious grasses or weeds shall be grown on said premises.

7. That the lessor shall during the term of this lease, or any extension thereof, maintain and operate the pumping plant now on said premises, and to that end shall at all times have free access to and from said pumping plant, but should the lessee demand additional pumping over and above the pumping which is required by the lessor, the cost of such additional pumping will be borne by the lessee.

8. The lessor shall, during the term of this lease, or any extension thereof, maintain the levees now surrounding the above demised property, in good order and condition, and will not be responsible for any damage that may be sustained by the lessee or by any person occupying the said premises with the consent of the lessee, for the breaking of said levees. That the lessee shall pay to the lessor the reasonable cost of the restoration or repair of said levees because of any damage occasioned thereto by said lessee, its agents, employees or any person or persons using said premises with the permission of said lessee.

9. That the lessee shall have the privilege, should it desire to do so, of constructing and maintaining a roadway over the property of the lessor adjoining the above described premises on the west, which said roadway shall lead from the said demised premises to the steam and electric railways west of said premises, and should the said lessee desire to avail himself of the privilege of building said roadway, the same shall be located as designated by the lessor.

10. That the lessee shall, during the term of this lease, or any extension thereof, have the right to remove material from the portions of the abandoned levees designated by the lessor on the adjoining land of the lessor, for the purpose of filling in and leveling the depressions on the land herein demised.

11. That the lessee shall be permitted to construct and operate suitable flood-gate controls in the drainage canals on said property at places to be agreed upon between the parties hereto for the purpose of keeping the water level down upon said premises.

12. That upon the termination of this lease, the said lessee will yield up and surrender the above described premises unto the lessor, in the manner hereinbefore set forth.

13. That should the lessee make

default in any of the covenants herein contained, or permit any rental to remain due or unpaid for more than thirty (30) days after the same become due and payable according to the terms of this lease, then in that event the lessor may terminate this lease, re-enter the said premises and remove all parties therefrom.

14. That any notices to be given by either of the parties of this lease, one to the other, shall be given in writing, and transmitted by United States mail, said notices to the lessor to be addressed to the said lessor at Mills Building, San Francisco, California, and said notices to the lessee to be addressed to the Mayor of the City and County of San Francisco, City Hall, San Francisco, California.

In witness whereof, the lessor has caused this lease to be executed by its duly authorized officials, and the lessee has caused the same to be executed by its Mayor and by the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors pursuant to a resolution of the said Board of Supervisors, all the day and year first hereinabove written.

MILLS ESTATE INCORPORATED,

By .....,

By .....,

Lessor.

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, a Municipal Corporation,

By .....,

Mayor.

Attest:

.....,

Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,

Lessee.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Appropriation, $2,500, for Plans and Specifications for the Improvement of the Municipal Airport Temporary Site in San Mateo County.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $2,500 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of "Municipal Airport," Budget Item No. 60, for the expense of preparation of plans and specifications in connection with the improvement of the Municipal Airport temporary site in San Mateo county.

Appropriation, $15,000, Credit of Employees' Retirement Fund, Payment of Pensions to Retired Teachers.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $15,000 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of "Urgent Necessity," Budget Item No. 25, to the credit of the San Francisco City Employees' Retirement Fund, for the payment of pensions to retired teachers as provided by Charter Amendment No. 34, adopted November 2, 1926. Said appropriation of $15,000 being for payment of pensions for the months of May and June, 1927.

Approval of Budget, Board of Public Works, in the Sum of $80,000, for Housing Facilities for Employees Connected With the Operation of the Moccasin Power House and Transmission Line.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, in accordance with the provisions of Ordinance No. 6440 (New Series), prescribing the procedure under which the Board of Public Works may construct public utilities, the Board of Public Works has procured from the City Engineer and filed with the Board of Supervisors an estimate or budget of proposed housing facilities for employees connected with the operation of the Moccasin Power House and Transmission Line, together with a general description and specification of the proposed work; and

Whereas, the total amount of said budget is eighty thousand ($80,000) dollars; and

Whereas, it appears to the satisfaction of the Board that the work outlined is necessary in order to properly take care of municipal employees engaged in the operation of the Moccasin Power House and Transmission Line; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the said budget of expenditure submitted by the Board of Public Works is hereby approved, and the sum of eighty thousand dollars ($80,000) is hereby appropriated from the Hetch Hetchy Power Operative Fund for the purpose of making the expenditures shown by said budget.

Appropriation, $4,526, for Constructing Sunnydale Avenue Outlet Sewer.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $4,526 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of "Extension and Reconstruction of Main Sewers," Budget Item No. 39, for the cost of constructing the Sunnydale avenue outlet sewer from Hahn street to Schwerin street.

Appropriations for Work in Front of City Property.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of "Street Work in Front of City Property," Budget Item No. 36, for the cost of street improvements for which the City is liable, to-wit:

(1) Saturn street, Ord street to Lower Terrace (Eaton & Smith), $855.61.

(2) Judah street, Thirty-first to Forty-first avenues (A. E. Hennessy), $1,025.89.

(3) Bay View, Flora to Newhall (Municipal Construction Company), $2,983.78.

(4) Twenty-fifth avenue, Moraga to Santiago streets (C. L. Harney), $2,287.

(5) Russia avenue, Madrid street, etc. (Jas. T. Tobin), $984.64.

(6) Thirtieth street, from Noe street westerly (Eaton & Smith), $1,488.

(7) Forty-third avenue, Santiago to Taraval streets (L. J. Cohn), $1,700.

(8) San Jose avenue, Sickles avenue to County Line (A. E. Hennessy). $1,065.90.

(9) Judson street, Edna to Foerster streets (C. L. Harney), $2,754.93.

Appropriations for Work in Front of City Property.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 26846 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of "Work in Front of City Property," Budget Item No. 36, for the cost of street improvements for which the City is liable, to-wit:

(1) Capitol and Minerva streets (City Construction Company), $23.19.

(2) Twenty-fourth and Twenty-fifth avenues and Lawton street crossings (Harney), $225.

(3) Beach and Larkin streets crossings (Eaton & Smith), $350.

(4) Circular avenue, Stanles to Judson (Eaton & Smith), $207.11.

(5) Brussels street, Burrows to Bacon (J. G. Harney), $225.38.

(6) Belmont and Willard streets (The Fay Improvement Company), $176.45.

(7) Twenty-fifth avenue and Kirkham street (Municipal Construction Company), $150.

(8) Thirtieth avenue and Santiago street (The Fay Improvement Company), $112.50.

(9) Twenty-second street, Wisconsin to Carolina streets (A. E. Hennessy), $51.93.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Gallagher—2.

Passed for Printing.

The following resolution was *passed for printing*:

Appropriations Out of County Road Fund for Improvement of Geneva Avenue, Telegraph Hill Boulevard and Market Street Extension.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy:

Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows::

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of County Road Fund for the following purposes, to-wit:

(1) For the improvement of Geneva avenue, from Prague street to the Counuty Line, additional to enable final payment, $2,600.

(2) For the paving of Telegraph Hill boulevard, additional to enable final payment on contract, $1,500.

(3) For the extension of Market street from Ord to Mono streets, additional to meet deficit for account of contract for paving and relocating of tracks of the Market Street Railway Company, $12,251.

Accepting Statement of Market Street Railway Company of City's Percentage of Gross Receipts From Passenger Fares.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 26847 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the statement heretofore filed by the Market Street Railway Company, showing gross receipts from passenger fares for the month of January, 1927, upon which percentages in the following amounts are due the City and County under terms of franchises, be and the same are hereby accepted, to-wit:

Parkside Transit Co., etc....$489.27
Gough Street Railroad..... 49.12
Parnassus Avenue, etc..... 326.41

Further Resolved, That the Market Street Railway Company is hereby directed to deposit with the Treasurer of the City and County the hereinabove mentioned sums, the same to be placed to the credit of the General Fund.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent,

Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Ronco-ieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Gallagher—2.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Appropriation, $5,000, Additional and Emergency Supplies, Board of Health.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy:

Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $5,000 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of Urgent Necessity, Budget Item No. 25, for additional and emergency supplies by the Board of Public Health under the direction of the Superintendent of the Relief Home.

Appropriation, $1,665.12, in Payment to Butte Electric and Manufacturing Company for Burglar Alarm System.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $1,665.12 be and the same is hereby authorized in payment out of Urgent Necessity to Butte Electric & Manufacturing Co.; being first payment for the installation of a burglar alarm system in the offices of the Treasurer and Tax Collector, payable out of appropriation by Resolution No. 26508 (New Series), $3,276.

Action Deferred.

The following resolution was, on motion, *laid over one week*:

Authorization, $440.90, Payment to Philip H. Sapiro for Services of Band in Connection with Sesqui-Centennial Celebration.

Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $440.90 be and the same is hereby authorized in payment out of "Maintenance Municipal Band," Budget Item No. 30, to Philip H. Sapiro for services of band at Mission Park, October 10, 1926, in connection with the Sesquicentennial celebration.

Action Deferred.

The following resolution was *laid over one week and referred to the City Attorney*:

Appropriations for Motor Busses.

Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of Municipal Railway Depreciation Fund in payment to the hereinafter named claimants, to-wit:

(1) To Fageol Motors Company, for two 6-cylinder 29-passenger motor busses (claim dated Feb. 1, 1927), $18,925.

(2) To Mack-International Motor Truck Corporation, for two 29-passenger motor busses (claim dated Feb. 1, 1927), $18,940.88.

Passed for Printing.

The following bill was *passed for printing*:

Amending Zoning Ordinance Placing Southeasterly Corner of Steiner Street in the Commercial District.

On motion of Supervisor Hayden:

Bill No. 7915, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Seriese), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings, and the location of buildings designed for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Section 2 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting part of the Building Zone Ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place in a Commercial District the southeasterly corner of Chestnut and Steiner streets for a distance of 100 feet on Chestnut street and a distance of 25 feet on Steiner street, and to place in a Commercial District the southwest corner of Chestnut and Pierce streets for a distance of 110 feet on Chestnut street and a distance of 25 feet on Pierce street.

Resolution of Intention to Establish Set-Back Lines No. 131.

Supervisor Hayden presented:

Resolution No. 26848 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the City Planning Commission has recommended that set-back lines be established along the streets hereinafter mentioned and as delineated upon maps accompanying said recommendations, to which reference is hereby made for further particulars; therefore,

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco to establish set-back lines as provided by Ordinance No. 5636 (New

Series), approved May 18, 1922, as follows:

Along the southerly side of Jefferson street, commencing at a point 100 feet easterly from Baker street and running thence easterly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; thence easterly 225 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence easterly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet.

Along the northerly side of Magellan avenue, commencing at Twelfth avenue and running thence easterly 40 feet, said set-back line to be 15 feet.

Along the southeasterly side of Sears street, commencing at a point 100 feet northeasterly from Sickles avenue and running thence northeasterly 275 feet, said set-back line to be 10 feet; thence northeasterly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 5 feet.

And notice is hereby given that Monday, the 28th day of February, 1927, at the hour of 10 o'clock a. m., at the chambers of the Board of Supervisors, City Hall, in said City and County of San Francisco, has been fixed as the time and place when and where any and all persons having any objections to the establishing of the proposed set-back lines may appear and present any objections which they may have to said set-back lines, as set forth in this resolution of intention.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Gallagher—2.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Oil and Boiler Permits.

On motion of Supervisor Deasy:

Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits be and the same are hereby granted:

Oil Tanks.

Mrs. Thos. F. Barry, 1735 Hyde street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Mrs. Thos. Barry, 3279 Geary street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Buschke & Johnson, east side of Pierce street, 160 feet south of Beach street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Christiansen Bros., north side of Eddy street, 125 feet west of Webster street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Geo. T. Gerhardt, 1001 Post street, 600 gallons capacity.

Hannah & Kohlwes, north side of Turk street, 130 feet west of Webster street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Hannah & Kohlwes, north side of Turk street, 180 feet west of Webster street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Fred Hechter, west side of Scott street, 95 feet north of Golden Gate avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

Herman Hogrefe, northwest corner of Golden Gate avenue and Scott street, 1500 gallons capacity.

H. O. Lindeman, south side of Sacramento street, 130 feet west of Walnut street. 1500 gallons capacity.

Matson Navigation Co., north side of Bryant street, 100 feet west of Main street, 3000 gallons capacity.

Max Miller, south side of Lake street, 75 feet west of Twenty-fourth avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

A. T. Morris, north side of Cabrillo street, 100 feet east of Eleventh avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

M. Mortede, east side of Fillmore street, 110 feet south of Jackson street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Ivan D. Peterson, east side of Pierce street, 132 feet 6 inches south of Beach street, 750 gallons capacity.

F. M. Rowels, 55 San Andreas way, 600 gallons capacity.

Schlesinger & Fleishhacker, south side of Market street, 150 feet west of Van Ness avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

A. Thurm, south side of Jackson street, 37 feet west of Laurel street, 1500 gallons capacity.

W. R. Voorhies, southwest corner of Jackson and Cherry streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

W. R. Voorhies, west line of Cherry street, 28 feet south of Jackson street, 1500 gallons capacity.

W. R. Voorhies, west line of Cherry street, 55 feet south of Jackson street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Fred Warden, west side of Seventeenth avenue, 130 feet north of Irving street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Boiler.

Golden State Tonic Co., 200 Davis street, 10 horsepower.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits shall become null and void.

Transfer of Garage Permit.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That L. Rosengren and A. G. Orcier be and the same are hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred public garage permit heretofore granted J. L. Shuman by Resolution No. 21752 (New Series),

for premises at 1075 Golden Gate avenue.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series) as follows:

Resolved, That Douglas Duggan be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred public garage permit heretofore granted J. W. Gunn by Resolution No. 22413 (New Series) for premises on the east side of La Playa, 200 feet south of Balboa street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Also Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That McAndrew Company be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred public garage permit heretofore granted Evans & Busse by Resolution No. 19861 (New Series) for premises at 1540 Pine street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Garage Permit.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Hertz Drivurself Stations, Inc., be and are hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to operate and maintain a public garage on the northeast corner of Twenty-second and Mission streets, with entrance on Capp street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Board of Public Works Authorized to Enter Into Contract with Great Western Power Company for Construction and Use of Conduit in Sunset Tunnel.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon:

Bill No. 7916, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Authorizing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract with Great Western Power Company of California for the construction and use of conduit through Sunset Tunnel.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. That the Board of Public Works of the City and County of San Francisco be and they are hereby authorized, empowered and directed to enter into a contract with the Great Western Power Company of California for the construction of a four-inch fibre conduit to be installed by the City for the use of the said Great Western Power Company of California, in and through Sunset Tunnel, upon condition that said Great Western Power Company of California shall pay to the City and County of San Francisco the sum of five thousand dollars ($5,000) to cover the cost of the construction of said conduit, and that when said conduit is completed the said Great Western Power Company of California shall have the right to use the same for the period of twenty (20) years from the date hereof, for the purpose of installing, maintaining and operating lead-covered electric cables therein, and shall also have the right to use jointly with the City and others, the manholes at either end of said tunnel, together with intermediate splicing niches to be installed in said tunnel. That after the expiration of said period of twenty years the said company shall have the right to use said conduit for such period of time as the City and County of San Francisco shall agree, upon the payment of two hundred and fifty ($250) dollars per year, and that said contract shall contain a further provision to the effect that should the City acquire the properties of the Great Western Power Company of California, either by purchase or condemnation, no part of the said sum of five thousand ($5,000) dollars shall be refunded to the company, nor shall the right to use said conduit be considered as a thing of value in the evaluation of the properties of said company. And further, that said contract be approved as to form by the City Attorney of the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Spur Track Permit, Pacific States Construction Company.

On motion of Supervisor Badaracco:

Bill No. 7917, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Granting permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to Pacific States Construction Company to construct, maintain and operate a spur track on Carroll avenue between Jennings and Keith streets, as hereinafter described.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, is hereby granted to the Pacific States Construction Company to construct, maintain and operate a spur track on Carroll avenue between Jennings and Keith streets, as follows:

Beginning in the center line of the proposed drill track in the center of Carroll avenue 147 feet, more or less, northwesterly from the northwesterly line of Jennings street; thence southeasterly through a number seven switch to the left for a distance of 70 feet to a point; thence on a curve to the left with a radius of 230 feet for a distance of 58 feet to a point; thence tangent to last-mentioned curve for a distance of 30 feet to a point; thence crossing Jennings street on a curve to the right with a radius of 230 feet, for a distance of 60 feet, more or less, to a point on the southeasterly line of Jennings street, said point being 8 feet, more or less, from the northeasterly line of Carroll avenue.

Said permission is granted subject to the provisions of Ordinance No. 69 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors, approved October 12, 1906, and the provisions and conditions of Section 8 thereof are hereby specifically contained in the permit hereby granted, and shall be construed as a part hereof as complete as though the same were written in this ordinance.

Provided, that said spur track shall be laid under the supervision and to the lines and grades as furnished by the City Engineer's office, and that any and all expenses connected with the installation of the track, restoration of the pavement, and any additional requirements for the surface drainage be paid for by said Pacific States Construction Company.

Provided, that Pacific States Construction Company shall erect and maintain all-night lighted arc lamps to be placed where directed by the Lighting Committee of the Board of Supervisors.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Spur Track Permit, Foster & Kleiser Investment Company and San Francisco Sulphur Company.

Also, Bill No. 7918, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Granting permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to Foster and Kleiser Investment Company and San Francisco Sulphur Company to construct, maintain and operate a spur track along and across an unnamed street, Carroll avenue and Donner avenue, as hereinafter described.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, is hereby granted to Foster and Kleiser Investment Company and San Francisco Sulphur Company to construct and maintain a spur track along and across an unnamed street, Carroll avenue and Donner avenue, as follows:

Beginning at a point in the center line of the existing track in an unnamed street, said point being distant northerly 122.0 feet from the intersection of said center line of track in an unnamed street with the northerly line of Carroll avenue produced; thence diverging in a southwesterly direction from said track and crossing portion of said unnamed street and Carroll avenue to a point on the southerly line of Carroll avenue, said point being distant easterly 118 plus feet from the intersection of said southerly line of Carroll avenue with the easterly line of Mendell street; thence continuing on into private property.

Said permission is granted subject to the provisions of Ordinance No. 69 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors, approved October 12, 1906, and the provisions and conditions of Section 8 thereof are hereby specifically contained in the permit hereby granted, and shall be construed as a part hereof as completely as though the same were written in this ordinance.

Provided, that said spur track shall be laid under the supervision and to the lines and grades as furnished by the City Engineer's office, and that any and all expenses connected with the installation of the track, restoration of the pavement, and any additional requirements for the surface drainage be paid for by the Foster and Kleiser Investment Company and the San Francisco Sulphur Company.

Provided, that the Foster and Kleiser Investment Company and the San Francisco Sulphur Company shall erect and maintain all-night lighted arc lamps where directed by the Lighting Committee of the Board of Supervisors.

City Engineer to Make Surveys, Etc., Western Addition Block 476, Official Map.

Supervisor Badaracco presented:

Resolution No. 26849 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the City Engineer be and he is hereby requested to

make the necessary surveys and prepare, pursuant to the provisions of Section 3658a of the Political Code, an official map of Western Addition Block No. 476, in the City and County of San Francisco, adjusting, correcting and definitely locating the boundary lines of said block.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Gallagher—2.

Action Deferred.

The following resolution was, on motion, *laid over one week*:

Closing and Abandonment of a Portion of Bay View Street.

Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the public interest requires that a portion of Bay View street be closed up and abandoned herein fter described; be it

Further Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to close up and abandon all that certain portion of Bay View street described as follows, to-wit:

Commencing at a point on the southerly line of Bay View street, distant thereon 23.048 feet westerly from the westerly line of Flora street; thence westerly on the southerly line of Bay View street 108.869 feet to the southeasterly line of Newhall street; thence northeasterly on a curve to the right, tangent to a line deflected 115 deg. 15 min. 12 sec. to the right from the preceding course, radius 37.006 feet, central angle 96 deg. 10 min. 51 sec., a distance of 62.120 feet; thence southeasterly on a curve to the left, tangent to the preceding curve, radius 107.572 feet, central angle 31 deg. 26 min. 03 sec., a distance of 59.017 feet to tangency with the southerly line of Bay View street and point of commencement. Being a portion of the southerly side of Bay View street between Newhall and Flora streets.

Said closing up and abandonment of said portion of said street shall be done and made in the manner and in accordance with the provisions of Section 2 of Chapter III of Article VI of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, as amended, and the sections of said chapter and article following said Section 2; be it

Further Resolved, That there are no costs or expenses to be incurred in connection with said closing and abandoning.

And the Clerk is hereby directed to transmit to the Board of Public Works a certified copy of this resolution and the Board of Public Works is hereby directed to give notice of said contemplated closing and abandonment of said portion of Bay View street in the manner provided by law and to cause notice to be published in the San Francisco Bulletin as required by law.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Repealing Ordinance No. 7126 (New Series), Ordering the Improvement of the Westerly One-Half of Laidley Street Between Fairmount and Harry Streets.

On motion of Supervisor Badaracco:

Bill No. 7919, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Repealing Ordinance No. 7126 (New Series), ordering the improvement of the westerly half of Laidley street between Fairmount and Harry streets.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 7126 (New Series), ordering the improvement of the westerly half of Laidley street between Fairmount and Harry streets, is hereby repealed.

Section 2. That Clarence B. Eaton be and he is hereby released from the contract entered into by him for the performance of said work, and the bond given in connection therewith is hereby canceled.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Repealing Ordinance No. 7349 (New Series), Ordering the Improvement of Forty-fifth Avenue Between Vicente and Wawona Streets.

Also, Bill No. 7920, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Repealing Ordinance No. 7349 (New Series), ordering the improvement of Forty-fifth avenue between Vicente and Wawona streets.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 7349 (New Series), approved December 31, 1926, ordering the improvement of Forty-fifth avenue between Vicente and Wawona streets, where not already improved, is hereby repealed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ordering Street Work on Olmstead Street Between Girard and Brussels Streets.

Also, Bill No. 7921, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors February 9, 1927, having recommended the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918, of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918, of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in twenty installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be six months from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of *Olmstead street between Girard street and Brussels street*, and the improvement of the crossings of Olmstead street and Brussels street, and of Olmstead street and Goettingen street, by grading to official line and grade; by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of the necessary side sewers between Girard street and Brussels street; by the construction of the following ironstone pipe sewers: a 12-inch along the center line of Olmstead street between the westerly and center lines of Goettingen street; an 8-inch along the center line of Goettingen street between the northerly and center lines of Olmstead street; an 8-inch along the center line of Brussels street between the northerly and center lines of Olmstead street; by the construction of 4 brick catchbasins with appurtenances and 10-inch ironstone pipe culverts on each of the above-mentioned crossings; by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks on the angular corners of the above-mentioned crossings; by the construction of a concrete pavement on Olmstead street between Girard street and Brussels street, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and a 1½-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface on the roadways of the above-mentioned crossings.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Also, Bill No. 7922, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisor February 9, 1927, having recommended the ordering of the following street work. the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in four installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of the cross-

ings of *Thirty-fifth, Thirty-sixth, Thirty-seventh, Thirty-eighth, Thirty-ninth, Fortieth, Forty-first, Forty-second, Forty-third and Forty-fourth avenues with Vicente street*, by grading to official line and grade; by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks of the full official width on the angular corners; by the construction of the necessary catchbasins with accompanying 10-inch ironstone pipe culverts; by the construction of 8-inch, 12-inch and 15-inch ironstone pipe sewers as required, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and a 1½-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface on the roadways thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Also, Bill No. 7923, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors February 9, 1927, having recommended the ordering the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of *Rankin street between Evans avenue and Islais street, including the intervening crossing and intersections*, by grading to official line and grade.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Also, Bill No. 7924, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors February 9, 1927, having recommended the ordering the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of *Sixteenth avenue between Pacheco and Quintara streets, and of Quintara street between Sixteenth and Seventeenth avenues*, where not already improved, by the construction of concrete curbs, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and a one and one-half inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Also, Bill No. 7925, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors February 9, 1927, having recommended the ordering the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in three installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The construction of artificial stone sidewalks six feet in width on Alhambra street from the easterly line of Pierce street to the intersection of Cervantes boulevard, and on the westerly one-half of Cervantes boulevard from the above intersection of Alhambra street to the point of intersection of Fillmore street, and on the westerly one-half of Fillmore street from the above point of intersection of Cervantes boulevard to the northerly line of Chestnut street, where artificial stone sidewalks six feet or more in width have not already been constructed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Also, Bill No. 7926, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors February 9, 1927, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in twenty installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be six months from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of *Prentiss street between Eugenia avenue and Powhattan avenue*, where not already improved, including the crossing of Eugenia avenue and Prentiss street, by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of a concrete pavement between Eugenia avenue and Powhattan avenue, where not already constructed; by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks on the angular corners of the above mentioned crossing, and three brick catchbasins with appurtenances and 10-inch ironstone pipe culverts on the above mentioned crossing; by the construction of an 8-inch ironstone pipe sewer along the center line of Eugenia avenue between the easterly and westerly lines of Powhattan avenue, and by the construc-

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC

tion of an asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and a 1½-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface, on the roadway of the crossings of Eugenia avenue and Powhattan avenue.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Also, Bill No. 7927, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors February 9, 1927, having recommended the ordering the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of *Twenty-fifth avenue between Santiago and Taraval streets, and of Twenty-ninth avenue between Kirkham and Lawton streets*, where not already improved, by the construction of concrete curbs, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a six-inch concrete foundation and one and one-half inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface on the roadways thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Approving Map Showing Widening of Turk Street Between Masonic and Parker Avenues.

Supervisor Badaracco presented: Resolution No. 26850 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the Board of Public Works did, by Resolution No. 95905 (Second Series), approve a map showing the widening of Turk street between Masonic and Parker avenues, San Francisco, California; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the map showing the widening of Turk street between Masonic and Parker avenues, San Francisco, California, is hereby approved.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath Gallagher—2.

Accepting Deeds to Land for the Widening of Turk Street.

Supervisor Badaracco presented: Resolution No. 26851 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That those certain deeds executed on the 3d day of February, 1927, between The Roman Catholic Archbishop of San Francisco (a corporation), and the Sisters of the Presentation (a corporation), conveying lands for street purposes, as shown on map entitled "Map showing the widening of Turk street between Masonic and Parker avenues, San Francisco, California," Parcels "A" and "B" are hereby accepted in the name of the City and County of San Francisco.

Further Resolved, That the lands covered by said deeds are hereby declared an open public street to be known as "Turk street."

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Gallagher—2.

Approving Map of Miraloma Park, Subdivision No. 3.

Supervisor Badaracco presented: Resolution No. 26852 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the Board of Public Works did, by Resolution No. 95906

(Second Series), approve a map entitled "Subdivision No. 3, Miraloma Park, San Francisco, California, September, 1926"; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the map of Subdivision No. 3, Miraloma Park, San Francisco, California, is hereby approved.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Gallagher—2.

Accepting Deed From American Trust Company for Land Required for Street Purposes in Miraloma Park, Subdivision No. 3.

Supervisor Badaracco presented: Resolution No. 26853 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That that certain deed executed on the 8th day of February, 1927, between the American Trust Company (a corporation) anl the City and County of San Francisco (a municipal corporation), conveying land for street purposes as shown on map entitled "Subdivision No. 3, Miraloma Park, San Francisco, California," is hereby accepted in the name of the City and County of San Francisco.

Further Resolved That the lands covered by said deed are hereby declared open public streets to be known as Del Sur avenue, Juanita avenue and Evelyn way; also that certain parcel delineated on said map as an alley is hereby declared to be a public alley.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Gallagher—2.

Approving Map of Homestead Manor.

Supervisor Badaracco presented: Resolution No. 26854 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the Board of Public Works did, by Resolution No. 95802 (Second Series), approve a map of Homestead Manor, resubdivision of Block 10, West End Map No. 2, San Francisco, California; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the map of Homestead Manor, resubdivision of Block 10, West End Map No. 2, San Francisco, California, is hereby approved.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Gallagher—2.

Accepting Deed to Land in Homestead Manor Required for Widening Alemany Boulevard.

Supervisor Badaracco presented: Resolution No. 26855 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the certain deed executed on the 1st day of November, 1926, between Rudolph Mohr, Jr., and Olive M. Mohr (his wife) and Howard E. Mohr and Lynna R. Mohr (his wife) and the City and County of San Francisco (a municipal corporation) conveying land as shown on map of Homestead Manor, resubdivision of Block 10, West End Map No. 2, as Laura street, and that certain deed executed on the 17th day of November, 1926, between Rudolph Mohr, Jr., and Olive M. Mohr (his wife) and Howard E. Mohr and Lynna R. Mohr (his wife) and the City and County of San Francisco (a municipal corporation) conveying a parcel of property between Laura street and Lawrence avenue, as shown on Map of Homestead Manor, resubdivision of Block 10, West End Map No. 2, to be used for the widening of Alemany boulevard, are hereby accepted in the name of the City and County of San Francisco.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath Gallagher—2.

Intention to Change Grades.

Supervisor Badaracco presented: Resolution No. 26856 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to change and establish grades on the following named streets, at the points hereinafter specified and at the elevations above city base, as hereinafter stated, in accordance with Resolution No. 95872 (Second Series) of the Board of Public Works adopted February 7, 1927, and written recommendation of said Board filed February 9, 1927, to-wit:

Bosworth Street.

10 feet northerly from the southerly line of at Congo street southerly line, 253.25 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

10 feet southerly from the northerly line of, on a line at right angles to the southerly line of, at Congo street southerly line, 252.50 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

14 feet northerly from the southery line of, 0.70 feet westerly from the northwesterly intersection of Congo street, 255.00 feet.

10 feet southerly from the northerly line of, on a line at right angles to the southerly line of, 0.70 feet westerly from the northwesterly intersection of Congo street, 255.00 feet.

10 feet southerly from the northerly line of, 9.75 feet easterly from the third angle westerly from Burnside avenue, 258.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

46 feet southerly from the northerly line of, 9.75 feet easterly from the third angle westerly from Burnside avenue, 258.00 feet.

7 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of (from the east), at the fourth angle westerly from Burnside avenue, 259.30 feet.

7 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, on a line at right angles to the southwesterly line of (from the east), at the fourth angle westerly from Burnside avenue, 259.30 feet.

7 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, on a line at right angles to the southwesterly line of (from the east), at the fifth angle westerly from Burnside avenue, 262.90 feet.

7 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of (from the east), at the fifth angle westerly from Burnside avenue, 262.90 feet.

7 feet southerly from the northerly line (from the east), at the sixth angle westerly from Burnside avenue, 264.80 feet.

7 feet northerly from the southerly line of, on a line at right angles to the northerly line of (from the east), at the sixth angle westerly from Burnside avenue, 264.80 feet.

Congo Street.

7 feet northerly from the southerly line of, 9.60 feet westerly from the first angle westerly from Bosworth street, 259.30 feet.

33 feet northerly from the southerly line of, 9.60 feet westerly from the first angle westerly from Bosworth street, 257.30 feet.

7 feet southerly from the northerly line of, on a line at right angles to the southerly line of, 212.10 feet westerly from the first angle westerly from Bosworth street, 281.00 feet.

7 feet northerly from the southerly line of, 212.10 feet westerly from the first angle westerly from Bosworth street, 281.00 feet.

On Bosworth street between the southerly line of Congo street and the sixth angle westerly from Burnside avenue, and on Congo street between Bosworth street and a line at right angles to the southerly line of, 212.10 feet westerly from the first angle westerly from Bosworth street, be changed and established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that no assessment district is necessary as no damage will result from said change of grades, inasmuch as the streets are ungraded and there are no existing street improvements.

The Board of Public Works is hereby directed to cause to be conspicously posted along the street or streets upon which such change or modification of grade or grades is contemplated, notice of the passage of this resolution of intention.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Gallagher—2.

Also, Resolution No. 26857 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to change and establish grades on the following named streets, at the points hereinafter specified and at the elevations above city base, as hereinafter stated, in accordance with Resolution No. 95872 (Second Series) of the Board of Public Works adopted February 7, 1927, and written recommendation of said Board filed February 9, 1927, to-wit:

Baden Street.

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, at Mangels avenue northerly line, 327.65 feet.

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, at Mangels avenue northerly line, 327.65 feet.

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 7.50 feet southerly from Mangels avenue northerly line, 326.00 feet.

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 7.50 feet southerly from Mangels avenue northerly line, 326 00 feet.

Westerly line of, 22.50 feet southerly from Mangels avenue northerly line, 325.41 feet.

Easterly line of, 22.50 feet southerly from Mangels avenue northerly line, 325.41 feet.

Westerly line of, 22.50 feet northerly from Mangels avenue southerly line, 324.42 feet.

Easterly line of, 22.50 feet northerly from Mangels avenue southerly line, 324.42 feet.

12 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 10.50 feet northerly from Mangels avenue s o u t h e r l y line, 323.94 feet.

12 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 10.50 feet northerly from Mangels avenue s o u t h e r l y line, 323.94 feet.

Mangels avenue southerly line, line, 322.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

On Baden street at the crossing of Mangels avenue be changed and established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that no assessment district is necessary, as no damage will result from said change of grades, inasmuch as the streets are ungraded and there are no existing street improvements.

The Board of Public Works is hereby directed to cause to be conspicuously posted along the street or streets upon which such change or modification of grade or grades is contemplated, notice of the passage of this resolution of intention.

Adopted by the following vote:

A y e s — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, K e n t, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri. Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Gallagher—2.

Also, Resolution No. 26858 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to change and establish grades on the following named streets, at the points hereinafter specified and at the elevations above city base, as hereinafter stated, in accordance with Resolution No. ——— (Second Series) of the Board of Public Works adopted ——— —, 1927, and written recommendation of said Board filed ——— —, 1927, to-wit:

Mangels Avenue.

14.50 feet southerly from the northerly line of, at Congo street easterly line, 349.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Northerly line of, at Congo street easterly line, 351.33 feet.

7 feet westerly from Congo street easterly line, at Mangels avenue northerly line, 351.33 feet.

33 feet westerly from Congo street easterly line, at Mangels avenue northerly line, 351.45 feet.

40 feet westerly from Congo street easterly line, at Mangels avenue northerly line, 351.45 feet.

Northerly line of, at Congo street westerly line, 354.50 feet.

14.50 feet southerly from the northerly line of, at Congo street westerly line, 349.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

On Mangels avenue between the northerly line of and a line parallel with and 14.50 feet southerly therefrom, at Congo street, be changed and established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that no assessment district is necessary, as no damages will result from said change of grades, inasmuch as the streets are ungraded and there are no existing street improvements.

The Board of Public Works is hereby directed to cause to be conspicuously posted along the street or streets upon which such change or modification of grade or grades is contemplated, notice of the passage of this resolution of intention.

Adopted by the following vote:

A y e s — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, K e n t, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri. Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath Gallagher—2.

Also, Resolution No. 26859 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to change and establish grades on the following named streets at the points hereinafter specified and at the elevations above city base, as hereinafter stated, in accordance with Resolution No. 94188 (Second Series) of the Board of Public Works adopted October 18, 1926, and written recommendation of said Board filed October 22, 1926, to-wit:

On Sussex street between the westerly line of Diamond street and the easterly line of Castro street; on Bemis street between the westerly line of Castro street and a line at right angles to the northerly line of Bemis street and 10.50 feet easterly from Castro street; on Castro street between a line connecting the intersection of the southerly line of Bemis street with the easterly line of Castro street and a point

on the westerly curb line of Castro street at the southerly end of the return from Sussex street and a line 131.32 feet nrotherly from Sussex street; on Martin street between Sussex street and a line 101 feet northeasterly therefrom; on Diamond street between the southerly line of Sussex street and the second angle northwesterly from Arbor street; on Moffit street between Farnum street and Diamond street, and on Arbor street between Diamond street and a line at right angles to the northwesterly line of, 128.50 feet southwesterly therefrom.

The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that no assessment district is necessary as no damage will result from said change of grades, inasmuch as the streets are ungraded and there are no existing street improvements.

The Board of Public Works is hereby directed to cause to be conspicuously posted along the street or streets upon which such change or modification of grade or grades is contemplated, notice of the passage of this resolution of intention.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Gallagher—2.

Extensions of Time.

Supervisor Badaracco presented: Resolution No. 26860 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That A. E. Hennessey be and he is hereby granted the following extensions of time, viz.:

Ninety days' time from and after February 10, 1927, within which to complete the improvement of Masonic avenue, between Turk and McAllister streets. This extension of time is granted for the reason that the work has been delayed awaiting property line adjustments.

Ninety days' time from and after February 10, 1927, within which to complete the improvement of Turk street, Masonic avenue to Parker avenue. This extension is granted for the reason that the work has been delayed awaiting property line adjustments.

Ninety days' time from and after February 10, 1927, within which to complete the improvement of Turk street between Parker avenue and Willard street. This extension is granted for the reason that the work has been delayed awaiting property line adjustments.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Gallagher—2.

Also, Resolution No. 26861 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Keystone Construction Company be and is hereby granted an extension of sixty days' time from and after February 26, 1927, within which to complete the improvement of Rutland street between Arleta and Harkness avenues. This extension of time is granted for the reason that the contractor is waiting for the acceptance of the work.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Gallagher—2.

Also, Resolution No. 26862 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the United Improvemnet Company be and is hereby granted an extension of ninety days' time from and after March 2, 1927, within which to complete the improvement of Girard street, Harkness avenue to Ward street. This extension is granted for the reason that the ground has been too wet to proceed with the work.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Gallagher—2.

Also, Resolution No. 26863 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the City Construction Company be and is hereby granted an extension of ninety days' time from and after January 31, 1927, within which to complete the improvement of Mangels avenue between Foerster and Genesee streets. This extension is granted for the reason that the contractor is waiting for acceptance and issue of assessment.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Ronco-

vieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Gallagher—2.

Also, Resolution No. 26864 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Associated Construction Company be and is hereby granted an extension of ninety days' time from and after January 26, 1927, within which to complete the improvement of Fourteenth avenue between Ulloa and Vicente streets. This extension is granted for the reason that the contractor has been delayed by inclement weather.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Gallagher—2.

Also, Resolution No. 26865 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved That C. B. Eaton be and he is hereby granted the following extensions of time, viz.:

Ninety days' time from and fater February 7, 1927, within which to complete the improvement of Howard street between Thirty-sixth and Army streets. Contractor has been delayed on account of weather conditions.

Ninety days' time from and after February 18, 1927, within which to complete the improvement of Carolina street between Twentieth and Twenty-second streets. Work has been delayed on account of the work of the public service corporations.

Ninety days' time from and after February 18, 1927, within which to complete the improvement of Twentieth street between De Haro and Carolina streets and the crossing of Twentieth and Carolina streets. Contractor has been delayed on account of the public service corporations moving poles, etc.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Gallagher—2.

Passed for Printing.

The following bill was *passed for printing*:

Declaring That Public Interest and Necessity Demand That Construction of Permanent Improvement Known as Bernal Cut.

On motion of Supervisor Badaracco:

Bill No. 7928, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Determining and declaring that the public interest and necessity demand the construction and completion of a permanent improvement, to-wit, a cut or excavation, with approach fills, providing an improved route for public highway purposes in the City and County of San Francisco, known as the Bernal Cut, said construction beginning at or near the intersection of Randall and Dolores streets and extending southerly to a point at or near the intersection of San Jose avenue and Diamond street, including approaches, structures, pavements, curbs, sidewalks, sewers, drainage facilities, the readjustment of intersecting and adjacent streets and other improvements and the purchase of the necessary lands.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby determined and specifically declared that the public interest and necessity demand the construction and completion of a permanent improvement, to-wit, a cut or excavation, with approach fills, providing an improved route for public highway purposes in the City and County of San Francisco, known as the Bernal Cut, said construction beginning at or near the intersection of Randall and Dolores streets and extending southerly to a point at or near the intersection of San Jose avenue and Diamond street, including approaches, structures, pavements, curbs, sidewalks, sewers, drainage facilities, the readjustment of intersecting and adjacent streets and other improvements, and the purchase of the necessary lands.

Section 2. The Board of Public Works is hereby directed to procure through the City Engineer and to file with the Board of Supervisors general plans and estimates of cost of the original construction and completion of said cut and appurtenances as herein described.

Section 3. This ordinance shall be published in the official newspaper for two weeks after its approval and shall take effect immediately.

Clerk to Advertise for Printing Delinquent Tax List.

Supervisor Kent presented:

Resolution No. 26866 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Clerk of the Board is hereby directed to advertise that sealed proposals for printing, publishing and distributing the delinquent tax list, index to delinqunent real estate taxpayers and

printing the sales list and other matters incidental thereto for the fiscal year 1926-1927, will be received on Monday, March 7, 1927, between the hours of 2 o'clock and 3 o'clock p. m.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Gallagher—2.

Clerk to Advertise for Official Advertising.

Supervisor Kent presented:

Resolution No. 26867 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Clerk be directed to advertise that sealed proposals will be received on Monday, the 7th day of March, 1927, at 3 o'clock p. m., for publishing the official advertising for the year commencing April 1 1927.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Gallagher—2.

Award of Contract, Chair Desks for School Department.

Supervisor Badaracco presented:

Resolution No. 26868 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of contract heretofore made to R. Brandlein & Co. by Resolution No. 26770 (New Series), approved February 11, 1927, for furnishing 1500 chair desks for School Department be hereby amended as per recommendation of Superintendent of Schools as follows, viz.:

Sizes required: 150 chair desks, size No. 1; 225 chair desks, size No. 2; 750 chair desks, size No. 3; 375 chair desks, size No. 4. Total, 1500 chair desks. Construction: One side and front of the chair desks are to be enclosed for a book compartment and a hole bored in each to hold a Boston type inkwell, sample of which the City will furnish the contractor. Price changes —Credits: On 225 chair desks, size No. 2, the contractor will allow a credit of 8 cents each; on 750 chair desks, size No. 3, the contractor will allow a credit of 16 cents each; on 375 chair desks, size No. 4, the contractor will allow a credit of 24 cents each. All of the above credits are to be deducted from the contract price of $7.33 per chair desk. Extra charges: For paneling side and front of front of chair desks for book compartments, and cutting holes in tops for inkwells the contractor shall receive 60 cents extra per chair desk.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Gallagher—2.

C. F. Pratt Building and Materials Co. Spur Track.

Supervisor Marks moved that the application of Chas. F. Pratt Building and Material Company for permission to construct a spur track be taken from Streets Committee and placed on today's calendar for consideration.

Supervisor Stanton presented the following proposed amendment to the Spur Track Ordinance and moved as an amendment that action on Supervisor Marks' motion be deferred until this proposed amendment is heard and considered, to-wit:

Amendment to Spur Track Ordinance.

Bill No. 7929, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordinance No. 69 (New Series), entitled "Providing for and regulating the construction, maintenance and use of spur tracks on and over public streets within the City and County of San Francisco, and fixing penalties for violation thereof," is hereby amended as follows:

Section 2a. In every permit hereafter granted for spur tracks on any public street in the City and County of San Francisco there shall be incorporated express provisions that the right thereby granted shall not be used for purposes of creating, promoting or maintaining any agreement or combination in restraint of trade; and that the said right is granted upon the distinct understanding that the holder of such permit, in the sale and delivery of goods, materials and supplies transported over such tracks, will sell and deliver such goods, materials or supplies without requiring from the purchaser any promise, agreement or understanding, or evidence of any kind thereof, that such purchaser is or will become a party to any agreement or combination in restraint of trade, or to employ a certain class of labor. All spur or side tracks laid down and in use under permits issued from and after November 23, 1907, and until the taking effect of this section, shall be deemed to be subject to the pro-

visions hereof, and all such permits shall be deemed to have incorporated therein the stipulations contained in this section.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Supervisor Schmidt moved as an amendment to the amendment that the matter go over one week and remain on the calendar.

City Attorney's Opinion.

The following was presented by Supervisor Stanton and read by the Clerk:

July 16, 1926.

Hon. James Rolph, Mayor, San Francisco, Calif.

Dear Mayor:

In reference to the matter of certain dealers in building materials refusing to furnish materials to the contractors engaged in the construction of certain municipal buildings, I desire to present the following:

While I believe that the phase of the matter which we discussed yesterday, that is, the right of the City to purchase materials from those with whom it has contracts for these materials and to then deliver said materials to the contractors engaged in the construction of our buildings, is possibly the quickest and most effective way of obtaining the necessary materials, still, there are certain legal phases of the situation that I deem it advisable to call to your attention.

The act of the Legislature, approved March 23, 1907, and commonly known as the "Cartwright Act," regulates trade combinations and provides for penalties to be visited upon those who violate the terms of the act. While the act was primarily passed to prevent unlawful combinations for the purpose of discriminating in the prices of materials, I think that it may be broad enough to cover the situation which now confronts the City. The following is the language of the act which I deem pertinent to the present situation:

"Section 1. A trust is a combination of capital, skill or acts by two or more persons, firms, partnerships, corporations or associations of persons, or of any two or more of them, for either, any or all of the following purposes:

"1. To create or carry out restrictions in trade or commerce."

It would appear to me that the actions of those who refuse to furnish materials to the contractors upon the City work, are combined together to create and carry out restrictions in both trade and commerce.

The Supreme Court of this State has held that any manufacturer has the right to fix prices for the commodities which it sells or manufactures, and even to select its own customers, and to sell to one and to refuse to sell to another, but when such discrimination is the result of a combination or agreement or conspiracy between any particular manufacturer and others, which agreement tends to monopolize or restrict trade or commerce, then the combination becomes unlawful. Therefore, it would be necessary in the present case to show that the refusal of the materialmen to sell to those who were not conducting their business under the American Plan, was the result of an agreement between the different materialmen or industrial association, a fact which I presume would not be hard to establish.

Section 7 of the Cartwright Act also provides that any firm, person, partnership or corporation who shall in any manner violate any of the provisions of the act shall for each and every day that such violations shall be committed or continued, after due notice given by the Atttorney-General or any District Attorney, forfeit any pay the sum of fifty dollars, which may be recovered in the name of the People of the State of California in any county where the offense is committed, and it shall be the duty of the Attorney-General, or the District Attorney of any county, on the order of the Attorney-General, to prosecute for the recovery of the same.

It might be possible that we could interest the Attorney-General and the District Attorney to give a notice to some of the material dealers under this section, and I would be pleased to cooperate in this regard should you deem it advisable. Of course, in addition to the penalty last mentioned, there is the additional penalty of fine and imprisonment provided by the act for those who violate its provisions.

I quite agree with you that the methods which you are pursuing will possibly be more efficacious in obtaining the materials necessary for our buildings than would any legal proceedings commenced under the Cartwright Act, and I am giving you the information herein contained merely to the end that you may be advised as to the possibility of taking further action should your present efforts prove unsuccessful. In other words, I realize that litigation has many delays, and the needs of the City are such that these materials must be obtained in the shortest possible time.

Assuring you of the desire of my

office to cooperate with you in any way that you may deem advisable in the present difficulties, I am,

Sincerely,
JOHN J. O'TOOLE,
City Attorney.

Resolution of San Francisco Labor Council.

Supervisor Stanton presented:

Whereas, under the provisions of Subdivision 3, Section 1, Chapter 2, Article II of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, the Board of Supervisors has express power and authority to grant permits for the laying down and operation of spur tracks for industrial purposes "subject to such regulations and conditions as may be prescribed from time to time by said Board of Supervisors", and likewise expressly made "revocable at the pleasure of the Board of Supervisors"; and

Whereas, according to all political, legal and economic authorities it is in the granting of such a concession or privilege to a designated person or company, the opportunity is afforded of providing the requisite public control and regulation of the business using such privilege, particularly as regards the conduct thereof in conformity with public policy; and

Whereas, it is the policy of the law to promote freedom of market and the right of conducting all kinds of business which are beneficial to the public, and it is against public policy to foster or encourage monopoly, restraints of trade and discrimination; and

Whereas, it is the peculiar province of the legislative branch of a government, under our American system of jurisprudence, to declare and establish the public policy in matters affecting commerce and industry within its particular field of juisdiction, and in that behalf to adjust a regulation or law to meet changes in conditions, check growing evils and maintain industrial peace and general welfare; and

Whereas, since the month of October, 1926, there has been pending before the Board of Supervisors, without any decisive action thereon, a resolution, which, if adopted, would declare it to be the public policy of the City and County to revoke any spur track privilege granted to any person, firm or corporation, "upon satisfactory proof" that such person, firm or corporation is a party to any combination or agreement with the Industrial Association and others, not to sell or deliver building materials to any person or company, unless he or it shall conduct his or its business in accordance with the dictates of the Industrial Association, and shall employ only the class of labor prescribed by said association; and

Whereas, there is now pending before the Supervisors an application for a spur track permit, and other such applications may be expected to be made in the future, and it would appear to be reasonable, wise and necessary to incorporate in the ordinance granting any such permit a proviso or condition that the party receiving such permit agrees to sell or deliver materials and supplies to all citizens without discrimination; and

Whereas, the great public wrong, as aforesaid, cannot be righted, nor industrial peace prevail in a community where such wong is permitted to flourish without interference or regulation by the constituted law-making authority; and an efficacious and speedy remedy is necessary to insure industrial peace and prosperity to the people of San Francisco; therefore, be it

Resolved, by the San Francisco Labor Council, in regular meeting assembled this twenty-eighth day of January, 1927, that this Council earnestly and emphatically goes on record against the Board of Supervisors granting any future spur track privilege, except upon express condition that such track is to be used and operated in conformity with public policy of freedom from all restraints of trade, combinations and restrictions upon competition; further

Resolved, That this Council most earnestly appeals to the Board of Supervisors and the Mayor to take action along the lines hereinabove suggested; and thereby put an end to the present uncertain and noncommittal attitude of our city government, and by such action merit and restore public confidence in their will and endeavor to establish and promote industrial peace and prosperity; and, further

Resolved, That copies of this resolution be transmitted to the Honorable Mayor and the Board of Supervisors; and that the officers and delegates of the Council be and are hereby directed to support this declaration of policy, and work for its adoption.

Adopted by San Francisco Labor Council January 28, 1927.

Attest:
W. P. STANTON,
President.
JNO. A. O'CONNELL,
Secretary.

Privilege of the Floor.

Frank McDonald, representing the Building Trades Council, was heard

in favor of the proposed amendment offered by Supervisor Stanton.

Sylvester McAtee, attorney for the Down Town Association, was heard in opposition to the proposed amendment.

RECESS.

Whereupon, the Board at 12:15 p. m. took a recess until 2:30 p. m.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk.

REASSEMBLED—2:30 P. M.

The Board of Supervisors reassembled at 2:30 p. m.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrison, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

His Honor Mayor Rolph being absent, Supervisor McSheehy was elected to preside.

Consideration of Pratt Spur Track Permit.

The matter of the proposed spur track permit to Pratt Building Material Company and proposed amendment to Ordinance No. 69 (New Series), regulating spur tracks, was taken up for consideration.

Statement of Supervisor Marks.

The following statement was made by Supervisor Marks as to why the amendment of the ordinance should not be approved:

The determination by the Streets Committee of the Board of Supervisors and by the Board itself of whether or not a spur track privilege should be granted involves the consideration of five elements alone. These are as follows:

1. Is the industry desirable and free from physical characteristics which would be deleterious to the vicinity in which it is sought to be located?

2. Is the construction and operation of a spur track necessary, essential and useful in the conduct of such industry?

3. Will such spur track be installed in a district where industries are properly located, so that the public will not be inconvenienced?

4. Will such spur track be physically installed and maintained in accordance with existing regulatory law?

5. Is the applicant for such spur track of good repute and possessed of integrity?

If these five queries are answered in the affirmative, it seems to me to be the clear duty of the Board of Supervisors to grant an application for a spur track promptly, graciously and in a sense, with elation at the prospect of assisting a new industry to locate in San Francisco. The City is fundamentally an industrial community. Its progress and growth are predicated upon the attraction to our midst of new industries, new factories, new pay rolls, new enterprises, contributing collectively to our municipal well-being and prosperity. Any untoward action by the Board of Supervisors, any impediment or hindrance, any forewarning to new industries seeking this field that they will not be welcomed unless they submit to official scrutiny as to their economic point of view, must inevitably result in investors looking upon our City askance and avoiding us for fear that official groups may step outside of their legitimate spheres and interfere in purely private affairs. It seems too clear to me to need elaboration that this City would present a sorry spectacle in the efforts of various civic organization which, by means of national advertising, are seeking to induce new industries to come to San Francisco, were to be entirely negatived by the announcement that before such enterprises could build their plants here they would be subjected to an official inquisition by the Board of Supervisors to ascertain the nature of their internal economic structure and the point of view of their owners and operators on sociology, politics and kindred subjects.

I refuse to put out of my mind the fact that the Streets Committee of the Board of Supervisors is charged affirmatively with the duty of encouraging new industries to be established in San Francisco. This is not a committee on impediments and I do not propose to engage myself officially in the very unsatisfactory and not very worthy task of inviting industries to shun San Francisco. My desire is to attempt, so far as may be within my power, to represent all of the people of this City in furtherance of the general progress and industrial development of the municipality.

It cannot be gainsaid that a spur track privilege carries with it the implication that the licensee will obey all federal, state and municipal laws having relation to the op-

eration of such spur track. Further, it is to be conceded that established guilt of violation of such law is proper ground for the denial of a new privilege sought to be conferred or the revocation of one already existing. But the presumption of innocence attaches in such matters. I refuse to pervert the purpose of the Streets Committee to mete out punishment to holders of spur track permits upon mere accusation, in advance of a finding of guilt, or to an applicant for a new permit upon the basis that some law may hereafter be violated. The committee of which I am the chairman is merely a subdivision of an official body having legislative functions alone, and is not a grand jury, trial jury, prosecuting attorney and trial court combined. Proper tribunals exist for the determination of such questions, namely, the national, state and municipal courts, and the final judgment of a court of competent jurisdiction upon the issues involved would, obviously, be binding upon the Board of Supervisors. It is not, however, justifiable for me, or for this Board, to attempt to usurp such functions.

The Board has presented to it the issue of whether it can be considered a legislative body, voluntarily confining itself to such functions, and striving eagerly to participate in the general effort to develop our industrial life, or an official body arrogating to itself powers which are otherwise vested, and endeavoring to harass, deter and discourage industries which might, but for official intermeddling, locate in San Francisco. So far as I am concerned, I decline to misuse the official position which I hold by the grace of the people of this City by informing prospective investors that new industries are not thoroughly welcome in San Francisco.

Privilege of the Floor.

Frank McDonald, representing the Building Trades Council, was again heard in reference to the foregoing matters. Also, *Sylvester McAtee*, representing the Down Town Association.

Passed for Printing.

Whereupon, the roll was called on Bill No. 7929, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), amending Ordinance No. 69 (New Series), and the same was *passed for printing* by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—10.

Noes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Hayden, Marks, Shannon—7.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Thereupon, Supervisor Marks changed his vote from *no* to *aye* and gave notice of reconsideration, which notice was subsequently withdrawn and the following bill was taken up:

Spur Track Permit, Pratt Building Material Company.

Bill No. 7930, Ordinance No. —— New Series), as follows:

Granting permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to Pratt Building Material Company to construct, maintain and operate spur tracks in Berry street between De Haro and Seventh streets, as hereinafter described.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, is hereby granted to Pratt Building Material Company to construct, maintain and operate spur tracks as follows:

1. Beginning at a point in the existing track in Berry street, 350 feet southwesterly from the southwesterly line of Seventh street, said point being 41.9 feet, more or less, measured perpendicularly from the southeasterly line of Berry street; thence southwesterly through a number six switch a distance of 55 feet to a point; thence on a curve to the left with a radius of 230 feet for a distance of 33 feet to a point; thence tangent to last mentioned curve for a distance of 109 feet, more or less, to a point on the southeasterly line of Berry street, said point being 540 feet, more or less, from the southwesterly line of Seventh street.

2. Beginning at a point in the above described proposed spur track 437 feet southwesterly from the southwesterly line of Seventh street, said point being 29 feet, more or less, measured perpendicularly from the southeasterly line of Berry street; thence southwesterly through a number eight switch to the left for a distance of 75 feet, more or less, to a point on the southeasterly line of Berry street, said point being 505 feet, more or less, from the southwesterly line of Seventh street.

Said permission is granted subject to the provisions of Ordinance No. 69 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors, approved October 12, 1906, and the provisions and conditions of Section 8 thereof are

hereby specifically contained in the permit hereby granted, and shall be construed as a part hereof as completely as though the same were written in this ordinance.

Provided, that said spur track shall be laid under the supervision and to the lines and grades as furnished by the City Engineer's office, and that any and all expenses connected with the installation of the track, restoration of the pavement and any additional requirements for the surface drainage to be paid for by Pratt Building Material Company.

Provided, that Pratt Building Material Company shall erect and maintain all-night lighted arc lamps where directed by the Lighting Committee of the Board of Supervisors.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Passed for printing by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Kent —2.

Death of Bernard Katschinski.

The following was presented by Supervisor Kent and *adopted unanimously by rising vote*:

Resolution No. 26818 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the death of Bernard Katschinski has been called to the attention of this Board and his demise is an event much to be deplored; therefore,

Resolved, That the community has suffered a severe loss by the passing away of a citizen who was noted for his interest in public affairs and gave freely his time and means to advance the interest of his fellow beings and contributed to the betterment of mankind; that this Board expresses its profound regret for the death of this esteemed citizen and extends to his surviving family its sincere condolence; and be it

Further Resolved, That when the Board adjourns it do so in respect to his memory.

Removal of Trees in Park Panhandle.

Supervisor Kent presented:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, complaint has been made that the big trees along Oak and Fell streets in the Panhandle, Golden Gate Park, have resulted in retarding property values along said streets to the detriment of property owners; and

Whereas, the removal of these large trees will stimulate property values along these streets; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Park Commissioners be and is hereby respectfully requested to give consideration to the matter of the removal of these trees, and the substitution therefor of trees of a character that would not be objectionable.

Over one week.

Feast of Lanterns Celebration.

A resolution introduced by Supervisor Kent to appropriate the sum of $1,000 out of the Publicity and Advertising Fund for decorations in Chinatown for the celebration now known as the Feast of Lanterns was *referred to the Publicity and Advertising Committee.*

Legal Holiday for Per Diem Men.

A communication from the Labor Council requests that Ordinance No. 7309, relating to lgeal holidays, be observed by the Board of Works.

It stated that on Lincoln's Birthday employees of the Board of Works were laid off, although it is not a legal holiday, as provided in the ordinance.

The Board of Works requested that the sum of approximately $6,000 be made available to defray the wages of the per diem employees of the Board of Works on February 22, 1927, as this is not a legal holiday under the rules of Ordinance No. 7309, and it had not been provided in the budget.

On motion of Supervisor Havenner, the Board of Works was authorized to spend the necessary money out of any surpluses that have accumulated in any of its wages funds, whether sewers, street cleaning or street repair department.

Lease of 333 Kearny Street.

Hearing on the question of abandonment of lease of offices at 333 Kearny street *continued for one week.*

Hearing of Appeal, Douglass Street.

Hearing of appeal of property owners from the assessment issued for the improvement of Douglass street was *continued for one week.*

Pedestrian Traffic Regulation.

A resolution was introduced by Supervisor Roncovieri and *referred to the Traffic Committee*, which would compel pedestrians to observe "Stop and Go" signals.

Sealed Proposals.

Sealed bids were received and opened for furnishing tobacco for the Relief Home. All bids were *referred to the Supplies Committee.*

Street Lights.

Supervisor Stanton presented:

Resolution No. 26869 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Pacific Gas and Electric Company be and it is hereby authorized and requested to install and remove the following street lights:

Install 400 *M. R.*

West side Sixteenth avenue between Taraval and Ulloa streets.

Corner Broderick and Filbert streets.

Broderick street between Filbert and Union streets.

Corner Union and Broderick streets.

Broderick street between Union and Green streets.

Crook street between Bluxome and Townsend streets.

Fifteenth avenue and Santiago street.

North Point street between Scott and Divisadero streets.

Bay street between Scott and Divisadero streets.

Jefferson and Divisadero streets.

Jefferson street between Broderick and Baker streets.

Divisadero and North Point streets.

Beach street between Baker and Broderick streets.

Idora street and Laguna Honda boulevard.

Install 600 *M. R.*

West side Stanyan street between Haight and Frederick streets. (Entrance to Stadium.)

Remove Single-Top Gas Lamps.

Northwest and southeast corners Broderick and Filbert streets.

West side Broderick street, 100 feet south of Filbert street.

East side Broderick street, 200 feet south of Filbert street.

Northwest and southeast corners Union and Broderick streets.

Broderick street, 100 feet south of Union street.

Change 400 *M. R.*

From north side Filbert street to south side Filbert street between Polk street and Van Ness avenue.

Resolution No. 24883 (New Series) relative to Market street lights west of Valencia street is hereby repealed.

Remove 400 *M. R.*

Fifteenth and York streets.

Remove 250 *M. R.*

York street between Fifteenth and Mariposa streets.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Deasy—3.

Repealing Appropriation of $12,500 to California Development Association.

Supervisor Kent presented:

Resolution No. 26870 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Resolution No. 26579 (New Series), appropriating $12,500 out of Publicity and Advertising—Appropriation 55, and authorizing its payment to the California Development Association for the Publicity and Advertising of San Francisco, be and the same is hereby rescinded and repealed.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Deasy—3.

Public Convenience Stations.

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Resolution No. 26871 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Board of Public Works be and it is hereby requested to submit at as early a date as possible an estimate of the cost of the construction of public convenience stations at the following locations: Columbus avenue and Broadway, Van Ness avenue and Green street, Van Ness avenue and Sacramento street and the north and south ends of Van Ness avenue, Sixteenth and Mission streets, Twenty-ninth and Mission streets, Fifth and Market streets and Third and Market streets.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Gallagher Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Deasy—3.

Masquerade Ball Permit.

Supervisor Schmidt presented:

Resolution No. 26872 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Green Valley Grove No. 145 of the United Ancient Order of Druids be and it is hereby granted permission to hold a masquerade ball in Corinthian Hall, 4793 Mission street, Sunday, March 6, 1927.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri,

Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Gallagher—3.

Appropriation for Wiley Traffic Signals.

Supervisor Schmidt presented:

Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $30,000 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of "Traffic Signals, Installation of, Etc.," Budget Item No. 69, for the installation of trafic signals known as the Wiley signal; the locations for the installation of said signals to be directed by the Police Department.

Referred to Traffic Committee.

Communication From War Department in re Modification of Policy.

The following was read and ordered spread in record:

Honorable Albert E. Carter, House of Representatives, Washington, D. C.

Dear Mr. Carter:

Reference is made to your letter of the 10th inst., addressed to the Chief of Engineers, requesting, in behalf of the Supervisors of Alameda County and other interested parties, action on the pending application for a modification of the policy announced by the War Department in 1921, in regard to bridges across San Francisco Bay.

In reply I beg to advise you that for six months the Department has been holding in abeyance action on the application at the request of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors and other local interests to afford an opportunity for the presentation of additional supporting data. However, it may be stated that after careful consideration of all the facts brought out at the public hearing, and those that have been presented so far since the hearing, the Department is still unconvinced that it would be justified in changing the existing policy.

Sincerely yours,

DWIGHT F. DAVIS,

Secretary of War.

Passed for Printing.

The following resolution was *passed for printing*:

On motion of Supervisor Kent:

Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $1,000 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of Publicity and Advertising, Appropriation 55, and authorized in payment to Rudolph Theurkauf and William A. Theurkauf, being the initial payment as per agreement entered into by the City and County with said Rudolph and William A. Theurkauf for the construction of exhibit for the publicity and advertising of San Francisco at the Transcontinental Highway Exposition to be held at the City of Reno, State of Nevada, from June 28 to July 31, 1927.

Celebration of Completion of Duboce-Sunset Tunnel.

Supervisor Colman presented:

Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, City Engineer O'Shaughnessy has stated that daylight will break through the Sunset Tunnel some time in the second week in March, opening up the Sunset-Parkside District to new street car transportation, bringing to the people of this district an improvement for which they have worked for many years; and

Whereas, this tunnel project is of tremendous importance to the development of all San Francisco through the impetus it wil give to the settlement of the western half of the city; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Mayor is hereby authorized and requested to appoint a committee of Supervisors and citizens to direct a Parade of Progress jointly with the directors of the Sunset-Parkside District, Incorporated, representing the property owners and residents of the western half of the city; this tunnel dedication and celebration to commemorate a great step in the advancement of San Francisco and the construction of an important link in our tunnel and car line system.

Referred to Public Welfare Committee.

ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business the Board, at 6:10 p. m., adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,

Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors July 5, 1927.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated, and approved as above recited.

JOHN S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco.

Vol. 22—New Series No. 9

Monday, February 28, 1927

Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
337 Bush Street, S. F.

JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, FEBRUARY 28, 1927, 10 A. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, February 28, 1927, 10 a. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher—3.

Supervisors Bath and Gallagher excused on account of illness.

Quorum present.

His Honor Mayor Rolph being absent, Supervisor McSheehy was elected to preside.

APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the meeting of February 21, 1927, was laid over for approval until next meeting.

ROLL CALL FOR PETITIONS FROM MEMBERS.

Parkside Car Line Through Tunnel.

Communication, from the Parkside Realty Company, of San Francisco, requests the establishment of a car line operating from the Ferry out Market street through the Twin Peaks Tunnel, over Sloat boulevard to the Ocean Beach and the Fleischhacker Playground. Also, that the Market Street Railway Company be permitted to operate through the Twin Peaks Tunnel, in order to serve the people who helped to pay for the tunnel and are entitled to service.

Referred to the Public Utilities Committee.

County Recorders' Letter of Thanks.

Communication, from County Recorders' Association, transmitting resolution of thanks for courtesies extended during recent session.

Ordered *filed*.

Bridge Legislation.

Supervisor Havenner moved that the Legislative Committee of the Board of Supervisors, at our next meeting, make a report concerning bridge legislation pending before the State Legislature, particularly as it may affect proposed bay bridge between San Francisco and Alameda counties.

So ordered.

Supervisor Marks, in conection with foregoing, stated that he had already requested the San Francisco delegation to take no action amending present statutes relating to bridge franchises without consulting with the Board of Supervisors.

Report of Finance Committee on Gas Rate Litigation Compromise.

The Finance Committee presented a comprehensive report in reference to a proposed compromise in settlement of the rate litigation which has been pending for so many years between the City, on behalf of the ratepayers, against the Pacific Gas and Electric Company.

Under the new offer of compromise the gas company offers to return to the ratepayers the same amount of principal as in their previous offer, but have increased the period of time for which they will pay interest on this amount, and the additional amount of interest amounts to $67,543, making the total amount to be returned to the ratepayers of $1,059,443. In addition to that the City will receive the sum of $31,000 which it expended as costs in the litigation.

In order to give the members of the Board an opportunity to study the report of the Finance Committee, further consideration was continued until next Monday at 11 o'clock a. m.

Excess Rates to Escheat to State.

Supervisor McSheehy introduced a resolution which provides that when rates are collected in excess of the fixed price pending a decision in regard thereto, and in the event amounts which should be

returned to ratepayers cannot be so returned because they cannot be located, that after five years the amount not returned to the ratepayers should escheat to the State of California.

Over one week.

Endorsing Salary Increases for Superior Court Judges.

Supervisor Marks presented:

Resolution No. 26874 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, there are now pending before the Legislature of the State of California Senate Bill No. 208 and Assembly Bill No. 75, the same providing for an increase in the salaries of the judges of the Superior Court of San Francisco, Los Angeles and Alameda counties; and

Whereas, the great growth of population and industry in the State of California has resulted in a very substantial increase in the business of these courts, and justifying an increase of the compensation paid to these judges; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco does hereby endorse Senate Bill No. 208 and Assembly Bill No. 75 and urge their passage; and be it

Further Resolved, That a copy of this resolution be forwarded to the members of the Senate and Assembly and to the Governor of the State of California.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher—3.

UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

None.

PRESENTATION OF BILLS AND ACCOUNTS.

Demands not required by law to be passed to print, and amounting to $28,796.36, were allowed and ordered paid by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Gallagher—2.

NEW BUSINESS.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Authorizations.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy:

Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

Park Fund.

(1) William Good, fertilizer for parks (claim dated Feb. 25, 1927), $537.50.

(2) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., gas an delectric service for parks (claim dated Feb. 25, 1927), $718.34.

(3) Spring Valley Water Co., water service for parks (claim dated Feb. 25, 1927), $732.41.

School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1918.

(4) E. P. Finigan, gymnasium equipment, Galileo High School (claim dated Feb. 23, 1927), $984.20.

(5) E. P. Finigan, gymnasium equipment, Mission High School (claim dated Feb. 23, 1927), $571.95.

Tearing-up Streets Fund.

(6) Gladding, McBean & Co., sewer pipe for side sewers (claim dated Feb. 14, 1927), $609.

Relief Home Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923.

(7) Clinton Construction Co., conditional and acceptance payment, general construction of new Relief Home (claim dated Feb. 23, 1927), $365,010.56.

School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923.

(8) MacDonald & Kahn, conditional acceptance payment, general construction of New Mission High School (claim dated Feb. 23, 1927), $196,029.26.

County Road Fund.

(9) W. S. Dickey Clay Mfg. Co., paving brick, California street (claim dated Feb. 18, 1927), $2,-281.45.

Special School Tax.

(10) Standard Electrical Construction Co., fifth payment, electrical work, additional units to Galileo High School (claim dated Feb. 23, 1927), $2,445.94.

Hetch Hetchy Operavtive Revenue Fund.

(11) H. G. Butler, services in connection with severance damage report, valuation of San Francisco electric properties (claim dated Feb. 17, 1927), $600.

Publicity and Advertising—Appropriation No. 55.

(12) California Development Association, for the publicity and ad-

vertising of San Francisco during the fiscal year ending June 30, 1927 (claim dated Feb. 28, 1927), $5,400.

General Fund, 1925-1926.

(13) Bureau of Street Repair, Appropriation 33-B, Dept. of Public Works, for construction of grounds at the Relief Home (claim dated Feb. 17, 1927), $1,358.15.

(14) Bureau of Street Repair, Appropriation 33-C, construction of grounds at Relief Home (claim dated Feb. 17, 1927), $2,281.70.

Hetch Hetchy Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1925.

(15) Beronio Lumber Co., lumber for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Feb. 21, 1927), $834.72.

(16) Chain Belt Co., roller chain, Hetch Henchy construction (claim dated Feb. 21, 1927), $690.56.

(17) Ingersoll-Rand Co. of California, drills, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Feb. 21, 1927), $800.

(18) Myers-Whaley Co., conveyor chain and parts, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Feb. 23, 1927), $716.82.

(19) J. H. McCallum, lumber, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Feb. 21, 1927), $576.91.

(20) The Charles Nelson Co., lumber, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Feb. 21, 1927), $504.70.

(21) M. M. O'Shaughnessy, revolving fund expenditures, per vouchers (claim dated Feb. 21, 1927), $742.

(22) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., reimbursement for account of overpayment on sand rolls and for material (claim dated Feb. 21, 1927), $881.63.

(23) Bald Eagle Meat Market, foodstuffs (claim dated Feb. 21, 1927), $3,811.23.

(24) State Compensation Insurance Fund, premium on insurance of Hetch Hetchy employees (claim dated Feb. 21, 1927), $3 219.56.

Municipal Railway Accident Reserve Fund.

(25) Department of Public Health (San Francisco Hospital), care of injured by Municipal Railways during January, 1927 (claim dated Feb. 17, 1927), $600.

General Fund, 1925-1926.

(26) John Reid, Jr., final payment, architectural services for children's ward, southeast (tubercular) wing of San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Feb. 23, 1927), $614.75.

General Fund, 1926-1927.

(27) San Francisco Bulletin, official advertising (claim dated Feb. 28, 1927), $1,287.52.

(28) Phillips & Van Orden Co., printing for departments (claim dated Feb. 28, 1927), $2,042.70.

(29) Ed. Barry Co., printing for departments (claim dated Feb. 28, 1927), $1,925.55.

(30) Daniel J. O'Brien, police contingent expense (claim dated March 1, 1927), $750.

(31) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., gas for county jails (claim dated Feb. 15, 1927), $996.33.

(32) Langendorf Baking Co., bread for county jails (claim dated Jan. 15, 1927), $1,015.93.

(33) Del Monte Meat Co., meats for county jails (claim dated Feb. 15, 1927), $1,411.39.

(34) California Meat Co., meats for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Jan. 31, 1927), $1,293.94.

(35) South San Francisco Packing and Provision Co., meats for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Jan. 31, 1927), $1,095.20.

(36) San Francisco Dairy Co., milk, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Jan. 31, 1927), $3,978.36.

(37) J. T. Freitas Co., Inc., butter and eggs, Relief Home (claim dated Jan. 31, 1927), $2,701.04.

(38) C. Nauman & Co., potatoes and onions for Relief Home (claim dated Jan. 31, 1927), $1,015.45.

(39) Spring Valley Water Co., water service for Fire Department hydrants, month of January (claim dated Feb. 24, 1927), $14,469.20.

(40) John P. Hennessy (injured employee, Sewer Repair Department) per award by Industrial Accident Commission (claim dated Feb. 8, 1927), $987.25.

(41) School repairs (Budget Item No. 1), materials furnished for painting of San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Feb. 17, 1927), $654.44.

(42) California Rock Co., gravel for street repair (claim dated Feb. 21, 1927), $2,335.23.

(43) Standard Oil Co., asphalt for street repair (claim dated Feb. 21, 1927), $1,764.71.

(44) Jas. E. Lennon Lime and Cement Co., cement for street repaid (claim dated Feb. 21, 1927), $1,758.79.

(45) Western Rock Products Co., sand for street repair (claim dated Feb. 21, 1927), $3,500.09.

(46) Equitable Asphalt Maintenance Co., royalties on street resurfacing (claim dated Feb. 21, 1927), $1,899.96.

(47) Symon Brothers, teams furnished for street cleaning (claim dated Feb. 21, 1927), $655.50

(48) A. P. Jacobs, rent of premises No. 333 Kearny street, February 3, 1927), to March 3, 1927, $1,186.

Appropriations, Payments to The Lurie Company and Alice R. Tice for Lands Required for Alemany Boulevard Purposes and Widening of Garfield Street.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be paid out of County Road Fund, appropriations heretofore made, to the following named, being payments for properties required for boulevard purposes, to-wit:

(1) To The Lurie Company, for lands beginning at a point on the center line of Ralston street produced southerly, distant thereon 662 feet southerly from the southerly line of Stanley street, as shown on map entitled "Alemany Tract", recorded in Map Book "K", pages 77 and 78; thence northerly along said center line produced 28.989 feet, of irregular dimensions; as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 26844 (New Series), and required for the widening of Alemany boulevard (claim dated Feb. 24, 1927), $1,733.

(2) To Alice R. Tice, for all of Lot 20 in Block 6990, as per Assessor's map of the City and County; as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 26841 (New Series), and required for the widening of Garfield street (claim dated Feb. 24, 1927), $1,150.

Appropriation, $12,350, Sewers in Noriega Street Between Twenty-fourth and Twenty-ninth Avenues.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $12,350 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of "Extension and Reconstruction of Sewers," Budget Item No. 39, for the cost of construction of sewers and appurtenances in Noriega street between Twenty-fourth and Twenty-ninth avenues, including inspection and possible extras.

Appropriations, Furnishings for Mission and Galileo High Schools and High School of Commerce.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1918, for the furnishing of the hereinafter named schools, to-wit:

Mission High School, $30,000.

Galileo High School, $20,000.

High School of Commerce, $10,000.

Appropriations for Equipment of Edison, Sherman and John Muir Schools.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $28,500 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of School Bonds, Issue 1923, for the equipment of the Edison, Sherman and John Muir schools, at the rate of $9,500 each.

Appropriations for Carter Arterial Stop Signs and Switchboard for Traffic Signals.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of "Traffic Signals, etc.," Budget Item No. 69, for the following purposes, to-wit:

(1) For the purchase and installing of 500 Carter arterial stop signs, $10,000.

(2) For the construction and installation of a switchboard, by the Department of Electricity, to be connected with traffic signals, $1,000.

Appropriation for Meeting Wage Scale Increases, Board of Public Works.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside and appropriated out of General Fund, 1926-1927, to the credit of the hereinafter designated Budget Items, Department of Public Works, to meet the prevailing wage paid for such employments, to-wit:

Budget Item No. 432, superintendent of painters, $105.

Budget Item No. 460, six painters, $585.

Budget Item No. 461, foreman painter, $97.50.

Budget Item No. 462, painters, shopmen, $75.

Budget Item No. 463, painters' apprentice, $269.

Appropriation, $1,000, Publicity and Advertising, Chinatown "Feast of the Lanterns."

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the fame of San Francisco's Chinatown is world-wide, and it stands out as one of the largest and most unique and interesting Oriental quarter of any city in the United States; and

Whereas, there is being held in Chinatown at this time the "Fah Dang Wui," otherwise known as the

"Feast of the Lanterns," which is attracting thousands of peoole to the Oriental quarter; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the sum of $1,000 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of Publicity and Advertising—Appropriation 55, toward the expense of street decorations in Chinatown, for the publicity and advertising of San Francisco.

Appropriation, $500, San Jose's Fiesta De Las Rosas.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 26875 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the Fiesta De Las Rosas will take place in San Jose April 30th to May 7th, inclusive, 1927, in commemoration of the 150th anniversary of the founding of San Jose as the first Spanish pueblo in California (1777-1927); and

Whereas, the City of San Jose has extended an invitation to the City and County of San Francisco to again be represented by an appropriate float in the floral pageant parade which will take place on May 7th; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Public Welfare and Publicity Committee be and is hereby authorized to make the necessary arrangements to be represented in said parade by an appropriate floral float; and be it

Further Resolved, That the sum of $500 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of Budget Item No. 1079, Publicity and Advertising (Appropriation 55), for this purpose.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher—3.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

City Attorney Authorized to Compromise Damage Claim of Francisca S. Rivas for $1,500.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy:

Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the City Attorney be and is authorized to compromise, in sum of $1,500, the claim of Francisca S. Rivas for damages arising out of accident as set forth in Superior Court Action No. 170006.

Authorizing Plans and Specifications for Initial Grading of Municipal Airport Temporary Site in San Mateo County.

Also, Bill No. 7931, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Authorizing and ordering the preparation of plans and specifications for the intial grading of the Municipal Airport temporary site in San Mateo County, and the initial grading of the said Municipal Airport temporary site in accordance with the plans and specifications prepared therefor; authorizing and directing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for said initial grading.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized, instructed and empowered to prepare plans and specifications for the initial grading of the Municipal Airport temporary site in San Mateo County, and to enter into contract for said initial grading of the Municipal Airport temporary site in San Mateo County in accordance with said plans and specifications prepared therefor.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Street Lights.

Supervisor Stanton presented:

Resolution No. 26876 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Pacific Gas & Electric Company be and it is hereby authorized and requested to install and remove the following street lights:

Remove 600 *M. R.*

Northwest and southeast corners of Geary street and Twelfth avenue.

Northwest and southeast corners of Geary street and Funston avenue.

Northwest and southeast corners of Geary street and Fourteenth avenue.

Northwest and southeast corners of Geary street and Fifteenth avenue.

Northwest and southeast corners of Geary street and Sixteenth avenue.

Northwest and southeast corners of Geary street and Seventeenth avenue.

Geary street between Funston and Fourteenth avenues.

Install 600 *C. P. Ornamental Bracket Lamps on Trolley Poles.*

Northeast and southwest corners of Twelfth avenue and Geary street.

North and south sides Geary

street between Twelfth and Funston avenues.

Northeast and southwest corners Funston avenue and Geary street.

North and south sides Geary street between Funston and Fourteenth avenues.

Northeast and southwest corners Geary street and Fourteenth avenue.

North and south sides Geary street between Fourteenth and Fifteenth avenues.

Northeast and southwest corners Geary street and Fifteenth avenue.

North and south sides Geary street between Fifteenth and Sixteenth avenues.

Northeast and southwest corners Geary street and Sixteenth avenue.

North and south sides Geary street between Sixteenth and Seventeenth avenues.

Northeast and southwest corners Geary street and Seventeenth avenue.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher—3.

Carter Arterial Stop Signal Adopted and Purchaser of Supplies to Provide for Installation of Five Hundred at Half Cost to City.

Supervisor Todd presented:

Resolution No. 26877 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, that, upon the recommendation of the Traffic Committee of the Board of Supervisors, the Carter Arterial Spot Signal is hereby adopted for the use of the City and County of San Francisco and the Purchaser of Supplies is hereby authorized and directed to purchase and provide for the installation of five hundred of said signals, one-half of the cost thereof to be paid by the California Automobile Association and the remainder to be paid out of Budget Item No. 69.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher—3.

Action Deferred.

The following matter was presented by Supervisor Shannon, *laid over one week and made a Special Order of Business for* 10:30 *a. m.*:

Soliciting Offers, Street Railways.

Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Franisco as follows:

1. That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco does hereby solicit offers for the sale to the said City and County of San Francisco of any existing street railway or system of street railways operated along the route or in the territory described as follows:

From Scott and Chestnut streets north into the Marina Tract, west of Scott street and north of Chestnut street; from Masonic avenue and Turk street westerly into the Richmond District; from Market street, along Duboce avenue, through the Sunset-Duboce Tunnel into the Sunset District between Lincoln was and Kirkham street; from Judah street southerly to Taraval street, west of Twentieth avenue; from Forty-sixth avenue and Taraval street, southerly to a terminal near Wawona street and Forty-seventh avenue; from Thirtieth and Church streets, southwesterly into the Excelsior District, south of Avalon avenue and east of Mission street, terminating at or near Geneva avenue; from Eureka street and Market street extension southerly to the vicinity of Twenty-seventh and Diamond streets; from Seventeenth street and Potrero avenue to Twenty-third and Wisconsin streets.

Any owner or owners of any such street railway or system of street railways serving the soutes or districts above described are hereby invited to submit proposals or offers in writing to the Board of Supervisors for the sale of the same to the City and County, and such proposals shall be filed with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors at any time prior to the 28th day of March, 1927.

It is hereby announced and declared to be the purpose and intention of the Board of Supervisors to consider any and all proposals or offers that may be made for the sale of any such street railway or system of street railways to the City and County on the said 28th day of March, 1927, and before submitting the propositions to the electors for the acquisition by original construction or condemnation of such railway or system of street railways in order that the electors may have the benefit of acquiring the same at the lowest possible cost thereof.

2. The Clerk of the Board of Supervisors is hereby directed to

publish in the official newspaper for the period of ten (10) days a notice in substantially the following form:

"*Notice soliciting offers for the saye of street railways to the City and County.*

"To the owner or owners of any railways or system of street railways in the City and County of San Francisco:

"You are hereby solicited and invited to submit to the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, prior to the 28th day of March, 1927, an offer or offers in writing, to sell to the said City and County any street railway or system of street railways or any portion thereof, operating over the following routes or described districts:

"From Scott and Chestnut streets north into the Marina Tract, west of Scott street and north of Chestnut street; from Masonic avenue and Turk street westerly into the Richmond District; from Market street, along Duboce avenue, through the Sunset-Duboce Tunnel into the Sunset District between Lincoln way and Kirkham street; from Judah street southerly to Taraval street, west of Twentieth avenue; from Forty-sixth avenue and Taraval street, southerly to a terminal near Wawona street and Forty-seventh avenue; from Thirtieth and Church streets, southwesterly into the Excelsior District, south of Avalon avenue and east of Mission street, terminating at or near Geneva avenue; from Eureka street and Market street extension southerly to the vicinity of Twenty-seventh street and Diamond street; from Seventeenth street and Potrero avenue to Twenty-third street and Wisconsin street

"This notice is given in compliance with the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco and of Resolution No. ——— (New Series), adopted ————, 1927, and your attention is called to the said resolution fro further particulars.

Dated ————.

.....
Clerk"

3. The Clerk of said Board is hereby directed to cause a copy of the aforesaid notice to be mailed to the Market Street Railway Company, addressed to them at their place of business in San Francisco, California.

Action Deferred.

The following bill was presented by Supervisor Shannon and, on motion, *laid over one week and made a Special Order of Business for 10:30 a. m.*:

Declaratory Ordinance, Municipal Railway System.

Bill No. ——, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Determining and declaring that the public interest and necessity demand the acquisition or construction of a public utility, to-wit:

A number of extensions to the existing Municipal street railway system over and along the public streets, private rights of way, and through tunnels being constructed by the municipality, said extensions to be made from the existing Municipal Railway track at Scott and Chestnut streets into the Marina Tract, west of Scott street and north of Chestnut street; from he Municipal Railway track at Masonic avenue and Turk street into the Richmond District, connecting with the Municipal Railway tracks running to the ocean beach; from the Municipal Railway tracks on Market street at Duboce avenue, through the Sunset-Duboce Tunnel into the Sunset District and through the Sunset District to a terminal near the ocean beach, with connecting cross-town service west of Twentieth avenue between said extension and the existing Municipal Railway line on Taraval street; from the Municipal Railway tracks at Forty-sixth avenue and Taraval street, southerly to a terminal near Wawona street and Forty-seventh avenue; from the Municipal Railway tracks at Thirtieth and Church streets, southwesterly through the Bernal Cut, into the Excelsior District, at or near Excelsior street; thence southerly through the Excelsior District to a terminal at Geneva avenue; an automobile bus service fro mthe vicinity of Eureka street and Market street extension by the most feasible route to the vicinity of Twenty-seventh and Diamond streets; an automobile bus service from the vicinity of Eeventeenth street and Poerero avenue by the most feasible route to the vicinity of Twenty-third street and Winconsin street; and for such other extensions and additions to the trackage and facilities of the said Municipal Railway system as may be deemed necessary, and to include equipment thereof, appurtenances thereto, and the purchase of necessary lands; and directing the Board of Public Works to procure and file plans and estimates of the cost thereof

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1 It is hereby determined and specifically declared that the public interest and necessity

demand the acquisition or construction of extensions to the existing Municipal street railway system, to-wit:

Extensions of the Municipal street railway tracks and service over and along public streets, rights of way, and through a municipal aunnel as follows:

From the existing Municipal Railway track at Scott and Chestnut streets into the Marina Tract, west of Scott street and north of Chestnut street; from the Municipal &Railway track at Masonic avenue and Turk street into the Richmond District, connecting with the Municipal Railway tracks running to the ocean beach; from the Municipal Railway tracks on Market street at Duboce avenue, through the Sunset-Duboce Tunnel into the Sunset District and through the Sunset District to a terminal near the ocean beach, with connecting cross-town service west of Twentieth avenue between said extension and the existing Municipal Railway tracks on Taraval street; from the Municipal Railway tracks at Forty-sixth avenue and Taraval street, southerly to a terminal near Wawona street and Forty-seventh avenue; from the Municipal Railway tracks at Thirtieth and Church streets, southwesterly through the Bernal Cut, into the Excelsior District, at or near Excelsior street; thence southerly through the Excelsior District to a terminal at Geneva avenue; an automobile bus service from the vicinity of Eureka street and Market street extension by the most feasible route to the vicinity of Twenty-seventh and Diamond streets; an automobile bus service from the vicinity of Seventeenth street and Potrero avenue by the most feasible route to the vicinity of Twenty-third street and Wisconsin street; and for such other extensions and additions to the trackage and facilities of the said Municipal Railway system as may be deemed necessary, and to include equipment thereof, appurtenances thereto, and the purchase of necessary lands.

Section 2. The Board of Public Works is hereby directed to procure, through the City Engineer, and file with the Board of Supervisors, plans and estimates of cost of original construction and completion of the extensions to the Municipal street railways as herein described.

Section 3. This ordinance shall be published for two weeks in the official newspaper and shall take effect immediately.

Action Deferred.

The following matter, laid over from a previous meeting, was taken up and again *laid over one week*:

Transfer of Twin Peaks Tunnel Funds to Municipal Railway.

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $42,508.21 be and is hereby ordered transferred from the Twin Peaks Ridge Tunnel Assessment Fund to the Municipal Railway Fund and the Board of Public Works, Tax Collector, Auditor and Treasurer are hereby directed to make such entries in their official records and books of account as will correctly show such transfer; said transfer is for the purpose of reimbursing said Municipal Railway Fund for moneys advanced therefrom and used in the construction of the Twin Peaks Tunnel project.

Payment for Municipal Band at Sesquicentennial Celebration.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $440.90 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of "Maintenance Municipal Band," Budget Item No. 30, in payment to Philip H. Sapiro for services of band at Mission Park October 10, 1926, in connection with the Sesquicentennial celebration.

Amendment.

Supervisor Roncovieri moved that resolution be amended—taking payment from Budget Item No. 1079 instead of Budget Item No. 30.

Action Deferred.

Whereupon, the foregoing matter was *laid over one week.*

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Garage Permit.

On motion of Supervisor Deasy:

Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Hertz Drivurself Stations, Inc., is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to operate and maintain a public garage on the northeast corner of Twenty-second and Mission streets, with entrance on Capp street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Fixing Sidewalk Widths on Innes Avenue.

On motion of Supervisor Badaracco:

Bill No. 7932, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered nine hundred and fifty.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Board of Public Works, filed in this office February 18, 1927, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered nine hundred and fifty, to read as follows:

Section 950. The width of sidewalks on Innes avenue, the northerly side of, between Lane and Mendell streets, shall be fifteen (15) feet.

The width of sidewalks on Innes avenue, the southerly side of, between Lane and Mendell streets, shall be thirty (30) feet.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect and be in force from and after its passage.

Intention to Change Grades.

Supervisor Badaracco presented:

Resolution No. 26878 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to change and establish grades on the following named streets at the points hereinafter specified and at the elevations above city base as hereinafter stated, in accordance with Resolution No. 96049 (Second Series) of the Board of Public Works adopted February 18, 1927, and written recommendation of said Board filed February 18, 1927, to-wit:

Fitzgerald Avenue.

On a line at right angles to the southwesterly line of, 330.75 feet southeasterly from Charter Oak avenue, 36 50 feet.

On a line at right angles to the southwesterly line of, 188.79 feet southeasterly from Charter Oak avenue, 40.20 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

On Fitzgerald avenue between lines at right angles to the southwesterly line of and respectively 330.75 feet and 188.79 feet southeasterly from Charter Oak avenue, be changed and established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that no assessment district is necessary, as no damage will result from said change of grades, inasmuch as the streets are ungraded and there are no existing street improvements.

The Board of Public Works is hereby directed to cause to be conspicuously posted along the street or streets upon which such change or modification of grade or grades is contemplated, notice of the passage of this resolution of intention.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher—3.

Extensions of Time.

Supervisor Badaracco presented:

Resolution No. 26879 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Fay Improvement Company be and is hereby granted an extension of ninety days' time from and after January 28, 1927, within which to complete the improvement of Southern Heights boulevard between Rhode Island and Carolina streets. This extension of time is granted for the reason that the contractor has been delayed by inclement weather.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher—3.

Also, Resolution No. 26880 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Pacific States Construction Company be and is hereby granted an extension of fifteen days' time from and after February 13, 1927, within which to complete the improvement of Masonic avenue between Fell and Oak streets. This extension of time is granted for the reason that the contractor has been delayed by inclement weather.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher—3.

Passed for Printing.

The following resolution was *passed for printing*:

Blasting Permit.

On motion of Supervisor Marks:

Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Eaton & Smith are hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to explode blasts while grading on Castro street between Twenty-eighth and Thirtieth streets; provided said permittee shall execute and file a good and sufficient bond in the sum of $2,500 as fixed by the Board of Public Works and approved by his Honor the Mayor, in accordance with Ordinance No. 1204; provided, also, that said blasts shall be exploded only between the hours of 7 a. m. and 6 p. m., and that the work of said blasting shall be performed to the satisfaction of the Board of Public Works; and that if any of the conditions of this resolution be violated by said Eaton & Smith, then the privileges and all the rights accruing thereunder shall immediately become null and void.

Fixing March 7, 1927, 2 p. m., for Hearing Appeal from Assessment for Improvement of San Jose Avenue Between Foote Avenue and Sickles Avenue.

Supervisor Badaracco presented:

Resolution No. 26881 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Monday, March 7, 1927, at 2 p. m., is hereby fixed as the time for hearing the appeal of property owners from the action and decision of the Board of Public Works in overruling the protest of property owners against the improvement of San Jose avenue between the southwesterly line of Foote avenue produced to the northeasterly line of Sickles avenue produced, by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks of the full official width where artificial stone sidewalks of the full official width are not already constructed.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher—3.

Intention to Close Portion of Bay View Street.

Supervisor Badaracco presented:

Resolution No. 26882 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the public interest requires that a portion of Bay View street be closed up and abandoned as hereinafter described; be it

Further Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to close up and abandon all that certain portion of Bay View street described as follows, to-wit:

Commencing at a point on the southerly line of Bay View street, distant thereon 23.048 feet westerly from the westerly line of Flora street; thence westerly on the southerly line of Bay View street 108.869 feet to the southeasterly line of Newhall street; thence northeasterly on a curve to the right, tangent to a line deflected 115 deg. 15 min. 12 sec. to the right from the preceding course, radius 37.006 feet, central angle 96 deg. 10 min. 51 sec., a distance of 62.120 feet; thence southeasterly on a curve to the left, tagent to the preceding curve, radius 107.572 feet, central angle 31 deg. 26 min. 03 sec., a distance of 59.017 feet to tangency with the southerly line of Bay View street and point of commencement. Being a portion of the southerly side of Bay View street between Newhall and Flora streets.

Said closing up and abandonment of said portion of said street shall be done and made in the manner and in accordance with the provisions of Section 2 of Chapter III of Article VI of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco as amended and the sections of said chapter and article following said Section 2. Be it

Further Resolved, That there are no costs or expenses to be incurred in connection with said closing and abandoning.

And the Clerk is hereby directed to transmit to the Board of Public Works a certified copy of this resolution and the Board of Public Works is hereby directed to give notice of said contemplated closing and abandonment of said portion of Bay View street in the manner provided by law and to cause notice to be published in the San Francisco Bulletin as required by law.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher—3.

Passed for Printing.

The following resolution was *passed for printing*:

Oil and Boiler Permits.

On motion of Supervisor Deasy:

Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits be and the same are hereby granted:

Oil Tanks.

C. K. Calley, south side of Alhambra street, 72 feet east of Mallorca way, 1500 gallons capacity.

Crane Co., east side of Stanford street, 25 feet north of Brannan street, 1500 gallons capacity.

F. Denucci, south side of Twenty-eighth street, 48 feet west of Dolores street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Henry Ernst & Sons, northeast corner of Eleventh avenue and California street, 1500 gallons capacity.

E. V. Lacey, north line of Haight street, 200 feet east of Laguna street, 1500 gallons capacity.

M gnuson & Peterson, north line of Fourteenth street, 325 feet west of Valencia street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Boilers.

Kroehler Manufacturing Company, northeast corner of Charter Oak and Gilman avenues, 150-horsepower.

Pacific States Construction Company, between Carroll avenue and Jennings street, 125-horsepower.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits shall become null and void.

Citizens and Supervisors' Committee—Sunset Tunnel Dedication.

Supervisor Kent presented:

Resolution No. 26883 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, City Engineer O'Shaughnessy has stated that daylight will break through the Sunset Tunnel some time in the second week in March, opening up the Sunset-Parkside District to new street car transportation, bringing to the people of this district an improvement for which they have worked for many years; and

Whereas, this tunnel project is of tremendous importance to the development of all San Francisco through the impetus it will give to the settlement of the western half of the City; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Mayor is hereby authorized and requested to appoint a committee of Supervisors and citizens to direct a parade of progress jointly with the directors of the Sunset-Parkside District, Incorporated, representing the property owners and residents of the western half of the city; this tunnel dedication and celebration to commemorate a great step in the advancement of San Francisco and the construction of an important link in our tunnel and car line system.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher—3.

Award of Contract for Relief Home.

Supervisor Badaracco presented:

Resolution No. 26884 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of contract for furnishing tobacco for Relief Home be hereby made on bids submitted Febru ry 21, 1927 (Proposal No. 275), as follows, viz.:

To Haas Brothers: 3000 pounds tobacco, smoking, cut plug, George Washington brand, at $0.5088 per pound.

To Healey & Donaldson: 1000 pounds chewing tobacco, pressed natural leaf, Humming Bird brand, at $0.41 per pound.

Note: All above awards are made to the lowest bidder except when award is made in consideration of deliveries or on account of the quality as determined by such tests as required or recommended by the Purchaser of Supplies.

Resolved, That all other bids submitted thereon be rejected.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, H yden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher—3.

Removal of Trees, Park Panhandle.

Supervisor Kent presented:

Resolution No. 26885 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, complaint has been made that the big trees along Oak and Fell streets in the Panhandle, Golden Gate Park, have resulted in retarding property values along said streets, to the detriment of property owners; and

Whereas, the removal of these large trees will stimulate property values along these streets; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Park Commissioners be and is hereby respectfully requested to give consideration to the matter of the removal of these trees and the substitution therefor of trees of a character that would not be objectionable.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher—3.

ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND MOTIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

Declaratory Ordinance, Extending Moccasin Creek Power Line.

Supervisor Havenner presented: Bill No. ——, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Determining and declaring that the public interest and necessity demand the acquisition, construction and extension of a public utility, to-wit:

The extension of the Moccasin Creek Power Line of the Hetch Hetchy Water and Power Project of the City and County of San Francisco from its present terminal near Newark, Alameda County, California, over, under, across or around the Bay of San Francisco, thence by the most feasible route into the City and County of San Francisco, for the purpose of transmitting electric energy generated at Moccasin Creek Power Plant into the City and County of San Francisco; to construct such transmission line, equipment thereof, appurtenances thereto; to acquire all necessary rights of way, permits, easements, purchase of necessary lands, buildings and terminal facilities, and directing the Board of Public Works to procure and file plans and estimates of the cost thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby determined and specifically declared that the public interest and necessity demand the acquisition, construction and extension of the transmission line of the Moccasin Creek Power Line of the Hetch Hetchy Water and Power Project of the City and County of San Francisco from its present terminal near Newark, Alameda County, California, over, under, across or around the Bay of San Francisco, thence by the most feasible route into the City and County of San Francisco, for the purpose of transmitting electric energy generated at the Moccasin Creek Power Plant into the City and County of San Francisco, and to construct such transmission line, equipment thereof, appurtenances thereto; to acquire all necessary rights of way, permits, easements, purchase of necessary lands, buildings and terminal facilities.

Section 2. The Board of Public Works is hereby directed to procure, through the City Engineer, and file with the Board of Supervisors, plans and estimates of cost of original construction and completion of the extension of the Moccasin Creek Transmission Line of the Hetch Hetchy Water and Power Project as herein described.

Section 3. This ordinance shall be published for two weeks in the official newspaper and shall take effect immediately.

Referred to Public Utilities Committee.

Baseball Advertising on Street Cars.

Supervisor Colman presented: Resolution No. 26886 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the San Francisco Baseball Club and the Mission Baseball Club be and they are hereby granted permits to advertise on the outside of the street cars of the Market Street Railroad Company (provided said cars when used for said advertising purposes are not used to carry passengers) the season of baseball games to be held in San Francisco for the period covering the baseball season.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher—3.

RECESS.

Thereupon, at the hour of 12:10 p. m., the Board of Supervisors took a recess until 2 p. m. this afternoon.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk.

REASSEMBLED—2 P. M.

The Board of Supervisors reassembled at 2 p. m.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher—3.

Quorum present.

His Honor Mayor Rolph being absent, Supervisor McSheehy was elected to preside.

Plumbing Bids, Sherman School.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication, from Builders' Exchange, protesting rejection of bids for plumbing on the Sherman

School and asking that matter be reopened.

Referred to the Public Buildings Committee.

Public Health Building, Civic Center.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication, from Civic League of Improvement Clubs, requesting that Board of Public Works be directed to prepare plans, etc., for Board of Health building in Civic Center.

Referred to Public Buildings and Finance Committees.

Supervisor Shannon in this connection suggested, also, a building for the Police Department.

Dedication of Carquinez Bridge.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication, from American Toll Bridge Company, inviting attendance at dedication of Carquinez Bridge, Saturday, May 21, 1927.

Referred to Public Welfare and Publicity Committee.

Accepting Offer of Joseph H. Quadt to Sell Certain Property on Nineteenth Street Required for School Purposes.

Supervisor Powers presented:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, an offer has been received from Joseph H. Quadt to convey to the City and County of San Francisco certain land and improvements situate on south line of Nineteenth street, 34 feet d stant easterly from Diamond street, required for school purposes; and

Whereas, the price at which said parcel of land is offered is the reasonable value thereof; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the offer of the said owner to convey to the City and County of San Francisco a good and sufficient fee simple title to the following described land, free of all encumbrances, for the sum of $5,900 be and the same is hereby accepted, the said land being described as follows, to-wit:

Commencing at a point on the southerly line of Nineteenth street, distant thereon 34 feet easterly from the easterly line of Diamond street, running thence easterly and along said line of Nineteenth street 33 feet; thence at a right angle southerly 100 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 33 feet; thence at a right angle northerly 100 feet to the point of commencement. Being a portion of Horner's Addition Block No. 194.

The City Attorney is hereby directed to examine the title to said land and if the same is found to be vested in the aforesaid owner, free of all encumbrances, and that the taxes up to and including the current fiscal year are paid, and that the so-called McEnerney title has been procured or sufficient money reserved for the purpose of procuring the same, to report the result of his examination to the Board of Supervisors, and also to cause a good and sufficient deed for said land to be executed and delivered to the City and County upon payment of the agreed purchase price as aforesaid. And the said deed to said land is hereby accepted.

Referred to Finance Committee.

Pistol Practice for Police Department.

Supervisor Kent presented:

Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the Police Department of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, is armed with the pistol; and

Whereas, proficiency in the use of the pistol is realized from practice; and

Whereas, practice in the use of the pistol is best had at fixed times and places under reasonable conditions of comfort, contentment, isolation and ability to concentrate at and from the firing point; and

Whereas, practice and proficiency in the use of the pistol secures the dual resultant of confidence and high morale in the individual police officer and impresses the community wherein the police officer functions with a well-founded sense of security, freedom from undue molestation of gun-men, and tends to maintain civic pride in the performance of this phase of the police officer's duty; and

Whereas, it appears that better satisfaction and economy can be had with such practice, when the same functions under authority and organization; and

Whereas, the National Rifle Association of America is organized under authority of the War Department at Washington, D. C., and is committed to the mission of promoting marksmanship among American citizens and to confer upon such American citizens the regular insignia of the Regular Army in recognition of the grade of proficiency attained by the marksman with rifle, pistol and revolver, and in addition thereto, it provides for the purchase of required firearms and ammunition at an attractive price; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Police Commission of the City and County of

San Francisco be requested to secure for the personnel of the Police Department of this City and County such firearms, ammunition and facilities to engage in pistal firing as may be available for the best interests of said Police Department; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Police Commission ask an appropriation in the next budget proper and consistent with the requirements of the Police Department to develop marksmanship among its personnel.

Referred to Police Committee.

Accepting Offer of John F. Garvey to Sell Land on Thirty-second Avenue Required for School Purposes.

Supervisor Powers presented:

Whereas, an offer has been received from John F. Garvey to convey to the City and County of San Francisco certain land situate east line of Thirty-second avenue, distant 175 feet northerly from Balboa street, required for school purposes; and

Whereas, the price at which said parcel of land is offered is the reasonable value thereof; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the offer of the said owner to convey to the City and County of San Francisco a good and sufficient fee simple title to the following described land, free of all encumbrances, for the sum of $1,750 be and the same is hereby accepted, the said land being described as follows, to-wit:

Commencing at a point on the easterly line of Thirty-second avenue, distant thereon 175 feet northerly from the northerly line of Balboa street; running thence northerly and along said line of Thirty-second avenue 25 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 109 feet 9¾ inches to a line drawn southeasterly from a point on the southerly line of Anza street, distant thereon 69 feet 2 inches easterly from the easterly line of Thirty-second avenue to a point on the northerly line of Balboa street, distant thereon 130 feet 1 inch easterly from the said easterly line of Thirty-second avenue; thence running southeasterly along said last described line 25 feet 1 inch, more or less, to a line drawn easterly and parallel with the northerly line of Balboa street from the point of commencement; thence westerly along said last described line 112 feet 3¾ inches, more or less, to the point of commencement. Being a portion of Outside Land Block No. 314.

The City Attorney is hereby directed to examine the title to said land and if the same is found to be vested in the aforesaid owner, free of all encumbrances, and that the so-called McEnerney title has been procured or sufficient money reserved for the purpose of procuring the same, to report the result of his examination to the Board of Supervisors, and also to cause a good and sufficient deed for said land to be executed and delivered to the City and County upon payment of the agreed purchase price as aforesaid. And the said deed to said land is hereby accepted; and be it

Further Resolved, That Resolutions 26804 and 26822 (New Series) be and the same are hereby repealed.

Referred to Finance Committee.

Accepting Offer of G. Bernini et al. to Sell Land Required for Bernal Cut.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the owners of the following described land situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and sought to be acquired by the City and County of San Francisco for the opening of Bernal Cut, extending from Mission and Randall streets southwesterly along the Southern Pacific Railroad right of way to the intersection of San Jose avenue and Circular avenue, have offered to convey the property desired by said City and County for the sum set forth opposite their names, viz.:

G. Bernini and Emilia Bernini, $908—All of Lot 39 Jones' Addition to Fairmount Tract, as recorded in Map Book "E" and "F", page 169, records of City and County of San Francisco; saving and excepting therefrom the following described parcel: Beginning at a point on the southeasterly line of Arlington street, distant thereon 288 feet southwesterly from the southwesterly line of Roanoke street; thence southwesterly along said southeasterly line 25 feet to the southwesterly boundary line of said Lot 39; thence southeasterly along said southwesterly line 53.29 feet; thence deflecting 81 deg. 33 min. 30 sec. to the left and running northeasterly 25.274 feet to the northeasterly boundary line of said Lot 39; thence deflecting 98 deg. 26 min. 30 sec. to the left and running northwesterly 57 feet to the southeasterly line of Arlington street and the point of beginning.

M. Deminio and Rose Deminio, $2,500—Lot 31, Block 6692, as per

Assessor's Block Book of the City and County of San Francisco.

Ernst Otto Semerau, $7,250—Lot 6a, Block 6661, as per Assessor's Block Book of the City and County of San Francisco.

Whereas, the City Attorney has recommended the acceptance of the said offers and the acquisition of the property owned by said persons and offered to the City and County of San Francisco for the prices set forth opposite their names, which said prices are in accordance with the City's appraisement of the property; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the said offers of sale be accepted, and the City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to examine the titles of said properties, and if the same are found in satisfactory condition, to accept in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco deeds conveying title thereto, and file the same for record with a copy of this resolution attached thereto, as evidence of acceptance by the City and County of San Francisco, upon payment of the agreed purchase prices.

Referred to Finance Committee.

SPECIAL ORDER—2:30 P. M.

Garbage Incinerator Permit.

The following matter was taken up:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the garbage incinerator located at Eighth and De Haro streets and owned by the City and County of San Francisco has been operated by the Scavengers Protective Union from November 14, 1918, to date, on a revocable day to day agreement, without said City and County deriving any revenue therefrom; and

Whereas, the City and County of San Francisco has had an investment of $400,000 in this incinerator and no revenues have been received, and reports show that during the last two and two-thirds months the Scavengers Protective Union has received $39,401.81, with an expenditure of $16,296.81, showing a profit of $23,105; and

Whereas, an offer has been made by the City Garbage Removal Company, Inc., to take over the operation of said incienrator and pay the City and County a daily rental of $137 for this privilege, said daily rental being based on an annual rental of $50,000; and

Whereas, the Finance and Health Committees of the Board of Supervisors, sitting in joint session Thursday, January 27, 1927, by unanimous consent, recommends to said Board of Supervisors that pending definite arrangements, etc., for the disposal of garbage by other means, for which plans are under way, it is deemed reasonable that the City and County of San Francisco should receive whatever revenue it is possible to secure from the operation of the said incinerator until such time as it is abandoned; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco will give a revocable, day to day permit to occupy the above mentioned incinerator and to dispose of the garbage of the City therein, to the person, firm or corporation offering the highest bid for said privilege, at the open session of said Board to be held on Monday, February 14, 1927, commencing at 10 o'clock a. m. The person, firm or corporation to whom said privilege is granted must within three days after the granting of same enter into a written contract with the City and County to carry out the terms of said permit, and file with this Board a bond in the sum of $10,000 for the faithful performance of said contract.

Privilege of the Floor.

Mr. Ashe, representing the Finance Committee, presented his report, showing that there is considerable profit in the operation of the incinerator. He stated a competitor has made an offer to pay the City $50,000 a year or $137 a day for the privilege of operating the incinerator.

Mr. Edward McKenzie, representing the present operators of the incinerator, urged that they be permitted to continue. He said they are not making the money it is alleged they are, but even if they were making it they offer to turn over to the City all profit made from operation of the incinerator. Incidentally, he mentioned the fact that any salvage in the garbage rightfully belongs to the garbage men and not to the operators of the incinerator.

Mr. Chauncey Tramutolo, on behalf of the Scavengers' Protective Association, also urged that the present system be continued.

Mr. Jos. Callaghan, representing the City Engineer's office, stated that plans and specifications will be ready in two weeks and negotiations are now being made with reference to a site regarding the disposal of garbage by dumping at sea.

Jas. Hanley, attorney representing the City Garbage Company, was

heard in favor of the adoption of the resolution.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy the matter was *continued until next Monday and made a Special Order for* 2:30 *p. m.*

Notice of Reconsideration—Ocean Palace Amusement Permit.

Supervisor Powers gave notice at last meeting that he would move for a reconsideration of the vote whereby the following resolution was *finally passed*, to-wit:

Resolution No. 26839 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Ocean Palace Amusement Company be granted permission to construct, maintain and operate an amusement park as defined in Ordinance No. 5240 (New Series), in and upon so much of the block bounded by Wawona street, Forty-sixth and Forty-seventh avenues and Sloat boulevard as is now permitted or hereafter may be permitted by Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), known as the Zoning Ordinance.

Finally Passed—Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, February 21, 1927.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Deasy, Gallagher, Hayden, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —12.

Noes—Supervisors Colman, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, McSheehy —5.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Motion.

Supervisor Powers, seconded by Supervisor McSheehy, moved for a reconsideration of the vote whereby the foregoing resolution was *adopted.*

Communications—Ocean Amusement Palace Permit Favored.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication, from Building Trades Council of San Francisco, favoring the granting of a permit for the construction and operation of Ocean Palace Amusement Company's park in block bounded by Forty-sixth and Forty-seventh avenues, Sloat boulevard and Wawona street.

Ordered *filed.*

Also, *communication*, from the San Francisco Labor Council to the same effect.

Ordered *filed.*

Park Commission to Be Present at Hearing, Ocean Palace Amusement Park Permit.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication, from B. P. Lamb, Secretary Park Commission, regarding application of the Ocean Palace Amusement Company for permission to construct an amusement palace at Sloat boulevard and Forty-seventh avenue, and advising that in accordance with the request of the Board of Supervisors a member of the Board will be in attendance at the meeting of the Board of Supervisors February 28, 1927.

Ordered *filed.*

Protest Against Ocean Palace Amusement Park Permit.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication, from J. H. Morris and Cecilia B. Morris, requesting that the Board reconsider its action in the matter of granting a permit for an amusement park near the Fleishhacker Pool.

Ordered *filed.*

Also, *communication*, from Rosalie M. Stern, vice-president Playground Commission, opposing the granting of a permit for an amusement park to the Ocean Palace Amusement Company opposite the Fleishhacker Pool.

Ordered *filed.*

Also, *communication*, from Wilhelmina C. Keil, corresponding secretary, City and County Federation of Women's Clubs, opposing Ocean Palace Amusement Company's permit.

Ordered *filed.*

Also, *communication*, from Mabel G. Francis, corresponding secretary, San Francisco Congress of Parents and Teachers, opposing Ocean Palace Amusement Company's permit.

Ordered *filed.*

Privilege of the Floor.

Wm. F. Humphrey, on behalf of the Park Commission, was granted the privilege of the floor and stated that the Commission does not object to an amusement park but it does object to one at the proposed location, because: "It believes that the Beach should be the most attractive part of San Francisco, and it has directed its energies to making it the most attractive place."

He also thinks it will increase the hazard to children in crossing the street at that point.

Mr. Lewis, the applicant, in whom various Supervisors expressed the most implicit confidence, stated there would be no dance hall or games of chance permitted in the park and all concessions would be of a high-class.

Mrs. Gerberding, representing the Garden Club; *Adolph Uhl*, repre-

senting the City Efficiency League; *Mrs. Pomeroy*, representing Congress of Parents and Teachers; *C. Marks*, representing Protestant Orphan Asylum, and *Mrs. Mary Schainman*, Civic Committee, Western Addition Improvement Club, opposed the proposed permit.

Aaron Cohen, attorney for applicant; *John Kalish*, Sunset Transportation Club, and *Mrs. Mulligan* spoke in favor of the permit.

Motion Lost.

Whereupon, the roll was called and Supervisor Powers' motion to reconsider was *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Powers—6.

Noes — Supervisors Byington, Deasy, Hayden, Marks, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —9.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher—3.

BRIDGE MATTERS—2 P. M.

Extension of Time, Appointment of Engineers, Transbay Bridge.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication, from the Board of Public Works, transmitting letter from Robert E. Swain, acting president, Stanford University, advising that Committee of University Presidents will be unable to report recommendations for the appointment of a proposed commission of engineers in the matter of transbay bridge in time for action by the Board of Supervisors on February 28, 1927.

Whereupon, on motion of Supervisor Shannon, the matter was *laid over one week.*

Action Deferred.

Thereupon, the following resolutions and motions were taken up and, on motion, consideration thereof *continued one week, to-wit*:

Presented by Supervisor McSheehy:

Whereas, there are now pending before the Board of Supervisors various applications for franchises to construct and operate toll bridges over and across the Bay of San Francisco, between the City and County of San Francisco and the County of Alameda; and

Whereas, Section 4 of the Act of 1881 provides "That whenever the Supervisors of any county or counties desire to erect a bridge on any public highway, or to grant the privilege so to do, to any individual or corporation, across a navigable stream, said board or boards shall notify the State Engineer of such purpose, and of the precise point where said bridge is to be located,"; and

Whereas, a proper site for a bridge is one the San Francisco terminal of which will be within easy access of the business district of the City, and at the same time sufficiently removed from the main arteries of the City not to unduly congest the same, and the Alameda terminus of which will be easily accessible to traffic of all kinds, especially suburban railway traffic from all parts of the County of Alameda; and

Whereas, the area from and including Rincon Hill on the north, and to and including Sixteenth street on the south, in the City and County of San Francisco, and the area from and including Seventh, Bay, Eighth and Pine streets in the City of Oakland on the north, and to and including Pacific avenue in Alameda on the south, is a district well adapted to and possessing the necessary facilities and conditions for the terminals of a transbay bridge; and

Whereas, in order to meet the requirements of the shipping interests both in San Francisco and Oakland, and to the end that bay navigation shall be interfered with as little as possible, a high level bridge, the structure of which shall have a clearance of at least one hundred and thirty-five feet above the waters of the Bay, is best suited for said transbay bridge; and

Whereas, the City of San Francisco is by its charter committed to public ownership and operation of all public utilities, and that the City of San Francisco should itself construct, own and operate said bridge, if it is possible to do so; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the area from and including Rincon Hill on the north and to and including Sixteenth street on the south, in the City and County of San Francisco, and the area from and including the blocks bounded by Seventh, Bay, Eighth and Pine streets in the City of Oakland on the north, and to and including Pacific avenue in Alameda on the south, be and the same is hereby declared to be the area that is best suited for the respective terminals of a transbay bridge between the City and County of San Francisco and the County of Alameda, and that a high level bridge, the structure of which will have a clearance of at least one hundred and thirty-five feet above the navigable waters of the Bay and adequate length of span will least interfere with bay navigation and with the

shipping interests thereon, and is hereby recommended as the type of bridge to be selected.

And be it Further Resolved, That a ruling of the War Department of the United States be forthwith sought as to whether a bridge may be constructed of the type, and with terminals located in the districts above set forth, and for that purpose a committee composed of two members of this Board to be selected by the Chairman of its Finance Committee, and the City Engineer and the City Attorney, or his representative, forthwith proceed to Washington for the purpose of urging the War Department to grant permission for the construction and maintenance of a high level bridge, adequate in height and in length of span, between terminals in the aforesaid area. And be it

Further Resolved, That the City of San Francisco is and by its charter committed to public ownership and operation of all public utilities, and that it should itself construct, own and operate said bridge, if it is possible to do so; and be it

Further Resolver, That pending advice and information from the War Department, that the hearing on all pending applications for franchises for transbay bridges be continued from time to time, to the end that this Board will not lose jurisdiction to pass upon them.

Supervisor Havenner presented:

"Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco proceed forthwith to take the necessary legal steps, in accordance with the advice of the City Attorney, to form a bridge district under the State Bridge and Highway District Law, consisting of San Francisco and Alameda Counties, for the purpose of financing, constructing and operating a bridge across San Francisco Bay under public ownership."

Supervisor Roncovieri presented:

"Whereas, financial problems connected with the building of a bridge across the Bay are various and extremely involved, and absolutely fundamental in arriving at a favorable decision of policy as between a bridge built by public funds, or one to be built by private capital; therefore, be it

"Resolved, That the Bridge Committee of this Board be requested and empowered to employ expert financial accountants and actuaries who shall prepare from the records of this Board and other data a complete set-up of figures tabulating in parallel form the more profitable policy to be adopted."

Supervisor Shannon presented:

"Whereas, there are pending before the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco various applications for franchises to construct and operate toll bridges over and across the Bay of San Francisco from various points in the City and County of San Francisco to various points in the County of Alameda; and

"Whereas, it appears to the Board of Supervisors that a toll bridge over and across the Bay of San Francisco from Mission Rock to some suitable point in the County of Alameda will best serve the people of San Francisco and that Mission Rock is the most feasible and logical starting point for a transbay bridge between San Francisco and Alameda; and now therefore, be it

"Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors does hereby select Mission Rock as the best and most feasible starting point for a transbay bridge between San Francisco and the County of Alameda and does hereby designate said site as the point in San Francisco from which the first transbay bridge shall be constructed; and be it further

"Resolved, That all persons, firms and corporations who have heretofore made application for a franchise to construct and operate transbay toll bridges between San Francisco and Alameda County, as well as all other persons interested, be invited to present to this Board of Supervisors applications, plans and specifications for a franchise for a transbay toll bridge from Mission Rock in the City and County of San Francisco to some point in the County of Alameda."

Supervisor Shannon presented:

"Whereas, A. McLean Hawks, bridge and mining engineer, has called the attention of this Board to the advantages of Mission Rock as the location for the main bridge pier or bridge-head of the San Francisco Bay Bridge, and without thought of remuneration or reward has suggested that this location be considered by this City for its public bridge location; and

"Whereas, Mission Rock, in this engineer's judgment, has unequaled advantages as regards stability of the bridge, maximum length and height of channel spans, comparative shallowness of water to the east, economy of cost, absence of obstruction to shipping, facility to automobile traffic within San Fran-

cisco and passing through San Francisco; and the handling of interurban and trans-continental trains; and

"Whereas, the Bay bridge plan originally proposed by Hawks, later duplicated by Gustave Lindenthal and embodied in the McSheehy resolution, opens the way for the selection by this Board of a site that shall be the best site, irrespective of whether or not it has been previously proposed by private parties; and

"Whereas, the Mission Rock site has not been proposed by any private group seeking a franchise, but remains open for selection by this Board as the City's site if its apparent advantages be borne out by careful study; therefore, be it

"Resolved, That the City Attorney be and he is hereby instructed to file immediate condemnation proceedings against property known as Mission Rock, in order to protect the City at this time against any private proposal which may seek hereafter to utilize this site; and be it

"Futher Resolved, That the Special Bridge Committee of this Board be and it is hereby instructed to give careful study to this location as the possible choice of the City and County of San Francisco."

Motions.

Supervisor Gallagher: I move you that while it is the policy of this Board that we favor a publicly-owned bay bridge, it is however, the sense of this Board that we favor immediate construction of said bridge by private capital, until the people may elect in the manner provided by law to take over said bridge and place it under public ownership and control.

* * *

Supervisor Marks: I move you that, as an amendment to the motion of Supervisor Gallagher, the City Attorney be requested to submit to this Board, at the earliest possible opportunity, a form of submission of the issue of public ownership and operation of the proposed bridge, or private ownership or operation of the proposed bridge, for action by the people at the earliest possible opportunity.

* * *

Supervisor Marks: I desire to present the following motion and calling attention to the fact that it does not call for anything other than asking the City Attorney to submit a proper form of proposal to us. I make the motion which heretofore has been outlined three or four times.

(Referring to motion set out in full above.)

The Chairman: Any objection? So ordered.

Applications for Franchises to Construct Bridges Across San Francisco Bay.

Application of Bay Cities Bridge Corporation for a franchise for a toll bridge between San Francisco and Alameda.

Proposed rail and highway crossing for San Francisco Bay from the foot of Sixteenth street, San Francisco, to the foot of Pacific avenue, Alameda.

Beginning at the intersection of the center line of Sixteenth street with the center line of Third (Kentucky) street, in the City and County of San Francisco, and extending thence easterly along the center line of Sixteenth street to the waterfront line; thence easterly along a straight line to a point on the center line of Pacific avenue, in the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, which point is one hundred feet westerly from the intersection of said center line of Pacific avenue with the center line of Bryant street.

That the length of said bridge is 27,750 feet and that the width of the roadway on said bridge is 38 feet.

Filed April 6, 1925.

DUDLEY D. SALES,
Attorney.

Supervisor Shannon moved that further consideration of the foregoing application be continued until Monday, March 7, 1927, at 2 p. m

Motion carried by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Todd —13.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher, Roncovieri, Stanton—5.

Application of Elbert W. Davis for a franchise for a toll bridge between San Francisco and Alameda.

Across San Francisco Bay, beginning at a point in the City and County of San Francisco on the bulkhead line of San Francisco Harbor between Piers 26 and 28, and running approximately north 67 degrees 45 minutes east 17.962 feet, more or less, to a point in the City of Oakland, County of Alameda, State of California, on the easterly shore of San Francisco Bay.

That the length of said bridge is to be 17,962 feet and that the

breadth thereof is to be 65 feet, more or less.

Filed June 29, 1925.

JAMES M. OLIVER,
Attorney.

Supervisor Shannon moved that further consideration of the foregoing application be continued until Monday, March 7, 1927, at 2 p. m.

Motion carried by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Todd —13.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher, Roncovieri, Stanton—5.

Application of John Bermingham, Jr., 250 Cervantes boulevard, for a franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge across San Francisco Bay between the City and County of San Francisco and the County of Alameda.

Beginning at the intersection of the center line of Seventh and Mission streets, in the City and County of San Francisco, along Seventh street to Seventh and Sixteenth; thence in a southeasterly direction to Nineteenth and Third; thence in a southerly direction along Third street to the intersection of Third street and Jerrold avenue and waterfront; thence proceeding in a northerly direction, crossing San Francisco Bay to a point from which a branch will extend 4500 feet easterly to the intersection of Pacific avenue and Bryant street, in the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California; thence from said aforementioned intersecting point proceeding in a northerly direction, crossing the Oakland Estuary, to the intersection of Peralta street and Seventh street, in the City of Oakland, County of Alameda, State of California.

That the total length of said bridge, approaches thereto and branch thereof, aforementioned, is 65,450 feet, that the width of said bridge is ninety (90) feet.

Filed September 28, 1925.

Supervisor Shannon moved that further consideration of the foregoing application be continued until Monday, March 7, 1927, at 2 p. m.

Motion carried by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Todd —13.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher, Roncovieri, Stanton—5.

Application of A. M. Bergstrom for a franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge across San Francisco Bay between the City and County of San Francisco and the County of Alameda.

That the said toll bridge is to be located across the Bay of San Francisco, along the following described line, to-wit:

Beginning at a point in San Francisco at about the foot of Davidson avenue, on Hunter's Point, and running northerly sixty-two (62) degrees east four and four-tenths (4.4) miles; thence on a one (1) degree curve to the north one and six-tenths (1.6) miles to true north to a point on the easterly shore of the Bay of San Francisco at about the foot of Webster street, in the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California.

That the length of said bridge is to be six (6) miles and the breadth is to be sixty (60) feet, more or less.

Filed September 28, 1925.

Supervisor Shannon moved that further consideration of the foregoing application be continued until Monday, March 7, 1927, at 2 p. m.

Motion carried by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Todd —13.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher, Roncovieri, Stanton—5.

Application of New York and San Francisco Development Company for franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge between San Francisco and Alameda.

Bridge to be constructed across San Francisco Bay from a point therein at or near the junction of Evans avenue and Boalt street, Hunter's Point, in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to or near the junction of South High street and Washington street, in the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California; that the length of said bridge is approximately six and one-half miles from shore line to shore line, and that its breadth or width is approximately from 60 to 90 feet.

Filed January 11, 1926.

ROTHCHILD & GOLDEN,
Attorneys.

Supervisor Shannon moved that further consideration of the fore-

going application be continued until Monday, March 7, 1927, at 2 p. m.

Motion carried by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Todd —13.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher, Roncovieri, Stanton—5.

Application of Roy C. Hackley for a franchise to construct a toll bridge between San Francisco, Pier 26, and Alameda County.

Beginning at a point in the City and County of San Francisco on the bulkhead line of San Francisco Harbor, south of and adjacent to Pier 26, and running approximately north 61 degrees east and fifty-three hundred feet, more or less; thence running approximately north 89 degrees east five thousand feet, more or less; thence running approximately north 59 degrees 30 minutes east eight thousand feet, more or less, to a point in the City of Oakland, County of Alameda, State of California, on the easterly shore of said San Francisco Bay.

That the length of said bridge is to be eighteen thousand three hundred feet (18,300) and that the breadth thereof is to be 78 feet, more or less.

Roy A. Bronson and E. D. Bronson, Jr., attorneys, 68 Post street.

Filed February 6, 1926.

Supervisor Shannon moved that further consideration of the foregoing application be continued until Monday, March 7, 1927, at 2 p. m.

Motion carried by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Todd —13.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher, Roncovieri, Stanton—5.

Two applications of the Construction Company of North America for a franchise to construct and open a toll bridge between San Francisco and Alameda County.

Commencing at a point in said City and County of San Francisco near the corner of First and Bryant streets, on what is known as Rincon Hill, and running thence on less than a two-degree curve a distance of approximately 1700 lineal feet to the line of bulkhead wharf connecting Piers 30 and 32 on the waterfront of the said City and County of San Francisco; thence on a line approximately north 65 degrees east 21,000 lineal feet, more or less, to the Oakland Mole of the Southern Pacific Company in Alameda County.

That the length of said bridge is to be 4½ miles and that the width thereof is to be approximately 78 feet.

ROBERT J. McGAHIE,
Attorney, Mills Building.

First application filed February 15, 1926.

Second application filed April 5, 1926.

Supervisor Shannon moved that further consideration of the foregoing application be continued until Monday, March 7, 1927, at 2 p. m.

Motion carried by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Todd —13.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher, Roncovieri, Stanton—5.

Application of Allan C. Rush for a franchise to construct a toll bridge between San Francisco and Alameda County.

Construction of a suspension bridge from the City and County of San Francisco over and across Goat Island to the east shore of the Bay of San Francisco, comprising three main double traffic track entrance therein, and being of a height of two hundred feet above high tide, between all bridge piers in the waters of San Francisco Channel, in which channel the bridge will be suspended over "but three piers," twenty-four hundred feet apart, thus in nowise impeding the traffic of the waters of the Bay.

Filed June 19, 1926.

ALLAN C. RUSH,
1632 McCullom street, Los Angeles, California.

Supervisor Shannon moved that further consideration of the foregoing application be continued until Monday, March 7, 1927, at 2 p. m.

Motion carried by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Todd —13.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher, Roncovieri, Stanton—5.

Application of Robert Lee Dunn, 778 Monadnock Building, for a franchise to construct a toll bridge along the following described line, to-wit:

Beginning at the intersection of the center line of Second street with the center line of Berry street, in the City and County of San Francisco and extending thence easterly to the waterfront line; thence easterly along a straight line to the intersection of the center lines of Pacific avenue and Bryant street, City of Alameda, California.

Length of said bridge is twenty-six thousand feet; that the width of the roadway on said bridge is eighty feet.

Filed June 21, 1926.

Supervisor Shannon moved that further consideration of the foregoing application be continued until Monday, March 7, 1927, at 2 p. m.

Motion carried by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Todd —13.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher, Roncovieri, Stanton—5.

Application of Edward A. Bertram for a franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge to be located, to-wit:

Beginning at Twelfth and Howard streets, San Francisco, by 1½ per cent viaduct to Beale and Howard streets; thence to Southern Pacific Mole, Oakland; thence by 1½ per cent viaduct to West Fourteenth street level, and Sixteeenth Street Railroad Station.

The length of said bridge proper is 21,000 feet and its width of roadway is 63 feet.

Filed June 21, 1926.

Supervisor Shannon moved that further consideration of the foregoing application be continued until Monday, March 7, 1927, at 2 p. m

Motion carried by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Todd —13.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher, Roncovieri, Stanton—5.

Application of R. S. Chew for franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge or bridges.

The said proposed bridge or bridges are to be located and are described as follows:

The westerly end of a bridge and the approaches thereto, which will connect with public streets, are to be located in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California (which location is in the county situated on the left bank descending of the Bay of San Francisco, across which said bridge is to be constructed), and at a point therein at or near the summit of an eminence known as Telegraph Hill; from this point the bridge will project easterly a distance of nine thousand feet (more or less) to the westerly side of Yerba Buena (or Goat) Island; thence easterly across said island a roadway or viaduct will be constructed for a distance of four thousand feet (more or less) to its easterly side; from thence there will be projected in an easterly direction a distance of twenty-two thousand feet (more or less), a bridge structure, viaduct, causeway and/or fill to suitable connections with lines or railway and public highways in Alameda County, State of California.

Filed June 28, 1926.

Supervisor Shannon moved that further consideration of the foregoing application be continued until Monday, March 7, 1927, at 2 p. m

Motion carried by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Todd —13.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher, Roncovieri, Stanton—5.

Application of Inter-Cities Bridge Company for a franchise to construct, maintain and operate a toll bridge across the Bay of San Francisco and over the following route:

Beginning at the intersection of Broadway and Battery street, in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and extending thence easterly to the waterfront; thence on a line approximately north 60 degrees east to the westerly point of Yerba Buena or Goat Island; thence by contour meander to the easterly point of Yerba Buena or Goat Island; thence on a line approximately north 75 degrees to the foot of Yerba Buena street, in the City of Oakland, County of Alameda, State of California.

That the length of said bridge, inclusive of approximately 4100 feet on ground support over Yerba Buena or Goat Island, and 5670 feet to be supported by an earth fill mole in the approach to the said Oakland terminal, is to be approximately 27,000 feet. That the width of said bridge is to be approximately 120 feet, providing two 20-foot vehicular lanes and accommo-

dations for four lines of electric transportation tracks.

Filed July 12, 1926.

Supervisor Shannon moved that further consideration of the foregoing application be continued until Monday, March 7, 1927, at 2 p. m.

Motion carried by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Todd —13.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher, Roncovieri, Stanton—5.

Application of G. Lindenthal for franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge.

Said bridge is to be located along the following described line:

Commencing at a point in the center line of Jerrold avenue, in the City and County of San Francisco, about midway between Ship street and Dock street, in said City and County of San Francisco; thence on a curve easterly and northerly a distance of 30.000 feet. more or less, to the southerly foot of Webster street, in the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California.

The width of said bridge at the easterly end thereof for approximately 16,000 feet will be 75 feet, and the width of the remaining portion thereof will be approximately 40 feet. The length of said bridge will be approximately 30,000 feet.

Filed August 2, 1926.

Supervisor Shannon moved that further consideration of the foregoing application be continued until Monday, March 7, 1927, at 2 p. m.

Motion carried by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Todd —13.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher, Roncovieri, Stanton—5.

Preliminary application of Fowler Engineering Corporation for a franchise for the building of a bridge from Telegraph Hill to Goat Island, 3 2000-foot spans.

Filed July 28, 1926.

Supervisor Shannon moved that further consideration of the foregoing application be continued until Monday, March 7, 1927, at 2 p. m

Motion carried by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Todd —13.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher, Roncovieri, Stanton—5.

Application of W. F. Holcomb for franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge across San Francisco Bay between the City and County of San Francisco and Alameda County.

Beginning at or near and within one hundred feet northerly or southerly of the intersection of the center line of Second street with the center line of Berry street, in the City and County of San Francisco and extending thence easterly to the waterfront line; thence easterly along a straight line to, at or near and within one hundred feet northerly or southerly of the intersection of the center lines of Pacific avenue and Bryant street, City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California.

That the length of said bridge will be twenty-six thousand feet; that the width of the roadway on said bridge will be eighty-nine feet.

Filed August 9, 1926.

Supervisor Shannon moved that further consideration of the foregoing application be continued until Monday, March 7, 1927, at 2 p. m.

Motion carried by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Todd —13.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher, Roncovieri, Stanton—5.

Application of Robert E. Fitzgerald for franchise to erect, maintain and operate and take tolls on a toll bridge over and across the Bay of San Francisco. The location of said bridge is described as follows:

The center line of said bridge will commence at a point on The Embarcadero of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, at a point approximately midway between Vallejo street and Broadway street; thence extending on a line approximately north 60 degrees east to the westerly point of Yerba Buena Island, also known as Goat Island; thence following the contour of said island to a point on the easterly shore thereof where said shore line would be intersected by a center line of Seventh street in the City of Oakland, if produced, upon its present course as

the course of said street now exists along the northerly line of Oakland Mole; thence easterly along said line of said street, if so produced, to the center line of said Seventh street at the present westerly end thereof.

Filed August 9, 1926.

Supervisor Shannon moved that further consideration of the foregoing application be continued until Monday, March 7, 1927, at 2 p. m.

Motion carried by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Todd —13.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher, Roncovieri, Stanton—5.

Application of Thos. E. Slaven, filed August 16, 1926, for franchise to construct, maintain and operate a toll bridge. Said oridge is to be constructed over and across the Bay of San Francisco, and over the following route:

The location of said bridge is particularly described as follows: The center line of said bridge will commence at a point on The Embarcadero of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, approximately where the center line of said Embarcadero intersects the center line of Pier 7, if produced. Thence extending on a line north approximately 67 degrees 30 minutes east to a point on the southwesterly shore of Yerba Buena or Goat Island; thence easterly following the contour of said island to a point on the easterly shore thereof, located about six hundred feet in a northerly direction from the light house located on the southern portion of said island; thence north eighty-five degrees thirty minutes east, approximately 8700 feet to a point where said line intersects the center line of Seventh street, Oakland, if produced, upon its present course as the course of said street now exists along the northern line of Oakland Mole; thence easterly along said line of said street, if so produced, to the center line of said Seventh street at the present westerly end thereof.

The length of said bridge exclusive of land approaches being approximately 9300 feet west of said island and approximately 10,400 feet east of said island, and the breadth of said bridge being approximately 115 feet.

Supervisor Shannon moved that further consideration of the foregoing application be continued until Monday, March 7, 1927, at 2 p. m.

Motion carried by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Todd —13.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher, Roncovieri, Stanton—5.

Application of E. D. Bronson, Jr., for a franchise for a toll bridge across San Francisco Bay.

Filed August 16, 1926.

Beginning at a point in the City and County of San Francisco on the bulkhead line of San Francisco Harbor, south of and adjacent to Pier 26, and running north approximately 68 degrees east seventeen thousand nine hundred fifty feet (17,950'), more or less, to a point in the City of Oakland, County of Alameda, State of California, on the easterly shore of said San Francisco Bay; and the said toll bridge to be approximately seventeen thousand nine hundred fifty (17,950') feet in length and one hundred ten (110') feet in breadth, more or less.

Roy A. Bronson, E. D. Bronson, Jr., attorneys at law, 68 Post street, room 403, San Francisco, California.

Supervisor Shannon moved that further consideration of the foregoing application be continued until Monday, March 7, 1927, at 2 p. m.

Motion carried by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Todd —13.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher, Roncovieri, Stanton—5.

Tunnels.

Application of O. A. Ellis for franchise for two tunnels under the Bay of San Francisco from San Francisco to Oakland.

One of the tunnels will be for interurban street car traffic, with double track, and the San Francisco terminal between Third and Fourth streets on Harrison street.

The other tunnel will be for auto and railroad traffic, with San Francisco terminal between Second and Third streets on Harrison street.

The Oakland terminal will be at Seventh and Cedar streets.

Filed June 25, 1926.

Supervisor Shannon moved that

further consideration of the foregoing application be continued until Monday, March 7, 1927, at 2 p. m.

Motion carried by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Todd —13.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher, Roncovieri, Stanton—5.

Lease of 333 Kearny Street.

Consideration of the question of the continuance of the lease of offices at 333 Kearny street was *continued one week.*

Hearing of Appeal—Douglass Street.

Hearing of appeal on Douglass street was *continued until next Monday and made a Special Order of Business for* 11 *a. m.*

PRESENTATION OF PROPOSALS.

Fire Hose.

Sealed proposals were received and opened at the hour of 11 a. m. for furnishing San Francisco Fire Department 2000 feet of 1½-inch cotton, rubber-lined fire hose; al o, for furnishing 600 gray wool blankets for the San Francisco Hospital and *referred to the Supplies Committee.*

Supervisors Convention.

The chairman of the Finance Committee appointed Supervisors Shannon, Powers, Schmidt, Byington and Kent as the committee to attend the State Convention of Supervisors.

ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business, the Board at 6:15 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors July 5, 1927.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated, and approved as above recited.

JOHN S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco.

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Vol. 22—New Series No. 10

Monday, March 7, 1927

Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
337 Bush Street, S. F.

JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, MARCH 7, 1927, 10 A. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, March 7, 1927, 10 a. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Supervisor Bath excused on account of illness.

Quorum present.

His Honor Mayor Rolph appeared at 10 a. m. and retired before roll call, Supervisor McSheehy being elected to preside.

APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the previous meeting was laid over one week for consideration and approval.

ROLL CALL FOR PETITIONS FROM MEMBERS.

Salary Increases for Justices of Peace Endorsed.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 26887 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, there is now pending before the Legislature of the State of California, Assembly Bill No. 841, the same providing for an increase in the salaries of the Justices of the Peace of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California; and

Whereas, the great growth of population and industry in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, has resulted in a very substantial increase in the business of these courts, justifying an increase of the compensation paid to these judges; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco does hereby endorse Assembly Bill No. 841, and urges its passage; and be it

Further Resolved, That a copy of this resolution be forwarded to the Senate and Assembly and to the Governor of the State of California.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Mayor Recommends Confirmation of Sale.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

San Francisco, Cal.,
March 4, 1927.

Honorable Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco, City Hall.

Members:

On January 27, 1927, your Board passed Ordinance No. 7387 (New Series), providing for the disposal at private sale of certain land belonging to the City and County of San Francisco, and pursuant to the terms of said ordinance your Clerk advertised the three parcels of land described in said ordinance for sale.

In accordance with the said notice of sale, I have received one bid from Meyer Bros. in the sum of $11,635 for the three parcels of land. As this sum is equal to the appraisals made by the Assessor, the Chairman of the Finance Committee and myself, and is apparently the highest sum obtainable for this property, I have therefore this day sold the same to Meyer Bros., and have received from them a check for $1,200, which sum is in excess of 10 per cent of the sum bid.

I respectfully request that your Board confirm this sale made by me.

Respectfully,
JAMES ROLPH, JR.,
Mayor.

Referred to Public Buildings and Lands Committee.

Relative to Gas Litigation Compromise.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication, from Daniel O'Connell, requesting that he be heard before there is any action by the Board of Supervisors on the proposed compromise of the gas rate litigation suits.

Ordered *filed*.

Relative to the Discontinuance of the Garbage Incinerator.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication, from City Attorney John J. O'Toole, transmitting copy of letter which he received on the 3d inst. from H. S. Young of the San Francisco Development Company relative to the action pending to abate the operation of the garbage incinerator as a nuisance.

Ordered *filed*.

Invitation to Attend Discussion of Proposed Bond Issues by Civic League of Improvement Clubs.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication, from the Civic League of Improvement Clubs and Associations, advising of a meeting by the Board of Governors of said organization at the Civic League offices and committee rooms, 807 Mills Building, Tuesday, March 8, 1927, at 3:30 p. m., extending an invitation to the Board of Supervisors to attend.

Ordered *filed*.

Relative to War Memorial Bond Issue.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication, from J. F. Kelly, secretary Central Council of Civic Clubs, advising that H. B. Harpold, delegate to said Council from the United War Veterans, has been appointed chairman of a committee to appear before the Board of Supervisors and indicate the view of the Council in the matter of the proposed War Memorial bond issue.

Ordered *filed*.

Railway Transportation Bond Issue Endorsed.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication, from Gene Watts Blood, representing Excelsior People's Improvement Association, informing the Board that the Association has gone on record as favoring the $3,500,000 bond issue proposed by City Engineer O'Shaughnessy for the extension of street railway transportation throughout San Francisco, and also favors the $1,400,000 bond issue for the Bernal Cut.

Ordered *filed*.

Painting of Street Buttons.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication, from Thos. Doyle, secretary Building Trades Council of San Francisco, advising that they are of the opinion that galvanized street buttons should be painted instead of galvanized. This method, it is alleged, would reduce the cost considerably and the same results be accomplished.

Ordered *filed*.

Leave of Absence, District Attorney Matthew I. Brady.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

San Francisco, Cal.,
March 7, 1927.

Hon. Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen:

Application has been made to me by District Attorney Matthew Brady for a leave of absence, with permission to absent himself from the State of California, for a period of thirty (30) days, commencing March 7, 1927.

Will you please concur with me in granting this leave of absence?

Very sincerely,
JAMES ROLPH, JR.,
Mayor.

Whereupon, the following resolution was presented and *adopted*:

Resolution No. 26948 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Hon. Matthew Brady, District Attorney, is hereby granted a leave of absence, for a period of thirty days, commencing March 7, 1927, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes — Supervisors Byington, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—10.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Marks, Shannon—8.

PRESENTATION OF PROPOSALS.

Document Files for County Clerk.

Sealed proposals were received and opened at the hour of 11 a. m. for furnishing 20 metal document files for the County Clerk and *referred to Supplies Committee*.

Basketball Backstops, School Department.

Sealed proposals were received and opened at the hour of 11 a. m. for furnishing basketball backstops

for School Department and *referred to Supplies Committee.*

Classroom Supplies.

Sealed proposals were received and opened at the hour of 11 a. m. for furnishing classroom supplies for the School Department and *referred to Supplies Committee.*

Official Advertising.

Sealed proposals were received at 3 p. m. for publishing the official advertising for the year commencing April 1, 1927, and *referred to Supplies Committee.*

Delinquent Tax List.

Sealed proposals were received between the hours of 2 and 3 p. m. for printing, publishing and distributing the delinquent tax list, index of delinquent real estate, etc., and *referred to Supplies Committee.*

UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

Final Passage.

The following matters, heretofore passed for printing, were taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Resolution No. 26888 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

Park Fund.

(1) William Good, fertilizer for parks (claim dated Feb. 25, 1927), $537.50.

(2) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., gas an delectric service for parks (claim dated Feb. 25, 1927), $718.34.

(3) Spring Valley Water Co., water service for parks (claim dated Feb. 25, 1927), $732.41.

School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1918.

(4) E. P. Finigan, gymnasium equipment, Galileo High School (claim dated Feb. 23, 1927), $984.20.

(5) E. P. Finigan, gymnasium equipment, Mission High School (claim dated Feb. 23. 1927), $571.95.

Tearing-up Streets Fund.

(6) Gladding, McBean & Co., sewer pipe for side sewers (claim dated Feb. 14, 1927), $609.

Relief Home Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923.

(7) Clinton Construction Co., conditional and acceptance payment, general construction of new Relief Home (claim dated Feb. 23, 1927), $365,010.56.

School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923.

(8) MacDonald & Kahn, conditional acceptance payment, general construction of New Mission High School (claim dated Feb. 23, 1927), $196,029.26.

County Road Fund.

(9) W. S. Dickey Clay Mfg. Co., paving brick, California street (claim dated Feb. 18, 1927), $2,281.45.

Special School Tax.

(10) Standard Electrical Construction Co., fifth payment, electrical work, additional units to Galileo High School (claim dated Feb. 23, 1927), $2,445.94.

Hetch Hetchy Operative Revenue Fund.

(11) H. G. Butler, services in connection with severance damage report, valuation of San Francisco electric properties (claim dated Feb. 17, 1927), $600.

Publicity and Advertising—Appropriation No. 55.

(12) California Development Association, for the publicity and advertising of San Francisco during the fiscal year ending June 30, 1927 (claim dated Feb. 28, 1927), $5,400.

General Fund, 1925-1926.

(13) Bureau of Street Repair, Appropriation 33-B, Dept. of Public Works, for construction of grounds at the Relief Home (claim dated Feb. 17, 1927), $1,358.15.

(14) Bureau of Street Repair, Appropriation 33-C, construction of grounds at Relief Home (claim dated Feb. 17, 1927), $2,281.70.

Hetch Hetchy Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1925.

(15) Beronio Lumber Co., lumber for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Feb. 21, 1927), $834.72.

(16) Chain Belt Co., roller chain, Hetch Henchy construction (claim dated Feb. 21, 1927), $690.56.

(17) Ingersoll-Rand Co. of California, drills, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Feb. 21, 1927), $800.

(18) Myers-Whaley Co., conveyor chain and parts, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Feb. 23, 1927), $716.82.

(19) J. H. McCallum, lumber, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Feb. 21, 1927), $576.91.

(20) The Charles Nelson Co., lumber, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Feb. 21, 1927), $504.70.

(21) M. M. O'Shaughnessy, revolving fund expenditures, per vouchers (claim dated Feb. 21, 1927), $742.

(22) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., reimbursement for account of overpayment on sand rolls and for material (claim dated Feb. 21, 1927), $881.63.

(23) Bald Eagle Meat Market,

foodstuffs (claim dated Feb. 21, 1927), $3,811.23.

(24) State Compensation Insurance Fund, premium on insurance of Hetch Hetchy employees (claim dated Feb. 21, 1927), $3,219.56.

Municipal Railway Accident Reserve Fund.

(25) Department of Public Health (San Francisco Hospital), care of injured by Municipal Railways during January, 1927 (claim dated Feb. 17, 1927), $600.

General Fund, 1925-1926.

(26) John Reid, Jr., final payment, architectural services for children's ward, southeast (tubercular) wing of San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Feb. 23, 1927), $614.75.

General Fund, 1926-1927.

(27) San Francisco Bulletin, official advertising (claim dated Feb. 28, 1927), $1,287.52.

(28) Phillips & Van Orden Co., printing for departments (claim dated Feb. 28, 1927), $2,042.70.

(29) Ed. Barry Co., printing for departments (claim dated Feb. 28, 1927), $1,925.55.

(30) Daniel J. O'Brien, police contingent expense (claim dated March 1, 1927), $750.

(31) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., gas for county jails (claim dated Feb. 15, 1927), $996.33.

(32) Langendorf Baking Co., bread for county jails (claim dated Jan. 15, 1927), $1,015.93.

(33) Del Monte Meat Co., meats for county jails (claim dated Feb. 15, 1927), $1,411.39.

(34) California Meat Co., meats for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Jan. 31, 1927), $1,293.94.

(35) South San Francisco Packing and Provision Co., meats for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Jan. 31, 1927), $1,095.20.

(36) San Francisco Dairy Co., milk, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Jan. 31, 1927), $3,978.36.

(37) J. T. Freitas Co., Inc., butter and eggs, Relief Home (claim dated Jan. 31, 1927), $2,701.04.

(38) C. Nauman & Co., potatoes and onions for Relief Home (claim dated Jan. 31, 1927), $1,015.45.

(39) Spring Valley Water Co., water service for Fire Department hydrants, month of January (claim dated Feb. 24, 1927), $14,469.20.

(40) John P. Hennessy (injured employee, Sewer Repair Department) per award by Industrial Accident Commission (claim dated Feb. 8, 1927), $987.25.

(41) School repairs (Budget Item No. 1), materials furnished for painting of San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Feb. 17, 1927), $654.44.

(42) California Rock Co., gravel for street repair (claim dated Feb. 21, 1927), $2,335.23.

(43) Standard Oil Co., asphalt for street repair (claim dated Feb. 21, 1927), $1,764.71.

(44) Jas. E. Lennon Lime and Cement Co., cement for street repaid (claim dated Feb. 21, 1927), $1,758.79.

(45) Western Rock Products Co., sand for street repair (claim dated Feb. 21, 1927), $3,500.09.

(46) Equitable Asphalt Maintenance Co., royalties on street resurfacing (claim dated Feb. 21, 1927), $1,899.96.

(47) Symon Brothers, teams furnished for street cleaning (claim dated Feb. 21, 1927), $655.50.

(48) A. P. Jacobs, rent of premises No. 333 Kearny street, February 3, 1927), to March 3, 1927, $1,186.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

(Supervisor Powers voted *no* on Item No. 48.)

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Resolution No. 26889 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

Park Fund.

(1) Architects and Engineers Associated, professional services for construction of basketball pavilion, Kezar Stadium (claim dated Feb. 18, 1927), $2,773.92.

(2) J. A. Bryant, tenth payment, construction of basketball pavilion, Kezar Stadium (claim dated Feb. 18, 1927), $28,700.

(3) Berringer & Russell, alfalfa, barley, etc., for parks (claim dated Feb. 18, 1927), $810.87.

Duplicate Tax Fund.

(4) J. M. McGee, refund of duplicate payment of taxes, 1925-1926 (claim dated Feb. 10, 1927), $662.25.

Hetch Hetchy Operative Revenue Fund.

(5) John J. Dailey, legal services for February, 1927, in valuation of San Francisco electric properties (claim dated Feb. 15, 1927), $850.

(6) N. Randall Ellis, engineering services, February, 1927, in valuation of San Francisco electric properties (claim dated Feb. 15, 1927), $750.

Hetch Hetchy Power Operative Fund.

(7) Hetch Hetchy Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1925, for materials, supplies and equipment furnished and services rendered Hetch Hetchy Power Operative Fund, July 1 to December 31, 1926 (claim dated Feb. 14, 1927), $32,970.27.

(8) United States, Department of the Interior, national park service, annual payment for Hetch Hetchy right of way through Yosemite National Park, as per act of Congress approved December 19, 1913 (claim dated Feb. 14, 1927), $15,000.

Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1910.

(9) Department of Public Health, for care of Hetch Hetchy patients in San Francisco Hospital during months of July, August, September, October and November, 1926 (claim dated Feb. 14, 1927), $774.

Hetch Hetchy Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1925.

(10) Hercules Powder Co., explosives for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Feb. 11, 1927), $5,300.

(11) Ingersoll-Rand Co. of California, machinery parts, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Feb. 11, 1927), $1,843.67.

(12) Beronio Lumber Co., lumber for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Feb. 14, 1927), $726.38.

(13) Joshua Hendy Iron Works, hoist with motor for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Feb. 14, 1927), $2,365.

(14) Ingersoll-Rand Co. of California, machinery parts for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Feb. 15, 1927), $5,782.40.

(15) Ingersoll-Rand Co. of California, machinery parts for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Feb. 15, 1927), $539.25.

(16) J. H. McCallum, lumber for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Feb. 14, 1927), $680.34.

(17) J. F. Mitchell Machine & Gear Works, machine parts for Hetch Hetchy consrtuction (claim dated Feb. 14, 1927), $816.60.

(18) Myers-Whaley Co., conveyor chain, etc., for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Feb. 14, 1927), $703.92.

(19) Pacific States Electric Co., electric supplies and equipment for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Feb. 14, 1927), $541.03.

(20) Panama Lamp & Commercial Co., electric lamps for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Feb. 14, 1927), $1,134.

(21) Standard Oil Co., gasoline, oils, etc., Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Feb. 14, 1927), $586.90.

(22) Standard Oil Co., gasoline, oils, etc. (claim dated Feb. 14, 1927), $623.84.

Playground Fund.

(23) Klawans & McMullin, basketballs for playgrounds (claim dated Feb. 16, 1927), $1,280.

(24) Guerin Bros., grading work at Ocean View Playground (claim dated Feb. 16, 1927), $828.39.

Auditorium Fund.

(25) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., gas and electricity furnished Auditorium (claim dated Feb. 15, 1927), $781.22.

Sunset Tunnel Assessment Fund.

(26) Leonard F. Youdall and R. G. Clifford, extra work, construction of tunnel under Buena Vista Park (Sunset Tunnel) (claim dated Feb. 15, 1927), $5,934.85.

Special School Tax.

(27) J. H. McCallum, lumber for Schools (claim dated Feb. 10, 1927), $539.54.

School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1918.

(28) E. P. Finigan, gymnasium equipment for High School of Commerce (claim dated Feb. 15, 1927), $705.60.

(29) C. F. Webber & Co., Inc., gymnasium equipment for High School of Commerce (claim dated Feb. 15, 1927), $600.

School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923.

(30) O. Monson, final payment, general construction of West Portal School (claim dated Feb. 16, 1927), $41,027.50.

Municipal Railway Fund.

(31) Westinghouse Electric and Mfg. Co., electric motor parts for Municipal Railways (claim dated Feb. 9, 1927), $912.58.

(32) Westinghouse Traction Brake Co., first payment for air brake equipment, Municipal Railways (claim dated Feb. 10, 1927), $6,896.64.

(33) San Francisco City Employees' Retirement System, for pensions, etc., for Municipal Railway employees (claim dated Feb. 14, 1927), $7,164.57.

(34) Crown Oil Co., gasoline, Municipal Railways (claim dated Feb. 15, 1927), $1,419.55.

(35) Market Street Railway Co., January reimbursement (claim dated Feb. 15, 1927), $1,592.84.

(36) Market Street Railway Co., January electric power (claim dated Feb. 15, 1927), $3,274.52.

(37) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., electrical power (claim dated Feb. 15, 1927), $37,807.91.

General Fund, 1926-1927.

(38) California Meat Co., meats for Relief Home (claim dated Feb. 17, 1927), $1,192.17.

(39) California Petroleum Corporation, fuel oil for Relief Home (claim dated Feb. 17, 1927), $2,210.64.

(40) Del Monte Meat Co., meats for Relief Home (claim dated Feb. 17, 1927), $3,447.45.

(41) San Francisco Dairy Co., milk for Relief Home (claim dated Feb. 17, 1927), $2,013.76.

(42) Sperry Flour Co., flour, etc., for Relief Home (claim dated Feb. 17, 1927), $703.61.

(43) Louis Straus, clothing for Relief Home (claim dated Feb. 17, 1927), $810.

(44) Spring Valley Water Co., water for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Feb. 17, 1927), $1,374.72.

(45) Spring Valley Water Co., water for Relief Home (claim dated Feb. 17, 1927), $777.04.

(46) H. F. Dugan, drugs for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Feb. 17, 1927), $813.95.

(47) L. Lagomarsino Co., vegetables for S. F. Hospital (claim dated Feb. 17, 1927), $548.56.

(48) Langendorf Baking Co., bread for S. F. Hospital (claim dated Feb. 17, 1927), $1,180.41.

(49) Del Monte Meat Co., meats for S. F. Hospital (claim dated Feb. 17, 1927), $1,277.67.

(50) J. T. Freitas Co., eggs for S. F. Hospital (claim dated Feb. 17, 1927), $1,802.88.

(51) Morris & Co., butter for S. F. Hospital (claim dated Feb. 17, 1927), $1,989.07.

(52) Pacific Gas & Electric Co., January street lighting (claim dated Feb. 21, 1927), $53,378.14.

(53) Crown Oil Co., gasoline for Police Department (claim dated Feb. 14, 1927), $1,060.52.

(54) Frank T. Sheehy, lamps, etc., furnished Department of Elections (claim dated Feb. 17, 1927), $1,284.87.

(55) Argonaut Steamship Lines, freight on voting machines (claim dated Feb. 17, 1927), $723.64.

(56) Dollar Steamship Co., freight on voting machines (claim dated Feb. 17, 1927), $1,231.46.

(57) California Printing Co., printing for departments (claim dated Feb. 21, 1927), $712.

(58) Pacific Gas & Electric Co., gas and electricity for Fire Department (claim dated Jan. 31, 1927), $2,319.12.

(59) City Coal Co., fuel for Fire Department (claim dated Jan. 31, 1927), $511.25.

(60) Crown Oil Co., gasoline, Fire Department (claim dated Jan. 31, 1927), $689.15.

(60a) Pioneer Rubber Mills, hose for Fire Department (claim dated Jan. 31, 1927), $7,400.

(61) Shell Company, fuel oil, etc., for Fire Department (claim dated Jan. 31, 1927), $790.

(62) Spring Valley Water Co., water furnished and hydrants installed, Fire Department (claim dated Jan. 31, 1927), $1,503.42.

(63) California Petroleum Corporation, fuel oil for street repair (claim dated Feb. 15, 1927), $1,277.96.

(64) California Petroleum Corporation, fuel oil, Civic Center Power House (claim dated Feb. 15, 1927), $1,623.08.

(65) Owen L. Gibson, refund of penalties paid for account of first installment of taxes for the fiscal year 1926-1927; check for payment of same in due time having miscarried (claim dated Jan. 20, 1927), $904.34.

California Palace Legion of Honor (*Appropriation* 61).

(66) American Railway Express Co., expressage on exhibits (claim dated Feb. 18, 1927), $1,182.98.

Water Works Fund.

(67) The Spring Valler Water Co., for laying of water main in Rutland avenue for account of Municipal Water Works (claim dated Feb. 16, 1927), $3,122.36.

Publicity and Advertising (*Appropriation* 55).

(68) San Francisco Convention and Tourist League, printing, advertising, transportation, etc., for the publicity and advertising of San Francisco (claim dated Feb. 21, 1927), $4,244.93.

General Fund, 1926-1927.

(69) California Pacific Title Co., for account of title reports on 491 parcels of property required for the John McLeran Park (claim dated Feb. 21, 1927), $3,682.50.

(70) Title Insurance and Guaranty Co., for account of title reports on 583 parcels of property required for the John McLeran Park (claim dated Feb. 21, 1927), $2,877.50.

(71) City Title Insurance Co., for account of title reports on 494 parcels of property required for the John McLaren Park (claim dated Feb. 21, 1927), $3,705.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Appropriations, Payments to The Lurie Company and Alice R. Tice for Lands Required for Alemany Boulevard Purposes and Widening of Garfield Street.

Resolution No. 26890 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be paid out of County Road Fund, appropriations heretofore made, to the following named, being payments for properties required for boulevard purposes, to-wit:

(1) To The Lurie Company, for lands beginning at a point on the center line of Ralston street produced southerly, distant thereon 662 feet southerly from the southerly line of Stanley street, as shown on map entitled "Alemany Tract", recorded in Map Book "K", pages 77 and 78; thence northerly along said center line produced 28.989 feet, of irregular dimensions; as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 26844 (New Series), and required for the widening of Alemany boulevard (claim dated Feb. 24, 1927), $1,733.

(2) To Alice R. Tice, for all of Lot 20 in Block 6990, as per Assessor's map of the City and County; as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 26841 (New Series), and required for the widening of Garfield street (claim dated Feb. 24, 1927), $1,150.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Appropriation, $12,350, Sewers in Noriega Street Between Twenty-fourth and Twenty-ninth Avenues.

Resolution No. 26891 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $12,350 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of "Extension and Reconstruction of Sewers," Budget Item No. 39, for the cost of construction of sewers and appurtenances in Noriega street between Twenty-fourth and Twenty-ninth avenues, including inspection and possible extras.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Appropriations, Furnishings for Mission and Galileo High Schools and High School of Commerce.

Resolution No. 26892 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1918, for the furnishing of the hereinafter named schools, to-wit:

Mission High School, $30,000.

Galileo High School, $20,000.

High School of Commerce, $10,000.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Appropriations for Equipment of Edison, Sherman and John Muir Schools.

Resolution No. 26893 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $28,500 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of School Bonds, Issue 1923, for the equipment of the Edison, Sherman and John Muir schools, at the rate of $9,500 each.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Appropriations for Carter Arterial Stop Signs and Switchboard for Traffic Signals.

Resolution No. 26894 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of "Traffic Signals, etc.," Budget Item No. 69, for the following purposes, to-wit:

(1) For the purchase and installing of 500 Carter arterial stop signs, $10,000.

(2) For the construction and installation of a switchboard, by the Department of Electricity, to be connected with traffic signals, $1,000.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Appropriation for Meeting Wage Scale Increases, Board of Public Works.

Resolution No. 26895 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside and appropriated out of General Fund, 1926-1927, to the credit of the hereinafter designated Budget Items, Department of Public Works, to meet the prevailing wage paid for such employments, to-wit:

Budget Item No. 432, superintendent of painters, $105.

Budget Item No. 460, six painters, $585.

Budget Item No. 461, foreman painter, $97.50.

Budget Item No. 462, painters, shopmen, $75.

Budget Item No. 463, painters' apprentice, $269.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Appropriations, Payments to John Mahoney, John J. McDonnell and Chas. V. Miller for Lands Required for School Purposes.

Resolution No. 26896 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside and appropriated out of School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923, and authorized in payment to the hereinafter named persons; being payments for lands required for school purposes in the Sunset District, to-wti:

(1) To John Mahoney, for land situate on the easterly line of Twenty-fourth avenue, commencing 300 feet southerly from the southerly line of Rivera street, running thence southerly along the easterly line of Twenty-fourth avenue 50 feet; thence easterly 120 feet; thence northerly 50 feet; thence westerly 120 feet; as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 26812 (New Series), $2,000.

(2) To John J. McDonnell, for land situate on the easterly line of Twenty-fourth avenue, commencing 350 feet southerly from the southerly line of Rivera street, running thence southerly along the easterly line of Twenty-fourth avenue 50 feet; thence easterly 120 feet; thence northerly 50 feet; thence westerly 120 feet; as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 26813 (New Series), $2,000.

(3) To Chas. V. Miller, for land situate on the easterly line of Twenty-fourth avenue, commencing 225 feet northerly from the northerly line of Rivera street, running thence northerly along the easterly line of Twenty-fourth avenue 50 feet; thence easterly 120 feet; thence southerly 50 feet; thence westerly 120 feet; as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 26814 (New Series), $1,900.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Appropriations for Work in Front of City Property.

Resolution No. 26897 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of "Street Work in Front of City Property," Budget Item No. 36, for the cost of street improvements for which the City is liable, to-wit:

(1) Saturn street, Ord street to Lower Terrace (Eaton & Smith), $855.61.

(2) Judah street, Thirty-first to Forty-first avenues (A. E. Hennessy), $1,025.89.

(3) Bay View, Flora to Newhall (Municipal Construction Company), $2,983.78.

(4) Twenty-fifth avenue, Moraga to Santiago streets (C. L. Harney), $2,287.

(5) Russia avenue, Madrid street, etc. (Jas. T. Tobin), $984.64.

(6) Thirtieth street, from Noe street westerly (Eaton & Smith), $1,488.

(7) Forty-third avenue, Santiago to Taraval streets (L. J. Cohn), $1,700.

(8) San Jose avenue, Sickles avenue to County Line (A. E. Hennessy), $1,065.90.

(9) Judson street, Edna to Foerster streets (C. L. Harney), $2,754.93.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Appropriations Out of County Road Fund for Improvement of Geneva Avenue, Telegraph Hill Boulevard and Market Street Extension.

Resolution No. 26898 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of

County Road Fund for the following purposes, to-wit:

(1) For the improvement of Geneva avenue, from Prague street to the Counuty Line, additional to enable final payment, $2,600.

(2) For the paving of Telegraph Hill boulevard, additional to enable final payment on contract, $1,500.

(3) For the extension of Market street from Ord to Mono streets, additional to meet deficit for account of contract for paving and relocating of tracks of the Market Street Railway Company, $12,251.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Appropriations, Payment to Oscar Samuels et al., Refund of Tax Judgment.

Resolution No. 26899 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside and appropriated out of "Tax Judgments," Appropriation 59, Fiscal Year 1926-1927, and authorized in payment to the hereinafter named persons; being one-tenth of amount of judgments, including interest, and as approved by the City Attorney, to-wit:

(1) To Oscar Samuels and J. Samuels, as attorneys for judgment creditors, as per schedule attached to claim (claim dated Feb. 14, 1927), $1,010.34.

(2) To Goldman, Nye & Spicer, as attorneys for judgment creditors, as per schedule attached to claim (claim dated Feb. 15, 1927), $1,176.29.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Appropriations, Payments to Louis T. Messier et al. for Properties Required for Ocean View Playground Purposes.

Resolution No. 26900 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized in payment out of Playground Fund to the hereinafter named persons; being payments for properties required for "Ocean View" playground purposes, to-wit:

(1) To Louis T. Messier and Mary Messier, for Lots 44 and 45, Block 7093, as per Assessor's Block Books, together with the improvements thereon (claim dated Feb. 1, 1927), $3,400.

(2) To Rosa Kirin, for Lot 48, Block 7093, as per Assessor's Block Books, together with the improvements thereon (claim dated Feb. 1, 1927), $2,600.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Appropriation, $41,300, Investment of Robinson Bequest Fund in Bonds of Fourth and Market Realty Company.

Resolution No. 26901 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $41,300 be and the same is hereby set aside and authorized in payment out of the Robinson Bequest Fund to John H. Thieler, Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco, for the purchase by him of forty-one $1,000 bonds of the Fourth and Market Realty Company, bearing interest at six per centum per annum, including accrued interest on said forty-one bonds; as authorized by Resolution No. 26754 (New Series). (Claim dated Feb. 17, 1927.)

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Appropriation, $1,000, San Francisco's Exhibit at Transcontinental Highway Exposition.

Resolution No. 26902 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $1,000 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of Publicity and Advertising, Appropriation 55, and authorized in payment to Rudolph Theurkauf and William A. Theurkauf, being the initial payment as per agreement entered into by the City and County with said Rudolph and William A. Theurkauf for the construction of exhibit for the publicity and advertising of San Francisco at the Transcontinental Highway Exposition to be held at the City of Reno, State of Nevada, from June 28 to July 31, 1927.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Appropriation, $2,500, for Plans and Specifications for the Improvement of the Municipal Airport Temporary Site in San Mateo County.

Resolution No. 26903 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $2,500 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of "Municipal Airport," Budget Item No. 60, for the expense of preparation of plans and specifications in connection with the improvement of the Municipal Airport temporary site in San Mateo county.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Appropriation, $15,000, Credit of Employees' Retirement Fund, Payment of Pensions to Retired Teachers.

Resolution No. 26904 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $15,000 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of "Urgent Necessity," Budget Item No. 25, to the credit of the San Francisco City Employees' Retirement Fund, for the payment of pensions to retired teachers as provided by Charter Amendment No. 34, adopted November 2, 1926. Said appropriation of $15,000 being for payment of pensions for the months of May and June, 1927.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Approval of Budget, Board of Public Works, in the Sum of $80,000, for Housing Facilities for Employees Connected With the Operation of the Moccasin Power House and Transmission Line.

Resolution No. 26905 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, in accordance with the provisions of Ordinance No. 6440 (New Series), prescribing the procedure under which the Board of Public Works may construct public utilities, the Board of Public Works has procured from the City Engineer and filed with the Board of Supervisors an estimate or budget of proposed housing facilities for employees connected with the operation of the Moccasin Power House and Transmission Line, together with a general description and specification of the proposed work; and

Whereas, the total amount of said budget is eighty thousand ($80,000) dollars; and

Whereas, it appears to the satisfaction of the Board that the work outlined is necessary in order to properly take care of municipal employees engaged in the operation of the Moccasin Power House and Transmission Line; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the said budget of expenditure submitted by the Board of Public Works is hereby approved, and the sum of eighty thousand dollars ($80,000) is hereby appropriated from the Hetch Hetchy Power Operative Fund for the purpose of making the expenditures shown by said budget.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Appropriation, $4,526, for Constructing Sunnydale Avenue Outlet Sewer.

Resolution No. 26906 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $4,526 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of "Extension and Reconstruction of Main Sewers," Budget Item No. 39, for the cost of constructing the Sunnydale avenue outlet sewer from Hahn street to Schwerin street.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Appropriation, $1,600 for Dental Unit and Employment of Dentist, Bay View School.

Resolution No. 26907 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $1,600 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of "Urgent Necessity," Budget Item No. 25, for the purchase of a dental unit to be installed in the Bay View School, and for the employment of a dentist at $100 per month for the months of March, April, May and June, 1927. For which purpose the sum of $1,200 is placed to the credit of Appropriation 43-D, and the sum of $400 to the credit of Appropriation 43-A.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Galla-

gher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Appropriation, $5,000, Additional and Emergency Supplies, Board of Health.

Resolution No. 26908 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $5,000 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of Urgent Necessity, Budget Item No. 25, for additional and emergency supplies by the Board of Public Health under the direction of the Superintendent of the Relief Home.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Appropriation, $1,665.12, in Payment to Butte Electric and Manufacturing Company for Burglar Alarm System.

Resolution No. 26909 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $1,665.12 be and the same is hereby authorized in payment out of Urgent Necessity to Butte Electric & Manufacturing Co.; being first payment for the installation of a burglar alarm system in the offices of the Treasurer and Tax Collector, payable out of appropriation by Resolution No. 26508 (New Series), $3,276.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

City Attorney Authorized to Compromise Damage Claim of Francisca S. Rivas for $1,500.

Resolution No. 26910 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the City Attorney be and is authorized to compromise, in sum of $1,500, the claim of Francisca S. Rivas for damages arising out of accident as set forth in Superior Court Action No. 170006.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Appropriation, $1,000, Publicity and Advertising, Chinatown "Feast of the Lanterns."

Resolution No. 26911 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the fame of San Francisco's Chinatown is world-wide, and it stands out as one of the largest and most unique and interesting Oriental quarter of any city in the United States; and

Whereas, there is being held in Chinatown at this time the "Fah Dang Wui," otherwise known as the "Feast of the Lanterns," which is attracting thousands of people to the Oriental quarter; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the sum of $1,000 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of Publicity and Advertising—Appropriation 55, toward the expense of street decorations in Chinatown, for the publicity and advertising of San Francisco.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Oil and Boiler Permits.

Resolution No. 26912 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits be and the same are hereby granted:

Oil Tanks.

Mrs. Thos. F. Barry, 1735 Hyde street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Mrs. Thos. Barry, 3279 Geary street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Buschke & Johnson, east side of Pierce street, 160 feet south of Beach street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Christiansen Bros., north side of Eddy street, 125 feet west of Webster street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Geo. T. Gerhardt, 1001 Post street, 600 gallons capacity.

Hannah & Kohlwes, north side of Turk street, 130 feet west of Webster street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Hannah & Kohlwes, north side of Turk street, 180 feet west of Webster street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Fred Hechter, west side of Scott street, 95 feet north of Golden Gate avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

Herman Hogrefe, northwest corner of Golden Gate avenue and Scott street, 1500 gallons capacity.

H. O. Lindeman, south side of Sacramento street, 130 feet west of Walnut street. 1500 gallons capacity.

Matson Navigation Co., north side of Bryant street, 100 feet west of Main street, 3000 gallons capacity.

Max Miller, south side of Lake street, 75 feet west of Twenty-fourth avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

A. T. Morris, north side of Cabrillo street, 100 feet east of Eleventh avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

M. Mortede, east side of Fillmore street, 110 feet south of Jackson street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Ivan D. Peterson, east side of Pierce street, 132 feet 6 inches south of Beach street, 750 gallons capacity.

F. M. Rowels, 55 San Andreas way, 600 gallons capacity.

Schlesinger & Fleishhacker, south side of Market street, 150 feet west of Van Ness avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

A. Thurm, south side of Jackson street, 37 feet west of Laurel street, 1500 gallons capacity.

W. R. Voorhies, southwest corner of Jackson and Cherry streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

W. R. Voorhies, west line of Cherry street, 28 feet south of Jackson street, 1500 gallons capacity.

W. R. Voorhies, west line of Cherry street, 55 feet south of Jackson street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Fred Warden, west side of Seventeenth avenue, 130 feet north of Irving street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Boiler.

Golden State Tonic Co., 200 Davis street, 10 horsepower.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits shall become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Oil and Boiler Permits.

Resolution No. 26913 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits be and the same are hereby granted:

Oil Tanks.

C. K. Calley, south side of Alhambra street, 72 feet east of Mallorca way, 1500 gallons capacity.

Crane Co., east side of Stanford street, 25 feet north of Brannan street, 1500 gallons capacity.

F. Denucci, south side of Twenty-eighth street, 48 feet west of Dolores street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Henry Ernst & Sons, northeast corner of Eleventh avenue and California street, 1500 gallons capacity.

E. V. Lacey, north line of Haight street, 200 feet east of Laguna street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Magnuson & Peterson, north line of Fourteenth street, 325 feet west of Valencia street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Boilers.

Kroehler Manufacturing Company, northeast corner of Charter Oak and Gilman avenues, 150-horsepower.

Pacific States Construction Company, between Carroll avenue and Jennings street, 125-horsepower.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits shall become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Garage Permit.

Resolution No. 26914 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Hertz Drivurself Stations, Inc., be and are hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to operate and maintain a public garage on the northeast corner of Twenty-second and Mission streets, with entrance on Capp street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Transfers of Garage Permits.

Resolution No. 26915 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That L. Rosengren and A. G. Orcier be and the same are hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred public garage permit heretofore granted J. L. Shuman by Resolution No. 21752 (New Series), for premises at 1075 Golden Gate avenue.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Resolution No. 26916 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Douglas Duggan be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred public garage permit heretofore granted J. W. Gunn by Resolution No. 22413 (New Series) for premises on the east side of La Playa, 200 feet south of Balboa street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Resolution No. 26917 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That McAndrew Company be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred public garage permit heretofore granted Evans & Busse by Resolution No. 19861 (New Series) for premises at 1540 Pine street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Blasting Permit.

Resolution No. 26918 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Eaton & Smith are hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to explode blasts while grading on Castro street between Twenty-eighth and Thirtieth streets; provided said permittee shall execute and file a good and sufficient bond in the sum of $2,500 as fixed by the Board of Public Works and approved by his Honor the Mayor, in accordance with Ordinance No. 1204; provided, also, that said blasts shall be exploded only between the hours of 7 a. m. and 6 p. m., and that the work of said blasting shall be performed to the satisfaction of the Board of Public Works; and that if any of the conditions of this resolution be violated by said Eaton & Smith, then the privileges and all the rights accruing thereunder shall immediately become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Authorizing Plans and Specifications for Initial Grading of Municipal Airport Temporary Site in San Mateo County.

Bill No. 7931, Ordinance No. 7427 (New Series), as follows:

Authorizing and ordering the preparation of plans and specifications for the intial grading of the Municipal Airport temporary site in San Mateo County, and the initial grading of the said Municipal Airport temporary site in accordance with the plans and specifications prepared therefor; authorizing and directing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for said initial grading.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized, instructed and empowered to prepare plans and specifications for the initial grading of the Municipal Airport temporary site in San Mateo County, and to enter into contract for said initial grading of the Municipal Airport temporary site in San Mateo County in accordance with said plans and specifications prepared therefor.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Mayor to Execute Lease with Mills Estate for Lands Required for Airport Purposes.

Bill No. 7914, Ordinance No. 7428 (New Series), as follows:

Authorizing and directing the Mayor and Clerk of the Board of Supervisors to execute a lease in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco with the Mills Estate Incorporated for certain lands situated in the County of San Mateo, State of California, required for airport purposes.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Pursuant to Resolution No. 26816 (New Series), the Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors are hereby author-

ized and directed to enter into the following lease with the Mills Estate Incorporated for land situated in the County of San Mateo, State of California, required for airport purposes; said lease to read as follows:

This lease, made and entered into this ..... day of March, 1927, by and between Mills Estate Incorporated, a corporation duly organized and existing under and by virtue of the laws of the State of California, and hereinafter called the "lessor", and City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, hereinafter called the "lessee".

Witnesseth: That the lessor has lease, demised and let, and does by these presents hereby lease, demise and let unto the lessee all of that certain real property situate in the County of San Mateo, State of California, described as follows, to-wit:

A portion of Sections 34 and 35, Township 3 S, R. 5 W., and Sections 2 and 3, Township 4 S., R. 5 W., M. D. B. & M.

and containing an area of one hundred and fifty (150) acres, more or less, the location and dimensions of said property being more definitely shown on the print hereto attached, marked "Exhibit A", and made a part of this lease.

To have and to hold the same unto the said lessee for the term of three (3) years from the 15th day of March, 1927, at the annual rental of fifteen hundred ($1500) dollars, payable in advance on the 15th day of March of each year during the said term. That the lessee agrees to pay the rental hereinbefore reserved, at the time and in the manner hereinbefore set forth, and to have, hold, use and enjoy said premises upon the terms and conditions herein contained.

1. That the lessee shall have the right to construct and maintain a water pipe line and water tank on the lands belonging to the lessor and adjacent on the west to the premises herein demised, it being the intention of the lessee to connect the said water tank and pipe line with the mains of the Spring Valley Water Company or such other water company as may be most convenient for the said lessee for the purpose of supplying water for all necessary uses upon the land herein demised, and that upon the expiration of the term of this lease, or the sooner termination thereof, the lessee shall have the right to remove said pipe line and water tank from the said lands upon which the same may have been constructed or erected. That said lessor shall have the right, at its own cost, to make connections with said water pipe line to obtain such metered water service therefrom as will not interfere with the use of water required by the lessee.

2. That, subject to the provisions hereinafter contained, the lessee shall have the right to extend this lease for a further period of one (1) year or two (2) years upon the same terms and conditions as are herein contained, provided that the lessee shall give to the lessor notice of its intention to extend said lease at least ninety (90) days prior to the date of the expiration thereof. That said extension may be cancelled by said lessor as to the first or second or first and second years thereof, provided said land is sold by said lessor and written notice thereof is given by the lessor to the lessee to that effect at least nine (9) months prior to the beginning of any extended tenancy year or years, according to this lease, as to which said extension is to be cancelled.

3. That the lessee shall have the right and privilege of terminating this lease on the 15th day of March during any year of the term hereof, provided that the lessee gives to the lessor at least ninety (90) days' notice in writing of its intention to terminate the same, and that upon the termination of said lease the said lessor shall be released from all further obligation to pay rental for said premises.

4. That the said above described premises shall be used by the lessee for and as an airport and as a landing place for airplanes and flying machines of all descriptions, and that the lessee shall have the right to erect on said premises all necessary buildings and equipment for the handling and landing of said airplines, and may in addition thereto, erect and maintatin on said premises suitable buildings for the housing of any and all employees of the lessee in and about the said premises, and may in addition thereto, sub-let a portion of said premies to be used by the sub-lessees for the supplying of any and all articles necessary or proper to be used in and about the said premises, or by the persons frequenting the same, and that the lessee shall withtin ninety (90) days after the date of the expiration or termination of this lease, or any extension thereof, remove said buildings and equipment from said premises.

5. That upon the expiration of

the term of this lease, or the sooner termination thereof, the lessee shall remove all foreign substances, such as cinders, gravel, concrete, and building foundations, from and off said flying field, it being agreed that the lessee, in making such removals, shall be permitted to dump the said materials in the old Borrow Pit or sloughs on the property herein demised. And that in addition to the removal of said foreign substances, the lessee shall on the expiration of the term of this lease or on the sooner termination thereof, pay to the lessor the sum of thirty-seven hundred and fifty ($3750) dollars, in lawful money of the United States, which said sum shall be paid to the lessor for the purpose of enabling the lessor to recondition the land herein demised and make it fit for the purposes for which the same is now being used; and on the removal of said foreign substances, and the payment of said sum of thirty-seven hundred and fifty ($3750) dollars, the lessee shall be released from the payment of all further amounts by reason of any damage done to said premises by reason of placing said foreign substances upon said premises.

6. The lessee shall have the privilege of seeding such portion of the above demised premises as it shall deem proper, but the consent of the lessor must be secured for such seeding that may be done by the lessee, in order that no deletrious grasses or weeds shall be grown on said premises.

7. That the lessor shall during the term of this lease, or any extension thereof, maintain and operate the pumping plant now on said premises, and to that end shall at all times have free access to and from said pumping plant, but should the lessee demand additional pumping over and above the pumping which is required by the lessor, the cost of such additional pumping will be borne by the lessee.

8. The lessor shall, during the term of this lease, or any extension thereof, maintain the levees now surrounding the above demised property, in good order and condition, and will not be responsible for any damage that may be sustained by the lessee or by any person occupying the said premises with the consent of the lessee, for the breaking of said levees. That the lessee shall pay to the lessor the reasonable cost of the restoration or repair of said levees because of any damage occasioned thereto by said lessee, its agents, employees or any person or persons using said premises with the permission of said lessee.

9. That the lessee shall have the privilege, should it desire to do so, of constructing and maintaining a roadway over the property of the lessor adjoining the above described premises on the west, which said roadway shall lead from the said demised premises to the steam and electric railways west of said premises, and should the said lessee desire to avail himself of the privilege of building said roadway, the same shall be located as designated by the lessor.

10. That the lessee shall, during the term of this lease, or any extension thereof, have the right to remove material from the portions of the abandoned levees designated by the lessor on the adjoining land of the lessor, for the purpose of filling in and leveling the depressions on the land herein demised.

11. That the lessee shall be permitted to construct and operate suitable flood-gate controls in the drainage canals on said property at places to be agreed upon between the parties hereto for the purpose of keeping the water level down upon said premises.

12. That upon the termination of this lease, the said lessee will yield up and surrender the above described premises unto the lessor, in the manner hereinbefore set forth.

13. That should the lessee make default in any of the covenants herein contained, or permit any rental to remain due or unpaid for more than thirty (30) days after the same become due and payable according to the terms of this lease, then in that event the lessor may terminate this lease, re-enter the said premises and remove all parties therefrom.

14. That any notices to be given by either of the parties of this lease, one to the other, shall be given in writing, and transmitted by United States mail, said notices to the lessor to be addressed to the said lessor at Mills Building, San Francisco, California, and said notices to the lessee to be addressed to the Mayor of the City and County of San Francisco, City Hall, San Francisco, California.

In witness whereof, the lessor has caused this lease to be executed by its duly authorized officials, and the lessee has caused the same to be executed by its Mayor and by the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors pursuant to a resolution of the said Board of Supervisors, all the day and year first hereinabove written.

MILLS ESTATE INCORPORATED,
By .....,
By .....,
Lessor.
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, a Municipal Corporation,
By .....,
Mayor.
Attest:
.....,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,
Lessee.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Amending Zoning Ordinance Placing Southeasterly Corner of Steiner Street in the Commercial District.

Bill No. 7915, Ordinance No. 7429 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Seriese); entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings, and the location of buildings designed for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Section 2 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting part of the Building Zone Ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place in a Commercial District the southeasterly corner of Chestnut and Steiner streets for a distance of 100 feet on Chestnut street and a distance of 25 feet on Steiner street, and to place in a Commercial District the southwest corner of Chestnut and Pierce streets for a distance of 110 feet on Chestnut street and a distance of 25 feet on Pierce street.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Board of Public Works Authorized to Enter Into Contract with Great Western Power Company for Construction and Use of Conduit in Sunset Tunnel.

Bill No. 7916, Ordinance No. 7430 (New Series), as follows:

Authorizing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract with Great Western Power Company of California for the construction and use of conduit through Sunset Tunnel.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. That the Board of Public Works of the City and County of San Francisco be and they are hereby authorized, empowered and directed to enter into a contract with the Great Western Power Company of California for the construction of a four-inch fibre conduit to be installed by the City for the use of the said Great Western Power Company of California, in and through Sunset Tunnel, upon condition that said Great Western Power Company of California shall pay to the City and County of San Francisco the sum of five thousand dollars ($5,000) to cover the cost of the construction of said conduit, and that when said conduit is completed the said Great Western Power Company of California shall have the right to use the same for the period of twenty (20) years from the date hereof, for the purpose of installing, maintaining and operating lead-covered electric cables therein, and shall also have the right to use jointly with the City and others, the manholes at either end of said tunnel, together with intermediate splicing niches to be installed in said tunnel. That after the expiration of said period of twenty years the said company shall have the right to use said conduit for such period of time as the City and County of San Francisco shall agree, upon the payment of two hundred and fifty ($250) dollars per year, and that said contract shall contain a further provision to the effect that should the City acquire the properties of the Great Western Power Company of California, either by purchase or condemnation, no part of the said sum of five thousand ($5,000) dollars shall be refunded to the company, nor shall the right to use said conduit be considered as a thing of value in the evaluation of the properties of said company. And further, that said contract be approved as to form by the City Attorney of the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden,

Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Spur Track Permit, Pacific States Construction Company.

Bill No. 7917, Ordinance No. 7431 (New Series), as follows:

Granting permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to Pacific States Construction Company to construct, maintain and operate a spur track on Carroll avenue between Jennings and Keith streets, as hereinafter described.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, is hereby granted to the Pacific States Construction Company to construct, maintain and operate a spur track on Carroll avenue between Jennings and Keith streets, as follows:

Beginning in the center line of the proposed drill track in the center of Carroll avenue 147 feet, more or less, northwesterly from the northwesterly line of Jennings street; thence southeasterly through a number seven switch to the left for a distance of 70 feet to a point; thence on a curve to the left with a radius of 230 feet for a distance of 58 feet to a point; thence tangent to last-mentioned curve for a distance of 30 feet to a point; thence crossing Jennings street on a curve to the right with a radius of 230 feet, for a distance of 60 feet, more or less, to a point on the southeasterly line of Jennings street, said point being 8 feet, more or less, from the northeasterly line of Carroll avenue.

Said permission is granted subject to the provisions of Ordinance No. 69 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors, approved October 12, 1906, and the provisions and conditions of Section 8 thereof are hereby specifically contained in the permit hereby granted, and shall be construed as a part hereof as complete as though the same were written in this ordinance.

Provided, that said spur track shall be laid under the supervision and to the lines and grades as furnished by the City Engineer's office, and that any and all expenses connected with the installation of the track, restoration of the pavement, and any additional requirements for the surface drainage be paid for by said Pacific States Construction Company.

Provided, that Pacific States Construction Company shall erect and maintain all-night lighted arc lamps to be placed where directed by the Lighting Committee of the Board of Supervisors.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Spur Track Permit, Foster & Kleiser Investment Company and San Francisco Sulphur Company.

Bill No. 7918, Ordinance No. 7432 (New Series), as follows:

Granting permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to Foster and Kleiser Investment Company and San Francisco Sulphur Company to construct, maintain and operate a spur track along and across an unnamed street, Carroll avenue and Donner avenue, as hereinafter described.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, is hereby granted to Foster and Kleiser Investment Company and San Francisco Sulphur Company to construct and maintain a spur track along and across an unnamed street, Carroll avenue and Donner avenue, as follows:

Beginning at a point in the center line of the existing track in an unnamed street, said point being distant northerly 122.0 feet from the intersection of said center line of track in an unnamed street with the northerly line of Carroll avenue produced; thence diverging in a southwesterly direction from said track and crossing portion of said unnamed street and Carroll avenue to a point on the southerly line of Carroll avenue, said point being distant easterly 118 plus feet from the intersection of said southerly line of Carroll avenue with the easterly line of Mendell street; thence continuing on into private property.

Said permission is granted subject to the provisions of Ordinance No. 69 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors, approved October 12, 1906, and the provisions and conditions of Section 8 thereof are hereby specifically contained in the permit hereby granted, and shall be construed as a part hereof as completely as though the same were written in this ordinance.

Provided, that said spur track shall be laid under the supervision and to the lines and grades as furnished by the City Engineer's office, and that any and all expenses con-

nected with the installation of the track, restoration of the pavement, and any additional requirements for the surface drainage be paid for by the Foster and Kleiser Investment Company and the San Francisco Sulphur Company.

Provided, that the Foster and Kleiser Investment Company and the San Francisco Sulphur Company shall erect and maintain all-night lighted arc lamps where directed by the Lighting Committee of the Board of Supervisors.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Repealing Ordinance No. 7126 (New Series), Ordering the Improvement of the Westerly One-Half of Laidley Street Between Fairmount and Harry Streets.

Bill No. 7919, Ordinance No. 7433 (New Series), as follows:

Repealing Ordinance No. 7126 (New Series), ordering the improvement of the westerly half of Laidley street between Fairmount and Harry streets.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 7126 (New Series), ordering the improvement of the westerly half of Laidley street between Fairmount and Harry streets, is hereby repealed.

Section 2. That Clarence B. Eaton be and he is hereby released from the contract entered into by him for the performance of said work, and the bond given in connection therewith is hereby canceled.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Repealing Ordinance No. 7349 (New Series), Ordering the Improvement of Forty-fifth Avenue Between Vicente and Wawona Streets.

Bill No. 7920, Ordinance No. 7434 (New Series), as follows:

Repealing Ordinance No. 7349 (New Series), ordering the improvement of Forty-fifth avenue between Vicente and Wawona streets.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 7349 (New Series), approved December 31, 1926, ordering the improvement of Forty-fifth avenue between Vicente and Wawona streets, where not already improved, is hereby repealed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Ordering Street Work on Olmstead Street Between Girard and Brussels Streets.

Bill No. 7921, Ordinance No. 7435 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors February 9, 1927, having recommended the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918, of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918, of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in twenty installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be six months from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of *Olmstead street between Girard street and Brussels street*, and the improvement of the crossings of Olmstead

street and Brussels street, and of Olmstead street and Goettingen street, by grading to official line and grade; by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of the necessary side sewers between Girard street and Brussels street; by the construction of the following ironstone pipe sewers: a 12-inch along the center line of Olmstead street between the westerly and center lines of Goettingen street; an 8-inch along the center line of Goettingen street between the northerly and center lines of Olmstead street; an 8-inch along the center line of Brussels street between the northerly and center lines of Olmstead street; by the construction of 4 brick catchbasins with appurtenances and 10-inch ironstone pipe culverts on each of the above-mentioned crossings; by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks on the angular corners of the above-mentioned crossings; by the construction of a concrete pavement on Olmstead street between Girard street and Brussels street, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and a 1½-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface on the roadways of the above-mentioned crossings.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Bill No. 7922, Ordinance No. 7436 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisor February 9, 1927, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in four installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of the crossings of *Thirty-fifth, Thirty-sixth, Thirty-seventh, Thirty-eighth, Thirty-ninth, Fortieth, Forty-first, Forty-second, Forty-third and Forty-fourth avenues with Vicente street*, by grading to official line and grade; by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks of the full official width on the angular corners; by the construction of the necessary catchbasins with accompanying 10-inch ironstone pipe culverts; by the construction of 8-inch, 12-inch and 15-inch ironstone pipe sewers as required, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and a 1½-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface on the roadways thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Bill No. 7923, Ordinance No. 7437 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors February 9, 1927, having recommended the ordering the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San

Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of *Rankin street between Evans avenue and Islais street, including the intervening crossing and intersections*, by grading to official line and grade.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Bill No. 7924, Ordinance No. 7438 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors February 9, 1927, having recommended the ordering the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of *Sixteenth avenue between Pacheco and Quintara streets, and of Quintara street between Sixteenth and Seventeenth avenues*, where not already improved, by the construction of concrete curbs, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and a one and one-half inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Bill No. 7925, Ordinance No. 7439 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors February 9, 1927, having recommended the ordering the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works and to be done in accord-

ance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in three installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The construction of artificial stone sidewalks six feet in width on Alhambra street from the easterly line of Pierce street to the intersection of Cervantes boulevard, and on the westerly one-half of Cervantes boulevard from the above intersection of Alhambra street to the point of intersection of Fillmore street, and on the westerly one-half of Fillmore street from the above point of intersection of Cervantes boulevard to the northerly line of Chestnut street, where artificial stone sidewalks six feet or more in width have not already been constructed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Bill No. 7926, Ordinance No. 7440 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors February 9, 1927, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in twenty installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be six months from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of *Prentiss street between Eugenia avenue and Powhattan avenue*, where not already improved, including the crossing of Eugenia avenue and Prentiss street, by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of a concrete pavement between Eugenia avenue and Powhattan avenue, where not already constructed; by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks on the angular corners of the above mentioned crossing, and three brick catchbasins with appurtenances and 10-inch ironstone pipe culverts on the above mentioned crossing; by the construction of an 8-inch ironstone pipe sewer along the center line of Eugenia avenue between the easterly and westerly lines of Powhattan avenue, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and a 1½-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface, on the roadway of the crossings of Eugenia avenue and Powhattan avenue.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Bill No. 7927, Ordinance No. 7441 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of cer-

tain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors February 9, 1927, having recommended the ordering the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of *Twenty-fifth avenue between Santiago and Taraval streets, and of Twenty-ninth avenue between Kirkham and Lawton streets*, where not already improved, by the construction of concrete curbs, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a six-inch concrete foundation and one and one-half inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface on the roadways thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Declaring That Public Interest and Necessity Demand That Construction of Permanent Improvement Known as Bernal Cut.

Bill No. 7928, Ordinance No. 7442 (New Series), as follows:

Determining and declaring that the public interest and necessity demand the construction and completion of a permanent improvement, to-wit, a cut or excavation, with approach fills, providing an improved route for public highway purposes in the City and County of San Francisco, known as the Bernal Cut, said construction beginning at or near the intersection of Randall and Dolores streets and extending southerly to a point at or near the intersection of San Jose avenue and Diamond street, including approaches, structures, pavements, curbs, sidewalks, sewers, drainage facilities, the readjustment of intersecting and adjacent streets and other improvements and the purchase of the necessary lands.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby determined and specifically declared that the public interest and necessity demand the construction and completion of a permanent improvement, to-wit, a cut or excavation, with approach fills, providing an improved route for public highway purposes in the City and County of San Francisco, known as the Bernal Cut, said construction beginning at or near the intersection of Randall and Dolores streets and extending southerly to a point at or near the intersection of San Jose avenue and Diamond street, including approaches, structures, pavements, curbs, sidewalks, sewers, drainage facilities, the readjustment of intersecting and adjacent streets and other improvements, and the purchase of the necessary lands.

Section 2. The Board of Public Works is hereby directed to procure through the City Engineer and to file with the Board of Supervisors general plans and estimates of cost of the original construction and completion of said cut and appurtenances as herein described.

Section 3. This ordinance shall be published in the official newspaper for two weeks after its approval and shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Amendment to Spur Track Ordinance.

Bill No. 7929, Ordinance No. 7443 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 69 (New Series), providing for and regulating the construction, maintenance and use of spur tracks on and over public streets within the City and County of San Francisco, and fixing penalties for the violation thereof, by adding a new section to be known as Section 2A, and to read as follows:

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 69 (New Series), entitled "Providing for and regulating the construction, maintenance and use of spur tracks on and over public streets within the City and County of San Francisco, and fixing penalties for violation thereof," is hereby amended as follows:

Section 2a. In every permit hereafter granted for spur tracks on any public street in the City and County of San Francisco there shall be incorporated express provisions that the right thereby granted shall not be used for purposes of creating, promoting or maintaining any agreement or combination in restraint of trade; and that the said right is granted upon the distinct understanding that the holder of such permit, in the sale and delivery of goods, materials and supplies transported over such tracks, will sell and deliver such goods, materials or supplies without requiring from the purchaser any promise, agreement or understanding, or evidence of any kind thereof, that such purchaser is or will become a party to any agreement or combination in restraint of trade, or to employ a certain class of labor. All spur or side tracks laid down and in use under permits issued from and after November 23, 1907, and until the taking effect of this section, shall be deemed to be subject to the provisions hereof, and all such permits shall be deemed to have incorporated therein the stipulations contained in this section.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—10.

Noes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Hayden, Marks—4.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Harrelson, Shannon—4.

Spur Track Permit, Pratt Building Material Company.

Bill No. 7930, Ordinance No. 7444 (New Series), as follows:

Granting permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to Pratt Building Material Company to construct, maintain and operate spur tracks in Berry street between De Haro and Seventh streets, as hereinafter described.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, is hereby granted to Pratt Building Material Company to construct, maintain and operate spur tracks as follows:

1. Beginning at a point in the existing track in Berry street, 350 feet southwesterly from the southwesterly line of Seventh street, said point being 41.9 feet, more or less, measured perpendicularly from the southeasterly line of Berry street; thence southwesterly through a number six switch a distance of 55 feet to a point; thence on a curve to the left with a radius of 230 feet for a distance of 33 feet to a point; thence tangent to last mentioned curve for a distance of 109 feet, more or less, to a point on the southeasterly line of Berry street, said point being 540 feet, more or less, from the southwesterly line of Seventh street.

2. Beginning at a point in the above described proposed spur track 437 feet southwesterly from the southwesterly line of Seventh street, said point being 29 feet, more or less, measured perpendicularly from the southeasterly line of Berry street; thence southwesterly through a number eight switch to the left for a distance of 75 feet, more or less, to a point on the southeasterly line of Berry street, said point being 505 feet, more or less, from the southwesterly line of Seventh street.

Said permission is granted subject to the provisions of Ordinance No. 69 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors, approved October 12, 1906, and the provisions and conditions of Section 8 thereof are hereby specifically contained in the permit hereby granted, and shall be construed as a part hereof as completely as though the same were written in this ordinance.

Provided, that said spur track shall be laid under the supervision and to the lines and grades as furnished by the City Engineer's office, and that any and all expenses connected with the installation of the track, restoration of the pavement and any additional requirements for the surface drainage to

be paid for by Pratt Building Material Company.

Provided, that Pratt Building Material Company shall erect and maintain all-night lighted arc lamps where directed by the Lighting Committee of the Board of Supervisors.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—14.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Harrelson, Shannon—4.

Fixing Sidewalk Widths on Innes Avenue.

Bill No. 7932, Ordinance No. 7445 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered nine hundred and fifty.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Board of Public Works, filed in this office February 18, 1927, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered nine hundred and fifty, to read as follows:

Section 950. The width of sidewalks on Innes avenue, the northerly side of, between Lane and Mendell streets, shall be fifteen (15) feet.

The width of sidewalks on Innes avenue, the southerly side of, between Lane and Mendell streets, shall be thirty (30) feet.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect and be in force from and after its passage.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

PRESENTATION OF BILLS AND ACCOUNTS.

Demands not required by law to be passed to print, and amounting to $66,728.96, were allowed and ordered paid by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

NEW BUSINESS.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Authorizations.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923.

(1) F. L. Hansen, extra work on general construction of Henry Durant School (claim dated Feb. 28, 1927), $1,520.31.

(2) R. W. Moller, sixth payment, general construction, Mission (Everett) Junior High School (claim dated March 1, 1927), $17,506.13.

(3) Thos. Skelly, first payment, plumbing for addition to Sunnyside School (claim dated March 1, 1927), $2,523.08.

(4) Thos. Skelly, first payment, mechanical equipment for additions to Sunnyside School (claim dated March 1, 1927), $1,842.98.

(5) John Reid, Jr., nineteenth payment, architectural services for new Mission High School (claim dated March 2, 1927), $3,892.08.

(6) O. Monson, sixth payment, general construction, addition to Francis Scott Key School (claim dated March 2, 1927), $20,152.50.

(7) Amoroso & Damico, ninth payment, general construction of Edison School (claim dated March 1, 1927), $26,106.98.

(8) Scott Company, third payment, mechanical equipment for Edison School (claim dated March 1, 1927), $5,241.75.

(9) F. L. Hansen, final payment, general construction of Henry Durant School (claim dated March 1, 1927), $73,503.51.

(10) H. A. Porter, final payment, electrical work for Henry Durant School (claim dated March 1, 1927), $5,961.35.

(11) Latourette-Fical Co., final payment, plumbing work for Henry Durant School (claim dated March 1, 1927), $3,085.88.

(12) A. Lettich, final payment, mechanical equipment for Henry

Durant School (claim dated March 1, 1927), $1,071.60.

(13) John Reid, Jr., final payment, architectural services for addition to High School of Commerce (claim dated March 1, 1927), $2,166.12.

(14) Edward F. Dowd, first payment, electrical fixtures for new Mission High School (claim dated March 1, 1927), $5,970.

(15) D. N. & E. Walter & Co., first and final payment, window and door shades for new Mission High School (claim dated March 1, 1927), $1,650.

Auditorium Fund.

(16) Elwyn Concert Bureau, services of Cecelia Hansen, Municipal Concert of February 15, 1927 (claim dated March 7, 1927), $800.

(17) Elwyn Concert Bureau, services of Florence Austral, soloist, Municipal Concert of March 8, 1927 (claim dated February 7, 1927), $1,000.

Fire Department Land Fund.

(18) Elliot & Grant, sixth payment, general construction of Fire Department Engine House No. 19 (claim dated March 1, 1927), $4,661.06.

Maintenance of Aquarium, Appropriation 57.

(19) California Academy of Sciences, maintenance of Steinhart Aquarium for February (claim dated March 7, 1927), $3,919.80.

Hetch Hetchy Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1925.

(20) Beronio Lumber Co., lumber, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Feb. 26, 1927), $502.16.

(21) Chain Belt Co., roller chain, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Feb. 26, 1927), $563.64.

(22) Crucible Steel Co. of America, drill steel, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Feb. 25, 1927), $9,362.29.

(23) Engineering Products Co., spikes, bolts and angle bars, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Feb. 25, 1927), $1,697.05.

(24) Hercules Powder Co., explosives, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Feb. 28, 1927), $2,485.62.

(25) T. M. Hughes, oak wood, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Feb. 26, 1927), $887.50.

(26) Myers-Whaley Co., shoveling machine parts, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Feb. 26, 1927), $1,030.92.

(27) M. M. O'Shaughnessy, reimbursement of revolving fund for expenditures (claim dated Feb. 25, 1927), $824.80.

(28) Standard Oil Co., gasoline and oils for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Feb. 26, 1927), $598.41.

(29) Standard Oil Co., gasoline and oil, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Feb. 26, 1927), $628.12.

Park Fund.

(30) Bode Gravel Co., gravel for parks (claim dated March 4, 1927), $514.69.

(31) Dunham, Carrigan & Hayden Co., hardware for parks (claim dated March 4, 1927), $609.88.

(32) R. J. O'Connell, red rock furnished parks (claim dated March 4, 1927), $780.

(33) R. J. O'Connell, red rock furnished parks (claim dated March 4, 1927), $724.

Sunset Tunnel Assessment Fund.

(34) Leonard F. Youdall and R G. Clifford, ninth payment, construction of tunnel under Buena Vista Park (claim dated March 2, 1927), $40,678.74.

County Road Fund.

(35) Keystone Construction Co., final payment, improvement of Telegraph Hill boulevard (claim dated March 2, 1927), $1,344.68.

(36) James M. Smith, fifth payment, improvement of Market street extension (claim dated March 2, 1927), $9,900.

(37) W. S. Dickey Clay Mfg. Co., paving brick for Steiner street (claim dated March 1, 1927), $1,041.05.

Tubercular Sanitarium Fund.

(38) Latourette-Fical Co., third payment, plumbing work for Tuberculosis Preventorium (claim dated March 2, 1927), $2,434.23.

(39) Reilly & Nemetz, fourth payment, general construction of Tuberculosis Preventorium (claim dated March 2, 1927), $12,786.45.

(40) M. E. Ryan, third payment, electrical work, Tuberculosis Preventorium (claim dated March 1, 1927), $550.30.

Municipal Railway Depreciation Reserve Fund.

(41) Albert S. Samuels, Municipal employees' badges (claim dated Feb. 28, 1927), $750.

Relief Home Construction Fund. Bond Issue 1923.

(42) J. W. Burtchaell, final payment, electrical fixtures for Relief Home buildings (claim dated March 1, 1927), $2,557.21.

(43) John Reid, Jr., twenty-sixth payment, architectural services for new Relief Home buildings (claim dated March 1, 1927), $8,521.07.

General Fund. 1925-1926.

(44) Granfield, Farrar & Carlin,

final payment for improvement of Mt. Vernon avenue extension (claim dated March 2, 1927), $2,152.

(45) A. E. Hennessy, first payment, reconstruction of Bluxome street (claim dated March 2, 1927), $12,000.

(46) John Spargo, fifth payment, construction of photograph gallery in Hall of Justice (claim dated March 1, 1927), $3,192.37.

Special School Tax.

(47) Bureau of Street Repair, Board of Public Works, asphalting yard of Noe Valley and of Excelsior schools (claim dated March 2, 1927), $1,239.41.

(48) W. S. Ray Mfg. Co., fuel oil burners in various schools (claim dated March 2, 1927), $6,194.

(49) Geo. H. Tay Co., urinals for Franklin School (claim dated March 2, 1927), $940.

(50) W. S. Ray Mfg. Co., fuel oil burners in Denman and Frank McCoppin schools (claim dated Feb. 23, 1927), $1,769.

(51) D. J. & T. Sullivan, Inc., moving portable schools (claim dated Feb. 23, 1927), $700.

(52) L. Flatland, first payment, electrical work for addition to Madison School (claim dated March 1, 1927), $2,134.13.

(53) E. K. Nelson, third payment, general construction of addition to the Madison School (claim dated March 2, 1927), $5,291.25.

(54) J. E. O'Mara, second payment, plumbing work for addition to Madison School (claim dated March 1, 1927), $625.50.

(55) Mahony Bros., fifth payment, general construction of addition to Commodore Sloat School (claim dated Feb. 1, 1927), $9,231.81.

General Fund, 1926-1927.

(56) Lottie M. Neil, second payment, installation of safety lane markers (claim dated March 2, 1927), $612.

(57) John Spargo, fourth payment, general construction of additions to lightwell space, Hall of Justice (claim dated March 1, 1927), $854.25.

(58) General Electric Co., installation of radio fountains, etc., in Civic Center (claim dated Feb. 24, 1927), $4,243.31.

(59) Enterprise Foundry Co., catchbasin frames, etc., for sewer repairs (claim dated March 1, 1927), $730.82.

(60) Standard Oil Co. of California, asphalt for street repair (claim dated March 1 ,1927), $2,464.75.

(61) H. E. Teller Co., coffee for Relief Home (claim dated Feb. 25, 1927), $775.

(62) Frederick A. Hamilton, American sterilizer for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Jan. 31, 1927), $1,036.

(63) The Beecher Co., cribs for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Jan. 31, 1927), $1,368.

(64) California Petroleum Corporation, fuel oil for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Jan. 31, 1927), $2,521.56.

(65) Richard Stall, Industrial Accident Commission award against San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Feb. 26, 1927), $684.47.

(66) Recorder Printing and Publishing Co., printing bridge hearing proceedings (claim dated March 7, 1927), $1,049.50.

(67) San Francisco Society for Prevention of Cruelty to Animals, impounding, feeding, etc., of animals (claim dated March 7, 1927), $1,250.

(68) James Rolph, Jr., Mayor's March incidentals (claim dated March 7, 1927), $625.

Municipal Railway Accident Reserve Fund.

(69) Harriet E. Brennan, compromise agreement for personal injuries and other damages as result of Municipal Railway accident (claim dated March 3, 1927), $1,000.

Hetch Hetchy Operative Revenue Fund.

(70) Railroad Commission of the State of California, expense of valuation of San Francisco electric properties, month of March, 1927 (claim dated March 7, 1927), $2,000.

Appropriation, $1,500, One Year's Rental, Airport Site, Mills Estate.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $1.500 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of "Municipal Airport, etc.," Budget Item No. 60, Fiscal Year 1926-1927, and authorized in payment to the Mills Estate Incorporated; being payment of one year's rental of property situate in San Mateo County, in accordance with lease entered into and as per Resolution No. 26816 (New Series). Being for the period March 15, 1927, to March 15, 1928.

Appropriation, $750, Payment to William C. Gibson et al. for Property Required for Potrero Playground.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $750 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of Playground Fund, Appropriation 49, and au-

thorized in payment to William C. Gibson and Mary Gibson; being payment for property required for the "Potrero Playground," and being a portion of Potrero Nuevo Block 227.

Commencing at a point on the easterly line of Arkansas street, distant thereon 400 feet northerly from the point formed by the intersection of the said easterly line of Arkansas street with the northerly line of Twenty-third street, running thence northerly along the easterly line of Arkansas street 25 feet; thence easterly 100 feet; thence southerly 25 feet; thence westerly 100 feet to the easterly line of Arkansas street and point of commencement. (Claim dated Feb. 1, 1927.)

Appropriations for Improvement of Castro Street Between Twenty-ninth and Thirtieth Streets and Masonic Avenue Between Fell and Oak Streets.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of County Road Fund, for the hereinafter mentioned purposes, to-wit:

(1) For the cost of improving Castro street between Twenty-ninth and Thirtieth streets, including portion of Thirtieth street east of Castro street, including inspection and possible extras, $11,950.

(2) For the improvement of Masonic avenue from Fell to Oak streets, including inspection and extras, $3,000.

Appropriation, $40,730.45 for Construction of an Addition to the High School of Commerce.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $40,730.45 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923, for the construction of an addition to the High School of Commerce, including architect fees, extras, incidentals and inspection.

Appropriations, Partitions, Juvenile Detention Home; Skylight, County Jail; Improvement of Montana Street; Easement, Army Street; Trucks, Etc., Relief Home.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned funds for the following purposes, to-wit:

Repairs to Public Buildings, Budget Item No. 68.

(1) For expense of making alterations and installing of new partitions, etc., at the Juvenile Detention Home, $595.

(2) For continuance of fence construction at County Jail No. 3, and for construction of skylights on County Jail No. 2, $1,150.

Street Work in Front of City Property—Budget Item No. 36.

(3) For the improvement of the southerly one-half of Montana street between Capitol and Plymouth avenues, fronting playground, $5,000.

Extension of Main Sewers—Budget Item No. 39.

(4) For construction of a drainage system through property acquired by easement at Army street, west of Castro street, $600.

Relief Home Equipment—Budget Item No. 64.

(5) For the purchase of trucks, chairs, bakery, kitchen and other equipment for the new Relief Home, $1,831.89.

Appropriating $1,000 for Construction of Display Case for War Flags.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $1,000 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of the General Fund, 1926-1927, for the construction of a display case for war flags.

Accepting Offer of Frank Sebanc et al. to Sell Certain Property Required for Bernal Cut.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 26919 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the owners of the following described land situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and sought to be acquired by the City and County of San Francisco for the opening of Bernal Cut, extending from Mission and Randall streets southwesterly along the Southern Pacific Railroad right of way to the intersection of San Jose avenue and Circular avenue, have offered to convey the property desired by said City and County for the sums set forth opposite their names, viz.:

Frank Sebanc, $3,750—Lot 19, Block 6689, as per the Assessor's Block Book of the City and County of San Francisco.

Melanie Fraser, $2,500—Lot 18, Block 6689, as per the Assessor's

Block Book of the City and County of San Francisco.

Whereas, the City Attorney has recommended the acceptance of the said offers and the acquisition of the property owned by said persons and offered to the City and County of San Francisco for the prices set forth opposite their names, which said prices are in accordance with the City's appraisement of the property; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the said offers of sale be accepted and the City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to examine the titles of said properties, and, if the same are found in satisfactory condition, to accept, in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, deeds conveying title thereto, and file the same for record with a copy of this resolution attached thereto as evidence of acceptance by the City and County of San Francisco, upon payment of the agreed purchase prices.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Accepting Offer of G. Bernini et al. to Sell Property Required for Bernal Cut.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 26920 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the owners of the following described land situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and sought to be acquired by the City and County of San Francisco for the opening of Bernal Cut, extending from Mission and Randall streets southwesterly along the Southern Pacific Railroad right of way to the intersection of San Jose avenue and Circular avenue, have offered to convey the property desired by said City and County for the sums set forth opposite their names, viz.:

G. Bernini and Emilia Bernini, $908—All of Lot 39, Jones' Addition to Fairmount Tract, as recorded in Map Book E and F, page 169, records of the City and County of San Francisco; saving and excepting therefrom the following described parcel: Beginning at a point on the southeasterly line of Arlington street, distant thereon 288 feet southwesterly from the southwesterly line of Roanoke street, thence southwesterly along said southeasterly line 25 feet to the southwesterly boundary line of Lot 39; thence southeasterly along said southwesterly line 53.29 feet; thence deflecting 81 deg. 33 min. 30 sec. to the left and running northeasterly 25.274 feet to the northeasterly boundary line of said Lot 39; thence deflecting 98 deg. 26 min. 30 sec. to the left and running northwesterly 57 feet to the southeasterly line of Arlington street and the point of beginning.

M. Deminio and Rose Deminio, $2,500—Lot 31, Block 6692, as per Assessor's Block Book of the City and County of San Francisco.

Ernst Otto Semerau, $7,250—Lot 6A, Block 6661, as per Assessor's Block Book of the City and County of San Francisco.

Whereas, the City Attorney has recommended the acceptance of the said offers and the acquisition of the property owned by said persons and offered to the City and County of San Francisco for the prices set forth opposite their names, which said prices are in accordance with the City's appraisement of the property; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the said offers of sale be accepted, and the City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to examine the title of said properties, and if the same are found in satisfactory condition to accept in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco deeds conveying title thereto, and file the same for record with a copy of this resolution attached thereto as evidence of acceptance by the City and County of San Francisco, upon payment of the agreed purchase prices.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Accepting Offer of Theresa Stuhr et al. to Sell Certain Land Required for the Bay Shore Boulevard.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 26921 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the owners of the following described lands situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and sought to be acquired by the City and County of San Francisco for the opening of Bay Shore boulevard have offered to convey the properties desired by said City and County of San Francisco for the sum set forth opposite their names, viz.:

Theresa Stuhr, $8,167—All of Lots Nos. 20, 21, 22 and 23, according to map entitled "Haleys' Map No. 1 of Precita Valley Lots Nos. 319 to 333, inclusive," filed in the office of the County Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, December 12, 1861, and recorded in Book 1 of Maps, page 90.

The above sum includes payment in full for the improvements now wholly or partially located on the above described parcel.

Andrew Hardenburgh, $1.750.

Parcel 1. Lots 4 and 5, Block 5448, Assessor's Block Book of the City and County of San Francisco.

Parcel 2. Beginning at the point of intersection of the westerly line of San Bruno avenue and the northerly line of Lot 1513 of Gift Map No. 2, as recorded in Map Book 2 A and B, page 13, Records of the City and County of San Francisco; thence southerly along said westerly line 25.545 feet to the southerly boundary line of said Lot 1513; thence deflecting 78 deg. 16 min. 50 sec. to the right and running easterly along said southerly line 1.798 feet; thence deflecting 90 deg. 08 min. 14 sec. to the right and running northerly 25.000 feet to the northerly boundary line of said Lot 1513; thence deflecting 89 deg. 51 min. 46 sec. to the right and running easterly along said northerly line 6.987 feet to the westerly line of San Bruno avenue and the point of beginning. Being a portion of said Lot 1513 of said Gift Map No. 2.

Whereas, the City Attorney has recommended the acceptance of the said offers and the acquisition of the properties owned by said persons and offered to the City and County of San Francisco for the prices set forth, which said prices are in accordance with the City's appraisement of the properties; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the said offers of sale be accepted, and the City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to examine the title of said properties, and if the same are found in satisfactory condition to accept in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco deeds conveying title thereto, and file the same for record with a copy of this resolution attached thereto as evidence of acceptance by the City and County of San Francisco, upon payment of the agreed purchase prices.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Accepting Offer of Ellen F. Monahan to Sell Certain Property Required for the Widening of Grand View Avenue.

Supervisor McSheehy presented: Resolution No. 26922 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the owner of the following described land situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and sought to be acquired by said City and County for the widening of Grand View avenue, has offered to convey the property desired by said City and County of San Francisco for the sum set forth opposite her name, viz.:

Ellen F. Monahan, $20—Beginning at a point on the northwesterly line of Grand View avenue, distant thereon 364.083 feet southerly from the southerly line of Romain street, said point being on the northeasterly boundary line of Lot 14 of Block 19 of Pioche & Robinson's Subdivision of a portion of San Miguel Rancho, and running thence southwesterly along the northwesterly line of Grand View avenue 45.833 feet to the southwesterly boundary line of said Lot 14; thence deflecting 82 deg. 26 min. 9 sec. to the right and running northwesterly along said southwesterly boundary line 5.320 feet to the proposed northwesterly line of Grand View avenue; thence deflecting 97 deg. 12 min. 50 sec. to the right and running northeasterly along the proposed northwesterly line of Grand View avenue 45.898 feet to the northeasterly boundary line of said Lot 14; thence deflecting 83 deg. 48 min. 57 sec. to the right and running southeasterly along said northeasterly boundary line 5.590 feet to the point of beginning; being all of said Lot 14 lying southeasterly of the proposed northwesterly line of Grand View avenue.

Whereas, the City Attorney has recommended the acceptance of the said offer and the acquisition of the property owned by said party and offered to the City and County of San Francisco for the sum set forth, which said price is in accordance with the City's appraisement of the property; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the said offer of sale be accepted and the City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to examine the title of said property, and if the same is found in satisfactory condition, to accept in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco a deed conveying

title thereto, and file the same for record with a copy of this resolution attached thereto as evidence of acceptance by the City and County of San Francisco upon payment of the agreed purchase price.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Accepting Offer of Alvida Lehman, Anglo American Land Company and Edwin W. Stone to Sell Certain Properties Required for the Extension of Nineteenth Street From Yukon to Mono Streets.

Supervisor McSheehy presented: Resolution No. 26923 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the owners of the following described lands sought to be acquired by the City and County of San Francisco for the extension of Nineteenth street from Yukon street to Mono street, have offered to convey the properties desired by the City and County of San Francisco for the sums set forth opposite their names, viz.:

Alvida Lehman, $500—Beginning at the northwesterly corner of Lot 12 of Block 11 of Market Street Homestead Association, and running thence northeasterly along the northwesterly boundary of said Lot 12, 87.292 feet to the southwesterly line of Yukon street; thence deflecting 85 deg. 57 min. 59 sec. to the right and running southeasterly along the southwesterly line of Yukon street 21.564 feet; thence deflecting 94 deg. 05 min. 03 sec. to the right and running southwesterly 89.440 feet to the southwesterly boundary of aforesaid Lot 12; thence deflecting 91 deg. 38 min. 12 sec. to the right and running northwesterly along said southwesterly boundary 21.441 feet to the point of beginning. Being portion of Lot 12 of Block 11 of Market Street Homestead Association.

Anglo American Land Co., $600—Beginning at a point on the southeasterly boundary of Lot 10 of Block 11 of Market Street Homestead Association, distant thereon 31 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly boundary of said Lot 10, and running thence northeasterly along the southeasterly boundary of Lots 10, 13 and 14 of said Block 11, 118.292 feet to the southwesterly line of Yukon street; thence deflecting 94 deg. 2 min. 1 sec. to the left and running northwesterly along the southwesterly line of Yukon street 18.538 feet; thence deflecting 85 deg. 54 min. 57 sec. to the left and running southwesterly 117.182 feet; thence deflecting 90 deg. 38 min. 48 sec. to the left and running southeasterly 18.597 feet to the point of beginning. Being a portion of Lots 10, 13 and 14 of Block 11, Market Street Homestead Association.

Edwin W. Stone, $440—Beginning at the northwesterly corner of Lot 8 of Block 11 of Market Street Homestead Association, and running thence northeasterly along the northwesterly boundary of said Lot 8, 110 feet 3 inches to the northeasterly boundary of said Lot 8; thence deflecting 91 deg. 41 min. 14 sec. to the right and running southeasterly along said northeasterly boundary 21.441 feet; thence deflecting 88 deg. 21 min. 48 sec. to the right and running southwesterly 107.668 feet to the southwesterly boundary of aforesaid Lot 8; thence deflecting 84 deg. 43 min. 30 sec. to the right and running northwesterly along said southwesterly boundary 21.425 feet to the point of beginning. Being portion of Lot 8, Block 11, Market Street Homestead Association.

Whereas, the City Attorney has recommended the acceptance of the said offers and the acquisition of the properties owned by said parties and offered to the City and County of San Francisco for the prices set forth, which said prices are in accordance with the City's appraisement of the properties; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the said offers of sale be accepted and the City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to examine the titles of said properties, and if the same are found in satisfactory condition, to accept, in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, deeds conveying title thereto, and file the same for record with a copy of this resolution attached thereto as evidence of acceptance by the City and County of San Francisco, upon payment of the agreed purchase prices.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Accepting Offer of B. B. Cory to Sell for $300 Certain Property Required for a Sewer Right of Way From Mangels Avenue to Joost Avenue Between Edna and Foerster Streets.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 26924 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the owner of the following described land sought to be acquired by the City and County of San Francisco for the construction of a sewer from Mangles avenue to Joost avenue between Edna and Foerster street has offered to convey the property desired by the City and County of San Francisco for the sum set forth opposite his name, viz.:

B. B. Cory, $300—Lot 33, in Block 3088, as per the Assessor's Block Book of the City and County of San Francisco.

Whereas, the City Attorney has recommended the acceptance of the said offer and the acquisition of the property owned by said person and offered to the City and County of San Francisco for the price set forth, which said price is in accordance with the City's appraisement of the property; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the said offer of sale be accepted and the City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to examine the title of said property, and, if the same is found in satisfactory condition, to accept, in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, a deed conveying title thereto, and file the same for record with a copy of this resolution attached thereto as evidence of acceptance by the City and County of San Francisco, upon payment of the agreed purchase price.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Action Deferred.

The following matters were *laid over one week*:

Authorization for Municipal Band Services, Sesquicentennial Celebration.

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $440.90 is hereby authorized out of "Maintenance Municipal Band," Budget Item No. 30, to Philip H. Sapiro for services of band at Mission Park, October 10, 1926, in connection with the Sesquicentennial celebration.

Motion.

Supervisor Roncovieri moved that the resolution be amended so as to provide that the money shall be taken from Budget Item No. 1079, Publicity and Advertising, instead of Budget Item No. 30, "Maintenance of Municipal Band."

Transfer of Twin Peaks Tunnel Fund.

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $42,508.21 is hereby transferred from the Twin Peaks Ridge Tunnel Assessment Fund to the Municipal Railway Fund, and the Board of Public Works, Tax Collector, Auditor and Treasurer are hereby directed to make such entries in their official records and books of account as will correctly show such transfer. Said transfer is for the purpose of reimbursing said Municipal Railway Fund for moneys advanced therefrom and used in the construction of the Twin Peaks Tunnel project.

War Memorial Bond Issue.

Finance Committee recommends:

Proceedings regarding bond issue for completion of Civic Center (War Memorial). Ordinances and resolutions to come from City Attorney.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Amending Zoning Ordinance, Placing Northeasterly Side of Ocean Avenue, Southeast of Fairfield Way, in Commercial District Instead of First Residential.

On motion of Supervisor Hayden:

Bill No. 7933, Ordinance No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings, and the location of buildings designed for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Section 13 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place the northeasterly side of Ocean avenue, commencing at the southeasterly side of Fairfield way and running thence southeasterly to a point 171 feet southeasterly from the northeasterly

line of Keystone way and extending to a depth of 100 feet, in the Commercial District instead of the First Residental District.

Oil and Boiler Permits.

On motion of Supervisor Deasy:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits be and the same are hereby granted:

Oil Tanks.

L. W. Chitchlow, 266 Dore street, 600 gallons capacity.

Luella C. McCutchen, north side of Fourteenth street, 115 feet east of Howard street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Thos. Sharman, 1018 Fell street, 1500 gallons capacity.

W. P. Wood, west side of Broderick street, 65 feet south of Grove street, 1500 gallons capacity.

W. P. Wood, east side of Gough street, 100 feet south of Turk street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Boilers.

Luella C. McCutchen, north side of Fourteenth street, 115 feet east of Howard street, 25-horsepower.

Phillips Baking Co., Inc., 1315 Harrison street, 4-horsepower.

Thomas Porcaro, 2779 Folsom street, 12-horsepower.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits shall become null and void.

Laundry Permit Denied.

Supervisor Deasy presented:

Resolution No. 26925 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, in the exercise of the sound and reasonable discretion of the Board of Supervisors, permission is hereby denied Gee Lim to operate and maintain a public laundry at 638 Redwood street.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Garage Permit.

On motion of Supervisor Deasy:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Russ Building Company be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to operate and maintain a public garage on the west side of Montgomery street between Pine and Bush streets.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Transfers of Garage Permits.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That C. V. Rather be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred public garage permit heretofore granted Charles McManus by Resolution No. 22688 (New Series) for premises at 1461 Pine street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Howard T. Blethen be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred public garage permit heretofore granted J. Olive Smith by Resolution No. 18446 (New Series) for premises at 875 California street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be execrised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Laundry Permit.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That John King be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to operate and maintain a public laundry at No. 3 Meacham place.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Dyeing and Cleaning Permit.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Luella C. McCutchen be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to operate and maintain a cleaning and dyeing establishment on the north side of Fourteenth street, 115 feet east of Howard street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Garage Permit.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That W. E. Dersch be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of

Supervisors, to operate and maintain a public garage at 218 Austin street; provided, that said permittee shall comply with all existing ordinances pertaining to construction and operation of public garages.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Blasting Permit.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That H. V. Tucker be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to explode blasts on property situate on the northeast corner of California and Jones streets, provided, said permittee shall execute and file a good and sufficient bond in the sum of $10,000 as fixed by the Board of Public Works and approved by his Honor the Mayor, in accordance with Ordinance No. 1204 (New Series); provided, also, that said blasts shall be exploded only between the hours of 7 a. m. and 6 p. m., and that the work of blasting shall be performed to the satisfaction of the Board of Public Works, and that if any of the conditions of this resolution be violated by said H. V. Tucker, then the privileges and all rights accruing thereunder shall immediately become null and void.

Accepting Offer of Salvatore Zanca to Sell Southeast Corner of Banks Street and Tomkins Avenue for School Purposes.

Supervisor Powers presented:

Resolution No. 26926 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, an offer has been received from Salvatore Zanca to convey to the City and County of San Francisco certain land situate on the southeast corner of Banks street and Tompkins avenue, required for school purposes; and

Whereas, the price at which said parcel of land is offered is the reasonable value thereof; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the offer of said owner to convey to the City and County of San Francisco a good and sufficient fee simple title to the following described land, free of all encumbrances, for the sum of $1,000 in full, including street work, cost of building plans, etc., be and the same is hereby accepted, the said land being described as follows, to-wit:

Commencing at a point formed by the intersection of the easterly line of Banks street with the southerly line of Tompkins avenue, running thence southerly along said easterly line of Banks street 25 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 70 feet; thence at a right angle northerly 25 feet to the southerly line of Tomkins avenue; thence westerly along said southerly line of Tompkins avenue 70 feet to the easterly line of Banks street and point of commencement. Being a portion of Block 5728 on Assessor's Map Book.

The City Attorney is hereby directed to examine the title to said land and, if the same is found to be vested in the aforesaid owner, free of all encumbrances, and that the taxes up to and including the current fiscal year are paid, and that the so-called McEnerney title has procured or sufficient money reserved for the purpose of procuring the same, to report the result of his examination to the Board of Supervisors, and also to cause a good and sufficient deed for said land to be executed and delivered to the City and County upon payment of the agreed purchase price as aforesaid. And the said deed to said land is hereby accepted.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Accepting Offer of Alice Williams to Sell Certain Land Required for School Purposes.

Supervisor Powers presented:

Resolution No. 26927 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, an offer has been received from Alice Williams (formerly Alice O'Day) to convey to the City and County of San Francisco certain land hereinafter described, required for school purposes; and

Whereas, the price at which said parcels of land are offered is the reasonable value thereof; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the offer of the said owner to convey to the City and County of San Francisco a good and sufficient fee simple title to the following described land, free of all encumbrances, for the sum of $126,118.46 be and the same is hereby accepted, the said land being described as follows, to-wit:

(a) Commencing at the point of intersection of the southerly line of Anza street and the westerly line of

Thirty-first avenue, running thence southerly and along said line of Thirty-first avenue 59 feet 11 inches; thence at a right angle westerly 165 feet 6 inches to a line drawn southeasterly from a point on the southerly line of Anza street, distant thereon 69 feet 2 inches easterly from the easterly line of Thirty-second avenue to a point on the northerly line of Balboa street, distant thereon 130 feet 1 inch easterly from said line of Thirty-second avenue; thence northwesterly along said last described line 60 feet, more or less, to the southerly line of Anza street, and thence easterly along said line of Anza street 170 feet 10 inches to the point of commencement. Being portion of Outside Land Block No. 314.

(b) Commencing at a point on the westerly line of Thirty-first avenue, distant thereon 109 feet 11 inches southerly from the southerly line of Anza street, running thence southerly and along said line of Thirty-first avenue 315 feet 6 inches; thence at a right angle westerly 127 feet 5 inches to a line drawn from a point on the southerly line of Anza street, distant thereon 69 feet 2 inches easterly from the easterly line of Thirty-second avenue to a point on the northerly line of Balboa street, distant thereon 130 feet 1 inch easterly from the easterly line of Thirty-second avenue; thence northwesterly along said line to a point distant at right angles 109 feet 11 inches southerly from the southerly line of Anza street; thence easterly and parallel with Anza street 156 feet to the point of commencement. Being portion of Outside Land Block No. 314.

(c) Commencing at a point on the westerly line of Thirty-first avenue, distant thereon 87 feet 2¾ inches northerly from the northerly line of Balboa street, running thence northerly along said line of Thirty-first avenue 87 feet 2¾ inches; thence westerly along a line deflected to the left 90 deg. 1 min. 26 sec., 127 feet 5 inches, more or less, to a line drawn from a point on the southerly line of Anza street, distant thereon 69 feet 2 inches easterly from the easterly line of Thirty-second avenue to a point on the northerly line of Balboa street, distant thereon 130 feet 1 inch easterly from the easterly line of Thirty-second avenue; thence southeasterly along said last described line 87 feet 8 inches to a line drawn westerly and parallel with the northerly line of Balboa street from the point of commencement; thence easterly parallel with said line of Balboa street 118 feet 8 inches to the point of commencement. Being a portion of Outside Land Block No. 314.

(d) Commencing at the point of intersection of the southerly line of Anza street and the easterly line of Thirty-first avenue, running thence southerly and along said line of Thirty-first avenue 425 feet 6½ inches; thence easterly 240 feet, more or less, to the westerly line of Thirtieth avenue at a point distant thereon 174 feet 7 inches northerly from the northerly line of Balboa street; thence northerly along said line of Thirtieth avenue 275 feet and 1 inch; thence at a right angle westerly 120 feet; thence at a right angle northerly 50 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 120 feet to the westerly line of Thirtieth avenue; thence at a right angle northerly and along said line of Thirtieth avenue 100 feet to the southerly line of Anza street; thence at a right angle westerly along said line of Anza street 240 feet to the point of commencement. Being part of Outside Land Block No. 313.

The City Attorney is hereby directed to examine the title to said land and, if the same is found to be vested in the aforesaid owner, free of all encumbrances, and that the taxes up to and including the current fiscal year are paid, and that the so-called McEnerney title has been procured or sufficient money reserved for the purpose of procuring the same, to report the result of his examination to the Board of Supervisors, and also to cause a good and sufficient deed for said land to be executed and delivered to the City and County upon payment of the agreed purchase price as aforesaid. And the said deed to said land is hereby accepted.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Accepting Offer of John F. Kearney to Sell Land on Quintara Street Near Twenty-third Avenue Required for School Purposes.

Supervisor Powers presented:

Resolution No. 26928 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, an offer has been received from John F. Kearney to convey to the City and County of

San Francisco certain land situate on the southerly line of Quintara street, distant 90 feet westerly from Twenty-third avenue, required for school purposes; and

Whereas, the price at which said parcel of land and improvements is offered is the reasonable value thereof; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the offer of the said owner to convey to the City and County of San Francisco a good and sufficient fee simple title to the following described land, free of all encumbrances, for the sum of $1,400 be and the same is hereby accepted, the said land being described as follows, to-wit:

Commencing at a point on the southerly line of Quintara street, distant thereon 90 feet westerly from the westerly line of Twenty-third avenue, running thence westerly along said southerly line of Quintara street 30 feet; thence at a right angle southerly 100 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 30 feet; thence at a right angle northerly 100 feet to the southerly line of Quintara street and point of commencement. Being a portion of Outside Land Block 1049, also known as Block 2194 on Assessor's Map Book.

The City Attorney is hereby directed to examine the title to said land and, if the same is found to be vested in the aforesaid owner, free of all encumbrances, and that the taxes up to and including the current fiscal year are paid, and that the so-called McEnerney title has been procured or sufficient money reserved for the purpose of procuring the same, to report the result of his examination to the Board of Supervisors, and also to cause a good and sufficient deed for said land to be executed and delivered to the City and County upon payment of the agreed purchase price as aforesaid. And the said deed to said land is hereby accepted.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Correction of Resolution No. 26804 (New Series), Accepting Offer of John Garvey to Sell Land Required for School Purposes.

Supervisor Powers presented:

Resolution No. 26929 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Resolution No. 26804 (New Series), accepting an offer from John Garvey to convey to the City and County of San Francisco certain land required for school purposes, be and the same is hereby corrected and amended regarding the description of the said property set forth therein to read as follows:

Commencing at a point on the easterly line of Thirty-second avenue, distant thereon 175 feet northerly from the northerly line of Balboa street, running thence northerly and along said line of Thirty-second avenue 25 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 109 feet 9⅜ inches to a line drawn southeasterly from a point on the southerly line of Anza street distant thereon 69 feet 2 inches easterly from the easterly line of Thirty-second avenue to a point on the northerly line of Balboa street, distant thereon 130 feet 1 inch easterly from the said easterly line of Thirty-second avenue; thence running southeasterly along said last described line 25 feet 1 inch, more or less, to a line drawn easterly and parallel with the northerly line of Balboa street from the point of commencement; thence westerly along said last described line 112 feet 3¾ inches, more or less, to the point of commencement. Being a portion of Outside Land Block No. 314.

Further Resolved, That Resolution No. 26822 (New Series), appropriating the sum of $1,750 in payment for the said above land be made a part hereof and to which reference is hereby made.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Accepting Offer of Joseph H. Quadt to Sell Improvements Required for School Purposes.

Supervisor Powers presented:

Resolution No. 26930 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, an offer has been received from Joseph H. Quadt to convey to the City and County of San Francisco certain land and improvements situate on south line of Nineteenth street, 34 feet distant easterly from Diamond street, required for school purposes; and

Whereas, the price at which said parcel of land is offered is the reasonable value thereof; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the offer of the

said owner to convey to the City and County of San Francisco a good and sufficient fee simple title to the following described land, free of all encumbrances, for the sum of $5,900, be and the same is hereby accepted, the said land being described as follows, to-wit:

Commencing at a point on the southerly line of Nineteenth street, distant thereon 34 feet easterly from the easterly line of Diamond street; running thence easterly and along said line of Nineteenth street 33 feet; thence at a right angle southerly 100 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 33 feet; thence at a right angle northerly 100 feet to the point of commencement. Being a portion of Horner's Addition Block No. 194.

The City Attorney is hereby directed to examine the title to said land, and, if the same is found to be vested in the aforesaid owner, free of all encumbrances, and that the taxes up to and including the current fiscal year are paid, and that the so-called McEnerney title has been procured or sufficient money reserved for the purpose of procuring the same, to report the result of his examination to the Board of Supervisors, and also to cause a good and sufficient deed for said land to be executed and delivered to the City and County upon payment of the agreed purchase price as aforesaid. And the said deed to said land is hereby accepted.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Extension of Time.

Supervisor Powers presented:

Resolution No. 26931 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Reilly & Nemetz be and they are hereby granted an extension of sixty (60) days' time from and after February 8, 1927, within which to complete the general construction of the Tuberculosis Preventorium at Pulgas Tunnel, San Mateo County, under public contract, for the reason that delays were caused by inclemency of the weather.

(Recommendation of Board of Public Works filed February 9, 1927.)

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Mayor to Sell Church Building on Roosevelt School Site.

Supervisor Powers presented:

Resolution No. 26932 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That his Honor the Mayor be and he is hereby authorized and requested to sell at public auction, in accordance with provisions of the Charter, the certain old frame building (church building) located on land recently acquired as additional site to the Roosevelt School, situate east line of Arguello boulevard northerly from Geary street.

(Recommendation of the Board of Education, filed February 19, 1927.)

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

City Attorney to Commence Condemnation Proceedings for the Acquisition of Three Parcels of Land on Funston Avenue Required for School Purposes.

Supervisor Powers presented:

Resolution No. 26933 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, By the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, that public interest and necessity require the acquisition by the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, of the following properties situated in the City and County of San Francisco, and more particularly described as follows, to-wit:

Parcel 1. Commencing at the intersection of the easterly line of Funston avenue with the southerly line of Kirkham street; thence running easterly on said southerly line of Kirkham street 240 feet to the westerly line of Twelfth avenue; thence at right angles southerly on said line of Twelfth avenue 225 feet to the northerly boundary of property of the City and County of San Francisco; thence at right angles westerly on said northerly boundary 240 feet to the easterly line of Funston avenue; thence at right angles northerly on said line of Funston avenue 225 feet to the southerly line of Kirkham street and point of commencement. Being portion of Outside Lands Block 780.

Parcel 2. Commencing at the intersection of the easterly line of

Funston avenue and the northerly line of Lawton street; thence running northerly on said easterly line of Funston avenue 225 feet to the southerly boundary of property of the City and County of San Francisco; thence at right angles easterly on said southerly boundary 240 feet to the westerly line of Twelfth avenue; thence at right angles southerly on said line of Twelfth avenue 225 feet to the northerly line of Lawton street; thence at right angles westerly on said line of Lawton street 240 feet to the easterly line of Funston avenue and point of commencement. Being portion of Outside Lands Block 780.

Parcel 3. Commencing at the intersection of the northwesterly line of Delano avenue and the northeasterly line of Seneca avenue; thence running northwesterly on said northeasterly line of Seneca avenue 237 feet to the southeasterly boundary of property of the City and County of San Francisco; thence at right angles northeasterly on said southeasterly boundary 240 feet; thence at right angles southeasterly 237 feet to the northwesterly line of Delano avenue; thence at right angles southwesterly along the northwesterly line of Delano avenue 240 feet to the northeasterly line of Seneca avenue and point of commencement. Being portion of Block 31 of West End Map No. 1.

Be it Further Resolved, That said properties are suitable, adaptable, necessary and required for the public use of said City and County of San Francisco for school purposes. It is necessary that a fee simple title be taken for such use. The City Attorney is hereby ordered and directed to commence proceedings in eminent domain against the owners of said parcels of land and of any and all interests therein or claims thereto, for the condemnation thereof for the public use of said City and County of San Francisco as aforesaid.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

City Attorney to Condemn Property at the Intersection of Marina Boulevard and Fillmore Street Required for School Purposes.

Supervisor Powers presented:

Resolution No. 26934 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, By the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, that public interest and necessity require the acquisition by the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, of the following properties, situated in the City and County of San Francisco, and more particularly described as follows, to-wit:

Beginning at the point of intersection of the southerly line of Marina boulevard with the easterly line of Fillmore street; thence southerly along said easterly line 1359.375 feet to the southerly line of Bay street produced westerly; thence at right angles easterly along said southerly line of Bay street 410 feet to the westerly line of Webster street; thence at right angles northerly 68.75 feet to the northerly line of Bay street; thence at right angles easterly along the northerly line of Bay street 481.25 feet to the westerly line of Buchanan street; thence at right angles northerly along said westerly line 618.75 feet to the southerly line of Marina boulevard; thence along the southerly and southwesterly line of Marina boulevard the following courses and distances: at right angles westerly 1.948 feet; thence deflecting to the right 47 deg. 45 min. and running northwesterly 816.41 feet; thence northwesterly and westerly along a curve to the left tangent to the preceding course, radius 206.189 feet, central angle 47 deg. 45 min., a distance of 171.837 feet; thence westerly, tangent to the preceding curve, a distance of 187.749 feet to the easterly line of Fillmore street and the point of beginning.

Be it Further Resolved, That said properties are suitable, adaptable, necessary and required for the public use of said City and County of San Francisco for school purposes. It is necessary that a fee simple title be taken for such use. The City Attorney is hereby ordered and directed to commence proceedings in eminent domain against the owners of said land and of any and all interests therein or claims thereto, for the condemnation thereof for the public use of said City and County of San Francisco as aforesaid.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1

Action Deferred.

The following matter was *continued one week*:

City Attorney to Commence Condemnation Proceedings for Lands Required for Municipal Railway Purposes for Housing Auto Busses and Street Cars.

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, That public interest and necessity require the acquisition by the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, of the following property situated in the City and County of San Francisco, and more particularly described as follows, to-wit:

Commencing at the intersection of the northerly line of Geary street with the westerly line of Josephine street, and running thence westerly along said northerly line 115 feet; thence at right angles northerly 151 feet 3 inches; thence at right angles easterly 115 feet to the westerly line of Josephine street; thence southerly along said westerly line 151 feet 3 inches to the northerly line of Geary street and the point of commencement. Being a portion of Western Addition Block No. 637. Be it

Further Resolved, That said property is suitable, adaptable, necessary and required for the public use of said City and County of San Francisco, to-wit: For Municipal Railway purposes and for the erection of buildings for housing of auto busses and street cars. It is necessary that a fee simple title be taken for such use. The City Attorney is hereby ordered and directed to commence proceedings in eminent domain against the owners of said tract of land and of any and all interests therein or claims thereto for the condemnation thereof for the public use of said City and County of San Francisco as aforesaid.

Passed for Printing.

The following bill was *passed for printing*:

Fixing Sidewalk Widths on Congo Street, Martha Avenue, Stillings Avenue, Nordhoff Street, Baden Street and Bosworth Street.

On motion of Supervisor Badaracco:

Bill No. 7934, Ordinance No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto new sections to be numbered nine hundred and fifty-one to nine hundred and fifty-six, inclusive.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Board of Public Works, filed in this office February 24, 1927, by adding thereto new sections to be numbered nine hundred and fifty-one to nine hundred and fifty-six, inclusive.

Section 951. The width of sidewalks on Congo street between Mangels avenue and Bosworth street shall be as shown on that certain map entitled "Map of Congo street between Mangels avenue and Bosworth street," showing the location of street and curb lines and the width of sidewalks.

Section 952. The width of sidewalks on Martha avenue between Congo street and its easterly termination shall be as shown on that certain map entitled "Map of Martha avenue between Congo street and its easterly termination," showing the location of street and curb lines and the width of sidewalks.

Section 953. The width of sidewalks on Stillings avenue between Martha avenue and its westerly termination shall be as shown on that certain map entitled "Map of Stillings avenue between Martha avenue and its westerly termination," showing the location of street and curb lines and the width of sidewalks.

Section 954. The width of sidewalks on Nordhoff street between Mangels avenue and Stillings avenue shall be as shown on that certain map entitled "Map of Nordhoff street between Mangels avenue and Stillings avenue," showing the location of street and curb lines and the width of sidewalks.

Section 955. The width of sideMangels avenue and Martha avenue shall be as shown on that certain map entitled "Map of Baden street between Mangels avenue and Martha avenue," showing the location of street and curb lines and the width of sidewalks.

Section 956. The width of sidewalks on Baden street between Burnside avenue and the fourth angle northwesterly from Congo street shall be as shown on that certain map entitled "Map of Bosworth street between Burnside avenue and the fourth angle point

northwesterly from Congo street," showing the location of street and curb lines and the width of sidewalks.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect and be in force from and after its passage.

Authorizing the Execution of a Deed by the Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors to Affronting and Adjacent Property Owners to Portions of Streets Closed by Resolution No. 26764 (New Series) in Exchange for Property for New Streets to Be Opened and Widened in Lieu of Such Closed Streets.

Supervisor Badaracco presented: Resolution No. 26936 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, this Board, on the 31st day of January, 1927 (after proceedings theretofore had, pursuant to the provisions of Chapter III of Article VI of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco), duly adopted Resolution No. 26764 (New Series), closing and abandoning a portion of Clement street and certain portions of Forty-sixth, Forty-seventh and Forty-eighth avenues as in said resolution described; and

Whereas, on the 11th day of February, 1927, said resolution was duly approved by the Mayor of the City and County of San Francisco; and

Whereas, Elizabeth Nussbaum, owner of lands adjacent to or fronting on the aforesaid portions of said streets so closed and abandoned, has offered to convey or cause to be conveyed to the City and County of San Francisco property for the opening and widening of streets in lieu of such portions of streets so closed and abandoned; and

Whereas, said Elizabeth Nussbaum, pursuant to her aforesaid offer, has caused to be made, executed and delivered to said City and County of San Francisco good and sufficient conveyances vesting in said City and County of San Francisco, for street purposes, the title to the parcels of land hereinafter more particularly described in lieu of said portions of said streets so closed and abandoned as hereinbefore recited; and

Whereas, the said parcels of land so conveyed to said City and County of San Francisco as new streets and portions of streets in lieu of those closed and abandoned as aforesaid are more particularly described as follows, to-wit:

Parcel 1. Commencing at a point on the westerly line of Forty-fifth avenue, distant thereon south 3 deg. 19 min. east 34.302 feet from the point of intersection of said westerly line of Forty-fifth avenue with the southerly boundary line of the United States Military Reservation, which line of Forty-fifth avenue is believed and for the purpose of this description is assumed to bear south 3 deg. 19 min. east, and running thence from said point of commencement south 3 deg. 19 min. east 89.875 feet along said westerly line of Forty-fifth avenue; thence northerly and westerly 32.740 feet through an arc of 125 deg. 3 min. 31 sec. on a curve to the left with a radius of 15 feet, the center of which curve bears south 86 deg. 41 min. west from the southerly end of the preceding course; thence tangent to the preceding curve westerly 128.496 feet through an arc of 35 deg. 3 min. 31 sec. on a curve to the right with a radius of 210 feet to a point which is westerly 144.243 feet measured at a right angle from the westerly line of Forty-fifth avenue and 150 feet southerly measured at a right angle from the southerly line of the aforesaid Military Reservation; thence parallel with said southerly line of Military Reservation south 86 deg. 41 min. west 785.757 feet to the westerly line of Forty-eighth avenue produced; thence north 3 deg. 19 min. west 60 feet along said westerly line of Forty-eighth avenue produced; thence north 86 deg. 41 min. east 785.757 feet; thence tangent to the last preceding course easterly 91.783 feet through an arc of 35 deg. 3 min. 31 sec. on a curve to the left with a radius of 150 feet; thence tangent to the preceding curve easterly 64.951 feet through an arc of 17 deg. 52 min. 10.8 sec. on a curve to the right with a radius of 208.254 feet to the westerly line of Forty-fifth avenue and the point of commencement.

Parcel 2. Commencing at a point which is distant 530 feet northerly measured at a right angle from the northerly line of Geary street, said point being also 150 feet southerly measured at a right angle from the southerly boundary line of the United States Military Reservation, and 480 feet westerly measured at a right angle from the westerly line of Forty-fifth avenue, and running thence south 3 deg. 19 min. east 205.960 feet along a line parallel with said Forty-fifth avenue to the northerly line of Point Lobos avenue; thence south 85 deg. 00 min. 46 sec. west 60.024 feet along said northerly line of Point Lobos avenue to a point which is distant 540 feet westerly measured at a right angle from the aforesaid

westerly line of Forty-fifth avenue; thence north 3 deg. 19 min. west 207.708 feet to a point which is distant 530 feet northerly measured at a right angle from the northerly line of Geary street; thence north 86 deg. 41 min. east 60 feet to the point of commencement.

Parcel 3. Commencing at a point which is distant 530 feet northerly measured at a right angle from the northerly line of Geary street, said point being also 150 feet southerly measured at a right angle from the southerly boundary line of the United States Military Reservation, and 740 feet westerly measured at a right angle from the westerly line of Forty-fifth avenue, and running thence south 3 deg. 19 min. east 212.500 feet along a line parallel with said westerly boundary line of Forty-fifth avenue to the northerly line of Point Lobos avenue; thence south 85 deg. 00 min. 46 sec. west 60.024 feet along said northerly line of Point Lobos avenue to a point which is distant 800 feet westerly measured at a right angle from the aforesaid westerly line of Forty-fifth avenue; thence north 3 deg. 19 min. west 214.250 feet to a point which is distant 530 feet northerly measured at a right angle from the northerly line of Geary street; thence north 86 deg. 41 min. east 60 feet to the point of commencement.

Parcel 4. Commencing at a point on the northerly line of Point Lobos avenue where said line is intersected by the easterly line of Forty - eighth avenue produced northerly, which easterly line of Forty-eighth avenue is believed and for the purpose of this description is assumed to bear north 3 deg. 19 min. west, and running thence south 85 deg. 00 min. 46 sec. west 5.324 feet along said northerly line of Point Lobos avenue produced to the northeasterly line of Harding boulevard; thence north 26 deg. 14 min. west 65.347 feet along said northeasterly line of Harding boulevard; thence southeasterly 114.621 feet through an arc of 68 deg. 45 min. 14 sec. on a curve to the left with a radius of 95.519 feet, the center of which curve bears north 63 deg. 46 min. east from the northerly end of the last preceding course, to the northerly line of Point Lobos avenue at a point distant thereon north 85 deg. 00 min. 46 sec. east 60.023 feet from the point of commencement; thence south 85 deg. 00 min. 46 sec. west 60.023 feet along said northerly line of Point Lobos avenue to the point of commencement; and

Whereas, it is deemed advisable by this Board of Supervisors that said parcels of land be opened as new streets and portions of streets in lieu of those so closed and abandoned; and

Whereas, said new streets and portions of streets so conveyed to the City and County of San Francisco for street purposes as aforesaid will and do constitute ample consideration to said City and County for its deed to the portions of said streets closed and abandoned, as hereinbefore recited, and will be of much greater practical value both to the City and County of San Francisco and to the general public; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That equity requires that the portions of said streets closed and abandoned as aforesaid should be conveyed by the City and County of San Francisco to said Elizabeth Nussbaum; and be it further

Resolved, That the Mayor of the City and County of San Francisco and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco be and they are hereby authorized and directed, acting for and on behalf of said City and County, in its name and under its corporate seal, to execute, acknowledge and deliver to said Elizto said Elizabeth Nussbaum all of the right, title and interest of the City and County of San Francisco in and to the parcels of land situate in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, more particularly described as follows, to-wit:

All those portions of Clement street, Forty-sixth, Forty-seventh and Forty-eighth avenues as closed and abandoned by Resolution No. 26764 (New Series). Be it

Further Resolved, That the Clerk of this Board is hereby directed to advertise this resolution as required by law.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Accepting Deed to Land Required for Street Purposes, Property Marked El Plazuela.

Supervisor Badaracco presented:

Resolution No. 26937 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That that certain deed executed on the 1st day of February, 1927, between Leonard & Holt (a corporation), and the City and

County of San Francisco (a municipal corporation), conveying land for street purposes to the property marked El Plazuela and a 30-foot strip along the westerly boundary of the property designated "Drive" and "Park Strip" as shown on map of addition to Assessor's Block No. 6905, is hereby accepted in the name of the City and County of San Francisco.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Approval of Map, Addition to Assessor's Block 6905.

Supervisor Badaracco presented:

Resolution No. 26938 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the Board of Public Works did, by Resolution No. 96068 (Second Series), approve a map of addition to Assessor's Block No. 6905; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the map of addition to Assessor's Block No. 6905 is hereby approved.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Accepting Deed to Land for Street Purposes for the Widening of Noriega Street From Thirty-first to Forty-eighth Avenues.

Supervisor Badaracco presented:

Resolution No. 26939 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That that certain deed executed on the 14th day of February, 1927, between the California Pacific Title and Trust Co. (a corporation), and the City and County of San Francisco (a municipal corporation), conveying land for street purposes as shown on map showing the widening of Noriega street from Thirty-first to Forty-eighth avenues, is hereby accepted in the name of the City and County of San Francisco.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Approving Map Showing the Widening of Noriega Street From Thirty-first to Forty-eighth Avenues.

Supervisor Badaracco presented:

Resolution No. 26940 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the Board of Public Works did, by Resolution No. 96091 (Second Series), approve a map showing the widening of Noriega street from Thirty-first to Forty-eighth avenues; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the map showing the widening of Noriega street from Thirty-first to Forty-eighth avenues is hereby approved.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Reservoir Land Set Aside and Dedicated as a Portion of Moscow Street.

Supervisor Badaracco presented:

Resolution No. 26941 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That a portion of the parcel of land acquired by the City and County of San Francisco for reservoir purposes and more particularly described as follows:

Beginning at the point of intersection of the southwesterly line of France avenue produced southeasterly and the southeasterly line of Moscow street produced southwesterly; thence running southwesterly along the southeasterly line of Moscow street produced southwesterly 198.333 feet to the westerly boundary line of the Crocker Amazon reservoir site (Somps Tract); thence deflecting 164 deg. 02 min. 03 sec. to the right and running northerly along the westerly boundary line of the Crocker Amazon reservoir site 200.395 feet; thence deflecting 89 deg. 48 min. 38 sec. to the right and running easterly along the northerly boundary line of the Crocker Amazon reservoir site 57.279 feet to the aforesaid southeasterly line of Moscow street produced southwesterly; thence deflecting 105 deg. 46 min. 35 sec. to the right and running southwesterly along the last-mentioned line 9.905 feet to the point of beginning;

be and the same is hereby set apart for street purposes and dedicated as Moscow street.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Pow-

ers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Fixing Monday, March 14, 1927, at 2 p. m., Hearing Appeal of Property Owners, Brussels Street Between Campbell and Wilde Avenues.

Supervisor Badaracco presented: Resolution No. 26942 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Monday, March 14, 1927, at 2 p. m., is hereby fixed as the time for hearing the appeal of the property owners from the action and decision of the Board of Public Works in overruling the protest of property owners against the improvement of Brussels street between Campbell avenue and Wilde avenue, including the crossing of Brussels street and Campbell avenue, and the improvement of Campbell avenue between Brussels street and San Bruno avenue, by grading to official line and grade; by the construction of concrete curbs, the curb on the southerly side of the crossing of Campbell avenue and Brussels street is to be constructed between the easterly and westerly lines of Brussels street; by the construction of the following ironstone pipe sewers and appurtenances: an 8-inch with 4 Y branches, 1 brick manhole and the necessary side sewers along the center line of Brussels street between the center line of Campbell avenue and the existing manhole 180 feet northerly from Campbell avenue; a 12-inch with 10 Y branches and the necessary side sewers along the center line of Campbell avenue between the center line of Brussels street and the westerly line of San Bruno avenue; by the construction of the necessary side sewers on Brussels street between Wilde avenue and a line 380 feet southerly from Wilde avenue; by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks on the northerly angular corners of the above mentioned crossing; by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks on the southerly side of the above mentioned crossing between the easterly and westerly lines of Brussels street; by the construction of two brick catchbasins with appurtenances and 10-inch ironstone pipe culverts on the crossing of Campbell avenue and Brussels street; by the construction of a concrete pavement on Brussels street from a line 250 feet southerly from Wilde avenue to the northerly line of Campbell avenue, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and a 1½-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface on the remainder of the roadways thereof.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

SPECIAL ORDER—10:30 A. M.

Declaratory Ordinance, Extensions Municipal Railway System.

The following bid was taken up: Bill No. ——, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Determining and declaring that the public interest and necessity demand the acquisition or construction of a public utility, to-wit:

A number of extensions to the existing Municipal Street Railway System over and along the public streets, private rights of way, and through tunnels being constructed by the municipality, said extensions to be made from the existing Municipal Railway track at Scott and Chestnut streets into the Marina Tract, west of Scott street and north of Chestnut street; from the Municipal Railway track at Masonic avenue and Turk street into the Richmond District, connecting with the Municipal Railway tracks running to the ocean beach; from the Municipal Railway tracks on Market street at Duboce avenue, through the Sunset-Duboce tunnel into the Sunset District and through the Sunset District to a terminal near the ocean beach, with connecting cross-town service west of Twentieth avenue between said extension and the existing Municipal Railway line on Taraval street; from the Municipal Railway tracks at Forty-sixth avenue and Taraval street, southerly to a terminal near Wawona street and Forty-seventh avenue; from the Municipal Railway tracks at Thirtieth and Church streets, southwesterly through the Bernal Cut, into the Excelsior District, at or near Excelsior street; thence southerly through the Excelsior District to a terminal at Geneva avenue; an automobile bus service from the vicinity of Eureka street and Market street extension by the most feasible route to the vicinity of Twenty-seventh and Diamond streets; an automobile bus service from the vicinity of Seventeenth street and Potrero avenue by the most feasible route to the vicinity of Twenty-third street and Wisconsin street; and for such other ex-

tensions and additions to the trackage and facilities of the said Municipal Railway system as may be deemed necessary, and to include equipment thereof, appurtenances thereto, and the purchase of necessary lands; and directing the Board of Public Works to procure and file plans and estimates of the cost thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby determined and specifically declared that the public interest and necessity demand the acquisition or construction of extensions to the existing Municipal Street Railway System, to-wit:

Extensions of the Municipal Street Railway tracks and service over and along public streets, rights of way, and through a municipal tunnel as follows:

From the existing Municipal Railway track at Scott and Chestnut streets into the Marina Tract, west of Scott street and north of Chestnut street; from the Municipal Railway track at Masonic avenue and Turk street into the Richmond District, connecting with the Municipal Railway tracks running to the ocean beach; from the Municipal Railway tracks on Market street at Duboce avenue, through the Sunset-Duboce tunnel into the Sunset District and through the Sunset District to a terminal near the ocean beach, with connecting cross-town service west of Twentieth avenue between said extension and the existing Municipal Railway tracks on Taraval street; from the Municipal Railway tracks at Forty-sixth avenue and Taraval street, southerly to a terminal near Wawona street and Forty-seventh avenue; from the Municipal Railway tracks at Thirtieth and Church streets, southwesterly through the Bernal Cut, into the Excelsior District, at or near Excelsior street; thence southerly through the Excelsior District to a terminal at Geneva avenue; an automobile bus service from the vicinity of Eureka street and Market street extension by the most feasible route to the vicinity of Twenty-seventh and Diamond streets; an automobile bus service from the vicinity of Seventeenth street and Potrero avenue by the most feasible route to the vicinity of Twenty-third street and Wisconsin street; and for such other extensions and additions to the trackage and facilities of the said Municipal Railway system as may be deemed necessary, and to include equipment thereof, appurtenances thereto, and the purchase of necessary lands.

Section 2. The Board of Public Works is hereby directed to procure, through the City Engineer, and file with the Board of Supervisors plans and estimates of cost of original construction and completion of the extensions to the Municipal street railways as herein described.

Section 3. This ordinance shall be published for two weeks in the official newspaper and shall take effect immediately.

Privilege of the Floor.

His Honor Mayor Rolph called attention to the resolution which the Board adopted in 1924, in which it was stated that the next railway extension to be constructed would be the Eureka Valley extension.

Supervisor Colman read a resolution adopted in 1924, which stated that the Board would, early in 1925, submit a $5,000,000 bond issue to the people for the construction of the Eureka Valley extension and transportation into the Sunset District and other needed railway extensions.

Dr. McGranaghan, Mr. Ostelo and Mr. Becker all contended that a bus line, as proposed in the declaratory ordinance, would not provide the service needed by the Eureka Valley and Noe Valley districts.

Chief Engineer O'Shaughnessy, in response to questions by the Board, still contended that the Eureka Valley line would not be a paying line, at least for a long period of time.

Adolph Uhl, representing the City Efficiency League, called attention to alleged deficits in the Municipal Railway funds and opposed all nonpaying extensions.

RECESS.

Whereupon, the Board at the hour of 12:30 p. m. took a recess until 2:30 p. m.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk.

REASSEMBLED—2:30 P. M.

The Board of Supervisors reassembled at 2:30 p. m.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following members were noted present:

Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri,

Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy —2.

Quorum present.

His Honor Mayor Rolph being absent, Supervisor McSheehy was elected to preside.

Bond Issue, Municipal Railway Extensions.

The Board proceeded to the consideration of the proposed declaratory ordinance presented in the morning session providing for a bond issue for the construction of certain extensions to the Municipal Railway.

Privilege of the Floor.

Adolph Uhl continued his remarks with respect to alleged deficits in Municipal Railway funds and urged that no unprofitable lines be added.

Theo. Savage spoke for the Market Street Railway Company, that it be permitted to operate cars through the Twin Peaks Tunnel in order to provide transportation to those people who, he stated, paid one-fourth of the cost of the tunnel. He thinks cars should be routed from the Ferry Building out through the tunnel and continue on over Sloat boulevard.

M. Vandeleur, representing the Municipal Carmen's Union, was heard in favor of the proposed legislation and in contradiction of the statement of Mr. Uhl that the Municipal Railway was operating with a deficit.

Mr. Scott, accountant for the Municipal Railway, supported the statement of Mr. Vandeleur and quoted figures as to the condition of the funds of the Municipal Railway, showing the sound financial condition of the road.

Communication from San Francisco Chamber of Commerce.

Supervisor Shannon presented:

February 25, 1927.

Honorable Board of Supervisors, City and County of San Francisco, City Hall, San Francisco, California.

Gentlemen:

The Chamber of Commerce has been considering the proposed bond issue of $3,500,000 for certain railway extensions as recommended by the City Engineer.

Included in this total is the sum of $650,000 to provide for part of the cost of widening the proposed Bernal Cut. Recently the Board of Supervisors has initiated proceedings to bring before the people a bond issue to cover the entire cost of widening the Cut. The Chamber of Commerce has always been on record for this very desirable public improvement.

We recommend, therefore, that the $650,000 originally included in the proposed railway bond issue for Bernal Cut purposes be eliminated, inasmuch as this will be provided by separate bond issue. We recommend that the proposed Municipal Railway bond issue, therefore, be reduced to $2,850,000, and that no extensions be added to those proposed in the Engineer's original $3,500,000 program.

After consideration of the proposed railway extensions the Chamber believes that there is a paramount need of providing necessary funds for the Sunset District extension, and that this is a moral obligation on the part of the City by reason of the $1,700,000 assessment that the Sunset District has paid for the Duboce Tunnel. The Chamber favors, also, the proposed Marina and Taraval extensions and appropriations for the proposed garage, car repair shop and busses.

The Chamber of Commerce sees no objection to the proposed Balboa street line as a traffic artery for the Richmond District. We understand, however, that there is a possibility that this may not be built, and that the proposed line may be routed over Cabrillo street.

With regard to an extension on Cabrillo street and the proposed extension of the Church street line thrugh the Bernal Cut and into the Excelsior district, there is the necessity of considering these in relation to existing street railway lines, and from the standpoint of steps under way to unify the two systems. If your committee which has the matter of street railway unification in charge is of the opinion that unification measures can be developed and brought to a successful completion within a relatively short time, might it not be advisable to defer consideration of the proposed Cabrillo and Excelsior extensions until such time?

In the consideration by the Chamber of the proposed railway extension program questions again came up relative to the unbusinesslike organization for the operation of utilities as provided by the Charter. The Chamber of Commerce in 1922, and again in 1924, cooperated in efforts to secure a Charter amendment that would create a Utilities Commission with adequate powers for the businesslike operation of municipally-owned utilities. The Chamber of Commerce is of the opinion that any questions involving the extension of or additions

to municipally-owned utilties must be considered in relation to the admitted need for a Public Utilities Commission.

We hope that in 1928—the earliest date at which Charter amendments may be considered—your Honorable Board will give earnest consideration to a charter amendment creating a Public Utilities Commission.

Yours very truly,

ALFRED RAAS,

Chairman Street Railway Transportation Section, Municipal Affairs Committee.

Bill Considered Seriatim.

Whereupon, the bill was considered seriatim as follows:

1. Sunset extension. No objection.
2. Richmond extension, "B" or "C" streets. No objection.
3. Mid-Sunset District, Twenty-ninth or Thirty-third avenues. No objection.
4. Fleishhacker Pool. No objection.
5. Bernal Cut. No objection.

Mr. Rosenshine spoke in favor of Twenty-ninth avenue or Thirty-third avenue.

Amendment.

Supervisor Schmidt moved as an amendment that provision be made for a line in Eureka Valley.

Amendment *carried.*

Passed for Printing.

Whereupon, on motion of Supervisor Shannon, the following bill as amended was *passed for printing* by the following vote:

Bill No. 7935, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Determining and declaring that the public interest and necessity demand the acquisition or construction of a public utility, to-wit:

A number of extensions to the existing Municipal Street Railway System over and along the public streets, private rights of way and through tunnels being constructed by the municipality, said extensions to be made from the existing Municipal Railway track at Scott and Chestnut streets into the Marina Tract, west of Scott street and north of Chestnut street; from the Municipal Railway track at Masonic avenue and Turk street into the Richmond District, connecting with the Municipal Railway tracks running to the Ocean Beach; from the Municipal Railway tracks on Market street at Duboce avenue, through the Sunset-Duboce tunnel into the Sunset District and through the Sunset District to a terminal near the Ocean Beach, with connecting cross-town service west of Twentieth avenue between said extension and the existing Municipal Railway line on Taraval street; from the Municipal Railway tracks at Forty-sixth avenue and Taraval street, southerly to a terminal near Wawona street and Forty-seventh avenue; from the Municipal Railway tracks at Thirtieth and Church streets, southwesterly through the Bernal Cut, into the Excelsior District, at or near Excelsior street; thence southerly through the Excelsior District to a terminal at Geneva avenue; from Seventeenth street and Market street in a southwesterly direction to, at or near Euraka street and Market street; thence in a southerly direction on and over Eureka street or other most feasible route to Twenty-third street at or near Eureka street; thence in an easetrly direction on and over Twenty-third street or other most feasible route to Diamond street; thence in a southerly direction on or over Diamond street or other most feasible route to Twenty-ninth street; an automobile bus service from the vicinity of Seventeenth street and Potrero avenue by the most feasible route to the vicinity of Twenty-third street and Wisconsin street, and for such other extensions and additions to the trackage and facilities of the said Municipal Railway system as may be deemed necessary, and to include equipment thereof, appurtenances thereto, and the purchase of necessary lands, and directing the Board of Public Works to procure and file plans and estimates of the cost thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby determined and specifically declared that the public interest and necessity demand the acquisition or construction of extensions to the existing Municipal Street Railway system, to-wit:

Extensions of the Municipal Street Railway tracks and service over and along public streets, rights of way and through a municipal tunnel as follows:

From the existing Municipal Railway track at Scott and Chestnut streets into the Marina Tract, west of Scott street and north of Chestnut street; from the Municipal Railway track at Masonic avenue and Turk street into the Richmond District, connecting with the Municipal Railway tracks running to the Ocean Beach; from the Municipal Railway tracks on Market

street at Duboce avenue, through the Sunset-Duboce tunnel into the Sunset District and through the Sunset District to a terminal near the Ocean Beach, with connecting cross-town service west of Twentieth avenue between said extension and the existing Municipal Railway tracks on Taraval street; from the Municipal Railway tracks at Forty-sixth avenue and Taraval street, southerly to a terminal near Wawona street and Forty-seventh avenue; from the Municipal Railway tracks at Thirtieth and Church streets, southwesterly through the Bernal Cut, into the Excelsior District, at or near Excelsior street; thence southerly through the Excelsior District to a terminal at Geneva avenue; from Seventeenth street and Market street in a southwesterly direction to, at or near Eureka street and Market street; thence in a southerly direction on and over Eureka street or other most feasible route to Twenty-third street, at or near Eureka street; thence in an easterly direction on and over Twenty-third street or other most feasible route to Diamond street; thence in a southerly direction on or over Diamond street or other feasible route to Twenty-ninth street; an automobile bus service from the vicinity of Seventeenth street and Potrero avenue by the most feasible route to the vicinity of Twenty-third street and Wisconsin street; and for such other extensions and additions to the trackage and facilities of the said Municipal Railway system as may be deemed necessary, and to include equipment thereof, appurtenances thereto, and the purchase of necessary lands.

Section 2. The Board of Public Works is hereby directed to procure, through the City Engineer, and file with the Board of Supervisors, plans and estimates of cost of original consrtuction and completion of the extensions to the Municipal Street Railways as herein described.

Section 3. This ordinance shall be published for two weeks in the official newspaper and shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy —2.

Soliciting Offer of Existing Street Railways.

Thereupon, the following resolution was presented by Supervisor Shannon and *adopted*:

Resolution No. 26943 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, By the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

1. That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco does hereby solicit offers for the sale to the City and County of San Francisco of any existing street railway or system of street railways operated along the route or in the territory described as follaws:

From Scott and Chestnut streets into the Marina Tract, west of Scott srteet and north of Chestnut street; from the Municipal Railway track at Masonic avenue and Turk street into the Richmond District, connecting with the Municipal Railway tracks running to the Ocean Beach; from the Municipal Railway tracks on Market street at Duboce avenue, through the Sunset-Duboce tunnel into the Sunset District and through the Sunset District to a terminal near the Ocean Beach, with connecting cross-town service west of Twentieth avenue between said extension and the existing Municipal Railway line on Taraval street; from the Municipal Railway tracks at Forty-sixth avenue and Taraval street southerly to a terminal near Wawona street and Forty-seventh avenue; from the Municipal Railway tracks at Thirtieth and Church streets, southwesterly through the Bernal cut, into the Excelsior District, at or near Excelsior street; thence southerly through the Excelsior District to a terminal at Geneva avenue; from Seventeenth street and Market street in a southwesterly direction to, at or near Eureka street and Market street; thence in a southerly direction on and over Eureka street or other most feasible route to Twenty-third street at or near Eureka street; thence in an easterly direction on and over Twenty-third street or other most feasible route to Diamond street; thence in a southerly direction on or over Diamond street or other most feasible route to Twenty-ninth street; an automobile bus service from the vicinity of Seventeenth street and Potrero avenue by the most feasible route to the vicinity of Twenty-third street and Wisconsin street.

Any owner or owners of any such street railway or system of street railways serving the routes or dis-

tricts above described are hereby invited to submit proposals or offers in writing to the Board of Supervisors for the sale of the same to the City and County, and such proposals shall be filed with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors at any time prior to the 28th day of March, 1927.

It is hereby announced and declared to be the purpose and intention of the Board of Supervisors to consider any and all proposals or offers that may be made for the sale of any such street railway or system of street railways to the City and County on the said 28th day of March, 1927, and before submitting the propositions to the electors for the acquisition by original construction or condemnation of such railway or system of street railways in order that the electors may have the benefit of acquiring the same at the lowest possible cost thereof.

2. The Clerk of the Board of Supervisors is hereby directed to publish in the official newspaper for the period of ten (10) days a notice in substantially the following form:

"Notice soliciting offers for the sale of street railways to the City and County.

"To the owner or owners of any railways or system of street railways in the City and County of San Francisco:

"You are hereby solicited and invited to submit to the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco prior to the 28th day of March, 1927, an offer or offers in writing, to sell to the said City and County any street railway or system of street railways or any portion thereof, operating over the following routes or described districts:

"From Scott and Chestnut streets into the Marina Tract, west of Scott street and north of Chestnut street; from the Municipal Railway track at Masonic avenue and Turk street into the Richmond District, connecting with the Municipal Railway tracks running to the Ocean Beach; from the Municipal Railway tracks on Market street at Duboce avenue, through the Sunset-Duboce tunnel into the Sunset District and through the Sunset District to a terminal near the Ocean Beach, with connecting cross-town service west of Twentieth avenue between said extension and the existing Municipal Railway line on Taraval street; from the Municipal Railway tracks at Forty-sixth avenue and Taraval street, southerly to a terminal near Wawona street and Forty-seventh avenue; from the Municipal Railway tracks at Thirtieth and Church streets, southwesterly through the Bernal Cut, into the Excelsior District, at or near Excelsior street; thence southerly through the Excelior District to a terminal at Geneva avenue; from Seventeenth street and Market street in a southwesterly direction to, at or near Eureka street and Market street; thence in a southerly direction on and over Eureka street or other most feasible route to Twenty-third street, at or near Eureka street; thence in an easterly direction on and over Twenty-third street or other most feasible route to Diamond street; thence in a southerly direction on or over Diamond street or other most feasible route to Twenty-ninth street; an automobile bus service from the vicinity of Seventeenth street and Potrero avenue by the most feasible route to the vicinity of Twenty-third street and Wisconsin street.

"This notice is given in compliance with the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco and of Resolution No. 26943 (New Series), adopted March 7, 1927, and your attention is called to the said resolution for further particulars.

Dated: March 7, 1927.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk.

3. The Clerk of said Board is hereby directed to cause a copy of the aforesaid notice to be mailed to the Market Street Railway Company, addressed to them at their place of business in San Francisco, California.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy —2.

Garbage Incinerator Permit.

Resolution offering to lease garbage incinerator to highest bidder was *continued for one week and made a Special Order of Business for 4 p. m.*

Hetch Hetchy Power Line.

Supervisor Havenner presented his declaratory ordinance with reference to the Hetch Hecthy power transmission line from Newark to San Francisco. The ordinance has been amended to include a step-down station within or without the limits of San Francisco.

Ordered placed on the calendar for next Monday.

Spring Valley Water Company Purchase.

Supervisor Harrelson, who some time ago introduced an ordinance to provide for the purchase of the Spring Valley Water Company, withdrew the ordinance from committee.

He stated that it has been redrafted by the City Attorney and now is approved by him as to form.

Whereupon, the ordinance was *ordered laid over one week and made a Special Order of Business at next meeting after* 11 *a. m. orders are disposed of.*

Gas Rate Litigation.

The following matter heretofore presented by Supervisor McSheehy and laid over one week was taken up and *adopted*:

Resolution No. 26935 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, ther are pending in the United States District Court for the Northern District of California four suits numbered 27, 32, 97 and 190 on the equity file of said court, in all of which suits the Pacific Gas and Electric Company is plaintiff and the City and County of San Francisco and its officers are defendants; and

Whereas, said suits involve judicial determination of the validity under the United States Constitution of ordinances numbered 2349, 2348, 2814 and 3338 (all New Series) of the City and County of San Francisco, prescribing and fixing respectively rates to be charged by public service corporations in San Francisco for electricity during the fiscal year 1913-14, and for gas during the fiscal years 1913-14, 1914-15 and 1915-16; and

Whereas, the decrees previously rendered by the District Court in the City's favor in said suits numbered 27, 97 and 190 involving gas rates have been reversed on appeal by the Supreme Court of the United States and the suits sent back for retrial; and in case No. 32, involving electric rates, the report of the Master in Chancery recommends issuance of an injunction prohibiting the enforcement of said rates; and

Whereas, the City Attorney has transmitted to the Board of Supervisors a written communication from the Pacific Gas and Electric Company, dated December 16, 1925, offering to compromise and settle all of said suits upon certain terms therein set forth; and

Whereas, both former City Attorney George Lull, who was in office pending the trial and appeal of the foregoing litigation, and the present City Attorney, John J. O'Toole, have recommended in writing that this Board authorize and direct the settlement of said suits upon the terms set forth in said communication from the Pacific Gas and Electric Company dated December 16, 1925; and

Whereas, after a careful examination and consideration of all the facts relating to said suits and the controversies therein involved which have been submitted, the Board of Supervisors believes, in view of the large number of consumers who are interested in the outcome of said suits, of the considerable time which must elapse and the large expense to the taxpayers that must be incurred before the suits could again be tried and a final decision had, of the doubt that the City Attorney expresses as to the eventual outcome of the litigation by reason of the opinion of the United States Supreme Court rendered in the reversal of previous decrees in the City's favor, and in view of the relatively small amounts payable to individual consumers under any outcome of the suits, that the best interests of the City and the ratepayers will be conserved by a compromise along the lines recommended by the City Attorney; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the City Attorney be and he is hereby authorized and directed to settle and compromise the gas rate litigation hereinbefore referred to, upon the terms and conditions set forth in the offer of compromise contained in the communication from the Pacific Gas and Electric Company dated December 16, 1925, and as modified by the further offer to extend the interest bearing period on said amounts to be refunded to July 6, 1921. And that said City Attorney be and he is hereby authorized and directed to enter into stipulations with counsel for the Pacific Gas and Electric Company for the entry of decrees in said four actions above mentioned, which shall finally settle and dispose of the same upon the terms and conditions set forth in said communication from said Pacific Gas and Electric Company dated December 16, 1925, and modified as aforesaid, and further that said City Attorney be and he is hereby directed to take all steps necessary to the end that said decrees when entered by the court,

are conditioned so as to protect the interests of the City and the ratepayers in the premises, and that they are executed accordingly.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—11.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Marks, Shannon—7.

Excess Rates to Escheat to State.

The following resolution was presented by Supervisor McSheehy and *adopted*:

Resolution No. 26944 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, in the past various public service corporations serving the people of the City and County of San Francisco and other communities within the State of California, have been dissatisfied with the rates fixed by the Railroad Commission and other rate-fixing bodies relative to the price which said public service corporations should receive for the commodity which they were furnishing or the service which they were rendering to the people of the State of California, and have made application to the courts, both State and Federal, to enjoin the collection of said rates so fixed upon the ground that the same were confiscatory; and

Whereas, in many cases said rate-fixing bodies have been restrained from collecting the rate fixed by them for the commodities furnished or services rendered by said public service corporations, and said public service corporations have, while said restraining order has remained in force, collected a higher and greater rate than that fixed by said rate-fixing body for said commodity or for said service; and

Whereas, said public service corporations, while said restraining orders are in effect, collect a large amount of money over and above the rates fixed by said rate-making body for the commodity furnished or service rendered by said public service corporation; and

Whereas, when said litigation relative to said rates has been concluded, and if the courts do not fully sustain the contention of said public service corporation relative to the merits of said litigation, a large amount of the moneys collected over and above the rate fixed by said rate-making body are never returned to the rate-payers, for the reason that either said rate-payers make no application for said refund or their whereabouts cannot be ascertained, which said sums that are uncalled for by said rate-payers are retained by said public service corporations, and that by reason of this practice said public service corporations have been obtaining a large amount of money to which they are no tentitled; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That it is the sense of this Board of Supervisors that suitable legislation should be adopted by the Legislature of the State of California, which would provide that every public service corporation collecting any rates over and above the rates allowed and fixed by the Railroad Commission of the State of California, or other rate-fixing body, shall each year make a report of the amount of said excess rates so collected, together with the amount of the refunds of said excess rates made to the payers thereof, which said report shall be filed with the State Board of Control of the State of California, and that said legislation should further provide that any and all amounts of said excess rates not refunded to the rate-payers within a period of five years after the conclusion of the litigation affecting the same, should escheat to the State of California; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Legislative Committee of this Board of Supervisors be and they are hereby directed to present this matter to the Legislature of the State of California, to the end that suitable legislation may be adopted as hereinbefore set forth.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—11.

Absent—Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Marks, Shannon—7.

BRIDGE MATTERS—2 P. M.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 26945 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, Senate Bill No. 650, introduced by Senator Lyon, if passed, would remove all discretionary powers of this Board of Supervisors and every other board of supervisors in granting franchises for private toll bridge projects; and

Whereas, this would be accomplished by the provision that, if a bridge project merely complies with the various State and Federal laws and regulations, the supervisors of the county on the left-bank "shall grant the application by an order entered in its minutes and particu-

larly describing the bridge"; and

Whereas, under this provision, this Board would be mandated immediately to grant bay bridge franchises for apparently five surface bridges and two subsurface tube projects which claim to comply with existing Federal regulations; thereby creating unbearable financial confusion, engendering litigation, removing all prospect that the existing War Department restrictions would ever be modified, and making it impossible for this Board to select a bridge location properly based upon the advice of consulting engineers; therefore, be it

Resolved, That this Board hereby shall proceed to do its utmost to bring about the defeat of Senate Bill No. 650 and be it

Further Resolved, That to this end this Board's Legislative Committee is hereby instructed to make this a main object of its work at Sacramento; that the delegates of this Board to the State Supervisors' Convention are hereby instructed to seek similar action on the part of that body, and that copies of this resolution shall be sent to the Governor, the presidents of the Senate and the Assembly, the committees of the Senate and Assembly having this matter in hand and the individual members of the Senate and Assembly.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Action Deferred.

Supervisor Shannon moved, and it was carried, that the following resolutions and motions be continued one week:

Presented by Supervisor McSheehy:

Whereas, there are now pending before the Board of Supervisors various applications for franchises to construct and operate toll bridges over and across the Bay of San Francisco, between the City and County of San Francisco and the County of Alameda; and

Whereas, Section 4 of the Act of 1881 provides "That whenever the Supervisors of any county or counties desire to erect a bridge on any public highway, or to grant the privilege so to do, to any individual or corporation, across a navigable stream, said board or boards shall notify the State Engineer of such purpose, and of the precise point where said bridge is to be located;" and

Whereas, a proper site for a bridge is one the San Francisco terminal of which will be within easy access of the business district of the City, and at the same time sufficiently removed from the main arteries of the City not to unduly congest the same, and the Alameda terminus of which will be easily accessible to traffic of all kinds, especially suburban railway traffic from all parts of the County of Alameda; and

Whereas, the area from and including Rincon Hill on the north, and to and including Sixteenth street on the south, in the City and County of San Francisco, and the area from and including Seventh, Bay, Eighth and Pine streets in the City of Oakland on the north, and to and including Pacific avenue in Alameda on the south, is a district well adapted to and possessing the necessary facilities and conditions for the terminals of a transbay bridge; and

Whereas, in order to meet the requirements of the shipping interest both in San Francisco and Oakland, and to the end that bay navigation shall be interfered with as little as possible, a high level bridge, the structure of which shall have a clearance of at least one hundred and thirty-five feet above the waters of the Bay, is best suited for said transbay bridge; and

Whereas, the City of San Francisco is by its charter committed to public ownership and operation of all public utilities, and that the City of San Francisco should itself construct, own and operate said bridge, if it is possible to do so; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the area from and including Rincon Hill on the north and to and including Sixteenth street on the south, in the City and County of San Francisco, and the area from and including the blocks bounded by Seventh, Bay, Eighth and Pine streets in the City of Oakland on the north, and to and including Pacific avenue in Alameda on the south, be and the same is hereby declared to be the area that is best suited for the respective terminals of a transbay bridge between the City and County of San Francisco and the County of Alameda, and that a high level bridge, the structure of which will have a clearance of at least one hundred and thirty-five feet above the navigable waters of the Bay and adequate length of span will least

interfere with bay navigation and with the shipping interests thereon, and is hereby recommended as the type of bridge to be selected.

February 10, 1927—*Vote on adoption—lost.*

And be it Further Resolved, That a ruling of the War Department of the United States be forthwith sought as to whether a bridge may be constructed of the type, and with terminals located in the districts above set forth, and for that purpose a committee composed of two members of this Board to be selected by the chairman of its Finance Committee, and the City Engineer and the City Attorney, or his representative, forthwith proceed to Washington for the purpose of urging the War Department to grant permission for the construction and maintenance of a high level bridge, adequate in height and in length of span, between terminals in the aforesaid area. And be it

Further Resolved, That the City of San Francisco is and by its Charter committed to public ownership and operation of all public utilities, and that it should itself construct, own and operate said bridge, if it is possible to do so; and be it

Further Resolved, That pending advice and information from the War Department, that the hearing on all pending applications for franchises for transbay bridges be continued from time to time, to the end that this Board will not lose jurisdiction to pass upon them.

To remain on Calendar.

Supervisor Havenner presented:

"Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco proceed forthwith to take the necessary legal steps, in accordance with the advice of the City Attorney, to form a bridge district under the State Bridge and Highway District Law, consisting of San Francisco and Alameda Counties, for the purpose of financing, constructing and operating a bridge across San Francisco Bay under public ownership."

Supervisor Roncovieri presented:

"Whereas, financial problems connected with the building of a bridge across the Bay are various and extremely involved, and absolutely fundamental in arriving at a favorable decision of policy as between a bridge built by public funds, or one to be built by private capital; therefore, be it

"Resolved, That the Bridge Committee of this Board be requested and empowered to employ expert financial accountants and actuaries who shall prepare from the records of this Board and other data a complete set-up of figures tabulating in parallel form the more profitable policy to be adopted."

February 8, 1927—*Remain on Calendar until site is selected.*

February 28, 1927—*Over one week.*

Supervisor Shannon presented:

"Whereas, there are pending before the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco various applications for franchises to construct and operate toll bridges over and across the Bay of San Francisco from various points in the City and County of San Francisco to various points in the County of Alameda; and

"Whereas, no application has been made to construct or operate a bridge from Mission Rock in the City and County of San Francisco to any point in the County of Alameda; and

"Whereas, it appears to the Board of Supervisors that a toll bridge over and across the Bay of San Francisco from Mission Rock to some suitable point in the County of Alameda will best serve the people of San Francisco and that Mission Rock is the most feasible and logical starting point for a transbay bridge between San Francisco and Alameda; and now, therefore, be it

"Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors does hereby select Mission Rock as the best and most feasible starting point for a transbay bridge between San Francisco and the County of Alameda and does hereby designate said site as the point in San Francisco from which the first transbay bridge shall be constructed; and be it further

"Resolved, That all persons, firms and corporations who have heretofore made application for a franchise to construct and operate transbay toll bridges between San Francisco and Alameda County, as well as all other persons interested, be invited to present to this Board of Supervisors applications, plans and specifications for a franchise for a transbay toll bridge from Mission Rock in the City and County of San Francisco to some point in the County of Alameda."

February 8, 1927—*To remain on Calendar.*

February 28, 1927—*Over one week.*

Supervisor Shannon presented:

"Whereas, A. McLean Hawks, bridge and mining engineer, has

called the attention of this Board to the advantages of Mission Rock as the location for the main bridge pier or bridge-head of the San Francisco Bay Bridge, and without thought of remuneration or reward has suggested that this location be considered by this City for its public bridge location; and

"Whereas, Mission Rock, in this engineer's judgment, has unequaled advantages as regards stability of the bridge, maximum length and height of channel spans, comparative shallowness of water to the east, economy of cost, absence of obstruction to shipping, facility to automobile traffic within San Francisco and passing through San Francisco; and the handling of interurban and trans-continental trains; and

"Whereas, the Bay bridge plan originally proposed by Hawks, later duplicated by Gustave Lindenthal and embodied in the McSheehy resolution, opens the way for the selection by this Board of a site that shall be the best site, irrespective of whether or not it has been previously proposed by private parties; and

"Whereas, the Mission Rock site has not been proposed by any private group seeking a franchise, but remains open for selection by this Board as the City's site if its apparent advantages be borne out by careful study; therefore, be it

"Resolved, That the City Attorney be and he is hereby instructed to file immediate condemnation proceedings against property known as Mission Rock, in order to protect the City at this time against any private proposal which may seek hereafter to utilize this site; and be it

"Further Resolved, That the Special Bridge Committee of this Board be and it is hereby instructed to give careful study to this location as the possible choice of the City and County of San Francisco."

To remain on Calendar.

Motions.

Supervisor Gallagher: I move you that while it is the policy of this Board that we favor a publicly-owned bay bridge, it is however, the sense of this Board that we favor immediate construction of said bridge by private capital, until the people may elect in the manner provided by law to take over said bridge and place it under public ownership and control.

Supervisor Marks: I move you that, as an amendment to the motion of Supervisor Gallagher, the City Attorney be requested to submit to this Board, at the earliest possible opportunity, a form of submission of the issue of public ownership and operation of the proposed bridge, or private ownership or operation of the proposed bridge, for action by the people at the earliest possible opportunity.

Supervisor Marks: I desire to present the following motion and calling attention to the fact that it does not call for anything other than asking the City Attorney to submit a proper form of proposal to us. I make the motion which heretofore has been outlined three or four times.

(Referring to motion set out in full above.)

The Chairman: Any Objection? So ordered.

SPECIAL ORDERS—2 P. M.

Bridge Hearings.

Thereupon, the following matters were taken up:

Applications for Franchises to Construct Bridges Across San Francisco Bay.

Whereupon, the following applications were taken up:

Application of Bay Cities Bridge Corporation for a franchise for a toll bridge between San Francisco and Alameda.

Proposed rail and highway crossing for San Francisco Bay from the foot of Sixteenth street, San Francisco, to the foot of Pacific avenue, Alameda.

Beginning at the intersection of the center line of Sixteenth street with the center line of Third (Kentucky) street, in the City and County of San Francisco, and extending thence easterly along the center line of Sixteenth street to the waterfront line; thence easterly along a straight line to a point on the center line of Pacific avenue, in the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, which point is one hundred feet westerly from the intersection of said center line of Pacific avenue with the center line of Bryant street.

That the length of said bridge is 27,750 feet and that the width of the roadway on said bridge is 38 feet.

Filed April 6, 1925.

DUDLEY D. SALES,
Attorney.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, March* 14, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Ronco-

vieri, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Schmidt—3.

Application of Elbert W. Davis for a franchise for a toll bridge between San Franicsco and Alameda.

Across San Francisco Bay, beginning at a point in the City and County of San Francisco on the bulkhead line of San Francisco Harbor between Piers 26 and 28, and running approximately north 67 degrees 45 minutes east 17,962 feet, more or less, to a point in the City of Oakland, County of Alameda, State of California, on the easterly shore of San Francisco Bay.

That the length of said bridge is to be 17,962 feet and that the breadth thereof is to be 65 feet, more or less.

Filed June 29, 1925.

JAMES M. OLIVER,
Attorney.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, March* 14, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Schmidt—3.

Application of John Bermingham, Jr., 250 Cervantes boulevard, for a franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge across San Francisco Bay between the City and County of San Francisco and the County of Alameda.

Beginning at the intersection of the center line of Seventh and Mission streets, in the City and County of San Francisco, along Seventh street to Seventh and Sixteenth; thence in a southeasterly direction to Nineteenth and Third; thence in a southerly direction along Third street to the intersection of Third street and Jerrold avenue and waterfront; thence proceeding in a northerly direction, crossing San Francisco Bay to a point from which a branch will extend 4500 feet easterly to the intersection of Pacific avenue and Bryant street, in the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California; thence from said aforementioned intersecting point proceeding in a northerly direction, crossing the Oakland Estuary, to the intersection of Peralta street and Seventh street, in the City of Oakland, County of Alameda, State of California.

That the total length of said bridge, approaches thereto and branch thereof, aforementioned, is 65,450 feet, that the width of said bridge is ninety (90) feet.

Filed September 28, 1925.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, March* 14, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Schmidt—3.

Application of A. M. Bergstrom for a franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge across San Francisco Bay between the City and County of San Francisco and the County of Alameda.

That the said toll bridge is to be located across the Bay of San Francisco along the following described line, to-wit:

Beginning at a point in San Francisco at about the foot of Davidson avenue, on Hunter's Point, and running northerly sixty-two (62) degrees east four and four-tenths (4.4) miles; thence on a one (1) degree curve to the north one and six-tenths (1.6) miles to true north to a point on the easterly shore of the Bay of San Francisco at about the foot of Webster street, in the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California.

That the length of said bridge is to be six (6) miles and the breadth is to be sixty (60) feet, more or less.

Filed September 28, 1925.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, March* 14, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Schmidt—3.

Application of New York and San Francisco Development Company for franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge between San Francisco and Alameda.

Bridge to be constructed across San Francisco Bay from a point therein at or near the junction of Evans avenue and Boalt street, Hunter's Point, in the City and

County of San Francisco, State of California, to or near the junction of South High street and Washington street, in the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California; that the length of said bridge is approximately six and one-half miles from shore line to shore line, and that its breadth or width is approximately from 60 to 90 feet.

Filed January 11, 1926.

ROTHCHILD & GOLDEN,
Attorneys.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, March* 14, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Schmidt—3.

Application of Roy C. Hackley for a franchise to construct a toll bridge between San Francisco, Pier 26, and Alameda County.

Beginning at a point in the City and County of San Francisco on the bulkhead line of San Francisco Harbor, south of and adjacent to Pier 26, and running approximately north 61 degrees east and fifty-three hundred feet, more or less; thence running approximately north 89 degrees east five thousand feet, more or less; thence running approximately north 59 degrees 30 minutes east eight thousand feet, more or less, to a point in the City of Oakland, County of Alameda, State of California, on the easterly shore of said San Francisco Bay.

That the length of said bridge is to be eighteen thousand three hundred feet (18,300) and that the breadth thereof is to be 78 feet, more or less.

Roy A. Bronson and E. D. Bronson, Jr., attorneys, 68 Post street.

Filed February 6, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, March* 14, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Schmidt—3.

Two applications of the Construction Company of North America for a franchise to construct and open a toll bridge between San Francisco and Alameda County.

Commencing at a point in said City and County of San Francisco near the corner of First and Bryant streets, on what is known as Rincon Hill, and running thence on less than a two-degree curve a distance of approximately 1700 lineal feet to the line of bulkhead wharf connecting Piers 30 and 32 on the waterfront of the said City and County of San Francisco; thence on a line approximately north 65 degrees east 21,000 lineal feet, more or less, to the Oakland Mole of the Southern Pacific Company in Alameda County.

That the length of said bridge is to be 4½ miles and that the width thereof is to be approximately 78 feet.

ROBERT J. McGAHIE,
Attorney, Mills Building.

First application filed February 15, 1926.

Second application field April 5, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, March* 14, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Schmidt—3.

Application of Allan C. Rush for a franchise to construct a toll bridge between San Francisco and Alameda County.

Construction of a suspension bridge from the City and County of San Francisco over and across Goat Island to the east shore of the Bay of San Francisco, comprising three main double traffic track entrance therein, and being of a height of two hundred feet above high tide, between all bridge piers in the waters of San Francisco Channel, in which channel the bridge will be suspended over "but three piers," twenty-four hundred feet apart, thus in nowise impeding the traffic of the waters of the Bay.

Filed June 19, 1926.

ALLAN C. RUSH,
1632 McCullom street, Los Angeles, California.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, March* 14, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent,

Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.
Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Schmidt—3.

Application of Robert Lee Dunn, 778 Monadnock Building, for a franchise to construct a toll bridge along the following described line, to-wit:

Beginning at the intersection of the center line of Second street with the center line of Berry street, in the City and County of San Francisco and extending thence easterly to the waterfront line; thence easterly along a straight line to the intersection of the center lines of Pacific avenue and Bryant street, City of Alameda, California.

Length of said bridge is twenty-six thousand feet; that the width of the roadway on said bridge is eighty feet.

Filed June 21, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, March* 14, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.
Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Schmidt—3.

Application of Edward A. Bertram for a franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge to be located, to-wit:

Beginning at Twelfth and Howard streets, San Francisco, by 1½ per cent viaduct to Beale and Howard streets; thence to Southern Pacific Mole, Oakland; thence by 1½ per cent viaduct to West Fourteenth street level, and Sixteenth Street Railroad Station.

The length of said bridge proper is 21,000 feet and its width of roadway is 63 feet.

Filed June 21, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, March* 14, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.
Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Schmidt—3.

Application of R. S. Chew for franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge or bridges.

The said proposed bridge or bridges are to be located and are described as follows:

The westerly end of a bridge and the approaches thereto, which will connect with public streets, are to be located in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California (which location is in the county situated on the left bank descending of the Bay of San Francisco, across which said bridge is to be constructed), and at a point therein at or near the summit of an eminence known as Telegraph Hill; from this point the bridge will project easterly a distance of nine thousand feet (more or less) to the westerly side of Yerba Buena (or Goat) Island; thence easterly across said island a roadway or viaduct will be constructed for a distance of four thousand feet (more or less) to its easterly side; from thence there will be projected in an easterly direction a distance of twenty-two thousand feet (more or less), a bridge structure, viaduct, causeway and/or fill to suitable connections with lines or railway and public highways in Alameda County, State of California.

Filed June 28, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, March* 14, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.
Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Schmidt—3.

Application of Inter-Cities Bridge Company for a franchise to construct, maintain and operate a toll bridge across the Bay of San Francisco and over the following route:

Beginning at the intersection of Broadway and Battery street, in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and extending thence easterly to the waterfront; thence on a line approximately north 60 degrees east to the westerly point of Yerba Buena or Goat Island; thence by contour meander to the easterly point of Yerba Buena or Goat Island; thence on a line approximately north 75 degrees to the foot of Yerba Buena street, in the City of Oakland, County of Alameda, State of California.

That the length of said bridge, inclusive of approximately 4100 feet on ground support over Yerba Buena or Goat Island, and 5670 feet to be supported by an earth fill mole in the approach to the said Oakland terminal, is to be approxi-

mately 27,000 feet. That the width of said bridge is to be approximately 120 feet, providing two 20-foot vehicular lanes and accommodations for four lines of electric transportation tracks.

Filed July 12, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, March* 14, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Schmidt—3.

Application of G. Lindenthal for franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge.

Said bridge is to be located along the following described line:

Commencing at a point in the center line of Jerrold avenue, in the City and County of San Francisco, about midway between Ship street and Dock street, in said City and County of San Francisco; thence on a curve easterly and northerly a distance of 30,000 feet, more or less, to the southerly foot of Webster street, in the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California.

The width of said bridge at the easterly end thereof for approximately 16,000 feet will be 75 feet, and the width of the remaining portion thereof will be approximately 40 feet. The length of said bridge will be approximately 30,000 feet.

Filed August 2, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, March* 14, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Schmidt—3.

Preliminary application of Fowler Engineering Corporation for a franchise for the building of a bridge from Telegraph Hill to Goat Island, 3 2000-foot spans.

Filed July 28, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, March* 14, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Schmidt—3.

Application of W. F. Holcomb for franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge across San Francisco Bay between the City and County of San Francisco and Alameda County.

Beginning at or near and within one hundred feet northerly or southerly of the intersection of the center line of Second street with the center line of Berry street, in the City and County of San Francisco and extending thence easterly to the waterfront line; thence easterly along a straight line to, at or near and within one hundred feet northerly or southerly of the intersection of the center lines of Pacific avenue and Bryant street, City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California.

That the length of said bridge will be twenty-six thousand feet; that the width of the roadway on said bridge will be eighty-nine feet.

Filed August 9, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, March* 14, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Schmidt—3.

Application of Robert E. Fitzgerald for franchise to erect, maintain and operate and take tolls on a toll bridge over and across the Bay of San Francisco. The location of said bridge is described as follows:

The center line of said bridge will commence at a point on The Embarcadero of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, at a point approximately midway between Vallejo street and Broadway street; thence extending on a line approximately north 60 degrees east to the westerly point of Yerba Buena Island, also known as Goat Island; thence following the contour of said island to a point on the easterly shore thereof where said shore line would be intersected by a center line of Seventh street in the City of Oakland, if produced, upon its present course as the course of said street now exists along the northerly line of Oakland Mole; thence easterly along said

line of said street, if so produced, to the center line of said Seventh street at the present westerly end thereof.

Filed August 9, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, March* 14, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Schmidt—3.

Application of Thos. E. Slaven, filed August 16, 1926, for franchise to construct, maintain and operate a toll bridge. Said bridge is to be constructed over and across the Bay of San Francisco, and over the following route:

The location of said bridge is particularly described as follows: The center line of said bridge will commence at a point on The Embarcadero of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, approximately where the center line of said Embarcadero intersects the center line of Pier 7, if produced. Thence extending on a line north approximately 67 degrees 30 minutes east to a point on the southwesterly shore of Yerba Buena or Goat Island; thence easterly following the contour of said island to a point on the easterly shore thereof, located about six hundred feet in a northerly direction from the light house located on the southern portion of said island; thence north eighty-five degrees thirty minutes east, approximately 8700 feet to a point where said line intersects the center line of Seventh street, Oakland, if produced, upon its present course as the course of said street now exists along the northern line of Oakland Mole; thence easterly along said line of said street, if so produced, to the center line of said Seventh street at the present westerly end thereof.

The length of said bridge, exclusive of land approaches, being approximately 9300 feet west of said island and approximately 10,400 feet east of said island, and the breadth of said bridge being approximately 115 feet.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, March* 14, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Schmidt—3.

Application of E. D. Bronson, Jr., for a franchise for a toll bridge across San Francisco Bay.

Filed August 16, 1926.

Beginning at a point in the City and County of San Francisco on the bulkhead line of San Francisco Harbor, south of and adjacent to Pier 26, and running north approximately 68 degrees east seventeen thousand nine hundred fifty feet (17,950'), more or less, to a point in the City of Oakland, County of Alameda, State of California, on the easterly shore of said San Francisco Bay; and the said toll bridge to be approximately seventeen thousand nine hundred fifty (17,950') feet in length and one hundred ten (110') feet in breadth, more or less.

Roy A. Bronson, E. D. Bronson, Jr., attorneys at law, 68 Post street, Room 403, San Francisco, California.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, March* 14, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Schmidt—3.

Tunnels.

Application of O. A. Ellis for franchise for two tunnels under the Bay of San Francisco from San Francisco to Oakland.

One of the tunnels will be for interurban street car traffic, with double track, and the San Francisco terminal between Third and Fourth streets on Harrison street.

The other tunnel will be for auto and railroad traffic, with San Francisco terminal between Second and Third streets on Harrison street.

The Oakland terminal will be at Seventh and Cedar streets.

Filed June 25, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, March* 14, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Schmidt—3.

HEARINGS ON APPEAL.

San Jose Avenue.

Hearing of the appeal of property owners from the assessment issued for the improvement of San Jose avenue was *continued for one week.*

Douglass Street.

Hearing of the appeal of property owners from the assessment issued for the improvement of Douglass street was *continued for one week and made a Special Order at 2 p. m.*

Lease of Premises 333 Kearny Street.

Hearing on the question of continuing the lease of the office space at 333 Kearny street *continued for one week.*

Action Deferred.

The following was presented by Supervisor McSheehy and *laid over one week*:

War Memorial Bond Issue.

Declaratory ordinance for bond issue for War Memorial buildings was on motion of Supervisor McSheehy *laid over one week.*

Hetch Hetchy Transmission Line.

The following was presented by Supervisor Havenner and ordered on next week's calendar with the recommendation of the Public Utilities Committee:

Declaratory ordinance for bond issue for the Hetch Hetchy transmission line from Newark to San Francisco.

ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND MOTIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

Masquerade Ball Permit.

Supervisor Schmidt presented:

Resolution No. 26947 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Unione Sportiva Italiana Virtus Club Inc., be and it is hereby granted permission to hold a masquerade ball at 1457 Stockton street, Saturday, March 5, 1927.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Taxicab Stands Approved.

Supervisor Schmidt presented:

Resolution No. 26948 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following permits for taxicab stands be approved:

L. Ringesis at 1021 Point Lobos avenue.

Froilan Matos at 834 Kearny street.

Red Top Cab Company at 500 Castro street, 376 Pine street, 461 Kearny street and 2200 Union street.

Blue Bird Cab Company at 854 Kearny street.

Yellow and Checker Cab Company at 1761 Fillmore street.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Plans, Etc., Fifteen Duboce Tunnel Cars.

Supervisor Powers presented:

Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, because of the time required for construction of street cars, it is necessary to place orders at least seven months before delivery can be had; and

Whereas, the Duboce Tunnel will be completed by September of this year, so that the street railway tracks may be constructed therein immediately after, and the Judah street line may be expected ready for operation not later than December of this year; and

Whereas, it is therefore necessary to have the cars available at that time for operating this line; and

Whereas, there are sufficient funds in the Municipal Railway available for the purchase of these cars at the present time; therefore, be it

Resolved, that the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco instruct the City Engineer to immediately prepare plans and specifications for the ordering of fifteen cars so that this order may be placed as soon as possible.

Referred to Public Utilities Committee.

Set-Back Lines Rescinded.

Supervisor Hayden presented:

Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That so much of Resolution No. 22544 (New Series), being Resolution of Intention No. 44, as declares it to be the intention to establish set-back lines along the southerly side of Chestnut street between Divisadero and Scott streets be and the same is hereby rescinded.

Over one week.

ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business, the Board at 6:30 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors July 18, 1927.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated, and approved as above recited.

JOHN S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco.

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Vol. 22—New Series No 11

Monday, March 14, 1927

Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
337 Bush Street, S. F.

JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, MARCH 14, 1927, 10 A. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, March 14, 1927, 10 a. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Byington, Gallagher—3.

Quorum present.

His Honor Mayor Rolph being absent, Supervisor McSheehy was elected to preside.

APPROVAL OF JOURNALS.

The Journals of Proceedings of December 6 and 13, 1926, were considered read and approved.

ROLL CALL FOR PETITIONS FROM MEMBERS.

Protest of the Chamber of Commerce Against Bond Issue for Transmission Power Line From Newark.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication, from Clay Miller, president San Francisco Chamber of Commerce, advising that the Chamber has on several occasions gone on record as opposed to the bonding of a transmission line from Newark to San Francisco; and also as opposed to the erection of stepdown station in connection therewith, and that this is to advise in the strongest possible terms that the Chamber vigorously protests against any action at this time to provide for such transmission line by bond issue.

Ordered *filed*.

Application for Rental of Hangar Space and Facilities, Municipal Airport.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication, from Edward Hubbard, Vice-President in Charge of Operations, The Boeing Air Transport, Inc., making formal application for the rental or lease of a hangar and other facilities necessary to the operation of aeroplanes in the mail service of the United States at the present site of the San Francisco Airport at Millbrae, San Mateo County, California.

Ordered *filed*.

Civic League of Improvement Clubs and Associations Recommends Bond Issue for Board of Health Building in Civic Center.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication, from Civic League of Improvement Clubs and Associations, recommending that provision be made by bond issue for the acquisition of a site and the erection of a building for the Board of Health in the Civic Center; also for the acquisition of lands nesessary for laying out and constructing the proposed Sunset boulevard.

Ordered *filed*.

Action Deferred.

The following matter was *continued one week*:

HEARING OF APPEAL—2 P. M.

San Jose Avenue.

Appeal of property owners from the assessment issued for the improvement of San Jose avenue between the southwesterly line of Foote avenue produced to the northeasterly line of Sickles avenue produced, by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks of the full official width where artificial stone sidewalks of the full official width are not already constructed.

Action Deferred.

The following matter was *continued four weeks*:

Hearing of Appeal of Property Owners on Brussels Street Between Campbell Avenue and Wilde Avenue, Etc.

Appeal of the property owners from the action and decision of the Board of Public Works in overriding the protest of property owners against the improvement of Brussels street between Campbell avenue and Wilde avenue, including the

crossing of Brussels street and Campbell avenue, and the improvement of Campbell avenue between Brussels street and San Bruno avenue, by grading to official line and grade; by the construction of concrete curbs, the curbs on the southerly side of the crossing of Campbell avenue and Brussels street is to be constructed between the easterly and westerly lines of Brussels street; by the construction of the following ironstone pipe sewers and appurtenances: an 8-inch with 4 Y branches, 1 brick manhole and the necessary sidesewers along the center line of Brussels street between the center line of Campbell avenue and the existing manhole 180 feet northerly from Campbell avenue; a 12-inch with 10 Y branches and the necessary sidesewers along the center line of Campbell avenue between the center line of Brussels street and the westerly line of San Bruno avenue; by the construction of the necessary sidesewers on Brussels street between Wilde avenue and a line 380 feet southerly from Wilde avenue; by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks on the northerly angular corners of the above mentioned crossing; by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks on the southerly side of the above mentioned crossing between the easterly and westerly lines of Brussels street; by the construction of 2 brick catchbasins with appurtenances and 10-inch ironstone pipe culverts on the crossing of Campbell avenue and Brussels street; by the construction of a concrete pavement on Brussels street from a line 250 feet southerly from Wilde avenue to the northerly line of Campbell avenue, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and a 1½-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface on the remainder of the roadways thereof.

UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

Final Passage.

The following matters heretofore passed for printing were taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Authorizations.

Resolution No. 26949 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923.

(1) F. L. Hansen, extra work on general construction of Henry Durant School (claim dated Feb. 28, 1927), $1,520.31.

(2) R. W. Moller, sixth payment, general construction, Mission (Everett) Junior High School (claim dated March 1, 1927), $17,506.13.

(3) Thos. Skelly, first payment, plumbing for addition to Sunnyside School (claim dated March 1, 1927), $2,523.08.

(4) Thos. Skelly, first payment, mechanical equipment for additions to Sunnyside School (claim dated March 1, 1927), $1,842.98.

(5) John Reid, Jr., nineteenth payment, architectural services for new Mission High School (claim dated March 2, 1927), $3,892.08.

(6) O. Monson, sixth payment, general construction, addition to Francis Scott Key School (claim dated March 2, 1927), $20,152.50.

(7) Amoroso & Damico, ninth payment, general construction of Edison School (claim dated March 1, 1927), $26,106.98.

(8) Scott Company, third payment, mechanical equipment for Edison School (claim dated March 1, 1927), $5,241.75.

(9) F. L. Hansen, final payment, general construction of Henry Durant School (claim dated March 1, 1927), $73,503.51.

(10) H. A. Porter, final payment, electrical work for Henry Durant School (claim dated March 1, 1927), $5,961.35.

(11) Latourette-Fical Co., final payment, plumbing work for Henry Durant School (claim dated March 1, 1927), $3,085.88.

(12) A. Lettich, final payment, mechanical equipment for Henry Durant School (claim dated March 1, 1927), $1,071.60.

(13) John Reid, Jr., final payment, architectural services for addition to High School of Commerce (claim dated March 1, 1927), $2,166.12.

(14) Edward F. Dowd, first payment, electrical fixtures for new Mission High School (claim dated March 1, 1927), $5,970.

(15) D. N. & E. Walter & Co., first and final payment, window and door shades for new Mission High School (claim dated March 1, 1927), $1,650.

Auditorium Fund.

(16) Elwyn Concert Bureau, services of Cecelia Hansen, Municipal Concert of February 15, 1927 (claim dated March 7, 1927), $800.

(17) Elwyn Concert Bureau, services of Florence Austral, soloist, Municipal Concert of March 8, 1927 (claim dated February 7, 1927), $1,000.

Fire Department Land Fund.

(18) Elliot & Grant, sixth payment, general construction of Fire Department Engine House No. 19 (claim dated March 1, 1927), $4,661.06.

Maintenance of Aquarium, Appropriation 57.

(19) California Academy of Sciences, maintenance of Steinhart Aquarium for February (claim dated March 7, 1927), $3,919.80.

Hetch Hetchy Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1925.

(20) Beronio Lumber Co., lumber, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Feb. 26, 1927), $502.16.

(21) Chain Belt Co., roller chain, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Feb. 26, 1927), $563.64.

(22) Crucible Steel Co. of America, drill steel, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Feb. 25, 1927), $9,362.29.

(23) Engineering Products Co., spikes, bolts and angle bars, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Feb. 25, 1927), $1,697.05.

(24) Hercules Powder Co., explosives, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Feb. 28, 1927), $2,485.62.

(25) T. M. Hughes, oak wood, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Feb. 26, 1927), $887.50.

(26) Myers-Whaley Co., shoveling machine parts, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Feb. 26, 1927), $1,030.92.

(27) M. M. O'Shaughnessy, reimbursement of revolving fund for expenditures (claim dated Feb. 25, 1927), $824.80.

(28) Standard Oil Co., gasoline and oils for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Feb. 26, 1927), $598.41.

(29) Standard Oil Co., gasoline and oil, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Feb. 26, 1927), $628.12.

Park Fund.

(30) Bode Gravel Co., gravel for parks (claim dated March 4, 1927), $514.69.

(31) Dunham, Carrigan & Hayden Co., hardware for parks (claim dated March 4, 1927), $609.88.

(32) R. J. O'Connell, red rock furnished parks (claim dated March 4, 1927), $780.

(33) R. J. O'Connell, red rock furnished parks (claim dated March 4, 1927), $724.

Sunset Tunnel Assessment Fund.

(34) Leonard F. Youdall and R G. Clifford, ninth payment, con struction of tunnel under Buena Vista Park (claim dated March 2, 1927), $40,678.74.

County Road Fund.

(35) Keystone Construction Co., final payment, improvement of Telegraph Hill boulevard (claim dated March 2, 1927), $1,344.68.

(36) James M. Smith, fifth payment, improvement of Market street extension (claim dated March 2, 1927), $9,900.

(37) W. S. Dickey Clay Mfg. Co., paving brick for Steiner street (claim dated March 1, 1927), $1,041.05.

Tubercular Sanitarium Fund.

(38) Latourette-Fical Co., third payment, plumbing work for Tuberculosis Preventorium (claim dated March 2, 1927), $2,434.23.

(39) Reilly & Nemetz, fourth payment, general construction of Tuberculosis Preventorium (claim dated March 2, 1927), $12,786.45.

(40) M. E. Ryan, third payment, electrical work, Tuberculosis Preventorium (claim dated March 1, 1927), $550.30.

Municipal Railway Depreciation Reserve Fund.

(41) Albert S. Samuels, Municipal employees' badges (claim dated Feb. 28, 1927), $750.

Relief Home Construction Fund. Bond Issue 1923.

(42) J. W. Burtchaell, final payment, electrical fixtures for Relief Home buildings (claim dated March 1, 1927), $2,557.21.

(43) John Reid, Jr., twenty-sixth payment, architectural services for new Relief Home buildings (claim dated March 1, 1927), $8,521.07.

General Fund, 1925-1926.

(44) Granfield, Farrar & Carlin, final payment for improvement of Mt. Vernon avenue extension (claim dated March 2, 1927), $2,152.

(45) A. E. Hennessy, first payment, reconstruction of Bluxome street (claim dated March 2, 1927), $12,000.

(46) John Spargo, fifth payment, construction of photograph gallery in Hall of Justice (claim dated March 1, 1927), $3,192.37.

Special School Tax.

(47) Bureau of Street Repair, Board of Public Works, asphalting yard of Noe Valley and of Excelsior schools (claim dated March 2, 1927), $1,239.41.

(48) W. S. Ray Mfg. Co., fuel oil burners in various schools (claim dated March 2, 1927), $6,194.

(49) Geo. H. Tay Co., urinals for Franklin School (claim dated March 2, 1927), $940.

(50) W. S. Ray Mfg. Co., fuel oil burners in Denman and Frank Mc-

Coppin schools (claim dated Feb. 23, 1927), $1,769.

(51) D. J. & T. Sullivan, Inc., moving portable schools (claim dated Feb. 23, 1927), $700.

(52) L. Flatland, first payment, electrical work for addition to Madison School (claim dated March 1, 1927), $2,134.13.

(53) E. K. Nelson, third payment, general construction of addition to the Madison School (claim dated March 2, 1927), $5,291.25.

(54) J. E. O'Mara, second payment, plumbing work for addition to Madison School (claim dated March 1, 1927), $625.50.

(55) Mahony Bros., fifth payment, general construction of addition to Commodore Sloat School (claim dated Feb. 1, 1927), $9,231.81.

General Fund, 1926-1927.

(56) Lottie M. Neil, second payment, installation of safety lane markers (claim dated March 2, 1927), $612.

(57) John Spargo, fourth payment, general construction of additions to lightwell space, Hall of Justice (claim dated March 1, 1927), $854.25.

(58) General Electric Co., installation of radio fountains, etc., in Civic Center (claim dated Feb. 24, 1927), $4,243.31.

(59) Enterprise Foundry Co., catchbasin frames, etc., for sewer repairs (claim dated March 1, 1927), $730.82.

(60) Standard Oil Co. of California, asphalt for street repair (claim dated March 1 ,1927), $2,464.75.

(61) H. E. Teller Co., coffee for Relief Home (claim dated Feb. 25, 1927), $775.

(62) Frederick A. Hamilton, American sterilizer for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Jan. 31, 1927), $1,036.

(63) The Beecher Co., cribs for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Jan. 31, 1927), $1,368.

(64) California Petroleum Corporation, fuel oil for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Jan. 31, 1927), $2,521.56.

(65) Richard Stall, Industrial Accident Commission award against San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Feb. 26, 1927), $684.47.

(66) Recorder Printing and Publishing Co., printing bridge hearing proceedings (claim dated March 7, 1927), $1,049.50.

(67) San Francisco Society for Prevention of Cruelty to Animals, impounding, feeding, etc., of animals (claim dated March 7, 1927), $1,250.

(68) James Rolph, Jr., Mayor's March incidentals (claim dated March 7, 1927), $625.

Municipal Railway Accident Reserve Fund.

(69) Harriet E. Brennan, compromise agreement for personal injuries and other damages as result of Municipal Railway accident (claim dated March 3, 1927), $1,000.

Hetch Hetchy Operative Revenue Fund.

(70) Railroad Commission of the State of California, expense of valuation of San Francisco electric properties, month of March, 1927 (claim dated March 7, 1927), $2,000.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Byington, Gallagher—3.

Appropriation, $1,500, One Year's Rental, Airport Site, Mills Estate.

Resolution No. 26950 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $1,500 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of "Municipal Airport, etc.," Budget Item No. 60, Fiscal Year 1926-1927, and authorized in payment to the Mills Estate Incorporated; being payment of one year's rental of property situate in San Mateo County, in accordance with lease entered into and as per Resolution No. 26816 (New Series). Being for the period March 15, 1927, to March 15, 1928.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Byington, Gallagher—3.

Appropriation, $750, Payment to William C. Gibson et al. for Property Required for Potrero Playground.

Resolution No. 26951 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $750 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of Playground Fund, Appropriation 49, and authorized in payment to William C. Gibson and Mary Gibson; being payment for property required for the "Potrero Playground," and being a portion of Potrero Nuevo Block 227.

Commencing at a point on the easterly line of Arkansas street, distant thereon 400 feet northerly from the point formed by the intersection of the said easterly line of Arkansas street with the northerly line of Twenty-third street,

running thence northerly along the easterly line of Arkansas street 25 feet; thence easterly 100 feet; thence southerly 25 feet; thence westerly 100 feet to the easterly line of Arkansas street and point of commencement. (Claim dated Feb. 1, 1927.)

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Byington, Gallagher—3.

Appropriations for Improvement of Castro Street Between Twenty-ninth and Thirtieth Streets and Masonic Avenue Between Fell and Oak Streets.

Resolution No. 26952 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of County Road Fund, for the hereinafter mentioned purposes, to-wit:

(1) For the cost of improving Castro street between Twenty-ninth and Thirtieth streets, including portion of Thirtieth street east of Castro street, including inspection and possible extras, $11,950.

(2) For the improvement of Masonic avenue from Fell to Oak streets, including inspection and extras, $3,000.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Byington, Gallagher—3.

Appropriation, $40,730.45 for Construction of an Addition to the High School of Commerce.

Resolution No. 26953 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $40,730.45 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923, for the construction of an addition to the High School of Commerce, including architect fees, extras, incidentals and inspection.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Byington, Gallagher—3.

Appropriations, Partitions, Juvenile Detention Home; Skylight, County Jail; Improvement of Montana Street; Easement, Army Street; Trucks, Etc., Relief Home.

Resolution No. 26954 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned funds for the following purposes, to-wit:

Repairs to Public Buildings, Budget Item No. 68.

(1) For expense of making alterations and installing of new partitions, etc., at the Juvenile Detention Home, $595.

(2) For continuance of fence construction at County Jail No. 3, and for construction of skylights on County Jail No. 2, $1,150.

Street Work in Front of City Property—Budget Item No. 36.

(3) For the improvement of the southerly one-half of Montana street between Capitol and Plymouth avenues, fronting playground, $5,000.

Extension of Main Sewers—Budget Item No. 39.

(4) For construction of a drainage system through property acquired by easement at Army street, west of Castro street, $600.

Relief Home Equipment—Budget Item No. 64.

(5) For the purchase of trucks, chairs, bakery, kitchen and other equipment for the new Relief Home, $1,831.89.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Byington, Gallagher—3.

Appropriating $1,000 for Construction of Display Case for War Flags.

Resolution No. 26955 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $1,000 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of the General Fund, 1926-1927, for the construction of a display case for war flags.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Byington, Gallagher—3.

Oil and Boiler Permits.

Resolution No. 26956 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revo-

cable permits be and the same are hereby granted:

Oil Tanks.

L. W. Chitchlow, 266 Dore street, 600 gallons capacity.

Luella C. McCutchen, north side of Fourteenth street, 115 feet east of Howard street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Thos. Sharman, 1018 Fell street, 1500 gallons capacity.

W. P. Wood, west side of Broderick street, 65 feet south of Grove street, 1500 gallons capacity.

W. P. Wood, east side of Gough street, 100 feet south of Turk street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Boilers.

Luella C. McCutchen, north side of Fourteenth street, 115 feet east of Howard street, 25-horsepower.

Phillips Baking Co., Inc., 1315 Harrison street, 4-horsepower.

Thomas Porcaro, 2779 Folsom street, 12-horsepower.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits shall become null and void.

A y e s — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Byington, Gallagher—3.

Garage Permit.

Resolution No. 26957 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Russ Building Company be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to operate and maintain a public garage on the west side of Montgomery street between Pine and Bush streets.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

A y e s — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Byington, Gallagher—3.

Transfers of Garage Permits.

Resolution No. 26958 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That C. V. Rather be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred public garage permit heretofore granted Charles McManus by Resolution No. 22688 (New Series) for premises at 1461 Pine street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

A y e s — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Byington, Gallagher—3.

Resolution No. 26959 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Howard T. Blethen be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred public garage permit heretofore granted J. Olive Smith by Resolution No. 18446 (New Series) for premises at 875 California street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be execrised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

A y e s — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Byington, Gallagher—3.

Laundry Permit.

Resolution No. 26960 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That John King be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to operate and maintain a public laundry at No. 3 Meacham place.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

A y e s — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Byington, Gallagher—3.

Dyeing and Cleaning Permit.

Resolution No. 26961 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Luella C. McCutchen be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to operate and maintain a cleaning and dyeing establishment on the north side of Fourteenth street, 115 feet east of Howard street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

A y e s — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McShee-

hy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Byington, Gallagher—3.

Garage Permit.

Resolution No. 26962 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That W. E. Dersch be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to operate and maintain a public garage at 218 Austin street; provided, that said permittee shall comply with all existing ordinances pertaining to construction and operation of public garages.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Byington, Gallagher—3.

Blasting Permit.

Resolution No. 26963 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That H. V. Tucker be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to explode blasts on property situate on the northeast corner of California and Jones streets, provided, said permittee shall execute and file a good and sufficient bond in the sum of $10,000 as fixed by the Board of Public Works and approved by his Honor the Mayor, in accordance with Ordinance No. 1204 (New Series); provided, also, that said blasts shall be exploded only between the hours of 7 a. m. and 6 p. m., and that the work of blasting shall be performed to the satisfaction of the Board of Public Works, and that if any of the conditions of this resolution be violated by said H. V. Tucker, then the privileges and all rights accruing thereunder shall immediately become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Byington, Gallagher—3.

Fixing Sidewalk Widths on Congo Street, Martha Avenue, Stillings Avenue, Nordhoff Street, Baden Street and Bosworth Street.

Bill No. 7954, Ordinance No. 7448 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto new sections to be numbered nine hundred and fifty-one to nine hundred and fifty-six, inclusive.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Board of Public Works, filed in this office February 24, 1927, by adding thereto new sections to be numbered nine hundred and fifty-one to nine hundred and fifty-six, inclusive.

Section 951. The width of sidewalks on Congo street between Mangels avenue and Bosworth street shall be as shown on that certain map entitled "Map of Congo street between Mangels avenue and Bosworth street," showing the location of street and curb lines and the width of sidewalks.

Section 952. The width of sidewalks on Martha avenue between Congo street and its easterly termination shall be as shown on that certain map entitled "Map of Martha avenue between Congo street and its easterly termination," showing the location of street and curb lines and the width of sidewalks.

Section 953. The width of sidewalks on Stillings avenue between Martha avenue and its westerly termination shall be as shown on that certain map entitled "Map of Stillings avenue between Martha avenue and its westerly termination," showing the location of street and curb lines and the width of sidewalks.

Section 954. The width of sidewalks on Nordhoff street between Mangels avenue and Stillings avenue shall be as shown on that certain map entitled "Map of Nordhoff street between Mangels avenue and Stillings avenue," showing the location of street and curb lines and the width of sidewalks.

Section 955. The width of sideMangels avenue and Martha avenue shall be as shown on that certain map entitled "Map of Baden street between Mangels avenue and Martha avenue," showing the location of street and curb lines and the width of sidewalks.

Section 956. The width of sidewalks on Baden street between Burnside avenue and the fourth angle northwesterly from Congo street shall be as shown on that

certain map entitled "Map of Bosworth street between Burnside avenue and the fourth angle point northwesterly from Congo street," showing the location of street and curb lines and the width of sidewalks.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect and be in force from and after its passage.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent. Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Byington, Gallagher—3.

Declaratory Ordinance, Municipal Railway Extensions.

Bill No. 7935, Ordinance No. 7447 (New Series), as follows:

Determining and declaring that the public interest and necessity demand the acquisition or construction of a public utility, to-wit:

A number of extensions to the existing Municipal Street Railway System over and along the public streets, private rights of way and through tunnels being constructed by the municipality, said extensions to be made from the existing Municipal Railway track at Scott and Chestnut streets into the Marina Tract, west of Scott street and north of Chestnut street; from the Municipal Railway track at Masonic avenue and Turk street into the Richmond District, connecting with the Municipal Railway tracks running to the Ocean Beach; from the Municipal Railway tracks on Market street at Duboce avenue, through the Sunset-Duboce tunnel into the Sunset District and through the Sunset District to a terminal near the Ocean Beach, with connecting cross-town service west of Twentieth avenue between said extension and the existing Municipal Railway line on Taraval street; from the Municipal Railway tracks at Forty-sixth avenue and Taraval street, southerly to a terminal near Wawona street and Forty-seventh avenue; from the Municipal Railway tracks at Thirtieth and Church streets, southwesterly through the Bernal Cut, into the Excelsior District, at or near Excelsior street; thence southerly through the Excelsior District to a terminal at Geneva avenue; from Seventeenth street and Market street in a southwesterly direction to, at or near Euraka street and Market street; thence in a southerly direction on and over Eureka street or other most feasible route to Twenty-third street at or near Eureka street; thence in an easetrly direction on and over Twenty-third street or other most feasible route to Diamond street; thence in a southerly direction on or over Diamond street or other most feasible route to Twenty-ninth street; an automobile bus service from the vicinity of Seventeenth street and Potrero avenue by the most feasible route to the vicinity of Twenty-third street and Wisconsin street, and for such other extensions and additions to the trackage and facilities of the said Municipal Railway system as may be deemed necessary, and to include equipment thereof, appurtenances thereto, and the purchase of necessary lands, and directing the Board of Public Works to procure and file plans and estimates of the cost thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby determined and specifically declared that the public interest and necessity demand the acquisition or construction of extensions to the existing Municipal Street Railway system, to-wit:

Extensions of the Municipal Street Railway tracks and service over and along public streets, rights of way and through a municipal tunnel as follows:

From the existing Municipal Railway track at Scott and Chestnut streets into the Marina Tract, west of Scott street and north of Chestnut street; from the Municipal Railway track at Masonic avenue and Turk street into the Richmond District, connecting with the Municipal Railway tracks running to the Ocean Beach; from the Municipal Railway tracks on Market street at Duboce avenue, through the Sunset-Duboce tunnel into the Sunset District and through the Sunset District to a terminal near the Ocean Beach, with connecting cross-town service west of Twentieth avenue between said extension and the existing Municipal Railway tracks on Taraval street; from the Municipal Railway tracks at Forty-sixth avenue and Taraval street, southerly to a terminal near Wawona street and Forty-seventh avenue; from the Municipal Railway tracks at Thirtieth and Church streets, southwesterly through the Bernal Cut, into the Excelsior District, at or near Excelsior street; thence southerly through the Excel-

sior District to a terminal at Geneva avenue; from Seventeenth street and Market street in a southwesterly direction to, at or near Eureka street and Market street; thence in a southerly direction on and over Eureka street or other most feasible route to Twenty-third street, at or near Eureka street; thence in an easterly direction on and over Twenty-third street or other most feasible route to Diamond street; thence in a southerly direction on or over Diamond street or other feasible route to Twenty-ninth street; an automobile bus service from the vicinity of Seventeenth street and Potrero avenue by the most feasible route to the vicinity of Twenty-third street and Wisconsin street; and for such other extensions and additions to the trackage and facilities of the said Municipal Railway system as may be deemed necessary, and to include equipment thereof, appurtenances thereto, and the purchase of necessary lands.

Section 2. The Board of Public Works is hereby directed to procure, through the City Engineer, and file with the Board of Supervisors, plans and estimates of cost of original consrtuction and completion of the extensions to the Municipal Street Railways as herein described.

Section 3. This ordinance shall be published for two weeks in the official newspaper and shall take effect immediately.

Motion.

Supervisor Roncovieri moved that the foregoing bill be laid over one week.

Motion *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Stanton—5.

Noes—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon—9.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Byington, Deasy, Todd—4.

Final Passage.

Whereupon, Bill No. 7935 was *finally passed* as Ordinance No. 7447 (New Series), as above, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton—14.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Byinton, Deasy, Todd—4.

Amending Zoning Ordinance, Ocean Avenue.

Bill No. 7933, Ordinance No. 7446 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings, and the locations of buildings designed for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Section 13 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place the northeasterly side of Ocean avenue, commencing at the southeasterly side of Fairfield way and running thence southeasterly to a point 171 feet southeasterly from the northeasterly line of Keystone way and extending to a depth of 100 feet, in the Commercial District instead of the First Residential District.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Byington, Gallagher—3.

PRESENTATION OF BILLS AND ACCOUNTS.

Your Finance Committee, having examined miscellaneous demands not required by law to be passed to print, and amounting to $47,754.61, recommends same be allowed and ordered paid.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden. Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Byington, Gallagher—3.

NEW BUSINESS.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Authorizations.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

Library Fund.

(1) American Building Maintenance Company, janitor service for

Public Library (claim dated Feb. 28, 1927), $700.

(2) Geo. C. Terrill, carpenter work for Public Libraries (claim dated Feb. 28, 1927), $1,013.50.

(3) Foster & Futernick, binding Public Library books (claim dated Feb. 28, 1927), $2,745.40.

(4) G. E. Stechert & Co., Public Library books (claim dated Feb. 28, 1927), $606.17.

(5) San Francisco News Co., Public Library books (claim dated Feb. 28, 1927), $1,820.73.

(6) Houghton, Mifflin Co., Public Library books (claim dated Feb. 28, 1927), $501.42.

County Road Fund.

(7) J. M. Ough, drilling test-holes for structures in connection with Bernal Cut (claim dated March 4, 1927), $1,228.25.

School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923.

(8) Alta Electric Company, third payment, electrical work, addition to Guadalupe School (claim dated March 8, 1927), $815.25.

(9) Anderson & Ringrose, second payment, general construction of Hearst-Moulder School (claim dated March 8, 1927), $10,040.48.

(10) S. W. Band, fourth payment, plumbing work, addition to Francis Scott Key School (claim dated March 8, 1927), $768.75.

(11) Burnham Plumbing Company, thirteenth payment, plumbing work for new Mission High School (claim dated March 8, 1927), $876.57.

(12) Crown Electric Company, fifteenth payment, electrical work, new Mission High School (claim dated March 9, 1927), $2,365.92.

(13) Heywood-Wakefield Co., first and final payment, installation of auditorium chairs, new Mission High School (claim dated March 8, 1927), $12,398.80.

(14) Latourette-Fical Co., plumbing and gas-fitting, Mission (Everett) Junior High School (claim dated March 8, 1927), $4,959.82.

(15) John Reid, Jr., final payment, architectural services for West Portal School (claim dated March 8, 1927), $1,227.72.

(16) Thos. R. Smith, third payment, plumbing work, annex to Guadalupe School (claim dated March 8, 1927), $1,541.26.

(17) D. N. & E. Walter & Co., first and final payment, door and window shades for Henry Durant School (claim dated March 9, 1927), $974.55.

(18) Anderson & Ringrose, extra work, general construction of Dudley Stone School (claim dated March 7, 1927), $800.

Relief Home Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923.

(19) M. E. Ryan, conditional acceptance payment, electrical work, new Relief Home (claim dated March 9, 1927), $11,655.65.

(20) W. & J. Sloane, first and final payment, window and door shades for new Relief Home (claim dated March 8, 1927), $2,021.

(21) F. W. Snook Co., conditional acceptance payment, mechanical equipment for new Relief Home (claim dated March 9, 1927), $34,830.58.

Special School Tax.

(22) Alta Electric Co., second payment, electrical work, addition to Commodore Sloat School (claim dated March 8, 1927), $1,032.53.

(23) O. Monson, tenth payment, general construction of additional units to Galileo High School (claim dated March 9, 1927), $20,426.25.

Hetch Hetchy Water Bonds, Issue 1925.

(24) Beronio Lumber Co., lumber, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated March 8, 1927), $624.38.

(25) Bethlehem Shipbuilding Corporation, cast steel wheels for auto truck and chain cases, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated March 8, 1927), $542.

(26) The Giant Powder Co., explosives, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated March 8, 1927), $5,261.47.

(27) Ingersoll-Rand Co. of California, machinery parts, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated March 8, 1927), $701.55.

(28) Safety Cable Co., copper wire, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated March 8, 1927), $506.50.

(29) United States Steel Products Co., jute cable, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated March 8, 1927), $693.29.

(30) Western Pipe & Steel Co., air pipe, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated March 8, 1927), $1,830.20.

Municipal Railway Accident Reserve Fund.

(31) Francisca S. Rivas, settlement in full for account of injuries sustained, Superior Court Action No. 170006 (claim dated March 9, 1927), $1,500.

General Fund, 1926-1927.

(32) Associated Charities, widows' pensions (claim dated March 11, 1927), $8,308.08.

(33) Eureka Benevolent Society, widows' pensions (claim dated March 11, 1927), $892.24.

(34) Little Children's Aid, wid-

ows' pensions (claim dated March 11, 1927), $8,745.

(35) St. Vincent's School, maintenance of minors (claim dated March 9, 1927), $1,977.34.

(36) Boys' Aid Society, maintenance of minors (claim dated March 9, 1927), $1,386.43.

(37) Albertinum Orphanage, maintenance of minors (claim dated March 9, 1927), $1,644.70.

(38) San Francisco Protestant Orphanage, maintenance of minors (claim dated March 9, 1927), $991.82.

(39) San Francisco Nursery for Homeless Children, maintenance of minors (claim dated March 9, 1927), $627.35.

(40) Roman Catholic Orphanage, maintenance of minors (claim dated March 9, 1927), $4,135.

(41) St Catherine's Training Home, maintenance of minors (claim dated March 9, 1927), $486.30.

(42) Little Children's Aid, maintenance of minors (claim dated March 9, 1927), $12,073.03.

(43) Eureka Benevolent Society, maintenance of minors (claim dated March 9, 1927), $4,423.

(44) Children's Agency, maintenance of minors (claim dated March 9, 1927), $29,518.51.

(45) Preston School of Industry, maintenance of minors (claim dated March 9, 1927), $683.88.

(46) Ventura School for Girls, maintenance of minors (claim dated March 9, 1927), $513.56.

(47) San Francisco Bulletin, official advertising (claim dated March 14, 1927), $1,483.20.

(48) Eaton & Smith, second payment, improvement of Sutro Monument (claim dated March 9, 1927), $1,950.

(49) Pacific Portland Cement Co., Con., cement for street repair (claim dated March 9, 1927), $2,-241.69.

(50) Spring Valley Water Co., water furnished public buildings (claim dated March 9, 1927), $1,-605.78.

(51) Louis J. Cohn, improvement of Forty-third avenue, Taraval to Santiago streets (claim dated March 9, 1927), $1,700.

(52) Eaton & Smith, improvement of Thirtieth street from Noe street westerly (claim dated March 9, 1927), $1,432.

(53) Eaton & Smith, improvement of Saturn street between Ord street and Lower Terrace (claim dated March 9, 1927), $855.61.

(54) Charles L. Harney, improvement of Twenty-fifth avenue between Moraga and Noriega streets, etc. (claim dated March 9, 1927), $2,287.

(55) Charles L. Harney, improvement of Judson avenue between Foerster and Edna streets (claim dated March 9, 1927), $2,719.93.

(56) Municipal Construction Co., improvement of Bay View avenue between Flora and Newhall streets (claim dated March 9, 1927), $2,-983.78.

(57) Union Paving Co., reconstruction of Judah street between Thirty-seventh and Thirty-eighth avenues (claim dated March 9, 1927), $1,025.89.

(58) Union Paving Co., improvement of San Jose avenue from Sickles avenue to County line (claim dated March 9, 1927), $736.99.

(59) James T. Tobin, improvement of corners and sidewalks, Avalon, Brazil, Russia avenues, etc. (claim dated March 9, 1927) $984.64.

(60) S. S. White Dental Mfg. Co., dental equipment, Department Public Health (claim dated March 5, 1927), $963.30.

(61) Morris & Company, butter and cheese for Relief Home (claim dated Jan. 31, 1927), $1,074.54.

(62) Equitable Asphalt Maintenance Co., street resurfacing (claim dated March 4, 1927), $1,376.32.

(63) Symon Brothers, teams furnished for street cleaning (claim dated March 4, 1927), $609.50.

Appropriation, $126,118.46, Payment to Alice Williams for Land Required for School Purposes.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $126,-118.46 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923, and authorized in payment to Alice Williams (formerly Alice O'Day); being payment for four parcels of land commencing at the intersection of the southerly line of Anza street and the westerly line of Thirty-first avenue and vicinity; being portions of Outside Land Blocks Nos. 313 and 314, and as per acceptance of offers by Resolution No. 26927 (New Series).

Appropriations, Payments to Salvatore Zanca and Others for Land Required for School Purposes.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized in payment out of School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923, to the hereinafter named persons; being payments for lands and improvements required for school purposes, to-wit:

(1) To Salvatore Zanca, for land commencing at point formed by intersection of easterly line of Banks street with southerly line of Tompkins street; running thence southerly on easterly line of Banks street 25 feet; thence easterly 70 feet; thence northerly 25 feet; thence westerly 70 feet; per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 26926 (New Series), $1,000.

(2) To John Kearney, for land situate on the southerly line of Quintara street, commencing 90 feet westerly from the westerly line of Twenty-third avenue; running thence westerly on the southerly line of Quintara street 30 feet; thence southerly 100 feet; thence easterly 30 feet; thence northerly 100 feet; per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 26928 (New Series), $1,400.

(3) To Joseph H. Quadt, for land and improvements on the southerly line of Nineteenth street, commencing 34 feet easterly from the easterly line of Diamond street; running thence easterly on Nineteenth street 33 feet; thence southerly 100 feet; thence westerly 33 feet; thence northerly 100 feet; per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 26930 (New Series), $5,900.

Appropriation, $2,000, for Construction of San Francisco Exhibit, Transcontinental Highway Exposition, June 28 to July 31, 1927.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $2,000 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of Publicity and Advertising—Appropriation 55, and authorized in payment to Rudolph Theurkauf and William A. Theurkauf; being second payment as per agreement entered into by the City and County with said Rudolph Theurkauf and William A. Theurkauf for the construction of exhibit for the publicity and advertising of San Francisco at the Transcontinental Highway Exposition to be held at the City of Reno, State of Nevada, from June 28 to July 31, 1927.

Appropriation, $10,000, for Instruments, Superintendence and Obtaining of Meterological Data Required for Airport Sites.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $10,000 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of "Municipal Airport," Budget Item No. 60, for the securing of necessary instruments, providing the necessary superintendence and obtaining the meteorological data required in connection with various projected airport sites in San Mateo County.

Authorizing Plans for Lighting Equipment and Appurtenances for Municipal Airport, San Mateo County.

Also, Bill No. 7936, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Authorizing the preparation of plans and specifications for lighting equipment and appurtenances for the Municipal Airport in San Mateo County, and ordering the lighting equipment and appurtenances for the Municipal Airport in San Mateo County in accordance with the plans and specifications prepared therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized, instructed and empowered to prepare plans and specifications for lighting equipment and appurtenances for the Municipal Airport in San Mateo County, and to enter into contract for lighting equipment and appurtenances for the Municipal Airport in San Mateo County in accordance with the plans and specifications prepared therefor.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Authorizing Plans, Etc., for Water Supply, Draining and Marking Municipal Airport in San Mateo County.

Also, Bill No. 7937, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Authorizing the preparation of plans and specifications for the water supply, draining and marking for the Municipal Airport, San Mateo County, and ordering construction for water supply, draining and marking for the Municipal Airport, San Mateo County, in accordance with plans and specifications prepared therefor, and authorizing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for said construction for water supply, draining and marking for the said Municipal Airport, San Mateo County.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized, instructed and empowered to prepare plans and specifications for construction for water supply, draining and marking for the Municipal Airport, San Mateo County, and to enter into contract for the construction for water supply, draining and marking for the Municipal Airport, San Mateo County, in accordance with plans and specifications prepared therefor.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Authorizing Plans, Etc., for Station Building and Equipment, Municipal Airport, San Mateo County.

Also, Bill No. 7938, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Authorizing the preparation of plans and specifications for station building and equipment in connection with the Municipal Airport in San Mateo County, and ordering the construction of station building and equipment in connection with the Municipal Airport in San Mateo County, in accordance with said plans and specifications prepared therefor, and directing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for said construction.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized, instructed and empowered to prepare plans and specifications for station building and equipment in connection with the Municipal Airport in San Mateo County, and to enter into contract for said construction of station building and equipment in connection with Municipal Airport in San Mateo County, in accordance with plans and specifications prepared therefor.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Authorizing Plans, Etc., Shop and Hangar Equipment, Municipal Airport, San Mateo County.

Also, Bill No. 7939, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Authorizing the preparation of plans and specifications for shop and hangar equipment in connection with the Municipal Airport in San Mateo County, and ordering the construction of shop and hangar equipment in connection with the Municipal Airport in San Mateo County, in accordance with plans and specifications prepared therefor, and directing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for said construction.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized, instructed and empowered to prepare plans and specifications for shop and hangar equipment in connection with the Municipal Airport in San Mateo County, and to enter into contract for said construction of shop and hangar equipment in connection with the Municipal Airport in San Mateo County, in accordance with the plans and specifications prepared therefor.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Authorizing Plans, Etc., Hangars and Approaches, Municipal Airport, San Mateo County.

Also, Bill No. 7940, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Authorizing the preparation of plans and specifications for the construction of hangars and approaches for the Municipal Airport in San Mateo County, and ordering the construction of hangars and approaches for the Municipal Airport in San Mateo County, in accordance with said plans and specifications prepared therefor, and directing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for said construction.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized, instructed and empowered to prepare plans and specifications for the construction of hangars and approaches for the Municipal Airport in San Mateo County, and to enter into contract for the construction of hangars and approaches for the Municipal Airport in San Mateo County, in accordance with said plans and specifications prepared therefor.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

City Attorney to Compromise for $1,125 Claim of Edythe Ames.

Supervisor McSheehy presented: Resolution No. 26964 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the City Attorney be and he is hereby authorized to compromise and settle for the sum of eleven hundred and twenty-five ($1125) dollars that certain action entitled "Edythe Ames vs. City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation," numbered 168386 in the records of the Superior Court of the State of California, in and for the City and County of San Francisco.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Byington, Gallagher—3.

City Attorney to Compromise for $700 Claim of Lois Williams, a Minor, by C. M. Williams.

Supervisor McSheehy presented: Resolution No. 26965 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the City Attorney be and he is hereby authorized to compromise and settle for the sum of seven hundred ($700) dollars, that certain action entitled "Lois Williams, a minor, by C. H. Williams vs. City and County of San Francisco," numbered 168336 on the records of the Superior Court of the State of California, in and for the City and County of San Francisco.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Byington, Gallagher—3.

City Attorney to Compromise Claim of Phyllis Williams.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 26966 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the City Attorney be and he is hereby authorized to compromise and settle for the sum of fifty ($50) dollars, that certain action entitled "Phyllis Williams vs. City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation," numbered 168385 in the records of the Superior Court of the State of California, in and for the City and County of San Francisco.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Byington, Gallagher—3.

Authorization, $400.90, for Band Services at Mission Park, Sesquicentennial Celebration.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 26967 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $440.90 be and the same is hereby authorized in payment out of "Maintenance Municipal Band," Budget Item No. 30, to Philip H. Sapiro for services of band at Mission Park, October 10, 1926, in connection with the Sesquicentennial celebration.

Amendment.

Supervisor Roncovieri moved as an amendment that appropriation be made out of Budget Item for Publicity and Advertising.

So ordered.

Adopted.

Whereupon, the foregoing resolution, as amended, was *adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Byington, Gallagher—3.

Action Deferred.

The following resolutions were, on motion, *laid over one week*:

Transfer of $42,508.21 From Twin Peaks Tunnel Assessment Fund to Municipal Railway Fund for Money Advanced for Construction of Tunnel.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $42,508.21 be and is hereby ordered transferred from the Twin Peaks Ridge Tunnel Assessment Fund to the Municipal Railway Fund and the Board of Public Works, Tax Collector, Auditor and Treasurer are hereby directed to make such entries in their official records and books of account as will correctly show such transfer; said transfer is for the purpose fo reimbursing said Municipal Railway Fund for moneys advanced therefrom and used in the construction of the Twin Peaks Tunnel project.

Resolution of Policy Respecting Commercial Zone on Ocean Avenue.

Supervisor Hayden presented:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, applications have been made for a change of zone along Ocean avenue between Fairfield way and Westgate drive, and between Cerritos avenue and Victoria street from a Residential to Commercial District, and after hearings thereon by the City Planning Commission and City Planning Committee, it was deemed to the public interest that said applications be denied, except as to that portion of the northeasterly side of Ocean avenue between Fairfield way and a point 171 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of Keystone way, which change from Residential to Commercial District has been approved by this Board; therefore,

Resolved, That all pending applications for changes of zone be denied and this Board declares that no further changes should be made along Ocean avenue in this vicinity.

Passed for Printing.

The following resolution was *passed for printing*:

Oil Permits.

On motion of Supervisor Deasy:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits be and the same are hereby granted:

Oil Tanks.

O. E. Carlson, west side of Guerrero street, 30 feet north of Nineteenth street, 1500 gallons capacity.

W. Hoffman, east side of Scott street, 110 feet south of Golden Gate avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

W. Hoffman, east side of Scott street, 140 feet south of Golden Gate avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

Edward Jose, south side of Clay street, 90 feet east of Baker street, 1500 gallons capacity.

W. Klahn, west side of Eighth avenue, 50 feet north of Irving street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Leighton Cafeterias, Inc., 1080 Market street, 600 gallons capacity.

John Little & Son, east side of Buchanan street, 150 feet north of Duboce avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

John Little & Son, east side of Buchanan street, 175 feet north of Duboce avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

MacDonald & Kahn, west side of San Bruno avenue, 180 feet north of Bacon street, 1500 gallons capacity.

R. Monson, south side of Lincoln way, 25 feet east of Fifteenth avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

Murphy & Seitz, northeast corner of Frederick and Willard streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

Murphy & Seitz, north side of Frederick street, 70 feet east of Willard street, 1500 gallons capacity.

J. Prout, east side of San Buena Ventura way, 180 feet south of St. Francis boulevard, 1500 gallons capacity.

Rotair Burner Corporation, 4301 Geary street, 600 gallons capacity.

E. Sugarman, 713 Fourteenth avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits shall become null and void.

Rescinding Set-back Lines, Chestnut Street Between Divisadero and Scott Streets.

Supervisor Hayden presented:

Resolution No. 26968 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That so much of Resolution No. 22544 (New Series), being Resolution of Intention No. 44, as declares it to be the intention to establish set-back lines along the southerly side of Chestnut street between Divisadero and Scott streets be and the same is hereby rescinded.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Byington, Gallagher—3.

Street Lights.

Supervisor Stanton presented:

Resolution No. 26969 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Pacific Gas and Electric Company be and it is hereby authorized and requested to remove and install the following street lights:

Remove Single Top Gas.

West side Sixth street, 110 feet south of Folsom street.

Southeast corner Sixth and Shipley streets.

West side Sixth street, 320 feet south of Folsom street.

East side Sixth street, 440 feet south of Folsom street.

Northwest corner Harrison and Sixth streets.

Northeast corner Harrison and Sixth streets.

Install 600 *C. P. O. B.*

East side Sixth street, first south of Folsom street.

West side Sixth street, opposite Shipley street.

East side Sixth street, south of Shipley street.

West side Sixth street, opposite Clara street.

East side Sixth street, south of Clara street.

Northwest corner Sixth and Harrison streets.

Remove Gas.

Northwest and southeast corners Lily and Laguna streets.

Northwest and southeast corners Page and Leguna streets.

North side Page, first west of Laguna street.

East side Page, first and second west of Laguna street.

Northeast and southwest corners Page and Buchanan streets.

Install 400 *M. R.*

Page and Buchanan streets.

Lily and Laguna streets.

Laguna and Page streets.

North side Page street, between Laguna and Buchanan streets, near "Emanuel Sisterhood."

South side Page street, first west of Laguna street.

Northeast corner McAllister and Stanyan streets.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Byington, Gallagher—3.

Rereferred.

The following matter, laid over from last meeting, was taken up and *rereferred to the Public Utilities Committee*:

Condemnation of Land for Carbarns.

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, That public interest and necessity require the acquisition by the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, of the following property situated in the City and County of San Francisco, and more particularly described as follows, to-wit:

Commencing at the intersection of the northerly line of Geary street with the westerly line of Josephine street, and running thence westerly along said northerly line 115 feet; thence at right angles northerly 151 feet 3 inches; thence at right angles easterly 115 feet to the westerly line of Josephine street; thence southerly along said westerly line 151 feet 3 inches to the northerly line of Geary street and the point of commencement. Being a portion of Western Addition Block No. 637. Be it

Further Resolved, That said property is suitable, adaptable, necessary and required for the public use of said City and County of San Francisco, to-wit: For Municipal Railway purposes and for the erection of buildings for housing of auto busses and street cars. It is necessary that a fee simple title be taken for such use. The City Attorney is hereby ordered and directed to commence proceedings in eminent domain against the owners of said tract of land and of any and all interests therein or claims thereto for the condemnation thereof for the public use of said City and County of San Francisco as aforesaid.

Awarding Contract, Official Advertising.

Supervisor Kent presented:

Resolution No. 26970 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the contract for doing official advertising for the City and County of San Francisco for one year from April 1, 1927, to and including April 1, 1928, in a daily newspaper in the City and County of San Francisco, which has a bona fide daily circulation of at least 8000 copies and has been in existence at the time of letting such contract for at least two years, and to deliver daily to the office of the Board of Supervisors and to any other office or department of the City and County authorized to advertise, as many copies of the "official newspaper", not to exceed one hundred and fifty, as may be directed by the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, and must also deliver as directed by said Clerk at least ten and not to exceed one hundred and twenty-five copies of slips of all orders, ordinances, resolutions or notices published by order of the Board of Supervisors, or by any other department or officer of the municipal government authorized or permitted to advertise in said "official newspaper", also deliver at least one hundred copies of all resolutions, orders, ordinances or notices published by order of any of the officers or departments of the City and County (other than the Board of Supervisors) to such office or department causing said publication, in strict accordance with the specifications and the advertisement inviting proposals thereon is hereby awarded to The San Francisco Bulletin, the lowest responsible bidder, to be published in The San Francisco Bulletin, which is hereby designated as the "official newspaper" at the price bid therefor, viz.:

For each insertion in six-point type, per one column line, six (6) cents per line; provided the sureties on its bond, which is hereby fixed at ten thousand (10,000) dollars, are satisfactory to his Honor the Mayor, who is hereby authorized to enter into said contract.

The San Francisco Bulletin newspaper is hereby declared and designated to be the "official newspaper" of the City and County for one year, from April 1, 1927, to and including April 1, 1928.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Byington, Gallagher—3.

Award of Contract, Publishing Delinquent Tax List.

Supervisor Kent presented:

Resolution No. 26971 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the contract for publishing the delinquent tax list, index of delinquent real estate, taxpayers and sales list and other matters incidental thereto for the Fiscal Year 1926-27, is hereby awarded to Twin Peaks Sentinel at the price bid therefor, viz.:

Four and nineteen-twentieths (4 19/20) cents per line (the same being the lowest bid submitted) in accordance with the specifications therefor and according to law, and the Mayor is hereby authorized to enter into such contract upon the filing of a good and sufficient bond in the sum of five thousand (5000) dollars, conditioned upon the faithful performance of such contract.

All other bids are hereby rejected.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Byington, Gallagher—3.

Fixing Legal Rates for Public Advertising.

Supervisor Kent presented:

Resolution No. 26972 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors be and he is hereby authorized and directed to collect the following rates for advertising for publications made in the official newspaper from April 1, 1927, to April 1, 1928, to-wit:

For proposal notices inviting bids, resolutions of award of contract, bills and ordinances granting franchises, ten (10) cents per line.

For resolutions granting extensions of time to complete contract, the sum of two dollars and fifty cents shall be paid to cover the cost of advertising.

For resolutions granting permits for blasting, dyeing and cleaning works, engines and boilers, garages, automobile supply stations, parking stations, furnaces, hospitals, gas works, laundries, medical colleges, oil storage, planing mills and woodworking establishments, stables and undertaking establishments, or for masked balls when the license fee is remitted, or for amateur wrestling matches when no license therefor is imposed, or for any other permit which requires license fee in connection therewith, the sum of five dollars shall be paid to cover the cost of advertising.

Resolved, That all sums of money so collected shall be immediately paid into the Treasury by said Clerk, as provided by Chapter III, Article III of the Charter, and the Treasurer of this City and County is hereby directed to issue to the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors his receipt for the money so collected and paid to said Treasurer.

Resolved, That the above rates of advertising so far as they are applicable shall be collected by all officers, offices and departments of this City and County.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Byington, Gallagher—3.

Award of Contract, Paints, Glass, Etc.

Supervisor Badaracco presented:

Resolution No. 26973 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of contract for furnishing paints, painters' supplies and glass that may be ordered from time to time commencing January 1 and ending June 30, 1927, be made pursuant to readvertisement on bids submitted February 7, 1927 (Proposal No. 261) and tabulation thereof on file in the Bureau of Supplies which is hereby made a part hereof; said matter having heretofore received full consideration in an open public meeting of the Supplies Committee and the awards herein approved by it are now made to the following, viz.:

1. Pacific Paint and Varnish Company, no bond required.
2. Bass-Hueter Paint Company, no bond required.
3. Dan P. Maher Paint Company, bond required, $500.
4. W. P. Fuller Co., bond required, $500.
5. Yates & Co., no bond required.
6. The Glidden Co. of California, bond required, $200.
7. National Lead Company of California, bond required, $500.

Note: All above awards are made to the lowest bidder except when award is made in consideration of deliveries or on account of the quality as determined by such tests as required or recommended by the Purchaser of Supplies.

Resolved, That all other bids submitted thereon be rejected.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Byington, Gallagher—3.

Approving Bond of R. Mohr, Jr., to Secure Payment of Taxes, Homestead Manor.

Supervisor Marks presented:

Resolution No. 26974 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the bond filed with this Board by Rudolph Mohr, Jr., and Howard E. Mohr, as principals, and R. Mohr and A. J. Kronguist, as sureties, in the sum of five hundred dollars ($500), which sum is hereby fixed by this Board and conditioned for the payment of all taxes which are now a lien but not yet payable against the property as shown on map of Homestead Manor, San Francisco, California, Resubdivision of Block 10, West End Map No. 2, is hereby approved.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Byington, Gallagher—3.

Approving Bond of American Trust Company to Cover Payment of Taxes, Miraloma Park.

Supervisor Marks presented:

Resolution No. 26975 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the bond filed with this Board by American Trust Company, a California corporation, as principal, and Theodore G. Meyer and G. H. Winter, as sureties, in the sum of two thousand dollars ($2,000), which sum is hereby fixed by this Board and conditioned for the payment of all taxes which are now a lien but not yet payable against the property as shown on map entitled "Subdivision No. 3, Miraloma Park, San Francisco, California," is hereby approved.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Byington, Gallagher—3.

Order of Proposed Bond Issues.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

The following order for the pending bond elections is recommended by the undersigned members of the Board of Supervisors:

1. The bond election for the purchase of the Spring Valley Water Company to take precedence over every other proposal, as its size and importance deserve. The Spring Valley bond election should be held at the earliest possible date, preferably early in May, and no other proposition of any kind should be put upon the ballot for that date.

2. Other bond proposals and propositions, including the War Memorial, Municipal Railway Extension, Bernal Cut and any other necessary bonding projects and referendum proposals should be submitted to the voters jointly at a second election, to be held two weeks after the Spring Valley Water bond election.

3. Bonds for the completion of the Hetch Hetchy transmission system should be submitted later in the year.

Underlying this recommendation is our firm belief that no other improvement now contemplated by the City exceeds or approaches the Spring Valley purchase in importance. This rightly comes first of all projects for the upbuilding of San Francisco and the advancement of successful public ownership. With the passage of Charter Amendment No. 29, exempting water bonds from the bonding limit, the last financial obstacle was removed. Delay will only result in other obstacles being raised. The time for successful action on this long-pending and important issue is now. The tax rate will not be affected as the water system is self-supporting.

The price of Spring Valley today, $38,000,000, is the best price that will ever be obtained by the City. Profits now being earned by this water corporation exceed $2,000,000 a year. These profits, if received by the public treasury, would enable new mains and extensions to be laid all through the City at a rapid rate, without burdening the taxpayer. We call attention to the fact that the Spring Valley corporation at this time has pending before the Railroad Commission an application to expend $2,000,000 in needed extensions. If this be granted, the said $2,000,000 will immediately be added to the purchase price, and the people will buy them

back at interest in the end. It is better to buy now and make the extensions out of what otherwise would be private profits.

Delay in this matter can only have the following effects: To increase the purchase price by $2,000,000 immediately and additionally year by year; to hamper and delay extensions into outlying districts and replacement of mains throughout the City; to lose to the public the profits now being earned by private owners; to postpone the time when water rates can be reduced; and, in time, to forfeit the valuable option which the City holds upon these properties. These costly results can be avoided by buying these properties immediately under the option which now exists.

In eighteen months' time the voters will have the opportunity to establish a Public Utilities Commission to operate this and other publicly-owned utilities. While such a commission should be established as soon as possible, this cannot be an argument for delay in the purchase of Spring Valley; for the actual operation of a going water system is not a complicated task, and its problems are well understood by the City Engineer.

Accordingly we urge this Board to schedule the Spring Valley bond election alone for a date as early as possible in May, and the other bonding and referendum propositions for a joint election on a date two weeks thereafter.

(Signed)

JAS. B. McSHEEHY.
FRANCK R. HAVENNER.
MILO F. KENT.
J. B. BADARACCO.

Motion.

Supervisor Harrelson moved that the foregoing be considered concurrently with his ordinance on purchase of Spring Valley Water Company.

So ordered.

Action Deferred.

Supervisor Shannon moved as an amendment that the report be laid over one week.

So ordered.

RECESS.

Whereupon, the Board at 12:15 p. m. took a recess until 2:30 p. m.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk.

REASSEMBLED—2:30 P. M.

The Board of Supervisors reassembled at 2:30 p. m.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Byington—2.

Quorum present.

His Honor Mayor Rolph being absent, Supervisor McSheehy was elected to preside.

Declaratory Ordinance, Acquisition of Water Supply.

Supervisor Harrelson presented: Bill No. 7941, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Determining and declaring that public interest and necessity demand the acquisition, construction and completion of a public utility, to-wit: A water supply and works, water rights, to be owned and controlled by the City and County of San Francisco and directing the Board of Public Works to procure from the City Engineer and to place on file with the Board of Supervisors plans and estimates of the cost of original construction, completion and acquisition by the City and County of San Francisco of the Spring Valley Water Company, its water supply, water works, pumping plants, lands, rights of way, storage reservoirs and distributing system or such portion thereof as may be deemed necessary.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby determined and specifically declared that the public interest and necessity demand the acquisition of all of the property or such portion thereof as may be deemed necessary, water rights, rights of way, storage reservoirs, water works, water supply, pumping plants, lands within and without the confines of the City and County of San Francisco that shall be deemed necessary, and distributing system of the Spring Valley Water Company, a public utility, now distributing water within the City and County of San Francisco, used or useful in supplying water to the City and County of San Francisco and to its inhabitants and continuing the water supply system of the said Spring Valley Water Company as an existing public utility.

Section 2. The Board of Public

Works is hereby directed to procure through the City Engineer and file with the Board of Supervisors plans and estimates of the cost of acquisition, original construction and completion by said City and County of the public utility herein named, which is designated as the Spring Valley Water Company and as having available a supply of good pure water for the uses of the inhabitants of the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 3. This ordinance shall be published for a period of two weeks in the official newspaper and take effect immediately.

Privilege of the Floor.

E. P. E. Troy was granted the privilege of the floor and addressed the Board in opposition to the passage of the bill.

Passed for Printing.

Whereupon, the roll was called and the bill was *passed for printing* by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Todd—14.

Noes—Supervisors Shannon, Stanton—2.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Byington—2.

Explanation of Vote.

Supervisor Shannon explained his vote by saying: "I want the same explanation made on this that I made on the other one, that until we have an opportunity to have a definite understanding as to methods of procedure and the order in which this will be submitted to the voters, unless the members of the Board are of a mind not to, I am going to vote *no* on this on passage to print."

Municipal Railways Bond Issue Submission Paramount.

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That it be the declared policy of this Board that no other proposed bond issue other than a public improvement be given preference in its submission to the people over that for the extensions of the Municipal Railway, which is considered to be of paramount importance at this time.

Motion.

Supervisor Shannon moved the suspension of the rules and the adoption of the foregoing resolution.

Motion *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Deasy, Gallagher, Marks, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—7.

Noes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri—10.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Referred.

Whereupon, the foregoing resolution was ordered *referred to the Public Utilities Committee.*

Declaratory Ordinance, War Memorial.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Bill No. 7942, Ordinance No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Determining and declaring that public interest and necessity demand the acquisition, construction and equipment of permanent public buildings in or adjacent to the Civic Center of the City and County of San Francisco to be used as a Memorial Hall for war veterans for educational, recreational, entertainment and other municipal purposes, and directing the Board of Public Works to procure through the City Engineer and to place on file with the Board of Supervisors, plans and estimates of the cost thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby determined and specifically declared that the public interest and necessity demand the acquisition and construction, completion and equipment of permanent buildings in or adjacent to the Civic Center in the City and County of San Francisco to be used as a Memorial Hall for war veterans and for educational, recreational, entertainment and other municipal purposes and the purchase of all equipment and furnishings necessary for said buildings.

Section 2. The Board of Public Works is hereby directed to procure, through the City Engineer, and file with the Board of Supervisors, plans and estimates of cost of the original construction, completion and equipment of the public buildings described in Section 1 of this ordinance.

Section 3. This ordinance shall be published for two weeks in the official newspaper and shall take effect immediately.

Passed for printing by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—16.

No—Supervisor Shannon—1.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Explanation of Vote.

Supervisor Shannon explained his vote by saying: "I want the same explanation made on this that I made on the other one, that until we have an opportunity to have a definite understanding as to methods of procedure and the order in which this will be submitted to the voters, unless the members of the Board are of a mind not to, I am going to vote *no* on this on passage to print."

Supervisor Todd, who appeared at the meeting later in the day, declared that he wanted it noted in the record that if he were here he would have vote in favor of the declaratory ordinances for the proposed bond issues.

SPECIAL ORDER—2 P. M.

Hearing of Appeal, Douglass Street.

Appeal of property owners from the assessment issued for the improvement of Douglass street from the southerly line of Twenty-fifth street to the southerly line of Twenty-sixth street, including the easterly and the westerly intersections of Clipper street with Douglass street, and the crossing of Twenty-sixth street and Douglass street; and the improvement of Twenty-sixth street between Diamond and Douglass streets, by grading to official line and grade; by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks of the full official width on the angular corners thereof; by the construction of the necessary catch-basins with accompanying 10-inch ironstone pipe culverts; by the construction of 8-inch and 15-inch ironstone pipe sewers with accompanying Y branches on the 15-inch sewer, and the necessary manholes as may be required; by the construction of a concrete pavement on Douglass street from the southerly line of Twenty-fifth street to a line at right angles with the easterly line of Douglass street at its intersection with the northerly line of Clipper street; by the construction of a concrete pavement on Twenty-sixth street from the westerly line of Diamond street to a line parallel with and 280 feet westerly therefrom, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement on the remainder of the roadways thereof.

Motion.

Supervisor Schmidt moved that the appeal be sustained and that the Board of Public Works prepare a new assessment.

Supervisor Todd, seconded by Supervisor Powers, moved as an amendment that an adjustment of 25 per cent in favor of the property owners be made.

Action Deferred.

Whereupon, the foregoing matter was, on motion, *laid over two weeks and Clerk instructed to have City Engineer check over each parcel of property.*

SPECIAL ORDER—4 P. M.

Sale of Permit to Operate Garbage Incinerator.

The following matter. laid over from last meeting, was taken up:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the garbage incinerator located at Eighth and De Haro streets and owned by the City and County of San Francisco has been operated by the Scavengers Protective Union from November 14, 1918, to date, on a revocable day to day agreement, without said City and County deriving any revenue therefrom; and

Whereas, the City and County of San Francisco has had an investment of $400,000 in this incinerator and no revenues have been received, and reports show that during the last two and two-thirds months the Scavengers Protective Union has received $39,401.81, with an expenditure of $16,296.81, showing a profit of $23,105; and

Whereas, an offer has been made by the City Garbage Removal Company, Inc., to take over the operation of said incienrator and pay the City and County a daily rental of $137 for this privilege, said daily rental being based on an annual rental of $50,000; and

Whereas, the Finance and Health Committees of the Board of Supervisors, sitting in joint session Thursday, January 27, 1927, by unanimous consent, recommends to said Board of Supervisors that pending definite arrangements, etc., for the disposal of garbage by other means, for which plans are under way, it is deemed reasonable that the City and County of San Francisco should receive whatever revenue it is possible to secure from the operation of the said incinerator until such time as it is abandoned; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco will give a revocable, day to day permit to occupy the above mentioned incinerator and to dispose of the garbage of the City therein, to the person, firm or corporation offering the highest bid for said privilege, at the open ses-

sion of said Board to be held on Monday, March 28, 1927, commencing at 10 o'clock a. m. The person, firm or corporation to whom said privilege is granted must within three days after the granting of same enter into a written contract with the City and County to carry out the terms of said permit, and file with this Board a bond in the sum of $10,000 for the faithful performance of said contract.

Motion to Postpone Lost.

Supervisor Shannon moved that the foregoing resolution be laid over and made a Special Order of Business for 3 p. m. next Monday, and that a further report on the matter be obtained from the City Engineer in the meantime.

Ayes—Supervisor Hayden, Shannon—2.

Noes—Supervisors Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—10.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson—6.

Motion.

Supervisor Schmidt moved that it be provided that the successful bidder shall not charge more than one dollar per ton for the disposal of garbage.

Motion *carried.*

Supervisor Roncovieri moved that it also be provided that there be no increased charge to the property owner.

Supervisor Gallagher stated that rates charged to householders are fixed by ordinance.

Whereupon, on motion duly made and carried, the Clerk was authorized and directed to advertise for bids pursuant to the foregoing resolution, amended and *adopted* as follows:

Resolution No. 26979 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the garbage incinerator located at Eighth and De Haro streets and owned by the City and County of San Francisco has been operated by the Scavengers' Protective Union from November 14, 1918, to date, on a revocable day to day agreement, without said City and County deriving any revenue therefrom; and

Whereas, the City and County of San Francisco has had an investment of $400,000 in this incinerator and no revenues have been received and reports show that during the last two and two-thirds months the Scavengers' Protective Union have received $39,401.81, with an expenditure of $23,105.10, showing a profit of $16,296.81; and

Whereas, an offer has been made by the City Garbage Removal Company, Inc., to take over the operation of said incinerator and pay the City and County a daily rental of $137 for this privilege, the daily rental being based on an annual rental of $50,000; and

Whereas, the Finance and Health Committees of the Board of Supervisors, sitting in joint session, Thursday, January 27, 1927, by unanimous consent, recommends to said Board of Supervisors that pending definite arrangements, etc., for the disposal of garbage by other means, for which plans are under way, it is deemed reasonable that the City and County of San Francisco should receive whatever revenue it is possible to secure from the operation of the said incinerator until such time as it is abandoned; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco will give a revocable, day to day permit to occupy the above mentioned incinerator and to dispose of the garbage of the City therein, to the person, firm or corporation offering the highest bid for said privilege, at the open session of said Board, to be held on Monday, March 28, 1927, commencing at ten o'clock a. m. The person, firm or corporation to whom said privilege is granted must within three days after the granting of same enter into a written contract with the City and County to carry out the terms of said permit, and file with this Board a bond in the sum of $10,000 for the faithful performance of said contract.

Ayes—Supervisors Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—11.

No—Supervisor Hayden—1.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson—6.

Balboa Street Extension, Municipal Railways.

A large delegation from the Park-Presidio District appeared and voiced their protests against locating the line into the Park-Presidio District on Cabrillo street. They were very emphatic in their request that it be located on Balboa street.

Supervisor Marks moved the privilege of the floor to those who wished to address the Board on the proposed Balboa street extension of the Municipal Railways.

Those who spoke on behalf of Balboa street were R. H. Norton for the Geary Street Merchants' Association; Sol Peiser, president of the Park-Presidio Improvement Association; Mr. Drew and Col. P. T. Robinson, president of the Point Lobos Improvement Club.

Chief Engineer O'Shaughnessy said that the route into the Park-Presidio District has not definitely been determined as yet. That Balboa street is his first choice and if the property owners will meet the City fairly, the line will probably be built on Balboa street.

The present grade on Balboa street between Twenty-second and Twenty-fourth avenues is too steep for a car line, but it is possible a bridge could be constructed or some grading done that would overcome that difficulty. The cost of a line on Balboa or Cabrillo would be approximately the same. A Cabrillo street line would probably bring in more money but Balboa would give more service.

When the representatives of the Park-Presidio District were assured that the declaratory ordinance does not select a definite route and that they would have an opportunity to be heard before it is selected, they no longer protested against the final passage of the ordinance at this time.

The suggestion was made by Mr. Drew that it would provide a splendid outlet for the Park-Presidio District to extend the line on Turk street east of Masonic avenue and on down to the ferry.

Chief Engineer O'Shaughnessy called his attention to the fact that the Market Street Railway Company has a line on Turk street and would not permit such an extension. Mr. Drew then contended that inasmuch as the franchise for the Turk street line called for a double track and the company only built a single track, they had forfeited that franchise.

On the suggestion of Supervisor Shannon the Turk street extension was not included in the declaratory ordinance because even though it might be true that the Turk street franchise has been forfeited, it would invoke a lot of litigation and he thought the present bond issue should be absolutely free from any difficulties of that kind.

The declaratory ordinance was finally passed without any changes.

On motion of Supervisor Todd, the Clerk was instructed to ask the City Attorney to render an opinion to the Board "as to the legality of the franchise of the Market Street Railway on Turk street."

Award of Contract, Basketball Backstops, School Department.

Supervisor Badaracco presented:

Resolution No. 26976 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of contract is hereby made to Standard Fence Co., on bid submitted March 7, 1927 (Proposal No. 281), for the following, viz.:

Basket-ball Backstops for School Department.

Furnish and install 24 sets of basket-ball backstops as per attached plan, in the yards of the following schools:

1. Adams—Eddy street between Van Ness avenue and Polk street, $75. Note—City to remove flag pole.
2. Alamo (2)—Twenty-third avenue and California street, $150. Note—City to cover old holes and jumping pits.
3. Argonne—Cabrillo street and Seventeenth avenue, $75.
4. Columbus—Twelfth avenue between "K" and "L" streets, $75.
5. Denman—Hayes and Pierce streets (remove wooden goal), $75.
6. Excelsior—Excelsior avenue and London street, $75.
7. Francis Scott Key (2)—Forty-second avenue between "I" and "J" streets, $150.
8. Frank McCoppin — Seventh avenue between "B" and "C" streets, $75.
9. George Peabody—Seventh avenue between California and Clement streets (replace), $75.
10. Jean Parker—Broadway between Powell and Mason streets, $75.
11. J. W. Lilienthal—Baker and Grove streets, $75.
12. Laguna Honda (2)—Seventh avenue between "I" and "J" streets, $150.
13. Lincoln—Harrison street between Fourth and Fifth streets, $75.
14. Madison—Clay street between Arguello boulevard and Cherry street, $75.
15. Marshall—Capp and Fifteenth streets, $75.
16. Noe Valley Junior High—Twenty-fourth and Douglass streets, $75.
17. Patrick Henry—Vermont and Eighteenth streets, $75.
18. Sanchez (2)—Sanchez street between Sixteenth and Seventeenth streets, $150.
19. Starr King—Twenty-fifth and Utah streets (remove old upright), $75.

20. Sutro—Thirteenth avenue between California and Clement streets (replace), $75.

A total of $1,800.

Said basket-ball backstops to be covered with douglas fir, tongue and groove vertical grain, No. 2 clear, and to be thoroughly dry; 1¼ by 4 inches; properly battened to support baskets; paint same with three coats of lead and oil paint.

Resolved, That all other bids submitted hereon be rejected.

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton—12.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Todd—6.

Award of Contract, Blankets.

Supervisor Badaracco presented:

Resolution No. 26977 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of contract be hereby made to Pendleton Woolen Mills, on bid submitted February 28, 1927 (Proposal No. 277), for furnishing the following, viz.:

Blankets for San Francisco Hospital.

600 blankets, gray, single, made of virgin wool 85 per cent on a cotton warp 15 per cent of contents; approximately 58 by 82 inches and to weigh at least four pounds and not to exceed four and one-half pounds. To have woven in black through the center "Property of the San Francisco Hospital," on sample; price, $4.50 each. One-half of above quantity to be delivered July 1, 1927.

Note—All above awards are made to the lowest bidder except when award is made in consideration of deliveries or on account of the quality as determined by such tests as required or recommended by the Purchaser of Supplies.

Resolved, That all other bids submitted thereon be rejected.

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton—12.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Todd—6.

Appropriation, $100, Additional Salaries, Sergeants of Police.

Supervisor Schmidt presented:

Resolution No. 26978 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $100 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of General Fund, 1926-1927, to the credit of Police Department Personal Services, Appropriation 42-A, to provide the additional salaries for five Sergeants of Police, promoted from the rank of Corporal of Police.

Ayes—Supervisors Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—11.

No—Supervisor Hayden—1.

Absent—Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson—6.

Lease of Hangar Space, Municipal Airport.

Supervisor Kent presented:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco accept the offer of Boeing Air Transport, Inc., a corporation, duly organized and existing under and by virtue of the laws of the State of Washington, for approximately 9000 square feet of floor space in hangar No. 1, to be constructed by the City and County of San Francisco at its airport near Millbrae, in San Mateo County, together with a reasonable amount of office space in the proposed administration building to be erected at said airport by the City and County, that the rental for said floor space and office space shall be seventy-five ($75) dollars per month, and the term of said lease shall be for a period of two years, with an option of two additional years at the same rental provided the City renews its present lease with the owners of the property on which said airport is maintained. That the lessee shall abide by all regulations which may be enacted by the City and County relative to the use of said airport, and shall pay for all light and water used in said hangar or adjacent thereto, with the exception of field or flood lights; and said lessee shall hold the City free and harmless from any and all claims for damage occasioned by any act of the lessee; and that the first and last months' rental due under said lease shall be payable in advance at the time of the execution of said lease; it being understood that the lessee shall have exclusive possession of said 9000 feet of floor space; and be it

Further Resolved, That the City Attorney be and he is hereby directed to prepare a lease with said Boeing Air Transport, Inc., in con-

formity with the terms of this resolution.

Passed for printing by the following vote:

Ayes— Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Declaratory Ordinance, Sunset Boulevard.

Supervisor Marks presented:

Bill No. ——, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Determining and declaring that the public interest and necessity demand the construction and completion of a permanent improvement, to-wit: Provide an improved route for public highway purposes in the City and County of San Francisco, from, at or near the intersections of Nineteenth, Twentieth and Twenty-first avenues with Lincoln way, to run in a general southwesterly direction to connect with the Great Highway at or near the intersections of Santiago street, Taraval street and the Great Highway, or the most feasible route, to be known as the Sunset boulevard, and to make all necessary cuts or excavations, and the acquisition and purchase of all necesary buildings, lands or rights of way over such route, including approaches, pavements, curbs, sidewalks, sewers, drainage facilities and the readjustment of intersecting and adjacent streets.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby determined and specifically declared that the public interest and necessity demand the construction and completion of a permanent improvement, to-wit: Provide an improved route for public highway purposes in the City and County of San Francisco, from, at or near the intersection of Nineteenth, Twentieth and Twenty-first avenues with Lincoln way, to run in a general southwesterly direction to connect with the Great Highway at or near the intersections of Santiago street, Taraval street and the Great Highway, or the most feasible route, to be known as the Sunset boulevard, and to make all necessary cuts or excavations, and the acquisition and purchase of all necessary buildings, lands or rights of way over such route, including approaches, pavements, curbs, sidewalks, sewers, drainage facilities and the readjustment of intersecting and adjacent streets. The boulevard herein described shall be constructed in accordance with plans hereinafter to be adopted and is to begin and end at or near the termini herein described.

Section 2. The Board of Public Works is hereby directed to procure through the City Engineer and to file with the Board of Supervisors general plans and estimates of costs of the original construction and completion of said boulevard and appurtenances as herein described.

Section 3. This ordinance shall be published in the official newspaper for two weeks after its approval and shall take effect immediately.

Referred to Streets Committee.

Declaratory Ordinance, Hall of Justice Extension.

Supervisor Roncovieri presented:

Bill No. ——, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Determining and declaring that public interest and necessity demand the acquisition, construction and equipment of permanent public buildings on the block bounded by Kearny, Clay, Montgomery and Merchant streets, to be annexed to the Hall of Justice, to be used for public offices and other municipal purposes, and directing the Board of Public Works to procure, through the City Engineer and to place on file with the Board of Supervisors, plans and estimates of the cost thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby determined and specifically declared that the public interest and necessity demand the acquisition and construction, completion and equipment of permanent public buildings on the block bounded by Kearny, Clay, Montgomery and Merchant streets, to be annexed to the Hall of Justice, to be used for public offices and other municipal purposes, and the purchase of all equipment and furnishings necessary for said buildings.

Section 2. The Board of Public Works is hereby directed to procure, through the City Engineer and file with the Board of Supervisors, plans and estimates of cost of the original construction, completion and equipment of the public

buildings described in Section 1 of this ordinance.

Section 3. This ordinance shall be published for two weeks in the official newspaper and shall take effect immediately.

Referred to Public Buildings Committee.

City to Purchase Uniform Cloth for Police and Fire Departments at Wholesale.

Supervisor Gallagher presented: Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Superintendent of Supplies is requested to ascertain if it is possible for the City of San Francisco to purchase (in wholesale or carload lots), uniform cloth and other personal equipment for police officers, firemen, municipal motormen and conductors, to be by the Supplies Department retailed to them at the lowest possible cost; be it

Further Resolved, That the Supplies Committee is requested, if said Superintendent's report is favorable, to recommend measures by which the above policy may be put into effect.

Referred to Supplies Committee.

BRIDGE MATTERS.

Communications.

The following matters were presented and read by the Clerk:

War Department, Washington.
March 5, 1927.

The Board of Supervisors, San Francisco County, San Francisco, California.

Gentlemen:

With reference to the pending application for a modification in the War Department policy in regard to bridges across San Francisco Bay I am pleased to forward herewith for your information copies of recent correspondence on the subject between the Department and Honorable Albert E. Carter, Representative in Congress.

Sincerely yours,
DWIGHT F. DAVIS,
Secretary of War.

Congress of the United States,
House of Representatives,
Washington, D. C.
February 10. 1927.

Major General Edgar Jadwin, U. S. A., Chief of Engineers, War Department.

My dear General Jadwin:

The Supervisors of Alameda County and other interested parties in my Congressional District desire to have a ruling made on the application presented by certain interests in San Francisco asking for a modification of the bridge policy of the War Department on San Francisco Bay.

It is believed by them, and I share in their views that this ruling will serve to quiet a great deal of unnecessary agitation on both sides of San Francisco Bay. My constituents believe that the best interests of navigation and commerce will be served by having the former announced policy of not having any bridges north of Hunter's Point affirmed by your Department.

I respectfully request, therefore, a ruling on this matter at as early a date as possible.

Very sincerely yours,
(Sgd.)
ALBERT E. CARTER.

War Department, Washington.
February 21, 1927.

Honorable Albert E. Carter, House of Representatives, Washington, D. C.

Dear Mr. Carter:

Reference is made to your letter of the 10th instant, addressed to the Chief of Engineers, requesting, in behalf of the Supervisors of Alameda County and other interested parties, action on the pending application for a modification of the policy announced by the War Department in 1921, in regard to bridges across San Francisco Bay.

In reply I beg to advise you that for six months the Department has been holding in abeyance action on the application at the request of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors and other local interests to afford an opportunity for the presentation of additional supporting data. However, it may be stated that after careful consideration of all the facts brought out at the public hearing and those that have been presented so far since the hearing, the Department is still unconvinced that it would be justified in changing the existing policy.

Sincerely yours,
DWIGHT F. DAVIS,
Secretary of War.

Application Referred.

The applications of Frank Eldridge Webb to construct a bridge from Hunter's Point to Bay Farm Island and H. S. Herrion to construct a bridge from Berry and Second streets, in San Francisco, to Eighth and Peralta, in Alameda, were *referred to the Public Utilities Committee.*

Report of Law and Legislative Committee.

Supervisor Marks filed with the Clerk copies of more than twenty bills which have been introduced

into the Legislature relating to bridge matters.

On motion of Supervisor Marks, the Clerk was instructed to forward the bills to the City Attorney's office with the request that he prepare an abstract of them for the Board.

Relative to Appointment of Engineers to Select Bridge Site.

In reference to the three eminent engineers to be selected by the Board of Public Works, Mr. Reardon, President of the Board of Public Works, said telegrams had been sent to each person whose name appears on the list submitted by the universities, asking them if they would serve on the committee if appointed, and upon what terms. That upon receipt of replies, a full report would be made to the Board.

Time Extended.

Supervisor Shannon moved that the time be extended for the selection of bridge engineers until 2 p. m next Monday.

So ordered.

Action Deferred.

Thereupon, the following resolutions and motions were taken up and, on motion, consideration thereof *continued one week, to-wit*:

Presented by Supervisor McSheehy:

Whereas, there are now pending before the Board of Supervisors various applications for franchises to construct and operate toll bridges over and across the Bay of San Francisco, between the City and County of San Francisco and the County of Alameda; and

Whereas, Section 4 of the Act of 1881 provides "That whenever the Supervisors of any county or counties desire to erect a bridge on any public highway, or to grant the privilege so to do, to any individual or corporation, across a navigable stream, said board or boards shall notify the State Engineer of such purpose, and of the precise point where said bridge is to be located,"; and

Whereas, a proper site for a bridge is one the San Francisco terminal of which will be within easy access of the business district of the City, and at the same time sufficiently removed from the main arteries of the City not to unduly congest the same, and the Alameda terminus of which will be easily accessible to traffic of all kinds, especially suburban railway traffic from all parts of the County of Alameda; and

Whereas, the area from and including Rincon Hill on the north, and to and including Sixteenth street on the south, in the City and County of San Francisco, and the area from and including Seventh, Bay, Eighth and Pine streets in the City of Oakland on the north, and to and including Pacific avenue in Alameda on the south, is a district well adapted to and possessing the necessary facilities and conditions for the terminals of a transbay bridge; and

Whereas, in order to meet the requirements of the shipping interests both in San Francisco and Oakland, and to the end that bay navigation shall be interfered with as little as possible, a high level bridge, the structure of which shall have a clearance of at least one hundred and thirty-five feet above the waters of the Bay, is best suited for said transbay bridge; and

Whereas, the City of San Francisco is by its charter committed to public ownership and operation of all public utilities, and that the City of San Francisco should itself construct, own and operate said bridge, if it is possible to do so; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the area from and including Rincon Hill on the north and to and including Sixteenth street on the south, in the City and County of San Francisco, and the area from and including the blocks bounded by Seventh, Bay, Eighth and Pine streets in the City of Oakland on the north, and to and including Pacific avenue in Alameda on the south, be and the same is hereby declared to be the area that is best suited for the respective terminals of a transbay bridge between the City and County of San Francisco and the County of Alameda, and that a high level bridge, the structure of which will have a clearance of at least one hundred and thirty-five feet above the navigable waters of the Bay and adequate length of span will least interfere with bay navigation and with the shipping interests thereon, and is hereby recommended as the type of bridge to be selected.

And be it Further Resolved, That a ruling of the War Department of the United States be forthwith sought as to whether a bridge may be constructed of the type, and with terminals located in the districts above set forth, and for that purpose a committee composed of two members of this Board to be selected by the Chairman of its Finance Committee, and the City Engineer and the City Attorney, or his representative, forthwith proceed to Washington for the purpose of urging the War Department to grant

permission for the construction and maintenance of a high level bridge, adequate in height and in length of span, between terminals in the aforesaid area. And be it

Further Resolved, That the City of San Francisco is and by its charter committed to public ownership and operation of all public utilities, and that it should itself construct, own and operate said bridge, if it is possible to do so; and be it

Further Resolver, That pending advice and information from the War Department, that the hearing on all pending applications for franchises for transbay bridges be continued from time to time, to the end that this Board will not lose jurisdiction to pass upon them.

Supervisor Havenner presented:

"Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco proceed forthwith to take the necessary legal steps, in accordance with the advice of the City Attorney, to form a bridge district under the State Bridge and Highway District Law, consisting of San Francisco and Alameda Counties, for the purpose of financing, constructing and operating a bridge across San Francisco Bay under public ownership."

Supervisor Roncovieri presented:

"Whereas, financial problems connected with the building of a bridge across the Bay are various and extremely involved, and absolutely fundamental in arriving at a favorable decision of policy as between a bridge built by public funds, or one to be built by private capital; therefore, be it

"Resolved, That the Bridge Committee of this Board be requested and empowered to employ expert financial accountants and actuaries who shall prepare from the records of this Board and other data a complete set-up of figures tabulating in parallel form the more profitable policy to be adopted."

Supervisor Shannon presented:

"Whereas, there are pending before the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco various applications for franchises to construct and operate toll bridges over and across the Bay of San Francisco from various points in the City and County of San Francisco to various points in the County of Alameda; and

"Whereas, it appears to the Board of Supervisors that a toll bridge over and across the Bay of San Francisco from Mission Rock to some suitable point in the County of Alameda will best serve the people of San Francisco and that Mission Rock is the most feasible and logical starting point for a transbay bridge between San Francisco and Alameda; and now therefore, be it

"Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors does hereby select Mission Rock as the best and most feasible starting point for a transbay bridge between San Francisco and the County of Alameda and does hereby designate said site as the point in San Francisco from which the first transbay bridge shall be constructed; and be it further

"Resolved, That all persons, firms and corporations who have heretofore made application for a franchise to construct and operate transbay toll bridges between San Francisco and Alameda County, as well as all other persons interested, be invited to present to this Board of Supervisors applications, plans and specifications for a franchise for a transbay toll bridge from Mission Rock in the City and County of San Francisco to some point in the County of Alameda."

Supervisor Shannon presented:

"Whereas, A. McLean Hawks, bridge and mining engineer, has called the attention of this Board to the advantages of Mission Rock as the location for the main bridge pier or bridge-head of the San Francisco Bay Bridge, and without thought of remuneration or reward has suggested that this location be considered by this City for its public bridge location; and

"Whereas, Mission Rock, in this engineer's judgment, has unequaled advantages as regards stability of the bridge, maximum length and height of channel spans, comparative shallowness of water to the east, economy of cost, absence of obstruction to shipping, facility to automobile traffic within San Francisco and passing through San Francisco; and the handling of interurban and trans-continental trains; and

"Whereas, the Bay bridge plan originally proposed by Hawks, later duplicated by Gustave Lindenthal and embodied in the McSheehy resolution, opens the way for the selection by this Board of a site that shall be the best site, irrespective of whether or not it has been previously proposed by private parties; and

"Whereas, the Mission Rock site has not been proposed by any private group seeking a franchise, but remains open for selection by this

Board as the City's site if its apparent advantages be borne out by careful study; therefore, be it

"Resolved, That the City Attorney be and he is hereby instructed to file immediate condemnation proceedings against property known as Mission Rock, in order to protect the City at this time against any private proposal which may seek hereafter to utilize this site; and be it

"Futher Resolved, That the Special Bridge Committee of this Board be and it is hereby instructed to give careful study to this location as the possible choice of the City and County of San Francisco."

Motions.

Supervisor Gallagher: I move you that while it is the policy of this Board that we favor a publicly-owned bay bridge, it is however, the sense of this Board that we favor immediate construction of said bridge by private capital, until the people may elect in the manner provided by law to take over said bridge and place it under public ownership and control.

* * *

Supervisor Marks: I move you that, as an amendment to the motion of Supervisor Gallagher, the City Attorney be requested to submit to this Board, at the earliest possible opportunity, a form of submission of the issue of public ownership and operation of the proposed bridge, or private ownership or operation of the proposed bridge, for action by the people at the earliest possible opportunity.

* * *

Supervisor Marks: I desire to present the following motion and calling attention to the fact that it does not call for anything other than asking the City Attorney to submit a proper form of proposal to us. I make the motion which heretofore has been outlined three or four times.

(Referring to motion set out in full above.)

The Chairman: Any objection? So ordered.

SPECIAL ORDERS—2 P. M.

Bridge Hearings.

Thereupon, the following matters were taken up:

Applications for Franchises to Construct Bridges Across San Francisco Bay.

Application of Bay Cities Bridge Corporation for a franchise for a toll bridge between San Francisco and Alameda.

Proposed rail and highway crossing for San Francisco Bay from the foot of Sixteenth street, San Francisco, to the foot of Pacific avenue, Alameda.

Beginning at the intersection of the center line of Sixteenth street with the center line of Third (Kentucky) street, in the City and County of San Francisco, and extending thence easterly along the center line of Sixteenth street to the waterfront line; thence easterly along a straight line to a point on the center line of Pacific avenue, in the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, which point is one hundred feet westerly from the intersection of said center line of Pacific avenue with the center line of Bryant street.

That the length of said bridge is 27,750 feet and that the width of the roadway on said bridge is 38 feet.

Filed April 6, 1925.

DUDLEY D. SALES,
Attorney.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, March* 21, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton —12.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Deasy, Havenner, Todd—6.

Application of Elbert W. Davis for a franchise for a toll bridge between San Francisco and Alameda.

Across San Francisco Bay, beginning at a point in the City and County of San Francisco on the bulkhead line of San Francisco Harbor between Piers 26 and 28, and running approximately north 67 degrees 45 minutes east 17,962 feet, more or less, to a point in the City of Oakland, County of Alameda, State of California, on the easterly shore of San Francisco Bay.

That the length of said bridge is to be 17,962 feet and that the breadth thereof is to be 65 feet, more or less.

Filed June 29, 1925.

JAMES M. OLIVER,
Attorney.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, March* 21, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton —12.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Deasy, Havenner, Todd—6.

Application of John Bermingham, Jr., 250 Cervantes boulevard, for a franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge across San Francisco Bay between the City and County of San Francisco and the County of Alameda.

Beginning at the intersection of the center line of Seventh and Mission streets, in the City and County of San Francisco, along Seventh street to Seventh and Sixteenth; thence in a southeasterly direction to Nineteenth and Third; thence in a southerly direction along Third street to the intersection of Third street and Jerrold avenue and waterfront; thence proceeding in a northerly direction, crossing San Francisco Bay to a point from which a branch will extend 4500 feet easterly to the intersection of Pacific avenue and Bryant street, in the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California; thence from said aforementioned intersecting point proceeding in a northerly direction, crossing the Oakland Estuary, to the intersection of Peralta street and Seventh street, in the City of Oakland, County of Alameda, State of California.

That the total length of said bridge, approaches thereto and branch thereof, aforementioned, is 65,450 feet, that the width of said bridge is ninety (90) feet.

Filed September 28, 1925.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, March* 21, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton —12.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Deasy, Havenner, Todd—6.

Application of A. M. Bergstrom for a franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge across San Francisco Bay between the City and County of San Francisco and the County of Alameda.

That the said toll bridge is to be located across the Bay of San Francisco, along the following described line, to-wit:

Beginning at a point in San Francisco at about the foot of Davidson avenue, on Hunter's Point, and running northerly sixty-two (62) degrees east four and four-tenths (4.4) miles; thence on a one (1) degree curve to the north one and six-tenths (1.6) miles to true north to a point on the easterly shore of the Bay of San Francisco at about the foot of Webster street, in the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California.

That the length of said bridge is to be six (6) miles and the breadth is to be sixty (60) feet, more or less.

Filed September 28, 1925.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, March* 21, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton —12.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Deasy, Havenner, Todd—6.

Application of New York and San Francisco Development Company for franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge between San Francisco and Alameda.

Bridge to be constructed across San Francisco Bay from a point therein at or near the junction of Evans avenue and Boalt street, Hunter's Point, in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to or near the junction of South High street and Washington street, in the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California; that the length of said bridge is approximately six and one-half miles from shore line to shore line, and that its breadth or width is approximately from 60 to 90 feet.

Filed January 11, 1926.

ROTHCHILD & GOLDEN,
Attorneys.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, March* 21, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton —12.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Deasy, Havenner, Todd—6.

Application of Roy C. Hackley for a franchise to construct a toll bridge between San Francisco, Pier 26, and Alameda County.

Beginning at a point in the City and County of San Francisco on

the bulkhead line of San Francisco Harbor, south of and adjacent to Pier 26, and running approximately north 61 degrees east and fifty-three hundred feet, more or less; thence running approximately north 89 degrees east five thousand feet, more or less; thence running approximately north 59 degrees 30 minutes east eight thousand feet, more or less, to a point in the City of Oakland, County of Alameda, State of California, on the easterly shore of said San Francisco Bay.

That the length of said bridge is to be eighteen thousand three hundred feet (18,300) and that the breadth thereof is to be 78 feet, more or less.

Roy A. Bronson and E. D. Bronson, Jr., attorneys, 68 Post street.

Filed February 6, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, March* 21, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton —12.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Deasy, Havenner, Todd—6.

Two applications of the Construction Company of North America for a franchise to construct and open a toll bridge between San Francisco and Alameda County.

Commencing at a point in said City and County of San Francisco near the corner of First and Bryant streets, on what is known as Rincon Hill, and running thence on less than a two-degree curve a distance of approximately 1700 lineal feet to the line of bulkhead wharf connecting Piers 30 and 32 on the waterfront of the said City and County of San Francisco; thence on a line approximately north 65 degrees east 21,000 lineal feet, more or less, to the Oakland Mole of the Southern Pacific Company in Alameda County.

That the length of said bridge is to be 4½ miles and that the width thereof is to be approximately 78 feet.

ROBERT J. McGAHIE,
Attorney, Mills Building.

First application filed February 15, 1926.

Second application filed April 5, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, March* 21, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton —12.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Deasy, Havenner, Todd—6.

Application of Allan C. Rush for a franchise to construct a toll bridge between San Francisco and Alameda County.

Construction of a suspension bridge from the City and County of San Francisco over and across Goat Island to the east shore of the Bay of San Francisco, comprising three main double traffic track entrance therein, and being of a height of two hundred feet above high tide, between all bridge piers in the waters of San Francisco Channel, in which channel the bridge will be suspended over "but three piers," twenty-four hundred feet apart, thus in nowise impeding the traffic of the waters of the Bay.

Filed June 19, 1926.

ALLAN C. RUSH,
1632 McCullom street, Los Angeles, California.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, March* 21, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton —12.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Deasy, Havenner, Todd—6.

Application of Robert Lee Dunn, 778 Monadnock Building, for a franchise to construct a toll bridge along the following described line, to-wit:

Beginning at the intersection of the center line of Second street with the center line of Berry street, in the City and County of San Francisco and extending thence easterly to the waterfront line; thence easterly along a straight line to the intersection of the center lines of Pacific avenue and Bryant street, City of Alameda, California.

Length of said bridge is twenty-six thousand feet; that the width of the roadway on said bridge is eighty feet.

Filed June 21, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, March* 21, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton —12.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Deasy, Havenner, Todd—6.

Application of Edward A. Bertram for a franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge to be located, to-wit:

Beginning at Twelfth and Howard streets, San Francisco, by 1½ per cent viaduct to Beale and Howard streets; thence to Southern Pacific Mole, Oakland; thence by 1½ per cent viaduct to West Fourteenth street level, and Sixteeenth Street Railroad Station.

The length of said bridge proper is 21,000 feet and its width of roadway is 63 feet.

Filed June 21, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, March* 21, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton —12.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Deasy, Havenner, Todd—6.

Application of R. S. Chew for franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge or bridges.

The said proposed bridge or bridges are to be located and are described as follows:

The westerly end of a bridge and the approaches thereto, which will connect with public streets, are to be located in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California (which location is in the county situated on the left bank descending of the Bay of San Francisco, across which said bridge is to be constructed), and at a point therein at or near the summit of an eminence known as Telegraph Hill; from this point the bridge will project easterly a distance of nine thousand feet (more or less) to the westerly side of Yerba Buena (or Goat) Island; thence easterly across said island a roadway or viaduct will be constructed for a distance of four thousand feet (more or less) to its easterly side; from thence there will be projected in an easterly direction a distance of twenty-two thousand feet (more or less), a bridge structure, viaduct, causeway and/or fill to suitable connections with lines or railway and public highways in Alameda County, State of California.

Filed June 28, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, March* 21, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton —12.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Deasy, Havenner, Todd—6.

Application of Inter-Cities Bridge Company for a franchise to construct, maintain and operate a toll bridge across the Bay of San Francisco and over the following route:

Beginning at the intersection of Broadway and Battery street, in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and extending thence easterly to the waterfront; thence on a line approximately north 60 degrees east to the westerly point of Yerba Buena or Goat Island; thence by contour meander to the easterly point of Yerba Buena or Goat Island; thence on a line approximately north 75 degrees to the foot of Yerba Buena street, in the City of Oakland, County of Alameda, State of California.

That the length of said bridge, inclusive of approximately 4100 feet on ground support over Yerba Buena or Goat Island, and 5670 feet to be supported by an earth fill mole in the approach to the said Oakland terminal, is to be approximately 27,000 feet. That the width of said bridge is to be approximately 120 feet, providing two 20-foot vehicular lanes and accommodations for four lines of electric transportation tracks.

Filed July 12, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, March* 21, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton —12.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Deasy, Havenner, Todd—6.

Application of G. Lindenthal for franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge.

Said bridge is to be located along the following described line:

Commencing at a point in the center line of Jerrold avenue, in

the City and County of San Francisco, about midway between Ship street and Dock street, in said City and County of San Francisco; thence on a curve easterly and northerly a distance of 30.000 feet, more or less, to the southerly foot of Webster street, in the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California.

The width of said bridge at the easterly end thereof for approximately 16,000 feet will be 75 feet, and the width of the remaining portion thereof will be approximately 40 feet. The length of said bridge will be approximately 30,000 feet.

Filed August 2, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, March* 21, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton —12.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Deasy, Havenner, Todd—6.

Preliminary application of Fowler Engineering Corporation for a franchise for the building of a bridge from Telegraph Hill to Goat Island, 3 2000-foot spans.

Filed July 28, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, March* 21, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton —12.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Deasy, Havenner, Todd—6.

Application of W. F. Holcomb for franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge across San Francisco Bay between the City and County of San Francisco and Alameda County.

Beginning at or near and within one hundred feet northerly or southerly of the intersection of the center line of Second street with the center line of Berry street, in the City and County of San Francisco and extending thence easterly to the waterfront line; thence easterly along a straight line to, at or near and within one hundred feet northerly or southerly of the intersection of the center lines of Pacific avenue and Bryant street, City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California.

That the length of said bridge will be twenty-six thousand feet; that the width of the roadway on said bridge will be eighty-nine feet.

Filed August 9, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, March* 21, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton —12.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Deasy, Havenner, Todd—6.

Application of Robert E. Fitzgerald for franchise to erect, maintain and operate and take tolls on a toll bridge over and across the Bay of San Francisco. The location of said bridge is described as follows:

The center line of said bridge will commence at a point on The Embarcadero of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, at a point approximately midway between Vallejo street and Broadway street; thence extending on a line approximately north 60 degrees east to the westerly point of Yerba Buena Island, also known as Goat Island; thence following the contour of said island to a point on the easterly shore thereof where said shore line would be intersected by a center line of Seventh street in the City of Oakland, if produced, upon its present course as the course of said street now exists along the northerly line of Oakland Mole; thence easterly along said line of said street, if so produced, to the center line of said Seventh street at the present westerly end thereof.

Filed August 9, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, March* 21, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton —12.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Deasy, Havenner, Todd—6.

Application of Thos. E. Slaven, filed August 16, 1926, for franchise to construct, maintain and operate a toll bridge. Said bridge is to be constructed over and across the

Bay of San Francisco, and over the following route:

The location of said bridge is particularly described as follows: The center line of said bridge will commence at a point on The Embarcadero of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, approximately where the center line of said Embarcadero intersects the center line of Pier 7, if produced. Thence extending on a line north approximately 67 degrees 30 minutes east to a point on the southwesterly shore of Yerba Buena or Goat Island; thence easterly following the contour of said island to a point on the easterly shore thereof, located about six hundred feet in a northerly direction from the light house located on the southern portion of said island; thence north eighty-five degrees thirty minutes east, approximately 8700 feet to a point where said line intersects the center line of Seventh street, Oakland, if produced, upon its present course as the course of said street now exists along the northern line of Oakland Mole; thence easterly along said line of said street, if so produced, to the center line of said Seventh street at the present westerly end thereof.

The length of said bridge exclusive of land approaches being approximately 9300 feet west of said island and approximately 10,400 feet east of said island, and the breadth of said bridge being approximately 115 feet.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, March* 21, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton —12.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Deasy, Havenner, Todd—6.

Application of E. D. Bronson, Jr., for a franchise for a toll bridge across San Francisco Bay.

Filed August 16, 1926.

Beginning at a point in the City and County of San Francisco on the bulkhead line of San Francisco Harbor, south of and adjacent to Pier 26, and running north approximately 68 degrees east seventeen thousand nine hundred fifty feet (17,950′), more or less, to a point in the City of Oakland, County of Alameda, State of California, on the easterly shore of said San Francisco Bay; and the said toll bridge to be approximately seventeen thousand nine hundred fifty (17,950′) feet in length and one hundred ten (110′) feet in breadth, more or less.

Roy A. Bronson, E. D. Bronson, Jr., attorneys at law, 68 Post street, room 403, San Francisco, California.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, March* 21, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton —12.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Deasy, Havenner, Todd—6.

Tunnels.

Application of O. A. Ellis for franchise for two tunnels under the Bay of San Francisco from San Francisco to Oakland.

One of the tunnels will be for interurban street car traffic, with double track, and the San Francisco terminal between Third and Fourth streets on Harrison street.

The other tunnel will be for auto and railroad traffic, with San Francisco terminal between Second and Third streets on Harrison street.

The Oakland terminal will be at Seventh and Cedar streets.

Filed June 25, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, March* 21, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton —12.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Deasy, Havenner, Todd—6.

Order of Bond Election.

Supervisor Havenner moved, in lieu of the resolution offered this morning, that the following bond proposals be submitted to the electors at the first bond election in May: Bernal Cut, Municipal Railway extensions and Spring Valley Water Company purchase. That all other bond proposals that may be approved shall be submitted at a second election held two weeks subsequent to the first.

Supervisor Stanton asked that the items be voted on separately.

Whereupon, the roll was called on the following items, with the resulting vote:

Bernal Cut.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—18.

Extension of Municipal Railways.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—18.

Purchase of Spring Valley Water Company.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Todd —16.

Noes—Supervisors Marks, Stanton—2.

Motion Carried.

Whereupon, Supervisor Havenner's motion, as above, was declared *adopted.*

Passed for Printing.

The following bill was *passed for printing*:

Full Acceptance, Baker Street.

On motion of Supervisor Badaracco:

Bill No. 7943, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Providing for full acceptance of the roadway of Baker street between North Point and Beach streets; Forty-second avenue between Judah and Kirkham streets; Fourteenth avenue between Rivera and Santiago streets; Harkness street between Brussels and Girard streets; Mount Vernon avenue between Huron and Del Monte avenues; Mount Vernon avenue between Huron and Ellington avenues and the intersections of Mount Vernon avenue and Del Monte street; San Jose avenue between Sickles avenue and the County Line; Thirty-third avenue between Santiago and Taraval streets; Thirty-first avenue between Rivera and Santiago streets; Twenty-seventh avenue between Santiago and Taraval streets; Thirty-fourth avenue between Vicente street and Sloat boulevard, including the crossing of Wawona street, the crossing of Yorba street and the intersection of Escondido street; crossing of Baker and Beach streets; Darien way between the westerly line of Westgate drive and Kenwood way, including the crossing of Darien way and Westgate drive; intersection of Darien way and Manor drive and the crossing of Darien way and Northgate drive; Upland drive between the westerly line of Westgate drive and Kenwood way, including the crossings of Upland drive and Westgate drive; Manor drive and the intersection of Upland drive and Northgate drive; Kenwood way between the westerly line of Westgate drive and Faxon avenue, including the crossings of Westgate drive: Pinehurst way, Manor drive and the intersections of Fairfield way, Keystone way and Upland drive; Northgate drive between Monterey boulevard and Darien way and between Darien way and Upland drive; Westgate drive between Ocean avenue and Kenwood way; Kenwood way and Upland drive; Upland drive and Darien way and Darien way and Monterey boulevard; Pinehurst way between Ocean avenue and Kenwood way and Kenwood way and Upland drive; Manor drive between Ocean avenue and Kenwood way; Kenwood way and Upland drive and between Upland drive and Darien way; Sanfranbank avenue between Ocean avenue and Fairfield way and the intersection of Fairfield way and Sanfranbank avenue; Fairfield way between Ocean avenue and Kenwood way; Keystone way between Ocean avenue and Kenwood way.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The roadways of the following named streets, including the curbs on both sides thereof, having been constructed to the satisfaction of the Board of Public Works and of the Board of Supervisors, are hereby fully accepted by the City and County of San Francisco (except those portions required by law to be kept in order by the railroad company having tracks thereon), in accordance with the provisions of Section 23, Chapter II, Article VI, of the Charter, said roadways having been paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete, and concrete curbs laid thereon, and are in good condition throughout, and have sewers, gas and water mains laid therein, to-wit: Baker street between North Point and Beach streets; Forty-second avenue between Judah and Kirkham streets; Fourteenth avenue between Rivera and Santiago streets; Harkness street between Brussels and Girard streets; Mount Vernon avenue between Huron and Del Monte avenues; Mount Vernon avenue between Huron and Ellington avenues, and the intersections of Mount Vernon avenue and Del Monte street; San Jose avenue between Sickles avenue and the County Line; Thirty-third avenue

between Santiago and Taraval streets; Thirty-first avenue between Rivera and Santiago streets; Twenty-seventh avenue between Santiago and Taraval streets; Thirty-fourth avenue between Vicente street and Sloat boulevard, including the crossing of Waweona street, the crossing of Yorba street and the intersection of Escondido street; crossing of Baker and Beach streets; Darien way between the westerly line of Westgate drive and Kenwood way, including the crossing of Darien way and Westgate drive; intersection of Darien way and Manor drive and the crossing of Darien way and Northgate drive; Upland drive between the westerly line of Westgate drive and Kenwood way, including the crossings of Upland drive and Westgate drive, Manor drive and the intersection of Upland drive and Northgate drive; Kenwood way between the westerly line of Westgate drive and Faxon avenue, including the crossings of Westgate drive, Pinehurst way, Manor drive and the intersections of Fairfield way, Keystone way and Upland drive; Northgate drive between Monterey boulevard and Darien way and between Darien way and Upland drive; Westgate drive between Ocean avenue and Kenwood way, Kenwood way and Upland drive, Upland drive and Darien way and Darien way and Monterey boulevard; Pinehurst way between Ocean avenue and Kenwood way and Kenwood way and Upland drive; Manor drive between Ocean avenue and Kenwood way, Kenwood way and Upland drive and between Upland drive and Darien way; Sanfranbank avenue between Ocean avenue and Fairfield way and the intersection of Fairfield way and Sanfranbank avenue; Fairfield way between Ocean avenue and Kenwood way; Keystone way between Ocean avenue and Kenwood way.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Death of Dr. Chas. A. Clinton, Former Supervisor.

Supervisor Havenner presented:

Resolution No. 26981 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, death has called Dr. Charles A. Clinton, a pioneer physician of San Francisco for a period of fifty years, who, during an active life in this community, has served this City as a member of the Board of Education, Board of Health and the Board of Supervisors during the best part of his career, and gave to the City conspicuous, faithful and honest service in such capacity; therefore, be it

Resolved, That this Board when it adjourns does so out of respect to the memory of Dr. Charles A. Clinton; and be it

Further Resolved, That the sympathy of the Board be extended to the relatives of the deceased in their sad bereavement.

Adopted unanimously by a rising vote.

John W. Rogers Delegated to Represent San Francisco at Sacramento.

Resolution No. 26980 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, there are pending in the State Legislature at Sacramento many measures vitally affecting the interests of the City and County of San Francisco; and

Whereas, it is important and necessary that the Board of Supervisors have a representative permanently located at Sacramento to keep in touch with the progress of this legislation and to keep the Board advised from time to time as to the status of the measures that the Board may be favoring or opposing; therefore, be it

Resolved, That John W. Rogers, Chief Assistant Clerk of the Board, be and is hereby delegated to represent the Board of Supervisors at the Legislature during the balance of the session.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—13.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson—5.

City to Contract for Production of Symphony of Beethoven.

Supervisor Havenner presented:

Resolution No. 26982 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, enter into a contract with the Musical Association of San Francisco to perform and produce the Ninth Symphony of Beethoven on the evening of April 2, 1927, in the Exposition Auditorium, which said performance shall be given under the direction of Alfred Hertz, or, in his absence or disability, under the direction of Michel Penha or Dr. Hans Leschke, and that in consideration of said performance the said Musical Association of San Francisco is to be paid thirty-one hundred ($3,100) dollars for the services of the orchestra, conductor and all necessary musicians, including all rehearsals; and that after all other

expenses are paid and discharged the amount of the proceeds of said performance shall be divided equally between the said Musical Association of San Francisco and the City and County of San Francisco, and if there is any deficit arising out of said performance the said deficit shall be borne equally by the City and County of San Francisco, a munipical corporation, and the said Musical Association of San Francisco; and that the Auditorium Committee of the Board of Supervisors be and they are hereby given full power and authority to agree upon the price of admission to be charged for said performance and all other terms and conditions of said contract. Be it

Further Resolved, That the Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors be and they are hereby authorized, empowered and directed to execute said contract for and on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—13.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson—5.

ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business the Board at 7:30 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors July 25, 1927.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated, and approved as above recited.

JOHN S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco.

SAN FRANCISCO PUBLIC LIBRARY

Vol. 22—New Series No. 12

Monday, March 21, 1927

Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
337 Bush Street, S. F.

JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, MARCH 21, 1927, 10 A. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, March 21, 1927, 10 a. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman—2.

Quorum present.

Supervisors Ill.

The Clerk reported Supervisor Colman was unable to attend the meeting because of illness.

Supervisor Bath is much improved and had hoped to be able to attend the meeting, but his physician had advised him not to attend for another week yet.

His Honor Mayor Rolph being absent Supervisor McSheehy was elected to preside.

APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the meeting of February 21, 1927, was laid over for approval until next meeting.

ROLL CALL FOR PETITIONS FROM MEMBERS.

Offer of Committee in Charge of Garbage Incinerator.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication, from John Ross, chairman of the committee in charge of garbage incinerator, offering on behalf of the men who operate the incinerator, to give to San Francisco all of the proceeds resulting from the operation thereof; the offer to run from January 1, 1927, or from any other period that the Board may desire to fix.

Ordered *filed*.

Convention of California Division, American Association for the Recognition of the Irish Republic.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication, from C. A. Simmons, vice-president and manager, San Francisco Convention and Tourist League, urging adequate recognition of an important convention of the California Division, American Association of the Recognition of the Irish Republic, in the matter of convention facilities in the Civic Auditorium on Sunday, April 10, 1927, while many visiting delegations from the Pacific Coast are expected to be in attendance.

Resolution *adopted*.

Joint Highway District.

A communication regarding the organization of a joint highway district for the purpose of constructing a highway along the coast line and asking to be advised if San Francisco wants to be included in the district was *referred to the Joint Committee on Finance and Streets*.

Specifications for Garbage Disposal.

The following matter was presented by the Clerk:

Communication, from the Board of Public Works, transmitting specifications No. 16744, for the proposed collection and disposal of the refuse of the City by dumping the same at sea; also, proposed location of loading wharf, piling plan of wharf and pier and loading wharf, which specifications have been approved by the Board of Public Works, the estimated cost of which, during an assumed operating period of ten years, will amount to $22,000 a year or $1,830 a month, or about 12 cents a ton for 15,000 tons a month.

Supervisor Shannon moved that in calling for bids specifications provide for separate bids on collection and disposal.

Motion *carried*.

County Jail.

A communication from the Ingleside Improvement Club, requested that an additional appropriation be

made in the coming Budget to provide sufficient funds to complete the County Jail at Ingleside. The communication was *referred to the Buildings and Lands Committee* and the Committee was requested to make a study of possible locations for the jail.

Traffic Signals.

Communication, from Oscar A. Erdman, who alleges that he is the owner of patent No. 1,305,544, covering traffic signals now in use in San Francisco, and claiming they are an infringement on his patent.

Referred to City Attorney.

Opinion of City Attorney, Turk Street Franchise.

The following was read and ordered *filed*:

March 21, 1927.

Honorable Board of Supervisors,
San Francisco, Cal.

Gentlemen:

At the meeting of your Board, held on Monday, March 14th, you requested from me certain information regarding existing railroad franchises on Turk street. The request for the information has not come to me in writing and I have only the statement of the Clerk of your Board as to what information was requested.

At the present time there are two franchises existing on Turk street; one was granted by Order No. 1524 on November 12, 1879, and provides for the construction of a single or a double track street railroad from the intersection of Taylor and Turk streets westerly to Fillmore street and thence continuing westerly to First avenue. This franchise was for fifty years and, therefore, will expire by limitation in 1929.

The road was constructed on Turk street only as far as Divisadero street, and from Divisadero street westerly to First avenue no construction has ever been attempted, and Mr. Bion J. Arnold in his report has noted the fact that the company has conceded the forfeiture of this franchise by obtaining a new one in 1892 covering Turk street from Fillmore street west to First avenue.

The franchise of 1892 provides for a single or double track line from the intersection of Turk and Fillmore streets westerly along Turk street to and across First avenue. This franchise was granted by Order No. 2541 on May 21, 1892, and therefore will not expire by limitation until May, 1942. The company never availed itself of the privilege of constructing its road from Divisadero street and undoubtedly would not now be permitted to do so.

As to what effect the failure of the company to construct the road west of Divisadero street to First avenue would have upon that portion of the road actually constructed under the franchise, it is difficult at this time to state. The City has undoubtedly acquiesced in this failure, and even if the matter should be referred to the Attorney-General for permission to bring an action on his relation to forfeit the franchise, I am of the opinion that the City's acquiescence in the failure to build the road according to the terms of the franchise would be considered a consent to the construction of the road as it now exists.

I understand that you also desire to be advised as to what effect the building of a single track road on Turk street rather than a double track will have upon the existing franchise.

On this point your attention is called to the case of Workman v. S. P. R. R. Co., 129 Cal. 539. The facts in this case were as follows: In the year 1872 the City of Los Angeles granted to the Southern Pacific Railroad Company a right of way over one of its streets with the permission to construct a single or double track railroad thereon. The company availed itself of the privilege of constructing only a single track road, and many years later proceeded to construct the additional track. Property owners brought an action to enjoin the construction and the Supreme Court held that so far as the company had the privilege of building a single or double track road that it did not forfeit the terms of the grant by constructing only a single track, and that it has the right, when necessity demanded, to construct the additional track.

Under these conditions I am of the opinion that there is no violation of the terms of the franchise in constructing only a single track road on Turk street, and that the failure to construct a double track line is not any cause for the forfeiture of the franchise.

Respectfully,
JOHN J. O'TOOLE,
City Attorney.

Mayor's Veto, Ocean Park Amusement Company, Deferred.

Consideration of the Mayor's veto of Resolution No. 26839 (New Series), granting Ocean Park Amusement Company permission to con-

duct an amusement park bounded by Wawona street, Forty-sixth and Forty-seventh avenues and Sloat boulevard was *continued for one week.*

Mayor's Veto, Spur Track Ordinance Amendment.

The following was presented, read and *laid over one week*:

March 18, 1927.

The Honorable Board of Supervisors, City and County of San Francisco, City Hall, San Francisco, California.

Gentlemen:

I return to you herewith, without my approval, Bill No. 7929, Ordinance No. 7443 (New Series), for the following reasons:

This ordinance is objectionable by failing to discriminate between those who are claimed to have abused the spur track privilege and those who have used spur tracks for no other purpose than the benefit of San Francisco, industrially.

This is contrary to all principles of justice.

If the Board of Supervisors has the legal power to revoke a spur track permit, that authority should be exercised only in the specific instances where the rights that have been conferred are being wrongly used. To adopt a general measure, putting a cloud on the many grants that have been made by the City, and on which grants large investments of capital have been made and utilized legitimately, for the development of the City, is not in keeping with good faith of the government.

I have always contended that the powers of the government should never be an instrument for the injury of any element of the community at the hands of any other element.

Should, at any time, it be shown to me that the grants of the City government were being used to oppress labor or any other class, none would go farther than myself in affording a remedy. But I cannot see any excuse whatever for placing San Francisco industrial enterprise under a cloud by a general ordinance, couched in the present terms.

This seems to be contrary to every theory of sound legislation.

There is another very obvious objection to this ordinance: We have had in the past corrupt Boards of Supervisors. Could anybody conceive a greater opportunity for blackmail and corruption than this ordinance offers to an uncrupulous Board to traffic in spur track privileges?

This ordinance, it is pretended, might be a protection to organized labor. Nothing could be more contrary. According to its words, if any person using a spur track should make a contract for the employment of organized labor only, a Board of Supervisors hostile to union labor could revoke the permit.

But regardless of whether this ordinance pretends to favor labor or capital, or is intended merely as a political gesture, its injury to the public is self-evident.

It seems unfortunate that after the prolonged struggle between a large part of organized labor and a large organization of capital, that wrought so much damage to the community, has ended and peace has been established, that the Board of Supervisors should thus endeavor to fan the cooling embers of the controversy.

The government of the City serves the whole community when it promotes industrial peace.

I return this ordinance to your Board without my approval on the ground that there is no benefit to labor or capital in this ordinance, and on the ground that it places San Francisco at a disadvantage in competition with every other city in America, and is thus an injury to the entire community, and on the broad ground that such a measure adopted at this time cannot serve any honest purpose and is mischievous in attempting to stir up industrial animosity where and when peace has been restored.

My investigation of this ordinance establishes to my satisfaction that a very small percentage of the holders of spur track permits in operation in San Francisco are subject to charges of discrimination. These permits are largely adjuncts to the great railroad transportation systems of the country and are employed in the distribution of all forms of merchandise and food products, thus entering into the economy of the life of our people.

One thing the government should always make clear—it will deal with an abuse separately from a use.

Respectfully,

JAMES ROLPH, JR.,
Mayor.

HEARINGS ON APPEAL.

Brussels Street.

Hearing of appeal of property owners from assessment for improvement of Brussels street be-

tween Campbell and Wilde avenues, including the crossing of Brussels street and Campbell avenue, and the improvement of Campbell avenue between Brussels street and San Bruno avenue, was *continued for one week.*

San Jose Avenue.

Appeal of property owners from the assessment for the improvement of San Jose avenue between the southwesterly line of Foote avenue, produced, to the northeasterly line of Sickles avenue, *contiuued for one week.*

Lease of 333 Kearny Street.

Hearing on the question of the lease of 333 Kearny street was *continued for one week* in order to give the members of the Board an opportunity to study the blue prints furnished to them of the space at the Hall of Justice.

UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

Final Passage.

The following matters, heretofore passed for printing, were taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Lease of Hangar Space, Municipal Airport.

Resolution No. 26983 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco accept the offer of Boeing Air Transport, Inc., a corporation, duly organized and existing under and by virtue of the laws of the State of Washington, for approximately 9000 square feet of floor space in hangar No. 1, to be constructed by the City and County of San Francisco at its airport near Millbrae, in San Mateo County, together with a reasonable amount of office space in the proposed administration building to be erected at said airport by the City and County, that the rental for said floor space and office space shall be seventy-five ($75) dollars per month, and the term of said lease shall be for a period of two years, with an option of two additional years at the same rental provided the City renews its present lease with the owners of the property on which said airport is maintained. That the lessee shall abide by all regulations which may be enacted by the City and County relative to the use of said airport, and shall pay for all light and water used in said hangar or adjacent thereto, with the exception of field or flood lights; and said lessee shall hold the City free and harmless from any and all claims for damage occasioned by any act of the lessee; and that the first and last months' rental due under said lease shall be payable in advance at the time of the execution of said lease; it being understood that the lessee shall have exclusive possession of said 9000 feet of floor space; and be it

Further Resolved, That the City Attorney be and he is hereby directed to prepare a lease with said Boeing Air Transport, Inc., in conformity with the terms of this resolution.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent — Supervisors Bath, Colman—2.

Authorizations.

Resolution No. 26984 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

Library Fund.

(1) American Building Maintenance Company, janitor service for Public Library (claim dated Feb. 28, 1927), $700.

(2) Geo. C. Terrill, carpenter work for Public Libraries (claim dated Feb. 28, 1927), $1,013.50.

(3) Foster & Futernick, binding Public Library books (claim dated Feb. 28, 1927), $2,745.40.

(4) G. E. Stechert & Co., Public Library books (claim dated Feb. 28, 1927), $606.17.

(5) San Francisco News Co., Public Library books (claim dated Feb. 28, 1927), $1,820.73.

(6) Houghton, Mifflin Co., Public Library books (claim dated Feb. 28, 1927), $501.42.

County Road Fund.

(7) J. M. Ough, drilling testholes for structures in connection with Bernal Cut (claim dated March 4, 1927), $1,228.25.

School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923.

(8) Alta Electric Company, third payment, electrical work, addition to Guadalupe School (claim dated March 8, 1927), $815.25.

(9) Anderson & Ringrose, second payment, general construction of Hearst-Moulder School (claim dated March 8, 1927), $10,040.48.

(10) S. W. Band, fourth payment, plumbing work, addition to Francis Scott Key School (claim dated March 8, 1927), $768.75.

PUBLIC LIBRARY

(11) Burnham Plumbing Company, thirteenth payment, plumbing work for new Mission High School (claim dated March 8, 1927), $876.57.

(12) Crown Electric Company, fifteenth payment, electrical work, new Mission High School (claim dated March 9, 1927), $2,365.92.

(13) Heywood-Wakefield Co., first and final payment, installation of auditorium chairs, new Mission High School (claim dated March 8, 1927), $12,398.80.

(14) Latourette-Fical Co., plumbing and gas-fitting, Mission (Everett) Junior High School (claim dated March 8, 1927), $4,959.82.

(15) John Reid, Jr., final payment, architectural services for West Portal School (claim dated March 8, 1927), $1,227.72.

(16) Thos. R. Smith, third payment, plumbing work, annex to Guadalupe School (claim dated March 8, 1927), $1,541.26.

(17) D. N. & E. Walter & Co., first and final payment, door and window shades for Henry Durant School (claim dated March 9, 1927), $974.55.

(18) Anderson & Ringrose, extra work, general construction of Dudley Stone School (claim dated March 7, 1927), $800.

Relief Home Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923.

(19) M. E. Ryan, conditional acceptance payment, electrical work, new Relief Home (claim dated March 9, 1927), $11,655.65.

(20) W. & J. Sloane, first and final payment, window and door shades for new Relief Home (claim dated March 8, 1927), $2,021.

(21) F. W. Snook Co., conditional acceptance payment, mechanical equipment for new Relief Home (claim dated March 9, 1927), $34,830.58.

Special School Tax.

(22) Alta Electric Co., second payment, electrical work, addition to Commodore Sloat School (claim dated March 8, 1927), $1,032.53.

(23) O. Monson, tenth payment, general construction of additional units to Galileo High School (claim dated March 9, 1927), $20,426.25.

Hetch Hetchy Water Bonds, Issue 1925.

(24) Beronio Lumber Co., lumber, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated March 8, 1927), $624.38.

(25) Bethlehem Shipbuilding Corporation, cast steel wheels for auto truck and chain cases, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated March 8, 1927), $542.

(26) The Giant Powder Co., explosives, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated March 8, 1927), $5,261.47.

(27) Ingersoll-Rand Co. of California, machinery parts, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated March 8, 1927), $701.55.

(28) Safety Cable Co., copper wire, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated March 8, 1927), $506.50.

(29) United States Steel Products Co., jute cable, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated March 8, 1927), $693.29.

(30) Western Pipe & Steel Co., air pipe, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated March 8, 1927), $1,830.20.

Municipal Railway Accident Reserve Fund.

(31) Francisca S. Rivas, settlement in full for account of injuries sustained, Superior Court Action No. 170006 (claim dated March 9, 1927), $1,500.

General Fund, 1926-1927.

(32) Associated Charities, widows' pensions (claim dated March 11, 1927), $8,308.08.

(33) Eureka Benevolent Society, widows' pensions (claim dated March 11, 1927), $892.24.

(34) Little Children's Aid, widows' pensions (claim dated March 11, 1927), $8,745.

(35) St. Vincent's School, maintenance of minors (claim dated March 9, 1927), $1,977.34.

(36) Boys' Aid Society, maintenance of minors (claim dated March 9, 1927), $1,386.43.

(37) Albertinum Orphanage, maintenance of minors (claim dated March 9, 1927), $1,644.70.

(38) San Francisco Protestant Orphanage, maintenance of minors (claim dated March 9, 1927), $991.82.

(39) San Francisco Nursery for Homeless Children, maintenance of minors (claim dated March 9, 1927), $627.35.

(40) Roman Catholic Orphanage, maintenance of minors (claim dated March 9, 1927), $4,135.

(41) St Catherine's Training Home, maintenance of minors (claim dated March 9, 1927), $486.30.

(42) Little Children's Aid, maintenance of minors (claim dated March 9, 1927), $12,073.03.

(43) Eureka Benevolent Society, maintenance of minors (claim dated March 9, 1927), $4,423.

(44) Children's Agency, maintenance of minors (claim dated March 9, 1927), $29,518.51.

(45) Preston School of Industry,

maintenance of minors (claim dated March 9, 1927), $683.88.

(46) Ventura School for Girls, maintenance of minors (claim dated March 9, 1927), $513.56.

(47) San Francisco Bulletin, official advertising (claim dated March 14, 1927), $1,483.20.

(48) Eaton & Smith, second payment, improvement of Sutro Monument (claim dated March 9, 1927), $1,950.

(49) Pacific Portland Cement Co., Con., cement for street repair (claim dated March 9, 1927), $2,241.69.

(50) Spring Valley Water Co., water furnished public buildings (claim dated March 9, 1927), $1,605.78.

(51) Louis J. Cohn, improvement of Forty-third avenue, Taraval to Santiago streets (claim dated March 9, 1927), $1,700.

(52) Eaton & Smith, improvement of Thirtieth street from Noe street westerly (claim dated March 9, 1927), $1,432.

(53) Eaton & Smith, improvement of Saturn street between Ord street and Lower Terrace (claim dated March 9, 1927), $855.61.

(54) Charles L. Harney, improvement of Twenty-fifth avenue between Moraga and Noriega streets, etc. (claim dated March 9, 1927), $2,287.

(55) Charles L. Harney, improvement of Judson avenue between Foerster and Edna streets (claim dated March 9, 1927), $2,719.93.

(56) Municipal Construction Co., improvement of Bay View avenue between Flora and Newhall streets (claim dated March 9, 1927), $2,983.78.

(57) Union Paving Co., reconstruction of Judah street between Thirty-seventh and Thirty-eighth avenues (claim dated March 9, 1927), $1,025.89.

(58) Union Paving Co., improvement of San Jose avenue from Sickles avenue to County line (claim dated March 9, 1927), $736.99.

(59) James T. Tobin, improvement of corners and sidewalks, Avalon, Brazil, Russia avenues, etc. (claim dated March 9, 1927). $984.64.

(60) S. S. White Dental Mfg. Co., dental equipment, Department Public Health (claim dated March 5, 1927), $963.30.

(61) Morris & Company, butter and cheese for Relief Home (claim dated Jan. 31, 1927), $1,074.54.

(62) Equitable Asphalt Maintenance Co., street resurfacing (claim dated March 4, 1927), $1,376.32.

(63) Symon Brothers, teams furnished for street cleaning (claim dated March 4, 1927), $609.50.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent — Supervisors Bath, Colman—2.

Appropriation, $126,118.46, Payment to Alice Williams for Land Required for School Purposes.

Resolution No. 26985 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $126,118.46 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923, and authorized in payment to Alice Williams (formerly Alice O'Day); being payment for four parcels of land commencing at the intersection of the southerly line of Anza street and the westerly line of Thirty-first avenue and vicinity; being portions of Outside Land Blocks Nos. 313 and 314, and as per acceptance of offers by Resolution No. 26927 (New Series).

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent — Supervisors Bath, Colman—2.

Appropriations, Payments to Salvatore Zanca and Others for Land Required for School Purposes.

Resolution No. 26986 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized in payment out of School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923, to the hereinafter named persons; being payments for lands and improvements required for school purposes, to-wit:

(1) To Salvatore Zanca, for land commencing at point formed by intersection of easterly line of Banks street with southerly line of Tompkins street; running thence southerly on easterly line of Banks street 25 feet; thence easterly 70 feet; thence northerly 25 feet; thence westerly 70 feet; per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 26926 (New Series), $1,000.

(2) To John Kearney, for land situate on the southerly line of Quintara street, commencing 90 feet westerly from the westerly line of Twenty-third avenue; running thence westerly on the southerly line of Quintara street 30 feet; thence southerly 100 feet; thence

easterly 30 feet; thence northerly 100 feet; per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 26928 (New Series), $1,400.

(3) To Joseph H. Quadt, for land and improvements on the southerly line of Nineteenth street, commencing 34 feet easterly from the easterly line of Diamond street; running thence easterly on Nineteenth street 33 feet; thence southerly 100 feet; thence westerly 33 feet; thence northerly 100 feet; per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 26930 (New Series), $5,900.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent — Supervisors Bath, Colman—2.

Appropriation, $2,000, for Construction of San Francisco Exhibit, Transcontinental Highway Exposition, June 28 to July 31, 1927.

Resolution No. 26987 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $2,000 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of Publicity and Advertising—Appropriation 55, and authorized in payment to Rudolph Theurkauf and William A. Theurkauf; being second payment as per agreement entered into by the City and County with said Rudolph Theurkauf and William A. Theurkauf for the construction of exhibit for the publicity and advertising of San Francisco at the Transcontinental Highway Exposition to be held at the City of Reno, State of Nevada, from June 28 to July 31, 1927.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent — Supervisors Bath, Colman—2.

Appropriation, $10,000, for Instruments, Superintendence and Obtaining of Meterological Data Required for Airport Sites.

Resolution No. 26988 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $10,000 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of "Municipal Airport," Budget Item No. 60, for the securing of necessary instruments, providing the necessary superintendence and obtaining the meteorological data required in connection with various projected airport sites in San Mateo County.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent — Supervisors Bath, Colman—2.

Oil Permits.

Resolution No. 26989 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits be and the same are hereby granted:

Oil Tanks.

O. E. Carlson, west side of Guerrero street, 30 feet north of Nineteenth street, 1500 gallons capacity.

W. Hoffman, east side of Scott street, 110 feet south of Golden Gate avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

W. Hoffman, east side of Scott street, 140 feet south of Golden Gate avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

Edward Jose, south side of Clay street, 90 feet east of Baker street, 1500 gallons capacity.

W. Klahn, west side of Eighth avenue, 50 feet north of Irving street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Leighton Cafeterias, Inc., 1080 Market street, 600 gallons capacity.

John Little & Son, east side of Buchanan street, 150 feet north of Duboce avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

John Little & Son, east side of Buchanan street, 175 feet north of Duboce avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

MacDonald & Kahn, west side of San Bruno avenue, 180 feet north of Bacon street, 1500 gallons capacity.

R. Monson, south side of Lincoln way, 25 feet east of Fifteenth avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

Murphy & Seitz, northeast corner of Frederick and Willard streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

Murphy & Seitz, north side of Frederick street, 70 feet east of Willard street, 1500 gallons capacity.

J. Prout, east side of San Buena Ventura way, 180 feet south of St. Francis boulevard, 1500 gallons capacity.

Rotair Burner Corporation, 4301 Geary street, 600 gallons capacity.

E. Sugarman, 713 Fourteenth avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits shall become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Ronco-

vieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent — Supervisors Bath, Colman—2.

Declaratory Ordinance, Acquisition of Water Supply.

Bill No. 7941, Ordinance No. 7449 (New Series), as follows:

Determining and declaring that public interest and necessity demand the acquisition, construction and completion of a public utility, to-wit: A water supply and works, water rights, to be owned and controlled by the City and County of San Francisco and directing the Board of Public Works to procure from the City Engineer and to place on file with the Board of Supervisors plans and estimates of the cost of original construction, completion and acquisition by the City and County of San Francisco of the Spring Valley Water Company, its water supply, water works, pumping plants, lands, rights of way, storage reservoirs and distributing system or such portion thereof as may be deemed necessary.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby determined and specifically declared that the public interest and necessity demand the acquisition of all of the property or such portion thereof as may be deemed necessary, water rights, rights of way, storage reservoirs, water works, water supply, pumping plants, lands within and without the confines of the City and County of San Francisco that shall be deemed necessary, and distributing system of the Spring Valley Water Company, a public utility, now distributing water within the City and County of San Francisco, used or useful in supplying water to the City and County of San Francisco and to its inhabitants and continuing the water supply system of the said Spring Valley Water Company as an existing public utility.

Section 2. The Board of Public Works is hereby directed to procure through the City Engineer and file with the Board of Supervisors plans and estimates of the cost of acquisition, original construction and completion by said City and County of the public utility herein named, which is designated as the Spring Valley Water Company and as having available a supply of good pure water for the uses of the inhabitants of the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 3. This ordinance shall be published for a period of two weeks in the official newspaper and take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Todd—15.

No—Supervisor Stanton—1.

Absent — Supervisors Bath, Colman—2.

Declaratory Ordinance, War Memorial.

Bill No. 7942, Ordinance No. 7450 (New Series), as follows:

Determining and declaring that public interest and necessity demand the acquisition, construction and equipment of permanent public buildings in or adjacent to the Civic Center of the City and County of San Francisco to be used as a Memorial Hall for war veterans for educational, recreational, entertainment and other municipal purposes, and directing the Board of Public Works to procure through the City Engineer and to place on file with the Board of Supervisors, plans and estimates of the cost thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby determined and specifically declared that the public interest and necessity demand the acquisition and construction, completion and equipment of permanent buildings in or adjacent to the Civic Center in the City and County of San Francisco to be used as a Memorial Hall for war veterans and for educational, recreational, entertainment and other municipal purposes and the purchase of all equipment and furnishings necessary for said buildings.

Section 2. The Board of Public Works is hereby directed to procure, through the City Engineer, and file with the Board of Supervisors, plans and estimates of cost of the original construction, completion and equipment of the public buildings described in Section 1 of this ordinance.

Section 3. This ordinance shall be published for two weeks in the official newspaper and shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent — Supervisors Bath, Colman—2.

Authorizing Plans for Lighting Equipment and Appurtenances for Municipal Airport, San Mateo County.

Bill No. 7936, Ordinance No. 7451 (New Series), as follows:

Authorizing the preparation of plans and specifications for lighting equipment and appurtenances for the Municipal Airport in San Mateo County, and ordering the lighting equipment and appurtenances for the Municipal Airport in San Mateo County in accordance with the plans and specifications prepared therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized, instructed and empowered to prepare plans and specifications for lighting equipment and appurtenances for the Municipal Airport in San Mateo County, and to enter into contract for lighting equipment and appurtenances for the Municipal Airport in San Mateo County in accordance with the plans and specifications prepared therefor.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent — Supervisors Bath, Colman—2.

Authorizing Plans, Etc., for Water Supply, Draining and Marking Municipal Airport in San Mateo County.

Bill No. 7937, Ordinance No. 7452 (New Series), as follows:

Authorizing the preparation of plans and specifications for the water supply, draining and marking for the Municipal Airport, San Mateo County, and ordering construction for water supply, draining and marking for the Municipal Airport, San Mateo County, in accordance with plans and specifications prepared therefor, and authorizing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for said construction for water supply, draining and marking for the said Municipal Airport, San Mateo County.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized, instructed and empowered to prepare plans and specifications for construction for water supply, draining and marking for the Municipal Airport, San Mateo County, and to enter into contract for the construction for water supply, draining and marking for the Municipal Airport, San Mateo County, in accordance with plans and specifications prepared therefor.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent — Supervisors Bath, Colman—2.

Authorizing Plans, Etc., for Station Building and Equipment, Municipal Airport, San Mateo County.

Bill No. 7938, Ordinance No. 7453 (New Series), as follows:

Authorizing the preparation of plans and specifications for station building and equipment in connection with the Municipal Airport in San Mateo County, and ordering the construction of station building and equipment in connection with the Municipal Airport in San Mateo County, in accordance with said plans and specifications prepared therefor, and directing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for said construction.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized, instructed and empowered to prepare plans and specifications for station building and equipment in connection with the Municipal Airport in San Mateo County, and to enter into contract for said construction of station building and equipment in connection with Municipal Airport in San Mateo County, in accordance with plans and specifications prepared therefor.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent — Supervisors Bath, Colman—2.

Authorizing Plans, Etc., Shop and Hangar Equipment, Municipal Airport, San Mateo County.

Bill No. 7939, Ordinance No. 7454 (New Series), as follows:

Authorizing the preparation of plans and specifications for shop and hangar equipment in connection with the Municipal Airport in San Mateo County, and ordering the construction of shop and hangar equipment in connection with the Municipal Airport in San Ma-

teo County, in accordance with plans and specifications prepared therefor, and directing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for said construction.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized, instructed and empowered to prepare plans and specifications for shop and hangar equipment in connection with the Municipal Airport in San Mateo County, and to enter into contract for said construction of shop and hangar equipment in connection with the Municipal Airport in San Mateo County, in accordance with the plans and specifications prepared therefor.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent — Supervisors Bath, Colman—2.

Authorizing Plans, Etc., Hangars and Approaches, Municipal Airport, San Mateo County.

Bill No. 7940, Ordinance No. 7455 (New Series), as follows:

Authorizing the preparation of plans and specifications for the construction of hangars and approaches for the Municipal Airport in San Mateo County, and ordering the construction of hangars and approaches for the Municipal Airport in San Mateo County, in accordance with said plans and specifications prepared therefor, and directing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for said construction.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized, instructed and empowered to prepare plans and specifications for the construction of hangars and approaches for the Municipal Airport in San Mateo County, and to enter into contract for the construction of hangars and approaches for the Municipal Airport in San Mateo County, in accordance with said plans and specifications prepared therefor.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent — Supervisors Bath, Colman—2.

Full Acceptance, Baker Street.

Bill No. 7943, Ordinance No. 7456 (New Series), as follows:

Providing for full acceptance of the roadway of Baker street between North Point and Beach streets; Forty-second avenue between Judah and Kirkham streets; Fourteenth avenue between Rivera and Santiago streets; Harkness street between Brussels and Girard streets; Mount Vernon avenue between Huron and Del Monte avenues; Mount Vernon avenue between Huron and Ellington avenues and the intersections of Mount Vernon avenue and Del Monte street; San Jose avenue between Sickles avenue and the County Line; Thirty-third avenue between Santiago and Taraval streets; Thirty-first avenue between Rivera and Santiago streets; Twenty-seventh avenue between Santiago and Taraval streets; Thirty-fourth avenue between Vicente street and Sloat boulevard, including the crossing of Wawona street, the crossing of Yorba street and the intersection of Escondido street; crossing of Baker and Beach streets; Darien way between the westerly line of Westgate drive and Kenwood way, including the crossing of Darien way and Westgate drive; intersection of Darien way and Manor drive and the crossing of Darien way and Northgate drive; Upland drive between the westerly line of Westgate drive and Kenwood way, including the crossings of Upland drive and Westgate drive; Manor drive and the intersection of Upland drive and Northgate drive; Kenwood way between the westerly line of Westgate drive and Faxon avenue, including the crossings of Westgate drive: Pinehurst way, Manor drive and the intersections of Fairfield way, Keystone way and Upland drive; Northgate drive between Monterey boulevard and Darien way and between Darien way and Upland drive; Westgate drive between Ocean avenue and Kenwood way; Kenwood way and Upland drive; Upland drive and Darien way and Darien way and Monterey boulevard; Pinehurst way between Ocean avenue and Kenwood way and Kenwood way and Upland drive; Manor drive between Ocean avenue and Kenwood way; Kenwood way and Upland drive and between Upland drive and Darien way; Sanfranbank avenue between Ocean avenue and

Fairfield way and the intersection of Fairfield way and Sanfranbank avenue; Fairfield way between Ocean avenue and Kenwood way; Keystone way between Ocean avenue and Kenwood way.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The roadways of the following named streets, including the curbs on both sides thereof, having been constructed to the satisfaction of the Board of Public Works and of the Board of Supervisors, are hereby fully accepted by the City and County of San Francisco (except those portions required by law to be kept in order by the railroad company having tracks thereon), in accordance with the provisions of Section 23, Chapter II, Article VI, of the Charter, said roadways having been paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete, and concrete curbs laid thereon, and are in good condition throughout, and have sewers, gas and water mains laid therein, to-wit: Baker street between North Point and Beach streets; Forty-second avenue between Judah and Kirkham streets; Fourteenth avenue between Rivera and Santiago streets; Harkness street between Brussels and Girard streets; Mount Vernon avenue between Huron and Del Monte avenues; Mount Vernon avenue between Huron and Ellington avenues, and the intersections of Mount Vernon avenue and Del Monte street; San Jose avenue between Sickles avenue and the County Line; Thirty-third avenue between Santiago and Taraval streets; Thirty-first avenue between Rivera and Santiago streets; Twenty-seventh avenue between Santiago and Taraval streets; Thirty-fourth avenue between Vicente street and Sloat boulevard, including the crossing of Waweona street, the crossing of Yorba street and the intersection of Escondido street; crossing of Baker and Beach streets; Darien way between the westerly line of Westgate drive and Kenwood way, including the crossing of Darien way and Westgate drive; intersection of Darien way and Manor drive and the crossing of Darien way and Northgate drive; Upland drive between the westerly line of Westgate drive and Kenwood way, including the crossings of Upland drive and Westgate drive, Manor drive and the intersection of Upland drive and Northgate drive; Kenwood way between the westerly line of Westgate drive and Faxon avenue, including the crossings of Westgate drive, Pinehurst way, Manor drive and the intersections of Fairfield way, Keystone way and Upland drive; Northgate drive between Monterey boulevard and Darien way and between Darien way and Upland drive; Westgate drive between Ocean avenue and Kenwood way, Kenwood way and Upland drive, Upland drive and Darien way and Darien way and Monterey boulevard; Pinehurst way between Ocean avenue and Kenwood way and Kenwood way and Upland drive; Manor drive between Ocean avenue and Kenwood way, Kenwood way and Upland drive and between Upland drive and Darien way; Sanfranbank avenue between Ocean avenue and Fairfield way and the intersection of Fairfield way and Sanfranbank avenue; Fairfield way between Ocean avenue and Kenwood way; Keystone way between Ocean avenue and Kenwood way.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent — Supervisors Bath, Colman—2.

PRESENTATION OF BILLS AND ACCOUNTS.

Demands not required by law to be passed to print, and amounting to $68,618.40, were allowed and ordered paid by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent — Supervisors Bath, Colman—2.

NEW BUSINESS.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Authorizations.

On motion of Supervisor Mc Sheehy:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

Park Fund.

(1) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., gas and electric service for parks (claim dated Mar. 18, 1927), $790.94.

(2) Spring Valley Water Co.,

water service for parks (claim dated Mar. 18, 1927), $703.17.

(3) Willis Polk & Co., services as supervising architects, construction of Basketball Pavilion (claim dated Mar. 18, 1927), $1,148.

Publicity and Advertising (Appropriation 55).

(4) San Francisco Convention and Tourist League, expense for account of publicity and advertising of San Francisco (claim dated Mar. 21, 1927), $618.32.

(5) Capital Decorating and Mfg. Co., street decorations for account of celebration of "Feast of Lanterns," for the publicity and advertising of San Francisco (claim dated Mar. 21, 1927), $1,000.

School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923.

(6) C. F. Webber & Co., Inc., portable chairs for the Alamo School (claim dated Mar. 15, 1927), $1,340.

(7) C. F. Webber & Co., Inc., portable chairs for the Alvarado School (claim dated Mar. 15, 1927), $1,340.

(8) C. F. Webber & Co., Inc., portable chairs for the Hawthorne School (claim dated Mar. 15, 1927), $1,340.

(9) C. F. Webber & Co., Inc., portable chairs for the Le Conte School (claim dated Mar. 15, 1927), $1,340.

Hetch Hetchy Water Bonds, Issue 1925.

(10) Myers-Whaley Co., shoveling machine parts for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Mar. 14, 1927), $927.95.

(11) The Charles Nelson Co., lumber for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Mar. 14, 1927), $1,646.80.

(12) State Compensation Insurance Fund, premium for insurance of Hetch Hetchy employees (claim dated Mar. 14, 1927), $2,527.86.

(13) T. E. Connolly and J. M. DeLucca, second payment, construction of aqueduct tunnels, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Mar. 14, 1927), $33,997.99.

(14) A. Guthrie & Co., Inc., third payment, construction of aqueduct tunnels, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Mar. 14, 1927), $50,348.26.

Municipal Railway Fund.

(15) San Francisco City Employees Retirement System, for pensions, etc., Municipal Railway employees (claim dated Mar. 7, 1927), $6,655.97.

(16) Crown Oil Co., gasoline for Municipal Railways (claim dated Mar. 14, 1927), $1,450.35.

(17) Market Street Railway Co., electric power furnished Municipal Railways (claim dated Mar. 14, 1927), $3,040.01.

(18) Market Street Railway Co., reimbursement under agreement of Dec. 12, 1918), $1,449.94.

(19) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., electric power furnished Municipal Railways (claim dated Mar. 14, 1927), $34,899.29.

Tearing-Up Streets Fund.

(20) Gladding, McBean & Co., sewer pipe for sidesewers (claim dated Mar. 14, 1927), $525.

(21) J. H. McCallum, lumber for sidesewers (claim dated Mar. 14, 1927), $986.28.

County Road Fund.

(22) Fay Improvement Co., final payment for improvement of Geneva avenue from Prague street to the County Line (claim dated Mar. 16, 1927), $2,385.28.

(23) Pacific States Construction Co., final payment, improvement of Masonic avenue between Oak and Fell streets (claim dated Mar. 16, 1927), $2,481.73.

Playground Fund.

(24) International Filter Company of California, filter plant equipment installed in Mission Baths (claim dated Mar. 16, 1927), $2,844.82.

General Fund, 1926-1927.

(25) California Petroleum Corporation, fuel oil for Civic Center Power House (claim dated Mar. 14, 1927), $1,346.44.

(26) Crown Oil Co., gasoline for Fire Department (claim dated Feb. 28, 1927), $866.09.

(27) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., gas and electricity for Fire Department (claim dated Feb. 28, 1927), $2,267.13.

(28) The Seagrave Corporation, parts for Fire Department apparatus (claim dated Feb. 28, 1927), $1,292.38.

(29) Shell Co. of California, fuel oil for Fire Department (claim dated Feb. 28, 1927), $1,177.93.

(30) Spring Valley Water Co., water furnished Fire Department (claim dated Feb. 28, 1927), $2,132.20.

(31) Standard Oil Co., motor oil, Fire Department (claim dated Feb. 28, 1927), $539.76.

(32) San Francisco Bulletin, official advertising (claim dated Mar. 21, 1927), $649.72.

(33) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., street lighting (claim dated Mar. 21, 1927), $49,033.82.

(34) The Recorder Printing and Publishing Co., printing Law-Mo-

tion-Trial-Calendar (claim dated Mar. 21, 1927), $1,075.

(35) Sonoma State Home, maintenance of feeble-minded (claim dated Mar. 21, 1927), $9,200.

(36) A. P. Jacobs, rent of premises No. 333 Kearny street, month ending April 3, 1927 (claim dated Mar. 21, 1927), $1,186.

(37) Crown Oil Co., gasoline, Police Dept. (claim dated Mar. 14, 1927), $888.82.

(38) Dollar Steamship Line, freight on voting machines (claim dated Mar. 16, 1927), $624.18.

(39) Dollar Steamship Line, freight on voting machines (claim dated Mar. 16, 1927), $1,867.34.

(40) Spring Valley Water Co., water for street cleaning (claim dated Mar. 11, 1927), $537.04.

(41) Baker, Hamilton & Pacific Co., supplies for street repair (claim dated Mar. 11, 1927), $524.28.

(42) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., lighting public buildings (claim dated Mar. 11, 1927), $4,409.16.

(43) California Petroleum Corporation, fuel oil, Hall of Justice (claim dated Mar. 10, 1927), $621.36.

(44) L. C. Acimovich, potatoes for Relief Home (claim dated Mar. 17, 1927), $889.15.

(45) California Meat Co., meats for Relief Home (claim dated Mar. 17, 1927), $1,295.30.

(46) Del Monte Meat Co., meats for Relief Home (claim dated Mar. 17, 1927), $3,556.86.

(47) J. T. Freitas Co., Inc., eggs for Relief Home (claim dated Mar. 17, 1927), $763.66.

(48) Sperry Flour Co., flour for Relief Home (claim dated Mar. 17, 1927), $713.15.

(49) Herbert F. Dugan, drugs for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Mar. 17, 1927), $692.34.

(50) R. L. Scherer Co., Wappler Monex apparatus for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Mar. 17, 1927), $1,083.

(51) Chas. Brown & Sons, crockery for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Mar. 17, 1927), $1,004.56.

(52) E. B. Frick, room allowances for San Francisco Hospital employees (claim dated Mar. 17, 1927), $1,970.55.

(53) Spring Valley Water Co., water for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Mar. 17, 1927), $1,337.70.

(54) Spring Valley Water Co., water for Relief Home (claim dated Mar. 17, 1927), $594.74.

Hetch Hetchy Operative Revenue Fund.

(55) John J. Dailey, legal services, valuation of San Francisco electric properties, March, 1927 (claim dated Mar. 14, 1927), $850.

(56) N. Randall Ellis, engineering services, valuation of San Francisco electric properties, March, 1927 (claim dated Mar. 14, 1927), $750.

Hetch Hetchy Power Operative Fund.

(57) The Buda Company, motor car for standard gauge track (claim dated Mar. 14, 1927), $575.

(58) Depreciation Fund, Hetch Hetchy Power Operative, as reserve for depreciation, Section 16, Article 12 of Charter, for period of July 1, 1926, to February 28, 1927 (claim dated Mar. 14, 1927), $131,250.

Appropriations for Acquisition of Land Required for Bernal Cut.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized in payment out of appropriations heretofore made out of County Road Fund for the opening of Bernal Cut and improvement of Bay Shore boulevard to the hereinafter designated persons; being payments for properties required for said projects, to-wit:

(1) To G. Bernini and Emilia Bernini, for all of Lot 39, Jones' Addition to Fairmount Tract, as recorded in Map Book "E" and "F", page 169, of the City and County of San Francisco, saving and excepting parcel beginning at a point on the southeasterly line of Arlington street, distant thereon 288 feet southwesterly from the southwesterly line of Roanoke street; thence southwesterly on said southeasterly line of 25 feet, and being of irregular dimensions; as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 26920 (New Series), and required for Bernal Cut (claim dated Mar. 10, 1927), $908.

(2) To M. Deminio and Rose Deminio, for Lot 31, Block 6692, as per Assessor's Block Book of the City and County of San Francisco; as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 26920 (New Series), and required for Bernal Cut (claim dated March 10, 1927), $2,500.

(3) To Ernst Otto Semerau, for Lot 6A, Block 6661, as per Assessor's Block Book of the City and County of San Francisco, and as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 26920 (New Series), required for Bernal Cut (claim dated March 10, 1927), $7,250.

(4) To Theresa Stuhr, for all of Lot 20, 21, 22, and 23, according to map entitled "Haley's Map No. 1 of Precita Valley Lots Nos. 319 to 333, inclusive," filed in the office of

the County Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco December 12, 1861, and recorded in Book 1 of Maps, page 90; as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 26921 (New Series), required for Bay Shore boulevard (claim dated March 10, 1927), $8,167.

(5) To Andrew Hardenburgh, for Lots 4 and 5, Block 5448, Assessor's Block Book of the City and County of San Francisco, and for a portion of Lot 1513 of Gift Map No. 2, as recorded in Map Book 2 "A" and "B", page 13, records of the City and County of San Francisco; as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 26921 (New Series), required for Bay Shore boulevard (claim dated March 10, 1927), $1,750.

Appropriations for Land Required for the Opening of Bernal Cut.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized in payment out of the General Fund, Fiscal Year 1925-1926 (Bernal Cut Rights of Way, Budget Item No. 77), and authorized in payment to the hereinafter named persons; being payments for properties required for the opening of Bernal Cut, to-wit:

(1) To Melaine Fraser, for Lot 18, Block 6689, as per the Assessor's Block Book of the City and County, and as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 26919 (New Series), (claim dated March 10, 1927), $2,500.

(2) To Frank Sebanc, for Lot 19, Block 6689, as per the Assessor's Block Book of the City and County, and as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 26919 (New Series), (claim dated March 10, 1927), $3,750.

Authorization, $600, Payment to the Anglo American Land Company for Land Required for the Extension of Nineteenth Street.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $600 be and the same is hereby authorized in payment out of "Nineteenth Street, Extension to Mono," Budget Item No. 141, General Fund, 1926-1927, to Anglo American Land Co.; being payment for property required for the extension of Nineteenth street, to-wit:

Beginning at a point on the southeasterly boundary of Lot 10 of Block 11 of Market Street Homestead Association, distant thereon 31 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly boundary of Lot 10, and running thence northeasterly along the southeasterly boundary of Lots 10, 13 and 14 of said Block 11, 118.292 feet, and being of irregular dimensions; as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 26923 (New Series). (Claim dated March 10, 1927.)

Appropriation, $1,500, Continuing Salary Standardization Under Direction of Civil Service Commission.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $1,500 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of the General Fund, 1926-1927, for the expense of continuing salary standardization by and under the direction of the Civil Service Commission.

Authorizations in Payment to Alvida Lehman and Edwin W. Stone for Land Required for the Extension of Nineteenth Street.

Supervisor McSheehy presented: Resolution No. 26990 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be paid to the hereinafter named persons out of "Nineteenth Street, Extension to Mono," Budget Item No, 141, Fiscal Year 1926-1927; being payments for properties required for the extension of Nineteenth street, to-wit:

(1) To Alvida Lehman, for a portion of Lot 12 of Block 11 of the Market Street Homestead Association—beginning at a point on the northwesterly corner of Lot 12 of Block 11 of Market Street Homestead Association; thence running northeasterly along the northwesterly boundary of said Lot 12, 87.292 feet to the southwesterly line of Yukon street, and being of irregular dimensions; as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 26923 (New Series), (claim dated March 10, 1927), $500.

(2) To Edwin W. Stone, for a portion of Lot 8 of Block 11 of the Market Street Homestead Association—beginning at the northwesterly corner of Lot 8 of Block 11 of Market Street Homestead Association, and running thence northeasterly along the northwesterly boundary of said Lot 8, 110 feet, and being of irregular dimensions; as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 26923 (New Series), (claim dated March 10, 1927), $440.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Lyington, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent — Supervisors Bath, Colman—2.

City Attorney to Compromise Claim of John P. Bowers.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 26991 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, there is pending against the City and County of San Francisco an action entitled "John P. Bowers v. City and County of San Francisco," said action being Superior Court Register No. 173932, and which said action is being prosecuted by said John P. Bowers to recover from the City and County of San Francisco the sum of $20,000 as damages sustained while participating in an examination held by the Civil Service Commission of this City and County; and

Whereas, the City Attorney has recommended the settlement and compromise of said litigation by the payment of two thousand ($2,000) dollars to said John P. Bowers, in full settlement of all demands arising out of said injury sustained as aforesaid; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the City Attorney be and he is hereby authorized, empowered and directed to settle and compromise the aforesaid litigation with said John P. Bowers by the payment to said John P. Bowers of the sum of $2,000; and be it

Further Resolved, That there be and there is hereby appropriated from the Urgent Necessities Fund the sum of two thousand ($2,000) dollars for the purpose of effecting said compromise.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent — Supervisors Bath, Colman—2.

Action Deferred.

The following matter was *continued one week*:

Transfer of Twin Peaks Tunnel Funds to Municipal Railway.

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $42,508.21 be and is hereby ordered transferred from the Twin Peaks Ridge Tunnel Assessment Fund to the Municipal Railway Fund and the Board of Public Works, Tax Collector, Auditor and Treasurer are hereby directed to make such entries in their official records and books of account as will correctly show such transfer; said transfer is for the purpose of reimbursing said Municipal Railway Fund for moneys advanced therefrom and used in the construction of the Twin Peaks Tunnel project.

Action Deferred.

The following matter was *continued one week and made a Special Order for* 11 *a. m.*:

Denial of Zoning Change, Ocean Avenue.

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, applications have been made for a change of zone along Ocean avenue between Fairfield way and Westgate drive, and between Cerritos avenue and Victoria street from a Residential to Commercial District, and after hearings thereon by the City Planning Commission and City Planning Committee, it was deemed to the public interest that said applications be denied, except as to that portion of the northeasterly side of Ocean avenue between Fairfield way and a point 171 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of Keystone way, which change from Residential to Commercial District has been approved by this Board; therefore,

Resolved, That all pending applications for changes of zone be denied and this Board declares that no further changes should be made along Ocean avenue in this vicinity.

Passed for Printing.

The following bill was *passed for printing*:

Amending Zoning Ordinance Placing the Southerly Side of Chestnut Street Between Pierce and Scott Streets in the Commercial District.

On motion of Supervisor Hayden:

Bill No. 7944, Ordinance No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings, and the location of buildings designed for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Section 2 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place in the Commercial District instead of the Second Residential District, where not already in the Commercial District, the southerly side of Chestnut street

between Pierce and Scott streets, and extending to the depth of the rear lot lines.

Rescinding Set-Back Lines, Farallones Street.

Supervisor Hayden presented:

Resolution No. 26992 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That so much of Resolution No. 22727 (New Series) as declares it to be the intention to establish set-back lines along both sides of Farallones street between Capitol and Orizaba avenues, be and the same is hereby rescinded.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent — Supervisors Bath, Colman—2.

Rescinding Set-Back Lines, Ulloa Street.

Supervisor Hayden presented:

Resolution No. 26993 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That so much of Resolution No. 26619 (New Series) as declares it to be the intention to establish set-back lines along the northerly side of Ulloa street between Thirty-fourth avenue and Thirty-fifth avenue, be and the same is hereby rescinded.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent — Supervisors Bath, Colman—2.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing.*

Oil and Boiler Permits.

On motion of Supervisor Deasy:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series) as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits be and the same are hereby granted:

Oil Tanks.

Wm. Cranston, west side of Mason street, 50 feet south of Post street, 1500 gallons capacity.

F. A. Dorn, 2398 Vallejo street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Axel Johnson, east side of Broderick street, 25 feet south of Chestnut street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Edward Jose, west side of Frankline street, 90 feet north of Union street, 1500 gallons capacity.

L. Kahn, No. 1 Twelfth avenue, 600 gallons capacity.

Pacific States Construction Company, Carroll avenue and Jennings street, 8000 gallons capacity.

S. Rosori, north side of Geary street, 150 feet east of Baker street, 1500 gallons capacity.

N. Schultz, 320 Sea Cliff avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

Stock, Maas & Sauer, south side of Chestnut street, 75 feet west of Webster street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Mrs. Ruth Tillman, northeast corner of Clifford Terrace and Ashbury street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Frank Weymouth, 1431 Steiner street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Boiler.

Roma Baking Company, 2086 Greenwich street, 15-horsepower.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits shall become null and void.

Transfer of Garage Permits.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series) as follows:

Resolved, That P. Sunderland and Herbert Day be and the same are hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred public garage permit heretofore granted R. W. Kern by Resolution No. 23393 (New Series) for premises at the northwest corner of Pine and Franklin streets.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series) as follows:

Resolved, That J. E. French be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred public garage permit heretofore granted Chas. H. Haase by Resolution No. 24787 (New Series) for premises at 655 Ellis street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Garage Permit.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series) as follows:

Resolved, That Fred W. Hess be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to operate and maintain a public garage on the south side of Mission street, 175 feet west of Seventh street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Automobile Supply Station.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series) as follows:

Resolved, That Shell Company of California be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to operate and maintain an automobile supply station on the southwest corner of Portola drive and Fowler avenue, said location to comply with the provisions of Ordinance No. 7162 (New Series), amending Use of Property Zone Map, Sections 6 and 13, approved July 17, 1926.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Street Lights.

Supervisor Stanton presented:

Resolution No. 26994 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Pacific Gas and Electric Company be and it is hereby authorized and requested to remove and install the following street lights:

Remove Gas.

South side Lynch street between Hyde and Leavenworth streets.

Bartlett street, 109 feet south of Twenty-third street.

Northwest and southeast corners Twentieth street and Potrero avenue.

Southwest corner Kearny and Vallejo streets.

Remove Double Inverted Gas.

Two, front of St. Peter's and Paul's Church.

Front of Church, Bessie avenue and Stoneman street.

Remove 400 *M. R.*

Twenty-ninth and Sanchez streets.

Remove 250 *M. R.*

Liebig street between San Jose avenue and Mission street.

Change 400 *M. R.*

Northeast corner to southwest corner Dolores and Fifteenth streets.

Install 600 *M. R.*

Twenty-ninth and Sanchez streets.

Install 300-*Watt Lamps on Electroliers.*

East side Sansome street between Market and Bush streets.

East side Sansome street between Bush and Pine streets.

West side Sansome street between Bush and Pine streets.

East side Sansome street between Pine and California streets.

West side Sansome street between Pine and California streets.

East side Sansome street between California and Halleck streets.

Southwest corner Sansome and Halleck streets.

Install 400 *M. R.*

Farallones avenue, south of San Jose avenue, opposite No. 36.

Guerrero street, 85 feet south of Clinton Park.

Bartlett street between Twenty-third and Twenty-fourth streets.

Potrero avenue and Twentieth street.

Twenty-fourth avenue between Lawton and Moraga streets.

Forty-second avenue between Point Lobos avenue and Clement street.

Twentieth avenue between Ortega and Pacheco streets.

Hearst avenue between Genessee and Hamburg streets.

Rae avenue off Farragut avenue.

Southeast corner Vallejo and Kearny streets.

Thirty-fifth avenue between Irving and Judah streets.

Two lights on standards, front of St. Peter's and Paul's Church.

Lynch street between Hyde and Leavenworth streets.

Crescent avenue above Agnon avenue.

Regent street and San Jose avenue.

De Long and Rice streets.

Diamond street between Clipper and Twenty-fifth streets.

Harper street between Thirtieth and Randall streets.

Regent street between Winnipeg street and San Jose avenue.

Liebig street between San Jose avenue and Mission street (two lights).

Montana street, front of No. 225.

Circular avenue between Detroit and Stapes streets.

Judson avenue between Edna and Foerester streets.

Northeast corner Fifteenth and Dolores streets.

Oloran street between Santa Rosa avenue and Colonial way.

Clayton street between Fulton Grove streets.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd.—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman.—2.

Passed for Printing.

The following bill was *passed for printing.*

Underground District No. 20, California Street, Sacramento Street and Marina Boulevard.

On motion of Supervisor Stanton:

Bill No. 7945, Ordinance No. —— (New Series) as follows:

Amending Order No. 214 (Second Series), entitled "Providing for placing electric wires and conduits underground in the City and County of San Francisco," by adding a new section thereto to be known as Section 1o.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1o. An additional district to those heretofore described, within which it shall be unlawful to maintain poles and overhead wires after December 31, 1927, is hereby designated, to-wit:

Underground District No. 20—Sacramento street from the west line of Stockton street to the west line of Mason street (1040 feet); Mason street from the north line of Sacramento street to the north line of Pine street (670) feet; California street from the west line of Stockton street to the east line of Franklin street (4860 feet); Pacific avenue from the west line of Van Ness avenue to the east line of Fillmore street (3270) feet; Marina boulevard from the east line of Baker street to the east line of Beach street at Buchanan street (4050 feet).

(It is also ordered that the underground district provided for in Section 10a of Order No. 214 (Second Series), on Haight street from Gough street westerly, be now done while the street reconstruction work is going on.)

Accepting Offer of John Birkenshaw to Sell Certain Land on Quintara Street Required for School Purposes.

Supervisor Powers presented:

Resolution No. 26995 (New Series) as follows:

Whereas, an offer has been received from John Birkenshaw to convey to the City and County of San Francisco certain land situate south line of Quintara street, distant 30 feet westerly from Twenty-third avenue, required for school purposes; and

Whereas, the price at which said parcel of land is offered is the reasonable value thereof; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the offer of the said owner to convey to the City and County of San Francisco a good and sufficient fee simple title to the following described land, free of all encumbrances, for the sum of $2,800, be and the same is hereby accepted, the said land being described as follows, to-wit:

Commencing at a point on the southerly line of Quintara street, distant thereon 30 feet westerly from the westerly line of Twenty-third avenue, running thence westerly along said southerly line of Quintara street 60 feet; thence at a right angle southerly 100 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 60 feet; thence at a right angle northerly 100 feet to the southerly line of Quintara street and point of commencement. Being a portion of Outside Lands Block 1049, also known as Block 2194 on Assessor's Map Book.

The City Attorney is hereby directed to examine the title to said land, and, if the same is found to be vested in the aforesaid owner, free of all encumbrances, and that the taxes up to and including the current fiscal year are paid, and that the so-called McEnerney title has been procured or sufficient money reserved for the purpose of procurnig the same, to report the result of his examination to the Board of Supervisors, and also to cause a good and sufficient deed for said land to be executed and delivered to the City and County upon payment of the agreed purchase price as aforesaid. And the said deed to said land is hereby accepted.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent — Supervisors Bath, Colman—2.

Mayor to Execute Agreement With Spring Valley Water Company.

Supervisor Powers presented:

Resolution No. 26996 (New Series) as follows:

Resolved, upon recommendation of the City Engineer, that the City and County of San Francisco enter into an agreement with Spring Valley Water Company consenting to the conveyance by said company to South San Francisco Land and Improvement Company of certain parcels of land, containing 4.876 acres, situate in the County of San Mateo and lying between the old San Bruno road and the new State highway known as the Bay Shore highway, together with a right of way for a pipe line for water to connect therewith, which said parcels of land and right of way are more particularly described in the agreement to which this resolution is

attached, all of said parcels of land being included in that certain option to purchase given by Spring Valley Water Company to the City and County of San Francisco, dated April 14, 1922, upon condition of receiving in exchange therefor and including in said option to purchase aforesaid those certain parcels of land containing 4.876 acres, lying 2300 feet, more or less, to the south of the parcels of land first above referred to, which said parcels of land so to be received are more particularly described in said agreement to which this resolution is attached.

Resolved Further, That the Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors are hereby authorized to execute an agreement with Spring Valley Water Company carrying the foregoing authorization into effect, said agreement to be approved as to form by the City Engineer and the City Attorney, and a copy thereof attached to this resolution so as to show more accurately a description of the lands herein authorized to be excluded from, and the lands to be included in, said option to purchase.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent — Supervisors Bath, Colman—2.

Pipe Line Permit.

Supervisor Marks presented:

Resolution No. 26997 (New Series) as follows:

Resolved, That California Steel Products Company be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to lay a pipe for the purpose of installing a compressed air line and a conduit for electric wires across Taylor street between Bay and North Point streets, distant about 117 feet from Bay street.

The said pipe and conduit shall be laid to the satisfaction and under the supervision of the Board of Public Works.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent — Supervisors Bath, Colman—2.

Award of Contract for Foodstuffs.

Supervisor Badaracco presented:

Resolution No. 26998 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of contract for furnishing foodstuffs during four months' term, March, April, May and June, 1927, be made on bids submitted February 7, 1927 (Proposal No. 274), and tabulation thereof on file in Bureau of Supplies, which is hereby made a part hereof; said matter having heretofore received full consideration in an open meeting of the Supplies Committee and the awards herein approved by it are now made to the following, viz.:

(1) Roth, Winter & Walsh, $100 bond required; (2) O'Brien, Spotorno & Mitchell, no bond required; (3) California Meat Company, $2,000; (4) Bay City Market, $200; (5) McCarthy Bros., $500; (6) Lesser Bros. Co., $1,000; (7) Standard Fisheries, $500; (8) Producers' Meat & Packing Company, $500; (10) Sherry Bros., Inc., $1,000; (11) Swift & Company, no bond; (12) M. J. B. Co., no bond; (13) S. H. Tyler & Son, $500; (14) Morris & Company, no bond; (15) Baumgarten Bros., $500; (16) St. Charles Market, $200; (17) The Best Foods, Inc., no bond; (20) Dodge, Sweeney & Co., no bond; (21) San Francisco International Fish Company, $200; (23) Golden State Milk Products Company, no bond; (28) Del Monte Meat Company, $100; (29) F. E. Booth Company, no bond; (30) Fred L. Hilmer Company, $200.

Note: All above awards are made to the lowest bidder except when award is made in consideration of deliveries or on account of the quality as determined or recommended by the Purchaser of Supplies.

Resolved, That all other bids submitted thereon be rejected.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent — Supervisors Bath, Colman—2.

Award of Contract, Sugar.

Supervisor Badaracco presented:

Resolution No. 26999 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of contract is hereby made to Sussman, Wormser & Co. on bid submitted March 14, 1927 (Proposal No. 282), for furnishing the following, viz.:

Sugar, beet, dry granulated, in 100-pound sacks: Relief Home, 200 sacks; San Francisco Hospital, 300

sacks; Emergency Hospital, 5 sacks; County Jails 1 and 2, 40 sacks; City Prison, 15 sacks; Juvenile Detention Home, 10 sacks; total, 570 sacks; price, per 100 pounds, $5.859.

Sugar, powdered, in 30-pound boxes: Relief Home, 10 boxes; price, per 100 pounds, $6.859.

Resolved, That this contract, not being a term award, no bond is required.

Resolved, That all other bids submitted hereon be rejected.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent — Supervisors Bath, Colman—2.

Award of Contract, Inkwells, School Department.

Supervisor Badaracco presented: Resolution No. 27000 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of contract is hereby made on bids submitted March 7, 1927 (Proposal No. 280), as follows, viz.:

Inkwells and Inkwell Castings for School Department.

Item No. 1—15 gross Boston type inkwells, complete, $17.50 per gross; T. J. Cardoza Co.

Item No. 2—25 gross Columbia inkwell castings, $23 per gross; C. F. Weber & Co.

Item No. 3—5 gross half-round inkwell castings, $23 per gross; C. F. Weber & Co.

Item No. 4—50 gross 3-lip inkwell glasses, $7 per gross; C. F. Weber & Co.

Item No. 5—10 gross 1-lip inkwell glasses, $7 per gross; C. F. Weber & Co.

Item No. 6—10 gross half-round inkwell glasses, $7 per gross; C. F. Weber & Co.

Resolved, That this contract not being a term award, no bond is required.

Resolved, That all other bids submitted hereon be rejected.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent — Supervisors Bath, Colman—2.

ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS OR MOTIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

San Francisco Delegation of State Legislature to Oppose Assembly Bill No. 191 in re Delinquent Taxes.

Supervisor Badaracco presented: Resolution No. 27001 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, it is estimated that in the event of the enactment of Assembly Bill No. 191 the City and County will suffer a loss of approximately $150,000 in the matter of delinquent taxes; therefore

Resolved, That the San Francisco delegation in the Legislature be requested to oppose said measure and use every endeavor to secure its defeat.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Marks—3.

Temporary Building Permit.

Supervisor Hayden presented: Resolution No. 27002 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That permission is hereby granted to Parkside Realty Company to erect a temporary building at the northwest corner of Sloat boulevard and Thirty-fourth avenue to be used as a real estate office in the development of a residential district, as provided in the Zoning Ordinance.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Marks—3.

Accepting Deed to Land for Street, Del Sur Avenue.

Supervisor Marks presented: Resolution No. 27003 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That that certain deed executed on the 16th day of March, 1927, between the American Trust Company (a corporation) and the City and County of San Francisco (a municipal corporation), conveying land for street purposes, as shown on map entitled "Subdivision No. 3, Miraloma Park, San Francisco, California," is hereby accepted in the name of the City and County of San Francisco.

Further Resolved, That the lands covered by said deed are hereby declared open public streets to be known as Del Sur avenue, Juanita avenue and Evelyn way; also that certain parcel delineated on said map as an alley is hereby declared to be a public alley. Resolution No. 26853 (New Series) is hereby repealed.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent — Supervisors Bath, Colman—2.

Naming Carl Larsen Park and Playground.

Supervisor McSheehy presented: Resolution No. 27004 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, Carl Larsen, well-known pioneer of this City and owner of the world-famous Tivoli Cafe on Eddy street near Market street, which is one of the landmarks of old San Francisco, out of the goodness of his heart and as a token of his affection for the City of his adoption, has given two blocks of land bounded by Nineteenth and Twentieth avenues, Ulloa and Wawona streets, and valued at $250,000, for a park and playground in the Sunset District for the pleasure and enjoyment of its people, and where its residents and children might spend their hours of recreation among the flowers and trees that will shortly spring forth under the magic hand of our Superintendent of Parks; therefore, be it

Resolved, That this Board show the appreciation and gratitude of the people of the City and County of San Francisco for the splendid generosity of Carl Larsen and hereby recommends that the new park and playground to be created in the Sunset District be known as the "Carl Larsen Park and Playground," and be it

Further Resolved, That a copy of this resolution, properly engrossed and bearing the great seal of the City of San Francisco, be presented to Carl Larsen as a token of our appreciation.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman—2.

Declaration of Policy, Public Utilities Commission.

Supervisor Havenner presented: Resolution No. 27005 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, proceedings have been instituted before the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco to acquire the water rights, rights of way, storage reservoirs, water works, water supply, pumping plants and distributing system of the Spring Valley Water Company; and

Whereas, the matter of the acquisition of the said above mentioned properties of the Spring Valley Water Company, together with the issuance of the requisite amount of bonds for the purpose of acquiring the same, will be submitted to the electors of the City and County of San Francisco at an early date for their approval; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors in meeting assembled does hereby make the following declaration of policy:

(a) That the aforesaid properties of the Spring Valley Water Company, after being acquired by the City and County of San Francisco, and all other properties used in connection therewith for the purpose of supplying the inhabitants of the said City and County with an adequate supply of pure water, should be placed under the jurisdiction and charge of a Public Utilities Commission, and that said Public Utilities Commission should, after said Spring Valley Water Company properties are acquired, have charge and control of the operation, maintenance and conduct of the same, together with such other properties as may be used in connection therewith for the purpose of supplying the inhabitants of the City and County with an adequate supply of pure water.

(b) That the Board of Supervisors does hereby pledge itself to submit to the electors of the City and County of San Francisco, at the earliest possible opportunity, and not later than at the general election to be held during the month of November, 1928, an amendment to the Charter providing for the creation of a Public Utility Commission, which shall have charge and control of the operation and maintenance of all of the properties which may have been or will be acquired from the Spring Valley Water Company, as well as of all other properties or rights which may be used in connection there-

with for the purpose of supplying the inhabitants of the City and County with an adequate supply of pure water, and that said Board of Supervisors will use all lawful and honorable means to effect the adoption and approval of said amendment.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman—2.

Citizens' Committee, Reception to Eamon De Valera.

Supervisor Gallagher presented: Resolution No. 27006 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That his Honor the Mayor is requested to appoint a committee of citizens whose duty it will be to extend a welcome to Eamon De Valera, first president of the Irish Republic, due to arrive in this city on or about April 9th, next.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Gallagher, Harrelson, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Todd—13.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Havenner, Stanton—5.

RECESS.

Whereupon, the Board at 12:15 p. m. took a recess until 2:30 p. m.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk.

REASSEMBLED—2:30 P. M.

The Board of Supervisors reassembled at 2:30 p. m.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following members were noted present:

Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman—2.

Quorum present.

His Honor Mayor Rolph being absent, Supervisor McSheehy was elected to preside.

Declaratory Ordinance for Parkside Drive.

Supervisor Gallagher presented: Bill No. ——, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Determining and declaring that the public interest and necessity demand the construction and completion of a permanent improvement, to-wit: Provide an improved route for public highway purposes in the City and County of San Francisco from, at or near the intersections of Thirty-sixth and Thirty-seventh avenues with Lincoln way, to run in a direct southerly direction to connect with Sloat boulevard, to be known as the Parkside drive, and to make all necessary cuts or excavations, and the acquisition and purchase of all necessary buildings, lands or rights of way over such route, including approaches, pavements, curbs, sidewalks, sewers, drainage facilities, and the readjustment of intersecting and adjacent streets.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby determined and specifically declared that the public interest and necessity demand the construction and completion of a permanent improvement, to-wit: Provide an improved route for public highway purposes in the City and County of San Francisco from, at or near the intersections of Thirty-sixth and Thirty-seventh avenues with Lincoln way, to run in a direct southerly direction to connect with Sloat boulevard, to be known as the Parkside drive, and to make all necessary cuts or excavations, and the acquisition and purchase of all necessary buildings, lands or rights of way over such route, including approaches, pavements, curbs, sidewalks, sewers, drainage facilities, and the readjustment of intersecting and adjacent streets. The boulevard herein described shall be constructed in accordance with plans hereinafter to be adopted and is to begin and end at or near the termini herein described.

Section 2. The Board of Public Works is hereby directed to procure through the City Engineer and to file with the Board of Supervisors general plans and estimates of costs of the original construction and completion of said boulevard and appurtenances as herein described.

Section 3. This ordinance shall be published in the official newspaper for two weeks after its approval and shall take effect immediately.

Referred to Streets Committee.

American Association for Recognition of Irish Republic.

Supervisor Havenner presented:

Resolution No. 27012 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the California Division of the American Association for the Recognition of the Irish Republic be and is hereby granted the use of the Civic Auditorium for convention purposes the afternoon of April 10, 1927, 12 noon to 6 p. m., in accordance with the provisions of ordinance regulating the use of the Exposition Auditorium.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman—2.

Advertising on Automobiles.

Supervisor Marks presented:

Bill No. ——, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Adding a new section, to be numbered Section 5a, to Ordinance No. 80, regulating and restricting advertising.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 80, regulating and restricting advertising, is hereby amended by adding a new section thereto, to be numbered Section 5a, to read as follows:

Section 5a. It shall be unlawful for any person, association or corporation to place or deposit in or attach or affix to any automobile or other vehicle, upon the public streets of the City and County of San Francisco, without the consent of the owner, or driver, or occupant of such vehicle, any handbills, dodgers or other advertising matter.

Referred to Police Committee.

Finally Passage.

The following bill, heretofore passed for printing, was taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Declaratory Ordinance, Acquisition of Spring Valley Water Supply.

Bill No. 7941, Ordinance No. 7449 (New Series), as follows:

Determining and declaring that public interest and necessity demand the acquisition, construction and completion of a public utility, to-wit: A water supply and works, water rights, to be owned and controlled by the City and County of San Francisco, and directing the Board of Public Works to procure from the City Engineer and to place on file with the Board of Supervisors plans and estimates of the cost of original construction, completion and acquisition by the City and County of San Francisco of the Spring Valley Water Company, its water supply, water works, pumping plants, lands, rights of way, storage reservoirs and distributing system or such portion thereof as may be deemed necessary.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby determined and specifically declared that the public interest and necessity demand the acquisition of all of the property or such portion thereof as may be deemed necessary, water rights, rights of way, storage reservoirs, water works, water supply, pumping plants, lands within and without the confines of the City and County of San Francisco that shall be deemed necessary, and distributing system of the Spring Valley Water Company, a public utility, now distributing water within the City and County of San Francisco, used or useful in supplying water to the City and County of San Francisco and to its inhabitants, and continuing the water supply system of the said Spring Valley Water Company as an existing public utility.

Section 2. The Board of Public Works is hereby directed to procure through the City Engineer and file with the Board of Supervisors plans and estimates of the cost of acquisition, original construction and completion by said City and County of the public utility herein named, which is designated as the Spring Valley Water Company and as having available a supply of good pure water for the uses of the inhabitants of the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 3. This ordinance shall be published for a period of two weeks in the official newspaper and take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Todd—15.

No—Supervisor Stanton—1.

Absent — Supervisors Bath, Colman—2.

Solicitation of Bids to Sell Water Supply System.

Supervisor Harrelson presented:

Resolution No. 27007 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

1. That the Board of Supervisors

of the City and County of San Francisco does hereby solicit offers for the sale to the said City and County of San Francisco of any existing water works and water distributing system now distributing water within the City and County of San Francisco and all of the property or such portion thereof whether located within or without the City and County of San Francisco as may be deemed necessary, including water rights, rights of way, storage reservoirs, water supply and pumping plants.

Any owner or owners of any such water distributing system or water supply as herein described, distributing water within the City and County of San Francisco are hereby invited to submit proposals or offers, in writing, to the Board of Supervisors for the sale of the same to the City and County, and such proposals shall be filed with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors at any time prior to the 11th day of April, 1927.

It is hereby announced and declared to be the purpose and intention of the Board of Supervisors to consider any and all proposals or offers that may be made for the sale of any such water distributing system and water supply to the City and County on the said 11th day of April, 1927, and before submitting the proposals to the electors for the acquisition by original construction or condenmation of such water distributing system and water supply, in order that the electors may have the benefit of acquiring the same at the lowest possible cost thereof.

2. The Clerk of the Board of Supervisors is hereby directed to publish in the official newspaper for the period of ten (10) days a notice in substantially the following form:

"*Notice Soliciting Offers for the Sale of Water Distributing Systems and Water Supply to the City and County of San Francisco.*

"To the owner of owners of any water distributing system or water supply now engaged in distributing water in the City and County of San Francisco:

"You are hereby solicited and invited to submit to the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco prior to the 11th day of April, 1927, an offer or offers, in writing, to sell to the said City and County any water distributing system and water supply now distributing water within the City and County of San Francisco to the inhabitants thereof, together with all necessary lands within and without the City and County of San Francisco, storage reservoirs, rights of way, water rights, pumping plants and all appurtenances necessary to the conduct of a water distributing system.

"This notice is given in compliance with the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco and Resolution No. 27007 (New Series), adopted March 21, 1927, and your attention is called to the said resolution for further particulars.

Dated March 22, 1927.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk."

3. The Clerk of said Board is hereby directed to cause a copy of the aforesaid notice to be mailed to the Spring Valley Water Company, addressed to them at their place of business in San Francisco, California.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman—2.

BRIDGE MATTERS—2 P. M.

Action Deferred.

Thereupon, the following resolutions and motions were taken up and, on motion, consideration thereof *continued one week, to-wit*:

Presented by Supervisor McSheehy:

Whereas, there are now pending before the Board of Supervisors various applications for franchises to construct and operate toll bridges over and across the Bay of San Francisco, between the City and County of San Francisco and the County of Alameda; and

Whereas, Section 4 of the Act of 1881 provides "That whenever the Supervisors of any county or counties desire to erect a bridge on any public highway, or to grant the privilege so to do, to any individual or corporation, across a navigable stream, said board or boards shall notify the State Engineer of such purpose, and of the precise point where said bridge is to be located,"; and

Whereas, a proper site for a bridge is one the San Francisco terminal of which will be within easy access of the business district of the City, and at the same time sufficiently removed from the main arteries of the City not to unduly congest the same, and the Alameda terminus of which will be easily accessible to traffic of all kinds, especially suburban railway traffic from all parts of the County of Alameda; and

Whereas, the area from and including Rincon Hill on the north, and to and including Sixteenth street on the south, in the City and County of San Francisco, and the area from and including Seventh, Bay, Eighth and Pine streets in the City of Oakland on the north, and to and including Pacific avenue in Alameda on the south, is a district well adapted to and possessing the necessary facilities and conditions for the terminals of a transbay bridge; and

Whereas, in order to meet the requirements of the shipping interests both in San Francisco and Oakland, and to the end that bay navigation shall be interfered with as little as possible, a high level bridge, the structure of which shall have a clearance of at least one hundred and thirty-five feet above the waters of the Bay, is best suited for said transbay bridge; and

Whereas, the City of San Francisco is by its charter committed to public ownership and operation of all public utilities, and that the City of San Francisco should itself construct, own and operate said bridge, if it is possible to do so; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the area from and including Rincon Hill on the north and to and including Sixteenth street on the south, in the City and County of San Francisco, and the area from and including the blocks bounded by Seventh, Bay, Eighth and Pine streets in the City of Oakland on the north, and to and including Pacific avenue in Alameda on the south, be and the same is hereby declared to be the area that is best suited for the respective terminals of a transbay bridge between the City and County of San Francisco and the County of Alameda, and that a high level bridge, the structure of which will have a clearance of at least one hundred and thirty-five feet above the navigable waters of the Bay and adequate length of span will least interfere with bay navigation and with the shipping interests thereon, and is hereby recommended as the type of bridge to be selected.

And be it Further Resolved, That a ruling of the War Department of the United States be forthwith sought as to whether a bridge may be constructed of the type, and with terminals located in the districts above set forth, and for that purpose a committee composed of two members of this Board to be selected by the Chairman of its Finance Committee, and the City Engineer and the City Attorney, or his representative, forthwith proceed to Washington for the purpose of urging the War Department to grant permission for the construction and maintenance of a high level bridge, adequate in height and in length of span, between terminals in the aforesaid area. And be it

Further Resolved, That the City of San Francisco is and by its charter committed to public ownership and operation of all public utilities, and that it should itself construct, own and operate said bridge, if it is possible to do so; and be it

Further Resolved, That pending advice and information from the War Department, that the hearing on all pending applications for franchises for transbay bridges be continued from time to time, to the end that this Board will not lose jurisdiction to pass upon them.

Supervisor Havenner presented:

"Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco proceed forthwith to take the necessary legal steps, in accordance with the advice of the City Attorney, to form a bridge district under the State Bridge and Highway District Law, consisting of San Francisco and Alameda Counties, for the purpose of financing, constructing and operating a bridge across San Francisco Bay under public ownership."

Supervisor Roncovieri presented:

"Whereas, financial problems connected with the building of a bridge across the Bay are various and extremely involved, and absolutely fundamental in arriving at a favorable decision of policy as between a bridge built by public funds, or one to be built by private capital; therefore, be it

"Resolved, That the Bridge Committee of this Board be requested and empowered to employ expert financial accountants and actuaries who shall prepare from the records of this Board and other data a complete set-up of figures tabulating in parallel form the more profitable policy to be adopted."

Supervisor Shannon presented:

"Whereas, there are pending before the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco various applications for franchises to construct and operate toll bridges over and across the Bay of San Francisco from various points in the City and County of San Francisco to various points in the County of Alameda; and

"Whereas, it appears to the Board of Supervisors that a toll bridge over and across the Bay of San

Francisco from Mission Rock to some suitable point in the County of Alameda will best serve the people of San Francisco and that Mission Rock is the most feasible and logical starting point for a transbay bridge between San Francisco and Alameda; and now therefore, be it

"Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors does hereby select Mission Rock as the best and most feasible starting point for a transbay bridge between San Francisco and the County of Alameda and does hereby designate said site as the point in San Francisco from which the first transbay bridge shall be constructed; and be it further

"Resolved, That all persons, firms and corporations who have heretofore made application for a franchise to construct and operate transbay toll bridges between San Francisco and Alameda County, as well as all other persons interested, be invited to present to this Board of Supervisors applications, plans and specifications for a franchise for a transbay toll bridge from Mission Rock in the City and County of San Francisco to some point in the County of Alameda."

Supervisor Shannon presented:

"Whereas, A. McLean Hawks, bridge and mining engineer, has called the attention of this Board to the advantages of Mission Rock as the location for the main bridge pier or bridge-head of the San Francisco Bay Bridge, and without thought of remuneration or reward has suggested that this location be considered by this City for its public bridge location; and

"Whereas, Mission Rock, in this engineer's judgment, has unequaled advantages as regards stability of the bridge, maximum length and height of channel spans, comparative shallowness of water to the east, economy of cost, absence of obstruction to shipping, facility to automobile traffic within San Francisco and passing through San Francisco; and the handling of interurban and trans-continental trains; and

"Whereas, the Bay bridge plan originally proposed by Hawks. later duplicated by Gustave Lindenthal and embodied in the McSheehy resolution, opens the way for the selection by this Board of a site that shall be the best site, irrespective of whether or not it has been previously proposed by private parties; and

"Whereas, the Mission Rock site has not been proposed by any private group seeking a franchise, but remains open for selection by this Board as the City's site if its apparent advantages be borne out by careful study; therefore, be it

"Resolved, That the City Attorney be and he is hereby instructed to file immediate condemnation proceedings against property known as Mission Rock, in order to protect the City at this time against any private proposal which may seek hereafter to utilize this site; and be it

"Further Resloved, That the Special Bridge Committee of this Board be and it is hereby instructed to give careful study to this location as the possible choice of the City and County of San Francisco."

Motions.

Supervisor Gallagher: I move you that while it is the policy of this Board that we favor a publicly-owned bay bridge, it is however, the sense of this Board that we favor immediate construction of said bridge by private capital, until the people may elect in the manner provided by law to take over said bridge and place it under public ownership and control.

* * *

Supervisor Marks: I move you that, as an amendment to the motion of Supervisor Gallagher, the City Attorney be requested to submit to this Board, at the earliest possible opportunity, a form of submission of the issue of public ownership and operation of the proposed bridge, or private ownership or operation of the proposed bridge, for action by the people at the earliest possible opportunity.

* * *

Supervisor Marks: I desire to present the following motion and calling attention to the fact that it does not call for anything other than asking the City Attorney to submit a proper form of proposal to us. I make the motion which heretofore has been outlined three or four times.

(Referring to motion set out in full above.)

The Chairman: Any objection? So ordered.

SPECIAL ORDERS—2 P. M.

Bridge Hearings.

Thereupon, the following matters were taken up:

Applications for Franchises to Construct Bridges Across San Francisco Bay.

Application of Bay Cities Bridge Corporation for a franchise for a toll bridge between San Francisco and Alameda.

Proposed rail and highway crossing for San Francisco Bay from the

foot of Sixteenth street, San Francisco, to the foot of Pacific avenue, Alameda.

Beginning at the intersection of the center line of Sixteenth street with the center line of Third (Kentucky) street, in the City and County of San Francisco, and extending thence easterly along the center line of Sixteenth street to the waterfront line; thence easterly along a straight line to a point on the center line of Pacific avenue, in the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, which point is one hundred feet westerly from the intersection of said center line of Pacific avenue with the center line of Bryant street.

That the length of said bridge is 27,750 feet and that the width of the roadway on said bridge is 38 feet.

Filed April 6, 1925.

DUDLEY D. SALES,
Attorney.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, March* 28, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman—2.

Application of Elbert W. Davis for a franchise for a toll bridge between San Francisco and Alameda.

Across San Francisco Bay, beginning at a point in the City and County of San Francisco on the bulkhead line of San Francisco Harbor between Piers 26 and 28, and running approximately north 67 degrees 45 minutes east 17.962 feet, more or less, to a point in the City of Oakland, County of Alameda, State of California, on the easterly shore of San Francisco Bay.

That the length of said bridge is to be 17,962 feet and that the breadth thereof is to be 65 feet, more or less.

Filed June 29, 1925.

JAMES M. OLIVER,
Attorney.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, March* 28, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman—2.

Application of John Bermingham, Jr., 250 Cervantes boulevard, for a franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge across San Francisco Bay between the City and County of San Francisco and the County of Alameda.

Beginning at the intersection of the center line of Seventh and Mission streets, in the City and County of San Francisco, along Seventh street to Seventh and Sixteenth; thence in a southeasterly direction to Nineteenth and Third; thence in a southerly direction along Third street to the intersection of Third street and Jerrold avenue and waterfront; thence proceeding in a northerly direction, crossing San Francisco Bay to a point from which a branch will extend 4500 feet easterly to the intersection of Pacific avenue and Bryant street, in the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California; thence from said aforementioned intersecting point proceeding in a northerly direction, crossing the Oakland Estuary, to the intersection of Peralta street and Seventh street, in the City of Oakland, County of Alameda, State of California.

That the total length of said bridge, approaches thereto and branch thereof, aforementioned, is 65,450 feet, that the width of said bridge is ninety (90) feet.

Filed September 28, 1925.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, March* 28, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman—2.

Application of A. M. Bergstrom for a franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge across San Francisco Bay between the City and County of San Francisco and the County of Alameda.

That the said toll bridge is to be located across the Bay of San Francisco, along the following described line, to-wit:

Beginning at a point in San Francisco at about the foot of Davidson avenue, on Hunter's Point, and running northerly sixty-two (62) degrees east four and four-tenths (4.4) miles; thence on

a one (1) degree curve to the north one and six-tenths (1.6) miles to true north to a point on the easterly shore of the Bay of San Francisco at about the foot of Webster street, in the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California.

That the length of said bridge is to be six (6) miles and the breadth is to be sixty (60) feet, more or less.

Filed September 28, 1925.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, March* 28, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman—2.

Application of New York and San Francisco Development Company for franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge between San Francisco and Alameda.

Bridge to be constructed across San Francisco Bay from a point therein at or near the junction of Evans avenue and Boalt street, Hunter's Point, in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to or near the junction of South High street and Washington street, in the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California; that the length of said bridge is approximately six and one-half miles from shore line to shore line, and that its breadth or width is approximately from 60 to 90 feet.

Filed January 11, 1926.

ROTHCHILD & GOLDEN,
Attorneys.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, March* 28, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman—2.

Application of Roy C. Hackley for a franchise to construct a toll bridge between San Francisco, Pier 26, and Alameda County.

Beginning at a point in the City and County of San Francisco on the bulkhead line of San Francisco Harbor, south of and adjacent to Pier 26, and running approximately north 61 degrees east and fifty-three hundred feet, more or less; thence running approximately north 89 degrees east five thousand feet, more or less; thence running approximately north 59 degrees 30 minutes east eight thousand feet, more or less, to a point in the City of Oakland, County of Alameda, State of California, on the easterly shore of said San Francisco Bay.

That the length of said bridge is to be eighteen thousand three hundred feet (18,300) and that the breadth thereof is to be 78 feet, more or less.

Roy A. Bronson and E. D. Bronson, Jr., attorneys, 68 Post street.

Filed February 6, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, March* 28, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman—2.

Two applications of the Construction Company of North America for a franchise to construct and open a toll bridge between San Francisco and Alameda County.

Commencing at a point in said City and County of San Francisco near the corner of First and Bryant streets, on what is known as Rincon Hill, and running thence on less than a two-degree curve a distance of approximately 1700 lineal feet to the line of bulkhead wharf connecting Piers 30 and 32 on the waterfront of the said City and County of San Francisco; thence on a line approximately north 65 degrees east 21,000 lineal feet, more or less, to the Oakland Mole of the Southern Pacific Company in Alameda County.

That the length of said bridge is to be 4½ miles and that the width thereof is to be approximately 78 feet.

ROBERT J. McGAHIE,
Attorney, Mills Building.

First application filed February 15. 1926.

Second application filed April 5, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, March* 28, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco,

Byington, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman—2.

Application of Allan C. Rush for a franchise to construct a toll bridge between San Francisco and Alameda County.

Construction of a suspension bridge from the City and County of San Francisco over and across Goat Island to the east shore of the Bay of San Francisco, comprising three main double traffic track entrance therein, and being of a height of two hundred feet above high tide, between all bridge piers in the waters of San Francisco Channel, in which channel the bridge will be suspended over "but three piers," twenty-four hundred feet apart, thus in nowise impeding the traffic of the waters of the Bay.

Filed June 19, 1926.

ALLAN C. RUSH,
1632 McCullom street, Los Angeles, California.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, March* 28, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman—2.

Application of Robert Lee Dunn, 778 Monadnock Building, for a franchise to construct a toll bridge along the following described line, to-wit:

Beginning at the intersection of the center line of Second street with the center line of Berry street, in the City and County of San Francisco and extending thence easterly to the waterfront line; thence easterly along a straight line to the intersection of the center lines of Pacific avenue and Bryant street, City of Alameda, California.

Length of said bridge is twenty-six thousand feet; that the width of the roadway on said bridge is eighty feet.

Filed June 21, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, March* 28, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman—2.

Application of Edward A. Bertram for a franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge to be located, to-wit:

Beginning at Twelfth and Howard streets, San Francisco, by 1½ per cent viaduct to Beale and Howard streets; thence to Southern Pacific Mole, Oakland; thence by 1½ per cent viaduct to West Fourteenth street level, and Sixteeenth Street Railroad Station.

The length of said bridge proper is 21,000 feet and its width of roadway is 63 feet.

Filed June 21, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, March* 28, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman—2.

Application of R. S. Chew for franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge or bridges.

The said proposed bridge or bridges are to be located and are described as follows:

The westerly end of a bridge and the approaches thereto, which will connect with public streets, are to be located in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California (which location is in the county situated on the left bank descending of the Bay of San Francisco, across which said bridge is to be constructed), and at a point therein at or near the summit of an eminence known as Telegraph Hill; from this point the bridge will project easterly a distance of nine thousand feet (more or less) to the westerly side of Yerba Buena (or Goat) Island; thence easterly across said island a roadway or viaduct will be constructed for a distance of four thousand feet (more or less) to its easterly side: from thence there will be projected in an easterly direction a distance of twenty-two thousand feet (more or less), a bridge structure, viaduct, causeway and/or fill to suitable connections with lines or railway and public highways in Alameda County, State of California.

Filed June 28, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, March* 28, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Deasy Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman—2.

Application of Inter-Cities Bridge Company for a franchise to construct, maintain and operate a toll bridge across the Bay of San Francisco and over the following route:

Beginning at the intersection of Broadway and Battery street, in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and extending thence easterly to the waterfront; thence on a line approximately north 60 degrees east to the westerly point of Yerba Buena or Goat Island; thence by contour meander to the easterly point of Yerba Buena or Goat Island; thence on a line approximately north 75 degrees to the foot of Yerba Buena street, in the City of Oakland, County of Alameda, State of California.

That the length of said bridge, inclusive of approximately 4100 feet on ground support over Yerba Buena or Goat Island, and 5670 feet to be supported by an earth fill mole in the approach to the said Oakland terminal, is to be approximately 27,000 feet. That the width of said bridge is to be approximately 120 feet, providing two 20-foot vehicular lanes and accommodations for four lines of electric transportation tracks.

Filed July 12, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, March* 28, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman—2.

Application of G. Lindenthal for franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge.

Said bridge is to be located along the following described line:

Commencing at a point in the center line of Jerrold avenue, in the City and County of San Francisco, about midway between Ship street and Dock street, in said City and County of San Francisco; thence on a curve easterly and northerly a distance of 30,000 feet, more or less, to the southerly foot of Webster street, in the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California.

The width of said bridge at the easterly end thereof for approximately 16,000 feet will be 75 feet, and the width of the remaining portion thereof will be approximately 40 feet. The length of said bridge will be approximately 30,000 feet.

Filed August 2, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, March* 28, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman—2.

Preliminary application of Fowler Engineering Corporation for a franchise for the building of a bridge from Telegraph Hill to Goat Island, 3 2000-foot spans.

Filed July 28, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, March* 28, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman—2.

Application of W. F. Holcomb for franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge across San Francisco Bay between the City and County of San Francisco and Alameda County.

Beginning at or near and within one hundred feet northerly or southerly of the intersection of the center line of Second street with the center line of Berry street, in the City and County of San Francisco and extending thence easterly to the waterfront line; thence easterly along a straight line to, at or near and within one hundred feet northerly or southerly of the intersection of the center lines of Pacific avenue and Bryant street, City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California.

That the length of said bridge will be twenty-six thousand feet;

that the width of the roadway on said bridge will be eighty-nine feet.

Filed August 9, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, March* 28, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman—2.

Application of Robert E. Fitzgerald for franchise to erect, maintain and operate and take tolls on a toll bridge over and across the Bay of San Francisco. The location of said bridge is described as follows:

The center line of said bridge will commence at a point on The Embarcadero of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, at a point approximately midway between Vallejo street and Broadway street; thence extending on a line approximately north 60 degrees east to the westerly point of Yerba Buena Island, also known as Goat Island; thence following the contour of said island to a point on the easterly shore thereof where said shore line would be intersected by a center line of Seventh street in the City of Oakland, if produced, upon its present course as the course of said street now exists along the northerly line of Oakland Mole; thence easterly along said line of said street, if so produced, to the center line of said Seventh street at the present westerly end thereof.

Filed August 9, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, March* 28, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman—2.

Application of Thos. E. Slaven, filed August 16, 1926, for franchise to construct, maintain and operate a toll bridge. Said bridge is to be constructed over and across the Bay of San Francisco, and over the following route:

The location of said bridge is particularly described as follows: The center line of said bridge will commence at a point on The Embarcadero of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, approximately where the center line of said Embarcadero intersects the center line of Pier 7, if produced. Thence extending on a line north approximately 67 degrees 30 minutes east to a point on the southwesterly shore of Yerba Buena or Goat Island; thence easterly following the contour of said island to a point on the easterly shore thereof, located about six hundred feet in a northerly direction from the light house located on the southern portion of said island; thence north eighty-five degrees thirty minutes east, approximately 8700 feet to a point where said line intersects the center line of Seventh street, Oakland, if produced, upon its present course as the course of said street now exists along the northern line of Oakland Mole; thence easterly along said line of said street, if so produced, to the center line of said Seventh street at the present westerly end thereof.

The length of said bridge exclusive of land approaches being approximately 9300 feet west of said island and approximately 10,400 feet east of said island, and the breadth of said bridge being approximately 115 feet.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, March* 28, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman—2.

Application of E. D. Bronson, Jr., for a franchise for a toll bridge across San Francisco Bay.

Filed August 16, 1926.

Beginning at a point in the City and County of San Francisco on the bulkhead line of San Francisco Harbor, south of and adjacent to Pier 26, and running north approximately 68 degrees east seventeen thousand nine hundred fifty feet (17,950′), more or less, to a point in the City of Oakland, County of Alameda, State of California, on the easterly shore of said San Francisco Bay; and the said toll bridge to be approximately seventeen thousand nine hundred fifty (17,950′) feet in length and one hundred ten (110′) feet in breadth, more or less.

Roy A. Bronson, E. D. Bronson, Jr., attorneys at law, 68 Post street, room 403, San Francisco, California.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, March* 28, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman—2.

Tunnels.

Application of O. A. Ellis for franchise for two tunnels under the Bay of San Francisco from San Francisco to Oakland.

One of the tunnels will be for interurban street car traffic, with double track, and the San Francisco terminal between Third and Fourth streets on Harrison street.

The other tunnel will be for auto and railroad traffic, with San Francisco terminal between Second and Third streets on Harrison street.

The Oakland terminal will be at Seventh and Cedar streets.

Filed June 25, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, March* 28, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman—2.

Committee on Dedication of John McLaren Park.

Supervisor Schmidt presented:

Resolution No. 27008 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, May Day, 1927, has been set aside to fittingly celebrate the dedication of the new John McLaren Park in the Greater Excelsior District, which proposed celebration is primarily intended as a demonstration of the affection of the citizens of that district and as a tribute to our worthy Park Superintendent, John McLaren himself, after whom the new park is named; and

Whereas, it is also intended as a part of the dedication that the occasion be made a Children's Day at the request of John McLaren, and to that end all clubs, lodges, organizations and child welfare organizations are cooperating, as well as the Excelsior Merchants' Association and its Committee of One Hundred; therefore, be it

Resolved, That it is deemed fitting and proper at this time that this Board expresses its hearty approval of the action of our citizens in the Excelsior Homestead District and extend to them every cooperation in making this demonstration an unqualified success.

Supervisor Hayden moved the following amendment, which was *accepted*:

Further Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors, acting as a Committee of the Whole, be authorized to represent the City and County of San Francisco at the proposed dedication of the John McLaren Park.

Whereupon, the resolution was *adopted* and Supervisor Schmidt was appointed by the Chair to act as Chairman of the Committee of the Whole.

Passed for Printing.

The following resolution was *passed for printing*:

Blasting Permit.

On motion of Supervisor Deasy:

Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Barrett & Hilp be and are hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to explode blasts on property situate at the northwest corner of Pacific and Front streets, provided said permittee shall execute and file a good and sufficient bond in the sum of $20,000 as fixed by the Board of Public Works and approved by his Honor the Mayor, in accordance with Ordinance No. 1204 (New Series); provided, also, that said blasts shall be exploded only between the hours of 7 a. m. and 6 p. m., and that the work of blasting shall be performed to the satisfaction of the Board of Public Works, and that if any of the conditions of this resolution be violated by said Barrett & Hilp, then the privileges and all the rights accruing thereunder shall immediately become null and void.

Citizens Committee, Dedication of War Mothers' Memorial Grove, Golden Gate Park.

Supervisor Havenner presented:

Resolution No. 27009 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That his Honor the Mayor be and he is hereby authorized and requested to appoint a citizens' committee for the purpose of a public dedication under the auspices of the San Francisco Chapter of American War Mothers, In-

corporated, of the War Memorial Grove in Golden Gate Park on July 4, 1927; and be it

Further Resolved, That invitations be extended to the Grand Army of the Republic and the Ladies of the Grand Army of the Republic and all other veteran organizations and their auxiliaries, all public officials and the Governor of the State of California, to lend their presence to this most worthy and patriotic event.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman—2.

Passed for Printing.

The following resolution was *passed for printing*:

Appropriation, $2,500, Expense Music Week.

On motion of Supervisor Havenner:

Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $2,500 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of "Publicity and Advertising", Budget Item No. 1079 (Appropriation 55) for expense in connection with Music Week, May 1 to May 7, 1927.

City Attorney to Report on Needed Street Reconstruction.

Supervisor Todd presented:

Resolution No. 27010 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the City Engineer be requested to report to this Board a list of streets needing reconstruction, including the repaving of all streets now paved with cobblestones, together with the cost of such reconstruction.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman—2.

Garbage Disposal.

The Board of Works filed its report on garbage disposal and it was *referred to the Health Committee.*

Motion.

Supervisor Shannon moved that specifications call for two kinds of bids for collection and for disposal.

So ordered.

Condemnation of Land for School Purposes.

Supervisor Powers presented:

Resolution No. 27011 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, By the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, that public interest and necessity require the acquisition by the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, of the following properties situated in the City and County of San Francisco, and more particularly described as follows, to-wit:

Beginning at a point on the westerly line of Prentiss street, 175 feet south of the southerly line of Tompkins avenue; running thence westerly 140 feet to the easterly line of Banks street; running thence at right angles southerly along the easterly line of Banks street 50 feet; thence at right angles easterly 140 feet to the westerly line of Prentiss street; thence at right angles northerly along the westerly line of Prentiss street 50 feet to the point of commencement. Being portion of Block 5728. Be it

Further Resolved, That said properties are suitable, adaptable, necessary and required for the public use of said City and County of San Francisco, to-wit: For chool purposes. It is necessary that a fee simple title be taken for such use. The City Attorney is hereby ordered and directed to commence proceeding in eminent domain against the owners of said tracts of land and of any and all interests therein or claims thereto for the condemnation thereof for the public use of said City and County of San Francisco as aforesaid.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent — Supervisors Bath, Colman—2.

Bridge Bill.

A telegram from J. J. Crowley stating that the Lyons bridge bill has been set for March 30 was referred to the Special Legislative Committee, Supervisor Badaracco, chairman.

Declaratory Ordinance, Board of Health Building, Civic Center.

Supervisor Roncovieri presented:

Bill No. —— Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Determining and declaring that public interest and necessity demand the acquisition, construction and equipment of a permanent public building at the northwest corner

of Polk and McAllister streets in the City and County of San Francisco to be used for municipal purposes, and directing the Board of Public Works to procure, through the City Engineer and to place on file with the Board of Supervisors, plans and estimates of the cost thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby determined and specifically declared that the public interest and necessity demand the acquisition and construction, completion and equipment of a permanent building at the northwest corner of Polk and McAllister streets in the City and County of San Francisco to be used for municipal purposes and the purchase of all equipment and furnishings necessary for said building.

Section 2. The Board of Public Works is hereby directed to procure, through the City Engineer and file with the Board of Supervisors, plans and estimates of cost of the original construction, completion and equipment of the public building described in Section 1 of this ordinance.

Section 3. This ordinance shall be published for two weeks in the official newspaper and shall take effect immediately.

Referred to Public Buildings Committee.

Sale of School Lands.

Supervisor Roncovieri presented:

Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, The Board of Education has recommended to his Honor the Mayor, by resolutions adopted May 7, 1924, November 23, 1926, and February 8, 1927, the sale of certain parcels of school lands no longer required for school purposes, which lands are referred to as follows:

May 7, 1924—Southeast corner Bush and Stockton streets, 50 Vara. South side of Geary street 60 feet west of Jones street (102 ft. 6 in. by 137 ft. 6 in.). Northeast corner Taylor and Vallejo streets, 50 Vara.

November 23, 1926—Haight School lot and building, Mission street, 185 feet south of 25th street (150 ft. by 117 ft. 6 in.).

February 8, 1927—Block 485, Marina District, bounded by Chestnut, Fillmore, Webster and Francisco streets (entire block). Block 468, Marina District, bounded by Fillmore, Webster, Francisco and Bay streets (entire block). And

Whereas, the moneys received from the sale of said lands must, under Charter provisions, be used for the sole purpose of purchasing other lands needed for school purposes; and

Whereas, The Board of Education desires to acquire other lands for school purposes; therefore, be it

Resolved, That his Honor the Mayor be and he is hereby respectfully requested to transmit to this Board his approval or disapproval of the recommendations of the Board of Education.

Referred to Public Buildings Committee.

ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business, the Board at 6 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors August 1, 1927.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated, and approved as above recited.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco.

Vol. 22—New Series No. 13

Monday, March 28, 1927

Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
337 Bush Street, S. F.

JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, MARCH 28, 1927, 10 A. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, March 28, 1927, 10 a. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Gallagher—2.

Supervisors Bath and Gallagher excused on account of illness.

Quorum present.

His Honor Mayor Rolph presiding.

After the Board was assembled His Honor the Mayor excused himself on account of urgent business in his office and asked to be sent for if deemed necessary.

APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the previous meeting was laid over for approval until next meeting.

ROLL CALL FOR PETITIONS FROM MEMBERS.

Plans and Estimates of Cost of Proposed Bernal Cut.

Communication, from the Board of Public Works, transmitting report in the matter of the construction and completion of the Bernal Cut from Randall and Dolores streets to a point near the intersection of San Jose avenue and Diamond street, including structures, pavements, curbs, sidewalks, drainage facilities, readjustment of intersecting and adjacent streets, and other improvements, and the purchase of the necessary lands pursuant to Ordinance No. 7442 (New Series); together with copy of Resolution No. 96533 (Second Series), approving same, at an estimated cost of $1,400,000.

Read and ordered *filed.*

Carquinez Bridge Celebration.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication, from J. J. Walsh, representing the American Toll Bridge Company, calling attention to previous correspondence requesting cooperation in the proposed Carquinez Bridge Celebration, set for May 20, 21 and 22, 1927.

Invitation accepted. Clerk to acknowledge.

School Inspection Tour.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication, from A. I. Esberg, inviting members of the Board of Supervisors to participate in a personally conducted trip around the City to view completed schools and schools in course of construction in order that the Board may get a composite idea and have an opportunity on the ground to see the prospective needs of the different districts.

Referred to Committee on Education, Parks and Playgrounds.

Ocean Palace Amusement Permit Approved.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication, from Longfellow Mt. Vernon Improvement Club, approving the petition of the Ocean Palace Amusement Company to conduct and develop an amusement park opposite the Fleishhacker Playfield.

Ordered *filed.*

Report of Committee on Streets and Commercial Development on Reconstruction of California Street Between Franklin and Fillmore Streets.

Supervisor Marks presented the following report, which was read by the Clerk and *referred to the Finance Committee*:

San Francisco, March 28, 1927.

To the Honorable Board of Supervisors:

Your Committee on Streets and Commercial Development reports as follows concerning the reconstruc-

tion of California street between Franklin and Fillmore streets:

The sum of $48,600 to cover the cost of this work was set up in the 1926-1927 Budget (Item No. 135). This amount was appropriated and the work ordered done by Ordinance No. 7312, passed December 6, 1926. This amount provided for the reduction of sidewalk widths from 19 to 15 feet, but the Board of Supervisors, by unanimous vote, passed Ordinance No. 7254 on September 20, 1926, further reducing the sidewalk widths to 12 feet. This increased width to be paved has consequently increased the cost of the work, sufficient money remaining to complete one side of the street only. To complete the entire street will require an addition appropriation of $12,000. This amount was requested by the Board of Public Works in its Resolution No. 95642, passed January 21, 1927, referred to the Finance Committee by this committee on March 3d, with recommendation that appropriation be made. The Finance Committee, on March 4th, re-referred the request to the Streets Committee without approval and with the advice that the amount provided for in the Budget was ample to take care of the work as outlined at that time, and that it was not in accord with practice to increase costs during the current fiscal year.

The change in the plans was made with the approval of the entire Board and the work being under way, cannot be completed until the additional cost is provided for. Therefore this Committee recommends and requests that the sum of $12,000 be appropriated out of the Good Roads Fund to complete the reconstruction of California street as outlined.

Respectfully submitted,

MILTON MARKS,

Committee on Streets and Commercial Development.

Dangerous Condition of Chenery Street.

Supervisor Schmidt called attention of the Committee to the condition of Chenery street, which, he said, on account of the many curves, was exceedingly dangerous.

SPECIAL ORDER—2 P. M.

Hearing of Appeal of Property Owners on Brussels Street Between Campbell Avenue and Wilde Avenue, Etc.

Appeal of the property owners from the action and decision of the Board of Public Works in overriding the protest of property owners against the improvement of Brussels street between Campbell avenue and Wilde avenue, including the crossing of Brussels street and Campbell avenue, and the improvement of Campbell avenue between Brussels street and San Bruno avenue, by grading to official line and grade; by the construction of concrete curbs, the curbs on the southerly side of the crossing of Campbell avenue and Brussels street is to be constructed between the easterly and westerly lines of Brussels street; by the construction of the following ironstone pipe sewers and appurtenances: An 8-inch with 4 Y branches, 1 brick manhole and the necessary side sewers along the center line of Brussels street between the center line of Campbell avenue and the existing manhole 180 feet northerly from Campbell avenue; a 12-inch with 10 Y branches and the necessary sidesewers along the center line of Campbell avenue between the center line of Brussels street and the westerly line of San Bruno avenue; by the construction of the necessary sidesewers on Brussels street between Wilde avenue and a line 380 feet southerly from Wilde avenue; by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks on the northerly angular corners of the above mentioned crossing; by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks on the southerly side of the above mentioned crossing between the easterly and westerly lines of Brussels street; by the construction of 2 brick catchbasins with appurtenances and 10-inch ironstone pipe culverts on the crossing of Campbell avenue and Brussels street; by the construction of a concrete pavement on Brussels street from a line 250 feet southerly from Wilde avenue to the northerly line of Campbell avenue, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and a 1½-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface, on the remainder of the roadways thereof.

The foregoing matter having been heard at a previous meeting and laid over to this day was taken up.

Motion.

Supervisor Marks moved that the appeal be denied, the assessment confirmed and the work ordered.

Whereupon, the following resolution was presented and *adopted* by the following vote:

Appeal Denied.

Supervisor Marks presented:

Resolution No. 27054 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the appeal of

property owners from the action and decision of the Board of Public Works in overruling the protests of property owners against the improvement of Brussels street between Campbell avenue and Wilde avenue, including the crossing of Brussels street and Campbell avenue; and the improvement of Campbell avenue between Brussels street and San Bruno avenue as described in Resolution of Intention No. 95248 (Second Series), be and the same is hereby *denied* and the work ordered.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher—3.

Thereupon, the following resolution was *adopted* by the following vote:

Assessment Confirmed.

Supervisor Marks presented:

Resolution No. 27055 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the method of assessment for the improvement of Brussels street between Campbell avenue and Wilde avenue, including the crossing of Brussels street and Campbell avenue; and the improvement of Campbell avenue between Brussels street and San Bruno avenue as provided in Resolution of Intention No. 95248 (Second Series), determined and declared by the Board of Public Works by its Resolution No. 95822 (Second Series), be and the same is hereby confirmed.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher—3.

Passed for Printing.

Whereupon, the following bill was presented by Supervisor Marks and *passed for printing*:

Ordering Street Work, Brussels Street.

Bill No. 7970, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors February 8, 1927, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, made be paid in twenty installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be six months from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Brussels street between Campbell avenue and Wilde avenue, including the crossing of Brussels street and Campbell avenue; and the improvement of Campbell avenue between Brussels street and San Bruno avenue, by grading to official line and grade; by the construction of concrete curbs, the curb on the southerly side of the crossing of Campbell avenue and Brussels street is to be constructed between the easterly and westerly lines of Brussels street; by the construction of the following ironstone pipe sewers and appurtenances: An 8-inch with 4 Y branches, 1 brick manhole and the necessary sidesewers along the center line of Brussels street between the center line of Campbell avenue and the existing manhole 180 feet northerly from Campbell avenue; a 12-inch with 10 Y branches and the necessary sidesewers along the center line of Campbell avenue between the center line of Brussels street and the westerly line of San Bruno avenue; by the construction of the necessary sidesewers on Brussels street between Wilde avenue and a line 380 feet southerly from Wilde avenue; by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks on the northerly angular cor-

ners of the above mentioned crossing; by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks on the southerly side of the above mentioned crossing between the easterly and westerly lines of Brussels street; by the construction of 2 brick catchbasins with appurtenances and 10-inch ironstone pipe culverts on the crossing of Campbell avenue and Brussels street; by the construction of a concrete pavement on Brussels street from a line 250 feet southerly from Wilde avenue to the northerly line of Campbell avenue, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and a 1½-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface, on the remainder of the roadways thereof.

The method of assessment for the work and improvement determined and declared by the Board of Public Works by its Resolution No. 95822 (Second Series), is hereby confirmed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Hearing of Appeal, San Jose Avenue.

The following matter, laid over from a previous meeting, was taken up and again *laid over one week*:

Appeal of property owners from the assessment issued for the improvement of San Jose avenue between the southwesterly line of Foote avenue produced to the northeasterly line of Sickles avenue produced, by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks of the full official width where artificial stone sidewalks of the full official width are not already constructed.

Hearing of Appeal, Douglass Street.

The following matter, laid over from a previous meeting, was again *laid over one week and made a Special Order of Business for* 11 *a. m.*:

Appeal of property owners from the assessment issued for the improvement of Douglass street from the southerly line of Twenty-fifth street to the southerly line of Twenty-sixth street, including the easterly and westerly intersections of Clipper street with Douglass street, and the crossing of Twenty-sixth street and Douglass street; and the improvement of Twenty-sixth street between Diamond and Douglass streets, by grading to official line and grade; by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks of the full official width on the angular corners thereof; by the construction of the necessary catchbasins with accompanying 10-inch ironstone pipe culverts; by the construction of 8-inch and 15-inch ironstone pipe sewers with accompanying Y branches on the 15-inch sewer, and the necessary manholes as may be required; by the construction of a concrete pavement on Douglass street from the southerly line of Twenty-fifth street to a line at right angles with the easterly line of Douglass street at its intersection with the northerly line of Clipper street; by the construction of a concrete pavement on Twenty-sixth street from the westerly line of Diamond street to a line parallel with and 280 feet westerly therefrom, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement on the remainder of the roadways thereof.

UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

Final Passage.

The following matters heretofore passed for printing were taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Authorizations.

Resolution No. 27013 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

Park Fund.

(1) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., gas and electric service for parks (claim dated Mar. 18, 1927), $790.94.

(2) Spring Valley Water Co., water service for parks (claim dated Mar. 18, 1927), $703.17.

(3) Willis Polk & Co., services as supervising architects, construction of Basketball Pavilion (claim dated Mar. 18, 1927), $1,148.

Publicity and Advertising (Appropriation 55).

(4) San Francisco Convention and Tourist League, expense for account of publicity and advertising of San Francisco (claim dated Mar. 21, 1927), $618.32.

(5) Capital Decorating and Mfg. Co., street decorations for account of celebration of "Feast of Lanterns," for the publicity and advertising of San Francisco (claim dated Mar. 21, 1927), $1,000.

School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923.

(6) C. F. Webber & Co., Inc., portable chairs for the Alamo School (claim dated Mar. 15, 1927), $1,340.

(7) C. F. Webber & Co., Inc., portable chairs for the Alvarado School (claim dated Mar. 15, 1927), $1,340.

(8) C. F. Webber & Co., Inc., portable chairs for the Hawthorne

School (claim dated Mar. 15, 1927), $1,340.

(9) C. F. Webber & Co., Inc., portable chairs for the Le Conte School (claim dated Mar. 15, 1927), $1,340.

Hetch Hetchy Water Bonds, Issue 1925.

(10) Myers-Whaley Co., shoveling machine parts for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Mar. 14, 1927), $927.95.

(11) The Charles Nelson Co., lumber for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Mar. 14, 1927), $1,646.80.

(12) State Compensation Insurance Fund, premium for insurance of Hetch Hetchy employees (claim dated Mar. 14, 1927), $2,527.86.

(13) T. E. Connolly and J. M. DeLucca, second payment, construction of aqueduct tunnels, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Mar. 14, 1927), $33,997.99.

(14) A. Guthrie & Co., Inc., third payment, construction of aqueduct tunnels, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Mar. 14, 1927), $50,348.26.

Municipal Railway Fund.

(15) San Francisco City Employees Retirement System, for pensions, etc., Municipal Railway employees (claim dated Mar. 7, 1927), $6,655.97.

(16) Crown Oil Co., gasoline for Municipal Railways (claim dated Mar. 14, 1927), $1,450.35.

(17) Market Street Railway Co., electric power furnished Municipal Railways (claim dated Mar. 14, 1927), $3,040.01.

(18) Market Street Railway Co., reimbursement under agreement of Dec. 12, 1918), $1,449.94.

(19) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., electric power furnished Municipal Railways (claim dated Mar. 14, 1927), $34,899.29.

Tearing-Up Streets Fund.

(20) Gladding, McBean & Co., sewer pipe for sidesewers (claim dated Mar. 14, 1927), $525.

(21) J. H. McCallum, lumber for sidesewers (claim dated Mar. 14, 1927), $986.28.

County Road Fund.

(22) Fay Improvement Co., final payment for improvement of Geneva avenue from Prague street to the County Line (claim dated Mar. 16, 1927), $2,385.28.

(23) Pacific States Construction Co., final payment, improvement of Masonic avenue between Oak and Fell streets (claim dated Mar. 16, 1927), $2,481.73.

Playground Fund.

(24) International Filter Company of California, filter plant equipment installed in Mission Baths (claim dated Mar. 16, 1927), $2,844.82.

General Fund, 1926-1927.

(25) California Petroleum Corporation, fuel oil for Civic Center Power House (claim dated Mar. 14, 1927), $1,346.44.

(26) Crown Oil Co., gasoline for Fire Department (claim dated Feb. 28, 1927), $866.09.

(27) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., gas and electricity for Fire Department (claim dated Feb. 28, 1927), $2,267.13.

(28) The Seagrave Corporation, parts for Fire Department apparatus (claim dated Feb. 28, 1927), $1,292.38.

(29) Shell Co. of California, fuel oil for Fire Department (claim dated Feb. 28, 1927), $1,177.93.

(30) Spring Valley Water Co., water furnished Fire Department (claim dated Feb. 28, 1927), $2,132.20.

(31) Standard Oil Co., motor oil, Fire Department (claim dated Feb. 28, 1927), $539.76.

(32) San Francisco Bulletin, official advertising (claim dated Mar. 21, 1927), $649.72.

(33) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., street lighting (claim dated Mar. 21, 1927), $49,033.82.

(34) The Recorder Printing and Publishing Co., printing Law-Motion-Trial-Calendar (claim dated Mar. 21, 1927), $1,075.

(35) Sonoma State Home, maintenance of feeble-minded (claim dated Mar. 21, 1927), $9,200.

(36) A. P. Jacobs, rent of premises No. 333 Kearny street, month ending April 3, 1927 (claim dated Mar. 21, 1927), $1,186.

(37) Crown Oil Co., gasoline, Police Dept. (claim dated Mar. 14, 1927), $888.82.

(38) Dollar Steamship Line, freight on voting machines (claim dated Mar. 16, 1927), $624.18.

(39) Dollar Steamship Line, freight on voting machines (claim dated Mar. 16, 1927), $1,867.34.

(40) Spring Valley Water Co., water for street cleaning (claim dated Mar. 11, 1927), $537.04.

(41) Baker, Hamilton & Pacific Co., supplies for street repair (claim dated Mar. 11, 1927), $524.28.

(42) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., lighting public buildings (claim dated Mar. 11, 1927), $4,409.16.

(43) California Petroleum Corporation, fuel oil, Hall of Justice (claim dated Mar. 10, 1927), $621.36.

(44) L. C. Acimovich, potatoes for Relief Home (claim dated Mar. 17, 1927), $889.15.

(45) California Meat Co., meats for Relief Home (claim dated Mar. 17, 1927), $1,295.30.

(46) Del Monte Meat Co., meats for Relief Home (claim dated Mar. 17, 1927), $3,556.86.

(47) J. T. Freitas Co., Inc., eggs for Relief Home (claim dated Mar. 17, 1927), $763.66.

(48) Sperry Flour Co., flour for Relief Home (claim dated Mar. 17, 1927), $713.15.

(49) Herbert F. Dugan, drugs for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Mar. 17, 1927), $692.34.

(50) R. L. Scherer Co., Wappler Monex apparatus for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Mar. 17, 1927), $1,083.

(51) Chas. Brown & Sons, crockery for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Mar. 17, 1927), $1,004.56.

(52) E. B. Frick, room allowances for San Francisco Hospital employees (claim dated Mar. 17, 1927), $1,970.55.

(53) Spring Valley Water Co., water for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Mar. 17, 1927), $1,337.70.

(54) Spring Valley Water Co., water for Relief Home (claim dated Mar. 17, 1927), $594.74.

Hetch Hetchy Operative Revenue Fund.

(55) John J. Dailey, legal services, valuation of San Francisco electric properties, March, 1927 (claim dated Mar. 14, 1927), $850.

(56) N. Randall Ellis, engineering services, valuation of San Francisco electric properties, March, 1927 (claim dated Mar. 14, 1927), $750.

Hetch Hetchy Power Operative Fund.

(57) The Buda Company, motor car for standard gauge track (claim dated Mar. 14, 1927), $575.

(58) Depreciation Fund, Hetch Hetchy Power Operative, as reserve for depreciation, Section 16, Article 12 of Charter, for period of July 1, 1926, to February 28, 1927 (claim dated Mar. 14, 1927), $131,250.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

(Supervisor Powers voted *no* as to Item No. 36 only.)

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher—3.

Appropriations for Acquisition of Land Required for Bernal Cut.

Resolution No. 27014 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized in payment out of appropriations heretofore made out of County Road Fund for the opening of Bernal Cut and improvement of Bay Shore boulevard to the hereinafter designated persons; being payments for properties required for said projects, to-wit:

(1) To G. Bernini and Emilia Bernini, for all of Lot 39, Jones' Addition to Fairmount Tract, as recorded in Map Book "E" and "F", page 169, of the City and County of San Francisco, saving and excepting parcel beginning at a point on the southeasterly line of Arlington street, distant thereon 288 feet southwesterly from the southwesterly line of Roanoke street; thence southwesterly on said southeasterly line of 25 feet, and being of irregular dimensions; as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 26920 (New Series), and required for Bernal Cut (claim dated Mar. 10, 1927), $908.

(2) To M. Deminio and Rose Deminio, for Lot 31, Block 6692, as per Assessor's Block Book of the City and County of San Francisco; as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 26920 (New Series), and required for Bernal Cut (claim dated March 10, 1927), $2,500.

(3) To Ernst Otto Semerau, for Lot 6A, Block 6661, as per Assessor's Block Book of the City and County of San Francisco, and as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 26920 (New Series), required for Bernal Cut (claim dated March 10, 1927), $7.250.

(4) To Theresa Stuhr, for all of Lot 20, 21, 22, and 23, according to map entitled "Haley's Map No. 1 of Precita Valley Lots Nos. 319 to 333, inclusive," filed in the office of the County Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco December 12, 1861, and recorded in Book 1 of Maps, page 90; as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 26921 (New Series), required for Bay Shore boulevard (claim dated March 10, 1927), $8,167.

(5) To Andrew Hardenburgh, for Lots 4 and 5, Block 5448, Assessor's Block Book of the City and County of San Francisco, and for a portion of Lot 1513 of Gift Map No. 2, as recorded in Map Book 2 "A" and "B", page 13, records of the City and County of San Francisco; as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 26921 (New Series), required for Bay Shore boulevard (claim dated March 10, 1927), $1,750.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy,

Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher—3.

Appropriations for Land Required for the Opening of Bernal Cut.

Resolution No. 27015 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized in payment out of the General Fund, Fiscal Year 1925-1926 (Bernal Cut Rights of Way, Budget Item No. 77), and authorized in payment to the hereinafter named persons; being payments for properties required for the opening of Bernal Cut, to-wit:

(1) To Melaine Fraser, for Lot 18, Block 6689, as per the Assessor's Block Book of the City and County, and as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 26919 (New Series), (claim dated March 10, 1927), $2,500.

(2) To Frank Sebanc, for Lot 19, Block 6689, as per the Assessor's Block Book of the City and County, and as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 26919 (New Series), (claim dated March 10, 1927), $3,750.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher—3.

Authorization, $600, Payment to the Anglo American Land Company for Land Required for the Extension of Nineteenth Street.

Resolution No. 27016 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $600 be and the same is hereby authorized in payment out of "Nineteenth Street, Extension to Mono," Budget Item No. 141, General Fund, 1926-1927, to Anglo American Land Co.; being payment for property required for the extension of Nineteenth street, to-wit:

Beginning at a point on the southeasterly boundary of Lot 10 of Block 11 of Market Street Homestead Association, distant thereon 31 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly boundary of Lot 10, and running thence northeasterly along the southeasterly boundary of Lots 10, 13 and 14 of said Block 11, 118.292 feet, and being of irregular dimensions; as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 26923 (New Series). (Claim dated March 10, 1927.)

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher—3.

Appropriation, $1,500, Continuing Salary Standardization Under Direction of Civil Service Commission.

Resolution No. 27017 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $1,500 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of the General Fund, 1926-1927, for the expense of continuing salary standardization by and under the direction of the Civil Service Commission.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher—3.

Appropriation, $2,500, Expense Music Week.

Resolution No. 27018 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $2,500 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of "Publicity and Advertising", Budget Item No. 1079 (Appropriation 55) for expense in connection with Music Week, May 1 to May 7, 1927.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher—3.

Oil and Boiler Permits.

Resolution No. 27019 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits be and the same are hereby granted:

Oil Tanks.

Wm. Cranston, west side of Mason street, 50 feet south of Post street, 1500 gallons capacity.

F. A. Dorn, 2398 Vallejo street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Axel Johnson, east side of Broderick street, 25 feet south of Chestnut street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Edward Jose, west side of Franklin street, 90 feet north of Union street, 1500 gallons capacity.

L. Kahn, No. 1 Twelfth avenue, 600 gallons capacity.

Pacific States Construction Company, Carroll avenue and Jennings street, 8000 gallons capacity.

S. Rosori, north side of Geary street, 150 feet east of Baker street, 1500 gallons capacity.

N. Schultz, 320 Sea Cliff avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

Stock, Maas & Sauer, south side of Chestnut street, 75 feet west of Webster street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Mrs. Ruth Tillman, northeast corner of Clifford Terrace and Ashbury street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Frank Weymouth, 1431 Steiner street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Boiler.

Roma Baking Company, 2086 Greenwich street, 15-horsepower.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits shall become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher—3.

Transfer of Garage Permits.

Resolution No. 27020 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That P. Sunderland and Herbert Day be and the same are hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred public garage permit heretofore granted R. W. Kern by Resolution No. 23393 (New Series) for premises at the northwest corner of Pine and Franklin streets.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher—3.

Resolution No. 27021 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That J. E. French be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred public garage permit heretofore granted Chas. H. Haase by Resolution No. 24787 (New Series) for premises at 655 Ellis street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher—3.

Garage Permit.

Resolution No. 27022 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Fred W. Hess be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to operate and maintain a public garage on the south side of Mission street, 175 feet west of Seventh street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher—3.

Automobile Supply Station.

Resolution No. 27023 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Shell Company of California be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to operate and maintain an automobile supply station on the southwest corner of Portola drive and Fowler avenue, said location to comply with the provisions of Ordinance No. 7162 (New Series), amending Use of Property Zone Map, Sections 6 and 13, approved July 17, 1926.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher—3.

Blasting Permit.

Resolution No. 27024 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Barrett & Hilp be and are hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to explode blasts on property situate at the northwest corner of Pacific and Front streets, provided said permittee shall execute and file a good and sufficient bond in the sum of $20,000 as fixed by the Board of Public Works and approved by his Honor the Mayor, in accordance with Ordinance No. 1204 (New Series); provided, also, that said blasts shall be exploded only between the hours of 7 a. m. and 6 p. m., and that the work of blasting shall be performed to the satisfaction of the Board of Public Works, and that if any of the conditions of this resolution be violated by said Barrett & Hilp, then the

privileges and all the rights accruing thereunder shall immediately become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher—3.

Amending Zoning Ordinance Placing the Southerly Side of Chestnut Street Between Pierce and Scott Streets in the Commercial District.

Bill No. 7944, Ordinance No. 7457 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings, and the location of buildings designed for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Section 2 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place in the Commercial District instead of the Second Residential District, where not already in the Commercial District, the southerly side of Chestnut street between Pierce and Scott streets, and extending to the depth of the rear lot lines.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher—3.

Amending Zoning Ordinance, Ocean Avenue.

Bill No. 7933, Ordinance No. 7458 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings and the locations of buildings designed for specific uses and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Section 13 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place the northeasterly side of Ocean aevnue, commencing at the southeasterly side of Fairfield way and running thence southeasterly to a point 171 feet southeasterly from the northeasterly line of Keystone way and extending to a depth of 100 feet, in the Commercial District instead of the First Residential District.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher—3.

Underground District No. 20, California Street, Sacramento Street and Marina Boulevard.

Bill No. 7945, Ordinance No. 7459 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Order No. 214 (Second Series), entitled "Providing for placing electric wires and conduits underground in the City and County of San Francisco," by adding a new section thereto to be known as Section 1o.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1o. An additional district to those heretofore described, within which it shall be unlawful to maintain poles and overhead wires after December 31, 1927, is hereby designated, to-wit:

Underground District No. 20—Sacramento street from the west line of Stockton street to the west line of Mason street (1040 feet); Mason street from the north line of Sacramento street to the north line of Pine street (670) feet; California street from the west line of Stockton street to the east line of Franklin street (4860 feet); Pacific avenue from the west line of Van Ness avenue to the east line of Fillmore street (3270) feet; Marina boulevard from the east line of Baker street to the east line of Beach street at Buchanan street (4050 feet).

(It is also ordered that the underground district provided for in Section 10a of Order No. 214 (Second Series), on Haight street from Gough street westerly, be now done while the street reconstruction work is going on.)

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher—3.

PRESENTATION OF BILLS AND ACCOUNTS.

Demands not required by law to be passed to print, and amounting to $45,322.20, were allowed and ordered paid by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher—3.

NEW BUSINESS.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Authorizations.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy:

Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

Auditorium Fund.

(1) Musical Association of San Francisco, for services of San Francisco Symphony Orchestra, concerts of February 15 and March 8, 1927 (claim dated March 28, 1927), $4,000.

(2) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., electric service for Auditorium (claim dated March 17, 1927), $1,-111.81.

Hetch Hetchy Bonds, Issue 1925.

(3) Beronia Lumber Co., lumber, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated March 17, 1927), $1,-370.25.

(4) Bethlehem Shipbuilding Corporation, Ltd., crankshaft chain cases, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated March 21, 1927), $684.

(5) Edison Storage Battery Supply Co., battery cells, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated March 17, 1927), $594.06.

(6) The Giant Powder Co., Con., explosives, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated March 21, 1927), $1,056.56.

(7) Ingersoll-Rand Co. of California, machinery parts, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated March 21, 1927), $2,028.44.

(8) J. F. Mitchell, machinery parts, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated March 21, 1927), $1,-679.85.

(9) The Charles Nelson Co., lumber, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated March 18, 1927), $594.02.

(10) John A. Roebling's Sons Co. of California, steel rope, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated March 18, 1927), $728.25.

(11) Western Pipe and Steel Co., air pipe, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated March 18, 1927), $2,745.30.

Park Fund.

(12) Byron Jackson Pump Mfg. Co., one multiplex pump and motor, for Lincoln Park (claim dated March 25, 1927), $7,553.

School Bonds, Issue 1923.

(13) John Reid, Jr., fourth payment, architectural services, Mission (Everett) Junior High School (claim dated March 23, 1927), $1,-091.57.

(14) John Reid, Jr., twentieth payment, architectural services, New Mission High School (claim dated March 23, 1927), $556.31.

(15) Thos. R. Smith, final payment, plumbing work, annex to Guadalupe School (claim dated March 23, 1927), $2,072.61.

(16) Anderson & Kingrose, final payment, general construction of annex to Guadalupe School (claim dated March 23, 1927), $25,031.35.

(17) Burnham Plumbing Co., extra work, plumbing, gas-fitting, etc., for New Mission High School (claim dated March 17, 1927), $3,-325.

(18) John J. O'Toole, for payment of Superior Court judgment to Timothy Shea et al., action 175573 (claim dated March 19, 1927), $2,-090.50.

Municipal Railway Fund.

(19) Hancock Bros., printing Municipal Railway transfers (claim dated March 18, 1927), $720.

(20) Westinghouse Electric and Mfg. Co., parts for Municipal Railway cars (claim dated March 18, 1927), $838.37.

Municipal Railway Accident Reserve Fund.

(21) Edythe Ames, payment for account of accident sustained February 20, 1926 (claim dated March 18, 1927), $1,125.

(22) Department of Public Health (S. F. Hospital), hospitalization of injured by Municipal Railways (claim dated March 18, 1927), $657.

(23) C. H. Williams, guardian ad litem of Lois Williams, a minor, payment for account of accident sustained February 20, 1927 (claim dated March 18, 1927), $700.

Hetch Hetchy Power Operative Fund

(24) Western Pipe and Steel Co., materials for water stage recorders at Hetch Hetchy dams (claim dated March 18, 1927), $937.50.

Municipal Railway (Old) Depreciation Fund.

(25) Kortick Manufacturing Co.,

tie rods for Municipal Railways (claim dated March 23, 1927), $1,-581.75.

Municipal Railway Fund.

(26) American Brake Shoe and Foundry Co., brake shoes for Municipal Railways (claim dated March 22, 1927), $2,272.67.

General Fund, 1926-1927.

(27) Del Monte Meat Co., meats, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated March 24, 1927), $1,752.41.

(28) California Meat Co., meats, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated March 24, 1927), $1,369.48.

(29) Morris & Co., butter, etc., San Francisco Hospital (claim dated March 24, 1927), $1,846.79.

(30) South San Francisco Packing and Provision Co., meats, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated March 24, 1927), $1,183.21.

(31) San Francisco Dairy Co., milk, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated March 24, 1927), $3,796.60.

(32) J. T. Freitas Co., eggs, S. F. Hospital (claim dated March 24, 1927), $1,196.85.

(33) California Petroleum Corporation, fuel oil, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated March 24, 1927), $2,209.85.

(34) Langendorf Baking Co., bread, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated March 24, 1927), $1,-118.85.

(35) Dodge, Sweeney & Co., groceries, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated March 24, 1927), $717.10.

(36) California Petroleum Co., fuel oil, Relief Home (claim dated March 24, 1927), $1,893.95.

(37) Baumgarten Bros., meats, Relief Home (claim dated March 24, 1927), $609.56.

(38) Eloesser Heynemann Co., clothing, Relief Home (claim dated March 24, 1927), $570.

(39) San Francisco Dairy Co., milk, Relief Home (claim dated March 24, 1927), $1,940.96.

(40) Fred S. Campbell Co., food chopper, Relief Home (claim dated March 24, 1927), $542.04.

(41) A. F. Heuer, printing 800 copies of Auditor's report (claim dated March 28, 1927), $849.

(42) San Francisco Bulletin, official advertising (claim dated March 28, 1927), $1,125.30.

(43) Langendorf Baking Co., bread for County Jails (claim dated March 15, 1927), $1,036.63.

(44) Del Monte Meat Co., meats for County Jails (claim dated March 15, 1927), $1,252.17.

(45) Lottie M. Neil, third payment, installation of safety lane markers (claim dated March 23, 1927), $1,350.

(46) Board of Park Commissioners, for reimbursement of Park Fund, for plants, labor, etc., for Civic Center beautification (claim dated Jan. 14, 1927), $2,362.64.

(47) California Petroleum Corporation, fuel oil for Hall of Justice (claim dated March 23, 1927), $517.43.

(48) California Petroleum Corporation, fuel oil for asphalt plant (claim dated March 22, 1927), $518.04.

(49) California Rock Co., gravel for street repair (claim dated March 22, 1927), $1,599.22.

(50) Felix Gross Co., cement for street repair (claim dated March 22, 1927), $853.65.

(51) Western Rock Products Co., sand for street repair (claim dated March 22, 1927), $1,225.75.

(52) Symon Brothers, team hire for street cleaning (claim dated March 22, 1927), $747.50.

Appropriation, $2,800, Payment to John Birkenshaw for Land Required for School Purposes.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $2,800 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923, and authorized in payment to John Birkenshaw, being payment for lands commencing at a point on the southerly line of Quintara street, distant thereon 30 feet westerly from the westerly line of Twenty-third avenue, running thence westerly along the southerly line of Quintara street 60 feet; thence at a right angle southerly 100 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 60 feet; thence at a right angle northerly 100 feet; as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 26995 (New Series), and required for school purposes. (Claim dated March 28, 1927.)

Appropriation, $825, for Improvement of Forty-seventh Avenue Between Santiago and Taraval Streets in Front of City Property.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $825 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of "Street Work in Front of City Property," Budget Item No. 36, for the improving of Forty-seventh avenue between Santiago and Taraval streets, fronting City property. (Louis J. Cohn contract.)

Appropriation, $10,500, for Grading Contract No. 1, Municipal Airport, San Mateo County.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $10,500 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of "Municipal Air Port," Budget Item No. 60, for grading, Contract No. 1, construction of San Francisco Municipal Airport, including engineering, inspection and extras. (Contract awarded Granfield, Farrar & Carlin at $8,880.)

Appropriation, $3,700, for Equipment and Operation, Health Center, Glen Park.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $3,700 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of "Urgent Necessity," Budget Item No. 25, for the equipping and operating of a Health Center in the Glen Park District, May 1 to June 30, 1927.

(To the credit of Appropriation 43-A. $2,200.)

(To the credit of Appropriation 43-D, $1,500.)

Appropriation, $3,000, Additional Personnel, New Children's Tubercular Ward, San Francisco Hospital.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $3,000 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of "Urgent Necessity," Budget Item No. 25 (to the credit of Appropriation 46-A), for the employment of additional personnel to operate the new Children's Tubercular Ward at the San Francisco Hospital, April 1 to June 30, 1927.

Appropriation, $325, Sewer Work, in the Crossing of Forty-third Avenue and Kirkham street.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 27025 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $325 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of "Extension of Main Sewers," Budget Item No. 39, for the cost of constructing manhole and 50 feet of 21-inch ironstone pipe sewer at crossing of Forty-third avenue and Kirkham street; by Louis J. Cohn.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher—3.

Accepting Statement of City's Percentage of Gross Receipts, Market Street Railway.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 27026 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the statement heretofore filed by the Market Street Railway Company showing gross receipts from passenger fares for the month of February, 1927, upon which percentages in the following amounts are due the City and County under terms of franchises, be and the same are hereby accepted, to-wit:

Parkside Transit Co., etc., $449.90.
Gough Street Railroad Co., $44.73.
Parnassus Avenue, etc., $301.27.

Further Resolved, That the Market Street Railway Company is hereby directed to deposit with the Treasurer of the City and County the hereinabove mentioned sums, the same to be placed to the credit of the General Fund.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher—3.

Accepting Offer of J. Johnson et al. to Sell Certain Property Required for Opening of Bernal Cut.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 27027 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the owners of the following described lands, situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and sought to be acquired by the City and County of San Francisco for the opening of Bernal Cut, extending from Mission and Randall streets southwesterly along the Southern Pacific Railroad right of way to the intersection of San Jose avenue and Circular avenue, have offered to convey the property desired by said City and County for the sums set forth opposite their names, viz.:

J. Johnson and C. Johnson, $5,375—Lot 7, Block 6686, as per Assessor's Block Book of the City and County of San Francisco.

Nellie Reed, $5,300—Lot 24, Block 1, College Hill Tract, as recorded in Map Book "G", page 18, records of the City and County of San Francisco.

William Dautel and Mathilde Dautel, $4,500—Beginning at a point on the easterly line of Diamond street, distant thereon 326.167 feet southerly from the southerly line of Bos-

worth street; thence southerly along said easterly line 42.526 feet to the northwesterly line of the Southern Pacific Company's right of way; thence deflecting 131 deg. 18 min. 30 sec. to the left and running northeasterly along said northwesterly line 64.423 feet to the southerly boundary line of the property of Ruby Meyer Brandt; thence deflecting 138 deg. 41 min. 30 sec. to the left and running westerly along said southerly line 48.392 feet to the easterly line of Diamond street and the point of beginning. Being a portion of Block 1, Mission and Thirtieth Street Extension Homestead Union, as recorded in Map Book "G", pages 20 and 21, and all of the lot assessed to Wm. and Mathilde Dautel.

Frank Machkota and Fanny Machkota, $625 — Beginning at a point on the northerly line of Bosworth street, distant thereon 75 feet westerly from the westerly line of Rousseau street; thence westerly along said northerly line 32.5 feet to the northeasterly boundary line of the property of Robert Kelly; thence deflecting to the right 76 deg. 33 min. and running northwesterly along said northeasterly line 131.125 feet, more or less, to the southeasterly boundary line of the Southern Pacific Company's right of way; thence northeasterly along said southeasterly line 42.688 feet, more or less, to the westerly boundary line of the property of Martin and Anna Nelson; thence along said westerly line the following courses and distances: Southerly 82.432 feet; deflecting 79 deg. 45 min. 36 sec. to the left and running easterly 11.667 feet; deflecting 90 deg. 15 min. 36 sec. to the right and running southerly 63 feet to the northerly line of Bosworth street and the point of beginning; saving and excepting therefrom the following described parcel: Beginning at a point on the northerly line of Bosworth street, distant thereon 75 feet westerly from the westerly line of Rousseau street; thence westerly along said northerly line 32.5 feet to the northeasterly boundary line of the property of Robert Kelly; thence deflecting 76 deg. 33 min. to the right and running northwesterly along said northeasterly line 96.866 feet; thence deflecting 81 deg. 42 min. 41 sec. to the right and running northeasterly 37.664 feet to the westerly boundary line of the property of Martin and Anna Nelson; thence along said westerly line the following courses and distances: Deflecting to the right 101 deg. 14 min. 19 sec. and running southerly 45.979 feet; deflecting 79 deg. 45 min. 36 sec. to the left and running easterly 11.667 feet; deflecting 90 deg. 15 min. 36 sec. to the right and running southerly 63 feet to the northerly line of Bosworth street and the point of beginning. Being a portion of the Islais and Salinas Water Works Tract.

Whereas, the City Attorney has recommended the acceptance of the said offers and the acquisition of the property owned by said persons and offered to the City and County of San Francisco for the prices set forth opposite their names, which said prices are in accordance with the City's appraisement of the property; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the said offers of sale be accepted, and the City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to examine the titles of said properties, and, if the same are found in satisfactory condition, to accept, in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, deeds conveying title thereto, and file the same for record with a copy of this resolution attached thereto as evidence of acceptance by the City and County of San Francisco, upon payment of the agreed purchase prices.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Tedd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher—3.

Accepting Offer of Arthur E. Miller et al. to Sell Land Required for the Opening of Bernal Cut.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 27028 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the owners of the following described lands, situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and sought to be acquired by the City and County of San Francisco for the opening of Bernal Cut, extending from Mission and Randall streets southwesterly along the Southern Pacific Railroad right of way to the intersection of San Jose avenue and Circular avenue, have offered to convey the property desired by said City and County for the sums set forth opposite their names, viz.:

Arthur E. Miller and Lucy V. Miller, $4,500—Lot 21, Block 6725, as per Assessor's Block Book of the City and County of San Francisco.

Clara Hansen and Jeremiah Hansen, $5,100—Lot 26, Block 1, College Hill Tract, as recorded in Map Book "G", page 18, records of the City and County of San Francisco.

H. Finke and Mary Finke, $2,750

—Lot 3, Block 6686, as per Assessor's Block Book of the City and County of San Francisco.

Whereas, the City Attorney has recommended the acceptance of the said offers and the acquisition of the property owned by said persons and offered to the City and County of San Francisco for the prices set forth opposite their names, which said prices are in accordance with the City's appraisement of the property; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the said offers of sale be accepted, and the City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to examine the titles of said properties, and, if the same are found in satisfactory condition, to accept, in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, deeds conveying title thereto, and file the same for record with a copy of this resolution attached thereto as evidence of acceptance by the City and County of San Francisco, upon payment of the agreed purchase prices.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher—3.

Accepting Offer of M. Mathes and Others to Sell Land Required for the Opening of Bernal Cut.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 27029 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the owners of the following described lands, situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and sought to be acquired by the City and County of San Francisco for the opening of Bernal Cut, extending from Mission and Randall streets southwesterly along the Southern Pacific Railroad right of way to the intersection of San Jose avenue and Circular avenue, have offered to convey the property desired by said City and County for the sums set forth opposite their names, viz.:

M. Mathes, $4,000—Lot 5, Block 6686, as per the Assessor's Block Book of the City and County of San Francisco.

Ruby Meyer Brandt, $5,000—Lot 29, Block 6755, as per the Assessor's Block Book of the City and County of San Francisco.

Daniel J. Galvin, $3,000—Lot 2, Block 6686, as per Assessor's Block Book of the City and County of San Francisco.

Whereas, the City Attorney has recommended the acceptance of the said offers and the acquisition of the property owned by said persons and offered to the City and County of San Francisco for the prices set forth opposite their names, which said prices are in accordance with the City's appraisement of the property; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the said offers of sale be accepted, and the City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to examine the titles of said properties, and, if the same are found in satisfactory condition, to accept, in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, deeds conveying title thereto, and file the same for record with a copy of this resolution attached thereto as evidence of acceptance by the City and County of San Francisco, upon payment of the agreed purchase prices.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher—3.

Accepting Offer of C. R. Haley et al. to Sell Land Required for the Opening of Bay Shore Boulevard.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 27030 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the owners of the following described land situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and sought to be acquired by the City and County of San Francisco for the opening of Bay Shore boulevard, have offered to convey the property desired by said City and County of San Francisco for the sum set forth opposite their names, viz.:

C. R. Haley and Bruce D. Ellis, $342—Beginning at a point on the northwesterly line of Marengo street, distant thereon 477.059 feet northeasterly from the northeasterly line of Dickinson street, and running thence northeasterly along said northwesterly line 26 feet to the southwesterly line of Lot 399 of Gift Map No. 4; thence at right angles northwesterly along said southwesterly line 52.432 feet to the westerly line of the proposed Bay Shore boulevard; thence deflecting to the left an angle of 126 deg. 7 min. and running southerly along said westerly line 32.186 feet to the southwesterly line of Lot 398, Gift Map No. 4; thence deflecting to the left an angle of 53 deg. 53 min. and running southeasterly along said southwesterly line 33.461 feet to the northwesterly line of Marengo street and the point of beginning. Being portion of Lot 398, Gift Map No. 4,

filed in Map Book 2 "A" and "B", pages 16 and 17, Official Records of the City and County of San Francisco.

As a further consideration for said conveyance it is hereby agreed that the small parcel of land described below shall become the property of C. R. Haley and Bruce D. Ellis. Said property being described as follows:

Beginning at the point of intersection of the southwesterly boundary of Lot 399 of Gift Map No. 4 with the southeasterly line of San Bruno avenue, and running thence northeasterly along the southeasterly line of San Bruno avenue 6.737 feet; thence easterly on a curve to the right of 12-foot radius, tangent to the preceding course, central angle 140 deg. 31 min. 50 sec., a distance of 29.433 feet to the westerly line of proposed Bay Shore boulevard; thence southerly tangent to the preceding curve along said proposed westerly line 16.213 feet to the southwesterly boundary of Lot 399 of Gift Map No. 4; thence deflecting 126 deg. 7 min. to the right and running northwesterly along said southwesterly boundary 31.623 feet to the point of beginning; being portion of Lot 399 of Gift Map No. 4.

Whereas, the City Attorney has recommended the acceptance of the said offer and the acquisition of the property owned by said persons and offered to the City and County of San Francisco for the price set forth opposite their names, which said price is in accordance with the City's appraisement of the property; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the said offer of sale be accepted, and the City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to examine the title of said property, and, if the same is found in satisfactory condition, to accept, in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, a deed conveying title thereto, and file the same for record with a copy of this resolution attached thereto as evidence of acceptance by the City and County of San Francisco, upon payment of the agreed purchase price.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher—3.

Accepting Offer of Krieg Tanning Company to Sell Certain Land Required for the Opening of the Bay Shore Boulevard.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 27031 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the owners of the following described lands situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and sought to be acquired by said City and County for the opening of Bay Shore boulevard, have offered to convey the properties desired by said City and County of San Francisco for the sums set forth opposite their names, viz.:

Krieg Tanning Company, $22,532—Beginning at the point of intersection of the easterly line of San Bruno avenue and the southerly boundary line of Lot 339 of Precita Valley Lands, as recorded in Map Book 2 "A" and "B", pages 108 and 109, records of the City and County of San Francisco, running thence southerly along said easterly line 185.83 feet to the northerly boundary line of Lot No. 335 of said Precita Valley Lands; thence at right angles easterly along said northerly line 65 feet; thence at right angles northerly 187.068 feet; thence deflecting to the left 9 deg. 15 min. and running northerly 9.278 feet to the southerly boundary line of said Lot 339; thence at right angles westerly along said southerly line 65 feet to the easterly line of San Bruno avenue and the point of beginning; being portions of Lots Nos. 336, 337 and 338 of said Precita Valley Lands.

The above sum includes, in addition to the payment for the above described land, all payment in full for the dwelling known as 1701 San Bruno avenue and all payment in full for damages to the improvements wholly or partially located on the above parcel; said improvements to be relocated by the undersigned within ninety (90) days after recordation of the deed conveying the above described parcel to the City and County of San Francisco.

Stella C. Lovegrove, $306—Beginning at a point on the northwesterly line of Marengo street, distant thereon 451.059 feet northeasterly from the northeasterly line of Dickinson street, and running thence northeasterly along said northwesterly line 26 feet to the southwesterly line of Lot 398, Gift Map No. 4; thence at right angles northwesterly along said southwesterly line 33.461 feet to the proposed westerly line of the Bay Shore boulevard; thence deflecting to the left an angle of 126 deg. 7 min. and running southerly along said westerly line 32.186 feet to the northeasterly line of Lot 396, Gift Map No. 4;

thence deflecting to the left 53 deg. 53 min. and running southeasterly along said northeasterly line 14.490 feet to the northwesterly line of Marengo street and the point of commencement.

Being a portion of Lot 397, Gift Map No. 4, filed in Map Book 2 "A" and "B", pages 16 and 17, Official Records of the City and County of San Francisco.

It is understood and agreed that the remaining portion of said Lot 397 will not be assessed for the proposed improvement of the Bay Shore boulevard.

Whereas, the City Attorney has recommended the acceptance of the said offers and the acquisition of the properties owned by said parties and offered to the City and County of San Francisco for the sums set forth, which said prices are in accordance with the City's appraisement of the properties; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the said offers of sale be accepted and the City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to examine the titles of said properties, and, if the same are found in satisfactory condition, to accept, in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, deeds conveying title thereto, and file the same for record with a copy of this resolution attached thereto as evidence of acceptance by the City and County of San Francisco, upon payment of the agreed purchase prices.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Tedd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher—3.

Auditor and Recorder Directed to Cancel Assessment and Sale of Property to the State for Delinquent Taxes.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 27032 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the Auditor has reported that the property hereinafter described is now owned by the City and County of San Francisco; that the same has been sold to the State for delinquent taxes and has recommended that said assessments and sales be canceled, and the City Attorney having consented thereto; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Auditor and Recorder be directed to concel the assessments and sales of the following property, to-wit:

1923—*Sold June* 23, 1924.

Vol. 1—Block 53, Lot 6, assessed to Achille Paladini. Sale No. 6.

Vol. 21—Block 3579, Lot 4, assessed to John Aitken, ¼. Sale No. 982.

Vol. 1—Block 3579, Lot 4, assessed to Edward Fitzpatrick, ¼. Sale No. 983.

Vol. 21—Block 3579, Lot 4, assessed to Geo. A. Kamena, ¼. Sale No. 984.

Vol. 21—Block 3579, Lot 4, assessed to Frank C. Miller, ¼. Sale No. 985.

Vol. 24—Blocks 4095 and 4136, Lot 19, assessed to Donald H. Sim. Sale No. 1127.

Vol. 24—Blocks 4095 and 4136, Lot 21, assessed to Geo. C. and M. E. Learn. Sale No. 1129.

All the foregoing properties also assessed for 1924.

1924—*Sold June* 23, 1925.

Vol. 1—Block 53, Lot 7, assessed to Commercial Center Realty Co. Sale No. 5.

Vol. 33—Block 5930, Lot 1, assessed to Emma A. McLaughlin et al. Sale No. 1332.

1925—*Sold June* 28, 1926.

Vol. 17—Block 2773, Lot 20, assessed to Marianne Neper et al. Sale No. 807.

Vol. 30—Block 5503, Lot 1, assessed to Harrison Houseworth. Sale No. 1369.

Vol. 40—Block 7068, Lot 13, assessed to Elizabeth Y. Darety. Sale No. 2282.

Vol. 40—Block 7068, Lot 14, assessed to Margt. B. Setright. Sale No. 2283.

Vol. 40—Block 7068, Lots 25 to 28, inclusive, assessed to Colombo Bacconi. Sale No. 2284.

Vol. 40—Block 7068, Lots 33 to 35, inclusive, assessed to Anna Bensinger. Sale No. 2285.

Vol. 40—Block 7068, Lot 41, assessed to Caroline Richards. Sale No. 2286.

Vol. 40—Block 7068, Lot 42, assessed to Cath. F. Stone. Sale No. 2287.

Vol. 40—Block 7068, Lot 43, assessed to Walter Stone. Sale No. 2288.

Vol. 40—Block 7093, Lots 25 and 26, assessed to Evelyn A. Hicks. Sale No. 2302.

Vol. 40—Block 7093, Lot 33, assessed to John Lindberg. Sale No. 2303.

Vol. 40—Block 7096, Lot 8, assessed to Ocean Shore Railroad Company. Sale No. 2308.

Vol. 40—Block 7101, Lot 8, assessed to Ocean Shore Railroad Company. Sale No. 2311.

Vol. 40—Block 7110, Lot 7, as-

sessed to Ocean Shore Railroad Company. Sale No. 2316.

Vol. 4—Block 724, Lot 35, assessed to City property. No sale.

Through error of Assessor this last piece was carried into the as essment roll and tax extended.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher—3.

Action Deferred.

The following resolution was, on motion, *continued for four weeks*:

Transfer of Twin Peaks Tunnel Funds To Municipal Railway Fund.

Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $42,508.21 be and is hereby transferred from the Twin Peaks Ridge Tunnel Assessment Fund to the Municipal Railway Fund, and the Board of Public Works, Tax Collector, Auditor and Treasurer are hereby directed to make such entries in their official records and books of account as will correctly show such transfer; said transfer is for the purpose of reimbursing said Municipal Railway Fund for moneys advanced therefrom and used in the construction of the Twin Peaks Tunnel project.

Rereferred.

The following matter was *rereferred to the City Planning Committee*:

Zoning Policy, Ocean Avenue.

Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, applications have been made for a change of zone along Ocean avenue between Fairfield way and Westgate drive, and between Cerritos avenue and Victoria street, from a Residential to Commercial District, and after hearings thereon by the City Planning Commission and City Planning Committee, it was deemed to the public interest that said applications be denied, except as to that portion of the northeasterly side of Ocean avenue between Fairfield way and a point 171 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of Keystone way, which change from Residential to Commercial District has been approved by this Board; therefore,

Resolved, That all pending applications for changes of zone be denied and this Board declares that no further changes should be made along Ocean avenue in this vicinity.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Oil Permits.

On motion of Supervisor Deasy:

Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits be and are hereby granted:

Oil Tanks.

Wm. Buick, northeast corner of Fulton and Octavia streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

I. R. Chadbourne, north side of Darien way, 70 feet south of San Fernando way, 1500 gallons capacity.

Chas. J. Koenig, west side of Hampshire street, 30 feet south of Twenty-fourth street, 1500 gallons capacity.

H. O. Lindeman, north side of Golden Gate avenue, 150 feet west of Divisadero street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Jas. Meagher & Sons, north side of Napoleon street, 198 feet east of Jerrold avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

J. A. Pasqualette, northwest corner of Fremont and Natoma streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

Cahill Bros., northeast corner of Pine and Sansome streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

American Trust Co., north side of Market street, at Van Ness, 1500 gallons capacity.

J. J. Smith, 42 Valley street, 1500 gallons capacity.

R. J. Stempel, northeast corner of Bay and Divisadero streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

Fred O. Foster, northeast corner of McAllister and Hyde streets.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits shall become null and void.

Automobile Supply Station Permit.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Howard J. Mallen be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an automobile supply station on the southeast corner of Plymouth and Ocean avenues.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Furnace Permit.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Harris Steinmeyer Co. be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain

and operate a furnace at 101 Post street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Blasting Permit.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That P. Montague be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to explode blasts while grading property on the north side of Seventeenth street, 125 feet east of Clayton street; provided said permittee shall execute and file a good and sufficient bond in the sum of $10,000, as fixed by the Board of Public Works and approved by his Honor the Mayor, in accordance with Ordinance No. 1204; provided, also, that said blasts shall be exploded only between the hours of 7 a. m. and 6 p. m., and that the work of said blasting shall be performed to the satisfaction of the Board of Public Works, and that if any of the conditions of this resolution be violated by said P. Montague, then the privileges and all the rights accruing thereunder shall immediately become null and void.

Dyeing and Cleaning Permit Denied.

Supervisor Deasy presented:

Resolution No. 27033 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, in the exercise of the sound and reasonable discretion of the Board of Supervisors, permission is hereby denied I. Baptista to maintain and operate a dyeing and cleaning establishment at 6211 Geary street.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher—3.

Authorizing Purchase of Certain Land for Easements from Frank J. Rodrigues et al. Required in Connection With Hetch Hetchy Construction.

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Resolution No. 27034 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the City Engineer has recommended the purchase by the City and County of San Francisco from the following named owners of the following described lands and easements, situated in the County of Alameda, State of California, required in connection with the construction, maintenance and operation of the Coast Range Division of the Hetch Hetchy aqueduct, for the sums set forth opposite their names, viz:

Frank J. Rodrigues, $500—

Parcel 1. 12.9 acres in Section 8, T. 4 S., R. 3 E., required for camp and shaft site.

Parcel 2. Portion of northeast one-quarter of Section 8, T. 4 S., R. 3 E., required for road purposes from Parcel 1 to county road.

Parcel 3. Right to construct, maintain and operate an electric transmission and telephone line along, upon and over portion of northeast one-quarter of Section 8, T. 4 S., R. 3 E., south of county road No. 3333, not included in Parcel 1 hereinabove described.

(As per written offer on file.)

Frank John Roderick, $500—

Parcel 1. 14.7 acres in Section 8, T. 4 S., R. 3 E., required for camp and shaft site purposes.

Parcel 2. Subsurface right of way easement for the construction, maintenance and use of aqueduct tunnel or tunnels in and through southeast one-quarter of Section 8, T. 4 S., R. 3 E., M. D. B. and M.

Parcel 3. Right to construct, maintain and operate an electric transmission line and telephone line along, upon and over southeast one-quarter of Section 8, T. 4 S., R. 3 E., M. D. B. and M.

(As per written offer on file.)

B. F. Estacio, $250—

Parcel 1. 1.7 acres in Section 8, T. 4 S., R. 3 E., M. D. B. and M., required for road purposes from shaft to Arroyo Mocho.

Parcel 2. Subsurface right of way easement for the construction, maintenance and use of aqueduct tunnel or tunnels through west one-half of Section 8, T. 4 S., R. 3 E., M. D. B. and M.

Parcel 3. Right to construct, maintain and operate electric transmission line and telephone line along, upon and over the west one-half of Section 8, T. 4 S., R. 3 E., M. D. B. and M.

(As per written offer on file.)

Now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of the City Engineer, the above described offers of the above named property owners to sell to the City and County of San Francisco the above-mentioned lands and easements for the sums set forth opposite their names and upon the terms and conditions contained in said options, be and the same are hereby accepted. Be it

Further Resolved, That the City Engineer is hereby authorized and directed to notify said parties of the acceptance of their said offers,

and the City Attorney is authorized and directed to examine the title to said lands and easements, and if the same are found in satisfactory condition to accept in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco deeds conveying title thereto, containing the conditions and reservations agreed upon in said offers, and to file the same for record with a copy of this resolution attached thereto as evidence of acceptance by the City and County of San Francisco, upon payment of the abovementioned purchase prices.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher—3.

Intention to Change Grades.

Supervisor Marks presented:

Resolution No. 27035 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to change and establish grades on the following named streets at the points hereinafter specified and at the elevations above city base as hereinafter stated, in accordance with Resolution No. 96370 (Second Series) of the Board of Public Works adopted March 11, 1927, and written recommendation of said Board filed March 16, 1927, to-wit:

Langton street, 265 feet southerly from Bryant street, 7.80 feet.

On Langton street between Bryant street and a line parallel with and 265 feet southerly therefrom be changed and established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor and the present official grade of Bryant street at Langton street.

The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that no assessment district is necessary, as no damage will result from said change of grades, inasmuch as the streets and ungraded and there are no existing street improvements.

The Board of Public Works is hereby directed to cause to be conspicuously posted along the street or streets upon which such change or modification of grade or grades is contemplated, notice of the passage of this resolution of intention.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher—3.

Approval of Amended Map of a Portion of Golden Gate Heights.

Supervisor Marks presented:

Resolution No. 27036 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the Board of Public Works did by Resolution No. 92391 (Second Series), approve Amended Grade Map of a portion of Golden Gate Heights and adjacent streets; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Amended Grade Map of a portion of Golden Gate Hights and adjacent streets be and the same is hereby approved.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher—3.

Extensions of Time.

Supervisor Marks presented:

Resolution No. 27037 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That A. E. Hennessey is hereby granted an extension of ninety days' time from and after March 18, 1927, within which to complete the improvement of Bluxome street between Fourth and Sixth streets. This extension is granted for the reason that the contractor has been delayed due to inclemency of the weather and while waiting for the railroad company to improve its portion.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher—3.

Also, Resolution No. 27038 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That James M. Smith is hereby granted an extension of ninety days' time from and after March 17, 1927, within which to complete the contract for the improvement of Market street from Mono to Ord streets. This first extension is granted for the reason that the work is well under way.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher—3.

City Attorney to Condemn Property Required for Extension of Elk Street.

Supervisor Marks presented:

Resolution No. 27039 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, By the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, that public interest and necessity require the acquisition by the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, of the following property, situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and more particularly described as follows, to-wit:

Lot Number Sixteen (16) in Block "Q", Second Addition Glen Park Terrace, as recorded in Book "G" of Maps, pages 80 and 81, records of City and County of San Francisco, State of California.

Be it

Further Resolved, That said property is suitable, adaptable, necessary and required for the public use of said City and County of San Francisco, to-wit: For the extension of Elk street. It is necessary that a fee simple title be taken for such use.

The City Attorney is hereby ordered and directed to commence proceedings in eminent domain against the owner of said parcel of land and of any and all interests therein or claims thereto, for the condemnation thereof for the public use of the City and County of San Francisco as aforesaid.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher—3.

Authorizing the Execution of a Deed by the Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors to Affronting and Adjacent Property Owners to Portion of Street Closed by Resolution No. 26468 (New Series) in Exchange for Property in Lieu of Said Closed Street, as Per Terms of Agreement Authorized by Resolution No. 25559 (New Series).

Supervisor Marks presented:

Resolution No. 27040 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, this Board by Resolution No. 25559 (New Series), adopted April 26, 1926, authorized an agreement with Cecelia Ulfelder and Selma G. Lowe for the acquisition of certain lands necessary for the Bay Shore boulevard and the exchange of a portion of San Bruno avenue not required for the widening of Bay Shore boulevard; and

Whereas, this Board by Resolution No. 26246 (New Series), adopted October 4, 1926, declared its intention to close and abandon the aforesaid portion of San Bruno avenue not required for the Bay Shore boulevard, and by Resolution No. 26468 (New Series), adopted November 22, 1926, closed and abandoned the aforesaid parcel of San Bruno avenue; and

Whereas, Cecelia Ulfelder and Selma G. Lowe have, according to the terms of the above mentioned agreement, deeded to the City and County of San Francisco the parcel of land required for the widening of the Bay Shore boulevard, as per the terms of the agreement authorized by Resolution No. 25559 (New Series), and said deed has been recorded in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, in Liber 1300, Official Records, at page 166.

Now, therefore, the Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, in the name of said City and County, are hereby authorized and instructed to execute a deed, subject to the following reservations, conveying all of the right, title and interest of said City and County to the said Cecelia Ulfelder and Selma G. Lowe to the portion of the said closed and abandoned street described as follows, to-wit:

Beginning at the point of intersection of the northerly boundary of Lot 310, Precita Valley Lands, with the easterly line of San Bruno avenue, and running thence southerly along the easterly line of San Bruno avenue 18,343 feet; thence deflecting 18 deg. 52 min. 10 sec. to the right and running southwesterly along the easterly line of San Bruno avenue 90.162 feet; thence deflecting 74 deg. 34 min. 16 sec. to the right and running westerly 13.107 feet to the easterly line of proposed Bay Shore boulevard; thence northerly along said proposed easterly line on a curve to the right of 937.500-foot radius, tangent to a line deflected 93 deg. 4 min. 33 sec. to the right from the preceding course, central angle 6 deg. 39 min. 17 sec., a distance of 108.888 feet to the northerly boundary of Lot 310, Precita Valley Lands extended and produced westerly; thence deflecting 87 deg. 15 min. 30 sec. to the right from the tangent to the preceding course and running easterly along said northerly boundary 24.035 feet to the point

of beginning; being portion of San Bruno avenue.

The said deed shall contain a reservation for street purposes until such time as the Bay Shore boulevard is constructed and upon the completion of said construction of the Bay Shore boulevard immediately adjacent said easement shall become null and void. Be it

Further Resolved, That the Clerk of this Board be and he is hereby directed to advertise this resolution in the official newspaper as required by law.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher—3.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Ordering Street Work.

On motion of Supervisor Marks:

Bill No. 7946, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows.

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors March 8, 1927, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of *Eighteenth avenue between Moraga and Noriega streets*, where not already improved, by the construction of concrete surbs, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a six (6) inch concrete foundation and a one and one-half (1½) inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Also, Bill No. 7947, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors March 8, 1927, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respecively, may be paid in twenty installments: that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be six months from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

For the improvement of *Delta street between Wilde avenue and Tioga avenue, where not already*

improved, by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of the necessary sidesewers, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and a 1½-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Also, Bill No. 7948, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors March 8, 1927, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in twenty installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be six months from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

For the improvement of *Reddy street between Williams avenue and Thornton avenue, where not already improved*, by the construction of concrete curbs, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and a 1½-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Also, Bill No. 7949, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors March 8, 1927, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in twenty installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is is to be six months from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of *Forty-fifth avenue between Wawona street and Sloat boulevard, where not already improved*, by the construction of concrete curbs, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and a 1½-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Also, Bill No. 7950, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors March 8, 1927, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in twenty installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be six months from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of *Foote avenue between Ellington avenue and Huron avenue, where not already improved*, by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of the necessary side-sewers, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and a 1½-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface on the roadway thereof.

Also, Bill No. 7951, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors March 8, 1927, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in twenty installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be six months from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of *Mariposa street between Arkansas and Connecticut streets*, by grading to official line and grade, by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of the necessary sidesewers, and by the construction of a concrete pavement on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Also, Bill No. 7952, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors March 8, 1927, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its

office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in twenty installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be six months from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of *San Jose avenue between Goethe street and the County Line, including the crossing of San Jose avenue and Goethe street, where not already improved*, and excepting that portion required by law to be paved by the railroad company having tracks thereon, by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks on the corners of the above-mentioned crossing, where not already constructed; by the construction of two brick catchbasins with appurtenances and 10-inch ironstone pipe culverts on the above-mentioned crossing, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and a 1½-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface on the roadway thereof; and the improvement of *Goethe street between San Jose avenue and the County Line*, by grading to official line and grade; by the construction of concrete curbs, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and a 1½-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Also, Bill No. 7953, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors March 5, 1927, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in three installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of the *easterly one-half of Thirty-seventh avenue between Anza and Balboa streets*, and of *Anza street between Thirty-fifth and Thirty-sixth avenues*, by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks 6 feet in width where artificial stone sidewalks 6 feet or more in width have not already been constructed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Also, Bill No. 7954, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors March 5, 1927, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said

work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in four installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of the *crossing of Fourteenth avenue and Rivera street*, by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks of the full official width on the angular corners; by the construction of the necessary catchbasins with accompanying 10-inch ironstone pipe culverts; by the construction of 8-inch, 12-inch and 15-inch ironstone pipe sewers with accompanying manholes, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and a 1½-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Also, Bill No. 7955, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors March 5 1927, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in three installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of the *easterly one-half of Wawona street between Fourteenth avenue and Fifteenth avenue, and in front of that parcel of land on the southwest corner of Vicente and Wawona streets known as Lot* 30, *Block* 2988*A; on Holloway avenue between Ashton avenue and Bright street, and on Victoria street between Holloway avenue and Garfield street*, by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks six (6) feet in width where artificial stone sidewalks six (6) feet or more in width have not already been constructed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Also, Bill No. 7956, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors March 5, 1927, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of

Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in three installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of *Mississippi street between Nineteenth and Twentieth streets; Wisconsin street between Twentieth and Twenty-second streets; Twentieth street between Connecticut and Arkansas streets*, by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks 6 feet in width where artificial stone or bituminous rock sidewalks 6 feet or more in width have not already been constructed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Also, Bill No. 7957, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors March 8, 1927, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in twenty installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be six months from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

For the improvement of *Harvard street between Silver avenue and Pioche street, including the crossing of Harvard street and Pioche street, and the crossing of Harvard street and Silliman street*, by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks on the angular corners; by the construction of 3 brick catchbasins with appurtenances and 10-inch ironstone pipe culverts, 3 on each of the above-mentioned crossings; by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and a 1½-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface on the roadway of the above-mentioned crossings, and by the construction of a concrete pavement between Pioche street and Silver avenue; and the improvement of the unsigned portions of Harvard street between Pioche street and Silliman street in front of property described as follows: On the easterly side of Harvard street from a line 25 feet southerly from and parallel with Pioche street to a line 75 feet southerly from and parallel with Pioche street, and from a line 125 feet southerly from and parallel with Pioche street to a line 150 feet southerly from and parallel with Pioche street, and from a line 250 feet southerly from and parallel with Pioche street to a line 275 feet southerly from and parallel with Pioche street, and from a line 300 feet southerly from and parallel with Pioche street to a line 350 feet southerly from and parallel with Pioche street; on the westerly side of Harvard street from the northerly line of Siliman street to a line 25 feet northerly from and parallel with Silliman street, by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of the necessary side-sewers, and by the construction of a concrete pavement, where required, and an asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and a 1½-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface,

where required, on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Also, Bill No. 7958, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors March 8, 1927, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in twenty installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be six months from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

For the improvement of *the unsigned portions of Gambier street between Silver avenue and Felton street in front of property described as follows*:

On the easterly side of Gambier street from the northerly line of Pioche street to a line 75 feet northerly from and parallel with Pioche street, and from the southerly line of Pioche street to a line 50 feet southerly from and parallel with Pioche street, and from a line 100 feet southerly from and parallel with Pioche street to a line 175 feet southerly from and parallel with Pioche street, and from a line 375 feet southerly from and parallel with Pioche street to the northerly line of Silliman street, and from the southerly line of Silliman street to a line 100 feet southerly from and parallel with Silliman street, and from a line 125 feet southerly from and parallel with Silliman street to a line 150 feet southerly from and parallel with Silliman street, and from a line 300 feet southerly from and parallel with Silliman street to the northerly line of Felton street; on the westerly side of Gambier street from the northerly line of Pioche street to a line 50 feet northerly from and parallel with Pioche street, and from a line 150 feet northerly from and parallel with Pioche street to a line 175 feet northerly from and parallel with Pioche street, and from the southerly line of Pioche street to a line 200 feet southerly from and parallel with Pioche street, and from a line 375 feet southerly from and parallel with Pioche street to the northerly line of Silliman street, and from the southerly line of Silliman street to a line 50 feet southerly from and parallel with Silliman street, and from a line 150 feet southerly from and parallel with Silliman street to a line 200 feet southerly from and parallel with Silliman street, and from a line 225 feet southerly from and parallel with Silliman street to a line 275 feet southerly from and parallel with Silliman street; by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of the necessary sidesewers, and by the construction of a concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and a 1½-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface, where required, on the roadway thereof; and for the improvement of *the crossings of Gambier street and Pioche street, Silliman street and Felton street*, by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks on the angular corners; by the construction of brick catchbasins with appurtenances and 10-inch ironstone pipe culverts, 3 on each of the above mentioned crossings, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and a 1½-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Approving Bond to Cover Payment of Taxes, Mount Davidson Manor.

Supervisor Marks presented:

Resolution No. 27041 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the bond filed with this Board by Fernando Nelson & Sons, a corporation, as principal, and W. H. Smith, Jr., and W. W. Wilson, as sureties, in the sum of three thousand six hundred and thirty dollars, which sum is hereby fixed by this Board and conditioned for the payment of all taxes which are now a lien but not yet apayable against the property as shown on map of Mt. Davidson Manor is hereby approved.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher—3.

Intention to Change Grades.

Supervisor Marks presented:

Resolution No. 27042 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to change and establish grades on the following named streets at the points hereinafter specified and at the elevations above city base as hereinafter stated, in accordance with Resolution No. 96264 (Second Series) of the Board of Public Works, adopted March 4, 1927, and written recommendation of said Board, filed March 8, 1927, to-wit:

Bright Street.

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, at Randolph street northerly line, 293 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, at Randolph street northerly line, 293 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

350 feet northerly from Randolph street, 335.44 feet.

400 feet northerly from Randolph street, 342.20 feet.

450 feet northerly from Randolph street, 350.37 feet.

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

Sargent street southerly line, 377 feet.

Sargent street northerly line, 380 feet.

250 feet northerly from Sargent street, 423.75 feet.

300 feet northerly from Sargent street, 431.87 feet.

350 feet northerly from Sargent street, 438.75 feet.

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

Shields street, 470 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Sargent Street.

Orizaba avenue (for Sargent street only), 383.40 feet.

100 feet easterly from Bright street, 380.95 feet.

Southerly line of, at Bright street, 377 feet.

Northerly line of, at Bright street, 380 feet.

13 feet southerly from the northerly line of, 150 feet easterly from Head street, 375.66 feet.

13 feet southerly from the northerly line of, 100 feet easterly from Head street, 372.79 feet.

13 feet southerly from the northerly line of, 50 feet easterly from Head street, 371.50 feet.

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

13 feet northerly from the southerly line of, 150 feet easterly from Head street, 374.84 feet.

13 feet northerly from the southerly line of, 100 feet easterly from Head street, 372.58 feet.

13 feet northerly from the southerly line of, 50 feet easterly from Head street, 371.50 feet.

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

Head street, 371 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

On Bright street between Randolph and Shields streets, and on Sargent street between Orizaba avenue and Head street, be changed and established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that no assessment district is necessary as no damage will result from said change of grades, inasmuch as the streets are ungraded and there are no existing street improvements.

The Board of Public Works is hereby directed to cause to be conspicuously posted along the street or streets upon which such change or modification of grade or grades is contemplated, notice of the passage of this resolution of intention.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher—3.

Resolution of Intention to Close Certain Streets and Avenues in West End Map No. 1.

Supervisor Marks presented:

Resolution No. 27043 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, a petition has been filed with the Board of Supervisors of

the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, petitioning that certain streets and avenues in West End Map No. 1, San Francisco, California, be closed and abandoned; and

Whereas, new streets to be approved by said Board of Supervisors will be opened up and deeded by the owners of the adjoining property to the City and County of San Francisco conforming to the contour and topography of said land adjoining said streets to be closed; and

Whereas, the area of the streets to be opened and deeded by the owners of said property to the City and County of San Francisco exceeds the area of the said streets to be abandoned; and

Whereas, public interest and convenience require and would be conserved by said closing and abandonment of said certain streets and avenues in West End Map No. 1; therefore, be it

Resolved, That it is the intention of said Board of Supervisors to close and abandon said certain streets and avenues in said West End Map No. 1, more particularly described and bounded as follows, to-wit:

All those certain streets, highways or avenues shown upon "West End Map No. 1," as per map thereof recorded May 1, 1863, in Book 2 A and B of Maps, page 45, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and particularly described and bounded as follows, to-wit:

All of East Lake avenue from the northeasterly line of Mt. Vernon avenue to the northeasterly extremity of said West Lake avenue;

All of West Lake avenue from the northeasterly line of Mt. Vernon avenue to the southwesterly extremity of Otsego avenue;

All of Otsego avenue from the southwesterly line of Seneca avenue to the northeasterly extremity of East Lake avenue;

All that part of Niagara avenue (all or part of which was formerly known as Unadilla avenue) which lies between the southeasterly line of Delano avenue (formerly known as Delaware avenue) and a straight line drawn from the northerly corner of Lot 1, Block 8, to the westerly corner of Lot 5, Block 9, as shown upon said West End Map No. 1;

All that part of Geneva avenue which lies between the southeasterly line of Delano avenue (formerly known as Delaware avenue) and a straight line drawn from the northerly corner of Lot 1, Block 9, to the westerly corner of Lot 4, Block 10, as shown upon said West End Map No 1.

Furhter Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors declare that the said closing and abandoning shall be done and made in the manner and in accordance with the provisions of Section 2 and succeeding section of Chapter III, Article VI of the Charter, as amended. Be it

Further Resolved, That the damage, cost and expense of said closing up of said certain streets and avenues in West End Map No. 1 are nominal and hereby paid out of the revenues of the City and County of San Francisco.

And the Board of Public Works is hereby directed to give notice of said closing and abandonment of said portions of said streets in the manner provided by law, and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors is hereby directed to advertise this resolution in The Bulletin, as required by law.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher—3.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Changing Grades on Lyon Street.

On motion of Supervisor Marks:

Bill No. 7959, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Changing and reestablishing the official grades on Lyon street between Pacific avenue and Broadway.

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors, on the written recommendation of the Board of Public Works, did, on the 24th day of December, 1926, by Resolution No. 26565 (New Series), declare its intention to change and reestablish the grades on Lyon street between Pacific avenue and Broadway; and

Whereas, said resolution was so published for ten days, and the Board of Public Works within ten days after the first publication of said resolution of intention caused notices of the passage of said resolution to be conspicuously posted along all streets specified in the resolution, in the manner and as provided by law; and

Whereas, more than forty days has elapsed since the first publica-

tion of said resolution of intension; therefore,

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The grades on the following named streets at the points hereinafter named and at the elevations above city base as hereinafter stated, are hereby changed and established as follows:

Lyon Street.

Pacific avenue, 370 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Westerly curb line of, 133 feet southerly from Broadway, 351.85 feet.

Westerly curb line of, 93 feet southerly from Broadway, 345.60 feet.

Westerly curb line of, 53 feet southerly from Broadway, 337.66 feet.

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

Easterly curb line of, cut by a line at right angles to the westerly curb line, 133 feet southerly from Broadway, 351.85 feet.

Easterly curb line of, cut by a line at right angles to the westerly curb line, 93 feet southerly from Broadway, 345.60 feet.

Easterly curb line of, cut by a line at right angles to the westerly curb line, 53 feet southerly from Broadway, 337.66 feet.

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

Broadway, 326 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

On Lyon Street between Pacific avenue and Broadway changed and established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Also, Bill No. 7960, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Changing and reestablishing the official grades on Noriega street between the easterly line of Twenty-first avenue and the westerly line of Thirty-first avenue; on Twenty-second avenue between Ortega street and a line parallel with Moraga street and 250 feet southerly therefrom; on Twenty-third avenue between the northerly line or Noriega street and a line parallel with Ortega street and 100 feet northerly therefrom; on Twenty-fourth avenue between lines respectively 93 feet northerly and southerly from Noriega street; on Twenty-fifth and Twenty-sixth avenues between the northerly line of Noriega street and 93 feet southerly therefrom; on Twenty-seventh avenue between a line parallel with Moraga street and 300 feet southerly therefrom, and a line parallel with Noriega street and 93 feet southerly therefrom; on Twenty-eighth avenue, Twenty-ninth avenue and Thirtieth avenue between lines parallel with Noriega street and respectively 93 feet northerly and southerly therefrom; and on Thirty-first avenue between a line at right angles to easterly line of, 93 feet northerly from Noriega street and a line at right angles to the easterly line of, 105 feet southerly from Noriega street.

Also, Bill No. 7961, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), entitled: "Changing and reestablishing the official grades on Jones street between North Point and Beach streets."

Also, Bill No. 7962, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), entitled: "Changing and reestablishing the official grades on Farragut avenue between Huron avenue and Winnipeg avenue."

Also, Bill No. 7963, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), entitled: "Changing and reestablishing the official grades on Goettingen street between a line parallel with Olmstead street and 125 feet southerly therefrom, and the northerly line of Ordway street, and on Mansell street between the westerly line of Brussels street and the easterly line of Somerset street."

Also, Bill No. 7964, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), entitled: "Changing and reestablishing the official grades on Thirty-ninth and Fortieth avenues between Santiago and Taraval streets, on Forty-first and Forty-second avenues between Quintara and Taraval streets, on Forty-third avenue between Quintara and Santiago streets, on Rivera street between the westerly line of Forty-second avenue and Forty-fourth avenue, and on Santiago street between the easterly line of Forty-first avenue and Forty-second avenue."

Also, Bill No. 7965, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), entitled: "Changing and reestablishing the official grades on Montgomery street between Green and Lombard streets, on Union street between Sansome and Kearny streets, on Alta street between the westerly line of Montgomery street and a line parallel with and 137.50 feet westerly therefrom, and between the easterly line of Montgomery street and a line parallel with and 275 feet easterly therefrom, on Filbert street between Sansome and Kearny streets, and on Greenwich

street between Sansome and Kearny streets."

Also, Bill No. 7966, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), entitled: "Changing and reestablishing the official grades on Beach street between Laguna street and the westerly line of Buchanan street, on Buchanan street between North Point street and Jefferson street produced, on Webster street between Tonquin street and Lewis street, on the Marina boulevard between Beach and Tonquin streets, on Tonquin street between Webster and Scott streets, on Lewis street between Buchanan and Pierce streets, and on Fillmore street, Steiner street and Pierce street between Tonquin and Lewis streets."

Spur Track Permit.

On motion of Supervisor Marks:

Bill No. 7967, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Granting permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to Southern Pacific Company, a corporation, to construct, maintain and operate a railroad track in and along Georgia street, crossing Alameda street and portions of Eldorado and Fourth streets, in the location hereinafter described.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, is hereby granted to Southern Pacific Company, a corporation, to construct, maintain and operate a railroad track in and along Georgia street, crossing Alameda street and portions of Eldorado and Fourth streets, the center line of which is particularly described as follows:

Beginning at a point in the intersection of Eldorado street and Georgia street, said point being 33 feet southerly, measured at right angles, from the northerly line of Eldorado street produced, and 25 feet easterly, measured at right angles, from the westerly line of Georgia street produced; thence northerly on the arc of a curve, concave to the left, with a radius of 260.84 feet, for a distance of 92 feet, more or less, to a point in the center line of Georgia street, said point being 58 feet northerly, measured at right angles from the northerly line of Eldorado street produced; thence northerly along the center line of Georgia street and crossing the intersection of Alameda street for a distance of 451 feet, more or less, to a point; thence on the arc of a curve, concave to the right, with a radius of 382.24 feet, for a distance of 104 feet, more or less, to a point; thence tangent to last mentioned curve for a distance of 56 feet, more or less, to a point on the center line of Fourth street produced, said point being 13 feet westerly, more or less, measured at right angles, from the easterly line of Georgia street.

Said permission is granted subject to the provisions of Ordinance No. 69 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors, approved October 12, 1906, and the provisions and conditions of Section 8 thereof are hereby specifically contained in the permit hereby granted and shall be construed as a part hereof as completely as though the same were written in this ordinance.

Provided, that said track shall be laid under the supervision and to the lines and grades as furnished by the City Engineer's office, and that any and all expense connected with the installation of the track, restoration of the pavement and any additional requirements for the surface drainage is to be paid for by the Southern Pacific Company; provided, that Southern Pacific Company shall erect and maintain all-night lighted arc lamps to be placed where directed by the Lighting Committee of the Board of Supervisors.

Provided, that girder rail be used within the lines of the streets; that all pavement disturbed be restored, and that the existing manholes in Georgia street and Alameda street be protected. Any additional expense, due to the construction of a sewer and side-sewers off the center line of Georgia street, is to be borne by the Southern Pacific Company.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Award of Contract, Fire Hose.

Supervisor Badaracco presented:

Resolution No. 27044 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of contract be hereby made to Pioneer Rubber Mills, on bid submitted February 28, 1927 (Proposal No. 276), for furnishing the following, viz.:

2000 feet of fire hose, more particularly described as follows: 1½-inch internal diameter, circular woven 2-ply or jacket, cotton, rubber lined fire hose in 50-foot lengths, each with couplings attached and all in full accordance with the specifications and delivered f. o. b. Corporation Yard of the San Francisco Fire Department, 313 Francisco street, San Francisco, California; on "Victor Jacket" brand at 41 cents per foot.

Resolved, That a bond in the sum of $200 be required for the faithful performance of this contract.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher—3.

Award of Contract, Victor Records.

Supervisor Badaracco presented:

Resolution No. 27045 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of contract be hereby made to The Rudolph Wurlitzer Company, on bid submitted March 21, 1927 (Proposal No. 286), for furnishing 1530 Victor records for School Department for the sum of $1,156.50 as per tabulation of bids on file in the Bureau of Supplies, which is hereby made a part hereof:

Resolved, That a bond in the sum of $300 be required for the faithful performance of this contract.

Resolved, That all other bids submitted hereon be rejected.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher—3.

Award of Contract, Hospital Supplies.

Supervisor Badaracco presented:

Resolution No. 27046 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of contract is hereby made on hospital supplies for Department of Public Health, on bids submitted March 14, 1927 (Proposal No. 283), as follows, viz.:

Item No. 1—900 rolls bandages, roller gauze, at 68 cents per roll Seabury & Johnson. Also 900 rolls 44x40 mesh, 1x10 yards, at 68 cents per roll; J. Theo. Erlin Co.

Item No. 2—1625 pounds cotton, absorbent; plain, best grade, long fiber, absolutely free from seeds and other particles, in 1-pound packages, "Emergency Brand," at 32 cents per pound; Herbert F. Dugan.

Item No. 3—100 rolls gauze, plain, absorbent, in 100-yard rolls, 24x20 mesh, at $3.10 per roll; Lewis Mfg. Co.

Item No. 4—800 rolls gauze, plain, in 100-yard rolls, 16x20 mesh, at $2.52 per roll; J. Theo. Erlin Co.

Item No. 5—400 pounds lint, absorbent, in 1-pound cartons, at $1 per carton; Stier Drug Co.

Item No. 6—30 rolls muslin, oiled, in 5-yard rolls, at 67 cents per yard; Herbert F. Dugan.

Item No. 7—900 rolls plaster, adhesive, zinc oxide, in rolls 12 inches by 5 yards, at 84 cents per roll; Seabury & Johnson.

Delivery, one-half of the above stated "quantity required" upon each item must be delivered within 15 days of award of contract and the balance within 30 days of award of contract.

Resolved, That bonds for the faithful performance of above contracts are required as follows, viz.: Herbert F. Dugan, $100; J. Theo. Erlin Co., $500; Lewis Mfg. Co., $100; Stier Drug Co., $100; Seabury & Johnson, $200.

Note—All above awards are made to the lowest bidder, except when award is made in consideration of deliveries or on account of the quality as determined by such tests as required or recommended by the Purchaser of Supplies.

Resolved, That all other bids submitted thereon be rejected.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher—3.

Award of Contract, Radium for San Francisco Hospital, With List of Equipment, Etc.

Supervisor Badaracco presented:

Resolution No. 27047 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of contract be hereby made to the Radium Chemical Company of Pittsburg, Pennsylvania, on bid submitted March 14, 1927 (Proposal No. 278), for furnishing the following, viz.:

Radium for San Francisco Hospital, 20 10-milligram alloy needles of radium under U. S. Bureau of Standards seal, for the lot, $14,000.

Said contractor to furnish, without extra cost, list of equipment as follows, viz.:

(Key No.; Item.)

38—10 screw-capped brass screens, each for 2 needles.

39—4 screw-capped brass screens, each for 5 needles.

323—5 aluminum shells, each for 2 needles, in brass.

324—4 aluminum shells, each for 4 or 5 needles, in brass.

325—2 aluminum shells, each for 8 or 10 needles, in brass.

401—2 Cameron forceps.

402—3 needle-inserting forceps.

404—2 screw-capped forceps.
405—2 sliding lock forceps.
408—2 nickel-brass handle for introducing aluminum shells.
409—1 7-inch needle.
410—1 trocar-cannula complete.
411—8 blind-end rubber tubes (4 large and 4 small).
412—6 celluloid tubes.
413—12 thin rubber sacs.
414—22 wires for aluminum shells (11 of each).
415—12-foot rubber tubing for covering wires.
417—6 bottles waxed silk needle thread.
418—1 skein needle wire.
420—50 caution tags.
421—2 boxes Kerr's moulding compound.
422—6 pieces pith wood.
423—4 hard rubber blocks for 5 needles.
424—4 needle threaders.
433—1 lead box.
454 — 2 lead-center mahogany needle boxes, 10 needles in each.
429—2 cut-out wheels for No. 324 and No. 323.
40—2 screw-capped brass screens, each for 10 needles, bunched.

Resolved, That no bond be required for this contract.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher—3.

ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND MOTIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

Citizens' Committee for Reception to German Ambassador, Baron A. Maltzen.

Supervisor Hayden presented:

Resolution No. 27048 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That his Honor Mayor James Rolph, Jr., be respectfully requested to appoint a committee of citizens to arrange for a reception to His Excellency Baron A. Maltzen, Ambassador of Germany to the United States, who will arrive in San Francisco April 10th.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher—3.

Leave of Absence, Judge S. J. Lazarus.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

March 21, 1927.

Honorable Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Gentlemen:

I hereby make application for permission to be absent from the State of California for a period not to exceed thirty days from and after April 1, 1927.

Yours respectfully,
SYLVAIN J. LAZARUS,
Police Judge.

Whereupon, the following was presented and adopted by the following vote:

Resolution No. 27049 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Hon. Sylvain J. Lazarus, Police Judge, is hereby granted a leave of absence, for a period of thirty days, commencing April 1, 1927, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher—3.

Leave of Absence, F. Dohrmann, Jr., Board of Education.

The following matter was presented and read by the Clerk:

San Francisco, Cal.,
March 22, 1927.

Honorable Board of Supervisors,
City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen:

Application has been made to me by the Hon. F. Dohrmann, Jr., a member of the Board of Education, for a leave of absence, with permission to absent himself from the State of California for a period of forty-five (45) days, commencing April 1, 1927.

Will you please concur with me in granting this leave of absence?

Very sincerely yours,
JAMES ROLPH, JR.,
Mayor.

Whereupon, the following resolution was presented and *adopted* by the following vote:

Resolution No. 27050 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Hon. F. Dohrmann, Jr., member of the Board of Education, is hereby granted a leave of absence, for a period of

forty-five days, commencing April 1, 1927, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher—3.

Independence Day Citizens' Committee.

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Resolution No. 27051 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That his Honor the Mayor be and he is hereby requested to appoint a citizens' committee to make arrangements for the proper observance of Independence Day, July 4, 1927.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher—3.

Condemnation of Lands of Jensine Christoffersen in Alameda County Required for Hetch Hetchy Water Project.

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Resolution No. 27052 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That public interest and necessity demand the acquisition through eminent domain proceedings of the following described parcel of land situated in the County of Alameda, State of California, belonging to Jensine Christoffersen and Carl M. Christoffersen, for the purposes hereinafter described, for the public use of the City and County of San Francisco, said land being more particularly described as follows, to-wit:

The northeast quarter of Section 6, Township 4 South, Range 4 East, M. D. B. and M., containing 158 acres, more or less.

The taking of said property and of a fee title thereto is necessary for the construction, maintenance and operation by the City and County of San Francisco of a portion of the Hetch Hetchy aqueduct, now being constructed by the said City and County of San Francisco, and designed and intended to convey water from Lake Eleanor and the Tuolumne River and its tributaries to the said City and County of San Francisco for municipal and domestic purposes. That the taking of said property is further necessary for the future proper development and control of said aqueduct and for the structures appurtenant thereto and used in connection therewith and for a protective area for said aqueduct. That the structures appurtenant to said right of way for said aqueduct are a permanent shaft leading from the surface of the ground to said aqueduct, and as a site for permanent houses and homes to be used and occupied by the persons constructing and operating and maintaining said aqueduct, and for permanent rights of way, water pipe lines, electric transmission lines, telephone lines, roads and pathways, all to be used in connection with the construction, maintenance and operation of said aqueduct and the appurtenances thereto.

The City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to commence eminent domain proceedings against the owners of said property and of all interests therein or claims against or liens upon said property, for the condemnation of said rights, interests, claims and liens to the public use of the City and County of San Francisco for the said purposes above specified.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher—3.

Approving Bond, Leonard & Holt, to Cover Payment of Taxes.

Supervisor Marks presented:

Resolution No. 27053 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the bond filed with this Board March 28, 1927, by Leonard & Holt (a corporation), as principal, and B. C. Blachley and E. A. Ricks, as sureties, in the sum of one thousand dollars, which sum is hereby fixed by this Board and conditioned for the payment of all taxes which are now a lien but not yet payable against the property as shown on Map of Addition to Assessor's Block Number 6905, San Francisco, California, is hereby approved.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher—3.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Automobile Supply Station Permit.

On motion of Supervisor Deasy:

Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Fred O. Foster be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to operate and maintain an automobile supply station on the northeast corner of McAllister and Hyde streets.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Spur Track Permit, Foster & Kleiser.

On motion of Supervisor Marks:

Bill No. 7968, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Granting permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to Foster & Kleiser Investment Company and San Francisco Sulphur Company to construct, maintain and operate a spur track along and across an unnamed street, Carroll avenue and Donner avenue, as hereinafter described.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, is hereby granted to Foster and Kleiser Investment Company and San Francisco Sulphur Company to construct and maintain a spur track along and across an unnamed street, Carroll avenue and Donner avenue, as follows:

Beginning at a point in the center line of the existing track in an unnamed street, said point being distant northerly 122.0 feet from the intersection of said center line of track in unnamed street with the northerly line of Carroll avenue produced; thence diverging in a southwesterly direction from said track and crossing portion of said unnamed street and Carroll avenue to a point on the southerly line of Carroll avenue, said point being distant easterly 118 plus feet from the intersection of said southerly line of Carroll avenue with the easterly line of Mendell street; thence continuing on into private property.

Beginning at a point on the northerly line of Donner avenue, said point being distant 85 plus feet easterly from the intersection of said northerly line of Donner avenue with the easterly line of Mendell street; thence in a southwesterly direction across Donner avenue to a point on the northerly line of the Paul Tract, said point being distant 120 plus feet easterly from the intersection of said northerly line of the Paul Tract with the southerly line of Donner avenue; thence continuing into private property.

Said permission is granted subject to the provisions of Ordinance No. 69 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors, approved October 12, 1906, and the provisions and conditions of Section 8 thereof are hereby specifically contained in the permit hereby granted and shall be construed as a part hereof as completely as though the same were written in this ordinance.

Provided, that said spur track shall be laid under the supervision and to the line and grades as furnished by the City Engineer's office, and that any and all expenses connected with the installation of the track, restoration of the pavement and any additional requirements for the surface drainage be paid for by the Foster and Kleiser Investment Company and the San Francisco Sulphur Company.

Provided, that the Foster and Kleiser Investment Company and the San Francisco Sulphur Company shall erect and maintain all-night lighted arc lamps where directed by the Lighting Committee of the Board of Supervisors.

Section 2. Ordinance No. 7432 (New Series) is hereby repealed.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Appropriation, $2,000, Payment to John P. Bowers in Settlement of Litigation.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $2,000 be and the same is hereby authorized in payment out of Urgent Necessity, Budget Item No. 25, 1926-1927, to John P. Bowers, in settlement of Superior Court Action No. 173923, approved by the City Attorney and authorized by Resolution No. 26991 (New Series).

RECESS.

Whereupon, at the hour of 12:30 p. m. the Board took a recess until 2 p. m.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk.

REASSEMBLED—2:30 P. M.

The Board of Supervisors reassembled at 2:30 p. m.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Byington, Colman,

Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher—3.

His Honor Mayor Rolph being absent, Supervisor McSheehy was elected to preside.

Naming of San Francisco Airport.

The Clerk read:

Communications, from the Board of Trustees of San Bruno, the San Bruno Chamber of Commerce and the Exchange Club of San Bruno, requesting that the San Francisco Airport be named officially "San Francisco Airport at San Bruno."

M. Helmore, publisher and editor of the San Bruno Herald, conveyed the message of the organizations above mentioned and urged that the request be complied with.

BRIDGE MATTERS—2 P. M.

The following matters were presented and read by the Clerk:

"March 23, 1927.

Transbay Bridge Engineers.
Board of Supervisors:

Under Resolution No. 26817 (New Series), adopted by you on February 14, 1927, and approved by the Mayor on February 25, 1927, this Board was requested to submit to the Board of Supervisors the names of three engineers expert in the construction and location of bridges over navigable waters, to the end that the Board of Supervisors might authorize the employment of these engineers for the purpose of making a study and investigation of the proper location of termini, clearance above the waters of the Bay, space between the piers, the loads which said bridge should carry, the facilities for traffic at both termini of said bridge, and the probable conditions of traffic incident to said bridge, and the financial problems involved, and to report upon the location of the bridge within thirty days after their appointment.

In accordance with the provisions of said resolution, this Board secured from the University of California, Leland Stanford Junior University, University of Santa Clara and Saint Mary's College, a list of engineers qualified for such service, and from said list this Board has selected the following engineers:

Robert Ridgway, Chief Engineer, Board of Transportation of the City of New York.

A. N. Talbot, Professor of Theoretical and Applied Mechanics, University of Illinois.

J. D. Galloway, Consulting Engineer, San Francisco.

In accordance with the provisions of Article VI, Chapter I, Section 9, Subdivision 8, of the Charter, the City Engineer in letter of even date certified that the services of Robert Ridgway, A. N. Talbot and J. D. Galloway are necessary in connection with the engineering report on location and other problems relative to a bridge across San Francisco Bay from San Francisco to the Alameda shore, and it is recommended that your Board confirm the appointment of said engineers to serve in conjunction with the City Engineer on these matters.

And it is further recommended that the Board of Supervisors authorize the employment of said engineers and appropriate the sum of $30,000 to cover the cost of services of said engineers and the necessary expenses relative to the report on locations to be made in the thirty-day period.

E. A. REARDON,
President;
C. L. STANTON,
FRED W. MEYER,
Board of Public Works.
W. J. FITZGERALD, Secretary."

"*Night Letter.*

March 11, 1927.

Robert Ridgway, 49 Lafayette street, New York City. Night Letter. Arthur Newell Talbot, Urbana, Illinois. Night Letter. J. D. Galloway, Crocker First National Bank Building, San Francisco Mail.

Your name has been submitted to this Board to make a study of the problem of locating the position of a bridge across San Francisco Bay from the City of San Francisco to the East Bay cities in Alameda County, including an investigation of the proper location for the termini. Kindly advise at the earliest possible date if you will serve as a member of a board of three engineers to be selected for this purpose, and how soon you could take up this work, and the compensation that you would serve for. The City of San Francisco is desirous of having this information within thirty days from date of commencing work.

Board of Public Works,
W. J. FITZGERALD,
Secretary,
255 City Hall, San Francisco."

"*Copy*

New York, N. Y.

W. J. Fitzgerald, Secretary Board of Public Works, City Hall.

Will serve if selected as member of Board of three engineers to consider bridge across San Francisco Bay. Can reach San Francisco April

7th or a little earlier if absolutely necessary. Compensation on basis of thirty days ten thousand dollars, which will include personal expenses. Regret delay in acknowledging telegrams due to death in family.

ROBERT RIDGWAY,
Chief Engineer."

"*Copy*

Urbana, Ill., March 21, 1927.

Board of Public Works, care W. J. Fitzgerald, Secretary.

Would be willing to serve on board of engineers for problem of bridge location across Bay. I assume City has engineering and statistical data available for the study and access to plans and discussions of bridge projects proposed. Suggest compensation of four thousand dollars and all expenses, including travel, with provision that if time away from here exceeds thirty days further charge one hundred dollars per diem will be made. Could be San Francisco April 1, but might not be able to go at a much later date. An early response will be appreciated.

A. N. TALBOT."

"*Copy*

J. D. Galloway, Member American Society of Civil Engineers, Civil Engineer, First National Bank Building, San Francisco.

March 16, 1927.

Board of Public Works, 255 City Hall, San Francisco, California.

Attention: Mr. W. J. Fitzgerald, Secretary.

Gentlemen: I acknowledge receipt of your telegram of March 15, asking whether I would serve as a member of a board of three engineers to make a study of the problem of locating the position of a bridge across San Francisco Bay from the City of San Francisco to the East Bay cities in Alameda County, including an investigation of the proper location of termini. I understand from your telegram that you desire the work to be done within thirty days from the date of commencing work.

I would be pleased to undertake this work should your Board desire. I name the sum of three thousand dollars ($3,000) as the amount of compensation desired.

Awaiting your decision in the matter, and thanking you for the consideration given, I am

Yours sincerely,

(Signed) J. D. GALLOWAY."

Employment of Engineers by Board of Public Works.

Supervisor Havenner presented the following:

Bill No. ——, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Authorizing and empowering the Board of Public Works to employ engineers to make a study, investigation and report of transbay bridge, and to report the result of said investigation to the Board of Supervisors.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. That the Board of Public Works of the City and County of San Francisco be and they are hereby authorized, empowered and directed to forthwith employ the following named engineers, expert in the construction and location of bridges, to-wit: Robert Ridgway, Arthur N. Talbot and John D. Galloway, and that said Board of Public Works be requested to insturct and direct the above named engineers, in conjunction with the City Engineer of the City and County of San Francisco, to make a study, survey, investigation and report of the proper and best location of the termini of a transbay bridge from the City and County of San Francisco to the County of Alameda, the proper foundations for said bridge, clearance above the waters of the Bay, and spaces between piers of said bridge, the loads that said bridge should be capable of carrying, and the character and kind of said loads, the facilities for traffic at both termini of said bridge, the probable conditions of traffic incident to said proposed bridge, and the financial problems involved in the construction and completion thereof.

Section 2. And be it further ordained that said engineers above named, in conjunction with said City Engineer, be directed to make and complete said study, survey, investigation and report within thirty days from the date of their employment and that said Board of Public Works be requested and directed to file with the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, a copy of said study, survey, investigation and report as soon as the same is received from said engineers, and within thirty days from the date of their employment.

Section 3. And be it further ordained that the sum of thirty thousand ($30,000) dollars be, and the same is hereby, appropriated from money in the General Fund but not otherwise appropriated, for the purpose of paying the compensation and expenses of said engineers, above mentioned, and said Board of Public Works are hereby directed

and empowered to provide for the compensation and expenses of said engineers out of said sum and to file with the Board of Supervisors a detailed account of all disbursements made from said fund.

Privilege of the Floor.

City Attorney O'Toole was granted the privilege of the floor and advised that the right to fix the salaries of the engineers rested with the Board of Public Works.

City Engineer O'Shaughnessy was granted the privilege of the floor and explained the technical questions involved and agreed to have a proper contract, to be drawn by the City Attorney, with the three engineers selected.

Amendments.

On motion of Supervisor Roncovieri the words in the eighth line of Section 1, "proper and best location of the" were stricken out and the words "three best locations in the order of their importance for the" inserted in lieu thereof.

On motion of Supervisor Havenner, Section 3, second line, was amended to read "$25,000 instead of $30,000."

Amendment.

Supervisor McSheehy asked that the Havenner bill be amended by striking out the words "the proper foundtaions for said bridge,"

Proposed amendment *accepted* by Supervisor Havenner.

Amendment.

Supervisor Marks (acting Chairman): I desire to offer the following amendment: After the word "sum" on the second line from the bottom, it reads now, "and the said Board of Public Works are hereby directed and empowered to provide for the compensation and expenses of said engineers out of said sum," and I desire to add these words, "which said compensation and expenses shall not, in any event, for any purpose whatever, exceed $25,000."

Amendment *adopted.*

Passed for Printing.

Whereupon, the foregoing bill, as amended, was *passed for printing* by the following vote:

Bill No. 7969, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Authorizing and empowering the Board of Public Works to employ engineers to make a study, investigation and report of transbay bridge, and to report the result of said investigation to the Board of Supervisors.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. That the Board of Public Works of the City and County of San Francisco be and they are hereby authorized, empowered and directed to forthwith employ the following named engineers, expert in the construction and location of bridges, to-wit: Robert Ridgway, Arthur N. Talbot and John D. Galloway, and that said Board of Public Works be requested to instruct and direct said above named engineers in conjunction with the City Engineer of the City and County of San Francisco, to make a study, survey, investigation and report on the three best locations, in the order of their importance for the termini of a transbay bridge from the City and County of San Francisco to the County of Alameda, the proper clearance above the waters of the bay and spaces between piers of said bridge, the loads that said bridge should be capable of carrying, and the character and kind of said loads, the facilities for traffic at both terminii of said bridge, the probable conditions of traffic incident to said proposed bridge, and the financial problems involved in the construction and completion thereof.

Section 2. And be it further ordained, that said engineers above named, in conjunction with said City Engineer, be directed to make and complete the said study, survey, investigation and report within thirty days from the date of their employment, and that said Board of Public Works be requested and directed to file with the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, a copy of said study, survey, investigation and report, as soon as the same is received from said engineers, and within thirty days from the date of their employment.

Section 3. And be it further ordained, that the sum of twenty-five thousand ($25,000) dollars be and the same is hereby appropriated from money in the General Fund and not otherwise appropriated, for the purpose of paying the compensation and expenses of said engineers above mentioned, and said Board of Public Works are hereby directed and empowered to provide for the compensation and expenses of said engineers out of said sum, which said compensation and expenses shall not in any event for any purpose whatever exceed $25,000 and to file with the Board of Supervisors a detailed account of all disbursements made from said fund.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Col-

man, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Todd—14.

No—Supervisor Stanton—1.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher—3.

Explanation of Vote.

Supervisor Stanton: I am opposed to this; I am opposed to the entire proceeding. I believe it is a new proceeding in the matter of building bridges. I do not believe it has happened in any other bridge that has been built around San Francisco. Everything that you are asking of these engineers could be taken care of by law, possibly with the exception of picking a location. The height of your bridge will have to be according to the Government's ruling and regulations. You cannot build it lower. The footage will be placed according to the wishes of the Government and the State Engineer, that is the law. Whether we want an automobile, interurban or transcontinental, will, in the final, come back and have to be settled on by the Board of Supervisors. Some Supervisors said that we will take this engineers' report back to Washington and we will all get behind it, and if the Government turns it down, then we will come back to San Francisco and we will do the next best thing. In my way of thinking, it is working backwards. The Government have had the hearings, and brought everybody in that was in any way interested, and had them here for days. And in a telegram by Mr. Davis to Mr. Carter, a copy of which I have in my pocket, and you have all heard, the Government stated up to the present time there has not been any new evidence presented that would lead them to change their minds. They have been considering this since 1921, and they surely are more familiar with the Bay, having been surveying it for many a year back, they are more familiar with the maritime conditions, they are more familiar with the Navy and the seas, they have engineers of some standing, and, after hearing all the evidence, they have told you where you could build a bridge once. They held hearings for modification of their ruling, and today they have all the facts and data before them, and are ready to present it to San Francisco, for their action. And by some sort of hocus pocus we are going to get three engineers, one from New York and one from some other place, and one from San Francisco, that are coming in here, and in twenty days tell us, most of us born and raised in San Francisco, just where the best place to build the bridge is. There must be something wrong with our intelligence. There surely must be. It is something that has never been done before. If we select a location, and we have a City Engineer that is going to act with these engineers when they come, and I venture to say, will give them most of the data that they are going to act on—surely, if we could not be guided by him in some way, a man who is right on the scene, with a corps of engineers who are right on the scene, to select where would be the proper place to start our bridge from, then there is something wrong with San Francisco. You can take all the engineers that came here and spoke before the Board of Supervisors, and all are prominent, and each and every one of them has gotten up and given you a different reason why the bridge should be built in a different place. And they are just as big engineers, and some of them have built considerably more bridges than those that you are bringing in here. And after it is all said and done, and after you have spent your money, then you are going back to the War Department and get their decision. And there has not been a thing that anybody here can offer that has not been already said, that will be in any way new to the ears of those who have already given their decision, who have had it ready for the last six months and are willing to hand it out to you, and save the City of San Francisco $25,000. Now, Mr. Chairman, it would be different if San Francisco was starting out to build a bridge and she wanted advice as to how to build the bridge and what it should be. But these proponents, and if you intend to give any of them a franchise, have their engineers, competent—I believe some of them have built a number of hundreds of bridges—and they are not going out there and stick something up that is going to fall down, or that is not going to be the right height above the water level, or that is not going to have the proper footage. And the reason that they are not going to do it, primarily, is because the Government is not going to permit it. Before a franchise can be granted, the data has to go back to the War Department, and they will tell you just exactly what you can do. In the matter of the Carquinez bridge, as I have been in-

formed, they were told that the footings were not just in the right place, and they were ordered to move them a little one way or the other, and when the thing is all said and done, regardless of what your engineers tell you, you are going to then abide by the ruling of the war Department, if you want a bridge. I am telling you now, and the time is going to come when I will be able to get up here and say: "I told you so." You mark what I am saying. I brand it a wild extravagance.

Action Deferred.

Whereupon, the following resolutions and motions were, on motion of Supervisor Shannon, *continued until May 9, 1927, at 2 p. m.*:

Presented by Supervisor McSheehy:

Whereas, there are now pending before the Board of Supervisors various applications for franchises to construct and operate toll bridges over and across the Bay of San Francisco, between the City and County of San Francisco and the County of Alameda; and

Whereas, Section 4 of the Act of 1881 provides "That whenever the Supervisors of any county or counties desire to erect a bridge on any public highway, or to grant the privilege so to do, to any individual or corporation, across a navigable stream, said board or boards shall notify the State Engineer of such purpose, and of the precise point where said bridge is to be located,"; and

Whereas, a proper site for a bridge is one the San Francisco terminal of which will be within easy access of the business district of the City, and at the same time sufficiently removed from the main arteries of the City not to unduly congest the same, and the Alameda terminus of which will be easily accessible to traffic of all kinds, especially suburban railway traffic from all parts of the County of Alameda; and

Whereas, the area from and including Rincon Hill on the north, and to and including Sixteenth street on the south, in the City and County of San Francisco, and the area from and including Seventh, Bay, Eighth and Pine streets in the City of Oakland on the north, and to and including Pacific avenue in Alameda on the south, is a district well adapted to and possessing the necessary facilities and conditions for the terminals of a transbay bridge; and

Whereas, in order to meet the requirements of the shipping interests both in San Francisco and Oakland, and to the end that bay navigation shall be interfered with as little as possible, a high level bridge, the structure of which shall have a clearance of at least one hundred and thirty-five feet above the waters of the Bay, is best suited for said transbay bridge; and

Whereas, the City of San Francisco is by its charter committed to public ownership and operation of all public utilities, and that the City of San Francisco should itself construct, own and operate said bridge, if it is possible to do so; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the area from and including Rincon Hill on the north and to and including Sixteenth street on the south, in the City and County of San Francisco, and the area from and including the blocks bounded by Seventh, Bay, Eighth and Pine streets in the City of Oakland on the north, and to and including Pacific avenue in Alameda on the south, be and the same is hereby declared to be the area that is best suited for the respective terminals of a transbay bridge between the City and County of San Francisco and the County of Alameda, and that a high level bridge, the structure of which will have a clearance of at least one hundred and thirty-five feet above the navigable waters of the Bay and adequate length of span will least interfere with bay navigation and with the shipping interests thereon, and is hereby recommended as the type of bridge to be selected.

And be it Further Resolved, That a ruling of the War Department of the United States be forthwith sought as to whether a bridge may be constructed of the type, and with terminals located in the districts above set forth, and for that purpose a committee composed of two members of this Board to be selected by the Chairman of its Finance Committee, and the City Engineer and the City Attorney, or his representative, forthwith proceed to Washington for the purpose of urging the War Department to grant permission for the construction and maintenance of a high level bridge, adequate in height and in length of span, between terminals in the aforesaid area. And be it

Further Resolved, That the City of San Francisco is and by its charter committed to public ownership and operation of all public utilities, and that it should itself construct, own and operate said bridge, if it is possible to do so; and be it

Further Resolved, That pending

advice and information from the War Department, that the hearing on all pending applications for franchises for transbay bridges be continued from time to time, to the end that this Board will not lose jurisdiction to pass upon them.

Supervisor Havenner presented:

"Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco proceed forthwith to take the necessary legal steps, in accordance with the advice of the City Attorney, to form a bridge district under the State Bridge and Highway District Law, consisting of San Francisco and Alameda Counties, for the purpose of financing, constructing and operating a bridge across San Francisco Bay under public ownership."

Supervisor Roncovieri presented:

"Whereas, financial problems connected with the building of a bridge across the Bay are various and extremely involved, and absolutely fundamental in arriving at a favorable decision of policy as between a bridge built by public funds, or one to be built by private capital; therefore, be it

"Resolved, That the Bridge Committee of this Board be requested and empowered to employ expert financial accountants and actuaries who shall prepare from the records of this Board and other data a complete set-up of figures tabulating in parallel form the more profitable policy to be adopted."

Supervisor Shannon presented:

"Whereas, there are pending before the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco various applications for franchises to construct and operate toll bridges over and across the Bay of San Francisco from various points in the City and County of San Francisco to various points in the County of Alameda; and

"Whereas, it appears to the Board of Supervisors that a toll bridge over and across the Bay of San Francisco from Mission Rock to some suitable point in the County of Alameda will best serve the people of San Francisco and that Mission Rock is the most feasible and logical starting point for a transbay bridge between San Francisco and Alameda; and now therefore, be it

"Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors does hereby select Mission Rock as the best and most feasible starting point for a transbay bridge between San Francisco and the County of Alameda and does hereby designate said site as the point in San Francisco from which the first transbay bridge shall be constructed; and be it further

"Resolved, That all persons, firms and corporations who have heretofore made application for a franchise to construct and operate transbay toll bridges between San Francisco and Alameda County, as well as all other persons interested, be invited to present to this Board of Supervisors applications, plans and specifications for a franchise for a transbay toll bridge from Mission Rock in the City and County of San Francisco to some point in the County of Alameda."

Supervisor Shannon presented:

"Whereas, A. McLean Hawks, bridge and mining engineer, has called the attention of this Board to the advantages of Mission Rock as the location for the main bridge pier or bridge-head of the San Francisco Bay Bridge, and without thought of remuneration or reward has suggested that this location be considered by this City for its public bridge location; and

"Whereas, Mission Rock, in this engineer's judgment, has unequaled advantages as regards stability of the bridge, maximum length and height of channel spans, comparative shallowness of water to the east, economy of cost, absence of obstruction to shipping, facility to automobile traffic within San Francisco and passing through San Fran- Francisco; and the handling of interurban and trans-continental trains; and

"Whereas, the Bay bridge plan originally proposed by Hawks. later duplicated by Gustave Lindenthal and embodied in the McSheehy resolution, opens the way for the selection by this Board of a site that shall be the best site, irrespective of whether or not it has been previously proposed by private parties; and

"Whereas, the Mission Rock site has not been proposed by any private group seeking a franchise, but remains open for selection by this Board as the City's site if its apparent advantages be borne out by careful study; therefore, be it

"Resolved, That the City Attorney be and he is hereby instructed to file immediate condemnation proceedings against property known as Mission Rock, in order to protect the City at this time against any private proposal which may seek hereafter to utilize this site; and be it

"Further Resloved, That the Special Bridge Committee of this Board

be and it is hereby instructed to give careful study to this location as the possible choice of the City and County of San Francisco."

Motions.

Supervisor Gallagher: I move you that while it is the policy of this Board that we favor a publicly-owned bay bridge, it is however, the sense of this Board that we favor immediate construction of said bridge by private capital, until the people may elect in the manner provided by law to take over said bridge and place it under public ownership and control.

* * *

Supervisor Marks: I move you that, as an amendment to the motion of Supervisor Gallagher, the City Attorney be requested to submit to this Board, at the earliest possible opportunity, a form of submission of the issue of public ownership and operation of the proposed bridge, or private ownership or operation of the proposed bridge, for action by the people at the earliest possible opportunity.

* * *

Supervisor Marks: I desire to present the following motion and calling attention to the fact that it does not call for anything other than asking the City Attorney to submit a proper form of proposal to us. I make the motion which heretofore has been outlined three or four times.

(Referring to motion set out in full above.)

The Chairman: Any objection? So ordered.

SPECIAL ORDERS—2 P. M.

Bridge Hearings.

Thereupon, the following matters were taken up:

Applications for Franchises to Construct Bridges Across San Francisco Bay.

Application of Bay Cities Bridge Corporation for a franchise for a toll bridge between San Francisco and Alameda.

Proposed rail and highway crossing for San Francisco Bay from the foot of Sixteenth street, San Francisco, to the foot of Pacific avenue, Alameda.

Beginning at the intersection of the center line of Sixteenth street with the center line of Third (Kentucky) street, in the City and County of San Francisco, and extending thence easterly along the center line of Sixteenth street to the waterfront line; thence easterly along a straight line to a point on the center line of Pacific avenue, in the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, which point is one hundred feet westerly from the intersection of said center line of Pacific avenue with the center line of Bryant street.

That the length of said bridge is 27,750 feet and that the width of the roadway on said bridge is 38 feet.

Filed April 6, 1925.

DUDLEY D. SALES,
Attorney.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, May 9, 1927, at 2 p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher—3.

Application of Elbert W. Davis for a franchise for a toll bridge between San Francisco and Alameda.

Across San Francisco Bay, beginning at a point in the City and County of San Francisco on the bulkhead line of San Francisco Harbor between Piers 26 and 28, and running approximately north 67 degrees 45 minutes east 17.962 feet, more or less, to a point in the City of Oakland, County of Alameda, State of California, on the easterly shore of San Francisco Bay.

That the length of said bridge is to be 17.962 feet and that the breadth thereof is to be 65 feet, more or less.

Filed June 29, 1925.

JAMES M. OLIVER,
Attorney.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, May 9, 1927, at 2 p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher—3.

Application of John Bermingham, Jr., 250 Cervantes boulevard, for a franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge across San Francisco Bay between the City and County of San Francisco and the County of Alameda.

Beginning at the intersection of the center line of Seventh and Mission streets, in the City and County of San Francisco, along Seventh street to Seventh and Sixteenth;

thence in a southeasterly direction to Nineteenth and Third; thence in a southerly direction along Third street to the intersection of Third street and Jerrold avenue and water-front; thence proceeding in a northerly direction, crossing San Francisco Bay to a point from which a branch will extend 4500 feet easterly to the intersection of Pacific avenue and Bryant street, in the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California; thence from said aforementioned intersecting point proceeding in a northerly direction, crossing the Oakland Estuary, to the intersection of Peralta street and Seventh street, in the City of Oakland, County of Alameda, State of California.

That the total length of said bridge, approaches thereto and branch thereof, aforementioned, is 65,450 feet, that the width of said bridge is ninety (90) feet.

Filed September 28, 1925.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, May 9, 1927, at 2 p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shanron, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher—3.

Application of A. M. Bergstrom for a franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge across San Francisco Bay between the City and County of San Francisco and the County of Alameda.

That the said toll bridge is to be located across the Bay of San Francisco, along the following described line, to-wit:

Beginning at a point in San Francisco at about the foot of Davidson avenue, on Hunter's Point, and running northerly sixty-two (62) degrees east four and four-tenths (4.4) miles; thence on a one (1) degree curve to the north one and six-tenths (1.6) miles to true north to a point on the easterly shore of the Bay of San Francisco at about the foot of Webster street, in the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California.

That the length of said bridge is to be six (6) miles and the breadth is to be sixty (60) feet, more or less.

Filed September 28, 1925.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, May 9, 1927, at 2 p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shanron, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher—3.

Application of New York and San Francisco Development Company for franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge between San Francisco and Alameda.

Bridge to be constructed across San Francisco Bay from a point therein at or near the junction of Evans avenue and Boalt street, Hunter's Point, in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to or near the junction of South High street and Washington street, in the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California; that the length of said bridge is approximately six and one-half miles from shore line to shore line, and that its breadth or width is approximately from 60 to 90 feet.

Filed January 11, 1926.

ROTHCHILD & GOLDEN,
Attorneys.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, May 9, 1927, at 2 p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shanron, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher—3.

Application of Roy C. Hackley for a franchise to construct a toll bridge between San Francisco, Pier 26, and Alameda County.

Beginning at a point in the City and County of San Francisco on the bulkhead line of San Francisco Harbor, south of and adjacent to Pier 26, and running approximately north 61 degrees east and fifty-three hundred feet, more or less; thence running approximately north 89 degrees east five thousand feet, more or less; thence running approximately north 59 degrees 30 minutes east eight thousand feet, more or less, to a point in the City of Oakland, County of Alameda, State of California, on the easterly shore of said San Francisco Bay.

That the length of said bridge is to be eighteen thousand three hundred feet (18,300) and that the breadth thereof is to be 78 feet, more or less.

Roy A. Bronson and E. D. Bronson, Jr., attorneys, 68 Post street.

Filed February 6, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, May* 9, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher—3.

Two applications of the Construction Company of North America for a franchise to construct and open a toll bridge between San Francisco and Alameda County.

Commencing at a point in said City and County of San Francisco near the corner of First and Bryant streets, on what is known as Rincon Hill, and running thence on less than a two-degree curve a distance of approximately 1700 lineal feet to the line of bulkhead wharf connecting Piers 30 and 32 on the waterfront of the said City and County of San Francisco; thence on a line approximately north 65 degrees east 21,000 lineal feet, more or less, to the Oakland Mole of the Southern Pacific Company in Alameda County.

That the length of said bridge is to be 4½ miles and that the width thereof is to be approximately 78 feet.

ROBERT J. McGAHIE,
Attorney, Mills Building.

First application filed February 15, 1926.

Second application filed April 5, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, May* 9, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher—3.

Application of Allan C. Rush for a franchise to construct a toll bridge between San Francisco and Alameda County.

Construction of a suspension bridge from the City and County of San Francisco over and across Goat Island to the east shore of the Bay of San Francisco, comprising three main double traffic track entrance therein, and being of a height of two hundred feet above high tide, between all bridge piers in the waters of San Francisco Channel, in which channel the bridge will be suspended over "but three piers," twenty-four hundred feet apart, thus in nowise impeding the traffic of the waters of the Bay.

Filed June 19, 1926.

ALLAN C. RUSH,
1632 McCullom street, Los Angeles, California.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, May* 9, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher—3.

Application of Robert Lee Dunn, 778 Monadnock Building, for a franchise to construct a toll bridge along the following described line, to-wit:

Beginning at the intersection of the center line of Second street with the center line of Berry street, in the City and County of San Francisco and extending thence easterly to the waterfront line; thence easterly along a straight line to the intersection of the center lines of Pacific avenue and Bryant street, City of Alameda, California.

Length of said bridge is twenty-six thousand feet; that the width of the roadway on said bridge is eighty feet.

Filed June 21, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, May* 9, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher—3.

Application of Edward A. Bertram for a franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge to be located, to-wit:

Beginning at Twelfth and Howard streets, San Francisco, by 1½ per cent viaduct to Beale and Howard streets; thence to Southern Pacific Mole, Oakland; thence by 1½ per cent viaduct to West Fourteenth street level, and Sixteeenth Street Railroad Station.

The length of said bridge proper is 21,000 feet and its width of roadway is 63 feet.

Filed June 21, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, May* 9, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher—3.

Application of R. S. Chew for franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge or bridges.

The said proposed bridge or bridges are to be located and are described as follows:

The westerly end of a bridge and the approaches thereto, which will connect with public streets, are to be located in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California (which location is in the county situated on the left bank descending of the Bay of San Francisco, across which said bridge is to be constructed), and at a point therein at or near the summit of an eminence known as Telegraph Hill; from this point the bridge will project easterly a distance of nine thousand feet (more or less) to the westerly side of Yerba Buena (or Goat) Island; thence easterly across said island a roadway or viaduct will be constructed for a distance of four thousand feet (more or less) to its easterly side; from thence there will be projected in an easterly direction a distance of twenty-two thousand feet (more or less), a bridge structure, viaduct, causeway and/or fill to suitable connections with lines or railway and public highways in Alameda County, State of California.

Filed June 28, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, May* 9, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher—3.

Application of Inter-Cities Bridge Company for a franchise to construct, maintain and operate a toll bridge across the Bay of San Francisco and over the following route:

Beginning at the intersection of Broadway and Battery street, in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and extending thence easterly to the waterfront; thence on a line approximately north 60 degrees east to the westerly point of Yerba Buena or Goat Island; thence by contour meander to the easterly point of Yerba Buena or Goat Island; thence on a line approximately north 75 degrees to the foot of Yerba Buena street, in the City of Oakland, County of Alameda, State of California.

That the length of said bridge, inclusive of approximately 4100 feet on ground support over Yerba Buena or Goat Island, and 5670 feet to be supported by an earth fill mole in the approach to the said Oakland terminal, is to be approximately 27,000 feet. That the width of said bridge is to be approximately 120 feet, providing two 20-foot vehicular lanes and accommodations for four lines of electric transportation tracks.

Filed July 12, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, May* 9, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher—3.

Application of G. Lindenthal for franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge.

Said bridge is to be located along the following described line:

Commencing at a point in the center line of Jerrold avenue, in the City and County of San Francisco, about midway between Ship street and Dock street, in said City and County of San Francisco; thence on a curve easterly and northerly a distance of 30.000 feet, more or less, to the southerly foot of Webster street, in the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California.

The width of said bridge at the easterly end thereof for approximately 16,000 feet will be 75 feet, and the width of the remaining portion thereof will be approximately 40 feet. The length of said bridge will be approximately 30,000 feet.

Filed August 2, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, May* 9, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy,

Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher—3.

Preliminary application of Fowler Engineering Corporation for a franchise for the building of a bridge from Telegraph Hill to Goat Island, 3 2000-foot spans.

Filed July 28, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, May* 9, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher—3.

Application of W. F. Holcomb for franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge across San Francisco Bay between the City and County of San Francisco and Alameda County.

Beginning at or near and within one hundred feet northerly or southerly of the intersection of the center line of Second street with the center line of Berry street, in the City and County of San Francisco and extending thence easterly to the waterfront line; thence easterly along a straight line to, at or near and within one hundred feet northerly or southerly of the intersection of the center lines of Pacific avenue and Bryant street, City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California.

That the length of said bridge will be twenty-six thousand feet; that the width of the roadway on said bridge will be eighty-nine feet.

Filed August 9, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, May* 9, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher—3.

Application of Robert E. Fitzgerald for franchise to erect, maintain and operate and take tolls on a toll bridge over and across the Bay of San Francisco. The location of said bridge is described as follows:

The center line of said bridge will commence at a point on The Embarcadero of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, at a point approximately midway between Vallejo street and Broadway street; thence extending on a line approximately north 60 degrees east to the westerly point of Yerba Buena Island, also known as Goat Island; thence following the contour of said island to a point on the easterly shore thereof where said shore line would be intersected by a center line of Seventh street in the City of Oakland, if produced, upon its present course as the course of said street now exists along the northerly line of Oakland Mole; thence easterly along said line of said street, if so produced, to the center line of said Seventh street at the present westerly end thereof.

Filed August 9, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, May* 9, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher—3.

Application of Thos. E. Slaven, filed August 16, 1926, for franchise to construct, maintain and operate a toll bridge. Said oridge is to be constructed over and across the Bay of San Francisco, and over the following route:

The location of said bridge is particularly described as follows: The center line of said bridge will commence at a point on The Embarcadero of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, approximately where the center line of said Embarcadero intersects the center line of Pier 7, if produced. Thence extending on a line north approximately 67 degrees 30 minutes east to a point on the southwesterly shore of Yerba Buena or Goat Island; thence easterly following the contour of said island to a point on the easterly shore thereof, located about six hundred feet in a northerly direction from the light house located on the southern portion of said island; thence north eighty-five degrees thirty minutes east, approximately 8700 feet to a point where said line intersects the center line of Seventh street, Oakland, if produced, upon its present course as the course of said street now exists along the northern line of Oakland Mole; thence easterly along said line of said street, if so produced, to the center line of said Seventh

street at the present westerly end thereof.

The length of said bridge exclusive of land approaches being approximately 9300 feet west of said island and approximately 10,400 feet east of said island, and the breadth of said bridge being approximately 115 feet.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, May* 9, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shanron, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher—3.

Application of E. D. Bronson, Jr., for a franchise for a toll bridge across San Francisco Bay.

Filed August 16, 1926.

Beginning at a point in the City and County of San Francisco on the bulkhead line of San Francisco Harbor, south of and adjacent to Pier 26, and running north approximately 68 degrees east seventeen thousand nine hundred fifty feet (17,950'), more or less, to a point in the City of Oakland, County of Alameda, State of California, on the easterly shore of said San Francisco Bay; and the said toll bridge to be approximately seventeen thousand nine hundred fifty (17,950') feet in length and one hundred ten (110') feet in breadth, more or less.

Roy A. Bronson, E. D. Bronson, Jr., attorneys at law, 68 Post street, room 403, San Francisco, California.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, May* 9, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shanron, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher—3.

Tunnels.

Application of O. A. Ellis for franchise for two tunnels under the Bay of San Francisco from San Francisco to Oakland.

One of the tunnels will be for interurban street car traffic, with double track, and the San Francisco terminal between Third and Fourth streets on Harrison street.

The other tunnel will be for auto and railroad traffic, with San Francisco terminal between Second and Third streets on Harrison street.

The Oakland terminal will be at Seventh and Cedar streets.

Filed June 25, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, May* 9, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shanron, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher—3.

Lease of 333 Kearny Street.

Consideration of minority and majority reports of the Public Buildings Committee relative to the leasing of 333 Kearny street for accommodation of departments of the Hall of Justice.

Supervisor Deasy withdrew, with the permission of the Board, his minority report without hearing, thus limiting the discussion to the consideration of the cancelation of of the lease of the offices at 333 Kearny street.

Privilege of the Floor.

Chief O'Brien stated that if the departments move back to the Hall of Justice, he can get along for a time at least with the space he now has, but that if they are not coming back, he could make good use of the space and would like to have it.

Mr. Nicholl, head of the Adult Probation Department, said that if he should be moved back to the Hall of Justice now since the additions have been made, he would have room enough, but that there would be no sunlight nor ventilation in his rooms and he would not like to go back without the ventilation at least.

Further hearing *continued for one week.*

PRESENTATION OF PROPOSALS.

Proposals for the privilege of using the garbage incinerator in accordance with advertised notice received and referred to the Committee on Finance and Public Health, to-wit:

1. City Garbage Removal Company, by Ernesto Vigo, bidding $137 per day and agreeing to charge not more than $1 per ton for disposal. Check in sum of $1,000 deposited with the Clerk April 4.

2. Louis Rossi, bidding $135 a day.

3. Gio Bellini, bidding $5 per day for leasing the incinerator.

A communication was also read by the Clerk, dated March 4, 1927, from John Ross, representing the Committee in Charge of the Inciner-

ator, offering to give the Committee all of the proceeds over and above the operation cost, which communication was subsequently withdrawn by Mr. MacKenzie, representing the Scavengers' Union, upon the statement of the Chairman of the Finance Committee that men with the wagons would be protected in their present status in case the operation of the incinerator is awarded to others.

Attorney Tramutolo, representing the Scavengers' Union, asked for an opportunity to rebutt before Committee argument in report upon which the present call for bids is based.

Motion.

Supervisor Havenner moved that the Grand Jury be requested to investigate statements made in certain newspapers to the effect that money had been passed in the matter of the regulation of garbage incineration and disposal in San Francisco, involving members of the Board of Supervisors.

Supervisor Hayden moved as an amendment to also investigate similar charges with respect to the deliberations of the Board of Supervisors in the matter of the proposed Transbay Bridge. Amendment *accepted*.

Motion *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —9.

Noes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, McSheehy—3.

Absent—Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Roncovieri—6.

SET-BACK LINE HEARING—10 A. M.

Hearing of objections of property owners to the establishment of set-back lines along portions of Jefferson and Sears streets and Magellan avenue.

Establishing Set-Back Lines Along Portions of Jefferson and Sears Streets and Magellan Avenue.

Supervisor Hayden presented the following bill, which was *passed for printing*:

Bill No. 7971, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Establishing set-back lines along portions of Jefferson and Sears streets and Magellan avenue.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby recited that on the 21st day of February, 1927, the Board of Supervisors adopted Resolution of Intention No. 131 to establish set-back lines along Jefferson and Sears streets and Magellan avenue and fixed the 28th day of March, 1927, at 10 o'clock a. m., at the chambers of the Board of Supervisors, as the time and place for hearing objections thereto; that thereafter and more than ten days prior to said hearing the said resolution was published and notices of the passage of said resolution were posted along the line of said streets, in time, form and manner required by Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series); that said hearing was held at the time and place aforesaid, and that all objections made at said hearing have been properly overruled.

Section 2. Pursuant to the foregoing recitals and in accordance with the provisions of said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), set-back lines are hereby established as follows:

Along the southerly side of Jefferson street, commencing at a point 100 feet easterly from Baker street and running thence easterly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; thence easterly 225 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence easterly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet.

Along the northerly side of Magellan avenue, commencing at Twelfth avenue and running thence easterly 40 feet, said set-back line to be 15 feet.

Along the southeasterly side of Sears street, commencing at a point 100 feet northeasterly from Sickles avenue and running thence northeasterly 275 feet, said set-back line to be 10 feet; thence northeasterly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 5 feet.

As shown on the maps filed in the office of the Board of Supervisors and to which reference is hereby made for further particulars.

Section 3. No structures shall be erected in the area between said set-back lines and the street lines except as provided in said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), and the penalties for the violation of this ordinance shall be as fixed by the ordinance aforesaid.

Mayor and Clerk to Execute Agreement With Southern Pacific Company Permitting City to Construct and Maintain Water Pipe Line Beneath Railroad Right of Way Near Lomita Park for Use on Municipal Airport.

Supervisor Kent presented:

Resolution No. 27056 (New Series), as follows:

Upon recommendation of the City Engineer, be it

Resolved, That the Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors are hereby authorized and directed to execute, in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, duplicate counterparts of proposed agreement between Southern Pacific Company, a corporation, called "Licensor," and City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, called "Licensee," permitting the City the right to construct, maintain and operate a three-inch (3 in.) water pipe line beneath the Southern Pacific Coompany's right of way near Lomita Park, in the County of San Mateo, State of California, to be used in connection with the Municipal Airport.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher—3.

Official Endorsement, Beethoven Centenary Celebration at Civic Auditorium.

Supervisor Havenner presented:

Resolution No. 27057 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, music lovers throughout the world have this week been engaged in official observance of the centennial anniversary of the death of Ludwig Van Beethoven, the immortal master of music; and

Whereas, the City of San Francisco, in conjunction with the Musical Association of San Francisco, has arranged a magnificient production of Beethoven's classic Ninth Symphony, to be held in the Municipal Auditorium on next Saturday evening, April 2, 1927; and

Whereas, this great production, in which the San Francisco Symphony Orchestra, the Municipal Chorus, of more than 400 trained voices, and a number of distinguished soloists will participate, will be a notable event in the musical history of California; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby gives official endorsement to this great musical undertaking and urges the music-loving people of San Francisco and other sections of California to support it with their presence.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—12.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Roncovieri—6.

Completion of Skyline Boulevard.

Supervisor Marks presented:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the people of the State of California, at the general election of 1919, did approve a measure outlining a system of state highways to be completed in the State, and did vote a bond issue of forty million dollars for the same; and

Whereas, of the system of roads planned under this bond issue, there still remain several important links which have not been completed in what is generally known as the Pacific Shore Line Route. This highway runs from San Francisco over the Sky Line boulevard to Los Angeles and when completed will be one of the finest and most important highways in the State and will serve to relieve the present and ever-increasing congestion on the two great interior valley trunk lines, and especially over the Cuesta grade; and

Whereas, finances are practically assured for the future construction. as well as renewal and maintenance, it is deemed just and fair that the central counties should have allocated to this great project a portion of the funds to keep up continuous construction and thus open to the public at the earliest possible date this all-important section of the state highways. Now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That this Board does hereby approve and urges early completion of this route and that special consideration be given to the completion of the 38-mile gap lying in the center of the Carmel-San Simeon section.

Referred to Streets Committee.

Boulevard for Sunset District.

The following report of a meeting held on Saturday, March 26th, in reference to the selection of a proper location for a boulevard in the Sunset District was filed with the Board:

"San Francisco, California, March 26, 1927—At a meeting held in the chambers of the Board of Supervisors, in the City and County of San Francisco this day, Mr. Robtrt McIntyre presiding, the following motion was made by Mr. Campbell, seconded by Mr. Goldberg and unanimously carried.

"That it be the sense of this meeting that whereas there appears to be a difference of opinion as to whether the diagonal or so-called

Sunset boulevard, running from the vicinity of Twentieth avenue and Lincoln way, southwesterly to a place in the vicinity of Fleishhacker Pool, be the first to be placed upon the ballot for a bond election to purchase the land necessary to build said boulevard or whether that of Parkside drive, running directly north and south from Lincoln way to Sloat boulevard over Thirty-sixth avenue and Thirty-seventh avenue be the one, be it unanimously voted that all organizations herein represented do agree to submit the question to M. M. O'Shaughnessy, City Engineer of the City and County of San Francisco, and John McLaren, Superintendent of Golden Gate Park, and that we herewith pledge ourselves to abide by their decision and to work harmoniously and fervently for the successful culmination of whichever route the two officials recommend.

The following organizations voted aye:

Sunset Parkside District, Inc.

Sunset Progressive Club.

Oceanside Community Council.

Sunset Community Club.

Sunset Transportation and Development Association.

Quintara Street Development Association.

Noriega Street Improvement Association.

Vicente Street Improvement Association.

The La Honda Riders.

Parkside District Club.

Judah Street Improvement Association.

San Francisco Homebuilders' Association.

(Signed)

Chairman.

Raymond R. Stephens,
Secretary."

"It is my opinion that the first measure to be submitted should be the route running directly north and south from Lincoln way to Sloat boulevard between Thirty-sixth and Thirty-seventh avenues.

M. M. O'Shaugnessy,
City Engineer.

By R. R. Stephens.

John McLaren,
Superintendent of Golden Gate Park.

By R. McIntyre."

Declaratory Ordinance, Parkway, Sunset District.

Supervisor Marks presented:

Bill No. ——, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), entitled "Declaring that public interest and necessity require the acquisition of certain lands and buildings in Sunset District between Thirty-sixth and Thirty-seventh avenues for a parkway and boulevard."

Motion.

Supervisor Marks moved passage to print.

Motion *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—9.

No—Supervisor Powers—1.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Roncovieri, Shannon—8.

Before the result of the foregoing vote was announced Supervisor Hayden changed his vote from *aye* to *no* and gave notice that he would move for reconsideration at next meeting.

Relative to Salary Increases by State Legislature.

Supervisor Roncovieri: I suggest a motion at this time that this Board looks with disfavor upon the raising of the salary of any official of the City and County who has not first appeared before this Board and justified, before this Board, the reasons for his request for a raise.

* * *

Supervisor Byington: And received the approval of the Board.

Motion *carried.*

ADJOURNMENT.

At 7:45 p. m. the chair declared the meeting adjourned for want of a quorum.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors August 1, 1927.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated, and approved as above recited.

JOHN S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco.

PUBLIC LIBRARY

Vol. 22—New Series No. 14

Monday, April 4, 1927

Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
337 Bush Street, S. F.

JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, APRIL 4, 1927, 10 A. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, April 4, 1927, 10 a. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath—2.

Supervisor Bath excused on account of illness.

Quorum present.

His Honor Mayor Rolph appeared at 10 a. m. and retired before roll call.

APPROVAL OF JOURNALS.

The Journals of Proceedings of the meetings of December 20 and 27, 1926, were considered read and approved.

ROLL CALL FOR PETITIONS FROM MEMBERS.

Rapid Transit Peninsula Highway Proposed.

Supervisor Gallagher presented and the Clerk read:

Communication, from McDonald & Co., in which the attention of the Board was called to the recent merger of the Capwell Company of Oakland and The Emporium, and saying that from now on San Francisco will have to look to the peninsula for its growth and that Oakland will be more of a rival than ever. That subways from the heart of the city should be built to the south; that it has been the wealth of San Francisco that has developed Los Angeles; it has been the wealth of San Francisco that has developed other parts of the State and is now developing Oakland, and that San Francisco "must now look to the development of her own city."

Resolution.

Whereupon, Supervisor Gallagher presented the following resolution which was *referred to the Committee on Streets and Commercial Development*:

"Whereas, the attention of this Board and the public has been called to a letter published under the title of "The Needs of San Francisco for Future Development." in which communication is recited the fact that sister cities within this State are taking steps to establish their supremacy; and

"Whereas, this communication calls attention to the need of development southward along the peninsula as the necessary thing for San Francisco and the peninsula cities to undertake in order that this city by that and other means may hold her place in the development of this State: and

"Whereas, it is admitted that the first great step necessary in this development of San Francisco and the peninsula is the matter of rapid transit, both vehicular and by railroads and railways; and

"Whereas, it is further apparent that no comprehensive plan of wide highway development calculated to give to vehicular traffic that means of rapid transportation so vitally necessary has been proposed; and

"Whereas, it appears that a comprehensive plan of wide highway development, including tunnels, is the solution of this matter; and

"Whereas, the necessity of a bond issue from five to ten million dollars for the construction of added means of ingress and egress to the peninsula is apparently necessary; therefore, be it

"Resolved, That a special commission of engineers be selected by his Honor the Mayor, one from San Francisco, one by the combined peninsula cities south, to and including Redwood City, and one by these two, whose duty it shall be to study the conditions and make recommendations to this Board involving, if necessary, bond issues to be joined in by this City and the peninsula towns, having for

their purpose provisions of wide, rapid transit highways to and from the peninsula; be it

"Further Resolved, That the Board of Public Works be requested to report at the earliest possible date the prospective cost of the employment of these engineers and the cost of said survey."

Fireproof Roofing Limits.

Supervisor Hayden presented:

Communication, from the Board of Fire Wardens, requesting that they be given a proper hearing in regard to the amendment of Section 4 of Ordinance No. 1008 of the Building Law, pertaining to fireproof roofing limits. They wish the area increased in order to remove the fire hazard.

Privilege of the Floor.

Fire Chief Murphy was granted the privilege of the floor and stated that the matter has been before the Building Committee for many months and no action taken.

The matter was *set for hearing on Monday, April 11, at 4 p. m.*

Expiring Franchises.

Supervisor Gallagher asked as to when there would be a meeting of the Finance and Public Utilities Committees in reference to the expiring franchises of the Market Street Railway Company.

He called attention to the short time which will elapse before 1929, when a number of the franchises will expire, and that some arrangements should be made soon so that the people will know that the services on those lines will not be stopped or the company be operating cars over them without proper permission. He said the Board should consider now whether the company should be given an indeterminate franchise for these lines; whether some sort of plan for joint use should be worked out satisfactory to all parties concerned, or whether some other plan of operation or the purchase on a pay-as-you-go plan.

Supervisor McSheehy stated that the committee will not be able to meet this week because some of the members will be in Sacramento attending the Legislature, but an effort will be made to have a meeting next week.

UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

Final Passage.

The following matters, heretofore passed for printing, were taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Authorizations.

Resolution No. 27057 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

Auditorium Fund.

(1) Musical Association of San Francisco, for services of San Francisco Symphony Orchestra, concerts of February 15 and March 8, 1927 (claim dated March 28, 1927), $4,000.

(2) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., electric service for Auditorium (claim dated March 17, 1927), $1,-111.81.

Hetch Hetchy Bonds, Issue 1925.

(3) Beronia Lumber Co., lumber, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated March 17, 1927), $1,-370.25.

(4) Bethlehem Shipbuilding Corporation, Ltd., crankshaft chain cases, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated March 21, 1927), $684.

(5) Edison Storage Battery Supply Co., battery cells, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated March 17, 1927), $594.06.

(6) The Giant Powder Co., Con., explosives, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated March 21, 1927), $1,056.56.

(7) Ingersoll-Rand Co. of California, machinery parts, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated March 21, 1927), $2,028.44.

(8) J. F. Mitchell, machinery parts, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated March 21, 1927), $1,-679.85.

(9) The Charles Nelson Co., lumber, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated March 18, 1927), $594.02.

(10) John A. Roebling's Sons Co. of California, steel rope, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated March 18, 1927), $728.25.

(11) Western Pipe and Steel Co., air pipe, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated March 18, 1927), $2,745.30.

Park Fund.

(12) Byron Jackson Pump Mfg. Co., one multiplex pump and motor, for Lincoln Park (claim dated March 25, 1927), $7,553.

School Bonds, Issue 1923.

(13) John Reid, Jr., fourth payment, architectural services, Mission (Everett) Junior High School (claim dated March 23, 1927), $1,-091.57.

(14) John Reid, Jr., twentieth payment, architectural services,

New Mission High School (claim dated March 23, 1927), $556.31.

(15) Thos. R. Smith, final payment, plumbing work, annex to Guadalupe School (claim dated March 23, 1927), $2,072.61.

(16) Anderson & Kingrose, final payment, general construction of annex to Guadalupe School (claim dated March 23, 1927), $25,031.35.

(17) Burnham Plumbing Co., extra work, plumbing, gas-fitting, etc., for New Mission High School (claim dated March 17, 1927), $3,-325.

(18) John J. O'Toole, for payment of Superior Court judgment to Timothy Shea et al., action 175573 (claim dated March 19, 1927), $2,-090.50.

Municipal Railway Fund.

(19) Hancock Bros., printing Municipal Railway transfers (claim dated March 18, 1927), $720.

(20) Westinghouse Electric and Mfg. Co., parts for Municipal Railway cars (claim dated March 18, 1927), $838.37.

Municipal Railway Accident Reserve Fund.

(21) Edythe Ames, payment for account of accident sustained February 20, 1926 (claim dated March 18, 1927), $1,125.

(22) Department of Public Health (S. F. Hospital), hospitalization of injured by Municipal Railways (claim dated March 18, 1927), $657.

(23) C. H. Williams, guardian ad litem of Lois Williams, a minor, payment for account of accident sustained February 20, 1927 (claim dated March 18, 1927), $700.

Hetch Hetchy Power Operative Fund

(24) Western Pipe and Steel Co., materials for water stage recorders at Hetch Hetchy dams (claim dated March 18, 1927), $937.50.

Municipal Railway (Old) Depreciation Fund.

(25) Kortick Manufacturing Co., tie rods for Municipal Railways (claim dated March 23, 1927), $1,-581.75.

Municipal Railway Fund.

(26) American Brake Shoe and Foundry Co., brake shoes for Municipal Railways (claim dated March 22, 1927), $2,272.67.

General Fund, 1926-1927.

(27) Del Monte Meat Co., meats, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated March 24, 1927), $1,752.41.

(28) California Meat Co., meats, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated March 24, 1927), $1,369.48.

(29) Morris & Co., butter, etc., San Francisco Hospital (claim dated March 24, 1927), $1,846.79.

(30) South San Francisco Packing and Provision Co., meats, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated March 24, 1927), $1,183.21.

(31) San Francisco Dairy Co., milk, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated March 24, 1927), $3,796.60.

(32) J. T. Freitas Co., eggs, S. F. Hospital (claim dated March 24, 1927), $1,196.85.

(33) California Petroleum Corporation, fuel oil, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated March 24, 1927), $2,209.85.

(34) Langendorf Baking Co., bread, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated March 24, 1927), $1,-118.85.

(35) Dodge, Sweeney & Co., groceries, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated March 24, 1927), $717.10.

(36) California Petroleum Co., fuel oil, Relief Home (claim dated March 24, 1927), $1,893.95.

(37) Baumgarten Bros., meats, Relief Home (claim dated March 24, 1927), $609.56.

(38) Eloesser Heynemann Co., clothing, Relief Home (claim dated March 24, 1927), $570.

(39) San Francisco Dairy Co., milk, Relief Home (claim dated March 24, 1927), $1,940.96.

(40) Fred S. Campbell Co., food chopper, Relief Home (claim dated March 24, 1927), $542.04.

(41) A. F. Heuer, printing 800 copies of Auditor's report (claim dated March 28, 1927), $849.

(42) San Francisco Bulletin, official advertising (claim dated March 28, 1927), $1,125.30.

(43) Langendorf Baking Co., bread for County Jails (claim dated March 15, 1927), $1,036.63.

(44) Del Monte Meat Co., meats for County Jails (claim dated March 15, 1927), $1,252.17.

(45) Lottie M. Neil, third payment, installation of safety lane markers (claim dated March 23, 1927), $1,350.

(46) Board of Park Commissioners, for reimbursement of Park Fund, for plants, labor, etc., for Civic Center beautification (claim dated Jan. 14, 1927), $2,362.64.

(47) California Petroleum Corporation, fuel oil for Hall of Justice (claim dated March 23, 1927), $517.43.

(48) California Petroleum Corporation, fuel oil for asphalt plant (claim dated March 22, 1927), $518.04.

(49) California Rock Co., gravel for street repair (claim dated March 22, 1927), $1,599.22.

(50) Felix Gross Co., cement for street repair (claim dated March 22, 1927), $853.65.

(51) Western Rock Products Co., sand for street repair (claim dated March 22, 1927), $1,225.75.

(52) Symon Brothers, team hire for street cleaning (claim dated March 22, 1927), $747.50.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Badaracco, Bath—2.

Appropriation, $2,800, Payment to John Birkenshaw for Land Required for School Purposes.

Resolution No. 27058 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $2,800 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923, and authorized in payment to John Birkenshaw, being payment for lands commencing at a point on the southerly line of Quintara street, distant thereon 30 feet westerly from the westerly line of Twenty-third avenue, running thence westerly along the southerly line of Quintara street 60 feet; thence at a right angle southerly 100 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 60 feet; thence at a right angle northerly 100 feet; as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 26995 (New Series), and required for school purposes. (Claim dated March 28, 1927.)

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Badaracco, Bath—2.

Appropriation, $825, for Improvement of Forty-seventh Avenue Between Santiago and Taraval Streets in Front of City Property.

Resolution No. 27059 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $825 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of "Street Work in Front of City Property," Budget Item No. 36, for the improving of Forty-seventh avenue between Santiago and Taraval streets, fronting City property. (Louis J. Cohn contract.)

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Badaracco, Bath—2.

Appropriation, $10,500, for Grading Contract No. 1, Municipal Airport, San Mateo County.

Resolution No. 27060 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $10,500 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of "Municipal Air Port," Budget Item No. 60, for grading, Contract No. 1, construction of San Francisco Municipal Airport, including engineering, inspection and extras. (Contract awarded Granfield, Farrar & Carlin at $8,-880.)

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Badaracco, Bath—2.

Appropriation, $3,700, for Equipment and Operation, Health Center, Glen Park.

Resolution No. 27061 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $3,700 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of "Urgent Necessity," Budget Item No. 25, for the equipping and operating of a Health Center in the Glen Park District, May 1 to June 30, 1927.

(To the credit of Appropriation 43-A, $2,200.)

(To the credit of Appropriation 43-D, $1,500.)

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Badaracco, Bath—2.

Appropriation, $3,000, Additional Personnel, New Children's Tubercular Ward, San Francisco Hospital.

Resolution No. 27062 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $3,000 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of "Urgent Necessity," Budget Item No. 25 (to the credit of Appropriation 46-A), for the employment of additional personnel to operate the new Children's Tubercular Ward at the San Francisco Hospital, April 1 to June 30, 1927.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson,

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Badaracco, Bath—2.

Appropriation, $2,000, Payment to John P. Bowers in Settlement of Litigation.

Resolution No. 27063 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $2,000 be and the same is hereby authorized in payment out of Urgent Necessity, Budget Item No. 25, 1926-1927, to John P. Bowers, in settlement of Superior Court Action No. 173923, approved by the City Attorney and authorized by Resolution No. 26991 (New Series).

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Badaracco, Bath—2.

Oil Permits.

Resolution No. 27064 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits be and are hereby granted:

Oil Tanks.

Wm. Buick, northeast corner of Fulton and Octavia streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

I. R. Chadbourne, north side of Darien way, 70 feet south of San Fernando way, 1500 gallons capacity.

Chas. J. Koenig, west side of Hampshire street, 30 feet south of Twenty-fourth street, 1500 gallons capacity.

H. O. Lindeman, north side of Golden Gate avenue, 150 feet west of Divisadero street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Jas. Meagher & Sons, north side of Napoleon street, 198 feet east of Jerrold avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

J. A. Pasqualette, northwest corner of Fremont and Natoma streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

Cahill Bros., northeast corner of Pine and Sansome streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

American Trust Co., north side of Market street, at Van Ness, 1500 gallons capacity.

J. J. Smith, 42 Valley street, 1500 gallons capacity.

R. J. Stempel, northeast corner of Bay and Divisadero streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits shall become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Badaracco, Bath—2.

Automobile Supply Station Permit.

Resolution No. 27065 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Fred O. Foster be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to operate and maintain an automobile supply station on the northeast corner of McAllister and Hyde streets.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Badaracco, Bath—2.

Automobile Supply Station Permit.

Resolution No. 27066 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Howard J. Mallen be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an automobile supply station on the southeast corner of Plymouth and Ocean avenues.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Badaracco, Bath—2.

Furnace Permit.

Resolution No. 27067 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Harris Steinmeyer Co. be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate a furnace at 101 Post street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson,

Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Badaracco, Bath—2.

Blasting Permit.

Resolution No. 27068 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That P. Montague be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to explode blasts while grading property on the north side of Seventeenth street, 125 feet east of Clayton street; provided said permittee shall execute and file a good and sufficient bond in the sum of $10,000, as fixed by the Board of Public Works and approved by his Honor the Mayor, in accordance with Ordinance No. 1204; provided, also, that said blasts shall be exploded only between the hours of 7 a. m. and 6 p. m., and that the work of said blasting shall be performed to the satisfaction of the Board of Public Works, and that if any of the conditions of this resolution be violated by said P. Montague, then the privileges and all the rights accruing thereunder shall immediately become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Badaracco, Bath—2.

Establishing Set-Back Lines Along Portions of Jefferson and Sears Streets and Magellan Avenue.

Bill No. 7971, Ordinance No. 7460 (New Series), as follows:

Establishing set-back lines along portions of Jefferson and Sears streets and Magellan avenue.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby recited that on the 21st day of February, 1927, the Board of Supervisors adopted Resolution of Intention No. 131 to establish set-back lines along Jefferson and Sears streets and Magellan avenue and fixed the 28th day of March, 1927, at 10 o'clock a. m., at the chambers of the Board of Supervisors, as the time and place for hearing objections thereto; that thereafter and more than ten days prior to said hearing the said resolution was published and notices of the passage of said resolution were posted along the line of said streets, in time, form and manner required by Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series); that said hearing was held at the time and place aforesaid, and that all objections made at said hearing have been properly overruled.

Section 2. Pursuant to the foregoing recitals and in accordance with the provisions of said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), set-back lines are hereby established as follows:

Along the southerly side of Jefferson street, commencing at a point 100 feet easterly from Baker street and running thence easterly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; thence easterly 225 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence easterly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet.

Along the northerly side of Magellan avenue, commencing at Twelfth avenue and running thence easterly 40 feet, said set-back line to be 15 feet.

Along the southeasterly side of Sears street, commencing at a point 100 feet northeasterly from Sickles avenue and running thence northeasterly 275 feet, said set-back line to be 10 feet; thence northeasterly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 5 feet.

As shown on the maps filed in the office of the Board of Supervisors and to which reference is hereby made for further particulars.

Section 3. No structures shall be erected in the area between said set-back lines and the street lines except as provided in said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), and the penalties for the violation of this ordinance shall be as fixed by the ordinance aforesaid.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Badaracco, Bath—2.

Ordering Street Work.

Bill No. 7946, Ordinance No. 7461 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows.

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors March 8, 1927, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of

San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of *Eighteenth avenue between Moraga and Noriega streets*, where not already improved, by the construction of concrete surbs, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a six (6) inch concrete foundation and a one and one-half (1½) inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Badaracco, Bath—2.

Bill No. 7947, Ordinance No. 7462 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors March 8, 1927, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respecively, may be paid in twenty installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be six months from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

For the improvement of *Delta street between Wilde avenue and Tioga avenue, where not already improved*, by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of the necessary sidesewers, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and a 1½-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Badaracco, Bath—2.

Bill No. 7948, Ordinance No. 7463 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors March 8, 1927, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the

provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in twenty installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be six months from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

For the improvement of *Reddy street between Williams avenue and Thornton avenue, where not already improved*, by the construction of concrete curbs, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and a 1½-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Badaracco, Bath—2.

Bill No. 7949, Ordinance No. 7464 (New Series) as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors March 8, 1927, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in twenty installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is is to be six months from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of *Forty-fifth avenue between Wawona street and Sloat boulevard, where not already improved*, by the construction of concrete curbs, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and a 1½-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Badaracco, Bath—2.

Bill No. 7950, Ordinance No. 7465 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors March 8, 1927, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said

City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in twenty installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be six months from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of *Foote avenue between Ellington avenue and Huron avenue, where not already improved*, by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of the necessary side-sewers, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and a 1½-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Badaracco, Bath—2.

Bill No. 7951, Ordinance No. 7466 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors March 8, 1927, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in twenty installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be six months from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of *Mariposa street between Arkansas and Connecticut streets*, by grading to official line and grade, by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of the necessary sidesewers, and by the construction of a concrete pavement on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Badaracco, Bath—2.

Bill No. 7952, Ordinance No. 7467 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors March 8, 1927, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the

direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in twenty installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be six months from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of *San Jose avenue between Goethe street and the County Line, including the crossing of San Jose avenue and Goethe street, where not already improved*, and excepting that portion required by law to be paved by the railroad company having tracks thereon, by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks on the corners of the above-mentioned crossing, where not already constructed; by the construction of two brick catchbasins with appurtenances and 10-inch ironstone pipe culverts on the above-mentioned crossing, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and a 1½-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface on the roadway thereof; and the improvement of *Goethe street between San Jose avenue and the County Line*, by grading to official line and grade; by the construction of concrete curbs, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and a 1½-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Badaracco, Bath—2.

Bill No. 7953, Ordinance No. 7468 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors March 5, 1927, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in three installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of the *easterly one-half of Thirty-seventh avenue between Anza and Balboa streets*, and of *Anza street between Thirty-fifth and Thirty-sixth avenues*, by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks 6 feet in width where artificial stone sidewalks 6 feet or more in width have not already been constructed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Badaracco, Bath—2.

Bill No. 7954, Ordinance No. 7469 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors March 5, 1927, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in four installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of the *crossing of Fourteenth avenue and Rivera street*, by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks of the full official width on the angular corners; by the construction of the necessary catchbasins with accompanying 10-inch ironstone pipe culverts; by the construction of 8-inch, 12-inch and 15-inch ironstone pipe sewers with accompanying manholes, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and a 1½-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson. Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Badaracco, Bath—2.

Bill No. 7955, Ordinance No. 7470 (New Series) as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors March 5, 1927, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in three installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of the *easterly one-half of Wawona street between Fourteenth avenue and Fifteenth avenue, and in front of that parcel of land on the southwest corner of Vicente and Wawona streets known as Lot 30, Block 2988A; on Holloway avenue between Ashton avenue and Bright street, and on Victoria street between Holloway avenue and Garfield street*, by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks six (6) feet in width where artificial stone sidewalks six (6) feet or more in

width have not already been constructed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Badaracco, Bath—2.

Bill No. 7956, Ordinance No. 7471 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors March 5, 1927, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in three installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of *Mississippi street between Nineteenth and Twentieth streets; Wisconsin street between Twentieth and Twenty-second streets; Twentieth street between Connecticut and Arkansas streets*, by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks 6 feet in width where artificial stone or bituminous rock sidewalks 6 feet or more in width have not already been constructed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Badaracco, Bath—2.

Bill No. 7957, Ordinance No. 7472 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors March 8, 1927, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in twenty installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be six months from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

For the improvement of *Harvard street between Silver avenue and Pioche street, including the crossing of Harvard street and Pioche street, and the crossing of Harvard street and Silliman street*, by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks on the angular corners;

by the construction of 3 brick catchbasins with appurtenances and 10-inch ironstone pipe culverts, 3 on each of the above-mentioned crossings; by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and a 1½-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface on the roadway of the above-mentioned crossings, and by the construction of a concrete pavement between Pioche street and Silver avenue; and the improvement of the unsigned portions of Harvard street between Pioche street and Silliman street in front of property described as follows: On the easterly side of Harvard street from a line 25 feet southerly from and parallel with Pioche street to a line 75 feet southerly from and parallel with Pioche street, and from a line 125 feet southerly from and parallel with Pioche street to a line 150 feet southerly from and parallel with Pioche street, and from a line 250 feet southerly from and parallel with Pioche street to a line 275 feet southerly from and parallel with Pioche street, and from a line 300 feet southerly from and parallel with Pioche street to a line 350 feet southerly from and parallel with Pioche street; on the westerly side of Harvard street from the northerly line of Siliman street to a line 25 feet northerly from and parallel with Silliman street, by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of the necessary side-sewers, and by the construction of a concrete pavement, where required, and an asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and a 1½-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface, where required, on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Badaracco, Bath—2.

Bill No. 7958, Ordinance No. 7473 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors March 8, 1927, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in twenty installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be six months from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

For the improvement of *the unsigned portions of Gambier street between Silver avenue and Felton street in front of property described as follows*:

On the easterly side of Gambier street from the northerly line of Pioche street to a line 75 feet northerly from and parallel with Pioche street, and from the southerly line of Pioche street to a line 50 feet southerly from and parallel with Pioche street, and from a line 100 feet southerly from and parallel with Pioche street to a line 175 feet southerly from and parallel with Pioche street, and from a line 375 feet southerly from and parallel with Pioche street to the northerly line of Silliman street, and from the southerly line of Silliman street to a line 100 feet southerly from and parallel with Silliman street, and from a line 125 feet southerly from and parallel with Silliman street to a line 150 feet southerly from and parallel with Silliman street, and from a line 300 feet southerly from and parallel with Silliman street to the northerly line of Felton street; on the westerly side of Gambier street from the northerly line of Pioche street to a line 50 feet northerly from and

parallel with Pioche street, and from a line 150 feet northerly from and parallel with Pioche street to a line 175 feet northerly from and parallel with Pioche street, and from the southerly line of Pioche street to a line 200 feet southerly from and parallel with Pioche street, and from a line 375 feet southerly from and parallel with Pioche street to the northerly line of Silliman street, and from the southerly line of Silliman street to a line 50 feet southerly from and parallel with Silliman street, and from a line 150 feet southerly from and parallel with Silliman street to a line 200 feet southerly from and parallel with Silliman street, and from a line 225 feet southerly from and parallel with Silliman street to a line 275 feet southerly from and parallel with Silliman street; by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of the necessary sidesewers, and by the construction of a concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and a 1½-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface, where required, on the roadway thereof; and for the improvement of *the crossings of Gambier street and Pioche street, Silliman street and Felton street*, by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks on the angular corners; by the construction of brick catchbasins with appurtenances and 10-inch ironstone pipe culverts, 3 on each of the above mentioned crossings, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and a 1½-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Badaracco, Bath—2.

Changing Grades on Lyon Street.

Bill No. 7959, Ordinance No. 7474 (New Series), as follows:

Changing and reestablishing the official grades on Lyon street between Pacific avenue and Broadway.

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors, on the written recommendation of the Board of Public Works, did, on the 24th day of December, 1926, by Resolution No. 26565 (New Series), declare its intention to change and reestablish the grades on Lyon street between Pacific avenue and Broadway; and

Whereas, said resolution was so published for ten days, and the Board of Public Works within ten days after the first publication of said resolution of intention caused notices of the passage of said resolution to be conspicuously posted along all streets specified in the resolution, in the manner and as provided by law; and

Whereas, more than forty days has elapsed since the first publication of said resolution of intension; therefore,

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The grades on the following named streets at the points hereinafter named and at the elevations above city base as hereinafter stated, are hereby changed and established as follows:

Lyon Street.

Pacific avenue, 370 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Westerly curb line of, 133 feet southerly from Broadway, 351.85 feet.

Westerly curb line of, 93 feet southerly from Broadway, 345.60 feet.

Westerly curb line of, 53 feet southerly from Broadway, 337.66 feet.

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

Easterly curb line of, cut by a line at right angles to the westerly curb line, 133 feet southerly from Broadway, 351.85 feet.

Easterly curb line of, cut by a line at right angles to the westerly curb line, 93 feet southerly from Broadway, 345.60 feet.

Easterly curb line of, cut by a line at right angles to the westerly curb line, 53 feet southerly from Broadway, 337.66 feet.

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

Broadway, 326 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

On Lyon Street between Pacific avenue and Broadway changed and established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Badaracco, Bath—2.

Changing Grades.

Bill No. 7960, Ordinance No. 7475 (New Series), as follows:

Changing and reestablishing the official grades on Noriega street between the easterly line of Twenty-first avenue and the westerly line of Thirty-first avenue; on Twenty-second avenue between Ortega street and a line parallel with Moraga street and 250 feet southerly therefrom; on Twenty-third avenue between the northerly line or Noriega street and a line parallel with Ortega street and 100 feet northerly therefrom; on Twenty-fourth avenue between lines respectively 93 feet northerly and southerly from Noriega street; on Twenty-fifth and Twenty-sixth avenues between the northerly line of Noriega street and 93 feet southerly therefrom; on Twenty-seventh avenue between a line parallel with Moraga street and 300 feet southerly therefrom, and a line parallel with Noriega street and 93 feet southerly therefrom; on Twenty-eighth avenue, Twenty-ninth avenue and Thirtieth avenue between lines parallel with Noriega street and respectively 93 feet northerly and southerly therefrom; and on Thirty-first avenue between a line at right angles to easterly line of, 93 feet northerly from Noriega street and a line at right angles to the easterly line of, 105 feet southerly from Noriega street.

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors, on the written recommendation of the Board of Public Works, did, on the 24th day of December, 1926, by Resolution No. 26566 (New Series), declare its intention to change and reestablish grades on Noriega street between the easterly line of Twenty-first avenue and the westerly line of Tihtry-first avenue; on Twenty-second avenue between Ortega street and a line parallel with Moraga street and 250 feet southerly therefrom; on Twenty-third avenue between the northerly line of Noriega street and a line parallel with Ortega street and 100 feet northerly therefrom; on Twenty-fourth avenue between lines respectively 93 feet northerly and southerly from Noriega street; on Twenty-fifth and Twenty-sixth avenues between the northerly line of Noriega street and a line parallel with Noriega street and 93 feet southerly therefrom; on Twenty-seventh avenue between a line parallel with Moraga street and 300 feet southerly therefrom, and a line parallel with Noriega street and 93 feet southerly therefrom; on Twenty-eighth avenue, Twenty-ninth avenue and Thirtieth avenue between lines parallel with Noriega street and respectively 93 feet northerly and southerly therefrom; and on Thirty-first avenue between a line at right angles to easterly line of, 93 feet northerly from Noriega street and a line at right angles to the easterly line of, 105 feet southerly from Noriega street.

Whereas, said resolution was so published for ten days, and the Board of Public Works within ten days after the first publication of said resolution of intention caused notices of the passage of said resolution to be conspicuously posted along all streets specified in the resolution, in the manner and as provided by law; and

Whereas, more than forty days has elapsed since the first publication of said resolution of intention; therefore,

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The grades on the following named streets at the points hereinafter named and at the elevations above city base as hereinafter stated, are hereby changed and established as follows:

Noriega Street.

Twenty-first avenue easterly line, 335 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

15 feet westerly from Twenty-first avenue easterly line, 335 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Northerly line, 15 feet easterly from Twenty-first avenue westerly line, 334.48 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Southerly line of, 15 feet easterly from Twenty-first avenue westerly line, 335.05 feet.

Twenty-first avenue westerly line, 335 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Twenty-second avenue, 320 feet.

Twenty-third avenue, 310.88 feet.

Twenty-fourth avenue easterly line, 309.45 feet.

Twenty-fourth avenue westerly line, 309 feet.

Twenty-fifth avenue easterly line, 307.45 feet.

Northerly line of 15 feet westerly from Twenty-fifth avenue easterly line, 307.21 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Northerly line of, 15 feet easterly from Twenty-fifth avenue westerly line, 306.96 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Twenty-fifth avenue westerly line, 307 feet.

Twenty-sixth avenue easterly line, 302.35 feet.

Northerly line of, 15 feet westerly from Twenty-sixth avenue easterly line, 301.92 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Northerly line of, 15 feet easterly from Twenty-sixth avenue westerly line, 301.20 feet.

Twenty-sixth avenue westerly line, 301 feet.

Twenty-seventh avenue easterly line, 296.35 feet.

Twenty-seventh avenue westerly line, 295 feet.

Twenty-eighth avenue easterly line, 290.35 feet.

Twenty-eighth avenue westerly line, 289 feet.

Twenty-ninth avenue easterly line, 282.81 feet.

Twenty-ninth avenue westerly line, 281 feet.

Thirtieth avenue easterly line, 265 feet.

Thirtieth avenue westerly line, 262 feet.

Thirty-first avenue easterly line, 251.93 feet.

Thirty-first avenue westerly line, 249 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Twenty-first Avenue.

Easterly line of, at Noriega street, 335 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, at Noriega street, 335 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, at Noriega street northerly line, 334.48 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, at Noriega street southerly line, 335.05 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Westerly line of, at Noriega street, 335 feet.

Twenty-second Avenue.

250 feet southerly from Moraga street, 302.50 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

300 feet southerly from Moraga street, 303.60 feet.

350 feet southerly from Moraga street, 305.90 feet.

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

Noriega street, 320 feet.

Ortega street, 377 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Twenty-third Avenue.

Noriega street, 310.88 feet.

100 feet northerly from Ortega street, 360.70 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Twenty-fourth Avenue.

93 feet northerly from Noriega street, 306.43 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Noriega street easterly line, 309.45 feet.

Noriega street westerly line, 309 feet.

93 feet southerly from Noriega street, 322 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Easterly line of, at Noriega street, 307.45 feet.

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, at Noriega street northerly line, 307.21 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, at Noriega street northerly line, 306.96 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Westerly line of, at Noriega street, 307 feet.

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 93 feet southerly from Noriega street, 317.34 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 93 feet southerly from Noriega street, 317.10 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Twenty-sixth Avenue.

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, at Noriega street northerly line, 301.92 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, at Noriega street northerly line, 301.20 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Easterly line of, at Noriega street, 302.35 feet.

Westerly line of, at Noriega street, 301 feet.

93 feet southerly from Noriega street, 313.70 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Twenty-seventh Avenue.

300 feet southerly from Moraga street, 298.30 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Easterly line of, at Noriega street, 296.35 feet.

Westerly line of, at Noriega street, 295 feet.

93 feet southerly from Noriega street, 300.50 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Twenty-eighth Avenue.

93 feet northerly from Noriega street, 290.80 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Easterly line of, at Noriega street, 290.35 feet.

Westerly line of, at Noriega street, 289 feet.

93 feet southerly from Noriega street, 292 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Twenty-ninth Avenue.

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 93 feet northerly from No-

riega street, 281.06 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 93 feet northerly from Noriega street, 280.29 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Easterly line of, at Noriega street, 282.81 feet.

Westerly line of, at Noriega street, 281 feet.

93 feet southerly from Noriega street, 284.40 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Thirtieth Avenue.

93 feet northerly from Noriega street, 265.50 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Easterly line of, at Noriega street, 265 feet.

Westerly line of, at Noriega street, 262 feet.

93 feet southerly from Noriega street, 265.50 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Thirty-first Avenue.

On a line at right angles to the easterly line of, 93 feet northerly from Noriega street, 260.46 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Easterly line of, at Noriega street, 251.93 feet.

Westerly line of, at Noriega street, 249 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

On a line at right angles to the easterly line of, 105 feet southerly from Noriega street, 250.20 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

On Noriega street between the easterly line of Twenty-first avenue and the westerly line of Thirty-first avenue; on Twenty-second avenue between Ortega street and a line parallel with Moraga street and 250 feet southerly therefrom; on Twenty-third avenue between the northerly line of Noriega street and a line parallel with Ortega street and 100 feet northerly therefrom; on Twenty-fourth avenue between lines respectively 93 feet northerly and southerly from Noriega street; on Twenty-fifth and Twenty-sixth avenues between the northerly line of Noriega street and a line parallel with Noriega street and 93 feet southerly therefrom; on Twenty-seventh avenue between a line parallel with Moraga street and 300 feet southerly therefrom, and a line parallel with Noriega street and 93 feet southerly therefrom; on Twenty-eighth avenue, Twenty-ninth avenue and Thirtieth avenue between lines parallel with Noriega street and respectively 93 feet northerly and southerly therefrom, and on Thirty-first avenue between a line at right angles to easterly line of, 93 feet northerly from Noriega street and a line at right angles to the easterly line of, 105 feet southerly from Noriega street, changed and established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Badaracco, Bath—2.

Bill No. 7961, Ordinance No. 7476 (New Series), as follows:

Changing and reestablishing the official grades on Jones street between North Point and Beach streets.

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors, on the written recommendation of the Board of Public Works, did, on the third day of December, 1926, by Resolution No. 26470 (New Series), declare its intention to change and reestablish the grades on Jones street between North Point and Beach streets.

Whereas, said resolution was so published for ten days, and the Board of Public Works, within ten days after the first publication of said resolution of intention, caused notices of the passage of said resolution to be conspicuously posted along all streets specified in the resolution, in the manner and as provided by law; and

Whereas, more than forty days has elapsed since the first publication of said resolution of intention; therefore,

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The grades on the following named streets at the points hereinafter named and at the elevations above city base as hereinafter stated, are hereby changed and established as follows:

Jones Street.

North Point street, 8 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

150 feet southerly from Beach street, 7.75 feet.

Beach street, 2.50 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

On Jones street between North Point street and Beach street, changed and established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Badaracco, Bath—2.

Bill No. 7962, Ordinance No. 7477 (New Series), as follows:

Changing and reestablishing the official grades on Farragut avenue between Huron avenue and Winnipeg avenue.

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors, on the written recommendation of the Board of Public Works, did, on the 24th day of December, 1926, by Resolution No. 26564 (New Series), declare its intention to change and reestablish the grades on Farragut avenue between Huron avenue and Winnipeg avenue.

Whereas, said resolution was so published for ten days, and the Board of Public Works, within ten days after the first publication of said resolution of intention, caused notices of the passage of said resolution to be conspicuously posted along all streets specified in the resolution, in the manner and as provided by law; and

Whereas, more than forty days has elapsed since the first publication of said resolution of intention; therefore,

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The grades on the following named streets at the points hereinafter named and at the elevations above city base as hereinafter stated, are hereby changed and established as follows:

Farragut Avenue.

Huron avenue, 285.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

15 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, 150 feet northwesterly from Huron avenue, 277.50 feet.

15 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, 200 feet northwesterly from Huron avenue, 273.99 feet.

15 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, 250 feet northwesterly from Huron avenue, 268.47 feet.

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

15 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, 150 feet northwesterly from Huron avenue, 277.50 feet.

15 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, 200 feet northwesterly from Huron avenue, 273.94 feet.

15 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, 250 feet northwesterly from Huron avenue, 268.27 feet.

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

15 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, 399 feet northwesterly from Huron avenue, 249.00 feet.

15 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, 399 feet northwesterly from Huron avenue, 248.20 feet.

Southwesterly line of, at Winnipeg avenue, 249.10 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Northeasterly line of, at Winnipeg avenue, 247.90 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

On Farragut avenue between Huron avenue and Winnipeg avenue, changed and established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Badaracco, Bath—2.

Bill No. 7943, Ordinance No. 7478 (New Series), as follows:

Changing and reestablishing the official grades on Goettingen street between a line parallel with Olmstead street and 125 feet southerly therefrom, and the northerly line of Ordway street, and on Mansell street between the westerly line of Brussels street and the easterly line of Somerset street.

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors, on written recommendation of the Board of Public Works, did, on the 3d day of December, 1926, by Resolution No. 26472 (New Series), declare its intention to change and reestablish the grades on Goettingen street between a line parallel with Olmstead street and 125 feet southerly therefrom, and the northerly line of Ordway street, and on Mansell street between the westerly line of Brussels street and the easterly line of Somerset street.

Whereas, said resolution was so

PUBLIC LIBRARY

published for ten days, and the Board of Public Works, within ten days after the first publication of said resolution of intention, caused notices of the passage of said resolution to be conspicuously posted along all streets specified in the resolution, in the manner and as provided by law; and

Whereas, more than forty days has elapsed since the first publication of said resolution of intention; therefore,

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The grades on the following named streets at the points hereinafter named and at the elevations above city base as hereinafter stated, are hereby changed and established as follows:

Goettingen Street.

10 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 125 feet southerly from Olmstead street, 182.64 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

10 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 175 feet southerly from Olmstead street, 185.64 feet.

10 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 225 feet southerly from Olmstead street, 192.94 feet.

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

20 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 125 feet southerly from Olmstead street, 183.08 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

20 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 175 feet southerly from Olmstead street, 185.75 feet.

20 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 225 feet southerly from Olmstead street, 192.94 feet.

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

12 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 125 feet southerly from Olmstead street, 183.08 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

12 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 175 feet southerly from Olmstead street, 185.75 feet.

12 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 225 feet southerly from Olmstead street, 192.94 feet.

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

Westerly line of, 125 feet southerly from Olmstead street, 189.04 feet (The same being the present official grade.)

Westerly line of, 175 feet southerly from Olmstead street, 191.93 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Westerly line of, 175 feet southerly from Olmstead street, 199.68 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

Westerly line of, 90 feet northerly from Mansell street, 217.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Westerly line of, 10 feet northerly from Mansell street, 227.00 feet.

12 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 8 feet northerly from Mansell street, 224.50 feet.

10 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 8 feet northerly from Mansell street, 224.50 feet.

10 feet westerly from the easterly line of, at Mansell street northerly line, 224.50 feet.

20 feet easterly from the westerly line of, at Mansell street northerly line, 226.00 feet.

Easterly line of, at Mansell street, 224.00 feet.

Westerly line of, at Mansell street, 227.00 feet.

10 feet westerly from the easterly line of, at Mansell street southerly line, 224.50 feet.

20 feet easterly from the westerly line of, at Mansell street southerly line, 226.00 feet.

10 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 10 feet southerly from Mansell street, 226.07 feet.

12 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 10 feet southerly from Mansell street, 226.07. feet.

Westerly line of, 10 feet southerly from Mansell street, 232.00 feet.

Easterly line of, 100 feet southerly from Mansell street, 242.00 feet.

12 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 100 feet southerly from Mansell street, 242.00 feet.

Westerly line of, 100 feet southerly from Mansell street, 244.50 feet.

260 feet southerly from Mansell street, 270.00 feet.

310 feet southerly from Mansell street, 271.00 feet.

Ordway street northerly line, 303.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Mansell Street.

Brussels street westerly line, 179.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Goettingen street easterly line, 224.00 feet.

Goettingen street westerly line, 277.00 feet.

Somerset street westerly line, 266.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

On Goettingen street between a line parallel with Olmstead street and 125 feet southerly therefrom, and the northerly line of Ordway

street, and on Mansell street between the westerly line of Brussels street and the easterly line of Somerset street, changed and established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Badaracco, Bath—2.

Bill No. 7964, Ordinance No. 7479 (New Series), as follows

Changing and reestablishing the official grades on Thirty-ninth and Fortieth avenues between Santiago and Taraval streets, on Forty-first and Forty-second avenues between Quintara and Taraval streets, on Forty-third avenue between Quintara and Santiago streets, on Rivera street between the westerly line of Forty-second avenue and Forty-fourth avenue, and on Santiago street between the easterly line of Forty-first avenue and Forty-second avenue.

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors, on the written recommendation of the Board of Public Works, did, on the 31st day of December, 1926, by Resolution No. 26603 (New Series), declare its intention to change and reestablish the grades on Thirty-ninth and Fortieth avenues between Santiago and Taraval streets, on Forty-first and Forty-second avenues between Quintara and Taraval streets, on Forty-third avenue between Quintara and Santiago streets, on Rivara street between the westerly line of Forty-second avenue and Forty-fourth avenue, and on Santiago street between the easterly line of Forty-first avenue and Forty-second avenue;

Whereas, said resolution was so published for ten days, and the Board of Public Works within ten days after the first publication of said resolution of intention caused notices of the passage of said resolution to be conspicuously posted along all streets specified in the resolution, in the manner and as provided by law; and

Whereas, more than forty days has elapsed since the first publication of said resolution of intention; therefore,

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The grades on the following named streets at the points hereinafter named and at the elevations above city base as hereinafter stated, are hereby changed and established as follows:

Thirty-ninth Avenue.

Westerly line of, at Santiago street, 124.60 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Easterly line of, at Santiago street, 125.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 250 feet southerly from Santiago street, 122.84 feet.

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 300 feet southerly from Santiago street, 121.74 feet.

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 350 feet southerly from Santiago street, 119.29 feet.

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 250 feet southerly from Santiago street, 122.80 feet.

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 300 feet southerly from Santiago street, 121.73 feet.

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 350 feet southerly from Santiago street, 119.29 feet.

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

Taraval street, 103.60 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Fortieth Avenue.

Westerly line of, at Santiago street, 123.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Easterly line of, at Santiago street, 123.40 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 200 feet southerly from Santiago street, 121.46 feet.

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 250 feet southerly from Santiago street, 120.07 feet.

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 300 feet southerly from Santiago street, 116.85 feet.

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 200 feet southerly from Santiago street, 121.42 feet.

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 250 feet southerly from Santiago street, 120.05 feet.

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 300 feet southerly from Santiago street, 116.81 feet.

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

Westerly line of, at Taraval street, 91.60 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Easterly line of, at Taraval street, 92.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Forty-first Avenue.

Westerly line of, at Quintara street, 80.50 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Easterly line of, at Quintara street, 82.25 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

100 feet southerly from Quintara street, 82.62 feet.

300 feet southerly from Quintara street, 84.13 feet.

350 feet southerly from Quintara street, 85.18 feet.

400 feet southerly from Quintara street, 87.60 feet.

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

100 feet northerly from Rivera street, 93.80 feet.

Westerly line of, at Rivera street, 99.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Easterly line of, at Rivera street, 101.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

100 feet southerly from Rivera street, 101.06 feet.

100 feet northerly from Santiago street, 105.84 feet.

Westerly line of, at Santiago street, 106.00 feet.

Easterly line of, at Santiago street, 108.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

100 feet southerly from Santiago street, 105.62 feet.

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 250 feet southerly from Santiago street, 104.40 feet.

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 300 feet southerly from Santiago street, 103.51 feet.

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 350 feet southerly from Santiago street, 101.65 feet.

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 250 feet southery from Santiago street, 104.40 feet.

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 300 feet southerly from Santiago street, 103.50 feet.

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 350 feet southerly from Santiago street, 101.62 feet.

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

Westerly line of, at Taraval street, 89.60 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Easterly line of, at Taraval street, 90.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Forty-second Avenue.

Westerly line of, at Quintara street, 72.75 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Easterly line of, at Quintara street, 74.50 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

100 feet southerly from Quintara street, 74.68 feet.

100 feet northerly from Rivera street, 78.94 feet.

Westerly line of, at Rivera street, 79.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Easterly line of, at Rivera street, 81.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

100 feet southerly from Rivera street, 82.83 feet.

100 feet northerly from Santiago street, 94.17 feet.

Westerly line of, at Santiago street, 96.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Easterly line of, at Santiago street, 98.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

100 feet southerly from Santiago street, 98.38 feet.

250 feet southerly from Santiago street, 99.60 feet.

300 feet southerly from Santiago street, 99.29 feet.

350 feet southerly from Santiago street, 97.58 feet.

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

100 feet northerly from Taraval street, 90.33 feet.

Westerly line of, at Taraval street, 85.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Easterly line of, at Taraval street, 86.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Forty-third Avenue.

Westerly line of, at Quintara street, 65.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Easterly line of, at Quintara street, 66.75 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

100 feet southerly from Quintara street, 64.48 feet.

100 feet northerly from Rivera street, 58.90 feet.

Westerly line of, at Rivera street, 57.00 feet.

Easterly line of, at Rivera street, 58.00 feet.

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 200 feet southerly from Rivera street, 62.76 feet.

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 250 feet southerly from Rivera street, 64.47 feet.

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 300 feet southerly from Rivera street, 67.14 feet.

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 200 feet southerly from Rivera street, 62.65 feet.

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 250 feet southerly from Rivera street, 64.44 feet.

15 feet easterly from the west-

erly line of, 300 feet southerly from Rivera street, 67.14 feet.

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

100 feet northerly from Santiago street, 79.72 feet.

Westerly line of, at Santiago street, 85.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Esterly line of, at Santiago street, 87.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Rivera Street.

Forty-second avenue westerly line, 79.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Forty-third avenue easterly line, 58.00 feet.

Forty-third avenue westerly line, 57.00 feet.

Forty-fourth avenue, 53.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Santiago Street.

Forty-first avenue easterly line, 108.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Forty-first avenue westerly line, 106.00 feet.

Forty-second avenue easterly line, 98.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

On Thirty-ninth and Fortieth avenues between Santiago and Taraval streets, on Forty-first and Forty-second avenues between Quintara and Taraval streets, on Forty-third avenue between Qintara and Santiago streets, on Rivera street between the westerly line of Forty-second avenue and Forty-fourth avenue, and on Santiago street between the easterly line of Forty-first avenue and Forty-second avenue, changed and established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Badaracco, Bath—2.

Bill No. 7965, Ordinance No. 7480 (New Series), as follows:

Changing and re-establishing the official grades on Montgomery street between Green and Lombard streets, on Union street between Sansome and Kearny streets, on Alta street between the westerly line of Montgomery street and a line parallel with and 137.50 feet westerly therefrom, and between the easterly line of Montgomery street and a line parallel with and 275 feet easterly therefrom, on Filbert street between Sansome and Kearny streets, and on Greenwich street between Sansome and Kearny streets.

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors, on the written recommendation of the Board of Public Works, did, on the 31st day of December, 1926, by Resolution No. 26604 (New Series), declare its intention to change and re-establish the grades on Montgomery street between Green and Lombard streets, on Union street between Sansome and Kearny streets, on Alta street between the westerly line of Montgomery street and a line parallel with and 137.50 feet westerly therefrom, and between the easterly line of Montgomey street and a line parallel with and 275 feet easterly therefrom, on Filbert street between Sansome and Kearny streets, and on Greenwich street between Sansome and Kearny streets.

Whereas, said resolution was so published for ten days, and the Board of Public Works within ten days after the first publication of said resolution of intention, caused notices of the passage of said resolution to be conspicuously posted along all streets specified in the resolution, in the manner and as provided by law; and

Whereas, more than forty days has elapsed since the first publication of said resolution of intention; therefore,

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The grades on the following named streets at the points hereinafter named and at the elevations above city base as hereinafter stated, are hereby changed and established as follows:

Montgomery Street.

Green street, 170.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, at Union street southerly line. 217.90 feet.

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, at Union street southerly line, 217.90 feet.

At a point on the center of the arc of a 15-foot return to the southwest corner of Union street, 217.90 feet.

Easterly line of, 15 feet northerly from Union street southerly line, 217.90 feet.

At a point on the center of the arc of a 15-foot return to the northwest corner of Union street, 217.60 feet.

6 feet easterly from the westerly

line of, at Union street northerly line, 217.16 feet.

6 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 11.78 feet northerly from Union street, 215.86 feet.

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

At a point on the center of the arc of a 15-foot return to the northeast corner of Union street, 217.60 feet.

6 feet westerly from the easterly line of, at Union street northerly line, 217.16 feet.

6 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 11.78 feet northerly from Union street, 215.86 feet.

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

6 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 40 feet northerly from Union street, 211.69 feet.

6 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 65 feet northerly from Union street, 207.67 feet.

6 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 90 feet northerly from Union street, 203.00 feet.

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

24 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 40 feet northerly from Union street, 211.69 feet.

24 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 65 feet northerly from Union street, 207.67 feet.

24 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 90 feet northerly from Union street, 203.00 feet.

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

24 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 40 feet northerly from Union street, 211.69 feet.

24 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 65 feet northerly from Union street, 208.44 feet.

24 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 90 feet northerly from Union street, 206.10 feet.

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

6 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 40 feet northerly from Union street, 211.69 feet.

6 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 65 feet northerly from Union street, 208.44 feet.

6 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 90 feet northerly from Union street, 206.10 feet.

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

6 feet westerly from the easterly line of, at Alta street southerly line, 197.00 feet.

Easterly line of, 6 feet northerly from Alta street southerly line, 195.80 feet.

Easterly line of, 6 feet southerly from Alta street northerly line, 191.20 feet.

6 feet westerly from the easterly line of, at Alta street northerly line, 190.00 feet.

6 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 131.50 feet northerly from Union street, 202.93 feet.

Westerly line of, at Alta street southerly line, 202.48 feet.

Westerly line of, at Alta street northerly line, 201.00 feet.

6 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 163 feet northerly from Union street, 200.53 feet.

6 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 205 feet northerly from Union street, 180.00 feet.

6 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 240 feet northerly from Union street, 174.60 feet.

6 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 275 feet northerly from Union street, 172.39 feet.

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

24 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 205 feet northerly from Union street, 180.00 feet.

24 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 240 feet northerly from Union street, 174.60 feet.

24 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 275 feet northerly from Union street, 172.39 feet.

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

Easterly line of, 6 feet northerly from Filbert street southerly line, 172.29 feet.

Easterly line of, 6 feet southerly from Filbert street northerly line, 171.41 feet.

6 feet westerly from the easterly line of, at Filbert street northerly line, 171.31 feet.

6 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 250 feet northerly from Union street, 193.91 feet.

6 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 275 feet northerly from Union street, 192.32 feet.

6 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 300 feet northerly from Union street, 191.40 feet.

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

24 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 250 feet northerly from Union street, 193.91 feet.

24 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 275 feet northerly from Union street, 192.32 feet.

24 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 300 feet northerly from Union street, 191.40 feet.

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

Westerly line of, 6 feet northerly from Filbert street southerly line, 192.04 feet.

Westerly line of, 6 feet southerly from Filbert street northerly line, 190.49 feet.

6 feet easterly from the westerly line of, at Filbert street northerly line, 190.34 feet.

6 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 415 feet northerly from Union street, 188.60 feet.

6 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 440 feet northerly from Union street, 186.82 feet.

6 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 465 feet northerly from Union street, 182.69 feet.

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

24 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 415 feet northerly from Union street, 188.60 feet.

24 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 440 feet northerly from Union street, 186.82 feet.

24 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 465 feet northerly from Union street, 182.69 feet.

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

6 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 445 feet northerly from Union street, 169.43 feet.

6 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 470 feet northerly from Union street, 168.38 feet.

6 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 495 feet northerly from Union street, 166.11 feet.

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

24 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 445 feet northerly from Union street, 169.43 feet.

24 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 470 feet northerly from Union street, 168.38 feet.

24 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 495 feet northerly from Union street, 166.11 feet.

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

6 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 581.25 feet northerly from Union street, 157.98 feet.

6 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 600 feet northerly from Union street, 154.95 feet.

6 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 618.75 feet northerly from Union street, 153.81 feet.

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

24 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 581.25 feet northerly from Union street, 157.98 feet.

24 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 600 feet northerly from Union street, 154.95 feet.

24 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 618.75 feet northerly from Union street, 153.81 feet.

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

10 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 581.25 feet northerly from Union street, 156.16 feet.

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 600 feet northerly from Union street, 154.49 feet.

20.75 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 618.75 feet northerly from Union street, 153.81 feet.

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

30 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 581.25 feet northerly from Union street, 156.16 feet.

36 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 600 feet northerly from Union street, 154.49 feet.

40.75 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 618.75 feet northerly from Union street, 153.81 feet.

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

Westerly line of, 6 feet northerly from Greenwich street southerly line, 153.75 fcet.

Westerly line of, 6 feet southerly from Greenwich street northerly line, 153.18 feet.

At a point 13 feet easterly from the westerly line of, and 6 feet southerly from Greenwich street northerly line, 153.18 feet.

At a point 31 feet easterly from the westerly line of, and 21 feet southerly from Greenwich street northerly line, 153.33 feet.

6 feet westerly from the easterly line of (produced), 18 feet northerly from Greenwich street southerly line, 115.00 feet.

6 feet westerly from the easterly line of (produced), 24 feet northerly from Greenwich street, southerly line, 115.00 feet.

Easterly line of (produced), 24 feet northerly from Greenwich street southerly line, 115.00 feet.

Easterly line of, at Greenwich street northerly line, 115.00 feet.

Lombard street, 12.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Union Street.

Northerly line of, at Sansome street, 14.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Southerly line of, at Sansome street, 15.50 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

15 feet southerly from the northerly line of, 9 feet easterly from Montgomery street, 217.6 feet.

At a point on the center of the arc of a 15-foot return at Montgomery street northeasterly corner (being the beginning of a vertical curve), 217.60 feet.

Northerly line of, 6 feet westerly from Montgomery street easterly

line (being the center point of a vertical curve), 217.16 feet.

15 feet northerly from the southerly line of, at Montgomery street easterly line, 217.90 feet.

Southerly line of, 15 feet westerly from Montgomery street easterly line, 217.90 feet.

Southerly line of, 15 feet easterly from Montgomery street westerly line, 217.90 feet.

At a point on the center of a 15-foot return for the southwest corner of Montgomery street, 217.90 feet.

15 feet northerly from the southerly line of, 11.22 feet westerly from Montgomery street, 216.90 feet.

15 feet northerly from the southerly line of, 34.22 feet westerly from Montgomery street, 213.87 feet.

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

At a point 11.78 feet northerly from the northerly line of, and 6 feet easterly from Montgomery street westerly line, 215.86 feet.

Northerly line of, 6 feet easterly from Montgomery street westerly line, 217.16 feet.

At a point 11.78 feet southwesterly (measured along the curb) from the last described point, 217.60 feet.

At a point 22.64 feet westerly (measured along the curb) from the last described point, 215.66 feet.

At a point 22.64 feet westerly (measured along the curb) from the last described point, 212.34 feet.

(Compound vertical curve passing through the last five described points.)

15 feet northerly from the southerly line of, 196.25 feet easterly from Kearny street, 182.00 feet.

Kearny street, 147.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Alta Street.

Southerly line of, at Montgomery street westerly line, 202.48 feet.

Northerly line of, at Montgomery street westerly line, 201.00 feet.

137.50 feet westerly from Montgomery street, 215.40 feet.

6 feet northerly from the southerly line of, at Montgomery street easterly line, 195.80 feet.

6 feet southerly from the northerly line of, at Montgomery street easterly line, 191.20 feet.

6 feet southerly from the northerly line of, 100 feet easterly from Montgomery street, 180.24 feet.

6 feet southerly from the northerly line of, 125 feet easterly from Montgomery street, 177.76 feet.

6 feet southerly from the northerly line of, 150 feet easterly from Montgomery street, 175.83 feet.

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

6 feet northerly from the southerly line of, 100 feet easterly from Montgomery street, 181.16 feet.

6 feet northerly from the southerly line of, 125 feet easterly from Montgomery street, 178.00 feet.

6 feet northerly from the southerly line of, 150 feet easterly from Montgomery street, 175.83 feet.

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

6 feet southerly from the northerly line of, 250 feet easterly from Montgomery street, 169.12 feet.

6 feet northerly from the southerly line of, 275 feet easterly from Montgomery street, 167.45 feet.

Filbert Street.

Sansome street, 8.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

At a point 21 feet northerly from the southerly line of, and 15 feet easterly from Montgomery street, 172.03 feet.

At a point 21 feet southerly from the northerly line of, and 15 feet easterly from Montgomery street, 171.67 feet.

6 feet northerly from the southerly line of, at Montgomery street easterly line, 172.29 feet.

6 feet southerly from the northerly line of, at Montgomery street easterly line, 171.41 feet.

6 feet northerly from the southerly line of, at Montgomery street westerly line, 192.04 feet.

6 feet southerly from the northerly line of, at Montgomery street westerly line, 190.49 feet.

At a point 25 feet northerly from the southerly line of, and 15 feet westerly from Montgomery street, 191.40 feet.

At a point 21 feet southerly from the northerly line of, and 15 feet westerly from Montgomery street, 190.85 feet.

Kearny street, 209.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Greenwich Street.

Sansome street, 4.00 feet. The same being the present official grade.)

Northerly line of, at Montgomery street easterly line, 115.00 feet.

18 feet northerly from the southerly line of, at Montgomery street easterly line, 115.00 feet.

At a point 18 feet northerly from the southerly line of, and 6 feet westerly from Montgomery street easterly line, 115.00 feet.

At a point 12 feet northerly from the southerly line of, and 6 feet

westerly from Montgomery street easterly line, 115.00 feet.

At a point on the southerly line of, produced from the west, 44 feet easterly from the westerly line of Montgomery street, 153.81 feet.

Southerly line of, 6 feet easterly from Montgomery street westerly line, 153.81 feet.

6 feet northerly from the southerly line of, at Montgomery street westerly line, 153.75 feet.

At a point 21 feet southerly from the northerly line of, and 31 feet easterly from Montgomery street westerly line, 153.33 feet.

At a point 6 feet southerly from the northerly line of, and 13 feet easterly from Montgomery street westerly line, 153.18 feet.

6 feet southerly from the northerly line of, at Montgomery street westerly line, 153.18 feet.

At a point 21 feet southerly from the northerly line of, and 21 feet westerly from Montgomery street, 153.33 feet.

At a point 21 feet northerly from the southerly line of, and 21 feet westerly from Montgomery street, 153.60 feet.

Kearny street, 231.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

On Montgomery street between Greer and Lombard streets, on Union street between Sansome and Kearny streets, on Alta street between the westerly line of Montgomery street and a line parallel with and 137.50 feet westerly therefrom, and between the easterly line of Montgomery street and a line parallel with and 275 feet easterly therefrom, on Filbert street between Sansome and Kearny streets, and on Greenwich street between Sansome and Kearny streets, changed and established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Badaracco, Bath—2.

Bill No. 7966, Ordinance No. 7481 (New Series), as follows:

Changing and reestablishing the official grades on Beach street between Laguna street and the westerly line of Buchanan street; on Buchanan street between North Point street and Jefferson street produced; on Webster street between Tonquin street and Lewis street; on the Marina boulevard between Beach and Tonquin streets; on Tonquin street between Webster and Scott streets; on Lewis street between Buchanan and Pierce streets, and on Fillmore street, Steiner street and Pierce street between Tonquin and Lewis streets.

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors, on the written recommendation of the Board of Public Works, did, on the 8th day of November, 1926, by Resolution No. 26386 (New Series), declare its intention to change and reestablish the grades on Beach street between Laguna street and the westerly line of Buchanan street; on Buchanan street between North Point street and Jefferson street produced; on Webster street between Tonquin street and Lewis street; on the Marina boulevard between Beach and Tonquin streets; on Tonquin street between Webster and Scott streets; on Lewis street between Buchanan and Pierce streets, and on Fillmore, Steiner and Pierce streets between Tonquin and Lewis streets.

Whereas, said resolution was so published for ten days, and the Board of Public Works within ten days after the first publication of said resolution of intention caused notices of the passage of said resolution to be conspicuously posted along all streets specified in the resolution, in the manner and as provided by law; and

Whereas, more than forty days has elapsed since the first publication of said resolution of intention: therefor,

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The grades on the following named streets at the points hereinafter named and at the elevation above city base as hereinafter stated, are hereby changed and established as follows:

Beach Street.

Laguna street, 12 feet. (The same being the official grade).

20 feet southerly from the northerly line of, 15 feet easterly from Buchanan street easterly line produced. 5 30 feet.

20 feet northerly from the southerly line of, at Buchanan street easterly line, 6.30 feet.

Southerly line of, 15 feet westerly from Buchanan street easterly line, 6.50 feet.

Southerly line of, 15 feet easterly from Buchanan street westerly line, 6 feet.

Buchanan Street.

North Point street, 12 feet. (The

same being the present official grade).

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, at Beach street southerly line, 6.50 feet.

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, at Beach street southerly line, 6 feet.

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 12.42 feet northerly from Beach street northerly line produced westerly, 4.25 feet.

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, at Marina boulevard northeasterly line, 3.60 feet.

Jefferson street produced, 2 feet. (The same being the present official grade).

Marina Boulevard.

20 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, at the northerly line of Beach street produced from the west, 4.70 feet.

30 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, 10.47 feet northwesterly from Buchanan street, 3.80 feet.

On a line at right angles to the southwesterly line of, 381.74 feet northwesterly from Beach street produced from the west, 0.80 feet.

20 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, 477.32 feet northwesterly from Beach street produced from the west, 0.50 feet.

30 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, on a line at right angles to the southwesterly line of 589.04 feet northwesterly from Beach street produced from the west, 0.30 feet.

Southwesterly curb line of, at the easterly end of the first curve easterly from Fillmore street, base.

Webster Street.

Elevation 2 feet at Tonquin street be abolished.

Lewis street, base.

Tonquin Street.

Elevation 2 feet at Webster street be abolished.

Northeasterly curb line of, at the center of the first curve easterly from Fillmore street, 1.50 feet.

30 feet southerly from the northerly line of, 160.25 feet easterly from Fillmore street, base.

Fillmore street, base.

Steiner street, base.

Pierce street, base.

Scott street, base. (The same being the present official grade).

Lewis Street.

Buchanan street, base. (The same being the present official grade).

Webster street, base.

Fillmore street, base. (The same being the present official grade).

Steiner street, base.

Pierce street, base. (The same being the present official grade).

Fillmore Street.

Tonquin street, base.

Lewis street, base. (The same being the present official grade).

Steiner Street.

Tonquin street, base.

Lewis street, base.

Pierce Street.

Tonquin street, base.

Lewis street, base. (The same being he present official grade).

On Beach street between Laguna street and the westerly line of Buchanan street, on Buchanan street between North Point street and Jefferson street produced, on Webster street between Tonquin street and Lewis street, on the Marina boulevard between Beach and Tonquin streets, on Tonquin street between Webster and Scott streets, on Lewis street between Buchanan and Pierce streets, and on Fillmore, Steiner and Pierce streets between Tonquin and Lewis streets.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Badaracco, Bath—2.

Spur Track Permit.

Bill No. 7967, Ordinance No. 7482 (New Series), as follows:

Granting permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to Southern Pacific Company, a corporation, to construct, maintain and operate a railroad track in and along Georgia street, crossing Alameda street and portions of Eldorado and Fourth streets, in the location hereinafter described.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, is hereby granted to Southern Pacific Company, a corporation, to construct, maintain and operate a railroad track in and along Georgia street, crossing Alameda street and portions of Eldorado and Fourth streets, the center line of which is particularly described as follows:

Beginning at a point in the intersection of Eldorado street and Georgia street, said point being 33 feet southerly, measured at right angles, from the northerly line of Eldorado street produced, and 25 feet easterly, measured at right angles, from the westerly line of Georgia street produced; thence northerly on the arc of a curve,

concave to the left, with a radius of 260.84 feet, for a distance of 92 feet, more or less, to a point in the center line of Georgia street, said point being 58 feet northerly, measured at right angles from the northerly line of Eldorado street produced; thence northerly along the center line of Georgia street and crossing the intersection of Alameda street for a distance of 451 feet, more or less, to a point; thence on the arc of a curve, concave to the right, with a radius of 382.24 feet, for a distance of 104 feet, more or less, to a point; thence tangent to last mentioned curve for a distance of 56 feet, more or less, to a point on the center line of Fourth street produced, said point being 13 feet westerly, more or less, measured at right angles, from the easterly line of Georgia street.

Said permission is granted subject to the provisions of Ordinance No. 69 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors, approved October 12, 1906, and the provisions and conditions of Section 8 thereof are hereby specifically contained in the permit hereby granted and shall be construed as a part hereof as completely as though the same were written in this ordinance.

Provided, that said track shall be laid under the supervision and to the lines and grades as furnished by the City Engineer's office, and that any and all expense connected with the installation of the track, restoration of the pavement and any additional requirements for the surface drainage is to be paid for by the Southern Pacific Company; provided, that Southern Pacific Company shall erect and maintain all-night lighted arc lamps to be placed where directed by the Lighting Committee of the Board of Supervisors.

Provided, that girder rail be used within the lines of the streets; that all pavement disturbed be restored, and that the existing manholes in Georgia street and Alameda street be protected. Any additional expense, due to the construction of a sewer and side-sewers off the center line of Georgia street, is to be borne by the Southern Pacific Company.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Badaracco, Bath—2.

Spur Track Permit, Foster & Kleiser.

Bill No. 7968, Ordinance No. 7483 (New Series), as follows:

Granting permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to Foster & Kleiser Investment Company and San Francisco Sulphur Company to construct, maintain and operate a spur track along and across an unnamed street, Carroll avenue and Donner avenue, as hereinafter described.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, is hereby granted to Foster and Kleiser Investment Company and San Francisco Sulphur Company to construct and maintain a spur track along and across an unnamed street, Carroll avenue and Donner avenue, as follows:

Beginning at a point in the center line of the existing track in an unnamed street, said point being distant northerly 122.0 feet from the intersection of said center line of track in unnamed street with the northerly line of Carroll avenue produced; thence diverging in a southwesterly direction from said track and crossing portion of said unnamed street and Carroll avenue to a point on the southerly line of Carroll avenue, said point being distant easterly 118 plus feet from the intersection of said southerly line of Carroll avenue with the easterly line of Mendell street: thence continuing on into private property.

Beginning at a point on the northerly line of Donner avenue, said point being distant 85 plus feet easterly from the intersection of said northerly line of Donner avenue with the easterly line of Mendell street; thence in a southwesterly direction across Donner avenue to a point on the northerly line of the Paul Tract, said point being distant 120 plus feet easterly from the intersection of said northerly line of the Paul Tract with the southerly line of Donner avenue; thence continuing into private property.

Said permission is granted subject to the provisions of Ordinance No. 69 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors, approved October 12, 1906, and the provisions and conditions of Section 8 thereof are hereby specifically contained in the permit hereby granted and shall be construed as a part hereof as completely as though the same were written in this ordinance.

Provided, that said spur track

shall be laid under the supervision and to the line and grades as furnished by the City Engineer's office, and that any and all expenses connected with the installation of the track, restoration of the pavement and any additional requirements for the surface drainage be paid for by the Foster and Kleiser Investment Company and the San Francisco Sulphur Company.

Provided, that the Foster and Kleiser Investment Company and the San Francisco Sulphur Company shall erect and maintain all-night lighted arc lamps where directed by the Lighting Committee of the Board of Supervisors.

Section 2. Ordinance No. 7432 (New Series) is hereby repealed.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Badaracco, Bath—2.

Ordering Street Work, Brussels Street.

Bill No. 7970, Ordinance No. 7484 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors February 8, 1927, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, made be paid in twenty installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be six months from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Brussels street between Campbell avenue and Wilde avenue, including the crossing of Brussels street and Campbell avenue; and the improvement of Campbell avenue between Brussels street and San Bruno avenue, by grading to official line and grade; by the construction of concrete curbs, the curb on the southerly side of the crossing of Campbell avenue and Brussels street is to be constructed between the easterly and westerly lines of Brussels street; by the construction of the following ironstone pipe sewers and appurtenances: An 8-inch with 4 Y branches, 1 brick manhole and the necessary sidesewers along the center line of Brussels street between the center line of Campbell avenue and the existing manhole 180 feet northerly from Campbell avenue; a 12-inch with 10 Y branches and the necessary sidesewers along the center line of Campbell avenue between the center line of Brussels street and the westerly line of San Bruno avenue; by the construction of the necessary sidesewers on Brussels street between Wilde avenue and a line 380 feet southerly from Wilde avenue; by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks on the northerly angular corners of the above mentioned crossing; by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks on the southerly side of the above mentioned crossing between the easterly and westerly lines of Brussels street; by the construction of 2 brick catchbasins with appurtenances and 10-inch ironstone pipe culverts on the crossing of Campbell avenue and Brussels street; by the construction of a concrete pavement on Brussels street from a line 250 feet southerly from Wilde avenue to the northerly line of Campbell avenue, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and a 1½-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface, on the remainder of the roadways thereof.

The method of assessment for the work and improvement determined and declared by the Board of Public Works by its Resolution No.

95822 (Second Series), is hereby confirmed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Badaracco, Bath—2.

Employment of Engineers by Board of Public Works.

Bill No. 7969, Ordinance No. 7485 (New Series), as follows:

Authorizing and empowering the Board of Public Works to employ engineers to make a study, investigation and report of transbay bridge, and to report the result of said investigation to the Board of Supervisors.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. That the Board of Public Works of the City and County of San Francisco be and they are hereby authorized, empowered and directed to forthwith employ the following named engineers, expert in the construction and location of bridges, to-wit: Robert Ridgway, Arthur N. Talbot and John D. Galloway, and that said Board of Public Works be requested to instruct and direct said above named engineers in conjunction with the City Engineer of the City and County of San Francisco, to make a study, survey, investigation and report on the three best locations, in the order of their importance for the termini of a transbay bridge from the City and County of San Francisco to the County of Alameda, the proper clearance above the waters of the bay and spaces between piers of said bridge, the loads that said bridge should be capable of carrying, and the character and kind of said loads, the facilities for traffic at both terminii of said bridge, the probable conditions of traffic incident to said proposed bridge, and the financial problems involved in the construction and completion thereof.

Section 2. And be it further ordained, that said engineers above named, in conjunction with said City Engineer, be directed to make and complete the said study, survey, investigation and report within thirty days from the date of their employment, and that said Board of Public Works be requested and directed to file with the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, a copy of said study, survey, investigation and report, as soon as the same is received from said engineers, and within thirty days from the date of their employment.

Section 3. And be it further ordained, that the sum of twenty-five thousand ($25,000) dollars be and the same is hereby appropriated from money in the General Fund and not otherwise appropriated, for the purpose of paying the compensation and expenses of said engineers above mentioned, and said Board of Public Works are hereby directed and empowered to provide for the compensation and expenses of said engineers out of said sum, which said compensation and expenses shall not in any event for any purpose whatever exceed $25,000 and to file with the Board of Supervisors a detailed account of all disbursements made from said fund.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Badaracco, Bath—2.

PRESENTATION OF BILLS AND ACCOUNTS.

Demands not required by law to be passed to print, and amounting to $40,946.03, were allowed and ordered paid by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Badaracco, Bath—2.

NEW BUSINESS.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Authorizations.

On motion of Supervisors McSheehy:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

Hetch Hetchy Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1925.

(1) City Coal Co., Inc., coal furnished, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Mar. 25, 1927), $640.29.

(2) The Giant Powder Co., Cons., explosives for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Mar. 25, 1927), $5,325.

(3) Ingersoll-Rand Co. of Cal., machine parts, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Mar. 25, 1927), $799.90.

(4) J. H. McCallum, lumber, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Mar. 25, 1927), $1,004.12.

(5) Old Mission Portland Cement Co., cement, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Mar. 25, 1927), $607.25.

(6) Santa Fe Lumber Co., lumber for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Mar. 25, 1927), $530.46.

(7) Baker, Hamilton & Pacific Co., black pipe for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Mar. 28, 1927), $3,212.14.

(8) Hales & Symons, Inc., cement for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Mar. 28, 1927), $1,125.

(9) Ingersoll-Rand Co. of Cal., machinery parts, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Mar. 28, 1927), $873.40.

Municipal Railway Fund.

(10) The White Company, one "White" truck for Municipal Railways (claim dated Mar. 23, 1927), $5,800.

School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923.

(11) Latourette-Fical Co., fifth payment, plumbing work for Edison School (claim dated Mar. 29, 1927), $1,983.84.

(12) Latourette-Fical Co., final payment, electrical work, addition to Francis Scott Key School (claim dated Mar. 29, 1927), $2,187.95.

(13) A. Lettich, final payment, mechanical equipment for annex to the Guadalupe School (claim dated Mar. 29, 1927), $2,892.04.

(14) A. Lettich, second payment, plumbing work for Hearst-Moulder School (claim dated Mar. 29, 1927), $1,374.75.

(15) Atlas Electric Co., first payment, electrical work, Mission (Everett) Junior High School (claim dated Mar. 29, 1927), $3,428.25.

(16) Latourette-Fical Co.. fourth payment, plumbing work for Mission (Everett) Junior High School (claim dated Mar. 29, 1927), $1,380.11.

(17) R. W. Moller, seventh payment, construction of Mission (Everett) Junior High School (claim dated Mar. 29, 1927), $17,344.22.

(18) Scott Company, first payment, mechanical equipment, Mission (Everett) Junior High School (claim dated Mar. 29, 1927), $9,975.

(19) Edward F. Dowd, final payment, electrical fixtures, new Mission High School (claim dated Mar. 30, 1927), $3,980.

(20) Mahony Bros., second payment, general construction, Sherman School (claim dated Mar. 30, 1927), $8,413.43.

(21) Anderson & Ringrose, third payment, general construction of addition to Sunnyside School (claim dated Mar. 29, 1927), $11,850.

School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1918.

(22) George H. Trask, steel lockers for Mission High School (claim dated Mar. 29, 1927), $665.

Special School Tax.

(23) Mahony Bros., sixth payment, general construction of addition to Commodore Sloat School (claim dated Mar. 30, 1927), $9,300.98.

(24) L. Flatland, second payment, electrical work for addition to Madison School (claim dated Mar. 30. 1927), $1,525.50.

Relief Home Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923.

(25) L. Ebbets, second payment, general construction of Conservatory for Relief Home (claim dated Mar. 30, 1927), $2,234.25.

(26) D. N. & E. Walter & Co., first and final payment, curtain rods and drapes for Relief Home buildings (claim dated Mar. 29, 1927), $974.50.

Tubercular Sanitarium Fund.

(27) Ajax Construction Co., final payment, water supply system for the Tuberculosis Sanitarium (claim dated Mar. 29, 1927), $4,423.57.

(28) Latourette-Fical Co., fourth payment, plumbing work for the Tuberculosis Sanitarium (claim dated Mar. 29, 1927), $4,495.95.

(29) M. E. Ryan, fourth payment, electrical work, Tuberculosis Sanitarium (claim dated Mar. 30, 1927), $1,494.46.

Fire Department Land and Construction Fund.

(30) Dowd-Seid Electric Company, first payment, electrical work for Fire Department Engine House No. 19 (claim dated Mar. 30, 1927), $911.33.

(31) Elliot & Grant, seventh payment, general construction of Fire Department Engine House No. 19 (claim dated Mar. 29, 1927), $6,155.59.

Municipal Railway Fund.

(32) Hancock Bros., printing transfers for Municipal Railway (claim dated Mar. 29, 1927), $744.

(33) Ohio Brass Co., catenary hangers, Municipal Railways (claim dated Mar. 29, 1927), $672.95.

Maintenance of Aquarium, Appropriation 57.

(34) California Academy of Sciences, maintenance of Steinhart Aquarium, March, 1927 (claim dated Apr. 4, 1927), $3,663.47.

General Fund, 1926-1927.

(35) General Electric Co., electrical supplies, Department of Electricity (claim dated Feb. 28, 1927), $594.25.

(36) Halpin Lithograph Co., printing for departments (claim dated Apr. 4, 1927), $768.90.

(37) Franklin Linotyping Co., printing for departments (claim dated Apr. 4, 1927), $775.

(38) Levison Printing Co., printing for departments (claim dated Apr. 4, 1927), $1,285.11.

(39) Daniel J. O'Brien, police contingent expense (claim dated Apr. 1, 1927), $750.

(40) Berringer & Russell, grain for parks (claim dated Apr. 1, 1927), $564.36.

(41) Fire Protection Products Co., first and final payment, general construction of glass pergola, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Mar. 29, 1927), $1,075.

(42) Standard Oil Co. of California, asphalt for street repair (claim dated Mar. 29, 1927), $1,138.37.

(43) The Moore Dry Dock Co., hydrants for Fire Department (claim dated Mar. 31, 1927), $16,640.

(44) Spring Valley Water Co., water for Fire Department hydrants, month of March (claim dated Mar. 31, 1927), $14,484.90.

(45) Board of Public Works, preparing plans, prints and specifications for apparatus for the Fire Department (claim dated Mar. 31, 1927), $2,115.

(46) Leighton-Jellett Co., glass cloth for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Feb. 28, 1927), $553.53.

(47) San Francisco Society for the Prevention of Cruelty to Animals, impounding, feeding, etc., of animals (claim dated Apr. 4, 1927), $1,250.

(48) James Rolph, Jr., incidentals, Mayor's office, month of April (claim dated Apr. 4, 1927), $625.

(49) Associated Charities, additional and emergency employments for account of Relief Home (claim dated Mar. 18, 1927), $3,973.93.

Publicity and Advertising (Appropriation 55).

(50) Capital Decorating & Mfg. Co., for the decorating of Market street from The Embarcadero to Ninth street, March 17th, for the publicity and advertising of San Francisco (claim dated Apr. 4, 1927), $800.

The following items of the foregoing resolution, on motion of Supervisor Powers, were held over one week pending information from City Engineer as to prices paid for cement, to-wit:

(5) Old Mission Portland Cement Co., cement, Hetch Hetchy construction, $607.25.

(8) Hales & Symons, Inc., cement for Hetch Hetchy construction, $1,125.

Appropriations Out of County Road Fund for Purchase of Property Required for Various Boulevard Constructions.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be paid out of appropriations heretofore made out of the County Road Fund to the hereinafter designated; being payment for properties required for different boulevard constructions, to-wit:

(1) To Krieg Tanning Company, for property required for the opening of the Bay Shore boulevard; as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 27031, New Series (claim dated Mar. 31, 1927), $22,532.

(2) To Eugene Mathes and Marguerite Mathes, for property required for the opening of the Bernal Cut; as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 27029, New Series (claim dated Mar. 31, 1927), $4,000.

(3) To Daniel J. Galvin, for property required for the opening of Bernal Cut; as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 27029, New Series (claim dated Mar. 31, 1927), $3,000.

(4) To Ruby Meyer Brandt and John J. Brandt, for property required for the opening of Bernal Cut; as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 27029, New Series (claim dated Mar. 31, 1927), $5,000.

(5) To Clara Hansen and Jeremiah Hansen, for property required for the opening of the Bernal Cut; as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 27028, New Series (claim dated Mar. 31, 1927), $5,100.

(6) To Arthur E. Miller and Lucy V. Miller, for property required for the opening of Bernal Cut; as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 27028, New Series (claim dated Mar. 31, 1927), $4,500.

(7) To Henry Finke and Mary Finke, for property required for the opening of the Bernal Cut; as per acceptance of offer by Resolution

No. 27028, New Series (claim dated Mar. 31, 1927), $2,750.

(8) To James A. Johnson and Catherine R. Johnson, for property required for the opening of Bernal Cut; as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 27027, New Series (claim dated Mar. 31, 1927), $5,375.

(9) To William Dautel and Mathilde Dautel, for property required for the opening of the Bernal Cut; as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 27027, New Series (claim dated Mar. 31, 1927), $4,500.

(10) To Frank Machkota and Fannie Machkota, for property required for the opening of Bernal Cut; as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 27027, New Series (claim dated Mar. 31, 1927), $625.

(11) To Nellie Reed and E. Reed, for property required for the opening of the Bernal Cut; as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 27027, New Series (claim dated Mar. 31, 1927), $5,300.

Appropriation, $2,000, Out of Urgent Necessity Fund, Compromise Payment to John P. Bowers.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $2.000 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of "Urgent Necessity," Budget Item No. 25, Fiscal Year 1926-1927, and authorized in payment to John P. Powers; being payment of compromise of Superior Court claim, Action No. 173932, as authorized by Resolution No. 26991 (New Series). (Claim dated March 28, 1927.)

Appropriations for Purchase of Radium, Etc., Wiring and Equipment, Relief Home, Grill Cage in Treasurer's Office.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned funds for the following purposes, to-wit:

San Francisco Hospital, Radium Equipment, Budget Item No. 67.

(1) For the purchase of radium and the necessary accessories for the use of the San Francisco Hospital, $16,105.50.

Relief Home Equipment, Budget Item No. 64.

(2) For purchase of running conduit and wiring and equipment for the Relief Home, $1,020.50.

Repairs to Public Buildings, Budget Item No. 68.

(3) For construction of grill cage in office of the Treasurer of the City and County, by the Board of Public Works, $539.86.

Board of Public Works Authorized to Purchase Proprietary Material Required for Airport From Westinghouse Electric and Manufacturing Company.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Board of Public Works be and is hereby authorized to purchase from the Westinghouse Electric and Manufacturing Company the following proprietary material for the special lighting of the Municipal Airport at South San Francisco, to-wit:

One 24-inch Sperry rotating beacon, equipped with an automatic lamp changer.

Two 18-inch Sperry airport landing lights, complete with one Westinghouse 140-ampere, 100-volt motor generator set.

Fifty Westinghouse bronze airport boundary light fixtures, with 35 clear globes and fifteen red globes; and

Further Resolved, That the sum of $4.000 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of "Municipal Airport," Budget Item No. 60, for the purchase of the hereinabove mentioned proprietary material for the special lighting of the Municipal Airport at South San Francisco. (Request of Board of Public Works, Resolution No. 96623, Second Series.)

Appropriation, $6,400, Continuation of Employment of Clerks, Assessor's Office.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $6,400 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of "Urgent Necessity," Budget Item No. 25, to the credit of Assessor—Personal Services, Appropriation 8-A, for the continuation of the employment of clerks during the balance of the present fiscal year; and the sum of $720 be set aside and appropriated out of "Urgent Necessity," Budget Item No. 25, to the credit of Assessor—Nonpersonal Services, Appropriation 8-B, for nonpersonal services, to enable continuation of survey and appraisement of properties in the City and County. (Request of Assessor, dated March 11, 1927.)

City Attorney to Dismiss Condemnation Suit, Elizabeth George, Plaintiff, vs. City and County.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 27069 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the City Attorney be and he is hereby authorized to dismiss that certain action pending in the Superior Court of the State of California, in and for the City and County of San Francisco, entitled "Elizabeth George, plaintiff, vs. City and County of San Francisco, defendant," and numbered 171045 in the records thereof, for the sum of twenty-five hundred ($2500) dollars.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Badaracco, Bath—2.

City Attorney to Dismiss Condemnation Suit, Joseph Montelatici et al. vs. City and County of San Francisco.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 27070 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the City Attorney be and he is hereby authorized to compromise and settle that certain action pending in the Superior Court of the State of California, in and for the City and County of San Francisco, entitled "Joseph Montelatici etc. vs. City and County of San Francisco etc.," and numbered 165554 in the records thereof, for the sum of seventeen hundred and fifty ($1750) dollars.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Badaracco, Bath—2.

Accepting Offer of Paul A. Caruso et al. to Sell Certain Property Required for the Opening of the Bernal Cut.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 27071 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the owners of the following described land, situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and sought to be acquired by the City and County of San Francisco for the opening of Bernal Cut, extending from Mission and Randall streets southwesterly along the Southern Pacific Railroad right of way to the intersection of San Jose avenue and Circular avenue, have offered to convey the property desired by said City and County for the sum set forth opposite their names, viz.:

Paul A. Caruso and Lena M. Caruso, $4,310—Lot 17 in Block 6725, as per the Assessor's Block Book of the City and County of San Francisco.

Whereas, the City Attorney has recommended the acceptance of the said offer and the acquisition of the property owned by said persons and offered to the City and County of San Francisco for the price set forth, which said price is in accordance with the City's appraisement of the property; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the said offer of sale be accepted and the City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to examine the title of said property, and if the same is found in satisfactory condition to accept in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, a deed conveying title thereto, and file the same for record with a copy of this resolution attached thereto as evidence of acceptance by the City and County of San Francisco, upon payment of the agreed purchase.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Badaracco, Bath—2.

Accepting Offer of Pietro Zanardi et al. to Sell Certain Property Required for the Widening of Eve Street.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 27072 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the owners of the following described land situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and sought to be acquired by the City and County of San Francisco for the widening of Eve street, have offered to convey the property desired by said City and County of San Francisco for the sum set forth opposite their names, viz.:

Pietro Zanardi and Rosa Zanardi, $1—Commencing at the point of intersection of the northerly line of Eve street with the westerly line of San Bruno avenue, and running thence northerly along the westerly line of San Bruno avenue 5 feet; thence at right angles westerly parallel with the northerly line of Eve street 66 feet; thence at right angles southerly 5 feet to the northerly line of Eve street; thence easterly along the northerly line of Eve street 66 feet to the point of commencement; being portion of Precita Valley Lands.

It is understood and agreed that the owners will not be assessed for the improvement of Eve street, said improvements to include sewer, curbs, pavement and sidewalk and said improvements are to be made at the time Eve street is widened.

Whereas, the City Attorney has recommended the acceptance of the said offer and the acquisition of the property owned by said persons and offered to the City and County of San Francisco for the price set forth, which said price is in accordance with the City's appraisement of the property; now, therefore be it

Resolved, That the said offer of sale be accepted and the City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to examine the title of said property, and if the same is found in satisfactory condition, to accept in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, a deed conveying title thereto, and file the same for record with a copy of this resolution attached thereto as evidence of acceptance by the City and County of San Francisco, upon payment of the agreed purchase price.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Badaracco, Bath—2.

Accepting Offer of Henry Harder et al. to Sell Certain Property Required for the Opening of the Bay Shore Boulevard.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 27073 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the owners of the following described land situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and sought to be acquired by the City and County of San Francisco for the opening of Bay Shore boulevard, have offered to convey the property desired by said City and County of San Francisco for the sums set forth opposite their names, viz.:

Henry Harder and Elsie Harder, $8,357.

Parcel 1. All of Lot No. 18, according to map entitled "Haley's Map. No. 1 of Precita Valley Lots Nos. 319 to 333, inclusive," filed in the office of the County Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, December 12, 1861, and recorded in Book 1 of Maps, page 90.

Parcel 2. All of Lot No. 17, according to map entitled "Haley's Map No. 1 of Precita Valley Lots Nos. 319 to 333, inclusive," filed in the office of the County Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, December 12, 1861, and recorded in Book 1 of Maps, page 90.

The above amount includes payment in full for moving machinery, electrical equipment, blower, stock, etc., and all the improvements located on the above-described parcels and all improvements located on Lots 78 and 79 of said Haley's Map No. 1, adjoining the hereinabove described parcels.

It is hereby understood and agreed that Henry Harder and Elsie Harder shall have ninety (90) days after the recordation of the deed conveying the above-described parcels to the City and County of San Francisco to move said machinery, equipment, stock, etc., and to vacate the said premises without any rental charge, and the City shall have sixty (60) days additional to remove the improvements located on Lots 78 and 79.

Pietro Zanardi and Rosa Zanardi, $9,829—Beginning at a point on the easterly line of San Bruno avenue, distant thereon 225 feet northerly from the southerly boundary of Lot No. 347 of Precita Valley Lands, and running thence northerly along the easterly line of San Bruno avenue 75 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 30.500 feet; thence deflecting 59 deg. to the right and running southeasterly 87.498 feet to a point distant 225 feet at right angles northerly from the southerly boundary of Lot 347, Precita Valley Lands; thence deflecting 121 deg. to the right and running westerly at right angles with San Bruno avenue 75.565 feet to the point of beginning.

Saving and excepting therefrom the following described parcel:

Beginning at a point which is distant 65 feet at right angles easterly from the easterly line of San Bruno avenue at a point thereon which is distant northerly 225 feet from the southerly boundary of Lot 347 of Precita Valley Lands as recorded in Map Book 1, page 85, Records of the City and County of San Francisco, said point also being on the southerly boundary line of the property of Pietro and Rosa Zanardi; thence easterly along said southerly line 10.565 feet; thence deflecting to the left 121 deg. and running northwesterly 12.93 feet; thence southwesterly and southerly along a curve to the left, tangent to a line deflected to the left 110 deg. 10 min. 44 sec. from the preceding course, radius 17.679 feet, central

angle 38 deg. 49 min. 16 sec., a distance of 11.979 feet to the southerly line of the property of Pietro and Rosa Zanardi and the point of beginning.

The above amount includes, in addition to the payment of the above-described parcel, payment in full for the improvements now wholly or partially located on the above-described parcel, it being understood and agreed that Pietro Zanardi and Rosa Zanardi are to be allowed ninety (90) days after the recordation of the deed conveying the above-described parcel to the City and County of San Francisco without rental charge to vacate the above-described property.

Whereas, the City Attorney has recommended the acceptance of the said offers and the acquisition of the properties owned by said persons and offered to the City and County of San Francisco for the prices set forth, which said prices are in accordance with the City's appraisement of the properties; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the said offers of sale be accepted, and the City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to examine the titles of said properties, and, if the same are found in satisfactory condition, to accept, in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, deeds conveying title thereto, and file the same for record with a copy of this resolution attached thereto as evidence of acceptance by the City and County of San Francisco, upon payment of the agreed purchase prices.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Badaracco, Bath—2.

Accepting Offer of Joseph Meagher et al. to Sell Certain Property Required for the Opening of the Bay Shore Boulevard.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 27074 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the owners of the following described land, situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and sought to be acquired by the City and County of San Francisco for the opening of Bay Shore boulevard, have offered to convey the property desired by said City and County of San Francisco for the sum set forth opposite their names, viz.:

Joseph Meagher and Daniel Meagher, $26,167—Beginning at a point on the easterly line of San Bruno avenue, distant thereon 72 feet northerly from the southerly boundary of Lot No. 347 of Precita Valley Lands, and running thence northerly along the easterly line of San Bruno avenue 153 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 65 feet; thence at a right angle southerly 153 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 65 feet to the point of commencement. Being portion of Precita Valley Lands, Lot No. 347.

The above amount includes payment in full for all improvements wholly or partially located on the above-described parcel and all improvements wholly or partially located on the adjoining parcel of the above owners. The above amount also includes payment in full for all relocating and moving of machinery and merchandise.

It is understood and agreed that at the time San Bruno avenue is widened that a sidewalk will be reconstructed on the westerly side of the adjoining parcel in place of the sidewalk now on San Bruno avenue, at the sole expense of the City and County of San Francisco.

It is also understood and agreed that Joseph Meagher and Daniel Meagher shall have ninety (90) days after the recordation of the deed conveying the above-described parcel to the City and County of San Francisco, without rental charge, in which to vacate the above-described property.

Whereas, the City Attorney has recommended the acceptance of the said offer and the acquisition of the property owned by said persons and offered to the City and County of San Francisco for the price set forth, which said price is in accordance with the City's appraisement of the property; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the said offer of sale be accepted, and the City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to examine the title of said property, and, if the same is found in satisfactory condition, to accept, in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, a deed conveying title thereto, and file the same for record with a copy of this resolution attached thereto as evidence of acceptance by the City and County of San Francisco, upon payment of the agreed purchase prices.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Badaracco, Bath—2.

Mayor and Clerk Authorized to Execute Agreement With Market Street Railway Company to Permit City to Maintain Water Pipe Line Beneath Company's Track Near Lomita Park for Municipal Airport.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 27075 (New Series), as follows:

Upon recommendation of the City Engineer, be it

Resolved, That the Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors are hereby authorized and directed to execute in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco duplicate counterparts of proposed agreement between Market Street Railway Company, a corporation, the party of the first part, and City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, the party of the second part, permitting the City the right to construct, maintain and operate a three-inch (3") water pipe line beneath the Market Street Railway Company's street car tracks near Lomita Park, in the County of San Mateo, State of California, to be used in connection with the Municipal Airport.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Badaracco, Bath—2.

Auditor Authorized to Transfer Funds, Fire Department Corporation Yard, for Salary Increases of Painters.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 27076 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That pursuant to Ordinance No. 7117 (New Series), and in accordance with subdivision "C" of Section 3 thereof, the Auditor is hereby authorized and requested to make transfers of requisite amounts to meet increased amounts set opposite the following budget items, effective from and after April 1, 1927, to-wit:

Fire Department—Corporation Yard.

Budget Item No. 713—One foreman carriage painter, at $9.50 per day, $2,739.

Budget Item No. 714—Two carriage painters, at $9 per day, $5,111.40.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Badaracco, Bath—2.

Awarding Day to Day Contract for Operation of Garbage Incinerator.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 27095 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That a day to day contract for the operation of the City's garbage incinerator, located at Eighth and De Haro streets, in the City and County of San Francisco, is hereby awarded to the City Garbage Removal Company, Inc., for a daily rental of $137; this award being made for the highest bid submitted on Monday, March 28, 1927, and that a bond in the sum of $10,000 be required of the said City Garbage Removal Company, Inc., for the faithful performance of this contract; and that all other bids submitted are hereby rejected; and

Further Resolved, That the City Attorney is hereby authorized and requested to prepare said contract in accordance with the provisions set forth in Proposal for Garbage Disposal, adopted by this Board, March 14, 1927.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Badaracco, Bath—2.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Amending Zoning Ordinance Placing Southeast Corner of Lombard and Lyon Streets in the Commercial District Instead of the Second Residential.

On motion of Supervisor Hayden:

Bill No. 7972, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings, and the locations of buildings designed for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Section 2 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of

said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place the southeast corner of Lombard street and Lyon street, for a distance of 41 feet 3⅞ inches on Lombard street and a distance of 59 feet 9⅛ inches on Lyon street, in the Commercial District instead of the Second Residential District.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect on date of its approval, and shall continue in effect for the period of ninety (90) days thereafter, at which time it shall cease to be in effect and the premises hereinabove described shall revert to and be in the Second Residential District.

Amending Zoning Ordinance Placing Southerly Side of Chestnut Street Between Pierce and Steiner Streets in the Commercial District Instead of the Second Residential.

Also, Bill No. 7973, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings, and the locations of buildings designed for specific uses and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Section 2 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place the southerly side of Chestnut street between Pierce street and Steiner street, and extending to the depth of the rear lot lines, in the Commercial District instead of the Second Residential District.

Amending Zoning Ordinance Placing Northeast Corner of Filbert Street and Grant Avenue in the Commercial Instead of the Second Residential District.

Also, Bill No. 7974, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings, and the locations of buildings designed for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Section 1 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place the northeast corner of Filbert street and Grant avenue, for a distance of 137½ feet on Filbert street and a distance of 137½ feet on Grant avenue, in the Commercial District instead of the Second Residential District.

Action Deferred.

The following bill was, on motion, *laid over one week*:

Amending Zoning Ordinance Placing Southeasterly Side of Ingalls Street Between Donner and Egbert Avenues in the Light Industrial District Instead of the First Residential.

Bill No. ——, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings, and the locations of buildings designed for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Section 11 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place the southeasterly side of Ingalls street between Donner avenue and Egbert avenue, for a distance of 225 feet on Donner avenue and 300 feet on Egbert avenue, in the Light Industrial District instead of the First Residential District.

Limiting the Heights of Buildings in Certain Districts.

Supervisor Hayden presented the following bill, which, on his motion, was *laid over for hearing at a special meeting to be held Tuesday evening, April 19, at 8 p. m.*

Bill No. ——, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Limiting the height of buildings hereafter to be erected in a certain district in the City and County of San Francisco, and establishing the boundaries of said district, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. No building, except

as hereinafter provided, shall be erected in the City and County of San Francisco to a height greater than forty (40) feet, within the territory bounded by San Francisco Bay on the north, by Fillmore street on the east, by Jackson street on the south and by Lyon street on the west, excepting therefrom the westerly line of Fillmore street from the southerly line of Broadway to the northerly line of Jackson street, and the northerly line of Jackson street from the westerly line of Fillmore street to the easterly line of Steiner street, to the depth of the rear lot lines of the lots fronting on said streets as shown on the Assessor's maps for the year 1927.

Section 2. No addition to or alteration or improvement of any building within the above-described district shall be made which shall increase the height of any building as limited by this ordinance.

Section 3. No limitation of the height of buildings in the City and County of San Francisco, as provided by this ordinance, shall apply to public buildings, churches, schools, steeples, towers, domes, cupolas, belfries (not used for human occupancy), nor to chimneys, gas holders, skylights, ventilators, pent houses, water tanks, flag staffs, railings, weather vanes, nor to other similar structures such as are usually erected or maintained above the roof line of buildings.

Section 4. The method of determining the height of buildings, for the purpose of this ordinance, shall be the same as provided in Ordinance No. 1008 (New Series), and the provisions of Section 78 shall apply within said territory.

Section 5. No building permit shall be issued by the Board of Public Works for the erection or alteration of any building or structure contrary to the provisions of this ordinance, and any permit so issued shall be void.

Section 6. Any person, firm or corporation violating any provisions of this ordinance shall be guilty of a misdemeanor, and upon conviction thereof shall be punishable by a fine not exceeding $500 or by imprisonment for a term of not exceeding six months, or by both such fine and imprisonment. Such persons, firm or corporation shall be deemed guilty of a separate offense for each and every day during any portion of which any violation of this ordinance is committed, continued or permitted by such person, firm or corporation, as herein provided.

Section 7. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Amending Zoning Ordinance Placing Easterly Side of Masonic Avenue, South of Geary Street, in the Second Residential Instead of the First Residential District.

On motion of Supervisor Hayden:

Bill No. 7976, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings, and the location of buildings designed for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Section 2 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place the easterly side of Masonic avenue, commencing at a point 460 feet southerly from Geary street and running thence southerly 120 feet, and extending to a depth of 130 feet, in the Second Residential District instead of the First Residential District as now zoned.

Oil and Boiler Permits.

On motion of Supervisor Deasy:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits be and are hereby granted:

Oil Tanks.

O. E. Anderson, east side of Pierce street, 25 feet north of Capra way, 1500 gallons capacity.

A. Atthowe, 3629 Jackson street, 1500 gallons capacity.

C. G. Bockus, north side of Clement street, 120 feet west of Twenty-second avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

City and County of San Francisco, west side of Girard street between Bacon and Burrows streets, 3000 gallons capacity.

J. Fracchia, north side of Chestnut street, 193 feet west of Divisadero street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Wm. P. Goss, east side of Santa Paula avenue, 40 feet south of Yerba Buena avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

A. J. Herzig, southeast corner of De Sota and Urbano drive, 750 gallons capacity.

Charles J. Keenan, north side of California street, 60 feet east of Stockton street, 1500 gallons capacity.

A. Krouse, north side of Filbert street, 120 feet west of Polk street, 1500 gallons capacity.

E. V. Lacey, south side of Fulton street, 126 feet west of Franklin street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Wm. McDonald, southeast corner of Thirty-fourth avenue and Anza street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Simon & Franz, south side of Alhambra street, 135 feet east of Pierce street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Simon & Franz, south side of Alhambra street, 97 feet east of Pierce street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Stein & Kreig, west side of Santa Ana way, 150 feet north of Ocean avenue, 600 gallons capacity.

R. J. Stempel, 2050 Ninth avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

R. J. Stempel, northeast corner of Francisco and Divisadero streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

Wm. Van Herrick, south side of Fell street, 225 feet west of Franklin street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Vincent & Fassio, north side of Turk street, 130 feet east of Leavenworth street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Boiler.

James Meagher's Sons, north side of Napoleon street, 198 feet east of Jerrold avenue, 100-horsepower.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits shall become null and void.

Garage Permit.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series) as follows:

Resolved, That Bell Bros. be and are hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to operate and maintain a public garage on the north side of Eddy street, 137 feet 6 inches east of Hyde street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Action Deferred.

The following matter was *continued two weeks*:

Automobile Parking Station Permit Denied.

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, in the exercise of the sound and reasonable discretion of the Board of Supervisors, permission is hereby denied H. J. Mallen to operate and maintain an automobile parking station on the west side of Taylor street, 68 feet 9 inches south of Eddy street.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Amending Ordinance Providing for Storage of Benzine, Gasoline, Etc., by Increasing Tank Capacity Permitted.

On motion of Supervisor Deasy:

Bill No. 7978, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 745 (New Series), entitled "Regulating the storage and use of benzine, gasoline, or any product of petroleum, or any hydrocarbon liquid, which will flash or emit an inflammable vapor below the temperature of one hundred and ten (110) degrees Fahrenheit; stored or used for dye works, clothes-cleaning establishments, cleansing or renovating any article of wearing apparel, or fabric of any kind."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Section 5 of Ordinance No. 745 (New Series) is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 5. Not more than one (1) approved five (5) gallon can of benzine or gasoline shall be kept or stored for use above ground in any clothes-cleaning establishment; nor more than one (1) quart of benzine or gasoline shall be kept inside of the building.

All quantities of benzine or gasoline in excess of five (5) gallons shall be stored outside the walls of all buildings, under the sidewalks, in tanks constructed of not less than No. 12 gauge galvanized steel, rivited, steel to steel joints, soldered and coated with tar or other rust-resisting material, or in iron tanks of not less than three-sixteenths (3/16) of an inch in thickness, rivited and caulked, and coated with tar or other rust-resisting material.

Not more than four (4) tanks of benzine or gasoline containing not more than one thousand (1000) gallons each, making four thousand (4000) gallons of benzine or gasoline in the aggregate, shall be allowed to be stored in any one clothes-cleaning establishment or dye works; provided, however, that the quantity of benzine or gasoline to be stored in such establishment shall be left to the discretion of the Fire Marshal, and said officer shall exercise reasonable and sound judgment, taking into consideration the capacity of the plant and the number and size of the washers that are to be used, in determining the amount of benzine or gasoline that shall be stored. In no event shall

the quantity exceed the maximum of four thousand (4000) gallons.

Section 2. All ordinances in so far as they conflict with the provisions of this ordinance are hereby repealed.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Stable Permit.

On motion of Supervisor Byington:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Sunset Scavengers Company be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to construct, operate and maintain a stable to accommodate 130 horses at premises situate on the southeast corner of York and Mariposa streets.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Name of Relief Home Tract Changed to "Laguna Honda Home."

Also, Bill No. 7979, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Changing the name of the public institution on the "Relief Home Tract" from "Home for Aged and Infirm of the City and County" to the "Laguna Honda Home."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The name of that public institution located on the "Relief Home Tract" and known as the "Home for the Aged and Infirm of the City and County" as named by Ordinance No. 696 (New Series), is hereby changed to and shall hereafter be known as the "Laguna Honda Home."

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Install Street Lights.

Supervisor Stanton presented:

Resolution No. 27077 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Pacific Gas and Electric Company be and it is hereby authorized and requested to remove and install the following street lights:

Remove 400-*Watt Lamps.*

Northeast corner Columbus avenue and Washington street.

East side Columbus avenue, north of Montgomery street.

West side Columbus avenue between Washington and Jackson streets.

East side Columbus avenue, south of Jackson street.

West side Columbus avenue, south of Jackson street.

East side Columbus avenue between Jackson and Pacific streets.

West side Columbus avenue between Jackson and Pacific streets.

West side Columbus avenue, north of Kearny street.

East side Columbus avenue, north of Kearny street.

West side Columbus avenue, south of Pacific street.

East side Columbus avenue between Pacific street and Broadway.

East side Columbus avenue, north of Green street.

West side Columbus avenue between Pacific street and Broadway.

East side Columbus avenue, north of Broadway.

East side Columbus avenue, north of Grant avenue.

West side Columbus avenue, 50 feet north of Broadway.

Remove 600 *M. R.*

West side Columbus avenue between Grant avenue and Vallejo street.

East side Columbus avenue, north of Vallejo street.

West side Columbus avenue between Vallejo and Green streets.

East side Columbus avenue, 100 feet south of Green street.

West side Columbus avenue, north of Green street.

East side Columbus avenue between Green and Union streets.

West side Columbus avenue, 100 feet south of Union street.

East side Columbus avenue, north of Union street.

West side Columbus avenue, north of Powell street.

East side Columbus avenue, south of Filbert street.

Washington Square, 75 feet east of Columbus avenue.

Remove Single-Top Gas Lamps.

South side Harrison street, first, second and third west of Sixth street.

North side Harrison street, first, second and third west of Sixth street.

Northwest and southeast corners Harrison and Sixth streets.

South side Harrison street, first, second and third west of Seventh street.

North side Harrison street, first, second and third west of Seventh street.

Northwest and southeast corners Harrison and Eighth streets.

South side Harrison street, first and second west of Eighth street.

North side Harrison street, first west of Eighth street.

Northwest corner Harrison and Gordon streets.

Northeast and southwest corners Harrison and Tenth streets.

Install 400 *M. R.*

Harrison street, west of Sixth street.

Harrison street, east of Seventh street.

Harrison street between Sixth and Seventh streets.

Harrison and Seventh streets.

Harrison street, west of Seventh street.

Harrison street between Seventh and Eighth streets.

Harrison street, east of Eighth street.

Harrison and Eighth streets.

Harrison street, west of Eighth street.

Harrison street, east of Ninth street.

Harrison and Tenth streets.

Install 600 *C. P. Ornamental Brackets.*

Northeast corner Columbus avenue and Washington street.

West side Columbus avenue, north of Washington street.

East side Columbus avenue between Washington and Jackson streets.

West side Columbus avenue between Washington and Jackson streets.

East side Columbus avenue, south of Jackson street.

West side Columbus avenue, north of Jackson street.

East side Columbus avenue between Jackson and Pacific streets.

East side Columbus avenue, south of Kearny street.

West side Columbus avenue, south of Pacific street.

East side Columbus avenue between Pacific street and Broadway.

West side Columbus avenue between Pacific street and Broadway.

East side Columbus avenue, south of Broadway.

East side Columbus avenue, north of Broadway.

South side Columbus avenue, south of Grant avenue.

West side Columbus avenue, north of Grant avenue.

West side Columbus avenue between Grant avenue and Vallejo street.

East side Columbus avenue south of Vallejo street.

West side Columbus avenue, north of Vallejo street.

East side Columbus avenue between Vallejo and Green streets.

West side Columbus avenue between Vallejo and Green streets.

East side Columbus avenue, south of Green street.

East side Columbus avenue, north of Green street.

West side Columbus avenue, north of Green street.

East side Columbus avenue between Green and Union streets.

West side Columbus avenue between Green and Union streets.

East side Columbus avenue, south of Union street.

West side Columbus avenue, north of Union street.

East side Columbus avenue between Union and Filbert streets.

East side Columbus avenue, south of Powell street.

West side Columbus avenue, north of Powell street.

East side Columbus avenue, north of Filbert street.

West side Columbus avenue, north of Filbert street.

East side Columbus avenue between Filbert and Greenwich streets.

West side Columbus avenue between Filbert and Greenwich streets.

East side Columbus avenue, south of Greenwich street.

North side Vallejo street between Columbus and Grant avenues (front of church).

Remove Single-Top Gas Lamps.

East side Forty-eighth avenue, first and second south of Lincoln way.

West side Forty-eighth avenue, first south of Lincoln way.

Southeast and northwest corners Forty-eighth avenue and Irving street.

East side Forty-eighth avenue, first and second south of Irving street.

West side Forty-eighth avenue, first and second south of Irving street.

East side Forty-eighth avenue, first and second south of Judah street.

West side Forty-eighth avenue, first and second south of Judah street.

East side Forty-eighth avenue, first south of Kirkham street.

West side Forty-eighth avenue, first south of Kirkham street.

Northeast corner Forty-eighth avenue and Lawton street.

East side Forty-eighth avenue, first south of Lawton street.

West side Forty-eighth avenue, first and second south of Lawton street.

Install 400 *M. R.*

Sixteenth avenue and Noriega street.

Sixteenth avenue between Noriega and Ortega streets.

Twenty-eighth avenue between Kirkham and Lawton streets.

Concord and Cross streets.

Casa way, 110 feet east of Marina boulevard.

Sixteenth and Sharon streets.
Forty-second avenue between Balboa and Anza streets.
Rex avenue and Juanita way.
North side Juanita way, 300 feet west of Rex avenue.
North side Juanita way, 285 feet east of Marne avenue.
Marne avenue, 270 feet south of Portola drive.
West side Marne avenue, 100 feet south of Portola drive.
Landsdale street and Juanita way.
Juanita way, 100 feet east of Landsdale street.
Faxon avenue between De Montford and Ocean avenues.
East side Forty-eighth avenue between Lincoln way and Irving street.
Southwest corner Forty-eighth avenue and Irving street.
East side Forty-eighth avenue between Irving and Judah streets.
East side Forty-eighth avenue between Judah and Kirkham streets.
East side Forty-eighth avenue between Kirkham and Lawton streets.
Southwest corner Forty-eighth avenue and Lawton street.
East side Forty-eighth avenue between Lawton and Moraga streets.

Install 600 *M. R.*

North side Arthur avenue, second pole east of Third street.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Badaracco, Bath—2.

Also, Resolution No. 27078 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Pacific Gas and Electric Company be and it is hereby authorized and requested to install and remove the following street lights:

Install 400 *M. R.*

North side Page street between Gough and Octavia streets.
Northwest corner Page and Octavia streets.
South side Page street between Broderick and Webster streets.
Northwest corner Page and Webster streets.
South side Page street between Webster and Fillmore streets.
North side Page street, east of Steiner street.
Southwest corner Page and Steiner streets.
North side Page street between Steiner and Pierce streets.
Southwest corner Page and Pierce streets.
North side Page street between Pierce and Scott streets.
North side Page street between Scott and Divisadero streets.
Front of 701 Darien way.
South side Grove street between Fillmore and Steiner streets.
West side Steiner street, opposite Grove street.
Twenty-first avenue between Santiago and Rivera streets.
Twenty-first avenue between Lawton and Moraga streets.
Northeast corner McAllister and Stanyan streets.
Rex avenue and Juanita way.
North side Juanita way, 300 feet west of Rex avenue.
North side Juanita way, 265 feet east of Marne avenue.
Marne avenue, 270 feet south of Portola drive.
West side Marne avenue, 100 feet south of Portola drive.
Landsdale street and Juanita way.
Juanita way, 100 feet east of Landsdale.

Remove Single-Top Gas Lamps.

North side Page street between Gough and Octavia streets.
South side Page street, first and second west of Gough street.
Southwest corner Page and Broderick streets.
South side Page street between Broderick and Webster streets.
North side Page street, first and second west of Broderick street.
Southeast corner Page and Webster streets.
Northwest corner Page and Webster streets.
Southeast and northwest corners Page and Steiner streets.
North side Page street between Steiner and Pierce streets.
South side Page street, first and second west of Steiner street.
Northeast and southwest corners Page and Pierce streets.
South side Page street between Pierce and Scott streets.
North side Page street, first and second west of Pierce street.
South side Grove street, 106 feet west of Fillmore street.
North side Grove street, 106 feet east of Steiner street.
Southeast corner Grove and Steiner streets.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Badaracco, Bath—2.

Passed for Printing.

The following bill was *passed for printing*:

Underground District, Sacramento and Pacific Streets.

On motion of Supervisor Stanton:

Bill No. 7977, Ordinance No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Order No. 214 (Second Series), entitled "Providing for placing electric wires and conduits underground in the City and County of San Francisco," by adding a new section thereto, to be known as Section 1p.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1p. An additional district to those heretofore described, within which it shall be unlawful to maintain poles and overhead wires after February 1, 1928, is hereby designated, to-wit:

Underground District No. 21.—Sacramento street, from the west line of Mason street to the east line of Taylor street (412 feet 6 inches); Pacific street, from the west line of The Embarcadero to the east line of Battery street (1095 feet).

Action Deferred.

The following resolution was, on motion, *laid over two weeks*:

Resolution Transferring Lands to School Department.

Supervisor Powers presented:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the City and County of San Francisco is the owner of that certain lot of land bounded as follows, to-wit: On the north by Clement street, on the east by Twenty-ninth avenue, on the south by Geary street, and on the west by Thirtieth avenue, and known as Outside Land Block No. 210; and

Whereas, said lot of land is vacant and unused, and is at the present time needed by the School Department for the purpose of being used for the erection and construction of school buildings thereon; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the above-described real property be and the same is hereby transferred to the School Department, and that from and after the passage and approval of this resolution said real property be under the jurisdiction of the Board of Education, to be dealt with for school purposes.

Accepting Deed of Crocker Estate Company for Open Public Streets.

Supervisor Marks presented:

Resolution No. 27079 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That that certain deed executed on the 29th day of March, 1927, between Crocker Estate Company (a corporation), and the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, conveying certain pieces or parcels of land to the City and County of San Francisco is hereby accepted in the name of the City and County of San Francisco.

Further Resolved, That the lands covered by said deed are hereby declared open public streets.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Badaracco, Bath—2.

Accepting Bond to Cover Taxes, Southern Hillsides Unit No. 2.

Supervisor Marks presented:

Resolution No. 27080 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the bond filed with this Board by Crocker Estate Company, a corporation, as principal, and C. E. Green and E. E. Simpson, as sureties, dated 25th day of March, 1927, in the sum of fifteen hundred dollars ($1500), which sum is fixed by this Board and conditioned for the payment of all taxes which are now a lien and not yet payable against the tract or subdivision of land shown on map of Southern Hillsides Unit No. 2, is hereby approved and the Clerk of this Board is hereby directed to endorse a certificate on said map that a bond has been filed with this Board.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Badaracco, Bath—2.

Approving Map of Southern Hillsides Unit No. 2.

Supervisor Marks presented:

Resolution No. 27081 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the Board of Public Works did, by Resolution No. 96624 (Second Series), approve a map of Southern Hillsides Unit No. 2; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the map of Southern Hillsides Unit No. 2 is hereby approved.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks,

McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Badaracco, Bath—2.

Action Deferred.

The following resolution was *laid over one week*:

Approval of Highway Construction, Carmel-San Simeon Section.

Supervisor Marks presented:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the people of the State of California, at the general election of 1919, did approve a measure outlining a system of State highways to be completed in the State, and did vote a bond issue of forty million dollars for the same; and

Whereas, of the system of roads planned under this bond issue there still remain several important links which have not been completed in what is generally known as the Pacific Shore Line Route, which highway runs from San Francisco over the Skyline boulevard to Los Angeles, and, when completed, will be one of the finest and most important highways in the State, and will serve to relieve the present and ever-increasing congestion on the two great interior valley trunk lines, and especially over the Cuesta grade; and

Whereas, finances are practically assured for the future construction as well as renewal and maintenance, it is deemed just and fair that the central counties should have allocated to this great project a portion of the funds to keep up continuous construction and thus open to the public at the earliest possible date this all-important section of the State highways; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That this Board does hereby approve and urges early completion of this route and that special consideration be given to the completion of the thirty-eight-mile gap lying in the center of the Carmel-San Simeon section.

Changing Name of North Stanyan Street to Stanyan Street.

Supervisor Marks presented:

Resolution No. 27082 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the Board of Public Works, by Resolution No. 96451 (Second Series), adopted March 18, 1927, recommended to the Board of Supervisors that the name of North Stanyan street be changed to Stanyan street; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the name of North Stanyan street be and is hereby changed to Stanyan street.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Badaracco, Bath—2.

Passed for Printing.

The following bill was *passed for printing*:

Spur Track Permit, United States Government.

On motion of Supervisor Marks:

Bill No. 7980, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Granting to the United States the right to construct, maintain and operate, and to maintain and operate, where already constructed, in perpetuity a spur track railroad, extending from Fort Mason Military Reservation to the Presidio Reservation, in the City and County of San Francisco, over and along certain public streets in said City and County.

Whereas, by charter amendment, duly ratified and adopted at the general election held on the 2d day of November, 1926, and approved by the Legislature of the State of California on the 10th day of January, 1927, a new section, known as Section 8, Article I, was added to the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, under the terms of which the City and County of San Francisco was authorized to accept and receive from the Government of the United States a tract of land located on the westerly line of Lyon street and containing 9.93 acres, more or less, being the site of what is known as the Palace of Fine Arts, in the City and County of San Francisco; and in consideration therefor the City and County of San Francisco was authorized to grant to the Government of the United States the right to operate and maintain in perpetuity a spur track railroad from the easterly line of Laguna street at its intersection with Beach street, and extending in a general westerly direction and following along and over certain designated streets to the westerly line of Lyon street; and

Whereas, such charter amendment, in designating the streets along which said spur track railroad was to be constructed and maintained and operated, where already constructed, included a distance of one block on Beach street from Buchanan street to Webster street, and a distance of one block on Webster street from Beach street to Tonquin street; and

Whereas, said one block of Beach street and said one block of Webster street, above referred to, have been duly closed as open public

streets, and no longer exist as such, and in lieu thereof, and for the purpose of creating a through street connecting the intersection of Buchanan street and Beach street and the intersection of Webster street and Tonquin street, a new street, to be known as Marin boulevard, has been laid out, paved and opened as a public street, which said new street extends in a straight line from the intersection of Buchanan street and Webster street in a northwesterly direction to the intersection of Webster street and Tonquin street;

Therefore, for the purpose of furnishing to the Government of the United States the consideration specified in the Act of Congress authorizing the conveyance to the City and County of San Francisco of the said site of the Palace of Fine Arts, and to authorize the granting to the United States Government of a continuous right of way for such spur track railroad from the intersection of Laguna street and Beach street to the westerly line of Lyon street the following ordinance is enacted:

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Pursuant to the provisions of Section 8 of Article I of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, added to said Charter as an amendment, duly ratified and adopted at the general election held on the 2d day of November, 1926, and approved by the Legislature of the State of California on the 10th day of January, 1927, the City and County of San Francisco does hereby grant to the United States the right to construct, maintain and operate, and to maintain and operate, where already constructed, in perpetuity a spur track railroad, extending from Fort Mason Military Reservation to the Presidio Reservation, in said City and County, over and along the following streets and property in said City and County of San Francisco, to-wit:

A strip of land 12 feet 6 inches in width, lying 6 feet 3 inches on each side of a center line described as follows:

Beginning at a point on the easterly line of Laguna street (which is also the westerly line of the Fort Mason Military Reservation), said point being distant northerly along said easterly line of Laguna street 35.725 feet from the easterly prolongation of the southerly line of Beach street, running thence westerly along the arc of a 5-degree curve to the right, radius 1146.28 feet and central angle 2 deg. 46 min. 36 sec., a distance of 55.552 feet, the tangent to said curve at the point of beginning being deflected southwesterly 87 deg. 13 min. 24 sec. from said easterly line of Laguna street; running thence westerly parallel with said southerly line of Beach street and along the tangent to the above described curve, 125.560 feet; thence westerly along a 20-degree curve to the right, tangent to the last described course, of radius 287.939 feet and central angle 5 deg., a distance of 25.127 feet; thence northwesterly along the tangent to the last described curve 179.140 feet; thence northwesterly along a 20-degree curve to the right, radius 287.939 feet and central angle 42 deg. 45 min., a distance of 214.839 feet; thence northwesterly along the tangent to the last described curve 695.89 feet to a point distant southerly at right angles 12.588 feet from the easterly prolongation of the southerly line of Tonquin street; thence northwesterly along a 20-degree curve to the left, radius 287.939 feet, central angle 47 deg. 45 min., a distance of 239.967 feet to a point distant northerly at right angles 81.75 feet from said prolongation of the southerly line of Tonquin street, said last two courses and a portion of the preceding course being along and over a new street laid out and opened as a public street to be known as Marina boulevard; running thence westerly parallel with said prolongation and said southerly line of Tonquin street, and along the tangent to the last described curve 3419.925 feet to a point distant easterly along said tangent 46.57 feet from the westerly line of Lyon street (which is also the easterly line of the Presidio of the San Francisco Military Reservation); thence on the arc of a 30-degree curve to the left, radius 191.111 feet southwesterly to the intersection of said curve with said westerly line of Lyon street.

The above described right of way being an exact description of the right of way over and on which the Government of the United States now maintains and operates its spur track from the easterly line of Laguna street in a westerly direction to the westerly line of Lyon street.

Section 2. The right hereby granted shall include the right to construct, maintain and operate a switch into the United States Army Supply Depot at Fort Mason from a point on Beach street approximately seventy (70) feet west of the west line of Laguna street.

Section 3. This ordinance shall become effective upon the conveyance by the Secretary of War of the United States to the City and County of San Francisco of the herein-

after described real property, in accordance with the provisions of an Act of Congress entitled "An Act authorizing the Secretary of War to convey certain portions of the Military Reservation of the Presidio of San Francisco to the City and County of San Francisco for educational, art, exposition and park purposes," approved March 3, 1925. The real property to be conveyed by said Secretary of War to the City and County of San Francisco is that portion of the Presidio Reservation in said City and County described as follows, to-wti:

Commencing at a point on the westerly line of Lyon street, distant thereon five and seventeen one-hundredths feet southerly from the northerly line of Bay street if extended and produced westerly, and running thence northerly along the westerly line of Lyon street one thousand one hundred and ninety-six and eighty one-hundredths feet; thence southwesterly on a curve to the left of six hundred and twelve feet radius, central angle 155 deg. 47 min. 50 sec., tangent to a line deflected 102 deg. 6 min. 5 sec. to the left from the preceding course, a distance of one thousand six hundred and sixty-four and thirteen one-hundredths feet to the westerly line of Lyon street and the point of commencement; containing nine and ninety-three one-hundredths acres, more or less.

Ordinance No. 7425, approved February 21, 1927, is hereby repealed.

Intention to Change Grades.

Supervisor Marks presented:

Resolution No. 27083 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to change and establish grades on the following named streets, at the points hereinafter specified and at the elevations above city base, as hereinafter stated, in accordance with Resolution No. 96519 (Second Series) of the Board of Public Works, adopted March 23, 1927, and written recommendation of said Board filed March 24, 1927, to-wit:

On Bauer street between Cayuga avenue and a line parallel with the southeasterly line of Alemany avenue and 100 feet northwesterly therefrom.

The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that no assessment district is necessary, as no damage will result from said change of grades, inasmuch as the streets are ungraded and there are no existing street improvements.

The Board of Public Works is hereby directed to cause to be conspicuously posted along the street or streets upon which such change or modification of grade or grades is contemplated, notice of the passage of this resolution of intention.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Badaracco, Bath—2.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Fixing Sidewalk Widths, Laura Street.

On motion of Supervisor Marks:

Bill No. 7981, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18th, 1903, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered nine hundred and fifty-seven.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18th, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Board of Public Works, filed in this office March 29, 1927, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered nine hundred and fifty-seven, to read as follows:

Section 957. The width of sidewalks on Laura street between Huron avenue and a line 408 feet westerly therefrom shall be seven (7) feet.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect and be in force from and after its passage.

Establishing Grades.

Also, Bill No. 7982, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Establishing grades on Laura street between Huron avenue and a line parallel with and 408 feet northwesterly therefrom.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The grades on Laura street between Huron avenue and a line parallel with and 408 feet northwesterly therefrom are hereby established at points hereinafter named and at heights above city base as hereinafter stated, in accordance with recommendation of

the Board of Public Works filed March 28, 1927.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Also, Bill No. 7983, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Establishing grades on Prentiss street between Crescent avenue and a line parallel with and 106.50 feet southerly therefrom; on Banks street between Crescent avenue and a line parallel with and 269.19 feet southerly therefrom, and on Folsom street between Crescent avenue and a line parallel with and 431.43 feet southerly therefrom.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The grades on Prentiss street between Crescent avenue and a line parallel with and 106.50 feet southerly therefrom; on Banks street between Crescent avenue and a line parallel with and 269.19 feet southerly therefrom, and on Folsom street between Crescent avenue and a line parallel with and 431.43 feet southerly therefrom are hereby established at points hereinafter named and at heights above city base hereinafter stated, in accordance with recommendation of the Board of Public Works filed March 23, 1927.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Fixing Sidewalk Widths.

Also, Bill No. 7984, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks, approved December 18, 1903, by amending sections four hundred and fifty-one and five hundred and sixty-five thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Board of Public Works, filed in this office March 23, 1927, by amending sections four hundred and fifty-one and five hundred and sixty-five thereof, to read as follows:

Section 451. The width of sidewalks on Quesada avenue between Islais Creek channel and Selby street shall be fifteen (15) feet.

The width of sidewalks on Quesada avenue between Selby street and Quint street shall be twenty-two (22) feet and six (6) inches.

The width of sidewalks on Quesada avenue between Quint street and Newhall street shall be fifteen (15) feet.

The width of sidewalks on Quesada avenue between Newhall street and Third street shall be eight (8) feet.

The width of sidewalks on Quesada avenue between Third street and Water Front street shall be fifteen (15) feet.

Section 565. The width of sidewalks on Revere avenue between Islais Creek channel and Selby street shall be fifteen (15) feet.

The width of sidewalks on Revere avenue between Selby street and Silver avenue shall be twenty-two (22) feet and six (6) inches.

The width of sidewalks on Revere avenue between Silver avenue and Newhall street shall be fifteen (15) feet.

The width of sidewalks on Revere avenue between Newhall street and Third street shall be as shown on that certain map entitled "Map of Revere avenue between Newhall street and Third street," showing the location of street and curb lines and the width of sidewalks.

The width of sidewalks on Revere avenue between Third street and Water Front street shall be fifteen (15) feet.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect and be in force from and after its passage.

Extension of Time.

Supervisor Marks presented:

Resolution No. 27084 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That T. M. Gallagher be and is hereby granted an extension of ninety (90) days' time from and after April 6, 1927, within which to complete the improvement of Elizabeth street between Hoffman avenue and Burnham street. This extension is granted for the reason that contractor has been delayed by inclement weather.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Badaracco, Bath—2.

Accepting Deeds, Lundy's Lane Extension.

Supervisor Marks presented:

Resolution No. 27085 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That that certain deed executed on the 17th day of July, 1926, between Frederick H. Hoops a single man, and the City and

County of San Francisco, conveying land for the extension of Lundy's lane hereinafter described, is hereby accepted, viz.:

Commencing at a point on a line which is perpendicularly distant 140 feet southeasterly from the southeasterly line of Coleridge street at a point thereon distant 158 feet southwesterly from the southwesterly line of Coso avenue; running thence southeasterly and parallel with said line of Coso avenue 35 feet; thence at a right angle southwesterly 28 feet; thence at a right angle northwesterly 35 feet; thence at a right angle northeasterly 28 feet to the point of commencement. Being part of Lot No. 45, Bernal Heights.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Badaracco, Bath—2.

Also, Resolution No. 27086 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That that certain deed executed on the 17th day of July, 1926, between George Hartmann and Lottie Hartmann, his wife, and the City and County of San Francisco, conveying land for the extension of Lundy's lane, hereinafter described, is hereby accepted, iz.:

Commencing at a point on a line which is perpendicularly distant one hundred forty feet southeasterly from the southeasterly line of Coleride street to a point distant thereon one hundred thirty (130) feet southwesterly from the southwesterly line of Coso avenue, running thence southeasterly and parallel with said line of Coso avenue thirty-five (35) feet; thence at a right angle southwesterly twenty-eight (28) feet; thence at a right angle northwesterly thirty-five (35) feet; thence at a right angle northeasterly twenty-eight (28) feet to the point of commencement. Being part of Lot No. 45, Bernal Heights.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Badaracco, Bath—2.

Passed for Printing.

The following bill was *passed for printing*:

Plans, Estimates of Cost, Bernal Cut Bond Issue.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy:

Bill No. 7985, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Reciting that plans and estimates of cost of the original construction and completion of a permanent improvement, to-wit, a cut or excavation with approach fills, providing an improved route for public highway purposes in the City and County of San Francisco, known as the Bernal Cut, which improvement begins at or near the intersection of Randall and Dolores streets and extending southerly to a point at or near the intersection of San Jose avenue and Diamond street, in the City and County of San Francisco, including approaches, structures, pavements, curbs, sidewalks, sewers, drainage facilities, the readjustment of intersecting and adjacent streets and other improvements and the purchase of necessary lands have been filed with the Board of Supervisors by the Board of Public Works; and declaring that the estimated cost thereof cannot be paid from the annual revenue or other funds derived from taxes levied for that purpose, but that all of said estimated cost will require the incurring of a bonded debt. This ordinance is the second of a series of ordinances to be adopted by the Board of Supervisors relating to the construction of the permanent improvement herein described.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby recited that the Board of Public Works, on the 25th day of March, 1927, placed on file with the Board of Supervisors plans and estimates of the cost of the original construction and completion by the City and County of San Francisco of the following described permanent improvement, to-wit: A cut or excavation with approach fills, providing an improved route for public highway purposes in the City and County of San Francisco, known as the Bernal Cut, which improvement begins at or near the intersection of Randall and Dolores streets and extending southerly to a point at or near the intersection of San Jose avenue and Diamond street, including approaches, structures, pavements, curbs, sidewalks, sewers, drainage facilities, the readjustment of intersecting and adjacent streets,

and other improvements, and the purchase of the necessary lands. That the total estimated cost of such permanent improvement is the sum of one million four hundred thousand ($1,400,000) dollars; that said plans and estimates contain sufficient information to enable the Board of Supervisors to take further proceedings to cause the construction of the permanent improvement and to make the declarations herein contained.

Section 2. It is hereby determined and declared that of the said sum of one million four hundred thousand ($1,400,000) dollars no part can be paid out of the annual revenue of the City and County in addition to the other necessary expenses thereof or other funds derived from taxes levied for that purpose and will require the incurring of a bonded debt to the amount of one million four hundred thousand ($1,400,000) dollars for the purposes herein recited.

Section 3. This ordinance is the second of a series of ordinances to be adopted by the Board of Supervisors relating to and designed to secure the construction and completion of the permanent improvement herein described.

Section 4. This ordinance shall be published in the official newspaper as required by law and shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath—2.

Intention to Extend Tehama Street.

Supervisor Marks presented:

Resolution No. 27087 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco to order the extension of the following named street, to-wit:

Tehama street easterly from a point 275 feet east of Third street to the west line of Hawhorne street.

The lands and property deemed necessary to be taken for said extending of Tehama street are particularly described as follows, to-wit:

Beginning at a point on the southwesterly line of Hawthorne street, distant southeasterly thereon 160 feet from the southeasterly line of Howard street, said point being on the northeasterly prolongation of the northwesterly line of Tehama street, and running southeasterly along said southwesterly line of Hawthorne street 35 feet to a point in the northeasterly prolongation of the southeasterly line of Tehama street; thence southwesterly at right angles along said prolongation of said southeasterly line 112 feet 6 inches to the northeasterly line of Tehama street; thence northwesterly at right angles along said northeasterly line 35 feet to the northwesterly line of Tehama street; thence northeasterly at right angles and along said prolongation of said northwesterly line 112 feet 6 inches to said southwesterly line of Hawthorne street and the point of beginning. Being a portion of One Hundred Vara Block No. 356.

And said Board of Supervisors does hereby determine and declare that said proposed extension of Tehama street is of more than ordinary public benefit and will affect and benefit the lands and district hereinafter described and which said district is hereby declared to be the district affected and benefited by said extension, and that therefore the entire damages, costs and expenses of said extension shall be and are hereby made chargeable against and shall be assessable upon said lands and district, which lands and district are within the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and the exterior boundaries of said lands and district affected and benefited by said extension are particularly described as follows:

Beginning at the intersection of the northeasterly line of Third street with the northwesterly line of Tehama street, and running northwesterly along said northeasterly line 30 feet; thence northeasterly at right angles to said northeasterly line 80 feet; thence northwesterly at right angles 50 feet; thence northeasterly at right angles 25 feet; thence southeasterly at right angles 10 feet; thence northeasterly at right angles 50 feet; thence northwesterly at right angles 10 feet; thence northeasterly at right angles 345 feet; thence southeasterly at right angles 32 feet 9 inches; thence northeasterly at right angles 50 feet; thence southeasterly at right angles 162 feet 3 inches; thence southwesterly at right angles 470 feet; thence northwesterly at right angles 50 feet; thence southwesterly at right angles 80 feet to said northeasterly line of Third street; thence north-

westerly at right angles along said northeasterly line 65 feet to the point of beginning. Excepting and excluding therefrom all public streets. Being portion of One Hundred Vara Block No. 356.

Said extension of Tehama street shall be done in pursuance of Chapter III of Article VI of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco and shall be done in the manner and in accordance with the provisions of Section 2 and the sections following Section 2 of said Chapter III of Article VI of said Charter of the City and County of San Francisco.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Badaracco, Bath—2.

Hearing of Appeal, Douglass Street.

The hearing of appeal against the assessment for the improvement of Douglass street was, on motion of Supervisor Powers, *continued until* 11 *a. m. April* 11, 1927.

RECESS.

Whereupon, the Board at 1 p. m. took a recess until 2:30 this afternoon.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk.

REASSEMBLED—2:30 P. M.

The Board of Supervisors reassembled at 2:30 p. m.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath—2.

Quorum present.

His Honor Mayor Rolph being absent Supervisor McSheehy was elected to preside.

Mayor's Veto—Ocean Palace Amusement Park Permit.

On motion of Supervisor Schmidt consideration of the Mayor's veto of the resolution granting Ocean Palace Amusement Company permit was *laid over one week.*

University Presidents Thanked for Assistance in Selecting Bridge Engineers.

Supervisor Roncovieri presented: Resolution No. 27088 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sincere thanks of this Board be extended to the presidents of the University of California, Stanford University, Santa Clara University and St. Mary's University for their valued services in preparing a list of names of distinguished engineers competent to aid the City and County of San Francisco in determining the matters of the location and type of bridge to span the Bay of San Francisco, with the assurance that the co-operation of university presidents is fully appreciated.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Badaracco, Bath—2.

Relative to Amending Zone, Ingalls Street.

Supervisor Schmidt moved that action deferring for one week consideration of the following bill be rescinded:

Bill No. ——, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), so as to place the southeasterly side of Ingalls street between Donner avenue and Egbert avenue, for a distance of 225 feet on Donner avenue and 300 feet on Egbert avenue, in the Light Industrial District instead of the First Residential District.

Motion *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Gallagher, Havenner, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—10.

Noes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Hayden, Kent—5.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington—3.

Privilege of the Floor.

The privilege of the floor was granted to E. Lombardi and Miss Ziclo, who opposed the proposed change. Mr. Greenberg spoke in favor.

Action Defererd.

Whereupon, the foregoing bill was, on motion, *laid over two weeks and made a Special Order of Business for* 2:30 *p. m.*

San Mateo County Bridge Franchise, C. J. Cary.

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Resolution No. 27089 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, C. J. Cary has applied to the Board of Supervisors of the County of San Mateo for a franchise to construct a bridge from Candlestick Point, in the County of San Mateo, to Bay Farm Island, in the County of Alameda, State of California; and

Whereas, said contemplated bridge is merely a short distance south of the county line of the City and County of San Francisco and the application for said franchise shows that the bridge contemplated will proceed a short distance from the shore of the County of San Mateo and will then turn north so as to be opposite the shore of the City and County of San Francisco; and

Whereas, this Board has appointed engineers for the purpose of selecting the best available site for a bridge from this County to Alameda County and a bridge from the proposed location asked for by Mr. Cary is practically a duplication of applications now before this Board and if granted by the Board of Supervisors of San Mateo County might seriously interfere with the conclusions of said engineers; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That this Board request the Board of Supervisors of San Mateo County to take no action upon the application of said C. J. Cary until such time as the engineers appointed by this Board make their findings and report.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Badaracco, Bath—2.

W. W. Arnheim, New York Traffic Expert, Presented.

W. W. Arnheim, chairman of the Broadway Traffic Committee of New York, was presented to the Board. He said that he had gained some points in San Francisco with respect to traffic regulation, which they have not been using, but which he should recommend upon his return, viz.: the pedestrian lane metal markers and the safety zone buttons.

He explained to the Board the system of traffic signal lights which they are using and which, he says, have proven very satisfactory, but which they are planning to enlarge. Just a short time ago they appropriated a sum of over $300,000 for these signals, and more recently over $400,000. The system is synchronized and so operated as to not require traffic policemen in the numbers formerly necessary and will be a great saving in that respect.

He said that in Los Angeles he had observed that the signals were being observed both by automobilists and pedestrians and were a success.

The time between signals in New York is approximately two minutes, as against 30 seconds in San Fran-Francisco. By making the time between signals two minutes, and proper observation, it is often possible for an automobile to travel for 10 or 12 blocks without coming to a street intersection at the time when the traffic is stopped on that street. That means system and planning for all streets.

Action Deferred.

Lease of 333 Kearny Street.

The members of the Board were supplied with reports on the question of the lease of offices at 333 Kearny street and the matter was set for special hearing at 11 a. m. on Monday, April 11, 1927.

Passed for Printing.

The following bill was *passed for printing*:

Edgewater Club, Zone Change.

On motion of Supervisor Hayden:

Bill No. 7986, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings, and the locations of buildings designed for specific uses and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Section 4 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance is hereby ordered changed so as to place in the Commercial District, instead of the Second Residential District, the following described property: Commencing at a point on the westerly line of Merrie way, distant thereon

563.351 feet northerly from Point Lobos avenue, and running thence westerly and southerly along the arc of a curve whose center bears south 24 deg. 08 min. 25 sec. west with a radius of 100 feet, a distance of 217.858 feet; thence south 10 deg. 41 min. E 71.884 feet; thence north 58 deg. 53 min. W 354.80 feet; thence south 86 deg. 17 min. west 66.05 feet; thence south 42 deg. 49 min. west 98.28 feet; thence north 47 deg. 05 min. west 72.92 feet; thence south 89 deg. 50 min. west 26.95 feet; thence north 18 deg. 56 min. 30 sec. east 56.92 feet; thence south 79 deg. 25 min. east 79 feet; thence north 2 deg. 00 min. east 68.8 feet; thence north 30 deg. 00 min. east 77.6 feet; thence north 22 deg. 10 min. 30 sec. east 96.18 feet; thence north 45 deg. 56 min. 30 sec. west 97.09 feet; thence in a general northerly and easterly direction following the meanderings of the high water line of the Pacific Ocean to a point on the boundary line of the City property immediately north of the property of Emma L. Merrit; thence south 16 deg. 34 min. 30 sec. east 120 feet; thence south 55 deg 34 min. 30 sec. east 162.11 feet; thence south 69 deg. 36 min. 30 sec. east 178.9 feet; thence south 3 deg. 52 min. east 327.729 feet along the westerly line of Merrie way to the point of commencement.

Section 2. The purpose of this ordinance is to permit the erection of a social and recreational club building upon the area of land above described, together with such other structures and improvements as may be necessary or proper for the successful operation of the club occupying said building, or that may be required for the comfort and convenience of its members. No amusement park shall be conducted on or about said area, nor shall any concessions, usually operated in amusement parks be let or operated on said land or in connection with the business of said club.

Mayor Consents to Gas Litigation Compromise.

The following was read and *ordered spread in the Journal*:

April 4, 1927.

Honorable Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco,
City Hall, San Francisco, California.

Gentlemen:

I herewith give formal consent to the acceptance of the offer of compromise of the Pacific Gas and Electric Company as recommended in writing by the City Attorney and as concurred in by a majority of your Honorable Board, in Resolution No. 26935 (New Series), dated March 7, 1927. I do this under the authority conferred by Section 2, Chapter II, Article V of the Charter, which provides "that he (the City Attorney) shall not settle or dismiss any litigation for or against the City and County under his control unless upon his written recommendation he is ordered to do so by the Mayor and Supervisors."

This matter comes to me by majority vote of your Honorable Board, accompanied by approval of former City Attorney George Lull, whose familiarity with the subject extended over many years, and by the approval of City Attorney O'Toole, who, in the event of no compromise being made, would be required to try this case.

This controversy has extended over many years. It originated at a time when Boards of Supervisors of municipalities were empowered to establish rates for consumers of gas and electricity.

Since that time, the people, by amendment to the State Constitution, have vested this power in the State Railroad Commission, so that the settlement of this particular question cannot be used as an authority, or as a precedent for any acts of any Board of Supervisors.

I am most influenced, in the consideration of this problem, by the opinion of former City Attorney George Lull, during whose administration this case was tried, and by present City Attorney O'Toole, whose opinion was rendered after an examination of the facts and the law. I assume from the opinions of these former and present legal advisors of the City that it is their conclusion that this case could not be won on behalf of the City and the ratepayers.

Generally, I am inclined to the consideration that it is not correct principle for a City to commence an action and then "split the difference" with the defendant; that one of the litigants must be right entirely. However, this matter has dragged many years and I am informed that a retrial of the matter would be fraught with great difficulty and, before an ultimate decision would be rendered, several years would elapse.

This would seem unfair to the ratepayers who, by the proposed compromise, would receive a substantial sum of money and who, vastly more than the City as a corporate entity, are concerned.

I am not unmindful in this de-

liberation of the frequent misuse and abuse of propaganda and appeals to prejudice in dealings between the government and corporations.

A year ago when it was proposed to temporarily accept for Hetch Hetchy power—which would otherwise be wasted—a vast sum of money, to the benefit of the taxpayers, a political campaign of attack and vituperation was made on the government on the ground that this was a sell-out of the people, whereas the absolutely sane determination to accept this money for the people instead of allowing power to go to waste has enabled these persons who conducted the campaign of false statements to boast to the people of their reduction of the tax rate. However, it does not appear of advantage to any politicians to use this measure as a political issue. It comes to me on its merits alone, and, weighing the advantage of extinguishing expensive and harassing and apparently hopeless litigation and distributing the money, now impounded, to those to whom it belongs, individual and corporate, it appears more just to approve than to disapprove this compromise.

I shall, therefore, sign the proposed compromise.

Respectfully,

(Sgd.)

JAMES ROLPH, JR.,
Mayor.

Action Deferred.

On motion of Supervisor Stanton, the following matter was *continued one week*:

Mayor's Veto.

Spur Track Ordinance Amendment.

Consideration of the veto of his Honor the Mayor of Ordinance No. 7453 (New Series), entitled "Amending Ordinance No. 69 (New Series), providing for and regulating the construction, maintenance and use of spur tracks on and over public streets in the City and County of San Francisco, and fixing penalties for the violation thereof by adding a new section to be known as Section 2a," whih amendment provides that the holder of spur track permit in the sale and delivery of goods, materials and supplies transported over such tracks will sell and deliver same without requiring from purchaser any understanding that he will become a party to any agreement or combination in restraint of trade or to employ certain class of labor.

Question: Shall the ordinance finally pass notwithstanding the objections of his Honor the Mayor?

Notice of Reconsideration, Sunset Boulevard.

The following bill, presented by Supervisor Marks at last meeting, and *refused passage* by the following vote, was taken up on motion of Supervisor Hayden for reconsideration:

Bill No. ——, Ordinance No. —— (New Series) as follows:

Determining and declaring that the public interest and necessity demand the acquisition of lands and buildings necessary for the construction of a permanent improvement consisting of a boulevard or parkway connecting Golden Gate Park with Sloat boulevard in the City and County of San Francisco, to be known as the Sunset parkway or Sunset boulevard, said boulevard or parkway beginning at Lincoln way and extending in a southerly direction to Sloat boulevard, including all lands lying between Thirty-sixth and Thirty-seventh avenues.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby determined and specifically declared that the public interest and necessity demand the acquisition of a permanent improvement consisting of a boulevard or parkway connecting Golden Gate Park with Sloat boulevard in the City and County of San Francisco, to be known as the Sunset parkway or Sunset boulevard, said boulevard or parkway beginning at Lincoln way and extending in a southerly direction to Sloat boulevard, including all lands and buildings lying between Thirty-sixth and Thirty-seventh avenues.

Section 2. The Board of Public Works is hereby directed to procure, through the City Engineer, and to file with the Board of Supervisors plan and estimates of cost of property acquisition as herein described.

Section 3. This ordinance shall be published in the official newspaper for two weeks and shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, Hayden. Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—9.

No—Supervisor Powers—1.

Absent—Supervisors Byington, Badaracco, Bath, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Roncovieri, Shannon—8.

Passed for Printing.

There being no objection to Supervisor Hayden's motion, the foregoing vote was reconsidered and the following bill taken up and *passed for printing* by the following vote:

Sunset Boulevard.

Bill No. 7975, Ordinance No. —— (New Series) as follows:

Determining and declaring that the public interest and necesity demand the acquisition of lands and buildings necessary for the construction of a permanent improvement consisting of a boulevard or parkway connecting Golden Gate Park with Sloat boulevard in the City and Copnty of San Francisco, to be known as the Sunset parkway or Sunset boulevard, said boulevard or parkway beginning at Lincoln way and extending in a southerly direction to Sloat boulevard, including all lands lying between Thirty-sixth and Thirty-seventh avenues.

It is hereby determined and specifically declared that the public interest and necessity demand the acquisition of a permanent improvement consisting of a boulevard or parkway connecting Golden Gate Park with Sloat boulevard, in the City and County of San Francisco, to be known as the Sunset parkway or Sunset boulevard, said boulevard or parkway beginning at Lincoln way and extending in a southerly direction to Sloat boulevard, including all lands and buildings lying between Thirty-sixth and Thirty-seventh avenues.

Section 2. The Board of Public Works is hereby directed to procure, through the City Engineer, and to file with the Board of Supervisors plans and estimates of cost of property acquisition as herein described.

Section 3. This ordinance shall be published in the official newspaper for two weeks, and shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, P o w e r s, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Badaracco, Bath—2.

Public Utilities Commission, City Attorney to Confer With Civic Organizations.

Supervisor Havenner presented:

Resolution No. 27090 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors has called upon the City Attorney of San Francisco to present to the Board a charter amendment providing for a Public Utility Commission that will have charge of the operation and conduct of the municipally-owned public utilities in the City and County of San Francisco; and

Whereas, the provisions of said amendment are of great moment to all of the people of San Francisco and that such amendment when presented to the people of San Francisco for their approval should receive the support of all organizations interested in the welfare of the City and County; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That before presenting a draft of any charter amendment embodying the appointment of a Public Utility Commission that the City Attorney be and is hereby requested to confer with representatives of the different civic organizations in the City and County of San Francisco to the end that the City Attorney may have the benefit of the views and ideas of the different civic organizations relative to the provisions of said amendment.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, P o w e r s, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Badaracco, Bath—2.

Death of Mother of Former Supervisor Joseph Mulvihill.

The following resolution was presented by Supervisor Hayden and *adopted unanimously by rising vote*:

Resolution No. 27091 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, this Board is informed of the death of Mrs. Margaret M. Mulvihill, mother of Joseph Mulvihill, former member of this Board; therefore,

Resolved, That the condolence of this Board be tendered to Mr. Mulvihill for the loss that he has sustained, and that we extend to him our heartfelt sympathy in this hour of his deep bereavement.

Committee to Attend Funeral.

Supervisor Hayden moved that a committee of three be appointed by the chair to attend the funeral.

So ordered.

Whereupon, Supervisors Hayden, Gallagher and Shannon were appointed to represent the Board of Supervisors.

Bridge Legislation Disapproved.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 27092 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the City Attorney in a report under date of March 21, 1927, to the chairman of the Special Bridge Committee and the chairman of the Legislative Committee,

reported that Assembly Bill No. 1042 would change the existing law relating to bridges in a manner that would be objectionable and inimical to the best interests of San Francisco; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors express its disapproval of Assembly Bill No. 1042 and request the members of the Legislature to oppose the passage of said measure; and be it

Further Resolved, That a copy of this resolution be forwarded immediately to the Assembly Committee on Commerce and Navigation before whom this bill is pending with the request that it disapprove same.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —15.

Absent—Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Deasy—3.

Fixing Amount of Bond Required for Recording of Map of Vista Del Mar Tract.

Supervisor Marks presented:

Resolution No. 27093 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, Elizabeth D. Nussbaum is desirous of recording a map subdividing certain real property at or near Forty-eighth avenue and Point Lobos avenue in the City and County of San Francisco; and

Whereas, there are certain taxes assessed against said real property which are now a lien upon the same but which said taxes are not as yet payable; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the amount of the bond herein to be filed by said Elizabeth D. Nussbaum prior to the approval and recording of said map be and the same is hereby fixed at four thousand ($4,000) dollars, which said bond shall inure to the benefit of the City and County of San Francisco and shall be conditioned for the payment of all taxes which at the time of filing said map are a lien against the property described therein.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Badaracco, Bath—2.

HEARING OF APPEAL.

San Jose Avenue.

Appeal of property owners from the assessment issued for the improvement of San Jose avenue between the southwesterly line of Foote avenue produced to the northeasterly line of Sickles avenue produced, by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks of the full official width where artificial stone sidewalks of the full official width are not already constructed.

Names of protesting property owners were called by the Clerk and there was no response.

Whereupon, the following resolution was presented by Supervisor Marks:

Resolution No. 27094 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the appeal of the property owners on San Jose avenue, between Foote avenue and Sickles avenue is hereby denied and the assessment confirmed.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Badaracco, Bath—2.

Memorial Day Committee.

Supervisor Colman presented:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Mayor be requested to appoint a committee of citizens to arrange for the proper observance of Memorial Day.

Referred to Public Welfare Committee.

Relative to Acquistion of Properties of Spring Valley Water Company.

Arthur Warren was granted the privilege of the floor and requested a copy of the City Engineer's report showing properties to be purchased from Spring Valley Water Company under proposed bond issue.

Referred to Campaign Committee.

Reception to Italian Aviator Commander De Pinedo.

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Resolution No. 27096 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That His Honor the Mayor be and is hereby respectfully requested to appoint a committee of citizens to make appropriate ar-

rangements for the reception of Commander De Pinedo, aviator of prominence, upon his visit to San Francisco.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Badaracco, Bath—2.

ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business the Board at 6:45 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors August 8, 1927.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated, and approved as above recited.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco.

Vol. 22—New Series No. 15

Monday, April 11, 1927

Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
337 Bush Street, S. F.

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, APRIL 11, 1927, 10 A. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, April 11, 1927, 10 a. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Gallagher—2.

Supervisors Bath and Gallagher excused on account of illness.

Quorum present.

His Honor Mayor Rolph being absent Supervisor McSheehy was elected to preside.

APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the meeting of January 3, 1927, was considered read and approved.

ROLL CALL FOR PETITIONS FROM MEMBERS.

Requisition for 28 Volumes California Jurisprudence.

Supervisor Marks presented:

Requisition, from Hon. T. I. Fitzpatrick, Judge of the Superior Court, Department 8, for 28 volumes of California Jurisprudence at a cost of $336.

Referred to Finance Committee.

Approval of Extension of Fireproof Roofing Limits.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication, from the Hayes Valley Merchants and Property Owners Association, advising of the adoption of a resolution by said association expressing full accord with the proposed extension of the present fireproof roofing limit as recommended by the Board of Fire Wardens of the City and County of San Francisco.

Ordered *filed.*

Relative to Federal Building in Civic Center.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication, from His Honor Mayor Rolph, referring to the Board of Supervisors for a declaration of policy the matter of providing suitable space in the Civic Center for the erection of the Federal Building should an appropriation therefor be made by the Congress of the United States.

Referred to Public Buildings and Lands Committee.

Letter of Thanks.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication, from former Supervisor Joseph Mulvihill thanking Board for sympathy and condolence extended on the occasion of his mother's death.

Ordered *filed.*

Report of City Attorney on Legislative Bill Affecting San Francisco.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communiaction, from the City Attorney, transmitting memoranda of different bills pending before the State Legislature which, in his opinion, directly or indirectly affect the City and County of San Francisco, and advising that he is sending a copy of same to Mr. John W. Rogers, the City's representative at Sacramento.

Referred to Law and Legislative Committee.

Plans and Estimates of Cost for Opera House, Legion and Museum Building of Proposed War Memorial Structures.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication, from the Board of Public Works, transmitting copy of Resolution No. 96801 (Second Series) of said Board, approving plans and estimates of cost of two build-

ings to be erected in the Civic Center, one an opera house at $4,127,000, and Legion and Museum Building at $1,500,000, the cost of which is to be borne by public subscription on hand to the amount of $1,627,000 and the balance to be raised by bond issue to the amount of $4,000,000.

Ordered *filed*.

City Attorney Recommends Compromise of Litigation.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication, from City Attorney, recommending the acceptance of the offer of Maude P. Browne et al. to compromise litigation with regard to acquisition of property on Thirty-second and Thirty-first avenues south of Geary street, required for school purposes, for $16,594.65.

Ordered *filed*.

UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

Final Passage.

The following matters, heretofore passed for printing, were taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Authorizations.

Resolution No. 27097 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

Hetch Hetchy Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1925.

(1) City Coal Co., Inc., coal furnished, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Mar. 25, 1927), $640.29.

(2) The Giant Powder Co., Cons., explosives for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Mar. 25, 1927), $5,325.

(3) Ingersoll-Rand Co. of Cal., machine parts, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Mar. 25, 1927), $799.90.

(4) J. H. McCallum, lumber, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Mar. 25, 1927), $1,004.12.

(6) Santa Fe Lumber Co., lumber for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Mar. 25, 1927), $530.46.

(7) Baker, Hamilton & Pacific Co., black pipe for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Mar. 28, 1927), $3,212.14.

(9) Ingersoll-Rand Co. of Cal., machinery parts, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Mar. 28, 1927), $873.40.

Municipal Railway Fund.

(10) The White Company, one "White" truck for Municipal Railways (claim dated Mar. 23, 1927), $5,800.

School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923.

(11) Latourette-Fical Co., fifth payment, plumbing work for Edison School (claim dated Mar. 29, 1927), $1,983.84.

(12) Latourette-Fical Co., final payment, electrical work, addition to Francis Scott Key School (claim dated Mar. 29, 1927), $2,187.95.

(13) A. Lettich, final payment, mechanical equipment for annex to the Guadalupe School (claim dated Mar. 29, 1927), $2,892.04.

(14) A. Lettich, second payment, plumbing work for Hearst-Moulder School (claim dated Mar. 29, 1927), $1,374.75.

(15) Atlas Electric Co., first payment, electrical work, Mission (Everett) Junior High School (claim dated Mar. 29, 1927), $3,428.25.

(16) Latourette-Fical Co., fourth payment, plumbing work for Mission (Everett) Junior High School (claim dated Mar. 29, 1927), $1,380.11.

(17) R. W. Moller, seventh payment, construction of Mission (Everett) Junior High School (claim dated Mar. 29, 1927), $17,344.22.

(18) Scott Company, first payment, mechanical equipment, Mission (Everett) Junior High School (claim dated Mar. 29, 1927), $9,975.

(19) Edward F. Dowd, final payment, electrical fixtures, new Mission High School (claim dated Mar. 30, 1927), $3,980.

(20) Mahony Bros., second payment, general construction, Sherman School (claim dated Mar. 30, 1927), $8,413.43.

(21) Anderson & Ringrose, third payment, general construction of addition to Sunnyside School (claim dated Mar. 29, 1927), $11,850.

School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1918.

(22) George H. Trask, steel lockers for Mission High School (claim dated Mar. 29, 1927), $665.

Special School Tax.

(23) Mahony Bros., sixth payment, general construction of addition to Commodore Sloat School (claim dated Mar. 30, 1927), $9,300.98.

(24) L. Flatland, second payment, electrical work for addition to Madison School (claim dated Mar. 30. 1927), $1,525.50.

Relief Home Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923.

(25) L. Ebbets, second payment,

general construction of Conservatory for Relief Home (claim dated Mar. 30, 1927), $2,234.25.

(26) D. N. & E. Walter & Co., first and final payment, curtain rods and drapes for Relief Home buildings (claim dated Mar. 29, 1927), $974.50.

Tubercular Sanitarium Fund.

(27) Ajax Construction Co., final payment, water supply system for the Tuberculosis Sanitarium (claim dated Mar. 29, 1927), $4,423.57.

(28) Latourette-Fical Co., fourth payment, plumbing work for the Tuberculosis Sanitarium (claim dated Mar. 29, 1927), $4,495.95.

(29) M. E. Ryan, fourth payment, electrical work, Tuberculosis Sanitarium (claim dated Mar. 30, 1927), $1,494.46.

Fire Department Land and Construction Fund.

(30) Dowd-Seid Electric Company, first payment, electrical work for Fire Department Engine House No. 19 (claim dated Mar. 30, 1927), $911.33.

(31) Elliot & Grant, seventh payment, general construction of Fire Department Engine House No. 19 (claim dated Mar. 29, 1927), $6,155.59.

Municipal Railway Fund.

(32) Hancock Bros., printing transfers for Municipal Railway (claim dated Mar. 29, 1927), $744.

(33) Ohio Brass Co., catenary hangers, Municipal Railways (claim dated Mar. 29, 1927), $672.95.

Maintenance of Aquarium, Appropriation 57.

(34) California Academy of Sciences, maintenance of Steinhart Aquarium, March, 1927 (claim dated Apr. 4, 1927), $3,663.47.

General Fund, 1926-1927.

(35) General Electric Co., electrical supplies, Department of Electricity (claim dated Feb. 28, 1927), $594.25.

(36) Halpin Lithograph Co., printing for departments (claim dated Apr. 4, 1927), $768.90.

(37) Franklin Linotyping Co., printing for departments (claim dated Apr. 4, 1927), $775.

(38) Levison Printing Co., printing for departments (claim dated Apr. 4, 1927), $1,285.11.

(39) Daniel J. O'Brien, police contingent expense (claim dated Apr. 1, 1927), $750.

(40) Berringer & Russell, grain for parks (claim dated Apr. 1, 1927), $564.36.

(41) Fire Protection Products Co., first and final payment, general construction of glass pergola, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Mar. 29, 1927), $1,075.

(42) Standard Oil Co. of California, asphalt for street repair (claim dated Mar. 29, 1927), $1,138.37.

(43) The Moore Dry Dock Co., hydrants for Fire Department (claim dated Mar. 31, 1927), $16,640.

(44) Spring Valley Water Co., water for Fire Department hydrants, month of March (claim dated Mar. 31, 1927), $14,484.90.

(45) Board of Public Works, preparing plans, prints and specifications for apparatus for the Fire Department (claim dated Mar. 31, 1927), $2,115.

(46) Leighton-Jellett Co., glass cloth for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Feb. 28, 1927), $53.53.

(47) San Francisco Society for the Prevention of Cruelty to Animals, impounding, feeding, etc., of animals (claim dated Apr. 4, 1927), $1,250.

(48) James Rolph, Jr., incidentals, Mayor's office, month of April (claim dated Apr. 4, 1927), $625.

(49) Associated Charities, additional and emergency employments for account of Relief Home (claim dated Mar. 18, 1927), $3,973.93.

Publicity and Advertising (Appropriation 55).

(50) Capital Decorating & Mfg. Co., for the decorating of Market street from The Embarcadero to Ninth street, March 17th, for the publicity and advertising of San Francisco (claim dated Apr. 4, 1927), $800.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Gallagher—2.

Appropriations Out of County Road Fund for Purchase of Property Required for Various Boulevard Constructions.

Resolution No. 27098 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be paid out of appropriations heretofore made out of the County Road Fund to the hereinafter designated; being payment for properties required for different boulevard constructions, to-wit:

(1) To Krieg Tanning Company, for property required for the opening of the Bay Shore boulevard;

as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 27031, New Series (claim dated Mar. 31, 1927), $22,532.

(2) To Eugene Mathes and Marguerite Mathes, for property required for the opening of the Bernal Cut; as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 27029, New Series (claim dated Mar. 31, 1927), $4,000.

(3) To Daniel J. Galvin, for property required for the opening of Bernal Cut; as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 27029, New Series (claim dated Mar. 31, 1927), $3,000.

(4) To Ruby Meyer Brandt and John J. Brandt, for property required for the opening of Bernal Cut; as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 27029, New Series (claim dated Mar. 31, 1927), $5,000.

(5) To Clara Hansen and Jeremiah Hansen, for property required for the opening of the Bernal Cut; as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 27028, New Series (claim dated Mar. 31, 1927), $5,100.

(6) To Arthur E. Miller and Lucy V. Miller, for property required for the opening of Bernal Cut; as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 27028, New Series (claim dated Mar. 31, 1927), $4,500.

(7) To Henry Finke and Mary Finke, for property required for the opening of the Bernal Cut; as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 27028, New Series (claim dated Mar. 31, 1927), $2,750.

(8) To James A. Johnson and Catherine R. Johnson, for property required for the opening of Bernal Cut; as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 27027, New Series (claim dated Mar. 31, 1927), $5,375.

(9) To William Dautel and Mathilde Dautel, for property required for the opening of the Bernal Cut; as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 27027, New Series (claim dated Mar. 31, 1927), $4,500.

(10) To Frank Machkota and Fannie Machkota, for property required for the opening of Bernal Cut; as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 27027, New Series (claim dated Mar. 31, 1927), $625.

(11) To Nellie Reed and E. Reed, for property required for the opening of the Bernal Cut; as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 27027, New Series (claim dated Mar. 31, 1927), $5,300.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Gallagher—2.

Appropriations for Purchase of Radium, Etc., Wiring and Equipment, Relief Home, Grill Cage in Treasurer's Office.

Resolution No. 27099 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned funds for the following purposes, to-wit:

San Francisco Hospital, Radium Equipment, Budget Item No. 67.

(1) For the purchase of radium and the necessary accessories for the use of the San Francisco Hospital, $16,105.50.

Relief Home Equipment, Budget Item No. 64.

(2) For purchase of running conduit and wiring and equipment for the Relief Home, $1,020.50.

Repairs to Public Buildings, Budget Item No. 68.

(3) For construction of grill cage in office of the Treasurer of the City and County, by the Board of Public Works, $539.86.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Gallagher—2.

Board of Public Works Authorized to Purchase Proprietary Material Required for Airport From Westinghouse Electric and Manufacturing Company.

Resolution No. 27100 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Board of Public Works be and is hereby authorized to purchase from the Westinghouse Electric and Manufacturing Company the following proprietary material for the special lighting of the Municipal Airport at South San Francisco, to-wit:

One 24-inch Sperry rotating beacon, equipped with an automatic lamp changer.

Two 18-inch Sperry airport landing lights, complete with one Westinghouse 140-ampere, 100-volt motor generator set.

Fifty Westinghouse bronze airport boundary light fixtures, with 35 clear globes and fifteen red globes; and

Further Resolved, That the sum of $4,000 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of "Municipal Airport," Budget Item No. 60,

for the purchase of the hereinabove mentioned proprietary material for the special lighting of the Municipal Airport at South San Francisco. (Request of Board of Public Works, Resolution No. 96623, Second Series.)

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Gallagher—2.

Appropriation, $6,400, Continuation of Employment of Clerks, Assessor's Office.

Resolution No. 27101 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $6,400 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of "Urgent Necessity," Budget Item No. 25, to the credit of Assessor—Personal Services, Appropriation 8-A, for the continuation of the employment of clerks during the balance of the present fiscal year; and the sum of $720 be set aside and appropriated out of "Urgent Necessity," Budget Item No. 25, to the credit of Assessor—Nonpersonal Services, Appropriation 8-B, for nonpersonal services, to enable continuation of survey and appraisement of properties in the City and County. (Request of Assessor, dated March 11, 1927.)

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Gallagher—2.

Oil and Boiler Permits.

Resolution No. 27102 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits be and are hereby granted:

Oil Tanks.

O. E. Anderson, east side of Pierce street, 25 feet north of Capra way, 1500 gallons capacity.

A. Atthowe, 3629 Jackson street, 1500 gallons capacity.

C. G. Bockus, north side of Clement street, 120 feet west of Twenty-second avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

City and County of San Francisco, west side of Girard street between Bacon and Burrows streets, 3000 gallons capacity.

J. Fracchia, north side of Chestnut street, 193 feet west of Divisadero street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Wm. P. Goss, east side of Santa Paula avenue, 40 feet south of Yerba Buena avenue, 1500 gallons capacity

A. J. Herzig, southeast corner of De Sota and Urbano drive, 750 gallons capacity.

Charles J. Keenan, north side of California street, 60 feet east of Stockton street, 1500 gallons capacity.

A. Krouse, north side of Filbert street, 120 feet west of Polk street, 1500 gallons capacity.

E. V. Lacey, south side of Fulton street, 126 feet west of Franklin street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Wm. McDonald, southeast corner of Thirty-fourth avenue and Anza street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Simon & Franz, south side of Alhambra street, 135 feet east of Pierce street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Simon & Franz, south side of Alhambra street, 97 feet east of Pierce street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Stein & Kreig, west side of Santa Ana way, 150 feet north of Ocean avenue, 600 gallons capacity.

R. J. Stempel, 2050 Ninth avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

R. J. Stempel, northeast corner of Francisco and Divisadero streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

Wm. Van Herrick, south side of Fell street, 225 feet west of Franklin street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Vincent & Fassio, north side of Turk street, 130 feet east of Leavenworth street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Boiler.

James Meagher's Sons, north side of Napoleon street, 198 feet east of Jerrold avenue, 100-horsepower.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits shall become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Gallagher—2.

Garage Permit.

Resolution No. 27103 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Bell Bros. be and are hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to operate and maintain a public garage on the north side of Eddy street, 137 feet 6 inches east of Hyde street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent,

Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Gallagher—2.

Stable Permit.

Resolution No. 27104 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Sunset Scavengers Company be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to construct, operate and maintain a stable to accommodate 130 horses at premises situate on the southeast corner of York and Mariposa streets.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Gallagher—2.

Amending Zoning Ordinance Placing Southeast Corner of Lombard and Lyon Streets in the Commercial District Instead of the Second Residential.

Bill No. 7972, Ordinance No. 7486 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings, and the locations of buildings designed for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Section 2 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place the southeast corner of Lombard street and Lyon street, for a distance of 41 feet 3⅞ inches on Lombard street and a distance of 59 feet 9⅛ inches on Lyon street, in the Commercial District instead of the Second Residential District.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect on date of its approval, and shall continue in effect for the period of ninety (90) days thereafter, at which time it shall cease to be in effect and the premises hereinabove described shall revert to and be in the Second Residential District.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Gallagher—2.

Amending Zoning Ordinance Placing Southerly Side of Chestnut Street Between Pierce and Steiner Streets in the Commercial District Instead of the Second Residential.

Bill No. 7973, Ordinance No. 7487 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings, and the locations of buildings designed for specific uses and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Section 2 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place the southerly side of Chestnut street between Pierce street and Steiner street, and extending to the depth of the rear lot lines, in the Commercial District instead of the Second Residential District.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Gallagher—2.

Amending Zoning Ordinance Placing Northeast Corner of Filbert Street and Grant Avenue in the Commercial Instead of the Second Residential District.

Bill No. 7974, Ordinance No. 7488 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings, and the locations of buildings designed for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is

above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Section 1 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place the northeast corner of Filbert street and Grant avenue, for a distance of 137½ feet on Filbert street and a distance of 137½ feet on Grant avenue, in the Commercial District instead of the Second Residential District.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Gallagher—2.

Amending Zoning Ordinance Placing Easterly Side of Masonic Avenue, South of Geary Street, in the Second Residential Instead of the First Residential District.

Bill No. 7976, Ordinance No. 7489 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings, and the location of buildings designed for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Section 2 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place the easterly side of Masonic avenue, commencing at a point 460 feet southerly from Geary street and running thence southerly 120 feet, and extending to a depth of 130 feet, in the Second Residential District instead of the First Residential District as now zoned.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Gallagher—2.

Edgewater Club, Zone Change.

Bill No. 7986, Ordinance No. 7490 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings, and the locations of buildings designed for specific uses and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Section 4 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance is hereby ordered changed so as to place in the Commercial District, instead of the Second Residential District, the following described property: Commencing at a point on the westerly line of Merrie way, distant thereon 563.351 feet northerly from Point Lobos avenue, and running thence westerly and southerly along the arc of a curve whose center bears south 24 deg. 08 min. 25 sec. west with a radius of 100 feet, a distance of 217.858 feet; thence south 10 deg. 41 min. E 71.884 feet; thence north 58 deg. 53 min. W 354.80 feet; thence south 86 deg. 17 min. west 66.05 feet; thence south 42 deg. 49 min. west 98.28 feet; thence north 47 deg. 05 min. west 72.92 feet; thence south 89 deg. 50 min. west 26.95 feet; thence north 18 deg. 56 min. 30 sec. east 56.92 feet; thence south 79 deg. 25 min. east 79 feet; thence north 2 deg. 00 min. east 68.8 feet; thence north 30 deg. 00 min. east 77.6 feet; thence north 22 deg. 10 min. 30 sec. east 96.18 feet; thence north 45 deg. 56 min. 30 sec. west 97.09 feet; thence in a general northerly and easterly direction following the meanderings of the high water line of the Pacific Ocean to a point on the boundary line of the City property immediately north of the property of Emma L. Merrit; thence south 16 deg. 34 min. 30 sec. east 120 feet; thence south 55 deg 34 min. 30 sec. east 162.11 feet; thence south 69 deg. 36 min. 30 sec. east 178.9 feet; thence south 3 deg. 52 min. east 327.729 feet along the westerly line of Merrie way to the point of commencement.

Section 2. The purpose of this ordinance is to permit the erection of a social and recreational club building upon the area of land above described, together with such other structures and improvements as may be necessary or proper for the successful operation of the club occupying said building, or that may be required for the comfort and convenience of its members. No amuse-

ment park shall be conducted on or about said area, nor shall any concessions, usually operated in amusement parks be let or operated on said land or in connection with the business of said club.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byirgton, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Excused from voting—Supervisor Marks—1.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Gallagher—2.

Amending Ordinance Providing for Storage of Benzine, Gasoline, Etc., by Increasing Tank Capacity Permitted.

Bill No. 7978, Ordinance No. 7491 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 745 (New Series), entitled "Regulating the storage and use of benzine, gasoline, or any product of petroleum, or any hydrocarbon liquid, which will flash or emit an inflammable vapor below the temperature of one hundred and ten (110) degrees Fahrenheit; stored or used for dye works, clothes-cleaning establishments, cleansing or renovating any article of wearing apparel, or fabric of any kind."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Section 5 of Ordinance No. 745 (New Series) is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 5. Not more than one (1) approved five (5) gallon can of benzine or gasoline shall be kept or stored for use above ground in any clothes-cleaning establishment; nor more than one (1) quart of benzine or gasoline shall be kept inside of the building.

All quantities of benzine or gasoline in excess of five (5) gallons shall be stored outside the walls of all buildings, under the sidewalks, in tanks constructed of not less than No. 12 gauge galvanized steel, rivited, steel to steel joints, soldered and coated with tar or other rust-resisting material, or in iron tanks of not less than three-sixteenths (3/16) of an inch in thickness, rivited and caulked, and coated with tar or other rust-resisting material.

Not more than four (4) tanks of benzine or gasoline containing not more than one thousand (1000) gallons each, making four thousand (4000) gallons of benzine or gasoline in the aggregate, shall be allowed to be stored in any one clothes-cleaning establishment or dye works; provided, however, that the quantity of benzine or gasoline to be stored in such establishment shall be left to the discretion of the Fire Marshal, and said officer shall exercise reasonable and sound judgment, taking into consideration the capacity of the plant and the number and size of the washers that are to be used, in determining the amount of benzine or gasoline that shall be stored. In no event shall the quantity exceed the maximum of four thousand (4000) gallons.

Section 2. All ordinances in so far as they conflict with the provisions of this ordinance are hereby repealed.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byirgton, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Gallagher—2.

Name of Relief Home Tract Changed to "Laguna Honda Home."

Bill No. 7979, Ordinance No. 7492 (New Series), as follows:

Changing the name of the public institution on the "Relief Home Tract" from "Home for Aged and Infirm of the City and County" to the "Laguna Honda Home."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The name of that public institution located on the "Relief Home Tract" and known as the "Home for the Aged and Infirm of the City and County" as named by Ordinance No. 696 (New Series), is hereby changed to and shall hereafter be known as the "Laguna Honda Home."

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byirgton, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Gallagher—2.

Underground District, Sacramento and Pacific Streets.

Bill No. 7977, Ordinance No. 7493 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Order No. 214 (Second Series), entitled "Providing for placing electric wires and conduits underground in the City and County of San Francisco," by adding a new section thereto, to be known as Section 1p.

Be it ordained by the People of

the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1p. An additional district to those heretofore described, within which it shall be unlawful to maintain poles and overhead wires after February 1, 1928, is hereby designated, to-wit:

Underground District No. 21.—Sacramento street, from the west line of Mason street to the east line of Taylor street (412 feet 6 inches); Pacific street, from the west line of The Embarcadero to the east line of Battery street (1095 feet).

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Gallagher—2.

Fixing Sidewalk Widths, Laura Street.

Bill No. 7981, Ordinance No. 7494 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18th, 1903, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered nine hundred and fifty-seven.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18th, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Board of Public Works, filed in this office March 29, 1927, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered nine hundred and fifty-seven, to read as follows:

Section 957. The width of sidewalks on Laura street between Huron avenue and a line 408 feet westerly therefrom shall be seven (7) feet.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect and be in force from and after its passage.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Gallagher—2.

Establishing Grades.

Bill No. 7982, Ordinance No. 7495 (New Series), as follows:

Establishing grades on Laura street between Huron avenue and a line parallel with and 408 feet northwesterly therefrom.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The grades on Laura street between Huron avenue and a line parallel with and 408 feet northwesterly therefrom are hereby established at points hereinafter named and at heights above city base as hereinafter stated, in accordance with recommendation of the Board of Public Works filed March 28, 1927.

Laura Street.

Northeasterly line of, at Huron avenue, 283.50 feet.

Southwesterly line of, at Huron avenue, 282.50 feet.

7 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, at Huron avenue, northwesterly line, 283.00 feet.

7 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, at Huron avenue northwesterly line, 282.50 feet.

7 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, 80 feet northwesterly from Huron avenue, 274.20 feet.

7 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, 130 feet northwesterly from Huron avenue, 269.26 feet.

7 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, 180 feet northwesterly from Huron avenue, 265.46 feet.

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

7 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, 80 feet northwesterly from Huron avenue, 274.01 feet.

7 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, 130 feet northwesterly from Huron avenue, 269.21 feet.

7 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, 180 feet northwesterly from Huron avenue, 265.46 feet.

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

7 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, 245 feet northwesterly from Huron avenue, 261.24 feet.

7 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, 295 feet northwesterly from Huron avenue, 258.57 feet.

7 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, 345 feet northwesterly from Huron avenue, 257.06 feet.

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

7 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, 245 feet northwesterly from Huron avenue, 261.24 feet.

7 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, 295 feet northwesterly from Huron avenue, 258.65 feet.

7 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, 345 feet northwesterly from Huron avenue, 257.50 feet.

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

7 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, 406 feet northwesterly from Huron avenue, 255.90 feet.

7 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, 408 feet northwesterly from Huron avenue, 256.90 feet.

On Laura street between Huron avenue and a line parallel with and 408 feet northwesterly therefrom be established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Gallagher—2.

Bill No. 7983, Ordinance No. 7496 (New Series), as follows:

Establishing grades on Prentiss street between Crescent avenue and a line parallel with and 106.50 feet southerly therefrom; on Banks street between Crescent avenue and a line parallel with and 269.19 feet southerly therefrom, and on Folsom street between Crescent avenue and a line parallel with and 431.43 feet southerly therefrom.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The grades on Prentiss street between Crescent avenue and a line parallel with and 106.50 feet southerly therefrom; on Banks street between Crescent avenue and a line parallel with and 269.19 feet southerly therefrom, and on Folsom street between Crescent avenue and a line parallel with and 431.43 feet southerly therefrom are hereby established at points hereinafter named and at heights above city base hereinafter stated, in accordance with recommendation of the Board of Public Works filed March 23, 1927.

Prentiss Street.

Easterly line of, at Crescent avenue, 24.50 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Westerly line of, at Crescent avenue, 26.16 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

50 feet southerly from Crescent avenue, 22.89 feet.

On a line at right angles to the westerly line of, 106.50 feet southerly from Crescent avenue, 20.13 feet.

Banks Street.

Crescent avenue, 32.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

100 feet southerly from Crescent avenue, 24.66 feet.

150 feet southerly from Crescent avenue, 21.81 feet.

200 feet southerly from Crescent avenue, 20.58 feet.

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

On a line at right angles to the westerly line of, 269.19 feet southerly from Crescent avenue, 20.00 feet.

Folsom Street.

Easterly line of, at Crescent avenue, 47.56 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Westerly line of, at Crescent avenue, 51.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

100 feet southerly from Crescent avenue, 40.35 feet.

250 feet southerly from Crescent avenue, 26.96 feet.

300 feet southerly from Crescent avenue, 23.50 feet.

350 feet southerly from Crescent avenue, 22.04 feet.

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

On a line at right angles to the westerly line of, 431.43 feet southerly from Crescent avenue, 21.27 feet.

On Prentiss street between Crescent avenue and a line parallel with and 106.50 feet southerly therefrom; on Banks street between Crescent avenue and a line parallel with and 269.19 feet southerly therefrom, and on Folsom street between Crescent avenue and a line parallel with and 431.43 feet southerly therefrom, be established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Gallagher—2.

Fixing Sidewalk Widths.

Bill No. 7984, Ordinance No. 7497 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061,

entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks, approved December 18, 1903, by amending sections four hundred and fifty-one and five hundred and sixty-five thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Board of Public Works, filed in this office March 23, 1927, by amending sections four hundred and fifty-one and five hundred and sixty-five thereof, to read as follows:

Section 451. The width of sidewalks on Quesada avenue between Islais Creek channel and Selby street shall be fifteen (15) feet.

The width of sidewalks on Quesada avenue between Selby street and Quint street shall be twenty-two (22) feet and six (6) inches.

The width of sidewalks on Quesada avenue between Quint street and Newhall street shall be fifteen (15) feet.

The width of sidewalks on Quesada avenue between Newhall street and Third street shall be eight (8) feet.

The width of sidewalks on Quesada avenue between Third street and Water Front street shall be fifteen (15) feet.

Section 565. The width of sidewalks on Revere avenue between Islais Creek channel and Selby street shall be fifteen (15) feet.

The width of sidewalks on Revere avenue between Selby street and Silver avenue shall be twenty-two (22) feet and six (6) inches.

The width of sidewalks on Revere avenue between Silver avenue and Newhall street shall be fifteen (15) feet.

The width of sidewalks on Revere avenue between Newhall street and Third street shall be as shown on that certain map entitled "Map of Revere avenue between Newhall street and Third street," showing the location of street and curb lines and the width of sidewalks.

The width of sidewalks on Revere avenue between Third street and Water Front street shall be fifteen (15) feet.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect and be in force from and after its passage.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Gallagher—2.

Sunset Boulevard.

Bill No. 7975, Ordinance No. 7498 (New Series), as follows:

Determining and declaring that the public interest and necesity demand the acquisition of lands and buildings necessary for the construction of a permanent improvement consisting of a boulevard or parkway connecting Golden Gate Park with Sloat boulevard in the City and Copnty of San Francisco, to be known as the Sunset parkway or Sunset boulevard, said boulevard or parkway beginning at Lincoln way and extending in a southerly direction to Sloat boulevard, including all lands lying between Thirty-sixth and Thirty-seventh avenues.

Section 1. It is hereby determined and specifically declared that the public interest and necessity demand the acquisition of a permanent improvement consisting of a boulevard or parkway connecting Golden Gate Park with Sloat boulevard, in the City and County of San Francisco, to be known as the Sunset parkway or Sunset boulevard, said boulevard or parkway beginning at Lincoln way and extending in a southerly direction to Sloat boulevard, including all lands and buildings lying between Thirty-sixth and Thirty-seventh avenues.

Section 2. The Board of Public Works is hereby directed to procure, through the City Engineer, and to file with the Board of Supervisors plans and estimates of cost of property acquisition as herein described.

Section 3. This ordinance shall be published in the official newspaper for two weeks, and shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Gallagher—2.

Plans, Estimates of Cost, Bernal Cut Bond Issue.

Bill No. 7985, Ordinance No. 7499 (New Series), as follows:

Reciting that plans and estimates of cost of the original construction and completion of a permanent improvement, to-wit, a cut or excavation with approach fills, providing an improved route for public highway purposes in the City and

County of San Francisco, known as the Bernal Cut, which improvement begins at or near the intersection of Randall and Dolores streets and extending southerly to a point at or near the intersection of San Jose avenue and Diamond street, in the City and County of San Francisco, including approaches, structures, pavements, curbs, sidewalks, sewers, drainage facilities, the readjustment of intersecting and adjacent streets and other improvements and the purchase of necessary lands have been filed with the Board of Supervisors by the Board of Public Works; and declaring that the estimated cost thereof cannot be paid from the annual revenue or other funds derived from taxes levied for that purpose, but that all of said estimated cost will require the incurring of a bonded debt. This ordinance is the second of a series of ordinances to be adopted by the Board of Supervisors relating to the construction of the permanent improvement herein described.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby recited that the Board of Public Works, on the 25th day of March, 1927, placed on file with the Board of Supervisors plans and estimates of the cost of the original construction and completion by the City and County of San Francisco of the following described permanent improvement, to-wit: A cut or excavation with approach fills, providing an improved route for public highway purposes in the City and County of San Francisco, known as the Bernal Cut, which improvement begins at or near the intersection of Randall and Dolores streets and extending southerly to a point at or near the intersection of San Jose avenue and Diamond street, including approaches, structures, pavements, curbs, sidewalks, sewers, drainage facilities, the readjustment of intersecting and adjacent streets, and other improvements, and the purchase of the necessary lands. That the total estimated cost of such permanent improvement is the sum of one million four hundred thousand ($1,400,000) dollars; that said plans and estimates contain sufficient information to enable the Board of Supervisors to take further proceedings to cause the construction of the permanent improvement and to make the declarations herein contained.

Section 2. It is hereby determined and declared that of the said sum of one million four hundred thousand ($1,400,000) dollars no part can be paid out of the annual revenue of the City and County in addition to the other necessary expenses thereof or other funds derived from taxes levied for that purpose and will require the incurring of a bonded debt to the amount of one million four hundred thousand ($1,400,000) dollars for the purposes herein recited.

Section 3. This ordinance is the second of a series of ordinances to be adopted by the Board of Supervisors relating to and designed to secure the construction and completion of the permanent improvement herein described.

Section 4. This ordinance shall be published in the official newspaper as required by law and shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Gallagher—2.

PRESENTATION OF BILLS AND ACCOUNTS.

Demands not required by law to be passed to print, and amounting to $44,724.37, were allowed and ordered paid by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Gallagher—2.

Urgent Necessity.

Spring Valley Water Co., water, horse troughs, $44.32.

Edna Nelson et al., compensation insurance, $83.32.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Gallagher—2.

NEW BUSINESS.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Authorizations.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

Park Fund.

(1) S. T. Johnson Co., furnishing and installing equipment for pump, Golden Gate Park (claim dated April 8, 1927), $805.

Tearing-Up Streets Fund.

(2) Gladding, McBean & Co, sewer pipe for sidesewers (claim dated March 31, 1927), $1,260.

County Road Fund.

(3) James M. Smith, first payment, improvement of Castro street, Twenty-ninth to Thirtieth streets (claim dated April 6, 1927), $4,650.

General Fund, 1925-1926.

(4) Clarence B. Eaton, final payment, construction of Forty-third avenue main sewer, Contract 1, Section A (claim dated April 6, 1927), $1,875.26.

Sunset Tunnel Assessment Fund.

(5) Leonard F. Youdall and R. G. Clifford, tenth payment, construction of tunnel under Buena Vista Park (claim dated April 6, 1927), $57,511.08.

Special School Tax.

(6) L. Flatland, extra work, electrical work for addition to Madison School (claim dated April 1, 1927), $1,427.94.

(7) John Reid, Jr., fourth payment, architectural services for addition to Commodore Sloat School (claim dated April 4, 1927), $639.75.

(8) Standard Electrical Construction Co., sixth payment, electrical work, additional units to Galileo High School (claim dated April 5, 1927), $1,556.62.

(9) E. K. Nelson, fourth payment, general construction of addition to Madison School (claim dated April 4, 1927), $9,531.19.

(10) O. Monson, eleventh payment, general construction of additional units to Galileo High School (claim dated April 6, 1927), $14,861.70.

Hetch Hetchy Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1925.

(11) Crucible Steel Company of America, drill steel for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated April 1, 1927), $9,350.75.

(12) Hercules Powder Company, explosives, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated March 31, 1927), $5,100.

(13) Ingersoll-Rand Co. of California, machinery parts, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated March 31, 1927), $957.95.

(14) Standard Oil Company of California, gasoline, oils, etc., Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated April 1, 1927), $678.37.

(15) Western Pipe & Steel Company, air pipe, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated March 31, 1927), $915.10.

(16) Bald Eagle Meat Market, meats furnished, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated April 2, 1927), $4,301.07.

Hetch Hetchy Power Operative Fund.

(17) Pelton Water Wheel Company, repairs to turbine at Early Intake, Hetch Hetchy (claim dated April 2, 1927), $559.95.

Municipal Railway Accident Reserve Fund.

(18) Joseph Montelatici, also known as Jio Montelatici, in full settlement of claim against the Municipal Railways for account of damages sustained due to personal injuries received September 15, 1925 (claim dated April 6, 1927), $1,750.

(19) Elizabeth George, in full settlement of claim for damages on account of personal injuries sustained February 28, 1926 (claim dated April 6, 1927), $2,500.

School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923.

(20) The Gunn Furniture Company, desks for Edison School (claim dated April 5, 1927), $630.

(21) C. F. Weber & Co., Inc., chairs for the Lafayette School (claim dated April 5, 1927), $1,340.

(22) C. F. Weber & Co., Inc., chairs for the Sanchez School (claim dated April 5, 1927), $1,340.

(23) American Studios, first and final payment, stage fittings and draperies for New Mission High School (claim dated April 6, 1927), $7,434.

(24) Alta Electric Company, final payment, electrical work for addition to the Guadalupe School (claim dated April 6, 1927), $1,304.81.

(25) Amoroso & Damico, tenth payment, general construction of Edison School (claim dated April 5, 1927), $30,275.47.

(26) Anderson & Ringrose, third payment, general construction of Hearst-Moulder School (claim dated April 4, 1927), $13,385.48.

(27) Anderson & Ringrose, fourth payment, construction of additions to the Sunnyside School (claim dated April 5, 1927), $4,455.

(28) Burnham Plumbing Co., final payment, plumbing, etc., for

new Mission High School (claim dated April 6, 1927), $11,549.84.

(29) Crown Electric Co., sixteenth payment, electrical work for the new Mission High School (claim dated April 6, 1927), $4,508.69.

(30) Crown Electric Co., eighth payment, electrical work for the Edison School (claim dated April 6, 1927), $1,049.02.

(31) Latourette-Fical Co., final payment, mechanical equipment for addition to Francis Scott Key School (claim dated April 6, 1927), $2,417.38.

(32) John Reid, Jr., fifth payment, architectural services for Mission (Everett) Junior High School (claim dated April 5, 1927), $771.06.

Playground Fund.

(33) Wallace & Tiernan, chlorinator for North Beach Playground (claim dated April 6, 1927), $635.

(34) W. J. Mahoney & Son, loam for playgrounds (claim dated April 6, 1927), $628.50.

(35) Eaton & Smith, reconstructing and refencing Jackson Tennis Court (claim dated April 6, 1927), $1,485.

(36) Spring Valley Water Company, water for playgrounds (claim dated April 6, 1927), $505.34.

(37) Klawans & McMullin, basketballs for playgrounds (claim dated April 6, 1927), $1,280.

(38) Sonoma State Home, maintenance of feeble-minded (claim dated April 11, 1927), $9,350.

(39) Feeble-minded Department of the Juvenile Court, employments and expenses for the months of February and March, 1927 (claim dated April 7, 1927), $876.48.

(40) San Francisco Bulletin, official advertising (claim dated April 11, 1927), $2,701.23.

(41) Hirsch & Kaye, studio lighting units for Police Photograph Gallery (claim dated April 4, 1927), $632.50.

(42) Frank J. McHugh, first payment, construction of westerly Sunset sewer, Section B (claim dated April 6, 1927), $9,000.

(43) Lottie M. Neil, fourth payment, installation of safety lane markers (claim dated April 6, 1927), $1,500.

(44) Schultz Construction Co., fifth payment, laying of high-pressure mains in Butchertown District (claim dated April 6, 1927), $5,000.

(45) School repairs, Budget Item No. 1, for stock withdrawals used for painting the San Francisco Hospital (claim dated March 29, 1927), $720.67.

(46) Eclipse Lime & Cement Co., cement for street repair (claim dated April 6, 1927), $2,693.74.

(47) Standard Oil Company, asphalt for street repair (claim dated April 6, 1927), $1,983.08.

(48) Lesser Bros. Co., meats for Relief Home (claim dated April 7, 1927), $2,106.27.

(49) Greenebaum, Weil & Michels, clothing for Relief Home (claim dated April 7, 1927), $1,348.

(50) Levi Strauss & Co., muslin for Relief Home (claim dated April 7, 1927), $592.58.

(51) Haas Bros., tobacco for Relief Home (claim dated April 7, 1927), $2,040.03.

(52) Healy & Donaldson, tobacco for Relief Home (claim dated April 7, 1927), $615.

(53) Lesser Bros., meats for Relief Home (claim dated April 7, 1927), $1,161.30.

(54) Sperry Flour Company, flour for Relief Home (claim dated April 7, 1927), $687.80.

Hetch Hetchy Operative Revenue Fund.

(55) Railroad Commission of the State of California, for April expense of valuation proceedings of electric properties for account of San Francisco (claim dated April 11, 1927), $1,500.

Appropriations, Properties Required For Bay Shore Boulevard.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of County Road Fund (appropriation heretofore made) for purchase of properties required for the construction of the Bay Shore boulevard and Bernal Cut opening, as follows, to-wit:

(1) To Henry Harder and Elsie Harder, for property required for the Bay Shore boulevard, as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 27073 (New Series) (claim dated April 6, 1927), $8,357.

(2) To Joseph Meagher and Daniel Meagher, for property required for the Bay Shore boulevard, as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 27074 (New Series) (claim dated April 6, 1927), $26,167.

(3) To Pietro Zanardi and Rosa Zanardi, for property required for the Bay Shore boulevard, as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 27075 (New Series) (claim dated April 6, 1927), $9,829.

(4) To Paul A. Caruso and Lena M. Caruso, for property required for the opening of the Bernal Cut, as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 27071 (New Series) (claim dated April 6, 1927), $4,310.

Appropriation, $450,562, General Construction, Etc., Portola Junior High School.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923, for the cost of construction of the Portola Junior High School, to-wit:

For general construction (O. Monson contract), $342,760; for electrical work (Pacific Electrical Construction Company contract), $20,340; for mechanical equipment (Scott Co. contract), $26,569; for plumbing, gasfitting and sprinkler system (Thos. Skelly contract), $36,000; for architect's fees, $4,893; for extras, incidentals and inspection, $20,000; total, $450,562.

Appropriation, Grading, etc., South Side High School; Architectual Fee, Paul Revere School.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of Special School Tax, Budget Item No. 1, Fiscal Year 1926-1927, for school purposes, to-wit:

(1) For cost of grading the site of the South Side High School, per award to Granfield, Farrar & Carlin, $10,212.

(2) For engineering fees, extras and incidentals, $1,500.

(3) For cost of architectural fees for the annex to the Paul Revere School, Folsom street and Tompkins avenue, $9,000.

Appropriation, $5,000, Improvement of Sacramento Street Between Davis and Battery Streets.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $5,000 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of County Road Fund, to complete the cost of the improvement of Sacramento street between Davis and Battery streets.

Appropriations, Water Service, Etc., Airport; Construction of Administration Building, Airport.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of Municipal Airport, Budget Item No. 60, for the hereinafter mentioned purposes, to-wit:

(1) For cost of construction of water service, drainage and marking, Contract No. 2, San Francisco Municipal Airport, per award of contract to Latourette-Fical Co., $9,325.88.

(2) For cost of construction of the administration building, Contract No. 3, San Francisco Municipal Airport, per award of contract to Elliot & Grant, $7,968.

Appropriation, $3,000, Credit of Tubercular Sanitarium Fund.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $3,000 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of Urgent Necessity, Budget Item No. 25, to the credit of the Tubercular Sanitarium Fund; and the sum of $2,760 is set aside and appropriated out of "Improvements, San Francisco Hospital," Budget Item No. 84, to the credit of the Tubercular Sanitarium Fund.

Appropriations for Kitchen Equipment, Paving, Electric Lighting Fixtures, Tubercular Sanitarium.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of the Tubercular Sanitarium Fund, for the following purposes, to-wit:

(1) For the purchase of kitchen equipment for the Tuberculosis Preventorium, San Mateo County, $6,727.55.

(2) For paving at the Tuberculosis Preventorium, San Mateo County, $2,500.

(3) For electric lighting fixtures at the Tuberculosis Preventorium, San Mateo County, $1,500.

Appropriation, $792, Grading Front of City Property, Tompkins Avenue Between Banks and Folsom Streets.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $792 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of "Street Work in Front of City Property," Budget Item No. 36, for the cost of grading and paving in front of City property on the north side of Tompkins avenue between Banks and Folsom streets; per offer of Fay Improvement Company.

Appropriations, Overhauling Pump, City Hall; Electrical Fixtures, Hall of Justice; Repair Work, Bridges; Storm Inlet Sewer, Ulloa Street.

Supervisor McSheehy presented: Resolution No. 27105 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter named funds for the following purposes, to-wit:

Repairs to Public Buildings—Budget Item No. 68.

(1) For the cost of overhauling deep well pump, scraping and painting of storage tanks at the City Hall, $500.

(2) For the cost of installing electrical fixtures in the photograph gallery at the Hall of Justice, $250.

Repair and Painting of Bridges, Budget Item No. 38.

(3) For expense of emergency repair work to the following bridges: At Circular avenue, Charles street, Richland avenue, Hammerton avenue, Fairmount avenue and at Evans avenue and Army street, $325.

Extension and Reconstruction of Main Sewers, Budget Item No. 39.

(4) For cost of construction of a special storm water inlet on Ulloa street between Allston way and Dorchester way, $450.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Gallagher—2.

Mayor Requested to Approve or Disapprove Recommendations of the Board of Education for the Sale of Certain Parcels of School Lands No Longer Required.

Supervisor McSheehy presented: Resolution No. 27106 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the Board of Education has recommended to his Honor the Mayor, by resolutions adopted May 7, 1924, November 23, 1926, and February 8, 1927, the sale of certain parcels of school lands no longer required for school purposes, which lands are referred to as follows:

May 7, 1924—Southeast corner Bush and Stockton streets, 50 Vara; south side Geary street, 60 feet west of Jones street (102 feet 6 inches by 137 feet 6 inches); northeast corner Taylor and Vallejo streets, 50 Vara.

November 23, 1926—Haight School lot and building, Mission street, 185 feet south of Twenty-fifth street (150 feet by 117 feet 6 inches).

February 8, 1927—Block 485 Marina District, bounded by Chestnut, Fillmore, Webster and Francisco streets (entire block); Block 468 Marina District, bounded by Fillmore, Webster, Francisco and Bay streets (entire block). And

Whereas, the moneys received from the sale of said lands must, under Charter provisions, be used for the sole purpose of purchasing other lands needed for school purposes; and

Whereas, the Board of Education desires to acquire other lands for school purposes; therefore, be it

Resolved, That his Honor the Mayor be and is hereby respectfully requested to transmit to this Board his approval or disapproval of the recommendations of the Board of Education.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Gallagher—2.

Accepting Offer of Arthur E. White et al. to Sell Certain Lands Required for the Widening of Garfield Street.

Supervisor McSheehy presented: Resolution No. 27107 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the owner of the following described lands sought to be acquired by the City and County of San Francisco for the widening of Garfield street from Orizaba avenue to Junipero Serra boulevard have offered to convey the properties desired by the City and County of San Francisco for the sums set opposite their names, viz.:

Arthur H. White and Emma S. White, $3,400—Lots 20, 21, 22 and 23, in Block 6989, as per the Assessor's Block Book of the City and County of San Francisco.

Charlotte O. Hohnemann, $800—All of Lot 24, in Block 6989, as per Assessor's Block Book of the City and County of San Francisco.

Whereas, the City Attorney has recommended the acceptance of the said offers and the acquisition of the properties owned by said persons and offered to the City and County of San Francisco for the prices set forth, which said prices are in accordance with the City's appraisement of the properties; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the said offers of sale be accepted and the City Attor-

ney is hereby authorized and directed to examine the titles of said properties, and, if the same are found in satisfactory condition, to accept, in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, deeds conveying title thereto, and file the same for record with a copy of this resolution attached thereto as evidence of acceptance by the City and County of San Francisco, upon payment of the agreed purchase prices.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bvington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Gallagher—2.

Accepting Offer of John R. Mitchell and Others to Sell Certain Property Required for the Widening of Garfield Street.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 27108 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the owners of the following described lands sought to be acquired by the City and County of San Francisco for the widening of Garfield street from Orizaba avenue to Junipero Serra boulevard have offered to convey the properties desired by the City and County of San Francisco for the sums set opposite their names, viz.:

John R. Mitchell, $800—

Parcel 1. Beginning at a point on the northerly line of Garfield street, distant thereon 25 feet westerly from the westerly line of Bright street, and running thence westerly along the northerly line of Garfield street 25 feet; thence at right angles northerly 39.352 feet; thence northeasterly on a curve to the left of 580-foot radius, tangent to a line deflected 68 deg. 46 min. 22 sec. to the right from the preceding course, central angle 2 deg. 40 min. 29 sec., a distance of 27.076 feet; thence deflecting 113 deg. 54 min. 7 sec. to the right from the tangent to the preceding course and running southerly parallel with Bright street 49.741 feet to the point of beginning. Being portion of City Land Association Block No. 46.

Parcel 2. Beginning at a point distant at right angles northerly 49.741 feet from the northerly line of Garfield street and 25 feet at right angles westerly from the westerly line of Bright street; thence northerly along a line drawn parallel to and 25 feet westerly at right angles from the westerly line of Bright street a distance of 50.259 feet; thence westerly at right angles 25 feet; thence southerly at right angles 60.648 feet; thence northeasterly along a curve to the left, tangent to a line deflected to the left 111 deg. 13 min. 38 sec., radius 580 feet, central angle 2 deg. 40 min. 29 sec., a distance of 27.076 feet to the point of beginning. Being a portion of City Land Association Block No. 46.

Salarac Land Company, $1,600—

Parcel 1. Beginning at a point on the northerly line of Garfield street, distant thereon 50 feet westerly from the westerly line of Bright street, and running thence westerly along the northerly line of Garfield street 50 feet; thence at right angles northerly 22.505 feet; thence northeasterly on a curve to the left of 580-foot radius, tangent to a line deflected 73 deg. 59 min. 12 sec. to the right from the preceding course, central angle 5 deg. 12 min. 50 sec., a distance of 52.780 feet; thence deflecting 111 deg. 13 min. 38 sec. to the right from the tangent to the preceding curve, and running southerly parallel with Bright street 39.352 feet to the point of beginning. Being portion of City Land Association Block No. 46.

Parcel 2. Beginning at a point distant at right angles northerly 39.352 feet from the northerly line of Garfield street and 50 feet at right angles westerly from the westerly line of Bright street; thence northerly on a line which is parallel to said westerly line of Bright street and distant 50 feet at right angles therefrom, a distance of 60.648 feet; thence at right angles westerly 50 feet; thence at right angles southerly 77.495 feet; thence northeasterly along a curve to the left, tangent to a line deflecting to the left 106 deg. 00 min. 48 sec. from the preceding course, radius 580 feet, central angle 5 deg. 12 min. 50 sec., a distance of 52.780 feet to the point of beginning. Being a portion of City Land Association Block No. 46.

Whereas, the City Attorney has recommended the acceptance of the said offers and the acquisition of the properties owned by said parties and offered to the City and County of San Francisco for the prices set forth, which said prices are in accordance with the City's appraisement of the properties; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the said offers of sale be accepted and the City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to examine the titles of said properties, and, if the same are found in satisfactory condition, to accept in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, deeds con-

veying title thereto, and file the same for record with a copy of this resolution attached thereto as evidence of acceptance by the City and County of San Francisco, upon payment of the agreed purchase prices; and be it

Further Resolved, That in order to facilitate the possible exchange or sale of Parcel 2, purchased from John R. Mitchell, the deed to said Parcel 2 be taken in the name of the California Pacific Title and Trust Company, to be held in trust by said company for the City and County of San Francisco and under the direction of said City and County; and be it

Further Resolved, That in order to facilitate the possible exchange or sale of Parcel 2, purchased from Salarac Land Company, the deed to said Parcel 2 be taken in the name of the California Pacific Title and Trust Company, to be held in trust by said company for the City and County of San Francisco and under the direction of said City and County.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Gallagher—2.

Accepting Offer of Ella Hyman to Sell for $1,025 Certain Property Required for Bay Shore Boulevard.

Supervisor McSheehy presented: Resolution No. 27109 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the owner of the following described land situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and sought to be acquired by the City and County of San Francisco for the opening of Bay Shore boulevard, has offered to convey the property desired by said City and County of San Francisco for the sum set forth opposite her name, viz.:

Ella Hyman, $1,025—Beginning at the point of intersection of the northwesterly line of Phelps street and the easterly line of Charter Oak avenue, thence northerly along said easterly line 27.313 feet to the southeasterly boundary line of Lot 47 of Block 524, as shown in "Plan of the Haley Purchase," recorded in Map Book "C and D", page 99, Records of the City and County of San Francisco; thence deflecting to the right 53 deg. 45 min. 30 sec. and running northeasterly along said southeasterly line 30.997 feet to a point distant 25 feet at right angles easterly from the easterly line of Charter Oak avenue; thence deflecting to the right 126 deg. 14 min. 30 sec. and running southerly and parallel to the easterly line of Charter Oak avenue 3.638 feet; thence southerly, southeasterly, easterly and northeasterly along a curve to the left, tangent to preceding course, radius 12 feet, central angle 126 deg. 14 min. 30 sec., a distance of 26.440 feet to tangency on the northwesterly line of Phelps street at a point distant northeasterly thereon 54.672 feet from the easterly line of Charter Oak avenue; thence southeasterly along said northwesterly line of Phelps street 54.672 feet to the easterly line of Charter Oak avenue and the point of beginning. Being a portion of Lot 48 of said Block 524.

The above amount includes payment in full for all damages to the improvements now wholly or partially located on the above described parcel, said improvements to be relocated by the undersigned.

Whereas, the City Attorney has recommended the acceptance of the said offer and the acquisition of the property owned by said person and offered to the City and County of San Francisco for the price set forth, which said price is in accordance with the City's appraisement of the property; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the said offer of sale be accepted and the City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to examine the title of said property, and, if the same is found in satisfactory condition, to accept, in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, a deed conveying title thereto, and file the same for record with a copy of this resolution attached thereto as evidence of acceptance by the City and County of San Francisco, upon payment of the agreed purchase price.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Gallagher—2.

Accepting Offer of Bruno Bandoni et al. to Sell Certain Property Required for the Opening of the Bernal Cut.

Supervisor McSheehy presented: Resolution No. 27110 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the owners of the following described lands situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and sought

PUBLIC LIBRARY

to be acquired by the City and County of San Francisco for the opening of Bernal Cut, extending from Mission and Randall streets southwesterly along the Southern Pacific Railroad right of way to the intersection of San Jose avenue and Circular avenue, have offered to convey the property desired by said City and County for the sums set forth opposite their names, viz.:

Bruno Bandoni and Madeliene Bandoni, $3,500 — Beginning at a point on the northeasterly line of Mateo street, distant thereon 99.833 feet southeasterly from the southeasterly line of Arlington street; thence southeasterly along said northeasterly line 24.417 feet to the northwesterly boundary line of the property of Isabella B. Kennett; thence at right angles northeasterly along said northwesterly line 113 feet to the southwesterly boundary line of the property of Charles G. Arnold; thence at right angles northwesterly along said southwesterly line 24.417 feet to the southeasterly boundary line of the property of Edna F. Dill; thence at right angles southwesterly along said southeasterly line and the southeasterly boundary line of the property of Sidney H. and Annie Smith 113 feet to the northeasterly line of Mateo street and the point of beginning.

Being a portion of Lot 1, Block 10, Fairmount Tract, as recorded in Map Book 2 "A" and "B", pages 6 and 7, records of the City and County of San Francisco.

Jennie Marks, $180—All of Lot No. 23, Block 1, College Hill Tract, as recorded in Map Book "G", page 18, records of the City and County of San Francisco.

Saving and excepting therefrom the following described parcel:

Beginning at a point on the northerly line of College avenue, distant thereon 542.459 feet westerly from the westerly line of Mission street; thence westerly along said northerly line 25 feet to the westerly boundary line of said Lot 23; thence at right angles northerly along said westerly line 63.146 feet; thence deflecting 41 deg. 34 min. 04 sec. to the right and running northeasterly 37.679 feet to the easterly boundary line of Lot 23; thence deflecting 138 deg. 25 min. 56 sec. to the right and running southerly along said easterly line 91.336 feet to the northerly line of College avenue and the point of beginning.

Emily O'Neil, $4,500 — Lot 12, Block 6686, as per Assessor's Block Book of the City and County of San Francisco.

Whereas, the City Attorney has recommended the acceptance of the said offers and the acquisition of the property owned by said persons and offered to the City and County of San Francisco for the prices set forth opposite their names, which said prices are in accordance with the City's appraisement of the property; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the said offers of sale be accepted, and the City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to examine the titles of said properties, and, if the same are found in satisfactory condition, to accept, in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, deeds conveying title thereto, and file the same for record with a copy of this resolution attached thereto as evidence of acceptance by the City and County of San Francisco, upon payment of the agreed purchase prices.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Gallagher—2.

Action Deferred.

The following bill, laid over from last meeting, was taken up and again *laid over one week*:

Amending Zoning Ordinance, Ingalls Street.

Bill No. ——, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings, and the locations of buildings designed for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Section 11 of the Use of Property Zone Map, connstituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place the southeasterly side of Ingalls street between Donner avenue and Egbert avenue, for a distance of 225 feet on Donner avenue and 300 feet on Egbert avenue, in the Light Industrial District instead of the First Residential District.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Oil Tank Permits.

On motion of Supervisor Deasy:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits be and are hereby granted:

Oil Tanks.

Henry Ernst & Sons, southeast corner of Grove and Laguna streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

Henry Ernst & Sons, north side of Fulton street between Gough and Franklin streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

Goodfriend & Jacobson, 2901 Mariposa street, 2500 gallons capacity.

Edward Jose, southwest corner of Vallejo and Franklin streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

Frank J. Klimm Co., 118 Kearny street, 1500 gallons capacity.

P. M. Midbust, west side of Fillmore street, 70 feet north of Beach street, 1500 gallons capacity.

P. M. Midbust, northwest corner of Beach and Fillmore streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

Rhine & Stoff, north side of Clay street, 131 feet west of Baker street, 1500 gallons capacity.

R. E. Romano, southwest corner of Parker avenue and McAllister street, 1500 gallons capacity.

A. E. Somerton, west side of Pierce street, 100 feet north of Ellis street, 1500 gallons capacity.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits shall become null and void.

Automobile Supply Station Permit.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Shell Company of California be and it is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an automobile supply station on the southeast corner of Seventeenth and Guerrero streets.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Taxicab Stand Permits.

Supervisor Schmidt presented:

Resolution No. 27111 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following taxicab stand permits are hereby approved:

Yellow Checker Cab Company at 601 Taylor street, not later than 12 o'clock midnight; 530 Broadway.

White Top Cab Company at 486 California street and 1596 McAllister street.

Golden Gate Cab Company at 1201 Grant avenue and 167 Mason street.

Keers & McGain at 348 Columbus avenue.

Red Top Cab Company at 1509 Haight, 900 Geary street and 1561 Fillmore street.

California Transit Company at Nos. 53 and 63 Fifth street.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Gallagher—2.

Trained Animal Exhibition.

Supervisor Schmidt presented:

Resolution No. 27112 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Al G. Barnes be and he is hereby granted permission to give an exhibition of trained animals at northeast corner of Sixteenth and Bryants streets on April 28, 29, 30 and May 1, 1927.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Gallagher—2.

Satisfaction of Judgment for Land of Frank Knighthart, Taken for Municipal Purposes.

Supervisor Powers presented:

Resolution No. 27113 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, on October 4, 1926, a judgment was rendered in that certain action "City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, plaintiff, vs. Frank Knighthart and Harriet Knighthart, William H. Greely and Ella Greely et al., defendants, Number 160107, Superior Court of the State of California, in and for the City and County of San Francisco," for the taking and acquiring by plaintiff, City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, in eminent domain, for school purposes, those certain lots, pieces or parcels of land situate, lying and being in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, owned by defendants above named, and described as follows, to-wit:

Parcel 1. Commencing at a point on the westerly line of Thirty-first avenue, distant thereon 100 feet northerly from the northerly line of Anza street, running thence northerly and along said line of Thirty-

first avenue 50 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 120 feet; thence at a right angle southerly 150 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 120 feet to the point of commencement. Being part of Outside Land Block No. 253.

And that said plaintiff, City and County of San Francisco, pay to said defendants, Frank Knighthart and Harriet Knighthart, as full compensation for the taking and acquiring of said parcel of land herein described as Parcel No. 1, for school purposes, the sum of $3,325.

Parcel 2. Commencing at a point on the easterly line of Thirty-first avenue, distant thereon 100 feet northerly from the northerly line of Anza street, running thence northerly and along said easterly line of Thirty-first avenue 25 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 120 feet; thence at a right angle southerly 25 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 120 feet to the point of commencement. Being part of Outside Land Block No. 254.

And that said plaintiff, City and County of San Francisco, pay to said defendants, Frank Knighthart and Harriet Knighthart, as full compensation for the taking and acquiring of said parcel of land herein described as Parcel No. 2, for school purposes, the sum of $2,562.50.

Parcel 3. Commencing at a point on the easterly line of Thirty-first avenue, distant thereon 200 feet northerly from the northerly line of Anza street, running thence northerly and along said line of Thirty-first avenue 25 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 120 feet; thence at a right angle southerly 25 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 120 feet to the point of commencement. Being part of Outside Land Block No. 254.

And that said plaintiff, City and County of San Francisco pay to said defendants, Frank Knighthart and Harriet Knighthart, as full compensation for the taking and acquiring of said parcel of land herein described as Parcel No. 3, for school purposes, the sum of $2,562.50.

Parcel 4. Commencing at a point on the westerly line of Thirtieth avenue, distant thereon 175 feet northerly from the northerly line of Anza street, running thence northerly and along said line of Thirtieth avenue 50 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 120 feet; thence at a right angle southerly 50 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 120 feet to the point of commencement. Being part of Outside Land Block No. 254.

And that said plaintiff, City and County of San Francisco, pay to said defendants, William Henry Greely and Ella Greely, as full compensation for the taking and acquiring of said parcel of land herein described as Parcel No. 4, for school purposes, the sum of $5,125.

And

Whereas, after the entry of said judgment the City Attorney, attorney for said plaintiff, the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, filed an appeal in the Superior Court of the State of California, in and for the City and County of San Francisco, in said action to the Supreme Court of the State of California from said judgment, and since the filing of said appeal the said City Attorney has recommended that said appeal be dismissed and that said judgment be paid to said defendants; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco pay to said defendants, Frank Knighthart and Harriet Knighthart, the sum of $3,325 as full compensation for the taking of the real property herein described as Parcel No. 1 and pay to said defendants, Frank Knighthart and Harriet Knighthart, the further sum of $2,562.50 as full compensation for the taking of the real property described as Parcel No. 2, and pay to said defendants, Frank Knighthart and Harriet Knighthart, the further sum of $2,562.50 as full compensation for the taking of the real property described as Parcel No. 3; and also that the said plaintiff, City and County of San Francisco, pay to said defendants, William Henry Greely and Ella Greely, his wife, the sum of $5,125 as full compensation for the taking of the real property herein described as Parcel No. 4. Be it

Further Resolved, That the said moneys be withdrawn from the school bond issue fund. Be it

Further Resolved, That the City Attorney be and he is hereby directed to dismiss the said appeal heretofore taken in said action hereinabove mentioned, and that the said City Attorney is hereby directed to examine the title to said real property herein described, and if the same is found to be vested in the aforesaid owners, free of all encumbrances, and that the taxes up to and including the current fiscal year are paid and that the so-called McEnerney title has been procured or sufficient money reserved for the purpose of procuring

the same, and to prepare all necessary releases in satisfaction of said judgments.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Gallagher—2.

City Engineer Authorized to Accept Offers of Annie Kelly and Others to Sell Easements Required for Coast Range Division, Hetch Hetchy Aqueduct.

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Resolution No. 27114 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the City Engineer has recommended the purchase by the City and County of San Francisco, from the following named owners of the following described lands and easements situated in the County of Alameda, State of California, required in connection with the construction, maintenance and operation of the Coast Range Division of the Hetch Hetchy aqueduct, for the sums set forth opposite their names, viz.:

Annie Kelly, $300—The right to construct, maintain and operate electric transmission line and telephone line along, upon and over Section 33, Township 3 South, Range 3 East, and Section 4 (excepting Lots 1 and 2), Township 4 South, Range 3 East. (As per written offer on file.)

Edwin T. Ellis, $150—The right to construct, maintain and operate electric transmission line and telephone line along, upon and over Lot 2 in Section 4, Township 4 South, Range 3 East, and the Southeast ¼ of Section 28, Township 3 South, Range 3 East. (As per written offer on file.)

Fred Shidaker, $150—

Parcel 1. Subsurface right of way easement for the construction, maintenance and use of an aqueduct tunnel or tunnels in and through North ½ of South ½ and the South ½ of the Northeast ¼ of Section 2, Township 4 South, Range 3 East.

Parcel 2. The right to construct, maintain and operate electric transmission line and telephone line along, upon and over the Northeast ¼ of Section 36, Township 3 South, Range 3 East. (As per written offer on file.)

Now, therefore, be it

Resolved That, in accordance with the recommendation of the City Engineer, the above described offers of the above named property owners to sell to the City and County of San Francisco the above mentioned lands and easements for the sums set forth opposite their names and upon the terms and conditions contained in said options, be and the same are hereby accepted. Be it

Further Resolved, That the City Engineer is hereby authorized and directed to notify said parties of the acceptance of their said offers, and the City Attorney is authorized and directed to examine the titles to said lands and easements, and, if the same are found in satisfactory condition, to accept, in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, deeds conveying title thereto, containing the conditions and reservations agreed upon in said offers, and to file the same for record with a copy of this resolution attached thereto as evidence of acceptance by the City and County of San Francisco, upon payment of the above mentioned purchase prices.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Gallagher—2.

City Attorney Authorized to Commence Condemnation Proceedings Against Certain Property in Alameda County Required for Coast Division, Hetch Hetchy Project.

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Resolution No. 27115 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That public interest and necessity demand the acquisition through eminent domain proceedings of the following described lands and easements situated in the County of Alameda, State of California, for the purposes hereinafter described, for the public use of the City and County of San Francisco; said lands and easements being more particularly described as follows, to-wit:

Parcel 1. All that portion of the Southeast ¼ of Section 31, Township 3 South, Range 4 East, M. D. B. and M., included within a strip of land 340 feet in width, 300 feet northeasterly and 40 feet southwesterly of the following described surveyed line:

Beginning at a point in the existing road along the southwesterly side of Mitchell Ravine, which point bears north 68 deg. 48½ min. west

2197.6 feet from a ½-inch pipe monument set in the ground at the southeast corner of said Section 31; thence running as follows: South 25 deg. 42 min. east 105 feet, south 66 deg. 42 min. east 162 feet, south 33 deg. 42 min. east 118 feet, south 44 deg. 12 min. east 161 feet, south 8 deg. 12 min. east 259 feet, south 61 deg. 19 min. east 67.4 feet, south 45 deg. 48 min. east 60.6 feet to a point in or near the south line of said Section 31, which point bears north 88 deg. 35½ min. west 1511.7 feet from said pipe monument set at the southeast corner of Section 31; thence continuing south 45 deg. 48 min. east 201.4 feet; thence south 32 deg. 58 min. east 200 feet.

The northwesterly end boundary of the above described parcel is a line passing through the point of beginning of said parcel and extending north 64 deg. 18 min. east 300 feet and south 64 deg. 18 min. west 40 feet from said point of beginning. The southerly end boundary of said parcel is the southerly line of said Section 31.

Said Parcel 1 contains 8.5 acres, more or less.

Parcel 2. All that portion of the South ½ and of the South ½ of the North ½ of Section 31, Township 3 South, Range 4 East, M. D. B. and M., included within a strip of land 40 feet in width, 20 feet each side of the following described surveyed line:

Beginning at the point hereinabove described as the point of beginning for Parcel 1; thence running as follows: North 47 deg. 12 min. west 65 feet, north 71 deg. 12 min. west 130 feet, north 76 deg. 12 min. west 153 feet, north 10 deg. 48 min. east 177 feet, north 48 deg. 12 min. west 82 feet, north 13 deg. 18 min. east 58 feet, north 37 deg. 42 min. west 180 feet, north 22 deg. 12 min. west 150 feet, north 34 deg. 12 min. west 75 feet, north 53 deg. 12 min. west 71 feet, north 55 deg. 12 min. west 82 feet, north 20 deg. 18 min. east 204 feet, north 5 deg. 18 min. east 268 feet, north 10 deg. 42 min. west 180 feet, north 37 deg. 42 min. west 85 feet, north 21 deg. 48 min. east 120 feet, north 35 deg. 12 min. west 125 feet, north 75 deg. 12 min. west 157 feet, north 26 deg. 12 min. west 160 feet, north 3 deg. 48 min. east 180 feet, north 21 deg. 12 min. west 203 feet, north 59 deg. 42 min. west 260 feet, north 25 deg. 18 min. east 215 feet to a point which bears south 52 deg. 54 min. 10 sec. east 3135.9 feet from a 1¼-inch pipe monument set in the ground at the northwest corner of said Section 31; thence north 2 deg. 42 min. west 880 feet.

The southerly end boundary of said 40-foot strip is a portion of the northwesterly end boundary of Parcel 1 hereinabove described. Containing 4 acres, more or less.

Parcel 3. An exclusive subsurface right of way easement for the construction, maintenance and use of an aqueduct tunnel or tunnels, not exceeding two in number, in and through the following described lands, to-wit:

Section 1 (excepting Lots III and IV), the South ½ of Section 3 and the North ½ of the North ½ of Section 10, Township 4 South, Range 3 East, M. D. B. and M.

The center line of the first of said aqueduct tunnels to be constructed is described as follows:

Beginning at a point in the east line of said Section 1, distant along said line southerly approximately 1890 feet from the northeast corner of Section 1; thence running southwesterly along a straight line to a point in the west line of said Section 10, distant along said line southerly approximately 1290 feet from the northwest corner of Section 10.

The second of said aqueduct tunnels to be constructed shall be located approximately parallel to said first tunnel and not over 200 feet southeasterly at right angles therefrom.

Parcel 4. The right to construct, maintain, replace, patrol and operate an electric transmission line consisting of conductors supported on one line of poles, with all necessary accessories and a telephone line of one or more circuits supported on one line of poles, with all necessary accessories, along, upon and over the surface of the ground in and through the following described lands, to-wit:

The South ½ of Section 31, and the South ½ of the North ½ of Section 31, Township 3 South, Range 4 East, M. D. B. and M.

Together with the right to cut down or trim any trees or brush which may interfere with or constitute a menace to the safety of said transmission line or telephone line.

Said telephone line is described as follows:

Beginning at a point in the south line of said Section 31, distant along said line westerly approximately 1585 feet from the southeast corner of Section 31; thence running as follows: North 19 deg. 40 min.

west 342 feet, north 38 deg. 15 min. west 173 feet, north 57 deg. 15 min. west 803 feet, north 25 deg. 17 min. west 559 feet, north 9 deg. 14 min. west 969.9 feet and north 39 deg. 59 min. west 2100 feet, more or less, to a point in the north line of the South ½ of the North ½ of said Section 31.

Said transmission line shall be located approximately parallel to said telephone line and not over 200 feet northeasterly therefrom.

Parcel 5. Beginning at the quarter section corner in the east line of Section 8, Township 4 South, Range 3 East, M. D. B. and M., thence westerly 800 feet along the south line of the Northeast ¼ of said Section 8; thence northerly along a line parallel to said east line of Section 8, 700 feet; thence easterly along a line parallel to said south line of the Northwest ¼ of Section 8, 800 feet to the east line of said Section 8; thence southerly 700 feet along said east line to the point of beginning. Containing 12.9 acres, more or less.

In the above description it is assumed that an existing fence corner marks the quarter section corner in the east line of said Section 8.

Parcel 6. All that portion of the Northeast ¼ of Section 8, Township 4 South, Range 3 East, M. D. B. and M., included within a strip of land 40 feet in width, 20 feet each side of the following described surveyed center line:

Beginning at a point which bears north 50 deg. 28 min. west 269.2 feet from the quarter section corner in the east line of said Section 8; thence running as follows: North 70 deg. 16 min. west 65 feet, south 84 deg. 51 min. west 145 feet, north 61 deg. 24 min. west 250 feet, north 50 deg. 51 min. west 240 feet, north 65 deg. 29 min. west 120 feet, north 73 deg 09 min. west 130 feet, north 83 deg. 09 min. west 95 feet, north 67 deg. 09 min. west 105 feet, north 70 deg. 09 min. west 165 feet, north 73 deg. 09 min. west 131 feet, north 81 deg. 09 min. west 144 feet, north 69 deg. 09 min. west 150 feet, north 77 deg. 09 min. west 100 feet, north 79 deg. 09 min. west 98 feet, south 84 deg. 51 min. west 162 feet, south 57 deg. 51 min. west 70 feet, north 72 deg. 39 min. west 176 feet, north 87 deg. 39 min. west 104 feet, north 68 deg. 39 min. west 150 feet, north 50 deg. 39 min. west 73.4 feet to a point in the west line of the Northeast ¼ of said Section 8, distant along said line south 1 deg. 02 min. east 2009.8 feet from the quarter section corner in the north line of said Section 8.

Excepting from said description land included within the boundaries of Parcel 5 hereinabove described.

Containing 2 acres, more or less.

In the above description it is assumed that existing fence corners mark the quarter section corners in the east and north lines of said Section 8.

The taking of said property is necessary for the construction, maintenance and operation by the City and County of San Francisco of a portion of the Hetch Hetchy aqueduct now being constructed by said City and County of San Francisco and designed and intended to convey water from Lake Eleanor and the Tuolumne River and its tributaries to the said City and County of San Francisco for municipal and domestic purposes; that the taking of said property is further necessary for the future proper development and control of said aqueduct and for the structures and roadways appurtenant thereto and used in connection therewith and for a protective area for said aquduct; that the structures appurtenant to said right of way for said aquduct are a permanent shaft leading from the surface of the ground to said aqueduct and as a site for permanent houses and homes to be used and occupied by the persons constructing, operating and maintaining said aqueduct, and for permanent rights of way, water pipe lines, electric transmission lines, telephone lines, roads and pathways, all to be used in connection with the construction, maintenance and operation of said aqueduct and the appurtenances thereto, and that a fee title is necessary for Parcels Nos. 1, 2, 5 and 6 hereinabove described.

The City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to commence eminent domain proceedings against the owners of said property and of all interest therein or claims against or liens upon said property, for the condemnation of said rights, interests, claims and liens to the public use of the City and County of San Francisco for the said purposes above specified.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Gallagher—2.

City Engineer Authorized to Accept Offer of John F. Flynn et al. to Sell Certain Property Required as Easements for the Operation of the Coast Range Division of the Hetch Hetchy Aqueduct.

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Resolution No. 27116 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the City Engineer has recommended the purchase by the City and County of San Francisco from the following named owners of the following described land and easements situated in the counties of Alameda and San Joaquin, State of California, required in connection with the construction, maintenance and operation of the Coast Range Division of the Hetch Hetchy aqueduct, for the sum set forth opposite their names, viz.:

John F. Flynn and Owen Flynn, $400—

Parcel 1: Portion of Section 30 of the north half of the north half of Section 31, Township 3 South, Rasge 4 East, M. D. B. and M., containing 2 acres, required for road purposes.

Parcel 2: Subsurface right of way easement for the construction, maintenance and use of an aqueduct tunnel or tunnels in and through the south half of the north half of Section 4, Township 4 South, Range 4 East, M. D. B. and M.

Parcel 3: The right to construct, maintain and operate electric transmission line and telephone line along, upon and over south half of north half of Section 4, Township 4 South, Range 4 East; north half of north half of Section 31, Township 3 South, Range 4 East, and all lands of John F. Flynn and Owen Flynn in the southeast quarter of Section 25, Township 3 South, Range 3 East.

(As per written offer on file.)

Now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of the City Engineer, the above-described offer of the above-named property owners to sell to the City and County of San Francisco the above-mentioned land and easements for the sum set forth opposite their names and upon the terms and conditions contained in said option, be and the same is hereby accepted. Be it

Further Resolved, That the City Engineer is hereby authorized and directed to notify said parties of the acceptance of their said offer, and the City Attorney is authorized and directed to examine the title to said land and easements, and, if the same is found in satisfactory condition, to accept, in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, a deed conveying title thereto, containing the conditions and reservations agreed upon in said offer, and to file the same for record with a copy of this resolution attached thereto as evidence of acceptance by the City and County of San Francisco, upon payment of the above-mentioned purchase price.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Gallagher—2.

Approval of Map, Vista Del Mar Tract.

Supervisor Marks presented:

Resolution No. 27117 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the Board of Public Works did, by Resolution No. 96724 (Second Series) of the Board of Public Works, approve a map of Vista Del Mar, San Francisco, California; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the map of Vista Del Mar, San Francisco, California, is hereby approved.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Gallagher—2.

Accepting Deed to Land and Declaring Open Public Street in Vista Del Mar Tract.

Supervisor Marks presented:

Resolution No. 27118 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That that certain deed executed on the 15th day of November, 1926, between Elizabeth Nussbaum and the City and County of San Francisco, conveying lands for street purposes as shown on map of Vista Del Mar, San Francisco, California, approved by Resolution No. 96724 (Second Series), by the Board of Public Works, is hereby accepted.

Further Resolved, That the lands covered by said deed are hereby declared open public streets.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Gallagher—2.

Closing and Abandoning a Portion of Bay View Street.

Supervisor Marks presented:

Resolution No. 27119 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, this Board has by Resolution No. 26882 (New Series) declared its intention to close up and abandon a portion of Bay View street as set forth in Resolution of Intention No. 26882 (New Series), and

Whereas, proper notice of said resolution and of said proposed closing and abandoning of said portion of Bay View street was duly given by the Board of Public Works of said City and County of San Francisco by publication in the manner provided by Section 3, Chapter 3, Article 6 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco; and

Whereas, more than ten days have elapsed after the expiration of the publication of said notice, and no objections to the closing and abandonment of said portions of Bay View street were made or delivered to the Clerk of this Board within said period of ten days or at all; and

Whereas, it is the opinion of this Board that the public interest and convenience will be conserved by the closing and abandoning of said portion of Bay View street; and

Whereas, in and by said Resolution No. 26882 (New Series), this Board did declare that the damages, costs and expenses of closing said portion of said street is nominal and no assessment district is necessary to be formed for the purpose of paying the damages, costs and expenses thereof, the Board of Supervisors hereby declares and determines that the whole damage, cost and expense of closing said street shall be paid out of the revenues of the City and County of San Francisco; and

Whereas, the said work is for the closing of said portion of Bay View street as set forth in Resolution No. 26882 (New Series), and it appears to this Board that no assessment is necessary; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That said closing and abandonment of said portion of Bay View street as set forth in Resolution No. 26882 (New Series) be and the same is hereby ordered and that said portion of Bay View street be and the same is hereby closed and abandoned as a public street; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Clerk of this Board transmit a certified copy of this resolution to the Board of Public Works and that the Board of Public Works be instructed to proceed thereafter as required by law and the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco; and the Clerk of this Board is hereby directed to advertise this resolution in The Bulletin as required by law.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Gallagher—2.

Extensions of Time.

Supervisor Marks presented:

Resolution No. 27120 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Fay Improvement Company be and is hereby granted an extension of sixty days' time from and after April 28, 1927, within which to complete the improvement of Southern Heights boulevard from Rhode Island to Carolina streets. This extension of time is granted for the reason that contractor has been delayed by inclement weather.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Gallagher—2.

Also, Resolution No. 27121 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Eaton & Smith be and are hereby granted an extension of thirty days' time from and after April 3, 1927, within which to complete the improvement of Elk street between Bosworth and Chenery streets. This extension of time is granted for the reason that contractor has been delayed by inclement weather.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Gallagher—2.

Intention to Change Grades.

Supervisor Marks presented:

Resolution No. 27122 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to change and establish grades on the following named streets, at the

points hereinafter specified and at the elevations above city base, as hereinafter stated, in accordance with Resolution No. 96706 (Second Series) of the Board of Public Works, adopted April 4, 1927, and written recommendation of said Board filed April 6, 1927, to-wit:

On Grafton avenue between the easterly line of Jules avenue and Orizaba avenue; on Ashton avenue between the northerly line of Grafton avenue and a line parallel with the southerly line of Grafton avenue and 300 feet southerly therefrom; and on Orizaba avenue between the northerly curb line of Garfield street produced and the northerly line of Grafton avenue produced.

The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that no assessment district is necessary, as no damage will result from said change of grades, inasmuch as the streets are ungraded and there are no existing street improvements.

The Board of Public Works is hereby directed to cause to be conspicuously posted along the street or streets upon which such change or modification of grade or grades is contemplated, notice of the passage of this resolution of intention.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Gallagher—2.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Fixing Sidewalk Widths on Romain Street Between Douglass Street and Corbett Avenue.

On motion of Supervisor Marks:

Bill No. 7987, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered nine hundred and fifty-eight.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Board of Public Works, filed in this office March 31, 1927, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered nine hundred and fifty-eight, to read as follows:

Section 958. The width of sidewalks on Romain street between Douglass street and Corbett avenue shall be eight (8) feet.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect and be in force from and after its passage.

Changing Grades on Cayuga Avenue, Santa Rosa Avenue, Bauer Street and San Juan Avenue.

Also, Bill No. 8000, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), entitled "Changing and reestablishing the official grades on Cayuga avenue between the northeasterly line of Santa Rosa avenue and Santa Ynez avenue; on Santa Rosa avenue between Alemany and Capistrano avenues; on Bauer street between Alemany and Cayuga avenues, and on San Juan avenue between Capistrano and Cayuga avenues."

Changing Grades on Lyon, Francisco and Bay Streets.

Also Bill No. 7989, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), entitled "Changing and reestablishing the official grades on Lyon street between a line at right angles to the easterly line of, 200 feet northerly from Chestnut street and North Point street, and on Francisco and Bay streets between Baker and Lyon streets."

Changing Grades on Sussex Street.

Also, Bill No. 7990, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), entitled "Changing and reestablishing the official grades on Sussex street between Conrad street and the westerly curb line of Diamond street."

Changing Grades on Lower Terrace.

Also, Bill No. 7991, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), entitled "Changing and reestablishing the official grades on Lower Terrace between a line at right angles to the easterly line of, produced 69.58 feet southwesterly from the beginning of the curve northeasterly from Saturn street and a line at right angles to the westerly line of, 29.74 feet southerly from the first angle easterly from Levant street intersection."

Establishing Grades on Florence Street.

Also, Bill No. 7992, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Establishing grades on Florence street between the southerly line of Vallejo street and a line parallel with and 235 feet southerly therefrom.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The grades on Florence street between the southerly line of Vallejo street and a line parallel with and 235 feet southerly therefrom are hereby established at points hereinafter named and at heights above city base as hereinafter stated, in accordance with recommendation of the Board of Public Works filed March 31, 1927.

Florence Street.

235 feet southerly from Vallejo street, 328 feet.

215 feet southerly from Vallejo street, 328 feet.

137.50 feet southerly from Vallejo street, 333.25 feet.

100 feet southerly from Vallejo street, 333.50 feet.

42 feet southerly from Vallejo street, 333 feet.

5.50 feet easterly from the westerly line of, at Vallejo street southerly line (for top of wall and walks), 332.75 feet.

At last described point for curb, 329.50 feet.

5 feet westerly from the easterly line of, at Vallejo street southerly line, 330.50 feet.

On Florence street between the southerly line of Vallejo street and a line parallel with and 235 feet southerly therefrom be established to conform to line gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Fixing Sidewalk Widths on Grafton Avenue Between Jules and Orizaba Avenues.

Also, Bill No. 7993, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered nine hundred and fifty-nine.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Board of Public Works, filed in this office April 5, 1927, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered nine hundred and fifty-nine to read as follows:

Section 959. The width of sidewalks on Grafton avenue between Jules avenue and Orizaba avenue shall be twelve (12) feet.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect and be in force from and after its passage.

Spur Track Permit, California Iron Yards Corporation.

Also, Bill No. 7994, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Granting permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to California Iron Yards Corporation to construct, maintain and operate a spur track from Hubbell street and Sixteenth street along Sixteenth street to Missouri street as hereinafter described.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, is hereby granted to California Iron Yards Corporation to construct, maintain and operate a spur track as follows:

Beginning at a point on the center line of the main joint track of the Southern Pacific Company and the Atchison, Topeka and Santa Fe Railway Company on Sixteenth street, said point being distant 55 feet, more or less, westerly from the intersection of the center line of said track with the easterly line of Hubbell street produced; thence diverging to the right in an easterly direction through a turnout and curving to the right and left across Sixteenth street and crossing the intersection of Connecticut street with Sixteenth street a distance of 255 feet, more or less, to the intersection of the easterly line of Connecticut street with the southerly line of Sixteenth street; thence along said southerly line of Sixteenth street in an easterly direction a distance of 200 feet to a point in the westerly line of Missouri street.

Said permission is granted subject to the provisions of Ordinance No. 69 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors, approved October 12, 1906, and the provisions and conditions of Section 8 thereof are hereby specifically contained in the permit hereby granted and shall be construed as part hereof, as complete as though the same were written in this ordinance.

Provided, that said spur track shall be laid with girder rails under the supervision and to the lines and grades as furnished by the City Engineer's office; that the manhole opposite Hubbell street be protected; that the existing street sign

be replaced; that the proper clearance be allowed for; that any granite curb removed be hauled to the corporation yard, and that any and all expenses connected with the installation of the track, restoration of the pavement, and any additional requirements for the surface drainage be paid for by California Iron Yards Corporation.

Provided, that California Iron Yards Corporation shall erect and maintain all-night lighted arc lamps, to be placed where directed by the Lighting Committee of the Board of Supervisors.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Spur Track to Southern Pacific Company.

Also, Bill No. 7995, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Section 2 of Ordinance No. 6067 (New Series), granting permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to the Southern Pacific Company, its successors or assigns, to construct, maintain and operate certain industrial spur tracks upon First street, Bryant street and Beale street, as hereinafter described.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Section 2 of Ordinance No. 6067 (New Series) is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 2. Said spur tracks shall be used for the transportation of freight only, and shall not be used as a main line or a part thereof.

Said tracks shall be laid level with the street and must be operated under such restrictions as to interfere to the least possible degree with the use of said streets by the public.

The Southern Pacific Company shall erect and maintain all-night lighted arc lamps, to be placed where directed by the Lighting Committee of the Board of Supervisors.

Provided, however, that it is the intention of this Board that said track shall be equally available to all railroads, but in order to promote safety and economy in the operation thereof it is hereby expressly provided that the State Belt Railway, or any railroad operating in San Francisco, shall have the right to make physical connection with the tracks above described, and Southern Pacific Company shall promptly and without discrimination switch to any industry on said track any car or cars which such other carriers may place on proper interchange tracks properly constructed to handle necessary business. It is understood that Southern Pacific Company is to do all of the switching on the trackage known as Beale street spur or industrial tracks.

Provided, no car or cars shall be moved or switched over or allowed to stand on said spur during the hours between 7 o'clock a. m. and 6 o'clock p. m., excepting the three spur tracks located on the westerly side of Beale street and on the easterly side of Beale street between Folsom and Mission streets, and on First street south of Bryant street.

Provided, that no locomotive, car or cars shall be taken over the spur track on Beale street from Howard to Mission street between the hours of 6 o'clock a. m. and 12 o'clock p. m.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Award of Contract, Arterial Stop Signals.

Supervisor Badaracco presented:

Resolution No. 27124 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of contract is hereby made to the California State Automobile Association, Inc., for its share of the furnishing, installing and maintaining during a period of five years of 500 Carter arterial stop signals, at $20 each or less, in conformity with the terms stated in the letter on file in the Bureau of Supplies, which reads as follows, viz.:

April 2, 1927.

Mr. Leonard S. Leavey, Purchaser of Supplies, City and County of San Francisco.

Dear Sir:

In reply to your request of April 1, 1927, for a definite proposition on what we will do toward the furnishing and installation of the Carter arterial stop signal, we respectfully submit the following:

As we estimated the total cost of the signal installed and maintained for a period of five years to be approximately $40, we agree to furnish this signal to the City and County of San Francisco for one-half this cost, or $20 each. It is our understanding that the $10,000 appropriated was on this basis and was to pay for five hundred of these signals.

We would like it understood that we do not furnish lamps or the electric current for this signal. This, as well as bringing the wires to the signal, must be furnished by the City independent of this contract.

We agree to furnish, install and

maintain for a period of five years five hundred Carter arterial stop signals on iron posts, mounted inside the curb line as directed by the Traffic Committee, the cost to the City to be $20 per signal on an order of five hundred.

We are now endeavoring to secure orders from several other cities on this same type of signal, and in that event the cost per signal will no doubt be reduced, and I can assure you that we will follow our usual policy in giving the City and County of San Francisco the benefit of this reduction.

By maintenance we mean that we will keep the post and all parts of the signal well painted and in first-class condition. Likewise, will replace all signals or posts damaged beyond repair.

J. W. JOHNSON,
Chief Engineer.

Said letter is hereby made a part hereof and its terms are accepted by the City and County of San Francisco.

Resolved, That the above action is taken pursuant to Resolution No. 26877 (New Series), approved March 11, 1927, which directs said purchase, and Resolution No. 26894 (New Series), approved March 18, 1927, which sets aside, appropriates and authorizes the expenditure of the sum of $10,000 for this purpose.

Resolved, That a bond in the sum of $2,500 be required for the faithful performance of this contract.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Gallagher—2.

Action Deferred.

The following resolution was, on motion of Supervisor Byington, *laid over one week* by the following vote:

Award of Contract, Pedestrian Lane Markers.

Supervisor Badaracco presented:

Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of contract be hereby made to Union Pipe and Tank Company, 2801 Santa Fe avenue, Los Angeles, California, on bid submitted March 14, 1927 (Proposal No. 285), for furnishing the following, viz.: 60,000 pedestrian lane markers, price 12 cents each, f. o. b. Corporation Yard, Eleventh and Bryant streets, San Francisco, Cal.

Resolved, That no bond be required, as above contract is for a definite quantity in one delivery.

Resolved, That all other bids submitted hereon be rejected.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Harrelson, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Stanton —9.

Noes — Supervisors Gallagher, Havenner, Marks, Shannon, Todd —5.

Absent—Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Deasy, Rooncovieri—4.

ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND MOTIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Plans and Estimates of Cost, Municipal Railway Extensions.

Bill No. 7996, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Reciting that plans and estimates of cost of the original construction and completion of a public utility, to-wit:

The extension of the Municipal Street Railway or system of street railways along the route or in the territory described as follows:

From Scott and Chestnut streets into the Marina Tract, west of Scott street and north of Chestnut street; from the Municipal Railway track at Masonic avenue and Turk street into the Richmond District, connecting with the Municipal Railway tracks running to the Ocean Beach; from the Municipal Railway tracks on Market street at Duboce avenue, through the Sunset-Duboce tunnel into the Sunset District and through the Sunset District to a terminal near the Ocean Beach, with connecting cross-town service west of Twentieth avenue between said extension and the existing Municipal Railway line on Taraval street; from the Municipal Railway tracks at Forty-sixth avenue and Taraval street, southerly to a terminal near Wawona street and Forty-seventh avenue; from the Municipal Railway tracks at Thirtieth and Church streets, southwesterly through the Bernal Cut, into the Excelsior District, at or near Excelsior street; thence southerly through the Excelsior District to a terminal at Geneva avenue; from Seventeenth street and Market street in a southwesterly direction to, at or near Eureka street and Market street; thence in a south-

erly direction on and over Eureka street or other most feasible route to Twenty-third street at or near Eureka street; thence in an easterly direction on and over Twenty-third street or other most feasible route to Diamond street; thence in a southerly direction on or over Diamond street or other most feasible route to Twenty-ninth street; an automobile bus service from the vicinity of Seventeenth street and Potrero avenue by the most feasible route to the vicinity of Twenty-third street and Wisconsin street, and for such other extensions and additions to the trackage and facilities of the said Municipal Railway System as may be deemed necessary, and to include equipment thereof, appurtenances thereto and the purchase of necessary lands, and declaring that the estimated cost thereof cannot be paid from the annual revenue, or other funds derived from taxes levied for that purpose, but that all of said estimated cost will require the incurring of a bonded debt. This ordinance is the second of a series of ordinances to be adopted by the Board of Supervisors relating to the acquisition, construction and completion of the public utility herein named.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby recited that the Board of Public Works, on the 1st day of April, 1927, placed on file with the Board of Supervisors plans and estimates of the cost of the original construction and completion by the City and County of San Francisco of a public utility, to-wit: From Scott and Chestnut streets into the Marina Tract, west of Scott street and north of Chestnut street; from the Municipal Railway track at Masonic avenue and Turk street into the Richmond District, connecting with the Municipal Railway tracks running to the Ocean Beach; from the Municipal Railway tracks on Market street at Duboce avenue, through the Sunset-Duboce tunnel into the Sunset District and through the Sunset District to a terminal near the Ocean Beach, with connecting cross-town service west of Twentieth avenue between said extension and the existing Municipal Railway line on Taraval street; from the Municipal Railway tracks at Forty-sixth avenue and Traval street, southerly to a terminal near Wawona street and Forty-seventh avenue; from the Municipal Railway tracks at Thirtieth and Church streets, southwesterly through the Bernal Cut, into the Excelsior District, at or near Excelsior street; thence southerly through the Excelsior District to a terminal at Geneva avenue; from Seventeenth street and Market street in a southwesterly direction to, at or near Eureka street and Market street; thence in a southerly direction on and over Eureka street or other most feasible route to Twenty-third street at or near Eureka street; thence in an easterly direction on and over Twenty-third street or other most feasible route to Diamond street; thence in a southerly direction on or over Diamond street or other most feasible route to Twenty-ninth street; an automobile bus service from the vicinity of Seventeenth street and Potrero avenue by the most feasible route to the vicinity of Twenty-third street and Wisconsin street, and for such other extensions and additions to the trackage and facilities of the said Municipal Railway System as may be deemed necessary, and to include equipment thereof, appurtenances thereto and the purchase of necessary lands. That the total estimated cost of the extension of the Municipal Street Railway or system of street railways is the sum of four million seven hundred thousand ($4,700,000) dollars; that said plans and estimates contain sufficient information to enable the Board of Supervisors to take further proceedings to secure said described public utility and to make the declarations herein contained.

Section 2. It is hereby determined and declared that of the sum of four million seven hundred thousand ($4,700,000) dollars no part can be paid out of the annual revenue of the City and County in addition to the other necessary expenses thereof, or other funds derived from taxes levied for that purpose and will require the incurring of a bonded debt to the amount of four million seven hundred thousand ($4,700,000) dollars for the purposes herein recited.

Section 3. This ordinance is the second of a series of ordinances to be adopted by the Board of Supervisors relating to and designed to secure the acquisition, construction and completion of the permanent improvement herein described.

Section 4. This ordinance shall be published in the official news-

paper as required by law and shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Gallagher—2.

Plans and Estimates of Cost, War Memorial Buildings.

Also, Bill No. 7997, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Reciting that plans and estimates of cost of the original construction and completion of a permanent improvement, to-wit: Public buildings in, or adjacent to the Civic Center of the City and County of San Francisco, to be used as a Memorial Hall for war veterans and for educational, recreational, entertainment and other municipal purposes, and the purchase of all equipment and furnishings necessary for said buildings, and declaring that the estimated cost thereof cannot be paid from the annual revenue or other funds derived from taxes levied for that purpose but that all of said estimated cost will require the incurring of a bonded debt. This ordinance is the second of a series of ordinances to be adopted by the Board of Supervisors relating to the acquisition, construction and completion of the permanent improvement herein described.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby recited that the Board of Public Works, on the 11th day of April, 1927, placed on file with the Board of Supervisors plans and estimates of the cost of the original construction and completion by the City and County of San Francisco of the following described permanent improvement, to-wit: Public buildings in, or adjacent to the Civic Center of the City and County of San Francisco, to be used as a Memoral Hall for war veterans and for educational, recreational, entertainment and other municipal purposes, and the purchase of all equipment and furnishings necessary for said buildings. That the total estimated cost of such permanent improvement is the sum of four million ($4,000,000) dollars and that said plans and estimates contain sufficient information to enable the Board of Supervisors to take further proceedings to cause the construction of the permanent improvement and to make the declarations herein contained.

Section 2. It is hereby determined and declared that of the said sum of four million ($4,000,000) dollars no part can be paid out of the annual revenue of the City and County in addition to the other necessary expenses thereof or other funds derived from taxes levied for that purpose and will require the incurring of a bonded debt to the amount of four million ($4,000,000) dollars for the purposes herein recited.

Section 3. This ordinance is the second of a series of ordinances to be adopted by the Board of Supervisors relating to and designed to secure the construction and completion of the permanent improvement herein described.

Section 4. This ordinance shall be published in the official newspaper as required by law and shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Badaracco, Bath—2.

Bridge Engineers.

Messrs. Galloway of San Francisco, Ridgway of New York and Talbot of the University of Illinois, the Committee of Expert Engineers selected by the presidents of various universities of California to select the best site for the proposed San Francisco Bay bridge, were presented to the Board by City Engineer O'Shaughnessy after having made a preliminary trip and survey of the Bay region.

They said that the task is a big one to complete in thirty days, but that they were working night and day and Sundays in order to accomplish it.

Supervisor Byington, being called upon, addressed a hearty welcome to the visiting engineers and wished them complete success in their undertaking.

RECESS.

Whereupon, the Board at 12:30 p. m. took a recess until 2 p. m.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk.

PUBLIC LIBRARY

REASSEMBLED—2:30 P. M.

The Board of Supervisors reassembled at 2:30 p. m.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Gallagher—2.

Supervisors Bath and Gallagher excused on account of illness.

His Honor Mayor Rolph presiding.

Reception of Irish Patriot, Eamon De Valera.

At this session of the Board a program had been arranged in honor of the Irish patriot, Eamon De Valera.

In introducing him to the members of the Board, Mayor Rolph stated that Mr. De Valera is one of four people who are honorary citizens of the City of San Francisco.

Mr. De Valera said that he envied San Francisco—its freedom and its achievements, not in the ordinary sense of not being glad that we have our freedom and are progressing, but that he longs for the time to come when the people of Ireland will no longer be oppressed, but free, and can themselves progress more rapidly. That is what he is striving for himself.

The Music Committee, of which Supervisor Roncovieri is chairman, provided a program of Irish music.

At the request of the Mayor Supervisor Deasy sang an Irish song. And when the final number of the orchestra was rendered Supervisor Hayden favored those present with Irish selections on the violin.

Supervisors Gallagher and McSheehy each spoke in praise and admiration of the guest of honor.

Reception to Italian Aeronautical Commander, De Pinedo.

Supervisor Kent, on behalf of reception committee consisting of Supervisors Badaracco, Kent and Hayden, announced a public reception and welcome in the rotunda of the City Hall, tomorrow at 9:30 a. m. to Commander De Pinedo of the Italian Aeronautical Service.

Report of Rules Committee.

San Francisco, April 2, 1927.

To the Members of the Board of Supervisors.

Gentlemen: Herewith copy of rules as revised and recommended by the Rules Committee.

The Committee recommends the appointment of a permanent Airport Committee to have control and management of the Municipal Airport at San Bruno and recommends that this committee be composed of Supervisors Kent (chairman), Harrelson and Colman.

Also, included in the set-up is the Rules Committee, composed of Supervisors McSheehy, Badaracco, Havenner, Deasy and Powers.

The Committee recommends that the 10 o'clock meetings be continued, as many Supervisors find during the noon recess an opportunity to discuss matters before final action thereon.

Respectfully submitted,

JAMES B. McSHEEHY,
Chairman Rules Committee.

Rules of Proceedings of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, 1927.

Resolved, That the rules hereinafter set forth be and the same are hereby adopted as the Rules of Proceedings of this Board of Supervisors, to-wit:

Rules of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, 1927. Hon. James Rolph, Jr., Mayor and ex-officio President.

Members.

Badaracco, John B.; Bath, Edwin G.; Byington, Lewis F.; Colman, Jesse C.; Deasy, Cornelius J.; Gallagher, Andrew J.; Harrelson, Wm. R.; Havenner, Franck R.; Hayden, J. Emmet; Kent, Milo F.; McSheehy, Jas. B.; Marks, Milton; Powers, Chas. J.; Roncovieri, Alfred; Schmidt, Walter J.; Shannon, Warren; Stanton, Wm. P.; Todd, Chas. F.

Standing Committees.

Resolved, That the Standing Committees of this Board be constituted as follows:

The first named member to be Chairman thereof:

Airport—Kent, Harrelson, Colman.

Auditorium—Havenner, Shannon, Marks.

Civil Service and Retirement—Colman, Bath, Harrelson.

City Planning—Hayden, Deasy, Kent.

Education, Parks and Playgrounds —Gallagher, Stanton, Byington.

Finance—McSheehy, Kent, Havenner.

Fire—Deasy, McSheehy, Gallagher.

Judiciary—Bath, Roncovieri, Marks.

Lighting, Water and Telephone

Service and Electricity — Stanton, Schmidt, Powers.

Municipal Concerts and Public Celebrations—Roncovieri, Hayden, Colman.

Police and Licenses—Schmidt, Harrelson, Todd.

Public Buildings and Lands—Powers, Deasy, Byington.

Public Health — Byington, McSheehy, Stanton.

Public Utilities—Shannon, Badaracco, Havenner.

Public Welfare—Kent, Roncovieri, Gallagher.

Rules—McSheehy, Powers, Badaracco, Deasy, Havenner.

Streets and Commercial Development—Marks, Badaracco, Todd.

Supplies — Badaracco, Shannon, Powers.

Traffic—Todd, Bath, Schmidt.

Tunnels and Assessment Districts —Harrelson, Hayden, Colman.

Rules of Proceedings.

1. The following shall constitute the Standing Committees of the Board:

Auditorium.

City Planning.

Civil Service and Retirement System.

Education, Parks and Playgrounds.

Finance.

Fire.

Judiciary.

Lighting, Water Service, Telephone Service and Electricity.

Municipal Concerts and Public Celebrations.

Police and Licenses.

Public Buildings and Lands.

Public Health.

Public Utilities.

Public Welfare and Publicity.

Rules.

State Laws and Legislation, specially appointed by Finance Committee when occasion requires.

Streets and Commercial Development.

Supplies.

Traffic.

Tunnels and Assessments.

Every Committee shall set a stated time of meeting, or the Committee may meet at a time to be set by the chairman, and every member will be expected to attend every meeting of his Committee, and to be present promptly on time. The clerk of each Committee shall keep a record of the attendance of the members, and he shall report such record to the Clerk of the Board, and the Clerk of the Board shall have the report of the attendance of members at committee meetings available at all times for the information of any or all members of the Board.

2. The respective duties of each of the foregoing Committees and the time of meetings are hereby defined as follows:

Airport—To have control and management of the Municipal Airport; to report and recommend on applications for leasing of hangars and concessions in said Airport; to consider and report on all matters relating to said Airport.

Auditorium—To have control and management of the Municipal Auditorium and entertainments held therein under the auspices of the City as provided in Ordinance No. 5320 (New Series); to lease said building and the several halls and apartments therein; to report and recommend on applications for leasing of said building for public assemblages and gatherings; to consider and report on all matters relating to the management, conduct and maintenance of said Auditorium.

City Planning—To consider matters relative to City Planning and all reports of the City Planning Commission shall be referred to it for investigation and report thereon.

Civil Service and Retirement System—To consider all matters relating to Civil Service in the several departments and to promote efficiency and economy in expenditures; to consider matters relating to the Retirement System, and all reports of the Board of Administration of the Retirement System shall be referred to it for investigation and report thereon.

Education, Parks and Playgrounds—To consider and report upon all matters relating to the Departments of Education, Parks and Playgrounds and recreation centers, including the Aquatic Park, and to cooperate with the Board of Education, Playground Commission and Park Commission regarding the development and increased usefulness of these departments.

Finance—To perform all duties required by the Charter; to aduit all bills and report on all matters that may be referred to it by the Board of Supervisors; to act as a budget committee for the Board, hold hearings on budget estimates of and with all departments, receive recommendations from all other committees of the Board, and formulate a budget for submission to the Board on or before the second Monday of May. (Meets Friday at 2:30 p. m.)

Fire—To consider all matters relating to the Fire Department; to

report on all applications for garage, boiler, laundry and other permits referred to it.

Judiciary—To consider and report upon the legality of all matters referred to it by the Board and to propose such amendments to the Charter as may be deemed advisable.

Lighting, Water Service, Telephone Service and Electricity—To attend to the proper lighting of streets, public parks and public bulidings; to investigate and correct complaints of water service and extensions thereof, and telephone service; to recommend installation and removal of City telephones; to recommend from to time extensions of underground wire system, and to have general charge of all matters pertaining to electricity other than public lighting and amendments to the building laws.

Municipal Concerts and Public Celebrations—To have charge of the Municipal Band and conduct all concerts that are given under the auspices of the City and County of San Francisco (except those concerts under the management of the Park Commissioners and the Auditorium Committee of this Board); to assist in promotion of all semi-public celebrations, dedications, etc.

Police and Licenses—To consider legislation concerning the Police Department; to investigate the management and character of penal institutions; to consider all matters affecting public morals; to report upon applications for permits referred to it by the Board, including free licenses to those deserving them, and report on all licenses.

Public Buildings and Lands—To consider the erection of all public buildings and the purchase of sites for all public buildings upon recommendation of the respective departments; to consider and report upon the repairs to public buildings, and to recommend as to the janitorial, elevator and other service required for the proper conduct of all buildings of the City and County; also to assign to the various offices and departments the various rooms and places in the City Hall and Hall of Justice; to investigate and report upon proposed purchases of lands; to formulate plans for leasing City lands not needed for public purposes; to consider transfer of lands from one department to another, and all other matters pertaining to the realty of the City other than school property.

Public Health—To consider all matters relating to health and sanitation; to see that institutions under the control of the Board of Health are properly conducted; to establish and maintain a high standard of service in public hospitals and Relief Home; to consider and report upon all complaints of nuisances; to make recommendations upon applications for permits which may be referred to it by the Board; also removal and destruction of garbage.

Public Utilities—To consider and pass upon all matters relating to public utilities, their acquisition, construction, control and management, whether municipally or privately owned, including transportation, lighting, power, water and steam heating.

Public Welfare and Publicity—To consider matters relating to the social well-being of the community other than those heretofore provided for, and to supervise the publication of the Municipal Record and other official documents and reports, and generally to act upon all matters of public advertising, and pass on all bills chargeable against the advertising fund; to consider all matters relating to the Bureau of Weights and Measures.

Rules—To consider amendments to the Rules and such other matters as may be referred to it by the Board.

State Laws and Legislation—To be appointed by the Finance Committee when occasion requires. To consider all matters pending before the Legislature and proposed legislation which affects the City and County of San Francisco, directly or indirectly, and to make such recommendations to the Board as may be deemed advisable, and to appear before the State Legislature in advocacy of any measures or in opposition to measures as the Board may advise.

Streets and Commercial Development—To consider all matters relating to the construction, improvement and maintenance of streets and sewers, including highways outside the County, for which the City and County is authorized to appropriate money, the closing, opening and widening of streets and the cleaning of streets; to designate the streets for the improvement and repair of which appropriations may be made in the budget and to allocate the same. Also direct the expenditure of money received from the State for the construction of public highways; to consider and report upon applications for spur and industrial tracks; to promote the commercial and industrial development of the City and foster the construction of traffic and sea-

board terminals; to support legislation designed to promote world trade by the creation of foreign trade zones in the United States and to bring about the location of a foreign trade zone within the City and County of San Francisco; to inaugurate a movement for local ownership and control of the San Francisco Harbor. Also to reclaim tidelands for commerce and industry.

Supplies—To consider and have charge of the purchase of all supplies as provided by the Charter; to prepare schedules for general supplies and to recommend award of contracts; to inspect deliveries and quality and quantity of supplies; to pass on all requisitions for non-contract supplies; to supervise the purchase and distribution of all books, stationery, etc.

Tunnels and Assessment Districts—To consider all matters relating to the construction of tunnels; to consider all assessment plans except those relating to street and sewer assessments and assessments for the construction and extension of public utilities.

Traffic—To investigate and report on matters relative to traffic conditions in the City and propose ordinances regulating traffic and the promotion of safety in connection therewith.

It shall be the duty of each Committee charged with the duty of cooperating with any particular department to investigate the financial needs of such departments to be provided for in the annual budget; to consider such department's budget estimates and to recommend to the Finance Committee such modifications or changes thereof as it may deem proper.

The designations and duties of the foregoing Committees are hereby made part of these rules.

Rules of Order.

3. The Mayor shall be President of the Board of Supervisors. He shall call each regular, adjourned or special meeting to order at the hour appointed and shall proceed with the order of business. In the absence of the Mayor, the Clerk shall call the roll and the Board shall appoint a presiding officer pro tempore from its own members, who shall have the same right to vote as other members.

The presiding officer shall preserve order and decorum.

The Clerk shall, immediately after the call to order, call the roll of members of the Board, and the record of those present and absent shall be entered upon the Journal.

4. Whenever it shall be moved and carried that the Board go into Committee of the Whole, the President shall leave the chair and the members shall appoint a chairman of the Committee of the Whole, who shall report the proceedings of said Committee.

5. The rules of the Board shall be observed in the Committee of the Whole except the rules regulating a call for ayes and nays and limiting the time of speaking.

6. A motion, in Committee of the Whole, to rise and report the question, shall be decided without debate.

7. The Clerk shall have clips, upon which shall be kept all bills, ordinances, resolutions and reports to be acted upon by the Board, except those not reported upon by a Committee.

8. No bill, ordinance or resolution shall be considered by the Board unless it has been introduced by a member of the Board or by a Committee of the Board, and the bill, ordinance or resolution must be read by the Clerk in open meeting before being referred to Committee. At the time of introduction the presiding officer shall first indicate to what Committee a bill, ordinance or resolution ought to be referred, and it shall be so referred unless, upon majority vote without debate, the Board shall order it referred to some other Committee.

Action by the Board shall not be taken upon any bill, ordinance or resolution until it has been referred to and acted upon by a Committee of the Board.

9. The order of business, which shall not be departed from except by the consent of twelve members, shall be as follows:

1. Roll Call.
2. Approval of Journal.
3. Calendar Business.
4. Communications and Reports from City and County Officers.
5. Reports of Committees.
6. Roll Call for the Introduction of Resolutions, Bills and Communications Not Considered or Reported on by a Committee.

10. When a bill, ordinance or resolution has been reported back to the Board by a Committee, all persons other than members of the Board will be presumed to have had a full hearing, but in case others desire to be heard, the bill, ordinance or resolution may, upon a majority vote of the Board, be referred to the Committee from which it was reported, where others than members of the Board may be heard further upon the subject mat-

ter. No one other than a member of the Board or an ex-Mayor shall be permitted to address the Board except in Committee of the Whole Board or if otherwise provided by the Charter.

11. If any question under debate contains several points, any member may have the points segregated and acted upon separately.

12. When a motion has been made and carried or lost, it shall be in order for any member voting with the prevailing side to give notice that he will move for reconsideration thereof. Such notice shall be entered in the Journal and placed upon the Calendar at the succeeding meeting.

A member may change his vote before the result is announced in order to give such notice of reconsideration. The vote upon such motion to reconsider shall not be taken before the next regular meeting of the Board. No question shall be reconsidered more than once, and notice of reconsideration shall apply only to the main question. Motion to reconsider shall have precedence over every other motion except a motion to adjourn. It shall require a majority vote to carry any motion to reconsider the vote by which any bill, ordinance or resolution has been passed or defeated. A Supervisor, after a notice to reconsider is given, may, at the reconsideration thereof, present the main question in his argument thereon, and the same may be debated by the Board, provided that the subject matter is debatable.

13. A motion to refer or lay on the table until decided shall preclude all amendments to the main question. A motion to lay on the table or to postpone indefinitely shall require a majority vote of all members of the Board.

14. It shall be the duty of the Clerk to issue such certificates as may be required by ordinances or resolutions and transmit copies of said ordinances or resolutions to the various departments affected thereby. It shall also be the duty of the Clerk to cause the publication in the official newspaper of all bills, ordinances, proposals and awards as required by the Charter.

15. All accounts and bills shall be referred to the Finance Committee, provided that any Committee having jurisdiction over subject of expenditures may request that bills be first sent to that Committee before being acted upon by the Finance Committee and the Board.

16. The President shall preserve order and decorum, and prevent demonstrations of approval or disapproval on the part of persons in the Chambers of the Board, and shall decide questions of order, subject to an appeal to the Board.

17. When a Supervisor desires to address the Board he shall arise in his place, address the presiding officer, and when recognized he shall proceed to speak. No Supervisor shall be recognized when seated or when away from his seat.

18. No Supervisor shall speak more than twice in any one debate on the same subject, and at the same stage of the bill, ordinance, resolution or motion without the consent of a majority of the Board, and Supervisors who have once spoken shall not again be entitled to the floor so long as any Supervisor who has not spoken desires to speak. No Supervisor shall be allowed to speak more than five minutes on any question except by permission of the Board, except that the author shall have five minutes to open and ten minutes to close.

19. No Supervisor shall be interrupted when speaking without his consent, and no question shall be asked him except through the presiding officer.

20. The author of a bill, ordinance, resolution or motion shall have the opening and closing of the debate.

21. When two or more Supervisors arise at the same itme to address the Board, the presiding officer shall designate the Supervisor who is entitled to the floor.

22. No motion shall be debated until the same *has been seconded* and distinctly announced by the presiding officer.

23. After a motion has been stated by the President, it shall be in the possession of the Board. It may be withdrawn by the mover thereof before it is acted upon.

24. Upon a call of the Board the names of the members shall be called by the Clerk and the absentees noted. Those for whom no excuse or insufficient excuses are made may, by order of those present, be sent for and be brought to the chambers of the Board by the Sergeant-at-Arms or by special messengers appointed for the purpose.

25. When a question is under debate, no action shall be entertained except:

To adjourn.
Call of the Board.
To lay on the table.
The previous question.
To postpone.
To commit or amend.

which several motions shall have

precedence in the order in which they are arranged; provided, however, that during a call of the Board it may consider and transact any matter or business that the Supervisors there present shall unanimously decide to consider.

26. A motion to adjourn is not debatable.

27. The previous question shall be put in the following form: "Shall the previous question be now put?" It shall only be admitted when demanded by three Supervisors, and its effect shall be to put an end to all debate except that the author of the bill, ordinance, resolution or motion or amendments shall have the right to close, and the question under discussion shall thereupon be immediately put to a vote. On a motion for the previous question prior to a vote being taken by the Board a call of the Board shall be in order.

28. Every member present when a question is put shall vote for or against it, unless disqualified by the Charter. No member shall be permitted to vote upon a question unless present when his name is called or before the vote is announced. A roll call shall not be interrupted for debate or personal privilege, but a member may file, in writing, an explanation of his vote.

29. If any member, in speaking, or otherwise, transgresses the rules of the Board, the presiding officer shall, or any member may, call him to order, in which case the member so called to order shall immediately sit down, and, if called to order by a member, such member shall immediately state the point of order. If the point of order be sustained by the Chair the member shall not be allowed to proceed, but if it be not sustained then he shall be permitted to go on. Every such decision from the Chair shall be subject to an appeal to the Board, but no discussion of a question of order shall be allowed, unless an appeal be taken from the decision of the Chair. The question on appeal shall be: "Shall the decision of the Chair stand as the decision of the Board?"

30. After the Board has acted, the names of those who voted for and those who voted against the question shall be entered upon the Journal, not only in cases required by law, but when any member may require it, and on all bills, ordinances and resolutions on final passage the ayes and nays shall be recorded.

31. All appointments of officers and employees shall be made by a majority of the members of the Board. The Clerk shall assign the assistant clerks and chauffeur to their several duties, and shall immediately transmit to the Mayor all resolutions and ordinances which, under the law, require executive approval.

32. No member shall leave the Board during its session without permission from the Board.

33. All committees shall be appointed by the Board unless otherwise ordered by the Board. Committees shall report on any subject referred to them by the Board and their recommendations thereon. *Unless otherwise ordered, a committee shall report upon all subjects referred to it within thirty days thereafter.*

34. The Clerk shall prepare and cause to be printed and placed on the desks of the members on days of meeting, at least 30 minutes before such a meeting, a calendar of matters to be presented to the Board at said meeting. Every petition or other written instrument intended to be presented to the Board must be delivered to the Clerk not later than 12 o'clock noon on Saturday, or on the day preceding the meeting; upon the request of the President or of any member its contents shall be read in full. Chairman of a committee may instruct the Clerk to print in full on the Calendar any bill or resolution.

35. All petitions, protests and communications of a routine character shall be referred by the Clerk to the proper committee.

36. Ten members shall constitute a quorum to transact business, and no bill, ordinance, resolution or amendment thereto shall pass without the concurrence of at least that number of members, but a smaller number may adjourn from day to day.

37. Except when otherwise provided by these rules, the Charter or law, a majority vote of the members present shall be necessary for the adoption of any motion.

38. No smoking shall be permitted in the chambers of the Supervisors during the sessions of the Board.

39. The Clerk shall keep a record of all requests and instructions directed by the Board of Supervisors to any officer or board of the City and County and the action thereon of such officer or board. The record of such request and instructions, until acted upon by such officer or board, shall be read by the Clerk at each regular meeting of the Board of Supervisors.

40. The privilege of the floor to

persons other than members of the Board shall be permitted only by suspension of the rule, and in the case of legislative matters pending before the Board this privilege shall be limited to ten minutes each to proponents and opponents of the measure. This time may only be extended by further suspension of the rule.

41. In debate a member must confine himself to the question before the Board.

42. No member of the Board of Supervisors, chairman of a committee, or committee of said Board, shall employ or engage the services of any person, or authorize or incur any charge, debt or liability against the City and County unless authority therefor shall have been first given by the Board of Supervisors by resolution or ordinance, except as otherwise provided by law.

43. No standing rule or order of the Board shall be suspended or amended without the affirmative vote of twelve members.

44. No special order shall be placed on the calendar except by order of the Board.

Memorandum of Charter Provisions.

Page 4, Section 3, Chapter 1, Article II—Quorum consists of 10 members.

Page 5, Section 8, Chapter 1, Article II—No bill shall become an ordinance or resolution be adopted unless it receives 10 votes.

Page 7, Section 16, Chapter 1, Article II—14 votes necessary to override Mayor's veto of resolution or ordinance.

Page 13, Chapter 2, Article II—Lease of City lands requires two-thirds vote of Board (12 votes).

Page 19, Section 6, Chapter 2, Article II—Street railway franchises require three-fourths vote (14 votes) of all the members of the Board, while five-sixths vote (15 votes) of all the members of the Board is necessary to pass these ordinances if *Mayor vetoes same.*

Page 22, Section 9, Chapter 2, Article II—Sale of City lands requires 15 votes.

Page 30, Section 3, Chapter 1, Article III—Budget ordinance requires 10 votes.

Page 30, Section 4, Chapter 1, Article III—15 votes are necessary to override Mayor's veto of budget.

Page 31, Section 8, Chapter 1, Article III—15 votes necessary to appropriate from Urgent Necessity Fund.

Page 33, Section 13, Chapter 1, Article III—To suspend temporarily limit of taxation to meet emergency requires unanimous vote of 18 members of the Board and approval of the Mayor.

Page 76, Section 1, Chapter 1, Article VI—Contracts for street work require 14 votes.

Page 78, Section 2, Chapter 2, Article VI—When cost of sewer or drain is in excess of $5 per linear front foot of abutting property and work is disapproved by Board of Works, it requires 14 votes of the Board of Supervisors to pass ordinance ordering such work done.

If application for work is made, the expense of which is to be paid by City and County, and work is not recommended by the Board of Public Works, it requires 14 votes of the Board of Supervisors to order such work done.

Page 98, Section 33, Chapter 2, Article VI—Ordinance providing for street improvements in 10-year installments requires 15 votes.

Page 100, Section 1, Chapter 3, Article VI—Opening and improvement of streets, etc., requires 12 votes.

Page 120, Section 17, Chapter 6, Article VI—15 votes are required to modify or change procedure as provided in the Charter for changing street grades and the performance of work in connection therewith.

Page 121, Section 1, Chapter 8, Article VI—Ordinance providing for tunnel, subway and viaduct construction requires 12 votes.

Page 218, Section 19, Article XVI—Suspension of an elected officer by the Mayor requires approval of 14 votes of the Board of Supervisors to cause removal.

Page 223, Section 35, Article XVI—Appointments of additional deputies, clerks or employees require 14 votes.

Proposed Amendment—Elimination of Morning Sessions.

Supervisor Todd moved to amend the Committee's report by changing the rules so that the morning sessions be discontinued and the sessions commence each Monday at 1:30 p. m.

Amendment *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Roncovieri, Shannon, Todd—4.

Noes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Stanton—12.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Gallagher—2.

Amendment to Rules—Airport Committee.

Supervisor Todd moved to amend Committee's report with respect to membership on the Airport Com-

mittee by increasing membership to five and adding thereon Supervisors Gallagher and Roncovieri.

Supervisor Colman moved as an amendment to the amendment that the same Committee serve.

Supervisor Shannon moved as a substitute that the Publicity and Welfare Committee be added to the Airport Committee.

Motion *carried.*

Action Deferred.

Whereupon, further consideration of the rules was *continued one week.*

Mayor's Veto, Spur Track Ordinance Amendment.

The following matter, laid over from last week, was taken up:

Consideration of the veto of his Honor the Mayor of Ordinance No. 7453 (New Series), entitled "Amending Ordinance No. 69 (New Series), providing for and regulating the construction, maintenance and use of spur tracks on and over public streets in the City and County of San Francisco, and fixing penalties for the violation thereof by adding a new section to be known as Section 2a," which amendment provides that the holder of spur track permit in the sale and delivery of goods, materials and supplies transported over such tracks will sell and deliver same without requiring from purchaser any understanding that he will become a party to any agreement or combination in restraint of trade or to employ certain class of labor.

Question: Shall the ordinance finally pass notwithstanding the objections of his Honor the Mayor?

Statement of Supervisor McSheehy.

San Francisco, April 4, 1927.

Honorable Board of Supervisors, City and County of San Francisco.

Gentlemen: On March 7, 1927, the Board of Supervisors passed Bill No. 7929, Ordinance No. 7443 (New Series), regulating the construction, maintenance and use of spur tracks on and over the public streets within the City and County of San Francisco.

On March 18, 1927, the Mayor of this City vetoed this ordinance, setting forth a number of reasons for his veto. As one member of the Board of Supervisors I resent his reasons, and especially to paragraph 9, which reads:

"We have had, in the past, corrupt Boards of Supervisors. Could anybody conceive a greater opportunity for blackmail and corruption than this ordinance offers to any unscrupulous Board, to traffic in spur track privileges?"

I would like to call his Honor's attention to the fact that we have had corrupt Mayors, some of whom advocated his Honor's election four years ago.

The last paragraph in the Mayor's veto reads:

"My investigation of this ordinance establishes to my satisfaction that a very small percentage of the holders of spur track permits in operation in San Francisco are subject to charges of discrimination."

This paragraph is the crux of the Mayor's veto, and it is one of the reasons why I voted for the spur track permit and it is one of the reasons why I am going to vote against the Mayor's veto today, for I feel that Bill No. 7443 (amendment to the spur track ordinance) is one that will protect all the citizens of San Francisco and permit this City to continue as the most prosperous city in the United States. Statistics show that we are the richest city per capita in this great country, so let us continue and not foster strife and discord that will retard the progress of this great city! Why continually throw this city into a state of chaos and advertise to the world that we are not competent to regulate ourselves? This amendment is a check against discrimination and will act as a guard against internal strife. It is exactly in spirit with the State anti-trust laws and the national anti-trust laws. Its purpose is right.

There has not been, to my knowledge, a spur track permit granted without the same containing certain riders, and this is simply a rider for the protection of all.

For these reasons I voted for the permit, and for these reasons I am voting against the Mayor's veto.

Respectfully submitted,

JAS. B. McSHEEHY,
Supervisor.

Mayor's Veto Sustained.

Whereupon, the question being put, the Mayor's veto was *sustained* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Deasy, Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—10.

Noes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Hayden, Marks—6.

Absent—Supervisors Badaracco, Bath—2.

Mayor's Veto, Ocean Palace Amusement Company Permit.

Consideration of the veto of his Honor the Mayor of Resolution No. 26839 (New Series), "granting the Ocean Palace Amusement Company permission to construct, maintain and operate an amusement park as defined in Ordinance No. 5240 (New Series), in and upon so much of the block bounded by Wawona street, Forty-sixth and Forty-seventh avenues and Sloat boulevard, as is now permitted or hereafter may be permitted by Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), known as the Zoning Ordinance.

Question: Shall the resolution finally pass notwithstanding the objections of his Honor the Mayor?

The chair declared that the Mayor's veto on the foregoing was sustained automatically by reason of the fact that the time within which it could be legally acted upon had expired:

Offer of Spring Valley Water Company to Sell Its Proptrties to the City.

The following was presented, read and ordered *filed*:

San Francisco, California,
April 9, 1927.

To the City and County of San Francisco:

The Spring Valley Water Company, a public utility water corporartion engaged in the business of supplying water to the City and County of San Francisco and its inhabitants, and hereinafter designated as the "Water Company," does hereby offer to sell to the City and County of San Francisco, hereinafter designated as the "City," all of its properties, rights and privileges employed in said business, which were valued by the Railroad Commission of the State of California at the sum of thirty-seven million ($37,000,000) dollars as of March 1, 1920, as per report of the Railroad Commission of November 21, 1920, together with additions, betterments and changes brought down from said date of March 1, 1920, to the date of actual transfer to the City, for the price of said sum of thirty-seven million ($37,000,000) dollars similarly brought down to the date of transfer as follows:

Properties.

The properties valued by the Railroad Commission as aforesaid are specifically described in Exhibit A, hereunto attached. Additions and betterments to said properties of such character as to be legally a part of such properties and covered by the original description are to be deemed included in said properties and to be a part thereof without further specification or description. Additions to said properties which are not of that character and are yet included in this offer, and changes in said properties, either by way of the exclusion of old or the substitution or addition of new properties, are specified in Exhibit B, hereunto attached. The properties covered by this offer are those specified in Exhibit A as modified by Exhibit B, together with additions, betterments and changes between the date hereof and the date of transfer.

Price.

The price of thirty-seven million ($37,000,000) dollars as of March 1, 1920, shall be brought down to the date of transfer to the City without allowance to the Water Company for any appreciation in value as per the option of April 14, 1922, given by the Water Company to the City pursuant to the opinion of the Railroad Commission of August 12, 1921, in the manner following:

The price shall be brought down to the beginning of the present year as hereinafter set forth, and at the time of the actual transfer to the City shall be brought down from the beginning of the present year to that time in the manner hereinafter specified.

The price shall be brought down to the beginning of the present year as follows:

Debits.

Price fixed by the Railroad Commission as of March 1, 1920		$37,000,000.00
Additions and betterments, at cost, from March 1, 1920, to June 30, 1921		570,699.43
Brought forward		$37,570,699.43
Additions and betterments, at cost from September 1, 1921, to December 31, 1926, including payments on Twin Peaks Tunnel assessments on property included in offer		4,806,332.31
Increase in inventories of materials on hand (at cost)		56,048.01

Unconsumed portion of rental of $1,000,000 paid in advance by the Water Company of the City for the use of Bay Division of Hetch Hetchy Aqueduct, less unearned discount thereon	790,700.65
Total debits	$43,223,780.40

Credits.

Depreciation as per order of Railroad Commission	$ 1,600,000.00
Amortization Fund created as per order of Railroad Commission	1,892,202.68
Note: There is now in question between the Water Company and the Internal Revenue Bureau of the Federal Government claims by the Government for additional income taxes in the amount of approximately $243,000. To the extent to which it is finally determined that the Water Company is liable for these taxes, a charge of one-half shall be made against the Amortization Fund and the net price correspondingly increased.	
Salvage of removed or discarded equipment	71,270.18
Amounts realized from sale of lands or grant of easements and other rights since September 1, 1921	2,690.50
Total credits	$ 3,566,163.36

Total debits	$43,223,780.40
Total credits	3,566,163.36
Net price as of December 31, 1926	$39,657,617.04

To bring said net price as of December 31, 1926, down to the actual time of transfer to the City there shall be added to the debits specified above (a) the cost of additions and betterments made between December 31, 1926, and the date of transfer, and (b) if there be an increase in inventories of materials on hand, the net amount of such increase. Similarly, there shall be added to the credits (a) depreciation from December 31, 1926, to date of transfer at the rate of $300,000 a year, (b) all credits to the Amortization Fund subsequent to December 31, 1926, (c) all amounts realized on the salvage of removed or discarded equipment, (d) amounts received from the sale of any properties included in this offer or of easements or other rights relative thereto, (e) if there be a decrease in inventories of materials on hand, the net amount thereof, and (f) rental for the use of the Bay Division of the Hetch Hetchy Aqueduct from December 31, 1926, to date of transfer at the rate of $250,000 per annum, less discount on said advance rental of $1,000,000 earned for the same period.

In case the City purchases the properties covered by this offer, as specified above, it shall have the option to purchase at the same time the properties of the Water Company described in Exhibit C, hereunto attached, paying therefor the cost thereof to the Water Company without appreciation or interest, to-wit, $518,836.55. The properties described in Exhibit C represent the Water Company's office building, including fixtures, at No. 425 Mason street, San Francisco, California (cost $347,109.45), and various tracts of land (cost $171,727.10), none of which are included in additions and betterments hereinbefore specified as debits against the purchase price.

Whether specified in said Exhibits A and B or not, this offer includes all supplies and materials on hand and all vehicles of every description, horses, tools and equipment of the Water Company used in connection with its water business, and all furniture and fixtures used in connection with such business excepting the furniture in the rooms of the executive officers in its office building hereinbefore mentioned, also all maps and records in anywise relating to the water plant and system of the Water Company and all other personal property of every kind pertaining to or used in connection with its water business, but not including cash, bonds, stocks, bills or accounts receivable, choses in action, cattle or sheep, or the general books of account or the corporate records of the Water Company.

Included in this offer is the grant by the Water Company to the City of the right, as against any and all lands of the Water Company not included in this offer, and particularly as against any and all such lands as are in the neighborhood

of or adjoin those parcels of land in Alameda County designated in said Exhibit A as parcels 70 and 71, to take water from said parcels 70 and 71, by means of wells or otherwise, up to but not in excess of 15,000,000 gallons during any one day for any use desired by the City, either on or off said lands, such grant, however, not to be taken as a limitation on the right of the Water Company to take from any of its lands not included in this offer, by means of wells or otherwise, such water as it may need for irrigation or domestic use on such lands, but not elsewhere or otherwise.

This offer is made subject to and upon the following conditions, namely:

(1) In case the actual date of transfer does not fall on one of the regular semi-annual interest payment dates of the bonds of the Water Company not outstanding, the interest on said bonds falling on the next succeeding interest payment date shall be prorated between the Water Company and the City as of the date of transfer.

(2) Taxes, insurance and rents on or from property purchased by the City are to be prorated as of the date of transfer.

(3) Accounts receivable for water furnished to consumers shall be prorated as of the date of transfer. Inasmuch as the Water Company will be required, in the discharge of its public obligations, to furnish water to consumers up to the time of transfer to the City, the City shall use all and every means within its power to collect, for the account and benefit of the Water Company, all moneys due or to become due from consumers for water supplied to them by the Water Company during the sixty (60) days preceding the date of transfer, including water delivered between the last meter reading date and the date of transfer but not recorded on the books of the Water Company at said last-named date. In particular the City shall, if requested by the Water Company, discontinue the service of water to any consumer who shall refuse to pay any amount due the Water Company for water furnished during such period of sixty (60) days preceding the date of transfer, and shall refuse to resume service, except with the assent of the Water Company, until such amount has been paid.

(4) The City shall assume all obligations legally imposed upon or existing against the Water Company or its grantors, whereby the Water Company is, or its grantors were, obligated to supply water to any person or for any purpose, and shall also assume all obligations under the agreement with Pleasanton Township County Water District, recorded on the 3rd day of May, 1917, in the office of the County Recorder of the County of Alameda in Volume 64 of Miscellaneous, page 4, Alameda County Records, and again recorded on the 28th day of January, 1918, in Volume 64 of Miscellaneous, page 387, Alameda County Records, and two agreements with Alameda County Water District, one dated September 1, 1916, and recorded on the 13th day of January, 1921, in the office of the County Recorder of the County of Alameda in Volume 71 of Miscellaneous, page 355, and the other dated June 12, 1920, and recorded in the same office January 13, 1921, in Volume 72 of Miscellaneous, page 82.

(5) As between the lands of the Water Company which are retained by it and those which are sold to the City, such rights of way as are necessary or desirable for ingress and egress to and from either the retained property over the property sold or vice versa shall be given by one party to the other whenever request therefor is made, provided, however, that each party shall within two (2) years from the date of transfer notify the other of the particular right or rights of way to which it claims to be entitled under this paragraph.

(6) The Water Company shall convey its real property to the City by grant, bargain and sale deed, subject to existing leases, rights of way, obligations which are assumed by the City, and the lien of taxes for the fiscal year current at the time of transfer, provided that nothing herein contained shall conflict with the prorating of taxes as hereinbefore provided.

(7) In the conveyance or conveyances to the City transferring the lands in the County of Alameda designated in Exhibit A as parcels 70 and 71, there shall be expressly excepted from said conveyance and reserved to the Water Company rights of way for roads to afford ingress and egress to and from adjoining and neighboring lands retained by the Water Company. Such rights of way shall in each case be of the width of fifty (50) feet and shall not exceed six (6) in number as to parcel 70 of twenty-two (22) in number as to parcel 71. Said rights of way shall cross said parcels at right angles and shall

not be spaced closer together than four hundred (400) feet. As to parcel 71 there shall be reserved and excepted the additional right to construct and maintain roadways fifty (50) feet in width across said parcel and adjoining and parallel to and on each side of the railroad right of way of the Southern Pacific Company (San Ramon Branch). There shall also be reserved the right to construct and maintain telephone and power lines on and along each and all of said rights of way, together with the right to construct, use, maintain and repair across said parcels such pipes, ditches and conduits as now exist or as may be necessary to irrigate and drain the adjoining and neighborhood lands of the Water Company not included in this offer.

(8) The conveyance or conveyances transferring the real properties of the Water Company included in this offer shall specifically except and reserve to the Water Company riparian rights attaching to and being part of its lands known as Arroyo Valle lands, which comprise the Arroyo Valle reservoir site, and lands the drainage from which flows to said reservoir site, but for use on the said Arroyo Valle lands; and also the right to pump from the lands known as the Overacker Tract, near Niles, the lands known as the Nusbaumer lands between Sunol and Pleasanton, and the lands known as the Stone lands between Sunol and Niles, such water as may be reasonably necessary for irrigation and domestic use upon said tracts, but not elsewhere. There shall also be expressly reserved the right to receive from the water mains constituting parts of the water system covered by this offer such water as may be needed for use upon the lands in Alameda County known as the Nusbaumer lands, and upon the lands in San Mateo County known as the Howard lands and the Polhemus lands, at rates to be established by the Railroad Commission of the State of California.

(9) The Water Company shall be entitled to charge against its revenues for the period from December 31, 1926, to the date of actual transfer, as an interest burden incurred and paid by the Water Company, the unamortized portion of the bond discount and expense incurred and paid by the Company in the sale of its bonds now outstanding, i. e., the portion of such bond discount and expense which has not already been so charged against the revenues of the Water Company for preceding years.

(10) This offer, in case it be rejected by the City, shall expire with such rejection, and in any case shall expire on December 31, 1927, unless theretofore accepted by the City.

Spring Valley Water Company.
By S. P. Eastman,
President.
By F. Sharon,
Secretary.

Estimate of Cost of Original Construction of Water Supply System.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication, from the Board of Public Works, transmitting Resolution No. 96802 (Second Series) of said Board, approving and transmitting certain book of maps of the properties of the Spring Valley Water Company to be acquired by the City, prepared under the direction of the Board of Public Works by City Engineer M. M. O'Shaughnessy, together with a copy of a letter from the City Engineer, dated April 11, 1927, giving estimates of cost in connection with the purchase of the Spring Valley Water System in compliance with the provisions of Ordinance No. 7449 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors.

Ordered *filed*.

Passed for Printing.

Whereupon, the following bill was presented and *passed for printing*:

Bill No. 7998, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Reciting that plans and estimates of cost of the acquisition, construction and completion of a public utility, to-wit, a water supply, water works, pumping plants, lands, rights of way, storage reservoirs and distributing system, or such portions thereof as may be deemed necessary, and owned by the Spring Valley Water Company, for the purpose of furnishing to the inhabitants of the City and County of San Francisco a supply of good, pure water for the uses of the inhabitants of the City and County of San Francisco, have been filed with the Board of Supervisors by the Board of Public Works, and declaring that the estimated cost thereof cannot be paid from the annual revenue or other funds derived from taxes levied for that purpose, but that all of said estimated cost will require the incurring of a bonded debt. This ordinance is the second of a series of ordinances to be adopted by the Board of Supervisors re-

lating to and designed to secure the acquisition, construction and completion of the public utility herein named.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby recited that the Board of Public Works, on the 11th day of April, 1927, placed on file with the Board of Supervisors plans and estimates of the cost of the original construction and completion by the City and County of San Francisco of a public utility, to-wit: A water supply, water works, pumping plants, lands, rights of way, storage reservoirs and distributing system, or such portions thereof as may be deemed necessary, and owned by the Spring Valley Water Company, for the purpose of furnishing to the inhabitants of the City and County of San Francisco a supply of good, pure water for the uses of the inhabitants of the City and County of San Francisco. That the total estimated cost of such water supply and works as herein described is the sum of forty million ($40,000,000) dollars; that said plans and estimates contain sufficient information to enable the Board of Supervisors to take further proceedings to secure said described public utility and to make the declarations herein contained.

Section 2. It is hereby determined and declared that of the said sum of forty million ($40,000,000) dollars no part can be paid out of the annual revenue of the City and County of San Francisco in addition to the other necessary expenses thereof or other funds derived from taxes levied for that purpose, and will require the incurring of a bonded debt to the amount of forty million ($40,000,000) dollars for the purposes herein recited.

Section 3. This ordinance is the second of a series of ordinances to be adopted by the Board of Supervisors relating to and designed to secure the acquisition, construction and completion of the public utility herein named.

Section 4. This ordinance shall be published in the official newspaper, as required by law, and shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Todd—15.

No—Supervisor Stanton—1.

Absent—Supervisors Badaracco, Bath—2.

Report of Public Buildings Committee on Proposed Amendment Extending the District Within Which Shingle Roofs Are Prohibited.

Consideration of the proposed amendment to the present Shingle District Ordinance was discussed.

Privilege of the Floor.

Fire Chief Murphy urged that the ordinance be passed as a fire protective measure. He stated that in the past two years there have been 2000 fires on shingle roofs. He says the fire wardens are not recommending any particular type of roof, but they are asking that people be required to use what they term "fire retarding roofs."

He said that in the Richmond District 90 per cent of the houses are wooden buildings and shingle roofs. He said that if a big fire started in the Western Addition, in the Park-Presidio District or the Sunset District at a time when there is a strong north wind nothing could protect the district.

Messrs. McCallum and *Art Bevins*, representing the Redwood Association, were also heard in opposition.

On motion of Supervisor Gallagher further hearing was *continued until Monday, April* 18, 1927, *and made a Special Order for* 2:30 *p. m.*

Appreciation of Alfred Hertz, Conductor of San Francisco's Symphony Orchestra.

Supervisor Hayden presented:

Resolution No. 27125 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the splendid presentation of Beethoven's Ninth Symphony, given under the auspices of the City and County of San Francisco, by the San Francisco Symphony Orchestra, Alfred Hertz, conductor, and the Municipal Chorus, on the evening of April the second, brought to a close the sixteenth season of symphony concerts and marked the twenty-fifth anniversary of conducting by Alfred Hertz in America; and

Whereas, after thirteen years of brilliant service at the Metropolitan Opera House, the last twelve years of Alfred Hertz' work in America has been devoted to the upbuilding and development of the San Francisco Symphony Orchestra; and

Whereas, through tireless efforts and profound musicianship of Alfred Hertz, the San Francisco Symphony Orchestra has become one of the foremost symphonic organizations of the world, thus redounding to the great credit of the City of San Francisco; and

Whereas, through the cooperation of the municipal authorities in pro-

viding for the giving of symphony concerts in the Auditorium, great masses of the population have been enabled to understand and enjoy music in its highest form; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco desires to place on record its grateful appreciation of all that Alfred Hertz and the orchestra have done for San Francisco and also to express a feeling of gratification that this Board has been able to be of assistance in these musical achievements.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Deasy—3.

Filling Ocean Shore Cut at Sickles Avenue.

Supervisor Hayden presented:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Ocean Shore right of way or cut between Sickles avenue and Orizaba avenue be filled in as it is rapidly becoming a nuisance and a menace to the health of this district; and be it

Further Resolved, That the sum of $7,000 be appropriated out of any funds that might be available for this purpose.

Referred to Streets Committee.

Removal of Street Railway from Montgomery Street.

Supervisors Kent and Shannon presented:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, traffic congestion is becoming unbearable in the financial center of San Francisco to the extent that the property owners on both sides of Montgomery street, from Post street north to Washington street, have pledged themselves to a fund of $20,000 for the abandonment of the rails on Montgomery street; and

Whereas, the Market Street Railway Company has shown a willingness to cooperate in the abandonment of its tracks on Montgomery street between Post and Washington streets and have stipulated a price of $30,000 to the Montgomery street property owners in which the Market Street Railway Company agrees to abandon its franchise on Montgomery street between Post and Washington streets, tear up the tracks and replace the street in proper repair; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco immediately enter into this agreement as a third party with a view towards standing the difference in expense in tearing up the tracks and placing the street in proper repair.

Referred to the Public Utilities Committee.

SPECIAL ORDER—11 A. M.

Hearing of Appeal, Douglass Street.

Appeal of property owners from the assessment issued for the improvement of Douglass street from the southerly line of Twenty-fifth street to the southerly line of Twenty-sixth street, including the easterly and westerly intersections of Clipper street with Douglass street, and the crossing of Twenty-sixth street and Douglass street; and the improvement of Twenty-sixth street between Diamond and Douglass streets, by grading to official line and grade; by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks of the full official width on the angular corners thereof; by the construction of the necessary catchbasins with accompanying 10-inch ironstone pipe culverts; by the construction of 8-inch and 15-inch ironstone pipe sewers with accompanying Y branches on the 15-inch sewer, and the necessary manholes as may be required; by the construction of a concrete pavement on Douglass street from the southerly line of Twenty-fifth street to a line at right angles with the easterly line of Douglass street at its intersection with the northerly line of Clipper street; by the construction of a concrete pavement on Twenty-sixth street from the westerly line of Diamond street to a line parallel with and 280 feet westerly therefrom, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement on the remainder of the roadways thereof.

Supervisor Marks declared that the appeal of the property owners was denied and the assessment confirmed. However, in the case of a few lots where they had previously paid for their grading an adjustment was made on that basis.

Adopted.

Whereupon, the following resolution was *adopted* by the following vote:

Resolution No. 27128 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the appeals of the property owners from the assessment issued by the Board of Public Works on July 22, 1926, for the improvement of Douglass street

between Twenty-fifth and Twenty-sixth streets, including the intersection of Clipper street and the improvement of Twenty-sixth street between Diamond street and Douglass street, including the crossing of Twenty-sixth and Douglass streets, as described in Resolution of Intention No. 86539 (Second Series), against lots numbered on said assessment, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38, 39, 40, 41, 42, 55, 58, 43, 44, 45, 46, 47, 48, 49, 50, 51, 52, 53, 54, 56, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24 be and the same is hereby denied and the assessment confirmed.

Further Resolved, That the Board of Public Works is hereby directed to modify the assessment issued by the Board of Public Works on July 22, 1926, for the improvement of Douglass street between Twenty-fifth and Twenty-sixth streets, including the intersection of Clipper street, and Twenty-sixth street between Diamond and Douglass streets, including the crossing of Twenty-sixth and Douglass streets, as described in Resolution of Intention No. 86539 (Secord Series), as follows:

Lot No. 1, assessed for $766.79, reduced to $504.29.

Lot No. 2, assessed for $294.11, reduced to $157.61.

Lot No. 3, assessed for $317.01, reduced to $229.51.

Lot No. 4, assessed for $1,593.52, reduced to $1,282.02.

Lot No. 25, assessed for $1,094.88, reduced to $695.88.

Lot No. 26, assessed for $1,830.22, reduced to $1,431.22.

Lot No. 57, assessed for $1,807.88, reduced to $1,020.38.

Lot No. 59, assessed for $575.60, reduced to $400.60.

Lot No. 60, assessed for $575.60, reduced to $400.60.

Lot No. 61, assessed for $1,356.19, reduced to $918.69.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Deasy—3.

Action Deferred.

The following matter, on motion of Supervisor Kent, was *continued one week*:

Notice of Confirmation of Sale.

Notice is hereby given that on Monday, April 4, 1927, at the hour of 10 a. m., the Board of Supervisors, in meeting assembled, will take up for consideration the matter of confirming the sale of certain parcels of land, private sale of which was duly authorized by Ordinance No. 7387 (New Series), to-wit:

Parcels "A," "B" and "C," Meyer Bros., a corporation, $11,635.

Parcel "A"—Beginning at the point of intersection of the northerly line of Twenty-ninth street with the westerly line of Kenyon avenue; thence along said northerly line of Twenty-ninth street south 85 deg. 24 min. 56 sec. west 560 feet to the easterly line of Stanford Heights avenue; thence along said easterly line of Stanford Heights avenue north 4 deg. 35 min. 4 sec. west 228 feet to the southerly line of Valley street; thence along said southerly line of Valley street north 85 deg. 24 min. 56 sec. east 216.295 feet; thence south 58 deg. 36 min. east 145.267 feet; thence along the arc of a curve to the left with a radius of 545 feet tangent to the preceding course southeasterly 227.972 feet; thence tangent to the preceding course south 82 deg. 34 min. east 19.846 feet to the aforesaid westerly line of Kenyon avenue; thence along said westerly line of Kenyon avenue south 4 deg. 35 min. 4 sec. east 46.459 feet to the point of beginning. Being part of Block 60, Stanford Heights, and containing 2.071 acres.

Parcel "B"—Beginning at the point of intersection of the easterly line of Kenyon avenue with the northerly line of Twenty-ninth street; thence along said easterly line of Kenyon avenue north 4 deg. 35 min. 4 sec. west 31.822 feet; thence south 82 deg. 34 min. east 152.831 feet to the aforesaid northerly line of Twenty-ninth street; thence along said northerly line of Twenty-ninth street south 85 deg. 24 min. 56 sec. west 149.482 feet to the point of beginning. Being part of Block 61, Stanford Heights, and containing 0.055 acres.

Parcel "C"—Beginning at the point of intersection of the northerly line of Thirty-first street with the westerly line of Burnett avenue; thence along said northerly line of Thirty-first street south 85 deg. 24 min. 56 sec. west 560 feet to the easterly line of Twin Peaks avenue; thence along said easterly line of Twin Peaks avenue north 4 deg. 35 min. 4 sec. west 228 feet to the southerly line of Clarkson street; thence along said southerly line of Clarkson street north 85 deg. 24 min. 56 sec. east 413.101 feet; thence south 26 deg. 16 min. east 71.833 feet and south 44 deg.

38 min. east 187.186 feet to the aforesaid westerly line of Burnett avenue; thence along said westerly line of Burnett avenue south 4 deg. 35 min. 4 sec. east 17.889 feet to the point of beginning. Being part of Block 90, Stanford Heights, and containing 2.528 acres.

If at said meeting an offer of 10 per cent more in amount than that hereinabove named shall be made to the Supervisors in writing by a responsible person, the Supervisors will confirm such sale to the above named persons or order a new sale, in conforfity with the provisions of the Charter; otherwise said sale to Meyer Bros., a corporation, for $11,635, will be confirmed for the price hereinabove stated.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors.
Dated March 9, 1927.

Accepting Offer of Maude P. Browne et al. to Compromise Litigation for the Acquisition of Land Required for School Purposes.

Supervisor Powers presented:

Resolution No. 27126 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, an offer has been received from Maude P. Browne and Allan H. Browne, her husband, defendants in that certain action entitled "Superior Court of the State of California, in and for the City and County of San Francisco—City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, plaintiff, vs. Anglo-American Land Co.—Maude P. Browne and Allan H. Browne, her husband, defendants, No. 160107 of the records thereof," to compromise said litigation and to convey to the City and County of San Francisco certain lands and improvements situate on the west line of Thirty-first avenue south of Geary street and on the east line of Thirty-second avenue south of Geary street, required for school purposes; and

Whereas, the price at which said parcel of land is offered is the reasonable value thereof; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the offer of the said owners to convey to the City and County of San Francisco a good and sufficient fee simple title to the following described lands, free of all encumbrances, particularly the grading, construction of sewers, curbs and pavements on Thirty-second avenue and the grading in front of said property on Thirty-first avenue, for the sum of $16,594.65 be and the same is hereby accepted, the said lands being described as follows, to-wit:

Commencing at a point on the easterly line of Thirty-second avenue, distant thereon 215 feet southerly from the southerly line of Geary street, running thence southerly and along said line of Thirty-second avenue 101 feet and 10 inches; thence at a right angle easterly 120 feet; thence at a right angle northerly and parallel with said Thirty-second avenue 56 feet and 10 inches; thence at a right angle easterly 120 feet to the westerly line of Thirty-first avenue; thence at a right angle northerly along the said westerly line of Thirty-first avenue 45 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 240 feet to the point of commencement. Being part of Outside Land Block No. 253.

The City Attorney is hereby directed to examine the title to said land, and if the same is found to be vested in the aforesaid owners, free of all encumbrances, and that the taxes up to and including the current fiscal year are paid, and that the so-called McEnerney title has been procured or sufficient money reserved for the purpose of procuring the same, to report the result of his examination to the Board of Supervisors and also to cause a good and sufficient deed for said land to be executed and delivered to the City and County upon payment of the agreed purchase price as aforesaid. And the said deed to said land is hereby accepted.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bunnton, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Deasy—3.

Approval of Senate Bill 863, Relative to Excess Rates Collected by Public Service Corporations.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 27127 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, there is pending in the Legislature Senate Bill No. 863, introduced by Senator Daniel C. Murphy; and

Whereas, this bill provides that all moneys collected by any public utility in excess of the rate fixed by the Railroad Commission or other rate-fixing body which shall have remained unclaimed for more than four years after the final determination of the legality of such

rates shall escheat to the State of California and one year thereafter shall be paid by the State to the county from which said excess rate was collected to be used for public school purposes; and

Whereas, the enactment of this legislation into law is of vital importance to the people as it must be obvious that these unclaimed moneys collected by public utilities in excess of the legal rate primarily belong to the ratepayer, and in the event of his failure to claim same after a reasonable time, due to death, removal or other causes, they should not become the property of the public utility but should escheat to the State as in the case of other unclaimed property; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors approves Senate Bill No. 863 and urges the members of the San Francisco delegation in the Legislature to use their best efforts in securing the passage of this bill

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Deasy—3.

Leave of Absence, Lewis F. Byington.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

San Francisco, Cal.,
April 14, 1927.

Hon. Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen:

Application has been made to me by the Honorable Lewis F. Byington, member of the Board of Supervisors, for a leave of absence, with permission to absent himself from the State of California for a period of five days within the next thirty days, beginning April 11, 1927.

Will you please concur with me in granting this leave of absence?

Respectfully yours,
JAMES ROLPH, JR.,
Mayor.

Whereupon, the following resolution was *adopted*:

Resolution No. 27129 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Supervisor Lewis F. Byington be granted a leave of absence from the State for a period of five (5) days during the next thirty (30) days from date.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Deasy—3.

Mayor to Sell Improvements on School Site.

Supervisor Powers presented:

Resolution No. 27130 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That his Honor the Mayor be and he is hereby authorized and requested to sell at public auction in accordance with provisions of the Charter, the certain frame building situate on property recently purchased by the City and known as No. 509 Otsego avenue.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Deasy—3.

ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business, the Board at the hour of 7 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors .....

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated, and approved as above recited.

.....

Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco.

Vol. 22—New Series No. 16

SAN FRANCISCO PUBLIC LIBRARY

Monday, April 18, 1927

Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
337 Bush Street, S. F.

JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, APRIL 18, 1927, 10 A. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, April 18, 1927, 10 a. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Byington, Roncovieri, Stanton—3.

Supervisor Bath Present.

Supervisor Bath, for the first time in many weeks, after a severe illness of many weeks, appeared at today's meeting. He was heartily welcomed by the membership of the entire Board, who expressed an earnest hope for complete recovery.

Superisor Bath thanked the members of the Board for their good wishes and expressed regret that he had not been able to be at the recent meetings and to vote in favor of the various constructive projects which had come before the Board in his absence, but stated that he would have voted for them had he been present.

Quorum present.

His Honor Mayor Rolph appeared at 10 a. m. and asked to be excused to attend to some important work in his office; whereupon, Supervisor McSheehy was elected to preside.

APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the previous meeting was laid over for approval until next meeting.

ROLL CALL FOR PETITIONS FROM MEMBERS.

Mallen Supply Station Permit.

His Honor Mayor Rolph's veto of Resolution No. 27066 (New Series), which was finally passed by the Board on April 4, 1927, granting A. J. Mallen permission for the establishment of an automobile supply station at the southeast corner of Plymouth and Ocean avenues. The reasons given why the resolution was vetoed were because of the protests which were filed with the Mayor, although there were no protests before the committee nor before the Board when the resolution came up for passage.

Action Deferred.

Action on the foregoing matter was, on motion, *laid over one week.*

Republican National Convention.

Supervisor Gallagher moved that the Finance Committee be authorized to represent the Board at the Chamber of Commerce luncheon to be held on Tuesday, April 19th, at which time it was hoped that a decision would be made as to the National Republican Convention for 1928 being held in San Francisco, and to intimate to the committee that the City would make a substantial appropriation toward the funds necessary to insure the convention being held here.

Supervisor McSheehy suggested that the Finance Committee and a special committee, including Supervisors Shannon and Hayden, be authorized to represent the City at said luncheon.

The latter suggestion was *adopted* and it was *so ordered.*

Relative to Limitation of Heights of Buildings.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication, from G. A. Shaffer, protesting against the proposed limitation of the height of buildings in the district bounded by Fillmore street, Jackson street, Lyon street and the Marina.

Ordered *filed.*

Also, *communication*, from Grace Perego, Secretary Home Builders' Association, protesting against proposed ordinance limiting the heights of buildings in the district bounded by Jackson, Fillmore, Lyon streets and the Marina.

Ordered *filed.*

War Memorial Bond Issue.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication, from Frederick G. Bunch, Post No. 116, transmitting copy of resolution adopted by Post No. 116, American Legion, protesting the placing of the War Memorial Bond Issue on the ballot with controversial measures.

Ordered *filed.*

Also, *communication*, from United Veterans of the Republic, Unit No. 6, transmitting copy of resolution of said organization to the effect that the objection among veterans against the proposed Municipal Opera House and War Memorial measure is due to lack of information and absence of specific plans, and recommending that specific plans and secifications be filed and referred to specifically so that the public will have some idea as to what they are voting for.

Ordered *filed.*

Protest Against Extension of Fireproof Roofing District.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication, from the Park-Presidio Improvement Association, transmitting resolution of said organization opposing the proposed ordinance and enlarging the district within which wooden shingles may be legally used for roof covering.

Ordered *filed.*

Letter of Appreciation, Congressman Fred A. Britten.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication, from Hon. Fred A. Britten, Congressional Representative of the City of Chicago, acknowledging with sincere appreciation and thanks the honor vested upon the deceased's mother by the adoption of the resolution of the Board of Supervisors on the occasion of his recent bereavement.

Ordered *filed.*

Redwood Empire Day Luncheon.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication, from Frederick H. Meyer, President Redwood Empire Association, cordially inviting the entire Board of Supervisors to attend, in a body, the Redwood Empire Day luncheon at the Hotel Mark Hopkins, Monday noon, April 18th.

Accepted.

Grand Jury Investigation.

A communication from the Grand Jury stated that the communication of the Board of Supervisors under date of March 30th had been referred to the Gand Jury Committee on the Board of Supervisors and asking that a committee of the Board of Supervisors be appointed to meet with the Grand Jury in regard to the matter.

On motion of Supervisor Havenner, the chairman was authorized to appoint such a committee.

Notice of Confirmation of Sale.

The following matter was taken up:

Notice is hereby given that on Monday, April 4, 1927, at the hour of 10 a. m., the Board of Supervisors, in meeting assembled, will take up for consideration the matter of confirming the sale of certain parcels of land, private sale of which was duly authorized by Ordinance No. 7387 (New Series), to-wit:

Parcels "A," "B" and "C," Meyer Bros., a corporation, $11,635.

Parcel "A"—Beginning at the point of intersection of the northerly line of Twenty-ninth street with the westerly line of Kenyon avenue; thence along said northerly line of Twenty-ninth street south 85 deg. 24 min. 56 sec. west 560 feet to the easterly line of Stanford Heights avenue; thence along said easterly line of Stanford Heights avenue north 4 deg. 35 min. 4 sec. west 228 feet to the southerly line of Valley street; thence along said southerly line of Valley street north 85 deg. 24 min. 56 sec. east 216.295 feet; thence south 58 deg. 36 min. east 145.267 feet; thence along the arc of a curve to the left with a radius of 545 feet tangent to the preceding course southeasterly 227.972 feet; thence tangent to the preceding course south 82 deg. 34 min. east 19.846 feet to the aforesaid westerly line of Kenyon avenue; thence along said westerly line of Kenyon avenue south 4 deg. 35 min. 4 sec. east 46.459 feet to the point of beginning. Being part of Block 60, Stanford Heights, and containing 2.071 acres.

Parcel "B"—Beginning at the point of intersection of the easterly line of Kenyon avenue with the northerly line of Twenty-ninth street; thence along said easterly line of Kenyon avenue north 4 deg. 35 min. 4 sec. west 31.822 feet; thence south 82 deg. 34 min. east 152.831 feet to the aforesaid northerly line of Twenty-ninth street; thence along said northerly line of Twenty-ninth street south 85 deg. 24 min. 56 sec. west 149.482 feet to the point of beginning. Being part of Block 61, Stanford Heights, and containing 0.055 acres.

Parcel "C"—Beginning at the

point of intersection of the northerly line of Thirty-first street with the westerly line of Burnett avenue; thence along said northerly line of Thirty-first street south 85 deg. 24 min. 56 sec. west 560 feet to the easterly line of Twin Peaks avenue; thence along said easterly line of Twin Peaks avenue north 4 deg. 35 min. 4 sec. west 228 feet to the southerly line of Clarkson street; thence along said southerly line of Clarkson street north 85 deg. 24 min. 56 sec. east 413.101 feet; thence south 26 deg. 16 min. east 71.833 feet and south 44 deg. 36 min. east 187.186 feet to the aforesaid westerly line of Burnett avenue; thence along said westerly line of Burnett avenue south 4 deg. 35 min. 4 sec. east 17.889 feet to the point of beginning. Being part of Block 90, Stanford Heights, and containing 2.528 acres.

If at said meeting an offer of 10 per cent more in amount than that hereinabove named shall be made to the Supervisors in writing by a responsible person, the Supervisors will confirm such sale to the above named persons or order a new sale, in conforfity with the provisions of the Charter; otherwise said sale to Meyer Bros., a corporation, for $11,635, will be confirmed for the price hereinabove stated.

J. S. DUNNIGAN.
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors.
Dated March 9, 1927.

Action Deferred.

Supervisor Havenner moved that the hearing be continued one week and that the City Engineer be requested to furnish a map drawn to scale.

So ordered.

PRESENTATION OF PROPOSALS.

X-Ray Films, San Francisco Hospital.

Sealed proposals were received and opened at the hour of 11 a. m. this day for furnishing X-ray films required for the San Francisco Hospital and *referred to the Supplies Committee.*

Printing, School Department.

Sealed proposals were received and opened at the hour of 11 a. m. this day for furnishing printing for the School Department and *referred to the Supplies Committee.*

Rubber Hose, Parks.

Sealed proposals were received and opened at the hour of 11 a. m. this day for furnishing rubber hose to be used in the Parks and *referred to the Supplies Committee.*

Leave of Absence, Purchaser of Supplies L. Leavy.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

San Francisco, Cal.,
April 14, 1927.

Hon. Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen:

In view of the impending departure of Mr. Leonard S. Leavy, City Purchasing Agent, for the annual convention of the National Association of Purchasing Agents, which is to take place at Grand Rapids, Michigan, next June, application has been made to me by Mr. Leavy for a leave of absence, with permission to absent himself from the State of California for a period of forty days, commencing May 27, 1927.

Will you please concur with me in granting this leave of absence if, in the opinion of your Honorable Board, such a leave is necessary in Mr. Leavy's case under the terms of the Charter.

Respectfully yours,
JAMES ROLPH, JR.,
Mayor.

March 29, 1927.

The Hon. Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, California.

Gentlemen:

The State of California, the Pacific Coast and San Francisco in particular are honored this year by the fact that the Purchaser of Supplies of San Francisco is the chairman of the Governmental Purchasers Group of the National Association of Purchasing Agents, which will have its annual convention in Grand Rapids in June. Your purchaser, Mr. Leonard S. Leavy, has been very active in this group ever since its organization and last June was chosen as its chairman.

The purpose of this group is to bring together the purchasers for the various cities, counties and states of the United States in order that they may compare notes and aid one another in better carrying on their particular work. Each year at our convention these men meet together, not only at our regular sessions, but in a group by themselves, when they work out their more intimate problems and the great success they have had has been due very largely to the work of Mr. Leavy. This is the first time that a representative from the western coast has been chosen chairman of this group, which of itself is an honor to the entire district. In addition to the regular problems,

the governmental folks discuss departmental cooperation both in purchasing and accounting, and generally make recommendations for centralization of warehouse stores and other functions which bring about the benefits from team work. They also consider standardization, specifications and general betterments which come through cooperation with the U. S. Department of Commerce.

Through Mr. Leavy's activity, San Francisco has attracted quite a lot of favorable attention among the cities of the country which are in the foremost ranks in their purchasing. It would seem to be that it would also be very beneficial if the chairman of the Legislative Committee of the Board of Supervisors, working directly with the Purchaser of Supplies, should also attend this meeting because of the benefits which would result to the City of San Francisco in its purchasing. The visits to other cities, which are ordinarily made by the members of this group, both before and after the convention, should be very beneficial also as well as the discussions at the convention itself.

I am hoping that your Board will make it possible for both these men to attend and get the full benefit of this work.

Very truly yours,
W. L. CHANDLER,
Secretary.

Whereupon, the following resolution was presented and *adopted*:

Resolution No. 27132 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Purchaser of Supplies Leonard S. Leavy is hereby granted a leave of absence, for forty days, commencing May 28, 1927, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Stanton—2.

Leave of Absence, Chief of Police O'Brien.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

San Francisco, Cal.,
April 7, 1927.

Hon. Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen:

Application has been made to me by the Honorable D. J. O'Brien, Chief of Police of the City and County of San Francisco, for a leave of absence, with permission to absent himself from the State of California, for a period of sixty (60) days, commencing May 26, 1927.

Will you please concur with me in granting this leave of absence?

Respectfully yours,
JAMES ROLPH, JR.,
Mayor.

April 5, 1927.

Honorable Board of Supervisors, City and County of San Francisco, City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen:

Pursuant to the provisions of Section III, Article XVI of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, I respectfully request permission to leave the State of California, for a period not in excess of sixty days, commencing May 26, 1927.

Respectfully,
D. J. O'BRIEN,
Chief of Police.

Whereupon, the following resolution was presented and *adopted*:

Resolution No. 27131 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Hon. D. J. O'Brien, Chief of Police, is hereby granted a leave of absence, for a period of sixty days, commencing May 26, 1927, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Stanton—2.

Leave of Absence, Supervisors Todd, Gallagher and Roncovieri.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

San Francisco, Cal.,
April 18, 1927.

Hon. Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen:

Application has been made to me by Hon. Charles F. Todd, Hon. Andrew J. Gallagher and Hon. Alfred Roncovieri, members of your Board, for leave of absence, with permission to leave the State of California for the period April 20th to May 1st.

I hereby request that you concur with me in granting said leave of absence.

Yours very truly,
JAMES ROLPH, JR.,
Mayor.

Leave of Absence, Charles F. Todd.

Resolution No. 27148 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Hon. Chas. F. Todd, member of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, be and he is hereby granted a leave of absence of ten (10) days from and after April 20, 1927, with permission to leave the State.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Stanton—1.

Leave of Absence, Supervisor A. J. Gallagher.

Resolution No. 27149 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Hon. Andrew J. Gallagher, member of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, be and he is hereby granted a leave of absence of ten (10) days from and after April 20, 1927, with permission to leave the State.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Stanton—2.

Leave of Absence, Supervisor Alfred Roncovieri.

Resolution No. 27150 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Hon. Alfred Roncovieri, member of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, be and he is hereby granted a leave of absence of ten (10) days from and after April 20, 1927, with permission to leave the State.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Stanton—2.

Leave of Absence, Health Commissioner L. Arnstein.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

San Francisco, Cal.,
April 16, 1927.

Hon. Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen:

Application has been made to me by the Honorable Lawrence Arnstein, member of the Board of Public Health, for a leave of absence, with permission to absent himself from the State of California, for a period of two weeks, commencing April 17, 1927.

Will you please concur with me in granting this leave of absence?

Very sincerely yours,
JAMES ROLPH, JR.,
Mayor.

April 15, 1927.

To the Honorable, the Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Calif.

Gentlemen:

In accordance with the provisions of Section 3, Article XVI of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, I herewith respectfully request permission to absent myself from the City and County of San Francisco and the State of California for a period of two weeks from April 17, 1927.

In conformity with Charter provisions I have this day forwarded a similar communication to his Honor James Rolph, Jr., Mayor, City and County of San Francisco.

Very truly yours,
LAWRENCE ARNSTEIN,
Member Board of Health.

Whereupon, the following resolution was presented and *adopted*:

Resolution No. 27152 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Honorable Lawrence Arnstein, member of the Board of Health, is hereby granted a leave of absence, for a period of two weeks, commencing April 17, 1927, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Stanton—2.

UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

Final Passage.

The following matters, heretofore passed for printing, were taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Authorizations.

Resolution No. 27134 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

Park Fund.

(1) S. T. Johnson Co., furnishing and installing equipment for pump, Golden Gate Park (claim dated April 8, 1927), $805.

Tearing-Up Streets Fund.

(2) Gladding, McBean & Co, sewer pipe for sidesewers (claim dated March 31, 1927), $1,260.

County Road Fund.

(3) James M. Smith, first payment, improvement of Castro street, Twenty-ninth to Thirtieth streets (claim dated April 6, 1927), $4,650.

General Fund, 1925-1926.

(4) Clarence B. Eaton, final payment, construction of Forty-third avenue main sewer, Contract 1, Section A (claim dated April 6, 1927), $1,875.26.

Sunset Tunnel Assessment Fund.

(5) Leonard F. Youdall and R. G. Clifford, tenth payment, construction of tunnel under Buena Vista Park (claim dated April 6, 1927), $57,511.08.

Special School Tax.

(6) L. Flatland, extra work, electrical work for addition to Madison School (claim dated April 1, 1927), $1,427.94.

(7) John Reid, Jr., fourth payment, architectural services for addition to Commodore Sloat School (claim dated April 4, 1927), $639.75.

(8) Standard Electrical Construction Co., sixth payment, electrical work, additional units to Galileo High School (claim dated April 5, 1927), $1,556.62.

(9) E. K. Nelson, fourth payment, general construction of addition to Madison School (claim dated April 4, 1927), $9,531.19.

(10) O. Monson, eleventh payment, general construction of additional units to Galileo High School (claim dated April 6, 1927), $14,-861.70.

Hetch Hetchy Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1925.

(11) Crucible Steel Company of America, drill steel for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated April 1, 1927), $9,350.75.

(12) Hercules Powder Company, explosives, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated March 31, 1927), $5,100.

(13) Ingersoll-Rand Co. of California, machinery parts, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated March 31, 1927), $957.95.

(14) Standard Oil Company of California, gasoline, oils, etc., Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated April 1, 1927), $678.37.

(15) Western Pipe & Steel Company, air pipe, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated March 31, 1927), $915.10.

(16) Bald Eagle Meat Market, meats furnished, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated April 2, 1927), $4,301.07.

Hetch Hetchy Power Operative Fund.

(17) Pelton Water Wheel Company, repairs to turbine at Early Intake, Hetch Hetchy (claim dated April 2, 1927), $559.95.

Municipal Railway Accident Reserve Fund.

(18) Joseph Montelatici, also known as Jio Montelatici, in full settlement of claim against the Municipal Railways for account of damages sustained due to personal injuries received September 15, 1925 (claim dated April 6, 1927), $1,750.

(19) Elizabeth George, in full settlement of claim for damages on account of personal injuries sustained February 28, 1926 (claim dated April 6, 1927), $2,500.

School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923.

(20) The Gunn Furniture Company, desks for Edison School (claim dated April 5, 1927), $630.

(21) C. F. Weber & Co., Inc., chairs for the Lafayette School (claim dated April 5, 1927), $1,340.

(22) C. F. Weber & Co., Inc., chairs for the Sanchez School (claim dated April 5, 1927), $1,340.

(23) American Studios, first and final payment, stage fittings and draperies for New Mission High School (claim dated April 6, 1927), $7,434.

(24) Alta Electric Company, final payment, electrical work for addition to the Guadalupe School (claim dated April 6, 1927), $1,-304.81.

(25) Amoroso & Damico, tenth payment, general construction of Edison School (claim dated April 5, 1927), $30,275.47.

(26) Anderson & Ringrose, third payment, general construction of Hearst-Moulder School (claim dated April 4, 1927), $13,385.48.

(27) Anderson & Ringrose, fourth payment, construction of additions to the Sunnyside School (claim

(28) Burnham Plumbing Co., final payment, plumbing, etc., for dated April 5, 1927), $4,455.
new Mission High School (claim dated April 6, 1927), $11,549.84.

(29) Crown Electric Co., sixteenth payment, electrical work for the new Mission High School (claim dated April 6, 1927), $4,-508.69.

(30) Crown Electric Co., eighth payment, electrical work for the Edison School (claim dated April 6, 1927), $1,049.02.

(31) Latourette-Fical Co., final

payment, mechanical equipment for addition to Francis Scott Key School (claim dated April 6, 1927), $2,417.38.

(32) John Reid, Jr., fifth payment, architectural services for Mission (Everett) Junior High School (claim dated April 5, 1927), $771.06.

Playground Fund.

(33) Wallace & Tiernan, chlorinator for North Beach Playground (claim dated April 6, 1927), $635.

(34) W. J. Mahoney & Son, loam for playgrounds (claim dated April 6, 1927), $628.50.

(35) Eaton & Smith, reconstructing and refencing Jackson Tennis Court (claim dated April 6, 1927), $1,485.

(36) Spring Valley Water Company, water for playgrounds (claim dated April 6, 1927), $505.34.

(37) Klawans & McMullin, basketballs for playgrounds (claim dated April 6, 1927), $1,280.

(38) Sonoma State Home, maintenance of feeble-minded (claim dated April 11, 1927), $9,350.

(39) Feeble-minded Department of the Juvenile Court, employments and expenses for the months of February and March, 1927 (claim dated April 7, 1927), $876.48.

(40) San Francisco Bulletin, official advertising (claim dated April 11, 1927), $2,701.23.

(41) Hirsch & Kaye, studio lighting units for Police Photograph Gallery (claim dated April 4, 1927), $632.50.

(42) Frank J. McHugh, first payment, construction of westerly Sunset sewer, Section B (claim dated April 6, 1927), $9,000.

(43) Lottie M. Neil, fourth payment, installation of safety lane markers (claim dated April 6, 1927), $1,500.

(44) Schultz Construction Co., fifth payment, laying of high-pressure mains in Butchertown District (claim dated April 6, 1927), $5,000.

(45) School repairs, Budget Item No. 1, for stock withdrawals used for painting the San Francisco Hospital (claim dated March 29, 1927), $720.67.

(46) Eclipse Lime & Cement Co., cement for street repair (claim dated April 6, 1927), $2,693.74.

(47) Standard Oil Company, asphalt for street repair (claim dated April 6, 1927), $1,983.08.

(48) Lesser Bros. Co., meats for Relief Home (claim dated April 7, 1927), $2,106.27.

(49) Greenebaum, Weil & Michels, clothing for Relief Home (claim dated April 7, 1927), $1,348.

(50) Levi Strauss & Co., muslin for Relief Home (claim dated April 7, 1927), $592.58.

(51) Haas Bros., tobacco for Relief Home (claim dated April 7, 1927), $2,040.03.

(52) Healy & Donaldson, tobacco for Relief Home (claim dated April 7, 1927), $615.

(53) Lesser Bros., meats for Relief Home (claim dated April 7, 1927), $1,161.30.

(54) Sperry Flour Company, flour for Relief Home (claim dated April 7, 1927), $687.80.

Hetch Hetchy Operative Revenue Fund.

(55) Railroad Commission of the State of California, for April expense of valuation proceedings of electric properties for account of San Francisco (claim dated April 11, 1927), $1,500.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shanno Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Stanton—1.

Appropriations, Properties Required For Bay Shore Boulevard.

Resolution No. 27135 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of County Road Fund (appropriation heretofore made) for purchase of properties required for the construction of the Bay Shore boulevard and Bernal Cut opening, as follows, to-wit:

(1) To Henry Harder and Elsie Harder, for property required for the Bay Shore boulevard, as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 27073 (New Series) (claim dated April 6, 1927), $8,357.

(2) To Joseph Meagher and Daniel Meagher, for property required for the Bay Shore boulevard, as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 27074 (New Series) (claim dated April 6, 1927), $26,167.

(3) To Pietro Zanardi and Rosa Zanardi, for property required for the Bay Shore boulevard, as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 27075 (New Series) (claim dated April 6, 1927), $9,829.

(4) To Paul A. Caruso and Lena M. Caruso, for property required for the opening of the Bernal Cut, as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 27071 (New Series) (claim dated April 6, 1927), $4,310.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Pow-

ers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shann Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Stanton—1.

Appropriation, $450,562, General Construction, Etc., Portola Junior High School.

Resolution No. 27136 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923, for the cost of construction of the Portola Junior High School, to-wit:

For general construction (O. Monson contract), $342,760; for electrical work (Pacific Electrical Construction Company contract), $20,340; for mechanical equipment (Scott Co. contract), $26,569; for plumbing, gasfitting and sprinkler system (Thos. Skelly contract), $36,000; for architect's fees, $4,893; for extras, incidentals and inspection, $20,000; total, $450,562.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shann Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Stanton—1.

Appropriation, Grading, etc., South Side High School; Architectual Fee, Paul Revere School.

Resolution No. 27137 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of Special School Tax, Budget Item No. 1, Fiscal Year 1926-1927, for school purposes, to-wit:

(1) For cost of grading the site of the South Side High School, per award to Granfield, Farrar & Carlin, $10,212.

(2) For engineering fees, extras and incidentals, $1,500.

(3) For cost of architectural fees for the annex to the Paul Revere School, Folsom street and Tompkins avenue, $9,000.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shann Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Stanton—1.

Appropriation, $5,000, Improvement of Sacramento Street Between Davis and Battery Streets.

Resolution No. 27138 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $5,000 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of County Road Fund, to complete the cost of the improvement of Sacramento street between Davis and Battery streets.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shann Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Stanton—1.

Appropriations, Water Service, Etc., Airport; Construction of Administration Building, Airport.

Resolution No. 27139 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of Municipal Airport, Budget Item No. 60, for the hereinafter mentioned purposes, to-wit:

(1) For cost of construction of water service, drainage and marking, Contract No. 2, San Francisco Municipal Airport, per award of contract to Latourette-Fical Co., $9,325.88.

(2) For cost of construction of the administration building, Contract No. 3, San Francisco Municipal Airport, per award of contract to Elliot & Grant, $7,968.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shanno Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Stanton—1.

Appropriation, $3,000, Credit of Tubercular Sanitarium Fund.

Resolution No. 27140 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $3,000 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of Urgent Necessity, Budget Item No. 25, to the credit of the Tubercular Sanitarium Fund; and the sum of $2,760 is set aside and appropriated out of "Improvements, San Francisco Hospital," Budget Item No. 84, to the credit of the Tubercular Sanitarium Fund.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shann Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Stanton—1.

Appropriations for Kitchen Equipment, Paving, Electric Lighting Fixtures, Tubercular Sanitarium.

Resolution No. 27141 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following

amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of the Tubercular Sanitarium Fund, for the following purposes, to-wit:

(1) For the purchase of kitchen equipment for the Tuberculosis Preventorium, San Mateo County, $6,727.55.

(2) For paving at the Tuberculosis Preventorium, San Mateo County, $2,500.

(3) For electric lighting fixtures at the Tuberculosis Preventorium, San Mateo County, $1,500.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Stanton—1.

Appropriation, $792, Grading Front of City Property, Tompkins Avenue Between Banks and Folsom Streets.

Resolution No. 27142 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $792 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of "Street Work in Front of City Property," Budget Item No. 36, for the cost of grading and paving in front of City property on the north side of Tompkins avenue between Banks and Folsom streets; per offer of Fay Improvement Company.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Stanton—1.

Oil Tank Permits.

Resolution No. 27143 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits be and are hereby granted:

Oil Tanks.

Henry Ernst & Sons, southeast corner of Grove and Laguna streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

Henry Ernst & Sons, north side of Fulton street between Gough and Franklin streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

Goodfriend & Jacobson, 2901 Mariposa street, 2500 gallons capacity.

Edward Jose, southwest corner of Vallejo and Franklin streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

Frank J. Klimm Co., 118 Kearny street, 1500 gallons capacity.

P. M. Midbust, west side of Fillmore street, 70 feet north of Beach street, 1500 gallons capacity.

P. M. Midbust, northwest corner of Beach and Fillmore streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

Rhine & Stoff, north side of Clay street, 131 feet west of Baker street, 1500 gallons capacity.

R. E. Romano, southwest corner of Parker avenue and McAllister street, 1500 gallons capacity.

A. E. Somerton, west side of Pierce street, 100 feet north of Ellis street, 1500 gallons capacity.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits shall become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Stanton—1.

Automobile Supply Station Permit.

Resolution No. 27144 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Shell Company of California be and it is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an automobile supply station on the southeast corner of Seventeenth and Guerrero streets.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Stanton—1.

Plans and Estimates of Cost, Municipal Railway Extensions.

Bill No. 7996, Ordinance No. 7500 (New Series), as follows:

Reciting that plans and estimates of cost of the original construction and completion of a public utility, to-wit:

The extension of the Municipal Street Railway or system of street railways along the route or in the territory described as follows:

From Scott and Chestnut streets into the Marina Tract, west of Scott street and north of Chestnut street; from the Municipal Railway track at Masonic avenue and Turk street into the Richmond District, connecting with the Municipal Railway tracks running to the Ocean Beach; from the Municipal Railway tracks on Market street at Duboce avenue, through the Sunset-Duboce tunnel into the Sunset District and through the Sunset District to a

terminal near the Ocean Beach, with connecting cross-town service west of Twentieth avenue between said extension and the existing Municipal Railway line on Taraval street; from the Municipal Railway tracks at Forty-sixth avenue and Taraval street, southerly to a terminal near Wawona street and Forty-seventh avenue; from the Municipal Railway tracks at Thirtieth and Church streets, southwesterly through the Bernal Cut, into the Excelsior District, at or near Excelsior street; thence southerly through the Excelsior District to a terminal at Geneva avenue; from Seventeenth street and Market street in a southwesterly direction to, at or near Eureka street and Market street; thence in a southerly direction on and over Eureka street or other most feasible route to Twenty-third street at or near Eureka street; thence in an easterly direction on and over Twenty-third street or other most feasible route to Diamond street; thence in a southerly direction on or over Diamond street or other most feasible route to Twenty-ninth street; an automobile bus service from the vicinity of Seventeenth street and Potrero avenue by the most feasible route to the vicinity of Twenty-third street and Wisconsin street, and for such other extensions and additions to the trackage and facilities of the said Municipal Railway System as may be deemed necessary, and to include equipment thereof, appurtenances thereto and the purchase of necessary lands, and declaring that the estimated cost thereof cannot be paid from the annual revenue, or other funds derived from taxes levied for that purpose, but that all of said estimated cost will require the incurring of a bonded debt. This ordinance is the second of a series of ordinances to be adopted by the Board of Supervisors relating to the acquisition, construction and completion of the public utility herein named.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby recited that the Board of Public Works, on the 1st day of April, 1927, placed on file with the Board of Supervisors plans and estimates of the cost of the original construction and completion by the City and County of San Francisco of a public utility, to-wit: From Scott and Chestnut streets into the Marina Tract, west of Scott street and north of Chestnut street; from the Municipal Railway track at Masonic avenue and Turk street into the Richmond District, connecting with the Municipal Railway tracks running to the Ocean Beach; from the Municipal Railway tracks on Market street at Duboce avenue, through the Sunset-Duboce tunnel into the Sunset District and through the Sunset District to a terminal near the Ocean Beach, with connecting cross-town service west of Twentieth avenue between said extension and the existing Municipal Railway line on Taraval street; from the Municipal Railway tracks at Forty-sixth avenue and Traval street, southerly to a terminal near Wawona street and Forty-seventh avenue; from the Municipal Railway tracks at Thirtieth and Church streets, southwesterly through the Bernal Cut, into the Excelsior District, at or near Excelsior street; thence southerly through the Excelsior District to a terminal at Geneva avenue; from Seventeenth street and Market street in a southwesterly direction to, at or near Eureka street and Market street; thence in a southerly direction on and over Eureka street or other most feasible route to Twenty-third street at or near Eureka street; thence in an easterly direction on and over Twenty-third street or other most feasible route to Diamond street; thence in a southerly direction on or over Diamond street or other most feasible route to Twenty-ninth street; an automobile bus service from the vicinity of Seventeenth street and Potrero avenue by the most feasible route to the vicinity of Twenty-third street and Wisconsin street, and for such other extensions and additions to the trackage and facilities of the said Municipal Railway System as may be deemed necessary, and to include equipment thereof, appurtenances thereto and the purchase of necessary lands. That the total estimated cost of the extension of the Municipal Street Railway or system of street railways is the sum of four million seven hundred thousand ($4,700,000) dollars; that said plans and estimates contain sufficient information to enable the Board of Supervisors to take further proceedings to secure said described public utility and to make the declarations herein contained.

Section 2. It is hereby determined and declared that of the sum of four million seven hundred thou-

sand ($4,700,000) dollars no part can be paid out of the annual revenue of the City and County in addition to the other necessary expenses thereof, or other funds derived from taxes levied for that purpose and will require the incurring of a bonded debt to the amount of four million seven hundred thousand ($4,700,000) dollars for the purposes herein recited.

Section 3. This ordinance is the second of a series of ordinances to be adopted by the Board of Supervisors relating to and designed to secure the acquisition, construction and completion of the permanent improvement herein described.

Section 4. This ordinance shall be published in the official newspaper as required by law and shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Stanton—1.

Plans and Estimates of Cost, War Memorial Buildings.

Bill No. 7997, Ordinance No. 7501 (New Series), as follows:

Reciting that plans and estimates of cost of the original construction and completion of a permanent improvement, to-wit: Public buildings in, or adjacent to the Civic Center of the City and County of San Francisco, to be used as a Memorial Hall for war veterans and for educational, recreational, entertainment and other municipal purposes, and the purchase of all equipment and furnishings necessary for said buildings, and declaring that the estimated cost thereof cannot be paid from the annual revenue or other funds derived from taxes levied for that purpose but that all of said estimated cost will require the incurring of a bonded debt. This ordinance is the second of a series of ordinances to be adopted by the Board of Supervisors relating to the acquisition, construction and completion of the permanent improvement herein described.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby recited that the Board of Public Works, on the 11th day of April, 1927, placed on file with the Board of Supervisors plans and estimates of the cost of the original construction and completion by the City and County of San Francisco of the following described permanent improvement, to-wit: Public buildings in, or adjacent to the Civic Center of the City and County of San Francisco, to be used as a Memoral Hall for war veterans and for educational, recreational, entertainment and other municipal purposes, and the purchase of all equipment and furnishings necessary for said buildings. That the total estimated cost of such permanent improvement is the sum of four million ($4,000,000) dollars and that said plans and estimates contain sufficient information to enable the Board of Supervisors to take further proceedings to cause the construction of the permanent improvement and to make the declarations herein contained.

Section 2. It is hereby determined and declared that of the said sum of four million ($4,000,000) dollars no part can be paid out of the annual revenue of the City and County in addition to the other necessary expenses thereof or other funds derived from taxes levied for that purpose and will require the incurring of a bonded debt to the amount of four million ($4,000,000) dollars for the purposes herein recited.

Section 3. This ordinance is the second of a series of ordinances to be adopted by the Board of Supervisors relating to and designed to secure the construction and completion of the permanent improvement herein described.

Section 4. This ordinance shall be published in the official newspaper as required by law and shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Stanton—1.

Plans and Estimates of Cost, Water Supply.

Bill No. 7998, Ordinance No. 7502 (New Series), as follows:

Reciting that plans and estimates of cost of the acquisition, construction and completion of a public utility, to-wit, a water supply, water works, pumping plants, lands, rights of way, storage reservoirs and distributing system, or such portions thereof as may be deemed necessary, and owned by the Spring Valley Water Company, for the purpose of furnishing to the inhabitants of the City and County of San Francisco a supply of good, pure water

for the uses of the inhabitants of the City and County of San Francisco, have been filed with the Board of Supervisors by the Board of Public Works, and declaring that the estimated cost thereof cannot be paid from the annual revenue or other funds derived from taxes levied for that purpose, but that all of said estimated cost will require the incurring of a bonded debt. This ordinance is the second of a series of ordinances to be adopted by the Board of Supervisors relating to and designed to secure the acquisition, construction and completion of the public utility herein named.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby recited that the Board of Public Works, on the 11th day of April, 1927, placed on file with the Board of Supervisors plans and estimates of the cost of the original construction and completion by the City and County of San Francisco of a public utility, to-wit: A water supply, water works, pumping plants, lands, rights of way, storage reservoirs and distributing system, or such portions thereof as may be deemed necessary, and owned by the Spring Valley Water Company, for the purpose of furnishing to the inhabitants of the City and County of San Francisco a supply of good, pure water for the uses of the inhabitants of the City and County of San Francisco. That the total estimated cost of such water supply and works as herein described is the sum of forty million ($40,000,000) dollars; that said plans and estimates contain sufficient information to enable the Board of Supervisors to take further proceedings to secure said described public utility and to make the declarations herein contained.

Section 2. It is hereby determined and declared that of the said sum of forty million ($40,000,000) dollars no part can be paid out of the annual revenue of the City and County of San Francisco in addition to the other necessary expenses thereof or other funds derived from taxes levied for that purpose, and will require the incurring of a bonded debt to the amount of forty million ($40,000,000) dollars for the purposes herein recited.

Section 3. This ordinance is the second of a series of ordinances to be adopted by the Board of Supervisors relating to and designed to secure the acquisition, construction and completion of the public utility herein named.

Section 4. This ordinance shall be published in the official newspaper, as required by law, and shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shanno, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Stanton—1.

Fixing Sidewalk Widths on Romain Street Between Douglass Street and Corbett Avenue.

Bill No. 7987, Ordinance No. 7503 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered nine hundred and fifty-eight.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Board of Public Works, filed in this office March 31, 1927, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered nine hundred and fifty-eight, to read as follows:

Section 958. The width of sidewalks on Romain street between Douglass street and Corbett avenue shall be eight (8) feet.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect and be in force from and after its passage.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shanno, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Stanton—1.

Changing Grades on Cayuga Avenue, Santa Rosa Avenue, Bauer Street and San Juan Avenue.

Bill No. 7988, Ordinance No. 7504 (New Series), as follows:

Changing and reestablishing the official grades on Cayuga avenue between the northeasterly line of Santa Rosa avenue and Santa Ynez avenue; on Santa Rosa avenue between Alemany and Capistrano avenues; on Bauer street between Alemany and Cayuga avenues, and on San Juan avenue between Capistrano and Cayuga avenues.

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors, on the written recommenda-

tion of the Board of Public Works, did, on the 11th day of February, 1927, by Resolution No. 26767 (New Series) declare its intention to change and reestablish the grades on Cayuga avenue between the northeasterly line of Santa Rosa avenue and Santa Ynez avenue; on Santa Rosa avenue between Alemany and Capistrano avenues; on Bauer street between Alemany and Cayuga avenues, and on San Juan avenue between Capistrano and Cayuga avenues.

Whereas, said resolution was so published for ten days, and the Board of Public Works within ten days after the first publication of said resolution of intention caused notices of the passage of said resolution to be conspicuously posted along all streets specified in the resolution, in the manner and as provided by law; and

Whereas, more than forty days has elapsed since the first publication of said resolution of intention; therefore; be it

Ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The grades on the following named streets at the points hereinafter named and at the elevations above city base as hereinafter stated, are hereby changed and established as follows:

Cayuga Avenue.

15 feet southeasterly from the northwesterly line of, at Santa Rosa avenue northeasterly line, 116.60 feet.

15 feet northwesterly from the southeasterly line of, at Santa Rosa avenue northeasterly line, 117.00 feet.

12 feet southwesterly from Santa Rosa avenue northeasterly line, at Cayuga avenue northwesterly line, 116.60 feet.

12 feet northeasterly from Santa Rosa avenue southwesterly line, at Cayuga avenue northwesterly line, 116.60 feet.

15 feet southeasterly from the northwesterly line of, at Santa Rosa avenue southwesterly line, 116.60 feet.

15 feet northwesterly from the southeasterly line of, at Santa Rosa avenue southwesterly line, 117.00 feet.

On a line at right angles to the southwesterly line of Santa Rosa avenue, at Cayuga avenue southeasterly line, 117.50 feet.

15 feet northwesterly from the southeasterly line of, on a line at right angles to the northwesterly line of, 763.12 feet southwesterly from Santa Rosa avenue, 122.50 feet.

Northwesterly curb line of, 769.73 feet southwesterly from Santa Rosa avenue, 122.50 feet.

Northeasterly curb line of San Juan avenue, at Cayuga avenue northwesterly line, 122.60 feet.

Northerly curb line of Bauer street, at Cayuga avenue southeasterly line, 122.60 feet.

Southwesterly curb line of San Juan avenue, at Cayuga avenue northwesterly line, 122.90 feet.

On a line at right angles to the northwesterly line of, at San Juan avenue southwesterly line, 123.00 feet.

On a line at right angles to the northwesterly line of, at Santa Ynez avenue northeasterly line, 129.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Santa Rosa Avenue.

On a line at right angles to the northeasterly line of, at Alemany avenue northwesterly line, 141.20 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

On a line at right angles to the southwesterly line of, at Cayuga avenue southeasterly line, 117.50 feet.

15 feet northwesterly from Cayuga avenue southeasterly line, at Santa Rosa avenue northeasterly line, 117.00 feet.

15 feet southeasterly from Cayuga avenue northwesterly line, at Santa Rosa avenue northeasterly line, 116.60 feet.

15 feet northwesterly from Cayuga avenue southwesterly line, at Santa Rosa avenue southwesterly line, 117.00 feet.

15 feet southeasterly from Cayuga avenue northwesterly line, at Santa Rosa avenue southwesterly line, 116.60 feet.

12 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, at Cayuga avenue northwesterly line, 116.60 feet.

12 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, at Cayuga avenue northwesterly line, 116.60 feet.

12 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, 247.94 feet northwesterly from Cayuga avenue, 118.42 feet.

12 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, 286.49 feet northwesterly from Cayuga avenue, 118.73 feet.

Capistrano avenue, 120.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Bauer Street.

On a line at right angles to the northeasterly line of, at Alemany avenue northwesterly line, 150.00

feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Northerly curb line of, at Cayuga avenue southeasterly line, 122.60 feet.

San Juan Avenue.

Capistrano avenue, 124.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

12 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, 114.27 feet southeasterly from Capistrano avenue, 123.29 feet.

12 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, 109.69 feet southeasterly from Capistrano avenue, 123.46 feet.

Northeasterly curb line of, at Cayuga avenue northwesterly line, 122.60 feet.

Southwesterly curb line of, at Cayuga avenue northwesterly line, 122.90 feet.

On Cayuga avenue between the northeasterly line of Santa Rosa avenue and Santa Ynez avenue; on Santa Rosa avenue between Alemany and Capistrano avenues; on Bauer street between Alemany and Cayuga avenues, and on San Juan avenue between Capistrano and Cayuga avenues, changed and established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shanahan, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Stanton—1.

Changing Grades on Lyon, Francisco and Bay Streets.

Bill No. 7989, Ordinance No. 7505 (New Series), as follows:

Changing and reestablishing the official grades on Lyon street between a line at right angles to the easterly line of, 200 feet northerly from Chestnut street and North Point street, and on Francisco and Bay streets between Baker and Lyon streets.

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors, on the written recommendation of the Board of Public Works, did, on the 15th day of February, 1927, by Resolution No. 26793 (New Series), declare its intention to change and reestablish the grades on Lyon street between a line at right angles to the easterly line of, 200 feet northerly from Chestnut street and North Point street, and on Francisco and Bay streets between Baker and Lyon streets.

Whereas, said resolution was so published for ten days, and the Board of Public Works within ten days after the first publication of said resolution of intention caused notices of the passage of said resolution to be conspicuously posted along all streets specified in the resolution, in the manner and as provided by law; and

Whereas, more than forty days has elapsed since the first publication of said resolution of intention; therefore; be it

Ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The grades on the following named streets at the points hereinafter named and at the elevations above city base as hereinafter stated, are hereby changed and established as follows:

Lyon Street.

On a line at right angles to the easterly line of, 200 feet northerly from Chestnut street, 13.48 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

On a line at right angles to the easterly line of, at Francisco street southerly line, 10.00 feet.

15 feet northerly from Francisco street southerly line, at Lyon street easterly line, 9.85 feet.

15 feet southerly from Francisco street northerly line, at Lyon street easterly line, 9.47 feet.

19 feet westerly from the easterly line of, at Francisco street northerly line, 9.32 feet.

19 feet easterly from the westerly line of, on a line at right angles to the easterly line of, at Francisco street northerly line, 9.32 feet.

Bay street, 6.60 feet.

North Point street, 5.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Francisco Street.

Baker street, 6.50 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

15 feet northerly from the southerly line of, at Lyon street easterly line, 9.85 feet.

15 feet southerly from the northerly line of, at Lyon street easterly line, 9.47 feet.

Bay Street.

Baker street, 4.50 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Lyon street, 6.60 feet.

On Lyon street between a line at right angles to the easterly line of, 200 feet northerly from Chestnut street and North Point street, and on Francisco and Bay streets between Baker and Lyon streets, changed and established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shanahan, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Stanton—1.

Changing Grades on Sussex Street.

Bill No. 7990, Ordinance No. 7506 (New Series), as follows:

Changing and reestablishing the official grades on Sussex street between Conrad street and the westerly curb line of Diamond street.

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors, on the written recommendation of the Board of Public Works, did, on the 28th day of January, 1927, by Resolution No. 26736 (New Series), declare its intention to change and reestablish the grades on Sussex street between Conrad street and the westerly curb line of Diamond street; and

Whereas, said resolution was so published for ten days, and the Board of Public Works within ten days after the first publication of said resolution of intention caused notices of the passage of said resolution to be conspicuously posted along all streets specified in the resolution, in the manner and as provided by law; and

Whereas, more than forty days has elapsed since the first publication of said resolution of intention; therefore; be it

Ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The grades on the following named streets at the points hereinafter named and at the elevations above city base as hereinafter stated, are hereby changed and established as follows:

Sussex Street.

Conrad street intersection, 314 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

10 feet southerly from the northerly line of, 59.40 feet easterly from Conrad street, 312.42 feet.

10 feet southerly from the northerly line of, 79.40 feet easterly from Conrad street, 311.48 feet.

10 feet southerly from the northerly line of, 99.40 feet easterly from Conrad street, 309.76 feet.

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

10 feet northerly from the southerly line of, 59.40 feet easterly from Conrad street, 311.44 feet.

10 feet northerly from the southerly line of, 79.40 feet easterly from Conrad street, 310.25 feet.

10 feet northerly from the southerly line of, 99.40 feet easterly from Conrad street, 308.42 feet.

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

10 feet northerly from the southerly line of, at Van Buren street westerly line, 302 feet.

Southerly line of, 8.07 feet easterly from Van Buren street westerly line, 300.80 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Southerly line of, 8.07 feet westerly from Van Buren street easterly line, 300.80 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

10 feet northerly from the southerly line of, at Van Buren street easterly line, 301 feet.

10 feet southerly from the northerly line of, 55.86 feet westerly from the first curve easterly from Conrad street, 305.08 feet.

10 feet southerly from the northerly line of, 40.36 feet westerly from the first curve easterly from Conrad street, 303.61 feet.

10 feet southerly from the northerly line of, 24.86 feet westerly from the first curve easterly from Conrad street, 302.50 feet.

10 feet southerly from the northerly line of, at the beginning of the first curve easterly from Conrad street, 300.39 feet.

10 feet southerly from the northerly line of, 24.86 feet easterly (measured along the curb line) from the beginning of the first curve easterly from Conrad street, 297.07 feet.

(Reverse vertical curve passing through the last five described points.)

10 feet southerly from the northerly line of, 22.39 feet westerly (measured along the curb line) from the easterly end of the first curve easterly from Conrad street, 296.54 feet.

10 feet southerly from the northerly line of, at the easterly end of the first curve easterly from Conrad street, 293.46 feet.

10 feet southerly from the northerly line of, 22.39 feet easterly from the easterly end of the first curve easterly from Conrad street, 291.30 feet.

10 feet southerly from the northerly line of, at the westerly end of the first curve westerly from Diamond street, 289.43 feet.

10 feet southerly from the northerly line of, 22.39 feet easterly (measured along the curb line) from the westerly end of the first curve westerly from Diamond street, 287.22 feet.

(Reverse vertical curve passing through the last five described points.)

10 feet northerly from the southerly line of, at the easterly end of the curve beginning at Van Buren street, 293 feet.

10 feet northerly from the southerly line of, at the westerly end of the first curve westerly from Diamond street, 287.90 feet.

10 feet southerly from the northerly line of, on a radial line 75 feet (measured along the center line of the street) westerly from the beginning of the first curve westerly from Diamond street, 283 feet.

10 feet northerly from the southerly line of, on a radial line 75 feet (measured along the center line of the street) westerly from the beginning of the first curve westerly from Diamond street, 281.30 feet.

10 feet northerly from the southerly line of, at Diamond street westerly line, 269 feet.

10 feet southerly from the northerly line of, at Diamond street westerly line, 270.70 feet.

Northerly line of, 8 feet easterly from Diamond street westerly line, 270.70 feet. (The same being the recommended grade.)

Southerly line of, 13 feet easterly from Diamond street westerly line, 267.70 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

On Sussex street between Conrad street and the westerly curb line of Diamond street, changed and established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shann Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Stanton—1.

Changing Grades on Lower Terrace.

Bill No. 7991, Ordinance No. 7507 (New Series), as follows:

Changing and reestablishing the official grades on Lower Terrace between a line at right angles to the easterly line of, produced 69.58 feet southwesterly from the beginning of the curve northeasterly from Saturn street and a line at right angles to the westerly line of, 29.74 feet southerly from the first angle easterly from Levant street intersection.

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors, on the written recommendation of the Board of Public Works, did, on the 28th day of January, 1927, by Resolution No. 26737 (New Series), declare its intention to change and reestablish the grades on Lower Terrace between a line at right angles to the easterly line of, produced 69.58 feet southwesterly from the beginning of the curve northeasterly from Saturn street and a line at right angles to the westerly line of, 29.74 feet southerly from the first angle easterly from Levant street intersection.

Whereas, said resolution was so published for ten days, and the Board of Public Works within ten days after the first publication of said resolution of intention caused notices of the passage of said resolution to be conspicuously posted along all streets specified in the resolution, in the manner and as provided by law; and

Whereas, more than forty days has elapsed since the first publication of said resolution of intention; therefore; be it

Ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The grades on the following named streets at the points hereinafter named and at the elevations above city base as hereinafter stated, are hereby changed and established as follows:

Lower Terrace.

10 feet southeasterly from the northwesterly line of, 3.64 feet northeasterly from the second angle southwesterly from the end of the first curve southeasterly from the intersection of Levant street, 363 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

40 feet southeasterly from the northwesterly line of, 3.64 feet northeasterly from the second angle southwesterly from the end of the first curve southeasterly from the intersection of Levant street, 363 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

6 feet northwesterly from the southeasterly line of, produced 69.58 feet southwesterly from the beginning of the curve northeasterly from Saturn street, 356.50 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Southeasterly line of, produced 69.58 feet southwesterly from the beginning of the curve northeasterly from Saturn street, 356.50 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Southeasterly line of, produced 66.58 feet southwesterly from the beginning of the curve northeasterly from Saturn street, 361 feet.

6 feet northwesterly from the southeasterly line of, produced 66.58 feet southwesterly from the beginning of the curve northeasterly from Saturn street, 361 feet.

Southeasterly line of, 44.58 feet

southwesterly from the beginning of the curve northeasterly from Saturn street, 361.60 feet.

6 feet northwesterly from the southeasterly line of, 44.58 feet southwesterly from the beginning of the curve northeasterly from Saturn street, 361.60 feet.

Southeasterly line of, 39.58 feet southwesterly from the beginning of the curve northeasterly from Saturn street, 365.98 feet.

13 feet northwesterly from the southeasterly line of, 39.58 feet southwesterly from the beginning of the curve northeasterly from Saturn street, 365.58 feet.

Southeasterly line of, at the beginning of the curve northeasterly from Saturn street, 369 feet.

13 feet northwesterly from the southeasterly line of, at the beginning of the curve northeasterly from Saturn street, 369 feet.

43 feet northwesterly from the southesaterly line of, at the beginning of the curve northeasterly from Saturn street, 368 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

On a line at right angles to the westerly line of, 29.74 feet southerly from the first angle easterly from Levant street intersection, 373.50 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

On Lower Terrace between a line at right angles to the easterly line of, produced 69.58 feet southwesterly from the beginning of the curve northeasterly from Saturn street and a line at right angles to the westerly line of, 29.74 feet southerly from the first angle easterly from Levant street intersection, changed and established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shanno Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Stanton—1.

Establishing Grades on Florence Street.

Bill No. 7992, Ordinance No. 7508 (New Series), as follows:

Establishing grades on Florence street between the southerly line of Vallejo street and a line parallel with and 235 feet southerly therefrom.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The grades on Florence street between the southerly line of Vallejo street and a line parallel with and 235 feet southerly therefrom are hereby established at points hereinafter named and at heights above city base as hereinafter stated, in accordance with recommendation of the Board of Public Works filed March 31, 1927.

Florence Street.

235 feet southerly from Vallejo street, 328 feet.

215 feet southerly from Vallejo street, 328 feet.

137.50 feet southerly from Vallejo street, 333.25 feet.

100 feet southerly from Vallejo street, 333.50 feet.

42 feet southerly from Vallejo street, 333 feet.

5.50 feet easterly from the westerly line of, at Vallejo street southerly line (for top of wall and walks), 332.75 feet.

At last described point for curb, 329.50 feet.

5 feet westerly from the easterly line of, at Vallejo street southerly line, 330.50 feet.

On Florence street between the southerly line of Vallejo street and a line parallel with and 235 feet southerly therefrom be established to conform to line gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Stanton—1.

Fixing Sidewalk Widths on Grafton Avenue Between Jules and Orizaba Avenues.

Bill No. 7993, Ordinance No. 7509 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered nine hundred and fifty-nine.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Board of Public Works, filed in this office April 5, 1927, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered nine hundred and fifty-nine to read as follows:

Section 959. The width of side-

walks on Grafton avenue between Jules avenue and Orizaba avenue shall be twelve (12) feet.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect and be in force from and after its passage.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Stanton—1.

Spur Track Permit, California Iron Yards Corporation.

Bill No. 7994, Ordinance No. 7510 (New Series), as follows:

Granting permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to California Iron Yards Corporation to construct, maintain and operate a spur track from Hubbell street and Sixteenth street along Sixteenth street to Missouri street as hereinafter described.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, is hereby granted to California Iron Yards Corporation to construct, maintain and operate a spur track as follows:

Beginning at a point on the center line of the main joint track of the Southern Pacific Company and the Atchison, Topeka and Santa Fe Railway Company on Sixteenth street, said point being distant 55 feet, more or less, westerly from the intersection of the center line of said track with the easterly line of Hubbell street produced; thence diverging to the right in an easterly direction through a turnout and curving to the right and left across Sixteenth street and crossing the intersection of Connecticut street with Sixteenth street a distance of 255 feet, more or less, to the intersection of the easterly line of Connecticut street with the southerly line of Sixteenth street; thence along said southerly line of Sixteenth street in an easterly direction a distance of 200 feet to a point in the westerly line of Missouri street.

Said permission is granted subject to the provisions of Ordinance No. 69 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors, approved October 12, 1906, and the provisions and conditions of Section 8 thereof are hereby specifically contained in the permit hereby granted and shall be construed as part hereof, as complete as though the same were written in this ordinance.

Provided, that said spur track shall be laid with girder rails under the supervision and to the lines and grades as furnished by the City Engineer's office; that the manhole opposite Hubbell street be protected; that the existing street sign be replaced; that the proper clearance be allowed for; that any granite curb removed be hauled to the corporation yard, and that any and all expenses connected with the installation of the track, restoration of the pavement, and any additional requirements for the surface drainage be paid for by California Iron Yards Corporation.

Provided, that California Iron Yards Corporation shall erect and maintain all-night lighted arc lamps, to be placed where directed by the Lighting Committee of the Board of Supervisors.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Stanton—1.

Spur Track to Southern Pacific Company.

Bill No. 7995, Ordinance No. 7511 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Section 2 of Ordinance No. 6067 (New Series), granting permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to the Southern Pacific Company, its successors or assigns, to construct, maintain and operate certain industrial spur tracks upon First street, Bryant street and Beale street, as hereinafter described.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Section 2 of Ordinance No. 6067 (New Series) is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 2. Said spur tracks shall be used for the transportation of freight only, and shall not be used as a main line or a part thereof.

Said tracks shall be laid level with the street and must be operated under such restrictions as to interfere to the least possible degree with the use of said streets by the public.

The Southern Pacific Company shall erect and maintain all-night lighted arc lamps, to be placed where directed by the Lighting Committee of the Board of Supervisors.

Provided, however, that it is the intention of this Board that said track shall be equally available to all railroads, but in order to promote safety and economy in the operation thereof it is hereby expressly provided that the State Belt Railway, or any railroad operating in San Francisco, shall have the right to make physical connection with the tracks above described, and Southern Pacific Company shall promptly and without discrimination switch to any industry on said track any car or cars which such other carriers may place on proper interchange tracks properly constructed to handle necessary business. It is understood that Southern Pacific Company is to do all of the switching on the trackage known as Beale street spur or industrial tracks.

Provided, no car or cars shall be moved or switched over or allowed to stand on said spur during the hours between 7 o'clock a. m. and 6 o'clock p. m., excepting the three spur tracks located on the westerly side of Beale street and on the easterly side of Beale street between Folsom and Mission streets, and on First street south of Bryant street.

Provided, that no locomotive, car or cars shall be taken over the spur track on Beale street from Howard to Mission street between the hours of 6 o'clock a. m. and 12 o'clock p. m.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Stanton—1.

Action Deferred.

The following bill was, on motion, *laid over one week*:

Spur Track Permit, United States Government.

Bill No. ——, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Granting to the United States the right to construct, maintain and operate, and to maintain and operate, where already constructed, in perpetuity a spur track railroad, extending from Fort Mason Military Reservation to the Presidio Reservation, in the City and County of San Francisco, over and along certain public streets in said City and County.

Whereas, by charter amendment, duly ratified and adopted at the general election held on the 2d day of November, 1926, and approved by the Legislature of the State of California on the 10th day of January, 1927, a new section, known as Section 8, Article I, was added to the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, under the terms of which the City and County of San Francisco was authorized to accept and receive from the Government of the United States a tract of land located on the westerly line of Lyon street and containing 9.93 acres, more or less, being the site of what is known as the Palace of Fine Arts, in the City and County of San Francisco; and in consideration therefor the City and County of San Francisco was authorized to grant to the Government of the United States the right to operate and maintain in perpetuity a spur track railroad from the easterly line of Laguna street at its intersection with Beach street, and extending in a general westerly direction and following along and over certain designated streets to the westerly line of Lyon street; and

Whereas, such charter amendment, in designating the streets along which said spur track railroad was to be constructed and maintained and operated, where already constructed, included a distance of one block on Beach street from Buchanan street to Webster street, and a distance of one block on Webster street from Beach street to Tonquin street; and

Whereas, said one block of Beach street and said one block of Webster street, above referred to, have been duly closed as open public streets, and no longer exist as such, and in lieu thereof, and for the purpose of creating a through street connecting the intersection of Buchanan street and Beach street and the intersection of Webster street and Tonquin street, a new street, to be known as Marin boulevard, has been laid out, paved and opened as a public street, which said new street extends in a straight line from the intersection of Buchanan street and Webster street in a northwesterly direction to the intersection of Webster street and Tonquin street;

Therefore, for the purpose of furnishing to the Government of the United States the consideration specified in the Act of Congress authorizing the conveyance to the City and County of San Francisco of the said site of the Palace of Fine Arts, and to authorize the granting to the United States Government of a continuous right of way for such spur track railroad from the intersection of Laguna street and Beach street to the westerly line of Lyon street the following ordinance is enacted:

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Pursuant to the provisions of Section 8 of Article I of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, added to said Charter as an amendment, duly ratified and adopted at the general election held on the 2d day of November, 1926, and approved by the Legislature of the State of California on the 10th day of January, 1927, the City and County of San Francisco does hereby grant to the United States the right to construct, maintain and operate, and to maintain and operate, where already constructed, in perpetuity a spur track railroad, extending from Fort Mason Military Reservation to the Presidio Reservation, in said City and County, over and along the following streets and property in said City and County of San Francisco, to-wit:

A strip of land 12 feet 6 inches in width, lying 6 feet 3 inches on each side of a center line described as follows:

Beginning at a point on the easterly line of Laguna street (which is also the westerly line of the Fort Mason Military Reservation), said point being distant northerly along said easterly line of Laguna street 35.725 feet from the easterly prolongation of the southerly line of Beach street, running thence westerly along the arc of a 5-degree curve to the right, radius 1146.28 feet and central angle 2 deg. 46 min. 36 sec., a distance of 55.552 feet, the tangent to said curve at the point of beginning being deflected southwesterly 87 deg. 13 min. 24 sec. from said easterly line of Laguna street; running thence westerly parallel with said southerly line of Beach street and along the tangent to the above described curve, 125.560 feet; thence westerly along a 20-degree curve to the right, tangent to the last described course, of radius 287.939 feet and central angle 5 deg., a distance of 25.127 feet; thence northwesterly along the tangent to the last described curve 179.140 feet; thence northwesterly along a 20-degree curve to the right, radius 287.939 feet and central angle 42 deg. 45 min., a distance of 214.839 feet; thence northwesterly along the tangent to the last described curve 695.89 feet to a point distant southerly at right angles 12.588 feet from the easterly prolongation of the southerly line of Tonquin street; thence northwesterly along a 20-degree curve to the left, radius 287.939 feet, central angle 47 deg. 45 min., a distance of 239.967 feet to a point distant northerly at right angles 81.75 feet from said prolongation of the southerly line of Tonquin street, said last two courses and a portion of the preceding course being along and over a new street laid out and opened as a public street to be known as Marina boulevard; running thence westerly parallel with said prolongation and said southerly line of Tonquin street, and along the tangent to the last described curve 3419.925 feet to a point distant easterly along said tangent 46.57 feet from the westerly line of Lyon street (which is also the easterly line of the Presidio of the San Francisco Military Reservation); thence on the arc of a 30-degree curve to the left, radius 191.111 feet southwesterly to the intersection of said curve with said westerly line of Lyon street.

The above described right of way being an exact description of the right of way over and on which the Government of the United States now maintains and operates its spur track from the easterly line of Laguna street in a westerly direction to the westerly line of Lyon street.

Section 2. The right hereby granted shall include the right to construct, maintain and operate a switch into the United States Army Supply Depot at Fort Mason from a point on Beach street approximately seventy (70) feet west of the west line of Laguna street.

Section 3. This ordinance shall become effective upon the conveyance by the Secretary of War of the United States to the City and County of San Francisco of the hereinafter described real property, in accordance with the provisions of an Act of Congress entitled "An Act authorizing the Secretary of War to convey certain portions of the Military Reservation of the Presidio of San Francisco to the City and County of San Francisco for educational, art, exposition and park purposes," approved March 3, 1925. The real property to be conveyed by said Secretary of War to the City and County of San Francisco is that portion of the Presidio Reservation in said City and County described as follows, to-wti:

Commencing at a point on the westerly line of Lyon street, distant thereon five and seventeen one-hundredths feet southerly from the northerly line of Bay street if extended and produced westerly, and running thence northerly along the westerly line of Lyon street one thousand one hundred and ninety-six and eighty one-hundredths feet; thence southwesterly on a curve to the left of six hundred and twelve feet radius, central angle 155 deg. 47 min. 50 sec., tangent to a line deflected 102 deg. 6 min. 5 sec. to the left from the preceding course, a distance of one thousand six hun-

dred and sixty-four and thirteen one-hundredths feet to the westerly line of Lyon street and the point of commencement; containing nine and ninety-three one-hundredths acres, more or less.

Ordinance No. 7425, approved February 21, 1927, is hereby repealed.

PRESENTATION OF BILLS AND ACCOUNTS.

Demands not required by law to be passed to print, and amounting to $70,686.67, were allowed and ordered paid by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Stanton—1.

NEW BUSINESS.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Authorizations.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

Park Fund.

(1) Spring Valley Water Co., water furnished parks (claim dated April 15, 1927), $954.11.

(2) Langdendorf Baking Co., bread furnished parks (claim dated April 15, 1927), $584.19.

(3) National Ice Cream Co., ice cream furnished parks (claim dated April 15, 1927), $865.75.

(4) San Francisco Dairy Co., milk and cream furnished parks (claim dated April 15, 1927), $549.05.

Special School Tax.

(5) J. H. McCallum, lumber for school repairs (claim dated April 7, 1927), $1,184.57.

Hetch Hetchy Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1925.

For materials and supplies required for Hetch Hetchy construction:

(6) Old Mission Portland Cement Co., cement (claim dated March 25, 1927), $607.25.

(7) Hales & Symons, Inc., cement (claim dated March 28, 1927), $1,125.

(8) Bald Eagle Meat Market, meats, etc. (claim dated April 8, 1927), $1,215.21.

(9) T. M. Hughes, oak stove wood (claim dated April 8, 1927), $1,322.50.

(10) Western Pipe & Steel Co., air pipe (claim dated April 8, 1927), $915.10.

(11) T. E. Connolly and J. M. DeLuca, third payment, construction of aqueduct tunnels, Foothill Division (claim dated April 11, 1927), $35,283.34.

(12) A. Guthrie & Co., Inc., fourth payment, construction of aqueduct tunnels, Foothill Division (claim dated April 12, 1927), $48,078.

(13) Beronio Lumber Co., lumber (claim dated April 11, 1927), $625.37.

Relief Home Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923.

(14) Clinton Construction Co., extra work, new Relief Home construction (claim dated April 8, 1927), $887.32.

(15) F. W. Snook, final payment, plumbing and gas-fitting, new Relief Home (claim dated April 13, 1927), $29,889.75.

(16) Department of Public Works, labor furnished for account of Relief Home buildings, months of November, December, January and February (claim dated March 31, 1927), $1,190.

School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923.

(17) John Reid, Jr., first payment, architectural services, alterations and additions to High School of Commerce (claim dated April 12, 1927), $1,323.86.

(18) John Reid, Jr., eleventh payment, architecutral services, additions to Galileo High School (claim dated April 12, 1927), $848.16.

(19) Macdonald & Kahn, extra work, construction of new Mission High School (claim dated April 13, 1927), $632.

Tubercular Sanitarium Fund.

(20) Reilly & Nemetz, final payment, general construction of Tubercular Sanitarium (claim dated April 13, 1927), $37,279.31.

Municipal Railway Fund.

(21) San Francisco City Employees' Retirement System, pensions, etc., for Municipal Railway employees (claim dated April 7, 1927), $7,517.62.

(22) Crown Oil Co., gasoline for Municipal Railways (claim dated April 12, 1927), $1,761.49.

(23) Pacific Gas & Electric Co., gas and electricity for Municipal Railways (claim dated April 12, 1927), $38,129.37.

Municipal Railway Compensation Insurance Fund.

(24) San Francisco City Employees' Retirement System, pen-

sions, etc., for Municipal Railway employees (claim dated April 7, 1927), $655.15.

Municipal Railway Depreciation Fund.

(25) United States Steel Products Co., splice bars, bolts, etc., for Municipal Railways (claim dated April 11, 1927), $2,345.20.

General Fund, 1925-1926.

(26) Louis J. Cohn, final payment, construction of Section C, Westerly Sunset main sewer (claim dated April 12, 1927), $1,319.42.

(27) A. E. Hennessy, second payment, reconstruction of Bluxome street from Fourth to Sixth streets (claim dated April 13, 1927), $7,345.

Hetch Hetchy Operative Revenue Fund.

(28) John J. Dailey, legal services, valuation of electric properties for City and County of San Francisco (claim dated April 13, 1927), $850.

(29) N. Randall Ellis, engineering services, valuation of electric properties for City and County of San Francisco (claim dated April 13, 1927), $750.

Auditorium Fund.

(30) Musical Association of San Francisco, for services of San Francisco Symphony Orchestra and soloists for rendition of "Beethoven," April 2, 1927 (claim dated April 18, 1927), $5,800.

(31) Louise Bennett, secretary of Municipal Chorus, for chorus payments, account of "Beethoven" concert, April 2, 1927 (claim dated April 18, 1927), $675.

General Fund, 1926-1927.

(32) San Francisco Bulletin, official advertising (claim dated April 18, 1927), $861.12.

(33) Recorder Printing and Publishing Co., printing law and motion-trial calendar, etc. (claim dated April 18, 1927), $1,075.

(34) Pacific Gas & Electric Co., street lighting for March, 1927 (claim dated April 18, 1927), $53,617.32.

(35) Addressograph Sales Co., one each addressograph and graphotype machines for Police Department (claim dated April 11, 1927), $661.05.

(36) M. J. Treacy, second payment, improvement of Peralta avenue (claim dated April 13, 1927), $2,400.

(37) Associated Charities, widows' pensions (claim dated April 15, 1927), $8,359.10.

(38) Eureka Benovelent Society, widows' pensions (claim dated April 15, 1927), $965.

(39) Little Childrens' Aid widows' pensions (claim dated April 15, 1927), $8,844.77.

(40) Boys' Aid Society, maintenance of minors (claim dated April 12, 1927), $1,460.17.

(41) San Francisco Protestant Orphanage, maintenance of minors (claim dated April 12, 1927), $1,015.13.

(42) San Francisco Nursery for Homeless Children, maintenance of minors (claim dated April 12, 1927), $551.84.

(43) Albertinum Orphanage, maintenance of minors (claim dated April 12, 1927), $1,511.41.

(44) Roman Catholic Orphanage, maintenance of minors (claim dated April 12, 1927), $4,282.88.

(45) St. Vincent's School, maintenance of minors (claim dated April 12, 1927), $2,019.73.

(46) Children's Agency, maintenance of minors (claim dated April 12, 1927), $30,819.03.

(47) Little Children's Aid, maintenance of minors (claim dated April 12, 1927), $12,855.99.

(48) Eureka Benevolent Society, maintenance of minors (claim dated April 12, 1927), $4,617.18.

(49) Preston School of Industry, maintenance of minors (claim dated April 12, 1927), $767.74.

(50) Preston School of Industry, maintenance of minors (claim dated April 12, 1927), $784.28.

(51) Crown Oil Co., gasoline for Fire Department (claim dated Mar. 31, 1927), $765.34.

(52) Dan P. Maher Paint Co., painting supplies, Fire Department (claim dated March 31, 1927), $537.32.

(53) Pacific Gas & Electric Co., gas and electricity for Fire Department (claim dated March 31, 1927), $1,930.46.

(54) Shell Co. of California, fuel oil, etc., Fire Department (claim dated March 31, 1927), $962.21.

(55) Spring Valley Water Co., water furnished Fire Department (claim dated March 31, 1927), $2,447.14.

(56) Pacific Gas & Electric Co., lighting public buildings (claim dated April 11, 1927), $4,504.61.

(57) Spring Valley Water Co., water furnished public buildings (claim dated April 11, 1927), $1,997.76.

(58) Spring Valley Water Co., water for street sprinkling (claim dated April 11, 1927), $672.26.

(59) Symon Brothers, teams furnished for street cleaning (claim dated April 11, 1927), $805.

(60) J. T. Freitas Co., Inc., eggs, Relief Home (claim dated March 31, 1927), $1,245.45.

(61) Pacific Gas & Electric Co., electric service, Relief Home (claim dated March 31, 1927), $627.

(62) Producers' Meat and Packing Co., meats, Relief Home (claim dated March 31, 1927), $670.30.

(63) San Francisco Dairy Co., milk for Relief Home (claim dated March 31, 1927), $2,365.44.

(64) Severin Electric Co., 200 bedside tables, Relief Home (claim dated March 31, 1927), $1,070.

(65) M. E. Ryan, installing underground conduit for Relief Home (claim dated March 31, 1927), $750.

(66) Spring Valley Water Co., water furnished hospitals (claim dated March 31, 1927), $1,564.61.

(67) Spring Valley Water Co., water furnished Relief Home (claim dated March 31, 1927), $762.79.

(68) E. B. Frick, M. D., superintendent of San Francisco Hospital, room allowances for employees (claim dated March 31, 1927), $2,123.50.

(69) Herbert F. Dgan, drug sundries, S. F. Hospital (claim dated March 31, 1927), $631.62.

(70) Langendorf Baking Co., bread for S. F. Hospital (claim dated March 31, 1927), $1,206.39.

(71) California Meat Co., meats for S. F. Hospital (claim dated March 31, 1927), $647.81.

(72) Standard Fisheries, fish, S. F. Hospital (claim dated March 31, 1927), $503.28.

(73) J. T. Freitas Co., eggs, S. F. Hospital (claim dated March 31, 1927), $1,574.44.

(74) Sherry Bros., Inc., butter, S. F. Hospital (claim dated March 31, 1927), $1,799.80.

(75) Oliva Bros., fruits, S. F. Hospital (claim dated March 31, 1927), $593.54.

(76) California Petroleum Corporation, fuel oil, S. F. Hospital (claim dated March 31, 1927), $2,445.67.

(77) California Meat Co., meats, Relief Home (claim dated March 31, 1927), $3,273.51.

(78) California Petroleum Corporation, fuel oil, etc., Relief Home (claim dated March 31, 1927), $1,839.51.

(79) Sherry Bros., Inc., butter, Relief Home (claim dated March 31, 1927), $1,672.80.

(80) L. Dinkelspiel Co., clothing, etc., for Relief Home (claim dated March 28, 1927), $889.99.

County Road Fund.

(81) Eaton & Smith, for improvement of Grand View avenue by surfacing with rock (claim dated April 13, 1927), $805.

(82) A. E. Hennessy, final payment, reconstruction of Bluxome street (claim dated April 13, 1927), $2,919.08.

Appropriations Out of County Road Fund for Properties Required for Boulevard Purposes.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the County Road Fund appropriations heretofore made in payment to the hereinafter named; being payments for properties required for boulevard purposes, to-wit:

(1) To Arthur S. White and Emma S. White, for property required for the widening of Garfield street, as per acceptance of offer and description by Resolution No. 27107 (New Series) (claim dated April 13, 1927), $3,400.

(2) To Charlotte O. Hohnemann, for property required for the widening of Garfield street, as per acceptance of offer and description by Resolution No. 27107 (New Series) (claim dated April 13, 1927), $800.

(3) To John R. Mitchell, for property required for the widening of Garfield street, as per acceptance of offer and description by Resolution No. 27108 (New Series) (claim dated April 13, 1927), $800.

(4) To Salarac Land Company, for property required for the widening of Garfield street, as per acceptance of offer and description by Resolution No. 27108 (New Series) (claim dated April 13, 1927), $1,600.

(5) To Ella Hyman, for property required for opening ef the Bay Shore boulevard, as per acceptance of offer and description by Resolution No. 27109 (New Series) (claim dated April 13, 1927), $1,025.

(6) To Bruno and Madelieve Bandoni, for property required for the opening of Bernal Cut, as per acceptance of offier and description by Resolution No. 27110 (New Series) (claim dated April 13, 1927), $3,500.

(7) To Emily O'Neil, for property required for the opening of Bernal Cut, as per acceptance of offer and description by Resolution No. 27110 (New Series) (claim dated April 13, 1927), $4,500.

Appropriations for Construction and Equipment of Municipal Airport.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of "Municipal Airport," Budget Item No. 60, for the construction and equipment of the Municipal Airport, to-wit:

(1) For construction of Hangar No. 1, Contract No. 4, Roy Lind contract $23,987.20
(2) For engineering, inspection and extras on Contract No. 4..... 2,012.80

$26,000.00

(3) For lighting hangar and fileds, Contract No. 5, Latourette-Fical Co. contract $5,485.00
(4) For engineering, inspection and extras, Contract No. 5..... $515.00

$6,000.00

Rescinding Resolution Authorizing Payment of $3,000 to the Auditing Committee of the Peninsula Water Investigation.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 27145 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Resolutions Nos. 25718 (New Series) and 25795 (New Series), appropriating and authorizing payment of $3,000 out of the Hetch Hetchy Operative Revenue Fund to the "Auditing Committee of the Peninsula Water Investigation, for San Francisco's proportion of the expense of making a survey of the present water supply of San Francisco and the Peninsula region," be and the same is hereby rescinded and repealed.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shanno. Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Stanton—1.

Action Deferred.

The following resolution was *laid over two weeks*:

Transfer of School Lands.

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the City and County of San Francisco is the owner of that certain lot of land bounded as follows, to-wit: On the north by Clement street, on the east by Twenty-ninth avenue, on the south by Geary street, and on the west by Thirtieth avenue, and known as Outside Land Block No. 210; and

Whereas, said lot of land is vacant and unused, and is at the present time needed by the School Department for the purpose of being used for the erection and construction of school buildings thereon; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the above-described real property be and the same is hereby transferred to the School Department, and that from and after the passage and approval of this resolution said real property be under the jurisdiction of the Board of Education, to be dealt with for school purposes.

Action Deferred.

The following bill was *laid over one week*:

Amending Zoning Ordinance, Dewey Boulevard.

Bill No. ——, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings, and the locations of buildings designed for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Section 6 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place the southwesterly side of Dewey boulevard, commencing at a point 141.92 feet southeasterly from the Plaza and running thence southeasterly 73 feet, and extending to the depth of the rear lot lines, in the Commercial District instead of the First Residential District.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Amending Zoning Ordinance, Beverly and Worcester Streets.

On motion of Supervisor Hayden:

Bill No. 7999, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings, and the locations of buildings designed for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Section 13 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place the north-

west corner of Beverly street and Worcester avenue, for a distance of 139 feet on Beverly street and 167 feet on Worcester avenue, in the Commercial District instead of the First Residential District.

Oil and Boiler Permits.

On motion of Supervisor Deasy:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits be and are hereby granted:

Oil Tanks.

Columbus Bakery, south side of Twenty-ninth street, west of Mission street, 600 gallons capacity.

Edwards & Schwartz, southwest corner of Twenty-fourth street and Valencia street, 1500 gallons capacity.

A. Finley, southeast corner of Fillmore and Green streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

F. S. Hobson, 179 Dolores street, 1500 gallons capacity.

E. Nelson, south side of Oak street, 200 feet east of Stanyan street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Dr. Harry Partridge, 65 Urbano drive, 750 gallons capacity.

Simmons Co., southwest corner of Stockton and Bay streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

Boiler.

Goodfriend & Jacobson, 2901 Mariposa street, 100-horsepower.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits shall become null and void.

Garage Permit.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That J. M. Kepner be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate a public garage at 770 Pacific street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Blasting Permit.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Sibley Grading and Teaming Company be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to explode blasts on property situate on the southwest corner of Pacific and Sansome streets, provided said permittee shall execute and file a good and sufficient bond in the sum of $20,000 as fixed by the Board of Public Works and approved by his Honor the Mayor, in accordance with Ordinance No. 1204 (New Series); provided, also, that said blasts shall be exploded only between the hour of 7 a. m. and 6 p. m., and that the work of blasting shall be performed to the satisfaction of the Board of Public Works, and that if any of the conditions of this resolution be violated by said Sibley Grading and Teaming Company, then the privileges and all rights accruing thereunder shall immediately become null and void.

Laundry Permit.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That John Victor be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain a laundry at 1029-1031 Hyde street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Blasting Permit.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That H. V. Tucker be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to explode blasts on property situate on the south side of Folsom street between Fourteenth and Fifteenth streets, provided said permittee shall execute and file a good and sufficient bond in the sum of $5,000 as fixed by the Board of Public Works and approved by his Honor the Mayor, in accordance with Ordinance No. 1204 (New Series); provided, also, that said blasts shall be exploded only between the hours of 7 a. m. and 6 p. m., and that the work of blasting shall be performed to the satisfaction of the Board of Public Works, and that if any of the conditions of this resolution be violated by said H. V. Tucker, then the privileges and all rights accruing thereunder shall immediately become null and void.

Garage Permit.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Peter Stoyanoff be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate a public garage at 1347 Stevenson street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Award of Contract, Eggs, Relief Home.

Supervisor Badaracco presented:

Resolution No. 27146 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of contract is hereby made to Fred L. Hilmer Co. on bid submitted April 11, 1927 (Proposal No. 291), for furnishing the following, viz.: For Relief Home 22,000 dozen storage eggs—oil processed. To be delivered as ordered during September, October, November, December, 1927, and January, 1928. Buyer to have option of increasing above stated quantity not exceeding 10 per cent.

Specifications: California white eggs; U. S. No. 1 extras, retail grade.

Inspections: Eggs to be inspected before shipment. The inspector's fees to be cared for by the buyer.

Delivery: f. o. b. vendor's establishment.

Price, per dozen, $0.31¾.

Resolved, That a bond in the sum of $1,000 be required for the faithful performance of this contract.

Resolved, That all other bids submitted hereon be rejected.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Stanton—1.

ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND MOTIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

Passed for Printing.

The following resolution was *passed for printing*:

Appropriation, $5,500, Circuit Construction by Department of Electricity for Traffic Control.

On motion of Supervisor Todd:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $5,500 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of "Traffic Signals, Installation of, etc." Budget Item No. 69, for the purchase of material and construction of and installation of additional circuits by the Department of Electricity, required for street traffic control.

Action Deferred.

The following matter was *laid over until May 2, 1927*:

Award of Contract.

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of contract to Union Pipe and Tank Company, 2801 Santa Fe avenue, Los Angeles, California, on bid submitted March 14, 1927 (Proposal No. 285), for furnishing the following, viz.: 60,000 pedestrian lane markers, price, 12 cents each, f. o. b. Corporation Yard, Eleventh and Bryant streets, San Francisco, Cal.

Resolved, That no bond be required, as above contract is for a definite quantity in one delivery.

Resolved, That all other bids submitted hereon be rejected.

Park Commission Advised of Location of Trees and Shrubbery That Constitute Danger to Pedestrians and Motorists by Obstruction of View.

Supervisor Todd presented:

Resolution No. 27147 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the attention of the Park Commissioners and Board of Public Works be called to the list of locations where danger to pedestrians and motorists exists by reason of trees and shrubbery obstructing views and that such measures be taken by them as will remove such obstructions, if deemed for the best interests of the City. The locations are:

Northwest corner Caselli and Corbett avenues; 312-332 Douglass street; 555-565-569-587-580-636 Diamond street; northwest corner Diamond and Twenty-third streets; southwest corner Gough and Turk streets; Turk and Laguna streets; northeast corner Parnassus avenue and Stanyan street; northwest corner Corbett avenue and Clayton street; southwest corner South drive, Golden Gate Park, and road leading into Park Police Station; southeast corner South drive and road leading to Waller and Stanyan streets, Golden Gate Park; Main drive, at McLeran's bend, about opposite Forty-fifth avenue; Main drive and Forty-first avenue; northeast corner Sixth avenue and Main drive, Golden Gate Park; entrance to Golden Gate Park on Fulton street, opposite the following locations: First avenue, Sixth avenue, Eighth avenue, Tenth avenue, Fourteenth avenue, Twenty-fourth avenue, Thirtieth avenue, Thirty-sixth avenue, Forty-third avenue, Forty-seventh avenue, Fulton street, Thirteenth and Fourteenth avenues and Park-Presidio drive, Cabrillo street, Thirteenth and Fourteenth avenues and Park-Presidio drive, Anza street, Thirteenth and Fourteenth avenues and Park-Presidio drive, Geary street, Thirteenth and Fourteenth avenues and Park-Presidio drive; Clement street, Thirteenth and Fourteenth avenues and Park-Presidio drive; California street, Thirteenth and Fourteenth avenues and Park-Presidio drive; Lake

street, Thirteenth and Fourteenth avenues and Park-Presidio drive; Clement street, Thirty-fourth avenue, entrance to Lincoln Park; northwest corner Point Lobos avenue and Harding boulevard; southeast corner Nineteenth avenue and Balboa street; northeast corner Phelan and Ocean avenues; Monterey boulevard and Santa Clara avenue; Santa Clara avenue and St. Francis boulevard; San Anselmo and Santa Clara avenues; Santa Clara avenue and Terrace drive; San Lorenzo and Santa Monica drives; San Anselmo and Santa Paula avenues; Monterey boulevard and San Benito way; Monterey boulevard and Santa Ana avenue; St. Francis Circle (St. Francis Wood); San Fernando way and St. Francis drive; Santa Ana avenue and Portola drive; junction of Ocean avenue, Junipero Serra boulevard and Sloat boulevard; northeast corner Stanyan and Oak streets; northwest corner Baker and Oak streets; Lyon and Panhandle, Golden Gate Park; Central avenue and Oak street; southwest corner Masonic avenue and Fell street; northeast corner Ashbury and Oak streets; northwest corner Frederick street and Masonic avenue; southwest corner Waller street and Buena Vista avenue; north side Seventeenth street, 50 feet west of Shrader street; northeast corner Vallejo and Steiner streets.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Stanton—1.

RECESS.

Whereupon, at the hour of 12:30 p. m., the Board took a recess until 2:30 p. m.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk.

REASSEMBLED—2:30 P. M.

The Board of Supervisors reassembled at the hour of 2:30 p. m.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Stanton—2.

Quorum present.

His Honor Mayor Rolph being absent, Supervisor McSheehy was called to the chair.

Extension of Fireproof Roofing Limits.

Consideration of the report of the Building Committee compelling the use of fire retarding roofs to include the Park-Presidio District, the Sunset District, the Marina District and portions of the Mission District.

Privilege of the Floor.

Chief Murphy stated that shingle roofs are a fire menace, and cited instances to prove his contention.

John McCullum, Grace Pearl, Meyer Bros., Mr. Little of Parkside Realty Company, *Edw. Bowes* and *Eugene Bruce* contended that there should be no change in the present law.

Action Deferred.

The matter was *continued on the calendar for one week and the City Attorney requested to prepare a proper ordinance, embodying the request of the Board of Fire Wardens, which may be considered by the Board and is made a Special Order for 2 o'clock p. m. next Monday.*

Calling and Providing for Special Election, Bernal Cut.

The following was presented and *passed for printing*:

Bill No. 7988, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Calling and providing for a special election to be held in the City and County of San Francisco on Tuesday, the fourteenth day of June, 1927, for the purpose of submitting to the said voters of the City and County of San Francisco a proposition to incur a bonded debt of the City and County of San Francisco to the amount of one million four hundred thousand ($1,400,000) dollars for the acquisition, construction and completion of the permanent improvement known as the Bernal Cut, which consists of a cut, or excavation with approach fills, providing an improved route for public highway purposes in the City and County, which begins at or near the intersection of Randall and Dolores streets and extending southerly to a point at or near the intersection of San Jose avenue and Diamond street, in the City and County of San Francisco, including approaches, structures, pavements, curbs, sidewalks, sewers, drainage facilities, the readjustment of intersecting and adjacent streets and other improvements, and the purchase of necessary lands.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. A special election is hereby called and ordered to be held in the City and County of San Francisco on Tuesday, the fourteenth day of June, 1927, for the purpose of submitting to the electors of said City and County the following proposition, to-wit: To incur a bonded debt of the City and County of San Francisco to the amount of one million four hundred thousand ($1,400,000) dollars for the purpose of the acquisition, construction and completion of a permanent improvement, to-wit: A cut, or excavation with approach fills, providing an improved route for public highway purposes in the City and County of San Francisco known as the Bernal Cut, which improvement begins at or near the intersection of Randall and Dolores streets and extending southerly to a point at or near the intersection of San Jose avenue and Diamond street, in the City and County of San Francisco, including approaches, structures, pavements, curbs, sidewalks, sewers, drainage facilities, the readjustment of intersecting and adjacent streets and other improvements, and the purchase of necessary lands.

Section 2. The estimated cost of the acquisition, construction and completion of the permanent improvement described herein was by plans and estimates of the Board of Public Works procured through the City Engineer and filed with the Board of Supervisors on the 25th day of March, 1927, and was and is fixed by Bill No. 7985, Ordinance No. 7499 (New Series) in the sum of one million four hundred thousand ($1,400,000) dollars.

Section 3. By Bill No. 7985, Ordinance No. 7499 (New Series) it was declared that no part of the said sum of one million four hundred thousand ($1,400,000) dollars could be paid out of the annual revenue of the City and County in addition to the other necessary expenses thereof, or other funds derived from taxes levied for that purpose, and will require the incurring of a bonded debt to the amount of one million four hundred thousand ($1,400,000) dollars for the purposes herein recited. The method and manner of payment of the estimated cost of said described permanent improvement are by the issuance of bonds of the City and County of San Francisco to the amount required therefor and the application of the proceeds arising from the sale thereof to defray the cost of the acquisition, construction and completion of said permanent improvement herein described.

Section 4. The special election hereby called and ordered to be held shall be held and conducted, and the votes thereat received and canvassed and the returns thereof made and the result thereof ascertained, determined and declared as herein provided and according to the laws of the State of California, providing for and governing elections in the City and County of San Francisco, and the polls for such election shall be and remain open during the time required by said laws.

Section 5. The ballots to be used at said special election shall be such as may be required by law to be used thereat, and in addition to any other matter to be required by law to be printed thereon shall appear thereon the following:

"Bernal Cut—To vote for the proposition and thereby authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness to the amount of and for the purpose stated in such proposition stamp a cross (x) in the blank space to the right of the word "Yes." To vote against the proposition and thereby refuse to authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness to the amount of and for the purpose stated in such proposition stamp a cross (x) in the blank space to the right of the word "No." Also said ballot shall have printed thereon the following: To incur a bonded debt of the City and County of San Francisco to the amount of one million four hundred thousand ($1,400,000) dollars for the acquisition, construction and completion of a permanent improvement, to-wit:

YES	
NO	

A cut, or excavation with approach fills, and other improvements, providing an improved route for public highway purposes in the City and County of San Francisco known as the Bernal Cut, which improvement begins at or near the intersection of Randall and Dolores streets and extending southerly to a point at or near the intersection of San Jose avenue and Diamond street in the City and County of San Francisco. Bonds issued for such purpose shall bear interest at the rate of four and one-half per cent (4½%) per annum, payable semi-annually.

Section 6. Where voting machines are used at said special election the said voting machines shall be so arranged that any qualified elector may vote for the proposition by pulling down a lever over the word

"Yes" under or near a statment of the proposed proposition appearing on cardboard, paper or other material placed on the front of the machine, and said act shall constitute a vote for the proposition, and by pulling down a lever over the word "No" under or near a statement of the proposed proposition appearing on cardboard, paper or other material placed on the front of the machine shall constitute a vote against the proposition. Said voting machines and the preparation of the same are to be used in accordance with the provision of Chapter 96 of the Statutes of 1923.

Each cross (x) stamped in the square to the right of the word "Yes" appearing on the printed ballot, where printed ballots are used, shall constitute a vote in favor of, and to authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness for the purpose set forth in the proposition; and each cross (x) stamped in the square to the right of the word "No" shall be counted as a vote not in favor of, and a refusal to authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness for the purpose set forth in the proposition.

Section 7. The election precincts and the numbers, names and boundaries thereof for said special election, and the places of voting, and the officers to conduct such election, and all other necessary proceedings in that behalf, shall be respectively defined, designated, selected, appointed and had by the Board of Election Commissioners of the City and County of San Francisco, and said board is hereby authorized and directed to procure and provide all supplies that may be necessary to properly and lawfully conduct said special election.

When the polls are closed the officers of election shall count the ballots cast at such election, and canvass the votes cast respectively for and against the proposition herein stated and make return thereof in time, form and manner required for the counting, canvassing and returning of votes cast at other municipal elections held in the City and County of San Francisco. The Board of Election Commissioners shall, as soon as the said returns and ballots have been received by said board, canvass said returns and declare the result thereof in the manner provided by law for canvassing returns and declaring results in other elections, and shall also certify said results to the Board of Supervisors.

Section 8. If, at such special election, it shall appear that two-thirds of all the votes cast thereat were in favor of and authorized the incurring of a bonded debt for the purpose set forth in said proposition, then such proposition shall be deemed to have been accepted by the electors and bonds will be issued to defray the cost of the permanent improvement described therein. Such bonds shall be of the form and character known as "serials." All of said bonds shall be dated July 1, 1927, shall bear interest at the rate of 4½% per centum per annum, payable semi-annually, shall be of the denomination of one thousand dollars each, and the principal and interest thereof shall be payable in gold coin of the United States. Said bonds shall be called "Bernal Cut Bonds."

Bonds issued for the purpose stated shall be numbered from 1 to 1400, both inclusive, and shall be payable seventy thousand ($70,000) dollars thereof five years from the date of said bonds, beginning with the lowest numbers, and seventy thousand ($70,000) dollars thereof of the next higher numbers on the same day in each succeeding year until all of said bonds shall be paid.

Section 9. Said bonds and coupons shall be in substantially the following form:

The United States of America.
State of California.
City and County of San Francisco.
Bernal Cut Bond.

No..... $1,000.00

For value received, the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation organized and existing under and by virtue of the laws of the State of California, hereby acknowledges itself indebted and promises to pay to the bearer on the first day of ————————, 19——, one thousand dollars, with interest thereon at the rate of 4½ per centum per annum, payable semi-annually, January 1 and July 1, on presentation and surrender of the coupons hereto attached as they respectively become due, both principal and interest being payable in gold coin of the United States at the office of the Treasurer of said City and County, or, at the option of the holder, at the fiscal agency of said City and County of San Francisco in the City and State of New York.

This bond is issued under and pursuant to the Constitution and statutes of the State of California and the Charter of said City and County of San Francisco and amendments thereto, and under and pursuant to ordinances and proceedings of said City and County, duly adopted and taken, and a vote and assent of more than two-thirds of

all the qualified electors of said City and County voting at a special election, duly and legally called and held for that purpose.

It is hereby certified, recited and declared that all acts, conditions and things required by law to exist, happen and be performed precedent to and in the issuance of this bond have existed, happened and been performed in due time, form and manner as required by law, and that the amount of this bond, together with all other indebtedness of said City and County, does not exceed any limit prescribed by the Constitution or statutes of said State or Charter of said City and County, and that provision has been made as required by the Constitution and statutes of said State and the Charter of said City and County for the collection of an annual tax sufficient to pay the interest on this bond as it falls due, and also provision to constitute a sinking fund for the payment of the principal of this bond on or before maturity. The full faith and credit of said City and County are hereby pledged for the punctual payment of the principal and interest of this bond.

This bond may be converted into a registered bond upon presentation to the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco, in which event such Treasurer shall cut off and cancel the coupons of this bond, and shall sign a statement stamped, printed or written upon the back or face of the bond to the effect that this bond is registered in the name of the owner and that thereafter the interest and principal of this bond are payable to the registered owner. Thereafter and from time to time this bond may be transferred by such registered owner in person or by attorney duly authorized on presentation of this bond to the Treasurer, and the bond be again registered as before, a similar statement being stamped, printed or written thereon.

This bond is exempt from all taxation within the State of California.

In witness whereof, said City and County of San Francisco has caused this bond to be executed under its corporate seal, signed by its Mayor and Treasurer, and countersigned by its Auditor, and has caused the interest coupons hereto attached to be signed with the engraved or lithographed signature of its Treasurer, and this bond to be dated the first day of July, 1927.

.....
Mayor.

.....
Treasurer.

Countersigned:

.....
Auditor.

FORM OF COUPON.

No. ..... $.....

On ..... 1, 19..., the City and County of San Francisco, California, will pay to bearer at the office of the Treasurer of said City and County, or at the option of the holder at the fiscal agency of the City and County of San Francisco, in the City and State of New York, dollars ($.....) in gold coin of the United States, being six months' interest then due on its bond, dated July 1, 1927, Number .....

.....
Treasurer.

FORM OF REGISTRATION.

San Francisco, ..., 19...

This bond is registered pursuant to Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, in the name of ..... and the interest and principal thereof are hereafter payable to such owner.

.....
Treasurer.

Section 10. The amount of tax levy to be made for the payment for said one million four hundred thousand dollars bonds issued under said proposition shall be the sum of sixty-three thousand dollars for the first five years from the date of said bonds to pay the annual interest on said bonds, and in season to pay such interest as it becomes due, and for the sixth year after the date of said bonds the sum of fifty-nine thousand eight hundred and fifty dollars to pay and in season to pay the interest on such of said bonds as remain outstanding after the seventy thousand dollars thereof due five years from their date have been paid, and for the seventh year after the date of said bonds the sum of fifty-six thousand seven hundred dollars to pay and in season to pay the interest on such of said bonds as remain outstanding after the seventy thousand dollars thereof due six years from their date have been paid, and so on, a sum each year for eighteen succeeding years until said bonds are all paid, sufficient for interest and in season to pay interest on all of said bonds outstanding, which sum for interest will diminish each year by the amount of three thou-

sand one hundred and fifty dollars by reason of the payment each year beginning five years from date of said bonds of seventy thousand dollars of said bonds, and the sum of seventy thousand dollars each year beginning four years from the date of said bonds to pay and in season to pay the principal of such bonds as they respectively become due, and continuing each succeeding year for nineteen years until the principal of all of said bonds has been paid.

The purpose and intent of the foregoing tax provisions are, and it is hereby expressly provided, that at the time of levying the municipal tax, and in the manner provided for such tax levy, the Supervisors shall levy and collect annually a tax sufficient to pay the annual interest on such bonds, and also such part of the bonded indebtedness as will fall due within the succeeding fiscal year. Such taxes shall be in addition to all other taxes levied for municipal purposes, and shall be collected at the same time and in the same manner as other municipal taxes are collected.

Section 11. This ordinance shall be published for at least ten days in the official newspaper, and at the expiration of said ten days notice of such special election shall be gievn and published as required by law.

Section 12. This ordinance is the third of a series of ordinances which will be adopted by the Board of Supervisors, relating to and designed to secure the acquisition, construction and completion of the permanent improvement named.

Section 13. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Todd—16.

Absent—Superivsors Bath, Stanton—2.

Appropriation, $15,000 Additional for Appraisement Survey of Taxable Property.

The following resolution was presented by Supervisor Kent and *passed for printing*:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $15,000 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of "Urgent Necessity," Budget Item No. 25, Fiscal Year 1926-1927, to the credit of Budget Item No. 62, Fiscal Year 1926-1927, for the purpose of appraising all taxable real property subject to assessment within the City and County of San Francisco, etc., as stated in said Budget Item No. 62.

Lease of 333 Kearny Street.

Consideration of matter relating to the lease of 333 Kearny street was, on motion duly made and carried, *laid over until next Monday at 2 p. m.*

Amendment of Rules.

Consideration of proposed amendments to the rules were *continued one week.*

Amending Zoning Ordinance, Ingalls Street.

The following bill was taken up:

Bill No. ——, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings, and the locations of buildings designed for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Section 11 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place the southeasterly side of Ingalls street between Donner avenue and Egbert avenue, for a distance of 225 feet on Donner avenue and 300 feet on Egbert avenue, in the Light Industrial District instead of the First Residential District.

Motion.

Supervisor Hayden moved to rescind action taken this a. m. rereferring foregoing bill to City Planning Committee.

Carried.

Privilege of the Floor.

C. A. Fleming, representing the Chamber of Commerce, addressed the Board with reference to the necessity of commercial property in the district affected by the foregoing legislation.

Rereferred.

Whereupon, Supervisor Gallagher moved and it was duly *carried* that the matter be rereferred to the City Planning Committee with instructions not to return it unless a comprehersive plan of rezoning comprising not less than five blocks be recommended.

Memorial Day Committee.

Supervisor Colman presented:

Resolution No. 27151 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Mayor be requested to appoint a committee of citizens to arrange for the proper observance of Memorial Day.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Stanton—2.

Accepting Offer of Daniel Corcoran et al. to Sell Lands and Easements Required for Hetch Hetchy Construction.

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Resolution No. 27133 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the City Engineer has recommended the purchase by the City and County of San Francisco from the following owners of the following described lands and easements situated in the County of Tuolumne, State of California, and required as protective area for the Priest Regulating Reservoir, for the sum set forth opposite their names:

Daniel Corcoran and Jessie Corcoran, $6,000.

Parcel 1. Portion of Sections 25 and 36, T. 1 S., R. 15 E., containing 43.1 acres, more or less.

Parel 2. Right of way for electric transmission pole line, telephone lines, road and water tunnel and the right to construct, operate and use the same over, across, through and under portion of Section 36, T. 1 S., R. 15 E., M. D. B. and M.

Parcel 3. Right of way easement for erection, construction and maintenance, for the transmission of electricity, of two lines of towers and wires suspended thereon and supported thereby, and of wires for telephone and telegraph purposes, along, upon and over that certain parcel of land, being portion of Section 36, T. 1 S., R. 15 E.

Parcel 4. Right of way for a road over, across and through the south one-half of Section 25, T. 1 S., R. 15 E.

(As per written offer on file.)

Now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of the City Engineer, the above described offer of the above named property owners to sell to the City and County of San Francisco the above mentioned lands and easements for the sum set forth opposite their names be and the same is hereby accepted. Be it

Further Resolved, That the City Engineer is hereby authorized and directed to notify said parties of the acceptance of their said offer, and the City Attorney is authorized and directed to examine the title to said lands and easements, and, if the same is found in satisfactory condition, to accept in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco a deed conveying title thereto, and to file the same for record with a copy of this resolution attached thereto as evidence of acceptance by the City and County of San Francisco, upon payment of the above mentioned purchase price.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Stanton—1.

Amendment to Pound Ordinance.

Supervisor Hayden presented:

Bill No. ——, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), entitled "An amendment to Ordinance No. 3276 (New Series) as amended by Ordinance No. 5190 (New Series) to provide a public pound and to make necessary rules and regulations in the matter of animals running at large and for the custody and destruction of same."

Referred to Police Committee.

Leave of Absence, Thomas Flaherty, Sealer of Weights and Measures.

Communication, from his Honor Mayor Rolph, transmitting correspondence requesting the attendance of Thos. Flaherty, Sealer of Weights and Measures, at a notice conference in the East and recommending a leave of absence for that purpose.

Whereupon, the following resolution was presented by Supervisor Colman and *adopted* by the following vote:

Resolution No. 27153 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Thomas Flaherty, Sealer of Weights and Measures, be and he is hereby granted a leave of absence for thirty days, commencing May 20, 1927, with permission to leave the State.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, By-

ington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Stanton—2.

ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business, the Board at the hour of 6:40 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors August 15, 1927.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated, and approved as above recited.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco.

Vol. 22—New Series No. 17

Monday, April 25, 1927

Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
337 Bush Street, S. F.

JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, APRIL 25, 1927, 10 A. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, April 25, 1927, 10 a. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington—3.

Supervisor Bath excused on account of illness.

Quorum present.

His Honor Mayor Rolph being absent, Supervisor McSheehy was called to the chair.

APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the previous meeting was laid over one week for consideration and approval.

ROLL CALL FOR PETITIONS FROM MEMBERS.

Request That Mount Davidson Be Made a Public Park.

Supervisor Gallagher presented:

Communication, from E. C. McMillan, secretary-treasurer, Balboa Terrace Improvement Association, transmitting copy of resolution of said organization favoring the acquisition of Mount Davidson as a public park was *referred to the Finance Committee* and the Clerk of the Board was directed to secure from the Park Commissioners the amount of ground needed for a park on the top of Mt. Davidson.

Report of San Francisco Center, California League of Women Voters.

Communication, from San Francisco Center, California League of Women Voters, giving report of its official observers at the meetings of the Board of Supervisors and offering suggestions as to how the burden of legislation work might be lifted.

Referred to Rules Committee.

Boulder Dam Day, Los Angeles.

Communication, from Los Angeles Mayor's Citizens' Committee, inviting attendance Thursday, April 28, 1927, at celebration of Boulder Dam Day.

Invitation *accepted* and Supervisor McSheehy authorized to appoint committee of three.

Chair appointed Supervisors Powers, Stanton and Havenner.

Leave of Absence, Mrs. Ernest J. Mott.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

San Francisco, Cal.,
April 18, 1927.

Hon. Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen:

Application has been made to me by Mrs. Ernest J. Mott, member of the Board of Education, for leave of absence, with permission to leave the State of California for a period of six weeks, beginning April 20th.

I hereby request that you concur with me in granting said leave of absence.

Yours very truly,
JAMES ROLPH, JR.,
Mayor.

Whereupon, the following resolution was presented and *adopted*:

Resolution No. 27198 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Mrs. Ernest J. Mott, member of the Board of Education, is hereby granted a leave of absence, for a period of six weeks, commencing April 20, 1927, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

PRESENTATION OF PROPOSALS.

Hardware, Paints, Lumber, Etc.

Sealed proposals were received and opened at the hour of 11 a. m.

this day for furnishing supplies in the following classes, viz.:

Class 7—Hardware and castings.

Class 9—Paints, painters' supplies and glass.

Class 11—Lumber.

Said supplies to be ordered from time to time during term commencing July 1 and ending December 31, 1927.

Referred to Supplies Committee.

UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

Final Passage.

The following matters, heretofore passed for printing, were taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Authorizations.

Resolution No. 27154 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

Park Fund.

(1) Spring Valley Water Co., water furnished parks (claim dated April 15, 1927), $954.11.

(2) Langdendorf Baking Co., bread furnished parks (claim dated April 15, 1927), $584.19.

(3) National Ice Cream Co., ice cream furnished parks (claim dated April 15, 1927), $865.75.

(4) San Francisco Dairy Co., milk and cream furnished parks (claim dated April 15, 1927), $549.05.

Special School Tax.

(5) J. H. McCallum, lumber for school repairs (claim dated April 7, 1927), $1,184.57.

Hetch Hetchy Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1925.

For materials and supplies required for Hetch Hetchy construction:

(6) Old Mission Portland Cement Co., cement (claim dated March 25, 1927), $607.25.

(7) Hales & Symons, Inc., cement (claim dated March 28, 1927), $1,125.

(8) Bald Eagle Meat Market, meats, etc. (claim dated April 8, 1927), $1,215.21.

(9) T. M. Hughes, oak stove wood (claim dated April 8, 1927), $1,322.50.

(10) Western Pipe & Steel Co., air pipe (claim dated April 8, 1927), $915.10.

(11) T. E. Connolly and J. M. DeLuca, third payment, construction of aqueduct tunnels, Foothill Division (claim dated April 11, 1927), $35,283.34.

(12) A. Guthrie & Co., Inc., fourth payment, construction of aqueduct tunnels, Foothill Division (claim dated April 12, 1927), $48,078.

(13) Beronio Lumber Co., lumber (claim dated April 11, 1927), $625.37.

Relief Home Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923.

(14) Clinton Construction Co., extra work, new Relief Home construction (claim dated April 8, 1927), $887.32.

(15) F. W. Snook, final payment, plumbing and gas-fitting, new Relief Home (claim dated April 13, 1927), $29,889.75.

(16) Department of Public Works, labor furnished for account of Relief Home buildings, months of November, December, January and February (claim dated March 31, 1927), $1,190.

School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923.

(17) John Reid, Jr., first payment, architectural services, alterations and additions to High School of Commerce (claim dated April 12, 1927), $1,323.86.

(18) John Reid, Jr., eleventh payment, architecutral services, additions to Galileo High School (claim dated April 12, 1927), $848.16.

(19) Macdonald & Kahn, extra work, construction of new Mission High School (claim dated April 13, 1927), $632.

Tubercular Sanitarium Fund.

(20) Reilly & Nemetz, final payment, general construction of Tubercular Sanitarium (claim dated April 13, 1927), $37,279.31.

Municipal Railway Fund.

(21) San Francisco City Employees' Retirement System, pensions, etc., for Municipal Railway employees (claim dated April 7, 1927), $7,517.62.

(22) Crown Oil Co., gasoline for Municipal Railways (claim dated April 12, 1927), $1,761.49.

(23) Pacific Gas & Electric Co., gas and electricity for Municipal Railways (claim dated April 12, 1927), $38,129.37.

Municipal Railway Compensation Insurance Fund.

(24) San Francisco City Employees' Retirement System, pensions, etc., for Municipal Railway employees (claim dated April 7, 1927), $655.15.

Municipal Railway Depreciation Fund.

(25) United States Steel Products Co., splice bars, bolts, etc., for Municipal Railways (claim dated April 11, 1927), $2,345.20.

General Fund, 1925-1926.

(26) Louis J. Cohn, final pay-

ment, construction of Section C, Westerly Sunset main sewer (claim dated April 12, 1927), $1,319.42.

(27) A. E. Hennessy, second payment, reconstruction of Bluxome street from Fourth to Sixth streets (claim dated April 13, 1927), $7,345.

Hetch Hetchy Operative Revenue Fund.

(28) John J. Dailey, legal services, valuation of electric properties for City and County of San Francisco (claim dated April 13, 1927), $850.

(29) N. Randall Ellis, engineering services, valuation of electric properties for City and County of San Francisco (claim dated April 13, 1927), $750.

Auditorium Fund.

(30) Musical Association of San Francisco, for services of San Francisco Symphony Orchestra and soloists for rendition of "Beethoven," April 2, 1927 (claim dated April 18, 1927), $5,800.

(31) Louise Bennett, secretary of Municipal Chorus, for chorus payments, account of "Beethoven" concert, April 2, 1927 (claim dated April 18, 1927), $675.

General Fund, 1926-1927.

(32) San Francisco Bulletin, official advertising (claim dated April 18, 1927), $861.12.

(33) Recorder Printing and Publishing Co., printing law and motion-trial calendar, etc. (claim dated April 18, 1927), $1,075.

(34) Pacific Gas & Electric Co., street lighting for March, 1927 (claim dated April 18, 1927), $53,617.32.

(35) Addressograph Sales Co., one each addressograph and graphotype machines for Police Department (claim dated April 11, 1927), $661.05.

(36) M. J. Treacy, second payment, improvement of Peralta avenue (claim dated April 13, 1927), $2,400.

(37) Associated Charities, widows' pensions (claim dated April 15, 1927), $8,359.10.

(38) Eureka Benovelent Society, widows' pensions (claim dated April 15, 1927), $965.

(39) Little Childrens' Aid widows' pensions (claim dated April 15, 1927), $8,844.77.

(40) Boys' Aid Society, maintenance of minors (claim dated April 12, 1927), $1,460.17.

(41) San Francisco Protestant Orphanage, maintenance of minors (claim dated April 12, 1927), $1,015.13.

(42) San Francisco Nursery for Homeless Children, maintenance of minors (claim dated April 12, 1927), $551.84.

(43) Albertinum Orphanage, maintenance of minors (claim dated April 12, 1927), $1,511.41.

(44) Roman Catholic Orphanage, maintenance of minors (claim dated April 12, 1927), $4,282.88.

(45) St. Vincent's School, maintenance of minors (claim dated April 12, 1927), $2,019.73.

(46) Children's Agency, maintenance of minors (claim dated April 12, 1927), $30,819.03.

(47) Little Children's Aid, maintenance of minors (claim dated April 12, 1927), $12,855.99.

(48) Eureka Benevolent Society, maintenance of minors (claim dated April 12, 1927), $4,617.18.

(49) Preston School of Industry, maintenance of minors (claim dated April 12, 1927), $767.74.

(50) Preston School of Industry, maintenance of minors (claim dated April 12, 1927), $784.28.

(51) Crown Oil Co., gasoline for Fire Department (claim dated Mar. 31, 1927), $765.34.

(52) Dan P. Maher Paint Co., painting supplies, Fire Department (claim dated March 31, 1927), $537.32.

(53) Pacific Gas & Electric Co., gas and electricity for Fire Department (claim dated March 31, 1927), $1,930.46.

(54) Shell Co. of California, fuel oil, etc., Fire Department (claim dated March 31, 1927), $962.21.

(55) Spring Valley Water Co., water furnished Fire Department (claim dated March 31, 1927), $2,447.14.

(56) Pacific Gas & Electric Co., lighting public buildings (claim dated April 11, 1927), $4,504.61.

(57) Spring Valley Water Co., water furnished public buildings (claim dated April 11, 1927), $1,997.76.

(58) Spring Valley Water Co., water for street sprinkling (claim dated April 11, 1927), $672.26.

(59) Symon Brothers, teams furnished for street cleaning (claim dated April 11, 1927), $805.

(60) J. T. Freitas Co., Inc., eggs, Relief Home (claim dated March 31, 1927), $1,245.45.

(61) Pacific Gas & Electric Co., electric service, Relief Home (claim dated March 31, 1927), $627.

(62) Producers' Meat and Packing Co., meats, Relief Home (claim dated March 31, 1927), $670.30.

(63) San Francisco Dairy Co., milk for Relief Home (claim dated March 31, 1927), $2,365.44.

(64) Severin Electric Co., 200 bedside tables, Relief Home (claim dated March 31, 1927), $1,070.

(65) M. E. Ryan, installing underground conduit for Relief Home

(claim dated March 31, 1927), $750.

(66) Spring Valley Water Co., water furnished hospitals (claim dated March 31, 1927), $1,564.61.

(67) Spring Valley Water Co., water furnished Relief Home (claim dated March 31, 1927), $762.79.

(68) E. B. Frick, M. D., superintendent of San Francisco Hospital, room allowances for employees (claim dated March 31, 1927), $2,123.50.

(69) Herbert F. Dgan, drug sundries, S. F. Hospital (claim dated March 31, 1927), $631.62.

(70) Langendorf Baking Co., bread for S. F. Hospital (claim dated March 31, 1927), $1,206.39.

(71) California Meat Co., meats for S. F. Hospital (claim dated March 31, 1927), $647.81.

(72) Standard Fisheries, fish, S. F. Hospital (claim dated March 31, 1927), $503.28.

(73) J. T. Freitas Co., eggs, S. F. Hospital (claim dated March 31, 1927), $1,574.44.

(74) Sherry Bros., Inc., butter, S. F. Hospital (claim dated March 31, 1927), $1,799.80.

(75) Oliva Bros., fruits, S. F. Hospital (claim dated March 31, 1927), $593.54.

(76) California Petroleum Corporation, fuel oil, S. F. Hospital (claim dated March 31, 1927), $2,445.67.

(77) California Meat Co., meats, Relief Home (claim dated March 31, 1927), $3,273.51.

(78) California Petroleum Corporation, fuel oil, etc., Relief Home (claim dated March 31, 1927), $1,839.51.

(79) Sherry Bros., Inc., butter, Relief Home (claim dated March 31, 1927), $1,672.80.

(80) L. Dinkelspiel Co., clothing, etc., for Relief Home (claim dated March 28, 1927), $889.99.

County Road Fund.

(81) Eaton & Smith, for improvement of Grand View avenue by surfacing with rock (claim dated April 13, 1927), $805.

(82) A. E. Hennessy, final payment, reconstruction of Bluxome street (claim dated April 13, 1927), $2,919.08.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Appropriations Out of County Road Fund for Properties Required for Boulevard Purposes.

Resolution No. 27155 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the County Road Fund appropriations heretofore made in payment to the hereinafter named; being payments for properties required for boulevard purposes, to-wit:

(1) To Arthur S. White and Emma S. White, for property required for the widening of Garfield street, as per acceptance of offer and description by Resolution No. 27107 (New Series) (claim dated April 13, 1927), $3,400.

(2) To Charlotte O. Hohnemann, for property required for the widening of Garfield street, as per acceptance of offer and description by Resolution No. 27107 (New Series) (claim dated April 13, 1927), $800.

(3) To John R. Mitchell, for property required for the widening of Garfield street, as per acceptance of offer and description by Resolution No. 27108 (New Series) (claim dated April 13, 1927), $800.

(4) To Salarac Land Company, for property required for the widening of Garfield street, as per acceptance of offer and description by Resolution No. 27108 (New Series) (claim dated April 13, 1927), $1,600.

(5) To Ella Hyman, for property required for opening of the Bay Shore boulevard, as per acceptance of offer and description by Resolution No. 27109 (New Series) (claim dated April 13, 1927), $1,025.

(6) To Bruno and Madelieve Bandoni, for property required for the opening of Bernal Cut, as per acceptance of offer and description by Resolution No. 27110 (New Series) (claim dated April 13, 1927), $3,500.

(7) To Emily O'Neil, for property required for the opening of Bernal Cut, as per acceptance of offer and description by Resolution No. 27110 (New Series) (claim dated April 13, 1927), $4,500.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Appropriations for Construction and Equipment of Municipal Airport.

Resolution No. 27156 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of "Municipal Airport," Budget Item No. 60, for the construction and equipment of the Municipal Airport, to-wit:

(1) For construction of Hangar No. 1, Contract No. 4, Roy Lind contract $23,987.20
(2) For engineering, inspection and extras on Contract No. 4..... 2,012.80

$26,000.00

(3) For lighting hangar and fileds, Contract No. 5, Latourette-Fical Co. contract $5,485.00
(4) For engineering, inspection and extras, Contract No. 5..... $515.00

$6,000.00

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Appropriation, $15,000 Additional for Appraisement Survey of Taxable Property.

Resolution No. 27157 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $15,000 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of "Urgent Necessity," Budget Item No. 25, Fiscal Year 1926-1927, to the credit of Budget Item No. 62, Fiscal Year 1926-1927, for the purpose of appraising all taxable real property subject to assessment within the City and County of San Francisco, etc., as stated in said Budget Item No. 62.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington—3.

Appropriation, $5,500, Circuit Construction by Department of Electricity for Traffic Control.

Resolution No. 27158 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $5,500 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of "Traffic Signals, Installation of, etc." Budget Item No. 69, for the purchase of material and construction of and installation of additional circuits by the Department of Electricity, required for street traffic control.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Oil and Boiler Permits.

Resolution No. 27159 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits be and are hereby granted:

Oil Tanks.

Columbus Bakery, south side of Twenty-ninth street, west of Mission street, 600 gallons capacity.

Edwards & Schwartz, southwest corner of Twenty-fourth street and Valencia street, 1500 gallons capacity.

A. Finley, southeast corner of Fillmore and Green streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

F. S. Hobson, 179 Dolores street, 1500 gallons capacity.

E. Nelson, south side of Oak street, 200 feet east of Stanyan street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Dr. Harry Partridge, 65 Urbano drive, 750 gallons capacity.

Simmons Co., southwest corner of Stockton and Bay streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

Boiler.

Goodfriend & Jacobson, 2901 Mariposa street, 100-horsepower.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits shall become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Garage Permit.

Resolution No. 27160 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That J. M. Kepner be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate a public garage at 770 Pacific street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Blasting Permit.

Resolution No. 27161 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Sibley Grading and Teaming Company be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to explode blasts on property situate on the southwest corner of Pacific and Sansome streets,

provided said permittee shall execute and file a good and sufficient bond in the sum of $20,000 as fixed by the Board of Public Works and approved by his Honor the Mayor, in accordance with Ordinance No. 1204 (New Series); provided, also, that said blasts shall be exploded only between the hour of 7 a. m. and 6 p. m., and that the work of blasting shall be performed to the satisfaction of the Board of Public Works, and that if any of the conditions of this resolution be violated by said Sibley Grading and Teaming Company, then the privileges and all rights accruing thereunder shall immediately become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Laundry Permit.

Resolution No. 27162 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That John Victor be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain a laundry at 1029-1031 Hyde street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Blasting Permit.

Resolution No. 27163 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That H. V. Tucker be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to explode blasts on property situate on the south side of Folsom street between Fourteenth and Fifteenth streets, provided said permittee shall execute and file a good and sufficient bond in the sum of $5,000 as fixed by the Board of Public Works and approved by his Honor the Mayor, in accordance with Ordinance No. 1204 (New Series); provided, also, that said blasts shall be exploded only between the hours of 7 a. m. and 6 p. m., and that the work of blasting shall be performed to the satisfaction of the Board of Public Works, and that if any of the conditions of this resolution be violated by said H. V. Tucker, then the privileges and all rights accruing thereunder shall immediately become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Garage Permit.

Resolution No. 27164 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Peter Stoyanoff be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate a public garage at 1347 Stevenson street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Calling and Providing for Special Election, Bernal Cut.

Bill No. 7988, Ordinance No. 7512 (New Series), as follows:

Calling and providing for a special election to be held in the City and County of San Francisco on Tuesday, the fourteenth day of June, 1927, for the purpose of submitting to the said voters of the City and County of San Francisco a proposition to incur a bonded debt of the City and County of San Francisco to the amount of one million four hundred thousand ($1,400,000) dollars for the acquisition, construction and completion of the permanent improvement known as the Bernal Cut, which consists of a cut, or excavation with approach fills, providing an improved route for public highway purposes in the City and County, which begins at or near the intersection of Randall and Dolores streets and extending southerly to a point at or near the intersection of San Jose avenue and Diamond street, in the City and County of San Francisco, including approaches, structures, pavements, curbs, sidewalks, sewers, drainage facilities, the readjustment of intersecting and adjacent streets and other improvements, and the purchase of necessary lands.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. A special election is hereby called and ordered to be held in the City and County of San Fran-

cisco on Tuesday, the fourteenth day of June, 1927, for the purpose of submitting to the electors of said City and County the following proposition, to-wit: To incur a bonded debt of the City and County of San Francisco to the amount of one million four hundred thousand ($1,400,000) dollars for the purpose of the acquisition, construction and completion of a permanent improvement, to-wit: A cut, or excavation with approach fills, providing an improved route for public highway purposes in the City and County of San Francisco known as the Bernal Cut, which improvement begins at or near the intersection of Randall and Dolores streets and extending southerly to a point at or near the intersection of San Jose avenue and Diamond street, in the City and County of San Francisco, including approaches, structures, pavements, curbs, sidewalks, sewers, drainage facilities, the readjustment of intersecting and adjacent streets and other improvements, and the purchase of necessary lands.

Section 2. The estimated cost of the acquisition, construction and completion of the permanent improvement described herein was by plans and estimates of the Board of Public Works procured through the City Engineer and filed with the Board of Supervisors on the 25th day of March, 1927, and was and is fixed by Bill No. 7985, Ordinance No. 7499 (New Series) in the sum of one million four hundred thousand ($1,400,000) dollars.

Section 3. By Bill No. 7985, Ordinance No. 7499 (New Series) it was declared that no part of the said sum of one million four hundred thousand ($1,400,000) dollars could be paid out of the annual revenue of the City and County in addition to the other necessary expenses thereof, or other funds derived from taxes levied for that purpose, and will require the incurring of a bonded debt to the amount of one million four hundred thousand ($1,400,000) dollars for the purposes herein recited. The method and manner of payment of the estimated cost of said described permanent improvement are by the issuance of bonds of the City and County of San Francisco to the amount required therefor and the application of the proceeds arising from the sale thereof to defray the cost of the acquisition, construction and completion of said permanent improvement herein described.

Section 4. The special election hereby called and ordered to be held shall be held and conducted, and the votes thereat received and canvassed and the returns thereof made and the result thereof ascertained, determined and declared as herein provided and according to the laws of the State of California, providing for and governing elections in the City and County of San Francisco, and the polls for such election shall be and remain open during the time required by said laws.

Section 5. The ballots to be used at said special election shall be such as may be required by law to be used thereat, and in addition to any other matter to be required by law to be printed thereon shall appear thereon the following:

"Bernal Cut—To vote for the proposition and thereby authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness to the amount of and for the purpose stated in such proposition stamp a cross (x) in the blank space to the right of the word "Yes." To vote against the proposition and thereby refuse to authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness to the amount of and for the purpose stated in such proposition stamp a cross (x) in the blank space to the right of the word "No." Also said ballot shall have printed thereon the following: To incur a bonded debt of the City and County of San Francisco to the amount of one million four hundred thousand ($1,400,000) dollars for the acquisition, construction and completion of a permanent improvement, to-wit:

YES	
NO	

A cut, or excavation with approach fills, and other improvements, providing an improved route for public highway purposes in the City and County of San Francisco known as the Bernal Cut, which improvement begins at or near the intersection of Randall and Dolores streets and extending southerly to a point at or near the intersection of San Jose avenue and Diamond street in the City and County of San Francisco. Bonds issued for such purpose shall bear interest at the rate of four and one-half per cent (4½%) per annum, payable semi-annually.

Section 6. Where voting machines are used at said special election the said voting machines shall be so arranged that any qualified elector may vote for the proposition by pulling down a lever over the word "Yes" under or near a statment of the proposed proposition appearing on cardboard, paper or other ma-

terial placed on the front of the machine, and said act shall constitute a vote for the proposition, and by pulling down a lever over the word "No" under or near a statement of the proposed proposition appearing on cardboard, paper or other material placed on the front of the machine shall constitute a vote against the proposition. Said voting machines and the preparation of the same are to be used in accordance with the provision of Chapter 96 of the Statutes of 1923.

Each cross (x) stamped in the square to the right of the word "Yes" appearing on the printed ballot, where printed ballots are used, shall constitute a vote in favor of, and to authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness for the purpose set forth in the proposition; and each cross (x) stamped in the square to the right of the word "No" shall be counted as a vote not in favor of, and a refusal to authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness for the purpose set forth in the proposition.

Section 7. The election precincts and the numbers, names and boundaries thereof for said special election, and the places of voting, and the officers to conduct such election, and all other necessary proceedings in that behalf, shall be respectively defined, designated, selected, appointed and had by the Board of Election Commissioners of the City and County of San Francisco, and said board is hereby authorized and directed to procure and provide all supplies that may be necessary to properly and lawfully conduct said special election.

When the polls are closed the officers of election shall count the ballots cast at such election, and canvass the votes cast respectively for and against the proposition herein stated and make return thereof in time, form and manner required for the counting, canvassing and returning of votes cast at other municipal elections held in the City and County of San Francisco. The Board of Election Commissioners shall, as soon as the said returns and ballots have been received by said board, canvass said returns and declare the result thereof in the manner provided by law for canvassing returns and declaring results in other elections, and shall also certify said results to the Board of Supervisors.

Section 8. If, at such special election, it shall appear that two-thirds of all the votes cast thereat were in favor of and authorized the incurring of a bonded debt for the purpose set forth in said proposition, then such proposition shall be deemed to have been accepted by the electors and bonds will be issued to defray the cost of the permanent improvement described therein. Such bonds shall be of the form and character known as "serials." All of said bonds shall be dated July 1, 1927, shall bear interest at the rate of 4½% per centum per annum, payable semi-annually, shall be of the denomination of one thousand dollars each, and the principal and interest thereof shall be payable in gold coin of the United States. Said bonds shall be called "Bernal Cut Bonds."

Bonds issued for the purpose stated shall be numbered from 1 to 1400, both inclusive, and shall be payable seventy thousand ($70,000) dollars thereof five years from the date of said bonds, beginning with the lowest numbers, and seventy thousand ($70,000) dollars thereof of the next higher numbers on the same day in each succeeding year until all of said bonds shall be paid.

Section 9. Said bonds and coupons shall be in substantially the following form:

The United States of America.
State of California.
City and County of San Francisco.
Bernal Cut Bond.

No..... $1,000.00

For value received, the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation organized and existing under and by virtue of the laws of the State of California, hereby acknowledges itself indebted and promises to pay to the bearer on the first day of ————————, 19——, one thousand dollars, with interest thereon at the rate of 4½ per centum per annum, payable semi-annually, January 1 and July 1, on presentation and surrender of the coupons hereto attached as they respectively become due, both principal and interest being payable in gold coin of the United States at the office of the Treasurer of said City and County, or, at the option of the holder, at the fiscal agency of said City and County of San Francisco in the City and State of New York.

This bond is issued under and pursuant to the Constitution and statutes of the State of California and the Charter of said City and County of San Francisco and amendments thereto, and under and pursuant to ordinances and proceedings of said City and County, duly adopted and taken, and a vote and assent of more than two-thirds of all the qualified electors of said

City and County voting at a special election, duly and legally called and held for that purpose.

It is hereby certified, recited and declared that all acts, conditions and things required by law to exist, happen and be performed precedent to and in the issuance of this bond have existed, happened and been performed in due time, form and manner as required by law, and that the amount of this bond, together with all other indebtedness of said City and County, does not exceed any limit prescribed by the Constitution or statutes of said State or Charter of said City and County, and that provision has been made as required by the Constitution and statutes of said State and the Charter of said City and County for the collection of an annual tax sufficient to pay the interest on this bond as it falls due, and also provision to constitute a sinking fund for the payment of the principal of this bond on or before maturity. The full faith and credit of said City and County are hereby pledged for the punctual payment of the principal and interest of this bond.

This bond may be converted into a registered bond upon presentation to the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco, in which event such Treasurer shall cut off and cancel the coupons of this bond, and shall sign a statement stamped, printed or written upon the back or face of the bond to the effect that this bond is registered in the name of the owner and that thereafter the interest and principal of this bond are payable to the registered owner. Thereafter and from time to time this bond may be transferred by such registered owner in person or by attorney duly authorized on presentation of this bond to the Treasurer, and the bond be again registered as before, a similar statement being stamped, printed or written thereon.

This bond is exempt from all taxation within the State of California.

In witness whereof, said City and County of San Francisco has caused this bond to be executed under its corporate seal, signed by its Mayor and Treasurer, and countersigned by its Auditor, and has caused the interest coupons hereto attached to be signed with the engraved or lithographed signature of its Treasurer, and this bond to be dated the first day of July, 1927.

.....
Mayor.

.....
Treasurer.

Countersigned:

.....
Auditor.

FORM OF COUPON.

No. ..... $.....

On ..... 1, 19..., the City and County of San Francisco, California, will pay to bearer at the office of the Treasurer of said City and County, or at the option of the holder at the fiscal agency of the City and County of San Francisco, in the City and State of New York, dollars ($.....) in gold coin of the United States, being six months' interest then due on its bond, dated July 1, 1927, Number .....

.....
Treasurer.

FORM OF REGISTRATION.

San Francisco, ..., 19...

This bond is registered pursuant to Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, in the name of ..... and the interest and principal thereof are hereafter payable to such owner.

.....
Treasurer.

Section 10. The amount of tax levy to be made for the payment for said one million four hundred thousand dollars bonds issued under said proposition shall be the sum of sixty-three thousand dollars for the first five years from the date of said bonds to pay the annual interest on said bonds, and in season to pay such interest as it becomes due, and for the sixth year after the date of said bonds the sum of fifty-nine thousand eight hundred and fifty dollars to pay and in season to pay the interest on such of said bonds as remain outstanding after the seventy thousand dollars thereof due five years from their date have been paid, and for the seventh year after the date of said bonds the sum of fifty-six thousand seven hundred dollars to pay and in season to pay the interest on such of said bonds as remain outstanding after the seventy thousand dollars thereof due six years from their date have been paid, and so on, a sum each year for eighteen succeeding years until said bonds are all paid, sufficient for interest and in season to pay interest on all of said bonds outstanding, which sum for interest will diminish each

year by the amount of three thousand one hundred and fifty dollars by reason of the payment each year beginning five years from date of said bonds of seventy thousand dollars of said bonds, and the sum of seventy thousand dollars each year beginning four years from the date of said bonds to pay and in season to pay the principal of such bonds as they respectively become due, and continuing each succeeding year for nineteen years until the principal of all of said bonds has been paid.

The purpose and intent of the foregoing tax provisions are, and it is hereby expréssly provided, that at the time of levying the municipal tax, and in the manner provided for such tax levy, the Supervisors shall levy and collect annually a tax sufficient to pay the annual interest on such bonds, and also such part of the bonded indebtedness as will fall due within the succeeding fiscal year. Such taxes shall be in addition to all other taxes levied for municipal purposes, and shall be collected at the same time and in the same manner as other municipal taxes are collected.

Section 11. This ordinance shall be published for at least ten days in the official newspaper, and at the expiration of said ten days notice of such special election shall be gievn and published as required by law.

Section 12. This ordinance is the third of a series of ordinances which will be adopted by the Board of Supervisors, relating to and designed to secure the acquisition, construction and completion of the permanent improvement named.

Section 13. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington—3.

Amending Zoning Ordinance, Beverly and Worcester Streets.

Bill No. 7987, Ordinance No. 7513 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings, and the locations of buildings designed for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Section 13 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place the northwest corner of Beverly street and Worcester avenue, for a distance of 139 feet on Beverly street and 167 feet on Worcester avenue, in the Commercial District instead of the First Residential District.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Action Deferred.

The following entitled bill was *laid over one week*:

Spur Track Permit, U. S. Government.

Bill No. ——, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), entitled "Granting to the United States the right to construct, maintain and operate, and to maintain and operate, where already constructed, in perpetuity a spur track railroad, extending from Fort Mason Military Reservation to the Presidio Reservation, in the City and County of San Francisco, over and along certain public streets in said City and County."

PRESENTATION OF BILLS AND ACCOUNTS.

Your Finance Committee, having examined miscellaneous demands not required by law to be passed to print, and amounting to $47,473.86, recommends same be allowed and ordered paid.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

NEW BUSINESS.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Authorizations.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

Park Fund.

(1) Pacific Gas and Electric Co.

labor and material, installing underground service for parks (claim dated Apr. 22, 1927), $672.25.

Special School Tax.

(2) J. H. McCallum, lumber for Schools (claim dated Apr. 18, 1927), $1,076.87.

Municipal Railway Fund.

(3) Market Street Railway Co., electric power furnished Municipal Railways (claim dated Apr. 15, 1927), $805.02.

(4) Market Street Railway Co., reimbursement as per agreement of December 12, 1918 (claim dated Apr. 15, 1927), $1,642.61.

School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923.

(5) S. W. Band, final payment plumbing for addition to Francis Scott Key School (claim dated Apr. 20, 1927), $1,963.80.

Relief Home Construction Fund. Bond Issue 1923.

(6) Clinton Construction Co., final payment, general construction for Relief Home buildings (claim dated Apr. 19, 1927), $7,424.70.

Tubercular Sanitarium Fund.

(7) M. E. Ryan, conditional acceptance payment on electrical work, Tubercular Sanitarium (claim dated Apr. 20, 1927), $1.800.

Hetch Hetchy Power Operative Fund.

(8) Depreciation Fund, Hetch Hetchy Power Operative as reserve for depreciation, per Charter provision (claim dated Apr. 15, 1927) $14,583.

Duplicate Tax Fund.

(9) Margaret Mahoney, administratrix of the estate of David Mahoney, refund of assessments paid for account of Twin Peaks Tunnel on Lake Merced lands, in litigation with the Spring Valley Water Co. (claim dated Apr. 25, 1927), $5 261.46.

Hetch Hetchy Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1925.

(10) For expense of Hetch Hetchy water construction, to-wit:

(11) Bald Eagle Meat Market meats (claim dated Apr. 19, 1927) $1,550.78.

(12) Hales & Symons, Inc., lumber (claim dated Apr. 19, 1927) $881.45.

(13) Ingersoll-Rand Co. of California, machinery parts (claim dated Apr. 15, 1927), $577.

(14) M. M. O'Shaughnessy, revolving fund expenditures, per vouchers (claim dated Apr. 15, 1927), $944.40.

(15) Santa Cruz Portland Cement Co., cement (claim dated Apr. 15. 1927), $607.25.

(16) State Compensation Insurance Fund, insurance premium, Hetch Hetchy employees (claim dated Apr. 19, 1927), $2,257.37.

(17) Western Pipe and Steel Co., air pipe (claim dated Apr. 15, 1927), $915.10.

(18) Beronio Lumber Co., lumber (claim dated Apr. 11, 1927), $625.37.

(19) First National Bank of Sonora, for amount advanced for account of payroll for Hetch Hetchy employees (claim dated Apr. 12, 1927), $35,000.

Hetch Hetchy Operative Revenue Fund.

(20) John J. Dailey, for expense of trip to New York to take depositions of witnesses in Great Western Power Co. valuation case (claim dated Apr. 21, 1927), $650.

Publicity and Advertising (Appropriation 55).

(21) Citizens' Committee, Thos. F. Boyle, treasurer, for expense on account of reception to representatives of the Irish Republic (claim dated Apr. 25, 1927), $1,440.30.

Tearing-up Streets Fund.

(22) Gladding, McBean & Co., sewer pipe for side sewer construction (claim dated Apr. 20, 1927). $525.

Hetch Hetchy Operative Revenue Fund.

(23) H. G. Butler, services in connection with condemnation of San Francisco electrical properties (claim dated Apr. 22, 1927), $72[illegible]

General Fund. 1926-1927.

(24) California Printing Co., one thousand zoning ordinances for the City Planning Commission (claim dated Apr. 25, 1927), $779.

(25) A. P. Jacobs, rent of premises No. 333 Kearny street for month ending May 3, 1927 (claim dated Apr. 18, 1927), $1,186.

(26) Napa State Hospital, maintenance of criminal insane for quarter ending March 31, 1927 (claim dated Apr. 25, 1927), $656.67.

(27) Crown Oil Co., gasoline for Police Department (claim dated Apr. 18, 1927), $890.53.

(28) A. Carlisle, printing for departments (claim dated Apr. 25, 1927), $1,286.05.

(29) Wilcox & Co., printing for departments (claim dated Apr. 25. 1927), $579.

(30) California Petroleum Corporation, asphalt for street repair (claim dated Apr. 18, 1927). $689.35

(31) California Rock Co., gravel for street repair (claim dated Apr. 18, 1927), $2,780.98.

(32) Pacific Portland Cement Co. Cons., cement for street repair (claim dated Apr. 18, 1927), $2,197.55.

(33) Western Rock Products Co. sand for street repair (claim dated Apr. 18, 1927), $3,112.92.

(34) California Petroleum Corporation, fuel oil for Civic Center Power House (claim dated Apr. 18, 1927), $1,480.76.

(35) Dollar Steamship Line, freight on Election Department voting machines (claim dated Apr. 21, 1927), $1,319.50.

(36) J. H. Zemansky, one cent postage for special election (claim dated Apr. 21, 1927), $2,300.

(37) Department of Public Works, labor furnished for account of San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Mar. 31, 1927), $3,708.

(38) Department of Public Works, materials furnished for account of San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Mar. 31, 1927), $645.58.

(39) L. Scatena & Co., supplies for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Mar. 31, 1927), $595.23.

(40) Metropolitan Life Ins. Co., refund of penalty in payment of first installment of taxes for 1926-1927; check for payment of same in due time having miscarried (claim dated Apr. 21, 1927), $1,215.49.

(Supervisor Powers requested to be recorded voting *no* on No. 25.)

Appropriations, Payment to Maude P. Browne et al. for Lands Required for School Purposes.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized in payment out of School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923, to the hereinafter named persons; being payments in satisfaction of judgments, Superior Court Action No. 160107, for lands required for school purposes, to-wit:

(1) To Maude P. Browne and Allan H. Browne, for lands on the east line of Thirty-second avenue commencing 215 feet southerly from the southerly line of Geary street running thence southerly on Thirty-second avenue 101 feet 10 inches; thence at a right angle easterly 120 feet; thence at right angle northerly 56 feet 10 inches; thence at right angle easterly 120 feet to westerly line of Thirty-first avenue; thence at right angle northerly on westerly line of Thirty-first avenue 45 feet; thence at right angle westerly 240 feet to point of commencement. As per Resolution No. 27126 (New Series), $16,594.65.

(2) To Frank Knighthart and Harriet Knighthart, for three parcels of land situate on the easterly and westerly sides of Thirty-first avenue between Anza and Geary streets; particularly described by Resolution No. 27113 (New Series). $8,450.

(3) To William Henry Greely and Ella Greely, for lands on the westerly line of Thirtieth avenue, commencing 175 feet northerly from the northerly line of Anza street; of dimensions 50x120 feet. As per Resolution No. 27113 (New Series) $5,125.

Appropriation for Beacon and Landing Lights, Airport, and Work in Front of City Property.

Supervisor McSheehy presented: Resolution No. 27165 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned funds for the following purposes, to-wit:

Municipal Airport, Budget Item No. 60.

(1) For the purchase of beacon, landing lights and boundary light fixtures for the Municipal Airport; additional to $4,000 set aside for same purpose by Resolution No. 26280 (New Series), $400.

Street Work in Front of City Property, Budget Item No. 36.

(2) For cost of constructing sidewalk in front of City property, Sixth street (east line), commencing 25 feet north of Shipley street, thence north 25 feet, $60.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington—3.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Plans, Etc., for Stanley Street Sewer.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy:

Bill No. 8001, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Authorizing the preparation of plans and specifications for the construction of a reinforced concrete sewer and appurtenances in Stanley street from Saint Charles avenue to Junipero Serra boulevard, and ordering the construction of a reinforced concrete sewer and appurtenances in Stanley street from Saint Charles avenue to Junipero Serra boulevard in accordance with said plans and specifications prepared therefor; authorizing and directing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for said construction of sewer and appurtenances.

Be it ordained by the People of

the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized, instructed and empowered to prepare plans and specifications for the construction of a reinforced concrete sewer and appurtenances in Stanley street from Saint Charles avenue to Junipero Serra boulevard, and to enter into contract for the construction of a reinforced concrete sewer and appurtenances in Stanley street from Saint Charles avenue to Junipero Serra boulevard in accordance with the plans and specifications prepared therefor.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Plans, Etc., for Canal Street Sewer.

Also, Bill No. 8002, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Authorizing the preparation of plans and specifications for the Canal street sewer extension from Trumbull street to Alemany boulevard, and ordering the Canal street sewer extension from Trumbull street to Alemany boulevard in accordance with said plans and specifications prepared therefor; authorizing and directing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for said Canal street sewer extension in accordance with the said plans and specifications.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized, instructed and empowered to prepare plans and specifications for the Canal street sewer extension from Trumbull street to Alemany boulevard, and to enter into contract for said Canal street sewer extension from Trumbull street to Alemany boulevard in accordance with the plans and specifications prepared therefor.

Section 2. This ordinace shall take effect immediately.

Extension of Time for Improvement of Sutro Mounment.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 27166 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Eaton & Smith are hereby granted an extension of ninety days' time from and after April 14, 1927, within which to complete the improvement around Sutro Monument. This extension of time is granted by reason of the work having been delayed due to rain.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington—3.

Accepting Offer of Carl W. Nelson et al. to Sell Certain Property Required for Market Street Extension.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 27167 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the following owners of property adjacent to the Market street extension have offered to release the City and County of San Francisco, its contractors or agents, from all claim or claims of damages to their property or the buildings thereon caused by the establishment of grades on Market street extension and the grading and construction of Market street extension to the proposed official grade and the grading and repaving of adjacent streets to said Market street extension; and

Whereas, the City Attorney has recommended the acceptance of the said offer as per the following terms, namely:

Carl W. Nelson and Jennie Nelson, $1,375—All that certain piece or parcel of land situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and known as Lot No. 27, in Block 2702, as per the Assessor's Block Book of the City and County of San Francisco.

Resolved, That the said offer be accepted and the City Attorney be authorized to close negotiations and superintend the payment of money to the above mentioned persons upon the receipt of the proper releases.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington—3.

Mayor Directed to Sell at Public Auction Certain Improvements on Silver Avenue.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 27168 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Mayor be and he is hereby authorized and directed to sell at public auction, after at least five (5) days of published notice, the following described personal property owned by the City and County of San Francisco, to-wit:

Dwelling houses and appurtenances situated on those certain pieces or parcels of land required for the opening of Bay Shore boule-

vard and known as 1314, 1316 and 1318 Silver avenue.

The terms of said sale shall be cash upon delivery of bill of sale. said dwelling houses to be removed by the purchaser within thirty (30) days of purchase thereof.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colmar, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington—3.

Accepting Offer of Frank Suter et al. to Sell Certain Properties Required for Bernal Cut.

Supervisor McSheehy presented: Resolution No. 27169 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the owners of the following described lands situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and sought to be acquired by the City and County of San Francisco for the opening of Bernal Cut, extending from Mission and Randall streets southwesterly along the Southern Pacific Railroad right of way to the intersection of San Jose avenue and Circular avenue, have offered to convey the property desired by said City and County for the sums set forth opposite their names, viz.:

Frank Suter and Magdalene Suter, $4,800—All of Lot 4, in Block 6690, as per the Assessor's Block Book of the City and County of San Francisco.

Amelia Reilly, $4,100—All of Lot 34, Block 1, College Hill Tract, as recorded in Map Book "G", page 18, records of the City and County of San Francisco.

Whereas, the City Attorney has recommended the acceptance of the said offers and the acquisition of the properties owned by said persons and offered to the City and County of San Francisco for the prices set forth opposite their names, which said prices are in accordance with the City's appraisement of the properties; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the said offers of sale be accepted, and the City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to examine the titles of said properties, and if the same are found in satisfactory condition, to accept in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco deeds conveying title thereto, and file the same for record with a copy of this resolution attached thereto as evidence of acceptance by the City and County of San Francisco, upon payment of the agreed purchase prices.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colmar, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington—3.

Accepting Offer of Mary R. Scott to Sell Certain Properties Required for Bernal Heights Boulevard.

Supervisor McSheehy presented: Resolution No. 27170 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the owner of the following described land sought to be acquired by the City and County of San Francisco for the opening of Bernal Heights boulevard has offered to convey the property desired by the City and County of San Francisco for the sum set forth opposite her name, viz.:

Mary R. Scott, $3,000—Lots 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18 and 19 in Block No. 5640, as per the Assessor's Block Book of the City and County of San Francisco.

Whereas, the City Attorney has recommended the acceptance of the said offer and the acquisition of the property owned by the said person and offered to the City and County of San Francisco for the price set forth, which said price is in accordance with the City's appraisement of the property; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the said offer of sale be accepted and the City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to examine the title of said property, and if the same is found in satisfactory condition, to accept in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, a deed conveying title thereto, and file the same for record with a copy of this resolution attached thereto as evidence of acceptance by the City and County of San Francisco, upon payment of the agreed purchase price.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colmar, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington—3.

Accepting Offer of James V. Brown et al. to Sell Certain Properties Required for Opening of Bernal Cut.

Supervisor McSheehy presented: Resolution No. 27171 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the owners of the following described lands situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and sought to be acquired by the City and County of San Francisco for the opening of Bernal Cut, extend-

ing from Mission and Randall streets southeasterly along the Southern Pacific Railroad right of way to the intersection of San Jose avenue and Circular avenue, have offered to convey the property desired by said City and County for the sums set forth opposite their names, viz.:

James V. Brown, $3,200—Lot 35, Jones' Addition to Fairmount Tract, as recorded in Map Book "E" and "F", page 169, records of City and County of San Francisco.

Annie C. Maguire, $2,250—All of Lot 29, Block 1, College Hill Tract, as recorded in Map Book "G", records of City and County of San Francisco.

Nils P. Jensen and Annie M. Jensen, $21,055—Beginning at a point on the southerly line of Highland avenue, distant thereon 237.500 feet westerly from the westerly line of Mission street; thence westerly along said southerly line 66.500 feet to the southeasterly boundary line of the Southern Pacific Comany's right of way; thence southwesterly along said southeasterly line to a line which is the southerly boundary line of Lot 1, Block "C", of the French and Gilman Tract, as recorded in Map Book "E" and "F", page 48, records of the City and County of San Francisco; thence easterly along said southerly line and the southerly line of Lot 2, Block "C", 95.500 feet to a point which is 7.500 feet from the westerly boundary line of Lot 3 of said block; thence deflecting 57 deg. 02 min. 40 sec. to the left and running northeasterly 49.496 feet to the westerly boundary line of the property of J. C. and Ingeborg J. Thompson; thence deflecting 23 deg. 29 min. 20 sec. to the left and running northerly along said westerly line 57.895 feet to the southerly line of Highland avenue and the point of beginning. Being all of Lot 1 and portions of Lots 2 and 3 of said Block "C", French and Gilman Tract.

J. C. Thompson and Ingeborg J Thompson, $14,750—Beginning at a point on the southerly line of Highland avenue, distant thereon 210 feet westerly from the westerly line of Mission street; thence westerly along said southerly line of Highland avenue a distance of 27.500 feet to the easterly boundary line of the property of Nils P. and Annie M. Jensen; thence deflecting 80 deg. 32 min. to the left and running southerly along said easterly line a distance of 57.895 feet; thence deflecting to the left 156 deg. 30 min. 40 sec. and running northeasterly 68.057 feet to the southerly line of Highland avenue and the point of beginning. Being portions of Lots 3 and 4, Block "C", of French and Gilman Tract as recorded in Map Book "E" and "F", page 48, records of the City and County of San Francisco.

The above amount of $14,750 includes payment in full for all improvements wholly or partially located on the above-described parcel, and all improvements wholly or partially located on the adjoining portions of the aforesaid Lots 3 and 4.

Whereas, the City Attorney has recommended the acceptance of the said offers and the acquisition of the properties owned by said persons and offered to the City and County of San Francisco for the prices set forth opposite their names, which said prices are in accordance with the City's appraisement of the properties; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the said offers of sale be accepted and the City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to examine the titles of said properties, and if the same are found in satisfactory condition, to accept in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco deeds conveying title thereto, and file the same for record with a copy of this resolution attached thereto as evidence of acceptance by the City and County of San Francisco, upon payment of the agreed purchase prices

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington—3.

Accepting Offer of Elizabeth D. McMillan et al. to Sell Certain Properties Required for the Widening of Garfield Street.

Supervisor McSheehy presented: Resolution No. 27172 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the owner of the following described land sought to be acquired by the City and County of San Francisco for the widening of Garfield street from Orizaba avenue to Junipero Serra boulevard has offered to convey the properties desired by the City and County of San Francisco for the sum set forth opposite her name, viz.::

Elizabeth D. McMillan, $900—

Parcel 1. Beginning at the point of intersection of the northerly line of Garfield street and the easterly line of Bright street, and running thence northerly along the easterly line of Bright street a distance of

83.872 feet; thence northeasterly on a curve to the right of 640-foot radius, tangent to a line deflecting 72 deg. 4 min. 56 sec. to the right from the preceding course, central angle 4 deg. 38 min. 55 sec. a distance of 51.925 feet; thence deflecting 103 deg. 16 min. 9 sec. to the right from the tangent to the preceding curve and running southerly parallel with Bright street 97.826 feet to the northerly line of Garfield street; thence westerly along the northerly line of Garfield street a distance of 50 feet to the point of beginning. Being portion of City Land Association Block No. 57.

Parcel 2. Beginning at a point on the easterly line of Bright street distant northerly thereon 83.872 feet from the northerly line of Garfield street; thence northerly along said easterly line 16.128 feet; thence at right angles easterly 50 feet; thence at right angles southerly 2.174 feet; thence southwesterly along a curve to the left, tangent to a line deflected to the right 76 deg. 43 min. 51 sec., radius 640 feet, central angle 4 deg. 38 min. 55 sec., a distance of 51.925 feet to the easterly line of Bright street and the point of beginning. Being a portion of City Land Association Block No. 57.

As a further consideration for said conveyance it is hereby agreed that the following described parcels of land shall become the property of Elizabeth D. McMillan:

Parcel A. Beginning at a point distant at right angles northerly 39.352 feet from the northerly line of Garfield street and 50 feet at right angles westerly from the westerly line of Bright street; thence northerly on a line which is parallel to said westerly line of Bright street and distant 50 feet at right angles therefrom a distance of 60.648 feet; thence at right angles westerly 50 feet; thence at right angles southerly 77.495 feet; thence northeasterly along a curve to the left, tangent to a line deflecting to the left 106 deg. 00 min 48 sec. from the preceding course radius 580 feet, central angle 5 deg 12 min. 50 sec., a distance of 52.780 feet to the point of beginning. Being a portion of City Land Association Block No. 46.

Parcel B. Beginning at a point distant at right angles northerly 49.741 feet from the northerly line of Garfield street and 25 feet at right angles westerly from the westerly line of Bright street; thence northerly along a line drawn parallel to and 25 feet westerly at right angles from the westerly line of Bright street a distance of 50.259 feet; thence westerly at right angles 25 feet; thence southerly at right angles 60.648 feet; thence northeasterly along a curve to the left tangent to a line deflected to the left 111 deg. 13 min. 38 sec., radius 580 feet, central angle 2 deg. 40 min. 29 sec., a distance of 27.076 feet to the point of beginning. Being a portion of City Land Association Block No. 46.

Parcel C. Beginning at a point on the westerly line of Bright street, distant northerly thereon 61.090 feet from the northerly line of Garfield street, and running thence northerly along said west erly line 38.910 feet; thence westerly at right angles to said westerly line of Bright street 25 feet; thence southerly at right angles 50.259 feet; thence northeasterly on a curve to the left of 580-foot radius, tangent to a line deflected 113 deg. 54 min. 07 sec. to the left from the preceding course, central angle 1 deg. 9 min. 56 sec., a distance of 11.799 feet; thence northeasterly on a curve to the right of 640-foot radius, tangent to the preceding curve, central angle 1 deg. 24 min. 06 sec., a distance of 15.657 feet to a point on the westerly line of Bright street and the point of beginning. Being a portion of City Land Association Block No. 46.

Whereas, the City Attorney has recommended the acceptance of the said offer and the acquisition of the properties owned by said person and offered to the City and County of San Francisco for the price set forth, which said price is in accordance with the City's appraisement of the properties; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the said offer of sale be accepted and the City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to examine the title of said properties, and if the same are found in satisfactory condition, to accept in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco a deed conveying title thereto, and file the same for record with a copy of this resolution attached thereto as evidence of acceptance by the City and County of San Francisco, upon payment of the agreed purchase price; and be it

Further Resolved, That in order to facilitate the possible exchange or sale of Parcel 2. purchased from Elizabeth D. McMillan, the deed to said Parcel 2 be taken in the name of the California Pacific Title and Trust Company, to be held in trust by said company for the City and County of San Francisco, and un-

der the directions of said City and County; and be it

Further Resolved, That the California Pacific Title and Trust Company be authorized to deed said parcels A. B and C to Elizabeth D McMillan.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington—3.

Accepting Offer of Oscar Bruderer et al. to Sell Certain Properties Required for the Widening of Garfield Street.

Supervisor McSheehy presented: Resolution No. 27173 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the owners of the following described land sought to be acquired by the City and County of San Francisco for the widening of Garfield street from Orizaba avenue to Junipero Serra boulevard have offered to convey the property desired by the City and County of San Francisco for the sum set forth opposite their names, viz.:

Oscar Bruderer and Maria Bruderer, $800—

Parcel 1. Beginning at a point on the northerly line of Garfield street, distant thereon 50 feet easterly from the easterly line of Bright street, thence easterly along said northerly line 25 feet; thence at right angles northerly 100 feet; thence at right angles westerly 15.455 feet; thence southwesterly along a curve to the left, tangent to a line deflected to the left 12 deg. 23 min. 34 sec. from the preceding course, radius 640 feet, central angle 0 deg. 52 min. 35 sec., a distance of 9.789 feet to the westerly line of Lot 6 of Block 57, City Land Association; thence deflecting to the left 76 deg. 43 min. 51 sec. from the tangent to said curve of preceding course and running southerly along said easterly line 97.826 feet to the northerly line of Gerfield street and the point of beginning. Being a portion of said Lot 6 of said Block 7, City Land Association.

Parcel 2. Beginning at a point distant at right angles northerly 97.826 feet from the northerly line of Garfield street and 50 feet at right angles easterly from the easterly line of Bright street, thence northerly along a line drawn parallel to and 50 feet easterly from said easterly line of Bright street a distance of 2.174 feet; thence at right angles easterly 9.545 feet; thence southwesterly along a curve to the left, tangent to a line deflected to the right 167 deg. 36 min. 26 sec. from the preceding course, radius 640 feet, central angle 0 deg. 52 min. 35 sec., a distance of 9.789 feet to the point of beginning. Being a portion of City Land Association Block 57.

Whereas, the City Attorney has recommended the acceptance of the said offers and the acquisiton of the property owned by said persons and offered to the City and County of San Francisco for the price set forth, which said price is in accordance with the City's appraisement of the property; now, therefore be it

Resolved, That the said offer of sale be accepted, and the City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to examine the title of said property, and, if the same is found in satisfactory condition, to accept, in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, a deed conveying title thereto, and file the same for record with a copy of this resolution attached thereto as evidence of acceptance by the City and County of San Francisco, upon payment of the agreed purchase price; and be it

Further Resolved, That in order to facilitate the possible exchange or sale of Parcel 2, purchased from Oscar Bruderer and Maria Bruderer, the deed to said Parcel 2 be taken in the name of the California Pacific Title and Trust Company, to be held in trust by said company for the City and County of San Francisco, and under the directions of said City and County.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington—3.

Mayor to Sell Certain Property, Bernal Cut.

Supervisor McSheehy presented: Resolution No. 27174 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Mayor be and he is hereby authorized and directed to sell at public auction, after at least five (5) days of published notice, the following described personal property owned by the City and County of San Francisco, to-wit:

Dwelling houses and appurtenances situated on those certain pieces or parcels of land required for the opening of Bernal Cut and known as 3584 Mission street; 1 Highland avenue; 9 Park street; 25 Academy street; 4, 61, 349 and 355 Arlington street.

The terms of said sale shall be cash upon delivery of bill of sale, said dwelling houses to be removed by the purchasers within thirty (30) days of purchase thereof.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington—3.

Accepting Offer of Mae L. Feykert et al. to Sell Certain Property Required for the Widening of Market Street.

Supervisor McSheehy presented: Resolution No. 27175 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the owner of the following described land sought to be acquired by the City and County of San Francisco for the extending and widening of Market street has offered to convey the property desired by the City and County of San Francisco for the sum set forth opposite her name, viz.:

Mae L. Feykert, $2,800—Beginning at a point on the southerly line of Market street, distant thereon 75 feet at right angles westerly from the westerly line of Hattie street, said point being on the easterly boundary line of Lot No. 53 of Block No. "B" of Park Lane Tract, and running thence westerly along the southerly line of Market street 25.061 feet to the westerly boundary line of said lot; thence deflecting 94 deg. 00 min. 20 sec. to the left and running southerly, parallel with Hattie street, along said westerly boundary line 20.049 feet; thence deflecting 85 deg. 59 min. 40 sec. to the left and running easterly, parallel with the southerly line of Market street and distant 20 feet at right angles southerly therefrom, a distance of 25.061 feet to the easterly boundary line of said lot; thence deflecting 94 deg. 00 min. 20 sec. to the left and running northerly, parallel with Hattie street, along said easterly boundary line 20.049 feet to the point of beginning. Being portion of Lot No. 53 of Block "B" of Park Lane Tract.

The building now partially on the above-described parcel is to be removed by Mae L. Feykert.

Whereas, the City Attorney has recommended the acceptance of the said offer and the acquisition of the property owned by said person and offered to the City and County of San Francisco for the sum set forth, which said price is in accordance with the City's appraisement of the property; now, therefore be it

Resolved, That the said offer of sale be accepted and the City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to examine the title of said property, and if the same is found in satisfactory condition to accept in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, a deed conveying title thereto, and file the same for record with a copy of this resolution attached thereto as evidence of acceptance by the City and County of San Francisco, upon payment of the agreed purchase price.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington—3.

Action Deferred.

The following resolution was *continued one week*:

Transfer of $42,508.21 From Twin Peaks Tunnel Fund to Municipal Railway Fund.

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $42,508.21 be and is hereby ordered transferred from the Twin Peaks Ridge Tunnel Assessment Fund to the Municipal Railway Fund and the Board of Public Works, Tax Collector, Auditor and Treasurer are hereby directed to make such entries in their official records and books of account as will correctly show such transfer; said transfer is for the purpose of reimbursing said Municipal Railway Fund for moneys advanced therefrom and used in the construction of the Twin Peaks Tunnel project.

Passed for Printing.

The following bill was *passed for printing*:

Calling Special Election for War Memorial Buildings.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy:

Bill No. 8003, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Calling and providing for a special election to be held in the City and County of San Francisco on Tuesday, the fourteenth day of June, 1927, for the purpose of submitting to the said voters of the City and County of San Francisco a proposition to incur a bonded debt of the City and County of San Francisco to the amount of four million ($4,000,000) dollars for the acquisition, construction and completion of a permanent improvement, to-wit, the construction, completion and equipment of permanent buildings in, or adjacent to the Civic Center in the City and County of San Francisco, to be used as a memorial hall for

war veterans and for educational, recreational, entertainment and other municipal purposes and the purchase of all equipment and furnishings necessary for said buildings.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. A special election is hereby called and ordered to be held in the City and County of San Francisco on Tuesday, the fourteenth day of June, 1927, for the purpose of submitting to the electors of said City and County the following proposition, to-wit: To incur a bonded debt of the City and County of San Francisco to the amount of four million ($4,000,000) dollars for the purpose of the acquisition, construction and completion of a permanent improvement, to-wit, the construction, completion and equipment of permanent buildings in, or adjacent to the Civic Center in the City and County of San Francisco, to be used as a memorial hall for war veterans and for educational, recreational, entertainment and other municipal purposes and the purchase of all equipment for furnishings necessary for said buildings.

Section 2. The estimated cost of the acquisition, construction and completion of the permanent improvement described herein was by plans and estimates of the Board of Public Works procured through the City Engineer and filed with the Board of Supervisors on the ——— day of April, 1927, and was and is fixed by Bill No. ———, Ordinance No. ——— (New Series) in the sum of four million ($4,000,000) dollars.

Section 3. By Bill No. ———, Ordinance No. ——— (New Series) it was declared that no part of the said sum of four million ($4,000,000) dollars could be paid out of the annual revenue of the City and County in addition to the other necessary expenses thereof or other funds derived from taxes levied for that purpose and will require the incurring of a bonded debt to the amount of four million ($4,000,000) dollars for the purposes herein recited. The method and manner of payment of the estimated cost of said described permanent improvement are by the issuance of bonds of the City and County of San Francisco to the amount required therefor and the application of the proceeds arising from the sale thereof to defray the cost of the acquisition, construction and completion of said permanent improvement herein described.

Section 4. The special election hereby called and ordered to be held shall be held and conducted, and the votes thereat received and canvassed, and the returns thereof made, and the result thereof ascertained, determined and declared as herein provided and according to the laws of the State of California providing for and governing elections in the City and County of San Francisco, and the polls for such election shall be and remain open during the time required by said laws.

Section 5. The ballots to be used at said special election shall be such as may be required by law to be used thereat, and, in addition to any other matter to be required by law to be printed thereon, shall appear thereon the following:

"Memorial Halls"—To incur a bonded indebtedness in the sum of four million ($4,000,000) dollars for the acquisition, construction and completion of a permanent improvement, consisting of public buildings in, or adjacent to the Civic Center of the City and County of San Francisco, to be used as memorial halls for war veterans and for education, recreational, entertainment and other municipal purposes and the purchase of all equipment and furnishings necessary for said buildings.

To vote for the proposition and thereby authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness to the amount of and for the purpose stated herein stamp a cross (X) in the blank space to the right of the word "Yes". To vote against the proposition and thereby refuse to authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness to the amount of and for the purpose stated herein stamp a cross (X) in the blank space to the right of the word "No".

YES	
NO	

Bonds issued for the acquisition, construction and completion of the permanent improvement herein described shall bear interest at the rate of 4½ per centum per annum, payable semi-annually.

Section 6. Where voting machines are used at said special election the said voting machines shall be so arranged that any qualified elector may vote for the proposition by pulling down the lever over the word "Yes" under or near a state-

ment of the proposed proposition appearing on cardboard paper, or other material placed on the front of the machine and said act shall constitute a vote for the proposition, and by pulling down the lever over the word "No" under or near a statement of the proposed proposition appearing on cardboard, paper, or other material placed on the front of the machine shall constitute a vote against the proposition. Said voting machines and the preparation of the same are to be used in accordance with the provisions of Chapter 96 of the Statutes of 1923.

Each cross (X) stamped in the square to the right of the word "Yes" appearing on the printed ballot, where printed ballots are used, shall constitute a vote in favor of and to authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness for the purpose set forth in the proposition and each cross (X) stamped in the square to the right of the word "No" shall be counted as a vote not in favor of, and a refusal to authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness for the purpose set forth in the proposition.

Section 7. The election precincts, and the numbers, names and boundaries thereof for said special election, and the places of voting, and the officers to conduct such election, and all other necessary proceedings in that behalf shall be respectively defined, designated, selected, appointed and had by the Board of Election Commissioners of the City and County of San Francisco, and said Board is hereby authorized and directed to procure and provide all supplies that may be necessary to properly and lawfully conduct such special election.

When the polls are closed the officers of election shall count the ballots cast at such election, and canvass the votes cast respectively for and against the proposition herein stated and make return thereof in time, form and manner required for the counting, canvassing and returning of votes cast at other municipal elections held in the City and County of San Francisco. The Board of Election Commissioners shall, as soon as the said returns and ballots have been received by said Board, canvass said returns and declare the result thereof in the manner provided by law for canvassing returns and declaring results in other elections, and shall also certify said results to the Board of Supervisors.

Section 8. If, at such special election, it shall appear that two-thirds of all the votes cast thereat were in favor of and authorized the incurring of a bonded debt for the purpose set forth in said proposition, then such proposition shall be deemed to have been accepted by the electors and bonds will be issued to defray the cost of the permanent improvement described therein. Such bonds shall be of the form and character known as "serials". All of said bonds shall be dated July 1, 1927, shall bear interest at the rate of 4½ per centum per annum, payable semi-annually, shall be of the denomination of one thousand dollars each, and the principal and interest thereof shall be payable in gold coin of the United States. Said bonds shall be called "Memorial Halls Bonds."

Bonds issued for the purpose stated shall be numbered from 1 to 4000, both inclusive, and shall be payable two hundred thousand ($200,000) dollars thereof five years from the date of said bonds, beginning with the lowest numbers, and two hundred thousand ($200,000) dollars thereof of the next higher numbers on the same day in each succeeding year until all of said bonds shall be paid.

Section 9. Said bonds and coupons shall be in substantially the following form:

The United States of America.
State of California.
City and County of San Francisco.
Memorial Hall Bond.

No. ——. $1,000.00

For value received, the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of California, hereby acknowledges itself indebted and promises to pay to the bearer, on the first day of ———, 19—, one thousand dollars, with interest thereon at the rate of 4½ per centum per annum, payable semi-annually January 1 and July 1, on presentation and surrender of the coupons hereto attached as they respectively become due, both principal and interest being payable in gold coin of the United States at the office of the Treasurer of said City and County, or, at the option of the holder, at the fiscal agency of said City and County of San Francisco in the City and State of New York.

This bond is issued under and pursuant to the Constitution and Statutes of the State of California and the Charter of said City and County of San Francisco and amendments thereto, and under and

pursuant to ordinances and proceedings of said City and County duly adopted and taken, and a vote and assent of more than two-thirds of all the qualified electors of said City and County voting at a special election duly and legally called and held for that purpose.

It is hereby certified, recited and declared that all acts, conditions and things required by law to exist, happen and be performed precedent to and in the issuance of this bond have existed, happened and been performed in due time, form and manner as required by law, and that the amount of this bond, together with all other indebtedness of said City and County, does not exceed any limit prescribed by the Constitution or Statutes of said State or Charter of said City and County, and that provision has been made as required by the Constitution and Statutes of said State and the Charter of said City and County for the collection of an annual tax sufficient to pay the interest on this bond as it falls due, and also provision to constitute a sinking fund for the payment of the principal of this bond on or before maturity, full faith and credit of said City and County are hereby pledged for the punctual payment of the principal and interest of this bond.

This bond may be converted into a registered bond upon presentation to the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco, in which event such Treasurer shall cut off and cancel the coupons of this bond, and shall sign a statement stamped, printed or written upon the back or face of the bond to the effect that this bond is registered in the name of the owner and that thereafter the interest and principal of this bond are payable to the registered owner. Thereafter and from time to time this bond may be transferred by such registered owner in person or by attorney duly authorized on presentation of this bond to the Treasurer, and the bond be again registered as before, a similar statement being stamped, printed or written thereon.

This bond is exempt from all taxation within the State of California.

In witness whereof, said City and County of San Francisco has caused this bond to be executed under its corporate seal, signed by its Mayor and Treasurer and countersigned by its Auditor, and has caused the interest coupons hereto attached to be signed with the engraved or lithographed signature of its Treasurer, and this bond to be dated the first day of July, 1927.

....., Mayor.

....., Treasurer.

Countersigned:

....., Auditor.

Form of Coupon.

No. ——. $———.

On ——— 1, 19—, the City and County of San Francisco, California, will pay to bearer, at the office of the Treasurer of said City and County, or at the option of the holder at the fiscal agency of the City and County of San Francisco, in the City and State of New York, ——— dollars ($———) in gold coin of the United States, being six months' interest then due on its bond dated July 1, 1927, number ———.

....., Treasurer.

Form of Registration.

San Francisco, ——, 19—.

This bond is registered pursuant to Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, in the name of ——— and the interest and principal thereof are hereafter payable to such owner.

....., Treasurer.

Section 10. The amount of tax levy to be made for the payment of said four million dollars bonds issued under said proposition shall be the sum of one hundred eighty thousand dollars for the first five years from the date of said bonds to pay the annual interest on said bonds, and in season to pay such interest as it becomes due, and for the sixth year after the date of said bonds the sum of one hundred seventy-one thousand dollars to pay and in season to pay the interest on such of said bonds as remain outstanding after the two hundred thousand dollars thereof due five years from their date have been paid, and for the seventh year after the date of said bonds the sum of one hundred sixty-two thousand dollars to pay and in season to pay the interest on such of said bonds as remain outstanding after the two hundred thousand dollars thereof due six years from their date have been paid, and so on, a sum each year for seventeen succeeding

years until said bonds are all paid, sufficient for interest and in season to pay interest on all of said bonds outstanding, which sum for interest will diminish each year by the amount of nine thousand dollars by reason of the payment each year beginning five years from date of said bonds of two hundred thousand dollars of said bonds, and the sum of two hundred thousand dollars each year beginning four years from the date of said bonds to pay and in season to pay the principal of such bonds as they respectively become due, and continuing each succeeding year for nineteen years until the principal of all of said bonds has been paid.

The purpose and intent of the foregoing tax provisions are, and it is hereby expressly provided, that at the time of levying the municipal tax, and in the manner provided for such tax levy, the Supervisors shall levy and collect annually a tax sufficient to pay the annual interest on such bonds, and also such part of the bonded indebtedness as will fall due within the succeeding fiscal year. Such taxes shall be in addition to all other taxes levied for municipal purposes, and shall be collected at the same time and in the same manner as other municipal taxes are collected.

Section 11. This ordinance shall be published for at least ten days in the official newspaper, and at the expiration of said ten days notice of such special election shall be given and published as required by law.

Section 12. This ordinance is the third of a series of ordinances which will be adopted by the Board of Supervisors relating to and designed to secure the acquisition, construction and completion of the permanent improvement named.

Section 13. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington—3.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Amending Zoning Ordinance Placing Burnett Avenue and Portola Drive in the Commercial District Instead of the Second Residential.

On motion of Supervisor Hayden:

Bill No. 8004, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings, and the locations of buildings designed for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

That Section 8 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, be changed by placing in the Commercial District instead of the Second Residential District the following described area:

Commencing on the easterly side of Burnett avenue at a point 17.031 feet northerly from the intersection of the easterly line of Burnett avenue and the northerly line of Portola drive, thence northerly along the easterly side of Burnett avenue a distance of 232.388 feet; thence easterly 157.673 feet to the westerly line of Portola drive; thence southwesterly on a curve with a radius of 200 feet, a distance of 285.326 feet to the point of commencement.

Amending Zoning Ordinance, Dewey Boulevard.

Also, Bill No. 8005, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings, and the locations of buildings designed for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Section 6 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place the southwesterly side of Dewey boulevard, commencing at a point 141.92 feet southeasterly from the Plaza and running thence southeasterly 73 feet, and extending to the depth of the rear lot lines, in the Commercial District instead of the First Residential District.

Resolution of Intention of Establish Set-back Lines No. 132.

Supervisor Hayden presented:

Resolution No. 27176 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the City Planning Commission has recommended that set-back lines be established along the streets hereinafter mentioned and as delineated upon maps accompanying said recommendations, to which reference is hereby made for further particulars; therefor,

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco to establish set-back lines as provided by Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), approved May 18, 1922, as follows:

Along the westerly side of Ralston street, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Sargent street, and running thence northerly to a point 100 feet southerly from Shields street, said set-back line to be 10 feet; along the easterly side of Ralston street, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Sargent street, and running thence northerly to a point 100 feet southerly from Shields street, said set-back line to be 15 feet.

And notice is hereby given that Wednesday, the 25th day of May, 1927, at the hour of 2 o'clock p. m., at the chambers of the Board of Supervisors, City Hall, in said City and County of San Francisco, has been fixed as the time and place when and where any and all persons having any objections to the establishing of the proposed set-back lines may appear and present any objections which they may have to said set-back lines as set forth in this resolution of intention.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington—3.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Oil and Boiler Permits.

On motion of Supervisor Deasy:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits be and are hereby granted:

Oil Tanks.

Mrs. Allen, northeast corner of Thirty-eighth avenue and Fulton street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Myel R. Crane, 180 Santa Anna avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

John Lilianthal, north side of Vallejo street, 200 feet west of Broderick street, 600 gallons capacity.

F. P. McAtamney, 2822 Twenty-third street, 600 gallons capacity.

C. T. Magill, southeast corner of Thirty-third avenue and Balboa street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Carl E. Schlingheyde, east side of San Pablo avenue, 400 feet north of Yerba Buena avenue, 600 gallons capacity.

R. J. Stempel, northwest intersection of Fillmore street and Cervantes boulevard, 1500 gallons capacity.

A. G. Sutro, east side of La Playa between Lincoln way and Irving street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Boilers.

Wm. B. Findley, 3641 Sacramento street, 5-horsepower.

E. Schmidt, 811 Treat avenue, 10-horsepower.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits shall become null and void.

Laundry Permit.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That E. Schmidt be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate a lace curtain laundry at 811 Treat avenue.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Automobile Supply Station Permits.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Sidney Franklin be and is hereby granttd permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an automobile supply station on the southeast corner of Lombard and Lyon streets.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Geo. Solomons be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an automobile supply station on the northwest corner of Forty-first avenue and Irving street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Automobile Parking Station Permits.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That G. R. Lean be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an automobile parking station on the south side of Mission street, 75 feet west of Sixth street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That L. Ross be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain an automobile parking station on the south side of Howard street, 75 feet west of Fourth street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Automobile Supply Station Permits.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That M. Phillips and George Hilsinger be and are hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an automobile supply station on the northwest corner of Nantucket and San Jose avenues.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That California Petroleum Corporation be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an automobile supply station on the northeast corner of Pacific and Drumm streets.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Masquerade Ball Permit.

Supervisor Schmidt presented:

Resolution No. 27177 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Decimo Club be and it is hereby granted permission to hold a masquerade ball at Scottish Rite Hall, Sutter street and Van Ness avenue, on Friday evening, April 22, 1927.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Passed for Printing.

The following bill was *passed for printing*:

Spur Track Permit, Golden Gate Atlas Materials Company.

On motion of Supervisor Marks:

Bill No. 8006, Ordinance No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Granting permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to Golden Gate Atlas Materials Company to construct, maintain and operate a spur track upon and along the east side of Harrison street between Sixteenth and Seventeenth streets, as shown on blue print attached to the application.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, is hereby granted to Golden Gate Atlas Materials Company to construct, maintain and operate a spur track upon and along the east side of Harrison street between Sixteenth and Seventeenth streets as shown on blue print attached to the application.

Said permission is granted subject to the provisions of Ordinance No. 69 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors, approved October 12, 1906, and the provisions and conditions of Section 8 thereof are hereby specifically contained in the permit hereby granted and shall be construed as a part hereof as completely as though the same were written in this ordinance.

Provided, that said spur track shall be laid under the supervision and to the lines and grades as furnished by the City Engineer's office, and that any and all expense connected with the installation of the track, restoration of the pavement and any additional requirements for the surface drainage to be paid for by the Golden Gate Atlas Materials Company; provided, that the Golden Gate Atlas Materials Company shall erect and maintain all-night lighted arc lamps to be placed where directed by the Lighting Committee of the Board of Supervisors.

Provided, that girder rail be used within the street lines of Harrison street and that provision be made to take care of any drainage which may be intercepted by the proposed spur track.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Accepting Map, Robson Tract.

Supervisor Marks presented:

Resolution No. 27178 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the bond filed with this Board by Kernan Robson, McGary Robson and Susie B. Robson, as principals, and M. A. Burns and Jas. P. Sweeney, as sureties, in the sum of five hundred dollars ($500), which sum is hereby fixed by this Board and conditioned for the payment of all taxes which are now a lien but not yet payable against the property, as shown on a map entitled "Robson Tract," is hereby accepted.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington—3.

Accepting Deed to Land Declared Open Public Street.

Supervisor Marks presented:

Resolution No. 27179 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That that certain deed executed on the 31st day of January, 1927, between Kernan Robson and McGary Robson and Susie B. Robson, his wife, and the City and County of San Francisco (a municipal corporation), conveying certain land for street purposes is hereby accepted.

Further Resolved, That the land covered by said deed is hereby declared an open public street.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington—3.

Approving Map, Robson Tract.

Supervisor Marks presented:

Resolution No. 27180 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the Board of Public Works did, by Resolution No. 96779 (Second Series), approve a map of Robson Tract; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the map of Robson Tract is hereby approved.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington—3.

Termination of Bay Shore Highway at Burlingame.

Supervisor Marks presented:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, it is understood that the present plan of the State Highway Commission is to terminate the Bayshore Highway at Broadway in Burlingame; and

Whereas, it is believed important that this highway be extended into San Mateo which would provide several paved laterals between the State Highway (El Camino Real) and the new Bayshore Highway, three of which would pass under the Southern Pacific tracks through under-passes already constructed and two of which extend to the Skyline boulevard; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco respectfully requests that the State Highway Commission of the State of California appoint a date on which all interested parties in this matter be given a hearing; and be it

Further Resolved, That it is not the wish of this Board that the present plans of the State Highway Commission for the completion of this highway to Burlingame, for which funds are available, be altered by this further extension.

Privilege of the Floor.

Mr. Berensen, representing the San Mateo Chamber of Commerce, was heard on the foregoing resolution.

On motion of Supervisor Gallagher action was *deferred* and the chair was authorized to appoint a committee of three to attend the Highway Commission meeting as observers and authorized to use their judgment in the matter.

So ordered.

Messrs. Healy and Phillips were requested to attend.

Accepting Deed to Land for Retaining Wall, Golden Gate Heights.

Supervisor Marks presented:

Resolution No. 27181 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That that certain deed of easement executed on the 31st day of March, 1927, between American Land Company (a corporation), and the City and County of San Francisco, covering a parcel of land in Golden Gate Heights for the purpose of constructing and maintaining a retaining wall over, along and upon, and in, all that certain lot, piece or parcel of land described in said deed of easement is hereby accepted.

Adopted by the following vote:
Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.
Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington—3.

Extensions of Time.

Supervisor Marks presented:
Resolution No. 27182 (New Series), as follows:
Resolved, That The Fay Improvement Company is hereby granted the following extensions of time to complete street work under public contract:
Ninety (90) days' time from and after May 4, 1927, within which to complete the improvement of Diamond street between Army street and Twenty-eighth street.
The work is well under way, and has been delayed on account of weather conditions.
Ninety (90) days' time from and after May 4, 1927, within which to complete the improvement of Le Conte avenue between Third and Keith streets.
The work has been delayed on account of weather conditions.
Adopted by the following vote:
Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.
Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington—3.

Also, Resolution No. 27183 (New Series), as follows:
Resolved, That M. J. Treacy is hereby granted an extension of thirty (30) days' time from and after April 9, 1927, within which to complete the improvement of Munich street between Persia and Russia avenues under public contract.
This extension of time is granted for the reason that the contractor was delayed by heavy fill which required some time to settle.
Adopted by the following vote:
Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.
Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington—3.

Also, Resolution No. 27184 (New Series), as follows:
Resolved, That United Improvement Company is hereby granted an extension of ninety (90) days' time from and after May 3, 1927, within which to complete the improvement of Ward street between Girard and Brussels streets.
The work is well under way and has been delayed on account of weather conditions.
Adopted by the following vote:
Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.
Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington—3.

Change of Grades.

Supervisor Marks presented:
Resolution No. 27185 (New Series), as follows:
Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to change and establish grades on Roosevelt way and adjacent streets as shown on map entitled "Grade Map of Roosevelt way and adjacent streets," approved by Resolution No. 96723 (Second Series), adopted April 6, 1927.
The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that no assessment district is necessary, as no damage will result from said change of grades, inasmuch as the streets are ungraded and there are no existing street improvements.
The Board of Public Works is hereby directed to cause to be conspicuously posted along the street or streets upon which such change or modification of grade or grades is contemplated, notice of the passage of this resolution of intension.
Adopted by the following vote:
Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.
Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington—3.

Pipe Line Permit, Thomas Dyeing and Cleaning Works.

Supervisor Marks presented:
Resolution No. 27186 (New Series), as follows:
Resolved, That The F. Thomas Parisian Dyeing and Cleaning Works be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to install a six-inch cast iron water pipe in and along the north side of Jessie street for a distance of 150 feet, connecting the plant of The F. Thomas Parisian Dyeing and Cleaning Works with the main of the Spring Valley Water Company at a point 165 feet westerly from Ninth street for the purpose of supplying water to the proposed automatic sprinkler equipment and fire protection system in said premises. Provided said pipe shall be laid to the satisfaction and under the supervision of the Board of Public Works.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington—3.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Fixing Sidewalk Widths on Division Street.

On motion of Supervisor Marks:

Bill No. 8007, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by amending Section forty-four thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in acordance with the communication of the Board of Public Works, filed in this office April 7, 1927, by amending Section fourty-four thereof, to read as follows:

Section 44. The width of sidewalks on Division street. the southerly side of, between San Bruno avenue and Potrero avenue, shall be seven (7) feet.

The width of sidewalks on Division street, the northerly side of, between Ninth street and Brannan street, are hereby dispensed with and abolished.

The width of sidewalks on Division street, the northerly side of, between Brannan street and Tenth street, shall be fifteen (15) feet.

The width of sidewalks on Division street, the northerly side of, between Tenth street and Eleventh street, are hereby dispensed with and abolished.

The width of sidewalks on Division street between Bryant street and Florida street, are hereby dispensed with and abolished.

The width of sidewalks on Division street, the southerly side of, between Potrero avenue and Bryant street, shall be seven (7) feet.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect and be in force from and after its passage.

Fixing Sidewalk Widths on Treat Avenue.

Also, Bill No. 8008, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by amending section six hundred and ninety-nine thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Board of Public Works, filed in this office April 7, 1927, by amending Section Six Hundred and Ninety-nine thereof, to read as follows:

Section 699. The width of sidewalks on Treat avenue between Florida street and Alameda street are hereby dispensed with and abolished.

The width of sidewalks on Treat avenue between Alameda street and Sixteenth street shall be seven (7) feet.

The width of sidewalks on Treat avenue, the northwesterly side of, between Sixteenth street and a point 172.57 feet southwesterly from Sixteenth street, shall be seven (7) feet.

The width of sidewalks on Treat avenue, the northwesterly side of, between a point 172.57 feet southwesterly from Sixteenth street and Seventeenth street, are hereby dispersed with and abolished.

The width of sidewalks on Treat avenue, the southeasterly side of, between Sixteenth street and Seventeenth street, are hereby dispensed with and abolished.

The width of sidewalks on Treat avenue between Seventeenth street and Eighteenth street shall be seven (7) feet.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect and be in force from and after its passage.

Ordering Street Work.

Also, Bill No. 8009, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors March

8, 1927, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in twenty installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be six months from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

For the improvement of Twentieth street between the westerly line of Rhode Island street and the easterly line of Vermont street, including the crossing of Twentieth street and Kansas street, by grading to official line and grade between the easterly line of Kansas street and the easterly line of Vermont street; by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks on the angular corners of the above mentioned crossing; by the construction of an 8-inch ironstone pipe sewer along the center line of Twentieth street from a point 20 feet westerly from Kansas street to the easterly line of Vermont street, with the necessary Y branches, sidesewers and one brick manhole; by the construction of a concrete pavement on the roadway of Twentieth street between Kansas street and Vermont street, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and a 1½-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface, on the roadway of Twentieth street between Rhode Island street and Kansas street, including the crossing of Twentieth street and Kansas street.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Also, Bill No. 8010, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors April 6, 1927, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in three installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of the north one-half of Geary street from a line parallel with and seventy-five (75) feet east of the east line of Sixth avenue to a line parallel with and one hundred (100) feet east of the east line of Sixth avenue, by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks of the full official width, where the existing sidewalk is not to official grade.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Also, Bill No. 8011, Ordinance No. —— (New Series) as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors April 6, 1927, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in three installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of the easterly one-half of Battery street from a line parallel with and thirty-nine (39) feet nine (9) inches north of the north line of Commercial street to a line parallel with and fifty-nine (59) feet nine (9) inches north of the north line of Commercial street, and the improvement of the northerly one-half of Commercial street from a line parallel with and sixty (60) feet east of the east line of Battery street to a line parallel with and eighty-five (85) feet east of the east line of Battery street, by the construction of full width artificial stone sidewalks of the full official width where sidewalks are not to official line and grade.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Also, Bill No. 8012, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors April 6, 1927, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in three installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Jennings street between Ingerson avenue and Jamestown avenue, Ingerson avenue from Third street to Jennings street, Third street between Hollister avenue and Ingerson avenue, by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks six (6) feet in width where artificial stone or bituminous rock sidewalks six (6) feet or more in width have not already been constructed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Also, Bill No. 8013, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors April

6, 1927, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Treat avenue between Sixteenth street and Seventeenth street, where not already improved, including the intersection of Treat avenue and Harrison street where not already improved, and excepting that portion required by law to be paved by the railroad company having tracks thereon, by grading to official line and grade; by the construction of concrete curbs where curbs are not already constructed; by the construction of the necessary brick catchbasins with appurtenances and 10-inch ironstone pipe culverts; by the construction of the necessary side-sewers; by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks on the intersection of Treat avenue and Harrison street, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and a 1½-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Also, Bill No. 8014, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors April 6, 1927, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of the easterly one-half of Eleventh avenue from Moraga street to a line parallel with and 100 feet southerly therefrom, by the construction of concrete curbs, by the construction of a basalt strip on concrete, 7 feet in width, adjacent to the center line of Eleventh avenue, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and a 1½-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface on the remainder of the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Also, Bill No. 8015, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication

filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors April 6, 1927, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in three installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of San Bruno avenue from Visitacion avenue to Leland avenue; Leland avenue from San Bruno avenue to Delta street; Leland avenue from Peabody street to Delta street; Raymond avenue from San Bruno avenue to Delta street; Rutland street from Visitacion avenue to Raymond avenue; Delta street from Leland avenue to Visitacion avenue; Schwerin street from Leland avenue to Visitacion avenue; Cora street from Leland avenue to Visitacion avenue; Visitacion avenue from Schwerin street to Peabody street, by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks six (6) feet in width where artificial stone sidewalks six (6) feet or more in width have not already been constructed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Also, Bill No. 8016, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors April 6, 1927, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of the easterly one-half of Powell street from the north line of Francisco street to a line parallel with and 91 feet 8 inches north of the north line of Francisco street; and the northerly one-half of Francisco street from the east line of Powell street to a line parallel with and 68 feet 9 inches east of the east line of Powell street, by the construction of full width artificial stone sidewalks.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Also, Bill No. 8017, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors April 6, 1927, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of

San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in three installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of the west one-half of Lyon street from a line parallel with and eighty (80) feet north of the north line of California street to a line parallel with and one hundred and seven (107) feet seven and one-eighth (7 1-8) inches north of the north line of California street, by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks of the full official width, where artificial stone sidewalks of the full official width have not already been constructed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Approval of Bond, Blaine, Deering and Samuels Tract.

Supervisor Marks presented:

Resolution No. 27187 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the bond filed with this Board by Harry M. Seigler of San Francisco, California, as principal, and Globe Indemnity Company, a corporation, as sureties, dated 11th day of April, 1927, in the sum of one thousand five hundred dollars ($1,500), which sum is fixed by this Board and conditioned for the payment of all taxes which are now a lien and not yet payable against the tract or subdivision of land shown on "Map of Blaine, Deering and Samuels Tract," is hereby approved.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington—3.

Approval of Map, Blaine, Deering and Samuels Tract.

Supervisor Marks presented:

Resolution No. 27188 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the Board of Public Works did, by Resolution No. 96953 (Second Series) approve a map of the Blaine, Deering and Samuels Tract, City and County of San Francisco, in two sheets; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the map of the Blaine, Deering and Samuels Tract, City and County of San Francisco, in two sheets, is hereby approved.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington—3.

Open Public Street Declared, Blaine, Deering and Samuels Tract.

Supervisor Marks presented:

Resolution No. 27189 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That that certain deed executed on the 31st day of January, 1927, between Martin Investment Company (a corporation), Sophie G. Harvey, Genevieve Goad Riley, Edward J. McColgan, Harriet McColgan (his wife), C. J. Edwards (a single man), Frank Samuels and Gabriel Samuels (his wife), and J. A. Deering and Mabel Deering (his wife), and the City and County of San Francisco, conveying lands for street purposes as shown on map of the Blaine, Deering and Samuels Tract, City and County of San Francisco, in two sheets, is hereby accepted.

Further Resolved, That the land covered by said deed is hereby declared to be open public streets.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington—3.

Accepting Deed, Sewer Easement, Blaine, Deering and Samuels Tract.

Supervisor Marks presented:

Resolution No. 27190 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the deed of easement of a sewer right of way dated December 21, 1926, between Martin Investment Company, a corporation, Sophie G. Harvey, Genevieve Goad

Riley, Edgar J. McColgan, C. J. Edwards, Frank Samuels and J. A. Deering and the City and County of San Francisco (a municipal corporation), bounded and described as follows, to-wit:

A strip of land five feet in width, from Carrizal street to Santos street, being the most southerly 5 feet of Lots 8 and 9, Block 6323, as recorded on map entitled "Blaine, Deering and Samuels Tract," is hereby accepted.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington—3.

Approving Map, Pinelake Park Subdivision No. 1.

Supervisor Marks presented:

Resolution No. 27191 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the Board of Public Works did, by Resolution No. 96974 (Second Series) approve a map of Pinelake Park Subdivison No. 1, San Francisco, California, being a subdivision into lots of blocks numbered 2463a, 2501a, 2502a, 2523 and 2524, also of portions of blocks numbered 2462a, 2499a, 2503a and 2522; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the map of Pinelake Park Subdivision No. 1, San Francisco, California, being a subdivision into lots of blocks numbered 2463a, 2501a, 2502a and 2523 and 2524, also of portions of blocks 2462a, 2499a, 2503 and 2522, is hereby approved.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington—3.

Approval of Bond to Cover Taxes, Pinelake Park Subdivision No. 1.

Supervisor Marks presented:

Resolution No. 27192 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the bond filed with this Board by Parkside Realty Company of San Francisco (a corporation), as principal, and Roland C. Foerster and Rae T. Smith, as sureties, dated 23d day of April, 1927, in the sum of five thousand dollars ($5,000), which sum is fixed by this Board and conditioned for the payment of all taxes which are now a lien and not yet payable against the tract or subdivision of real property in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, entitled "Map of Pinelake Park, Subdivision No. 1, San Francisco, California," dated April 4, 1927, is hereby approved.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington—3.

Award of Contract, X-Ray Supplies.

Supervisor Badaracco presented:

Resolution No. 27193 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of contract is hereby made on bids submitted April 18, 1927 (Proposal No. 292), for furnishing the following, viz.: X-Ray supplies for San Francisco Hospital.

Item No. 1—390 dozen X-ray films, Eastman Superspeed, 8x10, at $2.25 per dozen; Kahn & Co.

Item No. 2—180 dozen X-ray films, Eastman Superspeed, 11x14, at $4.54 per dozen; Kahn & Co.

Item No. 3—180 dozen X-ray films, Eastman Superspeed, 14x17, at $6.19 per dozen; Bush Electric Corp.

Item No. 4—200 pounds barium sulphat, in 100-pound kegs, at 17 cents per pound; Eastman Kodak Stores.

Item No. 5—200 pounds sodium bisulphite, in 5-pound tins, at 23 cents per pound; Eastman Kodak Stores.

Item No. 6—24 cans Buck's Developer No. 4, at $3.15 per can; Victor X-Ray Corporation.

Item No. 7—Two solution thermometers, stirring rod type, at 66 cents each; Eastman Kodak Stores, Inc.

Resolved, That this contract, not being a term award, no bond is required.

Resolved, That all other bids submitted hereon be rejected.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington—3.

Award of Contract, Printed Forms, Blanks, School Department.

Supervisor Badaracco presented:

Resolution No. 27194 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of contract for furnishing printed forms and blanks for School Department, 1927-28, be made on bids submitted April 18, 1927 (Proposal No. 289), and

tabulation thereof on file in the Bureau of Supplies, which is hereby made a part hereof; said matter having heretofore received full consideration in a meeting of the Supplies Committee and the awards herein approved by it are now made to the following, viz.:

2. Neal, Stratford & Kerr, bond $100.
3. Levison Printing Co., no bond required.
4. Buckley & Curtin, bond $100.
5. Wilcox & Co., no bond required.
6. Phillips & Van Orden Co., bond $100.
8. Margaret Mary Morgan Co., no bond required.
9. The Jenkins-Hall Index Card Co., bond $100.
10. A. Carlisle & Co., bond $100.
11. California Printing Co., bond $100.

Note: All above awards are made to the lowest bidder except when award is made in consideration of deliveries or on account of the quality as determined by such tests as required or recommended by the Purchaser of Supplies.

Resolved, That all other bids submitted thereon be rejected.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington—3.

Award of Contract, Manufactured Furniture, School Department.

Supervisor Badaracco presented: Resolution No. 27195 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved. That award of contract for furnishing manufactured furniture for School Department be hereby made on bids submitted April 11, 1927 (Proposal No. 290), as follows, viz.:

Item No. 1—12 balopticon tables, $5.50 each; Harder's Planing Mill.

Item No. 2—126 bookkeeping tables, $19.90 each; Haas' Wood & Ivory Works.

Item No. 3—100 library tables, delivery 30 days, $27.20 each; Empire Planing Mill.

Item No. 4(a)—600 primary tables, 19-inch, $2.60 each; R. Brandlein & Co.

Item No. 4(b)—1000 primary tables, 21-inch, $2.62 each; R. Brandlein & Co.

Item No. 4(c)—1000 primary tables, 23-inch, $2.64 each; R. Brandlein & Co.

Item No. 4(d)—1000 primary tables, 25-inch, $2.66 each; R. Brandlein & Co.

Item No. 5—300 teachers' tables, 30x50 inch, $10.15 each; H. Schulte & Son.

Item No. 6—300 typewriter tables, $3.50 each; Heywood-Wakefield Co.

Item No. 7—25 storage cabinets, $32.20 each; H. Schulte & Son.

Item No. 8—100 storage chests, with shelves, $13.76 each; Empire Planing Mill.

Item No. 9—60 gymnasium stools, $2.73 each; Empire Planing Mill.

Item No. 10—10 portable blackboards, $31 each; Empire Planing Mill.

Item No. 11—30 designing tables, $4.10 each; Harder's Planing Mill.

Item No. 12—6 drawing board horses, $3.66 each; Empire Planing Mill.

Item No. 13—58 freehand drawing tables, $6.60 each; Mullen Manufacturing Co.

Item No. 14(a)—64 modeling boards, 12x18 inches, 75 cents each; Harder's Planing Mill.

Item No. 14(b)—24 modeling boards, 24x36 inches, $1.50 each; Harder's Planing Mill.

Item No. 14(c)—24 modeling boards, 11½x17½ inches, 75 cents each; Harder's Planing Mill.

Item No. 15—63 model stands, pedestal type, $5.57 each; Empire Planing Mill.

Item No. 16—12 model stands, $5.25 each; Empire Planing Mill.

Item No. 17—12 modeling tables, $27.65 each; H. Schulte & Son.

Item No. 18—2 portfolio tables, $53.30 each; Harder's Planing Mill.

Resolved, That bonds for the faithful performance of contract be required as follows, viz.:

1. Harder's Planing Mill, $100.
6. Mullen Manufacturing Co., $100.
7. Heywood-Wakefield Co., $200.
9. Empire Planing Mill, $1,000.
10. Haas' Wood & Ivory Works, $500.
11. R. Brandlein & Co., $2,000.
12. H. Schulte & Son, $1,000.

Note: All above awards are made to the lowest bidder, except when award is made in consideration of deliveries or on account of the quality as determined by such tests as required or recommended by the Purchaser of Supplies.

Resolved, That all other bids submitted thereon be rejected.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy,

Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington—3.

ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND MOTIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

City Attorney to Amend Petition in Evaluation Proceedings Omitting High Voltage Lines.

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Resolution No. 27196 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, in accordance with the directions contained in Resolution No. 21988 (New Series) of this Board, approved February 1, 1924, the City Attorney did, on the 11th day of February, 1924, file with the Railroad Commission of the State of California a petition on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco asking the said Railroad Commission to fix and determine the just compensation to be paid by the City and County of San Francisco for certain parts and portions of the electric generating, transmission and distribution properties of Pacific Gas and Electric Company, the properties to be included and which were included in said petition so filed being specifically described in a schedule attached to said petition marked "Exhibit A" under the caption "Properties of Pacific Gas and Electric Company to be acquired under eminent domain proceedings or otherwise;" and

Whereas, the City Attorney has advised this Board that it is advisable that said petition so filed with the Railroad Commission be amended by dismissing therefrom certain properties pertaining to the high voltage transmission line then under course of construction to connect Newark substation in Alameda County, California, with New Martin substation, which said properties are particularly described in paragraphs 3, 4, 5, 6 and 7 on page 13 of said schedule of properties designated "Exhibit A", as follows:

3. Also, that certain high voltage transmission line designed to transmit current at approximately 110,000 volts, now being constructed to connect Newark substation in Alameda County, California, with said New Martin substation and shown on Map 2 of "Exhibit A" attached to and forming a part hereof, by the heavy black line marked "Transmission Line";

4. Also, including towers and structures, poles and cross-arms, insulator pins, brackets, line hardware, guys, wire, cables, insulators, insulating material, line switches and structures, lightening arresters, cut-outs, crossing guards, etc.

5. Also, including the right of way thereof acquired by Pacific Gas and Electric Company from Sierra and San Francisco Power Company by deed dated October 10, 1921, and recorded in the office of the Recorder of the County of San Mateo March 1, 1922, in Volume 27, Official Records, at page 208, together with all other properties or easements acquired and to be used as a part of such right of way.

6. Also, all automotive equipment, tools and appliances used in connection with the operation and maintenance of said transmission line.

7. Also, any and all roads, trestles and bridges appurtenant to said transmission line.

Therefore, be it

Resolved, That the City Attorney be and he is hereby authorized to make application to said Railroad Commission to amend said petition so filed on the 11th day of February, 1924, by striking therefrom and dismissing from said proceedings said paragraphs 3, 4, 5, 6 and 7 describing properties and rights pertaining to said high voltage transmission line and hereinbefore more specifically set forth and described;

It being fully understood and hereby declared that the purpose of this resolution is not to be considered as in any way repealing said Resolution No. 21988 (New Series), approved February 1, 1924, but is to be considered as an authorization from this Board for the amendment of said petition as hereinabove specified.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington—3.

Dedication of Mills Fiel Municipal Airport.

Supervisor Kent presented:

Resolution No. 27197 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the Municipal Airport is about to be completed and ready for business; and

Whereas, upwards of fifty (50) planes will assemble at this airport from all parts of the State on or about May 5th for a three (3) day conference at San Francisco of over two hundred (200) parties interested in aviation under the auspices of the California Development

Association, Aeronautical Division; therefore, be it

Resolved, That it will be fitting to dedicate the Municipal Airport during that conference; and be it

Further Resolved, That the airport shall be known as the Mills Field Municipal Airport of San Francisco in appreciation of the cooperation rendered by the owners of the land, the Mills Estate Company, to the officials of the City and County of San Francisco; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Airport Committee of the Board of Supervisors be directed to arrange for a suitable ceremony connected with the dedication.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

RECESS.

Whereupon, the Board at 1:30 p. m. took a recess until 2:30 p. m.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk.

REASSEMBLED—2:30 P. M.

The Board of Supervisors reassembled at 2:30 p. m.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington—3.

His Honor Mayor Rolph being absent, Supervisor McSheehy was elected to preside.

Mississippi Valley Flood Relief Fund.

At the regular meeting of the Board of Supervisors on April 18 Mayor Rolph stated that the President of the United States had asked that the City of San Francisco join with other cities of the United States to raise a fund to help the stricken people in the Mississippi Valley.

Mr. Milton Esberg, acting chairman of the Red Cross, and *Mr. William H. Avery*, who is handling the official affairs of the Red Cross Chapter of San Francisco, appealed to the members of the Board to assist in this cause. They stated that the President had asked for five million dollars at this time, of which San Francisco's pro rata is $115,000, and that a proper quota for the City itself would be fifty thousand dollars, the balance to be provided by private subscription.

Mayor Rolph stated that without his solicitation he had that morning received from Senator Phelan the sum of $500, from Moses A. Gunst the sum of $500, and from the Motor Dealers of San Francisco $1,000.

Supervisor McSheehy stated that there is only $22,709 left in the Urgent Necessity Fund and about $7,000 in the Publicity and Advertising Fund, out of which an appropriation could be made, and suggested an appropriation of ten thousand dollars out of the Urgent Necessity Fund.

Supervisor Shannon called the attention of the Board to the appropriation of $100,000 for the Civic Center beautification, which has not been touched as yet and could not possibly all be used this fiscal year in so short a time, and suggested taking the fifty thousand dollars out of that fund.

Supervisor Todd said that the Traffic Committee will have ten thousand dollars that it could spare for that purpose out of the Traffic Fund, and that he had talked with the other members of his committee and they all were agreed that this fund might be used for such a worthy cause. What he wanted was action, as the people in the Mississippi Valley are in want and are suffering while the members of the Board were talking about the money.

As the City Attorney was in Sacramento on City business and could not advise the Board as to just how the money can be legally provided the matter was *referred to the Finance Committee* with the request of the Board that the Finance Committee, if possible, find fifty thousand dollars for the Mississippi Valley sufferers and announce that fact as soon as possible. The vote on this was almost unanimous.

A resolution, presented by Supervisor Shannon, to provide for the appropriation of fifty thousand dollars was also *referred to the Finance Committee*, and also referred to the City Attorney for his opinion.

SPECIAL ORDER—3:30 P. M.

Limitation of Heights of Buildings.

The following matter was taken up:

Bill No. ——, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Limiting the height of buildings

hereafter to be erected in a certain district in the City and County of San Francisco, and establishing the boundaries of said district, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. No building, except as hereinafter provided, shall be erected in the City and County of San Francisco to a height greater than forty (40) feet, within the territory bounded by San Francisco Bay on the north, by Fillmore street on the east, by Jackson street on the south and by Lyon street on the west, excepting therefrom the westerly line of Fillmore street from the southerly line of Broadway to the northerly line of Jackson street, and the northerly line of Jackson street from the westerly line of Fillmore street to the easterly line of Steiner street, to the depth of the rear lot lines of the lots fronting on said streets as shown on the Assessor's maps for the year 1927.

Section 2. No addition to or alteration or improvement of any building within the above-described district shall be made which shall increase the height of any building as limited by this ordinance.

Section 3. No limitation of the height of buildings in the City and County of San Francisco, as provided by this ordinance, shall apply to public buildings, churches, schools, steeples, towers, domes, cupolas, belfries (not used for human occupancy), nor to chimneys, gas holders, skylights, ventilators, pent houses, water tanks, flag staffs, railings, weather vanes, nor to other similar structures such as are usually erected or maintained above the roof line of buildings.

Section 4. The method of determining the height of buildings, for the purpose of this ordinance, shall be the same as provided in Ordinance No. 1008 (New Series), and the provisions of Section 78 shall apply within said territory.

Section 5. No building permit shall be issued by the Board of Public Works for the erection or alteration of any building or structure contrary to the provisions of this ordinance, and any permit so issued shall be void.

Section 6. Any person, firm or corporation violating any provisions of this ordinance shall be guilty of a misdemeanor, and upon conviction thereof shall be punishable by a fine not exceeding $500 or by imprisonment for a term of not exceeding six months, or by both such fine and imprisonment. Such persons, firm or corporation shall be deemed guilty of a separate offense for each and every day during any portion of which any violation of this ordinance is committed, continued or permitted by such person, firm or corporation, as herein provided.

Section 7. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Privilege of the Floor.

George Martin, J. Van Fleet, S. A. Griffith, Frank J. Town, Mrs. Theo. Weske, Mrs. Maddock, J. Friedman and *M. Murray* were heard in favor of the ordinance.

Miss Shafter, Grace Perego, H. A. Symons, John Harror and *John Leake* were heard in opposition.

Passed for Printing.

Whereupon, the foregoing bill was *passed for printing* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Shannon, Todd—11.

Noes—Supervisors Deasy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton—4.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington—3.

Action Deferred.

The following matter was *continued one week*:

Declaratory Ordinance Extending Moccasin Creek Power Line.

Recommendation of Public Utilities Committee.

Presented by Supervisor Havenner:

Bill No. ——, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Determining and declaring that the public interest and necessity demand the acquisition, construction and extension of a public utility, to-wit:

The extension of the Moccasin Creek Power Line of the Hetch Hetchy Water and Power Project of the City and County of San Francisco from its present terminal near Newark, Alameda County, California, over, under, across or around the Bay of San Francisco, thence by the most feasible route into the City and County of San Francisco, for the purpose of transmitting electric energy generated at Moccasin Creek Power Plant into the City and County of San Francisco; to construct such transmission line, equipment thereof, appurtenances thereto; to acquire all necessary rights of way, permits, easements, purchase of necessary lands, buildings and terminal facilities, and ings and terminal facilities including a stepdown terminal substation

within or without the confines of the City and County with necessary equipment therefor, and directing the Board of Public Works to procure and file with the Board of Supervisors plans and estimates of the cost thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby determined and specifically declared that the public interest and necessity demand the acquisition, construction and extension of the transmission line of the Moccasin Creek Power Line of the Hetch Hetchy Water and Power Project of the City and County of San Francisco from its present terminal near Newark, Alameda County, California, over, under, across or around the Bay of San Francisco, thence by the most feasible route into the City and County of San Francisco, for the purpose of transmitting electric energy generated at the Moccasin Creek Power Plant into the City and County of San Francisco, and to construct such transmission line, equipment thereof, appurtenances thereto; to acquire all necessary rights of way, permits, easements, purchase of necessary lands, buildings and terminal facilities, including a stepdown terminal substation to be erected or acquired within or without the confines of the City and County of San Francisco with the necessary equipment therefor and necessary lands.

Section 2. The Board of Public Works is hereby directed to procure, through the City Engineer, and file with the Board of Supervisors, plans and estimates of cost of original construction and completion of the extension of the Moccasin Creek Transmission Line of the Hetch Hetchy Water and Power Project as herein described.

Section 3. This ordinance shall be published for two weeks in the official newspaper and shall take effect immediately.

Street Lights.

Supervisor Stanton presented:

Resolution No. 27199 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Pacific Gas and Electric Company be and it is hereby authorized and requested to remove and install the following street lights:

Install 400 *M. R.*

Freelon street, east of Fourth street.

Freelon street, west of Fourth street.

Page street between Divisadero and Broderick streets.

Page and Broderick streets.

West side Tenth street, south of Howard street (front of church).

West side Tenth street, south of Howard street (front of school).

East side Tenth street, north of Folsom street.

Remove Gas Lamps.

South side Freelon street, 95 feet west of Zoe street.

North side Freelon street, 275 feet west of Zoe street.

North side Freelon street, 95 and 275 feet west of Fourth street.

North side Page street, 103 feet west of Divisadero street.

South side Page street, 206 feet west of Divisadero street.

Northeast and southwest corners Page and Broderick streets.

West side Tenth street, first, second and third south of Howard street.

East side Tenth street, first south of Howard street.

City to take over two lights on Sixteenth avenue between Noriega and Ortega streets now being paid for by the Fred Braun Company.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Suervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington—3.

Confirmation of Sale.

Consideration of the confirmation of sale of three parcels of land in Block 90, Stanford Heights, to Meyer Bros. was *continued until next Monday at* 11:30 *a. m.*

Mayor's Veto.

The Mayor's veto on question of permit to Howard J. Mallen to operate an automobile supply station on the southeast corner of Plymouth and Ocean avenues was *continued for one week.*

Lease of 333 Kearny Street.

Hearing on the question of the lease at 333 Kearny street was *continued until next Monday, and specially set for* 2:30 *p. m.*

Fire Retarding Roofing.

The bill extending limits within which fire retarding roofing must be constructed was *continued for one week.*

Amended Rules Adopted.

The rules of the Board as amended and recommended were *adopted* on motion of Supervisor McSheehy:

Rules of Proceedings of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, 1927.

Resolved, That the rules herein-

PUBLIC LIBRARY

after set forth be and the same are hereby adopted as the Rules of Proceedings of this Board of Supervisors, to-wit:

Rules of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, 1927. Hon. James Rolph, Jr., Mayor and ex-officio President.

Members.

Badaracco, John B.; Bath, Edwin G.; Byington, Lewis F.; Colman, Jesse C.; Deasy, Cornelius J.; Gallagher, Andrew J.; Harrelson, Wm. H.; Havenner, Franck R.; Hayden, J. Emmet; Kent, Milo F.; McSheehy, Jas. B.; Marks, Milton; Powers, Chas. J.; Roncovieri, Alfred; Schmidt, Walter J.; Shannon, Warren; Stanton, Wm. P.; Todd, Chas. F.

Standing Committees.

Resolved, That the Standing Committees of this Board be constituted as follows:

The first named member to be Chairman thereof:

Auditorium—Havenner, Shannon, Marks.

Civil Service and Retirement—Colman, Bath, Harrelson.

City Planning—Hayden, Deasy, Kent.

Education, Parks and Playgrounds—Gallagher, Stanton, Byington.

Finance—McSheehy, Kent, Havenner.

Fire—Deasy, McSheehy, Gallagher.

Judiciary—Bath, Roncovieri, Marks.

Lighting, Water and Telephone Service and Electricity — Stanton, Schmidt, Powers.

Municipal Concerts and Public Celebrations—Roncovieri, Hayden, Colman.

Police and Licenses—Schmidt, Harrelson, Todd.

Public Buildings and Lands—Powers, Deasy, Byington.

Public Health — Byington, McSheehy, Stanton.

Public Utilities—Shannon, Badaracco, Havenner.

Public Welfare, Publicity and Airport—Kent, Roncovieri, Gallagher.

Rules—McSheehy, Powers, Badaracco, Deasy, Havenner.

Streets and Commercial Development—Marks, Badaracco, Todd.

Supplies — Badaracco, Shannon, Powers.

Traffic and Safety—Todd, Bath, Schmidt.

Tunnels and Assessment Districts—Harrelson, Hayden, Colman.

Rules of Proceedings.

1. The following shall constitute the Standing Committees of the Board:

Auditorium.

City Planning.

Civil Service and Retirement System.

Education, Parks and Playgrounds.

Finance.

Fire.

Judiciary.

Lighting, Water Service, Telephone Service and Electricity.

Municipal Concerts and Public Celebrations.

Police and Licenses.

Public Buildings and Lands.

Public Health.

Public Utilities.

Public Welfare, Publicity and Airport.

Rules.

State Laws and Legislation, specially appointed by Finance Committee when occasion requires.

Streets and Commercial Development.

Supplies.

Traffic.

Tunnels and Assessments.

Every Committee shall set a stated time of meeting, or the Committee may meet at a time to be set by the chairman, and every member will be expected to attend every meeting of his Committee, and to be present promptly on time. The clerk of each Committee shall keep a record of the attendance of the members, and he shall report such record to the Clerk of the Board, and the Clerk of the Board shall have the report of the attendance of members at committee meetings available at all times for the information of any or all members of the Board.

2. The respective duties of each of the foregoing Committees and the time of meetings are hereby defined as follows:

Auditorium—To have control and management of the Municipal Auditorium and entertainments held therein under the auspices of the City as provided in Ordinance No. 5320 (New Series); to lease said building and the several halls and apartments therein; to report and recommend on applications for leasing of said building for public assemblages and gatherings; to consider and report on all matters relating to the management, conduct and maintenance of said Auditorium.

City Planning—To consider matters relative to City Planning and all reports of the City Planning Commission shall be referred to it for investigation and report thereon.

Civil Service and Retirement System—To consider all matters relating to Civil Service in the several

departments and to promote efficiency and economy in expenditures; to consider matters relating to the Retirement System, and all reports of the Board of Administration of the Retirement System shall be referred to it for investigation and report thereon.

Education, Parks and Playgrounds—To consider and report upon all matters relating to the Departments of Education, Parks and Playgrounds and recreation centers, including the Aquatic Park, and to cooperate with the Board of Education, Playground Commission and Park Commission regarding the development and increased usefulness of these departments.

Finance—To perform all duties required by the Charter; to aduit all bills and report on all matters that may be referred to it by the Board of Supervisors; to act as a budget committee for the Board, hold hearings on budget estimates of and with all departments, receive recommendations from all other committees of the Board, and formulate a budget for submission to the Board on or before the second Monday of May. (Meets Fridays at 2:30 p. m.)

Fire—To consider all matters relating to the Fire Department; to report on all applications for garage, boiler, laundry and other permits referred to it.

Judiciary—To consider and report upon the legality of all matters referred to it by the Board and to propose such amendments to the Charter as may be deemed advisable.

Lighting, Water Service, Telephone Service and Electricity—To attend to the proper lighting of streets, public parks and public buildings; to investigate and correct complaints of water service and extensions thereof, and telephone service; to recommend installation and removal of City telephones; to recommend from time to time extensions of underground wire system, and to have general charge of all matters pertaining to electricity other than public lighting and amendments to the building laws.

Municipal Concerts and Public Celebrations—To have charge of the Municipal Band and conduct all concerts that are given under the auspices of the City and County of San Francisco (except those concerts under the management of the Park Commissioners and the Auditorium Committee of this Board); to assist in promotion of all semi-public celebrations, dedications, etc.

Police and Licenses—To consider legislation concerning the Police Department; to investigate the management and character of penal institutions; to consider all matters affecting public morals; to report upon applications for permits referred to it by the Board, including free licenses to those deserving them, and report on all licenses.

Public Buildings and Lands—To consider the erection of all public buildings and the purchase of sites for all public buildings upon recommendation of the respective departments; to consider and report upon the repairs to public buildings, and to recommend as to the janitorial, elevator and other service required for the proper conduct of all buildings of the City and County; also to assign to the various offices and departments the various rooms and places in the City Hall and Hall of Justice; to investigate and report upon proposed purchases of lands; to formulate plans for leasing City lands not needed for public purposes; to consider transfer of lands from one department to another, and all other matters pertaining to the realty of the City other than school property.

Public Health—To consider all matters relating to health and sanitation; to see that institutions under the control of the Board of Health are properly conducted; to establish and maintain a high standard of service in public hospitals and Relief Home; to consider and report upon all complaints of nuisances; to make recommendations upon applications for permits which may be referred to it by the Board; also removal and destruction of garbage.

Public Utilities—To consider and pass upon all matters relating to public utilities, their acquisition, construction, control and management, whether municipally or privately owned, including transbay bridges, transportation, lighting, power, water and steam heating.

Public Welfare, Publicity and Airport—To consider matters relating to the social well-being of the community other than those heretofore provided for, and to supervise the publication of the Municipal Record and other official documents and reports, and generally to act upon all matters of public advertising, and pass on all bills chargeable against the advertising fund; to consider all matters relating to the Bureau of Weights and Measures; to have control and management of the Municipal Airport; to report and recommend on applications for leasing of hangars and concessions in said Airport; to consider and report on

all matters relating to said Airport.

Rules—To consider amendments to the Rules and such other matters as may be referred to it by the Board.

State Laws and Legislation—To be appointed by the Finance Committee when occasion requires. To consider all matters pending before the Legislature and proposed legislation which affects the City and County of San Francisco, directly or indirectly, and to make such recommendations to the Board as may be deemed advisable, and to appear before the State Legislature in advocacy of any measures or in opposition to measures as the Board may advise.

Streets and Commercial Development—To consider all matters relating to the construction, improvement and maintenance of streets and sewers, including highways outside the County, for which the City and County is authorized to appropriate money, the closing, opening and widening of streets and the cleaning of streets; to designate the streets for the improvement and repair of which appropriations may be made in the budget and to allocate the same. Also direct the expenditure of money received from the State for the construction of public highways; to consider and report upon applications for spur and industrial tracks; to promote the commercial and industrial development of the City and foster the construction of traffic and seaboard terminals; to support legislation designed to promote world trade by the creation of foreign trade zones in the United States and to bring about the location of a foreign trade zone within the City and County of San Francisco; to inaugurate a movement for local ownership and control of the San Francisco Harbor. Also to reclaim tidelands for commerce and industry.

Supplies—To consider and have charge of the purchase of all supplies as provided by the Charter; to prepare schedules for general supplies and to recommend award of contracts; to inspect deliveries and quality and quantity of supplies; to pass on all requisitions for non-contract supplies; to supervise the purchase and distribution of all books, stationery, etc.

Tunnels and Assessment Districts—To consider all matters relating to the construction of tunnels; to consider all assessment plans except those relating to street and sewer assessments and assessments for the construction and extension of public utilities.

Traffic and Safety—To investigate and report on matters relative to traffic conditions in the City and propose ordinances regulating traffic and the promotion of safety in connection therewith.

It shall be the duty of each Committee charged with the duty of cooperating with any particular department to investigate the financial needs of such departments to be provided for in the annual budget; to consider such department's budget estimates and to recommend to the Finance Committee such modifications or changes thereof as it may deem proper.

The designations and duties of the foregoing Committees are hereby made part of these rules.

Rules of Order.

3. The Mayor shall be President of the Board of Supervisors. He shall call each regular, adjourned or special meeting to order at the hour appointed and shall proceed with the order of business. In the absence of the Mayor, the Clerk shall call the roll and the Board shall appoint a presiding officer pro tempore from its own members, who shall have the same right to vote as other members.

The presiding officer shall preserve order and decorum.

The Clerk shall, immediately after the call to order, call the roll of members of the Board, and the record of those present and absent shall be entered upon the Journal.

4. Whenever it shall be moved and carried that the Board go into Committee of the Whole, the President shall leave the chair and the members shall appoint a chairman of the Committee of the Whole, who shall report the proceedings of said Committee.

5. The rules of the Board shall be observed in the Committee of the Whole except the rules regulating a call for ayes and nays and limiting the time of speaking.

6. A motion, in Committee of the Whole, to rise and report the question, shall be decided without debate.

7. The Clerk shall have clips, upon which shall be kept all bills, ordinances, resolutions and reports to be acted upon by the Board, except those not reported upon by a Committee.

8. No bill, ordinance or resolution shall be considered by the Board unless it has been introduced by a member of the Board or by a Committee of the Board, and the bill, ordinance or resolution must be read by the Clerk in open meeting before being referred to Com-

mittee. At the time of introduction the presiding officer shall first indicate to what Committee a bill, ordinance or resolution ought to be referred, and it shall be so referred unless, upon majority vote without debate, the Board shall order it referred to some other Committee.

Action by the Board shall not be taken upon any bill, ordinance or resolution until it has been referred to and acted upon by a Committee of the Board.

9. The order of business, which shall not be departed from except by the consent of twelve members, shall be as follows:

1. Roll Call.
2. Approval of Journal.
3. Calendar Business.
4. Communications and Reports from City and County Officers.
5. Reports of Committees.
6. Roll Call for the Introduction of Resolutions, Bills and Communications Not Considered or Reported on by a Committee.

10. When a bill, ordinance or resolution has been reported back to the Board by a Committee, all persons other than members of the Board will be presumed to have had a full hearing, but in case others desire to be heard, the bill, ordinance or resolution may, upon a majority vote of the Board, be referred to the Committee from which it was reported, where others than members of the Board may be heard further upon the subject matter. No one other than a member of the Board or an ex-Mayor shall be permitted to address the Board except in Committee of the Whole Board or if otherwise provided by the Charter.

11. If any question under debate contains several points, any member may have the points segregated and acted upon separately.

12. When a motion has been made and carried or lost, it shall be in order for any member voting with the prevailing side to give notice that he will move for reconsideration thereof. Such notice shall be entered in the Journal and placed upon the Calendar at the succeeding meeting.

A member may change his vote before the result is announced in order to give such notice of reconsideration. The vote upon such motion to reconsider shall not be taken before the next regular meeting of the Board. No question shall be reconsidered more than once, and notice of reconsideration shall apply only to the main question. Motion to reconsider shall have precedence over every other motion except a motion to adjourn. It shall require a majority vote to carry any motion to reconsider the vote by which any bill, ordinance or resolution has been passed or defeated. A Supervisor, after a notice to reconsider is given, may, at the reconsideration thereof, present the main question in his argument thereon, and the same may be debated by the Board, provided that the subject matter is debatable.

13. A motion to refer or lay on the table until decided shall preclude all amendments to the main question. A motion to lay on the table or to postpone indefinitely shall require a majority vote of all members of the Board.

14. It shall be the duty of the Clerk to issue such certificates as may be required by ordinances or resolutions and transmit copies of said ordinances or resolutions to the various departments affected thereby. It shall also be the duty of the Clerk to cause the publication in the official newspaper of all bills, ordinances, proposals and awards as required by the Charter.

15. All accounts and bills shall be referred to the Finance Committee, provided that any Committee having jurisdiction over subject of expenditures may request that bills be first sent to that Committee before being acted upon by the Finance Committee and the Board.

16. The President shall preserve order and decorum, and prevent demonstrations of approval or disapproval on the part of persons in the Chambers of the Board, and shall decide questions of order, subject to an appeal to the Board.

17. When a Supervisor desires to address the Board he shall arise in his place, address the presiding officer, and when recognized he shall proceed to speak. No Supervisor shall be recognized when seated or when away from his seat.

18. No Supervisor shall speak more than twice in any one debate on the same subject, and at the same stage of the bill, ordinance, resolution or motion without the consent of a majority of the Board, and Supervisors who have once spoken shall not again be entitled to the floor so long as any Supervisor who has not spoken desires to speak. No Supervisor shall be allowed to speak more than five minutes on any question except by permission of the Board, except that the author shall have five minutes to open and ten minutes to close.

19. No Supervisor shall be interrupted when speaking without his consent, and no question shall be

asked him except through the presiding officer.

20. The author of a bill, ordinance, resolution or motion shall have the opening and closing of the debate.

21. When two or more Supervisors arise at the same itme to address the Board, the presiding officer shall designate the Supervisor who is entitled to the floor.

22. No motion shall be debated until the same *has been seconded* and distinctly announced by the presiding officer.

23. After a motion has been stated by the President, it shall be in the possession of the Board. It may be withdrawn by the mover thereof, with the consent of the second, before it is acted upon.

24. Upon a call of the Board the names of the members shall be called by the Clerk and the absentees noted. Those for whom no excuse or insufficient excuses are made may, by order of those present, be sent for and be brought to the chambers of the Board by the Sergeant-at-Arms or by special messengers appointed for the purpose.

25. When a question is under debate, no action shall be entertained except:

To adjourn.
Call of the Board.
To lay on the table.
The previous question.
To postpone.
To commit or amend.

which several motions shall have precedence in the order in which they are arranged; provided, however, that during a call of the Board it may consider and transact any matter or business that the Supervisors there present shall unanimously decide to consider.

26. A motion to adjourn is not debatable.

27. The previous question shall be put in the following form: "Shall the previous question be now put?" It shall only be admitted when demanded by three Supervisors, and its effect shall be to put an end to all debate except that the author of the bill, ordinance, resolution or motion or amendments shall have the right to close, and the question under discussion shall thereupon be immediately put to a vote. On a motion for the previous question prior to a vote being taken by the Board a call of the Board shall be in order.

28. Every member present when a question is put shall vote for or against it, unless disqualified by the Charter. No member shall be permitted to vote upon a question unless present when his name is called or before the vote is announced. A roll call shall not be interrupted for debate or personal privilege, but a member may file, in writing, an explanation of his vote.

29. If any member, in speaking, or otherwise, transgresses the rules of the Board, the presiding officer shall, or any member may, call him to order, in which case the member so called to order shall immediately sit down, and, if called to order by a member, such member shall immediately state the point of order. If the point of order be sustained by the Chair the member shall not be allowed to proceed, but if it be not sustained then he shall be permitted to go on. Every such decision from the Chair shall be subject to an appeal to the Board, but no discussion of a question of order shall be allowed, unless an appeal be taken from the decision of the Chair. The question on appeal shall be: "Shall the decision of the Chair stand as the decision of the Board?"

30. After the Board has acted, the names of those who voted for and those who voted against the question shall be entered upon the Journal, not only in cases required by law, but when any member may require it, and on all bills, ordinances and resolutions on final passage the ayes and nays shall be recorded.

31. All appointments of officers and employees shall be made by a majority of the members of the Board. The Clerk shall assign the assistant clerks and chauffeur to their several duties, and shall immediately transmit to the Mayor all resolutions and ordinances which, under the law, require executive approval.

32. No member shall leave the Board during its session without permission from the Board.

33. All committees shall be appointed by the Board unless otherwise ordered by the Board. Committees shall report on any subject referred to them by the Board and their recommendations thereon. *Unless otherwise ordered, a committee shall report upon all subjects referred to it within thirty days thereafter.* It shall be the right of any member of a Committee to move a roll call (in Committee) on any pending motion, and the Chairman or Acting Chairman of said Committee shall, with or without debate, order the roll call. In Committees of three members or less a motion by a member thereof shall not require a second.

34. The Clerk shall prepare and cause to be printed and placed on the desks of the members on days of meeting, at least 30 minutes before such a meeting, a calendar of matters to be presented to the Board at said meeting. Every petition or other written instrument intended to be presented to the Board must be delivered to the Clerk not later than 12 o'clock noon on Saturday, or on the day preceding the meeting; upon the request of the President or of any member its contents shall be read in full.

35. All petitions, protests and communications of a routine character shall be referred by the Clerk to the proper committee.

36. Ten members shall constitute a quorum to transact business, and no bill, ordinance, resolution or amendment thereto shall pass without the concurrence of at least that number of members, but a smaller number may adjourn from day to day.

37. Except when otherwise provided by these rules, the Charter or law, a majority vote of the members present shall be necessary for the adoption of any motion.

38. No smoking shall be permitted in the chambers of the Supervisors during the sessions of the Board.

39. The Clerk shall keep a record of all requests and instructions directed by the Board of Supervisors to any officer or board of the City and County and the action thereon of such officer or board. The record of such request and instructions, until acted upon by such officer or board, shall be read by the Clerk at each regular meeting of the Board of Supervisors.

40. The privilege of the floor to persons other than members of the Board shall be permitted only by suspension of the rule, and in the case of legislative matters pending before the Board this privilege shall be limited to ten minutes each to proponents and opponents of the measure. This time may only be extended by further suspension of the rule.

41. In debate a member must confine himself to the question before the Board.

42. On any questions or points of order not embraced in these rules the Board shall be governed by the rules contained in Roberts' Rules of Order.

43. No member of the Board of Supervisors, chairman of a committee, or committee of said Board, shall employ or engage the services of any person, or authorize or incur any charge, debt or liability against the City and County unless authority therefor shall have been first given by the Board of Supervisors by resolution or ordinance, except as otherwise provided by law.

44. No standing rule or order of the Board shall be suspended or amended without the affirmative vote of twelve members.

45. No special order shall be placed on the calendar except by order of the Board.

Memorandum of Charter Provisions.

Page 4, Section 3, Chapter 1, Article II—Quorum consists of 10 members.

Page 5, Section 8, Chapter 1, Article II—No bill shall become an ordinance or resolution be adopted unless it receives 10 votes.

Page 7, Section 16, Chapter 1, Article II—14 votes necessary to override Mayor's veto of resolution or ordinance.

Page 13, Chapter 2, Article II—Lease of City lands requires two-thirds vote of Board (12 votes).

Page 19, Section 6, Chapter 2, Article II—Street railway franchises require three-fourths vote (14 votes) of all the members of the Board, while five-sixths vote (15 votes) of all the members of the Board is necessary to pass these ordinances if *Mayor vetoes same.*

Page 22, Section 9, Chapter 2, Article II—Sale of City lands requires 15 votes.

Page 30, Section 3, Chapter 1, Article III—Budget ordinance requires 10 votes.

Page 30, Section 4, Chapter 1, Article III—15 votes are necessary to override Mayor's veto of budget.

Page 31, Section 8, Chapter 1, Article III—15 votes necessary to appropriate from Urgent Necessity Fund.

Page 33, Section 13, Chapter 1, Article III—To suspend temporarily limit of taxation to meet emergency requires unanimous vote of 18 members of the Board and approval of the Mayor.

Page 76, Section 1, Chapter 1, Article VI—Contracts for street work require 14 votes.

Page 78, Section 2, Chapter 2, Article VI—When cost of sewer or drain is in excess of $5 per linear front foot of abutting property and work is disapproved by Board of Works, it requires 14 votes of the Board of Supervisors to pass ordinance ordering such work done.

If application for work is made, the expense of which is to be paid by City and County, and work is not recommended by the Board of Public Works, it requires 14 votes

of the Board of Supervisors to order such work done.

Page 98, Section 33, Chapter 2, Article VI—Ordinance providing for street improvements in 10-year installments requires 15 votes.

Page 100, Section 1, Chapter 3, Article VI—Opening and improvement of streets, etc., requires 12 votes.

Page 120, Section 17, Chapter 6, Article VI—15 votes are required to modify or change procedure as provided in the Charter for changing street grades and the performance of work in connection therewith.

Page 121, Section 1, Chapter 8, Article VI—Ordinance providing for tunnel, subway and viaduct construction requires 12 votes.

Page 218, Section 19, Article XVI—Suspension of an elected officer by the Mayor requires approval of 14 votes of the Board of Supervisors to cause removal.

Page 223, Section 35, Article XVI—Appointments of additional deputies, clerks or employees require 14 votes.

City Publications.

The Supplies Committee was requested to make up a schedule of charges for the various publications of the City and report back to the Board for action to be taken. Some of the publications which have been distributed cost 75 cents or $1 each without charge, while in other cities they are paid for upon receipt. While it is not the intention of the Board to make money on them, it might be quite a saving in a year to charge the actual cost of printing the various volumes.

ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business the Board at the hour of 6:30 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors August 15, 1927.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated, and approved as above recited.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco.

Vol. 22—New Series No. 18

Monday, May 2, 1927

Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
337 Bush Street, S. F.

JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, MAY 2, 1927, 10 A. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, May 2, 1927, 10 a. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Roncovieri—3.

Supervisor Bath excused on account of illness.

Quorum present.

His Honor Mayor Rolph presiding.

APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of January 10, 1927, was considered read and approved.

ROLL CALL FOR PETITIONS FROM MEMBERS.

Relative to Fireproof Roofing Limits Ordinance.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication, from the Grand Jury, transmitting correspondence from Thos. R. Murphy, Chief Engineer of the San Francisco Fire Department, calling attention to the proposed amendment increasing the area within which shingle roofs will be prohibited and calling attention to the necessity for the enactment of this ordinance.

Ordered *filed*.

Also, *communication*, from East and West of Castro Street Improvement Club, protesting against proposed ordinance extending the limits within which shingles shall be permitted.

Read and ordered *filed*.

City Garbage Company, Proposed Agreement.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication, from City Attorney, transmitting draft of an agreement proposed to be entered into between the City and County and City Garbage Co., which is said to be unsatisfactory to the latter, who contend that the sum of $137 per day shall be paid by them for working days only—not Sundays and holidays—and that the City must make all improvements and changes in plant at its own expense.

Referred to Public Health Committee.

Abandonment of Montgomery Street Franchise.

Supervisors Kent and Shannon presented:

Resolution, consenting to the abandonment by the Market Street Railway Company of its street car tracks and service and approving surrender of that portion of the franchise under Order No. 1532, approved November 8, 1879, now held by said Market Street Railway Company, and further providing that the City enter into agreement with North Central Association, Market Street Railway and City and County in the matter.

Referred to Public Utilities Committee.

Street Car Advertising Permit, Straw Hat Day.

Supervisor Colman presented:

Resolution No. 27200 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Retail Merchants' Association be and it is hereby granted permission to advertise on the outside of the street cars of the Market Street Railroad Company on May 3, 1927, only (provided said cars when used for said advertising purposes are not used to carry passengers), the approach of the straw hat season, and setting Tuesday, May, 3, 1927, as Straw Hat Day.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath—2.

Distinguished Germans Visit Board.

Dr. Kurt Ziegler, Consul-General, and Dr. Wilhelm Cuno, President of the Hamburg-American Steamship Line, visited the meeting of the Board. Dr. Cuno, in addressing the Board, said that he would never forget the hospitality extended to him and to his party in San Francisco.

That one of the last accomplishments was the building of a direct cable to connect the United States and Germany, and, he said: "I hope this cable may make a transfer of good things from your side and good things from our side. I hope that the cable will be made a cable between yourselves and ourselves, between our work and between ourselves, and that it may carry good will, good peace and good fellowship."

Supervisors McSheehy, Schmidt and Gallagher responded, expressing hope that cordial relation would never again be severed.

On motion of Supervisor Hayden the Clerk was instructed to have a copy of the stenographic report of the proceedings relating to the visit of these guests engrossed and presented to them.

May Day Prizes.

Mayor Rolph presented to the Board the two winners of prizes for the best freckled boy and the best freckled girl at the May Day exercises in Golden Gate Park, to each of whom he had presented on behalf of the City a check for twenty-five dollars.

The girl who won the prize was Vern Salberg of 333 Elizabeth street and the boy was George B. Kearge of 37 Clayton street.

Grand Parlor N. S. G. W.

Supervisors Byington, Hayden and Powers announced that they would not be at the meeting of the Board next Monday as they will be attending the meeting of the Grand Parlor of the Native Sons.

The chairman of the Finance Committee was authorized to appoint a committee of five members of the Board to attend next week to represent the City.

PRESENTATION OF PROPOSALS.

Scavenger Service, School Department.

Sealed proposals were received and opened at the hour of 11 a. m. this day for furnishing scavenger service for the School Department during the Fiscal Year 1927-1928 and *referred to the Supplies Committee.*

Window Cleaning, School Department.

Sealed proposals were received and opened at the hour of 11 a. m. this day for furnishing window cleaning for the School Department during the Fiscal Year 1927-1928 and *referred to the Supplies Committee.*

UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

Final Passage.

The following matters, heretofore passed for printing, were taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Authorizations.

Resolution No. 27201 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

Park Fund.

(1) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., labor and material, installing underground service for parks (claim dated Apr. 22, 1927), $672.25.

Special School Tax.

(2) J. H. McCallum, lumber for Schools (claim dated Apr. 18, 1927), $1,076.87.

Municipal Railway Fund.

(3) Market Street Railway Co., electric power furnished Municipal Railways (claim dated Apr. 15, 1927), $805.02.

(4) Market Street Railway Co., reimbursement as per agreement of December 12, 1918 (claim dated Apr. 15, 1927), $1,642.61.

School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923.

(5) S. W. Band, final payment, plumbing for addition to Francis Scott Key School (claim dated Apr. 20, 1927), $1,963.80.

Relief Home Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923.

(6) Clinton Construction Co., final payment, general construction for Relief Home buildings (claim dated Apr. 19, 1927), $7,424.70.

Tubercular Sanitarium Fund.

(7) M. E. Ryan, conditional acceptance payment on electrical work, Tubercular Sanitarium (claim dated Apr. 20, 1927), $1.800.

Hetch Hetchy Power Operative Fund.

(8) Depreciation Fund, Hetch Hetchy Power Operative as reserve for depreciation, per Charter provision (claim dated Apr. 15, 1927) $14,583.

Duplicate Tax Fund.

(9) Margaret Mahoney, administratrix of the estate of David Ma-

honey, refund of assessments paid for account of Twin Peaks Tunnel on Lake Merced lands, in litigation with the Spring Valley Water Co. (claim dated Apr. 25, 1927), $5 · 261.46.

Hetch Hetchy Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1925.

(10) For expense of Hetch Hetchy water construction, to-wit:

(11) Bald Eagle Meat Market meats (claim dated Apr. 19, 1927) $1,550.78.

(12) Hales & Symons, Inc., lumber (claim dated Apr. 19, 1927), $881.45.

(13) Ingersoll-Rand Co. of California, machinery parts (claim dated Apr. 15, 1927), $577.

(14) M. M. O'Shaughnessy, revolving fund expenditures, per vouchers (claim dated Apr. 15, 1927), $944.40.

(15) Santa Cruz Portland Cement Co., cement (claim dated Apr. 15, 1927), $607.25.

(16) State Compensation Insurance Fund, insurance premium, Hetch Hetchy employees (claim dated Apr. 19, 1927), $2,257.37.

(17) Western Pipe and Steel Co., air pipe (claim dated Apr. 15, 1927), $915.10.

(18) Beronio Lumber Co., lumber (claim dated Apr. 11, 1927), $625.37.

(19) First National Bank of Sonora, for amount advanced for account of payroll for Hetch Hetchy employees (claim dated Apr. 12, 1927), $35,000.

Hetch Hetchy Operative Revenue Fund.

(20) John J. Dailey, for expense of trip to New York to take depositions of witnesses in Great Western Power Co. valuation case (claim dated Apr. 21, 1927), $650.

Publicity and Advertising (Appropriation 55).

(21) Citizens' Committee, Thos. F. Boyle, treasurer, for expense on account of reception to representatives of the Irish Republic (claim dated Apr. 25, 1927), $1,440.30.

Tearing-up Streets Fund.

(22) Gladding, McBean & Co., sewer pipe for side sewer construction (claim dated Apr. 20, 1927). $525.

Hetch Hetchy Operative Revenue Fund.

(23) H. G. Butler, services in connection with condemnation of San Francisco electrical properties (claim dated Apr. 22, 1927), $725

General Fund, 1926-1927.

(24) California Printing Co., one thousand zoning ordinances for the City Planning Commission (claim dated Apr. 25, 1927), $779.

(25) A. P. Jacobs, rent of premises No. 333 Kearny street for month ending May 3, 1927 (claim dated Apr. 18, 1927), $1,186.

(26) Napa State Hospital, maintenance of criminal insane for quarter ending March 31, 1927 (claim dated Apr. 25, 1927), $656.67.

(27) Crown Oil Co., gasoline for Police Department (claim dated Apr. 18, 1927), $890.53.

(28) A. Carlisle, printing for departments (claim dated Apr. 25, 1927), $1,286.05.

(29) Wilcox & Co., printing for departments (claim dated Apr. 25, 1927), $579.

(30) California Petroleum Corporation, asphalt for street repair (claim dated Apr. 18, 1927), $689.35.

(31) California Rock Co., gravel for street repair (claim dated Apr. 18, 1927), $2,780.98.

(32) Pacific Portland Cement Co. Cons., cement for street repair (claim dated Apr. 18, 1927), $2,-197.55.

(33) Western Rock Products Co. sand for street repair (claim dated Apr. 18, 1927), $3,112.92.

(34) California Petroleum Corporation, fuel oil for Civic Center Power House (claim dated Apr. 18, 1927), $1,480.76.

(35) Dollar Steamship Line, freight on Election Department voting machines (claim dated Apr. 21, 1927), $1,319.50.

(36) J. H. Zemansky, one cent postage for special election (claim dated Apr. 21, 1927), $2,300.

(37) Department of Public Works, labor furnished for account of San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Mar. 31, 1927), $3,708.

(38) Department of Public Works, materials furnished for account of San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Mar. 31, 1927), $645.58.

(39) L. Scatena & Co., supplies for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Mar. 31, 1927), $595.23.

(40) Metropolitan Life Ins. Co., refund of penalty in payment of first installment of taxes for 1926-1927; check for payment of same in due time having miscarried (claim dated Apr. 21, 1927), $1,215.49.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

(Supervisor Powers voted *no* on Item No. 25.)

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath—2.

Appropriations, Payment to Maude P. Browne et al. for Lands Required for School Purposes.

Resolution No. 27202 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized in payment out of School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923, to the hereinafter named persons; being payments in satisfaction of judgments, Superior Court Action No. 160107, for lands required for school purposes, to-wit:

(1) To Maude P. Browne and Allan H. Browne, for lands on the east line of Thirty-second avenue commencing 215 feet southerly from the southerly line of Geary street running thence southerly on Thirty-second avenue 101 feet 10 inches; thence at a right angle easterly 120 feet; thence at right angle northerly 56 feet 10 inches; thence at right angle easterly 120 feet to westerly line of Thirty-first avenue; thence at right angle northerly on westerly line of Thirty-first avenue 45 feet; thence at right angle westerly 240 feet to point of commencement. As per Resolution No. 27126 (New Series), $16,594.65.

(2) To Frank Knighthart and Harriet Knighthart, for three parcels of land situate on the easterly and westerly sides of Thirty-first avenue between Anza and Geary streets; particularly described by Resolution No. 27113 (New Series), $8,450.

(3) To William Henry Greely and Ella Greely, for lands on the westerly line of Thirtieth avenue, commencing 175 feet northerly from the northerly line of Anza street; of dimensions 50x120 feet. As per Resolution No. 27113 (New Series) $5,125.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath—2.

Oil and Boiler Permits.

Resolution No. 27203 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits be and are hereby granted:

Oil Tanks.

Mrs. Allen, northeast corner of Thirty-eighth avenue and Fulton street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Myel R. Crane, 180 Santa Anna avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

John Lilianthal, north side of Vallejo street, 200 feet west of Broderick street, 600 gallons capacity.

F. P. McAtamney, 2822 Twenty-third street, 600 gallons capacity.

C. T. Magill, southeast corner of Thirty-third avenue and Balboa street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Carl E. Schlingheyde, east side of San Pablo avenue, 400 feet north of Yerba Buena avenue, 600 gallons capacity.

R. J. Stempel, northwest intersection of Fillmore street and Cervantes boulevard, 1500 gallons capacity.

A. G. Sutro, east side of La Playa between Lincoln way and Irving street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Boilers.

E. Schmidt, 811 Treat avenue, 10-horsepower.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits shall become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath—2.

Laundry Permit.

Resolution No. 27204 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That E. Schmidt be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate a lace curtain laundry at 811 Treat avenue.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath—2.

Automobile Parking Station Permits.

Resolution No. 27205 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Geo. Solomons be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an automobile supply station on the northwest corner of Forty-first avenue and Irving street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath—2.

Resolution No. 27206 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That G. R. Lean be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an automobile parking station on the south side of Mission street, 75 feet west of Sixth street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, To —16.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath—2.

Resolution No. 27207 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That L. Ross be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain an automobile parking station on the south side of Howard street, 75 feet west of Fourth street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Mar McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Tod —16.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath—2.

Automobile Supply Station Permits.

Resolution No. 27208 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That M. Phillips and George Hilsinger be and are hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an automobile supply station on the northwest corner of Nantucket and San Jose avenues.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, To —16.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath—2.

Resolution No. 27209 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That California Petroleum Corporation be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an automobile supply station on the northeast corner of Pacific and Drumm streets.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, To —16.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath—2.

Plans, Etc., for Stanley Street Sewer.

Bill No. 8001, Ordinance No. 7514 (New Series), as follows:

Authorizing the preparation of plans and specifications for the construction of a reinforced concrete sewer and appurtenances in Stanley street from Saint Charles avenue to Junipero Serra boulevard, and ordering the construction of a reinforced concrete sewer and appurtenances in Stanley street from Saint Charles avenue to Junipero Serra boulevard in accordance with said plans and specifications prepared therefor; authorizing and directing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for said construction of sewer and appurtenances.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized, instructed and empowered to prepare plans and specifications for the construction of a reinforced concrete sewer and appurtenances in Stanley street from Saint Charles avenue to Junipero Serra boulevard, and to enter into contract for the construction of a reinforced concrete sewer and appurtenances in Stanley street from Saint Charles avenue to Junipero Serra boulevard in accordance with the plans and specifications prepared therefor.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Tod —16.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath—2.

Plans, Etc., for Canal Street Sewer.

Bill No. 8002, Ordinance No. 7515 (New Series), as follows:

Authorizing the preparation of plans and specifications for the Canal street sewer extension from Trumbull street to Alemany boule-

vard, and ordering the Canal street sewer extension from Trumbull street to Alemany boulevard in accordance with said plans and specifications prepared therefor; authorizing and directing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for said Canal street sewer extension in accordance with the said plans and specifications.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized, instructed and empowered to prepare plans and specifications for the Canal street sewer extension from Trumbull street to Alemany boulevard, and to enter into contract for said Canal street sewer extension from Trumbull street to Alemany boulevard in accordance with the plans and specifications prepared therefor.

Section 2. This ordinace shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, To —16.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath—2.

Calling Special Election for War Memorial Buildings.

Bill No. 8003, Ordinance No. 7516 (New Series), as follows:

Calling and providing for a special election to be held in the City and County of San Francisco on Tuesday, the fourteenth day of June, 1927, for the purpose of submitting to the said voters of the City and County of San Francisco a proposition to incur a bonded debt of the City and County of San Francisco to the amount of four million ($4,000,000) dollars for the acquisition, construction and completion of a permanent improvement, to-wit, the construction. completion and equipment of permanent buildings in, or adjacent to the Civic Center in the City and County of San Francisco, to be used as a memorial hall for war veterans and for educational, recreational, entertainment and other municipal purposes and the purchase of all equipment and furnishings necessary for said buildings.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. A special election is hereby called and ordered to be held in the City and County of San Francisco on Tuesday, the fourteenth day of June, 1927, for the purpose of submitting to the electors of said City and County the following proposition, to-wit: To incur a bonded debt of the City and County of San Francisco to the amount of four million ($4,000,000) dollars for the purpose of the acquisition, construction and completion of a permanent improvement, to-wit, the construction, completion and equipment of permanent buildings in, or adjacent to the Civic Center in the City and County of San Francisco, to be used as a memorial hall for war veterans and for educational, recreational, entertainment and other municipal purposes and the purchase of all equipment for furnishings necessary for said buildings.

Section 2. The estimated cost of the acquisition, construction and completion of the permanent improvement described herein was by plans and estimates of the Board of Public Works procured through the City Engineer and filed with the Board of Supervisors on the 11th day of April, 1927, and was and is fixed by Bill No. 7997, Ordinance No. 7501 (New Series), in the sum of four million ($4,000,000) dollars.

Section 3. By Bill No. 7997, Ordinance No. 7501 (New Series) it was declared that no part of the said sum of four million ($4,000,000) dollars could be paid out of the annual revenue of the City and County in addition to the other necessary expenses thereof or other funds derived from taxes levied for that purpose and will require the incurring of a bonded debt to the amount of four million ($4.000,000) dollars for the purposes herein recited. The method and manner of payment of the estimated cost of said described permanent improvement are by the issuance of bonds of the City and County of San Francisco to the amount required therefor and the application of the proceeds arising from the sale thereof to defray the cost of the acquisition, construction and completion of said permanent improvement herein described.

Section 4. The special election hereby called and ordered to be held shall be held and conducted, and the votes thereat received and canvassed, and the returns thereof made, and the result thereof ascertained, determined and declared as herein provided and according to the laws of the State of California providing for and governing elections in the City and County of San Francisco, and the polls for such election shall be and remain open during the time required by said laws.

Section 5. The ballots to be used

at said special election shall be such as may be required by law to be used thereat, and, in addition to any other matter to be required by law to be printed thereon, shall appear thereon the following:

"Memorial Halls"—To incur a bonded indebtedness in the sum of four million ($4,000,000) dollars for the acquisition, construction and completion of a permanent improvement, consisting of public buildings in, or adjacent to the Civic Center of the City and County of San Francisco, to be used as memorial halls for war veterans and for education, recreational, entertainment and other municipal purposes and the purchase of all equipment and furnishings necessary for said buildings.

To vote for the proposition and thereby authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness to the amount of and for the purpose stated herein stamp a cross (X) in the blank space to the right of the word "Yes". To vote against the proposition and thereby refuse to authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness to the amount of and for the purpose stated herein stamp a cross (X) in the blank space to the right of the word "No".

YES	
NO	

Bonds issued for the acquisition, construction and completion of the permanent improvement herein described shall bear interest at the rate of 4½ per centum per annum, payable semi-annually.

Section 6. Where voting machines are used at said special election the said voting machines shall be so arranged that any qualified elector may vote for the proposition by pulling down the lever over the word "Yes" under or near a statement of the proposed proposition appearing on cardboard paper, or other material placed on the front of the machine and said act shall constitute a vote for the proposition, and by pulling down the lever over the word "No" under or near a statement of the proposed proposition appearing on cardboard, paper, or other material placed on the front of the machine shall constitute a vote against the proposition. Said voting machines and the preparation of the same are to be used in accordance with the provisions of Chapter 96 of the Statutes of 1923.

Each cross (X) stamped in the square to the right of the word "Yes" appearing on the printed ballot, where printed ballots are used, shall constitute a vote in favor of and to authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness for the purpose set forth in the proposition and each cross (X) stamped in the square to the right of the word "No" shall be counted as a vote not in favor of, and a refusal to authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness for the purpose set forth in the proposition.

Section 7. The election precincts, and the numbers, names and boundaries thereof for said special election, and the places of voting, and the officers to conduct such election, and all other necessary proceedings in that behalf shall be respectively defined, designated, selected, appointed and had by the Board of Election Commissioners of the City and County of San Francisco, and said Board is hereby authorized and directed to procure and provide all supplies that may be necessary to properly and lawfully conduct such special election.

When the polls are closed the officers of election shall count the ballots cast at such election, and canvass the votes cast respectively for and against the proposition herein stated and make return thereof in time, form and manner required for the counting, canvassing and returning of votes cast at other municipal elections held in the City and County of San Francisco. The Board of Election Commissioners shall, as soon as the said returns and ballots have been received by said Board, canvass said returns and declare the result thereof in the manner provided by law for canvassing returns and declaring results in other elections, and shall also certify said results to the Board of Supervisors.

Section 8. If, at such special election, it shall appear that two-thirds of all the votes cast thereat were in favor of and authorized the incurring of a bonded debt for the purpose set forth in said proposition, then such proposition shall be deemed to have been accepted by the electors and bonds will be issued to defray the cost of the permanent improvement described therein. Such bonds shall be of the form and character known as "serials". All of said bonds shall be dated July 1, 1927, shall bear interest at the rate of 4½ per

centum per annum, payable semi-annually; shall be of the denomination of one thousand dollars each, and the principal and interest thereof shall be payable in gold coin of the United States. Said bonds shall be called "Memorial Halls Bonds."

Bonds issued for the purpose stated shall be numbered from 1 to 4000, both inclusive, and shall be payable two hundred thousand ($200,000) dollars thereof five years from the date of said bonds, beginning with the lowest numbers, and two hundred thousand ($200,000) dollars thereof of the next higher numbers on the same day in each succeeding year until all of said bonds shall be paid.

Section 9. Said bonds and coupons shall be in substantially the following form:

The United States of America.
State of California.
City and County of San Francisco.
Memorial Hall Bond.

No. ——. $1,000.00

For value received, the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of California, hereby acknowledges itself indebted and promises to pay to the bearer, on the first day of ———, 19—, one thousand dollars, with interest thereon at the rate of 4½ per centum per annum, payable semi-annually January 1 and July 1, on presentation and surrender of the coupons hereto attached as they respectively become due, both principal and interest being payable in gold coin of the United States at the office of the Treasurer of said City and County, or, at the option of the holder, at the fiscal agency of said City and County of San Francisco in the City and State of New York.

This bond is issued under and pursuant to the Constitution and Statutes of the State of California and the Charter of said City and County of San Francisco and amendments thereto, and under and pursuant to ordinances and proceedings of said City and County duly adopted and taken, and a vote and assent of more than two-thirds of all the qualified electors of said City and County voting at a special election duly and legally called and held for that purpose.

It is hereby certified, recited and declared that all acts, conditions and things required by law to exist, happen and be performed precedent to and in the issuance of this bond have existed, happened and been performed in due time, form and manner as required by law, and that the amount of this bond, together with all other indebtedness of said City and County, does not exceed any limit prescribed by the Constitution or Statutes of said State or Charter of said City and County, and that provision has been made as required by the Constitution and Statutes of said State and the Charter of said City and County for the collection of an annual tax sufficient to pay the interest on this bond as it falls due, and also provision to constitute a sinking fund for the payment of the principal of this bond on or before maturity, full faith and credit of said City and County are hereby pledged for the punctual payment of the principal and interest of this bond.

This bond may be converted into a registered bond upon presentation to the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco, in which event such Treasurer shall cut off and cancel the coupons of this bond, and shall sign a statement stamped, printed or written upon the back or face of the bond to the effect that this bond is registered in the name of the owner and that thereafter the interest and principal of this bond are payable to the registered owner. Thereafter and from time to time this bond may be transferred by such registered owner in person or by attorney duly authorized on presentation of this bond to the Treasurer, and the bond be again registered as before, a similar statement being stamped, printed or written thereon.

This bond is exempt from all taxation within the State of California.

In witness whereof, said City and County of San Francisco has caused this bond to be executed under its corporate seal, signed by its Mayor and Treasurer and countersigned by its Auditor, and has caused the interest coupons hereto attached to be signed with the engraved or lithographed signature of its Treasurer, and this bond to be dated the first day of July, 1927.

....., Mayor.

....., Treasurer.

Countersigned:

....., Auditor.

Form of Coupon.

No. ——. $———.

On ——— 1, 19—, the City and County of San Francisco, California, will pay to bearer, at the office of the Treasurer of said City and County, or at the option of the holder at the fiscal agency of the City and County of San Francisco, in the City and State of New York, ——— dollars ($———) in gold coin of the United States, being six months' interest then due on its bond dated July 1, 1927, number ———.

.....,
Treasurer.

Form of Registration.

San Francisco, ——, 19—.

This bond is registered pursuant to Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, in the name of ——— and the interest and principal thereof are hereafter payable to such owner.

.....,
Treasurer.

Section 10. The amount of tax levy to be made for the payment of said four million dollars bonds issued under said proposition shall be the sum of one hundred eighty thousand dollars for the first five years from the date of said bonds to pay the annual interest on said bonds, and in season to pay such interest as it becomes due, and for the sixth year after the date of said bonds the sum of one hundred seventy-one thousand dollars to pay and in season to pay the interest on such of said bonds as remain outstanding after the two hundred thousand dollars thereof due five years from their date have been paid, and for the seventh year after the date of said bonds the sum of one hundred sixty-two thousand dollars to pay and in season to pay the interest on such of said bonds as remain outstanding after the two hundred thousand dollars thereof due six years from their date have been paid, and so on, a sum each year for seventeen succeeding years until said bonds are all paid, sufficient for interest and in season to pay interest on all of said bonds outstanding, which sum for interest will diminish each year by the amount of nine thousand dollars by reason of the payment each year beginning five years from date of said bonds of two hundred thousand dollars of said bonds, and the sum of two hundred thousand dollars each year beginning four years from the date of said bonds to pay and in season to pay the principal of such bonds as they respectively become due, and continuing each succeeding year for nineteen years until the principal of all of said bonds has been paid.

The purpose and intent of the foregoing tax provisions are, and it is hereby expressly provided, that at the time of levying the municipal tax, and in the manner provided for such tax levy, the Supervisors shall levy and collect annually a tax sufficient to pay the annual interest on such bonds, and also such part of the bonded indebtedness as will fall due within the succeeding fiscal year. Such taxes shall be in addition to all other taxes levied for municipal purposes, and shall be collected at the same time and in the same manner as other municipal taxes are collected.

Section 11. This ordinance shall expiration of said ten days notice be published for at least ten days in the official newspaper, and at the of such special election shall be given and published as required by law.

Section 12. This ordinance is the third of a series of ordinances which will be adopted by the Board of Supervisors relating to and designed to secure the acquisition, construction and completion of the permanent improvement named.

Section 13. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Roncovieri—3.

Amending Zoning Ordinance Placing Burnett Avenue and Portola Drive in the Commercial District Instead of the Second Residential.

Bill No. 8004, Ordinance No. 7517 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings, and the locations of buildings designed for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

That Section 8 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, be changed by

placing in the Commercial District instead of the Second Residential District the following described area:

Commencing on the easterly side of Burnett avenue at a point 17.031 feet northerly from the intersection of the easterly line of Burnett avenue and the northerly line of Portola drive, thence northerly along the easterly side of Burnett avenue a distance of 232.388 feet; thence easterly 157.673 feet to the westerly line of Portola drive; thence southwesterly on a curve with a radius of 200 feet, a distance of 285.326 feet to the point of commencement.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, —16.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath—2.

Amending Zoning Ordinance, Dewey Boulevard.

Bill No. 8005, Ordinance No. 7518 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings, and the locations of buildings designed for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Section 6 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place the southwesterly side of Dewey boulevard, commencing at a point 141.92 feet southeasterly from the Plaza and running thence southeasterly 73 feet, and extending to the depth of the rear lot lines, in the Commercial District instead of the First Residential District.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath—2.

Limitation of Heights of Buildings.

Bill No. 8018, Ordinance No. 7519 (New Series), as follows:

Limiting the height of buildings hereafter to be erected in a certain district in the City and County of San Francisco, and establishing the boundaries of said district, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. No building, except as hereinafter provided, shall be erected in the City and County of San Francisco to a height greater than forty (40) feet, within the territory bounded by San Francisco Bay on the north, by Fillmore street on the east, by Jackson street on the south and by Lyon street on the west, excepting therefrom the westerly line of Fillmore street from the southerly line of Broadway to the northerly line of Jackson street, and the northerly line of Jackson street from the westerly line of Fillmore street to the easterly line of Steiner street, to the depth of the rear lot lines of the lots fronting on said streets as shown on the Assessor's maps for the year 1927.

Section 2. No addition to or alteration or improvement of any building within the above-described district shall be made which shall increase the height of any building as limited by this ordinance.

Section 3. No limitation of the height of buildings in the City and County of San Francisco, as provided by this ordinance, shall apply to public buildings, churches, schools, steeples, towers, domes, cupolas, belfries (not used for human occupancy), nor to chimneys, gas holders, skylights, ventilators, pent houses, water tanks, flag staffs, railings, weather vanes, nor to other similar structures such as are usually erected or maintained above the roof line of buildings.

Section 4. The method of determining the height of buildings, for the purpose of this ordinance, shall be the same as provided in Ordinance No. 1008 (New Series), and the provisions of Section 78 shall apply within said territory.

Section 5. No building permit shall be issued by the Board of Public Works for the erection or alteration of any building or structure contrary to the provisions of this ordinance, and any permit so issued shall be void.

Section 6. Any person, firm or corporation violating any provisions of this ordinance shall be guilty of a misdemeanor, and upon conviction thereof shall be punishable by a fine not exceeding $500 or by imprisonment for a term of not exceeding six months, or by both such fine and imprisonment

Such persons, firm or corporation shall be deemed guilty of a separate offense for each and every day during any portion of which any violation of this ordinance is committed, continued or permitted by such person, firm or corporation, as herein provided.

Section 7. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Sharnon, Todd —12.

Noes — Supervisors Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton—3.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Deasy—3.

Amendment Referred.

The following proposed amendment of Supervisor Roncovieri to the foregoing ordinance was *referred to the City Planning Committee*: That a report be made within thirty days on the question of the height of buildings not exceeding the curb level of the first street lying parallel to and immediately south of the street on which it is proposed to erect a building.

Final Passage.

The following matters, heretofore passed for printing, were taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote: ,

Fixing Sidewalk Widths on Division Street.

Bill No. 8007, Ordinance No. 7520 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by amending Section forty-four thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in acordance with the communication of the Board of Public Works, filed in this office April 7, 1927, by amending Section fourty-four thereof, to read as follows:

Section 44. The width of sidewalks on Division street, the southerly side of, between San Bruno avenue and Potrero avenue, shall be seven (7) feet.

The width of sidewalks on Division street, the northerly side of, between Ninth street and Brannan street, are hereby dispensed with and abolished.

The width of sidewalks on Division street, the northerly side of, between Brannan street and Tenth street, shall be fifteen (15) feet.

The width of sidewalks on Division street, the northerly side of, between Tenth street and Eleventh street, are hereby dispensed with and abolished.

The width of sidewalks on Division street between Bryant street and Florida street, are hereby dispensed with and abolished.

The width of sidewalks on Division street, the southerly side of, between Potrero avenue and Bryant street, shall be seven (7) feet.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect and be in force from and after its passage.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, —16.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath—2.

Fixing Sidewalk Widths on Treat Avenue.

Bill No. 8008, Ordinance No. 7521 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by amending section six hundred and ninety-nine thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Board of Public Works, filed in this office April 7, 1927, by amending Section Six Hundred and Ninety-nine thereof, to read as follows:

Section 699. The width of sidewalks on Treat avenue between Florida street and Alameda street are hereby dispensed with and abolished.

The width of sidewalks on Treat avenue between Alameda street and Sixteenth street shall be seven (7) feet.

The width of sidewalks on Treat avenue, the northwesterly side of, between Sixteenth street and a point 172.57 feet southwesterly from Sixteenth street, shall be seven (7) feet.

The width of sidewalks on Treat avenue, the northwesterly side of, between a point 172.57 feet southwesterly from Sixteenth street and

Seventeenth street, are hereby dispensed with and abolished.

The width of sidewalks on Treat avenue, the southeasterly side of, between Sixteenth street and Seventeenth street, are hereby dispensed with and abolished.

The width of sidewalks on Treat avenue between Seventeenth street and Eighteenth street shall be seven (7) feet.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect and be in force from and after its passage.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton —16.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath—2.

Ordering Street Work.

Bill No. 8009, Ordinance No. 7522 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors March 8, 1927, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in twenty installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be six months from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

For the improvement of Twentieth street between the westerly line of Rhode Island street and the easterly line of Vermont street, including the crossing of Twentieth street and Kansas street, by grading to official line and grade between the easterly line of Kansas street and the easterly line of Vermont street; by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks on the angular corners of the above mentioned crossing; by the construction of an 8-inch ironstone pipe sewer along the center line of Twentieth street from a point 20 feet westerly from Kansas street to the easterly line of Vermont street, with the necessary Y branches, sidesewers and one brick manhole; by the construction of a concrete pavement on the roadway of Twentieth street between Kansas street and Vermont street, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and a 1½-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface, on the roadway of Twentieth street between Rhode Island street and Kansas street, including the crossing of Twentieth street and Kansas street.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Toy —16.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath—2.

Bill No. 8010, Ordinance No. 7523 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors April 6, 1927, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Im-

PUBLIC LIBRARY

provement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in three installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of the north one-half of Geary street from a line parallel with and seventy-five (75) feet east of the east line of Sixth avenue to a line parallel with and one hundred (100) feet east of the east line of Sixth avenue, by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks of the full official width, where the existing sidewalk is not to official grade.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrel Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Mar McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri. Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent — Supervisors Badara Bath—2.

Bill No. 8011, Ordinance No. 7524 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors April 6, 1927, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in three installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of the easterly one-half of Battery street from a line parallel with and thirty-nine (39) feet nine (9) inches north of the north line of Commercial street to a line parallel with and fifty-nine (59) feet nine (9) inches north of the north line of Commercial street, and the improvement of the northerly one-half of Commercial street from a line parallel with and sixty (60) feet east of the east line of Battery street to a line parallel with and eighty-five (85) feet east of the east line of Battery street, by the construction of full width artificial stone sidewalks of the full official width where sidewalks are not to official line and grade.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrel Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri. Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco Bath—2.

Bill No. 8012, Ordinance No. 7525 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of

the Board of Supervisors April 6, 1927, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in three installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Jennings street between Ingerson avenue and Jamestown avenue, Ingerson avenue from Third street to Jennings street, Third street between Hollister avenue and Ingerson avenue, by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks six (6) feet in width where artificial stone or bituminous rock sidewalks six (6) feet or more in width have not already been constructed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath—2.

Bill No. 8013, Ordinance No. 7526 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors April 6, 1927, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Treat avenue between Sixteenth street and Seventeenth street, where not already improved, including the intersection of Treat avenue and Harrison street where not already improved, and excepting that portion required by law to be paved by the railroad company having tracks thereon, by grading to official line and grade; by the construction of concrete curbs where curbs are not already constructed; by the construction of the necessary brick catchbasins with appurtenances and 10-inch ironstone pipe culverts; by the construction of the necessary side-sewers; by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks on the intersection of Treat avenue and Harrison street, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and a 1½-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Badaracco, Bath—2.

Bill No. 8014, Ordinance No. 7527 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors April 6, 1927, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1913 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of the easterly one-half of Eleventh avenue from Moraga street to a line parallel with and 100 feet southerly therefrom, by the construction of concrete curbs, by the construction of a basalt strip on concrete, 7 feet in width, adjacent to the center line of Eleventh avenue, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and a 1½-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface on the remainder of the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Badaracco, Bath—2.

Bill No. 8015, Ordinance No. 7528 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors April 6, 1927, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in three installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of San Bruno avenue from Visitacion avenue to Leland avenue; Leland avenue from San Bruno avenue to Delta street; Leland avenue from Peabody street to Delta street; Raymond avenue from San Bruno avenue to Delta street; Rutland street from Visitacion avenue to Raymond avenue; Delta street from Leland avenue to Visitacion avenue; Schwerin street from Leland avenue to Visitacion avenue; Cora street from Leland avenue to Visitacion avenue; Visitacion avenue from Schwerin street to Peabody street, by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks six

(6) feet in width where artificial stone sidewalks six (6) feet or more in width have not already been constructed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath—2.

Bill No. 8016, Ordinance No. 7529 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors April 6, 1927, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of the easterly one-half of Powell street from the north line of Francisco street to a line parallel with and 91 feet 8 inches north of the north line of Francisco street; and the northerly one-half of Francisco street from the east line of Powell street to a line parallel with and 68 feet 9 inches east of the east line of Powell street, by the construction of full width artificial stone sidewalks.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Badaracco, Bath—2.

Bill No. 8017, Ordinance No. 7530 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors April 6, 1927, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in three installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of the west one-half of Lyon street from a line parallel with and eighty (80) feet north of the north line of California street to a line parallel with and one hundred and seven (107) feet seven and one-eighth (7 1-8) inches north of the north line of California street, by the construc-

tion of artificial stone sidewalks of the full official width, where artificial stone sidewalks of the full official width have not already been constructed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovier, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath—2.

Spur Track Permit, U. S. Government.

Bill No. 7980, Ordinance No. 7531 (New Series), as follows:

Ordinance granting to the United States the right to construct, maintain and operate and to maintain and operate where already constructed in perpetuity, a spur track railroad extending from Fort Mason Military Reservation to the Presidio Reservation in the City and County of San Francisco over and along certain public streets in said City and County.

Whereas, by charter amendment, duly ratified and adopted at the general election held on the 2d day of November, 1926, and approved by the Legislature of the State of California on the 10th day of January, 1927, a new section, known as Section 8, Article I, was added to the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, under the terms of which the City and County of San Francisco was authorized to accept and receive from the Government of the United States a tract of land located on the westerly line of Lyon street and containing 9.93 acres, more or less, being the site of what is known as the Palace of Fine Arts, in the City and County of San Francisco; and in consideration therefor the City and County of San Francisco was authorized to grant to the Government of the United States the right to operate and maintain in perpetuity a spur track railroad from the easterly line of Laguna street at its intersection with Beach street, and extending in a general westerly direction and following along and over certain designated streets to the westerly line of Lyon street; and

Whereas, such charter amendment, in designating the streets along which said spur track railroad was to be constructed and maintained and operated, where already constructed, included a distance of one block on Beach street from Buchanan street to Webster street, and a distance of one block on Webster street from Beach street to Tonquin street; and

Whereas, said one block of Beach street and said one block of Webster street, above referred to, have been duly closed as open public streets, and no longer exist as such, and in lieu thereof, and for the purpose of creating a through street connecting the intersection of Buchanan street and Beach street and the intersection of Webster street and Tonquin street, a new street, to be known as Marin boulevard, has been laid out, paved and opened as a public street, which said new street extends in a straight line from the intersection of Buchanan street and Webster street in a northwesterly direction to the intersection of Webster street and Tonquin street;

Therefore, for the purpose of furnishing to the Government of the United States the consideration specified in the Act of Congress authorizing the conveyance to the City and County of San Francisco of the said site of the Palace of Fine Arts, and to authorize the granting to the United States Government of a continuous right of way for such spur track railroad from the intersection of Laguna street and Beach street to the westerly line of Lyon street the following ordinance is enacted:

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Pursuant to the provisions of Section 8 of Article I of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, added to said Charter as an amendment, duly ratified and adopted at the general election held on the 2d day of November, 1926, and approved by the Legislature of the State of California on the 10th day of January, 1927, the City and County of San Francisco does hereby grant to the United States the right to construct, maintain and operate, and to maintain and operate, where already constructed, in perpetuity a spur track railroad, extending from Fort Mason Military Reservation to the Presidio Reservation, in said City and County, over and along the following streets and property in said City and County of San Francisco, to-wit:

A strip of land 12 feet 6 inches in width, lying 6 feet 3 inches on each side of a center line described as follows:

Beginning at a point on the easterly line of Laguna street (which is also the westerly line of the Fort Mason Military Reservation), said point being distant northerly along said easterly line of Laguna street 35.725 feet from the easterly prolongation of the southerly line of Beach street, running thence west-

erly along the arc of a 5-degree curve to the right, radius 1146.28 feet and central angle 2 deg. 46 min. 36 sec., a distance of 55.552 feet, the tangent to said curve at the point of beginning being deflected southwesterly 87 deg. 13 min. 24 sec. from said easterly line of Laguna street; running thence westerly parallel with said southerly line of Beach street and along the tangent to the above described curve, 125.560 feet; thence westerly along a 20-degree curve to the right, tangent to the last described course, of radius 287.939 feet and central angle 5 deg., a distance of 25.127 feet; thence northwesterly along the tangent to the last described curve 179.140 feet; thence northwesterly along a 20-degree curve to the right, radius 287.939 feet and central angle 42 deg. 45 min., a distance of 214.839 feet; thence northwesterly along the tangent to the last described curve 695.89 feet to a point distant southerly at right angles 12.588 feet from the easterly prolongation of the southerly line of Tonquin street; thence northwesterly along a 20-degree curve to the left, radius 287.939 feet, central angle 47 deg. 45 min., a distance of 239.967 feet to a point distant northerly at right angles 81.75 feet from said prolongation of the southerly line of Tonquin street, said last two courses and a portion of the preceding course being along and over a new street laid out and opened as a public street to be known as Marina boulevard; running thence westerly parallel with said prolongation and said southerly line of Tonquin street, and along the tangent to the last described curve 3419.925 feet to a point distant easterly along said tangent 46.57 feet from the westerly line of Lyon street (which is also the easterly line of the Presidio of the San Francisco Military Reservation); thence on the arc of a 30-degree curve to the left, radius 191.111 feet southwesterly to the intersection of said curve with said westerly line of Lyon street.

The above described right of way being an exact description of the right of way over and on which the Government of the United States now maintains and operates its spur track from the easterly line of Laguna street in a westerly direction to the westerly line of Lyon street.

Section 2. The right hereby granted shall include the right to construct, maintain and operate a switch into the United States Army Supply Depot at Fort Mason from a point on Beach street approximately seventy (70) feet west of the west line of Laguna street.

Section 3. This ordinance shall become effective upon the conveyance by the Secretary of War of the United States to the City and County of San Francisco of the hereinafter described real property, in accordance with the provisions of an Act of Congress entitled "An Act authorizing the Secretary of War to convey certain portions of the Military Reservation of the Presidio of San Francisco to the City and County of San Francisco for educational, art, exposition and park purposes," approved March 3, 1925. The real property to be conveyed by said Secretary of War to the City and County of San Francisco is that portion of the Presidio Reservation in said City and County described as follows, to-wti:

Commencing at a point on the westerly line of Lyon street, distant thereon five and seventeen one-hundredths feet southerly from the northerly line of Bay street if extended and produced westerly, and running thence northerly along the westerly line of Lyon street one thousand one hundred and ninety-six and eighty one-hundredths feet; thence southwesterly on a curve to the left of six hundred and twelve feet radius, central angle 155 deg. 47 min. 50 sec., tangent to a line deflected 102 deg. 6 min. 5 sec. to the left from the preceding course, a distance of one thousand six hundred and sixty-four and thirteen one-hundredths feet to the westerly line of Lyon street and the point of commencement; containing nine and ninety-three one-hundredths acres, more or less.

Ordinance No. 7425, approved February 21, 1927, is hereby repealed.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath—2.

PRESENTATION OF BILLS AND ACCOUNTS.

Your Finance Committee, having examined miscellaneous demands not required by law to be passed to print, and amounting to $59,526.11, recommends same be allowed and ordered paid by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath—2.

NEW BUSINESS.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Authorizations.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned funds in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

Library Fund.

(1) Macmillan Co., public library books (claim dated Mar. 31, 1927), $606.15.

(2) American Building Maintenance Co., janitor service for public libraries (claim dated Mar. 31, 1927), $716.50.

(3) Alex Coleman, plumbing and repairs for public libraries (claim dated Mar. 31, 1927), $797.75.

(4) Foster & Futernick Co., library book binding (claim dated Mar. 31, 1927), $1,671.

(5) San Francisco News Co., library books (claim dated Mar. 31, 1927), $1,668.41.

(6) G. E. Stechert & Co., library books (claim dated Mar. 31, 1927), $3,036.59.

Park Fund.

(7) Gantner & Mattern Co., bathing suits (claim dated April 29, 1927), $633.80.

Publicity and Advertising.

(8) Rudolph Theurkauf and William A. Theurkauf, third and fourth payments, construction of exhibit for Transcontinental Highway Exposition, Reno, Nev., for the publicity and advertising of San Francisco (claim dated May 2, 1927), $2,000.

School Bonds, Issue 1918.

(9) George H. Trask, lockers for High School of Commerce (claim dated April 26, 1927), $1,514.30.

Special School Tax.

(10) George H. Tay Co., plumbing supplies for schools (claim dated April 20, 1927), $1,009.03.

(11) W. P. Fuller & Co., glass, oil, etc., for schools (claim dated April 25, 1927), $607.07.

(12) John Reid, Jr., first payment, architectural services for annex to the Paul Revere School (claim dated April 26, 1927), $2.340.

Municipal Railway Depreciation Fund.

(13) United States Steel Products Co., first payment, track materials for Municipal Railways (claim dated April 22, 1927), $87,060.70.

Municipal Railway Fund.

(14) American Brake Shoe & Foundry Co., brake shoes for Municipal Railways (claim dated Apr. 26, 1927), $678.38.

(15) Market Street Railway Co., electric power furnished Municipal Railways (claim dated April 22, 1927), $2,613.97.

(16) Panama Lamp & Commercial Co., electric lamps for Municipal Railways (claim dated April 26, 1927), $975.

(17) Phillips & Van Orden Co., printing for Municipal Railways (claim dated April 27, 1927), $639.

Hetch Hetchy Water Construction Fund Bond Issue 1925.

(18) A. Guthrie & Co., Inc., extra work, Rock River Shaft camp, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated April 20, 1927), $2,647.90.

(19) Western Pipe & Steel Co., air pipe (claim dated April 20, 1927), $1,830.20.

(20) Alameda County Title Ins. Co., payment for title reports in connection with acquisition of lands in Coast Range Division of Hetch Hetchy water supply project (claim dated April 27, 1927), $680.

(21) The Giant Powder Co., explosives for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated April 27, 1927), $2,497.69.

(22) Hales & Symons, Inc., lumber for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated April 27, 1927), $1,778.66.

(23) Hercules Powder Co., explosives for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated April 27, 1927), $1,210.72.

School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923.

(24) John Reid, Jr., final payment on architectural services for addition to the Guadalupe School (claim dated April 26, 1927), $727.81.

(25) Frederick H. Meyer and Albin R. Johnson, seventh payment, architectural services for Henry Durant School (claim dated April 26, 1927), $1,970.66.

(26) Joseph & Stone, third payment, architectural services for Portola Junior High School (claim dated April 27, 1927), $1,971.65.

General Fund, 1926-1927.

(27) Market Street Railway Co., refund of second installment of taxes paid on Lot 1, Block 1264, at Frederick and Willard streets, being acquired by the City (claim dated May 2, 1927), $594.75.

(28) California Petroleum Corporation, fuel oil for Hall of Justice (claim dated April 26, 1927), $516.30.

(29) Eclipse Lime & Cement Co., cement for street repair (claim dated Apr. 26, 1927), $1,769.63.

(30) Jas. E. Lennon Lime & Cement Co., cement for street repair (claim dated Apr. 26, 1927), $1,758.79.

(31) Crown Oil Co., gasoline and oil for street cleaning (claim dated Apr. 26, 1927), $558.05.

(32) Symon Brothers, team hire for street cleaning (claim dated Apr. 25, 1927), $747.50.

(33) Alfred I. Coffey, first payment, architectural services on Sunset Police Station (claim dated Apr. 26, 1927), $1,200.

(34) Addressograph Sales Co., one addressograph with parts and attachments, for Fire Department (claim dated Apr. 30, 1927), $707.60.

(35) Spring Valley Water Co., water service furnished Fire Department hydrants (claim dated Apr. 30, 1927), $14,512.10.

(36) Dodge, Sweeney & Co., groceries for the Relief Home (claim dated Apr. 26, 1927), $1,062.50.

(37) Garcia & Maggini Co., dried fruit, Relief Hame (claim dated Apr. 26, 1927), $1,391.16.

(38) Haas Brothers, groceries for Relief Home (claim dated Apr. 26, 1927), $739.51.

(39) C. Nauman & Co., vegetables for Relief Home (claim dated Apr. 26, 1927), $818.46.

(40) Sperry Flour Co., flour, Relief Home (claim dated Apr. 25, 1927), $680.

(41) Sussman & Wormser, groceries, Relief Home (claim dated Apr. 25, 1927), $1,484.50.

(42) S. H. Tyler & Sons, coffee and tea, Relief Home (claim dated Apr. 25, 1927), $792.01.

(43) Daniel J. O'Brien, police contingent expense (claim dated May 1, 1927), $750.

(44) Berringer & Russell, hay, etc., for Police Department (claim dated May 1, 1927), $627.70.

(45) San Francisco Stove Works, iron castings, Department of Electricity (claim dated Mar. 31, 1927), $655.73.

(46) Geo. H. Tay Co., steel pipe, Department of Electricity (claim dated Mar. 31, 1927), $592.89.

(47) California Meat Co., meats for County Jails (claim dated Apr. 20, 1927), $1,028.03.

(48) Langendorf Baking Co., bread for County Jails (claim dated Apr. 20, 1927), $1,218.75.

(49) San Francisco Bulletin, official advertising (claim dated May 2, 1927), $956.04.

(50) A. P. Jacobs, rent of premises No. 333 Kearny street, May 3 to June 3, 1927, $1.186.

(51) James Rolph, Jr., Mayor's incidentals for May (claim dated May 2, 1927), $625.

(52) Board of Park Commissioners, reimbursement for beautification of the Civic Center for March (claim dated Apr. 29, 1927), $539.11.

(53) L. Dinkelspiel & Co., sheeting, Relief Home (claim dated Feb. 23, 1927), $1,326.08.

(54) Philadelphia Shoe Co., shoes, Relief Home (claim dated Feb. 28, 1927), $837.

(55) H. F. Dugan, chemical supplies, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Mar. 31, 1927), $501.99.

(56) Bush Electric Corporation, Bucky table, tube, etc., for S. F. Hospital (claim dated Mar. 31, 1927), $777.57.

(57) Tiedemann & McMorran, table peaches (claim dated Mar. 31, 1927), $668.75.

(58) McCarthy Bros., coffee, S. F. Hospital (claim dated Mar. 31, 1927), $737.50.

(59) Dodge, Sweeney & Co., groceries, S. F. Hospital (claim dated Mar. 31, 1927), $1,527.50.

(60) S. S. White Dental Mfg. Co., one equipment stand, Board of Health (claim dated Apr. 25, 1927), $512.10.

(61) San Francisco Bulletin, official advertising (claim dated May 2, 1927), $950.52.

Appropriations for Purchase of Property Required for the Opening of Bernal Cut.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of appropriations heretofore made from the County Road Fund for the opening of Bernal Cut, in payment to the hereinafter named persons; being payments for properties required for the opening of the Bernal Cut, to-wit:

(1) To Amelia Reilly, property as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 27169, New Series (claim dated April 28, 1927), $4,100.

(2) To Frank Suter and Magdalene Suter, for property as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 27169, New Series (claim dated Apr. 28, 1927), $4,800.

(3) To J. C. Thompson and Ingeborg Thompson, for property as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 27171, New Series (claim dated April 28, 1927), $14,750.

(4) To Nils P. Jensen and Annie M. Jensen, for property as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 27171, New Series (claim dated Apr. 28, 1927), $21,055.

(5) To Annie C. Maguire, for property as per acceptance of offer

by Resolution No. 27171, New Series (claim dated April 28, 1927), $2,250.

(6) To James V. Brown, for property as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 27171, New Series, (claim dated April 28, 1927), $3,200.

Appropriations for Purchase of Properties Required for Boulevard Improvements.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are authorized in payment out of appropriations heretofore made from the County Road Fund for various boulevard improvements, and paid to the hereinafter named persons; being payments for properties required for said boulevard purposes, to-wit:

(1) To Mary R. Scott, for property required for the opening of the Bernal Heights boulevard, as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 27170, New Series (claim dated April 28, 1927), $3,000.

(2) To Oscar Bruderer and Maria Bruderer, for property required for the widening of Garfield street, as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 27173, New Series (claim dated April 28, 1927), $800.

(3) To Elizabeth D. McMillan, for property required for the widening of Garfield street, as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 27172, New Series (claim dated April 28, 1927), $900.

(4) To Mae L. Feykert, for property required for the extension of Market street, as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 27175, New Series (claim dated April 28, 1927), $2,800.

(5) To Carl W. and Jennie Nelson, for release on account of damage to property due to the Market street extension, as per Resolution No. 27167, New Series (claim dated April 28, 1927), $1,375.

Appropriations for Construction of Sewer System, Mather, Tuolumne County, California, Hetch Hetchy Construction.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1919, for the construction of water and sewage systems at Mather, Tuolumne County, to-wit:

(1) For the onstruction of water system, $16,000.

(2) for the construction of sewage system, including comfort stations, sewers, septic tank, disposal field and appurtenances, $37,000.

Total, $53,000.

Appropriations, Grading Airport and Grading Retaining Walls, Etc., Roosevelt Way.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned funds for the following purposes, to-wit:

Municipal Airport, Budget Item No. 60.

(1) For cost of additional grading required in connection with the San Francisco Municipal Airport, being additional to $10,500 heretofore set aside (Granfield, Farrar & Carlin, contract), $6,500.

County Road Fund.

(2) For cost of grading and construction of retaining walls, steps and sloping walks at property, Roosevelt way and Clifford street, $1,250.

City's Portion of Pavement, Douglass Street Improvement.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of General Fund, Fiscal Year 1926-1927, for the payment of the City's portion of the improvement of Douglass street between Twenty-fifth and Twenty-sixth streets as described in Resolution of Intention No. 86539 (Second Series) as assessed in the assessment issued by the Board of Public Works on July 22, 1926, against the following lots, viz.:

Lot 1, $262.50; Lot 2, $136.50; Lot 3, $87.50; Lot 4, $311.50; Lot 25, $399; Lot 26, $399; Lot 57, $787.50; Lot 59, $175; Lot 60, $175; Lot 61, $437.50. Total, $3,171.

Appropriation, $415, Reconstruction of Gutters, Silver Avenue and Bowdoin Street.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 27211 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $415 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of "Street Work in Front of City Property," Budget Item No. 36, to defray the cost of constructing catchbasin, curbs, artificial stone sidewalks, pavement, culvert and reconstructing gutters on the westerly side of Silver avenue and Bowdoin street; also performing necessary conform work on

intersection of Bowdoin street and Silver avenue. (T. D. Harney contract.)

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovie, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath—2.

Appropriation, $300, Payment to Albert Tassi, Damages.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 27212 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, in the matter of the acquisition of property for Bernal Cut, the Board of Supervisors hereby appropriates the sum of $300 to Albert Tassi as an additional payment over the sum previously allowed for damages; said additional payment being necessary for the purpose of allowing the building of Albert Tassi to be placed on a line with the adjoining building.

The City Attorney is authorized to pay said sum to said Albert Tassi upon receipt of a proper release of damages.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovie, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath—2.

Accepting Offer of Johanna Miller to Sell for $251 Certain Property Required for Market Street Extension.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 27213 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the following owner of property adjacent to the Market street extension has offered to release the City and County of San Francisco, its contractors or agents, from all claim or claims of damages to her property or the buildings thereon caused by the establishment of grades on Market street extension and the grading and construction of Market street extension to the proposed official grade and the grading and repaving of adjacent streets to said Market street extension.

Whereas, the City Attorney has recommended the acceptance of the said offer as per the following terms, namely:

Johanna Miller, $261—Lot 6, in Block 2656, as per the Assessor's Block Book of the City and County of San Francisco.

Resolved, That the said offer be accepted and the City Attorney be authorized to close negotiations and superintend the payment of money to the above-mentioned person upon the receipt of the proper releases.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovie, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath—2.

Accepting Offer of California Pacific Title and Trust Company to Sell for $1,592.50 Certain Parcels of Land Required for Park Purposes.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 27214 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, an offer has been received from California Pacific Title and Trust Company to convey to the City and County of San Francisco certain land situate in Block No. 16, Map of Reis Tract, required for park purposes; and

Whereas, the price at which said parcels of land are offered is the reasonable value thereof; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the offer of the said owner to convey to the City and County of San Francisco a good and sufficient fee simple title to the following described land, free of all encumbrances, for the sum of $1,952.50 be and the same is hereby accepted, the said parcels of land being all of Lots Nos. 11 and 12, in Block No. 16, in accordance with a map entitled "Map of the Reis Tract," filed in the office of the County Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, on May 19, 1904, and recorded in Map Book 1, pages 241 and 242.

The City Attorney is hereby directed to examine the title to said land and, if the same is found to be vested in the aforesaid owner, free of all encumbrances, and that the taxes up to and including the current fiscal year are paid, and that the so-called McEnerney title has been procured, or sufficient money reserved for the purpose of procuring the same, to report the result of his examination to the Board of Supervisors, and also to cause a good and sufficient deed for said land to be executed and delivered to the City and County upon payment of the agreed purchase price as aforesaid. And the said deed to said land is hereby accepted.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson,

Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, —16.

Absent—Supervisors Badaracco, Bath—2.

Passed for Printing.

The following bill was *passed for printing*:

Plans, Etc., for Building to House the Electrical Equipment and Meteorological Equipment of San Francisco Airport.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy:

Bill No. 8019, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Authorizing the preparation of plans and specifications for buildings to house the electrical equipment and meteorological equipment at the San Francisco Airport and at outlying meteorological stations, and ordering the construction of buildings to house the electrical equipment and meteorological equipment at the San Francisco Airport, and at outlying meteorological stations in accordance with said plans and specifications prepared therefor; authorizing and directing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for said construction of buildings.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized, instructed and empowered to prepare plans and specifications for the construction of buildings to house the electrical equipment and meteorological equipment at the San Francisco Municipal Airport, and at outlying meteorological stations, in San Mateo County, and to enter into contract for the construction of buildings to house the electrical equipment and meteorological equipment at the San Francisco Municipal Airport, and at outlying meteorological stations, in San Mateo County, in accordance with said plans and specifications prepared therefor.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Action Deferred.

The following matters were *continued one week*:

Transfer of Twin Peaks Tunnel Fund.

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $42,508.21 is hereby transferred from the Twin Peaks Ridge Tunnel Assessment Fund to the Municipal Railway Fund, and the Board of Public Works, Tax Collector, Auditor and Treasurer are hereby directed to make such entries in their official records and books of account as will correctly show such transfer. Said transfer is for the purpose of reimbursing said Municipal Railway Fund for moneys advanced therefrom and used in the construction of the Twin Peaks Tunnel project.

Transfer of School Lands.

Resolution transferring lands to School Department, to-wit: On the north by Clement street, on the east by Twenty-ninth avenue, on the south by Geary street and on the west by Thirtieth avenue and known as Outside Land Block No. 210; over *one week* at the request of Supervisor Todd; the Clerk directed to send letter to Board of Education to ascertain just what the land is to be used for.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Amending Zoning Ordinance Placing Easterly Side of Mason Street Between Pine and California Streets in Commercial District.

On motion of Supervisor Hayden:

Bill No. 8020, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings, and the locations of buildings designed for specific uses and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the people of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Section 1 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place the easterly side of Mason street between Pine street and California street and extending to a depth of 206 feet 3 inches in the Commercial District instead of the Second Residential District.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect on date of its approval and shall continue in effect for the period of ninety (90) days thereafter, at which time it shall cease to be in effect, and the premises herein described shall revert to and be in the Second Residential District.

Oil Tank Permits.

On motion of Supervisor Deasy:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits be and are hereby granted:

Oil Tanks.

Henry Ernst & Sons, southeast corner of Octavia and Linden streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

H. Papenhausen, north side of Moncada way, 350 feet west of Cedro street, 1500 gallons capacity.

J. E. O'Brien, southwest corner of Twenty-first avenue and Cabrillo street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Charles Rousseau, 1990 California street, 1500 gallons capacity.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits shall become null and void.

Parking Station Permit.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Post-Taylor Garage, Inc., be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an automobile parking station on the north side of Post street, 40 feet west of Mason street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Automobile Supply Station Permit.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Post-Taylor Garage, Inc., be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an automobile supply station on the north side of Post street, 40 feet west of Mason street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Repealing Ordinance No. 7411 (New Series) Ordering the Improvement of Peabody Street Between Leland and Visitacion Avenues.

On motion of Supervisor Marks:

Bill No. 8021, Ordanince No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Repealing Ordinance No. 7411 (New Series), approved February 11, 1927, ordering the improvement of Peabody street between Leland and Visitacion avenues, where not already improved, etc.

Be it ordained by the people of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 7411 (New Series), approved February 11, 1927, ordering the improvement of Peabody street between Leland and Visitation avenues, where not already improved, etc., is hereby repealed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Extensions of Time.

Supervisor Marks presented:

Resolution No. 27215 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That L. J. Cohn be and is hereby granted an extension of sixty days' time from and after May 5, 1927, within which to complete the improvement of Kirkham street between Forty-second and Forty-third avenues. The work is progressing and this is a first extension.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, —16.

Absent—Supervisors Badaracco, Bath—2.

Also, Resolution No. 27216 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That E. J. Treacy be and is hereby granted an extension of thirty days' time from and after May 3, 1927, within which to complete the improvement of Evans avenue between Rankin and Napoleon streets. This extension of time is granted due to contractor being delayed by weather conditions.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, —16.

Absent—Supervisors Badaracco, Bath—2.

Also, Resolution No. 27217 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That M. J. Treacy be and is hereby granted an extension of ninety days' time from and after April 11, 1927, within which to complete the improvement of Twenty-ninth avenue between Judah and Kirkham streets. This extension is granted for the reason that the contractor has been delayed due to waiting for excessive fill to settle.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd, —16.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath—2.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Ordering Street Work.

On motion of Supervisor Marks:

Bill No. 8022, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the people of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors April 20, 1927, having recommended the ordered of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Kirkham street between Forty-eighth avenue and the Great Highway, by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of an 8-inch ironstone pipe sewer with accompanying manhole, Y branches and side-sewers, along the center line of Kirkham street from a point 20 feet westerly from the westerly line of Forty-eighth avenue to the existing sewer in the Great Highway; and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and 1½-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Also, Bill No. 8023, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the people of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the clerk of the Board of Supervisors April 20, 1927, having recommended the ordering the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessmenht to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year form the time of the payment of the proceeding installment and the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Kirkham street between the easterly line of Forty-first avenue and Forty-second avenue, including the crossing of Forty-first avenue and Kirkham street, by grading to official line and grade; by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of an 18-inch ironstone pipe sewer with accompanying manholes, Y branches and side-sewers; by the construction of 8-inch and 15-inch ironstone pipe sewers; by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks of the full official width on the angular corners thereof; by the construction of the necessary catchbasins with accompanying 10-inch ironstone pipe culverts, and by the

construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and a 1½-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface, on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Action Deferred.

The following resolution, heretofore recommended by the Streets Committee, was, on motion, *laid over one week*:

Plan to Terminate Bay Shore Highway at Broadway.

Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, it is understood that the present plan of the State Highway Commission is to terminate the Bayshore Highway at Broadway in Burlingame; and

Whereas, it is believed important that this highway be extended into San Mateo, which would provide several paved laterals between the State Highway (El Camino Real) and the new Bayshore Highway, three of which would pass under the Southern Pacific tracks through under-passes already constructed, and two of which extend to the Skyline boulevard; now therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco respectfully requests that the State Highway Commission of the State of California appoint a date on which all interested parties in this matter be given a hearing; and be it

Further Resolved, That it is not the wish of this Board that the present plans of the State Highway Commission for the completion of this highway to Burlingame, for which funds are available, be altered by this further extension.

Action Deferred.

The following was, on motion, *laid over two weeks*:

Award of Contract, Pedestrian Lane Markers.

Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Awarding contract to Union Pipe and Tank Company, 2801 Santa Fe avenue, Los Angeles, Cal., on bid submitted March 14, 1927 (Proposal No. 285), for furnishing the following, viz.: 60,000 pedestrian lane markers, price 12 cents each, f. o. b. Corporation Yard, Eleventh and Bryant streets, San Francisco, Cal.

Award of Contract, Steel Document Cases for County Clerk.

Supervisor Badaracco presented:

Resolution No. 27218 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of contract be hereby made to T. M. Hughes on bid submitted March 7, 1927 (Proposal No. 279), for furnishing the following, viz.: Steel document cases for County Clerk, City Hall.

Twenty 48-drawer steel document cases with lock; to be equal in material, construction, color, trim, finish and all other respects with case marked "City Sample" in the County Clerk's office, 481 City Hall. Drawer pulls: The pulls on drawers are to be securely affixed with rivets with round heads. Expanded rivets will not be accepted. For the sum of $2,235.40.

Resolved, That a bond in the sum of $500 be required for the faithful performance of this contract.

Resolved, That all other bids submitted hereon be rejected.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath—2.

Rejecting Bids for Rubber Hose.

Supervisor Badaracco presented:

Resolution No. 27219 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That all bids be rejected that were heretofore, on April 18, 1927 (Proposal No. 293), submitted for furnishing rubber hose for Park Commissioners.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath—2.

RECESS.

Whereupon, at the hour of 12:30 p. m., the Board took a recess until 2:30 p. m.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk.

REASSEMBLED—2:30 P. M.

The Board of Supervisors reassembled at the hour of 2:30 p. m.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Ronco-

vieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Quorum present.

His Honor Mayor Rolph being absent, Supervisor McSheehy was called to the chair.

SPECIAL ORDER—11:30 A. M.

The following matter, laid over from the morning session, was taken up:

Notice of Confirmation of Sale.

Notice is hereby given that on Monday, April 4, 1927, at the hour of 10 a. m., the Board of Supervisors, in meeting assembled, will take up for consideration the matter of confirming the sale of certain parcels of land, private sale of which was duly authorized by Ordinance No. 7387 (New Series), to-wit:

Parcels "A," "B" and "C," Meyer Bros., a corporation, $11,635.

Parcel "A"—Beginning at the point of intersection of the northerly line of Twenty-ninth street with the westerly line of Kenyon avenue; thence along said northerly line of Twenty-ninth street south 85 deg. 24 min. 56 sec. west 560 feet to the easterly line of Stanford Heights avenue; thence along said easterly line of Stanford Heights avenue north 4 deg. 35 min. 4 sec. west 228 feet to the southerly line of Valley street; thence along said southerly line of Valley street north 85 deg. 24 min. 56 sec. east 216.295 feet; thence south 58 deg. 36 min. east 145.267 feet; thence along the arc of a curve to the left with a radius of 545 feet tangent to the preceding course southeasterly 227.972 feet; thence tangent to the preceding course south 82 deg. 34 min. east 19.846 feet to the aforesaid westerly line of Kenyon avenue; thence along said westerly line of Kenyon avenue south 4 deg. 35 min. 4 sec. east 46.459 feet to the point of beginning. Being part of Block 60, Stanford Heights, and containing 2.071 acres.

Parcel "B"—Beginning at the point of intersection of the easterly line of Kenyon avenue with the northerly line of Twenty-ninth street; thence along said easterly line of Kenyon avenue north 4 deg. 35 min. 4 sec. west 31.822 feet; thence south 82 deg. 34 min. east 152.831 feet to the aforesaid northerly line of Twenty-ninth street; thence along said northerly line of Twenty-ninth street south 85 deg. 24 min. 56 sec. west 149.482 feet to the point of beginning. Being part of Block 61, Stanford Heights, and containing 0.055 acres.

Parcel "C"—Beginning at the point of intersection of the northerly line of Thirty-first street with the westerly line of Burnett avenue, thence along said northerly line of Thirty-first street south 85 deg. 24 min. 56 sec. west 560 feet to the easterly line of Twin Peaks avenue; thence along said easterly line of Twin Peaks avenue north 4 deg. 35 min. 4 sec. west 228 feet to the southerly line of Clarkson street; thence along said southerly line of Clarkson street north 85 deg. 24 min. 56 sec. east 413.101 feet; thence south 26 deg. 16 min. east 71.833 feet and south 44 deg. 36 min. east 187.186 feet to the aforesaid westerly line of Burnett avenue; thence along said westerly line of Burnett avenue south 4 deg. 35 min. 4 sec. east 17.889 feet to the point of beginning. Being part of Block 90, Stanford Heights, and containing 2.528 acres.

If at said meeting an offer of 10 per cent more in amount than that hereinabove named shall be made to the Supervisors in writing by a responsible person, the Supervisors will confirm such sale to the above-named persons or order a new sale, in conformity with the provisions of the Charter; otherwise said sale to Meyer Bros., a corporation, for $11,635, will be confirmed for the price hereinabove stated.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,

Clerk of the Board of Supervisors.

Dated March 9, 1927.

On April 4, 1927, N. V. Doran filed offer of $14,000, with certified check, payable to Hanley Realty Company, for $1,750.

Privilege of the Floor.

Mr. Hanley, representing the Hanley Realty Company, was granted the privilege of the floor and addressed the Board as to the values of properties involved in the proposed sale.

Jos. Phillips, representing the City Engineer's office, explained his negotiations in the matter with Meyer Bros, subdivision promoters.

Mr. Hutchinson, subdivision engineer, and *Mr. Woodward*, secretary of the City Planning Commission, were also heard.

Whereupon, the foregoing property was struck off and sold to Meyer Bros. for $18,000 and the following bill was *passed for printing* by the following vote:

Bill No. 8026, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Confirming the sale of lands owned by the City and County of San Francisco and situate in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California.

Whereas, by Ordinance No. 7387 (New Series), approved January 28, 1927, the Board of Supervisors determined that public interest and necessity demanded the sale of the lands hereinafter described, and by said ordinance directed the Mayor of the City and County of San Francisco to sell all of said lands at private sale, and directed that notice of said sale be given for two weeks successively next before the sale, as required by law; and

Whereas, the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors thereafter proceeded to publish notice of said sale in the official newspaper and one other daily newspaper published in the City and County of San Francisco, for two weeks successively next before said sale was directed to be made, describing the lands to be sold therein with common certainty and stating the date on which said sale would be made, as specified in Ordinance No. 7387 (New Series), and that all bids or offers would be received by the Mayor at his office in the City Hall, City and County of San Francisco, State of California, on March 3, 1927; and

Whereas, the Mayor, the Assessor and the Chairman of the Finance Committee, being the Board of Appraisers constituted by the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco for such purpose, thereafter duly met and made an appraisement of said lands and fixed the fair value thereof, and reported said appraisement to the Board of Supervisors in writing; and

Whereas, thereafter, and on the 3d day of March, 1927, at private sale, the Mayor of the City and County of San Francisco sold said parcels of land to the following named corporation, Meyer Bros., a corporation, for $11,635, and accepted from said corporation a deposit in the amount of ten per cent or more of the amount bid, and thereafter, and at the next meeting of the Board of Supervisors, March 7, 1927, duly notified the Board of Supervisors in writing of the fact of such sale, stating the amount bid, the name of the bidder, and requesting that the Board confirm the sale; and

Whereas, the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors immediately thereupon proceeded to give notice by publication in the official newspaper and one other newspaper published in the City and County, for a period of 20 days from and after the 9th day of March, 1927, that at a meeting of the Board of Supervisors to be held on the 4th day of April, 1927, the matter of said sale would come up for confirmation, stating also in said notice the fact of the sale and amount for which the lands had been sold, as aforesaid, and the name of the purchaser, and also stating that if at such meeting on the 4th day of April, 1927, an offer of 10 per cent more in amount than that named in said notice should be made to the Supervisors in writing by a responsible person, the Supervisors would confirm such sale to such person or order a new sale; and

Whereas, the said confirmation of sale of said property was taken up on the 4th day of April, 1927, and, upon motion in the Board of Supervisors, action thereon was postponed until May 2, 1927; and

Whereas, May 2, 1927, having now arrived and a higher bid has been obtained, namely, $18,000, and it appearing to the Board of Supervisors that the said amount is not disproportionate to the value of the property sold and that a greater amount cannot be obtained; therefore,

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. That said sale of said lands hereinafter described is hereby ratified, approved and confirmed, and the Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors are hereby authorized to execute and deliver to said purchaser, upon payment of the balance of the purchase price, a good and sufficient conveyance in the name of the City and County of San Francisco, conveying all the right, title and interest of the City and County of San Francisco in and to the lands sold as aforesaid, and more particularly described as follows, to-wit:

All those certain lots, pieces or parcels of land in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, described as follows:

Parcel "A": Beginning at the point of intersection of the northerly line of Twenty-ninth street with the westerly line of Kenyon avenue, thence along said northerly line of Twenty-ninth street south 85 deg. 24 min. 56 sec. west 560 feet to the easterly line of Stanford Heights avenue; thence along said easterly line of Stanford Heights avenue north 4 deg. 35 min. 4 sec. west 228 feet to the southerly line of Valley street; thence along said southerly line of Valley street north 85 deg. 24 min. 56 sec. east 216.295 feet; thence south 58 deg. 36 min. east 145.267 feet; thence along the arc of a curve to the left with a radius of 545 feet, tangent to

the preceding course southeasterly 227.972 feet; thence tangent to the preceding course south 82 deg. 34 min. east 19.846 feet to the aforesaid westerly line of Kenyon avenue; thence along said westerly line of Kenyon avenue south 4 deg. 35 min. 4 sec. east 46.459 feet to the point of beginning.

Being part of Block 60, Stanford Heights, and containing 2.071 acres.

Parcel "B": Beginning at the point of intersection of the easterly line of Kenyon avenue with the northerly line of Twenty-ninth street, thence along said easterly line of Kenyon avenue north 4 deg. 35 min. 4 sec. west 31.822 feet; thence south 82 deg. 34 min. east 152.831 feet to the aforesaid northerly line of Twenty-ninth street; thence along said northerly line of Twenty-ninth street south 85 deg. 24 min. 56 sec. west 149.482 feet to the point of beginning.

Being part of Block 61, Stanford Heights, and containing 0.055 acres.

Parcel "C": Beginning at the point of intersection of tne northerly line of Thirty-first street with the westerly line of Burnett avenue, thence along said northerly line of Thirty-first street south 85 deg. 24 min. 56 sec. west 560 feet to the easterly line of Twin Peaks avenue; thence along said easterly line of Twin Peaks avenue north 4 deg. 35 min. 4 sec. west 228 feet to the southerly line of Clarkson street; thence along said southerly line of Clarkson street north 85 deg. 24 min. 56 sec. east 413.101 feet; thence south 26 deg. 16 min. east 71.833 feet and south 44 deg. 36 min. east 187.186 feet to the aforesaid westerly line of Burnett avenue; thence along said westerly line of Burnett avenue south 4 deg. 35 min. 4 sec. east 17.889 feet to the point of beginning.

Being part of Block 90, Stanford Heights, and containing 2.528 acres.

Section 2. The City Attorney is hereby directed to prepare the necessary conveyance and supervise the delivery of deed, upon payment of the purchase price, as aforesaid.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Bada[illegible] Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Ha[illegible] Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shann[illegible] Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Whereupon, on motion of Supervisor Byington, the certified check of the Hanley Realty Company was ordered returned.

NEW BUSINESS.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Appropriation, $25,000, American Red Cross Relief, Mississippi Valley Flood Sufferers.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $25,000 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of General Fund, Fiscal Year 1926-1927, and authorized in payment to the American Red Cross for the relief of the Mississippi Valley flood sufferers.

Amendment.

Supervisor Colman moved as an amendment that the amount be increased to $50,000.

Privilege of the Floor.

M. Esberg, representing the San Francisco Chapter of the Red Cross, was granted the privilege of the floor and urged the necessity of San Francisco appropriating its allotment of $50,000.

Supervisor Colman, who made the motion to increase the amount to $50,000, as well as other members of the Board, pointed out that since the meeting last week the extent of the devastation and attendant need for funds had doubled.

Amendment Accepted.

Whereupon, Supervisor Colman's amendment was accepted by the Chairman and members of the Finance Committee.

Thereupon, the resolution, amended as follows, was *passed for printing*:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $50,000 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of General Fund, Fiscal Year 1926-1927, and authorized in payment to the American Red Cross for the relief of the Mississippi Valley flood sufferers.

City Expenses to Be Curtailed.

On motion of Supervisor Roncovieri, adopted by the Board, it was provided that whereas the Finance Committee has stated that the appropriation of $50,000 for the benefit of the Mississippi Valley flood sufferers will create a deficit in the General Fund, that the various departments of the City government be requested to curtail their expenditures wherever possible and that the Finance Committee notify each department of the pro rata part of the deficit it shall stand.

Ratifying Publication of Notice Soliciting Offers for Sale of Street Railways to City and County.

The following was presented and *passed for printing* by the following vote:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, By the Board of Supervisors, as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco does hereby ratify, approve and confirm the publication of "Notice solociting offers for the sale of street railways to the City and County," as set forth in Resolution No. 26943 (New Series) be deemed, and it is hereby declared to have been sufficiently published by the publication of said notice in Resolution No. 26943 (New Series) on the 21st day of March, 1927, and the subsequent publications of the notice set forth in the resolution referred to, and that the mailing of "Notice soliciting offers for the sale of street railways to the City and County" to the Market Street Railway Company of San Francisco, by the Clerk of this Board, on the 22d day of March, 1927, enclosing a copy of notice soliciting offers for the sale of street railways to the City and County in an envelope addressed to the Market Street Railway Company, San Francisco, California, with postage prepaid on said envelope, and depositing the envelope in the United States mail, on the 22d day of March, 1927, and it being known to the Board of Supervisors that the Market Street Railway Company is the nearest existing street railway or system of street railways in the approximate district of the proposed extensions of the Municipal Railways, is hereby declared to have been sufficient notice to the Market Street Railway Company and a compliance with the provisions of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco and Resolution No. 26943 (New Series) for the giving of "Notice soliciting offers for the sale of street railways to the City and County."

Section 2. This resolution shall be published in the official newspaper with the *ayes* and *noes* for at least five successive days, Sundays and legal holidays excepted, before final action upon the same.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Haydon, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Power, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Calling Special Election, Municipal Railway Bonds.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy:

Bill No. 8024, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Calling and providing for a special election to be held in the City and County of San Francisco on Tuesday, the 14th day of June, 1927, for the purpose of submitting to the voters of the City and County of San Francisco a proposition to incur a bonded debt of the city and County of San Francisco to the amount of four million seven hundred thousand ($4,700,000) dollars for the acquisition, construction and completion of a public utility, to-wit, the extension of the Municipal Street Railway, or system of street railways, along the route or in the territory described as follows:

From Scott and Chestnut streets into the Marina Tract, west of Scott street and north of Chestnut street; from the Municipal Railway track at Masonic avenue and Turk street into the Richmond District, connecting with the Municipal Railway tracks running to the Ocean Beach; from the Municipal Railway tracks on Market street at Duboce avenue, through the Sunset-Duboce Tunnel into the Sunset District and through the Sunset District to a terminal near the Ocean Beach, with connecting cross-town service west of Twentieth avenut between said extension and the existing Municipal Railway line on Taraval street; from the Municipal Railway tracks at Forty-sixth avenue and Taraval street, southerly to a terminal near Wawona street and Forty-seventh avenue; from the Municipal Railway tracks at Thirtieth and Church streets, southwesterly through the Bernal Cut, into the Excelsior District, at or near Excelsior street; thence southerly through the Excelsior District to a terminal at Geneva avenue; from Seventeenth street and Market street in a southwesterly direction to, at or near Eureka street and Market street; thence in a southerly direction on and over Eureka street or other most feasible route to Twenty-third street at or near Eureka street; thence in an easterly direction on and over Twenty-third street or other most feasible route to Diamond street; thence in a southerly direction on or over Diamond street or other most feasible route to Twenty-ninth street; an automobile bus service from the vicinity of Seventeenth

street and Potrero avenue by the most feasible route to the vicinity of Twenty-third street and Wisconsin street, and for such other extensions and additions to the trackage and facilities of the said Municipal Railway system as may be deemed necessary, and to include equipment thereof, appurtenances thereto and the purchase of necessary lands.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. A special election is hereby called and ordered to be held in the City and County of San Francisco on Tuesday, the 14th day of June, 1927, for the purpose of submitting to the electors of said City and County the following proposition to-wit: To incur a bonded debt of the City and County of San Francisco to the amount of four million seven hundred thousand ($4,700,000) dollars for the purpose of the acquisition, construction and completion of a public utility, to-wit, the extension of the Municipal Street Railway or system of street railways along the route or in the territory described as follows: From Scott and Chestnut streets into the Marina Tract, west of Scott street and north of Chestnut street; from the Municipal Railway tracks at Masonic avenue and Turk street into the Richmond District, connecting with the Municipal Railway tracks running to the Ocean Beach; from the Municipal Railway tracks on Market street at Duboce avenue through the Sunset-Duboce Tunnel into the Sunset District, and through the Sunset District to a terminal near the Ocean Beach, with connecting crosstown service west of Twentieth avenue between said extension and the existing Municipal Railway line on Taraval street; from the Municipal Railway tracks at Forty-sixth avenue and Taraval street, southerly to a terminal near Wawona street and Forty-seventh avenue; from the Municipal Railway tracks at Thirtieth and Church streets, southwesterly through the Bernal Cut into the Excelsior District at or near Excelsior street, thence southerly through the Excelsior District to a terminal at Geneva avenue; from Seventeenth street and Market street in a southwesterly direction to, at or near Eureka street and Market street; thence in a southerly direction on and over Eureka street or other most feasible route to Twenty-third street at or near Eureka street; thence in an easterly direction on and over Twenty-third street or other most feasible route to Diamond street, thence in a southerly direction on or over Diamond street or other most feasible route to Twenty-ninth street: an automobile bus service from the vicinity of Seventeenth street and Poterero avenue by the most feasible route to the vicinity of Twenty-third street and Wisconsin street, and for such other extensions and additions to the trackage and facilities of the said Municipal Railway system as may be deemed necessary, and to include equipment thereof, appurtenances thereto and the purchase of necessary lands.

Section 2. The estimated cost of the acquisition, construction and completion of the public utility described herein was by plans and estimates of the Board of Public Works procured through the City Engineer and filed with the Board of Supervisors on the —— day of ————, 1927, and was and is fixed by Bill No. ——, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), in the sum of four million seven hundred thousand ($4,700,000) dollars.

Section 3. By Bill No. ——, Ordinance No. —— (New Series) it was declared that no part of the said sum of four million seven hundred thousand ($4,700,000) dollars could be paid out of the annual revenue of the City and County in addition to the other necessary expenses thereof or other funds derived from taxes levied for that purpose and will require the incurring of a bonded debt to the amount of four million seven hundred thousand ($4,700,000) dollars for the purposes herein recited. The method and manner of payment of the estimated cost of said described public utility are by the issuance of bonds of the City and County of San Francisco to the amount required therefor and the application of the proceeds arising from the sale thereof to defray the cost of the acquisition, construction and completion of said public utility herein described.

Section 4. The special election hereby called and ordered to be held and conducted and the votes thereat received and canvassed, and the returns thereof made, and the result thereof ascertained, determined and declared as herein provided and according to the laws of the State of California providing for and governing elections in the City and County of San Francisco, and the polls for such election shall be and remain open during the time required by said laws.

Section 5. The ballots to be used

at said special election shall be such as may be required by law to be used thereat and, in addition to any other matter required by law to be printed thereon, shall appear thereon the following:

"Municipal Railway Extension"—To incur a bonded indebtedness in the sum of four million seven hundred thousand ($4,700,000) dollars for the acquisition, construction and completion of a public utility, consisting of the extension of the Municipal Street Railway or system of street railways along the route or in the territory described as follows: From Scott and Chestnut streets into the Marina Tract, west of Scott street and north of Chestnut street; from the Municipal Railway track at Masonic avenue and Turk street into the Richmond District, connecting with the Municipal Railway tracks running to the Ocean Beach; from the Municipal Railway tracks on Market street at Duboce avenue, through the Sunset-Duboce Tunnel into the Sunset District and through the Sunset District to a terminal near the Ocean Beach, with connecting crosstown service west of Twentieth avenue between said extension and the existing Municipal Railway line on Taraval street; from the Muncipal Railway tracks at Forty-sixth avenue and Taraval street, southerly to a terminal near Wawona street and Forty-seventh avenue; from the Municipal Railway tracks at Thirtieth and Church streets, southwesterly through the Bernal Cut, into the Excelsior District, at or near Excelsior street; thence southerly through the Excelsior District to a terminal at Geneva avenue; from Seventeenth street and Market street in a southwesterly direction to, at or near Eureka street and Market street; thence in a southerly direction on and over Eureka street or other most feasible route to Twenty-third street at or near Eureka street; thence in an easterly direction on and over Twenty-third street or other most feasible route to Diamond street; thence in a southerly direction on or over Diamond street or other most feasible route to Twenty-ninth street; an automobile bus service from the vicinity of Seventeenth street and Potrero avenue by the most feasible route to the vicinity of Twenty-third street and Wisconsin street, and for such other extensions and additions to the trackage and facilities of the said Municipal Railway system as may be deemed necessary, and to include equipment thereof, appurtenances thereto and the purchase of necessary lands.

To vote for the proposition and thereby authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness to the amount of and for the purpose stated herein stamp a cross (X) in the blank space to the right of the word "Yes". To vote against the proposition and thereby refuse to authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness to the amount of and for the purpose stated herein stamp a cross (X) in the blank space to the right of the word "No."

YES	
NO	

Bonds issued for the acquisition, construction and completion of the public utility herein described shall bear interest at the rate of 4½ per centum per annum, payable semi-annually.

Section 6. Where voting machines are used at said special election the said voting machines shall be so arranged that any qualified elector may vote for the proposition by pulling down a lever over the word "Yes" under or near a statement of the proposed proposition appeaaring on cardboard, paper or other material placed on the front of the machine and said act shall constitute a vote for the proposition, and by pulling down a lever over the word "No" under or near a statement of the proposed proposition appearing on cardboard, paper or other material placed on the front of the machine shall constitute a vote against the proposition. Said voting machines and the preparation of the same are to be used in accordance with the provisions of Chapter 96 of the Statutes of 1923.

Each cross (X) stamped in the square to the right of the word "Yes" appearing on the printed ballot, where printed ballots are used, shall constitute a vote in favor of and to authorized the incurring of a bonded indebtedness for the purpose set forth in the proposition and each cross (X) stamped in the square to the right of the word "No" shall be counted as a vote not in favor of, and a refusal to authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness for the purpose set forth in the proposition.

Section 7. The election precincts and the numbers, names and boundaries thereof for said special election and the places of voting and

the officers to conduct such elections and all other necessary proceedings in that behalf shall be respectively defined, designated, selected, appointed and had by the Board of Election Commissioners of the City and County of San Francisco, and said Board is hereby authorized and directed to procure and provide all supplies that may be necessary to properly and lawfully conduct such special election.

When the polls are closed the officers of election shall count the ballots cast at such election and canvass the votes cast, respectively, for and against the proposition herein stated and make return thereof in time, form and manner required for the counting, canvassing and returning of votes cast at other municipal elections held in the City and County of San Francisco. The Board of Election Commissioners shall, as soon as the said returns and ballots have been received by said Board, canvass said returns and declare the result thereof in the manner provided by law for canvassing returns and declaring results in other elections, and shall also certify said results to the Board of Supervisors.

Section 8. If, at such special election, it shall appear that two-thirds of all the votes cast thereat were in favor of and authorized the incurring of a bonded debt for the purpose set forth in said proposition, then such proposition shall be deemed to have been accepted by the electors and bonds will be issued to defray the cost of the public utility described therein. Such bonds shall be of the form and character known as "serials". All of said bonds shall be dated July 1, 1927, shall bear interest at the rate of 4½ per centum per annum, payable semi-annually, shall be of the denomination of one thousand dollars each, and the principal and interest thereof shall be payable in gold coin of the United States. Said bonds shall be called "Municipal Railway Extension Bonds."

Bonds issued for the purpose stated shall be numbered from 1 to 4700, both inclusive, and shall be payable one hundred eighty-eight thousand ($188,000) dollars thereof eight years from the date of said bonds, beginning with the lowest numbers, and one hundred eighty-eight thousand ($188,000) dollars thereof of the next higher numbers on the same day in each succeeding year until all of said bonds shall be paid.

Section 9. Said bonds and coupons shall be in substantially the following form:

The United States of America,
State of California,
City and County of San Francisco.
Municipal Railway Extension Bond.
No. ———— $1,000.00

For value received the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of California, hereby acknowledges itself indebted and promises to pay to the bearer, on the first day of ————, 19—, one thousand dollars, with interest thereon at the rate of 4½ per centum per annum, payable semi-annually January 1 and July 1, on presentation and surrender of the coupons hereto attached as they respectively become due, both principal and interest being payable in gold coin of the United States at the office of the Treasurer of said City and County, or, at the option of the holder, at the fiscal agency of said City and County of San Francisco in the City and State of New York.

This bond is issued under and pursuant to the Constitution and statutes of the State of California and the Charter of said City and County of San Francisco and amendments thereto, and under and pursuant to ordinances and proceedings of said City and County duly adopted and taken, and a vote and assent of more than two-thirds of all the qualified electors of said City and County voting at a special election duly and legally called and held for that purpose.

It is hereby certified, recited and declared that all acts, conditions and things required by law to exist, happen and be performed precedent to and in the issuance of this bond have existed, happened and been performed in due time, form and manner as required by law, and that the amount of this bond, together with all other indebtedness of said City and County, does not exceed any limit prescribed by the Constitution or statutes of said State or Charter of said City and County, and that provision has been made as required by the Constitution and statutes of said State and the Charter of said City and County for the collection of an annual tax sufficient to pay the interest on this bond as it falls due, and also provision to constitute a sinking fund for the payment of the principal of this bond on or before maturity. The full faith and credit of said City and County are hereby pledged

for the punctual payment of the principal and interest of this bond.

This bond may be converted into a registered bond upon presentation to the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco, in which event such Treasurer shall cut off and cancel the coupons of this bond, and shall sign a statement stamped, printed or written upon the back or face of the bond to the effect that this bond is registered in the name of the owner and that thereafter the interest and principal of this bond are payable to the registered owner. Thereafter and from time to time this bond may be transferred by such registered owner in person or by attorney duly authorized on presentation of this bond to the Treasurer, and the bond be again registered as before, a similar statement being stamped, printed or written thereon.

This bond is exempt from all taxation within the State of California.

In witness whereof, said City and County of San Francisco has caused this bond to be executed under its corporate seal, signed by its Mayor and Treasurer, and countersigned by its Auditor, and has caused the interest coupons hereto attached to be signed with the engraved or lithographed signature of its Treasurer, and this bond to be dated the first day of July, 1927.

....., Mayor.

....., Treasurer.

Countersigned:

....., Auditor.

Form of Coupon.

No..... $.....

On ———— 1, 19——, the City and County of San Francisco, California, will pay to bearer, at the office of the Treasurer of said City and County, or, at the option of the holder, at the fiscal agency of the City and County of San Francisco in the City and State of New York, ———— dollars ($————) in gold coin of the United States, being six months' interest then due on its bond dated July 1, 1927, number ————

....., Treasurer.

Form of Registration.

San Francisco, ————, 19—

This bond is registered pursuant to Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, in the name of ————, and the interest and principal thereof are hereafter payable to such owner.

....., Treasurer.

Section 10. The amount of tax levy to be made for the payment of said four million seven hundred thousand dollars bonds issued under said proposition shall be the sum of two hundred eleven thousand five hundred dollars for the first eight years from the date of said bonds to pay the annual interest on said bonds, and in season to pay such interest as it becomes due, and for the ninth year after the date of said bonds the sum of two hundred three thousand forty dollars to pay and in season to pay the interest on such of said bonds as remain outstanding after the one hundred eighty-eight thousand dollars thereof due eight years from their date have been paid, and for the tenth year after the date of said bonds the sum of one hundred ninety-four thousand five hundred eighty dollars to pay and in season to pay the interest on such of said bonds as remain outstanding after the one hundred eighty-eight thousand dollars thereof due nine years from their date have been paid, and so on, a sum each year for twenty-two succeeding years until said bonds are all paid, sufficient for interest and in season to pay interest on all of said bonds outstanding, which sum for interest will diminish each year by the amount of eight thousand four hundred sixty dollars by reason of the payment each year beginning eight years from date of said bonds of one hundred eighty-eight thousand dollars of said bonds, and the sum of one hundred eighty-eight thousand dollars each year beginning seven years from the date of said bonds to pay and in season to pay the principal of such bonds as they respectively become due, and continuing each succeeding year for twenty-four years until the principal of all of said bonds has been paid.

The purpose and intent of the foregoing tax provisions are, and it is hereby expressly provided, that at the time of levying the municipal tax, and in the manner provided for such tax levy, the Supervisors shall levy and collect annually a tax sufficient to pay the annual interest on such bonds, and also such part of the bonded indebtedness as will fall due within the succeeding fiscal year. Such taxes shall be in addition to all other taxes levied for municipal purposes, and shall be collected at the same time and in the same manner as other municipal taxes are collected.

Section 11. This ordinance shall be published for at least ten days in the official newspaper, and at the expiration of said ten days notice

of such special election shall be given and published as required by law.

Section 12. This ordinance is the third of a series of ordinances which will be adopted by the Board of Supervisors relating to and designed to secure the acquisition, construction and completion of the public utility named.

Section 13. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Calling Special Election, Bonds for Purchase of Spring Valley Water Company.

Also, Bill No. 8025, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Calling and providing for a special election to be held in the City and County of San Francisco on Tuesday, the 14th day of June, 1927, for the purpose of submitting to the voters of the City and County of San Francisco a proposition to incur a bonded debt of the City and County of San Francisco to the amount of forty million ($40,000,000) dollars for the acquisition, construction and completion of a public utility, to-wit, all of the property, or such portion thereof as may be deemed necessary, water rights, rights of way, storage reservoirs, water works, water supply, pumping plants, lands within and without the confines of the City and County of San Francisco that shall be deemed necessary, and distributing system of the Spring Valley Water Company, a public utility, now distributing water within the City and County of San Francisco, used or useful in supplying water to the City and County of San Francisco and to its inhabitants, to be owned and controlled by the City and County of San Fancisco for supplying water to said City and County and to the inhabitants thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. A special election is hereby called and ordered to be held in the City and County of San Francisco on Tuesday, the 14th day of June, 1927, for the purpose of submitting to the electors of said City and County the following proposition, to-wit: To incur a bonded debt of the City and County of San Francisco to the amount of forty million ($40,000,000) dollars for the purpose of the acquisition, construction and completion of a public utility, to-wit: All of the property, or such portion thereof as may be deemed necessary, water rights, rights of way, storage reservois, water works, water supply, pumping plants, lands within and without the confines of the City and County of San Francisco that shall be deemed necessary, and distributing system of the Spring Valley Water Company, a public utility, now distributing water within the City and County of San Francisco, used or sueful in supplying water to the City and County of San Francisco and to its inhabitants, to be owned and controlled by the City and County of San Francisco for supplying water to said City and County and to the inhabitants thereof.

Section 2. The estimated cost of the acquisition, construction and completion of the public utility described herein was by plans and estimates of the Board of Public Works procured through the City Engineer and filed with the Board of Supervisors on the.....day of....., 1927, and was and is fixed by Bill No. ....., Ordinance No. ..... (New Series), in the sum of forty million ($40,000,000) dollars.

Section 3. By Bill No. ....., Ordinance No. ..... (New Series), it was declared that no part of the said sum of forty million ($40,000,000) dollars could be paid out of the annual revenue of the City and County in addition to the other necessary expenses thereof or other funds derived from taxes levied for that purpose and will require the incurring of a bonded debt to the amount of forty million ($40,000,000) dollars for the purposes herein recited. The method and manner of payment of the estimated cost of said described public utility are by the issuance of bonds of the City and County of San Francisco to the amount required therefor and the application of the proceeds arising from the sale thereof to defray the cost of acquisition, construction and completion of said public utility herein described.

Section 4. The special election hereby called and ordered to be held shall be held and conducted, and the votes thereat received and canvassed, and the returns thereof made, and the result thereof ascertained, determined and declared as herein provided and according to the laws of the State of California providing for and governing elections in the City and County of San Francisco, and the polls for such election shall be and remain open

during the time required by said laws.

Section 5. The ballots to be used at said special election shall be such as may be required by law to be used thereat, and, in addition to any other matter required by law to be printed thereon, shall appear thereon the following:

"Spring Valley"—To incur a bonded indebtedness in the sum of forty million ($40,000,000) dollars for the acquisition, construction and completion of a public utility, to-wit: All of the property, or such portion thereof as may be deemed necessary, water rights, rights of way, storage reservoirs, water works, water supply, pumping plants, lands within and without the confines of the City and County of San Francisco that shall be deemed necessary, and distributing system of the Spring Valley Water Company, a public utility, now distributing water within the City and County of San Francisco, used or useful in supplying water to the City and County of San Francisco and to its inhabitants, to be owned and controlled by the City and County of San Francisco for supplying water to said City and County and to the inhabitants thereof.

To vote for the proposition and thereby authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness to the amount of and for the purpose stated herein stamp a cross (X) in the blank space to the right of the word "Yes." To vote against the proposition and thereby refuse to authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness to the amount of and for the purpose stated herein stamp a cross (X) in the blank space to the right of the word "No."

YES	
NO	

Bonds issued for the acquisition, construction and completion of the public utility herein described shall bear interest at the rate of 4½ per centum per annum, payable semi-annually.

Section 6. Where voting machines are used at said special election the said voting machines shall be so arranged that any qualified elector may vote for the proposition by pulling down a lever over the word "Yes" under or near a statement of the proposed proposition appearing on cardboard, paper or other material placed on the front of the machine and said act shall constitute a vote for the proposition, and by pulling down a lever over the word "No" under or near a statement of the proposed proposition appearing on cardboard, paper or other material placed on the front of the machine shall constitute a vote against the proposition. Said voting machines and the preparation of the same are to be used in accordance with the provisions of Chapter 96 of the Statutes of 1923.

Each cross (X) stamped in the square to the right of the word "Yes" appearing on the printed ballot, where printed ballots are used, shall constitute a vote in favor of and to authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness for the purpose set forth in the proposition and each cross (X) stamped in the square to the right of the word "No" shall be counted as a vote not in favor of, and a refusal to authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness for the purpose set forth in the proposition.

Section 7. The election precincts and the numbers, names and boundaries thereof for said special election, and the places of voting, and the officers to conduct such election, and all other necessary proceedings in that behalf, shall be respectively defined, designated, selected, appointed and had by the Board of Election Commissioners of the City and County of San Francisco, and said Board is hereby authorized and directd to procure and provide all supplies that may be necessary to properly and lawfully conduct such special election.

When the polls are closed the officers of election shall count the ballots cast at such election, and canvass the votes cast respectively for and against the proposition herein stated and make return thereof in time, form and manner required for the counting, canvassing and returning of votes cast at other municipal elections held in the City and County of San Francisco. The Board of Election Commissioners shall, as soon as the said returns and ballots have been received by said Board, canvass said returns and declare the result thereof in the manner provided by law for canvassing returns and declaring results in other elections, and shall also certify said results to the Board of Supervisors.

Section 8. If, at such special election, it shall appear that two-thirds of all the votes cast thereat were in favor of and authorized the incurring of a bonded debt for the purpose set forth in said proposi-

tion, then such proposition shall be deemed to have been accepted by the electors and bonds will be issued to defray the cost of the public utility described therein. Such bonds shall be of the form and character known as "serials." All of said bonds shall be dated July 1, 1927, shall bear interest at the rate of 4½ per centum per annum, payable semi-annually, shall be of the denomination of one thousand dollars ecah, and the principal and interest thereof shall be payable in gold coin of the United States. Said bonds shall be called "Spring Valley Bonds."

Bonds issued for the purpose stated shall be numbered from 1 to 40,000, both inclusive, and shall be payable one million ($1,000,000) dollars thereof five years from the date of said bonds, beginning with the lowest numbers, and one million ($1,000,000) dollars thereof of the next higher numbers on the same day in each succeeding year until all of said bonds shall be paid.

Section 9. Said bonds and coupons shall be in substantially the following form:

The United States of America.
State of California.
City and County of San Francisco.
"Spring Valley Bond."

No. ——— $1,000.00

For value received, the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of California, hereby acknowledges itself indebted and promises to pay to the bearer, on the first day of ———, 19—, one thousand dollars, with interest thereon at the rate of 4½ per centum per annum, payable semi-annually January 1 and July 1 on presentation and surrender of the coupons hereto attached as they respectively become due, both principal and interest being payable in gold coin of the United States, at the office of the Treasurer of said City and County, or, at the option of the holder, at the fiscal agency of said City and County of San Francisco in the City and State of New York.

This bond is issued under and pursuant to the Constitution and statutes of the State of California and the Charter of said City and County of San Francisco and amendments thereto, and under and pursuant to ordinances and proceedings of said City and County duly adopted and taken, and a vote and assent of more than two-thirds of all the qualified electors of said City and County voting at a special election duly and legally called and held for that purpose.

It is hereby certified, recited and declared that all acts, conditions and things required by law to exist, happen and be performed precedent to and in the issuance of this bond have existed, happened and been performed in due time, form and manner as required by law, and that the amount of this bond, together with all other indebtedness of said City and County, does not exceed any limit prescribed by the Constitution and statutes of said State or Charter of said City and County, and that provision has been made as required by the Constitution and statutes of said State and the Charter of said City and County for the collection of an annual tax sufficient to pay the interest on this bond as it falls due, and also provision to constitute a sinking fund for the payment of the principal of this bond on or before maturity. The full faith and credit of said City and County are hereby pledged for the punctual payment of the principal and interest of this bond.

This bond may be converted into a registered bond upon presentation to the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco, in which event such Treasurer shall cut off and cacel the coupons of this bond, and shall sign a statement stamped, printed or written upon the back or face of the bond to the effect that this bond is registered in the name of the owner and that thereafter the interest and principal of this bond are payable to the registered owner. Thereafter and from time to time this bond may be transferred by such registered owner in person or by attorney duly authorized on presentation of this bond to the Treasurer, and the bond be again registered as before, a similar statement being stamped, printed or written thereon.

This bond is exempt from all taxation within the State of California.

In witness whereof, said City and County of San Francisco has caused this bond to be executed under its corporate seal, signed by its Mayor and Treasurer, and countersigned by its Auditor, and has caused the interest coupons hereto attached to be signed with the engraved or lithographed signature of its Treas-

urer, and this bond to be dated the first day of July, 1927.

.....
Mayor.

.....
Treasurer.

Countersigned:

.....
Auditor.

Form of Coupon.

No. ———— $————

On ———— 1, 19———, the City and County of San Francisco, California, will pay to bearer at the office of the Treasurer of said City and County, or at the option of the holder at the fiscal agency of the City and County of San Francisco, in hte City and State of New York, ————, dollars ($————) in gold coin of the United States, being six months' interest then due on its bond dated July 1, 1927.

Number ————

.....
Treasurer.

Form of Registration.

San Francisco, ————, 19—.

This bond is registered pursuant to Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, in the name of ———— and the interest and principal thereof are hereafter payable to such owner.

.....
Treasurer.

Section 10. The amount of tax levy to be made for the payment of said forty million dollars bonds issued under said proposition shall be the sum of one million eight hundred thousand dollars for the first five years from the date of said bonds to pay the annual interest on said bonds and in season to pay such interest as it becomes due, and for the sixth year after date of said bonds the sum of one million seven hundred fifty-five thousand dollars to pay and in season to pay the interest on such of said bonds as remain outstanding after the one million dallors thereof due five years from their date have been paid, and for the seventh year after the date of said bonds the sum of one million seven hundred ten thousand dollars to pay and in season to pay the interest on such of said bonds as remain outstanding after the one million dollars thereof due six years from their date have been paid, and so on, a sum each year for thirty-seven succeeding years until said bonds are all paid, sufficient for interest and in season to pay interest on all of said bonds outstanding, which sum for interest will diminish each year by the amount of forty-five thousand dollars by reason of the payment each year beginning five years from date of said bonds of one million dollars of said bonds, and the sum of one million dollars each year beginning four years from the date of said bonds to pay and in season to pay the principal of such bonds as they respectively become due, and continuing each succeeding year for thirty-nine years until the principal of all of said bonds has been paid.

The purpose and intent of the foregoing tax provisions are, and it is hereby expressly provided, that at the time of levying the municipal tax, and in the manner provided for such tax levy, the Supervisors shall levy and collect annually a tax sufficient to pay the anual interest on such bonds, and also such part of the bonded indebtedness as will fall due within the succeeding fiscal year. Such taxes shall be in addition to all other taxes levied for municipal purposes, and shall be collected at the same time and in the same manner as other municipal taxes are collected.

Section 11. This ordinance shall be published for at least ten days in the official newspaper, and at the expiration of said ten days notice of such special election shall be given and published as required by law.

Section 12. This ordinance is the third of a series of ordinances which will be adopted by the Board of Supervisors relating to and designed to secure the acquisition, construction and completion of the public utility named.

Section 13. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Superfisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

City Garbage Removal.

A communication from the City Attorney in reference to the contract for garbage removal at $137 per day was *referred to the Health Committee for consideration.* A meeting will be held on Thursday afternoon of this week at 2 o'clock, at which time the matter will be considered.

Award of Contract, Rubber Water Hose.

Suervisor Badaracco presented:

Resolution No. 27220 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of contract for furnishing rubber water hose for Golden Gate Park be hereby made to Pioneer Rubber Mills on bid submitted under Quotation No.

983, May 2, 1927, viz.: 12,000 feet 1-inch rubber hose at $0.14½ per foot.

Resolved, That all other bids submitted on above be rejected.

Further Resolved, That a bond in the sum of $500 be required for the faithful performance of said contract, a warranty for a period of one year, being made a part hereof.

Note: Above award is made in consideration of delivery and warranty.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayes Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shanu Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Award of Contract, Scavenger Service, School Department.

Supervisor Badaracco presented: Resolution No. 27221 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of contract is hereby made to Louis Rossi, 762 Potrero avenue, on bid submitted May 2, 1927 (Proposal No. 295), for furnishing scavenger service for School Department during the Fiscal Year 1927-28 at a monthly payment of one dollar.

Resolved, That a bond in the sum of $2,000 be required for the faithful performance of this contract.

Resolved, That all other bids submitted hereon be rejected.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shanne Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Passed for Printing.

The following resolution was *passed for printing*:

Hospital Permit.

On motion of Supervisor Byington:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Italian Hospital and Benevolent Association of San Francisco be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at the will of the Board of Supervisors, to construct, operate and maintain a hospital on Broadway, 108 feet easterly from Van Ness avenue.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Montgomery Street Car Tracks.

Supervisor Kent presented a resolution relating to the removal of the street car tracks now on Montgomery street which he stated was more in detail than the one previously presented. It was *referred to the Public Utilities Committee.*

Mayor's Veto.

Denial of permit to Howard J. Mallen to operate an automobile supply station on the southeast corner Plymouth and Ocean avenues.

Question: Shall the resolution pass notwithstanding the objections of his Honor the Mayor?

Veto Overruled.

The question being put, his Honor the Mayor's veto was *overruled* by tme following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayde Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shan. Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Report of Building Committee on Alterations in Hall of Justice.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

San Francisco,
April 4, 1927.

Honorable Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco.

Gentlemen:

The Building Committee has expended $35,000 in making alterations in the Hall of Justice which will give to the Police Department 21,205 square feet of floor space, which is 8291 square feet more than they had previous to these alterations. This is 75 per cent extra floor space. The District Attorney will receive 3556 square feet which is 582 square feet more than he had previous to the alterations that he now has at 333 Kearny street, 3575 square feet, a difference of only 19 square feet. The Adult Probation Officer will have 1712 square feet. Previously he had 1228 square feet, a difference of 484 square feet. He now has 1482 square feet at 333 Kearny street, which is 230 square feet less than he will have in the Hall of Justice. The Public Defender will have 792 square feet. Previous to the alterations he had 456 square feet, a difference of 336 square feet. He now occupies at 333 Kearny street 827 square feet, being same as he will have in the Hall of Justice.

You will see by this report that we are giving to the three departments now occupying rooms at 333 Kearny street more floor space than they now have and we are giving to the Police Department 75 per cent more floor space than they had

previous to the alterations. The cancelation of this lease will mean a direct saving of $14,000 in next year's budget. There is no denying the fact that where buildings are four blocks apart the efficiency of the departments will be increased by having them all housed in one building.

The Building Committee has devoted a great deal of time and study to these alterations and feels that the cancelation of this lease will mean a great saving to the City and will allow the efficiency of these departments in function far better than they are now doing.

Respectfully submitted,
CHAS. POWERS,
Chairman.

Supervisor Shannon called attention to the fact that the report submitted by the Building Committee, Supervisor Powers, chairman, shows that 5804 square feet of space is being occupied by the city officials at 333 Kearny street, while the City is paying for 7902 square feet.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, the matter was *continued for two weeks to give the committee an opportunity to find out where the difference of over two thousand feet is.*

Accepting Offers to Sell Land Required for McLaren Park.

Supervisor Powers presented:

Resolution No. 27222 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, an offer has been received from the California Pacific Title and Trust Company to convey to the City and County of San Francisco certain land situate in Block No. 4, Reis Tract, required for public park purposes; and

Whereas, the price at which said parcel of land is offered is the reasonable value thereof; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the offer of the said owner to convey to the City and County of San Francisco a good and sufficient fee simple title to the following described land, free of all encumbrances, for the sum of $3,280.50 be and the same is hereby accepted, the said land being described as follows, to-wit:

Being Lots Nos. 7 and 8 in Block No. 4, Reis Tract, as per map recorded May 19, 1904, in Liber 1 of Maps, pages 241 and 242, records of the office of the County Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California.

The City Attorney is hereby directed to examine the title to said land and if the same is found to be vested in the aforesaid owner, free of all encumbrances, and that the taxes up to and including the current fiscal year are paid, and that the so-called McEnerney title has been procured or sufficient money reserved for the purpose of procuring the same, to report the result of his examination to the Board of Supervisors and also to cause a good and sufficient deed for said land to be executed and delivered to the City and County upon payment of the agreed purchase price as aforesaid. And the said ded to said land is hereby accepted.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Hayden—3.

Also, Resolution No. 27223 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, an offer has been received from the California Pacific Title and Trust Company to convey to the City and County of San Francisco certain land situate at the intersection of the northeasterly line of Harvard street and the southeasterly line of Bacon street, required for public park purposes; and

Whereas, the price at which said parcel of land is offered is the reasonable value thereof; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the offer of the said owner to convey to the City and County of San Francisco a good and sufficient fee simple title to the following described land, free of all encumbrances, for the sum of $803.50 be and the same is hereby accepted, the said land being described as follows, to-wit:

Commencing at the point of intersection of the northeasterly line of Harvard street and the southeasterly line of Bacon street, running thence southeasterly and along said line of Harvard street 100 feet; thence at a right angle northeasterly 120 feet; thence at a right angle northwesterly 100 feet to the southeasterly line of Bacon street; thence at a right angle southwesterly along said line of Bacon street 120 feet to the point of commencement. Being Lot No. 8 in Block No. 104, University Homestead Association.

The City Attorney is hereby directed to examine the title to said land and if the same is found to be vested in the aforesaid owner, free of all encumbrances, and that the taxes up to and including the cur-

rent fiscal year are paid, and that the so-called McEnerney title has been procured or sufficient money reserved for the purpose of procuring the same, to report the result of his examination to the Board of Supervisors, and also to cause a good and sufficient deed for said land to be executed and delivered to the City and County upon payment of the agreed purchase price as aforesaid. And the said deed to said land is hereby accepted.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Hayden—3.

Leave of Absence, Arthur Barendt, Board of Health.

Supervisor McSheehy presented: Resolution No. 27224 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Hon. Arthur H. Barendt, member of the Board of Health, is hereby granted a leave of absence, for a period of four months, commencing May 1, 1927, with permission to leave the State.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath—2.

Leave of Absence, Earl Cummings.

Supervisor McSheehy presented: Resolution No. 27225 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Hon. Earl Cummings, members of the Board of Park Commissioners, is hereby granted a leave of absence, for a period of thirty days, commencing May 2, 1927, with permission to leave the State.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath—2.

Approving Taxicab Stand Permits.

Supervisor Schmidt presented: Resolution No. 27210 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following taxicab permits be and they are hereby approved:

Golden Gate Cab Co., 167 Mason street.

Emile Cuneo, 342 Mason street.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath—2.

Fireproof Roofing Ordinance.

Communication, from City Attorney O'Toole, submitting draft of proposed fireproof roofing limits ordinance.

Motion.

Supervisor Todd moved to refer to the Public Buildings Committee.

Amendment.

Supervisor Gallagher moved as an amendment that the matter lay over two weeks.

Amendment *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—13.

Noes—Supervisors Colman, Hayden, Marks, Roncovieri—4.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Transbay Bridge Report.

Supervisor Shannon announced that the committee of expert engineers will be ready to report to the Board next Monday.

Airport Dedication.

Supervisor Kent declared that invitations have been issued for the dedication of the Mills Field Municipal Airport on the new Bay Shore Highway on Saturday morning, May 7th, at 9:30 a. m.

It is expected there will be at least fifty airplanes; there will be music, a flag raising, etc.

Bids for Oil Station.

On motion of Supervisor Kent the Clerk was authorized to call for bids for privilege of building and maintaining an oil station at the new airport, bids to be received on May 16, 1927.

Termination of Bay Shore Boulevard.

The resolution relating to the

termination of the Bay Shore boulevard was, on motion, *laid over one week and made a Special Order for 2 p. m.* Clerk to notify San Mateo County Board of Supervisors.

ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business, the Board at 6:30 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors August 22, 1927.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated, and approved as above recited.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,

Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco.

Vol. 22—New Series No. 19

Monday, May 9, 1927

Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
337 Bush Street, S. F.

Journal of Proceedings
Board of Supervisors

JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, MAY 9, 1927, 10 A. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, May 9, 1927, 10 a. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—14.

Absent—Supervisors Byington, Hayden, Marks, Powers—4.

Quorum present.

His Honor Mayor Rolph presiding.

APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the previous meeting was laid over until next meeting for approval.

ROLL CALL FOR PETITIONS FROM MEMBERS.

Modified Agreement, Garbage Incinerator Contract.

Communication, from City Attorney, submitting modified form of agreement relative to lease of garbage incinerator to the City Garbage Removal Company.

Read and *referred to Public Health Committee.*

PRESENTATION OF PROPOSALS.

Linoleum.

Sealed proposals were received and opened at 11 a. m. this day for furnishing linoleum that may be ordered from time to time during the Fiscal Year 1927-28 and *referred to Supplies Committee.*

Enameled Ware, Etc.

Sealed proposals were received and opened at the hour of 11 a. m. this day for furnishing enameled ware, aluminum ware, tinware, Japan and galvanized wares and kitchen utensils and *referred to the Supplies Committee.*

UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

Final Passage.

The following matters, heretofore passed for printing, were taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Appropriation, $50,000, Relief of Mississippi Valley Flood Sufferers.

Resolution No. 27226 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $50,000 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of General Fund, Fiscal Year 1926-1927, and authorized in payment to the American Red Cross for the relief of the Mississippi Valley flood sufferers.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—14.

Absent — Supervisors Byington, Hayden, Marks, Powers—4.

Authorizations.

Resolution No. 27227 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned funds in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

Library Fund.

(1) Macmillan Co., public library books (claim dated Mar. 31, 1927), $606.15.

(2) American Building Maintenance Co., janitor service for public libraries (claim dated Mar. 31, 1927), $716.50.

(3) Alex Coleman, plumbing and repairs for public libraries (claim dated Mar. 31, 1927), $797.75.

(4) Foster & Futernick Co., library book binding (claim dated Mar. 31, 1927), $1,671.

(5) San Francisco News Co., library books (claim dated Mar. 31, 1927), $1,668.41.

(6) G. E. Stechert & Co., library books (claim dated Mar. 31, 1927), $3,036.59.

Park Fund.

(7) Gantner & Mattern Co., bathing suits (claim dated April 29, 1927), $633.80.

Publicity and Advertising.

(8) Rudolph Theurkauf and William A. Theurkauf, third and fourth payments, construction of exhibit for Transcontinental Highway Exposition, Reno, Nev., for the publicity and advertising of San Francisco (claim dated May 2, 1927), $2,000.

School Bonds, Issue 1918.

(9) George H. Trask, lockers for High School of Commerce (claim dated April 26, 1927), $1,514.30.

Special School Tax.

(10) George H. Tay Co., plumbing supplies for schools (claim dated April 20, 1927), $1,009.03.

(11) W. P. Fuller & Co., glass, oil, etc., for schools (claim dated April 25, 1927), $607.07.

(12) John Reid, Jr., first payment, architectural services for annex to the Paul Revere School (claim dated April 26, 1927), $2,340.

Municipal Railway Depreciation Fund.

(13) United States Steel Products Co., first payment, track materials for Municipal Railways (claim dated April 22, 1927), $87,060.70.

Municipal Railway Fund.

(14) American Brake Shoe & Foundry Co., brake shoes for Municipal Railways (claim dated Apr. 26, 1927), $678.38.

(15) Market Street Railway Co., electric power furnished Municipal Railways (claim dated April 22, 1927), $2,613.97.

(16) Panama Lamp & Commercial Co., electric lamps for Municipal Railways (claim dated April 26, 1927), $975.

(17) Phillips & Van Orden Co., printing for Municipal Railways (claim dated April 27, 1927), $639.

Hetch Hetchy Water Construction Fund Bond Issue 1925.

(18) A. Guthrie & Co., Inc., extra work, Rock River Shaft camp, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated April 20, 1927), $2,647.90.

(19) Western Pipe & Steel Co., air pipe (claim dated April 20, 1927), $1,830.20.

(20) Alameda County Title Ins. Co., payment for title reports in connection with acquisition of lands in Coast Range Division of Hetch Hetchy water supply project (claim dated April 27, 1927), $680.

(21) The Giant Powder Co., explosives for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated April 27, 1927), $2,497.69.

(22) Hales & Symons, Inc., lumber for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated April 27, 1927), $1,778.66.

(23) Hercules Powder Co., explosives for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated April 27, 1927), $1,210.72.

School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923.

(24) John Reid, Jr., final payment on architectural services for addition to the Guadalupe School (claim dated April 26, 1927), $727.81.

(25) Frederick H. Meyer and Albin R. Johnson, seventh payment, architectural services for Henry Durant School (claim dated April 26, 1927), $1,970.66.

(26) Joseph & Stone, third payment, architectural services for Portola Junior High School (claim dated April 27, 1927), $1,971.65.

General Fund, 1926-1927.

(27) Market Street Railway Co., refund of second installment of taxes paid on Lot 1, Block 1264, at Frederick and Willard streets, being acquired by the City (claim dated May 2, 1927), $594.75.

(28) California Petroleum Corporation, fuel oil for Hall of Justice (claim dated April 26, 1927), $516.30.

(29) Eclipse Lime & Cement Co., cement for street repair (claim dated Apr. 26, 1927), $1,769.63.

(30) Jas. E. Lennon Lime & Cement Co., cement for street repair (claim dated Apr. 26, 1927), $1,758.79.

(31) Crown Oil Co., gasoline and oil for street cleaning (claim dated Apr. 26, 1927), $558.05.

(32) Symon Brothers, team hire for street cleaning (claim dated Apr. 25, 1927), $747.50.

(33) Alfred I. Coffey, first payment, architectural services on Sunset Police Station (claim dated Apr. 26, 1927), $1,200.

(34) Addressograph Sales Co., one addressograph with parts and attachments, for Fire Department (claim dated Apr. 30, 1927), $707.60.

(35) Spring Valley Water Co., water service furnished Fire Department hydrants (claim dated Apr. 30, 1927), $14,512.10.

(36) Dodge, Sweeney & Co., groceries for the Relief Home (claim dated Apr. 26, 1927), $1,062.50.

(37) Garcia & Maggini Co., dried fruit, Relief Hame (claim dated Apr. 26, 1927), $1,391.16.

(38) Haas Brothers, groceries for Relief Home (claim dated Apr. 26, 1927), $739.51.

(39) C. Nauman & Co., vegetables for Relief Home (claim dated Apr. 26, 1927), $818.46.

(40) Sperry Flour Co., flour, Relief Home (claim dated Apr. 25, 1927), $680.

(41) Sussman & Wormser, groceries, Relief Home (claim dated Apr. 25, 1927), $1,484.50.

(42) S. H. Tyler & Sons, coffee

and tea, Relief Home (claim dated Apr. 25, 1927), $792.01.

(43) Daniel J. O'Brien, police contingent expense (claim dated May 1, 1927), $750.

(44) Berringer & Russell, hay, etc., for Police Department (claim dated May 1, 1927), $627.70.

(45) San Francisco Stove Works, iron castings, Department of Electricity (claim dated Mar. 31, 1927), $655.73.

(46) Geo. H. Tay Co., steel pipe, Department of Electricity (claim dated Mar. 31, 1927), $592.89.

(47) California Meat Co., meats for County Jails (claim dated Apr. 20, 1927), $1,028.03.

(48) Langendorf Baking Co., bread for County Jails (claim dated Apr. 20, 1927), $1,218.75.

(49) San Francisco Bulletin, official advertising (claim dated May 2, 1927), $956.04.

(50) A. P. Jacobs, rent of premises No. 333 Kearny street, May 3 to June 3, 1927, $1,186.

(51) James Rolph, Jr., Mayor's incidentals for May (claim dated May 2, 1927), $625.

(52) Board of Park Commissioners, reimbursement for beautification of the Civic Center for March (claim dated Apr. 29, 1927), $539.11.

(53) L. Dinkelspiel & Co., sheeting, Relief Home (claim dated Feb. 23, 1927), $1,326.08.

(54) Philadelphia Shoe Co., shoes, Relief Home (claim dated Feb. 28, 1927), $837.

(55) H. F. Dugan, chemical supplies, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Mar. 31, 1927), $501.99.

(56) Bush Electric Corporation, Bucky table, tube, etc., for S. F. Hospital (claim dated Mar. 31, 1927), $777.57.

(57) Tiedemann & McMorran, table peaches (claim dated Mar. 31, 1927), $668.75.

(58) McCarthy Bros., coffee, S. F. Hospital (claim dated Mar. 31, 1927), $737.50.

(59) Dodge, Sweeney & Co., groceries, S. F. Hospital (claim dated Mar. 31. 1927), $1,527.50.

(60) S. S. White Dental Mfg. Co., one equipment stand, Board of Health (claim dated Apr. 25, 1927), $512.10.

(61) San Francisco Bulletin, official advertising (claim dated May 2, 1927), $950 52.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—14.

Absent — Supervisors Byington, Hayden, Marks, Powers—4.

Appropriations for Purchase of Property Required for the Opening of Bernal Cut.

Resolution No. 27228 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of appropriations heretofore made from the County Road Fund for the opening of Bernal Cut, in payment to the hereinafter named persons; being payments for properties required for the opening of the Bernal Cut, to-wit:

(1) To Amelia Reilly, property as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 27169, New Series (claim dated April 28, 1927), $4,100.

(2) To Frank Suter and Magdalene Suter, for property as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 27169, New Series (claim dated Apr. 28, 1927), $4,800.

(3) To J. C. Thompson and Ingeborg Thompson, for property as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 27171, New Series (claim dated April 28, 1927), $14,750.

(4) To Nils P. Jensen and Annie M. Jensen, for property as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 27171, New Series (claim dated Apr. 28, 1927), $21,055.

(5) To Annie C. Maguire, for property as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 27171, New Series (claim dated April 28, 1927), $2,250.

(6) To James V. Brown, for property as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 27171, New Series, (claim dated April 28, 1927), $3,200.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—14.

Absent — Supervisors Byington, Hayden, Marks, Powers—4.

Appropriations for Purchase of Properties Required for Boulevard Improvements.

Resolution No. 27229 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are authorized in payment out of appropriations heretofore made from the County Road Fund for various boulevard improvements. and paid to the hereinafter named persons; being payments for properties required for said boulevard purposes, to-wit:

(1) To Mary R. Scott, for property required for the opening of the Bernal Heights boulevard, as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 27170, New Series (claim dated April 28, 1927), $3,000.

(2) To Oscar Bruderer and Maria

Bruderer, for property required for the widening of Garfield street, as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 27173, New Series (claim dated April 28, 1927), $800.

(3) To Elizabeth D. McMillan, for property required for the widening of Garfield street, as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 27172, New Series (claim dated April 28, 1927), $900.

(4) To Mae L. Feykert, for property required for the extension of Market street, as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 27175, New Series (claim dated April 28, 1927), $2,800.

(5) To Carl W. and Jennie Nelson, for release on account of damage to property due to the Market street extension, as per Resolution No. 27167, New Series (claim dated April 28, 1927), $1,375.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—14.

Absent — Supervisors Byington, Hayden, Marks, Powers—4.

Appropriations for Construction of Sewer System, Mather, Tuolumne County, California, Hetch Hetchy Construction.

Resolution No. 27230 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1919, for the construction of water and sewage systems at Mather, Tuolumne County, to-wit:

(1) For the onstruction of water system, $16,000.

(2) for the construction of sewage system, including comfort stations, sewers, septic tank, disposal field and appurtenances, $37,000.

Total, $53,000.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—14.

Absent — Supervisors Byington, Hayden, Marks, Powers—4.

Appropriations, Grading Airport and Grading Retaining Walls, Etc., Roosevelt Way.

Resolution No. 27231 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned funds for the following purposes, to-wit:

Municipal Airport, Budget Item No. 60.

(1) For cost of additional grading required in connection with the San Francisco Municipal Airport, being additional to $10,500 heretofore set aside (Granfield, Farrar & Carlin, contract), $6,500.

County Road Fund.

(2) For cost of grading and construction of retaining walls, steps and sloping walks at property, Roosevelt way and Clifford street, $1,250.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—14.

Absent — Supervisors Byington, Hayden, Marks, Powers—4.

City's Portion of Pavement, Douglass Street Improvement.

Resolution No. 27232 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of General Fund, Fiscal Year 1926-1927, for the payment of the City's portion of the improvement of Douglass street between Twenty-fifth and Twenty-sixth streets as described in Resolution of Intention No. 86539 (Second Series) as assessed in the assessment issued by the Board of Public Works on July 22, 1926, against the following lots, viz.:

Lot 1, $262.50; Lot 2, $136.50; Lot 3, $87.50; Lot 4, $311.50; Lot 25, $399; Lot 26, $399; Lot 57, $787.50; Lot 59, $175; Lot 60, $175; Lot 61, $437.50. Total, $3,171.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—14.

Absent — Supervisors Byington, Hayden, Marks, Powers—4.

Oil Tank Permits.

Resolution No. 27233 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits be and are hereby granted:

Oil Tanks.

Henry Ernst & Sons, southeast corner of Octavia and Linden streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

H. Papenhausen, north side of Moncada way, 350 feet west of Cedro street, 1500 gallons capacity.

J. E. O'Brien, southwest corner of Twenty-first avenue and Cabrillo street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Charles Rousseau, 1990 California street, 1500 gallons capacity.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits shall become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—14.

Absent — Supervisors Byington, Hayden, Marks, Powers—4.

Parking Station Permit.

Resolution No. 27234 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Post-Taylor Garage, Inc., be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an automobile parking station on the north side of Post street, 40 feet west of Mason street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—14.

Absent — Supervisors Byington, Hayden, Marks, Powers—4.

Automobile Supply Station Permit.

Resolution No. 27235 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Post-Taylor Garage, Inc., be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an automobile supply station on the north side of Post street, 40 feet west of Mason street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—14.

Absent — Supervisors Byington, Hayden, Marks, Powers—4.

Hospital Permit.

Resolution No. 27236 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Italian Hospital and Benevolent Association of San Francisco be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at the will of the Board of Supervisors, to construct, operate and maintain a hospital on Broadway, 108 feet easterly from Van Ness avenue.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Todd—14.

Absent — Supervisors Byington, Hayden, Marks, Powers—4.

Ratifying Publication of Notice Soliciting Offers for Sale of Street Railways to City and County.

Resolution No. 27237 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, By the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco does hereby ratify, approve and confirm the publication of "Notice solociting offers for the sale of street railways to the City and County," as set forth in Resolution No. 26943 (New Series), be deemed, and it is hereby declared to have been sufficiently published by the publication of said notice in Resolution No. 26943 (New Series) on the 21st day of March, 1927, and the subsequent publications of the notice set forth in the resolution referred to, and that the mailing of "Notice soliciting offers for the sale of street railways to the City and County" to the Market Street Railway Company of San Francisco, by the Clerk of this Board, on the 22d day of March, 1927, enclosing a copy of notice soliciting offers for the sale of street railways to the City and County in an envelope addressed to the Market Street Railway Company, San Francisco, California, with postage prepaid on said envelope, and depositing the envelope in the United States mail, on the 22d day of March, 1927, and it being known to the Board of Supervisors that the Market Street Railway Company is the nearest existing street railway or system of street railways in the approximate district of the proposed extensions of the Municipal Railways, is hereby declared to have been sufficient notice to the Market Street Railway Company and a compliance with the provisions of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco and Resolution No. 26943 (New Series) for the giving of "Notice soliciting offers for the sale of street railways to the City and County."

Section 2. This resolution shall be published in the official newspaper with the *ayes* and *noes* for at least five successive days, Sundays and legal holidays excepted, before final action upon the same.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Ronco-

vieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Todd—12.

Absent—Supervisors Byington, Deasy, Hayden, Marks, Powers, Stanton—6.

Plans, Etc., for Building to House the Electrical Equipment and Meteorological Equipment of San Francisco Airport.

Bill No. 8019, Ordinance No. 7533 (New Series), as follows:

Authorizing the preparation of plans and specifications for buildings to house the electrical equipment and meteorological equipment at the San Francisco Airport and at outlying meteorological stations, and ordering the construction of buildings to house the electrical equipment and meteorological equipment at the San Francisco Airport, and at outlying meteorological stations in accordance with said plans and specifications prepared therefor; authorizing and directing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for said construction of buildings.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized, instructed and empowered to prepare plans and specifications for the construction of buildings to house the electrical equipment and meteorological equipment at the San Francisco Municipal Airport, and at outlying meteorological stations, in San Mateo County, and to enter into contract for the construction of buildings to house the electrical equipment and meteorological equipment at the San Francisco Municipal Airport, and at outlying meteorological stations, in San Mateo County, in accordance with said plans and specifications prepared therefor.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Todd—14.

Absent — Supervisors Byington, Hayden, Marks, Powers—4.

Calling Special Election, Municipal Railway Bonds.

Bill No. 8024, Ordinance No. 7534 (New Series), as follows:

Calling and providing for a special election to be held in the City and County of San Francisco on Tuesday, the 14th day of June, 1927, for the purpose of submitting to the voters of the City and County of San Francisco a proposition to incur a bonded debt of the city and County of San Francisco to the amount of four million seven hundred thousand ($4,700,000) dollars for the acquisition, construction and completion of a public utility, to-wit, the extension of the Municipal Street Railway, or system of street railways, along the route or in the territory described as follows:

From Scott and Chestnut streets into the Marina Tract, west of Scott street and north of Chestnut street; from the Municipal Railway track at Masonic avenue and Turk street into the Richmond District, connecting with the Municipal Railway tracks running to the Ocean Beach; from the Municipal Railway tracks on Market street at Duboce avenue, through the Sunset-Duboce Tunnel into the Sunset District and through the Sunset District to a terminal near the Ocean Beach, with connecting cross-town service west of Twentieth avenue between said extension and the existing Municipal Railway line on Taraval street; from the Municipal Railway tracks at Forty-sixth avenue and Taraval street, southerly to a terminal near Wawona street and Forty-seventh avenue; from the Municipal Railway tracks at Thirtieth and Church streets, southwesterly through the Bernal Cut, into the Excelsior District, at or near Excelsior street; thence southerly through the Excelsior District to a terminal at Geneva avenue; from Seventeenth street and Market street in a southwesterly direction to, at or near Eureka street and Market street; thence in a southerly direction on and over Eureka street or other most feasible route to Twenty-third street at or near Eureka street; thence in an easterly direction on and over Twenty-third street or other most feasible route to Diamond street; thence in a southerly direction on or over Diamond street or other most feasible route to Twenty-ninth street; an automobile bus service from the vicinity of Seventeenth street and Potrero avenue by the most feasible route to the vicinity of Twenty-third street and Wisconsin street, and for such other extensions and additions to the trackage and facilities of the said Municipal Railway system as may be deemed necessary, and to include equipment thereof, appurtenances thereto and the purchase of necessary lands.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. A special election is hereby called and ordered to be held in the City and County of San Francisco on Tuesday, the 14th day

of June, 1927, for the purpose of submitting to the electors of said City and County the following proposition to-wit: To incur a bonded debt of the City and County of San Francisco to the amount of four million seven hundred thousand ($4,700,000) dollars for the purpose of the acquisition, construction and completion of a public utility, to-wit, the extension of the Municipal Street Railway or system of street railways along the route or in the territory described as follows: From Scott and Chestnut streets into the Marina Tract, west of Scott street and north of Chestnut street; from the Municipal Railway tracks at Masonic avenue and Turk street into the Richmond District, connecting with the Municipal Railway tracks running to the Ocean Beach; from the Municipal Railway tracks on Market street at Duboce avenue through the Sunset-Duboce Tunnel into the Sunset District, and through the Sunset District to a terminal near the Ocean Beach, with connecting crosstown service west of Twentieth avenue between said extension and the existing Municipal Railway line on Taraval street; from the Municipal Railway tracks at Forty-sixth avenue and Taraval street, southerly to a terminal near Wawona street and Forty-seventh avenue; from the Municipal Railway tracks at Thirtieth and Church streets, southwesterly through the Bernal Cut into the Excelsior District at or near Excelsior street, thence southerly through the Excelsior District to a terminal at Geneva avenue; from Seventeenth street and Market street in a southwesterly direction to, at or near Eureka street and Market street; thence in a southerly direction on and over Eureka street or other most feasible route to Twenty-third street at or near Eureka street; thence in an easterly direction on and over Twenty-third street or other most feasible route to Diamond street, thence in a southerly direction on or over Diamond street or other most feasible route to Twenty-ninth street; an automobile bus service from the vicinity of Seventeenth street and Poterero avenue by the most feasible route to the vicinity of Twenty-third street and Wisconsin street, and for such other extensions and additions to the trackage and facilities of the said Municipal Railway system as may be deemed necessary, and to include equipment thereof, appurtenances thereto and the purchase of necessary lands.

Section 2. The estimated cost of the acquisition, construction and completion of the public utility described herein was by plans and estimates of the Board of Public Works procured through the City Engineer and filed with the Board of Supervisors on the 1st day of April, 1927, and was and is fixed by Bill No. 7996, Ordinance No. 7500 (New Series), in the sum of four million seven hundred thousand ($4,700,000) dollars.

Section 3. By Bill No. 7996, Ordinance No. 7500 (New Series), it was declared that no part of the said sum of four million seven hundred thousand ($4,700,000) dollars could be paid out of the annual revenue of the City and County in addition to the other necessary expenses thereof or other funds derived from taxes levied for that purpose and will require the incurring of a bonded debt to the amount of four million seven hundred thousand ($4,700,000) dollars for the purposes herein recited. The method and manner of payment of the estimated cost of said described public utility are by the issuance of bonds of the City and County of San Francisco to the amount required therefor and the application of the proceeds arising from the sale thereof to defray the cost of the acquisition, construction and completion of said public utility herein described.

Section 4. The special election hereby called and ordered to be held and conducted and the votes thereat received and canvassed, and the returns thereof made, and the result thereof ascertained, determined and declared as herein provided and according to the laws of the State of California providing for and governing elections in the City and County of San Francisco, and the polls for such election shall be and remain open during the time required by said laws.

Section 5. The ballots to be used at said special election shall be such as may be required by law to be used thereat and, in addition to any other matter required by law to be printed thereon, shall appear thereon the following:

"Municipal Railway Extension"—To incur a bonded indebtedness in the sum of four million seven hundred thousand ($4,700,000) dollars for the acquisition, construction and completion of a public utility, consisting of the extension of the Municipal Street Railway or system of street railways along the route or in the territory described as follows: From Scott and Chestnut

streets into the Marina Tract, west of Scott street and north of Chestnut street; from the Municipal Railway track at Masonic avenue and Turk street into the Richmond District, connecting with the Municipal Railway tracks running to the Ocean Beach; from the Municipal Railway tracks on Market street at Duboce avenue, through the Sunset-Duboce Tunnel into the Sunset District and through the Sunset District to a terminal near the Ocean Beach, with connecting crosstown service west of Twentieth avenue between said extension and the existing Municipal Railway line on Taraval street; from the Muncipal Railway tracks at Forty-sixth avenue and Taraval street, southerly to a terminal near Wawona street and Forty-seventh avenue; from the Municipal Railway tracks at Thirtieth and Church streets, southwesterly through the Bernal Cut, into the Excelsior District, at or near Excelsior street; thence southerly through the Excelsior District to a terminal at Geneva avenue; from Seventeenth street and Market street in a southwesterly direction to, at or near Eureka street and Market street; thence in a southerly direction on and over Eureka street or other most feasible route to Twenty-third street at or near Eureka street; thence in an easterly direction on and over Twenty-third street or other most feasible route to Diamond street; thence in a southerly direction on or over Diamond street or other most feasible route to Twenty-ninth street; an automobile bus service from the vicinity of Seventeenth street and Potrero avenue by the most feasible route to the vicinity of Twenty-third street and Wisconsin street, and for such other extensions and additions to the trackage and facilities of the said Municipal Railway system as may be deemed necessary, and to include equipment thereof, appurtenances thereto and the purchase of necessary lands.

To vote for the proposition and thereby authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness to the amount of and for the purpose stated herein stamp a cross (X) in the blank space to the right of the word "Yes". To vote against the proposition and thereby refuse to authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness to the amount of and for the purpose stated herein stamp a cross (X) in the blank space to the right of the word "No."

YES	
NO	

Bonds issued for the acquisition, construction and completion of the public utility herein described shall bear interest at the rate of 4½ per centum per annum, payable semi-annually.

Section 6. Where voting machines are used at said special election the said voting machines shall be so arranged that any qualified elector may vote for the proposition by pulling down a lever over the word "Yes" under or near a statement of the proposed proposition appeaaring on cardboard, paper or other material placed on the front of the machine and said act shall constitute a vote for the proposition, and by pulling down a lever over the word "No" under or near a statement of the proposed proposition appearing on cardboard, paper or other material placed on the front of the machine shall constitute a vote against the proposition. Said voting machines and the preparation of the same are to be used in accordance with the provisions of Chapter 96 of the Statutes of 1923.

Each cross (X) stamped in the square to the right of the word "Yes" appearing on the printed ballot, where printed ballots are used, shall constitute a vote in favor of and to authorized the incurring of a bonded indebtedness for the purpose set forth in the proposition and each cross (X) stamped in the square to the right of the word "No" shall be counted as a vote not in favor of, and a refusal to authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness for the purpose set forth in the proposition.

Section 7. The election precincts and the numbers, names and boundaries thereof for said special election and the places of voting and the officers to conduct such elections and all other necessary proceedings in that behalf shall be respectively defined, designated, selected, appointed and had by the Board of Election Commissioners of the City and County of San Francisco, and said Board is hereby authorized and directed to procure and provide all supplies that may be necessary to properly and lawfully conduct such special election.

When the polls are closed the officers of election shall count the ballots cast at such election and

canvass the votes cast, respectively, for and against the proposition herein stated and make return thereof in time, form and manner required for the counting, canvassing and returning of votes cast at other municipal elections held in the City and County of San Francisco. The Board of Election Commissioners shall, as soon as the said returns and ballots have been received by said Board, canvass said returns and declare the result thereof in the manner provided by law for canvassing returns and declaring results in other elections, and shall also certify said results to the Board of Supervisors.

Section 8. If, at such special election, it shall appear that two-thirds of all the votes cast thereat were in favor of and authorized the incurring of a bonded debt for the purpose set forth in said proposition, then such proposition shall be deemed to have been accepted by the electors and bonds will be issued to defray the cost of the public utility described therein. Such bonds shall be of the form and character known as "serials". All of said bonds shall be dated July 1, 1927, shall bear interest at the rate of 4½ per centum per annum, payable semi-annually, shall be of the denomination of one thousand dollars each, and the principal and interest thereof shall be payable in gold coin of the United States. Said bonds shall be called "Municipal Railway Extension Bonds."

Bonds issued for the purpose stated shall be numbered from 1 to 4700, both inclusive, and shall be payable one hundred eighty-eight thousand ($188,000) dollars thereof eight years from the date of said bonds, beginning with the lowest numbers, and one hundred eighty-eight thousand ($188,000) dollars thereof of the next higher numbers on the same day in each succeeding year until all of said bonds shall be paid.

Section 9. Said bonds and coupons shall be in substantially the following form:

The United States of America,
State of California,
City and County of San Francisco.
Municipal Railway Extension Bond.
No. ———— $1,000.00

For value received the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of California, hereby acknowledges itself indebted and promises to pay to the bearer, on the first day of ————, 19—, one thousand dollars, with interest thereon at the rate of 4½ per centum per annum, payable semi-annually January 1 and July 1, on presentation and surrender of the coupons hereto attached as they respectively become due, both principal and interest being payable in gold coin of the United States at the office of the Treasurer of said City and County, or, at the option of the holder, at the fiscal agency of said City and County of San Francisco in the City and State of New York.

This bond is issued under and pursuant to the Constitution and statutes of the State of California and the Charter of said City and County of San Francisco and amendments thereto, and under and pursuant to ordinances and proceedings of said City and County duly adopted and taken, and a vote and assent of more than two-thirds of all the qualified electors of said City and County voting at a special election duly and legally called and held for that purpose.

It is hereby certified, recited and declared that all acts, conditions and things required by law to exist, happen and be performed precedent to and in the issuance of this bond have existed, happened and been performed in due time, form and manner as required by law, and that the amount of this bond, together with all other indebtedness of said City and County, does not exceed any limit prescribed by the Constitution or statutes of said State or Charter of said City and County, and that provision has been made as required by the Constitution and statutes of said State and the Charter of said City and County for the collection of an annual tax sufficient to pay the interest on this bond as it falls due, and also provision to constitute a sinking fund for the payment of the principal of this bond on or before maturity. The full faith and credit of said City and County are hereby pledged for the punctual payment of the principal and interest of this bond.

This bond may be converted into a registered bond upon presentation to the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco, in which event such Treasurer shall cut off and cancel the coupons of this bond, and shall sign a statement stamped, printed or written upon the back or face of the bond to the effect that this bond is registered in the name of the owner and that thereafter the interest and principal of this bond are payable to the regis-

tered owner. Thereafter and from time to time this bond may be transferred by such registered owner in person or by attorney duly authorized on presentation of this bond to the Treasurer, and the bond be again registered as before, a similar statement being stamped, printed or written thereon.

This bond is exempt from all taxation within the State of California.

In witness whereof, said City and County of San Francisco has caused this bond to be executed under its corporate seal, signed by its Mayor and Treasurer, and countersigned by its Auditor, and has caused the interest coupons hereto attached to be signed with the engraved or lithographed signature of its Treasurer, and this bond to be dated the first day of July, 1927.

....., Mayor.

....., Treasurer.

Countersigned:

....., Auditor.

Form of Coupon.

No..... $.....

On ———— 1, 19——, the City and County of San Francisco, California, will pay to bearer, at the office of the Treasurer of said City and County, or, at the option of the holder, at the fiscal agency of the City and County of San Francisco in the City and State of New York, ———— dollars ($————) in gold coin of the United States, being six months' interest then due on its bond dated July 1, 1927, number ————

....., Treasurer.

Form of Registration.

San Francisco, ————, 19—

This bond is registered pursuant to Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, in the name of ————, and the interest and principal thereof are hereafter payable to such owner.

....., Treasurer.

Section 10. The amount of tax levy to be made for the payment of said four million seven hundred thousand dollars bonds issued under said proposition shall be the sum of two hundred eleven thousand five hundred dollars for the first eight years from the date of said bonds to pay the annual interest on said bonds, and in season to pay such interest as it becomes due, and for the ninth year after the date of said bonds the sum of two hundred three thousand forty dollars to pay and in season to pay the interest on such of said bonds as remain outstanding after the one hundred eighty-eight thousand dollars thereof due eight years from their date have been paid, and for the tenth year after the date of said bonds the sum of one hundred ninety-four thousand five hundred eighty dollars to pay and in season to pay the interest on such of said bonds as remain outstanding after the one hundred eighty-eight thousand dollars thereof due nine years from their date have been paid, and so on, a sum each year for twenty-two succeeding years until said bonds are all paid, sufficient for interest and in season to pay interest on all of said bonds outstanding, which sum for interest will diminish each year by the amount of eight thousand four hundred sixty dollars by reason of the payment each year beginning eight years from date of said bonds of one hundred eighty-eight thousand dollars of said bonds, and the sum of one hundred eighty-eight thousand dollars each year beginning seven years from the date of said bonds to pay and in season to pay the principal of such bonds as they respectively become due, and continuing each succeeding year for twenty-four years until the principal of all of said bonds has been paid.

The purpose and intent of the foregoing tax provisions are, and it is hereby expressly provided, that at the time of levying the municipal tax, and in the manner provided for such tax levy, the Supervisors shall levy and collect annually a tax sufficient to pay the annual interest on such bonds, and also such part of the bonded indebtedness as will fall due within the succeeding fiscal year. Such taxes shall be in addition to all other taxes levied for municipal purposes, and shall be collected at the same time and in the same manner as other municipal taxes are collected.

Section 11. This ordinance shall be published for at least ten days in the official newspaper, and at the expiration of said ten days notice of such special election shall be given and published as required by law.

Section 12. This ordinance is the third of a series of ordinances which will be adopted by the Board of Supervisors relating to and designed to secure the acquisition, construction and completion of the public utility named.

Section 13. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Ronco-

vieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Todd—12.

Absent—Supervisors Byington, Deasy, Hayden, Marks, Powers, Stanton—6.

Calling Special Election, Bonds for Purchase of Spring Valley Water Company.

Bill No. 8025, Ordinance No. 7535 (New Series), as follows:

Calling and providing for a special election to be held in the City and County of San Francisco on Tuesday, the 14th day of June, 1927, for the purpose of submitting to the voters of the City and County of San Francisco a proposition to incur a bonded debt of the City and County of San Francisco to the amount of forty million ($40,000,000) dollars for the acquisition, construction and completion of a public utility, to-wit, all of the property, or such portion thereof as may be deemed necessary, water rights, rights of way, storage reservoirs, water works, water supply, pumping plants, lands within and without the confines of the City and County of San Francisco that shall be deemed necessary, and distributing system of the Spring Valley Water Company, a public utility, now distributing water within the City and County of San Francisco, used or useful in supplying water to the City and County of San Francisco and to its inhabitants, to be owned and controlled by the City and County of San Francisco for supplying water to said City and County and to the inhabitants thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. A special election is hereby called and ordered to be held in the City and County of San Francisco on Tuesday, the 14th day of June, 1927, for the purpose of submitting to the electors of said City and County the following proposition, to-wit: To incur a bonded debt of the City and County of San Francisco to the amount of forty million ($40,000,000) dollars for the purpose of the acquisition, construction and completion of a public utility, to-wit: All of the property, or such portion thereof as may be deemed necessary, water rights, rights of way, storage reservois, water works, water supply, pumping plants, lands within and without the confines of the City and County of San Francisco that shall be deemed necessary, and distributing system of the Spring Valley Water Company, a public utility, now distributing water within the City and County of San Francisco, used or sueful in supplying water to the City and County of San Francisco and to its inhabitants, to be owned and controlled by the City and County of San Francisco for supplying water to said City and County and to the inhabitants thereof.

Section 2. The estimated cost of the acquisition, construction and completion of the public utility described herein was by plans and estimates of the Board of Public Works procured through the City Engineer and filed with the Board of Supervisors on the 11th day of April, 1927, and was and is fixed by Bill No. 7998, Ordinance No. 7502 (New Series), in the sum of forty million ($40,000.000) dollars.

Section 3. By Bill No. 7998, Ordinance No. 7502 (New Series), it was declared that no part of the said sum of forty million ($40,000,000) dollars could be paid out of the annual revenue of the City and County in addition to the other necessary expenses thereof or other funds derived from taxes levied for that purpose and will require the incurring of a bonded debt to the amount of forty million ($40,000,000) dollars for the purposes herein recited. The method and manner of payment of the estimated cost of said described public utility are by the issuance of bonds of the City and County of San Francisco to the amount required therefor and the application of the proceeds arising from the sale thereof to defray the cost of acquisition, construction and completion of said public utility herein described.

Section 4. The special election hereby called and ordered to be held shall be held and conducted, and the votes thereat received and canvassed, and the returns thereof made, and the result thereof ascertained, determined and declared as herein provided and according to the laws of the State of California providing for and governing elections in the City and County of San Francisco, and the polls for such election shall be and remain open during the time required by said laws.

Section 5. The ballots to be used at said special election shall be such as may be required by law to be used thereat, and, in addition to any other matter required by law to be printed thereon, shall appear thereon the following:

"Spring Valley"—To incur a bonded indebtedness in the sum of forty million ($40.000,000) dollars for the acquisition, construction and completion of a public utility, to-wit: All of the property, or such portion thereof as may be deemed

necessary, water rights, rights of way, storage reservoirs, water works, water supply, pumping plants, lands within and without the confines of the City and County of San Francisco that shall be deemed necessary, and distributing system of the Spring Valley Water Company, a public utility, now distributing water within the City and County of San Francisco, used or useful in supplying water to the City and County of San Francisco and to its inhabitants, to be owned and controlled by the City and County of San Francisco for supplying water to said City and County and to the inhabitants thereof.

To vote for the proposition and thereby authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness to the amount of and for the purpose stated herein stamp a cross (X) in the blank space to the right of the word "Yes." To vote against the proposition and thereby refuse to authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness to the amount of and for the purpose stated herein stamp a cross (X) in the blank space to the right of the word "No."

YES	
NO	

Bonds issued for the acquisition, construction and completion of the public utility herein described shall bear interest at the rate of 4½ per centum per annum, payable semi-annually.

Section 6. Where voting machines are used at said special election the said voting machines shall be so arranged that any qualified elector may vote for the proposition by pulling down a lever over the word "Yes" under or near a statement of the proposed proposition appearing on cardboard, paper or other material placed on the front of the machine and said act shall constitute a vote for the proposition, and by pulling down a lever over the word "No" under or near a statement of the proposed proposition appearing on cardboard, paper or other material placed on the front of the machine shall constitute a vote against the proposition. Said voting machines and the preparation of the same are to be used in accordance with the provisions of Chapter 96 of the Statutes of 1923.

Each cross (X) stamped in the square to the right of the word "Yes" appearing on the printed ballot, where printed ballots are used, shall constitute a vote in favor of and to authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness for the purpose set forth in the proposition and each cross (X) stamped in the square to the right of the word "No" shall be counted as a vote not in favor of, and a refusal to authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness for the purpose set forth in the proposition.

Section 7. The election precincts and the numbers, names and boundaries thereof for said special election, and the places of voting, and the officers to conduct such election, and all other necessary proceedings in that behalf, shall be respectively defined, designated, selected, appointed and had by the Board of Election Commissioners of the City and County of San Francisco, and said Board is hereby authorized and directd to procure and provide all supplies that may be necessary to properly and lawfully conduct such special election.

When the polls are closed the officers of election shall count the ballots cast at such election, and canvass the votes cast respectively for and against the proposition herein stated and make return thereof in time, form and manner required for the counting, canvassing and returning of votes cast at other municipal elections held in the City and County of San Francisco. The Board of Election Commissioners shall, as soon as the said returns and ballots have been received by said Board, canvass said returns and declare the result thereof in the manner provided by law for canvassing returns and declaring results in other elections, and shall also certify said results to the Board of Supervisors.

Section 8. If, at such special election, it shall appear that two-thirds of all the votes cast thereat were in favor of and authorized the incurring of a bonded debt for the purpose set forth in said proposition, then such proposition shall be deemed to have been accepted by the electors and bonds will be issued to defray the cost of the public utility described therein. Such bonds shall be of the form and character known as "serials." All of said bonds shall be dated July 1, 1927, shall bear interest at the rate of 4½ per centum per annum, payable semi-annually, shall be of the denomination of one thousand dollars ecah, and the principal and interest thereof shall be payable in gold coin of the United

States. Said bonds shall be called "Spring Valley Bonds."

Bonds issued for the purpose stated shall be numbered from 1 to 40,000, both inclusive, and shall be payable one million ($1,000,000) dollars thereof five years from the date of said bonds, beginning with the lowest numbers, and one million ($1,000,000) dollars thereof of the next higher numbers on the same day in each succeeding year until all of said bonds shall be paid.

Section 9. Said bonds and coupons shall be in substantially the following form:

The United States of America.
State of California.
City and County of San Francisco.
"Spring Valley Bond."

No. ——— $1,000.00

For value received, the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of California, hereby acknowledges itself indebted and promises to pay to the bearer, on the first day of ————, 19—, one thousand dollars, with interest thereon at the rate of 4½ per centum per annum, payable semi-annually January 1 and July 1 on presentation and surrender of the coupons hereto attached as they respectively become due, both principal and interest being payable in gold coin of the United States, at the office of the Treasurer of said City and County, or, at the option of the holder, at the fiscal agency of said City and County of San Francisco in the City and State of New York.

This bond is issued under and pursuant to the Constitution and statutes of the State of California and the Charter of said City and County of San Francisco and amendments thereto, and under and pursuant to ordinances and proceedings of said City and County duly adopted and taken, and a vote and assent of more than two-thirds of all the qualified electors of said City and County voting at a special election duly and legally called and held for that purpose.

It is hereby certified, recited and declared that all acts, conditions and things required by law to exist, happen and be performed precedent to and in the issuance of this bond have existed, happened and been performed in due time, form and manner as required by law, and that the amount of this bond, together with all other indebtedness of said City and County, does not exceed any limit prescribed by the Constitution and statutes of said State or Charter of said City and County, and that provision has been made as required by the Constitution and statutes of said State and the Charter of said City and County for the collection of an annual tax sufficient to pay the interest on this bond as it falls due, and also provision to constitute a sinking fund for the payment of the principal of this bond on or before maturity. The full faith and credit of said City and County are hereby pledged for the punctual payment of the principal and interest of this bond.

This bond may be converted into a registered bond upon presentation to the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco, in which event such Treasurer shall cut off and cacel the coupons of this bond, and shall sign a statement stamped, printed or written upon the back or face of the bond to the effect that this bond is registered in the name of the owner and that thereafter the interest and principal of this bond are payable to the registered owner. Thereafter and from time to time this bond may be transferred by such registered owner in person or by attorney duly authorized on presentation of this bond to the Treasurer, and the bond be again registered as before, a similar statement being stamped, printed or written thereon.

This bond is exempt from all taxation within the State of California.

In witness whereof, said City and County of San Francisco has caused this bond to be executed under its corporate seal, signed by its Mayor and Treasurer, and countersigned by its Auditor, and has caused the interest coupons hereto attached to be signed with the engraved or lithographed signature of its Treasurer, and this bond to be dated the first day of July, 1927.

.....
Mayor.

.....
Treasurer.

Countersigned:

.....
Auditor.

Form of Coupon.

No. ——— $———

On ———— 1, 19———, the City and County of San Francisco, California, will pay to bearer at the office of the Treasurer of said City and County, or at the option of the holder at the fiscal agency of the City and County of San Francisco, in hte City and State of New York, ————, dollars ($————) in gold coin of the United States,

being six months' interest then due on its bond dated July 1, 1927. Number ————

.....

Treasurer.

Form of Registration.

San Francisco, ————, 19—.

This bond is registered pursuant to Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, in the name of ———— and the interest and principal thereof are hereafter payable to such owner.

.....

Treasurer.

Section 10. The amount of tax levy to be made for the payment of said forty million dollars bonds issued under said proposition shall be the sum of one million eight hundred thousand dollars for the first five years from the date of said bonds to pay the annual interest on said bonds and in season to pay such interest as it becomes due, and for the sixth year after date of said bonds the sum of one million seven hundred fifty-five thousand dollars to pay and in season to pay the interest on such of said bonds as remain outstanding after the one million dallors thereof due five years from their date have been paid, and for the seventh year after the date of said bonds the sum of one million seven hundred ten thousand dollars to pay and in season to pay the interest on such of said bonds as remain outstanding after the one million dollars thereof due six years from their date have been paid, and so on, a sum each year for thirty-seven succeeding years until said bonds are all paid, sufficient for interest and in season to pay interest on all of said bonds outstanding, which sum for interest will diminish each year by the amount of forty-five thousand dollars by reason of the payment each year beginning five years from date of said bonds of one million dollars of said bonds, and the sum of one million dollars each year beginning four years from the date of said bonds to pay and in season to pay the principal of such bonds as they respectively become due, and continuing each succeeding year for thirty-nine years until the principal of all of said bonds has been paid.

The purpose and intent of the foregoing tax provisions are, and it is hereby expressly provided, that at the time of levying the municipal tax, and in the manner provided for such tax levy, the Supervisors shall levy and collect annually a tax sufficient to pay the anual interest on such bonds, and also such part of the bonded indebtedness as will fall due within the succeeding fiscal year. Such taxes shall be in addition to all other taxes levied for municipal purposes, and shall be collected at the same time and in the same manner as other municipal taxes are collected.

Section 11. This ordinance shall be published for at least ten days in the official newspaper, and at the expiration of said ten days notice of such special election shall be given and published as required by law.

Section 12. This ordinance is the third of a series of ordinances which will be adopted by the Board of Supervisors relating to and designed to secure the acquisition, construction and completion of the public utility named.

Section 13. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Todd—12.

Absent—Supervisors Byington, Deasy, Hayden, Marks, Powers, Stanton—6.

Amending Zoning Ordinance Placing Easterly Side of Mason Street Between Pine and California Streets in Commercial District.

Bill No. 8020, Ordinance No. 7536 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings, and the locations of buildings designed for specific uses and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the people of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Section 1 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place the easterly side of Mason street between Pine street and California street and extending to a depth of 206 feet 3 inches in the Commercial District instead of the Second Residential District.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect on date of its approval and shall continue in effect for the period of ninety (90) days thereafter, at which time it shall cease to be in effect, and the premises herein described shall revert to and be in the Second Residential District.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco,

Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—14.

Absent — Supervisors Byington, Hayden, Marks, Powers—4.

Repealing Ordinance No. 7411 (New Series) Ordering the Improvement of Peabody Street Between Leland and Visitacion Avenues.

Bill No. 8021, Ordinance No. 7537 (New Series), as follows:

Repealing Ordinance No. 7411 (New Series), approved February 11, 1927, ordering the improvement of Peabody street between Leland and Visitacion avenues, where not already improved, etc.

Be it ordained by the people of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 7411 (New Series), approved February 11, 1927, ordering the improvement of Peabody street between Leland and Visitation avenues, where not already improved, etc., is hereby repealed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—14.

Absent — Supervisors Byington, Hayden, Marks, Powers—4.

Ordering Street Work.

Bill No. 8022, Ordinance No. 7538 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the people of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors April 20, 1927, having recommended the ordered of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Kirkham street between Forty-eighth avenue and the Great Highway, by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of an 8-inch ironstone pipe sewer with accompanying manhole, Y branches and side-sewers, along the center line of Kirkham street from a point 20 feet westerly from the westerly line of Forty-eighth avenue to the existing sewer in the Great Highway; and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and 1½-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—14.

Absent — Supervisors Byington, Hayden, Marks, Powers—4.

Bill No. 8023, Ordinance No. 7539 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the people of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the clerk of the Board of Supervisors April 20, 1927, having recommended the ordering the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans

and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessmenht to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year form the time of the payment of the proceeding installment and the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Kirkham street between the easterly line of Forty-first avenue and Forty-second avenue, including the crossing of Forty-first avenue and Kirkham street, by grading to official line and grade; by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of an 18-inch ironstone pipe sewer with accompanying manholes, Y branches and side-sewers; by the construction of 8-inch and 15-inch ironstone pipe sewers; by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks of the full official width on the angular corners thereof; by the construction of the necessary catchbasins with accompanying 10-inch ironstone pipe culverts, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and a 1½-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface, on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—14.

Absent — Supervisors Byington, Hayden, Marks, Powers—4.

Action Deferred.

The following matter was *continued one week*:

Confirmation of Sale of Lands.

Bill No. 8026, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Confirming the sale of lands owned by the City and County of San Francisco and situate in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California.

Whereas, by Ordinance No. 7387 (New Series), approved January 28, 1927, the Board of Supervisors determined that public interest and necessity demanded the sale of the lands hereinafter described, and by said ordinance directed the Mayor of the City and County of San Francisco to sell all of said lands at private sale, and directed that notice of said sale be given for two weeks successively next before the sale, as required by law; and

Whereas, the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors thereafter proceeded to publish notice of said sale in the official newspaper and one other daily newspaper published in the City and County of San Francisco, for two weeks successively next before said sale was directed to be made, describing the lands to be sold therein with common certainty and stating the date on which said sale would be made, as specified in Ordinance No. 7387 (New Series), and that all bids or offers would be received by the Mayor at his office in the City Hall, City and County of San Francisco, State of California, on March 3, 1927; and

Whereas, the Mayor, the Assessor and the Chairman of the Finance Committee, being the Board of Appraisers constituted by the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco for such purpose, thereafter duly met and made an appraisement of said lands and fixed the fair value thereof, and reported said appraisement to the Board of Supervisors in writing; and

Whereas, thereafter, and on the 3d day of March, 1927, at private sale, the Mayor of the City and County of San Francisco sold said parcels of land to the following named corporation, Meyer Bros., a corporation, for $11,635, and accepted from said corporation a deposit in the amount of ten per cent or more of the amount bid, and thereafter, and at the next meeting of the Board of Supervisors, March 7, 1927, duly notified the Board of Supervisors in writing of the fact of such sale, stating the amount bid, the name of the bidder, and requesting that the Board confirm the sale; and

Whereas, the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors immediately thereupon proceeded to give notice by publication in the official newspaper and one other newspaper published in the City and County, for a period of 20 days from and after the 9th day of March, 1927, that at a meeting of the Board of Supervisors to be held on the 4th day of April, 1927, the matter of said sale would come up for confirmation, stating also in said notice the fact of the sale and amount for which the lands had been sold, as aforesaid, and the name of the purchaser, and also stating that if at such

meeting on the 4th day of April, 1927, an offer of 10 per cent more in amount than that named in said notice should be made to the Supervisors in writing by a responsible person, the Supervisors would confirm such sale to such person or order a new sale; and

Whereas, the said confirmation of sale of said property was taken up on the 4th day of April, 1927, and, upon motion in the Board of Supervisors, action thereon was postponed until May 2, 1927; and

Whereas, May 2, 1927, having now arrived and a higher bid has been obtained, namely, $18,000 from Meyer Bros., being an increase over their former bid, and it appearing to the Board of Supervisors that the said amount is not disproportionate to the value of the property sold and that a greater amount cannot be obtained; therefore,

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. That said sale of said lands hereinafter described is hereby ratified, approved and confirmed to Meyer Bros., and the Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors are hereby authorized to execute and deliver to said purchaser, upon the payment of the balance of the purchase price, a good and sufficient conveyance in the name of the City and County of San Francisco, conveying all the right, title and interest of the City and County of San Francisco in and to the lands sold as aforesaid, and more particularly described as follows, to-wit:

All those certain lots, pieces or parcels of land in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, described as follows:

Parcel "A": Beginning at the point of intersection of the northerly line of Twenty-ninth street with the westerly line of Kenyon avenue, thence along said northerly line of Twenty-ninth street south 85 deg. 24 min. 56 sec. west 560 feet to the easterly line of Stanford Heights avenue; thence along said easterly line of Stanford Heights avenue north 4 deg. 35 min. 4 sec. west 228 feet to the southerly line of Valley street; thence along said southerly line of Valley street north 85 deg. 24 min. 56 sec. east 216.295 feet; thence south 58 deg. 36 min. east 145.267 feet; thence along the arc of a curve to the left with a radius of 545 feet, tangent to the preceding course southeasterly 227.972 feet; thence tangent to the preceding course south 82 deg. 34 min. east 19.846 feet to the aforesaid westerly line of Kenyon avenue; thence along said westerly line of Kenyon avenue south 4 deg. 35 min. 4 sec. east 46.459 feet to the point of beginning.

Being part of Block 60, Stanford Heights, and containing 2.071 acres.

Parcel "B": Beginning at the point of intersection of the easterly line of Kenyon avenue with the northerly line of Twenty-ninth street, thence along said easterly line of Kenyon avenue north 4 deg. 35 min. 4 sec. west 31.822 feet; thence south 82 deg. 34 min. east 152.831 feet to the aforesaid northerly line of Twenty-ninth street; thence along said northerly line of Twenty-ninth street south 85 deg. 24 min. 56 sec. west 149.482 feet to the point of beginning.

Being part of Block 61, Stanford Heights, and containing 0.055 acres.

Parcel "C": Beginning at the point of intersection of tne northerly line of Thirty-first street with the westerly line of Burnett avenue, thence along said northerly line of Thirty-first street south 85 deg. 24 min. 56 sec. west 560 feet to the easterly line of Twin Peaks avenue; thence along said easterly line of Twin Peaks avenue north 4 deg. 35 min. 4 sec. west 228 feet to the southerly line of Clarkson street; thence along said southerly line of Clarkson street north 85 deg. 24 min. 56 sec. east 413.101 feet; thence south 26 deg. 16 min. east 71.833 feet and south 44 deg. 36 min. east 187.186 feet to the aforesaid westerly line of Burnett avenue; thence along said westerly line of Burnett avenue south 4 deg. 35 min. 4 sec. east 17.889 feet to the point of beginning.

Being part of Block 90, Stanford Heights, and containing 2.528 acres.

Section 2. The City Attorney is hereby directed to prepare the necessary conveyance and supervise the delivery of deed, upon payment of the purchase price, as aforesaid.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

PRESENTATION OF BILLS AND ACCOUNTS.

Your Finance Committee, having examined miscellaneous demands not required by law to be passed to print, and amounting to $54,138.83, recommends same be allowed and ordered paid.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—14.

Absent — Supervisors Byington, Hayden. Marks, Powers—4.

NEW BUSINESS.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Providing for Management of Municipal Airport.

On motion of Supervisor Kent:

Bill No. 8027, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Providing for the management of the Municipal Airport or landing field for air craft.

Be it ordained by the people of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Committee appointed by the Board of Supervisors under its rules as the Committee on Public Welfare, Publicity and Airport shall have control and management of the Municipal Airport or landing field for air craft, located near Millbrae in San Mateo County.

Section 2. Said Committee is hereby authorized to lease space in said landing field for the storage and accommodation of air craft and the accessories, and for purposes incident thereto, and may impose charges for the use of said field and for the accommodations afforded in connection therewith. Such Committee shall adopt such rules and regulations governing the use of such field as may be necessary to promote public safety and protect the public interest.

Section 3. The said Committee is hereby authorized to appoint a superintendent of said landing field and such subordinates as may be necessary at such compensation as may hereafter be fixed by the Board of Supersisors.

Section 4. All money received from leases, or from the granting of any privileges, shall be paid into the Treasury and placed in the Municipal Airport Fund, which fund is hereby created in accordance with the provisions of Section 16 of Article XII of the Charter, and appropriations may be made therefrom as provided in said section.

Section 5. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Authorizations.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

Park Fund.

(1) J. A. Bryant, ninth payment, construction of basket ball pavilion, Kezar Stadium (claim dated May 6, 1927), $1,153.50.

(2) Sevin-Vincent Seed Co., seed, etc., for parks (claim dated May 6, 1927), $1,015.54.

Aquarium Fund—(Appropriation 57.)

(3) California Academy of Sciences, maintenance of Steinhart Aquarium for April, 1927 (claim dated May 9, 1927), $3,328.07.

Sunset Tunnel Assessment

(4) Leonard F. Youdall and R. G. Clifford, eleventh payment, construction of tunnel and appurtenances under Buena Vista Park, Sunset tunnel (claim dated May 3, 1927), $70,117.80.

Fire Department Land and Construction Fund.

(5) Elliot & Grant, eighth payment, general construction of Fire Department Engine House No. 19 (claim dated May 3, 1927), $4,987.95.

Tubercular Sanitarium Fund.

(6) Wallace & Tiernan Co., Inc., one chloro-meter complete, at the Tubercular Sanitarium (claim dated April 28, 1927), $788.

Relief Home Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923.

(7) L. Ebbets, conditional acceptance payment for construction of conservatory at the Relief Home (claim dated May 3, 1927), $1,772.

Special School Tax.

(8) Monson Bros., extra work, general construction of additions to the Galileo High School (claim dated April 28, 1937), $666.90.

(9) W. S. Ray Mfg. Co., three fuel oil burners for schools (claim dated April 29, 1927), $763.50.

(10) Mahony Bros., seventh payment, construction of addition to the Commodore Sloat School (claim dated May 3, 1927), $10 619.36.

(11) Thos Skelly, third payment, plumbing work for addition to the Commodore Sloat School (claim dated May 3, 1927), $784.20.

(12) Scott Company, second payment, mechanical equipment for addition to the Commodore Sloat School (claim dated May 3, 1927), $1,440.01.

(13) Knittle Bros., second payment, mechanical equipment for addition to the Madison School (claim dated May 3, 1927), $2,543.25.

(14) E. K. Nelson, general construction of addition to the Madison School (claim dated May 3, 1927), $6,578.43.

(15) S. W. Band, tenth payment, plumbing for additional units to the

Galileo High School (claim dated May 3, 1927), $2,198.25.

(16) P. J. Enright, seventh payment, mechanical equipment for additional units to Galileo High School (claim dated May 3, 1927), $5,380.52.

(17) O. Monson, twelfth payment, general construction of additional units to Galileo High School (claim dated May 3, 1927), $22,143.15.

School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923.

(18) Amoroso & Damico, extra work, general construction of Edison School (claim dated May 2, 1927), $2,494.50.

(19) Latourette-Fical Co., fifth payment, plumbing for Mission (Everett) Junior High School (claim dated May 3, 1927), $1,-766.37.

(20) R. W. Moller, eighth payment, general construction of the Mission (Everett) Junior High School (claim dated May 3, 1927), $54,804.15.

(21) Scott Company, second payment, mechanical equipment for the Mission (Everett) Junior High School (claim dated May 3, 1927), $1,684.50.

(22) Anderson & Ringrose, fifth payment, general construction of addition to the Sunnyside School (claim dated May 3, 1927), $15,300.

(23) L. Flatland, second payment, electric work for the Sunnyside School (claim dated May 3, 1927), $1,868.44.

(24) Thos. Skelly, second payment, mechanical equipment for addition to Sunnyside School (claim dated May 3, 1927), $1,211.47.

(25) Thos. Skelly, second payment, plumbing for addition to Sunnyside School (claim dated May 3, 1927), $2,245.09.

(26) Amoroso & Damico, eleventh payment, general construction of the Edison School (claim dated May 3, 1927), $15,426.

(27) Latorurette-Fical Co., sixth payment, plumbing for Edison School (claim dated May 3, 1927), $2,581.96.

(28) Scott Company, fourth payment, mechanical equipment for Edison School (claim dated May 3, 1927), $1,531.88.

(29) O. Monson, first payment, general construction of Portola Junior High School (claim dated May 3, 1927), $15,765.75.

(30) Latourette-Fical Co., conditional acceptance payment, mechanical equipment for the new Mission High School (claim dated May 3, 1927), $16,637.41.

(31) A. Lettich, third peyment, plumbing for Hearst-Moulder School (claim dated May 3, 1927), $1,-866.37.

(32) Mahony Bros., third payment, general construction of the Sherman School (claim dated May 3, 1927), $15,361.65.

Municipal Railway Depreciation Fund.

(33) Standard Underground Cable Co., copper trolley for Municipal Railways (claim dated April 30, 1927), $4,663.92.

Hetch Hetchy Water Bond Fund, Issue 1925.

(34) Garfield & Co., one gas-air shovel mounted on caterpillar type for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated May 2, 1927), $13,-777.25.

(35) The Giant Powder Co., Cons., explosions for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated April 28, 1927), $6,577.

(36) Hercules Powder Co., explosives for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated April 28, 1927), $3,866.79.

(37) Ingersoll-Rand Co. of California, machinery parts, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated April 28, 1927), $549.97.

(38) Pope & Talbot, lumber for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated April 28, 1927), $740.25.

Hetch Hetchy Operative Revenue Fund.

(39) Railroad Commission of the State of California, expense of valuation of San Francisco electric properties (claim dated May 9, 1927), $1,000.

General Fund, 1926-1927.

(40) San Francisco Bulletin, official advertising, Board of Public Works (claim dated May 2, 1927), $511.20.

(41) San Francisco Bulletin, official advertising, Board of Supervisors (claim dated May 9, 1927), $990.36.

(42) San Francisco Society for the Prevention of Cruelty to Animals, impounding, feeding, etc., of animals (claim dated May 9, 1927) $1,250.

(43) John W. Rogers, expense of Supervisors' legislative representative attending sessions of State Legislature, March 9 to April 29, 1927; pursuant to Resolution No. 26980 (New Series) (claim dated May 9, 1927), $608.70.

(44) Emma A. McColm, compensation insurance for account of death of Chas. A. McColm, husband, employee of Department of Public Works (claim dated April 21, 1927), $616.58.

(45) Granfield, Farrar & Carlin, first payment, grading of Municipal Airport (claim dated May 4, 1927), $9,900.

(46) Latourette-Fical Co., first payment, water supply, drainage, etc., construction, Municipal Airport (claim dated May 4, 1927), $4,950.

(47) Frank J. McHugh, second payment, construction of Section B, westerly Sunset District sewer (claim dated May 4, 1927), $8,250.

(48) Manuel Smith, first payment, construction of sewer in Noriega street from Twenty-fourth to Twenty-ninth avenues (claim dated May 4, 1927), $2,250.

(49) Eaton & Smith, third payment, improvement of Sutro Monument (claim dated May 4, 1927), $4,450.

(50) Department of Public Works (credit of Budget Item No. 476), for material used in construction of shed for Department of Public Health, 1085 Mission street (claim dated March 31, 1927), $722.48.

(51) Department of Public Works (credit of Budget Iten No. 476), for materials used in alterations at No. 1085 Mission street, for Department of Public Health (claim dated March 31, 1927), $1,469.16.

(52) Department of Public Works (credit of various budget items), for labor furnished in building shed at No. 1085 Mission street, for Department of Public Health (claim dated March 31, 1927), $690.70.

(53) Department of Public Works (credit various budget items), for labor furnished in making alterations at No. 1085 Mission street, Department of Public Health (claim dated March 31, 1927), $2,361.25.

Playground Fund.

(54) Spring Valley Water Co., water furnished for use of playgrounds (claim dated May 4, 1927), $840.50.

Appropriations for Land Required for John McLaren Park.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside and appropriated out of "Purchase of lands for public purposes in Mission District," Budget Item No. 73, and authorized in payment to the California Pacific Title and Trust Co.; being payments for properties required for the John McLaren Park, to-wit:

(1) All of Lots Nos. 11 and 12, in Block No. 16, in accordance with map entitled "Map of the Reis Tract," recorded in Map Book 1, pages 241 and 242; as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 27214, New Series (claim dated May 9, 1927), $1,592.50.

(2) All of Lots 7 and 8, in Block No. 4, Reis Tract, recorded in Liber 1 of Maps, pages 241 and 242; as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 27222, New Series (claim dated May 9, 1927), $3,280.50.

(3) For property commencing at intersection of the northeasterly line of Harvard street and the southeasterly line of Bacon street, running thence southeasterly on Harvard street 100 feet; thence at right angles northeasterly 120 feet; thence at a right angle northwesterly 100 feet to the southeasterly line of Bacon street; thence at a right angle southwesterly along Bacon street 120 feet to the point of commencement; as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 27223 (New Series), and being Lot 8, in Block No. 104, University Homestead Association (claim dated May 9, 1927), $803.50.

Appropriations, Fence Construction, County Jail, Improvement Hall of Justice, Equipment Laguna Honda Home and Engine House No. 18, Architectural Fees.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned funds for the following purposes. to-wit:

Repairs to Public Buildings, Budget Item No. 68.

(1) For construction of fence on boundary line of roadway, window screens, repairs of sinks, tables and benches and miscellaneous repairs at County Jails Nos. 2 and 3, $3,000.

(2) For furnishing and installing hot water tank, alterations in water lines, overhauling showers, etc., Hall of Justice, $1,123.

(3) For cost of alterations in basement of Hall of Justice to provide suitable storage space for Police Department property clerk, $1,495.47.

Relief Home Equipment, Budget Item No. 64.

(4) For purchase of equipment for the Laguna Honda Home, $630.36.

Fire Department Land and Construction Fund.

(5) For cost of architectural fees in connection with construction of Fire Department Engine House No.

18, Third street near Merrimac street, $3,600.

Accepting Statement of the Market Street Railway of City's Percentage of Gross Receipts.

Supervisor McSheehy presented: Resolution No. 27238 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the statement heretofore filed by the Market Street Railway Company showing gross receipts from passenger fares for the month of March, 1927, upon which percentages in the following amounts are due the City and County under terms of franchises be and the same are hereby accepted, to-wit:

Parkside Transportation Co., etc, $532.24.

Gough Street Railroad Co., $50.29

Parnassus avenue, etc., $338.67.

Further Resolved, That the Market Street Railway Company be and is hereby directed to deposit with the Treasurer of the City and County the hereinabove mentioned sums, the same to be placed to the credit of the General Fund.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—14.

Absent — Supervisors Byington, Hayden, Marks, Powers—4.

City Attorney to Compromise Litigation, Jenny Kent et al. v. City and County.

Supervisor McSheehy presented: Resolution No. 27239 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the City Attorney be and he is hereby authorized to compromise and settle that certain action pending in the Superior Court of the State of California, in and for the City and County of San Francisco, entitled "Jenny Kent et al. v. City and County of San Francisco," and numbered 166,637 on the files thereof; which action was brought to recover damages from the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, by reason of that certain accident which occurred on the 23d day of December, 1925, wherein Gabriel Kent, husband of Jenny Kent, was killed by collision between a Municipal Railway car and an automobile truck, upon which said deceased was riding; said settlement being for the sum of seven thousand ($7,000) dollars.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—14.

Absent — Supervisors Byington, Hayden, Marks, Powers—4.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Ordering Construction of Fire Engine House No. 18.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy:

Bill No. 8028, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the construction of Fire Department Engine House No. 18, to be erected on Third street near Merrimac street; authorizing and directing the Board of of Public Works to enter into contract for said construction in accordance with plans and specifications prepared therefor and approved by the Board of Fire Commissioners.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized, instructed and empowered to enter into contract for the construction of Fire Department Engine House No. 18, to be erected on Third street near Merrimac street, in accordance with plans and specifications prepared therefor and as approved by the Board of Fire Commissioners.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Repealing Ordinance No. 7037 (New Series) Confirming Sale of City Land.

Also, Bill No. 8029, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Repealing Ordinance No. 7037 (New Series), approved April 22, 1926, entitled "Confirming sale of land owned by the City and County of San Francisco heretofore set aside for Fire Department purposes."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 7037 (New Series), approved April 22, 1926, confirming the sale of land owned by the City and County of San Francisco heretofore set aside for Fire Department purposes, to F. S. Ballinger for the sum of $12,500, be and the same is hereby repealed on account of defective title; said land being situate and described as follows:

Commencing at a point on the westerly line of Stockton street, distant thereon 115 feet southerly from the southerly line of Broadway, running thence southerly along the said westerly line of Stockton street 22

feet 10 inches; thence at a right angle westerly 80 feet to the easterly line of Cordelia street; thence northerly along said easterly line of Cordelia street 22 feet 10 inches; thence at a right angle easterly 80 feet to the westerly line of Stockton street and point of commencement. Being a portion of 50 Vara Block 133, also known as Lot 4, Block 160, on Assessor's Map Book.

Section 2. All proceedings and action heretofore had in the sale of said land as hereinabove described by the City and County be and the same is hereby annulled, vacated and set aside, and the certified copy of said confirmation of sale of said land heretofore filed in the office of the City and County Recorder is hereby cancelled and withdrawn; and the attention of the Recorder, the Auditor and Treasurer is hereby called to the provisions of this ordinance, and the Clerk is hereby directed to transmit a copy of this ordinance to said herein named officials.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Action Deferred.

The following resolution was *continued one week*:

Transfer of Twin Peaks Tunnel Funds.

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $42,508.21 is hereby transferred from the Twin Peaks Ridge Tunnel Assessment Fund to the Municipal Railway Fund and the Board of Public Works, the Tax Collector, Auditor and Treasurer are hereby directed to make such entries in their official records and books of account as will correctly show such transfer; said transfer is for the purpose of reimbursing said Municipal Railway Fund for moneys advanced therefrom and used in the construction of the Twin Peaks Tunnel project.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Oil and Boiler Permits.

On motion of Supervisor Deasy:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits be and are hereby granted:

Oil Tanks.

L. Anker, north side of Lombard street, 70 feet west of Gough street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Ralph Briar, 545 Post street, 1500 gallons capacity.

D. J. Clancy, south side of Ellis street, 75 feet east of Larkin street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Domestic Engineering Company, 76 Sea Cliff, 1500 gallons capacity.

Henry Friend, 1277 Golden Gate avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

C. Gillig, north side of Monterey boulevard, 40 feet west of San Fernando way, 600 gallons capacity.

John Harder, south side of Jefferson street, 175 feet west of Divisadero street, 1500 gallons capacity.

John Harder, south side of Jefferson street, 200 feet west of Divisadero street, 1500 gallons capacity.

M. Jorgensen, west side of Divisadero street, 119 feet south of Jefferson street, 1500 gallons capacity.

E. V. Lacey, northwest corner of Twenty-first street and Lexington street, 1500 gallons capacity.

J. Props, south side of California street, 75 feet west of Franklin street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Reid Bros., 535-39 Market street, 1500 gallons capacity.

F. S. Rolandi, 880 Camino Del Mar, 1500 gallons capacity.

Sheehan & Woolfrey, north side of Broadway, 105 feet east of Gough street, 1500 gallons capacity.

B. G. Sloss, east side of Lyon street, 100 feet south of Broadway, 1500 gallons capacity.

Geo. Stempel, 324 Fell street, 1500 gallons capacity.

R. J. Stempel, southwest corner of Jefferson and Divisadero streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

Stoneson Bros. & Thorenson, north side of Maywood drive, 100 feet west of El Verano way, 1500 gallons capacity.

Tochinni, north side of Jefferson street, 112 feet east of Divisadero street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Boiler.

The Samarkand Company, 893 Folsom street, 20 horsepower.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits shall become null and void.

Garage Permits.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That M. Gilmore be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate a public garage at 168 Thirteenth street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Mark Hopkins Hotel Garage be and is hereby granted

permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate a public garage at 951 California street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Yellow-Checker Cab Company be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate a public garage on the west side of Van Ness avenue, 120 feet south of Market street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Transfer of Garage Permits.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Alberigi & Sorini be and are hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred public garage permit heretofore granted Bear Film Co. by Resolution No. 26585 (New Series) for premises on the south side of Howard street, 125 feet east of Sixth street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That F. H. Palmer be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred public garage permit heretofore granted J. J. Morrison by Resolution No. 24796 (New Series) for premises at 1660 McAllister street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Thomas Mullender be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred public garage permit heretofore granted A. R. Seger by Resolution No. 19493 (New Series) for premises at 114 Fern avenue.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Automobile Parking Station Permit.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That John Sirmyer be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an automobile parking station on the east side of Guerrero street between Fifteenth and Sixteenth streets.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Planing Mill Permit.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Henry Harder be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate a planing mill on the southeast corner of Bay Shore Highway and Oakdale avenue.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Cabinet Shop Permit.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Geo. T. Thomson be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate cabinet shop at 42 Clara street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Amending Ordinance No. 4395, Regulating Storage of Gasoline, Etc.

Also, Bill No. 8030, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 4395 (New Series), entitled "Regulating the storage of gasoline or any product of petroleum flashing below 110 degrees Fahrenheit," by adding thereto a new section to be numbered Section 3-A.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 4395 (New Series), entitled "Regulating the storage of gasoline or any product of petroleum flashing below 110 degrees Fahrenheit", is hereby amended by adding a new section thereto to be numbered Section 3-A, and to read as follows:

Section 3-A. Before any benzine, gasoline or any product of petroleum or any hydrocarbon liquid that will flash or emit an inflammable vapor below a temperature of one hundred and ten (110) degrees Fahrenheit, shall be stored in, upon

or about any premises in excess of five gallons, application for permit must be made to the Fire Marshal. Said permit will be granted by said Fire Marshal, except where, in the judgment of said officer, the use by the applicant in the manner proposed by him would endanger the safety of life and property.

Section 2. This ordinance shall become effective immediately.

Stable Permit.

On motion of Supervisor Hayden:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Wm. S. Tevis be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain a stable for thirty horses at 19 Henderson avenue.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Taxicab Stand Permit.

Supervisor Schmidt presented:

Resolution No. 27240 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following taxicab permits are hereby granted:

Red Top Cab Company at 5437 Geary street, 1147 Polk street, 504 Clement street and 299 Leavenworth street.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—14.

Absent — Supervisors Byington, Hayden, Marks, Powers—4.

Masquerade Ball Permit.

Supervisor Schmidt presented:

Resolution No. 27241 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Empire Commandery No. 1 be and it is hereby authorized to hold a masquerade ball on Saturday evening, May 14, 1927, in Musicians' Hall, Jones street, near Eddy.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—14.

Absent — Supervisors Byington, Hayden, Marks, Powers—4.

Street Lights.

Supervisor Stanton presented:

Resolution No. 27242 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Pacific Gas and Electric Company be and it is hereby authorized and requested to install and remove the following street lights:

Install 400 *M. R.*

Southeast corner Hastings Terrace and Hyde street.

Howard and Twenty-sixth streets.

West side Howard street between Twenty-fifth and Twenty-sixth streets.

East side Howard street between Twenty-fifth and Twenty-sixth streets.

West side Twentieth avenue between Lincoln way and Irving street.

West side Twentieth avenue between Irving and Judah streets.

Remove Gas Lamps.

Northwest corner Hastings Terrace and Hyde street.

East side Howard street, first and second north of Twenty-sixth street.

West side Howard street, first and second north of Twenty-sixth street.

Northeast and southwest corners Howard and Twenty-sixth streets.

West side Twentieth avenue between Lincoln way and Irving street.

East side Twentieth avenue, first and second south of Lincoln way.

East side Twentieth avenue between Irving and Judah streets.

West side Twentieth avenue, first and second south of Irving street.

Install Ornamental Brackets.

East side Fillmore street from north side Fulton to north side of Sacramento street, two 600 C. P. O. B. in each block.

West side Fillmore street from north side of Fulton street to north side of Sacramento street, two 600 C. P. O. B. in each block.

Repeal that portion of Resolution No. 24642 (New Series) that pertains to Fillmore street between Fulton and Sacramento streets.

Change 300-*Watt to* 400 *M. R.*

Kearny street, south of Broadway.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—14.

Absent — Supervisors Byington, Hayden, Marks, Powers—4.

Also, Resolution No. 27243 (New-ries), as follows:

Resolved, That the Pacific Gas and Electric Company be and it is hereby authorized and requested to remove and install the following street lights:

Remove Gas Lamps.

North and south sides Ellis street, west of Fillmore street.

Northwest and southeast corners Ellis and Steiner streets.

South side Ellis street, first and second west of Steiner street.

North side Ellis street, first west of Steiner street.

Ellis street and Bourbon place.

Northeast and southwest corners Ellis and Pierce streets.

North and south sides Ellis street, first west of Pierce street.

Northwest and southeast corners Ellis and Scott streets.

North and south sides Ellis street, west of Divisadero street.

Install 400 M. R.

Ellis street between Fillmore and Steiner streets.

Ellis and Steiner streets.

Ellis street and Bourbon place.

Ellis and Pierce streets.

Ellis street between Pierce and Scott streets.

Ellis and Scott streets.

Ellis street between Scott and Divisadero streets.

Ellis street between Divisadero and Broderick streets.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—14.

Absent — Supervisors Byington, Hayden, Marks, Powers—4.

Passed for Printing.

The following bill was *passed for printing*:

Amending Section 79, Ordinance No. 1008 (New Series), Limiting Heights of Buildings.

On motion of Supervisor Powers:

Bill No. 8031, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Section 79 of Ordinance No. 1008 (New Series), known as "The Building Law," and relating to height limitations of buildings.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Section 79 of Ordinance 1008 (New Series), known as "The Building Law," is hereby amended to read as follows:

General Height Limitations.

Section 79. The heights of buildings shall not exceed the heights given under the different classes, except that stair and elevator houses, water tanks, towers and spires may exceed the limits.

Towers and spires on Class "C" or frame buildings may extend one hundred feet above the roof, but no such tower or spire shall occupy more than one-quarter of the street frontage of the building, nor shall it have a base area exceeding 1000 square feet. Such towers and spires shall not be used as a dwelling, place of manufacture nor storage room and shall be covered with fireproof materials.

Towers for the purpose of filtering, cooling or purifying water, or for the purpose of mixing mortar or concrete may be erected or constructed, provided that they be erected or constructed independently of the building and to a height not exceeding seventy-five (75) feet, and that they be of heavy timber or steel construction.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Extension of Time, Contract for Burglar Alarm.

Supervisor Powers presented:

Resolution No. 27244 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Butte Electric and Manufacturing Company be and it is hereby granted an extension of twenty (20) days' time from and after April 6, 1927, on its contract to complete the installation of the burglar alarm system in the offices of the Treasurer and Tax Collector, City Hall. The delay in the contract has been recommended by the Board of Public Works for the reason of the failure of the Underwriters to inspect the wiring, the contractor therefor being compelled to delay its completion.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—14.

Absent — Supervisors Byington, Hayden, Marks, Powers—4.

Accepting Offer of Knute L. Anderson to Sell Land on Banks Street Required for School Purposes.

Supervisor Powers presented:

Resolution No. 27245 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, an offer has been received from Knute L. Anderson to convey to the City and County of San Francisco certain land and improvements situated on the easterly line of Banks street, distant thereon 125 feet southerly from Tompkins street, required for school purposes; and

Whereas, the price at which said parcel of land is offered is the reasonable value thereof; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the offer of the said owner to convey to the City and County of San Francisco a good and sufficient fee simple title to the following described land, free of all encumbrances, for the sum of $6,000 be and the same is hereby accepted, the said land being described as follows, to-wit:

Commencing at a point on the easterly line of Banks street, distant thereon 125 feet southerly from the southerly line of Tompkins street, running thence at a right angle easterly 140 feet to the westerly line of Prentiss street; thence southerly along said westerly line of Prentiss street 50 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 140 feet to the said easterly line of Banks street; thence northerly along the easterly line of Banks street 50 feet to the point of commencement. Being a portion of Block 5728 on Assessor's Map Book.

The City Attorney is hereby directed to examine the title to said land, and if the same is found to be vested in the aforesaid owner, free of all encumbrances, and that the taxes up to and including the current fiscal year are paid, and that the so-called McEnerney title has been procured or sufficient money reserved for the purpose of procuring the same, to report the result of his examination to the Board of Supervisors, and also to cause a good and sufficient deed for said land to be executed and delivered to the City and County upon payment of the agreed purchase price as aforesaid. And the said deed to said land is hereby accepted.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—14.

Absent — Supervisors Byington, Hayden, Marks, Powers—4.

Action Deferred.

The following resolution was *laid over one week*:

Transfer of School Department Lands.

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the City and County of San Francisco is the owner of that certain lot of land bounded as follows, to-wit: On the north by Clement street, on the east by Twenty-ninth avenue, on the south by Geary street, and on the west by Thirtieth avenue, and known as Outside Land Block No. 210; and

Whereas, said lot of land is vacant and unused, and is at the present time needed by the School Department for the purpose of being used for the erection and construction of school buildings thereon; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the above-describe real property be and the same is hereby transferred to the School Department, and that from and after the passage and approval of this resolution said real property be under the jurisdiction of the Board of Education, to be dealt with for school purposes.

Passed for Printing.

The following bill was *pessed for printing*:

Establishing Grades, Marina Gardens.

On motion of Supervisor Marks:

Bill No. 8032, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Establishing grades in the Marina Gardens Tract and adjacent streets, as shown on map entitled "Grade Map of Marina Gardens Tract and adjacent streets," dated September, 1926.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The grades on streets in the Marina Gardens and adjacent streets, as shown on map entitled "Grade Map of Marina Gardens Tract and adjacent streets," dated September, 1926, are hereby established at points and elevations above city base as shown on said map approved by Resolution No. 97005 (Second Series), adopted April 22, 1927, by the Board of Public Works.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ordering Opening and Extension of McKinnon Avenue.

Supervisor Marks presented:

Resolution No. 27246 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, on the 9th day of February, 1920, the Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco duly and regularly and finally passed Resolution No. 17639 (New Series), which said resolution was presented to his Honor the Mayor for his approval, and was on the 13th day of February, 1920, approved by his Honor the Mayor, and which said resolution is in the words and figures as follows, to-wit:

Resolution No. 17639 (New Series), as follows:

Resolution of intention to open and extend McKinnon avenue, Toland street and Rankin street, and to open a new street to be known as Upton street.

Resolved, That the public interest and convenience requires that McKinnon avenue, Toland street and Rankin street be produced and extended, and that a new street, to be known as Upton street, be opened according to the specific descriptions hereinafter set forth; and

It is the intention of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco to order the opening and extending of said streets, or portions of said streets, as part of a general plan.

McKinnon Avenue.

That the proposed extension of McKinnon avenue and the lands deemed necessary to be taken for such proposed extension, are situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and are particularly described as follows, to-wit:

Beginning at a point on the westerly line of Selby street, distant thereon 480 feet perpendicularly northerly from the northerly line of Oakdale avenue, and running westerly on a line parallel with said northerly line of Oakdale avenue to intersection with the easterly line of Barneveld avenue; thence northerly, along said easterly line of Barneveld avenue, to a point 560 feet perpendicularly northerly from the northerly line of Oakdale avenue; thence easterly on a line parallel with said northerly line of Oakdale avenue to intersection with the westerly line of Selby street; thence southerly, along said westerly line of Selby street 80 feet to the point of beginning; excepting therefrom such portions as are portions of public streets.

Toland Street.

That the proposed extension of Toland street and the lands deemed necessary to be taken for such proposed extension, are situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and are particularly described as follows, to-wit:

Beginning at a point on the southerly line of Jerrold avenue, distant thereon 600 feet perpendicularly westerly from the westerly line of Selby street, running southerly on a line parallel with said westerly line of Selby street, to intersection with the northerly line of Oakdale avenue; thence westerly along said line of Oakdale avenue 64 feet; thence northerly on a line parallel with the westerly line of Selby street to intersection with the southerly line of Jerrold avenue; thence easterly along said line of Jerrold avenue 64 feet to the point of beginning; excepting therefrom such portions as are portions of public streets.

Rankin Street.

That the proposed extension of Rankin street and the lands deemed necessary to be taken for such proposed extension, are situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and are particularly described as follows, to-wit:

Beginning at the point of intersection of the easterly line of Rankin street with a line which is parallel with and perpendicularly distant easterly 53 feet 6 inches from the center line of the westerly (known as east bound) constructed main track of the Southern Pacific Company's railroad, known as the "Bay Shore Route," running southerly on a line parallel with said center line of said railroad to intersection with the northerly line of Jerrold avenue; thence easterly along said northerly line of Jerrold avenue to a point which is perpendicularly distant easterly 113 feet 6 inches from said center line of said railroad; thence northerly on a line parallel with said center line of said railroad to intersection with the easterly line of Rankin street; thence southerly along said easterly line of Rankin street to the point of beginning; excepting therefrom such portions as are portions of public streets.

Upton Street.

That the proposed new street, to be known as Upton street, and the lands deemed to be necessary to be taken for such proposed new street, are situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and are particularly described as follows, to-wit:

Beginning at a point on the southerly line of Jerrold avenue, distant thereon 1164 feet perpendicularly westerly from the westerly line of Selby street, running southerly on a line parallel with said westerly line of Selby street 760 feet; thence westerly on a line parallel with the southerly line of Jerrold avenue 50 feet; thence northerly on a line parallel with the westerly line of Selby street 760 feet to the southerly line of Jerrold avenue; thence easterly along said line of Jerrold avenue 50 feet to the point of beginning; excepting therefrom such portions as are portions of public streets.

Whereas, the damages, costs and expenses of opening and extending said streets and portions of streets are nominal, and no assessment district is necessary to be formed for the purpose of paying the damages, costs and expenses thereof, the Board of Supervisors hereby declares and determines that the whole damage, cost and expense of opening and extending said streets and portions of streets shall be paid out of the revenue of the City and County of San Francisco.

Said opening and extending of said streets shall be done in pursuance of Chapter III of Article VI of the Charter of the City and

County of San Francisco, and shall be done in the manner and in accordance with the provisons of Section 2 and the sections following Section 2 of said Chapter III of Article VI of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco.

Whereas, the Clerk of the Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco did transmit to the Board of Public Works of the City and County of San Francisco a certified copy of said resolution, and the said Board of Public Works did, upon receipt of said resolution, cause to be posted in the manner and as required by law, notices of the passage of said resolution and did also cause in the manner and as required by law, a notice similar in substance, to be published for a period of ten days in the official newspaper of the City and County of San Francisco; and

Whereas, more than ten (10) days have elapsed after the expiration of the publication of said notice; and no objections to the said opening and extension of said streets were made or deliver to the Clerk of this Board within said period of ten (10) days, or at all; and

Whereas, the public interest and convenience require the said improvement to be done as specifically described in said Resolution No. 17639 (New Series); and

Whereas, the Supervisors have acquired jurisdiction to order that said opening and extension of McKinnon avenue, Toland street, Rankin street and Upton street be opened and extended as aforesaid and as specifically described and proposed in said Resolution No. 17639 (New Series); now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That it be ordered, and it is hereby ordered, that said opening and extension of McKinnon avenue, Toland street, Rankin street and Upton street be opened and extended as aforesaid, and as specifically described and proposed in said Resolution No. 17639 (New Series); and be it

Further Resolved, That the lands and property described in said Resolution No. 17639 (New Series) and declared to be deemed necessary to be taken for said opening and extension of said streets be taken for said opening and extension; and be it

Further Resolved, That the entire damages, costs and expenses of the opening and extension of said streets shall be paid out of the revenues of the City and County of San Francisco as proposed and provided in said Resolution No. 17639 (New Series); and be it

Further Resolved, That said opening and extension of said streets shall be done in pursuance of Chapter III, Article VI of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, and shall be done in the manner and in accordance with the provisions of Section 2 and the sections following Section 2 of said Chapter III of Article VI of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Clerk of this Board transmit a certified copy of this resolution to the Board of Public Works and that the Board of Public Works be instructed to proceed thereafter as required by law.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—14.

Absent — Supervisors Byington, Hayden, Marks, Powers—4.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Changing Grades, Sussex Street.

On motion of Supervisor Marks:

Bill No. 8033, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), entitled:

"Changing and reestablishing the official grades on Sussex street between the westerly line of Diamond street and the easterly line of Castro street; on Bemis street between the westerly line of Castro street and a line at right angles to the northerly line of Bemis street and 10.50 feet easterly from Castro street; on Castro street between a line connecting the intersection of the southerly line of Bemis street with the easterly line of Castro street and a point on the westerly curb line of Castro street at the southerly end of the return from Sussex street and a line 131.32 feet northerly from Sussex street; on Martin street between Sussex street and a line 101 feet northeasterly therefrom; on Diamond street between the southerly line of Sussex street and the second angle northwesterly from Arbor street; on Moffit street between Farnum street and Diamond street, and on Arbor street between Diamond street and a line at right angles to the northwesterly line of, 128.50 feet southwesterly therefrom."

Changing Grades, Baden Street.

Also, Bill No. 8034, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), entitled:

"Changing and reestablishing the

official grades on Baden street at the crossing of Mangels avenue."

Changing Grades, Mangels Avenue.

Also, Bill No. 8035, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), entitled:

"Changing and reestablishing the official grades on Mangels avenue between the northerly line of and a line parallel with and 14.50 feet southerly therefrom at Congo street."

Changing Grades, Bosworth Street.

Also, Bill No. 8036, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), entitled:

"Changing and reestablishing the official grades on Bosworth street between the southerly line of Congo street and the sixth angle westerly from Burnside avenue, and on Congo street between Bosworth street and a line at right angles to the southerly line of, 212.10 feet westerly from the first angle westerly from Bosworth street."

Establishing Grades, Glen Park Terrace.

Also, Bill No. 8037, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Establishing grades on streets in a portion of Glen Park Terrace, as shown on map entitled "Grade Map of a Portion of Glen Park Terrace."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The grades on streets in a portion of Glen Park Terrace, as shown on map entitled "Grade Map of a Portion of Glen Park Terrace," are hereby established at points and elevations above city base as shown on said map, approved by Resolution No. 97111 (Second Series) of the Board of Public Works, adopted May 2, 1927.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Intention to Change Grades.

Supervisor Marks presented:

Resolution No. 27247 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to change and establish grades on the following named streets at the points hereinafter specified and at the elevations above city base as hereinafter stated, in accordance with Resolution No. 97006 (Second Series) of the Board of Public Works, adopted April 22, 1927, and written recommendation of said Board filed April 28, 1927, to-wit:

Mariposa Street.

Southerly line of, at Carolina street westerly line, 15 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Northerly line of, at Carolina street westerly line, 14.60 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

12 feet southerly from the northerly line of, 20 feet westerly from Carolina street, 14.60 feet.

12 feet northerly from the southerly line of, 20 feet westerly from Carolina street, 15 feet.

De Haro street, 30 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

On Mariposa street between Carolina and De Haro streets, be changed and established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that no assessment district is necessary, as no damage will result from said change of grades, inasmuch as the streets are ungraded and there are no existing street improvements.

The Board of Public Works is hereby directed to cause to be conspicuously posted along the street or streets upon which such change or modification of grade or grades is contemplated, notice of the passage of this resolution of intention.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—14.

Absent — Supervisors Byington, Hayden, Marks, Powers—4.

Approving Map, Extension of Stanyar Street.

Supervisor Marks presented:

Resolution No. 27248 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the Board of Public Works did, by Resolution No. 97074 (Second Series), approve map entitled "Map showing the extension of Stanyan street; also the closing of a portion of McAllister and Stanyan streets, March, 1927"; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the map entitled "Map showing the extension of Stanyan street; also the closing of a portion of McAllister and Stanyan streets. March, 1927," be and the same is hereby approved.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—14.

Absent — Supervisors Byington, Hayden, Marks, Powers—4.

Extension of Time.

Supervisor Marks presented:

Resolution No. 27249 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Hugh McGill is

hereby granted an extension of sixty days' time from and after May 5, 1927, within which to complete the improvement of Allison street between Mission and Cross streets.

This extension of time is granted for the reason that the contractor has been delayed by water springing up in subgrade.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—14.

Absent — Supervisors Byington, Hayden, Marks, Powers—4.

Closing and Abandoning Certain Streets and Avenues in West End Map No. 1.

Supervisor Marks presented:

Resolution No. 27250 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, this Board has by Resolution No. 27043 (New Series) declared its intention to close and abandon certain streets and avenues in West End Map No. 1, San Francisco, California, and

Whereas, proper notice of said resolution and of said proposed closing and abandonment of certain streets and avenues in West End Map No. 1, San Francisco, California, as described in Resolution of Intention No. 27043 (New Series), was duly given by the Board of Public Works of said City and County of San Francisco by publication and posting in the manner provided by the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco by publication and posting in the manner provided by the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, and

Whereas, more than ten days have elapsed after the expiration of time of publication of said notice, and

Whereas, no objection or objections to the closing and abandonment of said streets and avenues in West End Map No. 1, San Francisco, California, as described in Resolution of Intention No. 27043 (New Series), was or were made or delivered to the Clerk of this Board within said period of ten days, or at all, and

Whereas, it is the opinion of this Board that the public interest and convenience will be conserved by the closing and abandonment of said streets and avenues, and

Whereas, in and by said Resolution No. 27043 (New Series) this Board did declare that the damages, costs and expenses of closing said streets and avenues are nominal and no assessment district is necessary to be formed for the purpose of paying the damages, costs and expenses thereof, the Board of Superisors hereby declares and determines that the whole damage, cost and expense of closing said streets and avenues shall be paid out of the revenues of the City and County of San Francisco, and

Whereas, the said work is for the closing and abandonment of certain streets and avenues in West End Map No. 1, San Francisco, California, as described in Resolution of Intention No. 27043 (New Series), and it appears to this Board that no assessment is necessary; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the said closing and abandonment of said streets and avenues of West End Map No. 1, San Francisco, California, as described in Resolution No. 27043 (New Series), be and that the same is hereby ordered, and that the said streets and avenues be and the same are hereby closed and abandoned as public streets and avenues as described in Resolution of Intention No. 27043 (New Series); and

Further Resolved, That the Clerk of this Board transmit a certified copy of this resolution to the Board of Public Works, and that the Board of Public Works be instructed to proceed therewith as may be required by law and the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, and the Clerk of this Board is hereby directed to advertise this resolution in The Bulletin as required by law.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—14.

Absent — Supervisors Byington, Hayden, Marks, Powers—4.

Accepting Offers to Sell Land and Easements Required in Hetch Hetchy Construction.

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Resolution No. 27251 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the City Engineer has recommended the purchase by the City and County of San Francisco from the following named owners of the following described lands and easements situated in the County of Alameda, State of California, required in connection with the construction, maintenance and operation of the Coast Range Division of the Hetch Hetchy Aqueduct, for the sums set forth opposite their names, viz.:

W. H. Ryan and Mary G. Ryan, $300—The right to construct, maintain and operate an electric transmission line and telephone line along, upon and over Section 26 and the lands of W. H. Ryan and Mary G. Ryan in the southeast quarter of Section 25, Township 3 South, Range 3 East, M. D. B. and M. (As per written offer on file.)

Mary Ives Crocker, $1,000—

Parcel 1. 16.5 acres, in Section 9, Township 4 South, Range 3 East, M. D. B. and M., required for camp site.

Parcel 2. 2.79 acres, being a portion of the southwest quarter of Section 27, required for Seco Substation.

Parcel 3. 0.29 acre, being portion of the southwest quarter of Section 27, Township 3 South, Range 3 East, M. D. B. and M., required for road purposes.

Parcel 4. Subsurface right of way easement for the construction, maintenance and use of an aqueduct tunnel or tunnels in and through Section 9, Township 4 South, Range 3 East, M. D. B and M.

Parcel 5. The right to construct, maintain and operate an electric transmission line and telephone line along, upon and over the northwest quarter of Section 9, Township 4 South, Range 3 East, M. D. B. and M., and the south half of Section 27, Township 3 South, Range 3 East, M. D. M. and M.

Parcel 6. The right to construct, maintain and operate, repair and patrol a water pipe line over or beneath the south half of Section 9, Township 4 South, Range 3 East, M. D. B. and M. (As per written offer on file.)

Now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of the City Engineer, the above-described offers of the above-named property owners to sell to the City and County of San Francisco the above-mentioned lands and easements for the sums set forth opposite their names and upon the terms and conditions contained in said options, be and the same are hereby accepted; be it

Further Resolved, That the City Engineer is hereby authorized and directed to notify said parties of the acceptance of their said offers, and the City Attorney is authorized and directed to examine the titles to said lands and easements, and if the same are found in satisfactory condition to accept in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco deeds conveying title thereto, containing the conditions and reservations agreed upon in said offers, and to file the same for record with a copy of this resolution attached thereto as evidence of acceptance by the City and County of San Francisco, upon payment of the above-mentioned purchase prices.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—14.

Absent — Supervisors Byington, Hayden, Marks, Powers—4.

Passed for Printing.

The following bill was *passed for printing*:

Amending Holiday Ordinance, Per Diem Men.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon:

Bill No. 8038, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Section 1 of Ordinance No. 7309 (New Series), entitled "Providing for and designating holidays of the per diem employees of the Department of Public Works in the City and County of San Francisco."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Section 1 of Ordinance No. 7309 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended so as to read as follows:

Section 1. The Department of Public Works of the City and County of San Francisco shall recognize as holidays for per diem employees the following days only: Every Sunday, New Year's Day, Washington's Birthday, Decoration Day, Independence Day, Labor Day, Admission Day, Thanksgiving Day and Christmas. If any of said holidays shall fall upon Sunday the following Monday shall be a holiday.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Delegates to Native Sons Convention.

Supervisor McSheehy appointed as the committee to attend the Grand Parlor of the Native Sons at San Pedro this week, to represent the City, Supervisors Schmidt, Badaracco, Powers, Hayden and Byington.

RECESS.

Whereupon, the Board at 11:30 a. m. took a recess until 2:30 p. m.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk.

REASSEMBLED—2:30 P. M.

The Board of Supervisors reassembled at 2:30 p. m.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—12.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Hayden, Marks, Powers—6.

His Honor Mayor Rolph being absent, Supervisor McSheehy was elected to preside.

BRIDGE MATTERS—2 P. M.

The following were presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication, from the Construction Company of North America declaring that on March 8, 1927, the said company supplemented its original plans for a transbay bridge by submitting to City Engineer M. M. O'Shaughnessy a modification thereof, having its westerly termination on Rincon Hill and its easterly terminal at the Alameda Mole, and reiterating its offer of January 29, 1927, to turn over to the City free of cost all engineering data and option on Rincon Hill which are in its possession should the City decide to proceed with the bridge as a municipal enterprise. Also, amended plan of proposed bridge between Rincon Hill and Oakland.

Referred to Public Utilities Committee.

Application of J. E. Pamplin.

Application of J. E. Pamplin for a franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge across San Francisco Bay between the City and County of San Francisco and the County of Alameda. The location of said bridge is to be approximately as follows:

Beginning at or near and within two hundred feet northerly or southerly of the intersection of the center line of Second street with the center line of Berry street in the City and County of San Francisco, and extending thence easterly to the waterfront line; thence about north seventy-three (73) degrees east (true) along a straight line to a point immediately southwest of and adjacent to the Southern Pacific Alameda Mole and about six hundred (600) yards, more or less, southeasterly from the northwesterly end of said mole, and thence about south seventy-two (72) degrees east (true) to a point on filled land in the City of Alameda about on the prolongation northwesterly of that portion of Santa Clara avenue which lies between Paru and Park streets in the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California.

That the length of said bridge will be about twenty-six thousand (26,000) feet, more or less, that the width of the roadway on said bridge will be one hundred feet or such other width as the Board of Supervisors may direct and require under Section 2873 of the Political Code.

Filed and *referred to the Public Utilities Committee.*

Alternative Plan of Edward A. Bertram, C. E.

Communication, from Edward A. Bertram, C. E., submitting "Additional Exhibit of the San Francisco Bay Bridge," Project No. 10, originally presented to the Board of Supervisors September 14, 1926, "the alternative line of which bridge is shown on accompanying blue print and starts as a double-deck bridge at Van Ness avenue extension, San Francisco, near Twelfth and Howard streets, running 135 feet above high water level clear across the Bay, the Estuary and the Alameda tide lands, as a bridge—no tube—and finally connecting by inclosed approach to the electric interurban trains at Seventh and Cedar streets, and for automobiles at Eighth and Wood streets, near Peralta street." Also, blue print showing original line and alternative line of the San Francisco Bay project filed in this connection.

Read and *referred to the Public Utilities Committee.*

Report of Board of Engineers, San Francisco Transbay Bridge.

The report of the Board of Engineers, consisting of Robert Ridgway, Arthur N. Talbot and John D. Galloway (dated May 5, 1927), was presented today and copies ordered supplied to each member of the Board.

In order to give the members of the Board time to read the report before discussion in the Board the hearing was set for Monday, May 23, 1927, at 2 p.m.

Action Deferred.

Whereupon, the following resolutions and motions were, on motion of Supervisor Shannon, *continued until May* 23, 1927. *at p. m.*:

Presented by Supervisor McSheehy:

Whereas, there are now pending before the Board of Supervisors various applications for franchises to construct and operate toll bridges over and across the Bay of San Francisco, between the City and County of San Francisco and the County of Alameda; and

Whereas, Section 4 of the Act of 1881 provides "That whenever the Supervisors of any county or counties desire to erect a bridge on any public highway, or to grant the privilege so to do, to any individual or corporation, across a navigable stream, said board or boards shall notify the State Engineer of such purpose, and of the precise point where said bridge is to be located,"; and

Whereas, a proper site for a bridge is one the San Francisco terminal of which will be within easy access of the business district of the City, and at the same time sufficiently removed from the main arteries of the City not to unduly congest the same, and the Alameda terminus of which will be easily accessible to traffic of all kinds, especially suburban railway traffic from all parts of the County of Alameda; and

Whereas, the area from and including Rincon Hill on the north, and to and including Sixteenth street on the south, in the City and County of San Francisco, and the area from and including Seventh, Bay, Eighth and Pine streets in the City of Oakland on the north, and to and including Pacific avenue in Alameda on the south, is a district well adapted to and possessing the necessary facilities and conditions for the terminals of a transbay bridge; and

Whereas, in order to meet the requirements of the shipping interests both in San Francisco and Oakland, and to the end that bay navigation shall be interfered with as little as possible, a high level bridge, the structure of which shall have a clearance of at least one hundred and thirty-five feet above the waters of the Bay, is best suited for said transbay bridge; and

Whereas, the City of San Francisco is by its charter committed to public ownership and operation of all public utilities, and that the City of San Francisco should itself construct, own and operate said bridge, if it is possible to do so; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the area from and including Rincon Hill on the north and to and including Sixteenth street on the south, in the City and County of San Francisco, and the area from and including the blocks bounded by Seventh, Bay, Eighth and Pine streets in the City of Oakland on the north, and to and including Pacific avenue in Alameda on the south, be and the same is hereby declared to be the area that is best suited for the respective terminals of a transbay bridge between the City and County of San Francisco and the County of Alameda, and that a high level bridge, the structure of which will have a clearance of at least one hundred and thirty-five feet above the navigable waters of the Bay and adequate length of span will least interfere with bay navigation and with the shipping interests thereon, and is hereby recommended as the type of bridge to be selected.

And be it Further Resolved, That a ruling of the War Department of the United States be forthwith sought as to whether a bridge may be constructed of the type, and with terminals located in the districts above set forth, and for that purpose a committee composed of two members of this Board to be selected by the Chairman of its Finance Committee, and the City Engineer and the City Attorney, or his representative, forthwith proceed to Washington for the purpose of urging the War Department to grant permission for the construction and maintenance of a high level bridge, adequate in height and in length of span, between terminals in the aforesaid area. And be it

Further Resolved, That the City of San Francisco is and by its charter committed to public ownership and operation of all public utilities, and that it should itself construct, own and operate said bridge, if it is possible to do so; and be it

Further Resolved, That pending advice and information from the War Department, that the hearing on all pending applications for franchises for transbay bridges be continued from time to time, to the end that this Board will not lose jurisdiction to pass upon them.

Supervisor Havenner presented:

"Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco proceed forthwith to take the necessary legal steps, in accordance with the advice of the City Attorney, to form a bridge district under the State Bridge and Highway District Law, consisting of San Francisco and Alameda Counties, for the purpose of financing, constructing and operating a bridge across San Francisco Bay under public ownership."

Supervisor Roncovieri presented:

"Whereas, financial problems connected with the building of a bridge across the Bay are various and extremely involved, and absolutely fundamental in arriving at a favorable decision of policy as between a bridge built by public funds, or

one to be built by private capital; therefore, be it

"Resolved, That the Bridge Committee of this Board be requested and empowered to employ expert financial accountants and actuaries who shall prepare from the records of this Board and other data a complete set-up of figures tabulating in parallel form the more profitable policy to be adopted."

Supervisor Shannon presented:

"Whereas, there are pending before the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco various applications for franchises to construct and operate toll bridges over and across the Bay of San Francisco from various points in the City and County of San Francisco to various points in the County of Alameda; and

"Whereas, it appears to the Board of Supervisors that a toll bridge over and across the Bay of San Francisco from Mission Rock to some suitable point in the County of Alameda will best serve the people of San Francisco and that Mission Rock is the most feasible and logical starting point for a transbay bridge between San Francisco and Alameda; and now therefore, be it

"Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors does hereby select Mission Rock as the best and most feasible starting point for a transbay bridge between San Francisco and the County of Alameda and does hereby designate said site as the point in San Francisco from which the first transbay bridge shall be constructed; and be it further

"Resolved, That all persons, firms and corporations who have heretofore made application for a franchise to construct and operate transbay toll bridges between San Francisco and Alameda County, as well as all other persons interested, be invited to present to this Board of Supervisors applications, plans and specifications for a franchise for a transbay toll bridge from Mission Rock in the City and County of San Francisco to some point in the County of Alameda."

Supervisor Shannon presented:

"Whereas, A. McLean Hawks, bridge and mining engineer, has called the attention of this Board to the advantages of Mission Rock as the location for the main bridge pier or bridge-head of the San Francisco Bay Bridge, and without thought of remuneration or reward has suggested that this location be considered by this City for its public bridge location; and

"Whereas, Mission Rock, in this engineer's judgment, has unequaled advantages as regards stability of the bridge, maximum length and height of channel spans, comparative shallowness of water to the east, economy of cost, absence of obstruction to shipping, facility to automobile traffic within San Francisco and passing through San Francisco; and the handling of interurban and trans-continental trains; and

"Whereas, the Bay bridge plan originally proposed by Hawks, later duplicated by Gustave Lindenthal and embodied in the McSheehy resolution, opens the way for the selection by this Board of a site that shall be the best site, irrespective of whether or not it has been previously proposed by private parties; and

"Whereas, the Mission Rock site has not been proposed by any private group seeking a franchise, but remains open for selection by this Board as the City's site if its apparent advantages be borne out by careful study; therefore, be it

"Resolved, That the City Attorney be and he is hereby instructed to file immediate condemnation proceedings against property known as Mission Rock, in order to protect the City at this time against any private proposal which may seek hereafter to utilize this site; and be it

"Further Resloved, That the Special Bridge Committee of this Board be and it is hereby instructed to give careful study to this location as the possible choice of the City and County of San Francisco."

Motions.

Supervisor Gallagher: I move you that while it is the policy of this Board that we favor a publicly-owned bay bridge, it is however, the sense of this Board that we favor immediate construction of said bridge by private capital, until the people may elect in the manner provided by law to take over said bridge and place it under public ownership and control.

* * *

Supervisor Marks: I move you that, as an amendment to the motion of Supervisor Gallagher, the City Attorney be requested to submit to this Board, at the earliest possible opportunity, a form of submission of the issue of public ownership and operation of the proposed bridge, or private ownership or operation of the proposed bridge, for action by the people at the earliest possible opportunity.

* * *

Supervisor Marks: I desire to

present the following motion and calling attention to the fact that it does not call for anything other than asking the City Attorney to submit a proper form of proposal to us. I make the motion which heretofore has been outlined three or four times.

(Referring to motion set out in full above.)

The Chairman: Any objection? So ordered.

SPECIAL ORDERS—2 P. M.

Bridge Hearings.

Thereupon, the following matters were taken up:

Applications for Franchises to Construct Bridges Across San Francisco Bay.

Application of Bay Cities Bridge Corporation for a franchise for a toll bridge between San Francisco and Alameda.

Proposed rail and highway crossing for San Francisco Bay from the foot of Sixteenth street, San Francisco, to the foot of Pacific avenue, Alameda.

Beginning at the intersection of the center line of Sixteenth street with the center line of Third (Kentucky) street, in the City and County of San Francisco, and extending thence easterly along the center line of Sixteenth street to the waterfront line; thence easterly along a straight line to a point on the center line of Pacific avenue, in the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, which point is one hundred feet westerly from the intersection of said center line of Pacific avenue with the center line of Bryant street.

That the length of said bridge is 27,750 feet and that the width of the roadway on said bridge is 38 feet.

Filed April 6, 1925.

DUDLEY D. SALES,
Attorney.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, May 23, 1927, at 2 p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton Todd—11.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Gallagher, Hayden, Marks Powers—7.

Application of Elbert W. Davis for a franchise for a toll bridge between San Francisco and Alameda.

Across San Francisco Bay, beginning at a point in the City and County of San Francisco on the bulkhead line of San Francisco Harbor between Piers 26 and 28, and running approximately north 67 degrees 45 minutes east 17.962 feet, more or less, to a point in the City of Oakland, County of Alameda, State of California, on the easterly shore of San Francisco Bay.

That the length of said bridge is to be 17,962 feet and that the breadth thereof is to be 65 feet, more or less.

Filed June 29, 1925.

JAMES M. OLIVER,
Attorney.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, May 23, 1927, at 2 p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—11.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Gallagher, Hayden, Marks, Powers—7.

Application of John Bermingham, Jr., 250 Cervantes boulevard, for a franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge across San Francisco Bay between the City and County of San Francisco and the County of Alameda.

Beginning at the intersection of the center line of Seventh and Mission streets, in the City and County of San Francisco, along Seventh street to Seventh and Sixteenth; thence in a southeasterly direction to Nineteenth and Third; thence in a southerly direction along Third street to the intersection of Third street and Jerrold avenue and waterfront; thence proceeding in a northerly direction, crossing San Francisco Bay to a point from which a branch will extend 4500 feet easterly to the intersection of Pacific avenue and Bryant street, in the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California; thence from said aforementioned intersecting point proceeding in a northerly direction, crossing the Oakland Estuary, to the intersection of Peralta street and Seventh street, in the City of Oakland, County of Alameda, State of California.

That the total length of said bridge, approaches thereto and branch thereof, aforementioned, is 65,450 feet, that the width of said bridge is ninety (90) feet.

Filed September 28, 1925.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, May 23, 1927, at 2 p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy,

Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—11.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Gallagher, Hayden, Marks, Powers—7.

Application of A. M. Bergstrom for a franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge across San Francisco Bay between the City and County of San Francisco and the County of Alameda.

That the said toll bridge is to be located across the Bay of San Francisco, along the following described line, to-wit:

Beginning at a point in San Francisco at about the foot of Davidson avenue, on Hunter's Point, and running northerly sixty-two (62) degrees east four and four-tenths (4.4) miles; thence on a one (1) degree curve to the north one and six-tenths (1.6) miles to true north to a point on the easterly shore of the Bay of San Francisco at about the foot of Webster street, in the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California.

That the length of said bridge is to be six (6) miles and the breadth is to be sixty (60) feet, more or less.

Filed September 28, 1925.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, May* 23, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—11.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Gallagher, Hayden, Marks, Powers—7.

Application of New York and San Francisco Development Company for franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge between San Francisco and Alameda.

Bridge to be constructed across San Francisco Bay from a point therein at or near the junction of Evans avenue and Boalt street, Hunter's Point, in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to or near the junction of South High street and Washington street, in the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California; that the length of said bridge is approximately six and one-half miles from shore line to shore line, and that its breadth or width is approximately from 60 to 90 feet.

Filed January 11, 1926.

ROTHCHILD & GOLDEN,
Attorneys.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, May* 23, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—11.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Gallagher, Hayden, Marks, Powers—7.

Application of Roy C. Hackley for a franchise to construct a toll bridge between San Francisco, Pier 26, and Alameda County.

Beginning at a point in the City and County of San Francisco on the bulkhead line of San Francisco Harbor, south of and adjacent to Pier 26, and running approximately north 61 degrees east and fifty-three hundred feet, more or less; thence running approximately north 89 degrees east five thousand feet, more or less; thence running approximately north 59 degrees 30 minutes east eight thousand feet, more or less, to a point in the City of Oakland, County of Alameda, State of California, on the easterly shore of said San Francisco Bay.

That the length of said bridge is to be eighteen thousand three hundred feet (18,300) and that the breadth thereof is to be 78 feet, more or less.

Roy A. Bronson and E. D. Bronson, Jr., attorneys, 68 Post street.

Filed February 6, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, May* 23, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—11.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Gallagher, Hayden, Marks, Powers—7.

Two applications of the Construction Company of North America for a franchise to construct and open a toll bridge between San Francisco and Alameda County.

Commencing at a point in said City and County of San Francisco near the corner of First and Bryant streets, on what is known as Rincon Hill, and running thence on less than a two-degree curve a distance of approximately 1700 lineal feet to the line of bulkhead wharf connecting Piers 30 and 32 on the waterfront of the said City and County of San Francisco; thence on a line approximately north 65 degrees east 21,000 lineal feet, more

or less, to the Oakland Mole of the Southern Pacific Company in Alameda County.

That the length of said bridge is to be 4½ miles and that the width thereof is to be approximately 78 feet.

ROBERT J. McGAHIE,
Attorney, Mills Building.

First application filed February 15, 1926.

Second application filed April 5, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, May* 23, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—11.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Gallagher, Hayden, Marks, Powers—7.

Application of Allan C. Rush for a franchise to construct a toll bridge between San Francisco and Alameda County.

Construction of a suspension bridge from the City and County of San Francisco over and across Goat Island to the east shore of the Bay of San Francisco, comprising three main double traffic track entrance therein, and being of a height of two hundred feet above high tide, between all bridge piers in the waters of San Francisco Channel, in which channel the bridge will be suspended over "but three piers," twenty-four hundred feet apart, thus in nowise impeding the traffic of the waters of the Bay.

Filed June 19, 1926.

ALLAN C. RUSH,
1632 McCullom street, Los Angeles, California.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, May* 23, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—11.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Gallagher, Hayden, Marks, Powers—7.

Application of Robert Lee Dunn, 778 Monadnock Building, for a franchise to construct a toll bridge along the following described line, to-wit:

Beginning at the intersection of the center line of Second street with the center line of Berry street, in the City and County of San Francisco and extending thence easterly to the waterfront line; thence easterly along a straight line to the intersection of the center lines of Pacific avenue and Bryant street, City of Alameda, California.

Length of said bridge is twenty-six thousand feet; that the width of the roadway on said bridge is eighty feet.

Filed June 21, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, May* 23, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—11.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Gallagher, Hayden, Marks, Powers—7.

Application of Edward A. Bertram for a franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge to be located, to-wit:

Beginning at Twelfth and Howard streets, San Francisco, by 1½ per cent viaduct to Beale and Howard streets; thence to Southern Pacific Mole, Oakland; thence by 1½ per cent viaduct to West Fourteenth street level, and Sixteeenth Street Railroad Station.

The length of said bridge proper is 21,000 feet and its width of roadway is 63 feet.

Filed June 21, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, May* 23, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton Todd—11.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Gallagher, Hayden, Marks, Powers—7.

Application of R. S. Chew for franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge or bridges.

The said proposed bridge or bridges are to be located and are described as follows:

The westerly end of a bridge and the approaches thereto, which will connect with public streets, are to be located in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California (which location is in the county situated on the left bank descending of the Bay of San Francisco, across which said bridge is to be constructed), and at a point therein at or near the summit of an eminence known as Telegraph Hill; from this point the bridge will project easterly a distance of nine thousand feet (more or less)

to the westerly side of Yerba Buena (or Goat) Island; thence easterly across said island a roadway or viaduct will be constructed for a distance of four thousand feet (more or less) to its easterly side; from thence there will be projected in an easterly direction a distance of twenty-two thousand feet (more or less), a bridge structure, viaduct, causeway and/or fill to suitable connections with lines or railway and public highways in Alameda County, State of California.

Filed June 28, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, May 23, 1927, at 2 p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—11.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Gallagher, Hayden, Marks, Powers—7.

Application of Inter-Cities Bridge Company for a franchise to construct, maintain and operate a toll bridge across the Bay of San Francisco and over the following route:

Beginning at the intersection of Broadway and Battery street, in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and extending thence easterly to the waterfront; thence on a line approximately north 60 degrees east to the westerly point of Yerba Buena or Goat Island; thence by contour meander to the easterly point of Yerba Buena or Goat Island: thence on a line approximately north 75 degrees to the foot of Yerba Buena street, in the City of Oakland, County of Alameda, State of California.

That the length of said bridge, inclusive of approximately 4100 feet on ground support over Yerba Buena or Goat Island, and 5670 feet to be supported by an earth fill mole in the approach to the said Oakland terminal, is to be approximately 27,000 feet. That the width of said bridge is to be approximately 120 feet, providing two 20-foot vehicular lanes and accommodations for four lines of electric transportation tracks.

Filed July 12, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, May 23, 1927, at 2 p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—11.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Gallagher, Hayden, Marks Powers—7.

Application of G. Lindenthal for franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge.

Said bridge is to be located along the following described line:

Commencing at a point in the center line of Jerrold avenue, in the City and County of San Francisco, about midway between Ship street and Dock street, in said City and County of San Francisco; thence on a curve easterly and northerly a distance of 30,000 feet, more or less, to the southerly foot of Webster street, in the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California.

The width of said bridge at the easterly end thereof for approximately 16,000 feet will be 75 feet, and the width of the remaining portion thereof will be approximately 40 feet. The length of said bridge will be approximately 30,000 feet.

Filed August 2, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, May 23, 1927, at 2 p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—11.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Gallagher, Hayden, Marks, Powers—7.

Preliminary application of Fowler Engineering Corporation for a franchise for the building of a bridge from Telegraph Hill to Goat Island, 3 2000-foot spans.

Filed July 28, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, May 23, 1927, at 2 p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—11.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Gallagher, Hayden, Marks, Powers—7.

Application of W. F. Holcomb for franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge across San Francisco Bay between the City and County of San Francisco and Alameda County.

Beginning at or near and within one hundred feet northerly or southerly of the intersection of the center line of Second street with the center line of Berry street, in the City and County of San Fran-

cisco and extending thence easterly to the waterfront line; thence easterly along a straight line to, at or near and within one hundred feet northerly or southerly of the intersection of the center lines of Pacific avenue and Bryant street, City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California.

That the length of said bridge will be twenty-six thousand feet; that the width of the roadway on said bridge will be eighty-nine feet.

Filed August 9, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, May* 23, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—11.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Gallagher, Hayden, Marks, Powers—7.

Application of Robert E. Fitzgerald for franchise to erect, maintain and operate and take tolls on a toll bridge over and across the Bay of San Francisco. The location of said bridge is described as follows:

The center line of said bridge will commence at a point on The Embarcadero of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, at a point approximately midway between Vallejo street and Broadway street; thence extending on a line approximately north 60 degrees east to the westerly point of Yerba Buena Island, also known as Goat Island; thence following the contour of said island to a point on the easterly shore thereof where said shore line would be intersected by a center line of Seventh street in the City of Oakland, if produced, upon its present course as the course of said street now exists along the northerly line of Oakland Mole; thence easterly along said line of said street, if so produced, to the center line of said Seventh street at the present westerly end thereof.

Filed August 9, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, May* 23, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—11.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Gallagher, Hayden, Marks, Powers—7.

Application of Thos. E. Slaven, filed August 16, 1926, for franchise to construct, maintain and operate a toll bridge. Said oridge is to be constructed over and across the Bay of San Francisco, and over the following route:

The location of said bridge is particularly described as follows: The center line of said bridge will commence at a point on The Embarcadero of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, approximately where the center line of said Embarcadero intersects the center line of Pier 7, if produced. Thence extending on a line north approximately 67 degrees 30 minutes east to a point on the southwesterly shore of Yerba Buena or Goat Island; thence easterly following the contour of said island to a point on the easterly shore thereof, located about six hundred feet in a northerly direction from the light house located on the southern portion of said island; thence north eighty-five degrees thirty minutes east, approximately 8700 feet to a point where said line intersects the center line of Seventh street, Oakland, if produced, upon its present course as the course of said street now exists along the northern line of Oakland Mole; thence easterly along said line of said street, if so produced, to the center line of said Seventh street at the present westerly end thereof.

The length of said bridge exclusive of land approaches being approximately 9300 feet west of said island and approximately 10,400 feet east of said island, and the breadth of said bridge being approximately 115 feet.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, May* 23, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton. Todd—11.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Gallagher, Hayden, Marks. Powers—7.

Application of E. D. Bronson, Jr., for a franchise for a toll bridge across San Francisco Bay.

Filed August 16, 1926.

Beginning at a point in the City and County of San Francisco on the bulkhead line of San Francisco Harbor, south of and adjacent to Pier 26, and running north approximately 68 degrees east seventeen thousand nine hundred fifty feet (17,950'), more or less, to a point in the City of Oakland, County of Alameda, State of California, on the

easterly shore of said San Francisco Bay; and the said toll bridge to be approximately seventeen thousand nine hundred fifty (17,950') feet in length and one hundred ten (110') feet in breadth, more or less.

Roy A. Bronson, E. D. Bronson, Jr., attorneys at law, 68 Post street, room 403, San Francisco, California.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, May* 23, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—11.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Gallagher, Hayden, Marks, Powers—7.

Tunnels.

Application of O. A. Ellis for franchise for two tunnels under the Bay of San Francisco from San Francisco to Oakland.

One of the tunnels will be for interurban street car traffic, with double track, and the San Francisco terminal between Third and Fourth streets on Harrison street.

The other tunnel will be for auto and railroad traffic, with San Francisco terminal between Second and Third streets on Harrison street.

The Oakland terminal will be at Seventh and Cedar streets.

Filed June 25, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, May* 23, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—11.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Gallagher, Hayden, Marks, Powers—7.

City Attorney Reports on Proposed Public Utilities Commission.

City Attorney O'Toole stated that he had been working on the problem of a Public Utilities Commission for some time. That he has made a study of the plans adopted by Detroit and New York City. Also, that he made a special trip to Los Angeles to study the system in operation there and he found that Los Angeles has a very workable and satisfactory system.

He said that he would have a report ready for the Board by next Monday and would at the same time present a draft of a Charter amendment to create such a commission. Also, that he would present a plan for proper legislation which would take care of the situation pending the time when a Charter amendment could become in force.

Supervisors Havenner and Colman both said that at the various meetings where they have spoken in reference to the coming bond election that the question of such a commission has invariably been asked and they were glad to be able to tell the people that proper legislation will soon be on its way to provide for the commission.

Supervisor Shannon said that the committee having in charge the bond election campaign would meet in the chambers of the Board on Thursday night at 8 o'clock and that it is important that they should have this assurance also in reference to the Public Utilities Commission.

Hetch Hetchy Power Transmission Line.

At the request of Supervisor Havenner, who introduced the declaratory ordinance in relation to a bond issue for the transmission line which has been carried on the calendar for some time, the matter was *referred to the Public Utilities Committee.*

Supervisor Havenner stated that he would, however, reserve the right to withdraw the ordinance from committee in time to have it presented for a vote of the people at the November election.

New Airport.

Supervisor Gallagher complimented Supervisor Kent on the manner in which the work of the new airport had been prepared for use and on the program for the dedication on last Saturday. He said that while he is a member of the committee, most of the credit was due to Supervisor Kent.

On motion of Supervisor Gallagher, the Clerk was directed to have a copy of the proceedings in connection with the naming of the airport engrossed and presented to Mr. Mills, after whom the airport was named.

G. A. R. Officials Visit Board.

General F. A. Walsh, of Milwaukee, and other national officials of the Grand Army of the Republic visited the Board.

General Walsh said they had stopped here to visit San Francisco on way to Santa Rosa to attend the Department Encampment to be held there next week.

He said he could see a marked improvement in San Francisco since he visited here in 1903, at which

time the national encampment was held here.

Supervisors Colman and *Kent*, both of whom were officers in the late war, were called upon by the Mayor to respond.

Printers' Day at the Auditorium.

The following was read by the Clerk:

Communication, from Printers' Union, inviting Board of Supervisors to attend the Printers' Day Celebration to be held at the Civic Auditorium on May 14.

Invitation *accepted*.

San Francisco Wins Another Prize.

Mayor Rolph announced that the San Francisco float which participated in the Fiesta of Roses at Santa Rosa last Saturday won first prize.

Relative to Lease of 333 Kearny Street.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

May 9, 1927.

Mr. J. S. Dunnigan, Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, City Hall, City.

Dear Sir:

About one year ago the City entered into a lease for the rental of the premises, 333 Kearny street, and up to the present time Mr. James McSheehy and Mr. Chas. J. Powers have refused to make the payments in accordance with the lease entered into.

The Board of Supervisors have appropriated the money and set it aside. The City Attorney has repeatedly ruled that the payment must be met. My attorney, Mr. Harrington, has been to the Finance Committee on many occasions but Mr. McSheehy has repeatedly passed the buck. He has no reason to offer why the payment should not be made and he has no right to arbitrarily hold up a taxpayer's money due him without some objections.

I respectfully request of your Honorable Board to have the matter placed on the calendar and definitely settled.

Thanking you for any action you may take, I am

Yours very truly,

(Sgd) RALPH McLERAN.

Referred to Finance Committee.

Supervisor McSheehy objected to the statement that he was "passing the buck" and stated that they have been holding the money back pending settlement in reference to certain alterations in the building which they thought Mr. McLeran should pay for.

The matter was specially set for hearing next Monday at 11 o'clock and the Clerk directed to request Mr. McLeran to be present.

Balboa Street Extension, Municipal Railway.

Supervisor Todd presented:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That this Board recommends that the proposed street car extension into the Richmond District be via Balboa street and that a bridge crossing at Twenty-third avenue be eliminated.

Referred to Public Utilities Committee.

High Schools for Richmond District.

Supervisor Todd presented:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the Richmond District is practically built up and fully occupied by homes of people with large families; therefore, be it

Resolved, That it be the declared intention of the Board of Supervisors to recommend that provision be made in the forthcoming budget for the following educational necessities:

Junior High School in the block bounded by Twenty-ninth and Thirtieth avenues, Geary and Clement streets;

Senior High School in the block bounded by Geary and Balboa streets, Thirtieth and Thirty-second avenues.

Referred to Education, Parks and Playgrounds Committee.

New Lighting System, Geary Street.

Supervisor Todd presented:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, Geary street is a most important thoroughfare in the downtown district and the main thoroughfare in the Richmond District to the Beach; therefore, be it

Resloved, That this Board of Supervisors recommend that in any proposed new lighting system that may be inaugurated a first-class lighting scheme for Geary street from Market street to the Beach be included.

Referred to Lighting Committee.

Termination of Bay Shore Boulevard at Broadway.

Supervisor Marks presented:

Resolution No. 27252 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, it is understood that the present plan of the State Highway Commission is to terminate the Bayshore Highway at Broadway, in Burlingame; and

Whereas, it is believed important that this highway be extended into San Mateo which would provide several paved laterals between the State Highway (El Camino Real) and the new Bayshore Highway,

three of which would pass under the Southern Pacific tracks through underpasses already constructed and two of which extend to the Skyline boulevard; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco respectfully requests that the State Highway Commission of the State of California appoint a date on which all interested parties in this matter be given a hearing; and be it

Further Resolved, That it is not the wish of this Board that the present plans of the State Highway Commission for the completion of this highway to Burlingame, for which funds are available, be altered by this further extension.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton—11.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Hayden, Marks, Powers, Todd—7.

Street Lights.

Supervisor Stanton presented:

Resolution No. 27253 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Pacific Gas and Electric Company be and it is hereby authorized and requested to remove and install the following street lights:

Remove Gas Lamps.

Northeast and southwest corners Broadway and Jones street.

North side Broadway, first and second west of Jones street.

South side Broadway, first west of Jones street.

Northwest and southeast corners Broadway and Leavenworth street.

North and south sides Vallejo street between Polk street and Van Ness avenue.

Install 400 *M. R.*

Broadway and Jones street.

Broadway and Leavenworth street.

Broadway, west of Jones street.

Broadway, east of Leavenworth street.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton—11.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Hayden, Marks, Powers, Todd—7.

Also, Resolution No. 27254 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Pacific Gas and Electric Company be and it is hereby authorized and requested to install and change the following street lights:

Install 400 *M. R.*

Twenty-second avenue between Pacheco and Quintara streets.

Moraga street and Twenty-first avenue.

Moraga street and Twenty-second avenue.

Rice street between Mission street and San Jose avenue.

Kingston street between Coleridge and Mission streets.

Front of 66 Corwin street.

Hollywood Court between Pope and Rolph streets.

Woodside avenue and Balceta street.

Gilman avenue between Jennings street and Ingalls avenue.

Gilman avenue between Ingalls and Haines avenues.

Gilman avenue between Hawes and Griffith avenues.

Hollister avenue between Jennings street and Ingalls avenue.

Hollister avenue between Ingalls avenue and Haines street.

Southeast corner of Third street and Ingerson avenue.

Tomasa street between Peralta and Montcalm avenues.

Arago and Havelock streets.

Mangels street between Hamburg and Brentwood streets.

Van Buren street between Surrey and Sussex streets.

Twenty-second avenue and Moraga street.

Huron avenue between Mohawk and Geneva avenues.

Prague street between Excelsior and Brazil avenues.

Tompkins avenue and Prentiss street.

Seventeenth avenue and Moraga street.

East side Whitney street, second pole south of Thirtieth street.

Holyoke street between Wayland and Bacon streets.

Sixteenth avenue and Ulloa street.

Sixteenth avenue between Vicente and Ulloa streets.

Thirty-first avenue and Rivera street.

Thirty-first avenue between Santiago and Rivera streets.

Fortieth avenue and Judah street.

Monterey boulevard, 125 feet west of Foerster street.

Broderick street between Jefferson street and Marina boulevard.

Broderick street between North Point and Beach streets.

Broderick street between North Point and Bay streets.

North Point and Broderick streets.

Bay street between Broderick and Baker streets.

Scott street between Chestnut and Francisco streets.

North Point and Beach streets.

North Point street between Broderick and Baker streets.

Broderick street between Francisco and Baker streets.

Beach street between Scott and Divisadero streets.

Install 600 *M. R.*

Fillmore street between Retiro way and Beach street.

Change 250 *M. R.*

Culebra Terrace from present location to center of Terrace.

Change 400 *M. R.*

North side Lombard street, first west of Polk street to third pole west of Polk street.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton—11.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Hayden, Marks, Powers, Todd—7.

ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business, the Board at the hour of 4:40 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors August 22, 1927.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated, and approved as above recited.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco.

Vol. 22—New Series No. 20

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Monday, May 16, 1927

Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
337 Bush Street, S. F.

Journal of Proceedings
Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, MAY 16, 1927, 10 A. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, May 16, 1927, 10 a. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Hayden, Schmidt—2.

Quorum present.

His Honor Mayor Rolph being absent, Supervisor McSheehy was elected to preside.

APPROVAL OF JOURNALS.

The Journals of Proceedings of the meetings of January 17 and 24, 1927, were considered read and approved.

ROLL CALL FOR PETITIONS FROM MEMBERS.

Appropriation for Mississippi Flood Sufferers.

The following was presented nad read by the Clerk:

May 13, 1927.

Hon. Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco, California.

Gentlemen:

I notice in the public press that your Honorable Board has finally passed a demand for $50,000 from the General Fund, payable to the American Red Cross for the relief of the Mississppi River sufferers.

I am advised by Mr. Frank Fenton, the Auditor's attorney, as also the Honorable John J. O'Toole, City Attorney, that it would be considered illegal for me to approve or audit this demand, but it will be perfectly legal to transfer $50,000 from Budget Item 65, Appropriation 3, to Budget Item 1079, Appropriation 55, which is known as the Publicity and Advertising Fund.

I would therefore recommend that your Honorable Board arrange for this transfer on Monday afternoon and draw a demand at the same time for $50,000 to be paid from Appropriation 3 to Budget Item 1079, and after final passage by your Board I will take pleasure in auditing same.

Very truly yours,
THOS. F. BOYLE,
City and County Auditor.

Whereupon, the following resolution, on motion of Supervisor Kent, seconded by Supervisor Gallagher, was *passed for printing*:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of fifty thousand dollars ($50,000) be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated from Budget Item No. 65 to the credit of Appropriation No. 55; and be it

Further Resolved, That the sum of fifty thousand dollars ($50,000) be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of Appropriation No. 55, and authorized in payment to the American Red Cross for the relief of the Mississippi Valley flood sufferers.

Laundry Protest, Larkin Street.

The following was presented and read and *filed*:

Protest of Polk-Van Ness-Larkin District Association against the granting of a permit to the two laundries located at 1624-26 Larkin street.

Per Diem Men's Vacation Ordinance.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication, from the Civil Service Per Diem Men's Association of San Francisco, stating that the San Francisco Labor Council and the Iron Trades Council have agreed that the vacation ordinance pertaining to per diem men in the Board of Public Works be amended to exempt from its provisions only members of the Iron Trades Council.

Referred to Public Welfare Committee.

Also, similar communication from the San Francisco Labor Council, requesting that the ordinance be redrafted to conform with the interests of all employees concerned.

Referred to Public Welfare Committee.

Endorsement of Proposed Bond Issues.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication, from Civic League of Improvement Clubs, stating with reference to the endorsement of proposed bond issues that such endorsement is subject to and effective only pursuant to certain resolution of said organization providing for the creation of a Public Utilities Commission to be submitted in time and acceptable in its provisions to the Civic League.

Referred to the Campaign Committee.

Oil Station, Mills Field Municipal Airport.

The following was presented pursuant to advertisement calling for bids:

Communication, from Standard Oil Company of California, advising that it is prepared to deliver supplies to the Mills Field Municipal Airport for the government air mail lines such as the Pacific Air Transport and the Boeing Air Transport Company, and will erect a suitable station for the purpose, upon the same terms now furnished at substation in South San Francisco.

Referred to Airport Committee.

Reception to German Ambassador, Baron A. Von Maltzan.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication, from his Honor the Mayor, inviting the Board to participate in reception that will be tendered on behalf of the City to the German Ambassador, Baron A. Von Maltzan, in rotunda of the City Hall, on Monday morning, May 16, at 11:15.

Invitation *accepted*.

Open House, Telephone Company.

The following was presented, read and *accepted*:

Communication, from the Pacific Telephone and Telegraph Company, inviting the public, and the Board of Supervisors particularly, to attend open house on Thursday, May 19, at the new central office, located at 74 Otis street, where the company will be pleased to show the equipment used in furnishing telephone service and how telephone calls are handled.

Application for Bridge Franchise.

Modified application of Roy C. Hackley for a franchise to construct, maintain and operate toll bridge between Rincon Hill and the East Bay shore.

Presented and *referred to Public Utilities Committee.*

PRESENTATION OF PROPOSALS.

Sand and Tanbark.

Sealed proposals were received and opened at the hour of 11 a. m. for the furnishing of sand and tanbark for playgrounds as same may be required from time to time during the term commencing July 1, 1927, and ending June 30, 1928, and *referred to Supplies Committee.*

Underground Cable.

Sealed proposals were received and opened at the hour of 11 a. m. for furnishing underground cable for the Department of Electricity, and *referred to Supplies Committee.*

Rereferred.

The following matter was ordered *rereferred to the Public Buildings Committee*:

Fire Retardant Roofing Limits.

Bill No. ——, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Section 4 of Ordinance No. 1008 (New Series), approved December 22, 1909, and known as "The Buliding Law," relating to the fireproof roofing limits.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 4 of Ordinance No. 1008 (New Series), approved December 22, 1909, is hereby amended to read as follows:

Fireproof Roofing Limits.

Section 4. The roofs of all buildings hereafter constructed within the limits hereinafter in this section described shall consist of fireproof materials, and whenever the covering of the roof or roofs of any building or buildings heretofore constructed within the said limits, shall, in the judgment of the Board of Public Works, be or become damaged through fire, decay or otherwise, to the extent of twenty (20) per centum of the value of the said covering of the roof or roofs, then the said covering of the roof or roofs shall be reconstructed of or replaced with fireproof materials. Said fireproof materials shall consist of the same materials required for the roof coverings of all buildings erected within the fire limits of the City and County.

Section 1. Said limits shall be bounded by a line commencing at the intersection of the shore line of the Bay of San Francisco with the northerly end of Van Ness avenue; thence southerly along the center line of Van Ness avenue to Green street; thence westerly along the center line of Green street to Lyon street; thence southerly along the center line of Lyon street to Pacific avenue; thence westerly along the center line of Pacific avenue to its intersection with the southerly line of Presidio Reservation; thence fol-

lowing the southerly line of the Presidio Reservation to its intersection with and along the shore line of the Pacific Ocean westerly and southerly to its intersection with the County line; thence easterly along said County line to its intersection with Mission street; thence along the center line of Mission street to its intersection with Twenty-fifth street; thence easterly along the center line of Twenty-fifth street to the center line of Potrero avenue; thence northerly along the center line of Potrero avenue to the center line of Division street; thence easterly along the center line of Division street to the center line of King street; thence northeasterly along the center line of King street to the center line of Seventh street; thence southerly and easterly along the center line of Seventh street to the center line of Channel street; thence northerly and easterly along the center line of Channel street to the shore line of the Bay of San Francisco; thence following the shore line of the Bay of San Francisco to the point of commencement.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Report of Finance Committee on Budget, 1927-1928.

The following was presented by the Clerk and public hearing fixed for Wednesday, May 19, 1927, at 9:30 a. m.:

To the Honorable Board of Supervisors, City and County of San Francisco.

Gentlemen:

After a careful study of the personnel, maintenance and operation requirements of every department of the City and County government, and with due consideration of the policy of prudent economy to which this Board of Supervisors is committed in its supervision of the public funds, your Finance Committee submits to you herewith a budget estimate for the fiscal year 1927-1928, totaling $32,918,828. This represents a reduction of $365,554. from the budget total of the present fiscal year.

The Finance Committee is happy to report that it has been able to recommend this reduction after making ample provision for the conduct of the most important departments of public service which are maintained by the municipality. It is conservatively estimated that the tax rate which will be based upon this budget will yield the School Department approximately half a million dollars more than it will receive during the current fiscal year. We have allowed for the construction, equipment and repair of school buildings the maximum amount which is permitted by the State law. Article 1838 of the Political Code of California provides that the maximum rate of taxation for school building purposes shall not exceed 15 cents on the $100, and this is the rate recommended in the budget. If it is deemed desirable, in the future, to provide a greater amount of money for the construction of new schools out of the tax levy, it will be necessary to submit to the people a Charter amendment to legalize a higher rate of taxation for this purpose in San Francisco. For teachers' salaries and the conduct, maintenance and operation of the School Department your Committee has recommended an increase of $150,000 over the total appropriation for this year. In view of the fact that the Board of Education's books show that a surplus of approximately $160,000 will remain in its maintenance and operation fund at the close of the present fiscal year, we are confident that the recommended increase will enable this important department to operate more efficiently than ever in the past. Sufficient money has been provided to allow the Board of Education to raise the salaries of its janitors to a parity with the salaries paid in other departments of the government.

In recognition of the rapid growth of our City and the need for addtional police protection in outlying districts we have recommended an appropriation providing for the employment of fifty additional patrolmen. For the purpose of increasing the efficiency of the department a special item has been placed in the budget to start the installation of the most modern system of police communication.

The Fire Department budget has been increased to the amount of $65,109. This will provide for the installation of a new company in the recently completed fire house at Third and Arthur streets, and will enable the Department to proceed with its normal program of acquisition of new equipment.

Additional funds allotted to the Department of Public Health make provision for the employment of a new unit of twenty nurses to minister to the needs of the aged inmates of the Laguna Honda Home. A special appropriation of $75,000 is recommended for the operation and maintenance of the new Pulgas Sanitarium for tubercular patients in the hills of San Mateo County.

and for the improvement of the grounds and roadways of that institution. A chapel for religious services, to cost $30,000, is provided for the San Francisco Hospital.

The Committee has recommended a progressive program for the improvement and extension of our Public Park system. Initial appropriations have been placed in the budget for the acquisition of a new park on the summit of Mt. Davidson and for the improvement of Larsen Park, in the Sunset District, recently donated to the City. The current appropriation for the purchase of lands for the John McLaren Park, in the Mission, has been increased to $150,000, in order that the completion of this important recreational center may proceed as rapidly as possible. The construction of a new unit, connecting Golden Gate Park with the Presidio, will complete a continuous system of bridle paths thirty-five miles in length for the enjoyment of equestrians. Twenty-five thousand dollars is provided for the improvement of the de Young Museum in Golden Gate Park.

Your Committee, acting upon the recommendation of the Streets Committee of this Board, presents for your approval the most extensive program for the construction of boulevards and streets ever undertaken in the history of San Francisco. By making the estimated cost of reconstruction of a number of important traffic streets a charge against the increased revenue which this City and County will receive from the State Good Roads Fund next year, your Committee has been able to recommend a reduction in the amount of money which the taxpayers will be required to contribute to this augmented program. It is estimated that San Francisco will receive more than a million dollars from the Good Roads Fund during the next fiscal year. Among the important major boulevard projects which are embraced in the program submitted are the Bay Shore Highway, Alemany boulevard, Grand View avenue, Junipero Serra boulevard, Laguna Honda boulevard, Market street extension, widening of Geary street west of Van Ness avenue, Potrero avenue from Sixteenth street southerly, Roosevelt way, San Jose avenue from Paulding to Mt. Vernon, Silver avenue from San Bruno to Oakdale, widening of Valencia street and the upper road at the beach. By judicious and economical use of the funds which will be available, your Committee feels certain that the entire program recommended can be carried out during the coming year.

In order that the increasing mileage of our city streets may be kept clean and presentable in appearance, provision has been made in the budget for an increase in the number of street sweepers employed by the Board of Public Works.

Another vitally important municipal function for which an increased appropriation is recommended is the lighting of our streets. The amount of money allotted for this purpose, which greatly exceeds any previous appropriation, has been adopted in accordance with a recomdation of the Lighting Committee of the Board, which is now engaged in making a scientific survey and study of the entire street lighting system. It is believed that as a result of this survey important economies may be effected in the future cost of lighting our streets and the Committee is confident that the amount recommended for next year will be ample to meet the growing needs of the City.

The budget contains an appropriation of $50,000 for the improvement, operation and maintenance of the new Mills Municipal Airport of San Francisco, which has recently been dedicated as the western terminal of our national air mail service. This appropriation, according to careful estimates submitted by the Committee in charge of the airport, will provide for the construction of new hangars and for every anticipated development of the new field during the coming year.

Important increases for the various charitable activities supported by our taxpayers are included in the budget. Among these increases are the appropriations for the maintenance of minors and for the support of the feeble-minded home.

The Board of Supervisors has recently been advised by the Auditor and the City Attorney that the only budget fund from which emergency appropriations for the relief of stricken communities, such as the Mississippi Valley flood area, can legally be made is the Publicity and Advertising Fund. In order to provide for the possibility of future demands of this kind, such as have recently been made upon the City, and to enable a more extensive advertising campaign to be carried on, your Committee has recommended that this appropriation be doubled in the next fiscal year.

The Traffic Committee of the Board of Supervisors, which was

created when this Board was reorganized less than a year and a half ago, has, by the installation of traffic signals and markers thruoghout the City, made it possible to release twenty-two members of the Police Department from fixed traffic stations, thus increasing the number of officers available for other departments of police duty. Your Committee has been able to recommend a reduced appropriation for traffic which will be ample for the normal extension of our improved system of traffic regulation.

A scientific reappraisal of all of the taxable land in the City and County, which has been conducted with the cooperation of property owners in every section of the City, is now virtually complete. It will be submitted, after careful checking, to this Board in the near future, by the committee of citizens who have supervised the work, with a recommendation that it be placed upon the assessment rolls for the future collection of taxes. It is the unanimous opinion of the citizens who have participated in this public appraisal that the work should be continued during the coming year so that it may include a valuation of all improvements upon real property. Your Committee believes that the completion of this work is a vital necessity to the end that the assessments of property may be equalized throughout the City in the interest of all taxpayers. We have therefore included an appropriation of $125,000, which is a conservative estimate of the cost of the work.

Several new fixed charges against the tax revenues have been given consideration by your Committee in drafting the budget now before you. Among these is an item of $100,000 for the payment of pensions to former teachers who were retired from the school department before the present retirement system was legally in effect. An appropriation of $27,000 is set up to meet the annual liability of the City in connection with a recent tax judgment entered in favor of the Southern Pacific Company.

Among the various special projects inaugurated by this budget, mention should be made of an item for the improvement of land already owned by the City in Noe Valley for playground purposes.

Provision is made in the budget to perpetuate salary increases authorized by the Board of Supervisors during the current fiscal fear for the benefit of employees who were previously listed in the lowest ranks of the municipal salary scale. Salary raises put into effect by the State Legislature, during its recent session, with the consent of this Board of Supervisors, are also recognized. These embrace the superior judges, justices of the peace, adult probation and juvenile probation departments. Your Finance Committee has declined to make provision for any salary advances which were attempted to be put into effect by administrative or executive branches of the City government without the official consent of the Board of Supervisors. One year ago this Board, in compliance mith the mandate for economy issued by the people, did not authorize a single salary increase which had not previously been sanctioned by this Board. During the intervening year various recommendations for increases of salary for state and municipal officials have been submitted to the electorate by initiative petition, and in every instance the people have emphatically voted no. Your committee has, therefore, followed its former policy in compiling the present budget, and not a single salary increase not previously authorized by this Board has been recommended. The wisdom of this policy is supported by the records of the City purchasing department, which have been made available this year for the first time, for analyzing and checking the requests of municipal departments for materials and supplies. These records indicate that the trend of prices for most of the necessities of living is downward.

After a series of conferences with the Civil Service Commission the Finance Committee has recommended an appropriation which is estimated to be sufficient to complete, before the end of the next fiscal year, a scientific classifiication, according to duties of all the employments in the City and County service. It is hoped by your committee that a permanent standardization of salaries, based upon this classification of duties, may be put into effect next year. We believe that until this work is finished no extensive changes should be made in the present salary schedules.

The foregoing summary of the budget estimate is presented with the conviction that it merits the most careful scrutiny by every taxpayer of San Francisco.

Respectfully,

JAMES B. McSHEEHY,
MILO F. KENT,
FRANCK R. HAVENNER,
Finance Committee.

BUDGET

FOR MUNICIPAL EXPENDITURES

City and County of San Francisco, California, For Fiscal Year 1927-1928

Bill No. ——, Ordinance No. —— (New Series).

An ordinance fixing and appropriating the aggregate sums of the items thereof allowed to each department, officer, board and commission for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1928, and making a Budget of the same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The aggregate sums and items thereof hereinafter set forth are hereby fixed, designated and appropriated for the respective purposes set opposite the same, to be expended during the fiscal year ending June 30, 1928, in accordance with the provisions of Article III, Chapter 1, of the Charter of the City and County.

Section 2. No department, officer, board, commission or employee shall expend, agree to expend or incur any liability which shall exceed the amount of any appropriation herein made or which may be hereafter appropriated for any specific purpose, and no such appropriation shall be used or subjected to any liability for any purpose other than that for which it is herein specifically made, unless otherwise authorized by the Board of Supervisors.

All balances of appropriations unexpended, or against which no liabilities have been incurred, at the end of each calendar month, shall be reported to the Board of Supervisors by the department, board, commission, officer or employee within ten days after the expiration of such previous month, and at the end of the fiscal year all such balances shall revert to and become a part of the unappropriated general fund unless otherwise ordered by the Board of Supervisors.

The Auditor shall not audit or issue any warrant contrary to the express provisions of this section.

Section 3. The amounts appropriated are as follows:

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
1	1090 E to 1093 E	(Appropriation 1) for plans and construction of new school buildings, the purchase of land for school purposes, furniture, additions and repairs to existing school buildings. A special tax on each $100 of assessed valuation to produce.....		**$1,200,000**

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 2-A)

2	401 A	18 Supervisors at $2,400 each.....	$43,200
3	402 A	Clerk	6,000
4	"	1 Chief Assistant Clerk	4,500
5	402 A	1 Expert Accountant	6,000
6	"	1 Bond and Ordinance Clerk.....	3,000
7	"	2 Assistant Clerks at $3,600.....	7,200
8	"	1 Assistant Clerk	3,000
9	"	1 Assistant Clerk	2,100
10	"	1 Stenographer to Finance Committee	3,600
11	"	1 Stenographer	2,400

12	"	1 Stenographer	2,100
13	"	1 Shorthand Reporter	2,700
14	"	1 Telephone Operator and Filing Clerk	2,100
15	401 A	1 Sergeant-at-Arms	2,400
16	"	1 Chauffeur-Investigator	3,000
17	529 A	1 Gas and Water Inspector.....	2,400
18	678 A	1 Superintendent of Auditorium ...	3,000
			$98,700

TELEPHONE EXCHANGE.

19	433 A	Chief Operator	$1,980
20	"	5 Operators at $1,800.....	9,000
21	"	Relief Operators	675
		Total Personal Services.....	**$110,355**

MISCELLANEOUS ACCOUNTS UNDER CONTROL OF BOARD OF SUPERVISORS.

(Appropriations 3-22 to 3-81)

22	403 B	Finance Committee Expenses	$5,000
23	401 K	Supervisors' Incidental Expenses....	9,000
24	402 B	Advertising Resolutions and Ordinances	55,000
25	402 K	Urgent Necessity	100,000
26	402 B	Printing Public Documents	4,000
27	"	Printing Law and Motion Calendar	8,000
28	614 K	Celebration Fourth of July	2,500
29	"	Memorial Day Observance	500
30	613 B	Maintenance Municipal Band	12,000
31	425 A	Examination of Insane	9,000
32	425 B	Maintenance of Insane Criminals...	7,500
33	529 B	Lighting Streets	680,000
34	402 H	Premiums on Official Bonds	5,000
35	527 E	Street Work in Front of City Property	50,000
36	1093 E	County Road Fund	1,000
37	5273	Repair and Painting of Bridges....	5,000
38	1093	Extension and Reconstruction of Sewers	200,000
39	629 H	Police Relief Pension Fund Deficit	140,000
40	"	Relief of Exempt Firemen	5,000
41	678 K	Auditorium	10,000
42	467 H	Public Pound	15,000
43	553 B	Feeble-Minded Home	100,000
44	553 H	Maintenance of Minors	700,000
45	553 H	Widows' Pensions	220,000
46	"	St. Catherine's Training School....	8,000
47	"	State Schools	20,000
48	419 K	City Planning Commission Including Auto at $40 a month and Including Stationery, Postage and Printing, $90	6,970
49	1090	Purchase of Lands from Market Street Railroad	8,940
50	1090	Purchase of Lands from Spring Valley Water Company, Contract....	35,200
51	1091	Aquatic Park Improvement.....	10,000
52	1091	Yacht Harbor Improvement.....	25,000

53	1091	Civic Center Beautification.....	15,000
54	1090	Van Ness Avenue Extension—Final Purchase	117,000
55	"	Municipal Air Port.....	50,000
56	401	For the purpose of continuing and completing the appraising of all taxable property subject to assessment within the City and County of San Francisco to the end that said assessment of said property for the fiscal year 1928-29 and for subsequent years may be equalized and the same may conform to the true value of said property and that the Board of Supervisors sitting as a Board of Equalization may be fully advised as to the true value of said property.....	125,000
57		For Personal Service, Opening of Preventorium in San Mateo County, and Completion of Buildings and Grounds	75,000
58		For the Establishing of Maternity Service	25,000
59		Repairs to Public Buildings, other than School Buildings	40,000
60		Relief Home Equipment.....	10,000
61		Improvement of Larsen Park.....	20,000
62		Initial Purchase, Mt Davidson.....	15,000
63		Chapel at San Francisco Hospital..	30,000
64		Bridle Path, Presidio Parkway.....	10,000
65		Improvement of Public Lands in Noe Valley	10,000
66		Convenience Stations	30,000
67		Improvements, de Young Museum..	25,000
68		Police Department Communication System	10,000
69	1092	Traffic signals, installation of, and purchase of devices for traffic control	25,000
70	1090	Purchase of lands for public purposes in Mission District	150,000
71	1093	Street signs	10,000
72	4142 AC	Single team for stores and yards, Board of Public Works, at $10.75 per day	3,000
73	4142 B	Royalties for surface heaters, Board of Public Works	12,000
74	502 AC	25 Double teams, street cleaning, Board of Public Works, at $11.50 per day	84,500
75	501 AC	3 Double teams, sewer repair, Board of Public Works, at $11.50 per day	10,350
76	501 H	Rental, 7 1½-ton trucks, Board of Public Works, at $12 per day....	25,200
77	"	Rental, 1 3-ton truck, Board of Public Works, at $20 per day	6,000
78	"	Rental, 16 autos, Board of Public Works, at $40 per month	7,680

79	Payment for Rental 333 Kearny Street Lease	14,233	
80	Stationery, Postage and Printing..	1,800	
	Total		$3,435,873

Street Reconstruction.

81 For the reconstruction of the following streets:

Broderick, Vallejo to Green
Clay, Taylor to Jones
Castro, Market to 16th
California, Van Ness to Hyde (widening)
California, Hyde to Jones (widening and paving)
Clay, Stockton to Powell
Fillmore, Broadway to Green
Filbert, Hyde to Leavenworth
Greenwich, Hyde easterly
Haight, Scott to Baker
Jones, Jackson to Pacific
Kearny, Broadway to Vallejo
Kearny, Union to Filbert
Larkin, Bay to North Point
Mason, Union to Greenwich
McAllister, Central to Masonic
Page, Broderick to Divisadero
Steiner, Grove to Fulton
Sacramento, Jones to Hyde
Sutter, Broderick to Presidio
Sutter, Buchanan to Octavia
Taylor, Green to Lombard
Washington, Jones to Taylor
Washington, Stockton to Kearny
Washington, Leavenworth to Hyde
Jackson, Stockton to Powell
Broadway, Columbus to Montgomery
Buchanan, Green to Union (center strip)
Broderick, Ellis to O'Farrell
California, Mason to Jones (widening and resurfacing)
Cherry, Sacramento to California
Divisadero, Green to Union
Francisco, Powell to Taylor
Hayes, Franklin to Laguna
Haight, Buchanan to Scott
Laguna, Bay Street north
Leavenworth, Columbus to Jefferson
Lyon, California to Sacramento
Lyon, Jackson to Washington
Octavia, Jackson to Pacific
Polk, Broadway to Vallejo
Pierce, Greenwich to Filbert
Scotland Street, Columbus to Greenwich
Spruce, Sacramento to California
21st Avenue, Cabrillo to Fulton
Valparaiso, Mason to Taylor
Vanderwater, Mason to Powell
Walnut, Washington to Jackson

		22nd Street, Church to Dolores	
		25th Street, Fair Oaks to Dolores	
		28th Street, Noe to Sanchez	
		Park, Leese to Mission	
		Clark, Drumm to Front	
		Maiden Lane, Kearny to Grant Ave.	
		Oregon, Drumm to Front	
		Total	$275,000

Bureau of Supplies.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 3½-A)

82	634 A	1 Purchaser of Supplies	$10,000
83	"	1 Superintendent of Supplies	3,600
84	"	1 Inspector of Supplies	3,000
85	"	1 Clerk, Stationery	3,000
86	"	1 Assistant Clerk, Stationery	2,700
87	"	1 Stenographer	2,100
88	"	1 Assistant Purchaser, Schools	2,700
89	"	1 Stenographer File Clerk	1,680
90	634 A	1 Tabulator	1,680
91	"	1 Chief Storekeeper	3,900
		Total Personal Services	$34,360
92	634 BC	Non-Personal (Appropriation 3½B)	2,280
93	"	Stationery, printing books, postage	6,300
94	"	Furniture for public offices, equipment, etc	20,000
95	"	License tags and blanks.....	6,000
		Total Non-Personal Services ...	$34,580
		Total Bureau of Supplies.....	$68,940

EXECUTIVE DEPARTMENTS.

MAYOR.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 4-A)

96	404 A	Mayor	$6,000
97	"	Executive Secretary	4,800
98	"	Assistant Secretary	4,200
99	"	4 Stenographer-Typewriters at $2,100 each	8,400
100	"	Telephone Operator	1,800
101	"	Messenger	1,980
102	"	2 Chauffeurs at $2,400 each	4,800
		Total Personal Services	$31,980

Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 4-B)

103	404 K	Contingent expenses (Charter).....	$3,600
104	"	Personal Services and other than Personal Services	6,285
105	"	Stationery, Postage and Printing...	1,215
		Total Non-Personal Services ...	$11,100
		Total Mayor	$43,080

AUDITOR.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 5-A)

106	405 A	Auditor	$8,000	
107	"	Office Superintendent	3,600	
108	"	3 Deputies at $3,600 each	10,800	
109	"	2 Deputies at $2,880 each	5,760	
110	"	4 Deputies at $2,700 each	10,800	
111	"	1 Deputy	2,580	
112	"	5 Deputies at $2,400 each	12,000	
113	"	1 Bond Deputy	2,580	
114	"	1 General Clerk	2,220	
115	405 A	1 General Clerk	1,920	
116	"	1 Stenographer-Typist	2,220	
117	"	Expert (State Law), Section 4099 A	2,580	
118	"	Telephone Operator and Filing Clerk	2,100	
119	"	Attorney	3,000	
120	"	Service, assessment, State and local, compiling statistics for State Board and Comptroller and settlement with City and State	6,400	
		Total Personal Services	$76,560	

Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 5-B)

121	405 B	Contingents	$500	
122	"	Stationery, Postage and Printing	5,400	
		Total Auditor		**$82,460**

TAX COLLECTOR.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 6-A)

123	408 A	Tax Collector	$8,000	
124	"	Office Superintendent	3,600	
125	"	Cashier	3,300	
126	"	Accountant	3,300	
127	"	6 Special Deputies at $2,580 each	15,480	
128	"	1 Cashier, License Bureau	2,880	
129	"	2 Expert Searchers at $2,580 each	5,160	
130	"	26 Deputies at $2,400 each	62,400	
131	"	1 Stenographer	2,100	
132	"	Extra Clerical Help	15,000	
133	"	Adjuster of Licenses	3,000	
134	"	Tunnel Accountant	2,100	
		Total Personal Services	$126,320	

Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 6-B)

135	408 B	Printing Delinquent Tax List	$3,700	
136	408 D	Advertising Tax Notices and Contingents	2,160	
137	"	Stationery, Postage and Printing	4,500	
		Total Non-Personal Services	$10,360	
		Total Tax Collector		**$136,680**

TREASURER.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 7-A)

138	406 A	Treasurer	$8,000
139	"	Chief Deputy	2,880
140	"	Cashier	5,100

141	406 A	Bank and Bond Deputy.....	3,900	
142	"	1 Deputy	3,900	
143	"	Coupon Clerk	2,880	
144	"	1 Deputy	3,300	
145	"	Bookkeeper	3,600	
146	"	Assistant Bookkeeper	2,400	
147	"	2 Clerks, at $2,880 each	5,760	
148	"	1 Clerk	1,980	
		Total Personal Services	$43,700	

Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 7-B)

149	406 K	Non-Personal Services	$200	
150	"	Stationery, Postage and Printing...	900	
		Total Treasurer		**$44,800**

ASSESSOR.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 8-A)

151	407 AA	Assessor	$8,000	
152	"	Chief Deputy Assessor	2,400	
153	"	Director of Supervising Appraisers	4,500	
154	"	Cashier Auditor	2,400	
155	"	2 Supervising Appraisers at $4,200 each a year	8,400	
156	"	3 Supervising Appraisers at $3,600 each a year	10,800	
157	"	16 Appraisers at $3,000 each a year	48,000	
158	"	3 Personal Property Appraisers at $3,000 each a year	9,000	
159	"	1 Asst. Personal Property Appraiser	2,400	
160	"	3 Asst. Appraisers at $2,400 each a year	7,200	
161	"	3 Asst. Appraisers at $2,700 each a year	8,100	
162	"	15 Asst. Appraisers at $2,280 each a year	34,200	
163	"	Stenographer-Typist	1,800	
164	407 AB	Temporary Asst. Deputies—General Clerks at $160 per month for time employed	98,000	
		Total Personal Services	$245,200	

Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 8-B)

165	407 B	Non-Personal Services, including Autos at $40 a month	$6,000	
166	"	Stationery, Postage and Printing....	13,500	
		Total Assessor		**$264,700**

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTIONS.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 9-A)

167	431 A	5 Commissioners at $1,000 each a year	$5,000	
168	"	Registrar of Voters	5,400	
169	"	2 Deputy Registrars at $3,600 each a year	7,200	
170	"	5 Deputy Registrars at $2,700 each..	13,500	
171	"	7 Deputy Registrars at $2,460 each a year	17,220	

172	"	Typo Operator Mechanic.....	2,460
173	"	Typo Operator Mechanic Assistant..	2,280
174	"	Voting Machine Adjuster.....	1,920
175	"	Stenographer-Typewriter	2,280
			$57,260

ELECTION EXPENSES.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 9-B)

176	431 AC	Storekeeper-Carpenter at $9 a day..	$2,700
177	"	Outside Registration	15,000
178	431 AB	Temporary Clerks, office and petitions	50,000
179	"	Election Officers	65,000
180	431 AC	Laborers	1,000
		Total Personal Services.....	$133,700

Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 9-C)

181	431 B	Contractual Services	$63,500
182	1092	Purchase additional voting machines and payment for machines heretofore delivered	150,000
183	"	Rental of Polling Places.....	18,000
184	"	Purchase of Truck.....	1,835
		Total Non-Personal Services..	$233,335
		Total Elections	**$424,295**

DISTRICT ATTORNEY.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 10-A)

185	412 A	District Attorney	$8,000
186	"	5 Assistants at $4,500 each.....	22,500
187	"	1 Assistant	3,600
188	"	4 Assistants at $3,000 each.....	12,000
189	"	3 Assistants at $2,400 each.....	7,200
190	"	Warrant and Bond Clerk.....	3,300
191	"	1 Asst. Warrant and Bond Clerk...	2,400
192	"	6 Asst. Warrant and Bond Clerks at $2,280 each	13,680
193	"	Chief Clerk	3,000
194	"	Assistant Chief Clerk.....	2,100
195	412 A	Bookkeeper	1,800
196	"	1 Stenographer	2,400
197	"	1 Stenographer	2,100
198	"	Messenger	1,800
199	"	1 Juvenile Court Investigator.....	2,100
		Total Personal Services	$87,980

Personal and Non-Personal Services—
(Appropriation 10-B)

200	412 K	Detection and Prosecution of Criminals	$7,500
201	"	Stationery, Postage and Printing...	1,350
		Total District Attorney.....	**$96,830**

PUBLIC DEFENDER.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 10-C)

202	411	Public Defender	$5,000	
203	"	2 Deputy Public Defenders at $3,900 each a year	7,800	
204	"	1 Deputy Public Defender	3,600	
205	"	1 Clerk	1,800	
206	"	Stationery, Postage and Printing	90	
		Total Public Defender		**$18,290**

CITY ATTORNEY.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 11-A)

207	410 A	City Attorney	$8,000	
208	"	1 Chief Deputy	7,200	
209	"	1 Deputy	7,200	
210	"	1 Deputy	6,000	
211	"	2 Assistants at $3,000 each	6,000	
212	"	1 Assistant	2,700	
213	"	2 Stenographers at $2,100 each	4,200	
214	"	1 Telephone and Information Clerk	2,100	
		Total Personal Services	$43,400	

Personal and Non-Personal Services—
(Appropriation 11-B)

215	410 K	General and Rate Litigation	$9,370	
216	"	Stationery, Postage and Printing	630	
		Total City Attorney		**$53,400**

CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 12-A)

217	417 A	3 Commissioners at $1,200 each	$3,600	
218	"	Deputy Commissioner and Chief Examiner	4,200	
219	"	1 Chief Inspector	3,000	
220	"	1 Inspector	2,700	
221	"	Assistant Inspector	2,400	
222	"	1 Assistant Secretary	2,400	
223	"	1 Experienced Clerk	2,400	
224	"	1 General Clerk	2,100	
225	"	1 Clerk-Stenographer	2,220	
226	"	Special Examiners and Extra Clerks	3,500	
227	"	Salary Standardization	5,000	
		Total Personal Services	$33,520	
228	417 K	Non-Personal Services (Appropriation 12-B)	$1,250	
229	"	Stationery, Postage and Printing	1,800	
		Total Civil Service Commission.		**$36,570**

COUNTY CLERK.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 13-A)

230	426 A	County Clerk	$8,000	
231	"	Chief Registry Clerk	3,600	

232	"	Cashier	3,000	
233	"	5 Registry Clerks at $2,880 each	14,400	
234	"	10 Assistant Registry Clerks at $2,400 each	24,000	
235	"	16 Superior Court Clerks at $2,400 each	38,400	
236	426	4 Police Court Clerks at $2,400 each	9,600	
237	"	38 Copyists at $2,400 each	91,200	
		Total Personal Services	$192,200	
238	426 K	Non-Personal Services (13-B)	150	
239	"	Stationery, Postage and Printing	11,025	
		Total County Clerk		**$203,375**

SHERIFF.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 14-A)

240	430 A	Sheriff	$8,000	
241	"	Cashier	3,300	
242	"	Deputy	2,700	
243	"	Attorney	1,800	
244	"	Secretary and Chief Bookkeeper	3,000	
245	"	14 Deputies at $2,580 each	36,120	
246	557 A	59 Jailers at $2,364 each	139,476	
247	430 A	Stenographer	2,220	
248	557 A	Chauffeur-Machinist	2,400	
249	430 A	Office Superintendent	3,600	
250	527 A	Superintendent of Jails	3,000	
251	"	Assistant Superintendent	2,640	
252	"	Chief Jailer	2,700	
253	"	Commissary Storekeeper	2,580	
254	"	1 Bookkeeper	2,580	
255	"	1 Matron	2,400	
256	"	7 Female Jailers at $2,364 each	16,548	
257	"	2 Drivers at $2,400 each	4,800	
258	"	2 Cooks at $2,100 each	4,200	
259	"	1 Jailer	2,400	
260	"	1 Female Deputy	1,920	
261	"	16 Keepers at $1,920 each	30,720	
		Total Personal Services	$279,104	

Other than Personal Services—
(Appropriation 14-B)

262	557 Var	Maintenance, Subsistence and Equipment	$68,000	
263	"	Stationery, Postage and Printing	2,520	
		Total Sheriff		**$349,624**

RECORDER.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 15-A)

	454 AA	Personal Services—		
264	"	Recorder	$8,000	
265	"	Office Superintendent	3,600	
266	"	2 Deputies (Cashiers) at $2,580 each	5,160	
267	"	6 Deputies at $2,580 each	15,480	
268	"	7 Deputies at $2,400 each	16,800	
269	"	Chief Copyist	2,580	
270	"	1 Special Copyist	2,580	
271	"	Machinist	2,700	

272	"	Stenographer	2,100	
273	454 AB	22 Copyists at $2,400 each	52,800	
		Total Personal Services	$111,800	
Non-Personal Services—				
274	454 AB	Stationery, Postage and Printing...	$5,400	
		Total Recorder		**$117,200**

SUPERIOR COURTS.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 16-A)

275	425 A	16 Judges	$80,000	
276	"	Secretary and Jury Commissioner...	6,000	
277	425 A	Assistant Secretary	2,400	
278	"	Jury Examiner	2,400	
279	"	Information Deputy	1,800	
280	"	Messenger-Clerk	2,400	
281	"	8 Translators at $2,100 each	16,800	
282	"	Jury and Witness Fees	35,000	
283	428 A	Grand Jury Expenses	8,000	
284	425 A	Stenographers (Reporters' Fees)	30,000	
		Total Personal Services	$184,800	
Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 16-B)				
285	425 K	Court Orders	$8,000	
286	"	Stationery, Postage and Printing...	2,250	
		Total Superior Courts		**$195,050**

JUSTICES' COURTS.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 17-A)

287	428 A	5 Justices of the Peace at $5,400 each	$27,000	
288	"	Justices' Clerk	4,200	
289	"	Chief Deputy	3,300	
290	"	Cashier	3,000	
291	"	3 Deputy Clerks at $2,700 each	8,100	
292	"	Messenger	2,700	
293	"	1 Clerk	2,700	
294	"	1 Clerk	2,400	
295	"	2 Clerks at $1,920 each	3,840	
		Total Personal Services	$57,240	
Non-Personal Services—				
296	428 A	Stationery, Postage and Printing...	$2,700	
		Total Justices' Courts		**$59,940**

JUVENILE DETENTION HOME.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 18-A)

297	558 A	Superintendent	$2,100	
298	"	Matron	1,500	
299	"	Assistant Superintendent	1,620	
300	"	Night Superintendent	1,620	
301	"	Clinic Nurse	1,200	
302	"	7 Nurses at $960 each	6,720	
303	"	Cook	1,200	

304	"	1 Orderly	1,620	
305	"	1 Orderly	1,200	
		Total Personal Services	$18,780	
		Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 18-B)		
306	558 Var	Maintenance and Subsistence	$15,000	
		Total Juvenile Detention Home.		**$33,780**

JUVENILE COURT.

		Personal Services—(Appropriation 19-A)		
307	559 A	Probation Officer	$4,200	
308	"	Chief Assistant Probation Officer	3,300	
309	"	1 Assistant Probation Officer who shall act as Collector	2,880	
310	"	3 Assistant Probation Officers at $2,700 each	8,100	
311	"	1 Assistant Probation Officer who shall act as Cashier-Bookkeeper	2,700	
312	"	12 Assistant Probation Officers at $2,520 each	30,240	
313	"	4 Assistant Probation Officers who shall act as Stenographers at $2,220 each	8,880	
314	"	1 Assistant Probation Officer who shall act as Stenographer	1,980	
315	"	1 Assistant Probation Officer who shall act as File and Information Clerk	2,100	
		Total Personal Services	$64,380	
316	"	Non-Personal Services (Appropriation 19-B)	3,785	
317	"	Stationery, Postage and Printing	1,215	
		Total Juvenile Court		**$69,380**

ADULT PROBATION DEPARTMENT.

		Personal Services—(Appropriation 20-A)		
318	5590 A	Chief Probation Officer	$4,200	
319	"	Assistant Chief Probation Officer	3,300	
320	"	7 Assistant Probation Officers at $2,520 each	17,640	
321	"	1 Assistant Cashier and Clerk	2,280	
		Total Personal Services	$27,420	
322	5590 K	Non-Personal Services (Appropriation 20-B)	250	
323	"	Stationery, Postage and Printing	450	
		Total Adult Probation Dept.		**$28,120**

WIDOWS' PENSION BUREAU.

		Personal Services—(Appropriation 21-A)		
324	553 A	Director	$3,000	
325	"	Assistant Director	2,400	
326	"	3 Social Service Visitors at $2,100 each	6,300	
327	"	1 Office Assistant	1,800	
		Total Personal Services	$13,500	

328	553 K	Non-Personal Services (Appropriation 21-B)	640	
329	"	Stationery, Postage and Printing...	360	
		Total Widows' Pension Bureau.		**$14,500**

LAW LIBRARY.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 22-A)

330	427 A	Librarian	$4,800	
331	"	Assistant Librarian	3,000	
332	"	Bookbinder	2,100	
		Total Personal Services	$9,900	

Non-Personal Services—

333	427 A	Stationery, Postage and Printing...	$270	
		Total Law Library		**$10,170**

POLICE COURTS.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 23-A)

334	423 A	4 Police Judges at $3,600 each	$14,400	
335	"	4 Stenographers at $3,900 each	15,600	
		Total Personal Services	$30,000	

Non-Personal Services—

336	423 A	Stationery, Postage and Printing...	$90	
		Total Police Court		**$30,090**

CORONER.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 24-A)

337	429 A	Coroner	$8,000	
338	"	Chief Deputy	3,300	
339	"	Autopsy Surgeon	3,000	
340	"	3 Deputies at $2,580 each	7,740	
341	"	3 Assistant Deputies (female) at $1,980 each	5,940	
342	429 A	3 Assistant Deputy Drivers at $2,400 each	7,200	
343	"	1 Stenographer	2,400	
344	"	1 Assistant Stenographer	2,100	
345	"	Toxicologist	1,800	
346	"	Relief	800	
		Total Personal Services	$42,280	
347	429 K	Non-Personal Services (Appropriation 24-B)	$3,000	
348	"	Stationery, Postage and Printing...	900	
		Total Coroner		**$46,180**

SEALER OF WEIGHTS AND MEASURES.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 25-A)

349	460 A	Sealer	$4,800	
350	"	Chief Deputy	3,300	
351	"	6 Deputy Sealers at $2,700 each	16,200	
		Total Personal Services	$24,300	

352	460 K	Non-Personal Services	1,500	
353		Stationery, Postage and Printing...	270	
		Total Sealer of Weights and Measures		**$26,070**

HORTICULTURAL COMMISSION.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 25½-A)

354	464 A	1 Horticultural Commissioner.....	$3,000
355	"	2 Horticultural Inspectors at $8.00 a day	4,800
356	"	1 Stenographer-Clerk	1,800
		Total Personal Services.....	$9,600

Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 25½-B)

357	464 A	Non-Personal Services: Expense, auto rental at $40 a month	$1,560	
358	"	Stationery, Postage and Printing...	135	
		Total Horticultural Commission		**$11,295**

DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS.

Commissioners and General Office.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 26-A)

359	414 A	3 Commissioners	$12,000
360	"	Chief Deputy Commissioner.....	4,200
361	"	Experienced Clerk	3,600
362	"	Experienced Clerk	3,600
363	"	Experienced Clerk	2,400
364	"	Ordinary Clerk	2,400
365	"	Stenographer	2,400
366	"	Stenographer	2,400
367	"	Chauffeur	2,400
368	"	Messenger	2,280
369	"	General Clerk	2,100
		Total Personal Services.....	$39,780

Bureau of Accounting.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 27-A)

370	414 A	Experienced Clerk in Charge.....	$4,300
371	"	Ordinary Clerk, Head Timekeeper..	2,700
372	"	Experienced Clerk, Acting Bookkeeper	3,000
373	"	12 Experienced Clerks at $2,400 a year	28,800
374	417 A	2 Experienced Clerks at $1,800 each a year	3,600
375	"	1 Experienced Clerk, Cost Accountant	3,300
376	"	1 Experienced Clerk, Cashier	3,300
377	"	1 Experienced Clerk	2,400
378	"	Clerk	2,700
379	"	2 Stenographers at $2,100 each a year	4,200

380	"	5 Stenographers at $1,980 each a year	9,900
381	"	Inspector of House Numbers	2,700
		Total Personal Services	$70,900

Bureau of Architecture.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 28-A)

382	416 A	Superintendent of Construction	$4,200
383	"	Architectural Draftsman	3,000
384	"	Architectural Draftsman	2,700
385	"	Apprentice Draftsman	1,200
386	"	Stenographer	2,100
		Total Personal Services	$13,200

Bureau of Building Repair.

Expenditures of appropriations for repairs to buildings in this subdivision are not to be made until estimates are filed with the Board of Supervisors and authorizations and appropriations made therefor.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 29-A)

387	432 AA	Superintendent	$4,200
388	"	Asst. Superintendent	3,600
389	"	Superintendent of Painters	3,360
390	"	Foreman Plumber	3,000
391	"	Foreman Cement Finisher	3,000
392	"	Foreman Carpenter	3,000
393	"	Foreman Tinner	3,000
394	"	Foreman Wireman	3,000
395	"	Head Janitor	3,120
396	"	2 Asst. Head Janitors, at $2,160 a year	4,320
397	"	Night Foreman, Janitor	2,160
398	"	57 Janitors, at $1,860 a year	106,020
399	432 AA	4 Window Cleaners, at $2,040 each a year	8,160
400	"	Foreman Window Cleaner	2,220
401	432 AB	Janitors' Relief and Vacation	3,765
402	432 AA	Elevator Starter—City Hall	2,160
403	"	17 Elevator Operators, at $1,860 a year	31,620
404	"	2 Watchmen, at $1,860 each a year.	3,720
405	432 AB	Relief and Vacation, all Departments	1,840
406	"	2 Chief Engineers, at $3,420 each a year	6,840
407	"	5 Asst. Chief Engineers, at $2,520 a year	12,600
408	"	Engineer—Part Time Relief and Vacation	2,520
409	"	5 Firemen, at $2,200 each a year	11,100
410	"	Firemen Relief and Vacation	435
		Total Personal Services	$228,760
		Employment for more or less constant service in the repair of public buildings other than school buildings	
411	432 AC	5 Carpenters, each at $9 per day	$13,500

412	432 AA	Foreman Carpenter, $10 per day ...	3,000
413	"	Carpenters' Helper, $7 per day ...	2,100
414	"	Carpenters' Apprentice, $5.50 per day	1,650
415	"	5 Plumbers, at $10 per day	15,000
416	"	2 Steam Fitters, at $10 per day...	6,000
417	"	6 Painters, at $9 per day	16,200
418	"	Foreman Painter, at $10 per day..	3,000
419	"	Painters, Shop Men, $7 per day....	2,100
420	432 AA	Painters' Apprentice, at $6 per day	1,800
421	"	2 Tinners, $9 per day	5,400
422	"	Tinners' Helper, at $6.50 per day...	1,950
423	"	Tinners' Apprentice, $4 per day ...	1,200
424	"	Cement Finisher, at $9 per day....	2,700
425	"	Cement Finisher Helper, $8 per day	2,400
426	"	Glazier, at $9 per day	2,700
427	"	Steam Fitter, at $10 per day	3,000
428	"	Locksmith, at $7 per day	2,100
429	"	Wireman, at $9 per day	2,700
		Total Personal Services	$88,500

Non-Personal Services—

(Appropriation 29-B and C)

430	432 B	Contractual Services	$3,600
431	432 BA	Transportation Autos	2,500
432	432 4A	Transportation Carfare	600
433	432 C	Materials and Supplies	19,000
434	432 BA	Transportation Carfare	180
		SUPPLIES AND MAINTENANCE.	
435	432 BC	Towel Service	2,575
436	432 BB	Scavenger Service	900
		MATERIALS AND SUPPLIES.	
437	432 CB	Fuel Oil	$15,000
438	432 CS	Janitorial Supplies	5,000
439	432 CD	Engine Room Supplies and Equipment	5,000
		Total Non-Personal Services ...	$54,355
440	432 BH	Lighting Public Buildings Exclusive of School Buildings (Appropriation 29-D)	$30,000
441	432 BL	Water for Public Buildings Exclusive of School Buildings (Appropriation 29-E)	18,000

Bureau of Stores and Yards.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 30-A)

442	4142 AC	Experienced Clerk	$2,700
443	"	Watchman	2,640
444	"	Watchman	2,340
445	"	Watchman	1,980
446	"	2 Watchmen, at $1,860 each a year	3,720
447	"	2 Watchmen, at $1,920 each a year	3,840
448	"	Foreman Machinist	3,000
449	"	Machinist, at $9 a day	2,700
450	"	2 Blacksmiths, at $9 a day	5,400
451	"	3 Blacksmiths' Helpers, at $7.08 a day	6,372
452	"	Vulcanizer, at $7 a day	2,100

453	"	Carriage Painter, at $8.50 a day ...	2,550
454	4142 AC	2 Laborers, 365 days, at 6 a day....	4,380
455	"	2 Laborers, at $6 a day	3,600
		Total Personal Services	$47,322

Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 30-B)

456	4142 C	Material and Supplies, Equipment ..	$1,000
		Total Non-Personal Services ...	$1,000

Bureau of Building Inspection.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 31-A)

457	455 A	Chief Inspector	$5,400
458	"	1 Inspector	3,300
459	"	1 Inspector	3,000
460	"	Boiler Inspector	3,000
461	"	2 Experienced Clerks, at $2,700 each	5,400
462	"	1 Inspector	2,550
463	"	10 Inspectors, at $2,700 a year	27,000
464	"	Messenger	1,560
465	"	2 Engineers, at $3,600 each	7,200
		Total Personal Services	$58,410

Bureau of Engineering.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 32-A)

466	415 A	City Engineer	$5,000
467	"	Asst. City Engineer	5,400
468	"	4 Assistants, Civil Engineer, at $3,300 a year	13,200
469	"	Surveyor	3,600
470	"	Surveyor	3,000
471	"	4 Surveyors, at $3,000 a year	12,000
472	"	Surveyors' Field Assistant	3,000
473	"	2 Surveyors' Field Assistants, at $3,000 a year	6,000
474	"	4 Surveyors' Field Assistants, at $2,700 a year	10,800
475	"	1 Surveyor's Field Assistant	2,700
476	"	20 Surveyors' Field Assistants, at $2,700 a year	54,000
477	"	1 Engineering Draftsman	3,600
478	"	1 Engineering Draftsman	3,300
479	"	1 Engineering Draftsman	3,000
480	"	6 Engineering Draftsmen, at $2,700 a year	16,200
481	"	3 Engineering Draftsmen, at $2,700 a year	8,100
482	"	1 Engineering Draftsman	2,100
483	"	Cartographer	2,700
484	"	2 Inspectors of Streets and Sewers, at $2,700 a year	5,400
485	"	1 Inspector of Streets and Sewers ..	2,400
486	"	1 Inspector of Streets and Sewers ..	2,400
487	"	1 Inspector of Streets and Sewers...	2,220
488	"	Engineering Chemist	3,600
489	"	Photographer	2,700
490	"	Photostat Operator	2,400
491	"	Blue Printer	2,100
492	"	3 Stenographers, at $1,980 a year ..	5,940

493	"	Chauffeur	2,400
494	415 A-B	Watchman, part time	870
		Total Personal Services	$190,130

Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 32-B)

495	415 B	Non-Personal Services	$8,000
496	"	Block Books	4,000
		Total Engineer's Department	$202,130

Street Repair Department.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 33-A)

497	527 AA	Superintendent	$4,500
498	"	Asst. Superintendent	3,900
499	"	2 Asst. Superintendents, at $2,700 each	5,400
500	"	Experienced Clerk	3,000
501	"	Stenographer, 4 months	600
		MUNICIPAL ASPHALT PLANT—300 DAYS.	
502	527 A	Engineer	$2,400
503	"	2 Firemen, at $1,980 a year	3,960
504	"	Foreman, at $9.50 a day	2,850
505	"	Machinist	2,700
506	527 AC	Asphalt Dryermen, at $8 a day	2,400
507	"	2 Asphalt Mixermen, at $8 a day	4,800
508	"	Asphalt Mixerman, at $8.50 a day	2,550
509	"	2 Asphalt Finishers, at $7.50 a day	4,500
510	"	3 Asphalt Workers, at $7 a day	6,300
511	527 AA	Telephone Operator	1,620
		ASPHALT MAINTENANCE AND REPAIR—SURFACE HEATERS FOUR MACHINES, 280 DAYS.	
512	527 AC	2 Foremen, 300 days, at $8.50 a day	$5,100
513	"	16 Finishers, at $7.50 a day	33,600
514	"	24 Asphalt Workers, at $7 a day	47,040
515	"	7 Engineers, at $9 a day	17,640
516	"	10 Chauffeurs, at $7 a day	19,600
		SIDEWALKS—150 DAYS.	
517	527 AC	1 Cement Finisher, at $9 a day	$1,350
518	"	2 Cement Finisher Helpers, at $8 a day	2,400
		CONCRETE REPAIRS, MISCELLANEOUS—280 DAYS.	
519	527 AC	15 Laborers, at $6 a day	$25,200
		CURB SETTING AND DRESSING—280 DAYS.	
520	527 AC	2 Granite Cutters, at $9 a day	$5,040
		BASALT BLOCK MAINTENANCE—270 DAYS.	
521	527 AC	Foreman, 280 days, at $9 a day	$2,520
522	"	6 Pavers, at $8 a day	12,960
523	"	3 Rammers, at $7 a day	5,670
524	"	10 Laborers, at $6 per day	16,200
		Total Personal Services	$245,800

Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 33-C)

525	527	Asphalt Plant—Transportation, carfare, rental of 17 trucks at $12 a day, 280 days; switching and demurrage, garage expense, printing and bookbinding, power, water, repairs to equipment, tool sharpening, setting back hydrants, miscellaneous	$65,350

526	"	Materials and Supplies — Asphalt, cement, limestone dust, paving brick, rock, sand and gravel, fuel oil, wood and coal, kerosene, gasoline, lubricants, tools, tires and tubes, redressed curb	92,400
			$157,750

Maintenance and Operation of Bridges.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 34-A)

527	527 A	9 Engineers, at $2,520 each a year ..	$22,680
528	"	2 Engineers, Relief and Vacation, at $2,520 a year	5,040
529	"	10 Watchmen, Bridge Tenders, at $1,860 each a year	18,600
530	"	2 Watchmen, Relief and Vacation, at $1,860 a year	3,720
531	"	1 Carpenter, at $9 per day	2,700
532	"	1 Carpenter Helper at $6.50 per day	1,950
		Total Personal Services	$54,690

Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 34-B)

533	527 B	Contractual	$2,500
534	527 C	Material and Supplies	1,000
		Total Non-Personal Services ...	$3,500

Street Cleaning Department.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 35-A-1)

535	502 AA	Superintendent	$4,200
536	"	2 Asst. Superintendents, at $2,700 a year	5,400
537	"	1 Experienced Clerk	3,000
538	502 AA	6 Watchmen at $1,860 each a year..	11,160
539	502 AC	210 Sweepers at $6 per day.....	378,000
540	"	19 Chauffeurs at $7 per day.....	39,900
541	"	14 Gang Foremen at $6.50 per day..	27,300
542	"	1 Night Gang Foreman	2,100
			$471,060

Non-Personal Services—

543	502 BA	Transportation	$1,200
544	502 BL	Water	6,500
545	502 BN	Repairs and upkeep of equipment..	5,000
546	502 C	Material and Supplies	20,000
547	1092	Street Cans	600
			$33,300

Bureau of Sewer Repair.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 36-A)

548	501 AA	Superintendent	$4,200
549	"	Asst. Superintendent	3,000
550	"	Asst. Superintendent	2,700
551	501 AC	Asst. Superintendent in charge Sewer Cleaning	2,550
552	"	5 Bricklayers at $11 a day.....	16,500
553	"	9 Hod Carriers at $9 a day.....	24,300

554	501	3 Chauffeurs at $7 per day	6,300
555	"	25 Sewermen at $6.50 per day.....	48,750
556	"	21 Laborers at $6 per day.....	37,800
557	"	18 Sewer Cleaners at $8 per day..	43,200
		Total Personal Services.....	$189,300

Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 36-B)

558	B	Repairs to equipment.....	$1,000
559	C	Materials and Supplies	20,000
		Total Non-Personal Services....	$21,000

Sewage Pumping Station.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 37-A)

560	501 AA	Watchman in Charge.....	$2,160
561	501 AB	Relief and Vacation.....	90
		Total Personal Services.....	$2,250

Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 37-B)

562	501 BH	Power	$500
563	501 C	Material and Supplies	700
		Total Non-Personal Services....	$1,200

MISCELLANEOUS.
(Appropriation 38-A)

564	501 BA	Carfare	$1,200
565	501 BN	Maintenance and Repairs to Equipment	3,000
566	501 K	Material, Supplies, Equipment.....	2,000
567	"	Stationery, Postage and Printing—all Departments	10,800
			$17,000
		Total Board of Works.....	**$2,050,857**

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICITY.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 40-A)

568	465 A	Office Superintendent	$5,000
569	"	Secretary	3,000
570	"	1 Clerk	2,700
571	"	1 Stenographer-Typewriter	2,100
572	"	1 Helper-Messenger	1,800
573	"	Chief Inspector	3,300
574	"	18 Inspectors at $3,000 each.....	54,000
575	"	Chief Operator	3,000
576	"	8 Fire Alarm Operators at $2,700 each	21,600
577	"	4 Telephone Operators at $1,800 each	7,200
578	"	Relief Telephone Operator	750
579	"	Superintendent of Plant.....	3,300
580	465 AC	Cable Splicer at $9 per diem.....	2,700
581	"	Batteryman	2,700
582	"	Foreman Instrument Maker	3,000
583	"	5 Instrument Makers at $2,700 each	13,500
584	"	Foreman Laborer	2,100
585	"	3 Laborers at $6 per diem	5,400
586	"	2 Machinists at $2,700 each.....	5,400
587	"	3 Foremen Linemen at $2,700 each	8,100

588	"	14 Linemen at $2,400 each	33,600	
589	"	Inside Wireman at $9 per diem....	2,700	
590	"	Commissary	2,100	
591	"	Repairer	2,460	
592	"	2 Clerks at $2,280 each.....	4,560	
		Total Personal Services.....	$196,070	
Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 40-B)				
593	465 Var	Material, Supplies and Equipment..	$12,750	
594	"	Stationery, Postage and Printing...	2,250	
Personal and Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 40-E)				
595	1091	Underground Conduit System	15,000	
		Total Non-Personal Services....	$30,000	
		Total Department of Electricity.		**$226,070**

FIRE DEPARTMENT.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 41-A)				
596	452 AA	4 Commissioners at $1,200 each a year	$4,800	
597	"	Office Superintendent and Secretary	4,500	
598	"	Physician	2,820	
599	"	Stenographer	2,580	
600	"	Chief Engineer	7,200	
601	"	2 First Assistant Chiefs at $4,800 each	9,600	
602	"	2 Second Assistant Chiefs at $4,800 each	9,600	
603	"	21 Battalion Chiefs at $4,200 a year	88,200	
604	"	22 Operators at $2,520 a year.....	55,440	
605	"	Superintendent of Assignment	1,440	
			$186,180	
		ENGINE COMPANIES.		
606	452 AA	46 Captains at $2,820 each a year..	$129,720	
607	"	82 Lieutenants at $2,670 each a year	218,940	
608	"	27 Engineers at $2,640 each a year	71,280	
609	"	86 Drivers at $2,400 each a year...	206,400	
610	"	2 Drivers at $2,160 each a year..	4,320	
611	"	346 Hosemen at $2,400 each a year	830,400	
612	"	15 Hosemen at $2,280 each a year	34,200	
613	"	46 Hosemen at $2,160 each a year	99,360	
614		Automatic increase in salaries.....	4,295	
			$1,598,915	
		CHEMICAL COMPANIES.		
615	452 AA	13 Captains at $2,820 each a year.	$36,660	
616	"	13 Lieutenants at $2,670 each a year	34,710	
617	"	26 Drivers at $2,400 each a year...	62,400	
618	"	28 Hosemen at $2,400 each a year..	67,200	
619	"	4 Hosemen at $2,280 each a year..	9,120	
620	"	7 Hosemen at $2,160 each a year..	15,120	
621		Automatic increase in salaries.....	930	
			$226,140	
		TRUCK COMPANIES.		
622	452 AA	15 Captains at $2,820 each a year.	$42,300	

623	"	18 Lieutenants at $2,670 each a year	48,060
624	"	28 Drivers at $2,400 each a year..	67,200
625	"	28 Tillermen at $2,400 each a year	67,200
626	"	136 Truckmen at $2,400 each a year	326,400
627	"	11 Truckmen at $2,280 each a year	25,080
628	"	3 Truckmen at $2,160 each a year	6,480
629		Automatic increase in salaries.....	1,190
			$583,910

WATER TOWER COMPANIES.

630	452 AA	1 Captain	$2,820
631	"	1 Lieutenant	2,670
632	452 AA	2 Drivers at $2,400 each a year....	4,800
633	"	3 Hosemen at $2,400 each a year..	7,200
			$17,490

RESCUE SQUAD COMPANIES.

634	452 AA	1 Captain	$2,820
635	"	2 Lieutenants at $2,670 each a year	5,340
636	"	2 Drivers at $2,400 each a year....	4,800
637	"	4 Hosemen at $2,400 each a year..	9,600
		Total Personal Services.....	$22,560

PUMPING STATIONS.

638	452 AA	2 Chief Engineers at $3,360 each a year	$6,720
639	"	5 Asst. Engineers at $2,760 each a year	13,800
640	"	7 Firemen at $2,340 each a year..	16,380
641	452 AB	Vacation Engineers	805
642	"	Vacation Firemen	685
			$38,390

DISTRIBUTING SYSTEM.

643	452 AA	Superintendent	$4,200
644	"	Foreman Gateman	2,880
645	"	Asst. Foreman Gateman	2,730
646	"	11 Gatemen Hydrantmen at $2,580 each a year	28,380
647	452 AC	1 Calker at $7.50 per day	2,250
648	"	3 Laborers, at $6 per day each	5,400
			$45,840

CORPORATION YARD.

649	425 AA	Superintendent	$4,200
650	"	Clerk and Commissary	2,400
651	"	Bookkeeper	2,700
652	"	3 Watchmen, at $2,040 each a year	6,120
653	425 A	1 Drayman	2,220
654	"	1 Clerk	1,800
655	"	Batteryman	2,700
656	"	1 General Foreman	3,300
657	525 AC	12 Machinists, at $9 per day	32,400
658	"	5 Machinists' Helpers, at $6.58 per day	9,870
659	"	4 Blacksmiths, at $9 per day	10,800
660	"	4 Blacksmiths' Helpers, at $7.08 per day	8,496
661	"	2 Woodworkers, at $9 per day	5,400
662	"	1 Brass Finisher, at $9 per day	2,700
663	"	1 Boilermaker, at $9 per day	2,700

664	"	1 Boilermaker's Helper, at $6.58 per day	1,974
665	"	1 Foreman Carriage Painter, at $9.50 per day	2,850
666	525 AC	2 Carriage Painters, at $9 per day	5,400
667	"	1 Leather Worker, at $8.50 per day	2,550
		Total Corporation Yard	$110,580
668	"	For Fire Boat Crew and Maintenance, all Revenues Received from the State of California to be Credited to this Item	$84,860

Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 41-B)

669	452 BC	Maintenance, Material and Supplies	$130,500
670	452 BL	Hydrant Service, Spring Valley Rental, Water for Buildings	177,000
671	"	Stationery, Postage and Printing	2,250
		EQUIPMENT.	
672	1092	Equipment	90,000
			$399,750
		Total Fire Department	**$3,314,615**

POLICE DEPARTMENT.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 42-A)

673	451 AA	4 Commissioners, at $1,200 each a year	$4,800
674	"	Office Superintendent	3,600
675	"	Confidential Clerk	2,400
676	"	Stenographer	3,000
677	"	Chief of Police	7,200
678	"	Chief Clerk	3,600
679	"	Stenographer	1,800
680	"	Physician	2,400
681	"	6 Telephone Operators, at $1,800 each a year	10,800
		DETECTIVE BUREAU.	
682	"	Captain of Detectives	$5,000
683	"	6 Lieutenants, at $3,000 each a year	18,000
684	"	66 Detective Sergeants, at $2,760 a year	182,160
685	"	Stenographer	2,760
686	"	Photographer	2,700
687	"	3 Women Protective Officers, at $2,400 each a year	7,200
		UNIFORM FORCE.	
688	"	Captain of Traffic	$4,000
689	"	15 Captains, at $3,600 each a year	54,000
690	"	35 Lieutenants, at $3,000 each a year	105,000
691	"	84 Sergeants, at $2,640 each a year	221,760
692	"	128 Corporals, at $2,580 each a year	330,240
693	"	884 Patrolmen, at $2,400 each a year	2,121,600
694	451 AA	26 Patrol Drivers, at $2,400 each a year	62,400
695	"	10 Hostlers, at $2,160 each a year	21,600
696	"	4 Matrons, at $2,100 each a year	8,400
697	"	Matron's Relief	1,312
698	"	Cook	2,100
699	"	3 Engineers, Police Boat, at $2,400 each a year	7,200

700	"	Inspector of Motor Vehicles	2,760
701	"	Inspector of Horses and Equipment	2,760
702	"	Inspector of Repairs and Maintenance	2,760
		Total Personal Service.....	$3,205,312

Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 42-C)

703	451 B	Contractual Service	$21,500
704	451 C	Material and Supplies	47,500
705	1092	Equipment — Automobiles, Motorcycles, Horses and Miscellaneous (Appropriation 42-B)	20,000
706	K	Contingent Fund (Appropriation 42-B)	9,000
707	"	Stationery, Postage and Printing ..	9,000
		Total Police Department.....	$3,312,312

DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH.

Central Office.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 43-A)

708	476 A	Health Officer	$9,000
709	"	Chief Clerk	4,200
710	"	Stenographer	2,580
711	"	3 Stenographers, at $2,280 a year ...	6,840
712	"	2 Clerks, at $2,280 each a year	4,560
713	"	1 Clerk, Personal	1,800
714	"	2 Telephone Operators, at $1,800 each a year	3,600
715	467 AB	Relief Telephone Operator	400
		ACCOUNTING.	
716	476 AA	Auditor	$4,200
717	"	2 Clerks, at $2,280 each a year	4,560
		STATISTICS.	
718	476 AA	1 Clerk—Morbidity	$3,600
719	"	1 Clerk—Mortuary	2,280
720	"	1 Clerk—Births	2,280
721	"	1 Clerk—Statistician, Cancer Survey	1,500
		CONTROL OF COMMUNICABLE DISEASES—TUBERCULOSIS.	
722	476 AA	Chief Market Inspector	$2,700
723	"	7 Veterinarians, at $2,400 each a year	16,800
724	"	16 Market Inspectors, at $2,400 each a year	38,400
		OTHER COMMUNICABLE DISEASES.	
725	476 AA	4 Sanitary Inspectors at $2,700 each a year	$10,800
726	"	1 Disinfector	2,400
727	"	1 Disinfector	2,220
728	"	Inspector, U. S. P. H. S.	1,200
		CLINICS.	
729	476 AA	Clinician	$3,000
730	"	Psychologist	1,800
731	"	2 Assistant Psychologists at $1,500 each	3,000
732	"	Assistant Psychologist	900
733	"	Physician Psychologist	1,200
734	"	Psychologist	600
735	"	Social Service Worker	1,800
736	"	Physician	2,100
737	"	Janitress	1,500

BACTERIOLOGICAL LABORATORIES.

738	476 AA	Director of Laboratories	$3,600
739	"	Milk Bacteriologist	3,000
740	"	2 Bacteriologists, at $2,700 each a year	5,400
741	"	1 Bacteriologist	2,100
742	"	Technician	1,500
743	"	Clerk	2,280
744	"	2 Helpers at $720 each a year	1,440

Conservation of Child Life.

SCHOOL INSPECTION.

745	476	Chief Medical Inspector	$3,000
746	476 AA	2 School Inspectors at $2,700 each a year	5,400
747	"	4 School Inspectors (part time) at $1,800 each a year	7,200
748	"	Optometrist	1,500

DENTAL.

749	476 AA	Dentist	$3,000
750	"	3 Dental Hygienists at $1,800 each a year	5,400
751	"	11 Dentists at $1,200 each a year	13,200
752	"	1 Dentist Assistant	600

CHILD WELFARE.

753	476 AA	Pediatrician	$3,000
754	"	Pediatrician	480
755	"	Pediatrician	240

Food Inspection.

MILK AND DAIRY CONTROL.

756	476 AA	2 Veterinarians, at $3,600 each a year	$7,200
757	"	1 Veterinarian	3,000

OTHER FOOD CONTROL.

758	476 AA	Chief Food Inspector	$3,600
759	"	7 Food Inspectors, at $2,400 each a year	16,800

CHEMICAL LABORATORY.

760	476 AA	Chemist	$3,000
761	"	Chemist	2,700
762	"	Technician	1,080

Protection of Life and Property.

PLUMBING INSPECTION.

763	456 A	Chief Plumbing Inspector, at $3,600 a year	$3,600
764	"	6 Plumbing Inspectors, at $2,820 each a year	16,920

HOUSING INSPECTION.

765	457 A	Tenement House Inspector	$3,000
766	"	3 Tenement House Inspectors at $2,400 a year	7,200
767	"	3 Tenement House Inspectors at $2,100 each a year	6,300

FACTORY INSPECTION.

768	458 AA	2 Industrial Inspectors at $2,100 each a year	$4,200
769	"	1 Industrial Inspector	1,980

REFUSE COLLECTION.

770	429 A	2 Inspectors at $2,100 each	$4,200

CHARITIES AND RELIEF.

771	476 A	2 City Physicians at $3,600 a year..	$7,200
772	"	1 City Physician	3,000

FIELD NURSING.

773	476 A	Director	$3,600
774	"	2 Supervisors School Medical Inspection at $2,280 each.....	4,560
775	"	Supervision Nutrition—Field Nurse	2,280
776	"	Supervisor—Social Service	2,280
777	"	2 Supervisors—Tuberculosis Bureau	4,560

Nurses.

TUBERCULOSIS BUREAU.

778	476 A	8 Field Nurses at $1,980 a year.....	$15,840
779	"	1 Graduate Nurse	1,800

SCHOOL MEDICAL INSPECTION.

780	476 A	30 Field Nurses at $1,980 a year....	$59,400
781	"	Nutrition Worker	2,100

CHILD WELFARE.

782	476 A	Field Nurse—Foster Homes.....	$1.980
783	"	10 Field Nurses—Health Centers, at $1,980 a year.....	19,800

SOCIAL SERVICE.

784	476 A	Field Nurse	$1,980
785	"	Social Service Worker.....	1.980
786	"	Institutional Nurse	1,620

TUBERCULOSIS, OTHER THAN NURSING.

787	476 A	Director	$4,200
788	"	Physician	600
789	"	Clerk-Stenographer	1,020
790	"	Cook—Michael Angelo School.....	720
		Total Personal Services	$430,960
791	476 BH	Lighting Health Buildings (Appropriation 43-B)	$2,500
792	"	Water, Health Buildings (Appropriation 43-C)	27,000

RAT EXTERMINATION.

793	476 AA	4 Rat Catchers at $1,320 a year each	$5,280
794	476 B	Carfare	225
795	476 C	Material and Supplies.....	525
796	476 B	Contractual Services (Appropriation 43-D)	23,850
797	476 C	Material, Supplies and Equipment...	40,000
798	"	Stationery, Postage and Printing...	10,800
		Total Non-Personal Services ...	$110,180

Laguna Honda Home.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 44-A)

799	552 AA	Superintendent	$6,000
800	"	Clerk	3,000
801	"	Stenographer	2,280
802	"	Telephone Operator	900
803	"	Watchman	1,500
804	"	Physician	2,400
805	"	Physician	1,800
806	"	Pharmacist	2,400
807	"	Supervisor Nurse	1,800
808	"	20 Institutional Nurses at $1,200 each	24,000
809	"	1 Practical Nurse.....	840

810	"	4 Practical Nurses at $600 each.....	2,400
811	"	2 Vocational Therapeutic Nurses at $1,500 each	3,000
812	"	Orderly	720
813	"	Orderlies as required.....	16,740
814	"	Nurses—Relief and Vacation.....	100
815	"	Commissary	2,400
816	"	Laundryman	2,268
817	"	Laundress	1,524
818	552 AA	Laundryman	600
819	"	Chief Steward	2,400
820	"	3 Stewards, at $1,680 each a year...	5,040
821	"	1 Steward	1,380
822	"	Head Matron	2,400
823	"	Matron	1,020
824	"	Seamstress	1,380
825	"	Seamstress	1,080
826	"	Practical Nurse	1,080
827	"	Chief Cook	2,280
828	"	Cook	2,100
829	"	3 Cooks at $1,980 each a year.....	5,940
830	"	2 Cooks (female) at $1,200 each a year	2,400
831	"	Butcher	2,520
832	"	Butcher, Relief	105
833	"	Baker	1,980
834	"	Farmer	1,920
835	"	Farmer	1,800
836	"	Engineer	2,520
837	"	2 Assistant Engineers at $2,520 each a year	5,040
838	"	Driver	1,800
839	552 AC	Plumber at $10 a day.....	3,000
840	552 AA	Gardener	1,800
841	552 AC	Gardener's Helper	900
842	"	Inmate Help	28,484
		Total Personal Services	$157,041

Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 44-B)

843	552 B	Contractual Services	$3,750
844	552 C	Materials and Supplies.....	260,000
845	"	Equipment	10,000
			$273,750

Isolation Hospital.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 45-A)

846	544 AA	Superintendent	$2,400
847	"	Office Attendant	840
848	"	Watchman	1,740
849	"	Night Watchman	1,320
850	504 AC	Relief Night Watchman.....	207
851	554 AA	3 Gatemen at $540 each	1,620
852	"	Resident Physician	4,200
853	"	House Officer	300
854	"	2 Internes at $120 each a year.....	240
855	"	12 Graduate Nurses at $1,200 each a year	14,400
856	"	Practical Nurse	600
857	"	Graduate Nurses, Emergency Relief	3,600
858	"	4 Orderlies at $540 each a year....	2,160

859	"	4 Practical Nurses at $540 each a year	2,160
860	554 AA	8 Pupil Nurses at $144 each a year	1,152
861	"	Seamstress	1,080
862	"	2 Janitors at $600 each a year	1,200
863	"	6 Wardmen at $540 each a year	3,240
864	"	7 Wardmen at $540 each a year	3,780
865	554 AB	Institutional Help, Emergency Relief	1,460
866	544 AA	Cook	2,100
867	554 AB	Cook, Vacation Relief	175
868	554 AA	Kitchen Helper	720
869	"	3 Kitchen Helpers at $540 each a year	1,620
870	"	Dishwasher	540
871	"	Waitress	1,140
872	544 AC	Waitress, Vacation	45
873	544 AA	Gardener	1,200
		Total Personal Services	$55,239

San Francisco Hospital.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 46-A)

874	544 AA	Superintendent	$6,000
875	"	Assistant Superintendent	2,700
876	"	Assistant Superintendent, T. B.	2,400
877	"	Clerk	3,000
878	"	Stenographer	1,920
879	"	2 Stenographers at $900 each	1,800
880	"	Statistician	2,280
881	"	Assistant Statistician	1,200
882	"	2 Clerks at $1,200 each a year	2,400
883	"	Office Attendant, T. B.	900
884	"	2 Telephone Operators at $1,800 each a year	3,600
885	"	Night Telephone Operator	1,080
886	"	Night Telephone Operator	840
887	"	Telephone Operator	1,500
888	"	Watchman	1,620
889	"	Watchman, T. B.	1,320
890	"	Watchman	720
891	"	Teamster	2,040
892	"	Messenger	540
893	"	Institutional Help	3,000
894	"	Resident Physician	1,500
895	"	12 House Officers at $300 each a year	3,600
896	"	30 Internes at $120 a year	3,600
897	"	Pharmacist	3,000
898	"	Assistant Pharmacist	2,700
899	"	Stockman	900
900	"	Institutional Help	480
901	"	Dentist	1,200
902	"	Radiologist	3,300
903	"	X-Ray Technician	1,800
904	"	X-Ray Technician	1,200
905	"	Clerk-Stenographer	1,200
906	"	Operating Room Nurse	2,100
907	544 AA	Asst. Operating Room Nurse	1,320
908	"	2 Institutional Nurses at $1,200 each a year	2,400
909	"	Anaesthetist	1,800

910	"	Anaesthetist	1,500
911	"	Plaster Cast Maker	900
912	"	2 Social Service Workers at $1,620 each a year	3,240
913	"	Superintendent of Nurses	3,000
914	"	3 Asst. Supt. of Nurses at $1,800 each a year	5,400
915	"	Supervisor of Nurses, T. B.	1,380
916	"	Supervisor of Admissions	1,380
917	"	4 Instructors, Training School, at $1,500 each a year	6,000
918	"	Instructors, Training School, Obstetrical Nurses	1,500
919	"	Practical Nurse in Charge of Nurses' Home	900
920	"	Assistant Practical Nurse	720
921	"	48 Institutional Nurses at $1,200 each a year	57,600
922	"	2 Supervisors, Institutional Nurses, at $1,320 each	2,640
923	"	Practical Nurses	15,000
924	"	Orderlies	25,000
925	"	Orderlies, T. B.	1,450
926	"	Office Assistant Supt. of Nurses	540
927	"	Medical Advisor	1,500
928	"	Pupil Nurses	10,000
929	"	1 Institutional Nurse, Psychiatric Ward	1,500
930	"	4 Institutional Nurses at $1,200 each a year	4,800
931	"	2 Practical Nurses at $600 each a year	1,200
932	"	2 Practical Nurses at $540 each	1,080
933	"	Porter	540
934	"	Pantrymaid	540
935	"	4 Orderlies at $600 each a year	2,400
936	"	Orderly	540
937	"	Commissary	3,600
938	"	Storekeeper	1,800
939	"	5 Storekeeper Helpers	2,000
		LAUNDRY.	
940	554 AA	Superintendent	$3,300
941	"	Washer	1,764
942	"	Wringer	1,524
943	"	Starcher	1,416
944	"	Washer's Helper	1,380
945	"	5 Ironers at $1,176 each a year	5,880
946	"	3 Ironers at $1,128 each a year	3,384
947	"	4 Dry Room Workers at $1,104 each a year	4,416
948	"	Deliveryman	480
949	"	Deliveryman	540
950	554 AA	Marker	1,524
951	"	Sorter	1,524
952	"	Linenroom Worker	1,116
953	"	Flat Work Finisher	1,104
954	"	10 Mangel Hands at $1,020 each a year	10,200
955	"	2 Porters	1,020
956	"	Seamstress	540

HOUSEKEEPING.

957	554 AA	Seamstress	$1,320
958	"	4 Seamstresses at $660 each	2,640
959	"	Surgery Porter	900
960	"	Lockerman	600
961	"	Morgueman	600
962	"	Morgueman	540
963	"	Mattress Maker	600
964	"	Incineratorman	540
965	"	Sterilizerman	600
966	"	8 Chambermaids	4,000
967	"	Foreman, Institutional Help	1,080
968	"	Asst. Foreman, Institutional Help	600
969	"	Window Washer	600
970	"	Window Washer	720
971	"	25 Wardmen	15,000
972	"	40 Porters	19,200
973	"	Institutional Help, T. B.	500

CULINARY.

974	554 AA	Cook, Chef	$2,280
975	"	Cook, Butcher	2,100
976	"	Cook, Pastry	2,100
977	"	4 Cooks at $1,980 each	7,920
978	"	Night Cook	1,080
979	"	Dietician, Chief	2,100
980	"	Dietician, T. B.	1,500
981	"	2 Dieticians, D. R., at $1,500 each a year	3,000
982	"	16 Waiters or Waitresses at $1,140 each a year	18,240
983	554 AC	Institutional Help	29,000

POWER PLANT.

984	554 AA	Chief Engineer	$3,420
985	"	4 Engineers at $2,520 each a year	10,080
986	"	3 Fireman at $2,220 each a year	6,660
987	554 AB	Firemen Relief	2,220
988	554 AA	Helper	540
989	554 AA	2 Electricians at $2,850 each a year	5,700
990	"	Gardener	1,680
991	"	Assistant Gardener	720
992	"	7 Yardmen	4,200
993	554 AB	Vacation and Reliefs in Various Departments	7,500
		Total Personal Services	$435,802

Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 46-B)

994	554 B	Contractual	$27,000
995	554 C	Materials and Supplies	125,000
996	554 CO	Foodstuff	245,000
997	554 H	Fixed Charges	2,225
998	1092	Equipment	8,000
		Total Non-Personal Services	$407,225

Emergency Hospitals.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 47-A)

999	5541 AA	Chief Surgeon	$3,000
1000	"	Assistant Chief Surgeon	2,700
1001	"	Chief Steward	3,000

1002	"	Stenographer	2,280	
1003	"	10 Surgeons at $2,400 each a year...	24,000	
1004	"	22 Stewards at $2,400 each a year...	52,800	
1005	"	11 Nurses at $1,980 each a year	21,780	
1006	"	Relief Nurses	1,980	
1007	"	15 Ambulance Drivers at $2,400 each a year	36,000	
1008	"	Messenger	1,200	
1009	5541 AB	2 Surgeons (Half Time), Hospital Station, Fleishhacker Pool	2,400	
1010	"	Extra Emergency Service when required	1,000	
1011	"	Vacations and Relief	6,740	
		Total Personal Services	158,000	
1012	5541 B	Contractual Service (Appropriation 47-B)	6,000	
1013	5541 C	Material and Supplies, Equipment...	15,000	
1014	5541 H	Fixed Charges	500	
		Total	$21,500	
		Total Board of Health.....		$2,032,677

BOARD OF EDUCATION.

Common School Fund—

(Appropriations 48 and 48-B)

1015	576 A	Teachers' Compensation	$6,043,949	
1016	"	For the Conduct, Maintenance and Operation of the School Department, other than for Teachers' Salaries and Tuition of Junior College Students	1,160,000	
		Total School		$7,203,949
		CHARTER FUND AND STATE LAWS.		
1017	612	For Playground Fund as Provided by Charter Amendment, $0.05 in tax rate (Appropriation 49).....		$378,000
1018	601	Park Fund (Appropriation 50).....		$756,000
1019	631/132	Bond Interest and Redemption (Appropriation 51)		$4,252,211
1020	629	Firemen's Relief and Pension Fund (Appropriation 52)		$345,000
1021	577	Library Fund (Appropriation 53)..		$283,500
1022	629	Board of Administration San Francisco City Employees' Retirement Fund (Appropriation 54).....		$769,700
1023	403	Publicity and Advertising (Appropriation 55)		$140,000
1024	553	Maintenance of Blind (Appropriation 56)		$17,000
1025	60273	Maintenance of Aquarium (Appropriation 57)		$50,000
1026	630	Tax Judgments (Appropriation 59).		$190,000
1027	60273	De Young Museum (Appropriation 60)		$70,000
1028	60239	California Palace Legion of Honor (Appropriation 61)		$70,000
		TOTAL BUDGET		$32,918,828

German Ambassador Visits Board.

At the conclusion of the reception in honor of the German Ambassador and others held in the rotunda of the City Hall, the guests of honor visited the Board. After having sat in the Mayor's chair, he made a short talk and then handing the gavel to Mayor Rolph, said he would relinquish the seat of honor to him. That he felt that the Mayor was much better qualified to fill the position both spiritually and physically. In speaking a word of welcome on behalf of the Board, Supervisor Byington said:

"I know I voice the sentiment of every man in America when I say we hope the guns of America and the guns of the Great German Republic will never be aimed at each other again."

UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

Final Passage.

The following matters, heretofore passed for printing, were taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Authorizations.

Resolution No. 27256 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

Park Fund.

(1) J. A. Bryant, ninth payment, construction of basket ball pavilion, Kezar Stadium (claim dated May 6, 1927), $1,153.50.

(2) Sevin-Vincent Seed Co., seed, etc., for parks (claim dated May 6, 1927), $1,015.54.

Aquarium Fund—(Appropriation 57.)

(3) California Academy of Sciences, maintenance of Steinhart Aquarium for April, 1927 (claim dated May 9, 1927), $3,328.07.

Sunset Tunnel Assessment

(4) Leonard F. Youdall and R. G. Clifford, eleventh payment, construction of tunnel and appurtenances under Buena Vista Park, Sunset tunnel (claim dated May 3, 1927), $70,117.80.

Fire Department Land and Construction Fund.

(5) Elliot & Grant, eighth payment, general construction of Fire Department Engine House No. 19 (claim dated May 3, 1927), $4,987.95.

Tubercular Sanitarium Fund.

(6) Wallace & Tiernan Co., Inc., one chloro-meter complete, at the Tubercular Sanitarium (claim dated April 28, 1927), $788.

Relief Home Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923.

(7) L. Ebbets, conditional acceptance payment for construction of conservatory at the Relief Home (claim dated May 3, 1927), $1,772.

Special School Tax.

(8) Monson Bros., extra work, general construction of additions to the Galileo High School (claim dated April 28, 1937), $666.90.

(9) W. S. Ray Mfg. Co., three fuel oil burners for schools (claim dated April 29, 1927), $763.50.

(10) Mahony Bros., seventh payment, construction of addition to the Commodore Sloat School (claim dated May 3, 1927), $10 619.36.

(11) Thos Skelly, third payment, plumbing work for addition to the Commodore Sloat School (claim dated May 3, 1927), $784.20.

(12) Scott Company, second payment, mechanical equipment for addition to the Commodore Sloat School (claim dated May 3, 1927), $1,440.01.

(13) Knittle Bros., second payment, mechanical equipment for addition to the Madison School (claim dated May 3, 1927), $2,543.25.

(14) E. K. Nelson, general construction of addition to the Madison School (claim dated May 3, 1927), $6,578.43.

(15) S. W. Band, tenth payment, plumbing for additional units to the Galileo High School (claim dated May 3, 1927), $2,198.25.

(16) P. J. Enright, seventh payment, mechanical equipment for additional uni s to Galileo High School (claim dated May 3, 1927), $5,380.52.

(17) O. Monson, twelfth payment, general construction of additional units to Galileo High School (claim dated May 3, 1927), $22,143.15.

School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923.

(18) Amoroso & Damico, extra work, general construction of Edison School (claim dated May 2, 1927), $2,494.50.

(19) Latourette-Fical Co., fifth payment, plumbing for Mission (Everett) Junior High School (claim dated May 3, 1927), $1,766.37.

(20) R. W. Moller, eighth payment, general construction of the Mission (Everett) Junior High School (claim dated May 3, 1927), $54,804.15.

(21) Scott Company, second payment, mechanical equipment for the Mission (Everett) Junior High School (claim dated May 3, 1927), $1,684.50.

(22) Anderson & Ringrose, fifth payment, general construction of addition to the Sunnyside School (claim dated May 3, 1927,, $15,300.

(23) L. Flatland, second payment, electric work for the Sunnyside School (claim dated May 3, 1927), $1,868.44.

(24) Thos. Skelly, second payment, mechanical equipment for addition to Sunnyside School (claim dated May 3, 1927), $1,211.47.

(25) Thos. Skelly, second payment, plumbing for addition to Sunnyside School (claim dated May 3, 1927), $2,245.09.

(26) Amoroso & Damico, eleventh payment, general construction of the Edison School (claim dated May 3, 1927), $15,426.

(27) Latorurette-Fical Co., sixth payment, plumbing for Edison School (claim dated May 3, 1927), $2,581.96.

(28) Scott Company, fourth payment, mechanical equipment for Edison School (claim dated May 3, 1927), $1,531.88.

(29) O. Monson, first payment, general construction of Portola Junior High School (claim dated May 3, 1927), $15,765.75.

(30) Latourette-Fical Co., conditional acceptance payment, mechanical equipment for the new Mission High School (claim dated May 3, 1927), $16,637.41.

(31) A. Lettich, third peyment, plumbing for Hearst-Moulder School (claim dated May 3, 1927), $1,-866.37.

(32) Mahony Bros., third payment, general construction of the Sherman School (claim dated May 3, 1927), $15,361.65.

Municipal Railway Depreciation Fund.

(33) Standard Underground Cable Co., copper trolley for Municipal Railways (claim dated April 30, 1927), $4,663.92.

Hetch Hetchy Water Bond Fund, Issue 1925.

(34) Garfield & Co., one gas-air shovel mounted on caterpillar type for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated May 2, 1927), $13,-777.25.

(35) The Giant Powder Co., Cons., explosions for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated April 28, 1927), $6,577.

(36) Hercules Powder Co., explosives for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated April 28, 1927), $3,866.79.

(37) Ingersoll-Rand Co. of California, machinery parts, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated April 28, 1927), $549.97.

(38) Pope & Talbot, lumber for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated April 28, 1927), $740.25.

Hetch Hetchy Operative Revenue Fund.

(39) Railroad Commission of the State of California, expense of valuation of San Francisco electric properties (claim dated May 9, 1927), $1,000.

General Fund, 1926-1927.

(40) San Francisco Bulletin, official advertising, Board of Public Works (claim dated May 2, 1927), $511.20.

(41) San Francisco Bulletin, official advertising, Board of Supervisors (claim dated May 9, 1927), $990.36.

(42) San Francisco Society for the Prevention of Cruelty to Animals, impounding, feeding, etc., of animals (claim dated May 9, 1927) $1,250.

(43) John W. Rogers, expense of Supervisors' legislative representative attending sessions of State Legislature, March 9 to April 29, 1927; pursuant to Resolution No. 26980 (New Series) (claim dated May 9, 1927), $608.70.

(44) Emma A. McColm, compensation insurance for account of death of Chas. A. McColm, husband, employee of Department of Public Works (claim dated April 21, 1927), $616.58.

(45) Granfield, Farrar & Carlin, first payment, grading of Municipal Airport (claim dated May 4, 1927), $9,900.

(46) Latourette-Fical Co., first payment, water supply, drainage, etc., construction, Municipal Airport (claim dated May 4, 1927), $4,950.

(47) Frank J. McHugh, second payment, construction of Section B, westerly Sunset District sewer (claim dated May 4, 1927), $8,250.

(48) Manuel Smith, first payment, construction of sewer in Noriega street from Twenty-fourth to Twenty-ninth avenues (claim dated May 4, 1927), $2,250.

(49) Eaton & Smith, third payment, improvement of Sutro Monument (claim dated May 4, 1927), $4,450.

(50) Department of Public Works (credit of Budget Item No. 476), for material used in construction of shed for Department of Public Health, 1085 Mission street (claim dated March 31, 1927), $722.48.

(51) Department of Public Works (credit of Budget Iten No. 476), for materials used in alterations at No. 1085 Mission street, for De-

partment of Public Health (claim dated March 31, 1927), $1,469.16.

(52) Department of Public Works (credit of various budget items), for labor furnished in building shed at No. 1085 Mission street, for Department of Public Health (claim dated March 31, 1927), $690.70.

(53) Department of Public Works (credit various budget items), for labor furnished in making alterations at No. 1085 Mission street, Department of Public Health (claim dated March 31, 1927), $2,361.25.

Playground Fund.

(54) Spring Valley Water Co., water furnished for use of playgrounds (claim dated May 4, 1927), $840.50.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Hayden—1.

Appropriations for Land Required for John McLaren Park.

Resolution No. 27257 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside and appropriated out of "Purchase of lands for public purposes in Mission District," Budget Item No. 73, and authorized in payment to the California Pacific Title and Trust Co.; being payments for properties required for the John McLaren Park, to-wit:

(1) All of Lots Nos. 11 and 12, in Block No. 16, in accordance with map entitled "Map of the Reis Tract," recorded in Map Book 1, pages 241 and 242; as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 27214, New Series (claim dated May 9, 1927), $1,592.50.

(2) All of Lots 7 and 8, in Block No. 4, Reis Tract, recorded in Liber 1 of Maps, pages 241 and 242; as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 27222, New Series (claim dated May 9, 1927), $3,280.50.

(3) For property commencing at intersection of the northeasterly line of Harvard street and the southeasterly line of Bacon street, running thence southeasterly on Harvard street 100 feet; thence at right angles northeasterly 120 feet; thence at a right angle northwesterly 100 feet to the southeasterly line of Bacon street; thence at a right angle southwesterly along Bacon street 120 feet to the point of commencement; as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 27223 (New Series), and being Lot 8, in Block No. 104, University Homestead Association (claim dated May 9, 1927), $803.50.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Hayden—1.

Appropriations, Fence Construction, County Jail, Improvement Hall of Justice, Equipment Laguna Honda Home and Engine House No. 18, Architectural Fees.

Resolution No. 27258 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned funds for the following purposes, to-wit:

Repairs to Public Buildings, Budget Item No. 68.

(1) For construction of fence on boundary line of roadway, window screens, repairs of sinks, tables and benches and miscellaneous repairs at County Jails Nos. 2 and 3, $3,000.

(2) For furnishing and installing hot water tank, alterations in water lines, overhauling showers, etc., Hall of Justice, $1,123.

(3) For cost of alterations in basement of Hall of Justice to provide suitable storage space for Police Department property clerk, $1,495.47.

Relief Home Equipment, Budget Item No. 64.

(4) For purchase of equipment for the Laguna Honda Home, $630.36.

Fire Department Land and Construction Fund.

(5) For cost of architectural fees in connection with construction of Fire Department Engine House No. 18, Third street near Merrimac street, $3,600.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Hayden—1.

Oil and Boiler Permits.

Resolution No. 27259 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits be and are hereby granted:

Oil Tanks.

L. Anker, north side of Lombard street, 70 feet west of Gough street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Ralph Briar, 545 Post street, 1500 gallons capacity.

D. J. Clancy, south side of Ellis street, 75 feet east of Larkin street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Domestic Engineering Company, 76 Sea Cliff, 1500 gallons capacity.

Henry Friend, 1277 Golden Gate avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

C. Gillig, north side of Monterey boulevard, 40 feet west of San Fernando way, 600 gallons capacity.

John Harder, south side of Jefferson street, 175 feet west of Divisadero street, 1500 gallons capacity.

John Harder, south side of Jefferson street, 200 feet west of Divisadero street, 1500 gallons capacity.

M. Jorgensen, west side of Divisadero street, 119 feet south of Jefferson street, 1500 gallons capacity.

E. V. Lacey, northwest corner of Twenty-first street and Lexington street, 1500 gallons capacity.

J. Props, south side of California street, 75 feet west of Franklin street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Reid Bros., 535-39 Market street, 1500 gallons capacity.

F. S. Rolandi, 880 Camino Del Mar, 1500 gallons capacity.

Sheehan & Woolfrey, north side of Broadway, 105 feet east of Gough street, 1500 gallons capacity.

B. G. Sloss, east side of Lyon street, 100 feet south of Broadway, 1500 gallons capacity.

Geo. Stempel, 324 Fell street, 1500 gallons capacity.

R. J. Stempel, southwest corner of Jefferson and Divisadero streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

Stoneson Bros. & Thorenson, north side of Maywood drive, 100 feet west of El Verano way, 1500 gallons capacity.

Tochinni, north side of Jefferson street, 112 feet east of Divisadero street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Boiler.

The Samarkand Company, 893 Folsom street, 20 horsepower.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits shall become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Hayden—1.

Garage Permits.

Resolution No. 27260 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That M. Gilmore be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate a public garage at 168 Thirteenth street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Hayden—1.

Resolution No. 27261 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Mark Hopkins Hotel Garage be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate a public garage at 951 California street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Hayden—1.

Resolution No. 27262 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Yellow-Checker Cab Company be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate a public garage on the west side of Van Ness avenue, 120 feet south of Market street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Hayden—1.

Transfer of Garage Permits.

Resolution No. 27263 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Alberigi & Sorini be and are hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred public garage permit heretofore granted Bear Film Co. by Resolution No. 26585 (New Series) for premises on the south side of Howard street, 125 feet east of Sixth street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Hayden—1.

Resolution No. 27264 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That F. H. Palmer be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred public garage permit heretofore granted J. J. Morrison by Resolution No. 24796 (New Series) for premises at 1660 McAllister street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Hayden—1.

Resolution No. 27265 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Thomas Mullender be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred public garage permit heretofore granted A. R. Seger by Resolution No. 19493 (New Series) for premises at 114 Fern avenue.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Hayden—1.

Automobile Parking Station Permit.

Resolution No. 27266 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That John Sirmyer be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an automobile parking station on the east side of Guerrero street between Fifteenth and Sixteenth streets.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman. Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton. Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Hayden—1.

Planing Mill Permit.

Resolution No. 27267 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Henry Harder be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate a planing mill on the southeast corner of Bay Shore Highway and Oakdale avenue.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Hayden—1.

Cabinet Shop Permit.

Resolution No. 27268 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Geo. T. Thomson be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate cabinet shop at 42 Clara street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Hayden—1.

Automobile Supply Station Permit.

Resolution No. 27269 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Sidney Franklin be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an automobile supply station on the southeast corner of Lombard and Lyon streets.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Hayden—1.

Stable Permit.

Resolution No. 27270 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Wm. S. Tevis be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain a stable for thirty horses at 19 Henderson avenue.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Hayden—1.

Ordering Construction of Fire Engine House No. 18.

Bill No. 8028, Ordinance No. 7540 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the construction of Fire Department Engine House No. 18, to be erected on Third street near Merrimac street; authorizing and directing the Board of of Public Works to enter into contract for said construction in accordance with plans and specifications prepared therefor and approved by the Board of Fire Commissioners.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized, instructed and empowered to enter into contract for the construction of Fire Department Engine House No. 18, to be erected on Third street near Merrimac street, in accordance with plans and specifications prepared therefor and as approved by the Board of Fire Commissioners.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Hayden—1.

Repealing Ordinance No. 7037 (New Series) Confirming Sale of City Land.

Bill No. 8029, Ordinance No. 7541 (New Series), as follows:

Repealing Ordinance No. 7037 (New Series), approved April 22, 1926, entitled "Confirming sale of land owned by the City and County of San Francisco heretofore set aside for Fire Department purposes."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 7037 (New Series), approved April 22, 1926, confirming the sale of land owned by the City and County of San Francisco heretofore set aside for Fire Department purposes, to F. S. Ballinger for the sum of $12,500, be and the same is hereby repealed on account of defective title; said land being situate and described as follows:

Commencing at a point on the westerly line of Stockton street, distant thereon 115 feet southerly from the southerly line of Broadway, running thence southerly along the said westerly line of Stockton street 22 feet 10 inches; thence at a right angle westerly 80 feet to the easterly line of Cordelia street; thence northerly along said easterly line of Cordelia street 22 feet 10 inches; thence at a right angle easterly 80 feet to the westerly line of Stockton street and point of commencement. Being a portion of 50 Vara Block 133, also known as Lot 4, Block 160, on Assessor's Map Book.

Section 2. All proceedings and action heretofore had in the sale of said land as hereinabove described by the City and County be and the same is hereby annulled, vacated and set aside, and the certified copy of said confirmation of sale of said land heretofore filed in the office of the City and County Recorder is hereby cancelled and withdrawn; and the attention of the Recorder, the Auditor and Treasurer is hereby called to the provisions of this ordinance, and the Clerk is hereby directed to transmit a copy of this ordinance to said herein named officials.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Hayden—1.

Amending Ordinance No. 4395, Regulating Storage of Gasoline, Etc.

Bill No. 8030, Ordinance No. 7542 (New Series) as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 4395 (New Series), entitled "Regulating the storage of gasoline or any product of petroleum flashing below 110 degrees Fahrenheit," by adding thereto a new section to be numbered Section 3-A.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 4395 (New Series), entitled "Regulating the storage of gasoline or any product of petroleum flashing below 110 degrees Fahrenheit", is hereby amended by adding a new section thereto to be numbered Section 3-A, and to read as follows:

Section 3-A. Before any benzine, gasoline or any product of petroleum or any hydrocarbon liquid that will flash or emit an inflammable vapor below a temperature of one

hundred and ten (110) degrees Fahrenheit, shall be stored in, upon or about any premises in excess of five gallons, application for permit must be made to the Fire Marshal. Said permit will be granted by said Fire Marshal, except where, in the judgment of said officer, the use by the applicant in the manner proposed by him would endanger the safety of life and property.

Section 2. This ordinance shall become effective immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Hayden—1.

Amending Section 79, Ordinance No. 1008 (New Series), Limiting Heights of Buildings.

Bill No. 8031, Ordinance No. 7543 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Section 79 of Ordinance No. 1008 (New Series), known as "The Building Law," and relating to height limitations of buildings.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Section 79 of Ordinance 1008 (New Series), known as "The Building Law," is hereby amended to read as follows:

General Height Limitations.

Section 79. The heights of buildings shall not exceed the heights given under the different classes, except that stair and elevator houses, water tanks, towers and spires may exceed the limits.

Towers and spires on Class "C" or frame buildings may extend one hundred feet above the roof, but no such tower or spire shall occupy more than one-quarter of the street frontage of the building, nor shall it have a base area exceeding 1000 square feet. Such towers and spires shall not be used as a dwelling, place of manufacture nor storage room and shall be covered with fireproof materials.

Towers for the purpose of filtering, cooling or purifying water, or for the purpose of mixing mortar or concrete may be erected or constructed, provided that they be erected or constructed independently of the building and to a height not exceeding seventy-five (75) feet, and that they be of heavy timber or steel construction.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Hayden—1.

Confirmation of Sale of Lands.

Bill No. 8026, Ordinance No. 7544 (New Series), as follows:

Confirming the sale of lands owned by the City and County of San Francisco and situate in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California.

Whereas, by Ordinance No. 7387 (New Series), approved January 28, 1927, the Board of Supervisors determined that public interest and necessity demanded the sale of the lands hereinafter described, and by said ordinance directed the Mayor of the City and County of San Francisco to sell all of said lands at private sale, and directed that notice of said sale be given for two weeks successively next before the sale, as required by law; and

Whereas, the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors thereafter proceeded to publish notice of said sale in the official newspaper and one other daily newspaper published in the City and County of San Francisco, for two weeks successively next before said sale was directed to be made, describing the lands to be sold therein with common certainty and stating the date on which said sale would be made, as specified in Ordinance No. 7387 (New Series), and that all bids or offers would be received by the Mayor at his office in the City Hall, City and County of San Francisco, State of California, on March 3, 1927; and

Whereas, the Mayor, the Assessor and the Chairman of the Finance Committee, being the Board of Appraisers constituted by the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco for such purpose, thereafter duly met and made an appraisement of said lands and fixed the fair value thereof, and reported said appraisement to the Board of Supervisors in writing; and

Whereas, thereafter, and on the 3d day of March, 1927, at private sale, the Mayor of the City and County of San Francisco sold said parcels of land to the following named corporation, Meyer Bros., a corporation, for $11,635, and accepted from said corporation a deposit in the amount of ten per cent or more of the amount bid, and thereafter, and at the next meeting of the Board of Supervisors, March 7, 1927, duly notified the Board of Supervisors in writing of the fact of such sale, stating the amount bid, the name of the bidder, and requesting that the Board confirm the sale; and

Whereas, the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors immediately thereupon proceeded to give notice by publication in the official newspaper and one other newspaper published in the City and County, for a period of 20 days from and after the 9th day of March, 1927, that at a meeting of the Board of Supervisors to be held on the 4th day of April, 1927, the matter of said sale would come up for confirmation, stating also in said notice the fact of the sale and amount for which the lands had been sold, as aforesaid, and the name of the purchaser, and also stating that if at such meeting on the 4th day of April, 1927, an offer of 10 per cent more in amount than that named in said notice should be made to the Supervisors in writing by a responsible person, the Supervisors would confirm such sale to such person or order a new sale; and

Whereas, the said confirmation of sale of said property was taken up on the 4th day of April, 1927, and, upon motion in the Board of Supervisors, action thereon was postponed until May 2, 1927; and

Whereas, May 2, 1927, having now arrived and a higher bid has been obtained, namely, $18,000 from Meyer Bros., being an increase over their former bid, and it appearing to the Board of Supervisors that the said amount is not disproportionate to the value of the property sold and that a greater amount cannot be obtained; therefore,

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. That said sale of said lands hereinafter described is hereby ratified, approved and confirmed to Meyer Bros., and the Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors are hereby authorized to execute and deliver to said purchaser, upon the payment of the balance of the purchase price, a good and sufficient conveyance in the name of the City and County of San Francisco, conveying all the right, title and interest of the City and County of San Francisco in and to the lands sold as aforesaid, and more particularly described as follows, to-wit:

All those certain lots, pieces or parcels of land in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, described as follows:

Parcel "A": Beginning at the point of intersection of the northerly line of Twenty-ninth street with the westerly line of Kenyon avenue, thence along said northerly line of Twenty-ninth street south 85 deg. 24 min. 56 sec. west 560 feet to the easterly line of Stanford Heights avenue; thence along said easterly line of Stanford Heights avenue north 4 deg. 35 min. 4 sec. west 228 feet to the southerly line of Valley street; thence along said southerly line of Valley street north 85 deg. 24 min. 56 sec. east 216.295 feet; thence south 58 deg. 36 min. east 145.267 feet; thence along the arc of a curve to the left with a radius of 545 feet, tangent to the preceding course southeasterly 227.972 feet; thence tangent to the preceding course south 82 deg. 34 min. east 19.846 feet to the aforesaid westerly line of Kenyon avenue; thence along said westerly line of Kenyon avenue south 4 deg. 35 min. 4 sec. east 46.459 feet to the point of beginning.

Being part of Block 60, Stanford Heights, and containing 2.071 acres.

Parcel "B": Beginning at the point of intersection of the easterly line of Kenyon avenue with the northerly line of Twenty-ninth street, thence along said easterly line of Kenyon avenue north 4 deg. 35 min. 4 sec. west 31.822 feet; thence south 82 deg. 34 min. east 152.831 feet to the aforesaid northerly line of Twenty-ninth street; thence along said northerly line of Twenty-ninth street south 85 deg. 24 min. 56 sec. west 149.482 feet to the point of beginning.

Being part of Block 61, Stanford Heights, and containing 0.055 acres.

Parcel "C": Beginning at the point of intersection of tne northerly line of Thirty-first street with the westerly line of Burnett avenue, thence along said northerly line of Thirty-first street south 85 deg. 24 min. 56 sec. west 560 feet to the easterly line of Twin Peaks avenue; thence along said easterly line of Twin Peaks avenue north 4 deg. 35 min. 4 sec. west 228 feet to the southerly line of Clarkson street; thence along said southerly line of Clarkson street north 85 deg. 24 min. 56 sec. east 413.101 feet; thence south 26 deg. 16 min. east 71.833 feet and south 44 deg. 36 min. east 187.186 feet to the aforesaid westerly line of Burnett avenue; thence along said westerly line of Burnett avenue south 4 deg. 35 min. 4 sec. east 17.889 feet to the point of beginning.

Being part of Block 90, Stanford Heights, and containing 2.528 acres.

Section 2. The City Attorney is hereby directed to prepare the necessary conveyance and supervise the delivery of deed, upon payment of the purchase price, as aforesaid.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

A y e s — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Hayden—1.

Establishing Grades, Marina Gardens.

Bill No. 8032, Ordinance No. 7545 (New Series), as follows:

Establishing grades in the Marina Gardens Tract and adjacent streets, as shown on map entitled "Grade Map of Marina Gardens Tract and adjacent streets," dated September, 1926.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The grades on streets in the Marina Gardens and adjacent streets, as shown on map entitled "Grade Map of Marina Gardens Tract and adjacent streets," dated September, 1926, are hereby established at points and elevations above city base as shown on said map approved by Resolution No. 97005 (Second Series), adopted April 22, 1927, by the Board of Public Works.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

A y e s — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd— 17.

Absent—Supervisor Hayden—1.

Changing Grades, Sussex Street.

Bill No. 8033, Ordinance No. 7546 (New Series), as follows:

Changing and reestablishing the official grades on Sussex street between the westerly line of Diamond street and the easterly line of Castro street; on Bemis street between the westerly line of Castro street and a line at right angles to the northerly line of Bemis street and 10.50 feet easterly from Castro street; on Castro street between a line connecting the intersection of the southerly line of Bemis street with the easterly line of Castro street and a point on the westerly curb line of Castro street at the southerly end of the return from Sussex street and a line 131.32 feet northerly from Sussex street; on Martin street between Sussex street and a line 101 feet northeasterly therefrom; on Diamond street between the southerly line of Sussex street and the second angle northwesterly from Arbor street; on Moffit street between Farnum street and Diamond street, and on Arbor street between Diamond street and a line at right angles to the northwesterly line of, 128.50 feet southwesterly therefrom.

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors, on the written recommendation of the Board of Public Works, did on the 5th day of March, 1927, by Resolution No. 26859 (New Series), declare its intention to change and reestablish the grades on Sussex street between the westerly line of Diamond street and the easterly line of Castro street; on Bemis street between the westerly line of Castro street and a line at right angles to the northerly line of Bemis street and 10.50 feet easterly from Castro street; on Castro street between a line connecting the intersection of the southerly line of Bemis street with the easterly line of Castro street and a point on the westerly curb line of Castro street at the southerly end of the return from Sussex street and a line 131.32 feet northerly from Sussex street; on Martin street between Sussex street and a line 101 feet northeasterly therefrom; on Diamond street between the southerly line of Sussex street and the second angle northwesterly from Arbor street; on Moffit street between Farnum street and Diamond street and on Arbor street between Diamond street and a line at right angles to the northwesterly line of, 128.50 feet southwesterly therefrom.

Whereas, said resolution was so published for ten days and the Board of Public Works within ten days after the first publication of said resolution of intention caused notices of the passage of said resolution to be conspicuously posted along all streets specified in the resolution, in the manner and as provided by law; and,

Whereas, more than forty days has elapsed since the first publication of said resloution of intention; therefore,

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The grades on the following named streets at the points hereinafter named and at the elevations above City base as hereinafter stated, are hereby changed and established as follows:

Sussex Street.

8 feet northerly from the southerly line of, at Diamond street westerly line, 268.60 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Southerly line of, 13 feet easterly from Diamond street westerly line, 267.70 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Southerly line of, 13 feet westerly from Diamond street easterly line, 267.90 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

8 feet northerly from the southerly line of, at Diamond street easterly line, 269.50 feet.

8 feet southerly from the northerly line of, at Diamond street westerly line, 270 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Northerly line of, 8 feet easterly from Diamond street westerly line, 270.70 feet.

Northerly line of, 8 feet westerly from Diamond street easterly line, 271.20 feet.

8 feet southerly from the northerly line of, at Diamond street easterly line, 270.70 feet.

8 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, at the southeasterly end of the curve beginning at Diamond street, 280.40 feet.

8 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, at the southeasterly end of the curve beginning at Diamond street, 279.50 feet.

8 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, at the beginning of the second curve southeasterly from Diamond street, 290.20 feet.

8 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, at the beginning of the second curve southeasterly from Diamond street, 289.40 feet.

8 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, 15 feet southeasterly from the southeasterly end of the second curve southeasterly from Diamond street, 292 feet.

8 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, 15 feet southeasterly from the southeasterly end of the second curve southeasterly from Diamond street, 291.20 feet.

8 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, at Martin street southeasterly line, 289.20 feet.

8 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, at Martin street southeasterly line produced, 288.40 feet.

8 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, 264.58 feet southeasterly from Martin street, 273.17 feet.

8 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, 264.58 feet southeasterly from Martin street produced, 272.40 feet.

At the northwesterly end of the first curve westerly from Castro street, 268 feet.

At the beginning of the first curve westerly from Castro street, 267.50 feet.

8 feet northerly from the southerly line of, 22.10 feet westerly from Castro street produced, 267.31 feet.

8 feet northerly from the southerly line of, 8 feet westerly from Castro street produced, 266.81 feet.

On the center of the arc of and 18 feet radius curb return to Castro street, 265.56 feet.

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

8 feet southerly from the northerly line of, 14.07 feet westerly from Castro street, 267 feet.

Northerly curb line of, 30 feet easterly from last described point, 267.87 feet.

Northerly curb line of Bemis street 10.50 feet easterly from Castro street, 271 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

Bemis Street.

22 feet southerly from the northerly line of, 10.50 feet easterly from Castro street, 271 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Northerly curb line of, 30 feet westerly from last described point, 267.87 feet.

Northerly curb line of, 14.07 feet westerly from Castro street westerly line, 267 feet.

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

Northery line of, 10.50 feet easterly from Castro street, 271 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

8 feet northerly from the southerly line of, 35.08 feet easterly from Castro street, 269.50 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

8 feet southerly from the northerly line of, at Castro street easterly line, 270 feet.

Southerly line of, at Castro street easterly line, 266.60 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Castro Street.

Grade elevation 301.50 feet at a point 131.52 feet northerly from Bemis street be abolished.

Grade elevation 269.50 feet at a point cut by a line at right angles to the easterly line of, at Bemis street northerly line be abolished.

Grade elevation 269.50 feet at the intersection of the easterly line of Castro street with the northerly line of Bemis street be abolished.

Grade elevation 267.80 feet at the intersection of the westerly line of Castro street with the northerly line of Sussex street be abolished.

Easterly line of, at Bemis street southeasterly line, 266.60 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

10 feet westerly from the easterly line of, at Bemis street southeast-

erly line, 266.32 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Westerly curb line of, on the center of the arc of an 18-foot radius curb return to Sussex street, 265.56 feet.

10 feet easterly from the westerly line of, at the southerly end of the curve at Sussex street, 263.94 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Martin Street.

All grades on Martin street between Sussex street and a line parallel with and 101 feet northeasterly therefrom be abolished.

Diamond Street.

8 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, at the second angle northerly from Arbor street, 357 feet.

Northeasterly curb line of, cut by a line at right angles to the southwesterly line of, at the second angle northerly from Arbor street, 357 feet.

8 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of (from the northwest), at the first angle northerly from Arbor street, 350 feet.

Northeasterly curb line of, cut by a line at right angles to the southwesterly line of, 7 feet northwesterly from the first angle northerly from Arbor street 351.76 feet.

Northeasterly curb line of, 15 feet southeasterly from last described point, 350.53 feet.

Northeasterly curb line of, 15 feet southeasterly from last described point, 350.12 feet.

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

8 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 155.53 feet northerly from Arbor street, 347.76 feet.

8 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 140.53 feet northerly from Arbor street, 346.02 feet.

8 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 125.53 feet northerly from Arbor street, 343.15 feet.

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

8 feet westerly from the easterly line of, at Moffit street southwesterly line, 344.40 feet.

8 feet easterly rom the westerly line of, 30 feet northerly from Arbor street, 321.19 feet.

8 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 1.19 feet northerly from Arbor street, 316.08 feet.

7 feet southeasterly from Arbor street northwesterly line 22.37 feet southwesterly from Diamond street, 314 feet.

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

8 feet westerly from the easterly line of, on a line at right angles to the westerly line of, 30 feet northerly from Arbor street, 321.31 feet.

8 feet westerly from the easterly line of, on a line at right angles to the westerly line of, at Arbor street northwesterly line, 315.75 feet.

8 feet westerly from the easterly line of, on a line at right angles to the westerly line of, 30 feet southerly from Arbor street northeasterly line, 312.71 feet.

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

8 feet easterly from the westerly line of, at Arbor street southeasterly line, 310.90 feet.

8 feet westerly from the easterly line of, on a line at right angles to the westerly line of, 17.02 feet northerly from the southeasterly line of Arbor street, 311.80 feet.

8 feet westerly from the easterly line of, on a line at right angles to the westerly line of, 2.02 feet northerly from the southeasterly line of Arbor street, 310.58 feet.

8 feet westerly from the easterly line of, on a line at right angles to the westerly line of, 12.98 feet southerly from the southeasterly line of Arbor street, 308.74 feet.

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

8 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 209.98 feet southerly from Arbor street, 280 feet.

8 feet westerly from the easterly line of, on a line at right angles to the westerly line of, 209.98 feet southerly from Arbor street, 280.30 feet.

8 feet easterly from the westerly line of, at Sussex street northerly line, 270.70 feet.

8 feet westerly from the easterly line of, at Sussex street northerly line, 271.20 feet.

Easterly line of, 8 feet southerly from Sussex street northerly line, 270.70 feet.

Westerly line of, 8 feet southerly from Sussex street northerly line, 270 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Westerly line of, 8 feet northerly from Sussex street southerly line, 268.60 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Easterly line of, 8 feet northerly from Sussex street southerly line, 269.50 feet.

13 feet westerly from the easterly line of, at Sussex street southerly line, 269.90 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

13 feet easterly from the westerly line of, at Sussex street southerly line, 267.70 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Moffit Street.

7 feet southwesterly from the

northeasterly line of, at Farnum street westerly line, 374.80 feet.

7 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, on a line at right angles to the northeasterly line of, at Farnum street westerly line, 373.80 feet.

7 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, on a line at right angles to the southwesterly line of, 50 feet southeasterly from Diamond street, 356.86 feet.

7 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, at Diamond street easterly line, 348.40 feet.

7 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, on a line at right angles to the southwesterly line of, 11.17 feet southeasterly from Diamond street, 352 feet.

7 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, on a line at right angles to the southwesterly line of, 3.83 feet northwesterly from Diamond street easterly line, 350.59 feet.

7 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, on a line at right angles to the southwesterly line of, 18.83 feet northwesterly from Diamond street easterly line, 350.12 feet.

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

Arbor Street.

7 feet southeasterly from the northwesterly line of, 22.37 feet southwesterly from Diamond street, 314 feet.

7 feet southeasterly from the northwesterly line of, 32.58 feet southwesterly from Diamond street, 314 feet.

7 feet southeasterly from the northwesterly line of, 47.58 feet southwesterly from Diamond street, 314.26 feet.

7 feet southeasterly from the northwesterly line of, 62.58 feet southwesterly from Diamond street, 315.06 feet.

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

7 feet northwesterly from the southeasterly line of, at Diamond street westerly line, 312 feet.

On a line at right angles to the northwesterly line of, 128.50 feet southwesterly from Diamond street, 319.70 feet.

On Sussex street between the westerly line of Diamond street and the easterly line of Castro street; on Bemis street between the westerly line of Castro street and a line at right angles to the northerly line of Bemis street and 10.50 feet easterly from Castro street; on Castro street between a line connecting the intersection of the southerly line of Bemis street with the easterly line of Castro street and a point on the westerly curb line of Castro street at the southerly line of the return from Sussex street and a line 131.32 feet northerly from Sussex street; on Martin street between Sussex street and a line 101 feet northeasterly therefrom; on Diamond street between the southerly line of Sussex street and the second angle northwesterly from Arbor street; on Moffit street between Farnum street and Diamond street and on Arbor street between Diamond street and a line at right angles to the northwesterly line of, 128.50 feet southwesterly therefrom changed and established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Hayden—1.

Changing Grades, Baden Street.

Bill No. 8034, Ordinance No. 7547 (New Series), as follows:

Changing and re-establishing the official grades on Baden street at the crossing of Mangels avenue.

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors, on the written recommendation of the Board of Public Works, did, on the 5th day of March, 1927, by Resolution No. 26857 (New Series) declare its intention to change and reestablish the grades on Baden street at the crossing of Mangels avenue.

Whereas, said resolution was published for ten days, and the Board of Public Works within ten days after the first publication of said resolution of intention caused notices of the passage of said resolution to be conspicuously posted along all streets specified in the resolution, in the manner and as provided by law; and

Whereas, more than forty days has elapsed since the first publication of said resolution of intention; therefore,

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The grades on the following named streets at the points hereinafter named and at the elevations above city base as hereinafter stated, are hereby changed and established as follows:

Baden Street.

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, at Mangels avenue northerly line, 327.65 feet.

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, at Mangels avenue northerly line, 327.65 feet.

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 7.50 feet southerly from Mangels avenue northerly line, 326.00 feet.

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 7.50 feet southerly from Mangels avenue northerly line, 326.00 feet.

Westerly line of, 22.50 feet southerly from Mangels avenue northerly line, 325.41 feet.

Easterly line of, 22.50 feet southerly from Mangels avenue northerly line, 325.41 feet.

Westerly line of, 22.50 feet northerly from Mangels avenue southerly line, 324.42 feet.

Easterly line of, 22.50 feet northerly from Mangels avenue southerly line, 324.42 feet.

12 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 10.50 feet northerly from Mangels avenue southerly line, 323.94 feet.

12 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 10.50 feet northerly from Mangels avenue southerly line, 323.94 feet.

Mangels avenue southerly line, 322.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

On Baden street at the crossing of Mangels avenue changed and established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Hayden—1.

Changing Grades, Mangels Avenue.

Bill No. 8035, Ordinance No. 7548 (New Series), as follows:

Changing and reestablishing the official grades on Mangels avenue between the northerly line of and a line parallel with and 14.53 feet southerly therefrom at Congo street.

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors, on the written recommendation of the Board of Public Works, did, on the 5th day of March, 1927, by Resolution No. 26858 (New Series) declare its intention to change and reestablish the grades on Mangels avenue between the northerly line and a line parallel with and 14.50 feet southerly therefrom at Congo street.

Whereas, said resolution was published for ten days, and the Board of Public Works within ten days after the first publication of said resolution of intention caused notices of the passage of said resolution to be conspicuously posted along all streets specified in the resolution, in the manner and as provided by law; and

Whereas, more than forty days has elapsed since the first publication of said resolution of intention; therefore,

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The grades on the following named streets at the points hereinafter named and at the elevations above city base as hereinafter stated, are hereby changed and established as follows:

Mangels Avenue.

14.50 feet southerly from the northerly line of, at Congo street easterly line, 349.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Northerly line of, at Congo street easterly line, 351.33 feet.

7 feet westerly from Congo street easterly line, at Mangels avenue northerly line, 351.33 feet.

33 feet westerly from Congo street easterly line at Mangels avenue northerly line, 351.45 feet.

40 feet westerly from Congo street easterly line, at Mangels avenue northerly line, 351.45 feet.

Northerly line of, at Congo street westerly line, 354.50 feet.

14.50 feet southerly from the northerly line of, at Congo street westerly line, 349.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

On Mangels avenue between the northerly line of and a line parallel with and 14.50 feet southerly therefrom, at Congo street, changed and established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Hayden—1.

Changing Grades, Bosworth Street.

Bill No. 8036, Ordinance No. 7549 (New Series), as follows:

Changing and reestablishing the official grades on Bosworth street between the southerly line of Congo street and the sixth angle westerly from Burnside avenue and on Congo street between Bosworth street and a line at right angles to the southerly line of, 212.10 feet westerly

from the first angle westerly from Bosworth street.

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors, on the written recommendation of the Board of Public Works, did, on the 5th day of March, 1927, by Resolution No. 26856 (New Series) declare its intention to change and reestablish the grades on Bosworth street between the southerly line of Congo street and the sixth angle westerly from Burnside avenue and on Congo street between Bosworth street and a line at right angles to the southerly line of, 212.10 feet westerly from the first angle westerly from Bosworth street.

Whereas, said resolution was published for ten days, and the Board of Public Works within ten days after the first publication of said resolution of intention caused notices of the passage of said resolution to be conspicuously posted along all streets specified in the resolution, in the manner and as provided by law; and

Whereas, more than forty days has elapsed since the first publication of said resolution of intention; therefore,

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. The grades on the following named streets at the points hereinafter named and at the elevations above city base as hereinafter stated, are hereby changed and established as follows:

Bosworth Street.

10 feet northerly from the southerly line of, at Congo street southerly line, 253.25 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

10 feet southerly from the northerly line of, on a line at right angles to the southerly line of, at Congo street southerly line, 252.50 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

14 feet northerly from the southerly line of 0.70 feet westerly from the northwesterly intersection of Congo street, 255.00 feet.

10 feet southerly from the northerly line of, on a line at right angles to the southerly line of, 0.70 feet westerly from the northwesterly intersection of Congo street, 255.00 feet.

10 feet southerly from the northerly line of, 9.75 feet easterly from the third angle westerly from Burnside avenue, 258.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

46 feet southerly from the northerly line of, 9.75 feet easterly from the third angle westerly from Burnside avenue, 258.00 feet.

7 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of (from the east) at the fourth angle westerly from Burnsside avenue, 259.30 feet.

7 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, on a line at right angles to the southwesterly line of (from the east) at the fourth angle westerly from Burnside avenue, 259.30 feet.

7 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, on a line at right angles to the southwesterly line of (from the east) at the fifth angle westerly from Burnside avenue, 262.90 feet.

7 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of (from the east) at the fifth angle westerly from Burside avenue, 262.90 feet.

7 feet southerly from the northerly line (from the east) at the sixth angle westerly from Burnside avenue, 264.80 feet.

7 feet northerly from the southerly line of, on a line at right angles to the northerly line of (from the east) at the sixth angle westerly from Burnside avenue, 264.80 feet.

Congo Street.

7 feet northerly from the southerly line of, 9.60 feet westerly from the first angle westerly from Bosworth street, 259.30 feet.

33 feet northerly from the southerly line of, 9.60 feet westerly from the first angle westerly from Bosworth street, 257.30 feet.

7 feet southerly from the northerly line of, on a line at right angles to the southerly line of, 212.10 feet westerly from the first angle westerly from Bosworth street, 281.00 feet.

7 feet northerly from the southerly line of, 212.10 feet westerly from the first angle westerly from Bosworth street, 281.00 feet.

On Bosworth street between the southerly line of Corgo street and the sixth angle westerly from Burnside avenue and on Congo street between Bosworth street and a line at right angles to the southerly line of, 212.10 feet westerly from the first angle westerly from Bosworth street, changed and established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Hayden—1.

Establishing Grades, Glen Park Terrace.

Bill No. 8037, Ordinance No. 7550 (New Series), as follows:

Establishing grades on streets in a portion of Glen Park Terrace, as shown on map entitled "Grade Map of a Portion of Glen Park Terrace."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The grades on streets in a portion of Glen Park Terrace, as shown on map entitled "Grade Map of a Portion of Glen Park Terrace," are hereby established at points and elevations above city base as shown on said map, approved by Resolution No. 97111 (Second Series) of the Board of Public Works, adopted May 2, 1927.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Hayden—1.

Providing for Management of Municipal Airport.

Bill No. 8027, Ordinance No. 7551 (New Series), as follows:

Providing for the management of the Municipal Airport or landing field for air craft.

Be it ordained by the people of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Committee appointed by the Board of Supervisors under its rules as the Committee on Public Welfare, Publicity and Airport shall have control and management of the Municipal Airport or landing field for air craft, located near Millbrae in San Mateo County.

Section 2. Said Committee is hereby authorized to lease space in said landing field for the storage and accommodation of air craft and the accessories, and for purposes incident thereto, and may impose charges for the use of said field and for the accommodations afforded in connection therewith. Such Committee shall adopt such rules and regulations governing the use of such field as may be necessary to promote public safety and protect the public interest.

Section 3. The said Committee is hereby authorized to appoint a superintendent of said landing field and such subordinates as may be necessary at such compensation as may hereafter be fixed by the Board of Supersisors.

Section 4. All money received from leases, or from the granting of any privileges, shall be paid into the Treasury and placed in the Municipal Airport Fund, which fund is hereby created in accordance with the provisions of Section 16 of Article XII of the Charter, and appropriations may be made therefrom as provided in said section.

Section 5. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Hayden—1.

Referred.

The following bill was ordered *referred to the Public Welfare Committee*:

Proposed Amendment, Per Diem Men's Vacation Ordinance.

Bill No. ——, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Section 1 of Ordinance No. 7309 (New Series), entitled "Providing for and designating holidays of the per diem employees of the Department of Public Works in the City and County of San Francisco."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Section 1 of Ordinance No. 7309 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended so as to read as follows:

Section 1. The Department of Public Works of the City and County of San Francisco shall recognize as holidays for per diem employees the following days only: Every Sunday, New Year's Day, Washington's Birthday, Decoration Day, Independence Day, Labor Day, Admission Day, Thanksgiving Day and Christmas. If any of said holidays fall upon Sunday the following Monday shall be a holiday.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

PRESENTATION OF BILLS AND ACCOUNTS.

Your Finance Committee, having examined miscellaneous demands not required by law to be passed to print, and amounting to $57,294.09, recommends same be allowed and ordered paid.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Hayden—1.

NEW BUSINESS.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Authorizations.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

Park Fund.

(1) National Ice Cream Co., ice cream for parks (claim dated May 13, 1927), $1,322.85.

Huntington Bequest Fund.

(2) G. Albert Lansburg, architect fee for designing base for statue of Joan D'Arc at Lincoln Park (claim dated May 13, 1927), $750.

Tubercular Sanitarium Fund.

(3) Reilly & Nemetz, extra work, general construction of Tuberculosis Preventorium (claim dated May 11, 1927), $2,435.

County Road Fund.

(4) Eaton & Smith, improvement of westerly one-half of Alemany boulevard, Mount Vernon to Niagara street (claim dated May 11, 1927), $4,148.

Water Works Fund.

(5) Eaton & Smith, construction of water mains in vicinity of Brussels, Olmstead and Goettingen streets (claim dated May 11, 1927), $3,384.75.

School Bonds, Issue 1923.

(6) C. F. Weber & Co., Inc., portable chairs for the Henry Durant School (claim dated May 10, 1927), $1,340.

(7) Reliable Plumbing Co., first payment, mechanical equipment for Hearst-Moulder School (claim dated May 11, 1927), $917.63.

(8) John Reid, Jr., sixth payment, architectural services for Mission (Everett) Junior High School (claim dated May 10, 1927), $1,398.12.

(9) John Reid, Jr., second payment, architectural services for first unit of the South Side High School (claim dated May 10, 1927), $9,007.62.

(10) MacDonald & Kahn, acceptance payment, general construction of New Mission High School (claim dated May 11, 1927), $3,978.30.

(11) D. C. McCabe, first and final payment, concrete and wood benches for the Hawthorne School (claim dated May 11, 1927), $555.

(12) Anderson & Ringrose, extra work, general construction of addition to the Sunnyside School (claim dated May 11, 1927), $609.04.

Hetch Hetchy Water Bonds, Issue 1925.

(13) Hales & Symons, Inc., mining wedges for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated May 6, 1927), $675.

(14) Christenson Lumber Co., lumber for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated May 9, 1927), $978.04.

(15) Edison Storage Battery Supply Co., batteries for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated May 9, 1927), $593.

(16) Hercules Powder Co., explosives for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated May 9, 1927), $919.38.

(17) The Marion Steam Shovel Co., combination dipper handle for steam shovel, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated May 9, 1927), $604.76.

(18) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., mazda lamps for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated May 9, 1927), $1,401.60.

(19) Santa Fe Lumber Co., lumber for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated May 9, 1927), $815.73.

(20) Union Machine Co., crank shafts for shovel, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated May 9, 1927), $561.

(21) Western Pipe & Steel Co., air pipe for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated May 9, 1927), $915.10.

(22) First National Bank of Sonora, amount advanced on Hetch Hetchy payroll for period May 1 to May 15 (claim dated May 9, 1927), $35,000.

(23) T. E. Connolly and J. M. DeLuca, fourth payment, construction of aqueduct tunnels, Foothill Division, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated May 10, 1927), $25,352.65.

(24) A. Guthrie & Co., fifth payment, construction of aqueduct tunnels, Foothill Division, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated May 10, 1927), $45,267.08.

(25) Pope & Talbot, lumber, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated May 11, 1927), $676.80.

(26) Santa Fe Lumber Co., lumber, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated May 11, 1927), $1,264.78.

(27) Western Pipe & Steel Co., air pipe, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated May 11, 1927), $915.10.

Municipal Railway Fund.

(28) Bridgeport Brass Co., trolley wire for Municipal Railways

(claim dated May 6, 1927), $2,651.38.

(29) Crown Oil Co., gasoline for Municipal Railways (claim dated May 10, 1927), $1,429.38.

Municipal Railway Accident Reserve Fund.

(30) Jennings Kent, for herself and as guardian ad litem of her children, Gabriel Kent, Jr., and Violet Kent, in settlement of claim against the Municipal Railways for account of the death of Gabriel Kent, husband and father (claim dated May 10, 1927), $7,000.

Municipal Railway Depreciation Fund.

(31) The J. G. Brill Co., first payment, Municipal Railway car tracks (claim dated May 9, 1927), $14,137.50.

(32) St. Louis Car Company, first payment, Municipal Railway car bodies (claim dated May 9, 1927), $24,210.

(33) Westinghouse Electric & Manufacturing Co., third payment, Municipal Railway car motor equipment (claim dated May 9, 1927), $9,683.10.

Relief Home Bonds, Issue 1923.

(34) The Colson Company, food conveyors for new Relief Home (claim dated Nov. 12, 1926), $1,518.

(35) George Windeler Co., repairing tank, Relief Home (claim dated May 12, 1927), $506.50.

General Fund, 1926-1927.

(36) Crown Oil Co., gasoline, Police Department (claim dated May 9, 1927), $713.21.

(37) Associated Charities, widows' pensions (claim dated May 13, 1927), $8,707.07.

(38) Little Children's Aid, widows' pensions (claim dated May 13, 1927), $8,783.81.

(39) Eureka Benevolent Society, widows' pensions (claim dated May 13, 1927), $1,025.

(40) The Recorder Printing and Publishing Co., printing and publishing Law-Motion-Trial Calendar, etc. (claim dated May 16, 1927), $1,075.

(41) Sonoma State Home, maintenance of feeble-minded, April (claim dated May 16, 1927), $9,490.

(42) J. H. Zemansky, postage for sample ballots (claim dated May 5, 1927), $1,150.

(43) Latourette-Fical Co., first payment, lighting for hangar and field, S. F. Airport (claim dated May 11, 1927), $1,920.

(44) Louis J. Cohn, improvement of west line of Forty-seventh avenue at corner of Taraval street (claim dated May 11, 1927), $825.

(45) Elliott & Grant, first payment, general construction of administration building, S. F. Airport (claim dated May 11, 1927), $7,968.

(46) Eaton & Smith, reconstruction of Gilbert and Harriet streets between Bryant and Brannan streets (claim dated May 11, 1927), $6,022.05.

(47) Rincon Foundry, 2500 traffic buttons (claim dated May 16, 1927), $4,650.

(48) Albertinum Orphanage, maintenance of minors (claim dated May 11, 1927), $1,557.64.

(49) Boys' Aid Society, maintenance of minors (claim dated May 11, 1927), $1,388.08.

(50) S. F. Protestant Orphanage, maintenance of minors (claim dated May 11, 1927), $1,070.24.

(51) S. F. Nursery for Homeless Children, maintenance of minors (claim dated May 11, 1927), $543.29.

(52) St. Vincent's School, maintenance of minors (claim dated May 11, 1927), $2,013.26.

(53) Roman Catholic Orphanage, maintenance of minors (claim dated May 11, 1927), $3,923.80.

(54) Eureka Benevolent Society, maintenance of minors (claim dated May 11, 1927), $4,364.33.

(55) Children's Agency, maintenance of minors (claim dated May 11, 1927), $30,521.03.

(56) Little Children's Aid, maintenance of minors (claim dated May 11, 1927), $13,006.65.

(57) Preston School of Industry, maintenance of inmates, State school (claim dated May 11, 1927), $693.34.

(58) Sussman-Wormser Co., groceries, S. F. Hospital (claim dated May 12, 1927), $2,872.70.

(59) Garcia & Maggini Co., groceries, S. F. Hospital (claim dated May 12, 1927), $517.31.

(60) L. Dinkelspiel Co., dry goods, S. F. Hospital (claim dated May 12, 1927), $1,678.82.

(61) L. Dinkelspiel Co., dry goods, S. F. Hospital (claim dated May 12, 1927), $590.11.

(62) San Francisco Dairy Co., milk, S. F. Hospital (claim dated May 12, 1927), $4,333.80.

(63) Levi Strauss & Co., sheeting, etc., S. F. Hospital (claim dated May 12, 1927), $3,205.71.

(64) Elihu P. Booth, accident compensation, per award, care of Central Emergency Hospital (claim dated May 12, 1927), $720.10.

(65) California Meat Co., meats, Relief Home (claim dated May 12, 1927), $2,835.77.

(66) California Petroleum Co., fuel oil, Relief Home (claim dated May 12, 1927), $1,789.19.

(67) J. T. Freitas Co., eggs, Relief Home (claim dated May 12, 1927), $1,077.30.

(68) Lesser Bros., meats, Relief Home (claim dated May 12, 1927), $1,405.61.

(69) C. Nauman & Co., vegetables, Relief Home (claim dated May 12, 1927), $845.17.

(70) San Francisco Dairy Co., milk, Relief Home (claim dated May 12, 1927), $2,322.88.

(71) Sherry Bros., Inc., butter, Relief Home (claim dated May 12, 1927), $1,254.60.

(72) Sussman - Wormser Co., sugar, Relief Home (claim dated May 12, 1927), $1,192.38.

(73) Tiedemann & McMorran, groceries, Relief Home (claim dated May 12, 1927), $535.

(74) Spring Valley Water Co., water for Relief Home (claim dated May 12, 1927), $917.66.

(75) California Pottery Co., sewer pipe for sewer repairs (claim dated May 5, 1927), $540.

(76) Symon Bros., teams for street cleaning (claim dated May 6, 1927), $747.50.

(77) Pacific Portland Cement Co., Cons., cement for street repair (claim dated May 9, 1927), $2,588.05.

(78) The Spring Valley Water Co., water for street sprinkling (claim dated May 9, 1927), $656.85.

(79) Spring Valley Water Co., water for public buildings (claim dated May 9, 1927), $1,963.93.

(80) J. D. Galloway, fee as member of Board of Engineers, transbay bridge investigation, per agreement (claim dated May 7, 1927), $3,000.

(81) Robert Ridgway, fee as member of Board of Engineers, transbay bridge investigation, per agreement (claim dated May 7, 1927), $10,000.

(82) Arthur N. Talbot, fee as member of Board of Engineers, transbay bridge investigation, per agreement (claim dated May 7, 1927), $5,419.

(83) San Francisco Bulletin, official advertising (claim dated May 16, 1927), $1,313.88.

(84) D. N. & E. Walter & Co., carpets, etc., for Superior Court (claim dated May 16, 1927), $552.41.

(85) D. N. & E. Walter & Co., carpets, etc., Hall of Justice (claim dated May 16, 1927), $565.73.

(86) D. N. & E. Walter & Co., linoleum, Police Department (claim dated May 16, 1927), $620.78.

(87) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., street lighting for month of April (claim dated May 16, 1927), $52,033.79.

Publicity and Advertising (Appropriation 55).

(88) Redwood Empire Association, for expense of the publicity and advertising of San Francisco (claim dated May 16, 1927), $2,018.69.

Hetch Hetchy Operative Revenue Fund.

(89) John J. Dailey, legal services rendered during May in matter of valuation of San Francisco electric properties (claim dated May 11, 1927), $850.

(90) N. Randall Ellis, engineering services rendered during May in matter of valuation of electric properties for San Francisco (claim dated May 11, 1927), $750.

Appropriation, $6,000, Payment to Knute L. Anderson in Payment for Land and Improvements Required for School Purposes.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $6,000 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of School Bonds, 1923, and authorized in payment to Knute L. Anderson; being payment for land and improvements required for school purposes; said property being situate and commencing at point on easterly line of Banks street, distant 125 feet southerly from the southerly line of Tompkins street, running thence at a right angle easterly 140 feet to the westerly line of Prentiss street; thence southerly on westerly line of Prentiss street 50 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 140 feet to the easterly line of Banks street; thence northerly along easterly line of Banks street 50 feet to point of commencement. As per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 27245 (New Series).

Appropriation, $5,000, Miscellaneous Equipment, Maintenance, Etc., in Connection With the Establishment and Administration of Mills Field Municipal Airport.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $5,000 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of "Municipal Airport," Budget Item No. 60, to provide for miscellaneous equipment, extra work, services, labor and initial maintenance in connection with the establishment and administration of the Mills Field Municipal Airport of San Francisco.

Appropriation, $5,000, Additional and Emergency Supplies, Board of Public Health.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $5,000 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of Urgent Necessity, Budget Item No. 25, for additional and emergency supplies by the Board of Health under the direction of the Superintendent of the Relief Home.

Appropriation, $2,000, Extra Clerks, Civil Service Examination.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $2,000 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of Urgent Necessity, Budget Item No. 25, to the credit of Budget Item No. 283, Civil Service Commission, Appropriation 12-A, for special examiners and extra clerks required for the holding of examinations.

Appropriation, $864.50, Equipment for Emergency Hospitals.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $864.50 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of "Equipment, Emergency Hospitals," Budget Item No. 85, for the purchase of equipment for the Emergency Hospitals, including lockers, inhalators, floor machines, fire extinguishers.

Appropriation, $500, Payment to Annie M. Eastman for Land Required for Playground Purposes.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 27271 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $500 be and the same is authorized in payment out of Playground Fund to Annie M. Eastman; being payment for land on the easterly line of Arkansas street, commencing 275 feet northerly from the northerly line of Twenty-third street, running thence northerly on Arkansas street 25 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 100 feet; thence at a right angle southerly 25 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 100 feet to point of commencement. Being a portion of Potrero Nuevo Block No. 227. (Claim dated April 20, 1927.)

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Hayden—1.

City Attorney to Compromise Litigation Against Anna Westbrook et al.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 27272 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the City Attorney be and he is hereby authorized to compromise and settle that certain action pending in the Superior Court of the State of California, in and for the City and County of San Francisco, entitled "Anna Westbrook and C. Edward Westbrook, plaintiffs, vs. City and County of San Francisco, defendant," and numbered 179932 on the files thereof, for two thousand ($2,000) dollars; said action was brought to recover damages for injuries sustained by said Anna Westbrook on the 25th day of January, 1927, by being struck by a Municipal Railway car, "K" line.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Hayden—1.

Accepting Offer of Moore Investment Company to Sell Certain Property Required for Opening of Bernal Heights Boulevard.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 27273 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the owner of the following described land, sought to be acquired by the City and County of San Francisco for the opening of Bernal Heights boulevard, has offered to convey the property desired by the City and County of San Francisco for the sum set forth opposite its name, viz:

Moore Investment Company, $1,350—Beginning at a point on the easterly line of Carver street, distant northerly thereon 106.194 feet from the northerly line of Powhattan avenue, and running thence northeasterly a distance of 37.740 feet along a curve to the right of radius 295 feet and central angle 07 deg. 19 min. 48 sec., the radial line to said curve at the point of beginning being deflected 17 deg. 12 min. 10 sec. southeasterly from said easterly line of Carver street; running thence northeasterly along the tangent to said curve a distance of 57.184 feet to the beginning of a curve to the left tangent to the last described course and having a radius of 105 feet and central angle 28 deg. 22 min. 26 sec.; thence northeasterly along said curve 51.998 feet to a point in the westerly line of Bradford street, distant northerly theron 145.810 feet from said northerly line of Powhattan avenue; thence northerly along said westerly line 204.190 feet to the southerly line of Mayflower street;

thence at right angles westerly along said southerly line 10 feet; thence southerly at right angles to said southerly line of Mayflower street 121.728 feet to the beginning of a curve to the right, tangent to the last described course, and having a radius of 55 feet, a central angle of 80 deg. 07 min. 38 sec. and being concentric with the above described curve of radius 105 feet; running thence southwesterly along said curve 76.917 feet; thence southwesterly along the tangent to said last described curve 57.184 feet to the beginning of a curve to the left tangent to the last described course and having a radius of 345 feet, a central angle of 4 deg. 46 min. 33 sec. and being concentric with the above described curve of radius 295 feet; thence southwesterly along said last described curve 28.757 feet to a point on said easterly line of Carver street; thence southerly along said easterly line of Carver street 51.983 feet to the point of beginning. Being all of Lot 1177 and portions of Lots 1162, 1164, 1166, 1168, 1170, 1172, 1174, 1175, 1176, 1178, 1179 and 1180, as per Gift Map 3, recorded December 31, 1861, in Map Book 2 "A and B," page 15, records of the City and County of San Francisco.

It is understood and agreed that the City and County of San Francisco shall have the right to slope the adjoining property of the said Moore Investment Company to meet the grade of the proposed Bernal Heights boulevard.

It is further understood and agreed that the remaining property of the said Moore Investment Company will not be assessed for the improvement of the proposed Bernal Heights boulevard; said improvements to include sewers, curbs and pavement.

Whereas, the City Attorney has recommended the acceptance of the said offer and the acquisition of the property owned by said company and offered to the City and County of San Francisco for the price set forth, which said price is in accordance with the City's appraisement of the property; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the said offer of sale be accepted and the City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to examine the title of said property, and if the same is found in satisfactory condition to accept in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco a deed conveying title thereto, and file the same for record with a copy of this resolution attached thereto, as evidence of acceptance by the City and County of San Francisco upon payment of the agreed purchase price.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Hayden—1.

Mayor Authorized to Sell Certain Improvements on Bernal Cut Right of Way.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 27274 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Mayor be and he is hereby authorized and directed to sell at public auction after at least five (5) days of published notice, the following described personal property owned by the City and County of San Francisco, to-wit:

Dwelling houses and appurtenances situated on those certain pieces or parcels of land required for the opening of Bernal Cut, and known as 311 Mateo street, 25 Academy street, 39 Academy street, 361-363 Arlington street.

The terms of said sale shall be cash upon delivery of bill of sale, said dwelling houses to be removed by the purchasers within thirty (30) days of purchase thereof.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Hayden—1.

Accepting Offer of Ocean Shore Railroad Company to Sell Property Required for the Widening of Alemany Boulevard.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 27275 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the owner of the following described land, situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and sought to be acquired by the City and County of San Francisco for the widening of Alemany boulevard, has offered to convey the property desired by said City and County of San Francisco for the sum set forth opposite its name, viz:

Ocean Shore Railroad Company, $3,325—

Parcel 1. Beginning at a point on the southwesterly line of Ottawa avenue, distant thereon 24.728 feet northwesterly from the northwesterly line of Huron avenue, thence southeasterly along said southwest-

erly line 24.728 feet to the northwesterly line of Huron avenue; thence at right angles southwesterly along said northwesterly line of Huron avenue 75.825 feet; thence northeasterly, northerly and westerly along a curve to the left, tangent to the preceding course, radius 12 feet central angle 164 deg. 56 min., a distance of 34.544 feet; thence southwesterly, tangent to said curve of preceding course 257.108 feet, to the northeasterly boundary line of the property of Edward F. Moran et al.; thence deflecting to the right 74 deg. 56 min. and running northwesterly along said northeasterly line 20.712 feet to the southeasterly boundary line of the property of the City and County of San Francisco; thence deflecting to the right 105 deg. 04 min. and running northeasterly along said southeasterly line 332.403 feet to the southwesterly line of Ottawa avenue and the point of beginning. Being a portion of Block 6, West End Map 1, and Block 14, West End Map 2, as recorded in Map Book "2 A and B." pages 45 and 57, records of the City and County of San Francisco.

Parcel 2. Beginning at a point on the southwesterly line of Mt. Vernon avenue, distant thereon 40 feet northwesterly from the northwesterly line of Huron avenue, thence southeasterly along said southwesterly line of Mt. Vernon avenue 24.12 feet to the northwesterly boundary line of the property of the City and County of San Francisco; thence along said northwesterly boundary line of the property of the City and County of San Francisco southwesterly on a curve to the right whose tangent deflects to the right 94 deg. 32 min. 36 sec. from the preceding course, and whose radius is 1870.78 feet and central angle 10 deg. 31 min. 24 sec., a distance of 343.468 feet; thence northeasterly, tangent to the preceding course along the arc of a curve to the left with a radius of 997.373 feet and a central angle of 15 deg. 4 min., a distance of 262.272 feet; thence tangent to the preceding course northeasterly 78.716 feet to the southwesterly line of Mt. Vernon avenue and the point of beginning. Being a portion of Block 7, as shown on West End Map 1, recorded in Map Book "2 A and B." page 45, in the Recorder's office of the City and County of San Francisco.

As a further consideration, it is understood and agreed that the triangular parcel of land adjoining the above described parcel 1 on the south will not be assessed for the improvement of Alemany boulevard.

Whereas, the City Attorney has recommended the acceptance of the said offer and the acquisition of the property owned by said company and offered to the City and County of San Francisco for the sum set forth, which said price is in accordance with the City's appraisement of the property; now therefore, be it

Resolved, That the said offer of sale be accepted and the City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to examine the title of said property, and if the same is found in satisfactory condition, to accept in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco a deed conveying title thereto, and file the same for record with a copy of this resolution attached thereto, as evidence of acceptance by the City and County of San Francisco upon payment of the agreed purchase price.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Hayden—1.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Oil and Boiler Permits.

On motion of Supervisor Deasy:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits be and and hereby granted:

Oil Tanks.

Victor Bjors, west side of Scott street, 30 feet south of Turk street, 1500 gallons capacity.

California Real Estate & Finance Co., east side of Thirty-fourth avenue, 175 feet south of Clement street, 1500 gallons capacity.

E. H. Denke, north side of Vallejo street, 150 feet east of Laguna street, 2000 gallons capacity.

P. F. Dunn, 3905 Clay street, 1500 gallons capacity.

I. Epp, east side of Pierce street, 60 feet south of Golden Gate avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

I. Epp, southeast corner of Golden Gate avenue and Pierce street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Henry Ernst & Sons, 340 Hayes street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Romolo A. Sbarboro, southwest corner of Divisadero street and Pacific avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

Stock, Maas & Sauer, north side of Clay street, 200 feet west of Van Ness avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

Stock, Maas & Sauer, north side

of Clay street, 250 feet west of Van Ness avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

Boiler.

Reid Bros., 535-39 Market street, 15-horsepower.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits shall become null and void.

Hand Laundry Permit.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That A. B. Cazet be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain a hand laundry at 390 Eddy street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

City Attorney to Commence Condemnation Proceedings for the Acquisition of Lands Belonging to Jensine Christoffersen and Carl M. Christoffersen Required for Hetch Hetchy Construction Purposes.

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Resolution No. 27276 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That public interest and necessity demand the acquisition through eminent domain proceedings of the following described parcels of land situated in the County of Alameda, State of California, belonging to Jensine Christoffersen and Carl M. Christoffersen, for the purposes hereinafter described, for the public use of the City and County of San Francisco, said lands being more particularly described as follows, to-wit:

Parcel 1. Beginning at a point in the north line of Section 6, Township 4 South, Range 4 East, M. D. B. and M., distant along said line north 88 deg. 35 min. west 1000 feet; thence north 88 deg. 35 min. west 850 feet along said north line; thence leaving said line and running as follows: South 37 deg. 50 min. east 1410 feet, south 61 deg. 35 min. west 315 feet, south 28 deg. 25 min. east 400 feet, north 61 deg. 35 min. east 710 feet, south 80 deg. 50 min. east 405 feet, more or less, to a point in the east line of said Section 6; thence northerly 410 feet along said east line to a point distant southerly 875 feet along said line from the northeast corner of said Section 6; thence north 80 deg. 50 min. west 310 feet; thence north 37 deg. 50 min. west 1085 feet, more or less, to the point of beginning. Containing 29.6 acres, more or less, and being a portion of the northeast quarter of said Section 6.

Parcel 2. All that portion of the northeast quarter of Section 6, Township 4 South, Range 4 East, M. D. B. and M., included within a strip of land 250 feet in width, 25 feet northerly and 225 feet southerly of the following described line, which line will be the center line of the first aqueduct tunnel to be constructed by the City and County of San Francisco:

Beginning at a point in the east line of said Section 6, distant along said line southerly approximately 650 feet; thence north 80 deg. 50 min, west 770 feet; thence south 75 deg. 40 min. west 1920 feet, more or less, to a point in the west line of the northeast quarter of said Section 6, distant along said line southerly approximately 1050 feet from the quarter section corner in the north line of said Section 6.

Excepting from said description land included within Parcel 1 hereinabove described.

Containing 11.1 acres, more or less.

Parcel 3. All that portion of the northeast quarter of Section 6, Township 4 South, Range 4 East, M. D. B. and M., included within a strip of land 20 feet in width, 10 feet each side of the following described surveyed center line:

Beginning at a point in the southeasterly boundary of Parcel 1 hereinabove described, distant along said boundary north 61 deg. 35 min. east 60 feet from the most southerly corner of said Parcel 1; thence running as follows: South 4 deg. 08 min. west 160 feet, south 15 deg. 37 min. east 323 feet, south 9 deg. 36 min. east 405 feet, south 3 deg. 07 min. east 175 feet, more or less, to a point in the south line of the northeast quarter of said Section 6, distant along said line westerly approximately 800 feet from the quarter section corner in the east line of said Section 6.

Containing 0.5 acre, more or less.

The taking of said properties and of a fee title thereto is necessary for the construction, maintenance and operation by the City and County of San Francisco of a portion of the Hetch Hetchy aqueduct now being constructed by the said City and County of San Francisco and designed and intended to convey water from Lake Eleanor and the Tuolumne River and its tributaries to the said City and County of San Francisco for municipal and domestic purposes. That the taking of said properties is further necessary for the future proper development and control of said aqueduct and for the structures appurtenant thereto and used in connection therewith and for a protective area for said aqueduct. That the struc-

tures appurtenant to said right of way for said aqueduct are a permanent shaft leading from the surface of the ground to said aqueduct and as a site for permanent houses and homes to be used and occupied by the persons constructing, operating and maintaining said aqueduct, and for permanent rights of way, water pipe lines, electric transmission lines, telephone lines, roads and pathways, all to be used in connection with the construction, maintenance and operation of said aqueduct and the appurtenances thereto.

The City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to commence eminent domain proceedings against the owners of said properties and of all interests therein or claims against or liens upon said properties, for the condemnation of said rights, interests, claims and liens to the public use of the City and County of San Francisco for the said purposes above specified.

This resolution shall be published in the official newspaper, with the ayes and noes, for at least five successive days, Sundays and legal holidays excepted, before the final action upon the same.

Resolution No. 27052 (New Series) is hereby repealed.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Hayden—1.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Conditional Acceptance, Certain Streets.

On motion of Supervisor Marks:

Bill No. 8039, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Providing for conditional acceptance of the roadway of Barneveld avenue between Silver avenue and Hale street; Santiago street between Twenty-fifth and Twenty-sixth avenues; Santiago street between Twenty-sixth and Twenty-seventh avenues; Twenty-ninth avenue between Rivera and Santiago streets; Twenty-fourth avenue between Kirkham and Lawton streets; Thirty-fourth avenue between Ulloa and Vicente streets; Seventeenth avenue between Ulloa and Vicente streets; Forty-fourth avenue between Taraval and Ulloa streets; Ulloa street between Thirty-sixth and Thirty-seventh avenues; Vicente street between Forty-sixth and Forty-seventh avenues, and the crossing of Vicente street and Forty-sixth avenue; Virginia avenue between Winfield street and Eugenia avenue and the intersection of Virginia avenue and Elsie street; Vicente street between Forty-seventh avenue and the Great Highway, and the crossing of Vicente street and Forty-seventh avenue; Vicente street between Forty-fifth and Forty-sixth avenues, and the crossing of Vicente street and Forty-sixth avenue; Winfield street between Coso and Esmeralda avenues and the intersection of Winfield street and Coso avenue; crossing of Jennings street and Le Conte avenue; crossing of Egbert avenue and Ingalls street; crossing of Forty-seventh avenue and Rivera street, and Forty-seventh avenue and Santiago street; crossing of Sixteenth avenue and Pacheco street; crossing of Twenty-first avenue and Ortega street; crossing of Santiago street and Twenty-ninth avenue; crossing of Fifteenth avenue and Pacheco street and Mandalay lane; crossing of Brussels street and Harkness street; crossing of Twenty-sixth avenue and Santiago street; crossing of Twenty-fifth avenue and Santiago street; crossing of Twenty-seventh avenue and Santiago street; crossing of Huron and Foote avenues.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The roadways of the following named streets, including the curbs on both sides thereof, having been constructed to the satisfaction of the Board of Public Works and of the Board of Supervisors, are hereby conditionally accepted by the City and County of San Francisco (except those portions required by law to be kept in order by the railroad company having tracks thereon) in accordance with the provisions of Section 23, Chapter 2, Article VI of the Charter, said roadways having been paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete and concrete curbs laid thereon, and are in good condition throughout, to-wit:

Barneveld avenue between Silver avenue and Hale street, paved with asphaltic concrete, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Santiago street between Twenty-fifth and Twenty-sixth avenues, paved with asphaltic concrete, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Santiago street between Twenty-

sixth and Twenty-seventh avenues, paved with asphaltic concrete, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Twenty-ninth avenue between Rivera and Santiago streets, paved with asphaltic concrete, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Twenty-fourth avenue between Kirkham and Lawton streets, paved with asphaltic concrete, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Thirty-fourth avenue between Ulloa and Vicente streets, paved with asphaltic concrete, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Seventeenth avenue between Ulloa and Vicente streets, and Forty-fourth avenue between Taraval and Ulloa streets, paved with asphaltic concrete pavement, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Ulloa street between Thirty-sixth and Thirty-seventh avenues, paved with asphaltic concrete pavement, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Vicente street between Forty-sixth and Forty-seventh avenues, and the crossing of Vicente street and Forty-sixth avenues, paved with asphaltic concrete, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Virginia avenue between Winfield street and Eugenia avenue, and the intersection of Virginia avenue and Elsie street, paved with concrete, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Vicente street between Forty-seventh avenue and the Great Highway, and the crossing of Vicente street and Forty-seventh avenue, paved with asphaltic concrete, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Vicente street between Forty-fifth and Forty-sixth avenues, and the crossing of Vicente street and Forty-sixth avenue, paved with asphaltic concrete, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Winfield street between Coso and Esmeralda avenues and the intersection of Winfield street and Coso avenue, paved with concrete, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Crossing of Jennings street and Le Conte avenue, paved with asphaltic concrete, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Crossing of Egbert avenue and Ingalls street, paved with asphaltic concrete, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Crossing of Forty-seventh avenue and Rivera street, and Forty-seventh avenue and Santiago street, paved with asphaltic concrete, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Crossing of Sixteenth avenue and Pacheco street, paved with asphaltic concrete, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Crossing of Twenty-first avenue and Ortega street, paved with asphaltic concrete, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Crossing of Santiago street and Twenty-ninth avenue, paved with asphaltic concrete, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Crossing of Fifteenth avenue and Pacheco street, and Mandalay lane, paved with asphaltic concrete, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Crossing of Brussels and Harkness streets, paved with asphaltic concrete, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Crossing of Twenty-sixth avenue and Santiago street, paved with asphaltic concrete, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Crossing of Twenty-fifth avenue and Santiago street, paved with asphaltic concrete, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Crossing of Twenty-seventh avenue and Santiago street, paved with asphaltic concrete, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Crossing of Huron avenue and Foote avenue, paved with asphaltic concrete, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Also, Bill No. 8040, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Providing for the conditional acceptance of the roadway of Alpha

street between Teddy and Arleta avenues; Clyde street between Townsend street and Lusk alley; Funston avenue between Lawton and Moraga streets, and the intersection of Funston avenue and Aloha street; Forty-seventh avenue between Vicente and Wawona streets, and between Wawona street and Sloat boulevard; Forty-sixth avenue between Vicente and Wawona streets and between Wawona street and Sloat boulevard and the crossing of Forty-sixth avenue and Wawona street; Judson avenue between Edna and Foerster streets; Le Conte avenue between Third and Jennings streets; Merrill street between Silver avenue and Hale street; Montana street between Capitol and Faxon avenues; Ortega street between Fifteenth and Sixteenth avenues; Powhattan avenue between Anderson and Ellsworth streets; Rivera street between Fourteenth and Fifteenth avenues; Rutland street between Wilde and Tioga avenues; Tioga avenue and Tucker avenue; Tucker avenue and Campbell avenue, and Campbell avenue and Teddy avenue; Rutland street between Harkness street and Wilde avenue, and between Teddy and Arleta avenues; Santiago street between Thirty-second and Thirty-third avenues; Sargent street between Byxbee and Monticello streets, between Monticello and Worcester streets, and the crossings of Sargent street and Byxbee street and Sargent street and Monticello street; Schwerin street between Sunnydale and Visitacion avenues; Twenty-second street between the westerly line of Carolina street and the easterly line of Wisconsin street and Wisconsin street between Twenty-second street and the southerly line of Twenty-third street; Thirty-seventh avenue between Ulloa and Vicente streets.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The roadways of the following named streets, including the curbs on both sides thereof, having been constructed to the satisfaction of the Board of Public Works and of the Board of Supervisors, are hereby conditionally accepted by the City and County of San Francisco (except those portions required by law to be kept in order by the railroad company having tracks thereon), in accordance with the provisions of Section 23, Chapter 2, Article VI of the Charter, said roadways having been paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete and concrete curbs laid thereon, and are in good condition throughout, to-wit:

Alpha street between Teddy and Arleta avenues, paved with concrete, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Clyde street between Townsend street and Lusk alley, paved with concrete, gas and water mains have been laid therein, no sewers have been laid therein, same not being necessary at this time.

Funston avenue between Lawton and Moraga streets and the intersection of Funston avenue and Aloha street, paved with concrete, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Forty-seventh avenue between Vicente and Wawona streets and between Wawona street and Sloat boulevard, paved with asphaltic concrete, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Forty-sixth avenue between Vicente and Wawona streets, and between Wawona street and Sloat boulevard and the crossing of Forty-sixth avenue and Wawona street, paved with asphaltic concrete, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Judson avenue between Edna and Foerster streets, paved with concrete pavement, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Le Conte avenue between Third and Jennings streets, paved with concrete, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Merrill street between Silver avenue and Hale street, paved with asphaltic concrete, gas and water mains have been laid therein, no sewers have been laid therein, the same not being necessary at this time.

Montana street between Capitol and Faxon avenues, paved with asphaltic concrete, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Ortega street between Fifteenth and Sixteenth avenues, paved with concrete, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Powhattan avenue between Anderson and Ellsworth streets, paved with concrete and asphaltic concrete, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Rivera street between Fourteenth and Fifteenth avenues, paved with

asphaltic concrete, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Rutland street between Wilde and Tioga avenues, Tioga avenue and Tucker avenue, Tucker avenue and Campbell avenue and Campbell avenue and Teddy avenue, paved with concrete sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Rutland street between Harkness and Wilde avenues, and between Teddy and Arleta avenues, paved with asphaitic concrete, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Santiago street between Thirty-second and Thirty-third avenues, paved with asphaltic concrete, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Sargent street between Byxbee and Monticello streets, between Monticello street and Worcester avenue and the crossings of Sargent street and Byxbee street and Sargent street and Monticello street, paved with concrete, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Schwerin street between Sunnydale and Visitacion avenues, paved with asphaltic concrete, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Twenty-second street between the westerly line of Carolina street and the easterly line of Wisconsin street and Wisconsin street between Twenty-second street and the southerly line of Twenty-third street, paved with asphaltic concrete, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Thirty-seventh avenue between Ulloa and Vicente streets, paved with asphaltic concrete, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Clerk to Advertise for Sealed Proposals for Printing the Journal of Proceedings and Calendars of the Board of Supervisors and of the Supreme and Appellate Court Decisions.

Supervisor Kent presented:

Resolution No. 27277 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Clerk be directed to advertise that sealed proposals will be received by this Board at the hour of 3 o'clock p. m. on Monday, June 6, 1927, for printing the Journal of Proceedings and Calendar of the Board of Supervisors, Daily Trial and Law and Motion Calendars and decisions of the Supreme and Appellate Courts for the Fiscal Year 1927-1928.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Hayden—1.

Award of Contract for Pedestrian Lane Markers.

The following matter, laid over from a previous meeting, was taken up and, on motion, *laid over two weeks*:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of contract be hereby made to Union Pipe and Tank Company, 2801 Santa Fe avenue, Los Angeles, California, on bid submitted March 14, 1927 (Proposal No. 285), for furnishing the following, viz.: 60,000 pedestrian lane markers, price $0.12 each; f. o. b. Corporation Yard, Eleventh and Bryant streets, San Francisco, California.

Resolved, That no bond be required as above contract is for a definite quantity in one delvery.

Resolved, That all other bids submitted hereon be rejected.

Award of Contract, Linoleum.

Supervisor Badaracco presented:

Resolution No. 27278 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of contract is hereby made to D. N. & E. Walter & Company on bid submitted May 9, 1927 (Proposal No. 299), for furnishing the following, viz.: Linoleum that may be ordered from time to time during the Fiscal Year 1927-28.

Linoleum shall be in accordance with U. S. Government Master Specification No. 209, Battleship linoleum.

Light Battleship, without laying, $1.01 per square yard.

Medium Battleship, without laying, $1.31 per square yard.

Heavy Battleship, without laying, $1.54¼ per square yard.

Extra charge for laying with tacks, $0.25 per square yard.

Extra charge for laying with cement solid, $0.35 per square yard.

Resolved, That a bond in the sum $100 shall be required for the faithful performance of this contract.

Resolved, That all other bids submitted hereon be rejected.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco,

Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Hayden—1.

Award of Contract, Window Cleaning, School Department.

Supervisor Badaracco presented:

Resolution No. 27279 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of contract is made to American Building Maintenance Company on bid submitted May 2, 1927 (Proposal No. 294), for furnishing the following, viz.:

Window cleaning for School Department during the Fiscal Year 1927-28, covering the locations stated in the proposal, and also the Galileo High School, including the annex, auditorium and gymnasium, for the sum of $6,900.

Resolved, That a bond in the sum $1.000 be required for the faithful performance of this contract.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Hayden—1.

Extension of Time.

Supervisor Badaracco presented:

Resolution No. 27280 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That an extension of time of thirty calendar days be hereby granted, upon recommendation of the Board of Fire Commissioners, to Mack International Motor Truck Corporation upon contract awarded on Proposal No. 232 by Resolution No. 26481 (New Series), approved December 3, 1926, for furnishing two combined pumping engines and hose wagons.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Hayden—1.

Award of Contract, Classroom Supplies and Paper.

Supervisor Badaracco presented:

Resolution No. 27281 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of contract is hereby made on bids submitted April 4, 1927 (Proposal No. 284), for furnishing the following, viz.: Classroom supplies and paper for School Department requirements for 1927-1928.

Delivery—One-half of the quantities stated below to be delivered July 1, 1927, the other half to be delivered December 1, 1927. All deliveries to storeroom, Board of Education, 1520 Sutter street.

Part I. Classroom Supplies.

Item No. 1. Baskets, waste; expanded metal, sealed bottom with metal rim at least 2 inches high; color, olive green; diamond steel No. 204; 1000 at $.59 each. A. Carlisle & Co.

Item No. 2. Brushes, artist fitch; ¾-inch, black Chinese bristles, stock out of 1¼ inches; length about 13 inches over all; 350 at $1.18 per dozen. Schwabacher-Frey Stationery Co.

Item No. 3. Brushes, camel's hair marker; 8 inches over all; City sample; 850 at $1.21 per dozen. Milton Bradley Co.

Item No. 4. Crayons, chalk, white dustless; 98.96 per cent calcium carbonate; 4000 at $.3496 per gross. C. F. Weber & Co.

Item No. 5. Crayons, chalk, colored; best quality; brilliant colors No. 510; 400 at $1.2725 per gross. C. F. Weber & Co.

Item No. 6. Crayons, large wax marking; hexagonal, six colors—black, red, orange, yellow, blue, green; 1 dozen of 1 color in package; 2500 at $.1675 per dozen. Milton Bradley Co.

Item No. 7. Erasers, wool, felt; size 5x2 inches, "Royal"; 1000 at $1.26 per dozen. H. S. Crocker Co., Inc.

Item No. 8. Ink powder, blue, black, permanent record; in packages of proper quantity to make one gallon of liquid ink, No. 8; 2000 at 11c per package. Schwabacher-Frey Stationery Co.

Item No. 9. Ink stands, Victor No. 3; 600 at 33c each. Schwabacher-Frey Stationery Co.

Item No. 10. Ink vents; 30 at $1.84 per dozen. C. F. Weber & Co.

Item No. 11. Paste, photo-library, with brush and well; size 5-oz.; 400 at $1.95 per dozen (jars). C. F. Weber & Co.

Item No. 12. Paste, dry powder; in one-pound sacks; 2500 at 11c per sack. Schwabacher-Frey Stationery Co.

Item No. 13. Pencils, lead; practice; in one-half gross boxes; Eberhard Faber No. 6375; 335 at $3.60 per gross. Schwabacher-Frey Stationery Co.

Item No. 14. Pencils, lead, school quality; in one gross boxes; No. 350; 1500 at $1.75 per gross. H. S. Crocker Co., Inc

Item No. 15. Pencil sharpeners, "Dexter"; 250 at $2.99 each. Schwabacher-Frey Stationery Co.

Item No. 16. Pens, steel, Eagle;

3000 at $.395 per gross. H. S. Crocker Co., Inc.

Item No. 17. Penholders, wooden, No. 1331; 750 at $2.25 per gross. H. S. Crocker Co., Inc.

Item No. 18. Pointers, hardwood, rubber tipped; length 3 feet; 100 at 96c per dozen. Milton Bradley Co.

Item No. 19. Scissors, 4½-inch, round pointed, cast steel, nickel-plated; 700 at $1.59 per dozen. C. F. Weber & Co.

Item No. 20. Scissors, 5-inch sharp pointed; cast steel, fine quality finish, heavy nickel-plated, adjusted with steel screws; 500 at $1.54 per dozen. C. F. Weber & Co.

Item No. 21. Scissors, 10-inch, B. H. & P. Co., page 2618, No. 206; 12 at $7 per dozen. Baker, Hamilton & Pacific Co.

Item No. 22. Thumb tacks, 100 to a box; No. 3 solid head; 4000 at 7c per box. Milton Bradley Co.

Item No. 23. Yard sticks, hardwood, varnished; width 1⅛x¼; 100 at 90c per dozen. C. F. Weber & Co.

Part II. Paper Products.

Item No. 24. Envelopes, gummed; No. 6¾, No. 1 Manila, substance No. 20; 3⅝x6½; 375 at $.835 per 1000. General Paper Co.

Item No. 25. Envelopes, gummed; No. 10; 4⅛x9½; No. 1 Manila, substance No. 28; 125 at $1.57 per 1000. General Paper Co.

Item No. 26. Envelopes, gummed; No. 3 scarf; 5x7½; No. 1 Manila, substance No. 20; 250 at $1.456 per 1000. General Paper Co.

Item No. 27. Envelopes, gummed; scarf No. 6; 5½x8¼; No. 1 Manila, substance No. 20; 50 at $1.752 per 1000. General Paper Co.

Item No. 28. Paper, binder; size 8x10½; No. 1 azure tint writing paper, substance 20; ruled two sides and punched at City sample; delivered in packages of one ream of 500 pieces; 1200 at 43c per ream. General Paper Co.

Item No. 29. Paper, construction, colored; 9x12, cut from 24x36 80 pound; colors—red, yellow, blue, orange, green, black and brown; delivered in packages of one ream of 500 pieces, assorted colors; 1500 at $1.07 per ream. Blake, Moffitt & Towne.

Item No. 30. Paper, crepe; rolls 10 feet long, 20 inches wide; equal quantities, baby blue, coral, canary, orange, violet, holly green, American beauty, holly red; "Cascade"; 7500 at $.033 per roll. Schwabacher-Frey Stationery Co.

Item No. 31. Paper, drawing, gray; 12x18, cut from 24x36 40-pound; delivered in packages of one ream of 500 pieces; 1100 at 66c per ream. Zellerbach Paper Co.

Item No. 32(a). Paper, drawing, gray 9x12, cut from 24x36 60-pound; delivered in packages of 500 pieces; 2000 at $.495 per ream. Zellerbach Paper Co.

Item No. 32(b) Paper, drawing, gray; 18x24, cut from 24x36 60-pound; delivered in packages of one ream of 500 pieces; 400 at $1.98 per ream. Zellerbach Paper Co.

Item No. 33(a). Paper, drawing, Manila; 9x12, cut from 24x36 60-pound; delivered in packages of one ream of 500 pieces; on sample No. 1; 700 at 59c per ream. H. S. Crocker Co., Inc.

Item No. 33(b). Paper, drawing, Manila; 12x18, cut from 24x36 60-pound; delivered in packages of one ream of 500 pieces; on sample No. 1; 3000 at $1.18 per ream. H. S. Crocker Co., Inc.

Item No. 34. Paper, drawing, white; 9x12, cut from 24x36 60-pound; delivered in packages of one ream of 500 pieces; 1500 at $.6455 per ream. Milton Bradley Co.

Item No. 35. Paper, foolscap; size, 8x12½; weight, 12 pounds per 1000 single sheets; ruled as City sample; one type of ruling; delivered in packages of 1000 sheets; on sample No. 4; 5000 at $.975 per package. H. S. Crocker Co., Inc.

Item No. 36. Paper, foolscap; size, 8x12½, unruled; weight, 12 pounds per 1000 single sheets; delivered in packages of 1000 sheets; on sample A; 3000 at $.9123 per package. General Paper Co.

Item No. 37. Paper; 1075 rolls No. 1 Manila wrapping; 12-inch, 30-pound; 100 rolls No. 1 Manila wrapping; 24-inch, 30-pound; on sample No. 1; approximately 26,775 pounds at $.05875 per pound. Zellerbach Paper Co.

Item No. 38(a). Paper; 630 rolls No. 2 unglazed Manila wrapping; 4-inch, 30-pound; on sample No. 2; $.05025 per pound. Zellerbach Paper Co.

Item No. 38(b). 800 rolls No. 2 unglazed Manila wrapping; 6-inch, 30-pound; on sample No. 2; approximately 33,000 pounds at $.04975 per pound. Zellerbach Paper Co.

Item No. 38(c). 800 rolls No. 2 unglazed Manila wrapping; 24-inch, 40-pound; on sample No. 2; $.04975 per pound. Zellerbach Paper Co.

Item No. 39. Paper; Manila sheets for binders; 8½x14; punched as per sample; basis 24x36 50-pound; banded in packages of 100 sheets; 10,000 at $1.45 per thousand. Blake, Moffitt & Towne.

Item No. 40(a). Paper; newsprint, 9x12, cut from 36x48 64-pound, "Rex News"; delivered in packages of one ream of 500 pieces;

1500 reams at $.1504 per ream. General Paper Co.

Item No. 40(b). Size 18x24, cut from 36x48 64-pound, "Rex News"; delivered in packages of one ream of 500 pieces; 4000 reams at $.6016 per ream. General Paper Co.

Item No. 41. Paper; No. 2 parchment, unbleached, 9x12; delivered in packages of one ream of 500 pieces; 1500 reams. No award.

Item No. 42(a). Paper; pattern black, 9x12, cut from 24x36 35-pound; delivered in packages of one ream of 500 pieces; 1500 reams at $.40 per ream. C. F. Weber & Co.

Item No. 42(b). Paper; pattern black, 18x24, cut from 24x36 35-pound; delivered in packages of one ream of 500 pieces; 500 reams at $1.48 per ream. C. F. Weber & Co.

Item No. 43. Paper; poster, colored; 9x12, cut from 24x36 40-pound; delivered in packages of one ream of 500 pieces; assorted colors; 1500 reams at $.555 per ream. Milton Bradley Co.

Item No. 44. Paper; poster, colored; 1x24-inch strips, cut from 24x 36 40-pound; banded in packages of 100 strips; assorted colors; 3600 packages at $.03 per package. Milton Bradley Co.

Item No. 45. Paper; tissue, 20x 30, No. 1 grade; equal quantities, white, light blue, pink, yellow, orange, lavender, scarlet, apple green; 1500 quires at $.07 per quire. Zellerbach Paper Co.

Item No. 46. Tagboard; Manila; 2½x28½-inch strips, cut from 22½ x28½ 130-pound; banded in packages of 200 strips; 800 packages at $.325 per package. General Paper Co.

Resolved, That bonds for the faithful performance of said contracts be required as follows, viz: Baker, Hamilton & Pacific Co., no bond required; Blake, Moffitt & Towne, $400; Milton Bradley Co., $1,000; A. Carlisle & Co., $100; H. S. Crocker Co., Inc., $4,000; General Paper Co., $2,000; Schwabacher-Frey Stationery Co., $500; C. F. Weber & Co., $1,000; Zellerbach Paper Co., $1,000.

Note: All above awards are made to the lowest bidder except when award is made in consideration of deliveries or on account of the quality as determined by such tests as required or recommended by the Purchaser of Supplies.

Resolved, That all other bids submitted thereon be rejected.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Hayden—1.

Clerk to Advertise for Lighting Public Streets.

Supervisor Stanton presented:

Resolution No. 27284 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Clerk be and he is hereby directed to advertise that sealed proposals will be received by this Board and opened between the hours of 2 and 3 p. m., Monday, May 23, 1927, for lighting public streets, public buildings and parks with gas and electricity, and for furnishing heat, light and power to the City and County of San Francisco for the year ending June 30, 1928.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Hayden—1.

RECESS.

Whereupon, the Board at 12:15 p. m. took a recess until 2:30 p. m.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk.

REASSEMBLED—2:30 P. M.

The Board of Supervisors reassembled at 2:30 p. m.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

His Honor Mayor Rolph being absent, Supervisor McSheehy was elected to preside.

Death of George Flatley.

Supervisor Stanton presented:

Resolution No. 27285 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, death has unexpectedly called George Flatley, Business Agent and Secretary-Treasurer of Local No. 151, International Brotherhood Electrical Workers, who has been a delegate to the San Francisco Labor Council for the past twenty years and a member of all of its important committees; and

Whereas, George Flatley served this City with distinction as a representative of the Labor Council Hetch Hetchy Committee which had much to do with the promotion

of our Hetch Hetchy project; therefore,

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors expresses its sincere sympathy and regret at his untimely demise; and be it

Further Resolved, That copies of this resolution be forwarded to the members of his family and that when the Board adjourns it do so out of respect to his memory.

Adopted unanimously by rising vote.

Supervisor Stanton spoke feelingly of the sterling and loyal character of his friend who had been so suddenly called away, and paid a high tribute to the integrity of his character.

Supervisors Colman and *Harrelson* spoke a word of friendship and praise for Mr. Flatley's character and work.

Endorsement of Motor Stage Service, Santa Rosa, Petaluma and Sausalito Stage Company.

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the Santa Rosa, Petaluma & Sausalito Auto Stage Co., now operating a motor stage service between Santa Rosa and Sausalito and intermediate points, has applied to the Railroad Commission of the State of California for a certificate of public convenience and necessity authorizing the extension of its service to San Francisco, said company contemplating the establishment of its terminal station in the vicinity of Fifth and Mission streets, San Francisco; and

Whereas, it appears that the extension of this stage service, affording as it will a direct connection and means of transportation between San Francisco and the North Bay counties, will be of great public convenience and a real necessity to the citizens of San Francisco, who use this form of transportation for purposes of business or pleasure, as well as to the many citizens of the North Bay counties desiring a more direct means of reaching San Francisco; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, By the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, that we heartily endorse the application of said Santa Rosa, Petaluma & Sausalito Auto Stage Co. to extend its service into San Francisco proper, and recommend that its application be granted by the Railroad Commission; and be it

Further Resolved, That a certified copy of this resolution be transmitted by the Secretary of this Board to the Railroad Commission.

The foregoing resolution was regularly moved and adopted at a meeting of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco on the ——— day of May, 1927.

Ayes: ——— Supervisors.
Noes: ——— Supervisors.
Attest:

.....,
Secretary to the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, California.

Referred to Public Utilities Committee.

Public Utility Commission as Recommended by City Attorney.

May 16, 1927.

Honorable Board of Supervisors,
City Hall, City.

Dear Sirs:

Pursuant to the resolution passed by your Honorable Board, I have prepared and herewith transmit to you a Charter amendment providing for a Public Utility Commission, to have control of all municipally owned utilities and especially of our water, light, heat and transportation systems.

In the preparation of this amendment, I experienced no small difficulty, for the reason that your resolution was silent as to any definite plan for such a Commission, and contained no statement which would give to me the desire of your Board as to the number of members which would comprise the Commission, how they should be selected, what their compensation should be, nor what power should be vested in them. Pursuant to a subsequent resolution of your Board, I did, however, consult with various civic organizations relative to the provisions and form of the requested amendment. In this regard I obtained the views of representatives of the Bar Association of San Francisco, of the Labor Council of San Francisco, of the Building Trades Council of San Francisco, of the Chamber of Commerce and of the Bureau of Governmental Research, and take this opportunity of expressing my sincere thanks to these organizations and to those who represented them in conference with me.

While the representatives of the organizations mentioned were in accord that a Public Utility Commission should have charge of our municipally owned utilities, there was some divergence of opinion as to the number of persons who should constitute the Commission, how it should be appointed and what its powers should be. Some were in favor that the membership of the Commission should be limited

to three, and that those appointed should give their entire time to its duties and receive a very substantial compensation for their service. Others favored a larger membership, and felt that those appointed should give their time to the duties of the office without cost, and that the real work should be performed by a general manager, who would receive a substantial salary. Others felt that the Commission should consist of five members, who should receive a nominal compensation, based upon attendance at meetings, and that a general manager should have the active charge of the affairs of the Commission, the latter body acting practically in the same capacity as would a board of directors of a private corporation.

As to the method of appointment, all practically agreed that the appointment should rest with the Mayor, but some felt that the power given to the Mayor in this regard should be subject to limitation and that the appointees made by him should receive the confirmation of the Board of Supervisors.

In regard to the rate fixing power of the Commission, some of the representatives expressed the view that the Board of Supervisors should have authority to review the action of the Commission in the matter of rates to be charged by the various utilities, while others were in favor of vesting complete authority in the Commission on this point.

It has not been possible for me to harmonize all of the divergent opinions expressed by those consulted. I have, however, adopted many of their suggestions on the matters discused. On points on which we could not agree I have to a large measure been guided by provisions of utility acts of other cities, which seem to have provided an efficient method which is giving satisfaction in those cities. In lieu of both suggestions from those consulted and provisions of other acts, I have in many cases substituted my own views on the particular subject, believing that the latter are better adapted to San Francisco.

Sincerely yours,

JNO. J. O'TOOLE,
City Attorney.

City Attorney O'Toole explained the provisions of the proposed Charter amendment, which, with some minor changes, was, on motion of Supervisor Havenner, *ordered submitted* to the electors at the next general election, November 8, 1928, by the following vote, to-wit:

PROPOSED CHARTER AMENDMENT.

Charter Amendment No. XII-A.

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to amend the Charter of said City and County by adding a new article thereto to be designated as Article XII-A, relating to the operation, maintenance, control and construction of municipally owned public utilities.

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby submits to the qualified electors of said City and County at the general election to be held on the 6th day of November, 1928, a proposal to amend the Charter as follows:

That a new article be added to said Charter to be designated Article XII-A to read as follows:

ARTICLE XII-A.

Utilities Commission.

Section 1. The construction, management, supervision, maintenance, extension, operation and control of all public utilities and other properties, used, owned, acquired, leased or constructed by the City and County of San Francisco, as well as all extensions, additions and betterments thereto, for the purpose of supplying to the said City and County and to its residents and inhabitants, and to territory outside the limits of said City and County and to the residents and inhabitants thereof, with water, light, heat, power or transportation shall be exclusively vested in a Utility Commission of three members, anything in this Charter to the contrary notwithstanding. The Commission shall have power to make all rules and regulations not inconsistent with the provisions of this Article which it deems necessary or proper for the conduct of its business and the regulation of the matters herein committed to its charge. The Commission shall observe all City and County ordinances, and the regulation of the Department of Public Works relative to utility openings, in streets or public places, structures and poles in streets and other public places, as well as all ordinances and regulations relative to barricades, construction lights, refilling excavations and replacing and maintaining street pavements; and in connection with all of such matters, the said Commission shall be subject to the same inspection rules and pay fees to the proper department in the same manner

and at the same rates as any private person or corporation.

Appointment and Compensation.

Section 2. Members of the Utility Commission shall be appointed by the Mayor and said appointment shall be confirmed by the Board of Supervisors as herein provided, and, may be removed from office in the same manner as elective officers of the City and County, and not otherwise. The terms of members of the Commission shall be six years from and after the date of their respective appointments, provided that the persons first appointed as members of said Commission shall by lot classify their respective terms of office so that the term of one of said Commissioners shall expire at twelve o'clock noon on the first Monday after the first day of January, 1931, and that the term of another of said Commissioners shall expire at twelve o'clock noon on the first Monday after the first day of January, 1933, and that the term of the third of said Commissioners shall expire at twelve o'clock noon on the first Monday after the first day of January, 1935, and upon the expiration of the term of each of said Commissioners, the Mayor shall appoint his successor to serve for the full term of six years from the said first Monday after the first day of January of said year in which said appointment is made. Vacancies occurring on said Commission from any cause shall be filled by appointment to be made in the same manner as are full-term appointments, provided that those appointed to fill a vacancy on said Commission shall serve only for the unexpired term of the person whom they succeed.

Appointments shall be made as follows: Within fifteen days after this amendment becomes effective, the Mayor shall appoint the three members of said Commission, and shall at the meeting of the Board of Supervisors held next after making said appointment submit in writing to the said Board the names of the persons appointed to said Commission, and the said Board shall by a vote of a majority of all of its members at a meeting of said Board to be held within thirty days thereafter, by resolution confirm or reject the appointment of any or all of said persons so appointed. Should said appointment or appointments be confirmed as aforesaid by said Board of Supervisors said person or persons so confirmed shall become a member or members of said Commission for the respective term for which they may have been appointed, but should said persons or any of them be rejected by said Board, the said Board shall cause written notice of its action to be given to the Mayor, who shall within fifteen days thereafter make a new appointment or appointments in the place or places of the one or ones failing to receive the confirmation of said Board of Supervisors, and in writing certify said appointment or appointments to said Board, and said Board shall either confirm or reject said appointment or appointments as hereinbefore set forth. That in filling any vacancy occurring on said Commission either by resignation, expiration of term, or otherwise the same procedure shall be had by the Mayor and by the Board of Supervisors as in the case of original appointment. That should the said Board of Supervisors fail or neglect for a period of thirty days after receiving written notice from the Mayor of any appointment or appointments to said Commission, to reject said appointment or appointments the said failure shall be deemed to be a confirmation of said appointment or appointments. That during the time intervening between the appointment of a member of said Commission and his rejection by the Board of Supervisors, he shall be deemed to be a member of said Commission and shall be vested with all of the powers incident thereto. Any person appointed to said Commission whose appointment shall be rejected by said Board of Supervisors, shall not be eligible for appointment to said Commission for one year after said rejection.

The compensation of members of said Commission shall be twenty dollars for each meeting of the Commission or of a committee thereof actually attended by said members, provided that the aggregate amound paid to any member for his service as a member of said Commission shall not exceed two thousand dollars per year. Each member of the Commission shall furnish and file an official bond in the sum of twenty-five thousand dollars, duly conditioned as in this Charter elsewhere provided. The premium on said bonds so furnished as well as the compensation to be paid to the members of said Commission shall be paid out of public utility revenues.

Organization and Meetings.

Section 3. Immediately after it is first constituted and thereafter on the first Monday after the first day of January of each year, the

Utility Commission shall meet for the purpose of organization. At such meeting the Commission shall elect one of its members as president, who shall hold such office for the ensuing year and until the election of his successor. The Commission shall thereafter hold regular weekly public meetings at a designated time and place. The Commission shall adopt its own rules of procedure and shall keep a record of its proceedings and the name of each member attending each meeting held. A majority of the Commission shall constitute a quorum for the transaction of business. The Commission may appoint a secretary, subject to the civil service provisions of this Charter and shall prescribe the duties of such secretary and fix his compensation. Special meetings may be called by the president or by two members of the Commission on twelve hours' written notice, which shall be served personally on each member or left at his usual place of residence, which notice shall state the subject to be considered at the special meeting, and no other subject shall be there considered.

Subordinates, Assistants and Employees, and Their Compensation.

Section 4-a. The Commission shall appoint a Manager of Utilities, who shall be the chief executive and administrative official of the Commission, and may create such departments or bureaus as it deems necessary, and appoint a sub-manager or directing head of each of said departments or bureaus. The Manager of Utilities need not at the date of his appointment be a resident of the City and County of San Francisco, and said manager, as well as the managers of said bureaus or departments, shall not be subject to the civil service provisions of the Charter, and shall hold office at the pleasure of the Commission. The Manager of Utilities shall, subject to the control of the Commission, have the management of all public utilities operated, owned or controlled by the City and County, and each submanager or directing head of each bureau or department shall have sole executive control of his department and subordinates.

b. The Commission may also, subject to the civil service provisions of the Charter, appoint an auditor and prescribe his duties; provided, that nothing herein contained shall be construed as abrogating or interfering with the authority and control under the Charter of the City Auditor and City Treasurer over the receipt and disbursement of public funds which may come under the Commission's jurisdiction; provided, further, that all demands on funds in the City and County Treasury which are placed under the jurisdiction of the Utility Commission by the provisions of this article shall require the approval of the Commission, which shall be evidenced by the signatures of its secretary and auditor, or of such other officials of each utility as the Commission may designate before being allowed by the City and County Auditor and paid by the Treasurer.

c. The Commission shall appoint such other employees, subordinates and assistants as may be necessary for the proper conduct of the affairs and properties hereby committeed to said Commission, and all of such appointments shall be made in conformity with, and the appointees shall be entitled to the benefit of the provisions of Article XIII of the Charter, except as in this article specifically excepted. All employees of the Board of Public Works who are engaged in public utility work at the time this amendment becomes effective shall be taken over by the Commission, under the classification now held by them, or under classification equivalent thereto, and all employees employed in the operating service of any public utility which may be or may have been acquired by the City and County shall be governed by the provisions of Subsection 2, Subdivision B of Section 11 of Article XIII of the Charter. Provided, that employees engaged in construction work outside of the limits of the City, shall be exempt from civil service regulaitons, and all employees whose duties are performed outside of the limits of the City and County shall not be subject to the Charter provisions regarding residence within said City and County; and provided further, that the Manager of Utilities and the sub-manager or directing head of each department or bureau may appoint one personal secretary or confidential clerk who shall not be subject to the civil service provisions of the Charter; and provided further, that said civil service provisions of the Charter shall not apply to persons employed for temporary service in positions requiring high technical skill.

d. The salaries, wages or other compensation of all persons em-

ployed by the Commission or engaged in work under its direction shall be fixed by the Commission, and the Commission may also fix and determine working conditions of said employees, provided that all regulations provided by law and by this Charter relative to hours of labor shall apply to all employees of said Commission.

e. The Commission may require a bond, in such amount as it shall deem proper, from any employee, which said bond shall be duly conditioned for the faithful performance of the duties of such employee, and the Commission may provide that the premium on said bond be paid out of the revenues of the particular utility in which said employee is serving, and where said employee is rendering service to more than one utility, the cost of said bond shall be equitably prorated among the utilities receiving the benefit of said service.

Powers and Duties of Managers and Department Heads.

Section 5-a. The Manager of Utilities shall possess the necessary executive, administratrive and technical qualifications, and shall hold office and may be removed at the pleasure of the Commission. He shall have full power to administer the affairs of his department as chief executive officer, and may with the consent of the Commission act as the head of any department or bureau created by the Commission. He shall, when possible, attend all meetings of the Commission, and shall at least once in each month, and more frequently if required by the Comsion, make a report in writing to the Commission of all matters connected with the work of the Commission. He shall execute all orders of the Commission when directed to do so and supervise the work of all departments under the jurisdiction of the Commission. He may suspend any employee of the Commission save the secretary and auditor, for cause or for disciplinary purposes, and shall report said suspension and the reasons therefor to the Commission at its meeting immediately following said suspension, and said Commission may on the report of said manager order that charges be filed against said person so suspended, or may continue said suspension in force for a period of not more than thirty days from the date thereof, for disciplinary purposes and said order as to said suspension shall be final. Should charges be ordered filed against said suspended employee, the said Manager shall file the same, and the same shall be heard and determined by the Commission, after reasonable opportunity to the accused to be heard, and all of Article XIII of the Charter relative to trials and appeals shall apply to said hearing. Provided, however, that any and all employees who are not entitled to the benefits of said Article XIII may be dismissed by the Commission or by the Manager on the order of the Commission without trial.

b. Submanagers and department heads shall possess the necessary executive and technical qualifications to qualify them for their respective departments or bureaus, and shall conduct the affairs of their respective bureaus or departments under the order and direction of the Manager of Utilities, and shall as often as required by said Manager or by the Commission make reports in writing to said Manager or to the Commission on all matters pertaining to said departments.

Legal Department.

Section 6. The City Attorney shall be the legal advisor of the Commission and shall render such legal advice and services as the Commission may deem necessary, and shall prosecute and defend, as the Commission may require, any and all actions and proceedings involving matters under its jurisdiction. He shall have authority, with the prior approval of the Commission, to compromise, settle or dismiss any litigation or proceedings which may be pending for, or on behalf, or against said Commission relative to any matter or property under its jurisdiction.

The City Attorney shall detail such assistants or deputies as may be necessary to conduct the legal work of said Commission, and the compensation of said deputies and assistants so detailed shall be fixed by the Commission and shall be paid from the revenues of the utilities under the jurisdiction of said Commission, and said deputies or assistants so detailed shall be in addition to those now allowed to said City Attorney by the Charter or ordinance.

Purchases and Contracts.

Section 7. The Commission shall have power to make contracts for work, supplies, materials or equipment when the cost of these can be met from current public utility revenues or from other moneys appropriated for the use of the Commission by the Board of Supervis-

ors or from the proceeds of bonds authorized for the construction or acquisition of public utilities. The Manager of Utilities shall have the power to make requisition for or order supplies, material or equipment required for the operation or maintenance of any utility under the control of the Commission; and, on the recommendation of the Manager of Utilities, the Commission may, by resolution, delegate such power with such restrictions as it may deem necessary, to the directing head of each utility.

The Commission shall organize a purchasing department. All materials, supplies and equipment shall be purchased under contract; provided, however, that upon the certification of the head of a utility that the necessities of construction or operation so require, and with the approval of the Manager of Utilities, the materials, supplies or equipment may be purchased in the open market at the lowest price obtainable. The Commission shall also have the right to call upon the general purchaser of supplies of the City and County government for the purchase of supplies which are included within the general supply contracts of the City and County, payment for the same to be made out of appropriate public utility funds.

The Commission, on the recommendation of the Manager of Utilities, may authorize the sale or transfer of any materials, supplies or equipment under its control when no longer used or useful for its purposes, and the proceeds of said sale or transfer shall be placed to the credit of the utility controlling the same.

All construction work shall be done by public contract unless the Commission upon recommendation of the Manager of Utilities shall determine that the work in question can be more advantageously carried on by the Commission's employees.

The Commission may prescribe rules of procedure which it shall follow in letting contracts for the purchase of materials, supplies and equipment and for construction work and amend the same from time to time as it may deem proper; provided, that such rules shall provide for not less than five days' public advertisement, for sealed bids on such contracts, for the award of contracts to the lowest responsible bidder, and shall require of all contractors adequate surety bonds in an amount to be fixed by the Commission in each case for the protection of the City and County in the performance of such contracts and for the protection of all persons, firms or corporations furnishing labor or materials in connection with the same. Suitable provision may also be made for the rejection of all bids and readvertisement and for the rejection of the proposal of any bidder, who in the opinion of the Commission is not competent to fulfill the contract if awarded. All contracts shall be signed by the president or acting president, and secretary or acting secretary of the Commission.

Additions, Betterments and Extensions.

Section 8. The Commission shall locate and determine the character and type of all construction and additions, betterments and extensions to utilities under its control, and shall determine the policy for such construction or the making of such additions, betterments and extensions out of public funds under its jurisdiction; provided, that in each such case it shall secure the recommendation of the Manager of Utilities, which recommendation shall be presented in writing and shall include analyses of cost, service and estimated revenues of all proposed or feasibly alternatives in cases where it is deemed that such alternatives exist.

Accounts, Revenues and Expenditures.

Section 9. Proper accounts shall be maintained in the form prescribed by the State Railroad Commission for public utilities of like character, and other necessary accounts, and accounting forms and procedure shall be maintained as prescribed by the Commission. Such accounts shall be kept separately for each utility under the control of the Commission. Monthly statements of receipts and expenditures shall be submitted to the Board of Supervisors by the Utility Commission, not later than its second regular meeting in the next succeeding month. Annual reports in the form required by the Railroad Commission of the State for privately-owned utilities of like character shall be prepared separately for each utility and filed with the Board of Supervisors and in the office of the Utility Commission. Such reports shall contain such other information, and be in such detail, as the Board of Supervisors may request, and shall be printed by the Commission for public distribution. The salaries and general expenses of the Commission, not chargeable

to a specific utility, shall be apportioned fairly among the utilities under its charge, in such manner as the Commission shall deem proper.

Budget and Appropriations.

Section 10. The Manager of Utilities shall, not later than April 15th of each year, file with the Utility Commission a budget estimate for the ensuing fiscal yaer, of anticipated revenues and needed appropriations, separately for each utility or service under the control of the Commission. These shall be submitted in such detail as to furnish a basis and guide for accounting and expenditures during the succeeding fiscal year. The Commission may modify such budget estimates in any manner that it may deem proper and shall by public advertisement give all interested persons an opportunity to be heard at one or more meetings of the Commission, with reference to the amounts and purposes of expenditure to be included in such budget. Upon completion of said hearings the Commission shall by resolution adopt a budget for each utility under its jurisdiction and transmit a copy thereof to the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors and another copy to the Mayor. After adoption of said budget, expenditures for the fiscal year covered thereby shall not be in excess of the budget appropriations for the respective items thereof. Under no circumstances shall the budget of any utility include a total of items of expenditure and reserves in excess of the estimated revenues to be derived from said utility during the succeeding fiscal year, unless after written request to the Board of Supervisors from the Commission, the latter tribunal shall authorize by ordinance a specified amount to be transferred to the Commission's jurisdiction from appropriate municipal funds under control of the Board of Supervisors; provided, also, that this limitation on expenditures shall not apply to expenditures for new construction or acquisitions which may be lawfully paid out of the proceeds of bond sales or accumulated surpluses under the Commission's jurisdiction. The funds of one utility shall not be diverted to any other utility, but if a surplus is accumulated out of the revenues of a given utility in excess of the maximum surplus which may be lawfully retained to its credit as in this article provided, such surplus shall automatically pass to and become part of the General Fund of the City and County and shall be so credited on the books of the Commission and of the City and County Auditor; provided that the Commission may with the consent of all of its members adopt a program for the accumulation of a surplus to cover a period not exceeding ten years, for the purpose of financing extensions and additions to existing utilities out of said accumulation, and when such program is adopted by said Commission, no transfer of surplus to the General Fund shall be made until said surplus fund exceeds the total sum estimated in said program as being necessary for such extensions or additions; provided that no funds accumulated from one utility shall be used for financing extensions or additions to another, and provided futher that when said program is adopted pursuant to the consent of said Commission, and a fund or any part thereof is accumulated, neither said fund nor any part thereof shall be used for any purpose other than that provided for in said program without the approval of the Board of Supervisors expressed by ordinance authorizing such other use.

Bond Sales.

Section 11. The Utility Commission is hereby vested with full and exclusive authority to sell or order the sale of bonds which have heretofore been or may hereafter be authorized by the voters of the City and County for the acquisition, construction, extension, or completion of any public utility or utilities; provided that such sale shall otherwise be conducted in accordance with the procedure specified by this Charter for the sale of bonds. For the purpose of such sales the Secretary of the Commission is hereby vested with the authority and duties conferred upon the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors by Section 10 of Article XII of this Charter and the Commission is authorized to perform all acts and exercise all powers conferred upon the Board of Supervisors and the Mayor by Article XII of the Charter, with reference to the sale of such public utility bonds, and where the concurrence of fourteen votes of the Supervisors is required, such act shall require the unanimous vote of the Commission. Each of such public utility bonds shall be signed by the Mayor, the President of the Utility Commission and the City Treasurer, and shall be countersigned by the City Auditor. The signature of the Mayor and the President of the Public Utility Commission may be by facsimile. The provisions of Section 11a of Article XII of the Charter shall apply to all such signatures.

Provision for Interest and Sinking Fund.

Section 12. Notwithstanding any other provision of this Charter, where bonds have been authorized for the acquisition, construction or completion of a public utility or of extensions thereto, and have been sold by the Commission, interest which may become due on said bonds during the actual period of construction of said utility, or of extensions to an existing utility as the case may be, and during the period of six months immediately following the completion of the same, may by a unanimous vote of the Commissioners be ordered paid out of the proceeds of sale of the bonds authorized and sold for such construction purposes. No sale of bonds shall be made by the Commission when there are insufficient revenues on hand in the Treasury to the credit of the bond interest and redemption fund of the utility for which said bonds have been authorized from which to meet the interest and redemption charges on said bonds during the ensuing twelve months, unless and until the Board of Supervisors shall authorize such sale by ordinance, or, unless and until the Commission shall have appropriated and set aside out of the proceeds to be realized from the sale of said bonds a sum sufficient to meet the interest and sinking fund requirements as hereinabove provided for; provided further, that nothing contained in this section shall in any way relieve the City and County from liability to the holders of said bonds for the payment of interest and principal thereof when and as the same become due, and in the event of any failure, refusal or neglect on the part of the Commission to make provision for payment of bond interest and redemption as hereinabove provided for, they shall be forthwith removed from office and the Board of Supervisors shall provide for the same as required under Sections 12 and 13 of Article XII of said Charter, and subject to the penalties prescribed in said sections.

Operating Expenses and Reserves.

Section 13. The receipts from each utility operated by the Commission shall be paid daily into the City Treasury and maintained in a special fund set aside for such utility. The Commission may from time to time make appropriations from said fund to the following purposes, in the order named, viz.:

(a) For payment of the operating expenses of such utility, including pension charges and compensation insurance;

(b) For repairs and maintenance;

(c) For depreciation and accident reserves as hereinafter described;

(d) For payment of interest and sinking fund on the bonds issued for the acquisition or construction of such utility and extensions thereto;

(e) For reserve funds out of which to construct or acquire extensions and betterments to said utility;

(f) For a surplus reserve.

Whenever said surplus reserve shall amount to the equivalent of the estimated operating expenses, reserve allotments and interest charges for the current fiscal year, the excess shall be subject to automatic transfer to the General Fund, as provided in Section 10 hereof, and subject to the exception therein mentioned.

The Commission shall maintain pension and compensation insurance accounts for each utility, and shall cause an estimate to be made annually of the proper reserves required for each such account, and any surpluses in any such account may be transferred to the surplus account of the utility concerned.

The Commission shall, on the written recommendation of the Manager of Utilities, which recommendation shall be based on an appraisal of the life of the several classes of property for each utility, determine the amount of a reasonable depreciation reserve for each utility to be set up out of the revenues of such utility to provide for depreciation and obsolescence as they accrue. There shall be credited monthly to the depreciation reserve for each utility, one-twelfth of the amount required annually, as so determined. Pending such appraisal, the monthly appropriations to be made to the depreciation reserve shall be at the rate of not less than four per cent of the historical cost of the utility. Such depreciation reserves shall be used only for replacement due to depreciation or obsolescence; provided, however, that every five years the Commission shall cause a reappraisal to be made of depreciation reserves, replacement expenditures therefrom, and probable useful life of each of the several kinds of property, and on the basis of such reappraisal may increase or diminish the amount to be appropriated to the depreciation reserve.

The Commission shall cause a reasonable accident reserve to be created for each utility by monthly credits thereto from the revenues of such utility, and shall reappraise

this at five-year intervals, and may increase or diminish the amount of payments thereto on the basis of such reappraisal. The accident reserve fund for every utility shall be used only for the payment of accident and damage claims against such utility.

Rates.

Section 14. The Commission shall have the power to fix and adjust rates or fares for the furnishing of public utility service by the City and County; to collect, and by appropriate means, including the discontinuation of service to delinquent consumers, or by civil action in the name of the City and County, enforce the collection of such rates and charges; and to adjust and settle all complaints and claims of consumers or the public with reference to service credits or refunds; provided, that such rates may be fixed at varying scales, for different classes of service or consumers, which said rates must include rates for services rendered any department or other utilities of the City and County, the aggregate revenue from which for each specific utility shall represent not less than the actual total cost of the service of that utility as determined by the Commission; provided further, that when the Utility Commission on the basis of a financial analysis in writing by the Manager of Utilities, may recommend to the Board of Supervisors a scale of rates under which estimated aggregate revenue from a specific utility may represent less than the actual cost of the service rendered by such utility, the Board of Supervisors, by a two-thirds vote of all members, may authorize such scale of rates, and must, at the same time, specify the manner in which the estimated annual operating deficits will be met. Such rate schedule may then be adopted by the Commission. The Commission may provide for the rendition of utility services without the limits of the City and County at rates which may include proportionate compensation for interest during construction of the utility which may have been paid out of the revenues derived from taxation in the City and County. Services rendered by any other City and County department to any publicly-owned utility under the jurisdiction of the Commission shall be paid for by the Commission at cost, out of the revenues of the utility served. Rates for services rendered by any publicly-owned utility to the City and County or any department thereof, or to any other utility of the City and County, shall be paid into the fund of the specific utility rendering such services, out of appropriate funds of the City and County, or of the department or utility using such services.

Lease and Sale of Lands.

Section 15. The Commission may, with the approval of the Board of Supervisors, lease, for purposes not in conflict with public utility uses, any lands controlled by it or placed in its charge, for terms not exceeding ten years. The Commission may, in the name of the City and County, acquire and take by purchase, lease, condemnation or otherwise, and hold any or all property situated within or without the limits of the City and County that it may determine to be necessary for the construction, maintenance, extension or operation of the works under its jurisdiction and control. It shall be the duty of the Board of Supervisors upon its receipt of a resolution of the Commission that the acquisition of any property or properties are necessary for the conduct, operation, maintenance or extension of any utility under the jurisdiction of the Commission to take the necessary legislative action by resolution or ordinance determining that public interest and necessity require the taking of said property or properties for the purpose specified in said resolution of said Commission and that said property or properties are necessary for said utility, and the said resolution of said Commission shall be deemed to be conclusive proof to the Board of Supervisors as to the necessity of said property for the said use specified therein.

The Commission may, with the prior approval of the Board of Supervisors, expressed by ordinance, on terms to be expressed in said ordinance, sell such lands or other property under its control as are no longer used or useful for its purposes, or may, upon like authority, transfer such lands or other property to any other department of the City and County government, upon there being transferred to the special fund of the utility concerned such funds as will represent proper and adequate consideration for the land or other property transferred, as determined in the ordinance authorizing the same. The Commission shall have full power and authority to enter into such arrangements and agreements as it shall deem proper for the joint use with any other person, firm or corporation owning the same, or having jurisdiction of the same, of

poles, conduits, towers, stations, aqueducts, reservoirs and tracks for the operation of any of the utilities under its jurisdiction, and may make such arrangements as it shall deem proper for the exchange of transfer privileges with any privately-owned transportation company or system which will tend for the betterment of its service.

Pensions.

Section 16. All employees of the utilities under the jurisdiction of the Commission shall be entitled to the benefits of the provisions of Article XVII of the Charter, relative to the retirement of aged and disabled employees, and for the purpose of enjoying the benefits provided for in said article all employees of said utilities shall be deemed to be public employees of the City and County, and all amounts to be contributed to said fund to equal the amounts contributed by said employees thereto shall be paid from the particular utility to which said employee is attached, and should any employee be rendering service to more than one utility, the amount contributed to equal said employee's contribution shall be divided among the utilities in which he is serving, in such porportion as the Commission shall determine.

Additional Powers.

Section 17. Nothing in this article contained shall be construed to be a limitation upon the power which may be conferred upon said Utility Commission or upon the powers which may be exercised by said Commission, and the Board of Supervisors, by ordinance adopted in the manner provided in the Charter, may confer additional and other powers on said Commission incident to those herein conferred, and may place under the control of said Commission the duty of lighting all public streets, lanes, places, parks and public buildings, any other provision of the Charter to the contrary notwithstanding.

Deposit of Surplus Money.

Section 18. At any time that there is on deposit in the office of the Treasurer of the City and County funds belonging to said Commission or to the utilities under its control, in an amount greater than is necessary for the immediate needs of said utilities, the said Treasurer shall, upon the direction of the Commission, offer so much of said funds as said Commission shall direct for deposit in such bank or banks as shall accept the same, said deposits to be made only upon the security provided for in and pursuant to the provisions of Section 2, Chapter III of Article IV of the Charter, and all interest received by the said Treasurer upon the said funds so deposited shall be credited to the particular utility to which the said funds deposited may belong.

Constitutionality.

Section 19. If any section, subsection, sentence, clause or phrase of this article is for any reason held to be unconstitutional or invalid, such decision shall not affect the validity of the remaining portions of this article. The people of the City and County of San Francisco hereby declare that they would have ratified and adopted and the Legislature hereby declares that it would have approved this article and each section, subsection, sentence, clause and phrase thereof as an amendment to the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, irrespective of the fact that any one or more other sections, subsections, sentences, clauses or phrases be declared unconstitutional.

Name and Service of Process.

Section 20. The Commission created herein shall be known as "Utility Commission of San Francisco" and may sue or be sued under said name. Service of process in all matters affecting said Commission, or the utilities under its jurisdiction, may be made by service upon any one Commissioner and on the Secretary of said Commission.

Repeal of Conflicting Provisions.

Sectian 21. All provisions of the City Charter in conflict with this article are superseded by the provisions hereof to the extent of such conflict. Subdivision 8 of Section 9 of Chapter I of Article VI and Section 16 of Article XII of this Charter are hereby repealed. All functions now required to be performed under Article XII of the Charter by the Board of Public Works shall, upon ratification of this amendment, devolve upon and be performed thereafter by the Utility Commission. All duties required to be performed by the City Engineer under the provisions of Article VI and Article XII, in so far as they relate to public utilities, shall, upon ratification of this amendment, devolve upon and be performed by the Manager of Utilities herein provided for.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers,

Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Giving Notice of Special Election, Bernal Cut Bonds.

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Resolution No. 27282 (New Series), as follows:

Giving notice of a special election to be held in the City and County of San Francisco, on Tuesday, the fourteenth day of June, 1927, for the purpose of submitting to the voters of the City and County of San Francisco a proposition to incur a bonded debt of the City and County of San Francisco to the amount of one million four hundred thousand ($1,400,000) dollars for the acquisition, construction and completion of a permanent improvement known as the Bernal Cut, which consists of a cut, or excavation with approach fills, providing an improved route for public highway purposes in the City and County, which begins at or near the intersection of Randall and Dolores streets and extending southerly to a point at or near the intersection of San Jose avenue and Diamond street in the City and County of San Francisco, including approaches, structures, pavements, curbs, sidewalks, sewers, drainage facilities, the readjustment of intersecting and adjacent streets and other improvements, and the purchase of necessary lands.

Resolved by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Notice is hereby given that pursuant to the laws of the State of California, the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco and the provision of Bill No. 7988, Ordinance No. 7512 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors, passed April 25, 1927, reference to said ordinance for further particulars being here made, a special election will be held in the City and County of San Francisco on Tuesday, the fourteenth day of June, 1927, for the purpose of submitting to the electors of said City and County the following proposition, to-wit: The acquisition, construction and completion of a permanent improvement, to-wit, a cut, or excavation with approach fills, providing an improved route for public highway purposes in the City and County of San Francisco, known as the Bernal Cut, which improvement begins at or near the intersection of Randall and Dolores streeets and extending southerly to a point at or near the intersection of San Jose avenue and Diamond street in the City and County of San Francisco, including approaches, structures, pavements, curbs, sidewalks, sewers, drainage facilities, the readjustment of intersecting and adjacent streets and other improvements, and the purchase of necessary lands, and the incurring of a bonded indebtedness of the City and County of San Francisco to the amount of one million four hundred thousand ($1,400,000) dollars for the acquisition, construction and completion of the improvement described herein.

Section 2. If, at such special election, it shall appear that two-thirds of all the votes cast thereat were in favor of and authorized the incurring of a bonded debt for the purpose set forth in the proposition described in Section 1 of this ordinance, then such proposition shall be deemed to have been accepted by the electors and bonds will be issued to defray the cost of the permanent improvement described therein. Such bonds shall be of the form and character known as "serials." All of said bonds shall be dated July 1, 1927, shall bear interest at the rate of 4½ per centum per annum, payable semi-annually, shall be of the denomination of one thousand dollars each, and the principal and interest thereof shall be payable in gold coin of the United States. Said bonds shall be called "Bernal Cut Bonds."

Bonds issued for the purpose stated shall be numbered from 1 to 1400, both inclusive, and shall be payable seventy thousand ($70,000) dollars thereof five years from the date of said bonds, beginning with the lowest numbers, and seventy thousand ($70,000) dollars thereof of the next higher numbers on the same day in each succeeding year until all of said bonds shall be paid.

Section 3. Said bonds and coupons shall be in substantially the following form:

United States of America.

State of California.

City and County of San Francisco.

Bernal Cut Bond.

No. —— $1,000.00

For value received, the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, organized and existing under the laws of the State of California, hereby acknowledges itself indebted and promises to pay to the bearer on the first day of ————, 19——, one thousand dollars, with interest thereon at the rate of 4½ per centum per annum, payable semi-annually January 1 to July 1, on presentation and surrender of the coupons hereto at-

tached as they respectively become due, both principal and interest being payable in gold coin of the United States at the office of the Treasurer of said City and County, or, at the option of the holder, at the fiscal agency of said City and County of San Francisco in the City and State of New York.

This bond is issued under and pursuant to the Constitution and statutes of the State of California and the Charter of said City and County of San Francisco and amendments thereto, and under and pursuant to ordinances and proceedings of said City and County duly adopted and taken, and a vote and assent of more than two-thirds of all the qualified electors of said City and County voting at a special election duly and legally called and held for that purpose.

It is hereby certified, recited and declared that all acts, conditions and things required by law to exist, happen and be performed precedent to and in the issuance of this bond have existed, happened and been performed in due time, form and manner as required by law, and that the amount of this bond, together with all other indebtedness of said City and County, does not exceed any limit prescribed by the Constitution or statutes of said State or Charter of said City and County, and that provision has been made as required by the Constitution and statutes of said State and the Charter of said City and County for the collection of an annual tax sufficient to pay the interest on this bond as it falls due, and also provision to constitute a sinking fund for the payment of the principal of this bond on or before maturity. The full faith and credit of said City and County are hereby pledged for the punctual payment of the principal and interest of this bond.

This bond may be converted into a registered bond upon presentation to the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco, in which event such Treasurer shall cut off and cancel the coupons of this bond, and shall signa statement, stamped, printed or written upon the back or face of the bond to the effect that this bond is registered in the name of the owner and that thereafter the interest and principal of this bond are payable to the registered owner. Thereafter and from time to time this bond may be transferred by such registered owner in person or by attorney duly authorized on presentation of this bond to the Treasurer, and the bond be again registered as before, a similar statement being stamped, printed or written thereon.

This bond is exempt from all taxation within the State of California.

In witness whereof, said City and County of San Francisco has caused this bond to be executed under its corporate seal, signed by its Mayor and Treasurer and countersigned by its Auditor, and has caused the interest coupons hereto attached to be signed with the engraved or lithographed signature of its Treasurer, and this bond to be dated the first day of July, 1927.

....., Mayor.

....., Treasurer.

Countersigned:

....., Auditor.

Form of Coupon.

No. ——. $———.

On ——— 1, 19—, the City and County of San Francisco, California, will pay to bearer at the office of the Treasurer of said City and County, or at the option of the holder at the fiscal agency of the City and County of San Francisco, in the City and State of New York, ——— dollars ($———) in gold coin of the United States, being six months' interest then due on its bond dated July 1, 1927, number ——.

....., Treasurer.

Form of Registration.

San Francisco, ———, 19—.

This bond is registered pursuant to Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, in the name of ——— and the interest and principal thereof are hereafter payable to such owner.

....., Treasurer.

Section 4. The amount of tax levy to be made for the payment of said one million four hundred thousand dollars bonds issued under said proposition shall be the sum of sixty-three thousand dollars for the first five years from the date of said bonds to pay the annual interest on said bonds, and in season to pay such interest as it becomes due, and for the sixth year after the date of said bonds the sum of fifty-nine thousand eight hundred fifty dollars to pay and in season to pay the interest on such of said bonds as remain outstanding after the seventy thousand dollars thereof due five years from their date have been paid, and for the seventh year after the date of said bonds the sum of fifty-six thou-

sand seven hundred dollars to pay and in season to pay the interest on such of said bonds as remain outstanding after the seventy thousand dollars thereof due six years from their date have been paid, and so on, a sum each year for eighteen succeeding years until said bonds are all paid, sufficient for interest and in season to pay interest on all of said bonds outstanding, which sum for interest will diminish each year by the amount of three thousand one hundred fifty dollars by reason of the payment each year beginning five years from date of said bonds of seventy thousand dollars of said bonds, and the sum of seventy thousand dollars each year beginning four years from the date of said bonds to pay and in season to pay the principal of such bonds as they respectively become due, and continuing each succeeding year for nineteen years until the principal of all of said bonds has been paid.

The purpose and intent of the foregoing tax provisions are, and it is hereby expressly provided, that at the time of levying the municipal tax, and in the manner provided for such tax levy, the Supervisors shall levy and collect annually a tax sufficient to pay the annual interest on such bonds, and also such part of the bonded indebtedness as will fall due within the succeeding fiscal year. Such taxes shall be in addition to all other taxes levied for municipal purposes, and shall be collected at the same time and in the same manner as other municipal taxes are collected.

Section 5. The special election hereby called and ordered to be held shall be held and conducted and the votes thereat received and canvassed, and the returns thereof made, and the result thereof ascertained, determined and declared as herein provided and according to the laws of the State of California providing for and governing elections in the City and County of San Francisco, and the polls for such election shall be and remain open during the time required by said laws.

The ballots to be used at said special election shall be such as may be required by law to be used thereat, and, in addition to any other matter required by law to be printed thereon, shall appear thereon the following:

"Bernal Cut"—To incur a bonded indebtedness in the sum of one million four hundred thousand ($1,400,000) dollars for the acquisition, construction and completion of a permanent improvement, to-wit, a cut, or excavation with approach fills, providing an improved route for public highway purposes in the City and County of San Francisco known as the Bernal Cut, which improvement begins at or near the intersection of Randall and Dolores streets and extending southerly to a point at or near the intersection of San Jose avenue and Diamond street in the City and County of San Francisco, including approaches, structures, pavements, curbs, sidewalks, sewers, drainage facilities, the readjustment of intersecting and adjacent streets and other improvements and the purchase of necessary lands.

To vote for the proposition and thereby authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness to the amount of and for the purpose stated herein stamp a cross (X) in the blank space to the right of the word "Yes". To vote against the proposition and thereby refuse to authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness to the amount of and for the purpose stated herein stamp a cross (X) in the blank space to the right of the word "No".

YES	
NO	

Bonds issued for the acquisition, construction and completion of the permanent improvement herein described shall bear interest at the rate of 4½ per centum per annum, payable semi-annually.

Section 6. Where voting machines are used at said special election the said voting machines shall be so arranged that any qualified elector may vote for the proposition by pulling down a lever over the word "Yes" under or near a statement of the proposed proposition appearing on cardboard, paper, or other material placed on the front of the machine and said act shall constitute a vote for the proposition, and by pulling down a lever over the word "No" under or near a statement of the proposed proposition appearing on cardboard, paper, or other material placed on the front of the machine shall constitute a vote against the proposition. Said voting machines and the preparation of the same are to be used in accordance with the provisions of Chapter 96 of the Statutes of 1923.

Each cross (X) stamped in the square to the right of the word "Yes" appearing on the printed ballot, where printed ballots are used, shall constitute a vote in favor of and authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness for the purpose set forth in the proposition and each cross (X) stamped in the square to the right of the word "No" shall be counted as a vote not in favor of, and a refusal to authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness for the purpose set forth in the proposition.

Section 7. The election precincts and the numbers, names and boundaries thereof for said special election, and the places of voting and the officers to conduct such election and all other necessary proceedings in that behalf, shall be respectively defined, designated, selected, appointed and had by the Board of Election Commissioners of the City and County of San Francisco, and said Board is hereby authorized and directed to procure and provide all supplies that may be necessary to properly and lawfully conduct such special election.

When the polls are closed the officers of election shall count the ballots cast at such election and canvass the votes cast respectively for and against the proposition herein stated and make return thereof in time, form and manner required for the counting, canvassing and returning of votes cast at other municipal elections held in the City and County of San Francisco. The Board of Election Commissioners shall, as soon as the said returns and ballots have been received by said Board, canvass said returns and declare the result thereof in the manner provided by law for canvassing returns and declaring results in other elections, and shall also certify said results to the Board of Supervisors.

Section 8. This resolution shall be published for at least fourteen days in the official newspaper.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Hayden—2.

Giving Notice of Special Election, War Memorial Buildings.

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Resolution No. 27283 (New Series), as follows:

Giving notice of a special election to be held in the City and County of San Francisco on Tuesday, the fourteenth day of June, 1927, for the purpose of submitting to the voters of the City and County of San Francisco a proposition to incur a bonded debt of the City and County of San Francisco to the amount of four million ($4,000,000) dollars for the purpose of the acquisition, construction, completion and equipment of permanent public buildings to be known as Memorial Halls in or adjacent to the Civic Center in the City and County of San Francisco to be used as a memorial hall for war veterans and for educational, recreational, entertainment and other municipal purposes, and the purchase of all equipment and furnishings necessary for said buildings.

Resolved, By the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Notice is hereby given that pursuant to the laws of the State of California, the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco and the provisions of Bill No. 8003, Ordinance No. 7516 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors, passed May 2, 1927, reference to said ordinance for further particulars being here made, a special election will be held in the City and County of San Francisco on Tuesday, the fourteenth day of June, 1927, for the purpose of submitting to the electors of said City and County the following propositions, to-wit: The acquisition, construction and completion of a permanent improvement consisting of public buildings in or adjacent to the Civic Center of the City and County of San Francisco to be used as a memorial hall for war veterans and for educational, recreational, entertainment and other municipal purposes, and the purchase of all equipment and furnishings necessary for said buildings, and the incurring of a bonded indebtedness of the City and County of San Francisco to the amount of four million ($4,000,000) dollars for the acquisition, construction and completion of the improvement described herein.

Section 2. If, at such special election, it shall appear that two-thirds of all the votes cast thereat were in favor of and authorized the incurring of a bonded debt for the purpose set forth in the proposition described in Section 1 of this ordinance, then such proposition shall be deemed to have been accepted by the electors and bonds will be issued to defray the cost of the permanent improvement described therein. Such bonds shall be of the

form and character known as "serials." All of said bonds shall be dated July 1, 1927, shall bear interest at the rate of 4½ per centum per annum, payable semi-annually, shall be of the denomination of one thousand dollars each, and the principal and interest thereof shall be payable in gold coin of the United States. Said bonds shall be called "Memorial Halls Bonds."

Bonds issued for the purpose stated shall be numbered from 1 to 4000, both inclusive, and shall be payable two hundred thousand ($200,000) dollars thereof five years from the date of said bonds, beginning with the lowest numbers, and two hundred thousand ($200,000) dollars thereof of the next higher numbers on the same day in each succeeding year until all of said bonds shall be paid.

Section 3. Said bonds and coupons shall be in substantially the following form.

The United States of America,
State of California,
City and County of San Francisco.
Memorial Halls Bond.

No. ——— $1,000.00

For value received, the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of California, hereby acknowledges itself indebted and promises to pay to the bearer, on the first day of ———, 19—, one thousand dollars, with interest thereon at the rate of 4½ per centum per annum, payable semi-annually January 1 and July 1, on presentation and surrender of the coupons hereto attached as they respectively become due, both principal and interest being payable in gold coin of the United States at the office of the Treasurer of said City and County, or, at the option of the holder, at the fiscal agency of said City and County of San Francisco in the City and State of New York.

This bond is issued under and pursuant to the Constitution and statutes of the State of California and the Charter of said City and County of San Francisco and amendments thereto, and under and pursuant to ordinances and proceedings of said City and County duly adopted and taken, and a vote and assent of more than two-thirds of all the qualified electors of said City and County voting at a special election duly and legally called and held for that purpose.

It is hereby certified, recited and declared that all acts, conditions and things required by law to exist, happen and be performed precedent to and in the issuance of this bond have existed, happened and been performed in due time, form and manner as required by law, and that the amount of this bond, together with all other indebtedness of said City and County, does not exceed any limit prescribed by the Constitution or statutes of said State or Charter of said City and County, and that provision has been made as required by the Constitution and Statutes of said State and the Charter of said City and County for the collection of an annual tax sufficient to pay the interest on this bond as it falls due, and also provision to constitute a sinking fund for the payment of the principal of this bond on or before maturity. The full faith and credit of said City and County are hereby pledged for the punctual payment of the principal and interest of this bond.

This bond may be converted into a registered bond upon presentation to the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco, in which event such Treasurer shall cut off and cancel the coupons of this bond, and shall sign a statement stamped, printed or written upon the back or face of the bond to the effect that this bond is registered in the name of the owner and that thereafter the interest and principal of this bond are payable to the registered owner. Thereafter and from time to time this bond may be transferred by such registered owner in person or by attorney duly authorized on presentation of this bond to the Treasurer, and the bond be again registered as before, a similar statement being stamped, printed or written thereon.

This bond is exempt from all taxation within the State of California.

In witness whereof, said City and County of San Francisco has caused this bond to be executed under its corporate seal, signed by its Mayor and Treasurer, and countersigned by its Auditor, and has caused the interest coupons hereto attached to be signed with the engraved or lithographed signature of its Treasurer, and this bond to be dated the first day of July, 1927.

....., Mayor.

....., Treasurer.

Countersigned:

..... Auditor.

Form of Coupon.

No. —— $———

On ———— 1, 19——, the City and County of San Francisco, California will pay to bearer at the office of the Treasurer of said City

and County, or at the option of the holder at the fiscal agency of the City and County of San Francisco, in the City and State of New York, ——— dollars ($———) in gold coin of the United States, being six months' interest then due on its bond dated July 1, 1927, number ———.

....., Treasurer.

Form of Registration.

San Francisco ———, 19——

This bond is registered pursuant to Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, in the name of ———, and the interest and principal thereof are hereafter payable to such owner.

....., Treasurer.

Section 4. The amount of tax levy to be made for the payment of said four million dollars bonds issued under said proposition shall be the sum of one hundred eighty thousand dollars for the first five years from the date of said bonds to pay the annual interest on said bonds, and in season to pay such interest as it becomes due, and for the sixth year after the date of said bonds the sum of one hundred seventy-one thousand dollars to pay, and in season to pay the interest on such of said bonds as remain outstanding after two hundred thousand dollars thereof due five years from their date have been paid, and for the seventh year after the date of said bonds the sum of one hundred sixty-two thousand dollars to pay and in season to pay the interest on such of said bonds as remain outstanding after the two hundred thousand dollars thereof due six years from their date have been paid, and so on, a sum each year for seventeen succeeding years until said bonds are all paid, sufficient for interest and in season to pay interest on all of said bonds outstanding, which sum for interest will diminish each year by the amount of nine thousand dollars by reason of the payment each year beginning five years from date of said bonds of two hundred thousand dollars of said bonds, and the sum of two hundred thousand dollars each year beginning four years from the date of said bonds to pay and in season to pay the principal of such bonds as they respectively become due, and continuing each succeeding year for nineteen years until the principal of all of said bonds has been paid.

The purpose and intent of the foregoing tax provisions are, and it is hereby expressly provided, that at the time of levying the municipal tax, and in the manner provided for such tax levy, the Supervisors shall levy and collect annually a tax sufficient to pay the annual interest on such bonds, and also such part of the bonded indebtedness as will fall due within the succeeding fiscal year. Such taxes shall be in addition to all other taxes levied for municipal purposes, and shall be collected at the same time and in the same manner as other municipal taxes are collected.

Section 5. The special election hereby called and ordered to be held shall be held and conducted, and the votes thereat received and canvassed, and the returns thereof made, and the result thereof ascertained, determined and declared as herein provided and according to the laws of the State of California providing for and governing elections in the City and County of San Francisco, and the polls for such election shall be and remain open during the time required by said laws.

The ballots to be used at said special election shall be such as may be required by law to be used thereat, and, in addition to any other matter required by law to be printed thereon, shall appear thereon the following:

"Memorial Halls"—To incur a bonded indebtedness in the sum of four million ($4,000,000) dollars for the acquisition, construction and completion of a permanent improvement, consisting of public buildings in or adjacent to the Civic Center of the City and County of San Francisco, to be used as memorial halls for war veterans and for educational, recreational, entertainment and other municipal purposes and the purchase of all equipment and furnishings necessary for said buildings.

To vote for the proposition and thereby authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness to the amount of and for the purpose stated herein stamp a cross (X) in the blank space at the right of the word "Yes." To vote against the proposition and thereby refuse to authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness to the amount of and for the purpose stated herein stamp a cross (X) in the blank space to the right of the word "No."

YES	
NO	

Bonds issued for the acquisition,

construction and completion of the permanent improvement herein described shall bear interest at the rate of 4½ per centum per annum, payable semi-annually.

Section 6. Where voting machines are used at said special election the said voting machines shall be so arranged that any qualified elector may vote for the proposition by pulling down a lever over the word "Yes" under or near a statement of the proposed proposition appearing on cardboard, paper, or other material placed on the front of the machine and said act shall constitute a vote for the proposition, and by pulling down a lever over the word "No" under or near a statement of the proposed proposition appearing on cardboard, paper, or other material placed on the front of the machine shall constitute a vote against the proposition. Said voting machines and the preparation of the same are to be used in accordance with the provisions of Chapter 96 of the Statutes of 1923.

Each cross (X) stamped in the square to the right of the word "Yes" appearing on the printed ballot, where printed ballots are used, shall constitute a vote in favor of and to authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness for the purpose set forth in the proposition and each cross (X) stamped in the square to the right of the word "No" shall be counted as a vote not in favor of, and a refusal to authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness for the purpose set forth in the proposition.

Section 7. The election precincts and the numbers, names and boundaries thereof for said special election, and the places of voting, and the officers to conduct such election, and all other necessary proceedings in that behalf, shall be respectively defined, designated, selected, appointed and had by the Board of Election Commissioners of the City and County of San Francisco, and said board is hereby authorized and directed to procure and provide all supplies that may be necessary to properly and lawfully conduct such special election.

When the polls are closed the officers of election shall count the ballots cast at such election, and canvass the votes cast respectively for and against the proposition herein stated and make return thereof in time, form and manner required for the counting, canvassing and returning of votes cast at other municipal elections held in the City and County of San Francisco. The Board of Election Commissioners shall, as soon as the said returns and ballots have been received by said board, canvass said returns and declare the result thereof in the manner provided by law for canvassing returns and declaring results in other elections, and shall also certify said results to the Board of Supervisors.

Section 8. This resolution shall be published for at least fourteen days in the official newspaper.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Hayden—2.

Report of Supervisor McSheehy on Cancellation of Lease at 333 Kearny Street.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

May 16, 1927.

To the Honorable Board of Supervisors, City and County of San Francisco, City Hall, San Francisco, California.

Gentlemen:

On August 6, 1925, a report from the Grand Jury was filed, calling our attention to the crowded condition of the officials functioning in the Hall of Justice.

A motion was made in December, 1925, that a Special Committee be appointed to investigate the report of the Grand Jury of August 6, 1925. In February, 1926, this Special Committee was augmented by the addition of the Building Committee.

On December 27, 1926, the Joint Committee reported that office space should be rented in some office building as close as possible to the Hall of Justice. The Chairman of the Building Committee objected to the report, claiming that certain alterations could be made to the Hall of Justice which would give sufficient floor space for the officials to properly carry on their work.

The report of the majority of the Committee was concurred in by ten (10) members of the Board of Supervisors, and offices were leased at 333 Kearny street for a term of five (5) years two and one-half months, for a total rental of $74,081, or a yearly rental of $14,223.60.

Some three (3) years ago the Police Photograph Gallery in the Hall of Justice was destroyed by fire and for two (2) years the previous Buildings and Finance Committees made no effort whatsoever to restore this gallery. The gallery had to function, and in doing so,

crowded the Police Department into such small space that they were unable to carry on their work properly. The present Building and Finance Committee have recognized the fact of the crowded conditions at the Hall of Justice and have expended the sum of $35,000 to remedy these conditions, so that today the Police Department has 8291 square feet more floor space than they had one year ago; the District Attorney, Adult Probation Officer and the Public Defender will have about the same floor space as they now have at 333 Kearny street. The Grand Jury and the Bond and Warrant Clerk of the District Attorney's office have never occupied offices at 333 Kearny street and the City have been charged a rental of $136.65 per month for these offices and they have never left the Hall of Justice.

Supervisor Byington, a former District Attorney, has told this Board in no uncertain language that it is almost a crime to have the District Attorney four (4) blocks away from the Hall of Justice. The District Attorney is part and parcel of the Police Department; the Adult Probation Officer and the Public Defender likewise. So that there is no denying the fact that these three departments cannot function as they should four blocks away. As chairman of the Finance Committee it is my duty to call to your attention, my colleagues, everything that is a wsate of public funds.

To avoid argument I will agree that a majority of this Board were right in renting rooms at 333 Kearny street one year ago. Since then alterations have been made that have cost the City $35,000. These alterations will give to these officials more floor space than they now have at 333 Kearny street. Therefore, I feel that it would be a positive waste of $14,223.60 which we will have to put into next year's budget if we do not cancel the lease that we have at 333 Kearny street and restore the offices to their old quarters in the Hall of Justice.

In closing, I hope that a majority of the members will see fit to cancel this lease which can be done by giving the usual three months' notice and move back to the Hall of Justice the officials that rightly belong there.

The renting of these offices has caused more personal friction on this Board than any other problem that we have had in the past year and a half, and I hope and trust that we will end this matter here once and for all.

Respectfully submitted,
J. B. McSHEEHY,
Supervisor.

Supervisor Shannon moved that the report be referred to the City Attorney for his opinion and to be reported two weeks from today.

So ordered.

Report of Supervisor McSheehy on Demand for Rental of 333 Kearny Street.

The following presented and read by the Clerk:

San Francisco, May 16, 1927.

To the Honorable Board of Supervisors, City and County of San Francisco.

Gentlemen:

On May 9th the following letter was referred to the Finance Committee:

"About one year ago the City entered into a lease for the rental of the premises 333 Kearny street, and up to the present time Mr. James McSheehy and Mr. Chas. J. Powers have refused to make the payments in accordance with the lease entered into.

The Board of Supervisors have appropriated the money and set it aside. The City Attorney has repeatedly ruled that the payment must be met. My attorney, Mr. Harrington, has been to the Finance Committee on many occasions but Mr. McSheehy has repeatedly passed the buck. He has no reason to offer why the payment should not be made and he has no right arbitrarily to hold up a taxpayers' money due him without some objections.

I respectfully request of your Honorable Board to have the matter placed on the calendar and definitely settled.

Thanking you for any action you may take, I am

Yours very truly,
RALPH McLERAN."

A statement was made in this Board some months ago by Mr. Wm. H. Nicholls, Adult Probation Officer, and also to me over the telephone last Saturday, that former Supervisor Ralph McLeran ordered the furnishing and erection of certain mill work in the month of August, 1926, at 333 Kearny street, amounting to $921.85. Mr. Leonard Leavy, Purchasing Agent, stated to me over the telephone last Saturday that no requisition was ever issued for this work and he referred the bill to the Building Committee. He also told me that the work was done by the Empire Mill Co., located on Bryant street near Sixth street. I

rang up the mill company and the foreman in charge told me that the order was brought in by Mr. Harry Gatchen, the manager, who was out of the City and would not return before next Wednesday, and that he personally did not know anything about it.

The following is a report received by me from the California Title Insurance Company showing the ownership of the building known as 333 Kearny street:

"Sixth: Resolution of MacDonough Estate Company, dated March 15, 1923, and recorded April 6, 1923, in 66 Official Records, 398, authorizing president or treasurer in conjunction with secretary or assistant secretary to sell or lease any and all real and personal property of said corporation.

Seventh: Deed, MacDonough Estate Company, a corporation, to James Rolph & Co., a corporation, dated March 15, 1923, and recorded April 6, 1923, in 666 Official Records, 399.

Eighth: Deed, James Rolph & Co., a corporation, to Ralph McLeran and Madeline E. McLeran, his wife, as joint tenants, dated April 5, 1923, and recorded April 6, 1923, in 400 Official Records, 666.

Ninth: Mortgage, Ralph McLeran and Madeline McLeran, his wife, to the San Francisco Savings and Loan Society, a corporation, dated April 5, 1923, and recorded April 6, 1923, in 686 Official Records, 165.

Tenth: Deed, Ralph McLeran and Madeline McLeran, his wife, to Louis T. Samuels, dated March 3, 1926, and recorded May 3, 1926, in 1279 Official Records, 100.

Eleventh: Deed, Louis T. Samuels and Pepi Samuels, his wife, to Ralph McLeran and Madeline McLeran, his wife, dated May 3, 1926, and recorded January 15, 1927, in 1408 Official Records, 266.

Twelfth: Deed, Ralph McLeran and Madeline E. McLeran, his wife, to A. P. Jacobs, dated January 13, 1927, and recorded January 15, 1927, in 1408 Official Records, 263.

Thirteenth: Judgment, vs. Louis T. Samuels and Alfred H. Bullion in favor of Leonora Brenran, docketed January 13, 1927. Case No. 155668."

In this report you will see that Louis T. Samuels was not the owner of the building on May 10, the date that the lease was adopted by the Board of Supervisors. Also in the lease there is a paragraph that states that the lessor agrees to make all necessary repairs during the term of the lease. I want to call your attention to the ownership of 333 Kearny street as reported by the California Title Insurance Company. According to their report Mr. Louis T. Samuels was not the owner of the building on May 10th. Therefore, as chairman of the Finance Committee, I felt that it was my duty to ask a report from the Building Committee of this Board as to the status of the claim for $921.85 from the Empire Mill Co. for materials and labor furnished 333 Kearny street.

In this year's budget, Item No. 79, there is the sum of $14,233 for the rental of 333 Kearny street. I have signed these demands every month and your Honorable Board has passed the same. I now find that Mr. Louis T. Samuels was not the owner of the building as far as our records show and perhaps had no right to collect the money. I also find that the office space in the building allotted to the Grand Jury and the Bond and Warrant Clerks has never been occupied, or, in other words, the City and County of San Francisco has been paying $136 per month for offices that are never used.

In the face of these facts, the bill for $921.85 for work ordered by former Supervisor Ralph McLeran in the building known as 333 Kearny street of which he was the owner and which he sold to A. P. Jacobs on January 13, 1927, I felt that it was my duty as chairman of the Finance Committee, charged with the responsibility of carefully guarding the City's funds to hold this bill for rental, as it is the only security that the City has until such time as the dispute as to the payment of the $921.85 claim of the Empire Mill Company has been settled.

An apology is due this Board for the discourteous letter sent to us on May 9th by former Supervisor Ralph McLeran.

JAS. B. McSHEEHY,
Chairman, Finance Committee.

Motion.

Supervisor Gallagher: I would like now to make a motion. My motion is that former Supervisor McLeran be advised that the language used in his letter to the Board is undignified and unbecoming an ex-officer of this City and County, and it is the judgment of this Board that the language being impolitic, undignified and unjust that it is due to the Board that he be requested to retract it.

Supervisors Powers seconded the motion.

Motion *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—9.

Noes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Harrelson, Hayden, Roncovieri, Shannon—7.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy —2.

SPECIAL ORDER—2:30 P. M.

Majority Report.

San Francisco,
December 27, 1926.

To the Honorable Board of Supervisors, City and County of San Francisco.

Gentlemen:

Your majority committee on Public Buildings and Lands, to which was referred the resolution terminating the lease by the City of premises known as 333 Kearny street, now occupied by the District Attorney, Public Defender, the Adult Probation Officer and the Grand Jury, on the 1st day of May, 1927, and directing the said herein named officials to be reinstated in the Hall of Justice, would respectfully report that careful consideration has been given to the subject matter expressed in the resolution, taking into consideration that the alterations heretofore ordered and now under progress in the Hall of Justice will be completed within a period of about 90 days, and will, in the opinion of your committee, more adequately accommodate the hereinbefore referred to officials of the City and County.

Your committee has realized the inconvenience to the public by reason of the removal of the said officials from the Hall of Justice, with the thought of remedying such conditions at the earliest opportunity.

Your committee feels that it is a necessity, by reason of the duties of the District Attorney, the Public Defender and the Adult Probation Officer, that such offices should be in the Hall of Justice, contiguous to the court located therein. With this aim in view your committee would respectfully report in favor of the passing of the resolution cancelling the present lease of the premises No. 333 Kearny street, to take effect the 1st day of May, 1927, and of directing the removal of the hereinbefore mentioned offices and respective officials to the Hall of Justice, and to occupy the certain rooms hereinafter to be designated and assigned to them by the Public Buildings and Lands Committee of your Board.

Very respectfully,
CHAS. J. POWERS.
LEWIS F. BYINGTON.

Supervisor Powers Presents:

Whereas, in the month of ——— a resolution was introduced by a Special Committee and passed by a majority vote of the Board of Supervisors, directing the District Attorney, Public Defender, Adult Probation Officer and the County Grand Jury to move from their rooms in the Hall of Justice to No. 333 Kearny street; and

Whereas, this committee recommended that a lease be entered into between the Board of Supervisors and Louis T. Samuels for said property at a rental of 15 cents per square foot, amounting to $74,081.25, for a term of five (5) years, with a stipulation that the lease can be cancelled by giving ninety (90) days' notice to said owner; and

Whereas, since entering into this lease alterations have been made in the Hall of Justice which will provide for 7591 square feet of floor space, together with 4870 square feet now unoccupied, making a total of 12,461 square feet, which is more than sufficient to take care of the needs of the Police Department and of the other departments now occupying temporary quarters at No. 333 Kearny street; and

Whereas, the service rendered to the public has been very inadequate, owing to the distance of several blocks between the Hall of Justice and No. 333 Kearny street, and numerous complaints have been made; and

Whereas, the expenditure of $74,081.25 for the rental of these offices is a direct wastage of public funds and should terminate as soon as possible; and

Whereas, these alterations will be completed in about ninety (90) days from date and far better offices and accommodations will be provided for the District Attorney, the Public Defender, the Adult Probation Officer and the County Grand Jury in the Hall of Justice than they now have at No. 333 Kearny street; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the cancellation clause in the lease between the City and County of San Francisco and the owner of the building known as No. 333 Kearny street, entered into on the 2d day of June, 1926, be terminated on the 1st day of May, 1927, and that the District Attorney, Public Defender, Adult Probation Officer and the County

Grand Jury be directed to move from their offices at No. 333 Kearny street to the Hall of Justice and occupy rooms as designated by the Public Buildings and Lands Committee of this Board, and that proper furnishings and equipment be placed in said rooms.

Privilege of the Floor.

Mr. E. Eastman, representing Mr. Jacobs, the alleged owner of the property, was heard with reference to a continuance.

Supervisor Kent suggested that possibly a compromise of the situation, which has been confronting the Board for some time, might be effected by moving the District Attorney back to the Hall of Justice, but to leave the Public Defender and Adult Probation Officer at 333 Kearny street.

Supervisor Powers, chairman of the Building Committee, said he would see if he could not negotiate an amended lease to that effect and report to the Board.

Action Deferred.

Supervisor Kent moved that the matter of 333 Kearny street be postponed two weeks and that the Board instruct the Building Committee to negotiate for a modification of the lease with the owner of the property and report back its recommendation.

Motion *carried*.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Spur Track Permit, The Western Pacific Railroad Compnay.

On motion of Supervisor Marks:

Bill No. 8041, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Granting permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to The Western Pacific Railroad Company to construct, maintain and operate spur tracks on Carolina street between the southerly line of Mariposa street and Seventeenth street as hereinafter described.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, is hereby granted to The Western Pacific Railroad Company to construct, maintain and operate spur tracks as follows:

Spur No. 1. Beginning at a point in the center line of the existing side track of the applicant, distant approximately 79 feet south of the south line of Mariposa street and approximately 53 feet east of the east line of Carolina street; thence northwesterly along a turnout to the right approximately 102 feet, intersecting the south line of said Mariposa street approximately 13 feet east from the east line of said Carolina street; thence northwesterly along the arc of a curve to the left with a radius of 574 feet, a distance of approximately 30 feet; thence in a direct line northwesterly 159 feet to a point on the west line of Carolina street approximately 114 feet north of the north line of said Mariposa street; thence northwesterly along the arc of a curve to the left having a radius of 1722 feet, a distance of approximately 74 feet; thence northwesterly, parallel with and 33 feet distant northwesterly from the center line of the main track of the applicant, a distance of approximately 259 feet to a point on the south line of said Seventeenth street, approximately 30 feet east of the east line of said De Haro street.

Spur No. 2. Beginning at a point in the center line of the above described spur track No. 1, 9 feet north of the north line of Mariposa street and approximately 25 feet west of the east line of Carolina street; thence northwesterly along a turnout to right approximately 70 feet; thence northerly along the arc of a curve to the right having a radius of 287 feet, a distance of approximately 100 feet to a point 10 feet east of the west line of said Carolina street; thence northerly, parallel with and 10 feet distant easterly from the west line of said Carolina street, a distance of approximately 276 feet to the south line of said Seventeenth street.

Said permission is granted subject to the provisions of Ordinance No. 69 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors, approved October 12, 1906, and the provisions and conditions of Section 8 thereof are hereby specifically contained in the permit hereby granted and shall be construed as part hereof as complete as though the same were written in this ordinance.

Provided, that said spur track shall be laid with girder rails under the supervision and to the lines and grades as furnished by the City Engineer's office, and that any and all expense connected with the installation of the tracks, restoration of the pavement and any additional requirements for the surface drainage to be paid for by The Western Pacific Railroad Company; provided, that The Western Pacific Railroad Company shall erect and maintain all-night lighted arc lamps to be placed where directed

by the Lighting Committee of the Board of Supervisors.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ophthalmic Hospital Permit.

On motion of Supervisor Hayden:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the rights granted under Resolution No. 25765, approved June 26, 1926, to Aaron S. Green, Louis D. Green and Martin D. Icove granting permission to construct, operate and maintain an ophthalmic hospital on the southwest corner of Bush and Octavia streets be and are hereby extended for a period of six months from the date of approval of this resolution. This extension of time is granted for the reason that a revision of plans was necessary.

Hearing of Objections, Extension of Tehama Street.

Supervisor Marks presented:

Resolution No. 27287 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Monday, May 31, 1927, in the chambers of the Board of Supervisors, is hereby fixed as the time for hearing the objections of The San Francisco News Company, 657 Howard street, against the extension of Tehama street easterly from a point 275 feet east of Third street to the west line of Hawthorne street as proposed in Resolution of Intention No. 27087 (New Series), passed by the Board of Supervsiors April 4, 1927.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Hayden—1.

Registrar to Include Argument of Civic League of Improvement Clubs and Associations on Sample Ballots.

Supervisor Harrelson presented:

Resolution No. 27286 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the Civic League of Improvement Clubs and Associations has recommended to the voters of the City and County of San Francisco in favor of the acquisition of the Spring Valley Water System, the extensions of the Municipal Railways, the construction of the Bernal Cut and the War Memorial project; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Registrar is hereby authorized and directed to include, on behalf of the Board of Supervisors, the arguments of the Civic League of Improvement Clubs and Associations in favor of those projects with the sample ballots mailed to the voters, as provided in Section 10, Article XI, Chapter 3 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy —2.

Death of Robert S. Drady, Superintendent of Auditorium.

Supervisor Havenner called attention to the passing of Robert S. Drady, Superintendent of the Auditorium, whose faithful and efficient service had marked the conduct of that institution since his appointment. He asked that the Clerk have prepared suitable resolutions of condolence on the death of Robert S. Drady, that copy of the same be sent to the bereaved family and moved that when the Board adjourns it do so out of respect to his memory.

Motion *unanimously carried.*

ADJOURNMENT.

Whereupon, the Board at 6:30 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors August 22, 1927.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated, and approved as above recited.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco.

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Vol. 22—New Series No. 21

Monday, May 23, 1927

Wednesday, May 25, 1927

Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
337 Bush Street, S. F.

JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, MAY 23, 1927, 10 A. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, May 23, 1927, 10 a. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—18.

Quorum present.

His Honor Mayor Rolph, presiding.

APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the previous meeting was laid over until next meeting for approval.

ROLL CALL FOR PETITIONS FROM MEMBERS.

Honorary Citizenship Conferred.

Charles Lindbergh has been made an honorary citizen of San Francisco in honor of his recent achievement in making the first non-stop flight from New York City to Paris. The motion conferring this honor on Colonel Lindbergh was made by Supervisor Shannon and unanimously *adopted* by the Board.

The Board also requested the Mayor to invite Colonel Lindbergh to come to San Francisco as soon as he can and be received by us. The Clerk was instructed to send copies of the action of the Board to Colonel Lindbergh and to his mother in Detroit.

On motion of Supervisor Gallagher the Board also voted to make Count von Lucknor an honorary citizen of San Francisco.

Improvements out of County Road Fund.

The Finance Committee recommends that the following street and highway improvements be done out of the proceeds of the County Road Fund at an estimated cost of $1,025,000:

Alemany boulevard, Bay Shore highway, Grand View avenue, Geary street, Van Ness avenue westerly (widening and resurfacing), Junipero Serra boulevard, Laguna Honda boulevard, Market street extension, Potrero avenue, Sixteenth street southerly, Roosevelt way, San Jose avenue, Paulding to Mt. Vernon; Silver avenue, San Bruno to Oakdale; Valencia street, widening; Upper Road at the Beach; Drumm street, Jackson to Pacific streets; Davis, Jackson to Pacific streets; Front street, Pacific street to Broadway; Jackson street, San some to Montgomery streets; Sutter street, Sansome street westerly (resurfacing and widening); Sutter street, Divisadero to Fillmore streets; Washington street, Montgomery to Sansome streets; Bryant street, Ninth to Eleventh streets; Beale street, Mission street to The Embarcadero (resurfacing); Fourth street, Market to Mission streets; Harrison street, Sixth to Seventh streets; Main street, Folsom street to The Embarcadero; Ninth street, Market street southerly; Steuart street, Market to Mission streets; Seventh street, Mission to Brannan streets; Clement street, First to Fifth avenues (resurfacing), Tenth to Eighteenth avenues; Eighteenth street, Danvers to Castro streets; Ellis street, Polk street to Van Ness avenue; Fillmore street, Sutter to Fulton streets; Fulton street, First avenue to Stanyan street; Grant avenue, Greenwich to Chestnut streets; Larkin street, California to Jackson streets; Larkin street, Pacific to Union streets; Oak street, Baker to Divisadero streets; Presidio ave-Geary to California streets; Pine street, Leavenworth to Taylor streets (widening); Polk street, Golden Gate avenue to McAllister street; Stockton street, Lombard to Bay streets; Stanyan street, Fulton to Fell streets; Twenty-third avenue, Anza to Cabrillo streets; Wester street, Sutter to Pine streets; Bernal Heights boulevard; including royalties for surface heaters.

Leave of Absence, Wm. A. Sherman.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk.

San Francisco, Cal.,
May 23, 1927.

Hon. Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen: Application has been made to me by the Honorable William A. Sherman, member of the Board of Fire Commissioners, for a leave of absence, with permission to absent himself from the State of California, for a period of ten (10) days, commencing May 28.

Will you please concur with me in granting this leave of absence?

Very respectfully,
JAMES ROLPH, JR.,
Mayor.

Whereupon, the following resolution was *adopted*:

Resolution No. 27312 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Hon. William A. Sherman, member of the Board of Fire Commissioners, be and he is hereby granted a leave of absence of ten (10) days from and after May 28, 1927, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—18.

UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

Final Passage.

The following matters, heretofore passed for printing, were taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Authorizations.

Resolution No. 27288 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

Park Fund.

(1) National Ice Cream Co., ice cream for parks (claim dated May 13, 1927), $1,322.85.

Huntington Bequest Fund.

(2) G. Albert Lansburg, architect fee for designing base for statue of Joan D'Arc at Lincoln Park (claim dated May 13, 1927), $750.

Tubercular Sanitarium Fund.

(3) Reilly & Nemetz, extra work, general construction of Tuberculosis Preventorium (claim dated May 11, 1927), $2,435.

County Road Fund.

(4) Eaton & Smith, improvement of westerly one-half of Alemany boulevard, Mount Vernon to Niagara street (claim dated May 11, 1927), $4,148.

Water Works Fund.

(5) Eaton & Smith, construction of water mains in vicinity of Brussels, Olmstead and Goettingen streets (claim dated May 11, 1927), $3,384.75.

School Bonds, Issue 1923.

(6) C. F. Weber & Co., Inc., portable chairs for the Henry Durant School (claim dated May 10, 1927), $1,340.

(7) Reliable Plumbing Co., first payment, mechanical equipment for Hearst-Moulder School (claim dated May 11, 1927), $917.63.

(8) John Reid, Jr., sixth payment, architectural services for Mission (Everett) Junior High School (claim dated May 10, 1927), $1,398.12.

(9) John Reid, Jr., second payment, architectural services for first unit of the South Side High School (claim dated May 10, 1927), $9,007.62.

(10) MacDonald & Kahn, acceptance payment, general construction of New Mission High School (claim dated May 11, 1927), $3,978.30.

(11) D. C. McCabe, first and final payment, concrete and wood benches for the Hawthorne School (claim dated May 11, 1927), $555.

(12) Anderson & Ringrose, extra work, general construction of addition to the Sunnyside School (claim dated May 11, 1927), $609.04.

Hetch Hetchy Water Bonds, Issue 1925.

(13) Hales & Symons, Inc., mining wedges for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated May 6, 1927), $675.

(14) Christenson Lumber Co., lumber for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated May 9, 1927), $978.04.

(15) Edison Storage Battery Supply Co., batteries for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated May 9, 1927), $593.

(16) Hercules Powder Co., explosives for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated May 9, 1927), $919.38.

(17) The Marion Steam Shovel Co., combination dipper handle for steam shovel, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated May 9, 1927), $604.76.

(18) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., mazda lamps for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated May 9, 1927), $1,401.60.

(19) Santa Fe Lumber Co., lumber for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated May 9, 1927), $815 73.

(20) Union Machine Co., crank shafts for shovel, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated May 9, 1927), $561.

(21) Western Pipe & Steel Co., air pipe for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated May 9, 1927), $915.10.

(22) First National Bank of Sonora, amount advanced on Hetch Hetchy payroll for period May 1 to May 15 (claim dated May 9, 1927), $35,000.

(23) T. E. Connolly and J. M. DeLuca, fourth payment, construction of aqueduct tunnels, Foothill Division, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated May 10, 1927), $25,352.65.

(24) A. Guthrie & Co., fifth payment, construction of aqueduct tunnels, Foothill Division, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated May 10, 1927), $45,267.08.

(25) Pope & Talbot, lumber, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated May 11, 1927), $676.80.

(26) Santa Fe Lumber Co., lumber, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated May 11, 1927), $1,264.78.

(27) Western Pipe & Steel Co., air pipe, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated May 11, 1927), $915.10.

Municipal Railway Fund.

(28) Bridgeport Brass Co., trolley wire for Municipal Railways (claim dated May 6, 1927), $2,651.38.

(29) Crown Oil Co., gasoline for Municipal Railways (claim dated May 10, 1927), $1,429.38.

Municipal Railway Accident Reserve Fund.

(30) Jennings Kent, for herself and as guardian ad litem of her children, Gabriel Kent, Jr., and Violet Kent, in settlement of claim against the Municipal Railways for account of the death of Gabriel Kent, husband and father (claim dated May 10, 1927), $7,000.

Municipal Railway Depreciation Fund.

(31) The J. G. Brill Co., first payment, Municipal Railway car tracks (claim dated May 9, 1927), $14,137.50.

(32) St. Louis Car Company, first payment, Municipal Railway car bodies (claim dated May 9, 1927), $24,210.

(33) Westinghouse Electric & Manufacturing Co., third payment, Municipal Railway car motor equipment (claim dated May 9, 1927), $9,683.10.

Relief Home Bonds, Issue 1923.

(34) The Colson Company, food conveyors for new Relief Home (claim dated Nov. 12, 1926), $1,518.

(35) George Windeler Co., repairing tank, Relief Home (claim dated May 12, 1927), $506.50.

General Fund, 1926-1927.

(36) Crown Oil Co., gasoline, Police Department (claim dated May 9, 1927), $713.21.

(37) Associated Charities, widows' pensions (claim dated May 13, 1927), $8,707.07.

(38) Little Children's Aid, widows' pensions (claim dated May 13, 1927), $8,783.81.

(39) Eureka Benevolent Society, widows' pensions (claim dated May 13, 1927), $1,025.

(40) The Recorder Printing and Publishing Co., printing and publishing Law-Motion-Trial Calendar, etc. (claim dated May 16, 1927), $1,075.

(41) Sonoma State Home, maintenance of feeble-minded, April (claim dated May 16, 1927), $9,490.

(42) J. H. Zemansky, postage for sample ballots (claim dated May 5, 1927), $1,150.

(43) Latourette-Fical Co., first payment, lighting for hangar and field, S. F. Airport (claim dated May 11, 1927), $1,920.

(44) Louis J. Cohn, improvement of west line of Forty-seventh avenue at corner of Taraval street (claim dated May 11, 1927), $825.

(45) Elliott & Grant, first payment, general construction of administration building, S. F. Airport (claim dated May 11, 1927), $7,968.

(46) Eaton & Smith, reconstruction of Gilbert and Harriet streets between Bryant and Brannan streets (claim dated May 11, 1927), $6,022.05.

(47) Rincon Foundry, 2500 traffic buttons (claim dated May 16, 1927), $4,650.

(48) Albertinum Orphanage, maintenance of minors (claim dated May 11, 1927), $1,557.64.

(49) Boys' Aid Society, maintenance of minors (claim dated May 11, 1927), $1,388.08.

(50) S. F. Protestant Orphanage, maintenance of minors (claim dated May 11, 1927), $1,070.24.

(51) S. F. Nursery for Homeless Children, maintenance of minors (claim dated May 11, 1927), $543.29.

(52) St. Vincent's School, maintenance of minors (claim dated May 11, 1927), $2,013.26.

(53) Roman Catholic Orphanage, maintenance of minors (claim dated May 11, 1927), $3,923.80.

(54) Eureka Benevolent Society, maintenance of minors (claim dated May 11, 1927), $4,364.33.

(55) Children's Agency, mainte-

nance of minors (claim dated May 11, 1927), $30,521.03.

(56) Little Children's Aid, maintenance of minors (claim dated May 11, 1927), $13,006.65.

(57) Preston School of Industry, maintenance of inmates, State school (claim dated May 11, 1927), $693.34.

(58) Sussman-Wormser Co., groceries, S. F. Hospital (claim dated May 12, 1927), $2,872.70.

(59) Garcia & Maggini Co., groceries, S. F. Hospital (claim dated May 12, 1927), $517.31.

(60) L. Dinkelspiel Co., dry goods, S. F. Hospital (claim dated May 12, 1927), $1,678.82.

(61) L. Dinkelspiel Co., dry goods, S. F. Hospital (claim dated May 12, 1927), $590.11.

(62) San Francisco Dairy Co., milk, S. F. Hospital (claim dated May 12, 1927), $4,333.80.

(63) Levi Strauss & Co., sheeting, etc., S. F. Hospital (claim dated May 12, 1927), $3,205.71.

(64) Elihu P. Booth, accident compensation, per award, care of Central Emergency Hospital (claim dated May 12, 1927), $720.10.

(65) California Meat Co., meats, Relief Home (claim dated May 12, 1927), $2,835.77.

(66) California Petroleum Co., fuel oil, Relief Home (claim dated May 12, 1927), $1,789.19.

(67) J. T. Freitas Co., eggs, Relief Home (claim dated May 12, 1927), $1,077.30.

(68) Lesser Bros., meats, Relief Home (claim dated May 12, 1927), $1,405.61.

(69) C. Nauman & Co., vegetables, Relief Home (claim dated May 12, 1927), $845.17.

(70) San Francisco Dairy Co., milk, Relief Home (claim dated May 12, 1927), $2,322.88.

(71) Sherry Bros., Inc., butter, Relief Home (claim dated May 12, 1927), $1,254.60.

(72) Sussman-Wormser Co., sugar, Relief Home (claim dated May 12, 1927), $1,192.38.

(73) Tiedemann & McMorran, groceries, Relief Home (claim dated May 12, 1927), $535.

(74) Spring Valley Water Co., water for Relief Home (claim dated May 12, 1927), $917.66.

(75) California Pottery Co., sewer pipe for sewer repairs (claim dated May 5, 1927), $540.

(76) Symon Bros., teams for street cleaning (claim dated May 6, 1927), $747.50.

(77) Pacific Portland Cement Co., Cons., cement for street repair (claim dated May 9, 1927), $2,588.05.

(78) The Spring Valley Water Co., water for street sprinkling (claim dated May 9, 1927), $656.85.

(79) Spring Valley Water Co., water for public buildings (claim dated May 9, 1927), $1,963.93.

(80) J. D. Galloway, fee as member of Board of Engineers, transbay bridge investigation, per agreement (claim dated May 7, 1927), $3,000.

(81) Robert Ridgway, fee as member of Board of Engineers, transbay bridge investigation, per agreement (claim dated May 7, 1927), $10,000.

(82) Arthur N. Talbot, fee as member of Board of Engineers, transbay bridge investigation, per agreement (claim dated May 7, 1927), $5,419.

(83) San Francisco Bulletin, official advertising (claim dated May 16, 1927), $1,313.88.

(84) D. N. & E. Walter & Co., carpets, etc., for Superior Court (claim dated May 16, 1927), $552.41.

(85) D. N. & E. Walter & Co., carpets, etc., Hall of Justice (claim dated May 16, 1927), $565.73.

(86) D. N. & E. Walter & Co., linoleum, Police Department (claim dated May 16, 1927), $620.78.

(87) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., street lighting for month of April (claim dated May 16, 1927), $52,033.79.

Publicity and Advertising (Appropriation 55).

(88) Redwood Empire Association, for expense of the publicity and advertising of San Francisco (claim dated May 16, 1927), $2,018.69.

Hetch Hetchy Operative Revenue Fund.

(89) John J. Dailey, legal services rendered during May in matter of valuation of San Francisco electric properties (claim dated May 11, 1927), $850.

(90) N. Randall Ellis, engineering services rendered during May in matter of valuation of electric properties for San Francisco (claim dated May 11, 1927), $750.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—18.

Appropriation, $6,000, Payment to Knute L. Anderson in Payment for Land and Improvements Required for School Purposes.

Resolution No. 27289 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $6,000 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of School Bonds, 1923, and authorized in pay-

nent to Knute L. Anderson; being payment for land and improvements required for school purposes; said property being situate and commencing at point on easterly line of Banks street, distant 125 feet southerly from the southerly line of Tompkins street, running thence at a right angle easterly 140 feet to the westerly line of Prentiss street; thence southerly on westerly line of Prentiss street 50 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 140 feet to the easterly line of Banks street; thence northerly along easterly line of Banks street 50 feet to point of commencement. As per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 27245 (New Series).

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—18.

Appropriation, $5,000, Miscellaneous Equipment, Maintenance, Etc., in Connection With the Establishment and Administration of Mills Field Municipal Airport.

Resolution No. 27290 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $5,000 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of "Municipal Airport," Budget Item No. 60, to provide for miscellaneous equipment, extra work, services, labor and initial maintenance in connection with the establishment and administration of the Mills Field Municipal Airport of San Francisco.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—18.

Appropriation, $5,000, Additional and Emergency Supplies, Board of Public Health.

Resolution No. 27291 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $5,000 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of Urgent Necessity, Budget Item No. 25, for additional and emergency supplies by the Board of Health under the direction of the Superintendent of the Relief Home.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—18.

Appropriation, $2,000, Extra Clerks, Civil Service Examination.

Resolution No. 27292 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $2,000 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of Urgent Necessity, Budget Item No. 25, to the credit of Budget Item No. 283, Civil Service Commission, Appropriation 12-A, for special examiners and extra clerks required for the holding of examinations.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—18.

Appropriation, $864.50, Equipment for Emergency Hospitals.

Resolution No. 27293 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $864.50 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of "Equipment, Emergency Hospitals," Budget Item No. 85, for the purchase of equipment for the Emergency Hospitals, including lockers, inhalators, floor machines, fire extinguishers.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—18.

Appropriation, $50,000, Relief of Mississippi Valley Flood Sufferers.

Resolution No. 27294 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of fifty thousand dollars ($50,000) be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated from Budget Item No. 65 to the credit of Appropriation No. 55; and be it

Further Resolved, That the sum of fifty thousand dollars ($50,000) be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of Appropriation No. 55, and authorized in payment to the American Red Cross for the relief of the Mississippi Valley flood sufferers.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—18.

Oil and Boiler Permits.

Resolution No. 27295 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits be and and hereby granted:

Oil Tanks.

Victor Bjors, west side of Scott

street, 30 feet south of Turk street, 1500 gallons capacity.

California Real Estate & Finance Co., east side of Thirty-fourth avenue, 175 feet south of Clement street, 1500 gallons capacity.

E. H. Denke, north side of Vallejo street, 150 feet east of Laguna street, 2000 gallons capacity.

P. F. Dunn, 3905 Clay street, 1500 gallons capacity.

I. Epp, east side of Pierce street, 60 feet south of Golden Gate avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

I. Epp, southeast corner of Golden Gate avenue and Pierce street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Henry Ernst & Sons, 340 Hayes street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Romolo A. Sbarboro, southwest corner of Divisadero street and Pacific avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

Stock, Maas & Sauer, north side of Clay street, 200 feet west of Van Ness avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

Stock, Maas & Sauer, north side of Clay street, 250 feet west of Van Ness avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

Boiler.

Reid Bros., 535-39 Market street, 15-horsepower.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits shall become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—18.

Hand Laundry Permit.

Resolution No. 27296 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That A. B. Cazet be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain a hand laundry at 390 Eddy street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—18.

Ophthalmic Hospital Permit.

Resolution No. 27297 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the rights granted under Resolution No. 25765, approved June 26, 1926, to Aaron S. Green, Louis D. Green and Martin D. Icove granting permission to construct, operate and maintain an ophthalmic hospital on the southwest corner of Bush and Octavia streets be and are hereby extended for a period of six months from the date of approval of this resolution. This extension of time is granted for the reason that a revision of plans was necessary.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—18.

Conditional Acceptance, Certain Streets.

Bill No. 8039, Ordinance No. 755 (New Series), as follows:

Providing for conditional acceptance of the roadway of Barneveld avenue between Silver avenue and Hale street; Santiago street between Twenty-fifth and Twenty-sixth avenues; Santiago street between Twenty-sixth and Twenty-seventh avenues; Twenty-ninth avenue between Rivera and Santiago streets; Twenty-fourth avenue between Kirkham and Lawton streets; Thirty-fourth avenue between Ulloa and Vicente streets; Seventeenth avenue between Ulloa and Vicente streets; Forty-fourth avenue between Taraval and Ulloa streets; Ulloa street between Thirty-sixth and Thirty-seventh avenues; Vicente street between Forty-sixth and Forty-seventh avenues, and the crossing of Vicente street and Forty-sixth avenue; Virginia avenue between Winfield street and Eugenia avenue and the intersection of Virginia avenue and Elsie street; Vicente street between Forty-seventh avenue and the Great Highway, and the crossing of Vicente street and Forty-seventh avenue; Vicente street between Forty-fifth and Forty-sixth avenues, and the crossing of Vicente street and Forty-sixth avenue; Winfield street between Coso and Esmeralda avenues and the intersection of Winfield street and Coso avenue; crossing of Jennings street and Le Conte avenue; crossing of Egbert avenue and Ingalls street; crossing of Forty-seventh avenue and Rivera street, and Forty-seventh avenue and Santiago street; crossing of Sixteenth avenue and Pacheco street; crossing of Twenty-first avenue and Ortega street; crossing of Santiago street and Twenty-ninth avenue; crossing of Fifteenth avenue and Pacheco street and Mandalay lane; crossing of Brussels street and Harkness street; crossing of Twenty-sixth avenue and Santiago street; crossing of Twenty-fifth avenue and Santiago street; crossing of Twenty-seventh avenue and Santiago street; crossing of Huron and Foote avenues.

Be it ordained by the People of

he City and County of San Fran-
isco as follows:

Section 1. The roadways of the
ollowing named streets, including
he curbs on both sides thereof, hav-
ng been constructed to the satisfac-
ion of the Board of Public Works
nd of the Board of Supervisors,
re hereby conditionally accepted
y the City and County of San
'rancisco (except those portions
equired by law to be kept in or-
er by the railroad company hav-
ng tracks thereon) in accordance
ith the provisions of Section 23,
hapter 2, Article VI of the Char-
er, said roadways having been
aved with asphaltic concrete and
oncrete and concrete curbs laid
hereon, and are in good condition
hroughout, to-wit:

Barneveld avenue between Silver
venue and Hale street, paved with
sphaltic concrete, sewers and gas
nains have been laid therein, no
vater mains have been laid there-
n.

Santiago street between Twenty-
ifth and Twenty-sixth avenues,
aved with asphaltic concrete,
ewers and gas mains have been
aid therein, no water mains have
een laid therein.

Santiago street between Twenty-
ixth and Twenty-seventh avenues,
aved with asphaltic concrete, sew-
rs and gas mains have been laid
herein, no water mains have been
aid therein.

Twenty-ninth avenue between Ri-
era and Santiago streets, paved
vith asphaltic concrete, sewers and
as mains have been laid therein,
o water mains have been laid
herein.

Twenty-fourth avenue between
Kirkham and Lawton streets, paved
vith asphaltic concrete, sewers and
as mains have been laid therein,
o water mains have been laid there-
n.

Thirty-fourth avenue between
Ulloa and Vicente streets, paved
vith asphaltic concrete, sewers and
as mains have been laid therein,
o water mains have been laid
herein.

Seventeenth avenue between Ulloa
nd Vicente streets, and Forty-
ourth avenue between Taraval and
Ulloa streets, paved with asphaltic
oncrete pavement, sewers and gas
nains have been laid therein, no
vater mains have been laid therein.

Ulloa street between Thirty-sixth
nd Thirty-seventh avenues, paved
vith asphaltic concrete pavement,
ewers and gas mains have been
aid therein, no water mains have
een laid therein.

Vicente street between Forty-
sixth and Forty-seventh avenues,
and the crossing of Vicente street
and Forty-sixth avenues, paved with
asphaltic concrete, sewers and gas
mains have been laid therein, no
water mains have been laid therein.

Virginia avenue between Winfield
street and Eugenia avenue, and the
intersection of Virginia avenue and
Elsie street, paved with concrete,
sewers and gas mains have been
laid therein, no water mains have
been laid therein.

Vicente street between Forty-
seventh avenue and the Great High-
way, and the crossing of Vicente
street and Forty-seventh avenue,
paved with asphaltic concrete, sew-
ers and gas mains have been laid
therein, no water mains have been
laid therein.

Vicente street between Forty-
fifth and Forty-sixth avenues, and
the crossing of Vicente street and
Forty-sixth avenue, paved with as-
phaltic concrete, sewers and gas
mains have been laid therein, no
water mains have been laid therein.

Winfield street between Coso and
Esmeralda avenues and the inter-
section of Winfield street and Coso
avenue, paved with concrete, sew-
ers and gas mains have been laid
therein, no water mains have been
laid therein.

Crossing of Jennings street and
Le Conte avenue, paved with asphal-
tic concrete, sewers and gas mains
have been laid therein, no water
mains have been laid therein.

Crossing of Egbert avenue and
Ingalls street, paved with asphal-
tic concrete, sewers and gas mains
have been laid therein, no water
mains have been laid therein.

Crossing of Forty-seventh avenue
and Rivera street, and Forty-
seventh avenue and Santiago street,
paved with asphaltic concrete, sew-
ers and gas mains have been laid
therein, no water mains have been
laid therein.

Crossing of Sixteenth avenue and
Pacheco street, paved with asphal-
tic concrete, sewers and gas mains
have been laid therein, no water
mains have been laid therein.

Crossing of Twenty-first avenue
and Ortega street, paved with as-
phaltic concrete, sewers and gas
mains have been laid therein, no
water mains have been laid therein.

Crossing of Santiago street and
Twenty-ninth avenue, paved with
asphaltic concrete, sewers and gas
mains have been laid therein, no
water mains have been laid therein.

Crossing of Fifteenth avenue and
Pacheco street, and Mandalay lane,
paved with asphaltic concrete, sew-
ers and gas mains have been laid

therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Crossing of Brussels and Harkness streets, paved with asphaltic concrete, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Crossing of Twenty-sixth avenue and Santiago street, paved with asphaltic concrete, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Crossing of Twenty-fifth avenue and Santiago street, paved with asphaltic concrete, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Crossing of Twenty-seventh avenue and Santiago street, paved with asphaltic concrete, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Crossing of Huron avenue and Foote avenue, paved with asphaltic concrete, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—18.

Bill No. 8040, Ordinance No. 7553 (New Series), as follows:

Providing for the conditional acceptance of the roadway of Alpha street between Teddy and Arleta avenues; Clyde street between Townsend street and Lusk alley; Funston avenue between Lawton and Moraga streets, and the intersection of Funston avenue and Aloha street; Forty-seventh avenue between Vicente and Wawona streets, and between Wawona street and Sloat boulevard; Forty-sixth avenue between Vicente and Wawona streets and between Wawona street and Sloat boulevard and the crossing of Forty-sixth avenue and Wawona street; Judson avenue between Edna and Foerster streets; Le Conte avenue between Third and Jennings streets; Merrill street between Silver avenue and Hale street; Montana street between Capitol and Faxon avenues; Ortega street between Fifteenth and Sixteenth avenues; Powhattan avenue between Anderson and Ellsworth streets; Rivera street between Fourteenth and Fifteenth avenues; Rutland street between Wilde and Tioga avenues; Tioga avenue and Tucker avenue; Tucker avenue and Campbell avenue, and Campbell avenue and Teddy avenue; Rutland street between Harkness street and Wilde avenue, and between Teddy and Arleta avenues; Santiago street between Thirty-second and Thirty-third avenues; Sargent street between Byxbee and Monticello streets, between Monticello and Worcester streets, and the crossings of Sargent street and Byxbee street and Sargent street and Monticello street; Schwerin street between Sunnydale and Visitacion avenues; Twenty-second street between the westerly line of Carolina street and the easterly line of Wisconsin street and Wisconsin street between Twenty-second street and the southerly line of Twenty-third street; Thirty-seventh avenue between Ulloa and Vicente streets.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The roadways of the following named streets, including the curbs on both sides thereof, having been constructed to the satisfaction of the Board of Public Works and of the Board of Supervisors, are hereby conditionally accepted by the City and County of San Francisco (except those portions required by law to be kept in order by the railroad company having tracks thereon), in accordance with the provisions of Section 23, Chapter 2, Article VI of the Charter, said roadways having been paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete and concrete curbs laid thereon, and are in good condition throughout, to-wit:

Alpha street between Teddy and Arleta avenues, paved with concrete, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Clyde street between Townsend street and Lusk alley, paved with concrete, gas and water mains have been laid therein, no sewers have been laid therein, same not being necessary at this time.

Funston avenue between Lawton and Moraga streets and the intersection of Funston avenue and Aloha street, paved with concrete, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Forty-seventh avenue between Vicente and Wawona streets and between Wawona street and Sloat boulevard, paved with asphaltic concrete, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Forty-sixth avenue between Vicente and Wawona streets and between Wawona street and Sloat boulevard and the crossing of Forty-sixth avenue and Wawona street, paved with asphaltic concrete, sewers and gas mains have been laid

therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Judson avenue between Edna and Foerster streets, paved with concrete pavement, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Le Conte avenue between Third and Jennings streets, paved with concrete, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Merrill street between Silver avenue and Hale street, paved with asphaltic concrete, gas and water mains have been laid therein, no sewers have been laid therein, the same not being necessary at this time.

Montana street between Capitol and Faxon avenues, paved with asphaltic concrete, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Ortega street between Fifteenth and Sixteenth avenues, paved with concrete, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Powhattan avenue between Anderson and Ellsworth streets, paved with concrete and asphaltic concrete, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Rivera street between Fourteenth and Fifteenth avenues, paved with asphaltic concrete, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Rutland street between Wilde and Tioga avenues, Tioga avenue and Tucker avenue, Tucker avenue and Campbell avenue and Campbell avenue and Teddy avenue, paved with concrete sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Rutland street between Harkness and Wilde avenues, and between Teddy and Arleta avenues, paved with asphaitic concrete, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Santiago street between Thirty-second and Thirty-third avenues, paved with asphaltic concrete, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Sargent street between Byxbee and Monticello streets, between Monticello street and Worcester avenue and the crossings of Sargent street and Byxbee street and Sargent street and Monticello street, paved with concrete, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Schwerin street between Sunnydale and Visitacion avenues, paved with asphaltic concrete, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Twenty-second street between the westerly line of Carolina street and the easterly line of Wisconsin street and Wisconsin street between Twenty-second street and the southerly line of Twenty-third street, paved with asphaltic concrete, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Thirty-seventh avenue between Ulloa and Vicente streets, paved with asphaltic concrete, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—18.

Spur Track Permit, The Western Pacific Railroad Compnay.

Bill No. 8041, Ordinance No. 7554 (New Series), as follows:

Granting permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to The Western Pacific Railroad Company to construct, maintain and operate spur tracks on Carolina street between the southerly line of Mariposa street and Seventeenth street as hereinafter described.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, is hereby granted to The Western Pacific Railroad Company to construct, maintain and operate spur tracks as follows:

Spur No. 1. Beginning at a point in the center line of the existing side track of the applicant, distant approximately 79 feet south of the south line of Mariposa street and approximately 53 feet east of the east line of Carolina street; thence northwesterly along a turnout to the right approximately 102 feet, intersecting the south line of said Mariposa street approximately 13 feet east from the east line of said Carolina street; thence northwesterly along the arc of a curve to the left with a radius of 574 feet, a distance of approximately 30 feet; thence in a direct line northwesterly 159 feet to a point on the west line of Carolina street approximately 114 feet north of the north

line of said Mariposa street; thence northwesterly along the arc of a curve to the left having a radius of 1722 feet, a distance of approximately 74 feet; thence northwesterly, parallel with and 33 feet distant northwesterly from the center line of the main track of the applicant, a distance of approximately 259 feet to a point on the south line of said Seventeenth street, approximately 30 feet east of the east line of said De Haro street.

Spur No. 2. Beginning at a point in the center line of the above described spur track No. 1, 9 feet north of the north line of Mariposa street and approximately 25 feet west of the east line of Carolina street; thence northwesterly along a turnout to right approximately 70 feet; thence northerly along the arc of a curve to the right having a radius of 287 feet, a distance of approximately 100 feet to a point 10 feet east of the west line of said Carolina street; thence northerly, parallel with and 10 feet distant easterly from the west line of said Carolina street, a distance of approximately 276 feet to the south line of said Seventeenth street.

Said permission is granted subject to the provisions of Ordinance No. 69 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors, approved October 12, 1906, and the provisions and conditions of Section 8 thereof are hereby specifically contained in the permit hereby granted and shall be construed as part hereof as complete as though the same were written in this ordinance.

Provided, that said spur track shall be laid with girder rails under the supervision and to the lines and grades as furnished by the City Engineer's office, and that any and all expense connected with the installation of the tracks, restoration of the pavement and any additional requirements for the surface drainage to be paid for by The Western Pacific Railroad Company; provided, that The Western Pacific Railroad Company shall erect and maintain all-night lighted arc lamps to be placed where directed by the Lighting Committee of the Board of Supervisors.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—18.

PRESENTATION OF BILLS AND ACCOUNTS.

Your Finance Committee, having examined miscellaneous demands not required by law to be passed to print, and amounting to $76,597.47, recommends same be allowed and ordered paid.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—18.

NEW BUSINESS.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Authorizations.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy:

Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

Park Fund.

(1) Landau Economic Syphon Co., soda water for parks (claim dated May 20, 1927), $1,089.69.

(2) Pacific Gas & Electric Co., gas and electric service for parks (claim dated May 20, 1927), $1,544.46.

(3) Spring Valley Water Co., water service for parks (claim dated May 20, 1927), $1,967.11.

(4) Frank Food Co., foodstuffs for parks (claim dated May 20, 1927), $723.08.

(5) Langendorf Baking Co., bread for parks (claim dated May 20, 1927), $811.01.

(6) J. H. McCallum, lumber for parks (claim dated May 20, 1927), $820.70.

(7) San Francisco Dairy Co., milk for parks (claim dated May 20, 1927), $656.82.

Library Fund.

(8) San Francisco News Co., library books (claim dated April 30, 1927), $952.12.

(9) San Francisco News Co., library books (claim dated April 30, 1927), $1,235.27.

(10) American Building Maintenance Co., library janitor service (claim dated April 30, 1927), $700.

(11) D. N. & E. Walter & Co., blinds for library (claim dated April 30, 1927), $2,079.25.

(12) Foster & Futernick Co., binding library books (claim dated April 30, 1927), $1,944.55.

(13) G. E. Stechert & Co., library books (claim dated April 30, 1927), $2,991.38.

County Road Fund.

(14) Fay Improvement Co., acceptance and final payment for improvement by paving of Southern Heights avenue (claim dated May 18, 1927), $7,591.43.

Tearing-Up Streets Fund.

(15) Gladding, McBean & Co., sewer pipe for sidesewers (claim dated May 16, 1927), $525.

Special School Tax.

(16) George H. Tay Co., plumbing supplies for schools (claim dated May 13, 1927), $1,116.68.

School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923.

(17) Acme Construction Co., first payment, general construction of additions to High School of Commerce, alterations to old building (claim dated May 18, 1927), $7,128.08.

(18) John Reid, Jr., fourth payment, architectural services for Sherman School (claim dated May 17, 1927), $668.18.

(19) John Reid, Jr., twelfth payment, architectural services for additions to Galileo High School (claim dated May 18, 1927), $713.33.

County Road Fund.

(20) W. S. Dickey Clay Mfg. Co., paving brick for improvement of Steiner street (claim dated May 17, 1927), $1,063.20.

Hetch Hetchy Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1925.

(21) Hales & Symons, Inc., lumber for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated May 14, 1927), $696.67.

Municipal Railway Fund.

(22) San Francisco City Employees Retirement System, pensions, etc., for Municipal Railway employees (claim dated May 11, 1927), $7,353.02.

General Fund, 1926-1927.

(23) Berringer & Russell, oats, etc., for Police Department (claim dated May 18, 1927), $518.98.

(24) Board of Park Commissioners, to reimburse the Park Fund for account of beautification of the Civic Center (claim dated May 20, 1927), $760.88.

(25) Eaton & Smith, City's portion of assessment for improvement of Douglass street between Twenty-fifth and Twenty-sixth streets (claim dated May 18, 1927), $3,171.

(26) Granfield, Farrar & Carlin, acceptance and final payment for grading of S. F. Municipal Airport (claim dated May 18, 1927), $6,180.20.

(27) Bode Gravel Co., gravel for street reconstruction (claim dated May 17, 1927), $689.83.

(28) California Rock Co., gravel for street repair (claim dated May 16, 1927), $3,139.54.

(29) Western Rock Products Co., sand for street repair (claim dated May 16, 1927), $3,102.02.

(30) California Petroleum Corporation, fuel oil for Civic Center Power House (claim dated May 13, 1927), $1,238.52.

(31) Pacific Gas & Electric Co., lighting public buildings (claim dated May 13, 1927), $4,222.88.

(32) California Petroleum Corporation, fuel oil for asphalt plant (claim dated May 13, 1927), $688.90.

(33) Standard Oil Co. of California, asphalt for street repair (claim dated May 12, 1927), $2,462.48.

(34) A. H. Coates Co., roller chain for Fire Department (claim dated April 30, 1927), $884.

(35) Crown Oil Co., gasoline for Fire Department (claim dated Apr. 30, 1927), $798.54.

(36) Mack-International Motor Truck Corp., two Mack chassis for Fire Department (claim dated Apr. 30, 1927), $9,803.50.

(37) Pacific Gas & Electric Co., gas and electric service for Fire Department (claim dated Apr. 30, 1927), $1,936.33.

(38) Shell Company, fuel oil, Fire Department (claim dated Apr. 30, 1927), $884.34.

(39) Spring Valley Water Co., water and installing hydrants, Fire Department (claim dated April 30, 1927), $1,689.62.

(40) E. B. Frick, room allowance for S. F. Hospital employees (claim dated May 19, 1927), $2,182.40.

(41) Seabury & Johnson, plaster for S. F. Hospital (claim dated May 19, 1927), $504.

(42) Lesser Bros., meats, S. F. Hospital (claim dated May 19, 1927), $2,365.99.

(43) J. T. Freitas Co., eggs, S. F. Hospital (claim dated May 19, 1927), $1,400.03.

(44) C. Nauman Co., vegetables, S. F. Hospital (claim dated May 19, 1927), $1,006.76.

(45) L. Scatena & Co., fruit, etc., S. F. Hospital (claim dated May 19, 1927), $715.92.

(46) L. Dinkelspiel & Co., dry goods, S. F. Hospital (claim dated May 19, 1927), $5,728.61.

(47) Kahn & Co., films, S. F. Hospital (claim dated May 19, 1927), $1,694.70.

(48) California Petroleum Corp., fuel oil, S. F. Hospital (claim dated May 19, 1927), $2,304.89.

(49) Langendorf Baking Co., bread, S. F. Hospital (claim dated May 19, 1927), $1,250.74.

(50) San Francisco Dairy Co., milk, S. F. Hospital (claim dated May 19, 1927), $4,329.47.

(51) Spring Valley Water Co., water, S. F. Hospital (claim dated May 19, 1927), $1,604.32.

Library Fund.

(52) G. E. Stechert & Co., library books (claim dated April 30, 1927), $2,534.34.

General Fund, 1926-1927.

(53) Bureau of Management Research, services rendered in connection with salary standardization (claim dated May 9, 1927), $613.82.

(54) San Francisco Bulletin. official advertising, Board of Supervisors (claim dated May 23, 1927), $1,848.84.

Appropriaton, $10,517.02, Payment to Garret W. McEnerney for Tax Refund Creditors.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $10,517.02 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of "Tax Judgments," Appropriation 59, and authorized in payment to Garret W. McEnerney, attorney for judgment creditors, as per schedule attached; being one-tenth of amount of judgments, including interest, and as approved by the City Attorney. (Payments to Annie N. Farrell et al.) (Claim dated May 20 1927.)

Approprations for the Acquisition of Land Required for the Bernal Heights Boulevard and Alemany Boulevard.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized in payment out of appropriations heretofore made out of County Road Fund for the construction of the Bernal Heights boulevard and of the Alemany boulevard, and paid to the hereinafter named claimants; being payments for properties required for boulevard purposes, to-wit:

(1) To the Moore Investment Company, for property required for the Bernal Heights boulevard and as described in acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 27273, New Series (claim dated May 18, 1927), $1,350.

(2) To the Ocean Shore Railroad Company, for property required for the opening of Alemany boulevard and as described in acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 27275, New Series (claim dated May 18, 1927), $3,325.

Auditor to Transfer Interest and Principal, Geary Street Bonds.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 27298 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Auditor and the Treasurer of the City and County be and are hereby directed to transfer in season to pay interest and principal on Geary Street Railway Bonds, Market Street Railway Bonds and Municipal Railway Bonds to become due on or before July 1, 1927, the following sums or so much thereof as may be necessary, to-wit:

From the Municipal Railway Fund the sum of $17,100 to the credit of Geary Street Railway Bond Interest Fund, and the sum of $742.50 to the credit of the Market Street Railway Bond Interest Fund, 1910, and the sum of $65,000 to the credit of Municipal Railway Bond Interest Fund, 1913; and the sum of $95,000 to the credit of the Geary Street Bond 1910 Redemption Fund, and the sum of $3,000 to the credit of the Market Street Railway Bond 1910 Redemption Fund. As provided by Ordinance No. 7060 (New Series).

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—18.

Accepting Statement of the California Street Cable Railroad Company of Franchise Percentage of Gross Receipts Due City.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 27299 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the statement heretofore filed by the California Street Cable Railroad Company showing franchise percentage of gross receipts due the City and County for the year ending December 31, 1926, in the sum of $5,834.03, be and the same is hereby accepted; and

Further Resolved, That the California Street Cable Railroad Company is hereby directed to deposit said sum of $5,834.03 with the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco, the same to be placed to the credit of the General Fund.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—18.

Accepting Statement of Market Street Railway Company of Franchise Percentages Due City.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 27300 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the statement heretofore filed by the Market Street Railway Company, showing gross receipts from passenger fares for the month of April, 1927, upon which percentages in the following amounts are due the City and County under terms of franchises, be and the same is hereby accepted, to-wit:

Parkside Transit Co., etc., $529.52.
Gough Street Railway Co., $50.01.
Parnassus avenue, etc., $323.04.

Further Resolved, That the Market Street Railway Company is hereby directed to deposit with the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco the hereinabove mentioned amounts, the same to be placed to the credit of the General Fund.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—18.

Passed for Printing.

The following resolution was *passed for printing*:

City Attorney to Compromise Litigation With B. Brandelien & Company for $3,500.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy:

Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, there is pending in the Superior Court of the State of California, in and for the City and County of San Francisco, an action entitled "Rudolph C. Brandelein and Robert P. Brandelein, copartners doing business under the firm name and style of B. Brandelein & Co., vs. City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation," which action is numbered 106483 in the files thereof, and which said action is prosecuted for the recovery of $7,702.92, together with interest and costs; and

Whereas, the City Attorney of the City and County of San Francisco has recommended that the said case be compromised and settled by paying to the plaintiffs therein the sum of $3,500 in full payment of all their claims; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the City Attorney be and he is hereby authorized to compromise and settle the abovementioned action by the payment to the plaintiffs therein the sum of $3,500 in full payment and satisfaction of all their claims against the City and County of San Francisco; and be it

Further Resolved, That the sum of $3,500 be and the same is hereby appropriated from the General Fund for the purpose of paying said claim, which said sum is to be paid to the plaintiffs in said action upon the dismissal of said action and the execution of a good and sufficient receipt relinquishing all claims against the City and County arising under said action.

Accepting Offer of Florence E. Christofferson to Sell for $300 Certain Land Required for Bernal Heights Boulevard.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 27301 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the owner of the following described land, sought to be acquired by the City and County of San Francisco for the opening of Bernal Heights boulevard, has offered to convey the property desired by the City and County of San Francisco for the sum set forth opposite her name, viz:

Florence C. Christofferson, $300—Lot 12, in Block 5641, as per the Assessor's Block Book of the City and County of San Francisco.

Whereas, the City Attorney has recommended the acceptance of the said offer and the acquisition of the property owned by said person and offered to the City and County of San Francisco for the price set forth, which said price is in accordance with the City's appraisement of the property; now, therefore be it

Resolved, That the said offer of sale be accepted and the City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to examine the title of said property, and if the same is found in satisfactory condition to accept in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, a deed conveying title thereto, and file the same for record with a copy of this resolution attached thereto as evidence of acceptance by the City and County of San Francisco, upon payment of the agreed purchase price.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—18.

Accepting Offer of Sarah Weinstein to Sell for $56 Certain Land Required for the Widening of Alemany Boulevard.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 27302 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the owner of the following described land situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and sought to be acquired by the City and County of San Francisco for the widening of Alemany boulevard has offered to convey the property desired by said City and County of San Francisco for the sum set forth opposite her name, viz.:

Sarah Weinstein, $56—Beginning at the most southerly corner of Lot 249 of Spring Valley Homestead, as per map thereof recorded in Map Book C and D, page 149, records of the City and County of San Francisco; thence northeasterly along the southeasterly line of said Spring Valley Homestead 25 feet; thence at right angles northwesterly 14.834 feet; thence deflecting to the left 90 deg. 46 min. and running southwesterly 25.002 feet; thence deflecting to the left 89 deg. 14 min. and running southeasterly 14.500 feet to the southeasterly line of the Spring Valley Homestead and the point of beginning. Being a portion of said Lot 249 of said Spring Valley Homestead.

Whereas, the City Attorney has recommended the acceptance of the said offer and the acquisition of the property owned by said person and offered to the City and County of San Francisco for the sum set forth, which said price is in accordance with the City's appraisement of the property; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the said offer of sale be accepted and the City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to examine the title of said property, and if the same is found in satisfactory condition, to accept in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, a deed conveying title thereto, and file the same for record with a copy of this resolution attached thereto as evidence of acceptance by the City and County of San Francisco, upon payment of the agreed purchase price.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—18.

Accepting Deed of Meyer Bros. for Land Required for Street Purposes.

Supervisor McSheehy presented: Resolution No. 27303 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the deed of Meyer Bros., a corporation, dated May 23, 1927, conveying eight parcels of land containing 4.944 acres, in this City and County, be and is hereby accepted and a copy of this resolution be attached to said deed as evidence of its acceptance by the City and County of San Francisco.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—18.

Accepting Offer of Magna S. Owens to Sell for $5,075 Certain Property Required for the Opening of Bernal Cut.

Supervisor McSheehy presented: Resolution No. 27304 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the owner of the following described land, situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and sought to be acquired by the City and County of San Francisco for the opening of Bernal Cut, extending from Mission and Randall streets southwesterly along the Southern Pacific Railroad right of way to the intersection of San Jose avenue and Circular avenue, has offered to convey the property desired by said City and County for the sum set forth opposite her name, viz:

Magna S. Owens, $5,075—All of Lot 40, Block 1, College Hill Tract, as recorded in Map Book "G," page 18, records of the City and County of San Francisco.

Whereas, the City Attorney has recommended the acceptance of the said offer and the acquisition of the property owned by said person and offered to the City and County of San Francisco for the price set forth, which said price is in accordance with the City's appraisement of the property; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the said offer of sale be accepted, and the City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to examine the title of said property, and if the same is found in satisfactory condition, to accept in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, a deed conveying title thereto, and file the same for record with a copy of this resolution attached thereto as evidence of acceptance by the City and County of San Francisco, upon payment of the agreed purchase price.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—18.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Amending Zoning Ordinance, Mendosa Street.

On motion of Supervisor Hayden:

Bill No. 8042, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings, and the locations of buildings designed for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Section 6 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place the southerly side of Mendosa avenue, opposite the termination of Eleventh avenue, being Lots Nos. 18, 20 and 21 of the Assessor's Block No. 2207, in the Commercial District instead of the First Residential District as now zoned.

Amending Zoning Ordinance, Bay View Street.

Also, Bill No. 8043, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings and the location of buildings designed for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Sections 9 and 11 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place the southerly side of Bay View street, commencing at a point 92 feet westerly from Flora street and running thence westerly 25 feet and extending to the depth of the rear lot line, in the Second Residential District instead of the First Residential District.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect on date of its approval and shall continue in effect for the period of ninety (90) days thereafter, at which time it shall cease to be in effect and the premises herein described shall revert to and be in the First Residential District.

Oil and Boiler Permits.

On motion of Supervisor Deasy:

Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits be and are hereby granted:

Oil Tanks.

T. A. Belmont, east side of Broderick street, 85 feet north of Bay street, 1500 gallons capacity.

W. M. Staller, east side of Baker street, 120 feet south of North Point street, 1500 gallons capacity.

W. M. Staller, east side of Baker street, 80 feet south of North Point street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Thos. Scoble, east side of Broderick street, 125 feet south of Bay street, 1500 gallons capacity.

T. M. Sommers, south side of Turk street, 150 feet east of Hyde street, 1500 gallons capacity.

F. A. Gotthardt, west side of West Gate drive, 400 feet north of Ocean avenue, 600 gallons capacity.

F. A. Belmont, southeast corner of Chestnut and Divisadero streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

Jos. Aurrecochea, north side of Balboa street, 75 feet west of Forty-third avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

Emanual & Hellgren, north side of California street, 178 feet east of Spruce street, 1500 gallons capacity.

R. J. Stempel, northeast corner of Chestnut and Divisadero streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

T. B. Strand, west side of Gough street, 110 feet south of Bay street, 1500 gallons capacity.

E. R. Randall, 77 Jordan avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

W. W. Magill, west side of Fillmore street, 32 feet south of Page street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Boilers.

Holm & Nathan, 49 Fourth street, 10-horsepower.

Lion Distributing Co., 1243 Folsom street, 15-horsepower.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits shall become null and void.

Automobile Supply Station Permits.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That J. S. Rhodes, Jr., be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred to him automobile supply station permit heretofore granted to Wm. Goessman by Resolution No. 25891 (New Series), for premises on the

west side of Seventh avenue, 195 feet, more or less, north of Plaza.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Associated Oil Company be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred automobile supply station permit heretofore granted to L. W. Sartor by Resolution No. 15563 (New Series) for premises on the northeast corner of Golden Gate avenue and Polk street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise siad permit shall become null and void.

Street Lights.

Supervisor Stanton presented:

Resolution No. 27305 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Pacific Gas and Electric Company be and it is hereby authorized and requested to remove and install the following street lights:

Remove Gas Lamps.

Sansome street between Broadway and Vallejo street.

Sansome and Vallejo streets.

North and south sides Greenwich street between Broderick and Baker streets.

Castro street and Duboce avenue.

Remove 250 *C. P.*

Mission street, Army street to Fair avenue (10).

Change 400 *M. R.*

Kansas street, 200 feet south of Twentieth street, one pole north.

Remove 400 *M. R.*

Third street between Bay View and Thomas avenues (4).

Third street between Paul and Jamestown avenues (3).

Twenty-second and Michigan streets.

Twenty-second and Georgia streets.

Sixteenth street, near Market street.

Clinton Park, near Market street.

Lobos avenue between Caine and Plymouth avenues.

Install 400 *M. R.*

Schwerin street, between Sunnydale avenue and Walbridge street.

Bixby street between Holloway avenue and Garfield street.

Bixby street, between Garfield and Shields streets.

De Haro street, third pole north of Twenty-third street.

Rhode Island street between Twenty-second street and Southern Heights avenue.

Wisconsin street between Twenty-second and Twenty-third streets, 225 feet north of Twenty-third street.

San Bruno avenue between Mariposa and Seventeenth streets.

Vermont street, north of Twenty-second street.

McKinley Park, top of stairway from Utah and Twentieth streets.

Lobos avenue, near Plymouth avenue.

Huron avenue and Naglee street.

Sansome and Vallejo streets.

Sansome street between Broadway and Vallejo street.

Greenwich street between Broderick and Baker streets.

Castro street and Duboce avenue.

Montcalm street between Isabella and Franconia streets.

Lippert street between Chenery and Surrey streets.

Delta street between Visitacion and Sunnydale avenues.

Jamestown and Coronado avenues.

Moultrie street between Jarboe and Tompkins avenues.

Bessie avenue and Stoneman street.

Gilman avenue between Jennings street and Ingalls avenue.

Gilman avenue between Ingalls and Hawes avenues.

Gilman avenue between Hawes and Griffith avenues.

Hollister street between Jennings street and Ingalls avenue.

Hollister street between Ingalls and Hawes avenues.

De Haro street, third pole north of Twenty-third street.

Rhode Island street between Twenty-second street and Southern Heights avenue, third pole north of Twenty-second street.

Wisconsin street between Twenty-second and Twenty-third streets, 225 feet north of Twenty-third street.

San Bruno avenue between Mariposa and Seventeenth streets.

Vermont street north of Twenty-second street.

McKinley Park, top of stairway from Utah and Twentieth streets.

Install 600 *O. B.*

West side Third street, south of Bay View avenue.

East side Third street, north of Shafter avenue.

West side Third street, opposite Thomas avenue.

West side Third street, opposite Shafter avenue.

Southeast corner Third street and Thomas avenue.

East side Third street, 100 feet south of Shafter avenue.

West side Third street and Paul avenue.

West side Third street between Paul and Salinas avenues.

Northeast corner Third street and Hollister avenue.

Northeast corner Third street and Ingerson avenue.

Northwest corner Third street and Jamestown avenue.

Mission street between Army street and Fair avenue (10).

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—18.

Also, Resolution No. 27306 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Pacific Gas and Electric Company be and it is hereby authorized and requested to remove and install the following street lights:

Remove 400 *M. R.*

South side Haight street between Gough and Octavia streets.

Northeast corner Haight and Octavia streets.

South side Haight street between Octavia and Laguna streets.

Northeast corner Haight and Laguna streets.

South side Haight street between Laguna and Buchanan streets.

Northeast corner Haight and Buchanan streets.

North side and south side Haight street between Buchanan and Webster streets.

Northwest and southeast corners Haight and Webster streets.

Northeast and southwest corners Haight and Fillmore streets.

North side and south side Haight street between Fillmore and Steiner streets.

Northeast and southwest corners Haight and Steiner streets.

North side and south side Haight street between Steiner and Pierce streets.

Northeast and southwest corners Haight and Pierce streets.

North side and south side Haight and Scott streets.

Southwest corner Haight and Scott streets.

North side and south side Haight street between Pierce and Scott streets.

North side and south side Haight street between Webster and Fillmore streets.

Remove 600 *M. R.*

Northeast corner Haight and Divisadero streets.

Remove Gas.

North side Haight street, first west of Scott street.

South side Haight street, first and second west of Scott street.

North side Haight street, first and second west of Divisadero street.

South side Haight street, first west of Divisadero street.

Northwest and southeast corners Haight and Broderick streets.

South side Haight street, first west of Broderick street.

North side Haight street, first west of Broderick street.

Install 400 *C. P. Ornamental Brackets*

North and south sides Haight street between Gough and Octavia streets.

Northeast and southwest corners Haight and Octavia streets.

North and south sides Haight street between Octavia and Laguna streets.

Northeast and southwest corners Haight and Laguna streets.

North and south sides Haight street between Laguna and Buchanan streets.

Northeast and southwest corners Haight and Buchanan streets.

North and south sides Haight street between Buchanan and Webster streets.

Northeast and southwest corners Haight and Webster streets.

North and south sides Haight street between Webster and Fillmore streets.

Northeast and southwest corners Haight and Fillmore streets.

North and south sides Haight street between Fillmore and Steiner streets.

Northeast and southwest corners Haight and Steiner streets.

North and south sides Haight street between Steiner and Pierce streets.

Northeast and southwest corners Haight and Pierce streets.

North and south sides Haight street between Pierce and Scott streets.

Northeast and southwest corners Haight and Scott streets.

North and south sides Haight street between Scott and Divisadero streets.

Northeast and southwest corners Haight and Divisadero streets.

North and south sides Haight

street between Divisadero and Broderick streets.

Northeast and southwest corners Haight and Broderick streets.

North and south sides Haight street between Broderick and Baker streets.

Northeast corner Haight and Baker streets.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—18.

Accepting Offer of Thos. W. Caine to Sell for $2,750 Certain Property Required for School Purposes.

Supervisor Powers presented:

Resolution No. 27307 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, an offer has been received from Thomas W. Caine to sell to the City and County of San Francisco certain land situated on the easterly line of Thirty-second avenue, distant thereon 100 feet northerly from the northerly line of Balboa street, required for school purposes; and

Whereas, the price at which said parcel of land is offered is the reasonable value thereof; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the offer of the said owner to convey to the City and County of San Francisco a good and sufficient fee simple title to the following described land, free of all encumbrances, for the sum of $2,750 be and the same is hereby accepted, the said land being described as follows, to-wit:

Commencing at a point situate on the easterly line of Thirty-second avenue, distant thereon 100 feet northerly from the northerly line of Balboa street, running thence northerly along said easterly line of Thirty-second avenue 25 feet; thence easterly 117 feet, more or less; thence southeasterly 25 feet; thence at a right angle 120 feet, more or less, westerly to the easterly line of Thirty-second avenue and point of commencement.

Being a portion of Outside Land Block No. 314, also known as Block 1574 on Assessor's Map Book.

The City Attorney is hereby directed to examine the title to said land, and if the same is found to be vested in the aforesaid owner, free of all encumbrances, and that the taxes up to and including the current fiscal year are paid, and that the so-called McEnerney title has been procured, or sufficient money reserved for the purpose of procuring the same, to report the result of his examination to the Board of Supervisors, and also to cause a good and sufficient deed for said land to be executed and delivered to the City and County upon payment of the agreed purchase price as aforesaid. And the said deed to said land is hereby accepted.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—18.

Accepting Offer of J. A. Christian to Sell for $2,750 Certain Property Required for School Purposes.

Supervisor Powers presented:

Reolution No. 27308 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, an offer has been received from J. A. Christian to sell to the City and County of San Francisco certain land situate on the easterly line of Thirty-second avenue, distant 75 feet north from Balboa street, required for school purposes; and

Whereas, the price at which said parcel of land is offered is the reasonable value thereof; therefore,

Resolved, That the offer of the said owner to convey to the City and County of San Francisco a good and sufficient fee simple title to the following described land, free of all encumbrances, for the sum of $2,750 be and the same is hereby accepted, the said land being described as follows, to-wit:

Commencing at a point on the easterly line of Thirty-second avenue, distant thereon 75 feet northerly from the northerly line of Balboa street, running thence northerly along the easterly line of Thirty-second avenue 25 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 120 feet, more or less; thence southeasterly 25 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 122 feet, more or less, to the easterly line of Thirty-second avenue and point of commencement.

Being a portion of Outside Land Block 314, also known as Block 1574 on Assessor's Map Book.

The City Attorney is hereby directed to examine the title to said land, and if the same is found to be vested in the aforesaid owner, free of all encumbrances, and that the taxes up to and including the current fiscal year are paid, and that the so-called McEnerney title has been procured, or sufficient money reserved for the purpose of procuring the same, to report the result of his examination to the

Board of Supervisors, and also to cause a good and sufficient deed for said land to be executed and delivered to the City and County upon payment of the agreed purchase price as aforesaid. And the s deed to said land is hereby accepted.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—18.

Action Deferred.

The following matter was *continued one week*:

Transfer of Twin Peaks Tunnel Fund To Municipal Railway Fund.

Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $42,508.21 be and is hereby transferred from the Twin Peaks Ridge Tunnel Assessment Fund to the Municipal Railway Fund, and the Board of Public Works, Tax Collector, Auditor and Treasurer are hereby directed to make such entries in their official records and books of account as will correctly show such transfer; said transfer is for the purpose of reimbursing said Municipal Railway Fund for moneys advanced therefrom and used in the construction of the Twin Peaks Tunnel project.

Transfer of School Lands.

The following matter was taken up:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following lands be transferred to the School Department, to-wit: Bounded on the north by Clement street, on the east by Twenty-ninth avenue, on the south by Geary street, and on the west by Thirtieth avenue, and known as Outside Land Block No. 210.

Privilege of the Floor.

Mrs. Ernest Mott, School Director, was heard urging the adpotion of the resolution.

At the request of Supervisor Todd the Clerk was directed to send letter to Board of Education to ascertain just what the land above mentioned is to be used for.

Referred.

Whereupon, on motion of Supervisor Todd, the foregoing matter was *referred to the Public Buildings Committee.*

Endorsement of the Application of Santa Rosa, Petaluma and Sausalito Auto Stage Company.

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the Santa Rosa, Petaluma and Sausalito Auto Stage Co., now operating a motor stage service between Santa Rosa and Sausalito and intermediate points, has applied to the Railroad Commission of the State of California for a certificate of public convenience and necessity authorizing the extension of its service to San Francisco, said company contemplating the establishment of its terminal station in the vicinity of Fifth and Mission streets, San Francisco; and

Whereas, it appears that the extension of this stage service, affording, as it will, a direct connection and means of transportation between San Francisco and the North Bay counties, will be of great public convenience and a real necessity to the citizens of San Francisco, who use this form of transportation for purposes of business or pleasure, as well as to the many citizens of the North Bay counties desiring a more direct means of reaching San Francisco; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, By the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, that we heartily endorse the application of said Santa Rosa, Petaluma and Sausalito Auto Stage Co. to extend its service into San Francisco proper, and recommend that its application be granted by the Railroad Commission; and, be it

Further Resolved, That a certified copy of this resolution be transmitted by the Secretary of this Board to the Railroad Commission.

Communication, from North Central Improvement Association protesting the adoption of the resolution.

Privilege of the Floor.

F. B. Austin, representing Golden Gate Stages Co., agreed to use any street designated by the City officials.

Mr. Waite, representing the Santa Rosa-Petaluma line, was also heard in favor of the resolution.

Action Deferred.

Whereupon, Supervisor Shannon moved as an amendment that the matter remain on calendar until next week.

Motion *carried*.

Giving Notice, Municipal Railway Bond Election.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 27309 (New Series), as follows:

Giving notice of a special election to be held in the City and County

of San Francisco on Tuesday, the 14th day of June, 1927, for the purpose of submitting to the voters of the City and County of San Francisco a proposition to incur a bonded debt of the City and County of San Francisco to the amount of four million seven hundred thousand ($4,700,000) dollars for the acquisition, construction and completion of a public utility, to-wit, the extension of the Municipal Street Railway, or system of street railways, along the route or in the territory described as follows:

From Scott and Chestnut streets into the Marina Tract, west of Scott street and north of Chestnut street; from the Municipal Railway track at Masonic avenue and Turk street into the Richmond District, connecting with the Municipal Railway tracks running to the Ocean Beach; from the Municipal Railway tracks on Market street at Duboce avenue, through the Sunset-Duboce Tunnel into the Sunset District and through the Sunset District to a terminal near the Ocean Beach, with connecting crosstown service west of Twentieth avenue between said extension and the existing Municipal Railway line on Taraval street; from the Municipal Railway tracks at Forty-sixth avenue and Taraval street southerly to a terminal near Wawona street and Forty-seventh avenue; from the Municipal Railway tracks at Thirtieth and Church streets, southwesterly through the Bernal Cut, into the Excelsior District, at or near Excelsior street; thence southerly through the Excelsior District to a terminal at Geneva avenue; from Seventeenth street and Market street in a southwesterly direction to, at or near Eureka street and Market street; thence in a southerly direction on and over Eureka street or other most feasible route to Twenty-third street, at or near Eureka street; thence in an easterly direction on or over Twenty-third street or other most feasible route to Diamond street; thence in a southerly direction on or over Diamond street or other most feasible route to Twenty-ninth street; an automobile bus service from the vicinity of Seventeenth street and Potrero avenue by the most feasible route to the vicinty of Twenty-third street and Wisconsin street, and for such other extensions and additions to the trackage and facilities of the said Municipal Railway System as may be deemed necessary, and to include equipment thereof, appurtenances thereto and the purchase of necessary lands.

Resolved, by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Notice is hereby given that pursuant to the laws of the State of California, the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco and the provisions of Bill No. 8024, Ordinance No. 7534 (New Series), of the Board of Supervisors, passed May 9, 1927, reference to said ordinance for further particulars being here made, a special election will be held in the City and County of San Francisco, on Tuesday, the 14th day of June, 1927, for the purpose of submitting to the electors of said City and County the following proposition, to-wit: The acquisition, construction and completion of a public utility, to-wit, the extension of the Municipal Street Railway, or system of street railways, along the route or in the territory described as follows: From Scott and Chestnut streets into the Marina Tract, west of Scott street and north of Chestnut street; from the Municipal Railway track at Masonic avenue and Turk street into the Richmond District, connecting with the Municipal Railway tracks running to the Ocean Beach; from the Municipal Railway tracks on Market street at Duboce avenue, through the Sunset-Duboce Tunnel into the Sunset District and through the Sunset District to a terminal near the Ocean Beach, with connecting crosstown service west of Twentieth avenue between said extension and the existing Municipal Railway line on Taraval street; from the Municipal Railway tracks at Forty-sixth avenue and Taraval street, southerly to a terminal near Wawona street and Forty-seventh avenue; from the Municipal Railway tracks at Thirtieth and Church streets, southwesterly through the Bernal Cut, into the Excelsior District, at or near Excelsior street; thence southerly through the Excelsior District to a terminal at Geneva avenue; from Seventeenth street and Market street in a southwesterly direction to, at or near Eureka street and Market street; thence in a southerly direction on and over Eureka street or other most feasible route to Twenty-third street at or near Eureka street; thence in an easterly direction on and over Twenty-third street or other most feasible route to Diamond street; thence in a southerly direction on or over Diamond street or other most feasible route to Twenty-ninth street; an automobile bus service from the vicinity or Seventeenth street and

Potrero avenue by the most feasible route to the vicinity of Twenty-third street and Wisconsin street, and for such other extensions and additions to the trackage and facilities of the said Municipal Railway System as may be deemed necessary, and to include equipment thereof, appurtenancs thereto and the purchase of necessary lands, and the incurring of a bonded indebtedness of the City and County of San Francisco to the amount of four million seven hundred thousand ($4,700,000) dollars for the acquisition, construction and completion of the public utility described herein.

Section 2. If, at such special election, it shall appear that two-thirds of all the votes cast thereat were in favor of and authorized the incurring of a bonded debt for the purpose set forth in the proposition described in Section 1 of this resolution, then such proposition shall be deemed to have been accepted by the electors and bonds will be issued to defray the cost of the public utility described therein. Such bonds shall be of the form and character known as "serials." All of said bonds shall be dated July 1, 1927, shall bear interest at the rate of 4½ per centum per annum, payable semi-annually, shall be of the denomination of one thousand dollars each, and the principal and interest thereof shall be payable in gold coin of the United States. Said bonds shall be called "Municipal Railway Extension Bonds."

Bonds issued for the purpose stated shall be numbered from 1 to 4700, both inclusive, and shall be payable one hundred eighty-eight thousand ($188,000) dollars thereof eight years from the date of said bonds, beginning with the lowest numbers, and one hundred eighty-eight thousand ($188,000) dollars thereof of the next higher numbers on the same day in each succeeding year until all of said bonds shall be paid.

Section 3. Said bonds and coupons shall be in substantially the following form :

The United States of America,
State of California,
City and County of San Francisco.
Municipal Railway Extension Bond.
No.——— $1,000.00

For value received, the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of California, hereby acknowledges itself indebted and promises to pay to the bearer, on the first day of ————, 19——, one thousand dollars, with interest thereon at the rate of 4½ per centum per annum, payable semi-annually January 1 to July 1, on presentation and surrender of the coupons hereto attached as they respectively become due, both principal and interest being payable in gold coin of the United States at the office of the Treasurer of said City and County, or, at the option of the holder, at the fiscal agency of said City and County of San Francisco in the City and State of New York.

This bond is issued under and pursuant to the Constitution and stautes of the State of California and the Charter of said City and County of San Francisco and amendments thereto, and under and pursuant to ordinances and proceedings of said City and County duly adopted and taken, and a vote and assent of more than two-thirds of all the qualified electors of said City and County voting at a special election duly and legally called and held for that purpose.

It is hereby certified, recited and declared that all acts, conditions and things required by law to exist, happen and be performed precedent to and in the issuance of this bond have existed, happened and been performed in due time, form and manner as required by law, and that the amount of this bond, together with all other indebtedness of said City and County, does not exceed any limit prescribed by the Constitution or stautes of said State or Charter of said City and County, and that provision has been made as required by the Constitution and statutes of said State and the Charter of said City and County for the collection of an annual tax sufficient to pay the interest on this bond as it falls due, and also provision to constitute a sinking fund for the payment of the principal of this bond on or before maturity. The full faith and credit of said City and County are hereby pledged for the punctual payment of the principal and interest of this bond.

This bond may be converted into a registered bond upon presentation to the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco, in which event such Treasurer shall cut off and cancel the coupons of this bond, and shall sign a statement stamped, printed or written upon the back or face of the bond to the effect that this bond is registered in the name of the owner and that thereafter the interest and principal of this bond are payable to the registered owner. Thereafter and from time to time this bond may be transferred by such registered owner in person or by attorney duly authorized on

presentation of this bond to the Treasurer, and the bond be again registered as before, a similar statement being stamped, printed or written thereon.

This bond is exempt from all taxation within the State of California.

In witness whereof, said City and County of San Francisco has caused this bond to be executed under its corporate seal, signed by its Mayor and Treasurer, and countersigned by its Auditor, and has caused the interest coupons hereto attached to be signed with the engraved or lithographed signature of its Treasurer, and this bond to be dated the first day of July, 1927.

....., Mayor.

....., Treasurer.

Countersigned:

....., Auditor.

Form of Coupon.

No.——— $———

On ———— 1, 19——, the City and County of San Francisco, California, will pay to bearer at the office of the Treasurer of said City and County, or at the option of the holder at the fiscal agency of the City and County of San Francisco, in the City and State of New York, ———— dollars ($———) in gold coin of the United States, being six months' interest then due on its bond dated July 1, 1927, number ————.

....., Treasurer.

Form of Registration.

San Francisco, ———, 19—.

This bond is registered pursuant to Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, in the name of———— and the interest and principal thereof are hereafter payable to such owner.

....., Treasurer.

Section 4. The amount of tax levy to be made for the payment of said four million seven hundred thousand dollars bonds issued under said proposition shall be the sum of two hundred eleven thousand five hundred dollars for the first eight years from the date of said bonds to pay the annual interest on said bonds, and in season to pay such interest as it becomes due, and for the ninth year after the date of said bonds the sum of two hundred three thousand and forty dollars to pay and in season to pay the interest on such of said bonds as remain outstanding after the one hundred eighty-eight thousand dollars thereof due eight years from their date have been paid, and for the tenth year after the date of said bonds the sum of one hundred ninety-four thousand five hundred eighty dollars to pay and in season to pay the interest on such of said bonds as remain outstanding after the one hundred eighty-eight thousand dallors thereof due nine years from their date have been paid, and so on, a sum each year for twenty-two succeeding years until said bonds are all paid, sufficient for interest and in season to pay interest on all of said bonds outstanding, which sum for interest will diminish each year by the amount of eight thousand four hundred sixty dollars by reason of the payment each year beginning eight years from date of said bonds of one hundred eighty-eight thousand dollars of said bonds, and the sum of one hundred eighty-eight thousand dollars each year beginning seven years from the date of said bonds to pay and in season to pay the principal of such bonds as they respectively become due, and continuing each succeeding year for twenty-four years until the principal of all of said bonds has been paid.

The purpose and intent of the foregoing tax provisions are, and it is hereby expressly provided, that at the time of levying the municipal tax, and in the manner provided for such tax levy, the Supervisors shall levy and collect annually a tax sufficient to pay the annual interest on such bonds, and also such part of the bonded indebtedness as will fall due within the succeeding fiscal year. Such taxes shall be in addition to all other taxes levied for municipal purposes, and shall be collected at the same time and in the same manner as other municipal taxes are collected.

Section 5. The special election hereby called and ordered to be held shall be held and conducted, and the votes thereat received and canvassed, and the returns thereof made, and the result thereof ascertained, determined and declared as herein provided and according to the laws of the State of California providing for and governing elections in the City and County of San Francisco, and the polls for such election shall be and remain open during the time required by said laws.

The ballots to be used at said special election shall be such as may be required by law to be used thereat, and, in addition to any other matter required by law to be

printed thereon, shall appear thereon the following:

"Municipal Railway Extension"—To incur a bonded indebtedness in the sum of four million seven hundred thousand ($4,700,000) dollars for the acquisition, construction and completion of a public utility, consisting of the extension of the Municipal Railway, or system of street railways along the route or in the territory described as follows: From Scott and Chestnut streets into the Marina Tract, west of Scott street and north of Chestnut street; from the Municipal Railway track at Masonic avenue and Turk street into the Richmond District, connecting with the Municipal Railway tracks running to the Ocean Beach; from the Municipal Railway tracks on Market street at Duboce avenue, through the Sunset-Duboce tunnel into the Sunset District and through the Sunset District to a terminal near the Ocean Beach, with connecting cross-town service west of Twentieth avenue between said extension and the existing Municipal Railway line on Taraval street; from the Municipal Railway tracks at Forty-sixth avenue and Taraval street, southerly to a terminal near Wawona street and Forty-seventh avenue; from the Municipal Railway tracks at Thirtieth and Church streets, southwesterly through the Bernal Cut into the Excelsior District, at or near Excelsior street; thence southerly through the Excelsior District to a terminal at Geneva avenue; from Seventeenth street and Market street in a southwesterly direction to, at or near Eureka street and Market street, thence in a southerly direction on and over Eureka street or other most feasible route to Twenty-third street at or near Eureka street; thence in an easterly direction on and over Twenty-third street or other most feasible route to Diamond street; thence in a southerly direction on or over Diamond street or other most feasible route to Twenty-ninth street; an automobile bus service from the vicinity of Seventeenth street and Potrero avenue by the most feasible route to the vicinity of Twenty-third street and Wisconsin street, and for such other extensions and additions to the trackage and facilities of the said Municipal Railway System as may be deemed necessary, and to include equipment thereof, appurtenances thereto and the purchase of necessary lands.

To vote for the proposition and thereby authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness to the amount of and for the purpose stated herein stamp a cross (X) in the blank space to the right of the word "Yes." To vote against the proposition and thereby refuse to authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness to the amount of and for the purpose stated herein stamp a cross (X) in the blank space to the right of the word "No."

YES	
NO	

Bonds issued for the acquisition, construction and completion of the public utility herein described shall bear interest at the rate of 4½ per centum per annum, payable semi-annually.

Section 6. Where voting machines are used at said special election the said voting machines shall be so arranged that any qualified elector may vote for the proposition by pulling down a lever over the word "Yes" under or near a statement of the proposed proposition appearing on cardboard, paper or other material placed on the front of the machine, and said act shall constitute a vote for the proposition, and by pulling down a lever over the word "No" under or near a statement of the proposed proposition appearing on cardboard, paper or other material placed on the front of the machine shall constitute a vote against the proposition. Said voting machines and the preparation of the same are to be used in accordance with the provisions of Chapter 96 of the Statutes of 1923.

Each cross (X) stamped in the square to the right of the word "Yes" appearing on the printed ballot, where printed ballots are used, shall constitute a vote in favor of and to authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness for the purpose set forth in the proposition and each cross (X) stamped in the square to the right of the word "No" shall be counted as a vote not in favor of and a refusal to authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness for the purpose set forth in the proposition.

Section 7. The election precincts and the numbers, names and boundaries thereof for said special election, and the places of voting and the officers to conduct such election, and all other necessary proceedings in that behalf, shall be respectively defined, designated, selected, appointed and had by the Board of Election Commissioners of the City and County of San Francisco, and

said Board is hereby authorized and directed to procure and provide all supplies that may be necessary to properly and lawfully conduct such special election.

When the polls are closed the officers of election shall count the ballots cast at such election, and canvass the votes cast respectively for and against the proposition herein stated and make return thereof in time, form and manner required for the counting, canvassing and returning of votes cast at other municipal elections held in the City and County of San Francisco. The Board of Election Commissioners shall, as soon as the said returns and ballots have been received by said Board, canvass said returns and declare the result thereof in the manner provided by law for canvassing returns and declaring results in other elections, and shall also certify said results to the Board of Supervisors.

Section 8. This resolution shall be published for at least fourteen days in the official newspaper.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—18.

Giving Notice, Water Supply Bond Election.

Supervisor McSheehy presented: Resolution No. 27310 (New Series), as follows:

Giving notice of a special election to be held in the City and County of San Francisco, on Tuesday, the fourteenth day of June, 1927, for the purpose of submitting to the voters of the City and County of San Francisco a proposition to incur a bonded debt of the City and County of San Francisco to the amount of forty million ($40,000,000) dollars, for the acquisition, construction and completion of a public utility, to-wit, all of the property, or such portion thereof as may be deemed necessary, water rights, rights of way, storage reservoirs, water works, water supply, pumping plants, lands within and without the confines of the City and County of San Francisco that shall be deemed necessary, and distributing system of the Spring Valley Water Company, a public utility, now distributing water within the City and County of San Francisco, used or useful in supplying water to the City and County of San Francisco and to its inhabitants, to be owned and controlled by the City and County of San Francisco for supplying water to said City and County and to the inhabitants thereof.

Resolved, by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Notice is hereby given that pursuant to the laws of the State of California, the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco and the provisions of Bill No. 8025, Ordinance No. 7535 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors, passed May 9, 1927, reference to said ordinance for further particulars being here made, a special election will be held in the City and County of San Francisco, on Tuesday, the fourteenth day of June, 1927, for the purpose of submitting to the electors of said City and County the following proposition, to-wit: The acquisition, construction and completion of a public utility, to-wit, all of the property, or such portion thereof as may be deemed necessary, water rights, rights of way, storage reservoirs, water works, water supply, pumping plants, lands within and without the confines of the City and County of San Francisco that shall be deemed necessary, and distributing system of the Spring Valley Water Company, a public utility, now distributing water within the City and County of San Francisco, used or useful in supplying water to the City and County of San Francisco and to its inhabitants, to be owned and controlled by the City and County of San Francisco for supplying water to said City and County and to the inhabitants thereof, and the incurring of a bonded indebtedness of the City and County of San Francisco to the amount of forty million ($40,000,000) dollars for the acquisition, construction and completion of the public utility described herein.

Section 2. If, at such special election, it shall appear that two-thirds of all the votes cast thereat were in favor of and authorized the incurring of a bonded debt for the purpose set forth in the proposition described in Section 1 of this resolution, then such proposition shall be deemed to have been accepted by the electors and bonds will be issued to defray the cost of the public utility described therein. Such bonds shall be of the form and character known as "serials." All of said bonds shall be dated July 1, 1927, shall bear interest at the rate of 4½ per centum per annum, payable semi-annually, shall be of the denomination of one thousand dollars each, and the principal

and interest thereof shall be payable in gold coin of the United States. Said bonds shall be called "Spring Valley Bonds."

Bonds issued for the purpose stated shall be numbered from 1 to 40,000, both inclusive, and shall be payable one million ($1,000,000) dollars thereof five years from the date of said bonds, beginning with the lowest numbers, and one million ($1,000,000) dollars thereof of the next higher numbers on the same day in each succeeding year until all of said bonds shall be paid.

Section 3. Said bonds and coupons shall be in substantially the following form:

United States of America.
State of California.
City and County of San Francisco.
Spring Valley Bond.

No. ———— $1,000.00

For value received, the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of California, hereby acknowledges itself indebted and promises to pay to the bearer, on the first day of ————, 19——, one thousand dollars, with interest thereon at the rate of 4½ per centum per annum, payable semi-annually, January 1 and July 1, on presentation and surrender of the coupons hereto attached as they respectively become due, both principal and interest being payable in gold coin of the United States at the office of the Treasurer of said City and County, or, at the option of the holder, at the fiscal agency of said City and County of San Francisco in the City and State of New York.

This bond is issued under and pursuant to the Constitution and statutes of the State of California and the Charter of said City and County of San Francisco and amendments thereto, and under and pursuant to ordinances and proceedings of said City and County duly adopted and taken, and a vote and assent of more than two-thirds of all the qualified electors of said City and County voting at a special election duly and legally called and held for that purpose.

It is hereby certified, recited and declared that all acts, conditions and things required by law to exist, happen and be performed precedent to and in the issuance of this bond have existed, happened and been performed in due time, form and manner as required by law, and that the amount of this bond, together with all other indebtedness of said City and County, does not exceed any limit prescribed by the Constitution or statutes of said State or Charter of said City and County, and that provision has been made, as required by the Constitution and statutes of said State and the Charter of said City and County, for the collection of an annual tax sufficient to pay the interest on this bond as it falls due, and also provision to constitute a sinking fund for the payment of the principal of this bond on or before maturity. The full faith and credit of said City and County are hereby pledged for the punctual payment of the principal and interest of this bond.

This bond may be converted into a registered bond upon presentation to the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco, in which event such Treasurer shall cut off and cancel the coupons of this bond, and shall sign a statement stamped, printed or written upon the back or face of the bond to the effect that this bond is registered in the name of the owner and that thereafter the interest and principal of this bond are payable to the registered owner. Thereafter and from time to time this bond may be transferred by such registered owner in person or by attorney duly authorized on presentation of this bond to the Treasurer, and the bond be again registered as before, a similar statement being stamped, printed or written thereon.

This bond is exempt from all taxation within the State of California.

In witness whereof, said City and County of San Francisco has caused this bond to be executed under its corporate seal, signed by its Mayor and Treasurer, and countersigned by its Auditor, and has caused the interest coupons hereto attached to be signed with the engraved or lithographed signature of its Treasurer, and this bond to be dated the first day of July, 1927.

.....
Mayor.

.....
Treasurer.

Countersigned:

.....
Auditor.

Form of Coupon.

No. ———— $————.

On ———— 1, 19—— the City and County of San Francisco, California, will pay to bearer at the office of the Treasurer of said City and County, or at the option of the holder at the fiscal agency of the City and County of San Francisco,

in the City and State of New York, ————dollars ($————) in gold coin of the United States, being six months' interest then due on its bond dated July 1, 1927, number ————

.....
Treasurer.

Form of Registration.

San Francisco, ——— 19——

This bond is registered pursuant to Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, in the name of ———— and the interest and principal thereof are hereafter payable to such owner.

.....
Treasurer.

Section 4. The amount of tax levy to be made for the payment of said forty million dollars bonds issued under said proposition shall be the sum of one million eight hundred thousand dollars for the first five years from the date of said bonds to pay the annual interest on said bonds, and in season to pay such interest as it becomes due, and for the sixth year after the date of said bonds the sum of one million seven hundred fifty-five thousand dollars to pay and in season to pay the interest on such of said bonds as remain outstanding after the one million dollars thereof due five years from their date have been paid, and for the seventh year after the date of said bonds the sum of one million seven hundred ten thousand dollars to pay and in season to pay the interest on such of said bonds as remain outstanding after the one million dollars thereof due six years from their have been paid, and so on, a sum each year for thirty-seven succeeding years until said bonds are all paid, sufficient for interest and in season to pay interest on all of said bonds outstanding, which sum for interest will diminish each year by the amount of forty-five thousand dollars by reason of the payment each year beginning five years from date of said bonds of one million dollars of said bonds, and the sum of one million dollars each year beginning four years from the date of said bonds to pay and in season to pay the principal of such bonds as they respectively become due, and continuing each succeeding year for thirty-nine years until the principal of all of said bonds has been paid.

The purpose and intent of the foregoing tax provisions are, and it is hereby expressly provided, that at the time of levying the municipal tax, and in the manner provided for such tax levy, the Supervisors shall levy and collect annually a tax sufficient to pay the annual interest on such bonds, and also such part of the bonded indebtedness as will fall due within the succeeding fiscal year. Such taxes shall be in addition to all other taxes levied for municipal purposes, and shall be collected at the same time and in the same manner as other municipal taxes are collected.

Section 5. The special election hereby called and ordered to be held shall be held and conducted, and the votes thereat received and canvassed, and the returns thereof made, and the result thereof ascertained, determined and declared as herein provided and according to the laws of the State of California providing for and governing elections in the City and County of San Francisco, and the polls for such election shall be and remain open during the time required by said laws.

The ballots to be used at said special election shall be such as may be required by law to be used thereat, and, in addition to any other matter required by law to be printed thereon, shall appear thereon the following:

"Spring Valley"—To incur a bonded indebtedness in the sum of forty million ($40,000,000) dollars for the acquisition, construction and completion of a public utility, to-wit: All of the property, or such portion thereof as may be deemed necessary, water rights, rights of way, storage reservoirs, water works, water supply, pumping plants, lands within and without the confines of the City and County of San Francisco that shall be deemed necessary, and distributing system of the Spring Valley Water Company, a public utility, now distributing water within the City and County of San Francisco, used or useful in supplying water to the City and County of San Francisco and its inhabitants, to be owned and controlled by the City and County of San Francisco for supplying water to said City and County and to the inhabitants thereof.

To vote for the proposition and thereby authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness to the amount of and for the purpose stated herein stamp a cross (X) in the blank space to the right of the word "Yes." To vote against the proposition and thereby refuse to authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness to the amount of and for the purpose stated here-

in stamp a cross (X) in the blank space to the right of the word "No."

YES	
NO	

Bonds issued for the acquisition, construction and completion of the public utility herein described shall bear interest at the rate of 4½ per centum per annum, payable semi-annually.

Section 6. Where voting machines are used at said special election the said voting machines shall be so arranged that any qualified elector may vote for the proposition by pulling down a lever over the word "Yes" under or near a statement of the proposed proposition appearing on cardboard, paper, or other material placed on the front of the machine and said act shall constitute a vote for the proposition, and by pulling down a lever over the word "No" under or near a statement of the proposed proposition appearing on cardboard, paper, or other material placed on the front of the machine shall constitute a vote against the proposition. Said voting machines and the preparation of the same are to be used in accordance with the provisions of Chapter 96 of the Statutes of 1923.

Each cross (X) stamped in the square to the right of the word "Yes" appearing on the printed ballot, where printed ballots are used, shall constitute a vote in favor of and to authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness for the purpose set forth in the proposition and each cross (X) tamped in the square at the right of the word "No" shall be counted as a vote not in favor of, and a refusal to authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness for the purpose set forth in the proposition.

Section 7. The election precincts and the numbers, names and boundaries thereof for said special election, and the places of voting, and the officers to conduct such election, and all other necessary proceedings in that behalf, shall be respectively defined, designated, selected, appointed and had by the Board of Election Commissioners of the City and County of San Francisco, and said Board is hereby authorized and directed to procure and provide all supplies that may be necessary to properly and lawfully conduct such special election.

When the polls are closed the officers of election shall count the ballots cast at such election, and canvass the votes cast respective for and against the proposition herein stated and make return thereof in time, form and manner required for the counting, canvassing and returning of votes cast at other municipal elections held in the City and County of San Francisco. The Board of Election Commissioners shall, as soon as the said returns and ballots have been received by said Board, canvass said returns and declare the result thereof in the manner provided by law for canvassing returns and declaring results in other elections and shall also certify said results to the Board of Supervisors.

Section 8. This resolution shall be published for at least fourteen days in the official newspaper.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—18.

Communication from City Attorney, Interim Ordinance for Operation of Water System.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

May 23, 1927.

Honorable Board of Supervisors,
City Hall, San Francisco, Cal.

Dear Sirs:

Pursuant to your request I herewith enclose you a draft of an ordinance providing for the control of the Spring Valley Water Company, should it be taken over by the City, between the date of its taking and the date of a Charter amendment providing for a Utility Commission becoming effective.

I have not received any expression from your Board as to the provisions which should be inserted in the ordinance, and therefore the ordinance is submitted merely for your consideration and approval.

Sincerely,
JNO. J. O'TOOLE,
City Attorney.

Whereupon, Supervisor Shannon presented:

Bill No. ——, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Creating the Department of Water Supply under the Board of Public Works, and prescribing the procedure under which the Board of Public Works shall exercise the superintendence and control of the maintenance and operation of the Municipal Water Supply System of the City and County of San Francisco, as provided for in Article VI,

Chapter I, Section 9, Subdivision 8 of the Charter, relative to the maintenance and operation of public utilities owned, controlled or operated by the City and County.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. There is hereby created under the Board of Public Works the Department of Water Supply for the City and County of San Francisco, which Department shall have full control of the management, maintenance and operation of the public water supply system which may be acquired from the Spring Valley Water Company and of the distribution of water.

Section 2. The City Engineer shall be the head of the water department and his duties shall be those of Chief Engineer and General Manager, and, as provided in Section 13, Chapter I, Article VI of the Charter, he shall have sole executive control of the department, subject to the rules and regulations prescribed by the Board of Public Works.

He shall certify to the necessity for any work to be contracted or performed; for any material, supplies or equipment to be furnished, or for any technical or professional services to be rendered, and no work shall be so contracted or performed, nor any material, supplies or equipment purchased or any services contracted for without such certification.

Section 3. All persons employed in the operating service of the Spring Valley Water Company at the time the system shall have been acquired by the City and County, and having been so employed for not less than one year prior thereto, shall continue in their positions as provided in Subsection "B" of Paragraph 2, Section II, Article XIII of the Charter, and all other persons who shall be employed in or about the conduct or maintenance of said water system shall be employed and hold their respective positions and employment pursuant to the provisions of said Article XIII of the Charter. The compensation of all employees shall be fixed by the Board of Public Works upon the recommendation of the head of the department, and no rate of compensation of any emplovee shall be changed except upon the written recommendation of the head of the department, and the compensation of all persons employed or rendering service in or about the conduct and maintenance of said water system shall not be in excess of that paid for similar service in San Francisco or vicinity.

Section 4. All rates for water to be furnished by said water system shall be fixed by the Board of Public Works upon the recommendation of the head of the department, and said rates shall not be less than would produce an annual revenue sufficient to pay all operating and maintenance expenses, including interest on outstanding bonds issued for the purchase of said system, and provide a proper amount for depreciation and renewals and for a sinking fund sufficient for the retirement of the bonds issued for the purchase of said system; provided, that no rates shall become effective or binding until the same are approved by the Board of Supervisors, and to that end the Board of Public Works shall, immediately upon fixing said water rates, report the same to the Board of Supervisors for their approval, and provided, further, that upon the taking over of the said Spring Valley Water System by the City and County, the rates being charged for water by said Spring Valley Water Company shall remain in full force and effect until changed or modified as herein provided. No changes in rates shall be made except during the month of August following the submission of the report of the head of the department for the preceding fiscal year, and then only if said report shall show that said change in rates is warranted or is necessary according to the earnings of said department. The Board of Public Works shall have power by appropriate means, including the discontinuation of service to delinquent consumers, or by sufficient action in the name of the City and County, to enforce the collection of such rates and charges as may be fixed as aforesaid, and the head of the department shall have full power and authority to adjust and settle complaints and claims of consumers or the public with reference to service credits or refunds.

Rates may be fixed at varying scales for different classes of service or consumers, which rates must include rates for services rendered any department or other utility of the City. All water furnished to any other department of the City and County shall be paid for at such rates as may be fixed by the Board of Public Works and approved by the Board of Supervisors.

Section 5. The head of the department shall have full power to administer the affairs of the department as the chief executive offi-

cer thereof. He shall make monthly reports in writing to the Board of Public Works, showing in detail all matters connected with the work of said water department, and shall, during the month of July of each year, make to said Board of Public Works a complete report of all receipts and disbursements of the said department for the preceding fiscal year, and furnish therewith such other data as may be necessary to enable the said Board of Public Works to fix the rates to be charged by said department for the ensuing year, and shall also file therewith a budget of proposed expenditures for the ensuing year, covering the anticipated operating expenses and expenditures for renewals, construction of additions and extensions which are to be made from the funds of the department. All of said reports shall be in duplicate and one copy thereof shall be by the Board of Public Works transmitted to the Board of Supervisors.

Section 6. The Board of Public Works shall cause the accounts of the said water department to be kept as provided in Section 3 of Article XII of the Charter and shall publish annually for public distribution a report showing the financial results of the operations of the department.

Section 7. The revenues and receipts from the operation of the water system shall be paid daily into the City Treasury as provided in Article XII, Section 16, Subdivision 1 of the Charter, and as provided therein shall be maintained in a special fund to be known as the Water Department Fund, which fund shall be used for the following purposes:

(a) For the payment of the operating expenses of the water supply system, which shall include pension charges, compensation insurance, as well as a reasonable amount for a depreciation and accident reserves.

(b) For repiars and reconstruction.

(c) For payment of interest and sinking fund on the bonds issued for the acquisition of the water supply system.

(d) For extensions and improvements.

(e) For a reserve fund.

Authority is hereby granted to the Board of Public Works to incur liability against such funds for the purposes hereinabove named to the extent set forth in the budget of expenditures as approved by the Board of Supervisors.

Should it become necessary to make expenditures for any purpose other than those included in the approved budget, a supplementary budget shall be prepared by the head of the department and which shall be approved by the Board of Public Works and by the Board of Supervisors before the expenditures may be made, except that in case of emergency, to protect life or property, expenditures may be made by the Chief Engineer, in which emergency full report shall immediately be made by the Chief Engineer and an estimate of cost of further expenditures in connection with the emergency shall be made to the Board of Public Works and to the Finance Committee of the Board of Supervisors.

Section 7. Before the award of any contract for doing any work authorized by this ordinance, the Board of Public Works shall cause notice to be posted conspicuously in its office for not less than five days, and published for the same time, inviting sealed proposals for the work contemplated; except, however, that when any repairs or improvements, not exceeding an estimated cost of five hundred dollars, shall be deemed of urgent necessity by the Board, such repairs or improvements may be made by the Board, under written contract or otherwise, without advertising for sealed proposals.

Section 8. All proposals shall be made upon forms to be prepared by the Board and furnished gratuitously upon application, with a form for the affidavit hereinafter provided for printed thereon. Each bid shall have thereon the affidavit of the bidder that such bid is genuine and not collusive or sham; that he has not colluded, conspired, connived, or agreed, directly or indirectly, with any other bidder or person to put in a sham bid, or that such other person shall refrain from bidding and has not in any manner sought by collusion to secure any advantage against the City and County, or any person interested in said improvement for himself or for any other person. All bids shall be clearly and distinctly written or printed, without any erasure or interlineation, and if any bid shall have an erasure or interlineation it shall not be received or considered by the Board. Any contract made in violation of any of the foregoing provisions shall be absolutely void.

All proposals offered shall be accompanied by a check certified by a responsible bank, payable to the

order of the Clerk of the Supervisors, for an amount not less than ten per centum of the aggregate of the proposal, and no proposal shall be considered unless accompanied by such check.

No person, corporation or firm shall be allowed to make, file, or be interested in more than one bid for the same work. If, on the opening of said bids, more than one bid appear in which the same person, corporation or firm is interested, all such bids so offending shall be rejected.

Section 9. On the day and at the hour specified in said notice inviting sealed proposals the Board shall assemble and remain in session for at least one hour, and all bids shall be delivered to the Board while it is so in session, and within the hour named in the advertisement. No bid not so delivered to the Board shall be considered. Each bid, as it shall be received, shall be numbered and marked "Filed" by the President and authenticated by his signature. At the expiration of the hour stated in the advertisement within which the bids will be received, the Board shall, in open session, open, examine and publicly declare the same, and an abstract of each bid shall be recorded in the minutes of the Board by the Secretary. Before adjourning the Board shall compare the bids with the record made by the Secretary, and shall thereupon, at said time, or at such time, not exceeding twenty days thereafter, as the Board may adjourn to, award the contract to the lowest responsible bidder, except as otherwise herein provided. Notice of such award shall forthwith be posted for five days by the Secretary of the Board in some conspicuous place in the office of the Board, and be published for the same period of time.

The Board may reject any and all bids, and must reject the bid of any party who has been delinquent or unfaithful in any former contract with the City and County, and all bids other than the lowest regular bid of a responsible bidder; and on accepting said lowest bid shall thereupon return to the proper parties the checks corresponding to the bids so rejected. If all bids are rejected, the Board shall return all the checks to the proper parties and again invite sealed proposals as in the first instance.

The check accompanying the accepted bid shall be held by the Secretary of the Board until the contract for doing said work, as hereinafter provided, has been entered into, whereupon said certified check shall be returned to said bidder.

If said bidder fails or refuses to enter into the contract to do said work, as hereinafter provided, then the certified check accompanying his bid, and the amount therein mentioned, shall be forfeited to the City and County, and shall be collected and paid into the General Fund. Neither the Board of Public Works nor the Supervisors shall have power to relieve from or remit such forfeiture.

If at any time it shall be found that the person to whom a contract has been awarded has, in presenting any bid or bids colluded with any other party or parties, for the purpose of preventing any other bid being made, then the contract so awarded shall be null and void, and the Board shall advertise for a new contract for said work.

Section 10. If the contractor who may have entered into any contract does not complete the same within the time limited in the contract, or within such further time as is hereinafter provided, the Board may relet the unfinished portion of said work in accordance with the provisions in this ordinance prescribed with its own forces, any excess over the original contract price to be charged to the contractor in either event.

Section 11. All contracts shall be drawn under the supervision of the City Attorney, and shall contain detailed specifications of the work to be done, the manner in which it shall be executed, and the quality of the material to be used.

Every contract entered into by the Board shall be signed by at least two members thereof, and by the other contracting party. All contracts shall be signed in triplicate, one of which, with the specifications and drawings, if any, of the work to be done, and materials to be furnished, shall be filed with the Clerk of the Supervisors; one thereof, with said specifications and drawings, shall be kept in the office of the Board, and the other, with said specifications and drawings, shall be delivered to the contractor. At the same time with the execution of the contract the contractor shall execute to the City and County and deliver to the Secretary of the Board a bond in the sum named in the notice for proposals, with sufficient surety or sureties to be approved by the Board, or shall deposit with the Secretary a certified check upon some solvent bank for said amount, for the faithful performance of the contract, also a

bond for the protection of laborers and materialmen conditioned as required in Section 7 of Article II, Chapter III, of the Charter, in an amount to be fixed by the Board of Public Works, not exceeding in any case the sum of five hundred thousand dollars, with sufficient surety or sureties to be approved by the Board. No surety on any bond other than lawfully authorized surety companies shall be taken.

Section 12. The contract shall specify the time within which the work shall be commenced, and when to be completed, as was specified in the notice inviting proposals therefor. The time for performance of such contracts may be extended by the Board of Public Works upon the written request of the contractor, duly approved by the City Engineer, showing grounds satisfactory to the Board of Public Works for such extension.

In case of failure on the part of the contractor to complete his contract within the time fixed in the contract, or within such extension of said time as is herein provided, his contract shall become void.

Section 13. Any of said contracts may provide for progressive payments to the contractor. But no progressive payments can be provided for or made at any time which, with prior payments, if there have been such, shall exceed in amount at that time ninety (90) per cent of the value of labor done and materials furnished and used up to that time, and no contract shall provide for, authorize, or permit the payment of more than ninety (90) per cent of the contract price before the completion and acceptance by the Board of the work done under said contract, except in the cases hereinafter specifically provided for; provided, that the limit of progress payments as above provided shall be seventy-five (75) per cent instead of ninety (90) per cent, until the twenty-five (25) per cent thus retained by the City in making such payments amounts to the sum of ten thousand dollars.

Section 14. In the case of contracts for the construction, purchase or installation of machinery or equipment required in connection with the water supply project, where a guaranty is required of the contractor as to the quality of workmanship and materials, or as to the performance of the said machinery or equipment under actual operating tests to be made over a period of time after it shall have been installed, the Board of Public Works may, in its discretion, upon completion of the delivery or installation of such machinery or equipment to the satisfaction of said Board, award to the contractor a certificate or conditional acceptance. Upon receipt of such certificate the contractor shall be entitled to receive the unpaid balance due him under the terms of said contract upon final acceptance of his work less such amount as the Board may deem necessary or proper to protect the City and County against loss in the event that such machinery or equipment fails to meet the contractor's guaranties under the working tests provided for in the contract.

Section 15. The work in this ordinance provided for must be done under the direction and to the satisfaction of the Board of Public Works; and the materials used must be in accordance with the specifications and be to the satisfaction of said Board, and all contracts provided for in this ordinance must contain a provision to that effect.

When said work shall have been completed to the satisfaction and acceptance of the Board, it shall so declare by resolution, and thereupon the Board shall deliver to the contractor a certificate to that effect.

Section 16. In any case where the Board of Public Works has advertised for bids in accordance with the procedure outlined in this ordinance, for articles, supplies, materials or equipment required for the water supply, and no bids have been received within the period specified in the advertisement, or in any case where the said Board has advertised for bids and all bids received are identical in amount or have been rejected, or where the articles, supplies, materials or equipment to be purchased or acquired are entirely owned or controlled by one person, firm or corporation and no satisfactory substitutes therefor exists in the opinion of said Board so that advertising for bids would be a useless formality, or the City Engineer reports that the necessities of construction require immediate purchase of said articles, supplies, materials or equipment in the open market at the lowest price obtainable, then, and in such cases, said Board of Public Works is authorized to purchase such articles, supplies, materials or equipment in the open market at the lowest obtainable price, not exceeding, however, any price which may have been submitted in the bids last previously received under the foregoing provisions of this ordinance within

three months preceding the purchase.

Section 17. The Board of Public Works shall, on the recommendation of the head of the department and may of its own volition, require a bond from any employee in the employ of the said water department, which said bond will be duly conditioned for the faithful performance of the duties of said employee, and shall be in such an amount as the said Board of Public Works shall determine. The premium on said bond shall be paid from the revenues of said water department.

Section 18. This ordinance shall become effective within thirty days after the people of the City and County of San Francisco shall by vote authorize the issuance of a bonded indebtedness for the purchase of the properties of the Spring Valley Water Company.

Referred to Public Utilities Committee.

Traffic Button Bids Rejected.

A communication from the City Attorney, based upon a communication from an expert patent attorney, stated that in his opinion, he did not think that the traffic button which the Kortic Manufacturing Company has proposed to manufacture for the City was an infringement on the patent rights of the Los Angeles firm, which has been protesting the award of the contract.

Privilege of the Floor.

Leonard S. Leavy, City Purchasing Agent, recommended rejection of all bids previously received and a call be issued for new bids for traffic buttons.

Mr. Selinger, representing Union Pipe and Tank Company, and *Bacigalupi* and *Elkus* were also heard.

Mr. McQuaide, representing Kortic & Co., wanted a clause in the contract insuring his firm against liability for litigation.

Bids Rejected.

Whereupon, on motion of Supervisor Shannon, all bids were *rejected and Clerk advised to advertise for new bids.*

Transcontinental Highway Exposition.

On motion of Supervisor Hayden, the Moyar was requested to represent the City at the opening of the Transcontinental Highway Exposition at Reno, Nevada, on June 25th, 1927, and to appoint a committee of five Supervisors to also represent the City.

Entertainment of Midshipmen.

His Honor the Mayor was authorized to appoint a committee to arrange for the reception of the squadron of United States midshipmen who will visit San Francisco July 1.

Taxation Contested.

A communication was presented from Los Angeles County that a representative of the City Attorney's office be present at the hearing on Wednesday of this week, when the hearing will be had as to the right to tax the Southern California Telephone Company's property. As the same condition applies to properties of public utilities in San Francisco, the communication was referred to the City Attorney with the request to have some one representing his office to be present at the hearing in Los Angeles.

RECESS.

Whereupon, the Board at 12:25 p. m. took a recess until 2 p. m.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk.

REASSEMBLED—2 P. M.

The Board of Supervisors reassembled at 2 p. m.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—18.

Quorum present.

His Honor Mayor Rolph being absent, Supervisor McSheehy was elected to preside.

BRIDGE MATTERS.

The following proceedings were had with respect to the proposed transbay bridge:

Supervisor Shannon: Mr. Chairman, 2 o'clock is the hour set for the special order for the hearing on bridge matters, and the consideration of the report of the engineers, and I move that the report of the engineers, Messrs. Ridgway, Talbot and Galloway, be adopted; that the Chairman of the Finance Committee be authorized to appoint a committee of three members of this Board to go to Washington to obtain the sanction of the United States government to the report, and that the Chairman of the Finance Committee be a member of that committee of three.

Supervisor Harrelson: Mr. Chairman, I am in sympathy with the motion, if it is timely. I question if

that should be our next procedure. It seems to me that we should have some plans and estimates of costs to present to the authorities in Washington before any delegation goes there.

Supervisor Shannon: I would like to get a second so I can explain my motion.

Supervisor Schmidt: I will second the motion, even if I vote differently.

The Chairman: You have heard the motion as made by Supervisor Shannon, and the same has been regularly seconded. Are you ready for the question?

Supervisor Shannon: I make the motion for this reason, Mr. Chairman. At the time of the submission of the report to this Board by these three eminent engineers the newspapers of San Francisco and the press generally acknowledged that it was a very complete report, that the method of procedure should be as outlined in my motion, and the citizenship of San Francisco generally seems to be of the opinion that this is the method of procedure. By sending a committee of Supervisors to Washington we can determine just exactly if the report is in line with the War Department's views. I therefore feel that, as the members of the Board have had a full week in which to review this report, no time should be lost in sending the committee on to get the viewpoint of the authorities in Washington.

Supervisor Roncovieri: I wish to support the motion made by Supervisor Shannon, but I wish to amend it by a resolution that I have given to the secretary and which I will ask him to read.

Supervisor Colman: Might I interrupt? Owing to the great importance of this matter it seems only right that we should defer action for a few moments until all the members of the Board are present—all those who are going to attend.

Supervisor Shannon: I have no objection.

The Chairman: I think the suggestion is a very wise one.

* * * * * *

Supervisor Bath: Could I suggest our sending for the City Attorney?

The Chairman: Mr. Kreling, will you ask the City Attorney to step down here?

Supervisor Todd: Mr. Chairman, what are you waiting for?

The Chairman: Supervisor Shannon made a very important motion on the bridge matter, and before we took the matter up it was suggested that we see if we could not get a better attendance. I think we have the attendance now.

Supervisor Shannon: We are also waiting for the Chief Engineer and City Attorney O'Toole.

The Chairman: I think we can proceed, members of the Board. It is a hard matter to get every member in here. They keep passing in and passing out. Members of the Board, I think we can proceed. Step up, Chief O'Shaughnessy, if you do not mind, to the platform.

Supervisor Roncovieri: I have presented a resolution which I would ask to have read.

Supervisor Shannon: I was going to repeat my motion.

The Clerk: I have it transcribed, if you wish it.

The Chairman: Members of the Board, Supervisor Shannon made a motion, the Clerk has had it transcribed, and it has been duly seconded, and I will ask the Clerk to read Supervisor Shannon's motion, which has been duly seconded.

The Clerk: Motion by Supervisor Shannon, seconded by Supervisor Schmidt (reading): "I move that the report of the engineers, Messrs. Galloway, Ridgway and Talbot, be adopted; that the Chairman of the Finance Committee be authorized to appoint a committee of three members of this Board to go to Washington to obtain the sanction of the United States government to the report, and that the Chairman of the Finance Committee be a member of that committee of three."

Supervisor Roncovieri: I am in accord with the motion and what I have written here is in line with the same thought expressed by Supervisor Shannon, but an amendment, and so, as an amendment to that motion, I would like to have the Clerk read my amendment.

The Chairman: The amendment has been placed in writing and the Clerk will read the same.

The Clerk (reading):

"Whereas, it is the desire of the people of San Francisco that the best transbay bridge shall be built in the best location to connect San Francisco with the East Bay cities; and

"Whereas, an Advisory Board of Engineers of outstanding ability, consisting of Robert Ridgway, Arthur N. Talbot and John D. Galloway, has made a report recommending the three best locations for transbay bridges in the order of their importance and desirability; and

"Whereas, these three locations are all north of Hunters Point; and

"Whereas, the Board of Army Engineers representing the Government of the United States has declared that no transbay bridge north of Hunters Point will be allowed to be built; and

"Whereas, it is the desire of this Board of Supervisors that the types of bridges and the three locations selected as the best by the above-named engineers shall be submitted to the Board of Army Engineers for its approval, in the order of their importance and desirability, and in the most expert and scientific manner possible, in order to convince the said Board of Army Engineers that it should reverse the ruling it has heretofore made, viz., that no transbay bridge will be permitted north of Hunters Point; and

"Whereas, the experts best fitted to present the case of the people of the City and County of San Francisco in the most effective manner, are the above-named eminent engineers, who, together with City Engineer M. M. O'Shaughnessy, have made intensive studies that have resulted in the recommendations of the three best transbay bridges in the best locations; therefore, be it

"Resolved, That Location No. 1, having its westerly terminal at Rincon Hill and its easterly terminal at the Alameda Mole, be and the same is hereby selected as the site for said transbay bridge between the City and County of San Francisco and the County of Alameda; and be it

"Further Resolved, That Engineers Robt. Ridgway, Arthur N. Talbot, John D. Galloway and M. M. O'Shaughnessy are hereby respectfully requested to represent the Board of Supervisors of this City and County before the Board of Army Engineers in Washington, in the presentation of all the facts and figures, and all of the arguments in favor of the above-mentioned site, and that the report and the recommendations of the above-named engineers be and they are hereby approved and adopted by this Board and ordered sent to the Board of Army Engineers in Washington, D. C., for their consideration, and that the engineers above named be conferred with by the Finance Committee regarding compensation for their services at Washington."

Supervisor Hayden: I second that.

Supervisor Roncovieri: I present this in conjunction with the motion made by Supervisor Shannon. In my resolution I omitted a committee from this Board. I think that a committee from this Board might go, but I do not believe that the members of this Board—and I say it with a great deal of respect for every member of this Board—are as competent to present the arguments in favor of this report as are the engineers who have made the report. However, it might be well that members of this Board, a committee from this Board, should be in Washington, and that the presentation should be left largely to the experts who have practically sold their idea of a bridge across the Bay, in Location No. 1, or if that is denied, in Location No. 2, and if that is denied, in Location No. 3. I believe that the law requires that this Board should select a location before going on to Washington. If I am in error, the City Attorney is here, and he will correct me. I believe that it is necessary that a selection should be made in order to receive an official audience from the Army Engineers in Washington, before they will consider anything. And right here, may I ask City Attorney O'Toole if my statement is correct or incorrect?

City Attorney O'Toole: I think, Mr. Supervisor, possibly you are only partially correct. Of course, I cannot say what the Board of Army Engineers or the Secretary of War will consider, whether he will wait until we definitely select a site or whether he will give some expression of opinion as to whether he would permit the bridge at any particular locality, without the exact site being designated. Now, of course, before we can build a bridge we must select the site, and it must meet with the approval of the Secretary of War, but it is not absolutely necessary that that should be done before the Secretary of War and the Army Engineers will say whether or not they will permit a bridge north of Hunters Point or at any other particular locality.

Supervisor Roncovieri: I was under the impression that it was necessary to select a location before the Board in Washingotn, the Board of Army Engineers, would reverse themselves.

City Attorney O'Toole: Before they will approve the precise site, undoubtedly, but they may say that you may build the bridge within a certain area, without your picking out the precise site, and then when that is presented to them afterwards, they will give that particular site consideration.

Supervisor Roncovieri: I think my resolution is broad enough to even include the statement and the thought expressed by our City At-

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

torney. I believe that a committee from this Board should probably go, but I do hope that this Board will enter into arrangements with the eminent men who have thus far served us, that they shall represent this Board in an official capacity, in an engineering capacity, in an expert capacity, and reinforce the committee by their expert arguments, with the Board of Army Engineers, who are naturally all experts, and experts can speak to experts in a way that I say, with a great deal of respect to every member of this Board, we cannot speak, with possibly one exception, Mr. Harrelson, who is an engineer himself. The rest of us, I believe, cannot speak to engineers in the language of engineers. It requires experts to talk to experts, and particularly in a case of this kind, where we are attempting to ask the Army Engineers to reverse a former ruling. I trust that this resolution, together with the motion made by Mr. Shannon, will be adopted.

Supervisor Hayden: Might I ask Supervisor Roncovieri this: I seconded your motion with the idea that the appointment of this commission would be in addition to the appointment of the committee of the Board of Supervisors. That was your purpose?

Supervisor Roncovieri: Yes.

Supervisor Colman: I am going to suggest that we take the matter up seriatim, and that we take up different points by themselves, and first take a vote on the approval of the report of the engineers.

The Chairman: You want the motion segregated?

Supervisor Colman: Yes, I would like to first approve and then discuss the other matters after that.

The Chairman: There cannot be any objection to the segregation, because any member has that right.

Supervisor Stanton: I would like to have that amendment read again, please.

Supervisor Byington: Could the whole thing be read again for our information? I just came in.

The Chairman: It has been asked that the original motion made by Supervisor Shannon be read, and the resolution introduced by Supervisor Roncovieri.

(The Clerk rereads the motion of Supervisor Shannon and the amendment presented by Supervisor Roncovieri.)

Supervisor Byington: I just want to make one suggestion to the Supervisor who introduced the amendment, and that is to strike from his resolution the words about reversing their previous ruling. It does no good to put that in there.

Supervisor Roncovieri: I am perfectly willing. Would you suggest what should go in?

Supervisor Schmidt: Modify.

The Chairman: Members of the Board——

Supervisor Roncovieri (interrupting): I am perfectly willing to accept the suggestion "to modify the ruling". Change it to "modify".

The Chairman: Supervisor Colman asked for a segregation. Do you wish a segregation of the amendment or a segregation of the original motion?

Supervisor Colman: First let us have a roll call approving the engineers' report on the location submitted.

The Chairman: I naturally have to take it up in a parliamentary order, the amendment first, and if you ask for a segregation of the amendment, of course, I will take it up that way; you have that right.

Supervisor Stanton: I think Supervisor Colman is right. He is asking first that the engineers' report either be accepted or rejected. Then, if there are any instructions coming from the Board as to how we shall proceed, or whom we shall send, that would seem to me to be a separate motion.

The Chairman: That is all right, I will take it up in the segregated form, if there is no objection.

Supervisor Bath: There is a point that comes up here, and I was not present during the consideration of this matter, but there is a point I would like to ask the City Attorney about, and probably the Board will be interested in it, before we take a roll call on this matter. Now, I assume that this Board, if we adopt the engineers' report, that we must adopt it as one, two and three. Now, I want to ask the City Attorney this: In case this Board should adopt No. 1, and that the Washington authorities should O. K. No. 1, and give their consent to No. 1, would that give the Construction Company of North America a priority or any precedence, or, in other words, would that be giving this company a franchise exclusive of the rest of them? Do I make myself clear?

City Attorney O'Toole: Yes, I think you do, Mr. Supervisor. (Statement of Supervisor Bath read by the reporter.)

The Chairman: Mr. Galloway, one of the engineers, has a statement that I would like the members

to hear. I think it would clarify matters to some degree.

Supervisor Gallagher: Before the City Attorney answers——

Supervisor Stanton (interrupting): I would like to hear the answer to that question.

Supervisor Gallagher: I would like to hear the answer to that question now, if you do not object.

The Chairman: No, not at all.

City Attorney O'Toole: I will state, Mr. Chairman, and gentlemen of the Board, that I think the law is that, if you select a particular site, we will say it is Rincon Hill on one side, I believe the No. 1 proposition is Rincon Hill on one side and the Alameda Mole upon the other, the owner of the terminal at Rincon Hill will have the first privilege of constructing the bridge. If the owner of that particular terminal or that particular property which is to be the bridge terminal, does not desire to construct a bridge, then the owner of the terminal on the other side of the Bay will have the privilege, and should the owner of neither terminal desire to construct the bridge, then the Board has the privilege of granting, or has the right of granting that privilege, or the privilege of granting a franchise to any other applicant who makes application for that particular place. Now, if I may add just a suggestion, and only a suggestion, to the Board, that I notice, in the resolution or the amendment presented by Supervisor Roncovieri, that it provides for the selection of this particular site, while the resolution offered by Supervisor Shannon merely approves the recommendation of the Board of Bridge Engineers. Now, I do not believe that the report of the Board of Bridge Engineers goes so far as to select any definite site. They give you certain districts within which they believe the No. 1 proposition should be constructed, and a certain other district for No. 2, and so on, for No. 3. Now, if this Board today should select a definite site, and you should go back to Washington with the proposition in mind to build a bridge at that particular site, and the War Department should not give its approval to it, still there is a franchise outstanding and if, at any time in the future, or within a reasonable time in the future, the War Department, or the Bureau of Army Engineers, might make a change in their ruling, you might have two franchises out. The one that you would grant today from a particular site might be outstanding, and if the Board of Engineers and the War Department in Washington should turn it down or say you should not build a bridge within that particular area and you should let then a franchise to some applicant in some other area, and the Board of Army Engineers, or the War Department, should change their opinion, it might lead to some confusion, and unless you deem it necessary, unless this Board today wishes to select the site, I cannot see any legal necessity for selecting a definite site before you proceed to Washington to get the approval of a certain district.

Supervisor Roncovieri: In view of what City Attorney O'Toole has stated, I would have fallen into an error, and I wish to withdraw the first part of the resolution, in view of the statemest made by the City Attorney.

Supervisor Colman: I think the City Attorney is in error. It seems to me, the Board of Engineers have recommended a definite site. I would ask Mr. Galloway to answer that. Site No. 1 is a definite site as I understood it.

The Chairman: I have asked for the privilege of the floor for Mr. Galloway, and, members of the Board——

Supervisor Gallagher (interrupting): Is it necessary that Mr. Galloway be heard now, or cas we not examine the City Attorney for a few minutes?

The Chairman: Yes, if you want to examine him.

Supervisor Gallagher: Among the first remarks you made was that the owner—pardon me for not rising—the owner of the terminal shall have the first privilege.

City Attorney O'Toole: The terminal on the west bank of the stream has that.

Supervisor Gallagher: Will you clarify that to my mind? Does it mean, the owner per se of the land in question, all of it, or part of it, or does it mean those who may have options on the land in question?

City Attorney O'Toole: I think I have advised this Board before, Mr. Gallagher, if I remember my opinion correctly, that an option did not constitute ownership, but possibly any person who is the owner of the propery at the time the franchise was submitted to the State Engineer for his approval as to the width and length of the span and height of the draw, why possibly that particular person would be considered to be the owner and entitled to the privilege of the franchise.

Supervisor Gallagher: And if he were an applicant, automatically,

under the State law, we must give him first preference?

City Attorney O'Toole: Yes.

Supervisor Gallagher: And then you say, failing in that, the owner of the land on the other side of the Bay comes in for first preference?

City Attorney O'Toole: Yes, the second preference.

Supervisor Gallagher: And supersedes the State law as to the right of the authorities on the left bank of the stream——

City Attorsey O'Toole (interrupting): It does not change the right as to who has the control of the tolls or who has control of the bridge or anything of that kind, but it merely gives to the owner on the other bank, the right bank, descending, the right of constructing the bridge, if the owner on the left bank does not desire to do so.

Supervisor Gallagher: Providing he is an applicant.

Supervisor Stanton: In other words, Mr. O'Toole, if this Board were to select a site, say No. 1, and then afterwards feel that they were in error, or did not care to go ahead with that particular site, or found reasons that they should not go ahead with it, then they would not be in a position to select No. 1, 2 or 3, but the Oakland people would be able to start the bridge from that side and build it, is that it?

City Attorney O'Toole: I do not quite understand your question. In other words, I take it——

Supervisor Stanton (interrupting): You say, as I understand you, that if we give a permit to build a bridge, and then we do not go ahead with it, the proponent who possibly would have the lands, whether own them or have them tied up on this side of the Bay, if we did not then go ahead with it, the Oakland people could go ahead?

City Attorney O'Toole: No, not if you did not go ahead, if the owner of the land does not go ahead.

Supervisor Stanton: Well, the proponent, whoever he might be, I am saying San Francisco——

Supervisor Gallagher (interrupting): You are wrong, the owner of the land on either side can have the preference under the State law, but he must have been an applicant for a franchise. Isn't that so? That is your statement, if I remember.

City Attorney O'Toole: No, it is not my statement, and I am not quite sure it is the law, Mr. Supervisor. Of course, the time must come when the receipt of applications for a particular locality must close.

Supervisor Marks: Are you proceeding under the 1881 statute?

City Attorney O'Toole: They are both so interwoven, and the Supreme Court has held that they both measure the procedure.

Supervisor Marks: What I had in mind was this: Whether that transfer of the right from the left bank to the right bank descending, where the franchise right is not exercised by the applicant on the left side of the bank, whether that does not assume that a franchise has been granted which has not been availed of?

City Attorney O'Toole: Yes, surely, I would not say the franchise, but the site has been selected.

Supervisor .Marks: In other words, if this Board today were to adopt a resolution saying, in effect, that the Board of Supervisors looks with favor upon the report of the engineers, that is our preference, is not that very far from the actual granting of a franchise?

City Attorney O'Toole: It certainly is very far.

Supervisor Marks: So that, if we did adopt that report, that would not commit this Board necessarily to grant a franchise, now would it give any rights to the applicant because such a resolution had been adopted.

City Attorney O'Toole: No, unless you designate that as a site from which the bridge is going to be built.

The Chairman: I was going to say, Supervisor Marks, if you will allow Mr. Galloway the floor for a minute.

Supervisor Marks: I think this is important.

The Chairman: He will touch upon that from his standpoint.

Supervisor Marks: Because there is a vast difference between the adoption of a resolution favoring a report which has been submitted and the actual legislative act whereby a franchise is granted.

City Attorney O'Toole: There is no question, you are right on that.

Supervisor Marks: And this resolution would not be the granting of a franchise?

Supervisor Byington: I want to ask a question along the line of Supervisor Marks' question: Do you mean to say, Mr. City Attorney, that, if we, in general terms, approve a bridge starting from Rincon Hill to the Alameda Mole, that that would give any particular right to any particular owner, at either end of the bridge? Would it not merely be the opinoin of this Board of Supervisors that we believe in a bridge crossing from approximately that site to approximately the other

site, and we could go to Washington with that in view?

City Attorney O'Toole: Yes, if you make your designation no more direct than that I think you are safe.

Supervisor Byington: That is what I favor, a general plan so that it will give nobody any particular right.

The Chairman: Mr. Galloway.

Mr. Galloway: It is possible, gentlemen and Mr. Chairman, that I can clarify the subject of the debate, to a certain extent. The specific recommendation of our Board was what is known as the No. 1 location. We recommend that to you as the best location upon which a bridge should be built. And it was only because of the request of the resolution by which we were appointed that two other alternate sites be designated that we did so. We set forth, with a certain amount of clearness, and I hope entirely clear, that this location, which we had designated, is not necessarily the exact location, right down to a fraction of an inch. First and always in front of everything is a survey of the bottom of the bay, and until you have that survey of the bottom of the bay, it is impossible to select definitely an exact location, and any application that the Board makes before the War Department for modification of its ruling should not be that this is the exact definite surveyed location, without any possible deviation. It should be that this is a general location, subject to slight modification in direction at both ends of the line, after a survey of the bottom of the bay has been made. I am sorry the City Attorney went out.

Supervisor Stanton: Is John O'Toole gone for the afternoon, I wonder? I think he ought to hear this?

Mr. Galloway: For instance, to illustrate that point. We set forth in our report that the location on the Alameda side is contingent upon an agreement with the City of Alameda that owns the property. The location as determined there fits the Alameda Naval base as near as it could be found out what it is. There have been a number of plans prepared for the Naval base. We were given, in confidence, the last one, but that is not necessarily the one that the government would finally build. And we endeavored to avoid it we did avoid it, but, in so avoiding it, we interefere, to a small extent, with the proposed harbor development by the City of Alameda, as presented to us by the officials of that city. Now, dependent upon whether the Naval base or the harbor development of the City of Alameda prevails, you must have the right to move that end north or south a few hundred feet. And when you come over on this side, an intensive study of this location on this side may determine that you would want to move this end of the bridge a little bit one way or the other.

Now, passing from that to another point that was mentioned and brought up, I think by the question of the ownership regarding certain lands on Rincon Hill, that if I were to speak technically regarding the end of the bridge, the end on the other side of the bay, lands on property under the jurisdiction of the City of Alameda, and on this side it lands on property under the jurisdiction of the State of California. Some part of the approach passes over a portion of Rincon Hill, but the major end of this part of the bridge, if you consider a part of the bridge the terminal, if you consider the terminal a part of the bridge, it passes, the major portion, over the streets of the City of San Francisco. We carried it up Tehama street to Seventh, down Seventh to Bryant and along Bryant back again to the other point. The major portion is on the streets of the City. It is true that a certain area will have to be condemned from private parties. I am not an attorney. I do not want to say whether ownership on one particular small parcel of land, or two or three of them, will determine a matter of franchise, but I say that, technically speaking, considering the bridge as distinguished from its terminals, the bridge terminates on State property on this side of the bay and on city property on the other side.

Now, I have one other suggestion to make which may be of interest. Nominally a petition of this type goes before the War Department. The City Attorney may correct me if I am speaking in error. But in conference with Major Schulz of the War Department, and from my own experience in matters of that sort, I think the procedure is this: The War Department will not express an opinion upon a generalized request for anything up and down the bay. They will pass an opinion upon a specific proposition for a bridge from this place to that. Now, they do not want it defined down to the width of an hair, but they do want to have before them a specific statement, that this is a bridge that you want, and they will pass on it and render their opinion.

And if the committee goes to Washington, as has been proposed, I would suggest that it go there with one specific definite proposition and you will get a ruling on it.

Now, one other suggestion and then I will sit down. The matter is of supreme importance, it is the biggest bridge ever proposed, and is of extreme importance to the City of San Francisco. It is not the mere granting, under the ordinary procedure of a permit to bridge, let us say, the San Joaquin river. When a similar proposal of equal importance came before the city of Portland for the bridging of the Columbia river, I do not know regarding the procedure, but I do know this, that at some one's request, and I think it was the city's, that instead of having the matter taken up by the War Department alone, which, of course, had behind it the Navy Department, that a commission was formed in Washington, and I believe the representatives of the navy, of the army and of the Department of Commerce sat on that commission and passed upon the site of the bridge over the Columbia river, and regarding its height and general characteristics. And if I may suggest to the Board this matter is so important and has so many angles by which it can be approached that the Supervisors could, will, request the authorities at Washington, and instead of simply limiting this matter to the army, which has only one point of view, that the whole subject be taken up by a specially formed delegation from the various departments who might be interested. If it were done your committee could then have arguments presented to men representing the navy, to those representing the army, and those representing the Department of Commerce, who would understand the matter of shipping. And in that way you would get the matter clearly debated by a committee of the authorities whose points of view would be wider and more general than if it was merely taken before the army engineers.

Supervisor Schmidt: I was going to say that probably the point brought out by the engineer should be heard by the City Attorney with reference to the beginning of the bridge and its terminal, its landing on State land on one side and on land owned and controlled by Alameda on the other. He brought out the point as to the franchise, whether it would revert to the one who owned the land. The engineer pointed out that the approach at the beginning of the bridge would be on State land and on city land owned by Alameda. I think that is very important for the City Attorney to bring out that point.

Supervisor Marks: I would like to ask Mr. Galloway whether, if this Board were to adopt a resolution favoring the bridge location No. 1, as described in your report, whether the description of that bridge and its location and the general terms which enter into the description are sufficiently exact to warrant the War Department in giving consideration to it at the request of this City. I mean by that, whether any additional data other than what the engineers could themselves be ready to present, be required before the War Department would give us a yes or no upon that bridge location No. 1?

Mr. Galloway: My opinion is that the report, as presented, gives sufficient data so that the War Department can intelligently pass upon that location.

Supervisor Marks: So if the Board of Supervisors were to formally adopt the report, so far as it refers to location No. 1, there is enough in this report without the presentation of more exact plans and specifications to get from the War Department, you would say, a ruling upon our application.

Mr. Galloway: We think that we have presented sufficient information for that purpose. We hope that we have. It might be possible, if I may add, that the War Department, or the authorities, would call for more information upon which it could be given, but I think that that report is sufficient.

Supervisor Byington: I would like to ask Mr. Galloway this question: If he does not also believe that in the resolution approving the plans it should be approving what you call the first location, that we, the Board of Supervisors of San Fraccisco, approve of plan No. 1, that is, location No. 1, and when the engineers go to Washington to stand behind the plan rather than to adopt and approve the entire report of the engineers?

Mr. Galloway: Well, I have not given that any thought, Mr. Supervisor. The Board of Engineers very definitely thinks that No. 1 plan is the best plan for the City to adopt.

Supervisor Byington: For that reason, don't you believe we should approve plan No. 1 and go to Washington behind that plan?

Supervisor Gallagher: I think that they state that in their report.

Supervisor Byington: That is what I understood.

Mr. Galloway: That is what we would like to have done.

Supervisor Gallagher: It is in the report and reads as follows (page 19): "It is suggested that a plan of procedure would be, first, to obtain from the War Department the necessary permission to build the bridge at the location designated as No. 1."

Supervisor Byington: I move, as a substitute for all this, that we do hereby approve location No. 1 and apply for a permit from the government at Washington to build and construct a bridge at that location.

Supervisor Gallagher: I will second that motion if it will clear the situation.

Supervisor Byington: At the suggestion of the City Attorney I will modify it in this way: At some point within said location.

The Chairman: Will you state it over again, Supervisor Byington? It is of such importance.

Supervisor Byington: That we, the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, approve location No. 1 in the engineers' report and that we request the authorities at Washington to grant the right for the construction of the bridge from some point within said location on the San Francisco side to some point on the Alameda side of the location.

Supervisor Havenner: I would like to ask the Supervisor what course of procedure he would recommend, in the event that the War Department would definitely reject the route of Plan No. 1.

Supervisor Byington: I will say that, in my opinion, the only way to get the authorities at Washington to approve of it is to select definitely Plan No. 1 and have everybody stand behind that. If they reject Plan No. 1, in my opinion, there is no chance in the world of their accepting the plan from Telegraph Hill. But I do not believe that we can ever get anywhere unless we stand definitely behind one plan. We cannot scatter our efforts when we go to Washington.

Supervisor Havenner: I do not know just on what your opinion states, but I have heard it suggested that that would not necessarily be true, that there might be various considerations affecting certain other sites, perhaps in their favor or perhaps not favorable to this one. What I have in mind is, we have gone ahead with this procedure of having the engineers draw up a report, in which they recommend first, second and third choices. Now, we are going back to Washington to try to get the best bridge that we can get. I think it would be well for us to let it be definitely known, in some fashion, that No. 1 is our first choice, and that we desire it to be built. But if you are going to eliminate No. 2 and No. 3, it is entirely conceivable that the committee would come back from Washington empty-handed and would have to ask for instructions to go back on No. 2 or——

Supervisor Byington (interrupting): If the engineers go to Washington standing behind No. 1 and fighting for that, don't you think that they will come back here and tell us exactly where that bridge can be located from their session with the authorities in Washington? If they thoroughly fight that out at Washington, and stand strongly behind Plan No. 1, in my opinion, they will get the views of the authorities at Washington very certainly. Now, I do not think it would be out of place if Engineer O'Shaughnessy advised us as to whether or not he did not think that would be the best course to pursue.

Supervisor Gallagher: Before that is done I want to ask a question of Mr. Galloway: I do not suppose it is in the hands of the Board yet, is it?

The Chairman: The motion has been made; it is in the hands of the Board.

Supervisor Gallagher: I would like, with your permission, Mr. Chairman, to ask a question of Mr. Galloway. They say on page 19, paragraph 3, which I think is the crux of their report as to recommendations, they say as follows: "It is suggested that a plan of procedure would be, first to obtain from the War Department the necessary permission to build the bridge at the location designated as No. 1, and after that to explore the site by an extensive system of borings, by which the nature of the foundation material may be definitely determined." Now, Mr. Galloway, what do you think will be the attitude of the Board of Engineers, by whatever manner constituted, to whom we appeal, on the subject of borings? There have been a great many statements made by some authorities that the Board of Army Engineers will ask for the survey of the foundation of the bay preliminary to their action, and that perhaps we might be met with that statement at once. Then again, it is said that it is possible to build a bridge practically within reasonable cost anywhere in the bay, and

that that statement would be made as a sufficient answer. What do you think would be the attitude of the department, or what do you think is the situation relative to having borings or a survey, which is the proper word, I think, before the representatives go, or should they go on the wording, as stated in your first three lines, "To obtain from the War Department the necessary permission to build a bridge at the location designated as No. 1." Do you think borings are important prior to or thereafter?

Mr. Galloway: I will answer that, Mr. Supervisor, by stating what appeared to us to be a logical procedure. The borings, the survey of the bottom of the bay—that is the proper term, survey—is a matter that will cost a considerable amount of money. Tentative estimates have been made of in the neighborhood of a quarter of a million dollars. I could not advise the City, nor any private corporation, to spend that amount of money exploring the site unless we had before that the permit of the War Department to build the bridge on that site. I doubt very much whether any private corporation would spend that money. I do not think it would be advisable for the City to do so. The location is a matter determined by a very complex set of conditions. We settled on the location which we designate No. 1, and think is the best, after balancing a number of factors, pro and con; the subject of traffic, the subject of getting the traffic to the best part of San Francisco, the subject of touching upon one of the headlands, reaching one of the headlands that project into the bay from San Francisco, such as Rincon Hill. The others are Telegraph Hill, Potrero Point and Hunters Point. The subject of shipping on the bay, the restrictions required by the shipping men. There were a large number of factors that we balanced. Those we could determine with a certain amount of exactitude. But when it came to a consideration of what is underneath the bottom of the bay in the way of foundation material, that we did not know. So that it appears to me that the procedure the Board should take, if I may state—and naturally I have some interest and thought on the matter—is to adopt the report as it stands. Then pass a resolution designating the site No. 1 as the one which the Board wishes to submit to the authorities in Washington. If you get permission, if they will modify their present restrictions, and state that they are willing to permit a bridge of the type that we describe: a high-level bridge from site No. 1, it then is in order, through whatever procedure the Board may in its wisdom dictate, either to explore the bay itself or to let some private corporation do it. Then you can spend your money finding out what is in the bottom of the bay, and if the exploration of the bay, the survey, shows that it is, financially and from an engineering standpoint, impossible to build a bridge at that point, then you can turn to some other site, and we have designated others. I merely am, Mr. Supervisor, outlining what appeared to me to be the logical procedure in a matter of this sort. First, adopt our report, if it seems good to you; second, designate site No. 1 as the one for which you will go before the authorities in Washington, and, after you get the permission, then explore the bay and find out whether the bridge is possible or not. Do I answer your question?

Supervisor Gallagher: You do, and I think we ought to, without any unnecessary haste, clear the situation up, and I am going to support whatever motion is found advisable under the circumstances —and I am not clear on it, nor do I desire to be technical on it—any motion that keeps us in accordance with the City Attorney's opinions, out of commitments from which we cannot extricate ourselves afterwards, and any motion which sends our representatives on their way to Washington to lay these facts before the department and secure their permission, I will support. I do not care much in what language it is framed, so long as the language is protective enough to safeguard our rights and keep us within the law as to the future. I do not expect to take the floor again on the subject. I was very glad that Supervisor Bath asked the question that he did of the City Attorney. I was rather surprised at the information contained in the answer. Supervisor Bath's question, I think, clears the cloud, the only cloud, I think, that may have rested upon the report, which is splendid in its simplicity and in its explanation. Those of us who favor Rincon Hill area can afford to support it. There was a fear on the part of some of us, and on the part of some of the citizens, that perhaps, due to commitments made with landholders in the vicinity, that in choosing this site that perhaps we had handed this prize to one concern. I think

that is dissipated and I do not think it need worry us any longer. Now, before I take my seat I say that we ought to clarify the language. We have now before us three motions, one by Supervisor Shannon, I believe, that the report be approved, and going on to state that procedure. The second by Mr. Roncovieri, which has in it point and has up to this moment been amended without motion, by the way, which of course I would not support if it left out the attendance at Washington of at least a representative of the Board of Supervisors because I will always hold to this thought, that no matter how many experts we employ and no matter how good their work, the final decision will rest here in the Board. I know that it does so legally, but I want it to do so in practice as well as in legal procedure. One further thought I offer to those who are proposing the motion to carry this matter to Washington, and I think the suggestion made by Mr. Gallagher relative to a composite Board is a splendid suggestion, and gives larger room for a more hopeful view for a decision in our favor, and certainly brings more technical minds to attention on the matter with, as I say, greater hope. We should have going to Washington something declaratory from the City of Alameda in this matter. I would like to see some framework of representation that will carry with it to Washington, expressed in the best way that it can be done, that the people of Alameda, and I hope of Oakland, join us before the War Department or the proper department, in asking that this be granted. It would seem to me to be a serious omission not to consult them, and I would go so far, so important do I think this matter, I would go so far—and I am making these suggestions to Supervisors Shannon and Roncovieri and to the coming Justice of the Supreme Court, if he will listen over there, to Mr. Byington, to this effect: Will you provide a means, in the sending of the delegation to Washington, for either the approval officially, if you want, if that will do, or something from the cities across the bay, as far as we can get that. I say I would even go so far as to be willing, if they are willing, and if they want, to send one or two to accompany our committee. This is of such magnitude that we should not be too economical in properly presenting it. I share the opinion that is expressed in Supervisor Roncovieri's resolution, that the engineers who have prepared this report, in company with our own capable City Engineer, certainly should be on the ground, if they can be backed up, if you please, and not preceding, the direct representatives of the City and County of San Francisco in the shape of the Board of Supervisors. And I would ask some of you gentlemen who have proposed these motions to take into consideration the matter of securing the help and cooperation of Alameda and Oakland on this question.

Supervisor Harrelson: I hope that some Supervisor will move a substitute for the whole, and when he does that that he will include the City Attorney or his representative in the delegation.

Supervisor Gallagher: I meant to say that, too.

Supervisor Havenner: Mr. Chairman, I think that it will probably not be possible to send a commission to Washington, which will include the City Engineer and perhaps the City Attorney and certain members of this Board, before the bond election is held on the 14th of June. Therefore, it seems to me that this discussion has developed so much of importance in the phrasing of the instructions, and the authority that will be given to this commission, that it will be well to appoint a committee of this Board to confer with the City Engineer and the City Attorney during the week and draft, as carefully as possible, the resolution which we will finally adopt, the resolution of authority for this commission. And I would move that such a committee be appointed to act with the City Engineer and the City Attorney during the week, to draft a resolution, to be submitted to us.

Supervisor Hayden: I second that motion.

Supervisor Schmidt: I second that motion.

(Supervisor Havenner's statement read.)

Supervisor Gallagher: I would like to warn you of the result of a week's delay for very many reasons. I wonder if it is not possible, Mr. Havenner, in consonance with your motion, if it is adopted, and I ask this question—for Mr. Galloway, Mr. O'Shaughnessy and Mr. O'Toole and the maker of the motion, if need be, to get together and have the matter cleared up before we adjourn?

Supervisor Havenner: What are the considerations you have with respect to the delay?

Supervisor Gallagher: The considerations I have is that perhaps

the minds of the members of the Board who are today I think very nearly agreed on the general policy, might be beclouded by a great many things that may enter in during the week. I assume that many persons will be quite busy attempting to not have this report adopted, and I would like to clean up the job today while I am at it and it does not seem so difficult. That is the way I feel about the thing.

Supervisor Byington: I suggest to Supervisor Havenner that he permit us to pass a motion at the present time approving the report.

Supervisor Havenner: I have no objection to that at all, Superisor, I merely want to make certain, in my own mind, of one fact, would the adoption of a resolution approving the report of the engineers commit us, in any way, to the final granting of any franchise?

City Attorney O'Toole: I do not think it would, Mr. Supervisor, for the reason, in the first place, it is too general to be the designation of any site, and, furthermore, I understand that there are several applications for franchises in each particular area which has been recommended by the engineers, and therefore the approval of the report certaintly could not be taken as a designation of any particular site.

Supervisor Havenner: It would not be even a commitment to the policy of eventually granting a franchise, in the event that this Board should decide on some public manner of constructing the bridge?

City Attorney O'Toole: No, I presume not. All you are doing is figuring as to the location of a bridge and not the matter of construction.

Supervisor Havenner: I withdraw the motion.

Supervisor Byington: Then I move, as a substitute, that the Board of Supervisors approve the report of the engineers.

The Chairman: I understand Supervisor Byington is going to move a substitute for the whole.

Supervisor Shannon: It cannot be done, I am going to rise to a point of order.

Supervisor Byington: I am going to withdraw my present substitute. I move that the Board of Supervisors approve the report of the engineers heretofore filed with this Board.

Supervisor Shannon: I would like to have my original motion read.

Supervisor Schmidt: The one I seconded.

Supervisor Shannon: Then I think you will rule that unnecessary.

(The Clerk reads the motion as follows):

"*Supervisor Shannon*: I move that the report of the engineers, Messrs. Galloway, Ridgway and Talbot, be adopted."

Supervisor Byington: It is all right to act on Mr. Shannon's motion.

The Chairman: Supervisor Colman asked for a segregation of that.

Supervisor Shannon: I move we act on that portion of my motion.

The Chairman: Call the roll on that portion of it.

Supervisor Harrelson: I desire to read from the proceedings of this Board of February 8, 1927, when this matter was under discussion. I refer to the time when you had introduced, Mr. Chairman, a resolution into this Board, designating the selection of a particular site from Rincon Hill to Seventh and Pine streets, Alameda and it was during the time that that resolution was under discussion that I made this statement: "I asked those questions because I had the idea in mind of offering some sort of an amendment to the proposal that the Supervisor had made. I am in favor of selecting a zone within the limits of which we shall declare our policy of building this bridge. But I am not agreeable to accept those two points which he has described exactly. I had in mind the construction of a bridge, the western terminal of which would be possibly on Rincon Hill, but the Alameda terminal would be at a point south of what we know as the Narrow Gauge Mole, and would cross the estuary at a much higher point, that is farther east than the line that he has described. It probably would cross the estuary at a high level at or near the towers carrying the high tension wires that are at present located on either side of the estuary. And the high level bridge continues across the Bay to its western terminal. I believe the intent of the Supervisor is all right. I was prepared the other day to vote for that declaration of policy, but the more thought I have given to the consideration the more I am convinced that we ought to cover a broader zone than one definite line at this time. I would like to hear some discussion before I offer an amendment, but I have that idea in mind." I read this because at that time I described practically in detail the location which is covered by site No. 1. Therefore I wish to com-

mend the Board of Engineers for its judgment and its wisdom displayed in selecting this site.

Supervisor Deasy: I want to verify the statement that Mr. Harrelson made because he marked it on the map that he had in his desk, where the bridge is going to go.

The Chairman: Call the roll.

Supervisor Stanton: I am going to vote against the commissioner's report. I have read, with some interest, the report of your commission on bridges. And I might state that I have not found a whole lot said in the report that has not already been said before this Board of Supervisors, with the exception of possibly some of the statistics which I think are very valuable. I do not think that there is a thing said in this report that has not been said in this Board of Supervisors, that has not been said before the hearing before Major Schultz in December, 1925, and possibly much more than has been said here. All of the facts contained in the book are now before the officials, the War Department in Washington, who are finally going to decide where a bridge shall be built. They had the facts in 1921, and they have had the facts ever since. And it seems to me that we are taking a step now to possibly stop the building of a bridge for many a day. Now, the statistics that I refer to in the book, some of them, giving the heights of ships' masts, the heights of the smokestacks of the new liners that are being built, 150 feet from the water, ships' masts 200 feet and more high, which all go to clearly show me that there should be no bridge built across where the blue line is that is going to possibly, for all time, block big ships coming through, that is having free passage through to this side of the harbor. The engineers in their report go on to tell you that the volume of the commerce is north of the bridge. I might say that they are very truthful in their statements, and they have given to you just cold turkey, as they find it, but that the business is rapidly growing to the south. In the hearing before Major Schulz you had everybody that was concerned in the building of the bridge and all of the data I maintain, contained there, is in the hands of the War Department at this particular time, awaiting a decision. Now, we are about to adopt a report, asking again for modification, and I venture to say, if they adhere at all to the committee or the commission that will be sent to Washington asking for a modification that, if a hearing is held, that the War Department will authorize that the hearing be held in San Francisco where the bridge is to be built. And I do not think that they are going to hold that hearing because they have never given the decision on the last hearing that they held. And they have been ready possibly for almost a year to hand you down their verdict. Their minds are made up and you might just as well have heard it then as now, for I am going to tell you that, in my opinion, and I believe it will be backed up, and I believe that many more sitting in this hall today, and I feel that a number of the Supervisors cannot help but believe that, if a bridge is built, that you are finally going to build it at Hunter's Point or south of Hunter's Point. If not then, the joke is on the War Department. If not, then the War Department really do not know what they are talking about. And according to the War Department and according to the report of your commission, the business, the commerce, is developing more and more to the south. The growth of your City and everything else is to the south. I could take this report and go down there and read it through from page to page and almost everything contained in it, to my way of thinking, would verify the ruling of the War Department, that no bridge should be built this side of Hunter's Point. Everything that they have given you in there almost in the line of statistics shows the development to the other side of where they are going to build the bridge. I also notice that there is considerable stress laid on the fact that we do not want to block the Oakland harbor. We would keep Oakland harbor open for the free passage of commerce. But we come to our own side of the bay and we practically build a fence across it, shutting out everything this side of Folsom or Bryant street. It seems to me that, if we give as much attention and thought to our own harbor, and to its development and where the future growth has to go, as we are giving consideration to Oakland, we would never stand for a bridge to come in at any such a place. The gentlemen go on here to tell you the size of the steamers that they are building today, running over 1000 feet in length, and they go on to tell you how the steamers, backing out of the piers, go off into the bay half a mile or more at times, and I will tell you the size of the steamers that they have mentioned will

go a whole lot more than half a mile, and you are expecting them to maneuver in and around a lot of piers set tight in the middle of your channel. Did you ever stop to take into consideration the thought and attention that the shipping people are giving to the Carquinez bridge, where it is sitting right in the middle of the channel, where the steamers are not bothered by backing in and out of the docks anything near like they would be here, where they simply pass through it, and there is no maneuvering; It does not seem to me that those things can be thoroughly understood by the members of this Board of Supervisors when they seem so unanimous to block the harbor, as the commission tell you about in this report, it being the only port on the coast where the navy has room to maneuver outside of Puget Sound. And still you are going to tell the Navy Department and the War Department they do not know what they are talking about, that the Board of Supervisors of San Francisco know it all, and that we do not particularly care whether we do fence the harbor off, we do not care whether we do build a bridge that the ships cannot pass under. And according to the reading of the report and their own statistics it will only be a short time when every ship almost that comes in the harbor will have two masts too high to pass under the bridge. Your committee's report also shows you that every development at the present time is moving to the south. as clearly as any engineer that took the floor for a bridge ever explained it. And still we are here, almost unanimously recommending that particular site, bringing it into one of the most thickly congested sections of the city. Now let me state, Mr. Chairman, before I sit down, that, if you want a bridge, you are not going to get it the way we are going. I am going to vote against the committees' report, but I am in favor of sending any committee that you may select to Washington, not for the purpose of saying, "Can we build this bridge at Rincon Hill, or can we build this bridge at Potrero Hill"—and much can be said about the bridge at Potrero Hill and at Telegraph Hill. "But if we cannot build this bridge at Rincon Hill where can we build a bridge? How far north will you let us come with a bridge? I will vote for such a motion whereby we can finally get to the place where we will have a bridge across San Francisco Bay. But if you are going to take the stand here and pick one bridge out and go behind it and spend a lot of money and a lot of time, and come back to San Francisco and make a report that you cannot do it, we have already had that from the War Department. And then start in again from another place and find out that you cannot do it, I am willing to vote to send a committee to find out where you can build a bridge. (Applause.)

The Chairman: Mr. Galloway has only one or two words to say in reference to one or two of the statements that Mr. Stanton made.

Supervisor Byington: I just want to say a few words, and I merely want to say this: That the Supervisor that has just spoken took his stand as a boy on Hunter's Point, and there he is going to stand forever. (Applase.) He never——

Supervisor Stanton (interrupting): No, I stood as a boy on Telegraph Hill.

Supervisor Byington: I mean, as to the point of a bridge. And I notice that the applause comes also principally from those who are interested in Hunter's Point.

Supervisor Stanton: Those that clap for you may come from Rincon Hill.

Supervisor Byington: That is all right. If Supervisor Stanton is correct, then the four distinguished engineers, some of the greatest engineers that this country has produced, are wrong, because they have brought in a report here—their faces are turned toward the future—and they are all wrong in this matter if the Supervisor is correct, because they state that a bridge probably can be built there. They are acquainted with the conditions in Washington and they say that they believe, if we go to Washington and ask that a bridge be constructed between these points, permission will be granted. I say, I am willing to follow in the footsteps of skilled engineers, with my face turned toward the front, rather than go way down and remain at Hunter's Point where a bridge never would do the city any good. Let us progress upward toward the Golden Gate and build a bridge that will accommodate thousands of people to every one who would be accommodated down at old Hunter's Point. (Applause.)

The Chairman: Mr. Galloway.

Mr. Galloway: One point regarding the remarks of the Supervisor regarding the question of ships entering the harbor below the line of

the bridge that we allowed at No. 1. The matter was taken up with a delegation of the shipping interests in San Francisco and, as far as vertical clearances were concerned, they thought that the shipping world would be accommodated with a height of 150 feet, and that is the limitation that the Board placed upon the bridge. We had two meetings with the shipping interests of the city. But in addition to that, and recognizing the fact that there is the largest drydock on the Pacific Coast at Hunter's Point south of the bridge and away from the sea, and recognizing also the fact, or the possibility rather, that there will be at least four large drydocks in the Naval Base at Alameda, the Board provided that a movable span be placed in the bridge of sufficient width so that any ship at present existing, or to be built, can pass through to the lower bay.

Supervisor Stanton: May I say another word? I meant to touch on that removable span. I imagine that would be a drawbridge, and I do not know what would happen to a drawbridge across San Francisco Bay. I do not know what would happen to the traffic. Any of you that have ever gone across the creek over here, particularly in the rush hours, when a steamer goes through there and they have got to open that drawbridge, which is a very small one, why, you are blocked clear up to the middle of the town. And that is what the gentlemen are proposing as a remedy. I say that it would be the biggest farcical affair that San Francisco would ever have put on to date.

Supervisor Byington: I would like to ask Mr. Galloway this question: If it is probable that there is more than one ship in a thousand with a mast over 150 feet high?

Supervisor Stanton: That all depends on what you mean.

Supervisor Byington: I will ask you that, you know of there being more than one ship in a thousand that has a mast over 150 feet high coming into this harbor?

Supervisor Stanton: At the present time there are not many ships, but the tendency of the time is to not to build small ships, but they will all be that in a little while. When I pulled a boat in San Francisco Bay, a ship of 5,000 tons, a ship of 3,000 tons was a mighty big ship, but you see very few 3,000-ton ships today. And the tendency is for them all to go higher and higher. There are a number of steamers in here that are mentioned in here—I was just trying to find it, Mr. Galloway can tell you or Chief Engineer O'Shaughnessy—where the smoke stacks are 150 feet high.

Supervisor Byington: But I understand that is very rare.

Supervisor Stanton: But you are going to bar that line of ships from your harbor.

Supervisor Byington: Oh, no, they can go through that drawbridge.

Supervisor Stanton: They could even do differently than that, they could stay out of here, they could go some other place.

Mr. Galloway: The answer to the question, Mr. Byington, is found in a report made by Mr. Polhemus, who was port manager of the port of Portland, and data was collected there regarding the heights of masts on ships for the purpose of debating the same subject regarding a bridge over the Columbia River. From the testimony which is printed at considerable length in the report by Mr. Polhemus, and which Mr. O'Shaughnessy furnished the Board, I would say, in my judgment, that not one ship to five thousand has masts that could not pass under this bridge. It is only in rare exceptional cases that the largest ships would ever want to go into the lower bay, according to the opinion of the shipping men, and that is to reach the drydock, and the interruption to traffic over the bridge would be possibly once in five years or something of that sort. And the general testimony of ship builders and ship owners throughout the world—and this data was gathered by Mr. Polhemus from all over the world, Great Britain, France, Italy, Holland and America—that the entire tendency at the present time is for lower ship masts instead of larger ones, and that has come about first, by the introduction of steam as a means of propulsion and, second, by the use of Diesel engines which do not need high masts, so that the entire tendency at the present time is toward lower masts and larger ships.

Supervisor Shannon: The data is on page 37 of the report.

The Chairman: Call the roll.

Supervisor Roncovieri: I proposed an amendment to it and I would like to hear that question read once more.

The Chairman: You are voting on Supervisor Shannon's original motion.

Supervisor Havenner: Read it as it is, please.

The Clerk: The motion now

pending by Supervisor Shannon, seconded by Supervisor Schmidt, is "I move that the report of the engineers, Messrs. Galloway, Ridgway and Talbot, be adopted."

The Chairman: Call the roll.

(Roll call.)

Supervisor Badaracco: Aye.
Supervisor Bath: Aye.
Supervisor Byington: Aye.
Supervisor Colman: Aye.
Supervisor Deasy: Aye.
Supervisor Gallagher: Aye.
Supervisor Harrelson: Aye.
Supervisor Havenner: Aye.
Supervisor Hayden: Aye.
Supervisor Kent: Aye.
Supervisor Marks: Aye.
Supervisor McSheehy: Aye.
Supervisor Powers: Aye.
Supervisor Roncovieri: Aye.
Supervisor Schmidt: Aye.
Supervisor Shannon: Aye.
Supervisor Stanton: No.
Supervisor Todd: Aye.
The Clerk: 17 "ayes"; 1 "no."
The Chairman: Carried.

Supervisor Shannon: I should judge that in your resolution your desire is to have this City represented at Washington by Messrs. Galloway, Ridgway and Talbot.

Supervisor Roncovieri: By the Board of Engineers, yes, sir.

Supervisor Shannon: And I would like to make this——

Supervisor Hayden: And Chief Engineer O'Shaughnessy, Supervisor Roncovieri and the City Attorney.

Supervisor Shannon: I would like to make this suggestion to you so as to clear up the matter. If you will go to line 6 of your second "resolved", line 6, right after the word "report", almost at the end of line 6, I suggest to you that we strike out "and the recommendations of the above-named engineers be and they are hereby approved and adopted by this Board, and ordered sent to the Board of Army Engineers at Washington, D. C., for their consideration."

Supervisor Roncovieri: I have done that already.

Supervisor Shannon: And let your "resolved" be incorporated in my motion reading as follows: "And that Engineers Robert Ridgway, Arthur N. Talbot, John D. Galloway and M. M. O'Shaughnessy, and City Attorney John J. O'Toole are hereby respectfully requested to represent the Board of Supervisors of this City and County before the Board of Army Engineers at Washington in the presentation of all the facts and figures, and all of the arguments in connection with the report, and that the engineers above named be conferred with by the Finance Committee regarding compensation for their services at Washington."

Supervisor Roncovieri: I accept it. If you would also accept this that was brought out here: That the Committee of this Board should be also named.

Supervisor Shannon: If you will permit the Clerk now to read the the second part of my motion, this is to follow.

Supervisor Roncovieri: That is right. I have the same thing in mind.

The Clerk (reading): "That the Chairman of the Finance Committee be authorized to appoint a committee of three members of this Board to go to Washington to obtain the sanction of the United States Government to the report, and that the Chairman of the Finance Committee be a member of that committee of three."

Supervisor Shannon: The motion then will follow that "Engineers Robert Ridgway, Arthur N. Talbot, John D. Galloway, M. M. O'Shaughnessy and City Attorney John J. O'Toole are hereby respectfully requested to represent the Board of Supervisors of this City and County before the Board of Army Engineers in Washington in the presentation of all the facts and figures and all of the arguments in connection with the report and that the engineers above named be conferred with by the Finance Committee regarding compensation for their services at Washington."

Supervisor Roncovieri: That is exactly as I wish it. I had made the same notation and changes.

The Chairman: That last section you just read be added to the motion?

Supervisor Shannon: Yes.

Supervisor Gallagher: I desire some place—I am a bit confused as to the proper place—to insert the words "Mayor of San Francisco", if I can get a second to that.

Supervisor Hayden: I will second that.

Supervisor Gallagher: I have not a copy of that resolution in my hand.

Supervisor Roncovieri: Here.

Supervisor Gallagher: What is your motion being tacked onto, Supervisor Shannon's? Is that not correct?

Supervisor Shannon: How?

Supervisor Gallagher: As I understand it, the motion of Supervisor Roncovieri is now being tacked onto the latter end of your motion.

Supervisor Shannon: Correct.

Supervisor Gallagher: And I desire to insert in the first line of the last paragraph, where it says "further resolved that Engineers Robert Ridgway" and so on, Mayor James Rolph, Jr., and then it goes on to state "and Engineers Robert Ridgway and the City Attorney" and so on, and I desire to insert that at that point. And I desire to also amend the motion for another insertion: "That said committee be authorized to secure the complete cooperation of the East Bay Cities to the work of this committee, or to the mission of this committee." Now, if I get a second to that, I will explain it. Supervisor Roncovieri has no objection to the insertion of the Mayor. Have you, Supervisor Shannon?

Supervisor Shannon: No.

Supervisor Gallagher: Will that be accepted?

The Chairman: Call the roll.

Supervisor Badaracco: Do I understand the motion is to appoint a committee consisting of four engineers, the City Attorney and three members of the Board of Supervisors, making a committee of eight?

The Chairman: And the Mayor.

Supervisor Badaracco: I would like the motion separated. I favor voting for the engineers being appointed but, however, I am not in favor of a committee of Supervisors being appointed.

Supervisor Shannon: How is the City going to be represented?

Supervisor Badaracco: It makes no difference.

Supervisor Shannon: I would like to make a slight change.

Supervisor Gallagher: May I finish my changes? As I understand it, the Mayor's name has been included. And would you, Supervisor Shannon, at your own place—I do not desire to do this inserting, but I am very earnest in trying to secure the complete cooperation of our sister cities on the East Bay.

Supervisor Shannon: Don't you think that we can pass that separately?

Supervisor Gallagher: That is quite all right with me.

Supervisor Shannon: I would like to recommend just a little change in the resolution.

The Chairman: Will you take it upon yourself to make it?

Supervisor Shannon: I will tell you what the change is. In Mr. Roncovieri's original resolution, of course, he intended this evidently as an original resolution, and in it he made mention of the fact that these engineers are respectfully requested to represent the Board of Supervisors. Now, with the motion to have three members of the Board of Supervisors represent the City, which is the proper way to do, then I would like to change that part of Mr. Roncovieri's motion to this effect: "That Engineers Robert Ridgway, Arthur N. Talbot, John D. Galloway and M. M. O'Shaughnessy, and City Attorney John J. O'Toole and Mayor James Rolph, Jr., are hereby respectfully requested to cooperate with a committee from the Board of Supervisors." That is right at the commencement of the third line, and striking out "requested to" and adding "respectfully requested to cooperate with the committee from the Board of Supervisors." Then the actual motion will read, "that the Chairman of the Finance Committee be authorized to appoint a committee of three members of this Board to go to Washington to obtain the sanction of the United States Government to the report and that the Chairman of the Finance Committee be a member of that committee of three." Then follows the portion just read.

The Clerk: Will you read that again?

Supervisor Shannon: "That Engineers Robert Ridgway, Arthur N. Talbot, John D. Galloway and M. M. O'Shaughnessy and City Attorney John J. O'Toole and Mayor James Rolph, Jr., are hereby respectfully requested to cooperate with a committee from the Board of Supervisors of this City and County, before the Board of Army Engineers in Washington, in the presentation of all the facts and figures and arguments in connection with the report, and that the engineers above named be conferred with by the Finance Committee regarding compensation for their services at Washington." I move the adoption.

Supervisor Schmidt: Second the motion.

Supervisor Stanton: I have no objection, as I stated, to going to Washington, but are we going to have another resolution as to just what they will stand for, or are they going back and ask for one project and then come back to San Francisco if they do not get it?

Supervisor Byington: I am going to offer a substitute, that is, following the suggestion made by Mr. Galloway, that we first approve the report. Now, I am going to offer this as a substitute: "Resolved, that the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby makes application to the War Department of the United States to build a bridge at the location designated as No. 1 in the report of the

engineers adopted and approved May 23, 1927, by the above-named Board of Supervisors."

Supervisor Schmidt: What is No. 1? The committee has outlined three bridges. I think you are the baby of Rincon Hill, I think we ought to adopt the three resolutions, adopt the report and not designate one spot.

Supervisor Byington: I did not either come from Rincon Hill or way out——

Supervisor Havenner (interrupting): Are you proposing this as a substitute for Supervisor Shannon's resolution?

Supervisor Byington: I merely want to see it go there.

Supervisor Havenner: I am in favor of having a definite expression myself, but we ought to adopt his resolution first.

Supervisor Badaracco: I would like to hear the motion read.

Supervisor Byington: This is to be voted on separately. I want this voted upon and then vote upon the matter of going to Washington.

The Chairman: As I understand it, you offer this as an amendment to the motion?

Supervisor Byington: I offer it as an amendment.

The Chairman: To the motion made by Supervisor Shannon. I am sorry, but if this is an amendment to Supervisor Shannon's motion—you are not offering that as an amendment?

Supervisor Byington: I consider it as an amendment, first that we vote upon the proposed location of that particular plan we recommend, and then after that vote upon the proposition of sending this delegation to Washington.

The Chairman: We have already adopted a part of it. Supervisor Shannon's motion is before this body. I cannot place both motions at one time.

Supervisor Byington: You would have to present the amendment first and then Supervisor Shannon's would follow

The Chairman: I hate to rule on this, but I cannot see that this has any relationship whatsoever to the motion made by Supervisor Shannon. I am willing to take it up right afterwards. Supervisor Shannon's motion has the right of way here. I do not like to be arbitrary with you at all.

Supervisor Schmidt: I understood that Supervisor Havenner was going to draft a policy, to be followed after the adoption of both of these amendments. We will not have any policy if we adopt Supervisor Byington's amendment.

The Chairman: If I am forced to a ruling, and I will have to rule if I am forced to it——

Supervisor Byington (interrupting): I thought that that was the original argument, that first we vote upon the approval of the report and then we vote upon recommending to Washington a particular site, and then determine who the committee should consist of, I will state that, personally, I am opposed to a committee consisting of nine or ten people going to Washington, at the expense of this City and County, I am very strongly opposed to it. I think it is an outrage to send the Mayor and three members of the Board of Supervisors and four engineers to Washington at the expense of this City when they are not necessary. I, on the contrary, believe that we ought to take steps to request the War Department and the Navy Department, and some other Washington department to come here to San Francisco and sit down with us, and I think we could get that done and save this enormous expense to this City. I think that would meet with the approval of the engineers, to request of Washington that they send a representative of the War Department, a representative of the Navy Department and a representative of the other departments suggested by Mr. Galloway out here to sit down with our engineers and with the Mayor and with the Board of Supervisors, and have it determined here, but to send nine or ten men, at the expense of this City, to Washington for an unlimited time, it should not be done. I would say, even so far as the Mayor is concerned, the Mayor of this City has no time to go to Washington and spend a month and that is what I favor and I would offer that as a substitute, if this motion does not go first, that we request the War Department and the Navy Department to come to San Francisco and sit down here with the engineers on this project.

Supervisor Gallagher: Is Mr. Byington expressing his own opinion or the opinion of the Mayor on the subject of whether the Mayor can go to Washington?

Supervisor Byington: It must be my own opinion because I have not consulted with the Mayor on that or any other thing. But I do not believe that the Mayor should go to Washington for this purpose, and I do not believe that three members of the Board of Supervisors should go.

Supervisor Gallagher: How much would it cost?

Supervisor Byington: You have ten men, it will cost too much and it is entirely unnecessary.

Supervisor Badaracco: I would like to have the motion read because I, too, am opposed to three members of the Board of Supervisors and the Mayor. I am in favor of the four engineers waiting on the War Department, but I am opposed to the Mayor or the Supervisors.

Supervisor Shannon: Answering Mr. Byington, I think that his suggestion is most ridiculous, to think that the War Department will come to us. We have a matter to present to them, and their office is in San Francisco, and the place for us to get all interested parties in one place at one time is in Washington. They might send a representative to San Francisco. They might have their local representative, who is located here all the time, have the hearing and would be a repetition of what we have had in the past. But if we are going to get this very important matter settled, a committee must go to Washington. That committee should consist of representatives of this City, and the representatives of this City are the members of the Board of Supervisors. The gentlemen who have compiled this report are in a better position than anybody else to present their argument to the representatives of the Army and Navy. The Board of Supervisors, the representatives of the Board of Supervisors, are men who have sat through all of the hearings, and are familiar with everything that was presented, and the City Attorney knows the legal status. Our City Engineer also knows everything about the report of the engineers and everything—will you kindly have the Supervisor seated, Mr. Chairman?

Supervisor Byington: I was going to ask a question.

Supervisor Shannon: You do not need to ask questions in the middle of a man's line of thought. But I do think that it is absolutely necessary that this full committee go. We have expended $30,000 in getting this report, and one-third of that sum in getting a definite answer from Washington for the benefit of the entire bay district is like a drop in the bucket. When men talk about saving money in a matter of this kind I think it would be well if they devoted their time to an endeavor to save money along other lines. And as far as the Supervisor who is objecting to the Supervisors going, he is the one man on the Board who is always looking to be sent to all committee meetings in any part of the country.

Supervisor Byington: I rise to a question of personal privilege. I have been referred to by the gentleman who just sat down as one who has always aimed to be sent to committee——

Supervisor Shannon: No, I am not referring to you at all. You were bolstered up by another member of the Board.

Supervisor Byington: I am going to say that I never went any place at an expense of ten cents.

Supervisor Badaracco: I suggest that Supervisor Shannon look up the number of resolutions he has introduced since he has been on the Board, whereby committees have been appointed on junketing trips.

Supervisor Powers: I have the record of February 10 in front of me, where we had nine votes for a zone from Rincon Hill to Sixteenth street. If we had had one more vote on the 10th day of February of this year we would not have had to employ these engineers at all. The committee would have been back to Washington and back here with their report, with only one more vote on the 10th day of February.

Supervisor Stanton: And yes, Charlie, but the Southern Pacific would have had the franchise.

The Chairman: That is very unfair, Supervisor Stanton, and I wish you would not interrupt. I will ask you, if you have a point of order, to state it, but you will not interrupt the speaker.

Supervisor Powers: I have the roll call on February 10 here.

"*Supervisor Badaracco*: No.
"*Supervisor Bath*: Absent.
"*Supervisor Byington*: Aye.
"*Supervisor Colman*: Aye.
"*Supervisor Deasy*: Aye.
"*Supervisor Gallagher*: No.
"*Supervisor Harrelson*: Aye.
"*Supervisor Havenner*: No.
"*Supervisor Hayden*: Aye.
"*Supervisor Kent*: Aye.
"*Supervisor Marks*: Aye.
"*Supervisor McSheehy*: Aye.
"*Supervisor Powers*: Aye.
"*Supervisor Roncovieri*: No.
"*Supervisor Schmidt*: No.
"*Supervisor Shannon*: No.
"*Supervisor Stanton*: No.
"*Supervisor Todd*: No."

We would have saved that $25,000 with one more vote, and we would have had the report back here three months ago.

Supervisor Schmidt: At that time I am glad I did not vote for it, or it

surely would have been christened the Southern Pacific bridge.

Supervisor Byington: I would like to propound a question: Today I had the pleasure of listening to a speech upon Boulder Dam, and I would like to know if the Supervisor is not aware of the fact that both Secretary Hoover and Secretary Work came all the way out here to view the engineering proposition of Boulder Dam?

Supervisor Shannon: And they will come out here to view this bridge when it is completed.

Supervisor Byington: So there is not anything nonsensical about asking them to come.

Supervisor Harrelson: What are we voting on now, sending this committee to Washington?

The Chairman: Yes.

Supervisor Harrelson: I would like to ask from the engineers if they consider that they are sufficiently and properly prepared to make the presentation to the Washington authorities. I mean by that are their plans prepared in sufficient detail to make the proper presentation?

Supervisor Shannon: I would like to hear Chief O'Shaughnessy on that.

Supervisor Powers: I would like to hear from Chief O'Shaughnessy.

Chief Engineer O'Shaughnessy: I think, with a very moderate work in preparing diagrams to be made of the proposed bridge location, it will be adequate for a presentation to the War Department. But some work will have to be done and it can be done in the next three or four weeks.

Supervisor Roncovieri: Does that mean that there will be a delay of three or four weeks before this committee can proceed to Washington?

Chief Engineer O'Shaughnessy: Yes.

Supervisor Stanton: I do not agree with Supervisor Byington. I won't say that I do not agree with the three Supervisors, a committee of three Supervisors who are right from the Board, representing the City, with the Chief Engineer, who should represent the engineering end of it, and it seems to me that that should be enough. I do not think that you have to take a whole army of engineers to go back there, because, after all, it is going to be sort of up to the Supervisors who go to hypnotize the Army and Navy so they will admit they are all wrong. The City Attorney will go along with you to give you the legal points, but why pack along a whole regiment of engineers? You are not going to construct a bridge there. They are merely going to place the facts before them and Mr. Galloway said he thought there was sufficient in the book here to present, and they have many more books like that, and they have all of those facts, and it is just a matter of going back there and having that persuasive power of showing them where they are wrong and convincing them. So take back with you a lot of nice, easy-spoken, convincing Supervisors and possibly you will get far along with the Engineer and the City Attorney. I would say that it would be a good trip.

Supervisor Gallagher: If there is any precedent needed, I remember we were at Washington when Secretary Fisher of the Department of the Interior wanted to revoke the Garfield permit on Hetch Hetchy, and while I was one of the delegation I happened to be in the East at the time and was asked to come over from Philadelphia, or wherever we were meeting. I remember the Mayor was there and I think that when we appeared before the Secretary we were backed up by something like eight or nine of a delegation, including Senator Phelan, I think John R. Freeman, and yes, I think we had seven or eight in our delegation. We thought it was important enough to preserve that permit to San Francisco. When we went back to get the decree for the World's Fair, I think a carload of people went back.

Supervisor Byington: At the expense of the City?

Supervisor Gallagher: A good many of them, yes. I cannot quite get your line of action, Supervisor. This is the biggest project, including the World's Fair. It is not bigger than Hetch Hetchy, but one of the biggest.

Supervisor Byington: I do not believe that the three Supervisors will add very much to it.

Supervisor Gallagher: The three Supervisors, it is true, may not add much to the presentation, but they do this, at least: They form the background or the foreground for these men, and they sit there as the representatives of seven or eight hundred thousand people or more.

Supervisor Marks: And may I answer that, that they are a group from the very body who have the final say on the granting of the franchise.

Supervisor Gallagher: Certainly. And here is one of the reasons why I would send them: When they return, if the verdict is not in our favor, instead of having one of the

Supervisors who has been there all the time tell us just what happened, we have the opinion of three or four men. Now, it is not right, Mr. Supervisor, to bring to yourself the glorious applause that comes from an attempt to save money, when you are labeled as one of the wasters on the Board, with myself and a few others, by the way. It is not advisable to do that and overlook the fact that Washington must be impressed, if we can, with the fact that the people want this. That is the background I would like to see, and that is why I am going to introduce the subject of the East Bay cities, and I do not know what this delegation will cost. I would estimate it would be five to ten thousand dollars.

Supervisor Hayden: No, $25,000.

Supervisor Gallagher: Except the engineers want large fees, and Mr. Ridgway has agreed to represent us for nothing, and I am sure that the other two engineers will be very moderate. And even if it were $25,000, and I am not very loose on the subject of the amounts, I say, very frankly, that it is a very important project and I think we are quarreling over something that is very small, considering the big project we have in view.

The Chairman: Is there any other Supervisor that wishes to speak on this? Supervisor Byington spoke three or four times.

Supervisor Schmidt: What about that ten-minute rule?

Supervisor Byington: I want to ask Supervisor Gallagher if he does not think that it would be better if we sent back the engineers. We have two Senators there representing the State of California, and a number of Congressmen, and a man like Senator Phelan, with his experience, don't you think that that would carry more weight than sending back three members of the Board of Supervisors?

Supervisor Gallagher: I won't say but what it would have its weight, but I certainly would not select Senator Phelan in preference to the direct representatives of the people. I would like to have him go with them.

The Chairman: Call the roll.

Supervisor Badaracco: I wish to be recorded as voting "aye" for the four engineers and the City Attorney, and I want to be recorded as voting "no" against the three Supervisors and the Mayor.

Supervisor Stanton: Can I explain my vote now?

The Chairman: Yes.

Supervisor Stanton: I am going to vote for whomever you want to send, not believing that they are going to accomplish anything, but I simply want to see if we cannot force some sort of a ruling out, if we cannot get the Board of Supervisors to ask somebody there to tell us where we can get a bridge. We could get it without expending a dime, simply by sending a telegram, but if we cannot get it in any other way, and if we have to send somebody there to get it, I am ready to vote for whomever you want to send to get the ruling.

Supervisor Marks: If this motion is carried, would such a committee have the power, in the event that the War Department did not look with favor upon any one of these three different projects, to then get from the War Department the final ruling as to where the bridge can be located?

Supervisor Havenner: I propose, as soon as this is disposed of, to renew my former motion, that the special committee of this Board be appointed to confer with the City Attorney and the City Engineer, during the coming week, and draft a resolution of authority and instruction for the commission that will be sent to Washington.

Supervisor Marks: Defining the scope of the committee's powers?

Supervisor Havenner: Yes.

Supervisor Badaracco: I wish to have the motion separated. I wish to be recorded as voting "no" on the three Supervisors and the Mayor.

The Chairman: Separate the motion. Every Supervisor has that right. A separation of the motion has been asked by Supervisor Badaracco. Call the roll on the separation.

The Clerk: How are you going to separate it?

The Chairman: First on the engineers. Is there any objection to the engineers? Is there any objection to the City Attorney? Is there any objection to the Mayor?

Supervisor Badaracco: Yes.

The Chairman: Call the roll on the Mayor.

Supervisor Byington: I suggest that we take the Mayor and the Supervisors.

The Chairman: You have asked for a separation. Call the roll on the Mayor. The other part has been passed.

(Roll call.)

Supervisor Badaracco: No.
Supervisor Bath: Aye.
Supervisor Byington: No.
Supervisor Colman: Aye.
Supervisor Deasy: Aye.
Supervisor Gallagher: Aye.

Supervisor Harrelson: Aye.
Supervisor Havenner: Aye.
Supervisor Hayden: Aye.
Supervisor Kent: Aye.
Supervisor Marks: Aye.
Supervisor McSheehy: Aye.
Supervisor Powers: Aye.
Supervisor Roncovieri: Aye.
Supervisor Schmidt: Aye.
Supervisor Shannon: Aye.
Supervisor Stanton: Aye.
Supervisor Todd: Aye.
The Clerk: 16 "ayes"; 2 "noes".

The Chairman: Call the roll on the motion with reference to the Supervisors.

(Roll call.)

Supervisor Badaracco: No.
Supervisor Bath: Aye.
Supervisor Byington: No.
Supervisor Colman: Aye.
Supervisor Deasy: Aye.
Supervisor Gallagher: Aye.
Supervisor Harrelson: Aye.
Supervisor Havenner: Aye.
Supervisor Hayden: Aye.
Supervisor Kent: Aye.
Supervisor Marks: Aye.
Supervisor McSheehy: Aye.
Supervisor Powers: Aye.
Supervisor Roncovieri: Aye.
Supervisor Schmidt: Aye.
Supervisor Shannon: Aye.
Supervisor Stanton: Aye.
Supervisor Todd: Aye.
The Clerk: 16 "ayes"; 2 "noes."

Supervisor Havenner: I desire to renew my motion.

The Clerk: What has become of the original motion?

Supervisor Shannon: Call the roll on the original motion.

(Roll call.)

Supervisor Badaracco:——

Supervisor Badaracco (interrupting): I would like to have you explain to me how you are going to call the roll on the original motion?

Supervisor Stanton: I do not get this.

Supervisor Gallagher: You vote "no" on items in the budget, but you do not vote "no" on the budget, do you?

The Chairman: For the sake of the record.

Supervisor Stanton: I do not see any other way unless you put three motions and it carries distinctively, and it seems to me that it is passed. Why do you have to put them all together again?

Supervisor Shannon: There has been no action on my motion. My motion is that the Chairman of the Finance Committee appoint a committee of three to go to Washington, and also that the engineers and the City Attorney and City Engineer accompany the Board of Supervisors' committee. Now, some members of the Board thought that the Mayor should not go, others thought that the members of the Board of Supervisors should not go, and they had their opportunity to express their opinion on these several matters. Now, we are going to vote to see whether the committee is going, that is what we are voting on now.

Supervisor Badaracco: It appears to me that the majority members of the Board are trying to force Supervisor Byington and myself to reverse ourselves by combining the motions. The motions have been separated.

The Chairman: You have had considerable parliamentary experience and you know this is the usual procedure.

Supervisor Badaracco: I am surprised at your ruling.

The Chairman: I will rule that way. You have a demonstration with the budget year in and year out.

Supervisor Byington: Suppose there is a motion made here that you send Mr. Brown and Mr. Jones to Washington and you segregate them and the matter in regard to Brown is carried and the matter in regard to Jones is carried. There is no necessity of combining them.

The Chairman: You have a budget and you will be asked to vote on it some time this week, and there will be items in that budget which you will not agree to, but you will vote for the budget as a whole.

Supervisor Byington: The cases are not similar at all.

The Chairman: They are, in my opinion. You are asking me for a ruling and I am giving it to you.

Supervisor Stanton: I think you are a little in error, and this particular matter does not make any difference to me, but I would not want to get up here at some future time, and want to be forced in the position and ask for the separation of a motion. The idea of the separation of the motion is to give you a chance to vote on the parts of it that you want to. Now, I take it for granted that Supervisor Byington and Supervisor Badaracco want to send a committee to Washington, but they do not agree to the large committee. They believe that they have a right to vote for those that they want to send. Now, you are going to force them into a position that they will have either to vote to send those that they do not want to send, or you are going to make them vote against the entire proposition. Now, to me it makes no difference as to just what you do to the gentlemen at this particular time, but I might have to ask for the same separation of a motion,

and I will be forced, at the final, to vote whether I want to or not. I think the idea of the separation of the motion is to give a man a chance to express himself in any particular part of it and not jam him into it. The matter of a budget is entirely different. You go through many items and the law says that you must finally adopt the budget. It is an entirely different proposition.

The Chairman: I think that you can explain your vote.

Supervisor Schmidt: I do not know what the argument is about. The Chair has already ruled. Now, they will either have to vote to sustain the Chair.

The Chairman (interrupting): Call the roll and I would suggest that Supervisor Badaracco and Supervisor Byington can explain their votes.

Supervisor Badaracco: I will make my position clear: I am in favor of sending a committee on to Washington, but, however, I am not in favor of chartering a special train, and I am in favor of sending the four engineers and the City Attorney, but, however, I am opposed to the three Supervisors and the Mayor and, therefore, I am going to vote "No" on the original motion.

Supervisor Byington: If the matter of sending the Supervisors is ever brought into court I think it will be held illegal.

(Roll call.)

Supervisor Badaracco: No.
Supervisor Bath: Aye.
Supervisor Byington: No.
Supervisor Colman: Aye.
Supervisor Deasy: Aye.
Supervisor Gallagher: Aye.
Supervisor Harrelson: Aye.
Supervisor Havenner: Aye.
Supervisor Hayden: Aye.
Supervisor Kent: Aye.
Supervisor Marks: Aye.
Supervisor McSheehy: Aye.
Supervisor Powers: Aye.
Supervisor Roncovieri: Aye.
Supervisor Schmidt: Aye.
Supervisor Shannon: Aye.
Supervisor Stanton: Aye.
Supervisor Todd: Aye.
The Clerk: 16 "ayes"; 2 "noes".

* * * * *

Supervisor Havenner: Mr. Chairman, I believe I made this motion before: I would like to move that a special committee of this Board be appointed to confer with the City Attorney and the City Engineer and report back to this Board, at the next meeting, recommendations in definition of the duties and authority to be conferred upon the commission that will be sent to Washington.

Supervisor Hayden: I second that.

The Chairman: Will you set the number and by whom appointed?

Supervisor Havenner: By the Chairman, I would say, and the committee of three.

Supervisor Hayden: I second that.

The Chairman: You have heard the motion made by Supervisor Havenner and seconded by Supervisor Hayden. Any objection? So ordered.

Supervisor Gallagher: I move you, Mr. Chairman, that the Chairman of the Bridge Committee, in conjunction with his Honor the Mayor, take such steps as to them seems proper to secure cooperation, complete cooperation, of the East Bay Cities to the report of the special board of engineers submitted to this Board and which has been adopted.

Supervisor Schmidt: Second that motion.

The Chairman: You have heard the motion made by Supervisor Gallagher in reference to the cooperation of the East Bay. Are you ready for the question? Any objection? So ordered.

Supervisor Shannon: There are a number of resolutions being carried on the calendar. The first one was presented by yourself covering the general area, one presented by Mr. Havenner regarding a bridge district, one presented by myself regarding Mission Rock. And I think that, in view of the report of the engineers, that we should drop these from the calendar and it will clear the calendar of three or four pages of matter, and if there is no objection from any member of the Board I will so move.

Supervisor Marks: I object to that. I have a motion on page 5, which was made by me on March 7, asking that the City Attorney prepare, at his best convenience, the necessary form of submission to the people of the issue of whether the proposed bridge should be publicly built or privately built. Now, of course, that does not commit the Board to either method of financing. It calls upon the City Attorney to prepare the necessary resolutions or legislation, so that that issue might be submitted to the people. I would not want that to be dropped, and I think that ought to remain.

Supervisor Shannon: With the exception, I move that the others be dropped.

The Chairman: Any objection to

the motion made with that exception? No objection, so ordered.

The Clerk: On page 5, application of Bay Cities Bridge Corporation for a franchise for a toll bridge between San Francisco and Alameda.

Supervisor Shannon: I move that further consideration of the application of the Bay Cities Bridge Corporation for a franchise for a toll bridge between San Francisco and Alameda be continued until Monday, July 25, at 2 p. m.

Supervisor Schmidt: I will second that motion.

Supervisor Shannon: I make the motion, Mr. Chairman, for a continuance for a sixty-day period, due to the fact that Mr. O'Shaughnessy stated that it will take three or four weeks to get the matters in shape for a proper presentation at Washington, and I should judge that another three weeks will be consumed in the trip to and from Washington, and in the presentation of matters there, so I think we are fairly safe in setting July 25 as the date.

The Chairman: Is there any objection? No objection.

SPECIAL ORDERS—2 P. M.

Bridge Hearings.

Thereupon, the following matters were taken up:

Applications for Franchises to Construct Bridges Across San Francisco Bay.

Application of Bay Cities Bridge Corporation for a franchise for a toll bridge between San Francisco and Alameda.

Proposed rail and highway crossing for San Francisco Bay from the foot of Sixteenth street, San Francisco, to the foot of Pacific avenue, Alameda.

Beginning at the intersection of the center line of Sixteenth street with the center line of Third (Kentucky) street, in the City and County of San Francisco, and extending thence easterly along the center line of Sixteenth street to the waterfront line; thence easterly along a straight line to a point on the center line of Pacific avenue, in the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, which point is one hundred feet westerly from the intersection of said center line of Pacific avenue with the center line of Bryant street.

That the length of said bridge is 27,750 feet and that the width of the roadway on said bridge is 38 feet.

Filed April 6, 1925.

DUDLEY D. SALES,
Attorney.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, July* 25, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Application of Elbert W. Davis for a franchise for a toll bridge between San Francisco and Alameda.

Across San Francisco Bay, beginning at a point in the City and County of San Francisco on the bulkhead line of San Francisco Harbor between Piers 26 and 28, and running approximately north 67 degrees 45 minutes east 17,962 feet, more or less, to a point in the City of Oakland, County of Alameda, State of California, on the easterly shore of San Francisco Bay.

That the length of said bridge is to be 17,962 feet and that the breadth thereof is to be 65 feet, more or less.

Filed June 29, 1925.

JAMES M. OLIVER,
Attorney.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, July* 25, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Application of John Bermingham, Jr., 250 Cervantes boulevard, for a franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge across San Francisco Bay between the City and County of San Francisco and the County of Alameda.

Beginning at the intersection of the center line of Seventh and Mission streets, in the City and County of San Francisco, along Seventh street to Seventh and Sixteenth; thence in a southeasterly direction to Nineteenth and Third; thence in a southerly direction along Third street to the intersection of Third street and Jerrold avenue and waterfront; thence proceeding in a northerly direction, crossing San Fran-

cisco Bay to a point from which a branch will extend 4500 feet easterly to the intersection of Pacific avenue and Bryant street, in the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California; thence from said aforementioned intersecting point proceeding in a northerly direction, crossing the Oakland Estuary, to the intersection of Peralta street and Seventh street, in the City of Oakland, County of Alameda, State of California.

That the total length of said bridge, approaches thereto and branch thereof, aforementioned, is 65,450 feet, that the width of said bridge is ninety (90) feet.

Filed September 28, 1925.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, July* 25, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Application of A. M. Bergstrom for a franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge across San Francisco Bay between the City and County of San Francisco and the County of Alameda.

That the said toll bridge is to be located across the Bay of San Francisco along the following described line, to-wit:

Beginning at a point in San Francisco at about the foot of Davidson avenue, on Hunter's Point, and running northerly sixty-two (62) degrees east four and four-tenths (4.4) miles; thence on a one (1) degree curve to the north one and six-tenths (1.6) miles to true north to a point on the easterly shore of the Bay of San Francisco at about the foot of Webster street, in the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California.

That the length of said bridge is to be six (6) miles and the breadth is to be sixty (60) feet, more or less.

Filed September 28, 1925.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, July* 25, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Application of New York and San Francisco Development Company for franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge between San Francisco and Alameda.

Bridge to be constructed across San Francisco Bay from a point therein at or near the junction of Evans avenue and Boalt street, Hunter's Point, in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to or near the junction of South High street and Washington street, in the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California; that the length of said bridge is approximately six and one-half miles from shore line to shore line, and that its breadth or width is approximately from 60 to 90 feet.

Filed January 11, 1926.

ROTHCHILD & GOLDEN,
Attorneys.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, July* 25, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Application of Roy C. Hackley for a franchise to construct a toll bridge between San Francisco, Pier 26, and Alameda County.

Beginning at a point in the City and County of San Francisco on the bulkhead line of San Francisco Harbor, south of and adjacent to Pier 26, and running approximately north 61 degrees east and fifty-three hundred feet, more or less; thence running approximately north 89 degrees east five thousand feet, more or less; thence running approximately north 59 degrees 30 minutes east eight thousand feet, more or less, to a point in the City of Oakland, County of Alameda, State of California, on the easterly shore of said San Francisco Bay.

That the length of said bridge is to be eighteen thousand three hundred feet (18,300) and that the breadth thereof is to be 78 feet, more or less.

Roy A. Bronson and E. D. Bronson, Jr., attorneys, 68 Post street.

Filed February 6, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued*

until Monday, July 25, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

A y e s — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Two applications of the Construction Company of North America for a franchise to construct and open a toll bridge between San Francisco and Alameda County.

Commencing at a point in said City and County of San Francisco near the corner of First and Bryant streets, on what is known as Rincon Hill, and running thence on less than a two-degree curve a distance of approximately 1700 lineal feet to the line of bulkhead wharf connecting Piers 30 and 32 on the waterfront of the said City and County of San Francisco; thence on a line approximately north 65 degrees east 21,000 lineal feet, more or less, to the Oakland Mole of the Southern Pacific Company in Alameda County.

That the length of said bridge is to be 4½ miles and that the width thereof is to be approximately 78 feet.

ROBERT J. McGAHIE,
Attorney, Mills Building.

First application filed February 15, 1926.

Second application field April 5, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, July* 25, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

A y e s — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Application of Allan C. Rush for a franchise to construct a toll bridge between San Francisco and Alameda County.

Construction of a suspension bridge from the City and County of San Francisco over and across Goat Island to the east shore of the Bay of San Francisco, comprising three main double traffic track entrance therein, and being of a height of two hundred feet above high tide, between all bridge piers in the waters of San Francisco Channel, in which channel the bridge will be suspended over "but three piers," twenty-four hundred feet apart, thus in nowise impeding the traffic of the waters of the Bay.

Filed June 19, 1926.

ALLAN C. RUSH,
1632 McCullom street, Los Angeles, California.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, July* 25, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

A y e s — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Application of Robert Lee Dunn, 778 Monadnock Building, for a franchise to construct a toll bridge along the following described line, to-wit:

Beginning at the intersection of the center line of Second street with the center line of Berry street, in the City and County of San Francisco and extending thence easterly to the waterfront line; thence easterly along a straight line to the intersection of the center lines of Pacific avenue and Bryant street, City of Alameda, California.

Length of said bridge is twenty-six thousand feet; that the width of the roadway on said bridge is eighty feet.

Filed June 21, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, July* 25, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

A y e s — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Application of Edward A. Bertram for a franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge to be located, to-wit:

Beginning at Twelfth and Howard streets, San Francisco, by 1½ per cent viaduct to Beale and Howard streets; thence to Southern Pacific Mole, Oakland; thence by 1½ per cent viaduct to West Fourteenth street level, and Sixteenth Street Railroad Station.

The length of said bridge proper is 21,000 feet and its width of roadway is 63 feet.

Filed June 21, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued*

until Monday, July 25, 1927, at 2 p. m., by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Application of R. S. Chew for franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge or bridges.

The said proposed bridge or bridges are to be located and are described as follows:

The westerly end of a bridge and the approaches thereto, which will connect with public streets, are to be located in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California (which location is in the county situated on the left bank descending of the Bay of San Francisco, across which said bridge is to be constructed), and at a point therein at or near the summit of an eminence known as Telegraph Hill; from this point the bridge will project easterly a distance of nine thousand feet (more or less) to the westerly side of Yerba Buena (or Goat) Island; thence easterly across said island a roadway or viaduct will be constructed for a distance of four thousand feet (more or less) to its easterly side; from thence there will be projected in an easterly direction a distance of twenty-two thousand feet (more or less), a bridge structure, viaduct, causeway and/or fill to suitable connections with lines or railway and public highways in Alameda County, State of California.

Filed June 28, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, July 25, 1927, at 2 p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Application of Inter-Cities Bridge Company for a franchise to construct, maintain and operate a toll bridge across the Bay of San Francisco and over the following route:

Beginning at the intersection of Broadway and Battery street, in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and extending thence easterly to the waterfront; thence on a line approximately north 60 degrees east to the westerly point of Yerba Buena or Goat Island; thence by contour meander to the easterly point of Yerba Buena or Goat Island; thence on a line approximately north 75 degrees to the foot of Yerba Buena street, in the City of Oakland, County of Alameda, State of California.

That the length of said bridge, inclusive of approximately 4100 feet on ground support over Yerba Buena or Goat Island, and 5670 feet to be supported by an earth fill mole in the approach to the said Oakland terminal, is to be approximately 27,000 feet. That the width of said bridge is to be approximately 120 feet, providing two 20-foot vehicular lanes and accommodations for four lines of electric transportation tracks.

Filed July 12, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, July 25, 1927, at 2 p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Application of G. Lindenthal for franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge.

Said bridge is to be located along the following described line:

Commencing at a point in the center line of Jerrold avenue, in the City and County of San Francisco, about midway between Ship street and Dock street, in said City and County of San Francisco; thence on a curve easterly and northerly a distance of 30,000 feet, more or less, to the southerly foot of Webster street, in the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California.

The width of said bridge at the easterly end thereof for approximately 16,000 feet will be 75 feet, and the width of the remaining portion thereof will be approximately 40 feet. The length of said bridge will be approximately 30,000 feet.

Filed August 2, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, July 25, 1927, at 2 p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Pow-

ers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Preliminary application of Fowler Engineering Corporation for a franchise for the building of a bridge from Telegraph Hill to Goat Island, 3 2000-foot spans.

Filed July 28, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, July* 25, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor, Bath—1.

Application of W. F. Holcomb for franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge across San Francisco Bay between the City and County of San Francisco and Alameda County.

Beginning at or near and within one hundred feet northerly or southerly of the intersection of the center line of Second street with the center line of Berry street, in the City and County of San Francisco and extending thence easterly to the waterfront line; thence easterly along a straight line to, at or near and within one hundred feet northerly or southerly of the intersection of the center lines of Pacific avenue and Bryant street, City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California.

That the length of said bridge will be twenty-six thousand feet; that the width of the roadway on said bridge will be eighty-nine feet.

Filed August 9, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, July* 25, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Application of Robert E. Fitzgerald for franchise to erect, maintain and operate and take tolls on a toll bridge over and across the Bay of San Francisco. The location of said bridge is described as follows:

The center line of said bridge will commence at a point on The Embarcadero of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, at a point approximately midway between Vallejo street and Broadway street; thence extending on a line approximately north 60 degrees east to the westerly point of Yerba Buena Island, also known as Goat Island; thence following the contour of said island to a point on the easterly shore thereof where said shore line would be intersected by a center line of Seventh street in the City of Oakland, if produced, upon its present course as the course of said street now exists along the northerly line of Oakland Mole; thence easterly along said line of said street, if so produced, to the center line of said Seventh street at the present westerly end thereof.

Filed August 9, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, July* 25, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Application of Thos. E. Slaven, filed August 16, 1926, for franchise to construct, maintain and operate a toll bridge. Said bridge is to be constructed over and across the Bay of San Francisco, and over the following route:

The location of said bridge is particularly described as follows: The center line of said bridge will commence at a point on The Embarcadero of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, approximately where the center line of said Embarcadero intersects the center line of Pier 7, if produced. Thence extending on a line north approximately 67 degrees 30 minutes east to a point on the southwesterly shore of Yerba Buena or Goat Island; thence easterly following the contour of said island to a point on the easterly shore thereof, located about six hundred feet in a northerly direction from the light house located on the southern portion of said island; thence north eighty-five degrees thirty minutes east, approximately 8700 feet to a point where said line intersects the center line of Seventh street, Oakland, if produced, upon its present course as the course of said street now exists along the northern line of Oakland Mole; thence easterly along said line of said street, if so produced,

to the center line of said Seventh street at the present westerly end thereof.

The length of said bridge, exclusive of land approaches, being approximately 9300 feet west of said island and approximately 10,400 feet east of said island, and the breadth of said bridge being approximately 115 feet.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, July* 25, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Application of E. D. Bronson, Jr., for a franchise for a toll bridge across San Francisco Bay.

Filed August 16, 1926.

Beginning at a point in the City and County of San Francisco on the bulkhead line of San Francisco Harbor, south of and adjacent to Pier 26, and running north approximately 68 degrees east seventeen thousand nine hundred fifty feet (17,950'), more or less, to a point in the City of Oakland, County of Alameda, State of California, on the easterly shore of said San Francisco Bay; and the said toll bridge to be approximately seventeen thousand nine hundred fifty (17,950') feet in length and one hundred ten (110') feet in breadth, more or less.

Roy A. Bronson, E. D. Bronson, Jr., attorneys at law, 68 Post street, Room 403, San Francisco, California.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, July* 25, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Tunnels.

Application of O. A. Ellis for franchise for two tunnels under the Bay of San Francisco from San Francisco to Oakland.

One of the tunnels will be for interurban street car traffic, with double track, and the San Francisco terminal between Third and Fourth streets on Harrison street.

The other tunnel will be for auto and railroad traffic, with San Francisco terminal between Second and Third streets on Harrison street.

The Oakland terminal will be at Seventh and Cedar streets.

Filed June 25, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, July* 25, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Application of Edward A. Bertram, being an alternative line, for franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge between San Francisco and Alameda Counties.

Filed May 23, 1927.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, July* 25, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Set-back Line Hearing, Ralston Street —2 P. M.

Hearing of objections to the establishment of set-back lines along portions of Ralston street between Sargent and Shields streets, fixed for the hour of 2 p. m. this date.

No objections being offered, the following bill was *passed for printing*:

Bill No. ——, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Establishing set-back lines along portions of Ralston street.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby recited that on the 25th day of April, 1927, the Board of Supervisors adopted Resolution of Intention No. 132 to establish set-back lines along Ralston street, and fixed the 23d day of May, 1927, at 2 o'clock p. m., at the chambers of the Board of Supervisors, as the time and place for hearing objections thereto; that thereafter and more than ten days prior to said hearing the said resolution was published and notices of the passage of said resolution were posted along the line of said street, in time, form and manner required by Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series); that said hearing was held at

the time and place aforesaid, and that all objections made at said hearing have been properly overruled.

Section 2. Pursuant to the foregoing recitals and in accordance with the provisions of said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), set-back lines are hereby established as follows:

Along the westerly side of Ralston street, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Sargent street and running thence northerly to a point 100 feet southerly from Shields street, said set-back line to be 10 feet; along the easterly side of Ralston street, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Sargent street and running thence northerly to a point 100 feet southerly from Shields street, said set-back line to be 15 feet.

As shown on the maps filed in the office of the Board of Supervisors and to which reference is hereby made for further particulars.

Section 3. No structures shall be erected in the area between said set-back lines and the street lines except as provided in said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), and the penalties for the violation of this ordinance shall be as fixed by the ordinance aforesaid.

Statement by Supervisor Havenner re Reapportionment Bill.

The following matter, laid over from last week, was taken up and, on motion, again *laid over one week*:

Mr. Chairman, the Legislative Reapportionment Bill, which was adopted by the people at the last general election, and for which the necessary legislation to make it effective was adopted at the recent session of the Legislature is to be submitted to referendum. The representatives of San Francisco in the State Legislature announced in voting for the necessary bill to put this reapportionment act into effect, that they intended at the same time to support the referendum when it came up. The new reapportionment law changes the present system of representation by population in both the Senate and the Assembly, and as a result of that change San Francisco's quota of the State Senators would be reduced by six. At present we have seven representatives in the State Senate; we would, under the provisions of the new law, have but one, and that would be true similarly in Los Angeles and other large cities of the State. We would lose also one Assemblyman in San Francisco and I think there is practically unanimous sentiment among the members of the State delegation, and I think the sentiment is practically unanimous throughout the State, that the present law should be repealed by referendum. I think the Board of Supervisors should declare its intention to support the proposed referendum and to aid it in every way that it can and I so move.

Motion seconded by Supervisor Schmidt.

Creation of Joint Highway District, Santa Cruz to San Francisco.

Supervisor Todd presented:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, a certified copy of a resolution, adopted by the Board of Supervisors of the County of Santa Cruz, proposing the creation of a joint highway district has been received by this Board, which resolution is as follows:

Resolved, By the Board of Supervisors of the County of Santa Cruz, State of California, as follows:

(a) That the public interest requires the construction of a public highway, the general location and course of which shall be commencing within the County of Santa Cruz at a point of connection with a main public highway already existing and extending northerly as closely as practicable to the shore line of the Pacific Ocean, through the County of San Mateo and into the County of San Francisco, to a suitable point connecting with a main highway within said county.

(b) That the counties of Santa Cruz, San Mateo and San Francisco are hereby named as the counties interested in and which will be benefited by such highway construction.

(c) That it is proposed to create a joint highway district composed of the counties herein named as provided by an act of the Legislature of the State of California, entitled "An act providing for the creation, organization and government of joint highway districts composed of two or more counties of the State of California," approved April 5, 1917.

The County Clerk of the County of Santa Cruz is hereby directed to transmit to the Clerks of the Boards of Supervisors of the Counties of San Mateo and San Francisco certified copies of this resolution.

And whereas, it is deemed advisable that such joint highway district be created and organized; therefore,

Resolved by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, That the proposition to create and organize a joint highway

district as proposed in the foregoing resolution be given a tentative approval.

Referred to Streets Committee.

James L. Foley Appointed Managing Superintendent of Exposition Auditorium.

Supervisor Havenner presented: Resolution No. 27313 (New Series), as follows:

Resolution, That Resolution No. 25632 (New Series), adopted May 10, 1926, is hereby repealed, said repeal to be effective as of June 1, 1927.

Further Resolved, That James L. Foley be and he is hereby appointed Managing Superintendent of the Exposition Auditorium to serve during the pleasure of the Board of Supervisors at a salary of $250 per month; this appointment to be effective as of June 1, 1927.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business, the Board at 5:20 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk.

WEDNESDAY, MAY 25, 1927, 2 P. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Wednesday, May 25, 1927, 2 p. m.

The Board of Supervisors met pursuant to adjournment for the purpose of considering the proposed Budget of Municipal Expenditures for the fiscal year 1927-1928, as presented by the Finance Committee.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following members were noted present: Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd.—17.

Absent: Supervisor Hayden.—1.

Communication.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

San Francisco, May 24, 1927.

Jas. McSheehy,
Chairman, Finance Committee.

Dear Sir:

By reason of my daughter graduating from high school, I will be unable to attend Budget meeting of Board on Wednesday, May 25, at 2 p. m. I hope to be on hand by 5 p. m. Kindly notify Board of Supervisors accordingly.

Yours truly,
J. EMMET HAYDEN.

May 25, 1927.—*Ordered filed.*

CONSIDERATION OF PROPOSED BUDGET OF MUNICIPAL EXPENDITURES FOR THE FISCAL YEAR 1927-1928.

The following corrected and reprinted Budget was presented by the Finance Committee:

BUDGET

FOR MUNICIPAL EXPENDITURES

City and County of San Francisco, California, For Fiscal Year 1927-1928

Bill No. ——, Ordinance No. —— (New Series).

An ordinance fixing and appropriating the aggregate sums of the items thereof allowed to each department, officer, board and commission for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1928, and making a Budget of the same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The aggregate sums and items thereof hereinafter set forth are hereby fixed, designated and appropriated for the respective purposes set opposite the same, to be expended during the fiscal year ending June 30, 1928, in accordance with the provisions of Article III, Chapter 1, of the Charter of the City and County.

Section 2. No department, officer, board, commission or employee shall expend, agree to expend or incur any liability which shall exceed the amount of any appropriation herein made or which may be hereafter appropriated for any specific purpose, and no such appropriation shall be used or subjected to any liability for any purpose other than that for which it is herein specifically made, unless otherwise authorized by the Board of Supervisors.

All balances of appropriations unexpended, or against which no liabilities have been incurred, at the end of each calendar month, shall be reported to the Board of Supervisors by the department, board, commission, officer or employee within ten days after the expiration of such previous month, and at the end of the fiscal year all such balances

shall revert to and become a part of the unappropriated general fund unless otherwise ordered by the Board of Supervisors.

The Auditor shall not audit or issue any warrant contrary to the express provisions of this section.

Section 3. The amounts appropriated are as follows:

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
1	1090 E to 1093 E	(Appropriation 1) for plans and construction of new school buildings, the purchase of land for school purposes, furniture, additions and repairs to existing school buildings. A special tax on each $100 of assessed valuation to produce.....		**$1,200,000**

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 2-A)

2	401 A	18 Supervisors at $2,400 each.....	$43,200	
3	402 A	Clerk	6,000	
4	"	1 Chief Assistant Clerk	4,500	
5	402 A	1 Expert Accountant	6,000	
6	"	1 Bond and Ordinance Clerk.....	3,000	
7	"	2 Assistant Clerks at $3,600.....	7,200	
8	"	1 Assistant Clerk	3,000	
9	"	1 Assistant Clerk	2,100	
10	"	1 Stenographer to Finance Committee	3,600	
11	"	1 Stenographer	2,400	
12	"	1 Stenographer	2,100	
13	"	1 Shorthand Reporter	2,700	
14	"	1 Telephone Operator and Filing Clerk	2,100	
15	401 A	1 Sergeant-at-Arms	2,400	
16	"	1 Chauffeur-Investigator	3,000	
17	529 A	1 Gas and Water Inspector.....	2,400	
18	678 A	1 Superintendent of Auditorium ...	3,000	
			$98,700	

TELEPHONE EXCHANGE.

19	433 A	Chief Operator	$1,980	
20	"	5 Operators at $1,800.....	9,000	
21	"	Relief Operators	675	
		Total Personal Services.....		**$110,355**

MISCELLANEOUS ACCOUNTS UNDER CONTROL OF BOARD OF SUPERVISORS.

(Appropriations 3-22 to 3-81)

22	403 B	Finance Committee Expenses	$5,000
23	401 K	Supervisors' Incidental Expenses....	9,000
24	402 B	Advertising Resolutions and Ordinances	55,000
25	402 K	Urgent Necessity	100,000
26	402 B	Printing Public Documents	4,000
27	"	Printing Law and Motion Calendar	8,000
28	614 K	Celebration Fourth of July	2,500

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation
29	"	Memorial Day Observance	500	
30	613 B	Maintenance Municipal Band	12,000	
31	425 A	Examination of Insane	9,000	
32	425 B	Maintenance of Insane Criminals	7,500	
33	529 B	Lighting Streets	680,000	
34	402 H	Premiums on Official Bonds	5,000	
35	527 E	Street Work in Front of City Property	50,000	
36	1093 E	County Road Fund	1,000	
37	5273	Repair and Painting of Bridges	5,000	
38	1093	Extension and Reconstruction of Sewers	200,000	
39	629 H	Police Relief Pension Fund Deficit	140,000	
40	"	Relief of Exempt Firemen	5,000	
41	678 K	Auditorium	10,000	
42	467 H	Public Pound	15,000	
43	553 B	Feeble-Minded Home	100,000	
44	553 H	Maintenance of Minors	700,000	
45	553 H	Widows' Pensions	220,000	
46	"	St. Catherine's Training School	8,000	
47	"	State Schools	20,000	
48	419 K	City Planning Commission Including Auto at $40 a month and Including Stationery, Postage and Printing, $90	6,970	
49	1090	Purchase of Lands from Market Street Railroad	8,940	
50	1090	Purchase of Lands from Spring Valley Water Company, Contract	35,200	
51	1091	Aquatic Park Improvement	10,000	
52	1091	Yacht Harbor Improvement	25,000	
53	1091	Civic Center Beautification	15,000	
53a	"	Lighting City Hall	16,000	
54	1090	Van Ness Avenue Extension—Final Purchase	117,000	
55	"	Municipal Air Port	50,000	
55a	"	Bernal Heights Boulevard	25,000	
55b	"	Balboa Park Tennis and Handball Courts	5,000	
55c	"	Golden Gate Park Handball Court	5,000	
56	401	For the purpose of continuing and completing the appraising of all taxable property subject to assessment within the City and County of San Francisco to the end that said assessment of said property for the fiscal year 1928-29 and for subsequent years may be equalized and the same may conform to the true value of said property and that the Board of Supervisors sitting as a Board of Equalization may be fully advised as to the true value of said property	125,000	
57		For Personal Service, Opening of Preventorium in San Mateo County, and Completion of Buildings and Grounds	75,000	
58		For the Establishing of Maternity Service	25,000	

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
59		Repairs to Public Buildings, other than School Buildings	40,000	
60		Relief Home Equipment	10,000	
61		Improvement of Larsen Park	20,000	
62		Initial Purchase, Mt Davidson	15,000	
63		Chapel at San Francisco Hospital	30,000	
64		Bridle Path, Presidio Parkway	10,000	
65		Improvement of Public Lands in Noe Valley	10,000	
66		Convenience Stations	30,000	
67		Improvements, de Young Museum	25,000	
68		Police Department Communication System	10,000	
69	1092	Traffic signals, installation of, and purchase of devices for traffic control	25,000	
70	1090	Purchase of lands for public purposes in Mission District	150,000	
71	1093	Street signs	10,000	
71a	"	Purchase of Elgin sweeper for street cleaning	7,500	
72	4142 AC	Single team for stores and yards, Board of Public Works, at $10.75 per day	3,000	
73	4142 B	Royalties for surface heaters, Board of Public Works	12,000	
74	502 AC	25 Double teams, street cleaning, Board of Public Works, at $11.50 per day	84,500	
75	501 AC	3 Double teams, sewer repair, Board of Public Works, at $11.50 per day	10,350	
76	501 H	Rental, 7 1½-ton trucks, Board of Public Works, at $12 per day	25,200	
77	"	Rental, 1 3-ton truck, Board of Public Works, at $20 per day	6,000	
78	"	Rental, 16 autos, Board of Public Works, at $40 per month	7,680	
79		Payment for Rental 333 Kearny Street Lease	14,233	
80		Stationery, Postage and Printing	1,800	
		Total		$3,472,873

Street Reconstruction.

81		For the reconstruction of the following streets:		
		Broderick, Vallejo to Green		
		Clay, Taylor to Jones		
		Castro, Market to 16th		
		California, Van Ness to Hyde (widening)		
		California, Hyde to Jones (widening and paving)		
		Clay, Stockton to Powell		
		Fillmore, Broadway to Green		
		Filbert, Hyde to Leavenworth		
		Greenwich, Hyde easterly		
		Haight, Scott to Baker		
		Jones, Jackson to Pacific		
		Kearny, Broadway to Vallejo		

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
		Kearny, Union to Filbert		
		Larkin, Bay to North Point		
		Mason, Union to Greenwich		
		McAllister, Central to Masonic		
		Page, Broderick to Divisadero		
		Steiner, Grove to Fulton		
		Sacramento, Jones to Hyde		
		Sutter, Broderick to Presidio		
		Sutter, Buchanan to Octavia		
		Taylor, Green to Lombard		
		Washington, Jones to Taylor		
		Washington, Stockton to Kearny		
		Washington, Leavenworth to Hyde		
		Jackson, Stockton to Powell		
		Broadway, Columbus to Montgomery		
		Buchanan, Green to Union (center strip)		
		Broderick, Ellis to O'Farrell		
		California, Mason to Jones (widening and resurfacing)		
		Cherry, Sacramento to California		
		Divisadero, Green to Union		
		Francisco, Powell to Taylor		
		Hayes, Franklin to Laguna		
		Haight, Buchanan to Scott		
		Laguna, Bay Street north		
		Leavenworth, Columbus to Jefferson		
		Lyon, California to Sacramento		
		Lyon, Jackson to Washington		
		Octavia, Jackson to Pacific		
		Polk, Broadway to Vallejo		
		Pierce, Greenwich to Filbert		
		Scotland Street, Columbus to Greenwich		
		Spruce, Sacramento to California		
		21st Avenue, Cabrillo to Fulton		
		Valparaiso, Mason to Taylor		
		Vanderwater, Mason to Powell		
		Walnut, Washington to Jackson		
		22nd Street, Church to Dolores		
		25th Street, Fair Oaks to Dolores		
		28th Street, Noe to Sanchez		
		Park, Leese to Mission		
		Clark, Drumm to Front		
		Maiden Lane, Kearny to Grant Ave.		
		Oregon, Drumm to Front		
		Alpine terrace, Waller to Duboce		
		Filbert, Polk to Van Ness		
		Maple, Sacramento to California		
		Steiner, Haight to Oak		
		Hermann, Buchanan to Laguna		
		Total		$275,000

Bureau of Supplies.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 3½-A)

82	634 A	1 Purchaser of Supplies	$10,000
83	"	1 Superintendent of Supplies	3,600
84	"	1 Inspector of Supplies	3,000

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
85	"	1 Clerk, Stationery	3,000	
86	"	1 Assistant Clerk, Stationery	2,700	
87	"	1 Stenographer	2,100	
88	"	1 Assistant Purchaser, Schools	2,700	
89	"	1 Stenographer File Clerk	1,680	
90	634 A	I Tabulator	1,680	
91	"	1 Chief Storekeeper	3,900	
		Total Personal Services	$34,360	
92	634 BC	Non-Personal (Appropriation 3½ B)	2,280	
93	"	Stationery, printing books, postage	6,300	
94	"	Furniture for public offices, equipment, etc	20,000	
95	"	License tags and blanks	6,000	
		Total Non-Personal Services	$34,580	
		Total Bureau of Supplies		**$68,940**

EXECUTIVE DEPARTMENTS.

MAYOR.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 4-A)

96	404 A	Mayor	$6,000	
97	"	Executive Secretary	4,800	
98	"	Assistant Secretary	4,200	
99	"	4 Stenographer-Typewriters at $2,100 each	8,400	
100	"	Telephone Operator	1,800	
101	"	Messenger	1,980	
102	"	2 Chauffeurs at $2,400 each	4,800	
		Total Personal Services	$31,980	

Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 4-B)

103	404 K	Contingent expenses (Charter)	$3,600	
104	"	Personal Services and other than Personal Services	6,285	
105	"	Stationery, Postage and Printing	1,215	
		Total Non-Personal Services	$11,100	
		Total Mayor		**$43,080**

AUDITOR.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 5-A)

106	405 A	Auditor	$8,000	
107	"	Office Superintendent	3,600	
108	"	3 Deputies at $3,600 each	10,800	
109	"	2 Deputies at $2,880 each	5,760	
110	"	4 Deputies at $2,700 each	10,800	
111	"	1 Deputy	2,580	
112	"	5 Deputies at $2,400 each	12,000	
113	"	1 Bond Deputy	2,580	
114	"	1 General Clerk	2,220	
115	405 A	1 General Clerk	1,920	
116	"	1 Stenographer-Typist	2,220	
117	"	Expert (State Law), Section 4099 A	2,580	
118	"	Telephone Operator and Filing Clerk	2,100	
119	"	Attorney	3,000	

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
120	"	Service, assessment, State and local, compiling statistics for State Board and Comptroller and settlement with City and State	6,400	
		Total Personal Services	$76,560	
Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 5-B)				
121	405 B	Contingents	$500	
122	"	Stationery, Postage and Printing...	5,400	
		Total Auditor		**$82,460**

TAX COLLECTOR.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 6-A)				
123	408 A	Tax Collector	$8,000	
124	"	Office Superintendent	3,600	
125	"	Cashier	3,300	
126	"	Accountant	3,300	
127	"	6 Special Deputies at $2,580 each ..	15,480	
128	"	1 Cashier, License Bureau	2,880	
129	"	2 Expert Searchers at $2,580 each..	5,160	
130	"	26 Deputies at $2,400 each	62,400	
131	"	1 Stenographer	2,100	
132	"	Extra Clerical Help	15,000	
133	"	Adjuster of Licenses	3,000	
134	"	Tunnel Accountant	2,100	
		Total Personal Services	$126,320	
Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 6-B)				
135	408 B	Printing Delinquent Tax List	$3,700	
136	408 D	Advertising Tax Notices and Contingents	2,160	
137	"	Stationery, Postage and Printing...	4,500	
		Total Non-Personal Services ...	$10,360	
		Total Tax Collector.....		**$136,680**

TREASURER.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 7-A)				
138	406 A	Treasurer	$8,000	
139	"	Chief Deputy	2,880	
140	"	Cashier	5,100	
141	406 A	Bank and Bond Deputy.....	3,900	
142	"	1 Deputy	3,900	
143	"	Coupon Clerk	2,880	
144	"	1 Deputy	3,300	
145	"	Bookkeeper	3,600	
146	"	Assistant Bookkeeper	2,400	
147	"	2 Clerks, at $2,880 each	5,760	
148	"	1 Clerk	1,980	
		Total Personal Services	$43,700	

Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 7-B)

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
149	406 K	Non-Personal Services	$200	
150	"	Stationery, Postage and Printing...	900	
		Total Treasurer		**$44,800**

ASSESSOR.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 8-A)

151	407 AA	Assessor	$8,000	
152	"	Chief Deputy Assessor	2,400	
153	"	Director of Supervising Appraisers	4,500	
154	"	Cashier Auditor	2,400	
155	"	2 Supervising Appraisers at $4,200 each a year	8,400	
156	"	3 Supervising Appraisers at $3,600 each a year	10,800	
157	"	16 Appraisers at $3,000 each a year	48,000	
158	"	3 Personal Property Appraisers at $3,000 each a year	9,000	
159	"	1 Asst. Personal Property Appraiser	2,400	
160	"	3 Asst. Appraisers at $2,400 each a year	7,200	
161	"	3 Asst. Appraisers at $2,700 each a year	8,100	
162	"	15 Asst. Appraisers at $2,280 each a year	34,200	
163	"	Stenographer-Typist	1,800	
164	407 AB	Temporary Asst. Deputies—General Clerks at $160 per month for time employed	98,000	
		Total Personal Services	$245,200	

Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 8-B)

165	407 B	Non-Personal Services, including Autos at $40 a month	$6,000	
166	"	Stationery, Postage and Printing....	13,500	
		Total Assessor		**$264,700**

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTIONS.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 9-A)

167	431 A	5 Commissioners at $1,000 each a year	$5,000	
168	"	Registrar of Voters	5,400	
169	"	2 Deputy Registrars at $3,600 each a year	7,200	
170	"	5 Deputy Registrars at $2,700 each..	13,500	
171	"	7 Deputy Registrars at $2,460 each a year	17,220	
172	"	Typo Operator Mechanic.....	2,460	
173	"	Typo Operator Mechanic Assistant..	2,280	
174	"	Voting Machine Adjuster.....	1,920	
175	"	Stenographer-Typewriter	2,280	
			$57,260	

ELECTION EXPENSES.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 9-B)

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
176	431 AC	Storekeeper-Carpenter at $9 a day..	$2,700	
177	"	Outside Registration	15,000	
178	431 AB	Temporary Clerks, office and petitions	50,000	
179	"	Election Officers	65,000	
180	431 AC	Laborers	1,000	
		Total Personal Services.....	$133,700	
		Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 9-C)		
181	431 B	Contractual Services	$63,500	
182	1092	Purchase additional voting machines and payment for machines heretofore delivered	150,000	
183	"	Rental of Polling Places.....	18,000	
184	"	Purchase of Truck.....	1,835	
		Total Non-Personal Services..	$233,335	
		Total Elections		$424,295

DISTRICT ATTORNEY.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 10-A)

185	412 A	District Attorney	$8,000	
186	"	5 Assistants at $4,500 each.....	22,500	
187	"	1 Assistant	3,600	
188	"	4 Assistants at $3,000 each.....	12,000	
189	"	3 Assistants at $2,400 each.....	7,200	
190	"	Warrant and Bond Clerk.....	3,300	
191	"	1 Asst. Warrant and Bond Clerk...	2,400	
192	"	6 Asst. Warrant and Bond Clerks at $2,280 each	13,680	
193	"	Chief Clerk	3,000	
194	"	Assistant Chief Clerk.....	2,100	
195	412 A	Bookkeeper	1,800	
196	"	1 Stenographer	2,400	
197	"	1 Stenographer	2,100	
198	"	Messenger	1,800	
199	"	1 Juvenile Court Investigator.....	2,100	
		Total Personal Services	$87,980	
		Personal and Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 10-B)		
200	412 K	Detection and Prosecution of Criminals	$7,500	
201	"	Stationery, Postage and Printing...	1,350	
		Total District Attorney.....		$96,830

PUBLIC DEFENDER.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 10-C)

202	411	Public Defender	$5,000	
203	"	2 Deputy Public Defenders at $3,900 each a year	7,800	
204	"	1 Deputy Public Defender.....	3,600	

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
205	"	1 Clerk	1,800	
206	"	Stationery, Postage and Printing...	90	
		Total Public Defender.....		**$18,290**

CITY ATTORNEY.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 11-A)

207	410 A	City Attorney	$8,000	
208	"	1 Chief Deputy	7,200	
209	"	1 Deputy	7,200	
210	"	1 Deputy	6,000	
211	"	2 Assistants at $3,000 each	6,000	
212	"	1 Assistant	2,700	
213	"	2 Stenographers at $2,100 each	4,200	
214	"	1 Telephone and Information Clerk	2,100	
		Total Personal Services	$43,400	

Personal and Non-Personal Services—
(Appropriation 11-B)

215	410 K	General and Rate Litigation	$9,570	
216	"	Stationery, Postage and Printing...	630	
		Total City Attorney.....		**$53,400**

CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 12-A)

217	417 A	3 Commissioners at $1,200 each	$3,600	
218	"	Deputy Commissioner and Chief Examiner	4,200	
219	"	1 Chief Inspector	3,000	
220	"	1 Inspector	2,700	
221	"	Assistant Inspector	2,400	
222	"	1 Assistant Secretary	2,400	
223	"	1 Experienced Clerk	2,400	
224	"	1 General Clerk	2,100	
225	"	1 Clerk-Stenographer	2,220	
226	"	Special Examiners and Extra Clerks	3,500	
227	"	Salary Standardization	5,000	
		Total Personal Services	$33,520	
228	417 K	Non-Personal Services (Appropriation 12-B)	$1,250	
229	"	Stationery, Postage and Printing....	1,800	
		Total Civil Service Commission.		**$36,570**

COUNTY CLERK.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 13-A)

230	426 A	County Clerk	$8,000	
231	"	Chief Registry Clerk	3,600	
232	"	Cashier	3,000	
233	"	5 Registry Clerks at $2,880 each	14,400	
234	"	10 Assistant Registry Clerks at $2,400 each	24,000	
235	"	16 Superior Court Clerks at $2,400 each	38,400	

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
236	426	4 Police Court Clerks at $2,400 each	9,600	
237	"	38 Copyists at $2,400 each	91,200	
		Total Personal Services	$192,200	
238	426 K	Non-Personal Services (13-B)	150	
239	"	Stationery, Postage and Printing	11,025	
		Total County Clerk		**$203,375**

SHERIFF.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 14-A)

240	430 A	Sheriff	$8,000	
241	"	Cashier	3,300	
242	"	Deputy	2,700	
243	"	Attorney	1,800	
244	"	Secretary and Chief Bookkeeper	3,000	
245	"	14 Deputies at $2,580 each	36,120	
246	557 A	59 Jailers at $2,364 each	139,476	
247	430 A	Stenographer	2,220	
248	557 A	Chauffeur-Machinist	2,400	
249	430 A	Office Superintendent	3,600	
250	527 A	Superintendent of Jails	3,000	
251	"	Assistant Superintendent	2,640	
252	"	Chief Jailer	2,700	
253	"	Commissary Storekeeper	2,580	
254	"	1 Bookkeeper	2,580	
255	"	1 Matron	2,400	
256	"	7 Female Jailers at $2,364 each	16,548	
257	"	2 Drivers at $2,400 each	4,800	
258	"	2 Cooks at $2,100 each	4,200	
259	"	1 Jailer	2,400	
260	"	1 Female Deputy	1,920	
261	"	16 Keepers at $1,920 each	30,720	
		Total Personal Services	$279,104	

Other than Personal Services—(Appropriation 14-B)

262	557 Var	Maintenance, Subsistence and Equipment	$68,000	
263	"	Stationery, Postage and Printing	2,520	
		Total Sheriff		**$349,624**

RECORDER.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 15-A)

	454 AA	Personal Services—		
264	"	Recorder	$8,000	
265	"	Office Superintendent	3,600	
266	"	2 Deputies (Cashiers) at $2,580 each	5,160	
267	"	6 Deputies at $2,580 each	15,480	
268	"	7 Deputies at $2,400 each	16,800	
269	"	Chief Copyist	2,580	
270	"	1 Special Copyist	2,580	
271	"	Machinist	2,700	

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
272	"	Stenographer	2,100	
273	454 AB	22 Copyists at $2,400 each	52,800	
		Total Personal Services	$111,800	
		Non-Personal Services—		
274	454 AB	Stationery, Postage and Printing...	$5,400	
		Total Recorder		**$117,200**

SUPERIOR COURTS.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 16-A)

275	425 A	16 Judges	$80,000	
276	"	Secretary and Jury Commissioner...	6,000	
277	425 A	Assistant Secretary	2,400	
278	"	Jury Examiner	2,400	
279	"	Information Deputy	1,800	
280	"	Messenger-Clerk	2,400	
281	"	8 Translators at $2,100 each	16,800	
282	"	Jury and Witness Fees	35,000	
283	428 A	Grand Jury Expenses	8,000	
284	425 A	Stenographers (Reporters' Fees)	30,000	
		Total Personal Services	$184,800	
		Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 16-B)		
285	425 K	Court Orders	$8,000	
286	"	Stationery, Postage and Printing...	2,250	
		Total Superior Courts		**$195,050**

JUSTICES' COURTS.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 17-A)

287	428 A	5 Justices of the Peace at $5,400 each	$27,000	
288	"	Justices' Clerk	4,200	
289	"	Chief Deputy	3,300	
290	"	Cashier	3,000	
291	"	3 Deputy Clerks at $2,700 each	8,100	
292	"	Messenger	2,700	
293	"	1 Clerk	2,700	
294	"	1 Clerk	2,400	
295	"	2 Clerks at $1,920 each	3,840	
		Total Personal Services	$57,240	
		Non-Personal Services—		
296	428 A	Stationery, Postage and Printing...	$2,700	
		Total Justices' Courts		**$59,940**

JUVENILE DETENTION HOME.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 18-A)

297	558 A	Superintendent	$2,100
298	"	Matron	1,500
299	"	Assistant Superintendent	1,620
300	"	Night Superintendent	1,620
301	"	Clinic Nurse	1,200

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
302	"	7 Nurses at $960 each.....	6,720	
303	"	Cook	1,200	
304	"	1 Orderly	1,620	
305	"	1 Orderly	1,200	
		Total Personal Services.....	$18,780	
		Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 18-B)		
306	558 Var	Maintenance and Subsistence.....	$15,000	
		Total Juvenile Detention Home.		**$33,780**

JUVENILE COURT.

		Personal Services—(Appropriation 19-A)		
307	559 A	Probation Officer	$4,200	
308	"	Chief Assistant Probation Officer..	3,300	
309	"	1 Assistant Probation Officer who shall act as Collector	2,880	
310	"	3 Assistant Probation Officers at $2,700 each	8,100	
311	"	1 Assistant Probation Officer who shall act as Cashier-Bookkeeper	2,700	
312	"	12 Assistant Probation Officers at $2,520 each	30,240	
313	"	4 Assistant Probation Officers who shall act as Stenographers at $2,220 each	8,880	
314	"	1 Assistant Probation Officer who shall act as Stenographer.....	1,980	
315	"	1 Assistant Probation Officer who shall act as File and Information Clerk	2,100	
		Total Personal Services.....	$64,380	
316	"	Non-Personal Services (Appropriation 19-B)	3,785	
317	"	Stationery, Postage and Printing...	1,215	
		Total Juvenile Court.....		**$69,380**

ADULT PROBATION DEPARTMENT.

		Personal Services—(Appropriation 20-A)		
318	5590 A	Chief Probation Officer	$4,200	
319	"	Assistant Chief Probation Officer....	3,300	
320	"	7 Assistant Probation Officers at $2,520 each	17,640	
321	"	1 Assistant Cashier and Clerk.....	2,280	
		Total Personal Services.....	$27,420	
322	5590 K	Non-Personal Services (Appropriation 20-B)	250	
323	"	Stationery, Postage and Printing...	450	
		Total Adult Probation Dept....		**$28,120**

WIDOWS' PENSION BUREAU.

		Personal Services—(Appropriation 21-A)		
324	553 A	Director	$3,000	
325	"	Assistant Director	2,400	

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
326	"	3 Social Service Visitors at $2,100 each	6,300	
327	"	1 Office Assistant	1,800	
		Total Personal Services	$13,500	
328	553 K	Non-Personal Services (Appropriation 21-B)	640	
329	"	Stationery, Postage and Printing	360	
		Total Widows' Pension Bureau.		**$14,500**

LAW LIBRARY.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 22-A)

330	427 A	Librarian	$4,800	
331	"	Assistant Librarian	3,000	
332	"	Bookbinder	2,100	
		Total Personal Services	$9,900	
		Non-Personal Services—		
333	427 A	Stationery, Postage and Printing	$270	
		Total Law Library		**$10,170**

POLICE COURTS.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 23-A)

334	423 A	4 Police Judges at $3,600 each	$14,400	
335	"	4 Stenographers at $3,900 each	15,600	
		Total Personal Services	$30,000	
		Non-Personal Services—		
336	423 A	Stationery, Postage and Printing	$90	
		Total Police Court		**$30,090**

CORONER.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 24-A)

337	429 A	Coroner	$8,000	
338	"	Chief Deputy	3,300	
339	"	Autopsy Surgeon	3,000	
340	"	3 Deputies at $2,580 each	7,740	
341	"	3 Assistant Deputies (female) at $1,980 each	5,940	
342	429 A	3 Assistant Deputy Drivers at $2,400 each	7,200	
343	"	1 Stenographer	2,400	
344	"	1 Assistant Stenographer	2,100	
345	"	Toxicologist	1,800	
346	"	Relief	800	
		Total Personal Services	$42,280	
347	429 K	Non-Personal Services (Appropriation 24-B)	$3,000	
348	"	Stationery, Postage and Printing	900	
		Total Coroner		**$46,180**

SEALER OF WEIGHTS AND MEASURES.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 25-A)

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
349	460 A	Sealer	$4,800	
350	"	Chief Deputy	3,300	
351	"	6 Deputy Sealers at $2,700 each	16,200	
		Total Personal Services	$24,300	
352	460 K	Non-Personal Services	1,500	
353		Stationery, Postage and Printing	270	
		Total Sealer of Weights and Measures		**$26,070**

HORTICULTURAL COMMISSION.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 25½-A)

354	464 A	1 Horticultural Commissioner	$3,000	
355	"	2 Horticultural Inspectors at $8.00 a day	4,800	
356	"	1 Stenographer-Clerk	1,800	
		Total Personal Services	$9,600	

Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 25½-B)

357	464 A	Non-Personal Services: Expense, auto rental at $40 a month	$1,560	
358	"	Stationery, Postage and Printing	135	
		Total Horticultural Commission		**$11,295**

DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS.

Commissioners and General Office.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 26-A)

359	414 A	3 Commissioners	$12,000	
360	"	Chief Deputy Commissioner	4,200	
361	"	Experienced Clerk	3,600	
362	"	Experienced Clerk	3,600	
363	"	Experienced Clerk	2,400	
364	"	Ordinary Clerk	2,400	
365	"	Stenographer	2,400	
366	"	Stenographer	2,400	
367	"	Chauffeur	2,400	
368	"	Messenger	2,280	
369	"	General Clerk	2,100	
		Total Personal Services	$39,780	

Bureau of Accounting.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 27-A)

370	414 A	Experienced Clerk in Charge	$4,300	
371	"	Ordinary Clerk, Head Timekeeper	2,700	
372	"	Experienced Clerk, Acting Bookkeeper	3,000	
373	"	12 Experienced Clerks at $2,400 a year	28,800	

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
374	417 A	2 Experienced Clerks at $1,800 each a year	3,600	
375	"	1 Experienced Clerk, Cost Accountant	3,300	
376	"	1 Experienced Clerk, Cashier	3,300	
377	"	1 Experienced Clerk	2,400	
378	"	Clerk	2,700	
379	"	2 Stenographers at $2,100 each a year	4,200	
380	"	5 Stenographers at $1,980 each a year	9,900	
381	"	Inspector of House Numbers	2,700	
		Total Personal Services	$70,900	

Bureau of Architecture.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 28-A)

382	416 A	Superintendent of Construction	$4,200
383	"	Architectural Draftsman	3,000
384	"	Architectural Draftsman	2,700
385	"	Apprentice Draftsman	1,200
386	"	Stenographer	2,100
		Total Personal Services	$13,200

Bureau of Building Repair.

Expenditures of appropriations for repairs to buildings in this subdivision are not to be made until estimates are filed with the Board of Supervisors and authorizations and appropriations made therefor.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 29-A)

387	432 AA	Superintendent	$4,200
388	"	Asst. Superintendent	3,600
389	"	Superintendent of Painters	3,360
390	"	Foreman Plumber	3,000
391	"	Foreman Cement Finisher	3,000
392	"	Foreman Carpenter	3,000
393	"	Foreman Tinner	3,000
394	"	Foreman Wireman	3,000
395	"	Head Janitor	3,120
396	"	2 Asst. Head Janitors, at $2,160 a year	4,320
397	"	Night Foreman, Janitor	2,160
398	"	57 Janitors, at $1,860 a year	106,020
399	432 AA	4 Window Cleaners, at $2,040 each a year	8,160
400	"	Foreman Window Cleaner	2,220
401	432 AB	Janitors' Relief and Vacation	3,765
402	432 AA	Elevator Starter—City Hall	2,160
403	"	17 Elevator Operators, at $1,860 a year	31,620
404	"	2 Watchmen, at $1,860 each a year.	3,720
405	432 AB	Relief and Vacation, all Departments	1,840
406	"	2 Chief Engineers, at $3,420 each a year	6,840

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
407	"	5 Asst. Chief Engineers, at $2,520 a year	12,600	
408	"	Engineer—Part Time Relief and Vacation	2,520	
409	"	5 Firemen, at $2,200 each a year	11,000	
410	"	Firemen Relief and Vacation	435	
		Total Personal Services	$228,760	
		Employment for more or less constant service in the repair of public buildings other than school buildings		
411	432 AC	5 Carpenters, each at $9 per day	$13,500	
412	432 AA	Foreman Carpenter, $10 per day	3,000	
413	"	Carpenters' Helper, $7 per day	2,100	
414	"	Carpenters' Apprentice, $5.50 per day	1,650	
415	"	5 Plumbers, at $10 per day	15,000	
416	"	2 Steam Fitters, at $10 per day	6,000	
417	"	6 Painters, at $9 per day	16,200	
418	"	Foreman Painter, at $10 per day	3,000	
419	"	Painters, Shop Men, $7 per day	2,100	
420	432 AA	Painters' Apprentice, at $6 per day	1,800	
421	"	2 Tinners, $9 per day	5,400	
422	"	Tinners' Helper, at $6.50 per day	1,950	
423	"	Tinners' Apprentice, $4 per day	1,200	
424	"	Cement Finisher, at $9 per day	2,700	
425	"	Cement Finisher Helper, $8 per day	2,400	
426	"	Glazier, at $9 per day	2,700	
427	"	Steam Fitter, at $10 per day	3,000	
428	"	Locksmith, at $7 per day	2,100	
429	"	Wireman, at $9 per day	2,700	
		Total Personal Services	$88,500	
		Non-Personal Services—		
		(Appropriation 29-B and C)		
430	432 B	Contractual Services	$3,600	
431	432 BA	Transportation Autos	2,500	
432	432 4A	Transportation Carfare	600	
433	432 C	Materials and Supplies	19,000	
434	432 BA	Transportation Carfare	180	
		SUPPLIES AND MAINTENANCE.		
435	432 BC	Towel Service	2,575	
436	432 BB	Scavenger Service	900	
		MATERIALS AND SUPPLIES.		
437	432 CB	Fuel Oil	$15,000	
438	432 CS	Janitorial Supplies	5,000	
439	432 CD	Engine Room Supplies and Equipment	5,000	
		Total Non-Personal Services	$54,355	
440	432 BH	Lighting Public Buildings Exclusive of School Buildings (Appropriation 29-D)	$30,000	
441	432 BL	Water for Public Buildings Exclusive of School Buildings (Appropriation 29-E)	18,000	

Bureau of Stores and Yards.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 30-A)

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
442	4142 AC	Experienced Clerk	$2,700	
443	"	Watchman	2,640	
444	"	Watchman	2,340	
445	"	Watchman	1,980	
446	"	2 Watchmen, at $1,860 each a year	3,720	
447	"	2 Watchmen, at $1,920 each a year	3,840	
448	"	Foreman Machinist	3,000	
449	"	Machinist, at $9 a day	2,700	
450	"	2 Blacksmiths, at $9 a day	5,400	
451	"	3 Blacksmiths' Helpers, at $7.08 a day	6,372	
452	"	Vulcanizer, at $7 a day	2,100	
453	"	Carriage Painter, at $8.50 a day	2,550	
453a	"	Painter's apprentice, at $5.50 a day.	1,650	
454	4142 AC	2 Laborers, 365 days, at 6 a day	4,380	
455	"	2 Laborers, at $6 a day	3,600	
		Total Personal Services	$48,972	

Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 30-B)

456	4142 C	Material and Supplies, Equipment	$1,000	
		Total Non-Personal Services	$1,000	

Bureau of Building Inspection.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 31-A)

457	455 A	Chief Inspector	$5,400	
458	"	1 Inspector	3,300	
459	"	1 Inspector	3,000	
460	"	Boiler Inspector	3,000	
461	"	2 Experienced Clerks, at $2,700 each	5,400	
462	"	1 Inspector	2,550	
463	"	10 Inspectors, at $2,700 a year	27,000	
464	"	Messenger	1,560	
465	"	2 Engineers, at $3,600 each	7,200	
		Total Personal Services	$58,410	

Bureau of Engineering.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 32-A)

466	415 A	City Engineer	$5,000	
467	"	Asst. City Engineer	5,400	
468	"	4 Assistants, Civil Engineer, at $3,300 a year	13,200	
469	"	Surveyor	3,600	
470	"	Surveyor	3,000	
471	"	4 Surveyors, at $3,000 a year	12,000	
472	"	Surveyors' Field Assistant	3,000	
473	"	2 Surveyors' Field Assistants, at $3,000 a year	6,000	
474	"	4 Surveyors' Field Assistants, at $2,700 a year	10,800	
475	"	1 Surveyor's Field Assistant	2,700	
476	"	20 Surveyors' Field Assistants, at $2,700 a year	54,000	

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
477	"	1 Engineering Draftsman	3,600	
478	"	1 Engineering Draftsman	3,300	
479	"	1 Engineering Draftsman	3,000	
480	"	6 Engineering Draftsmen, at $2,700 a year	16,200	
481	"	3 Engineering Draftsmen, at $2,700 a year	8,100	
482	"	1 Engineering Draftsman	2,100	
483	"	Cartographer	2,700	
484	"	2 Inspectors of Streets and Sewers, at $2,700 a year	5,400	
485	"	1 Inspector of Streets and Sewers ..	2,400	
486	"	1 Inspector of Streets and Sewers ..	2,400	
487	"	1 Inspector of Streets and Sewers...	2,220	
488	"	Engineering Chemist	3,600	
489	"	Photographer	2,700	
490	"	Photostat Operator	2,400	
491	"	Blue Printer	2,100	
492	"	3 Stenographers, at $1,980 a year ..	5,940	
493	"	Chauffeur	2,400	
494	415 A-B	Watchman, part time	870	
		Total Personal Services	$190,130	
		Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 32-B)		
495	415 B	Non-Personal Services	$8,000	
496	"	Block Books	4,000	
		Total Engineer's Department ..	$202,130	

Street Repair Department.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 33-A)

497	527 AA	Superintendent	$4,500
498	"	Asst. Superintendent	3,900
499	"	2 Asst. Superintendents, at $2,700 each	5,400
500	"	Experienced Clerk	3,000
501	"	Stenographer, 4 months	600
		MUNICIPAL ASPHALT PLANT—300 DAYS.	
502	527 A	Engineer	$2,400
503	"	2 Firemen, at $1,980 a year	3,960
504	"	Foreman, at $9.50 a day	2,850
505	"	Machinist	2,700
506	527 AC	Asphalt Dryermen, at $8 a day	2,400
507	"	2 Asphalt Mixermen, at $8 a day ..	4,800
508	"	Asphalt Mixerman, at $8.50 a day..	2,550
509	"	2 Asphalt Finishers, at $7.50 a day ..	4,500
510	"	3 Asphalt Workers, at $7 a day	6,300
511	527 AA	Telephone Operator	1,620
		ASPHALT MAINTENANCE AND REPAIR—SURFACE HEATERS FOUR MACHINES, 280 DAYS.	
512	527 AC	2 Foremen, 300 days, at $8.50 a day	$5,100
513	"	16 Finishers, at $7.50 a day	33,600
514	"	24 Asphalt Workers, at $7 a day	47,040
515	"	7 Engineers, at $9 a day	17,640
516	"	10 Chauffeurs, at $7 a day	19,600
		SIDEWALKS—150 DAYS.	
517	527 AC	1 Cement Finisher, at $9 a day.....	$1,350

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
518	"	2 Cement Finisher Helpers, at $8 a day	2,400	
		CONCRETE REPAIRS, MISCELLANEOUS—280 DAYS.		
519	527 AC	15 Laborers, at $6 a day	$25,200	
		CURB SETTING AND DRESSING—280 DAYS.		
520	527 AC	2 Granite Cutters, at $9 a day	$5,040	
		BASALT BLOCK MAINTENANCE—270 DAYS.		
521	527 AC	Foreman, 280 days, at $9 a day	$2,520	
522	"	6 Pavers, at $8 a day	12,960	
523	"	3 Rammers, at $7 a day	5,670	
524	"	10 Laborers, at $6 per day	16,200	
		Total Personal Services	$245,800	
		Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 33-C)		
525	527	Asphalt Plant—Transportation, carfare, rental of 17 trucks at $12 a day, 280 days; switching and demurrage, garage expense, printing and bookbinding, power, water, repairs to equipment, tool sharpening, setting back hydrants, miscellaneous	$65,350	
526	"	Materials and Supplies — Asphalt, cement, limestone dust, paving brick, rock, sand and gravel, fuel oil, wood and coal, kerosene, gasoline, lubricants, tools, tires and tubes, redressed curb	92,400	
			$157,750	

Maintenance and Operation of Bridges.

		Personal Services—(Appropriation 34-A)		
527	527 A	9 Engineers, at $2,520 each a year	$22,680	
528	"	2 Engineers, Relief and Vacation, at $2,520 a year	5,040	
529	"	10 Watchmen, Bridge Tenders, at $1,860 each a year	18,600	
530	"	2 Watchmen, Relief and Vacation, at $1,860 a year	3,720	
531	"	1 Carpenter, at $9 per day	2,700	
532	"	1 Carpenter Helper at $6.50 per day	1,950	
		Total Personal Services	$54,690	
		Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 34-B)		
533	527 B	Contractual	$2,500	
534	527 C	Material and Supplies	1,000	
		Total Non-Personal Services	$3,500	

Street Cleaning Department.

		Personal Services—(Appropriation 35-A-1)		
535	502 AA	Superintendent	$4,200	
536	"	2 Asst. Superintendents, at $2,700 a year	5,400	
537	"	1 Experienced Clerk	3,000	
538	502 AA	6 Watchmen at $1,860 each a year	11,160	

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
539	502 AC	210 Sweepers at $6 per day.....	378,000	
540	"	19 Chauffeurs at $7 per day.....	39,900	
541	"	14 Gang Foremen at $6.50 per day..	27,300	
542	"	1 Night Gang Foreman	2,100	
			$471,060	

Non-Personal Services—

543	502 BA	Transportation	$1,200	
544	502 BL	Water	6,500	
545	502 BN	Repairs and upkeep of equipment..	5,000	
546	502 C	Material and Supplies	20,000	
547	1092	Street Cans	600	
			$33,300	

Bureau of Sewer Repair.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 36-A)

548	501 AA	Superintendent	$4,200	
549	"	Asst. Superintendent	3,000	
550	"	Asst. Superintendent	2,700	
551	501 AC	Asst. Superintendent in charge Sewer Cleaning	2,550	
552	"	5 Bricklayers at $11 a day.....	16,500	
553	"	9 Hod Carriers at $9 a day.....	24,300	
554	501	3 Chauffeurs at $7 per day	6,300	
555	"	25 Sewermen at $6.50 per day.....	48,750	
556	"	21 Laborers at $6 per day.....	37,800	
557	"	18 Sewer Cleaners at $8 per day..	43,200	
		Total Personal Services.....	$189,300	

Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 36-B)

558	B	Repairs to equipment.....	$1,000	
559	C	Materials and Supplies	20,000	
		Total Non-Personal Services....	$21,000	

Sewage Pumping Station.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 37-A)

560	501 AA	Watchman in Charge.....	$2,160	
561	501 AB	Relief and Vacation.....	90	
		Total Personal Services.....	$2,250	

Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 37-B)

562	501 BH	Power	$500	
563	501 C	Material and Supplies	700	
		Total Non-Personal Services....	$1,200	

MISCELLANEOUS.

(Appropriation 38-A)

564	501 BA	Carfare	$1,200	
565	501 BN	Maintenance and Repairs to Equipment	3,000	
566	501 K	Material, Supplies, Equipment.....	2,000	

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
567	"	Stationery, Postage and Printing—all Departments	10,800	
			$17,000	
		Total Board of Works		$2,050,857

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICITY.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 40-A)

568	465 A	Office Superintendent	$5,000
569	"	Secretary	3,000
570	"	1 Clerk	2,700
571	"	1 Stenographer-Typewriter	2,100
572	"	1 Helper-Messenger	1,800
573	"	Chief Inspector	3,300
574	"	18 Inspectors at $3,000 each	54,000
575	"	Chief Operator	3,000
576	"	8 Fire Alarm Operators at $2,700 each	21,600
577	"	4 Telephone Operators at $1,800 each	7,200
578	"	Relief Telephone Operator	750
579	"	Superintendent of Plant	3,300
580	465 AC	Cable Splicer at $9 per diem	2,700
581	"	Batteryman	2,700
582	"	Foreman Instrument Maker	3,000
583	"	5 Instrument Makers at $2,700 each	13,500
584	"	Foreman Laborer	2,100
585	"	3 Laborers at $6 per diem	5,400
586	"	2 Machinists at $2,700 each	5,400
587	"	3 Foremen Linemen at $2,700 each	8,100
588	"	14 Linemen at $2,400 each	33,600
589	"	Inside Wireman at $9 per diem	2,700
590	"	Commissary	2,100
591	"	Repairer	2,460
592	"	2 Clerks at $2,280 each	4,560
		Total Personal Services	$196,070

Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 40-B)

593	465 Var	Material, Supplies and Equipment	$12,750
594	"	Stationery, Postage and Printing	2,250

Personal and Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 40-E)

595	1091	Underground Conduit System	15,000
		Total Non-Personal Services	$30,000
		Total Department of Electricity.	$226,070

FIRE DEPARTMENT.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 41-A)

596	452 AA	4 Commissioners at $1,200 each a year	$4,800
597	"	Office Superintendent and Secretary	4,500
598	"	Physician	2,820
599	"	Stenographer	2,580
600	"	Chief Engineer	7,200

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
601	"	2 First Assistant Chiefs at $4,800 each	9,600	
602	"	2 Second Assistant Chiefs at $4,800 each	9,600	
603	"	21 Battalion Chiefs at $4,200 a year	88,200	
604	"	22 Operators at $2,520 a year	55,440	
605	"	Superintendent of Assignment	1,440	
			$186,180	
		ENGINE COMPANIES.		
606	452 AA	46 Captains at $2,820 each a year	$129,720	
607	"	82 Lieutenants at $2,670 each a year	218,940	
608	"	27 Engineers at $2,640 each a year	71,280	
609	"	86 Drivers at $2,400 each a year	206,400	
610	"	2 Drivers at $2,160 each a year	4,320	
611	"	346 Hosemen at $2,400 each a year	830,400	
612	"	15 Hosemen at $2,280 each a year	34,200	
613	"	46 Hosemen at $2,160 each a year	99,360	
614		Automatic increase in salaries	4,295	
			$1,598,915	
		CHEMICAL COMPANIES.		
615	452 AA	13 Captains at $2,820 each a year.	$36,660	
616	"	13 Lieutenants at $2,670 each a year	34,710	
617	"	26 Drivers at $2,400 each a year	62,400	
618	"	28 Hosemen at $2,400 each a year	67,200	
619	"	4 Hosemen at $2,280 each a year	9,120	
620	"	7 Hosemen at $2,160 each a year	15,120	
621		Automatic increase in salaries	930	
			$226,140	
		TRUCK COMPANIES.		
622	452 AA	15 Captains at $2,820 each a year.	$42,300	
623	"	18 Lieutenants at $2,670 each a year	48,060	
624	"	28 Drivers at $2,400 each a year	67,200	
625	"	28 Tillermen at $2,400 each a year	67,200	
626	"	136 Truckmen at $2,400 each a year	326,400	
627	"	11 Truckmen at $2,280 each a year	25,080	
628	"	3 Truckmen at $2,160 each a year	6,480	
629		Automatic increase in salaries	1,190	
			$583,910	
		WATER TOWER COMPANIES.		
630	452 AA	1 Captain	$2,820	
631	"	1 Lieutenant	2,670	
632	452 AA	2 Drivers at $2,400 each a year	4.800	
633	"	3 Hosemen at $2,400 each a year	7,200	
			$17,490	
		RESCUE SQUAD COMPANIES.		
634	452 AA	1 Captain	$2,820	
635	"	2 Lieutenants at $2,670 each a year	5,340	
636	"	2 Drivers at $2,400 each a year	4,800	
637	"	4 Hosemen at $2,400 each a year	9,600	
		Total Personal Services	$22,560	

PUMPING STATIONS.

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
638	452 AA	2 Chief Engineers at $3,360 each a year	$6,720	
639	"	5 Asst. Engineers at $2,760 each a year	13,800	
640	"	7 Firemen at $2,340 each a year	16,380	
641	452 AB	Vacation Engineers	805	
642	"	Vacation Firemen	685	
			$38,390	

DISTRIBUTING SYSTEM.

643	452 AA	Superintendent	$4,200	
644	"	Foreman Gateman	2,880	
645	"	Asst. Foreman Gateman	2,730	
646	"	11 Gatemen Hydrantmen at $2,580 each a year	28,380	
647	452 AC	1 Calker at $7.50 per day	2,250	
648	"	3 Laborers, at $6 per day each	5,400	
			$45,840	

CORPORATION YARD.

649	425 AA	Superintendent	$4,200	
650	"	Clerk and Commissary	2,400	
651	"	Bookkeeper	2,700	
652	"	3 Watchmen, at $2,040 each a year	6,120	
653	425 A	1 Drayman	2,220	
654	"	1 Clerk	1,800	
655	"	Batteryman	2,700	
656	"	1 General Foreman	3,300	
657	525 AC	12 Machinists, at $9 per day	32,400	
658	"	5 Machinists' Helpers, at $6.58 per day	9,870	
659	"	4 Blacksmiths, at $9 per day	10,800	
660	"	4 Blacksmiths' Helpers, at $7.08 per day	8,496	
661	"	2 Woodworkers, at $9 per day	5,400	
662	"	1 Brass Finisher, at $9 per day	2,700	
663	"	1 Boilermaker, at $9 per day	2,700	
664	"	1 Boilermaker's Helper, at $6.58 per day	1,974	
665	"	1 Foreman Carriage Painter, at $9.50 per day	2,850	
666	525 AC	2 Carriage Painters, at $9 per day	5,400	
667	"	1 Leather Worker, at $8.50 per day	2,550	
		Total Corporation Yard	$110,580	
668	"	For Fire Boat Crew and Maintenance, all Revenues Received from the State of California to be Credited to this Item	$84,860	

Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 41-B)

669	452 BC	Maintenance, Material and Supplies	$130,500

EQUIPMENT.

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
670	452 BL	Hydrant Service, Spring Valley Rental, Water for Buildings	177,000	
671	"	Stationery, Postage and Printing...	2,250	
672	1092	Equipment	90,000	
			$399,750	
		Total Fire Department		**$3,314,615**

POLICE DEPARTMENT.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 42-A)

673	451 AA	4 Commissioners, at $1,200 each a year	$4,800
674	"	Office Superintendent	3,600
675	"	Confidential Clerk	2,400
676	"	Stenographer	3,000
677	"	Chief of Police	7,200
678	"	Chief Clerk	3,600
679	"	Stenographer	1,800
680	"	Physician	2,400
681	"	6 Telephone Operators, at $1,800 each a year	10,800
		DETECTIVE BUREAU.	
682	"	Captain of Detectives.....	$5,000
683	"	6 Lieutenants, at $3,000 each a year	18,000
684	"	66 Detective Sergeants, at $2,760 a year	182,160
685	"	Stenographer	2,760
686	"	Photographer	2,700
687	"	3 Women Protective Officers, at $2,400 each a year.....	7,200
		UNIFORM FORCE.	
688	"	Captain of Traffic.....	$4,000
689	"	15 Captains, at $3,600 each a year ..	54,000
690	"	35 Lieutenants, at $3,000 each a year	105,000
691	"	84 Sergeants, at $2,640 each a year	221,760
692	"	128 Corporals, at $2,580 each a year	330,240
693	"	884 Patrolmen, at $2,400 each a year	2,121,600
694	451 AA	26 Patrol Drivers, at $2,400 each a year	62,400
695	"	10 Hostlers, at $2,160 each a year ...	21,600
696	"	4 Matrons, at $2,100 each a year ...	8,400
697	"	Matron's Relief	1,312
698	"	Cook	2,100
699	"	3 Engineers, Police Boat, at $2,400 each a year	7,200
700	"	Inspector of Motor Vehicles	2,760
701	"	Inspector of Horses and Equipment	2,760
702	"	Inspector of Repairs and Maintenance	2,760
		Total Personal Service.....	$3,205,312

Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 42-C)

703	451 B	Contractual Service	$21,500
704	451 C	Material and Supplies	47,500

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
705	1092	Equipment — Automobiles, Motorcycles, Horses and Miscellaneous (Appropriation 42-B)	20,000	
706	K	Contingent Fund (Appropriation 42-B)	9,000	
707	"	Stationery, Postage and Printing	9,000	
		Total Police Department		$3,312,312

DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH.

Central Office.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 43-A)

708	476 A	Health Officer	$9,000
709	"	Chief Clerk	4,200
710	"	Stenographer	2,580
711	"	3 Stenographers, at $2,280 a year	6,840
712	"	2 Clerks, at $2,280 each a year	4.560
713	"	1 Clerk, Personal	1,800
714	"	2 Telephone Operators, at $1,800 each a year	3,600
715	467 AB	Relief Telephone Operator	400
		ACCOUNTING.	
716	476 AA	Auditor	$4,200
717	"	2 Clerks, at $2,280 each a year	4.560
		STATISTICS.	
718	476 AA	1 Clerk—Morbidity	$3,600
719	"	1 Clerk—Mortuary	2,280
720	"	1 Clerk—Births	2,280
721	"	1 Clerk—Statistician, Cancer Survey	1,500
		CONTROL OF COMMUNICABLE DISEASES—TUBERCULOSIS.	
722	476 AA	Chief Market Inspector	$2,700
723	"	7 Veterinarians, at $2,400 each a year	16,800
724	"	16 Market Inspectors, at $2,400 each a year	38,400
		OTHER COMMUNICABLE DISEASES.	
725	476 AA	4 Sanitary Inspectors at $2,700 each a year	$10,800
726	"	1 Disinfector	2,400
727	"	1 Disinfector	2,220
728	"	Inspector, U. S. P. H. S.	1,200
		CLINICS.	
729	476 AA	Clinician	$3,000
730	"	Psychologist	1,800
731	"	2 Assistant Psychologists at $1,500 each	3,000
732	"	Assistant Psychologist	900
733	"	Physician Psychologist	1,200
734	"	Psychologist	600
735	"	Social Service Worker	1,800
736	"	Physician	2,100
737	"	Janitress	1,500
		BACTERIOLOGICAL LABORATORIES.	
738	476 AA	Director of Laboratories	$3,600
739	"	Milk Bacteriologist	3,000

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
740	"	2 Bacteriologists, at $2,700 each a year	5,400	
741	"	1 Bacteriologist	2,100	
742	"	Technician	1,500	
743	"	Clerk	2,280	
744	"	2 Helpers at $720 each a year	1,440	
		Conservation of Child Life.		
		SCHOOL INSPECTION.		
745	476	Chief Medical Inspector	$3,000	
746	476 AA	2 School Inspectors at $2,700 each a year	5,400	
747	"	4 School Inspectors (part time) at $1,800 each a year	7,200	
748	"	Optometrist	1,500	
		DENTAL.		
749	476 AA	Dentist	$3,000	
750	"	3 Dental Hygienists at $1,800 each a year	5,400	
751	"	11 Dentists at $1,200 each a year	13,200	
752	"	1 Dentist Assistant	600	
		CHILD WELFARE.		
753	476 AA	Pediatrician	$3,000	
754	"	Pediatrician	480	
755	"	Pediatrician	240	
755a	"	Physician	1,500	
		Food Inspection.		
		MILK AND DAIRY CONTROL.		
756	476 AA	2 Veterinarians, at $3,600 each a year	$7,200	
757	"	1 Veterinarian	3,000	
		OTHER FOOD CONTROL.		
758	476 AA	Chief Food Inspector	$3,600	
759	"	7 Food Inspectors, at $2,400 each a year	16,800	
		CHEMICAL LABORATORY.		
760	476 AA	Chemist	$3,000	
761	"	Chemist	2,700	
762	"	Technician	1,080	
		Protection of Life and Property.		
		PLUMBING INSPECTION.		
763	456 A	Chief Plumbing Inspector, at $3,600 a year	$3,600	
764	"	6 Plumbing Inspectors, at $2,820 each a year	16,920	
		HOUSING INSPECTION.		
765	457 A	Tenement House Inspector	$3,000	
766	"	3 Tenement House Inspectors at $2,400 a year	7,200	
767	"	3 Tenement House Inspectors at $2,100 each a year	6,300	
		FACTORY INSPECTION.		
768	458 AA	2 Industrial Inspectors at $2,100 each a year	$4,200	
769	"	1 Industrial Inspector	1,980	

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
		REFUSE COLLECTION.		
770	429 A	2 Inspectors at $2,100 each.....	$4,200	
		CHARITIES AND RELIEF.		
771	476 A	2 City Physicians at $3,600 a year..	$7,200	
772	"	1 City Physician	3,000	
		FIELD NURSING.		
773	476 A	Director	$3,600	
774	"	2 Supervisors School Medical Inspection at $2,280 each.....	4,560	
775	"	Supervision Nutrition—Field Nurse	2,280	
776	"	Supervisor—Social Service	2,280	
777	"	2 Supervisors—Tuberculosis Bureau	4,560	
		Nurses.		
		TUBERCULOSIS BUREAU.		
778	476 A	8 Field Nurses at $1,980 a year.....	$15,840	
779	"	1 Graduate Nurse	1,800	
		SCHOOL MEDICAL INSPECTION.		
780	476 A	30 Field Nurses at $1,980 a year....	$59,400	
781	"	Nutrition Worker	2,100	
		CHILD WELFARE.		
782	476 A	Field Nurse—Foster Homes.....	$1,980	
783	"	10 Field Nurses—Health Centers, at $1,980 a year.....	19,800	
		SOCIAL SERVICE.		
784	476 A	Field Nurse	$1,980	
785	"	Social Service Worker.....	1,980	
786	"	Institutional Nurse	1,620	
		TUBERCULOSIS, OTHER THAN NURSING.		
787	476 A	Director	$4,200	
788	"	Physician	600	
789	"	Clerk-Stenographer	1,020	
790	"	Cook—Michael Angelo School.....	720	
		Total Personal Services	$430,960	
791	476 BH	Lighting Health Buildings (Appropriation 43-B)	$2,500	
792	"	Water, Health Buildings (Appropriation 43-C)	27,000	
		RAT EXTERMINATION.		
793	476 AA	4 Rat Catchers at $1,320 a year each	$5,280	
794	476 B	Carfare	225	
795	476 C	Material and Supplies.....	525	
796	476 B	Contractual Services (Appropriation 43-D)	23,850	
797	476 C	Material, Supplies and Equipment...	40,000	
798	"	Stationery, Postage and Printing...	10,800	
		Total Non-Personal Services ...	$110,180	
		Laguna Honda Home.		
		Personal Services—(Appropriation 44-A)		
799	552 AA	Superintendent	$6,000	
800	"	Clerk	3,000	
801	"	Stenographer	2,280	
802	"	Telephone Operator	900	
803	"	Watchman	1,500	

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
804	"	Physician	2,400	
805	"	Physician	1,800	
806	"	Pharmacist	2,400	
807	"	Supervisor Nurse	1,800	
808	"	20 Institutional Nurses at $1,200 each	24,000	
809	"	1 Practical Nurse	840	
810	"	4 Practical Nurses at $600 each	2,400	
811	"	2 Vocational Therapeutic Nurses at $1,500 each	3,000	
811a	"	Dietician	1,500	
812	"	Orderly	720	
813	"	Orderlies as required	16,740	
814	"	Nurses—Relief and Vacation	100	
815	"	Commissary	2,400	
816	"	Laundryman	2,268	
817	"	Laundress	1,524	
818	552 AA	Laundryman	600	
819	"	Chief Steward	2,400	
820	"	3 Stewards, at $1,680 each a year	5,040	
821	"	1 Steward	1,380	
822	"	Head Matron	2,400	
823	"	Matron	1,020	
824	"	Seamstress	1,380	
825	"	Seamstress	1,080	
826	"	Practical Nurse	1,080	
826a	"	4 Wardmen at $480 each a year	1,920	
827	"	Chief Cook	2,280	
828	"	Cook	2,100	
829	"	3 Cooks at $1,980 each a year	5,940	
830	"	2 Cooks (female) at $1,200 each a year	2,400	
831	"	Butcher	2,520	
832	"	Butcher, Relief	105	
833	"	Baker	1,980	
833a	"	Dining Room Steward	1,680	
834	"	Farmer	1,920	
835	"	Farmer	1,800	
836	"	Engineer	2,520	
837	"	2 Assistant Engineers at $2,520 each a year	5,040	
838	"	Driver	1,800	
839	552 AC	Plumber at $10 a day	3,000	
840	552 AA	Gardener	1,800	
841	552 AC	Gardener's Helper	900	
842	"	Inmate Help	28,484	
		Total Personal Services	$162,141	
Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 44-B)				
843	552 B	Contractual Services	$3,750	
844	552 C	Materials and Supplies	260,000	
845	"	Equipment	10,000	
			$273,750	

Isolation Hospital.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 45-A)

846	544 AA	Superintendent	$2,400	
847	"	Office Attendant	840	
848	"	Watchman	1,740	

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
849	"	Night Watchman	1,320	
850	504 AC	Relief Night Watchman	207	
851	554 AA	3 Gatemen at $540 each	1,620	
852	"	Resident Physician	4,200	
853	"	House Officer	300	
854	"	2 Internes at $120 each a year	240	
855	"	12 Graduate Nurses at $1,200 each a year	14,400	
856	"	Practical Nurse	600	
857	"	Graduate Nurses, Emergency Relief	3,600	
858	"	4 Orderlies at $540 each a year	2,160	
859	"	4 Practical Nurses at $540 each a year	2,160	
860	554 AA	8 Pupil Nurses at $144 each a year	1,152	
861	"	Seamstress	1,080	
862	"	2 Janitors at $600 each a year	1,200	
863	"	6 Wardmen at $540 each a year	3,240	
864	"	7 Wardmen at $540 each a year	3,780	
865	554 AB	Institutional Help, Emergency Relief	1,460	
866	544 AA	Cook	2,100	
867	554 AB	Cook, Vacation Relief	175	
868	554 AA	Kitchen Helper	720	
869	"	3 Kitchen Helpers at $540 each a year	1,620	
870	"	Dishwasher	540	
871	"	Waitress	1,140	
872	544 AC	Waitress, Vacation	45	
873	544 AA	Gardener	1,200	
		Total Personal Services	$55,239	

San Francisco Hospital.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 46-A)

874	544 AA	Superintendent	$6,000	
875	"	Assistant Superintendent	2,700	
876	"	Assistant Superintendent, T. B.	2,400	
877	"	Clerk	3,000	
878	"	Stenographer	1,920	
879	"	2 Stenographers at $900 each	1,800	
880	"	Statistician	2,280	
881	"	Assistant Statistician	1,200	
882	"	2 Clerks at $1,200 each a year	2,400	
883	"	Office Attendant, T. B.	900	
884	"	2 Telephone Operators at $1,800 each a year	3,600	
885	"	Night Telephone Operator	1,080	
886	"	Night Telephone Operator	840	
887	"	Telephone Operator	1,500	
888	"	Watchman	1,620	
889	"	Watchman, T. B.	1,320	
890	"	Watchman	720	
891	"	Teamster	2,040	
892	"	Messenger	540	
893	"	Institutional Help	3,000	
894	"	Resident Physician	1,500	
895	"	12 House Officers at $300 each a year	3,600	
896	"	30 Internes at $120 a year	3,600	
897	"	Pharmacist	3,000	

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
898	"	Assistant Pharmacist	2,700	
899	"	Stockman	900	
900	"	Institutional Help	480	
901	"	Dentist	1,200	
902	"	Radiologist	3,300	
903	"	X-Ray Technician	1,800	
904	"	X-Ray Technician	1,200	
905	"	Clerk-Stenographer	1,200	
906	"	Operating Room Nurse	2,100	
907	544 AA	Asst. Operating Room Nurse	1,320	
908	"	2 Institutional Nurses at $1,200 each a year	2,400	
909	"	Anaesthetist	1,800	
910	"	Anaesthetist	1,500	
911	"	Plaster Cast Maker	900	
912	"	2 Social Service Workers at $1,620 each a year	3,240	
913	"	Superintendent of Nurses	3,000	
914	"	3 Asst. Supt. of Nurses at $1,800 each a year	5,400	
915	"	Supervisor of Nurses, T. B.	1,380	
916	"	Supervisor of Admissions	1,380	
917	"	4 Instructors, Training School, at $1,500 each a year	6,000	
918	"	Instructors, Training School, Obstetrical Nurses	1,500	
919	"	Practical Nurse in Charge of Nurses' Home	900	
920	"	Assistant Practical Nurse	720	
921	"	48 Institutional Nurses at $1,200 each a year	57,600	
922	"	2 Supervisors, Institutional Nurses, at $1,320 each	2,640	
923	"	Practical Nurses	15,000	
924	"	Orderlies	25,000	
925	"	Orderlies, T. B.	1,450	
926	"	Office Assistant Supt. of Nurses	540	
927	"	Medical Advisor	1,500	
928	"	Pupil Nurses	10,000	
929	"	1 Institutional Nurse, Psychiatric Ward	1,500	
930	"	4 Institutional Nurses at $1,200 each a year	4,800	
931	"	2 Practical Nurses at $600 each a year	1,200	
932	"	2 Practical Nurses at $540 each	1,080	
933	"	Porter	540	
934	"	Pantrymaid	540	
935	"	4 Orderlies at $600 each a year	2,400	
936	"	Orderly	540	
937	"	Commissary	3,600	
938	"	Storekeeper	1,800	
939	"	5 Storekeeper Helpers	2,000	
		LAUNDRY.		
940	554 AA	Superintendent	$3,300	
941	"	Washer	1,764	
942	"	Wringer	1,524	
943	"	Starcher	1,416	

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
944	"	Washer's Helper	1,380	
945	"	5 Ironers at $1,176 each a year	5,880	
946	"	3 Ironers at $1,128 each a year	3,384	
947	"	4 Dry Room Workers at $1,104 each a year	4,416	
948	"	Deliveryman	480	
949	"	Deliveryman	540	
950	554 AA	Marker	1,524	
951	"	Sorter	1,524	
952	"	Linenroom Worker	1,116	
953	"	Flat Work Finisher	1,104	
954	"	10 Mangel Hands at $1,020 each a year	10,200	
955	"	2 Porters	1,020	
956	"	Seamstress	540	
		HOUSEKEEPING.		
957	554 AA	Seamstress	$1,320	
958	"	4 Seamstresses at $660 each	2,640	
959	"	Surgery Porter	900	
960	"	Lockerman	600	
961	"	Morgueman	600	
962	"	Morgueman	540	
963	"	Mattress Maker	600	
964	"	Incineratorman	540	
965	"	Sterilizerman	600	
966	"	8 Chambermaids	4,000	
967	"	Foreman, Institutional Help	1,080	
968	"	Asst. Foreman, Institutional Help	600	
969	"	Window Washer	600	
970	"	Window Washer	720	
971	"	25 Wardmen	15,000	
972	"	40 Porters	19,200	
973	"	Institutional Help, T. B.	500	
		CULINARY.		
974	554 AA	Cook, Chef	$2,280	
975	"	Cook, Butcher	2,100	
976	"	Cook, Pastry	2,100	
977	"	4 Cooks at $1,980 each	7,920	
978	"	Night Cook	1,080	
979	"	Dietician, Chief	2,100	
980	"	Dietician, T. B.	1,500	
981	"	2 Dieticians, D. R., at $1,500 each a year	3,000	
982	"	16 Waiters or Waitresses at $1,140 each a year	18,240	
983	554 AC	Institutional Help	29,000	
		POWER PLANT.		
984	554 AA	Chief Engineer	$3,420	
985	"	4 Engineers at $2,520 each a year	10,080	
986	"	3 Fireman at $2,220 each a year	6,660	
987	554 AB	Firemen Relief	2,220	
988	554 AA	Helper	540	
989	554 AA	2 Electricians at $2,850 each a year	5,700	
990	"	Gardener	1,680	
991	"	Assistant Gardener	720	
992	"	7 Yardmen	4,200	

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
993	554 AB	Vacation and Reliefs in Various Departments	7,500	
		Total Personal Services	$435,802	
Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 46-B)				
994	554 B	Contractual	$27,000	
995	554 C	Materials and Supplies	125,000	
996	554 CO	Foodstuff	245,000	
997	554 H	Fixed Charges	2,225	
998	1092	Equipment	8,000	
		Total Non-Personal Services	$407,225	
		Emergency Hospitals.		
Personal Services—(Appropriation 47-A)				
999	5541 AA	Chief Surgeon	$3,000	
1000	"	Assistant Chief Surgeon	2,700	
1001	"	Chief Steward	3,000	
1002	"	Stenographer	2,280	
1003	"	10 Surgeons at $2,400 each a year	24,000	
1004	"	22 Stewards at $2,400 each a year	52,800	
1005	"	11 Nurses at $1,980 each a year	21,780	
1006	"	Relief Nurses	1,980	
1007	"	15 Ambulance Drivers at $2,400 each a year	36,000	
1008	"	Messenger	1,200	
1009	5541 AB	2 Surgeons (Half Time), Hospital Station, Fleishhacker Pool	2,400	
1010	"	Extra Emergency Service when required	1,000	
1011	"	Vacations and Relief	6,740	
		Total Personal Services	158,000	
1012	5541 B	Contractual Service (Appropriation 47-B)	6,000	
1013	5541 C	Material and Supplies, Equipment	15,000	
1014	5541 H	Fixed Charges	500	
		Total	$21,500	
		Total Board of Health		$2,032,677
		BOARD OF EDUCATION.		
Common School Fund—(Appropriations 48 and 48-B)				
1015	576 A	Teachers' Compensation	$6,043,949	
1016	"	For the Conduct, Maintenance and Operation of the School Department, other than for Teachers' Salaries and Tuition of Junior College Students	1,160,000	
		Total School		$7,203,949
		CHARTER FUND AND STATE LAWS.		
1017	612	For Playground Fund as Provided by Charter Amendment, $0.05 in tax rate (Appropriation 49)		$378,000

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
1018	601	Park Fund (Appropriation 50).....		$756,000
1019	631/132	Bond Interest and Redemption (Appropriation 51)		$4,252,211
1020	629	Firemen's Relief and Pension Fund (Appropriation 52)		$345,000
1021	577	Library Fund (Appropriation 53)..		$283,500
1022	629	Board of Administration San Francisco City Employees' Retirement Fund (Appropriation 54).....		$769,700
1023	403	Publicity and Advertising (Appropriation 55)		$140,000
1024	553	Maintenance of Blind (Appropriation 56)		$17,000
1025	60273	Maintenance of Aquarium (Appropriation 57)		$50,000
1026	630	Tax Judgments (Appropriation 59).		$190,000
1027	60273	De Young Museum (Appropriation 60)		$70,000
1028	60239	California Palace Legion of Honor (Appropriation 61)		$70,000
		TOTAL BUDGET		**$33,011,458**

Supervisor Gallagher moved that the Budget be taken by department. There being no objection, it was *so ordered.*

Whereupon, *Supervisor McSheehy* moved the *passage to print* of the Budget as presented.

Consideration of Police Department Appropriations.

Motions.

Supervisor Shannon moved that the five-minute rule be suspended during the consideration of police matters.

So ordered.

Supervisor Shannon moved that at 6:30 p. m. the Board take a recess until 8 p. m.

So ordered.

Whereupon, *Supervisor McSheehy* moved that items numbered 673 to 707, inclusive, be approved as recommended, to-wit:

POLICE DEPARTMENT.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 42-A)

673	451 AA	4 Commissioners, at $1,200 each a year		$4,800
674	"	Office Superintendent.....		3,600
675	"	Confidential Clerk.....		2,400
676	"	Stenographer		3,000
677	"	Chief of Police.....		7,200
678	"	Chief Clerk.....		3,600
679	"	Stenographer		1,800
680	"	Physician		2,400
681	"	6 Telephone Operators, at $1,800 each a year		10,800
		Detective Bureau.		
682	"	Captain of Detectives.....		$5,000
683	"	6 Lieutenants, at $3,000 each a year..		18,000
684	"	66 Detective Sergeants, at $2,760 a year		182,160
685	"	Stenographer		2,760
686	"	Photographer		2,700
687	"	3 Women Protective Officers, at $2,400 each a year.....		7,200
		Uniform Force.		
688	"	Captain of Traffic.....		$4,000
689	"	15 Captains, at $3,600 each a year....		54,400
690	"	35 Lieutenants, at $3,000 each a year..		105,000

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
691	"	84 Sergeants, at $2,640 each a year....	221,760	
692	"	128 Corporals, at $2,580 each a year..	330,820	
693	"	884 Patrolmen, at $2,400 each a year..	2,121,600	
694	"	26 Patrol Drivers, at $2,400 each a year	62,400	
695	"	10 Hostlers, at $2,160 each a year.....	21,600	
696	"	4 Matrons, at $2,100 each a year.....	8,400	
697	"	Matron's Relief.....	1,312	
698	"	Cook	2,100	
699	"	3 Engineers, Police Boat, at $2,400 each a year	7,200	
700	"	Inspector of Motor Vehicles.....	2,760	
701	"	Inspector of Horses and Equipment...	2,760	
702	"	Inspector of Repairs and Maintenance	2,760	
		Total Personal Service.....	$3,205,892	
Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 42-C)				
703	451 B	Contractual Service	$21,500	
704	451 C	Material and Supplies.....	47,500	
705	1092	Equipment—Automobiles, Motorcycles, Horses and Miscellaneous (Appropriation 42-B)	20,000	
706	K	Contingent Fund (Appropriation 42-B)	9,000	
707	"	Stationery, Postage and Printing.....	9,000	
		Total Police Department.....		$3,312,892

Privilege of the Floor.

Acting Chief of Police Quinn was granted the privilege of the floor and addressed the Board. He called attention to Item No. 692, and declared that there was at the present time employed 129 corporals at $2,580 each per year instead of 128, and that the item should be corrected to read 129 corporals to conform to our present set-up of the department. He also called attention to Item No. 703, contractual serivce, $21,500. Last year, he declared, this item was $26,500, and in this year's Budget this is reduced about $5,000. He asked that $5,603 be added to Item 703 in order to take care of the necessary requirements of the department. He declared, moreover, that the present set-up in the Budget provides for an increase of only 41 police officers additional instead of 50 additional police which the Finance Committee agreed to give the department this year.

Motion.

Supervisor Shannon moved that the Finance Committee recheck the items mentioned by Captain Quinn and report back this evening.

There being no objection, it was *so ordered.*

Action Deferred.

The foregoing items were *laid over until the evening session.*

NEW SCHOOL BUILDING CONSTRUCTION.

The following item was taken up:

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
1	1090 E to 1093 E	(Appropriation 1) for plans and construction of new school buildings, the purchase of land for school purposes, furniture, additions and repairs to existing school buildings. A special tax on each $100 of assessed valuation to produce.....		$1,200,000

Motion.

Supervisor McSheehy moved the approval of the foregoing item.

Amendment.

Supervisor Colman moved as an amendment that the item be increased from $1,200,000 to $2,100,000.

Supervisor Gallagher requested that the City Attorney be asked to

attend this meeting and to bring with him the opinion asked for by Supervisor Hayden respecting taxation for school building purposes, and the inclusion of the necessary amount required in this Budget.

Supervisor Roncovieri presented the following resolution, which was read by the Clerk:

Sale of School Lands.

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

The following was presented by Supervisor Roncovieri and read by the Clerk:

Whereas, the Board of Education has recommended to his Honor the Mayor, by resolutions adopted May 7, 1924, November 23, 1926, and February 8, 1927, the sale of certain parcels of school lands no longer required for school purposes, which lands are referred to as follows:

May 7, 1924—Southeast corner Bush and Stockton streets, 50 vara; south side of Geary street, 60 feet west of Jones street (102 ft. 6 in. by 137 ft. 6 in.); northeast corner Taylor and Vallejo streets, 50 vara.

November 23, 1926—Haight School lot and building, Mission street, 185 feet south of Twenty-fifth street (150 ft. by 117 ft. 6 in.).

February 8, 1927—Block 485 Marina District, bounded by Chestnut, Fillmore, Webster and Francisco streets (entire block); Block 468, Marina District, bounded by Fillmore, Webster, Francisco and Bay streets (entire block); and

Whereas, the moneys received from the sale of said lands must, under Charter provisions, be used for the sole purpose of purchasing other lands needed for school purposes; and

Whereas, the Board of Education desires to acquire other lands for school purposes; therefore, be it

Resolved, That his Honor the Mayor be and is hereby respectfully requested to transmit to this Board his approval or disapproval of the recommendations of the Board of Education.

March 21, 1927—*Referred to Public Buildings and Lands Committee.*

April 6, 1927—*Buildings and Lands Committee recommends to Finance Committee.*

April 8 1927—*Finance Committee approved.*

Opinion of City Attorney.

The City Attorney appeared and presented the following communication, which was read by the Clerk:

May 25, 1927.

Subject: Taxation for School Building Purposes—Inclusion of Necessary Amount in Budget.

Dears Sirs:

I am in receipt of your request that I forthwith advise you as to the necessity of your Board including in its annual Budget such amounts as the Board of Education may demand for school building purposes, as well as including in your annual tax levy a tax sufficient to produce the amount.

Opinion.

The chief duty which arises in approaching this question is the apparent conflict between the provisions of the Charter and the provisions of the State law. In matters affecting our public school system the State law must govern.

In the case of Hancock v. Board of Education, 140 Cal. 561, the court said: "A city charter adopted under the provisions of the constitution has no effect whatever upon the existence or legal character of a school district formed under the general law. The school system is a matter of general concern, and not a municipal affair."

In Los Angeles School District v. Longden, 148 Cal. 353, the court in considering a similar subject said: "It should be emphasized that the power of a municipality, in this regard (dealing with school matters) can only run current with, and never counter to, the general laws of the state touching the common school system."

Again in McKenzie v. Board of Education, 82 Pac. 392, it was said: "The Legislature, under the Constitution, has provided a general system in regard to the common schools, and prescribed the duties of Boards of Education in counties and cities and counties of the state. The general laws passed by the Legislature are paramount, and, in case any provision of the charter of any city conflicts with the general laws as to matters pertaining to the public schools, *the charter must give way to the general law.* But the charter of a city, or city and county may make additional provisions, or provide for matters not

enumerated in the general law, provided such provisions are not in conflict with the general law."

In Kennedy v. Miller, 97 Cal. 429, it was said: "The legislative declaration that every incorporated city is a school district does not import into the organization of the school district any of the provisions of the city charter, or limit the powers and functions which, as a school district, it has by virtue of the Political Code."

In view of the foregoing interpretation of the law by the Supreme Court of our State I am of the opinion that we must look to the general law of the State for the law which must guide your Board in dealing with the production of revenue for the conduct of our common schools.

Prior to the amendment of Section 6 of Article IX of the Constitution, as adopted by the people in November, 1920, there is no question but that the recommendation of a Board of Education or Board of School Trustees as to the amount of money necessary for the conduct of the schools of a particular school district was only directory upon the Board of Supervisors of the particular county or city and county in which that district might be, that is to say, that prior to the amendment of that year, irrespective as to what the recommendation of the Board of Education or of the School Trustees may be, the Board of Supervisors had authority, under certain limitation, to grant or to refuse the amount demanded. The amendment to Section 6 of Article IX, adopted in 1920, gave to the Legislature the power to provide for the levying of such tax as might be necessary for the support of the common schools. Under the authority of this amendment the Legislature in 1921 enacted laws to accomplish the end intended.

One of the laws enacted is Section 1612a of the Political Code, which gives authority to school trustees, city boards of education and high school boards to make, through the superintendent of schools of their respective counties or cities and counties, a budget for their respective schools, to present the same to the Board of Supervisors of their respective counties, whereupon it becomes practically mandatory upon the Board of Supervisors to adopt the budget as prescribed. In general the above mentioned section provides that each board of school trustees, city board of education and high school board shall have power and it shall be their duty to make annually during the month of June, a school budget showing the purpose for which the school district will need money and the amount of money that will be needed for each of said purposes for the next ensuing school year. This budget is to be approved by the superintendent of schools and transmitted to the Board of Supervisors of the county not later than ten days before the first day of the month in which the Board of Supervisors is required by law to levy the amount of taxes required for county and city and county purposes. The section further provides that the Board of Supervisors of said county, or city and county, *must* annually at the time and in the manner of levying county, or city and county taxes, levy and cause to be collected a district tax for each school district, whose budget shows a district tax to be necessary, and to fix a rate for such district tax, as will produce at least the amount of district tax money requested by the particular district. The concluding clause of the section repeals all acts and parts of acts which are not in harmony with its provisions.

The section mentioned is general in its terms and provides for a budget which will cover all purposes for which the school district will require money for the ensuing year.

As the question which you have asked pertains only to school buildings, repairs, supplies, etc., and not to what amount must be allowed for teachers' salaries I will give consideration only to the former matter.

The law looks upon the Board of Education, which has charge and control of the elementary schools, and the high school board as separate entities, and as there is a slight limitation in the amount which may be allowed for elementary schools, the estimate on the budget of each should be separately treated.

Section 1838 of the Political Code makes direct provision for buildings, repairs, etc., to the elementary schools. It provides for the presentation by the Board of Education to the Board of Supervisors within fifteen days prior to the first of the month in which the tax rate is fixed, of an estimate of any amount of money which will be required for purchasing school lots, school buildings, repairing the

same, and for supplying furniture, apparatus, etc., for the ensuing year. The section further provides that if the Superintendent of Schools approve the estimate, the Board of Supervisors *may* levy the necessary tax to make up the amount. The section further places a limit of fifteen cents on the hundred dollars, on the rate of taxation which may be levied for this purpose. There is some conflict between the provisions of this section and section 1612a, above referred to, and as the latter is the latest legislative expression on the subject its provisions must govern, where the conflict cannot be harmonized, but if they can be harmonized the rules of statutory construction compel that they should be read together. The only conflict is that in section 1612a there is no limitation as to the amount of the tax which may be levied, and the Board of Supervisors *must* levy a tax sufficient to produce the amount asked, while in section 1838 there is a limit of fifteen cents on the hundred dollars as to the rate of tax, and the Board of Supervisors *may* levy a tax sufficient to produce the amount asked. At first sight it would appear that there is a direct conflict between the two sections, the one providing that the Board *must* levy the tax, and the other appearing to leave the amount of the levy to the discretion of the Board. However, the word "may" is often construed as "must", and I believe that the latter construction should be placed upon it as used in section 1838. In Brenner v. Los Angeles, 160 Cal. 79, the court said "Where the public interest or private right requires that the thing should be done, the word 'may' is generally construed to mean 'must'. . . . Where persons or the public have an interest in having the act done 'may' in such a statute means 'must' ".

In Beadle v. Sanders, 177 N. W. 789 (a case decided by the Supreme Court of Nebraska), the court said: "The words 'may levy' as used in the laws of 1919 providing that county boards may levy a special tax to pay indebtedness accruing under certain contracts means 'must levy' ".

I am of the opinion that the mandatory meaning can well be given to the word "may" as used in Section 1838, and that it is in harmony with the provisions of the later enactment Section 1612a. We must next give consideration to the limitation of fifteen cents upon the one hundred dollars. It would appear to me that such a limitation is not in conflict with Section 1612a, which contains the general provisions, and that it may have been the intention of the Legislature when it enacted the later section to continue the limitation in force. This conclusion was reached by my predecessor, Mr. George Lull, when, in July 28, 1922, he had occasion to advise your Board on a similar subject.

Therefore, I must advise you that it is mandatory upon your Board to fix a tax which will produce the estimated amount required by the Board of Education for school buildings, etc., for elementary schools.

The estimate for the buildings, repairs, etc., for high schools is governed also by Section 1612a of the Political Code, above referred to, and also by Sections 1755 and 1756 of the same code. Both of the last mentioned sections deal exclusively with high school budgets or estimates. Section 1755 provides for the purchase of a lot, the preparation of plans and the construction of a high school building, and the amount of the tax is limited to seventy-five cents on the one hundred dollars. The section, however, applies only to new high school districts, and where a district is already in existence and new schools, etc., are needed. Section 1756 applies—See Posz v. Taylor, 61 Cal. App. 523. Referring there to Section 1756, we find that it is the duty of every *high school* board to make an estimate showing the amount required for teachers' salaries, current expenses, books, magazines and apparatus, sites for buildings and furniture and other miscellaneous expenses for the high schools of the district for the current year. It is the duty of the superintendent to transmit a copy of the estimate to the Board of Supervisors and to the Auditor.

Section 1757 provides that the Board of Supervisors must, at the time of levying the other taxes for county purposes, levy a tax sufficient to produce the amount required by the estimate. I can find no limitation upon the amount of the levy and I believe that it is mandatory upon the Board of Supervisors to make the levy in conformity with the estimate, and on their failure to do so, it becomes the duty of the Auditor to make it.

The constitutional provisions which I have referred to as well as Sections 1612a, 1755, 56 and 57 of the Political Code have been passed upon by the highest courts of our State, and the courts have held that it is mandatory upon the Board of Supervisors to provide in the tax levy the amounts estimated by the Board of Education and by the High School Board as being necessary for their respective schools. I direct your attention to the case of McDonald v. Richards, 248 Pac. Rep. 1049.

This case was prosecuted against the Auditor of Butte County and was decided in July, 1926, by the District Court of Appeal. The court said: "We think that the constitutional provisions which we have been considering and Section 1612a of the Political Code place the duty of levying a special tax upon boards of supervisors in about the same situation as when a special tax will be directed by mandamus to be levied for the purpose of meeting interest upon bonds or similar obligations; that the legislative discretion vested in the board of supervisors is limited in the manner in which we have herein set forth and does not extend to the determination of either the policy, the wisdom or the expense of maintaining a high school, but such matters devolve upon the board of school trustees, and that it was the purpose both of the amendment to the Constitution and of the act of the Legislature to vest in the school trustees of district high schools both the policy and the wisdom of incurring expenses in the conduct and maintenance of high schools."

The Supreme Court in MacMillan Co. v. Clarke, 184 Cal. 505, has also sustained the right of a high school board to have its budget or estimate included in the tax levy.

Understand, however, that the Board of Supervisors has the right to question the legality of any item in the budget or estimate and if the item is not legal to refuse to allow it. Also, if the amount demanded makes necessary a tax exceeding the limitation prescribed by the code sections the amount of the estimate must be cut down.

The budget or estimate required from the Board of Education by the sections which I have mentioned need not be presented until fifteen days before the first day of September, and you can then include in your tax rate an amount sufficient to produce the sum required. However, if you wish to estimate the amount in your budget you may do so, but if you do, the estimates required by law, and on the form so provided, should, nevertheless, be again presented within the fifteen days prior to September the first.

Respectfully,
JOHN J. O'TOOLE,
City Attorney.

May 25, 1927, read, and ordered *filed*.

Amendment Lost.

Whereupon, the roll was called upon Supervisor Colman's amendment and the same was *defeated* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Harrelson—3.

Noes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—14.

Absent—Supervisor Hayden—1.

School Appropriation Approved.

Whereupon, the roll was called on Supervisor McSheehy's motion and Item No. 1, School Construction Appropriation, was *approved* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Hayden—1.

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS.

The following items were taken up:

Personal Services—(Appropriation 2-A)

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
2	401 A	18 Supervisors, at $2,400 each.....	$43,200	
3	402 A	Clerk	6,000	

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
4	" 1	Chief Assistant Clerk	4,500	
5	" 1	Expert Accountant	6000	
6	" 1	Bond and Ordinance Clerk	3,000	
7	" 2	Assistant Clerks, at $3,600 each	7,200	
8	" 1	Assistant Clerk	3,000	
9	" 1	Assistant Clerk	2,100	
10	" 1	Stenographer to Finance Committee	3,600	
11	" 1	Stenographer	2,400	
12	" 1	Stenographer	2,100	
13	" 1	Shorthand Reporter	2,700	
14	" 1	Telephone Operator and Filing Clerk	2,100	
15	401 A 1	Sergeant-at-Arms	2,400	
16	" 1	Chauffeur-Investigator	3,000	
17	529 A 1	Gas and Water Inspector	2,400	
18	678 A 1	Superintendent of Auditorium	3,000	
			$98,700	
		Telephone Exchange.		
19	433 A	Chief Operator	$1,980	
20	"	5 Operators, at $1,800 each	9,000	
21	"	Relief Operators	675	
		Total Personal Services		$110,355

Motion.

Supervisor Stanton, seconded by Supervisor Deasy, moved that Item No. 20, 5 operators at $1,800 each per year, be amended to read 6 operators at $2,100 each.

Supervisor Stanton, seconded by Supervisor Deasy, moved that Item No. 19, chief operator, at $1,980 per year, be amended to read 1 chief operator, at $2,280 per year.

Referred to Finance Committee.

Whereupon, on motion of *Supervisor McSheehy*, the proposed amendments were referred to the Finance Committee.

Approved.

Whereupon, Items Nos. 2 to 21, inclusive, comprising the personnel of the Board of Supervisors' Clerk's office, was *approved* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd.—17.

Absent—Supervisor Hayden.—1.

RECESS.

Whereupon, the Board, at the hour of 6 p. m., took a recess until 8 p. m.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

REASSEMBLED.

The Board of Supervisors reassembled at the hour of 8 p. m. for the purpose of continuing consideration of the proposed Budget of Municipal Expenditures for the ensuing fiscal year.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present: Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy—2.

The Clerk announced that Supervisor Bath had sent in word that he would be unable to attend as he was unable to stand the strain of a long session, but would be at the call of the Board if needed.

Quorum present.

His Honor, Mayor Rolph, being absent, Supervisor McSheehy was elected to preside.

POLICE DEPARTMENT APPROPRIATIONS.

Supervisor McSheehy reported the following corrected itemization for the Police Department:

Personal Services—(Appropriation 42-A)

673	451 AA	4 Commissioners at $1,200 each a year	$4,800
674	"	Office Superintendent	3,600
675	"	Confidential Clerk	2,400
676	"	Stenographer	3,000
677	"	Chief of Police	7,200
678	"	Chief Clerk	3,600
678a	"	1 Property Clerk	3,600
679	"	Stenographer	1,800
680	"	Physician	2,400
681	"	6 Telephone Operators at $1,800 each a year	10,800
		Detective Bureau.	
682	"	Captain of Detectives	$5,000
683	"	6 Lieutenants at $3,000 each a year	18,000
684	"	66 Detective Sergeants at $2.760 a year	182,160
685	"	Stenographer	2,760
686	"	Photographer	2,700
687	"	3 Women Protective Officers at $2,400 each a year	7,200
		Uniform Force.	
688	"	Captain of Traffic	$4,000
689	"	14 Captains, at $3,600 each a year	50,400
690	"	35 Lieutenants at $3,000 each a year	105,000
691	"	84 Sergeants at $2,640 each a year	221,760
692	"	129 Corporals at $2,580 each a year	332,820
693	"	884 Patrolmen at $2,400 each a year	2,121,600
694	"	26 Patrol Drivers at $2,400 each a year	62,400
695	"	10 Hostlers at $2,160 each a year	21,600
696	"	4 Matrons at $2,100 each a year	8,400
697	"	Matron's Relief	1,312
698	"	Cook	2,100
699	"	3 Engineers, Police Boat, at $2,400 each a year	7,200
700	"	Inspector of Motor Vehicles	2,760
701	"	Inspector of Horses and Equipment	2,760
702	"	Inspector of Repairs and Maintenance	2,760
		Total Personal Service	$3,207,892

Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 42-C)

703	451 B	Contractual Service	$21,500
704	451 C	Material and Supplies	47,500
705	1092	Equipment—Automobiles, Motorcycles, Horses and Miscellaneous (Appropriation 42-B)	20,000
706	K	Contingent Fund (Appropriation 42-B)	9,000
707	1092 BC	Stationery, Postage and Printing	9,000
		Total Police Department	$3,314,892

Motion.

Supervisor Stanton, seconded by Supervisor Todd, moved that Item 698, 1 cook, at $2,100 per annum, be amended to read $2,340 per annum.

On motion of *Supervisor McSheehy*, amendment *referred to Finance Committee* without objection.

Approved.

Whereupon, on motion of *Supervisor McSheehy*, the foregoing itemization for the Police Deparment was *approved* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy—2.

STREET RECONSTRUCTION.

The following recommendations for street reconstruction were taken up:

81 For the reconstruction of the following streets:

Broderick, Vallejo to Green
Clay, Taylor to Jones
Castro, Market to 16th
California, Van Ness to Hyde (widening)
California, Hyde to Jones (widening and paving)
Clay, Stockton to Powell
Fillmore, Broadway to Green
Filbert, Hyde to Leavenworth
Greenwich, Hyde easterly
Haight, Scott to Baker
Jones, Jackson to Pacific
Kearny, Broadway to Vallejo
Kearny, Union to Filbert
Larkin, Bay to North Point
Mason, Union to Greenwich
McAllister, Central to Masonic
Page, Broderick to Divisadero
Steiner, Grove to Fulton
Sacramento, Jones to Hyde
Sutter, Broderick to Presidio
Sutter, Buchanan to Octavia
Taylor, Green to Lombard
Washington, Jones to Taylor
Washington, Stockton to Kearny
Washington, Leavenworth to Hyde
Jackson, Stockton to Powell
Broadway, Columbus to Montgomery
Buchanan, Green to Union (Center strip)
Broderick, Ellis to O'Farrell
California, Mason to Jones (Widening and resurfacing)
Cherry, Sacramento to California
Divisadero, Green to Union
Francisco, Powell to Taylor
Hayes, Franklin to Laguna
Haight, Buchanan to Scott
Laguna, Bay street north
Leavenworth, Columbus to Jefferson
Lyon, California to Sacramento
Lyon, Jackson to Washington
Octavia, Jackson to Pacific
Polk, Broadway to Vallejo
Pierce, Greenwich to Filbert
Scotland street, Columbus to Greenwich
Spruce, Sacramento to California
21st avenue, Cabrillo to Fulton
Valparaiso, Mason to Taylor
Vanderwater, Mason to Powell
Walnut, Washington to Jackson
22nd street, Church to Dolores
28th street, Noe to Sanchez
25th street, Fair Oaks to Dolores
Park, Leese to Mission
Clark, Drumm to Front
Maiden lane, Kearny to Grant avenue
Oregon, Drumm to Front
Alpine Terrace, Waller to Duboce
Filbert, Polk to Van Ness
Maple, Sacramento to California
Steiner, Haight to Oak
Hermann, Buchanan to Laguna

Total $275,000

Substitute Itemization by Supervisor Marks.

Supervisor Marks, seconded by Supervisor Hayden, submitted the following substitute for the foregoing, increasing the amount thereof from $275,000 to $512,160:

Report, Supervisor Marks for Streets Committee.

Presented to Supervisors for hearing in Board May 25, 1927:

San Francisco, May 12, 1927.

To the Honorable, the Finance Committee of the Board of Supervisors, City and County of San Francisco.

Gentlemen:

Your Committee on Streets and Commercial Development respectfully asks that the following amounts be set aside for street improvement during the Fiscal Year 1927-28.

Street reconstruction	$ 572,160
Good Roads Fund	1,012,000
Total	$1,584,160

We also recommend the following special budget items:

Esplanade	$100,000
Street work in front of City property	100,000
Emergency street repairs	25,000
County Road Fund	1,000
Total	$226,000

Respectfully submitted,

MILTON MARKS,
Chairman.

Good Roads Fund.

Alemany boulevard	$ 150,000
Bay Shore Highway	250,000
Grand View avenue	17,000
Geary street, Van Ness avenue westerly (widening 3 feet each side and resurfacing)	50,000
Junipero Serra boulevard	100,000
Laguna Honda boulevard	150,000
Market street extension	100,000
Potrero avenue, Sixteenth street southerly	75,000
Roosevelt way	50,000
San Jose avenue, Paulding to Mt. Vernon	25,000
Silver avenue, San Bruno to Oakdale	10,000
Valencia street (widening)	35,000
Total	$1,012,000

Budget Items.

Alpine Terrace, Waller to Duboce	$ 1,000
Broadway, Columbus to Montgomery (widening)	4,000
Bryant, Ninth to Eleventh	11,000
Buchanan, Green to Union (center strip)	1,500
Broderick, Ellis to O'Farrell	3,300
Broderick, Vallejo to Green	4,000
Beale, Mission to Embarcadero (resurfacing)	18,000
Clay, Taylor to Jones	5,400
Clement, First to Fifth (resurfacing) Tenth to Thirteenth	6,400
Castro, Market to Sixteenth	7,800
Clark, Drumm to Front	4,000
California, Van Ness avenue to Hyde (widening)	9,000
California, Hyde to Jones (widening and paving)	12,000
California, Mason to Jones (widening and resurfacing)	7,000
Cherry, Sacramento to California	3,500
Clay, Stockton to Powell	4,000
Divisadero, Green to Union	5,000
Drumm, Jackson to Pacific	5,000
Davis, Jackson to Pacific	4,000
Eighteenth street, Danvers to Castro	6,500
Ellis, Polk to Van Ness avenue	4,000
Fourth street, Market to Mission	10,000
Fillmore, Broadway to Green	7,000

Fillmore, Sutter to Fulton	10,000
Filbert, Polk to Van Ness avenue	5,000
Filbert, Hyde to Leavenworth	5,000
Francisco, Powell to Taylor	4,000
Front, Pacific to Broadway	4,000
Fulton, First to Stanyan	3,500
Grant, Greenwich to Chestnut	4,500
Greenwich, Hyde easterly	4,500
Hayes, Franklin to Laguna	8,000
Haight, Scott to Baker	13,700
Haight, Buchanan to Scott	10,560
Hermann, Buchanan to Laguna (center strip)	2,000
Harrison, Sixth to Seventh	8,800
Jackson, Sansome to Montgomery	3,500
Jackson, Stockton to Powell	4,500
Jones, Jackson to Pacific (center strip)	2,000
Kearny, Broadway to Vallejo	3,000
Kearny, Union to Filbert	3,000
Larkin, California to Jackson	7,600
Larkin, Pacific to Union	16,000
Laguna, Bay street north	3,000
Leavenworth, Columbus to Jefferson	4,000
Larkin, Bay to North Point	4,000
Lyon, California to Sacramento	4,000
Lyon, Jackson to Washington	4,000
Mason, Union to Greenwich	7,200
Maiden lane, Kearny to Grant avenue	1,500
McAllister, Central to Masonic	2,500
Main, Folsom to Embarcadero	22,000
Maple, Sacramento to California	3,500
Ninth, Market street southerly	9,000
Oak, Baker to Divisadero	8,000
Octavia, Jackson to Pacific	4,000
Oregon, Drumm to Front	4,000
Polk, Broadway to Vallejo	4,000
Presidio, Geary to California	10,000
Park, Leese to Mission	2,000
Pierce, Greenwich to Filbert	4,000
Pine, Leavenworth to Taylor (widening)	5,000
Polk, Golden Gate to McAllister	4,000
Page, Broderick to Divisadero	4,000
Sutter street, Sansome street westerly (resurfacing and widening)	20,000
Sutter, Divisadero to Fillmore	5,000
Stockton, Lombard to Bay	12,000
Steiner, Haight to Oak	12,000
Steiner, Grove to Fulton	3,500
Stanyan, Fulton to Fell	3,500
Steuart, Market to Mission	3,800
Sacramento, Jones to Hyde	9,000
Scotland, Columbus to Greenwich	9,000
Sutter, Broderick to Presidio	13,500
Sutter, Buchanan to Octavia	9,000
Spruce, Sacramento to California	3,500
Seventh, Mission to Brannan (resurfacing)	17,000
Taylor, Green to Lombard	15,000
Twenty-first avenue, Cabrillo to Fulton	3,600
Twenty-second street, Church to Dolores	6,500
Twenty-eighth, Noe to Sanchez	3,400
Twenty-third avenue, Anza to Cabrillo	6,000
Twenty-fifth street, Fair Oaks to Dolores	3,500
Valparaiso, Mason to Taylor	3,600
Vanderwater, Mason to Powell	2,000
Washington, Montgomery to Sansome	3,500
Webster, Sutter to Pine	8,000
Washington, Jones to Taylor	3,500
Washington, Stockton to Kearny	9,000
Washington, Leavenworth to Hyde	4,000
Walnut, Washington to Jackson	3,500
Total	$ 572,160

Motion.

Supervisor Hayden, seconded by Supervisor Badaracco, moved that Assistant Engineer Clyde Healy give an explanation of the unexpended balance of approximately $350,000 in the Street Reconstruction Fund for the fiscal year 1926-1927, referred to by the Finance Committee as a surplus.

Motion *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisor Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Harrelson, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton—12.

Noes—Supervisors Gallagher, Havenner, Powers, Todd—4.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy—2.

Privilege of the Floor.

Assistant City Engineer Healy was granted the privilege of the floor and answered queries of Supervisor McSheehy, as follows:

Supervisor McSheehy: Ocean Shore, land and options, $6,198; appropriation, $45,000?

Mr. Healy: The balance is tied up in appropriations that are going through your Board, but all will have been expended prior to the first part of July.

Supervisor McSheehy: Bernal Cut, land and options, amount in the Good Roads Fund, $135,000; unexpended, $16,599?

Mr. Healy: The options at present are in excess of the amount remaining there.

Supervisor McSheehy: Market street extension, contract out——

Supervisor Schmidt (interrupting): You mentioned a certain item, and he says contract and allotments, but the actual money that has been allotted, has that been spent?

Supervisor Byington: I rise to a point of order.

The Chairman: It is well taken.

Supervisor McSheehy: Third and Berry streets, Third street, Berry to Eighteenth street, fund, $67,000; unexpended, $48,915?

Mr. Healy: About fifty per cent of that work has been completed and bills contracted for. The balance will be completed and expended within the next fifteen days.

Supervisor McSheehy: The Mission viaduct?

Supervisor Todd: That amount on Third street, how much is that balance?

Supervisor Byington: A question of order.

The Chairman: The point of order is well taken, mark it down.

Supervisor McSheehy: Mission viaduct, budget, $12,000; unexpended, $7,604?

Mr. Healy: There remains about $4,000 to be expended there, and we cannot finish until the Market Street Railway Company get their gang in there again.

Supervisor McSheehy: Grand View avenue, $45,000; unexpended, $42,989?

Mr. Healy: I explained to you that we have a contract let for that work, in that contract will be not only $45,000 but $17,000 deficit. It is all expended now to the extent that it has been allocated and set up by the Auditor. The work will actually be completed before the first of the year.

Supervisor McSheehy: Turk street, Masonic to Wheeler, budget, $36,000; unexpended, $36,000?

Mr. Healy: That is an assessment job and the work is under way and will not be completed until about the first day of July, entirely completed, but the money will all have been expended. The Auditor has certified this amount.

Supervisor McSheehy: Masonic avenue, McAllister to Turk?

Mr. Healy: The same condition.

Supervisor McSheehy: $6,480 expended——

Mr. Healy (interrupting): The money is contracted for, all of it, and, therefore, spent.

Supervisor McSheehy: Harrison street, Sixth to Eleventh, $49,700; unexpended. $36,661?

Mr. Healy: I cannot answer that. Superintendent King can.

Mr. King: $35,851 expended to date.

Supervisor McSheehy: Mr. Williams shows unexpended $36,661, Mr. King.

Mr. King: He probably has not all the bills in yet.
Supervisor McSheehy: San Jose avenue?
Supervisor Kent: I rise to a question in there on that particular street.
The Chairman: If Supervisor McSheehy permits you.
Supervisor Kent: Harrison street between Sixth and Eleventh was a $49,700 job last year in the Good Roads Fund. Is the work finished, Mr. King?
Mr. King: Not yet.
Supervisor Kent: How much of it is not finished?
Mr. King: The block between Sixth and Seventh.
Supervisor Kent: You are asking $8,800 more in this budget to do that particular job.
Mr. King: No.
Supervisor Kent: I beg your pardon, but it is down here.
Supervisor McSheehy: Silver avenue, widening, $47,500; unexpended——
Mr. Healy (interrupting): That is a wrong figure.
Supervisor McSheehy: I have it in another item $22,500.
Mr. Healy: $22,500 is correct, that is a right of way.
Supervisor McSheehy: Silver avenue, $22,500, then it says, unexpended, $22,889?
Mr. Healy: There are options there for rights of way that have taken about half of it at the present time.
Supervisor McSheehy: Southern Heights, $9,000; unexpended, $1,386?
Mr. Healy: There will be a surplus in that job. That is a final payment on it.
Supervisor McSheehy: Portola drive, $18,000; unexpended, $16,637?
Mr. Healy: There are bills coming in on that for rights of way that will take about $16,000.
Supervisor McSheehy: California, Powell to Mason, $14,400, unexpended, $879?
———— street, Junipero Serra to Grafton avenue, $18,000; unexpended, $1,949.
Mr. Healy: There is another demand come in on that.
Supervisor McSheehy: Capital, ———— to Lake View, $6,750; expended, $67.50?
Mr. Healy: You gave a total of $9,000 plus there, the $6,000 will have to be carried over because we promised the property owners——
Supervisor McSheehy (interrupting): Mason street, Sacramento to Clay, $5,000; unexpended, $1,206?
Mr. Healy: A surplus.
Supervisor McSheehy: Hunt avenue off of Third, Howard to Mission, $2,500; unexpended, $2,500?
Mr. Healy: That work has all been done and money spent.
Supervisor McSheehy: Octavia, Pacific and Broadway, $4,500; unexpended, $2,309?
Mr. Healy: Surplus.
Supervisor McSheehy: Shotwell, Eighteenth to Nineteenth, $2,500; unexpended, $1,686?
Mr. Healy: Both jobs completed.
Supervisor Kent: May I ask another question: Hunt street, is that a finished job?
Mr. Healy: Yes.
Supervisor Kent: When, tonight?
Mr. Healy: All completed last August.
Supervisor Kent: Then your bookkeeper is out of whack.
Supervisor Badaracco: Now I know that that job is completed.
Supervisor Kent: I have the bookkeeper of the Board of Works' figures here.
Supervisor Badaracco: It was done last year.
Supervisor McSheehy: Shotwell—Clay street, Mason to Taylor, $5,000; unexpended, $5,000.
Mr. Healy: That work has not been done there.
Supervisor McSheehy: Washington, Taylor to Mason, $5,000; unexpended, $5,000?
Mr. Healy: That work has not been started.
Supervisor McSheehy: Merchant street, repairs, $10,000; unexpended, $5,776?
Supervisor Badaracco: That is in the Streets Committee.

Supervisor McSheehy: Steiner street, Jackson to Clay streets, $8,000; unexpended, $2,831?

Mr. Healy: That is a surplus.

Supervisor McSheehy: Mt. Vernon extension, $4,000; unexpended, $4,000?

Mr. Healy: Yes, that is correct. The money was necessary there to pave and the property owners to put up their share.

Supervisor McSheehy: Douglass, Twentieth to Twenty-first, $22,500; unexpended, $8,500?

Mr. Healy: Surplus.

Supervisor Kent: A surplus of $8,500.

Supervisor McSheehy: May I make this statement to the Board: In last year's set-up on the good roads we had $833,848. There was unexpended the year before, which became a surplus, $58,700, so that, in this present fiscal year on the good roads we have $892,548 and $58,700 as a surplus of last year. Now this present year the year before that is added in to this present year.

Supervisor Hayden: What is the surplus you have not now?

Supervisor Shannon: About $100,000.

Mr. Healy: Not to exceed $75,000.

Supervisor McSheehy: That is all I have to say.

Substitute Defeated.

Whereupon, the roll being called on Supervisor Marks' substitute for Street Reconstruction items, the roll was called and the substitute *defeated* by the following vote:

Aye—Supervisor Marks—1.

Noes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy—2.

BUREAU OF SUPPLIES.

The following items were taken up:

Personal Services—(Appropriation 3½-A)

82	634 A	1 Purchaser of Supplies	$10,000
83	"	1 Superintendent of Supplies	3,600
84	"	1 Inspector of Supplies	3,000
85	"	1 Clerk, Stationery	3,000
86	"	1 Assistant Clerk, Stationery	2,700
87	"	1 Stenographer	2,100
88	"	1 Assistant Purchaser, Schools	2,700
89	"	1 Stenographer-File Clerk	1,680
90	"	1 Tabulator	1,680
91	"	1 Chief Storekeeper	3,900
		Total Personal Services	$34,360
92	634 BC	Non-Personal (Appropriation 3½-B)	2,280
93	"	Stationery, printing books, postage	6,300
94	"	Furniture for public offices, equipment, etc.	20,000
95	"	License tags and blanks	6,000
		Total Non-Personal Services	34,580
		Total Bureau of Supplies	$68,940

Approved, on motion of Supervisor McSheehy, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy—2.

EXECUTIVE DEPARTMENTS.

MAYOR.

The following items were taken up:

Personal Services—(Appropriation 4-A)

96	404 A	Mayor	$6,000
97	"	Executive Secretary	4,800

98	"	Assistant Secretary	4,200	
99	"	4 Stenographer-Typewriters at $2,100 each	8,400	
100	"	Telephone Operator	1,800	
101	"	Messenger	1,980	
102	"	2 Chauffeurs at $2,400 each	4,800	
		Total Personal Services	$31,980	
Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 4-B)				
103	404 K	Contingent Expenses (Charter)	$3,600	
104	"	Personal Services and other than Personal Services	6,285	
105	"	Stationery, Postage and Printing	1,215	
		Total Non-Personal Services	$11,100	
		Total Mayor		$43,080

Approved, on motion of Supervisor McSheehy, by the following vote:
Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.
Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy—2.

AUDITOR.

The following items were taken up:

Personal Services—(Appropriation 5-A)				
106	405 A	Auditor	$8,000	
107	"	Office Superintendent	3,600	
108	"	3 Deputies at $3,600 each	10,800	
109	"	2 Deputies at $2,880 each	5,760	
110	"	4 Deputies at $2,700 each	10,800	
111	"	1 Deputy	2,580	
112	"	5 Deputies at $2,400 each	12,000	
113	"	1 Bond Deputy	2,580	
114	"	1 General Clerk	2,220	
115	"	1 General Clerk	1,920	
116	"	1 Stenographer-Typist	2,220	
117	"	Expert (State Law), Section 4099 A	2,580	
118	"	Telephone Operator and Filing Clerk	2,100	
119	"	Attorney	3,000	
120	"	Service, assessment, State and local, compiling statistics for State Board and Comptroller and settlement with City and State	6,400	
		Total Personal Services	$76,560	
Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 5-B)				
121	405 B	Contingents	$500	
122	"	Stationery, Postage and Printing	5,400	
		Total Auditor		$82,460

Proposed Amendments.

Supervisor Stanton, seconded by Supervisor Todd, moved that Item 114, 1 general clerk, $2,220 per annum, be increased to $2,400 per annum, and that Item No. 115, 1 general clerk, $1,920 per annum, be increased to $2,220 per annum, and that Item No. 116, 1 stenographer-typist, $2,220 per annum, be increased to $2,400 per annum.

Supervisor McSheehy moved that the foregoing proposed amendment be referred to the Finance Committee.

There being no objection, it was *so ordered*.

Approved.

Whereupon, on motion of Supervisor McSheehy, Items No. 106 to 122 as recommended were *approved* by the following vote:
Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.
Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy—2.

TAX COLLECTOR.

The following items were taken up:

Personal Services—(Appropriation 6-A)

123	408 A	Tax Collector	$8,000
124	"	Office Superintendent	3,600
125	"	Cashier	3,300
126	"	Accountant	3,300
127	"	6 Special Deputies at $2,580 each	15,480
128	"	1 Cashier, License Bureau	2,880
129	"	2 Expert Searchers at $2,580 each	5,160
130	"	26 Deputies at $2,400 each	62,400
131	"	1 Stenographer	2,100
132	"	Extra Clerical Help	15,000
133	"	Adjuster of Licenses	3,000
134	"	Tunnel Accountant	2,100
		Total Personal Services	$126,320

Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 6-B)

135	408 B	Printing Delinquent Tax List	$3,700
136	"	Advertising Tax Notices and Contingents	2,160
137	"	Stationery, Postage and Printing	4,500
		Total Non-Personal Services	$10,360
		Total Tax Collector	$136,680

Proposed Amendments.

Supervisor Stanton, seconded by Supervisor Todd, moved that Item No. 127, 6 special deputies at $2,580 each per annum, be amended to read $2,700 each per annum, also that Item No. 134, tunnel accountant, $2,100 per annum, be amended to read $2,400 per annum.

Supervisor McSheehy moved that the foregoing proposed amendment be referred to the Finance Committee.

There being no objection, it was *so ordered.*

Approved.

Whereupon, on motion of Supervisor McSheehy, Items No. 123 to 137 were *approved* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy—2.

TREASURER.

The following items were taken up:

Personal Services—(Appropriation 7-A)

138	406 A	Treasurer	$8,000
139	"	Chief Deputy	2,880
140	"	Cashier	5,100
141	"	Bank and Bond Deputy	3,900
142	"	1 Deputy	3,900
143	"	Coupon Clerk	2,880
144	"	1 Deputy	3,300
145	"	Bookkeeper	3,600
146	"	Assistant Bookkeeper	2,400
147	"	2 Clerks at $2,880 each	5,760
148	"	1 Clerk	1,980
		Total Personal Services	$43,700

Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 7-B)

149	406 K	Non-Personal Services	$200
150	"	Stationery, Postage and Printing	900
		Total Treasurer	$44,800

Approved, on motion of Supervisor McSheehy, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy—2.

ASSESSOR.

The following items were taken up:

Personal Services—(Appropriation 8-A)

151	407 AA	Assessor	$8,000
152	"	Chief Deputy Assessor	2,400
153	"	Director of Supervising Appraisers	4,500
154	"	Cashier Auditor	2,400
155	"	2 Supervising Appraisers at $4,200 each a year	8,400
156	"	3 Supervising Appraisers at $3,600 each a year	10,800
157	"	16 Appraisers at $3,000 each a year	48,000
158	"	3 Personal Property Appraisers at $3,000 each a year	9,000
159	"	1 Asst. Personal Property Appraiser	2,400
160	"	3 Asst. Appraisers at $2,400 each a year	7,200
161	"	3 Asst. Appraisers at $2,700 each a year	8,100
162	"	15 Asst. Appraisers at $2,280 each a year	34,200
163	"	Stenographer-Typist	1,800
164	407 AB	Temporary Asst. Deputies — General Clerks at $160 per month for time employed	98,000
		Total Personal Services	$245,200

Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 8-B)

165	407 B	Non-Personal Services, including Autos at $40 a month	$6,000
166	"	Stationery, Postage and Printing	13,500
		Total Assessor	$264,700

Proposed Amendments.

Supervisor Stanton, moved that Item 162, 15 assistant appraisers at $2,280 each a year, be amended to read $2,400 each a year.

Supervisor McSheehy moved that proposed amendment be referred to Finance Committee.

There being no objection, it was *so ordered.*

Approved.

Whereupon, on motion of Supervisor McSheehy, Items 151 to 156, inclusive, were *approved* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy—2.

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTIONS.

The following items were taken up:

Personal Services—(Appropriation 9-A)

167	431 A	5 Commissioners at $1,000 each a year	$5,000
168	"	Registrar of Voters	5,400
169	"	2 Deputy Registrars at $3,600 each a year	7,200
170	"	5 Deputy Registrars at $2,700 each	13,500
171	"	7 Deputy Registrars at $2,460 each a year	17,220
172	"	Typo Operator Mechanic	2,460
173	"	Typo Operator Mechanic Assistant	2,280
174	"	Voting Machine Adjuster	1,920
175	"	Stenographer-Typewriter	2,280
			$57,260

Election Expenses.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 9-B)

176	431 AC	Storekeeper-Carpenter at $9 a day	$2,700
177	"	Outside Registration	15,000
178	431 AB	Temporary Clerks, office and petitions	50,000

179	"	Election Officers	65,000
180	431 AC	Laborers	1,000
		Total Personal Services	$133,700
Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 9-C)			
181	431 B	Contractual Services	$63,500
182	1092	Purchase additional voting machines and payment for machines heretofore delivered	150,000
183	"	Rental of Polling Places	18,000
184	"	Purchase of Truck	1,835
		Total Non-Personal Services	$233,335
		Total Elections	$424,295

Approved, on motion of Supervisor McSheehy, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy—2.

DISTRICT ATTORNEY.

The following items were taken up:

Personal Services—(Appropriation 10-A)			
185	412 A	District Attorney	$8,000
186	"	5 Assistants at $4,500 each	22,500
187	"	1 Assistant	3,600
188	"	4 Assistants at $3,000 each	12,000
189	"	3 Assistants at $2,400 each	7,200
190	"	Warrant and Bond Clerk	3,300
191	"	1 Asst. Warrant and Bond Clerk	2,400
192	"	6 Asst. Warrant and Bond Clerks at $2,280 each	13,680
193	"	Chief Clerk	3,000
194	"	Assistant Chief Clerk	2,100
195	"	Bookkeeper	1,800
196	"	1 Stenographer	2,400
197	"	1 Stenographer	2,100
198	"	Messenger	1,800
199	"	1 Juvenile Court Investigator	2,100
		Total Personal Services	$87,980
Personal and Non-Personal Services—Appropriation 10-B			
200	412 K	Detection and Prosecution of Criminals	$7,500
201	"	Stationery, Postage and Printing	1,350
		Total District Attorney	$96,830

Proposed Amendment.

Supervisor Byington, seconded by Supervisor Hayden, moved that Item No. 200, detection and prosecution of criminals $7,500, be amended to read $5,000.

Subsequently *withdrawn*.

Approved.

Whereupon, on motion of Supervisor McSheehy, Items 185 to 201, inclusive, were *approved* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy—2.

Relative to the Payment of One-half the Cost for the Improvement of Twentieth Street Between Sanchez and Noe Streets.

Mrs. Hyland at this point in the proceedings, on motion of Supervisor Marks, was granted the privilege of the floor in the matter of a request of the property owners in said block pending for a long time before the Streets Committee, that the City take over the obligation of paying for one-half of the cost of the improving of Twentieth street between Sanchez

and Noe streets for the reason that said improvement will be excessive and unwarranted by the value of the property.

Mrs. Hyland declared that the street suffered from the lack of water and sewer facilities and had no outlets to adjoining streets. The total cost of the work, according to the City Engineer, is $34,000, and the City is asked to bear one-half the cost.

On motion of *Supervisor Schmidt*, the matter was *referred to the Streets Committee.*

Amendments.

Supervisor Harrelson moved to strike out: (1) 55-A, Bernal Heights boulevard, $25,000; (2) 73, royalties for surface heaters, Board of Public Works, $12,000, and the following items be included and made a part of Item No. 81: Drumm street, from Jackson to Pacific, $5,000; Davis street, Jackson to Pacific, $4,000; Steuart street, Market to Mission, $9,000; Ellis street, Polk to Van Ness, $4,000; Stockton street, Lombard to Bay, $12,000.

PUBLIC DEFENDER.

The following items were taken up:

Personal Services—(Appropriation 10-C)

202	411	Public Defender	$5,000
203	"	2 Deputy Public Defenders at $3,900 each a year	7,800
204	"	1 Deputy Public Defender	3,600
205	"	1 Clerk	1,800
206	"	Stationery, Postage and Printing	90
		Total Public Defender	$18,290

Approved, on motion of Supervisor McSheehy, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy—2.

CITY ATTORNEY.

The following items were taken up:

Personal Services—(Appropriation 11-A)

207	410 A	City Attorney	$8,000
208	"	1 Chief Deputy	7,200
209	"	1 Deputy	7,200
210	"	1 Deputy	6,000
211	"	2 Assistants at $3,000 each	6,000
212	"	1 Assistant	2,700
213	"	2 Stenographers at $2,100 each	4,200
214	"	1 Telephone and Information Clerk	2,100
		Total Personal Services	$43,400

Personal and Non-Personal Services—
(Appropriation 11-B)

215	410 K	General and Rate Litigation	$9,370
216	"	Stationery, Postage and Printing	630
		Total City Attorney	$53,400

Approved, on motion of Supervisor McSheehy, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy—2.

CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION.

The following items were taken up:

Personal Services—(Appropriation 12-A)

217	417 A	3 Commissioners at $1,200 each	$3,600
218	"	Deputy Commissioner and Chief Examiner	4,200
219	"	1 Chief Inspector	3,000
220	"	1 Inspector	2,700
221	"	Assistant Inspector	2,400

222	"	1 Assistant Secretary	2,400	
223	"	1 Experienced Clerk	2,400	
224	"	1 General Clerk	2,100	
225	"	1 Clerk-Stenographer	2,220	
226	"	Special Examiners and Extra Clerks	3,500	
227	"	Salary Standardization	5,000	
		Total Personal Services	$33,520	
228	417 K	Non-Personal Services (Appropriation 12-B)	$1,250	
229	"	Stationery, Postage and Printing	1,800	
		Total Civil Service Commission		$36,570

Approved, on motion of Supervisor McSheehy, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy—2.

COUNTY CLERK.

The following items were taken up:

Personal Services—(Appropriation 13-A)

230	426 A	County Clerk	$8,000	
231	"	Chief Registry Clerk	3,600	
232	"	Cashier	3,000	
233	"	5 Registry Clerks at $2,880 each	14,400	
234	"	10 Assistant Registry Clerks at $2,400 each	24,000	
235	"	16 Superior Court Clerks at $2,400 each	38,400	
236	426	4 Police Court Clerks at $2,400 each	9,600	
237	"	38 Copyists at $2,400 each	91,200	
		Total Personal Services	$192,200	
238	426 K	Non-Personal Services (13-B)	150	
239	"	Stationery, Postage and Printing	11,025	
		Total County Clerk		$203,375

Proposed Amendments.

Supervisor Stanton moved to amend the following items by increasing salaries as follows, to-wit: Item 232, cashier, from $3,000 to $3,300 per annum; Item 233, 5 registry clerks, from $2,880 each to $3,000 each per annum; Item 234, 10 assistant registry clerks, from $2,400 each to $2,700 each per annum; Item 235, 16 Superior Court clerks, from $2,400 each to $2,700 each per annum; Item 236, 4 Police Court clerks, from $2,400 each to $2,700 each per annum.

Supervisor McSheehy moved that the foregoing proposed amendment be referred to the Finance Committee.

There being no objection, it was *so ordered.*

Approved.

Whereupon, on motion of *Supervisor McSheehy*, Items Nos. 230 to 239, inclusive, as recommended, were approved by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy—2.

SHERIFF.

The following items were taken up:

Personal Services—(Appropriation 14-A)

240	430 A	Sheriff	$8,000
241	"	Cashier	3,300
242	"	Deputy	2,700
243	"	Attorney	1,800
244	"	Secretary and Chief Bookkeeper	3,000
245	"	14 Deputies at $2,580 each	36,120
246	557 A	59 Jailers at $2,364 each	139,476
247	430 A	Stenographer	2,220
248	557 A	Chauffeur-Machinist	2,400

249	430 A	Office Superintendent	3,600	
250	527 A	Superintendent of Jails	3,000	
251	"	Assistant Superintendent	2,640	
252	"	Chief Jailer	2,700	
253	"	Commissary Storekeeper	2,580	
254	"	1 Bookkeeper	2,580	
255	"	1 Matron	2,400	
256	"	7 Female Jailers at $2,364 each	16,548	
257	"	2 Drivers at $2,400 each	4,800	
258	"	2 Cooks at $2,100 each	4,200	
259	"	1 Jailer	2,400	
260	"	1 Female Deputy	1,920	
261	"	16 Keepers at $1,920 each	30,720	
		Total Personal Services	$279,104	
		Other than Personal Services—(Appropriation 14-B)		
262	557 Var	Maintenance, Subsistence and Equipment	$68,000	
263	"	Stationery, postage and printing	2,520	
		Total Sheriff		$349,624

Proposed Amendments.

Supervisor Stanton moved to amend the following items by increasing salaries as follows, to-wit: Item 245, 14 deputies, from $2,580 each to $2,700 each per annum; Item 246, 59 jailers, from $2,364 each to $2,400 each per annum; Item 247, stenographer, from $2,220 to $2,400 per annum; Item 256, 7 female jailers, from $2,364 each to $2,400 each per annum; Item 258, 2 cooks, from $2,100 each to $2,340 each per annum.

Supervisor Todd moved to amend Item 261, 16 keepers, by increasing salaries from $1,920 each to $2,040 each per annum.

Supervisor McSheehy moved that the foregoing proposed amendments be referred to the Finance Committee.

There being no objection, it was *so ordered.*

Approved.

Whereupon, on motion of Supervisor McSheehy, Items No. 240 to 263, inclusive, were *approved* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy—2.

RECORDER.

The following items were taken up:

		Personal Services—(Appropriation 15-A)		
	454 AA	Personal Services—		
264	"	Recorder	$8,000	
265	"	Office Superintendent	3,600	
266	"	2 Deputies (Cashiers) at $2,580 each	5,160	
267	"	6 Deputies at $2,580 each	15,480	
268	"	7 Deputies at $2,400 each	16,800	
269	"	Chief Copyist	2.580	
270	"	1 Special Copyist	2,580	
271	"	Machinist	2,700	
272	"	Stenographer	2,100	
273	454 AB	22 Copyists at $2,400 each	52,800	
		Total Personal Services	$111,800	
		Non-Personal Services—		
274	454 AB	Stationery, postage and printing	$5,400	
		Total Recorder		$117,200

Proposed Amendments.

Supervisor Stanton, seconded by Supervisor Todd, moved to amend the following items by increasing the salaries as follows, to-wit: Item 266, 2 deputies (cashiers) from $2,580 each to $2,700 each per annum; Item 267, 6 deputies, from $2,580 each to $2,700 each per annum; Item

269, chief copyist, from $2,580 to $2,700 per annum; Item 270, 1 special copyist, from $2,580 to $2,700 per annum.

Supervisor McSheehy moved that the foregoing proposed amendment be referred to the Finance Committee.

There being no objection, it was *so ordered.*

Approved.

Whereupon, on motion of Supervisor McSheehy, the foregoing items, as recommended, were *approved* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy—2.

SUPERIOR COURTS.

The following items were taken up:

Personal Services—(Appropriation 16-A)

275	425 A	16 Judges	$80,000	
276	"	Secretary and Jury Commissioner	6,000	
277	"	Assistant Secretary	2,400	
278	"	Jury Examiner	2,400	
279	"	Information Deputy	1,800	
280	"	Messenger-Clerk	2,400	
281	"	8 Translators at $2,100 each	16,800	
282	"	Jury and Witness Fees	35,000	
283	428 A	Grand Jury Expenses	8,000	
284	425 A	Stenographers (Reporters' Fees)	30,000	
		Total Personal Services	$184,800	

Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 16-B)

285	425 K	Court Orders	$8,000	
286	"	Stationery, postage and printing	2,250	
		Total Superior Courts		$195,050

Proposed Amendments.

Supervisor Stanton, seconded by Supervisor Todd, moved to amend the following items by increasing salaries as follows, to-wit: Item 279, information deputy, from $1,800 to $2,100 per annum; Item 281, 8 translators, from $2,100 to $2,400 each per annum.

Supervisor McSheehy moved that the foregoing proposed amendment be refrred to the Finance Committee.

There being no objection, it was *so ordered.*

Approved.

Whereupon, on motion of Supervisor McSheehy, Items 275 to 286, inclusive, were *approved* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy—2.

JUSTICES' COURT.

The following items were taken up:

Personal Services—(Appropriation 17-A)

287	428 A	5 Justices of the Peace at $5,400 each	$27,000	
288	"	Justices' Clerk	4,200	
289	"	Chief Deputy	3,300	
290	"	Cashier	3,000	
291	"	3 Deputy Clerks, at $2,700 each	8,100	
292	"	Messenger	2,700	
293	"	1 Clerk	2,700	
294	"	1 Clerk	2,400	
295	"	2 Clerks at $1,920 each	3,840	
		Total Personal Services	$57,240	

Non-Personal Services—

296	428 A	Stationery, postage and printing	$2,700	
		Total Justices' Courts		$59,940

Proposed Amendments.

Supervisor Stanton, seconded by Supervisor Todd, moved that Item 295, 2 Clerks at $1,920 each, be amended to read $2,220 each per annum.

Supervisor McSheehy moved that the proposed amendment be referred to the Finance Committee.

There being no objection, it was *so ordered.*

Approved.

Whereupon, on motion of Supervisor McSheehy, Items Nos. 287 to 296, as recommended, were *approved* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy—2.

JUVENILE DETENTION HOME.

The following items were taken up:

Personal Services—(Appropriation 18-A)

297	558 A	Superintendent	$2,100
298	"	Matron	1,500
299	"	Assistant Superintendent	1,620
300	"	Night Superintendent	1,620
301	"	Clinic Nurse	1,200
302	"	7 Nurses at $960 each.....	6,720
303	"	Cook	1,200
304	"	1 Orderly	1,620
305	"	1 Orderly	1,200
		Total Personal Services.....	$18,780
		Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 18-B)	
306	558 Var	Maintenance and subsistence.....	$15,000
		Total Juvenile Detention Home..	$33,780

Approved, on motion of Supervisor McSheehy, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy—2.

JUVENILE COURT.

The following items were taken up:

Personal Services—(Appropriation 19-A)

307	"	Probation Officer.....	$4,200
308	"	Chief Assistant Probation Officer.....	3,300
309	"	1 Assistant Probation Officer, who shall act as Collector.....	2,880
310	"	3 Assistant Probation Officers at $2,700 each	8,100
311	"	1 Assistant Probation Officer, who shall act as Cashier-Bookkeeper.....	2,700
312	"	12 Assistant Probation Officers at $2,520 each	30,240
313	"	4 Assistant Probation Officers, who shall act as Stenographers, at $2,220 each.	8,880
314	"	1 Assistant Probation Officer, who shall act as Stenographer	1,980
315	"	1 Assistant Probation Officer, who shall act as File and Information Clerk...	2,100
		Total Personal Services.....	$64,380
316	"	Non-Personal Services (Appropriation 19-B)	3,785
317	"	Stationery, Postage and Printing.....	1,215
		Total Juvenile Court.....	$69,380

Proposed Amendments.

Supervisor Stanton moved to amend Item 314, 1 assistant probation officer, who shall act as stenographer, by increasing from $1,980 to $2,280

per annum; also Item No. 313, 4 assistant probation officers, who shall act as stenographers, by increasing from $2,220 to $2,400 each per annum.

Supervisor McSheehy moved that foregoing proposed amendment be referred to the Finance Committee.

There being no objection, it was *so ordered.*

Approved.

Whereupon, on motion of Supervisor McSheehy, Items 307 to 317, as recommended, were *approved* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy—2.

ADULT PROBATION DEPARTMENT.

The following items were taken up:

Personal Services—(Appropriation 20-A)

318	5590 A	Chief Probation Officer	$4,200	
319	"	Assistant Chief Probation Officer	3,300	
320	"	7 Assistant Probation Officers at $2,520 each	17,640	
321	"	1 Assistant Cashier and Clerk	2,280	
		Total Personal Services	$27,420	
322	5590 K	Non-Personal Services (Appropriation 20-B)	250	
323	"	Stationery, Postage and Printing	450	
		Total Adult Probation Department		$28,120

Approved, on motion of Supervisor McSheehy, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy—2.

WIDOWS' PENSION BUREAU.

The following items were taken up:

Personal Services—(Appropriation 21-A)

324	553 A	Director	$3,000	
325	"	Assistant Director	2,400	
326	"	3 Social Service Visitors at $2,100 each	6,300	
327	"	1 Office Assistant	1,800	
		Total Personal Services	$13,500	
328	553 K	Non-Personal Services (Appropriation 21-B)	640	
329	"	Stationery, Postage and Printing	360	
		Total Widows' Pension Bureau		$14,500

Approved, on motion of Supervisor McSheehy, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy—2.

LAW LIBRARY.

The following items were taken up:

Personal Services—(Appropriation 22-A)

330	427 A	Librarian	$4,800	
331	"	Assistant Librarian	3,000	
332	"	Bookbinder	2,100	
		Total Personal Services	$9,900	
		Non-Personal Services—		
333	427 A	Stationery, postage and printing	$270	
		Total Law Library		$10,170

Approved, on motion of Supervisor McSheehy, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.
Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy—2.

POLICE COURTS.

The following items were taken up:

Personal Services—(Appropriation 23-A)

334	423 A	4 Police Judges at $3,600 each.....	$14,400
335	"	4 Stenographers at $3,900 each.....	15,600
		Total Personal Services.....	$30,000
Non-Personal Services—			
336	423 A	Stationery, postage and printing....	$90
		Total Police Court.....	$30,090

Proposed Amendment.

Supervisor Todd moved to amend Item No. 335, 4 stenographers at $3,900 each by increasing $600 per year.
Supervisor McSheehy moved that the foregoing proposed amendment be referred to the Finance Committee.
There being no objection, it was *ordered*.

Approved.

Whereupon, on motion of Supervisor McSheehy, Items Nos. 334 to 336 inclusive, as recommended, were *approved* by the following vote:
Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.
Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy—2.

CORONER.

The following items were taken up:

Personal Services—(Appropriation 24-A)

337	429-A	Coroner	$8,000
338	"	Chief Deputy	3,300
339	"	Autopsy Surgeon	3,000
340	"	3 Deputies at $2,580 each.....	7,740
341	"	3 Assistant Deputies (female) at $1,980 each	5,940
342	"	3 Assistant Deputy Drivers at $2,400 each	7,200
343	"	1 Stenographer	2,400
344	"	1 Assistant Stenographer	2,100
345	"	Toxicologist	1,800
346	"	Relief	800
		Total Personal Services.....	$42,280
347	429 K	Non-Personal Services (Appropriation 24-B)	$3,000
348	"	Stationery, postage and printing....	900
		Total Coroner	$46,180

Approved, on motion of Supervisor McSheehy, by the following vote:
Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.
Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy—2.

SEALER OF WEIGHTS AND MEASURES.

The following items were taken up:

Personal Services—(Appropriation 25-A)

349	460 A	Sealer	$4,800
350	"	Chief Deputy	3,300
351	"	6 Deputy Sealers at $2,700 each.....	16,200
		Total Personal Services.....	$24,300..

352	460 K	Non-Personal Services.....	1,500	
353	"	Stationery, Postage and Printing.....	270	
		Total Sealer of Weights and Measures		$26,070

Approved, on motion of Supervisor McSheehy, by the following vote:
Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.
Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy—2.

HORTICUTURAL COMMISSION.

The following items were taken up:

Personal Services—(Appropriation 25½-A)

354	464 A	1 Horticultural Commissioner.....	$3,000	
355	"	2 Horticultural Inspectors at $8 a day	4,800	
356	"	1 Stenographer-Clerk	1,800	
		Total Personal Services.....	$9,600	

Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 25½-B)

357	464 A	Non-Personal Services: Expense, auto rental at $40 a month.....	$1,560	
358	"	Stationery, Postage and Printing.....	135	
		Total Horticultural Commission..		$11,295

Approved, on motion of Supervisor McSheehy, by the following vote:
Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.
Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy—2.

MISCELLANEOUS ACCOUNTS UNDER CONTROL OF BOARD OF SUPERVISORS.

The following items were taken up:

22	403 B	Finance Committee Expenses.....	$5,000
23	401 K	Supervisors' Incidental Expenses....	9,000
24	402 B	Advertising Resolutions and Ordinances	55,000
25	402 K	Urgent Necessity	100,000
26	402 B	Printing Public Documents	4,000
27	"	Printing Law and Motion Calendar..	8,000
28	614 K	Celebration Fourth of July	2,500
29	"	Memorial Day Observance	500
30	613 B	Maintenance Municipal Band	12,000
31	425 A	Examination of Insane	9,000
32	425 B	Maintenance of Insane Criminals.....	7,500
33	529 B	Lighting Streets	680,000
34	402 H	Premiums on Official Bonds.....	5,000
35	527 E	Street Work in Front of City Property	50,000
36	1093 E	County Road Fund	1,000
37	5273	Repair and Painting of Bridges.....	5,000
38	1093	Extension and Reconstruction of Sewers	200,000
39	629 H	Police Relief Pension Fund Deficit..	140,000
40	"	Relief of Exempt Firemen.....	5,000
41	678 K	Auditorium	10,000
42	467 H	Public Pound	15,000
43	553 B	Feeble-Minded Home	100,000
44	553 H	Maintenance of Minors	700,000
45	"	Widows' Pensions	220,000
46	"	St. Catherine's Training School	8,000
47	"	State Schools	20,000
48	419 K	City Planning Commission, Including Auto at $40 a month and Including Stationery, Postage and Printing, $90	6,970
49	1090	Purchase of Lands from Market Street Railroad	8,940
50	"	Purchase of Lands from Spring Valley Water Company, Contract.....	35,200

51	1091	Aquatic Park Improvement	10,000
52	"	Yacht Harbor Improvement	25,000
53	"	Civic Center Beautification	15,000
53a	"	Lighting City Hall	16,000
54	1090	Van Ness Avenue Extension—Final Purchase	117,000
55	"	Municipal Air Port	50,000
55a	"	Bernal Heights boulevard.....	25,000
55b	"	Balboa Park Tennis and Handball Courts	5,000
55c	"	Golden Gate Park Handball Court....	5,000
56	401	For the purpose of continuing and completing the appraising of all taxable property subject to assessment within the City and County of San Francisco to the end that said assessment of said property for the fiscal year 1928-29 and for subsequent years may be equalized and the same may conform to the true value of said property and that the Board of Supervisors sitting as a Board ef Equalization may be fully advised as to the true value of said property.....	125,000
57		For Personal Service, Opening of Preventorium in San Mateo County, and Completion of Buildings and Grounds	75,000
58		For the Establishing of Maternity Service	25,000
59		Repairs to Public Buildings, other than School Buildings	40,000
60		Relief Home Equipment	10,000
61		Improvement of Larsen Park.....	20,000
62		Initial Purchase, Mt. Davidson.....	15,000
63		Chapel at San Francisco Hospital.....	30,000
64		Bridle Path, Presidio Parkway.....	10,000
65		Improvement of Public Lands in Noe Valley	10,000
66		Convenience Stations	30,000
67		Improvements, de Young Museum....	25,000
68		Police Department Communication System	10,000
69	1092	Traffic signals, installation of, and purchase of devices for traffic control..	25,000
70	1090	Purchase of lands for public purposes in Mission District	150,000
71	1093	Street signs	10,000
71a	"	Purchase of Elgin sweeper for street cleaning	7,500
72	4142 AC	Single team for stores and yards, Board of Public Works, at $10.75 per day	3,000
73	4142 B	Royalties for surface heaters, Board of Public Works	12,000
74	502 AC	25 Double teams, street cleaning, Board of Public Works, at $11.50 per day	84,500
75	501 AC	3 Double teams, sewer repairs, Board of Public Works, at $11.50 per day..	10,350.
76	501 H	Rental, 7 1½-ton trucks, Board of Public Works, at $12 per day.....	25,200
77	"	Rental, 1 3-ton truck, Board of Public Works, at $20 per day	6,000
78	"	Rental, 16 autos, Board of Public Works, at $40 per month.....	7,680
79		Payment for Rental of 333 Kearny Street Lease	14,233
80		Stationery, Postage and Printing....	1.800
		Total	$3,472,873

Motion.

Supervisor Havenner moved to strike out Item 55-A, Bernal Heights boulevard, $25,000, and Item 73, royalties for surface heaters, Board of Public Works, $12,000.

No objection, *so ordered.*

Approved.

Whereupon, Item Nos. 22 to 80, inclusive, were *approved* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy—2.

DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS.

The following items were taken up:

Personal Services—(Appropriation 26-A)

Commissioners and General Office.

359	414 A	3 Commissioners	$12,000
360	"	Chief Deputy Commissioner	4,200
361	"	Experienced Clerk	3,600
362	"	Experienced Clerk	3,600
363	"	Experienced Clerk	2,400
364	"	Ordinary Clerk	2,400
365	"	Stenographer	2,400
366	"	Stenographer	2,400
367	"	Chauffeur	2,400
368	"	Messenger	2,280
369	"	General Clerk	2,100
		Total Personal Services	$39,780

Proposed Amendments.

Supervisor Stanton moved to amend the following items by increasing salaries as follows, to-wit: Item 363, experienced clerk, from $2,400 to $2,700; Item 364, ordinary clerk, from $2,400 to $2,700; Item 367, chauffeur, from $2,400 to $2,700; Item 369, general clerk, from $2,100 to $2,400.

Supervisor McSheehy moved the reference of the foregoing proposed amendments to the Finance Committee. *So ordered.*

Approved.

Whereupon, the foregoing items as recommended by the Finance Committee were, on motion of Supervisor McSheehy, *approved* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy—2.

BUREAU OF ACCOUNTING.

The following items were taken up:

Personal Services—(Appropriation 27-A)

370	414 A	Experienced Clerk in Charge	$4,300
371	"	Ordinary Clerk, Head Timekeeper	2,700
372	"	Experienced Clerk, Acting Bookkeeper	3,000
373	"	12 Experienced Clerks at $2,400 a year	28,800
374	417 A	2 Experienced Clerks at $1,800 each a year	3,600
375	"	1 Experienced Clerk, Cost Accountant	3,300
376	"	1 Experienced Clerk, Cashier	3,300
377	"	1 Experienced Clerk	2,400
378	"	Clerk	2,700
379	"	2 Stenographers at $2,100 each a year.	4,200
380	"	5 Stenographers at $1,980 each a year.	9,900
381	"	Inspector of House Numbers	2,700
		Total Personal Services	$70,900

Proposed Amendment.

Supervisor Stanton moved to amend the following items by increasing the salaries as follows, to-wit: Item 374, 2 experienced clerks, at $1,800 each a year to $2,100 each a year; Item 375, experienced clerk, cost accountant, from $3,300 to $3,600 a year; Item 377, experienced clerk, from $2,400 to $2,700 a year; Item 380, 5 stenographers, from $1,980 each a year to $2,100 each a year.

Supervisor McSheehy moved that the foregoing proposed amendments be referred to the Finance Committee. *So ordered.*

Approved.

Whereupon, the foregoing items as recommended by the Finance Committee were, on motion of Supervisor McSheehy, *approved* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy—2.

BUREAU OF ARCHITECTURE.

The following items were taken up:

Personal Services—(Appropriation 28-A)

382	416 A	Superintendent of Construction.....	$4,200
383	"	Architectural Draftsman	3,000
484	"	Architectural Draftsman	2,700
385	"	Apprentice Draftsman	1,200
386	"	Stenographer	2,100
		Total Personal Services	$13,200

Proposed Amendment.

Supervisor Stanton moved to amend the following item by increasing the salary as follows, to-wit: Item 386, stenographer, from $2,100 a year to $2,400 a year.

Supervisor McSheehy moved that the foregoing proposed amendment be referred to the Finance Committee. *So ordered.*

Approved.

Whereupon, the foregoing items as recommended by the Finance Committee were, on motion of Supervisor McSheehy, *approved* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy—2.

BUREAU OF BUILDING REPAIR.

Expenditures of appropriations for repairs to buildings in this subdivision are not to be made until estimates are filed with the Board of Supervisors and authorizations and appropriations made therefor.

The following items were taken up:

Personal Services—(Appropriation 29-A)

387	432 AA	Superintendent	$4,200
388	"	Assistant Superintendent	3,600
389	"	Superintendent of Painters.....	3,360
390	"	Foreman Plumber	3,000
391	"	Foreman Cement Finisher	3,000
392	"	Foreman Carpenter	3,000
393	"	Foreman Tinner	3,000
394	"	Foreman Wireman	3,000
395	"	Head Janitor	3,120
396	"	2 Assistant Head Janitors, at $2,160 a year	4,320
397	"	Night Foreman Janitor.....	2,160
398	"	57 Janitors, at $1,860 a year.....	106,020
399	432 AA	4 Window Cleaners, at $2,040 each a year	8,160
400	"	Foreman Window Cleaner.....	2,220
401	432 AB	Janitors' Relief and Vacation.....	3,765
402	432 AA	Elevator Starter—City Hall.....	2,160

403	"	17 Elevator Operators, at $1,860 a year	31,620
404	"	2 Watchmen, at $1,860 each a year....	3,720
405	432 AB	Relief and Vacation, all Departments..	1,840
406	"	2 Chief Engineers, at $3,420 each a year	6,840
407	"	5 Assistant Chief Engineers, at $2,520 a year	12,600
408	"	Engineer—Part Time Relief and Vacation	2,520
409	"	5 Fireman, at $2,20 each a year.....	11,000
410	"	Firemen Relief and Vacation.....	435
		Total Personal Services.....	$228,760
		Employment for more or less constant service in the repair of public buildings other than school buildings:	
411	432 AC	5 Carpenters, each at $9 per day.....	$13,500
412	432 AA	Foreman Carpenter, $10 per day.....	3,000
413	"	Carpenters' Helper, $7 per day.....	2,100
414	"	Carpenters' Apprentice, $5.50 per day..	1,650
415	"	5 Plumbers, at $10 per day.....	15,000
416	"	2 Steam Fitters, at $10 per day.....	6,000
417	"	6 Painters, at $9 per day.....	16,200
418	"	Foreman Painter, at $10 per day.....	3,000
419	"	Painters, Shop men, $7 per day.....	2,100
420	"	Painters' Apprentice, at $6 per day....	1,800
421	"	2 Tinners, $9 per day.....	5,400
422	"	Tinners' Helper, at $6.50 per day.....	1,950
423	"	Tinners' Apprentice, $4 per day.....	1,200
424	"	Cement Finisher, at $9 per day.....	2,700
425	"	Cement Finisher Helper, $8 per day...	2,400
426	"	Glazier, at $9 per day.....	2,700
427	"	Steam Fitter, at $10 per day.....	3,000
428	"	Locksmith, at $7 per day.....	2,100
429	"	Wireman, at $9 per day.....	2,700
		Total Personal Services.....	$88,500
		Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 29-B and C)	
430	432 B	Contractual Services	$3,600
431	432 BA	Transportation Autos	2,500
432	432 4A	Transportation Carfare	600
433	432 C	Materials and Supplies.....	19,000
434	432 BA	Transportation Carfare	180
435	432 BC	Towel Service	2,575
436	432 BB	Scavenger Service	900
		Materials and Supplies.	
437	432 CB	Fuel Oil	$15,000
438	432 CS	Janitorial Supplies	5,000
439	432 CD	Engine Room Supplies and Equipment	5,000
		Total Non-Personal Services.....	$54,355
440	432 BH	Lighting Public Buildings Exclusive of School Buildings (Appropriation 29-D)	30,000
441	432 BL	Water for Public Buildings Exclusive of School Buildings (Appropriation 29-E)	18,000

Proposed Amendments.

Supervisor Stanton moved to amend by increasing salaries as follows, to-wit: Item 396, 2 assistant head janitors, from $2,160 each a year to $2,280 each a year; Item 397, night foreman janitor, from $2,160 a year to $2,280 a year; Item 398, 57 janitors, from $1,860 each a year to $1,980 each a year; Item 399, 4 window cleaners, from $2,040 each a year to $2,160 each a year; Item 400, foreman window cleaner, from $2,220 a year to $2,340 a year.

Supervisor McSheehy moved that the foregoing proposed amendments be referred to the Finance Committee. *So ordered.*

Approved.

Whereupon, the foregoing items, Nos. 387 to 441, inclusive, on motion of Supervisor McSheehy, were *approved* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors—Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy—2.

BUREAU OF STORES AND YARDS.

The following items were taken up:

Personal Services—(Appropriation 30-A)

442	4142 AC	Experienced Clerk	$2,700
443	"	Watchman	2,640
444	"	Watchman	2,340
445	"	Watchman	1,980
446	"	2 Watchmen, at $1,860 each a year	3,720
447	"	2 Watchmen, at $1,920 each a year	3,840
448	"	Foreman Machinist	3,000
449	"	Machinist, at $9 a day	2,700
450	"	2 Blacksmiths, at $9 a day	5,400
451	"	3 Blacksmiths' Helpers, at $7.08 a day	6,372
452	"	Vulcanizer, at $7 a day	2,100
453	"	Carriage Painter, at $8.50 a day	2,550
453a	"	Painter's Apprentice, at $5.50 a day	1,650
454	"	2 Laborers, 365 days, at $6 a day	4,380
455	"	2 Laborers, at $6 a day	3,600
		Total Personal Services	$48,972

Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 30-B)

456	4142 C	Material and Supplies, Equipment	$1,000
		Total Non-Personal Services	$1,000

Proposed Amendments.

Supervisor Stanton moved to amend by increasing salaries as follows, to-wit: Item 442, experienced clerk, from $2,700 a year to $3,000 a year; Item 448, foreman machinist, from $3,000 a year to $3,600 a year.

Supervisor McSheehy moved that the foregoing proposed amendments be referred to the Finance Committee. *So ordered.*

Approved.

Whereupon, the foregoing items, 442 to 456, inclusive, were, on motion of Supervisor McSheehy, *approved* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors—Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy—2.

BUREAU OF BUILDING INSPECTION.

The following items were taken up:

Personal Services—(Appropriation 31-A)

457	455 A	Chief Inspector	$5,400
458	"	1 Inspector	3,300
459	"	1 Inspector	3,000
460	"	Boiler Inspector	3,000
461	"	2 Experienced Clerks, at $2,700 each	5,400
462	"	1 Inspector	2,550
463	"	10 Inspectors, at $2,700 a year	27,000
464	"	Messenger	1,560
465	"	2 Engineers, at $3,600 each	7,200
		Total Personal Services	$58,410

Approved.

The foregoing items, numbered 457 to 465, inclusive, as recommended by the Finance Committee, were, on motion of Supervisor McSheehy, *approved* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors—Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Gallagher, Harrel-

son, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy—2.

BUREAU OF ENGINEERING.

The following items were taken up:

Personal Services—(Appropriation 32-A)

466	415 A	City Engineer	$5,000
467	"	Asst. City Engineer	5,400
468	"	4 Assistants, Civil Engineer, at $3,300 a year	13,200
469	"	Surveyor	3,600
470	"	Surveyor	3,000
471	"	4 Surveyors, at $3,000 a year	12,000
472	"	Surveyors' Field Assistant	3,000
473	"	2 Surveyors' Field Assistants, at $3,000 a year	6,000
474	"	4 Surveyors' Field Assistants, at $2,700 a year	10,800
475	"	1 Surveyor's Field Assistant	2,700
476	"	20 Surveyors' Field Assistants, at $2,700 a year	54,000
477	"	1 Engineering Draftsman	3,600
478	"	1 Engineering Draftsman	3,300
479	"	1 Engineering Draftsman	3,000
480	"	6 Engineering Draftsmen, at $2,700 a year	16,200
481	"	3 Engineering Draftsmen, at $2,700 a year	8,100
482	"	1 Engineering Draftsman	2,100
483	"	Cartographer	2,700
484	"	2 Inspectors of Streets and Sewers, at $2,700 a year	5,400
485	"	1 Inspector of Streets and Sewers	2,400
486	"	1 Inspector of Streets and Sewers	2,400
487	"	1 Inspector of Streets and Sewers	2,220
488	"	Engineering Chemist	3,600
489	"	Photographer	2,700
490	"	Photostat Operator	2,400
491	"	Blue Printer	2,100
492	"	3 Stenographers, at $1,980 a year	5,940
493	"	Chauffeur	2,400
494	415 AB	Watchman, part time	870
		Total Personal Services	$190,130
495	415 B	Non-Personal Services	$8,000
496	"	Block Books	4,000
		Total Engineers' Department	$202,130

Proposed Amendments.

Supervisor Stanton moved to amend the following items by increasing salaries as follows, to-wit: Item 468, 4 assistants, civil engineer, from $3,300 a year each to $3,600 a year each; Item 470, surveyor, from $3,000 a year to $3,300 a year; Item 471, 4 surveyors, from $3,000 a year to $3,300 a year each; Item 479, 1 engineering draftsman, from $2,700 a year each to $3,300 a year each; Item 480, 6 engineering draftsman, from $2,700 a year each to $3,000 a year each; Item 483, cartographer, from $2,700 a year to $3,000 a year; Item 485, 1 inspector of streets and sewers, from $2,400 a year to $2,700 a year; Item 486, 1 inspector of streets and sewers, from $2,400 a year to $2,700 a year; Item 487, 1 inspector of streets and sewers, from $2,220 to $2,700 a year; Item 490, 1 photostat operator, from $2,400 a year to $2,700 a year; Item 491, 1 blue printer, from $2,400 a year to $2,700 a year; Item 492, 3 stenographers, from $1,980 a year each to $2,100 a year each.

Supervisor McSheehy moved that the foregoing proposed amendments be referred to the Finance Committee. *So ordered.*

Approved.

Whereupon, the foregoing items, numbered 466 to 496, inclusive, as recommended by the Finance Committee were, on motion of Supervisor McSheehy, *approved* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy—2.

STREET REPAIR DEPARTMENT.

The following items were taken up:

Personal Services—(Appropriation 33-A)

497	527 AA	Superintendent	$4,500
498	"	Assistant Superintendent	3,900
499	"	2 Assistant Superintendents, at $2,700 each	5,400
500	"	Experienced Clerk	3,000
501	"	Stenographer, 4 months	600
		Municipal Asphalt Plant—300 *Days.*	
502	527 A	Engineer	$2,400
503	"	2 Fireman, at $1,980 a year	3,960
504	"	Foreman, at $9.50 a day	2,850
505	"	Machinist	2,700
506	527 AC	Asphalt Dryermen, at $8 a day	2,400
507	"	2 Asphalt Mixermen, at $8 a day	4,800
508	"	Asphalt Mixerman, at $8.50 a day	2,550
509	"	2 Asphalt Finishers, at $7.50 a day	4,500
510	"	3 Asphalt Workers, at $7 a day	6,300
511	527 AA	Telephone Operator	1,620
		Asphalt Maintenance and Repair—Surface Heaters Four Machines, 280 *Days.*	
512	527 AC	2 Foremen, 300 days, at $8.50 a day	$5,100
513	"	16 Finishers, at $7.50 a day	33,600
514	"	24 Asphalt Workers, at $7 a day	47,040
515	"	7 Engineers, at $9 a day	17,640
516	"	10 Chauffeurs, at $7 a day	19,600
		Sidewalks—150 *Days.*	
517	527 AC	1 Cement Finisher, at $9 a day	$1,350
518	"	2 Cement Finisher Helpers, at $8 a day	2,400
		Concrete Repairs, Miscellaneous—280 *Days.*	
519	527 AC	15 Laborers, at $6 a day	$25,200
		Curb Setting and Dressing—280 *Days.*	
520	527 AC	2 Granite Cutters, at $9 a day	$5,040
		Basalt Block Maintenance—270 *Days.*	
251	527 AC	Foreman, 280 days, at $9 a day	$2,520
522	"	6 Pavers, at $8 a day	12,960
523	"	3 Rammers, at $7 a day	5,670
524	"	10 Laborers, at $6 per day	16,200
		Total Personal Services	$245,800

Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 33-C)

525	527	Asphalt Plant—Transportation, carfare, rental of 17 trucks at $12 a day, 280 days; switching and demurrage garage expense, printing and bookbinding, power, water, repairs to equipment, tool sharpening, setting back hydrants, miscellaneous	$65,350
526	"	Material and Supplies — Asphalt, cement, limestone dust, paving brick, rock, sand and gravel, fuel oil, wood and coal, kerosene, gasoline, lubricants, tools, tires and tubes, redressed curb	92,400
			$157,750

Proposed Amendments.

Supervisor Stanton moved to amend the following items by increasing salaries as follows, to-wit: Item 500, experienced clerk, from $3,000 to $3,300 a year; Item 503, 2 firemen, from $1,880 a year each to $2,220 a year each; Item 504, foreman, from $9.50 a day, $2,850, to $3,000 a year; Item 506, asphalt dryermen, from $8 a day, $2,400 a year, to $2,550 a year; Item 507, 2 asphalt mixermen, at $8 a day, $4,800 a year, to $5,100 a year; Item 508, asphalt mixermen, at $8.50 a day, $2,550 a year, to $2,700 a year; Item 509, 2 asphalt finishers, at $7.50 a day from $4,500 a year to $4,800 a year; Item 510, 3 asphalt workers, at $7 a day, $6,300 a year, to $6,750 a year; Item 512, 2 foremen, 300 days, at $8.50 a day, from $5,100 to $5,154 a year; Item 513, 16 finishers, at $7.50 a day, $33,600 a year, to $35,840 a year; Item 514, 24 asphalt workers, at $7 a day, $47,040 a year, to $54,400 a year.

Supervisor McSheehy moved that the proposed foregoing amendments be referred to the Finance Committee. *So ordered.*

Approved.

Whereupon, on motion of Supervisor McSheehy, Items Nos. 497 to 526, inclusive, as recommended by the Finance Committee, were *approved* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors—Bath, Deasy—2.

MAINTENANCE AND OPERATION OF BRIDGES.

The following items were taken up:

Personal Services—(Appropriation 34-A)

527	527 A	9 Engineers, at $2,520 each a year....	$22,680
528	"	2 Engineers, Relief and Vacation, at $2,520 a year	5,040
529	"	10 Watchmen, Bridge Tenders, at $1,860 each a year.....	18,600
530	"	2 Watchmen, Relief and Vacation, at $1,860 a year	3,720
531	"	1 Carpenter, at $9 per day.....	2,700
532	"	1 Carpenter Helper at $6.50 per day..	1,950
		Total Personal Services.....	$54,690

Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 34-B)

533	527 B	Contractual	$2,500
534	527 C	Material and Supplies	1,000
		Total Non-Personal Services.....	$3,500

Proposed Amendment.

Supervisor Stanton moved to amend by adding a new item, No. 532A, machinist at $9 per day.

Supervisor McSheehy moved that the proposed amendment be referred to the Finance Committee. *So ordered.*

Approved.

Whereupon, the foregoing items, Nos. 527 to 534, inclusive, as recommended by the Finance Committee, were *approved* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy—2.

STREET CLEANING DEPARTMENT.

The following items were taken up:

Personal Services—(Appropriation 35-A-1)

535	502 AA	Superintendent	$4,200
536	"	2 Asst. Superintendents, at $2,700 a year	5,400
537	"	1 Experienced Clerk	3,000
538	"	6 Watchmen at $1,860 each a year....	11,160
539	502 AC	210 Sweepers at $6 per day.....	378,000

540	"	19 Chauffeurs at $7 per day.....	39,900
541	"	14 Gang Foremen at $6.50 per day....	27,300
542	"	1 Night Gang Foreman	2,100
			$471,000

Non-Personal Services—

543	502 BA	Transportation	$1,200
544	502 BL	Water	6,500
545	502 BN	Repairs and upkeep of equipment....	5,000
546	502 C	Material and Supplies	20,000
547	1092	Street Cans	600
			$33,300

Proposed Amendment.

Supervisor Todd, seconded by Supervisor Stanton, moved to amend the following item by increasing salary as follows, to-wit: Item 540, 19 chauffeurs, from $7 per day to $8 per day.

Supervisor McSheehy moved reference of the proposed amendment to the Finance Committee. *So ordered.*

Approved.

Whereupon, on motion of Supervisor McSheehy, the foregoing items, Nos. 535 to 547, inclusive, as recommended by the Finance Committee, were *approved* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy—2.

BUREAU OF SEWER REPAIR.

The following items were taken up:

Personal Services—(Appropriation 36-A)

548	501 AA	Superintendent	$4,200
549	"	Asst. Superintendent	3,000
550	"	Asst. Superintendent	2,700
551	501 AC	Asst. Superintendent in charge Sewer Cleaning	2,550
552	"	5 Bricklayers at $11 a day.....	16,500
553	"	9 Hod Carriers at $9 a day.....	24,300
554	501	3 Chauffeurs at $7 per day.....	6,300
555	"	25 Sewermen at $6.50 per day.....	48,750
556	"	21 Laborers at $6 per day.....	37,800
557	"	18 Sewer Cleaners at $8 per day.....	43,200
			$189,300

Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 36-B)

558	B	Repairs to equipment	$1,000
559	C	Materials and Supplies	20,000
		Total Non-Personal Services.....	$21,000

Proposed Amendments.

Supervisor Stanton moved to amend the following items by increasing salaries as follows, to-wit: Item 555, 25 sewermen, at $6.50 per day to $7 per day; Item 557, 18 sewer cleaners, at $8 per day to $9 per day.

Supervisor McSheehy moved that the proposed foregoing amendments be referred to the Finance Committee. *So ordered.*

Approved.

Whereupon, the foregoing items, Nos. 548 to 559, inclusive, as recommended by the Finance Committee, were, on motion of Supervisor McSheehy, *approved* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy—2.

SEWAGE PUMPING STATION.

The following items were taken up:

Personal Services—(Appropriation 37-A)

560	501 AA	Watchmen in Charge	$2,160
561	501 AB	Relief and Vacation	90
		Total Personal Services	2,250

Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 37-B)

562	501 BH	Power	$500
563	501 C	Material and Supplies	700
		Total Non-Personal Services	$1,200

Miscellaneous.
(Appropriation 38-A)

564	501 BA	Carfare	$1,200
565	501 BN	Maintenance and Repairs to Equipment	3,000
566	501 K	Material, Supplies, Equipment	2,000
567	"	Stationery, Postage and Printing—all Departments	10,800
			$17,000
		Total Board of Works	$2,050.857

Approved.

The foregoing items, as recommended by the Finance Committee, were, on motion of Supervisor McSheehy, *approved* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy—2.

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICITY.

The following items were taken up:

Personal Services—(Appropriation 40-A)

568	465 A	Office Superintendent	$5,000
569	"	Secretary	3,000
570	"	1 Clerk	2,700
571	"	1 Stenographer-Typewriter	2,100
572	"	1 Helper-Messenger	1,800
573	"	Chief Inspector	3,300
574	"	18 Inspectors at $3,000 each	54,000
575	"	Chief Operator	3,000
576	"	8 Fire Alarm Operators at $2,700 each	21,600
577	"	4 Telephone Operators at $1,800 each	7,200
578	"	Relief Telephone Operator	750
579	"	Superintendent of Plant	3,300
580	465 AC	Cable Splicer at $9 per diem	2,700
581	"	Batteryman	2,700
582	"	Foreman Instrument Maker	3,000
583	"	5 Instrument Makers at $2,700 each	13,500
584	"	Foreman Laborer	2,100
585	"	3 Laborers at $6 per diem	5,400
586	"	2 Machinists at $2,700 each	5,400
587	"	3 Foremen Linemen at $2,700 each	8,100
588	"	14 Linemen at $2,400 each	33,600
589	"	Inside Wireman at $9 per diem	2,700
590	"	Commissary	2,100
591	"	Repairer	2,460
592	"	2 Clerks at $2,280 each	4,560
		Total Personal Services	$196,070

Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 40-B)

593	465 Var	Material, Supplies and Equipment	$12,750
594	"	Stationery, Postage and Printing	2,250

Proposed Amendments.

Supervisor Stanton moved to amend the following items by increasing salaries as follows, to-wit: Item 570, 1 clerk, from $2,700 per year to $3,000 per year; Item 571, 1 stenographer-typewriter, from $2,100 per year to $2,400 per year; Item 576, 8 fire alarm operators, from $2,700 each per year to $2,880 each per year; Item 580, cable splicer, at $9 per day, $2,700 per year, to $2,880 per year; Item 581, batteryman, from $2,700 per year to $2,880 per year.

Supervisor McSheehy moved that the foregoing proposed amendments be referred to the Finance Committee. *So ordered.*

Approved.

Whereupon, on motion of Supervisor McSheehy, the foregoing items, Nos. 568 to 595, inclusive, as recommended by the Finance Committee, were *approved* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy—2.

FIRE DEPARTMENT.

The following items were taken up:

Personal Services—(Appropriation 41-A)

596	452 AA	4 Commissioners at $1,200 each a year	$4,800
597	"	Office Superintendent and Secretary..	4,500
598	"	Physician	2,820
599	"	Stenographer	2,580
600	"	Chief Engineer	7,200
601	"	2 First Assistant Chiefs at $4,800 each	9,600
602	"	2 Second Assistant Chiefs at $4,800 each	9,600
603	"	21 Battalion Chiefs at $4,200 a year...	88,200
604	"	22 Operators at $2,520 a year.....	55,440
605	"	Superintendent of Assignment	1,440
			$186,180

Engine Companies.

606	452 AA	46 Captains at $2,820 each a year....	$129,720
607	"	82 Lieutenants at $2,670 each a year..	218,940
608	"	27 Engineers at $2,640 each a year...	71,280
609	"	86 Drivers at $2,400 each a year.....	206,400
610	"	2 Drivers at $2,160 each a year.....	4,320
611	"	346 Hosemen at $2,400 each a year..	830,400
612	"	15 Hosemen at $2,280 each a year....	34,200
613	"	46 Hosemen at $2,160 each a year....	99,360
614		Automatic increase in salaries.....	4,295
			$1,598,915

Chemical Companies.

615	452 AA	13 Captains at $2,820 each a year....	$36,660
616	"	13 Lieutenants at $2,670 each a year..	34,710
617	"	26 Drivers at $2,400 each a year.....	62,400
618	"	28 Hosemen at $2,400 each a year....	67,200
619	"	4 Hosemen at $2,280 each a year.....	9,120
620	"	7 Hosemen at $2,160 each a year.....	15,120
621		Automatic increase in salaries.....	930
			$226,140

Truck Companies.

622	452 AA	15 Captains at $2,820 each a year....	$42,300
623	"	18 Lieutenants at $2,670 each a year..	48,060
624	"	28 Drivers at $2,400 each a year.....	67,200
625	"	28 Tillermen at $2,400 each a year....	67,200
626	"	136 Truckmen at $2,400 each a year...	326,400
627	"	11 Truckmen at $2,280 each a year....	25,080
628	"	3 Truckmen at $2,160 each a year....	6,480
629		Automatic increase in salaries.....	1,190
			$583,910

		Water Tower Companies.	
630	452 AA	1 Captain	$2,820
631	"	1 Lieutenant	2,670
632	"	2 Drivers at $2,400 each a year	4,800
633	"	3 Hosemen at $2,400 each a year	7,200
			$17,490
		Rescue Squad Companies.	
634	452 AA	1 Captain	$2,820
635	"	2 Lieutenants at $2,670 each a year	5,340
636	"	2 Drivers at $2,400 each a year	4,800
637	"	4 Hosemen at $2,400 each a year	9,600
		Total Personal Services	$22,560
		Pumping Stations.	
638	452 AA	2 Chief Engineers at $3,360 each a year	$6,720
639	"	5 Asst. Engineers at $2,760 each a year	13,800
640	"	7 Firemen at $2,340 each a year	16,380
641	452 AB	Vacation Engineers	805
642	"	Vacation Firemen	685
			$38,390
		Distributing System.	
643	452 AA	Superintendent	$4,200
644	"	Foreman Gateman	2,880
645	"	Asst. Foreman Gateman	2,730
646	"	11 Gatemen Hydrantmen at $2,580 each a year	28,380
647	452 AC	1 Calker at $7.50 per day	2,250
648	"	3 Laborers, at $6 per day each	5,400
			$45,840
		Corporation Yard.	
649	425 AA	Superintendent	$4,200
650	"	Clerk and Commissary	2,400
651	"	Bookkeeper	2,700
652	"	3 Watchmen, at $2,040 each a year	6,120
653	425 A	1 Drayman	2,220
654	"	1 Clerk	1,800
655	"	Batteryman	2,700
656	"	1 General Foreman	3,300
657	525 AC	12 Machinists, at $9 per day	32,400
658	"	5 Machinists' Helpers at $6.58 per day	9,870
659	"	4 Blacksmiths, at $9 per day	10,800
660	"	4 Blacksmiths' Helpers, at $7.08 per day	8,496
661	"	2 Woodworkers, at $9 per day	5,400
662	"	1 Brass Finisher, at $9 per day	2,700
663	"	1 Boilermaker, at $9 per day	2,700
664	"	1 Boilermaker's Helper, at $6.58 per day	1,974
665	"	1 Foreman Carriage Painter, at $9.50 per day	2,850
666	"	2 Carriage Painters, at $9 per day	5,400
667	"	1 Leather Worker, at $8.50 per day	2,550
		Total Corporation Yard	$110,580
668	"	For Fire Boat Crew and Maintenance, all Revenues Received from the State of California to be Credited to this Item	$84,860
		Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 41-B)	
669	452 BC	Maintenance, Material and Supplies	$130,500
670	452 BL	Hydrant Service, Spring Valley Rental, Water for Buildings	177,000
671	"	Stationery, Postage and Printing	2,250

Equipment.

672	1092	Equipment	90,000
			$459,750
		Total Fire Department	$3,314,615

Proposed Amendments.

Supervisor Todd moved to amend the following items by increasing salaries as follows, to-wit: Item 638, 2 chief engineers, from $3,360 each a year to $3,480 each a year; Item 639, 5 assistant engineers, from $2,760 each a year to $2,880 each a year; Item 640, 7 firemen, from $2,340 each a year to $2,460 each a year.

Supervisor Todd, seconded by Supervisor Stanton, moved to amend the following items by increasing salaries as follows, to-wit: Item 654, 1 clerk, from $1,800 a year to $2,100 a year; Item 658, 5 machinists' helpers, from $6.58 per day each to $7.08 per day each.

Supervisor McSheehy moved that the foregoing proposed amendments be referred to the Finance Committee. *So ordered.*

Approved.

Whereupon, the foregoing items, numbered from 596 to 672, inclusive, as recommended by the Finance Committee, were *approved* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy—2

DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH.

The following items were taken up:

Central Office.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 43-A)

708	476 A	Health Officer	$9,000
709	"	Chief Clerk	4,200
710	"	Stenographer	2,580
711	"	3 Stenographers, at $2,280 a year.....	6,840
712	"	2 Clerks, at $2,280 each a year.....	4,560
713	"	1 Clerk, Personal	1,800
714	"	2 Telephone Operators, at $1,800 each a year	3,600
715	467 AB	Relief Telephone Operator	400

Proposed Amendments.

Supervisor Todd, seconded by Supervisor Stanton, moved to amend the following items by increasing salaries as follows, to-wit: Item 711, 3 stenographers, from $2,280 a year each to $2,400 a year each; Item 712, 2 clerks, from $2,280 each a year to $2,400 each a year; Item 713, 1 clerk, personal, from $1,800 a year to $2,100 a year; Item 714, 2 telephone operators, from $1,800 a year each to $2,100 a year each.

Supervisor McSheehy moved that the foregoing proposed amendments be referred to the Finance Committee. *So ordered.*

Approved.

Whereupon, the foregoing items, Nos. 708 to 715, inclusive, as recommended by the Finance Committee, were *approved*, on motion of Supervisor McSheehy, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy—2.

Accounting.

The following items were taken up:

716	476 AA	Auditor	$4,200
717	"	2 Clerks, at $2,280 each a year.....	4,560

Statistics.

718	476 AA	1 Clerk—Morbidity	$3,600
719	"	1 Clerk—Mortuary	2,280
720	"	1 Clerk—Births	2,280
721	"	1 Clerk—Statistician, Cancer Survey..	1,500

Proposed Amendments.

Supervisor Stanton moved to amend the following items by increasing salaries as follows, to-wit: Item 719, 1 clerk—mortuary, from $2,280 a year to $2,400 a year; Item 720, 1 clerk—births, from $2,280 a year to $2,400 a year; Item 721, 1 clerk—statistician, cancer survey, from $1,500 a year to $1,800 a year.

Supervisor McSheehy moved that the foregoing proposed amendments be referred to the Finance Committee. *So ordered.*

Approved.

Whereupon, the foregoing items, Nos 716 to 721, inclusive, as recommended by the Finance Committee, were *approved* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy—2.

Control of Communicable Diseases—Tuberculosis.

The following items were taken up:

722	476 AA	Chief Market Inspector	$2,700
723	"	7 Veterinarians, at $2,400 each a year.	16,800
724	"	16 Market Inspectors, at $2,400 each a year	38,400

Other Communicable Diseases.

725	476 AA	4 Sanitary Inspectors, at $2,700 each a year	$10,800
726	"	1 Disinfector	2,400
727	"	1 Disinfector	2,220
728	"	Inspector, U. S. P. H. S.	1,200

Venereal Clinic.

729	476 AA	Clinician	$3,000
730	"	Psychologist	1,800
731	"	2 Assistant Psychologists at $1,500 each	3,000
732	"	Assistant Psychologist	900
733	"	Physician Psychologist	1,200
734	"	Psychologist	600
735	"	Social Service Worker.....	1,800
736	"	Physician	2,100
737	"	Janitress	1,500

Bacteriological Laboratories.

738	476 AA	Director of Laboratories	$3,600
739	"	Milk Bacteriologist	3,000
740	"	2 Bacteriologists, at $2,700 each a year	5,400
741	"	1 Bacteriologist	2,100
742	"	Technician	1,500
743	"	Clerk	2,280
744	"	2 Helpers at $720 each a year.....	1,440

Proposed Amendments.

Supervisor Todd moved to amend the following items by increasing salaries as follows, to-wit: Item 722, chief market inspector, from $2,700 a year to $3,000 a year; Item 723, 7 veterinarians, from $2,400 each a year to $2,700 each a year; Item 724, 16 market inspectors, from $2,400 each a year to $2,700 each a year.

Supervisor McSheehy moved reference of the foregoing proposed amendments to the Finance Committee. *So ordered.*

Approved.

Whereupon, the foregoing items, numbered 722 to 744, inclusive, as recommended by the Finance Committee, were *approved* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy—2.

CONSERVATION OF CHILD LIFE.

The following items were taken up:

School Inspection.

745	476	Chief Medical Inspector	$3,000
746	476 AA	2 School Inspectors at $2,700 each a year	5,400

747	"	4 School Inspectors (part time) at $1,800 each a year	7,200
748	"	Optometrist	1,500
		Dental.	
749	476 AA	Dentist	$3,000
750	"	3 Dental Hygienists at $1,800 each a year	5,400
751	"	11 Dentists at $1,200 each a year	13,200
752	"	1 Dentist Assistant	600
		Child Welfare.	
753	476 AA	Pediatrician	$3,000
754	"	Pediatrician	480
755	"	Pediatrician	240
755a	"	Physician	1,500

FOOD INSPECTION.

		Milk and Dairy Control.	
756	476 AA	2 Veterinarians, at $3,600 each a year	$7,200
757	"	1 Veterinarian	3,000
		Other Food Control.	
758	476 AA	Chief Food Inspector	$3,600
759	"	7 Food Inspectors, at $2,400 each a year	16,800
		Chemical Laboratory.	
760	476 AA	Chemist	$3,000
761	"	Chemist	2,700
762	"	Technician	1,080

Approved.

Whereupon, on motion of Supervisor McSheehy, the foregoing items, numbered from 745 to 762, inclusive, were *approved* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy—2.

PROTECTION OF LIFE AND PROPERTY.

The following matters were taken up:

		Plumbing Inspection.	
763	456 A	Chief Plumbing Inspector at $3,600 a year	$3,600
764	"	6 Plumbing Inspectors at $2,820 each a year	16,920
		Housing Inspection.	
765	457 A	Tenement House Inspector	$3,000
766	"	3 Tenement House Inspectors at $2,400 a year	7,200
767	"	3 Tenement House Inspectors at $2,100 a year	6,300
		Factory Inspection.	
768	458 AA	2 Industrial Inspectors at $2,100 each a year	$4,200
769	"	1 Industrial Inspector	1,980
		Refuse Collection.	
770	429 A	2 Inspectors at $2,100 each	$4,200
		Charities and Relief.	
771	476 A	2 City Physicians at $3,600 a year	$7,200
722	"	1 City Physician	3,000
		Field Nursing.	
773	476 A	Director	$3,600
774	"	2 Supervisors School Medical Inspection at $2,280 each	4,560
775	"	Supervision Nutrition—Field Nurse	2,280
776	"	Supervisor—Social Service	2,280
777	"	2 Supervisors—Tuberculosis Bureau	4,560

Approved.

Whereupon, on motion of Supervisor McSheehy, the foregoing items,

on recommendation of the Finance Committee, were *approved* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy—2.

NURSES.

The following matters were taken up:

		Tuberculosis Bureau.	
778	476 A	8 Field Nurses at $1,980 a year	$15,840
779	"	1 Graduate Nurse	1,80[illegible]
		School Medical Inspection.	
780	476 A	30 Field Nurses at $1,980 a year	$59,400
781	"	Nutrition Worker	2,100
		Child Welfare.	
782	476 A	Field Nurse—Foster Homes	$1,980
783	"	10 Field Nurses—Health Center, at $1,980 a year	19,800
		Sosial Service.	
784	476 A	Field Nurse	$1,980
785	"	Social Service Worker	1,980
786	"	Institution Nurse	1,620
		Tuberculosis, Other Than Nursing.	
787	476 A	Director	$4,200
788	"	Physician	600
789	"	Clerk-Stenographer	1,020
290	"	Cook—Michel Angelo School	720
		Total Personal Services	$430,960
791	476 BH	Lighting Health Buildings (Appropriation 43-B)	$2,500
792	"	Water, Health Buildings (Appropriation 43-C)	27,000
		Rat Extermination.	
793	476 AA	4 Rat Catchers at $1,320 a year each	$5,280
794	476 B	Carfare	225
795	476 C	Material and Supplies	525
796	476 B	Contractural Services (Appropriation 43-D)	23,850
797	476 C	Material, Supplies and Equipment	40,000
798	"	Stationery, Postage and Printing	10,800
		Total Non-Personal Services	$110,180

Proposed Amendments.

Supervisor Stanton moved to amend by increasing: Item 778, 8 field nurses from $1,980 a year to $2,400 a year; Item 780, 30 field nurses from $1,980 a year to $2,400 a year; Item 782, field nurse—foster homes, from $1,980 to $2,400 a year; Item 783, 10 field nurses—health centers, from $1,980 a year to $2,400 a year; Item 784, field nurse, from $1,980 a year to $2,400 a year.

Supervisor McSheehy moved that the foregoing proposed amendments be referred to the Finance Committee. *So ordered.*

Approved.

Whereupon, the foreging items, numbers 778 to 798, inclusive, as recommended by the Finance Committee, were, on motion of Supervisor McSheehy, *approved* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy—2.

LAGUNA HONDA HOME.

The following matters were taken up:

Personal Services—(Appropriation 44-A)

799	552 AA	Superintendent	$6,000
800	"	Clerk	3,000

801	"	Stenographer	2,280
802	"	Telephone Operator	900
803	"	Watchman	1,500
804	"	Physician	2,400
805	"	Physician	1,800
806	"	Pharmacist	2,400
807	"	Supervisor Nurse	1,800
808	"	20 Institutional Nurses at $1,200 each.	24,000
809	"	1 Practical Nurse	840
810	"	4 Practical Nurses at $600 each	2,400
811	"	2 Vocational Therapeutic Nurses at $1,500 each	3,000
811a	"	Dietician	1,500
812	"	Orderly	720
813	"	Orderlies as required	16,740
814	"	Nurses—Relief and Vacation	100
815	"	Commissary	2,400
816	"	Laundryman	2,268
817	"	Laundress	1,524
818	"	Laundryman	600
819	"	Chief Steward	2,400
820	"	3 Stewards at $1,680 each a year	5,040
821	"	1 Steward	1,380
822	"	Head Matron	2,400
823	"	Matron	1,020
824	"	Seamstress	1,380
825	"	Seamstress	1,080
826	"	Practical Nurse	1,080
826a	"	4 Wardmen at $480 each a year	1,920
827	"	Chief Cook	2,280
828	"	Cook	2,100
829	"	3 Cooks at $1,980 each a year	5,940
830	"	2 Cooks (female) at $1,200 each a year	2,400
831	"	Butcher	2,520
832	"	Butcher, Relief	105
833	"	Baker	1,980
833a	"	Dining Room Steward	1,680
834	"	Farmer	1,920
835	"	Farmer	1,800
836	"	Engineer	2,520
837	"	2 Assistant Engineers at $2,520 each a year	5,040
838	"	Driver	1,800
839	552 AC	Plumber at $10 a day	3,000
840	552 AA	Gardener	1,800
841	552 AC	Gardener's Helper	900
842	"	Inmate Help	28,484
		Total Personal Services	$162,141

Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 44-B)

843	552 B	Contractural Services	$3,750
844	552 C	Materials and Supplies	260,000
845	"	Equipment	10,000
		Total Non-Personal Services	$273,750

Proposed Amendment.

Supervisor Stanton moved to amend by increasing Item 801, stenographer, from $2,280 to $2,400 a year.

Supervisor McSheehy moved that the foregoing proposed amendment be referred to the Finance Committee. *So ordered.*

Approved.

Whereupon, on motion of Supervisor McSheehy, the foregoing items, Nos. 799 to 845, inclusive, as recommended by the Finance Committee, were *approved* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy—2.

ISOLATION HOSPITAL.

The following matters were taken up:

Personal Services—(Appropriation 45-A)

846	544 AA	Superintendent	$2,400
847	"	Office Attendant	840
848	"	Watchman	1,740
849	"	Night Watchman	1,320
850	504 AC	Relief Night Watchman	207
851	554 AA	3 Gateman at $540 each	1,620
852	"	Resident Physician	4,200
853	"	House Officer	300
854	"	2 Interns at $120 each a year	240
855	"	12 Graduate Nurses at $1,200 each a year	14,400
856	"	Practical Nurse	600
857	"	Graduate Nurses, Emergency Relief	3,600
858	"	4 Orderlies at $540 each a year	2,160
859	"	4 Practical Nurses at $540 each a year	2,160
860	"	8 Pupil Nurses at $144 each a year	1,152
861	"	Seamstress	1,080
862	"	2 Janitors at $600 each a year	1,200
863	"	6 Wardmen at $540 each a year	3,240
864	"	7 Wardmen at $540 each a year	3,780
865	554 AB	Institutional Help, Emergency Relief	1,460
866	544 AA	Cook	2,100
867	554 AB	Cook, Vacation Relief	175
868	554 AA	Kitchen Helper	720
869	"	3 Kitchen Helpers at $540 each a year	1,620
870	"	Dishwasher	540
871	"	Waitress	1,140
872	544 AC	Waitress, Vacation	45
873	544 AA	Gardener	1,200
		Total Personal Services	$55,239

Proposed Amendments.

Supervisor Stanton moved to amend by inserting a new item, Item 866a, 1 additional cook at $1,980 a year; also, amend Item 871, waitress, increase from $1,140 a year to $1,320 a year.

Supervisor Roncovieri moved to amend by increasing Item 854, 2 interns at $120 a year to $240 a year each.

Supervisor McSheehy moved that the foregoing proposed amendments be referred to the Finance Committee. *So ordered.*

Approved.

Whereupon, the motion of Supervisor McSheehy that the foregoing items, numbered 846 to 873, inclusive, as recommended by the Finance Committee, were *approved* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy—2.

SAN FRANCISCO HOSPITAL.

The following matters were taken up:

Personal Services—(Appropriation 46-A)

874	544 AA	Superintendent	$6,000
875	"	Assistant Superintendent	2,700
876	"	Assistant Superintendent, T. B	2,400
877	"	Clerk	3,000
878	"	Stenographer	1,920
879	"	2 Stenographers at $900 each	1,800
880	"	Statistician	2,280
881	"	Assistant Statistician	1,200
882	"	2 Clerks at $1,200 each a year	2,400
883	"	Office Attendant, T. B	900
884	"	2 Telephone Operators at $1,800 each a year	3,600
885	"	Night Telephone Operator	1,080
886	"	Night Telephone Operator	840

887	"	Telephone Operator	1,500
888	"	Watchman	1,620
889	"	Watchman, T. B	1,320
890	"	Watchman	720
891	"	Teamster	2,040
892	"	Messenger	540
893	"	Institutional Help	3,000
894	"	Resident Physician	1,500
895	"	12 House Officers at $300 each a year	3,600
896	"	30 Interns at $120 a year	3,600
897	"	Pharmacist	3,000
898	"	Assistant Pharmacist	2,700
899	"	Stockman	900
900	"	Institutional Help	480
901	"	Dentist	1,200
902	"	Radiologist	3,300
903	"	X-Ray Technician	1,800
904	"	X-Ray Technician	1,200
905	"	Clerk-Stenographer	1,200
906	"	Operating Room Nurse	2,100
907	"	Assistant Operating Room Nurse	1,320
908	"	2 Institutional Nurses at $1,200 each a year	2,400
909	"	Anaesthetist	1,800
910	"	Anaesthetist	1,500
911	"	Plaster Cast Maker	900
912	"	2 Social Service Workers at $1,620 each a year	3,240
913	"	Superintendent of Nurses	3,000
914	"	3 Assistant Superintendents of Nurses at $1,800 each a year	5,400
915	"	Supervisor of Nurses, T. B	1,380
916	"	Supervisor of Admissions	1,380
917	"	4 Instructors, Training School, at $1,500 each a year	6,000
918	"	Instructors, Training School, Obstetrical Nurses	1,500
919	"	Practical Nurse in Charge of Nurses' Home	900
920	"	Assistant Practical Nurse	720
921	"	48 Institutional Nurses at $1,200 each a year	57,600
922	"	2 Supervisors, Institutional Nurses, at $1,320 each	2,640
923	"	Practical Nurses	15,000
924	"	Orderlies	25,000
925	"	Orderlies, T. B	1,450
926	"	Office Assistant Supt. of Nurses	540
927	"	Medical Advisor	1,500
928	"	Pupil Nurses	10,000
929	"	1 Institutional Nurse, Psychiatric Ward	1,500
930	"	4 Institutional Nurses at $1,200 each a year	4,800
931	"	2 Practical Nurses at $600 each a year	1,200
932	"	2 Practical Nurses at $540 each	1,080
933	"	Porter	540
934	"	Pantrymaid	540
935	"	4 Orderlies at $600 each a year	2,400
936	"	Orderly	540
937	"	Commissary	3,600
938	"	Storekeeper	1,800
939	"	5 Storekeeper Helpers	2,000
		Laundry.	
940	554 AA	Superintendent	$3,300
941	"	Washer	1,764
942	"	Wringer	1,524
943	"	Starcher	1,416
944	"	Washer's Helper	1,380
945	"	5 Ironers at $1,176 each a year	5,880
946	"	3 Ironers at $1,128 each a year	3,384

947	"	4 Dry Room Workers at $1,104 each a year	4,416
948	"	Deliveryman	480
949	"	Deliveryman	540
950	"	Marker	1,524
951	"	Sorter	1,524
952	"	Linenroom Worker	1,116
953	"	Flat Work Finisher	1,104
954	"	10 Mangel Hands at $1,020 each a year	10,200
955	"	2 Porters	1,020
956	"	Seamstress	540
		Housekeeping.	
957	554 AA	Seamstress	$1,320
958	"	4 Seamstresses at $600 each	2,640
959	"	Surgery Porter	900
960	"	Lockerman	600
961	"	Morgueman	600
962	"	Morgueman	540
963	"	Mattress Maker	600
964	"	Incineratorman	540
965	"	Sterilizerman	600
966	"	8 Chambermaids	4,000
967	"	Foreman, Institutional Help	1,080
968	"	Assistant Foreman, Institutional Help	600
969	"	Window Washer	600
970	"	Window Washer	720
971	"	25 Wardmen	15,000
972	"	40 Porters	19,200
973	"	Institutional Help, T. B.	500
		Culinary.	
974	554 AA	Cook, Chef	$2,280
975	"	Cook, Butcher	2,100
976	"	Cook, Pastry	2,100
977	"	4 Cooks at $1,980 each	7,920
978	"	Night Cook	1,080
979	"	Dietician, Chief	2,100
980	"	Dietician, T. B.	1,500
981	"	2 Dieticians, D. R., at $1,500 each a year	3,000
982	"	16 Waiters or Waitresses at $1,140 each a year	18,240
983	554 AC	Institutional Help	29,000
		Power Plant.	
984	554 AA	Chief Engineer	$3,420
985	"	4 Engineers at $2,520 each a year	10,080
986	"	3 Firemen at $2,220 each a year	6,660
987	554 AB	Firemen, Relief	2,220
988	554 AA	Helper	540
989	554 AA	2 Electricians at $2,850 each a year	5,700
990	"	Gardener	1,680
991	"	Assistant Gardener	720
992	"	7 Yardmen	4,200
99"	554 AB	Vacation and Reliefs in Various Departments	7,500
		Total Personal Services	$435,802

Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 46-B)

994	554 B	Contractual	$27,000
995	554 C	Materials and Supplies	125,000
996	554 CO	Foodstuffs	245,000
997	554 H	Fixed Charges	2,225
998	1092	Equipment	8,000
		Total Non-Personal Services	$407,225

Proposed Amendments.

Supervisor Stanton moved to amend the following items by increasing salaries as follows, to-wit: Item 877, clerk, from $3,000 a year to $3,300 a year; Item 880, statistician, from $2,280 to $2,400 a year; Item 882, 2 clerks, at $1,200 each a year to $1,800 each a year.

Supervisor Todd moved to amend the following item by increasing salary as follows, to-wit: Item 884, 2 telephone operators, from $1,800 each a year to $2,100 each a year.

Supervisor Stanton moved to amend the following items by increasing salaries as follows, to-wit: Item 977, 4 cooks, from $1,980 each a year, making it 5 cooks at $1,980 each a year; Item 978, night cook, from $1,080 to $1,380 per year; Item 982, 16 waiters or waitresses, from $1,140 each a year to $1,320 each a year.

Supervisor Todd moved to amend the following item by increasing salary as follows, to-wit: Item 896, 30 interns, from $120 a year each to $240 a year each.

Supervisor McSheehy moved that the foregoing proposed amendments be referred to the Finance Committee. *So ordered.*

Approved.

Whereupon, on motion of Supervisor McSheehy, the foregoing items, Nos. 874 to 998, inclusive, as recommended by the Finance Committee, were *approved* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy—2.

EMERGENCY HOSPITALS.

The following items were taken up:

Personal Services—(Appropriation 47-A)

999	5541 AA	Chief Surgeon	$3,000
1000	"	Assistant Chief Surgeon	2,700
1001	"	Chief Steward	3,000
1002	"	Stenographer	2,280
1003	"	10 Surgeons at $2,400 each a year	24,000
1004	"	22 Stewards at $2,400 each a year	52,800
1005	"	11 Nurses at $1,980 each a year	21,780
1006	"	Relief Nurses	1,980
1007	"	15 Ambulance Drivers at $2,400 each a year	36,000
1008	"	Messenger	1,200
1009	5541 AB	2 Surgeons (Half Time), Hospital Station, Fleishhacker Pool	2,400
1010	"	Extra Emergency Service when required	1,000
1011	"	Vacations and Relief	6,740
		Total Personal Services	$158,000
1012	5541 B	Contractural Service (Appropriation 47-B)	6,000
1013	5541 C	Material and Supplies, Equipment	15,000
1014	5541 H	Fxied Charges	500
		Total	$21,500
		Total Board of Health	$2,032,677

Proposed Amendments.

Supervsior Stanton moved to amend the following items by increasing salaries as follows, to-wit: Item 1005, 11 nurses, from $1,980 a year to $2,400 a year each; Item 1007, 15 ambulance drivers, from $2,400 each a year to $2,700 each a year.

Supervisor McSheehy moved that the foregoing proposed amendments be referred to the Finance Committee. *So ordered.*

Approved.

Whereupon, on motion of Supervisor McSheehy, the foregoing items, numbered 999 to 1014, inclusive, as recommended by the Finance Committee, were *approved* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shanon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy—2.

BOARD OF EDUCATION.

The following items were taken up:

Common School Fund—(Appropriations 48 and 48-B)				
1015	576 A	Teachers' Compensation	$6,043,949	
1016	"	For the Conduct, Maintenance and Operation of the School Department, other than for Teachers' Salaries and Tuition of Junior College Students..	1,160,000	
		Total School		$7,203,949
		Charter Fund and State Laws.		
1017	612	For Playground Fund as Provided by Charter Amendment, $0.05 in tax rate (Appropriation 49)		$378,000
1018	601	Park Fund (Appropriation 50)		$756,000
1019	631/132	Bond Interest and Redemption (Appropriation 51)		$4,252,211
1020	629	Firemen's Relief and Pension Fund (Appropriation 52)		$345,000
1021	577	Library Fund (Appropriation 53)		$283,500
1022	629	Board of Administration San Francisco City Employees' Retirement Fund (Appropriation 54)		$769,700
1023	403	Publicity and Advertising (Appropriation 55)		$140,000
1024	553	Maintenance of Blind (Appropriation 56)		$17,000
1025	60273	Maintenance of Aquarium (Appropriation 57)		$50,000
1026	630	Tax Judgments (Appropriation 59)		$190,000
1027	60273	De Young Museum (Appropriation 60)		$70,000
1028	60239	California Palace Legion of Honor (Appropriation 61)		$70,000
		Total Budget		$33,011,458

Approved.

Whereupon, the foregoing items, on motion of Supervisor McSheehy, numbered from 1015 to 1028, inclusive, as recommended by the Finance Committee, were *apprved* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy—2.

Passed for Printing.

Whereupon, the following bill, as corrected and amended, was *passed for printing* by the following vote:

BUDGET

FOR MUNICIPAL EXPENDITURES

City and County of San Francisco, California,

For Fiscal Year 1927-1928

Bill No. 8045, Ordinance No. —— (New Series).

An ordinance fixing and appropriating the aggregate sums of the items thereof allowed to each department, officer, board and commission for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1928, and making a Budget of the same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The aggregate sums and items thereof hereinafter set forth are hereby fixed, designated and appropriated for the respective

purposes set opposite the same, to be expended during the fiscal year ending June 30, 1928, in accordance with the provisions of Article III, Chapter 1, of the Charter of the City and County.

Section 2. No department, officer, board, commission or employee shall expend, agree to expend or incur any liability which shall exceed the amount of any appropriation herein made or which may be hereafter appropriated for any specific purpose, and no such appropriation shall be used or subjected to any liability for any purpose other than that for which it is herein specifically made, unless otherwise authorized by the Board of Supervisors.

All balances of appropriations unexpended, or against which no liabilities have been incurred, at the end of each calendar month, shall be reported to the Board of Supervisors by the department, board, commission, officer or employee within ten days after the expiration of such previous month, and at the end of the fiscal year all such balances shall revert to and become a part of the unappropriated general fund unless otherwise ordered by the Board of Supervisors.

The Auditor shall not audit or issue any warrant contrary to the express provisions of this section.

Section 3. The amounts appropriated are as follows:

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
1	1090 E to 1093 E	(Appropriation 1) for plans and construction of new school buildings, the purchase of land for school purposes, furniture, additions and repairs to existing school buildings. A special tax on each $100 of assessed valuation to produce.....		**$1,200,000**

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 2-A)

2	401 A	18 Supervisors at $2,400 each.....	$43,200	
3	402 A	Clerk	6,000	
4	"	1 Chief Assistant Clerk	4,500	
5	402 A	1 Expert Accountant	6,000	
6	"	1 Bond and Ordinance Clerk.....	3,000	
7	"	2 Assistant Clerks at $3,600.....	7,200	
8	"	1 Assistant Clerk	3,000	
9	"	1 Assistant Clerk	2,100	
10	"	1 Stenographer to Finance Committee	3,600	
11	"	1 Stenographer	2,400	
12	"	1 Stenographer	2,100	
13	"	1 Shorthand Reporter	2,700	
14	"	1 Telephone Operator and Filing Clerk	2,100	
15	401 A	1 Sergeant-at-Arms	2,400	
16	"	1 Chauffeur-Investigator	3,000	
17	529 A	1 Gas and Water Inspector.....	2,400	
18	678 A	1 Superintendent of Auditorium ...	3,000	
			$98,700	

TELEPHONE EXCHANGE.

19	433 A	Chief Operator	$1,980	
20	"	5 Operators at $1,800.....	9,000	
21	"	Relief Operators	675	
		Total Personal Services.....		**$110,355**

MISCELLANEOUS ACCOUNTS UNDER CONTROL OF BOARD OF SUPERVISORS.

(Appropriations 3-22 to 3-81)

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
22	403 B	Finance Committee Expenses	$5,000	
23	401 K	Supervisors' Incidental Expenses	9,000	
24	402 B	Advertising Resolutions and Ordinances	55,000	
25	402 K	Urgent Necessity	100,000	
26	402 B	Printing Public Documents	4,000	
27	"	Printing Law and Motion Calendar	8,000	
28	614 K	Celebration Fourth of July	2,500	
29	"	Memorial Day Observance	500	
30	613 B	Maintenance Municipal Band	12,000	
31	425 A	Examination of Insane	9,000	
32	425 B	Maintenance of Insane Criminals	7,500	
33	529 B	Lighting Streets	680,000	
34	402 H	Premiums on Official Bonds	5,000	
35	527 E	Street Work in Front of City Property	50,000	
36	1093 E	County Road Fund	1,000	
37	5273	Repair and Painting of Bridges	5,000	
38	1093	Extension and Reconstruction of Sewers	200,000	
39	629 H	Police Relief Pension Fund Deficit	140,000	
40	"	Relief of Exempt Firemen	5,000	
41	678 K	Auditorium	10,000	
42	467 H	Public Pound	15,000	
43	553 B	Feeble-Minded Home	100,000	
44	553 H	Maintenance of Minors	700,000	
45	553 H	Widows' Pensions	220,000	
46	"	St. Catherine's Training School	8,000	
47	"	State Schools	20,000	
48	419 K	City Planning Commission Including Auto at $40 a month and Including Stationery, Postage and Printing, $90	6,970	
49	1090	Purchase of Lands from Market Street Railroad	8,940	
50	1090	Purchase of Lands from Spring Valley Water Company, Contract	35,200	
51	1091	Aquatic Park Improvement	10,000	
52	1091	Yacht Harbor Improvement	25,000	
53	1091	Civic Center Beautification	15,000	
53a	"	Lighting City Hall	16,000	
54	1090	Van Ness Avenue Extension—Final Purchase	117,000	
55	"	Municipal Air Port	50,000	
55b	"	Balboa Park Tennis and Handball Courts	5,000	
55c	"	Golden Gate Park Handball Court	5,000	
56	401	For the purpose of continuing and completing the appraising of all taxable property subject to assessment within the City and County of San Francisco to the end that said assessment of said property for the fiscal year 1928-29 and for subsequent years may be equalized		

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
		and the same may conform to the true value of said property and that the Board of Supervisors sitting as a Board of Equalization may be fully advised as to the true value of said property.....	125,000	
57		For Personal Service, Opening of Preventorium in San Mateo County, and Completion of Buildings and Grounds	75,000	
58		For the Establishing of Maternity Service	25,000	
59		Repairs to Public Buildings, other than School Buildings	40,000	
60		Relief Home Equipment.....	10,000	
61		Improvement of Larsen Park.....	20,000	
62		Initial Purchase, Mt Davidson.....	15,000	
63		Chapel at San Francisco Hospital..	30,000	
64		Bridle Path, Presidio Parkway.....	10,000	
65		Improvement of Public Lands in Noe Valley	10,000	
66		Convenience Stations	30,000	
67		Improvements, de Young Museum..	25,000	
68		Police Department Communication System	10,000	
69	1092	Traffic signals, installation of, and purchase of devices for traffic control	25,000	
70	1090	Purchase of lands for public purposes in Mission District	150,000	
71	1093	Street signs	10,000	
71a	"	Purchase of Elgin sweeper for street cleaning	7,500	
72	4142 AC	Single team for stores and yards, Board of Public Works, at $10.75 per day	3,000	
74	502 AC	25 Double teams, street cleaning, Board of Public Works, at $11.50 per day	84,500	
75	501 AC	3 Double teams, sewer repair, Board of Public Works, at $11.50 per day	10,350	
76	501 H	Rental, 7 1½-ton trucks, Board of Public Works, at $12 per day....	25,200	
77	"	Rental, 1 3-ton truck, Board of Public Works, at $20 per day	6,000	
78	"	Rental, 16 autos, Board of Public Works, at $40 per month	7,680	
79		Payment for Rental 333 Kearny Street Lease	14,233	
80		Stationery, Postage and Printing..	1,800	
		Total		$3,472,873

Street Reconstruction.

81		For the reconstruction of the following streets: Broderick, Vallejo to Green Clay, Taylor to Jones Castro, Market to 16th		

California, Van Ness to Hyde (widening)
California, Hyde to Jones (widening and paving)
Clay, Stockton to Powell
Fillmore, Broadway to Green
Filbert, Hyde to Leavenworth
Greenwich, Hyde easterly
Haight, Scott to Baker
Jones, Jackson to Pacific
Kearny, Broadway to Vallejo
Kearny, Union to Filbert
Larkin, Bay to North Point
Mason, Union to Greenwich
McAllister, Central to Masonic
Page, Broderick to Divisadero
Steiner, Grove to Fulton
Sacramento, Jones to Hyde
Sutter, Broderick to Presidio
Sutter, Buchanan to Octavia
Taylor, Green to Lombard
Washington, Jones to Taylor
Washington, Stockton to Kearny
Washington, Leavenworth to Hyde
Jackson, Stockton to Powell
Broadway, Columbus to Montgomery
Buchanan, Green to Union (center strip)
Broderick, Ellis to O'Farrell
California, Mason to Jones (widening and resurfacing)
Cherry, Sacramento to California
Divisadero, Green to Union
Francisco, Powell to Taylor
Hayes, Franklin to Laguna
Haight, Buchanan to Scott
Laguna, Bay Street north
Leavenworth, Columbus to Jefferson
Lyon, California to Sacramento
Lyon, Jackson to Washington
Octavia, Jackson to Pacific
Polk, Broadway to Vallejo
Pierce, Greenwich to Filbert
Scotland Street, Columbus to Greenwich
Spruce, Sacramento to California
21st Avenue, Cabrillo to Fulton
Valparaiso, Mason to Taylor
Vanderwater, Mason to Powell
Walnut, Washington to Jackson
22nd Street, Church to Dolores
25th Street, Fair Oaks to Dolores
28th Street, Noe to Sanchez
Park, Leese to Mission
Clark, Drumm to Front
Maiden Lane, Kearny to Grant Ave.
Oregon, Drumm to Front
Alpine terrace, Waller to Duboce
Filbert, Polk to Van Ness
Maple, Sacramento to California
Steiner, Haight to Oak
Hermann, Buchanan to Laguna

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
		Drumm, Jackson to Pacific		
		Davis, Jackson to Pacific		
		Steuart, Market to Mission		
		Ellis, Polk to Van Ness		
		Stockton, Lombard to Bay		
		Total		$309,000

Bureau of Supplies.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 3½-A)

82	634 A	1 Purchaser of Supplies	$10,000	
83	"	1 Superintendent of Supplies	3,600	
84	"	1 Inspector of Supplies	3,000	
85	"	1 Clerk, Stationery	3,000	
86	"	1 Assistant Clerk, Stationery	2,700	
87	"	1 Stenographer	2,100	
88	"	1 Assistant Purchaser, Schools	2,700	
89	"	1 Stenographer File Clerk	1,680	
90	634 A	I Tabulator	1,680	
91	"	1 Chief Storekeeper	3,900	
		Total Personal Services	$34,360	
92	634 BC	Non-Personal (Appropriation 3½B)	2,280	
93	"	Stationery, printing books, postage	6,300	
94	"	Furniture for public offices, equipment, etc	20,000	
95	"	License tags and blanks	6,000	
		Total Non-Personal Services	$34,580	
		Total Bureau of Supplies		$68,940

EXECUTIVE DEPARTMENTS.

MAYOR.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 4-A)

96	404 A	Mayor	$6,000	
97	"	Executive Secretary	4,800	
98	"	Assistant Secretary	4,200	
99	"	4 Stenographer-Typewriters at $2,100 each	8,400	
100	"	Telephone Operator	1,800	
101	"	Messenger	1,980	
102	"	2 Chauffeurs at $2,400 each	4,800	
		Total Personal Services	$31,980	

Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 4-B)

103	404 K	Contingent expenses (Charter)	$3,600	
104	"	Personal Services and other than Personal Services	6,285	
105	"	Stationery, Postage and Printing	1,215	
		Total Non-Personal Services	$11,100	
		Total Mayor		$43,080

AUDITOR.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 5-A)

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
106	405 A	Auditor	$8,000	
107	"	Office Superintendent	3,600	
108	"	3 Deputies at $3,600 each	10,800	
109	"	2 Deputies at $2,880 each	5,760	
110	"	4 Deputies at $2,700 each	10,800	
111	"	1 Deputy	2,580	
112	"	5 Deputies at $2,400 each	12,000	
113	"	1 Bond Deputy	2,580	
114	"	1 General Clerk	2,220	
115	405 A	1 General Clerk	1,920	
116	"	1 Stenographer-Typist	2,220	
117	"	Expert (State Law), Section 4099 A	2,580	
118	"	Telephone Operator and Filing Clerk	2,100	
119	"	Attorney	3,000	
120	"	Service, assessment, State and local, compiling statistics for State Board and Comptroller and settlement with City and State	6,400	
		Total Personal Services	$76,560	

Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 5-B)

121	405 B	Contingents	$500	
122	"	Stationery, Postage and Printing	5,400	
		Total Auditor		$82,460

TAX COLLECTOR.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 6-A)

123	408 A	Tax Collector	$8,000	
124	"	Office Superintendent	3,600	
125	"	Cashier	3,300	
126	"	Accountant	3,300	
127	"	6 Special Deputies at $2,580 each	15,480	
128	"	1 Cashier, License Bureau	2,880	
129	"	2 Expert Searchers at $2,580 each	5,160	
130	"	26 Deputies at $2,400 each	62,400	
131	"	1 Stenographer	2,100	
132	"	Extra Clerical Help	15,000	
133	"	Adjuster of Licenses	3,000	
134	"	Tunnel Accountant	2,100	
		Total Personal Services	$126,320	

Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 6-B)

135	408 B	Printing Delinquent Tax List	$3,700	
136	408 D	Advertising Tax Notices and Contingents	2,160	
137	"	Stationery, Postage and Printing	4,500	
		Total Non-Personal Services	$10,360	
		Total Tax Collector		$136,680

TREASURER.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 7-A)

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
138	406 A	Treasurer	$8,000	
139	"	Chief Deputy	2,880	
140	"	Cashier	5,100	
141	406 A	Bank and Bond Deputy	3,900	
142	"	1 Deputy	3,900	
143	"	Coupon Clerk	2,880	
144	"	1 Deputy	3,300	
145	"	Bookkeeper	3,600	
146	"	Assistant Bookkeeper	2,400	
147	"	2 Clerks, at $2,880 each	5,760	
148	"	1 Clerk	1,980	
		Total Personal Services	$43,700	

Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 7-B)

149	406 K	Non-Personal Services	$200	
150	"	Stationery, Postage and Printing	900	
		Total Treasurer		**$44,800**

ASSESSOR.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 8-A)

151	407 AA	Assessor	$8,000	
152	"	Chief Deputy Assessor	2,400	
153	"	Director of Supervising Appraisers	4,500	
154	"	Cashier Auditor	2,400	
155	"	2 Supervising Appraisers at $4,200 each a year	8,400	
156	"	3 Supervising Appraisers at $3,600 each a year	10,800	
157	"	16 Appraisers at $3,000 each a year	48,000	
158	"	3 Personal Property Appraisers at $3,000 each a year	9,000	
159	"	1 Asst. Personal Property Appraiser	2,400	
160	"	3 Asst. Appraisers at $2,400 each a year	7,200	
161	"	3 Asst. Appraisers at $2,700 each a year	8,100	
162	"	15 Asst. Appraisers at $2,280 each a year	34,200	
163	"	Stenographer-Typist	1,800	
164	407 AB	Temporary Asst. Deputies—General Clerks at $160 per month for time employed	98,000	
		Total Personal Services	$245,200	

Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 8-B)

165	407 B	Non-Personal Services, including Autos at $40 a month	$6,000	
166	"	Stationery, Postage and Printing	13,500	
		Total Assessor		**$264,700**

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTIONS.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 9-A)

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
167	431 A	5 Commissioners at $1,000 each a year	$5,000	
168	"	Registrar of Voters	5,400	
169	"	2 Deputy Registrars at $3,600 each a year	7,200	
170	"	5 Deputy Registrars at $2,700 each	13,500	
171	"	7 Deputy Registrars at $2,460 each a year	17,220	
172	"	Typo Operator Mechanic	2,460	
173	"	Typo Operator Mechanic Assistant	2,280	
174	"	Voting Machine Adjuster	1,920	
175	"	Stenographer-Typewriter	2,280	
			$57,260	

ELECTION EXPENSES.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 9-B)

176	431 AC	Storekeeper-Carpenter at $9 a day	$2,700	
177	"	Outside Registration	15,000	
178	431 AB	Temporary Clerks, office and petitions	50,000	
179	"	Election Officers	65,000	
180	431 AC	Laborers	1,000	
		Total Personal Services	$133,700	

Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 9-C)

181	431 B	Contractual Services	$63,500	
182	1092	Purchase additional voting machines and payment for machines heretofore delivered	150,000	
183	"	Rental of Polling Places	18,000	
184	"	Purchase of Truck	1,835	
		Total Non-Personal Services	$233,335	
		Total Elections		**$424,295**

DISTRICT ATTORNEY.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 10-A)

185	412 A	District Attorney	$8,000
186	"	5 Assistants at $4,500 each	22,500
187	"	1 Assistant	3,600
188	"	4 Assistants at $3,000 each	12,000
189	"	3 Assistants at $2,400 each	7,200
190	"	Warrant and Bond Clerk	3,300
191	"	1 Asst. Warrant and Bond Clerk	2,400
192	"	6 Asst. Warrant and Bond Clerks at $2,280 each	13,680
193	"	Chief Clerk	3,000
194	"	Assistant Chief Clerk	2,100
195	412 A	Bookkeeper	1,800
196	"	1 Stenographer	2,400
197	"	1 Stenographer	2,100

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
198	"	Messenger	1,800	
199	"	1 Juvenile Court Investigator	2,100	
		Total Personal Services	$87,980	
		Personal and Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 10-B)		
200	412 K	Detection and Prosecution of Criminals	$7,500	
201	"	Stationery, Postage and Printing	1,350	
		Total District Attorney		$96,830

PUBLIC DEFENDER.

		Personal Services—(Appropriation 10-A)		
202	411	Public Defender	$5,00-	
203	"	2 Deputy Public Defenders at $3,900 each a year	7,800	
204	"	1 Deputy Public Defender	3,600	
205	"	1 Clerk	1,800	
206	"	Stationery, Postage and Printing	90	
		Total Public Defender		$18,290

CITY ATTORNEY.

		Personal Services—(Appropriation 11-A)		
207	410 A	City Attorney	$8,000	
208	"	1 Chief Deputy	7,200	
209	"	1 Deputy	7,200	
210	"	1 Deputy	6,000	
211	"	2 Assistants at $3,000 each	6,000	
212	"	1 Assistant	2,700	
213	"	2 Stenographers at $2,100 each	4,200	
214	"	1 Telephone and Information Clerk	2,100	
		Total Personal Services	$43,400	
		Personal and Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 11-B)		
215	410 K	General and Rate Litigation	$9,370	
216	"	Stationery, Postage and Printing	630	
		Total City Attorney		$53,400

CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION.

		Personal Services—(Appropriation 12-A)		
217	417 A	3 Commissioners at $1,200 each	$3,600	
218	"	Deputy Commissioner and Chief Examiner	4,200	
219	"	1 Chief Inspector	3,000	
220	"	1 Inspector	2,700	
221	"	Assistant Inspector	2,400	
222	"	1 Assistant Secretary	2,400	
223	"	1 Experienced Clerk	2,400	
224	"	1 General Clerk	2,100	

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
225	"	1 Clerk-Stenographer	2,220	
226	"	Special Examiners and Extra Clerks	3,500	
227	"	Salary Standardization	5,000	
		Total Personal Services	$33,520	
228	417 K	Non-Personal Services (Appropriation 12-B)	$1,250	
229	"	Stationery, Postage and Printing	1,800	
		Total Civil Service Commission.		**$36,570**

COUNTY CLERK.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 13-A)

230	426 A	County Clerk	$8,000	
231	"	Chief Registry Clerk	3,600	
232	"	Cashier	3,000	
233	"	5 Registry Clerks at $2,880 each	14,400	
234	"	10 Assistant Registry Clerks at $2,400 each	24,000	
235	"	16 Superior Court Clerks at $2,400 each	38,400	
236	426	4 Police Court Clerks at $2,400 each	9,600	
237	"	38 Copyists at $2,400 each	91,200	
		Total Personal Services	$192,200	
238	426 K	Non-Personal Services (13-B)	150	
239	"	Stationery, Postage and Printing	11,025	
		Total County Clerk		**$203,375**

SHERIFF.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 14-A)

240	430 A	Sheriff	$8,000	
241	"	Cashier	3,300	
242	"	Deputy	2,700	
243	"	Attorney	1,800	
244	"	Secretary and Chief Bookkeeper	3,000	
245	"	14 Deputies at $2,580 each	36,120	
246	557 A	59 Jailers at $2,364 each	139,476	
247	430 A	Stenographer	2,220	
248	557 A	Chauffeur-Machinist	2,400	
249	430 A	Office Superintendent	3,600	
250	527 A	Superintendent of Jails	3,000	
251	"	Assistant Superintendent	2,640	
252	"	Chief Jailer	2,700	
253	"	Commissary Storekeeper	2,580	
254	"	1 Bookkeeper	2,580	
255	"	1 Matron	2,400	
256	"	7 Female Jailers at $2,364 each	16,548	
257	"	2 Drivers at $2,400 each	4,800	
258	"	2 Cooks at $2,100 each	4,200	
259	"	1 Jailer	2,400	
260	"	1 Female Deputy	1,920	
261	"	16 Keepers at $1,920 each	30,720	
		Total Personal Services	$279,104	

Other than Personal Services—
(Appropriation 14-B)

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
262	557 Var	Maintenance, Subsistence and Equipment	$68,000	
263	"	Stationery, Postage and Printing...	2,520	
		Total Sheriff		**$349,624**

RECORDER.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 15-A)

	454 AA	Personal Services—		
264	"	Recorder	$8,000	
265	"	Office Superintendent	3,600	
266	"	2 Deputies (Cashiers) at $2,580 each	5,160	
267	"	6 Deputies at $2,580 each	15,480	
268	"	7 Deputies at $2,400 each	16,800	
269	"	Chief Copyist	2,580	
270	"	1 Special Copyist	2,580	
271	"	Machinist	2,700	
272	"	Stenographer	2,100	
273	454 AB	22 Copyists at $2,400 each	52,800	
		Total Personal Services	$111,800	
Non-Personal Services—				
274	454 AB	Stationery, Postage and Printing...	$5,400	
		Total Recorder		**$117,200**

SUPERIOR COURTS.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 16-A)

275	425 A	16 Judges	$80,000	
276	"	Secretary and Jury Commissioner...	6,000	
277	425 A	Assistant Secretary	2,400	
278	"	Jury Examiner	2,400	
279	"	Information Deputy	1,800	
280	"	Messenger-Clerk	2,400	
281	"	8 Translators at $2,100 each	16,800	
282	"	Jury and Witness Fees	35,000	
283	428 A	Grand Jury Expenses	8,000	
284	425 A	Stenographers (Reporters' Fees)	30,000	
		Total Personal Services	$184,800	
Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 16-B)				
285	425 K	Court Orders	$8,000	
286	"	Stationery, Postage and Printing...	2,250	
		Total Superior Courts		**$195.050**

JUSTICES' COURTS.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 17-A)

287	428 A	5 Justices of the Peace at $5,400 each	$27,000	
288	"	Justices' Clerk	4,200	
289	"	Chief Deputy	3,300	
290	"	Cashier	3,000	

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
291	"	3 Deputy Clerks at $2,700 each.....	8,100	
292	"	Messenger	2,700	
293	"	1 Clerk	2,700	
294	"	1 Clerk	2,400	
295	"	2 Clerks at $1,920 each	3,840	
		Total Personal Services.....	$57,240	
		Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 17-B)		
296	428 A	Stationery, Postage and Printing...	$2,700	
		Total Justices' Courts.....		**$59,940**

JUVENILE DETENTION HOME.

		Personal Services—(Appropriation 18-A)		
297	558 A	Superintendent	$2,100	
298	"	Matron	1,500	
299	"	Assistant Superintendent	1,620	
300	"	Night Superintendent	1,620	
301	"	Clinic Nurse	1,200	
302	"	7 Nurses at $960 each.....	6,720	
303	"	Cook	1,200	
304	"	1 Orderly	1,620	
305	"	1 Orderly	1,200	
		Total Personal Services.....	$18,780	
		Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 18-B)		
306	558 Var	Maintenance and Subsistence.....	$15,000	
		Total Juvenile Detention Home.		**$33,780**

JUVENILE COURT.

		Personal Services—(Appropriation 19-A)		
307	559 A	Probation Officer	$4,200	
308	"	Chief Assistant Probation Officer..	3,300	
309	"	1 Assistant Probation Officer who shall act as Collector	2,880	
310	"	3 Assistant Probation Officers at $2,700 each	8,100	
311	"	1 Assistant Probation Officer who shall act as Cashier-Bookkeeper	2,700	
312	"	12 Assistant Probation Officers at $2,520 each	30,240	
313	"	4 Assistant Probation Officers who shall act as Stenographers at $2,220 each	8,880	
314	"	1 Assistant Probation Officer who shall act as Stenographer.....	1,980	
315	"	1 Assistant Probation Officer who shall act as File and Information Clerk	2,100	
		Total Personal Services.....	$64,380	
316	"	Non-Personal Services (Appropriation 19-B)	3,785	
317	"	Stationery, Postage and Printing...	1,215	
		Total Juvenile Court.....		**$69,380**

ADULT PROBATION DEPARTMENT.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 20-A)

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
318	5590 A	Chief Probation Officer	$4,200	
319	"	Assistant Chief Probation Officer	3,300	
320	"	7 Assistant Probation Officers at $2,520 each	17,640	
321	"	1 Assistant Cashier and Clerk	2,280	
		Total Personal Services	$27,420	
322	5590 K	Non-Personal Services (Appropriation 20-B)	250	
323	"	Stationery, Postage and Printing	450	
		Total Adult Probation Dept.		**$28,120**

WIDOWS' PENSION BUREAU.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 21-A)

324	553 A	Director	$3,000	
325	"	Assistant Director	2,400	
326	"	3 Social Service Visitors at $2,100 each	6,300	
327	"	1 Office Assistant	1,800	
		Total Personal Services	$13,500	
328	553 K	Non-Personal Services (Appropriation 21-B)	640	
329	"	Stationery, Postage and Printing	360	
		Total Widows' Pension Bureau.		**$14,500**

LAW LIBRARY.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 22-A)

330	427 A	Librarian	$4,800	
331	"	Assistant Librarian	3,000	
332	"	Bookbinder	2,100	
		Total Personal Services	$9,900	

Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 22-B)

333	427 A	Stationery, Postage and Printing	$270	
		Total Law Library		**$10,170**

POLICE COURTS.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 23-A)

334	423 A	4 Police Judges at $3,600 each	$14,400	
335	"	4 Stenographers at $3,900 each	15,600	
		Total Personal Services	$30,000	

Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 23-B)

336	423 A	Stationery, Postage and Printing	$90	
		Total Police Court		**$30,090**

CORONER.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 24-A)

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
337	429 A	Coroner	$8,000	
338	"	Chief Deputy	3,300	
339	"	Autopsy Surgeon	3,000	
340	"	3 Deputies at $2,580 each	7,740	
341	"	3 Assistant Deputies (female) at $1,980 each	5,940	
342	429 A	3 Assistant Deputy Drivers at $2,400 each	7,200	
343	"	1 Stenographer	2,400	
344	"	1 Assistant Stenographer	2,100	
345	"	Toxicologist	1,800	
346	"	Relief	800	
		Total Personal Services	$42,280	
347	429 K	Non-Personal Services (Appropriation 24-B)	$3,000	
348	"	Stationery, Postage and Printing	900	
		Total Coroner		$46,180

SEALER OF WEIGHTS AND MEASURES.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 25-A)

349	460 A	Sealer	$4,800	
350	"	Chief Deputy	3,300	
351	"	6 Deputy Sealers at $2,700 each	16,200	
		Total Personal Services	$24,300	
352	460 K	Non-Personal Services	1,500	
353		Stationery, Postage and Printing	270	
		Total Sealer of Weights and Measures		$26,070

HORTICULTURAL COMMISSION.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 25½-A)

354	464 A	1 Horticultural Commissioner	$3,000	
355	"	2 Horticultural Inspectors at $8.00 a day	4,800	
356	"	1 Stenographer-Clerk	1,800	
		Total Personal Services	$9,600	

Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 25½-B)

357	464 A	Non-Personal Services: Expense, auto rental at $40 a month	$1,560	
358	"	Stationery, Postage and Printing	135	
		Total Horticultural Commission		$11,295

DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS.

Commissioners and General Office.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 26-A)

359	414 A	3 Commissioners	$12,000	
360	"	Chief Deputy Commissioner	4,200	

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation
361	"	Experienced Clerk	3,600	
362	"	Experienced Clerk	3,600	
363	"	Experienced Clerk	2,400	
364	"	Ordinary Clerk	2,400	
365	"	Stenographer	2,400	
366	"	Stenographer	2,400	
367	"	Chauffeur	2,400	
368	"	Messenger	2,280	
369	"	General Clerk	2,100	
		Total Personal Services		$39,780

Bureau of Accounting.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 27-A)

370	414 A	Experienced Clerk in Charge	$4,300	
371	"	Ordinary Clerk, Head Timekeeper	2,700	
372	"	Experienced Clerk, Acting Bookkeeper	3,000	
373	"	12 Experienced Clerks at $2,400 a year	28,800	
374	417 A	2 Experienced Clerks at $1,800 each a year	3,600	
375	"	1 Experienced Clerk, Cost Accountant	3,300	
376	"	1 Experienced Clerk, Cashier	3,300	
377	"	1 Experienced Clerk	2,400	
378	"	Clerk	2,700	
379	"	2 Stenographers at $2,100 each a year	4,200	
380	"	5 Stenographers at $1,980 each a year	9,900	
381	"	Inspector of House Numbers	2,700	
		Total Personal Services		$70,900

Bureau of Architecture.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 28-A)

382	416 A	Superintendent of Construction	$4,200	
383	"	Architectural Draftsman	3,000	
384	"	Architectural Draftsman	2,700	
385	"	Apprentice Draftsman	1,200	
386	"	Stenographer	2,100	
		Total Personal Services		$13,200

Bureau of Building Repair.

Expenditures of appropriations for repairs to buildings in this subdivision are not to be made until estimates are filed with the Board of Supervisors and authorizations and appropriations made therefor.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 29-A)

387	432 AA	Superintendent	$4,200
388	"	Asst. Superintendent	3,600
389	"	Superintendent of Painters	3,360

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
390	"	Foreman Plumber	3,000	
391	"	Foreman Cement Finisher	3,000	
392	"	Foreman Carpenter	3,000	
393	"	Foreman Tinner	3,000	
394	"	Foreman Wireman	3,000	
395	"	Head Janitor	3,120	
396	"	2 Asst. Head Janitors, at $2,160 a year	4,320	
397	"	Night Foreman, Janitor	2,160	
398	"	57 Janitors, at $1,860 a year	106,020	
399	432 AA	4 Window Cleaners, at $2,040 each a year	8,160	
400	"	Foreman Window Cleaner	2,220	
401	432 AB	Janitors' Relief and Vacation	3,765	
402	432 AA	Elevator Starter—City Hall	2,160	
403	"	17 Elevator Operators, at $1,860 a year	31,620	
404	"	2 Watchmen, at $1,860 each a year.	3,720	
405	432 AB	Relief and Vacation, all Departments	1,840	
406	"	2 Chief Engineers, at $3,420 each a year	6,840	
407	"	5 Asst. Chief Engineers, at $2,520 a year	12,600	
408	"	Engineer—Part Time Relief and Vacation	2,520	
409	"	5 Firemen, at $2,200 each a year	11,000	
410	"	Firemen Relief and Vacation	435	
		Total Personal Services	$228,760	
		Employment for more or less constant service in the repair of public buildings other than school buildings		
411	432 AC	5 Carpenters, each at $9 per day	$13,500	
412	432 AA	Foreman Carpenter, $10 per day	3,000	
413	"	Carpenters' Helper, $7 per day	2,100	
414	"	Carpenters' Apprentice, $5.50 per day	1,650	
415	"	5 Plumbers, at $10 per day	15,000	
416	"	2 Steam Fitters, at $10 per day	6,000	
417	"	6 Painters, at $9 per day	16,200	
418	"	Foreman Painter, at $10 per day	3,000	
419	"	Painters, Shop Men, $7 per day	2,100	
420	432 AA	Painters' Apprentice, at $6 per day	1,800	
421	"	2 Tinners, $9 per day	5,400	
422	"	Tinners' Helper, at $6.50 per day	1,950	
423	"	Tinners' Apprentice, $4 per day	1,200	
424	"	Cement Finisher, at $9 per day	2,700	
425	"	Cement Finisher Helper, $8 per day	2,400	
426	"	Glazier, at $9 per day	2,700	
427	"	Steam Fitter, at $10 per day	3,000	
428	"	Locksmith, at $7 per day	2,100	
429	"	Wireman, at $9 per day	2,700	
		Total Personal Services	$88,500	
Non-Personal Services—				
		(Appropriation 29-B and C)		
430	432 B	Contractual Services	$3,600	
431	432 BA	Transportation Autos	2,500	

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
432	432 4A	Transportation Carfare	600	
433	432 C	Materials and Supplies	19,000	
434	432 BA	Transportation Carfare	180	
		SUPPLIES AND MAINTENANCE.		
435	432 BC	Towel Service	2,575	
436	432 BB	Scavenger Service	900	
		MATERIALS AND SUPPLIES.		
437	432 CB	Fuel Oil	$15,000	
438	432 CS	Janitorial Supplies	5,000	
439	432 CD	Engine Room Supplies and Equipment	5,000	
		Total Non-Personal Services	$54,355	
440	432 BH	Lighting Public Buildings Exclusive of School Buildings (Appropriation 29-D)	$30,000	
441	432 BL	Water for Public Buildings Exclusive of School Buildings (Appropriation 29-E)	18,000	

Bureau of Stores and Yards.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 30-A)

442	4142 AC	Experienced Clerk	$2,700
443	"	Watchman	2,640
444	"	Watchman	2,340
445	"	Watchman	1,980
446	"	2 Watchmen, at $1,860 each a year	3,720
447	"	2 Watchmen, at $1,920 each a year	3,840
448	"	Foreman Machinist	3,000
449	"	Machinist, at $9 a day	2,700
450	"	2 Blacksmiths, at $9 a day	5,400
451	"	3 Blacksmiths' Helpers, at $7.08 a day	6,372
452	"	Vulcanizer, at $7 a day	2,100
453	"	Carriage Painter, at $8.50 a day	2,550
453a	"	Painter's apprentice, at $5.50 a day.	1,650
454	4142 AC	2 Laborers, 365 days, at 6 a day	4,380
455	"	2 Laborers, at $6 a day	3,600
		Total Personal Services	$48,972

Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 30-B)

456	4142 C	Material and Supplies, Equipment	$1,000
		Total Non-Personal Services	$1,000

Bureau of Building Inspection.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 31-A)

457	455 A	Chief Inspector	$5,400
458	"	1 Inspector	3,300
459	"	1 Inspector	3,000
460	"	Boiler Inspector	3,000
461	"	2 Experienced Clerks, at $2,700 each	5,400
462	"	1 Inspector	2,550
463	"	10 Inspectors, at $2,700 a year	27,000

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
464	"	Messenger	1,560	
465	"	2 Engineers, at $3,600 each	7,200	
		Total Personal Services	$58,410	

Bureau of Engineering.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 32-A)

466	415 A	City Engineer	$5,000
467	"	Asst. City Engineer	5,400
468	"	4 Assistants, Civil Engineer, at $3,300 a year	13,200
469	"	Surveyor	3,600
470	"	Surveyor	3,000
471	"	4 Surveyors, at $3,000 a year	12,000
472	"	Surveyors' Field Assistant	3,000
473	"	2 Surveyors' Field Assistants, at $3,000 a year	6,000
474	"	4 Surveyors' Field Assistants, at $2,700 a year	10,800
475	"	1 Surveyor's Field Assistant	2,700
476	"	20 Surveyors' Field Assistants, at $2,700 a year	54,000
477	"	1 Engineering Draftsman	3,600
478	"	1 Engineering Draftsman	3,300
479	"	1 Engineering Draftsman	3,000
480	"	6 Engineering Draftsmen, at $2,700 a year	16.200
481	"	3 Engineering Draftsmen, at $2,700 a year	8,100
482	"	1 Engineering Draftsman	2,100
483	"	Cartographer	2,700
484	"	2 Inspectors of Streets and Sewers, at $2,700 a year	5,400
485	"	1 Inspector of Streets and Sewers	2,400
486	"	1 Inspector of Streets and Sewers	2,400
487	"	1 Inspector of Streets and Sewers	2,220
488	"	Engineering Chemist	3,600
489	"	Photographer	2,700
490	"	Photostat Operator	2,400
491	"	Blue Printer	2,100
492	"	3 Stenographers, at $1,980 a year	5,940
493	"	Chauffeur	2,400
494	415 A-B	Watchman, part time	870
		Total Personal Services	$190,130

Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 32-B)

495	415 B	Non-Personal Services	$8,000
496	"	Block Books	4,000
		Total Engineer's Department	$202,130

Street Repair Department.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 33-A)

497	527 AA	Superintendent	$4,500
498	"	Asst. Superintendent	3,900
499	"	2 Asst. Superintendents, at $2,700 each	5,400

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
500	"	Experienced Clerk	3,000	
501	"	Stenographer, 4 months	600	
		MUNICIPAL ASPHALT PLANT—300 DAYS.		
502	527 A	Engineer	$2,400	
503	"	2 Firemen, at $1.980 a year	3,960	
504	"	Foreman, at $9.50 a day	2,850	
505	"	Machinist	2,700	
506	527 AC	Asphalt Dryermen, at $8 a day	2,400	
507	"	2 Asphalt Mixermen, at $8 a day ..	4,800	
508	"	Asphalt Mixerman, at $8.50 a day..	2,550	
509	"	2 Asphalt Finishers, at $7.50 a day ..	4,500	
510	"	3 Asphalt Workers, at $7 a day	6,300	
511	527 AA	Telephone Operator	1,620	
		ASPHALT MAINTENANCE AND REPAIR—SURFACE HEATERS FOUR MACHINES, 280 DAYS.		
512	527 AC	2 Foremen, 300 days, at $8.50 a day	$5,100	
513	"	16 Finishers, at $7.50 a day	33,600	
514	"	24 Asphalt Workers, at $7 a day	47,040	
515	"	7 Engineers, at $9 a day	17,640	
516	"	10 Chauffeurs, at $7 a day	19,600	
		SIDEWALKS—150 DAYS.		
517	527 AC	1 Cement Finisher, at $9 a day.....	$1,350	
518	"	2 Cement Finisher Helpers, at $8 a day	2,400	
		CONCRETE REPAIRS, MISCELLANEOUS—280 DAYS.		
519	527 AC	15 Laborers, at $6 a day	$25,200	
		CURB SETTING AND DRESSING—280 DAYS.		
520	527 AC	2 Granite Cutters, at $9 a day.....	$5,040	
		BASALT BLOCK MAINTENANCE—270 DAYS.		
521	527 AC	Foreman, 280 days, at $9 a day.....	$2,520	
522	"	6 Pavers, at $8 a day	12,960	
523	"	3 Rammers, at $7 a day	5,670	
524	"	10 Laborers, at $6 per day	16,200	
		Total Personal Services	$245,800	

Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 33-C)

525	527	Asphalt Plant—Transportation, carfare, rental of 17 trucks at $12 a day, 280 days; switching and demurrage, garage expense, printing and bookbinding, power, water, repairs to equipment, tool sharpening, setting back hydrants, miscellaneous	$65,350	
526	"	Materials and Supplies — Asphalt, cement, limestone dust, paving brick, rock, sand and gravel, fuel oil, wood and coal, kerosene, gasoline, lubricants, tools, tires and tubes, redressed curb	92,400	
			$157,750	

Maintenance and Operation of Bridges.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 34-A)

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
527	527 A 9	Engineers, at $2,520 each a year ..	$22,680	
528	" 2	Engineers, Relief and Vacation, at $2,520 a year	5,040	
529	" 10	Watchmen, Bridge Tenders, at $1,860 each a year	18,600	
530	" 2	Watchmen, Relief and Vacation, at $1,860 a year	3,720	
531	" 1	Carpenter, at $9 per day	2,700	
532	" 1	Carpenter Helper at $6.50 per day	1,950	
		Total Personal Services	$54,690	

Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 34-B)

533	527 B	Contractual	$2,500	
534	527 C	Material and Supplies	1,000	
		Total Non-Personal Services ...	$3,500	

Street Cleaning Department.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 35-A-1)

535	502 AA	Superintendent	$4,200	
536	"	2 Asst. Superintendents, at $2,700 a year	5,400	
537	"	1 Experienced Clerk	3,000	
538	502 AA	6 Watchmen at $1,860 each a year..	11,160	
539	502 AC	210 Sweepers at $6 per day.....	378,000	
540	"	19 Chauffeurs at $7 per day.....	39,900	
541	"	14 Gang Foremen at $6.50 per day..	27,300	
542	"	1 Night Gang Foreman	2,100	
			$471,060	

Non-Personal Services—

543	502 BA	Transportation	$1,200	
544	502 BL	Water	6,500	
545	502 BN	Repairs and upkeep of equipment..	5,000	
546	502 C	Material and Supplies	20,000	
547	1092	Street Cans	600	
			$33,300	

Bureau of Sewer Repair.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 36-A)

548	501 AA	Superintendent	$4,200	
549	"	Asst. Superintendent	3,000	
550	"	Asst. Superintendent	2,700	
551	501 AC	Asst. Superintendent in charge Sewer Cleaning	2,550	
552	"	5 Bricklayers at $11 a day.....	16,500	
553	"	9 Hod Carriers at $9 a day.....	24,300	
554	501	3 Chauffeurs at $7 per day	6,300	
555	"	25 Sewermen at $6.50 per day.....	48,750	
556	"	21 Laborers at $6 per day.....	37,800	
557	"	18 Sewer Cleaners at $8 per day..	43,200	
		Total Personal Services.....	$189,300	

Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 36-B)

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
558	B	Repairs to equipment	$1,000	
559	C	Materials and Supplies	20,000	
		Total Non-Personal Services	$21,000	

Sewage Pumping Station.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 37-A)

560	501 AA	Watchman in Charge	$2,160	
561	501 AB	Relief and Vacation	90	
		Total Personal Services	$2,250	

Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 37-B)

562	501 BH	Power	$500	
563	501 C	Material and Supplies	700	
		Total Non-Personal Services	$1,200	

MISCELLANEOUS.

(Appropriation 38-A)

564	501 BA	Carfare	$1,200	
565	501 BN	Maintenance and Repairs to Equipment	3,000	
566	501 K	Material, Supplies, Equipment	2,000	
567	"	Stationery, Postage and Printing—all Departments	10,800	
			$17,000	
		Total Board of Works		$2,050,857

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICITY.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 40-A)

568	465 A	Office Superintendent	$5,000	
569	"	Secretary	3,000	
570	"	1 Clerk	2,700	
571	"	1 Stenographer-Typewriter	2,100	
572	"	1 Helper-Messenger	1,800	
573	"	Chief Inspector	3,300	
574	"	18 Inspectors at $3,000 each	54,000	
575	"	Chief Operator	3,000	
576	"	8 Fire Alarm Operators at $2,700 each	21,600	
577	"	4 Telephone Operators at $1,800 each	7,200	
578	"	Relief Telephone Operator	750	
579	"	Superintendent of Plant	3,300	
580	465 AC	Cable Splicer at $9 per diem	2,700	
581	"	Batteryman	2,700	
582	"	Foreman Instrument Maker	3,000	
583	"	5 Instrument Makers at $2,700 each	13,500	
584	"	Foreman Laborer	2,100	
585	"	3 Laborers at $6 per diem	5,400	
586	"	2 Machinists at $2,700 each	5,400	
587	"	3 Foremen Linemen at $2,700 each	8,100	
588	"	14 Linemen at $2,400 each	33,600	
589	"	Inside Wireman at $9 per diem	2,700	

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
590	"	Commissary	2,100	
591	"	Repairer	2,460	
592	"	2 Clerks at $2,280 each	4,560	
		Total Personal Services	$196,070	
		Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 40-B)		
593	465 Var	Material, Supplies and Equipment	$12,750	
594	"	Stationery, Postage and Printing	2,250	
		Personal and Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 40-E)		
595	1091	Underground Conduit System	15,000	
		Total Non-Personal Services	$30,000	
		Total Department of Electricity.		**$226,070**

FIRE DEPARTMENT.

		Personal Services—(Appropriation 41-A)		
596	452 AA	4 Commissioners at $1,200 each a year	$4,800	
597	"	Office Superintendent and Secretary	4,500	
598	"	Physician	2,820	
599	"	Stenographer	2,580	
600	"	Chief Engineer	7,200	
601	"	2 First Assistant Chiefs at $4,800 each	9,600	
602	"	2 Second Assistant Chiefs at $4,800 each	9,600	
603	"	21 Battalion Chiefs at $4,200 a year	88,200	
604	"	22 Operators at $2,520 a year	55,440	
605	"	Superintendent of Assignment	1,440	
			$186,180	
		ENGINE COMPANIES.		
606	452 AA	46 Captains at $2,820 each a year	$129,720	
607	"	82 Lieutenants at $2,670 each a year	218,940	
608	"	27 Engineers at $2,640 each a year	71,280	
609	"	86 Drivers at $2,400 each a year	206,400	
610	"	2 Drivers at $2,160 each a year	4,320	
611	"	346 Hosemen at $2,400 each a year	830,400	
612	"	15 Hosemen at $2,280 each a year	34,200	
613	"	46 Hosemen at $2,160 each a year	99,360	
614		Automatic increase in salaries	4,295	
			$1,598,915	
		CHEMICAL COMPANIES.		
615	452 AA	13 Captains at $2,820 each a year.	$36,660	
616	"	13 Lieutenants at $2,670 each a year	34,710	
617	"	26 Drivers at $2,400 each a year	62,400	
618	"	28 Hosemen at $2,400 each a year	67,200	
619	"	4 Hosemen at $2,280 each a year	9,120	
620	"	7 Hosemen at $2,160 each a year	15,120	
621		Automatic increase in salaries	930	
			$226,140	

TRUCK COMPANIES.

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
622	452 AA	15 Captains at $2,820 each a year.	$42,300	
623	"	18 Lieutenants at $2,670 each a year	48,060	
624	"	28 Drivers at $2,400 each a year..	67,200	
625	"	28 Tillermen at $2,400 each a year	67,200	
626	"	136 Truckmen at $2,400 each a year	326,400	
627	"	11 Truckmen at $2,280 each a year	25,080	
628	"	3 Truckmen at $2,160 each a year	6,480	
629		Automatic increase in salaries.....	1,190	
			$583,910	

WATER TOWER COMPANIES.

630	452 AA	1 Captain	$2,820	
631	"	1 Lieutenant	2,670	
632	452 AA	2 Drivers at $2,400 each a year....	4,800	
633	"	3 Hosemen at $2,400 each a year..	7,200	
			$17,490	

RESCUE SQUAD COMPANIES.

634	452 AA	1 Captain	$2,820	
635	"	2 Lieutenants at $2,670 each a year	5,340	
636	"	2 Drivers at $2,400 each a year....	4,800	
637	"	4 Hosemen at $2,400 each a year..	9,600	
		Total Personal Services.....	$22,560	

PUMPING STATIONS.

638	452 AA	2 Chief Engineers at $3,360 each a year	$6,720	
639	"	5 Asst. Engineers at $2,760 each a year	13,800	
640	"	7 Firemen at $2,340 each a year..	16,380	
641	452 AB	Vacation Engineers	805	
642	"	Vacation Firemen	685	
			$38,390	

DISTRIBUTING SYSTEM.

643	452 AA	Superintendent	$4,200	
644	"	Foreman Gateman	2,880	
645	"	Asst. Foreman Gateman	2,730	
646	"	11 Gatemen Hydrantmen at $2,580 each a year	28,380	
647	452 AC	1 Calker at $7.50 per day	2,250	
648	"	3 Laborers, at $6 per day each	5,400	
			$45,840	

CORPORATION YARD.

649	425 AA	Superintendent	$4,200	
650	"	Clerk and Commissary	2,400	
651	"	Bookkeeper	2,700	
652	"	3 Watchmen, at $2,040 each a year	6,120	
653	425 A	1 Drayman	2,220	
654	"	1 Clerk	1,800	
655	"	Batteryman	2,700	
656	"	1 General Foreman	3,300	
657	525 AC	12 Machinists, at $9 per day	32,400	
658	"	5 Machinists' Helpers, at $6.58 per day	9,870	

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
659	"	4 Blacksmiths, at $9 per day	10,800	
660	"	4 Blacksmiths' Helpers, at $7.08 per day	8,496	
661	"	2 Woodworkers, at $9 per day	5,400	
662	"	1 Brass Finisher, at $9 per day	2,700	
663	"	1 Boilermaker, at $9 per day	2,700	
664	"	1 Boilermaker's Helper, at $6.58 per day	1,974	
665	"	1 Foreman Carriage Painter, at $9.50 per day	2,850	
666	525 AC	2 Carriage Painters, at $9 per day..	5,400	
667	"	1 Leather Worker, at $8.50 per day	2,550	
		Total Corporation Yard	$110,580	
668	"	For Fire Boat Crew and Maintenance, all Revenues Received from the State of California to be Credited to this Item	$84,860	
		Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 41-B)		
669	452 BC	Maintenance, Material and Supplies	$130,500	
		EQUIPMENT.		
670	452 BL	Hydrant Service, Spring Valley Rental, Water for Buildings	177,000	
671	"	Stationery, Postage and Printing...	2,250	
672	1092	Equipment	90,000	
			$399,750	
		Total Fire Department		**$3,314,615**

POLICE DEPARTMENT.

		Personal Services—(Appropriation 42-A)		
673	451 AA	4 Commissioners, at $1,200 each a year	$4,800	
674	"	Office Superintendent	3,600	
675	"	Confidential Clerk	2,400	
676	"	Stenographer	3,000	
677	"	Chief of Police	7,200	
678	"	Chief Clerk	3,600	
678a	"	1 Property Clerk	3,600	
679	"	Stenographer	1,800	
680	"	Physician	2,400	
681	"	6 Telephone Operators, at $1,800 each a year	10,800	
		DETECTIVE BUREAU.		
682	"	Captain of Detectives.....	$5,000	
683	"	6 Lieutenants, at $3,000 each a year	18,000	
684	"	66 Detective Sergeants, at $2,760 a year	182,160	
685	"	Stenographer	2,760	
686	"	Photographer	2,700	
687	"	3 Women Protective Officers, at $2,400 each a year.....	7,200	
		UNIFORM FORCE.		
688	"	Captain of Traffic.....	$4,000	
689	"	14 Captains, at $3,60 each a year..	50,400	

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation
690	"	35 Lieutenants, at $3,000 each a year	105,000	
691	"	84 Sergeants, at $2,640 each a year	221,760	
692	"	129 Corporals, at $2,580 each a year	332,820	
693	"	884 Patrolmen, at $2,400 each a year	2,121,600	
694	451 AA	26 Patrol Drivers, at $2,400 each a year	62,400	
695	"	10 Hostlers, at $2,160 each a year	21,600	
696	"	4 Matrons, at $2,100 each a year	8,400	
697	"	Matron's Relief	1,312	
698	"	Cook	2,100	
699	"	3 Engineers, Police Boat, at $2,400 each a year	7,200	
700	"	Inspector of Motor Vehicles	2,760	
701	"	Inspector of Horses and Equipment	2,760	
702	"	Inspector of Repairs and Maintenance	2,760	
		Total Personal Services		$3,207,892

Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 42-C)

703	451 B	Contractual Service	$21,500	
704	451 C	Material and Supplies	47,500	
705	1092	Equipment — Automobiles, Motorcycles, Horses and Miscellaneous (Appropriation 42-B)	20,000	
706	K	Contingent Fund (Appropriation 42-B)	9,000	
707	"	Stationery, Postage and Printing	9,000	
		Total Police Department		$3,314,892

DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH.

Central Office.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 43-A)

708	476 A	Health Officer	$9,000	
709	"	Chief Clerk	4,200	
710	"	Stenographer	2,580	
711	"	3 Stenographers, at $2,280 a year	6,840	
712	"	2 Clerks, at $2,280 each a year	4,560	
713	"	1 Clerk, Personal	1,800	
714	"	2 Telephone Operators, at $1,800 each a year	3,600	
715	467 AB	Relief Telephone Operator	400	
		ACCOUNTING.		
716	476 AA	Auditor	$4,200	
717	"	2 Clerks, at $2,280 each a year	4,560	
		STATISTICS.		
718	476 AA	1 Clerk—Morbidity	$3,600	
719	"	1 Clerk—Mortuary	2,280	
720	"	1 Clerk—Births	2,280	
721	"	1 Clerk—Statistician, Cancer Survey	1,500	
		CONTROL OF COMMUNICABLE DISEASES—TUBERCULOSIS.		
722	476 AA	Chief Market Inspector	$2,700	
723	"	7 Veterinarians, at $2,400 each a year	16,800	
724	"	16 Market Inspectors, at $2,400 each a year	38,400	

OTHER COMMUNICABLE DISEASES.

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
725	476 AA	4 Sanitary Inspectors at $2,700 each a year	$10,800	
726	"	1 Disinfector	2,400	
727	"	1 Disinfector	2,220	
728	"	Inspector, U. S. P. H. S.	1,200	
		CLINICS.		
729	476 AA	Clinician	$3,000	
730	"	Psychologist	1,800	
731	"	2 Assistant Psychologists at $1,500 each	3,000	
732	"	Assistant Psychologist	900	
733	"	Physician Psychologist	1,200	
734	"	Psychologist	600	
735	"	Social Service Worker	1,800	
736	"	Physician	2,100	
737	"	Janitress	1,500	
		BACTERIOLOGICAL LABORATORIES.		
738	476 AA	Director of Laboratories	$3,600	
739	"	Milk Bacteriologist	3,000	
740	"	2 Bacteriologists, at $2,700 each a year	5,400	
741	"	1 Bacteriologist	2,100	
742	"	Technician	1,500	
743	"	Clerk	2,280	
744	"	2 Helpers at $720 each a year	1,440	

Conservation of Child Life.

SCHOOL INSPECTION.

745	476	Chief Medical Inspector	$3,000	
746	476 AA	2 School Inspectors at $2,700 each a year	5,400	
747	"	4 School Inspectors (part time) at $1,800 each a year	7,200	
748	"	Optometrist	1,500	
		DENTAL.		
749	476 AA	Dentist	$3,000	
750	"	3 Dental Hygienists at $1,800 each a year	5,400	
751	"	11 Dentists at $1,200 each a year	13,200	
752	"	1 Dentist Assistant	600	
		CHILD WELFARE.		
753	476 AA	Pediatrician	$3,000	
754	"	Pediatrician	480	
755	"	Pediatrician	240	
755a	"	Physician	1,500	

Food Inspection.

MILK AND DAIRY CONTROL.

756	476 AA	2 Veterinarians, at $3,600 each a year	$7,200	
757	"	1 Veterinarian	3,000	
		OTHER FOOD CONTROL.		
758	476 AA	Chief Food Inspector	$3,600	
759	"	7 Food Inspectors, at $2,400 each a year	16,800	

CHEMICAL LABORATORY.

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
760	476 AA	Chemist	$3,000	
761	"	Chemist	2,700	
762	"	Technician	1,080	

Protection of Life and Property.

PLUMBING INSPECTION.

763	456 A	Chief Plumbing Inspector, at $3,600 a year	$3,600	
764	"	6 Plumbing Inspectors, at $2,820 each a year	16,920	

HOUSING INSPECTION.

765	457 A	Tenement House Inspector	$3,000	
766	"	3 Tenement House Inspectors at $2,400 a year	7,200	
767	"	3 Tenement House Inspectors at $2,100 each a year	6,300	

FACTORY INSPECTION.

768	458 AA	2 Industrial Inspectors at $2,100 each a year	$4,200	
769	"	1 Industrial Inspector	1,980	

REFUSE COLLECTION.

770	429 A	2 Inspectors at $2,100 each	$4,200	

CHARITIES AND RELIEF.

771	476 A	2 City Physicians at $3,600 a year	$7,200	
772	"	1 City Physician	3,000	

FIELD NURSING.

773	476 A	Director	$3,600	
774	"	2 Supervisors School Medical Inspection at $2,280 each	4,560	
775	"	Supervision Nutrition—Field Nurse	2,280	
776	"	Supervisor—Social Service	2,280	
777	"	2 Supervisors—Tuberculosis Bureau	4,560	

Nurses.

TUBERCULOSIS BUREAU.

778	476 A	8 Field Nurses at $1,980 a year	$15,840	
779	"	1 Graduate Nurse	1,800	

SCHOOL MEDICAL INSPECTION.

780	476 A	30 Field Nurses at $1,980 a year	$59,400	
781	"	Nutrition Worker	2,100	

CHILD WELFARE.

782	476 A	Field Nurse—Foster Homes	$1,980	
783	"	10 Field Nurses—Health Centers, at $1,980 a year	19,800	

SOCIAL SERVICE.

784	476 A	Field Nurse	$1,980	
785	"	Social Service Worker	1,980	
786	"	Institutional Nurse	1,620	

TUBERCULOSIS, OTHER THAN NURSING.

787	476 A	Director	$4,200	
788	"	Physician	600	
789	"	Clerk-Stenographer	1,020	
790	"	Cook—Michael Angelo School	720	
		Total Personal Services	$430,960	

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
791	476 BH	Lighting Health Buildings (Appropriation 43-B)	$2,500	
792	"	Water, Health Buildings (Appropriation 43-C)	27,000	
		RAT EXTERMINATION.		
793	476 AA	4 Rat Catchers at $1,320 a year each	$5,280	
794	476 B	Carfare	225	
795	476 C	Material and Supplies	525	
796	476 B	Contractual Services (Appropriation 43-D)	23,850	
797	476 C	Material, Supplies and Equipment	40,000	
798	"	Stationery, Postage and Printing	10,800	
		Total Non-Personal Services	$110,180	

Laguna Honda Home.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 44-A)

799	552 AA	Superintendent	$6,000	
800	"	Clerk	3,000	
801	"	Stenographer	2,280	
802	"	Telephone Operator	900	
803	"	Watchman	1,500	
804	"	Physician	2,400	
805	"	Physician	1,800	
806	"	Pharmacist	2,400	
807	"	Supervisor Nurse	1,800	
808	"	20 Institutional Nurses at $1,200 each	24,000	
809	"	1 Practical Nurse	840	
810	"	4 Practical Nurses at $600 each	2,400	
811	"	2 Vocational Therapeutic Nurses at $1,500 each	3,000	
811a	"	Dietician	1,500	
812	"	Orderly	720	
813	"	Orderlies as required	16,740	
814	"	Nurses—Relief and Vacation	100	
815	"	Commissary	2,400	
816	"	Laundryman	2,268	
817	"	Laundress	1,524	
818	552 AA	Laundryman	600	
819	"	Chief Steward	2,400	
820	"	3 Stewards, at $1,680 each a year	5,040	
821	"	1 Steward	1,380	
822	"	Head Matron	2,400	
823	"	Matron	1,020	
824	"	Seamstress	1,380	
825	"	Seamstress	1,080	
826	"	Practical Nurse	1,080	
826a	"	4 Wardmen at $480 each a year	1,920	
827	"	Chief Cook	2,280	
828	"	Cook	2,100	
829	"	3 Cooks at $1,980 each a year	5,940	
830	"	2 Cooks (female) at $1,200 each a year	2,400	
831	"	Butcher	2,520	
832	"	Butcher, Relief	105	
833	"	Baker	1,980	
833a	"	Dining Room Steward	1,680	
834	"	Farmer	1,920	
835	"	Farmer	1,800	

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
836	"	Engineer	2,520	
837	"	2 Assistant Engineers at $2,520 each a year	5,040	
838	"	Driver	1,800	
839	552 AC	Plumber at $10 a day	3,000	
840	552 AA	Gardener	1,800	
841	552 AC	Gardener's Helper	900	
842	"	Inmate Help	28,484	
		Total Personal Services		$162,141
		Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 44-B)		
843	552 B	Contractual Services	$3,750	
844	552 C	Materials and Supplies	260,000	
845	"	Equipment	10,000	
				$273,750

Isolation Hospital.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 45-A)

846	544 AA	Superintendent	$2,400	
847	"	Office Attendant	840	
848	"	Watchman	1,740	
849	"	Night Watchman	1,320	
850	504 AC	Relief Night Watchman	207	
851	554 AA	3 Gatemen at $540 each	1,620	
852	"	Resident Physician	4,200	
853	"	House Officer	300	
854	"	2 Internes at $120 each a year	240	
855	"	12 Graduate Nurses at $1,200 each a year	14,400	
856	"	Practical Nurse	600	
857	"	Graduate Nurses, Emergency Relief	3,600	
858	"	4 Orderlies at $540 each a year	2,160	
859	"	4 Practical Nurses at $540 each a year	2,160	
860	554 AA	8 Pupil Nurses at $144 each a year	1,152	
861	"	Seamstress	1,080	
862	"	2 Janitors at $600 each a year	1,200	
863	"	6 Wardmen at $540 each a year	3,240	
864	"	7 Wardmen at $540 each a year	3,780	
865	554 AB	Institutional Help, Emergency Relief	1,460	
866	544 AA	Cook	2,100	
867	554 AB	Cook, Vacation Relief	175	
868	554 AA	Kitchen Helper	720	
869	"	3 Kitchen Helpers at $540 each a year	1,620	
870	"	Dishwasher	540	
871	"	Waitress	1,140	
872	544 AC	Waitress, Vacation	45	
873	544 AA	Gardener	1,200	
		Total Personal Services		$55,239

San Francisco Hospital.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 46-A)

874	544 AA	Superintendent	$6,000	
875	"	Assistant Superintendent	2,700	

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
876	"	Assistant Superintendent, T. B.	2,400	
877	"	Clerk	3,000	
878	"	Stenographer	1,920	
879	"	2 Stenographers at $900 each	1,800	
880	"	Statistician	2,280	
881	"	Assistant Statistician	1,200	
882	"	2 Clerks at $1,200 each a year.....	2,400	
883	"	Office Attendant, T. B.....	900	
884	"	2 Telephone Operators at $1,800 each a year	3,600	
885	"	Night Telephone Operator	1,080	
886	"	Night Telephone Operator	840	
887	"	Telephone Operator	1,500	
888	"	Watchman	1,620	
889	"	Watchman, T. B.	1,320	
890	"	Watchman	720	
891	"	Teamster	2,040	
892	"	Messenger	540	
893	"	Institutional Help	3,000	
894	"	Resident Physician	1,500	
895	"	12 House Officers at $300 each a year	3,600	
896	"	30 Internes at $120 a year.....	3,600	
897	"	Pharmacist	3,000	
898	"	Assistant Pharmacist	2,700	
899	"	Stockman	900	
900	"	Institutional Help	480	
901	"	Dentist	1,200	
902	"	Radiologist	3,300	
903	"	X-Ray Technician	1,800	
904	"	X-Ray Technician	1,200	
905	"	Clerk-Stenographer	1,200	
906	"	Operating Room Nurse	2,100	
907	544 AA	Asst. Operating Room Nurse.....	1,320	
908	"	2 Institutional Nurses at $1,200 each a year	2,400	
909	"	Anaesthetist	1,800	
910	"	Anaesthetist	1,500	
911	"	Plaster Cast Maker	900	
912	"	2 Social Service Workers at $1,620 each a year	3,240	
913	"	Superintendent of Nurses.....	3,000	
914	"	3 Asst. Supt. of Nurses at $1,800 each a year	5,400	
915	"	Supervisor of Nurses, T. B.....	1,380	
916	"	Supervisor of Admissions.....	1,380	
917	"	4 Instructors, Training School, at $1,500 each a year.....	6,000	
918	"	Instructors, Training School, Obstetrical Nurses	1,500	
919	"	Practical Nurse in Charge of Nurses' Home	900	
920	"	Assistant Practical Nurse.....	720	
921	"	48 Institutional Nurses at $1,200 each a year.....	57,600	
922	"	2 Supervisors, Institutional Nurses, at $1,320 each	2,640	
923	"	Practical Nurses	15,000	
924	"	Orderlies	25,000	
925	"	Orderlies, T. B.	1,450	
926	"	Office Assistant Supt. of Nurses.....	540	

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
927	"	Medical Advisor	1,500	
928	"	Pupil Nurses	10,000	
929	"	1 Institutional Nurse, Psychiatric Ward	1,500	
930	"	4 Institutional Nurses at $1,200 each a year	4,800	
931	"	2 Practical Nurses at $600 each a year	1,200	
932	"	2 Practical Nurses at $540 each	1,080	
933	"	Porter	540	
934	"	Pantrymaid	540	
935	"	4 Orderlies at $600 each a year	2,400	
936	"	Orderly	540	
937	"	Commissary	3,600	
938	"	Storekeeper	1,800	
939	"	5 Storekeeper Helpers	2,000	
		LAUNDRY.		
940	554 AA	Superintendent	$3,300	
941	"	Washer	1,764	
942	"	Wringer	1,524	
943	"	Starcher	1,416	
944	"	Washer's Helper	1,380	
945	"	5 Ironers at $1,176 each a year	5,880	
946	"	3 Ironers at $1,128 each a year	3,384	
947	"	4 Dry Room Workers at $1,104 each a year	4,416	
948	"	Deliveryman	480	
949	"	Deliveryman	540	
950	554 AA	Marker	1,524	
951	"	Sorter	1,524	
952	"	Linenroom Worker	1,116	
953	"	Flat Work Finisher	1,104	
954	"	10 Mangel Hands at $1,020 each a year	10,200	
955	"	2 Porters	1,020	
956	"	Seamstress	540	
		HOUSEKEEPING.		
957	554 AA	Seamstress	$1,320	
958	"	4 Seamstresses at $660 each	2,640	
959	"	Surgery Porter	900	
960	"	Lockerman	600	
961	"	Morgueman	600	
962	"	Morgueman	540	
963	"	Mattress Maker	600	
964	"	Incineratorman	540	
965	"	Sterilizerman	600	
966	"	8 Chambermaids	4,000	
967	"	Foreman, Institutional Help	1,080	
968	"	Asst. Foreman, Institutional Help	600	
969	"	Window Washer	600	
970	"	Window Washer	720	
971	"	25 Wardmen	15,000	
972	"	40 Porters	19,200	
973	"	Institutional Help, T. B.	500	
		CULINARY.		
974	554 AA	Cook, Chef	$2,280	
975	"	Cook, Butcher	2,100	
976	"	Cook, Pastry	2,100	
977	"	4 Cooks at $1,980 each	7,920	
978	"	Night Cook	1,080	

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
979	"	Dietician, Chief	2,100	
980	"	Dietician, T. B.	1,500	
981	"	2 Dieticians, D. R., at $1,500 each a year	3,000	
982	"	16 Waiters or Waitresses at $1,140 each a year	18,240	
983	554 AC	Institutional Help	29,000	
		POWER PLANT.		
984	554 AA	Chief Engineer	$3,420	
985	"	4 Engineers at $2,520 each a year	10,080	
986	"	3 Fireman at $2,220 each a year	6,660	
987	554 AB	Firemen Relief	2,220	
988	554 AA	Helper	540	
989	554 AA	2 Electricians at $2,850 each a year	5,700	
990	"	Gardener	1,680	
991	"	Assistant Gardener	720	
992	"	7 Yardmen	4,200	
993	554 AB	Vacation and Reliefs in Various Departments	7,500	
		Total Personal Services	$435,802	
Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 46-B)				
994	554 B	Contractual	$27,000	
995	554 C	Materials and Supplies	125,000	
996	554 CO	Foodstuff	245,000	
997	554 H	Fixed Charges	2,225	
998	1092	Equipment	8,000	
		Total Non-Personal Services	$407,225	
		Emergency Hospitals.		
Personal Services—(Appropriation 47-A)				
999	5541 AA	Chief Surgeon	$3,000	
1000	"	Assistant Chief Surgeon	2,700	
1001	"	Chief Steward	3,000	
1002	"	Stenographer	2,280	
1003	"	10 Surgeons at $2,400 each a year	24,000	
1004	"	22 Stewards at $2,400 each a year	52,800	
1005	"	11 Nurses at $1,980 each a year	21,780	
1006	"	Relief Nurses	1,980	
1007	"	15 Ambulance Drivers at $2,400 each a year	36,000	
1008	"	Messenger	1,200	
1009	5541 AB	2 Surgeons (Half Time), Hospital Station, Fleishhacker Pool	2,400	
1010	"	Extra Emergency Service when required	1,000	
1011	"	Vacations and Relief	6,740	
		Total Personal Services	158,000	
1012	5541 B	Contractual Service (Appropriation 47-B)	6,000	
1013	5541 C	Material and Supplies, Equipment	15,000	
1014	5541 H	Fixed Charges	500	
		Total	$21,500	
		Total Board of Health		$2,055,677

BOARD OF EDUCATION.

Common School Fund—

(Appropriations 48 and 48-B)

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
1015	576 A	Teachers' Compensation	$6,043,949	
1016	"	For the Conduct, Maintenance and Operation of the School Department, other than for Teachers' Salaries and Tuition of Junior College Students	1,160,000	
		Total School		$7,203,949
		CHARTER FUND AND STATE LAWS.		
1017	612	For Playground Fund as Provided by Charter Amendment, $0.05 in tax rate (Appropriation 49)		$878,000
1018	601	Park Fund (Appropriation 50)		$756,000
1019	631/132	Bond Interest and Redemption (Appropriation 51)		$4,252,211
1020	629	Firemen's Relief and Pension Fund (Appropriation 52)		$345,000
1021	577	Library Fund (Appropriation 53)		$283,500
1022	629	Board of Administration San Francisco City Employees' Retirement Fund (Appropriation 54)		$769,700
1023	403	Publicity and Advertising (Appropriation 55)		$140,000
1024	553	Maintenance of Blind (Appropriation 56)		$17,000
1025	60273	Maintenance of Aquarium (Appropriation 57)		$50,000
1026	630	Tax Judgments (Appropriation 59)		$190,000
1027	60273	De Young Museum (Appropriation 60)		$70,000
1028	60239	California Palace Legion of Honor (Appropriation 61)		$70,000
		TOTAL BUDGET		$33,007,518

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Byington, Deasy—3.

Street Work Out of County Roads Fund.

Supervisor McSheehy moved that Finance Committee's recommendation of street and highway improvements be done out of the proceeds from County Road Fund, estimated cost, $1,025,000.

County Road Fund, 1926-1927.

Alemany boulevard; Bayshore Highway; Bryant street, Ninth to Eleventh streets; Beale street, Mission street to The Embarcadero (resurfacing); Bernal Heights boulevard; Clement street, First to Fifth avenues (resurfacing) and Tenth to Eighteenth avenues; Eighteenth street, Danvers to Castro streets; Front street, Pacific street to Broadway; Fourth street, Market to Mission streets; Fillmore street, Sutter to Fulton streets; Fulton street, First avenue to Stanyan street; Grand View avenue; Grant avenue, Greenwich to Chestnut streets; Geary street, Van Ness avenue, westerly (widening and resurfacing); Harrison street, Sixth to Seventh streets; Jackson street, Sansome to Montgomery streets; Junipero Serra boulevard; Laguna Honda boulevard; Larkin

street, California to Jackson streets; Larkin street, Pacific to Union streets; Market street extension; Main street, Folsom street to The Embarcadero; Ninth street, Market street southerly; Oak street, Baker to Divisadero streets; Presidio avenue, Geary to California streets; Pine, Leavenworth to Taylor (widening); Polk street, Golden Gate avenue to McAllister street; Potrero avenue, Sixteenth street southerly; Roosevelt way; royalties for surface heaters ($12,000); San Jose avenue, Paulding street to Mount Vernon avenue; Silver avenue, San Bruno to Oakdale avenues; Sutter street, Sansome street westerly (resurfacing and widening); Sutter street, Divisadero to Fillmore streets; Stanyon street, Fulton to Fell streets.

Good Roads Fund, 1927-1928.

Seventh street, Mission to Brannan streets; Twenty-third avenue, Anza to Cabrillo streets; upper road at the Beach; Valencia street widening; Washington street, Montgomery to Sansome streets; Webster street, Sutter to Pine streets.

ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business, the Board at 6 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors October 10, 1927.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing are true and correct copies of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the dates thereon stated, and approved as above recited.

JOHN S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco.

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Vol. 22—New Series No. 22

Tuesday, May 31, 1927

Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
337 Bush Street, S. F.

JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

TUESDAY, MAY 31, 1927, 10 A. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Tuesday, May 31, 1927, 10 a. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy —2.

Quorum present.

His Honor Mayor Rolph presiding.

APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the meeting of January 31, 1927, was considered read and approved.

ROLL CALL FOR PETITIONS FROM MEMBERS.

Relative to Decision of Supreme Court on Title of Fleishhacker Park and Playfield and Harding Field.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

San Francisco, Cal.,
May 31, 1927.

Honorable Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco, California.

Gentlemen:

I am enclosing herewith copy of a letter sent today to Mr. S. P. Eastman, President of the Spring Valley Water Company, regarding the decision of the Supreme Court on the title of Fleishhacker Park and Playfield and the Harding Park.

Just as soon as I receive a reply from Mr. Eastman I shall forward a copy.

Respectfully,
JAMES ROLPH, JR.,
Mayor.

San Francisco, Cal.,
May 31, 1927.

Mr. S. P. Eastman, President Spring Valley Water Co., 425 Mason street, San Francisco, California.

Dear Mr. Eastman:

My attention has been called to the various articles appearing in the public press concerning the Supreme Court decision invalidating the present title and possession of the site of Fleishhacker Park and Playfield and the Harding Park.

Will you kindly advise me what the exact status of this matter is and how the original agreement between your Company and the City can be put in legal form in order that the City and County of San Francisco may acquire, through legal means, the clear and unincumbered title to these two great projects.

I will appreciate an expression of the attitude of your Company as to the aid and cooperation they will give the City in clearing up this matter for the benefit of the public.

Yours very respectfully,
(Signed)
JAMES ROLPH, JR.,
Mayor.

Ordered *filed*.

Leave of Absence, F. Dohrmann, Jr., Board of Education.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

To the Honorable Mayor and Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, California.

Gentlemen:

I have the honor to request that I be granted a leave of absence from June 3 to September 15 with permission to leave the State; the reason therefor being my departure on June 3 for Europe.

Very respectfully,
F. DOHRMANN, JR.,
Member of Board of Education.

Whereupon, the following resolution was presented and *adopted*:

Resolution No. 27315 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Hon. F. Dohrmann, Jr., member of the Board of Education, is hereby granted a leave

of absence from June 3 to September 15, 1927, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Retirement of Thomas Cleary, Assistant Clerk, Board of Supervisors.

The Mayor: I don't want the opportunity to go by without calling your attention to the fact that Thomas R. Cleary—plain Tom Cleary—is retiring from the public service today. He has been with you for fifteen and a half years. Prior to that time he was with the Recorder for five years. He has been in the public service for seventeen and a half years. I do not think it is right to see some of these men who have been with us all these years, serving the public, just passing out silently as the daylight goes into darkness and before the new day breaks, then like Tom Cleary will go out through the march of time. Therefore, I think a kindly word to Thomas Cleary at this time. When this City Hall was being builded he could tell you the number of all the rivets that were used in the construction of this building; he could tell you all the shives, the beams, the bricks, the pieces of marble, the concrete slabs, the Indiana sand stone, now high a building it is, how broad it is, how many lights there are in the illumination of the building, and he has been a walking encyclopedia for the visitors who have come to San Francisco in pointing out to them the places of interest in this City. He has served the City faithfully and well. He goes out through the pension system. He was seventy years of age last week. He has to go because the march of time comes on; nothing can stop it; old age creeps on, but he has remained one of the most useful members we have in our City government, and I, as Mayor, thank him for his service to the City, for his ever courteous attention to every one who comes within our City. He has been a good and faithful servant; he has discharged well every duty that has been placed upon him. I hope for him a long and happy life in the future. I hope he has prepared himself with a nice little nest egg that will keep him out of want and out of trouble. He has had a great deal of sickness in his family, but we hope that that is passed; that the way lies clear ahead. We are here today just to thank you and to wish you well, Tom Cleary. I want to call upon any one of the members of the Board to arise and pay a tribute of respect to this good old faithful servant of the City, Tom Cleary. (Applause.)

Supervisor Shannon: Mr. Mayor, we are all familiar with the work of Tom Cleary as a clerk in the office of the Board of Supervisors of this City. Some of us that have had occasion at any time to go to Mr. Cleary for information have never been sent away without obtaining the information that we desired. He was on duty at all times, and, although he was nearing the age of seventy, he was just as active as some of the younger men in the employ of the City and it was always a pleasure to go to him, and you were assured of getting the definite information for which you were looking. I move that the Clerk of this Board be instructed to insert in the Journal a note of appreciation from the members of this Board for the service of Tom Cleary and his activities for the benefit of the City at large in the past.

Supervisor McSheehy: I desire to second that motion, Mr. Mayor, and in doing so may I say a word to my colleagues in reference to Tom Cleary? Mr. Cleary is a man that is endowed with a wonderful memory. We members of the Board know that Mr. Cleary has been the proofreader of this Board of Supervisors for fifteen years, and no one realizes or appreciates what the work of a proofreader means more than does Supervisor Shannon, who is engaged in that line of work. As a proofreader and with this marvelous memory that he has, he has been and is a walking encyclopedia of the doings of this Board, as the Mayor has said. If you wanted to ask a question you asked it of Tom Cleary, and you got an answer in about two minutes. We will miss you, Tom Cleary. We will have a hard time when it comes to the matter of searching out your equal. We can perhaps duplicate you with a younger man, but I doubt if we will be able to duplicate you with a man who has had the work of this office at heart to the degree that you have had it. As I have said, that wonderful memory of yours—that coupled with your other qualities—has been such that you have done great work for our City. I want to

say again that I have often asked for information from Tom Cleary, and I have always received it, sometimes at the cost of great effort on his part, I know. In closing I want to compliment you, your Honor, for taking it upon yourself today to notify this Board of the retirement of Tom Cleary. We in our busy work here would have forgotten all about Tom Cleary most likely, but you remembered. This is an example of your Honor's attitude toward his subordinates that arouses my admiration. I may differ with you in a number of ways, as I do, but I have always appreciated your Honor's kindness of heart, and you are showing it here today in calling our attention to the going of Tom Cleary. I want to say to you, Tom Cleary, I bid you adieu and Godspeed and good luck, and I hope that your good health will follow you through whatever pursuit you undertake. (Applause.)

Supervisor Colman: Mr. Mayor, I am surprised to hear that Tom is seventy years of age. He certainly does not look it. In fact, Mr. Mayor, he has a much finer head of hair than several of this Board who are younger and whose names I will not mention. (Laughter.) I have known Tom during the time that I have been on this Board and I have always found him to be courteous, quiet and efficient. I think he can feel that his work has been done and done very well, and I wish you, Thomas Cleary, a long life of happiness and good health. (Applause.)

Supervisor Gallagher: Mr. Mayor, would Mr. Shannon mind a suggestion? That the Clerk prepare a suitable resolution to be given to Mr. Tom Cleary with the compliments of the Board, together with a copy of the remarks made here this morning on the day of his retirement. I would just like to add this word: I think it was just about the time I was coming into the Board, or trying very hard to get in—I don't know which—that I met Mr. Cleary in the office. You were busy at other matters while he toiled away on the legislation that had been passed and, remembering that and the work of Tom Cleary, as I know it, I have thought today that we do not give enough consideration to the fact that in his hands for a great period of years were left the acts which we have done, sometimes in great hurry, sometimes in not too perfect form in a literary sense, and it has been his job to protect the taxpayers and to protect us by making sure that the legislation passed was as we intended it to be. That in itself was a task that has been most wonderfully performed. I have had the pleasure and honor of being acquainted with Mr. Cleary for a long period of years, and he comes from a very, very fine family, I assure you. I think that Mr. Cleary must feel this today, that any man who retires from here or from any work in which he has been engaged and hear his colleagues and those with whom he has worked say: "We are sorry to see you go," receives a compliment that is really worth while. I ask Mr. Shannon again if he will consent to add to his motion that the Clerk be directed to send Mr. Cleary a copy, suitably bound, of the remarks made on this occasion of his retirement, but I wish you would give him to understand that we are not really saying goodbye. We want to see him around. We want him to feel that he is welcome, and we want him to come back as often as he pleases. When we see men like him stepping from the ranks, we do not like to feel that we are losing touch with them. It is too bad that the regulations by which we are governed do not provide a means by which we might avail ourselves of the knowledge that he has, instead of requiring us to look to others henceforth. While probably in other hands his duties will not be so well performed, perhaps in time they will catch up to his systematic way of doing what is to be done, but I am sorry that the law operates so that he must leave us. However, as I have said, I hope that he will keep in touch with us; that we may some day see him sit in one of these empty seats from which it might well be that he could give us information which, as an employee of the Board, he could not furnish. I remember the many courtesies that have been extended to us by Tom Cleary and the many times we have been straightened out when we were in difficulties both as individuals and as members of the Board, and I say again, I hope that Mr. Cleary will continue a busy life and a long and happy one. He knows that he leaves our employ with the very best wishes of all of those with whom he has come in contact. (Applause.)

Supervisor Shannon: I accept Mr. Gallagher's suggestion with great pleasure.

The Mayor: That is fine.

Supervisor Byington: I desire to make reference to one matter that seems to have been passed, your Honor. Mr. Cleary has struck me not only on account of the knowledge of the affairs of the municipality, but with reference to his knowledge of the general history of San Francisco. I have known him for thirty years, and I do not know any person who is more familiar with the political and other history of San Francisco than he is, and it seems to me that is the matter in which he takes special pride. I myself have taken considerable interest in the history of San Francisco and quite frequently when I desired to recall a matter of some date or something connected with the history of San Francisco I have gone to Mr. Cleary and found him a storehouse of knowledge on those matters. I am surprised that, young looking as he is, he is going to retire. He ought to be here in the public service. However, the good will and friendship of not alone the members of the Board of Supervisors but of everyone that has known him for forty years will accompany him all through his life. (Applause.)

Supervisor Hayden: I arrived just as Supervisor Gallagher was speaking, and I found that the occasion was the retirement of my very dear and most intimate friend —not only of myself personally, but of my family—Tom Cleary. We appreciate the good that the retirement system has brought to the employees of this City government, and we appreciate also that in the retirement of Mr. Cleary under its operation, we are losing the services of a man who is physically fit to continue in service for many years longer. While I imagine this has been said already, I want to say that it is a pity that the City is not in a position to continue Mr. Cleary in service in some manner, for that would be of great benefit to the municipality. In retiring he separates himself from the City government with a great deal of honor to himself and credit to the City that has employed him for these many years. I, of course, with the rest of the members of the Board and, particularly those who have been here for a number of years associated with me, know of the wonderful service that has been rendered by Mr. Cleary to the Board of Supervisors and to all of those who sought information at the desk of the Clerk of the Board. He truly is a storehouse of knowledge upon all matters concerned with City government, and in a general way he has a mind and a memory that takes in a great many years. He knows the history of this City, as Mr. Byington has said. At one time he was librarian with the Odd Fellows Library, and, of course, he has always been a great student of history, and the history not only of San Francisco but of the world. In separating himself, Mr. Mayor and members of the Board, from this Board, I recall that I have reason to appreciate Mr. Cleary more intimately than any members of this Board of Supervisors. Mr. Cleary tells me and has told me many times that he was at my father and mother's wedding—that's an honor that I did not have—and, of course, that brings him back to a point from which he has come down through the years the most intimate of the family friends. Further than that, Mr. Mayor, Mr. Cleary's father and my father were old-time pioneers who played their parts in the early history of San Francisco. When I was born Thomas' father was captain of the old Irish Emmet Guard, and I was given the name of Emmet in honor of that——

Supervisor Colman: What year was that?

Supervisor Hayden: That was the year 1876.

Supervisor Shannon: I move that be stricken from the record, please.

Supervisor Kent: You mean 1776?

Supervisor Hayden: So you see there is a family association that is more intimate than any association he has had with the Mayor or with any members of this Board of Supervisors, so I have a very, very affectionate regard for Tom Cleary. He was one of our family and I was one of his. We have had the very highest regard, one family for the other, and we hope to continue that affectionate regard down to the end of time. I shall always hope that when Mr. Cleary leaves the service of this City that employment will be found for him in some other direction, because he is too valuable a man to sit idly by when his services should be taken advantage of. I know that there is a place in the world for a man of his ability, and I am sure that with the help of the Mayor and the membership of this Board that a place will be found for him; that we will not see him sit idly by while this great City progresses, as she is doing so

rapidly, without utilizing the assistance of Mr. Cleary, not until he is ready to finally lay down his task. I feel satisfied that his labors have not been in vain and that he has played a very important part in the history of San Francisco. (Applause.)

Supervisor Stanton: Mr. Cleary was not at the wedding of my father and mother, I don't believe, but many years ago, as a kid, I used to go down to Mission street wharf, where dad was captain of one of the first steam schooners that ran out of the port of San Francisco, the old "West Coast." Possibly you remember, Mr. Mayor. Tom Cleary was then wharfinger or freight clerk on the Mission street wharf. My dad died shortly after and I lost track of many of the old-timers, and particularly of Mr. Cleary, and only the other day a sister of mine came in from the Board of Supervisors' room, and her old friend Tom walked up and kissed her on the cheek and he said, "You are Gracie Stanton." I don't know how many years it was—possibly thirty-five years—since he had seen her. That was the first that I knew that our Tom Cleary of the Board of Supervisors was the old-timer from the waterfront. He told me the day and date that my father died, which was close to forty years ago. He told me the days and dates of many things that have happened on the waterfront. In the early days my dad used to sail in all of the big yacht races, the old "Aggie," "Lurline" and many of the old-time yacht races which were big events in those days, and the watch that I hold in my hand was one of the four such that came from out that time that Tom Cleary so well remembers. He has told me many a thing I have forgotten, many a thing I had heard that happened years before I was born. I have heard and known of Tom Cleary of the Board of Supervisors as being a storehouse of knowledged, and it seems to me a pity that a man with a memory such as he has cannot have the opportunity by some means of sitting down and writing a history of San Francisco as he has seen it and knows it. I do not know anyone that knows the happenings in a more accurate manner than does Tom Cleary. I say that San Francisco is losing a mighty valuable employee, and it seems to me too bad that we cannot obtain in some way in written form some of the knowledge that our friend Tom has. I hope that he will always be where the City can get hold of him, as there are times when we need some of the history of the happenings of the past in the light that Tom alone can give it to us. (Applause.)

Supervisor Roncovieri: I, too, have known Mr. Cleary for many, many, years, and there is a touch of sadness when a man of his ability and honesty is perhaps lost to us, officially at any rate. I would suggest after hearing what Supervisor Byington stated, and what Supervisor Stanton has said, that if it is possible under our Charter to employ persons for a specific piece of work, that the Finance Committee work out some method, perhaps together with the Public Welfare Committee, by which Mr. Cleary might be employed to write this history that has been alluded to for a given sum of money. I believe that that would be legal. I am not certain of that, but I think it would be legal, and I would suggest that such a thing be done, for I believe that Mr. Cleary is leaving without any of this world's goods. It is very nice, these beautiful words that have been spoken, and they mean much, I know, in a sentimental way, but the practical side of life must be remembered. Mr. Cleary has had the great misfortune of his wife being injured recently in a very, very serious accident, in which nine ribs were broken, and he today needs this position more than ever before, and I would suggest that the Finance Committee and the Public Welfare Committee and his Honor the Mayor find some means of employing Mr. Cleary for a specific job. He does hold in his memory much that is of great value to the members of this Board. All that happens is not always recorded, and we do not know sometimes, even if it is recorded, just where to go and find the information. To be able, as we have been, to go to a man like Mr. Cleary and ask almost any questions and receive the correct answers shows to us that we are losing a very, very valuable man. I would make that as a suggestion, possibly a motion, if you think it should be so put, that the Finance Committee and the Welfare Committee and his Honor the Mayor take up the question with perhaps the City Attorney and find if we cannot employ Mr. Cleary for a specific piece of work along the lines that Mr. Byington and Mr. Stanton have suggested. (Applause.)

Supervisor Havenner: I would be very happy indeed to second the suggestion in the form of a motion made by Supervisor Roncovieri. It has not been my good fortune to know Mr. Cleary as many years as

some of the other members of the Board have known him, but I have known him for a number of years; first as a newspaper man here, a reporter in the City Hall, and I know of his reputation as a storehouse of knowledge concerning the history of this City and its official activities. Many times, as a reporter asking for information about past events in the Cler's office here, I have been referred to Mr. Cleary, and Mr. Cleary has been the official source of information. I have often thought it would be a very valuable thing if we could have in some authorative form a history of the important activities of this Board of Supervisors from its early days, and I know of no one who would be better qualified to undertake that work than Mr. Cleary. I hope the suggestion can be worked out, and I think it would be of advantage to us and a very valuable service to the City. (Applause.)

The Mayor: I think this was a good meeting this morning. The oldest of us have seen Tom Cleary here for fifteen and a half years, and now we see him retiring from public service. And let me say to you that John Lynch is going in June, and let me also tell you that Mr. Mason is going to retire in July. Of the old familiar faces three are going out this year. So far as being a storehouse of knowledge is concerned, that will be found right in Tom Cleary's noodle here, and he has kept it there, too. If I have wanted to know anything, as I have had occasion to ask him, perhaps at a time when I had to make some special speech, and did not have time to prepare one. if there was any special subject that I wanted to work in, something to make the speech a little bit interesting, if it is possible for me to do that, I would ask Tom Cleary, "What about this Dennis Kearney?" Or, "What about this sand lot stuff around this Civic Center?" Or I would ask him something about Tom Geary and "The Chinese must go," ask him about the shooting scrapes in the seventies, and whatever it was it was right there in his head. Yes, many a fine idea I have had from him for a poor speech of mine—all of them are poor—but I would get an idea from him and then I could talk about something when I got up on my feet to talk. We still have Tom Cleary with us. Charles Terrell is gone—he was buried two weeks ago—and this is the last one that is left. If you can get out of his head and put down in black and white half of the knowledge that he has, if you can get that knowledge before this man passes away, we will gain that which we can ill afford to lose. Now, it has been moved and seconded that the remarks that have been made this morning be transcribed by the reporter and put into a small phamphlet form and be transmitted with the compliments of the Board, the official representatives of the City, under the seal of the City, and sent to Tom Cleary so that Mrs. Cleary may read what the Board of Supervisors and the people of San Francisco think of her husband. All in favor of the question will please arise.

(All members of the Board arise.)

It is unanimously carried, Mr. Cleary. Now, it has been moved and seconded, moved by Mr. Rocovieri and seconded by Mr. Havenner, that some consideration be given to ways and means whereby you may be employed to put down in writing a lot of the early historical affairs of San Francisco, which none but you know now, because nearly all of those who know have passed away, incidents in the lives of the great men of this community, how the Supervisors voted when Sutro was Mayor, how the Supervisors voted on all of the big questions of the day, bringing out the historical events connected with this City, those things which you think are of interest to the people of San Francisco, and to see if there is not some way whereby the mover of the motion, the seconder of the motion, the Finance Committee and the entire membership of the Board and myself can get your brain working and get the results down in writing before you pass away.

Supervisor Hayden: I think that our Charter provides for the employment of experts outside of the provisions of Civil Service, and outside of the provisions of residence qualifications. Mr. Cleary has the qualifications as to residence, of course, but I think it would be well to make a part of the motion the point that we employ him as an expert in connection with the history of San Francisco, and that makes it legal and within the provisions of the Charter.

Supervisor Roncovieri: At his present salary?

Supervisor Hayden: Yes.

The Mayor: All in favor please say "aye."

(Chorus of "ayes.")

Any "noes?"

(No response.)

The "ayes" have it. Now, Mr. Cleary, we would be glad to have you say a word.

Mr. Thomas R. Cleary: I thank you all very much. I cannot make a speech.

The Mayor: He says he cannot make a speech; that he is too full for utterance. Carry our love to your dear wife, Tom Cleary, and our affectionate wishes that she may soon be recovered. Tell her, that we know she has a good nurse in you, and that we hope the two of you will live long and will come and see us often. You have our best good wishes from us all and our thanks for what you have done. (Applause.)

PRESENTATION OF PROPOSALS.

Public Lighting.

Sealed proposals were received and opened at the hour of 3 p. m. this day for lighting public streets and parks and for furnishing heat, light and power to public buildings of the City and County of San Francisco for the year ending June 30, 1928, and *referred to the Lighting Committee.*

Hearing of Objections, Tehama Street Extension.

Hearing of objections of San Francisco News Company against the extension of Tehama street easterly from a point 275 feet east of Third street to the west line of Hawthorne street as proposed in Resolution of Intention No. 27087 (New Series), passed by the Board of Supervisors on April 4, 1927, was *continued for one week.*

UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

Final Passage.

The following matters, heretofore passed for printing, were taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Authorizations.

Resolution No. 27316 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

Park Fund.

(1) Landau Economic Syphon Co., soda water for parks (claim dated May 20, 1927), $1,089.69.

(2) Pacific Gas & Electric Co., gas and electric service for parks (claim dated May 20, 1927), $1,544.46.

(3) Spring Valley Water Co., water service for parks (claim dated May 20, 1927), $1,967.11.

(4) Frank Food Co., foodstuffs for parks (claim dated May 20, 1927), $723.08.

(5) Langendorf Baking Co., bread for parks (claim dated May 20, 1927), $811.01.

(6) J. H. McCallum, lumber for parks (claim dated May 20, 1927), $820.70.

(7) San Francisco Dairy Co., milk for parks (claim dated May 20, 1927), $656.82.

Library Fund.

(8) San Francisco News Co., library books (claim dated April 30, 1927), $952.12.

(9) San Francisco News Co., library books (claim dated April 30, 1927), $1,235.27.

(10) American Building Maintenance Co., library janitor service (claim dated April 30, 1927), $700.

(11) D. N. & E. Walter & Co., blinds for library (claim dated April 30, 1927), $2,079.25.

(12) Foster & Futernick Co., binding library books (claim dated April 30, 1927), $1,944.55.

(13) G. E. Stechert & Co., library books (claim dated April 30, 1927), $2,991.38.

County Road Fund.

(14) Fay Improvement Co., acceptance and final payment for improvement by paving of Southern Heights avenue (claim dated May 18, 1927), $7,591.43.

Tearing-Up Streets Fund.

(15) Gladding, McBean & Co., sewer pipe for sidesewers (claim dated May 16, 1927), $525.

Special School Tax.

(16) George H. Tay Co., plumbing supplies for schools (claim dated May 13, 1927), $1,116.68.

School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923.

(17) Acme Construction Co., first payment, general construction of additions to High School of Commerce, alterations to old building (claim dated May 18, 1927), $7,128.08.

(18) John Reid, Jr., fourth payment, architectural services for Sherman School (claim dated May 17, 1927), $668.18.

(19) John Reid, Jr., twelfth payment, architectural services for additions to Galileo High School (claim dated May 18, 1927), $713.33.

County Road Fund.

(20) W. S. Dickey Clay Mfg. Co., paving brick for improvement of Steiner street (claim dated May 17, 1927), $1,063.20.

Hetch Hetchy Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1925.

(21) Hales & Symons, Inc., lumber for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated May 14, 1927), $696.67.

Municipal Railway Fund.

(22) San Francisco City Em-

ployees Retirement System, pensions, etc., for Municipal Railway employees (claim dated May 11, 1927), $7,353.02.

General Fund, 1926-1927.

(23) Berringer & Russell, oats, etc., for Police Department (claim dated May 18, 1927), $518.98.

(24) Board of Park Commissioners, to reimburse the Park Fund for account of beautification of the Civic Center (claim dated May 20, 1927), $760.88.

(25) Eaton & Smith, City's portion of assessment for improvement of Douglass street between Twenty-fifth and Twenty-sixth streets (claim dated May 18, 1927), $3,171.

(26) Granfield, Farrar & Carlin, acceptance and final payment for grading of S. F. Municipal Airport (claim dated May 18, 1927), $6,-180.20.

(27) Bode Gravel Co., gravel for street reconstruction (claim dated May 17, 1927), $689.83.

(28) California Rock Co., gravel for street repair (claim dated May 16, 1927), $3,139.54.

(29) Western Rock Products Co., sand for street repair (claim dated May 16, 1927), $3,102.02.

(30) California Petroleum Corporation, fuel oil for Civic Center Power House (claim dated May 13, 1927), $1,238.52.

(31) Pacific Gas & Electric Co., lighting public buildings (claim dated May 13, 1927), $4,222.88.

(32) California Petroleum Corporation, fuel oil for asphalt plant (claim dated May 13, 1927), $688.90.

(33) Standard Oil Co. of California, asphalt for street repair (claim dated May 12, 1927), $2,-462.48.

(34) A. H. Coates Co., roller chain for Fire Department (claim dated April 30, 1927), $884.

(35) Crown Oil Co., gasoline for Fire Department (claim dated Apr. 30, 1927), $798.54.

(36) Mack-International Motor Truck Corp., two Mack chassis for Fire Department (claim dated Apr. 30, 1927), $9,803.50.

(37) Pacific Gas & Electric Co., gas and electric service for Fire Department (claim dated Apr. 30, 1927), $1,936.33.

(38) Shell Company, fuel oil, Fire Department (claim dated Apr. 30, 1927), $884.34.

(39) Spring Valley Water Co., water and installing hydrants, Fire Department (claim dated April 30, 1927), $1,689.62.

(40) E. B. Frick, room allowance for S. F. Hospital employees (claim dated May 19, 1927), $2,182.40.

(41) Seabury & Johnson, plaster for S. F. Hospital (claim dated May 19, 1927), $504.

(42) Lesser Bros., meats, S. F. Hospital (claim dated May 19, 1927), $2,365.99.

(43) J. T. Freitas Co., eggs, S. F. Hospital (claim dated May 19, 1927), $1,400.03.

(44) C. Nauman Co., vegetables, S. F. Hospital (claim dated May 19, 1927), $1,006.76.

(45) L. Scatena & Co., fruit, etc., S. F. Hospital (claim dated May 19, 1927), $715.92.

(46) L. Dinkelspiel & Co., dry goods, S. F. Hospital (claim dated May 19, 1927), $5,728.61.

(47) Kahn & Co., films, S. F. Hospital (claim dated May 19, 1927), $1,694.70.

(48) California Petroleum Corp., fuel oil, S. F. Hospital (claim dated May 19, 1927), $2,304.89.

(49) Langendorf Baking Co., bread, S. F. Hospital (claim dated May 19, 1927), $1,250.74.

(50) San Francisco Dairy Co., milk, S. F. Hospital (claim dated May 19, 1927), $4,329.47.

(51) Spring Valley Water Co., water, S. F. Hospital (claim dated May 19, 1927), $1,604.32.

Library Fund.

(52) G. E. Stechert & Co., library books (claim dated April 30, 1927), $2,534.34.

General Fund, 1926-1927.

(53) Bureau of Management Research, services rendered in connection with salary standardization (claim dated May 9, 1927), $613.82.

(54) San Francisco Bulletin, official advertising, Board of Supervisors (claim dated May 23, 1927), $1,848.84.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Appropriaton, $10,517.02, Payment to Garret W. McEnerney for Tax Refund Creditors.

Resolution No. 27317 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $10,-517.02 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of "Tax Judgments" Appropriation 59, and authorized in payment to Garret W. McEnerney, attorney for judgment creditors, as per schedule attached; being one-tenth of amount of judgments, including interest, and as approved by the City Attorney. (Payments to Annie N. Farrell et al.) (Claim dated May 20 1927.)

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri. Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Appropriations for the Acquisition of Land Required for the Bernal Heights Boulevard and Alemany Boulevard.

Resolution No. 27318 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized in payment out of appropriations heretofore made out of County Road Fund for the construction of the Bernal Heights boulevard and of the Alemany boulevard, and paid to the hereinafter named claimants; being payments for properties required for boulevard purposes, to-wit:

(1) To the Moore Investment Company, for property required for the Bernal Heights boulevard and as described in acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 27273, New Series (claim dated May 18, 1927), $1,350.

(2) To the Ocean Shore Railroad Company, for property required for the opening of Alemany boulevard and as described in acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 27275, New Series (claim dated May 18, 1927), $3,325.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

City Attorney to Compromise Litigation With B. Brandelien & Company for $3,500.

Resolution No. 27319 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, there is pending in the Superior Court of the State of California, in and for the City and County of San Francisco, an action entitled "Rudolph C. Brandelein and Robert P. Brandelein, copartners doing business under the firm name and style of B. Brandelein & Co., vs. City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation," which action is numbered 106483 in the files thereof, and which said action is prosecuted for the recovery of $7,702.92, together with interest and costs; and

Whereas, the City Attorney of the City and County of San Francisco has recommended that the said case be compromised and settled by paying to the plaintiffs therein the sum of $3,500 in full payment of all their claims; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the City Attorney be and he is hereby authorized to compromise and settle the abovementioned action by the payment to the plaintiffs therein the sum of $3,500 in full payment and satisfaction of all their claims against the City and County of San Francisco; and be it

Further Resolved, That the sum of $3,500 be and the same is hereby appropriated from the General Fund for the purpose of paying said claim, which said sum is to be paid to the plaintiffs in said action upon the dismissal of said action and the execution of a good and sufficient receipt relinquishing all claims against the City and County arising under said action.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Oil and Boiler Permits.

Resolution No. 27320 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits be and are hereby granted:

Oil Tanks.

T. A. Belmont, east side of Broderick street, 85 feet north of Bay street, 1500 gallons capacity.

W. M. Staller, east side of Baker street, 120 feet south of North Point street, 1500 gallons capacity.

W. M. Staller, east side of Baker street, 80 feet south of North Point street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Thos. Scoble, east side of Broderick street, 125 feet south of Bay street, 1500 gallons capacity.

T. M. Sommers, south side of Turk street, 150 feet east of Hyde street, 1500 gallons capacity.

F. A. Gotthardt, west side of West Gate drive, 400 feet north of Ocean avenue, 600 gallons capacity.

F. A. Belmont, southeast corner of Chestnut and Divisadero streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

Jos. Aurrecoechea, north side of Balboa street, 75 feet west of Forty-third avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

Emanual & Hellgren, north side of California street, 178 feet east of Spruce street, 1500 gallons capacity.

R. J. Stempel, northeast corner of Chestnut and Divisadero streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

T. B. Strand, west side of Gough street, 110 feet south of Bay street, 1500 gallons capacity.

E. R. Randall, 77 Jordan avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

W. W. Magill, west side of Fill-

more street, 32 feet south of Page street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Boilers.

Holm & Nathan, 49 Fourth street, 10-horsepower.

Lion Distributing Co., 1243 Folsom street, 15-horsepower.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits shall become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Automobile Supply Station Permits.

Resolution No. 27321 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That J. S. Rhodes, Jr., be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred to him automobile supply station permit heretofore granted to Wm. Goessman by Resolution No. 25891 (New Series), for premises on the west side of Seventh avenue, 195 feet, more or less, north of Plaza.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Resolution No. 27322 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Associated Oil Company be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred automobile supply station permit heretofore granted to L. W. Sartor by Resolution No. 15563 (New Series) for premises on the northeast corner of Golden Gate avenue and Polk street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise siad permit shall become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Set-Back Line Hearing, Ralston Street.

Bill No. 8044, Ordinance No. 7555 (New Series), as follows:

Establishing set-back lines along portions of Ralston street.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby recited that on the 25th day of April, 1927, the Board of Supervisors adopted Resolution of Intention No. 132 to establish set-back lines along Ralston street, and fixed the 23d day of May, 1927, at 2 o'clock p. m., at the chambers of the Board of Supervisors, as the time and place for hearing objections thereto; that thereafter and more than ten days prior to said hearing the said resolution was published and notices of the passage of said resolution were posted along the line of said street, in time, form and manner required by Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series); that said hearing was held at the time and place aforesaid, and that all objections made at said hearing have been properly overruled.

Section 2. Pursuant to the foregoing recitals and in accordance with the provisions of said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), setback lines are hereby established as follows:

Along the westerly side of Ralston street, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Sargent street and running thence northerly to a point 100 feet southerly from Shields street, said set-back line to be 10 feet; along the easterly side of Ralston street, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Sargent street and running thence northerly to a point 100 feet southerly from Shields street, said setback line to be 15 feet.

As shown on the maps filed in the office of the Board of Supervisors and to which reference is hereby made for further particulars.

Section 3. No structures shall be erected in the area between said set-back lines and the street lines except as provided in said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), and the penalties for the violation of this ordinance shall be as fixed by the ordinance aforesaid.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Amending Zoning Ordinance, Mendosa Street.

Bill No. 8042, Ordinance No. 7556 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings, and

the locations of buildings designed for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Section 6 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place the southerly side of Mendosa avenue, opposite the termination of Eleventh avenue, being Lots Nos. 18, 20 and 21 of the Assessor's Block No. 2207, in the Commercial District instead of the First Residential District as now zoned.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Amending Zoning Ordinance, Bay View Street.

Bill No. 8043, Ordinance No. 7557 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings and the location of buildings designed for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Sections 9 and 11 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place the southerly side of Bay View street, commencing at a point 92 feet westerly from Flora street and running thence westerly 25 feet and extending to the depth of the rear lot line, in the Second Residential District instead of the First Residential District.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect on date of its approval and shall continue in effect for the period of ninety (90) days thereafter, at which time it shall cease to be in effect and the premises herein described shall revert to and be in the First Residential District.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

PRESENTATION OF BILLS AND ACCOUNTS.

Your Finance Committee, having examined miscellaneous demands not required by law to be passed to pint, and amounting to $61,142.37, recommends same be allowed and ordered paid.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

NEW BUSINESS.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Authorizations.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

Park Fund.

(1) Elliot & Grant, part payment, construction of lookout station, Lincoln Park (claim dated May 27, 1927), $1,050.

(2) Granfield, Farrar & Carlin, clay for Golden Gate Park (claim dated May 27, 1927), $528.75.

(3) Granfield, Farrar & Carlin, clay and loam for Larson Park (claim dated May 27, 1927), $502.50.

(4) Maggini Motor Car Co., Ford truck with hoists, etc., for parks (claim dated May 27, 1927), $842.

(5) County Line Service Station, Ford truck with hoists, etc., for parks (claim dated May 27, 1927), $842.

Duplicate Tax Fund.

(6) E. B. McNear, refund of duplicate payment of second installment of taxes (claim dated May 26, 1927), $1,244.40.

Hetch Hetchy Power Operative Fund.

(7) Depreciation Fund, Hetch Hetchy Power Operative, as reserve for depreciation, per Section 16, Article 12 of Charter, for May (claim dated May 19, 1927), $14,583.

Municipal Railway Fund.

(8) Market Street Railway Co., reimbursement for April, as per agreement of December 12, 1918 (claim dated May 20, 1927), $1,584.71.

(9) Market Street Railway Co., electric power furnished Municipal Railways (claim dated May 20, 1927), $3,300.37.

(10) Pacific Gas & Electric Co., electric power furnished Municipal Railways for April (claim dated May 20, 1927), $36,922.18.

(11) Frank F. Bodler, coin-counting machine for Municipal Railways (claim dated May 21, 1927), $1,942.50.

(12) Westinghouse Electric & Manufacturing Co., electric parts for Municipal Railways (claim dated May 21, 1927), $792.59.

Municipal Railway Depreciation Fund.

(13) H. L. Kell, first payment, wood ties, Municipal Railways, Contract 151 (claim dated May 20, 1927), $15,000.

Hetch Hetchy Water Bonds, Issue 1925.

(14) Loop Lumber Co., lumber for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated May 19, 1927), $1,014.36.

(15) J. H. McCallum, lumber for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated May 19, 1927), $1,570.61.

(16) State Compensation Insurance Fund, insurance premium, Hetch Hetchy employees (claim dated May 19, 1927), $2,504.64.

(17) Bethlehem Shipbuilding Corporation, Ltd., crank-shafts for shovel, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated May 23, 1927), $516.

(18) Pope & Talbot, lumber for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated May 23, 1927), $706.41.

(19) Western Pipe and Steel Co., air pipe, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated May 23, 1927), $915.10.

(20) Hercules Powder Co., explosives, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated May 24, 1927), $6,579.74.

(21) Ingersoll Rand Co. of California, machinery parts, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated May 24, 1927), $680.47.

(22) J. H. McCallum, lumber, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated May 24, 1927), $670.75.

(23) Steam and Plumbing Service Corporation, air pipe, Hetch Hetchy (claim dated May 24, 1927), $3,202.24.

(24) Western Pipe and Steel Co., air pipe, Hetch Hetchy (claim dated May 24, 1927), $915.10.

Special School Tax.

(25) O. Monson, extra work, general construction of Francis Scott Key School (claim dated May 20, 1927), $5,000.

(26) Samuel Lightner Hyman and A. Appleton, fourth payment, architectural services for addition to Madison School (claim dated May 24, 1927), $1,125.19.

County Road Fund.

(27) Granfield, Farrar & Carlin, grading Laidley street near Roanoke street, per contract (claim dated May 25, 1927), $800.

School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923.

(28) Title Insurance & Guaranty Co., title reports, eleven properties, required for school purposes, between Thirtieth and Thirty-second avenues, Geary and Balboa streets (claim dated May 31, 1927), $609.

(29) O. Monson, extra work, general construction of Francis Scott Key School (claim dated May 20, 1927), $2,825.06.

(30) Palace Hardware Co., bake oven and mixer and installation of same, Mission High School (claim dated May 20, 1927), $651.50.

Relief Home Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923.

(31) M. E. Ryan, extra electrical work, Relief Home (claim dated May 20, 1927), $4,100.

Hetch Hetchy Operative Revenue Fund.

(32) H. G. Butler, services in connection with severance damage report, valuation of San Francisco electric properties (claim dated May 25, 1927), $837.50.

General Fund, 1926-1927.

(33) Board of Park Commissioners, reimbursement of Park Fund for beautification of the Civic Center during May and June, 1927 (claim dated May 27, 1927), $4,798.18.

(34) W. Q. Wright, Ralph A. Beebee and A. A. Peters, progress payment for dredging at Marina Yacht Harbor (claim dated May 27, 1927), $10,875.

(35) Daniel J. O'Brien, June police contingent expense (claim dated June 1, 1927), $750.

(36) St. Charles Market, meats for County Jails (claim dated May 17, 1927), $543.05.

(37) The American Laundry Machinery Co., one Globe washing machine for County Jail No. 2 (claim dated May 17, 1927), $745.

(38) California Meat Co., meats for County Jails (claim dated May 17, 1927), $916.43.

(39) Langendorf Baking Co.,

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

bread for County Jails (claim dated May 17, 1927), $1,097.32.

(40) San Francisco Bulletin, official advertising, Board of Supervisors (claim dated May 31, 1927), $1,352.04.

(41) M. W. Lowrie, repaving streets for Department of Electricity (claim dated April 30, 1927), $535.56.

(42) Dieterich Post Co., air craft theodolite for Municipal Airport (claim dated May 23, 1927), $605.

(43) Symon Brothers, teams furnished for street cleaning (claim dated May 20, 1927), $690.

(44) California Petroleum Corporation, fuel oil for Hall of Justice (claim dated May 18, 1927), $516.30.

(45) Bush Electric Corporation, X-ray films for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated April 30, 1927), $1,114.20.

(46) J. Theo. Erlin Co., drug X-ray films for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated April 30, 1927), $2,418.50.

(47) H. F. Dugan, chemical and surgical supplies for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated April 30, 1927), $665.10.

(48) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., electric service for Relief Home (claim dated April 30, 1927), $628.65.

(49) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., for removal of street lamp posts (claim dated May 31, 1927), $4,734.57.

Publicity and Advertising.

(50) San Francisco Convention and Tourist League, expense for account of the publicity and advertising of San Francisco (claim dated April 4, 1927), $711.31.

Appropriations in Payment for Lands Required for School Purposes.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside and appropriated out of School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923, and authorized in payment to the hereinafter named persons; being payments for lands required for school purposes, to-wit:

(1) To Thomas W. Caine, for lands on the east line of Thirty-second avenue, commencing 100 feet north of the northerly line of Balboa street, running thence northerly on the east line of Thirty-second avenue 25 feet; thence easterly 117 feet, more or less; thence southeasterly 25 feet; thence westerly 120 feet, more or less, to the east line of Thirty-second avenue; as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 27307 (New Series), $2,750.

(2) To J. A. Christian, for lands on the east line of Thirty-second avenue, commencing 75 feet northerly from the north line of Balboa street, running thence northerly on the east line of Thirty-second avenue 25 feet; thence easterly 120 feet, more or less; thence southeasterly 25 feet; thence westerly 122 feet, more or less, to the east line of Thirty-second avenue; as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 27308 (New Series), $2,750.

Appropriation, $5,075, Payment to Magna S. Owens in Payment for Land Required for Bernal Cut.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $5,075 be and the same is hereby authorized in payment out of appropriation heretofore made out of County Road Fund for the opening of Bernal Cut, to Magna S. Owens for property required for the opening of the Bernal Cut; as per acceptance offer by Resolution No. 27304 (New Series) (claim dated May 26, 1927).

Appropriation for School Repairs, Etc., and Equipment; for Flood Light Housing and Meteorological Stations, Municipal Airport, and Improvement of Sutro Monument.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter named funds for the following purposes, to-wit:

School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923.

(1) For cost of painting the Portola Junior High School, per award of contract to the American Painting and Decorating Co., $9,450.

(2) For cost of plumbing, gasfitting and sprinkler system at the Portola Junior High School, additional; per award to A. Lettich, $3,500.

Special School Tax.

(3) For cost of painting of addition to the High School of Commerce, alterations to the old building; per award to H. Ankers, $1,375.

(4) For cost of chairs to be installed in music room of additional units to the Galileo High School; per award to Heywood-Wakefield Co., $1,264.80.

Municipal Airport, Budget Item No. 60.

(5) For cost of flood-light housing and meteorological stations, San Francisco Municipal Airport, including inspection and extras; per

award to F. W. Varney at $1,457, $1,700.

Sutro Monument, Budget Item No. 61.

(6) For cost of improvement of Sutro Monument and grounds, additional to enable final payment to contractor, $4,250.

Accepting Offer of John F. Flynn et al. to Sell for $2,000 Certain Lands Required in Hetch Hetchy Aqueduct Construction.

Supervisor McSheehy presented: Resolution No. 27323 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the City Engineer has recommended the purchase by the City and County of San Francisco from the following named owners of the following described lands situated in the County of San Joaquin, State of California, required in connection with the construction, maintenance and operation of the Coast Range Division of the Hetch Hetchy aqueduct, for the sum set forth opposite their names, viz.:

John F. Flynn and Owen Flynn, $2,000.

(1) All that portion of the northeast quarter of Section 34, T. 3 S., R. 4 E., M. D. B. and M., lying within the following described boundary:

Beginning at a point in the east line of said Section 34, distant along said east line north 0 deg. 50 min. east 332 feet from the quarter section corner in the east line of said Section 34; thence from the point of beginning as follows: South 63 deg. 50 min. west 1420 feet; north 84 deg. 10 min. west 937 feet; north 11 deg. 25 min. west 761 feet; north 84 deg. 05 min. east 650 feet; south 76 deg. 25 min. east 506 feet; north 71 deg. 32 min. east 1293 feet, more or less, to a point in the east line of said Section 34; thence along the east line of said Section 34 south 0 deg. 50 min. west 572 feet, more or less, to the point of beginning.

(2) All that portion of the northeast quarter of said Section 34 bounded as follows: On the east by the east line of Section 34, on the south by the south line of the northeast quarter of Section 34 and on the northwest by the hereinabove described tract of land.

Said hereinabove described lands contain 33 acres, more or less.

(As per written offer on file.)

Now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of the City Engineer, the above described offer of the above-named property owners to sell to the City and County of San Francisco the abovementioned lands for the sum set forth opposite their names and upon the conditions contained in said option be and the same is hereby accepted. Be it

Further Resolved, That the City Engineer is hereby authorized and directed to notify said parties of the acceptance of their said offer, and the City Attorney is authorized and directed to examine the title to said lands and, if the same is found in satisfactory condition, to accept, in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, a deed conveying title thereto, containing the conditions agreed upon in said offer, and to file the same for record with a copy of this resolution attached thereto as evidence of acceptance by the City and County of San Francisco, upon payment of the abovementioned purchase price.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Accepting Offer of California Pacific Title and Trust Company to Sell for $477 Certain Property Required for Park Purposes.

Supervisor McSheehy presented: Resolution No. 27324 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, an offer has been received from The California Pacific Title and Trust Company to convey certain lands to the City and County of San Francisco required for park purposes as hereinafter described; and

Whereas, the price at which said parcel of land is offered is the reasonable value thereof; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the offer of the said owner to convey to the City and County of San Francisco a good and sufficient fee simple title to the following described land, free of all encumbrances, for the sum of $477, be and the same is hereby accepted, the said land being described as follows, to-wit:

Beginning at a point formed by the intersection of the southeasterly line of Woolsey street with the northeasterly line of Gambier street, and running thence northeasterly along the said southeasterly line of Woolsey street 95 feet; thence at a right angle southeasterly 100 feet; thence at a right angle southwesterly 95 feet to the northeasterly line of Gambier street, and thence northwesterly along the said northeasterly line of Gambier street 100 feet to its intersection with the

southeasterly line of Woolsey street and the point of commencement.

Being a portion of Lot No. 8 in Block No. 181, as per "Map of University Extension Homestead Association."

Resolved, That the sum of $477 be and the same is authorized in payment out of "Purchase of lands for public purposes in Mission District," Budget Item No. 73, to The California Pacific Title and Trust Company; being payment for the hereinabove described lands.

The City Attorney is hereby directed to examine the title to said land, and, if the same is found to be vested in the aforesaid owner, free of all encumbrances, and that the taxes up to and including the current fiscal year are paid, and that the so-called McEnerney title has been procured or sufficient money reserved for the purpose of procuring the same, to report the result of his examination to the Board of Supervisors, and also to cause a good and sufficient deed for said land to be executed and delivered to the City and County upon payment of the agreed purchase price as aforesaid. And the said deed to said land is hereby accepted.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Action Deferred.

The following resolution was, on motion of Supervisor Shannon, *laid over one week*:

Authorizing Employment of Wilford E. Talbert, of Berkeley, on Salary Classification and Standardization.

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, under the authority of Section 2, Article XVI of the Charter, the Civil Service Commission is hereby authorized to employ Wilford E. Talbert of Berkeley, California, as an expert on salary classification and standardization, and for this purpose said Wilford E. Talbert is hereby exempted from the residential requirement of the Charter, and the certificate of training and experience filed by said Wilford E. Talbert in lieu of the residential requirement is hereby approved.

Cancellation of Assessments.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 27325 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the Tax Collector has reported the following as a duplicate assessment and recommends its cancellation; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Auditor be directed to cancel the following assessment for the year 1926-1927 in accordance with the provisions of Section 3805 of the Political Code, viz.:

Volume 17, page 124, line 29, Sea Cliff Market, 5950 California street and 290 Twenty-fifth avenue, personal property in the sum of $620; tax unpaid, $25.61.

For duplicate see Volume 14, page 81, line 32, Sea Cliff Market, same address.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Property Withdrawn From Sale and Reassessed.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 27326 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of the Assessor, in a communication dated May 26, 1927, the following property being erroneously assessed in 1926 be withdrawn from sale and reassessed in 1927, in accordance with Section 3806, Political Code, and the Tax Collector is hereby directed not to offer same for sale:

Volume	Page	Block	Lot
1	73	85	18
3	105	494	1
3	139	514	16
4	189	752	3
5	163	930	26
5	12	782	2/3
6	153	1085	9
6	194	1150	20
8	1	1280	4
10	87	1584	19F
12	33	1803	1B
12	94	1836	10
12	73	1828	5
12	73	1828	5A
12	73	1828	5B
12	73	1828	5C
12	73	1828	7
12	73	1828	7A
12	73	1828	7B
12	74	1828	7C
12	154	1866	1M
13	72	2032	14
13	70	2031	3A
14	52	2130A	6
14	52	2130A	6A
15	83	2350	7
15	94	2358	3
15	140	2381	27
15	176	2396	35

15	188	2401	3
16	84	2516	1
17	175	2773	15B
19	126	3120	26/27
19	157	3144A	5
19	155	3144C	5
22	44	3647	22
22	132	3726	8
22	153	2729	17
22	202	3737	9
23	165	3977	1F
23	195	4022	3/6
26	11	4659	14
26	34	4694	1/2
27	91	4944	6
30	6	5432	3
32	113	5814	12/18
31	141	5674	12
32	25	5707	19
32	25	5707	20
32	25	5707	21
32	25	5707	22
32	25	5707	23/24
32	113	5814	9/11
36	191	6604	7
37	78	6655	16
37	80	6655	48
37	179	6720	37
38	188	6946	8/9
39	58	6987	37
39	72	6994	16
39	72	6994	18
40	91	7112	12A
40	128	7135	35/36
41	6	1307	2A
41	41	6901	1
42	14	6901	1A
19	76	3087	16

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Changing and Modifying Set-back Lines on Twenty-Frst Avenue.

On motion of Supervisor Hayden:

Bill No. 8045, Ordinance No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Changing and modifying set-back lines along the west side of Twenty-first avenue between Taraval and Ulloa streets as established by Ordinance No. 6475 (New Series), and reestablishing such lines as changed and modified.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The set-back lines established by Ordinance No. 6475 (New Series) along both sides of Twenty-first avenue between Taraval and Ulloa streets are hereby changed and modified and reestablished as follows:

Along the easterly side of Twenty-first avenue between Taraval and Ulloa streets, said set-back line to be fifteen feet; along the westerly side of Twenty-first avenue, commencing thirty-three feet four inches southerly from Taraval street and extending to Ulloa street, said set-back line to be fifteen feet.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Oil Tank Permits.

On motion of Supervisor Deasy:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits be and are hereby granted:

Oil Tanks.

Burnham Plumbing Company, west side of Capp street, 95 feet north of Twentieth street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Louis Diebel, west side of Arguello boulevard, 150 feet north of Fulton street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Domestic Engineering Corporation, 720 Victoria street, 500 gallons capacity.

Domestic Engineering Corporation, south side of Lake street, 150 feet west of Thirtieth avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

Henry Ernst & Sons, 1520 California street, 1500 gallons capacity.

A. Girerd, 2200 Lyon street, 1500 gallons capacity.

H. C. Keenan, north side of Presidio Terrace, 75 feet west of Arguello boulevard, 1500 gallons capacity.

S. Lalanne, 3161 Washington street, 1500 gallons capacity.

A. T. Morris, east side of Twenty-seventh avenue, 125 feet north of Lake street, 750 gallons capacity.

Wm. A. Newsom, south side of Marina boulevard, 20 feet east of Avila way, 1500 gallons capacity.

Roman Catholic Archbishop, Alemany avenue between Francis street and Santa Rosa avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

T. Rulfs, 43 Presidio avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

William Van Herrick, north side of O'Farrell street, 112 feet west of Laguna street, 1500 gallons capacity.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits shall become null and void.

Garage Permits.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Wm. B. Findley be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred to him public garage permit hereto-

fore granted Elliott & Heron by Resolution No. 18733 (New Series) for premises at 3556 Sacramento street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shal become null and void.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Ralph Phillips be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred to him public garage permit heretofore granted by Resolution No. 23698 (New Series) to H. G. Bartels for premises on the south side of North Point street, 45 feet west of Larkin street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Douglas Duggan be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred to him public garage permit heretofore granted Benjamin Schnier by Resolution No. 26517 (New Series) for premises on the south side of Cabrillo street, 55 feet west of Forty-seventh avenue.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Automobile Supply Station Permit.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Douglas Duggan be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred to him automobile supply station permit heretofore granted Benjamin Schnier by Resolution No. 26518 (New Series) for premises on the southwest corner of Cabrillo street and Forty-seventh avenue.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Blasting Permits.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That J. P. Holland, Inc., is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to explode blasts on property situate at Seneca and Delano streets, provided said permittee shall execute and file a good and sufficient bond in the sum of $2,500 as fixed by the Board of Public Works and approved by his Honor the Mayor, in accordance with Ordinance No. 1204; provided, also, that said blasts shall be exploded only between the hours of 7 a. m. and 6 p. m., and that the work of blasting shall be performed to the satisfaction and under the supervision of the Board of Public Works, and that if any of the conditions of this resolution be violated by the said J. P. Holland, Inc., then the privileges and all the rights accruing thereunder shall immediately become null and void.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That J. P. Holland, Inc., is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to explode blasts on property situate at Burke avenue and Third street, provided said permittee shall execute and file a good and sufficient bond in the sum of $2,500 as fixed by the Board of Public Works and approved by his Honor the Mayor, in accordance with Ordinance No. 1204; provided, also that said blasts shall be exploded only between the hours of 7 a. m. and 6 p. m., and that the work of blasting shall be performed to the satisfaction and under the supervision of the Board of Public Works, and that if any of the conditions of this resolution be violated by the said J. P. Holland, Inc., then the privileges and all the rights accruing thereunder shall immediately become null and void.

Underground District, Guerrero Street.

On motion of Supervisor Stanton:

Bill No. 8046, Ordinance No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Order No. 214 (Second Series), entitled "Providing for placing electric wires and conduits underground in the City and County of San Francisco," by adding a new section thereto to be known as Section 1-q.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. An additional district to those heretofore described within which it shall be unlawful to maintain poles and overhead wires after January 1, 1928, is hereby designated, to-wit:

Section 1-q. Underground District No. 22, Guerrero street from Nineteenth street to Valley street.

Award of Contract, Hardware, Paints and Lumber.

Supervisor Badaracco presented:

Resolution No. 27327 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of contract is hereby made for furnishing supplies in the following classes, viz.: Class 7, hardware and castings; Class 8, paints, painter's supplies and glass; Class 11, lumber; that may be ordered from time to time during the term commencing July 1 and ending December 31, 1927, on bids opened April 25, 1927 (Proposal No. 288), and tabulation thereof in Bureau of Supplies, which is hereby made a part hereof; said matter having heretofore received full consideration in an open public meeting of the Supplies Committee and the awards herein approved by it are now made to the following, viz.:

1. A. J. Glesener Co., bond required, $100.
2. J. H. McCallum, bond $500.
5. Enterprise Foundry Company, bond $200.
6. Doidge-Koren Paint Company, no bond required.
7. Baker, Hamilton & Pacific Co., no bond required.
8. Yates & Co., Inc., bond $100.
9. Friedman Bros., bond $100.
11. M. Greenberg's Sons, bond $100.
12. General Machinery & Supply Company, no bond required.
14. W. P. Fuller & Co., bond $500.
16. The Glidden Company of California, no bond required.
17. American Brake Shoe and Foundry Company of California, bond $1,000.
19. Swift & Co., bond $100.
20. Steiger & Kerr Stove and Foundry Company, bond $100.
22. Levenson Co., no bond required.
23. Dunham, Carrigan & Hayden Co., no bond required.
24. Holbrook, Merrill & Stetson, no bond required.

Note—All above awards are made to the lowest bidder, except when award is made in consideration of deliveries or on account of the quality as determined by such tests as required or recommended by the Purchaser of Supplies.

Resolved, That all other bids submitted thereon be rejected.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Award of Contract, Sand and Tanbark.

Supervisor Badaracco presented: Resolution No. 27328 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of contract is hereby made to Meyer Rosenberg, 243 Day street, on bid submitted May 16, 1927 (Proposal No. 301), for furnishing sand and tanbark for playgrounds as same may be required from time to time during the terms commencing July 1, 1927, and ending June 30, 1928, as follows, viz.:

Sand, equal to Lake Majella or Monterey, and as per sample submitted. Price, per cubic yard, $5.43.

Tanbark, spent. Price, per cubic yard, $1.73.

Resolved, That a bond in the sum of $300 be required for the faithful performance of said contract.

Resolved, That all other bids submitted hereon be rejected.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Award of Contract, Underground Cable, Department of Electricity.

Supervisor Badaracco presented: Resolution No. 27329 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of contract for furnishing underground cable for Department of Electricity is hereby made to Standard Underground Cable Co. on bid submitted May 16, 1927 (Proposal No. 302), as follows, viz.:

4000 feet 40-pair No. 18 saturated paper lead cable at $0.53 per lineal foot.

8300 feet 30-pair No. 18 saturated paper lead cable at $0.362 per lineal foot.

Resolved, That a bond in the sum of $1,000 be required for the faithful performance of said contract.

Resolved, That all other bids submitted hereon be rejected.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Award of Contract, Trolley Wire, Municipal Railway.

Supervisor Badaracco presented: Resolution No. 27330 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of contract be hereby made to American Smelting and Refining Co. on bid submitted May 23, 1927 (Proposal No. 305), for furnishing the following,

viz.: Trolley wire for Municipal Railway, 5 miles, 3/0 round hard drawn copper trolley wire, $15.55 per hundred pounds, f. o. b. car barn, 2600 Geary street. Allowance for reels, f. o. b. 201 First street, $12 each.

Material.

All of the wire furnished hereunder shall conform to requirements of the American Society for Testing Materials, Standard Specifications for Hard Drawn Copper Wire, Serial Designation B 1-23.

It shall be round in form and shall have a cross sectional area of 168,100 circular miles.

Resolved, That a bond in the sum of $500 shall be required for the faithful performance of said contract.

Resolved, That all bids submitted hereon be rejected.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND MOTIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

Appropriation for Wiley Traffic Signals.

Supervisor Schmidt presented:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $10,000 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of "Traffic Signals, installation of, etc.," Budget Item No. 69, for the purchase of material and construction of and installation of additional circuits by the Department of Electricity of the Wiley Traffic Signal required for street traffic control.

Referred to Traffic Committee.

Passed for Printing.

The following resolution was *passed for printing*:

Appropriation, $22,415.56, Paving Roadway From Colma to Cypress Lawn Cemetery.

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $22,415.56 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of the $150,000 heretofore set aside out of the County Road Fund by Resolution No. 25087 (New Series) to the California Highway Commission, State Highway Maintenance Fund, in order that they may let a contract for the paving of the entire roadway between Colma and Cypress Lawn Cemetery.

San Mateo County to Defer Action on Bridge Franchise.

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Resolution No. 27331 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, this Board did heretofore engage three engineers to make a survey and analysis of the various plans and projects for bridging San Francisco Bay and to ascertain and determine a site and location for a bridge which would be most advantageous to the interests of the City and County of San Francisco; and

Whereas, the said engineers did make such survey and analysis and have made a report thereof in writing to this Board and this Board did thereafter approve the said report of said engineers; and

Whereas, this Board intends to cause the said engineers and a committee, representing this Board, to visit the Secretary of War at Washington, D. C., for the purpose of submitting the report of said engineers to the War Department to the end that the War Department shall so revise its existing regulations as to permit the construction of a bridge in accordance with the report of said engineers; and

Whereas, heretofore the Board of Supervisors of San Mateo County granted franchises to construct two bridges in the southerly portion of that County, namely, the franchise to construct a bridge known as the Dumbarton Bridge, and a franchise to construct a bridge known as Little Coyote Point Bridge; and

Whereas, there has at all times existed between the County of San Mateo and the City and County of San Francisco a spirit of cooperation and friendship, and that the people of the City and County of San Francisco and this Board of Supervisors have given their support to both of said projects; and

Whereas, recently one C. J. Carey made an application to the Board of Supervisors of San Mateo County for a franchise to construct a bridge from a point in San Mateo County immediately to the south of the southerly line of the City and County of San Francisco, thence traversing the San Francisco Bay through the extreme northerly part of San Mateo County for a distance of four miles, more or less, and skirting the southerly line of the City and County of San Francisco, thereby avoiding any jurisdiction of this Board in the granting of a

franchise across San Francisco Bay; and

Whereas, this Board has at all times had the power and authority to grant a franchise to construct a bridge from Hunter's Point to Alameda County, which is from a point on this side of the Bay not only closer to San Francisco but at least two and one-half miles closer to the Alameda County shore, and that this Board has refrained from granting a franchise from Hunter's Point because to do so would be inconsistent and in conflict with the report of said engineers; and

Whereas, the granting of such franchise by the Board of Supervisors of San Mateo County to the said Carey will seriously interfere with the efforts of this Board, its engineers and its committee in its efforts to obtain approval from the War Department of the site and location of a bridge in accordance with the said report of said engineers approved by this Board as aforesaid; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That this Board respectfully petition and request the Honorable Board of Supervisors of San Mateo County that it defer action upon the application of the said Carey franchise until after this Board, acting through its committee and engineers, shall have submitted the report of said engineers to the War Department and obtained action thereon; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Clerk of this Board be and he is hereby directed to send a copy of this resolution to each of the members of the Board of Supervisors of San Mateo County and to the Clerk thereof.

Adopted by the following vote: Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Motion.

Supervisor Shannon moved in connection with the foregoing that a committee be appointed to visit San Mateo County Board of Supervisors to impress upon it how seriously a bridge franchise in San Mateo County would effect the San Francisco project.

So ordered.

RECESS.

Whereupon, at 12:15 the Board took a recess until 2 p. m.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk.

REASSEMBLED.

The Board of Supervisors reassembled at 2 p. m.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy—2.

Quorum present.

His Honor Mayor Rolph being absent, Supervisor McSheehy was elected to preside.

PRESENTATION OF PROPOSALS.

Lighting Streets and Public Buildings.

Sealed propsals, together with certified checks in sums of $68,000 and $6,000, respectively, were received from the Pacific Gas and Electric Company and opened at the hour of 3 p. m. this day for lighting public streets and parks, and for furnishing heat, light and power for the public buildings of the City and County for the year ending June 30, 1928, and *referred to Joint Committee on Lighting and Finance.*

Install Street Lights.

Supervisor Stanton presented:

Resolution No. 27332 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Pacific Gas and Electric Company be and it is hereby authorized and requested to remove and install the following street lights:

Remove Gas Lamps.

Lily avenue between Octavia and Laguna streets.

Northeast and southwest corners Lily avenue and Octavia street.

East side Vicksburg street, first and second south of Twenty-second street.

West side Vicksburg street, first and second south of Twenty-second street.

Northeast and southwest corners Vicksburg and Twenty-second streets.

Install 400 M. R.

Maynard street between Mission and Craut streets.

Forty-third avenue between Clement street and Point Lobos avenue.

Twentieth avenue between Pacheco and Quintara streets.

End of Nantucket street.

End of Colonial way.

Vicksburg street, north of Twenty-third street.

Vicksburg street, south of Twenty-third street.

Lily avenue between Octavia and Laguna streets.

Lily avenue and Octavia street.

Thirty-first avenue between Balboa and Cabrillo streets.

Vicksburg and Twenty-third streets.

Taraval street, Thirty-eighth, Thirty-ninth, Fortieth, Forty-first, Forty-second, Forty-third, Forty-fourth and Forty-fifth avenues.

Install Double Inverted Gas Lamps.

Camino Del Mar and Sea Cliff drive.

Camino Del Mar between Twenty-eighth avenue and McLaren way.

Change 250 M. R. to 400 M. R.

Twenty-fourth avenue between Clement and California streets.

Maynard street between Mission and Craut street (one pole east).

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Approval of Santa Rosa, Petaluma and Sausalito Auto Stage Service.

The following resolution, laid over from last meeting, was taken up:

Resolution No. 27333 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the Santa Rosa, Petaluma and Sausalito Auto Stage Company, now operating a motor stage service between Santa Rosa and Sausalito and intermediate points, has applied to the Railroad Commission of the State of California for a certificate of public convenience and necessity authorizing the extension of its service to San Francisco, said company contemplating the establishment of its terminal station in the vicinity of Fifth and Mission streets, San Francisco; and

Whereas, it appears that the extension of this stage service, affording, as it will, a direct connection and means of transportation between San Francisco and the north bay counties, will be of great public convenience and a real necessity to the citizens of San Francisco who use this form of transportation for purposes of business or pleasure, as well as to the many citizens of the north bay counties desiring a more direct means of reaching San Francisco; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, that we heartily endorse the application of said Santa Rosa, Petaluma and Sausalito Auto Stage Company to extend its service into San Francisco proper, and recommend that its application be granted by the Railroad Commission; and

Privilege of the Floor.

Dion Holm, Assistant City Attorney, was granted the privilege of the floor and addressed the Board on the pending matter.

Amendment.

Supervisor Marks moved to insert the following:

Be it Further Resolved, That it is the sense of this Board that any certificate of public convenience and necessity granted by the Railroad Commission shall prohibit the taking on of passengers at Sausalito for transportation to any point within the City of San Francisco, or the taking on of any passengers within the City of San Francisco for transportation to the Town of Sausalito; and be it

Further Resolved, That a certified copy of this resolution be transmitted by the Secretary of this Board to the Railroad Commission.

Amendment *carried.*

Adopted.

Whereupon, the foregoing resolution, as amended, was *adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington. Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —15.

No—Supervisor Powers—1.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy —2.

Explanation of Vote.

Supervisor Havenner explained his vote by saying that if he thought that it would detract from the Municipal Bus he would vote no.

Supervisor Schmidt made the same explanation.

Passed for Printing.

The following resolution was *passed for printing*:

Condemnation Proceeding, J. Christoffersen et al., Land Required for Hetch Hetchy Construction.

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That public interest and necessity demand the acquisition, through eminent domain proceedings, of the following described par-

cels of land situated in the County of Alameda, State of California, belonging to Jensine Christoffersen and Carl M. Christoffersen, for the purposes hereinafter described, for the public use of the City and County of San Francisco, said lands being more particularly described as follows, to-wit:

Parcel 1. Beginning at a point in the north line of Section 6, Township 4 South, Range 4 East, M. D. B. and M., distant along said line north 88 deg. 35 min. west 1000 feet; thence north 88 deg. 35 min. west 850 feet along said north line; thence leaving said line and running as follows: South 37 deg. 50 min. east 1410 feet, south 61 deg. 35 min. west 315 feet, south 28 deg. 25 min. east 400 feet, north 61 deg. 35 min. east 710 feet, south 80 deg. 150 min. east 405 feet, more or less, to a point in the east line of said Section 6; thence northerly 410 feet along said east line to a point distant southerly 875 feet along said line from the northeast corner of said Section 6; thence north 80 deg. 50 min. west 310 feet; thence north 37 deg. 50 min. west 1085 feet, more or less, to the point of beginning.

Containing 29.6 acres, more or less, and being a portion of the northwest quarter of said Section 6.

Parcel 2. All that portion of the northwest quarter of Section 6, Township 4 South, Range 4 East, M. D. B. and M., included within a strip of land 250 feet in width, 25 feet northerly and 225 feet southerly of the following described line, which line will be the center line of the first aqueduct tunnel to be constructed by the City and County of San Francisco:

Beginning at a point in the east line of said Section 6, distant along said line southerly approximately 650 feet; thence north 80 deg. 50 min. west 770 feet; thence south 75 deg. 40 min. west 1920 feet, more or less, to a point in the west line of the northeast quarter of said Section 6, distant along said line southerly approximately 1050 feet from the quarter section corner in the north line of said Section 6.

Excepting from said description land included within Parcel 1 hereinabove described.

Containing 11.1 acres, more or less.

Parcel 3. All that portion of the northeast quarter of Section 6, Township 4 South, Range 4 East, M. D. B. and M., included within a strip of land 20 feet in width, 10 feet each side of the following described surveyed center line:

Beginning at a point in the southeasterly boundary of Parcel 1 hereinabove described, distant along said boundary north 61 deg. 35 min. east 60 feet from the most southerly corner of said Parcel 1; thence running as follows: South 4 deg. 08 min. west 160 feet, south 15 deg. 37 min. east 323 feet, south 9 deg. 36 min. east 405 feet, south 3 deg. 07 min. east 175 feet, more or less, to a point in the south line of the northeast quarter of said Section 6, distant along said line westerly approximately 800 feet from the quarter section corner in the east line of said Section 6.

Containing 0.5 acre, more or less.

The taking of said properties and of a fee title thereto is necessary for the construction, maintenance and operation by the City and County of San Francisco of a portion of the Hetch Hetchy aqueduct, now being constructed by the said City and County of San Francisco, and designed and intended to convey water from Lake Eleanor and the Tuolumne River and its tributaries to the said City and County of San Francisco for municipal and domestic purposes. That the taking of said properties is further necessary for the future proper development and control of said aqueduct and for the structures appurtenant thereto and used in connection therewith and for a protective area for said aqueduct. That the structures appurtenant to said right of way for said aqueduct are a permanent shaft leading from the surface of the ground to said aqueduct, and as a site for permanent houses and homes to be used and occupied by the persons constructing, operating and maintaining said aqueduct, and for permanent rights of way, water pipe lines, electric transmission lines, telephone lines, roads and pathways, all to be used in connection with the construction, maintenance and operation of said aqueduct and the appurtenances thereto.

The City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to commence eminent domain proceedings against the owners of said properties and of all interests therein or claims against or liens upon said properties, for the condemnation of said rights, interests, claims and liens to the public use of the City and County of San Francisco for the said purposes above specified.

This resolution shall be published in the official newspaper, with the

ayes and noes, for at least five successive days, Sundays and legal holidays excepted, before the final action upon the same.

Resolutions Nos. 27052 (New Series) and 27276 (New Series) are hereby repealed.

Mayor to Sell Property at Auction.

Supervisor Powers presented:

Resolution No. 27336 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Mayor be and he is hereby authorized and directed to sell at public auction, after at least five (5) days of published notice, the following described personal property owned by the City and County of San Francisco, to-wit:

Dwelling houses and appurtenances situated on those certain pieces or parcels of land required for the opening of Bernal Cut and known as 351 Arlington street, 47-47½ Arlington street, 12 Arlington street, 425 Miguel street, 419 Miguel street, 49 Academy street, 113-115 College avenue, 22-24-26-28 Highland avenue.

Dwelling houses and appurtenances situated on those certain pieces or parcels of land required for opening of Bay Shore boulevard and known as 1801 San Bruno avenue, 1701 San Bruno avenue.

The terms of said sale shall be cash upon delivery of bill of sale, said dwelling houses to be removed by the purchasers within thirty (30) days of purchase thereof.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Appointment of Frank A. Flynn Superintendent, and Bartlett Stephens Assistant Superintndent, Municipal Airport.

Supervisor Kent presented:

Resolution No. 27334 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Frank A. Flynn be and he is hereby appointed Superintendent of the Municipal Airport of San Francisco, to serve during the pleasure of the Board of Supervisors at a salary of $300 per month. This appointment to be effective as of June 1, 1927; and be it

Further Resolved, That Bartlett Stephens be and he is hereby appointed Assistant Superintendent of the Municipal Airport of San Francisco to serve during the pleasure of the Board of Supervisors at a salary of $200. This appointment to be effective as of June 1, 1927.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Referendum of Reapportionment Bill.

Supervisor Havenner moved, as follows:

Mr. Chairman, the Legislative Reapportionment Bill, which was adopted by the people at the last general election, and for which the necessary legislation to make it effective was adopted at the recent session of the Legislature, is to be submitted to referendum. The representatives of San Francisco in the State Legislature announced in voting for the necessary bill to put this reapportionment act into effect, that they intended at the same time to support the referendum when it came up. The new reapportionment law changes the present system of representation by population in both the Senate and the Assembly, and as a result of that change San Francisco's quota of the State Senators would be reduced by six. At present we have seven representatives in the State Senate; we would, under the provisions of the new law, have but one, and that would be true similarly in Los Angeles and other large cities of the State. We would lose also one Assemblyman in San Francisco and I think there is practically unanimous sentiment among the members of the State delegation, and I think the sentiment is practically unanimous throughout the State, that the present law should be repealed by referendum. I think the Board of Supervisors should declare its intention to support the proposed referendum and to aid it in every way that it can, and I so move.

Motion seconded by Supervisor Schmidt and *carried unanimously.*

Leave of Absence, Supervisor Louis F. Byington.

The following resolution was presented and *adopted* by the following vote:

Resolution No. 27335 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Supervisor Lewis F. Byington be granted a leave of absence with permission to leave the State for a period of five (5) days during the next thirty (30) days from date.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco,

Byington, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Hayden—3.

ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business, the Board at the hour of 4:25 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors October 10, 1927.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated, and approved as above recited.

JOHN S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors.
City and County of San Francisco.

Vol. 22—New Series No. 23

Monday, June 6, 1927

Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
337 Bush Street, S. F.

JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, JUNE 6, 1927, 10 A. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, June 6, 1927, 10 a. m.

The Bard of Supervisors met in regular session.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Quorum present.

His Honor Mayor Rolph presiding.

APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of January 31, 1927, was considered read and approved.

ROLL CALL FOR PETITIONS FROM MEMBERS.

Letter From Supervisor Edwin G. Bath.

The following was presented, read and ordered *inserted in the record*:

June 6, 1927.

Hon. John Dunnigan, Clerk, Board of Supervisors, City and County of San Francisco.

Dear Sir:

It is with much regret that I have to advise you that it will be impossible, on account of illness, to be present at today's meeting, and therefore, I wish to say that were I present I would vote for the budget.

I have been confined to the bed under care of doctor and nurse since the last meeting attended by me. I am improving. With best wishes to yourself, his Honor the Mayor, my collegues and friends. I am,

Sincerely yours,

EDWIN G. BATH.

Letter of Thanks, Calvary Presbyterian Church.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication, from Ezra Allen Van Nuys, Pastor of Calvary Presbyterian Chuch, thanking Committee on Publicity and Advertising for flag decorations during General Presbyterian Assembly Convention.

Referred to Public Welfare Committee.

Redwood Highway Indian Marathon.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication, from Redwood Empire Association, advising that on Flag Day, June 14, the Redwood Highway Indian Marathon will be dispatched from San Francisco, whence the course of this endurance contest extends the full length of the Redwood Highway, 480 miles to Grant's Pass, Oregon, inviting participation by all City officials and requesting official and formal permission for certain projects in connection with the race.

On motion of Supervisor Todd, the foregoing invitation was *accepted.*

Letter of Thanks.

Communication, from Ezra Van Nuys, Pastor of Calvary Presbyterian Church and Chairman of the Committee on Arrangements for the General Assembly, thanking the Board of Supervisors for its cooperation in making the recent convention "so pronounced a success."

Read and *filed.*

Employment of Exprt on Salary Standardization.

Communication, from Civil Service Convention, advising as to why the Civil Service Commission has asked Board of Supervisors to pass a resolution empowering the Commission to employ Wilford E. Talbert, a resident of Berkeley, as an expert in salary standardization.

Read and ordered *filed.*

Letter of Appreciation, Mother of Colonel Lindbergh.

The following was presented and read:

178 N. Ashland avenue,
Detroit.

Hon. James Rolph, Jr., Mayor of San Francisco, San Francisco, California.

Hon. Sir:

I am glad to accept the congratulations of the Mayor of San Francisco and the Board of Supervisors on my son's successful flight and beg to assure you that he will express his appreciation of the honor conferred by your city at his earliest opportunity.

Respectfully,

Evangeline L. L. Lindbergh.

May 28, 1927.

Bridge Matters Deferred.

The following was presented and read:

Communication, from Supervisor Warren Shannon, requesting that action on bridge matters be deferred until afternoon session, as Public Utilities Committee will be in San Mateo County in the forenoon to request San Mateo County Board of Supervisors to defer action on application of C. J. Casey for a bridge franchise immediately south of the southern boundary of San Francisco County.

Bridge matters ordered *deferred until afternoon session by unanimous vote.*

Investigation of Garbage Rate Overcharges Against Law.

June 6, 1927.

Hon. J. Emmet Hayden, City Hall, San Francisco, California.

Dear Sir:

Enclosed please find copy of letter which has been addressed to the Honorable Board of Supervisors and which should be read for your consideration at the meeting of the Board today.

May we ask your cooperation?

Sincerely,

City Garbage Removal Co., Inc.

(Signed) Guido Braccini, Manager.

Supervisor Hayden presented the following matters and moved that they be inserted in the record:

June 6, 1927.

To the Honorable Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, City Hall, San Francisco, Calif.

Gentlemen:

Some weeks ago the City Garbage Removal Co., Inc., filed with your Honorable Board a copy of correspondence which had passed between Honorable James Rolph, Jr., Mayor of San Francisco, the San Francisco Board of Health and this company relative to garbage collection in San Francisco.

We understand that this matter was referred to the Health Committee of your Board, which has not met during the interim.

We beg leave to supplement this data herewith and to request that this letter be brought to the attention of the entire Board at its regular meeting today.

The City Garbage Removal Company has completed a survey, which indicates that the householders and business men of this city are being overcharged a total of $93,758 every month in plain violation of the law by scavenger companies now operating. This figure seems to be corroborated by the fact that 63 leading hotels of San Francisco have petitioned the Board of Health for a new scavenger service, declaring that their rates "have been continuously and arbitrarily raised". This figure was given to the company by Alexander Ball and H. C. Averell, certified public accountants, and is based upon more than seven hundred voluntary complaints of dissatisfied householders and business men.

These facts have been brought to the attention of the San Francisco Board of Health and Mayor Rolph repeatedly, but have elicited no explanation nor reply. The Board of Health agreed at first to investigate the separate complaints, but withdrew its offer when the City Garbage Removal Company insisted that a court reporter and a representative of this company be allowed to accompany the inspectors who were assigned to the task, despite the fact that the City Garbage Removal Company, holding powers of attorney conferred upon it by hundreds of citizens, had formally demanded such inspections.

The refusal of the Board of Health to authorize these inspections, apparently, is caused by this demand of the City Garbage Removal Company to have a representative and court reporter present and the refusal of the company to leave any of the documentary proof of overcharges in the hands of the Board. These, we believe, are legitimate requests, well within the bounds of equity, and necessary to protect the interests of the citizens who have appealed to this company after fruitless efforts to gain relief through municipal channels. Garbage is collected in San Francisco under regulations set forth in an ordinance passed by the San Francisco Board of Supervisors. Every charge to a householder or business man in excess of the maximum legal rate set up in that ordinance

passed by your Board is a direct violation of the law. The City Garbage Removal Company is anxious to obtain just and fair rates for the citizens, whom it represents, and it believes that these citizens are entitled to consideration from the Board of Health.

In passing, may we call the attention of your Honorable Board to the fact that not a single scavenger license has been revoked by the Board of Health for any reason whatever, although Section 9 of the ordinance regulating the collection and disposition of refuse in San Francisco specifically provides for such revocation where an overcharge has been made to a householder.

We feel, therefore, that we are entitled, in the name of the citizens of San Francisco, who have entrusted us with their powers of attorney, to request respectfully that your Honorable Board take steps to inquire concerning the basis of these many complaints; to ask for a report from the Board of Health as to what steps it may have taken in the matter and to learn the truth of the garbage situation.

May we assure your Honorable Board of our loyal support and cooperation in any investigation you may, in your judgment, undertake?

Respectfully,

City Garbage Removal Co., Inc.,
By Guido Braccini, Manager.

Garbage Controversy.

City Garbage Removal Co., Inc., 209 Clunie Building, San Francisco.
May 28, 1927.

Hon. James Rolph, Jr., Mayor of San Francisco, City Hall, San Francisco, California.

Dear Sir:

During a conference with the writer some days ago, you stated that the $500 to $600 received by San Francisco scavengers is "not enough" and that they should collect even more money for their work.

Enclosed please find copies of telegraphic reports from the cities of Los Angeles, Sacramento and Berkeley, showing that scavengers in these cities receive salaries varying from $115 to $125 a month.

It would not seem that the collection of garbage in San Francisco is of such a peculiarly difficult or disagreeable character as to warrant the scavengers of this city receiving more than four times the return realized in other cities of California, while at the same time city officials of San Francisco are admittedly paid less than in these same municipalities. Perhaps, after all, means may have been found to balance this apparent inequality.

Seven months of intensive investigation have revealed to the City Garbage Removal Company an astounding condition of affairs in reference to the garbage collection business in San Francisco.

We have found that a trust, fostered by your Board of Health and able to exist through your support and cooperation, has a strangle hold upon San Francisco and its citizens. We feel certain, Mayor Rolph, that we will be able to satisfy the householders and citizens of San Francisco that you personally are guilty of a flagrant abuse of your official position in nurturing an illegal combine against the interests of the citizens whom you profess to protect.

This investigation has revealed circumstances and facts which we do not care to disclose in this letter, but which we expect to make public.

This company is fully aware of the finesse and political "diplomacy" with which you and your Board of Health, guided by Dr. William C. Hassler, have attempted, colloquially, to "make monkeys of us" through promises, hints and half-pledges to "do something tomorrow."

These "efforts to find a solution," never sincerely attempted, have never been taken seriously by the City Garbage Removal Company. Nevertheless, we have attempted throughout to meet you half-way, to treat you fairly and not to trespass upon business ethics.

Now the time has come when we must do something that we have not cared to do—drag you into the garbage war. Our campaign for a new garbage ordinance will start immediately—and it will not end until next November, no matter what the result may be on June 14.

This letter will serve as fair warning that James Rolph, Jr., Dr. William C. Hassler and the San Francisco Board of Health will bear the brunt of the campaign.

It probably will be interesting to you to know that your "friends," notably Louis Cavagnaro, the "duce" of the garbage trust, has consistently violated the confidence you have placed in him.

Hundreds of witnesses will testify to the statements he has made about you and about Dr. Hassler—that "no independent garbage truck will go on the streets of San Fran-

cisco so long as 'Jeem' is mayor of San Francisco."

The Scavengers' Protective Association alone has spent $120,000 to maintain its special privileges in San Francisco and Cavagnaro has been unduly free in revealing just where it has gone and where it will go next November.

Remember, in future times of political stress, that rats are inclined to desert a sinking ship.

Respectfully yours,
City Garbage Removal Co., Inc.,
(Signed)
By Guido Braccini, Manager.

P. S.—According to reports of certified accountants employed by us, the monthly overcharge to the people of San Francisco for the removal of garbage amounts to $93,738.

Los Angeles, Cal. May 25.

City Garbage Removal Co., Inc., Room 209, 519 California street, San Francisco, California.

City of Los Angeles pays day garbage collectors $4.75 per day; Night collectors ..... per shift; truck drivers for wagons, $5 per day shift and $5.25 per night shift.

George E. Cryer, Mayor.

Sacramento, Cal., May 24, 1927.

City Garbage Removal Co., Room 209, 519 California street, San Francisco, Cal.

Wages $4.25. Allowed to salvage from their loads. Average return with salvage, $5.

H. C. Bottorff, City Manager.

Berkeley, Cal., May 24, 1927.

City Garbage Removal Co., Inc., Room 209, 519 California street, San Francisco, California.

Helpers $115 for two years, then $120 per month, drivers $140 for two years, then $145 per month.

J. J. Jamison,
Acting City Manager.

Mayor's Letter on Garbage Controversy.

Supervisor Hayden presented:

June 6, 1927.

City Garbage Removal Co., Inc., 209 Clunie Building, San Francisco, California.

Dear Sirs:

Receipt is acknowledged of your letter of May 28th, enclosing therewith copies of telegrams received by you from the authorities of the cities of Los Angeles, Sacramento and Berkeley.

Aside from the information contained in your letter, I have no definite knowledge as to what the scavengers of this community are earning. It is obvious from your letter that the employees of the cities you have communicated with are furnished with their equipment and that the same is maintained not by them but by the city. Therefore, the analogy of the respective amounts received by the employees of the other cities, comparing with what they receive here, is not one based upon the same set of facts.

I have nothing but the highest regard for the members of the Board of Health. Its personnel is comprised of outstanding physicians, business and professional men of this city and I stand unequivocally behind everything they have done in the past, not only in this matter, but all other matters. Therefore, I do not receive your attack upon them with any degree of truthfulness or sincerity.

I might call your attention to the fact that these men serve the city gratuitously. Surely, there is no civic duty higher than that performed by one for the love of his community. Likewise, our Health Officer, Dr. Wm. C. Hassler, needs no defense. His reputation as a medical man and authority on public health is national and of the best. Under his guidance San Francisco enjoys the reputation of being the healthiest and cleanest city in the world.

Your threat to hold me responsible for the garbage situation is of little interest. I am doing the very best I can to promote the interests of San Francisco and I shall continue to do so without fear or favor.

Your attack on Louis Cavagnaro, in my opinion, is unjustifiable. If I knew you as well as do him, I would surely conclude that you were one who is dependable and a man of integrity.

Aside from the fact that you sign yourself as the manager of the City Garbage Removal Co., I do not know who or what you are.

As to the scavengers having spent $125,000 to maintain special privileges in San Francisco, your information is the first that I have had. Needless to add, I have no faith in its accuracy.

The overcharges that you claim the scavengers are making upon the people of San Francisco will receive my attention. If your statements prove correct, I shall take every necessary step to correct a condition which I would not for a moment tolerate.

So that I may reach an intelligent understanding of this overcharge accusation, I shall appreciate your

furnishing me whatever information you can.

Yours truly,
JAMES ROLPH. JR.,
Mayor of San Francisco.

PRESENTATION OF PROPOSALS.

Foodstuffs.

Sealed proposals were received and opened at the hour of 11 a. m. this day for furnishing foodstuffs during the four months' term, viz.: July, August, September, October, 1927, and *referred to Supplies Committee.*

Books.

Sealed proposals were received and opened at the hour of 11 a. m. for furnishing books required during the Fiscal Year 1927-28, and *referred to Supplies Committee.*

Prnting.

Sealed proposals were received and opened at the hour of 11 a. m. for furnishing printed and lithographed forms and blanks required during the Fiscal Year 1927-28, and *referred to Supplies Committee.*

Stationery.

Sealed proposals were received and opened at the hour of 11 a. m. for furnishing stationery required during the Fiscal Year 1927-28, and *referred to Supplies Committee.*

UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

Final Passage.

The following matter heretofore passed for printing was taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

BUDGET

FOR MUNICIPAL EXPENDITURES

City and County of San Francisco, California, For Fiscal Year 1927-1928

Bill No. 8045, Ordinance No. 7558 (New Series).

An ordinance fixing and appropriating the aggregate sums of the items thereof allowed to each department, officer, board and commission for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1928, and making a Budget of the same.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The aggregate sums and items thereof hereinafter set forth are hereby fixed, designated and appropriated for the respective purposes set opposite the same, to be expended during the fiscal year ending June 30, 1928, in accordance with the provisions of Article III, Chapter 1, of the Charter of the City and County.

Section 2. No department, officer, board, commission or employee shall expend, agree to expend or incur any liability which shall exceed the amount of any appropriation herein made or which may be hereafter appropriated for any specific purpose, and no such appropriation shall be used or subjected to any liability for any purpose other than that for which it is herein specifically made, unless otherwise authorized by the Board of Supervisors.

All balances of appropriations unexpended, or against which no liabilities have been incurred, at the end of each calendar month, shall be reported to the Board of Supervisors by the department, board, commission, officer or employee within ten days after the expiration of such previous month, and at the end of the fiscal year all such balances shall revert to and become a part of the unappropriated general fund unless otherwise ordered by the Board of Supervisors.

The Auditor shall not audit or issue any warrant contrary to the express provisions of this section.

Section 3. The amounts appropriated are as follows:

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
1	1090 E to 1093 E	(Appropriation 1) for plans and construction of new school buildings, the purchase of land for		

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
		school purposes, furniture, additions and repairs to existing school buildings. A special tax on each $100 of assessed valuation to produce.....		$1,200,000

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 2-A)

2	401 A	18 Supervisors at $2,400 each.....	$43,200	
3	402 A	Clerk	6,000	
4	"	1 Chief Assistant Clerk	4,500	
5	402 A	1 Expert Accountant	6,000	
6	"	1 Bond and Ordinance Clerk.....	3,000	
7	"	2 Assistant Clerks at $3,600.....	7,200	
8	"	1 Assistant Clerk	3,000	
9	"	1 Assistant Clerk	2,100	
10	"	1 Stenographer to Finance Committee	3,600	
11	"	1 Stenographer	2,400	
12	"	1 Stenographer	2,100	
13	"	1 Shorthand Reporter	2,700	
14	"	1 Telephone Operator and Filing Clerk	2,100	
15	401 A	1 Sergeant-at-Arms	2,400	
16	"	1 Chauffeur-Investigator	3,000	
17	529 A	1 Gas and Water Inspector.....	2,400	
18	678 A	1 Superintendent of Auditorium ...	3,000	
			$98,700	
		TELEPHONE EXCHANGE.		
19	433 A	Chief Operator	$1,980	
20	"	5 Operators at $1,800.....	9,000	
21	"	Relief Operators	675	
		Total Personal Services.....		$110,355

MISCELLANEOUS ACCOUNTS UNDER CONTROL OF BOARD OF SUPERVISORS.

(Appropriations 3-22 to 3-81)

22	403 B	Finance Committee Expenses	$5,000
23	401 K	Supervisors' Incidental Expenses....	9,000
24	402 B	Advertising Resolutions and Ordinances	55,000
25	402 K	Urgent Necessity	100,000
26	402 B	Printing Public Documents	4,000
27	"	Printing Law and Motion Calendar	8,000
28	614 K	Celebration Fourth of July	2,500
29	"	Memorial Day Observance	500
30	613 B	Maintenance Municipal Band	12,000
31	425 A	Examination of Insane	9,000
32	425 B	Maintenance of Insane Criminals...	7,500
33	529 B	Lighting Streets	680,000
34	402 H	Premiums on Official Bonds	5,000
35	527 E	Street Work in Front of City Property	50,000
36	1093 E	County Road Fund	1,000

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
37	5273	Repair and Painting of Bridges....	5,000	
38	1093	Extension and Reconstruction of Sewers	200,000	
39	629 H	Police Relief Pension Fund Deficit	140,000	
40	"	Relief of Exempt Firemen	5,000	
41	678 K	Auditorium	10,000	
42	467 H	Public Pound	15,000	
43	553 B	Feeble-Minded Home	100,000	
44	553 H	Maintenance of Minors	700,000	
45	553 H	Widows' Pensions	220,000	
46	"	St. Catherine's Training School....	8,000	
47	"	State Schools	20,000	
48	419 K	City Planning Commission Including Auto at $40 a month and Including Stationery, Postage and Printing, $90	6,970	
49	1090	Purchase of Lands from Market Street Railroad	8,940	
50	1090	Purchase of Lands from Spring Valley Water Company, Contract....	35,200	
51	1091	Aquatic Park Improvement.....	10,000	
52	1091	Yacht Harbor Improvement.....	25,000	
53	1091	Civic Center Beautification.....	15,000	
53a	"	Lighting City Hall.....	16,000	
54	1090	Van Ness Avenue Extension—Final Purchase	117,000	
55	"	Municipal Air Port.....	50,000	
55b	"	Balboa Park Tennis and Handball Courts	5,000	
55c	"	Golden Gate Park Handball Court...	5,000	
56	401	For the purpose of continuing and completing the appraising of all taxable property subject to assessment within the City and County of San Francisco to the end that said assessment of said property for the fiscal year 1928-29 and for subsequent years may be equalized and the same may conform to the true value of said property and that the Board of Supervisors sitting as a Board of Equalization may be fully advised as to the true value of said property.....	125,000	
57	478 A 478 1091	For Personal Service, Opening of Preventorium in San Mateo County, and Completion of Buildings and Grounds	75,000	
58	466	For the Establishing of Maternity Service	25,000	
59	432 BO	Repairs to Public Buildings, other than School Buildings	40,000	
60	552	Laguna Honda Home Equipment...	10,000	
61	1091	Improvement of Larsen Park.....	20,000	
62	1090	Initial Purchase, Mt. Davidson.....	15,000	
63	1091	Chapel at San Francisco Hospital...	30,000	
64	"	Bridle Path, Presidio Parkway.....	10,000	
65	"	Improvement of Public Lands in Noe Valley	10,000	
66	"	Convenience Stations	30,000	

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
67	"	Improvements, de Young Museum..	25,000	
68	"	Police Department Communication System	10,000	
69	1092	Traffic signals, installation of, and purchase of devices for traffic control	25,000	
70	1090	Purchase of lands for public purposes in Mission District	150,000	
71	1093	Street signs	10,000	
71a	"	Purchase of Elgin sweeper for street cleaning	7,500	
72	4142 AC	Single team for stores and yards, Board of Public Works, at $10.75 per day	3,000	
74	502 AC	25 Double teams, street cleaning, Board of Public Works, at $11.50 per day	84,500	
75	501 AC	3 Double teams, sewer repair, Board of Public Works, at $11.50 per day	10,350	
76	501 BN	Rental, 7 1½-ton trucks, Board of Public Works, at $12 per day....	25,200	
77	"	Rental, 1 3-ton truck, Board of Public Works, at $20 per day	6,000	
78	555 BN	Rental, 16 autos, Board of Public Works, at $40 per month	7,680	
79	434	Payment for Rental 333 Kearny Street Lease	14,233	
80	401 CL	Stationery, Postage and Printing...	1,800	
		Total		$3,435,873

Street Reconstruction.

81	1091	For the reconstruction of the following streets:	
		Broderick, Vallejo to Green	
		Clay, Taylor to Jones	
		Castro, Market to 16th	
		California, Van Ness to Hyde (widening)	
		California, Hyde to Jones (widening and paving)	
		Clay, Stockton to Powell	
		Fillmore, Broadway to Green	
		Filbert, Hyde to Leavenworth	
		Greenwich, Hyde easterly	
		Haight, Scott to Baker	
		Jones, Jackson to Pacific	
		Kearny, Broadway to Vallejo	
		Kearny, Union to Filbert	
		Larkin, Bay to North Point	
		Mason, Union to Greenwich	
		McAllister, Central to Masonic	
		Page, Broderick to Divisadero	
		Steiner, Grove to Fulton	
		Sacramento, Jones to Hyde	
		Sutter, Broderick to Presidio	
		Sutter, Buchanan to Octavia	
		Taylor, Green to Lombard	
		Washington, Jones to Taylor	

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
		Washington, Stockton to Kearny		
		Washington, Leavenworth to Hyde		
		Jackson, Stockton to Powell		
		Broadway, Columbus to Montgomery		
		Buchanan, Green to Union (center strip)		
		Broderick, Ellis to O'Farrell		
		California, Mason to Jones (widening and resurfacing)		
		Cherry, Sacramento to California		
		Divisadero, Green to Union		
		Francisco, Powell to Taylor		
		Hayes, Franklin to Laguna		
		Haight, Buchanan to Scott		
		Laguna, Bay Street north		
		Leavenworth, Columbus to Jefferson		
		Lyon, California to Sacramento		
		Lyon, Jackson to Washington		
		Octavia, Jackson to Pacific		
		Polk, Broadway to Vallejo		
		Pierce, Greenwich to Filbert		
		Scotland Street, Columbus to Greenwich		
		Spruce, Sacramento to California		
		21st Avenue, Cabrillo to Fulton		
		Valparaiso, Mason to Taylor		
		Vanderwater, Mason to Powell		
		Walnut, Washington to Jackson		
		22nd Street, Church to Dolores		
		28th Street, Noe to Sanchez		
		25th Street, Fair Oaks to Dolores		
		Park, Leese to Mission		
		Clark, Drumm to Front		
		Maiden Lane, Kearny to Grant Ave.		
		Oregon, Drumm to Front		
		Alpine terrace, Waller to Duboce		
		Filbert, Polk to Van Ness		
		Maple, Sacramento to California		
		Steiner, Haight to Oak		
		Hermann, Buchanan to Laguna		
		Drumm, Jackson to Pacific		
		Davis, Jackson to Pacific		
		Steuart, Market to Mission		
		Ellis, Polk to Van Ness		
		Stockton, Lombard to Bay		
		Total		$309,000

Bureau of Supplies.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 3½-A)

82	634 A	1 Purchaser of Supplies	$10,000
83	"	1 Superintendent of Supplies	3,600
84	"	1 Inspector of Supplies	3,000
85	"	1 Clerk, Stationery	3,000
86	"	1 Assistant Clerk, Stationery	2,700
87	"	1 Stenographer	2,100
88	"	1 Assistant Purchaser, Schools	2,700
89	"	1 Stenographer File Clerk	1,680
90	634 A	1 Tabulator	1,680

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
91	"	1 Chief Storekeeper	3,900	
		Total Personal Services	$34,360	
92	634 BC	Non-Personal (Appropriation 3½ B)	2,280	
93	"	Stationery, printing books, postage (Appropriation 3½-C)	6,300	
94	"	Furniture for public offices, equipment, etc. (Appropriation 3½-D)..	20,000	
95	"	License tags and blanks (Appropriation 3½-E)	6,000	
		Total Non-Personal Services ...	$34,580	
		Total Bureau of Supplies.....		**$68,940**

EXECUTIVE DEPARTMENTS.

MAYOR.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 4-A)

96	404 A	Mayor	$6 000
97	"	Executive Secretary	4,800
98	"	Assistant Secretary	4,200
99	"	4 Stenographer-Typewriters at $2,100 each	8,400
100	"	Telephone Operator	1,800
101	"	Messenger	1,980
102	"	2 Chauffeurs at $2,400 each	4,800
		Total Personal Services	$31,980

Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 4-B)

103	404 K	Contingent expenses (Charter).....	$3,600
104	"	Personal Services and other than Personal Services	6,285
105	404 C	Stationery, Postage and Printing (Appropriation 4-C)	1,215
		Total Non-Personal Services ...	$11,100
		Total Mayor	**$43,080**

AUDITOR.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 5-A)

106	405 A	Auditor	$8,000
107	"	Office Superintendent	3,600
108	"	3 Deputies at $3,600 each	10,800
109	"	2 Deputies at $2,880 each	5,760
110	"	4 Deputies at $2,700 each	10,800
111	"	1 Deputy	2,580
112	"	5 Deputies at $2,400 each	12,000
113	"	1 Bond Deputy	2,580
114	"	1 General Clerk	2,220
115	405 A	1 General Clerk	1,920
116	"	1 Stenographer-Typist	2,220
117	"	Expert (State Law), Section 4099 A	2,580
118	"	Telephone Operator and Filing Clerk	2,100
119	"	Attorney	3,000

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
120	"	Service, assessment, State and local, compiling statistics for State Board and Comptroller and settlement with City and State	6,400	
		Total Personal Services	$76,560	
Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 5-B)				
121	405 B	Contingents	$500	
122	405 CL	Stationery, Postage and Printing (Appropriation 5-C)	5,400	
		Total Auditor		**$82,460**

TAX COLLECTOR.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 6-A)				
123	408 A	Tax Collector	$8,000	
124	"	Office Superintendent	3,600	
125	"	Cashier	3,300	
126	"	Accountant	3,300	
127	"	6 Special Deputies at $2,580 each ..	15,480	
128	"	1 Cashier, License Bureau	2,880	
129	"	2 Expert Searchers at $2,580 each..	5,160	
130	"	26 Deputies at $2,400 each	62,400	
131	"	1 Stenographer	2,100	
132	"	Extra Clerical Help	15,000	
133	"	Adjuster of Licenses	3,000	
134	"	Tunnel Accountant	2,100	
		Total Personal Services	$126,320	
Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 6-B)				
135	408 B	Printing Delinquent Tax List	$3,700	
136	408 D	Advertising Tax Notices and Contingents	2,160	
137	408 C	Stationery, Postage and Printing (Appropriation 6-C)	4,500	
		Total Non-Personal Services ...	$10,360	
		Total Tax Collector.....		**$136,680**

TREASURER.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 7-A)				
138	406 A	Treasurer	$8,000	
139	"	Chief Deputy	2,880	
140	"	Cashier	5,100	
141	406 A	Bank and Bond Deputy.....	3,900	
142	"	1 Deputy	3,900	
143	"	Coupon Clerk	2,880	
144	"	1 Deputy	3,300	
145	"	Bookkeeper	3,600	
146	"	Assistant Bookkeeper	2,400	
147	"	2 Clerks, at $2,880 each	5,760	
148	"	1 Clerk	1,980	
		Total Personal Services	$43,700	

Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 7-B)

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
149	406 K	Non-Personal Services	$200	
150	406 C	Stationery, Postage and Printing (Appropriation 7-C)	900	
		Total Treasurer		**$44,800**

ASSESSOR.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 8-A)

151	407 AA	Assessor	$8,000	
152	"	Chief Deputy Assessor	2,400	
153	"	Director of Supervising Appraisers	4,500	
154	"	Cashier Auditor	2,400	
155	"	2 Supervising Appraisers at $4,200 each a year	8,400	
156	"	3 Supervising Appraisers at $3,600 each a year	10,800	
157	"	16 Appraisers at $3,000 each a year	48,000	
158	"	3 Personal Property Appraisers at $3,000 each a year	9,000	
159	"	1 Asst. Personal Property Appraiser	2,400	
160	"	3 Asst. Appraisers at $2,400 each a year	7,200	
161	"	3 Asst. Appraisers at $2,700 each a year	8,100	
162	"	15 Asst. Appraisers at $2,280 each a year	34,200	
163	"	Stenographer-Typist	1,800	
164	407 AB	Temporary Asst. Deputies—General Clerks at $160 per month for time employed	98,000	
		Total Personal Services	$245,200	

Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 8-B)

165	407 B	Non-Personal Services, including Autos at $40 a month	$6,000	
166	407 C	Stationery, Postage and Printing (Appropriation 8-C)	13,500	
		Total Assessor		**$264,700**

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTIONS.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 9-A)

167	431 A	5 Commissioners at $1,000 each a year	$5,000	
168	"	Registrar of Voters	5,400	
169	"	2 Deputy Registrars at $3,600 each a year	7,200	
170	"	5 Deputy Registrars at $2,700 each..	13,500	
171	"	7 Deputy Registrars at $2,460 each a year	17,220	
172	"	Typo Operator Mechanic.....	2,460	
173	"	Typo Operator Mechanic Assistant..	2,280	
174	"	Voting Machine Adjuster.....	1,920	
175	"	Stenographer-Typewriter	2,280	
			$57,260	

ELECTION EXPENSES.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 9-B)

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
176	431 AC	Storekeeper-Carpenter at $9 a day..	$2,700	
177	"	Outside Registration	15,000	
178	431 AB	Temporary Clerks, office and petitions	50,000	
179	"	Election Officers	65,000	
180	431 AC	Laborers	1,000	
		Total Personal Services.....	$133,700	

Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 9-C)

181	431 B	Contractual Services	$63,500	
182	1092	Purchase additional voting machines and payment for machines heretofore delivered	150,000	
183	"	Rental of Polling Places.....	18,000	
184	"	Purchase of Truck.....	1,835	
		Total Non-Personal Services..	$233,335	
		Total Elections		**$424,295**

DISTRICT ATTORNEY.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 10-A)

185	412 A	District Attorney	$8,000	
186	"	5 Assistants at $4,500 each.....	22,500	
187	"	1 Assistant	3,600	
188	"	4 Assistants at $3,000 each.....	12,000	
189	"	3 Assistants at $2,400 each.....	7,200	
190	"	Warrant and Bond Clerk.....	3,300	
191	"	1 Asst. Warrant and Bond Clerk...	2,400	
192	"	6 Asst. Warrant and Bond Clerks at $2,280 each	13,680	
193	"	Chief Clerk	3,000	
194	"	Assistant Chief Clerk.....	2,100	
195	412 A	Bookkeeper	1,800	
196	"	1 Stenographer	2,400	
197	"	1 Stenographer	2,100	
198	"	Messenger	1,800	
199	"	1 Juvenile Court Investigator.....	2,100	
		Total Personal Services	$87,980	

Personal and Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 10-B)

200	412 K	Detection and Prosecution of Criminals	$7,500	
201	412 C	Stationery, Postage and Printing (Appropriation 10-C)	1,350	
		Total District Attorney.....		**$96,830**

PUBLIC DEFENDER.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 10½-A)

202	411	Public Defender	$5,000	
203	"	2 Deputy Public Defenders at $3,900 each a year	7,800	

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
204	"	1 Deputy Public Defender.....	3,600	
205	"	1 Clerk	1,800	
206	411 C	Stationery, Postage and Printing (Appropriation 10½-C)	90	
		Total Public Defender.....		**$18,290**

CITY ATTORNEY.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 11-A)

207	410 A	City Attorney	$8,000	
208	"	1 Chief Deputy	7,200	
209	"	1 Deputy	7,200	
210	"	1 Deputy	6,000	
211	"	2 Assistants at $3,000 each.....	6,000	
212	"	1 Assistant	2,700	
213	"	2 Stenographers at $2,100 each....	4,200	
214	"	1 Telephone and Information Clerk	2,100	
		Total Personal Services	$43,400	

Personal and Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 11-B)

215	410 K	General and Rate Litigation.....	$9,570	
216	410 C	Stationery, Postage and Printing (Appropriation 11-C)	630	
		Total City Attorney.....		**$53,400**

CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 12-A)

217	417 A	3 Commissioners at $1,200 each.....	$3,600	
218	"	Deputy Commissioner and Chief Examiner	4,200	
219	"	1 Chief Inspector	3,000	
220	"	1 Inspector	2,700	
221	"	Assistant Inspector	2,400	
222	"	1 Assistant Secretary	2,400	
223	"	1 Experienced Clerk	2,400	
224	"	1 General Clerk	2,100	
225	"	1 Clerk-Stenographer	2,220	
226	"	Special Examiners and Extra Clerks	3,500	
227	"	Salary Standardization	5,000	
		Total Personal Services	$33,520	
228	417 K	Non-Personal Services (Appropriation 12-B)	$1,250	
229	417 C	Stationery, Postage and Printing (Appropriation 12-C)	1,800	
		Total Civil Service Commission.		**$36,570**

COUNTY CLERK.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 13-A)

230	426 A	County Clerk	$8,000	
231	"	Chief Registry Clerk.....	3,600	
232	"	Cashier	3,000	
233	"	5 Registry Clerks at $2,880 each..	14,400	

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
234	"	10 Assistant Registry Clerks at $2,400 each	24,000	
235	"	16 Superior Court Clerks at $2,400 each	38,400	
236	426	4 Police Court Clerks at $2,400 each	9,600	
237	"	38 Copyists at $2,400 each	91,200	
		Total Personal Services	$192,200	
238	426 K	Non Personal Services (Appropriation 13-B)	150	
239	426 C	Stationery, Postage and Printing (Appropriation 13-C)	11,025	
		Total County Clerk		**$203,375**

SHERIFF.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 14-A)

240	430 A	Sheriff	$8,000	
241	"	Cashier	3,300	
242	"	Deputy	2,700	
243	"	Attorney	1,800	
244	"	Secretary and Chief Bookkeeper	3,000	
245	"	14 Deputies at $2,580 each	36,120	
246	557 A	59 Jailers at $2,364 each	139,476	
247	430 A	Stenographer	2,220	
248	557 A	Chauffeur-Machinist	2,400	
249	430 A	Office Superintendent	3,600	
250	527 A	Superintendent of Jails	3,000	
251	"	Assistant Superintendent	2,640	
252	"	Chief Jailer	2,700	
253	"	Commissary Storekeeper	2,580	
254	"	1 Bookkeeper	2,580	
255	"	1 Matron	2 400	
256	"	7 Female Jailers at $2,364 each	16,548	
257	"	2 Drivers at $2,400 each	4,800	
258	"	2 Cooks at $2,100 each	4,200	
259	"	1 Jailer	2,400	
260	"	1 Female Deputy	1,920	
261	"	16 Keepers at $1,920 each	30,720	
		Total Personal Services	$279,104	

Other than Personal Services—
(Appropriation 14-B)

262	557 Var	Maintenance, Subsistence and Equipment	$68,000	
263	557 C	Stationery, Postage and Printing (Appropriation 14-C)	2,520	
		Total Sheriff		**$349,624**

RECORDER.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 15-A)

	454 AA	Personal Services—		
264	"	Recorder	$8,000	
265	"	Office Superintendent	3,600	
266	"	2 Deputies (Cashiers) at $2,580 each	5,160	
267	"	6 Deputies at $2,580 each	15,480	

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
268	"	7 Deputies at $2,400 each	16,800	
269	"	Chief Copyist	2,580	
270	"	1 Special Copyist	2,580	
271	"	Machinist	2,700	
272	"	Stenographer	2,100	
273	454 AB	22 Copyists at $2,400 each	52,800	
		Total Personal Services	$111,800	
		Non-Personal Services— (Appropriation 15-B)		
274	454 BC	Stationery, Postage and Printing...	$5,400	
		Total Recorder		**$117,200**

SUPERIOR COURTS.

		Personal Services—(Appropriation 16-A)		
275	425 A	16 Judges	$80,000	
276	"	Secretary and Jury Commissioner...	6,000	
277	425 A	Assistant Secretary	2,400	
278	"	Jury Examiner	2,400	
279	"	Information Deputy	1,800	
280	"	Messenger-Clerk	2,400	
281	"	8 Translators at $2,100 each	16,800	
282	"	Jury and Witness Fees (Appropriation 16-A-1)	35,000	
283	428 A	Grand Jury Expenses (Appropriation 16-A-2)	8,000	
284	425 A	Stenographers (Reporters' Fees) (Appropriation 16-A-3)	30,000	
		Total Personal Services	$184,800	
		Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 16-B)		
285	425 K	Court Orders	$8,000	
286	"	Stationery, Postage and Printing (Appropriation 16-C)	2,250	
		Total Superior Courts		**$195,050**

JUSTICES' COURTS.

		Personal Services—(Appropriation 17-A)		
287	428 A	5 Justices of the Peace at $5,400 each	$27,000	
288	"	Justices' Clerk	4,200	
289	"	Chief Deputy	3,300	
290	"	Cashier	3,000	
291	"	3 Deputy Clerks at $2,700 each	8,100	
292	"	Messenger	2,700	
293	"	1 Clerk	2,700	
294	"	1 Clerk	2,400	
295	"	2 Clerks at $1,920 each	3,840	
		Total Personal Services	$57,240	
		Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 17-B)		
296	428 BC	Stationery, Postage and Printing...	$2,700	
		Total Justices' Courts		**$59,940**

JUVENILE DETENTION HOME.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 18-A)

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
297	558 A	Superintendent	$2,100	
298	"	Matron	1,500	
299	"	Assistant Superintendent	1,620	
300	"	Night Superintendent	1,620	
301	"	Clinic Nurse	1,200	
302	"	7 Nurses at $960 each	6,720	
303	"	Cook	1,200	
304	"	1 Orderly	1,620	
305	"	1 Orderly	1,200	
		Total Personal Services	$18,780	
		Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 18-B)		
306	558 Var	Maintenance and Subsistence	$15,000	
		Total Juvenile Detention Home.		**$33,780**

JUVENILE COURT.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 19-A)

307	559 A	Probation Officer	$4,200	
308	"	Chief Assistant Probation Officer	3,300	
309	"	1 Assistant Probation Officer who shall act as Collector	2,880	
310	"	3 Assistant Probation Officers at $2,700 each	8,100	
311	"	1 Assistant Probation Officer who shall act as Cashier-Bookkeeper	2,700	
312	"	12 Assistant Probation Officers at $2,520 each	30,240	
313	"	4 Assistant Probation Officers who shall act as Stenographers at $2,220 each	8,880	
314	"	1 Assistant Probation Officer who shall act as Stenographer	1,980	
315	"	1 Assistant Probation Officer who shall act as File and Information Clerk	2,100	
		Total Personal Services	$64,380	
316	"	Non-Personal Services (Appropriation 19-B)	3,785	
317	559 BC	Stationery, Postage and Printing (Appropriation 19-C)	1,215	
		Total Juvenile Court		**$69,380**

ADULT PROBATION DEPARTMENT.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 20-A)

318	5590 A	Chief Probation Officer	$4,200	
319	"	Assistant Chief Probation Officer	3,300	
320	"	7 Assistant Probation Officers at $2,520 each	17,640	
321	"	1 Assistant Cashier and Clerk	2,280	
		Total Personal Services	$27,420	

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
322	5590 K	Non-Personal Services (Appropriation 20-B)	250	
323	5590 BC	Stationery, Postage and Printing (Appropriation 20-C)	450	
		Total Adult Probation Dept....		**$28,120**

WIDOWS' PENSION BUREAU.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 21-A)

324	553 A	Director	$3,000	
325	"	Assistant Director	2,400	
326	"	3 Social Service Visitors at $2,100 each	6,300	
327	"	1 Office Assistant	1,800	
		Total Personal Services.....	$13,500	
328	553 K	Non-Personal Services (Appropriation 21-B)	640	
329	553 BC	Stationery, Postage and Printing (Appropriation 21-C)	360	
		Total Widows' Pension Bureau.		**$14,500**

LAW LIBRARY.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 22-A)

330	427 A	Librarian	$4,800	
331	"	Assistant Librarian	3,000	
332	"	Bookbinder	2,100	
		Total Personal Services	$9,900	

Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 22-B)

333	427 BC	Stationery, Postage and Printing...	$270	
		Total Law Library.....		**$10,170**

POLICE COURTS.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 23-A)

334	423 A	4 Police Judges at $3,600 each.....	$14,400	
335	"	4 Stenographers at $3,900 each.....	15,600	
		Total Personal Services	$30,000	

Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 23-B)

336	423 BC	Stationery, Postage and Printing....	$90	
		Total Police Court.....		**$30,090**

CORONER.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 24-A)

337	429 A	Coroner	$8,000	
338	"	Chief Deputy	3,300	
339	"	Autopsy Surgeon	3,000	
340	"	3 Deputies at $2,580 each	7,740	
341	"	3 Assistant Deputies (female) at $1,980 each	5,940	

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
342	429 A	3 Assistant Deputy Drivers at $2,400 each	7,200	
343	"	1 Stenographer	2,400	
344	"	1 Assistant Stenographer	2,100	
345	"	Toxicologist	1,800	
346	"	Relief	800	
		Total Personal Services	$42,280	
347	429 K	Non-Personal Services (Appropriation 24-B)	$3,000	
348	429 BC	Stationery, Postage and Printing (Appropriation 24-C)	900	
		Total Coroner		**$46,180**

SEALER OF WEIGHTS AND MEASURES.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 25-A)

349	460 A	Sealer	$4,800	
350	"	Chief Deputy	3,300	
351	"	6 Deputy Sealers at $2,700 each	16,200	
		Total Personal Services	$24,300	
352	460 K	Non-Personal Services (Appropriation 25-B)	1,500	
353	460 BC	Stationery, Postage and Printing (Appropriation 25-C)	270	
		Total Sealer of Weights and Measures		**$26,070**

HORTICULTURAL COMMISSION.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 25½-A)

354	464 A	1 Horticultural Commissioner	$3,000	
355	"	2 Horticultural Inspectors at $8.00 a day	4,800	
356	"	1 Stenographer-Clerk	1,800	
		Total Personal Services	$9,600	

Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 25½-B)

357	464 A	Non-Personal Services: Expense, auto rental at $40 a month	$1,560	
358	464 BC	Stationery, Postage and Printing (Appropriation 25½-C)	135	
		Total Horticultural Commission		**$11,295**

DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS.

Commissioners and General Office.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 26-A)

359	414 A	3 Commissioners	$12,000	
360	"	Chief Deputy Commissioner	4,200	
361	"	Experienced Clerk	3,600	
362	"	Experienced Clerk	3,600	
363	"	Experienced Clerk	2,400	
364	"	Ordinary Clerk	2,400	

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
365	"	Stenographer	2,400	
366	"	Stenographer	2,400	
367	"	Chauffeur	2,400	
368	"	Messenger	2,280	
369	"	General Clerk	2,100	
		Total Personal Services		$39,780

Bureau of Accounting.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 27-A)

370	414 A	Experienced Clerk in Charge	$4,300	
371	"	Ordinary Clerk, Head Timekeeper	2,700	
372	"	Experienced Clerk, Acting Bookkeeper	3,000	
373	"	12 Experienced Clerks at $2,400 a year	28,800	
374	417 A	2 Experienced Clerks at $1,800 each a year	3,600	
375	"	1 Experienced Clerk, Cost Accountant	3,300	
376	"	1 Experienced Clerk, Cashier	3,300	
377	"	1 Experienced Clerk	2,400	
378	"	Clerk	2,700	
379	"	2 Stenographers at $2,100 each a year	4,200	
380	"	5 Stenographers at $1,980 each a year	9,900	
381		Inspector of House Numbers	2,700	
		Total Personal Services		$70,900

Bureau of Architecture.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 28-A)

382	416 A	Superintendent of Construction	$4,200	
383	"	Architectural Draftsman	3,000	
384	"	Architectural Draftsman	2,700	
385	"	Apprentice Draftsman	1,200	
386	"	Stenographer	2,100	
		Total Personal Services		$13,200

Bureau of Building Repair.

Expenditures of appropriations for repairs to buildings in this subdivision are not to be made until estimates are filed with the Board of Supervisors and authorizations and appropriations made therefor.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 29-A)

387	432 AA	Superintendent	$4,200	
388	"	Asst. Superintendent	3,600	
389	"	Superintendent of Painters	3,360	
390	"	Foreman Plumber	3,000	
391	"	Foreman Cement Finisher	3,000	
392	"	Foreman Carpenter	3,000	
393	"	Foreman Tinner	3,000	
394	"	Foreman Wireman	3,000	

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
395	"	Head Janitor	3,120	
396	"	2 Asst. Head Janitors, at $2,160 a year	4,320	
397	"	Night Foreman, Janitor	2,160	
398	"	57 Janitors, at $1,860 a year	106,020	
399	432 AA	4 Window Cleaners, at $2,040 each a year	8,160	
400	"	Foreman Window Cleaner	2,220	
401	432 AB	Janitors' Relief and Vacation	3,765	
402	432 AA	Elevator Starter—City Hall	2,160	
403	"	17 Elevator Operators, at $1,860 a year	31,620	
404	"	2 Watchmen, at $1,860 each a year.	3,720	
405	432 AB	Relief and Vacation, all Departments	1,840	
406	"	2 Chief Engineers, at $3,420 each a year	6,840	
407	"	5 Asst. Chief Engineers, at $2,520 a year	12,600	
408	"	Engineer—Part Time Relief and Vacation	2,520	
409	"	5 Firemen, at $2,200 each a year	11,000	
410	"	Firemen Relief and Vacation	435	
		Total Personal Services		$228,760
		Employment for more or less constant service in the repair of public buildings other than school buildings (Appropriation 29½-A).		
411	432 AC	5 Carpenters, each at $9 per day	$13,500	
412	432 AA	Foreman Carpenter, $10 per day	3,000	
413	"	Carpenters' Helper, $7 per day	2,100	
414	"	Carpenters' Apprentice, $5.50 per day	1,650	
415	"	5 Plumbers, at $10 per day	15,000	
416	"	2 Steam Fitters, at $10 per day	6,000	
417	"	6 Painters, at $9 per day	16,200	
418	"	Foreman Painter, at $10 per day	3,000	
419	"	Painters, Shop Men, $7 per day	2,100	
420	432 AA	Painters' Apprentice, at $6 per day	1,800	
421	"	2 Tinners, $9 per day	5,400	
422	"	Tinners' Helper, at $6.50 per day	1,950	
423	"	Tinners' Apprentice, $4 per day	1,200	
424	"	Cement Finisher, at $9 per day	2,700	
425	"	Cement Finisher Helper, $8 per day	2,400	
426	"	Glazier, at $9 per day	2,700	
427	"	Steam Fitter, at $10 per day	3,000	
428	"	Locksmith, at $7 per day	2,100	
429	"	Wireman, at $9 per day	2,700	
		Total Personal Services		$88,500
Non-Personal Services—				
		(Appropriation 29-B and C)		
430	432 B	Contractual Services	$3,600	
431	432 BA	Transportation Autos	2,500	
432	432 4A	Transportation Carfare	600	
433	432 C	Materials and Supplies	19,000	
434	432 BA	Transportation Carfare	180	

SUPPLIES AND MAINTENANCE.

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
435	432 BC	Towel Service	2,575	
436	432 BB	Scavenger Service	900	

MATERIALS AND SUPPLIES.

437	432 CB	Fuel Oil	$15,000	
438	432 CS	Janitorial Supplies	5,000	
439	432 CD	Engine Room Supplies and Equipment	5,000	
		Total Non-Personal Services		$54,355
440	432 BH	Lighting Public Buildings Exclusive of School Buildings (Appropriation 29-D)	$30,000	
441	432 BL	Water for Public Buildings Exclusive of School Buildings (Appropriation 29-E)	18,000	

Bureau of Stores and Yards.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 30-A)

442	4142 AC	Experienced Clerk	$2,700
443	"	Watchman	2,640
444	"	Watchman	2,340
445	"	Watchman	1,980
446	"	2 Watchmen, at $1,860 each a year	3,720
447	"	2 Watchmen, at $1,920 each a year	3,840
448	"	Foreman Machinist	3,000
449	"	Machinist, at $9 a day	2,700
450	"	2 Blacksmiths, at $9 a day	5,400
451	"	3 Blacksmiths' Helpers, at $7.08 a day	6,372
452	"	Vulcanizer, at $7 a day	2,100
453	"	Carriage Painter, at $8.50 a day	2,550
453a	"	Painter's apprentice, at $5.50 a day.	1,650
454	4142 AC	2 Laborers, 365 days, at 6 a day	4,380
455	"	2 Laborers, at $6 a day	3,600
		Total Personal Services	$48,972

Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 30-B)

456	4142 C	Material and Supplies, Equipment	$1,000
		Total Non-Personal Services	$1,000

Bureau of Building Inspection.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 31-A)

457	455 A	Chief Inspector	$5,400
458	"	1 Inspector	3,300
459	"	1 Inspector	3,000
460	"	Boiler Inspector	3,000
461	"	2 Experienced Clerks, at $2,700 each	5,400
462	"	1 Inspector	2,550
463	"	10 Inspectors, at $2,700 a year	27,000
464	"	Messenger	1,560
465	"	2 Engineers, at $3,600 each	7,200
		Total Personal Services	$58,410

Bureau of Engineering.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 32-A)

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
466	415 A	City Engineer	$15,000	
467	"	Asst. City Engineer	5,400	
468	"	4 Assistants, Civil Engineer, at $3,300 a year	13,200	
469	"	Surveyor	3,600	
470	"	Surveyor	3,000	
471	"	4 Surveyors, at $3,000 a year	12,000	
472	"	Surveyors' Field Assistant	3,000	
473	"	2 Surveyors' Field Assistants, at $3,000 a year	6,000	
474	"	4 Surveyors' Field Assistants, at $2,700 a year	10,800	
475	"	1 Surveyor's Field Assistant	2,700	
476	"	20 Surveyors' Field Assistants, at $2,700 a year	54,000	
477	"	1 Engineering Draftsman	3,600	
478	"	1 Engineering Draftsman	3,300	
479	"	1 Engineering Draftsman	3,000	
480	"	6 Engineering Draftsmen, at $2,700 a year	16,200	
481	"	3 Engineering Draftsmen, at $2,700 a year	8,100	
482	"	1 Engineering Draftsman	2,100	
483	"	Cartographer	2,700	
484	"	2 Inspectors of Streets and Sewers, at $2,700 a year	5,400	
485	"	1 Inspector of Streets and Sewers	2,400	
486	"	1 Inspector of Streets and Sewers	2,400	
487	"	1 Inspector of Streets and Sewers	2,220	
488	"	Engineering Chemist	3,600	
489	"	Photographer	2,700	
490	"	Photostat Operator	2,400	
491	"	Blue Printer	2,100	
492	"	3 Stenographers, at $1,980 a year	5,940	
493	"	Chauffeur	2,400	
494	415 A-B	Watchman, part time	870	
		Total Personal Services		$190,130

Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 32-B)

495	415 B	Non-Personal Services	$8,000	
496	"	Block Books (Appropriation 32-C)	4,000	
		Total Engineer's Department		$202,130

Street Repair Department.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 33-A)

497	527 AA	Superintendent	$4,500	
498	"	Asst. Superintendent	3,900	
499	"	2 Asst. Superintendents, at $2,700 each	5,400	
500	"	Experienced Clerk	3,000	
501	"	Stenographer, 4 months	600	

MUNICIPAL ASPHALT PLANT—300 DAYS.

(Appropriation 33-B)

502	527 A	Engineer	$2,400	

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
503	"	2 Firemen, at $1.980 a year	3,960	
504	"	Foreman, at $9.50 a day	2,850	
505	"	Machinist	2,700	
506	527 AC	Asphalt Dryermen, at $8 a day	2,400	
507	"	2 Asphalt Mixermen, at $8 a day ..	4,800	
508	"	Asphalt Mixerman, at $8.50 a day..	2,550	
509	"	2 Asphalt Finishers, at $7.50 a day ..	4,500	
510	"	3 Asphalt Workers, at $7 a day	6,300	
511	527 AA	Telephone Operator	1,620	
		ASPHALT MAINTENANCE AND REPAIR—SURFACE HEATERS FOUR MACHINES, 280 DAYS.		
512	527 AC	2 Foremen, 300 days, at $8.50 a day	$5,100	
513	"	16 Finishers, at $7.50 a day	33,600	
514	"	24 Asphalt Workers, at $7 a day	47,040	
515	"	7 Engineers, at $9 a day	17,640	
516	"	10 Chauffeurs, at $7 a day	19,600	
		SIDEWALKS—150 DAYS.		
517	527 AC	1 Cement Finisher. at $9 a day.....	$1,350	
518	"	2 Cement Finisher Helpers, at $8 a day	2,400	
		CONCRETE REPAIRS, MISCELLANEOUS—280 DAYS.		
519	527 AC	15 Laborers, at $6 a day	$25,200	
		CURB SETTING AND DRESSING—280 DAYS.		
520	527 AC	2 Granite Cutters, at $9 a day.....	$5,040	
		BASALT BLOCK MAINTENANCE—270 DAYS.		
521	527 AC	Foreman, 280 days, at $9 a day.....	$2,520	
522	"	6 Pavers, at $8 a day	12,960	
523	"	3 Rammers, at $7 a day	5,670	
524	"	10 Laborers, at $6 per day	16,200	
		Total Personal Services	$245,800	
		Non-Personal Services—		
525	527	Asphalt Plant—Transportation, carfare, rental of 17 trucks at $12 a day, 280 days; switching and demurrage, garage expense, printing and bookbinding, power, water, repairs to equipment, tool sharpening, setting back hydrants, miscellaneous	$65,350	
526	"	Materials and Supplies — Asphalt, cement, limestone dust, paving brick, rock, sand and gravel, fuel oil, wood and coal, kerosene. gasoline, lubricants, tools, tires and tubes, redressed curb	92,400	
			$157,750	

Maintenance and Operation of Bridges.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 34-A)

527	527 A	9 Engineers, at $2,520 each a year ..	$22,680	
528	"	2 Engineers, Relief and Vacation, at $2,520 a year	5,040	
529	"	10 Watchmen, Bridge Tenders, at $1,860 each a year	18,600	

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
530	"	2 Watchmen, Relief and Vacation, at $1,860 a year	3,720	
531	"	1 Carpenter, at $9 per day	2,700	
532	"	1 Carpenter Helper at $6.50 per day	1,950	
		Total Personal Services	$54,690	
		Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 34-B)		
533	527 B	Contractual	$2,500	
534	527 C	Material and Supplies	1,000	
		Total Non-Personal Services	$3,500	

Street Cleaning Department.

		Personal Services—(Appropriation 35-A)		
535	502 AA	Superintendent	$4,200	
536	"	2 Asst. Superintendents, at $2,700 a year	5,400	
537	"	1 Experienced Clerk	3,000	
538	502 AA	6 Watchmen at $1,860 each a year	11,160	
539	502 AC	210 Sweepers at $6 per day	378,000	
540	"	19 Chauffeurs at $7 per day	39,900	
541	"	14 Gang Foremen at $6.50 per day	27,300	
542	"	1 Night Gang Foreman	2,100	
			$471,060	
		Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 35-B)		
543	502 BA	Transportation	$1,200	
544	502 BL	Water	6,500	
545	502 BN	Repairs and upkeep of equipment	5,000	
546	502 C	Material and Supplies	20,000	
547	1092	Street Cans	600	
			$33,300	

Bureau of Sewer Repair.

		Personal Services—(Appropriation 36-A)		
548	501 AA	Superintendent	$4,200	
549	"	Asst. Superintendent	3,000	
550	"	Asst. Superintendent	2,700	
551	501 AC	Asst. Superintendent in charge Sewer Cleaning	2,550	
		(Appropriation 36-A-1)		
552	"	5 Bricklayers at $11 a day	16,500	
553	"	9 Hod Carriers at $9 a day	24,300	
554	501	3 Chauffeurs at $7 per day	6,300	
555	"	25 Sewermen at $6.50 per day	48,750	
556	"	21 Laborers at $6 per day	37,800	
557	"	18 Sewer Cleaners at $8 per day	43,200	
		Total Personal Services	$189,300	
		Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 36-B)		
558	B	Repairs to equipment	$1,000	
559	C	Materials and Supplies	20,000	
		Total Non-Personal Services	$21,000	

Sewage Pumping Station.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 37-A)

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
560	501 AA	Watchman in Charge	$2,160	
561	501 AB	Relief and Vacation	90	
		Total Personal Services	$2,250	

Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 37-B)

562	501 BH	Power	$500	
563	501 C	Material and Supplies	700	
		Total Non-Personal Services	$1,200	

MISCELLANEOUS.

(Appropriation 38-A)

564	501 BA	Carfare	$1,200	
565	501 BN	Maintenance and Repairs to Equipment	3,000	
566	501 K	Material, Supplies, Equipment	2,000	
567	501 BC	Stationery, Postage and Printing (all Departments) (Appropriation 38-B)	10,800	
			$17,000	
		Total Board of Works		$2,050,857

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICITY.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 40-A)

568	465 A	Office Superintendent	$5,000
569	"	Secretary	3,000
570	"	1 Clerk	2,700
571	"	1 Stenographer-Typewriter	2,100
572	"	1 Helper-Messenger	1,800
573	"	Chief Inspector	3,200
574	"	18 Inspectors at $3,000 each	54,000
575	"	Chief Operator	3,000
576	"	8 Fire Alarm Operators at $2,700 each	21,600
577	"	4 Telephone Operators at $1,800 each	7,200
578	"	Relief Telephone Operator	750
579	"	Superintendent of Plant	3,300
580	465 AC	Cable Splicer at $9 per diem	2,700
581	"	Batteryman	2,700
582	"	Foreman Instrument Maker	3,000
583	"	5 Instrument Makers at $2,700 each	13,500
584	"	Foreman Laborer	2,100
585	"	3 Laborers at $6 per diem	5,400
586	"	2 Machinists at $2,700 each	5,400
587	"	3 Foremen Lineman at $2,700 each	8,100
588	"	14 Linemen at $2,400 each	33,600
589	"	Inside Wireman at $9 per diem	2,700
590	"	Commissary	2,100
591	"	Repairer	2,460
592	"	2 Clerks at $2,280 each	4,560
		Total Personal Services	$196,070

Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 40-B)

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
593	465 Var	Material, Supplies and Equipment..	$12,750	
594	465 BC	Stationery, Postage and Printing (Appropriation 40-B-1)	2,250	

Personal and Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 40-E)

595	1091	Underground Conduit System	15,000	
		Total Non-Personal Services....	$30,000	
		Total Department of Electricity.		**$226,070**

FIRE DEPARTMENT.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 41-A)

596	452 AA	4 Commissioners at $1,200 each a year	$4,800	
597	"	Office Superintendent and Secretary	4,500	
598	"	Physician	2,820	
599	"	Stenographer	2,580	
600	"	Chief Engineer	7,200	
601	"	2 First Assistant Chiefs at $4,800 each	9,600	
602	"	2 Second Assistant Chiefs at $4,800 each	9,600	
603	"	21 Battalion Chiefs at $4,200 a year	88,200	
604	"	22 Operators at $2,520 a year.....	55,440	
605	"	Superintendent of Assignment	1,440	
			$186,180	
		ENGINE COMPANIES.		
606	452 AA	46 Captains at $2,820 each a year..	$129,720	
607	"	82 Lieutenants at $2,670 each a year	218,940	
608	"	27 Engineers at $2,640 each a year	71,280	
609	"	86 Drivers at $2,400 each a year...	206,400	
610	"	2 Drivers at $2,160 each a year..	4,320	
611	"	346 Hosemen at $2,400 each a year	830,400	
612	"	15 Hosemen at $2,280 each a year	34,200	
613	"	46 Hosemen at $2,160 each a year	99,360	
614		Automatic increase in salaries.....	4,295	
			$1,598,915	
		CHEMICAL COMPANIES.		
615	452 AA	13 Captains at $2,820 each a year.	$36,660	
616	"	13 Lieutenants at $2,670 each a year	34,710	
617	"	26 Drivers at $2,400 each a year...	62,400	
618	"	28 Hosemen at $2,400 each a year..	67,200	
619	"	4 Hosemen at $2,280 each a year..	9,120	
620	"	7 Hosemen at $2,160 each a year..	15,120	
621		Automatic increase in salaries.....	930	
			$226,140	
		TRUCK COMPANIES.		
622	452 AA	15 Captains at $2,820 each a year.	$42,300	
623	"	18 Lieutenants at $2,670 each a year	48,060	
624	"	28 Drivers at $2,400 each a year..	67,200	

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
625	"	28 Tillermen at $2,400 each a year	67,200	
626	"	136 Truckmen at $2,400 each a year	326,400	
627	"	11 Truckmen at $2,280 each a year	25,080	
628	"	3 Truckmen at $2,160 each a year	6,480	
629		Automatic increase in salaries.....	1,190	
			$583,910	
		WATER TOWER COMPANIES.		
630	452 AA	1 Captain	$2,820	
631	"	1 Lieutenant	2,670	
632	452 AA	2 Drivers at $2,400 each a year....	4,800	
633	"	3 Hosemen at $2,400 each a year..	7,200	
			$17,490	
		RESCUE SQUAD COMPANIES.		
634	452 AA	1 Captain	$2,820	
635	"	2 Lieutenants at $2,670 each a year	5,340	
636	"	2 Drivers at $2,400 each a year....	4,800	
637	"	4 Hosemen at $2,400 each a year..	9,600	
		Total Personal Services.....	$22,560	
		PUMPING STATIONS.		
638	452 AA	2 Chief Engineers at $3,360 each a year	$6,720	
639	"	5 Asst. Engineers at $2,760 each a year	13,800	
640	"	7 Firemen at $2,340 each a year..	16,380	
641	452 AB	Vacation Engineers	805	
642	"	Vacation Firemen	685	
			$38,390	
		DISTRIBUTING SYSTEM.		
643	452 AA	Superintendent	$4,200	
644	"	Foreman Gateman	2,880	
645	"	Asst. Foreman Gateman	2,730	
646	"	11 Gatemen Hydrantmen at $2,580 each a year	28,380	
647	452 AC	1 Calker at $7.50 per day	2,250	
648	"	3 Laborers, at $6 per day each	5,400	
			$45,840	
		CORPORATION YARD.		
649	425 AA	Superintendent	$4,200	
650	"	Clerk and Commissary	2,400	
651	"	Bookkeeper	2,700	
652	"	3 Watchmen, at $2,040 each a year	6,120	
653	425 A	1 Drayman	2,220	
654	"	1 Clerk	1,800	
655	"	Batteryman	2,700	
656	"	1 General Foreman	3,300	
657	525 AC	12 Machinists, at $9 per day	32,400	
658	"	5 Machinists' Helpers, at $6.58 per day	9,870	
659	"	4 Blacksmiths, at $9 per day	10,800	
660	"	4 Blacksmiths' Helpers, at $7.08 per day	8,496	
661	"	2 Woodworkers, at $9 per day	5,400	
662	"	1 Brass Finisher, at $9 per day	2,700	

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
663	"	1 Boilermaker, at $9 per day	2,700	
664	"	1 Boilermaker's Helper, at $6.58 per day	1,974	
665	"	1 Foreman Carriage Painter, at $9.50 per day	2,850	
666	525 AC	2 Carriage Painters, at $9 per day..	5,400	
667	"	1 Leather Worker, at $8.50 per day	2,550	
		Total Corporation Yard	$110,580	
668	"	For Fire Boat Crew and Maintenance, all Revenues Received from the State of California to be Credited to this Item (Appropriation 41-A-1)	$84,860	
		Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 41-B)		
669	452 BC	Maintenance, Material and Supplies	$130,500	
670	452 BL	Hydrant Service, Spring Valley Rental, Water for Buildings (Appropriation 41-C)	177,000	
671	452 BC	Stationery, Postage and Printing (Appropriation 41-D)	2,250	
		EQUIPMENT.		
672	1092	Equipment (Appropriation 41-E)...	90,000	
			$399,750	
		Total Fire Department		**$3,314,615**

POLICE DEPARTMENT.

		Personal Services—(Appropriation 42-A)		
673	451 AA	4 Commissioners, at $1,200 each a year	$4,800	
674	"	Office Superintendent	3,600	
675	"	Confidential Clerk	2,400	
676	"	Stenographer	3,000	
677	"	Chief of Police	7,200	
678	"	Chief Clerk	3,600	
678a	"	1 Property Clerk	3,600	
679	"	Stenographer	1,800	
680	"	Physician	2,400	
681	"	6 Telephone Operators, at $1,800 each a year	10,800	
		DETECTIVE BUREAU.		
682	"	Captain of Detectives.....	$5,000	
683	"	6 Lieutenants, at $3,000 each a year	18,000	
684	"	66 Detective Sergeants, at $2,760 a year	182,160	
685	"	Stenographer	2,760	
686	"	Photographer	2,700	
687	"	3 Women Protective Officers, at $2,400 each a year.....	7,200	
		UNIFORM FORCE.		
688	"	Captain of Traffic.....	$4,000	
689	"	14 Captains, at $3,600 each a year..	50,400	
690	"	35 Lieutenants, at $3,000 each a year	105,000	
691	"	84 Sergeants, at $2,640 each a year	221,760	

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
692	"	129 Corporals, at $2,580 each a year	332,820	
693	"	884 Patrolmen, at $2,400 each a year	2,121,600	
694	451 AA	26 Patrol Drivers, at $2,400 each a year	62,400	
695	"	10 Hostlers, at $2,160 each a year	21,600	
696	"	4 Matrons, at $2,100 each a year	8,400	
697	"	Matron's Relief	1,312	
698	"	Cook	2,100	
699	"	3 Engineers, Police Boat, at $2,400 each a year	7,200	
700	"	Inspector of Motor Vehicles	2,760	
701	"	Inspector of Horses and Equipment	2,760	
702	"	Inspector of Repairs and Maintenance	2,760	
		Total Personal Services	$3,207,892	
		Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 42-C)		
703	451 B	Contractual Service	$21,500	
704	451 C	Material and Supplies	47,500	
705	1092	Equipment — Automobiles, Motorcycles, Horses and Miscellaneous (Appropriation 42-D)	20,000	
706	K	Contingent Fund (Appropriation 42-B)	9,000	
707	1092 BC	Stationery, Postage and Printing (Appropriation 42-E)	9,000	
		Total Police Department		**$3,314,892**

DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH.

Central Office.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 43-A)

708	476 A	Health Officer	$9,000
709	"	Chief Clerk	4,200
710	"	Stenographer	2,580
711	"	3 Stenographers, at $2,280 a year	6,840
712	"	2 Clerks, at $2,280 each a year	4,560
713	"	1 Clerk, Personal	1,800
714	"	2 Telephone Operators, at $1,800 each a year	3,600
715	467 AB	Relief Telephone Operator	400
		ACCOUNTING.	
716	476 AA	Auditor	$4,200
717	"	2 Clerks, at $2,280 each a year	4,560
		STATISTICS.	
718	476 AA	1 Clerk—Morbidity	$3,600
719	"	1 Clerk—Mortuary	2,280
720	"	1 Clerk—Births	2,280
721	"	1 Clerk—Statistician, Cancer Survey	1,500
		CONTROL OF COMMUNICABLE DISEASES—TUBERCULOSIS.	
722	476 AA	Chief Market Inspector	$2,700
723	"	7 Veterinarians, at $2,400 each a year	16,800
724	"	16 Market Inspectors, at $2,400 each a year	38,400

OTHER COMMUNICABLE DISEASES.

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation
725	476 AA	4 Sanitary Inspectors at $2,700 each a year	$10,800	
726	"	1 Disinfector	2,400	
727	"	1 Disinfector	2,220	
728	"	Inspector, U. S. P. H. S.	1,200	
		CLINICS.		
729	476 AA	Clinician	$3,000	
730	"	Psychologist	1,800	
731	"	2 Assistant Psychologists at $1,500 each	3,000	
732	"	Assistant Psychologist	900	
733	"	Physician Psychologist	1,200	
734	"	Psychologist	600	
735	"	Social Service Worker	1,800	
736	"	Physician	2,100	
737	"	Janitress	1,500	
		BACTERIOLOGICAL LABORATORIES.		
738	476 AA	Director of Laboratories	$3,600	
739	"	Milk Bacteriologist	3,000	
740	"	2 Bacteriologists, at $2,700 each a year	5,400	
741	"	1 Bacteriologist	2,100	
742	"	Technician	1,500	
743	"	Clerk	2,280	
744	"	2 Helpers at $720 each a year	1,440	

Conservation of Child Life.

SCHOOL INSPECTION.

745	476	Chief Medical Inspector	$3,000	
746	476 AA	2 School Inspectors at $2,700 each a year	5,400	
747	"	4 School Inspectors (part time) at $1,800 each a year	7,200	
748	"	Optometrist	1,500	
		DENTAL.		
749	476 AA	Dentist	$3,000	
750	"	3 Dental Hygienists at $1,800 each a year	5,400	
751	"	11 Dentists at $1,200 each a year	13,200	
752	"	1 Dentist Assistant	600	
		CHILD WELFARE.		
753	476 AA	Pediatrician	$3,000	
754	"	Pediatrician	480	
755	"	Pediatrician	240	
755a	"	Physician	1,500	

Food Inspection.

MILK AND DAIRY CONTROL.

756	476 AA	2 Veterinarians, at $3,600 each a year	$7,200	
757	"	1 Veterinarian	3,000	
		OTHER FOOD CONTROL.		
758	476 AA	Chief Food Inspector	$3,600	
759	"	7 Food Inspectors, at $2,400 each a year	16,800	

CHEMICAL LABORATORY.

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
760	476 AA	Chemist	$3,000	
761	"	Chemist	2,700	
762	"	Technician	1,080	

Protection of Life and Property.

PLUMBING INSPECTION.

763	456 A	Chief Plumbing Inspector, at $3,600 a year	$3,600	
764	"	6 Plumbing Inspectors, at $2,820 each a year	16,920	

HOUSING INSPECTION.

765	457 A	Tenement House Inspector	$3,000	
766	"	3 Tenement House Inspectors at $2,400 a year	7,200	
767	"	3 Tenement House Inspectors at $2,100 each a year	6,300	

FACTORY INSPECTION.

768	458 AA	2 Industrial Inspectors at $2,100 each a year	$4,200	
769	"	1 Industrial Inspector	1,980	

REFUSE COLLECTION.

770	429 A	2 Inspectors at $2,100 each	$4,200	

CHARITIES AND RELIEF.

771	476 A	2 City Physicians at $3,600 a year	$7,200	
772	"	1 City Physician	3,000	

FIELD NURSING.

773	476 A	Director	$3,600	
774	"	2 Supervisors School Medical Inspection at $2,280 each	4,560	
775	"	Supervision Nutrition—Field Nurse	2,280	
776	"	Supervisor—Social Service	2,280	
777	"	2 Supervisors—Tuberculosis Bureau	4,560	

Nurses.

TUBERCULOSIS BUREAU.

778	476 A	8 Field Nurses at $1,980 a year	$15,840	
779	"	1 Graduate Nurse	1,800	

SCHOOL MEDICAL INSPECTION.

780	476 A	30 Field Nurses at $1,980 a year	$59,400	
781	"	Nutrition Worker	2,100	

CHILD WELFARE.

782	476 A	Field Nurse—Foster Homes	$1,980	
783	"	10 Field Nurses—Health Centers, at $1,980 a year	19,800	

SOCIAL SERVICE.

784	476 A	Field Nurse	$1,980	
785	"	Social Service Worker	1,980	
786	"	Institutional Nurse	1,620	

TUBERCULOSIS, OTHER THAN NURSING.

787	476 A	Director	$4,200	
788	"	Physician	600	
789	"	Clerk-Stenographer	1,020	
790	"	Cook—Michael Angelo School	720	
		Total Personal Services	$430,960	

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
791	476 BH	Lighting Health Buildings (Appropriation 43-B)	$2,500	
792	"	Water, Health Buildings (Appropriation 43-C)	27,000	
		RAT EXTERMINATION.		
		(Appropriation 43-D)		
793	476 AA	4 Rat Catchers at $1,320 a year each	$5,280	
794	476 B	Carfare	225	
		Non-Personal—		
795	476 C	Material and Supplies	525	
796	476 B	Contractual Services (Appropriation 43-D)	23,850	
797	476 C	Material, Supplies and Equipment	40,000	
798	476 BC	Stationery, Postage and Printing (Appropriation 43-E)	10,800	
		Total Non-Personal Services	$110,180	

Laguna Honda Home.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 44-A)

799	552 AA	Superintendent	$6,000	
800	"	Clerk	3,000	
801	"	Stenographer	2,280	
802	"	Telephone Operator	900	
803	"	Watchman	1,500	
804	"	Physician	2,400	
805	"	Physician	1,800	
806	"	Pharmacist	2,400	
807	"	Supervisor Nurse	1,800	
808	"	20 Institutional Nurses at $1,200 each	24,000	
809	"	1 Practical Nurse	840	
810	"	4 Practical Nurses at $600 each	2,400	
811	"	2 Vocational Therapeutic Nurses at $1,500 each	3,000	
811a	"	Dietician	1,500	
812	"	Orderly	720	
813	"	Orderlies as required	16,740	
814	"	Nurses—Relief and Vacation	100	
815	"	Commissary	2,400	
816	"	Laundryman	2,268	
817	"	Laundress	1,524	
818	552 AA	Laundryman	600	
819	"	Chief Steward	2,400	
820	"	3 Stewards, at $1,680 each a year	5,040	
821	"	1 Steward	1,380	
822	"	Head Matron	2,400	
823	"	Matron	1,020	
824	"	Seamstress	1,380	
825	"	Seamstress	1,080	
826	"	Practical Nurse	1 080	
826a	"	4 Wardmen at $480 each a year	1,920	
827	"	Chief Cook	2,280	
828	"	Cook	2,100	
829	"	3 Cooks at $1,980 each a year	5,940	
830	"	2 Cooks (female) at $1,200 each a year	2,400	
831	"	Butcher	2,520	
832	"	Butcher, Relief	105	

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
833	"	Baker	1,980	
833a	"	Dining Room Steward	1,680	
834	"	Farmer	1,920	
835	"	Farmer	1,800	
836	"	Engineer	2,520	
837	"	2 Assistant Engineers at $2,520 each a year	5,040	
838	"	Driver	1,800	
839	552 AC	Plumber at $10 a day	3,000	
840	552 AA	Gardener	1,800	
841	552 AC	Gardener's Helper	900	
842	"	Inmate Help	28,484	
		Total Personal Services	$162,141	

Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 44-B)

843	552 B	Contractual Services	$3,750	
844	552 C	Materials and Supplies	260,000	
845	"	Equipment	10,000	
			$273,750	

Isolation Hospital.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 45-A)

846	544 AA	Superintendent	$2,400	
847	"	Office Attendant	840	
848	"	Watchman	1,740	
849	"	Night Watchman	1,320	
850	504 AC	Relief Night Watchman	207	
851	554 AA	3 Gatemen at $540 each	1,620	
852	"	Resident Physician	4,200	
853	"	House Officer	300	
854	"	2 Internes at $120 each a year	240	
855	"	12 Graduate Nurses at $1,200 each a year	14,400	
856	"	Practical Nurse	600	
857	"	Graduate Nurses, Emergency Relief	3,600	
858	"	4 Orderlies at $540 each a year	2,160	
859	"	4 Practical Nurses at $540 each a year	2,160	
860	554 AA	8 Pupil Nurses at $144 each a year	1,152	
861	"	Seamstress	1,080	
862	"	2 Janitors at $600 each a year	1,200	
863	"	6 Wardmen at $540 each a year	3,240	
864	"	7 Wardmen at $540 each a year	3,780	
865	554 AB	Institutional Help, Emergency Relief	1,460	
866	544 AA	Cook	2,100	
867	554 AB	Cook, Vacation Relief	175	
868	554 AA	Kitchen Helper	720	
869	"	3 Kitchen Helpers at $540 each a year	1,620	
870	"	Dishwasher	540	
871	"	Waitress	1,140	
872	544 AC	Waitress, Vacation	45	
873	544 AA	Gardener	1,200	
		Total Personal Services	$55,239	

San Francisco Hospital.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 46-A)

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
874	544 AA	Superintendent	$6,000	
875	"	Assistant Superintendent	2,700	
876	"	Assistant Superintendent, T. B.	2,400	
877	"	Clerk	3,000	
878	"	Stenographer	1,920	
879	"	2 Stenographers at $900 each	1,800	
880	"	Statistician	2,280	
881	"	Assistant Statistician	1,200	
882	"	2 Clerks at $1,200 each a year	2,400	
883	"	Office Attendant, T. B.	900	
884	"	2 Telephone Operators at $1,800 each a year	3,600	
885	"	Night Telephone Operator	1,080	
886	"	Night Telephone Operator	840	
887	"	Telephone Operator	1,500	
888	"	Watchman	1,620	
889	"	Watchman, T. B.	1,320	
890	"	Watchman	720	
891	"	Teamster	2,040	
892	"	Messenger	540	
893	"	Institutional Help	3,000	
894	"	Resident Physician	1,500	
895	"	12 House Officers at $300 each a year	3,600	
896	"	30 Internes at $120 a year	3,600	
897	"	Pharmacist	3,000	
898	"	Assistant Pharmacist	2,700	
899	"	Stockman	900	
900	"	Institutional Help	480	
901	"	Dentist	1,200	
902	"	Radiologist	3,300	
903	"	X-Ray Technician	1,800	
904	"	X-Ray Technician	1,200	
905	"	Clerk-Stenographer	1,200	
906	"	Operating Room Nurse	2,100	
907	544 AA	Asst. Operating Room Nurse	1,320	
908	"	2 Institutional Nurses at $1,200 each a year	2,400	
909	"	Anaesthetist	1,800	
910	"	Anaesthetist	1,500	
911	"	Plaster Cast Maker	900	
912	"	2 Social Service Workers at $1,620 each a year	3,240	
913	"	Superintendent of Nurses	3,000	
914	"	3 Asst. Supt. of Nurses at $1,800 each a year	5,400	
915	"	Supervisor of Nurses, T. B.	1,380	
916	"	Supervisor of Admissions	1,380	
917	"	4 Instructors, Training School, at $1,500 each a year	6,000	
918	"	Instructors, Training School, Obstetrical Nurses	1,500	
919	"	Practical Nurse in Charge of Nurses' Home	900	
920	"	Assistant Practical Nurse	720	
921	"	48 Institutional Nurses at $1,200 each a year	57,600	

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
922	"	2 Supervisors, Institutional Nurses, at $1,320 each	2,640	
923	"	Practical Nurses	15,000	
924	"	Orderlies	25,000	
925	"	Orderlies, T. B.	1,450	
926	"	Office Assistant Supt. of Nurses	540	
927	"	Medical Advisor	1,500	
928	"	Pupil Nurses	10,000	
929	"	1 Institutional Nurse, Psychiatric Ward	1,500	
930	"	4 Institutional Nurses at $1,200 each a year	4,800	
931	"	2 Practical Nurses at $600 each a year	1,200	
932	"	2 Practical Nurses at $540 each	1,080	
933	"	Porter	540	
934	"	Pantrymaid	540	
935	"	4 Orderlies at $600 each a year	2,400	
936	"	Orderly	540	
937	"	Commissary	3,600	
938	"	Storekeeper	1,800	
939	"	5 Storekeeper Helpers	2,000	
		LAUNDRY.		
940	554 AA	Superintendent	$3,300	
941	"	Washer	1,764	
942	"	Wringer	1,524	
943	"	Starcher	1,416	
944	"	Washer's Helper	1,380	
945	"	5 Ironers at $1,176 each a year	5,880	
946	"	3 Ironers at $1,128 each a year	3,384	
947	"	4 Dry Room Workers at $1,104 each a year	4,416	
948	"	Deliveryman	480	
949	"	Deliveryman	540	
950	554 AA	Marker	1,524	
951	"	Sorter	1,524	
952	"	Linenroom Worker	1,116	
953	"	Flat Work Finisher	1,104	
954	"	10 Mangel Hands at $1,020 each a year	10,200	
955	"	2 Porters	1,020	
956	"	Seamstress	540	
		HOUSEKEEPING.		
957	554 AA	Seamstress	$1,320	
958	"	4 Seamstresses at $660 each	2,640	
959	"	Surgery Porter	900	
960	"	Lockerman	600	
961	"	Morgueman	600	
962	"	Morgueman	540	
963	"	Mattress Maker	600	
964	"	Incineratorman	540	
965	"	Sterilizerman	600	
966	"	8 Chambermaids	4,000	
967	"	Foreman, Institutional Help	1,080	
968	"	Asst. Foreman, Institutional Help	600	
969	"	Window Washer	600	
970	"	Window Washer	720	
971	"	25 Wardmen	15,000	
972	"	40 Porters	19,200	
973	"	Institutional Help, T. B.	500	

CULINARY.

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code.	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
974	554 AA	Cook, Chef	$2,280	
975	"	Cook, Butcher	2,100	
976	"	Cook, Pastry	2,100	
977	"	4 Cooks at $1,980 each	7,920	
978	"	Night Cook	1,080	
979	"	Dietician, Chief	2,100	
980	"	Dietician, T. B.	1,500	
981	"	2 Dieticians, D. R., at $1,500 each a year	3,000	
982	"	16 Waiters or Waitresses at $1,140 each a year	18,240	
983	554 AC	Institutional Help	29,000	
		POWER PLANT.		
984	554 AA	Chief Engineer	$3,420	
985	"	4 Engineers at $2,520 each a year	10,080	
986	"	3 Fireman at $2,220 each a year	6,660	
987	554 AB	Firemen Relief	2,220	
988	554 AA	Helper	540	
989	554 AA	2 Electricians at $2,850 each a year	5,700	
990	"	Gardener	1,680	
991	"	Assistant Gardener	720	
992	"	7 Yardmen	4,200	
993	554 AB	Vacation and Reliefs in Various Departments	7,500	
		Total Personal Services	$435,802	
Non-Personal Services—(Appropriation 46-B)				
994	554 B	Contractual	$27,000	
995	554 C	Materials and Supplies	125,000	
996	554 CO	Foodstuff	245,000	
997	554 H	Fixed Charges	2,225	
998	1092	Equipment	8,000	
		Total Non-Personal Services	$407,225	

Emergency Hospitals.

Personal Services—(Appropriation 47-A)

999	5541 AA	Chief Surgeon	$3,000	
1000	"	Assistant Chief Surgeon	2,700	
1001	"	Chief Steward	3,000	
1002	"	Stenographer	2,280	
1003	"	10 Surgeons at $2,400 each a year	24,000	
1004	"	22 Stewards at $2,400 each a year	52,800	
1005	"	11 Nurses at $1,980 each a year	21,780	
1006	"	Relief Nurses	1,980	
1007	"	15 Ambulance Drivers at $2,400 each a year	36,000	
1008	"	Messenger	1,200	
1009	5541 AB	2 Surgeons (Half Time), Hospital Station, Fleishhacker Pool	2,400	
1010	"	Extra Emergency Service when required	1,000	
1011	"	Vacations and Relief	6,740	
		Total Personal Services	158,000	
1012	5541 B	Contractual Service (Appropriation 47-B)	6,000	

Budget Item No.	Acct. No.—Code	Description.	Detail.	Appropriation.
1013	5541 C	Material and Supplies, Equipment...	15,000	
1014	5541 H	Fixed Charges	500	
		Total	$21,500	
		Total Board of Health.....		$2,055,677

BOARD OF EDUCATION.

Common School Fund—(Appropriation 48-A)

1015	576 A	Teachers' Compensation $6,043,949		
		(Appropriation 48-B)		
1016	576 A	For the Conduct, Maintenance and Operation of the School Department, other than for Teachers' Salaries and Tuition of Junior College Students 1,160,000		
		Total School		$7,203,949
		CHARTER FUND AND STATE LAWS.		
1017	612	For Playground Fund as Provided by Charter Amendment, $0.05 in tax rate (Appropriation 49).....		$378,000
1018	601	Park Fund (Appropriation 50).....		$756,000
1019	631/132	Bond Interest and Redemption (Appropriation 51)		$4,252,211
1020	629	Firemen's Relief and Pension Fund (Appropriation 52)		$345,000
1021	577	Library Fund (Appropriation 53)..		$283,500
1022	629	Board of Administration San Francisco City Employees' Retirement Fund (Appropriation 54).....		$769,700
1023	403	Publicity and Advertising (Appropriation 55)		$140,000
1024	553	Maintenance of Blind (Appropriation 56)		$17,000
1025	60273	Maintenance of Aquarium (Approtion 57)		$50,000
1026	630	Tax Judgments (Appropriation 59).		$190,000
1027	60273	De Young Museum (Appropriation 60)		$70,000
1028	60239	California Palace Legion of Honor (Appropriation 61)		$70,000
		TOTAL BUDGET		**$33,007,518**

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

PRESENTATION OF BILLS AND ACCOUNTS.

Your Finance Committee, having examined miscellaneous demands not required by law to be passed to print, and amounting to $53,730.79, recommends same be allowed and ordered paid.

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

NEW BUSINESS.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Authorizations.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

Aquarium Fund—Appropriation 57.

(1) California Academy of Sciences, maintenance of Steinhart Aquarium, month of May, 1927 (claim dated June 6, 1927), $3,499.22.

County Road Fund.

(2) Chas. L. Harney, first payment, improvement of southeasterly side of San Jose avenue between Gorham and Cotter streets, including intersections (claim dated June 1, 1927), $3.750.

(3) James M. Smith, second payment, improvement of Castro street between Twenty-ninth and Thirtieth streets and portion of Thirtieth street east of Castro street (claim dated June 1, 1927), $3,600.

Relief Home Bonds, Issue 1923.

(4) The Scott Company, first and final payment for plumbing and heating at Relief Home Conservatory (claim dated May 31, 1927), $3,688.

Sunset Tunnel Assessment Fund.

(5) Leonard F. Youdall and R. G. Clifford, twelfth payment, construction of tunnel and appurtenance under Buena Vista Park (Sunset tunnel) (claim dated June 1, 1927), $81,713.10.

Municipal Railway Depreciation Reserve Fund.

(6) St. Louis Car Co., second payment, car bodies for Municipal Railways, Contract 149-A (claim dated June 1, 1927), $43,578.

Special School Tax.

(7) Granfield, Farrar & Carlin, first payment, grading site of South Side High School (claim dated May 31, 1927), $3,829.50.

(8) S. Heiman, third payment, architectural services for additions to Sunnyside School (claim dated May 31, 1927), $586.83.

(9) Thos. Skelly, fourth payment, plumbing for addition to Commodore Sloat School (claim dated June 1, 1927), $1,107.68.

(10) Mahony Bros., eighth payment, general construction of addition to Commodore Sloat School (claim dated June 1, 1927), $10,670.16.

(11) Scott Co., third payment, mechanical equipment for addition to Commodore Sloat School (claim dated June 1, 1927), $534.82.

(12) O. Monson, thirteenth payment, general construction of addition to Galileo High School (claim dated June 1, 1927), $15,882.30.

(13) S. W. Band, eleventh payment, plumbing for additional units to Galileo High School (claim dated June 1, 1927), $3,840.

Fire Department Land and Construction Fund.

(14) Elliot & Grant, ninth payment, construction of Fire Department Engine House No. 19 (claim dated June 1, 1927), $1,741.80.

(15) Dowd-Seid Electric Co., final payment, electrical work on Fire Department Engine House No. 19 (claim dated June 1, 1927), $1,382.67.

Hetch Hetchy Water Bond Fund, Issue 1925.

(16) The Bald Eagle Meat Market, meats, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated May 26, 1927), $688.50.

(17) California Corrugated Culvert Co., culverts, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated May 27, 1927), $1,259.87.

(18) Hales & Symons, Inc., lumber, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated May 26, 1927), $708.68.

(19) Kaiser Paving Co., for use of tractor blade and operator on Tesla and Mitchell Ravine roads, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated May 26, 1927), $1,160.74.

(20) Pacific Electric Mfg. Co., air break switches, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated May 27, 1927), $1,049.40.

(21) Safety Cable Co., copper wire, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated May 27, 1927), $600.08.

(22) United Commercial Co., rails and angle bars, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated May 27, 1927), $3,328.64.

School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923.

(23) A. Lettich, fourth payment, plumbing work, Hearst-Moulder School (claim dated June 1, 1927), $577.13.

(24) A. Lettich, first payment, plumbing work, Portola Junior High School (claim dated June 1, 1927), $2,007.75.

(25) Mahony Bros., fourth payment, general construction, Sherman School (claim dated June 1, 1927), $9,887.59.

(26) Alta Electric Co., second payment, electrical work, Mission (Everett) Junior High School (claim dated May 31, 1927), $5,599.88.

(27) Latourette-Fical Co., sixth payment, plumbing and gas-fitting work, Mission (Everett) Junior

High School (claim dated June 1, 1927), $3,517.83.

(28) R. W. Moller, ninth payment, general construction, Mission (Everett) Junior High School (claim dated May 31, 1927), $35,062.02.

(29) The Scott Co., third payment, mechanical equipment, Mission (Everett) Junior High School (claim dated May 31, 1927), $6,870.

(30) Edward F. Dowd, first payment, electrical work, Hearst-Moulder School (claim dated May 31, 1927), $1,622.16.

(31) Thos. Skelly, third payment, mechanical equipment, addition to Sunnyside School (claim dated May 31, 1927), $2,287.20.

(32) Scott Company, fifth payment, mechanical equipment, Edison School (claim dated June 1, 1927), $911.24.

(33) O. Monson, second payment, general construction, Portola Junior High School (claim dated June 1, 1927), $17,715.

Hetch Hetchy Power Operative Fund.

(34) Hales & Symons, lumber (claim dated May 26, 1927), $749.05.

General Fund, 1926-1927.

(35) Howard Automobile Co., furnishing and installing bullet-proof glass on thirty Police Department automobiles (claim dated June 1, 1927), $3,156.33.

(36) Gilmartin Co., Inc., colored maps, printing reports, half-tones, binding, etc., furnished for transbay bridge investigation and report (claim dated May 26, 1927), $1,602.50.

(37) Felix Gross Co., cement for sewer repairs (claim dated May 28, 1927), $867.20.

(38) Standard Oil Co. of California, asphalt for street repair (claim dated May 28, 1927), $1,999.23.

(39) Stanard Oil Co. of California, asphalt for street repair (claim dated May 28, 1927), $1,998.60.

(40) The Spring Valley Water Co., water furnished for concrete work on streets and sidewalks (claim dated May 28, 1927), $1,256.16.

(41) Western Lime & Cement Co., cement for street repair (claim dated May 28, 1927), $1,794.02.

(42) Frank J. McHugh, third payment, construction of sewers and appurtenances in westerly Sunset District, Section B, Forty-third avenue main (claim dated June 1, 1927), $10,200.

(43) Manuel Smith, second payment, construction of sewers and appurtenances in Noriega street between Twenty-fourth and Twenty-ninth avenues (claim dated June 1, 1927), $3,750.

(44) San Francisco Society for the Prevention of Cruelty to Animals, impounding, feeding, etc., of animals (claim dated June 6, 1927), $1,250.

(45) Bethlehem Shipbuilding Corp., hydrant parts furnished Fire Department (claim dated May 31, 1927), $709.50.

(46) General Engineering & Dry Dock Co., drydocking and repairing of Fire Department boats "Scannel" and "Sullivan" (claim dated May 31, 1927), $1,412.83.

(47) Spring Valley Water Co., water service for Fire Department hydrants (claim dated May 31, 1927), $14,544.60.

(48) Thompson Bros., Inc., cushion wheels and tire for Fire Department (claim dated May 31, 1927), $1,698.80.

(49) Sperry Flour Co., flour, Relief Home (claim dated May 24, 1927), $680.

(50) S. H. Tyler & Son, tea and coffee, Relief Home (claim dated May 24, 1927), $795.

(51) City Construction Co., improvement of Montana street between Capitol and Plymouth avenues (claim dated June 1, 1927), $4,960.

(52) James Rolph, Jr., incidental expense, Mayor's office, month of June, 1927 (claim dated June 6, 1927), $625.

Hetch Hetchy Operative Revenue Fund.

(53) Railroad Commission of the State of California, for expense of valuation proceedings on San Francisco electric properties, month of June, 1927 (claim dated June 6, 1927), $1,000.

Hetch Hetchy Power Operative Fund.

(54) Daniel Corcoran, payment for lands purchased by Resolution No. 27133 (New Series), and being situate in Tuolumne County, required for Priest regulating reservoir (claim dated April 28, 1927), $6,000.

Publicity and Advertising—Appropriation 55.

(55) Alex Dulfer Printing Co., printing and stamped envelopes furnished for account of Music Week (claim dated June 6, 1927), $638.

(56) Philip H. Sapiro, band and orchestra furnished for account of Music Week (claim dated June 6, 1927), $504.

Tearing-Up Streets Fund.

(57) Gladding, McBean & Co.,

sewer pipe furnished for sidesewers (claim dated June 1, 1927), $525.

Hetch Hetchy Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1925.

(58) G. F. Williams, two Gates crushers with parts, for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated June 1, 1927), $1,700.

Appropriation, $1,610.88, Butte Electric and Manufacturing Company, Burglar Alarm Systems, Treasurer and Tax Collector's Offices.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $1,610.88 be and the same is hereby authorized in payment out of Urgent Necessity (Appropriation by Resolution No. 26508, New Series), to Butte Electric & Manufacturing Co., as final payment on installation of burglar alarm system in the offices of the Treasurer and Tax Collector (claim dated June 1, 1927).

Appropriations, Extension of High Pressure System, Sixth Street; Sewer, Fleishhacker Playfield; Station Building, Etc., Airport, and Improvement of Howard Street.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned funds for the following purposes, to-wit:

Extension of High Pressure System—Budget Item 75.

(1) For the raising of the high-pressure water mains in Sixth street between Folsom and Harrison streets, $2,983.37.

Extension and Reconstruction of Sewers—Budget Item No. 39.

(2) For the construction of sanitary sewer for the Fleishhacker Playfield, including inspection and extras; per award of contract to Louis J. Cohn, $3,400.

Municipal Airport—Budget Item No. 60.

(3) For construction of station building and equipment, Contract No. 3, San Francisco Municipal Airport; additional to enable final payment, $600.

County Road Fund.

(4) For the improvement of Howard street between Twenty-sixth and Army streets, assessment against property of B. Struven; being additional to $1,800, $502.35.

Treasurer Authorized to Appoint Additional Deputy.

Also, Bill No. 8047, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Authorizing the Treasurer of the City and County to appoint an additional deputy at an annual salary of $3,000.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. In accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, the Treasurer of the City and County is hereby authorized to appoint an additional deputy, Treasurer's office, at an annual salary of $3,000.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Appropriation, $500, for Repairing of Paulding Street Bridge.

Supervisor McSheehy presented: Resolution No. 27337 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $500 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of "Repair and Painting of Bridges," Budget Item No. 38, for the repairing of the Paulding street bridge over the Southern Pacific railroad tracks.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Havenner, Shannon—3.

Employment of Expert on Standardization of Salaries.

Supervisor McSheehy presented: Resolution No. 27338 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, under the authority of Section 2, Article XVI of the Charter, the Civil Service Commission is hereby authorized to employ Wilford E. Talbert of Berkeley, California, as an expert on salary classification and standardization, and for this purpose said Wilford E. Talbert is hereby exempted from the residential requirement of the Charter, and the certificate of training and experience filed by said Wilford E. Talbert in lieu of the residential requirement is hereby approved.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Todd—11.

No—Supervisor Stanton—1.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Kent, Marks—6.

Action Deferred.

The following matter was *continued one week*:

Transfer of Twin Peaks Tunnel Fund to Municipal Railway Fund.

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $42,508.21 be and is hereby transferred from the Twin Peaks Ridge Tunnel Assessment Fund to the Municipal Railway Fund, and the Board of Public Works, Tax Collector, Auditor and Treasurer are hereby directed to make such entries in their official records and books of account as will correctly show such transfer; said transfer is for the purpose of reimbursing said Municipal Railway Fund for moneys advanced therefrom and used in the construction of the Twin Peaks Tunnel project.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Oil and Boiler Permits.

On motion of Supervisor Deasy:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits be and are hereby granted:

Oil Tanks.

Fred Warden, north side of Cervantes boulevard, 200 feet west of Fillmore street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Mrs. Wooster, southwest corner of Baker and Jackson streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

C. E. Berry, northeast corner of Twenty-fourth and Douglass streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

B. Zimmerman, 290 Santa Clara avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

T. B. Strand, west side of Octavia street, 85 feet north of Bush street, 1500 gallons capacity.

V. Gullmes, west side of Broderick street, 140 feet south of Grove street, 1500 gallons capacity.

T. A. Belmont, west side of Thirty-first avenue, 130 feet north of Clement street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Canners Filtration Co., Inc., 436 Ninth street, 1500 gallons capacity.

A. J. Falvey, southeast corner of Lombard and Divisadero streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

Snow & Terranora, southwest corner of Broderick and Sutter streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

W. W. Hayes, southeast corner of Broadway and Laguna street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Hannah & Kolwes, north side of Turk street, 120 feet west of Webster street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Axel Johnson, west side of Broderick street, 25 feet south of Chestnut street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Emil Nelson, south side of Jersey street, 25 feet east of Church street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Louis Greenfield, northwest corner of Washington and Octavia streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

G. Castagno, 1440 Vallejo street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Chas. L. Heller, southeast corner of Divisadero and Jackson streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

Thos. Hamill, west side of Eighteenth avenue, 150 feet south of Geary street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Marcus Marcussen, southwest corner of Green and Larkin streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

R. J. Stempel, southeast corner of Broderick and Francisco streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

R. J. Stempel, south side of Jackson street, 87 feet west of Webster street, 1500 gallons capacity.

R. J. Stempel, southwest corner of Divisadero and Francisio streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

R. J. Woousiue, south side of West Portal avenue, 345 feet west of Vicente street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Stock, Maas & Sauer, south side of Washington street, 150 feet west of Van Ness avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

P. McNally, south side of Day street, 50 feet west of Dolores street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Boilers.

Mutual Stores, Inc., 3030 Twentieth street, 20 horsepower.

Canners Filtration Co., Inc., 436 Ninth street, 40 horsepower.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within sixth months, otherwise said permits shall become null and void.

Blasting Permit.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Granfield, Farrar & Carlin be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to explode blasts on property situate on the southeast corner of Sixteenth and Harrison streets, provided said permittee shall execute and file a good and sufficient bond in the sum of $10,000, as fixed by the Board of Public Works and approved by his Honor the Mayor, in accordance with Ordinance No. 1204 (New Series); provided, also, that said blasts shall be exploded only between the hours of 7 a. m. and 6 p. m., and that the work of blasting shall be performed to the satisfaction of the Board of Public Works, and that if any of the conditions of this resolution be violated by said Granfield, Farrar & Carlin, then the privileges and all rights accruing thereunder shall immediately become null and void.

Garage Permits.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That L. M. Smith be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate a public garage on the north side of Fell street, 137 feet 6 inches east of Octavia street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That W. R. Ballinger & Son be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate a public garage at 50 Hawthorne street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That J. C. Berendsen be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate a public garage on the south side of Union street, 90 feet west of Gough street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Laundry Nuisance Called to the Attention of the Police Authorities.

Supervisor Deasy presented:

Resolution No. 27339 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the attention of the Department of Public Health, Police Department and Tax Collector be called to the existence of a public nuisance in the nature of laundries operating without permits and located at 1624 and 1626 Larkin street, and that said departments be requested to take such steps as may be necessary to secure its abatement.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Havenner, Shannon—3.

Passed for Printing.

The following resolution was *passed for printing*:

Condemnation of Land Required for Hetch Hetchy Construction Purposes.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That public interest and necessity demand the acquisition through eminent domain proceedings of the following described parcels of land situated in the counties of San Joaquin and Alameda, State of California, for the purposes hereinafter described, for the public use of the City and County of San Francisco, said lands being more particularly described as follows, to-wit:

Parcel 1. A portion of Sections 1 and 2, Township 4 South, Range 4 East, M. D. B. and M., described as follows:

Beginning at a point in the west line of said Section 1, distant along said line south 0 deg. 58½ min. east 250 feet from a 1-inuh iron rod set in the ground and assumed to mark the quarter section corner common to said Sections 1 and 2; thence from the point of beginning north 89 deg. 01½ min. east 150 feet; thence north 0 deg. 58½ min. west 600 feet; thence south 89 deg. 01½ min. west 150 feet, more or less, to a point in an existing fence line on or near the west line of said Section 1; thence north 0 deg. 58½ min. west along said fence line 900 feet; thence leaving said fence line south 89 deg. 01½ min. west 800 feet; thence south 0 deg. 58½ min. east 900 feet; thence north 89 deg. 01½ min. east 150 feet; thence south 0 deg. 58½ min. east 600 feet; thence north 89 deg. 01½ min. east 650 feet, more or lses, to the point of beginning.

Containing 27.6 acres, more or less.

Situated in San Joaquin County, California.

Parcel 2. All that portion of the northeast ¼ of Section 2, Township 4 South, Range 4 East, M. D. B. and M., included within a strip of land 60 feet in width, 30 feet each side of the following described surveyed center line:

Beginning at a point in the north line of Parcel 1 hereinabove described, distant along said line north 89 deg. 01½ min. east 218 feet from the northwest corner thereof; thence running as follows: North 27 deg. 37 min. west 130 feet; north 9 deg. 38 min. east 696.7 feet; north 14 deg. 08¼ min. east 595 feet, more or less, to a point in the north line of said Section 2.

The south end line of said 60-foot strip is a portion of the north line of Parcel 1 hereinabove described, and the north end line of said 60-foot strip is a portion of the north line of said Section 2.

Containing 2 acres, more or less.

Situated in San Joaquin County, California.

Parcel 3. All those portions of Sections 5 and 6, Township 4 South, Range 5 East, M. D. B. and M., and of Section 1, the east ½ of Section 2, Section 5 and the northwest ¼ of Section 6, Township 4 South, Range 4 East, M. D. B. and M., included within a strip of land 250 feet in width, 25 feet northerly and 225 feet southerly of the following described line:

Beginning at a concrete monument set in the ground in the east line of Section 32, Township 3 South, Range 5 East, M. D. B. and M., distant southerly along said line 3280 feet, more or less, from the northeast corner of said Section 32; thence from the point of beginning south 64 deg. 58 min. west 8500 feet, more or less, to a concrete monument set in the ground; thence south 77 deg. 47 min. west 13,686 feet; thence north 80 deg. 05 min. west 18,568 feet to a concrete monument set in the ground, which monument bears south 55 deg. 17 min. west 970.8 feet from the northeast corner of Section 6, Township 4 South, Range 4 East, M. D. B. and M.; thence south 76 deg. 07 min. west 5010 feet, more or less, to a point in the west line of said Section 6.

Excepting from Parcel 3 land included within Parcel 1 hereinabove described.

Containing 138 acres, more or less.

Situated in San Joaquin and Alameda counties, California.

In the above description it is assumed that an existing fence marks the east line of said Section 32 and that an existing fence corner marks the northeast corner of said section.

In the above description of Parcels 1, 2 and 3 of the bearings of the courses are referred to the true meridian as determined at a point near the ¼ section corner in the south line of Section 36, Township 3 South, Range 5 East, M. D. B. and M.

The taking of said properties and of a fee title thereto is necessary for the construction, maintenance and operation by the City and County of San Francisco of a portion of the Hetch Hetchy aqueduct, now being constructed by the said City and County of San Francisco, and designed and intended to convey water from Lake Eleanor and the Tuolumne River and its tributaries to the said City and County of San Francisco for municipal and domestic purposes. That the taking of said properties is further necessary for the future proper development and control of said aqueduct and for the structures appurtenant thereto and used in connection therewith and for a protective area for said aqueduct. That the structures appurtenant to said right of way for said aqueduct are a permanent shaft leading from the surface of the ground to said aqueduct, and as a site for permanent houses and homes to be used and occupied by the persons constructing, operating and maintaining said aqueduct, and for permanent rights of way, water pipe lines, electric transmission lines, telephone lines, roads and pathways, all to be used in connection with the construction, maintenance and operation of said aqueduct and the appurtenances thereto.

The City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to commence eminent domain proceedings against the owners of said properties and of all interests therein or claims against or liens upon said properties, for the condemnation of said rights, interests, claims and liens to the public use of the City and County of San Francisco for the said purposes above specified.

This resolution shall be published in the official newspaper, with the ayes and noes, for at least five successive days, Sundays and legal holidays excepted, before the final action upon the same.

Mayor to Sell Frame School Building on Mission Street, North of Twenty-Sixth Street.

Supervisor Powers presented:

Resolution No. 27340 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That his Honor the Mayor be and he is hereby requested to sell at public auction, in accordance with provisions of the Charter, the certain frame school building known as the old Haight School situate on the school site, westerly line of Mission street, distant 185 feet northerly from the northerly line of Twenty-sixth street, in accordance with recommendation of the Board of Education filed May 26, 1927.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Havenner, Shannon—3.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Ordering Street Work.

On motion of Supervisor Marks:

Bill No. 8048, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors May 11, 1927, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in twenty installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be six months from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Keith street between Palou avenue and Quesada avenue by the construction of concrete curbs, by grading to official line and grade, by the construction of the necessary sidesewers and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a six-inch concrete foundation and a one and one-half inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Also, Bill No. 8049, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors May 11, 1927, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in three installments, that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per cent per annum.

The improvement of the north half of Fulton street between Forty-fourth avenue and Forty-seventh avenue by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks six feet in width, where artificial stone sidewalks six feet or more in width have not already been constructed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Also, Bill No. 8050, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows::

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specification therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors May 11, 1927, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the

provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in twenty installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be six months from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of France avenue between Mission street and London street where not already improved, by the construction of concrete curbs, where not already constructed, and by the construction of a concrete pavement on the roadway thereof, where not already constructed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Also, Bill No. 8051, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors May 11, 1927, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

The improvement of the east half of Ninth avenue between Lawton street and Moraga street, by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks to conform in width and grade to the surrounding improvements, where the existing walks have been disturbed or broken by expansion, contraction or other exigencies.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Fixing Sidewalk Widths on Alta Street and on Montgomery Street.

Also, Bill No. 8052, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto new sections to be numbered nine hundred and sixty-one and nine hundred and sixty-two.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Board of Public Works filed in this office May 10, 1927, by adding thereto new sections to be numbered nine hundred and sixty-one and nine nundred and sixty-two, to read as follows:

Section 961. The width of sidewalks on Alta street between Montgomery street and Sansome street shall be six (6) feet.

The width of sidewalks on Alta street between Montgomery street and its westerly termination are hereby dispensed with and abolished.

Section 962. The width of sidewalks on Montgomery street between Union and Greenwich streets shall be six (6) feet.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect and be in force from and after its passage.

Fixing Sidewalk Widths on Florence Street.

Also, Bill No. 8053, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered nine hundred and sixty-three.

Be it ordained by the People of

the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Board of Public Works, filed in this office May 12, 1927, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered nine hundred and sixty-three, to read as follows:

Section 963. The width of sidewalks on Florence street between Broadway and Vallejo street shall be as shown on that certain map entitled "Map of Florence street between Broadway and Vallejo street," showing the location of street and curb lines and the width of sidewalks.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect and be in force from and after its passage.

Full Acceptance, Certain Streets.

Also, Bill No. 8054, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Providing for full acceptance of the roadway of Desmond street between Leland and Visitacion avenues, Forty-sixth avenue between Anza street and Sutro Heights avenue, Joost avenue between Congo and Detroit streets, Miraloma drive between Yerba Buena avenue and Portola drive, and the intersection of Miraloma drive and Juanita way, Munich street between Brazil and Excelsior avenues, Twentieth street between Carolina and De Haro streets, and the intersection of Twentieth and Carolina streets, Twenty-fifth street between Hoffman avenue and Homestead street, and the crossing of Twenty-fifth and Homestead streets.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The roadways of the following named streets, including the curbs on both sides thereof, having been constructed to the satisfaction of the Board of Public Works, and of the Board of Supervisors, are hereby fully accepted by the City and County of San Francisco (except those portions required by law to be kept in order by the railroad company having tracks thereon), in accordance with the provisions of Section 23, Chapter 2, Article VI of the Charter, said roadways having been paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs laid thereon, and are in good condition throughout, and have sewers, gas and water mains laid therein, to-wit:

Desmond street between Leland and Visitacion avenues, paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs laid thereon.

Forty-sixth avenue between Anza street and Sutro Heights avenue, paved with concrete and concrete curbs laid thereon.

Joost avenue between Congo and Detroit streets, paved with concrete and concrete curbs laid thereon.

Miraloma drive between Yerba Buena avenue and Portola drive, and the intersection of Miraloma drive and Juanita way, paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs laid thereon.

Munich street between Brazil and Excelsior avenues, paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs have been laid thereon.

Twentieth street between Carolina and De Haro streets, and the intersection of Twentieth and Carolina streets, paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs laid thereon.

Twenty-fifth street between Hoffman avenue and Homestead street, paved with concrete and concrete curbs laid thereon.

Crossing of Twenty-fifth and Homestead streets, paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs laid thereon.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Conditional Acceptance, Certain Streets.

Also, Bill No. 8055, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Providing for conditional acceptance of the roadway of Carolina street between Twentieth and Twenty-second streets, Fourteenth avenue between Ulloa and Vicente streets, Italy avenue between London and Paris streets, Mangels avenue between Foerster and Genesee streets, Montana street between Capitol and Plymouth avenues, Ralston street between Garfield and Shields streets, Santiago street between Seventeenth and Eighteenth avenues, Santiago street between Eighteenth and Nineteenth avenues, Santiago street between Twenty-first and Twenty-second avenues, Twenty-fourth avenue between Vicente and Wawona streets, Thirty-third avenue between Judah and Kirkham streets, Twenty-ninth avenue between Judah and Kirkham streets.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The roadways of the following named streets, including the curbs on both sides thereof,

having been constructed to the satisfaction of the Board of Public Works, and of the Board of Supervisors, are hereby conditionally accepted by the City and County of San Francisco (except those portions required by law to be kept in order by the railroad company having tracks thereon), in accordance with the provisions of Section 23, Chapter 2, Article VI, of the Charter, said roadways having been paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs laid thereon, and are in good condition throughout, to-wit:

Carolina street between Twentieth and Twenty-second streets, paved with concrete and concrete curbs laid thereon, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Fourteenth avenue between Ulloa and Vicente streets, paved with asphaltic concrete and a 14-foot central strip of concrete and concrete curbs laid thereon, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Italy avenue between London and Paris streets, paved with concrete and concrete curbs laid thereon, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Mangels avenue between Foerster and Genesee streets, paved with concrete and concrete curbs laid thereon, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Montana street between Capitol and Plymouth avenues, paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs laid thereon, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Ralston street between Garfield and Shields streets, paved with concrete and concrete curbs laid thereon, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Santiago street between Seventeenth and Eighteenth avenues, paved with asphaltic concrete with a 14-foot central strip of concrete pavement, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Santiago street between Eighteenth and Nineteenth avenues, paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs laid thereon, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Santiago street between Twenty-first and Twenty-second avenues, paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs laid thereon, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Twenty-fourth avenue between Vicente and Wawona streets, paved with concrete and concrete curbs laid thereon, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Thirty-third avenue between Judah and Kirkham streets, paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs laid thereon, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Twenty-ninth avenue between Judah and Kirkham streets, paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs laid thereon, sewers gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Also, Bill No. 8056, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Providing for conditional acceptance of the roadway of Balceta avenue between Vasquez avenue and Laguna Honda boulevard, and between Laguna Honda boulevard and Woodside avenue; Brussels street between Wilde avenue and Harkness street and between Harkness street and Ward street; Carroll avenue between Phelps and Quint streets; Douglass street between the northerly line of Twentieth street and the southerly line of Twenty-first street, including the crossings of Twentieth and Twenty-first streets, and the intersections of Romain and Corwin streets with Douglass street; Fifteenth avenue between Ortega street and Mount lane; Fortieth avenue between Judah and Kirkham streets; Forty-fifth avenue between Vicente and Wawona streets; Forty-second avenue between Taraval and Ulloa streets; Forty-seventh avenue between Santiago and Taraval streets; Hawes street between Revere and Shafter avenues; Hernandez avenue between Laguna Honda boulevard and Woodside avenue, and between Vasquez avenue and Laguna Honda boulevard; Howard street between Twenty-sixth and Army streets; Ingalls street between Shafter and Thomas avenues; Ingalls street between Quesada and Revere avenues; Ingalls avenue between Revere and Shafter avenues; Jennings street between Revere and Shafter avenues; Jennings street between Quesada and Revere avenues; Jennings street between Shafter and Thomas avenues; Jennings street between

Thomas and Underwood avenues; Keith street between Quesada and Revere avenues; Keith street between Shafter and Thomas avenues; Kirkham street between Twenty-seventh and Twenty-eighth avenues; Lawton street between Twenty-seventh and Twenty-eighth avenues; Laguna Honda boulevard between the southerly line of Vasquez avenue and Portola drive, including the crossings of Balceta avenue, Hernandez avenue, Idora avenue, Ulloa street, Rockaway avenue and the intersection of Vasquez avenue; Mendell street between Galvez and Hudson avenues; Pacheco street between Eighteenth and Nineteenth avenues; Santiago street between Twentieth and Twenty-first avenues; Santiago street between Twenty-fourth and Twenty-fifth avenues; Thirty-ninth avenue between Judah and Kirkham streets; Thornton avenue between the westerly line of Ceres street and Latona street, including the intersections of Ceres street, Pomona street and Lucy street with Thornton avenue; Twenty-fifth avenue between Kirkham and Lawton streets; Twenty-fifth street between Hoffman avenue and Fountain street, including the crossing of Twenty-fifth street and Hoffman avenue; Twenty-first avenue between Pacheco and Quintara streets; Twenty-first avenue between Vicente and Wawona streets; the crossings of Twenty-first avenue and Vicente street, and Twenty-first avenue and Wawona street; Wawona street between Twentieth and Twenty-first avenues; crossing of Twentieth avenue and Wawona street; Twenty-fourth avenue between Santiago and Taraval streets, Twenty-fourth avenue between Moraga and Noriega streets; Ulloa street between Thirty-seventh and Thirty-eighth avenues; Vasquez avenue between Laguna Honda boulevard and Woodside avenue; crossing of Hawes street and Shafter avenue; crossing of Thirty-seventh avenue and Ulloa street; crossing of Twenty-first avenue and Noriega street; crossing of Twenty-first avenue and Santiago street; crossing of Twenty-fourth avenue and Kirkham street; crossing of Twenty-fourth avenue and Santiago street; crossing of Twenty-seventh avenue and Kirkham street, and the crossing of Twenty-seventh avenue and Lawton street.

Fixing Sidewalk Widths on Funston Avenue.

Also, Bill No. 8057, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by amending section seven hundred and thirty-nine thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Board of Public Works, filed in this office May 17, 1927, by amending section seven hundred and thirty-nine thereof, to read as follows:

Section 739. The width of sidewalks on Funston avenue 55 feet southerly from and 240 feet northerly from Santiago street shall be as shown on that certain map entitled "Map of Funston avenue 55 feet southerly from to 240 feet northerly from Santiago street," showing the location of street and curb lines and the width of sidewalks.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect and be in force from and after its passage.

Fixing Sidewalk Widths on Santiago Street Between Fourteenth Avenue and Its Easterly Termination.

Also, Bill No. 8058, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by amending section seven hundred and eighty-nine thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 19, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Board of Public Works, filed in this office May 17, 1927, by amending section seven hundred and eighty-nine thereof, to read as follows:

Section 789. The width of sidewalks on Santiago street between Fourteenth avenue and its easterly termination shall be as shown on that certain map entitled "Map of Santiago street between Fourteenth avenue and its easterly termination," showing the location of street and curb lines and the width of sidewalks.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall

take effect and be in force from and after its passage.

Fixing Sidewalk Widths on Twelfth Avenue, North of Santiago Street.

Also, Bill No. 8059, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," aproved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered nine hundred and sixty-four.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Board of Public Works, filed in this office May 17, 1927, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered nine hundred and sixty-four, to read as follows:

Section 964. The width of sidewalks on Twelfth avenue 100 feet southerly from to 200 feet northerly from Santiago street shall be as shown on that certain map entitled "Map of Twelfth avenue 100 feet southerly from to 200 feet northerly from Santiago street," showing the location of street and curb lines and the width of sidewalks.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change in walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect and be in force from and after its passage.

Plans, Etc., for the Improvement of Bay Street Between Laguna and Fillmore Streets.

Also Bill No. 8060, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the preparation of plans and specifications for the improvement of Bay street between Laguna and Fillmore streets and authorizing and directing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for said improvement, the cost of said improvement to be paid out of Budget 1926-1927, Item No. 115, and permitting progressive payments to be made during the progress of said improvement.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized, instructed and empowered to prepare plans and specifications for and enter into contract for the improvement of Bay street between Laguna and Fillmore streets in accordance with the plans and specifications so prepared therefor.

The cost of said improvement of Bay street between Laguna and Fillmore streets to be paid out of Budget 1926-1927, Item No. 115.

Section 2. The said Board of Public Works is hereby authorized and permitted to incorporate in the contract for the improvement of Bay street between Laguna and Fillmore streets, conditions that progressive payments shall be made in the manner set forth in the said specifications and as provided by Section 21, Chapter I, Article VI of the Charter.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Spur Track Permit, Southern Pacific Company.

Also, Bill No. 8061, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Granting permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to Southern Pacific Company, a corporation, to construct, maintain and operate a railroad track in, along and across a portion of Harrison street, in the location hereinafter described.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, is hereby granted to Southern Pacific Company, a corporation, to construct, maintain and operate a railroad track in, along and across a portion of Harrison street, the center line of which is particularly described as follows:

Beginning at a point in the existing side track on Harrison street, said point being distant 125 feet southerly from the southerly line of Sixteenth street and 27.25 feet easterly from the westerly line of Harrison street produced; thence in a southwestery direction through a No. 6 turnout to the right for a distance of 118 feet to a point; thence on a curve concave to the left having a radius of 286.84 feet for a distance of 52 feet, more or less, to a point; thence southerly on a tangent and parallel to the westerly line of Harrison street and distant 8.5 feet easterly from said westerly line of Harrison street for a distance of 86 feet, more or less, to end of spur.

Said permission is granted subject to the provisions of Ordinance No. 69 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors, approved October 12, 1906, and the provisions and conditions of Section 8 thereof are hereby specifically contained in the permit hereby granted and shall be construed as a part hereof as completely as though the same were written in this ordinance.

Provided, that all trackage within be laid under the supervision and to the lines and grades as furnished by the City Engineer's office, and that any and all expense connected with the installation of the track, restoration of the pavement and any additional requirements for the surface drainage is to be paid by the Southern Pacific Company; provided, that Southern Pacific Company shall erect and maintain all-night lighted arc lamps to be placed where directed by the Lighting Committee of the Board of Supervisors.

Provided, that all trackage within the street area be constructed with girder rails.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Action Deferred.

The following matter was *laid over one week*:

Spur Track Permit, Atchison, Topeka & Santa Fe Railway Company.

Bill No. ——, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Granting permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to The Atchison, Topeka and Santa Fe Railway Company to construct, maintain and operate a spur track across Illinois and Michigan streets in and along Twenty-third street and a short spur crossing a portion of Twenty-third street, as hereinafter described.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, is hereby granted to The Atchison, Topeka and Santa Fe Railway Company to construct, maintain and operate a spur track across Illinois and Michigan streets in and along Twenty-third street and a short spur crossing a portion of Twenty-third street, being particularly described as follows:

Track No. 1—Beginning at a point in the center line of an existing track in Illinois street, City and County of San Francisco, said point lying 32.70 feet westerly from the easterly line of said Illinois street, and southerly 397.57 feet from the northerly line of Twenty-third street; thence northeasterly on a curve to the right having a radius of 235.65 feet, a distance of 119.75 feet, to a point on the easterly line of said Illinois street, distant 282.73 feet southerly from said northerly line of Twenty-third street; thence continuing on last described curve 141.97 feet; thence on a curve to the right, tangent to last course 82.90 feet to a point in the westerly line of Michigan street, distant 85.87 feet southerly from the northerly line of said Twenty-third street; thence continuing on last described curve crossing Michigan street and along Twenty-third street 104.45 feet to a point lying 62.75 feet southerly from said northerly line of Twenty-third street and 301.81 feet easterly from the easterly line of said Illinois street; thence continuing easterly along Twenty-third street parallel to the northerly line of Twenty-third street a distance of 415.83 feet; thence on a curve to the left having a radius of 235.65 feet a distance of 42.36 feet to a point on the easterly termination of Twenty-third street 58.91 feet southerly from the northerly line of Twenty-third street.

Track No. 2—Beginning at a point on the above described track lying 107.64 feet easterly from the easterly line of Georgia street produced northerly across Twenty-third street, thence on a curve to the left having a radius of 235.65 feet a distance of 70.30 feet; thence on a curve to the right having a radius of 235.65 feet 23.05 feet to a point on the easterly termination of Twenty-third street 44.40 feet southerly from the northerly line of Twenty-third street.

Said permission is granted subject to the provisions of Ordinance No. 69 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors, approved October 12, 1906, and the provisions and conditions of Section 8 thereof are hereby specifically contained in the permit hereby granted and shall be construed as a part hereof as complete as though the same were written in this ordinance.

Provided, that said spur track shall be laid under the supervision and to the lines and grades as furnished by the City Engineer's office, and that any and all expenses connected with the installation of the track, restoration of the pavement and any additional requirements for the surface drainage be paid for by the Atchison, Topeka and Santa Fe Railway Company.

Provided, that the Atchison, Topeka and Santa Fe Railway Company shall erect and maintain all-night lighted arc lamps to be placed where directed by the Lighting Committee of the Board of Supervisors.

Provided, that all trackage within the street area be constructed with girder rails.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Passed for Printing.

The following bill was *passed for printing*:

Spur Track Permit, Western Pacific Railroad Company.

On motion of Supervisor Badaracco:

Bill No. 8062, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Granting permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to The Western Pacific Railroad Company, to construct, maintain and operate four spur tracks across De Haro street between Seventeenth and Mariposa streets, as hereinafter described.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, is hereby granted to The Western Pacific Railroad Company to construct, maintain and operate four spur tracks as follows:

Spur No. 1. Beginning at a point in the existing side track of the applicant, approximately 215 feet south of the south line of Seventeenth street and approximately 106 feet east of the east line of De Haro street; thence northwesterly along a turnout to the left approximately 80 feet; thence northwesterly in a straight line approximately 82 feet to a point 85 feet south of the south line of said Seventeenth street and 10 feet east of the east line of said De Haro street; thence northwesterly along the arc of a curve to the left with a radius of 191.5 feet, a distance of approximately 176 feet, intersecting the east line of said De Haro street approximately 73 feet southerly from the southerly line of Seventeenth street, and intersecting the west line of said De Haro street approximately 20 feet southerly from the south line of said Seventeenth street; thence westerly parallel with and 10.42 feet southerly from the south line of said Seventeenth street a distance of approximately 138 feet to the east line of Rhode Island street.

Spur No. 2. Beginning at a point in the center line of the above described Spur Track No. 1, approximately 142 feet south from the south line of Seventeenth street and approximately 54 feet east from the east line of De Haro street; thence northwesterly along a turnout to the left approximately 67 feet; thence continuing northwesterly along the arc of a curve to the left having a radius of 220.8 feet, a distance of approximately 170 feet, intersecting the east line of said De Haro street approximately 84 feet southerly from the south line of said Seventeenth street and intersecting the west line of said De Haro street approximately 39 feet southerly from the south line of said Seventeenth street; thence westerly, parallel with and 29.42 feet southerly from the south line of said Seventeenth street, a distance of approximately 135 feet to the east line of Rhode Island street.

Spur No. 3. Beginning at a point on the west line of Carolina street in the center line of the existing side track of the applicant, approximately 28 feet north of the north line of Mariposa street; thence northwesterly along a turnout to the left approximately 60 feet; thence northwesterly in a straight line approximately 88 feet to a point 257 feet south of the south line of Seventeenth street and 107 feet east of the east line of De Haro street; thence northwesterly along the arc of a curve to the left with a radius of 180 feet, a distance of approximately 153 feet, intersecting the east line of De Haro street approximately 197 feet southerly from the south line of said Seventeenth street; thence westerly, parallel with and 194.71 feet southerly from the south line of said Seventeenth street, a distance of approximately 252 feet to the east line of Rhode Island street, intersecting the west line of De Haro street 194.71 feet southerly from the south line of said Seventeenth street.

Spur No. 4. Beginning at a point in the center line of the above described Spur Track No. 3 approximately 294 feet south of the south line of Seventeenth street and approximately 138 feet east of the east line of De Haro street; thence northwesterly along a turnout to the left approximately 60 feet; thence northwesterly along the arc of a curve to the left having a radius of 229.6 feet, a distance of approximately 137 feet, intersecting the east line of said De Haro street approximately 216 feet southerly from the south line of said Seventeenth street; thence westerly, parallel with and 213.71 feet southerly from the south line of said Seventeenth street, a distance of approximately 244 feet to the east line of Rhode Island street, intersecting the west line of said De Haro street 213.71 feet southerly from the south line of said Seventeenth street.

Said permission is granted subject to the provisions of Ordinance No. 69 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors, approved October 12, 1906, and the provisions and conditions of Section 8 thereof are

hereby specifically contained in the permit hereby granted and shall be construed as a part thereof as complete as though the same were written in this ordinance.

Provided, that said spur track shall be laid under the supervision and to the lines and grades as furnished by the City Engineer's office, and that any and all expenses connected with the installation of the track, restoration of the pavement and any additional requirements for the surface drainage be paid for by The Western Pacific Railroad Company.

Provided, that The Western Pacific Railroad Company shall erect and maintain all-night lighted arc lamps to be placed where directed by the Lighting Committee of the Board of Supervisors.

Provided, that all trackage within the street area be constructed with girder rails.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Intention to Change Grades.

Supervisor Marks presented:

Resolution No. 27341 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to change and establish grades on the following named streets at the points hereinafter specified and at the elevations above city base as hereinafter stated, in accordance with Resolution No. 97201 (Second Series) of the Board of Public Works, adopted May 6, 1927, and written recommendation of said Board, filed May 12, 1927, to-wit:

On Visitacion and Sunnydale avenues between Schwerin street and Spreckels street produced, on Rey, Britton, Loehr, Sawyer and Hahn streets between Leland avenue and a line parallel with Visitacion avenue and 263.67 feet southerly therefrom be changed and established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that no assessment district is necessary, as no damage will result from said change of grades, inasmuch as the streets are ungraded and there are no existing street improvements.

The Board of Public Works is hereby directed to cause to be conspicuously posted along the street or streets upon which such change or modification of grade or grades is contemplated, notice of the passage of this resolution of intention.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Havenner, Shannon—3.

Pipe-Line Permit.

Supervisor Marks presented:

Resolution No. 27342 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Metropolitan Laundry Company is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to lay down and maintain a 6-inch main across Berwick place about 69 feet north of Heron street for the purpose of conveying water from the property of the company on the east side of Berwick place to the property of the company on the west side of Berwick place.

The said main shall be laid to the satisfaction and under the supervision of the Board of Public Works.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Havenner, Shannon—3.

City Engineer to Make Surveys, Western Addition Block No. 478.

Supervisor Marks presented:

Resolution No. 27343 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the City Engineer be and he is hereby requested to make the necessary surveys and prepare, pursuant to the provisions of Section 3658a of the Political Code, an official map of Western Addition Block No. 478, in the City and County of San Francisco, adjusting, correcting and definitely locating the boundary lines of said block.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Havenner, Shannon—3.

Extensions of Time.

Supervisor Marks presented:

Resolution No. 27344 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That A. E. Hennessey is hereby granted the following extensions of time to complete the following street work, viz.:

Ninety days from and after May 11, 1927, within which to complete the improvement of Turk street between Parker avenue and Willard street. This extension of time is

granted for the reason that the work is progressing.

Ninety days from and after May 11, 1927, within which to complete the improvement of Turk street between Masonic and Parker avenues. This extension of time is granted for the reason that the work is progressing.

Ninety days from and after May 11, 1927, within which to complete the improvement of Masonic avenue between Turk and McAllister streets. This extension of time is granted for the reason that the work is well under way.

Ninety days' time from and after May 9, 1927, within which to complete the improvement of Golden Gate Heights. This extension of time is granted for the reason that the work is well under way.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Havenner, Shannon—3.

Also, Resolution No. 27345 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Municipal Construction Company is hereby granted an extension of ninety (90) days' time from and after May 4, 1927, within which to complete the improvement of the crossing of Funston avenue with Lawton and Moraga streets.

This extension of time is granted for the reason that if these crossings were torn up the operations on Golden Gate Heights would be interefered with.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Havenner, Shannon—3.

Also, Resolution No. 27346 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That E. J. Treacy is hereby granted the following extensions of time to complete street work:

Ninety days' time from and after May 3, 1927, within which to complete the improvement of Evans avenue between Phelps and Quint streets. This extension of time is granted for the reason that the contractor is now doing the work on Evans avenue between Quint and Rankin streets, which is adjacent to above work, and will finish the work before the expiration of the requested extension.

Ninety days' time from and after May 15, 1927, within which to complete the improvement of Lakeview avenue between Plymouth and Brighton avenues. This extension is granted for the reason that the work is progressing.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Havenner, Shannon—3.

Also, Resolution No. 27347 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That United Improvement Company is hereby granted an extension of ninety days' time from and after May 31, 1927, within which to complete the improvement of Girard street between Harkness avenue and Ward street. This extension of time is granted for the reason that the work was delayed by inclement weather.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Havenner, Shannon—3.

Also, Resolution No. 27348 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the United Improvement Company is hereby granted an extension of ninety days' time from and after May 16, 1927, within which to complete the improvement of Holladay avenue between Esmeralda and Mayflower streets. This extension of time is granted for the reason that the work was delayed by inclement weather.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Havenner, Shannon—3.

Also, Resolution No. 27349 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That James M. Smith is hereby granted an extension of ninety days' time from and after April 20, 1927, within which to complete the improvement of Castro street between Twenty-ninth and Thirtieth streets. This extension of time is granted for the reason that the work was delayed by inclement weather.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco,

Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—15.
Absent—Supervisors Bath, Havenner, Shannon—3.

Also, Resolution No. 27350 (New Series), as follows:
Resolved, That T. E. Treacy is hereby granted an extension of ninety days' time from and after May 3, 1927, within which to complete the contract for the improvement of Italy avenue between Lisbon and Madrid streets. This extension of time is granted for the reason that the work was delayed by inclement weather.
Adopted by the following vote:
Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—15.
Absent—Supervisors Bath, Havenner, Shannon—3.

Also, Resolution No. 27351 (New Series), as follows:
Resolved, That Municipal Conconstruction Company is hereby granted an extension of ninety days' time from and after June 16, 1927, within which to complete the improvement of Pope street between Brunswick and Morse streets; crossing of Pope street and Brunswick streets; Allison street between Morse and Brunswick streets, and crossing of Allison and Morse streets and Allison and Brunswick streets. This extension of time is granted for the reason that the work was delayed by inclement weather.
Adopted by the following vote:
Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—15.
Absent—Supervisors Bath, Havenner, Shannon—3.

Also, Resolution No. 27352 (New Series), as follows:
Resolved, That M. J. Treacy is hereby granted an extension of ninety days' time from and after May 22, 1927, within which to complete the improvement of Peralta avenue between York street and Holladay avenue. This extension of time is granted for the reason that additional time is required to permit the fill to settle.
Adopted by the following vote:
Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—15.
Absent—Supervisors Bath, Havenner, Shannon—3.

Also, Resolution No. 27353 (New Series), as follows:
Resolved, That E. J. Treacy is hereby granted an extension of ninety days' time from and after May 12, 1927, within which to complete the improvement of Rhode Island street between Twentieth and Twenty-second streets. This extension of time is granted for the reason that the contractor was delayed in permitting fill to settle.
Adopted by the following vote:
Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—15.
Absent—Supervisors Bath, Havenner, Shannon—3.

Also, Resolution No. 27354 (New Series), as follows:
Resolved, That Manuel Smith is hereby granted an extension of ninety days' time from and after May 31, 1927, within which to complete the construction of a sewer in Noriega street between Twenty-fourth and Twenty-ninth avenues under a public contract. This extension of time is granted for the reason that the work is well under way.
Adopted by the following vote:
Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—15.
Absent—Supervisors Bath, Havenner, Shannon—3.

Approval of Map, Balboa Terrace Addition.

Supervisor Marks presented:
Resolution No. 27355 (New Series), as follows:
Whereas, the Board of Public Works did, by Resolution No. 97519 (Second Series), approve a map of Blocks 3260 to 3269, inclusive, Balboa Terrace Addition, San Francisco, California, in five sheets; now, therefore, be it
Resolved, That the map of Blocks 3260 to 3269, inclusive, Balboa Terrace Addition, San Francisco, California, in five sheets, is hereby approved.
Adopted by the following vote:
Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—15.
Absent—Supervisors Bath, Havenner, Shannon—3.

Accepting Deed, Balboa Terrace Addition.

Supervisor Marks presented:

Resolution No. 27356 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That that certain deed executed on the 14th day of April, 1927, between August J. Lang, Jr., and Oscar M. Heuter and the City and County of San Francisco, conveying lands to all the streets as shown on map of Blocks 3260 to 3269, inclusive, Balboa Terrace Addition, San Francisco, California, in five sheets is hereby accepted.

Further Resolved, That all the streets shown on said map not already public streets be and they are hereby declared open public streets.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Ronco-vieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Havenner, Shannon—3.

Approval of Bond, Balboa Terrace Addition.

Supervisor Marks presented:

Resolution No. 27357 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the bond filed with this Board by August J. Lang, Jr., and Oscar M. Heuter as principals and R. D. Lang and Ira S. Solomon as sureties dated May 24, 1927, in the sum of two thousand ($2,000) dollars, which sum is fixed by this Board and conditioned for the payment of all taxes which are now a lien and not yet payable against the tract or subdivision of land shown on a map entitled "Map of Blocks 3260 to 3269, inclusive, Balboa Terrace Addition, San Francisco, California," is hereby approved.

Adopted by the followiny vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Ronco-vieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Havenner, Shannon—3.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Condemnation of Land Required for Extension of McKinnon Avenue From Selby Street to Barneveld Avenue.

On motion of Supervisor Marks:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, By the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, that public interest and necessity require the acquisition by the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, of the following described lands, situated in the City and County of San Francisco and any and all rights and claims thereto for street purposes, to-wit:

Beginning at a point on the easterly line of Barneveld avenue, distant thereon along said line northerly from the northeasterly line of Oakdale avenue 541.075 feet; thence running along said easterly line of Barneveld avenue a distance of 48.192 feet; thence at right angles easterly along the southerly line of Kossuth street (portions of which street were closed by Resolution No. 20603 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, approved December 22, 1922), to a point on the northeasterly line of proposed McKinnon avenue, a distance of 80.705 feet; thence deflecting 27 deg. 29 min. 10 sec. to the right from the preceding course and running northeasterly along the northeasterly line of proposed McKinnon avenue a distance of 66.840 feet; thence deflecting 62 deg. 30 min. 50 sec. to the right from the preceding course and running along the westerly line of Stringham street (portions of which were closed by the aforesaid mentioned Resolution No. 20603 (New Series), to a point on the southwesterly line of proposed McKinnon avenue a distance of 90.179 feet; thence deflecting 117 deg. 29 min. 10 sec. to the right from the preceding course and running along the southwesterly line of proposed McKinnon avenue a distance of 78.907 feet; thence deflecting 62 deg. 30 min. 50 sec. to the right from the preceding course and running northerly a distance of 34.610 feet; thence deflecting at right angles to the left from the preceding course and running a distance of 66.524 feet; thence deflecting 27 deg. 29 min. 10 sec. to the right from the preceding course and running along the southwesterly line of proposed McKinnon avenue to the easterly line of Barneveld avenue a distance of 3.918 feet to the point of beginning. Being portion of Lots Nos. 1750, 1751, 1759, 1760, 1761, 1762 and 1763 of Gift Map No. 4, and also known as Lots Nos. 23, 24, 5, 4, 3, 2 and 1 of Assessor's Block No. 5571.

Resolved, That all the lands, rights and claims above described are declared to be suitable, adaptable, necessary and required for a public use by the City and County of San Francisco, to-wit:

The opening and extending of

McKinnon avenue from Selby street to Barneveld avenue.

Further Resolved, That the City Attorney is hereby directed to forthwith institute in the Superior Court of the State of California, in and for the City and County of San Francisco, proceedings in eminent domain against the owner or owners of said lots, pieces or parcels of land, and any and all persons having or claiming to have an interest in the land hereinbefore described, for the purpose of acquiring title in fee simple to such described lands for the City and County of San Francisco for the uses herein set forth and to prosecute such proceedings to a speedy termination.

Condemnation of Land for Sewer Purposes.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, that public interest and necessity require the acquisition by the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, of the following properties situated in the City and County of San Francisco, and more particularly described as follows, to-wit:

Parcel 1. Beginning at a point on the southerly line of Mangels avenue, distant thereon 275 feet westerly from the westerly line of Baden street, running thence westerly along the southerly line of Mangels avenue 25 feet; thence at right angles southerly 200 feet to the northerly line of Joost avenue; thence easterly along the northerly line of Joost avenue 25 feet; thence at right angles northerly 200 feet to the southerly line of Mangels avenue and the point of beginning.

Parcel 2. Beginning at a point on the southerly line of Joost avenue, distant thereon 200 feet westerly from the westerly line of Baden street, running thence westerly along the southerly line of Joost avenue 25 feet; thence at right angles southerly 200 feet to the northerly line of Monterey boulevard; thence easterly along the northerly line of Monterey boulevard 25 feet; thence at right angles northerly 200 feet to the southerly line of Joost avenue and the point of beginning.

Be it Further Resolved, That said properties are suitable, adaptable, necessary and required for the public use of said City and County of San Francisco, to-wit: For the extension of main sewers. It is necessary that a fee simple title be taken for such use. The City Attorney is hereby ordered and directed to commence proceedings in eminent domain against the owners of said tracts of land and of any and all interests therein or claims thereto for the condemnation thereof for the public use of said City and County of San Francisco as aforesaid.

RECESS.

At 12:15 p. m. the Board took a recess until 2 p. m.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk.

REASSEMBLED—2 P. M.

The Board of Supervisors reassembled at 2 p. m.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Kent, Marks—3.

Quorum present.

His Honor Mayor Rolph presiding.

Congratulations to Chamberlin and Levine, Trans-Atlantic Flyers.

Supervisor Colman moved that the Board of Supervisors extend its congratulations to Messrs. Chamberlin and Levine, trans-Atlantic flyers, who recently flew from New York to Berlin, and that they be invited to participate in the Dole flight from San Francisco to Hawaii, which will take off from this city at an early date.

Supervisor Shannon moved that Chamberlin and Levine be made honorary citizens of San Francisco.

Motion *carried unanimously.*

Supervisor Hayden moved that the Clerk be directed to prepare a commendatory resolution in appreciation of the celebrated flight of Chamberlin and Levine from New York to Berlin.

Berkeley Ferry Opening.

Mayor Rolph, the Board of Supervisors, every city official and every citizen of San Francisco were invited by the Mayor and city officials of Berkeley to attend the festivities in connection with the opening of the new Golden Gate ferry service between Berkeley and San Francisco, Wednesday, June 15.

Mayor Frank D. Stringham of Berkeley, with a delegation from the eastbay city, appeared before the San Francisco Board of Super-

visors and extended the invitation. Mayor Stringham was introduced by Mayor Rolph, who expressed the gratification of San Francisco that the two cities soon were to be joined by a closer connection, which, he said, would redound to the benefit of both.

The Mayor of Berkeley in inviting San Francisco to participate at the dedication of the new ferry service said:

"It has always seemed to us that it was a longer trip from San Francisco to Berkeley than the trip from Berkeley to San Francisco, for it has been difficult to get San Franciscans to visit our side of the bay. With the new ferry route, which will give a direct, quick service route between the two cities for the first time, there will be a new bond for a neighborly spirit and an added incentive to closer cooperation."

Harry Speas, general manager of the Golden Gate Ferry Company, gave a brief outline of the ferry company's plans, following which Supervisor McSheehy, on behalf of the Supervisors, accepted the invitation and promised active participation in the event.

Committee to Arrange With War Department for Hearing on Modification of Bridge Location Ruling.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 27358 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco did heretofore on the 23d day of May, 1927, approve the report of Robert Ridgway, Arthur M. Talbot, John D. Galloway and M. M. O'Shaughnessy relative to a trans-bay bridge between the City and County of San Francisco and the County of Alameda; and

Whereas, this Board of Supervisors did on said day authorize the chairman of the Finance Committee to appoint a committee consisting of three members of this Board, his Honor Mayor James Rolph, Jr., Hon. M. M. O'Shaughnessy, City Engineer, John J. O'Toole, City Attorney, and Robert Ridgway, Arthur M. Talbot and John D. Galloway to proceed to the City of Washington and to there endeavor to obtain the approval and consent of the Secretary of War to the erection of a bridge in location No. 1 as set forth in said report; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the aforesaid committee be and it is hereby authorized and directed to forthwith communicate with the Secretary of War of the United States for the purpose of making an appointment with said Secretary in the City of Washington and upon said appointment being made said committee is further authorized and directed to proceed to the City of Washington and to there confer with the Secretary of War of the United States and with such other officials of the Government of the United States whom they shall deem proper for the purpose of obtaining the approval of the Secretary of War, and of the War Department of the United States, to the construction and maintenance of a trans-bay bridge in the district covered by location No. 1 in said engineers' report. Said committee is further authorized and directed to use all honorable and lawful means for the purpose of obtaining the approval of the Secretary of War to the construction and maintenance of said bridge within said location No. 1; and if said permission cannot be obtained from said Secretary of War said committee is hereby authorized and directed to make application to such other officials and departments of the Government of the United States as it shall deem proper for the purpose of obtaining said permission to construct said bridge in said location No. 1. Said committee or one or more of its members is hereby authorized to remain at the City of Washington so long as it may be necessary in their judgment for them to remain for the purpose of obtaining the approval of the Secretary of War to the construction of said bridge in said location No. 1. That in the event the said committee should determine that there is no possibility of obtaining the approval of the Secretary of War or of the War Department to the construction and maintenance of a trans-bay bridge in said location No. 1 as described in said report of said engineers it is authorized and directed to make application to the said Secretary of War or to such other officials of the Government of the United States as it shall deem proper for permission to construct a bridge within the limits of location No. 2 as described in said report of said engineers; and should said committee ascertain that there is no possibility of obtaining said permission to construct said bridge within said location No. 2, then said committee is authorized and directed to make application to the Secretary of War or to such other officials of the Government of the United States as it shall deem proper for permission to construct a bridge within the limits of location No. 3 as described in said report. That said committee is

hereby directed to make a full report of all its proceedings had and taken in the premises to this Board of Supervisors; and be it

Further Resolved, That the duties and authority of said committee may be changed, enlarged or curtailed by this Board at its pleasure.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd 14.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Kent, Marks—4.

Mayor Opposes Date.

When Mayor Rolph objected to the date, June 21, saying that he had an engagement to attend a convention in Denver and an invitation to attend the opening of the Reno Exposition, Supervisor Colman emphasized the importance of having the Mayor present at the hearing in Washington and urged Rolph to arrange his affairs so that nothing could interfere, saying:

"The bridge question is the most important one that confronts this city, and nothing should stand in the way of early action."

Supervisor Shannon reported upon a conference he and Supervisor Havenner held in the morning with the Supervisors of San Mateo County. The latter officials agreed to hold up action for thirty days on the bridge application of P. J. Carey, who seeks to build a bridge across the bay just below the San Francisco county line.

Night Letter.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon the following telegram, prepared by Supervisor Havenner, was ordered sent to the Secretary of War:

To the Honorable the Secretary of War, Washington, D. C.

More than a million people, living around San Francisco Bay, are vitally interested in the project of constructing a bridge across the Bay to connect the two metropolitan areas of population situated in San Francisco and Alameda counties, and to provide a major highway outlet from San Francisco into the interior of California. Years of study have been devoted to this project and the City of San Francisco recently, at great expense, employed a commission composed of engineers of outstanding national reputation to prepare a report containing recommendations for proposed sites of such a bridge which will best serve the needs of this great community. This report is now complete and has just been received and approved by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco. The Board of Supervisors, by resolution adopted today, has appointed an official committee, consisting of the Mayor, the City Engineer, the City Attorney, the three expert engineers who compiled the report—Robert Ridgway of New York, Arthur N. Talbot of the University of Illinois and John D. Galloway of San Francisco, and three members of the Board of Supervisors, to proceed to Washington to seek the approval of the War Department for the site recommended. The people of San Francisco are unanimously in favor of the construction of a bridge over the proposed route at the earliest possible moment. In view of the magnitude of this project, which contemplates the construction of the largest bridge in the history of the world, and its tremendous importance to the entire State of California, we respectfully request that the War Department engineers grant a hearing to the official committee of San Francisco on June 21, 1927, or as soon thereafter as possible, in order that the final ruling of the War Department upon the site of the bridge may be obtained without delay. The committee representing San Francisco will be ready to leave here for Washington on June 15, and we respectfully urge that the hearing be granted at the earliest available date after the committee's arrival in Washington. We will greatly appreciate an early reply by wire.

JAMES ROLPH. JR.,
Mayor.

Board of Supervisors:
By J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk.

Letter of Thanks, German Ambassador Maltzan.

The following were presented by the Mayor and read by the Clerk:

Washington, D. C., June 6.

Hon. James Rolph, Jr., Mayor of San Francisco, San Francisco, California.

Reichspraesident Von Hindenburg has charged me to transmit to you his most sincere and cordial thainks for your kind message informing him that you invested Count Luckner with the freedom of the City and County of San Francisco. The Reichspraesident was much pleased with the expression of admiration and appreciation of Count Luckner's mission,

which will certainly contribute to strengthen the ties of friendship between Germany and San Francisco.

Ambassador Maltzan.

San Francisco, June 6, 1927.
His Excellency Baron Ago Von Maltzan, German Embassy, Washington, D. C.

Thanks for your telegram repeating message from President Von Hindenburg, which I have wired to Count Luckner at Seattle. I read the message to Board of Supervisors in session today and they were pleased and ordered it spread in today's records. Sincere and kindest regard to you and your family. Expect to be in Washington about end of this month.

JAMES ROLPH, JR.,
Mayor.

PRESENTATION OF PROPOSALS.

Journals and Calendars.

Sealed proposals for printing Journals and Calendars were opened, read and *referred to the Committee on Publicity and Public Welfare.* A certified check in the sum of $1,290 on the American Trust Company was filed with the Clerk.

Appropriation for Reapportionment Bill Referendum Campaign.

On motion of Supervisor Schmidt, Assemblymen Levy, Hornblower and Jacobs were granted the privilege of the floor and urged that an appropriation be made by the Finance Committee to the compaign for the referendum on the Reapportionment Bill.

Supervisor Schmidt moved that the Finance Committee request the City Attorney to prepare legal legislation necessary to enable it to make an appropriation for this purpose.

Whereupon, Supervisor McSheehy moved that $2,500 be set aside out of the General Fund and the following resolution was *passed for printing*:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $2,500 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of General Fund, 1926-1927, for expense in connection with securing signatures for referendum petition against act reapportioning legislative districts in the State of California, which act reduces the senatorial legislative representatives in certain counties, including San Francisco.

Hearing of Objections, Tehama Street Extension.

The following matter, laid over from last meeting, was taken up:

Hearing of objections of San Francisco News Company against the extension of Tehama street easterly from a point 275 feet east of Third street to the west line of Hawthorne street as proposed in Resolution of Intention No. 27087 (New Series), passed by the Board of Supervisors on April 4, 1927.

Privilege of the Floor.

Felix T. Smith, representing the San Francisco News Company, was granted the privilege of the floor and was heard in protest against the proposed extension of Tehama street.

Objections Overruled.

Whereupon, on motion of Supervisor Gallagher, seconded by Supervisar Hayden, the following resolution was *adopted*:

Resolution No. 27366 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, on the 4th day of April, 1927, the Board of Supervisors duly and regularly passed Resolution No. 27087 (New Series), which resolution was, on the 15th day of April, 1927, duly and regularly approved by the Mayor of the City and County of San Francisco, and said resolution being in words and figures as follows, to-wit:

Resolution No. 27087 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco to order the extension of the following named street, to-wit:

Tehama street easterly from a point 275 feet east of Third street to the west line of Hawthorne street.

The lands and property deemed necessary to be taken for said extending of Tehama street are particularly described as follows, to-wit:

Beginning at a point on the southwesterly line of Hawthorne street, distant southeasterly thereon 160 feet from the southeasterly line of Howard street, said point being on the northeasterly prolongation of the northwesterly line of Tehama street, and running southeasterly along said southwesterly line of Hawthorne street 35 feet to a point in the northeasterly prolongation of the southeasterly line of Tehama street; thence southwesterly at right angles along said prolongation of said southeasterly line 112 feet 6 inches to the northeasterly

line of Tehama street; thence northwesterly at right angles along said northeasterly line 35 feet to the northwesterly line of Tehama street; thence northwesterly at right angles and along said prolongation of said northwesterly line 112 feet 6 inches to said southwesterly line of Hawthorne street and the point of beginning.

Being a portion of One Hundred Vara Block No. 356.

And said Board of Supervisors does hereby determine and declare that said proposed extension of Tehama street is of more than ordinary public benefit and will affect and benefit the lands and district hereinafter described, and which said district is hereby declared to be the district affected and benefited by said extension, and that therefore the entire damages, costs and expenses of said extension shall be and are hereby made chargeable against and shall be assessable upon said lands and district, which lands and district are within the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and the exterior boundaries of said lands and district affected and benefited by said extension are particularly described as follows:

Beginning at the intersection of the northeasterly line of Third street with the northwesterly line of Tehama street, and running northwesterly along said northeasterly line 30 feet; thence northeasterly at right angles to said northeasterly line 80 feet; thence northwesterly at right angles 50 feet; thence northeasterly at right angles 25 feet; thence southeasterly at right angles 10 feet; thence northeasterly at right angles 50 feet; thence northwesterly at right angles 10 feet; thence northeasterly at right angles 345 feet; thence southeasterly at right angles 32 feet 9 inches; thence northeasterly at right angles 50 feet; thence southeasterly at right angles 162 feet 3 inches; thence southwesterly at right angles 470 feet; thence northwesterly at right angles 50 feet; thence southwesterly at right angles 80 feet to said northeasterly line of Third street; thence northwesterly at right angles along said northeasterly line 65 feet to the point of beginning, excepting and excluding therefrom all public streets.

Being portion of One Hundred Vara Block No. 356.

Said extension of Tehama street shall be done in pursuance of Chapter III of Article VI of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, and shall be done in the manner and in accordance with the provisions of Section 2 and the sections following Section 2 of said Chapter III of Article VI of said Charter of the City and County of San Francisco.

Adopted by the Board of Supervisors April 4, 1927.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath—2.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk.

Approved April 15, 1927.
JAMES ROLPH, JR.,
Mayor.

And Whereas, the Clerk of the Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco did transmit to the Board of Public Works of the City and County of San Francisco a certified copy of said resolution, and the said Board of Public Works did, upon receipt of said resolution, cause to be posted in the manner and as required by law, notices of the passage of said resolution, and did also cause, in the manner and as required by law, a notice similar in substance to be published for a period of ten days in the official newspaper of the City and County of San Francisco; and

Whereas, certain persons interested, either objecting to said improvement or to the extent of the district and lands to be effected and benefited by said improvement and to be assessed to pay the costs and expenses thereof, or to both, did make objections to the same within ten days after the expiration of the time of said publication of said notice, which objections were delivered to the Clerk of the Supervisors, who endorsed thereon the date of their reception by him, and at the next meeting of the Supervisors after the expiration of said ten days, did lay said objections before the Supervisors, who thereupon fixed a time for hearing said objections, viz.: the 31st day of May, 1927, which time was not less than one week after said meeting of said Board of Supervisors; and

Whereas, the Clerk of the Supervisors did notify the persons making said objections, by depositing a notice of said hearing in the postoffice, postage prepaid, addressed to such objectors; and

Whereas, on the 6th day of June, 1927, the Supervisors did hear the objections of The San Francisco News Company, filed April 29, 1927, and did overrule said objections; and

Whereas, the public interest and convenience require said improvement to be done as specifically described in said Resolution No. 27087 (New Series); and

Whereas, the Supervisors have acquired jurisdiction to order the extension of Tehama street easterly from a point 275 feet east of Third street to the west line of Hawthorne street, as aforesaid and as specifically described and proposed in said Resolution No. 27087 (New Series); now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That it be ordered that said Tehama street be extended and improved as aforesaid and as specifically described and proposed in said Resolution No. 27087 (New Series); and be it

Further Resolved, That the damages, costs and expenses of said extension of Tehama street be and they are hereby made chargeable against and shall be assessable upon the lands and district described in said Resolution No. 27087 (New Series), as being the lands and district affected and benefited by said extension and against which the damages, costs and expenses of said extension should be chargeable; and be it

Further Resolved, That the extension of Tehama street be done in accordance with the provisions of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —11.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Kent, Marks, Roncovieri—7.

Heroes' Memorial Grove of Trees.

Supervisor Havenner presented the following, which was read by the Clerk:

Headquarters Seven Pines Circle No. 3, Ladies of the Grand Army of the Republic, Department of California and Nevada.

San Francisco, May 2, 1927.

To the Officers and Members of the Forty-second Department Convention, Ladies of the G. A. R.

Dear Sisters:

At a regular meeting of our circle held May 2, 1927, we endorsed the proposition to plant a memorial grove of trees, one from every State in the Union, in honor of our United States war mothers. This grove is to be situated in Golden Gate Park, San Francisco. Would like to have endorsement of department convention.

Sisterly in F. C. & L.,

JULIA M. GRAHAM,
Secretary.

Motion.

Supervisor Havenner moved that the Park Commissioners be requested to indicate location of proposed grove in Golden Gate Park.

So ordered.

Street Lights.

Supervisor Stanton presented:

Resolution No. 27359 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Pacific Gas and Electric Company be and it is hereby authorized and requested to change and install the following street lights:

Install 400 *M. R.*

Richland avenue between Mission and Leese streets.

South side St. Mary's avenue, in front of church.

Corner of St. Mary's avenue and Marsilly street.

Eighteenth avenue and Pacheco street.

Eighteenth avenue between Ortega and Pacheco streets.

Change 400 *M. R. to* 600 *M. R.*

Clifford Terrace between Ashbury street and Upper Terrace.

Clifford Terrace and Ashbury street and Upper Terrace.

Clifford Terrace and Upper Terrace.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—12.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Kent, Marks—6.

Supervisor Warren Shannon Appointed Acting Mayor.

Supervisor Hayden presented:

Resolution No. 27360 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, during the absence of Mayor James Rolph in the City of Washington, Supervisor Warren Shannon be appointed acting Mayor.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon Stanton, Todd—12.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Kent, Marks —6.

War Memorial Plans to Be Withheld for Approval of War Veteran Organizations.

Supervisor Havenner presented:

Resolution No. 27361 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, in the event of the approval by the people of the War Memorial Bonds, to be voted upon on June 14, 1927, this Board of Supervisors pledges itself to the policy of not appropriating the money to be derived from the sale of said bonds for the construction of the War Memorial Building until the official plans for such building shall have received the formal approval of a majority of duly constituted representatives of all the war veteran organizations now existing in San Francisco.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —11.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Kent, Marks—7.

Privilege of the Floor.

Chas. Otis, representing United Veterans' Council, was heard favoring the adoption of the foregoing resolution and asked that one of the proposed buildings be devoted to the use of war veterans exclusively.

Supervisors Powers and Schmidt Appointed on Finance Committee.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 27362 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Supervisors Chas. J. Powers and Walter J. Schmidt be and they are hereby designated, appointed and authorized to act as members of the Finance Committee of the Board of Supervisors during the absence of Supervisors James B. McSheehy and Franck R. Havenner, members of the Finance Committee, attending to official business at Washington, D. C.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden. McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—12.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Kent, Marks —6.

Women's Building Construction Lauded.

Supervisor Hayden presented:

Resolution No. 27363 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the San Francisco Women's Building Association, representing its own membership of 6200, and allied organizations comprising approximately 10,000 additional members resident in San Francisco, other cities in California and twelve other States in the Union, have erected and presented to San Francisco a magnificent building designed as a civic and cultural center, complete in its equipment and furnishings; and

Whereas, it contains an auditorium, ballrooms, complete dining service, 120 sleeping rooms, athletic facilities, including swimming pool, children's department, roof garden, lounging rooms, all adequately fitted for the entertainment of San Francisco guests; and

Whereas, this will be an ideal center for community forums and convention meetings for both men and women, as well as a center for adult educational pursuits, and the promotion of community projects; therefore, be it

Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors expresses to the San Francisco Women's Building Association its appreciation of this colossal accomplishment, which is solely the work of women, and urges the San Francisco public to give its full and whole-hearted support to the promotion of the program of this women's building in order that it may fulfill the purpose for which it has been planned.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —11.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Kent, Marks—7.

Invitation to Colonel Lindbergh to Come to San Francisco.

Supervisor Hayden presented:

Resolution No. 27364 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Mayor be directed to prepare a commendatory message to Capt. Charles A. Lindbergh and an invitation to visit San Francisco, and granting the freedom of the city, to be signed by the City authorities jointly with the Young Men's Division of the Commercial Club of San Francisco.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —14.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Kent, Marks—4.

Leave of Absence, Hugh K. McKevitt.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

San Francisco, Cal., June 1, 1927.
Hon. Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco.
Gentlemen:

Application has been made to me by the Honorable Hugh K. McKevitt, member of the Board of Civil Service Commissioners, for a leave of absence, with permission to absent himself from the State of California for a period of thirty (30) days, commencing June 1, 1927.

Will you please concur with me in granting this leave of absence?

Respectfully yours,
JAMES ROLPH, JR.,
Mayor.

Whereupon, the following resolution was presented and *adopted*:

Resolution No. 27365 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved That, in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Hon. Hugh K. McKevitt, member of the Board of Civil Service Commissioners, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of thirty days, commencing June 1, 1927, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Havenner, Shannon—3.

ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business the Board at 6:30 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors, October 17, 1927.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated, and approved as above recited.

JOHN S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors.
City and County of San Francisco.

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Vol. 22—New Series No. 24

Monday, June 13, 1927

Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
337 Bush Street, S. F.

JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, JUNE 13, 1927, 10 A. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, June 13, 1927, 10 a. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Powers Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—12.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher, Hayden, Marks, Roncovieri—6.

Quorum present.

His Honor Mayor Rolph presiding.

APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the previous meeting was laid over for approval until next meeting.

ROLL CALL FOR PETITIONS FROM MEMBERS.

California Development Association, Headquarters in Ferry Building.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication, from N. H. Sloane, general manager, California Development Association, advising that said association is now arranging for new quarters in the Ferry Building which will enable visitors to San Francisco to have a comprehensive view of San Francisco Bay, and that it becomes necessary to that purpose to rearrange the space now located in the center of the nave of the Ferry Building, heretofore used as a part of the exhibit plan, and requesting that the approval of the Board of Supervisors to such rearrangement be given in the form of a resolution of the Board.

Referred to Public Welfare Committee.

Exchange of Deeds With Federal Government for Palace of Fine Arts Lands.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication, from his Honor Mayor Rolph, transmitting correspondence from L. H. Bash, Colonel, Q. M. Corp, U. S. A., together with executed deed dated June 3, 1927, from the United States Government to the City and County of San Francisco, conveying certain lands described therein being part of the Presidio Military Reservation in San Francisco, upon which the Palace of Fine Arts is located.

Ordered *filed.*

Request for Correction of Dangerous Situation on West Portal Avenue.

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Petition, of Fernando Nelson and others, being a committee for betterments at West Portal, requesting the correction of an alleged menace to life and limb existing at West Portal avenue from Ulloa street to Sloat boulevard, which consists of curbs in the middle of the street guarding the car tracks and the unpaved portion between the rails. This is declared to be a menace to the life of women and children who shop along the street, and, as a consequence, a detriment to the business there.

Read and *referred to the Traffic Committee.*

Rezoning of Parker Avenue Endorsed.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication, from Divisadero Street Merchants' Association, endorsing the proposed rezoning of that certain strip of land on the west side of Laurel Hill Cemetery on Parker avenue as second-class residential property.

Ordered *filed.*

UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

Final Passage.

The following matters, heretofore passed for printing, were taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Authorizations.

Resolution No. 27366A (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out

of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

Aquarium Fund—Appropriation 57.

(1) California Academy of Sciences, maintenance of Steinhart Aquarium, month of May, 1927 (claim dated June 6, 1927), $3,499.22.

County Road Fund.

(2) Chas. L. Harney, first payment, improvement of southeasterly side of San Jose avenue between Gorham and Cotter streets, including intersections (claim dated June 1, 1927), $3.750.

(3) James M. Smith, second payment, improvement of Castro street between Twenty-ninth and Thirtieth streets and portion of Thirtieth street east of Castro street (claim dated June 1, 1927), $3,600.

Relief Home Bonds, Issue 1923.

(4) The Scott Company, first and final payment for plumbing and heating at Relief Home Conservatory (claim dated May 31, 1927), $3,688.

Sunset Tunnel Assessment Fund.

(5) Leonard F. Youdall and R. G. Clifford, twelfth payment, construction of tunnel and appurtenance under Buena Vista Park (Sunset tunnel) (claim dated June 1, 1927), $81,713.10.

Municipal Railway Depreciation Reserve Fund.

(6) St. Louis Car Co., second payment, car bodies for Municipal Railways, Contract 149-A (claim dated June 1, 1927), $43,578.

Special School Tax.

(7) Granfield, Farrar & Carlin, first payment, grading site of South Side High School (claim dated May 31, 1927), $3,829.50.

(8) S. Heiman, third payment, architectural services for additions to Sunnyside School (claim dated May 31, 1927), $586.83.

(9) Thos. Skelly, fourth payment, plumbing for addition to Commodore Sloat School (claim dated June 1, 1927), $1,107.68.

(10) Mahony Bros., eighth payment, general construction of addition to Commodore Sloat School (claim dated June 1, 1927), $10,670.16.

(11) Scott Co., third payment, mechanical equipment for addition to Commodore Sloat School (claim dated June 1, 1927), $534.82.

(12) O. Monson, thirteenth payment, general construction of addition to Galileo High School (claim dated June 1, 1927), $15,882.30.

(13) S. W. Band, eleventh payment, plumbing for additional units to Galileo High School (claim dated June 1, 1927), $3,840.

Fire Department Land and Construction Fund.

(14) Elliot & Grant, ninth payment, construction of Fire Department Engine House No. 19 (claim dated June 1, 1927), $1,741.80.

(15) Dowd-Seid Electric Co., final payment, electrical work on Fire Department Engine House No. 19 (claim dated June 1, 1927), $1,382.67.

Hetch Hetchy Water Bond Fund, Issue 1925.

(16) The Bald Eagle Meat Market, meats, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated May 26, 1927), $688.50.

(17) California Corrugated Culvert Co., culverts, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated May 27, 1927), $1,259.87.

(18) Hales & Symons, Inc., lumber, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated May 26, 1927), $708.68.

(19) Kaiser Paving Co., for use of tractor blade and operator on Tesla and Mitchell Ravine roads, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated May 26, 1927), $1,160.74.

(20) Pacific Electric Mfg. Co., air break switches, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated May 27, 1927), $1,049.40.

(21) Safety Cable Co., copper wire, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated May 27, 1927), $600.08.

(22) United Commercial Co., rails and angle bars, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated May 27, 1927), $3,328.64.

School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923.

(23) A. Lettich, fourth payment, plumbing work, Hearst-Moulder School (claim dated June 1, 1927), $577.13.

(24) A. Lettich, first payment, plumbing work, Portola Junior High School (claim dated June 1, 1927), $2,007.75.

(25) Mahony Bros., fourth payment, general construction, Sherman School (claim dated June 1, 1927), $9,887.59.

(26) Alta Electric Co., second payment, electrical work, Mission (Everett) Junior High School (claim dated May 31, 1927), $5,599.88.

(27) Latourette-Fical Co., sixth payment, plumbing and gas-fitting work, Mission (Everett) Junior High School (claim dated June 1, 1927), $3,517.83.

(28) R. W. Moller, ninth payment, general construction, Mission (Everett) Junior High School (claim dated May 31, 1927), $35,062.02.

(29) The Scott Co., third pay-

ment, mechanical equipment, Mission (Everett) Junior High School (claim dated May 31, 1927), $6,870.

(30) Edward F. Dowd, first payment, electrical work, Hearst-Moulder School (claim dated May 31, 1927), $1,622.16.

(31) Thos. Skelly, third payment, mechanical equipment, addition to Sunnyside School (claim dated May 31, 1927), $2,287.20.

(32) Scott Company, fifth payment, mechanical equipment, Edison School (claim dated June 1, 1927), $911.24.

(33) O. Monson, second payment, general construction, Portola Junior High School (claim dated June 1, 1927), $17,715.

Hetch Hetchy Power Operative Fund.

(34) Hales & Symons, lumber (claim dated May 26, 1927), $749.05.

General Fund, 1926-1927.

(35) Howard Automobile Co., furnishing and installing bullet-proof glass on thirty Police Department automobiles (claim dated June 1, 1927), $3,156.33.

(36) Gilmartin Co., Inc., colored maps, printing reports, half-tones, binding, etc., furnished for transbay bridge investigation and report (claim dated May 26, 1927), $1,602.50.

(37) Felix Gross Co., cement for sewer repairs (claim dated May 28, 1927), $867.20.

(38) Standard Oil Co. of California, asphalt for street repair (claim dated May 28, 1927), $1,999.23.

(39) Stanard Oil Co. of California, asphalt for street repair (claim dated May 28, 1927), $1,998.60.

(40) The Spring Valley Water Co., water furnished for concrete work on streets and sidewalks (claim dated May 28, 1927), $1,256.16.

(41) Western Lime & Cement Co., cement for street repair (claim dated May 28, 1927), $1,794.02.

(42) Frank J. McHugh, third payment, construction of sewers and appurtenances in westerly Sunset District, Section B, Forty-third avenue main (claim dated June 1, 1927), $10,200.

(43) Manuel Smith, second payment, construction of sewers and appurtenances in Noriega street between Twenty-fourth and Twenty-ninth avenues (claim dated June 1, 1927), $3,750.

(44) San Francisco Society for the Prevention of Cruelty to Animals, impounding, feeding, etc., of animals (claim dated June 6, 1927), $1,250.

(45) Bethlehem Shipbuilding Corp., hydrant parts furnished Fire Department (claim dated May 31, 1927), $709.50.

(46) General Engineering & Dry Dock Co., drydocking and repairing of Fire Department boats "Scannel" and "Sullivan" (claim dated May 31, 1927), $1,412.83.

(47) Spring Valley Water Co., water service for Fire Department hydrants (claim dated May 31, 1927), $14,544.60.

(48) Thompson Bros., Inc., cushion wheels and tire for Fire Department (claim dated May 31, 1927), $1,698.80.

(49) Sperry Flour Co., flour, Relief Home (claim dated May 24, 1927), $680.

(50) S. H. Tyler & Son, tea and coffee, Relief Home (claim dated May 24, 1927), $795.

(51) City Construction Co., improvement of Montana street between Capitol and Plymouth avenues (claim dated June 1, 1927), $4,960.

(52) James Rolph, Jr., incidental expense, Mayor's office, month of June, 1927 (claim dated June 6, 1927), $625.

Hetch Hetchy Operative Revenue Fund.

(53) Railroad Commission of the State of California, for expense of valuation proceedings on San Francisco electric properties, month of June, 1927 (claim dated June 6, 1927), $1,000.

Hetch Hetchy Power Operative Fund.

(54) Daniel Corcoran, payment for lands purchased by Resolution No. 27133 (New Series), and being situate in Tuolumne County, required for Priest regulating reservoir (claim dated April 28, 1927), $6,000.

Publicity and Advertising—Appropriation 55.

(55) Alex Dulfer Printing Co., printing and stamped envelopes furnished for account of Music Week (claim dated June 6, 1927), $638.

(56) Philip H. Sapiro, band and orchestra furnished for account of Music Week (claim dated June 6, 1927), $504.

Tearing-Up Streets Fund.

(57) Gladding, McBean & Co., sewer pipe furnished for sidesewers (claim dated June 1, 1927), $525.

Hetch Hetchy Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1925.

(58) G. F. Williams, two Gates crushers with parts, for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated June 1, 1927), $1,700.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Col-

man, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Roncovieri—3.

Authorizations.

Resolution No. 27367 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

Park Fund.

(1) Elliot & Grant, part payment, construction of lookout station, Lincoln Park (claim dated May 27, 1927), $1,050.

(2) Granfield, Farrar & Carlin, clay for Golden Gate Park (claim dated May 27, 1927), $528.75.

(3) Granfield, Farrar & Carlin, clay and loam for Larson Park (claim dated May 27, 1927), $502.50.

(4) Maggini Motor Car Co., Ford truck with hoists, etc., for parks (claim dated May 27, 1927), $842.

(5) County Line Service Station, Ford truck with hoists, etc., for parks (claim dated May 27, 1927), $842.

Duplicate Tax Fund.

(6) E. B. McNear, refund of duplicate payment of second installment of taxes (claim dated May 26, 1927), $1,244.40.

Hetch Hetchy Power Operative Fund.

(7) Depreciation Fund, Hetch Hetchy Power Operative, as reserve for depreciation, per Section 16, Article 12 of Charter, for May (claim dated May 19, 1927), $14,583.

Municipal Railway Fund.

(8) Market Street Railway Co., reimbursement for April, as per agreement of December 12, 1918 (claim dated May 20, 1927), $1,584.71.

(9) Market Street Railway Co., electric power furnished Municipal Railways (claim dated May 20, 1927), $3,300.37.

(10) Pacific Gas & Electric Co., electric power furnished Municipal Railways for April (claim dated May 20, 1927), $36,922.18.

(11) Frank F. Bodler, coin-counting machine for Municipal Railways (claim dated May 21, 1927), $1,942.50.

(12) Westinghouse Electric & Manufacturing Co., electric parts for Municipal Railways (claim dated May 21, 1927), $792.59.

Municipal Railway Depreciation Fund.

(13) H. L. Kell, first payment, wood ties, Municipal Railways, Contract 151 (claim dated May 20, 1927), $15,000.

Hetch Hetchy Water Bonds, Issue 1925.

(14) Loop Lumber Co., lumber for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated May 19, 1927), $1,014.36.

(15) J. H. McCallum, lumber for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated May 19, 1927), $1,570.61.

(16) State Compensation Insurance Fund, insurance premium, Hetch Hetchy employees (claim dated May 19, 1927), $2,504.64.

(17) Bethlehem Shipbuilding Corporation, Ltd., crank-shafts for shovel, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated May 23, 1927), $516.

(18) Pope & Talbot, lumber for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated May 23, 1927), $706.41.

(19) Western Pipe and Steel Co., air pipe, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated May 23, 1927), $915.10.

(20) Hercules Powder Co., explosives, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated May 24, 1927), $6,579.74.

(21) Ingersoll Rand Co. of California, machinery parts, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated May 24, 1927), $680.47

(22) J. H. McCallum, lumber, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated May 24, 1927), $670.75.

(23) Steam and Plumbing Service Corporation, air pipe, Hetch Hetchy (claim dated May 24, 1927), $3,202.24.

(24) Western Pipe and Steel Co., air pipe, Hetch Hetchy (claim dated May 24, 1927), $915.10.

Special School Tax.

(25) O. Monson, extra work, general construction of Francis Scott Key School (claim dated May 20, 1927), $5,000.

(26) Samuel Lightner Hyman and A. Appleton, fourth payment, architectural services for addition to Madison School (claim dated May 24, 1927), $1,125.19.

County Road Fund.

(27) Granfield, Farrar & Carlin, grading Laidley street near Roanoke street, per contract (claim dated May 25, 1927), $800.

School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923.

(28) Title Insurance & Guaranty Co., title reports, eleven properties, required for school purposes, between Thirtieth and Thirty-second avenues, Geary and Balboa streets (claim dated May 31, 1927), $609.

(29) O. Monson, extra work, general construction of Francis Scott

Key School (claim dated May 20, 1927), $2,825.06.

(30) Palace Hardware Co., bake oven and mixer and installation of same, Mission High School (claim dated May 20, 1927), $651.50.

Relief Home Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923.

(31) M. E. Ryan, extra electrical work, Relief Home (claim dated May 20, 1927), $4,100.

Hetch Hetchy Operative Revenue Fund.

(32) H. G. Butler, services in connection with severance damage report, valuation of San Francisco electric properties (claim dated May 25, 1927), $837.50.

General Fund, 1926-1927.

(33) Board of Park Commissioners, reimbursement of Park Fund for beautification of the Civic Center during May and June, 1927 (claim dated May 27, 1927), $4,798.18.

(34) W. Q. Wright, Ralph A. Beebee and A. A. Peters, progress payment for dredging at Marina Yacht Harbor (claim dated May 27, 1927), $10,875.

(35) Daniel J. O'Brien, June police contingent expense (claim dated June 1, 1927), $750.

(36) St. Charles Market, meats for County Jails (claim dated May 17, 1927), $543.05.

(37) The American Laundry Machinery Co., one Globe washing machine for County Jail No. 2 (claim dated May 17, 1927), $745.

(38) California Meat Co., meats for County Jails (claim dated May 17, 1927), $916.43.

(39) Langendorf Baking Co., bread for County Jails (claim dated May 17, 1927), $1,097.32.

(40) San Francisco Bulletin, official advertising, Board of Supervisors (claim dated May 31, 1927), $1,352.04.

(41) M. W. Lowrie, repaving streets for Department of Electricity (claim dated April 30, 1927), $535.56.

(42) Dieterich Post Co., air craft theodolite for Municipal Airport (claim dated May 23, 1927), $605.

(43) Symon Brothers, teams furnished for street cleaning (claim dated May 20, 1927), $690.

(44) California Petroleum Corporation, fuel oil for Hall of Justice (claim dated May 18, 1927), $516.30.

(45) Bush Electric Corporation, X-ray films for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated April 30, 1927), $1,114.20.

(46) J. Theo. Erlin Co., drug X-ray films for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated April 30, 1927), $2,418.50.

(47) H. F. Dugan, chemical and surgical supplies for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated April 30, 1927), $665.10.

(48) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., electric service for Relief Home (claim dated April 30, 1927), $628.65.

(49) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., for removal of street lamp posts (claim dated May 31, 1927), $4,734.57.

Publicity and Advertising.

(50) San Francisco Convention and Tourist League, expense for account of the publicity and advertising of San Francisco (claim dated April 4, 1927), $711.31.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Roncovieri—3.

Appropriations in Payment for Lands Required for School Purposes.

Resolution No. 27368 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside and appropriated out of School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923, and authorized in payment to the hereinafter named persons; being payments for lands required for school purposes, to-wit:

(1) To Thomas W. Caine, for lands on the east line of Thirty-second avenue, commencing 100 feet north of the northerly line of Balboa street, running thence northerly on the east line of Thirty-second avenue 25 feet; thence easterly 117 feet, more or less; thence southeasterly 25 feet; thence westerly 120 feet, more or less, to the east line of Thirty-second avenue; as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 27307 (New Series), $2,750.

(2) To J. A. Christian, for lands on the east line of Thirty-second avenue, commencing 75 feet northerly from the north line of Balboa street, running thence northerly on the east line of Thirty-second avenue 25 feet; thence easterly 120 feet, more or less; thence southeasterly 25 feet; thence westerly 122 feet, more or less, to the east line of Thirty-second avenue; as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 27308 (New Series), $2,750.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Roncovieri—3.

Appropriation, $5,075, Payment to Magna S. Owens in Payment for Land Required for Bernal Cut.

Resolution No. 27369 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $5,075 be and the same is hereby authorized in payment out of appropriation heretofore made out of County Road Fund for the opening of Bernal Cut, to Magna S. Owens for property required for the opening of the Bernal Cut; as per acceptance offer by Resolution No. 27304 (New Series) (claim dated May 26, 1927).

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Roncovieri—3.

Appropriation for School Repairs, Etc., and Equipment; for Flood Light Housing and Meteorological Stations, Municipal Airport, and Improvement of Sutro Monument.

Resolution No. 27370 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter named funds for the following purposes, to-wit:

School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923.

(1) For cost of painting the Portola Junior High School, per award of contract to the American Painting and Decorating Co., $9,450.

(2) For cost of plumbing, gasfitting and sprinkler system at the Portola Junior High School, additional; per award to A. Lettich, $3,500.

Special School Tax.

(3) For cost of painting of addition to the High School of Commerce, alterations to the old building; per award to H. Ankers, $1,375.

(4) For cost of chairs to be installed in music room of additional units to the Galileo High School; per award to Heywood-Wakefield Co., $1,264.80.

Municipal Airport, Budget Item No. 60.

(5) For cost of flood-light housing and meteorological stations, San Francisco Municipal Airport, including inspection and extras; per award to F. W. Varney at $1,457, $1,700.

Sutro Monument, Budget Item No. 61.

(6) For cost of improvement of Sutro Monument and grounds, additional to enable final payment to contractor, $4,250.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Roncovieri—3.

Appropriation, $1,610.88, Butte Electric and Manufacturing Company, Burglar Alarm Systems, Treasurer and Tax Collector's Offices.

Resolution No. 27372 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $1,610.88 be and the same is hereby authorized in payment out of Urgent Necessity (Appropriation by Resolution No. 26508, New Series), to Butte Electric & Manufacturing Co., as final payment on installation of burglar alarm system in the offices of the Treasurer and Tax Collector (claim dated June 1, 1927).

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Roncovieri—3.

Appropriations, Extension of High Pressure System, Sixth Street; Sewer, Fleishhacker Playfield; Station Building, Etc., Airport, and Improvement of Howard Street.

Resolution No. 27373 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned funds for the following purposes, to-wit:

Extension of High Pressure System—Budget Item 75.

(1) For the raising of the high-pressure water mains in Sixth street between Folsom and Harrison streets, $2,983.37.

Extension and Reconstruction of Sewers—Budget Item No. 39.

(2) For the construction of sanitary sewer for the Fleishhacker Playfield, including inspection and extras; per award of contract to Louis J. Cohn, $3,400.

Municipal Airport—Budget Item No. 60.

(3) For construction of station building and equipment, Contract No. 3, San Francisco Municipal Airport; additional to enable final payment, $600.

County Road Fund.

(4) For the improvement of Howard street between Twenty-sixth and Army streets, assessment

against property of B. Struven; being additional to $1,800, $502.35.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Roncovieri—3.

Appropriation, $22,415.56, Paving Roadway From Colma to Cypress Lawn Cemetery.

Resolution No. 27374 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $22,415.56 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of the $150,000 heretofore set aside out of the County Road Fund by Resolution No. 25087 (New Series) to the California Highway Commission, State Highway Maintenance Fund, in order that they may let a contract for the paving of the entire roadway between Colma and Cypress Lawn Cemetery.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Roncovieri—3.

Oil and Boiler Permits.

Resolution No. 27375 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits be and are hereby granted:

Oil Tanks.

Fred Warden, north side of Cervantes boulevard, 200 feet west of Fillmore street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Mrs. Wooster, southwest corner of Baker and Jackson streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

C. E. Berry, northeast corner of Twenty-fourth and Douglass streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

B. Zimmerman, 290 Santa Clara avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

T. B. Strand, west side of Octavia street, 85 feet north of Bush street, 1500 gallons capacity.

V. Gullmes, west side of Broderick street, 140 feet south of Grove street, 1500 gallons capacity.

T. A. Belmont, west side of Thirty-first avenue, 130 feet north of Clement street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Canners Filtration Co., Inc., 436 Ninth street, 1500 gallons capacity.

A. J. Falvey, southeast corner of Lombard and Divisadero streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

Snow & Terranora, southwest corner of Broderick and Sutter streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

W. W. Hayes, southeast corner of Broadway and Laguna street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Hannah & Kolwes, north side of Turk street, 120 feet west of Webster street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Axel Johnson, west side of Broderick street, 25 feet south of Chestnut street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Emil Nelson, south side of Jersey street, 25 feet east of Church street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Louis Greenfield, northwest corner of Washington and Octavia streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

G. Castagno, 1440 Vallejo street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Chas. L. Heller, southeast corner of Divisadero and Jackson streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

Thos. Hamill, west side of Eighteenth avenue, 150 feet south of Geary street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Marcus Marcussen, southwest corner of Green and Larkin streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

R. J. Stempel, southeast corner of Broderick and Francisco streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

R. J. Stempel, south side of Jackson street, 87 feet west of Webster street, 1500 gallons capacity.

R. J. Stempel, southwest corner of Divisadero and Francisio streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

R. J. Woousiue, south side of West Portal avenue, 345 feet west of Vicente street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Stock, Maas & Sauer, south side of Washington street, 150 feet west of Van Ness avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

P. McNally, south side of Day street, 50 feet west of Dolores street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Boilers.

Mutual Stores, Inc., 3030 Twentieth street, 20 horsepower.

Canners Filtration Co., Inc., 436 Ninth street, 40 horsepower.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within sixth months, otherwise said permits shall become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Roncovieri—3.

Oil Tank Permits.

Resolution No. 27376 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits be and are hereby granted:

Oil Tanks.

Burnham Plumbing Company, west side of Capp street, 95 feet

north of Twentieth street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Louis Diebel, west side of Arguello boulevard, 150 feet north of Fulton street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Domestic Engineering Corporation, 720 Victoria street, 500 gallons capacity.

Domestic Engineering Corporation, south side of Lake street, 150 feet west of Thirtieth avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

Henry Ernst & Sons, 1520 California street, 1500 gallons capacity.

A. Girerd, 2200 Lyon street, 1500 gallons capacity.

H. C. Keenan, north side of Presidio Terrace, 75 feet west of Arguello boulevard, 1500 gallons capacity.

S. Lalanne, 3161 Washington street, 1500 gallons capacity.

A. T. Morris, east side of Twenty-seventh avenue, 125 feet north of Lake street, 750 gallons capacity.

Wm. A. Newsom, south side of Marina boulevard, 20 feet east of Avila way, 1500 gallons capacity.

Roman Catholic Archbishop, Alemany avenue between Francis street and Santa Rosa avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

T. Rulfs, 43 Presidio avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

William Van Herrick, north side of O'Farrell street, 112 feet west of Laguna street, 1500 gallons capacity.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits shall become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Roncovieri—3.

Blasting Permit.

Resolution No. 27377 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Granfield, Farrar & Carlin be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to explode blasts on property situate on the southeast corner of Sixteenth and Harrison streets, provided said permittee shall execute and file a good and sufficient bond in the sum of $10,000, as fixed by the Board of Public Works and approved by his Honor the Mayor, in accordance with Ordinance No. 1204 (New Series); provided, also, that said blasts shall be exploded only between the hours of 7 a. m. and 6 p. m., and that the work of blasting shall be performed to the satisfaction of the Board of Public Works, and that if any of the conditions of this resolution be violated by said Granfield, Farrar & Carlin, then the privileges and all rights accruing thereunder shall immediately become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Roncovieri—3.

Garage Permits.

Resolution No. 27378 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That L. M. Smith be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate a public garage on the north side of Fell street, 137 feet 6 inches east of Octavia street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Roncovieri—3.

Resolution No. 27379 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That W. R. Ballinger & Son be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate a public garage at 50 Hawthorne street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Roncovieri—3.

Resolution No. 27380 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That J. C. Berendsen be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate a public garage on the south side of Union street, 90 feet west of Gough street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Roncovieri—3.

Resolution No. 27381 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Wm. B. Findley be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred to him public garage permit heretofore granted Elliott & Heron by Resolution No. 18733 (New Series) for premises at 3556 Sacramento street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shal become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Roncovieri—3.

Resolution No. 27382 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Ralph Phillips be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred to him public garage permit heretofore granted by Resolution No. 23698 (New Series) to H. G. Bartels for premises on the south side of North Point street, 45 feet west of Larkin street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Roncovieri—3.

Resolution No. 27383 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Douglas Duggan be and is nereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred to him public garage permit heretofore granted Benjamin Schnier by Resolution No. 26517 (New Series) for premises on the south side of Cabrillo street, 55 feet west of Forty-seventh avenue.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Roncovieri—3.

Automobile Supply Station Permit.

Resolution No. 27384 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Douglas Duggan be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred to him automobile supply station permit heretofore granted Benjamin Schnier by Resolution No. 26518 (New Series) for premises on the southwest corner of Cabrillo street and Forty-seventh avenue.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington. Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Roncovieri—3.

Blasting Permits.

Resolution No. 27385 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That J. P. Holland, Inc., is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to explode blasts on property situate at Seneca and Delano streets, provided said permittee shall execute and file a good and sufficient bond in the sum of $2,500 as fixed by the Board of Public Works and approved by his Honor the Mayor, in accordance with Ordinance No. 1204; provided, also, that said blasts shall be exploded only between the hours of 7 a. m. and 6 p. m., and that the work of blasting shall be performed to the satisfaction and under the supervision of the Board of Public Works, and that if any of the conditions of this resolution be violated by the said J. P. Holland, Inc., then the privileges and all the rights accruing thereunder shall immediately become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Roncovieri—3.

Resolution No. 27386 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That J. P. Holland, Inc., is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to explode blasts on property situate at Burke avenue and Third street, provided said permittee shall execute and file a good and sufficient bond in the sum of $2,500

as fixed by the Board of Public Works and approved by his Honor the Mayor, in accordance with Ordinance No. 1204; provided, also that said blasts shall be exploded only between the hours of 7 a. m. and 6 p. m., and that the work of blasting shall be performed to the satisfaction and under the supervision of the Board of Public Works, and that if any of the conditions of this resolution be violated by the said J. P. Holland, Inc., then the privileges and all the rights accruing thereunder shall immediately become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Roncovieri—3.

Condemnation of Land Required for Hetch Hetchy Construction Purposes.

Resolution No. 27387 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That public interest and necessity demand the acquisition through eminent domain proceedings of the following described parcels of land situated in the counties of San Joaquin and Alameda, State of California, for the purposes hereinafter described, for the public use of the City and County of San Francisco, said lands being more particularly described as follows, to-wit:

Parcel 1. A portion of Sections 1 and 2, Township 4 South, Range 4 East, M. D. B. and M., described as follows:

Beginning at a point in the west line of said Section 1, distant along said line south 0 deg. 58½ min. east 250 feet from a 1-inuh iron rod set in the ground and assumed to mark the quarter section corner common to said Sections 1 and 2; thence from the point of beginning north 89 deg. 01½ min. east 150 feet; thence north 0 deg. 58½ min. west 600 feet; thence south 89 deg. 01½ min. west 150 feet, more or less, to a point in an existing fence line on or near the west line of said Section 1; thence north 0 deg. 58½ min. west along said fence line 900 feet; thence leaving said fence line south 89 deg. 01½ min. west 800 feet; thence south 0 deg. 58½ min. east 900 feet; thence north 89 deg. 01½ min. east 150 feet; thence south 0 deg. 58½ min. east 600 feet; thence north 89 deg. 01½ min. east 650 feet, more or lses, to the point of beginning.

Containing 27.6 acres, more or less.

Situated in San Joaquin County, California.

Parcel 2. All that portion of the northeast ¼ of Section 2, Township 4 South, Range 4 East, M. D. B. and M., included within a strip of land 60 feet in width, 30 feet each side of the following described surveyed center line:

Beginning at a point in the north line of Parcel 1 hereinabove described, distant along said line north 89 deg. 01½ min. east 218 feet from the northwest corner thereof; thence running as follows: North 27 deg. 37 min. west 130 feet; north 9 deg. 38 min. east 696.7 feet; north 14 deg. 08¼ min. east 595 feet, more or less, to a point in the north line of said Section 2.

The south end line of said 60-foot strip is a portion of the north line of Parcel 1 hereinabove described, and the north end line of said 60-foot strip is a portion of the north line of said Section 2.

Containing 2 acres, more or less.

Situated in San Joaquin County, California.

Parcel 3. All those portions of Sections 5 and 6, Township 4 South, Range 5 East, M. D. B. and M., and of Section 1, the east ½ of Section 2, Section 5 and the northwest ¼ of Section 6, Township 4 South, Range 4 East, M. D. B. and M., included within a strip of land 250 feet in width, 25 feet northerly and 225 feet southerly of the following described line:

Beginning at a concrete monument set in the ground in the east line of Section 32, Township 3 South, Range 5 East, M. D. B. and M., distant southerly along said line 3280 feet, more or less, from the northeast corner of said Section 32; thence from the point of beginning south 64 deg. 58 min. west 8500 feet, more or less, to a concrete monument set in the ground; thence south 77 deg. 47 min. west 13,686 feet; thence north 80 deg. 05 min. west 18,568 feet to a concrete monument set in the ground, which monument bears south 55 deg. 17 min. west 970.8 feet from the northeast corner of Section 6, Township 4 South, Range 4 East, M. D. B. and M.; thence south 76 deg. 07 min. west 5010 feet, more or less, to a point in the west line of said Section 6.

Excepting from Parcel 3 land included within Parcel 1 hereinabove described.

Containing 138 acres, more or less.

Situated in San Joaquin and Alameda counties, California.

In the above description it is assumed that an existing fence marks

the east line of said Section 32 and that an existing fence corner marks the northeast corner of said section.

In the above description of Parcels 1, 2 and 3 of the bearings of the courses are referred to the true meridian as determined at a point near the ¼ section corner in the south line of Section 36, Township 3 South, Range 5 East, M. D. B. and M.

The taking of said properties and of a fee title thereto is necessary for the construction, maintenance and operation by the City and County of San Francisco of a portion of the Hetch Hetchy aqueduct, now being constructed by the said City and County of San Francisco, and designed and intended to convey water from Lake Eleanor and the Tuolumne River and its tributaries to the said City and County of San Francisco for municipal and domestic purposes. That the taking of said properties is further necessary for the future proper development and control of said aqueduct and for the structures appurtenant thereto and used in connection therewith and for a protective area for said aqueduct. That the structures appurtenant to said right of way for said aqueduct are a permanent shaft leading from the surface of the ground to said aqueduct, and as a site for permanent houses and homes to be used and occupied by the persons constructing, operating and maintaining said aqueduct, and for permanent rights of way, water pipe lines, electric transmission lines, telephone lines, roads and pathways, all to be used in connection with the construction, maintenance and operation of said aqueduct and the appurtenances thereto.

The City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to commence eminent domain proceedings against the owners of said properties and of all interests therein or claims against or liens upon said properties, for the condemnation of said rights, interests, claims and liens to the public use of the City and County of San Francisco for the said purposes above specified.

This resolution shall be published in the official newspaper, with the ayes and noes, for at least five successive days, Sundays and legal holidays excepted, before the final action upon the same.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Roncovieri—3.

Condemnation Proceeding, J. Christoffersen et al., Land Required for Hetch Hetchy Construction.

Resolution No. 27388 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That public interest and necessity demand the acquisition, through eminent domain proceedings, of the following described parcels of land situated in the County of Alameda, State of California, belonging to Jensine Christoffersen and Carl M. Christoffersen, for the purposes hereinafter described, for the public use of the City and County of San Francisco, said lands being more particularly described as follows, to-wit:

Parcel 1. Beginning at a point in the north line of Section 6, Township 4 South, Range 4 East, M. D. B. and M., distant along said line north 88 deg. 35 min. west 1000 feet; thence north 88 deg. 35 min. west 850 feet along said north line; thence leaving said line and running as follows: South 37 deg. 50 min. east 1410 feet, south 61 deg. 35 min. west 315 feet, south 28 deg. 25 min. east 400 feet, north 61 deg. 35 min. east 710 feet, south 80 deg. 150 min. east 405 feet, more or less, to a point in the east line of said Section 6; thence northerly 410 feet along said east line to a point distant southerly 875 feet along said line from the northeast corner of said Section 6; thence north 80 deg. 50 min. west 310 feet; thence north 37 deg. 50 min. west 1085 feet, more or less, to the point of beginning.

Containing 29.6 acres, more or less, and being a portion of the northwest quarter of said Section 6.

Parcel 2. All that portion of the northwest quarter of Section 6, Township 4 South, Range 4 East, M. D. B. and M., included within a strip of land 250 feet in width, 25 feet northerly and 225 feet southerly of the following described line, which line will be the center line of the first aqueduct tunnel to be constructed by the City and County of San Francisco:

Beginning at a point in the east line of said Section 6, distant along said line southerly approximately 650 feet; thence north 80 deg. 50 min. west 770 feet; thence south 75 deg. 40 min. west 1920 feet, more or less, to a point in the west line of the northeast quarter of said Section 6, distant along said line southerly approximately 1050 feet from the quarter section corner

in the north line of said Section 6.

Excepting from said description land included within Parcel 1 hereinabove described.

Containing 11.1 acres, more or less.

Parcel 3. All that portion of the northeast quarter of Section 6, Township 4 South, Range 4 East, M. D. B. and M., included within a strip of land 20 feet in width, 10 feet each side of the following described surveyed center line:

Beginning at a point in the southeasterly boundary of Parcel 1 hereinabove described, distant along said boundary north 61 deg. 35 min. east 60 feet from the most southerly corner of said Parcel 1; thence running as follows: South 4 deg. 08 min. west 160 feet, south 15 deg. 37 min. east 323 feet, south 9 deg. 36 min. east 405 feet, south 3 deg. 07 min. east 175 feet, more or less, to a point in the south line of the northeast quarter of said Section 6, distant along said line westerly approximately 800 feet from the quarter section corner in the east line of said Section 6.

Containing 0.5 acre, more or less.

The taking of said properties and of a fee title thereto is necessary for the construction, maintenance and operation by the City and County of San Francisco of a portion of the Hetch Hetchy aqueduct, now being constructed by the said City and County of San Francisco, and designed and intended to convey water from Lake Eleanor and the Tuolumne River and its tributaries to the said City and County of San Francisco for municipal and domestic purposes. That the taking of said properties is further necessary for the future proper development and control of said aqueduct and for the structures appurtenant thereto and used in connection therewith and for a protective area for said aqueduct. That the structures appurtenant to said right of way for said aqueduct are a permanent shaft leading from the surface of the ground to said aqueduct, and as a site for permanent houses and homes to be used and occupied by the persons constructing, operating and maintaining said aqueduct, and for permanent rights of way, water pipe lines, electric transmission lines, telephone lines, roads and pathways, all to be used in connection with the construction, maintenance and operation of said aqueduct and the appurtenances thereto.

The City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to commence eminent domain proceedings against the owners of said properties and of all interests therein or claims against or liens upon said properties, for the condemnation of said rights, interests, claims and liens to the public use of the City and County of San Francisco for the said purposes above specified.

This resolution shall be published in the official newspaper, with the ayes and noes, for at least five successive days, Sundays and legal holidays excepted, before the final action upon the same.

Resolutions Nos. 27052 (New Series) and 27276 (New Series) are hereby repealed.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Roncovieri—3.

Condemnation of Land Required for Extension of McKinnon Avenue From Selby Street to Barneveld Avenue.

Resolution No. 27389 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, By the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, that public interest and necessity require the acquisition by the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, of the following described lands, situated in the City and County of San Francisco and any and all rights and claims thereto for street purposes, to-wit:

Beginning at a point on the easterly line of Barneveld avenue, distant thereon along said line northerly from the northeasterly line of Oakdale avenue 541.075 feet; thence running along said easterly line of Barneveld avenue a distance of 48.192 feet; thence at right angles easterly along the southerly line of Kossuth street (portions of which street were closed by Resolution No. 20603 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, approved December 22, 1922), to a point on the northeasterly line of proposed McKinnon avenue, a distance of 80.705 feet; thence deflecting 27 deg. 29 min. 10 sec. to the right from the preceding course and running northeasterly along the northeasterly line of proposed McKinnon avenue a distance of 66.840 feet; thence deflecting 62 deg. 30 min. 50 sec. to the right from the preceding course and running along the westerly line of Stringham street (portions of which were closed by the aforesaid mentioned Resolution No. 20603 (New Series), to a point on the south-

westerly line of proposed McKinnon avenue a distance of 90.179 feet; thence deflecting 117 deg. 29 min. 10 sec. to the right from the preceding course and running along the southwesterly line of proposed McKinnon avenue a distance of 78.907 feet; thence deflecting 62 deg. 30 min. 50 sec. to the right from the preceding course and running northerly a distance of 34.610 feet; thence deflecting at right angles to the left from the preceding course and running a distance of 66.524 feet; thence deflecting 27 deg. 29 min. 10 sec. to the right from the preceding course and running along the southwesterly line of proposed McKinnon avenue to the easterly line of Barneveld avenue a distance of 3.918 feet to the point of beginning. Being portion of Lots Nos. 1750, 1751, 1759, 1760, 1761, 1762 and 1763 of Gift Map No. 4, and also known as Lots Nos. 23, 24, 5, 4, 3, 2 and 1 of Assessor's Block No. 5571.

Resolved, That all the lands, rights and claims above described are declared to be suitable, adaptable, necessary and required for a public use by the City and County of San Francisco, to-wit:

The opening and extending of McKinnon avenue from Selby street to Barneveld avenue.

Further Resolved, That the City Attorney is hereby directed to forthwith institute in the Superior Court of the State of California, in and for the City and County of San Francisco, proceedings in eminent domain against the owner or owners of said lots, pieces or parcels of land, and any and all persons having or claiming to have an interest in the land hereinbefore described, for the purpose of acquiring title in fee simple to such described lands for the City and County of San Francisco for the uses herein set forth and to prosecute such proceedings to a speedy termination.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Roncovieri—3.

Condemnation of Land for Sewer Purposes.

Resolution No. 27390 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, that public interest and necessity require the acquisition by the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, of the following properties situated in the City and County of San Francisco, and more particularly described as follows, to-wit:

Parcel 1. Beginning at a point on the southerly line of Mangels avenue, distant thereon 275 feet westerly from the westerly line of Baden street, running thence westerly along the southerly line of Mangels avenue 25 feet; thence at right angles southerly 200 feet to the northerly line of Joost avenue; thence easterly along the northerly line of Joost avenue 25 feet; thence at right angles northerly 200 feet to the southerly line of Mangels avenue and the point of beginning.

Parcel 2. Beginning at a point on the southerly line of Joost avenue, distant thereon 200 feet westerly from the westerly line of Baden street, running thence westerly along the southerly line of Joost avenue 25 feet; thence at right angles southerly 200 feet to the northerly line of Monterey boulevard; thence easterly along the northerly line of Monterey boulevard 25 feet; thence at right angles northerly 200 feet to the southerly line of Joost avenue and the point of beginning.

Be it Further Resolved, That said properties are suitable, adaptable, necessary and required for the public use of said City and County of San Francisco, to-wit: For the extension of main sewers. It is necessary that a fee simple title be taken for such use. The City Attorney is hereby ordered and directed to commence proceedings in eminent domain against the owners of said tracts of land and of any and all interests therein or claims thereto for the condemnation thereof for the public use of said City and County of San Francisco as aforesaid.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Roncovieri—3.

Treasurer Authorized to Appoint Additional Deputy.

Bill No. 8047, Ordinance No. 7559 (New Series), as follows:

Authorizing the Treasurer of the City and County to appoint an additional deputy at an annual salary of $3,000.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. In accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, the Treasurer of the City and County is hereby authorized to appoint an additional dep-

uty, Treasurer's office, at an annual salary of $3,000.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Roncovieri—3.

Changing and Modifying Set-back Lines on Twenty-Frst Avenue.

Bill No. 8045, Ordinance No. 7560 (New Series), as follows:

Changing and modifying set-back lines along the west side of Twenty-first avenue between Taraval and Ulloa streets as established by Ordinance No. 6475 (New Series), and reestablishing such lines as changed and modified.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The set-back lines established by Ordinance No. 6475 (New Series) along both sides of Twenty-first avenue between Taraval and Ulloa streets are hereby changed and modified and reestablished as follows:

Along the easterly side of Twenty-first avenue between Taraval and Ulloa streets, said set-back line to be fifteen feet; along the westerly side of Twenty-first avenue, commencing thirty-three feet four inches southerly from Taraval street and extending to Ulloa street, said set-back line to be fifteen feet.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Roncovieri—3.

Underground District, Guerrero Street.

Bill No. 8046, Ordinance No. 7561 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Order No. 214 (Second Series), entitled "Providing for placing electric wires and conduits underground in the City and County of San Francisco," by adding a new section thereto to be known as Section 1-q.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. An additional district to those heretofore described within which it shall be unlawful to maintain poles and overhead wires after January 1, 1928, is hereby designated, to-wit:

Section 1-q. Underground District No. 22, Guerrero street from Nineteenth street to Valley street.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Roncovieri—3.

Ordering Street Work.

Bill No. 8048, Ordinance No. 7562 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors May 11, 1927, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in twenty installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be six months from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Keith street between Palou avenue and Quesada avenue by the construction of concrete curbs, by grading to official line and grade, by the construction of the necessary sidesewers and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a six-inch concrete foundation and a one and one-half inch asphaltic con-

crete wearing surface on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Roncovieri—3.

Bill No. 8049, Ordinance No. 7563 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors May 11, 1927, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in three installments, that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per cent per annum.

The improvement of the north half of Fulton street between Forty-fourth avenue and Forty-seventh avenue by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks six feet in width, where artificial stone sidewalks six feet or more in width have not already been constructed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Roncovieri—3.

Bill No. 8050, Ordinance No. 7564 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specification therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors May 11, 1927, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in twenty installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be six months from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of France avenue between Mission street and London street where not already improved, by the construction of concrete curbs, where not already constructed, and by the construction of a concrete pavement on the roadway thereof, where not already constructed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks,

McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Roncovieri—3.

Bill No. 8051, Ordinance No. 7565 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors May 11, 1927, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

The improvement of the east half of Ninth avenue between Lawton street and Moraga street, by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks to conform in width and grade to the surrounding improvements, where the existing walks have been disturbed or broken by expansion, contraction or other exigencies.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Roncovieri—3.

Fixing Sidewalk Widths on Alta Street and on Montgomery Street.

Bill No. 8052, Ordinance No. 7566 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto new sections to be numbered nine hundred and sixty-one and nine hundred and sixty-two.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Board of Public Works filed in this office May 10, 1927, by adding thereto new sections to be numbered nine hundred and sixty-one and nine hundred and sixty-two, to read as follows:

Section 961. The width of sidewalks on Alta street between Montgomery street and Sansome street shall be six (6) feet.

The width of sidewalks on Alta street between Montgomery street and its westerly termination are hereby dispensed with and abolished.

Section 962. The width of sidewalks on Montgomery street between Union and Greenwich streets shall be six (6) feet.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect and be in force from and after its passage.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Roncovieri—3.

Fixing Sidewalk Widths on Florence Street.

Bill No. 8053, Ordinance No. 7567 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered nine hundred and sixty-three.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Board of Public Works, filed in this office May 12, 1927, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered nine hundred and sixty-three, to read as follows:

Section 963. The width of sidewalks on Florence street between Broadway and Vallejo street shall be as shown on that certain map entitled "Map of Florence street between Broadway and Vallejo street," showing the location of street and curb lines and the width of sidewalks.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall

SAN FRANCISCO PUBLIC LIBRARY

take effect and be in force from and after its passage.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Roncovieri—3.

Full Acceptance, Certain Streets.

Bill No. 8054, Ordinance No. 7568 (New Series), as follows:

Providing for full acceptance of the roadway of Desmond street between Leland and Visitacion avenues, Forty-sixth avenue between Anza street and Sutro Heights avenue, Joost avenue between Congo and Detroit streets, Miraloma drive between Yerba Buena avenue and Portola drive, and the intersection of Miraloma drive and Juanita way, Munich street between Brazil and Excelsior avenues, Twentieth street between Carolina and De Haro streets, and the intersection of Twentieth and Carolina streets, Twenty-fifth street between Hoffman avenue and Homestead street, and the crossing of Twenty-fifth and Homestead streets.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The roadways of the following named streets, including the curbs on both sides thereof, having been constructed to the satisfaction of the Board of Public Works, and of the Board of Supervisors, are hereby fully accepted by the City and County of San Francisco (except those portions required by law to be kept in order by the railroad company having tracks thereon), in accordance with the provisions of Section 23, Chapter 2, Article VI of the Charter, said roadways having been paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs laid thereon, and are in good condition throughout, and have sewers, gas and water mains laid therein, to-wit:

Desmond street between Leland and Visitacion avenues, paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs laid thereon.

Forty-sixth avenue between Anza street and Sutro Heights avenue, paved with concrete and concrete curbs laid thereon.

Joost avenue between Congo and Detroit streets, paved with concrete and concrete curbs laid thereon.

Miraloma drive between Yerba Buena avenue and Portola drive, and the intersection of Miraloma drive and Juanita way, paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs laid thereon.

Munich street between Brazil and Excelsior avenues, paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs have been laid thereon.

Twentieth street between Carolina and De Haro streets, and the intersection of Twentieth and Carolina streets, paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs laid thereon.

Twenty-fifth street between Hoffman avenue and Homestead street, paved with concrete and concrete curbs laid thereon.

Crossing of Twenty-fifth and Homestead streets, paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs laid thereon.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Roncovieri—3.

Conditional Acceptance, Certain Streets.

Bill No. 8055, Ordinance No. 7569 (New Series), as follows:

Providing for conditional acceptance of the roadway of Carolina street between Twentieth and Twenty-second streets, Fourteenth avenue between Ulloa and Vicente streets, Italy avenue between London and Paris streets, Mangels avenue between Foerster and Genesee streets, Montana street between Capitol and Plymouth avenues, Ralston street between Garfield and Shields streets, Santiago street between Seventeenth and Eighteenth avenues, Santiago street between Eighteenth and Nineteenth avenues, Santiago street between Twenty-first and Twenty-second avenues, Twenty-fourth avenue between Vicente and Wawona streets, Thirty-third avenue between Judah and Kirkham streets, Twenty-ninth avenue between Judah and Kirkham streets.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The roadways of the following named streets, including the curbs on both sides thereof, having been constructed to the satisfaction of the Board of Public Works, and of the Board of Supervisors, are hereby conditionally accepted by the City and County of San Francisco (except those portions required by law to be kept in order by the railroad company having tracks thereon), in accordance with the provisions of Section 23, Chapter 2, Article VI, of the

Charter, said roadways having been paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs laid thereon, and are in good condition throughout, to-wit:

Carolina street between Twentieth and Twenty-second streets, paved with concrete and concrete curbs laid thereon, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Fourteenth avenue between Ulloa and Vicente streets, paved with asphaltic concrete and a 14-foot central strip of concrete and concrete curbs laid thereon, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Italy avenue between London and Paris streets, paved with concrete and concrete curbs laid thereon, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Mangels avenue between Foerster and Genesee streets, paved with concrete and concrete curbs laid thereon, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Montana street between Capitol and Plymouth avenues, paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs laid thereon, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Ralston street between Garfield and Shields streets, paved with concrete and concrete curbs laid thereon, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Santiago street between Seventeenth and Eighteenth avenues, paved with asphaltic concrete with a 14-foot central strip of concrete pavement, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Santiago street between Eighteenth and Nineteenth avenues, paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs laid thereon, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Santiago street between Twenty-first and Twenty-second avenues, paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs laid thereon, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Twenty-fourth avenue between Vicente and Wawona streets, paved with concrete and concrete curbs laid thereon, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Thirty-third avenue between Judah and Kirkham streets, paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs laid thereon, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Twenty-ninth avenue between Judah and Kirkham streets, paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs laid thereon, sewers gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Roncovieri—3.

Bill No. 8056, Ordinance No. 7570 (New Series) as follows:

Providing for conditional acceptance of the roadway of Balceta avenue between Vasquez avenue and Laguna Honda boulevard, and between Laguna Honda boulevard and Woodside avenue; Brussels street between Wilde avenue and Harkness street and between Harkness street and Ward street; Carroll avenue between Phelps and Quint streets; Douglass street between the northerly line of Twentieth street and the southerly line of Twenty-first street, including the crossings of Twentieth and Twenty-first streets, and the intersections of Romain and Corwin streets with Douglass street; Fifteenth avenue between Ortega street and Mount lane; Fortieth avenue between Judah and Kirkham streets; Forty-fifth avenue between Vicente and Wawona streets; Forty-second avenue between Taraval and Ulloa streets; Forty-seventh avenue between Santiago and Taraval streets; Hawes street between Revere and Shafter avenues; Hernandez avenue between Laguna Honda boulevard and Woodside avenue, and between Vasquez avenue and Laguna Honda boulevard; Howard street between Twenty-sixth and Army streets; Ingalls street between Shafter and Thomas avenues; Ingalls street between Quesada and Revere avenues; Ingalls avenue between Revere and Shafter avenues; Jennings street between Revere and Shafter avenues; Jennings street between Quesada and Revere avenues; Jennings street between Shafter and Thomas avenues; Jennings street between Thomas and Underwood avenues; Keith street between Quesada and Revere avenues; Keith street between Shafter and Thomas avenues;

Kirkham street between Twenty-seventh and Twenty-eighth avenues; Lawton street between Twenty-seventh and Twenty-eighth avenues; Laguna Honda boulevard between the southerly line of Vasquez avenue and Portola drive, including the crossings of Balceta avenue, Hernandez avenue, Idora avenue, Ulloa street, Rockaway avenue and the intersection of Vasquez avenue; Mendell street between Galvez and Hudson avenues; Pacheco street between Eighteenth and Nineteenth avenues; Santiago street between Twentieth and Twenty-first avenues; Santiago street between Twenty-fourth and Twenty-fifth avenues; Thirty-ninth avenue between Judah and Kirkham streets; Thornton avenue between the westerly line of Ceres street and Latona street, including the intersections of Ceres street, Pomona street and Lucy street with Thornton avenue; Twenty-fifth avenue between Kirkham and Lawton streets; Twenty-fifth street between Hoffman avenue and Fountain street, including the crossing of Twenty-fifth street and Hoffman avenue; Twenty-first avenue between Pacheco and Quintara streets; Twenty-first avenue between Vicente and Wawona streets; the crossings of Twenty-first avenue and Vicente street, and Twenty-first avenue and Wawona street; Wawona street between Twentieth and Twenty-first avenues; crossing of Twentieth avenue and Wawona street; Twenty-fourth avenue between Santiago and Taraval streets, Twenty-fourth avenue between Moraga and Noriega streets; Ulloa street between Thirty-seventh and Thirty-eighth avenues; Vasquez avenue between Laguna Honda boulevard and Woodside avenue; crossing of Hawes street and Shafter avenue; crossing of Thirty-seventh avenue and Ulloa street; crossing of Twenty-first avenue and Noriega street; crossing of Twenty-first avenue and Santiago street; crossing of Twenty-fourth avenue and Kirkham street; crossing of Twenty-fourth avenue and Santiago street; crossing of Twenty-seventh avenue and Kirkham street, and the crossing of Twenty-seventh avenue and Lawton street.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The roadways of the following named streets, including the curbs on both sides thereof, having been constructed to the satisfaction of the Board of Public Works and of the Board of Supervisors, are hereby conditionally accepted by the City and County of San Francisco (except those portions required by law to be kept in order by the railroad company having tracks thereon), in accordance with the provisions of Section 23, Chapter 2, Article VI of the Charter, said roadways having been paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs laid thereon, and are in good condition throughout, to-wit:

Balceta avenue between Vasquez avenue and Laguna Honda boulevard, and between Laguna Honda boulevard and Woodside avenue, sewer and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Brussels street between Wilde avenue and Harkness street, and between Harkness street and Ward street, sewer and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Carroll avenue between Phelps and Quint streets, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Douglass street between the northerly line of Twentieth street and the southerly line of Twenty-first street, including the crossings of Twentieth street and Twenty-first street, and the intersections of Romain street and Corwin street with Douglass street, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Fifteenth avenue between Ortega street and Mount lane, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Fortieth avenue between Judah and Kirkham streets, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Forty-fifth avenue between Vicente and Wawona streets, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Forty-second avenue between Taraval and Ulloa streets, sewer and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Forty-seventh avenue between Santiago and Taraval streets, sewer and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Hawes street between Revere and Shafter avenues, sewer and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Hernandez avenue between Laguna Honda boulevard and Wood-

side avenue, and between Vasquez avenue and Laguna Honda boulevard, sewer and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Howard street between Twenty-sixth and Army streets, sewer and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Ingalls street between Shafter and Thomas avenues, sewer and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Ingalls street between Quesada and Revere avenues, sewer and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Ingalls street between Revere and Shafter avenues, sewer and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Jennings street between Revere and Shafter avenues, sewer and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Jennings street between Quesada and Revere avenues, sewer and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Jennings street between Shafter and Thomas avenues, sewer and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Jennings street between Thomas and Underwood avenues, sewer and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Keith street between Quesada and Revere avenues, sewer and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Keith street between Shafter and Thomas avenues, sewer and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein

Kirkham street between Twenty-seventh and Twenty-eighth avenues, sewer and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Lawton street between Twenty-seventh and Twenty-eighth avenues, sewer and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Laguna Honda boulevard between the southerly line of Vasquez avenue and Portola Drive, including the crossings of Balceta avenue, Hernandez avenue, Idora avenue, Ulloa street, Rockaway avenue, and the intersection of Vasquez avenue, sewer and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Mendell street between Galvez and Hudson avenues, sewer and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Pacheco street between Eighteenth and Nineteenth avenues, sewer and gas mains have been laid therein no water mains have been laid therein.

Santiago street between Twentieth and Twenty-first avenues, sewer and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Santiago street between Twenty-fourth and Twenty-fifth avenues, sewer and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Thirty-ninth avenue between Judah and Kirkham streets, sewer and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Thornton avenue between the westerly line of Ceres street and Latona street, including the intersections of Ceres street, Pomona street and Lucy street with Thornton avenue, sewer and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Twenty-fifth avenue between Kirkham and Lawton streets, sewer and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Twenty-fifth street between Hoffman avenue and Fountain street, including the crossing of Twenty-fifth street and Hoffman avenue, sewer and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Twenty-first avenue between Pacheco and Quintara streets, sewer and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Twenty-first avenue between Vicente and Wawona streets, including the crossings of Twenty-first avenue and Vicente street and Twenty-first avenue and Wawona street, sewer and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Wawona street between Twentieth and Twenty-first avenues, including the crossing of Twentieth avenue and Wawona street, sewer and gas mains have been laid therein no water mains have been laid therein.

Twenty-fourth avenue between Santiago and Taraval streets, sewer and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Twenty-fourth avenue between Moraga and Noriega streets, sewer and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Ulloa street between Thirty-seventh and Thirty-eighth avenues, sewer and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Vasquez avenue between Laguna Honda boulevard and Woodside avenue, sewer and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Crossing of Hawes street and Shafter avenue, sewer and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Crossing of Thirty-seventh avenue and Ulloa street, sewer and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Crossing of Twenty-first avenue and Noriega street, sewer and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Crossing of Twenty-first avenue and Santiago street, sewer and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Crossing of Twenty-fourth avenue and Kirkham street, sewer and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Crossing of Twenty-fourth avenue and Santiago street, sewer and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Crossing of Twenty-seventh avenue and Kirkham street, sewer and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Crossing of Twenty-seventh avenue and Lawton street, sewer and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Roncovieri—3.

Fixing Sidewalk Widths on Funston Avenue.

Bill No. 8057, Ordinance No. 7571 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by amending section seven hundred and thirty-nine thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Board of Public Works, filed in this office May 17, 1927, by amending section seven hundred and thirty-nine thereof, to read as follows:

Section 739. The width of sidewalks on Funston avenue 55 feet southerly from and 240 feet northerly from Santiago street shall be as shown on that certain map entitled "Map of Funston avenue 55 feet southerly from to 240 feet northerly from Santiago street," showing the location of street and curb lines and the width of sidewalks.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect and be in force from and after its passage.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Roncovieri—3.

Fixing Sidewalk Widths on Santiago Street Between Fourteenth Avenue and Its Easterly Termination.

Bill No. 8058, Ordinance No. 7572 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by amending section seven hundred and eighty-nine thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 19, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Board of Public Works, filed in this office May 17, 1927, by amending section seven hundred and eighty-nine thereof, to read as follows:

Section 789. The width of sidewalks on Santiago street between

Fourteenth avenue and its easterly termination shall be as shown on that certain map entitled "Map of Santiago street between Fourteenth avenue and its easterly termination," showing the location of street and curb lines and the width of sidewalks.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect and be in force from and after its passage.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Roncovieri—3.

Fixing Sidewalk Widths on Twelfth Avenue, North of Santiago Street.

Bill No. 8059, Ordinance No. 7573 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," aproved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered nine hundred and sixty-four.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Board of Public Works, filed in this office May 17, 1927, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered nine hundred and sixty-four, to read as follows:

Section 964. The width of sidewalks on Twelfth avenue 100 feet southerly from to 200 feet northerly from Santiago street shall be as shown on that certain map entitled "Map of Twelfth avenue 100 feet southerly from to 200 feet northerly from Santiago street," showing the location of street and curb lines and the width of sidewalks.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change in walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect and be in force from and after its passage.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Roncovieri—3.

Plans, Etc., for the Improvement of Bay Street Between Laguna and Fillmore Streets.

Bill No. 8060, Ordinance No. 7574 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the preparation of plans and specifications for the improvement of Bay street between Laguna and Fillmore streets and authorizing and directing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for said improvement, the cost of said improvement to be paid out of Budget 1926-1927, Item No. 115, and permitting progressive payments to be made during the progress of said improvement.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized, instructed and empowered to prepare plans and specifications for and enter into contract for the improvement of Bay street between Laguna and Fillmore streets in accordance with the plans and specifications so prepared therefor.

The cost of said improvement of Bay street between Laguna and Fillmore streets to be paid out of Budget 1926-1927, Item No. 115.

Section 2. The said Board of Public Works is hereby authorized and permitted to incorporate in the contract for the improvement of Bay street between Laguna and Fillmore streets, conditions that progressive payments shall be made in the manner set forth in the said specifications and as provided by Section 21, Chapter I, Article VI of the Charter.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Roncovieri—3.

Spur Track Permit, Southern Pacific Company.

Bill No. 8061, Ordinance No. 7575 (New Series), as follows:

Granting permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to Southern Pacific Company, a corporation, to construct, maintain and operate a railroad track in, along and across a portion of Harrison street in the location hereinafter described.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, is hereby granted to Southern Pacific Company, a corporation, to

construct, maintain and operate a railroad track in, along and across a portion of Harrison street, the center line of which is particularly described as follows:

Beginning at a point in the existing side track on Harrison street, said point being distant 125 feet southerly from the southerly line of Sixteenth street and 27.25 feet easterly from the westerly line of Harrison street produced; thence in a southwestery direction through a No. 6 turnout to the right for a distance of 118 feet to a point; thence on a curve concave to the left having a radius of 286.84 feet for a distance of 52 feet, more or less, to a point; thence southerly on a tangent and parallel to the westerly line of Harrison street and distant 8.5 feet easterly from said westerly line of Harrison street for a distance of 86 feet, more or less, to end of spur.

Said permission is granted subject to the provisions of Ordinance No. 69 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors, approved October 12, 1906, and the provisions and conditions of Section 8 thereof are hereby specifically contained in the permit hereby granted and shall be construed as a part hereof as completely as though the same were written in this ordinance.

Provided, that all trackage within be laid under the supervision and to the lines and grades as furnished by the City Engineer's office, and that any and all expense connected with the installation of the track, restoration of the pavement and any additional requirements for the surface drainage is to be paid by the Southern Pacific Company; provided, that Southern Pacific Company shall erect and maintain all-night lighted arc lamps to be placed where directed by the Lighting Committee of the Board of Supervisors.

Provided, that all trackage within the street area be constructed with girder rails.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Roncovieri—3.

Spur Track Permit, Western Pacific Railroad Company.

Bill No. 8062, Ordinance No. 7576 (New Series), as follows:

Granting permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to The Western Pacific Railroad Company, to construct, maintain and operate four spur tracks across De Haro street between Seventeenth and Mariposa streets, as hereinafter described.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, is hereby granted to The Western Pacific Railroad Company to construct, maintain and operate four spur tracks as follows:

Spur No. 1. Beginning at a point in the existing side track of the applicant, approximately 215 feet south of the south line of Seventeenth street and approximately 106 feet east of the east line of De Haro street; thence northwesterly along a turnout to the left approximately 80 feet; thence northwesterly in a straight line approximately 82 feet to a point 85 feet south of the south line of said Seventeenth street and 10 feet east of the east line of said De Haro street; thence northwesterly along the arc of a curve to the left with a radius of 191.5 feet, a distance of approximately 176 feet, intersecting the east line of said De Haro street approximately 73 feet southerly from the southerly line of Seventeenth street, and intersecting the west line of said De Haro street approximately 20 feet southerly from the south line of said Seventeenth street; thence westerly parallel with and 10.42 feet southerly from the south line of said Seventeenth street a distance of approximately 138 feet to the east line of Rhode Island street.

Spur No. 2. Beginning at a point in the center line of the above described Spur Track No. 1, approximately 142 feet south from the south line of Seventeenth street and approximately 54 feet east from the east line of De Haro street; thence northwesterly along a turnout to the left approximately 67 feet; thence continuing northwesterly along the arc of a curve to the left having a radius of 220.8 feet, a distance of approximately 170 feet, intersecting the east line of said De Haro street approximately 84 feet southerly from the south line of said Seventeenth street and intersecting the west line of said De Haro street approximately 39 feet southerly from the south line of said Seventeenth street; thence westerly, parallel with and 29.42 feet southerly from the south line of said Seventeenth street, a distance of approximately 135 feet to the east line of Rhode Island street.

Spur No. 3. Beginning at a point on the west line of Carolina street

in the center line of the existing side track of the applicant, approximately 28 feet north of the north line of Mariposa street; thence northwesterly along a turnout to the left approximately 60 feet; thence northwesterly in a straight line approximately 88 feet to a point 257 feet south of the south line of Seventeenth street and 107 feet east of the east line of De Haro street; thence northwesterly along the arc of a curve to the left with a radius of 180 feet, a distance of approximately 153 feet, intersecting the east line of De Haro street approximately 197 feet southerly from the south line of said Seventeenth street; thence westerly, parallel with and 194.71 feet southerly from the south line of said Seventeenth street, a distance of approximately 252 feet to the east line of Rhode Island street, intersecting the west line of De Haro street 194.71 feet southerly from the south line of said Seventeenth street.

Spur No. 4. Beginning at a point in the center line of the above described Spur Track No. 3 approximately 294 feet south of the south line of Seventeenth street and approximately 138 feet east of the east line of De Haro street; thence northwesterly along a turnout to the left approximately 60 feet; thence northwesterly along the arc of a curve to the left having a radius of 229.6 feet, a distance of approximately 137 feet, intersecting the east line of said De Haro street approximately 216 feet southerly from the south line of said Seventeenth street; thence westerly, parallel with and 213.71 feet southerly from the south line of said Seventeenth street, a distance of approximately 244 feet to the east line of Rhode Island street, intersecting the west line of said De Haro street 213.71 feet southerly from the south line of said Seventeenth street.

Said permission is granted subject to the provisions of Ordinance No. 69 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors, approved October 12, 1906, and the provisions and conditions of Section 8 thereof are hereby specifically contained in the permit hereby granted and shall be construed as a part thereof as complete as though the same were written in this ordinance.

Provided, that said spur track shall be laid under the supervision and to the lines and grades as furnished by the City Engineer's office, and that any and all expenses connected with the installation of the track, restoration of the pavement and any additional requirements for the surface drainage be paid for by The Western Pacific Railroad Company.

Provided, that The Western Pacific Railroad Company shall erect and maintain all-night lighted arc lamps to be placed where directed by the Lighting Committee of the Board of Supervisors.

Provided, that all trackage within the street area be constructed with girder rails.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Roncovieri—3.

Action Deferred.

The following matter, heretofore passed for printing, was taken up, *laid over one week*, and, on motion of Supervisors Schmidt, the Clerk was directed to obtain written opinion of the City Attorney as to legality of the appropriation, to-wit:

Appropriation, $2,500, Referendum Petition Expenses, Reapportionment Bill.

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $2,500 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of General Fund, 1926-1927, for expense in connection with securing signatures for referendum petition against act reapportioning legislative districts in the State of California, which act reduces the senatorial legislative representatives in certain counties, including San Francisco.

PRESENTATION OF BILLS AND ACCOUNTS.

Demands not required by law to be passed to print, and amounting to $58,209.74, were allowed and ordered paid by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Roncovieri—3.

Urgent Necessity.

Edna Nelson et al., compensation insurance, $83.32.

Spring Valley Water Co., water for horse troughs, $56.15.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks,

McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Roncovieri—3.

NEW BUSINESS.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Authorizations.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

Park Fund.

(1) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., gas and electric service for parks (claim dated June 10, 1927). $1,019.52.

(2) Montague Pipe and Steel Co., pipe casing for well (claim dated June 10, 1927), $1,636.26.

Municipal Railway Compensation Insurance Fund.

(3) San Francisco City Employees Retirement System, for pensions, etc., Municipal Railway employees (claim dated June 3, 1927), $1,085.79.

Hetch Hetchy Power Operative Fund.

(4) Atlas Rock Co., gravel and sand for Mocassin Power House (claim dated June 2, 1927), $932.11.

(5) Hales & Symons, Inc., lumber for Mocassin Power House (claim dated June 1, 1927), $986.40.

Hetch Hetchy Bonds, Issue 1925.

(6) Bald Eagle Meat Market, meats, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated June 2, 1927), $1,983.73.

(7) Ingersoll-Rand Co. of California, one portable gasoline engine driven compressor unit, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated June 2, 1927), $3,705.57.

(8) E. H. Jasper and Edwin T. Jasper, oak chunk wood, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated June 2, 1927), $968.13.

(9) A. Levy and J. Zentner Co., fruits and vegetables, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated June 2, 1927), $530.10.

(10) William H. Rushing, oak stove wood, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated June 2, 1927), $2,005.63.

(11) Haas Brothers, groceries, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated June 7, 1927), $692.04.

(12) Hill & Morton, Inc., lumber, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated June 7, 1927), $1,656.55.

(13) Marion Steam Shovel Co., shovel parts, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated June 7, 1927), $611.43.

(14) J. F. Mitchell Machine and Gear Works, gears, bearings, etc., Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated June 7, 1927), $681.25.

(15) Pacific Electric Manufacturing Co., electrical parts, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated June 7, 1927), $1,281.67.

(16) Safety Cable Company, armored cable for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated June 7, 1927), $3,115.

(17) Western Pipe and Steel Co., air pipe, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated June 7, 1927), $1,830.20.

Special School Tax.

(18) E. K. Nelson, sixth payment, general construction of addition to the Madison School (claim dated June 7, 1927), $10,935.57.

School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923.

(19) John Reid, Jr., seventh payment, architectural services for Mission (Everett) Jr. High School (claim dated June 8, 1927), $1,225.19.

(20) Anderson & Ringrose, fourth payment, construction of Hearst-Moulder School (claim dated June 8, 1927), $28,940.47.

(21) Robert W. Hunt Co., inspection of structural steel, Mission (Everett) Jr. High School (claim dated June 8, 1927). $551.84.

(22) Frigidaire Corporation, refrigerator for Mission High School (claim dated June 8, 1927). $884.30.

School Bonds, Issue 1918.

(23) H. S. Crocker Co. Inc., desks, Mission High School (claim dated June 7, 1927), $2,439.20.

(24) Heywood-Wakefield Co., chair desks, Mission High School (claim dated June 7, 1927), $12,413.10.

(25) H. Schulte & Son, teachers' tables, Mission High School (claim dated June 7, 1927), $578.55.

Relief Home Bonds, Issue 1923.

(26) Clinton Construction Co., extra work, general construction of new Relief Home (claim dated June 7, 1927), $1,078.

(27) Frederick W. Snook Co., extra work, plumbing for Relief Home (claim dated June 8, 1927), $706.47.

Municipal Railway Accident Reserve Fund.

(28) E. Edward Westbrook and Anna Westbrook, in full settlement account of accident sustained by Anna Westbrook (claim dated Jan. 8, 1927), $2,000.

County Road Fund.

(29) Pearson & Johnson, moving Charing Cross Kindergarten, required for improvement of Turk street, Masonic avenue to Willard street (claim dated June 8, 1927), $855.

(30) Municipal Construction Co., in full payment for improvement of westerly portion of Great Highway from Taraval street to Ulloa street (claim dated June 8, 1927), $4,558.

Playground Fund.

(31) Klawans & McMullin, recreational supplies for playgrounds (claim dated June 8, 1927) $513.50.

(32) Spring Valley Water Co., water service for playgrounds (claim dated June 8, 1927), $1,407.66.

General Fund, 1926-1927.

(33) Sonoma State Home, maintenance of feeble-minded for May (claim dated June 13, 1927), $9,585.

(34) The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company, printing Law-Motion-Trial Calendar, etc., for May (claim dated June 13, 1927), $1,075.

(35) A. P. Jacobs, rent of premises No. 333 Kearny street, month ending July 3, 1927, $1,186.

(36) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., street lighting for month of May (claim dated June 13, 1927), $53,973.59.

(37) McCarthy Bros., coffee, S. F. Hospital (claim dated June 9, 1927), $590.

(38) Sherry Bros., butter, S. F. Hospital (claim dated June 9, 1927), $1,621.

(39) Herbert F. Dugan, drug sundries, S. F. Hospital (claim dated June 9, 1927), $548.50.

(40) Leighton-Jellett Co., towels, etc., S. F. Hospital (claim dated June 9, 1927), $821.58.

(41) Pendelton Woolen Mills, blankets, S. F. Hospital (claim dated June 9, 1927), $2,691.

(42) Spring Valley Water Co., water for S. F. Hospital (claim dated June 9, 1927), $1,720.53.

(43) Associated Charities, food relief for March (claim dated June 9, 1927), $3,754.97.

(44) Associated Charities, food relief for April (claim dated June 9, 1927), $2,271.10.

(45) Leighton-Jellett Co., towels for Relief Home (claim dated June 9, 1927), $558.50.

(46) California Meat Co., meat for Relief Home (claim dated June 9, 1927), $2,580.37.

(47) California Petroleum Corporation, fuel oil, Relief Home (claim dated June 9, 1927), $1,858.98.

(48) J. T. Freitas Co., eggs, Relief Home (claim dated June 9, 1927), $1,300.74.

(49) Lesser Bros. Co., meat, Relief Home (claim dated June 9, 1927), $1,020.34.

(50) C. Nauman & Co., potatoes, Relief Home (claim dated June 9, 1927), $1.180.63.

(51) San Francisco Dairy Co., milk, Relief Home (claim dated June 9, 1927), $2,348.64.

(52) Sherry Bros. Inc., butter, Relief Home (claim dated June 9, 1927), $1,574.40.

(53) Buckley & Curtin, for department (claim dated June 13, 1927), $539.60.

(54) Phillips & Van Orden Co., election tally lists (claim dated June 9, 1927), $3,393.

(55) California Printing Co., printing index of names, Department of Elections (claim dated June 9, 1927), $3,130.44.

(56) Neal, Stratford & Kerr, stationery for Department of Elections (claim dated June 9, 1927), $742.

(57) Associated Charities, widows' pensions (claim dated June 10, 1927), $8,655.15.

(58) Eureka Benevolent Society, widows' pensions (claim dated June 10, 1927), $1,025.

(59) Little Children's Aid, widows' pensions (claim dated June 10, 1927), $8,853.54.

(60) C. B. Eaton, City's portion, construction of retaining walls on Virginia avenue (claim dated June 8, 1927), $705.03.

(61) Enterprise Foundry Company, catchbasin frames, etc., for sewer repairs (claim dated June 4, 1927), $961.20.

(62) Westinghouse Electric and Manufacturing Company, motor generator for Municipal Airport (claim dated June 4, 1927), $4,400.

(63) Symon Brothers, team hire for street cleaning (claim dated June 8, 1927), $770.50.

(64) E. C. Moran, construction of outlet sewer in Sunnydale avenue (claim dated June 8, 1927), $4,317.

(65) San Francisco Bulletin, official advertising (claim dated June 13, 1927), $2,611.86.

(66) James B. McSheehy, for expense of committee authorized and directed by the Board of Supervisors to appear before the Secretary of War at Washington, D. C., in the matter of securing approval of site for the construction of a bridge across San Francisco Bay to connect San Francisco and Alameda counties (claim dated June 13, 1927), $7,500.

(67) John J. O'Toole, for expenses to Washington, D. C., in matter of bridge hearing before the War De-

partment (claim dated June 10, 1927), $600.

Playground Fund.

(68) Tilden Lumber and Mill Company, lumber for playgrounds (claim dated June 1, 1927), $627.59.

General Fund, 1926-1927.

(69) National Surety Company, premium on depositor's forgery bond, for account of Treasurer of the City and County, for period April 5, 1927, to April 5, 1930 (claim dated April 13, 1927), $1,275.

(*Supervisor Powers requested that he be recorded as voting "no" on* 35.)

Appropriations for Busses Furnished Municipal Railway for Use on The Embarcadero.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of Municipal Railway Depreciation Fund in payment to the following named claimants for busses furnished the Municipal Railway for use on The Embarcadero, to-wit:

(1) Mack International Motor Truck Corporation, $16,395.74.

(2) Fageol Motors Company, $16,395.74.

(3) The White Company, $16,395.74.

Appropriation, $49,187.22, for Six Passenger Busses for The Embarcadero.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $49,187.22 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of Municipal Railway Depreciation Fund for the purchase of six passenger busses for use on The Embarcadero by the Municipal Railways.

Appropriation, $1,420.18, Payment to J. P. Holland for Construction of Facing to Bluff at Sutro Heights.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $1,420.18 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of Urgent Necessity, Budget Item No. 26, and authorized in payment to J. P. Holland for work performed in construction of facing to bluff at Sutro Heights adjacent to highway and overlooking the ocean beach.

Appropriations, Construction, Etc., Door and Window Shades, Francis Scott Key School.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 27391 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter named funds for the following purposes, to-wit:

School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923.

(1) For cost of door and window shades for the Francis Scott Key School addition, $398.50.

Special School Tax.

(2) For construction of additions to the Francis Scott Key School, additional to cover deficit in building fund, $332.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Roncovieri—3.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Appropriations, Repair Motor, Islais Creek Bridge, Installation of New Elevator, Forest Hill Station, Twin Peaks Tunnel.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter named funds for the following purposes, to-wit:

Repair and Painting of Bridges, Budget Item No. 38.

(1) For repair of existing motor and the installation of new motor at the Islais Creek bridge, Third street, $950.

Municipal Railway Depreciation Fund.

(2) For the installing of a new elevator in one of the two remaining shafts at the Forest Hill Station of the Municipal Railways, Twin Peaks tunnel, $9,000.

Appropriations, $16,225, for Additional Circuits, Traffic Signs, Safety Zone and Equipment Required by Traffic Bureau.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of "Traffic Signals, etc.," Budget Item No. 69, for the following purposes in connection with traffic regulation, to-wit:

For the purchase of material and

the construction and installation of additional circuits by the Department of Electricity of the Wiley traffic signal, required for street traffic control, $10,000.

For the purchase of traffic signs, to be erected under the direction of the Traffic Bureau of the Police Department, $2,000.

For the installing of safety zones, $2,125.

For the purchase of auto truck for use of painter engaged in painting markers at safety zones, $900.

For purchase of traffic zone buttons, $500.

For painting of buttons in zones, $700.

Total, $16,225.

Passed for printing by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—12.

No—Supervisor Byington—1.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Havenner—3.

Excused from Voting—Supervisors Gallagher, Marks—2.

Clerk to Advertise for Bids for Bonds.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 27392 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Clerk be directed to advertise that on the 11th day of July, 1927, the Board of Supervisors will receive sealed proposals for the purchase of the following bonds of the City and County of San Francisco:

One million dollars of School Bonds, Issue of March 1, 1923, comprising 25 bonds of $1,000 denomination maturing each year from 1928 to 1967, inclusive.

Two million dollars Hetch Hetchy Water Bonds, Issue of January 1, 1925, comprising 50 bonds of $1,000 denomination maturing each year from 1930 to 1969, inclusive.

The Finance Committee shall fix the terms and conditions of sale.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—12.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher, Hayden, Marks, Roncovieri—6.

Accepting Offer of John W. Gainey et al. to Sell Certain Property Required by the City and County.

Also, Resolution No. 27393 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the owners of the following described lands sought to be acquired by the City and County of San Francisco for park purposes have offered to convey the property desired by the City and County of San Francisco for the sums set forth opposite their names, viz.:

John W. Gainey, $350—Lots 40 and 41, in Block 6241, as per the Assessor's Block Book of the City and County of San Francisco; also,

Walter A. Granicher et al., $450—Lots 27, 36, 37, 34 and 35, as per the Assessor's Block Book of the City and County of San Francisco; also,

Elizabeth A. McDonald, $600—Lots 1 and 2, as per Assessor's Block Book of the City and County of San Francisco; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the said offers of sale be accepted and the City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to examine the title of said properties, and, if the same is found in satisfactory condition, to accept, in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, deeds conveying title thereto, and file the same for record with a copy of this resolution attached thereto as evidence of acceptance by the City and County of San Francisco upon payment of the agreed purchase price.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Roncovieri—3.

Accepting Offer of E. P. Blagrove to Sell for $1,075 Certain Property Required for Park Purposes.

Also, Resolution No. 27394 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, E. P. Blagrove, owner of certain land with improvements thereon, sought to be acquired by the City and County of San Francisco for park purposes, has offered to convey the said property desired by the City and County of San Francisco, which property is described as being Lot No. 4, in Block No. 6264, on Assessor's Block Book of the City and County of San Francisco, for the sum of $1,075; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the said offer of sale be accepted and the City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to examine the title of said property, and, if the same is found in satisfactory condition, to accept, in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, a deed conveying title thereto, and file the same for record with a copy of this resolution attached thereto as evidence of acceptance by the City and County of San Francisco upon payment of the agreed purchase price, and the deed to said property is hereby accepted.

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Roncovieri—3.

Accepting Offer of M. H. Dignan to Sell for $2,347 Certain Property Required for Park Purposes.

Also, Resolution No. 27395 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, M. H. Dignan, owner of the following described lands, sought to be acquired by the City and County of San Francisco for park purposes, has offered to convey the certain property desired by the City and County of San Francisco, which property is described as being Lots Nos. 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13 and 14, in Block No. 6263, on Assessor's Block Book of the City and County of San Francisco, for the sum of $2,347; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the said offer of sale be accepted and the City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to examine the title of said property, and, if the same is found in satisfactory condition, to accept, in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, a deed conveying title thereto, and file the same for record with a copy of this resolution attached thereto as evidence of acceptance by the City and County of San Francisco upon payment of the agreed purchase price, and the deed to said property is hereby accepted.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Roncovieri—3.

Accepting Offer of C. D. Smith to Sell for $1,550 Certain Property Required for Park Purposes.

Also, Resolution No. 27396 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, C. B. Smith, owner of the following described land, sought to be acquired by the City and County of San Francisco for park purposes, has offered to convey the certain property desired by the City and County of San Francisco, which property is described as follows: Lots 14 and 15, in Block No. 6151, on Assessor's Map Book, with improvements thereon, for the sum of $4,556; also, from the owners, F. Smith and wife, Lot 3, in Block 6164, including improvements thereon, for the sum of $1,550; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the said offer of sale be accepted and the City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to examine the title of said property, and, if the same is found in satisfactory condition, to accept, in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, a deed conveying title thereto, and file the same for record with a copy of this resolution attached thereto as evidence of acceptance by the City and County of San Francisco upon payment of the agreed purchase price, and the deed to said property is hereby accepted.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Roncovieri—3.

Accepting Offer of George L. M. Comstock to Sell for $150 Certain Land Required for Bernal Heights Boulevard.

Also, Resolution No. 27397 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the owner of the following described land, sought to be acquired by the City and County of San Francisco for the opening of Bernal Heights boulevard, has offered to convey the property desired by the City and County of San Francisco for the sum set forth opposite his name, viz.:

George L. M. Comstock, $150—Beginning at a point on the westerly line of Carver street, distant northerly thereon 125 feet from the northerly line of Powhattan avenue, and running thence westerly at right angles to said westerly line of Carver street a distance of 42.129 feet; thence deflecting to the right 151 deg. 30 min. and running northeasterly 5.412 feet to the beginning of a curve to the right of radius 345 feet, central angle 06 deg. 51 min. 23 sec. and being tangent to the last described course; thence northeasterly along said curve 41.285 feet to a point in said westerly line of Carver street; thence southerly along said westerly line 20.067 feet to the point of beginning. Being a portion of Lot 1131, as per Gift Map No. 3, recorded December 31, 1861, in Map Book 2 A and B, page 15, records of the City and County of San Francisco.

It is understood and agreed that the City and County of San Francisco shall have the right to slope the adjoining portion of Lots 1131 and 1129, as per said Gift Map No. 3 to meet the grade of the proposed Bernal Heights boulevard.

Whereas, the City Attorney has recommended the acceptance of the said offer and the acquisition of the property owned by said person and offered to the City and County of San Francisco for the price set forth, which said price is in accordance with the City's appraisement of the property; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the said offer of sale be accepted and the City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to examine the title of said property, and, if the same is found in satisfactory condition, to accept, in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, a deed conveying title thereto, and file the same for record with a copy of this resolution attached thereto as evidence of acceptance by the City and County of San Francisco upon payment of the agreed purchase price.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Roncovieri—3.

Tax Collector Authorized to Purchase Chrysler Automobile.

Also, Resolution No. 27398 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Tax Collector be and is hereby authorized to purchase for the use of his department one Chrysler automobile, the cost of same not to exceed $3,000.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—14.

No—Supervisor Byington—1.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Roncovieri—3.

Action Deferred.

The following resolution was, on motion, *laid over one week*:

Transfer of Twin Peaks Tunnel Fund to Municipal Railway Fund.

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $42,508.21 be and is hereby transferred from the Twin Peaks Ridge Tunnel Assessment Fund to the Municipal Railway Fund, and the Board of Public Works, Tax Collector, Auditor and Treasurer are hereby directed to make such entries in their official records and books of account as will correctly show such transfer; said transfer is for the purpose of reimbursing said Municipal Railway Fund for moneys advanced therefrom and used in the construction of the Twin Peaks Tunnel project.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Amending Zone Ordinance. Placing Property on Westerly Line of Hudson Garden and Orchard Tract South of Williams Avenue in the Light Industrial District.

On motion of Supervisor Hayden:

Bill No. 8063, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings, and the locations of buildings designed for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Section 11 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place the following described property in the Light Industrial District, where not already so zoned, instead of the First Residential and Commercial Districts:

Commencing at a point on the westerly line of the Hudson Garden and Orchard Tract, distant 110 feet southerly from the northerly line of Williams avenue, running thence easterly along a line parallel with and distant southerly 110 feet from the northerly line of Williams avenue to the westerly line of Third street; thence southerly along the westerly line of Third street to the northerly line of the Western Development Tract; thence westerly along the northerly line of the Western Development Tract to a point 50 feet easterly from the westerly line of the Hudson Garden and Orchard Tract; thence northerly along a line 50 feet easterly from and parallel with the westerly line of the Hudson Garden and Orchard Tract to the center line of Carroll avenue; thence westerly along the center line of Carroll avenue to the westerly line of the Hudson Garden and Orchard Tract; thence northerly along the westerly line of the Hudson Garden and Orchard Tract to the point of commencement.

Oil Tank Permits.

On motion of Supervisor Deasy:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits be and are hereby granted:

Oil Tanks.

E. Winetraub, south side of Magellan avenue, 100 feet west of Pacheco street, 1500 gallons capacity.

B. S. Berry, west side of Fillmore street, 100 feet south of Grove street, 1500 gallons capacity.

G. A. Mattern, 251 San Anselmo avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

Wm. Helbing Co., north side of Lombard street, 100 feet east of Van Ness avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

Wm. Helbing Co., north side of Lombard street, 175 feet east of Van Ness avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

Wm. Helbing Co., north side of Lombard street, 250 feet east of Van Ness avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

F. H. Boland, 2455 Baker street, 600 gallons capacity.

J. Bernstein, 250 Magellan avenue, 600 gallons capacity.

Jos. Moyse, 133 Commonwealth avenue, 600 gallons capacity.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits shall become null and void.

Automobile Parking Station Permit.

Supervisor Gallagher presented the following resolution and moved passage to print:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That H. J. Mallen be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an automobile parking station on the west side of Taylor street, 68 feet 9 inches south of Eddy street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Motion *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, Powers, Todd—9.

Noes—Supervisors Byington, Deasy, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton—7.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath—2.

Notice of Reconsideration.

Before the result was announced Supervisor Gallagher changed his vote from *aye* to *no* and gave notice that he would move for reconsideration of the vote at next meeting.

Health Officer William C. Hassler Appointed Narcotic Agent for City and County Institutions.

Supervisor Byington presented:

Resolution No. 27399 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That William C. Hassler, Health Officer of the Department of Public Health, is hereby appointed with full authority for the year commencing July 1, 1927, and ending June 30, 1928, to sign orders for narcotics for the institutions of the Department of Public Health, namely: Laguna Honda Home, the San Francisco Hospital, the Emergency Hospitals, and the Central Office, Department of Public Health, and Hetch Hetchy Hospital, in compliance with the rules and regulations of the Narcotic Division of the Treasury Department, Internal Revenue, San Francisco, California.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Roncovieri—3.

Passed for Printing.

The following matter was *passed for printing*:

Transferring Lands to School Department.

On motion of Supervisor Powers:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the City and County of San Francisco is the owner of that certain lot of land bounded as follows, to-wit: On the north by Clement street, on the east by Twenty-ninth avenue, on the south by Geary street, and on the west by Thirtieth avenue, and known as Outside Land Block No. 210; and

Whereas, said lot of land is vacant and unused, and is at the present time needed by the School Department for the purpose of being used for the erection and construction of school buildings thereon; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the above described real property be and the same is hereby transferred to the School Department and that from and after the passage and approval of this resolution said real property be under the jurisdiction of the Board of Education to be dealt with for school purposes.

Accepting Offer of L. B. Hammond to Sell Certain Land on Anza Street, West of Thirty-first Avenue, Required for School Purposes.

Supervisor Powers presented:

Resolution No. 27400 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, an offer has been re-

ceived from L. B. Hammond to convey to the City and County of San Francisco certain land situated north line of Anza street, west from Thirty-first avenue, required for school purposes; and

Whereas, the price at which said parcel of land is offered is the reasonable value thereof; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the offer of said owner to convey to the City and County of San Francisco a good and sufficient fee simple title to the following described land, free of all encumbrances, for the sum of $2,200 be and the same is hereby accepted, the said land being described as follows, to-wit:

Commencing at a point on the northerly line of Anza street, distant thereon 51 feet and 9 inches westerly from the westerly line of Thirty-first avenue, running thence westerly along the northerly line of Anza street 25 feet; thence at a right angle northerly 100 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 25 feet; thence at a right angle southerly 100 feet to the northerly line of Anza street and point of commencement. Being a portion of Outside Land Block 253, also known as Block 1513 on Assessor's Map Book.

The City Attorney is hereby directed to examine the title to said land and, if the same is found to be vested in the aforesaid owner, free of all encumbrances, and that the taxes up to and including the current fiscal year are paid, and that the so-called McEnerney title has been procured or sufficient money reserved for the purpose of procuring the same, to report the result of his examination to the Board of Supervisors, and also to cause a good and sufficient deed for said land to be executed and delivered to the City and County upon payment of the agreed purchase price as aforesaid. And the said deed to said land is hereby accepted.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Roncovieri—3.

Extensions of Time.

Supervisor Marks presented:

Resolution No. 27401 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That E. J. Treacy be and is hereby granted an extension of ninety days' time from and after June 2, 1927, within which to complete the improvement of Evans avenue between Rankin and Napoleon streets, under public contract. The contractor has been delayed by inclement weather.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Roncovieri—3.

Also, Resolution No. 27402 (New Series), as follows

Resolved, That Clarence B. Eaton is hereby granted the following extensions of time to complete street work:

Ninety days' time from and after May 3, 1927, within which to complete the improvement of Twenty-eighth street between Castro and Noe streets. This extension of time is granted for the reason that the work was delayed by inclement weather.

Ninety days' time from and after May 15, 1927, within which to complete the improvement of Valley street between Noe and Castro streets. This extension of time is granted for the reason that the contractor desires to do the work with other work in the vicinity.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Roncovieri—3.

Also, Resolution No. 27403 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That James M. Smith be and is hereby granted an extension of ninety days' time from and after June 15, 1927, within which to complete the improvement of Market street between Mono and Ord streets. This extension of time is granted for the reason that the contractor has been delayed by reconstruction of tracks by Market Street Railway Company.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Roncovieri—3.

Also, Resolution No. 27404 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Fay Improvement Company is hereby granted an extension of ninety days' time from and after June 21, 1927, within which to complete the improvement

of Twenty-sixth avenue between Rivera and Santiago streets. This extension of time is granted for the reason that the work has been delayed by inclement weather.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Roncovieri—3.

Also, Resolution No. 27405 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Federal Construction Company is hereby granted an extension of ninety days' time from and after April 26, 1927, within which to complete the improvement of Vermont street between Twentieth and Twenty-second streets.

This extension of time is granted for the reason that the contractor has been delayed by inclement weather.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Roncovieri—3.

Also, Resolution No. 27406 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Federal Construction Company is hereby granted an extension of ninety (90) days' time from and after May 16, 1927, within which to complete the improvement of the crossings of Forty-second, Forty-third, Forty-fourth, Forty-fifth and Forty-sixth avenues with Ulloa street.

This extension of time is granted for the reason that the work will be done at the same time as the improvement of the adjacent streets.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Roncovieri—3.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Blasting Permits.

On motion of Supervisor Deasy:

Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Granfield, Farrar & Carlin be and are hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to explode blasts on Vermont street between Twentieth and Twenty-second streets, provided said permittee shall execute and file a good and and sufficient bond in the sum of $5,000 as fixed by the Board of Public Works, and approved by his Honor the Mayor, in accordance with Ordinance No. 1204 (New Series); provided, also, that said blasts shall be exploded only between the hours of 7 a. m. and 6 p. m., and that the work of blasting shall be performed to the satisfaction of the Board of Public Works, and that if any of the conditions of this resolution be violated by said Granfield, Farrar & Carlin, then the privileges and all rights accruing thereunder shall immediately become null and void.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Granfield, Farrar & Carlin be and are hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to explode blasts on Grand View avenue between Twenty-first and Twenty-second streets, provided said permittee shall execute and file a good and sufficient bond in the sum of ten thousand ($10,000) dollars as fixed by the Board of Public Works and approved by his Honor the Mayor, in accordance with Ordinance No. 1204 (New Series); provided, also, that said blasts shall be exploded only between the hours of 7 a. m. and 6 p. m., and that the work of blasting shall be performed to the satisfaction of the Board of Public Works, and if any of the conditions of this resolution be violated by said Granfield, Farrar & Carlin, then the privileges and all rights accruing thereunder shall immediately become null and void.

Fixing Sidewalk Widths on Carolina Street.

On motion of Supervisor Marks:

Bill No. 8065, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered nine hundred and sixty-six.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Board of Public Works, filed in this office June 7, 1927, by adding thereto a new section to be num-

bered nine hundred and sixty-six, to read as follows:

Section 966. The width of sidewalks on Carolina street between Twenty-second street and Twenty-third street shall be as shown on that certain map entitled "Map of Carolina street between Twenty-second street and Twenty-third street," showing the location of street and curb lines and the width of sidewalks.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect and be in force from and after its passage.

Fixing Sidewalk Widths on Roosevelt Way.

Also, Bill No. 8066, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered nine hundred and sixty-seven.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Board of Public Works, filed in this office June 7, 1927, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered nine hundred and sixty-seven, to read as follows:

Section 967. The width of sidewalks on Roosevelt way between Fourteenth street and Seventeenth street shall be as shown on that certain map entitled "Map of Roosevelt way between Fourteenth street and Seventeenth street," showing the location of street and curb lines and the width of sidewalks.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect and be in force from and after its passage.

Intention to Change to Grades.

Supervisor Marks presented:

Resolution No. 27407 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to change and establish grades on the following named streets, at the points hereinafter specified and at the elevations above city base, as hereinafter stated, in accordance with Resolution No. 97597 (Second Series) of the Board of Public Works, adopted June 3, 1927, and written recommendation of said Board filed June 7, 1927, to-wit:

On Montgomery street between Green and Union streets, and on Union street between Sansome and Montgomery streets.

The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that no assessment district is necessary as no damage will result from said change of grades, inasmuch as the streets are ungraded and there are no existing street improvements.

The Board of Public Works is hereby directed to cause to be conspicuously posted along the street or streets upon which such change or modification of grades or grades is contemplated, notice of the passage of this resolution of intention.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Roncovieri—3.

Also, Resolution No. 27408 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to change and establish grades on the following named streets, at the points hereinafter specified and at the elevations above city base, as hereinafter stated, in accordance with Resolution No. 97596 (Second Series) of the Board of Public Works, adopted June 3, 1927, and written recommendation of said Board, filed June 7, 1927, to-wit:

On Santiago street between San Miguel Rancho line and Fourteenth avenue; on Twelfth avenue between Castenada avenue produced and a line 100 feet southerly from Santiago street, and on Funston avenue south of Santiago street.

The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that no assessment district is necessary as no damage will result from said change of grades, inasmuch as the streets are ungraded and there are no existing street improvements.

The Board of Public Works is hereby directed to cause to be conspicuously posted along the street or streets upon which such change or modification of grades or grades is contemplated, notice of the passage of this resolution of intention.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Roncovieri—3.

Also, Resolution No. 27409 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to change and establish grades on the following named streets, at the points hereinafter specified and at the elevations above city base, as hereinafter stated, in accordance with Resolution No. 97598 (Second Series) of the Board of Public Works, adopted June 3, 1927, and written recommendation of said Board, filed June 7, 1927, to-wit:

On Thomas avenue between Griffith and Hawes streets; Underwood avenue between Griffith and Ingalls streets; Van Dyke avenue between Hawes and Jennings streets; Wallace avenue between Hawes and Jennings streets; Griffith street between Shafter and Underwood avenues; Hawes street between Thomas and Wallace avenues, and on Ingalls street between Underwood and Yosemite avenues.

The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that no assessment district is necessary as no damage will result from said change of grades, inasmuch as the streets are ungraded and there are no existing street improvements.

The Board of Public Works is hereby directed to cause to be conspicuously posted along the street or streets upon which such change or modification of grade or grades is contemplated, notice of the passage of this resolution of intention.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Roncovieri—3.

Also, Resolution No. 27410 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to change and establish grades on the following named streets, at points hereinafter specified and at elevations above city base, as hereinafter stated, in accordance with Resolution No. 97505 (Second Series) of the Board of Public Works adopted May 27, 1927, and written recommendation of said Board, filed June 1, 1927, to-wit:

On Carolina street between Twenty-second and Twenty-fifth streets, and on Twenty-third street between the westerly line of Wisconsin street and points respectively 18.78 and 29.77 feet westerly from Carolina street.

The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that no assessment district is necessary as no damage will result from said change of grades, inasmuch as the streets are ungraded and there are no existing street improvements.

The Board of Public Works is hereby directed to cause to be conspicuously posted along the street or streets upon which such change or modification of grades or grades is contemplated, notice of the passage of this resolution of intention.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Roncovieri—3.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Fixing Sidewalk Widths on Noriega Street Between Twenty-Second and Forty-eighth Avenues.

On motion of Supervisor Marks:

Bill No. 8067, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered nine hundred and sixty-five.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Board of Public Works, filed in this office June 7, 1927, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered nine hundred and sixty-five, to read as follows:

Section 965. The width of sidewalks on Noriega street between Twenty-second avenue and Forty-eighth avenue shall be twelve (12) feet.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect and be in force from and after its passage.

Establish Grades on Bartol Place.

Also, Bill No. 8068, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Establishing grades on Bartol place between Broadway and a line parallel with and 137.50 feet southerly therefrom.

Be it ordained by the People of

the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The grades on Bartol place between Broadway and a line parallel with and 137.50 feet southerly therefrom are hereby established at points hereinafter named and at heights above city base as hereinafter stated, in accordance with recommendation of the Board of Public Works filed June 1, 1927:

Bartol Place.

3.92 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 50 feet southerly from Broadway, 63.40 feet.

3.92 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 50 feet southerly from Broadway, 63.50 feet.

137.50 feet southerly from Broadway, 60.00 feet.

On Bartol place between Broadway and a line parallel with and 137.50 feet southerly therefrom be established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor and the present official grade of Broadway at Bartol place.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Award of Contract for Printing Journals and Calendars.

Supervisor Kent presented:

Resolution No. 27411 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the contract for printing and furnishing the Journal of Proceedings and Calendars of the Board of Supervisors, Daily Trial and Law and Motion Calendars and Decisions of the Supreme and Appellate Courts during the fiscal year 1927-1928 is hereby awarded to The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company at its bid price of $1,075 per month for printing and publishing said publications; providing the sureties on the bond of said The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company, which is hereby fixed in the sum of one thousand ($1,000) dollars, are satisfactory to his Honor the Mayor, who is hereby authorized to enter into such contract.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Roncovieri—3.

Passed for Printing.

The following bill was *passed for printing*:

Plans for Construction of Gasoline Service Station, Mills Field Municipal Airport.

On motion of Supervisor Kent:

Bill No. 8069, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Authorizing and ordering the preparation of plans and specifications for the construction and operation of a gasoline service station and incidental grading and improvements at Mills Field Municipal Airport of the City and County of San Francisco.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized, instructed and empowered to prepare plans and specifications for the construction and operation of a gasoline service station and incidental grading and improvements at Mills Field Municipal Airport of the City and County of San Francisco, and to enter into a contract for the construction and operation of said gasoline service station and incidental improvements.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Award of Contract, Household Utensils.

Supervisor Badaracco presented:

Resolution No. 27412 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of contract is hereby made for furnishing enameled wares, aluminum ware, tinware, japanned and galvanized wares and kitchen utensils that may be ordered from time to time during the fiscal year 1927-28 on bids submitted May 9, 1927 (Proposal No. 300), as follows, viz.:

Item No. 351—Aluminum ware: Wear Ever Catalogue No. 51, Hotel Price List dated August 1, 1926; discount, 13 per cent; Levenson Co.

Item No. 352—Granite ware, etc.:

(a) Gray enameled ware: L&G Catalogue, July 1, 1922; Price List February 18, 1926; discount, 51½ per cent; Holbrook, Merrill & Stetson.

(b) Deep stamped tinware: Price List No. 302, November 1, 1926; plus 9 per cent; Levenson Co.

(c) Shallow stamped tinware: Price List No. 302, November 1, 1926; plus 9 per cent; Levenson Co.

(d) Hotel ware: Price List No. 302, November 1, 1926; plus 9 per cent; Levenson Co.

(e) Hercules heavy tinware: Price List No. 302, November 1, 1926; plus 9 per cent; Levenson Co.

(f) Galvanized ware: Price List No. 302, November 1, 1926, and Price

List No. 303, April 1, 1927; plus 9 per cent; Levenson Co.

Item No. 353—White enameled ware:

(a) American made: Catalogue S 26, pages 54 to 67; Price List March 4, 1927; discount, 56 per cent; The Heyman Weil Co.

(b) Swedish imported ware, genuine A1, brand K.E.R.: Price List No. 9, July, 1926; discount, 14 per cent; Holbrook, Merrill & Stetson.

Resolved, That bonds for the faithful performance of contract be required as follows, viz.: Levenson Co., $100; The Heyman Weil Co., $100; Holbrook, Merrill & Stetson, $100.

Resolved, That all other bids submitted hereon be rejected.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Roncovieri—3.

Passed for Printing.

The following bill was *passed for printing*:

Spur Track Permit, The Atchison, Topeka and Santa Fe Railway Company.

On motion of Supervisor Badaracco:

Bill No. 8070, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Granting permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to The Atchison, Topeka and Santa Fe Railway Company to construct, maintain and operate a spur track across Illinois and Michigan streets in and along Twenty-third street and a short spur crossing a portion of Twenty-third street, as hereinafter described.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, is hereby granted to The Atchison, Topeka and Santa Fe Railway Company to construct, maintain and operate a spur track across Illinois and Michigan streets in and along Twenty-third street and a short spur crossing a portion of Twenty-third street, being particularly described as follows:

Track No. 1 — Beginning at a point on the center line of an existing track in Illinois street, in the City and County of San Francisco, California, said point lying 32.7 feet westerly from the eastern line of said Illinois street and 416.1 feet southerly from the northerly line of Twenty-third street produced across said Illinois street; thence northeasterly over and along said Illinois street on a curve to the right having a radius of 398.94 feet, a distance of 91.0 feet to a point; thence continuing northeasterly on a curve to the right, having a radius of 338.27 feet, tangent to last described curve at last described point, a distance of 60.2 feet to a point on the easterly line of said Illinois street 268.8 feet southerly from said northerly line of Twenty-third street; thence continuing northeasterly across private property on last described curve a distance of 275.5 feet to a point on the western line of Michigan street 89.0 feet southerly from said northern line of Twenty-third street; thence continuing easterly, crossing Michigan street and along Twenty-third street, on last described curve, a distance of 109.0 feet to a point in said Twenty-third street 71.5 feet southerly from said northern line of Twenty-third street and 27.5 feet easterly from the eastern line of Michigan street produced across Twenty-third street; thence easterly along said Twenty-third street 452.5 feet to the eastern termination of said Twenty-third street 71.5 feet southerly from said northern line of Twenty-third street.

Tract No. 2 — Beginning at a point on the center line of above described track in Twenty-third street at its intersection with the western line of Georgia street produced across said Twenty-third street; thence easterly along said Twenty-third street on a curve to the left, having a radius of 398.94 feet, a distance of 62.9 feet; thence easterly on a curve to the right having a radius of 398.94 feet, a distance of 71.9 feet to a point which is 58.5 feet southerly from the northerly line of said Twenty-third street and 54.6 feet easterly from the eastern line of said Georgia street; thence easterly, parallel with said northern line of Twenty-third street, 108.0 feet; thence easterly on a curve to the left, having a radius of 398.94 feet, a distance of 37.4 feet to a point on the eastern termination of said Twenty-third street 57.5 feet southerly from said northern line of Twenty-third street.

Said permission is granted subject to the provisions of Ordinance No. 69 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors, approved October 12, 1906, and the provisions and conditions of Section 8 thereof are hereby specifically contained in the permit hereby granted and shall be construed as a part hereof as com-

plete as though the same were written in this ordinance.

Provided, that said spur track shall be laid under the supervision and to the lines and grades as furnished by the City Engineer's office, and that any and all expenses connected with the installation of the track, restoration of the pavement and any additional requirements for the surface drainage be paid for by the Atchison, Topeka and Santa Fe Railway Company.

Provided, that the Atchison, Topeka and Santa Fe Railway Company shall erect and maintain all-night lighted arc lamps to be placed where directed by the Lighting Committee of the Board of Supervisors.

Provided, that all trackage within the street area be constructed with girder rails.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Leave of Absence, Theodore J. Roche, Board of Police Commissioners.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

San Francisco., Cal.,
June 13, 1927.

Hon. Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen:

Application has been made to me by Hon. Theodore J. Roche, member of the Board of Police Commissioners, for leave of absence, with permission to leave the State of California for a period of thirty days, commencing this day.

I hereby request that you concur with me in granting said leave of absence.

Yours very truly,
JAMES ROLPH, JR.,
Mayor.

Whereupon, the following resolution was presented and *adopted* by the following vote:

Resolution No. 27413 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Hon. Theodore J. Roche, member of the Board of Police Commissioners of the City and County. is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of thirty days, commencing June 13, 1927, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Roncovieri—3.

Leave of Absence, James Rolph, Jr., Mayor.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

San Francisco, Cal.,
June 13, 1927.

Honorable Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen:

I hereby request that you grant me, as Mayor of the City and County of San Francisco, leave of absence, with permission to leave the State of California for a period of sixty days, commencing June sixteenth.

Yours very truly,
JAMES ROLPH, JR.,
Mayor.

Whereupon, the following resolution was presented and *adopted* by the following vote:

Resolution No. 27414 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Hon. James Rolph, Jr., Mayor of the City and County, in accordance with his recommendation, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of sixty days, commencing June 16, 1927, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Roncovieri—3.

Leaves of Absence, James B. McSheehy, Franck R. Havenner and William H. Harrelson, Board of Supervisors.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

San Francisco, Cal.,
June 13, 1927.

Hon. Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen:

Application has been made to me by the following members of your Board, Hon. James B. McSheehy, Hon. Franck R. Havenner and Hon. William H. Harrelson for leave of absence, with permission to leave the State of California for a period of sixty days, commencing June sixteenth.

I hereby request that you concur with me in granting said leave of absence.

Yours very truly,
JAMES ROLPH, JR.,
Mayor.

Whereupon, the following resolution was presented and *adopted* by the following vote:

Resolution No. 27415 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance

with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Hon. James B. McSheehy, Hon. Franck R. Havenner and Hon. William H. Harrelson, members of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County, are hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of sixty days, commencing June 16, 1927, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Roncovieri—3.

Leave of Absence, John J. O'Toole, City Attorney.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

San Francisco, Cal.,
June 13, 1927.

Hon. Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen:

Application has been made to me by Hon. John J. O'Toole, City Attorney, for leave of absence, with permission to leave the State of California for a period of sixty days, commencing June sixteenth.

I hereby request that you concur with me in granting said leave of absence.

Yours very truly,
JAMES ROLPH, JR.,
Mayor.

Whereupon, the following resolution was presented and *adopted* by the following vote:

Resolution No. 27416 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Hon. John J. O'Toole, City Attorney, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of sixty days, commencing June 16, 1927, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Roncovieri—3.

Leave of Absence, M. M. O'Shaughnessy, City Engineer.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

San Francisco, Cal.,
June 13, 1927.

Hon. Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen:

Application has been made to me by Chief M. M. O'Shaughnessy, City Engineer, for leave of absence, with permission to leave the State of California for a period of sixty days, commencing June fifteenth.

I hereby request that you concur with me in granting said leave of absence.

Yours very truly,
JAMES ROLPH, JR.,
Mayor.

Whereupon, the following resolution was presented and *adopted* by the following vote:

Resolution No. 27417 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Hon. M. M. O'Shaughnessy, City Engineer, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of sixty days, commencing June 15, 1927, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Roncovieri—3.

Leave of Absence, William A. Sherman, Board of Fire Commissioners.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

San Francisco, Cal.,
June 8, 1927.

Hon. Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen:

Application has been made to me by Hon. William A. Sherman, member of the Board of Fire Commissioners, for leave of absence, with permission to leave the State of California for a period of thirty days, commencing June 17th.

I hereby request that you concur with me in granting said leave of absence.

Yours very truly,
JAMES ROLPH, JR.,
Mayor.

Whereupon, the following resolution was presented and *adopted* by the following vote:

Resolution No. 27418 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Hon. William A. Sherman, member of the Board of Fire Commissioners, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of thirty days, commencing June 17, 1927, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Roncovieri—3.

Finance Committee to Contract With James G. Stafford for Appraisement of City Property.

Supervisor McSheehy presented: Resolution No. 27419 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That for the purpose of continuing and completing the appraising of all taxable property subject to assessment within the City and County of San Francisco to the end that said assessment of said property for the fiscal year 1928-29 and for subsequent years may be equalized and the same may conform to the true value of said property and that the Board of Supervisors sitting as a Board of Equalization may be fully advised as to the true value of said property, the Finance Committee of this Board is hereby authorized to enter into a contract with James G. Stafford and associates to make such appraisal and to purchase such supplies as may be necessary to carry out the purpose herein expressed, the cost of such services and supplies to be paid from Budget Item No. 56, 1927-28.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Roncovieri—3.

Accepting Offer of Thomas S. Webb to Sell Certain Land on East Line of Banks Street and on West Line of Prentiss Street Required for School Purposes.

Supervisor Powers presented: Resolution No. 27420 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, an offer has been received from Thomas S. Webb to convey to the City and County of San Francisco certain land situate east line of Banks street and on west line of Prentiss street required for school purposes; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the offer of the said owner to convey to the City and County of San Francisco a good and sufficient fee simple title to the following described land, free of all encumbrances, for the sum of $3,300 be and the same is hereby accepted, the said land being described as follows, to-wit:

Commencing at a point on the easterly line of Banks street, distant thereon 25 feet southerly from the southerly line of Tompkins avenue, running thence southerly along said easterly line of Banks street 60 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 70 feet; thence at a right angle northerly 50 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 70 feet to the easterly line of Banks street and point of commencement. Being a portion of Block 5728 on Assessor's Map Book.

Also, commenicng at a point on the westerly line of Prentiss street, distant thereon 50 feet southerly from the southerly line of Tompkins avenue, running thence southerly along said westerly line of Prentiss street 75 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 70 feet; thence at a right angle northerly 75 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 70 feet to the westerly line of Prentiss street and point of commencement. Being a portion of Block 5728 on Assessor's Map Book.

The City Attorney is hereby directed to examine the title to said land, and if the same is found to be vested in the aforesaid owner, free of all encumbrances, and that the taxes up to and including the current fiscal year are paid, and that the so-called McEnerney title has been procured or sufficient money reserved for the purpose of procuring the same, to report the result of his examination to the Board of Supervisors, and also to cause a good and sufficient deed for said land to be executed and delivered to the City and County upon payment of the agreed purchase price as aforesaid. And the said deed to said land is hereby accepted.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Roncovieri—3.

Accepting Offer of Mollie Canter Anderson to Sell Certain Land on East Line of Banks Street, South of Tompkins Avenue, Required for School Purposes.

Also, Resolution No. 27421 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, an offer has been received from Mollie Canter Anderson to convey to the City and County of San Francisco certain land situate east line of Banks street, distant 175 feet south of Tompkins avenue, of dimensions 25 x 70 feet, required for school purposes; and

Whereas, the price at which said parcel of land is offered is the reasonable value thereof; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the offer of the said owner to convey to the City

and County of San Francisco a good and sufficient fee simple title to the following described land, free of all encumbrances, for the sum of $3,000 be and the same is hereby accepted, the said land being described as follows, to-wit:

Commencing at a point on the east side of Banks street, 175 feet south of Tompkins avenue, running thence south 25 feet; thence east 70 feet; thence north 25 feet; thence west 70 feet to point of commencement; being Lot 23, Block 5725 on the Assessor's Map Book.

The City Attorney is hereby directed to examine the title to said land, and if the same is found to be vested in the aforesaid owner, free of all encumbrances, and that the taxes up to and including the current fiscal year are paid, and that the so-called McEnerney title has been procured or sufficient money reserved for the purpose of procuring the same, to report the result of his examination to the Board of Supervisors, and also to cause a good and sufficient deed for said land to be executed and delivered to the City and County upon payment of the agreed purchase price as aforesaid. And the said deed to said land is hereby accepted.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Roncovieri—3.

Taxicab Stand Permits.

Supervisor Schmidt presented:

Resolution No. 27422 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following taxicab stand permits are hereby granted:

White Top Cab Company, at 79 Sutter street and 252 Fillmore street.

Yellow Checker Cab Company, at 225 Bush street, 609 Sutter street, 1966 Page street, 955 Larkin street and 83 O'Farrell street.

Red Top Cab Company, at 2302 Polk street, 1396 Green street and Kearny street at Portsmouth Square.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Roncovieri—3.

Mayor to Appoint Citizens' Committee for Labor Day Celebration.

Supervisor Havenner presented:

Resolution No. 27423 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, pursuant to precedents of previous years, his Honor the Mayor be and is hereby authorized and requested to appoint a Citizens' Committee for the purpose of arranging for a fitting celebration of Labor Day, Monday, September 5, 1927, and for the participation of the City and people of San Francisco in said celebration.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Roncovieri—3.

Permission to Alter and Remove Portions of California Development Association's Exhibit in Ferry Building.

Supervisor Havenner presented:

Resolution No. 27371 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, an appropriation was made for the construction of an exhibit, to be a part of a general exhibit under the auspices of the California Development Association in the nave of the Ferry Building; and

Whereas, it is desirable to alter such exhibit to conform with certain plans now under consideration by the California Development Association to provide improvements enabling the building to be of more value to the visitors to San Francisco desiring to view San Francisco Bay; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, by the Board of Supervisors, That consent be given to the alteration and removal of such parts of said exhibit that may be necessary to accomplish the abovestated plans, providing the same be accomplished without cost to the City and County of San Francisco.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Roncovieri—3.

Tribute to Dr. Alfred Hertz, Leader of the San Francisco Symphony Orchestra.

Supervisor Hayden: Mr. Chairman, we arranged for a special order at 12 o'clock for the presentation of resolutions which were to carry with them an expression of feeling and appreciation for the wonderful service that Mr. Hertz

has rendered to the people of San Francisco and to the people of America as the conductor of opera and symphony orchestras in this country, but before we open this, Mr. Mayor, we have representatives from the San Francisco Symphony Orchestra here with Mr. Oesterreicher, who have agreed to volunteer their services, and they will render the opening numbers.

The Mayor: We are very happy to see you all here this morning.

(Music by string quartet.)

Supervisor Hayden: Mr. Chairman, I notice here Mr. John D. McKee, who has done so much for music in San Francisco, and who is one of the foremost sponsors of the War Memorial buildings. I ask him to step forward and take a seat to the Mayor's left.

(Mr. McKee comes forward.)

The Mayor: My fellow-citizens and colleagues, Mr. John Dempster McKee. (Applause.)

Supervisor Hayden: Mr. Mayor, you do the Board of Supervisors an honor, as well as yourself and the people of San Francisco, in carrying to completion the matter of the resolutions adopted unanimously by this Board and which have been beautifully engrossed and are now awaiting presentation at your hands.

The Mayor: My colleagues and my fellow-citizens, we have gathered here this morning by order of the Board to present to Dr. Alfred Hertz some beautifully worded resolutions passed by this Board unanimously, and this occasion has been set apart that these resolutions may be presented in person to Dr. Alfred Hertz.

To all of you who have not seen Dr. Hertz before, who are not well acquainted with him, I want to present you to him and he to you at this particular time. Dr. Hertz. (Applause.)

We have known Dr. Alfred Hertz for a great many years. About two months ago San Francisco paid him a tribute in the Civic Auditorium by the celebration of his twenty-five years of conducting in America, thirteen years of which was with the Metropolitan Opera Company of New York City and twelve years of which has been in the City of San Francisco, and if you will all recall, we paid him that tribute on his twenty-fifth (silver) anniversary. The Auditorium was crowded to overflowing. I have never seen so many musicians gathered together as were gathered that night, and I have never seen Dr. Alfred Hertz wave the baton with a greater feeling in his heart than on the night when he was recognized by San Francisco—by the whole musical world—well, just like Charles Lindbergh is recognized in the aviation world. (Applause.)

These resolutions were adopted by the Board of Supervisors, as I have said, and have been beautifully engrossed, and I will read them to you, Dr. Hertz, at this time:

"Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

"Resolution No. 27125 (New Series), as follows:

"Whereas, the splendid presentation of Beethoven's Ninth Symphony, given under the auspices of the City and County of San Francisco by the San Francisco Symphony Orchestra, Alfred Hertz, conductor, and the Municipal Chorus, on the evening of April 2, brought to a close the sixteenth season of symphony concerts and marked the twenty-fifth anniversary of conducting by Alfred Hertz in America; and

"Whereas, after thirteen years of brilliant services at the Metropolitan Opera House, the last twelve years of Alfred Hertz's work in America has been devoted to the upbuilding and developing of the San Francisco Symphony Orchestra; and

"Whereas, through the tireless efforts and profound musicianship of Alfred Hertz, the San Francisco Symphony Orchestra has become one of the foremost symphonic organizations of the world, thus redounding to the great credit of the City of San Francisco; and

"Whereas, through cooperation of the municipal authorities in providing for the giving of symphony concerts in the Auditorium, great masses of the population have been enabled to understand and enjoy music in its highest form; now, therefore, be it

"Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco desires to place of record its grateful appreciation of all that Alfred Hertz and the orchestra have done for San Francisco, and also to express a feeling of gratification that this Board has been able to be of assistance in these municipal achievements.

"Unanimously adopted by the Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, April 11, 1927."

Signed for the Board of Supervisors by James Rolph, Jr., Mayor. Attest: J. S. Dunnigan, Clerk.

With the seal of the City imprinted on these resolutions.

Gentlemen of the Board, in your name I present these resolutions to Dr. Alfred Hertz.

I cannot do this, however, without paying a tribute to Supervisor Hayden and to Supervisor Havenner for their untiring efforts in inaugurating and continuing these municipal concerts, which are second to none in the world. And I will say now that arrangements have been made that six concerts shall be given this coming fall by Dr. Hertz in the Auditorium. Dr. Hertz is leaving for Los Angeles tomorrow, where he will give eight concerts in the great Hollywood Bowl.

I present these resolutions to you, Dr. Hertz, and after you have received them I will ask Mr. Havenner, a member of the Finance Committee and Chairman of the Auditorium Committee, to have them framed in a suitable manner and sent to your home, Dr. Hertz. (Applause.)

Now, Dr. Hertz, you have the permission of the floor, and we will be very happy to have you respond to the Board of Supervisors who have presented to you in the name of the people of San Francisco these beautiful resolutions. Dr. Hertz. (Applause.)

Dr. Alfred Hertz: .Mr. Mayor, and gentlemen of the Board of Supervisors, and ladies and gentlemen: I cannot express in words how much I appreciate this great honor which you have so kindly bestowed upon me. Of all the honors I have ever received I cherish this most highly, because it comes from the representatives of San Francisco, the city with which I fell in love so deeply that I decided to make it my home. When I was here with the Metropolitan Opera Company in 1906 it was at a time when I had a weakness for driving a horse and buggy. I was with my friend Van Rooy, the famous baritone, and it was a most beautiful day. We went driving in Golden Gate Park, and I said to him then. "As for me, I only wish that I could spend the rest of my life in this paradise." The next morning the great fire broke out, and sometimes I am afraid I did not feel so strongly the wish that I had expressed the day before. (Laughter.) But I very quickly forgot that, and when I had the opportunity in 1915 to come out here for the Beethoven Festival in conjunction with the Exposition, that was all forgotten, and I loved San Francisco more than ever. I accepted the position then as director of the San Francisco Symphony Orchestra, and if I am to be allowed to continue in that capacity I shall be very happy. It only expresses my sincere love and devotion to this beautiful city.

I wish I could reverse matters, and, instead of receiving the resolutions at your hands, I could ask you to come to me, the Musical Association. Mr. McKee, Mr. Widenham, all those representative of San Francisco, and you, gentlemen of the Board of Supervisors, that I might present resolutions of my appreciation and my thanks to you and to them.

I would love to tell you how much I appreciate what the Musical Association has given me in the San Francisco Symphony Orchestra, a body of artists second to none, whom I have had the privilege to direct for so many years. I would love to tell the representatives of the City of San Francisco how much I appreciate their cooperation, their support and their encouragement. I would love to point out that the initial steps towards these city concerts were taken by Supervisor Hayden, who had the foresight to see what the people wanted; that they wanted the very best (applause), and to see that it could be given at a price within the reach of everybody. That means a wonderful step forward in the musical development of San Francisco. I would love to give credit to the entire city administration, with Mayor Rolph at the head, to the present Auditorium Committee, with Mr. Havenner as its Chairman, which has so splendidly continued the good work which was started a few years ago. I would tell you all that if anything can make me continue to give my best efforts, the best which is in me, for all time to come, it is this support and this encouragement that you have showered from all sides.

Unfortunately I cannot reverse matters, so in accepting these resolutions I would like to pass them on to the San Francisco Symphony Orchestra, which will be an ever-growing asset to the cultural life and development of San Francisco long after I am not here. I thank you. (Great applause.)

Supervisor Hayden: Mr. Mayor, if you will accept my suggestion, I would like to have you call on Mr. John Dempster McKee, representing the San Francisco Musical Association. We all know what a

tower of strength Mr. McKee has been to us and what he has done for music in San Francisco. In my experience as head of the Auditorium Committee I know of no man in San Francisco who has given so much of his whole soul to the cause of music, who has so dedicated his very life to that cause. We are proud to have him here today.

The Mayor: We were all very happy, Dr. Hertz, to hear you express yourself in the way you did about our City of San Francisco. We are a warm-blooded people. We have feelings that come from the heart. We may not always express ourselves as we would wish to, but you will find no people in the world who cherish friendships and hold them longer than do the people of San Francisco, and we are glad that you left the old country and glad that you came out here to the United States, and glad you are here, and glad to have heard what you said about San Francisco.

When you told us about driving with a horse and buggy, of course we have all done that in our time, those of us who are here today always took our sweethearts out with a horse and buggy. We may have forgotten the horse at the time or we may have been scolded when we got back to the home place at one o'clock in the morning or so, but still when you talk about a horse and buggy it revives very warm memories within us. But the times have all changed.

We have two Americans in Germany now—Chamberlin and Levine. They are being treated by your fellow-countrymen with the great hospitality that the German people are noted for. I had a cablegram from the president of the German Republic the other day thanking us for the attention we had given to Captain Von Luckner and to the German Ambassador himself. We in turn appreciate the way they are treating Chamberlin and Levine over there. They tell a fine story about Levine. He is a stamp collector, and just before he sailed in his ship, the "Columbia," he got the postmaster of New York to come down to the aviation field and had him stamp one thousand dollars' worth of aviation stamps, and he took those stamps over with him. Those stamps today are worth over a thousand dollars apiece, so while he was going over on the "Columbia" he was doing a little business on the side, and those 250 stamps are worth $250,000 and getting more valuable every day. The Postmaster-General at Washington had the postmaster at New York on the carpet, wanting to know what right he had to cancel those stamps, because the flight ship "Columbia" was not in the air mail service, and he simply said to the Postmaster-General, "Now, what would you have done under the circumstances if Levine had come up to you? I went down there with my stamps and I cancelled all of those stamps. What would you do with two fellows who were just leaving on a trip of that kind? Wouldn't you cancel the stamps and wish them well?" So Levine has got 250 United States aviation stamps, each one cancelled, and he can sell every one of them today for a thousand dollars apiece, and as time goes on they will be worth two or three thousand dollars apiece, as they will be in demand by stamp collectors because they are the first air mail stamps ever to cross the Atlantic ocean and that ever went into Germany.

We are glad you are here and glad you are happy, and, as far as I am concerned, and I know that I speak the voice of the Board of Supervisors, we are going to keep you with us just as long as we possibly can. (Applause.)

This is Mr. John Dempster McKee, one of the leading citizens of San Francisco, who has been identified with the American Trust Company since he was a boy. He joined the Mercantile Trust Company—I think it was in 1854—when the Mercantile Trust Company was organized, but as long as I can remember John Dempster McKee has been a guiding light of the Mercantile Trust Company until it is the American Trust Company today. I am giving you a boost, John, because I know you have all your banks around here and I want people to know who you are. (Applause.)

He has offered fifty thousand dollars as part of an endowment fund of two million dollars to be raised in two years for the maintenance of the San Francisco Municipal Symphony Orchestra in perpetuity. A man who will do that, a man who has done the things that he has done and who has always been interested in the welfare of our city, is a man we ought to receive here with a very fine hearty welcome for what he has done and for what he intends to do towards keeping these fine musicians going and keeping Dr. Alfred Hertz at the head of

them. I present to you John Dempster McKee.

Mr. McKee: Mr. Mayor and Mr. Hertz and fellow-citizens: When Mr. Widenham telephoned to me about fifteen minutes before i arrived here that this presentation was set for today—I knew it was to take place, but did not know the time—and asked me to be present, I was very glad indeed to come. If I had anticipated what my old friend, Jim Rolph, as I have always known him, was going to say about me I think I would have remained away. This kind of complimentary banter, while very much appreciated, really ought to be introduced in a person's absence.

The Mayor has referred to Mr. Hertz' term of twenty-five years living in America, half of which he has spent in San Francisco, and I have been thinking that those twenty-five years are really a wonderful period in the lives of all of us. We live in a very remarkable time. While we have not improved, perhaps, over the architecture of the old Greeks or over the Chinese in ceramic art, for those are things that we have never been able to duplicate, and the Iliad set a standard which has not yet been matched; nevertheless, in several of the things in which we are interested the development in the last twenty-five years has been extremely wonderful and marvelous. The reference to Chamberlin and Levine suggests flying, traveling in lighter than air machines, something that Leonardo da Vinci conceived, but never actually effectuated. There are underwater vessels and all these wonderful things that make this past quarter-century period perhaps greater than any pervious twenty-five years in several centuries. We have modern composers producing music and the very wonderful style of the old composers, but Bach, Beethoven and Brahms have set a standard and a quality of music which is ever new and ever young. We never tire of listening to the old masters. But the development in the past twenty-five years has been in the interpretation of compositions and in the understanding of the great masters by the masses of the people. Of course, in Europe itself, Germany, France and even England, the people for many years past have become accustomed to and enjoyed good music, but in the United States, in America, within my own short life I can remember when the Boston Symphony Orchestra was the thing that made Boston famous. It was referred to almost in accents of awe and was considered a very unusual thing, an unusual privilege that the people of Boston could enjoy the Boston Symphony Orchestra. There were one or two others in the country, but they were rare. Now no city in America feels that it is complete, no city of any size, unless it has a symphony orchestra, and that development has come about, I think I am safe to say, during this period of the last twenty-five years, and in San Francisco down from the days of Fritz Scheel there has come a great appreciation of good music by the community and during Mr. Hertz' sojourn with us, during the last twelve or thirteen years, that increased appreciation has been—that development has been going on in increasing degree each year. It is for that service that the people of San Francisco seek to honor Mr. Hertz. The tremendous development that music has had in San Francisco under Mr. Hertz is a contribution to the artistic life of this city that cannot be emphasized too strongly. We have had these so-called popular concerts, and the city has stood behind them with its treasury and helped them to go on.

I think, perhaps, when Mr. Widenham or Mr. Havenner suggested they would like to hear a word from me that they might have had in mind the thought of my rather close relationship to Mr. Hertz during all these years. During the years that Mr. Hertz has been here I have been in close association with him, even before I became president of the association and during the past eight or nine years that I have been president, and I want to say that while we have had some differences of opinion and differences in policy, they were always along the lines that Mr. Hertz wanted to do something better than I felt we could afford to do it. It reminds me of my old friend, Herman Schussler, who used to have as his motto, "There is no such word as good enough." In all the work he did he would say, "There is no such word for me as good enough." He was not satisfied unless the thing was as good as it possibly could be. And it is so with Mr. Hertz. He is not satisfied with the excellence attained; he wants it to be as good as it possibly can be. The word "indefatigable" does not begin to describe him at rehearsals. Some of the men think sometimes that they know the composition well enough to play it blindfold, and so on, but Mr. Hertz works harder than anyone else at

these rehearsals nevertheless. He is not satisfied until the thing is as good as it can be. He is not satisfied with the resources which he is allowed to work with, and if he had his own way we would not only have one of the best orchestras in the world, but we would have the best orchestra in the world. I have often said that I would be more happy if I could serve under Mr. Hertz' musical direction for the rest of my life. I hope that he stays with us many years, but whether he is here or wherever he is or has anything to do with orchestras, there will be good music. (Applause.)

Supervisor Hayden: Mr. Chairman, I appreciate that the luncheon hour is getting along, and I will not delay except to ask, if I may, to hear from a member of the Board. First, however, I want to say that in my association with Mr. Hertz, representing the Board of Supervisors during a period of five seasons of concerts in which the city and the San Francisco Musical Association cooperated, I learned to know and appreciate Mr. Hertz, and have the greatest affection for him as a man and the highest regard for him as a musician. And today I am very proud that the city through these resolutions has expressed the sentiment of love and appreciation for the man who has done so much for San Francisco in giving to us of the very best that there is in music. And I want to say, members of the Board, that there is no city in America that has taken such steps forward as San Francisco in the way of bringing the best music to its people, and I think I can safely say, Mr. Hertz, that there is no conductor in America that will enjoy the honor that you have enjoyed today, of receiving a tribute such as this at the hands of a municipality, and I know of no other municipality in the United States that has played such an important part in the development of music, and I want to say, Mr. Mayor and members of this Board, that during my own experience my work in music has been a labor of love. I have had a training in music, and, while I never made a profession of it, it always has been an accomplishment that I have always enjoyed; I have always liked to be in the atmosphere of music, and in that atmosphere where we feel that we are away from worldly things and enjoying the highest forms of music. I want to say, too, Mr. Mayor, that when I was succeeded by Supervisor Franck Havenner, and after his experience of a year and a half, I am satisfied that the Board of Supervisors made no mistake in placing that gentleman in that position. He is a man of education and a man of cutlure and he took up the work where I left off and has improved on it, and I am proud to say that the Auditorium Committee and what they have done for music has certainly been a credit to the city and to the Board of Supervisors. (Applause.) I would now suggest, Mr. Mayor, with your permission, that you call on Mr. Havenner, as chairman of the Auditorium Committee, after which we will have the final number by the orchestra. (Applause.)

Supervisor Havenner: Mr. Mayor, during the past year and a half while I have served as chairman of the Auditorium Committee, it has been a privilege and a very real pleasure to be associated with Mr. Hertz, to come to know him personally and as a result of that personal acquaintance to form a very warm personal esteem and regard for him. Mr. Hertz has been the guide and mentor of the Auditorium Committee during my membership upon it in all matters musical. Without his assistance we would not have been able to carry on the work where it was left off by Mr. Hayden and our predecessors on that committee. I have learned to appreciate the wisdom of the qualities that they inaugurated of making the very best in music available to all the people of San Francisco and at a price which enables the largest crowds in America to attend our popular concerts. Mr. Hertz told me the other day that during a recent visit to New York professional promoters of music there had expressed some disgruntlement with San Francisco because of the fact that we are now selling the very best music out here at such low prices, but I want to say that I think that is one of the greatest blessings this city enjoys, and I think it is largely responsible for the prestige that San Francisco has attained as the musical center of the West. That prestige is due in large part to the genius and untiring efforts of Mr. Hertz, and I hope that San Francisco is going to be able to have the benefit of that genius and that untiring zeal for many years to come. (Applause.)

Supervisor Hayden: I believe one more Supervisor might be called upon, and that is Supervisor Colman. (Applause.)

The Mayor: Supervisor Colman.

Supervisor Colman: Mr. Mayor, I feel that we are highly honored at paying this tribute to Dr. Hertz. I think that in the giving of these concerts at the Auditorium he is responsible for a new interest that the people of San Francisco have taken in music, and he is responsible for a higher education in music than we have enjoyed, for he has brought music to us in its most beautiful forms. Our people have come to enjoy and understand music to a greater degree than ever before, and this is due in no small measure to Dr. Hertz.

Mr. Mayor, speaking of John Dempster McKee for just a brief moment, in addition to the fact that he is a dignified banker and a patron of music and the arts, I recall in my younger days and in his that conditions were somewhat different. He was then known as "Doc" McKee and used to play baseball at Golden Gate Park every Sunday with the original "Park Bums" and I played with him on many many occasions, and "Doc" McKee was a fine ball player, a splendid third baseman and a good hitter. It is really a gratifying thing to recall. Probably some of you did not know that; that there sits one of the originals of that famous institution that developed some splendid ball players, Mr. Mayor.

Now, Mr. Mayor, as to the orchestra and Mr. Hertz, I am a patron of the concerts and have been a regular one for many years. In that way, in addition to knowing Mr. Hertz, we get to know as well members of the Symphony Orchestra itself. Of the men of the orchestra who have come here today I know all of the faces, and while I do not know all of the names, I do know one who sits there, and I would like to have him stand up. That is Mr. Fenster.

(Mr. Fenster rises.) (Applause.)

The Mayor: I think, Mr. Colman, you would have done the right and proper thing by inviting every one of the members of the orchestra who are here today to stand up. (Applause.)

Supervisor Hayden: Mr. Mayor, for the record it may be well, perhaps, for Mr. Oesterreicher to state each name, so that it will go into the record.

Mr. Oesterreicher: Mr. L. Fenster. (Applause.) Mr. J. Koharich. (Applause.) Mr. R. Verney. (Applause.) Mr. W. Dehe. (Applause.)

The Mayor: I am sorry that Mr. John Dempster McKee did not say something about the War Memorial, which is before the people tomorrow, when we hope that the four million dollar bond issue will be passed by a two-thirds majority. If there ever was a proposition that should go over it is that War Memorial, which is in that block of land over there that has been given to us by the War Memorial Committee, one of the acting members of which is Mr. John Dempster McKee, and that block will be the site of a group of buildings that will be works of art. This has its place in the discussion today and in the tribute that we are paying to Dr. Hertz.

Now, Mr. Havenner, I don't know whether you think as I do, but I think the time is not far distant when the Auditorium itself is going to be too small. I am telling you that that building in some instances is crowded to overflowing, and when there is a dance given there there is hardly room to move around in the Auditorium. Just as a city grows it must have its larger buildings, just as the old Mechanics' Institute outwore itself and became too small for the city that was, just so is that Auditorium becoming too small for some of the events that we are asked to sponsor here in San Francisco at the present time. We are looking forward to the future, with this War Memorial proposition before us tomorrow, Mr. McKee, and Mr. Hertz, and everybody is enthusiastic about it and we hope it will go through.

Now, gentlemen of the orchestra, may I thank you in the name of the Board of Supervisors and of the people of San Francisco; you, Dr. Hertz; you, Mr. John Dempster McKee; Supervisors and everybody, for coming here today and for playing the parts in music that you are playing, and while we are presenting this memorial to Dr. Hertz, and I now pass it to Mr. Havenner so that it may be framed and sent to your home, Dr. Hertz. (Applause.)

Supervisor Hayden: I think, Mr. Mayor, that completes the program, and if there is no further business before us I move that we adjourn.

(Adjournment.)

RECESS.

Whereupon the Board took a recess until 2 p. m.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk.

REASSEMBLED—2 P. M.

The Board of Supervisors reassembled at 2 p. m.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Roncovieri—3.

Quorum present.

His Honor Mayor Rolph presiding.

Amending Zoning Ordinance, California Street.

The following matter was taken up:

Bill No. 8064, Ordinance No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings, and the locations of buildings designed for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Section 2 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, be changed so as to place the following described area in the Second Residential District instead of in the First Residential District:

Commencing at the intersection of the southerly line of California street and the easterly line of Parker avenue, thence southerly along the easterly line of Parker avenue a distance of 912.628 feet; thence easterly along the southerly line of Laurel Hill Cemetery a distance of 119.875 feet; thence northerly and parallel to Parker avenue a distance of 804.628 feet; thence easterly and parallel with California street a distance of 49.301 feet; thence northerly a distance of 125 feet to the southerly line of California street; thence westerly a distance of 157.178 feet to the point of commencement.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect upon the delivery to and acceptance of a deed by the City and County conveying a strip of land 14 feet wide along the frontage of Parker avenue for the purpose of widening the same, and also a parcel of land for the extension of Euclid avenue through the area herein described.

Privilege of the Floor.

R. Mohr and *A. Judell* were granted the privilege of the floor and heard in protest.

Mr. Feigenbaum was also heard.

Passed for Printing.

Whereupon, the foregoing bill was *passed for printing* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Roncovieri—3.

Appropriation, $45,000, Runways and Hangars, Municipal Airport.

Supervisor Kent presented:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $45,000 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of "Publicity and Advertising," Appropriation 55, for the further construction of runways and hangars at the Municipal Airport.

Referred to Finance Committee.

Appropriation, $1,800, Reception to Midshipmen and Officers, U. S. Navy.

Supervisor Hayden presented:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $1,800 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of "Publicity and Advertising," Appropriation 55, for expense of reception to visiting midshipmen and officers of the United States Navy.

Referred to Committee of Publicity and Public Welfare.

Appropriation, $10,000, to Advertise San Francisco's Municipal Airport.

Supervisor Kent presented:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the City and County of San Francisco, in keeping abreast with progress, has established a Municipal Airport for the use of commercial and private aviation; and

Whereas, there is appropriated each year certain sums of money to be used for advertising, exploiting and making known the resources of the City and County of San Francisco; and

Whereas, in the opinion of the Board of Supervisors, by no better

means can a knowledge of the development of aviation in and about the City and County of San Francisco be so thoroughly broadcast to all the people of the United States than by the advertising derived in the exploitation of aviation; and

Whereas, a quicker means of communication by mail and passenger service between the Hawaiian Islands and the mainland should be encouraged through aviation; be it

Resolved, That in carrying out this expressed purpose, there is hereby set aside and appropriated from the Pulicity and Advertising Fund the sum of ten thousand dollars, the said sum to be expended under the direction of the Airport Committee of the Board of Supervisors.

Referred to Publicity and Public Welfare Committee.

Board of Education, Special Tax.

Supervisor Havenner: There have been several statements published in the press recently to the effect that the Board of Education intends, for the first time in the history of this City, to exercise the right that the City Attorney says it has under the State law to levy a special tax in the tax rate to be fixed in September for school construction purposes. At the time the budget was under discussion here I stated on the floor that it was my intention to ask for conferences between representatives of the Board of Supervisors and the Board of Education for the purpose of determining some permanent municipal policy for the construction of schools, and that is what the president of the Board of Education has been asking for to be submitted to the voters at the coming election. I am of the opinion that if the Board of Education at San Francisco attempts to exercise the power of levying a tax, the people of San Francisco will insist upon a change in the method of formation of the present Board of Education. I don't believe that the people of San Francisco will permit any board, which is not directly elected by them, to exercise the power of taxation, so at this time, prior to going away, I wish to ask for the sanction of the Board for the Finance Committee to confer with the Board of Education and with the Mayor with respect, not only to the consideration of some permanent policy of taxation for school building purposes, but also with respect to the question of disposing of some of the school lands which was discussed during the time of the budget hearing, and I would like to move at this time that the Finance Committee be authorized with the Mayor to undertake these negotiations.

So ordered.

ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business, the Board at 6:15 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors, October 24, 1927.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated, and approved as above recited.

JOHN S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors.
City and County of San Francisco.

Vol. 22—New Series

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

No. 25

Monday, June 20, 1927

Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
337 Bush Street, S. F.

Journal of Proceedings
Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, JUNE 20, 1927, 10 A. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, June 20, 1927, 10 a. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Kent, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton—10.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Marks, McSheehy, Schmidt, Todd—8.

Quorum present.

His Honor Acting Mayor Shannon presiding.

APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the meeting of February 7, 1927, was considered read and approved.

ROLL CALL FOR PETITIOINS FROM MEMBERS.

Supervisor Milton Marks Excused.

The following was presented and read:

June 21, 1927.

Mr. John S. Dunnigan, Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco, California.

Dear Mr. Dunnigan:

I am leaving for Long Beach this coming Friday and will not be in San Francisco on Monday, June 27.

Please be good enough to have me excused from the meeting of the Board of Supervisors on that date.

Sincerely yours,

MILTON MARKS.

So ordered.

Relative to Negotiation for an Additional Water Aqueduct Down the Peninsula.

The following was read by the Clerk:

June 20, 1927.

Honorable Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco, Calif.

Dear Sirs:

On the 15th instant a letter was addressed to Mr. O'Toole from City Engineer M. M. O'Shaughnessy, an excerpt of which reads as follows:

"The daily consumption of water in San Francisco by the Spring Valley Water Company has climbed up to 51,752,231 gallons, fully up to the capacity of the transmission mains from San Mateo into San Francisco.

"As I stated to you several times, it is imperative that a new transmission line be built from San Andreas to Laguna Honda. Under the very best construction conditions this will take about 15 months to complete and I would therefore urge you to use the full facilities of your office to expedite the undertaking of this work immediately by the Spring Valley Water Company, after satisfactory arrangements are made for approval by the Railroad Commission. The Board of Supervisors will also have to cooperate by approval of this measure."

Since receipt of Mr. O'Shaughnessy's letter a conference was held with representatives of the Spring Valley Water Company, who emphasized the necessity for the new pipeline and from the facts submitted it is apparent that there is great and immediate need for the construction of the proposed line.

There is in existence an agreement between the Spring Valley Water Company and the City which has been approved by the Railroad Commission and it may be that you will determine that a modification of this agreement should be made. In that event the modification of the agreement would be submitted to the Railroad Commission for approval.

Your attention is further respectfully directed to the fact that on August 3rd the petition filed by this office for a reduction of the rates charged by the Spring Valley Water Company is set for hearing before the Railroad Commission, and if any action is contemplated by you it should be taken and concluded prior to that date, as your action may have a bearing on the rate case.

I recommend that negotiations be entered into by you with the Spring Valley Water Company with the

idea of working out a feasible plan for the construction of the necessary aqueduct.

Yours respectfully,
(Sgd) DION R. HOLM,
Chief Deputy City Attorney.

Referred to Public Utilities Committee.

San Francisco War Memorial Bonds.

San Francisco, June 17, 1927.

Honorable James Rolph, Jr., Mayor of San Francisco, and the Honorable Board of Supervisors.

Sirs:

The Trustees of the War Memorial express their deepest appreciation of your fine efforts in behalf of the War Memorial bonds. It was our responsibility to present the facts to you, and to leave with you, as the representatives of the people, the question of voting bonds for the project. Envisioning the great cultural and material benefits that the Memorial buildings will bring to the community, you approved the plan wholeheartedly, and the substantial vote in favor of it has proved your wisdom in giving to the people the opportunity to vote for the bonds.

Very truly yours,
Trustees, San Francisco War Memorial.
C. T. Crocker.
W. H. Crocker.
M. H. Esberg.
R. I. Bentley.
Herbert Fleishhacker.
Walter S. Martin.
John D. McKee.
C. H. Kendrick.
F. S. Kilsby.
(Signed) John S. Drum,
Chairman.

Read and *filed.*

War Department Will Hear Special Bridge Committee.

The following was read and ordered *filed*:

Di Washington D C
10:57 A Jun 9 1927
Mayor James Rolph Jr
In Answer June 6

Official committee of San Francisco will be given a hearing by War Department Engineers on June Twenty-first or as soon thereafter as desired.

DWIGHT F. DAVIS,
Secretary of War.

Garage Under War Memorial Site.

Supervisor Roncovieri moved that the City Architect make a study during preparation of War Memorial buildings plans for garage accommodations under streets and building covering proposed site.

Motion *carried.*

Leave of Absence.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

San Francisco, Cal.,
June 18, 1927.

Hon. Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen:

Application has been made to me by Hon. Lewis F. Byington, Hon. J. Emmet Hayden, Hon. Milo F. Kent, Hon. Andrew J. Gallagher, Hon. Alfred Roncovieri and Hon. Charles F. Todd for leave of absence with permission to leave the State of California for a period of fifteen days, commencing June twenty-second.

I hereby request that you concur with me in granting said leave of absence.

Your very truly,
WARREN SHANNON,
Acting Mayor.

Whereupon, the following resolution was presented and *adopted* by the following vote:

Resolution No. 27425 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor, the acting Mayor, Warren Shannon, the Honorables Lewis F. Byington, J. Emmet Hayden, Milo F. Kent, Andrew J. Gallagher, Alfred Roncovieri and Charles F. Todd, members of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County, are hereby granted leaves of absence for periods of fifteen days each, commencing June 22, 1927, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Kent, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton—10.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Marks, McSheehy, Schmidt, Todd—8.

San Francisco Representative at Reno Exposition.

His Honor the Mayor thereupon appointed Supervisor Byington to represent San Francisco at Reno Exposition.

PRESENTATION OF PROPOSALS.

Pedestrian Lane Markers.

Sealed proposals were received and opened at the hour of 11 a. m. for 60,000 pedestrian lane markers and *referred to the Supplies Committee.*

NOTICE OF RECONSIDERATION.

Action Deferred.

On motion of Supervisor Gallagher, action on the following matter was *deferred three weeks*:

Resolution presented by Supervisor Gallagher June 20, granting

H. J. Mallen permission, revocable at the will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate automobile parking station on the west side of Taylor street, south of Eddy street and refused passage.

UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

Final Passage.

The following matters, heretofore passed for printing, were taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Authorizations.

Resolution No. 27428 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

Park Fund.

(1) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., gas and electric service for parks (claim dated June 10, 1927), $1,019.52.

(2) Montague Pipe and Steel Co., pipe casing for well (claim dated June 10, 1927), $1,636.26.

Municipal Railway Compensation Insurance Fund.

(3) San Francisco City Employees Retirement System, for pensions, etc., Municipal Railway employees (claim dated June 3, 1927), $1,085.79.

Hetch Hetchy Power Operative Fund.

(4) Atlas Rock Co., gravel and sand for Mocassin Power House (claim dated June 2, 1927), $932.11.

(5) Hales & Symons, Inc., lumber for Mocassin Power House (claim dated June 1, 1927), $986.40.

Hetch Hetchy Bonds, Issue 1925.

(6) Bald Eagle Meat Market, meats, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated June 2, 1927), $1,983.73.

(7) Ingersoll-Rand Co. of California, one portable gasoline engine driven compressor unit, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated June 2, 1927), $3,705.57.

(8) E. H. Jasper and Edwin T. Jasper, oak chunk wood, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated June 2, 1927), $968.13.

(9) A. Levy and J. Zentner Co., fruits and vegetables, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated June 2, 1927), $530.10.

(10) William H. Rushing, oak stove wood, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated June 2, 1927), $2,005.63.

(11) Haas Brothers, groceries, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated June 7, 1927), $692.04.

(12) Hill & Morton, Inc., lumber, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated June 7, 1927), $1,656.55.

(13) Marion Steam Shovel Co., shovel parts, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated June 7, 1927), $611.43.

(14) J. F. Mitchell Machine and Gear Works, gears, bearings, etc., Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated June 7, 1927), $681.25.

(15) Pacific Electric Manufacturing Co., electrical parts, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated June 7, 1927), $1,281.67.

(16) Safety Cable Company, armored cable for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated June 7, 1927), $3,115.

(17) Western Pipe and Steel Co., air pipe, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated June 7, 1927), $1,830.20.

Special School Tax.

(18) E. K. Nelson, sixth payment, general construction of addition to the Madison School (claim dated June 7, 1927), $10,935.57.

School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923.

(19) John Reid, Jr., seventh payment, architectural services for Mission (Everett) Jr. High School (claim dated June 8, 1927), $1,225.19.

(20) Anderson & Ringrose, fourth payment, construction of Hearst-Moulder School (claim dated June 8, 1927), $28,940.47.

(21) Robert W. Hunt Co., inspection of structural steel, Mission (Everett) Jr. High School (claim dated June 8, 1927). $551.84.

(22) Frigidaire Corporation, refrigerator for Mission High School (claim dated June 8, 1927). $884.30.

School Bonds, Issue 1918.

(23) H. S. Crocker Co. Inc., desks, Mission High School (claim dated June 7, 1927), $2,439.20.

(24) Heywood-Wakefield Co., chair desks, Mission High School (claim dated June 7, 1927), $12,413.10.

(25) H. Schulte & Son, teachers' tables, Mission High School (claim dated June 7, 1927), $578.55.

Relief Home Bonds, Issue 1923.

(26) Clinton Construction Co., extra work, general construction of new Relief Home (claim dated June 7, 1927), $1,078.

(27) Frederick W. Snook Co., extra work, plumbing for Relief Home (claim dated June 8, 1927), $706.47.

Municipal Railway Accident Reserve Fund.

(28) E. Edward Westbrook and Anna Westbrook, in full settlement

account of accident sustained by Anna Westbrook (claim dated Jan. 8, 1927), $2,000.

County Road Fund.

(29) Pearson & Johnson, moving Charing Cross Kindergarten, required for improvement of Turk street, Masonic avenue to Willard street (claim dated June 8, 1927), $855.

(30) Municipal Construction Co., in full payment for improvement of westerly portion of Great Highway from Taraval street to Ulloa street (claim dated June 8, 1927), $4,558.

Playground Fund.

(31) Klawans & McMullin, recreational supplies for playgrounds (claim dated June 8, 1927). $513.50.

(32) Spring Valley Water Co., water service for playgrounds (claim dated June 8, 1927), $1,407.66.

General Fund, 1926-1927.

(33) Sonoma State Home, maintenance of feeble-minded for May (claim dated June 13, 1927), $9,585.

(34) The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company, printing Law-Motion-Trial Calendar, etc., for May (claim dated June 13, 1927), $1,075.

(35) A. P. Jacobs, rent of premises No. 333 Kearny street, month ending July 3, 1927, $1,186.

(36) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., street lighting for month of May (claim dated June 13, 1927), $53,973.59.

(37) McCarthy Bros., coffee, S. F. Hospital (claim dated June 9, 1927), $590.

(38) Sherry Bros., butter, S. F. Hospital (claim dated June 9, 1927), $1,621.

(39) Herbert F. Dugan, drug sundries, S. F. Hospital (claim dated June 9, 1927), $548.50.

(40) Leighton-Jellett Co., towels, etc., S. F. Hospital (claim dated June 9, 1927), $821.58.

(41) Pendelton Woolen Mills, blankets, S. F. Hospital (claim dated June 9, 1927), $2,691.

(42) Spring Valley Water Co., water for S. F. Hospital (claim dated June 9, 1927), $1,720.53.

(43) Associated Charities, food relief for March (claim dated June 9, 1927), $3,754.97.

(44) Associated Charities, food relief for April (claim dated June 9, 1927), $2,271.10.

(45) Leighton-Jellett Co., towels for Relief Home (claim dated June 9, 1927), $558.50.

(46) California Meat Co., meat for Relief Home (claim dated June 9, 1927). $2,580.37.

(47) California Petroleum Corporation, fuel oil, Relief Home (claim dated June 9, 1927), $1,858.98.

(48) J. T. Freitas Co., eggs, Relief Home (claim dated June 9, 1927), $1,300.74.

(49) Lesser Bros. Co., meat, Relief Home (claim dated June 9, 1927), $1,020.34.

(50) C. Nauman & Co., potatoes, Relief Home (claim dated June 9, 1927), $1,180.63.

(51) San Francisco Dairy Co., milk, Relief Home (claim dated June 9, 1927), $2,348.64.

(52) Sherry Bros. Inc., butter, Relief Home (claim dated June 9, 1927), $1,574.40.

(53) Buckley & Curtin, for department (claim dated June 13, 1927), $539.60.

(54) Phillips & Van Orden Co., election tally lists (claim dated June 9, 1927), $3,393.

(55) California Printing Co., printing index of names, Department of Elections (claim dated June 9, 1927), $3,130.44.

(56) Neal, Stratford & Kerr, stationery for Department of Elections (claim dated June 9, 1927), $742.

(57) Associated Charities, widows' pensions (claim dated June 10, 1927), $8,655.15.

(58) Eureka Benevolent Society, widows' pensions (claim dated June 10, 1927), $1,025.

(59) Little Children's Aid, widows' pensions (claim dated June 10, 1927), $8,853.54.

(60) C. B. Eaton, City's portion, construction of retaining walls on Virginia avenue (claim dated June 8, 1927), $705.03.

(61) Enterprise Foundry Company, catchbasin frames, etc., for sewer repairs (claim dated June 4, 1927), $961.20.

(62) Westinghouse Electric and Manufacturing Company, motor generator for Municipal Airport (claim dated June 4, 1927), $4,400.

(63) Symon Brothers, team hire for street cleaning (claim dated June 8, 1927), $770.50.

(64) E. C. Moran, construction of outlet sewer in Sunnydale avenue (claim dated June 8, 1927), $4,317.

(65) San Francisco Bulletin, official advertising (claim dated June 13, 1927), $2,611.86.

(66) James B. McSheehy, for expense of committee authorized and directed by the Board of Supervisors to appear before the Secretary of War at Washington, D. C., in the matter of securing approval of site for the construction of a bridge across San Francisco Bay to connect San Francisco and Alameda counties (claim dated June 13, 1927), $7,500.

(67) John J. O'Toole, for expenses to Washington, D. C., in matter of bridge hearing before the War Department (claim dated June 10, 1927), $600.

Playground Fund.

(68) Tilden Lumber and Mill Company, lumber for playgrounds (claim dated June 1, 1927). $627.59.

General Fund, 1926-1927.

(69) National Surety Company, premium on depositor's forgery bond, for account of Treasurer of the City and County, for period April 5, 1927, to April 5, 1930 (claim dated April 13, 1927), $1,275.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Kent, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton—10.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Marks, McSheehy, Schmidt, Todd—8.

Appropriations for Busses Furnished Municipal Railway for Use on The Embarcadero.

Resolution No. 27429 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of Municipal Railway Depreciation Fund in payment to the following named claimants for busses furnished the Municipal Railway for use on The Embarcadero, to-wit:

(1) Mack International Motor Truck Corporation, $16,395.74.

(2) Fageol Motors Company, $16,395.74.

(3) The White Company, $16,395.74.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Kent, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton—10.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Marks, McSheehy, Schmidt, Todd—8.

Appropriation, $49,187.22, for Six Passenger Busses for The Embarcadero.

Resolution No. 27430 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $49,187.22 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of Municipal Railway Depreciation Fund for the purchase of six passenger busses for use on The Embarcadero by the Municipal Railways.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Kent, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton—10.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Marks, McSheehy, Schmidt, Todd—8.

Appropriation, $1,420.18, Payment to J. P. Holland for Construction of Facing to Bluff at Sutro Heights.

Resolution No. 27431 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $1,420.18 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of Urgent Necessity, Budget Item No. 26, and authorized in payment to J. P. Holland for work performed in construction of facing to bluff at Sutro Heights adjacent to highway and overlooking the ocean beach.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Kent, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton—10.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Marks, McSheehy, Schmidt, Todd—8.

Appropriations, Repair Motor, Islais Creek Bridge, Installation of New Elevator, Forest Hill Station, Twin Peaks Tunnel.

Resolution No. 27432 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter named funds for the following purposes, to-wit:

Repair and Painting of Bridges, Budget Item No. 38.

(1) For repair of existing motor and the installation of new motor at the Islais Creek bridge, Third street, $950.

Municipal Railway Depreciation Fund.

(2) For the installing of a new elevator in one of the two remaining shafts at the Forest Hill Station of the Municipal Railways, Twin Peaks tunnel, $9,000.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Kent, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton—10.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Marks, McSheehy, Schmidt, Todd—8.

Appropriations, $16,225, for Additional Circuits, Traffic Signs, Safety Zone and Equipment Required by Traffic Bureau.

Resolution No. 27433 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of "Traffic Signals, etc.," Budget Item No. 69, for the following purposes in connection with traffic regulation, to-wit:

For the purchase of material and the construction and installation of

additional circuits by the Department of Electricity of the Wiley traffic signal, required for street traffic control, $10,000.

For the purchase of traffic signs, to be erected under the direction of the Traffic Bureau of the Police Department, $2,000.

For the installing of safety zones, $2,125.

For the purchase of auto truck for use of painter engaged in painting markers at safety zones, $900.

For purchase of traffic zone buttons, $500.

For painting of buttons in zones, $700.

Total, $16,225.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Kent, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton—10.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Marks, McSheehy, Schmidt, Todd—8.

Oil Tank Permits.

Resolution No. 27434 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits be and are hereby granted:

Oil Tanks.

E. Winetraub, south side of Magellan avenue, 100 feet west of Pacheco street, 1500 gallons capacity.

B. S. Berry, west side of Fillmore street, 100 feet south of Grove street, 1500 gallons capacity.

G. A. Mattern, 251 San Anselmo avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

Wm. Helbing Co., north side of Lombard street, 100 feet east of Van Ness avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

Wm. Helbing Co., north side of Lombard street, 175 feet east of Van Ness avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

Wm. Helbing Co., north side of Lombard street, 250 feet east of Van Ness avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

F. H. Boland, 2455 Baker street, 600 gallons capacity.

J. Bernstein, 250 Magellan avenue, 600 gallons capacity.

Jos. Moyse, 133 Commonwealth avenue, 600 gallons capacity.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits shall become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Kent, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton—10.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Marks, McSheehy, Schmidt, Todd—8.

Transferring Lands to School Department.

Resolution No. 27435 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the City and County of San Francisco is the owner of that certain lot of land bounded as follows, to-wit: On the north by Clement street, on the east by Twenty-ninth avenue, on the south by Geary street, and on the west by Thirtieth avenue, and known as Outside Land Block No. 210; and

Whereas, said lot of land is vacant and unused, and is at the present time needed by the School Department for the purpose of being used for the erection and construction of school buildings thereon; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the above described real property be and the same is hereby transferred to the School Department and that from and after the passage and approval of this resolution said real property be under the jurisdiction of the Board of Education to be dealt with for school purposes.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Kent, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton—10.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Marks, McSheehy, Schmidt, Todd—8.

Blasting Permits.

Resolution No. 27436 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Granfield, Farrar & Carlin be and are hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to explode blasts on Vermont street between Twentieth and Twenty-second streets, provided said permittee shall execute and file a good and and sufficient bond in the sum of $5,000 as fixed by the Board of Public Works, and approved by his Honor the Mayor, in accordance with Ordinance No. 1204 (New Series); provided, also, that said blasts shall be exploded only between the hours of 7 a. m. and 6 p. m., and that the work of blasting shall be performed to the satisfaction of the Board of Public Works, and that if any of the conditions of this resolution be violated by said Granfield, Farrar & Carlin, then the privileges and all rights accruing thereunder shall immediately become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Kent, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton—10.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Marks, McSheehy, Schmidt, Todd—8.

Resolution No. 27437 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Granfield, Farrar

& Carlin be and are hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to explode blasts on Grand View avenue between Twenty-first and Twenty-second streets, provided said permittee shall execute and file a good and sufficient bond in the sum of ten thousand ($10,000) dollars as fixed by the Board of Public Works and approved by his Honor the Mayor, in accordance with Ordinance No. 1204 (New Series); provided, also, that said blasts shall be exploded only between the hours of 7 a. m. and 6 p. m., and that the work of blasting shall be performed to the satisfaction of the Board of Public Works, and if any of the conditions of this resolution be violated by said Granfield, Farrar & Carlin, then the privileges and all rights accruing thereunder shall immediately become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Kent, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton—10.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Marks, McSheehy, Schmidt, Todd—8.

Amending Zone Ordinance Placing Property on Westerly Line of Hudson Garden and Orchard Tract South of Williams Avenue in the Light Industrial District.

Bill No. 8063, Ordinance No. 7577 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings, and the locations of buildings designed for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Section 11 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place the following described property in the Light Industrial District, where not already so zoned, instead of the First Residential and Commercial Districts:

Commencing at a point on the westerly line of the Hudson Garden and Orchard Tract, distant 110 feet southerly from the northerly line of Williams avenue, running thence easterly along a line parallel with and distant southerly 110 feet from the northerly line of Williams avenue to the westerly line of Third street; thence southerly along the westerly line of Third street to the northerly line of the Western Development Tract; thence westerly along the northerly line of the Western Development Tract to a point 50 feet easterly from the westerly line of the Hudson Garden and Orchard Tract; thence northerly along a line 50 feet easterly from and parallel with the westerly line of the Hudson Garden and Orchard Tract to the center line of Carroll avenue; thence westerly along the center line of Carroll avenue to the westerly line of the Hudson Garden and Orchard Tract; thence northerly along the westerly line of the Hudson Garden and Orchard Tract to the point of commencement.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Kent, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton—10.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Marks, McSheehy, Schmidt, Todd—8.

Amending Zoning Ordinance, California Street.

Bill No. 8064, Ordinance No. 7578 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings, and the locations of buildings designed for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Section 2 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, be changed so as to place the following described area in the Second Residential District instead of in the First Residential District:

Commencing at the intersection of the southerly line of California street and the easterly line of Parker avenue, thence southerly along the easterly line of Parker avenue a distance of 912.628 feet; thence easterly along the southerly line of Laurel Hill Cemetery a distance of 119.875 feet; thence northerly and parallel to Parker avenue a distance of 804.638 feet; thence easterly and parallel with California street a distance of 49.301 feet; thence northerly a distance of 125 feet to the southerly line of California street;

thence westerly a distance of 157.138 feet to the point of commencement.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect upon the delivery to and acceptance of a deed by the City and County conveying a strip of land 14 feet wide along the frontage of Parker avenue for the purpose of widening the same, and also a parcel of land for the extension of Euclid avenue through the area herein described.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Kent, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton—10.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Marks, McSheehy, Schmidt, Todd—8.

Fixing Sidewalk Widths on Carolina Street.

Bill No. 8065, Ordinance No. 7579 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered nine hundred and sixty-six.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Board of Public Works, filed in this office June 7, 1927, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered nine hundred and sixty-six, to read as follows:

Section 966. The width of sidewalks on Carolina street between Twenty-second street and Twenty-third street shall be as shown on that certain map entitled "Map of Carolina street between Twenty-second street and Twenty-third street," showing the location of street and curb lines and the width of sidewalks.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect and be in force from and after its passage.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Kent, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton—10.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Marks, McSheehy, Schmidt, Todd—8.

Fixing Sidewalk Widths on Roosevelt Way.

Bill No. 8066, Ordinance No. 7580 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered nine hundred and sixty-seven.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Board of Public Works, filed in this office June 7, 1927, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered nine hundred and sixty-seven, to read as follows:

Section 967. The width of sidewalks on Roosevelt way between Fourteenth street and Seventeenth street shall be as shown on that certain map entitled "Map of Roosevelt way between Fourteenth street and Seventeenth street," showing the location of street and curb lines and the width of sidewalks.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect and be in force from and after its passage.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Kent, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton—10.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Marks, McSheehy, Schmidt, Todd—8.

Fixing Sidewalk Widths on Noriega Street Between Twenty-second and Forty-eighth Avenues.

Bill No. 8067, Ordinance No. 7581 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered nine hundred and sixty-five.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Board of Public Works, filed in this office June 7, 1927, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered nine hundred and sixty-five, to read as follows:

Section 965. The width of sidewalks on Noriega street between Twenty-second avenue and Forty-eighth avenue shall be twelve (12) feet.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect and be in force from and after its passage.

A y e s — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Kent, P o w e r s, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton—10.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Marks, McSheehy, Schmidt, Todd—8.

Establish Grades on Bartol Place.

Bill No. 8068, Ordinance No. 7582 (New Series), as follows:

Establishing grades on Bartol place between Broadway and a line parallel with and 137.50 feet southerly therefrom.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The grades on Bartol place between Broadway and a line parallel with and 137.50 feet southerly therefrom are hereby established at points hereinafter named and at heights above city base as hereinafter stated, in accordance with recommendation of the Board of Public Works filed June 1, 1927:

Bartol Place.

3.92 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 50 feet southerly from Broadway, 63.40 feet.

3.92 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 50 feet southerly from Broadway, 63.50 feet.

137.50 feet southerly from Broadway, 60.00 feet.

On Bartol place between Broadway and a line parallel with and 137.50 feet southerly therefrom be established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor and the present official grade of Broadway at Bartol place.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

A y e s — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Kent, P o w e r s, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton—10.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Marks, McSheehy, Schmidt, Todd—8.

Plans for Construction of Gasoline Service Station, Mills Field Municipal Airport.

Bill No. 8069, Ordinance No. 7583 (New Series), as follows:

Authorizing and ordering the preparation of plans and specifications for the construction and operation of a gasoline service station and incidental grading and improvements at Mills Field Municipal Airport of the City and County of San Francisco.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized, instructed and empowered to prepare plans and specifications for the construction and operation of a gasoline service station and incidental grading and improvements at Mills Field Municipal Airport of the City and County of San Francisco, and to enter into a contract for the construction and operation of said gasoline service station and incidental improvements.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

A y e s — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Kent, P o w e r s, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton—10.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Marks, McSheehy, Schmidt, Todd—8.

Action Deferred.

The following matters were *continued one week*:

Appropriation, Referendum Petition.

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $2,500 be hereby appropriated out of General Fund, 1926-1927, for expense in connection with securing signatures for referendum petition against act reapportioning legislative districts in the State of California, which act reduces the Senatorial legislative representatives in certain counties, including San Francisco.

Transfer of $42,508.21 From Twin Peaks Tunnel Fund to Municipal Railway Fund.

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $42,508.21 be and is hereby ordered transferred from the Twin Peaks Ridge Tunnel Assessment Fund to the Municipal Railway Fund and the Board of Public Works, Tax Collector, Auditor and Treasurer are hereby directed to make such entries in their official records and books of account as will correctly show such transfer; said transfer is for the purpose of reimbursing said Municipal Railway Fund for moneys advanced therefrom and used in the construction of the Twin Peaks Tunnel project.

Referred.

The following matter was presented and *referred to the Streets Committee*:

Spur Track Permit, Santa Fe.

Bill No. —— Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Granting permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to The Atchison, Topeka and Santa Fe Railway Company to construct, maintain and operate a spur track across Illinois and Michigan streets in and along Twenty-third street and a short spur crossing a portion of Twenty-third street.

Cars for Judah Street Car Line.

Supervisor Gallagher raised the question as to what was being done with the request of the Board of Public Works for authority to purchase fifteen additional street cars for the Judah street line.

Supervisor Shannon, chairman of the Public Utilities Committee, stated that the question of the request from the Board of Public Works for authorization to purchase fifteen additional street cars on the option upon which fifteen cars have just been completed, had at the last meeting of the committee been continued for thirty days.

Supervisor Gallagher thereupon urged that it is important that these cars be ordered now so that they will be ready for use at the time when the Judah street car line is completed, which will be in October or November next, and moved that the resolution be called out of committee for hearing before the Board.

Privilege of the Floor

Assistant City Engineer Eckert, stated that some of the cars recently completed might be used on the Judah street car line and that if ten additional cars were ordered now, that would be sufficient to give a four-minute headway on Judah street during the peak hours, and that there should be a four-minute headway.

Mr. Adolph Uhl read a statement in which he advocated the granting of permission to the Market Street Railway Company to operate its cars through the Duboce Tunnel, thereby avoiding a duplication of service, as he stated.

Mr. Vandeleur, president of the Carmen's Union, urged the ordering of the cars, as he thought this would preclude the granting of permission to run through the Duboce Tunnel to the Market Street Railway Company. He stated that there is now $285,000 in the Old Depreciation Fund of the Municipal Railway and that the cars can be purchased and still have enough money left to complete the Duboce Tunnel and the car line.

Mr. Eckert stated that when the $500,000 which was appropriated several years ago for the construction of the Judah street car line has been exhausted, there will still be required about $70,000 to complete the line. That the ten additional cars would cost approximately $190,000.

Motion Lost.

Whereupon, the roll being called on the adoption of the resolution, the same was *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Powers, Roncovieri, Stanton—7.

Noes—Supervisors Badaracco, Kent, Shannon—3.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Marks, McSheehy, Schmidt, Todd—8.

The matter was thereupon ordered to remain on the calendar until the next meeting, at which time the Public Utilities Committee has promised to bring in a report in regard to the matter.

Klamath Falls to San Francisco Highway.

Assemblyman J. J. Murphy from Del Norte, appeared before the Board on behalf of a new highway which it is proposed to construct from Klamath Falls to San Francisco.

On request of the Board he will file his request with the Board in writing.

Bridge Application Filed.

The Construction Company of North America filed another application for franchise to construct a bridge across San Francisco Bay, extending from Rincon Hill to the Alameda Mole.

Ordered on Calendar for hearing at the same date as other applications, July 25, 1927.

PRESENTATION OF BILLS AND ACCOUNTS.

Demands not required by law to be passed to print, and amounting to $61,320.59, were allowed and ordered paid by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Kent, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton—10.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Marks, McSheehy, Schmidt, Todd—8.

NEW BUSINESS.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Authorizations.

On motion of Supervisor Kent:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

PUBLIC LIBRARY

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

Park Fund.

(1) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., gas and electric service for parks (claim dated June 17, 1927), $3,-010.68.

(2) The Fay Improvement Co., resurfacing of courts at parks (claim dated June 17, 1927), $3,-829.89.

(3) Langendorf Baking Co., bread for parks (claim dated June 17, 1927), $759.29.

(4) Lyon Metallic Mfg. Co., lockers for parks (claim dated June 17, 1927), $2,625.

(5) B. Rosenberg, alterations to Kezar Playing Field (claim dated June 17, 1927), $1.875.

(6) Spring Valley Water Co., water service for parks (claim dated June 17, 1927), $5,114.30.

(7) Frank Food Co., supplies for parks (claim dated June 17, 1927), $596.57.

(8) National Ice Cream Co., ice cream for parks (claim dated June 17, 1927), $923.99.

(9) National Ice Cream Co., ice cream for parke (claim dated June 17, 1927), $2,766.44.

(10) San Francisco Dairy Co., milk for parks (claim dated June 17, 1927), $905.86.

Library Fund.

(11) American Building Maintenance Co., janitor service, public libraries (claim dated May 31, 1927), $700.

(12) Mandrell & Bowen, painting and cleaning of public library (claim dated May 31, 1927, $590.

(13) Foster & Futernick, binding library books (claim dated May 31, 1927), $3,005.64.

County Road Fund.

(14) C. B. Eaton, improvement of Howard street between Army and Twenty-sixth streets (claim dated June 15, 1927), $2,302.25.

(15) Street Repair Department, Board of Public Works, for materials, etc., for the improvement of Hunt street (claim dated June 13, 1927), $555.60.

Hetch Hetchy Water Construction Fund. Bond Issue 1925.

(16) T. E. Connolly and J. M. De Lucas, fifth payment, construction of aqueduct tunnels, Foothill Division, Hetch Hetchy Water Supply (claim dated June 13, 1927), $35,-920.67.

(17) A. Guthrie & Co., Inc., sixth payment, construction of aqueduct tunnels, Foothill Division, Hetch Hetchy Water Supply (claim dated June 13, 1927), $47,805.47.

(18) A. Levy and J. Zentner Co., produce furnished Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated June 13, 1927), $620.64.

(19) Wilkinson Bros., oak stovewood, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated June 13, 1927), $750.63.

Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1910.

(20) Hetch Hetchy Water Construction Fund, for account of expenditures made in construction of tourist camp at Mather, Cal. (claim dated June 15, 1927), $5,431.54.

Hetch Hetchy Power Operative Fund.

(21) Depreciation Fund, Hetch Hetchy Power Operative, as reserve for depreciation, per Section 16, Article XII, of Charter, for June (claim dated June 14, 1927), $14,-584.

Municipal Railway Fund.

(22) American Brake Shoe and Foundry Co., brake shoes for cars of Municipal Railway (claim dated June 9, 1927), $2,258.07.

(23) Crown Oil Co., gasoline for Municipal Railways (claim dated June 9, 1927), $1,978.20.

(24) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., splicing material furnished for account of Municipal Railways (claim dated June 9, 1927), $973.30.

(25) San Francisco City Employees Retirement System, for pensions, etc., Municipal Railway employees (claim dated June 7, 1927), $7,306.77.

(26) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., electricity furnished Municipal Railways (claim dated June 11, 1927), $37,768.17.

Municipal Railway Depreciation Reserve Fund.

(27) Street Repair Department, Board of Public Works, street paving for Municipal Railways, Vallejo street between Van Ness avenue and Larkin street (claim dated June 9, 1927), $5,283.46.

(28) Street Repair Department, Board of Public Works, paving for Municipal Railways, Geary street between Divisadero street and Presidio avenue (claim dated June 9, 1927), $5,808.29.

(29) Bureau of Street Repair, asphalt repairs to Municipal Railway rights of way (claim dated June 9, 1927), $998.40.

Municipal Railway Depreciation Fund.

(30) H. L. Kell, final payment, furnishing and delivering wood

ties for Municipal Railways (claim dated June 15, 1927), $14,499.21.

Hetch Hetchy Operative Revenue Fund.

(31) John J. Dailey, legal services, valuation of San Francisco electric properties, month of June, 1927 (claim dated June 13, 1927), $850.

(32) N. Randall Ellis, engineering services, valuation of San Francisco electric properties, month of June, 1927 (claim dated June 13, 1927), $750.

School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923.

(33) Heywood-Wakefield Co., furnishing and installing opera chairs, new Mission High School (claim dated June 10, 1927), $1,216.25.

(34) N. Brandlein & Co., chair desks for John Muir and Sherman schools (claim dated June 14, 1927), $3,982.08.

School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1918.

(35) H. S. Crocker Co., Inc., filing equipment, Mission High School (claim dated June 14, 1927), $641.25.

(36) Empire Planing Mill, library tables for Mission High School (claim dated June 14, 1927), $734.40.

(37) Haas Wood and Ivory Works, bookkeeping tables for Mission High School (claim dated June 14, 1927), $1,074.60.

Special School Tax.

(38) Baker, Hamilton & Pacific Co., hardware for school repairs (claim dated June 9, 1927), $744.81.

General Fund, 1926-1927.

(39) Spring Valley Water Co., labor and material furnished for raising high-pressure water mains in Sixth street (claim dated June 9, 1927), $7,183.37.

(40) Spring Valley Water Co., water furnished for street cleaning (claim dated June 9, 1927), $659.88.

(41) Spring Valley Water Co., water furnished public buildings (claim dated June 9, 1927), $2,237.34.

(42) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., lighting public buildings (claim dated June 10, 1927), $3,510.75.

(43) Crown Oil Co., gasoline furnished Police Department (claim dated June 13, 1927), $792.20.

(44) Boys' Aid Society, maintenance of minors (claim dated June 10, 1927), $1,330.10.

(45) Protestant Orphanage, maintenance of minors (claim dated June 10, 1927), $1,040.

(46) The Albertinum School, maintenance of minors (claim dated June 10, 1927), $1,657.50.

(47) San Francisco Nursery for Homeless Children, maintenance of minors (claim dated June 10, 1927), $560.

(48) St. Vincent's School, maintenance of minors (claim dated June 19, 1927), $2,045.78.

(49) Roman Catholic Orphanage, maintenance of minors (claim dated June 10, 1927), $4,060.

(50) Little Children's Aid, maintenance of minors (claim dated June 10, 1927), $12,566.11.

(51) The Children's Agency, maintenance of minors (claim dated June 10, 1927), $29,969.33.

(52) Eureka Benevolent Society, maintenance of minors (claim dated June 10, 1927), $3,911.84.

(53) Eaton & Smith, fourth payment, improvement of Sutro Monument and grounds (claim dated June 15, 1927), $3,750.

(54) Latourette-Fical Co., second payment, construction for water supply, drainage, etc., for Municipal Airport (claim dated June 15, 1927), $3,850.

(55) Roy Lind, first payment, construction of Hangar No. 1, Municipal Airport (claim dated June 15, 1927), $11,250.

(56) Crown Oil Co., gasoline, Fire Department (claim dated May 31, 1927), $1,104.

(57) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., gas and electricity furnished Fire Department (claim dated May 31, 1927), $1,786.65.

(58) Shell Company of California, fuel oil for Fire Department (claim dated May 31, 1927), $1,270.04.

(59) Spring Valley Water Co., water furnished and installation of hydrants, Fire Department (claim dated May 31, 1927), $2,198.53.

(60) Tire Service Co., tires furnished Fire Department (claim dated May 31, 1927), $868.48.

(61) Preston School of Industry, maintenance of inmates (claim dated June 17, 1927), $622.60.

(62) E. B. Frick, M. D., room allowances for San Francisco Hospital employees (claim dated May 31, 1927), $2,303.50.

(63) Langendorf Baking Co., bread, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated May 31, 1927), $1,155.10.

(64) McCarthy Bros., coffee for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated May 31, 1927), $590.

(65) Lesser Bros., meats for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated May 31, 1927), $2,210.59.

(66) J. T. Freitas Co., eggs for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated May 31, 1927), $1,700.70.

(67) Sherry Bros., Inc., butter

for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated May 31, 1927), $1,851.20.

(68) San Francisco Dairy Co., milk and cream for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated May 31, 1927), $4,749.43.

(69) L. Scatena & Co., vegetables, etc., for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated May 31, 1927), $527.05.

(70) C. Naumann & Co., potatoes, etc., for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated May 31, 1927), $692.24.

Appropriations, Payments to Mollie C. Anderson, Thomas S. Webb and L. B. Hammond for Lands Required for School Purposes.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923, and authorized in payment to the hereinafter named claimants; being payments for lands required for school purposes, to-wit:

(1) To Mollie Canter Anderson, for land commencing at a point on the east side of Banks street, 175 feet south of Tompkins avenue, running thence south 25 feet; thence east 70 feet; thence north 25 feet; thence west 70 feet; being Lot 23, Block 5725 on the Assessor's Map Book; per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 27421, New Series (claim dated June 20, 1927), $3,000.

(2) To Thomas S. Webb for lands commencing at point on the east line of Banks street, distant thereon 25 feet southerly from southerly line of Tompkins avenue, running thence southerly along east line of Banks street 50 feet; thence at right angles easterly 70 feet; thence northerly 50 feet; thence westerly 70 feet; being portion of Block 5728 on Assessor's Map Book; and for land commencing at point on the westerly line of Prentiss street, distant 50 feet southerly from south line of Tompkins avenue, running thence southerly on westerly line of Prentiss street 75 feet; thence at right angle westerly 70 feet; thence northerly 75 feet; thence easterly 70 feet; being a portion of Block 5728 on Assessor's Map Book; required for school purposes: per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 27420, New Series (claim dated June 20, 1927), $3,300.

(3) To L. B. Hammond, for land on northerly line of Anza street, commencing 51 feet 9 inches westerly from west line of Thirty-first avenue, thence running westerly on northerly line of Anza street 25 feet; thence northerly 100 feet; thence easterly 25 feet; thence southerly 100 feet; being a portion of Block 1513 on Assessor's Map Book; per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 27400, New Series (claim dated June 20, 1927), $2,200.

Appropriation, $25,000, for Improvement and Development of Yacht Harbor.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $25,000 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of General Fund, 1926-1927, for continuing the improvement and development of the Yacht Harbor.

Appropriating $10,000 for Publicity Regarding San Francisco Aviation Activities.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the City and County of San Francisco, in keeping abreast with progress, has established a Municipal Airport for the use of commercial and private aviation; and

Whereas, there is appropriated each year certain sums of money to be used for advertising, exploiting and making known the resources of the City and County of San Francisco; and

Whereas, in the opinion of the Board of Supervisors, by no better means can a knowledge of the development of aviation in and about the City and County of San Francisco be so thoroughly broadcast to all the people of the United States than by the advertising derived in the exploitation of aviation; and

Whereas, a quicker means of communication by mail and passenger service between the Hawaiian Islands and the mainland should be encouraged through aviation; be it

Resolved, That in carrying out this expressed purpose, there is hereby set aside and appropriated from the Publicity and Advertising Fund the sum of ten thousand dollars, the said sum to be expended under the direction of the Airport Committee of the Board of Supervisors.

Plans, Etc., for Grading, Drainage and Surfacing Mills Field Municipal Airport.

Also, Bill No. 8088, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Authorizing the Board of Public Works to perpare plans and specifications and enter into contract for the improvement of the Mills Field Municipal Airport of San Francisco.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized, instructed and empowered to prepare plans and specifications for grading and incidental drainage and surfacing at Mills Field Municipal Airport of San Francisco, and to enter into contract for the construction of such grading and incidental drainage and surfacing in accordance with the plans and specifications prepared therefor.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Oil Tank Permits.

On motion of Supervisor Deasy:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits be and are hereby granted:

Oil Tanks.

L. Anker, west side of Twenty-fourth avenue, 100 feet south of Lake street, 1500 gallons capacity.

H. E. Bacon, north side of Pleasant street, 54 feet east of Jones street, 1500 gallons capacity.

J. J. Barrett, south side of Page street, 100 feet west of Lyon street, 1500 gallons capacity.

E. Caroli, 2558 Clay street, 150 feet east of Steiner street, 1500 gallons capacity.

California Real Estate and Finance Corporation, south side of Geary street, 32.6 feet west of Eleventh avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

W. C. Cohn, 1236 Francisco street, 1500 gallons capacity.

S. Farb, north side of Hayes street, 105 feet west of Webster street, 1500 gallons capacity.

W. G. Hind, south side of Sacramento street, 165 feet west of Scott street, 1500 gallons capacity.

J. Johnson, east side of Twentieth avenue, 80 feet south of Taraval street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Lang Realty Co., northeast corner Francisco and Divisadero streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

H. O. Lindeman, south line of Oak street, 165 feet east of Masonic avenue, 1500 gallons capacity

H. O. Lindeman, north line of Anza street, 25 feet west of Eleventh avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

May I. Neubauer, northwest corner of Page and Fillmore streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

Haley Bros., southwest corner Broderick and Beach streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

Thos. Scoble, south side of Rico way, 75 feet west of Retiro way, 1500 gallons capacity.

J. Truffelli, 1165 Clay street, 130 feet east of Taylor street, 1500 gallons capacity.

H. Wachter, 344 Arguello boulevard, 1500 gallons capacity.

C. F. Kirschling, south side of California street, 75 feet west of Parker avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits shall become null and void.

Automobile Supply Station Permit.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Union Oil Company of California be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at the will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an automobile supply station on the southwest corner of Divisadero and Pine streets.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall be null and void.

Accepting Offer of Ferdinand Fischer to Sell Certain Lands on Prentiss Street Required for School Purposes.

Supervisor Powers presented:

Resolution No. 27438 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, an offer has been received from Ferdinand Fischer to convey title to certain land situate on west line of Prentiss street, distant 175 feet southerly from Tompkins avenue, required for school purposes; and

Whereas, the price at which said parcel of land is offered is the reasonable value thereof; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the offer of the said owner to convey to the City and County of San Francisco a good and sufficient fee simple title to the following described land, free of all encumbrances, for the sum of $500 be and the same is hereby accepted, the said land being described as follows, to-wit:

Commencing at a point on the westerly line of Prentiss street, distant thereon 175 feet southerly from the southerly line of Tompkins avenue, running thence southerly along said westerly line of Prentiss street 25 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 70 feet; thence at a right angle northerly 25 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 70 feet to the westerly line of Prentiss street and point of commencement. Being a portion of Block 5728 on Assessor's Map Book.

The City Attorney is hereby directed to examine the title to said

land and, if the same is found to be vested in the aforesaid owner, free of all encumbrances, and that the taxes up to and including the current fiscal year are paid, and that the so-called McEnerney title has been procured or sufficient money reserved for the purpose of procuring the same, to report the result of his examination to the Board of Supervisors, and also to cause a good and sufficient deed for said land to be executed and delivered to the City and County upon payment of the agreed purchase price as aforesaid. And the said deed to said land is hereby accepted.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco. Byington, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Kent, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton—10.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Marks, McSheehy, Schmidt, Todd—8.

Accepting Offer of Joseph A. Brown and Gilda Brown to Sell Certain Lands Required for the Widening of Garfield Street From Orizaba Avenue to Junipero Serra Boulevard.

Supervisor Marks presented:

Resolution No. 27439 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the owners of the following described land, sought to be acquired by the City and County of San Francisco for the widening of Garfield street from Orizaba avenue to Junipero Serra boulevard, have offered to convey the property desired by the City and County of San Francisco for the sum set forth opposite their names, viz.:

Joseph A. Brown and Gilda Brown, $1—Beginning at a point distant 100 feet at right angles northerly from the northerly line of Garfield street and distant 59.545 feet at right angles easterly from the easterly line of Bright street, and running thence easterly parallel with Garfield street 40.455 feet; thence at right angles northerly 7.535 feet; thence westerly on a curve to the left of 640-foot radius, tangent to a line deflected 98 deg. 42 min. 29 sec. to the left from the preceding course, central angle 3 deg. 41 min. 5 sec., a distance of 41.159 feet to the point of beginning. Being portion of City Land Associataion Block No. 57.

As a further consideration for said convevance it is hereby agreed that the following described parcels of land become the property of Joseph A. Brown and Gilda Brown:

Parcel A. Beginning at a point on the easterly line of Bright street, distant northerly thereon 83.872 feet from the northerly line of Garfield street, thence northerly along said easterly line 16.128 feet; thence at right angles easterly 50 feet; thence at right angles southerly 2.174 feet; thence southwesterly along a curve to the left, tangent to a line deflected to the right 76 deg. 43 min. 51 sec., radius 640 feet, central angle 4 deg. 38 min. 55 sec., a distance of 51.925 feet to the easterly line of Bright street and the point of beginning. Being a portion of City Land Association Block 57.

Parcel B. Beginning at a point distant at right angles northerly 97.826 feet from the northerly line of Garfield street and 50 feet at right angles easterly from the easterly line of Bright street, thence northerly along a line drawn parallel to and 50 feet easterly from said easterly line of Bright street a distance of 2.174 feet; thence at right angles easterly 9.545 feet; thence southwesterly along a curve to the left, tangent to a line deflected to the right 167 deg. 36 min. 26 sec. from the preceding course, radius 640 feet, central angle 0 deg. 52 min. 35 sec., a distance of 9.789 feet to the point of beginning. Being a portion of City Land Association Block 57.

Whereas, the City Attorney has recommended the acceptance of the said offer and the acquisition of the property owned by said persons and offered to the City and County of San Francisco for the price set forth, which said price is in accordance with the City's appraisement of the property; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the said offer of sale be accepted and the City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to examine the title of said property, and if the same is found in satisfactory condition, to accept in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco a deed conveying title thereto, and file the same for record with a copy of this resolution attached thereto as evidence of acceptance by the City and County of San Francisco, upon payment of the agreed purchase price; and be it

Further Resolved, That the California Pacific Title and Trust Company be authorized to deed said Parcels A and B to Joseph A. Brown and Gilda Brown.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Kent, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton—10.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy,

Harrelson, Havenner, Marks, McSheehy, Schmidt, Todd—8.

Changing the Name of Caselli Avenue Between Market Street and Corbett Avenue to Clayton Street.

Supervisor Marks presented:

Resolution No. 27440 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the Board of Public Works, by Resolution No. 95064 (Second Series), adopted December 13, 1926, recommended to the Board of Supervisors that the name of Caselli avenue between Market street and Corbett avenue be changed to Clayton street; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the name of Caselli avenue between Market street and Corbett avenue be changed to Clayton street.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Kent, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton—10.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Marks, McSheehy, Schmidt, Todd—8.

Acceptance of Deed From United States Government for Land Occupied by Palace of Fine Arts.

Supervisor Marks presented:

Resolution No. 27441 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the deed of the United States Government to the City and County of San Francisco, dated the 3d day of June 1927, conveying a portion of the Presidio Reservation occupied by the Palace of Fine Arts and containing 9.93 acres, more or less, be and is hereby accepted, and a copy of this resolution be attached to said deed as evidence of its acceptance by the City and County of San Francisco.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Kent, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton—10.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Marks, McSheehy, Schmidt, Todd—8.

Accepting Offer of the American Trust Company to Sell Certain Lands Required for the Opening of Bay Street.

Supervisor Marks presented:

Resolution No. 27442 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the American Trust Company, a California corporation, the owner of the following described land sought to be acquired by the City and County of San Francisco for the opening of Bay street from Webster street to Fillmore street has offered to convey the property desired by the City and County of San Francisco for the sum set forth opposite its name and the consideration mentioned below, viz.:

American Trust Company, $1—All of Bay street, as said Bay street existed previous to the adoption of Resolution No. 22997 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, from a line parallel with the easterly line of Fillmore street produced northerly and distant 2 feet 6 inches at right angles easterly therefrom to the westerly line of Webster street produced, including the intersection with Alcantara street, closed by the aforesaid resolution, except the southerly one-half (½) of the westerly 135 feet of the herein described parcel.

As a further consideration for the conveyance of the above pracel the City and County of San Francisco is to dedicate the same as a public street, and within four (4) months from and after June 12, 1927, to grade and pave the same and install all necessary main sewers and curbs and thereafter to maintain the same in good and proper condition.

Whereas, the City Attorney has recommended the acceptance of the said offer and the acquisition of the property owned by said company and offered to the City and County of San Francisco for the sum set forth and the considerations named above; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the said offer of sale be accepted, including the conditions mentioned therein, and the City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to examine the title of said property, and if the same is found in satisfactory condition to accept in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco a deed conveying title thereto, and file the same for record with a copy of this resolution attached thereto as evidence of acceptance by the City and County of San Francisco upon payment of the agreed purchase price.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Kent, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton—10.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Marks, McSheehy, Schmidt, Todd—8.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Spur Tract Permit, Simon Mattress Manufacturing Company.

On motion of Supervisor Marks:

Bill No. 8070, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Granting permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to Simon Mattress Manufacturing Company to construct, maintain and operate a spur track on Armstrong street between Mendell and Lane streets as hereinafter described.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, is hereby granted to Simon Mattress Manufacturing Company to construct, maintain and operate a spur track on Armstrong street between Mendell and Lane streets, as follows:

Beginning at a point in the center of the existing drill track in an unnamed street, lying easterly from and adjoining the right of way of the coast division main line of the Southern Pacific Company, said point being distant seventy-one (71) feet, more or less, southearly, measured along center line of said drill track from the southwesterly line of Yosemite avenue produced, and twenty-five (25) feet easterly, measured at right angles from the westerly line of said unnamed street; thence southeasterly on a curve concave to the left through a number seven switch for a distance of seventy (70) feet to a point; thence southeasterly, crossing the intersection of said unnamed street and Mendell street on a curve concave to the left, with a radius of two hundred twenty-nine and sixty-four hundredths (229.64) feet, for a distance of eighty-eight (88) feet, more or less, to a point on the easterly line of said unnamed street, said point being distant twenty-five (25) feet, more or less, measured along the easterly line of said unnamed street from the northeasterly line of Armstrong avenue; thence continuing on private property to a point on the northeasterly line of Armstrong avenue, distant thirty-five (35) feet, more or less, measured along the northeasterly line of said Armstrong avenue from the easterly line of above mentioned unnamed street; thence southeasterly on a curve concave to the left, with a radius of two hundred twenty-nine and sixty-four hundredths (229.64) feet, for a distance of seventy (70) feet, more or less, to a point distant ten (10) feet southwesterly, measured at right angles from the northeasterly line of Armstrong avenue; thence southeasterly parallel to the said northeasterly line of Armstrong avenue four hundred twenty-five (425) feet, more or less, to a point distant fifty (50) feet measured at right angles from the northwesterly line of Lane street.

Said permission is granted subject to the provisions of Ordinance No. 69 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors, approved October 12, 1906, and the provisions and conditions of Section 8 thereof are hereby specifically contained in the permit hereby granted and shall be construed as a part hereof as completely as though the same were written in this ordinance.

Provided, that said spur track shall be laid under the supervision and to the lines and grades as furnished by the City Engineer's office; and that any and all expenses connected with the installation of the track, restoration of the pavement and any additional requirements for the surface drainage be paid for by the Simon Mattress Manufacturing Company.

Provided, that the Simon Mattress Manufacturing Company shall erect and maintain all-night lighted arc lamps where directed by the Lighting Committee of the Board of Supervisors.

Section 2. Provided, that all trackage within the street area be constructed with girder rails.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Fixing Sidewalk Widths on Judah Street Between Nineteenth Avenue and Great Highway.

Also, Bill No. 8071, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered nine hundred and sixty-nine.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Board of Public Works, filed in this office June 8, 1927, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered nine hundred and sixty-nine, to read as follows:

Section 969. The width of sidewalks on Judah street between Nineteenth avenue and the Great Highway shall be twelve (12) feet.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths

shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect and be in force from and after its passage.

Fixing Sidewalk Widths on Judah Street Between Ninth and Nineteenth Avenues.

Also, Bill No. 8072, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered nine hundred and sixty-eight.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Board of Public Works, filed in this office June 8, 1927, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered nine hundred and sixty-eight, to read as follows:

Section 968. The width of sidewalks on Judah street between Ninth avenue and Nineteenth avenue shall be twelve (12) feet.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect and be in force from and after its passage.

Intention to Change Grades.

Supervisor Marks presented:

Resolution No. 27443 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to change and establish grades on the following named streets at the points hereinafter specified and at the elevations above city base as hereinafter stated, in accordance with Resolution No. 97658 (Second Series) of the Board of Public Works, adopted June 8, 1927, and written recommendation of said Board, filed June 10, 1927, to-wit:

On Gaven street between San Bruno avenue and Merrill street and on Barneveld avenue between Rickard and Sweeny streets.

The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that no assessment district is necessary, as no damage will result from said change of grades, inasmuch as the streets are ungraded and there are no existing street improvements.

The Board of Public Works is hereby directed to cause to be conspicuously posted along the street or streets upon which such change or modification of grade or grades is contemplated, notice of the passage of this resolution of intention.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Kent, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton—10.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Marks, McSheehy, Schmidt, Todd—8.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Ordering Street Work.

On motion of Supervisor Marks:

Bill No. 8073, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors June 9, 1927, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in three installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Morse street between Whittier and Lowell streets,

by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks six feet in width where artificial stone sidewalks six feet or more in width have not already been constructed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Also, Bill No. 8074, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors June 9, 1927, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in three installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Vienna street from France avenue to Italy avenue; of the east one-half only of Vienna street from Italy avenue to Amazon avenue; of Madrid street from Silver avenue to Silliman street, and of Holyoke street from Silver avenue to Silliman street, by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks six feet in width where artificial stone sidewalks six feet or more in width have not already been constructed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Also, Bill No. 8075, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors June 9, 1927, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in three installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Eighth avenue between Noriega and Ortega streets, by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks six (6) feet in width where artificial stone sidewalks six (6) feet or more in width have not already been constructed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Also, Bill No. 8076, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors June 9, 1927, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in three installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Forty-seventh avenue between Kirkham and Lawton streets, by the construction of a six-foot artificial stone sidewalk, where artificial stone sidewalks six feet or more in width have not already been constructed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Also, Bill No. 8077, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors June 9, 1927, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in three installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of the east one-half of Sixth avenue from a line parallel with and one hundred and fifty (150) feet south of the south line of Cabrillo street to a line parallel with and two hundred (200) feet of the south line of Cabrillo street, by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks of the full official width where artificial stone sidewalks are not to the official line and grade.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Also, Bill No. 8078, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors June 9, 1927, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its

office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in three installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of the south one-half of Anza street between Forty-sixth avenue and Forty-seventh avenue, by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks six feet in width, where artificial stone sidewalks six feet or more in width have not already been constructed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Also, Bill No. 8079, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors June 9, 1927, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in three installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Anza street between Thirty-second and Thirty-third avenue, by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks six feet in width, where artificial stone sidewalks six feet or more in width have not already been constructed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Also, Bill No. 8080, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors June 9, 1927, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in twenty installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be six months from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Thornton avenue between the westerly line of Reddy street produced and the westerly line of Diana street produced, including the intersection of Thornton avenue and Diana street, by grading to official line and grade; by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks on the corners of Thornton avenue and Diana street; by the construction of an 8-inch ironstone pipe sewer along the center line of Thornton avenue between the westerly line of Diana street produced and a point 20 feet easterly therefrom; by the construction of a 12-inch ironstone pipe sewer with the necessary Y branches, sidesewers and one brick manhole with appurtenances along the center line of Thornton avenue from the last described point to the westerly line of Reddy street; by the construction of the necessary brick catchbasins with appurtenances and 10-inch ironstone pipe culverts, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and a 1½-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Also, Bill No. 8081, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors June 9, 1927, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in twenty installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be six months from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Armstrong avenue between the easterly line of Third street and Keith street, including the crossing of Armstrong avenue and Keith street, by grading to official line and grade; by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of the necessary sidesewers; by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks on the angular corners, with the necessary brick catchbasins and appurtenances, and ten-inch ironstone pipe culverts in the above-mentioned crossing, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement consisting of a six-inch concrete foundation and a one and one-half inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Also, Bill No. 8082, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors June 9, 1927, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and

County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in twenty installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be six months from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of the crossing of Jerrold avenue and Phelps street, by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks on the angular corners; by the construction of the necessary brick catchbasins with appurtenances and ten-inch ironstone pipe culverts, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a six-inch concrete foundation and a one and one-half inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface on the roadway thereof, and the improvement of Jerrold avenue between Phelps street and Quint street, by grading to official line and grade.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Also, Bill No. 8083, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors June 9, 1927, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in twenty installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be six months from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Army street between Diamond street and Douglass street, where not already so improved, by the construction of concrete curbs; and by the construction of a concrete pavement on the roadway thereof, and the improvement of Diamond street between Army street and Twenty-sixth street, where not already so improved, by the construction of concrete curbs; and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and a one and one-half inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface between the existing concrete central strip and the curb line; and the improvement of Twenty-eighth street between Diamond street and Douglass street, where not already so improved, by the construction of concrete curbs; and by the construction of a concrete pavement on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Also, Bill No. 8084, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors June 9, 1927, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and speci-

fications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in twenty installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be six months from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of the crossing of Burrows street and Hamilton street, by grading to official line and grade; by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks on the angular corners, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and a 1½-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface on the roadway thereof; and the improvement of Hamilton street between Burrows and Felton streets, and the improvement of Burrows street between Hamilton street and Holyoke street where not already so improved, by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of the necessary sidesewers, and by the construction of a concrete pavement on the roadways thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Also, Bill No. 8085, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors June 9, 1927, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Circular avenue between Staples avenue and Marston avenue where not already so improved, including the intersection of Circular avenue and Marston avenue, and that portion opposite the intersection of Circular avenue and Marston avenue, by grading to official line and grade; by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks and the necessary catchbasins, culverts, etc., on the angular corners of the intersection of Circular avenue and Marston avenue, and by the consrtuction of an asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and a 1½-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Also, Bill No. 8086, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors June 9, 1927, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board

of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be construction of an asphaltic concerte time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Naples street between Silver avenue and Peru avenue, by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks six (6) feet in width, where artificial stone sidewalks six (6) feet or more in width have not already been constructed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Also, Bill No. 8087, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors June 9, 1927, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of the intersection of Peralta avenue and Franconia street, and of Peralta avenue and Florida street, where not already improved, by the construction of concrete curbs and artificial stone sidewalks on the angular corners; by the construction of the necessary brick catchbasins with appurtenances and 10-inch ironstone pipe culverts, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and a one and one-half inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND MOTIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

City Attorney to Defend City's Interests in Proposed Telephone Rate Adjustment.

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Resolution No. 27424 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, The Pacific Telephone and Telegraph Company is applying to the Railroad Commission of the State of California for a readjustment of rates and tolls for telephone service in this and neighboring communities; and

Whereas, the application of said company has been set for hearing June 21, 1927; therefore, be it

Resolved by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, That the City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to appear at this hearing and all subsequent hearings, representing the City and County of San Francisco, and to oppose a readjustment of telephone service charges which will put an increased burden upon the people of this community; be it

Further Resolved, That the City Attorney be authorized and directed to procure the service of competent engineers and experts to consult

and advise with him in protecting the interests of the public; be it

Further Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors hereby request the officials of neighboring cities and towns to be also represented at these hearings and respectfully request said officials to confer with and cooperate with the City Attorney and his staff of experts at these hearings before the Railroad Commission.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Kent, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton—10.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Marks, McSheehy, Schmidt, Todd—8.

Mayor Requested to Appoint Columbus Day Committee.

Supervisor Badaracco presented:

Resolution No. 27426 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That his Honor the Mayor be respectfully requested to appoint a committee of citizens to properly celebrate Columbus Day, October 12, 1927.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Kent, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton—10.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Marks, McSheehy, Schmidt, Todd—8.

Extension of Time, Union Machine Company.

Supervisor Badaracco presented:

Resolution No. 27427 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That an extension of time of 90 calendar days from June 2, 1927, upon recommendation of the Board of Fire Commissioners, is hereby granted to Union Machine Company upon contract awarded by Resolution No. 26769 (New Series), approved February 11, 1927 (Proposal No. 270), for furnishing two water towers and monitor batteries complete.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Kent. Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton—10.

Absent—Supervisors Bath. Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Marks, McSheehy, Schmidt, Todd—8.

Award of Contract, Public Lighting.

Supervisor Stanton presented:

Resolution No. 27444 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the contract to light the streets and the outlying districts and all public buildings (except school buildings) of the City and County of San Francisco with gas and electricity and for supplying power for all purposes for the term of one year, commencing July 1, 1927, and ending June 30, 1928, in strict accordance with the specifications and advertisement inviting proposals thereon is hereby awarded to the Pacific Gas and Electric Company at the hereinafter designated prices, said company being the lowest responsible bidder, to-wit:

1. For all electric public outdoor lighting installations, using bracket (other than ornamental), mast arm or center suspension construction, and supplied from overhead lines by direct overhead services to lamps where the contractor furnishes and maintains the entire equipment:

Rate per lamp, per night; lighted all night:

250 candle-power series Mazda unit with refractor, $.099.

400 candle-power series Mazda unit with refractor, $.115.

600 candle-power series Mazda unit with refractor, $.137.

200 watt 1-light multiple Mazda unit with refractor, $.107.

300 watt 1-light multiple Mazda unit with refractor, $.125.

400 watt 1-light multiple Mazda unit with refractor, $.143.

Rate per lamp, per night; lighted until midnight:

250 candle-power series Mazda unit with refractor, $.079.

400 candle-power series Mazda unit with refractor, $.091.

600 candle-power series Mazda unit with refractor, $.107.

2. For all electric public outdoor lighting, installations, using standard ornamental brackets and supplied from overhead lines by direct overhead services to lamps; where the contractor furnishes the entire equipment:

Rate per lamp, per night; lighted all night:

250 candle-power series Mazda unit with refractor, $.105.

400 candle-power series Mazda unit with refractor, $.133.

600 candle-power series Mazda unit with refractor, $.137.

3. For all electric public outdoor lighting installations using standard highly ornamental brackets (one or two lamps per standard), and supplied from overhead lines by direct overhead services to lamps; where the contractor furnishes the entire equipment:

Rate per lamp, per night; lighted all night:

600 candle-power series Mazda unit with refractor, $.192.
1000 candle-power series Mazda unit with refractor, $.228.
1200 candle-power series Mazda unit with refractor, $.273.
Rate per lamp, per night; lighted until midnight:
600 candle-power series Mazda unit with refractor, $.162.
1000 candle-power series Mazda unit with refractor, $.192.
1500 candle-power series Mazda unit with refractor, $.227.

4. For all electric public outdoor lighting installations supplied by underground service direct to bases of lamp posts, electroliers or ornamental posts; distribution system furnished by contractor; lamps and globes maintained by contractor.

Rate per lighting unit, per night; lighted all night:
100 candle-power series Mazda with globe or refractor, $0.95.
250 candle-power series Mazda with globe or refractor, $.115.
400 candle-power series Mazda with globe or refractor, $.135.
600 candle-power series Mazda with globe or refractor, $.160.
1000 candle-power series Mazda with globe or refractor, $.191.
1500 candle-power series Mazda with globe or refractor, $.238.
100 watt 1-light multiple Mazda unit with globe or refractor, $.104.
200 watt 1-light multiple Mazda unit with globe or refractor, $.125.
210 watt 5-light multiple Mazda unit with globe or refractor, $.146.
300 watt 1-light multiple Mazda unit with globe or refractor, $.146.
400 watt 1-light multiple Mazda unit with globe or refractor, $.168.
500 watt 1-light multiple Mazda unit with globe or refractor, $.194.
Rate per lighting unit, per night; lighted until midnight:
400 candle-power series Mazda with globe or refractor, $.110.
600 candle-power series Mazda with globe or refractor, $.130.
1000 candle-power series Mazda with globe or refractor, $.155.
1500 candle-power series Mazda with globe or refractor, $.192.
100 watt 1-light multiple Mazda unit with globe or refractor, $.087.
200 watt 1-light multiple Mazda unit with globe or refractor, $.102.
210 watt 5-light multiple Mazda unit with globe or refractor, $.123.
300 watt 1-light multiple Mazda unit with globe or refractor, $.120.
400 watt 1-light multiple Mazda unit with globe or refractor, $.137.
500 watt 1-light multiple Mazda unit with globe or refractor, $.158.

4-A. For all electric public outdoor lighting installations supplied by underground service direct to bases of lamp posts, electroliers or ornamental posts; distribution system furnished by contractor; lamps and globes maintained by contractor:

Rate per lighting unit, per night; lighted all night:
100 candle-power series Mazda with globe and refractor, $0.97.
250 candle-power series Mazda with globe and refractor, $.117.
400 candle-power series Mazda with globe and refractor, $.137.
600 candle-power series Mazda with globe and refractor, $.162.
1000 candle-power series Mazda with globe and refractor, $.193.
1500 candle-power series Mazda with globe and refractor, $.240.
Rate per lighting unit, per night; lighted all night:
100 watt 1-light multiple Mazda unit with globe and refractor, $.106.
200 watt 1-light multiple Mazda unit with globe and refractor, $.127.
210 watt 5-light multiple Mazda unit with globe and refractor, $.148.
300 watt 1-light multiple Mazda unit with globe and refractor, $.148.
400 watt 1-light multiple Mazda unit with globe and refractor, $.170.
500 watt 1-light multiple Mazda unit with globe and refractor, $.196.
Rate per lighting unit, per night; lighted until midnight:
400 candle-power series Mazda with globe and refractor, $.112.
600 candle-power series Mazda with globe and refractor, $.132.
1000 candle-power series Mazda with globe and refractor, $.157.
1500 candle-power series Mazda with globe and refractor, $.194.
100 watt 1-light multiple Mazda unit with globe and refractor, $.089.
200 watt 1-light multiple Mazda unit with globe and refractor, $.104.
210 watt 5-light multiple Mazda unit with globe and refractor, $.125.
300 watt 1-light multiple Mazda unit with globe and refractor, $.122.
400 watt 1-light multiple Mazda unit with globe and refractor, $.139.
500 watt 1-light multiple Mazda unit with globe and refractor, $.160.

5. For all electric public outdoor lighting installations supplied by underground services direct to lamps; distribution system and lighting equipment, including standard supporting posts and brackets to be furnished by the contractor; all equipment to be maintained by the contractor:

Rate per lighting unit, per night, lighted all night:
100 candle-power series Mazda unit with globe or refractor, $.120.
250 candle-power series Mazda

unit with globe or refractor, $.140.
400 candle-power series Mazda unit with globe or refractor, $.158.
600 candle-power series Mazda unit with globe or refractor, $.183.
1000 candle-power series Mazda unit with globe or refractor, $.216.
1500 Candle-power series Mazda unit with globe or refractor $.263.
100 watt 1-light multiple Mazda unit with globe or refractor, $.128.
200 watt 1-light multiple Mazda unit with globe or refractor, $.150.
210 watt 5-light multiple Mazda unit with globe or refractor, $.171.
300 watt 1-light multiple Mazda unit with globe or refractor, $.169.
400 watt 1-light multiple Mazda unit with globe or refractor, $.191.
500 watt 1-light multiple Mazda unit with globe or refractor, $.212.

Rate per lighting unit, per night; lighted until midnight:

400 candle-power series Mazda unit with globe or refractor, $.133.
600 candle-power series Mazda unit with globe or refractor, $.153.
1000 candle-power series Mazda unit with globe or refractor, $.179.
1500 candle-power series Mazda unit with globe or refractor, $.217.
200 watt 1-light multiple Mazda unit with globe or refractor, $.127.
210 watt 5-light multiple Mazda unit with globe or refractor, $.148.
300 watt 1-light multiple Mazda unit with globe or refractor, $.143.
400 watt 1-light multiple Mazda unit with globe or refractor, $.160.
500 watt 1-light multiple Mazda unit with globe or refractor, $.176.

5-A. For all electric public outdoor lighting installations supplied by underground services direct to lamps; distribution system and lighting equipment, including standard supporting posts and brackets, to be furnished by the contractor; all equipment to be maintained by the contractor:

Rate per lighting unit, per night; lighted all night:

100 candle-power series Mazda unit with globe and refractor, $.122.
250 candle-power series Mazda unit with globe and refractor, $.142.
400 candle-power series Mazda unit with globe and refractor, $.160.
600 candle-power series Mazda unit with globe and refractor, $.185.
1000 candle-power series Mazda unit with globe and refractor, $.218.
1500 candle-power series Mazda unit with globe and refractor, $.265.
100 watt 1-light multiple Mazda unit with globe and refractor, $.130.
200 watt 1-light multiple Mazda unit with globe and refractor, $.152.
210 watt 5-light multiple Mazda unit with globe and refractor, $.173.
300 watt 1-light multiple Mazda unit with globe and refractor, $.171.
400 watt 1-light multiple Mazda unit with globe and refractor, $.193.
500 watt 1-light multiple Mazda unit with globe and refractor, $.214.

Rate per lighting unit, per night; lighted until midnight:

400 candle-power series Mazda unit with globe and refractor, $.135.
600 candle-power series Mazda unit with globe and refractor, $.155.
1000 candle-power series Mazda unit with globe and refractor, $.181.
1500 candle-power series Mazda unit with globe and refractor, $.219.
200 watt 1-light multiple Mazda unit with globe and refractor, $.129.
210 watt 5-light multple Mazda unit with globe and refractor, $.150.
300 watt 1-light multiple Mazda unit with globe and refractor, $.145.
400 watt 1-light multiple Mazda unit with globe and refractor, $.162.
500 watt 1-light multiple Mazda unit with globe and refractor, $.178.

6. For all electric public outdoor lighting installations supplied by underground service direct to lamps distribution system and lighting equipment, including standard highly ornamental supporting posts and brackets (one or two lamps per standard), to be furnished by the contractor; all equipment to be maintained by the contractor:

Rate per lamp, per night; lighted all night:

400 candle-power series Mazda unit with globe or refractor, $.199.
600 candle-power series Mazda unit with globe or refractor, $.224.
1000 candle-power series Mazda unit with globe or refractor, $.280.
1500 candle-power series Mazda unit with globe or refractor, $.329.

Rate per lamp, per night; lighted until midnight:

400 candle-power series Mazda unit with globe or refractor, $.174.
600 candle-power series Mazda unit with globe or refractor, $.194.
1000 candle-power series Mazda unit with globe or refractor, $.244.
1500 candle-power series Mazda unit with globe or refractor, $.283.

6A. For all electric public outdoor lighting installations supplied by underground service direct to lamps; distribution system and lighting equipment, including standard highly ornamental supporting posts and brackets (one or two lamps per standard), to be furnished by the contractor; all equipment to be maintained by the contractor:

Rate per lamp, per night; lighted all night:

400 candle-power series Mazda unit with globe and refractor, $.201.

600 candle-power series Mazda unit with globe and refractor, $.226.
1000 candle-power series Mazda unit with globe and refractor, $.288.
1500 candle-power series Mazda unit with globe and refractor, $.331.
Rate per lamp, per night; lighted until midnight:
400 candle-power series Mazda unit with globe and refractor, $.176.
600 candle-power series Mazda unit with globe and refractor, $.196.
1000 candle-power series Mazda unit with globe and refractor, $.246.
1500 candle-power series Mazda unit with globe and refractor, $.285.

7. For all electric safety stations, traffic and signal lighting installations supplied by underground service direct to lamps or supporting fixtures; distribution system furnished by contractor; lamps maintained by contractor:
Rate per lighting unit, per night; lighted all night:
60 candle-power series Mazda unit, $.092.
80 candle-power series Mazda unit, $.094.
100 candle-power series Mazda unit, $.095.
25 watt multiple Mazda unit, $.087.
40 watt multiple Mazda unit, $.090.
50 watt multiple Mazda unit, $.092.
60 watt multiple Mazda unit, $.095.
75 watt multiple Mazda unit, $.099.
100 watt multiple Mazda unit, $.104.

8. For Stockton street tunnel lighting; equipment owned by the City and maintained by contractor:
Rate per lighting unit, per night; lighted all night:
60 watt 1-light multiple Mazda unit, $.045.
200 watt 1-light multiple Mazda unit, $.069.
Rate per lighting unit, per day; lighting continuously:
100 watt 1-light multiple Mazda unit, $.091.

9. Path of Gold System—3 lamps per standard, underground distribution system and ornamental fixtures owned and maintained by the contractor; lighting units mounted on Market Street Railway Company poles:
Rate per lamp, per night; lighted all night:
6.6 amp. D. C. luminous magnetite arc lamps, $.265.
Rate per lamp, per night; lighted until midnight:
6.6 amp. D. C. luminous magnetite arc lamps, $.215.

10. For Triangle District System—2 lamps per standard; underground distribution and ornamental standards and fixtures owned and maintained by the contractor. The "Triangle District" is defined as that section of the City of San Francisco bounded by Bush, Mason and Market streets (excluding Market street):
Rate per lamp, per night; lighted all night:
6.6 amp. D. C. luminous magnetite arc lamps, $.32.
Rate per lamp, per night; lighted until midnight:
6.6 amp. D. C. luminous magnetite arc lamps, $.28.

11. For public outdoor metered street lighting service to Fillmore street arches and to Ingleside system, or to similar installations, where the distribution system and lighting equipment are privately owned and maintained (not by contractor):
Rate per kilowatt hour, $.0275.

12. For all gas street lighting, lighted all night:
Single burner gas lamps; per lamp, per night, $.065.
Double inverted burner gas lamp; per lamp, per night $.10.
Double globe gasolier—2 mantles per globe; per globe, per night, $.10.
Single globe gasolier—2 mantles per globe; per gasolier, per night, $.10.
Single globe gasolier—3 mantles per globe; per gasolier, per night, $.12.

For Lighting Public Buildings.

A. For furnishing gas to all public buildings, offices, yards, schools, institutions and other public places:
Rate per 1000 cubic feet, $.75.

B. For furnishing electric energy for lighting purposes (including power where served through the same meter) to all public buildings, offices, yards, schools, institutions and other public places:
Rate per kilowatt hour, $.0275.

C. For furnishing electric energy for power purposes for all public buildings, offices, yards, schools, institutions and other public places:
Rate per kilowatt hour. $.02.

D. For furnishing standby or auxiliary, electric service for lighting and power purposes for all public buildings, offices, yards, schools, institutions and other public places that are normally supplied with electric energy of an isolated plant:

For lighting purposes: Rate per kilowatt hour, $.0275.

For power purposes: Rate per kilowatt hour, $.02.

Minimum monthly charge—Schedule P-7, a copy of which is hereunto annexed and made a part hereof.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Kent, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton—10.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Marks, McSheehy, Schmidt, Todd—8.

Accepting Offer of Boeing Air Transport.

Supervisor Kent presented:

Resolution No. 27445 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco accept the offer of Boeing Air Transport, Inc., a corporation duly organized and existing under and by virtue of the laws of the State of Washington for approximately 9000 square feet of floor or hangar space in a hangar or hangars to be constructed by the City and County of San Francisco at its Airport, near Millbrae in San Mateo County, together with a reasonable amount of office space in a suitable building to be erected at said Airport by the City and County; that the rental for said floor space and said office space shall be seventy-five ($75.00) dollars per month, and the term of the said lease shall be from the 16th day of June, 1927, to and including the 14th day of March, 1930; with an option of one year and three months additional at the same rental, provided the City renews its present lease with the owners of the property on which said Airport is maintained and provided further, that said lease shall be thereafter renewed upon terms and conditions mutually agreeable to the said City and County of San Francisco and Boeing Air Transport Inc., for a longer period of time thereafter providing said City and County continues in possession of said property. That the lessee shall abide by all regulations which may be enacted by the City and County relative to the use of said Airport, and shall pay for all light and water used in said hangar or adjacent thereto, with the exception of field or flood lights; and said lessee shall hold the City free and harmless from any and all claims for damage occasioned by any act of the lessee, said lessee to insure its employees under the Workmen's Compensation laws of this State, and that the first and last month's rental due under said lease shall be payable in advance at the time of the execution of said lease; it being understood that the lessee shall have the exclusive possession of said 9000 feet of floor space; said lease shall provide for cancellation in certain events; and be it

Further Resolved, That the City Attorney be and he is hereby directed to prepare and approve a lease with said Boeing Air Transport Inc., in conformity with the terms of this resolution; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Mayor or acting Mayor of the City and County of San Francisco and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco be and they are hereby directed to affix their signatures to said lease for and on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco; and be it

Further Resolved, That Resolution No. 26983 (New Series), in so far as it conflicts herewith is hereby rescinded.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Kent, Powers, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton—10.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Marks, McSheehy, Schmidt, Todd—8.

ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business, the Board at 1:45 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors, October 31, 1927.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated, and approved as above recited.

JOHN S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors.
City and County of San Francisco.

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Vol. 22—New Series No. 26

Monday, June 27, 1927

Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
337 Bush Street, S. F.

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, JUNE 27, 1927, 10 A. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, June 27, 1927, 10 a. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Gallagher, Kent, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—9.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Byington, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri—9.

No quorum.

City Attorney and City Engineer to Secure Competent Telephone Experts to Assist in Telephone Rate Hearings.

The following resolution was presented by Acting Mayor Shannon for consideration at next meeting of the Board:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the Pacific Telephone and Telegraph Company has introduced voluminous exhibits before the Railroad Commission of the State of California in support of its application for readjustment of rates and tolls for telephone service in this and adjacent counties; and

Whereas, the said The Pacific Telephone and Telegraph Company has spent a large sum of money for the gathering together of data necessary for the compilation of the said exhibits and have in their employ an expert corps of engineers; and

Whereas, City Attorney John J. O'Toole and City Engineer M. M. O'Shaughnessy are at present in the eastern part of the United States, representing the City and County of San Francisco for the purpose of obtaining permission from the War Department to construct a bridge across the Bay of San Francisco; and

Whereas, the application of The Pacific Telephone and Telegraph Company in its application for readjustment of rates and tolls, if granted, will impose an added and unjust burden on all the residents of the City and County of San Francisco who are telephone subscribers, and there exists the necessity for obtaining expert engineering advice for the preparation of a defense to the application of The Pacific Telephone and Telegraph Company; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, By the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, that the Acting City Attorney communicate with City Attorney John J. O'Toole and City Engineer M. M. O'Shaughnessy by telegram authorizing and instructing them to interview in any State of the United States engineers who are technically trained in telephone work and not affiliated with The American Telephone and Telegraph Company, The Pacific Telephone and Telegraph Company or any of their subsidiary corporations, with a view to pass upon the engineers' qualifications, associations and expected fees for aiding the City and County of San Francisco and adjacent counties in preparation for resisting the application of The Pacific Telephone and Telegraph Company.

ADJOURNMENT.

Whereupon, there being no quorum, the Board of Supervisors adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors, October 31, 1927.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated, and approved as above recited.

JOHN S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors.
City and County of San Francisco.

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Vol. 22—New Series No. 27

Tuesday, July 5, 1927

Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
337 Bush Street, S. F.

Journal of Proceedings
Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

TUESDAY, JULY 5, 1927, 10 A. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Tuesday, July 5, 1927, 10 a. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present

Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Kent, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—11.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Marks, McSheehy—7.

Supervisor Marks excused on account of illness.

Quorum present.

His Honor Mayor Rolph being absent, Supervisor Shannon was elected to preside.

APPROVAL OF JOURNALS.

The Journals of Proceedings of February 14, 21 and 28, 1927, were considered read and approved.

ROLL CALL FOR PETITIONS FROM MEMBERS.

Reception to Rear Admiral Laws and Midshipmen.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication, from the Executive Secretary of the Mayor, Edward Rainey, advising that the City will tender a reception to Rear Admiral George W. Laws, the officers under his command, and the visiting midshipmen, on Tuesday morning, July 5, at 10:30 a. m., in the rotunda of the City Hall, and inviting the members of the Board to participate in the reception.

Accepted.

Convention League of California Municipalities.

Communication, from the City Clerk of San Jose, called attention to the meeting of the League of Municipalities to be held at Sacramento September 20 to 23, and asking that San Francisco be officially represented.

Read and *referred to Finance Committee.*

Conference Relative to Providing Highway Connection Between Weed, in Siskiyou County, and Klamath Falls, Oregon.

Communication, from H. K. Johnson, Chairman of the Board of Supervisors of Sacramneto County, inviting participation in conference to be held at Sacramento July 28, at 1:30 p. m., in Supervisors' room, Court House, for the purpose of discussing ways and means of providing highway connection between Weed, in Siskiyou County, and Klamath Falls, Oregon.

Referred to Streets Committee with instructions to have representatives present.

Supervisor Shannon Commended.

Mayor Rolph expressed his thanks to Supervisor Shannon for his services as acting Mayor during his recent absence, and complimented him on the excellent manner in which the work had been conducted by the acting Mayor.

Report of the Advisory Committee to the Board of Equalization.

The following was presented, read and *ordered spread in the Journal and copies sent to members of the Board*:

To the Honorable the Board of Supervisors, City and County of San Francisco.

Gentlemen:

Herewith I have the pleasure of transmitting to you the report of James G. Stafford, covering the work of appraisal of the taxable land within the City and County, made pursuant to your direction and under the supervision of Mr. Stafford.

Very respectfully,

THE ADVISORY COMMITTEE TO THE BOARD OF EQUALIZATION,
B. A. BANKER,
Chairman.
H. A. MASON,
Secretary.

To the Advisory Committee, the Board of Equalization.

Gentlemen:

Herewith is the substance matter

of the report of the results of the revaluation of land of the City and County of San Francisco, which it has been my privilege to supervise as the Superintendent of the Bureau of Appraisal, which you created under the authority granted you by the Board of Supervisors in Resolution No. 25878, dated June 29, 1926, text of which is as follows:

"Whereas, for the purpose of making a scientific appraisal of the taxable real property of the City and County in order that the Board of Supervisors may perform the duties imposed by law upon said Board as a board of equalization, it is essential to create an advisory committee, including experts in valuation matters;

"Resolved, That the following persons are hereby designated as such committee: Andrew J. Gallagher, Paul Scharrenberg, Philip Paschel, B. A. Banker, M. H. Gates, Henry Boyen and Paul A. Sinsheimer, the same to act in conjunction with the Finance Committee of this Board and with the Assessor, the entire number to constitute the Advisory Committee of the Board of Equalization; and be it

"Further Resolved, That said committee be empowered to hold public hearings for the purpose of ascertaining the true values of real property subject to taxation and to report the result of its investigation to the Assessor and to the Board of Equalization on or before July 1, 1927."

(Adopted by the Board of Supervisors June 28, 1926.)

Resolution No. 25897, dated July 6, 1926, text of which is as follows:

"Authorizing for the purpose of appraising all taxable real property subject to assessment within the City and County of San Francisco, to the end that said assessment of said property for the fiscal year 1927-1928 and for subsequent years may be equalized and the same may conform to the true value of said property and that the Board of Supervisors, sitting as a Board of Equalization, may be fully advised as to the true value of said property, the Finance Committee of this Board to employ a superintendent and such other experts and assistants and to purchase such supplies as may be necessary to carry out the purpose herein expressed, the cost of the same to be paid from Budget Item No. 62, 1926-1927."

(Adopted by the Board of Supervisors July 6, 1926.)

Reports have been rendered you in verbal form from time to time during the course of the appraisal work up to and including the final meeting of your committee held at the Palace Hotel Wednesday, June 22, 1927.

The appraised land value totals $891,736,210. This is compared with the assessed valuation of real estate in the 1926-1927 tax assessment roll of $338,373,870.

The record of this appraisal in detail is contained in forty-four bound volumes of summary sheets in typewritten form, one for each block area of the City and County of San Francisco, the volume numbers and the block numbers included in each volume coinciding with the arrangement of the tax assessment roll of 1927.

In the background of the typewritten summaries are computation records consisting, first, of the unit land value maps of the entire area of the City and County of San Francisco, showing the appraised street frontage values as finally established by the members of the staff of the Bureau of Appraisal in conference with property owners' committees throughout the City, and the individual lot computation slips filed in envelopes bearing the block numbers for each block area in the City according to the numerical designations of the Assessor's map and the block books of the City and County of San Francisco, showing the ownership records, lot numbers and resubdivisions of lots as per the Block Book Record of March 1, 1926.

It has not been practicable or possible to make the computation record or the typewritten summary by blocks coincide with the Block Books showing the ownership on record March 7, 1927, as these Block Books have not been revised or issued by the Assessor's office or the City Engineer at the time this report is written.

The land values established and upon which all the computation records are based are the result of numerous conferences with representative property owners, real estate men, bank appraisers and others familiar with local conditions and values. In the opinion of your superintendent these land values reflect as nearly as possible a consensus of opinion of a great number of informed citizens and are considered reliable and reasonable.

No effort has been made to follow the speculative trend of values in various parts of the City. On the contrary there has been a definite effort made on the part of the members of the Bureau staff to discount such speculative transactions so as to avoid the placing of values on

street frontages at a higher level than was justified in the light of the actual and present-day condition in the real estate market.

It is estimated from our committee records and the records of the neighborhood meetings held in various parts of the City to discuss our land values with property owners themselves, that we have had more than 12,000 individuals cooperate in the establishment of these street frontage values.

It has been a real privilege to have been selected as Superintendent of the Bureau of Appraisal, to have worked with the members of the Advisory Committee to the Board of Equalization during the last year. Your whole-hearted support and warm cooperation has made possible carrying this community-wide work forward to a successful conclusion, and have it become recognized as of real utility to the City as a whole, and to the several departments of the City government.

The very practical and friendly support and cooperation of the Finance Committee of the Board of Supervisors, whose members form a part of the Advisory Committee to the Board of Equalization, is also acknowledged and has been greatly appreciated, as is also the cooperation of the City Auditor, City Engineer and the Civil Service Commission.

Acknowledgment must also be made of the essential help rendered the Bureau of Appraisal by the press of San Francisco. This statement includes all of the daily newspapers, weekly neighborhood publications and the more formally printed bulletins of various organizations, which without exception have made lavish use of their columns in informing the public of the appraisal undertaking and procedure being followed, and in the printing of preliminary land value maps, reports and the announcements of various committee neighborhood meetings.

There have been numerous outstanding public-spirited citizens and business men of San Francisco who cooperated in and assisted with the preliminary work that preceded the establishment of the final unit foot values, and it would gratify your superintendent to name them individually, but the number who have shown this spirit of community cooperation is so great that it precludes an individual acknowledgment.

Members of the bureau staff whose whole-hearted service has been given to the City in making this appraisal a success, and without whom your superintendent could not have fulfilled the requirements of the resolution passed by the Board of Supervisors, merit a word of commendation and of acknowledgment and appreciation that the naming of them in this report carries with it.

The following: W. York Eves, C. A. Marsh, U. S. Ackles, Arthur M. Johnson, H. E. Trumbo, H. L. Howe, C. L. Mattfield, P. J. Duffy, D. S. Carrothers, Marguerite O'Hearn, J. R. McKay, W. J. O'Keeffe and H. A. Mason, in their various capacities, have contributed so largely to the success of this appraisal undertaking.

Supporting this executive staff has been a loyal office organization of clerks, computers and stenographers, who have worked assiduously in the compilation of the details of the appraisal record from 8 a. m. to 5 p. m. during the several months of the appraisal period.

There are, undoubtedly, some parts of the appraisal record that will need more attention, and possible revision, before the appraisal record is used for the tax assessment of 1928.

This will be due to changing local conditions as well as to the possibility that there may be a need for adjusting the values in one or two district in the more remote parts of the City to conform in relativity with the values set up in the central and more developed areas. It is expected that arrangements to be made with your committee and the Board of Supervisors for completing this appraisal by a revaluation of buildings in the next fiscal year will also provide that such a revision of land values can be made to bring the entire record up to date as of March 5, 1928, making the whole available for the next tax assessment.

A copy of the summary is ready for delivery to the Assessor at the pleasure of your committee and the Board of Supervisors. Up to date the Assessor has received all preliminary land value maps, excepting the small portion in the tide land area along the southeast line of the City, blue prints of which have not been made. He is fairly well informed at present concerning the basic street frontage values upon which the individual lot computations have been made. It is entirely probable that he will want the privilege of checking over the individual lot values as set forth upon the typewritten summary sheets, and it has been in anticipation of his wish to do this that these summary sheets have been prepared in the bound

volume form coinciding with his present tax assessment roll volume numbers.

In compliance with the terms of my contract with your committee and the Finance Committee, at the request of the Assessor and the Civil Service Commission, deputies from the City Assessor's office have been instructed in the procedure of land value appraisal and in the application of the rules and methods of computation of individual lot values, which have been followed in making this appraisal.

Respectfully submitted,

JAMES G. STAFFORD,

Superintendent the Bureau of Appraisal.

Congratulations, San Francisco-Hawaii Flight.

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Resolution No. 27447 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, a short time ago the whole world was startled and thrilled by the daring non-stop flight of Colonel Charles A. Lindbergh across the Atlantic from New York to Paris, the first event of its kind in the history of mankind, signaling man's triumph over the dangers of air and sea; and

Whereas, a few days ago two intrepid officers of the United States Army Air Corps, Lieutenants Lester J. Maitland and Albert Hegenberger, were successful in their non-stop flight from San Francisco Bay to Honolulu, the longest sea flight in history, covering the entire distance of over 2,400 miles in less than 26 hours; and

Whereas, this outstanding event annihilates time and space, bringing the Hawaiian Islands within one days' journey of the mainland of the United States and is an epoch in aviation, marking as it does the first step in an inevitable program of air mastery by America on the Pacific Ocean which is destined to be the great waterway of the future; therefore, be it

Resolved, That his Honor the Mayor be and he is hereby authorized to appoint a citizens' committee to arrange for the reception and entertainment upon their return to San Francisco of these two brave army officers, Lieutenants Maitland and Hegenberger, who, by their courage, fortitude and endurance have brought lasting credit to themselves and glory to the country which they so ably and courageously represent.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington Colman, Gallagher, Kent, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—10.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri—8.

Congressman Kay Pitnam Plaque on O'Shaughnessy Dam.

Supervisor Gallagher presented:

Resolution No. 27448 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Board of Public Works be directed to place on the O'Shaughnessy Dam a plaque bearing the following inscription:

"The people of San Francisco gratefully acknowledge the unselfish services of Key Pitman, United States Senator from Nevada, in obtaining for San Francisco the Act of Congress which grants the City the use of Hetch Hetchy for municipal purposes."

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Kent, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—12.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Marks, McSheehy—6.

Municipal Railway Bonds to Be Submitted at General Election.

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Resolution No. 27449 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, at the bond election held June 14, 1927, approximately 44,000 citizens of San Francisco voted in favor of the Municipal Railway bonds, and approximately 25,000 citizens voted against said bonds; and

Whereas, it is imperative to the well-being and the future development of San Francisco that these extensions be made; and

Whereas, it is apparent that an overwhelming majority of our citizens are heartily in favor of them;

Be it therefore Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco does hereby declare that it will take the necessary steps to submit a bond proposal to the voters of this City at the general election to be held in November, 1927, to provide funds for these necessary additions to our Municipal Railway system; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco does hereby request the City Engineer to submit to this Board a report with his recommendation covering the necessary extensions to our Municipal Railway system.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Gallagher, Kent, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—10.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy,

Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri—8.

Puncture Vine Control Conference.

Communication, from W. C. Jacobsen, Chief Bureau of Plant Quarantine and Pest Control, State of California, Department of Agriculture, inviting attendance of representatives of San Francisco County at a meeting of organizations and agencies interested in the control of puncture vine in the central coast counties which will be held in the Chamber of Commerce Assembly Hall at San Jose, Wednesday, July 13, 1927, at 1 p. m.

Referred to Horticultural Commissioner.

Statement of Votes, Bond Election.

Communication, from Registrar of Voters, transmitting statement of votes cast at recent bond election.

Ordered *filed*.

PRESENTATION OF PROPOSALS.

Furniture for School Department.

Sealed proposals were received for furnishing furniture for School Department between the hours of 10 and 11 a. m. and *referred to the Supplies Committee.*

Athletic Goods.

Sealed proposals were received and opened at the hour of 11 a. m. this day for furnishing athletic goods for School Department and for Playground Commission and *referred to the Supplies Committee.*

UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

Final Passage.

The following matters heretofore passed for printing were taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote

Authorizations.

Resolution No. 27450 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

Park Fund.

(1) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., gas and electric service for parks (claim dated June 17, 1927), $3,010.68.

(2) The Fay Improvement Co., resurfacing of courts at parks (claim dated June 17, 1927), $3,829.89.

(3) Langendorf Baking Co., bread for parks (claim dated June 17, 1927), $759.29.

(4) Lyon Metallic Mfg. Co., lockers for parks (claim dated June 17, 1927), $2,625.

(5) B. Rosenberg, alterations to Kezar Playing Field (claim dated June 17, 1927), $1,875.

(6) Spring Valley Water Co., water service for parks (claim dated June 17, 1927), $5,114.30.

(7) Frank Food Co., supplies for parks (claim dated June 17, 1927), $596.57.

(8) National Ice Cream Co., ice cream for parks (claim dated June 17, 1927), $923.99.

(9) National Ice Cream Co., ice cream for parke (claim dated June 17, 1927), $2,766.44.

(10) San Francisco Dairy Co., milk for parks (claim dated June 17, 1927), $905.86.

Library Fund.

(11) American Building Maintenance Co., janitor service, public libraries (claim dated May 31, 1927), $700.

(12) Mandrell & Bowen, painting and cleaning of public library (claim dated May 31, 1927, $590.

(13) Foster & Futernick, binding library books (claim dated May 31, 1927), $3,005.64.

County Road Fund.

(14) C. B. Eaton, improvement of Howard street between Army and Twenty-sixth streets (claim dated June 15, 1927), $2.302.25.

(15) Street Repair Department, Board of Public Works, for materials, etc., for the improvement of Hunt street (claim dated June 13, 1927), $555.60.

Hetch Hetchy Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1925.

(16) T. E. Connolly and J. M. De Lucas, fifth payment, construction of aqueduct tunnels, Foothill Division, Hetch Hetchy Water Supply (claim dated June 13, 1927), $35,920.67.

(17) A. Guthrie & Co., Inc., sixth payment, construction of aqueduct tunnels, Foothill Division, Hetch Hetch Water Supply (claim dated June 13, 1927), $47,805.47.

(18) A. Levy and J. Zentner Co., produce furnished Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated June 13, 1927), $620 64.

(19) Wilkinson Bros., oak stovewood, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated June 13, 1927), $750.63.

Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1910.

(20) Hetch Hetchy Water Construction Fund, for account of expenditures made in construction of tourist camp at Mather, Cal. (claim dated June 15, 1927), $5,431.54.

Hetch Hetchy Power Operative Fund.

(21) Depreciation Fund, Hetch Hetchy Power Operative, as reserve for depreciation, per Section

16, Article XII, of Charter, for June (claim dated June 14, 1927), $14,584.

Municipal Railway Fund.

(22) American Brake Shoe and Foundry Co., brake shoes for cars of Municipal Railway (claim dated June 9, 1927), $2,258.07.

(23) Crown Oil Co., gasoline for Municipal Railways (claim dated June 9, 1927), $1,978.20.

(24) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., splicing material furnished for account of Municipal Railways (claim dated June 9, 1927), $973.30.

(25) San Francisco City Employees Retirement System, for pensions, etc., Municipal Railway employees (claim dated June 7, 1927), $7,306.77.

(26) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., electricity furnished Municipal Railways (claim dated June 11, 1927), $37,768.17.

Municipal Railway Depreciation Reserve Fund.

(27) Street Repair Department, Board of Public Works, street paving for Municipal Railways, Vallejo street between Van Ness avenue and Larkin street (claim dated June 9, 1927), $5,283.46.

(28) Street Repair Department, Board of Public Works, paving for Municipal Railways, Geary street between Divisadero street and Presidio avenue (claim dated June 9, 1927), $5,808.29.

(29) Bureau of Street Repair, asphalt repairs to Municipal Railway rights of way (claim dated June 9, 1927), $998.40.

Municipal Railway Depreciation Fund.

(30) H. L. Kell, final payment, furnishing and delivering wood ties for Municipal Railways (claim dated June 15, 1927), $14,499.21.

Hetch Hetchy Operative Revenue Fund.

(31) John J. Dailey, legal services, valuation of San Francisco electric properties, month of June, 1927 (claim dated June 13, 1927), $850.

(32) N. Randall Ellis, engineering services, valuation of San Francisco electric properties, month of June, 1927 (claim dated June 13, 1927), $750.

School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923.

(33) Heywood-Wakefield Co., furnishing and installing opera chairs, new Mission High School (claim dated June 10, 1927), $1,216.25.

(34) N. Brandlein & Co., chair desks for John Muir and Sherman schools (claim dated June 14, 1927), $3,982.08.

School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1918.

(35) H. S. Crocker Co., Inc., filing equipment, Mission High School (claim dated June 14, 1927), $641.25.

(36) Empire Planing Mill, library tables for Mission High School (claim dated June 14, 1927), $734.40.

(37) Haas Wood and Ivory Works, bookkeeping tables for Mission High School (claim dated June 14, 1927), $1,074.60.

Special School Tax.

(38) Baker, Hamilton & Pacific Co., hardware for school repairs (claim dated June 9, 1927), $744.81.

General Fund, 1926-1927.

(39) Spring Valley Water Co., labor and material furnished for raising high-pressure water mains in Sixth street (claim dated June 9, 1927), $7,183.37.

(40) Spring Valley Water Co., water furnished for street cleaning (claim dated June 9, 1927), $659.88.

(41) Spring Valley Water Co., water furnished public buildings (claim dated June 9, 1927), $2,237.34.

(42) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., lighting public buildings (claim dated June 10, 1927), $3,510.75.

(43) Crown Oil Co., gasoline furnished Police Department (claim dated June 13, 1927), $792.20.

(44) Boys' Aid Society, maintenance of minors (claim dated June 10, 1927), $1,330.10.

(45) Protestant Orphanage, maintenance of minors (claim dated June 10, 1927), $1,040.

(46) The Albertinum School, maintenance of minors (claim dated June 10, 1927), $1,657.50.

(47) San Francisco Nursery for Homeless Children, maintenance of minors (claim dated June 10, 1927), $560.

(48) St. Vincent's School, maintenance of minors (claim dated June 19, 1927), $2,045.78.

(49) Roman Catholic Orphanage, maintenance of minors (claim dated June 10, 1927), $4,060.

(50) Little Children's Aid, maintenance of minors (claim dated June 10, 1927), $12,566.11.

(51) The Children's Agency, maintenance of minors (claim dated June 10, 1927), $29,969.33.

(52) Eureka Benevolent Society, maintenance of minors (claim dated June 10, 1927), $3,911.84.

(53) Eaton & Smith, fourth payment, improvement of Sutro Monument and grounds (claim dated June 15, 1927), $3,750.

(54) Latourette-Fical Co., second payment, construction for water supply, drainage, etc., for Municipal Airport (claim dated June 15, 1927), $3,850.

(55) Roy Lind, first payment, construction of Hangar No. 1, Municipal Airport (claim dated June 15, 1927), $11,250.

(56) Crown Oil Co., gasoline, Fire Department (claim dated May 31, 1927), $1,104.

(57) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., gas and electricity furnished Fire Department (claim dated May 31, 1927), $1,786.65.

(58) Shell Company of California, fuel oil for Fire Department (claim dated May 31, 1927), $1,270.04.

(59) Spring Valley Water Co., water furnished and installation of hydrants, Fire Department (claim dated May 31, 1927), $2,198.53.

(60) Tire Service Co., tires furnished Fire Department (claim dated May 31, 1927), $868.48.

(61) Preston School of Industry, maintenance of inmates (claim dated June 17, 1927), $622.60.

(62) E. B. Frick, M. D., room allowances for San Francisco Hospital employees (claim dated May 31, 1927), $2,303.50.

(63) Langendorf Baking Co., bread, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated May 31, 1927), $1,155.10.

(64) McCarthy Bros., coffee for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated May 31, 1927), $590.

(65) Lesser Bros., meats for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated May 31, 1927), $2,210.59.

(66) J. T. Freitas Co., eggs for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated May 31, 1927), $1,700.70.

(67) Sherry Bros., Inc., butter for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated May 31, 1927), $1,851.20.

(68) San Francisco Dairy Co., milk and cream for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated May 31, 1927), $4,749.43.

(69) L. Scatena & Co., vegetables, etc., for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated May 31, 1927), $527.05.

(70) C. Naumann & Co., potatoes, etc., for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated May 31, 1927), $692.24.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Gallagher, Kent, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton. Todd—10.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri—8.

Appropriations, Payments to Mollie C. Anderson, Thomas S. Webb and L. B. Hammond for Lands Required for School Purposes.

Resolution No. 27451 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923, and authorized in payment to the hereinafter named claimants; being payments for lands required for school purposes, to-wit:

(1) To Mollie Canter Anderson, for land commencing at a point on the east side of Banks street, 175 feet south of Tompkins avenue, running thence south 25 feet; thence east 70 feet; thence north 25 feet; thence west 70 feet; being Lot 23, Block 5725 on the Assessor's Map Book; per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 27421, New Series (claim dated June 20, 1927), $3,000.

(2) To Thomas S. Webb, for lands commencing at point on the east line of Banks street, distant thereon 25 feet southerly from southerly line of Tompkins avenue, running thence southerly along east line of Banks street 50 feet; thence at right angles easterly 70 feet; thence northerly 50 feet; thence westerly 70 feet; being portion of Block 5728 on Assessor's Map Book; and for land commencing at point on the westerly line of Prentiss street, distant 50 feet southerly from south line of Tompkins avenue, running thence southerly on westerly line of Prentiss street 75 feet; thence at right angle westerly 70 feet; thence northerly 75 feet; thence easterly 70 feet; being a portion of Block 5728 on Assessor's Map Book; required for school purposes: per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 27420, New Series (claim dated June 20, 1927), $3,300.

(3) To L. B. Hammond, for land on northerly line of Anza street, commencing 51 feet 9 inches westerly from west line of Thirty-first avenue, thence running westerly on northerly line of Anza street 75 feet; thence northerly 100 feet; thence easterly 25 feet; thence southerly 100 feet; being a portion of Block 1513 on Assessor's Map Book; per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 27400, New Series (claim dated June 20, 1927), $2,200.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Gallagher, Kent, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—10.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri—8.

Appropriation, $25,000, for Improvement and Development of Yacht Harbor.

Resolution No. 27452 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $25,000 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of General Fund, 1926-1927, for continuing the improvement and development of the Yacht Harbor.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Kent, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —12.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Marks, McSheehy—6.

RECESS.

Whereupon, the Board took a recess until 2 p. m.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk.

REASSEMBLED—2 P. M.

The Board of Supervisors reassembled at 2 p. m.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Kent, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—12.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Marks, McSheehy—6.

Quorum present.

His Honor Mayor Rolph being absent, Supervisor Shannon was elected to preside.

UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

Final Passage.

The following matters, heretofore passed for printing, were taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Oil Tank Permits.

Resolution No. 27453 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits be and are hereby granted:

Oil Tanks.

L. Anker, west side of Twenty-fourth avenue, 100 feet south of Lake street, 1500 gallons capacity.

H. E. Bacon, north side of Pleasant street, 54 feet east of Jones street, 1500 gallons capacity.

J. J. Barrett, south side of Page street, 100 feet west of Lyon street, 1500 gallons capacity.

E. Caroli, 2558 Clay street, 150 feet east of Steiner street, 1500 gallons capacity.

California Real Estate and Finance Corporation, south side of Geary street, 32.6 feet west of Eleventh avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

W. C. Cohn, 1236 Francisco street, 1500 gallons capacity.

S. Farb, north side of Hayes street, 105 feet west of Webster street, 1500 gallons capacity.

W. G. Hind, south side of Sacramento street, 165 feet west of Scott street, 1500 gallons capacity.

J. Johnson, east side of Twentieth avenue, 80 feet south of Taraval street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Lang Realty Co., northeast corner Francisco and Divisadero streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

H. O. Lindeman, south line of Oak street, 165 feet east of Masonic avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

H. O. Lindeman, north line of Anza street, 25 feet west of Eleventh avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

May I. Neubauer, northwest corner of Page and Fillmore streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

Haley Bros., southwest corner Broderick and Beach streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

Thos. Scoble, south side of Rico way, 75 feet west of Retiro way, 1500 gallons capacity.

J. Truffelli, 1165 Clay street, 130 feet east of Taylor street, 1500 gallons capacity.

H. Wachter, 344 Arguello boulevard, 1500 gallons capacity.

C. F. Kirschling, south side of California street, 75 feet west of Parker avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits shall become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Kent, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —12.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Marks, McSheehy—6.

Automobile Supply Station Permit.

Resolution No. 27454 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Union Oil Company of California be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at the will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an automobile supply station

on the southwest corner of Divisadero and Pine streets.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall be null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Kent, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —12.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Marks, McSheehy—6.

Plans, Etc., for Grading, Drainage and Surfacing Mills Field Municipal Airport.

Bill No. 8088, Ordinance No. 7584 (New Series), as follows:

Authorizing the Board of Public Works to perpare plans and specifications and enter into contract for the improvement of the Mills Field Municipal Airport of San Francisco.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized, instructed and empowered to prepare plans and specifications for grading and incidental drainage and surfacing at Mills Field Municipal Airport of San Francisco, and to enter into contract for the construction of such grading and incidental drainage and surfacing in accordance with the plans and specifications prepared therefor.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Kent, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —12.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Marks, McSheehy—6.

Spur Tract Permit, Simon Mattress Manufacturing Company.

Bill No. 8070, Ordinance No. 7585 (New Series), as follows:

Granting permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to Simon Mattress Manufacturing Company to construct, maintain and operate a spur track on Armstrong street between Mendell and Lane streets as hereinafter described.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, is hereby granted to Simon Mattress Manufacturing Company to construct, maintain and operate a spur track on Armstrong street between Mendell and Lane streets, as follows:

Beginning at a point in the center of the existing drill track in an unnamed street, lying easterly from and adjoining the right of way of the coast division main line of the Southern Pacific Company, said point being distant seventy-one (71) feet, more or less, southearly, measured along center line of said drill track from the southwesterly line of Yosemite avenue produced, and twenty-five (25) feet easterly, measured at right angles from the westerly line of said unnamed street; thence southeasterly on a curve concave to the left through a number seven switch for a distance of seventy (70) feet to a point; thence southeasterly, crossing the intersection of said unnamed street and Mendell street on a curve concave to the left, with a radius of two hundred twenty-nine and sixty-four hundredths (229.64) feet, for a distance of eighty-eight (88) feet, more or less, to a point on the easterly line of said unnamed street, said point being distant twenty-five (25) feet, more or less, measured along the easterly line of said unnamed street from the northeasterly line of Armstrong avenue; thence continuing on private property to a point on the northeasterly line of Armstrong avenue, distant thirty-five (35) feet, more or less, measured along the northeasterly line of said Armstrong avenue from the easterly line of above mentioned unnamed street; thence southeasterly on a curve concave to the left, with a radius of two hundred twenty-nine and sixty-four hundredths (229.64) feet, for a distance of seventy (70) feet, more or less, to a point distant ten (10) feet southwesterly, measured at right angles from the northeasterly line of Armstrong avenue; thence southeasterly parallel to the said northeasterly line of Armstrong avenue four hundred twenty-five (425) feet, more or less, to a point distant fifty (50) feet measured at right angles from the northwesterly line of Lane street.

Said permission is granted subject to the provisions of Ordinance No. 69 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors, approved October 12, 1906, and the provisions and conditions of Section 8 thereof are hereby specifically contained in the permit hereby granted and shall be construed as a part hereof as completely as though the same were written in this ordinance.

Provided, that said spur track shall be laid under the supervision and to the lines and grades as fur-

nished by the City Engineer's office; and that any and all expenses connected with the installation of the track, restoration of the pavement and any additional requirements for the surface drainage be paid for by the Simon Mattress Manufacturing Company.

Provided, that the Simon Mattress Manufacturing Company shall erect and maintain all-night lighted arc lamps where directed by the Lighting Committee of the Board of Supervisors.

Section 2. Provided, that all trackage within the street area be constructed with girder rails.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Kent, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —12.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Marks, McSheehy—6.

Fixing Sidewalk Widths on Judah Street Between Nineteenth Avenue and Great Highway.

Bill No. 8071, Ordinance No. 7586 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered nine hundred and sixty-nine.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Board of Public Works, filed in this office June 8, 1927, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered nine hundred and sixty-nine, to read as follows:

Section 969. The width of sidewalks on Judah street between Nineteenth avenue and the Great Highway shall be twelve (12) feet.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect and be in force from and after its passage.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Kent, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —12.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Marks, McSheehy—6.

Fixing Sidewalk Widths on Judah Street Between Ninth and Nineteenth Avenues.

Bill No. 8072, Ordinance No. 7587 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered nine hundred and sixty-eight.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Board of Public Works, filed in this office June 8, 1927, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered nine hundred and sixty-eight, to read as follows:

Section 968. The width of sidewalks on Judah street between Ninth avenue and Nineteenth avenue shall be twelve (12) feet.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect and be in force from and after its passage.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Kent, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —12.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Marks, McSheehy—6.

Ordering Street Work.

Bill No. 8073, Ordinance No. 7588 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors June 9, 1927, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of

Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in three installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Morse street between Whittier and Lowell streets, by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks six feet in width where artificial stone sidewalks six feet or more in width have not already been constructed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Kent, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —12.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Marks, McSheehy—6.

Bill No. 8074, Ordinance No. 7589 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors June 9, 1927, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in three installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Vienna street from France avenue to Italy avenue; of the east one-half only of Vienna street from Italy avenue to Amazon avenue; of Madrid street from Silver avenue to Silliman street, and of Holyoke street from Silver avenue to Silliman street, by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks six feet in width where artificial stone sidewalks six feet or more in width have not already been constructed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Kent, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —12.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Marks, McSheehy—6.

Bill No. 8075, Ordinance No. 7590 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors June 9, 1927, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and speci-

fications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in three installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Eighth avenue between Noriega and Ortega streets, by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks six (6) feet in width where artificial stone sidewalks six (6) feet or more in width have not already been constructed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Kent, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —12.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Marks, McSheehy—6.

Bill No. 8076, Ordinance No. 7591 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors June 9, 1927, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in three installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Forty-seventh avenue between Kirkham and Lawton streets, by the construction of a six-foot artificial stone sidewalk, where artificial stone sidewalks six feet or more in width have not already been constructed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Kent, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —12.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Marks, McSheehy—6.

Bill No. 8077, Ordinance No. 7592 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors June 9, 1927, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that

the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in three installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of the east one-half of Sixth avenue from a line parallel with and one hundred and fifty (150) feet south of the south line of Cabrillo street to a line parallel with and two hundred (200) feet of the south line of Cabrillo street by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks of the full official width where artificial stone sidewalks are not to the official line and grade.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Kent, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —12.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Marks, McSheehy—6.

Bill No. 8078, Ordinance No. 7593 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors June 9, 1927, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in three installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of the south one-half of Anza street between Forty-sixth avenue and Forty-seventh avenue, by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks six feet in width, where artificial stone sidewalks six feet or more in width have not already been constructed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Kent, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —12.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Marks, McSheehy—6.

Bill No. 8079, Ordinance No. 7594 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors June 9, 1927, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improve-

ments, respectively, may be paid in three installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Anza street between Thirty-second and Thirty-third avenue, by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks six feet in width, where artificial stone sidewalks six feet or more in width have not already been constructed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Kent, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —12.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Marks, McSheehy—6.

Bill No. 8080, Ordinance No. 7595 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors June 9, 1927, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in twenty installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be six months from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Thornton avenue between the westerly line of Reddy street produced and the westerly line of Diana street produced, including the intersection of Thornton avenue and Diana street, by grading to official line and grade; by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks on the corners of Thornton avenue and Diana street; by the construction of an 8-inch ironstone pipe sewer along the center line of Thornton avenue between the westerly line of Diana street produced and a point 20 feet easterly therefrom; by the construction of a 12-inch ironstone pipe sewer with the necessary Y branches, sidesewers and one brick manhole with appurtenances along the center line of Thornton avenue from the last described point to the westerly line of Reddy street; by the construction of the necessary brick catchbasins with appurtenances and 10-inch ironstone pipe culverts, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and a 1½-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Kent, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —12.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Marks, McSheehy—6.

Bill No. 8081, Ordinance No. 7596 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors June 9, 1927, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of

said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in twenty installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be six months from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Armstrong avenue between the easterly line of Third street and Keith street, including the crossing of Armstrong avenue and Keith street, by grading to official line and grade; by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of the necessary sidesewers; by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks on the angular corners, with the necessary brick catchbasins and appurtenances, and ten-inch ironstone pipe culverts in the above-mentioned crossing, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement consisting of a six-inch concrete foundation and a one and one-half inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Kent, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —12.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Marks, McSheehy—6.

Bill No. 8082, Ordinance No. 7597 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors June 9, 1927, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in twenty installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be six months from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of the crossing of Jerrold avenue and Phelps street, by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks on the angular corners; by the construction of the necessary brick catchbasins with appurtenances and ten-inch ironstone pipe culverts, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a six-inch concrete foundation and a one and one-half inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface on the roadway thereof, and the improvement of Jerrold avenue between Phelps street and Quint street, by grading to official line and grade.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Kent, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —12.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Marks, McSheehy—6.

Bill No. 8083, Ordinance No. 7598 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the

City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors June 9, 1927, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in twenty installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be six months from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Army street between Diamond street and Douglass street, where not already so improved, by the construction of concrete curbs; and by the construction of a concrete pavement on the roadway thereof, and the improvement of Diamond street between Army street and Twenty-sixth street, where not already so improved, by the construction of concrete curbs; and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and a one and one-half inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface between the existing concrete central strip and the curb line; and the improvement of Twenty-eighth street between Diamond street and Douglass street, where not already so improved, by the construction of concrete curbs; and by the construction of a concrete pavement on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Kent, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —12.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Marks, McSheehy—6.

Bill No. 8084, Ordinance No. 7599 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors June 9, 1927, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in twenty installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be six months from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of the crossing of Burrows street and Hamilton street, by grading to official line and grade; by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks on the angular corners, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and a 1½-inch asphaltic concrete wearing

surface on the roadway thereof; and the improvement of Hamilton street between Burrows and Felton streets, and the improvement of Burrows street between Hamilton street and Holyoke street where not already so improved, by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of the necessary sidesewers, and by the construction of a concrete pavement on the roadways thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Kent, Powers. Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —12.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Marks, McSheehy—6.

Bill No. 8085, Ordinance No. 7600 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors June 9, 1927, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Circular avenue between Staples avenue and Marston avenue where not already so improved, including the intersection of Circular avenue and Marston avenue, and that portion opposite the intersection of Circular avenue and Marston avenue, by grading to official line and grade; by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks and the necessary catchbasins, culverts, etc., on the angular corners of the intersection of Circular avenue and Marston avenue, and by the consrtuction of an asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and a 1½-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Kent, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —12.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Marks, McSheehy—6.

Bill No. 8086, Ordinance No. 7601 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors June 9, 1927, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improve-

ments, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be construction of an asphaltic concerte time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Naples street between Silver avenue and Peru avenue, by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks six (6) feet in width, where artificial stone sidewalks six (6) feet or more in width have not already been constructed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Kent, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —12.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Marks, McSheehy—6.

Bill No. 8087, Ordinance No. 7602 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors June 9, 1927, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of the intersection of Peralta avenue and Franconia street, and of Peralta avenue and Florida street, where not already improved, by the construction of concrete curbs and artificial stone sidewalks on the angular corners; by the construction of the necessary brick catchbasins with appurtenances and 10-inch ironstone pipe culverts, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and a one and one-half inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Kent, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —12.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Marks, McSheehy—6.

PRESENTATION OF BILLS AND ACCOUNTS.

Demands not required by law to be passed to print, and amounting to $77,316.31, were allowed and ordered paid by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Kent, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—12

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Marks, McSheehy—6.

NEW BUSINESS.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Authorizations.

On motion of Supervisor Kent:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

Park Fund.

(1) J. H. McCallum, lumber for parks (claim dated June 24, 1927), $561.89.

Robinson Bequest Interest Fund.

(2) James Rolph, Jr., Mayor, for relief of destitute women and chil-

dren (claim dated June 27, 1927), $792.67.

Tearing-Up Streets Fund.

(3) Gladding, McBean & Co., sewer pipe for sidesewers (claim dated June 15, 1927), $636.30.

Hetch Hetchy Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1925.

(4) The Marion Steam Shovel Co., steam shovel parts, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated June 15, 1927), $651.09.

(5) The Giant Powder Co. Con., explosives, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated June 17, 1927), $5,325.

(6) Hill & Morton Inc., lumber, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated June 18, 1927), $506.54.

(7) H. R. Landfare Company, (assignment to The Bank of California), cedar poles, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated June 17, 1927), $852.

(8) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., electric lamps for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated June 17, 1927), $1,401.60.

(9) State Compensation Insurance Fund, insurance premium, Hetch Hetchy employees (claim dated June 18, 1927), $2,557.44.

(10) George H. Tay Company, range boilers, pipe, etc., Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated June 18, 1927), $820.85.

(11) Western Pipe and Steel Co., air pipe for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated June 17, 1927), $915.10.

Hetch Hetchy Operative Revenue Fund.

(12) H. G. Butler, services in connection with valuation proceedings, San Francisco electric properties (claim dated June 20 1927), $537.50.

Fire Department Land and Construction Fund.

(13) Elliot & Grant, conditional acceptance payment, construction of Fire Department Engine House No. 19 (claim dated June 21, 1927), $12,247.55.

(14) Frederick H. Meyer and Albin R. Johnson, first payment, architectural services, Fire Department Engine Company No. 18 building (claim dated June 21, 1927), $2,278.80.

Relief Home Bonds, Issue 1923.

(15) Lois Martin, looms and warp, new Relief Home (claim dated June 20, 1927), $600.

Municipal Railway Depreciation Reserve Fund.

(16) Westinghouse Pacific Coast Brake Co., brake equipment for three Pierce-Arrow busses, Municipal Railways (claim dated June 16, 1927), $1,350.

Municipal Railway Fund.

(17) Market Street Railway Company, electric power furnished Municipal Railways (claim date June 16, 1927), $3,379.94.

(18) Market Street Railway Company, reimbursement for May, 1927, under agreement of December 12, 1918 (claim dated June 16, 1927), $1,596.33.

School Cnonstruction Fund, Bond Issue 1923.

(19) Amoroso & Damico, extra work, general construction of Edison School (claim dated June 18, 1927), $580.95.

(20) Macdonald & Kahn, Inc., extra work, general construction of new Mission High School (claim dated June 18, 1927), $516.

(21) Amoroso & Damico, conditional acceptance payment, general construction of Edison School (claim dated June 21, 1927), $78,887.55.

(22) Crown Electric Co., final payment, electrical work for new Mission High School (claim dated June 21, 1927), $12,418.

(23) Joseph J. Rankin, final payment, architectural services on addition to Francis Scott Key School (claim dated June 22, 1927), $1,155.60.

(24) St. Charles Market, meats, County Jails (claim dated June 15, 1927), $613.22.

(25) C. Nauman & Co., vegetables, County Jails (claim dated June 15, 1927), $707.17.

(26) Langendorf Baking Co., bread, County Jails (claim dated June 15, 1927), $1,044.96.

(27) California Meat Co., meats, County Jails (claim dated June 15, 1927), $1,217.28.

(28) San Francisco Stove Works, iron castings, Dept. of Electricity (claim dated May 31, 1927), $508.66.

(29) W. R. Ballinger & Son, hauling voting machines, Dept. of Elections (claim dated June 20, 1927), $4,240.80.

(30) California Rock Co., gravel for street repair (claim dated June 21, 1927), $1,849.50.

(31) Jas. E. Lennon Lime and Cement Co., cement for street repair (claim dated June 21, 1927), $1,810.28.

(32) Western Rock Products Co., sand for street repair (claim dated June 21, 1927), $2,989.33.

(33) Edison Storage Battery Supply Co., battery cells, sewer repair (claim dated June 21, 1927), $505.10.

(34) Symon Brothers, team hire for street cleaning (claim dated June 21, 1927), $747.50.

(35) John P. Hennessy, compensation insurance, injured sewer crib-

ber (claim dated June 16, 1927), $1,184.92.

(36) Wewanee Boiler Co., two garbage burners for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated May 31, 1927), $1,445.40.

(37) California Petroleum Corporation, fuel oil, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated May 31, 1927), $2,269.77.

(38) Spring Valley Water Co., water for Relief Home (claim dated May 31, 1927), $731.25.

(39) Radium Chemical Co., radium needles, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated May 31, 1927), $13,999.30.

(40) S. H. Tyler & Son, coffee and tea, Relief Home (claim dated June 20, 1927), $947.12.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

Park Fund.

(1) B. Rosenberg, construction of Kezar Stadium (claim dated June 30, 1927), $2,425.95.

(2) Eaton & Smith, spreading rock at Fleishhacker Parking Station (claim dated June 30, 1927), $2,405.

(3) A. G. Spalding & Bros., golf balls (claim dated June 30, 1927), $531.60.

(4) George H. Tay Co., galvanized pipe for parks (claim dated June 30, 1927), $563.04.

(5) J. H. McCallum, lumber for parks (claim dated June 30, 1927), $503.98.

(5a) Pioneer Rubber Mills, hose for parks (claim dated June 30, 1927), $1,740.

Huntington Bequest Fund.

(6) The McGilvray Raymond Granite Co., granite pedestal for Joan of Arc statue and placing statue on pedestal (claim dated June 30, 1927), $4,250.

Duplicate Tax Fund.

(7) Geo. E. Billings, refund of duplicate payment of taxes for years 1925-1926 and 1926-1927 (claim dated June 23, 1927), $3,399.56.

Hetch Hetchy Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1925.

(8) Allis Chalmers Manufacturing Company, machinery parts, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated June 22, 1927), $1,122.57.

(9) City Coal Co., coal, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated June 22, 1927), $1,022.

(10) Graybar Electric Co., Inc., washers, rods, etc., for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated June 22, 1927), $692.11.

(11) Giant Powder Co., explosives, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated June 22, 1927), $578.25.

(12) J. H. McCallum, lumber for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated June 22, 1927), $550.36.

(13) M. M. O'Shaughnessy, revolving fund expenditures, per vouchers (claim dated June 22, 1927), $1,000.

(14) Pacific Electric Manufacturing Company, fuse cutouts, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated June 23, 1927), $1,110.81.

(15) Westinghouse Electric and Manufacturing Company, circuit breakers, etc., Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated June 23, 1927), $753.

(16) Western Pipe and Steel Co., air pipe, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated June 22, 1927), $915.10.

(17) Bald Eagle Meat Market, meats, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated June 28, 1927), $1,632.85.

(18) Hales & Symons, Inc., lumber, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated June 28, 1927), $1,649.

(19) A. Levy & J. Zentner Co., fruits and vegetables, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated June 28, 1927), $624.38.

(20) H. R. Landfare Co., cedar poles, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated June 28, 1927), $733.70.

(21) Santa Cruz Portland Cement Co., cement, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated June 28, 1927), $607.25.

Tubercular Sanitarium Fund.

(22) The Sheet Metal Shop, first payment, kitchen equipment for the Tuberculosis Preventorium (claim dated June 29, 1927), $1,784.70.

Fire Department Land and Construction Fund.

(23) Elliot & Grant, extra work, general construction of Fire Department Engine House No. 19 (claim dated June 27, 1927), $648.

Municipal Railway Fund.

(24) Westinghouse Electric and Manufacturing Co., electric car parts, Municipal Railways (claim dated June 16, 1927), $767.35.

Municipal Railway Depreciation Fund.

(25) Bethlehem Steel Company, first payment, track materials for Municipal Railways (claim dated June 27, 1927), $14,215.50.

(26) St. Louis Car Co., third payment, car bodies for Municipal Railways (claim dated June 27, 1927), $53,262.

Municipal Railway Depreciation Reserve Fund.

(27) Street Repair Department, Board of Public Works, street paving for Municipal Railways (claim dated June 23, 1927), $2,992.50.

Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1910.

(28) Mercantile Trust Co. of California, payment for lands required for San Miguel reservoir, San Francisco, per Resolution No. 26299 (New Series) (claim dated June 27, 1927), $18,000.

(29) Santa Cruz Portland Cement Co., cement for Hetch Hetchy water construction (claim dated June 27, 1927), $607.25.

Special School Tax.

(30) Mahony Bros., ninth payment, general construction of additions to Commodore Sloat School (claim dated June 27, 1927), $6,903.30.

(31) O. Monson, fourteenth payment, general construction of additional units to Galileo High School (claim dated June 27, 1927), $5,789.55.

(32) Standard Electrical Construction Company, seventh payment, electrical work, additional units to Galileo High School (claim dated June 28, 1927), $1,649.70.

Publicity and Advertising (Appropriation 55), 1927-1928.

(33) Rudolph Theurkauf and William A. Theurkauf, payments for account of San Francisco exhibit at the Transcontinental Highway Exposition, Reno, Nev., as per Resolution No. 26840 (New Series), for the publicity and advertising of San Francisco (claim dated July 5, 1927), $5,000.

General Fund, 1925-1926.

(34) Eaton & Smith, first payment, improvement of Elk street from Bosworth to Chenery streets (claim dated June 29, 1927), $1,800.

School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923.

(35) A. Lettich, fifth payment, plumbing work, Hearst Moulder School (claim dated June 28, 1927), $703.13.

(36) Reliable Plumbing Co., second payment, mechanical equipment for Hearst Moulder School (claim dated June 28, 1927), $1,746.55.

(37) Latourette-Fical Co., seventh payment, plumbing work, Mission (Everett) Junior High School (claim dated June 28, 1927), $3,472.84.

(38) R. W. Moller, tenth payment, general construction of Mission (Everett) Junior High School (claim dated June 28, 1927), $29,807.17.

(39) Scott Co., fourth payment, mechanical equipment, Mission (Everett) Junior High School (claim dated June 28, 1927), $2,212.50.

(40) A. Lettich, second payment, plumbing work, Portola Junior High School (claim dated June 28, 1927), $4,736.77.

(41) O. Monson, third payment, general construction, Portola Junior High School (claim dated June 27, 1927), $18,870.

(42) Crown Electric Co., additional work for new Mission High School (claim dated June 28, 1927), $1,089.55.

Maintenance of Aquarium—Appropriation 57.

(43) California Academy of Sciences, maintenance of Steinhart Aquarium, month of June (claim dated June 30, 1927), $4,205.85.

Playground Fund.

(44) Klawans & McMullin, recreational supplies for playgrounds (claim dated June 29, 1927), $1,280.

General Fund, 1926-1927.

(45) W. Q. Wright, Ralph A. Beebee and A. A. Peters, progress payment, dredging Marina Yacht Harbor (claim dated June 30, 1927), $5,925.

(46) Henry D. Dewell, plans and specifications for repair of Fine Arts Building (claim dated June 30, 1927), $2,100.

(47) San Francisce Bulletin, official advertising, Board of Supervisors (claim dated June 30, 1927), $3,231.84.

(48) Graybar Electric Co., galvanized lag screws and bolts for traffic signals (claim dated June 30, 1927), $742.50.

(49) California Petroleum Corporation, fuel oil for street repair (claim dated June 27, 1927), $654.83.

(50) Pacific Portland Cement Co., cement for street repair (claim dated June 27, 1927), $741.17.

(51) Crown Oil Co., gasoline and oil for street cleaning (claim dated June 27, 1927), $523.18.

(52) Latourette-Fical Co., second payment, lighting of hangar and field, S. F. Municipal Airport (claim dated June 29, 1927), $1,290.

(53) Schultz Construction Co., final payment, hauling and laying high-pressure water mains in Butchertown District (claim dated June 29, 1927), $2,872.21.

(54) Swift & Co., meats for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated June 30, 1927), $681.24.

(55) Walton N. Moore Dry Goods Co., dry goods for S. F. Hospital (claim dated June 30, 1927), $2,714.

(56) Walton N. Moore Dry Goods Co., dry goods for S. F. Hospital

(claim dated June 30, 1927), $3,203.27.

(57) California Metal and Radiator Works, cowls, fenders and side-shields for new chemicals, Fire Department (claim dated June 30, 1927), $588.

(58) Pioneer Rubber Mills, hose for Fire Department (claim dated June 30, 1927), $820.

(59) The Seagrave Corporation, Fire Department apparatus parts (claim dated June 30, 1927), $691.50.

(60) Spring Valley Water Co., water service, Fire Department hydrants (claim dated June 30, 1927), $14,571.10.

(61) Wilcox & Company, printing for departments (claim dated June 30, 1927), $898.74.

Spreckels Special Deposit—Honora Sharp Trust (Park.)

(62) John H. Thieler, Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco, for purchase of Midland School District 5½ per cent bonds, with accrued interest (claim dated June 30, 1927), $3,280.74.

General Fund, 1927-1928.

(63) Thos. F. Boyle, Treasurer of Citizens' Fourth of July Committee, for expense of celebration of Fourth of July, 1927 (claim dated July 5, 1927), $2,500.

(64) Daniel J O'Brien, police contingent expense for July (claim dated July 1, 1927), $750.

Appropriations, McLaren Park.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside and appropriated out of "Purchase of lands for public purposes in Mission District," Budget Item No. 70, Fiscal Year 1927-1928, and authorized in payment to the hereinafter named persons; being payments for lands required for the John McLaren Park, to-wit:

(1) To M. H. Dignan, for Lots 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13 and 14 in Block No. 6263 on Assessor's Map Book of the City and County of San Francisco; as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 27395 (New Series), $2,347.

(2) To E. P. Blasgrove, for lands and improvements, being Lot No. 4 in Block No. 6264 on Assessor's Map Book of the City and County of San Francisco; as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 27394 (New Series), $1,075.

(3) To C. B. Smith, for land and improvements, being Lots Nos. 14 and 15 in Block No. 6151 on Assessor's Map Book of the City and County of San Francisco; as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 27396 (New Series), $4,556.

(4) To F. Smith and wife, for Lot No. 3 in Block No. 6164 on Assessor's Map Book of the City and County of San Francisco; as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 27396 (New Series), $1,550.

(5) To Elizabeth A. McDonald, for Lots Nos. 1 and 2, as per Assessor's Map Book of the City and County of San Francisco; as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 27393 (New Series), $600.

Appropriations, McLaren Park.

Supervisor Kent presented:

Resolution No. 27462 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside and appropriated out of "Purchase of lands for public purposes in Mission District," Budget Item No. 70, Fiscal Year 1927-1928, and authorized in payment to the hereinafter designated persons; being payments for lands required for the John McLaren Park, to-wit:

(1) To John W. Gainey, for Lots Nos. 40 and 41, in Block No. 6241, as per the Assessor's Map Book of the City and County of San Francisco, and as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 27393 (New Series), $350.

(2) To Walter A. Granicher et al., for Lots Nos. 27, 36, 37, 34 and 35, as per the Assessor's Block Book of the City and County of San Francisco, and as per Resolution No. 27393 (New Series), accepting offer, $450.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Kent, Powers Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —12.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Marks, McSheehy—6.

Action Deferred.

The following resolution was *laid over one week*:

Appropriation, $775.31 Payment to Crocker National Bank of San Francisco as Fiscal Agents.

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $775.31 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of Urgent Necessity, Budget Item No. 25, Fiscal Year 1926-1927, in payment to the Crocker National Bank of San Francisco; being payment for account of expense and New York Exchange paid as fiscal agents for the City and County (claim dated June 27, 1927).

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Appropriations, Land for McLaren Park.

On motion of Supervisor Kent:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $102,612.50 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of "Purchase of lands for public purposes in Mission District," Budget Item No. 70, Fiscal Year 1927-1928, and authorized in payment to the California Pacific Title and Trust Company; being payment for properties situate in the City and County of San Francisco as set forth in Resolution No. 27446 (New Series), and required for the John McLaren Memorial Park (claim dated July 5, 1927).

Appropriation, Summer Symphony.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $10,000 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of Publicity and Advertising, Appropriation 55, Fiscal Year 1927-1928, for the expense of summer symphony concerts under the auspices of the Summer Symphony Association, for the publicity and advertising of San Francisco; and

Further Resolved, That said $10,000 is hereby authorized in payment to Thos. F. Boyle, Treasurer of the Summer Symphony Association.

Appropriation, Reception, Officers and Midshipmen, U. S. Navy.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $1,800 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of Publicity and Advertising, Appropriation 55, Fiscal Year 1927-1928, for expense of reception to visiting officers and midshipmen of the United States Navy.

Accepting Offer A. R. Herman for Land Required for Palace of Fine Arts.

Supervisor Kent presented:

Resolution No. 27456 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, an offer has been received from Albert R. Herman et al. to sell to the City and County of San Francisco certain land situate at the southeast corner of Jefferson and Lyon streets, required for the Palace of Fine Arts; and

Whereas, the price at which said parcel of land is offered is the reasonable value thereof; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the offer of the said owners to convey to the City and County of San Francisco a good and sufficient fee simple title to the following described land, free of all encumbrances, for the sum of $11,625 be and the same is hereby accepted, the said land being described as follows, to-wit:

Commencing at a point formed by the intersection of the southerly line of Jefferson street with the easterly line of Lyon street, running thence easterly along said southerly line of Jefferson street 104 feet 9¼ inches to a point distant 137 feet 6 inches westerly from the westerly line of Baker street; thence at a right angle southerly 137 feet 6 inches; thence at a right angle westerly 109 feet 9¾ inches to the easterly line of Lyon street; thence along said easterly line of Lyon street 137 feet 7⅛ inches to the southerly line of Jefferson street and point of commencement. Being a portion of Western Addition Block No. 563, also known as Lots 7 and 8, Block 916 on Assessor's Map Book.

The City Attorney is hereby directed to examine the title to said land, and if the same is found to be vested in the aforesaid owners, free of all encumbrances, and that the taxes up to and including the current fiscal year are paid and that the so-called McEnerney title has been procured or sufficient money reserved for the purpose of procuring the same, to report the result of his examination to the Board of Supervisors, and also to cause a good and sufficient deed for said land to be executed and delivered to the City and County upon payment of the agreed purchase price as aforesaid. And the said deed to said land is hereby accepted.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Kent, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —12.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Marks, McSheehy—6.

Accepting Deeds to Lands for McLaren Park.

Supervisor Kent presented:

Resolution No. 27457 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, a communication has been filed by the California Pacific Title and Trust Company advising that in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco it has taken over and acquired under its name certain parcels of property situate

in the City and County of San Francisco and required for park purposes, to-wit: The McLaren Park; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the deeds to the following properties designated by lot and block number herein and more specifically described in the said communication from the California Pacific Title and Trust Company be and the same are hereby accepted, to-wit:

Parcel 1—Lot 8, Block 169, University Extension Homestead Association; Assessor's Block 12-13-6069.

Parcel 2—Portion of Block 177, University Extension Homestead Association; Assessor's Block 10-6101.

Parcel 3—Portion of Block 83, University Mound Tract Survey; Assessor's Block 8-5970.

Parcel 4—Portion of Lot 5, Block 177, University Extension Homestead Association; Assessor's Block 8-6101.

Parcel 5—Portion of Block 177, University Extension Homestead Association; Assessor's Block 5-6101.

Parcel 6—Lots 4 and 5, Block 8, Reis Tract; Assessor's Block 24-25-6212.

Parcel 7—Lot 5, Block 30, Reis Tract; Assessor's Block 1-6179.

Parcel 8—Lots 1 and 2, Block 112, University Homestead Association; Assessor's Block 1-2-6145.

Parcel 9—Portion of Lot 5, Block 177, University Extension Homestead Association; Assessor's Block 9-6101.

Parcel 10—Portion of Lot 6, Block 162, University Extension Homestead Association; Assessor's Block 8-6030.

Parcel 11—Lot 6, Block 157, University Extension Homestead Association; Assessor's Block 6-6001.

Parcel 12—Lot 7, Block 165, University Mound Homestead Association; Assessor's Block 16-6065.

Parcel 13—Portion of Lot 12, Block 3, Paul Tract Homestead Association; Assessor's Block 7-6118.

Parcel 14—Portion of Lot 6, Block 65, University Mound Homestead Association; Assessor's Block 8-6039.

Parcel 15—Lots 3, 4, 5 and 6, Block 178, University Extension Homestead Association; Assessor's Block 3 to 8-6102.

Parcel 16—Portion of Lot 1, Block 107, University Extension Homestead Association; Assessor's Block 8-6137.

Parcel 17—Lot 5, Block 162, University Extension Homestead Association; Assessor's Block 5-6-6030.

Parcel 18—Lot 4, Block 105, University Extension Homestead Association; Assessor's Block 6-6064.

Parcel 19—Lot 7, Block 180, University Extension Homestead Association; Assessor's Block 14-6104.

Parcel 20—Lot 3, Block 162, University Extension Homestead Association; Assessor's Block 2-6102.

Parcel 22—Portion of Lot 8, Block 182, University Extension Homestead Association; Assessor's Block 18-6138.

Parcel 23—Lot 7, Block 106, University Extension Homestead Association; Assessor's Block 9-6106.

Parcel 24—Lots 42 and 43, Block 16, Reis Tract; Assessor's Block 37-38-6241.

Parcel 25—Lot 4, Block 181, University Extension Homestead Association; Assessor's Block 5-6105.

Parcel 26—Portion of Lots 7 and 8, Block 47, University Extension Homestead Association; Assessor's Block 8-9-6114.

Parcel 27—Portion of Lots 7 and 8, Block 182, University Extension Homestead Association; Assessor's Block 13-14-15-6138.

Parcel 28—Lot 5, Block 65, University Mound Survey; Assessor's Block 7-6039.

Parcel 29—Lots 3 and 4, Block 34, Reis Tract; Assessor's Block 7-8-6162.

Parcel 30—Portion of Visitacion Rancho; Assessor's Block 11-6316.

Parcel 31—Lot 3, Paul Tract; Assessor's Block 13-6118.

Parcel 32—Lots 1 and 2, Block 34, Reis Tract; Assessor's Block 5-6-6162.

Parcel 33—Lots 1, 2 and 8, Block 61, University Mound Homestead Association; Assessor's Block 1-2-12-6130.

Parcel 34—Portion of Lot 83, University Mound Tract Survey; Assessor's Block 5-5970.

Parcel 35—Portion of Lot 83, University Mound Tract Survey; Assessor's Block 6-5970.

Parcel 36—Lot 41, Block 14, Reis Tract; Assessor's Block 27-6211.

Parcel 37—Lot 20, Block 16, Reis Tract; Assessor's Block 8-6241.

Parcel 38—½ Lot 4, Block 65, University Mound Survey; Assessor's Block 6-6039.

Parcel 39—Lots 38, 39 and 40, Block 14, Reis Tract; Assessor's Block 28-29-30-6211.

Parcel 40—Lot 3, Block 76, University Homestead Association; Assessor's Block 4-6132.

Parcel 41—Portion Lot 5, Block 181, University Extension Homestead Association; Assessor's Block 9-6105.

Parcel 42—Lot 21, Block 33, Reis Tract; Assessor's Block 9-6163.

Parcel 43—Portion Lot 5, Block 93, University Mound; Assessor's Block 6-5995.

Parcel 44—Portion Lot 8, Block 93, C. and D. Maps, Recorder's office; Assessor's Block 13A-5995.

Parcel 45—Lot 5, Block 182, University Extension Homestead Association; Assessor's Block 11-6138.

Parcel 46—Lot 4, Block 103, C. and D. Maps, Recorder's office; Assessor's Block 4-5996.

Parcel 47—Portion Lot 6, Block 63, University Mound; Assessor's Block 6-6112.

Parcel 48—Lots 1 and 2, Block 95, University Mound; Assessor's Block 1-2-6063.

Parcel 49—Lot 8, Block 95, C. and D. Maps, Recorder's office; Assessor's Block 10-6063.

Parcel 50—Portion Lot 3, Block 166, University Extension Homestead Association; Assessor's Block 8-6066.

Parcel 51—Portion Lot 3, Block 166, University Extension Homesteead Association; Assessor's Block 6-6066.

Parcel 52—Portion Lot 3, Block 166, University Extension Homestead Association; Assessor's Block 5-6066.

Parcel 53—Portion Lot 5, Block 167, University Extension Homestead Association; Assessor's Block 5-6067.

Parcel 54—Portion Lot 5, Block 171, University Extension Homestead Association; Assessor's Block 7C-6071.

Parcel 55—Portion Lot 5, Block 171, University Extension Homestead Association; Assessor's Block 7B-6071.

Parcel 56—Portion Lot 5, Block 171, University Extension Homestead Association; Assessor's Block 7-6071.

Parcel 57—Block 172, University Extension Homestead Association; Assessor's Block 1-6071A.

Parcel 58—Portion Lot 1, Block 97, University Mound; Assessor's Block 14-15-6136.

Parcel 59—Portion Lot 7, Block 97, University Mound; Assessor's Block 9-6136.

Parcel 60—Portion Lot 2, Block 117, University Mound; Assessor's Block 2-6150.

Parcel 61—Lot 7, Block 88, University Homestead Association; Assessor's Block 7-6135.

Parcel 62—Lot 7, Block 112, University Homestead Association; Assessor's Block 8-6145.

Parcel 63—Lot 5, Block 111, University Mound; Assessor's Block 5-6144.

Parcel 64—Portion Lot 1, Block 174, University Extension Homestead Association; Assessor's Block 14-6098.

Parcel 65—Lot 6, Block 155, University Extension Homestead Association; Assessor's Block 6-5999.

Parcel 66—Lot 18, Block 6, Reis Tract; Assessor's Block 39-6214.

Parcel 67—Lot 20, Block 6, Reis Tract; Assessor's Block 1-6214.

Parcel 68—Lot 4, Block 9, Reis Tract; Assessor's Block 8-6185.

Parcel 69—Lot 8, Block 9, Reis Tract; Assessor's Block 4-6185.

Parcel 70—Lot 1, Block 7, Reis Tract; Assessor's Block 26-6213.

Parcel 71—Lot 18, Block 7, Reis Tract; Assessor's Block 43-6213.

Parcel 72—Lots 43, 44, 45 and 46, Block 7, Reis Tract; Assessor's Block 20-21-22-23-6213.

Parcel 73—Lot 22, Block 5, Reis Tract; Assessor's Block 1-6215.

Parcel 74—Lot 29, Block 15, Reis Tract; Assessor's Block 6-6232.

Parcel 75—Lots 43 and 44, Block 12, Reis Tract; Assessor's Block 23-24-6186.

Parcel 76—Lots 9, 10, 11, 12, 13 and 14, Block 13, Reis Tract; Assessor's Block 38-39-40-41-42-43-6187.

Parcel 77—Lots 30, 31, 32, 33 and 34, Block 28, Reis Tract; Assessor's Block 1-2-3-4-5-6189.

Parcel 78—Lots 5, 6 and 8, Block 36, Sunnyvale Homestead Association; Assessor's Block 2-3-14-6263.

Parcel 79—Lots 2, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9 and 11, Block 41, Sunnyvale Homestead Association; Assessor's Block 1-2-3-4-6-9-11-13-14-6289.

Parcel 80—Lots 1 to 14, inclusive, Block 35, Sunnyvale Homestead Association; Assessor's Block 6293.

Parcel 81—Lots 9 to 14, Block 37, Sunnyvale Homestead Association; Assessor's Block 8-9-10-11-12-13-6264.

Parcel 82—Lot 5, Block 42, Sunnyvale Homestead Association; Assessor's Block 3-6288.

Parcel 83—Lots 12 and 13, Block 40, Sunnyvale Homestead Association; Assessor's Block 9-10-6265.

Parcel 84—Lots 3, 9, 10 and 14, Block 36 Sunnyvale Homestead Association; Assessor's Block 5-8-12-13-6291.

Parcel 85—Lot 5, Block 39, Sunnyvale Homestead Association; Assessor's Block 3-6291.

Parcel 86—Part Lot 88 Map C. and D., Visitacion Valley Homestead Association; Assessor's Block 8-6266.

Parcel 87—Part Lot 88 Map C. and D., Visitacion Valley Homestead Association; Assessor's Block 4-6266.

Parcel 88—Part Lot 90 Map C. and D., Visitacion Valley Homestead Association; Assessor's Block 11-6266.

Parcel 89—Part Lot 92 Map C. and D., Visitacion Valley Home-

stead Association; Assessor's Block 13-6266.

Parcel 90—Part Lot 18, Block 30, Reis Tract; Assessor's Block 17-6179.

Parcel 91—All Block 148, University Extension Homestead Association; Assessor's Block 1-5963A.

Parcel 92—Lot 97, Visitacion Valley Homestead Association; Assessor's Block 4-6285.

Parcel 93—½ Lot 6, Block 65, University Mound Survey; Assessor's Block 9-6039.

Parcel 94—Lots 11 and 12, Block 16, Reis Tract; Assessor's Block 16-17-6241.

Parcel 95—Lot 8, Block 104, University Homestead Association; Assessor's Block 9-6033.

Parcel 96—Lots 7 and 8, Block 4, Reis Tract; Assessor's Block 16-6242.

Parcel 97—Part Lot 8, Block 181, University Extension Homestead Association; Assessor's Block 15-6105.

Parcel 98—Lots 18 and 19, Block 15, Reis Tract; Assessor's Block 9-10-6232.

And be it

Further Resolved, That the City Attorney be and he is hereby authorized and requested to examine the deeds to said hereinbefore mentioned parcels of property and if the same are found to be in satisfactory condition, to accept the same in the name of the City and County of San Francisco for record, upon payment of the agreed purchase price to the respective owners of the said properties as herein set forth, amounting to the total sum of $102.642.50.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Kent, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —12.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Marks, McSheehy—6.

Appropriation, Delinque t Taxes, McLaren Park Lands.

Supervisor Kent presented:

Resolution No. 27446 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside and appropriated out of "Purchase of lands for public purposes in Mission District," Budget Item No. 70, Fiscal Year 1927-1928, and authorized in payment to Edward F. Bryant as Tax Collector of the City and County; being the amounts at which the hereinafter described lots of lands were, by public auction, in the office of the Tax Collector of the City and County, sold to the City and County by the Tax Collector for account of the State of California June 28, 1927, in satisfaction of delinquent taxes and penalties due and unpaid upon said lands; said lands being required for the John McLaren Memorial Park, to-wit:

Lot 2, Block 6103, $704.42.
Lot 10, Block 6115, $24.86.
Lot 40, Block 6189, $7.01.
Lot 7, Block 6213, $13.62.
Lot 33, Block 6214, $10.20.
Total, $760.11.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Kent, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —12.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Marks, McSheehy—6.

Accepting Statement of Market Street Railway Company.

Supervisor Kent presented:

Resolution No. 27458 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the statements heretofore filed by the Market Street Railway Company, showing gross receipts from passenger fares for the month of May, 1927, upon which percentages in the following amounts are due the City and County under terms of franchises be and the same are hereby accepted, to-wit:

Parkside Transit Co., etc., $541.36.

Parnassus avenue extension, etc., $316.85.

Gough Street Railroad Co., $49.42

Further Resolved, That the Market Street Railway Company is hereby directed to deposit with the Treasurer of the City and County the hereinabove mentioned sums, the same to be placed to the credit of the General Fund.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Kent, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —12.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Marks, McSheehy—6.

Agreement for Discontinuance of Montgomery Street Cars.

Supervisor Kent presented:

Resolution No. 27459 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, Montgomery street, in the City and County of San Francisco, is in the financial center of the City and is one of the narrow streets thereof, and the traffic congestion thereon is so great as that the property owners on both sides of Montgomery street deem it for the best interests of the district

and of the people having business therein to relieve the congestion by the withdrawal of car service and the removal of the car tracks and turnouts and overhead wire construction; and

Whereas, Market Street Railway Company is willing to cooperate with the City and the citizens having business in Montgomery street, and will consent to the abandonment of its street car service along Montgomery street from the north side of Post street to the south side of Washington street upon the agreements and understandings set forth in the following agreement:

Memorandum of agreement made and entered into by and between North Central Improvement Association, a voluntary organization of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, the party of the first part, hereinafter called Improvement Association, and Market Street Railway Company, a corporation organized and existing under and by virtue of the laws of the State of California, the party of the second part, hereinafter called Railway Company, and the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, the party of the third part, hereinafter called City, witnesseth:

Whereas, Railway Company is operating and maintaining a single-track railway, with turnouts and overhead wire construction along, upon and over Montgomery street from the north side of Post street to the south side of Washington street, in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California; and

Whereas, Montgomery street is in the financial center of the City and County of San Francisco and is one of the narrow streets of said City, and the traffic congestion in said street is so great as that the property owners and proprietors of business houses along said street deem it for the best interests of the district and of the people having business thereon to relieve the congestion by the withdrawal of car service and the removal of the car tracks and turnouts and overhead wire construction; and

Whereas, Railway Company is willing to cooperate with the property owners and business men conducting their places of business on said street and consents to their request for the abandonment of said railway tracks and said overhead construction, provided City will consent to said abandonment and cessation of operation of the cars of said Railway Company over said tracks under the franchise heretofore granted Railway Company by City without in any wise jeopardizing or forfeiting its rights granted under said franchise, except as it affects that portion of the route along, over and upon Montgomery street from the north side of Post street to the south side of Washington street, as aforesaid.

Now, therefore, for and in consideration of the agreements and covenants herein contained, it is agreed between the parties hereto as follows:

1. Railway Company agrees to discontinue service on its street railway line along Montgomery street from the north side of Post street to the south side of Washington street, and consents to the removal by City of the car tracks and turnouts now laid on said street, together with the overhead wire construction now in place over said street.

2. Improvement Association agrees, upon the signing and delivering of this agreement, to pay to Railway Company for the abandonment of said street car service and the removal by City of said car tracks and overhead wire construction on Montgomery street from the north side of Post street to the south side of Washington street, as herein provided, the sum of eighteen thousand dollars ($18,000).

3. City hereby consents to the abandonment of street car service by Railway Company on Montgomery street from the north side of Post street to the south side of Washington street, and further agrees, at its own expense, to remove the car tracks and overhead wire construction now on said Montgomery street between said two streets, and deliver the same to Railway Company on the street at place of removal. City further agrees, at its own expense, to repave the street formerly occupied by said tracks and between said tracks and for two feet on each side thereof; said consent and agreement to be ratified by the Board of Supervisors of City and evidenced by a resolution duly and regularly passed and adopted by said Board.

4. Immediately upon receipt of a copy of resolution passed and adopted by the Board of Supervisors of City as aforesaid, and certified to by the Clerk of said Board, and the sum of eighteen thousand dollars ($18,000) from said Improvement Association, as afore-

said, Railway Company will cease operating its said cars over said Montgomery street from the north side of Post street to the south side of Washington street, and City will remove said tracks and overhead wire construction and deliver same to Railway Company as herein provided.

In witness whereof, the parties hereto have by resolution of their proper officers thereunto duly authorized set their hands and seals this 29th day of April, 1927.

NORTH CENTRAL IMPROVEMENT ASSOCIATION,
By I. M. SANBORN,
President.
By ERWIN C. EASTON,
Secretary.
MARKET STREET RAILWAY COMPANY.
By SAMUEL KAHN,
Vice-President.
By GEO. D. WILLCUTT,
Secretary.
(Seal Market Street Railway Co., San Francisco.)
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO,
By .....,
Mayor.
Attest:
.....
Clerk of Board of Supervisors.

And, Whereas, it is deemed for the best interests of the City that the said street car service on Montgomery street be abandoned and the tracks and overhead wire construction be removed from the street;

Resolved, That the abandonment by Market Street Railway Company of the street car service on Montgomery street from the north side of Post street to the south side of Washington street be consented to, and the surrender of that portion of the franchise under Order No. 1532, approved November 28, 1879, now owned and held by Market Street Railway Company and under which Market Street Railway Company is maintaining said tracks and operating said railroad is hereby approved and consented to without prejudice to any of the other rights now owned and held by said Market Street Railroad Company under said order.

Resolved, Further, That the City and County of San Francisco enter into the agreement between North Central Improvement Association, Market Street Railway Company and the City and County of San Francisco hereinabove set forth.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Kent, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —12.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Marks, McSheehy—6.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Consent to Removal of Tracks on Montgomery Street.

On motion of Supervisor Kent:

Bill No. 8089, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Approving and consenting to, without prejudice to any of the other rights now owned and held by the Market Street Railway Company under Order No. 1532, approved November 28, 1879, the removal of the street railway tracks on Montgomery street from the north side of Post street to the south side of Washington street, in the City and County of San Francisco, and the surrender of that portion of the franchise under Order No. 1532, approved November 28, 1879, now owned and held by Market Street Railway Company.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The removal of the street railway tracks on Montgomery street from the north side of Post street to the south side of Washington street, in the City and County of San Francisco, and the surrender of that portion of the franchise under Order No. 1532, approved November 28, 1879, now owned and held by Market Street Railway Company, and under which Market Street Railway Company is maintaining said tracks and operating said railroad, is hereby approved and consented to without prejudice to any of the other rights now owned and held by Market Street Railway Company under said order.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Plans, Hangar, Municipal Airport.

Also, Bill No. 8090, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Authorizing the Board of Public Works to prepare plans and specifications for Hangar No. 2 and appurtenances for the Mills Field Municipal Airport of San Francisco, and to enter into contract therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized, em-

powered and instructed to prepare plans and specifications for Hangar No. 2 and appurtenances for the Mills Field Municipal Airport of San Francisco, and to enter into contract for the construction of said hangar and appurtenances.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Transfer of Municipal Railway Fund—Accident Insurance Fund Abolished.

Supervisor Kent presented:

Resolution No. 27460 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That any balance in the Municipal Railway Accident Insurance Fund be and the same is hereby transferred to and merged with the Municipal Railway (old) Depreciation Fund; and be it

Further Resolved, That Resolution No. 11586 (New Series), approved March 11, 1915, providing for establishment of fund known as the "Accident Insurance Fund," be and the same is hereby repealed.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Kent, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —12.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Marks, McSheehy—6.

Resolution of Intention to Establish Set-back Lines No. 133.

Supervisor Hayden presented:

Resolution No. 27461 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the City Planning Commission has recommended that set-back lines be established along the streets hereinafter mentioned and as delineated upon maps accompanying said recommendations, to which reference is hereby made for further particulars; therefore,

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors of City and County of San Francisco to establish set-back lines as provided by Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), approved May 18, 1922, as follows:

Along the northeasterly side of Hollister avenue commencing at Jennings street, and running thence southeasterly 600 feet, said set-back line to be 10 feet.

Along the southwesterly side of Noriega street between Eleventh avenue and Grant way, said set-back line to be 10 feet.

Along the northerly side of Noriega street, commencing at a point 95.618 feet westerly from the point formed by the intersection of the westerly line of Twelfth avenue if produced southerly with the northerly line of Noriega street if produced easterly, and running thence to Funston avenue, said set-back line of the 10 feet; along the northerly side of Noriega street, commencing at Funston avenue, and running thence westerly along the northerly line of Noriega street and northerly along the easterly line of Fourteenth avenue to Moraga street, said set-back line to be 10 feet; along the southerly side of Noriega street between Grant way and Fourteenth avenue, said set-back line to be 10 feet.

Along the southwesterly side of Ortega street between Eleventh avenue and Cascade walk, said set-back line to be 10 feet; along the northeasterly side of Ortega street between Eleventh avenue and Grant way, said set-back line to be 10 feet.

Along the southerly side of Ortega street between Cascade walk and Fourteenth avenue, said set-back line to be 10 feet; along the northerly side of Ortega street between Grant way and Fourteenth avenue, said set-back line to be 10 feet.

And notice is hereby given that Monday, the 1st day of August, 1927, at the hour of 11 o'clock a. m., at the chambers of the Board of Supervisors, City Hall, in said City and County of San Francisco, has been fixed as the time and place when and where any and all persons having any objections to the establishing of the proposed set-back lines may appear and present any objections which they may have to said set-back lines as set forth in this resolution of intention.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Kent, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —12.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Marks, McSheehy—6.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Oil Permits.

On motion of Supervisor Deasy:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits be and are hereby granted:

Oil Tanks.

College Hill Bakery, 3781 Mission street, 600 gallons capacity.

Mrs. T. David, south side of Fulton street, 87 feet 6 inches west of

Fillmore street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Domestic Engineering Corp., west side of Gough street, 75 feet north of Bush street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Henry Ernst & Sons, south side of Hermann street, 100 feet east of Buchanan street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Dr. Louis Graham, northwest corner of Thirty-second avenue and Geary street, 1500 gallons capacity.

J. Greenbach, north side of Eddy street, 100 feet west of Taylor street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Joseph Greenbach, south side of Geary street, 100 feet west of Larkin street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Hanni & Girerd, 1765 California street, 1500 gallons capacity.

S. T. Johnson, 165 San Fernando way, 1500 gallons capacity.

Dr. John Kingwell, northwest corner of Turk and Broderick streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

Robert S. Knight, 650 California street, 1500 gallons capacity.

H. Law, 2825 Broadway, 600 gallons capacity.

Marine View, Inc., northeast corner of Chestnut and Larkin streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

Wm. Perryiner, northeast corner of Bush and Octavia streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

Wm. Savage, southwest corner of Bay and Broderick streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

Snyder Bros. Knitting Mills, 201 Eighth street, 1500 gallons capacity.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits shall become null and void.

Garage Permit.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Erle N. Barnett be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred to him public garage permit heretofore granted Otto J. Schulz by Resolution No. 26216 (New Series) for premises at 2470 California street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Parking Station Permit.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Patrick Kelly be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate a parking station on the south side of Mission street, 125 feet east of Eighth street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Indefinite Postponement.

The following resolution was *indefinitely postponed*:

Denying Garage Permit.

Supervisor Deasy presented:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, in the exercise of the sound and reasonable discretion of the Board of Supervisors, permission is hereby denied John F. Trusty to maintain and operate a public garage at 983 Post street.

Referred.

The following resolution was presented and, on motion, *referred to the Traffic Committee*:

Extensions of Time, Safety Lane Markers.

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Lottie M. Neil is hereby granted the following extensions of time to complete the installation of safety lane markers, under public contract:

Sixty days' time from and after April 29, 1927.

Sixty days' time from and after June 28, 1927.

The work is well under way and this extension has been requested on account of the materials furnished by the City not being available.

Action Deferred.

The following resolution was *laid over one week*:

Condemnation of Land for School Purposes.

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the public interest and necessity require the acquisition by the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, of the following properties situated in the City and County of San Francisco, required for school purposes, and more particularly described as follows, to-wit:

Being all of Block No. 3262 as appears on the Assessor's Map Book and bounded on the north by Darien way, on the west by Aptos avenue, on the south by Upland avenue, and on the east by San Aliso avenue. Be it

Further Resolved, That said properties are suitable, adaptable, necessary and required for the public use of said City and County of San Francisco, to-wit: For school

purposes. It is necessary that a fee simple title be taken for such use. The City Attorney is hereby ordered and directed to commence proceedings in eminent domain against the owners of said tracts of land and of any and all interests therein or claims thereto for the condemnation thereof for the public use of said City and County of San Francisco as aforesaid.

Accepting Offer of Elizabeth C. Squier to Sell Land Required for School Purposes.

Supervisor Powers presented:

Resolution No. 27463 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, an offer has been received from Elizabeth Christena Squier to sell to the City and County of San Francisco certain land situate on the westerly line of Prentiss street, distant 200 feet southerly from Tompkins avenue, required for school purposes; and

Whereas, the price at which said parcel of land is offered is the reasonable value thereof; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the offer of said owner to convey to the City and County of San Francisco a good and sufficient fee simple title to the following described land, free of all encumbrances, for the sum of $500, be and the same is hereby accepted, the said land being described as follows, to-wit:

Commencing at a point on the westerly line of Prentiss street, distant thereon 200 feet southerly from the southerly line of Tompkins avenue; running thence southerly along said westerly line of Prentiss street 25 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 70 feet; thence at a right angle northerly 25 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 70 feet to the westerly line of Prentiss street and point of commencement. Being a portion of Block 5728 on Assessor's Map Book.

The City Attorney is hereby directed to examine the title to said land, and, if the same is found to be vested in the aforesaid owner, free of all encumbrances, and that the taxes up to and including the current fiscal year are paid, and that the so-called McEnerney title has been procured or sufficient money reserved for the purpose of procuring the same, to report the result of his examination to the Board of Supervisors, and also to cause a good and sufficient deed for said land to be executed and delivered to the City and County upon payment of the agreed purchase price as aforesaid. And the said deed to said land is hereby accepted.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Kent, Powers Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —12.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Marks, McSheehy—6.

Accepting Offer of J. J. Atkinson to Sell Land Required for School Purposes.

Supervisor Powers presented:

Resolution No. 27464 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, an offer has been received from J. J. Atkinson to convey to the City and County of San Francisco certain lands and improvements situate easterly line of Banks street, distant 200 feet southerly from Tompkins avenue, required for school purposes; and

Whereas, the price at which said parcel of land is offered is the reasonable value thereof; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the offer of the said owner to convey to the City and County of San Francisco a good and sufficient fee simple title to the following described land, free of all encumbrances, for the sum of $3,650, be and the same is hereby accepted, the said land being described as follows, to-wit:

Commencing at a point on the easterly line of Banks street, distant thereon 200 feet southerly from the southerly line of Tompkins avenue; running thence southerly along said easterly line of Banks street 25 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 70 feet; thence at a right angle northerly 25 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 70 feet to the easterly line of Banks street and point of commencement. Being a portion of Block 5728 on Assessor's Map Book.

The City Attorney is hereby directed to examine the title to said land, and, if the same is found to be vested in the aforesaid owner, free of all encumbrances, and that the taxes up to and including the current fiscal year are paid, and that the so-called McEnerney title has been procured or sufficient money reserved for the purpose of procuring the same, to report the result of his examination to the Board of Supervisors, and also to cause a good and sufficient deed for said land to be executed and delivered to the City and County upon payment of the agreed purchase price as aforesaid. And the said deed to said land is hereby accepted.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Gallagher, Hay-

den, Kent, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —12.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Marks, McSheehy—6.

Referred.

The following entitled bill was *ordered referred to the Streets Committee*:

Spur Track Permit, Atchison, Topeka & Santa Fe Railway Company.

Bill No. 8091, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), entitled "Granting permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to The Atchison, Topeka and Santa Fe Railway Company to construct, maintain and operate a spur track across Illinois and Michigan streets in and along Twenty-third street and a short spur crossing a portion of Twenty-third street."

Award of Contract, Printing, Etc.

Supervisor Badaracco presented: Resolution No. 27465 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of contract for furnishing printing and lithographed forms and blanks for the fiscal year 1927-1928 be made on bids submitted June 6, 1927 (Proposal No. 297), and tabulation thereof on file in Bureau of Supplies, which is hereby made a part hereof; said matter having heretofore received full consideration in an open public meeting of the Supplies Committee and the awards herein approved by it, same are now made to the following, viz.:

1—Neal, Stratford & Kerr; bond required, $1,000.

2—Buckley & Curtin; bond required, $500.

3—Wilcox & Co.; bond required, $1,000.

4—Levison Printing Co.; bond required, $250.

5—A. Carlisle & Co.; bond required. $300.

6—Phillips & Van Orden; no bond required.

7—California Printing Company; bond required, $1,500.

8—Excelsior Press; bond required, $200.

9—Miller & Johnson Printing Co.; no bond required.

10—A. D. Zanetti; no bond required.

11—Bonestell & Co.; no bond required.

12—Hancock Bros.; bond required, $250.

15—Pacific Coast Tag Manufacturing Company; bond required, $200.

16—Western Loose Leaf Co.; bond required, $200.

17—The Jenkins Hall Index Card Co.; no bond required.

19—Margaret Mary Morgan Co.; no bond required.

20—The Envelope Corporation; no bond required.

Resolved, That all other bids submitted hereon be rejected.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Kent, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —12.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Marks, McSheehy—6.

Award of Contract, Books.

Supervisor Badaracco presented: Resolution No. 27466 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of contract for furnishing books for the fiscal year 1927-1928 be made on bids submitted June 6, 1927 (Proposal No. 298), and tabulation thereof on file in Bureau of Supplies, which is hereby made a part hereof; said matter having heretofore received full consideration in an open public meeting of the Supplies Committee and awards herein approved by it, same are now made to the following, viz.:

1—Neal, Stratford & Kerr; bond required, $1,000.

2—John Kitchen Jr. Co.; no bond required.

3—California Printing Company; bond required, $1,000.

4—Levison Printing Co.; bond required, $500.

5—Edward Barry Company; bond required, $1,000.

6—F. Malloye Co.; bond required, $500.

7—A. Carlisle & Co.; bond required, $1,000.

8—Wilcox & Co.; no bond required.

9—Buckley & Curtin; bond required, $500.

10—A. D. Zanetti; no bond required.

11—Schwabacher-Frey Stationery Co.; bond required, $200.

12—Dever, Garrity & Keyes; no bond required.

13—A. L. Houle Bindery Company; no bond required.

Resolved, That all other bids submitted hereon be rejected.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Kent, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —12.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Marks, McSheehy—6.

Telephone Experts.

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Resolution No. 27467 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, The Pacific Telephone and Telegraph Company has introduced voluminous exhibits before the Railroad Commission of the State of California in support of its application for readjustment of rates and tolls for telephone service in this and adjacent counties; and

Whereas, the said The Pacific Telephone and Telegraph Company has spent a large sum of money for the gathering together of data necessary for the compilation of the said exhibits and have in their employ an expert corps of engineers; and

Whereas, City Attorney John J. O'Toole and City Engineer M. M. O'Shaughnessy are at present in the eastern part of the United States representing the City and County of San Francisco for the purpose of obtaining permission from the War Department to construct a bridge across the Bay of San Francisco; and

Whereas, the application of The Pacific Telephone and Telegraph Company in its application for readjustment of rates and tolls if granted will impose an added and unjust burden on all the residents of the City and County of San Francisco who are telephone subscribers, and there exists the necessity for obtaining expert engineering advice for the preparation of a defense to the application of The Pacific Telephone and Telegraph Company; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, By the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, that the Acting City Attorney communicate with City Attorney John J. O'Toole and City Engineer M. M. O'Shaughnessy by telegram authorizing and instructing them to interview in any State of the United States engineers who are technically trained in telephone work and not affiliated with the American Telephone and Telegraph Company, The Pacific Telephone and Telegraph Company or any of their subsidiary corporations, with a view to pass upon the engineers' qualifications, associations and expected fees for aiding the City and County of San Francisco and adjacent counties in preparation for resisting the application of The Pacific Telephone and Telegraph Company.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Kent, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —12.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Marks, McSheehy—6.

Joint Highway District, San Francisco and Santa Cruz.

On motion of Supervisor Gallagher the following was *withdrawn from Streets Committee and adopted*:

Resolution No. 27468 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, a certified copy of a resolution adopted by the Board of Supervisors of the County of Santa Cruz, proposing the creation of a joint highway district has been received by this Board, which resolution is as follows:

Resolved, By the Board of Supervisors of the County of Santa Cruz, State of California, as follows:

(a) That the public interest requires the construction of a public highway, the general location and course of which shall be, commencing within the County of Santa Cruz at a point of connection with a main public highway already existing and extending northerly as closely as practicable to the shore line of the Pacific Ocean, through the County of San Mateo and into the County of San Francisco, to a suitable point connecting with a main highway within said county.

(b) That the counties of Santa Cruz, San Mateo and San Francisco are hereby named as the counties interested in and which will be benefited by such highway construction.

(c) That it is proposed to create a joint highway district composed of the counties herein named as provided by an act of the Legislature of the State of California entitled "An Act providing for the creation, organization and government of Joint Highway Districts composed of two or more counties of the State of California," approved April 5, 1917.

The Clerk of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco is hereby directed to transmit to the clerks of the boards of supervisors of the counties of San Mateo and Santa Cruz certified copies of this resolution.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Kent, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—11.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Marks, McSheehy—7.

ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND MOTIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

Appropriation, $500, for Land Required for Paul Revere School.

Supervisor Kent presented:

Resolution No. 27455 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $500 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of Special School Tax, Budget Item No. 1, Fiscal Year 1926-1927, and authorized in payment to Ferdinand Fisher, being payment for land required for the Paul Revere School, to-wit: Commencing at point on westerly line of Prentiss street, distant thereon 175 feet southerly from southerly line of Tompkins avenue, running thence southerly on westerly line of Prentiss street 25 feet; thence westerly 70 feet; thence northerly 25 feet; thence easterly 70 feet. Being portion of Block 5728 on Assessor's Map Book. Per Resolution No. 27438 (New Series).

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Kent, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —12.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Marks, McSheehy—6.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF EQUALIZATION.

Report of Assessor.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

July 5, 1927.

Honorable Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco, Cal.

Gentlemen:

I am this day delivering to your Honorable Board the Assessment Roll of 1927. My estimated report and comparison to last year is as follows:

Estimated total non-operative or taxable roll 1927, to date, $772,-022.877.

*Estimated July personal property assessments appearing on supplemental roll, to be collected, $6,000,000.

Estimate of final roll, $778,-022,877.

Total non-operative roll, 1926, $756,628,917.

Estimated increase of the taxable roll, $21,393,960.

Total operative roll, personal property, $221,037,567.

Total operative roll, real estate, $19,977,310.

Total, $241,014,877.

*The estimated July personal property assessments as above mentioned are obtained entirely by the efforts of the Assessor's office. This amount, which is collected under Section 3821, Political Code, will not be definite until some time in August. Last year this additional assessment amounted to $5,754,362. The indications are that the above estimated amount is as near correct as possible at the present time.

I have endeavored to fulfill my public duties to the citizens of San Francisco with the utmost exertion of all the facilities at my command. This has produced the largest assessment roll in the history of the City.

The increase of this year's assessment roll will enable the tax rate to be materially lowered.

Respectfully submitted,

RUSSELL L. WOLDEN,
Assessor.

Arbitrary List.

The following was *ordered entered in the Journal*:

July 1, 1927.

Honorable Board of Supervisors, City and County of San Francisco.

Gentlemen:

Please find attached hereto a list of taxpayers who have neglected to make a returned of their taxable property, together with the amounts assessed against each for this class of property.

This assessment is made under the provisions of Section 3633 of the Political Code and does not represent the real estate holdings of these parties, or their investments in business; this property being separately assessed by this office. These arbitrary assessments include personal property only, and are made to include principally money in commercial banks and stocks and bonds of corporations organized outside of this State, together with solvent credits, and are in addition to any assessment levied against real estate owned by these parties.

Respectfully submitted,

RUSSELL L. WOLDEN,
Assessor.

No.	Vol.	Page	Blk.	Sub.	Name	Address	
1	1	5	....	13	Abbott, William M.	612 Taylor St., 58 Sutter St., R. 619	$500
2	1	46	....	21	Alexander, D.	1198 Dolores St.	1,045
3	2	83	....	18	Beckmann, J. V.	2 Pine St., R. 522	800
4	2	187	....	35	Botsford-Constantine Co.	225 Bush St., R. 420	1,500
5	2	228	....	9	Brown, C. E.	555 Post St., R. 501	800
6	17	8	....	2	Brown, W. S. K.	315 Montgomery St.	2,000
7	2	251	....	4	Bull, Dr. Edw. C.	800 Powell St., 384 Post St., R. 1020	1,600
8	17	8	....	1	Boyle Co., Langdon E.	114 Sansome St.	2,000
9	13	55	1722	4	Brown, Fabian D.	1227 Twenty-eighth Ave.	1,000
10	40	85	6654	43	Bone, A. J.	1751 Sanchez St.	400
11	4	25	556	24	Bradley, Mrs. Henry	2065 Green St.	600
12	3	12	....	34	California Fruit Preserving Corp.	2218 and 2140 Folsom St.	12,000
13	3	80	....	7	Challenge Cream & Butter Assn.	805–7 Montgomery St.	4,000
14	3	139	....	22	Cohen, Harry	760 Market St., R. 738	1,000
15	3	151	....	28	Collier, Sarah B.	2520 Octavia St.	1,000
16	3	196	....	25	Cosgrove & Co.	343 Sansome St.	5,000
17	3	223	....	49	Crothers, Robt. A.	2001 Gough St.	10,000
18	7	2	1039	20/21	Cutten, Chas. P.	230 Euclid Ave.	2,500
19	3	85	....	40	Charles, Mr. and Mrs. R. W.	1075 California St., Rs. 703-4	10,000
20	3	137	....	7	Coffey, Jeremiah and Edw. I.	220 Montgomery St., R. 569	1,000
21	4	35	561	26	Crofton, Algernon	2525 Vallejo St. and 57 Post St.	7,000
22	3	219	....	7	Crittenden, Wm. C.	519 California St.	3,000
23	20	6	2830	3	Coggins, P. M.	710 Diamond St.	500
24	10	206	1534	19	Cole, Irene	920 Anza St.	500
25	33	38	5467	9	Calander, Philip	2014 Howard St.	500
26	4	11	....	43	Dam, F. H.	821 Market St.	800
27	10	146	1512	7	Dettmering, Geo. W.	539 Thirty-second Ave.	300
28	4	122	....	49	Donohoe, J. A.	2298 Broadway	4,000
29	17	3	2310	9a	Disbrow, A.	32 Arlington St.	800
30	4	117	....	42	Dominion Electrical Mfg. Co.	161 Seventh St.	1,000
31	39	5	6509	28	Duffy, John F.	3857 Twenty-fourth St.	200
32	11	4	1536	6	Denegrie, P.	449 Eighth Ave.	600
33	5	2	....	6	Eames, A. W.	3498 Jackson St.	5,000
34	5	12	....	14	Edminson, John W.	2121 Hyde St.	1,000
35	5	85	....	48	Esberg, A. I.	901 California St.	1,500
36	5	29	717	17	847 O'Farrell Apartments	847 O'Farrell St.	1,200
37	5	146	....	41	Feigenbaum, B. L.	486 California St., Rs. 418-24	1,000
38	5	179	....	43	Fisher Millinery Corp.	121 Post St.	3,000
39	25	131	3723	99	Faxon, Mr. and Mrs. R. S.	1075 California St., Rs. 605-6	2,000

No.	Vol.	Page	Blk.	Sub.	Name		Address	
40	6	20	....	25	Garlick, Wm.	275	Santa Clara Ave., and 625 Market St...	740
41	6	32	....	37	Gehlert Welding & Boiler Works....	678	Brannan St.	800
42	6	36	....	28	General Bedding Co.	2734	Taylor St.	10,000
43	6	41	....	40	Georges, Leonard	1890	Washington St., R. 4	1,000
44	6	159	....	34	Griffin, Clara E. M.	901	California St., R. 407	1,000
45	3	10	321	34	Grand Court Apartments	755	O'Farrell St.	2,300
46	6	130	987	12	Hammond, Mrs. W. P.	3650	Washington St.	10,000
47	20	69	2897	22/24	Harrison, Richard C.	220	Montgomery St., R. 640	5,000
48	7	30	....	47	Hammon Engr. Co.	351	California St., R. 505	2,000
49	7	107	....	38	Heller, E. H.	1085	Vallejo St.	2,000
50	7	108	....	46	Hellman's Garage	1809	Greenwich St.	800
51	7	128	....	17	Herrmann, F. C.	465	California St., R. 1104	1,000
52	7	138	....	49	Hicks, L. R.	156	Montgomery St., R. 203	800
53	7	144	....	12	Hill, Fentress	454	California St., R. 402	1,200
54	7	145	....	6	Hill-Hunt Co.	3021	Franklin St. and 351 California, R. 900	1,000
55	7	151	....	22	Hind Estate Co.	455	Shotwell St.	1,000
56	6	95	957	5	Hinds, H. J. and S. B.	2950	Broadway	15,000
57	7	178	....	49	Holman, Mrs. Eliz.	1980	Washington St.	1,000
58	7	197	....	6	Houghteling, Wm.	2415	Pierce St.	750
59	8	25	1221	2	Higgins, Vernon G.	120	125 Lyon St.	1,000
60	7	161	....	42	Hodghead, Beverly L.	14	Montgomery St., R. 609	1,000
61	7	210	....	14	Huckins, L. W.	1100	Sacramento St., R. 208	1,000
62	8	13	....	28	Industrial Assn. of S. F.	1120	Howard St. and 155 Montgomery St....	6,585
63	8	24	....	4	Ireland Co., B. C.	24	California St., R. 700	2,000
64	12	25	1625	10d	Jacobs, Arthur	670	Twentieth Ave.	500
65	8	64	....	26	Janin, Charles	486	California St., R. 611	1,000
66	8	93	....	6	Johnson, Mrs. Amy G.	2677	Larkin St., R. 501	800
67	8	130	....	17	Joralemon, Ira B.	315	Montgomery St., R. 1601	1,500
68	32	30	5313	17	Jordan, Richard	1896	Oakdale Ave.	200
69	8	155	....	10	Kahn, Irving H.	1896	Pacific Ave., R. 702	2,500
70	8	196	....	49	Kent, Jr., Wm.	2956	Scott St.	2,000
71	8	201	....	39	Kerrigan, F.	2335	Pacific Ave.	700
72	8	202	....	32	Keystone Water Co.	130	Russ St.	700
73	8	226	....	5	Kittenbach Grain Co.	465	California St., R. 1039	1,000
74	8	154	....	43	Kahn & Son, Fred	1670	Pine St.	2,000
75	21	108	3103	20	Kent, Thos. J.	135	San Benito way	500
76	20	164	2978	9	Kirby, Mrs. J. E.	138	Dorcester way	1,000
77	8	43	1230	23	Lacey, Albert E.	508	Cole St.	700
78	9	87	....	5	Lewis, Azro N.	703	Market St., R. 1108	5,000

No.	Vol.	Page	Blk.	Sub.	Name		Address	
79	9	119	....	28	Locke, Augustus	220	Montgomery St., R. 788	1,500
80	3	202	536	26/27	Mahony, J. J.	2453	Filbert St.	1,400
81	6	92	955	14	Maddux, Edith W.	2868	Vallejo St.	3,000
82	10	15	....	17	Madison, Marshall	3570	Clay St.	1,000
83	10	71	....	22	Marsh & McLennan	114	Sansome St., R. 227	15,000
84	10	73	....	48	Marston, Frank W.	244	Kearny St.	1,000
85	24	87	3598	22	Monahan, J.	3778	Twentieth St.	200
86	10	199	....	18	Moody, Robt. R.	57	Post St., R. 804	4,360
87	10	256	....	47	Murdock, W. C.	1800	Gough St. R. 1	4,000
88	10	259	....	26	Murphy, J. A.	555	Post St., R. 517	700
89	10	263	....	1	Murray Estate, James A.	315	Montgomery St.	10,000
90	9	170	....	39	McCandless & Co., Howard F.	225	Bush St., R. 300	15,000
91	11	189	1608	11d	McCormick, G. S.	781	Thirty-sixth Ave.	500
92	9	191	....	14	McDevitt, J. T.	22	Battery St., R. 808	2,500
93	29	107	4791	20	McGee, Wm. J.	425	Junipero Serra blvd. and 220 Montgomery St., R. 656	3,000
94	10	200	....	24	Moor Co., N. V.	170	Second St.	3,000
95	10	173	....	46	Mission Splendid Cafe	2695	Mission St.	1,500
96	9	226	....	43	McNab, Susan	1800	Franklin St., R. 308	4,000
97	13	133	1755	8	McCormick, Elfie	1289	Sixth Ave.	400
98	20	13	2837	22	Manning, S. E.	36	Sotelo St.	500
99	9	229	....	38	McReynolds, Lille	2560	Polk St.	1,000
100	9	11	1341	2	Mitchell, J. J. and Eda A.	11	Seventeenth Ave.	3,000
101	10	247	....	41	Mulhern Co., John	182	Second St.	25,000
102	11	66	....	38	Neylan, John Francis	80	San Fernando way and 1 Montgomery St., R. 901	25,000
103	11	83	....	2	Nippon Art Co.	1719-21	Fillmore St.	2,000
104	11	60	....	30	Newell, Robt.	1854	Vallejo St., Apt. F	1,000
105	11	199	....	41	Oxnard, Miss M. D.	1075	California St., R. 105	5,000
106	12	2	....	41	Pacific Brotherhood Inv. Co.	26	O'Farrell St.	20,000
107	12	33	....	43	Park & Co.	417	Market St., R. 397	1,000
108	12	41	....	5	Parsons, E. L.	2504	Pacific Ave.	3,000
109	6	131	988	1	Percy, John A.	101	Spruce St. and 156 Montgomery St., R. 203	2,500
110	6	147	997	23	Pigott, John T.	3343	Washington St.	2,000
111	12	40	....	10	Parrott, Mrs. L. B.	499	Geary St., R. 1209	1,000
112	12	159	....	10	Progress Printing Co.	500	Howard St.	7,000
113	12	168	....	40	Punnett, Parez & Hutchinson	58	Sutter St. R. 557	1,000
114	13	8	....	27	Railroad Securities Co.	16	California St., R. 801	10,000

No.	Vol.	Page	Blk.	Sub.	Name	Address	
115	5	198	840	3	Rietz, Robt. T.	310 Page St.	300
116	13	195	....	5	Runyon, Mrs. M.	1055 California St., R. 9	8,000
117	20	48	2880	17	Roberts, Geo. R.	3080 Jackson St.	1,000
118	2	215	309	6	Sachs, Sanford	140 Geary St., 10th floor	10,000
119	14	31	....	25	Sawyer & Cluff	220 Montgomery St.	2,000
120	15	45	1926	32	Sawyer, Frank W.	1618 Eighteenth Ave.	400
121	14	39	....	14	Schenfele, Chas.	2002 Bryant St.	755
122	14	110	....	28	Shingle Brown Co.	440 Montgomery St.	10,000
123	14	132	....	13	Sinnicks, Frank	315 Montgomery St.	1,000
124	14	188	....	37	Sprague, Miss Frances	1075 California St., R. 106	2,000
125	14	188	....	45	Sprague, Miss Louise	1075 California St., R. 106	2,000
126	14	195	....	2	Stahl, Adolph	1880 Jackson St.	10,000
127	14	198	....	35	Standard Education Society	593 Market St., R. 611	2,000
128	14	200	....	3	Standard Safety Co.	1619 Pine St.	1,000
129	14	274	....	11	Suppo, Jules	2423–25 Polk St.	2,000
130	14	149	....	47	Smith, Francis Betts	58 Sutter St.	800
131	14	94	....	23	Shainwald, Mrs. C. L.	950 Mason St.	1,000
132	5	218	850	1	Scott, Mrs. A. W.	333 Buchanan St. and Fairmont Hotel	10,000
133	12	135	1665	37	Scales, Henry H.	758 Twenty-third Ave.	1,000
134	14	183	....	18	Sperry, John A.	2521 Scott St. and 490 Post St., Rs. 903-5	4,000
135	14	198	....	22	Standard Auto Service, Inc.	1970 McAllister St. and 1095 Market St.	1,500
136	4	41	566	18	Steele, Edith S.	2029 Vallejo St.	6,000
137	39	207	6610	5	Scott, Jr., Albert W.	2111 Hyde St.	5,000
138	3	208	540	5	Stauffacher, Marguerite and C. F.	2124 Green St.	2,000
139	8	167	1282	7	Strong, Dr. T. E.	2275 Broadway	1,000
140	10	186	1526	29	Schwartz, S. K.	416 Nineteenth Ave.	500
141	15	30	....	42	Terry, Dr. Wallace I.	2712 Broadway and 384 Post St., R. 814	6,000
142	15	118	....	2	Turner, Miss Hattie M.	2626 Vallejo St.	1,000
143	16	56	2138	25	Tullock, G. S.	2026 Nineteenth Ave.	1,000
144	4	26	557	8	Tarpey, A. B.	2244 Vallejo St.	2,000
145	15	139	....	7	Uhl, Ernestine A., trustee	2099 Pacific Ave.	1,000
146	10	18	1442	14	Victor, Ethel L.	363 Tenth Ave.	1,020
147	24	129	3607	70	Welton, Mrs. M. P.	3735 Twentieth St.	200
148	16	107	....	5	Western Confection Co.	57 Post St., R. 510	1,000
149	16	151	....	41	Williams, Mrs. Percival	1075 California St., R. 106	1,000
150	16	198	....	47	Wright Corporation	486 California St., Rs. 1116-1120	1,500
151	16	176	....	14	Witter, Dean	2006 Washington St.	5,000
152	16	53	....	7	Waterman, A. H.	65 Santa Clara Ave.	5,000
153	16	201	....	20	Wright, Myra E.	1070 Green St.	3,000

No.	Vol.	Page	Blk.	Sub.	Name		Address	
154	16	184	....	1	Woman's Athletic Club	640	Sutter St.	12,000
155	16	110	....	7	Western Stove Co.	180	New Montgomery St.	1,500
156	18	136	2620	30	Weck, Walter	1036	Fourteenth St. and 2231 Market St.	4,000
157	6	177	1014	28	Wilson, John R.	176	Arguello blvd. and 220 Montgomery St.	3,000
158	17	32	....	2	Connellan, T. J.	145	Laurel St., R. 10	5,000
159	17	89	....	1	Hellman, S.	1537	Forty-seventh Ave.	950
160	17	89	....	2	Hellman & Fisse	1175	Market St., Depts. 174 and 225	2,470
161	17	89	....	3	Henshall, R. P.	605	Market St., R. 809	1,500
162	17	120	....	2	Knowles, H. L.	1390	Taylor St., R. 26	750
163	17	140	....	2	Munton, Ernest	701	Post St., R. 208	1,100
164	17	199	....	1	Smith, Dudley	909	Hyde St., R. 314	1,000
165	17	219	....	1	Taylor, D. Armstrong	1000	Mason St., R. 564 and 490 Post St., R. 1030	1,000
166	17	231	....	1	Wallace, J. S.	703	Market St., R. 904	1,000
					Estates Assessed in Probate.			
167	17	45	....	2	Dunkley, Louis P., executor of the estate of Dunkley, Thomas E., deceased	525	Market St.	2,290
168	17	159	....	1	McDonald, C. M., executor of the estate of Budd, Sara I., deceased	....	A. I. Loeb, attorney, Chancery Bldg.	2,500
169	17	32	....	1	Colt Co., J. B.	599	Eighth St.	20,000
170	17	65	....	2	Friedlander, Dr. D.	210	Post St., R. 1115	1,000
171	17	65	....	1	Feyes and associates, John C.	369	Pine St., R. 700	2,000
172	17	199	....	2	Scott Co., A. W.	215	Market St. and 333, 399 & 449 Berry St.	100,000
173	17	45	....	1	Doll, C. G.	1264	Eighth Ave.	2,500
174	17	140	....	1	Mann, Mrs. Geo. A.	120	San Buenaventura way	4,000
175	17	231	....	2	Whitney, Vincent, Co.	365	Market St.	7,000
176	17	120	....	1	Kirschmann Hardwood Co.	485	California St., R. 212	50,125
177	17	187	....	1	Rothchild, Walter	1890	Washington St.	15,000
178	17	140	....	3	Monroe Calculating Machine	417	Market St., R. 216	12,000
179	17	199	....	3	Stover & Wilson	345	Battery St.	5,000

Board of Equalization Organized.

Supervisor Kent presented:

Resolution No. 27469 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors, having met on this Tuesday afternoon, July 5, 1927, at 2 p. m. and examined the assessment books of real and personal property for the year 1927, will thereafter be in session as a Board of Equalization from time to time until Monday, July 18, 1927, at 12 o'clock noon, for the purpose of hearing applications, verified by oath, for the correction of assessment books.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Kent, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —12.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Marks, McSheehy—6.

Sessions of Board of Equalization.

Supervisor Kent presented:

Resolution No. 27470 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That this Board meet on the 11th day of July, 1927, at 2 p. m., as a Board of Equalization to examine applicants for reduction or correction of assessments, who have filed sworn statements as required by law; and to perform such other duties as may come before the Board.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Kent, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —12.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Marks, McSheehy—6.

Notification Rule.

Supervisor Kent presented:

Resolution No. 27471 (New Series), as follows:

Adopting a rule as to the manner in which persons, firms and corporations are to be notified why their assessments for the fiscal year 1927-1928 should not have been increased by the Board of Supervisors sitting as a Board of Equalization.

Resolved, That it is hereby adopted as a rule of this Board that the notice required to be given under the provisions of Section 3673 of the Political Cade, to corporations or persons, to show cause why their assessments on the assessment books of real and personal property for the fiscal year 1927-1928 should not be increased will be as follows:

To corporations: A written or printed notice addressed to the president, secretary, manager or agent or such corporation and delivered by the Sergeant-at-Arms of the Board of Supervisors at the office of such corporation in this City.

To persons, firms or companies: A written or printed notice, postage prepaid, and mailed to their address.

The Clerk is hereby directed to cause to be delivered or mailed to the several corporations, persons, firms or companies, when designated by this Board, the said notice at least twelve (12) hours prior to the time set for hearing said parties as aforesaid.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Kent, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —12.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Marks, McSheehy—6.

Assessor's Clerks to Act as Clerks of Board of Equalization.

Supervisor Kent presented:

Resolution No. 27472 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Clerk of the Board is hereby authorized to designate such employees of the Assessor's office as may be necessary as deputy clerks of this Board of Supervisors during the time of the meeting of the Board of Equalization to perform duties in connection therewith.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Gallagher, Hayden, Kent, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —12.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Marks, McSheehy—6.

ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business the Board at 5:40 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors, November 7, 1927.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated, and approved as above recited.

JOHN S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors.
City and County of San Francisco.

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Vol. 22—New Series No. 28

Monday, July 11, 1927

Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
337 Bush Street, S. F.

JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, JULY 11, 1927, 10 A. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, July 11, 1927, 10 a. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Quorum present.

His Honor Mayor Rolph presiding.

APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the previous meeting was laid over for approval until next meeting.

ROLL CALL FOR PETITIONS FROM MEMBERS.

Santa Cruz-San Francisco Scenic Highway District.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication, from G. H. Rostron, chairman of the Santa Cruz County Board of Supervisors, congratulating the Board of Supervisors on its action of July 5th in deciding to enter into the formation of a highway district for the construction of a new highway between San Francisco and Santa Cruz counties along the ocean shore.

Referred to Streets Committee.

Peninsula Development Conference.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication, from Elizabeth M. Kneese, Clerk of the Board of Supervisors of San Mateo County, inviting attendance at a conference to be held at a date to be fixed wherein the Supervisors of San Mateo County and representatives of the City and County of San Francisco may confer in the matter of peninsula development and traffic conditions and its regulation.

Referred to Streets Committee.

Reception to H. M. S. "Colombo."

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication, from his Honor Mayor Rolph, transmitting correspondence from his Excellency Governor C. C. Young, Secretary of State Frank B. Kellogg and Esmey Howard, British Ambassador, relative to the proposed visit to this Coast of H. M. S. "Colombo" and requesting that the usual courtesies and facilities be extended to the visiting vessel and its officers and crew.

On motion duly made and carried his Honor the Mayor was authorized to appoint a suitable citizens' committee to arrange for the reception.

Salinas Rodeo.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication, from J. A. Bardin, chairman of the California Rodeo at Salinas, which will be held on July 20-24, 1927, requesting that Salinas be honored with the presence of a delegation from the San Francisco Board of Supervisors at this year's celebration as it has in the past.

Referred to Public Welfare Committee with authority to act.

San Leandro Endorses San Francisco Bay Bridge.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication, from Edgar M. Hayes, secretary-manager San Leandro Chamber of Commerce, transmitting resolutions of said chamber endorsing the construction of the proposed San Francisco Bay Bridge at a location to be determined by the federal authorities.

Ordered *filed.*

Northern California Highway Conference.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication, from C. C. Cottrell, manager Highway Bureau, California State Automobile Association, inviting attendance at a conference to be held at the court-

house at Sacramento at 1:30 p. m., July 20th, with reference to financing the construction of what is deemed an important highway in Northern California between Weed and Klamath Falls, Oregon.

Referred to Streets Committee.

Lighting Committee to Report on Maintenance of Private Lighting System.

The following resolution was introduced by Supervisor Gallagher and *referred to the Lighting Committee*:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, this Board of Supervisors has declared as its policy the submission to the people with a request for favorable action thereon the question of municipal distribution of power; and

Whereas, attendant thereto is the question of the proper lighting of the City's buildings and streets; and

Whereas, from time to time the citizens individually or by association subscribe their funds for particular kinds of lighting in their neighborhood; and

Whereas, after a period of time said citizens relinquish their property rights in said lights and standards and they are, with the permission of the City and County taken over by the Pacific Gas and Electric Company; and

Whereas, the continuation of this policy places in the hands of the said company thousands of lights and standards which could as well be the property of the City and County; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Committee on Lighting, Water and Telephone Service and Electrictiy be requested to make a report to the Board on the subject matter of taking over these lights when offered and maintain same until such time as the question of municipal ownership and distribution of power is settled by the people.

Honorary Citizens Made.

The Board, by unanimous vote, made Lieutenants Lester J. Maitland and Albert J. Hegenberger, San Francisco to Honolulu fiers, honorary citizens of San Francisco. Supervisor Kent arranged for the official reception of the fiers when they reached the City by steamship on Tuesday.

Hetch Hetchy Visit.

Supervisor Shannon announced that the Supervisors would leave San Francisco on Wednesday, July 13, for their annual inspection tour at Hetch Hetchy. Mayor Rolph and a number of Supervisors were granted leaves of absence from the State so that they might return from the Hetch Hetchy to San Francisco by way of Reno, Nevada, where they will attend the exposition being held there.

Islais Creek Commissioners.

Supervisor Gallagher requested two weeks extension of time for bringing in the committee's recommendations for commissioners who will take charge of mapping out the assessments for the Islais Creek Reclamation District. Supervisor McSheehy supported the request and it was *so ordered.*

Appropriation, $50,000, City Attorney's Defense Fund, Telephone Rates Litigation.

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the Pacific Telephone and Telegraph Company have applied to the Railroad Commission of the State of California for an increase in the rates charged for telephone service in the City and County of San Francisco and in adjoining counties; and

Whereas, if the exorbitant rates asked for by said Pacific Telephone and Telegraph Company for said telephone service are granted the same will be an unfair and unjust burden on the people of the City and County of San Francisco, amounting to many millions of dollars each year: and

Whereas, it would be for the best interest and benefit of all the people of the City and County of San Francisco that a vigorous opposition be made before the Railroad Commission of the State of California to the granting of the increase in rates applied for by said Pacific Telephone and Telegraph Company; and

Whereas, at the present time the office of the City Attorney is taxed to its utmost capacity in handling the ordinary legal affairs of the City and County of San Francisco; and

Whereas, for the purpose of making a proper opposition to the granting of said increase in said telephone rates it will be necessary for the City Attorney to retain expert engineers, accountants, legal talent and clerical help over and above that already connected with the office; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That for the purpose of making a proper opposition to the granting of said increase in telephone rates applied for by said Pacific Telephone and Telegraph

Company that there be and there is hereby appropriated out of the general funds of the City and County of San Francisco the sum of fifty thousand ($50,000) dollars, which said sum shall be placed in a special fund to be known as the "Telephone Rate Litigation Fund," and which said sum shall be used by the City Attorney of the City and County of San Francisco in the retaining of engineers, expert accountants, legal talent and clerical help for the purpose of making a proper opposition to the granting of said increase in said telephone rates; all expenditures made against said fund to be approved in the same manner as all other demands against the City and County.

Referred to Finance Committee.

Retirement of Assistant Clerk H. A. Mason.

The following was presented and read and ordered *filed*:

July 5, 1927.

To the Honorable Board of Supervisors, City and County of San Francisco.

Gentlemen:

In retiring from the service of the City and County, I deem it quite proper that an acknowledgment should be made of numerous kind acts that many of you have rendered to me personally during the past years and the friendly consideration that I have received at your hands.

I shall always cherish memories that the past twenty years have produced through an intimate association with you and your predecessors—memories that will endure during all the years to come.

I take it that the severing of official relations does not mean the severing of friendships—these I hope will continue and grow even stronger.

I wish to each of you a fulfillment of your highest aspirations and that your acts will be received with public approbation.

Very sincerely yours,

H. A. MASON.

Motion.

Supervisor Gallagher moved that next Monday morning at 11 o'clock the Mayor and Board take official recognition of Mr. Mason's retirement.

So ordered.

Leave of Absence, Supervisor J. Emmet Hayden.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

San Francisco, Cal.,
July 11, 1927.

Hon. Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen:

Application has been made to me by Hon. J. Emmet Hayden, member of your Board, for leave of absence, with permission to leave the State of California for a period of thirty days, commencing July 15th.

I hereby request that you concur with me in granting said leave of absence.

Yours very truly,

JAMES ROLPH, JR.,
Mayor.

Whereupon, the following resolution was presented and *adopted* by the following vote:

Resolution No. 27473 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Hon. J. Emmet Hayden, member of the Board of Supervisors, be and he is hereby granted a leave of absence with permission to leave the State for a period of thirty (30) days, commencing July 15, 1927.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Action Deferred.

The following matter was, on motion of Supervisor Gallagher, *continued two weeks*:

Notice of Reconsideration.

Resolution presented by Supervisor Gallagher June 20, granting H. J. Mallen permission, revocable at the will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate automobile parking station on the west side of Taylor street, south of Eddy street and refused passage.

PRESENTATION OF PROPOSALS.

Sugar, Hospital Supplies.

Sealed proposals were received and opened at the hour of 11 a. m. for furnishing sugar and hospital supplies and *referred to the Supplies Committee.*

X-Ray Films.

Sealed proposals were received and opened at the hour of 11 a. m. for furnishing X-ray films for San Francisco Hospital and *referred to the Supplies Committee.*

Action Deferred.

The following matters, heretofore passed for printing, were taken up and *laid over one week*:

Appropriation, $2,500, Referendum Petition, Reapportionment Bill.

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $2,500 be hereby appropriated out of General Fund, 1926-1927, for expense in connection with securing signatures for referendum petition against act reapportioning legislative districts in the State of California, which act reduces the Senatorial legislative representatives in certain counties, including San Francisco.

Supervisor Havenner moved that the City Attorney be asked to inquire into the authority of this Board to make the foregoing appropriation from the Public Welfare and Publicity Fund under the State law which created such fund and which has been liberally interpreted by the courts.

Transfer of Municipal Railway Funds.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $42,508.21 be and is hereby ordered transferred from the Twin Peaks Ridge Tunnel Assessment Fund to the Municipal Railway Fund and the Board of Public Works, Tax Collector, Auditor and Treasurer are hereby directed to make such entries in their official records and books of account as will correctly show such transfer; said transfer is for the purpose of reimbursing said Municipal Railway Fund for moneys advanced therefrom and used in the construction of the Twin Peaks Tunnel project.

Passed for Printing.

The following resolution was *passed for printing*:

Appropriation, $775.31, Payment to Crocker National Bank, Fiscal Agent.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy.

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $775.31 is hereby appropriated out of Urgent Necessity, Budget Item No. 25, Fiscal Year 1926-1927, in payment to the Crocker National Bank of San Francisco; being payment for account of expense and New York Exchange paid as fiscal agents for the City and County (claim dated June 27, 1927).

UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

None.

PRESENTATION OF BILLS AND ACCOUNTS.

Your Finance Committee, having examined miscellaneous demands not required by law to be passed to print, and amounting to $38,939.86, recommends same be allowed and ordered paid.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Urgent Necessity.

Edna Nelson et al., compensation insurance, $83.32.

Spring Valley Water Co., water, horse troughs, $53.33.

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

NEW BUSINESS.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Authorizations.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy:

Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

Park Fund.

(1) The Fay Improvement Co., asphalting tennis courts at Larsen Park (claim dated June 30, 1927), $813.12.

(2) Fageol Motors Sales Co., one Fageol truck chassis (claim dated June 30, 1927), $2,625.

(3) The White Company, one White truck chassis (claim dated June 30, 1927), $5,132.

Playground Fund.

(4) Mrs. Margaret J. Morrissey, payment of award by Industrial Accident Commission, claim 18411 (claim dated June 29, 1927), $2,239.54.

Sunset Tunnel Assessment Fund.

(5) Leonard F. Youdall and R. G. Clifford, thirteenth payment, construction of tunnel under Buena Vista Park (claim dated June 30, 1927), $90,279.30.

Tubercular Sanitarium Fund.

(6) Latourette-Fical Co., conditional acceptance payment, plumbing work for Tubercular Sanitarium (claim dated June 30, 1927), $5,894.63.

School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923.

(7) A. Lettich Co., wrought iron pipe for Sherman School (claim dated July 1, 1927), $606.39.

(8) Latourette-Fical Co., conditional acceptance payment, plumbing work for Edison School (claim dated June 30, 1927), $2,565.70.

(9) Mahony Bros., fifth payment, general construction of Sherman School (claim dated June 30, 1927), $18,732.56.

(10) John Reid, Jr., eighth payment, architectural services for Mission (Everett) Junior High School (claim dated June 30, 1927), $851.82.

(11) John Reid, Jr., thirteenth payment, architectural services for additional units to Galileo High School (claim dated June 30, 1927), $651.88.

Municipal Railway Depreciation Fund.

(12) J. G. Brill Co., second payment, street car trucks for Municipal Railways (claim dated June 30, 1927), $12 422.50.

(13) Westinghouse Electric and Mfg. Co., fourth payment, street car motor equipment for Municipal Railways (claim dated June 30, 1927), $21,518.

Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1910.

(14) Crane Co., pipe fittings, etc., for Hetch Hetchy water construction (claim dated June 30, 1927), $526.54.

(15) Pacific Tank and Pipe Co., four redwood water tanks (claim dated June 30, 1927), $922.20.

Special School Tax.

(16) J. H. McCallum, lumber for repairs of schools (claim dated June 29, 1927), $619.03.

(17) Bureau of Street Repair, repairs to yards of various schools (claim dated June 29, 1927), $785.07.

(18) Knittle Bros., third payment, mechanical equipment, addition to Madison School (claim dated June 30, 1927), $1,234.76.

County Road Fund.

(19) James M. Smith, sixth payment, improvement of Market street extension, Mono to Ord streets (claim dated June 30, 1927), $10,-950.

Hetch Hetchy Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1925.

(20) The Giant Powder Co., Con., explosives (claim dated June 30, 1927), $5,261.47.

(21) Hobbs-Parson Co., foodstuffs (claim dated June 30, 1927), $656.24.

(22) Myers-Whaley Co., conveyor chain, etc. (claim dated June 30, 1927), $816.44.

Publicity and Advertising (Appropriation 55).

(23) Nevada's Transcontinental Highways Exposition, floor space for San Francisco exhibit at Transcontinental Highway Exposition, Reno, Nev., for the publicity and advertising of San Francisco (claim dated July 11, 1927), $3,000.

(24) Rudolph Theurkauf, for designing, constructing, installing, decorating and arranging San Francisco exhibit in California Building, and furnishings supplied, Transcontinental Highways Exposition, Reno, Nev., for the publicity and advertising of San Francisco (claim dated July 11, 1927), $1,733.75.

General Fund, 1926-1927.

(25) San Francisco Bulletin, official advertising (claim dated June 30, 1927), $1,612.50.

(26) San Francisco Society for the Prevention of Cruelty to Animals, impounding, feeding, etc., of animals for June (claim dated June 30, 1927), $1,250.

(27) Napa State Hospital, maintenance of criminal insane (claim dated June 30, 1927), $600.

(28) Recorder Printing and Publishing Company, printing Law-Motion-Trial Calendar (claim dated June 30, 1927), $1,075.

(29) Canepa Motor Company, one Chrysler sedan, for use of Tax Collector (claim dated June 30, 1927), $2,787.

General Fund, 1927-1928.

(30) A. P. Jacobs, rent of premises at No. 333 Kearny street, July 3 to August 3, 1927 (claim dated July 11, 1927), $1,186.

(31) James Rolph, Jr., Mayor's expense (claim dated July 11, 1927), $523.75.

(32) Automatic Registering Machine Co., 100 voting machines, Department of Elections (claim dated July 7, 1927), $117,850.

(33) A. Carlisle & Co., printing election forms (claim dated July 7, 1927), $1,515.

General Fund, 1926-1927.

(34) Frank J. McHugh, fourth payment, construction of Section B, westerly Sunset District sewer (claim dated June 30, 1927), $9,000.

(35) Manuel Smith, final payment, construction of sewers in Noriega street between Twenty-fourth and Twenty-ninth avenues (claim dated June 30, 1927), $5,240.

Appropriations for Property Required for the Extension of Van Ness Avenue.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are here-

by authorized in payment out of "Van Ness avenue extension, final purchase," Budget Item No. 54, Fiscal Year 1927-1928, to the hereinafter designated; being payments, third and final, for properties required for the extension of Van Ness avenue, in accordance with Superior Court judgment, Action No. 153962, to-wit:

To Henry E. Monroe, the sum of $47,990.44.

To John Rosenfeld's Sons, the sum of $38,258.12.

To the White Company, the sum of $29,125.98.

(Claims dated July 5, 1927.)

City Attorney Authorized to Compromise Litigation, Edward W. McKeever vs. City and County.

Supervisor McSheeny presented: Resolution No. 27475 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation heretofore made by the City Attorney, the said City Attorney be and he is hereby authorized to compromise and settle that certain action pending in the Superior Court of the State of California, in and for the City and County of San Francisco, entitled "Edward W. McKeever, plaintiff, vs. City and County of San Francisco, defendant," and numbered 176658 on the files thereof, for the sum of thirteen hundred and eighteen dollars and fifty cents ($1318.50).

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Accepting Offer of William Bowman to Sell for $850 Certain Land Required for Sewer Right of Way.

Supervisor McSheehy presented: Resolution No. 27476 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the owner of the following described land, sought to be acquired by the City and County of San Francisco for the construction of a sewer from Mangels avenue to Monterey boulevard between Congo street and Baden street, has offered to convey the property desired by the City and County of San Francisco for the sum set forth opposite his name, viz.:

William Bowman, $850—Commencing at a point on the southerly line of Joost avenue, distant thereon 200 feet westerly from the westerly line of Baden street, running thence westerly and along said line of Joost avenue 25 feet; thence at a right angle southerly 100 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 25 feet; thence at a rigrt angle northerly 100 feet to the point of commencement. Being Lot No. 31 in Block No. 41, Sunnyside.

Whereas, the City Attorney has recommended the acceptance of the said offer and the acquisition of the property owned by said person and offered to the City and County of San Francisco for the price set forth, which said price is in accordance with the City's appraisement of the property; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the said offer of sale be accepted and the City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to examine the title of said property, and if the same is found in satisfactory condition to accept in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco a deed conveying title thereto, and file the same for record with a copy of this resolution attached thereto as evidence of acceptance by the City and County of San Francisco, upon payment of the agreed purchase price.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Accepting Offer of California Pacific Title and Trust Company to Sell for $750 Certain Property Required for the Widening of Portola Drive.

Supervisor McSheehy presented: Resolution No. 27477 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the owner of the following described land, sought to be acquired by the City and County of San Francisco for the widening of Portola drive, has offered to convey the property desired by the City and County of San Francisco for the sum set forth opposite its name, viz.:

California Pacific Title and Trust Company, $750—Beginning at a point on the southeasterly line of Portola drive, distant thereon 162.809 feet northeasterly from the northeasterly line of Duncan street, and running thence northeasterly along the southeasterly line of Portola drive 30 feet; thence at right angles southeasterly 71.383 feet to the proposed southeasterly line of Portola drive; thence deflecting 92 deg. 18 min. 46 sec. to the right and running southwesterly along said proposed southeasterly line 30.025 feet; thence deflecting 87 deg.

41 min. 14 sec. to the right and running northwesterly 70.172 feet to the point of bginning. Being portion of Block No. 40 of Stanford Heights.

Whereas, the City Attorney has recommended the acceptance of the said offer and the acquisition of the property owned by said company and offered to the City and County of San Francisco for the price set forth, which said price is in accordance with the City's appraisement of the property; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the said offer of sale be accepted and the City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to examine the title of said property, and, if the same is found in satisfactory condition, to accept, in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, a deed conveying title thereto, and file the same for record with a copy of this resolution attached thereto as evidence of acceptance by the City and County of San Francisco, upon payment of the agreed purchase price.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Accepting Offer of G. B. Arentz to Sell Certain Property Required for Widening Garfield Street.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 27478 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the owner of the following described land, sought to be acquired by the City and County of San Francisco for the widening of Garfield street from Orizaba avenue to Junipero Serra boulevard, has offered to convey the property desired by the City and County of San Francisco for the sum set forth opposite his name, viz.:

G. B. Arentz, $15—Beginning at a point on the southerly line of Garfield street, distant thereon 25 feet easterly from the easterly line of Head street, and running thence easterly along the southerly line of Garfield street 38.353 feet; thence westerly on a curve to the right of 640-foot radius, tangent to a line deflected 168 deg. 53 min. 14 sec. to the right from the preceding course, central angle 3 deg. 28 min. 50 sec., a distance of 38.878 feet; thence deflecting 97 deg. 37 min. 56 sec. to the right from the tangent to the preceding curve, and running northerly parallel with Head street 6.330 feet to the point of beginning. Being portion of City Land Association Block No. 47.

Whereas, the City Attorney has recommended the acceptance of the said offer and the acquisition of the property owned by said person and offered to the City and County of San Francisco for the price set forth, which said price is in accordance with the City's appraisement of the property; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the said offer of sale be accepted and the City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to examine the title of said property, and if the same is found in satisfactory condition to accept in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco a deed conveying title thereto, and file the same for record with a copy of this resolution attached thereto as evidence of acceptance by the City and County of San Francisco, upan payment of the agreed purchase price.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Accepting Offer of Mission Realty Company to Sell Certain Property Required for Canal Street Sewer.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 27479 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the owner of the following described subsurface right of way easement situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and sought to be acquired by said City and County for the construction of the Canal street sewer, has offered to convey said easement desired by said City and County of San Francisco for the sum set forth opposite its name, viz.:

Mission Realty Company, $1.

Parcel 1. All that strip of land ten (10) feet in width lying five (5) feet on each side of the following described center line, the first course being produced southwesterly and the last course produced northwesterly:

Beginning at a point on the southerly line of the property of The Roman Catholic Archbishop of San Francisco, distant thereon 670.741 feet southeasterly from the easterly boundary of the College Homestead, and running thence northeasterly 116.292 feet along a line deflected 75 deg. 38 min. 2 sec. to the left from said southerly bound-

ary; thence deflecting 60 deg. to the right and running northeasterly 171.988 feet; thence deflecting 82 deg. 20 min. to the left and running northerly 126 feet, more or less, to the center line of proposed Alemany boulevard.

Parcel 2. All that strip of land ten (10) feet in width, lying five (5) feet on each side of the following described center line, the first course being produced northwesterly and the last course produced northeasterly:

Beginning at a point on the easterly boundary of the property acquired by the City and County of San Francisco in Action No. 61473, Superior Court, dated March 18, 1915, said point being 15 feet at right angles southerly from the southerly line of Trumbull street produced easterly and 30 feet at right angles easterly from the easterly boundary of the College Homestead, and running thence southeasterly 294.888 feet on a line deflected 12 deg. to the right from a line parallel with and distant 16.063 feet at right angles southerly from the southerly line of Trumbull street extended and produced easterly; thence deflecting 15 deg. and 30 min. to the left and running easterly 150 feet; thence deflecting 30 deg. and 30 min. to the left and running northeasterly 200 feet; thence deflecting 33 deg. and 45 min. to the left and running northeasterly 80.362 feet to the northerly boundary of the property of the Mission Realty Co., distant thereon 670.741 feet southeasterly from the easterly boundary of the College Homestead.

Whereas, the City Attorney has recommended the acceptance of the said offer and the acquisition of the easement owned by said company and offered to the City and County of San Francisco; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the said offer be accepted and the City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to examine the title of said easement and if the same is found in satisfactory condition, to accept in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco a deed conveying title thereto, and file the same for record with a copy of this resolution attached thereto as evidence of acceptance by the City and County of San Francisco.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Appropriations, Automobile Busses, Municipal Railway.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $31,807.50 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of Municipal Railway Reserve Depreciation Fund for the purchase of the following auto busses for use of the Municipal Railways, to-wit:

(1) Three 25-passenger, 192-inch wheel base, Mack automobile busses, on A B chasis, with booster brakes and body in accordance with specifications of Contract 141, Municipal Railway, less allowance for old busses, $22,950.

(2) One Fageol, 6-cylinder, 29-passenger, street car type automobile bus, with Westinghouse air brakes, less allowance for old bus, $8,812.50.

Appropriations, Grading and Filling Runways, San Francisco Municipal Airport.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of "Municipal Airport," Budget Item No. 55, for the cost of grading, filling of depressions and runways at the San Francisco Municipal Airport, to-wit:

For grading, etc., James M. Smith contract, $28,176; for engineering and inspection, $1,000; total, $29,176.

Mayor Authorized to Sell Property.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 27480 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Mayor be and he is hereby authorized and directed to sell at public auction after at least five (5) days of published notice the following described personal property owned by the City and County of San Francisco, to-wit:

Dwelling houses and appurtenances situated on those certain pieces or parcels of land required for playground purposes, and known as 125 Minerva street, northeast corner of Capitol avenue and Montana street.

The terms of said sale shall be cash upon delivery of bill of sale, said dwelling houses to be removed by the purchasers within thirty (30) days of purchase thereof.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Resolution of Intention to Establish Set-back Lines No. 134.

Supervisor Hayden presented:

Resolution No. 27481 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the City Planning Commission has recommended that set-back lines be established along the streets hereinafter mentioned and as delineated upon maps accompanying said recommendations, to which reference is hereby made for further particulars; therefore, be it

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco to establish set-back lines as provided by Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), approved May 18, 1922, as follows:

Along the southwesterly side of Arleta avenue, commencing at a point 75 feet southeasterly from Delta street, and running thence southeasterly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; thence southeasterly 150 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence southeasterly to Rutland street, said set-back line to be 10 feet.

Along the southerly side of Lobos street, commencing at a point 100 feet easterly from Capitol avenue, and running thence easterly to a point 75 feet westerly from Plymouth avenue, said set-back line to be 15 feet.

Along the westerly side of Sixteenth avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Ulloa street and running thence northerly to a point 100 feet southerly from Taraval street, said set-back line to be 10 feet; along the easterly side of Sixteenth avenue, commencing at Ulloa street and running thence northerly to a point 100 feet southerly from Taraval street, said set-back line to be 8 feet.

Along the westerly side of Eighteenth avenue, commencing at Pacheco street and running thence northerly 450 feet, said set-back line to be 10 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 2-3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 1-3 feet; along the easterly side of Eighteenth avenue between Pacheco and Ortega streets, said set-back line to be 15 feet.

And notice is hereby given that Monday, the 15th day of August, 1927, at the hour of 11 o'clock a. m., at the chambers of the Board of Supervisors, City Hall, in said City and County of San Francisco, has been fixed as the time and place when and where any and all persons having any objections to the establishing of the proposed set-back lines may appear and present any objections which they may have to said set-back lines as set forth in this resolution of intention.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Resolution of Intention to Establish Set-back Lines No. 135.

Supervisor Hayden presented:

Resolution No. 27482 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the City Planning Commission has recommended that set-back lines be established along the streets hereinafter mentioned and as delineated upon maps accompanying said recommendations, to which reference is hereby made for further particulars; therefore, be it

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco to establish set-back lines as provided by Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), approved May 18, 1922, as follows:

Along both sides of Forty-first avenue, commencing at points 100 feet northerly from Ulloa street and running thence northerly 350 feet, said set-back lines to be 9 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 3 feet.

Along both sides of Forty-second avenue, commencing at points 100 feet northerly from Ulloa street and running thence northerly 350 feet, said set-back lines to be 9 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 3 feet.

Along both sides of Forty-third avenue, commencing at points 100 feet northerly from Ulloa street and running thence northerly 350 feet, said set-back lines to be 9 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 6 feet; thence

northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 3 feet.

Along both sides of Forty-fourth avenue, commencing at points 100 feet northerly from Ulloa street and running thence northerly 350 feet, said set-back lines to be 9 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 3 feet.

Along both sides of Forty-fifth avenue, commencing at points 100 feet northerly from Ulloa street and running thence northerly 350 feet, said set-back lines to be 9 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 3 feet.

And notice is hereby given that Monday, the 15th day of August, 1927, at the hour of 11 o'clock a. m., at the chambers of the Board of Supervisors, City Hall, in said City and County of San Francisco, has been fixed as the time and place when and where any and all persons having any objections to the establishing of the proposed set-back lines may appear and present any objections which they may have to said set-back lines as set forth in this resolution of intention.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Resolution of Intention to Establish Set-back Lines No. 136.

Supervisor Hayden presented:

Resolution No. 27483 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the City Planning Commission has recommended that set-back lines be established along the streets hereinafter mentioned and as delineated upon maps accompanying said recommendations, to which reference is hereby made for further particulars; therefore, be it

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco to establish set-back lines as provided by Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), approved May 18, 1922, as follows:

Along the westerly side of Funston avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Ulloa street and running thence northerly to a point 100 feet southerly from Taraval street, said set-back line to be 15 feet; along the easterly side of Funston avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet southerly from Taraval street and running thence southerly 300 feet, said set-back line to be 15 feet.

Along both sides of Thirty-eighth avenue, commencing at points 100 feet northerly from Ulloa street and running thence northerly 350 feet, said set-back lines to be 9 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 3 feet.

Along both sides of Thirty-ninth avenue, commencing at points 100 feet northerly from Ulloa street and running thence northerly 350 feet, said set-back lines to be 9 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 3 feet.

Along both sides of Fortieth avenue, commencing at points 100 feet northerly from Ulloa street and running thence northerly 350 feet, said set-back lines to be 9 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 3 feet.

And notice is hereby given that Monday, the 15th day of August, 1927, at the hour of 11 o'clock a. m., at the chambers of the Board of Supervisors, City Hall, in said City and County of San Francisco, has been fixed as the time and place when and where any and all persons having any objections to the establishing of the proposed set-back lines may appear and present any objections which they may have to said set-back lines as set forth in this resolution of intention.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Passed for Printing.

The following resolution was *passed for printing*:

Oil and Boiler Permits.

On motion of Supervisor Deasy:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits be and are hereby granted:

Oil Tanks.

O. E. Anderson, south side of Capra way, 145 feet west of Mallorca way, 1500 gallons capacity.

Richard Bell, east side of Paloma street, 200 feet south of Ocean avenue, 500 gallons capacity.

W. T. Boyd, 2518 Filbert street, 750 gallons capacity.

Lester Stoff, southwest corner of Second avenue and Lake street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Buschke & Johnson, northeast corner of North Point and Divisadero streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

J. R. Cally, east side of Retiro way, 50 feet north of Rico way, 1500 gallons capacity.

Chestnut Street Bakery, 2163 Chestnut street, 600 gallons capacity.

J. J. Coburn, north side of Chestnut street, 65 feet west of Van Ness avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

Cuneo & De Martini, north side of Haight street, 135 feet west of Pierce street, 1500 gallons capacity.

R. S. Dowler, east line of Collingwood street between Twenty-first and Twenty-second streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

A. W. Eriksen, northwest corner of Chestnut street and Mallorca way, 1500 gallons capacity.

Henry Ernst & Sons, northeast corner of Eleventh avenue and California street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Henry Ernst & Sons, northwest corner of Twelfth avenue and California street, 1500 gallons capacity.

H. C. Layton, 69 Mercedes way, 1500 gallons capacity.

Johnson & Anderson, north side of Golden Gate avenue, 50 feet west of Scott street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Klaes & Son, 740 Victoria street, 750 gallons capacity.

A. H. Klahn, south side of Fulton street, 83 feet west of Central avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

Hotel Lawrence, 226 The Embarcadero, 1500 gallons capacity.

L. Levy, 3934 Clay street, 600 gallons capacity.

L. Levy, 3936 Clay street, 600 gallons capacity.

H. O. Lindeman, north side of Anza street, 25 feet east of Eleventh avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

Mrs. Emma Maasberg, west side of Twenty-seventh avenue, 135 feet north of Judah street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Marian Realty Co., northwest corner of California and Gough streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

H. B. Mathews, 2830 Lyon street, 1500 gallons capacity.

N. J. Nelson, north side of Beach street, 75 feet west of Retiro way, 1500 gallons capacity.

R. Parotore, northeast corner of Pacific and Morrell streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

A. Raskin, west side of Broderick street, 100 feet north of Francisco street, 1500 gallons capacity.

W. Rasmussen, west side of Baker street, 126 feet north of Lombard street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Salta Company, northeast corner of Forty-third avenue and Point Lobos avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

Rudolph Spreckels, west side of Davis street, 125 feet south of Vallejo street, 1500 gallons capacity.

E. L. Stoneson, northwest corner of Brentwood and Maywood avenues, 750 gallons capacity.

T. I. Strand, east side of Twenty-sixth avenue, 125 feet north of Fulton street, 1500 gallons capacity.

The Turner Co., northwest corner of Turk and Webster streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

Max Wiseman, south line of Bay street, 175 feet west of Octavia street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Boiler.

Snyder Bros. Knitting Mills, 201 Eighth street, 35-horsepower.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits shall become null and void.

Street Lights.

Supervisor Stanton presented:

Resolution No. 27484 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Pacific Gas and Electric Company be and it is hereby authorized and requested to change, remove and install street lights as follows:

Remove 600 *M. R.*

Northeast corner California and Franklin streets.

Southwest corner California and Larkin streets.

Northwest and southeast corners California and Hyde streets.

Southwest corner California and Leavenworth streets.

Southeast corner California and Jones streets.

California street between Franklin street and Van Ness avenue.

California street between Van Ness avenue and Polk street.

California street between Polk and Larkin streets.

California street between Larkin and Hyde streets.

California street between Hyde and Leavenworth streets.

California street between Leavenworth and Jones streets.

Install 400 *C. P. O. B.*

South side California street between Franklin street and Van Ness avenue.

North and south sides California street between Van Ness avenue and Polk street.

North and south sides California street between Polk and Larkin streets.

North and south sides California street between Larkin and Hyde streets.

North and south sides California street between Hyde and Leavenworth streets.

North and south sides California street between Leavenworth and Jones streets.

Northeast and southwest corners California and Franklin streets.

Northeast and southwest corners California and Larkin streets.

Northeast and southwest corners California and Hyde streets.

Northeast and southwest corners California and Leavenworth streets.

Northeast and southwest corners California and Jones streets.

Lights in middle of block to be placed approximately 137 feet from corners.

Standards to be iron column with light alabaster globes on top with refractor, to be selected by committee after test. Height to light center to be approximately 16 feet.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Also, Resolution No. 27485 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Pacific Gas and Electric Company be and it is hereby authorized and requested to remove and install the following street lights:

Remove Gas.

Mason street between Clay and Washington streets.

Fillmore and Byington streets.

North side Vallejo street, 85 and 120 feet east of Columbus avenue, front of church.

South side Oak street between Franklin and Gough streets.

North side Oak street, east of Gough street and west of Franklin street.

Remove 40-Watt Lamps.

Two, Bush street and Van Ness avenue.

Install 400 M. R.

Gough street between Bay and Francisco streets.

Leavenworth street between Union and Green streets.

Mason street between Clay and Washington streets.

Twentieth avenue between Vicente and Wawona streets.

Twentieth avenue and Wawona street.

Sixteenth avenue, 250 feet north of Taraval street.

Fifteenth avenue between Santiago and Taraval streets.

Twenty-third avenue and Lawton street.

Twenty-third avenue between Lawton and Moraga streets.

Sargent and Bixbee streets.

Sargent and Monticello streets.

Twenty-ninth avenue between Fulton and Cabrillo streets.

Teddy avenue between Delta and Elliott streets.

Judson avenue between Detroit and Edna streets.

Fortieth avenue between Lincoln way and Irving street.

Twenty-fifth avenue and Kirkham street.

Ralston street between Garfield and Shields streets.

Prague street between Cordova and Naples streets.

Winding way between Cordova and Naples streets, opposite school.

Moscow street between Geneva and Amazon avenues.

Curtis street between Morse and Brunswick streets.

Naples and Curtis streets.

Prague street between Naylor and Rolph streets.

Oak street between Franklin and Gough streets.

Install 600 M. R.

Twenty-seventh avenue and Fulton street.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Also, Resolution No. 27486 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Pacific Gas and Electric Company be and it is hereby authorized and requested to install and change the following street lights:

Install 600 M. R.

North side, opposite First avenue and Lincoln way.

Southwest corner Second avenue and Lincoln way.

Northeast corner Third avenue and Lincoln way.

North side Seventh avenue and Lincoln way.

Northeast corner Nineteenth avenue and Lincoln way.

Northeast corner Twenty-fifth avenue and Lincoln way.

Northeast corner Forty-first avenue and Lincoln way.

Forty-first avenue between Lincoln way and Irving street.

Install 400 M. R.

Southwest corner Bacon street and Charter Oak avenue.

Change 600 *M. R.*

Southeast corner Third avenue and Lincoln way to southwest corner.

Southeast corner Seventh avenue and Lincoln way to southwest corner.

Southeast corner Ninth avenue and Lincoln way to northeast corner.

Southeast corner Nineteenth avenue and Lincoln way to southwest corner.

Southeast corner Twenty-fifth avenue and Lincoln way to southwest corner.

Lower all these lights to a height not exceeding 18 feet.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Spur Track Permit, Southern Pacific Company.

On motion of Supervisors Marks:

Bill No. 8092, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Granting permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to Southern Pacific Company, a corporation, to construct, maintain and operate spur tracks crossing Selby and Toland streets between Evans and Galvez avenues, as hereinafter described.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, is hereby granted to Southern Pacific Company, a corporation, to construct, maintain and operate spur tracks upon, along and across Selby and Toland streets, the center line of the proposed track being particularly described as follows, to-wit:

Beginning at a point on the westerly line of Selby street, said point being distant northeasterly thereon 248.5 feet, more or less, from the intersection of the northerly line of Galvez avenue with the westerly line of Selby street; thence crossing Selby street in a southeasterly direction a distance of 64 feet, more or less, to a point on the easterly line of Selby street, said point being distant northerly thereon 248.5 feet, more or less, from the intersection of the easterly line of Selby street with the northerly line of Galvez avenue.

Beginning at a point on the easterly line of Toland street, said point being distant northeasterly thereon 248.5 feet, more or less, from the intersection of the northerly line of Galvez avenue with the easterly line of Toland street; thence crossing Toland street in a northwesterly direction 64 feet, more or less, to a point on the westerly line of Toland street, said point being distant northerly thereon a distance of 248.5 feet, more or less, from the intersection of the westerly line of Toland street with the northerly line of Galvez avenue produced westerly.

Said permission is granted subject to the provisions of Ordinance No. 69 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors, approved October 12, 1906, and the provisions and conditions of Section 8 thereof are hereby specifically contained in the permit hereby granted, and srall be construed as a part hereof as completely as though the same were written in this ordinance.

Provided, that said track shall be laid under the supervision and to the lines and grades as furnished by the City Engineer's office and that any and all expense connected with the installation of the track, restoration of the pavement and any additional requirements for the surface drainage to be paid for by the Southern Pacific Company; provided, that Southern Pacific Company shall erect and maintain all-night lighted arc lamps to be placed where directed by the Lighting Committee of the Board of Supervisors.

Provided, that all trackage within the street limits be constructed with girder rails.

Section 2. This ordinace shall take effect immediately.

Spur Track Permit, Santa Fe Railway Company.

Also, Bill No. 8093, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Granting permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to The Atchison, Topeka & Santa Fe Railway Company, its successors or assigns, to construct, maintain and operate a spur track across Mississippi street between Mariposa and Seventeenth streets, as hereinafter described.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, is hereby granted to The Atchison, Topeka & Santa Fe Railway Company, its successors or assigns, to

construct, maintain and operate a spur track as follows, to-wit:

Beginning at a point on the center line of an existing track of the A. T. & S. F. Ry. Co. and S. P. Co. in the City and County of San Francisco, said point lying 34.91 feet, as measured along said center line of said track, northerly from the northerly line of Mariposa street; thence northwesterly on the arc of a curve concave to the southwest, having a radius of 1273.57 feet, a distance of 41.88 feet; thence northwesterly 49.09 feet; thence northwesterly on the arc of a curve concave to the southwest, having a radius of 240.49 feet, a distance of 116.69 fet to a point in the easterly line of Mississippi street, said point lying 160.00 feet northerly from the northerly line fo Mariposa street; thence continuing on the arc of the last described curve crossing Mississippi street, a distance of 81.16 feet to a point in the westerly line of Mississippi street, said point lying 174.98 feet northerly from the northerly line of Mariposa street.

Said permission is granted subject to the provisions of Ordinance No. 69 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors, approved October 12, 1906, and the provisions and conditions of Section 8 thereof are hereby specifically contained in the permit hereby granted and shall be construed as a part hereof as complete as though the same were written in this ordinance.

Provided, that the said spur track shall be laid under the supervision and to the lines and grades as furnished by the City Engineer's office, and that any and all expenses connected with the installation of the track, restoration of the pavement and any additional requirements for the surface drainage shall be paid for by The Atchison, Topeka & Santa Fe Railway Company.

Provided, The Atchison, Topeka & Santa Fe Railway Company, its successors or assigns, shall erect and maintain one all-night lighted arc lamp to be placed where directed by the Lighting Committee of the Board of Supervisors.

Provided, that all trackage within the street area be constructed with girder rail; that the necessary catchbasins with accompanying ten (10) inch culvert pipe and manhole be constructed on the southerly side of the said track so that drainage may be accommodated, and that all pavement and curb be reconstructed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Changing Names, Certain Streets.

Supervisor Marks presented:

Resolution No. 27487 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the Board of Public Works, did, by Resolution No. 91475 (Second Series), adopted April 28, 1926, recommend to the Board of Supervisors that the name of Tonquin street from Webster to Lyon streets be changed to Marina boulevard, and the name of Alhambra street from Tonquin street to a point 1000 feet southerly be changed to Fillmore street; now, therefore

Resolved, That the names of the following streets in the City and County of San Francisco are hereby changed as hereinafter specified and shall hereafter be known and designated by names to which they are hereby changed, to-wit: Tonquin street from Webster street to Lyon street be changed to Marina boulevard; Alhambra street from Tonquin street to a point 1000 feet southerly be changed to Fillmore street.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Passed for Printing.

The following resolution was *passed for printing*:

Permit to Construct and Maintain Hopper on Harrison Street.

On motion of Supervisor Marks:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, is hereby granted to Golden Gate-Atlas Materials Company to construct, operate and maintain a hopper under the spur track on the east side of Harrison street between Sixteenth and Seventeenth streets for the purpose of unloading rock and sand to be used in the operation of the plant of the said company. Provided, that the hopper, when not in use, is to be protected in a manner satisfactory to pedestrians; provided, further, that the hopper be constructed under the supervision and to the satisfaction of the Board of Public Works.

Fixing July 25, 1927, for Hearing of Appeal, Orizaba Avenue.

Supervisor Marks presented:

Resolution No. 27488 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Monday, July 25,

1927, is hereby fixed as the time for hearing the appeal of property owners from the action and decision of the Board of Public Works in overruling the objections of property owners against the improvement of the easterly one-half of Orizaba avenue from a line parallel with and 115 feet southerly from Holloway avenue to the northerly line of Grafton avenue produced, by the construction of concrete curbs, by the construction of that portion of an 8-inch ironstone pipe sewer with accompanying manholes and Y branches that said frontage would be liable for, and by the construction of a concrete pavement on the roadway thereof.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Referred.

The following resolution, laid over from last meeting, was, on motion, *rereferred to the Public Buildings Committee*:

Condemnation of School Lands.

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the public interest and necessity require the acquisition by the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, of the following properties situated in the City and County of San Francisco, required for school purposes, and more particularly described as follows, to-wit:

Being all of Block No. 3262 as appears on the Assessor's Map Book and bounded on the north by Darien way, on the west by Aptos avenue, on the south by Upland avenue, and on the east by San Aliso avenue. Be it

Further Resolved, That said properties are suitable, adaptable, necessary and required for the public use of said City and County of San Francisco, to-wit: For school purposes. It is necessary that a fee simple title be taken for such use. The City Attorney is hereby ordered and directed to commence proceedings in eminent domain against the owners of said tracts of land and of any and all interests therein or claims thereto for the condemnation thereof for the public use of said City and County of San Francisco as aforesaid.

Action Deferred.

The following bill was presented and *laid over two weeks*:

Ordering Street Work, Orizaba Avenue.

Bill No. ——, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors June 17, 1927, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that ten installments; that the period the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of the easterly one-half of Orizaba avenue from a line parallel with and 115 feet southerly from Holloway avenue to the northerly line of Grafton avenue produced, by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of that portion of an 8-inch ironstone pipe sewer with accompanying hanholes and Y branches that said frontage would be liable for, and by the construction of a concrete pavement on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Passed for Printing.

The following bill was *passed for printing*:

Conditional Acceptance, Certain Streets.

On motion of Supervisor Marks:

Bill No. 8094, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Providing for conditional acceptance of the roadway of Arago street between Havelock and Paulding streets; Castro street between Sussex and Laidley streets, and the intersection of Castro street and Laidley street; Fourteenth avenue between Santiago and Taraval streets; Fourteenth avenue between Taraval and Ulloa streets; Lawton street between Twenty-sixth and Twenty-seventh avenues; Munich street between Persia and Russia avenues; Rhode Island street between Southern Heights avenue and Twenty-second street; Rhode Island street between Twentieth street and Southern Heights avenue, including the crossing of Twentieth and Rhode Island streets and the intersection of Rhode Island street and Southern Heights avenue; Twenty-second avenue between Lawton and Moraga streets; Moraga street between Twenty-second and Twenty-third avenues; Twenty-seventh avenue between Lawton and Moraga streets; Ulloa street between Thirty-ninth and Fortieth avenues; crossing of Diamond and Sussex streets; crossing of Thirty-ninth avenue and Ulloa street, and the crossing of Twenty-seventh avenue and Moraga street.

Extension of Time.

Supervisor Marks presented:

Resolution No. 27489 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Frank J. McHugh is hereby granted an extension of ninety (90) days' time from and after July 2, 1927, within which to complete the construction of the Forty-third avenue main sewer, Section B. Completion delayed on account of inclement weather at beginning of work.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Intention to Change Grades.

Supervisor Marks presented:

Resolution No. 27490 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to change and establish grades on Nineteenth street between the west boundary line of Clover Heights Tract and Yukon street; on Yukon street between Caselli avenue and Short street; on Eagle street between Yukon street and a line at right angles to the northerly line of, 20.80 feet westerly from Mono street, and on Short street between Yukon and Market streets, as shown on map entitled "Grade Map of a portion of Market Street Homestead showing the change and establishment of official grades on Nineteenth street between the west boundary line of Clover Heights Tract and Yukon street; on Yukon street between Caselli avenue and Short street; on Eagle street between Yukon street and a line at right angles to the northerly line of, 20.80 feet westerly from Mono street, and on Short street between Yukon and Market streets," approved by Resolution No. 97925 (Second Ssries).

The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that on assessment district is necessary, as no damage will result from said change of grades, inasmuch as the streets are ungraded and there are no existing street improvements.

The Board of Public Works is hereby directed to cause to be conspicuously posted along the street or streets upon which such change or modification of grade or grades is contemplated, notice of the passage of this resolution of intention.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Approving Map Showing Widening of Twenty-third Street.

Supervisor Marks presented:

Resolution No. 27491 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the Board of Public Works did, by Resolution No. 97924 (Second Series), approve a map showing the widening of Twenty-third street from Third street to its easterly termination; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the map showing the widening of Twenty-third street from Third street to its easterly termination is hereby approved.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Accepting Deed, Widening of Twenty-third Street.

Supervisor Marks presented:

Resolution No. 27492 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That that certain deed executed on the 27th day of June, 1927, between J. D. and A. B. Spreckels Securities Company, a corporation, and the City and County of San Francisco, conveying parcels of land A, B, C and D, as shown on map showing the widening of Twenty-third street from Third street to its easterly termination, approved by the Board of Public Works by Resolution No. 97924 (Second Series), is hereby accepted.

Further Resolved, That the lands covered by said deed are hereby declared open public streets.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Passed for Printing.

The following bill was *passed for printing*:

Fixing Sidewalk Widths on Kingston Street.

On motion of Supervisor Marks:

Bill No. 8095, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered nine hundred and seventy-three.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Board of Public Works filed in this office June 30, 1927, by adding thereto a new section, to be numbered nine hundred and seventy-three, to read as follows:

Section 973. The width of sidewalks on Kingston street between Prospect avenue and a line 150 feet westerly from Prospect avenue shall be five (5) feet.

The width of sidewalks on Kingston street between a line 150 feet westerly from Prospect avenue to Coleridge street are hereby dispensed with and abolished.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect and be in force from and after its passage.

Approving Map Showing Widening of Point Lobos Avenue.

Supervisor Marks presented:

Resolution No. 27493 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the Board of Public Works did, by Resolution No. 97876 (Second Series), approve a map showing the widening of Point Lobos avenue between Forty-third avenue and Forty-fourth avenue; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the map showing showing the widening of Point Lobos avenue between Forty-third avenue and Forty-fourth avenue is hereby approved.

Further Resolved, That Parcel No. 1 shown hatched on map showing the widening of Point Lobos avenue between Forty-third avenue and Forty-fourth avenue is hereby declared to be an open public street, to be known as Point Lobos avenue.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Changing Grades, Certain Streets.

On motion of Supervisor Marks:

Bill No. 8096, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), entitled "Changing and reestablishing the official grades on Bright street between Randolph and Shields streets, and on Sargent street between Orizaba avenue and Head street."

Also, Bill No. 8097, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), entitled "Changing and reestablishing the official grades on Bauer street between Cayuga avenue and a line parallel with the southeasterly line of Alemany avenue and 100 feet northwesterly therefrom."

Also, Bill No. 8098, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), entitled "Changing and reestablishing the official grades on Grafton avenue between the easterly line of Jules avenue and Orizaba avenue; on Ashton avenue between the northerly line of Grafton avenue and a line parallel with the southerly line of Grafton avenue and 300 feet southerly therefrom, and on Orizaba avenue between the northerly curb line of Garfield street produced and the northerly line of Grafton avenue produced."

Also, Bill No. 8099, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), entitled "Changing and reestablishing the official grades on Fitzgerald avenue between lines at right angles to the southwesterly line of and respectively 330.75 feet and 188.79 feet southeasterly from Charter Oak avenue."

Also, Bill No. 8100, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Changing and reestablishing the official grades on Langton street between Bryant street and a line parallel with and 265 feet southerly therefrom.

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors, on the written recommendation of the Board of Public Works, did, on the 8th day of April, 1927, by Resolution No. 27035 (New Series), declare its intention to change and reestablish the grades on Langton street between Bryant street and a line parallel with and 265 feet southerly therefrom.

Whereas, said resolution was so published for ten days, and the Board of Public Works within ten days after the first publication of said resolution of intention caused notices of the passage of said resolution to be conspicuously posted along all streets specified in the resolution, in the manner and as provided by law; and

Whereas, more than forty days has elapsed since the first publication of said resolution of intention; therefore,

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The grades on the following-named streets at the points hereinafter named and at the elevations above city base as hereinafter stated are hereby changed and established as follows:

Langton Street.

265 feet southerly from Bryant street, 7.80 feet.

On Langton street between Bryant street and a line parallel with and 265 feet southerly therefrom, changed and established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor and the present official grade of Bryant street at Langton street.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Fixing Sidewalk Widths on Yukon Street.

Also, Bill No. 8101, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto new sections to be numbered nine hundred and seventy to nine hundred and seventy-two, inclusive.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Board of Public Works filed in this office June 21, 1927, by adding thereto new sections to be numbered nine hundred and seventy to nine hundred and seventy-two, inclusive, to read as follows:

Section 970. The width of sidewalks on Yukon street between Caselli avenue and Short street shall be as shown on that certain map entitled "Map of Yukon Street between Caselli avenue and Short Street," showing the location of street and curb lines and the width of sidewalks.

Section 971. The width of sidewalks on Eagle street between Yukon street and Market street shall be as shown on that certain map entitled "Map of Eagle Street between Yukon Street and Market Street," showing the location of street curb lines and the width of sidewalks.

Section 972. The width of sidewalks on Short street between Yukon street and Market street shall be as shown on that certain map entitled "Map of Short Street between Yukon Street and Market Street," showing the location of street and curb lines and the width of sidewalks.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect and be in force from and after its passage.

Approving Map, Western Addition Block No. 476.

Also, Bill No. 8102, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Approving and adopting the official map of Western Addition Block No. 476 in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California.

Whereas, the Board of Public Works did, by Resolution No. 97812 (Second Series) of said Board, passed June 20, 1927, approve a map of said Western Addition Block No. 476, prepared by the City Engineer, which map was filed by said Board of Public Works in the office of the Board of Supervisors on June 21, 1927, with the recommendation that the same be adopted and approved as the official map of said Western

Addition Block No. 476; now, therefore,

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The map prepared by the City Engineer of the City and County of San Francisco, entitled "Official Map of Western Addition Block No. 476," which map was made by City Engineer, under direction of the Board of Supervisors as per Resolution No. 26849 (New Series), approved February 21, 1927, of said Board and in accordance with the provisions of Section No. 3658a of the Political Code of the State of California, is hereby adopted as the official map of Western Addition Block No. 476 of the City and County of San Francisco, as shown thereon.

Section 2. The attention of the Board of Public Works, the City Engineer, the Assesor and the Recorder is hereby called to the provisions of this ordinance.

The Clerk of the Board of Supervisors is hereby directed to file in the office of the City and County Recorder the map of said Western Addition Block No. 476, as approved by the Board of Public Works.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Approving Map, Western Addition Block No. 478.

Also, Bill No. 8103, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Approving and adopting official map of Western Addition Block No. 478 in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California.

Whereas, the Board of Public Works, did, by Resolution No. 97812 (Second Series) of said Board, passed June 20, 1927, approve a map of said Western Addition Block No. 478, prepared by the City Engineer, which map was filed by said Board of Public Works in the office of the Board of Supervisors on June 21, 1927, with the recommendation that the same be adopted and approved as the Official Map of said Western Addition Block No. 478; now, therefore,

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The map prepared by the City Engineer of the City and County of San Francisco, entitled "Official Map of Western Addition Block No. 478." which map was made by the City Engineer, under direction of the Board of Supervisors as per Resolution No. 27343 (New Series), approved June 6, 1927, of said Board and in accordance with the provisions of Section No. 3658A of the Political Code of the State of California, is hereby adopted as the Official Map of Western Addition Block No. 478 of the City and County of San Francisco, as shown thereon.

Section 2. The attention of the Board of Public Works, the City Engineer, the Assesor and the Recorder is hereby called to the provisions of this ordinance.

The Clerk of the Board of Supervisors is hereby directed to file in the office of the City and County Recorder the map of said Western Addition Block No. 478, as approved by the Board of Public Works.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Spur Track Permits, Southern Pacific Company.

Also, Bill No. 8104, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Granting permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to Southern Pacific Company (a corporation), and The Atchison, Topeka and Santa Fe Railway Company (a corporation), to construct, maintain and operate spur tracks upon, along and across Rhode Island and Fifteenth streets in the locations hereinafter described.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, is hereby granted to Southern Pacific Company, a corporation, and The Atchison, Topeka and Santa Fe Railway Company, a corporation, to construct, maintain and operate spur tracks upon, along and across Rhode Island and Fifteenth streets in the City and County of San Francisco, the center lines of said tracks being particularly described as follows, to-wit:

Beginning at a point on the center line of an existing S. P. Co. and A. T. & S. F. track in Rhode Island street, distant easterly 10 feet from the westerly line of Rhode Island street and distant northerly 100 feet, more or less, from the northerly line of Fifteenth street; thence in a southerly direction parallel to and distant easterly 10 feet from the westerly line of Rhode Island street, crossing Fifteenth street for a distance of 430 feet, more or less; thence on a curve concave to the left to a point on the center line of said existing S. P. Co. and A. T. & S. F. track, distant westerly 7 feet, more or less, from the easterly line of Rhode Island street and distant southerly

5 feet, more or less, from the northerly line of Sixteenth street.

Also, beginning at a point on the center line of the above described track, distant southerly 40 feet, more or less, from the southerly line of Fifteenth street and distant easterly 10 feet from the westerly line of Rhode Island street; thence in a northwesterly direction through a No. 7 turnout to the left and crossing Fifteenth street to a point on the northerly line of Fifteenth street, distant westerly 3 feet, more or less, from the westerly line of Rhode Island street.

Said permission is granted subject to the provisions of Ordinance No. 69 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors, approved October 12, 1906, and the provisions and conditions of Section 8 thereof are hereby specifically contained in the permit hereby granted and shall be construed as a part hereof as completely as though the same were written in this ordinance.

Provided, that said tracks shall be laid under the supervision and to the lines and grades as furnished by the City Engineers' office, and that any and all expense connected with the installation of the tracks, restoration of the pavement and any additional requirements for the surface drainage is to be paid for by the Southern Pacific Company and The Atchison, Topeka and Santa Fe Railway Company; provided, that Southern Pacific Company and The Atchison, Topeka and Santa Fe Railway Company shall erect and maintain all-night lighted arc lamps to be placed where directed by the Lighting Committee of the Board of Supervisors.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Also, Bill No. 8105, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Granting permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to Southern Pacific Company, a corporation, to construct, maintain and operate spur tracks upon, along and across a portion of Harrison street between Seventeenth and Eighteenth streets.

Indefinite Postponement.

The following bill was presented and, on motion, *indefintely postponed*:

Spur Track Permit, The Atchison, Topeka & Santa Fe Railway Company.

Bill No. ——, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), entitled "Granting permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to The Atchison, Topeka & Santa Fe Railway Company to construct, maintain and operate a spur track across Illinois and Michigan streets in and along Twenty-third street and a short spur crossing a portion of Twenty-third street."

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Spur Track Permit, Santa Fe Railway Company.

On motion of Supervisor Marks:

Bill No. 8106, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Granting permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to The Atchison, Topeka and Santa Fe Railway Company to construct, maintain and operate spur tracks across Twenty-fifth street and in and along Minnesota street.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, is hereby granted to The Atchison, Topeka and Santa Fe Railway Company to construct, maintain and operate spur tracks as follows:

Beginning at a point in the center line of an existing track of The Atchison, Topeka and Santa Fe Railway Company in the center line of Twenty-fifth street, City and County of San Francisco, California, lying 78.84 feet easterly from the easterly line of Tennessee street; thence over and along Twenty-fifth street and crossing Tennessee and Minnesota streets on the following described courses, to-wit: Westerly on a curve to the left having a radius of 573.69 feet, a distance of 100.00 feet; thence tangent 32.07 feet; thence on a curve to the right having a radius of 573.69 feet, a distance of 100.00 feet to a point lying 71.98 feet westerly from the westerly line of said Tennessee street and 10.00 northerly from the southerly line of said Twenty-fifth street; thence westerly parallel with said southerly line of Twenty-fifth street, a distance of 50.00 feet; thence on a curve to the right, having a radius of 573.69 feet, a distance of 100.00 feet; thence tangent 32.07 feet; thence on a curve to the left 100.00 feet to a point in said existing track 72.80 feet westerly from the westerly line of said Minnesota street and in the center line of Twenty-fifth street.

Beginning at a point on the center line of proposed relocation of main track in Twenty-fifth street, City and County of San Francisco, California, lying 71.98 feet westerly from the westerly line of Tennessee street and 10.00 feet northerly from

the southerly line of Twenty-fifth street; thence over, along and crossing Twenty-fifth street and Minnesota street on the following described courses, to-wit: Westerly on a curve to the right having a radius of 235.65 feet, a distance of 50.00 feet; thence continuing northwesterly on a curve to the right having a radius of 177.79 feet, a distance of 238.02 feet to a point lying 17.95 feet easterly from the westerly line of Minnesota street and 123.11 feet northerly from the northerly line of Twenty-fifth street; thence continuing parallel with the said westerly line of Minnesota street a distance of 258.19 feet to connection with an existing track in said Minnesota street; thence along said existing track in said Minnesota street which is 17.95 feet easterly from the said westerly line of Minnesota street a distance of 335.00 feet to proposed end of said existing track; thence continuing northerly on proposed spur parallel with said westerly line of Minnesota street 149.70 feet to the southerly line of Twenty-third street produced easterly across said Minnesota street.

Also, Bill No. 8107, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Granting permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to The Atchison, Topeka and Santa Fe Railway Company to construct, maintain and operate a spur track across Illinois and Michigan streets, in and along Twenty-third street, and a short spur crossing a portion of Twenty-third street.

Covered Passageway Permit, Raphael Weil & Company.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Raphael Weil & Co., Incorporated, is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to construct and maintain a covered passageway over the existing covered passageway erected over the easterly end of Ashburton place, connecting the building on the northerly side of Ashburton place with the building on the southerly side of Ashburton place between Post street and Sutter street, the existing passageway was erected in accordance with Resolution No. 15931 (New Series), approved July 31, 1918. Provided said covered passageway shall be constructed to the satisfaction and under the supervision of the Board of Public Works in accordance with plans and specifications to be approved by said Board of Public Works.

Ordering Street Work.

Also, Bill No. 8108, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works, in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors May 11, 1927, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in twenty installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be six months from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Carolina street between Nineteenth street and Twentieth street, by grading to official line and grade and by the construction of the following: Class "A" Portland cement concrete (1:2:4 mix) in walls, copings, steps and structures, including all excavation and backfill therefor and including the furnishing and placing of concrete reinforcing steel for same; standard six (6) inch concrete curbs; artificial stone sidewalks; concrete pavement; brick manholes with appurtenances; brick catchbasins with cast iron frames, gratings and traps; storm water inlets with cast iron frame and gratings; the necessary six (6) inch ironstone pipe side-sewers; ten (10) inch ironstone pipe culverts.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Also, Bill No. 8109, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors January 11, 1927, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respecitvely, may be paid in.twenty installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be six months from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Hudson avenue between Lane street and Mendell street in front of the unsigned portions described as follows: On the southerly side of Hudson avenue from the westerly line of Lane street to a line 90 feet westerly from and parallel with Lane street, and from a line 355 feet westerly from and parallel with Lane street to a line 360 feet westerly from and parallel with Lane street; on the northerly side from a line 240 feet westerly from and parallel with Lane street to a line 480 feet westerly from and parallel with Lane street, by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of the necessary side-sewers, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and a 1½-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface, on the roadway thereof; and the improvement of the crossing of Hudson avenue and Mendell street by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks on the angular corners; by the construction of the necessary brick catchbasins with 10-inch ironstone pipe culverts, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and a 1½-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface, on the roadway thereof.

Award of Contract, General Supplies.

Supervisor Badaracco presented:

Resolution No. 27494 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of contract is hereby made for furnishing supplies in the following classes, viz.: Class 1, milk, ice cream and groceries; Class 5, fuel and gasoline; Class 6, fire brick; Class 8, lubricants; Class 10, household supplies and cordage; Class 14, photographic supplies; Class 15, drugs, medicines, chemicals, hospital appliances and surgical instruments; Class 16, street and sewer material; Class 17, drafting and engineers' supplies, that may be ordered from time to time during the fiscal year commencing July 1, 1927, and ending June 30, 1928, on bids submitted April 11, 1927 (Proposal No. 287), and tabulation thereof on file in Bureau of Supplies, which is heerby made a part hereof; said matter having heretofore received full consideration in an open public meeting of the Supplies Committee and the awards herein approved by it are now made on the following, viz.:

1—Crown Oil Company; bond required, $400.

2—Dieterich Post Company; no bond required.

3—Morck Brush Company; bond required, $500.

6—Acme Gravel Company; bond required, $500.

7—W. P. Dickey Clay Manufacturing Co.; bond required, $3,000.

9—G. Bianchini & Co.; bond required, $100.

11—Shell Company of California; bond required, $500.

12—Johnson & Johnson; bond required, $100.

13—California Rock Company; bond required, $200.

15—H. Harms Co.; bond required, $1,000.

16—Pioneer Soap Company; bond required, $500.

17—Coffin-Redington Co.; bond required, $500.

19—Rock, Sand and Gravel Sales Company; bond required, $500.

20—Dan P. Maher Paint Co.; bond required, $100.
24—California Petroleum Corporation; bond required, $5,000.
25—Justinian Caire Co.; no bond required.
26—Standard Oil Company; bond required, $1,000.
27—Alaska Cofish Company; bond required, $100.
28—Geo. A. Sheehan Co.; no bond required.
32—Industrial Solvents Corporation; bond required, $500.
33—Sharp & Dohme; bond required, $200.
34—Gladding, McBean & Co.; bond required, $300.
39—E. R. Squibb & Sons; bond required, $100.
40—Yates & Co.; no bond required.
41—Western Rock Products Co.; bond required, $1,000.
42—N. Clarke & Sons; bond required, $300.
43—Zellerbach Paper Company; bond required, $500.
44—Gaston Mitchell; bond required, $100.
46—Swift & Company; no bond required.
47—Coast Rock and Gravel Company; bond required, $500.
48—Consumers' Compressed Yeast Company; bond required, $100.
49—John F. Leinin Chemical Company; no bond required.
50—Pacific Chemical Company; bond required, $200.
51—Associated Oil Company; bond required, $100.
53—Eclipse Lime and Cement Company; bond required, $2,000.
54—Keuffel & Esser Co.; no bond required.
55—Hockwald Chemical Company; bond required, $300.
57—Braun-Knecht-Heimann Co.; bond required, $200.
58—Easterday Supply Company; bond required, $100.
59—Associated Gravel Company; bond required, $500.
60—Lindauer & Co.; bond required, $100.
62—John Cassaretto; bond required, $300.
63—Golden Eagle Soap Company; no bond required.
64—General Soap Company; bond required, $100.
65—H. C. Hacke; bond required, $200.
68—United States Rubber Company; no bond required.
69—Crescent Oil Company; bond required, $3,000.
70—Dunham, Carrigan & Hayden;; no bond required.
71—H. K. Mulford Co.; bond required, $500.
73—Linde Air Products Co.; no bond required.
76—Remillard Brick Company; bond required, $500.
77—Jos. Gutradt Co.; bond required, $500.
78—Galena Signal Oil Company; no bond required.
79—The Pennzoil Company; bond required, $100.
80—General Chemical Company; bond required, $100.
82—Eugene Dietzgen Co.; no bond required.
84—Tea Garden Products Company; bond required, $500.
86—Pacific Gas and Electric Company; bond required, $100.
88—Levenson Company; bond required, $200.
91—Blake, Moffitt & Towne; bond required, $500.
92—Ralph Pugh Co.; bond required, $100.
93—Jules D. Steen; bond required, $100.
96—A. Lietz Co.; no bond required.
97—Herbert F. Dugan; bond required, $1,000.
98—Baker, Hamilton Pacific Co.; bond required, $100.
99—California Supply Company; no bond required.
100—A. Ginocchio & Son; bond required, $900.
103—Building Supplies Company; bond required, $500.
104—Sanitary Towel Supply Company; bond required, $100.
105—National Ice Cream Company; bond required, $500.
106—Bode Gravel Company; bond required, $500.
107—California Pottery Company; bond required, $1,000.
108—Henry Cowell Lime and Cement Company: no bond required.
110—E. A. Bennett & Company; no bond required.
112—San Francisco Dairy Company; bond required, $2,000.
113—General Petroleum Corporation; bond required, $100.
114—City Coal Company; bond required, $3,000.
116—National Ice and Cold Storage Company; bond required, $500.
117—H. A. Metz Laboratories, Inc.; no bond required.
118—Union Oil Company; bond required, $500.
124—Travers Surgical Company; bond required, $200.

Note: All above awards are made to the lowest bidder except when award is made in consideration of deliveries or account of the quality as determined by such tests as re-

quired or recommended by the Purchaser of Supplies.

Resolved, That all other bids submitted thereon be rejected.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

RECESS.

At 12:15 p. m. the Board took a recess until 2 p. m.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk.

REASSEMBLED—2 P. M.

The Board of Supervisors reassembled at 2 p. m.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton —16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Todd —2.

Quorum present.

His Honor Mayor Rolph presiding.

PRESENTATION OF PROPOSALS.

School and Water Bonds.

Sealed proposals for the purchase of certain bonds of the City of San Francisco, State of California, were received by the Board of Supervisors up to the hour of 3 o'clock p. m. on Monday, July 11, 1927, and opened by said Board at said time.

The bonds offered are described as follows:

One million dollar five per cent school bonds, issue of March 1, 1923, comprising 25 bonds of $1,000 denomination, maturing each year from 1928 to 1967, inclusive.

Two million dollar five per cent Hetch Hetchy Water Bonds, issue of January 1, 1925, comprising 50 bonds of $1,000 denomination of each year's maturity, 1930 to 1969, inclusive.

The said described bonds bear interest at the rate of five per centum per annum, payable semi-annually, and shall not be sold at a price less than the par value thereof, together with accrued interest thereon at date of delivery.

Bidders may bid for the whole or any part of the bonds here offered, and when a less amount of the whole amount offered is bid on, the bidder shall state the year or years of maturity thereof.

The bonds offered are tax exempt, State and Federal.

Delivery of the bonds to the purchaser will be made within ten days from the date of award, or within such time thereafter as may be agreed upon by the purchaser and Finance Committee of the Board of Supervisors.

All proposals for the purchase of such bonds shall be accompanied by a deposit of five per cent of the amount bid, in lawful money of the United States, or by a deposit of a certified check, payable to J. S. Dunnigan, Clerk of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County, for a like sum, provided that no deposit need exceed the sum of $10,000, and that no deposit need be given by the State of California, which money or check shall be forfeited by the bidder in case he fails to accept and pay for the bonds bid for by him if his bid is accepted.

The approval of John C. Thomson, attorney, New York, as to the legality of these bonds is on file in the Clerk's office.

This notice was given pursuant to the direction of a resolution of the Board of Supervisors adopted June 13, 1927.

Bids.

The bids received are as follows, and were read and *referred to the Finance Committee*:

$1,000,000 School, 1923, 5 per cent bonds.

$2,000,000 Hetch Hetchy Water, 1925, 5 per cent bonds.

Bids received:

(1) All—$3,219,297. The National City Co., Old Colony Corporation, New York; Dean, Witter & Co.; William Cavalier & Co.; Heller, Bruce & Co.; California Securities Co., Los Angeles; Bond & Goodwin & Tucker. (By the National City Co.)

(2) All—$3,194,097. Blyth, Witter & Co., for Guaranty Co. of New York Syndicate.

(3) All — $3,213,397. Bankers Trust Co., Harris Trust & Savings Bank, R. H. Moulton & Co., Anglo-California Trust Co., American National Co., Security Co. (By R. H. Moulton & Co., syndicate managers.)

(4) All—$3,232,255. Anglo, London-Paris Co.; First National Bank, New York; Eldredge & Co.; Kissel, Kinnicutt & Co., Redmond & Co.;

The Detroit Co.; Bank of Italy. (By Anglo, London-Paris Co.)

(5) All—$3,210,900. Halsey, Stuart & Co., Inc.; A. G. Becker & Co., Geo. B. Gibbons & Co., R. W. Pressprich & Co., Crocker First National Bank, E. H. Rollins & Sons. (By Halsey, Stuart & Co., Inc., syndicate managers.)

Appropriation, $192,000, for the Purchase of Ten New Cars for the Municipal Railway.

Supervisor McSheehy presented: Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $192,000 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of Municipal Railway "old" Depreciation Fund for the purchase of ten new cars for the use of the Municipal Railways.

Privilege of the Floor.

Adolph Uhl was granted the privilege of the floor and opposed the resolution on the ground that the Market Street Railway Company was purchasing cars at a much lower figure.

N. Eckart, Assistant City Engineer, was also heard. He declared that although the Municipal cars cost more they were very much better constructed and more durable.

Mr. Van Deleur, representing Carmen's Union, pointed out the superior character of the municipal car and spoke particularly of the advantage of the emergency air tank with which, he said, it is equipped.

Mr. Becker spoke for Balboa street extension.

John Calish and *Norman Wells* urged the adoption of the resolution.

Passed for Printing.

Whereupon, the foregoing resolution was *passed for printing* by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Todd —2.

Report of Streets Committee on Street Reconstruction.

In accordance with request made by Supervisor Gallagher at morning session the following was presented by Supervisor Marks and ordered *spread in the record*:

San Francisco, July 11, 1927.

To the Honorable Board of Supervisors.

Gentlemen:

Your Committee on Streets and Commercial Development submits herewith the following list of streets for reconstruction, as included in the 1927-1928 Budget:

Broadway, Columbus to Montgomery (widening)	$4,000
Clay street, Taylor to Jones	5,400
California street, Van Ness to Hyde (widening)	9,000
California street, Hyde to Jones (widening and paving)	12,000
California street, Mason to Jones (resurface and widening)	7,000
Drumm street, Jackson to Pacific	5,000
Ellis street, Polk to Van Ness avenue	4,000
Fillmore street, Broadway to Green	7,000
Filbert street, Hyde to Leavenworth	5,000
Hayes street, Franklin to Laguna (widening)	8,000
Haight street, Scott to Baker	13,700
Haight street, Buchanan to Scott (widening)	10,560
Jackson street, Stockton to Powell	4,500
Leavenworth street, Columbus avenue to Jefferson	4,000
Polk street, Broadway to Vallejo	4,000
Stockton street, Lombard to Bay	12,000
Sutter street, Broderick to Presidio avenue	12,500
Steiner street, Haight to Oak	12,000
Steiner street, Grove to Fulton	3.500
Taylor street, Green to Lombard	15,000
Twenty-fifth street, Fair Oaks to Dolores	3,500
Twenty-second street, Church to Dolores	6,500
Valparaiso street, Mason to Taylor	3,600
	$171,760

County Road Fund.

Beale street, Mission to The Embarcadero (resurfacing)	$18,000
Davis street, Pacific to Broadway	4,000
Fourth street, Market to Mission	10,000
Larkin street, California to Jackson	7,600
Larkin street, Pacific to Union	16,000
Presidio avenue, Geary to California (widening)	10,000

Pine street, Leavenworth to Taylor (widening)	5,000
Roosevelt way	50,000
Seventh street, Mission to Brannan (resurface)	17,000
	$137,600
Grand total	$309,360

Respectfully submitted,
MILTON MARKS.
J. B. BADARACCO.

Extension of Time Limit for Dog Licenses.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

San Francisco, Cal.,
July 11, 1927.

Hon. Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen:

Requests have been made of me that a couresy which, in previous years, has been extended to the owners of dogs be again extended this year by official action of your Honorable Board.

The time limit for securing the new dog licenses expires July 15. So many dog owners are out of the City on vacations that there is a strong possibility that there will develop a situation such as has developed in previous years—whereby dogs for which licenses will be purchased are placed in the pound during their owners' absence.

I respectfully recommend to your Honorable Board that you officially extend the time limit for new dog licenses to August 1, as you have previously done, and I am sure that the owners of pets will appreciate the consideration shown them.

Very respectfully,
JAMES ROLPH, JR.,
Mayor.

Motion.

Supervisor Hayden moved that the request be complied with.

So ordered.

Street Lights.

Supervisor Stanton presented:

Resolution No. 27474 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Pacific Gas and Electric Company be and it is hereby authorized and requested to install the following street lights:

Install 250 *C. P. O. B.*

Northeast corner Sloat boulevard and Thirty-fourth avenue.

West side Thirty-fourth avenue, 174 feet north of Sloat boulevard.

Northeast corner Yorba street and Thirty-fourth avenue.

West side Thirty-fourth avenue, 277 feet north of Yorba street.

South side Yorba street, 177 feet east of Thirty-fourth avenue.

Install 400 *M. R.*

Jerrold avenue and Napoleon street.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF EQUALIZATION.

On motion of Supervisor Kent the Board of Supervisors resolved itself into a Board of Equalization for the purpose of hearing all applicants who have filed sworn applications for the correction or reduction of assessments in the assessment book of real and personal property for the fiscal year 1927-1928, or those who, after notification of arbitrary assessment made against them, had filed sworn statements of the personal property owned by them or in their possession on the first Monday of March, 1927.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors noted present:

Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Quorum present.

Supervisor Gallagher presiding.

Consideration of Applications for Reduction or Correction of Assessments on the Assessment Roll for 1927-1928.

The following applications for reduction or correction of assessments were taken up, the applicants or their agents called, duly sworn, examined and after due consideration said applications were disposed of as follows:

Name.	Prop.	Lot	Blk.	Assmt.	Cash Val.	Action
1. Bacigalupi, K.	Imp.	24	462-A	$4,900	$3,000	Advisement
2. Scandrett, Ida C.	R. E.	7	1227	1,870	1,300	Advisement
3. Fitschen, Frances	Imp.	18	3717	3,000	2,000	Advisement
4. Quist, Lerme	Imp.	24	5720	1,150	900	Advisement

5. Biber, Geo. V., et al..Imp.	7	282	51,700	45,000	Advisement
6. Anderson, O. E.....Imp.	1-H				
	1-I	499	24,000	20,000	Advisement
7. Petrie, J. .....Imp.	6	1051	1,250	1,000	Advisement
8. Narbebury, J.....Imp.	8	6796	1,200	800	Advisement
9. Ross, George .....Imp.	78	3602	6,000	5,200	Advisement
10. Baptiste, Mrs. I.....Imp.	32	1518	1,700	1,400	Advisement
11. Hartman, Annie.....Imp.	5	1010	2,300	8,000	Advisement
12. Pohl, F. J.....Imp.	11	1053	3,850	3,200	Ref. Ass'r
13. Robertson, Carrie T..R. E.	9	2335-A	290	.....	
	10	2335-A	290	.....	
	11	2335-A	290	.....	
	12	2335-A	290	.....	
	13	2335-A	290	.....	
	14	2335-A	290	.....	Advisement
14. Meyer, Wm. M.....Imp.	16	793	2,400	2,000	Advisement
15. Burke, T. Harold....Imp.	21	1830	2,000	1,600	Advisement
16. Van Clay Builders, Inc.Imp.	1	623	100,000	nil	Ref. Ass'r
17. Pasquale, Edward V..Imp.	7	292	4,500	.....	Ref. Ass's
18. Cypress Lawn Cemetery Assn. .....Imp.	7	340	.....	.....	Advisement
19. Bonde, Abbie L.....Imp.	12	3638	2,800	1,600	Advisement
20. Torpey, Katherine....Imp.	88	1269	2,100	1,700	Ref. Ass'r
21. Torpey, Katherine....R. E.	88	1269	1,460	900	Advisement
22. Koremer, Mrs. H. H..Imp.	16	278	13,000	10,000	Advisement
23. Rentschler, Amelia...Imp.	3	753	16,100	14,000	Advisement
24. Goldstein, B. .....Imp.	16	1184	6,000	4,500	Advisement
25. Flood, Dr. R. G.....Imp.	1-B	943	5,200	4,500	Ref. Ass'r
26. Kupper, Theodore....Imp.	28	1463	2,400	2,000	Advisement
27. De Lucchi, Josephine.Imp.	9	1470	2,200	1,800	Advisement
28. Stone, Joseph.....Imp.	10	774	10,000	7,500	Advisement
29. Duvares, James .....Imp.	15	1651	50,000	40,000	Ref. Ass'r
30. Paston, Aima .....Imp.	3	522	15,000	10,000	Advisement
31. McCormick, Mary E..Imp.	11	636	2,050	.....	Advisement
32. Jackson, Jacob .....Imp.	25	247	8,000	6,000	.....
33. Stadelmann, Emma C.Imp.	23	630	16,500	12,000	Ref. Ass'r
34. Hamilton, R. G.....Imp.	16	214	11,500	500	Ref. Ass'r
35. Contival, L. J. .....Imp.	39-B	1443	3,600	3,000	Advisement
36. Soulie, B. .....Imp.	107	3758	600	300	Advisement

ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business, the Board at the hour of 6:10 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors, November 7, 1927.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated, and approved as above recited.

JOHN S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors.
City and County of San Francisco.

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Vol. 22—New Series No. 29

Monday, July 18, 1927

Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
337 Bush Street, S. F.

JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, JULY 18, 1927, 10 A. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, July 18, 1927, 10 a. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Hayden—2.

Quorum present.

His Honor Mayor Rolph presiding.

APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the meeting of March 7, 1927, was considered read and approved.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF EQUALIZATION.

The Board of Supervisors met pursuant to adjournment to sit as a Board of Equalization for the purpose of hearing all applicants who had filed sworn applications for the correction or reduction of assessments in the Assessment Book of Real and Personal Property for the year 1927-28, or those who, after notification of arbitrary assessment made against them, had filed sworn statements of the personal property owned by them, or in their possession on the first Monday in March, 1927.

Consideration of Applications.

Application for the reduction or correction of assessments were taken up, the applicants or their agents called, duly sworn, examined and after consideration of said applications were disposed of as follows:

Name.	Prop.	Lot	Blk.	Assmt.	Cash Val.	Action
37. Wobber, George F....	Imp.	13	2424	1,700	1,300	Advisement
38. Woolf, E.	Imp.	1-E	497	5,200	4,200	Advisement
39. O'Leary, Timothy	Imp.	7	672	17,500	15,000	Advisement
40. Tronin, Theodore	Imp.	67	3583	1,200	1,000	Advisement
40½. Nathhorst, Anita...	R.E.	22	323	13,760	10,000	Advisement
41. McCourt, H. J.....	Imp.	12	286	22,000	17,500	Advisement
41½. Nathhorst, Anita...	Imp.	22	323	47,500	35,000	Advisement
42. Treacy, E. J.	R. E.	1-50	6667	5,340	2,500	Ref. Ass'r
43. Hoch, Frank	Imp.	19	1536	2,500	2,000	Advisement
44. Lobianco, Jos.	Imp.	17	5651	3,000	2,000	Ref. Ass'r
45. Brannan, Mrs. Alice..	Imp.	46	1376	2,500	1,500	Ref. Ass'r
46. Horan, Atherton L...	Imp.	3	1002	3,000	2,500	.....
47. Liessen, G.	Imp.	21	3577	2,500	1,800	Ref. Ass'r
48. Liessen, G.	Imp.	25-36	2045	3,200	3,200	Ref. Ass'r
49. Holl, Edmund J.....	Imp.	11-A	541	12,500	10,000	Ref. Ass'r
50. Conlon, T. P.	Imp.	1	1188	11,500	9,000	Advisement
51. Ort, Lottie M.	R. E.	2	191	1,890	1,500	Advisement
52. Southerland, C.	Imp.	31	1080	6,000	4,000	Ref. Ass'r
53. Klein, Dorette	Imp.	27	1211	5,800	4,000	Ref. Ass'r
54. Hansen, Mathilde J. G.	Imp.	7	3534	4,880	.....	Ref. Ass'r
55. Hansen, N. M.....	Imp.	1-8	3577	12,000	10,500	Advisement
56. Roberts, G. A., et al..	Imp.	21	1028	24,180	nil	.....
57. Allyne, Edith.....	Imp.	5	646	28,000	20,000	Ref. Ass'r
58. Jacob. Andrew A.	Imp.	1	623	50,000	5,000	.....
59. Fazackerley, M. T....	Imp.	21	3542	700	nil	.....
60. Williams, Geo.	R. E.	2	3272	1,670	1,490	Advisement
61. Moss, Carmela G.....	Imp.	6-A	6594	6,000	5,000	Advisement
62. Hansen, Minnie.....	R. E.	9	2347	2,700	2,000	Advisement

Name.	Prop.	Lot	Blk.	Assmt.	Cash Val.	Action
63. Stunrud, Rev. E. M.	Imp.	27	3614	6,240	nil	.....
64. Ham, L. B.	Imp.	9	327	92,800	5,000	.....
65. Smith, Geo. D.....	R. E.	2	255	172,500	.....	Advisement
66. Smith, Geo. D.	Imp.	2	255	890,000	.....	Advisement
67. Maffei, Fiore	Imp.	29	62	4,500	4,000	Advisement
68. Kant, Frederick W...	Imp.	8	513	6,200	5,000	Ref. Ass'r
69. O'Rourke, L. B.	Imp.	14	1220	2,000	1,500	Advisement
70. Salvation Army.....	Imp.	25	3752	4,000	2,000	Bd. of E.
71. Salvation Army.....	Imp.	22	3752	1,300	650	Bd. of E.
72. Salvation Army.....	Imp.	21	3752	2,000	1,000	Bd. of E.
73. Salvation Army.....	Imp.	20	3752	13,000	8,000	Bd. of E.
74. Kellar, A. B.....	Imp.	12	1157	4,300	3,000	Ref. Ass'r
75. Fitschen, Frances....	R. E.	18	3717	15,940	12,000	Ref. Ass'r
76. Mullen, Louise	Imp.	14-15	2612	3,400	2,000	Advisement
77. Crowley, Margaret ...	Imp.	8	6602	1,500	1,300	Ref. Ass'r
78. Houser, Mary A.....	Imp.	41	2658	650	250	Advisement
79. Radgesky, Helen E. and Marcelle	Imp.	11	1236	5,000	nil	Ref. Ass'r
80. Guisti, S. A.....	R. E.	6-7	113	6,120	5,000	
		29	113	110	110	
		9	113	100	100	
		8	113	3,350	2,500	Ref. Ass'r
81. Walter Walsh Estate.	R.E.	2	3736	2,820	1,820	Bd. of E.
82. Springett, Thos. W...	R.E.	32	4148	1,940	nil	Advisement
83. Springett, Thos. W...	Imp.	32	4148	3,400	nil	Advisement
84. Cattori, Claudio	R.E.	26-33	5355	1,460	1,200	Advisement
85. Carson, Catherine C..	Imp.	2	281	17,200	16,000	Ref. Ass'r
86. MacDonald, Eliza M..	Imp.	12	630	1,700	1,000	Ref. Ass'r
87. Blake, Carrie F.....	Imp.	8-A	440-A	8,000	2,000	Ref. Ass'r

Motion.

Supervisor McSheehy moved that the arbitrary list be increased 25 per cent.

Motion *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Hayden, Shannon—3.

Motion.

Supervisor McSheehy moved that the Assessor's report on all matters referred to him be approved.

Motion *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Hayden, Shannon—3.

Motion.

Supervisor McSheehy moved that the Assessor's clerical error list be adopted.

Motion *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Hayden, Shannon—3.

Motion.

Supervisor McSheehy moved that all matters taken under advisement be denied.

Motion *carired* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Hayden, Shannon—3.

Dedication of Third Street Fire House.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication, from Edw. J. Taaffe, chairman of the Industrial Committee, inviting attendance at dedication of fire house, Engine No. 11, on Third street, adjacent to the Islais Creek drawbridge, on Tuesday evening, July 19, 1927, at 8 p. m.

Invitation *accepted*.

Reception to Imperial Japanese Training Squadron.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 27498 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That his Honor the Mayor be and he is hereby authorized and requested to appoint a suitable citizens' committee to provide for the reception and entertainment of the Imperial Japanese Training Squadron which will be in this port from July 29 to August 4, 1927.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Superivsors Bath, Hayden, Shannon—3.

Mayor to Appoint Citizens' Committee on Lindbergh Reception.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

San Francisco, Cal.,
July 15, 1927.

Honorable Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen:

I have been officially informed by Mr. Harry F. Guggenheim, president of The Daniel Guggenheim Fund for the Promotion of Aeronautics, Inc., 598 Madison avenue, New York City, that Colonel Charles A. Lindbergh will shortly undertake a tour of the United States in his New York-Paris airplane, "Spirit of St. Louis," and that he will visit San Francisco on or about September 16.

I will appreciate it if your Honorable Board will, by proper motion. authorize me as Mayor to appoint a Citizens' Committee to handle the details of the welcome which this City should and will extend to Colonel Lindbergh.

Respectfully yours,
JAMES ROLPH, JR.,
Mayor.

Whereupon, the following resolution was presented and *adopted*:

Resolution No. 27497 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That his Honor the Mayor be and he is hereby requested to appoint a suitable citizens' committee to arrange for the reception and entertainment of Col. Charles A. Lindberg, the famous American Trans-Atlantic Ace, who will be in San Francisco on or about September 16, 1927.

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Hayden, Shannon—3.

Direct Taxes by Board of Education.

Supervisor Havenner moved that Mayor Rolph call a conference between the Supervisors and the Board of Education to reach, if possible, a "permanent fiscal policy" in view of the "expressed intention of the Board of Education to levy a special tax for schools."

Supervisor Gallagher seconded the motion with the statement that "the Education Board is embarking on a dangerous policy if it raises taxation in San Francisco, and had better move slowly.

The Mayor announced that he would call the proposed conference at his office for Thursday evening at 8 o'clock.

RECESS.

At 12:15 p. m. the Board took a recess until 2 p. m.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk.

REASSEMBLED—2 P. M.

The Board of Supervisors reassembled at 2 p. m.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Hayden—2.

Quorum present.

His Honor Mayor Rolph presiding.

ROLL CALL FOR PETITIONS FROM MEMBERS.

Leave of Absence, John Hermann, Member of Board of Election Commissioners.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

San Francisco, Cal.,
July 14, 1927.

Hon. Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen:

Application has been made to me by the Honorable John Hermann, member of the Board of Election Commissioners, for a leave of absence, with permission to absent himself from the State of California for a period of thirty (30) days, commencing July 16, 1927.

Will you please concur with me in granting this leave of absence?

Respectfully yours,
JAMES ROLPH, JR.,
Mayor.

Whereupon, the following resolution was presented and *adopted* by the following vote:

Resolution No. 27532 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Hon. John Hermann, member of the Board of Election Commissioners, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of thirty days, commencing July 16, 1927, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—12.

Absent—Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Deasy, Hayden, Shannon—6.

Leave of Absence, William P. McCabe, Member of Board of Fire Commissioners.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

San Francisco, Cal.,
July 15, 1927.

Hon. Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen:

Application has been made to me by the Hon. William P. McCabe, member of the Board of Fire Commissioners, for a leave of absence, with permission to absent himself from the State of California for a period of thirty (30) days, commencing August 1, 1927.

Will you please concur with me in granting this leave of absence?

Very sincerely yours,
JAMES ROLPH, JR.,
Mayor.

Whereupon, the following resolution was presented and *adopted* by the following vote:

Resolution No. 27533 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Hon. William P. McCabe, member of the Board of Fire Commissioners, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of thirty days, commencing August 1, 1927, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—12.

Absent—Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Deasy, Hayden, Shannon—6.

Report of Special Bridge Committee.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

July 18, 1927.

To the Honorable Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Gentlemen:

Your special committee appointed to present San Francisco's plans for a bay bridge crossing to the War Department of the United States, begs to submit the following report:

The Committee, consisting of his Honor Mayor James Rolph, Jr., City Attorney John J. O'Toole, City Engineer M. M. O'Shaughnessy, Consulting Engineer John D. Galloway, Supervisors Harrelson, Havenner and McSheehy, and Daniel E. Doran, secretary of the Committee, left San Francisco on June 16th, arriving in the City of Washington on June 20th, where they were met by Engineer Robert Ridgway of New York, one of the consulting bridge engineers heretofore retained by the City.

A hearing had been arranged before the Board of Army Engineers for Tuesday morning, June 21st. Major-General Deakyne of the Board of Army Engineers presided at the hearing. His Honor Mayor Rolph and Supervisors Havenner and McSheehy presented the general facts of the City's case to the Board, while City Attorney O'Toole reviewed the matter from a legal standpoint, and Supervisor Harrelson, Engineers O'Shaughnessy, Galloway and Ridgway presented the engineering features of the project, and Secretary Doran presented such documentary evidence as the Committee had to present. A full stenographic report of the presentation is herewith attached and copies will hereafter be sent to all members of the Board. While General Deakyne naturally declined to make any statement which might be termed to be a declaration of policy on the part of his Department, we have every reason to believe that the presentation made by the Committee made a most favorable impression upon him. He complimented the Committee upon the clear, concise and orderly manner in which the case of the City had been presented, and stated that he felt that the City was entitled to be advised at a very early date as to the position of the War Department in the premises.

On the following day the Committee was able to arrange for an appointment with the Hon. Curtis D. Wilbur, the Secretary of the Navy, and a number of admirals who are

serving as heads of the different bureaus in his department. A copy of the stenographic report of the presentation made to General Deakyne was presented to the Secretary, and Supervisor Harrelson and Engineers O'Shaughnessy, Galloway and Ridgway presented the technical and engineering features of the bridge. At the conclusion of the presentation by the engineers, the other members of the Committee presented the general features of our Bay Bridge plans to the Secretary and to his admirals, in which presentation their attention was directed to the very substantial incrcease in bay shipping during the past ten years, as well as to the fact that each day the ferryboats make 850 trips over and across the Bay, many of which trips would be obviated if a bridge could be constructed as outlined in the present plans of the City, thereby giving to some 65,000 commuters each day the privilege of traveling between Oakland and San Francisco by way of the bridge rather than by ferryboats. From the general discussion, which was had at this meeting, we realized that the Navy Department would, in all probability, register a strong objection to the granting of permission for the construction of a bridge as outlined in Location No. 1, selected by the Bridge Engineers. Admiral E. W. Eberle, Chief of the Bureau of Naval Operations, was particualrly antagonistic to the City's plans, and seemed to have but one thought in mind and that was that San Francisco Bay should be reserved for the Navy of the United States as a place of rendevouz in war time, and that although the possibility of war was remote, the apparent need of the Navy in time of war was to him superior to any benefit that San Francisco or Alameda County might sustain in the time of peace by the construction of a bay bridge. The ever increasing need of rapid transportation between the two communities was called to his attention, but these needs in his mind were at all times secondary to the possible needs of the Navy in the time of war, which war might never occur. Subsequent developments have shown us that the Secretary of Navy has given more heed to the objections of his admirals than he did to the arguments made by your Committee, for on July 13th he advised the Secretary of War that his department was opposed to the construction of the bridge.

Following the hearings before the War Department and the Navy Department, your Committee held a conference in their quarters in the Willard Hotel, and agreed to inaugurate a campaign of education, with a view of calling the attention of the secretaries of the different departments, and, if necessary, the President himself, to the fact that a serious mistake would be made if the attitude of the Navy Department, as indicated by the remarks of Admiral Eberle, was to be made the basis of a decision of the City's application for leave to construct a bridge. With this thought in mind, his Honor the Mayor, the City Attorney and Supervisors McSheehy and Havenner again called upon Secretary Wilbur, and upon Secretary of the Interior Works, and Secretary of Commerce Hoover. The Committee was most graciously received and attentively listened to by these Secretaries, and both Secretary Works and Secretary Hoover expressed themselves as being convinced as to the necessity of a bridge at the location asked for by the City. Secretary Hoover, being a resident of California and having a deep interest in the San Francisco Bay communities, showed a very marked interest in the City's plans and promised them his full support.

The following day, a committee consisting of Supervisors Havenner, Harrelson and McSheehy, City Attorney O'Toole and Secretary Doran called upon the editors of the several daily papers and news associations in the City of Washington, and presented in detail the plans of San Francisco for the construction of a bridge. The different editors were much interested in the plans which we presented and promised their support in so far as the editorial columns of their various papers might be concerned. Supervisor Havenner, by reason of his newspaper experience, supervised this particular portion of the campaign. Editorials, suggested by Mr. Havenner, appeared in the Washington papers and in many of the other papers of the surrounding cities which received the matter from the various news associations. These various editorials undoubtedly had a very considerable influence upon the different governmental departments in the City of Washington, and in addition thereto, they called the attention of many millions of people to the increase in the population of our Bay cities and the plans

which San Francisco had outlined for the purpose of handling the ever increasing transportation problems between the different communities about the Bay.

While this campaign of publicity was progressing, the Senators of California, as well as its Congressional representatives, the Chamber of Commerce, Down Town Association and other civic bodies of San Francisco, by telegram, urged the granting of our requested permit. This evidence of San Francisco being united upon our Bay Bridge plan undoubtedly made a very favorable impression upon the governmental departments interested in the matter, and we take this opportunity of expressing our sincere appreciation for the very earnest support given to our cause by the press of the country, particularly San Francisco daily papers, and by our Senators and Representatives and civic bodies. Copies of the editorial utterances of the various newspapers were forwarded by the secretary of our Committee to both the Secretary of Navy and the Secretary of War.

On our arrival in the City of Washington we found that the Secretary of War Davis was absent from the city and that he would not return until Friday, June 24th. On this day a delegation from the Committee called on Secretary Davis and informally presented our plans to him and thanked him for the careful attention given to us by the Board of Army Engineers. The Secretary was quite sympathetic in his attitude and listened very attentively to our presentation, stating, however, that the War and Navy Departments were very closely allied, and that naturally considerable attention would have to be paid to any recommendation coming from the latter department, and he advised our delegation to lose no opportunity which might tend to secure from the Navy Department a favorable recommendation.

On Saturday morning, June 25th, Supervisor McSheehy, the chairman of our Committee, again called on Secretary Wilbur, with whom he had been on most friendly terms for many years, and presented our final argument from a layman's standpoint to the Secretary. The Secretary agreed that Admiral Gregory would again meet with Supervisor Harrelson and discuss the matter from an engineering standpoint, and on Monday and Tuesday of the following week Supervisor Harrelson had the opportunity of again presenting to Admirals Gregory and Eberle additional engineering features regarding the bridge as outlined in San Francisco's plans.

On Saturday, June 25th, Supervisor McSheehy and City Attornev O'Toole left for Boston, New York and Philadelphia, with a view of investigating recently constructed bridges and other civic improvements, while Supervisors Harrelson and Havenner and Secretary Doran were detailed to remain in Washington to furnish any information that might be asked for by the two departments which were considering the permit for our bridge. While in Boston the representatives of our Committee were accorded the opportunity, through the courtesy of City Clerk James Donovan, of explaining our mission to the Board of Councilmen of the City of Boston, a courtesy which we duly appreciated, for only on two occasions in many years have outsiders been permitted the privilege of the floor in this council chamber. Through the courtesy of Mr. Donovan, an automobile was placed at the disposal of the delegation from your Committee, which gave it the opportunity of viewing the wonderful improvements which are being made in this great city of the East.

Supervisor McSheehy made a particular study of the new City Hospital which is costing eight millions of dollars and is now in the course of construction. The public park system of the City represents a marvelous example of community development, and its community centers, all of Class A construction, costing a million dollars each, attest the careful consideration given to social welfare problems. These centers have recreational and social activities of every kind and character from well stocked libraries to swimming pools and athletic fields.

Upon the arrival of your Committee in New York, it was met by Engineer Robert Ridgway, who made it possible for us to investigate in a most thorough manner the method under which traffic is supervised and handled in this great metropolis. Under Mr. Ridgway's direction the subway system is being rapidly extended from the downtown to the outlying districts, thereby affording a means of rapid transit to the less congested portions of the city. At the present time they have under way a program calling for the construction

of 57 miles of subway, costing nearly six hundred million dollars. About one-third of this work is completed, and it will take about four years before the entire project is finished. The construction of the Holland Tubes, connecting New York and New Jersey under the Hudson River, have been practically completed, and within the next few months will be opened for vehicular traffic. These tubes are one and three-quarters miles long, twenty feet wide and fourteen feet high, and when completed will have cost forty-eight million dollars.

Supervisor McSheehy made a careful investigation of the garbage situation, and as to the manner in which it is disposed of. He personally visited the Decari Incinerator, which handles some four hundred tons of garbage daily. He was greatly impressed with the magnitude of the plant and its cleanliness. It had all the outward appearances of an ordinary factory, and until one had the opportunity of examining the interior of the building, he would be under the impression that the building was one of many factories situated in the particular neighborhood. There are three such incinerators used in the city and a large part of the garbage is disposed of by dumping the same at sea.

A careful investigation was made by Supervisor McSheehy and City Attorney O'Toole of four bridges spanning East River. These bridges are all owned by the city and accommodate an ever-increasing flow of traffic. The same Committee also inspected the Camden Bridge connecting the City of Philadelphia with the City of Camden over the Delaware River. This bridge embodies the last word in bridge construction. It is owned and controlled by the States of Pennsylvania and New Jersey. It has a length of one and one-eighth miles and a width of 85 feet. Its main span has a length of 1,750 feet and a clearance of 135 feet above the water. Its cost was approximately thirty-eight millions of dollars and three years were occupied in its construction. It was financed by a bond issue of the State of Pennsylvania, the City of Philadelphia and the State of New Jersey. The average traffic over the bridge is 23,000 automobiles per day. A toll of 25 cents per auto is charged, which produces an income of approximately $6,000 per day. The total income of the bridge for the year which had just ended on the day of our inspection was $2,100,000, which was far in excess of the amount anticipated at the time the bridge was constructed.

City Attorney O'Toole also investigated the matter of the financing of bridge construction by the port authorities of the State of New York and the State of New Jersey. The State of New York and the State of New Jersey have by the adoption of appropriate laws, provided for port districts, and these districts have authority to construct bridges between the two states. In the two bridges now under construction by the port authorities, each state has contributed the sum of five million dollars towards the construction of the bridges, and the balance of the cost price of the bridges is met by the issuance of bonds, the only security for the bonds being the pledging of the revenues of the bridge to the same extent as they might be pledged by a private corporation who might obtain authority to construct and operate the same. In discussing the matter with the city's bond attorneys, Messrs. Thompson, Wood & Hoffman, in New York, Supervisor Havenner was informed that while the bridges now under construction were partially financed by contributions from the two States, that a bridge could be financed by a bond issue which would take care of the entire cost of its construction and without any aid from either of the States.

While in the City of Chicago, Supervisor McSheehy made a particular study and inspection of the driveway known as "Wacker Drive." This drive represents a double deck street, the lower deck being used for street cars and heavy traffic, while the upper deck is used for the more rapidly moving vehicular traffic. In view of the ever-increasing traffic on Market street between The Embarcadero and Valencia street, it appearaed to Supervisor McSheehy that a similar plan might be an ideal way of handling the traffic on this particular street. Such a plan would avoid the necessitiy of constructing a subway or interfering with the surface tracks or sub-surface pipes and conduits on Market street and would permit the present grade of Market street to be used by street cars and heavy traffic, while the construction of the upper deck, which in most cases would be on the line of the present second story of the buildings along the street, could handle pedestrian and the lighter vehicular traffic. Your Committee believes that this matter should be given careful study by our Engineering Department, and a report made to this

Board as to the feasibility of such a plan.

Since our Committee left the City of Washington, Secretary Davis of the War Department has informally expressed himself as being favorable to the Bay Bridge plans of the City, but unfortunately, Secretary Wilbur of the Navy Department has advised the War Department that the Navy Department cannot give its consent to the modification of the former ruling of the War Department as to the location of a bay bridge. Your Committee is hopeful that notwithstanding the objections of Secretary Wilbur, that Secretary of War Davis will view the matter in a broader light and realize that the benefit which would flow to the bay communities from the construction of our bridge would far overshadow any inconvenience which might be suffered by the Navy Department, and make his ruling accordingly. However, if the action of the War Department is to be determined by the recommendation of the Navy Department, we would recommend that San Francisco make a direct appeal to the coming session of Congress, to the end that we may be granted the privilege of uniting two bay communities by a bridge at a point that will best serve the interests of each of them.

In concluding our report, we feel that the trip made by your Committee has been a most successful one and will be of benefit to San Francisco not only in matters pertaining to the construction of a bridge, but also in the solving of many of the important problems which are now engaging the attention of the large cities of the East, and must within a short space of time engage the attention of San Fracisco.

Respectfully submitted,

JAMES ROLPH, JR.,
Mayor.
M. M. O'SHAUGHNESSY,
City Engineer.
J. B. McSHEEHY,
WM. H. HARRELSON,
FRANCK R. HAVENNER,
Supervisors.
JOHN J. O'TOOLE,
City Attorney.

July 18, 1927—Read and filed and ordered *spread in Journal.* Printed copies for members of Board.

Portion of report dealing with double-deck streets to be *referred to City Engineer.*

Following submission of the report, Supervisor Havenner, a member of the committee, moved that a committee consisting of Mayor Rolph, the Special Bridge Committee, the City Engineer and City Attorney O'Toole prepare and send, in the name of the Board of Supervisors, a telegram to President Coolidge setting forth our reasons for disagreeing with the Navy Department and the War Department as to the selected bridge site and urging him to use his best efforts to assure favorable action by the War Department.

The resolution was *adopted and the committee was authorized to send the telegram if it deem it "advisable".*

Mayor Rolph took occasion to praise the members of the committee for their untiring efforts and for their cooperation on their important mission. The Mayor said he had made many trips to Washington with committees, but never with a committee that worked so in harmony.

Motion.

Supervisor Havenner moved that the Finance Committee and Public Utilities Committee with the City Attorney be authorized to make a study of the limited revenue laws now in effect in the States of Indiana, Wisconsin, Kentucky and Washington with respect to financing bridges and other public utilities.

Motion *carried.*

Congressman Frank E. James Asked to Address Bridge Conference.

Supervisor Gallagher presented:

Whereas, Hon. Frank E. James of Michigan, acting chairman of the House Military Affairs Committee in the recent 69th Congress of the United States, whose service therein (already twelve years) is to continue in the next Congress, is in San Francisco; and

Whereas, Hon. Frank E. James, after much experience prior to entering Congress in legislative and administrative capacities in city, county and state offices has been and is a keen student of legislative and executive relations of national defense and their relations to powers of state government under our Federal system; and

Whereas, on June 16, 1927, Hon. Frank E. James addressed the Army War College in Washington on the handling of military legislation, saying, among other similar things, "It is not for anyone in the service to decide that the activities must be carried on if Congress has decided they do not care to go that far"; and

Whereas, in its efforts towards solution for the State of California of the problem of bridging the bay this Board of Supervisors had made long, earnest and courteous effort

with the administrative officers of the U. S. War Department to obtain a satisfactory result without as yet reaching definite success; and

Whereas, this lack thus far of satisfactory result seems to be in some degree connected with matters of national defense in which it is not clear that the activities of the executive officials are not carried on farther than Congress has decided it cares to go; and

Whereas, competent, experienced advice on this subject is much desired by this Board of Supervisors; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Hon. Frank E. James be requested to address the bridge conference at 2 p. m., July 19, 1927, on the subject of the "Relations of National Defense to a San Francisco Bay Bridge," particularly from the Congressional point of view as to the extent of the powers of the executive officials and to explain the relevancy of United States Attorney-General's opinions of January 23, 1923 (page 431 of Volume 33), and April 6, 1909 (page 286 of Volume 27), to the complex question before us for decision which is a matter of such importance as to be of national interest.

Ordered *filed*.

Opinion of City Attorney on Appropriation of Money Out of Advertising Fund for the Purpose of Assisting in Repeal of Reapportionment Bill.

July 14, 1927.

Dear Sirs:

Request.

Your query concerning whether or not the Board of Supervisors may appropriate the sum of $2,500 to assist in repealing the Reapportionment Bill, passed at the last session of the Legislature, out of the Advertising Fund, is at hand.

Opinion.

Section 4041, Subdivision 33, of the Political Code, provides as follows:

"Levy tax for advertising. To levy a special tax not to exceed two cents on the one hundred dollars of the assessed valuation of all property within the county to be used for advertising, exploiting and making known the resources of the county for the purpose of inducing immigration to, and increasing the trade and commerce of, said county, or for the purpose of exhibiting or advertising the agricultural, mineral or other resources of the county; and provided, however, that if said rate of two cents will not raise five thousand dollars in any one year the board of supervisors may appropriate from the general fund of the county an amount sufficient to make up the deficiency existing between the amount raised as the result of the two cent levy and five thousand dollars; and provided, further, that such tax shall be in addition to any tax which may now or hereafter be authorized to be levied for the purpose of creating a fund to be used for collecting, preparing and maintaining an exhibition in any domestic or foreign exposition; and provided, further, that nothing herein contained shall prevent any county from creating a bonded indebtedness under the provisions of section four thousand eighty-eight of the Political Code of California for the purpose of obtaining funds with which to build, construct or furnish an exposition buliding or buildings for exhibiting and advertising its resources."

The fund mentioned by this statute appears to be the one to which you have reference. This subdivision grants you the right of advertising, exploiting and making known the resources of San Francisco County for the purpose of inducing immigration to, and increasing the trade and commerce of, this county, or for the purpose of exhibiting or advertising the agricultural, mineral, or other resources of the county.

Appropriations from the fund created by Subdivision 33 of Section 4041 of the Political Code are limited to the items specified in the section, and I am unable to find any authority for construing the section quoted herein so as to have the language employed in the section to contemplate an appropriation of $2,500 to assist in repealing the Reapportionment Bill.

Respectfully,

DION R. HOLM,

Chief Deputy City Attorney.

Read and *filed*.

PRESENTATION OF PROPOSALS.

Tobacco, Cigars and Cigarettes.

Sealed proposals were received and opened at the hour of 11 a. m. for furnishing tobacco, cigars and cigarettes required for the institutions of the City and County and same were *referred to the Supplies Committee*.

Drygoods and Wearing Apparel.

Sealed proposals were received and opened at the hour of 11 a. m. for furnishing drygoods and wearing apparel for the use of the institutions of the City and County

of San Francisco and same were *referred to the Supplies Committee.*

UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

Indefinite Postponement.

The following resolution, heretofore passed for printing, was taken up and, motion, *indefinitely postponed*:

Appropriation, $2,500, Referendum Petition Expenses, Reapportionment Bill.

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $2,500 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of General Fund, 1926-1927, for expense in connection with securing signatures for referendum petition against act reapportioning legislative districts in the State of California, which act reduces the senatorial legislative representatives in certain counties, including San Francisco.

Action Deferred.

The following resolution was *laid over one week*:

Transfer of Twin Peaks Tunnel Fund to Municipal Railway Fund.

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $42,508.21 be and is hereby transferred from the Twin Peaks Ridge Tunnel Assessment Fund to the Municipal Railway Fund, and the Board of Public Works, Tax Collector, Auditor and Treasurer are hereby directed to make such entries in their official records and books of account as will correctly show such transfer; said transfer is for the purpose of reimbursing said Municipal Railway Fund for moneys advanced therefrom and used in the construction of the Twin Peaks Tunnel project.

Final Passage.

The following matters, heretofore passed for printing, were taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Appropriation, $775.31 Payment to Crocker National Bank of San Francisco as Fiscal Agents.

Resolution No. 27499 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $775.31 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of Urgent Necessity, Budget Item No. 25, Fiscal Year 1926-1927, in payment to the Crocker National Bank of San Francisco; being payment for account of expense and New York Exchange paid as fiscal agents for the City and County (claim dated June 27, 1927).

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Hayden, Shannon—3.

Authorizations.

Resolution No. 27500 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

Park Fund.

(1) J. H. McCallum, lumber for parks (claim dated June 24, 1927), $561.89.

Robinson Bequest Interest Fund.

(2) James Rolph, Jr., Mayor, for relief of destitute women and children (claim dated June 27, 1927), $792.67.

Tearing-Up Streets Fund.

(3) Gladding, McBean & Co., sewer pipe for sidesewers (claim dated June 15, 1927), $636.30.

Hetch Hetchy Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1925.

(4) The Marion Steam Shovel Co., steam shovel parts, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated June 15, 1927), $651.09.

(5) The Giant Powder Co. Con., explosives, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated June 17, 1927), $5,325.

(6) Hill & Morton Inc., lumber, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated June 18, 1927), $506.54.

(7) H. R. Landfare Company, (assignment to The Bank of California), cedar poles, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated June 17, 1927), $852.

(8) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., electric lamps for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated June 17, 1927), $1,401.60.

(9) State Compensation Insurance Fund, insurance premium, Hetch Hetchy employees (claim dated June 18, 1927), $2,557.44.

(10) George H. Tay Company, range boilers, pipe, etc., Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated June 18, 1927), $820.85.

(11) Western Pipe and Steel Co., air pipe for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated June 17, 1927), $915.10.

Hetch Hetchy Operative Revenue Fund.

(12) H. G. Butler, services in connection with valuation proceedings,

San Francisco electric properties (claim dated June 20. 1927), $537.50.

Fire Department Land and Construction Fund.

(13) Elliot & Grant, conditional acceptance payment, construction of Fire Department Engine House No. 19 (claim dated June 21, 1927), $12,247.55.

(14) Frederick H. Meyer and Albin R. Johnson, first payment, architectural services, Fire Department Engine Company No. 18 building (claim dated June 21, 1927), $2,278.80.

Relief Home Bonds, Issue 1923.

(15) Lois Martin, looms and warp, new Relief Home (claim dated June 20, 1927), $600.

Municipal Railway Depreciation Reserve Fund.

(16) Westinghouse Pacific Coast Brake Co., brake equipment for three Pierce-Arrow busses, Municipal Railways (claim dated June 16, 1927), $1,350.

Municipal Railway Fund.

(17) Market Street Railway Company, electric power furnished Municipal Railways (claim date June 16, 1927), $3,379.94.

(18) Market Street Railway Company, reimbursement for May, 1927, under agreement of December 12, 1918 (claim dated June 16, 1927), $1,596.33.

School Cnonstruction Fund, Bond Issue 1923.

(19) Amoroso & Damico, extra work, general construction of Edison School (claim dated June 18, 1927), $580.95.

(20) Macdonald & Kahn, Inc., extra work, general construction of new Mission High School (claim dated June 18, 1927), $516.

(21) Amoroso & Damico, conditional acceptance payment, general construction of Edison School (claim dated June 21, 1927), $78,887.55.

(22) Crown Electric Co., final payment, electrical work for new Mission High School (claim dated June 21, 1927), $12,418.

(23) Joseph J. Rankin, final payment, architectural services on addition to Francis Scott Key School (claim dated June 22, 1927), $1,155.60.

(24) St. Charles Market, meats, County Jails (claim dated June 15, 1927), $613.22.

(25) C. Nauman & Co., vegetables, County Jails (claim dated June 15, 1927), $707.17.

(26) Langendorf Baking Co., bread, County Jails (claim dated June 15. 1927), $1,044.96.

(27) California Meat Co., meats, County Jails (claim dated June 15, 1927), $1,217.28.

(28) San Francisco Stove Works, iron castings, Dept. of Electricity (claim dated May 31, 1927), $508.66.

(29) W. R. Ballinger & Son, hauling voting machines, Dept. of Elections (claim dated June 20, 1927), $4,240.80.

(30) California Rock Co., gravel for street repair (claim dated June 21, 1927), $1,849.50.

(31) Jas. E. Lennon Lime and Cement Co., cement for street repair (claim dated June 21, 1927), $1,810.28.

(32) Western Rock Products Co., sand for street repair (claim dated June 21, 1927), $2,989.33.

(33) Edison Storage Battery Supply Co., battery cells, sewer repair (claim dated June 21, 1927), $505.10.

(34) Symon Brothers, team hire for street cleaning (claim dated June 21, 1927), $747.50.

(35) John P. Hennessy, compensation insurance, injured sewer cribber (claim dated June 16, 1927), $1,184.92.

(36) Wewanee Boiler Co., two garbage burners for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated May 31, 1927), $1,445.40.

(37) California Petroleum Corporation, fuel oil, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated May 31, 1927), $2,269.77.

(38) Spring Valley Water Co., water for Relief Home (claim dated May 31, 1927), $731.25.

(39) Radium Chemical Co., radium needles, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated May 31, 1927), $13,999.30.

(40) S. H. Tyler & Son, coffee and tea, Relief Home (claim dated June 20, 1927), $947.12.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers. Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Hayden, Shannon—3.

Resolution No. 27501 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

Park Fund.

(1) B. Rosenberg, construction of Kezar Stadium (claim dated June 30, 1927), $2,425.95.

(2) Eaton & Smith, spreading rock at Fleishhacker Parking Station (claim dated June 30, 1927), $2,405.

(3) A. G. Spalding & Bros., golf balls (claim dated June 30, 1927), $531.60.

(4) George H. Tay Co., galvanized pipe for parks (claim dated June 30, 1927), $563.04.

(5) J. H. McCallum, lumber for parks (claim dated June 30, 1927), $503.98.

(5a) Pioneer Rubber Mills, hose for parks (claim dated June 30, 1927), $1,740.

Huntington Bequest Fund.

(6) The McGilvray Raymond Granite Co., granite pedestal for Joan of Arc statue and placing statue on pedestal (claim dated June 30, 1927), $4,250.

Duplicate Tax Fund.

(7) Geo. E. Billings, refund of duplicate payment of taxes for years 1925-1926 and 1926-1927 (claim dated June 23, 1927), $3,399.56.

Hetch Hetchy Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1925.

(8) Allis Chalmers Manufacturing Company, machinery parts, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated June 22, 1927), $1,122.57.

(9) City Coal Co., coal, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated June 22, 1927), $1,022.

(10) Graybar Electric Co., Inc., washers, rods, etc., for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated June 22, 1927), $692.11.

(11) Giant Powder Co., explosives, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated June 22, 1927), $578.25.

(12) J. H. McCallum, lumber for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated June 22, 1927), $550.36.

(13) M. M. O'Shaughnessy, revolving fund expenditures, per vouchers (claim dated June 22, 1927), $1,000.

(14) Pacific Electric Manufacturing Company, fuse cutouts, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated June 23, 1927), $1,110.81.

(15) Westinghouse Electric and Manufacturing Company, circuit breakers, etc., Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated June 23, 1927), $753.

(16) Western Pipe and Steel Co., air pipe, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated June 22, 1927), $915.10.

(17) Bald Eagle Meat Market, meats, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated June 28, 1927), $1,632.85.

(18) Hales & Symons, Inc., lumber, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated June 28, 1927), $1,649.

(19) A. Levy & J. Zentner Co., fruits and vegetables, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated June 28, 1927), $624.38.

(20) H. R. Landfare Co., cedar poles, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated June 28, 1927), $733.70.

(21) Santa Cruz Portland Cement Co., cement, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated June 28, 1927), $607.25.

Tubercular Sanitarium Fund.

(22) The Sheet Metal Shop, first payment, kitchen equipment for the Tuberculosis Preventorium (claim dated June 29, 1927), $1,784.70.

Fire Department Land and Construction Fund.

(23) Elliot & Grant, extra work, general construction of Fire Department Engine House No. 19 (claim dated June 27, 1927), $648.

Municipal Railway Fund.

(24) Westinghouse Electric and Manufacturing Co., electric car parts, Municipal Railways (claim dated June 16, 1927), $767.35.

Municipal Railway Depreciation Fund.

(25) Bethlehem Steel Company, first payment, track materials for Municipal Railways (claim dated June 27, 1927), $14,215.50.

(26) St. Louis Car Co., third payment, car bodies for Municipal Railways (claim dated June 27, 1927), $53,262.

Municipal Railway Depreciation Reserve Fund.

(27) Street Repair Department, Board of Public Works, street paving for Municipal Railways (claim dated June 23, 1927), $2,992.50.

Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1910.

(28) Mercantile Trust Co. of California, payment for lands required for San Miguel reservoir, San Francisco, per Resolution No. 26299 (New Series) (claim dated June 27, 1927), $18,000.

(29) Santa Cruz Portland Cement Co., cement for Hetch Hetchy water construction (claim dated June 27, 1927), $607.25.

Special School Tax.

(30) Mahony Bros., ninth payment, general construction of additions to Commodore Sloat School (claim dated June 27, 1927), $6,903.30.

(31) O. Monson, fourteenth payment, general construction of additional units to Galileo High School (claim dated June 27, 1927), $5,789.55.

(32) Standard Electrical Construction Company, seventh payment, electrical work, additional units to Galileo High School (claim dated June 28, 1927), $1,649.70.

Publicity and Advertising (Appropriation 55), 1927-1928.

(33) Rudolph Theurkauf and

William A. Theurkauf, payments for account of San Francisco exhibit at the Transcontinental Highway Exposition, Reno, Nev., as per Resolution No. 26840 (New Series), for the publicity and advertising of San Francisco (claim dated July 5, 1927), $5,000.

General Fund, 1925-1926.

(34) Eaton & Smith, first payment, improvement of Elk street from Bosworth to Chenery streets (claim dated June 29, 1927), $1,800.

School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923.

(35) A. Lettich, fifth payment, plumbing work, Hearst Moulder School (claim dated June 28, 1927), $703.13.

(36) Reliable Plumbing Co., second payment, mechanical equipment for Hearst Moulder School (claim dated June 28, 1927), $1,746.55.

(37) Latourette-Fical Co., seventh payment, plumbing work, Mission (Everett) Junior High School (claim dated June 28, 1927), $3,472.84.

(38) R. W. Moller, tenth payment, general construction of Mission (Everett) Junior High School (claim dated June 28, 1927), $29,807.17.

(39) Scott Co., fourth payment, mechanical equipment, Mission (Everett) Junior High School (claim dated June 28, 1927), $2,212.50.

(40) A. Lettich, second payment, plumbing work, Portola Junior High School (claim dated June 28, 1927), $4,736.77.

(41) O. Monson, third payment, general construction, Portola Junior High School (claim dated June 27, 1927), $18,870.

(42) Crown Electric Co., additional work for new Mission High School (claim dated June 28, 1927), $1,089.55.

Maintenance of Aquarium—Appropriation 57.

(43) California Academy of Sciences, maintenance of Steinhart Aquarium, month of June (claim dated June 30, 1927), $4,205.85.

Playground Fund.

(44) Klawans & McMullin, recreational supplies for playgrounds (claim dated June 29, 1927), $1,280.

General Fund, 1926-1927.

(45) W. Q. Wright, Ralph A. Beebee and A. A. Peters, progress payment, dredging Marina Yacht Harbor (claim dated June 30, 1927), $5,925.

(46) Henry D. Dewell, plans and specifications for repair of Fine Arts Building (claim dated June 30, 1927), $2,100.

(47) San Francisce Bulletin, official advertising, Board of Supervisors (claim dated June 30, 1927), $3,231.84.

(48) Graybar Electric Co., galvanized lag screws and bolts for traffic signals (claim dated June 30, 1927), $742.50.

(49) California Petroleum Corporation, fuel oil for street repair (claim dated June 27, 1927), $654.83.

(50) Pacific Portland Cement Co., cement for street repair (claim dated June 27, 1927), $741.17.

(51) Crown Oil Co., gasoline and oil for street cleaning (claim dated June 27, 1927), $523.18.

(52) Latourette-Fical Co., second payment, lighting of hangar and field, S. F. Municipal Airport (claim dated June 29. 1927), $1,290.

(53) Schultz Construction Co., final payment, hauling and laying high-pressure water mains in Butchertown District (claim dated June 29, 1927), $2,872.21.

(54) Swift & Co., meats for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated June 30, 1927), $681.24.

(55) Walton N. Moore Dry Goods Co., dry goods for S. F. Hospital (claim dated June 30, 1927), $2,714.

(56) Walton N. Moore Dry Goods Co., dry goods for S. F. Hospital (claim dated June 30, 1927), $3,203.27.

(57) California Metal and Radiator Works, cowls, fenders and side-shields for new chemicals, Fire Department (claim dated June 30, 1927), $588.

(58) Pioneer Rubber Mills, hose for Fire Department (claim dated June 30, 1927), $820.

(59) The Seagrave Corporation, Fire Department apparatus parts (claim dated June 30, 1927), $691.50.

(60) Spring Valley Water Co., water service, Fire Department hydrants (claim dated June 30, 1927), $14,571.10.

(61) Wilcox & Company, printing for departments (claim dated June 30, 1927), $898.74.

Spreckels Special Deposit—Honora Sharp Trust (Park.)

(62) John H. Thieler, Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco, for purchase of Midland School District 5½ per cent bonds, with accrued interest (claim dated June 30, 1927), $3,280.74.

General Fund, 1927-1928.

(63) Thos. F. Boyle, Treasurer of Citizens' Fourth of July Committee, for expense of celebration of Fourth of July, 1927 (claim dated July 5, 1927), $2,500.

(64) Daniel J O'Brien, police contingent expense for July (claim dated July 1, 1927), $750.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco,

Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Hayden, Shannon—3.

Resolution No. 27502 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

Park Fund.

(1) The Fay Improvement Co., asphalting tennis courts at Larsen Park (claim dated June 30, 1927), $813.12.

(2) Fageol Motors Sales Co., one Fageol truck chassis (claim dated June 30, 1927), $2,625.

(3) The White Company, one White truck chassis (claim dated June 30, 1927), $5,132.

Playground Fund.

(4) Mrs. Margaret J. Morrissey, payment of award by Industrial Accident Commission, claim 18411 (claim dated June 29, 1927), $2,239.54.

Sunset Tunnel Assessment Fund.

(5) Leonard F. Youdall and R. G. Clifford, thirteenth payment, construction of tunnel under Buena Vista Park (claim dated June 30, 1927), $90,279.30.

Tubercular Sanitarium Fund.

(6) Latourette-Fical Co., conditional acceptance payment, plumbing work for Tubercular Sanitarium (claim dated June 30, 1927), $5,894.63.

School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923.

(7) A. Lettich Co., wrought iron pipe for Sherman School (claim dated July 1, 1927), $606.39.

(8) Latourette-Fical Co., conditional acceptance payment, plumbing work for Edison School (claim dated June 30, 1927), $2,565.70.

(9) Mahony Bros., fifth payment, general construction of Sherman School (claim dated June 30, 1927), $18,732.56.

(10) John Reid, Jr., eighth payment, architectural services for Mission (Everett) Junior High School (claim dated June 30, 1927), $851.82.

(11) John Reid, Jr., thirteenth payment, architectural services for additional units to Galileo High School (claim dated June 30, 1927), $651.88.

Municipal Railway Depreciation Fund.

(12) J. G. Brill Co., second payment, street car trucks for Municipal Railways (claim dated June 30 1927), $12 422.50.

(13) Westinghouse Electric and Mfg. Co., fourth payment, street car motor equipment for Municipal Railways (claim dated June 30 1927), $21,518.

Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1910.

(14) Crane Co., pipe fittings, etc. for Hetch Hetchy water construction (claim dated June 30, 1927), $526.54.

(15) Pacific Tank and Pipe Co., four redwood water tanks (claim dated June 30, 1927), $922.20.

Special School Tax.

(16) J. H. McCallum, lumber for repairs of schools (claim dated June 29, 1927), $619.03.

(17) Bureau of Street Repair, repairs to yards of various schools (claim dated June 29, 1927), $785.07.

(18) Knittle Bros., third payment, mechanical equipment, addition to Madison School (claim dated June 30, 1927), $1,234.76.

County Road Fund.

(19) James M. Smith, sixth payment, improvement of Market street extension, Mono to Ord streets (claim dated June 30, 1927), $10,950.

Hetch Hetchy Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1925.

(20) The Giant Powder Co., Con., explosives (claim dated June 30, 1927), $5,261.47.

(21) Hobbs-Parson Co., foodstuffs (claim dated June 30, 1927), $656.24.

(22) Myers-Whaley Co., conveyor chain, etc. (claim dated June 30, 1927), $816.44.

Publicity and Advertising (Appropriation 55).

(23) Nevada's Transcontinental Highways Exposition, floor space for San Francisco exhibit at Transcontinental Highway Exposition, Reno, Nev., for the publicity and advertising of San Francisco (claim dated July 11, 1927), $3,000.

(24) Rudolph Theurkauf, for designing, constructing, installing, decorating and arranging San Francisco exhibit in California Building, and furnishings supplied, Transcontinental Highways Exposition, Reno, Nev., for the publicity and advertising of San Francisco (claim dated July 11, 1927), $1,733.75.

General Fund, 1926-1927.

(25) San Francisco Bulletin, official advertising (claim dated June 30, 1927), $1,612.50.

(26) San Francisco Society for the Prevention of Cruelty to Animals, impounding, feeding, etc., of animals for June (claim dated June 30, 1927), $1,250.

(27) Napa State Hospital, maintenance of criminal insane (claim dated June 30, 1927), $600.

(28) Recorder Printing and Publishing Company, printing Law-Motion-Trial Calendar (claim dated June 30, 1927), $1,075.

(29) Canepa Motor Company, one Chrysler sedan, for use of Tax Collector (claim dated June 30, 1927), $2,787.

General Fund, 1927-1928.

(30) A. P. Jacobs, rent of premises at No. 333 Kearny street, July 3 to August 3, 1927 (claim dated July 11, 1927), $1,186.

(31) James Rolph, Jr., Mayor's expense (claim dated July 11, 1927), $523.75.

(32) Automatic Registering Machine Co., 100 voting machines, Department of Elections (claim dated July 7, 1927), $117,850.

(33) A. Carlisle & Co., printing election forms (claim dated July 7, 1927), $1,515.

General Fund, 1926-1927.

(34) Frank J. McHugh, fourth payment, construction of Section B, westerly Sunset District sewer (claim dated June 30, 1927), $9,000.

(35) Manuel Smith, final payment, construction of sewers in Noriega street between Twenty-fourth and Twenty-ninth avenues (claim dated June 30, 1927), $5,240.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Hayden, Shannon—3.

Appropriations, McLaren Park.

Resolution No. 27503 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside and appropriated out of "Purchase of lands for public purposes in Mission District," Budget Item No. 70, Fiscal Year 1927-1928, and authorized in payment to the hereinafter named persons; being payments for lands required for the John McLaren Park, to-wit:

(1) To M. H. Dignan, for Lots 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13 and 14 in Block No. 6263 on Assessor's Map Book of the City and County of San Francisco; as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 27395 (New Series), $2,347.

(2) To E. P. Blasgrove, for lands and improvements, being Lot No. 4 in Block No. 6264 on Assessor's Map Book of the City and County of San Francisco; as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 27394 (New Series), $1,075.

(3) To C. B. Smith, for land and improvements, being Lots Nos. 14 and 15 in Block No. 6151 on Assessor's Map Book of the City and County of San Francisco; as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 27396 (New Series), $4,556.

(4) To F. Smith and wife, for Lot No. 3 in Block No. 6164 on Assessor's Map Book of the City and County of San Francisco; as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 27396 (New Series), $1,550.

(5) To Elizabeth A. McDonald, for Lots Nos. 1 and 2, as per Assessor's Map Book of the City and County of San Francisco; as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 27393 (New Series), $600.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Hayden, Shannon—3.

Appropriation, Land for McLaren Park.

Resolution No. 27504 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $102,612 50 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of "Purchase of lands for public purposes in Mission District," Budget Item No. 70, Fiscal Year 1927-1928, and authorized in payment to the California Pacific Title and Trust Company; being payment for properties situate in the City and County of San Francisco as set forth in Resolution No. 27446 (New Series), and required for the John McLaren Memorial Park (claim dated July 5, 1927).

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Hayden, Shannon—3.

Appropriation, Summer Symphony.

Resolution No. 27505 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $10,000 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of Publicity and Advertising, Appropriation 55, Fiscal Year 1927-1928, for the expense of summer symphony concerts under the auspices of the Summer Symphony Association, for the publicity and advertising of San Francisco; and

Further Resolved, That said $10,000 is hereby authorized in payment to Thos. F. Boyle, Treasurer of the Summer Symphony Association.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Galla-

gher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Hayden, Shannon—3.

Appropriation, Reception, Officers and Midshipmen, U. S. Navy.

Resolution No. 27506 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $1,800 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of Publicity and Advertising, Appropriation 55, Fiscal Year 1927-1928, for expense of reception to visiting officers and midshipmen of the United States Navy.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Hayden, Shannon—3.

Appropriations for Property Required for the Extension of Van Ness Avenue.

Resolution No. 27507 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized in payment out of "Van Ness avenue extension, final purchase," Budget Item No. 54, Fiscal Year 1927-1928, to the hereinafter designated; being payments, third and final, for properties required for the extension of Van Ness avenue, in accordance with Superior Court judgment, Action No. 153962, to-wit:

To Henry E. Monroe, the sum of $47,990.44.

To John Rosenfeld's Sons, the sum of $38,258.12.

To the White Company, the sum of $29,125.98.

(Claims dated July 5, 1927.)

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Hayden, Shannon—3.

Appropriations, Automobile Busses, Municipal Railway.

Resolution No. 27508 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $31,807.50 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of Municipal Railway Reserve Depreciation Fund for the purchase of the following auto busses for use of the Municipal Railways, to-wit:

(1) Three 25-passenger, 192-inch wheel base, Mack automobile busses, on A B chasis, with booster brakes and body in accordance with specifications of Contract 141, Municipal Railway, less allowance for old busses, $22,950.

(2) One Fageol, 6-cylinder, 29-passenger, street car type automobile bus, with Westinghouse air brakes, less allowance for old bus, $8,812.50.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Hayden, Shannon—3.

Appropriation, $192,000, for the Purchase of Ten New Cars for the Municipal Railway.

Resolution No. 27509 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $192,000 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of Municipal Railway "old" Depreciation Fund for the purchase of ten new cars for the use of the Municipal Railways.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Hayden, Shannon—3.

Appropriations, Grading and Filling Runways, San Francisco Municipal Airport.

Resolution No. 27510 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of "Municipal Airport," Budget Item No. 55, for the cost of grading, filling of depressions and runways at the San Francisco Municipal Airport, to-wit:

For grading, etc., James M. Smith contract, $28,176; for engineering and inspection, $1,000; total, $29,176.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Hayden, Shannon—3.

Oil Permits.

Resolution No. 27511 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits be and are hereby granted:

Oil Tanks.

College Hill Bakery, 3781 Mission street, 600 gallons capacity.

Mrs. T. David, south side of Fulton street, 87 feet 6 inches west of Fillmore street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Domestic Engineering Corp., west side of Gough street, 75 feet north of Bush street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Henry Ernst & Sons, south side of Hermann street, 100 feet east of Buchanan street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Dr. Louis Graham, northwest corner of Thirty-second avenue and Geary street, 1500 gallons capacity.

J. Greenbach, north side of Eddy street, 100 feet west of Taylor street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Joseph Greenbach, south side of Geary street, 100 feet west of Larkin street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Hanni & Girerd, 1765 California street, 1500 gallons capacity.

S. T. Johnson, 165 San Fernando way, 1500 gallons capacity.

Dr. John Kingwell, northwest corner of Turk and Broderick streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

Robert S. Knight, 650 California street, 1500 gallons capacity.

H. Law, 2825 Broadway, 600 gallons capacity.

Marine View, Inc., northeast corner of Chestnut and Larkin streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

Wm. Perryiner, northeast corner of Bush and Octavia streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

Wm. Savage, southwest corner of Bay and Broderick streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

Snyder Bros. Knitting Mills, 201 Eighth street, 1500 gallons capacity.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits shall become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Haylen, Shannon—3.

Oil and Boiler Permits.

Resolution No. 27512 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits be and are hereby granted:

Oil Tanks.

O. E. Anderson, south side of Capra way, 145 feet west of Mallorca way, 1500 gallons capacity.

Richard Bell, east side of Paloma street, 200 feet south of Ocean avenue, 500 gallons capacity.

W. T. Boyd, 2518 Filbert street, 750 gallons capacity.

Lester Stoft, southwest corner of Second avenue and Lake street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Buschke & Johnson, northeast corner of North Point and Divisadero streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

J. R. Cally, east side of Retiro way, 50 feet north of Rico way, 1500 gallons capacity.

Chestnut Street Bakery, 2163 Chestnut street, 600 gallons capacity.

J. J. Coburn, north side of Chestnut street, 65 feet west of Van Ness avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

Cuneo & De Martini, north side of Haight street, 135 feet west of Pierce street, 1500 gallons capacity.

R. S. Dowler, east line of Collingwood street between Twenty-first and Twenty-second streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

A. W. Eriksen, northwest corner of Chestnut street and Mallorca way, 1500 gallons capacity.

Henry Ernst & Sons, northeast corner of Eleventh avenue and California street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Henry Ernst & Sons, northwest corner of Twelfth avenue and California street, 1500 gallons capacity.

H. C. Layton, 69 Mercedes way, 1500 gallons capacity.

Johnson & Anderson, north side of Golden Gate avenue, 50 feet west of Scott street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Klaes & Son, 740 Victoria street, 750 gallons capacity.

A. H. Klahn, south side of Fulton street, 83 feet west of Central avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

Hotel Lawrence, 226 The Embarcadero, 1500 gallons capacity.

L. Levy, 3934 Clay street, 600 gallons capacity.

L. Levy, 3936 Clay street, 600 gallons capacity.

H. O. Lindeman, north side of Anza street, 25 feet east of Eleventh avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

Mrs. Emma Maasberg, west side of Twenty-seventh avenue, 135 feet north of Judah street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Marian Realty Co., northwest corner of California and Gough streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

H. B. Mathews, 2830 Lyon street, 1500 gallons capacity.

N. J. Nelson, north side of Beach street, 75 feet west of Retiro way, 1500 gallons capacity.

R. Parotore, northeast corner of Pacific and Morrell streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

A. Raskin, west side of Broderick street, 100 feet north of Francisco street, 1500 gallons capacity.

W. Rasmussen, west side of Baker street, 126 feet north of Lombard street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Salta Company, northeast corner of Forty-third avenue and Point Lobos avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

Rudolph Spreckels, west side of Davis street, 125 feet south of Vallejo street, 1500 gallons capacity.

E. L. Stoneson, northwest corner of Brentwood and Maywood avenues, 750 gallons capacity.

T. I. Strand, east side of Twenty-sixth avenue, 125 feet north of Fulton street, 1500 gallons capacity.

The Turner Co., northwest corner of Turk and Webster streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

Max Wiseman, south line of Bay street, 175 feet west of Octavia street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Boiler.

Snyder Bros. Knitting Mills, 201 Eighth street, 35-horsepower.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits shall become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Hayden, Shannon—3.

Garage Permit.

Resolution No. 27513 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Erle N. Barnett be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred to him public garage permit heretofore granted Otto J. Schulz by Resolution No. 26216 (New Series) for premises at 2470 California street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Hayden, Shannon—3.

Parking Station Permit.

Resolution No. 27514 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Patrick Kelly be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate a parking station on the south side of Mission street, 125 feet east of Eighth street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Hayden, Shannon—3.

Condemnation of Lands for Playground Purposes.

Resolution No. 27515 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, By the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, that public interest and necessity require the acquisition by the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, of the following described property situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and more particularly described as follows, to-wit:

Parcel 1: All of the land in Block 3266, as per map entitled "Map of Blocks 3260 to 3269, inclusive, Balboa Terrace Addition," filed in Map Book "L", pages 29 to 33, inclusive, records of the City and County of San Francisco, lying south of a line drawn parallel to and 300 feet southerly from the southerly line of Upland drive.

Parcel 2: All of the land in Block 3265, as per map entitled "Map of Blocks 3260 to 3269, inclusive, Balboa Terrace Addition," filed in Map Book "L", pages 29 to 33, inclusive, records of the City and County of San Francisco, lying south of a line drawn parallel to and 300 feet southerly from the southerly line of Upland drive.

Parcel 3: All of Block 3268, as per map entitled "Map of Blocks 3260 to 3269, inclusive, Balboa Terrace Addition," and filed in Map Book "L", pages 29 to 33, inclusive, records of the City and County of San Francisco.

Parcel 4: All of Block 3269, as per map entitled "Map of Blocks 3260 to 3269, inclusive, Balboa Terrace Addition," and filed in Map Book "L", pages 29 to 33, inclusive, records of the City and County of San Francisco.

Be it Further Resolved, That said properties are suitable, adaptable, necessary and required for the public use of said City and County of San Francisco, to-wit: For playground purposes. It is necessary that a fee simple title be taken for such use.

The City Attorney is hereby ordered and directed to commence proceedings in eminent domain against the owners of said tracts of land and of any and all interests therein or claims thereto for the condemnation thereof for the public

use of said City and County of San Francisco, as aforesaid.

This resolution shall be published in the official newspaper, with the ayes and noes, for at least five successive days, Sundays and legal houndays excepted, before the final action upon the same.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Hayden, Shannon—3.

Permit to Construct and Maintain Hopper on Harrison Street.

Resolution No. 27516 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, is hereby granted to Golden Gate-Atlas Materials Company to construct, operate and maintain a hopper under the spur track on the east side of Harrison street between Sixteenth and Seventeenth streets for the purpose of unloading rock and sand to be used in the operation of the plant of the said company. Provided, that the hopper, when not in use, is to be protected in a manner satisfactory to pedestrians; provided, further, that the hopper be constructed under the supervision and to the satisfaction of the Board of Public Works.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Hayden, Shannon—3.

Covered Passageway Permit, Raphael Weil & Company.

Resolution No. 27517 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Raphael Weil & Co., Incorporated, is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to construct and maintain a covered passageway over the existing covered passageway erected over the easterly end of Ashburton place, connecting the building on the northerly side of Ashburton place with the building on the southerly side of Ashburton place between Post street and Sutter street, the existing passageway was erected in accordance with Resolution No. 15931 (New Series), approved July 31, 1918. Provided said covered passageway shall be constructed to the satisfaction and under the supervision of the Board of Public Works in accordance with plans and specifications to be approved by said Board of Public Works.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Hayden, Shannon—3.

Consent to Removal of Tracks on Montgomery Street.

Bill No. 8089, Ordinance No. 7603 (New Series), as follows:

Approving and consenting to, without prejudice to any of the other rights now owned and held by the Market Street Railway Company under Order No. 1532, approved November 28, 1879, the removal of the street railway tracks on Montgomery street from the north side of Post street to the south side of Washington street, in the City and County of San Francisco, and the surrender of that portion of the franchise under Order No. 1532, approved November 28, 1879, now owned and held by Market Street Railway Company.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The removal of the street railway tracks on Montgomery street from the north side of Post street to the south side of Washington street, in the City and County of San Francisco, and the surrender of that portion of the franchise under Order No. 1532, approved November 28, 1879, now owned and held by Market Street Railway Company, and under which Market Street Railway Company is maintaining said tracks and operating said railroad, is hereby approved and consented to without prejudice to any of the other rights now owned and held by Market Street Railway Company under said order.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Hayden, Shannon—3.

Plans, Hangar, Municipal Airport.

Bill No. 8090, Ordinance No. 7604 (New Series), as follows:

Authorizing the Board of Public Works to prepare plans and specifications for Hangar No. 2 and appurtenances for the Mills Field Municipal Airport of San Francisco, and to enter into contract therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized, empowered and instructed to prepare plans and specifications for Hangar No. 2 and appurtenances for the Mills Field Municipal Airport of San Francisco, and to enter into contract for the construction of said hangar and appurtenances.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Hayden, Shannon—3.

Spur Track Permit, Southern Pacific Company.

Bill No. 8092, Ordinance No. 7605 (New Series), as follows:

Granting permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to Southern Pacific Company, a corporation, to construct, maintain and operate spur tracks crossing Selby and Toland streets between Evans and Galvez avenues, as hereinafter described.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, is hereby granted to Southern Pacific Company, a corporation, to construct, maintain and operate spur tracks upon, along and across Selby and Toland streets, the center line of the proposed track being particularly described as follows, to-wit:

Beginning at a point on the westerly line of Selby street, said point being distant northeasterly thereon 248.5 feet, more or less, from the intersection of the northerly line of Galvez avenue with the westerly line of Selby street; thence crossing Selby street in a southeasterly direction a distance of 64 feet, more or less, to a point on the easterly line of Selby street, said point being distant northerly thereon 248.5 feet, more or less, from the intersection of the easterly line of Selby street with the northerly line of Galvez avenue.

Beginning at a point on the easterly line of Toland street, said point being distant northeasterly thereon 248.5 feet, more or less, from the intersection of the northerly line of Galvez avenue with the easterly line of Toland street; thence crossing Toland street in a northwesterly direction 64 feet, more or less, to a point on the westerly line of Toland street, said point being distant northerly thereon a distance of 248.5 feet, more or less, from the intersection of the westerly line of Toland street with the northerly line of Galvez avenue produced westerly.

Said permission is granted subject to the provisions of Ordinance No. 69 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors, approved October 12, 1906, and the provisions and conditions of Section 8 thereof are hereby specifically contained in the permit hereby granted, and srall be construed as a part hereof as completely as though the same were written in this ordinance.

Provided, that said track shall be laid under the supervision and to the lines and grades as furnished by the City Engineer's office and that any and all expense connected with the installation of the track, restoration of the pavement and any additional requirements for the surface drainage to be paid for by the Southern Pacific Company; provided, that Southern Pacific Company shall erect and maintain all-night lighted arc lamps to be placed where directed by the Lighting Committee of the Board of Supervisors.

Provided, that all trackage within the street limits be constructed with girder rails.

Section 2. This ordinace shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Hayden, Shannon—3.

Spur Track Permit, Santa Fe Railway Company.

Bill No. 8093, Ordinance No. 7606 (New Series), as follows:

Granting permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to The Atchison, Topeka & Santa Fe Railway Company, its successors or assigns, to construct, maintain and operate a spur track across Mississippi street between Mariposa and Seventeenth streets, as hereinafter described.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, is hereby granted to The Atchison, Topeka & Santa Fe Railway Company, its successors or assigns, to construct, maintain and operate a spur track as follows, to-wit:

Beginning at a point on the cen-

ter line of an existing track of the A. T. & S. F. Ry. Co. and S. P. Co. in the City and County of San Francisco, said point lying 34.91 feet, as measured along said center line of said track, northerly from the northerly line of Mariposa street; thence northwesterly on the arc of a curve concave to the southwest, having a radius of 1273.57 feet, a distance of 41.88 feet; thence northwesterly 49.09 feet; thence northwesterly on the arc of a curve concave to the southwest, having a radius of 240.49 feet, a distance of 116.69 fet to a point in the easterly line of Mississippi street, said point lying 160.00 feet northerly from the northerly line fo Mariposa street; thence continuing on the arc of the last described curve crossing Mississippi street, a distance of 81.16 feet to a point in the westerly line of Mississippi street, said point lying 174.98 feet northerly from the northerly line of Mariposa street.

Said permission is granted subject to the provisions of Ordinance No. 69 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors, approved October 12, 1906, and the provisions and conditions of Section 8 thereof are hereby specifically contained in the permit hereby granted and shall be construed as a part hereof as complete as though the same were written in this ordinance.

Provided, that the said spur track shall be laid under the supervision and to the lines and grades as furnished by the City Engineer's office, and that any and all expenses connected with the installation of the track, restoration of the pavement and any additional requirements for the surface drainage shall be paid for by The Atchison, Topeka & Santa Fe Railway Company.

Provided, The Atchison, Topeka & Santa Fe Railway Company, its successors or assigns, shall erect and maintain one all-night lighted arc lamp to be placed where directed by the Lighting Committee of the Board of Supervisors.

Provided, that all trackage within the street area be constructed with girder rail; that the necessary catchbasins with accompanying ten (10) inch culvert pipe and manhole be constructed on the southerly side of the said track so that drainage may be accommodated, and that all pavement and curb be reconstructed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Hayden, Shannon—3.

Railroad Tracks Permit, Southern Pacific Company.

Bill No. 8110, Ordinance No. 7607 (New Series), as follows:

Granting permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to Southern Pacific Company, a corporation, to construct, maintain and operate railroad tracks in, along and across Harrison street and Eighteenth street in the location hereinafter described.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, is hereby granted to Southern Pacific Company, a corporation, to construct, maintain and operate railroad tracks in, along and across Harrison street and Eighteenth street, in the City and County of San Francisco, the center lines of which are particularly described as follows:

Description No. 1: Beginning at a point in the center line of an existing side track on Harrison street, said point being distant easterly 47 feet, more or less, from the westerly line of Harrison street, and distant northerly 118 feet, more or less, from the northerly line of Sixteenth street produced, thence in a northeasterly direction on a curve concave to the left to a point in the existing side track in Harrison street, said point being distant westerly 10 feet, more or less, from the easterly line of Harrison street, and distant southerly 120 feet, more or less, from the southerly line of Fifteenth street produced.

Description No. 2: Beginning at a point in the existing side track on Harrison street, said point being distant easterly 47 feet, more or less, from the westerly line of Harrison street and distant northerly 118 feet, more or less, from the northerly line of Sixteenth street produced, thence through a turnout to the left for a distance of 58.8 feet to a point; thence in a northeasterly direction on a curve concave to the left for a distance of 80 feet, more or less, to a point; thence in a northerly direction parallel to and 25 feet westerly at right angles from the easterly line of Harrison street for a distance of 860 feet, more or less, to the southerly line of Fourteenth street produced.

Description No. 3: Beginning at a point in the proposed new side track on Harrison street, said point being distant easterly 58 feet, more or less, from the westerly line of

Harrison street and distant northerly 322 feet, more or less, from the northerly line of Sixteenth street produced, thence through a turnout to the right for a distance of 48.8 feet to a point; thence in a southwesterly direction on a curve concave to the right crossing Harrison street for a distance of 120 feet, more or less, to a point in the westerly line of Harrison street, said point being distant northerly 165 feet, more or less, from the northerly line of Sixteenth street.

Description No. 4: Beginning at a point in the proposed new side track on Harrison street, said point being distant easterly 58 feet, more or less, from the westerly line of Harrison street and distant southerly 125 feet, more or less, from the southerly line of Fifteenth street produced, thence through a turnout to the left for a distance of 48.8 feet to a point; thence in a northwesterly direction on a curve concave to the left for a distance of 30 feet, more or less, to a point in the existing spur track on Harrison street, said point being distant easterly 50 feet, more or less, from the westerly line of Harrison street and southerly 50 feet, more or less, from the southerly line of Fifteenth street produced.

Description No. 5: Beginning at a point in the proposed new side track on Harrison street, said point being distant easterly 58 feet, more or less, from the westerly line of Harrison street and on the northerly line of Fifteenth street produced, thence through a turnout to the right for a distance of 48.8 feet to a point; thence in a southwesterly direction on a curve concave to the right for a distance of 15 feet, more or less, to a point in the existing spur track in Harrison street, said point being distant easterly 50 feet, more or less, from the westerly line of Harrison street and on the southerly line of Fifteenth street produced.

Description No. 6: Beginning at a point in the proposed new side track on Harrison street, said point being distant easterly 58 feet, more or less, from the westerly line of Harrison street and distant northerly 110 feet, more or less, from the northerly line of Fifteenth street produced, thence though a turnout to the left for a distance of 48.8 feet to a point; thence in a northwesterly direction on a curve concave to the left for a distance of 126 feet, more or less, to a point in the westerly line of Harrison street, said point being distant northerly 270 feet, more or less, from the northerly line of Fifteenth street.

Description No. 7: Beginning at a point in the existing side track in Harrison street, said point being distant easterly 28 feet, more or less, from the westerly line of Harrison street and distant northerly 230 feet, more or less, from the northerly line of Eighteenth street produced, thence through a turnout to the right for a distance of 48.8 feet to a point; thence in a southerly direction on a tangent for a distance of 20 feet, more or less, to a point; thence in a southwesterly direction on a curve concave to the right for a distance of 130 feet, more or less, to a point in the westerly line of Harrison street, said point being distant northerly 43 feet, more or less, from the northerly line of Eighteenth street.

Description No. 8: Beginning at a point in the northerly line of Eighteenth street, said point being distant westerly 28 feet, more or less, from the westerly line of Harrison street, thence in a southwesterly direction on a curve concave to the right for a distance of 106 feet, more or less, to a point in the existing spur track on Eighteenth street, said point being distant southerly 40 feet, more or less, from the southerly line of Eighteenth street and 124 feet, more or less, westerly from the westerly line of Harrison street produced.

Description No. 9: Beginning at a point in the center line of the existing main track in Harrison street, said point being distant easterly 41.2 feet from the westerly line of Harrison street and distant southerly 147 feet, more or less, from the southerly line of Twentieth street produced, thence in a northwesterly direction through a turnout to the left for a distance of 48.8 feet to a point; thence in a northwesterly direction on a tangent for a distance of 50 feet, more or less, to a point; thence in a northwesterly direction on a curve concave to the right for a distance of 60 feet, more or less, to a point in an existing spur on Harrison street, distant northerly 20 feet, more or less, from the southerly line of Twentieth street and distant easterly 25 feet, more or less, from the westerly line of Harrison street produced.

This permission is granted subject to the provisions of Ordinance No. 69 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors, approved October 12, 1906, and the provisions and conditions of Section 8 thereof are hereby specifically contained in the permit hereby granted, and shall be construed as a part hereof as completely as though the same were written in this ordinance.

Provided that said tracks shall be laid under the supervision and to the lines and grades as furnished by the City Engineer's office, and that any and all expense connected with the installation of the tracks, restoration of the pavement and any additional requirements for the surface drainage, is to be paid by the Southern Pacific Company; provided that Southern Pacific Company shall erect and maintain all-night lighted arc lamps to be placed where directed by the Lighting Committee of the Board of Supervisors.

Further provided, that the Southern Pacific Company be required to install girder rail in all of the trackage on the roadway of Harrison street between Sixteenth and Twenty-first streets, and that that portion of the street for which the Southern Pacific Company is liable, be repaved and that paved connection be made between the existing pavement, where such pavement has been destroyed due to the condition of the tracks, in a workmanlike manner.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Hayden, Shannon—3.

Conditional Acceptance, Certain Streets.

Bill No. 8094, Ordinance No. 7608 (New Series), as follows:

Providing for conditional acceptance of the roadway of Arago street between Havelock and Paulding streets; Castro street between Sussex and Laidley streets, and the intersection of Castro street and Laidley street; Fourteenth avenue between Santiago and Taraval streets; Fourteenth avenue between Taraval and Ulloa streets; Lawton street between Twenty-sixth and Twenty-seventh avenues; Munich street between Persia and Russia avenues; Rhode Island street between Southern Heights avenue and Twenty-second street; Rhode Island street between Twentieth street and Southern Heights avenue, including the crossing of Twentieth and Rhode Island streets and the intersection of Rhode Island street and Southern Heights avenue; Twenty-second avenue between Lawton and Moraga streets; Moraga street between Twenty-second and Twenty-third avenues; Twenty-seventh avenue between Lawton and Moraga streets; Ulloa street between Thirty-ninth and Fortieth avenues; crossing of Diamond and Sussex streets; crossing of Thirty-ninth avenue and Ulloa street, and the crossing of Twenty-seventh avenue and Moraga street.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The roadways of the following named streets, including the curbs on both sides thereof, having been constructed to the satisfaction of the Board of Public Works and of the Board of Supervisors, are hereby conditionally accepted by the City and County of San Francisco (except those portions required by law to be kept in order by the railroad company having tracks thereon), in accordance with the provisions of Section 23, Chapter 2, Article VI of the Charter, said roadways having been paved with concrete and asphaltic concrete and curbs laid thereon, and are in good condition throughtout, to-wit:

Arago street between Havelock and Paulding streets, paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs laid thereon, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Castro street between Sussex and Laidley streets, and the intersection of Castro street and Laidley street paved with concrete and concrete curbs laid thereon, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Fourteenth avenue between Santiago and Taraval streets, paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs laid thereon, sewer and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Fourteenth avenue between Taraval and Ulloa streets, paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs laid thereon, sewer and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Lawton street between Twenty-sixth and Twenty-seventh avenues, paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs laid thereon, sewer and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Munich street between Persia and Russia avenues, paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs laid thereon, sewer and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Rhode Island street between Southern Heights avenue and Twenty-second street, paved with concrete and concrete curbs laid thereon, sewer and gas mains have

been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Rhode Island street between Twentieth street and Southern Heights avenue, including the crossing of Twentieth street and Rhode Island street and the intersection of Rhode Island street and Southern Heights avenue, paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs laid thereon, sewer and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Twenty-second avenue between Lawton and Moraga streets and Moraga street between Twenty-second and Twenty-third avenues paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs laid thereon, sewer and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Twenty-seventh avenue between Lawton and Moraga streets, paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs have been laid thereon, sewer and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Ulloa street between Thirty-ninth and Fortieth avenues, paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs laid thereon, sewer and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Crossing of Diamond street and Sussex street paved with asphaltic concrete, and concrete curbs laid thereon, sewer and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Crossing of Thirty-ninth avenue and Ulloa street, paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs laid thereon, sewer and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have bene laid therein.

Crossing of Twenty-seventh avenue and Moraga street, paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs laid thereon, sewer and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Hayden, Shannon—3.

Fixing Sidewalk Widths on Kingston Street.

Bill No. 8095, Ordinance No. 7609 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered nine hundred and seventy-three.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Board of Public Works filed in this office June 30, 1927, by adding thereto a new section, to be numbered nine hundred and seventy-three, to read as follows:

Section 973. The width of sidewalks on Kingston street between Prospect avenue and a line 150 feet westerly from Prospect avenue shall be five (5) feet.

The width of sidewalks on Kingston street between a line 150 feet westerly from Prospect avenue to Coleridge street are hereby dispensed with and abolished.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect and be in force from and after its passage.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Hayden, Shannon—3.

Changing Grades, Certain Streets.

Bill No. 8096, Ordinance No. 7610 (New Series), as follows:

Changing and reestablishing the official grades on Bright street between Randolph and Shields streets, and on Sargent street between Orizaba avenue and Head street.

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors, on the written recommendation of the Board of Public Works, did, on the 8th day of April, 1927, by Resolution No. 27042 (New Series), declare its intention to change and reestablish the grades on Bright street between Randolph and Shields streets, and on Sargent street between Orizaba avenue and Head street.

Whereas, said resolution was so published for ten days, and the Board of Public Works within ten days after the first publication of said resolution of intention caused notices of the passage of said resolution to be conspicuously posted along all streets specified in the resolution, in the manner and as provided by law; and

Whereas, more than forty days has elapsed since the first publication of said resolution of intention; therefore,

Be it ordained by the People of

the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The grades on the following named streets at the points hereinafter named and at the elevations above city base as hereinafter stated, are hereby changed and established as follows:

Bright Street.

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, at Randolph street northerly line, 293 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, at Randolph street northerly line, 293 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

350 feet northerly from Randolph street, 335.44 feet.

400 feet northerly from Randolph street, 342.20 feet.

450 feet northerly from Randolph street, 350.37 feet.

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

Sargent street southerly line, 377 feet.

Sargent street northerly line, 380 feet.

250 feet northerly from Sargent street, 423.75 feet.

300 feet northerly from Sargent street, 431.87 feet.

350 feet northerly from Sargent street, 438.75 feet.

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

Shields street, 470 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Sargent Street.

Orizaba avenue (for Sargent street only), 383.40 feet.

100 feet easterly from Bright street, 380.95 feet.

Southerly line of, at Bright street, 377 feet.

Northerly line of, at Bright street, 380 feet.

13 feet southerly from the northerly line of, 150 feet easterly from Head street, 375.66 feet.

13 feet southerly from the northerly line of, 100 feet easterly from Head street, 372.79 feet.

13 feet southerly from the northerly line of, 50 feet easterly from Head street, 371.50 feet.

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

13 feet northerly from the southerly line of, 150 feet easterly from Head street, 374.84 feet.

13 feet northerly from the southerly line of, 100 feet easterly from Head street, 372.58 feet.

13 feet northerly from the southerly line of, 50 feet easterly from Head street, 371.50 feet.

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

Head street, 371 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

On Bright street between Randolph and Shields streets, and on Sargent street between Orizaba avenue and Head street, changed and established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Hayden, Shannon—3.

Bill No. 8097, Ordinance No. 7611 (New Series), as follows:

Changing and reestablishing the official grades on Bauer street between Cayuga avenue and a line parallel with the southeasterly line of Alemany avenue and 100 feet northwesterly therefrom.

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors, on the written recommendation of the Board of Public Works, did, on the 15th day of April, 1927, by Resolution No. 27083 (New Series), declare its intention to change and reestablish the grades on Bauer street between Cayuga avenue and a line parallel with the southeasterly line of Alemany avenue and 100 feet northwesterly therefrom.

Whereas, said resolution was so published for ten days, and the Board of Public Works within ten days after the first publication of said resolution of intention caused notices of the passage of said resolution to be conspicuously posted along all streets specified in the resolution, in the manner and as provided by law; and

Whereas, more than forty days has elapsed since the first publication of said resolution of intention; therefore,

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The grades on the following named streets at the points hereinafter named and at the elevations above city base as hereinafter stated. are hereby changed and established as follows:

Bauer Street.

8 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, 200.90 feet southeasterly from Cayuga avenue, 149.80 feet.

8 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, 119.35 feet southeasterly from Cayuga avenue, 135 feet.

8 feet northeasterly from the

southwesterly line of, 202.24 feet southeasterly from Cayuga avenue, 149.90 feet.

8 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, on a radial line passing through the northeasterly line of, at the point of reverse curve 119.35 feet southeasterly from Cayuga avenue, 135 feet.

8 feet southwesterly from the northeasterly line of, at Cayuga avenue northeasterly line, 122.60 feet.

8 feet northeasterly from the southwesterly line of, 0.90 feet northwesterly from Cayuga avenue southeasterly line, 122.90 feet.

On Bauer street between Cayuga avenue and a line parallel with the southeasterly line of Alemany avenue and 100 feet northwesterly therefrom, changed and established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Hayden, Shannon—3.

Bill No. 8098, Ordinance No. 7612 (New Series), as follows:

Changing and reestablishing the official grades on Grafton avenue between the easterly line of Jules avenue and Orizaba avenue; on Ashton avenue between the northerly line of Grafton avenue and a line parallel with the southerly line of Grafton avenue and 300 feet southerly therefrom, and on Orizaba avenue between the northerly curb line of Garfield street produced and the northerly line of Grafton avenue produced.

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors, on the written recommendation of the Board of Public Works, did, on the 22d day of April, 1927, by Resolution No. 27122 (New Series), declare its intention to change and reestablish the grades on Grafton avenue between the easterly line of Jules avenue and Orizaba avenue; on Ashton avenue between the northerly line of Grafton avenue and a line parallel with the southerly line of Grafton avenue and 300 feet southerly therefrom, and on Orizaba avenue between the northerly curb line of Garfield street produced and the northerly line of Grafton avenue produced.

Whereas, said resolution was so published for ten days, and the Board of Public Works within ten days after the first publication of said resolution of intention caused notices of the passage of said resolution to be conspicuously posted along all streets specified in the resolution, in the manner and as provided by law; and

Whereas, more than forty days has elapsed since the first publication of said resolution of intention; therefore,

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The grades on the following named streets at the points hereinafter named and at the elevations above city base as hereinafter stated, are hereby changed and established as follows:

Grafton Avenue.

12 feet northerly from the southerly line of, at Jules avenue westerly line, 344.40 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

12 feet southerly from the northerly line of, at Jules avenue westerly line, 342.60 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

12 feet southerly from the northerly line of, at Ashton avenue easterly line, 351 feet.

12 feet northerly from the southerly line of, at Ashton avenue, easterly line, 351 feet.

Northerly line of, 15 feet westerly from Ashton avenue easterly line, 350 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Northerly line of, 15 feet easterly from Ashton avenue westerly line, 351 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Southerly line of, 15 feet westerly from Ashton avenue easterly line, 351.50 feet.

Southerly line of, 15 feet easterly from Ashton avenue westerly line, 352.42 feet.

12 feet northerly from the southerly line of, at Ashton avenue westerly line, 352.42 feet.

12 feet southerly from the northerly line of, at Ashton avenue westerly line, 352.42 feet.

12 feet southerly from the northerly line of, at Orizaba avenue easterly line, 353.50 feet.

12 feet northerly from the southerly line of, at Orizaba avenue easterly line, 353.50 feet.

Ashton Avenue.

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, at Grafton avenue northerly line, 350 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, at Grafton avenue northerly line, 351 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Westerly line of, 12 feet southerly from Grafton avenue northerly line, 352.42 feet.

Easterly line of, 12 feet southerly

from Grafton avenue northerly line, 351 feet.

Westerly line of, 12 feet northerly from Grafton avenue southerly line, 352.42 feet.

Easterly line of, 12 feet northerly from Grafton avenue southerly line, 351 feet.

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, at Grafton avenue southerly line, 351.50 feet.

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, at Grafton avenue southerly line, 352.42 feet.

300 feet southerly from Grafton avenue, 428 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Orizaba Avenue.

Easterly line of, 67.14 feet southerly from Grafton avenue, 367.20 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, at Garfield street northerly line, 365.66 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Grafton avenue southerly line produced, 353.50 feet.

Grafton avenue northerly line produced, 353.50 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

On Grafton avenue between the easterly line of Jules avenue and Orizaba avenue; on Ashton avenue between the northerly line of Grafton avenue and a line parallel with the southerly line of Grafton avenue and 300 feet southerly therefrom, and on Orizaba avenue between the northerly curb line of Garfield street produced and the northerly line of Grafton avenue produced, changed and established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Hayden, Shannon—3.

Bill No. 8099, Ordinance No. 7613 (New Series), as follows:

Changing and reestablishing the official grades on Fitzgerald avenue between lines at right angles to the southwesterly line of and respectively 330.75 feet and 188.79 feet southeasterly from Charter Oak avenue.

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors, on the written recommendation of the Board of Public Works, did, on the 11th day of March, 1927, by Resolution No. 26878 (New Series), declare its intention to change and reestablish the grades on Fitzgerald avenue between lines at right angles to the southwesterly line of and respectively 330.75 feet and 188.79 feet southeasterly from Charter Oak avenue;

Whereas, said resolution was so published for ten days, and the Board of Public Works within ten days after the first publication of said resolution of intention caused notices of the passage of said resolution to be conspicuously posted along the streets specified in the resolution, in the manner and as provided by law; and

Whereas, more than forty days has elapsed since the first publication of said resolution of intention; therefore,

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The grades on the following named streets at the points hereinafter named and at the elevations above city base as hereinafter stated, are hereby changed and established as follows:

Fitzgerald Avenue.

On a line at right angles to the southwesterly line of, 330.75 feet southeasterly from Charter Oak avenue, 36.50 feet.

On a line at right angles to the southwesterly line of, 188.79 feet southeasterly from Charter Oak avenue, 40.20 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

On Fitzgerald avenue between lines at right angles to the southwesterly line of and respectively 330.75 feet and 188.79 feet southeasterly from Charter Oak avenue changed and established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Hayden, Shannon—3.

Changing Grades, Certain Streets.

Bill No. 8100, Ordinance No. 7614 (New Series), as follows:

Changing and reestablishing the official grades on Langton street between Bryant street and a line parallel with and 265 feet southerly therefrom.

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors, on the written recommendation of the Board of Public Works, did, on the 8th day of April, 1927, by Resolution No. 27035 (New Series), declare its intention to change and reestablish the grades on Langton street between Bryant

street and a line parallel with and 265 feet southerly therefrom.

Whereas, said resolution was so published for ten days, and the Board of Public Works within ten days after the first publication of said resolution of intention caused notices of the passage of said resolution to be conspicuously posted along all streets specified in the resolution, in the manner and as provided by law; and

Whereas, more than forty days has elapsed since the first publication of said resolution of intention; therefore,

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The grades on the following-named streets at the points hereinafter named and at the elevations above city base as hereinafter stated are hereby changed and established as follows:

Langton Street.

265 feet southerly from Bryant street, 7.80 feet.

On Langton street between Bryant street and a line parallel with and 265 feet southerly therefrom, changed and established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor and the present official grade of Bryant street at Langton street.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Hayden, Shannon—3.

Fixing Sidewalk Widths on Yukon Street.

Bill No. 8101, Ordinance No. 7615 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto new sections to be numbered nine hundred and seventy to nine hundred and seventy-two, inclusive.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Board of Public Works filed in this office June 21, 1927, by adding thereto new sections to be numbered nine hundred and seventy to nine hundred and seventy-two, inclusive, to read as follows:

Section 970. The width of sidewalks on Yukon street between Caselli avenue and Short street shall be as shown on that certain map entitled "Map of Yukon Street between Caselli avenue and Short Street," showing the location of street and curb lines and the width of sidewalks.

Section 971. The width of sidewalks on Eagle street between Yukon street and Market street shall be as shown on that certain map entitled "Map of Eagle Street between Yukon Street and Market Street," showing the location of street curb lines and the width of sidewalks.

Section 972. The width of sidewalks on Short street between Yukon street and Market street shall be as shown on that certain map entitled "Map of Short Street between Yukon Street and Market Street," showing the location of street and curb lines and the width of sidewalks.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect and be in force from and after its passage.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Hayden, Shannon—3.

Approving Map, Western Addition Block No. 476.

Bill No. 8102, Ordinance No. 7616 (New Series), as follows:

Approving and adopting the official map of Western Addition Block No. 476 in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California.

Whereas, the Board of Public Works did, by Resolution No. 97812 (Second Series) of said Board, passed June 20, 1927, approve a map of said Western Addition Block No. 476, prepared by the City Engineer, which map was filed by said Board of Public Works in the office of the Board of Supervisors on June 21, 1927, with the recommendation that the same be adopted and approved as the official map of said Western Addition Block No. 476; now, therefore,

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The map prepared by the City Engineer of the City and County of San Francisco, entitled "Official Map of Western Addition Block No. 476," which map was

made by City Engineer, under direction of the Board of Supervisors as per Resolution No. 26849 (New Series), approved February 21, 1927, of said Board and in accordance with the provisions of Section No. 3658a of the Political Code of the State of California, is hereby adopted as the official map of Western Addition Block No. 476 of the City and County of San Francisco, as shown thereon.

Section 2. The attention of the Board of Public Works, the City Engineer, the Assesor and the Recorder is hereby called to the provisions of this ordinance.

The Clerk of the Board of Supervisors is hereby directed to file in the office of the City and County Recorder the map of said Western Addition Block No. 476, as approved by the Board of Public Works.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Hayden, Shannon—3.

Approving Map, Western Addition Block No. 478.

Bill No. 8103, Ordinance No. 7617 (New Series), as follows:

Approving and adopting official map of Western Addition Block No. 478 in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California.

Whereas, the Board of Public Works, did, by Resolution No. 97812 (Second Series) of said Board, passed June 20, 1927, approve a map of said Western Addition Block No. 478, prepared by the City Engineer, which map was filed by said Board of Public Works in the office of the Board of Supervisors on June 21, 1927, with the recommendation that the same be adopted and approved as the Official Map of said Western Addition Block No. 478; now, therefore,

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The map prepared by the City Engineer of the City and County of San Francisco, entitled "Official Map of Western Addition Block No. 478." which map was made by the City Engineer, under direction of the Board of Supervisors as per Resolution No. 27343 (New Series), approved June 6, 1927, of said Board and in accordance with the provisions of Section No. 3658A of the Political Code of the State of California, is hereby adopted as the Official Map of Western Addition Block No. 478 of the City and County of San Francisco, as shown thereon.

Section 2. The attention of the Board of Public Works, the City Engineer, the Assesor and the Recorder is hereby called to the provisions of this ordinance.

The Clerk of the Board of Supervisors is hereby directed to file in the office of the City and County Recorder the map of said Western Addition Block No. 478, as approved by the Board of Public Works.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Hayden, Shannon—3.

Spur Track Permits, Southern Pacific Company.

Bill No. 8104, Ordinance No. 7618 (New Series), as follows:

Granting permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to Southern Pacific Company (a corporation), and The Atchison, Topeka and Santa Fe Railway Company (a corporation), to construct, maintain and operate spur tracks upon, along and across Rhode Island and Fifteenth streets in the locations hereinafter described.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, is hereby granted to Southern Pacific Company, a corporation, and The Atchison, Topeka and Santa Fe Railway Company, a corporation, to construct, maintain and operate spur tracks upon, along and across Rhode Island and Fifteenth streets in the City and County of San Francisco, the center lines of said tracks being particularly described as follows, to-wit:

Beginning at a point on the center line of an existing S. P. Co. and A. T. & S. F. track in Rhode Island street, distant easterly 10 feet from the westerly line of Rhode Island street and distant northerly 100 feet, more or less, from the northerly line of Fifteenth street; thence in a southerly direction parallel to and distant easterly 10 feet from the westerly line of Rhode Island street, crossing Fifteenth street for a distance of 430 feet, more or less; thence on a curve concave to the left to a point on the center line of said existing S. P. Co. and A. T. & S. F. track, distant westerly 7 feet, more or less,

from the easterly line of Rhode Island street and distant southerly 5 feet, more or less, from the northerly line of Sixteenth street.

Also, beginning at a point on the center line of the above described track, distant southerly 40 feet, more or less, from the southerly line of Fifteenth street and distant easterly 10 feet from the westerly line of Rhode Island street; thence in a northwesterly direction through a No. 7 turnout to the left and crossing Fifteenth street to a point on the northerly line of Fifteenth street, distant westerly 3 feet, more or less, from the westerly line of Rhode Island street.

Said permission is granted subject to the provisions of Ordinance No. 69 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors, approved October 12, 1906, and the provisions and conditions of Section 8 thereof are hereby specifically contained in the permit hereby granted and shall be construed as a part hereof as completely as though the same were written in this ordinance.

Provided, that said tracks shall be laid under the supervision and to the lines and grades as furnished by the City Engineers' office, and that any and all expense connected with the installation of the tracks, restoration of the pavement and any additional requirements for the surface drainage is to be paid for by the Southern Pacific Company and The Atchison, Topeka and Santa Fe Railway Company; provided, that Southern Pacific Company and The Atchison, Topeka and Santa Fe Railway Company shall erect and maintain all-night lighted arc lamps to be placed where directed by the Lighting Committee of the Board of Supervisors.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Hayden, Shannon—3.

Bill No. 8105, Ordinance No. 7619 (New Series), as follows:

Granting permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to Southern Pacific Company, a corporation, to construct, maintain and operate spur tracks upon, along and across a portion of Harrison street between Seventeenth and Eighteenth streets, in the City and County of San Francisco, in the locations hereinafter described.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, is hereby granted to Southern Pacific Company, a corporation, to construct, maintain and operate spur tracks upon, along and across a portion of Harrison street between Seventeenth and Eighteenth streets, the center lines of said proposed tracks being particularly described as follows, to-wit:

Beginning at a point in an existing side track in Harrison street, said point being distant southerly 606 feet, more or less, from the southerly line of Sixteenth street; thence in a southwesterly direction through a girder rail tunrout to the right and crossing Harrison street a distance of 189 feet, more or less, to a point on the westerly line of Harrison street, distant northerly thereon 311 feet, more or less, from the notherly line of Eighteenth street; thence continuing into private property.

Beginning at a point on the above described center line, distant northerly thereon 98 feet, more or less, from the westerly line of Harrison street; thence in a southwesterly direction, crossing Harrison street, a distance of 60 feet, more or less, to a point on the westerly line of Harrison street, distant northerly thereon 349 feet, more or less, from the northerly line of Eighteenth street; thence continuing into private property.

Beginning at a point on the center line of an existing side track in Harrison street, said point being distant southerly 710 feet, more or less, from the southerly line of Sixteenth street; thence in a southwesterly direction through a girder rail turnout to the right and crossing Harrison street a distance of 131 feet, more or less, to a point on the westerly line of Harrison street, distant northerly thereon 265 feet, more or less, from the northerly line of Eighteenth street; thence continuing into private property.

Said permission is granted subject to the provisions of Ordinance No. 69 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors, approved October 12, 1906, and the provisions and conditions of Section 8 thereof are hereby specifically contained in the permit hereby granted, and shall be construed as a part hereof as completely as though the same were written in this ordinance.

Provided that said spur tracks shall be laid under the supervision and to the lines and grades as furnished by the City Engineer's office, and that any and all expense

connected with the installation of the tracks, restoration of the pavement and any additional requirements for the surface drainage is to be paid for by the Southern Pacific Company; provided that Southern Pacific Company shall erect and maintain all-night lighted arc lamps to be placed where directed by the Lighting Committee of the Board of Supervisors.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Hayden, Shannon—3.

Spur Track Permit, Santa Fe Railway Company.

Bill No. 8106, Ordinance No. 7620 (New Series), as follows:

Granting permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to The Atchison, Topeka and Santa Fe Railway Company to construct, maintain and operate spur tracks across Twenty-fifth street and in and along Minnesota street.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, is hereby granted to The Atchison, Topeka and Santa Fe Railway Company to construct, maintain and operate spur tracks as follows:

Beginning at a point in the center line of an existing track of The Atchison, Topeka and Santa Fe Railway Company in the center line of Twenty-fifth street, City and County of San Francisco, California, lying 78.84 feet easterly from the easterly line of Tennessee street; thence over and along Twenty-fifth street and crossing Tennessee and Minnesota streets on the following described courses, to-wit: Westerly on a curve to the left having a radius of 573.69 feet, a distance of 100.00 feet; thence tangent 32.07 feet; thence on a curve to the right having a radius of 573.69 feet, a distance of 100.00 feet to a point lying 71.98 feet westerly from the westerly line of said Tennessee street and 10.00 northerly from the southerly line of said Twenty-fifth street; thence westerly parallel with said southerly line of Twenty-fifth street, a distance of 50.00 feet; thence on a curve to the right, having a radius of 573.69 feet, a distance of 100.00 feet; thence tangent 32.07 feet; thence on a curve to the left 100.00 feet to a point in said existing track 72.80 feet westerly from the westerly line of said Minnesota street and in the center line of Twenty-fifth street.

Beginning at a point on the center line of proposed relocation of main track in Twenty-fifth street, City and County of San Francisco, California, lying 71.98 feet westerly from the westerly line of Tennessee street and 10.00 feet northerly from the southerly line of Twenty-fifth street; thence over, along and crossing Twenty-fifth street and Minnesota street on the following described courses, to-wit: Westerly on a curve to the right having a radius of 235.65 feet, a distance of 50.00 feet; thence continuing northwesterly on a curve to the right having a radius of 177.79 feet, a distance of 238.02 feet to a point lying 17.95 feet easterly from the westerly line of Minnesota street and 123.11 feet northerly from the northerly line of Twenty-fifth street; thence continuing parallel with the said westerly line of Minnesota street a distance of 258.19 feet to connection with an existing track in said Minnesota street; thence along said existing track in said Minnesota street which is 17.95 feet easterly from the said westerly line of Minnesota street a distance of 335.00 feet to proposed end of said existing track; thence continuing northerly on proposed spur parallel with said westerly line of Minnesota street 149.70 feet to the southerly line of Twenty-third street produced easterly across said Minnesota street.

Said permission is granted subject to the provisions of Ordinance No. 69 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors, approved October 12, 1906, and the provisions and conditions of Section 8 thereof are hereby specifically contained in the permit hereby granted and shall be construed as a part hereof as complete as though the same were written in this ordinance.

Provided, that said spur tracks shall be laid under the supervision and to the lines and grades as furnished by the City Engineer's office; and that any and all expenses connected with the installation of the tracks, restoration of the pavement and any additional requirements for the surface drainage be paid for by The Atchison, Topeka and Santa Fe Railway Company.

Provided, that The Atchison, Topeka and Santa Fe Railway Company shall erect and maintain all-night lighted arc lamps to be placed where directed by the Lighting Committee of the Board of Supervisors.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Hayden, Shannon—3.

Spur Track Permit, The Atchison, Topeka and Santa Fe Railway Company.

Bill No. 8107, Ordinance No. 7621 (New Series), as follows:

Granting permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to The Atchison, Topeka and Santa Fe Railway Company to construct, maintain and operate a spur track across Illinois and Michigan streets in and along Twenty-third street and a short spur crossing a portion of Twenty-third street, as hereinafter described.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, is hereby granted to The Atchison, Topeka and Santa Fe Railway Company to construct, maintain and operate a spur track across Illinois and Michigan streets in and along Twenty-third street and a short spur crossing a portion of Twenty-third street, being particularly described as follows:

Track No. 1 — Beginning at a point on the center line of an existing track in Illinois street, in the City and County of San Francisco, California, said point lying 32.7 feet westerly from the eastern line of said Illinois street and 416.1 feet southerly from the northerly line of Twenty-third street produced across said Illinois street; thence northeasterly over and along said Illinois street on a curve to the right having a radius of 398.94 feet, a distance of 91.0 feet to a point; thence continuing northeasterly on a curve to the right, having a radius of 338.27 feet, tangent to last described curve at last described point, a distance of 60.2 feet to a point on the easterly line of said Illinois street 268.8 feet southerly from said northerly line of Twenty-third street; thence continuing northeasterly across private property on last described curve a distance of 275.5 feet to a point on the western line of Michigan street 89.0 feet southerly from said northern line of Twenty-third street; thence continuing easterly, crossing Michigan street and along Twenty-third street, on last described curve, a distance of 109.0 feet to a point in said Twenty-third street 71.5 feet southerly from said northern line of Twenty-third street and 27.5 feet easterly from the eastern line of Michigan street produced across Twenty-third street; thence easterly along said Twenty-third street 452.5 feet to the eastern termination of said Twenty-third street 71.5 feet southerly from said northern line of Twenty-third street.

Tract No. 2 — Beginning at a point on the center line of above described track in Twenty-third street 40.00 feet northerly from the intersection with the western line of Georgia street produced northerly across said Twenty-third street; thence easterly along said Twenty-third street on a curve to the left, having a radius of 398.94 feet, a distance of 62.9 feet; thence easterly on a curve to the right having a radius of 398.94 feet, a distance of 71.9 feet to a point which is 58.5 feet southerly from the northerly line of said Twenty-third street and 14.6 feet easterly from the eastern line of said Georgia street; thence easterly, parallel with said northern line of Twenty-third street, 148.0 feet; thence easterly on a curve to the left, having a radius of 398.94 feet, a distance of 37.4 feet to a point on the eastern termination of said Twenty-third street 57.5 feet southerly from said northern line of Twenty-third street.

Said permission is granted subject to the provisions of Ordinance No. 69 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors, approved October 12, 1906, and the provisions and conditions of Section 8 thereof are hereby specifically contained in the permit hereby granted and shall be construed as a part hereof as complete as though the same were written in this ordinance.

Provided, that said spur track shall be laid under the supervision and to the lines and grades as furnished by the City Engineer's office, and that any and all expenses connected with the installation of the track, restoration of the pavement and any additional requirements for the surface drainage be paid for by The Atchison, Topeka and Santa Fe Railway Company.

Provided, that The Atchison, Topeka and Santa Fe Railway Company shall erect and maintain all-night lighted arc lamps to be placed where directed by the Lighting Committee of the Board of Supervisors.

Provided, that all trackage within the street area be constructed with girder rails.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Hayden, Shannon—3.

Ordering Street Work.

Bill No. 8108, Ordinance No. 7622 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works, in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors May 11, 1927, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in twenty installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be six months from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Carolina street between Nineteenth street and Twentieth street, by grading to official line and grade and by the construction of the following: Class "A" Portland cement concrete (1:2:4 mix) in walls, copings, steps and structures, including all excavation and backfill therefor and including the furnishing and placing of concrete reinforcing steel for same; standard six (6) inch concrete curbs; artificial stone sidewalks; concrete pavement; brick manholes with appurtenances; brick catchbasins with cast iron frames, gratings and traps; storm water inlets with cast iron frame and gratings; the necessary six (6) inch ironstone pipe side-sewers; ten (10) inch ironstone pipe culverts.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Hayden, Shannon—3.

Bill No. 8109, Ordinance No. 7623 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors January 11, 1927, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respecitvely, may be paid in twenty installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be six months from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Hudson avenue between Lane street and Mendell street in front of the unsigned portions described as follows: On the southerly side of Hudson avenue from the westerly line of Lane street to a line 90 feet westerly from and parallel with Lane street, and from a line 355 feet westerly from and parallel with Lane street

to a line 360 feet westerly from and parallel with Lane street; on the northerly side from a line 240 feet westerly from and parallel with Lane street to a line 480 feet westerly from and parallel with Lane street, by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of the necessary side-sewers, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and a 1½-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface, on the roadway thereof; and the improvement of the crossing of Hudson avenue and Mendell street by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks on the angular corners; by the construction of the necessary brick catchbasins with 10-inch ironstone pipe culverts, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and a 1½-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface, on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Hayden, Shannon—3.

PRESENTATION OF BILLS AND ACCOUNTS.

Your Finance Committee, having examined miscellaneous demands not required by law to be passed to print, and amounting to $64,490.78, recommends same be allowed and ordered paid.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Hayden, Shannon—3.

NEW BUSINESS.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Authorizations.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy:

Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

Tearing-Up Streets Fund.

(1) Gladding, McBean & Co., sewer pipe for side sewers (claim dated July 8, 1927), $525.

Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue, 1910.

(2) Gladding, McBean & Co., sewer pipe and drain tile (claim dated June 30, 1927), $1,769.76.

Hetch Hetchy Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1925.

(3) T. E. Connolly and J. M. De Lucca, sixth payment, construction of aqueduct tunnels, Foothill Division (claim dated July 9, 1927), $33,620.91.

(4) A. Guthrie & Co., Inc., seventh payment, construction of aqueduct tunnels, Foothill Division (claim dated July 9, 1927), $49,215.98.

(5) A. Levy and J. Zentner, foodstuffs (claim dated June 30, 1927), $532.62.

(6) Westinghouse Electric and Mfg. Co., transformers and circuit breakers (claim dated June 30, 1927), $1,195.07.

Judgments (*Appropriation* 59.)

(7) H. U. Brandenstein, one-tenth of final judgments for judgment creditors, per schedule attached (claim dated June 13, 1927), $509.47.

Park Fund.

(8) Frank Ames, net profit on merry-go-round at Fleishhacker Playfield (claim dated June 30, 1927), $1,524.69.

(9) The Fay Improvement Co., asphalting roadway from Stanyan street into park at pavilion (claim dated June 30, 1927), $504.

(10) Pacific Gas & Electric Co., gas and electric service for parks (claim dated June 30, 1927), $4,047.18.

(11) Spring Valley Water Co., water service for parks (claim dated June 30, 1927), $4,562.50.

(12) Crown Oil Co., gasoline for parks (claim dated June 30, 1927), $512.32.

(13) Langendorf Baking Co., bread for parks (claim dated June 30, 1927), $618.36.

(14) National Ice Cream Co., ice cream for parks (claim dated June 30, 1927), $1,323.41.

Municipal Railway Fund.

(15) San Francisco City Employees' Retirement System, pensions, etc., for Municipal Railway employees (claim dated June 30, 1927), $7,105.76.

(16) R. W. Jamison, electric-driven, semi-portable air compressor (claim dated June 30, 1927), $1,583.35.

(17) R. W. Jamison, wheel-truing brake shoes (claim dated June 30, 1927), $590.

(18) United States Steel Products Co., steel crossing and plates (claim dated June 30, 1927), $533.

(19) Westinghouse Electric and Mfg. Co., armature coils, trolley frogs, etc. (claim dated June 30, 1927), $818.30.

Municipal Railway Compensation Insurance Fund.

(20) San Francisco City Employees' Retirement System, pensions, etc., for Municipal Railways (claim dated June 30, 1927), $2,074.96.

Municipal Railway Depreciation Fund.

(21) St. Louis Car Co., fourth payment, car bodies for Municipal Railways (claim dated July 12, 1927), $19,368.

Municipal Railway Depreciation Reserve Fund.

(22) Market Street Railway Co., repairs to tracks of Municipal Railway on Market street (claim dated June 30, 1927), $2,248.23.

(23) Bureau of Street Repair, Board of Public Works, paving at Municipal Railway, North Point street between Hyde and Leavenworth streets (claim dated June 30, 1927), $2,281.72.

School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1918.

(24) Haas Wood & Ivory Works, bookkeeping tables for High School of Commerce (claim dated July 12, 1927), $1,432.80.

(25) C. F. Weber & Co., manual training benches for Galileo High School (claim dated July 12, 1927), $645.50.

School Construction Fund, Bond Issue, 1923.

(26) R. Brandlein & Co., chair desks for John Muir and Sherman schools (claim dated July 12, 1927), $3,795.92.

(27) Anderson & Ringrose, sixth payment, general construction, additions to Sunnyside School (claim dated July 12, 1927), $30,150.

(28) Crown Electric Co., ninth payment, electric work for Edison School (claim dated July 12, 1927), $3,003.85.

Special School Tax.

(29) E. K. Nelson, seventh payment, general construction of addition to Mission School (claim dated July 13, 1927), $1,957.31.

(30) Thos. Skelly, fifth payment, plumbing work, addition to Commodore Sloat School (claim dated July 13, 1927), $2,069.12.

General Fund, 1926-1927.

(31) Sonoma State Home, maintenance of feeble-minded (claim dated June 30, 1927), $9,510.

(32) San Francisco Bulletin, official advertising (claim dated June 30, 1927), $527.40.

(33) Pacific Gas & Electric Co., street lighting for June, 1927 (claim dated June 30, 1927), $52,427.40.

(34) Crown Oil Company, gasoline for Police Department (claim dated June 30, 1927), $987.36.

(35) San Francisco Nursery, maintenance of minors (claim dated June 30, 1927), $602.35.

(36) Boys' Aid Society, maintenance of minors (claim dated June 30, 1927), $1,117.95.

(37) The Albertinum School, maintenance of minors (claim dated June 30, 1927), $1,391.74.

(38) St. Vincent's School, maintenance of minors (claim dated June 30, 1927), $2,121.53.

(39) California Meat Co., meats, Relief Home (claim dated June 30, 1927), $2,810.72.

(40) J. T. Freitas Co., eggs, Relief Home (claim dated June 30, 1927), $1,037.40.

(41) Lesser Bros. Co., meats, Relief Home (claim dated June 30, 1927), $950.57.

(42) C. Naumann Co., vegetables, Relief Home (claim dated June 30, 1927), $1,179.03.

(43) San Francisco Dairy Co., milk, Relief Home (claim dated June 30, 1927), $2,319.52.

(44) E. B. Frick, room allowances for San Francisco Hospital employees (claim dated June 30, 1927), $2,227.05.

(45) McCarthy Bros., coffee, S. F. Hospital (claim dated June 30, 1927), $744.62.

(46) C. Naumann & Co., vegetables, S. F. Hospital (claim dated June 30, 1927), $653.53.

(47) Spring Valley Water Co., water for S. F. Hospital (claim dated June 30, 1927), $1,685.43.

(48) Spring Valley Water Co., water for Relief Home (claim dated June 30, 1927), $698.42.

(49) San Francisco Protestant Orphanage, maintenance of minors (claim dated June 30, 1927), $1,040.

(50) Roman Catholic Orphanage, maintenance of minors (claim dated June 30, 1927), $3,991.95.

General Fund, 1927-1928.

(51) Leonard S. Leavy, reimbursement of expense for account of attending National Convention of Purchasing Agents (claim dated July 11, 1927), $536.26.

(52) Eureka Benevolent Society, maintenance of minors (claim dated July 13, 1927), $4,240.77.

(53) Little Children's Aid, main-

tenance of minors (claim dated July 13, 1927), $12,865.45.

(54) Children's Agency, maintenance of minors (claim dated July 13, 1927), $31,475.64.

(55) Associated Charities, maintenance of minors, widows' pensions (claim dated July 15, 1927), $8,664.86.

(56) Eureka Benevolent Society, widows' pensions (claim dated July 15, 1927), $995.

(57) Little Children's Aid, widows' pensions (claim dated July 15, 1927), $8,727.35.

(58) William Bowman, for property commencing at point on southerly line of Joost avenue, distant thereon 200 feet westerly from the westerly line of Baden street; thence westerly 25 feet; thence southerly 100 feet; thence easterly 25 feet; thence northerly 100 feet; per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 27476 (New Series), required for construction of sewer from Mangels avenue to Monterey boulevard between Congo and Baden streets (claim dated July 12, 1927), $850.

General Fund, 1926-1927.

(59) Spring Valley Water Co., water for street sprinkling (claim dated June 30, 1927), $607.31.

(60) Pacific Portland Cement Co., cement for street repair (claim dated June 30, 1927), $620.59.

(61) Standard Oil Co of California, asphalt for street repair (claim dated June 30, 1927), $1,985.04.

(62) Standard Oil Co. of California, asphalt for street repair (claim dated June 30, 1927), $3,883.51.

(63) Spring Valley Water Co., water for public buildings (claim dated June 30, 1927), $2,050.88.

(64) Symon Brothers, teams furnished for street cleaning (claim dated June 30, 1927), $747.50.

(65) Pacific Gas & Electric Co., lighting public buildings (claim dated June 30, 1927), $3,676.20.

Municipal Railway Accident Reserve Fund.

(66) Edward W. McKeever, settlement of claim for account of accident (claim dated June 30, 1927), $1,318.50.

Publicity and Advertising—Appropriation 55.

(67) Adelyn Brickley Jones, 20,000 illustrated booklets, "San Francisco, City of Enchantment," for San Francisco exhibit at Transcontinental Highway Exposition, Reno, Nevada (claim dated July 18, 1927), $1,211.37.

Appropriation for General Construction, South Side High School.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of Special School Tax, Budget Item No. 1, for the construction of the South Side High School, on Onondaga avenue between Otsego and Cayuga avenues, to-wit:

(1) General construction, by Macdonald & Kahn.	$249,749.00
(2) Plumbing and gasfitting, by A. Lettich.	19,000.00
(3) Mechanical equipment, by Knittle Bros.	21,705.00
(4) Electrical work, by Newberry-Pearce Elec. Co	17,300.00
(5) Architectural fees..	1,005.24
(6) Inspection, incidentals and extras.....	15,000.00
(7) Painting work, by H. Ankels	8,200.00
Total	$331,959.24

Appropriations, Completion of Duboce Tunnel, Sunset Extension, Municipal Railway and Power Supply for Same.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of Municipal Railway "old" Depreciation Fund for the following purposes, to-wit:

(1) For the completion of the Duboce Avenue Tunnel-Sunset District extension of Municipal Railways, $67,000.

(2) For power supply for the Duboce Avenue Tunnel-Sunset District extension of Municipal Railways, $49,000.

Appropriations for Reconstruction of Stanley Street Sewer and Canal Street Sanitary Sewer Extension.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of "Extension and Reconstruction of Sewers," Budget Item No. 38, fiscal year 1927-1928, for the following sewer extensions, to-wit:

(1) For the construction of an extension to the Stanley street sewer from St. Charles avenue to Junipero Serra boulevard, including inspection and extras; per award to J. M. Smith at $6,340, $7,300.

(2) For construction of the Canal

street sanitary sewer extension, Contract No. 3, including inspection and extras; per award to Jas. M. Smith at $3,758, $4,400.

Appropriation, $750, Payment to California Pacific Title and Trust Company for Land Required for the Widening of Portola Drive.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $750 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of County Road Fund, and authorized in payment to California Pacific Title & Trust Company; being payment for property required for the widening of Portola drive, to-wit:

Beginning at point on southeasterly line of Portola drive, distant 162.809 feet northeasterly from the northeasterly line of Duncan street; thence northeasterly on the southeasterly line of Portola drive 30 feet, and being of irregular dimensions; as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 27477 (New Series). (Claim dated July 12, 1927.)

Authorization of $1,625, Payment to Albert R. Herman et al. for Lands Required for the Palace of Fine Arts.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $11,625 be and the same is hereby authorized in payment out of General Fund, 1926-1927, to Albert R. Herman et al.; being payment for lands required for the Palace of Fine Arts, to-wit:

Commencing at a point formed by the intersection of the northerly line of Jefferson street with the easterly line of Lyon street, running thence easterly along said southerly line of Jefferson street 104 feet 9¼ inches to a point distant 137 feet 6 inches westerly from the westerly line of Baker street; thence at a right angle southerly 137 feet 6 inches; thence at a right angle westerly 109 feet 9¾ inches to the easterly line of Lyon street; thence along said easterly line of Lyon street 137 feet 7⅛ inches to the southerly line of Jefferson street; being a portion of Western Addition Block No. 563; also known as Lots 7 and 8, Block 916 on Assessor's Map Book. As per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 27456 (New Series). (Claim dated July 18, 1927.)

Appropriation, $9,500, Payment to J. M. McCarthy for Lands Required for School Purposes.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $9,500 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923, and authorized in payment to J. M. McCarthy in accordance with Superior Court judgment, Action No. 160106, for the hereinafter described parcels of land required for school purposes, to-wit:

(1) The northwest corner of Twenty-second avenue and Santiago street, 32 feet 6 inches by 100 feet.

(2) The northeast corner of Twenty-third avenue and Santiago street, 32 feet 6 inches by 100 feet.

(3) The north line of Santiago street, 32 feet 6 inches west of Twenty-second avenue, 50 by 100 feet.

(4) The west line of Twenty-second avenue, 100 feet north of Santiago street, 50 by 120 feet.

Plans and Specifications Authorized for the Erection of Street Signs.

Also, Bill No. 8111, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Authorizing the preparation of plans and specifications for the furnishing and erecting of street signs; ordering the furnishing and erecting of street signs in accordance with the plans and specifications so prepared; authorizing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for the said furnishing and erecting of said street signs.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized, instructed and empowered to prepare plans and specifications for the furnishing and erecting of street signs, and to enter into contract for the furnishing and erecting of street signs in accordance with the plans and specifications prepared therefor.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Award of Contract, Water and School Bonds.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 27518 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, after due notice given as provided by the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, that sealed proposals for the purchase of certain bonds of said City and County, to-wit:

Hetch Hetchy Water Bonds, issue of January 1, 1925, to the amount of $2,000,000, and School Bonds, Issue of March 1, 1923, to the amount of $1,000,000, would be opened and considered on Monday, the 11th day of July, 1927; and

Whereas, sundry bids were received and opened in accordance with the aforesaid notice of sale,

and the same having been duly considered; therefore,

Resolved, That the bid of Anglo London Paris Company, First National Bank (New York), Eldredge & Company, Kissel Kinnicutt & Company, Redmond & Company, The Detroit Company, Bank of Italy for said $2,000,000 Hetch Hetchy Water Bonds, comprising fifty bonds of $1,000 denomination, maturing each year, 1930 to 1969, inclusive, and $1,000,000 School Bonds, comprising twenty-five bonds of $1,000 denomination, maturing each year, 1928 to 1967, inclusive, be and the same is hereby accepted and said bonds are hereby struck off and sold to said Anglo London Paris Company, First National Bank (New York), Eldredge & Company, Kissel Kinnicutt & Company, Redmond & Company, The Detroit Company, Bank of Italy for the price bid therefor, to-wit, $3,232,255 and accrued interest thereon at the date of delivery.

That the Finance Committee be directed to arrange for the delivery of said bonds.

That all other bids for said bonds be rejected and the Clerk is hereby directed to return certified checks accompanying the same.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Hayden, Shannon—3.

Transferring Budget Items.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 27519 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with Resolution No. 97877 (Second Series), Department of Public Works, and in accordance with Section 3, paragraph D of Ordinance No. 7117 (New Series), being the Budget for Municipal Expenditures for the Fiscal Year 1926-1927, the following amounts be and are hereby transferred from the various hereinafter designated appropriations and budget items, contained in said Budget for 1926-1927, to the credit of Appropriation 30-A, Stores and Yards; being reimursements for account of labor charges for the month of May, 1927, to-wit:

From Appropriation 33c, Budget Item No. 572 (to Budget Item 493), $686.25.

From Appropriation 35b, Budget Item No. 592 (to Budget Item 493), $333.

From Appropriation 36b, Budget Item No. 605 (to Budget Item 493), $201.38.

From Appropriation 38c, Budget Item No. 612 (to Budget Item 493), $25.87.

From Appropriation 3, Budget Item No. 74 (to Budget Item 493), $19.13.

From Appropriation 30b, Budget Item No. 501 (to Budget Item 493), $9.

From Appropriation 33c, Budget Item No. 572 (to Budget Item 499), $31.25.

From Appropriation 35b, Budget Item No. 592 (to Budget Item 499), $88.75.

From Appropriation 36b, Budget Item No. 605 (to Budget Item 499), $5.

Total, $1,399.63.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Hayden, Shannon—3.

Referred.

The following resolution was presented by Supervisor McSheehy and on his motion *referred to the Streets Committee.*

Transfer of Street Reconstruction Items.

Resolution No. —— New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with Resolutions Nos. 97877 and 97787 (Second Series), Department of Public Works, and in accordance with Section 3, paragraph D of Ordinance No. 7117 (New Series), being the Budget for Municipal Expenditures for the Fiscal Year 1926-1927, the following amounts be and are hereby transferred from Street Reconstruction items contained in said Budget for 1926-1927 to the credit of Appropriation 33-C, Budget Item No. 73, Street Repair; being reimbursements for account of materials and supplies furnished for street reconstruction for the period July, 1926, to May, 1927, inclusive, to-wit:

From Budget Item No. 125, Leavenworth street, $1,912.54.

From Budget Item No. 126, Lombard street, $2,584.52.

From Budget Item No. 127, Lombard street, $1,334.57.

From Budget Item No. 128, Mason street, $1,619.65.

From Budget Item No. 130, Vallejo street, $1,371.56.

From Budget Item No. 133, Washington street, $1,001.95.

From Budget Item No. 135, California street, $14,692.07.
From Budget Item No. 137, Hayes street, $3,808.71.
From Budget Item No. 142, Clay street, $1,474.18.
From Budget Item No. 143, Broadway, $1,816.16.
From Budget Item No. 89, Franklin street, $925.05.
From Budget Item No. 96, Drumm street, $6,855.98.
From Budget Item No. 98, Grant avenue, $864.72.
From Budget Item No. 105, Leavenworth street, $996.19.
From Budget Item No. 106, Geary street, $6,553.74.
From Budget Item No. 107, Monroe street, $414.68.
From Budget Item No. 110, Taylor street, $1,850.22.
From Budget Item No. 111, Taylor street, $895.71.
From Budget Item No. 113, Baker street, $778.15.
From Budget Item No. 114, Union street, $1,624.26.
From Budget Item No. 117, Chestnut street, $1,501.69.
From Budget Item No. 118, Divisadero street, $1,190.71.
From Budget Item No. 120, Filbert street, $1,334.43.
From Budget Item No. 123, Greenwich street, $1,331.90.
From Budget Item No. 124, Leavenworth street, $875.39.
Total, $59,608.73.

Resolution of Intention to Establish Set-back Lines No. 137.

Supervisor Hayden presented:

Resolution No. 27520 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the City Planning Commission has recommended that set-back lines be established along the streets hereinafter mentioned and as delineated upon maps accompanying said recommendations, to which reference is hereby made for further particulars; therefore, be it

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco to establish set-back lines as provided by Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), approved May 18, 1922, as follows:

Along both sides of Ulloa street between Forty-second avenue and Forty-third avenue, said set-back lines to be 8 feet.

Along both sides of Ulloa street between Forty-third avenue and Forty-fourth avenue, said set-back lines to be 8 feet.

Along both sides of Ulloa street between Forty-fourth avenue and Forty-fifth avenue, said set-back lines to be 8 feet.

Along the westerly side of Twelfth avenue, commencing at a point 50 feet northerly from Irving street, and running thence northerly to a point 100 feet southerly from Lincoln way, said set-back line to be 12 feet; along the easterly side of Twelfth avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Irving street and running thence northerly to a point 150 feet southerly from Lincoln way, said set-back line to be 12 feet.

Along both sides of Thirty-ninth avenue, commencing at points 100 feet northerly from Taraval street and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 6 feet; thence northerly to points 100 feet southerly from Santiago street, said set-back lines to be 9 feet.

And notice is hereby given that Monday, the 22d day of August, 1927, at the hour of 11 o'clock a. m., at the chambers of the Board of Supervisors, City Hall, in said City and County of San Francisco, has been fixed as the time and place when and where any and all persons having any objections to the establishing of the proposed set-back lines may appear and present any objections which they may have to said set-back lines as set forth in this resolution of intention.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Hayden, Shannon—3.

Resolution of Intention to Establish Set-back Lines No. 138.

Supervisor Hayden presented:

Resolution No. 27521 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the City Planning Commission has recommended that set-back lines be established along the streets hereinafter mentioned and as delineated upon maps accompanying said recommendations, to which reference is hereby made for further particulars; therefore, be it

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco to establish set-back lines as provided by Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), approved May 18, 1922, as follows:

Along both sides of Foretieth avenue, commencing at points 100 feet northerly from Taraval street and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-

back lines to be 6 feet; thence northerly to Santiago street, said set-back lines to be 9 feet.

Along the westerly side of Forty-first avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Taraval street and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly to a point 100 feet southerly from Santiago street, said set-back line to be 9 feet; along the easterly side of Forty-first avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Taraval street and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly to Santiago street, said set-back line to be 9 feet.

Along both sides of Forty-second avenue, commencing at points 100 feet northerly from Taraval street and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 6 feet; thence northerly 350 feet, said set-back lines to be 9 feet.

Along both sides of Forty-third avenue, commencing at points 100 feet northerly from Taraval street and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 6 feet; thence northerly 350 feet, said set-back lines to be 9 feet.

Along both sides of Forty-fourth avenue, commencing at points 100 feet northerly from Taraval street and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 6 feet; thence northerly 350 feet, said set-back lines to be 9 feet.

And notice is hereby given that Monday, the 22d day of August, 1927, at the hour of 11 o'clock a. m., at the chambers of the Board of Supervisors, City Hall, in said City and County of San Francisco, has been fixed as the time and place when and where any and all persons having any objections to the establishing of the proposed set-back lines may appear and present any objections which they may have to said set-back lines as set forth in this resolution of intention.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Hayden, Shannon—3.

Resolution of Intention to Establish Set-back Lines No. 139.

Supervisor Hayden presented:

Resolution No. 27522 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the City Planning Commission has recommended that set-back lines be established along the streets hereinafter mentioned and as delineated upon maps accompanying said recommendations, to which reference is hereby made for further particulars: therefore, be it

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco to establish set-back lines as provided by Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), approved May 18, 1922, as follows:

Along both sides of Thirty-ninth avenue, commencing at points 100 feet northerly from Judah street and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 6 feet; thence northerly 300 feet, said set-back lines to be 9 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 3 feet.

Along both sides of Thirty-first avenue, commencing at points 100 feet northerly from Kirkham street and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 6 feet; thence northerly 300 feet, said set-back lines to be 9 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 3 feet.

Along both sides of Thirty-second avenue, commencing at points 100 feet northerly from Kirkham street and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 6 feet; thence northerly 300 feet, said set-back lines to be 9 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 3 feet.

Along both sides of Thirty-third avenue, commencing at points 100 feet northerly from Kirkham street and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 6 feet; thence northerly 300 feet, said set-back lines to be 9 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 3 feet.

Along the westerly side of Thirty-fourth avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Kirkham street and running thence

northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 275 feet, said set-back line to be 9 feet; thence northerly 37½ feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 37½ feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; along the easterly side of Thirty-fourth avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Kirkham street and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 300 feet, said set-back line to be 9 feet.

And notice is hereby given that Monday, the 22d day of August, 1927, at the hour of 11 o'clock a. m., at the chambers of the Board of Supervisors, City Hall, in said City and County of San Francisco, has been fixed as the time and place when and where any and all persons having any objections to the establishing of the proposed set-back lines may appear and present any objections which they may have to said set-back lines as set forth in this resolution of intention.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Hayden, Shannon—3.

Resolution of Intention to Establish Set-back Lines No. 140.

Supervisor Hayden presented:

Resolution No. 27523 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the City Planning Commission has recommended that set-back lines be established along the streets hereinafter mentioned and as delineated upon maps accompanying said recommendations, to which reference is hereby made for further particulars; therefore, be it

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco to establish set-back lines as provided by Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), approved May 18, 1922, as follows:

Along the westerly side of Thirty-fifth avenue, commencing at Kirkham street and running thence northerly 450 feet, said set-back line to be 9 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; along the easterly side of Thirty-fifth avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Kirkham street and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 300 feet, said set-back line to be 9 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet.

Along both sides of Thirty-sixth avenue, commencing at points 100 feet northerly from Kirkham street and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 6 feet; thence northerly 300 feet, said set-back lines to be 9 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 3 feet.

Along both sides of Thirty-seventh avenue, commencing at points 100 feet northerly from Kirkham street and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 6 feet; thence northerly 300 feet, said set-back lines to be 9 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 3 feet.

Along both sides of Thirty-eighth avenue, commencing at points 100 feet northerly from Kirkham street and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 6 feet; thence northerly 300 feet, said set-back lines to be 9 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 3 feet.

And notice is hereby given that on Monday, the 22d day of August, 1927, at the hour of 11 o'clock a. m., at the chambers of the Board of Supervisors, City Hall, in said City and County of San Francisco, has been fixed as the time and place when and where any and all persons having any objection to the establishing of the proposed set-back lines may appear and present any objections which they may have to said set-back lines as set forth in this resolution of intention.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Hayden, Shannon—3.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Oil Tank Permits.

On motion of Supervisor Deasy:

Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits be and are hereby granted:

Oil Tanks.

Avansino Bros., southwest corner of Chestnut and Pierce streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

Bank of Italy, southeast corner of Ocean and Faxon avenues, 1500 gallons capacity.

B. S. Berry, north side of Lake street, 61 feet east of Eighth avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

Louis Beyer, north side of Golden Gate avenue, 100 feet west of Scott street, 1500 gallons capacity.

W. T. Brooks, east side of Twenty-sixth avenue, 50 feet south of Lincoln way, 1500 gallons capacity.

W. Cole, 436 Pacheco street, 750 gallons capacity.

Henry Ernst & Sons, south side of Truk street, 150 feet east of Scott street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Wm. P. Goss, Inc., north side of Turk street, 137 feet 6 inches east of Broderick street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Wm. P. Goss, Inc., northwest corner of Washington and Walnut streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

P. Harris, east side of Steiner street, 50 feet north of Hayes street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Johnson & Erlendsen, north side of Grove street, 62 feet east of Divisadero street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Frank J. Klimm Co., southeast corner of Tenth and Bryant streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

Frank J. Klimm Co., 220 McAllister street, 1500 gallons capacity.

H. S. Meinberger, southeast corner of Baker and Turk streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

G. Moriconi, west side of Franklin street, south of Vallejo street, 1500 gallons capacity.

O'Brien Bros., north side of Parnassus avenue, 100 feet west of Cole street, 1500 gallons capacity.

T. Osdowski, 1160 Clay street, 600 gallons capacity.

L. B. Schupp, 376 Pacheco street, 750 gallons capacity.

R. J. Stempel, southeast corner of Jefferson and Divisadero streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

R. J. Stempel, northwest corner of Beach and Scott streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

Dr. S. G. Strickland, west side of Fillmore street, 102 feet north of Washington street, 1500 gallons capacity.

G. E. Watson, north side of Sutter street, 220 feet west of Octavia street, 1500 gallons capacity.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits shall become null and void.

Garage Permit.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That T. P. Holland, Inc., be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate a public garage on the north side of McKinnon avenue between Phelps and Quint streets.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Denying Laundry Permits.

Supervisor Deasy presented:

Resolution No. 27524 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, in the exercise of the sound and reasonable discretion of the Board of Supervisors, permission is hereby denied Wo Lee Laundry to maintain and operate a laundry at 1624 Larkin street.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Hayden, Shannon—3.

Also, Resolution No. 27525 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, in the exercise of the sound and reasonable discretion of the Board of Supervisors, permission is hereby denied Wah Ying Lung Laundry to maintain a laundry at 1626 Larkin street.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Hayden, Shannon—3.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Automobile Supply Station Permits.

On motion of Supervisor Deasy:

Resolution No. —— New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That California Petroleum Corporation be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors,

to maintain and operate an automobile supply station on the southeast corner of Geary street and Johnson avenue.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Automobile Parking Station Permit.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That James R. Ewing, Jr., be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an automobile parking station on the west side of Main street, 183 feet south of Market street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Transfer of Garage Permit.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That J. A. and Myrtle E. Smith be and are hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred to them public garage permit heretofore granted J. J. Ouimet by Resolution No. 25485 (New Series) for premises at 1140 Powell street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Automobile Parking Station Permit.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Albert Gunnarson be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an automobile parking station on the east side of Davis street, 110 feet south of Sacramento street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Transfer of Garage Permits.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Frank Peloprat be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred to him public garage permit heretofore granted D. De Vencenzi et al. by Resolution No. 21810 (New Series) for premises at 469 Eddy street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Tennant & Laning be and are hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred them public garage permit heretofore granted E. H. Denke by Resolution No. 22534 (New Series) for premises at 545 Eddy street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Accepting Offer of Anglo American Land Company et al. to Sell Certain Property on Thirty-first Avenue, South of Geary Street, Required for School Purposes.

Supervisor Powers presented:

Resolution No. 27526 (New Series) as follows:

Whereas, an offer has been received from Anglo American Land Company, George Max Hantzsche and Emile Hantzsche, his wife, to convey to the City and County of San Francisco certain land and improvements situate on the east line of Thirty-first avenue, distant 125 feet southerly from Geary street, required for school purposes; and

Whereas, the price at which said parcel of land and improvements is offered is the reasonable value thereof; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the offer of the said owners to convey to the City and County of San Francisco a good and sufficient fee simple title to the following described land with improvements, free of all encumbrances, for the sum of $7,000, be and the same is hereby accepted, the said land being described as follows, to-wit:

Commencing at a point on the easterly line of Thirty-first avenue, distant thereon 125 feet southerly from the southerly line of Geary street, running thence southerly along said easterly line of Thirty-first avenue 62 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 120 feet; thence at a right angle northerly 62 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 120 feet to the easterly line of Thirty-first avenue and point of commencement. Being a portion of Outside Land Block 254, also known as Block 1514 on Assessor's Map Book.

The City Attorney is hereby directed to examine the title to said land, and, if the same is found to be vested in the aforesaid owner, free of all encumbrances, and that the taxes up to and including the current fiscal year are paid, and

that the so-called McEnerney title has been procured or sufficient money reserved for the purpose of procuring the same, to report the result of his examination to the Board of Supervisors, and also to cause a good and sufficient deed for said land to be executed and delivered to the City and County upon payment of the agreed purchase price as aforesaid. And the said deed to said land is hereby accepted.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Hayden, Shannon—3.

Award of Contract, X-Ray Supplies, San Francisco Hospital.

Supervisor Badaracco presented:

Resolution No. 27527 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of contract be hereby made on bids submitted July 11, 1927 (Proposal No. 311), for furnishing the following:

X-Ray Supplies for San Francisco Hospital.

Item No. 1—24 packages, 2 dozen to package, radiotized dental films, 82c per package; Eastman Kodak Stores.

Item No. 2—240 dozen X-ray films, Eastman Superspeed, 8x10, $2.24 per dozen; Eastman Kodak Stores.

Item No. 3—144 dozen X-ray films, Eastman Superspeed, 11x14, $4.53 per dozen; Eastman Kodak Stores.

Item No. 4—144 dozen X-ray films, Superspeed, 14x17, $6.90 per dozen; Eastman Kodak Stores.

Items Nos. 1 to 4, packages of 6 dozen preferred.

Item No. 5—100 pounds barium sulphate, keg, 22c per pound; Eastman Kodak Stores.

Item No. 6—40 cans Buck's Developer No. 4, $3.26 per can; Hirsch & Kaye.

Item No. 7—300 pounds sodium bisulphate, 5-pound cans, 23c per pound; Eastman Kodak Stores.

Item No. 8—200 dental film mounts, 5's, $6.86 per 100; Hirsch & Kaye.

Item No. 9—200 dental film mounts, 6's, $10 per 100; Hirsch & Kaye.

Resolved, That bonds for the faithful performance of said contracts be not required, as above is for definite quantities for immediate delivery.

Resolved, That all other bids submitted thereon be rejected.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Hayden, Shannon—3.

Sugar to Be Purchased in Open Market.

Supervisor Badaracco presented:

Resolution No. 27528 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That all bids be rejected that were heretofore on July 11, 1927 (Proposal No. 313), submitted on sugar.

Resolved, That the Purchaser of Supplies be authorized to purchase sugar in the open market when necessary.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Hayden, Shannon—3.

Award of Contract, Hospital Supplies.

Supervisor Badaracco presented:

Resolution No. 27529 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of contract is hereby made on hospital supplies for Department of Public Health on bids submitted July 11, 1927 (Proposal No. 312), as follows, viz.:

Item No. 1—1700 rolls bandages, roller gauze, 44x40 mesh, 1x10 yards, $0.67 per roll; Seabury & Johnson.

Item No. 2—1250 pounds cotton, absorbent, plain, best grade, long fiber, absolutely free from seeds and other particles; in 1-pound packages, $0.28½ per pound; J. Theo Erlin.

Item No. 3—150 rolls gauze, plain, absorbent, in 100-yard rolls, 24x20 mesh, $3.15 per roll; Herbert F. Dugan.

Item No. 4—900 rolls gauze, plain; in 100-yard rolls, 16x20 mesh, $2.45 per roll; Johnson & Johnson.

Item No. 5—260 pounds lint, absorbent; in 1-pound cartons, $0.95 per carton; Johnson & Johnson.

Item No. 6—62 rolls muslin, oiled; in 5-yard rolls, $3.95 per roll; Herbert F. Dugan.

Item No. 7—600 rolls plaster, adhesive, zinc oxide; in rolls, 12 inches by 5 yards, $0.77 per roll; Herbert F. Dugan.

Resolved, That bonds for the

faithful performance of said contracts be required as follows:

Seabury & Johnson, bond required $300.

J. Theo Erlin, bond required $100.

Herbert F. Dugan, bond required $300.

Johnson & Johnson, bond required $600.

Resolved, That all other bids submitted thereon be rejected.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Hayden, Shannon—3.

Award of Contract, Lumber for Industrial Arts Department, Public Schools.

Supervisor Badaracco presented:

Resolution No. 27530 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of contract is hereby made on lumber for industrial arts department of the School Department on bids submitted July 11, 1927 (Proposal No. 310), as folows, viz.:

Item No. 1—Soft wood, $2,194; Van Arsdale Harris Lumber Co.

Item No. 2—Hardwood, $2,296.50; White Brothers.

Item No. 3—Lumber for ungraded school, $363.35; Van Arsdale Harris Lumber Co.

Item No. 5—Lumber for Galileo High School, $302.60; Van Arsdale Harris Lumber Co.

Item No. 6—Lumber for Mission High School, $267.13; Van Arsdale Harris Lumber Co.

Item No. 7—Lumber for Polytechnic High School, $37; Van Arsedale Harris Lumber Co.

Resolved, That bonds for the faithful performance of said contracts be required as follows, viz.:

Van Arsdale Harris Lumber Co., bond required $500.

White Brothers, bond required $300.

Resolved, That all other bids submitted thereon be rejected.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Hayden, Shannon—3.

ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND MOTIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

Appraisal of Taxable Properties.

The following was presented by Supervisor Havenner and *passed for printing*:

Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $121,450 is hereby appropriated and set aside from Budget Item No. 56 for continuing and completing the appraising of taxable property within the City and County, to provide for the payment of the contract entered into with James G. Stafford and associates for the making of said appraisal.

Passed for Printing.

The following bill was presented and *passed for printing*:

Budget Amendment, Salaries, Engineer's Department.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy:

Bill No. 8112, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 7558 (New Series), entitled "An ordinance fixing and appropriating the aggregate sums of the items thereof allowed to each department, officer, board and commission for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1928, and making a Budget of the same," by repealing Items No. 466 to 494 and substituting in lieu thereof Items 466 to 491.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 7558 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended by striking out Items No. 466 to 494, inclusive, and substituting in lieu thereof the following items, numbered 466 to 491, inclusive:

Bureau of Engineering.

Personal Services (Appropriation 32-A).

466 (415A)—City engineer, $5,000.

467 (415A)—Assistant City Engineer, $5,400.

468 (415A)—Three assistant civil engineers, at $3,300 a year, $9,900.

469 (415A)—One assistant civil engineer, $3,600.

470 (415A)—One assistant civil engineer, $3,480.

471 (415A)—One surveyor, $3,600.

472 (415A)—One surveyor, $3,300.

473 (415A)—Eight surveyors, at $3,000 a year, $24,000.

474 (415A)—Two surveyors' field assistants, at $3,000 a year, $6,000.

475 (415A)—Twenty-two suvey-

ors' field assistants, at $2,700 a year, $59,400.

476 (415A) — One engineering draftsman, $4,200.

477 (415A) — One engineering draftsman, $3,300.

478 (415A) — One engineering draftsman, $3,180.

479 (415A) — Four engineering draftsmen, at $3,000 a year, $12,000.

Eulogy of Assistant Clerk H. A. Mason on His Retirement.

Resolution No. 27531 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, San Francisco is about to lose, through voluntary retirement, a most valuable and efficient employee in the person of H. A. Mason, Bond and Ordinance Clerk of the Board of Supervisors; and

Whereas, during a period of over twenty years Mr. Mason, whose thorough and unusual knowledge of municipal law and procedure has been of inestimable value to the government of San Francisco, having prepared, as he has, the entire procedure of legislation involving all of our important bond issues, such as our Hetch Hetchy water bond legislation, school bond legislation, Library and Hall of Justice bond legislation, City Hall bond issues, and is now engaged in the very important work of reappraising the assessable property of San Francisco; and

Whereas, in addition to these outstanding activities he had much to do with the reorganization of the government of San Francisco after the destruction of public records in the fire of 1906, being the author of the recodified ordinances of the City and County of San Francisco, and latterly rendered satisfactory and conspicuous service as the clerk of the Committee on City Planning as well as of the Committee on Traffic Regulation and Police; therefore, be it

Resolved, In appreciation of such splendid and efficient service that the Board of Supervisors in the retirement of H. A. Mason suffers a loss of an extremely capable and valuable employee, which loss to the public service will be well nigh irreparable; and be it

Further Resolved, That this Board expresses its sincere regret at the voluntary retirement of H. A. Mason and extends to him its best wishes for success in his future work.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—12.

Absent—Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Deasy, Hayden, Shannon—6.

Supervisors Gallagher, Schmidt, Todd, Havenner, Colman, Marks, Stanton, McSheehy, Powers and Roncovieri spoke in eulogy and appreciation of the services rendered by Mr. Mason during his service of more than twenty years with the Board of Supervisors.

Motion.

Supervisor Marks moved that a transcript of this proceeding be made and presented to Mr. Mason.

So ordered.

Death of Sheriff McGovern of San Mateo County.

The following resolution was presented by Supervisor Todd and *adopted unanimously* by rising vote:

Resolution No. 27534 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, death has unexpectedly called from his sphere of activity Thomas C. McGovern, well known and highly esteemed Sheriff of our neighboring county, San Mateo; and

Whereas, Sheriff McGovern was not only a competent and popular public official whose untimely death is a deplorable loss to his constituency as well as to the bereaved members of his sorrowing family; therefore, be it

Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors extend to the officials of San Mateo County and to the family and friends of Sheriff McGovern its deep and sincere sympathy on this sad occasion, and that his Honor the Mayor be requested to appoint a committee of this Board of Supervisors to represent San Francisco at the funeral services of the deceased.

Further Resolved, That when we adjourn today that we do so out of respect to the memory of Sheriff McGovern.

(The Clerk was directed to send a suitable floral piece.)

Employment of Symphony Artists.

Supervisor Havenner presented:

Resolution No. 27535 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors, upon the recommendation of the Auditorium Committee, authorize his Honor the Mayor to enter into contract with the following named persons and associations for musical entertainment to be held in the Auditorium as hereinafter set forth:

1. With the Musical Association of San Francisco for the services of the San Francisco Symphony Orchestra for the performance of Handel's Oratorio, "The Messiah," on

December 8, 1927, the said Musical Association of San Francisco to be paid for said production the sum of $3,125; also for a series of five symphony concerts under the direction of Alfred Hertz on the following dates, to-wit: November 1, 1927; January 12, 1928; February 6, 1928; February 23, 1928; March 6, 1928; said Musical Association of San Francisco to be paid the sum of $2,000 for each of said series.

2. With Georges Enesco, or his representative, to appear as a violin soloist with the San Francisco Symphony Orchestra Thursday night, January 12, 1928, at concert given pursuant to the provisions of Paragraph 1 hereof, and that said Georges Enesco, or his representative, be paid the sum of $1,000 for his services as such soloist at said concert.

3. With Nina Morgana, or her representative, to appear as soprano soloist in production of "The Messiah" at the Auditorium Thursday night, December 8, 1927, pursuant to the provisions of Paragraph 1 hereof, and that said Nina Morgana, or her representative, be paid the sum of $600 for her services as such soloist at said concert.

4. With Paul Althouse, tenor soloist, in St. Matthew's Passion, and one other appearance in Spring Festival with San Francisco Symphony Orchestra in the Auditorium between April 6 and 10, 1928, being two public appearances and rehearsals starting April 4, 1928, pursuant to the provisions of Paragraph 1 hereof, and that the said Paul Althouse, or his representative, be paid the sum of $1,650 for his services as such soloist in the concerts mentioned.

5. With Merle Alcock, contralto soloist in St. Matthew's Passion, and one other appearance in Spring Festival with San Francisco Symphony Orchestra in the Auditorium between April 6 and 10, 1928, being two public appearances and rehearsals starting April 4, 1928, pursuant to the provisions of Paragraph 1 hereof, and that the said Merle Alcock, or her representative, be paid the sum of $1,600 for her services as such soloist in the concerts mentioned.

6. With Beniamini Gigli, tenor soloist with the San Francisco Symphony Orchestra, in the Auditorium Monday evening, February 6, 1928, pursuant to the provisions of Paragraph 1 hereof, and that the said Beniamini Gigli, or his representative, be paid the sum of $3,500 for his services as such soloist at said concert.

7. With Harold Bauer, pianist soloist with the San Francisco Symphony Orchestra, in the Auditorium Tuesday evening, March 6, 1928, pursuant to the provisions of Paragraph 1 hereof, and that the said Harold Bauer, or his representative, be paid the sum of $1,000 for his services as such soloist at said concert.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—12.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Deasy, Hayden, Shannon—6.

ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business the Board at 7:15 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNNIGAN,
Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors November 14, 1927.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated, and approved as above recited.

JOHN S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors.
City and County of San Francisco.

PUBLIC LIBRARY

Vol. 22—New Series No. 30

Monday, July 25, 1927

Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
337 Bush Street, S. F.

JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, JULY 25, 1927, 10 A. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, July 25, 1927, 10 a. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Hayden, Marks—3.

Quorum present.

His Honor Mayor Rolph being absent, Supervisor McSheehy was elected to preside.

APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the meeting of March 14, 1927, was considered read and approved.

ROLL CALL FOR PETITIONS FROM MEMBERS.

Telegram.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Hon. James Rolph, Jr., Mayor of San Francisco, California.

It was with mournful pleasure that I received your splendid words of sympathy and the action of yourself and the Board of Supervisors in delegating Tiv Kreling as your representative with personal messages of condolence on the passing of my mother. Thanks to you all.

JAMES W. COFFROTH.

Letter of Thanks, Hon. Key Pittman, United States Senator of Nevada.

His Honor Mayor Rolph appeared in the chambers at 11 a. m. and presented the following communication, which was *read by the Clerk and ordered spread in the Journal*:

July 18, 1927.

Mayor James Rolph, Jr., San Francisco, California.

My Dear Rolph:

I am grateful and happy beyond expression for the great honor you and the Board of Supervisors have shown me by passing resolutions respecting my services in behalf of legislation authorizing the Hetch Hetchy project, and in placing upon the O'Shaughnessy Dam at Hetch Hetchy the beautiful bronze plaque in commemoration of my humble and natural services.

By reason of my absence on a fishing trip, I did not hear of the generous and kindly act until Wednesday night at twelve o'clock. I fortunately caught the train at four o'clock on Thursday morning and through the courtesy of your Engineering Department reached Hetch Hetchy in time for the dedication. There I had the opportunity to express my appreciation and deep gratitude, but that which I enjoyed most was frankly stating in my address to whom the credit was due. I pictured a fight; I told of the wonderful work done by you and O'Shaughnessy and those able and intense men whom you gathered around you and directed, and I remembered the work done by Senator James D. Phelan, a former Mayor of your City, who first filed on the waters of the Tuolumne River on behalf of the City of San Francisco. I told them how you and your associates had won the fight before I presented your cause on the floor of the United States Senate. Your fight was one of pleasure for me. I had been taught what it meant. I had learned to understand the comfort, the helpfulness, yes, even the necessity of an ample supply of pure water for a growing community.

I am deeply grateful to you and every member of your Board of Supervisors for the exceedingly kindly and generous act and may I say that I am particularly grateful to you who might have placed a veto upon the unusual act, and to Andy Gallagher who moved the resolution and to Byington, Todd and Hayden who so actively cooperated with Andy Gallagher. This generous act was unnecessary

to bind the State of California and Nevada in active cooperation for the development of their states, but it does place me under peculiar obligations to you and your Board of Supervisors.

I wish that I might have the time and opportunity at the present to write to each member of the Board of Supervisors, but being deprived of this pleasure I trust that they will all accept this letter and the speech that I made at the dedication ceremonies as a personal expression to each of my deep feeling of gratitude.

With kind regards to you and each and every member of the Board of Supervisors, I beg to remain

Sincerely,

KEY PITTMAN.

Communication From City Attorney, Advising That City Garbage Company Has Failed to File Bond.

The following was presented, read and *referred to the Health Committee*:

San Francisco,
July 23, 1927.

Honorable Board of Supervisors,
City Hall, San Francisco, Calif.
Dear Sirs:

Pursuant to a resolution of the Board of Supervisors, an agreement was drawn by this office for the operation of the incinerator by the City Garbage Removal Company, Inc. The agreement was prepared to conform to the views of the Health Committee and submitted to Warren Shannon, Acting Mayor of the City and County of San Francisco, who signed same in the absence of Mayor Rolph.

This agreement provided for the posting of a bond in the sum of $10,000 by the City Garbage Removal Company, Inc., before the agreement would become effective. This bond has never been posted, although the agreement was signed by the parties.

This agreement provided that the City Garbage Removal Company, Inc., should have the privilege of examining the incinerator and making an inventory and inspection for a period of seven days, and that if, after the seven-day period, the incinerator was not in reasonably good working order and condition, the City Garbage Removal Company, Inc., need not fulfill the terms of the agreement.

I was informed by the City Garbage Removal Company, Inc., that it would require an expenditure of about $20,000 to place the incinerator in reasonably good working order and condition.

The City Garbage Removal Company, Inc., has not indicated whether or not it desires to proceed with the taking over and operation of the incinerator, despite the request of this office to be advised with regard to whether or not this corporation would take over and operate the incinerator. I am, therefore, submitting this matter to you to take whatever means you desire in connection with its disposition.

Yours very truly,
(Sgd.) JNO. J. O'TOOLE,
City Attorney.

Action Deferred.

On motion of Supervisor Gallagher the following matter was *continued one week*:

Notice of Reconsideration.

Resolution presented by Supervisor Gallagher on June 20, 1927, granting H. J. Mallen permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate automobile parking station on west side of Taylor street, south of Eddy street, which resolution was *refused passage* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, Powers, Todd—9.

Noes — Supervisors Byington, Deasy, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton—7.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath—2.

Hearing of Appeal, Orizaba Avenue.

Hearing of appeal of property owners on Orizaba avenue from the action and decision of the Board of Public Works in overruling the objections of property owners against the improvement of the easterly one-half of Orizaba avenue from a line parallel with and 115 feet southerly from Holloway avenue to the northerly line of Grafton avenue produced, by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of that portion of an 8-inch ironstone pipe sewer with accompanying manholes and Y branches that said frontage would be liable for, and by the construction of a concrete pavement on the roadway thereof.

Privilege of the Floor.

Duncan V. McKenzie, M. L. Whitmore, Peter L. Delmar, A. Dolph, P. Zahie, Mrs. A. Gessh, Mrs. J. W. Phillips and *Mary Randall*, protestants, were heard in opposition to the improvement.

Whereupon, the following resolution was presented by Supervisor Badaracco and *adopted* by the following vote:

Resolution No. 27573 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the appeal of the property owners from the action and decision of the Board of Public Works in overruling the protest of property owners against the improvement of the easterly one-half of Orizaba avenue from a line parallel with and 115 feet southerly from Holloway avenue to the northerly line of Grafton avenue produced, by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of that portion of an 8-inch ironstone pipe sewer with accompanying manholes and Y branches that said frontage would be liable for, and by the construction of a concrete pavement on the roadway thereof, is hereby denied and the work ordered.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Hayden, Marks—3.

Supervisor Badaracco stated that the foregoing resolution is adopted with the understanding that the City would pay one-half of the cost.

Passed for Printing.

Whereupon, the following bill was *passed for printing*:

Ordering Street Work, Orizaba Avenue.

Bill No. 8113, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1, The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors June 17, 1927, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of the easterly one-half of Orizaba avenue from a line parallel with and 115 feet southerly from Holloway avenue to the northerly line of Grafton avenue produced, by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of that portion of an 8-inch ironstone pipe sewer with accompanying manholes and Y branches that said frontage would be liable for, and by the construction of a concrete pavement on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

PRESENTATION OF PROPOSALS.

License Plates, Tags and Badges.

Sealed proposals were received and opened at the hour of 11 a. m. this day for furnishing license plates, tags and badges and *referred to the Supplies Committee.*

Machinery Equipment and Tools for Schools.

Sealed proposals were received and opened at the hour of 11 a. m. this day for furnishing machinery equipment and tools for schools and *referred to the Supplies Committee.*

Report of Finance Committee on Resolution Submitted on July 18th by Supervisor Warren Shannon Relative to Opposition to Increase in Telephone Rates.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Your Committee has given consideration to the above mentioned resolution and begs leave to report as follows:

That it has conferred with the City Attorney and has been advised by that official, until a complete check has been made of the exhibits

filed with the Railroad Commission by the Pacific Telephone and Telegraph Company and until the City has made the presentation of its case to the Commission, that it will not be necessary to retain expert rate engineers other than those hereinafter mentioned. As further advised your Committee, it will take from six to nine months for the City to prepare its case and thereafter several months to present it to the Commission, and that for the purpose of enabling him to make a proper opposition to the granting of said increased rates and to present the case of the people to the Commission it will be necessary for him to employ the following additional help:

One rate litigation attorney, $850 per month.

One expert rate engineer, $750 per month.

One assistant rate engineer, $350 per month.

One consulting engineer, $300.

One expert rate accountant, $350 per month.

One stenographer, $175 per month.

That said employees will be necessary for the remainder of the present fiscal year, and in addition thereto, certain field workers to spot check inventories and values will be necessary for a short period of time, the cost of which will amount to approximately $2,000, and that in addition to an amount sufficient to defray the cost of these employees an additional sum of $3,500 will be necessary to cover the costs of transcripts, stationery and incidentals, thereby making the total cost of this particular litigation $36,025 for the remainder of the fiscal year.

Therefore, we recommend that the resolution submitted by Supervisor Warren Shannon be amended by striking therefrom the figures $50,000 and inserting in lieu thereof the figures $37,500, and by also striking therefrom the following words: "which said sum shall be placed in a special fund to be known as the 'Telephone Rate Litigation Fund'", and that there be added to said resolution the following:

And be it Further Resolved, That the City Attorney be and he is hereby authorized and empowered to retain and employ one rate litigation attorney at a salary of $850 per month; one expert rate engineer at a salary of $750 per month; one assistant rate engineer at a salary of $350 per month; one consulting engineer at a salary of $300 per month; one expert rate accountant at a salary of $350 per month; one stenographer at a salary of $175 per month, and in addition thereto to retain such other temporary employees as he shall deem necessary, at an expense not exceeding the sum of $2,000.

That the following is the resolution as amended by your Committee, and it now recommends its adoption:

Whereas, the Pacific Telephone and Telegraph Company have applied to the Railroad Commission of the State of California for an increase in the rates charged for telephone service in the City and County of San Francisco and in adjoining counties; and

Whereas, if the exorbitant rates asked for by said Pacific Telephone and Telegraph Company for said telephone service are granted the same will be an unfair and unjust burden on the people of the City and County of San Francisco, amounting to many millions of dollars each year; and

Whereas, it would be for the best interest and benefit of all the people of the City and County of San Francisco that a vigorous opposition be made before the Railroad Commission of the State of California to the granting of the increase in rates applied for by said Pacific Telephone and Telegraph Company; and

Whereas, at the present time the office of the City Attorney is taxed to its utmost capacity in handling the ordinary legal affairs of the City and County of San Francisco; and

Whereas, for the purpose of making a proper opposition to the granting of said increase in said telephone rates it will be necessary for the City Attorney to retain expert engineers, accountants, legal talent and clerical help over and above that already connected with the office; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That for the purpose of making a proper opposition to the granting of said increase in telephone rates applied for by said Pacific Telephone and Telegraph Company that there be and there is hereby appropriated out of the general funds of the City and County of San Francisco the sum of thirty-seven thousand five hundred ($37,500), which said sum shall be used by the City Attorney of the City and County of San Francisco in the retaining of engineers, expert accountants, legal talent and

clerical help for the purpose of making a proper opposition to the granting of said increase in said telephone rates; all expenditures made against said fund to be approved in the same manner as all other demands against the City and County; and be it

Further Resolved, That the City Attorney be and he is hereby authorized and empowered to retain and employ one rate litigation attorney at a salary of $850 per month; one expert rate engineer at a salary of $750 per month; one assistant rate engineer at a salary of $350 per month; one consulting engineer at a salary of $300 per month; one expert rate accountant at a salary of $350 per month; one stenographer at a salary of $175 per month, and in addition thereto to retain such other temporary employees as he shall deem necessary, at an expense not exceeding the sum of $2,000.

Respectfully submitted,
JAS. B. McSHEEHY,
MILO KENT,
FRANCK HAVENNER,
Finance Committee.

Ordered *filed.*

UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

Final Passage.

The following matters, heretofore passed for printing, were taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Authorizations.

Resolution No. 27536 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

Tearing-Up Streets Fund.

(1) Gladding, McBean & Co., sewer pipe for side sewers (claim dated July 8, 1927), $525.

Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue, 1910.

(2) Gladding, McBean & Co., sewer pipe and drain tile (claim dated June 30, 1927), $1,769.76.

Hetch Hetchy Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1925.

(3) T. E. Connolly and J. M. De Lucca, sixth payment, construction of aqueduct tunnels, Foothill Division (claim dated July 9, 1927), $33,620.91.

(4) A. Guthrie & Co., Inc., seventh payment, construction of aqueduct tunnels, Foothill Division (claim dated July 9, 1927), $49,215.98.

(5) A. Levy and J. Zentner, foodstuffs (claim dated June 30, 1927), $532.62.

(6) Westinghouse Electric and Mfg. Co., transformers and circuit breakers (claim dated June 30, 1927), $1,195.07.

Judgments (*Appropriation* 59.)

(7) H. U. Brandenstein, one-tenth of final judgments for judgment creditors, per schedule attached (claim dated June 13, 1927), $509.47.

Park Fund.

(8) Frank Ames, net profit on merry-go-round at Fleishhacker Playfield (claim dated June 30, 1927), $1,524.69.

(9) The Fay Improvement Co., asphalting roadway from Stanyan street into park at pavilion (claim dated June 30, 1927), $504.

(10) Pacific Gas & Electric Co., gas and electric service for parks (claim dated June 30, 1927), $4,047.18.

(11) Spring Valley Water Co., water service for parks (claim dated June 30, 1927), $4,562.50.

(12) Crown Oil Co., gasoline for parks (claim dated June 30, 1927), $512.32.

(13) Langendorf Baking Co., bread for parks (claim dated June 30, 1927), $618.36.

(14) National Ice Cream Co., ice cream for parks (claim dated June 30, 1927), $1,323.41.

Municipal Railway Fund.

(15) San Francisco City Employees' Retirement System, pensions, etc., for Municipal Railway employees (claim dated June 30, 1927), $7,105.76.

(16) R. W. Jamison, electric-driven, semi-portable air compressor (claim dated June 30, 1927), $1,583.35.

(17) R. W. Jamison, wheel-truing brake shoes (claim dated June 30, 1927), $590.

(18) United States Steel Products Co., steel crossing and plates (claim dated June 30, 1927), $533.

(19) Westinghouse Electric and Mfg. Co., armature coils, trolley frogs, etc. (claim dated June 30, 1927), $818.30.

Municipal Railway Compensation Insurance Fund.

(20) San Francisco City Employees' Retirement System, pensions, etc., for Municipal Railways (claim dated June 30, 1927), $2,074.96.

Municipal Railway Depreciation Fund.

(21) St. Louis Car Co., fourth payment, car bodies for Municipal Railways (claim dated July 12, 1927), $19,368.

Municipal Railway Depreciation Reserve Fund.

(22) Market Street Railway Co., repairs to tracks of Municipal Railway on Market street (claim dated June 30, 1927), $2,248.23.

(23) Bureau of Street Repair, Board of Public Works, paving at Municipal Railway, North Point street between Hyde and Leavenworth streets (claim dated June 30, 1927), $2,281.72.

School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1918.

(24) Haas Wood & Ivory Works, bookkeeping tables for High School of Commerce (claim dated July 12, 1927), $1,432.80.

(25) C. F. Weber & Co., manual training benches for Galileo High School (claim dated July 12, 1927), $645.50.

School Construction Fund, Bond Issue, 1923.

(26) R. Brandlein & Co., chair desks for John Muir and Sherman schools (claim dated July 12, 1927), $3,795.92.

(27) Anderson & Ringrose, sixth payment, general construction, additions to Sunnyside School (claim dated July 12, 1927), $30,150.

(28) Crown Electric Co., ninth payment, electric work for Edison School (claim dated July 12, 1927), $3,003.85.

Special School Tax.

(29) E. K. Nelson, seventh payment, general construction of addition to Mission School (claim dated July 13, 1927), $1,957.31.

(30) Thos. Skelly, fifth payment, plumbing work, addition to Commodore Sloat School (claim dated July 13, 1927), $2,069.12.

General Fund, 1926-1927.

(31) Sonoma State Home, maintenance of feeble-minded (claim dated June 30, 1927), $9,510.

(32) San Francisco Bulletin, official advertising (claim dated June 30, 1927), $527.40.

(33) Pacific Gas & Electric Co., street lighting for June, 1927 (claim dated June 30, 1927), $52,427.40.

(34) Crown Oil Company, gasoline for Police Department (claim dated June 30, 1927), $987.36.

(35) San Francisco Nursery, maintenance of minors (claim dated June 30, 1927), $602.35.

(36) Boys' Aid Society, maintenance of minors (claim dated June 30, 1927), $1,117.95.

(37) The Albertinum School, maintenance of minors (claim dated June 30, 1927), $1,391.74.

(38) St. Vincent's School, maintenance of minors (claim dated June 30, 1927), $2,121.53.

(39) California Meat Co., meats, Relief Home (claim dated June 30, 1927), $2,810.72.

(40) J. T. Freitas Co., eggs, Relief Home (claim dated June 30, 1927), $1,037.40.

(41) Lesser Bros. Co., meats, Relief Home (claim dated June 30, 1927), $950.57.

(42) C. Naumann Co., vegetables, Relief Home (claim dated June 30, 1927), $1,179.03.

(43) San Francisco Dairy Co., milk, Relief Home (claim dated June 30, 1927), $2,319.52.

(44) E. B. Frick, room allowances for San Francisco Hospital employees (claim dated June 30, 1927), $2,227.05.

(45) McCarthy Bros., coffee, S. F. Hospital (claim dated June 30, 1927), $744.62.

(46) C. Naumann & Co., vegetables, S. F. Hospital (claim dated June 30, 1927), $653.53.

(47) Spring Valley Water Co., water for S. F. Hospital (claim dated June 30, 1927), $1,685.43.

(48) Spring Valley Water Co., water for Relief Home (claim dated June 30, 1927), $698.42.

(49) San Francisco Protestant Orphanage, maintenance of minors (claim dated June 30, 1927), $1,040.

(50) Roman Catholic Orphanage, maintenance of minors (claim dated June 30, 1927), $3,991.95.

General Fund, 1927-1928.

(51) Leonard S. Leavy, reimbursement of expense for account of attending National Convention of Purchasing Agents (claim dated July 11, 1927), $536.26.

(52) Eureka Benevolent Society, maintenance of minors (claim dated July 13, 1927), $4,240.77.

(53) Little Children's Aid, maintenance of minors (claim dated July 13, 1927), $12,865.45.

(54) Children's Agency, maintenance of minors (claim dated July 13, 1927), $31,475.64.

(55) Associated Charities, maintenance of minors, widows' pensions (claim dated July 15, 1927), $8,664.86.

(56) Eureka Benevolent Society,

widows' pensions (claim dated July 15, 1927), $995.

(57) Little Children's Aid, widows' pensions (claim dated July 15, 1927), $8,727.35.

(58) William Bowman, for property commencing at point on southerly line of Joost avenue, distant thereon 200 feet westerly from the westerly line of Baden street; thence westerly 25 feet; thence southerly 100 feet; thence easterly 25 feet; thence northerly 100 feet; per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 27476 (New Series), required for construction of sewer from Mangels avenue to Monterey boulevard between Congo and Baden streets (claim dated July 12, 1927), $850.

General Fund. 1926-1927.

(59) Spring Valley Water Co., water for street sprinkling (claim dated June 30, 1927), $607.31.

(60) Pacific Portland Cement Co., cement for street repair (claim dated June 30, 1927), $620.59.

(61) Standard Oil Co of California, asphalt for street repair (claim dated June 30, 1927), $1,985.04.

(62) Standard Oil Co. of California, asphalt for street repair (claim dated June 30, 1927), $3,883.51.

(63) Spring Valley Water Co., water for public buildings (claim dated June 30, 1927), $2,050.88.

(64) Symon Brothers, teams furnished for street cleaning (claim dated June 30, 1927), $747.50.

(65) Pacific Gas & Electric Co., lighting public buildings (claim dated June 30, 1927), $3,676.20.

Municipal Railway Accident Reserve Fund.

(66) Edward W. McKeever, settlement of claim for account of accident (claim dated June 30, 1927), $1,318.50.

Publicity and Advertising—Appropriation 55.

(67) Adelyn Brickley Jones, 20,000 illustrated booklets, "San Francisco, City of Enchantment." for San Francisco exhibit at Transcontinental Highway Exposition, Reno, Nevada (claim dated July 18, 1927), $1,211.37.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Hayden, Marks—3.

Appropriation for General Construction, South Side High School.

Resolution No. 27537 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of Special School Tax, Budget Item No. 1, for the construction of the South Side High School, on Onondaga avenue between Otsego and Cayuga avenues, to-wit:

(1) General construction, by Macdonald & Kahn.	$249,749.00
(2) Plumbing and gasfitting, by A. Lettich.	19,000.00
(3) Mechanical equipment, by Knittle Bros.	21,705.00
(4) Electrical work, by Newberry-Pearce Elec. Co	17,300.00
(5) Architectural fees..	1,005.24
(6) Inspection, incidentals and extras.....	15,000.00
(7) Painting work, by H. Ankels	8,200.00
Total	$331,959.24

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Hayden, Marks—3.

Appropriations, Completion of Duboce Tunnel, Sunset Extension, Municipal Railway and Power Supply for Same.

Resolution No. 27538 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of Municipal Railway "old" Depreciation Fund for the following purposes, to-wit:

(1) For the completion of the Duboce Avenue Tunnel-Sunset District extension of Municipal Railways. $67,000.

(2) For power supply for the Duboce Avenue Tunnel-Sunset District extension of Municipal Railways, $49,000.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Hayden, Marks—3.

Appropriations for Reconstruction of Stanley Street Sewer and Canal Street Sanitary Sewer Extension.

Resolution No. 27539 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of "Extension and Reconstruction of Sewers," Budget Item No. 38, fiscal year 1927-1928, for the following sewer extensions, to-wit:

(1) For the construction of an extension to the Stanley street sewer from St. Charles avenue to Junipero Serra boulevard, including inspection and extras; per award to J. M. Smith at $6,340, $7,300.

(2) For construction of the Canal street sanitary sewer extension, Contract No. 3, including inspection and extras; per award to Jas. M. Smith at $3,758, $4.400.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Hayden, Marks—3.

Appropriation, $750, Payment to California Pacific Title and Trust Company for Land Required for the Widening of Portola Drive.

Resolution No. 27540 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $750 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of County Road Fund, and authorized in payment to California Pacific Title & Trust Company; being payment for property required for the widening of Portola drive, to-wit:

Beginning at point on southeasterly line of Portola drive, distant 162.809 feet northeasterly from the northeasterly line of Duncan street; thence northeasterly on the southeasterly line of Portola drive 30 feet, and being of irregular dimensions; as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 27477 (New Series). (Claim dated July 12, 1927.)

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Hayden, Marks—3.

Authorization of $11,625, Payment to Albert R. Herman et al. for Lands Required for the Palace of Fine Arts.

Resolution No. 27541 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $11,625 be and the same is hereby authorized in payment out of General Fund, 1926-1927, to Albert R. Herman et al.; being payment for lands required for the Palace of Fine Arts, to-wit:

Commencing at a point formed by the intersection of the northerly line of Jefferson street with the easterly line of Lyon street, running thence easterly along said southerly line of Jefferson street 104 feet 9¼ inches to a point distant 137 feet 6 inches westerly from the westerly line of Baker street; thence at a right angle southerly 137 feet 6 inches; thence at a right angle westerly 109 feet 9¾ inches to the easterly line of Lyon street; thence along said easterly line of Lyon street 137 feet 7⅛ inches to the southerly line of Jefferson street; being a portion of Western Addition Block No. 563; also known as Lots 7 and 8, Block 916 on Assessor's Map Book. As per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 27456 (New Series). (Claim dated July 18, 1927.)

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Hayden, Marks—3.

Appropriation, $9,500, Payment to J. M. McCarthy for Lands Required for School Purposes.

Resolution No. 27542 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $9,500 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923, and authorized in payment to J. M. McCarthy in accordance with Superior Court judgment, Action No. 160106, for the hereinafter described parcels of land required for school purposes, to-wit:

(1) The northwest corner of Twenty-second avenue and Santiago street, 32 feet 6 inches by 100 feet.

(2) The northeast corner of Twenty-third avenue and Santiago street, 32 feet 6 inches by 100 feet.

(3) The north line of Santiago street, 32 feet 6 inches west of Twenty-second avenue, 50 by 100 feet.

(4) The west line of Twenty-second avenue, 100 feet north of Santiago street, 50 by 120 feet.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Mc-

Sheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Hayden, Marks—3.

Appraisal of Taxable Properties.

Resolution No. 27543 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $121,450 is hereby appropriated and set aside from Budget Item No. 56 for continuing and completing the appraising of taxable property within the City and County, to provide for the payment of the contract entered into with James G. Stafford and associates for the making of said appraisal.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Hayden, Marks—3.

Oil Tank Permits.

Resolution No. 27544 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits be and are hereby granted:

Oil Tanks.

Avansino Bros., southwest corner of Chestnut and Pierce streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

Bank of Italy, southeast corner of Ocean and Faxon avenues, 1500 gallons capacity.

B. S. Berry, north side of Lake street, 61 feet east of Eighth avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

Louis Beyer, north side of Golden Gate avenue, 100 feet west of Scott street, 1500 gallons capacity.

W. T. Brooks, east side of Twenty-sixth avenue, 50 feet south of Lincoln way, 1500 gallons capacity.

W. Cole, 436 Pacheco street, 750 gallons capacity.

Henry Ernst & Sons, south side of Truk street, 150 feet east of Scott street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Wm. P. Goss, Inc., north side of Turk street, 137 feet 6 inches east of Broderick street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Wm. P. Goss, Inc., northwest corner of Washington and Walnut streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

P. Harris, east side of Steiner street, 50 feet north of Hayes street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Johnson & Erlendsen, north side of Grove street, 62 feet east of Divisadero street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Frank J. Klimm Co., southeast corner of Tenth and Bryant streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

Frank J. Klimm Co., 220 McAllister street, 1500 gallons capacity.

H. S. Meinberger, southeast corner of Baker and Turk streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

G. Moriconi, west side of Franklin street, south of Vallejo street, 1500 gallons capacity.

O'Brien Bros., north side of Parnassus avenue, 100 feet west of Cole street, 1500 gallons capacity.

T. Osdowski, 1160 Clay street, 600 gallons capacity.

L. B. Schupp, 376 Pacheco street, 750 gallons capacity.

R. J. Stempel, southeast corner of Jefferson and Divisadero streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

R. J. Stempel, northwest corner of Beach and Scott streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

Dr. S. G. Strickland, west side of Fillmore street, 102 feet north of Washington street, 1500 gallons capacity.

G. E. Watson, north side of Sutter street, 220 feet west of Octavia street, 1500 gallons capacity.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits shall become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Hayden, Marks—3.

Garage Permit.

Resolution No. 27545 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That T. P. Holland, Inc., be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate a public garage on the north side of McKinnon avenue between Phelps and Quint streets.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Hayden, Marks—3.

Automobile Supply Station Permits.

Resolution No. 27546 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That California Petroleum Corporation be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors,

to maintain and operate an automobile supply station on the southeast corner of Geary street and Johnson avenue.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

A y e s — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, R o n c o v i e r i, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Hayden, Marks—3.

Automobile Parking Station Permit.

Resolution No. 27547 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That James R. Ewing, Jr., be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an automobile parking station on the west side of Main street, 183 feet south of Market street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

A y e s — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, R o n c o v i e r i, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Hayden, Marks—3.

Transfer of Garage Permit.

Resolution No. 27548 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That J. A. and Myrtle E. Smith be and are hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred to them public garage permit heretofore granted J. J. Ouimet by Resolution No. 25485 (New Series) for premises at 1140 Powell street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

A y e s — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, R o n c o v i e r i, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Hayden, Marks—3.

Automobile Parking Station Permit.

Resolution No. 27549 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Albert Gunnarson be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an automobile parking station on the east side of Davis street, 110 feet south of Sacramento street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

A y e s — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, R o n c o v i e r i, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Hayden, Marks—3.

Transfer of Garage Permits.

Resolution No. 27550 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Frank Peloprat be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred to him public garage permit heretofore granted D. De Vencenzi et al. by Resolution No. 21810 (New Series) for premises at 469 Eddy street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

A y e s — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, R o n c o v i e r i, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Hayden, Marks—3.

Resolution No, 27551 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Tennant & Laning be and are hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred them public garage permit heretofore granted E. H. Denke by Resolution No. 22534 (New Series) for premises at 545 Eddy street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

A y e s — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, R o n c o v i e r i, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Hayden, Marks—3.

Plans and Specifications Authorized for the Erection of Street Signs.

Bill No. 8111, Ordinance No. 7624 (New Series), as follows:

Authorizing the preparation of

plans and specifications for the furnishing and erecting of street signs; ordering the furnishing and erecting of street signs in accordance with the plans and specifications so prepared; authorizing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for the said furnishing and erecting of said street signs.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized, instructed and empowered to prepare plans and specifications for the furnishing and erecting of street signs, and to enter into contract for the furnishing and erecting of street signs in accordance with the plans and specifications prepared therefor.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Hayden, Marks—3.

Budget Amendment, Salaries, Engineer's Department.

Bill No. 8112, Ordinance No. 7625 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 7558 (New Series), entitled "An ordinance fixing and appropriating the aggregate sums of the items thereof allowed to each department, officer, board and commission for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1928, and making a Budget of the same." by repealing Items No. 466 to 494 and substituting in lieu thereof Items 466 to 491.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 7558 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended by striking out Items No. 466 to 494, inclusive, and substituting in lieu thereof the following items, numbered 466 to 491, inclusive:

Bureau of Engineering.

Personal Services (Appropriation 32-A).

466 (415A)—City engineer, $5,000.
467 (415A)—Assistant City Engineer, $5,400.
468 (415A)—Three assistant civil engineers, at $3,300 a year, $9,900.
469 (415A)—One assistant civil engineer, $3,600.
470 (415A)—One assistant civil engineer, $3,480.
471 (415A)—One surveyor, $3,600.
472 (415A)—One surveyor, $3,300.
473 (415A)—Eight surveyors, at $3,000 a year, $24,000.
474 (415A)—Two surveyors' field assistants, at $3,000 a year, $6,000.
475 (415A)—Twenty-two surveyors' field assistants, at $2,700 a year, $59,400.
476 (415A) — One engineering draftsman, $4,200.
477 (415A) — One engineering draftsman, $3,300.
478 (415A) — One engineering draftsman, $3,180.
479 (415A) — Four engineering draftsmen, at $3,000 a year, $12,000.
480 (415A)—Five engineering draftsmen, at $2,700 a year, $13,500.
481 (415A) — Two engineering draftsmen, at $2,400 a year, $4,800.
482 (415A) — One engineering draftsman, $1,200.
483 (415A) — One cartographer, $2,700.
484 (415A)—Two inspectors of streets and sewers, at $3,000, $6,000.
485 (415A)—Six inspectors of streets and sewers, at $2,700, $16,200.
486 (415A)—Engineering chemist, $3,600.
487 (415A)—Photographer, $2,880.
488 (415A)—Photostat operator, $2,520.
489 (415A)—Two stenographers, at $2,100 a year, $4,200.
490 (415A)—One chauffeur, $2,400.
491 (415A)—One H & P engineer (seasonal), $1,000.

Total personal services, $207,360.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Hayden, Marks—3.

PRESENTATION OF BILLS AND ACCOUNTS.

Demands not required by law to be passed to print, and amounting to $67,700.40, were allowed and ordered paid by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Hayden, Marks—3.

NEW BUSINESS.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Authorizations.

On motion of Supervisors McSheehy:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

Library Fund.

(1) American Building Maintenance Co., janitor service, public libraries (claim dated June 30, 1927), $700.

(2) Neal Co., painting branch No. 4, Public Library (claim dated June 30, 1927), $760.

(3) Primo Press, printing for Public Library (claim dated June 30, 1927), $634.25.

(4) G. E. Stechert & Co., books, Public Library (claim dated June 30, 1927), $2,773.34.

(5) San Francisco News Co., books, Public Library (claim dated June 30, 1927), $1,469.63.

Tubercular Sanitarium Fund.

(6) Roberts Manufacturing Co., first and final payment, electrical fixtures, Tuberculosis Preventorium (claim dated July 20, 1927), $1,332.

Tearing-Up Streets Fund.

(7) Gladding, McBean & Co., one car sewer pipe for sidesewers (claim dated July 18, 1927), $525.

(7a) J. E. French, Dodge roadster, Sidesewer Department (claim dated July 18, 1927), $700.

Hetch Hetchy Power Operative Fund.

(8) Depreciation Fund, Hetch Hetchy Power Operative, amount to be set aside as reserve for depreciation, as specified in Section 16 of Article XII of the Charter, for the month of July, 1927 (claim dated July 18, 1927), $14,583.

Special School Tax.

(9) National Lead Company of California, white lead, School Repair Department (claim dated June 30, 1927), $692.50.

(9a) O. Monson, conditional acceptance payment, general construction additional units to Galileo High School (claim dated July 20, 1927), $105,039.55.

(10) Mahony Bros., final payment, general construction, addition to Commodore Sloat School (claim dated July 20, 1927), $26,734.10.

(11) Bureau of Street Repair, asphalt repairs in yard of Yerba Buena School (claim dated June 30, 1927), $1,126.81.

School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923.

(12) Acme Construction Co., second payment, addition to High School of Commerce (old building) (claim dated July 18, 1927), $6,736.24.

(13) Latourette-Fical Company, final payment, mechanical equipment, new Mission High School (claim dated July 18, 1927), $500.

(14) MacDonald & Kahn, final payment, general construction, new Mission High School (claim dated July 18, 1927), $1,000.

(15) John Reid, Jr., final payment, architectural services, new Mission High School (claim dated July 18, 1927), $2,156.07.

(16) Joseph & Stone, fourth payment, architectural services, Portola Junior High School (claim dated July 20, 1927), $1,418.28.

(17) S. Heiman, fifth payment, architectural services, addition to Sunnyside School (claim dated July 20, 1927), $778.49.

Hetch Hetchy Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1925.

(18) Ingersoll-Rand Co. of California, machinery parts (claim dated July 19, 1927), $620.50.

(19) Pope & Talbot, lumber (claim dated July 19, 1927), $1,082.98.

(20) Reynier Lumber Co., split wood ties (claim dated July 19, 1927), $735.44.

(21) Associated Oil Co., fuel oil (claim dated July 14, 1927), $862.68.

(22) The Giant Powder Company, Con., explosives (claim dated July 14, 1927), $733.16.

(23) Ingersoll-Rand Co. of California, machinery and machinery parts (claim dated July 3, 1927), $520.10.

(24) E. S. McCurdy, electric hoist (claim dated July 14, 1927), $2,836.40.

(25) M. M. O'Shaughnessy, reimbursement of revolving fund expenditures (claim dated July 14, 1927), $2,000.

(26) Santa Cruz Portland Cement Co., cement (claim dated July 14, 1927), $607.25.

General Fund, 1926-1927.

(27) St. Charles Market, meats, County Jails (claim dated June 30, 1927), $531.86.

(28) Pacific Gas & Electric Co., gas, County Jails (claim dated June 30, 1927), $587.14.

(29) Eloesser-Heynemann Co., jumpers, County Jails (claim dated June 30, 1927), $799.

(30) Langendorf Baking Co.,

bread, County Jails (claim dated June 30, 1927), $865.08.

(31) C. Nauman & Co., vegetables, County Jails (claim dated June 30, 1927), $871.36.

(32) California Meat Co., meats, County Jails (claim dated June 30, 1927), $986.22.

(33) Levi Strauss Co., blankets, etc., County Jails (claim dated June 30, 1927), $1,308.68.

(34) Chester N. Weaver Co., Studebaker sedan, Sheriff's office (claim dated June 30, 1927), $1,799.75.

(35) Greenebaum, Weil & Michels, blankets, etc., County Jails (claim dated June 30, 1927), $1,946.50.

Municipal Railway Fund.

(36) Wm. L. Hughson Co., Ford truck, Municipal Railways (claim dated June 30, 1927), $651.

(37) Crown Oil Co., gasoline, Municipal Railways (claim dated June 30, 1927), $1,921.01.

(38) Hancock Bros., Inc., printing, Municipal Railways (claim dated June 30, 1927), $504.

(39) Market Street Railway Co., reimbursement for June, 1927, under agreement of December 12, 1918 (claim dated June 30, 1927), $1,582.53.

(40) Market Street Railway Co., electric power furnished Municipal Railways (claim dated June 30, 1927), $3,298.72.

(41) Pacific Gas & Electric Co., electricity furnished Municipal Railways (claim dated June 30, 1927), $37,034.76.

(42) American La France Fire Engine Co., motor parts, Fire Department (claim dated June 30, 1927), $520.09.

(43) Crown Oil Co., gasoline, Fire Department, month of June, 1927 (claim dated June 30, 1927), $904.34.

(44) Mann Manufacturing Co., motor parts, Fire Department (claim dated June 30 ,1927), $660.

(45) Pacific Gas & Electric Co., gas an electricity furnished Fire Department (claim dated June 30, 1927), $1,723.30.

(46) Shell Company of California, fuel oil for Fire Department (claim dated June 30, 1927), $1,074.54.

(47) Spring Valley Water Co., water furnished and installation of hydrants, Fire Department (claim dated June 30, 1927), $1,954.99.

(48) Board of Park Commissioners, to reimburse Park Commission for expenditures, McKinley Square bulkhead (claim dated June 30, 1927), $1,382.14.

General Fund, 1927-1928.

(49) San Francisco Bulletin, official advertising, Board of Supervisors (claim dated July 25, 1927), $1,202.16.

Hetch Hetchy Operative Revenue Fund.

(50) John J. Dailey, legal services, valuation of San Francisco electric properties, month of July, 1927 (claim dated July 19, 1927), $850.

(51) N. Randall Ellis, engineering services, valuation of San Francisco electric properties, month of July, 1927 (claim dated July 19, 1927), $750.

(52) H. G. Butler, services in connection with severance damage report for condemnation of electrical distribution system of Pacific Gas & Electric Co. and Great Western Power Co., June 1 to 30, inclusive (claim dated July 19, 1927), $562.50.

General Fund, 1926-1927.

(53) Herbert F. Dugan, hospital supplies, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated June 30, 1927), $708.16.

(54) Herbert F. Dugan, hospital supplies, S. F. Hospital (claim dated June 30, 1927), $567.25.

(55) Langendorf Baking Co., bread, S. F. Hospital (claim dated June 30, 1927), $1,162.64.

(56) Lesser Bros. Co., meat, S. F. Hospital (claim dated June 30, 1927), $2,025.96.

(57) J. T. Freitas Company, eggs, S. F. Hospital (claim dated June 30, 1927), $1,652.82.

(58) Sherry Bros., butter, S. F. Hospital (claim dated June 30, 1927), $1,875.80.

(59) San Francisco Dairy Co., milk, S. F. Hospital (claim dated June 30, 1927), $4,574.66.

(60) L. Scatena & Co., fruit, S. F. Hospital (claim dated June 30, 1927), $963.46.

(61) L. Lagomarsino & Co., vegetables, S. F. Hospital (claim dated June 30, 1927), $825.16.

(62) California Petroleum Corp., fuel oil, S. F. Hospital (claim dated June 30, 1927), $2,200.28.

(63) California Petroleum Corp., fuel oil, S. F. Relief Home (claim dated June 30, 1927), $1,735.20.

(64) Crane Company, plumbing supplies, S. F. Relief Home (claim dated June 30, 1927), $545.74.

(65) Sherry Bros., Inc., butter, S. F. Relief Home (claim dated June 30, 1927), $1,303.80.

Municipal Railway Accident Reserve Fund.

(66) Alfred Elewaut, settlement

of claim, account accident, December 7, 1926 (claim dated July 21, 1927), $3,500.

Appropriation, $2,260 for the Improvement of Twenty-fifth Street Between Rhode Island and De Haro Streets.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $2,260 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated and authorized to be expended out of the County Road Fund, being City's portion for the improvement of Twenty-fifth street between Rhode Island and De Haro streets.

Appropriation, $3,000, Wages of Plumber, Laguna Honda Home.

Supervisor McSheehy presented: Resolution No. 27572 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $3,000, being Budget Item No. 839, wages of plumber at the Laguna Honda Home, be and the same is hereby transferred to Budget Item 415, to be expended for the same purpose in accordance with a communication from the Department of Public Health, dated July 21, 1927.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Hayden, Marks—3.

Passed for Printing.

The following resolution was *passed for printing*:

Appropriation for Appraising Land Required for Park Purposes.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside and appropriated out of Budget Item No. 70, Appropriation No. 1090, "Purchase of Lands for Public Purposes in Mission District," and authorized in payment to the hereinafter named persons; being payment for appraising land required for park purposes, to-wit:

(1) George Gilmour, to appraising land required for park purposes (claim dated July 19, 1927), $4,600.

(2) L. P. Kerner, to appraising 2179 parcels of property required for park purposes (claim dated May 2, 1927), $6,537.

(3) Raymond R. Stevens, to appraising 2179 parcels of property required for park purposes (claim dated May 2, 1927), $6,537.

(4) Wm. A. Schadde, to appraising 2179 parcels of property required for park purposes (claim dated May 2, 1927), $6,537.

Accepting Offer of Fritz E. Dahlgren et al. to Sell Certain Land Required for the Opening of Bernal Heights Boulevard.

Supervisor McSheehy presented: Resolution No. 27552 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the owners of the following described land, sought to be acquired by the City and County of San Francisco for the opening of Bernal Heights boulevard, have offered to convey the property desired by the City and County of San Francisco for the sum set forth opposite their names, viz.:

Fritz E. Dahlgren and Emma Dahlgren, $200—Lot 2, Block 5631, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco.

Whereas, the City Attorney has recommended the acceptance of the said offer and the acquisition of the property owned by said persons and offered to the City and County of San Francisco for the price set forth, which said price is in accordance with the City's appraisement of the property; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the said offer of sale be accepted and the City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to examine the title of said property, and if the same is found in satisfactory condition to accept in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco a deed conveying title thereto, and file the same for record with a copy of this resolution attached thereto as evidence of acceptance by the City and County of San Francisco, upon payment of the agreed purchase price.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Hayden, Marks—3.

Accepting Offer of Mary F. S. Lemos to Sell Certain Easements Required for Hetch Hetchy Construction.

Supervisor McSheehy presented: Resolution No. 27553 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the City Engineer has recommended the purchase by the

City and County of San Francisco from the following named owner of the following described easements over land situated in the County of Alameda, State of California, required in connection with the construction, maintenance and operation of the Coast Range Division of the Hetch Hetchy aqueduct, for the sum set forth opposite her name, viz.:

Mary F. S. Lemos, $100—

Parcel 1. Subsurface right of way easement for the construction, maintenance and use of aqueduct tunnel or tunnels in and through the northeast one-quarter of Section 18, Township 4 South, Range 3 East, M. D. B. and M.

Parcel 2. Right to construct, maintain and operate an electric transmission line and telephone line along, upon and over the northeast one-quarter of Section 18, Township 4 South, Range 3 East, M. D. B. and M.

(As per written offer on file.)

Now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of the City Engineer, the above described offer of the above named property owner to sell to the City and County of San Francisco the above mentioned easements for the sum set forth opposite her name and upon the terms and conditions contained in said option, be and the same is hereby accepted; be it

Further Resolved, That the City Engineer is hereby authorized and directed to notify said party of the acceptance of her said offer, and the City Attorney is authorized and directed to examine the title to said easements, and if the same is found in satisfactory condition to accept in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco a deed conveying title thereto, containing the conditions and reservations agreed upon in said offer, and to file the same for record with a copy of this resolution attached thereto as evidence of acceptance by the City and County of San Francisco, upon payment of the above mentioned purchase price.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Hayden, Marks—3.

Passed for Printing.

The following resolution was *passed for printing*:

Appropriation, $7,000, Payment to the Anglo London American Land Company et al. for Land and Improvements on Thirty-first Avenue Required for School Purposes.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $7,000 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923, and authorized in payment to Anglo American Land Company, George Max Hantzsche and Emile Hantzsche, his wife; being payment for land and improvements situate on the east line of Thirty-first avenue, distant thereon 125 feet southerly from the southerly line of Geary street, running thence southerly along said easterly line of Thirty-first avenue 62 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 120 feet; thence at a right angle northerly 62 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 120 feet to the easterly line of Thirty-first avenue; per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 27526 (New Series).

Accepting Statement of Market Street Railway Company Showing City's Percentage of Gross Receipts.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 27554 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the statement heretofore filed by the Market Street Railway Company showing gross receipts from passenger fares for the month ending June 30, 1927, upon which percentages in the following amounts are due the City and County under the terms of franchises, be and the same are hereby accepted, to-wit:

Parkside Transit Co., $572.31.

Gough Street Railroad, $46.40.

Parnassus, Ninth Avenue Line, $307.01.

Further Resolved, That the Market Street Railway Company is hereby directed to deposit with the Treasurer of the City and County the hereinabove mentioned sums, the same to be placed to the credit of the General Fund.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Hayden, Marks—3.

City Attorney Authorized to Employ Assistants in the Matter of Application for Telephone Rate Increase.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, Pacific Telephone and Telegraph Company has made application to the Railroad Commission of the State of California for an increase in telephone rates, which, if granted, will impose an unfair and unjust burden on all the people of the City and County of San Francisco; and

Whereas, we believe it to be for the best interests of the people of San Francisco that the City Attorney should actively and energetically oppose the granting of said application for said increased telephone rates;

Now, therefore, for the purpose of enabling the said City Attorney to properly represent the City and County of San Francisco in the matter of said application of said Pacific Telephone and Telegraph Company for an increase in rates, he is hereby authorized to employ and retain for the remainder of the present fiscal year, the following assistants, to-wit: One rate litigation attorney at a salary of $850 per month; one rate engineer at a salary of $750 per month; one assistant rate engineer at a salary of $350 per month; one consulting engineer at a salary of $300 per month; one rate expert accountant at a salary of $350 per month; one stenographer at a salary of $175 per month, and such temporary assistants as may be needed from time to time, at a compensation not to exceed $2,000 for the remaining months of the present year; and be it

Further Resolved, That said City Attorney be and he is hereby allowed the further sum of $3,500 to cover costs of transcripts, supplies, traveling and other expenses incidental to said litigation; and be it

Further Resolved, That for the purpose of carrying out the provisions of this resolution, there be and there is hereby appropriated the sum of thirty-seven thousand five hundred ($37,500) dollars from moneys not otherwise appropriated in the General Fund of the City and County of San Francisco.

Substitute Resolution.

Supervisor Shannon objected to the foregoing resolution on the ground that it was not what he had originally presented and offered the following substitute therefor, which was *passed for printing*:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the Pacific Telephone and Telegraph Company has applied to the Railroad Commission of the State of California for an increase in the rates charged for telephone service in the City and County of San Francisco and in adjoining counties; and

Whereas, if the exorbitant rates asked for by said Pacific Telephone and Telegraph Company for said service are granted the same will be an unfair and unjust burden on the people of the City and County of San Francisco, amounting to many millions of dollars each year; and

Whereas, it would be for the best interest and benefit of all the people of the City and County of San Francisco that a vigorous opposition be made before the Railroad Commission of the State of California to the granting of the increase in rates applied for by said Pacific Telephone and Telegraph Company; and

Whereas, at the present time the office of the City Attorney is taxed to its utmost capacity in handling the ordinary legal affairs of the City and County of San Francisco; and

Whereas, for the purpose of making a proper opposition to the granting of said increase in said telephone rates it will be necessary for the City Attorney to retain expert engineers, accountants, legal talent and clerical help over and above that already connected with the office; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That for the purpose of making a proper opposition to the granting of said increase in telephone rates applied for by said Pacific Telephone and Telegraph Company that there be, and there is hereby appropriated out of the general funds of the City and County of San Francisco the sum of thirty-seven thousand five hundred ($37,500) dollars, which said sum shall be used by the City Attorney of the City and County of San Francisco in the retaining of engineers, expert accountants, legal talent and clerical help for the purpose of making a proper opposition to the granting of said increase in said telephone rates; all expenditures made against said fund to be approved in the same manner as all other demands against the City and County; and be it

Further Resolved, That the City Attorney be and he is hereby authorized and empowered to retain and employ:

One rate litigation attorney at a salary of $850 per month; one ex-

pert rate engineer at a salary of $750 per month; one assistant rate engineer at a salary of $350 per month; one consulting engineer at a salary of $300 per month; one expert rate accountant at a salary of $350 per month; one stenographer at a salary of $175 per month; and in addition thereto to retain such other temporary employees as he shall deem necessary, at an expense not exceeding the sum of $2,000.

Accepting Offer of Edward H. Schwerin to Sell for $6,000 Certain Land and Improvements Required for Public Use.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 27555 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, an offer has been received from Edward H. Schwerin to sell to the City and County of San Francisco certain land and improvements situate in Block No. 6403 as appears on Assessor's Map Book; and

Whereas, the price at which said parcel of land and improvements is offered is the reasonable value thereof; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the offer of the said owner to convey to the City and County of San Francisco a good and sufficient fee simple title to said parcel of land and improvements, free of all encumbrances, for the sum of $6,000, be and the same is hereby accepted, the said land being described as follows, to-wit:

Being Lot No. 2, of dimensions 25 by 100 feet, and improvements, in Block No. 6403 as appears on Assessor's Map Book, formerly Block No. 18, Crocker Amazon Tract.

The City Attorney is hereby directed to examine the title to said land, and, if the same is found to be vested in the aforesaid owner, free of all encumbrances, and that the taxes up to and including the current fiscal year are paid, and that the so-called McEnerney title has been procured or sufficient money reserved for the purpose of procuring the same, to report the result of his examination to the Board of Supervisors, and also cause a good and sufficient deed for said land to be executed and delivered to the City and County upon payment of the agreed purchase price as aforesaid. And the said deed to said land is hereby accepted.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Hayden, Marks—3.

Passed for Printing.

The following resolution was *passed for printing*:

City Attorney to Commence Condemnation Proceedings for the Acquisition of Land Required for the Opening and Construction of Bernal Heights Boulevard.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, By the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, that public interest and necessity require the acquisition by the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, of the following properties, situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, more particularly described as follows, to-wit:

All of Lots Nos. 1093, 1094, 1095 and 1096, as per Gift Map No. 3, recorded December 31, 1861, in Map Book 2 A and B, page 15, records of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California. Be it

Further Resolved, That said properties are suitable, adaptable, necessary and required for the public use of the City and County of San Francisco, to-wit: For the opening and construction of Bernal Heights boulevard. It is necessary that a fee simple title be taken for such use.

The City Attorney is hereby ordered and directed to commence proceedings in eminent domain against the owners of said tracts of land and of any and all interests therein or claims thereto for the condemnation thereof for the public use of said City and County of San Francisco, as aforesaid.

This resolution shall be published in the official newspaper, with the ayes and noes, for at least five successive days, Sundays and legal holidays excepted, before the final action upon the same.

Resolution of Intention to Establish Set-back Lines No. 141.

Supervisor Hayden presented:

Resolution No. 27557 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the City Planning Commission has recommended that setback lines be established along the streets hereinafter mentioned and as delineated upon maps accompanying said recommendations, to which reference is hereby made for further particulars; therefore, be it

Resolved, That it is the intention

of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco to establish set-back lines as provided by Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), approved May 18, 1922, as follows:

Along the southwesterly side of Pacheco street, commencing at Aerial way and running thence northwesterly 331.644 feet, said set-back line to be 10 feet; along the northeasterly side of Pacheco street, commencing at Aerial way and running thence northwesterly to Cascade walk, said set-back line to be 10 feet.

Along the westerly side of Fourteenth avenue between Ortega street and Mount lane, said set-back line to be 10 feet; along the easterly side of Fourteenth avenue between Aerial way and Ortega street, said set-back line to be 10 feet.

Along the westerly side of Fifteenth avenue and the southwesterly side of Noriega street, commencing at Ortega street and running thence northerly and northwesterly to Sixteenth avenue, said set-back line to be 10 feet; along the easterly side of Fifteenth avenue between Ortega street and Mount lane, said set-back line to be 10 feet.

Along the westerly side of Fifteenth avenue between Pacheco street and Ortega street, said set-back line to be 10 feet; along the easterly side of Fifteenth avenue between Mandalay lane and Ortega street, said set-back line to be 10 feet.

Along the westerly side of Sixteenth avenue between Noriega street and Moraga street, said set-back line to be 10 feet.

Along both side of Thirty-ninth avenue, commencing at points 50 feet northerly from Kirkham street and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 2 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 4 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 6 feet; thence northerly 300 feet, said set-back lines to be 8 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 4 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 2 feet.

And notice is hereby given that Monday, the 29th day of August, 1927, at the hour of 11 o'clock a. m., at the chambers of the Board of Supervisors, City Hall, in said City and County of San Francisco, has been fixed as the time and place when and where any and all persons having any objections to the establishing of the proposed set-back lines may appear and present any objections which they may have to said set-back lines, as set forth in this resolution of intention.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Hayden, Marks—3.

Resolution of Intention to Establish Set-back Lines No. 142.

Supervisor Hayden presented:

Resolution No. 27558 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the City Planning Commission has recommended that set-back lines be established along the streets hereinafter mentioned and as delineated upon maps accompanying said recommendations, to which reference is hereby made for further particulars; therefore, be it

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco to establish set-back lines as provided by Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), approved May 18, 1922, as follows:

Along both side of Twenty-fourth avenue, commencing at points 100 feet northerly from Lawton street and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 6 feet; thence northerly 300 feet, said set-back lines to be 9 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 3 feet.

Along both sides of Twenty-fifth avenue, commencing at points 100 feet northerly from Lawton street and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 6 feet; thence northerly 300 feet, said set-back lines to be 9 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 3 feet.

Along both sides of Twenty-sixth avenue, commencing at points 100 feet northerly from Lawton street and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 6 feet; thence northerly 300 feet, said set-back lines to be 9 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 3 feet.

Along both sides of Twenty-seventh avenue, commencing at points

100 feet northerly from Lawton street and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 6 feet; thence northerly 300 feet, said set-back lines to be 9 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 3 feet.

And notice is hereby given that Monday, the 29th day of August, 1927, at the hour of 11 o'clock a. m., at the chambers of the Board of Supervisors, City Hall, in said City and County of San Francisco, has been fixed as the time and place when and where any and all persons having any objections to the establishing of the proposed set-back lines may appear and present any objections which they may have to said set-back lines, as set forth in this resolution of intention.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Hayden, Marks—3.

Resolution of Intention to Establish Set-back Lines No. 143.

Supervisor Hayden presented:

Resolution No. 27559 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the City Planning Commission has recommended that set-back lines be established along the streets hereinafter mentioned and as delineated upon maps accompanying said recommendations, to which reference is hereby made for further particulars; therefore, be it

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco to establish set-back lines as provided by Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), approved May 18, 1922, as follows:

Along both sides of Forty-fifth avenue, commencing at points 100 feet northerly from Taraval street and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 6 feet; thence northerly 300 feet, said set-back lines to be 9 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 3 feet.

Along both sides of Forty-sixth avenue, commencing at points 100 feet northerly from Taraval street and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 6 feet; thence northerly 300 feet, said set-back lines to be 9 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 3 feet.

Along the westerly side of Forty-seventh avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Taraval street and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly to Santiago street, said set-back line to be 9 feet; along the easterly side of Forty-seventh avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Taraval street and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 300 feet, said set-back line to be 9 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet.

Along the westerly side of Forty-sixth avenue, commencing at a point 300 feet northerly from Fulton street and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 10 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 8 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 175 feet, said set-back line to be 4 feet.

Along the northwesterly side of London street, commencing at a point 25 feet southwesterly from Persia avenue and running thence southwesterly 125 feet, said set-back line to be 14 feet.

And notice is hereby given that Monday, the 29th day of August, 1927, at the hour of 11 o'clock a. m., at the chambers of the Board of Supervisors, City Hall, in said City and County of San Francisco, has been fixed as the time and place when and where any and all persons having any objections to the establishing of the proposed set-back lines may appear and present any objections which they may have to said set-back lines as set forth in this resolution of intention.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Hayden, Marks—3.

Resolution of Intention to Establish Set-back Lines No. 144.

Supervisor Hayden presented:

Resolution No. 27560 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the City Planning Commission has recommended that set-back lines be established along the streets hereinafter mentioned and as delineated upon maps accompanying said recommendations, to which reference is hereby made for further particulars; therefore, be it

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco to establish set-back lines as provided by Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), approved May 18, 1922, as follows:

Along the westerly side of Fourteenth avenue, commencing at a point 25 feet northerly from Geary street and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 5 feet; thence northerly 475 feet, said set-back line to be 10 feet.

Along the westerly side of Sixteenth avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Geary street and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 10 feet; thence northerly 75 feet, said set-back line to be 15 feet; thence northerly 75 feet, said set-back line to be 18 feet; thence northerly 50 feet, said set-back line to be 20 feet; thence northerly 75 feet, said set-back line to be 18 feet; thence northerly 50 feet, said set-back line to be 15 feet; thence northerly 75 feet, said set-back line to be 7½ feet; along the easterly side of Sixteenth avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Geary street and running thence northerly 350 feet, said set-back line to be 10 feet; thence northerly 80 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet.

Along the westerly side of Parker avenue, commencing at St. Rose's avenue and running thence northerly 50 feet, said set-back line to be 5 feet; thence northerly 225 feet, said set-back line to be 16 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 8 feet.

Along the northerly side of Santiago street, commencing at Eighteenth avenue and running thence easterly 157½ feet, said set-back line to be 10 feet; thence easterly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 2-3 feet; thence easterly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 1-3 feet.

And notice is hereby given that Monday, the 29th day of August, 1927, at the hour of 11 o'clock a. m., at the chambers of the Board of Supervisors, City Hall, in said City and County of San Francisco, has been fixed as the time and place when and where any and all persons having any objections to the establishing of the proposed set-back lines may appear and present any objections which they may have to said set-back lines as set forth in this resolution of intention.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Hayden, Marks—3.

Passed for Printing.

The following resolution was *passed for printing*:

Blasting Permit, J. P. Holland & Company.

On motion of Supervisor Deasy:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That J. P. Holland & Co. is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to explode blasts within property line at Sixth and Locksley avenues; provided that said permittee shall execute and file a good and sufficient bond in the sum of $5,000, as fixed by the Board of Public Works and approved by his Honor the Mayor, in accordance with Ordinance No. 1204 (New Series); provided, also, that said blasts shall be exploded only between the hours of 7 a. m. and 6 p. m., and that the work of blasting shall be performed to the satisfaction of the Board of Public Works, and that if any of the conditions of this resolution be violated by said J. P. Holland & Co., then the privileges and all the rights accruing thereunder shall immediately become null and void.

Accepting Offer of Margaret S. Jacobs to Sell Land on Twenty-fourth Avenue Required for School Purposes.

Supervisor Powers presented:

Resolution No. 27561 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, an offer has been received from Margaret S. Jacobs to sell to the City and County of San Francisco certain land situate on the west line of Twenty-fourth avenue, distant 150 feet from Santiago street, required for school purposes; and

Whereas, the price at which said parcel of land is offered is the reasonable value thereof; therefore, be it

Resolved That the offer of the said owner to convey to the City

and County of San Francisco a good and sufficient fee simple title to the following described land, free of all encumbrances, for the sum of $2,500, be and the same is hereby accepted, the said land being described as follows, to-wit:

Beginning at a point on the westerly line of Twenty-second avenue, distant thereon 150 feet northerly from the northerly line of Santiago street, and running thence westerly at a right angle to Twenty-second avenue a distance of 120 feet; thence at a right angle northerly 48 feet and 3 inches, more or less, to the intersection of a line drawn from a point in the westerly line of Twenty-second avenue, distant thereon 192 feet northerly from the northerly line of Santiago street to a point in the easterly line of Twenty-third avenue, distant thereon 204 feet and 6 inches northerly from the northerly line of Santiago street; thence easterly along the last-mentioned line so drawn 120 feet and 2 inches, more or less, to the westerly line of Twenty-second avenue; thence southerly along the westerly line of Twenty-second avenue 42 feet to the point of beginning. Being part of O. L. Block No. 1100.

The City Attorney is hereby directed to examine the title to said land and, if the same is found to be vested in the aforesaid owner, free of all encumbrances, and that the taxes up to and including the current fiscal year are paid, and that the so-called McEnerney title has been procured or sufficient money reserved for the purpose of procuring the same, to report the result of his examination to the Board of Supervisors, and also to cause a good and sufficient deed for said land to be executed and delivered to the City and County upon payment of the agreed purchase price as aforesaid. And the said deed to said land is hereby accepted.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Hayden, Marks—3.

Taxicab Stand Permits.

Supervisor Schmidt presented:

Resolution No. 27562 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following taxicab stands are hereby approved:

Yellow-Checker Cab Company, at 1104 Valencia street and 401 Hyde street.

Red Top Cab Company, at 45 Fourth street, 1006 Kearny street and 204 Eddy street.

Golden Gate Cab Company, at 402 Sutter street.

White Top Cab Company, at 2802 Mission street.

Eagle Cab Company, at 1315 Stockton street.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Hayden, Marks—3.

Circus Permit.

Supervisor Schmidt presented:

Resolution No. 27556 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Ringling Brothers & Barnum and Bailey be and they are hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to hold a circus on grounds located at Sixteenth and Bryant streets, from Friday, September 2, 1927, to Sunday, September 4, 1927, inclusive.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Hayden, Marks—3.

Extension of Time, Fay Improvement Company.

Supervisor Marks presented:

Resolution No. 27563 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Fay Improvement Company is hereby granted an extension of ninety (90) days' time from and after August 2, 1927, within which to complete the improvement of Diamond street between Army and Twenty-eighth streets. The work is well under way, the grading, sewering and curbing having been completed.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Hayden, Marks—3.

Accepting Deeds to Land Required for the Widening of Parker Avenue.

Supervisor Marks presented:

Resolution No. 27564 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the deeds exe-

cuted on the 11th day of June, 1927, and on the 19th day of July, 1927, between A. Fishel, a widow, and the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, to lands for widening of Parker avenue south of California street, fronting on Laurel Hill Cemetery, as shown on map showing the widening of Parker avenue south of California street, fronting on Laurel Hill Cemetery, approved by the Board of Public Works by Resolution No. 98208 (Second Series), are hereby accepted.

Further Resolved, That the land covered by said deeds is hereby declared an open public street.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Hayden, Marks—3.

Approving Map Showing the Widening of Parker Avenue South of California Street.

Supervisor Marks presented:

Resolution No. 27565 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the Board of Public Works did, by Resolution No. 98208 (Second Series), approve a map showing the widening of Parker avenue south of California street, fronting on Laurel Hill Cemetery; now, therefore,

Resolved, That the map showing the widening of Parker avenue south of California street, fronting on Laurel Hill Cemetery, is hereby approved.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Hayden, Marks—3.

Passed for Printing.

The following bill was *passed for printing*:

Spur Track, Southern Pacific Company.

On motion of Supervisor Marks:

Bill No. 8114, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Granting permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to the Southern Pacific Company to construct, maintain and operate a spur track across Beale street between Howard and Folsom streets as hereinafter described:

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, is hereby granted to the Southern Pacific Company to construct, maintain and operate a spur track across Beale street between Howard and Folsom streets, being particularly described as follows, to-wit:

Beginning at a point on the northeasterly line of Beale street, said point being distant southeasterly 196.0 feet, more or less, from the southeasterly line of Howard street; thence westerly and crossing Beale street to a point on the southwesterly line of Beale street, said point being distant southeasterly 155 feet, more or less, from the southeasterly line of Howard street; thence continuing on into private property.

Said permission is granted subject to the provisions of Ordinance No. 69 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors, approved October 12, 1906, and the provisions and conditions of Section 8 thereof are hereby specifically contained in the permit hereby granted, and shall be construed as a part hereof as completely as though the same were written in this ordinance.

Provided, that said track shall be laid under the supervision and to the lines and grades as furnished by the City Engineer's office, and that any and all expense connected with the installation of the track, restoration of the pavement and any additional requirements for the surface drainage is to be paid for by the Southern Pacific Company; provided, that the Southern Pacific Company shall erect and maintain all-night lighted arc lamps to be placed where directed by the Lighting Committee of the Board of Supervisors.

Provided, that girder rail be used between the property lines of the street; that the drainage intercepted by the proposed spur be taken care of by the construction of catchbasins, culverts, and connecting the same with the main sewer, that the granite curb removed be hauled to the Corporation Yard; that the main sewer be reinforced, if necessary, and that the pavement disturbed be restored; all work to be done under the supervision of the Board of Public Works.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND MOTIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

American Legion, 60-Day Vacation.

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Resolution No. 27566 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the ninth annual convention of the American Legion is to be held in September, this year, in Paris, France; and

Wheres, the intrepid and supremely successful flight of America's premier ace, Col. Charles Lindbergh, and his cordial reception by the people of France, has cemented stronger than ever the traditional bonds of friendship between the Republic of the United States and the Republic of France, resulting in a spirit of good feeling at a time when relations were in danger of becoming extremely critical, which situation has, by the excellent judgment of America's diplomatic representatives in France, been further improved; and

Whereas, the City of Chicago, in recognition of the great sacrifices of our American "doughboys" in their devotion to American ideals during the great World War, has voted unanimously a vacation of sixty (60) days with pay to the employees of the City of Chicago, who, as members of the American Legion, desire to attend the convention in Paris; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the City of San Francisco in like manner grant a sixty (60) day vacation with pay to its men in the City employ whose devotion in the time of our country's need gave their all in her service, and who now desire to attend this great and memorable reunion.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Hayden, Marks—3.

Publicity Appropriation to San Francisco Convention and Tourist League.

Supervisor Colman presented:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $20,000 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of Publicity and Advertising (Appropriation 55), and authorized in payment to San Francisco Convention and Tourist League for the publicity and advertising of San Francisco.

Referred to Public Welfare Committee.

RECESS.

Thereupon, the Board at 12:30 p. m. took a recess until 2 p. m.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk.

REASSEMBLED—2 P. M.

The Board of Supervisors reassembled at 2 p. m.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Hayden, Marks—3.

Quorum present.

His Honor Mayor Rolph presiding.

Mayor Joins Lindy League.

A large delegation of children, bearing miniature models of airplanes, and members of the Lindy League, appeared in the chambers, where they greeted his Honor Mayor Rolph, and conferred on him honorary membership in their organization, which, it was explained, was devoted to the development of aeronautics in the children of the rising generation. The affair was enlivened by eulogistic speeches for his Honor the Mayor, and suitable responses by the youthful representatives of the League.

Civil Service Eligibles for Appraisal Bureau.

Supervisor Colman presented:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Finance Committee is hereby instructed to incorporate as one of the conditions of the contract with James G. Stafford, authorized by Resolution No. 27419 (New Series), a provision that all clerical work to be done under said contract must be done by eligibles appearing upon the general clerks list adopted by the Civil Service Commission, to be regularly certified to said James G. Stafford by said Civil Service Commission pursuant to requisitions therefor, pursuant to Article XIII of the Charter and Rules of said Commission.

Referred to City Planning Committee.

Work on Colma Bottleneck.

Supervisor Roncovieri asked for and received some information on the progress of work on the "Colma Bottle Neck." He complained that the work was going on very slowly

and that when he passed there last Saturday morning, only about 25 or 30 men were at work. It was stated by Mr. Callahan, of the City Engineer's staff, that the work was all being done under the supervision of the State Highway Commission. The contract for the paving was let more than two weeks ago and that it would be finished by either October or November 1st at the latest.

Lincoln Statue.

In reference to the Lincoln statute, which is almost ready to be placed on its pedestal, and which has tentatively to be set up in the Civic Center plaza, Supervisor Byington and others thought that Lincoln Park, where the end of the Lincoln Highway is located, is the most appropriate place. The Park Commission has had this matter under consideration for several months.

Privilege of the Floor.

Colonel Saar was granted the privilege of the floor, favoring the placing of the Lincoln statute in the Civic Center.

Convention of League of California Municipalities.

Supervisor Shannon moved that the Mayor be authorized to appoint five members of the Board as delegates to the California League of Municipalities convention, to be held September 19 to 23, 1927, at Sacramento.

So *ordered.*

Extension of Junipero Serra Boulevard.

Supervisor Harrelson presented a resolution in the matter of the extension of the Junipero Serra boulevard from the sharp turn near Colma on a new road to be located west of the cemeteries.

Referred to the Streets Committee.

Supervisor Badaracco Asks for Progressive Report on Aquatic Park.

Supervisor Badaracco requested information as to the status of the Aquatic Park at the foot of Van Ness avenue, and the information was elicited that all the land had been purchased and what was needed now was the money to go ahead with the improvements. Real active work should soon be in progress. Supervisor Badaracco said that he would introduce a resolution at next Monday's meeting with the view to getting this worok started immediately.

San Mateo County Officials Thanked for Action on Proposed Bridge Franchise.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 27567 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, a Committee from this Board, duly authorized, was requested to appear before the Board of Supervisors of San Mateo County requesting that Board to defer action on application for a franchise to construct a bridge between San Mateo and Alameda counties; and

Whereas, the Committee from this Board did appear before the Board of Supervisors of San Mateo County requesting that the action be deferred, and such action as requested by the Committee from this Board was taken to the end that the application for the franchise was denied; and

Whereas, the Committee from this Board deems that such action by the Board of Supervisors of San Mateo County was for the best interests of both San Mateo and San Francisco counties; therefore, be it

Resolved, That this Board does hereby express its thanks to the Board of Supervisors of San Mateo County for the action taken in the premises.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Hayden, Marks—3.

(*Supervisor Havenner moved that the Clerk send copies of this resolution to the San Mateo County Board of Supervisors.*)

Accepting Offer of George Symon to Sell Land Required for School Purposes.

Supervisor Powers presented:

Resolution No. 27568 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, an offer has been received from George Symon to sell to the City and County of San Francisco certain land situate easterly line of Thirty-second avenue, distant 150 feet northerly from Balboa street, of dimensions 25 by 112 feet 3¾ inches, more or less, required for school purposes; and

Whereas, the price at which said parcel of land is offered is the reasonable value thereof; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the offer of the said owner to convey to the City and County of San Francisco a good and sufficient fee simple title to the following described land, free of all encumbrances, for the sum of $2,750, be and the same is hereby accepted, the said land being described as follows, to-wit:

Commencing at a point on the easterly line of Thirty-second avenue, distant thereon 150 feet northerly from the northerly line of Balboa street, running thence northerly along said easterly line of Thirty-second avenue 25 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 112 feet 3¾ inches; thence southeasterly 25 feet, more or less; thence westerly 114 feet 10¾ inches to the easterly line of Thirty-second avenue and point of commencement. Being a portion of Outside Land Block 314, also known as Block 1574 on Assessor's Map Book.

The City Attorney is hereby directed to examine the title to said land and, if the same is found to be vested in the aforesaid owner, free of all encumbrances, and that the taxes up to and including the current fiscal year are paid, and that the so-called McEnerney title has been procured, or sufficient money reserved for the purpose of procuring the same, to report the result of his examination to the Board of Supervisors, and also to cause a good and sufficient deed for said land to be executed and delivered to the City and County upon payment of the agreed purchase price as aforesaid. And the said deed to said land is hereby accepted.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Hayden, Marks—3.

Accepting Offer of William M. Symon to Sell Land Required for School Purposes.

Supervisor Powers presented:

Resolution No. 27569 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, an offer has been received from William M. Symon to sell to the City and County of San Francisco certain land situate east line of Thirty-second avenue, distant 125 feet northerly from Balboa street, of dimensions 25 by 114 feet 10¾ inches, irregular, required for school purposes; and

Whereas, the price at which said parcel of land is offered is the reasonable value thereof; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the offer of the said owner to convey to the City and County of San Francisco a good and sufficient fee simple title to the following described land, free of all encumbrances, for the sum of $2,750, be and the same is hereby accepted, the said land being described as follows, to-wit:

Commencing at a point on the easterly line of Thirty-second avenue, distant thereon 125 feet northerly from the northerly line of Balboa street, running thence northerly along said easterly line of Thirty-second avenue 25 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 114 feet 10¾ inches; thence southeasterly 25 feet, more or less; thence westerly 115 feet 5¾ inches, more or less, to the easterly line of Thirty-second avenue and point of commencement. Being a portion of Outside Land Block 314, also known as Block 1574 on Assessor's Map Book.

The City Attorney is hereby directed to examine the title to said land and, if the same is found to be vested in the aforesaid owner, free of all encumbrances, and that the taxes up to and including the current fiscal year are paid, and that the so-called McEnerney title has been procured, or sufficient money reserved for the purpose of procuring the same, to report the result of his examination to the Board of Supervisors, and also to cause a good and sufficient deed for said land to be executed and delivered to the City and County upon payment of the agreed purchase price as aforesaid. And the said deed to said land is hereby accepted.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Hayden, Marks—3.

Accepting Offer of A. B. Symon to Sell Land Required for School Purposes.

Supervisor Powers presented:

Resolution No. 27570 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, an offer has been received from A. B. Symon to convey to the City and County of San Francisco certain land situate east line of Thirty-second avenue, distant 100 feet southerly from Anza street, of dimensions 50 by 79 feet, more or less, required for school purposes; and

Whereas, the price at which said parcel of land is offered is the reasonable value thereof; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the offer of the said owner to convey to the City and County of San Francisco a good

and sufficient fee simple title to the following described land, free of all encumbrances, for the sum of $3,700, be and the same is hereby accepted, the said land being described as follows, to-wit:

Commencing at a point on the easterly line of Thirty-second avenue, distant thereon 100 feet southerly from the southerly line of Anza street, running thence southerly along said easterly line of Thirty-second avenue 50 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 84 feet 4¾ inches; thence northwesterly 50 feet, more or less; thence westerly 79 feet 3⅞ inches to the easterly line of Thirty-second avenue and point of commencement. Being a portion of Outside Land Block 314, also known as Block 1574 on Assessor's Map Book.

The City Attorney is hereby directed to examine the title to said land and, if the same is found to be vested in the aforesaid owner, free of all encumbrances, and that the taxes up to and including the current fiscal year are paid, and that the so-called McEnerney title has been procured, or sufficient money reserved for the purpose of procuring the same, to report the result of his examination to the Board of Supervisors, and also to cause a good and sufficient deed for said land to be executed and delivered to the City and County upon payment of the agreed purchase price as aforesaid. And the said deed to said land is hereby accepted.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Hayden, Marks—3.

Employment of Symphony Artists Authorized.

Supervisor Havenner presented: Resolution No. 27571 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors, upon the recommendation of the Auditorium Committee, authorizes his Honor the Mayor to enter into contract with the following named persons and associations for musical entertainment to be held in the Auditorium as hereinafter set forth:

1. With Alexander Brailowsky, or his representative, to appear as a piano soloist with the San Francisco Symphony Orchestra Tuesday night, November 1, 1927, at concert given pursuant to the provisions of Paragraph 1 of Resolution No. 27535 (New Series), and that said Alexander Brailowsky, or his representative, be paid the sum of $1,000 for his services as such soloist at said concert.

2. With Florence Austral, or her representative, to appear as soloist with the San Francisco Symphony Orchestra on the evenings of Thursday, April 6, 1928, and April 10, 1928, at concert given pursuant to the provisions of Paragraph 1 of Resolution No. 27535 (New Series), and that said Florence Austral, or her representative, be paid the sum of $1,750 for her services as such soloist at said concert.

3. With Hulda Lashanska, or her representative, to appear as soloist with the San Francisco Symphony Orchestra on the evening of February 23, 1928, at concert given pursuant to the provisions of Paragraph 1 of Resolution No. 27535 (New Series), and that said Hulda Lashanska, or her representative, be paid the sum of $800 for her services as such soloist at said concert.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Hayden, Marks—3.

SPECIAL ORDERS—2 P. M.

BRIDGE HEARINGS.

Motions.

Supervisor Shannon: I move that we now take up the matter of the bridge hearings, and I understand that Supervisor McSheehy has some preliminary statement that he desires to make.

The Mayor: Go ahead, Mr. McSheehy.

Supervisor McSheehy: Your Honor, the Mayor, and Members of the Board: I wish to state to the membership of the Board that last Tuesday a committee of yours, the Special Bridge Committee, called a meeting here in these rooms, and attending that committee meeting were Senators Johnson and Shortridge, representing the State of California, and Congressman Welch and Mrs. Kahn, representing the City of San Francisco, and a number of our leading citizens, including a number of the publishers of our daily papers were present, and the hearing was held. And Senator

Johnson made a motion at the conclusion of the hearing which is rather short which I feel I should read to you at this time. The purport of the motion is this: "A further drive on the War and Navy Departments to have the bridge permit granted. 2. An appeal to President Coolidge, as Commander-in-Chief of the Army and Navy, to overrule the War Department ruling on the bridge permit should be devised. 3. Appeal to President to appoint a special committee to include certain members of his cabinet, to come here and make a study of the bridge problem, this commission to have the authority to grant the permit if the bridge is deemed necessary. 4. If all these fail, to carry the matter direct to the floor of the Congress, after a carefully prepared drive to acquaint members of Congress with all the facts in the case." This motion, covering these four items, was made by Senator Johnson and duly seconded by Senator Shortridge, and it was referred to the Special Bridge Commitee. That committee will meet tomorrow at 2 o'clock and take these matters up. And I have great hopes that tomorrow we will devise ways and means of organizing the entire matter so that a presentation will be made, and so that the Navy Department will modify their stand in this matter because we have word from the War Department that they are ready to modify the ruling if the Navy Department wil acquiece in their report. So I say to you, Mr. Mayor and members of the Board, that I have great hopes that we will have the War Department modify their rulings. I want to say at this time that I am very grateful, as chairman of this committee, to receive the cooperation that we are receiving at the hands of our leading citizens and our Senators and Congressmen, and I want to thank the newspapers of San Francisco for their cooperation in this all-important matter.

Motions.

The following motions continued from last meeting were taken up:

Supervisor Gallagher: I move you that while it is the policy of this Board that we favor a publicly-owned bay bridge, it is, however, the sense of this Board that we favor immediate construction of said bridge by private capital, until the people may elect in the manner provided by law to take over said bridge and place it under public ownership and control.

Supervisor Marks: I move you that, as an amendment to the motion of Supervisor Gallagher, the City Attorney be requested to submit to this Board, at the earliest possible opportunity, a form of submission of the issue of public ownership and operation of the proposed bridge, or private ownership or operation of the proposed bridge, for action by the people at the earliest possible opportunity.

* * * * *

Supervisor Marks: I desire to present the following motion and calling attention to the fact that it does not call for anything other than asking the City Attorney to submit a proper form of proposal to us. I make the motion which heretofore has been outlined three or four times. (Referring to motion set out in full above.)

The Chairman: Any objection? So ordered.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing motions were *continued until Monday, August 1, 1927, at 2 p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Hayden, Marks—3.

(At this point in the proceedings his Honor the Mayor retired from the chair and Supervisor McSheehy was called to preside.)

Applications for Franchises to Construct Bridges Across San Francisco Bay.

Thereupon, the following matters were taken up:

Application of Bay Cities Bridge Corporation for a franchise for a toll bridge between San Francisco and Alameda.

Proposed rail and highway crossing for San Francisco Bay from the foot of Sixteenth street, San Francisco, to the foot of Pacific avenue, Alameda.

Beginning at the intersection of the center line of Sixteenth street with the center line of Third (Kentucky) street, in the City and County of San Francisco, and extending thence easterly along the center line of Sixteenth street to the waterfront line; thence easterly along a straight line to a point on the center line of Pacific avenue, in the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, which point is one hundred feet westerly from the intersection of said center line of Pacific ave-

nue with the center line of Bryant street.

That the length of said bridge is 27,750 feet and that the width of the roadway on said bridge is 38 feet.

Filed April 6, 1925.

DUDLEY D. SALES,
Attorney.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, August* 1, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Hayden, Marks—3.

Application of Elbert W. Davis for a franchise for a toll bridge between San Francisco and Alameda.

Across San Francisco Bay, beginning at a point in the City and County of San Francisco on the bulkhead line of San Francisco Harbor between Piers 26 and 28, and running approximately north 67 degrees 45 minutes east 17,962 feet, more or less, to a point in the City of Oakland, County of Alameda, State of California, on the easterly shore of San Francisco Bay.

That the length of said bridge is to be 17,962 feet and that the breadth thereof is to be 65 feet, more or less.

Filed June 29, 1925.

JAMES M. OLIVER,
Attorney.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, August* 1, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Hayden, Marks—3.

Application of John Bermingham, Jr., 250 Cervantes boulevard, for a franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge across San Francisco Bay between the City and County of San Francisco and the County of Alameda.

Beginning at the intersection of the center line of Seventh and Mission streets, in the City and County of San Francisco, along Seventh street to Seventh and Sixteenth; thence in a southeasterly direction to Nineteenth and Third; thence in a southerly direction along Third street to the intersection of Third street and Jerrold avenue and waterfront; thence proceeding in a northerly direction, crossing San Francisco Bay to a point from which a branch will extend 4500 feet easterly to the intersection of Pacific avenue and Bryant street, in the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California; thence from said aforementioned intersecting point proceeding in a northerly direction, crossing the Oakland Estuary, to the intersection of Peralta street and Seventh street, in the City of Oakland, County of Alameda, State of California.

That the total length of said bridge, approaches thereto and branch thereof, aforementioned, is 65,450 feet, that the width of said bridge is ninety (90) feet.

Filed September 28, 1925.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, August* 1, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Hayden, Marks—3.

Application of A. M. Bergstrom for a franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge across San Francisco Bay between the City and County of San Francisco and the County of Alameda.

That the said toll bridge is to be located across the Bay of San Francisco along the following described line, to-wit:

Beginning at a point in San Francisco at about the foot of Davidson avenue, on Hunter's Point, and running northerly sixty-two (62) degrees east four and four-tenths (4.4) miles; thence on a one (1) degree curve to the north one and six-tenths (1.6) miles to true north to a point on the easterly shore of the Bay of San Francisco at about the foot of Webster street, in the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California.

That the length of said bridge is to be six (6) miles and the breadth is to be sixty (60) feet, more or less.

Filed September 28, 1925.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the

foregoing application was *continued until Monday, August* 1, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Hayden, Marks—3.

Application of New York and San Francisco Development Company for franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge between San Francisco and Alameda.

Bridge to be constructed across San Francisco Bay from a point therein at or near the junction of Evans avenue and Boalt street, Hunter's Point, in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to or near the junction of South High street and Washington street, in the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California; that the length of said bridge is approximately six and one-half miles from shore line to shore line, and that its breadth or width is approximately from 60 to 90 feet.

Filed January 11, 1926.

ROTHCHILD & GOLDEN,
Attorneys.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, August* 1, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Hayden, Marks—3.

Application of Roy C. Hackley for a franchise to construct a toll bridge between San Francisco, Pier 26, and Alameda County.

Beginning at a point in the City and County of San Francisco on the bulkhead line of San Francisco Harbor, south of and adjacent to Pier 26, and running approximately north 61 degrees east and fifty-three hundred feet, more or less; thence running approximately north 89 degrees east five thousand feet, more or less; thence running approximately north 59 degrees 30 minutes east eight thousand feet, more or less, to a point in the City of Oakland, County of Alameda, State of California, on the easterly shore of said San Francisco Bay.

That the length of said bridge is to be eighteen thousand three hundred feet (18,300) and that the breadth thereof is to be 78 feet, more or less.

Roy A. Bronson and E. D. Bronson, Jr., attorneys, 68 Post street.

Filed February 6, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, August* 1, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Hayden, Marks—3.

Two applications of the Construction Company of North America for a franchise to construct and open a toll bridge between San Francisco and Alameda County.

Commencing at a point in said City and County of San Francisco near the corner of First and Bryant streets, on what is known as Rincon Hill, and running thence on less than a two-degree curve a distance of approximately 1700 lineal feet to the line of bulkhead wharf connecting Piers 30 and 32 on the waterfront of the said City and County of San Francisco; thence on a line approximately north 65 degrees east 21,000 lineal feet, more or less, to the Oakland Mole of the Southern Pacific Company in Alameda County.

That the length of said bridge is to be 4½ miles and that the width thereof is to be approximately 78 feet.

ROBERT J. McGAHIE,
Attorney, Mills Building.

First application filed February 15, 1926.

Second application field April 5, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, August* 1, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Hayden, Marks—3.

Application of Allan C. Rush for a franchise to construct a toll bridge between San Francisco and Alameda County.

Construction of a suspension bridge from the City and County

of San Francisco over and across Goat Island to the east shore of the Bay of San Francisco, comprising three main double traffic track entrance therein, and being of a height of two hundred feet above high tide, between all bridge piers in the waters of San Francisco Channel, in which channel the bridge will be suspended over "but three piers," twenty-four hundred feet apart, thus in nowise impeding the traffic of the waters of the Bay.

Filed June 19, 1926.

ALLAN C. RUSH,
1632 McCullom street, Los Angeles, California.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, August* 1, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Hayden, Marks—3.

Application of Robert Lee Dunn, 778 Monadnock Building, for a franchise to construct a toll bridge along the following described line, to-wit:

Beginning at the intersection of the center line of Second street with the center line of Berry street, in the City and County of San Francisco and extending thence easterly to the waterfront line; thence easterly along a straight line to the intersection of the center lines of Pacific avenue and Bryant street, City of Alameda, California.

Length of said bridge is twenty-six thousand feet; that the width of the roadway on said bridge is eighty feet.

Filed June 21, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, August* 1, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Hayden, Marks—3.

Application of Edward A. Bertram for a franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge to be located, to-wit:

Beginning at Twelfth and Howard streets, San Francisco, by 1½ per cent viaduct to Beale and Howard streets; thence to Southern Pacific Mole, Oakland; thence by 1½ per cent viaduct to West Fourteenth street level, and Sixteenth Street Railroad Station.

The length of said bridge proper is 21,000 feet and its width of roadway is 63 feet.

Filed June 21, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, August* 1, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Hayden, Marks—3.

Application of R. S. Chew for franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge or bridges.

The said proposed bridge or bridges are to be located and are described as follows:

The westerly end of a bridge and the approaches thereto, which will connect with public streets, are to be located in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California (which location is in the county situated on the left bank descending of the Bay of San Francisco, across which said bridge is to be constructed), and at a point therein at or near the summit of an eminence known as Telegraph Hill; from this point the bridge will project easterly a distance of nine thousand feet (more or less) to the westerly side of Yerba Buena (or Goat) Island; thence easterly across said island a roadway or viaduct will be constructed for a distance of four thousand feet (more or less) to its easterly side; from thence there will be projected in an easterly direction a distance of twenty-two thousand feet (more or less), a bridge structure, viaduct, causeway and/or fill to suitable connections with lines or railway and public highways in Alameda County, State of California.

Filed June 28, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, August* 1, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Hayden, Marks—3.

Application of Inter-Cities Bridge Company for a franchise to construct, maintain and operate a toll bridge across the Bay of San Francisco and over the following route:

Beginning at the intersection of Broadway and Battery street, in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and extending thence easterly to the waterfront; thence on a line approximately north 60 degrees east to the westerly point of Yerba Buena or Goat Island; thence by contour meander to the easterly point of Yerba Buena or Goat Island; thence on a line approximately north 75 degrees to the foot of Yerba Buena street, in the City of Oakland, County of Alameda, State of California.

That the length of said bridge, inclusive of approximately 4100 feet on ground support over Yerba Buena or Goat Island, and 5670 feet to be supported by an earth fill mole in the approach to the said Oakland terminal, is to be approximately 27,000 feet. That the width of said bridge is to be approximately 120 feet, providing two 20-foot vehicular lanes and accommodations for four lines of electric transportation tracks.

Filed July 12, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, August* 1, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Hayden, Marks—3.

Application of G. Lindenthal for franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge.

Said bridge is to be located along the following described line:

Commencing at a point in the center line of Jerrold avenue, in the City and County of San Francisco, about midway between Ship street and Dock street, in said City and County of San Francisco; thence on a curve easterly and northerly a distance of 30,000 feet, more or less, to the southerly foot of Webster street, in the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California.

The width of said bridge at the easterly end thereof for approximately 16,000 feet will be 75 feet, and the width of the remaining portion thereof will be approximately 40 feet. The length of said bridge will be approximately 30,000 feet.

Filed August 2, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, August* 1, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Hayden, Marks—3.

Preliminary application of Fowler Engineering Corporation for a franchise for the building of a bridge from Telegraph Hill to Goat Island, 3 2000-foot spans.

Filed July 28, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, the foregoing application was dropped from the calendar by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Hayden, Marks—3.

Application of W. F. Holcomb for franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge across San Francisco Bay between the City and County of San Francisco and Alameda County.

Beginning at or near and within one hundred feet northerly or southerly of the intersection of the center line of Second street with the center line of Berry street, in the City and County of San Francisco and extending thence easterly to the waterfront line; thence easterly along a straight line to, at or near and within one hundred feet northerly or southerly of the intersection of the center lines of Pacific avenue and Bryant street, City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California.

That the length of said bridge will be twenty-six thousand feet; that the width of the roadway on said bridge will be eighty-nine feet.

Filed August 9, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, August* 1, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Hayden, Marks—3.

Application of Robert E. Fitzgerald for franchise to erect, maintain and operate and take tolls on a toll bridge over and across the Bay of San Francisco. The location of said bridge is described as follows:

The center line of said bridge will commence at a point on The Embarcadero of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, at a point approximately midway between Vallejo street and Broadway street; thence extending on a line approximately north 60 degrees east to the westerly point of Yerba Buena Island, also known as Goat Island; thence following the contour of said island to a point on the easterly shore thereof where said shore line would be intersected by a center line of Seventh street in the City of Oakland, if produced, upon its present course as the course of said street now exists along the northerly line of Oakland Mole; thence easterly along said line of said street, if so produced, to the center line of said Seventh street at the present westerly end thereof.

Filed August 9, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, the foregoing application was dropped from the calendar by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Hayden, Marks—3.

Application of Thos. E. Slaven, filed August 16, 1926, for franchise to construct, maintain and operate a toll bridge. Said bridge is to be constructed over and across the Bay of San Francisco, and over the following route:

The location of said bridge is particularly described as follows: The center line of said bridge will commence at a point on The Embarcadero of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, approximately where the center line of said Embarcadero intersects the center line of Pier 7, if produced. Thence extending on a line north approximately 67 degrees 30 minutes east to a point on the southwesterly shore of Yerba Buena or Goat Island; thence easterly following the contour of said island to a point on the easterly shore thereof, located about six hundred feet in a northerly direction from the light house located on the southern portion of said island; thence north eighty-five degrees thirty minutes east, approximately 8700 feet to a point where said line intersects the center line of Seventh street, Oakland, if produced, upon its present course as the course of said street now exists along the northern line of Oakland Mole; thence easterly along said den, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powline of said street, if so produced, to the center line of said Seventh street at the present westerly end thereof.

The length of said bridge, exclusive of land approaches, being approximately 9300 feet west of said island and approximately 10,400 feet east of said island, and the breadth of said bridge being approximately 115 feet.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, the foregoing application was dropped from the calednar by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Hayden, Marks—3.

Application of E. D. Bronson, Jr., for a franchise for a toll bridge across San Francisco Bay.

Filed August 16, 1926.

Beginning at a point in the City and County of San Francisco on the bulkhead line of San Francisco Harbor, south of and adjacent to Pier 26, and running north approximately 68 degrees east seventeen thousand nine hundred fifty feet (17,950′), more or less, to a point in the City of Oakland, County of Alameda, State of California, on the easterly shore of said San Francisco Bay; and the said toll bridge to be approximately seventeen thousand nine hundred fifty (17,950′) feet in length and one hundred ten (110′) feet in breadth, more or less.

Roy A. Bronson, E. D. Bronson, Jr., attorneys at law, 68 Post street, Room 403, San Francisco, California.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, August* 1, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Hayden, Marks—3.

Tunnels.

Application of O. A. Ellis for franchise for two tunnels under the Bay of San Francisco from San Francisco to Oakland.

One of the tunnels will be for interurban street car traffic, with double track, and the San Francisco terminal between Third and Fourth streets on Harrison street.

The other tunnel will be for auto and railroad traffic, with San Francisco terminal between Second and Third streets on Harrison street.

The Oakland terminal will be at Seventh and Cedar streets.

Filed June 25, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, August* 1, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Hayden, Marks—3.

Application of H. S. Henion to construct toll bridge along the following route, to-wit :

Beginning at the intersection of the center lines of Berry and Second streets, in the City and County of San Francisco, California, thence southeasterly to the west end of Pier No. 46, on the San Francisco waterfront; thence easterly over said pier to its eastern end; thence easterly across the Bay of San Francisco to the point of intersection of the center line of Peralta street, in the City of Oakland, California, produced southerly to the center line of the vehicular roadway on the Alameda Mole, in the City of Alameda, California; thence northeasterly on the said produced center line of Peralta street to its intersection with the center line of Eighth street, in said City of Oakland, Alameda County, California.

That the length of said bridge is approximately thirty thousand feet; that the width of said bridge is approximately eighty feet.

The above bridge will include an overhead approach, to extend from Second and Berry streets, San Francisco, to Rincon Hill or immediate vicinity, where abundant terminal facilities will be provided.

Filed March 14, 1927.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, August* 1, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Hayden, Marks—3.

Application of Frank E. Webb to construct toll bridge along the following route, to-wit:

Said proposed toll bridge is to be located, builded and constructed across San Francisco Bay from a point exactly in longitude west 122 degrees 21 minutes 48.7 seconds and latitude north 37 degrees 43 minutes 33.75 seconds in San Francisco County, State of California, Hunter's Point, in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to a point exactly in longitude west 122 degrees 15 minutes 2.6 seconds and latitude north 37 degrees 44 minutes 15.67 seconds in Alameda County, State of California; thence to the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California; that the length of said bridge is approximately 32,200 feet from shore line to shore line, and that its breadth or width is approximately from sixty (60) to ninety (90) feet, as shown by map attached and made a part hereof and marked "Exhibit B."

Bridge is to be of steel and concrete cantilever type, clearance from San Francisco side to be approximately one hundred and forty (140) feet. The main spans to be not less than seven hundred and fifty (750) feet nor more than seventeen hundred (1700) feet, and all spans and construction to be in accordance with the United States Government regulations and rulings.

Filed March 14, 1927.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, August* 1, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Hayden, Marks—3.

Communication from Construction Company of North America, supplementing original plans—modi-

fied route has its westerly terminal on Rincon Hill.

Filed May 9, 1927.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, August* 1, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Hayden, Marks—3.

Amended application of Edward A. Bertram for alternative line of bridge.

Filed May 9, 1927.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, August* 1, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Hayden, Marks—3.

Application of J. E. Pamplin to construct toll bridge along the following route, to-wit:

Beginning at or near and within two hundred feet northerly or southerly of the intersection of the center line of Second street with the center line of Berry street, in the City and County of San Francisco, and extending thence easterly to the waterfront line; thence about north seventy-three (73) degrees east (true) along a straight line to a point immediately southwest of and adjacent to the Southern Pacific Alameda Mole, and about six hundred (600) yards, more or less, southeasterly from the northwesterly end of said mole, and thence about south seventy-two (72) degrees east (true) to a point on filled land in the City of Alameda about on the prolongation northwesterly of that portion of Santa Clara avenue which lies between Paru and Park streets, in the City and Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California.

That the length of said bridge will be about twenty-six thousand (26,000) feet, more or less; that the width of the roadway on said bridge will be one hundred feet or such other width as the Board of Supervisors may direct and require under Section 2873 of the Political Code.

Filed May 9, 1927—*Continued until May* 23, 1927.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, August* 1, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Hayden, Marks—3.

Application of Roy C. Hackley to construct a toll bridge along the following route, to-wit:

Beginning at or near and within two hundred feet (200′) of the intersection of the center line of Fremont street with the center line of Brannan street, in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and running north approximately eighty degrees (80°) east nineteen thousand four hundred feet (19,400′), more or less, to a point in the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California, located approximately six hundred feet (600′) south of the south training wall of the Oakland Estuary.

That the length of said bridge is to be approximately nineteen thousand four hundred feet (19,400′), and that the breadth thereof is to be sixty feet (60′), more or less.

Filed May 16, 1927.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, August* 1, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Hayden, Marks—3.

Application of Construction Company of North America to erect, maintain and operate and take tolls on a toll bridge over and across San Francisco Bay, commencing at a point in the City and County of San Francisco near the corner of First and Bryant streets on what is known as Rincon Hill, and running thence northeasterly 19,100 lineal feet, more or less, to the southerly line of Alameda Mole of the Southern Pacific Company; thence north by east to the intersection of Seventh and Cedar streets in the City of Oakland, County of Alameda.

The length of said bridge is to be 4½ miles, the width thereof approximately 78 feet.

Filed June 20, 1927, and *ordered on calendar July* 25, 1927.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, August* 1, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Hayden, Marks—3.

Application of Roy C. Hackley for permission to construct a toll bridge across San Francisco Bay as follows:

Beginning at or near and within 200 feet of the intersection of the center line of Fremont street with the center line of Brannan street, in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and running north approimately 83 degrees east, 19,400 feet, more or less, to a point in the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California, located approximately 600 feet south of the south training wall of the Oakland Estuary.

The length of said bridge is to be approimately 19,400 feet and the width thereof is to be 60 feet, more or less.

Filed with Board of Supervisors Monday, June 27, 1927.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, August* 1, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Hayden, Marks—3.

Application of City Planning and Building Company for a franchise and for authority to erect, construct, maintain and operate a submarine tunnel and the right, permission and authority to make tolls thereon for a period of fifty (50) years at the following location, to-wit:

Entering the ground at a point in the ground bounded by Fifth, Sixth, Bryant and Brannan streets, thence running underground easterly to a point on the northerly or northwest line of China Basin between Second and Third streets entering under the Bay at a depth of 45 feet below low tide, sinking gradually to a depth of 50 feet below low tide, proceeding easterly to within 700 feet south of Seventh street at the shore line in Oakland, Alameda County, California.

The length of said submarine tunnel will be 21,120 feet under the water; said submarine tunnel will, in its inside dimensions, be 50 feet high and 68 feet in etreme width; that the same will have three floors, the upper floor for passenger automobiles, the main or central floor for railroad passenger cars, the lowest floor for automotive and heavy traffic trucks. Said tube will be divided perpendicularly into two halves, a right and a left half.

Filed Monday, July 25, 1927.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, August* 1, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Hayden, Marks—3.

Application of City Planning and Building Company for a franchise and for authority to erect, construct, maintain and operate a submarine tunnel and the right, permission and authority to make tolls thereon for a period of fifty (50) years at the following location, to-wit:

Entering the ground at a point in the block bounded by Sixth, Seventh, Hubbel and Irving streets, running thence underground in an easterly direction to a point where an extension of Fifteenth street strikes the Bay very close to El Dorado street; thence entering the Bay 45 feet below the level of the lowest tide and sinking gradually to a depth of 50 feet below the level of the lowest tide, and proceeding in an easterly direction and emerging at a point within 700 feet of a point where the center line of Lincoln avenue intersects the waters of the Bay of San Francisco in Alameda, Alameda County, State of California.

The length of said submarine tunnel to be 21,120 feet under water; said tunnel will be in its inside dimensions 50 feet high and 68 feet in extreme width; the same will have three floors, the upper floor for passenger automobiles and the main or central floor for railroad passenger cars, the lowest floor for automotive and heavy traffic trucks. Tube will be divided perpendicularly into two halves, a right and a left half.

Filed Monday, July 25, 1927.

On motion of Supervisor Shan-

non, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, August* 1, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Hayden, Marks—3.

Application of City Planning and Building Company for a franchise and for authority to erect, construct, maintain and operate a submarine tunnel and the right, permission and authority to make tolls thereon for a period of fifty (50) years at the following locations, to-wit:

Entering the Bay at a point where the extension of the Marina boulevard extended easterly meets the Bay at Buchanan street close to Tonquin street, at a depth of at least 45 feet below the level of low tide and sinking gradually to a depth of at least 50 feet below the level of low tide, running thence in a general northerly direction to a point one mile south of the Town of Sausalito, Marin County, State of California; said submarine tunnel or tube will have a general curve in an easterly direction; that the length of said submarine tunnel will be 3,200 feet under water. Its inside dimensions to be 50 feet high and 68 feet in extreme width; the same will have three floors, the upper floor for passenger automobiles, the main or central floor for railroad passenger cars, the lower floor for automotive and heavy traffic trucks. The tunnel or tube will de divided perpendicularly into two halves, a right and a left half.

Filed Monday, July 25, 1927.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, August* 1, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Hayden, Marks—3.

Motion.

Supervisor Gallagher: I desire to move you, Mr. Chairman, that the City Attorney be requested to advise us as to what legal defect, if any, the recent amendment to the State law, approved by the Legislature and Governor, will have on the applications submitted prior to its adoption, and upon the applications presented to this Board since its enactment.

Supervisor Colman: I will second that.

Supervisor Havenner: Would it be possible—the City Attorney, I suppose, is familiar with this law—would it be possible to have him come over here now and have him clear this matter up now?

Supervisor Gallagher: I have no objection if you want to do that. If you think he can clear it up now, I have no objection. I doubt, however, Supervisor, that he can. I feel that he should have plenty of time in the premises.

Supervisor Shannon: Are you referring to Assembly Bill 1041?

Supervisor Gallagher: Yes, the one that requires them to file notice with the State Engineer, that amendment. I do not think it can be retroactive in any sense. The clause in question, Supervisor, requires the applicant to file notice with the State Engineer, and I think a copy of his petition, and I think that is about the only change that I can remember. Do the members of the Board know of its adoption? I am only concerned to see that the proper legal steps be taken in all things we do relative to bridges, that is all.

Supervisor Havenner: I would move that the City Attorney be asked whether he could come over here at this time and explain it to us.

Supervisor Shannon: I will second that motion.

Supervisor Havenner: Assembly Bill 1041.

* * * * *

Supervisor Harrelson: I understood that the City Attorney was asked to give us some information regarding the law of bridge franchises.

The Chairman: Is that correct?

Supervisor Gallagher: The question is this: Some concern is felt, in some quarters, relative to an amendment to the State act on the subject of the authority of the State Engineer on bridges and bridge procedure. Supervisor Shannon states that the bill number is 1041. I am not sure that that is the number, but I have read the bill, and the bill states, as I remember it, that after this law becomes—I did not say after the law, but the new law provision provides that notice of application shall be filed with the State Engineer, and a copy of the petition and a copy of the advertisement, I think, and I have asked that the City Attorney be requested to advise us as to the legal import

of this amendment upon the applications already heard by this Board, and those that are pending, and those that have been filed, if any, since the law became effective. Are you familiar with the provision?

Mr. O'Toole: I think I am. If I remember that amendment correctly, it merely provided for the change in time, I think, when the matter would be submitted to the State Engineer. As the law stands at present, why, it must be committed to the State Engineer at the time of the hearing—when the hearing is had. Now, I have not the amendment before me, but, if I remember it correctly, 1041 provides that within a certain number of days—and the exact number now has passed from my memory—within a certain number of days after an application for a toll bridge across a navigable river is received, it must be submitted to the State Engineer. I believe that question arose in regard to the bridge that someone is desirous of building up above the present bridge over the Carquinez Straits, and the Board of Supervisors refused to submit the matter to the Engineer. Now, the advocates of that bridge presented an amendment which made it mandatory upon the Board that whenever an application for a bridge franchise over a navigable stream was presented to the Board, that they must, within a certain number of days, present that matter to the State Engineer for his approval, the reason for that being that, in regard to this particular bridge further up the bay from the present Carquinez Bridge, I believe they desire to carry the matter into court, as to whether or not the Board of Supervisors of Contra Costa County had the right to deny their franchise, and they felt they could not make a complete showing before the court until the State Engineer had acted upon the proposed bridge, and I think that that is the purpose of the amendment. Now, all the applications pending before this Board at the present time have been before the Board many months beyond the statutory period provided for in the amendment, and I doubt very much if that amendment could apply. However, if the Board will permit me, I will advise them definitely on that next Monday. I am giving you now an opinion without even having the law before me, but that is my best recollection. It will not apply to the applications now pending before the Board.

Supervisor Gallagher: My question is in the record, and if the Clerk will draw it out, it will express the thought that I had in mind when I asked it.

Supervisor Stanton: Does the Clerk of the Board or the Board of Supervisors send this application to the State Engineer, or does the applicant?

Mr. O'Toole: No, my recollection is that the Board of Supervisors transmits it.

Supervisor Shannon: I have a copy of the 1927 legislative digest of the bills and constitutional amendments, compiled by Fred B. Wood, and what the City Attorney has said is in line with this bill. It is Assembly Bill 1041, and amends Section 4 of No. 948, relative to bridges across navigable streams. The amendment provides that when application is made for a franchise for a bridge across a navigable stream, the Board of Supervisors shall notify the State Engineer, who shall, within ten days after notice, designate the width of draw and length of span. I would like to ask the City Attorney: I understand this bill was signed on the 26th of May. Is it correct that a law does not become effective until ninety days after the signing by the Governor?

Mr. O'Toole: No, it is sixty days after the date of the adjournment of the Legislature. I think all bills, unless they were made effective forthwith, or the time of their becoming was specified in the bill itself, will become effective on the 29th of this month.

ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business, the Board at 6:45 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors November 21, 1927.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated, and approved as above recited.

JOHN S. DUNNIGAN,
City and County of San Francisco.
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors.

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Vol. 22—New Series No. 31

Monday, August 1, 1927

Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
337 Bush Street, S. F.

JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, AUGUST 1, 1927, 10 A. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, August 1, 1927, 10 a. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regclar session.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Powers—3.

Supervisor Bath excused on account of illness.

Quorum present.

His Honor Mayor Rolph being absent, Supervisor McSheehy was called to the chair.

APPROVAL OF JOURNALS.

The Journals of Proceedings of the meetings of March 14 and 21, 1927, were considered read and approved.

ROLL CALL FOR PETITIONS FROM MEMBERS.

Communication, From Marina District Improvement Associaton Relating to Street Car Service Into the Marina District.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

San Francisco, July 28, 1927.
Honorable Board of Supervisors,
City Hall, San Francisco.
Gentlemen:

We would respectfully call your attention to the need of adequate street car service into the Marina District.

The Municipal "F" line now terminates at Chestnut and Pierce streets and the people living on Marina boulevard, North Point, Bay and Jefferson and blocks to the west are compelled to walk to their destinations from this terminus.

The cost of extending this "F" line (as outlined by the City Engineer's office) is very small compared to the additional large revenues to be collected from this already well-paying line.

The Marina District is rapidly expanding and becoming a thickly populated section, needing this interior transportation to serve it.

In view of the benefits that the district will receive and the financial gain to the City, we do herewith petition your Honorable Board to give early consideration to our request for an extension of the "F" service.

Very truly,
MARINA DISTRICT IMPROVEMENT ASSOCIATION.
By ELSA S. LEON,
Secretary.

Referred to Public Utilities Committee.

Leave of Absence, William A. Sherman.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

San Francisco, July 30, 1927.
Honorable Board of Supervisors,
City Hall, San Francisco.
Gentlemen:

Application has been made to me by the Honorable William A. Sherman, member of the Board of Fire Commissioners, for a leave of absence, with permission to absent himself from the State of California for a period of five days, commencing August 4, 1927.

Will you please concur with me in granting this leave of absence?

Respectfully yours,
JAMES ROLPH, JR.,
Mayor.

Whereupon, the following resolution was presented and *adopted*:

Resolution No. 27574 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Hon. William A. Sherman, member of the Board of Fire Commissioners, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of five days, commencing August 4, 1927, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri,

Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —15.

Absent — Supervisors Bath, Colman, Powers—3.

Leave of Absence, E. A. Walcott.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

San Francisco, July 26, 1927.

Honorable Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen:

Application has been made to me by the Honorable E. A. Walcott, member of the Board of Civil Service Commissioners, for a leave of absence, with permission to absent himself from the State of California for a period of sixty days, commencing Augcst 20, 1927.

Will you please concur with me in granting this leave of absence?

Very respectfully,

JAMES ROLPH, JR.,
Mayor.

Whereupon, the following resolution was presented and *adopted*:

Resolution No. 27575 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Hon. E. A. Walcott, member of the Board of Civil Service Commissioners, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of sixty days, commencing August 20, 1927, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —15.

Absent — Supervisors Bath, Colman, Powers—3.

Leave of Absence, S. J. Lazarus.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

San Francisco, July 30, 1927.

Honorable Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen:

Application has been made to me by the Honorable S. J. Lazarus, Police Judge, Department No. 2, for a leave of absence, with permission to absent himself from the State of California for a period of thirty days, commencing August 4, 1927.

Will you please concur with me in granting this leave of absence?

Respectfully yours,

JAMES ROLPH, JR.,
Mayor.

Whereupon, the following resolution was presented and *adopted*:

Resolution No. 27576 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Hon. S. J. Lazarus, Police Judge, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of thirty days, commencing August 15, 1927, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —15.

Absent — Supervisors Bath, Colman, Powers—3.

SET-BACK LINE HEARING—11 A. M.

Hearing of objections to the establishment of set-back lines along portions of Hollister avenue, Noriega street and Ortega street.

Recommitted.

Objections being offered to the establishment of set-back lines on Hollister avenue, the following bill, on motion of Supervisor Hayden, was ordered *recommitted to the City Planning Committee*:

Bill No. ——, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Establishing set-back lines along portions of Hollister avenue, Noriega street and Ortega street.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby recited that on the 5th day of July, 1927, the Board of Supervisors adopted Resolution of Intention No. 133 to establish set-back lines along Hollister avenue, Noriega street and Ortega street, and fixed the first day of August, 1927, at 11 o'clock a. m., at the chambers of the Board of Supervisors as the time and place for hearing objections thereto; that thereafter and more than ten days prior to said hearing the said resolution was published and notices of the passage of said resolution were posted along the line of said streets in time, form and manner required by Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series); that said hearing was held at the time and place aforesaid, and that all objections made at said hearing have been properly overruled.

Section 2. Pursuant to the foregoing recitals and in accordance with the provisions of said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), setback lines are hereby established as follows:

Along the northeasterly side of Hollister avenue, commencing at Jennings street and running thence southeasterly 600 feet, said set-back line to be 10 feet.

Along the southwesterly side of Noriega street between Eleventh

avenue and Grant way, said set-back line to be 10 feet.

Along the northerly side of Noriega street, commencing at a point 95.618 feet westerly from the point formed by the intersection of the westerly line of Twelfth avenue if produced southerly with the northerly line of Noriega street if produced easterly and running thence to Funston avenue, said set-back line to be 10 feet; along the northerly side of Noriega street, commencing at Funston avenue and running thence westerly along the northerly line of Noriega street and northerly along the easterly line of Fourteenth avenue to Moraga street, said set-back line to be 10 feet; along the southerly side of Noriega street between Grant way and Fourteenth avenue, said set-back line to be 10 feet.

Along the southwesterly side of Ortega street between Eleventh avenue and Cascade walk, said set-back line to be 10 feet; along the northeasterly side of Ortega street between Eleventh avenue and Grant way, said set-back line to be 10 feet.

Along the southerly side of Ortega street between Cascade walk and Fourteenth avenue, said set-back line to be 10 feet; along the northerly side of Ortega street between Grant way and Fourteenth avenue, said set-back line to be 10 feet.

As shown on the maps filed in the office of the Board of Supervisors and to which reference is hereby made for further particulars.

Section 3. No structures shall be erected in the area between said set-back lines and the street lines, except as provided in said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), and the penalties for the violation of this ordinance shall be as fixed by the ordinance aforesaid.

NOTICE OF RECONSIDERATION.

Resolution presented by Supervisor Gallagher on June 20, 1927, granting H. J. Mallen permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate automobile parking station on west side of Taylor street, south of Eddy street, which resolution was *refcsed passage* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, Powers, Todd—9.

Noes—Supervisors Byington, Deasy, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton—7.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath—2.

Supervisor Gallagher moved that the subject matter be postponed for four weeks.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon the proponents and opponents were granted the privilege of the floor.

Mr. Ed. McKenzie and *Mr. Thos. Bell*, representing the protestants, objected to a further postponement.

Mr. H. J. Mallen's attorney agreed to a further postponement.

Motion *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Todd—8.

Noes — Supervisors Badaracco, Deasy, Marks, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon—6.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Powers, Stanton—4.

PRESENTATION OF PROPOSALS.

Sealed proposals for furnishing manufactured furniture for the School Department were received, opened and *referred to the Supplies Committee.*

UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

Final Passage.

The following matters, heretofore passed for printing, were taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Authorizations.

Resolution No. 27577 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

Library Fund.

(1) American Building Maintenance Co., janitor service, public libraries (claim dated June 30, 1927), $700.

(2) Neal Co., painting branch No. 4, Public Library (claim dated June 30, 1927), $760.

(3) Primo Press, printing for Public Library (claim dated June 30, 1927), $634.25.

(4) G. E. Stechert & Co., books, Public Library (claim dated June 30, 1927), $2,773.34.

(5) San Francisco News Co., books, Public Library (claim dated June 30, 1927), $1,469.63.

Tubercular Sanitarium Fund.

(6) Roberts Manufacturing Co., first and final payment, electrical fixtures, Tuberculosis Preventorium (claim dated July 20, 1927), $1,332.

Tearing-Up Streets Fund.

(7) Gladding, McBean & Co., one car sewer pipe for sidesewers (claim dated July 18, 1927), $525.

(7a) J. E. French, Dodge roadster, Sidesewer Department (claim dated July 18, 1927), $700.

Hetch Hetchy Power Operative Fund.

(8) Depreciation Fund, Hetch Hetchy Power Operative, amount to be set aside as reserve for depreciation, as specified in Section 16 of Article XII of the Charter, for the month of July, 1927 (claim dated July 18, 1927), $14,583.

Special School Tax.

(9) National Lead Company of California, white lead, School Repair Department (claim dated June 30, 1927), $692.50.

(9a) O. Monson, conditional acceptance payment, general construction additional units to Galileo High School (claim dated July 20, 1927), $105,039.55.

(10) Mahony Bros., final payment, general construction, addition to Commodore Sloat School (claim dated July 20, 1927), $26,734.10.

(11) Bureau of Street Repair, asphalt repairs in yard of Yerba Buena School (claim dated June 30, 1927), $1,126.81.

School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923.

(12) Acme Construction Co., second payment, addition to High School of Commerce (old building) (claim dated July 18, 1927), $6,736.24.

(13) Latourette-Fical Company, final payment, mechanical equipment, new Mission High School (claim dated July 18, 1927), $500.

(14) MacDonald & Kahn, final payment, general construction, new Mission High School (claim dated July 18, 1927), $1,000.

(15) John Reid, Jr., final payment, architectural services, new Mission High School (claim dated July 18, 1927), $2,156.07.

(16) Joseph & Stone, fourth payment, architectural services, Portola Junior High School (claim dated July 20, 1927), $1,418.28.

(17) S. Heiman, fifth payment, architectural services, addition to Sunnyside School (claim dated July 20, 1927), $778.49.

Hetch Hetchy Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1925.

(18) Ingersoll-Rand Co. of California, machinery parts (claim dated July 19, 1927), $620.50.

(19) Pope & Talbot, lumber (claim dated July 19, 1927), $1,082.98.

(20) Reynier Lumber Co., split wood ties (claim dated July 19, 1927), $735.44.

(21) Associated Oil Co., fuel oil (claim dated July 14, 1927), $862.68.

(22) The Giant Powder Company, Con., explosives (claim dated July 14, 1927), $733.16.

(23) Ingersoll-Rand Co. of California, machinery and machinery parts (claim dated July 3, 1927), $520.10.

(24) E. S. McCurdy, electric hoist (claim dated July 14, 1927), $2,836.40.

(25) M. M. O'Shaughnessy, reimbursement of revolving fund expenditures (claim dated July 14, 1927), $2,000.

(26) Santa Cruz Portland Cement Co., cement (claim dated July 14, 1927), $607.25.

General Fund, 1926-1927.

(27) St. Charles Market, meats, County Jails (claim dated June 30, 1927), $531.86.

(28) Pacific Gas & Electric Co., gas, County Jails (claim dated June 30, 1927), $587.14.

(29) Eloesser-Heynemann Co., jumpers, County Jails (claim dated June 30, 1927), $799.

(30) Langendorf Baking Co., bread, County Jails (claim dated June 30, 1927), $865.08.

(31) C. Nauman & Co., vegetables, County Jails (claim dated June 30, 1927), $871.36.

(32) California Meat Co., meats, County Jails (claim dated June 30, 1927), $986.22.

(33) Levi Strauss Co., blankets, etc., County Jails (claim dated June 30, 1927), $1,308.68.

(34) Chester N. Weaver Co., Studebaker sedan, Sheriff's office (claim dated June 30, 1927), $1,799.75.

(35) Greenebaum, Weil & Michels, blankets, etc., County Jails (claim dated June 30, 1927), $1,946.50.

Municipal Railway Fund.

(36) Wm. L. Hughson Co., Ford truck, Municipal Railways (claim dated June 30, 1927), $651.

(37) Crown Oil Co., gasoline, Municipal Railways (claim dated June 30, 1927), $1,921.01.

(38) Hancock Bros., Inc., printing, Municipal Railways (claim dated June 30, 1927), $504.

(39) Market Street Railway Co., reimbursement for June, 1927, under agreement of December 12, 1918 (claim dated June 30, 1927), $1,582.53.

(40) Market Street Railway Co., electric power furnished Municipal Railways (claim dated June 30, 1927), $3,298.72.

(41) Pacific Gas & Electric Co., electricity furnished Municipal

Railways (claim dated June 30, 1927), $37,034.76.

(42) American La France Fire Engine Co., motor parts, Fire Department (claim dated June 30, 1927), $520.09.

(43) Crown Oil Co., gasoline, Fire Department, month of June, 1927 (claim dated June 30, 1927), $904.34.

(44) Mann Manufacturing Co., motor parts, Fire Department (claim dated June 30 ,1927), $660.

(45) Pacific Gas & Electric Co., gas an electricity furnished Fire Department (claim dated June 30, 1927), $1,723.30.

(46) Shell Company of California, fuel oil for Fire Department (claim dated June 30, 1927), $1,074.54.

(47) Spring Valley Water Co., water furnished and installation of hydrants, Fire Department (claim dated June 30, 1927), $1,954.99.

(48) Board of Park Commissioners, to reimburse Park Commission for expenditures, McKinley Square bulkhead (claim dated June 30, 1927), $1,382.14.

General Fund, 1927-1928.

(49) San Francisco Bulletin, official advertising, Board of Supervisors (claim dated July 25, 1927), $1,202.16.

Hetch Hetchy Operative Revenue Fund.

(50) John J. Dailey, legal services, valuation of San Francisco electric properties, month of July, 1927 (claim dated July 19, 1927), $850.

(51) N. Randall Ellis, engineering services, valuation of San Francisco electric properties, month of July, 1927 (claim dated July 19, 1927), $750.

(52) H. G. Butler, services in connection with severance damage report for condemnation of electrical distribution system of Pacific Gas & Electric Co. and Great Western Power Co., June 1 to 30, inclusive (claim dated July 19, 1927), $562.50.

General Fund, 1926-1927.

(53) Herbert F. Dugan, hospital supplies, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated June 30, 1927), $708.16.

(54) Herbert F. Dugan, hospital supplies, S. F. Hospital (claim dated June 30, 1927), $567.25.

(55) Langendorf Baking Co., bread, S. F. Hospital (claim dated June 30, 1927), $1,162.64.

(56) Lesser Bros. Co., meat, S. F. Hospital (claim dated June 30, 1927), $2,025.96.

(57) J. T. Freitas Company, eggs, S. F. Hospital (claim dated June 30, 1927), $1,652.82.

(58) Sherry Bros., butter, S. F. Hospital (claim dated June 30, 1927), $1,875.80.

(59) San Francisco Dairy Co., milk, S. F. Hospital (claim dated June 30, 1927), $4,574.66.

(60) L. Scatena & Co., fruit, S. F. Hospital (claim dated June 30, 1927), $963.46.

(61) L. Lagomarsino & Co., vegetables, S. F. Hospital (claim dated June 30, 1927), $825.16.

(62) California Petroleum Corp., fuel oil, S. F. Hospital (claim dated June 30, 1927), $2,200.28.

(63) California Petroleum Corp., fuel oil, S. F. Relief Home (claim dated June 30, 1927), $1,735.20.

(64) Crane Company, plumbing supplies, S. F. Relief Home (claim dated June 30, 1927), $545.74.

(65) Sherry Bros., Inc., butter, S. F. Relief Home (claim dated June 30, 1927), $1,303.80.

Municipal Railway Accident Reserve Fund.

(66) Alfred Elewaut, settlement of claim, account accident, December 7, 1926 (claim dated July 21, 1927), $3,500.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Powers—3.

Appropriation, $2,260 for the Improvement of Twenty-fifth Street Between Rhode Island and De Haro Streets.

Resolution No. 27578 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $2,260 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated and authorized to be expended out of the County Road Fund, being City's portion for the improvement of Twenty-fifth street between Rhode Island and De Haro streets.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Powers—3.

Action Deferred.

The following matter was, on motion, *laid over one week*:

Appropriation for Appraising Land Required for Park Purposes.

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following

amounts be and the same are hereby set aside and appropriated out of Budget Item No. 70, Appropriation No. 1090, "Purchase of Lands for Public Purposes in Mission District," and authorized in payment to the hereinafter named persons; being payment for appraising land required for park purposes, to-wit:

(1) George Gilmour, to appraising land required for park purposes (claim dated July 19, 1927), $4,600.

(2) L. P. Kerner, to appraising 2179 parcels of property required for park purposes (claim dated May 2, 1927), $6,537.

(3) Raymond R. Stevens, to appraising 2179 parcels of property required for park purposes (claim dated May 2, 1927), $6,537.

(4) Wm. A. Schadde, to appraising 2179 parcels of property required for park purposes (claim dated May 2, 1927), $6,537.

Supervisor Shannon moved that the subject matter be continued for one week with the request that the Finance Committee be requested to furnish a report giving percentages allowed the respective persons for appraising properties, being acquired for said park purposes.

So ordered.

Final Passage.

The following matters, heretofore passed for printing, were taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Appropriation, $7,000, Payment to the Anglo London American Land Company et al. for Land and Improvements on Thirty-first Avenue Required for School Purposes.

Resolution No. 27579 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $7,000 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923, and authorized in payment to Anglo American Land Company, George Max Hantzsche and Emile Hantzsche, his wife; being payment for land and improvements situate on the east line of Thirty-first avenue, distant thereon 125 feet southerly from the southerly line of Geary street, running thence southerly along said easterly line of Thirty-first avenue 62 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 120 feet; thence at a right angle northerly 62 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 120 feet to the easterly line of Thirty-first avenue; per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 27526 (New Series).

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Powers—3.

City Attorney Authorized to Employ Assistants in the Matter of Application for Telephone Rate Increase.

Resolution No. 27580 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the Pacific Telephone and Telegraph Company has applied to the Railroad Commission of the State of California for an increase in the rates charged for telephone service in the City and County of San Francisco and in adjoining counties; and

Whereas, if the exorbitant rates asked for by said Pacific Telephone and Telegraph Company for said service are granted the same will be an unfair and unjust burden on the people of the City and County of San Francisco, amounting to many millions of dollars each year; and

Whereas, it would be for the best interest and benefit of all the people of the City and County of San Francisco that a vigorous opposition be made before the Railroad Commission of the State of California to the granting of the increase in rates applied for by said Pacific Telephone and Telegraph Company; and

Whereas, at the present time the office of the City Attorney is taxed to its utmost capacity in handling the ordinary legal affairs of the City and County of San Francisco; and

Whereas, for the purpose of making a proper opposition to the granting of said increase in said telephone rates it will be necessary for the City Attorney to retain expert engineers, accountants, legal talent and clerical help over and above that already connected with the office; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That for the purpose of making a proper opposition to the granting of said increase in telephone rates applied for by said Pacific Telephone and Telegraph Company that there be, and there is hereby appropriated out of the general funds of the City and County of San Francisco the sum of thirty-seven thousand five hundred ($37,500) dollars, which said sum shall be used by the City Attorney of the City and County of San Francisco in the retaining of engineers, expert accountants, legal talent and clerical help for the purpose of making a proper opposition to the granting of said increase in said

telephone rates; all expenditures made against said fund to be approved in the same manner as all other demands against the City and County; and be it

Further Resolved, That the City Attorney be and he is hereby authorized and empowered to retain and employ:

One rate litigation attorney at a salary of $850 per month; one expert rate engineer at a salary of $750 per month; one assistant rate engineer at a salary of $350 per month; one consulting engineer at a salary of $300 per month; one expert rate accountant at a salary of $350 per month; one stenographer at a salary of $175 per month; and in addition thereto to retain such other temporary employees as he shall deem necessary, at an expense not exceeding the sum of $2,000.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Powers—3.

City Attorney to Commence Condemnation Proceedings for the Acquisition of Land Required for the Opening and Construction of Bernal Heights Boulevard.

Resolution No. 27581 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, By the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, that public interest and necessity require the acquisition by the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, of the following properties, situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, more particularly described as follows, to-wit:

All of Lots Nos. 1093, 1094, 1095 and 1096, as per Gift Map No. 3, recorded December 31, 1861, in Map Book 2 A and B, page 15, records of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California. Be it

Further Resolved, That said properties are suitable, adaptable, necessary and required for the public use of the City and County of San Francisco, to-wit: For the opening and construction of Bernal Heights boulevard. It is necessary that a fee simple title be taken for such use.

The City Attorney is hereby ordered and directed to commence proceedings in eminent domain against the owners of said tracts of land and of any and all interests therein or claims thereto for the condemnation thereof for the public use of said City and County of San Francisco, as aforesaid.

This resolution shall be published in the official newspaper, with the ayes and noes, for at least five successive days, Sundays and legal holidays excepted, before the final action upon the same.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Powers—3.

Blasting Permit, J. P. Holland & Company.

Resolution No. 27582 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That J. P. Holland & Co. is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to explode blasts within property line at Sixth and Locksley avenues; provided that said permittee shall execute and file a good and sufficient bond in the sum of $5,000, as fixed by the Board of Public Works and approved by his Honor the Mayor, in accordance with Ordinance No. 1204 (New Series); provided, also, that said blasts shall be exploded only between the hours of 7 a. m. and 6 p. m., and that the work of blasting shall be performed to the satisfaction of the Board of Public Works, and that if any of the conditions of this resolution be violated by said J. P. Holland & Co., then the privileges and all the rights accruing thereunder shall immediately become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington. Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Powers—3.

Spur Track, Southern Pacific Company.

Bill No. 8114, Ordinance No. 7626 (New Series), as follows:

Granting permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to the Southern Pacific Company to construct, maintain and operate a spur track across Beale street between Howard and Folsom streets as hereinafter described:

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, is hereby granted to the Southern Pacific Company to construct, maintain and operate a spur track across Beale street between Howard and

Folsom streets, being particularly described as follows, to-wit:

Beginning at a point on the northeasterly line of Beale street, said point being distant southeasterly 196.0 feet, more or less, from the southeasterly line of Howard street; thence westerly and crossing Beale street to a point on the southwesterly line of Beale street, said point being distant southeasterly 155 feet, more or less, from the southeasterly line of Howard street; thence continuing on into private property.

Said permission is granted subject to the provisions of Ordinance No. 69 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors, approved October 12, 1906, and the provisions and conditions of Section 8 thereof are hereby specifically contained in the permit hereby granted, and shall be construed as a part hereof as completely as though the same were written in this ordinance.

Provided, that said track shall be laid under the supervision and to the lines and grades as furnished by the City Engineer's office, and that any and all expense connected with the installation of the track, restoration of the pavement and any additional requirements for the surface drainage is to be paid for by the Southern Pacific Company; provided, that the Southern Pacific Company shall erect and maintain all-night lighted arc lamps to be placed where directed by the Lighting Committee of the Board of Supervisors.

Provided, that girder rail be used between the property lines of the street; that the drainage intercepted by the proposed spur be taken care of by the construction of catchbasins, culverts, and connecting the same with the main sewer, that the granite curb removed be hauled to the Corporation Yard; that the main sewer be reinforced, if necessary, and that the pavement disturbed be restored; all work to be done under the supervision of the Board of Public Works.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Powers—3.

Ordering Street Work, Orizaba Avenue.

Bill No. 8113 Ordinance No. 7627 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1, The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors June 17, 1927, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of the easterly one-half of Orizaba avenue from a line parallel with and 115 feet southerly from Holloway avenue to the northerly line of Grafton avenue produced, by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of that portion of an 8-inch ironstone pipe sewer with accompanying manholes and Y branches that said frontage would be liable for, and by the construction of a concrete pavement on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Powers—3.

PRESENTATION OF BILLS AND ACCOUNTS.

Your Finance Committee, having examined miscellaneous demands not required by law to be passed to print, and amounting to the sum of $37,902.58, recommends same be allowed and ordered paid.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Powers—3.

NEW BUSINESS.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Authorizations.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

Playground Fund.

(1) Spring Valley Water Company, water furnished playgrounds, month of June, 1927 (claim dated July 20, 1927), $1,486.66.

(2) Granfield, Farrar & Carlin, filling and spreading for Playground Commission (claim dated July 20, 1927), $800.

Park Fund.

(3) G. F. Bishop Co., machinery parts (claim dated July 29, 1927), $624.80.

(4) Gantner & Mattern, bathing suits (claim dated July 20, 1927), $860.75.

(5) A. G. Spalding & Bros., golf balls (claim dated July 29, 1927), $588.

(6) Standard Fence Company, furnishing and installing fence (claim dated July 29, 1927), $1,099.

County Road Fund.

(7) Schultz Construction Co., first progress payment, improvement of Grandview avenue (claim dated July 27, 1927), $18.750.

(8) Street repair, to reimburse for materials, etc., for work performed on Third street, Berry to Eighteenth streets (claim dated July 23, 1927), $20,535.50.

(9) Street repair, to reimburse for materials, etc., for work performed on Mason street, Sacramento to Clay streets (claim dated July 23, 1927), $778.17.

(10) Street repair, to reimburse for materials, etc., for work performed on Mission viaduct (claim dated July 23, 1927), $4,031.79.

(11) Street repair, to reimburse for materials, etc., for work performed on Harrison street, Sixth to Eleventh streets (claim dated July 23, 1927), $7,022.30.

(12) Street repair, to reimburse for materials, etc., for work performed on Steiner street, Jackson to Clay streets (claim dated July 23, 1927), $670.86.

(13) Street repair, to reimburse for materials, etc., for work performed on Octavia street, Pacific avenue to Broadway (claim dated July 23, 1927), $1,574.49.

(14) Street repair, to reimburse for materials, etc., for work performed on Shotwell street, Eighteenth to Nineteenth streets (claim dated July 23, 1927), $1,740.60.

(15) Street repair, to reimburse for materials, etc., for work performed on Nineteenth street, Shotwell to Folsom streets (claim dated July 23, 1927), $1,117.43.

(16) Street repair, to reimburse for materials, etc., for work performed on Clay street, Mason to Taylor streets (claim dated July 23, 1927), $698.12.

(17) Street repair, to reimburse for materials, etc., for work performed on Steiner street, Green to Union streets (claim dated July 23, 1927), $724.56.

(18) Street repair, to reimburse for materials, etc., for work performed on Sacramento street, Davis to Battery streets (claim dated July 23, 1927), $2,330.89.

General Fund, 1927-1928.

(19) Symon Bros., team hire, first half of July, 1927 (claim dated July 22, 1927), $713.

(20) Eclipse Lime & Cement Co., cement, Street Repair Department (claim dated July 26, 1927), $1,796.73.

(21) Daniel J. O'Brien, contingent expenses, month of August, 1927 (claim dated August 1, 1927), $750.

(22) Edward F. Bryant, payment for lands purchased at public auction in office of Tax Collector in satisfaction of delinquent taxes and penalties, being property required for McLaren Park (claim dated August 1, 1927), $760.11.

(23) San Francisco Bulletin, official advertising, week ending July 23, 1927 (claim dated August 1, 1927), $595.38.

(24) Recorder Pringting and Publishing Co., printing budget, 1927-1928, etc. (claim dated August 1, 1927), $640.50.

Special School Tax.

(25) W. P. Fuller & Co., white

lead for school repairs (claim dated July 26, 1927), $739.50.

(26) Geo. H. Tay Company, pipe for school repairs (claim dated July 26, 1927), $575.13.

(27) P. J. Enright, final payment, mechanical equipment for additional units to Galileo High School (claim dated July 27, 1927), $11,875.83.

(28) Scott Co., final payment on mechanical equipment, addition to Commodore Sloat School (claim dated July 27, 1927), $1,760.80.

(29) Standard Electric Construction Co., final payment on electrical work, additional units to Galileo High School (claim dated July 27, 1927), $4,257.80.

School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923.

(30) John Reid, Jr., fourteenth payment, architectural services, additional units to Galileo High School (claim dated July 26, 1927), $2,724.96.

(31) The Scott Company, final payment, mechanical equipment, Edison School (claim dated July 27, 1927), $4,398.13.

(32) MacDonald & Kahn, general construction, New Mission High School (claim dated July 27, 1927), $1,000.

General Fund, 1926-1927.

(33) Standard Oil Company, asphalt, street repair (claim dated June 30, 1927), $858.71.

(34) Crown Oil Company, gasoline, street cleaning (claim dated June 30, 1927), $515.55.

(35) California Petroleum Corporation, fuel oil (claim dated June 30, 1927), $1,198.60.

(36) General Electric Company, motor and parts, Islais Creek Bridge (claim dated June 30, 1927), $1,129.88.

(37) California Rock Co., gravel for street repair (claim dated June 30, 1927), $2,129.49.

(38) Pacific Portland Cement Co., cement for street repairs (claim dated June 30, 1927), $1,761.50.

(39) Western Rock Products Co., sand for street repairs (claim dated June 30, 1927), $2,667.87.

(40) Preston School of Industry, maintenance of minors, month of June, 1927 (claim dated June 30, 1927), $620.01.

Hetch Hetchy Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1925.

(42) Anshultz & Weidler, ice boxes (claim dated July 22, 1927), $712.99.

(43) Christenson Lumber Co., lumber (claim dated July 22, 1927), $619.24.

(44) General Motors Truck Company, truck (claim dated July 22, 1927), $1,458.

(45) Ingersoll-Rand Co. of California, furnace linings (claim dated July 22, 1927), $529.60.

(46) Palace Hardware Company, china (claim dated July 22, 1927), $881.77.

(47) Pioneer Rubber Mills, conveyor belt (claim dated July 22, 1927), $726.02.

(48) Santa Fe Lumber Co., lumber (claim dated July 22, 1927), $1,826.42.

(49) United States Rubber Company, cable (claim dated July 22, 1927), $676.71.

(50) United States Steel Products Company, wire (claim dated July 22, 1927), $1,557.41.

(51) Anaconda Sales Co., first and final payment in re copper wire, Coast Range Division transmission line (claim dated July 25, 1927), $11,585.88.

(52) Bald Eagle Meat Market, meats (claim dated July 27, 1927), $1,780.14.

(53) Hales & Symons, Inc., lumber (claim dated July 27, 1927), $1,400.16.

General Fund, 1926-1927.

(54) Mack-International Motor Truck Corp., two Mack pumping engines (claim dated June 30, 1927), $20,900.

General Fund, 1927-1928.

(55) Spring Valley Water Co., water furnished Fire Department (claim dated July 28, 1927), $14,598.50.

(56) California Pacific Title Insurance Co., report on 491 parcels of land to be acquired for McLaren Park (claim dated July 26, 1927), $3,682.50.

(57) Title Insurance & Guaranty Co., reports on property to be purchased for McLaren Park (claim dated July 29, 1927), $2,877.50.

(58) City Title Insurance Company, balance due for title searches on property required for McLaren Park (claim dated July 26, 1927), $3,705.

Maintenance of Aquarium, Appropriation 57.

(59) Steinhart Aquarium, to expense of maintenance of Steinhart Aquarium, month of July (claim dated July 30, 1927), $3,714.61.

Appropriations for Work in Front of City Property.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of "Street Work in Front of City Property," Budget Item No. 35, for the expense of street work fronting City property, to-wit:

Improvement on Tucker avenue, Arelta to Teddy avenues (Federal Construction Company), $159.94.

Improvement on Twenty-second and Wisconsin streets (Federal Construction Company), $602.46.

Improvement on Twenty-fourth avenue, Kirkham to Lawton streets (Municipal Construction Company), $1,455.

Improvement on Bemis street, Miguel to Mateo streets (Municipal Construction Company), $800.20.

Improvement on Ellington and Whipple streets (Eaton & Smith), $97.88.

Improvement on Thirty-first avenue, Ulloa to Vicente streets (Christensen Construction Company), $1,575.

Improvement on Huron avenue, Ottawa to Mount Vernon avenues, and Huron and Foote avenues (Eaton & Smith), $1,070.21.

Improvement on Rutland street, Arleta to Harkness avenues (Keystone Construction Company), $5,000.

Improvement on Virginia avenue, Eugenia avenue to Winfield street (Eaton & Smith), $1,500.

Improvement on Beach and Baker streets (The Fay Improvement Company), $752.20.

Improvement on Twenty-first avenue and Ortega street (Municipal Construction Company), $23.57.

Improvement on Thirty-seventh avenue, Ulloa to Vicente streets (Frank J. McHugh), $1,320.

Improvement on Howard street, Army to Twenty-sixth streets, balance of contribution to assessment (Eaton & Smith), $502.35.

Improvement on Howard street and Twenty-sixth street, conform work (Eaton & Smith), $745.

Improvement on Twentieth avenue, Twenty-first avenue and Wawona street (Pacific States Construction Company), $556.57.

Improvement on Rousseau street, Bosworth to Mission streets (City Construction Company), $25.20.

Improvement on Twenty-fifth and Hoffman streets (C. L. Harney), $60.

Improvement on Twenty-fifth avenue, Kirkham to Lawton streets (C. L. Harney), $2,037.50.

Improvement on Twenty-fourth avenue, Moraga to Noriega streets (C. L. Harney), $1,962.50.

Improvement on Twenty-fourth avenue and Kirkham street (The Fay Improvement Company), $112.50.

Improvement on Twenty-fourth avenue and Santiago street (The Fay Improvement Company), $1,979.50.

Improvement on Twenty-fourth avenue, Santiago to Taraval streets (The Fay Improvement Company), $4,000.

Improvement on Nineteenth, Thirty-fourth and Thirty-seventh avenues, sidewalks (United Improvement Company), $356.40.

Improvement on Fourteenth avenue, Ulloa to Vicente streets (Associated Construction Company), $1,500.

Improvement on Orizaba and Holloway avenues (United Improvement Company), $485.

Improvement on Hampshire street, Army to Twenty-fifth streets (City Construction Company), $1,600.

Improvement on Baker street, Beach to Jefferson streets (James T. Tobin), $1,211.

Improvement on Lyon street, Pacific to Broadway (The Fay Improvement Company), $3,274.10.

Improvement of the crossing of Twenty-first avenue and Noriega street (James R. McElroy), $22.50.

Improvement of Mangels avenue, Edna to Foerster streets (Eaton & Smith), $692.50.

Improvement of Thirtieth avenue, Anza to Balboa streets (Frank J. McHugh), $4,860.

Appropriation, $17,082.17, Preventorium, San Mateo County.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $17,082.17 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated to be expended out of Bcdget Item 57, for personal service, opening of Preventorium in San Mateo County and completion of buildings and grounds, as itemized in communication from the Board of Health, filed July 25, 1927.

Appropriations, Extension and Reconstruction of Sewers; Repairs to Public Buildings; Boring Laguna Honda Boulevard; Chapel, San Francisco Hospital; Temporary Stiarway, Castro and Twenty-eighth Streets.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter named accounts for the following purposes, to-wit:

Extension and Reconstruction of Sewers—Budget Item 38.

(1) To defray the cost of constructing four side-sewers on the southerly side of Jerrold avenue, near Phelps street, $120.

Repairs to Public Buildings—Budget Item 59.

(2) To defray the cost of fur-

nishing and installing air pipes from compressors to pillars, also power line to compressor, Police Garage, Hall of Justice, $275.

(3) To defray cost of covering cells with galvanized wire, doors and windows steel angle construction, and construction of partitions to storeroom, County Jail No. 1, $1,380.

(4) To defray cost of repairing skylights on dome of Exposition Auditorium, $500.

County Road Fund.

(5) To defray cost of borings to be taken in construction of the Laguna Honda boulevard, $400.

Chapel at San Francisco Hospital—Budget Item 63.

(6) To defray cost of mechanical and structural engineering services in connection with the Chapel at San Francisco Hospital, $500.

Repairs to Streets.

(7) To defray cost of construction of temporary stairway at the crossing of Castro and Twenty-eighth streets, $110.

Appropriation, $5,667.20, Electrical Fixtures and Window Shades, Galileo High School.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $5,667.20 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of Special School Tax to cover the cost of electrical fixtcres and window shades to be installed in additional units to the Galileo High School, in accordance with contracts entered into therefor, as follows:

For the electrical fixtures (Edw. F. Dowd), $4,395.

For window shades (D. N. & E. Walter & Co.), $1,272.20.

(Communication from the Board of Public Works, dated July 15, 1927.)

Appropriations, Construction of Engine House No. 18, Fire Department.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $66,995.64 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of Fire Department Land and Construction Fund to cover cost of construction of Engine House No. 18, Fire Department, to be erected at Third and Merrimac streets; per the following contracts awarded, etc., to-wit:

General construction (H. H. Larsen Co.), $54,549.

Electrical work (Edw. F. Dowd), $2,470.

Plumbing, gas-fitting and heating (O. Aaron), $4,675.

Architectural fees, $301.64.

Inspection, possible extras, incidentals, $5,000.

(Communication from the Board of Public Works, dated July 15, 1927.)

Appropriations for Properties Required for School Purposes.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside and appropriated out of School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923, and authorized in payment to the hereinafter named persons; being payments for properties required for school purposes, to-wit:

(1) Margaret S. Jacobs, for lands situate on the west line of Twenty-fourth avenue, distant 150 feet from Santiago street; per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 27561 (New Series) (claim dated August 1, 1927), $2,500.

(2) George Symon, for land situate on the east line of Thirty-second avenue, distant 150 feet northerly from Balboa street, of dimensions 24 x 112 feet 3¾ inches; per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 27568 (New Series) (claim dated August 1, 1927), $2,750.

(3) William M. Symon, for land situate on the east line of Thirty-second avenue, distant 120 feet northerly from Balboa street, of dimensions 25 x 114 feet 10¾ inches, irregular; per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 27569 (New Series) (claim dated August 1, 1927), $2,750.

(4) A. B. Symon, for land situate on the east line of Thirty-second avenue, distant 100 feet southerly from Anza street; per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 27570 (New Series) (claim dated August 1, 1927), $3,700.

Action Deferred.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon the following resolution was *continued one week*:

Transfer of Twin Peaks Tunnel Moneys to Credit of Municipal Railway Fund.

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $42,508.21 be and is hereby ordered transferred from the Twin Peaks Ridge Tunnel Assessment Fund to the Municipal Railway Fund, and the Board of Public Works, Tax Collector, Auditor and Treasurer are hereby directed to make such entries in their official records and books of account as will correctly show such transfer. Said transfer is for the

purpose of reimbursing said Municipal Railway Fund for moneys advanced therefrom and used in the construction of the Twin Peaks Tunnel project.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Oil and Boiler Permits.

On motion of Supervisor Deasy:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits be and are hereby granted:

Oil Tanks.

H. C. Keenan, east side of Howard street, 33 feet south of Twenty-fifth street, 1500 gallons capacity.

McCarthy & Johanna, north side of Fifteenth street, 100 feet west of Church street, 1500 gallons capacity.

R. Eseloses, 760 Fourteenth street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Henry Ernst & Sons, south line of Golden Gate avenue, 150 feet east from Buchanan street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Paul H. Pape, southwest corner of California and Divisadero streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

Popkin & Munson & Sullivan, west side of Eleventh avenue, 125 feet south of California street, 1500 gallons capacity.

S. Ross, southwest corner of Seventh avenue and Lake street, 1500 gallons capacity.

1940 Vallejo Street, Inc., north side of Vallejo street between Octavia and Laguna streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

Tom Carey, 3474 Seventeenth avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

Henry Ernst & Sons, south side of Jackson street, 200 feet east of Hyde street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Crim Estate, east line of Mission street, 95 feet north of Twentieth street, 1500 gallons capacity.

H. E. Timby, north side of Camino del Mar, opposite termination of Lake street, 1500 gallons capacity.

H. Weinberger, 60 Merced avenue, 600 gallons capacity.

Geo. Zengler, 3394 Mission street, 600 gallons capacity.

G. L. Nelson, south side of Francisco street, 168 feet 9 inches east of Broderick street, 1500 gallons capacity.

J. Wood, 1025 Sutter street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Boiler.

Star Bakery, 324 Fell street, 10-horsepower.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits shall become null and void.

Garage Permit.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That James Christie, Jack Coyne and Peter Coyne be and they are hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to operate and maintain a public garage in building to be erected at the easterly corner of Fourteenth and West Portal avenues upon the property described as Lot 17 in Block 2989B and bounded partly by the southwesterly line of West Portal avenue and the northeasterly line of Fourteenth avenue.

The rights granted under this resolction shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Automobile Supply Station Permit.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That H. T. Phillips be and is hereby granted permission, recovable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an automobile supply station on premises southwest corner of Twenty-sixth and Howard streets.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Automobile Parking Station Permit.

Also, Resolution No. —— (Sew Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Wm. H. Camfield be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to operate and maintain an automobile parking station in premises situate southwest corner of Second and Howard streets.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Transfer of Garage Permit.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the permit heretofore granted to Kurtovich & Didier to maintain a public garage on premises at 646 Clay street be and the same is hereby transferred to P. J. Kurtovich and A. E. Hughes.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Action Deferred.

On motion of Supervisor Gallagher the following resolution was *continued two weeks*:

Denying Garage Permit.

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the application of H. Mortimer Smith for permission

to operate and maintain a public garage on east side of Polk street, 61 feet 3 inches north of Broadway, be and the same is hereby denied.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Blasting Permit, J. P. Holland Co.

On motion of Supervisor Deasy: Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That J. P. Holland Co. is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to explode blasts while grading on Wisconsin street between Twenty-second and Twenty-third streets; provided said permittee shall execute and file a good and sufficient bond in the sum of $5,000, as fixed by the Board of Public Works and approved by his Honor the Mayor, in accordance with Ordinance No. 1204 (New Series); provided, also, that said blasts shall be exploded only between the hours of 7 a. m. and 6 p. m., and that the work of blasting shall be performed to the satisfaction of the Board of Public Works; and that if any of the conditions of this resolution be violated by said J. P. Holland Co., then the privileges and all the rights accruing thereunder shall immediately become null and void.

Providing for Sale of City Lands at Public Auction.

On motion of Supervisor Powers: Bill No. 8116, Ordinance No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Providing for the disposal at public auction of certain lands belonging to the City and County of San Francisco in accordance with provisions of Article II, Chapter II, Section 9 of the Charter.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. That public interest and necessity demand the sale of the following described parcel or piece of land owned and held by the City and County of San Francisco. Said parcel of land is situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and more particularly described as follows, to-wit:

Commencing at a point on the westerly line of Stockton street, distant thereon 115 feet southerly from the southerly line of Broadway, running thence southerly and along said line of Stockton street 22 feet 6 inches; thence at a right angle westerly 80 feet to the easterly line of Cordelia street; thence at a right angle northerly and along said line of Cordelia street 22 feet 6 inches; thence at a right angle easterly 80 feet to the outside line of Stockton street and point of commencement. Being part of 50 Vara Lot No. 83.

Section 2. The said piece or parcel of land hereinabove described shall be sold for cash in United States gold coin at public auction to be held in accordance with the provisions of Article II, Chapter II, Section 9 of the Charter.

Section 3. The Clerk of the Board of Supervisors is hereby directed to publish in the official newspaper and in one other daily newspaper for three weeks successively next before the day on which the sale is to be made, a notice of such sale, describing the land to be sold with common certainty.

Section 4. The Mayor, Assessor and the chairman of the Finance Committee of the Board of Supervisors are hereby directed to appraise said land within three weeks after the final passage of this ordinance as required by Article II, Chapter II, Section 9 of the Charter.

Section 5. Upon receipt and examination of bids or offers for said land as aforesaid, the Mayor shall accept the highest bid made, provided said bid be for at least ninety per cent of the value found by said appraisers, and shall immediately thereafter, at the next meeting of the Supervisors, report the fact of such sale to the Supervisors with a statement of the sum bid and the name of the highest bidder, with a request that the Board confirm such sale.

City Attorney to Commence Condemnation Proceedings for the Acquisition of Certain Land on Upland Drive and Mount Davidson Manor Required for School Purposes.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, By the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, that public interest and necessity require the acquisition by the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, of the following properties situated in the City and County of San Francisco, required for school purposes, and more particularly described as follows, to-wit:

Beginning at the point of intersection of the southerly line of Upland drive and the westerly line of Mt. Davidson Manor, and running thence westerly along said southerly line of Upland drive 340.217 feet; thence westerly, southwesterly and southerly along a curve to the

left, tangent to the preceding course, radius 10 feet, central angle 88 deg. 46 min. 05.2 sec., a distance of 15.493 feet to tangency with the easterly line of Aptos avenue; thence southerly along said easterly line, being a curve to the right, radius 1618 feet, central angle 10 deg. 16 min. 36 sec., a distance of 290.207 feet; thence easterly along the radial line to said curve of preceding course 350 feet to the westerly line of Mt. Davidson Manor; thence northerly along said westerly line, being a curve to the left, concentric with curve of second preceding course, radius 1968 feet, central angle 10 deg. 36 min. 50 sec., a distance of 364.567 feet to the southerly line of Upland drive and the point of beginning.

Being portions of Blocks 3265 and 3266, and San Aleso avenue, as shown on map entitled "Map of Blocks 3260 to 3269, inclusive, Balboa Terrace Addition," recorded in Map Book "L", pages 29 to 33, inclusive, records of the City and County of San Francisco.

Also, beginning at a point on the westerly line of Blake street, distant thereon 360 feet northerly from the northerly line of Geary street, running thence northerly along the westerly line of Blake street 200 feet 9 inches (more or less), to the southerly line of Laurel Hill Cemetery; thence northwesterly along the southerly line of Laurel Hill Cemetery 236 feet 11 inches (more or less) to the easterly line of Cook street; thence southerly along the easterly line of Cook street 241 feet 9 inches to a point distant thereon 365 feet northerly from the northerly line of Geary street; thence at right angles easterly 120 feet; thence at right angles southerly 5 feet; thence at right angles easterly 120 feet to the westerly line of Blake street and the point of beginning. Being a portion of Western Addition Block No. 641.

Be it

Further Resolved, That said properties are suitable, adaptable, necessary and required for the public use of said City and County of San Francisco, to-wit: For school purposes. It is necessary that a fee simple title be taken for such use. The City Attorney is hereby ordered and directed to commence proceedings in eminent domain against the owners of said tracts of land and of any and all interests therein or claims thereto for the condemnation thereof for the public use of said City and County of San Francisco as aforesaid.

Amendng Building Law With Respect to Numbering of New Buildings.

Also, Bill No. 8117, Ordinance No. —— (Sew Series), as follows:

Amending Sections 289 and 290 of Ordinance No. 1008 (New Series), approved December 22, 1909, and known as "The Building Law," relating to the numbering of new buildings.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Section No. 289 of Ordinance No. 1008 (New Series), known as "The Building Law," is hereby amended to read as follows:

Numbering of Buildings—When Completed to Be Numbered.

Section 289. Every person, firm or corporation owning any building, or agent thereof, must, within two weeks after the completion or occupation of such building, place or cause to be placed, on the front adjacent to the vestibule used as an entrance to such building so as to be readily and clearly seen from the street, the appropriate number of such building as herein specified. Such number to be placed to the satisfaction of the Board of Public Works.

Entrances to Be Numbered.

Section 290. All entrances from street to buildings, or to separate apartments in buildings shall be numbered as herein specified, and it shall be unlawful for any person, whether owner or occupant of the building, or any apartment therein, to place, maintain or allow to remain thereon any number other than the one required by this ordinance. The number on the front adjacent to the vestibule shall be of a different color from the background upon which it is placed, and each figure of such number shall be at least four (4) inches in length and of proportionate width.

All numbers must be made of substantial and permanent material and must be so affixed as not to be easily effaced or removed. The fees to be charged by the Board of Public Works for designating the proper number as required by the ordinance are as follows: Apartment houses, $2; garages, $2; warehouses, $2; residences, cottages, dwellings, $1; all other buildings, $2; to be paid before any number is issued by the department (as amended by Ordinance No. 5136 (New Series) and 5447 (New Series).

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Granting to the County of San Mateo the Right to Use Certain Portions of the Hetch Hetchy Aqueduct Right of Way in San Mateo County, State of California, for Purposes of a Public Highway to Be Known as Alameda de las Pulgas.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon:

Bill No. 8118, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the County of San Mateo and the Town of Redwood City, in said County, propose to construct within said county a main highway seventy (70) feet wide, to be known as Alameda de las Pulgas, which shall run in a northwesterly and southeasterly direction substantially paralleling the present State highway route 2 leading from the City and County of San Francisco to San Jose, and be distant approximately one (1) mile westerly therefrom; and

Whereas, the City and County of San Francisco is interested in the development of major highways on the San Francisco Peninsula, especially of those leading in such directions as to carry traffic generally toward and away from San Francisco; and

Whereas, the proposed right of way for Alameda de las Pulgas encroaches upon the Hetch Hetchy aqueduct right of way owned by the City and County of San Francisco; and

Whereas, in order to give said Alameda de las Pulgas its proposed full width of seventy (70) feet at its intersection with said aqueduct right of way, it is necessary that the City and County of San Francisco grant to said County of San Mateo the right to use for the purposes of a public highway portions of said aqueduct right of way, which portions constitute small parcels of land on each side of a present open street; and

Whereas, it appears from the recommendation of the City Engineer of the City and County of San Francisco to be to the interest of the City and County of San Francisco that said right be granted; now, therefore,

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The City and County of San Francisco hereby grants to the County of San Mateo the right to use, for highway purposes only, subject to the reservations and conditions set forth below, two parcels of land situated in the County of San Mateo, State of California, and particularly described as follows, to-wit:

Parcel 1. All that portion of Lot 5, Block 18, as shown on that certain map entitled "Oak Knoll Manor, Redwood City, San Mateo County, Cal.," filed in the office of the County Recorder of San Mateo County May 10, 1916, in Book 10 of Maps, at pages 4 to 11, described as follows:

Beginning at the point of intersection of the northeasterly line of Lot 5 and the northerly line of that certain parcel of land conveyed by deed from E. Crittenden Ferree and wife to City and County of San Francisco, dated October 21, 1922, and recorded October 24, 1922, in Book 53 of Official Records of San Mateo County, at page 421, said point being distant southeasterly along said lot line 59.97 feet from the northerly corner of said lot; thence running along said northerly line of property of City and County of San Francisco north 84 deg. 13 min. west 19.60 feet; thence south 22 deg. 30 min. east 33.19 feet; thence southeasterly on a curve to the right having a radius of 315.00 feet, 34.02 feet to the southerly line of property of City and County of San Francisco; thence along said southerly line south 84 deg. 13 min. east 23.95 feet to the northeasterly line of said lot; thence northwesterly along said northeasterly lot line on a curve to the left having a radius of 254.38 feet, 69.45 feet to the point of beginning.

Parcel 2. All that portion of Lot 2, Block 17, as shown on the map hereinbefore referred to, described as follows:

Beginning at the northwesterly corner of Lot 2, Block 17, thence running along the northeasterly line of said lot south 45 deg. 29 min. east 2.87 feet; thence leaving said line and running southerly on a curve to the right having a radius of 385.00 feet, 1.14 feet to a point in the southerly line of that certain parcel of land conveyed by deed from Anglo-California Trust Company, a corporation, to City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, dated July 28, 1924, and recorded August 4, 1924, in Book 129 of Official Records of San Mateo County, at page 107; thence along said last mentioned line north 84 deg. 13 min. west 1.76 feet to the westerly line of said Lot 2; thence northerly along said westerly line on a curve to the left having a radius of 279.45 feet, 2.99 feet to the point of beginning.

Section 2. Said right is granted subject to the following reservations

and conditions which shall be accepted by the Board of Supervisors of San Mateo County, before this right becomes effective, viz.:

(a) Those certain reservations and conditions contained in the deed hereinabove referred to in the description of Parcel 1.

(b) In constructing and maintaining said highway over and across said Parcels 1 and 2, the County of San Mateo, its contractors and agents shall not interfere with, remove or injure any structures placed upon or in said parcels by the City and County of San Francisco.

(c) The County of San Mateo shall construct a reinforced concrete slab or other protective structure of design satisfactory to the City Engineer of the City and County of San Francisco, over the Hetch Hetchy aqueduct pipe line or lines in said Parcels 1 and 2, if necessary in the opinion of the City Engineer to protect said pipe line or lines against injury due to the use of said Parcels 1 and 2 for highway purposes. Before commencing construction of said highway over said parcels, the County of San Mateo shall furnish to said City Engineer such information as to its plans for construction as will enable him to determine whether any protective structure is necessary.

(d) The City and County of San Francisco expressly retains the right to make such excavations as may be necessary at any time across said Parcels 1 and 2 for the purposes of constructing, maintaining and replacing aqueduct pipe lines or conduits and appurtenances thereto in the future.

Granting to the Town of Redwood City the Right to Use Certain Portions of the Hetch Hetchy Aqueduct Right of Way in the Town of Redwood City, County of San Mateo, State of California, for Purposes of a Public Highway to Be Known as Alameda de las Pulgas.

Also, Bill No. 8119, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the County of San Mateo and the Town of Redwood City in said county propose to construct within said county a main highway seventy (70) feet wide, to be known as Alameda de las Pulgas, which shall run in a northwesterly and southeasterly direction substantially paralleling the present State Highway Route 2 leading from the City and County of San Francisco to San Jose, and be distant approximately one (1) mile westerly therefrom; and

Whereas, the City and County of San Francisco is interested in the development of major highways on the San Francisco Peninsula, especially of those leading in such direction as to carry traffic generally toward and away from San Francisco; and

Whereas, the proposed right of way for Alameda de las Pulgas encroaches upon the Hetch Hetchy Aqueduct right of way owned by the City and County of San Francisco; and

Whereas, in order to give said Alameda de las Pulgas its proposed full width of seventy (70) feet at its intersection with said aqueduct right of way, it is necessary that the City and County of San Francisco grant to said Town of Redwood City the right to use for the purposes of a public highway portions of said aqueduct right of way, which portions constitute small parcels of land on one side of a present open street; and

Whereas, it appears from the recommendations of the City Engineer of the City and County of San Francisco to be to the interest of the City and County of San Francisco that said right be granted:

Now, therefore, be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The City and County of San Francisco hereby grants to the Town of Redwood City the right to use, for highway purposes only, subject to the reservations and conditions set forth below, two parcels of land situated in the Town of Redwood, County of San Mateo, State of California, and particularly described as follows, to-wit:

Parcel 1. All that portion of Lot 2, Block 14, as shown on that certain map entitled "Oak Knoll Manor, Redwood City, San Mateo County, Cal.", recorded May 10, 1916, in Book 10 of Maps, pages 4 to 11, San Mateo County Records, described as follows:

Beginning at the northwesterly corner of Lot 2, Block 14, thence running along the northerly line of said lot north 78 deg. 47 min. east 1.57 feet; thence leaving said lot line and running southerly on a curve to the right having a radius of 385.00 feet 2.35 feet to the southwesterly line of said lot; thence running along said southwesterly line north 45 deg. 29 min. west 2.87 feet to the point of beginning.

Parcel 2. All that portion of Lot 3, Block 14, as shown on the map hereinbefore referred to, bounded and described as follows:

Beginning at the southwesterly corner of Lot 3, Block 14, thence running along the southerly line of

said lot north 78 deg. 47 min. east 1.57 feet; thence leaving said lot line and running northerly on a curve to the left having a radius of 385.00 feet 61.66 feet to a point in the northerly line of that certain parcel of land conveyed by deed from Martin J. Messick, a single man, to City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, dated January 21, 1923, and recorded January 25, 1923, in Book 61 of Official Records, page 255; thence along said northerly line north 84 deg. 13 min. west 1.45 feet to the westerly line of said lot; thence southerly along said westerly line on a curve to the right having a radius of 279.45 feet 62.11 feet to the point of beginning.

Section 2. Said right is granted subject to the following reservations and conditions, which shall be accepted by the Board of Trustees of the Town of Redwood City before this right becomes effective, viz.:

(a) Those certain reservations and conditions contained in the deed hereinabove referred to in the description of Parcel 2.

(b) Those certain reservations and conditions contained in deed dated January 12, 1923, from A. Barreto and wife to City and County of San Francisco, recorded January 15, 1923, in Book 61 of Official Records of San Mateo County, page 198.

(c) In constructing and maintaining said highway over and across said Parcels 1 and 2, the Town of Redwood City, its contractors and agents, shall not interfere with, remove or injure any structures placed upon or in said parcels by the City and County of San Francisco.

(d) The Town of Redwood City shall construct a reinforced concrete slab or other protective structure, of design satisfactory to the City Engineer of the City and County of San Francisco, over the Hetch Hetchy Aqueduct pipe line or lines in said Parcels 1 and 2, if necessary in the opinion of the City Engineer to protect said pipe line or lines against injury due to the use of said Parcels 1 and 2 for highway purposes. Before commencing construction of said highway over said parcels the Town of Redwood City shall furnish to said City Engineer such information as to its plans for construction as will enable him to determine whether any protective structure is necessary.

(e) The City and County of San Francisco expressly retains the right to make such excavations as may be necessary at any time across said Parcels 1 and 2 for the purposes of constructing, maintaining and replacing aqueduct pipe lines or conduits and appurtenances thereto in the future.

Conditional Acceptance, Certain Streets.

On motion of Supervisor Marks:

Bill No. 8120, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Providing for conditional acceptance of the roadways of Rivera street between the easterly line of Fifteenth avenue and the westerly line of Sixteenth avenue, including the crossings of Fifteenth avenue and Sixteenth avenue and the intersection of Cecilia avenue; Santiago street between the easterly line of Fifteenth avenue and the easterly line of Seventeenth avenue, including the crossings of Fifteenth avenue, Cecilia avenue and Sixteenth avenue; Fifteenth avenue between Rivera and Santiago streets; Cecilia avenue between Rivera street and Sixteenth avenue; Sixteenth avenue between Rivera and Santiago streets; Sixteenth avenue between Santiago and Taraval streets; Santa Ynez avenue between San Jose and Ocean avenues, including the crossings of Delano avenue and Otsego avenue and the intersections of Capistrano avenue and Cayuga avenue; San Juan avenue between San Jose and Delano avenues; San Juan avenue between Otsego and Capistrano avenues and between Delano and Otsego avenues; Santa Ysabel avenue between San Jose and Capistrano avenues, including the intersections of Delano avenue and Otsego avenue; Santa Rosa avenue between San Jose avenue and the easterly line of Capistrano avenue, including the crossing of Capistrano avenue and the intersection of San Gabriel avenue; Delano avenue between Santa Ynez and Santa Ysabel avenues, including the crossing of San Juan avenue; Otsego avenue between Santa Ynez and Santa Ysabel avenues, including the crossing of San Juan avenue; Capistrano avenue between San Jose and Santa Ynez avenues, including the intersections of San Gabriel avenue and Santa Ysabel avenue and the crossing of San Juan avenue, and San Gabriel avenue between Santa Rosa and Capistrano avenues.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The roadways of the following named streets, including the curbs on both sides thereof, having been constructed to the satisfaction of the Board of Public Works, and of the Board of Supervisors, are hereby conditionally ac-

cepted by the City and County of San Francisco (except those portions required by law to be kept in order by the railroad company having tracks thereon), in accordance with the provisions of Section 23, Chapter 2, Article VI of the Charter, said roadways having been paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs laid thereon, and are in good condition throughout, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein, to-wit:

Rivera street between the easterly line of Fifteenth avenue and the westerly line of Sixteenth avenue, including the crossings of Fifteenth avenue and Sixteenth avenue and the intersection of Cecilia avenue.

Santiago street between the easterly line of Fifteenth avenue and the easterly line of Seventeenth avenue, including the crossings of Fifteenth avenue, Cecelia avenue and Sixteenth avenue.

Fifteenth avenue between Rivera street and Sixteenth avenue.

Cecelia avenue between Rivera street and Sixteenth avenue.

Sixteenth avenue between Rivera and Santiago streets.

Sixteenth avenue between Santiago and Taraval streets.

Santa Ynez avenue between San Jose and Ocean avenues, including the crossings of Delano avenue and Otsego avenue and the intersections of Capistrano avenue and Cayuga avenue.

San Juan avenue between San Jose and Delano avenues.

San Juan avenue between Delano and Otsego avenues and between Otsego and Capistrano avenues.

Santa Ysabel avenue between San Jose and Capistrano avenues, including the intersections of Delano avenue and Otsego avenue.

Santa Rosa avenue between San Jose avenue and the easterly line of Capistrano avenue, including the crossing of Capistrano avenue and the intersection of San Gabriel avenue.

Delano avenue between Santa Ynez and Santa Ysabel avenues, including the crossing of San Juan avenue.

Otsego avenue between Santa Ynez and Santa Ysabel avenues, including the crossing of San Juan avenue.

Capistrano avenue between San Jose and Santa Ynez avenues, including the intersection of San Gabriel avenue and Santa Ysabel avenue and the crossing of San Juan avenue.

San Gabriel avenue between Santa Rosa and Capistrano avenues.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Full Acceptance, Certain Streets.

Also, Bill No. 8121, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Providing for full acceptance of the roadway of Agnon avenue between Justin drive and Crescent avenue; Benton avenue between Genebern way and Justin drive, including the crossings of Genebern way and Justin drive; Castro street between Twenty-eighth and Valley streets; College avenue between Crescent avenue and Justin drive, including the crossings of Justin drive and Genebern way, Murray street, Benton avenue and the intersection of Justin drive; Genebern way between Justin drive and College way; Justin Drive between Genebern way and College avenue; Murray street between Mission street and Justin drive, including the crossings of Genebern way and Justin drive.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The roadwyas of the following named streets, including the curbs on both sides thereof, having been constructed to the satisfaction of the Board of Public Works and of the Board of Supervisors are hereby fully accepted by the City and County of San Francisco (except those portions required by law to be kept in order by the railroad company having tracks thereon), in accordance with the provisions of Section 23, Chapter 2, Article VI of the Charter, said roadways having been paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete and concrete curbs laid thereon, and are in good condition throughout, and have sewers, gas and water mains laid therein, to-wit:

Agnon avenue between Justin drive and Crescent avenue.

Benton avenue between Genebern way and Justin drive, including the crossings of Genebern way and Justin drive.

Castro street between Twenty-eighth and Valley streets.

College avenue between Crescent avenue and Justin drive, including the crossings of Justin drive and Genebern way, Murray street, Benton avenue and the intersection of Justin drive.

Genebern way between Justin drive and College way.

Justin drive between Genebern way and College avenue.

Murray street between Mission street and Justin drive, including

the crossings of Genebern way and Justin drive.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Full Acceptance, Certain Streets.

Also, Bill No. 8122, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Providing for the full acceptance of the roadways of Aptos avenue between Darien way and Upland drive and the crossing of Aptos avenue and Upland drive; Baker street between Beach and Jefferson streets; Darien way between Junipero Serra boulevard and West Gate drive and the intersections of Darien way and Aptos avenue and Darien way and San Rafael way; Lyon street between Pacific street and Broadway; Masonic avenue between Turk street and McAllister street and the intersections of Masonic and Golden Gate avenues and Masonic avenue and McAllister street; San Fernando way between Monterey boulevard and Ocean avenue, including the crossings of Darien way and Upland drive; San Leandro way between Monterey boulevard and Ocean avenue, including the crossing of Darien way; Santa Ana avenue between Monterey boulevard and Ocean avenue and the crossings of Darien way; San Benito way between Monterey boulevard and Ocean avenue, including the crossings of Darien way and Upland drive; Upland drive between San Benito way and West Gate drive and crossing of Upland drive and San Aleso avenue.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The roadways of the following-named streets, including the curbs on both sides thereof, having been constructed to the satisfaction of the Board of Public Works and of the Board of Supervisors, are hereby fully accepted by the City and County of San Francisco (except those portions required by law to be kept in order by the railroad company having tracks thereon), in accordance with the provisions of Section 23, Chapter 2, Article VI of the Charter, said roadways having been paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete and concrete curbs laid thereon, and are in good condition throughout, and have sewers, gas and water mains laid therein, to-wit:

Aptos avenue between Darien way and Upland drive and the crossing of Aptos avenue and Upland drive.

Baker street between Beach and Jefferson streets.

Darien way between Junipero Serra boulevard and West Gate drive and the intersections of Darien way and Aptos avenue and Darien way and San Rafael way.

Lyon street between Pacific street and Broadway.

Masonic avenue between Turk and McAllister streets and the intersections of Masonic and Golden Gate avenues and Masonic avenue and McAllister street.

San Fernando way between Monterey boulevard and Ocean avenue, including the crossings of Darien way and Upland drive.

San Leandro way between Monterey boulevard and Ocean avenue, including the crossing of Darien way.

Santa Ana avenue between Monterey boulevard and Ocean avenue and the crossing of Darian way.

San Benito way between Monterey boulevard and Ocean avenue, including the crossings of Darien way and Upland drive.

Upland drive between San Benito way and West Gate drive and the crossing of Upland drive and San Aleso avenue.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Action Deferred.

On motion of Supervisor Todd the following resolutions were *laid over one week*:

Approving Bond Covering Payment of Taxes, Subdivision No. 4, Miraloma Park.

Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the bond filed with this Board of Supervisors by the California Pacific Title and Trust Company, a California corporation, and The McCarthy Company, a California corporation, as principals, and Theodore G. Meyer and Rollin E. Meyer as sureties, dated the 10th day of May, 1927, in the sum of two thousand dollars, which sum is fixed by this Board and conditioned for the payment of all taxes which are now a lien but not yet payable against the property as shown on map entitled "Subdivision No. 4, Miraloma Park, San Francisco, California," is hereby approved.

Approving Map, Subdivision No. 4, Miraloma Park.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the Board of Public Works did, by Resolution No. 98209 (Second Series), approve a map of Subdivision No. 4, Miraloma Park, San Francisco, California; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the map of Subdivision No. 4, Miraloma Park, San

Francisco, California, is hereby approved.

Accepting Deeds, Subdivision No. 4, Miraloma Park.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the deeds executed on the 14th day of April, 1927, and on the 12th day of July, 1927, between California Pacific Title and Trust Company, a corporation, and the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, to lands for the opening of streets as shown on Map of Subdivision No. 4, Miraloma Park, San Francisco, California (Sheets 1, 2, 3 and 4), approved by the Board of Public Works by Resolution No. 98209 (Second Series), are hereby accepted.

Further Resolved, That the lands covered by said deeds are hereby declared open public streets.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Releasing, Cancelling and Annulling Contract With Fay Improvement Company for the Improvement of Crossing of Fourteenth Avenue and Rivera Street.

On motion of Supervisor Marks:

Bill No. 8123, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Releasing, cancelling and annuling that certain contract made and entered into by and between The Fay Improvement Company and the Board of Public Works for the improvement of the crossing of Fourteenth avenue and Rivera street and repealing Ordinance No. 7469 (New Series).

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. That certain contract made and entered into by and between The Fay Improvement Company and the Board of Public Works on June 2, 1927, designated as Street Assessment Contract No. 2117, for the improvement of the crossing of Fourteenth avenue and Rivera street, is hereby cancelled and annulled, and The Fay Improvement Company is hereby released from said contract at the instance and request of the contracting parties thereto for reasons specified in Resolution No. 98151 (Second Series) of the Board of Public Works and filed in the office of the Board of Public Works.

Section 2. Ordinance No. 7469 (New Series), ordering the improvement of the crossing of Fourteenth avenue and Rivera street, is hereby repealed.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Fixing Sidewalk Widths on Hampshire Street Between Sixteenth and Seventeenth Streets.

Also, Bill No. 8124, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by amending section seventy-five thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Board of Public Works, filed in this office July 13, 1927, by amending section seventy-five thereof, to read as follows:

Section 75. The width of sidewalks on Hampshire street between Tenth street and Sixteenth street shall be fifteen (15) feet.

The width of sidewalks on Hampshire street between Sixteenth street and Seventeenth street shall be as shown on that certain map entitled "Map of Hampshire street between Sixteenth street and Seventeenth street," showing the location of street and curb lines and the width of sidewalks.

The width of sidewalks on Hampshire street between Seventeenth street and Twentieth street shall be fifteen (15) feet.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect and be in force from and after its passage.

Establishing Grades on Bay Shore Avenue.

Also, Bill No. 8125, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Establishing grades on Bay Shore avenue between Gillette avenue and the westerly line of Tunnel avenue; on the westerly line of Gillette avenue between points 12 feet northerly from Bay Shore avenue southerly line and 12 feet southerly from Bay Shore avenue northerly line; on Nueva and Acacia avenues between the northerly and southerly lines of Bay Shore avenue; on Peninsula avenue between the southerly line of Bay Shore avenue and a line parallel with the northerly line of Bay Shore avenue and 425 feet northerly therefrom; on Wheeler avenue between Lathrop avenue and a line parallel with Bay Shore avenue and 466 feet northerly there-

from; and on Tunnel avenue between the southerly line of Bay Shore avenue and a line parallel with the northerly line of Bay Shore avenue and 200 feet northerly therefrom.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The grades on Bay Shore avenue between Gillette avenue and the westerly line of Tunnel avenue; on the westerly line of Gillette avenue between points 12 feet northerly from Bay Shore avenue southerly line and 12 feet southerly from Bay Shore avenue northerly line; on Nueva and Acacia avenues between the northerly and southerly lines of Bay Shore avenue; on Peninsula avenue between the southerly line of Bay Shore avenue and a line parallel with the northerly line of Bay Shore avenue and 425 feet northerly therefrom; on Wheeler avenue between Lathrop avenue and a line parallel with Bay Shore avenue and 466 feet northerly therefrom; and on Tunnel avenue between the southerly line of Bay Shore avenue and a line parallel with the northerly line of Bay Shore avenue and 200 feet northerly therefrom as hereinafter stated in accordance with recommendation of the Board of Public Works filed July 16, 1927.

Bay Shore Avenue.

12 feet southerly from the northerly line of, at Gillette avenue westerly line, 21.80 feet.

12 feet northerly from the southerly line of, at Gillette avenue westerly line, 20.90 feet.

12 feet southerly from the northerly line of, at Nueva avenue easterly line, 26.60 feet

12 feet northerly from the southerly line of, at Nueva avenue easterly line, 25.70 feet.

Northerly line of, 12 feet westerly from Nueva avenue easterly line, 26.60 feet.

Northerly line of, 12 feet easterly from Nueva avenue westerly line, 27.60 feet.

Southerly line of, 12 feet westerly from Nueva avenue easterly line, 25.70 feet.

Southerly line of, 12 feet easterly from Nueva avenue westerly line, 26.60 feet.

12 feet southerly from the northerly line of, at Nueva avenue westerly line, 27.60 feet.

12 feet northerly from the southerly line of, at Nueva avenue westerly line, 26.60 feet.

12 feet southerly from the northerly line of, at Acacia avenue easterly line, 34.30 feet.

12 feet northerly from the southerly line of, at Acacia avenue easterly line, 33.30 feet.

Northerly line of, 12 feet westerly from Acacia avenue easterly line, 34.40 feet.

Northerly line of, 12 feet easterly from Acacia avenue westerly line, 35.40 feet.

Southerly line of, 12 feet westerly from Acacia avenue easterly line, 33.40 feet.

Southerly line of, 12 feet easterly from Acacia avenue westerly line, 34.40 feet.

12 feet southerly from the northerly line of, at Acacia avenue westerly line, 35.40 feet.

12 feet northerly from the southerly line of, at Acacia avenue westerly line, 34.40 feet.

12 feet southerly from the northerly line of, at Peninsula avenue easterly line, 41.10 feet.

12 feet northerly from the southerly line of, at Peninsula avenue easterly line, 40.10 feet.

Northerly line of, 12 feet westerly from Peninsula avenue easterly line, 41.10 feet.

Northerly line of, 12 feet easterly from Peninsula avenue westerly line, 42.10 feet.

Southerly line of, 12 feet westerly from Peninsula avenue easterly line, 40.10 feet.

Southerly line of, 12 feet easterly from Peninsula avenue westerly line, 41.10 feet.

12 feet southerly from the northerly line of, at Peninsula avenue westerly line, 42.10 feet.

12 feet northerly from the southerly line of, at Peninsula avenue westerly line, 41.10 feet.

12 feet southerly from the northerly line of, at Wheeler avenue easterly line, 47.50 feet.

12 feet northerly from the southerly line of, at Wheeler avenue easterly line, 46.50 feet.

Northerly line of, 12 feet westerly from Wheeler avenue easterly line, 47.60 feet.

Northerly line of, 12 feet easterly from Wheeler avenue westerly line, 48.40 feet.

Southerly line of, 12 feet westerly from Wheeler avenue easterly line, 46.60 feet.

Southerly line of, 12 feet easterly from Wheeler avenue westerly line, 47.40 feet.

12 feet southerly from the northerly line of, at Wheeler avenue westerly line, 48.40 feet.

12 feet northerly from the southerly line of, at Wheeler avenue westerly line, 47.40 feet.

12 feet southerly from the north-

erly line of, at Tunnel avenue easterly line, 49.40 feet.

12 feet northerly from the southerly line of, at Tunnel avenue easterly line, 48.40 feet.

Northerly line of, 12 feet westerly from Tunnel avenue easterly line, 49.40 feet,

Northerly line of, 12 feet easterly from Tunnel avenue westerly line, 49.40 feet.

Southerly line of, 10 feet westerly from Tunnel avenue easterly line, 47.60 feet.

Southerly line of, 10 feet easterly from Tunnel avenue westerly line, 47.60 feet.

10 feet southerly from the northerly line of, at Tunnel avenue westerly line, 49.20 feet.

10 feet northerly from the southerly line of, at Tunnel avenue westerly line, 48.20 feet.

Gillette Avenue.

Westerly line of, 12 feet southerly from Bay Shore avenue northerly line, 21.80 feet.

Westerly line of, 12 feet northerly from Bay Shore avenue southerly line, 20.90 feet.

Nueva Avenue.

12 feet westerly from the easterly line of, at Bay Shore avenue northerly line, 26.60 feet.

Easterly line of, 12 feet southerly from Bay Shore avenue northerly line, 26.60 feet.

Easterly line of, 12 feet northerly from Bay Shore avenue southerly line, 25.70 feet.

12 feet westerly from the easterly line of, at Bay Shore avenue southerly line, 25.70 feet.

12 feet easterly from the westerly line of, at Bay Shore avenue northerly line, 27.60 feet.

Westerly line of, 12 feet southerly from Bay Shore avenue northerly line, 27.60 feet.

Westerly line of, 12 feet northerly from Bay Shore avenue southerly line, 26.60 feet.

12 feet easterly from the westerly line of, at Bay Shore avenue southerly line, 26.60 feet.

Acacia Avenue.

12 feet westerly from the easterly line of, at Bay Shore avenue northerly line, 34.40 feet.

Easterly line of, 12 feet southerly from Bay Shore avenue northerly line, 34.30 feet.

Easterly line of, 12 feet northerly from Bay Shore avenue southerly line, 33.30 feet.

12 feet westerly from the easterly line of, at Bay Shore avenue southerly line, 33.40 feet.

12 feet easterly from the westerly line of, at Bay Shore avenue northerly line, 35.40 feet.

Westerly line of, 12 feet southerly from Bay Shore avenue northerly line, 35.40 feet.

Westerly line of, 12 feet northerly from Bay Shore avenue southerly line, 34.40 feet.

12 feet easterly from the westerly line of, at Bay Shore avenue southerly line, 34.40 feet.

Peninsula Avenue.

12 feet westerly from the easterly lin eof, 425 feet northerly from Bay Shore avenue, 89.00 feet.

12 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 425 feet northerly from Bay Shore avenue, 89.00 feet.

12 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 250 feet northerly from Bay Shore avenue, 57.30 feet.

12 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 250 feet northerly from Bay Shore avenue, 58.30 feet.

12 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 100 feet northerly from Bay Shore avenue, 46.10 feet.

12 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 100 feet northerly from Bay Shore avenue, 47.10 feet.

12 feet westerly from the easterly line of, at Bay Shore avenue northerly line, 41.10 feet.

12 feet easterly from the westerly line of, at Bay Shore avenue northerly line, 42.10 feet.

Easterly line of, 12 feet southerly from Bay Shore avenue northerly line, 41.10 feet.

Easterly line of, 12 feet northerly from Bay Shore avenue southerly line, 40.10 feet.

Westerly line of, 12 feet southerly from Bay Shore avenue northerly line, 42.10 feet.

Westerly line of, 12 feet northerly from Bay Shore avenue southerly line, 41.10 feet.

12 feet westerly from the easterly line of, at Bay Shore avenue southerly line, 40.10 feet.

12 feet easterly from the westerly line of, at Bay Shore avenue southerly line, 41.10 feet.

Wheeler Avenue.

12 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 466 feet northerly from Bay Shore avenue, 85.00 feet.

12 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 466 feet northerly from Bay Shore avenue, 84.00 feet.

12 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 400 feet northerly from Bay Shore avenue, 74.30 feet.

12 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 400 feet northerly from Bay Shore avenue, 73.40 feet.

12 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 290 feet northerly from Bay Shore avenue, 64.30 feet.

12 feet easterly from the westerly

line of, 290 feet northerly from Bay Shore avenue, 64.20 feet.

12 feet westerly from the easterly line of, at Bay Shore avenue northerly line, 47.60 feet.

12 feet easterly from the westerly line of, at Bay Shore avenue northerly line, 48.40 feet.

Easterly line of, 12 feet southerly from Bay Shore avenue northerly line, 47.50 feet.

Easterly line of, 12 feet northerly from Bay Shore avenue southerly line, 46.50 feet.

Westerly line of, 12 feet southerly from Bay Shore avenue northerly line, 48.40 feet.

Westerly line of, 12 feet northerly from Bay Shore avenue southerly line, 47.40 feet.

12 feet westerly from the easterly line of, at Bay Shore avenue southerly line, 46.60 feet.

12 feet easterly from the westerly line of, at Bay Shore avenue southerly line, 47.40 feet.

Lathrop avenue northerly line, 33.00 feet.

Tunnel Avenue.

12 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 200 feet northerly from Bay Shore avenue, 61.30 feet.

12 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 200 feet northerly from Bay Shore avenue, 61.30 feet.

12 feet westerly from the easterly line of, at Bay Shore avenue northerly line, 49.40 feet.

12 feet easterly from the westerly line of, at Bay Shore avenue northerly line, 49.40 feet.

Easterly line of, 12 feet southerly from Bay Shore avenue northerly line, 49.40 feet.

Easterly line of, 12 feet northerly from Bay Shore avenue southerly line, 48.40 feet.

Westerly line of, 10 feet southerly from Bay Shore avenue northerly line, 49.20 feet.

Westerly line of, 10 feet northerly from Bay Shore avenue southerly line, 48.20 feet.

10 feet westerly from the easterly line of, at Bay Shore avenue southerly line, 47.60 feet.

10 feet easterly from the westerly line of, at Bay Shore avenue southerly line, 47.60 feet.

On Bay Shore avenue between Gillette avenue and the westerly line of Tunnel avenue; on the westerly line of Gillette avenue between points 12 feet northerly from Bay Shore avenue southerly line and 12 feet southerly from Bay Shore avenue northerly line; on Nueva and Acacia avenues between the northerly and southerly lines of Bay Shore avenue; on Peninsula avenue between the southerly line of Bay Shore avenue and a line parallel with the northerly line of Bay Shore avenue and 425 feet northerly therefrom; on Wheeler avenue between Lathrop avenue and a line parallel with Bay Shore avenue and 466 feet northerly therefrom, and on Tunnel avenue between the southerly line of Bay Shore avenue and a line parallel with the northerly line of Bay Shore avenue and 200 feet northerly therefrom be established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Repealing Portion of Ordinance Providing for Conditional Acceptance of Schwerin Street Between Sunnydale and Visitacion Avenues.

Also, Bill No. 8126, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Repealing that portion of Ordinance No. 7553 (New Series), approved June 4, 1927, providing for the conditional acceptance of the roadway of Schwerin street between Sunnydale and Visitacion avenues.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. That portion of Ordinance No. 7553 (New Series) providing for the conditional acceptance of the roadway of Schwerin street between Sunnydale and Visitacion avenues is hereby repealed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ordering Street Work.

Also, Bill No. 8127, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors July 6, 1927, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918, of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works,

and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918, of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in four installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of the crossings of Forty-third avenue and Kirkham street, and of Forty-third avenue and Lawton street, by grading to official line and grade; by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks of the full official width on the angular corners thereof; by the construction of the necessary catchbasins with accompanying 10-inch ironstone pipe culverts, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and a 1½-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Also, Bill No. 8128, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors July 6, 1927, having recommended the ordering the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Fitzgerald avenue between Ingalls and Jennings streets where not already so improved, by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of sidesewers where the pavement is is not constructed; by the construction of concrete gutters, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and a 1½-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface on the roadway thereof; and the improvement of Ingalls street between Fitzgerald avenue and Gilman avenue where not already so improved, by the construction of concrete curbs, where not already constructed; by the construction of the necessary sidesewers, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and 1½-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface on the roadway thereof, where not already constructed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Also, Bill No. 8129, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors July 6, 1927, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Fran-

cisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Forty-fourth avenue between Kirkham and Lawton streets where not already so improved; of Pacheco street between Fifteenth and Sixteenth avenues where not already so improved, and of Lawton street between Forty-fourth and Forty-fifth avenues where not already so improved; by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of asphaltic concrete pavements, consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and a 1½-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface and concrete pavements as may be required.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Also, Bill No. 8130, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors July 6, 1927, having recommended the ordering the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Morse street between Guttenberg street and Concord street, including the intersections of Florentine street and Concord street, by grading to official line and grade; by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of 8-inch and 12-inch ironstone pipe sewers, with the neessary Y branches, sidesewers and brick manholes; by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks on the angular corners of the above-mentioned intersections; by the construction of the necessary brick catchbasins with 10-inch ironstone pipe culverts, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and a 1½-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface on the roadways thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Also, Bill No. 8131, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors July 6, 1927, having recommended the ordering the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said

work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in twenty installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be six months from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Andover street between Eugenia and Powhattan avenues where not already so improved, by the construction of concrete curbs where curbs are not already constructed; by the construction of a sidesewer where not already constructed; and by the construction of a concrete pavement on the roadway thereof where not already constructed; and the improvement of Bocana street between Eugenia avenue and Wool street where not already so improved, by the construction of concrete curbs where not already constructed; by the construction of the necessary sidesewers where not already constructed, and by the construction of a concrete pavement on the roadway thereof where not already constructed; and the improvement of Gates street between Eugenia avenue and Powhattan avenue where not already so improved, by the construction of concrete curbs where curbs are not already constructed; by the construction of sidesewers where not already constructed; by the construction of a 7-foot strip of basalt block pavement on a concrete foundation adjacent to the center line where not already constructed, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and a 1½-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface on the roadway thereof; and the improvement of Wool street between Eugenia and Powhattan avenues where not already so improved, by the construction of concrete curbs where curbs are not already constructed, and by the construction of a concrete pavement on the roadway thereof where not already constructed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Also, Bill No. 8132, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors July 6, 1927, having recommended the ordering the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in four installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Ogden avenue between Anderson and Ellsworth streets, by the construction of an 8-inch ironstone pipe sewer with the necessary Y branches, sidesewers and brick manhole along the center line of Ogden avenue from a point 40 feet easterly from Anderson street to the existing connection at the westerly line of Ellsworth street.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Also, Bill No. 8133, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors July 6, 1927, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first in stallment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of the following: The crossing of Shields and Byxbee streets, by the construction of concrete curbs; the construction of an 8-inch ironstone pipe sewer; the construction of the necessary catchbasins with accompanying 10-inch ironstone pipe culverts; the construction of artificial stone sidewalks of the full official width on the angular corners thereof; and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and a 1½-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface of the roadway thereof; and the improvement of Byxbee street between Sargent street and Worcester avenue where not already improved, by the construction of concrete curbs, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and a 1½-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface on the roadway thereof; and the improvement of Sargent street between Byxbee and Ralston streets where not already improved, by the construction of concrete curbs, and by the construction of a concrete pavement on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. Tnis ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Also, Bill No. 8134, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors July 6, 1927, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, made be paid in twenty installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be six months from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Noe street between the southerly line of Army street and tne southerly line of Duncan street, including the crossings of Noe street and Twenty-seventh street and Noe street and Duncan street, by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks on the westerly angular corners of Duncan street and Twenty-seventh

street, and on the easterly angular corners of Twenty-seventh street, by the construction of concrete curbs and artificial stone sidewalks on the easterly side of Noe street between the northerly and the southerly lines of Duncan street; by the construction of a concrete ballustrade on the easterly side of Noe street and Duncan street; by the construction of 8-inch and 15-inch ironstone pipe sewers and one brick manhole with appurtenances in the crossing of Noe street and Duncan street; by the construction of the necessary sidesewers; by the construction of the necessary brick catchbasins with appurtenances and 10-inch ironstone pipe culverts; by the construction of a 14-foot central strip of concrete pavement between the northerly line of Duncan street and the southerly line of Twenty-seventh street, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and a 1½-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface on the roadway thereof.

The method of assessment for said improvement determined and declared by the Board of Public Works by its Resolution No. 97943A (Second Series) is hereby confirmed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Also, Bill No. 8135, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors July 6, 1927, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in four installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of the following crossings; Garfield and Ralston streets; Garfield and Beverly streets; Monticello and Shields streets; Beverly and Shields streets, by grading to official line and grade; by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks of the full official width on the angular corners thereof; by the construction of the necessary catchbasins with accompanying 10-inch ironstone pipe culverts; by the construction of 8-inch, 12-inch, 18-inch and 21-inch ironstone pipe sewers as required; by the construction of brick manholes, and by the construction of asphaltic concrete pavements, consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and a 1½-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface on the roadways thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Accepting Offer to Sell Land Required for Bernal Cut.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 27583 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the owners of the following described lands, situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and sought to be acquired by the City and County of San Francisco for the opening of Bernal Cut, extending from Mission and Randall streets southwesterly along the Southern Pacific Company's right of way to the intersection of San Jose avenue and Circular avenue, have offered to convey the property desired by said City and County of San Francisco for the sums set forth opposite their names, viz.:

Eva C. Trost, $5,475—Lot 6, Block 6686, as per the Assessor's Block Book of the City and County of San Francisco (as per written offer on file).

Karen Jensen, $4,000—Lot 17, Block 6688, as per the Assessor's Block Book of the City and County

of San Francisco (as per written offer on file).

Mabel T. Day, $4,250—Portion of Lot 9, Block 36, Fairmount Tract, as recorded in Map Book 2 A and B, pages 6 and 7, records of the City and County of San Francisco (as per written offer on file).

John P. Sullivan and Annie E. Sullivan, $4,600—Lot 5, Block 6689, as per the Assessor's Block Book of the City and County of San Francisco (as per written offer on file).

Katie Noblitt, $4,600—Lot 9, Block 6689, as per the Assessor's Block Book of the City and County of San Francisco (as per written offer on file).

Johannes Wulff and Helene Wulff, $4,600—Lot 10, Block 6689, as per the Assessor's Block Book of the City and County of San Francisco (as per written offer on file).

Percy J. Crouch, $3,750—Lot 20, Block 6689, as per the Assessor's Block Book of the City and County of San Francisco (as per written offer on file).

Alice McDonald, $4,200—Portion of Lot 1 and all of Lot 2, Block "D," French and Gilman Tract, as recorded in Map Book "E and F," page 48, records of the City and County of San Francisco (as per written offer on file).

William F. Farren, $5,200—Lot 39, Block "D," French and Gilman Tract, as recorded in Map Book "E and F," page 48, records of the City and County of San Francisco (as per written offer on file).

Frank W. Dierking and Jessamine B. Dierking, $4,900—Portion of Lot 4, Block 10, Fairmount Tract, as recorded in Map Book 2 A and B, pages 6 and 7, records of the City and County of San Francisco (as per written offer on file).

Charles G. Arnold and Elsa M. Arnold, $900—Portion of Lot 3, Block 10, Fairmount Tract, as recorded in Map Book 2 A and B, pages 6 and 7, records of the City and County of San Francisco (as per written offer on file).

James J. Tuohey and Anna Tuohey, $2,750—Portion of Lot 1, Block 10, Fairmount Tract, as recorded in Map Book 2 A and B, pages 6 and 7, records of the City and County of San Francisco (as per written offer on file).

Emily Rydman and Ellis A. Rydman, $7,660—Lot 25, Block 1, College Hill Tract, as recorded in Map Book "G," page 18, records of the City and County of San Francisco (as per written offer on file).

Salvatore Cubio and Mary Cubio, $5,250—Lot 28, Block 1, College Hill Tract, as recorded in Map Book "G," page 18, records of the City and County of San Francisco (as per written offer on file).

Carrie Hanchett Howe, $2,700—Lot 30, Block 1, College Hill Tract, as recorded in Map Book "G," page 18, records of the City and County of San Francisco (as per written offer on file).

Frank W. Perks and Eva E. Perks, $2,600—Lot 31, Block 1, College Hill Tract, as recorded in Map Book "G," page 18, records of the City and County of San Francisco (as per written offer on file).

Josephine M. Britt, $3,250—Lot 32, Block 1, College Hill Tract, as recorded in Map Book "G," page 18, records of the City and County of San Francisco (as per written offer on file).

Frank F. Gehrig and Eleanor Horgan, $4,100—Lot 33, Block 1, College Hill Tract, as recorded in Map Book "G," page 18, records of the City and County of San Francisco (as per written offer on file).

Giovanni Panattoni, $156—Portion of Lot 39, Block 1, College Hill Tract, as recorded in Map Book "G," page 18, records of the City and County of San Francisco (as per written offer on file).

George Marzolf and Catherina Marzolf, $6,000—Portion of Lots 6 and 7, Block 8, Fairmount Tract, as recorded in Map Book 2 A and B, pages 6 and 7, records of the City and County of San Francisco (as per written offer on file).

Lucius L. Solomons and Helen F. Solomons, $605—

Parcel 1. Portions of Lots 4 and 5, Block 8, Fairmount Tract, as recorded in Map Book 2 A and B, pages 6 and 7, records of the City and County of San Francisco.

Parcel 2. Portion of Lot 4, Block 8, of said Fairmount Tract (as per written offer on file).

Robert Strahle and Matilda G. Strahle, $480—Portion of Lot 3, Block 8, Fairmount Tract, as recorded in Map Book 2 A and B, pages 6 and 7, records of the City and County of San Francisco (as per written offer on file).

A. H. Postley and Kathryn Postley, $950—Portion of Lot 12, Block 2, DeBoom Tract, as recorded in Map Book "G," page 5, records of the City and County of San Francisco (as per written oer on file).

T. O. Carlson and Annie Carlson, $25—Portion of Lot 16, Block 4, De Boom Tract, as recorded in Map Book "G," page 5, records of the City and County of San Francisco (as per written offer on file).

Charles Schmalz and Helen E. Schmalz, $3,000—Portions of Lots 24 and 25 of Jones' Addition to Fairmount Tract, as recorded in

Map Book "E and F," page 169, records of the City and County of San Francisco (as per written offer on file).

Whereas, the City Attorney has recommended the acceptance of the said offers and the acquisition of the property owned by said persons and offered to the City and County of San Francisco for the prices set forth opposite their names, which said prices are in accordance with the City's appraisement of the property; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the said offers of sale be accepted, and the City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to examine the titles of said properties, and if the same are found in satisfactory condition to accept in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco deeds conveying title thereto, and file the same for record with a copy of this resolution attached thereto as evidence of acceptance by the City and County of San Francisco, upon payment of the agreed purchase prices.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Powers—3.

Accepting Offer of Frederick R. Wunsch et al. to Sell Land Required for the Widening of Grand View Avenue.

Supervisor McSheehy presented: Resolution No. 27584 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the owners of the following described land, situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and sought to be acquired by said City and County for the widening of Grand View avenue, have offered to convey the property desired by said City and County of San Francisco for the sum set forth opposite their names, viz.:

Frederick R. Wunsch and Adele F. Wunsch, $4,375—Beginning at the point of intersection of the northerly line of Twenty-second street with the southeasterly line of Grand View avenue, and running thence easterly along the northerly line of Twenty-second street 46 feet 10 inches; thence at right angles northerly 74.045 feet to a point on the southeasterly line of Grand View avenue; thence southwesterly along the southeasterly line of Grand View avenue 87.647 feet to the point of beginning. Being portion of Block 5, Noe Garden Homestead Union.

Whereas, the City Attorney has recommended the acceptance of the said offer and the acquisition of the property owned by said parties and offered to the City and County of San Francisco for the sum set forth, which said price is in accordance with the City's appraisement of the property. Now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the said offer of sale be accepted, and the City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to examine the title to said property and, if the same is found in satisfactory condition, to accept, in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, a deed conveying title thereto, and file the same for record with a copy of this resolution attached thereto as evidence of acceptance by the City and County of San Francisco, upon payment of the agreed purchase price.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Powers—3.

Accepting Offer of Patrick Connolly et al. to Sell for $4,500 Certain Property in Alameda County Required for Hetch Hetchy Aqueduct Easement.

Supervisor McSheehy presented: Resolution No. 27585 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the City Engineer has recommended the purchase by the City and County of San Francisco from the following named owners of the following described lands and easements, situated in the counties of Alameda and San Joaquin, State of California, required in connection with the construction, maintenance and operation of the Coast Range Division of the Hetch Hetchy aqueduct, for the sum set forth opposite their names, viz.:

Patrick Connolly and Patrick J. Connolly, $4,500—

Parcel 1. Portion of Sections 1 and 2, T. 4 S., R. 4 E., M. D. B. and M., containing 27.6 acres, more or less, required for camp and shaft site purposes.

Parcel 2. Portion of the N. E. ¼ of Section 2, T. 4 S., R. 4 E., M. D. B. and M., containing 2 acres, more or less, required for road purposes.

Parcel 3. Subsurface right of way easement for the construction, maintenance and use of aqueduct tunnel or tunnels in and through N. W. ¼ of Section 5, and all of Section 6, T. 4 S., R. 5 E., M. D. B.

and M.; and Section 1, the S. E. ¼ of Section 2, excepting Parcel 1 hereinabove described, the N. ½ of Section 5 and the N. W. ¼ of Section 6, T. 4 S., R. 4 E., M. D. B. and M.

Parcel 4. The right to construct, maintain and operate electric transmission line and telephone line along, upon and over the N. W. ¼ of Section 5, and all of Section 6, T. 4 S., R. 5 E., M. D. B. and M.; and Section 1, the S. E. ¼ of Section 2, excepting Parcel 1, hereinabove described, the N. ½ of Section 5, and N. W. ¼ of Section 6, T. 4 S., R. 4 E., M. D. B. and M. (As per written offer on file.) Now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of the City Engineer, the above described offer of the above named property owners to sell to the City and County of San Francisco the above mentioned lands and easements for the sum set forth opposite their names and upon the terms and conditions contained in said option be and the same is hereby accepted. Be it

Further Resolved, That the City Engineer is hereby authorized and directed to notify said parties of the acceptance of their said offer, and the City Attorney is authorized and directed to examine the title to said lands and easements, and, if the same is found in satisfactory condition, to accept, in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, a deed conveying title thereto containing the conditions and reservations agreed upon in said offer and to file the same for record with a copy of this resolution attached thereto as evidence of acceptance by the City and County of San Francisco upon payment of the above mentioned purchase price.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington. Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Powers—3.

Accepting Offer of Arthur A. Holm et al. to Sell for $100 Certain Property Required for Coast Division, Hetch Hetchy Aqueduct Easement.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 27586 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the City Engineer has recommended the purchase by the City and County of San Francisco from the following named owners of the following described easements over land situated in the County of Alameda, State of California, required in connection with the construction, maintenance and operation of the Coast Range Division of the Hetch Hetchy aqueduct for the sum set forth opposite their names, viz.:

Arthur A. Holm and Sophie Holm, $100—

Parcel 1. Subsurface right of way easement for the construction, maintenance and use of aqueduct tunnel or tunnels in and through the Southeast ¼ of Section 7, Township 4 South, Range 3 East, M. D. B. and M.

Parcel 2. Right to construct, maintain and operate an electric transmission line and telephone line along, upon and over the Southeast ¼ of Section 7, Township 4 South, Range 3 East, M. D. B. and M. (As per written offer on file.) Now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of the City Engineer, the above described offer of the above named property owners to sell to the City and County of San Francisco the above mentioned easements for the sum set forth opposite their names and upon the terms and conditions contained in said option be and the same is hereby accepted. Be it

Further Resolved, That the City Engineer is hereby authorized and directed to notify said parties of the acceptance of their said offer, and the City Attorney is authorized and directed to examine the title to said easements, and, if the same is found in satisfactory condition, to accept, in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, a deed conveying title thereto containing the conditions and reservations agreed upon in said offer, and to file the same for record with a copy of this resolution attached thereto as evidence of acceptance by the City and County of San Francisco upon payment of the above mentioned purchase price.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington. Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Powers—3.

Resolution of Intention to Establish Set-back Lines No. 145.

Supervisor Hayden presented:

Resolution No. 27587 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the City Planning Commission has recommended that setback lines be established along the

streets hereinafter mentioned and as delineated upon maps accompanying said recommendations, to which reference is hereby maue for further particulars; therefore,

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco to establish set-back lines as provided by Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), approved May 18, 1922, as follows:

Along the easterly side of Thirty-seventh avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Taraval street and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 300 feet, said set-back line to be 9 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet.

Along the westerly side of Thirty-eighth avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Taraval street and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 300 feet, said set-back line to be 9 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet.

Along the northerly side of Fell street, commencing at a point 31 feet 3 inches easterly from Masonic avenue and running thence easterly 325 feet, said set-back line to be 16 feet.

And notice is hereby given that Monday, the 5th day of September, 1927, at the hour of 11 o'clock a. m., at the chambers of the Board of Supervisors, City Hall, in said City and County of San Francisco, has been fixed as the time and place when and where any and all persons having any objections to the establishing of the proposed set-back lines may appear and present any objections which they may have to said set-back lines as set forth in this resolution of intention.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington. Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Powers—3.

Resolution of Intention to Establish Set-back Lines No. 146.

Supervisor Hayden presented:

Resolution No. 27588 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the City Planning Commission has recommended that set-back lines be established along the streets hereinafter mentioned and as delineated upon maps accompanying said recommendations, to which reference is hereby made for further particulars; therefore,

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco to establish set-back lines as provided by Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), approved May 18, 1922, as follows:

Along the westerly side of Seventeenth avenue, commencing at a point 87 feet 3 inches northerly from Rivera street and running thence northerly to a point 100 feet southerly from Quintara street, said set-back line to be 11½ feet; along the easterly side of Seventeenth avenue, commencing at a point 83 feet 7 inches northerly from Rivera street and running thence northerly 436 feet 5 inches, said set-back line to be 15 feet; thence northerly to Quintara street, said set-back line to be 7 feet.

Along the easterly side of Twentieth avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Rivera street and running thence northerly 32½ feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly to Quintaraa street, said set-back line to be 9 feet.

Along the westerly side of Twenty-third avenue, commencing at a point 50 feet northerly from Moraga street and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 400 feet, said set-back line to be 9 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; along the easterly side of Twenty-third avenue, commencing at Moraga street and running thence northerly 100 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 375 feet, said set-back line to be 9 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet.

And notice is hereby given that Monday, the 5th day of September, 1927, at the hour of 11 o'clock a. m., at the chambers of the Board of Supervisors, City Hall, in said City and County of San Francisco, has been fixed as the time and place when and where any and all persons having any objections to the establishing of the proposed set-back lines may appear and present

any objections which they may have to said set-back lines as set forth in this resolution of intention.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Powers—3.

Resolution of Intention to Establish Set-back Lines No. 147.

Supervisor Hayden presented:

Resolution No. 27589 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the City Planning Commission has recommended that setback lines be established along the streets hereinafter mentioned and as delineated upon maps accompanying said recommendations, to which reference is hereby made for further particulars; therefore,

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco to establish set-back lines as provided by Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), approved May 18, 1922, as follows:

Along the westerly side of Twenty-first avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Ortega street, and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 315 feet, said setback line to be 9 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; along the easterly side of Twenty-first avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Ortega street, and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 300 feet, said setback line to be 9 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet.

Along the westerly side of Twenty-first avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Quintara street, and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 300 feet, said setback line to be 9 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; along the easterly side of Twenty-first avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Quintara street, and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 350 feet, said setback line to be 9 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet.

Along the westerly side of Twenty-second avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Moraga street, and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly to Lawton street, said set-back line to be 9 feet; along the easterly side of Twenty-second avenue, commencing at Moraga street, and running thence northerly 500 feet, said set-back line to be 2 feet.

Along the westerly side of Forty-fourth avenue, commencing at a point 85 feet northerly from Fulton street, and running thence northerly to Cabrillo street, said set-back line to be 5 feet.

And notice is hereby given that Monday, the 5th day of September, 1927, at the hour of 11 o'clock a. m., at the chambers of the Board of Supervisors, City Hall, in said City and County of San Francisco, has been fixed as the time and place when and where any and all persons having any objections to the establishing of the proposed setback lines may appear and present any objections which they may have to said set-back lines as set forth in this resolution of intention.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Powers—3.

Resolution of Intention to Establish Set-back Lines No. 148.

Supervisor Hayden presented:

Resolution No. 27590 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the City Planning Commission has recommended that setback lines be established along the streets hereinafter mentioned and as delineated upon maps accompanying said recommendations, to which reference is hereby made for further particulars; therefore,

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco to establish set-back lines as provided by Ordinance No. 5636 (New

Series), approved May 18, 1922, as follows:

Along the northwesterly side of Araga street, commencing at Havelock street, and running thence northeasterly to a point 80 feet southwesterly from Paulding street, said set-back line to be 5 feet.

Along the northeasterly side of Campbell street, commencing at a point 25 feet southeasterly from Delta street, and running thence southeasterly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 2 2/3 feet; thence southeasterly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 7 1/3 feet; thence southeasterly to Rutland street, said set-back line to be 11 feet; along the southwesterly side of Campbell street, commencing at a point 50 feet southeasterly from Delta street, and running thence southeasterly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 4 feet; thence southeasterly to Rutland street, said set-back line to be 8 feet.

Along the easterly side of Twenty-first avenue, commencing at a point 148 feet 8 inches northerly from Rivera street, and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 2½ feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 5 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 7½ feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 10 feet; thence northerly 76 feet 4 inches, said set-back line to be 12½ feet; thence northerly 175 feet, said set-back line to be 15 feet.

Along both sides of Twenty-second avenue, commencing at points 93 feet northerly from Noriega street, and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 6 feet; thence northerly 300 feet, said set-back lines to be 9 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 3 feet.

Along the westerly side of Twenty-third avenue, commencing at a point 93 feet northerly from Noriega street, and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly to Moraga street, said set-back line to be 9 feet; along the easterly side of Twenty-third avenue, commencing at a point 93 feet northerly from Noriega street, and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 300 feet, said set-back line to be 9 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet.

And notice is hereby given that Monday, the 5th day of September, 1927, at the hour of 11 o'clock a. m., at the chambers of the Board of Supervisors, City Hall, in said City and County of San Francisco, has been fixed as the time and place when and where any and all persons having any objections to the establishing of the proposed set-back lines may appear and present any objections which they may have to said set-back lines as set forth in this resolution of intention.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Powers—3.

Mayor Authorized to Sell at Public Auction Buildings on Horace Mann School Site.

Supervisor Powers presented:

Resolution No. 27591 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That his Honor the Mayor be and he is hereby authorized and requested to sell at public auction, in accordance with provisions of the Charter, two certain buildings located on the old Horace Mann School site, east side of Valencia street, 65 feet south from the southeast corner of Valencia and Twenty-second streets and known as one three-story wooden building, being No. 1125 Valencia street; also, one three-story frame structure known as No. 1147 Valencia street in accordance with recommendation of the Board of Education by communication filed July 20, 1927.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Powers—3.

Changing Certain Street Names.

Supervisor Marks presented:

Resolution No. 27592 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the Board of Public Works did, by Resolution No. 91475 (Second Series) recommend to the Board of Supervisors that the names of certain streets be changed as hereinafter specified; now, therefore,

Resolved, That the names of the following streets in the City and

County of San Francisco, as shown on the official map of the City and County of San Francisco, are hereby changed as hereinafter specified and said streets shall hereafter be known and designated by names to which they are hereby changed, to-wit:

Brewster street between Tomasa and Isabel streets changed to Montcalm street.

Bauer street between Mission street and Cayuga street be changed to San Juan avenue.

Islais Creek Channel between Oakdale and Barneveld avenues changed to Industrial street.

Portola street south from Waller street between Steiner and Pierce streets changed to Potomac street.

Portola alley north from Jackson street between Grant avenue and Stockton street changed to Pontiac alley.

Plato street between Masonic avenue and Roosevelt way changed to Loma Vista Terrace.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Powers—3.

Extention of Time, Louis J. Cohn.

Supervisor Marks presented:

Resolution No. 27593 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Louis J. Cohn be and is hereby granted an extension of sixty (60) days' time from and after July 4, 1927, within which to complete the improvement of Kirkham street between Forty-second and Forty-third avenues. The work is well under way, grading, sewers, curbs and concrete base having been constructed.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Powers—3.

Intention to Change Grades.

Supervisor Marks presented:

Resolution No. 27594 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to change and establish grades on the following named streets, at the points hereinafter specified and at the elevations above city base, as hereinafter stated, in accordance with Resolution No. 98150 (Second Series) of the Board of Public Works, adopted July 15, 1927, and written recommendation of said Board, filed July 18, 1927, to-wit:

On Fourteenth avenue between the southerly line of Rivera street and a line parallel with the northerly line of Rivera street and 180 feet northerly therefrom; and on Rivera street between the westerly line of Fourteenth avenue and Funston avenue.

The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that no assessment district is necessary as no damage will result from said change of grades, inasmuch as the streets are ungraded and there are no existing street improvements.

The Board of Public Works is hereby directed to cause to be conspicuously posted along the street or streets upon which such change or modification of grades or grades is contemplated, notice of the passage of this resolution of intention.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Powers—3.

Extension of Time.

Supervisor Marks presented:

Resolution No. 27595 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Federal Construction Company is hereby granted an extension of ninety (90) days' time from and after July 25, 1927, within which to complete the improvement of Vermont street between Twentieth and Twenty-second streets. The work is well under way.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Powers—3.

Accepting Deeds for Sewer Easements.

Supervisor Marks presented:

Resolution No. 27596 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the deeds of sewer easements from Salvatore D. Gatti and Luigi Lari, dated July 1, 1927; Anna D. Roller dated July 2, 1927, and Leonie Winant dated June 30, 1927, to the City and County of San Francisco, the said deeds being for a ten-foot easement along the

center lines of Hahn, Sawyer, Loehr, Britton and Rey streets produced southerly to Sunnyside avenue from its present southerly termination north of Sunnydale avenue are hereby accepted.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Powers—3.

Intention to Change Grades.

Supervisor Marks presented:

Resolution No. 27597 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to change and establish grades on the following named streets, at the points hereinafter specified and at the elevations above city base, as hereinafter stated, in accordance with Resolution No. 98055 (Second Series) of the Board of Public Works, adopted July 11, 1927, and written recommendation of said Board, filed July 13, 1927, to-wit:

On Hampshire street between Sixteenth and Seventeenth streets.

The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that no assessment district is necessary as no damage will result from said change of grades, inasmuch as the streets are ungraded and there are no existing street improvements.

The Board of Public Works is hereby directed to cause to be conspicuously posted along the street or streets upon which such change or modification of grade or grades is contemplated, notice of the passage of this resolution of intention.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Powers—3.

Extension of Time.

Supervisor Marks presented:

Resolution No. 27598 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That T. E. Treacy is hereby granted an extension of ninety days' time from and after August 1, 1927, within which to complete the improvement of Italy avenue between Lisbon and Madrid streets. The work is well under way.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Powers—3.

Confirming Method of Assessment, Improvement of Noe Street.

Supervisor Marks presented:

Resolution No. 27599 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the method of assessment for the improvement of Noe street between the southerly line of Army street and the southerly line of Duncan street, including the crossings of Noe street and Twenty-seventh street and Noe street and Duncan street as set forth in Resolution of Intention No. 97611 (Second Series) determined and declared by the Board of Public Works by Resolution No. 97943A (Second Series) is hereby confirmed.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Powers—3.

Award of Contract, Foodstuffs.

Supervisor Badaracco presented:

Resolution No. 27600 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of contract for furnishing foodstuffs (except Item No. 140, coffee, and Item No. 141, tea, which are being held pending test of sample submitted) during the four months' term, July, August, September, October, 1927, be made on bids submitted June 6, 1927 (Proposal No. 303), and tabulation thereof on file in Bureau of Supplies, which is hereby made a part hereof; said matter having heretofore received full consideration in an open public meeting of the Supplies Committee and the awards herein approved by it are now made to the following, viz.:

1. Bay City Market, no bond required.
2. California Meat Co., bond required $1,000.
4. Swift & Co., bond required $100.
5. O'Brien, Spotorno & Mitchell, no bond required.
8. Standard Fisheries, bond required $500.
9. Morris & Co., bond required $1,000.
11. Del Monte Meat Co., bond required $1,000.

13. Baumgarten Bros., bond required $100.

14. South San Francisco Packing and Provision Company, bond required $100.

15. Roth, Winter & Walsh, no bond required.

17. St. Charles Market, no bond required.

10. M. J. B. Co., no bond required.

20. Schweitzer & Co., no bond required.

21. California Supply Company, no bond required.

26. H. Moffat Co., bond required $1,000.

Note: All above awards are made to the lowest bidder except when award is made in consideration of deliveries or on account of the quality as determined by such tests as required or recommended by the Purchaser of Supplies.

Resolved, That all other bids submitted thereon be rejected.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Powers—3.

Award of Contract, Tobacco.

Supervisor Badaracco presented: Resolution No. 27601 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of contract is hereby made on bids submitted July 18, 1927 (Proposal No. 315), as follows, viz.:

Tobacco.

Item No. 1—4500 lbs. smoking, cut plug, "Geo. Washington," $0.5087 lb.; Healy & Donaldson.

Item No. 2—1500 lbs. chewing, pressed natural leafe, "Humming Bird," $0.41 lb.; Healy & Donaldson.

Item No. 3—84 gross cigarettes, "Buffalo," $5.18 gross; Healy & Donaldson.

Cigarettes for Park.

Item No. 4—110,000 Camel, retailing at 15 cents, price per 1000, $5.76; Glaser Bros.

Item No. 5—220,000 Chesterfield, retailing at 15 cents, price per 1000, $5.76; Healy & Donaldson.

Item No. 6—120,000 Lucky Strike, 20s, retailing at 15 cents, price per 1000, $5.689; American Tobacco Co.

Item No. 7—5000 Fatima, retailing at 20 cents, price per 1000, $7.20; Glaser Bros.

Item No. 8—10,000 Tareyton, 20s, retailing at 25 cents, price per 1000, $9.26; American Tobacco Co.

Item No. 9—2000 Melachrino No. 9, 10s cork or 10s plain, retail price 20 cents, price per 1000, $11.686; American Tobacco Co.

Item No. 10—10,000 Marlboro, retailing at 20 cents, price per 1000, $7.56; Glaser Bros.

Delivery to be made to points designated in purchase orders, as same may be issued from time to time during the six-months' period commencing at date and ending December 31, 1927, for such lots as may be required by the Park Commissioners.

Cigars for Park.

Item No. 11—1200 Natividad, Panatella, 100s, price per 100, $6.243; Bauer Hess.

Item No. 12—600 Natividad, Ideal, 50s, price per 100, $9.124; Bauer Hess.

Item No. 13—1200 Optimo, St. Francisco, 50s, price per 100, $9.124; Bauer Hess.

Item No. 14—750 Optimo Kings, 50s, price per 100, $11.045; Bauer Hess.

Item No. 15—1000 Roi Tan, Panatella, 50s, price per 100, $6.37; Healy & Donaldson.

Item No. 16—600 Roi Tan, Broadway, 50s, price per 100, $9.31; Healy & Donaldson.

Item No. 17—1000 El Primo, Delmonico, 50s, price per 100, $7.35; Staple Cigar Co.

Item No. 18—1000 El Primo Cabinets, 50s, price per 100, $9.31; Staple Cigar Co.

Item No. 19—500 Chancellor, Liberty, 50s, price per 100, $7.35; Healy & Donaldson.

Item No. 20—500 Chancellor, Invincible, 50s, price per 100, $9.31; Healy & Donaldson.

Item No. 21—500 Robt. Burns, Panatella, 50s, price per 100, $7.15; General Cigar Co.

Item No. 22—600 Robt. Burns, Perfecto, 50s, price per 100, $9.03; General Cigar Co.

Item No. 23—1000 Van Dyke, 10c, 50s, price per 100, $7.13; General Cigar Co.

Item No. 24—1200 White Owls, 2 for 15 cents, 50s, price per 100, $5.085; General Cigar Co.

Delivery to be made to points designated in purchase orders as same may be issued from time to time during the six-months' period commencing at date and ending December 31, 1927, for such lots as may be required by the Park Commissioners.

Resolved, That bonds for the faithful performance of contract be required as follows, viz.:

Healy & Donaldson, $1,000; Glaser Bros., $100; American Tobacco Co., $200; Bauer Hess, Staple Cigar Co.

and General Cigar Co., no bond required.

Note: All above awards are made to the lowest bidder except when award is made in consideration of deliveries or on account of the quality as determined by such tests as required or recommended by the Purchaser of Supplies.

Resolved, That all other bids submitted thereon be rejected.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath. Colman, Powers—3.

Award of Contract, Athletic Goods.

Supervisor Badaracco presented: Resolution No. 27602 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of contract be hereby made for furnishing athletic goods on bids submitted June 27, 1927 (Proposal No. 309), as follows, viz.:

General Specifications.

Quantities: It is stipulated that the City has the option of increasing the quantities herein stated 20 per cent, provided that the City exercises said option within 15 days after the official award of contract.

Awards: Awards herein are all made on sample submitted by the bidders.

Part 1—For School Department.

Item No. 1—Basketballs, inseam (Wilson J4B), 6 dozen, $63 per dozen; Klawans & McMullin; basketballs, inseam (Spalding No. M2), 6 dozen, $63 per dozen; A. G. Spalding & Bros.

Item No. 2—Basketballs, outseam (1½X), 6 dozen, $54 per dozen; A. J. Reach-Wright & Ditson, Inc.; basketballs, outseam (Wilson No. 38), 6 dozen, $52.90 per dozen; Klawans & McMullin.

Item No. 3—Playground balls, outseam, 14-inch (Spalding No. 1450), 15 dozen, $15 per dozen; A. G. Spalding & Bros.

Item No. 4—Bats, indoor baseball (H & B No. 54), 24 dozen, $6.73 per dozen; Baker, Hamilton & Pacific Company.

Item No. 5—Bats, junior (Louisville Slugger 40, Babe Ruth, Jr.), 10 dozen, $5.84 per dozen; Baker, Hamilton & Pacific Co.

Item No. 6—Balls, playground, outseam, 12-inch (Spalding 12-80), 45 dozen, $14.40 per dozen; A. G. Spalding & Bros.

Item No. 7—Whistles, nickel-plated (Police Special No. 314), 10 dozen, $2.75 per dozen; Baer Notion & Toy Co.

Item No. 8—Soccer footballs (Sport Craft), 15 dozen, $51 per dozen; The Ellery Arms Co.; soccer footballs (Wilson No. 56), 8 dozen, $67.50 per dozen; Klawans & McMullin.

Item No. 9—Volley balls (Wilson No. V-7), 18 dozen, $52.95 per dozen; Klawans & McMullin.

Item No. 10—Nets, volley ball (No. 100), 3 dozen, $16.20 per dozen; A. J. Reach-Wright & Ditson, Inc.

Item No. 11—Boxing gloves (Reach 2 KL), 24 sets, $3 per set; A. J. Reach-Wright & Ditson, Inc.

Item No. 12—Bladders for basketballs, new stock (Spalding No. Om), 14, dozen, $9.60 per dozen; A. G. Spalding & Bros.

Item No. 13—Indoor bases (D. & M.; No. 2 Bases, No. 1 Home Plate), 10 sets, $2 per set; Curley-Bates Co.

Item No. 14—Inflators with nipple soldered on (Rawlings No. 5), 4 dozen, $11 per dozen; C. F. Weber & Co.

Item No. 15—Bladders for soccer footballs; new stock (Spalding No. A), 5 dozen, $6.60 per dozen; A. G. Spalding & Bros.

Item No. 16—Bladders for volley balls; new stock (Spalding No. A), 8 dozen, $6.60 per dozen; A. G. Spalding & Bros.

Part 2—For Playground Commissioners.

Item No. 21—Soccer balls, chrome (10 dozen at a time) (Sportcraft MR 30C), 25 dozen, $75 per dozen; Klawans & McMullin.

Item No. 22—Bladders for soccer balls; new stock (Spalding No. A), 8 dozen, $6.50 per dozen; A. G. Spalding & Bros.

Item No. 23—Reg. horseshoes and pegs—set of 4 shoes and 2 pegs, 3 dozen sets, $36 per dozen sets; A. G. Spalding & Bros.

Item No. 24—Ward rubber quoits and pegs—sets of 4 quoits and 2 pegs (Spalding B), 3 dozen sets, $28 per dozen sets; A. G. Spalding & Bros.

Item No. 25—Handballs (S463), 6 dozen, $3.60 per dozen; The Ellery Arms Co.

Item No. 26—Inflators (No. 1), 3 dozen, $10 per dozen; A. G. Spalding & Bros.

Item No. 27—Checkers and boards (no award).

Item No. 28—Whistles, nickel-plated (Police Special No. 314), 6 dozen, $2.75 per dozen; Baer Notion & Toy Co.

Item No. 29—Tennis nets, tarred,

30-thread, size double court, 42-6 by 3-3, 3 dozen, $102 per dozen; Klawans & McMullin.

Item No. 30—Tennis balls (20 dozen at a time) (Pennsylvania), 60 dozen, $3.85 per dozen; Phil B. Bekeart Co.

Item No. 31—Tennis racquets (no award).

Item No. 32—Bladders for volley balls; new stock (No. A), 5 dozen, $6.60 per dozen; A. G. Spalding & Bros.

Item No. 33—Bladders for basketballs; new stock (No. Om), 5 dozen, $9.60 per dozen; A. G. Spalding & Bros.

Item No. 34—American footballs (Wilson No. OR), 15 dozen, $48 per dozen; Klawans & McMullin; American footballs (D&W No. 58), 10 dozen, $43.20 per dozen; Curley-Bates Co.

Item No. 35—Bladders for footballs; new stock (No. F), 5 dozen, $6.60 per dozen; A. G. Spalding & Bros.

Item No. 36—Baseballs, official Pacific Coast League (20 dozen at a time) (W 150), 60 dozen, $13 per dozen; Klawans & McMullin.

Item No. 37—Playground balls, outseam, 9-inch (20 dozen at a time), (P9S), 40 dozen, $4.95 per dozen; Baker, Hamilton & Pacific Company.

Item No. 38—Playground balls, outseam, 12-inch (20 dozen at a time), (120 PB), 120 dozen, $10.40 per dozen; A. G. Spalding & Bros.

Item No. 39 — Bats, baseball (Louisville Slugger No. 125), 10 dozen, $13.25 per dozen; Baker, Hamilton & Pacific Co.

Item No. 40—Lacers with wooden handles (D&M No. 2), 6 dozen, $0.65 per dozen; Curley-Bates Co.

Item No. 41—Bases, white canvas, unfilled, for indoor baseball (D&M No. 2), 2 dozen sets, $21 per dozen sets; Curley-Bates Co.

Item No. 42—Bases, white canvas, stuffed, for outdoor reg. baseball (D&M No. 3), 3 dozen sets, $45 per dozen sets; Curley-Bates Co.

Item No. 43—Laces, rawhide (no award).

Item No. 44 — Mitts, catchers (D&M No. 732), 2 dozen, $40.20 per dozen; Curley-Bates Co.

Item No. 45—Chest protectors (MLC), 1 dozen, $30 per dozen; A. J. Reach-Wright & Ditson, Inc.

Item No. 46—Mitts, first baseman's (Rawlings No. FB 135), 2 dozen, $31.50 per dozen; C. F. Weber & Co.

Item No. 47—Score books, baseball (No. 2 SB), 2 dozen, $3 per dozen; A. J. Reach-Wright & Ditson, Inc.

Item No. 48—Score books, basketball (No. 2), 5 dozen, $33 per dozen; A. J. Reach-Wright & Ditson, Inc.

Item No. 49—Out, no award.

Item No. 50—Home plates (D&M No. 2), 24, $6.50 each; Curley-Bates Company.

Item No. 51—Pitcher's plates (D&M No. 2); 24, $4.50 each; Curley-Bates Co.

Item No. 52—Basketball rings (No. 50), 72, $2.10 each; A. G. Spalding & Bros.

Resolved, That bonds for the faithful performance of said contracts be not required, as above is for definite quantities for immediate delivery.

Note: All above awards are made to the lowest bidder except when award is made in consideration of deliveries or on account of the quality as determined by such tests as required or recommended by the Purchaser of Supplies.

Resolved, That all other bids submitted thereon be rejected.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —15.

Absent—Supervisors Poth, Colman, Powers—3.

Purchase of Land, Hetch Hetchy Water Supply.

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Resolution No. 27603 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the certain deed from Edward F. Bryant, Tax Collector of the City and County of San Francisco, to said City and County of San Francisco, for certain land situated in said City and County, and required for the San Miguel Reservoir of the Hetch Hetchy Water Supply Project, said land being described as follows, to-wit:

The lot of land commencing at a point on the easterly line of Burnham street, distant thereon 51 feet southerly from the southerly line of Day street, thence running southerly along said easterly line of Burnham street 25.50 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 92.50 feet; thence at a right angle northerly 25.50 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 92.50 feet to the easterly line of Burnham street and point of commencement.

The above property is also described as Lot 31, in Block 6644, as per map adopted by the Board of Supervisors November 29, 1913, Resolution No. 2765.

be and the same is hereby accepted by the City and County of San Francisco.

The City Attorney is authorized and directed to file said deed for record with a copy of this resolution attached thereto as evidence of acceptance by the City and County of San Francisco.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Powers—3.

Aquatic Park.

The following report from the Park Commission was presented by Supervisor Gallagher and ordered filed, the Park Commission being requested to send copies of the report to members of the Board desiring it:

Office of the Park Commissioners.
July 29, 1927.

To Hon. Andrew J. Gallagher, Supervisor, San Francisco.

In response to your request for a complete report on the status of the Aquatic Park I herewith submit the following:

Pursuant to a resolution adopted by the Honorable Board of Supervisors on January 18, 1922, the Park Commissioners were requested to develop certain recreational activities, among them an Aquatic Park, to be located in the natural cove at the foot of Van Ness avenue and to extend from the easterly boundary of Fort Mason Military Reservation to the foot of Hyde street.

Although this resolution contemplated special appropriations by the Board of Supervisors for the creation of this recreational activity, no funds were provided for the prosecution of the work at the Aquatic Park other than for the purchase of privately-owned properties located within the proposed site.

The City of San Francisco has now purchased all of this privately-owned property and the remaining portion in the Aquatic Park site was ceded to the City and County of San Francisco by a resolution of the Legislature of the State of California May 2, 1923.

Since December 16, 1922, the Park Commissioners and an advisory committee composed of citizens of the City and County, of which Mr. J. E. Scully is chairman, have had almost monthly sessions for the purpose of expediting the completion of this project.

On December 16, 1922, the Park Commissioners appointed Messrs. Bakewell, Brown and Bauer architects for this project and instructed them to prepare a prospectus and plan for the entire scheme. The sketches submitted by the architects were finally approved by the advisory committee of citizens, adopted by the Board of Park Commissioners, and submitted to the Honorable Board of Supervisors for their approval.

The general scheme as submitted having been approved by all the parties concerned in San Francisco, the Board of Park Commissioners, through the architects, then authorized Mr. Frank White, as engineer, to prepare detailed engineering plans and specifications for the construction of the new government wharf, the breakwater, and the recreation pier that would transform the cove into a sheltered harbor.

As nearly as it can be determined at this time the entire cost of the construction of the Aquatic Park will be $1,500,000, expended over a period of five years. This expenditure will complete the following items:

1. Replacement of the government wharf.

2. Construction of the recreation pier.

3. Paving of the extension of Van Ness avenue to the northeastern boundary of Fort Mason.

4. Construction of various buildings, bathhouses, boat-landings, beaches, driveways, approaches, and planting and landscaping the entire park area.

It is of primary importance that the construction work in the first two items be carried out immediately.

As this property has never been dedicated as a public park, no part of the Park Fund may be legally expended thereon for any purpose. However, the Park Commissioners, acting as the agents of the Board of Supervisors, were given control of this project and authorized by the Honorable Board of Supervisors to complete the Aquatic Park under an implied promise that the moneys required therefor would be appropriated from time to time by the Board.

Although the Park Commissioners have requested appropriations for the completion of this park in every annual budget estimate submitted to the Honorable Board of Supervisors since 1922, the only moneys appropriated to date have been as follows:

(a) $5,695.38 (appropriated prior to 1926).
(b) $10,000 (1926-1927 budget).
(c) $10,000 (1927-1928 budget).

With the above appropriations the following work has been or will be completed prior to May 1, 1928:

(a) Completion of survey, soundings and detailed engineering plans for the breakwater and recreation pier and new government wharf ($5,695.38).

(b) Removal of clubhouses from foot of Van Ness avenue and their relocation at the foot of Polk street. (Budget 1926-1927 plus approximately $8,000 from General Fund.)

(c) Fill and rip-rap approximately 120,000 yards for the continuation of Van Ness avenue to northeasterly boundary of Fort Mason (to be completed May 1, 1928, Budget 1927-1928).

It is hoped that in the 1928-1929 Budget sufficient money will be appropriated by the Board of Supervisors to take care of items Nos. 1 and 2, approximately $300,000.

It should be borne in mind that it is a matter of general history in San Francisco that the moneys withdrawn from the South Beach Land Fund would be returned by the Honorable Board of Supervisors and used to assist in the construction of the Aquatic Park. If this is done there will be sufficient moneys in the South Beach Land Fund to complete items Nos. 1 and 2.

B. P. LAMB,
Secretary.

Stephen P. Mather, Director National Park Service.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk and ordered *filed*:

United States Department of the Interior.
National Park Service.
Yosemite National Park.
July 28, 1927.

Mr. J. S. Dunnigan, Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Cal.

Dear Sir:

This will acknowledge receipt of your telegram of July 27 and advise you that Director Mather has been informed that the Supervisors will be glad to have him address them at 11 a. m. on Monday, August 8.

You may rest assured that he will be there on that date and at that hour.

Very truly yours,
W. B. LEWIS,
Superintendent.

RECESS.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon the Board recessed until 2 p. m.

REASSEMBLED—2 P. M.

The Board of Supervisors reassembled at 2 p. m.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Powers—3.

Quorum present:

Appointment of Committee—Report on Conditions Relating to Mather Municipal Camp.

Supervisor Todd presented the following resolution:

Resolution No. 27604 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the Grand Jury, in a recent trip to the Yosemite, stopped at Mather Municipal Camp and found cause for a great deal of adverse criticism as to the manner in which it is being conducted; and

Whereas, much unfavorable publicity has been given to this municipal enterprise; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Committee on Education, Parks and Playgrounds be directed to investigate and report upon such conditions with the object of clearing up the criticism or correcting the evils complained of.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Deasy, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—12.

Noes—Supervisors Gallagher, Harrelson, Todd—3.

Absent — Supervisors Bath, Colman, Powers—3.

Street Lights.

Supervisor Stanton presented:

Resolution No. 27605 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Pacific Gas and Electric Company be and it is hereby authorized and requested to remove and install street lights as follows:

Remove Gas.

East and west sides Rondel place off Sixteenth street.

North and south sides Clay street between Van Ness avenue and Franklin street.

East side Essex street between Folsom and Lansing streets.

Northeast and southwest corners Twenty-sixth and Bartlett streets.

East side Bartlett street between Twenty-sixth and Army streets.

West side Bartlett street between

Twenty-sixth and Army streets.

Northwest corner Army and Bartlett streets.

Install 400 *M. R.*

Rondel place off Sixteenth street.

Lobos street opposite No. 57.

Clay street between Van Ness avenue and Franklin street.

Essex street between Folsom and Lansing streets.

Bartlett street and Twenty-sixth street.

Bartlett street front of No. 540.

Army street, south side, opposite Bartlett street.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —15.

Absent — Supervisors Bath, Colman, Powers—3.

Bay Bridge.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 27606 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the Navy Department of the United States has requested the War Department not to modify their ruling against allowing a bay bridge north of Hunters Point between San Francisco and the Alameda shores; and

Whereas, sixty years ago franchises were given to conduct ferry systems between San Francisco, Oakland and Alameda. The time consumed in crossing the bay sixty years ago was the same as it is today. Transportation of every kind has developed and progressed but our ferry systems remain the same. Sixty years ago we had three boats crossing the bay, making fifty trips daily; today we have forty ferries crossing the same routes, making 640 trips per day. Sixty years ago the combined population of Oakland, Alameda, Berkeley and San Francisco was about 300,000; today these same cities have 1,300,000, with a commuter travel of 62,000 daily. San Francisco Bay contains 420 square miles and there are 190 square miles of deep water, with a depth of not less than 30 feet below low tide. The City and County of San Francisco contains 43 square miles and the Bay of San Francisco has an area of ten times that. These facts were presented to the Navy Department at the hearing held on June 22, 1927, which apparently made no impression, for on July 15, 1927, Secretary Wilbur of the Navy Department addressed a letter over his signature, stating that the department was of the same mind as on October 7, 1921.

Whereas, a number of public hearings have been held in these chambers, in which an expression of opinion has been rendered by United States Senators, Congressmen, officials of the cities interested, publishers of our daily papers and a number of our leading citizens, and the opinion is unanimous that public necessity demands a bridge from San Francisco to Alameda Mole.

Resolved, That this Board request our City Attorney to confer with our two United States Senators and our Congressional Representatives for the purpose of having a bill drawn up and presented to Congress at its next session which will have the effect of granting the right to construct a transbay bridge from Rincon Hill to a point near the Alameda Mole, as recommended by the board of expert engineers retained by the City and County of San Francisco.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Todd—14.

No—Supervisor Stanton—1.

Absent — Supervisors Bath, Colman, Powers—3.

Bay Bridge.

Supervisor Havenner presented:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the City and County of San Francisco is committed by its Charter to the policy of public ownership of public utilities.

Whereas, the great States of the East, including New York, New Jersey and Pennsylvania, have abandoned the private construction of highway bridges over important water ways as economically unsound and not in the public interest, and have adopted a fixed policy of public construction and ownership for all such important bridges.

Whereas, recently published statistical reports show that 90 per cent of the highway bridges in the United States today are publicly owned.

Whereas, money for the construction of a bridge can be borrowed more cheaply on public credit than on private credit, which enables the public to enjoy lower tolls on a publicly owned bridge than could be established on a private bridge.

Whereas, the Congress of the United States has given its endorsement and support to the principle of public construction and ownership of highway bridges by enacting legislation providing for Federal

financial aid to publicly owned toll bridges which are destined to become part of the free public highway system of the community upon the amortization of their indebtedness.

Whereas, there is every reason to believe that such Federal financial aid may be obtained for the public construction and ownership of a bridge across San Francisco Bay; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the public interest will best be served if the proposed transbay bridge between the City and County of San Francisco and the County of Alameda is financed, constructed, held and operated under public ownership, and the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby declare its intention to use every effort to the end that the said bridge shall be publicly financed, constructed, held and operated.

On motion of Supervisor Byington, the above resolution was *laid over for one week.*

BRIDGE HEARINGS.

Motions.

Supervisor Gallagher: I move you that while it is the policy of this Board that we favor a publicly-owned bay bridge, it is however, the sense of this Board that we favor immediate construction of said bridge by private capital, until the people may elect in the manner provided by law to take over said bridge and place it under public ownership and control.

* * *

Supervisor Marks: I move you that, as an amendment to the motion of Supervisor Gallagher, the City Attorney be requested to submit to this Board, at the earliest possible opportunity, a form of submission of the issue of public ownership and operation of the proposed bridge, or private ownership or operation of the proposed bridge, for action by the people at the earliest possible opportunity.

* * *

Supervisor Marks: I desire to present the following motion and calling attention to the fact that it does not call for anything other than asking the City Attorney to submit a proper form of proposal to us. I make the motion which heretofore has been outlined three or four times.

(Referring to motion set out in full above.)

The Chairman: Any objection? So ordered.

SPECIAL ORDERS—2 P. M.

Bridge Hearings.

Thereupon, the following matters were taken up:

Applications for Franchises to Construct Brdges Across San Francisco Bay.

Application of Bay Cities Bridge Corporation for a franchise for a toll bridge between San Francisco and Alameda.

Proposed rail and highway crossing for San Francisco Bay from the foot of Sixteenth street, San Francisco, to the foot of Pacific avenue, Alameda.

Beginning at the intersection of the center line of Sixteenth street with the center line of Third (Kentucky) street, in the City and County of San Francisco, and extending thence easterly along the center line of Sixteenth street to the waterfront line; thence easterly along a straight line to a point on the center line of Pacific avenue, in the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, which point is one hundred feet westerly from the intersection of said center line of Pacific avenue with the center line of Bryant street.

That the length of said bridge is 27,750 feet and that the width of the roadway on said bridge is 38 feet.

Filed April 6, 1925.

DUDLEY D. SALES,
Attorney.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, August* 8, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Powers—3.

Application of Elbert W. Davis for a franchise for a toll bridge between San Francisco and Alameda.

Across San Francisco Bay, beginning at a point in the City and County of San Francisco on the bulkhead line of San Francisco Harbor between Piers 26 and 28, and running approximately north 67 degrees 45 minutes east 17.962 feet, more or less, to a point in the City of Oakland, County of Alameda, State of California, on the easterly shore of San Francisco Bay.

That the length of said bridge is to be 17,962 feet and that the

breadth thereof is to be 65 feet, more or less.

Filed June 29, 1925.

JAMES M. OLIVER,
Attorney.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, August* 8, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Powers—3.

Application of John Bermingham, Jr., 250 Cervantes boulevard, for a franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge across San Francisco Bay between the City and County of San Francisco and the County of Alameda.

Beginning at the intersection of the center line of Seventh and Mission streets, in the City and County of San Francisco, along Seventh street to Seventh and Sixteenth; thence in a southeasterly direction to Nineteenth and Third; thence in a southerly direction along Third street to the intersection of Third street and Jerrold avenue and waterfront; thence proceeding in a northerly direction, crossing San Francisco Bay to a point from which a branch will extend 4500 feet easterly to the intersection of Pacific avenue and Bryant street, in the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California; thence from said aforementioned intersecting point proceeding in a northerly direction, crossing the Oakland Estuary, to the intersection of Peralta street and Seventh street, in the City of Oakland, County of Alameda, State of California.

That the total length of said bridge, approaches thereto and branch thereof, aforementioned, is 65,450 feet, that the width of said bridge is ninety (90) feet.

Filed September 28, 1925.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, August* 8, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Powers—3.

Application of A. M. Bergstrom for a franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge across San Francisco Bay between the City and County of San Francisco and the County of Alameda.

That the said toll bridge is to be located across the Bay of San Francisco, along the following described line, to-wit:

Beginning at a point in San Francisco at about the foot of Davidson avenue, on Hunter's Point, and running northerly sixty-two (62) degrees east four and four-tenths (4.4) miles; thence on a one (1) degree curve to the north one and six-tenths (1.6) miles to true north to a point on the easterly shore of the Bay of San Francisco at about the foot of Webster street, in the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California.

That the length of said bridge is to be six (6) miles and the breadth is to be sixty (60) feet, more or less.

Filed September 28, 1925.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, August* 8, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Powers—3.

Application of New York and San Francisco Development Company for franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge between San Francisco and Alameda.

Bridge to be constructed across San Francisco Bay from a point therein at or near the junction of Evans avenue and Boalt street, Hunter's Point, in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to or near the junction of South High street and Washington street, in the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California; that the length of said bridge is approximately six and one-half miles from shore line to shore line, and that its breadth or width is approximately from 60 to 90 feet.

Filed January 11, 1926.

ROTHCHILD & GOLDEN,
Attorneys.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, August* 8, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Powers—3.

Application of Roy C. Hackley for a franchise to construct a toll bridge between San Francisco, Pier 26, and Alameda County.

Beginning at a point in the City and County of San Francisco on the bulkhead line of San Francisco Harbor, south of and adjacent to Pier 26, and running approximately north 61 degrees east and fifty-three hundred feet, more or less; thence running approximately north 89 degrees east five thousand feet, more or less; thence running approximately north 59 degrees 30 minutes east eight thousand feet, more or less, to a point in the City of Oakland, County of Alameda, State of California, on the easterly shore of said San Francisco Bay.

That the length of said bridge is to be eighteen thousand three hundred feet (18,300) and that the breadth thereof is to be 78 feet, more or less.

Roy A. Bronson and E. D. Bronson, Jr., attorneys, 68 Post street.

Filed February 6, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, August* 8, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Powers—3.

Two applications of the Construction Company of North America for a franchise to construct and open a toll bridge between San Francisco and Alameda County.

Commencing at a point in said City and County of San Francisco near the corner of First and Bryant streets, on what is known as Rincon Hill, and running thence on less than a two-degree curve a distance of approximately 1700 lineal feet to the line of bulkhead wharf connecting Piers 30 and 32 on the waterfront of the said City and County of San Francisco; thence on a line approximately north 65 degrees east 21,000 lineal feet, more or less, to the Oakland Mole of the Southern Pacific Company in Alameda County.

That the length of said bridge is to be 4½ miles and that the width thereof is to be approximately 78 feet.

ROBERT J. McGAHIE,
Attorney, Mills Building.

First application filed February 15, 1926.

Second application filed April 5, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, August* 8, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Powers—3.

Application of Allan C. Rush for a franchise to construct a toll bridge between San Francisco and Alameda County.

Construction of a suspension bridge from the City and County of San Francisco over and across Goat Island to the east shore of the Bay of San Francisco, comprising three main double traffic track entrance therein, and being of a height of two hundred feet above high tide, between all bridge piers in the waters of San Francisco Channel, in which channel the bridge will be suspended over "but three piers," twenty-four hundred feet apart, thus in nowise impeding the traffic of the waters of the Bay.

Filed June 19, 1926.

ALLAN C. RUSH,
1632 McCullom street, Los Angeles, California.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, August* 8, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Powers—3.

Application of Robert Lee Dunn, 778 Monadnock Building, for a franchise to construct a toll bridge along the following described line, to-wit:

Beginning at the intersection of the center line of Second street with the center line of Berry street, in the City and County of San Francisco and extending thence easterly to the waterfront line; thence easterly along a straight line to the

intersection of the center lines of Pacific avenue and Bryant street, City of Alameda, California.

Length of said bridge is twenty-six thousand feet; that the width of the roadway on said bridge is eighty feet.

Filed June 21, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, August* 8, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Powers—3.

Application of Edward A. Bertram for a franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge to be located, to-wit:

Beginning at Twelfth and Howard streets, San Francisco, by 1½ per cent viaduct to Beale and Howard streets; thence to Southern Pacific Mole, Oakland; thence by 1½ per cent viaduct to West Fourteenth street level, and Sixteeenth Street Railroad Station.

The length of said bridge proper is 21,000 feet and its width of roadway is 63 feet.

Filed June 21, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, August* 8, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington. Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Powers—3.

Application of R. S. Chew for franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge or bridges.

The said proposed bridge or bridges are to be located and are described as follows:

The westerly end of a bridge and the approaches thereto, which will connect with public streets, are to be located in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California (which location is in the county situated on the left bank descending of the Bay of San Francisco, across which said bridge is to be constructed), and at a point therein at or near the summit of an eminence known as Telegraph Hill; from this point the bridge will project easterly a distance of nine thousand feet (more or less) to the westerly side of Yerba Buena (or Goat) Island; thence easterly across said island a roadway or viaduct will be constructed for a distance of four thousand feet (more or less) to its easterly side; from thence there will be projected in an easterly direction a distance of twenty-two thousand feet (more or less), a bridge structure, viaduct, causeway and/or fill to suitable connections with lines or railway and public highways in Alameda County, State of California.

Filed June 28, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, August* 8, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Powers—3.

Application of Inter-Cities Bridge Company for a franchise to construct, maintain and operate a toll bridge across the Bay of San Francisco and over the following route:

Beginning at the intersection of Broadway and Battery street, in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and extending thence easterly to the waterfront; thence on a line approximately north 60 degrees east to the westerly point of Yerba Buena or Goat Island; thence by contour meander to the easterly point of Yerba Buena or Goat Island; thence on a line approximately north 75 degrees to the foot of Yerba Buena street. in the City of Oakland, County of Alameda, State of California.

That the length of said bridge, inclusive of approximately 4100 feet on ground support over Yerba Buena or Goat Island, and 5670 feet to be supported by an earth fill mole in the approach to the said Oakland terminal, is to be approximately 27,000 feet. That the width of said bridge is to be approximately 120 feet, providing two 20-foot vehicular lanes and accommodations for four lines of electric transportation tracks.

Filed July 12, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, August* 8, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington. Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent,

Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Powers—3.

Application of G. Lindenthal for franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge.

Said bridge is to be located along the following described line:

Commencing at a point in the center line of Jerrold avenue, in the City and County of San Francisco, about midway between Ship street and Dock street, in said City and County of San Francisco; thence on a curve easterly and northerly a distance of 30,000 feet, more or less, to the southerly foot of Webster street, in the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California.

The width of said bridge at the easterly end thereof for approximately 16,000 feet will be 75 feet, and the width of the remaining portion thereof will be approximately 40 feet. The length of said bridge will be approximately 30,000 feet.

Filed August 2, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, August* 8, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Powers—3.

Application of W. F. Holcomb for franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge across San Francisco Bay between the City and County of San Francisco and Alameda County.

Beginning at or near and within one hundred feet northerly or southerly of the intersection of the center line of Second street with the center line of Berry street, in the City and County of San Francisco and extending thence easterly to the waterfront line; thence easterly along a straight line to, at or near and within one hundred feet northerly or southerly of the intersection of the center lines of Pacific avenue and Bryant street, City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California.

That the length of said bridge will be twenty-six thousand feet; that the width of the roadway on said bridge will be eighty-nine feet.

Filed August 9, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, August* 8, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Powers—3.

Application of E. D. Bronson, Jr., for a franchise for a toll bridge across San Francisco Bay.

Filed August 16, 1926.

Beginning at a point in the City and County of San Francisco on the bulkhead line of San Francisco Harbor, south of and adjacent to Pier 26, and running north approximately 68 degrees east seventeen thousand nine hundred fifty feet (17,950′), more or less, to a point in the City of Oakland, County of Alameda, State of California, on the easterly shore of said San Francisco Bay; and the said toll bridge to be approximately seventeen thousand nine hundred fifty (17,950′) feet in length and one hundred ten (110′) feet in breadth, more or less.

Roy A. Bronson, E. D. Bronson, Jr., attorneys at law, 68 Post street, room 403, San Francisco, Cal.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, August* 8, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Powers—3.

Application of O. A. Ellis for franchise for two tunnels under the Bay of San Francisco from San Francisco to Oakland.

One of the tunnels will be for interurban street car traffic, with double track, and the San Francisco terminal between Third and Fourth streets on Harrison street.

The other tunnel will be for auto and railroad traffic, with San Francisco terminal between Second and Third streets on Harrison street.

The Oakland terminal will be at Seventh and Cedar streets.

Filed June 25, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, August* 8, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco,

Byington, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Powers—3.

Application of H. S. Henion to construct a toll bridge along the following route, to-wit:

Beginning at the intersection of the center lines of Berry and Second streets, in the City and County of San Francisco California, thence southeasterly to the west end of Pier No. 46, on the San Francisco waterfront; thence easterly over said pier to its eastern end; thence easterly across the Bay of San Francisco to the point of intersection of the center line of Peralta street, in the City of Oakland, California, produced southerly to the center line of the vehicular roadway on the Alameda Mole, in the City of Alameda, California; thence northeasterly on the said produced center line of Peralta street to its intersection with the center line of Eighth street, in said City of Oakland, Alameda County, California.

That the length of said bridge is approximately thirty thousand feet; that the width of said bridge is approximately eighty feet.

The above bridge will include an overhead approach, to extend from Second and Berry streets, San Francisco, to Rincon Hill or immediate vicinity, where abundant terminal facilities will be provided.

Filed March 14, 1927.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, August* 8, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Powers—3.

Application of Frank E. Webb to construct a toll bridge along the following route, to-wit:

Said proposed toll bridge is to be located, builded and constructed across San Francisco Bay from a point exactly in longitude west 122 degrees 21 minutes 48.7 seconds and latitude north 37 degrees 43 minutes 33.75 seconds, in San Francisco County, State of California, Hunter's Point, in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to a point exactly in longitude west 122 degrees 15 minutes 2.6 seconds and latitude north 37 degrees 44 minutes 15.67 seconds in Alameda County, State of California; thence to the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California; that the length of said bridge is approximately 32,200 feet from shore line to shore line, and that its breadth or width is approximately from sixty (60) to ninety (90) feet, as shown by map attached and made a part hereof and marked "Exhibit B".

Bridge is to be of steel and concrete cantilever type, clearance from San Francisco side to be approximately one hundred and forty (140) feet. The main spans to be not less than seven hundred and fifty (750) feet nor more than seventeen hundred (1700) feet, and all spans and construction to be in accordance with the United States Government regulations and rulings.

Filed March 14, 1927.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, August* 8, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Powers—3.

Application of Construction Company of North America, supplementing original plans—modified route has its westerly terminal on Rincon Hill.

Filed May 9, 1927.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, August* 8, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Powers—3.

Amended application of Edward A. Bertram for alternative line of bridge.

Filed May 9, 1927.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, August* 8, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent,

Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Powers—3.

Application of J. E. Pamplin to construct a toll bridge along the following route, to-wit:

Beginning at or near and within two hundred feet northerly or southerly of the intersection of the center line of Second street with the center line of Berry street, in the City and County of San Francisco, and extending thence easterly to the waterfront line; thence about north seventy-three (73) degrees east (true) along a straight line to a point immediately southwest of and adjacent to the Southern Pacific Alameda Mole, and about six hundred (600) yards, more or less, southeasterly from the northwesterly end of said mole, and thence about south seventy-two (72) degrees east (true) to a point on filled land in the City of Alameda about on the prolongation northwesterly of that portion of Santa Clara avenue which lies between Paru and Park streets, in the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California.

That the length of said bridge will be about twenty-six thousand (26,000) feet, more or less; that the width of the roadway on said bridge wil be one hundred feet or such other width as the Board of Supervisors may direct and require under Section 2873 of the Political Code.

Filed May 9, 1927.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, August* 8, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington. Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton. Todd —15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Powers—3.

Application of Roy C. Hackley to construct a toll bridge along the following route, to-wit:

Beginning at or near and within two hundred (200) feet of the intersection of the center line of Fremont street with the center line of Brannan street, in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and running north approximately eighty (80) degrees east ninteen thousand four hundred (19,400) feet, more or less, to a point in the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California, located approximately six hundred (600) feet south of the south training wall of the Oakland Estuary.

That the length of said bridge is to be approximately nineteen thousand four hundred (19,400) feet, and the breadth thereof is to be sixty (60) feet, more or less.

Filed May 16, 1927.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, August* 8, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Powers—3.

Application of Construction Company of North America to erect, maintain and operate and take tolls on a toll bridge over and acress San Francisco Bay, commencing at a point in the City and County of San Francisco near the corner of First and Bryant streets on what is known as Rincon Hil, and running thence northeasterly 19,100 lineal feet, more or less, to the southerly line of Alameda Mole of the Southern Pacific Company; thence north by east to the intersection of Seventh and Cedar streets in the City of Oakland, County of Alameda.

The length of said bridge is to be 4½ miles, the width thereof approximately 78 feet.

Filed June 20, 1927, and ordered *on calendar July* 25, 1927.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, August* 8, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington. Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Powers—3.

Application of Roy C. Hackley for permission to construct a toll bridge across San Francisco Bay as follows:

Beginning at or near and within 200 feet of the intersection of the center line of Fremont street with the center line of Brannan street, in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and running north approximately 83 degrees east, 19,400 feet, more or less,

to a point in the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California, located approximately 600 feet south of the south training wall of the Oakland Estuary.

The length of said bridge is to be approximately 19,400 feet and the width thereof is to be 60 feet, more or less.

Filed with Board of Supervisors Monday, June 27, 1927.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, August* 8, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Powers—3.

Application of City Planning and Building Company for a franchise and for authority to erect, construct, maintain and operate a submarine tunnel and the right, permission and authority to take tolls thereon for a period of fifty (50) years at the following location, to-wit:

Entering the ground at a point in the ground bounded by Fifth, Sixth, Bryant and Brannan streets, thence running underground easterly to a point on the northerly or northwest line of China Basin between Second and Third streets entering under the bay at a depth of 45 feet below low tide, sinking gradually to a depth of 50 feet below low tide, proceeding easterly to within 700 feet south of Seventh street at the shore line in Oakland, Alameda County, California.

The length of said submarine tunnel will be 21,120 feet under the water; said submarine tunnel will, in its inside dimensions, be 50 feet high and 68 feet in extreme width; that the same will have three floors, the upper floor for passenger automobiles, the main or central floor for railroad passenger cars, the lowest floor for automotive and heavy traffic trucks. Said tube will be divided perpendicularly into two halves, a right and a left half.

Filed Monday. July 25, 1927.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday. August* 8, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington. Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton. Todd —15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Powers—3.

Application of City Planning and Building Company for a franchise and for authority to erect, construct, maintain and operate a submarine tunnel and the right, permission and authority to take tolls thereon for a period of fifty (50) years at the following location, to-wit:

Entering the ground at a point in the block bounded by Sixth, Seventh, Hubbel and Irving streets, running thence underground in an easterly direction to a point where an extension of Fifteenth street strikes the Bay very close to El Dorado street; thence entering the Bay 45 feet below the level of the lowest tide and sinking gradually to a depth of 50 feet below the level of the lowest tide, and proceeding in an easterly direction and emerging at a point within 700 feet of a point where the center line of Lincoln avenue intersects the waters of the Bay of San Francisco in Alameda, Alameda County, State of California.

The length of said submarine tunnel to be 21 120 feet under water; said tunnel will be in its inside dimensions 50 feet high and 68 feet in extreme width; the same will have three floors, the upper floor for passenger automobiles and the main or central floor for railroad passenger cars, the lowest floor for automotive and heavy traffic trucks. Tube will be divided perpendicularly into two halves, a right and a left half.

Filed Monday, July 25, 1927.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, August* 8, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington. Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Powers—3.

Application of City Planning and Building Company for a franchise and for authority to erect, construct, maintain and operate a submarine tunnel and the right, permission and authority to take tolls thereon for a period of fifty (50) years at the following locations, to-wit:

Entering the Bay at a point where the extension of the Marina boulevard extended easterly meets the Bay at Buchanan street close to Ton-

quin street, at a depth of at least 45 feet below the level of low tide and sinking gradually to a depth of at least 50 feet below the level of low tide, running thence in a general northerly direction to a point one mile south of the Town of Sausalito, Marin County, State of California; said submarine tunnel or tube will have a general curve in an easterly direction; that the length of said submarine tunnel will be 3,200 feet under water. Its inside dimensions to be 50 feet high and 68 feet in extreme width; the same will have three floors, the upper floor for passenger automobiles, the main or central floor for railroad passenger cars, the lower floor for automotive and heavy traffic trucks. The tunnel or tube will be divided perpendicularly into two halves, a right and a left half.

Filed Monday, July 25, 1927.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, August* 8, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Powers—3.

ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND MOTIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

Reconstruction of Roads, Presidio Reservation.

Supervisor Marks presented:

Resolution No. 27607 (New Series), as follows:

Be it Resolved, That the Streets and Finance Committee give immediate consideration to the matter of making direct financial appropriation or arrangement for loan of equipment for cooperation with the United States Government in the repair and reconstruction of the roads in the Presidio Reservation.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Powers—3.

Drinking Fountain, Children's Playground.

Supervisor Hayden presented:

Resolved, That the Park Commission be respectfully requested to find a location in the Children's Playground in Golden Gate Park for a drinking fountain in memory of the late Fairfax Wheelan, founder of the Homeless Children Agency of the Native Sons and Daughters of the Golden West.

On motion of Supervisor Hayden, *referred to Committee on Education, Parks and Playgrounds.*

Dust Separators, Pacific Bag Co.

Supervisor Gallagher presented the following communication and upon his motion *referred to Fire Committee*:

San Francisco, Calif.,
August 1, 1927.

To the Honorable, the Board of Supervisors and Building Committee, City and County of San Francisco, San Francisco, Calif.

Gentlemen:

Owing (to) a recent misfortune wherein our plant was destroyed we were forced to rent quarters which necessitates the erection of dust separators outside of the building.

In order to do this we rented ground from month to month to erect these dust separators. We find now that it would be too expensive to erect a steel support for these dust separators as we are liable at any time to be forced to vacate the above mentioned lot. We are, therefore, petitioning the Honorable Board for permission to erect a wooden support to hold the above mentioned dust separators, as per our plans submitted this morning.

The support for the dust separators would merely be a frame, no other building surrounding or enclosing this frame.

Trusting that you will grant this request, we remain,

Yours very truly,

PACIFIC BAG COMPANY,
(Signed) per S. B. Speyer.

Granting Permission to Use War Memorial Site for Demonstration Purposes.

Supervisor Shannon moved that A. J. Norris Hill be granted permission to use War Memorial site, Van Ness avenue and Fulton street, for a demonstation of noncombustible materials.

Motion *carried.*

ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business, the Board at the hour of 4:55 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors November 21, 1927.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated, and approved as above recited.

JOHN S. DUNNIGAN,
City and County of San Francisco.
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors.

PUBLIC LIBRARY

Vol. 22—New Series No. 32

Monday, August 8, 1927

Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
337 Bush Street, S. F.

JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, AUGUST 8, 1927, 10 A. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, August 8, 1927, 10 a. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd —13.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Powers, Shannon—5.

Supervisor Bath excused on account of illness.

Quorum present.

In the absence of his Honor the Mayor, Supervisor McSheehy was called to the chair.

APPROVAL OF JOURNALS.

The Journals of Proceedings of the meetings of April 4 and 11, 1927, were considered read and approved.

ROLL CALL FOR PETITIONS FROM MEMBERS.

Roads and Trails, Hetch Hetchy Valley.

Colonel Mather, Director of National Parks; Superintendent Lewis of Yosemite National Park, and Mr. Eckert of the City Engineer's office, addressed the Board relative to the City and County's obligations, under the Raker Act, to construct roads and trails in the Hetch Hetchy Valley.

Whereupon, Supervisor Gallagher made the following motion:

Supervisor Gallagher: I move you that the subject matter brought to our attention by the letter of the Secretary of the Interior, by Colonel Mather, Director of National Parks, by Superintendent Lewis of Yosemite National Park, and by Mr. Eckert of the City Engineer's office, be referred to the Public Utilities Committee with the request that conferences be arranged between the parties concerned on the subject matter to the end that we may, within a degree of reasonableness and within our means, outline a plan to be recommended to the Board for the keeping of the obligations in the Raker Act.

Motion *carried*.

UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

Final Passage.

The following matters, heretofore passed for printing, were taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Authorizations.

Resolution No. 27608 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

Playground Fund.

(1) Spring Valley Water Company, water furnished playgrounds, month of June, 1927 (claim dated July 20, 1927), $1,486.66.

(2) Granfield, Farrar & Carlin, filling and spreading for Playground Commission (claim dated July 20, 1927), $800.

Park Fund.

(3) G. F. Bishop Co., machinery parts (claim dated July 29, 1927), $624.80.

(4) Gantner & Mattern, bathing suits (claim dated July 20, 1927), $860.75.

(5) A. G. Spalding & Bros., golf balls (claim dated July 29, 1927), $588.

(6) Standard Fence Company, furnishing and installing fence (claim dated July 29, 1927), $1,099.

County Road Fund.

(7) Schultz Construction Co., first progress payment, improvement of Grandview avenue (claim dated July 27, 1927), $18 750.

(8) Street repair, to reimburse for materials, etc., for work performed on Third street, Berry to Eighteenth streets (claim dated July 23, 1927), $20,535.50.

(9) Street repair, to reimburse for

materials, etc., for work performed on Mason street, Sacramento to Clay streets (claim dated July 23, 1927), $778.17.

(10) Street repair, to reimburse for materials, etc., for work performed on Mission viaduct (claim dated July 23, 1927), $4,031.79.

(11) Street repair, to reimburse for materials, etc., for work performed on Harrison street, Sixth to Eleventh streets (claim dated July 23, 1927), $7,022.30.

(12) Street repair, to reimburse for materials, etc., for work performed on Steiner street, Jackson to Clay streets (claim dated July 23, 1927), $670.86.

(13) Street repair, to reimburse for materials, etc., for work performed on Octavia street, Pacific avenue to Broadway (claim dated July 23, 1927), $1,574.49.

(14) Street repair, to reimburse for materials, etc., for work performed on Shotwell street, Eighteenth to Nineteenth streets (claim dated July 23, 1927), $1,740.60.

(15) Street repair, to reimburse for materials, etc., for work performed on Nineteenth street, Shotwell to Folsom streets (claim dated July 23, 1927), $1,117.43.

(16) Street repair, to reimburse for materials, etc., for work performed on Clay street, Mason to Taylor streets (claim dated July 23, 1927), $698.12.

(17) Street repair, to reimburse for materials, etc., for work performed on Steiner street, Green to Union streets (claim dated July 23, 1927), $724.56.

(18) Street repair, to reimburse for materials, etc., for work performed on Sacramento street, Davis to Battery streets (claim dated July 23, 1927), $2,330.89.

General Fund, 1927-1928.

(19) Symon Bros., team hire, first half of July, 1927 (claim dated July 22, 1927), $713.

(20) Eclipse Lime & Cement Co., cement, Street Repair Department (claim dated July 26, 1927), $1,796.73.

(21) Daniel J. O'Brien, contingent expenses, month of August, 1927 (claim dated August 1, 1927), $750.

(22) Edward F. Bryant, payment for lands purchased at public auction in office of Tax Collector in satisfaction of delinquent taxes and penalties, being property required for McLaren Park (claim dated August 1, 1927), $760.11.

(23) San Francisco Bulletin, official advertising, week ending July 23, 1927 (claim dated August 1, 1927), $595.38.

(24) Recorder Pringting and Publishing Co., printing budget, 1927-1928, etc. (claim dated August 1, 1927), $640.50.

Special School Tax.

(25) W. P. Fuller & Co., white lead for school repairs (claim dated July 26, 1927), $739.50.

(26) Geo. H. Tay Company, pipe for school repairs (claim dated July 26, 1927), $575.13.

(27) P. J. Enright, final payment, mechanical equipment for additional units to Galileo High School (claim dated July 27, 1927), $11,875.83.

(28) Scott Co., final payment on mechanical equipment, addition to Commodore Sloat School (claim dated July 27, 1927), $1 760.80.

(29) Standard Electric Construction Co., final payment on electrical work, additional units to Galileo High School (claim dated July 27, 1927), $4,257.80.

School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923.

(30) John Reid, Jr., fourteenth payment, architectural services, additional units to Galileo High School (claim dated July 26, 1927), $2,724.96.

(31) The Scott Company, final payment, mechanical equipment, Edison School (claim dated July 27, 1927), $4,398.13.

(32) MacDonald & Kahn, general construction, New Mission High School (claim dated July 27, 1927), $1,000.

General Fund, 1926-1927.

(33) Standard Oil Company, asphalt, street repair (claim dated June 30, 1927), $858.71.

(34) Crown Oil Company, gasoline, street cleaning (claim dated June 30, 1927), $515.55.

(35) California Petroleum Corporation, fuel oil (claim dated June 30, 1927), $1,198.60.

(36) General Electric Company, motor and parts, Islais Creek Bridge (claim dated June 30, 1927), $1,129.88.

(37) California Rock Co., gravel for street repair (claim dated June 30, 1927), $2,129.49.

(38) Pacific Portland Cement Co., cement for street repairs (claim dated June 30, 1927), $1,761.50.

(39) Western Rock Products Co., sand for street repairs (claim dated June 30, 1927), $2,667.87.

(40) Preston School of Industry, maintenance of minors, month of June, 1927 (claim dated June 30, 1927), $620.01.

Hetch Hetchy Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1925.

(42) Anshultz & Weidler, ice boxes (claim dated July 22, 1927), $712.99.

(43) Christenson Lumber Co., lumber (claim dated July 22, 1927), $619.24.

(44) General Motors Truck Company, truck (claim dated July 22, 1927), $1,458.

(45) Ingersoll-Rand Co. of California, furnace linings (claim dated July 22, 1927), $529.60.

(46) Palace Hardware Company, china (claim dated July 22, 1927), $881.77.

(47) Pioneer Rubber Mills, conveyor belt (claim dated July 22, 1927), $726.02.

(48) Santa Fe Lumber Co., lumber (claim dated July 22, 1927), $1,326.42.

(49) United States Rubber Company, cable (claim dated July 22, 1927), $676.71.

(50) United States Steel Products Company, wire (claim dated July 22, 1927), $1,557.41.

(51) Anaconda Sales Co., first and final payment in re copper wire, Coast Range Division transmission line (claim dated July 25, 1927), $11,585.88.

(52) Bald Eagle Meat Market, meats (claim dated July 27, 1927), $1,780.14.

(53) Hales & Symons, Inc., lumber (claim dated July 27, 1927), $1,400.16.

General Fund, 1926-1927.

(54) Mack-International Motor Truck Corp., two Mack pumping engines (claim dated June 30, 1927), $20,900.

General Fund, 1927-1928.

(55) Spring Valley Water Co., water furnished Fire Department (claim dated July 28, 1927), $14,598.50.

(56) California Pacific Title Insurance Co., report on 491 parcels of land to be acquired for McLaren Park (claim dated July 26, 1927), $3,682.50.

(57) Title Insurance & Guaranty Co., reports on property to be purchased for McLaren Park (claim dated July 29, 1927), $2,877.50.

(58) City Title Insurance Company, balance due for title searches on property required for McLaren Park (claim dated July 26, 1927), $3,705.

Maintenance of Aquarium, Appropriation 57.

(59) Steinhart Aquarium, to expense of maintenance of Steinhart Aquarium, month of July (claim dated July 30, 1927), $3,714.61.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—13.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Powers, Shannon—5.

Appropriations for Work In Front of City Property.

Resolution No. 27609 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of "Street Work in Front of City Property," Budget Item No. 35, for the expense of street work fronting City property, to-wit:

Improvement on Tucker avenue, Arelta to Teddy avenues (Federal Construction Company), $159.94.

Improvement on Twenty-second and Wisconsin streets (Federal Construction Company), $602.46.

Improvement on Twenty-fourth avenue, Kirkham to Lawton streets (Municipal Construction Company), $1,455.

Improvement on Bemis street, Miguel to Mateo streets (Municipal Construction Company), $800.20.

Improvement on Ellington and Whipple streets (Eaton & Smith), $97.88.

Improvement on Thirty-first avenue, Ulloa to Vicente streets (Christensen Construction Company), $1,575.

Improvement on Huron avenue, Ottawa to Mount Vernon avenues, and Huron and Foote avenues (Eaton & Smith), $1,070.21.

Improvement on Rutland street, Arleta to Harkness avenues (Keystone Construction Company), $5,000.

Improvement on Virginia avenue, Eugenia avenue to Winfield street (Eaton & Smith), $1,500.

Improvement on Beach and Baker streets (The Fay Improvement Company), $752.20.

Improvement on Twenty-first avenue and Ortega street (Municipal Construction Company), $23.57.

Improvement on Thirty-seventh avenue, Ulloa to Vicente streets (Frank J. McHugh), $1,320.

Improvement on Howard street, Army to Twenty-sixth streets, balance of contribution to assessment (Eaton & Smith), $502.35.

Improvement on Howard street and Twenty-sixth street, conform work (Eaton & Smith), $745.

Improvement on Twentieth avenue, Twenty-first avenue and Wawona street (Pacific States Construction Company), $556.57.

Improvement on Rousseau street, Bosworth to Mission streets (City Construction Company), $25.20.

Improvement on Twenty-fifth and Hoffman streets (C. L. Harney), $60.

Improvement on Twenty-fifth ave-

nue, Kirkham to Lawton streets (C. L. Harney), $2,037.50.

Improvement on Twenty-fourth avenue, Moraga to Noriega streets (C. L. Harney), $1,962.50.

Improvement on Twenty-fourth avenue and Kirkham street (The Fay Improvement Company), $112.50.

Improvement on Twenty-fourth avenue and Santiago street (The Fay Improvement Company), $1,979.50.

Improvement on Twenty-fourth avenue, Santiago to Taraval streets (The Fay Improvement Company), $4,000.

Improvement on Nineteenth, Thirty-fourth and Thirty-seventh avenues, sidewalks (United Improvement Company), $356.40.

Improvement on Fourteenth avenue, Ulloa to Vicente streets (Associated Construction Company), $1,500.

Improvement on Orizaba and Holloway avenues (United Improvement Company), $485.

Improvement on Hampshire street, Army to Twenty-fifth streets (City Construction Company), $1,600.

Improvement on Baker street, Beach to Jefferson streets (James T. Tobin), $1,211.

Improvement on Lyon street, Pacific to Broadway (The Fay Improvement Company), $3,274.10.

Improvement of the crossing of Twenty-first avenue and Noriega street (James R. McElroy), $22.50.

Improvement of Mangels avenue, Edna to Foerster streets (Eaton & Smith), $692.50.

Improvement of Thirtieth avenue, Anza to Balboa streets (Frank J. McHugh), $4,860.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—13.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Powers, Shannon—5.

Appropriation, $17,082.17, Preventorium, San Mateo County.

Resolution No. 27610 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $17,082.17 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated to be expended out of Bedget Item 57, for personal service, opening of Preventorium in San Mateo County and completion of buildings and grounds, as itemized in communication from the Board of Health, filed July 25, 1927.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—13.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Powers, Shannon—5.

Appropriations, Extension and Reconstruction of Sewers; Repairs to Public Buildings; Boring Laguna Honda Boulevard; Chapel, San Francisco Hospital; Temporary Stiarway, Castro and Twenty-eighth Streets.

Resolution No. 27611 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter named accounts for the following purposes, to-wit:

Extension and Reconstruction of Sewers—Budget Item 38.

(1) To defray the cost of constructing four side-sewers on the southerly side of Jerrold avenue, near Phelps street, $120.

Repairs to Public Buildings—Budget Item 59.

(2) To defray the cost of furnishing and installing air pipes from compressors to pillars, also power line to compressor, Police Garage, Hall of Justice, $275.

(3) To defray cost of covering cells with galvanized wire, doors and windows steel angle construction, and construction of partitions to storeroom, County Jail No. 1, $1,380.

(4) To defray cost of repairing skylights on dome of Exposition Auditorium, $500.

County Road Fund.

(5) To defray cost of borings to be taken in construction of the Laguna Honda boulevard, $400.

Chapel at San Francisco Hospital—Budget Item 63.

(6) To defray cost of mechanical and structural engineering services in connection with the Chapel at San Francisco Hospital, $500.

Repairs to Streets.

(7) To defray cost of construction of temporary stairway at the crossing of Castro and Twenty-eighth streets, $110.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—13.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Powers, Shannon—5.

Appropriation, $5,667.20, Electrical Fixtures and Window Shades, Galileo High School.

Resolution No. 27612 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $5,667.20 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and author-

ized to be expended out of Special School Tax to cover the cost of electrical fixtcres and window shades to be installed in additional units to the Galileo High School, in accordance with contracts entered into therefor, as follows:

For the electrical fixtures (Edw. F. Dowd), $4,395.

For window shades (D. N. & E. Walter & Co.), $1,272.20.

(Communication from the Board of Public Works, dated July 15, 1927.)

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—13.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Powers, Shannon—5.

Appropriations, Construction of Engine House No. 18, Fire Department.

Resolution No. 27613 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $66,995.64 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of Fire Department Land and Construction Fund to cover cost of construction of Engine House No. 18, Fire Department, to be erected at Third and Merrimac streets; per the following contracts awarded, etc., to-wit:

General construction (H. H. Larsen Co.), $54,549.

Electrical work (Edw. F. Dowd), $2,470.

Plumbing, gas-fitting and heating (O. Aaron), $4,675.

Architectural fees, $301.64.

Inspection, possible extras, incidentals, $5,000.

(Communication from the Board of Public Works, dated July 15, 1927.)

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—13.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Powers, Shannon—5.

Appropriations for Properties Required for School Purposes.

Resolution No. 27614 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside and appropriated out of School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923, and authorized in payment to the hereinafter named persons; being payments for properties required for school purposes, to-wit:

(1) Margaret S. Jacobs, for lands situate on the west line of Twenty-fourth avenue, distant 150 feet from Santiago street; per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 27561 (New Series) (claim dated August 1, 1927), $2,500.

(2) George Symon, for land situate on the east line of Thirty-second avenue, distant 150 feet northerly from Balboa street, of dimensions 24 x 112 feet 3¾ inches; per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 27568 (New Series) (claim dated August 1, 1927), $2,750.

(3) William M. Symon, for land situate on the east line of Thirty-second avenue, distant 120 feet northerly from Balboa street, of dimensions 25 x 114 feet 10¾ inches, irregular; per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 27569 (New Series) (claim dated August 1, 1927), $2,750.

(4) A. B. Symon, for land situate on the east line of Thirty-second avenue, distant 100 feet southerly from Anza street; per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 27570 (New Series) (claim dated August 1, 1927), $3,700.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—13.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Powers, Shannon—5.

Oil and Boiler Permits.

Resolution No. 27615 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits be and are hereby granted:

Oil Tanks.

H. C. Keenan, east side of Howard street, 33 feet south of Twenty-fifth street, 1500 gallons capacity.

McCarthy & Johanna, north side of Fifteenth street, 100 feet west of Church street, 1500 gallons capacity.

R. Eseloses, 760 Fourteenth street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Henry Ernst & Sons, south line of Golden Gate avenue, 150 feet east from Buchanan street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Paul H. Pape, southwest corner of California and Divisadero streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

Popkin & Munson & Sullivan, west side of Eleventh avenue, 125 feet south of California street, 1500 gallons capacity.

S. Ross, southwest corner of Seventh avenue and Lake street, 1500 gallons capacity.

1940 Vallejo Street, Inc., north side of Vallejo street between Octavia and Laguna streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

Tom Carey, 3474 Seventeenth avenue. 1500 gallons capacity.

Henry Ernst & Sons, south side of

Jackson street, 200 feet east of Hyde street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Crim Estate, east line of Mission street, 95 feet north of Twentieth street, 1500 gallons capacity.

H. E. Timby, north side of Camino del Mar, opposite termination of Lake street, 1500 gallons capacity.

H. Weinberger, 60 Merced avenue, 600 gallons capacity.

Geo. Zengler, 3394 Mission street, 600 gallons capacity.

G. L. Nelson, south side of Francisco street, 168 feet 9 inches east of Broderick street, 1500 gallons capacity.

J. Wood, 1025 Sutter street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Boiler.

Star Bakery, 324 Fell street, 10-horsepower.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits shall become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—13.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Powers, Shannon—5.

Automobile Supply Station Permit.

Resolution No. 27616 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That H. T. Phillips be and is hereby granted permission, recovable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an automobile supply station on premises southwest corner of Twenty-sixth and Howard streets.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—13.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Powers, Shannon—5.

Automobile Parking Station Permit.

Resolution No. 27617 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Wm. H. Camfield be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to operate and maintain an automobile parking station in premises situate southwest corner of Second and Howard streets.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—13.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Powers, Shannon—5.

Transfer of Garage Permit.

Resolution No. 27618 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the permit heretofore granted to Kurtovich & Didier to maintain a public garage on premises at 646 Clay street be and the same is hereby transferred to P. J. Kurtovich and A. E. Hughes.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—13.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Powers, Shannon—5.

Blasting Permit, J. P. Holland Co.

Resolution No. 27619 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That J. P. Holland Co. is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to explode blasts while grading on Wisconsin street between Twenty-second and Twenty-third streets; provided said permittee shall execute and file a good and sufficient bond in the sum of $5,000, as fixed by the Board of Public Works and approved by his Honor the Mayor, in accordance with Ordinance No. 1204 (New Series); provided, also, that said blasts shall be exploded only between the hours of 7 a. m. and 6 p. m., and that the work of blasting shall be performed to the satisfaction of the Board of Public Works; and that if any of the conditions of this resolution be violated by said J. P. Holland Co., then the privileges and all the rights accruing thereunder shall immediately become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—13.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Powers, Shannon—5.

Providing for Sale of City Lands at Public Auction.

Bill No. 8116, Ordinance No. 7628 (New Series), as follows:

Providing for the disposal at public auction of certain lands belonging to the City and County of San Francisco in accordance with provisions of Article II, Chapter II, Section 9 of the Charter.

Be it ordained by the People of

the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. That public interest and necessity demand the sale of the following described parcel or piece of land owned and held by the City and County of San Francisco. Said parcel of land is situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and more particularly described as follows, to-wit:

Commencing at a point on the westerly line of Stockton street, distant thereon 115 feet southerly from the southerly line of Broadway, running thence southerly and along said line of Stockton street 22 feet 6 inches; thence at a right angle westerly 80 feet to the easterly line of Cordelia street; thence at a right angle northerly and along said line of Cordelia street 22 feet 6 inches; thence at a right angle easterly 80 feet to the outside line of Stockton street and point of commencement. Being part of 50 Vara Lot No. 83.

Section 2. The said piece or parcel of land hereinabove described shall be sold for cash in United States gold coin at public auction to be held in accordance with the provisions of Article II, Chapter II, Section 9 of the Charter.

Section 3. The Clerk of the Board of Supervisors is hereby directed to publish in the official newspaper and in one other daily newspaper for three weeks successively next before the day on which the sale is to be made, a notice of such sale, describing the land to be sold with common certainty.

Section 4. The Mayor, Assessor and the chairman of the Finance Committee of the Board of Supervisors are hereby directed to appraise said land within three weeks after the final passage of this ordinance as required by Article II, Chapter II, Section 9 of the Charter.

Section 5. Upon receipt and examination of bids or offers for said land as aforesaid, the Mayor shall accept the highest bid made, provided said bid be for at least ninety per cent of the value found by said appraisers, and shall immediately thereafter, at the next meeting of the Supervisors, report the fact of such sale to the Supervisors with a statement of the sum bid and the name of the highest bidder, with a request that the Board confirm such sale.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—13.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Powers, Shannon—5.

City Attorney to Commence Condemnation Proceedings for the Acquisition of Certain Land on Upland Drive and Mount Davidson Manor Required for School Purposes.

Resolution No. 27620 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, By the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, that public interest and necessity require the acquisition by the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, of the following properties situated in the City and County of San Francisco, required for school purposes, and more particularly described as follows, to-wit:

Beginning at the point of intersection of the southerly line of Upland drive and the westerly line of Mt. Davidson Manor, and running thence westerly along said southerly line of Upland drive 340.217 feet; thence westerly, southwesterly and southerly along a curve to the left, tangent to the preceding course, radius 10 feet, central angle 88 deg. 46 min. 05.2 sec., a distance of 15.493 feet to tangency with the easterly line of Aptos avenue; thence southerly along said easterly line, being a curve to the right, radius 1618 feet, central angle 10 deg. 16 min. 36 sec., a distance of 290.207 feet; thence easterly along the radial line to said curve of preceding course 350 feet to the westerly line of Mt. Davidson Manor; thence northerly along said westerly line, being a curve to the left, concentric with curve of second preceding course, radius 1968 feet, central angle 10 deg. 36 min. 50 sec., a distance of 364.567 feet to the southerly line of Upland drive and the point of beginning.

Being portions of Blocks 3265 and 3266, and San Aleso avenue, as shown on map entitled "Map of Blocks 3260 to 3269, inclusive, Balboa Terrace Addition," recorded in Map Book "L", pages 29 to 33, inclusive, records of the City and County of San Francisco.

Also, beginning at a point on the westerly line of Blake street, distant thereon 360 feet northerly from the northerly line of Geary street, running thence northerly along the westerly line of Blake street 200 feet 9 inches (more or less), to the southerly line of Laurel Hill Cemetery; thence northwesterly along the southerly line of Laurel Hill Cemetery 236 feet 11 inches (more or less) to the easterly line of Cook street; thence southerly along the easterly line of Cook street 241 feet

9 inches to a point distant thereon 365 feet northerly from the northerly line of Geary street; thence at right angles easterly 120 feet; thence at right angles southerly 5 feet; thence at right angles easterly 120 feet to the westerly line of Blake street and the point of beginning. Being a portion of Western Addition Block No. 641.

Be it

Further Resolved, That said properties are suitable, adaptable, necessary and required for the public use of said City and County of San Francisco, to-wit: For school purposes. It is necessary that a fee simple title be taken for such use. The City Attorney is hereby ordered and directed to commence proceedings in eminent domain against the owners of said tracts of land and of any and all interests therein or claims thereto for the condemnation thereof for the public use of said City and County of San Francisco as aforesaid.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—13.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Powers, Shannon—5.

Amendng Building Law With Respect to Numbering of New Buildings.

Bill No. 8117, Ordinance No. 7629 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Sections 289 and 290 of Ordinance No. 1008 (New Series), approved December 22, 1909, and known as "The Building Law," relating to the numbering of new buildings.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Section No. 289 of Ordinance No. 1008 (New Series), known as "The Building Law," is hereby amended to read as follows:

Numbering of Buildings—When Completed to Be Numbered.

Section 289. Every person, firm or corporation owning any building, or agent thereof, must, within two weeks after the completion or occupation of such building, place or cause to be placed, on the front adjacent to the vestibule used as an entrance to such building so as to be readily and clearly seen from the street, the appropriate number of such building as herein specified. Such number to be placed to the satisfaction of the Board of Public Works.

Entrances to Be Numbered.

Section 290. All entrances from street to buildings, or to separate apartments in buildings shall be numbered as herein specified, and it shall be unlawful for any person, whether owner or occupant of the building, or any apartment therein, to place, maintain or allow to remain thereon any number other than the one required by this ordinance. The number on the front adjacent to the vestibule shall be of a different color from the background upon which it is placed, and each figure of such number shall be at least four (4) inches in length and of proportionate width.

All numbers must be made of substantial and permanent material and must be so affixed as not to be easily effaced or removed. The fees to be charged by the Board of Public Works for designating the proper number as required by the ordinance are as follows: Apartment houses, $2; garages, $2; warehouses, $2; residences, cottages, dwellings, $1; all other buildings, $2; to be paid before any number is issued by the department (as amended by Ordinance No. 5136 (New Series) and 5447 (New Series).

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—13.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Powers, Shannon—5.

Granting San Mateo County Permission to Use Hetch Hetchy Rights of Way in Said County.

Bill No. 8118, Ordinance No. 7630 (New Series), as follows:

Granting to the County of San Mateo the right to use certain portions of the Hetch Hetchy aqueduct right of way in San Mateo County, State of California, for purposes of a public highway to be known as Alameda de las Pulgas.

Whereas, the County of San Mateo and the Town of Redwood City, in said County, propose to construct within said county a main highway seventy (70) feet wide, to be known as Alameda de las Pulgas, which shall run in a northwesterly and southeasterly direction substantially paralleling the present State highway route 2 leading from the City and County of San Francisco to San Jose, and be distant approximately one (1) mile westerly therefrom; and

Whereas, the City and County of San Francisco is interested in the development of major highways on the San Francisco Peninsula, especially of those leading in such directions as to carry traffic gen-

erally toward and away from San Francisco; and

Whereas, the proposed right of way for Alameda de las Pulgas encroaches upon the Hetch Hetchy aqueduct right of way owned by the City and County of San Francisco; and

Whereas, in order to give said Alameda de las Pulgas its proposed full width of seventy (70) feet at its intersection with said aqueduct right of way, it is necessary that the City and County of San Francisco grant to said County of San Mateo the right to use for the purposes of a public highway portions of said aqueduct right of way, which portions constitute small parcels of land on each side of a present open street; and

Whereas, it appears from the recommendation of the City Engineer of the City and County of San Francisco to be to the interest of the City and County of San Francisco that said right be granted; now, therefore,

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The City and County of San Francisco hereby grants to the County of San Mateo the right to use, for highway purposes only, subject to the reservations and conditions set forth below, two parcels of land situated in the County of San Mateo, State of California, and particularly described as follows, to-wit:

Parcel 1. All that portion of Lot 5, Block 18, as shown on that certain map entitled "Oak Knoll Manor, Redwood City, San Mateo County, Cal.," filed in the office of the County Recorder of San Mateo County May 10, 1916, in Book 10 of Maps, at pages 4 to 11, described as follows:

Beginning at the point of intersection of the northeasterly line of Lot 5 and the northerly line of that certain parcel of land conveyed by deed from E. Crittenden Ferree and wife to City and County of San Francisco, dated October 21, 1922, and recorded October 24, 1922, in Book 53 of Official Records of San Mateo County, at page 421, said point being distant southeasterly along said lot line 59.97 feet from the northerly corner of said lot; thence running along said northerly line of property of City and County of San Francisco north 84 deg. 13 min. west 19.60 feet; thence south 22 deg. 30 min. east 33.19 feet; thence southeasterly on a curve to the right having a radius of 315.00 feet, 34.02 feet to the southerly line of property of City and County of San Francisco; thence along said southerly line south 84 deg. 13 min. east 23.95 feet to the northeasterly line of said lot; thence northwesterly along said northeasterly lot line on a curve to the left having a radius of 254.38 feet, 69.45 feet to the point of beginning.

Parcel 2. All that portion of Lot 2, Block 17, as shown on the map hereinbefore referred to, described as follows:

Beginning at the northwesterly corner of Lot 2, Block 17, thence running along the northeasterly line of said lot south 45 deg. 29 min. east 2.87 feet; thence leaving said line and running southerly on a curve to the right having a radius of 385.00 feet, 1.14 feet to a point in the southerly line of that certain parcel of land conveyed by deed from Anglo-California Trust Company, a corporation, to City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, dated July 28, 1924, and recorded August 4, 1924, in Book 129 of Official Records of San Mateo County, at page 107; thence along said last mentioned line north 84 deg. 13 min. west 1.76 feet to the westerly line of said Lot 2; thence northerly along said westerly line on a curve to the left having a radius of 279.45 feet, 2.99 feet to the point of beginning.

Section 2. Said right is granted subject to the following reservations and conditions which shall be accepted by the Board of Supervisors of San Mateo County, before this right becomes effective, viz.:

(a) Those certain reservations and conditions contained in the deed hereinabove referred to in the description of Parcel 1.

(b) In constructing and maintaining said highway over and across said Parcels 1 and 2, the County of San Mateo, its contractors and agents shall not interfere with, remove or injure any structures placed upon or in said parcels by the City and County of San Francisco.

(c) The County of San Mateo shall construct a reinforced concrete slab or other protective structure of design satisfactory to the City Engineer of the City and County of San Francisco, over the Hetch Hetchy aqueduct pipe line or lines in said Parcels 1 and 2, if necessary in the opinion of the City Engineer to protect said pipe line or lines against injury due to the use of said Parcels 1 and 2 for highway purposes. Before commencing construction of said highway over said parcels, the County of San Mateo

shall furnish to said City Engineer such information as to its plans for construction as will enable him to determine whether any protective structure is necessary.

(d) The City and County of San Francisco expressly retains the right to make such excavations as may be necessary at any time across said Parcels 1 and 2 for the purposes of constructing, maintaining and replacing aqueduct pipe lines or conduits and appurtenances thereto in the future.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—13.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Powers, Shannon—5.

Granting Town of Redwood City Permission to Use Hetch Hetchy Rights of Way in Said City

Bill No. 8119, Ordinance No. 7631 (New Series), as follows:

Granting to the Town of Redwood City the right to use certain portions of the Hetch Hetchy aqueduct right of way in the Town of Redwood City, County of San Mateo, State of California, for purposes of a public highway to be known as Alameda de las Pulgas.

Whereas, the County of San Mateo and the Town of Redwood City in said county propose to construct within said county a main highway seventy (70) feet wide, to be known as Alameda de las Pulgas, which shall run in a northwesterly and southeasterly direction substantially paralleling the present State Highway Route 2 leading from the City and County of San Francisco to San Jose, and be distant approximately one (1) mile westerly therefrom; and

Whereas, the City and County of development of major highways on the San Francisco Peninsula, especially of those leading in such direction as to carry traffic generally toward and away from San Francisco; and

Whereas, the proposed right of way for Alameda de las Pulgas encroaches upon the Hetch Hetchy Aqueduct right of way owned by the City and County of San Francisco; and

Whereas, in order to give said Alameda de las Pulgas its proposed full width of seventy (70) feet at its intersection with said aqueduct right of way, it is necessary that the City and County of San Francisco grant to said Town of Redwood City the right to use for the purposes of a public highway portions of said aqueduct right of way, which portions constitute small parcels of land on one side of a present open street; and

Whereas, it appears from the recommendations of the City Engineer of the City and County of San Francisco to be to the interest of the City and County of San Francisco that said right be granted;

Now, therefore, be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The City and County of San Francisco hereby grants to the Town of Redwood City the right to use, for highway purposes only, subject to the reservations and conditions set forth below, two parcels of land situated in the Town of Redwood, County of San Mateo, State of California, and particularly described as follows, to-wit:

Parcel 1. All that portion of Lot 2, Block 14, as shown on that certain map entitled "Oak Knoll Manor, Redwood City, San Mateo County, Cal.", recorded May 10, 1916, in Book 10 of Maps, pages 4 to 11, San Mateo County Records, described as follows:

Beginning at the northwesterly corner of Lot 2, Block 14, thence running along the northerly line of said lot north 78 deg. 47 min. east 1.57 feet; thence leaving said lot line and running southerly on a curve to the right having a radius of 385.00 feet 2.35 feet to the southwesterly line of said lot; thence running along said southwesterly line north 45 deg. 29 min. west 2.87 feet to the point of beginning.

Parcel 2. All that portion of Lot 3, Block 14, as shown on the map hereinbefore referred to, bounded and described as follows:

Beginning at the southwesterly corner of Lot 3, Block 14, thence running along the southerly line of said lot north 78 deg. 47 min. east 1.57 feet; thence leaving said lot line and running northerly on a curve to the left having a radius of 385.00 feet 61.66 feet to a point in the northerly line of that certain parcel of land conveyed by deed from Martin J. Messick, a single man, to City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, dated January 21, 1923, and recorded January 25, 1923, in Book 61 of Official Records, page 255; thence along said northerly line north 84 deg. 13 min. west 1.45 feet to the westerly line of said lot; thence southerly along said westerly line on a curve to the right having a radius of 279.45 feet 62.11 feet to the point of beginning.

Section 2. Said right is granted subject to the following reservations and conditions, which shall be ac-

cepted by the Board of Trustees of the Town of Redwood City before this right becomes effective, viz.:

(a) Those certain reservations and conditions contained in the deed hereinabove referred to in the description of Parcel 2.

(b) Those certain reservations and conditions contained in deed dated January 12, 1923, from A. Barreto and wife to City and County of San Francisco, recorded January 15, 1923, in Book 61 of Official Records of San Mateo County, page 198.

(c) In constructing and maintaining said highway over and across said Parcels 1 and 2, the Town of Redwood City, its contractors and agents, shall not interfere with, remove or injure any structures placed upon or in said parcels by the City and County of San Francisco.

(d) The Town of Redwood City shall construct a reinforced concrete slab or other protective structure, of design satisfactory to the City Engineer of the City and County of San Francisco, over the Hetch Hetchy Aqueduct pipe line or lines in said Parcels 1 and 2, if necessary in the opinion of the City Engineer to protect said pipe line or lines against injury due to the use of said Parcels 1 and 2 for highway purposes. Before commencing construction of said highway over said parcels the Town of Redwood City shall furnish to said City Engineer such information as to its plans for construction as will enable him to determine whether any protective structure is necessary.

(e) The City and County of San Francisco expressly retains the right to make such excavations as may be necessary at any time across said Parcels 1 and 2 for the purposes of constructing, maintaining and replacing aqueduct pipe lines or conduits and appurtenances thereto in the future.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—13.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Powers, Shannon—5.

Conditional Acceptance, Certain Streets.

Bill No. 8120, Ordinance No. 7632 (New Series), as follows:

Providing for conditional acceptance of the roadways of Rivera street between the easterly line of Fifteenth avenue and the westerly line of Sixteenth avenue, including the crossings of Fifteenth avenue and Sixteenth avenue and the intersection of Cecilia avenue; Santiago street between the easterly line of Fifteenth avenue and the easterly line of Seventeenth avenue, including the crossings of Fifteenth avenue, Cecilia avenue and Sixteenth avenue; Fifteenth avenue between Rivera and Santiago streets; Cecilia avenue between Rivera street and Sixteenth avenue; Sixteenth avenue between Rivera and Santiago streets; Sixteenth avenue between Santiago and Taraval streets; Santa Ynez avenue between San Jose and Ocean avenues, including the crossings of Delano avenue and Otsego avenue and the intersections of Capistrano avenue and Cayuga avenue; San Juan avenue between San Jose and Delano avenues; San Juan avenue between Otsego and Capistrano avenues and between Delano and Otsego avenues; Santa Ysabel avenue between San Jose and Capistrano avenues, including the intersections of Delano avenue and Otsego avenue; Santa Rosa avenue between San Jose avenue and the easterly line of Capistrano avenue, including the crossing of Capistrano avenue and the intersection of San Gabriel avenue; Delano avenue between Santa Ynez and Santa Ysabel avenues, including the crossing of San Juan avenue; Otsego avenue between Santa Ynez and Santa Ysabel avenues, including the crossing of San Juan avenue; Capistrano avenue between San Jose and Santa Ynez avenues, including the intersections of San Gabriel avenue and Santa Ysabel avenue and the crossing of San Juan avenue, and San Gabriel avenue between Santa Rosa and Capistrano avenues.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The roadways of the following named streets, including the curbs on both sides thereof, having been constructed to the satisfaction of the Board of Public Works, and of the Board of Supervisors, are hereby conditionally accepted by the City and County of San Francisco (except those portions required by law to be kept in order by the railroad company having tracks thereon), in accordance with the provisions of Section 23, Chapter 2, Article VI of the Charter, said roadways having been paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs laid thereon, and are in good condition throughout, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein, to-wit:

Rivera street between the easterly line of Fifteenth avenue and the westerly line of Sixteenth ave-

nue, including the crossings of Fifteenth avenue and Sixteenth avenue and the intersection of Cecilia avenue.

Santiago street between the easterly line of Fifteenth avenue and the easterly line of Seventeenth avenue, including the crossings of Fifteenth avenue, Cecelia avenue and Sixteenth avenue.

Fifteenth avenue between Rivera street and Sixteenth avenue.

Cecelia avenue between Rivera street and Sixteenth avenue.

Sixteenth avenue between Rivera and Santiago streets.

Sixteenth avenue between Santiago and Taraval streets.

Santa Ynez avenue between San Jose and Ocean avenues, including the crossings of Delano avenue and Otsego avenue and the intersections of Capistrano avenue and Cayuga avenue.

San Juan avenue between San Jose and Delano avenues.

San Juan avenue between Delano and Otsego avenues and between Otsego and Capistrano avenues.

Santa Ysabel avenue between San Jose and Capistrano avenues, including the intersections of Delano avenue and Otsego avenue.

Santa Rosa avenue between San Jose avenue and the easterly line of Capistrano avenue, including the crossing of Capistrano avenue and the intersection of San Gabriel avenue.

Delano avenue between Santa Ynez and Santa Ysabel avenues, including the crossing of San Juan avenue.

Otsego avenue between Santa Ynez and Santa Ysabel avenues, including the crossing of San Juan avenue.

Capistrano avenue between San Jose and Santa Ynez avenues, including the intersection of San Gabriel avenue and Santa Ysabel avenue and the crossing of San Juan avenue.

San Gabriel avenue between Santa Rosa and Capistrano avenues.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—13.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Powers, Shannon—5.

Full Acceptance, Certain Streets.

Bill No. 8121, Ordinace No. 7633 (New Series), as follows

Providing for full acceptance of the roadway of Agnon avenue between Justin drive and Crescent avenue; Benton avenue between Genebern way and Justin drive, including the crossings of Genebern way and Justin drive; Castro street between Twenty-eighth and Valley streets; College avenue between Crescent avenue and Justin drive, including the crossings of Justin drive and Genebern way, Murray street, Benton avenue and the intersection of Justin drive; Genebern way between Justin drive and College way; Justin Drive between Genebern way and College avenue; Murray street between Mission street and Justin drive, including the crossings of Genebern way and Justin drive.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The roadwyas of the following named streets, including the curbs on both sides thereof, having been constructed to the satisfaction of the Board of Public Works and of the Board of Supervisors are hereby fully accepted by the City and County of San Francisco (except those portions required by law to be kept in order by the railroad company having tracks thereon), in accordance with the provisions of Section 23, Chapter 2, Article VI of the Charter, said roadways having been paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete and concrete curbs laid thereon, and are in good condition throughout, and have sewers, gas and water mains laid therein, to-wit:

Agnon avenue between Justin drive and Crescent avenue.

Benton avenue between Genebern way and Justin drive, including the crossings of Genebern way and Justin drive.

Castro street between Twenty-eighth and Valley streets.

College avenue between Crescent avenue and Justin drive, including the crossings of Justin drive and Genebern way, Murray street, Benton avenue and the intersection of Justin drive.

Genebern way between Justin drive and College way.

Justin drive between Genebern way and College avenue.

Murray street between Mission street and Justin drive, including the crossings of Genebern way and Justin drive.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—13.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Powers, Shannon—5.

Full Acceptance, Certain Streets.

Bill No. 8122, Ordinance No. 7634 (New Series), as follows:

Providing for the full acceptance of the roadways of Aptos avenue between Darien way and Upland drive and the crossing of Aptos avenue and Upland drive; Baker street between Beach and Jefferson streets; Darien way between Junipero Serra boulevard and West Gate drive and the intersections of Darien way and Aptos avenue and Darien way and San Rafael way; Lyon street between Pacific street and Broadway; Masonic avenue between Turk street and McAllister street and the intersections of Masonic and Golden Gate avenues and Masonic avenue and McAllister street; San Fernando way between Monterey boulevard and Ocean avenue, including the crossings of Darien way and Upland drive; San Leandro way between Monterey boulevard and Ocean avenue, including the crossing of Darien way; Santa Ana avenue between Monterey boulevard and Ocean avenue and the crossings of Darien way; San Benito way between Monterey boulevard and Ocean avenue, including the crossings of Darien way and Upland drive; Upland drive between San Benito way and West Gate drive and crossing of Upland drive and San Aleso avenue.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The roadways of the following-named streets, including the curbs on both sides thereof, having been constructed to the satisfaction of the Board of Public Works and of the Board of Supervisors, are hereby fully accepted by the City and County of San Francisco (except those portions required by law to be kept in order by the railroad company having tracks thereon), in accordance with the provisions of Section 23, Chapter 2, Article VI of the Charter, said roadways having been paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete and concrete curbs laid thereon, and are in good condition throughout, and have sewers, gas and water mains laid therein, to-wit:

Aptos avenue between Darien way and Upland drive and the crossing of Aptos avenue and Upland drive.

Baker street between Beach and Jefferson streets.

Darien way between Junipero Serra boulevard and West Gate drive and the intersections of Darien way and Aptos avenue and Darien way and San Rafael way.

Lyon street between Pacific street and Broadway.

Masonic avenue between Turk and McAllister streets and the intersections of Masonic and Golden Gate avenues and Masonic avenue and McAllister street.

San Fernando way between Monterey boulevard and Ocean avenue, including the crossings of Darien way and Upland drive.

San Leandro way between Monterey boulevard and Ocean avenue, including the crossing of Darien way.

Santa Ana avenue between Monterey boulevard and Ocean avenue and the crossing of Darian way.

San Benito way between Monterey boulevard and Ocean avenue, including the crossings of Darien way and Upland drive.

Upland drive between San Benito way and West Gate drive and the crossing of Upland drive and San Aleso avenue.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—13.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Powers, Shannon—5.

Releasing, Cancelling and Annulling Contract With Fay Improvement Company for the Improvement of Crossing of Fourteenth Avenue and Rivera Street.

Bill No. 8123, Ordinance No. 7635 (New Series), as follows:

Releasing, cancelling and annuling that certain contract made and entered into by and between The Fay Improvement Company and the Board of Public Works for the improvement of the crossing of Fourteenth avenue and Rivera street and repealing Ordinance No. 7469 (New Series).

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. That certain contract made and entered into by and between The Fay Improvement Company and the Board of Public Works on June 2, 1927, designated as Street Assessment Contract No. 2117, for the improvement of the crossing of Fourteenth avenue and Rivera street, is hereby cancelled and annulled, and The Fay Improvement Company is hereby released from said contract at the instance and request of the contracting parties thereto for reasons specified in Resolution No. 98151 (Second Series) of the Board of Public Works and filed in the office of the Board of Public Works.

Section 2. Ordinance No. 7469

(New Series), ordering the improvement of the crossing of Fourteenth avenue and Rivera street, is hereby repealed.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—13.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Powers, Shannon—5.

Fixing Sidewalk Widths on Hampshire Street Between Sixteenth and Seventeenth Streets.

Bill No. 8124, Ordinance No. 7636. (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by amending section seventy-five thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Board of Public Works, filed in this office July 13, 1927, by amending section seventy-five thereof, to read as follows:

Section 75. The width of sidewalks on Hampshire street between Tenth street and Sixteenth street shall be fifteen (15) feet.

The width of sidewalks on Hampshire street between Sixteenth street and Seventeenth street shall be as shown on that certain map entitled "Map of Hampshire street between Sixteenth street and Seventeenth street," showing the location of street and curb lines and the width of sidewalks.

The width of sidewalks on Hampshire street between Seventeenth street and Twentieth street shall be fifteen (15) feet.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect and be in force from and after its passage.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—13.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Powers, Shannon—5.

Repealing Portion of Ordinance Providing for Conditional Acceptance of Schwerin Street Between Sunnydale and Visitacion Avenues.

Bill No. 8126, Ordinance No. 7638 (New Series), as follows:

Repealing that portion of Ordinance No. 7553 (New Series), approved June 4, 1927, providing for the conditional acceptance of the roadway of Schwerin street between Sunnydale and Visitacion avenues.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. That portion of Ordinance No. 7553 (New Series) providing for the conditional acceptance of the roadway of Schwerin street between Sunnydale and Visitacion avenues is hereby repealed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—13.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Powers, Shannon—5.

Establishing Grades on Bay Shore Avenue.

Bill No. 8125, Ordinance No. 7637 (New Series), as follows:

Establishing grades on Bay Shore avenue between Gillette avenue and the westerly line of Tunnel avenue; on the westerly line of Gillette avenue between points 12 feet northerly from Bay Shore avenue southerly line and 12 feet southerly from Bay Shore avenue northerly line; on Nueva and Acacia avenues between the northerly and southerly lines of Bay Shore avenue; on Peninsula avenue between the southerly line of Bay Shore avenue and a line parallel with the northerly line of Bay Shore avenue and 425 feet northerly therefrom; on Wheeler avenue between Lathrop avenue and a line parallel with Bay Shore avenue and 466 feet northerly therefrom; and on Tunnel avenue between the southerly line of Bay Shore avenue and a line parallel with the northerly line of Bay Shore avenue and 200 feet northerly therefrom.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The grades on Bay Shore avenue between Gillette avenue and the westerly line of Tunnel avenue; on the westerly line of Gillette avenue between points 12 feet northerly from Bay Shore avenue southerly line and 12 feet southerly from Bay Shore avenue northerly line; on Nueva and Acacia avenues between the northerly and southerly lines of Bay Shore avenue; on Peninsula avenue between the southerly line of Bay Shore avenue and a line parallel with the northerly line of Bay Shore avenue

and 425 feet northerly therefrom; on Wheeler avenue between Lathrop avenue and a line parallel with Bay Shore avenue and 466 feet northerly therefrom; and on Tunnel avenue between the southerly line of Bay Shore avenue and a line parallel with the northerly line of Bay Shore avenue and 200 feet northerly therefrom as hereinafter stated in accordance with recommendation of the Board of Public Works filed July 16, 1927.

Bay Shore Avenue.

12 feet southerly from the northerly line of, at Gillette avenue westerly line, 21.80 feet.

12 feet northerly from the southerly line of, at Gillette avenue westerly line, 20.90 feet.

12 feet southerly from the northerly line of, at Nueva avenue easterly line, 26.60 feet

12 feet northerly from the southerly line of, at Nueva avenue easterly line, 25.70 feet.

Northerly line of, 12 feet westerly from Nueva avenue easterly line, 26.60 feet.

Northerly line of, 12 feet easterly from Nueva avenue westerly line, 27.60 feet.

Southerly line of, 12 feet westerly from Nueva avenue easterly line, 25.70 feet.

Southerly line of, 12 feet easterly from Nueva avenue westerly line, 26.60 feet.

12 feet southerly from the northerly line of, at Nueva avenue westerly line, 27.60 feet.

12 feet northerly from the southerly line of, at Nueva avenue westerly line, 26.60 feet.

12 feet southerly from the northerly line of, at Acacia avenue easterly line, 34.30 feet.

12 feet northerly from the southerly line of, at Acacia avenue easterly line, 33.30 feet.

Northerly line of, 12 feet westerly from Acacia avenue easterly line, 34.40 feet.

Northerly line of, 12 feet easterly from Acacia avenue westerly line, 35.40 feet.

Southerly line of, 12 feet westerly from Acacia avenue easterly line, 33.40 feet.

Southerly line of, 12 feet easterly from Acacia avenue westerly line, 34.40 feet.

12 feet southerly from the northerly line of, at Acacia avenue westerly line, 35.40 feet.

12 feet northerly from the southerly line of, at Acacia avenue westerly line, 34.40 feet.

12 feet southerly from the northerly line of, at Peninsula avenue easterly line, 41.10 feet.

12 feet northerly from the southerly line of, at Peninsula avenue easterly line, 40.10 feet.

Northerly line of, 12 feet westerly from Peninsula avenue easterly line, 41.10 feet.

Northerly line of, 12 feet easterly from Peninsula avenue westerly line, 42.10 feet.

Southerly line of, 12 feet westerly from Peninsula avenue easterly line, 40.10 feet.

Southerly line of, 12 feet easterly from Peninsula avenue westerly line, 41.10 feet.

12 feet southerly from the northerly line of, at Peninsula avenue westerly line, 42.10 feet.

12 feet northerly from the southerly line of, at Peninsula avenue westerly line, 41.10 feet.

12 feet southerly from the northerly line of, at Wheeler avenue easterly line, 47.50 feet.

12 feet northerly from the southerly line of, at Wheeler avenue easterly line, 46.50 feet.

Northerly line of, 12 feet westerly from Wheeler avenue easterly line, 47.60 feet.

Northerly line of, 12 feet easterly from Wheeler avenue westerly line, 48.40 feet.

Southerly line of, 12 feet westerly from Wheeler avenue easterly line, 46.60 feet.

Southerly line of, 12 feet easterly from Wheeler avenue westerly line, 47.40 feet.

12 feet southerly from the northerly line of, at Wheeler avenue westerly line, 48.40 feet.

12 feet northerly from the southerly line of, at Wheeler avenue westerly line, 47.40 feet.

12 feet southerly from the northerly line of, at Tunnel avenue easterly line, 49.40 feet.

12 feet northerly from the southerly line of, at Tunnel avenue easterly line, 48.40 feet.

Northerly line of, 12 feet westerly from Tunnel avenue easterly line, 49.40 feet.

Northerly line of, 12 feet easterly from Tunnel avenue westerly line, 49.40 feet.

Southerly line of, 10 feet westerly from Tunnel avenue easterly line, 47.60 feet.

Southerly line of, 10 feet easterly from Tunnel avenue westerly line, 47.60 feet.

10 feet southerly from the northerly line of, at Tunnel avenue westerly line, 49.20 feet.

10 feet northerly from the southerly line of, at Tunnel avenue westerly line, 48.20 feet.

Gillette Avenue.

Westerly line of, 12 feet south-

erly from Bay Shore avenue northerly line, 21.80 feet.

Westerly line of, 12 feet northerly from Bay Shore avenue southerly line, 20.90 feet.

Nueva Avenue.

12 feet westerly from the easterly line of, at Bay Shore avenue northerly line, 26.60 feet.

Easterly line of, 12 feet southerly from Bay Shore avenue northerly line, 26.60 feet.

Easterly line of, 12 feet northerly from Bay Shore avenue southerly line, 25.70 feet.

12 feet westerly from the easterly line of, at Bay Shore avenue southerly line, 25.70 feet.

12 feet easterly from the westerly line of, at Bay Shore avenue northerly line, 27.60 feet.

Westerly line of, 12 feet southerly from Bay Shore avenue northerly line, 27.60 feet.

Westerly line of, 12 feet northerly from Bay Shore avenue southerly line, 26.60 feet.

12 feet easterly from the westerly line of, at Bay Shore avenue southerly line, 26.60 feet.

Acacia Avenue.

12 feet westerly from the easterly line of, at Bay Shore avenue northerly line, 34.40 feet.

Easterly line of, 12 feet southerly from Bay Shore avenue northerly line, 34.30 feet.

Easterly line of, 12 feet northerly from Bay Shore avenue southerly line, 33.30 feet.

12 feet westerly from the easterly line of, at Bay Shore avenue southerly line, 33.40 feet.

12 feet easterly from the westerly line of, at Bay Shore avenue northerly line, 35.40 feet.

Westerly line of, 12 feet southerly from Bay Shore avenue northerly line, 35.40 feet.

Westerly line of, 12 feet northerly from Bay Shore avenue southerly line, 34.40 feet.

12 feet easterly from the westerly line of, at Bay Shore avenue southerly line, 34.40 feet.

Peninsula Avenue.

12 feet westeriy from the easterly lin eof, 425 feet northerly from Bay Shore avenue, 89.00 feet.

12 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 425 feet northerly from Bay Shore avenue, 89.00 feet.

12 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 250 feet northerly from Bay Shore avenue, 57.30 feet.

12 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 250 feet northerly from Bay Shore avenue, 58.30 feet.

12 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 100 feet northerly from Bay Shore avenue, 46.10 feet.

12 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 100 feet northerly from Bay Shore avenue, 47.10 feet.

12 feet westerly from the easterly line of, at Bay Shore avenue northerly line, 41.10 feet.

12 feet easterly from the westerly line of, at Bay Shore avenue northerly line, 42.10 feet.

Easterly line of, 12 feet southerly from Bay Shore avenue northerly line, 41.10 feet.

Easterly line of, 12 feet northerly from Bay Shore avenue southerly line, 40.10 feet.

Westerly line of, 12 feet southerly from Bay Shore avenue northerly line, 42.10 feet.

Westerly line of, 12 feet northerly from Bay Shore avenue southerly line, 41.10 feet.

12 feet westerly from the easterly line of, at Bay Shore avenue southerly line, 40.10 feet.

12 feet easterly from the westerly line of, at Bay Shore avenue southerly line, 41.10 feet.

Wheeler Avenue.

12 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 466 feet northerly from Bay Shore avenue, 85.00 feet.

12 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 466 feet northerly from Bay Shore avenue, 84.00 feet.

12 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 400 feet northerly from Bay Shore avenue, 74.30 feet.

12 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 400 feet northerly from Bay Shore avenue, 73.40 feet.

12 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 290 feet northerly from Bay Shore avenue, 64.30 feet.

12 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 290 feet northerly from Bay Shore avenue, 64.20 feet.

12 feet westerly from the easterly line of, at Bay Shore avenue northerly line, 47.60 feet.

12 feet easterly from the westerly line of, at Bay Shore avenue northerly line, 48.40 feet.

Easterly line of, 12 feet southerly from Bay Shore avenue northerly line, 47.50 feet.

Easterly line of, 12 feet northerly from Bay Shore avenue southerly line, 46.50 feet.

Westerly line of, 12 feet southerly from Bay Shore avenue northerly line, 48.40 feet.

Westerly line of, 12 feet northerly from Bay Shore avenue southerly line, 47.40 feet.

12 feet westerly from the easterly line of, at Bay Shore avenue southerly line, 46.60 feet.

12 feet easterly from the westerly line of, at Bay Shore avenue southerly line, 47.40 feet.

Lathrop avenue northerly line, 33.00 feet.

Tunnel Avenue.

12 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 200 feet northerly from Bay Shore avenue, 61.30 feet.

12 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 200 feet northerly from Bay Shore avenue, 61.30 feet.

12 feet westerly from the easterly line of, at Bay Shore avenue northerly line, 49.40 feet.

12 feet easterly from the westerly line of, at Bay Shore avenue northerly line, 49.40 feet.

Easterly line of, 12 feet southerly from Bay Shore avenue northerly line, 49.40 feet.

Easterly line of, 12 feet northerly from Bay Shore avenue southerly line, 48.40 feet.

Westerly line of, 10 feet southerly from Bay Shore avenue northerly line, 49.20 feet.

Westerly line of, 10 feet northerly from Bay Shore avenue southerly line, 48.20 feet.

10 feet westerly from the easterly line of, at Bay Shore avenue southerly line, 47.60 feet.

10 feet easterly from the westerly line of, at Bay Shore avenue southerly line, 47.60 feet.

On Bay Shore avenue between Gillette avenue and the westerly line of Tunnel avenue; on the westerly line of Gillette avenue between points 12 feet northerly from Bay Shore avenue southerly line and 12 feet southerly from Bay Shore avenue northerly line; on Nueva and Acacia avenues between the northerly and southerly lines of Bay Shore avenue; on Peninsula avenue between the southerly line of Bay Shore avenue and a line parallel with the northerly line of Bay Shore avenue and 425 feet northerly therefrom; on Wheeler avenue between Lathrop avenue and a line parallel with Bay Shore avenue and 466 feet northerly therefrom, and on Tunnel avenue between the southerly line of Bay Shore avenue and a line parallel with the northerly line of Bay Shore avenue and 200 feet northerly therefrom be established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—13.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Powers, Shannon—5.

Ordering Street Work.

Bill No. 8127, Ordinance No. 7639 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors July 6, 1927, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918, of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918, of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in four installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of the crossings of Forty-third avenue and Kirkham street, and of Forty-third avenue and Lawton street, by grading to official line and grade; by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks of the full official width on the angular corners thereof; by the construction of the necessary catchbasins with accompanying 10-inch ironstone pipe culverts, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and a 1½-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Gallagher, Harrelson,

Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—13.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Powers, Shannon—5.

Bill No. 8128, Ordinance No. 7640 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors July 6, 1927, having recommended the ordering the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Fitzgerald avenue between Ingalls and Jennings streets where not already so improved, by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of sidesewers where the pavement is is not constructed; by the construction of concrete gutters, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and a 1½-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface on the roadway thereof; and the improvement of Ingalls street between Fitzgerald avenue and Gilman avenue where not already so improved, by the construction of concrete curbs, where not already constructed; by the construction of the necessary sidesewers, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and 1½-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface on the roadway thereof, where not already constructed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—13.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Powers, Shannon—5.

Bill No. 8129, Ordinance No. 7641 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors July 6, 1927, having recommended the ordering of the following street work. the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Forty-fourth avenue between Kirkham and Lawton streets where not already so

mproved; of Pacheco street between Fifteenth and Sixteenth avenues where not already so improved, and of Lawton street between Forty-fourth and Forty-fifth avenues where not already so improved; by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of asphaltic concrete pavements consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and a 1½-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface and concrete pavements as may be required.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

A y e s — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—13.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Powers, Shannon—5.

Bill No. 8130, Ordinance No. 7642 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors July 6, 1927, having recommended the ordering the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Morse street between Guttenberg street and Concord street, including the intersections of Florentine street and Concord street, by grading to official line and grade; by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of 8-inch and 12-inch ironstone pipe sewers, with the neessary Y branches, sidesewers and brick manholes; by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks on the angular corners of the above-mentioned intersections; by the construction of the necessary brick catchbasins with 10-inch ironstone pipe culverts, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and a 1½-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface on the roadways thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

A y e s — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—13.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Powers, Shannon—5.

Bill No. 8131, Ordinance No. 7643 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors July 6, 1927, having recommended the ordering the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for

the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in twenty installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be six months from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Andover street between Eugenia and Powhattan avenues where not already so improved, by the construction of concrete curbs where curbs are not already constructed; by the construction of a sidesewer where not already constructed; and by the construction of a concrete pavement on the roadway thereof where not already constructed; and the improvement of Bocana street between Eugenia avenue and Wool street where not already so improved, by the construction of concrete curbs where not already constructed; by the construction of the necessary sidesewers where not already constructed, and by the construction of a concrete pavement on the roadway thereof where not already constructed; and the improvement of Gates street between Eugenia avenue and Powhattan avenue where not already so improved, by the construction of concrete curbs where curbs are not already constructed; by the construction of sidesewers where not already constructed; by the construction of a 7-foot strip of basalt block pavement on a concrete foundation adjacent to the center line where not already constructed, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and a 1½-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface on the roadway thereof; and the improvement of Wool street between Eugenia and Powhattan avenues where not already so improved, by the construction of concrete curbs where curbs are not already constructed, and by the construction of a concrete pavement on the roadway thereof where not already constructed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bvington, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—13.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Powers, Shannon—5.

Bill No. 8132, Ordinance No. 7644 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors July 6, 1927, having recommended the ordering the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in four installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Ogden avenue between Anderson and Ellsworth streets, by the construction of an 8-inch ironstone pipe sewer with the necessary Y branches, sidesewers and brick manhole along the center line of Ogden avenue from a point 40 feet easterly from Anderson street to the existing connection at the westerly line of Ellsworth street.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bvington, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—13.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Powers, Shannon—5.

Bill No. 8133, Ordinance No. 7645 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors July 6, 1927, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of the following: The crossing of Shields and Byxbee streets, by the construction of concrete curbs; the construction of an 8-inch ironstone pipe sewer; the construction of the necessary catchbasins with accompanying 10-inch ironstone pipe culverts; the construction of artificial stone sidewalks of the full official width on the angular corners thereof; and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and a 1½-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface of the roadway thereof; and the improvement of Byxbee street between Sargent street and Worcester avenue where not already improved, by the construction of concrete curbs, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and a 1½-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface on the roadway thereof; and the improvement of Sargent street between Byxbee and Ralston streets where not already improved, by the construction of concrete curbs, and by the construction of a concrete pavement on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—13.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Powers, Shannon—5.

Bill No. 8134, Ordinance No. 7646 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors July 6, 1927, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, made be paid in twenty installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be six months from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Noe street between the southerly line of Army street and the southerly line of Duncan street, including the crossings of Noe street and Twenty-seventh street and Noe street and

Duncan street, by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks on the westerly angular corners of Duncan street and Twenty-seventh street, and on the easterly angular corners of Twenty-seventh street, by the construction of concrete curbs and artificial stone sidewalks on the easterly side of Noe street between the northerly and the southerly lines of Duncan street; by the construction of a concrete ballustrade on the easterly side of Noe street and Duncan street; by the construction of 8-inch and 15-inch ironstone pipe sewers and one brick manhole with appurtenances in the crossing of Noe street and Duncan street; by the construction of the necessary sidesewers; by the construction of the necessary brick catchbasins with appurtenances and 10-inch ironstone pipe culverts; by the construction of a 14-foot central strip of concrete pavement between the northerly line of Duncan street and the southerly line of Twenty-seventh street, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and a 1½-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface on the roadway thereof.

The method of assessment for said improvement determined and declared by the Board of Public Works by its Resolution No. 97943A (Second Series) is hereby confirmed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—13.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Powers, Shannon—5.

Bill No. 8135, Ordinance No. 7647 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors July 6, 1927, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in four installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of the following crossings; Garfield and Ralston streets; Garfield and Beverly streets; Monticello and Shields streets; Beverly and Shields streets, by grading to official line and grade; by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks of the full official width on the angular corners thereof; by the construction of the necessary catchbasins with accompanying 10-inch ironstone pipe culverts; by the construction of 8-inch, 12-inch, 18-inch and 21-inch ironstone pipe sewers as required; by the construction of brick manholes, and by the construction of asphaltic concrete pavements, consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and a 1½-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface on the roadways thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—13.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Powers, Shannon—5.

Action Deferred.

The following resolutions were, on motion, *laid over one week*:

Garage Permit.

Supervisor Deasy presented:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That James Christie, Jack Coyne and Peter Coyne be and

they are hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to operate and maintain a public garage in building to be erected at the esaterly corner of Fourteenth and West Portal avenues upon the property described as Lot 17 in Block 2989B and bounded partly by the southwesterly line of West Portal avenue and the northeasterly line of Fourteenth avenue.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within a period of six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Transfer of $42,508.21 From Twin Peaks Tunnel Assessment Fund to Municipal Railway Fund for Money Advanced for Construction of Tunnel.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $42,508.21 be and the same is hereby transferred from the Twin Peaks Ridge Tunnel Assessment Fund to the Municipal Railway Fund and the Board of Public Works, the Tax Collector, Auditor and Treasurer are hereby directed to make such entries in their official records and books of account as will correctly show such transfer; said transfer is for the purpose of reimbursing said Municipal Railway Fund for moneys advanced therefrom and used in the construction of the Twin Peaks Tunnel project.

Appropriations, McLaren Park Appraisements.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside and appropriated out of Budget Item No. 70, Appropriation No. 1090, "Purchase of lands for public purposes in Mission District," and authorized in payment to the hereinafter named persons; being payments for appraising land required for park purposes, to-wit:

(1) George Gilmour, to appraising buildings situate on land required for park purposes (claim dated July 19, 1927), $4,600.

(2) L. P. Kerner, to appraising 2179 parcels of property required for park purposes (claim dated May 2, 1927), $6,537.

(3) Raymond R. Stevens, to appraising 2179 parcels of property required for park purposes (claim dated May 2, 1927), $6,537.

(4) Wm. A. Schadde, to appraising 2179 parcels of property required for park purposes (claim dated May 2, 1927), $6,537.

PRESENTATION OF BILLS AND ACCOUNTS.

Your Finance Committee, having examined miscellaneous demands not required by law to be passed to print, and amounting to $54,871.36, recommends same be allowed and ordered paid.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—13.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Powers, Shannon—5.

NEW BUSINESS.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Authorizations.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy:

Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

Park Fund.

(1) John Finn Metal Works, sundry metal bars, nuts, etc., for Park bear cages (claim dated Aug. 5, 1927), $710.15.

(2) Gantner & Mattern Co., bathing suits (claim dated Aug. 5, 1927), $962.89.

(3) Krogh Pump & Machinery Co., furnishing and installing of deep well turbine pump, etc. (claim dated Aug. 5, 1927), $1,365.50.

(4) Rossi Motor Car Co., two "Star" autos and two "Star" trucks for Parks (claim dated Aug. 5, 1927), $2,905.

Tubercular Sanitarium Fund.

(5) The Sheet Metal Shop, conditional acceptance payment for kitchen equipment at Tuberculosis Preventorium (claim dated Aug. 3, 1927), $4,442.85.

County Road Fund.

(6) James M. Smith, 7th payment, improvement of Market Street Extension, Mono to Ord streets (claim dated Aug. 3, 1927), $6,750.

(7) L. J. Cohn, payment in full for improvement of the Great Highway from Ulloa street to southerly termination (claim dated Aug. 3, 1927), $10,555.85.

(8) Feredal Construction Co., improvement of Masonic avenue from

Turk to McAllister streets (claim dated Aug. 3, 1927), $5,206.17.

Water Consiruction Fund, Bond Issue 1910.

(9) Kassabaum & Montfort, crushed rock furnished (claim dated Aug. 1, 1927), $1,387.50.

(10) R. W. Kinney Company, wrought steel pipe (claim dated Aug. 1, 1927), $6,883.69.

School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923.

(11) Alta Electric Co., 3rd payment, electrical work for Mission (Everett) Junior High School (claim dated Aug. 3, 1927), $3,348.75.

(12) Amoroso & Damico, final payment, general construction of the Edison School (claim dated Aug. 3, 1927), $4,000.

(13) Anderson & Ringrose, 5th payment, general construction of the Hearst Moulder School (claim dated Aug. 3, 1927), $14,895.

(14) Edward F. Doud, first payment, electrical fixtures for the Edison School (claim dated August 3, 1927), $1,020.

(15) Latourette-Fical Co., 8th payment, plumbing for Mission (Everett) Junior High School (claim dated Aug. 3, 1927), $1,875.12.

(16) A. Lettich, 6th payment, plumbing for Hearst Moulder School (claim dated Aug. 3, 1927), $932.62.

(17) Mahony Bros., 6th payment, general construction of the Sherman School (claim dated Aug. 3, 1927), $19,587.19.

(18) R. W. Moller, 11th payment, general construction of the Mission (Everett) Junior High School (claim dated Aug. 3, 1927), $44,604.67.

(19) Pacific Electric Construction Co., first payment, electric work on Portola Junior High School (claim dated Aug. 3, 1927), $5,879.55.

(20) Scott Company, 5th payment, mechanical equipment for Mission (Everett) Junior High School (claim dated Aug. 3, 1927), $10,617.75.

(21) Scott Company, first payment, mechanical equipment for Portola Junior High School (claim dated Aug. 3, 1927), $3,604.27.

(22) Scott Company, first payment, mechanical equipment for Sherman School (claim dated Aug. 3. 1927), $2,031.94.

(23) Thos. Skelly, 4th payment, mechanical equipment for addition to Sunnyside School (claim dated Aug. 3, 1927), $1,328.14.

(24) O. Monson, 4th payment, general construction of Portola Junior High School (claim dated Aug. 3, 1927), $34,687.50.

Hetch Hetchy Power Operative Fund.

(25) M. M. O'Shaughnessy, revolving fund expenditures, as per vouchers (claim dated July 30, 1927), $832.50.

Hetch Hetchy Water Bonds, 1925.

(26) Dunham, Carrigan & Hayden Co., miscellaneous hardware (claim dated July 29, 1927), $515.25.

(27) H. R. Landfare Co., (The Bank of California, Assignee), for cedar poles (claim dated July 29, 1927), $1,974.

(28) Pacific Tank & Pipe Co., two redwood tanks (claim dated July 29, 1927), $626.40.

(29) The Pacific Telephone & Telegraph Co., one posthole digger and equipment (claim dated July 29, 1927), $600.

(30) Santa Fe Lumber Co., lumber (claim dated July 29, 1927), $659.99.

(31) Chas. K. Spaulding Logging Co., lumber (claim dated July 29, 1927), $646.66.

(32) State Compensation Insurance Fund, insurance premium on Hetch Hetchy employees (claim dated July 29, 1927), $2,759.86.

(33) George H. Tay Co., pipe, etc., (claim dated July 29, 1927), $717.67.

(34) United Commercial Co., rail, angle bars, etc. (claim dated July 29, 1927), $603.70.

General Fund, 1926-1927.

(35) W. Q. Wright, Ralph A. Beebee and A. A. Peters, progress payment for dredging at Marina Yacht Harbor (claim dated Aug. 5, 1927), $11,512.50.

(36) Pearson & Johnson, progress payment, moving club houses at foot of Van Ness avenue (claim dated Aug. 5, 1927), $4,000.

(37) T. M. Gallagher, City's portion, improvement of Elizabeth street from Hoffman avenue to Burnham street (claim dated Aug. 3, 1927), $6,300.

(38) Frank J. McHugh, 5th payment, construction of sewer and appurtenances in westerly Sunset District (claim dated Aug. 3, 1927), $8,550.

(39) James M. Smith, first payment, grading-construction of Municipal Airport, Contract No. 8 (claim dated Aug. 3, 1927), $18,000.

(40) California Petroleum Corporation, fuel oil for Civic Center Power House (claim dated June 30, 1927), $888.37.

(41) W. S. Dickey Clay Manufacturing Co., paving brick for street reconstruction (claim dated July 28, 1927), $1,472.98.

(42) San Francisco Bulletin, advertising Public Administrator's Re-

ports for 1926-1927 (claim dated June 30, 1927), $680.20.

(43) J. D. Galloway, professional service in connection with appearance before War Department, Washington, D. C., in matter of transbay bridge (claim dated June 30, 1927), $1,846.45.

(44) Phillips & Van Orden Co., printing tax bills (claim dated June 30, 1927), $1,065.94.

Playground Fund.

(45) The Fay Improvement Co., construction of asphalt tennis and basketball courts at Funston playground, and repairs at James Lick playground (claim dated Aug. 3, 1927), $6,367.23.

(46) The Fay Improvement Co., construction of addition to tennis court at Funston playground (claim dated Aug. 3, 1927), $876.37.

(47) Spring Valley Water Co., water furnished playgrounds (claim dated Aug. 3, 1927), $1,634.35.

Hetch Hetchy Operative Revenue Fund.

(48) Railroad Commission of the State of California, expense of valuation proceedings on San Francisco electric properties, month of July, 1927 (claim dated July 11, 1927), $1,500.

(49) Railroad Commission of the State of California, expense of valuation proceedings on San Francisco electric properties, month of August, 1927 (claim dated Aug. 8, 1927), $1,000.

Sunset Tunnel Assessment Fund.

(50) Leonard F. Youdall and R. G. Clifford (Youdall Construction Co.), 14th payment, construction of Duboce avenue-Sunset Tunnel (claim dated Aug. 3, 1927), $88,676.70.

(51) Leonard F. Youdall and R. G. Clifford (Youdall Construction Co.), extra work, construction of Duboce avenue-Sunset Tunnel (claim dated Aug. 3, 1927), $3,070.12.

General Fund, 1927-1928.

(52) James M. Smith, final payment, construction of Canal street sewer extension, Contract 3 (claim dated Aug. 3, 1927), $3,746.

(53) San Francisco Society for the Prevention of Cruelty to Animals, impounding, feeding, etc. of animals (claim dated Aug. 8, 1927), $1,250.

Appropriation, $20,000, Bay Shore Highway.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $20,000 be and the smae is hereby set aside, apropriated and authorized to be expended out of County Road Fund for the construction of the Bay Shore Highway.

Appropriations, Street Program, 1927-1928, County Road Fund.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of County Road Fund for the improvement of the following streets, to-wit:

Beale street, Mission street to The Embarcadero (resurfacing), $16,200.

Davis street, Pacific to Broadway, $3,600.

Fourth street, Market to Mission streets, $9,000.

Larkin street, California to Jackson streets, $6,840.

Larkin street, Pacific street to Union street, $14,400.

Pine street, Leavenworth to Taylor streets (widening), $4,500.

Seventh street, Mission to Brannan (resurfacing), $15,300.

Roosevelt way, $45,000.

Total, $114,840.

Supervisor Marks voted *no* on all items except Roosevelt way, stating he would present a written statement to be entered in the journal as to his reasons for so voting.

Street Reconstruction Program, 1927-1928.

Also, Bill No. 8146, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Authorizing and ordering the reconstruction and repair of certain accepted streets in the City and County of San Francisco under the supervision of the Board of Public Works of said City and County during the fiscal year ending June 30, 1928, and appropriating funds for the cost thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works of the City and County of San Francisco is hereby authorized and ordered to reconstruct and repair, under its supervision, those certain accepted streets in said City and County, particularly designated and described in Ordinance No. 7558 (New Series), approved June 6, 1927.

There is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended by the said Board of Public Works the sum of $100,350, payable out of Item No. 81, Budget 1927-1928, for the reconstruction and repair of the following streets, to-wit:

Clay street, Taylor to Jones streets, $4,860.

Drumm street, Jackson to Pacific streets, $4,500.

Ellis street, Polk street to Van Ness avenue, $3,600.

Fillmore street, Broadway to Green street, $6,300.

Filbert street, Hyde to Leavenworth streets, $4,500.

Jackson street, Stockton to Powell streets, $4,050.

Leavenworth street, Columbus avenue to Jefferson street, $3,600.

Polk street, Broadway to Vallejo street, $3,600.

Stockton street, Lombard to Bay streets, $10,800.

Sutter street, Broderick street to Presidio avenue (widening), $11,250.

Steiner street, Haight to Oak streets, $10,800.

Steiner street, Grove to Fulton streets, $3,150.

Taylor street, Green to Lombard streets, $13,500.

Twenty-fifth street, Fair Oaks to Dolores streets, $3,150.

Twenty-second street, Church to Dolores streets, $5,850.

Valparaiso street, Mason to Taylor streets, $3,240.

Octavia street, Jackson to Pacific streets, $3,600.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Amending Ordinance of Additional Positions.

Also, Bill No. 8136, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Subdivision (G) of Section 27 of Ordinance No. 5460 (New Series), known as the "Ordinance of Additional Positions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. That Subdivision (G) of Section 27 of Ordinance No. 5460 (New Series), known as the "Ordinance of Additional Positions," be and is hereby amended to read as follows:

(G) 20 inspectors, each at a salary of $3,000 a year.

Section 2. This ordinance to take effect immediately.

Authorizing Plans, Etc., for the Improvement of San Jose Avenue Between Cotter Street and Ottawa Avenue.

Also, Bill No. 8137, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Authorizing the preparation of plans and specifications for the improvement of portions of San Jose avenue between Cotter street and Ottawa avenue as hereinafter described, and ordering the improvement of portions of San Jose avenue between Cotter street and Ottawa avenue, as hereinafter described, in accordance with said plans and specifications prepared therefor; authorizing and directing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for said improvement of portions of San Jose avenue in accordance with the said plans and specifications.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized, instructed and empowered to prepare plans and specifications for the improvement of portions of San Jose avenue and to enter into contract for said improvement of portions of San Jose avenue in accordance with the plans and specifications prepared therefor, as follows, to-wit:

The southeasterly side of San Jose avenue between Cotter street and Capistrano avenue.

The northwesterly side of San Jose avenue in front of Balboa Park.

The northwesterly side of San Jose avenue between Ocean avenue and Niagara avenue.

San Jose avenue between the southerly line of Niagara avenue and the southerly line of Mount Vernon avenue.

The southeasterly side of San Jose avenue between the southerly line of Mount Vernon avenue and Ottawa avenue.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Authorizing Plans, Etc., for Extension of High Pressure System.

Also, Bill No. 8138, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Authorizing the preparation of plans and specifications for the hauling and laying of extensions to the high-pressure water system for fire protection, and ordering the hauling and laying of extensions to the high-pressure water system for fire protection in accordance with said plans and specifications prepared therefor; authorizing and directing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for said hauling and laying of said extensions to the high-pressure water system for fire protection, and permitting progressive payments to be made during the course of said hauling and laying of said extensions.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized, instructed and empowered to prepare plans and specifications for the hauling and laying of extensions to the high-pressure water system for fire protection connecting with the

high-pressure water system for fire protection at Twenty-fifth and Harrison streets; thence along Twenty-fifth street to Potrero avenue; thence along Potrero avenue to Army street; thence along Army street to Evans avenue; thence along Evans avenue to meet the Butchertown pipe line at Evans avenue and Rankin street, and also to extend a loop through San Bruno avenue and through Napoleon street and to enter into contract for the hauling and laying of said extensions to the high-pressure water mains for fire protection in accordance with the plans and specifications prepared therefor.

Section 2. The said Board of Public Works is hereby authorized and permitted to incorporate in the contract for the said hauling and laying of high-pressure water mains for fire protection, conditions that progressive payments shall be made in the manner set forth in said specifications and as provided by Section 21, Chapter 1, Article VI of the Charter.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Oil and Boiler Permits.

On motion of Supervisor Deasy:

Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits be and are hereby granted:

Oil Tanks.

J. B. Levison, 2420 Pacific avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

Wm. Martin, 32 Presidio Terrace, 1500 gallons capacity.

A. B. Stevens, northeast corner of Anza street and Thirty-fifth avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

R. Monson, southwest corner of Cole and Carmel streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

L. D. Stoff, southeast corner of Octavia and Bay streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

Ackerman Realty Co., 1859 Post street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Stein & Kreig, west side of Fourteenth avenue, 75 feet south of Geary street, 1500 gallons capacity.

A. M. Samuelson, south side of Monterey boulevard, 70 feet north of Northgate drive, 1500 gallons capacity.

Chas. J. W. Koenig, Magellan avenue, 545 feet east of Pacheco street, 750 gallons capacity.

E. Happersberger, east side of Arguello boulevard, 103 feet 4 inches south of Clay street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Boiler.

Ideal Laundry, Inc., northeast corner Seventh and Harrison streets, 200-horsepower.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits shall become null and void.

Repealing Ordinance No. 7593 (New Series), Ordering the Improvement of South One-half of Anza Street.

On motion of Supervisor Marks:

Bill No. 8139, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Repealing Ordinance No. 7593 (New Series), ordering the improvement of the south one-half of Anza street between Forty-sixth avenue and Forty-seventh avenue by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks six feet in width where artificial stone sidewalks six feet or more in width have not already been constructed.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 7593 (New Series), ordering the improvement of the south one-half of Anza street between Forty-sixth avenue and Forty-seventh avenue by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks six feet in width where artificial stone sidewalks six feet or more in width have not already been constructed, is hereby repealed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Changing and Reestablishing Grades on Roosevelt Way.

Also, Bill No. 8140, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Changing and reestablishing the official grades on Roosevelt way and adjacent streets as shown on map entitled "Grade Map of Roosevelt way and adjacent streets".

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors, on the written recommendation of the Board of Public Works, did, on the 6th day of May, 1927, by Resolution No. 27185 (New Series) declare its intention to change and reestablish the grades on Roosevelt way and adjacent streets as shown on map entitled "Grade Map of Roosevelt way and adjacent streets".

Whereas, said resolution was so published for ten days, and the Board of Public Works within ten days after the first publication of said resolution of intention caused notices of the passage of said resolution to be conspicuously posted along all streets specified in the resolution, in the manner and as provided by law; and

Whereas, more than forty days has elapsed since the first publica-

tion of said resolution of intention; therefore,

Be it ordained by the People of the City and Couunty of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The grades on the following named streets at the points hereinafter named and at the elevations above city base as hereinafter stated, are hereby changed and established as follows:

The grades on Roosevelt way and adjacent streets as shown on map entitled "Grade Map of Roosevelt way and adjacent streets" changed and established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given thereto.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Spur Track Permit, T. I. Butler Co.

Also, Bill No. 8141, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Granting permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to T. I. Butler Co. to construct, maintain and operate a spur track in Harrison street between Nineteenth and Twentieth streets as hereinafter described.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, is hereby granted to T. I. Butler Co. to construct, maintain and operate a spur track in and along Harrison street, being particularly described as follows, to-wit:

Beginning at a point on the center line of the existing Southern Pacific Company main track in Harrison street, said point being distant southerly 90 feet, more or less, from the southerly line of Twentieth street produced, and distant easterly 41.2 feet from the westerly line of Harrison street; thence in a northeasterly direction through a No. 8 girder rail turnout to the right and curving to the right and left, crossing the intersection of Harrison street and Twentieth street for a distance of 195 feet, more or less, to a point in Harrison street, said point being distant westerly 10 feet from the easterly line of Harrison street and distant northerly 37 feet, more or less, from the northerly line of Twentieth street produced; thence in a northerly direction parallel to and distant westerly at right angles 10 feet from the easterly line of Harrison street for a distance of 175 feet, more or less, to end of track.

Said permission is granted subject to the provisions of Ordinance No. 69 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors, approved October 12, 1906, and the provisions and conditions of Section 8 thereof are hereby specifically contained in the permit hereby granted, and shall be construed as a part hereof as completely as though the same were written in this ordinance.

Provided, that said track shall be laid under the supervision and to the lines and grades as furnished by the City Engineer's office, and that any and all expense connected with the installation of the track, restoration of the pavement and any additional requirements for the surface drainage is to be paid for by the T. I. Butler Co.; provided, that T. I. Butler Co. shall erect and maintain all-night lighted arc lamps, to be placed where directed by the Lighting Committee of the Board of Supervisors.

Provided, that girder rail be used; that protection be given to the valves and fittings of the high-pressure system where the track crosses it; that the granite curb removed be hauled to the Corporation Yard; that the pavement disturbed be restored in good condition, and that all work be done under the supervision of the Board of Public Works.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Amending Traffic Ordinance, One-way Traffic, Sloat Boulevard.

On motion of Supervisor Todd.

Bill No. 8142, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1857 (New Series), entitled "Regulating moving travel and traffic upon the streets and other public places of the City and County of San Francisco, and providing a punishment for any violation thereof," by adding a new section thereto to be numbered and designated Section 19-C.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1857 (New Series), the title of which is hereinabove recited, is hereby amended by adding a new section thereto and to be numbered and designated Section 19-C, as follows:

Section 19-C. It shall be unlawful for any owner, driver or operator of any motor or horsedrawn vehicle or animal to operate such vehicle or animal on any of the following named one-way traffic

streets excepting in the direction as herein provided:

(a) Westbound on Sloat boulevard from Junipero Serra boulevard to the Great Highway, on the northerly side thereof only.

(b) Eastbound on Sloat boulevard from the Great Highway to Junipero Serra boulevard, on the southerly side thereof only.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Providing for the Issuance and Redemption of War Memorial Bonds to the Amount of $4,000,000.

City Attorney presented:

Bill No. 8143, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Providing for the issuance and redemption of bonds of the City and County of San Francisco to the amount of four million ($4,000,000) dollars for the acquisition, construction and completion of a permanent improvement, to-wit: The construction, completion and equipment of permanent buildings in or adjacent to the Civic Center in the City and County of San Francisco, to be used as memorial halls for war veterans, and for educational, recreational, entertainment and other municipal purposes, and the purchase of all equipment and furnishings necessary for said buildings, in accordance with and as authorized at a special election held in said City and County on the 14th day of June, 1927.

Whereas, a special election was held in the City and County of San Francisco on the 14th day of June, 1927, in accordance with the provisions and requirements of Ordinance No. 7516 (New Series), calling and providing for such election, and Resolution No. 27283 (New Series), giving notice thereof, reference to said ordinance and resolution for further particulars being here made; and

Whereas, it has been determined by Resolution No. 27642 (New Series), reference thereto for further particulars being here made, that at such special election more than two-thirds of the votes cast thereat were cast and counted as being in favor of and to authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness for the purpose and to the amount stated in the proposition submitted thereat; now, therefore,

Be it ordained by the people of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. That bonds of the City and County of San Francisco be issued in accordance with the result of the special election held in said City and County on the 14th day of June, 1927, and the provisions of said Charter of said City and County and with Ordinance No. 7516 (New Series), and Resolution No. 27283 (New Series), as follows, to-wit:

That bonds of the City and County of San Francisco be issued to the amount of four million ($4,000,000) dollars for the purpose of the acquisition, construction and completion of a permanent improvement, to-wit: The construction, completion and equipment of permanent buildings in or adjacent to the Civic Center in the City and County of San Francisco, to be used as memorial halls for war veterans, and for educational, recreational, entertainment and other municipal purposes, and the purchase of all equipment and furnishings necessary for said buildings.

That such bonds shall be called "Memorial Halls Bonds," shall be 400 in number, and shall be numbered from 1 to 4000, both inclusive, and shall be payable two hundred thousand ($200,000) dollars thereof five years from the date of said bonds, beginning with the lowest number, and two hundred thousand ($200,000) dollars thereof of the next higher numbers on the same day in each succeeding year until all of said bonds shall be paid.

Section 2. All of the bonds issued as herein provided shall be of the form and character known as "serials." All of said bonds shall be dated September 1, 1927, shall bear interest at the rate of four and one-half per centum per annum, payable semi-annually on the first day of January and July of each year untill the maturity thereof; shall be of the denomination of $1,000 each, and the principal and interest thereof shall be payable in gold coin of the United States at the office of the Treasurer of said City and County, or, at the option of the holder, at the fiscal agency of said City and County in the City and State of New York.

Section 3. Said bonds shall be signed by the Mayor and by the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco, countersigned by the Auditor, and attested by the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors with the seal of said City and County.

Said bonds shall be in substantially the following form;

The United States of America.
State of California.
City and County of San Francisco.
Memorial Halls Bond.

No. —— $1,000.00

For value received, the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of California, hereby acknowledges itself indebted and promises to pay to the bearer, on the first day of ——, 19——, one thousand dollars, with interest thereon at the rate of 4½ per centum per annum, payable semi-annually January 1 and July 1, on presentation and surrender of the coupons hereto attached as they respectively become due, both principal and interest being payable in gold coin of the United States at the office of the Treasurer of said City and County, or, at the option of the holder, at the fiscal agency of said City and County of San Francisco in the City and State of New York.

This bond is issued under and pursuant to the Constitution and statutes of the State of California and the Charter of said City and County of San Francisco and amendments thereto, and under and pursuant to ordinances and proceedings of said City and County duly adopted and taken, and a vote and assent of more than two-thirds of all the qualified electors of said City and County voting at a special election duly and legally called and held for that purpose.

It is hereby certified, recited and declared that all acts, conditions and things required by law to exist, happen and be performed precedent to and in the issuance of this bond have existed, happened and been performed in due time, form and manner as required by law, and that the amount of this bond, together with all other indebtedness of said City and County, does not exceed any limit prescribed by the Constitution or statutes of said State or Charter of said City and County, and that provision has been made as required by the Constitution and statutes of said State and the Charter of said City and County for the collection of an annual tax sufficient to pay the interest on this bond as it falls due, and also provision to constitute a sinking fund for the payment of the principal of this bond on or before maturity. The full faith and credit of said City and County are hereby pledged for the punctual payment of the principal and interest of this bond.

This bond may be converted into a registered bond upon presentation to the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco, in which event such Treasurer shall cut off and cancel the coupons of this bond, and shall sign a statement stamped, printed or written upon the back or face of the bond to the effect that this bond is registered in the name of the owner and that thereafter the interest and principal of this bond are payable to the registered owner. Thereafter and from time to time this bond may be transferred by such registered owner in person or by attorney duly authorized on presentation of this bond to the Treasurer, and the bond be again registered as before, a similar statement being stamped, printed or written thereon.

This bond is exempt from all taxation within the State of California.

In witness whereof, said City and County of San Francisco has caused this bond to be executed under its corporate seal, signed by its Mayor and Treasurer, and countersigned by its Auditor, and has caused the interest coupons hereto attached to be signed with the engraved or lithographed signature of its Treasurer, and this bond to be dated the first day of September, 1927.

.....
Mayor.

.....
Treasurer.

Countersigned:

.....
Auditor.

.....
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors. (Seal).

Section 4. Interest coupons shall be attached to each bond to the number of twice the number of years such bond will run until the maturity thereof, and the coupons shall be of such a sum as will amount to the interest due on such bond for six months and there shall be a coupon attached representing the amount of interest due from September 1, 1927, to January 1, 1928. Such coupons shall be numbered from one upwards and shall state the name and number of the bond to which it is attached. Such coupons shall bear the facsimile signature of the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco and be substantially in the following form:

Form of Coupon.

No. ——. $——.

On ——— 1, 19—, the City and County of San Francisco, California, will pay to bearer at the office of the Treasurer of said City and County, or at the option of the holder at the fiscal agency of the City and County of San Francisco, in the City and State of New York, ——— dollars ($——) in gold coin of the United States, being six months' interest then due on its "Memorial Halls" Bond, dated September 1, 1927, number ——.

. . . . . .

Treasurer.

The form of coupon for interest from September 1, 1927, to January 1, 1928, shall be of similar form as the above set forth form of coupon, with the exception that the coupon shall recite that it is four months' interest due on said bond.

Section 5. Any bond may be converted into a registered bond upon presentation to the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco, in which event such Treasurer shall cut off and cancel the coupons of this bond, and shall sign a statement stamped, printed or written upon the back or face of the bond to the effect that the bond is registered in the name of the owner and that thereafter the interest and principal of the bond are payable to the registered owner. Thereafter and from time to time the bond may be transferred by such registered owner in person or attorney duly authorized on presentation of the bond to the Treasurer, and the bond be again registered as before, a similar statement being stamped, printed or written thereon. Such registration shall be substantially in the following form:

Form of Registration.

San Francisco, ———, 19—

This bond is registered pursuant to Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, in the name of ——— and the interest and principal thereof are hereafter payable to such owner.

. . . . . .

Treasurer.

Section 6. For the purpose of providing for the payment of the principal and interest of said bonds, taxes shall be levied and collected as provided in Ordinance No. 7516 (New Series) and Resolution No. 27283 (New Series), and in furtherance of the purpose herein expressed the following fund is hereby created, to-wit: "Memorial Halls Bond Interest and Redemption Fund."

Section 7. The Board of Supervisors shall sell said bonds at such times and in such amounts as it may determine. The proceeds arising from the sale of Memorial Halls Bonds shall be placed in the treasury to the credit of the "Memorial Halls Construction Fund," which fund is hereby created, and shall be used exclusively for the purpose for which such bonds were issued.

Section 8. This ordinance shall take effect immediately. And the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors is hereby directed to advertise this bill and ordinance in the official newspaper as required by law.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—13.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Powers, Shannon—5.

Providing for the Issuance and Redemption of Bernal Cut Bonds to the Amount of $1,400,000.

Also, Bill No. 8144, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Providing for the issuance and redemption of bonds of the City and County of San Francisco, to the amount of one million four hundred thousand ($1,400,000) dollars, for the acquisition, construction and completion of a permanent improvement, to-wit: A cut or excavation with approach fills, providing an improved route for public highway purposes in the City and County of San Francisco, known as the Bernal Cut, which improvement begins at or near the intersection of Randall and Dolores streets and extending southerly to a point at or near the intersection of San Jose avenue and Diamond street in the City and County of San Francisco, including approaches, structures, pavements, curbs, sidewalks, sewers, drainage facilities, the readjustment of intersecting and adjacent streets and other improvements, and the purchase of necessary lands, in accordance with and as authorized at a special election held in said City and County on the 14th day of June, 1927.

Whereas, a special election was held in the City and County of San Francisco on the 14th day of June, 1927, in accordance with the provisions and requirements of Ordinance No. 7512 (New Series), calling and providing for such election,

and Resolution No. 27282 (New Series), giving notice thereof, reference to said ordinance and resolution for further particulars being here made; and

Whereas, it has been determined by Resolution No. 27643 (New Series), reference thereto for further particulars being here made, that at such special election more than two-thirds of the votes cast thereat were cast and counted as being in favor of and to authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness for the purpose and to the amount stated in the proposition submitted thereat; now, therefore,

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. That bonds of the City and County of San Francisco be issued in accordance with the result of the special election held in said City and County on the 14th day of June, 1927, and the provisions of said Charter of said City and County and with Ordinance No. 7512 (New Series), and Resolution No. 27282 (New Series), as follows, to-wit:

That bonds of the City and County of San Francisco be issued to the amount of one million four hundred thousand ($1,400,000) dollars for the purpose of the acquisition, construction and completion of a permanent improvement, to-wit: A cut or excavation with approach fills and other improvements providing an improved route for public highway purposes in the City and County of San Francisco known as the Bernal Cut, which improvement begins at or near the intersection of Randall and Dolores streets and extending southerly to a point at or near the intersection of San Jose avenue and Diamond street in the City and County of San rancisco.

That such bonds shall be called "Bernal Cut Bonds," shall be 1400 in number, and shall be numbered from 1 to 1400, both inclusive, and shall be payable seventy thousand dollars thereof five years from the date of said bonds, beginning with the lowest number, and seventy thousand dollars thereof of the next higher numbers on the same day in each succeeding year until all of said bonds shall be paid.

Section 2. All of the bonds issued as herein provided shall be of the form and character known as "serials." All of said bonds shall be dated September 1, 1927, shall bear interest at the rate of four and one-half per centum per annum, payable semi-annually on the first day of January and July of each year until the maturity thereof; shall be of the denomination of $1,000 each, and the principal and interest thereof shall be payable in gold coin of the United States at the office of the Treasurer of said City and County, or, at the option of the holder, at the fiscal agency of said City and County in the City and State of New York.

Section 3. Said bonds shall be signed by the Mayor and by the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco, countersigned by the Auditor, and attested by the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors with the seal of said City and County.

Said bonds shall be in substantially the following form:

The United States of America.
State of California.
City and County of San Francisco.
Bernal Cut Bond.

No. ——— $1,000.00

For value received, the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of California, hereby acknowledges itself indebted and promises to pay to the bearer, on the first day of ———, 19—, one thousand dollars, with interest thereon at the rate of 4½ per centum per annum, payable semi-annually January 1 and July 1, on presentation and surrender of the coupons hereto attached as they respectively become due, both principal and interest being payable in gold coin of the United States at the office of the Treasurer of said City and County, or, at the option of the holder, at the fiscal agency of said City and County of San Francisco in the City and State of New York.

This bond is issued under and pursuant to the Constitution and statutes of the State of California and the Charter of said City and County of San Francisco and amendments thereto, and under and pursuant to ordinances and proceedings of said City and County duly adopted and taken, and a vote and assent of more than two-thirds of all the qualified electors of said City and County voting at a special election duly and legally called and held for that purpose.

It is hereby certified, recited and declared that all acts, conditions and things required by law to exist, happen and be performed precedent to and in the issuance of this bond have existed, happened and been performed in due time, form and manner as required by law, and that the amount of this bond, together with all other indebtedness of said City and County, does not exceed

any limit prescribed by the Constitution or statutes of said State or Charter of said City and County, and that provision has been made as required by the Constitution and statutes of said State and the Charter of said City and County for the collection of an annual tax sufficient to pay the interest on this bond as it falls due, and also provision to constitute a sinking fund for the payment of the principal of this bond on or before maturity. The full faith and credit of said City and County are hereby pledged for the punctual payment of the principal and interest of this bond.

This bond may be converted into a registered bond upon presentation to the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco, in which event such Treasurer shall cut off and cancel the coupons of this bond, and shall sign a statement stamped, printed or written upon the back or face of the bond to the effect that this bond is registered in the name of the owner and that thereafter the interest and principal of this bond are payable to the registered owner. Thereafter and from time to time this bond may be transferred by such registered owner in person or by attorney duly authorized on presentatoin of this bond to the Treasurer, and the bond be again registered as before, a similar statement being stamped, printed or written thereon.

This bond is exempt from all taxation within the State of California.

In witness whereof, said City and County of San Francisco has caused this bond to be executed under its corporate seal, signed by its Mayor and Treasurer, and countersigned by its Auditor, and has caused the interest coupons hereto attached to be signed with the engraved or lithographed signature of its Treasurer, and this bond to be dated the first day of September, 1927.

....., Mayor.

....., Treasurer.

Countersigned:

....., Auditor.

....., Clerk of the Board of Supervisors.

(Seal)

Section 4. Interest coupons shall be attached to each bond to the number of twice the number of years such bond will run until the maturity thereof, and the coupons shall be of such a sum as will amount to the interest due on such bond for six months, and there shall be a coupon attached representing the amount of interest due from September 1st, 1927, to January 1st, 1928. Such coupons shall be numbered from one upwards and shall state the name and number of the bond to which it is attached. Such coupons shall bear the fac-simile signature of the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco and be substantially in the following form:

Form of Coupon.

No..... $.....

On.. .....1, 19...., the City and County of San Francisco, California, will pay to bearer at the office of the Treasurer of said City and County, or at the option of the holder of the fiscal agency of the City and County of San Francisco, in the State of New York, ... dollars ($.....) in gold coin of the United States, being six months' interest then due on its "Bernal Cut" bond dated September 1, 1927, number .....

....., Treasurer.

The form of coupon for interest from September 1st, 1927, to January 1st, 1928, shall be of similar form as the above set forth form of coupon, with the exception that the coupon shall recite that it is four months' interest due on said bond.

Section 5. Any bond may be converted into a registered bond upon presentation to the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco, in which event such Treasurer shall cut off and cancel the coupons of this bond, and shall sign a statement stamped, printed or written upon the back or face of the bond to the effect that the bond is registered in the name of the owner and that thereafter the interest and principal of the bond are payable to the registered owner. Thereafter and from time to time the bond may be transferred by such registered owner in person or attorney duly authorized on presentation of the bond to the Treasurer, and the bond be again registered as before, a similar statement being stamped, printed or written thereon. Such registration shall be substantially in the following form:

Form of Registration.

San Francisco, ..., 19..

This bond is registered pursuant to Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, in the name of ....., and the interest and principal thereof are hereafter payable to such owner.

....., Treasurer.

Section 6. For the purpose of providing for the payment of the principal and interest of said bonds, taxes shall be levied and collected as provided in Ordinance No. 7512 (New Series) and Resolution No. 27282 (New Series), and in furtherance of the purpose herein expressed the following fund is hereby created, to-wit: "Bernal Cut Bond Interest and Redemption Fund."

Section 7. The Board of Supervisors shall sell said bonds at such times and in such amounts as it may determine. The proceeds arising from the sale of Bernal Cut bonds shall be placed in the treasury to the credit of the "Bernal Cut Construction Fund," which fund is hereby created, and shall be used exclusively for the purpose for which such bonds were issued.

Section 8. This ordinance shall take effect immediately. And the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors is hereby directed to advertise this bill and ordinance in the official newspaper, as required by law.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—13.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Powers, Shannon—5.

Repealing Portion of Ordinance No. 6662 (New Series) as Establishes Setback Lines Along Portion of Edna Street.

On motion of Supervisor Hayden.

Bill No. 8145, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Repealing so much of Ordinance No. 6662 (New Series) as establishes set-back lines along the westerly side of Edna street between Hearst and Flood avenues.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. So much of Ordinance No. 6662 (New Series), approved June 26, 1925, as establishes setback lines along the westerly side of Edna street between Hearst and Flood avenues is hereby repealed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Appropriation, $325, for Construction of Connecting Driveway Between Wayland and Fitzgerald Avenues.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 27621 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $325 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of County Road Fund for the construction of a connecting driveway between Wayland and Fitzgerald avenues at their junction with Charter Oak avenue.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—13.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Powers, Shannon—5.

Acceptance of Offer of Henry Becker et al. to Sell Certain Property Required for Widening of Portola Drive.

Also, Resolution No. 27622 (New Series) as follows:

Whereas, the owners of the following described lands sought to be acquired by the City and County of San Francisco for the widening of Portola drive have offered to convey the property desired by the City and County of San Francisco for the sum set forth opposite their names, viz.:

Henry Becker and Anna O. Becker, $4,514—Lots 47, 48, 2 and 1, Block 2901, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco.

Whereas, the City Attorney has recommended the acceptance of the said offer and the acquisition of the property owned by said persons and offered to the City and County of San Francisco for the price set forth opposite their names, which said price is in accordance with the City's appraisement of the property; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the said offer of sale be accepted and the City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to examine the title of said property, and, if the same is found in satisfactory condition, to accept, in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, a deed conveying title thereto, and file the same for record with a copy of this resolution attached thereto as evidence of acceptance by the City and County of San Francisco, upon payment of the agreed purchase price.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—13.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Powers, Shannon—5.

Acceptance of Offer of John Scafturos et al. to Sell Certain Property Required for the Opening of Bernal Cut.

Also, Resolution No. 27623 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the owners of the following described lands, situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and sought to be acquired by the City

and County of San Francisco for the opening of Bernal Cut, extending from Mission and Randall streets southwesterly along the Southern Pacific Company's right of way to the intersection of San Jose avenue and Circular avenue, have offered to convey the property desired by said City and County of San Francisco for the sums set forth opposite their names, viz.:

John Scafturos and Lily Scafturos, $7,500 — Commencing at a point on the westerly line of Mission street, distant thereon 315.90 feet northerly from the point formed by the intersection of the said westerly line of Mission street with the northerly line of Charles street, said point of beginning being the northerly boundary line of Block "A," French and Gilman Tract; thence northerly along the westerly line of Mission street 25 feet; thence westerly on a line parallel with the northerly boundary line of Block "A," 58 feet, more or less, to the easterly boundary line of the Southern Pacific Railroad; thence southerly along the last-mentioned line 25 feet, more or less, to the northerly boundary line of Block "A," French and Gilman Tract; thence easterly along said last-mentioned line 60 feet, more or less, to the point of commencement. Being a portion of Sam Brannan's piece of the Islais and Salinas Tract.

John F. McGowan, $2,400—Commencing at the point of intersection of the northerly line of Park street and the southeasterly line of the Southern Pacific Company's right of way, thence running easterly on said northerly line of Park street a distance of 31.5 feet to the westerly boundary line of the property of the City and County of San Francisco; thence deflecting 80 deg. 32 min. to the left and running northerly along said westerly line a distance of 65.00 feet to the southeasterly boundary line of the Southern Pacific Company's right of way; thence southwesterly along said southeasterly line 70 feet, more or less, to its intersection with the northerly line of Park street and the point of beginning. Being a portion of Lot 27 of Block "C" of the French and Gilman Tract, as recorded in Map Book "E and F," page 48, records of the City and County of San Francisco.

James McManus and Mary McManus, $5,060—Lot 47, Block 6692, as per Assessor's Block Book of the City and County of San Francisco.

Whereas, the City Attorney has recommended the acceptance of the said offers and the acquisition of the properties owned by said persons and offered to the City and County of San Francisco for the prices set forth opposite their names, which said prices are in accordance with the City's appraisement of the properties; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the said offers of sale be accepted and the City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to examine the titles of said properties, and, if the same are found in satisfactory condition, to accept, in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, deeds conveying title thereto, and file the same for record with a copy of this resolution attached thereto as evidence of acceptance by the City and County of San Francisco, upon payment of the agreed purchase prices.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—13.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Powers, Shannon—5.

Accepting Offer of Wilber B. Cook et al. to Sell Land Required for the Opening of Bernal Cut.

Also, Resolution No. 27624 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the owners of the following described lands, situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and sought to be acquired by the City and County of San Francisco for the opening of Bernal Cut, extending from Mission and Randall streets southwesterly along the Southern Pacific Company's right of way to the intersection of San Jose avenue and Circular avenue, have offered to convey the property desired by said City and County of San Francisco for the sum set forth opposite their names, viz.:

Wilber B. Cook and Dora L. Cook, $4,500—

Parcel 1. All of Lot 35, Block 1, College Hill Tract, as recorded in Map Book "G," page 18, records of the City and County of San Francisco, saving and excepting therefrom the following described parcel:

Beginning at a point on the northwesterly line of College avenue, distant thereon 125 feet northeasterly from the northeasterly line of St. Mary's avenue, thence northeasterly along said northwesterly line 25 feet to the southwesterly boundary line of Lot 34 of said Block 1; thence northwesterly along said southwesterly line 40.4 feet; thence deflecting 62 deg. 6 min. 11 sec. to the left and running southwesterly 27.877 feet to the northeasterly boundary

line of Lot 36 of said Block 1; thence southeasterly along said northeasterly line 49.2 feet to the northwesterly line of College avenue and the point of beginning.

Parcel 2. Beginning at a point on the northwesterly line of College avenue, distant thereon 125 feet northeasterly from the northeasterly line of St. Mary's avenue, thence northeasterly along said northwesterly line 25 feet to the southwesterly boundary line of Lot 34 of said Block 1; thence northwesterly along said southwesterly line 40.4 feet; thence deflecting 62 deg. 6 min. 11 sec. to the left and running southwesterly 27.877 feet to the northeasterly boundary line of Lot 36 of said Block 1; thence southeasterly along said northeasterly line 49.2 feet to the northwesterly line of College avenue and the point of beginning. Being a portion of Lot 35, Block 1, College Hill Tract, as recorded in Map Book "G," page 18, records of the City and County of San Francisco.

Whereas, the City Attorney has recommended the acceptance of the said offer and the acquisition of the properties owned by said persons and offered to the City and County of San Francisco for the price set forth, which said price is in accordance with the City's appraisement of the properties; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the said offer of sale be accepted and the City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to examine the title of said properties, and if the same is found in satisfactory condition to accept in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco a deed conveying title thereto, and file the same for record with a copy of this resolution attached thereto as evidence of acceptance by the City and County of San Francisco upon the payment of the agreed purchase price; and be it

Further Resolved, That in order to facilitate the possible exchange or sale of Parcel 2 purchased from said Wilber B. Cook and Dora L. Cook, the deed to said Parcel 2 be taken in the name of the California Pacific Title and Trust Company, a corporation, to be held in trust by said company for the City and County of San Francisco and under the directions of said City and County.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—13.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Powers, Shannon—5.

Accepting Offer of Attilio Beronio et al. to Sell Property Required for the Opening of Bay Shore Boulevard.

Also, Resolution No. 27625 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the owners of the following described land, situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and sought to be acquired by the City and County of San Francisco for the opening of Bay Shore boulevard, have offered to convey the properties desired by said City and County of San Francisco for the sums set forth opposite their names, viz.:

Attilio Beronio, $4,900—Beginning at the point of intersection of the southerly boundary of Lot 334, Precita Valley Lands, with the easterly line of San Bruno avenue, and running thence northerly along the easterly line of San Bruno avenue 60 feet to the northerly boundary of said Lot 334; thence at a right angle easterly along said northerly boundary 65 feet; thence at a right angle southerly 60 feet to the southerly boundary of said Lot 334; thence at a right angle westerly along said southerly boundary 65 feet to the point of beginning. Being the westerly 65 feet of Lot No. 334, Precita Valley Lands.

Clara B. Erdin, $2,438—

Parcel 1. Lot No. 37, according to map entitled "Haley's Map No. 1 of Precita Valley Lots Nos. 319 to 333, inclusive," filed in the office of the County Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, December 12, 1861, and recorded in Book 1 of Maps, page 90.

Parcel 2. All of Lot No. 30, according to map entitled "Haley's Map No. 1 of Precita Valley Lots Nos. 319 to 333, inclusive," filed in the office of the County Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, December 12, 1861, and recorded in Book 1 of Maps, page 90.

Whereas, the City Attorney has recommended the acceptance of the said offers and the acquisition of the properties owned by said persons and offered to the City and County of San Francisco for the prices set forth, which said prices are in accordance with the City's appraisement of the properties; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the said offers of sale be accepted and the City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to examine the titles to said properties, and if the same are found in satisfactory condition to accept in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco deeds conveying title thereto, and file the

same for record with a copy of this resolution attached thereto as evidence of acceptance by the City and County of San Francisco upon payment of the agreed purchase prices.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—13.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Powers, Shannon—5.

Transfer of Funds, Various Budget Items, Fiscal Year, 1926-1927, to Reimburse for Labor, Materials, Etc., Performed and Furnished for Repair of Public Buildings.

Also, Resolution No. 27625 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with Resolutions Nos. 98083, 98089 and 98159 (Second Series), Department of Public Works, and in accordance with Section 3, Paragraph "D" of Ordinance No. 7117 (New Series), being the budget for the municipal expenditures for the fiscal year 1926-1927, the following amounts be and are hereby transferred from designated appropriations to the credit of designated appropriations, budget of 1926-1927; being reimbursements for labor, materials and supplies performed and furnished for repair of buildings, streets, etc., to-wit:

From Appropriation 33-C to credit of Appropriation 30-A, $618.75.

From Appropriation 35-B to credit of Appropriation 30-A, $427.50.

From Appropriation 36-B to credit of Appropriation 30-A, $213.75.

From Appropriation 38-C to credit of Appropriation 30-A, $61.88.

From Appropriation 32-B to credit of Appropriation 30-A. $24.75.

From Appropriation 33-C to credit of Appropriation 30-A, $5.

From Appropriation 35-B to credit of Appropriation 30-A, $88.75.

From Appropriation 36-B to credit of Appropriation 30-A, $36.25.

From Appropriation 36-B to credit of Appropriation 33-B, $2,672.44.

From Appropriation 36-B to credit op Appropriation 33-C, $2,311.15.

From the following appropriations to the credit of Appropriation 1:

Appropriation 29-B, $600; Appropriation 34-B, $33; Appropriation 29-C, $9; Apropriation 29-B, $1,911.08; Appropriation 33-C, $186.08; Appropriation 35-B, $246.34; Appropriation 36-B, $47.44; Appropriation 34-B. $129.86; Appropriation 30-B, $67.62; Appropriation 29-C, $49.93; Appropriation 35-B, $2.69.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—13.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Powers, Shannon—5.

Transfer of Funds, Board of Public Works, to Credit of Appropriation 33-C, Budget Item No. 73, Street Repair.

Also, Resolution No. 27627 (New Series), as follows:

Providing that, in accordance with Resolutions Nos. 97877 and 97787 (Second Series), Department of Public Works, and in accordance with Section 3, Paragraph D, of Ordinance No. 7117 (New Series), being the budget for the municipal expenditures for the fiscal year 1926-1927, the following amounts be and are hereby transferred from street reconstruction items contained in said budget for 1926-1927, to the credit of Appropriation 33C, Budget Item No. 73, Street Repair; being reimbursements for account of materials and supplies furnished for street reconstruction for the period July, 1926, to May, 1927, inclusive, to-wit:

From Budget Item No. 125, Leavenworth street, $1,912.54.

From Budget Item No. 126, Lombard street, $2,584.52.

From Budget Item No. 127, Lombard street, $1,334.57.

From Budget Item No. 128, Mason street, $1,619.65.

From Budget Item No. 130, Vallejo street, $1,371.56.

From Budget Item No. 133, Washington street, $1,001.95.

From Budget Item No. 135, California street, $14,692.07.

From Budget Item No. 137, Hayes street, $3,808.71.

From Budget Item No. 142, Clay street, $1,474.18.

From Budget Item No. 143, Broadway, $1,816.16.

From Budget Item No. 89, Franklin street, $925.05.

From Budget Item No. 96, Drumm street, $6,855.98.

From Budget Item No. 98, Grant avenue, $864.72.

From Budget Item No. 105, Leavenworth street, $996.19.

From Budget Item No. 106, Geary street, $6,553.74.

From Budget Item No. 107, Monroe street, $414.68.

From Budget Item No. 110, Taylor street, $1,850.22.

From Budget Item No. 111, Taylor street, $895.71.

From Budget Item No. 113, Baker street, $778.15.

From Budget Item No. 114, Union street, $1,624.26.

From Budget Item No. 117, Chestnut street, $1,501.69.

From Budget Item No. 118, Divisadero street, $1,190.71.

From Budget Item No. 120, Filbert street, $1,334.43.

From Budget Item No. 123, Greenwich street, $1,331.90.

From Budget Item No. 124, Leavenworth street, $875.39.

Total, $59,608.73.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—13.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Powers, Shannon—5.

Set-back Lines No. 150.

Supervisor Hayden presented:

Resolution No. 27628 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the City Planning Commission has recommended that setback lines be established along the streets hereinafter mentioned and as delineated upon maps accompanying said recommendations, to which reference is hereby made for further particulars; therefore, be it

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco to establish set-back lines as provided by Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), approved May 18, 1922, as follows:

Along the easterly side of Fifteenth avenue, commencing at Ulloa street and running thence northerly to a point 132 feet 6 inches southerly from Taraval street, said set-back lines to be 2½ feet.

Along the westerly side of Twentieth avenue, commencing at a point 50 feet northerly from Noriega street and running thence northerly to Moraga street, said set-back line to be 12 feet; along the easterly side of Twentieth avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Noriega street and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly to Moraga street, said set-back line to be 9 feet.

Along the westerly side of Twenty-fourth avenue, commencing at Moraga street and running thence northerly 450 feet, said set-back line to be 9 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; along the easterly side of Twenty-fourth avenue, commencing at a point 25 feet northerly from Moraga street and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 2 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 4 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 400 feet, said set-back line to be 8 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 4 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 2 feet.

Along both sides of Twenty-fifth avenue, commencing at Moraga street and running thence northerly 450 feet, said set-back lines to be 9 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 3 feet.

And notice is hereby given that Monday, the 12th day of September, 1927, at the hour of 11 o'clock a. m., at the chambers of the Board of Supervisors, City Hall, in said City and County of San Francisco, has been fixed as the time and place when and where any and all persons having any objections to the establishing of the proposed setback lines may appear and present any objections which they may have to said set-back lines, as set forth in this resolution of intention.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—13.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Powers, Shannon—5.

Set-back Lines No. 149.

Also, Resolution No. 27629 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the City Planning Commission has recommended that setback lines be established along the streets hereinafter mentioned and as delineated upon maps accompanying said recommendations, to which reference is hereby made for further particulars; therefore, be it

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco to establish set-back lines as provided by Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), approved May 18, 1927, as follows:

Along both sides of Twenty-fourth avenue, commencing at points 93 feet northerly from Noriega street and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 6 feet; thence northerly to Moraga street, said set-back lines to be 9 feet.

Along both sides of Twenty-fifth avenue, commencing at points 93

feet northerly from Noriega street and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 6 feet; thence northerly to Moraga street, said set-back lines to be 9 feet.

Along both sides of Twenty-sixth avenue, commencing at points 93 feet northerly from Noriega street and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 6 feet; thence northerly to Moraga street, said set-back lines to be 9 feet.

Along both sides of Twenty-seventh avenue, commencing at points 93 feet northerly from Noriega street and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 6 feet; thence northerly to Moraga street, said set-back lines to be 9 feet.

Along the westerly side of Twenty-eighth avenue, commencing at a point 93 feet northerly from Noriega street and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 300 feet, said set-back line to be 9 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; along the easterly side of Twenty-eighth avenue, commencing at a point 93 feet northerly from Noriega street and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly to Moraga street, said set-back line to be 9 feet.

And notice is hereby given that Monday, the 12th day of September, 1927, at the hour of 11 o'clock a. m., at the chambers of the Board of Supervisors, City Hall, in said City and County of San Francisco, has been fixed as the time and place when and where any and all persons having any objections to the establishing of the proposed set-back lines may appear and present any objections which they may have to said set-back lines, as set forth in this resolution of intention.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—13.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Powers, Shannon—5.

Intention to Close and Abandon Portions of Armstrong and Bancroft Avenues.

Supervisor Marks presented:

Resolution No. 27630 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the public interest requires that certain portions of Armstrong avenue and Bancroft avenue be closed up and abandoned as hereinafter described; be it

Further Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to close and abandon all those certain portions of:

(1) Armstrong avenue lying between the southerly line of Williams avenue and the northwesterly line of Newhall street

(2) Bancroft avenue lying between the easterly line of the Haley Purchase, as per map thereof recorded in Map Book "C and D," page 99, records of the City and County of San Francisco, and the northwesterly line of Newhall street.

Said closing up and abandonment of said portions of said streets shall be done and made in the manner and in accordance with the provisions of Section 2 of Chapter III of Article IV of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, as amended, and the sections of said chapter and article following said Section 2; be it

Further Resolved, That there are no costs or expenses to be incurred in connection with said closing and abandoning.

And the Clerk is hereby directed to transmit to the Board of Public Works a certified copy of this resolution, and the Board of Public Works is hereby directed to give notice of said contemplated closing and abandonment of said portions of Armstrong avenue and Bancroft avenue in the manner provided by law, and to cause notice to be published in "The San Francisco Bulletin" as required by law.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—13.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Powers, Shannon—5.

Creating Joint Highway District for the Extension of Junipero Serra Boulevard Into San Mateo County.

Supervisor Marks presented:

Resolution No. 27631 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, public interest and necessity requires the construction of a public highway, the general loca-

tion and course of which shall be, commencing within the City and County of San Francisco at a point of connection with a main public highway already existing and known as the Junipero Serra boulevard, and extending southerly into the County of San Mateo at a suitable location between the present State Highway and the Skyline boulevard; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That it is proposed to create a Joint Highway District as provided by an Act of the Legislature of the State of California entitled "An Act providing for the creation, organization and government of Joint Highway Districts composed of two or more counties of the State of California," approved April 5, 1917, and the City and County of San Francisco and the County of San Mateo are hereby named as the counties interested in and which will be benefited by such highway construction; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco is hereby directed to transmit a certified copy of this resolution to the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors of San Mateo County.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—13.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Powers, Shannon—5.

Motion.

Supervisor Marks moved that a special committee of the Board, consisting of Supervisor Harrelson and the members of the Street Committee, be appointed for the purpose of conferring with the Supervisors of San Mateo County relative to the extension of Junipero Serra boulevard into said County.

Motion *carried*.

Approving Map, Gloria Court.

Supervisor Marks presented:

Resolution No. 27632 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the Board of Public Works did, by Resolution No. 98011 (Second Series), approve a map entitled "Map showing the opening of Gloria Court, in Block No. 5, West End Map No. 1, July, 1927"; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the map entitled "Map showing the opening of Gloria Court, in Block No. 5, West End Map No. 1, July, 1927," is hereby approved.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—13.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Powers, Shannon—5.

Accepting Deeds, Gloria Court.

Supervisor Marks presented:

Resolution No. 27633 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the deeds from William Varni and Virginia Varni and Brun Cordes, dated May 10, 1927, to lands for the opening of Gloria Court, as shown by hatched lines on map entitled "Map showing the opening of Gloria Court, in Block No. 5, West End Map No. 1, July, 1927," is hereby accepted.

Further Resolved, That the land covered by said deeds is hereby declared an open public street.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—13.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Powers, Shannon—5.

Extension of Time.

Supervisor Marks presented:

Resolution No. 27634 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Municipal Construction Company is hereby granted the following extensions of time to complete street work:

Ninety days' time from and after August 7, 1927, within which to complete the improvements of the crossing of Vicente street and Thirty-fifth, Thirty-sixth, Thirty-seventh, Thirty-eighth, Thirty-ninth, Fortieth, Forty-first, Forty-second, Forty-third and Forty-fourth avenues. Work delayed to permit proper settlement of fill.

Ninety days' time from and after August 2, 1927, within which to complete the improvement of the crossings of Funston avenue and Lawton street, and Funston avenue and Moraga street. Work has been delayed on account of the desirability of avoiding interference with work in progress on Golden Gate Heights.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—13.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Powers, Shannon—5.

Approving Bond, Miraloma Park.

Supervisor Marks presented:

Resolution No. 27635 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the bond filed with this Board of Supervisors by the California Pacific Title and Trust Company, a California corporation, and The McCarthy Company, a California corporation, as principals, and Theodore G. Meyer and Rollin E. Meyer, as secureties, dated the 10th day of May, 1927, in the sum of two thousand dollars, which sum is fixed by this Board and conditioned for the payment of all taxes which are now a lien but not yet payable against the property as shown on map entitled "Subdivision No. 4, Miraloma Park, San Francisco, California."

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd —12.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Powers, Shannon—5.

Supervisor Marks excused from voting—1.

Approving Map, Miraloma Park.

Supervisor Marks presented:

Resolution No. 27636 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the Board of Public Works did, by Resolution No. 98209 (Second Series), approve a map of Subdivision No. 4, Miraloma Park, San Francisco, California; now, therefore,

Resolved, That the map of Subdivision No. 4, Miraloma Park, San Francisco, California, is hereby approved.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd —12.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Powers, Shannon—5.

Supervisor Marks excused from voting—1.

Accepting Deeds, Miraloma Park.

Supervisor Marks presented:

Resolution No. 27637 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the deeds executed on the 14th day of April, 1927, and on the 12th day of July, 1927, between California Pacific Title and Trust Company, a corporation, and the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, to lands for the opening of streets as shown on Map of Subdivision No. 4, Miraloma Park, San Francisco, California (sheets 1, 2, 3 and 4), approved by the Board of Public Works by Resolution No. 98209 (Second Series).

Resolved, That the lands covered by said deeds are hereby declared open pubiic streets.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd —12.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Powers, Shannon—5.

Supervisor Marks excused from voting—1.

Award of Contract, Stock Furniture, School Department.

Supervisor Badaracco presented:

Resolution No. 27638 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of contract be hereby made on bids submitted July 5, 1927 (Proposal No. 306), for furnishing the following, viz.: Stock furniture for School Department:

Item No. 1—18 desks, 60x34 inches, $36.25 each, Rucker-Fuller Desk Co.

Item No. 2—40 desks, 50x32 inches, $32.50 each; Gunn Furniture Co.

Item No. 3—200 desks, 42x32 inches, $22.57 each; Rucker-Fuller Desk Co.

Item No. 4—18 chairs, swivel, $10.10 each; C. F. Weber & Co.

Item No. 5—900 chairs, table arm, $6.20 each; Heywood-Wakefield Co.

Item No. 6—1000 chairs, library, $3.47 each; Remington-Rand Business Service Inc.

Item No. 7—1000 chairs, 18 inches, $2.74 each; C. F. Weber & Co.

Item No. 8—500 chairs, primary, 10 inches; 96 cents each; Heywood-Wakefield Co.

Item No. 9—2000 chairs, primary, 12 inches, 98 cents each; C. F. Weber & Co.

Item No. 10—2500 chairs, primary, 14 inches, $1.04 each; Heywood-Wakefield Co.

Item No. 11—24 stools, metal, $2.95 each; C. F. Weber & Co.

Item No. 12—30 stools, maple, 22 inches, $1.07 each; Heywood-Wakefield Co.

Item No. 13—55 stools, maple, 24 inches, $1.07 each; Heywood-Wakefield Co.

Item No. 14—38 stools, maple, 36 inches, $1.25 each; C. F. Weber & Co.

Resolved, That bonds for the faithful performance of said contracts be required as follows, viz.: Rucker-Fuller Desk Co., $500; Gunn Furniture Co., $100; C. F. Weber & Co., $500; Heywood-Wake-

field Co., $500; Remington-Rand Business Service Inc., $500.

Note: All above awards are made to the lowest bidder except when award is made in consideration of deliveries or on account of the quality as determined by such tests as required or recommended by the Purchaser of Supplies.

Resolved, That all other bids submitted thereon be rejected.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—13.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Powers, Shannon—5.

Award of Contract, Manufactured Furniture, School Department.

Supervisor Badaracco presented:

Resolution No. 27639 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of contract be hereby made on bids submitted August 1, 1927 (Proposal No. 317), for furnishing the following, viz.: Manufactured furniture for School Department.

Item No. 1—85 cafeteria tables, $16.15 each; R. Brandlein & Co.

Item No. 2—Compotmeter tables; no award.

Item No. 3—65 mechanical drawing tables, $97.90 each; R. Brandlein & Co.

Item No. 4—300 dictionary stands, $3.80 each; R. Brandlein & Co.

Item No. 5—12 library book trucks, $20.90 each; Braas & Kuhn Co.

Resolved, That bonds for the faithful performance of said contracts be required as follows, viz.:

R. Brandlein & Co., bond required, $500.

Braas & Kuhn Co., no bond required.

Resolved, That all other bids ubmitted hereon be rejected.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—13.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Powers, Shannon—5.

Award of Contract, Stationery.

Supervisor Badaracco presented:

Resolution No. 27640 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of contract for furnishing stationery for the fiscal year 1927-28 be made on bids submitted June 6, 1927 (Proposal No. 296), and tabulation thereof on file in Bureau of Supplies, which is hereby made a part hereof; said matter having heretofore received full consideration in an open public meeting of the Supplies Committee and the awards herein approved by it, same are now made to the following, viz.:

Bonestell & Co., bond required, $500.

Ink Ribbon Mfg. Co., bond required, $200.

Neal, Stratford & Kerr, bond required, $250.

M. C. Magnus & Co., no bond required.

H. Edwards Manufacturing Co., no bond required.

Fites Ink Manufacturing Co., bond required, $100.

Blake, Moffitt & Towne, bond required, $300.

Patrick & Co., no bond required.

Commercial Paper Corporation, bond required, $400.

O'Connell & Davis, no bond required.

A. Carlisle & Co., bond required, $500.

H. S. Crocker Co. Inc., bond required, $500.

The Buckeye Ribbon & Carbon Company, bond required, $100.

Western Loose Leaf Co., no bond required.

The San Francisco News Company, bond required, $100.

Kee Lox Manufacturing Co., bond required, $100.

The Miller-Bryant-Pierce Co., bond required, $100.

Note: All above awards are made to the lowest bidder except when award is made in consideration of deliveries or on account of the quality as determined by such tests as required or recommended by the Purchaser of Supplies.

Resolved, That all other bids submitted hereon be rejected.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—13.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Powers, Shannon—5.

Award of Contract, License Plates, Etc.

Supervisor Badaracco presented:

Resolution No. 27641 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of contract be hereby made on bids submitted July 25, 1927 (Proposal No. 314), for furnishing the following, viz.: License plates, tags and badges.

Item No. 1—550 jitney plates, $0.275 each; Patrick & Co.

Item No. 2—550 jitney operators'

permits, $0.275 each; Patrick & Co.

Item No. 3—1250 drvires' badges, $0.45 each; Irvine & Jachens.

Item No. 4—332 runners and solicitors' badges, $0.45 each; Irvine & Jachens.

Item No. 5—1200 peddlers' tags, $0.08 each; Patrick & Co.

Item No. 6—40 special merchandise peddlers' tags, $0.11 each; H. C. Magnus & Co.

Item No. 7—350 junk gatherers' badges, $0.45 each; Irvine & Jachens.

Item No. 8—7500 dogs tags, $0.05 each; Patrick & Co.

Item No. 9—400 dogs tags (dulicate), $0.085 each; Patrick and Co.

Item No. 10—100 movers' license permits, $0.275 each; Patrick & Co.

Item No. 11—14,175 vehicle license plates, $0.17 each; Patrick & Co.; additional plates as requisitioned, $0.20 each; Patrick & Co.

Resolved, That bonds for the faithful performance of said contracts be required as follows, viz.:

Patrick & Co., bond required, $500.

Irvine & Jachens, bond required, $100.

H. C. Magnus & Co., no bond required.

Note. All above awards are made to the lowest bidder except when award is made in consideration of deliveries or on account of the quality as determined by such tests as required or recommended by the Purchaser of Supplies.

Resolved, That all other bids submitted thereon be rejected.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—13.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Powers, Shannon—5.

Declaring Result of Special Bond Election, War Memorial Buildings.

City Attorney presented:

Resolution No. 27642 (New Series), as follows:

Declaring the result of the special election held on the 14th day of June, 1927, for the purpose of authorizing the incurring of a bonded debt of the City and County of San Francisco to the amount of four million ($4,000,000) dollars for the acquisition, construction and completion of a permanent improvement, to-wit: The construction, completion and equipment of permanent buildings in or adjacent to the Civic Center in the City and County of San Francisco, to be used as memorial halls for war veterans, and for educational, recreational, entertainment and other municipal purposes, and the purchase of all equipment and furnishings necessary for said buildings.

Whereas, pursuant to the provisions of Ordinance No. 7516 (New Series), calling a special election, and Resolution No. 27283 (New Series), giving notice of the same, a special election was held in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, on the 14th day of June, 1927, whereat was submitted to and determined by the qualified voters of said City and County the following proposition:

To incur a bonded debt of the City and County of San Francisco to the amount of four million ($4,000,000) dollars for the acquisition, construction and completion of a permanent improvement, consisting of public buildings in or adjacent to the Civic Center of the City and County of San Francisco, to be used as memorial halls for war veterans and for educational, recreational, entertainment and other municipal purposes, and the purchase of all equipment and furnishings necessary for said buildings. Bonds issued for such purpose shall bear interest at the rate of four and one-half per cent (4½%) per annum, payable semi-annually.

Whereas, said election was held and conducted in form and manner and in every respect as required by law, and the returns thereof canvassed, and the result thereon determined and declared as required by law; and

Whereas, the Board of Election Commissioners of said City and County filed on June 23, 1927, in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors its certificate showing the facts above recited, and setting forth in detail the total number of votes cast at such election, and the number of votes cast and counted for and against the aforesaid proposition; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, By the Board of Supervisors, as follows:

1. It is hereby declared that the special election held on the 14th day of June, 1927, was held and conducted, and the votes cast and counted thereat, and the returns thereof made and the result thereof determined and declared, in all respects, and in time, form and manner as required by the laws of the State of California, and the

Charter and ordinances of the City and County of San Francisco.

2. It is hereby declared that the total number of votes cast at said special election was 69,879.

3. It is hereby declared that of the votes so cast 48,977 were cast and counted as being in favor of said proposition, and that 20,902 votes were cast and counted as being against and not in favor of said proposition herein set forth.

4. It is hereby declared and determined that more than two-thirds of all the votes cast at said special election were cast and counted as being in favor of said proposition and were sufficient to authorize, and did authorize, the incurring of a bonded indebtedness to the amount of and for the purpose stated in said proposition.

5. The Clerk of the Board of Supervisors is hereby directed to advertise this resolution in the official newspaper.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—13.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Powers, Shannon—5.

Declaring the Result of Special Bond Election, Bernal Cut.

City Attorney presented:

Resolution No. 27643 (New Series), as follows:

Declaring the result of the special election held on the 14th day of June, 1927, for the purpose of authorizing the incurring of a bonded debt of the City and County of San Francisco to the amount of one million four hundred thousand ($1,400,000) dollars for the acquisition, construction and completion of the permanent improvement known as the Bernal Cut, which consists of a cut, or excavation with approach fills, providing an improved route for public highway purposes in the City and County, which begins at or near the intersection of Randall and Dolores streets and extending southerly to a point at or near the intersection of San Jose avenue and Diamond street in the City and County of San Francisco, including approaches, structures, pavements, curbs, sidewalks, sewers, drainage facilities, the readjustment of intersecting and adjacent streets and other improvements, and the purchase of necessary lands.

Whereas, pursuant to the provisions of Ordinance No. 7512 (New Series), calling a special election, and Resolution No. 27282 (New Series), giving notice of the same, a special election was held in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, on the 14th day of June, 1927, whereat was submitted to and determined by the qualified voters of said City and County the following proposition:

To incur a bonded debt of the City and County of San Francisco to the amount of one million four hundred thousand ($1,400,000) dollars for the acquisition, construction and completion of a permanent improvement, to-wit:

A cut, or excavation with approach fills, and other improvements providing an improved route for public highway purposes in the City and County of San Francisco known as the Bernal Cut, which improvement begins at or near the intersection of Randall and Dolores strets and extending southerly to a point at or near the intersection of San Jose avenue and Diamond street, in the City and County of San Francisco. Bonds issued for such purpose shall bear interest at the rate of four and one-half per cent (4½%) per per annum, payable semi-annually.

Whereas, said election was held and conducted in form and manner and in every respect as required by law, and the returns thereof canvassed, and the result thereon determined and declared as required by law; and

Whereas, the Board of Election Commissioners of said City and County filed, on June 23, 1927, in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, its certificate showing the facts above recited; and setting forth in detail the total number of votes cast at such election, and the number of votes cast and counted for and against the aforesaid proposition; now therefore be it

Resolved, by the Board of Supervisors as follows:

1. It is hereby declared that the special election held on the 14th day of June, 1927, was held and conducted and the votes cast and counted thereat, and the returns thereof made and the result thereof determined and declared, in all respects, and in time, form and manner as required by the laws of the State of California and the charter and ordinances of the City and County of San Francisco.

2. It is hereby declared that the total number of votes cast at said special election was 70,575.

3. It is hereby declared that of the votes so cast, 52,623 were cast and counted as being in favor of

said proposition and that 17,952 votes were cast and counted as being against and not in favor of said proposition herein set forth.

4. It is hereby declared and determined that more than two-thirds of all votes cast at said special election were cast and counted as being in favor of said proposition, and were sufficient to authorize and did authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness to the amount of and for the purpose stated in said proposition.

5. The Clerk of the Board of Supervisors is hereby directed to advertise this resolution in the official newspaper.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—13.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Powers, Shannon—5.

RECESS.

Whereupon, the Board at the hour of 12:20 p. m. took a recess until 2:30 p. m.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk.

REASSEMBLED—2:30 P. M.

The Board of Supervisors reassembled at 2:30 p. m.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—13.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Powers, Shannon—5.

Quorum present.

His Honor Mayor Rolph being absent, Supervisor McSheehy was elected to preside.

Appointment of Commissioners, Islais Creek District.

Supervisor Marks presented:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the Board of Trustees of the Islais Creek Reclamation District, a reclamation district created by an act of the Legislature of the State of California, known as the Islais Creek Reclamation District Act, approved April 6, 1925, have reported to the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, in which City and County of San Francisco said district is wholly situated, their plans for the reclamation of the lands of said district, together with their estimates of the cost of the contemplated works of said district, as required by law, necessary for the reclamation of the lands of the district, in pursuance of such plans; and

Whereas, said estimated cost is the sum of one million six hundred twenty thousand one hundred fifty-two dollars $1,620,152) as follows:

Fill	$866,285
Rock bulkhead wall.	493,867
Sewer	160,000
Incidentals	50,000
Engineering, inspection and collection	50,000
Total	$1,620,152

And it further appearing that no maintenance or repairs will be necessary in connection with the reclamation of said lands, and for that reason said estimate does not include any allowance for maintenance or repairs, and it is proper that an assessment in said amount of $1,620,152 be levied upon the lands within said district; and

Whereas, said plans and said estimates of said costs have been filed by the Board of Trustees of said Islais Creek Reclamation District with the County Clerk of said City and County of San Francisco, in duplicate; and

Whereas, copies of said plans and said estimate of said costs, duly certified as true and correct copies thereof by said County Clerk, have been filed with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors of said City and County of San Francisco, together with a petition and request from said Board of Trustees of said Islais Creek Reclamation District that the Board of Supervisors of said City and County of San Francisco appoint three commissioners to view and assess upon the land within said district the said sum of $1,620,152 and to apportion the same according to the benefits that will accrue to each tract of land in said district respectively, by reason of the expenditure of said sum of money so estimated as the cost of the contemplated works of said district, as aforesaid; and

Whereas, it becomes the duty of the Board of Supervisors of said City and County of San Francisco, under the law and under the circumstances aforesaid, to appoint three commissioners for the pur-

poses aforesaid; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, By the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

H. W. Crozier, having his office at No. 58 Sutter street, and Walter H. Sullivan, having his office in the Alexander Building, and Louis A. Weidenmuller, having his office at No. 308 Montgomery street, all of said City and County of San Francisco, and being three fit and disinterested persons who have no interest in any real estate within said Islais Creek Reclamation District, be and the same are hereby appointed as commissioners to view and assess upon the land within said Islais Creek Reclamation District, the sum of $1,620,152, the sum estimated as aforesaid, as the cost of the contemplated works of said district, necessary for the reclamation of the lands of said district in pursuance of the plans of the Board of Trustees of said Islais Creek Reclamation District reported to the Board of Supervisors of said City and County of San Francisco aforesaid. The said commissioners shall apportion said sum of $1,620,152 according to the benefits that will accrue to each tract of land in said Islais Creek Reclamation District, respectively, by reason of the expenditure of said sum of money, so estimated, as the cost of the contemplated works of said district, as aforesaid, and shall estimate the same in gold coin of the United States.

Each of the said commissioners so appointed, as aforesaid, before entering upon his duties as such commissioner shall, pursuant to law, make and subscribe an oath that he is not in any manner interested in any real estate within said Islais Creek Reclamation District, directly or indirectly, and that he will perform the duties of a commissioner to the best of his ability.

When said commissioners have made a list of the charges assessed against each tract of land in said Islais Creek Reclamation District, pursuant to law, and when said lists have been completed, the said commissioners shall file the same with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors of said City and County of San Francisco for such action thereon by the Board of Supervisors of said City and County of San Francisco as is appointed and provided by law.

Supervisor McSheehy moved that the name of Peter J. Haggerty be substituted for one of the names submitted for one of the three submitted by the Trustees.

Supervisor Badaracco seconded the motion.

Supervisor Gallagher moved to amend the motion by referring the subject matter to the Trustees and Streets Committee, for reference, the resolution to remain on the calendar.

Motion *carried*.

Expiring Street Railway Franchises.

Supervisor Havenner presented: Resolution No. 27648 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, many of the franchises under and by virtue of which the privately-owned street railway companies operate their street cars over the streets of San Francisco will expire during the early part of the year 1929; and

Whereas, the City and County of San Francisco is by its charter committed to the acquisition of its public utilities, and that we, the Board of Supervisors of San Francisco, believe that all of the street railways of San Francisco should be municipally owned and operated; and

Whereas, that, unless some immediate steps are taken to make provision for the operation of the lines of the said privately-owned street railways at the expiration of their present franchises, the people of San Francisco may be left without adequate means of transportation; and

Whereas, we believe that if the physical properties of all of the said street railways can be acquired at their fair and reasonable value, having due regard for the limited existence of the respective franchises under which the same are operated, that the City should acquire the same, either at the date of the expiration of said franchises, or in the interim between the present time and the date of said expiration of said franchises; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the City Attorney be and he is hereby directed to make a full and complete research of all matters pertaining to the expiration of the franchises under which the privately-owned railway companies operate in the City and County of San Francisco, as well as what rights and properties will revert to the City upon the expiration of said franchises, as well as the rights and properties which will remain in possession of the respective street railway companies at the date of said expiration of said respective franchises, and also where certain street lines are operated under more than one franchise,

what effect the expiration of one of said franchises will have on the operation of that particular line.

And further that said City Attorney advise as to what action, if any, shall be necessary to compel the said railway companies to cease operation of their said cars on the expiration of their respective franchises, or under what conditions, if any, the City might enter into a temporary agreement with said companies for the continued operation of their said street railways after the expiration of said franchises, and further, whether it will be possible for the City to purchase the property of said railway companies, either at the date of the expiration of said franchises, or in the interim between the present time and the date of said expiration, and if so, to cooperate with the Public Utilities and Finance Committies in reporting to this Board, at what figure, and if said properties cannot be purchased by agreement with said respective companies, can the same be taken under condemnation proceedings, and if such proceedings are commenced what particular properties can be taken thereunder; and be it

Further Resolved, That said City Attorney report to this Board as soon as said investigation can be made.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—13.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Powers, Shannon—5.

After the adoption of the foregoing resolution the following motions were made and *carried*:

Supervisor Gallagher: I move you in the first instance, that the committee in charge of this subject matter be requested to investigate the plan of operation of indeterminate franchises in other cities and to report the conclusion and I would like to name the City Attorney and any other public official that their judgment dictates to cooperate to this end.

Supervisor Havenner: I move you that the City Engineer be requested to bring up to date his report on the physical value of the Market Street Railway properties.

Bay Bridge.

Supervisor Havenner presented: Resolution No. 27649 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the City and County of San Francisco is committed by its charter to the policy of public ownership of public utilities; and

Whereas, the great states of the East, including New York, New Jersey and Pennsylvania have abandoned the private construction of highway bridges over important waterways as economically unsound and not in the public interest, and have adopted a fixed policy of public construction and ownership for all such important bridges; and

Whereas, recently published statistical reports show that 90 per cent of the highway bridges in the United States today are publicly-owned; and

Whereas, money for the construction of a bridge can be borrowed more cheaply on public credit than on private credit, which enables the public to enjoy lower tolls on a publicly-owned bridge than could be established on a private bridge; and

Whereas, the Congress of the United States has given its endorsement and support to the principle of public construction and ownership of highway bridges by enacting legislation providing for federal financial aid to publicly-owned toll bridges which are destined to become part of the free public highway system of the community upon the amortization of their indebtedness; and

Whereas, there is every reason to believe that such federal financial aid may be obtained for the public construction and ownership of a bridge across San Francisco Bay; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the public interest will be best served if the proposed trans-bay bridge between the City and County of San Francisco and the County of Alameda is financed, constructed, held and operated under public ownership, and the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby declare its intention to use every effort to the end that the said bridge shall be publicly financed, constructed, held and operated.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Todd—11.

Noes — Supervisors Byington, Stanton—2.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Powers, Shannon—5.

BRIDGE HEARINGS.

Motions.

Supervisor Gallagher: I move you that while it is the policy of this Board that we favor a publicly-owned bay bridge, it is however, the sense of this Board that we

favor immediate construction of said bridge by private capital, until the people may elect in the manner provided by law to take over said bridge and place it under public ownership and control.

* * *

Supervisor Marks: I move you that, as an amendment to the motion of Supervisor Gallagher, the City Attorney be requested to submit to this Board, at the earliest possible opportunity, a form of submission of the issue of public ownership and operation of the proposed bridge, or private ownership or operation of the proposed bridge, for action by the people at the earliest possible opportunity.

* * *

Supervisor Marks: I desire to present the following motion and calling attention to the fact that it does not call for anything other than asking the City Attorney to submit a proper form of proposal to us. I make the motion which heretofore has been outlined three or four times.

(Referring to motion set out in full above.)

The Chairman: Any objection? So ordered.

SPECIAL ORDERS—2 P. M.

Bridge Hearings.

Thereupon, the following matters were taken up:

Applications for Franchises to Construct Brdges Across San Francisco Bay.

Application of Bay Cities Bridge Corporation for a franchise for a toll bridge between San Francisco and Alameda.

Proposed rail and highway crossing for San Francisco Bay from the foot of Sixteenth street, San Francisco, to the foot of Pacific avenue, Alameda.

Beginning at the intersection of the center line of Sixteenth street with the center line of Third (Kentucky) street, in the City and County of San Francisco, and extending thence easterly along the center line of Sixteenth street to the waterfront line; thence easterly along a straight line to a point on the center line of Pacific avenue, in the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, which point is one hundred feet westerly from the intersection of said center line of Pacific avenue with the center line of Bryant street.

That the length of said bridge is 27,750 feet and that the width of the roadway on said bridge is 38 feet.

Filed April 6, 1925.

DUDLEY D. SALES,
Attorney.

On motion of Supervisor Kent, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, August* 22, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—13.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Powers, Shannon—5.

Application of Elbert W. Davis for a franchise for a toll bridge between San Francisco and Alameda.

Across San Francisco Bay, beginning at a point in the City and County of San Francisco on the bulkhead line of San Francisco Harbor between Piers 26 and 28, and running approximately north 67 degrees 45 minutes east 17,962 feet, more or less, to a point in the City of Oakland, County of Alameda, State of California, on the easterly shore of San Francisco Bay.

That the length of said bridge is to be 17,962 feet and that the breadth thereof is to be 65 feet, more or less.

Filed June 29, 1925.

JAMES M. OLIVER,
Attorney.

On motion of Supervisor Kent, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, August* 22, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—13.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy. Powers, Shannon—5.

Application of John Bermingham, Jr., 250 Cervantes boulevard, for a franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge across San Francisco Bay between the City and County of San Francisco and the County of Alameda.

Beginning at the intersection of the center line of Seventh and Mission streets, in the City and County of San Francisco, along Seventh street to Seventh and Sixteenth; thence in a southeasterly direction to Nineteenth and Third; thence in a southerly direction along Third street to the intersection of Third street and Jerrold avenue and waterfront; thence proceeding in a northerly direction, crossing San Francisco Bay to a point from which a

branch will extend 4500 feet easterly to the intersection of Pacific avenue and Bryant street, in the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California; thence from said aforementioned intersecting point proceeding in a northerly direction, crossing the Oakland Estuary, to the intersection of Peralta street and Seventh street, in the City of Oakland, County of Alameda, State of California.

That the total length of said bridge, approaches thereto and branch thereof, aforementioned, is 65,450 feet, that the width of said bridge is ninety (90) feet.

Filed September 28, 1925.

On motion of Supervisor Kent, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, August* 22, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—13.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Powers, Shannon—5.

Application of A. M. Bergstrom for a franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge across San Francisco Bay between the City and County of San Francisco and the County of Alameda.

That the said toll bridge is to be located across the Bay of San Francisco, along the following described line, to-wit:

Beginning at a point in San Francisco at about the foot of Davidson avenue, on Hunter's Point, and running northerly sixty-two (62) degrees east four and four-tenths (4.4) miles; thence on a one (1) degree curve to the north one and six-tenths (1.6) miles to true north to a point on the easterly shore of the Bay of San Francisco at about the foot of Webster street, in the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California.

That the length of said bridge is to be six (6) miles and the breadth is to be sixty (60) feet, more or less.

Filed September 28, 1925.

On motion of Supervisor Kent, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, August* 22, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—13.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Powers, Shannon—5.

Application of New York and San Francisco Development Company for franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge between San Francisco and Alameda.

Bridge to be constructed across San Francisco Bay from a point therein at or near the junction of Evans avenue and Boalt street, Hunter's Point, in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to or near the junction of South High street and Washington street, in the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California; that the length of said bridge is approximately six and one-half miles from shore line to shore line, and that its breadth or width is approximately from 60 to 90 feet.

Filed January 11, 1926.

ROTHCHILD & GOLDEN,
Attorneys.

On motion of Supervisor Kent, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, August* 22, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—13.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Powers, Shannon—5.

Application of Roy C. Hackley for a franchise to construct a toll bridge between San Francisco, Pier 26, and Alameda County.

Beginning at a point in the City and County of San Francisco on the bulkhead line of San Francisco Harbor, south of and adjacent to Pier 26, and running approximately north 61 degrees east and fifty-three hundred feet, more or less; thence running approximately north 89 degrees east five thousand feet, more or less; thence running approximately north 59 degrees 30 minutes east eight thousand feet, more or less, to a point in the City of Oakland, County of Alameda, State of California, on the easterly shore of said San Francisco Bay.

That the length of said bridge is to be eighteen thousand three hundred feet (18,300) and that the breadth thereof is to be 78 feet, more or less.

Roy A. Bronson and E. D. Bronson, Jr., attorneys, 68 Post street.

Filed February 6, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor Kent, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, August* 22, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco,

Byington, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—13.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Powers, Shannon—5.

Two applications of the Construction Company of North America for a franchise to construct and open a toll bridge between San Francisco and Alameda County.

Commencing at a point in said City and County of San Francisco near the corner of First and Bryant streets, on what is known as Rincon Hill, and running thence on less than a two-degree curve a distance of approximately 1700 lineal feet to the line of bulkhead wharf connecting Piers 30 and 32 on the waterfront of the said City and County of San Francisco; thence on a line approximately north 65 degrees east 21,000 lineal feet, more or less, to the Oakland Mole of the Southern Pacific Company in Alameda County.

That the length of said bridge is to be 4½ miles and that the width thereof is to be approximately 78 feet.

ROBERT J. McGAHIE,
Attorney, Mills Building.

First application filed February 15, 1926.

Second application filed April 5, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor Kent, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, August* 22, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—13.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Powers, Shannon—5.

Application of Allan C. Rush for a franchise to construct a toll bridge between San Francisco and Alameda County.

Construction of a suspension bridge from the City and County of San Francisco over and across Goat Island to the east shore of the Bay of San Francisco, comprising three main double traffic track entrance therein, and being of a height of two hundred feet above high tide, between all bridge piers in the waters of San Francisco Channel, in which channel the bridge will be suspended over "but three piers," twenty-four hundred feet apart, thus in nowise impeding the traffic of the waters of the Bay.

Filed June 19, 1926.

ALLAN C. RUSH,
1632 McCullom street, Los Angeles, California.

On motion of Supervisor Kent, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, August* 22, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—13.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Powers, Shannon—5.

Application of Robert Lee Dunn, 778 Monadnock Building, for a franchise to construct a toll bridge along the following described line, to-wit:

Beginning at the intersection of the center line of Second street with the center line of Berry street, in the City and County of San Francisco and extending thence easterly to the waterfront line; thence easterly along a straight line to the intersection of the center lines of Pacific avenue and Bryant street, City of Alameda, California.

Length of said bridge is twenty-six thousand feet; that the width of the roadway on said bridge is eighty feet.

Filed June 21, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor Kent, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, August* 22, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—13.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Powers, Shannon—5.

Application of Edward A. Bertram for a franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge to be located, to-wit:

Beginning at Twelfth and Howard streets, San Francisco, by 1½ per cent viaduct to Beale and Howard streets; thence to Southern Pacific Mole, Oakland; thence by 1½ per cent viaduct to West Fourteenth street level, and Sixteeenth Street Railroad Station.

The length of said bridge proper is 21,000 feet and its width of roadway is 63 feet.

Filed June 21, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor Kent, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, August* 22, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—13.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Powers, Shannon—5.

Application of R. S. Chew for franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge or bridges.

The said proposed bridge or bridges are to be located and are described as follows:

The westerly end of a bridge and the approaches thereto, which will connect with public streets, are to be located in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California (which location is in the county situated on the left bank descending of the Bay of San Francisco, across which said bridge is to be constructed), and at a point therein at or near the summit of an eminence known as Telegraph Hill; from this point the bridge will project easterly a distance of nine thousand feet (more or less) to the westerly side of Yerba Buena (or Goat) Island; thence easterly across said island a roadway or viaduct will be constructed for a distance of four thousand feet (more or less) to its easterly side; from thence there will be projected in an easterly direction a distance of twenty-two thousand feet (more or less), a bridge structure, viaduct, causeway and/or fill to suitable connections with lines or railway and public highways in Alameda County, State of California.

Filed June 28, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor Kent, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, August* 22, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—13.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Powers, Shannon—5.

Application of Inter-Cities Bridge Company for a franchise to construct, maintain and operate a toll bridge across the Bay of San Francisco and over the following route:

Beginning at the intersection of Broadway and Battery street, in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and extending thence easterly to the waterfront; thence on a line approximately north 60 degrees east to the westerly point of Yerba Buena or Goat Island; thence by contour meander to the easterly point of Yerba Buena or Goat Island; thence on a line approximately north 75 degrees to the foot of Yerba Buena street, in the City of Oakland, County of Alameda, State of California.

That the length of said bridge, inclusive of approximately 4100 feet on ground support over Yerba Buena or Goat Island, and 5670 feet to be supported by an earth fill mole in the approach to the said Oakland terminal, is to be approximately 27,000 feet. That the width of said bridge is to be approximately 120 feet, providing two 20-foot vehicular lanes and accommodations for four lines of electric transportation tracks.

Filed July 12, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor Kent, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, August* 22, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—13.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Powers, Shannon—5.

Application of G. Lindenthal for franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge.

Said bridge is to be located along the following described line:

Commencing at a point in the center line of Jerrold avenue, in the City and County of San Francisco, about midway between Ship street and Dock street, in said City and County of San Francisco; thence on a curve easterly and northerly a distance of 30.000 feet, more or less, to the southerly foot of Webster street, in the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California.

The width of said bridge at the easterly end thereof for approximately 16,000 feet will be 75 feet, and the width of the remaining portion thereof will be approximately 40 feet. The length of said bridge will be approximately 30,000 feet.

Filed August 2, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor Kent, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, August* 22, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—13.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Powers, Shannon—5.

Application of W. F. Holcomb for franchise to construct, operate and

maintain a toll bridge across San Francisco Bay between the City and County of San Francisco and Alameda County.

Beginning at or near and within one hundred feet northerly or southerly of the intersection of the center line of Second street with the center line of Berry street, in the City and County of San Francisco and extending thence easterly to the waterfront line; thence easterly along a straight line to, at or near and within one hundred feet northerly or southerly of the intersection of the center lines of Pacific avenue and Bryant street, City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California.

That the length of said bridge will be twenty-six thousand feet; that the width of the roadway on said bridge will be eighty-nine feet.

Filed August 9, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor Kent, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, August* 22, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—13.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Powers, Shannon—5.

Application of E. D. Bronson, Jr., for a franchise for a toll bridge across San Francisco Bay.

Filed August 16, 1926.

Beginning at a point in the City and County of San Francisco on the bulkhead line of San Francisco Harbor, south of and adjacent to Pier 26, and running north approximately 68 degrees east seventeen thousand nine hundred fifty feet (17,950'), more or less, to a point in the City of Oakland, County of Alameda, State of California, on the easterly shore of said San Francisco Bay; and the said toll bridge to be approximately seventeen thousand nine hundred fifty (17,950') feet in length and one hundred ten (110') feet in breadth, more or less.

Roy A. Bronson, E. D. Bronson, Jr., attorneys at law, 68 Post street, room 403, San Francisco, Cal.

On motion of Supervisor Kent, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, August* 22, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—13.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Powers, Shannon—5.

Application of O. A. Ellis for franchise for two tunnels under the Bay of San Francisco from San Francisco to Oakland.

One of the tunnels will be for interurban street car traffic, with double track, and the San Francisco terminal between Third and Fourth streets on Harrison street.

The other tunnel will be for auto and railroad traffic, with San Francisco terminal between Second and Third streets on Harrison street.

The Oakland terminal will be at Seventh and Cedar streets.

Filed June 25, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor Kent, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, August* 22, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—13.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Powers, Shannon—5.

Application of H. S. Henion to construct a toll bridge along the following route, to-wit:

Beginning at the intersection of the center lines of Berry and Second streets, in the City and County of San Francisco California, thence southeasterly to the west end of Pier No. 46, on the San Francisco waterfront; thence easterly over said pier to its eastern end; thence easterly across the Bay of San Francisco to the point of intersection of the center line of Peralta street, in the City of Oakland, California, produced southerly to the center line of the vehicular roadway on the Alameda Mole, in the City of Alameda, California; thence northeasterly on the said produced center line of Peralta street to its intersection with the center line of Eighth street, in said City of Oakland, Alameda County, California.

That the length of said bridge is approximately thirty thousand feet; that the width of said bridge is approximately eighty feet.

The above bridge will include an overhead approach, to extend from Second and Berry streets, San Francisco, to Rincon Hill or immediate vicinity, where abundant terminal facilities will be provided.

Filed March 14, 1927.

On motion of Supervisor Kent, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, August* 22, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Gallagher, Harrelson,

Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—13.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Powers, Shannon—5.

Application of Frank E. Webb to construct a toll bridge along the following route, to-wit:

Said proposed toll bridge is to be located, builded and constructed across San Francisco Bay from a point exactly in longitude west 122 degrees 21 minutes 48.7 seconds and latitude north 37 degrees 43 minutes 33.75 seconds, in San Francisco County, State of California, Hunter's Point, in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to a point exactly in longitude west 122 degrees 15 minutes 2.6 seconds and latitude north 37 degrees 44 minutes 15.67 seconds in Alameda County, State of California; thence to the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California; that the length of said bridge is approximately 32,200 feet from shore line to shore line, and that its breadth or width is approximately from sixty (60) to ninety (90) feet, as shown by map attached and made a part hereof and marked "Exhibit B".

Bridge is to be of steel and concrete cantilever type, clearance from San Francisco side to be approximately one hundred and forty (140) feet. The main spans to be not less than seven hundred and fifty (750) feet nor more than seventeen hundred (1700) feet, and all spans and construction to be in accordance with the United States Government regulations and rulings.

Filed March 14, 1927.

On motion of Supervisor Kent, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, August* 22, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—13.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Powers, Shannon—5.

Application of Construction Company of North America, supplementing original plans—modified route has its westerly terminal on Rincon Hill.

Filed May 9, 1927.

On motion of Supervisor Kent, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, August* 22, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—13.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Powers, Shannon—5.

Amended application of Edward A Bertram for alternative line of bridge.

Filed May 9, 1927.

On motion of Supervisor Kent, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, August* 22, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—13.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Powers, Shannon—5.

Application of J. E. Pamplin to construct a toll bridge along the following route, to-wit:

Beginning at or near and within two hundred feet northerly or southerly of the intersection of the center line of Second street with the center line of Berry street, in the City and County of San Francisco, and extending thence easterly to the waterfront line; thence about north seventy-three (73) degrees east (true) along a straight line to a point immediately southwest of and adjacent to the Southern Pacific Alameda Mole, and about six hundred (600) yards, more or less, southeasterly from the northwesterly end of said mole, and thence about south seventy-two (72) degrees east (true) to a point on filled land in the City of Alameda about on the prolongation northwesterly of that portion of Santa Clara avenue which lies between Paru and Park streets, in the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California.

That the length of said bridge will be about twenty-six thousand (26,000) feet, more or less; that the width of the roadway on said bridge wil be one hundred feet or such other width as the Board of Supervisors may direct and require under Section 2873 of the Political Code.

Filed May 9, 1927.

On motion of Supervisor Kent, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, August* 22, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks,

McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—13.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Powers, Shannon—5.

Application of Roy C. Hackley to construct a toll bridge along the following route, to-wit:

Beginning at or near and within two hundred (200) feet of the intersection of the center line of Fremont street with the center line of Brannan street, in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and running north approximately eighty (80) degrees east ninteen thousand four hundred (19,400) feet, more or less, to a point in the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California, located approximately six hundred (600) feet south of the south training wall of the Oakland Estuary.

That the length of said bridge is to be approximately nineteen thousand four hundred (19,400) feet, and the breadth thereof is to be sixty (60) feet, more or less.

Filed May 16, 1927.

On motion of Supervisor Kent, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, August* 22, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—13.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman. Deasy, Powers, Shannon—5.

Application of Construction Company of North America to erect, maintain and operate and take tolls on a toll bridge over and acress San Francisco Bay, commencing at a point in the City and County of San Francisco near the corner of First and Bryant streets on what is known as Rincon Hil, and running thence northeasterly 19,100 lineal feet, more or less, to the southerly line of Alameda Mole of the Southern Pacific Company; thence north by east to the intersection of Seventh and Cedar streets in the City of Oakland, County of Alameda.

The length of said bridge is to be 4½ miles, the width thereof approximately 78 feet.

Filed June 20, 1927.

On motion of Supervisor Kent, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, August* 22, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—13.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Powers, Shannon—5.

Application of Roy C. Hackley for permission to construct a toll bridge across San Francisco Bay as follows:

Beginning at or near and within 200 feet of the intersection of the center line of Fremont street with the center line of Brannan street, in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and running north approximately 83 degrees east, 19,400 feet, more or less, to a point in the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California, located approximately 600 feet south of the south training wall of the Oakland Estuary.

The length of said bridge is to be approximately 19,400 feet and the width thereof is to be 60 feet, more or less.

Filed with Board of Supervisors Monday, June 27, 1927.

On motion of Supervisor Kent, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, August* 22, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—13.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Powers, Shannon—5.

Application of City Planning and Building Company for a franchise and for authority to erect, construct, maintain and operate a submarine tunnel and the right, permission and authority to take tolls thereon for a period of fifty (50) years at the following location, to-wit:

Entering the ground at a point in the ground bounded by Fifth, Sixth, Bryant and Brannan streets, thence running underground easterly to a point on the northerly or northwest line of China Basin between Second and Third streets entering under the bay at a depth of 45 feet below low tide, sinking gradually to a depth of 50 feet below low tide, proceeding easterly to within 700 feet south of Seventh street at the shore line in Oakland, Alameda County, California.

The length of said submarine tunnel will be 21,120 feet under the water; said submarine tunnel will, in its inside dimensions, be 50 feet high and 68 feet in extreme width; that the same will have three floors, the upper floor for passenger automobiles, the main or central floor

for railroad passenger cars, the lowest floor for automotive and heavy traffic trucks. Said tube will be divided perpendicularly into two halves, a right and a left half.

Filed Monday, July 25, 1927.

On motion of Supervisor Kent, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, August* 22, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—13.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Powers, Shannon—5.

Application of City Planning and Building Company for a franchise and for authority to erect, construct, maintain and operate a submarine tunnel and the right, permission and authority to take tolls thereon for a period of fifty (50) years at the following location, to-wit:

Entering the ground at a point in the block bounded by Sixth, Seventh, Hubbel and Irving streets, running thence underground in an easterly direction to a point where an extension of Fifteenth street strikes the Bay very close to El Dorado street; thence entering the Bay 45 feet below the level of the lowest tide and sinking gradually to a depth of 50 feet below the level of the lowest tide, and proceeding in an easterly direction and emerging at a point within 700 feet of a point where the center line of Lincoln avenue intersects the waters of the Bay of San Francisco in Alameda, Alameda County, State of California.

The length of said submarine tunnel to be 21 120 feet under water; said tunnel will be in its inside dimensions 50 feet high and 68 feet in extreme width; the same will have three floors, the upper floor for passenger automobiles and the main or central floor for railroad passenger cars, the lowest floor for automotive and heavy traffic trucks. Tube will be divided perpendicularly into two halves, a right and a left half.

Filed Monday, July 25, 1927.

On motion of Supervisor Kent, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, August* 22, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—13.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Powers, Shannon—5.

Application of City Planning and Building Company for a franchise and for authority to erect, construct, maintain and operate a submarine tunnel and the right, permission and authority to take tolls thereon for a period of fifty (50) years at the following locations, to-wit:

Entering the Bay at a point where the extension of the Marina boulevard extended easterly meets the Bay at Buchanan street close to Tonquin street, at a depth of at least 45 feet below the level of low tide and sinking gradually to a depth of at least 50 feet below the level of low tide, running thence in a general northerly direction to a point one mile south of the Town of Sausalito, Marin County, State of California; said submarine tunnel or tube will have a general curve in an easterly direction; that the length of said submarine tunnel will be 3,200 feet under water. Its inside dimensions to be 50 feet high and 68 feet in extreme width; the same will have three floors, the upper floor for passenger automobiles, the main or central floor for railroad passenger cars, the lower floor for automotive and heavy traffic trucks. The tunnel or tube will be divided perpendicularly into two halves, a right and a left half.

Filed Monday, July 25, 1927.

On motion of Supervisor Kent, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, August* 22, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—13.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Powers, Shannon—5.

ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND MOTIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

Outdoor Celebration, Chinese Hospital.

Supervisor Schmidt presented:

Resolution No. 27644 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved; That permission is hereby granted the Chinese Hospital to hold an outdoor celebration, known as "Feast of the Moon," in Portsmouth Park and Brenham place, October 8th to 16th, 1927, inclusive, without payment of the usual license fee.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Gallagher, Harrelson,

Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—13.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Powers, Shannon—5.

Wiggington E. Creed, In Memoriam

Supervisor Hayden presented:

Resolution No. 27645 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, death has called Wiggington E. Creed, President of the Pacific Gas and Electric Company and an outstanding member of our community, and

Whereas, Mr. Creed has been identified with the progress of this City for many years, not only as an eminent builder and executive, but also as a member of many educational and civic organizations to which he devoted a great deal of his energy; therefore

Resolved, That it is with profound regret that we regard his passing; that the community's loss cannot be adequately measured, and that we join with others in their expressions of sorrow; and that when this Board adjourns, it does so as a tribute of respect to his memory.

Unanimously adopted by a rising vote.

Extension of Time.

Supervisor Byington presented:

Resolution No. 27646 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Youdall Construction Company be and is hereby granted an extension of ninety (90) days' time from and after August 11, 1927, in which to complete its contract for the construction of the tunnel under Buena Vista Park, known as the Duboce Tunnel.

This extension of time is granted for the reason that delay was caused in the removal of buildings frnm the line of work as set forth in the specifications.

This is the first extension of time recommended by the Board of Public Works by communication filed August 3, 1927.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—13.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Powers, Shannon—5.

In Memoriam, Major-General Leonard P. Wood.

Supervisor Gallagher moved that when the Board adjourn it do so out of respect to the memory of the late Major-General Leonard P. Wood.

Motion *unanimously carried.*

Appointing Milton Marks Director of Joint Highway District No. 1.

Supervisor Gallagher presented:

Resolution No. 27647 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Milton Marks be and he is hereby appointed a director of Joint Highway District No. 1, comprising San Francisco, San Mateo, Santa Clara and Santa Cruz counties, in place of Richard J. Welch, who is not now a member of this Board.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—13.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Powers, Shannon—5.

Communications.

The following communications were read by the Clerk and ordered *filed*:

From the Mayor's Office.

Mayor's Office, San Francisco.
August 4, 1927.

Mr. J. S. Dunnigan, Clerk, Board of Supervisors, Room 235 City Hall, San Francisco.

Dear Mr. Dunnigan:

I am in receipt of your letter of July 26, advising me of my authorization by the Board of Supervisors to appoint a committee of five Supervisors to attend the convention of the League of California Municipalities, which is to take place at Sacramento, September 19 to 23, 1927.

I appoint on this committee Supervisor Warren Shannon, chairnan, and Supervisors Chas. F. Todd, Andrew J. Gallagher, Lewis F. Byington and Edwin G. Bath, with Supervisor Cornelius J. Deasy as alternate.

Kindly advise those I have named of their appointment.

Very sincerely,
JAMES ROLPH, JR.,
Mayor.

From County Supervisors' Association of the State of California.

Taft, California,
August 3, 1927.

To the Boards of Supervisors of California Counties:

September 9th has been designated Supervisors Day at the California State Fair.

As September 9th is a holiday it will afford an excellent opportunity for all California Supervisors to attend this important exhibition showing the wonderful progress being made by the State of California.

There will be a luncheon at noon without formal program; just a get-together meeting.

We hope to see you at the Fair Friday, September 9th, Supervisors Day.

Yours very truly,
J. E. HUNTER,
President.
STANLEY ABEL,
Secretary.

From Oceanside Community Council.

August 3, 1927.

The Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco, Calif.

Gentlemen:

This organization wishes to commend your Honorable Board for the action it has taken in deciding that the case of the users of telephone service in San Francisco should be presented to the Railroad Commission by the best legal talent, in the matter of the application of the Pacific Telephone and Telegraph Company for an increase in rates.

We fully realize that no one is in a position to decide quickly what rate would guarantee the telephone company a fair return on its investment. We believe that the rate can only be decided after months of careful study of conditions and laborous accumulation of data. We believe that the best of attorneys experienced in this type of litigation should be retained, and that competent engineers and experts should be employed to assist in the preparation of the case.

We commend your Board for the appropriation which it has already made for this purpose, and urge that further appropriations be made if necessary.

Very truly yours,
OCEANSIDE COMMUNITY COUNCIL,
By Ira J. Darling, Secretary.

Auditor's Report, Tax Roll.

State Operative Assessment.

Money and Solvent Credits	Franchises	Other Property	Total	Total Operative
$19,617,822	$76,759,750	$124,659,995	$221,037,567	
Less Banks and Shipping included above....			87,687,713	$133,349,854
Real Estate	Improvements			
$10,697,230	$9,280,080		$ 19,977,310	$ 19,977,310
Grand Total Operative property.....			241,014,877	
Total as charged on Bond Indebtedness of Nov. 8, 1910....				$ 153,327,164
Value of Railroad Property in County.....				.....
Total of all Property.....				1,024,786,445

Grand Total of all Property..... .....

City and County Non-Operative Assessment.

Secured—		
Real Estate.....$340,910,660		
Improvements 317,867,827		$ 658,778,487
Money and Solvent Credits.....$ 5,659,689		
Other Personal Property..... 39,715,210		45,374,899
Re-Assessment, R. E.....$ 129,870		
Re-Assessment, P. P..... 21,095		150,965
Unsecured—		
Money .....$ 664,115		
Solvent Credits..... 12,718,404		
Other Personal Property..... 65,883,019		
Increase by Board of Equalization..... 201,679		79,467,217
Grand Total Non-Operative Property...		$ 783,771,568
Value on which Assessor collected.....$ 79,265,538.00		
Tax collected by Assessor..... 2,901,167.51		
Gain, collected 48.42; uncollected, .55..... 48.97		
Tax to be collected..... 7,382.01		
Total Operative Property.....		241,014,877
Total Non-Operative Property.....		783,771,568
Total of all Property.....		$1,024,786,445

THOS. F. BOYLE,
Auditor, City and County of San Francisco.

ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business the Board, at the hour of 6:15 p. m., adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN, Clerk.

Adopted by the Board of Supervisors November 21, 1927.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated, and approved as above recited.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors.
City and County of San Francisco.

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Vol. 22—New Series No. 33

Monday, August 15, 1927

Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
337 Bush Street, S. F.

JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, AUGUST 15, 1927, 10 A. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, August 15, 1927, 10 a. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Kent, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—12.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, McSheehy, Shannon—6.

Quorum present.

His Honor Mayor Rolph presiding.

APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of April 25, 1927, was considered read and approved.

ROLL CALL FOR PETITIONS FROM MEMBERS.

Commendation of Fire and Police Departments.

Supervisor Colman reported to the Board the names of the members of the Police and Fire Departments who assisted at the fire in Santa Barbara while in the city as delegates to the State convention of the American Legion and requested that same be entered in the Journal and that the Clerk of the Board be directed to write the respective departments expressing the appreciation of the Board of Supervisors of the splendid manner in which the men handled themselves.

Those who participated are the following: Thomas D. Thomson, Fireboat 2; James Ray Gavin, Engine 10; Herman C. Abels, Chemical 11; John Bencich, Truck 7; Chas. S. Graham, Engine 8; Joseph I. Adams, Engine 23; Robert C. Neergaard, Chemical 13; Vincent C. Reiley, Engine 3; Edward J. Sweeney, Engine 3; Julius S. Pedeski, Engine 9; Frank F. Bustin, Engine 9; Joseph H. Blakeley, Chemical 5; Thomas B. Barnacle, Truck 12; Carl F. Valentine, Truck 1; George B. Duncan, Corporal of Police; Clifford L. Innes, Special Police Officer.

Action Deferred.

The following resolution, heretofore passed to print, was *laid over one week*:

Garage Permit, Christie, Coyne & Coyne.

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That James Christie, Jack Coyne and Peter Coyne are hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to operate and maintain a public garage in building to be erected at the easterly corner of Fourteenth and West Portal avenues upon the property described as Lot 17 in Block 2989B and bounded partly by the southwesterly line of West Portal avenue and the northeasterly line of Fourteenth avenue.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

His Honor Mayor Rolph suggested that the members of the Board who had not already done so, inspect the site of the proposed garage within the week in order that they may be acquainted with the subject matter; further, he stated, he would also make an inspection of the site.

Supervisor Hayden Called to Chair.

His Honor Mayor Rolph requested to be excused and called Supervisor Hayden to the chair.

UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

Final Passage.

The following matters, heretofore passed for printing, were taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Authorizations.

Resolution No. 27650 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the

hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

Park Fund.

(1) John Finn Metal Works, sundry metal bars, nuts, etc., for Park bear cages (claim dated Aug. 5, 1927), $710.15.

(2) Gantner & Mattern Co., bathing suits (claim dated Aug. 5, 1927), $962.89.

(3) Krogh Pump & Machinery Co., furnishing and installing of deep well turbine pump, etc. (claim dated Aug. 5, 1927), $1,365.50.

(4) Rossi Motor Car Co., two "Star" autos and two "Star" trucks for Parks (claim dated Aug. 5, 1927), $2,905.

Tubercular Sanitarium Fund.

(5) The Sheet Metal Shop, conditional acceptance payment for kitchen equipment at Tuberculosis Preventorium (claim dated Aug. 3. 1927), $4,442.85.

County Road Fund.

(6) James M. Smith, 7th payment, improvement of Market Street Extension, Mono to Ord streets (claim dated Aug. 3, 1927), $6,750.

(7) L. J. Cohn, payment in full for improvement of the Great Highway from Ulloa street to southerly termination (claim dated Aug. 3, 1927), $10,555.85.

(8) Feredal Construction Co., improvement of Masonic avenue from Turk to McAllister streets (claim dated Aug. 3, 1927), $5,206.17.

Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1910.

(9) Kassabaum & Montfort, crushed rock furnished (claim dated Aug. 1, 1927), $1,387.50.

(10) R. W. Kinney Company, wrought steel pipe (claim dated Aug. 1, 1927), $6,883.69.

School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923.

(11) Alta Electric Co., 3rd payment, electrical work for Mission (Everett) Junior High School (claim dated Aug. 3, 1927), $3,348.75.

(12) Amoroso & Damico, final payment, general construction of the Edison School (claim dated Aug. 3, 1927), $4,000.

(13) Anderson & Ringrose, 5th payment, general construction of the Hearst Moulder School (claim dated Aug. 3, 1927), $14,895.

(14) Edward F. Doud, first payment, electrical fixtures for the Edison School (claim dated August 3, 1927), $1,020.

(15) Latourette-Fical Co., 8th payment, plumbing for Mission (Everett) Junior High School (claim dated Aug. 3, 1927), $1,875.12.

(16) A. Lettich, 6th payment, plumbing for Hearst Moulder School (claim dated Aug. 3, 1927), $932.62.

(17) Mahony Bros., 6th payment, general construction of the Sherman School (claim dated Aug. 3, 1927), $19,587.19.

(18) R. W. Moller, 11th payment, general construction of the Mission (Everett) Junior High School (claim dated Aug. 3, 1927), $44,604.67.

(19) Pacific Electric Construction Co., first payment, electric work on Portola Junior High School (claim dated Aug. 3, 1927), $5,879.55.

(20) Scott Company, 5th payment, mechanical equipment for Mission (Everett) Junior High School (claim dated Aug. 3, 1927), $10,617.75.

(21) Scott Company, first payment, mechanical equipment for Portola Junior High School (claim dated Aug. 3, 1927), $3,604.27.

(22) Scott Company, first payment, mechanical equipment for Sherman School (claim dated Aug. 3. 1927), $2,031.94.

(23) Thos. Skelly, 4th payment, mechanical equipment for addition to Sunnyside School (claim dated Aug. 3, 1927), $1,328.14.

(24) O. Monson, 4th payment, general construction of Portola Junior High School (claim dated Aug. 3, 1927), $34,687.50.

Hetch Hetchy Power Operative Fund.

(25) M. M. O'Shaughnessy, revolving fund expenditures, as per vouchers (claim dated July 30, 1927), $832.50.

Hetch Hetchy Water Bonds, 1925.

(26) Dunham, Carrigan & Hayden Co., miscellaneous hardware (claim dated July 29, 1927), $515.25.

(27) H. R. Landfare Co., (The Bank of California, Assignee), for cedar poles (claim dated July 29, 1927), $1,974.

(28) Pacific Tank & Pipe Co., two redwood tanks (claim dated July 29, 1927), $626.40.

(29) The Pacific Telephone & Telegraph Co., one posthole digger and equipment (claim dated July 29, 1927), $600.

(30) Santa Fe Lumber Co., lumber (claim dated July 29, 1927), $659.99.

(31) Chas. K. Spaulding Logging Co., lumber (claim dated July 29, 1927), $646.66.

(32) State Compensation Insurance Fund, insurance premium on Hetch Hetchy employees (claim dated July 29, 1927), $2,759.86.

(33) George H. Tay Co., pipe, etc., (claim dated July 29, 1927), $717.67.

(34) United Commercial Co., rail,

angle bars, etc. (claim dated July 29, 1927), $603.70.

General Fund, 1926-1927.

(35) W. Q. Wright, Ralph A. Beebee and A. A. Peters, progress payment for dredging at Marina Yacht Harbor (claim dated Aug. 5, 1927), $11,512.50.

(36) Pearson & Johnson, progress payment, moving club houses at foot of Van Ness avenue (claim dated Aug. 5, 1927), $4,000.

(37) T. M. Gallagher, City's portion, improvement of Elizabeth street from Hoffman avenue to Burnham street (claim dated Aug. 3, 1927), $6,300.

(38) Frank J. McHugh, 5th payment, construction of sewer and appurtenances in westerly Sunset District (claim dated Aug. 3, 1927), $8,550.

(39) James M. Smith, first payment, grading-construction of Municipal Airport, Contract No. 8 (claim dated Aug. 3, 1927), $18,000.

(40) California Petroleum Corporation, fuel oil for Civic Center Power House (claim dated June 30, 1927), $888.37.

(41) W. S. Dickey Clay Manufacturing Co., paving brick for street reconstruction (claim dated July 28, 1927), $1,472.98.

(42) San Francisco Bulletin, advertising Public Administrator's Reports for 1926-1927 (claim dated June 30, 1927), $680.20.

(43) J. D. Galloway, professional service in connection with appearance before War Department, Washington, D. C., in matter of transbay bridge (claim dated June 30, 1927), $1,846.45.

(44) Phillips & Van Orden Co., printing tax bills (claim dated June 30, 1927), $1,065.94.

Playground Fund.

(45) The Fay Improvement Co., construction of asphalt tennis and basketball courts at Funston playground, and repairs at James Lick playground (claim dated Aug. 3, 1927), $6,367.23.

(46) The Fay Improvement Co., construction of addition to tennis court at Funston playground (claim dated Aug. 3, 1927), $876.37.

(47) Spring Valley Water Co., water furnished playgrounds (claim dated Aug. 3, 1927), $1,634.35.

Hetch Hetchy Operative Revenue Fund.

(48) Railroad Commission of the State of California, expense of valuation proceedings on San Francisco electric properties, month of July, 1927 (claim dated July 11, 1927), $1,500.

(49) Railroad Commission of the State of California, expense of valuation proceedings on San Francisco electric properties, month of August, 1927 (claim dated Aug. 8, 1927), $1,000.

Sunset Tunnel Assessment Fund.

(50) Leonard F. Youdall and R. G. Clifford (Youdall Construction Co.), 14th payment, construction of Duboce avenue-Sunset Tunnel (claim dated Aug. 3, 1927), $88,676.70.

(51) Leonard F. Youdall and R. G. Clifford (Youdall Construction Co.), extra work, construction of Duboce avenue-Sunset Tunnel (claim dated Aug. 3, 1927), $3,070.12.

General Fund, 1927-1928.

(52) James M. Smith, final payment, construction of Canal street sewer extension, Contract 3 (claim dated Aug. 3, 1927), $3,746.

(53) San Francisco Society for the Prevention of Cruelty to Animals, impounding, feeding, etc. of animals (claim dated Aug. 8, 1927), $1,250.

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Kent, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—12.

Absent — Supervisors Bath, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, McSheehy, Shannon—6.

Appropriation, $20,000, Bay Shore Highway.

Resolution No. 27651 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $20,000 be and the smae is hereby set aside, apropriated and authorized to be expended out of County Road Fund for the construction of the Bay Shore Highway.

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Kent, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—12.

Absent — Supervisors Bath, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, McSheehy, Shannon—6.

Appropriations, Street Program, 1927-1928, County Road Fund.

Resolution No. 27652 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of County Road Fund for the improvement of the following streets, to-wit:

Beale street, Mission street to The Embarcadero (resurfacing), $16,200.

Davis street, Pacific to Broadway, $3,600.

Fourth street, Market to Mission streets, $9,000.

Larkin street, California to Jackson streets, $6,840.

Larkin street, Pacific street to Union street, $14,400.

Pine street, Leavenworth to Taylor streets (widening), $4,500.

Seventh street, Mission to Brannan (resurfacing), $15,300.

Total, $114,840.

Roosevelt way, $45,000.

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Kent, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—12.

Absent — Supervisors Bath, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, McSheehy, Shannon—6.

Appropriations, McLaren Park Appraisements.

Resolution No. 27654 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside and appropriated out of Budget Item No. 70, Appropriation No. 1090, "Purchase of lands for public purposes in Mission District," and authorized in payment to the hereinafter named persons; being payments for appraising land required for park purposes, to-wit:

(1) George Gilmour, to appraising buildings situate on land required for park purposes (claim dated July 19, 1927), $4,600.

(2) L. P. Kerner, to appraising 2179 parcels of property required for park purposes (claim dated May 2, 1927), $6,537.

(3) Raymond R. Stevens, to appraising 2179 parcels of property required for park purposes (claim dated May 2, 1927), $6,537.

(4) Wm. A. Schadde, to appraising 2179 parcels of property required for park purposes (claim dated May 2, 1927), $6,537.

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Kent, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—12.

Absent — Supervisors Bath, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, McSheehy, Shannon—6.

Oil and Boiler Permits.

Resolution No. 27655 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits be and are hereby granted:

Oil Tanks.

J. B. Levison, 2420 Pacific avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

Wm. Martin, 32 Presidio Terrace, 1500 gallons capacity.

A. B. Stevens, northeast corner of Anza street and Thirty-fifth avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

R. Monson, southwest corner of Cole and Carmel streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

L. D. Stoff, southeast corner of Octavia and Bay streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

Ackerman Realty Co., 1859 Post street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Stein & Kreig, west side of Fourteenth avenue, 75 feet south of Geary street, 1500 gallons capacity.

A. M. Samuelson, south side of Monterey boulevard, 70 feet north of Northgate drive, 1500 gallons capacity.

Chas. J. W. Koenig, Magellan avenue, 545 feet east of Pacheco street, 750 gallons capacity.

E. Happersberger, east side of Arguello boulevard, 103 feet 4 inches south of Clay street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Boiler.

Ideal Laundry, Inc., northeast corner Seventh and Harrison streets, 200-horsepower.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits shall become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Kent, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—12.

Absent — Supervisors Bath, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, McSheehy, Shannon—6.

Providing for the Issuance and Redemption of War Memorial Bonds to the Amount of $4,000,000.

Bill No. 8143, Ordinance No. 7648 (New Series), as follows:

Providing for the issuance and redemption of bonds of the City and County of San Francisco to the amount of four million ($4,000,000) dollars for the acquisition, construction and completion of a permanent improvement, to-wit: The construction, completion and equipment of permanent buildings in or adjacent to the Civic Center in the City and County of San Francisco, to be used as memorial halls for war veterans, and for educational, recreational, entertainment and other municipal purposes, and the purchase of all equipment and furnishings necessary for said buildings, in accordance with and as authorized at a special election held in said City and County on the 14th day of June, 1927.

Whereas, a special election was held in the City and County of San Francisco on the 14th day of June, 1927, in accordance with the provisions and requirements of Ordinance No. 7516 (New Series), calling and providing for such election, and Resolution No. 27283 (New Series), giving notice thereof, reference to said ordinance and

resolution for further particulars being here made; and

Whereas, it has been determined by Resolution No. 27642 (New Series), reference thereto for further particulars being here made, that at such special election more than two-thirds of the votes cast thereat were cast and counted as being in favor of and to authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness for the purpose and to the amount stated in the proposition submitted thereat; now, therefore,

Be it ordained by the people of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. That bonds of the City and County of San Francisco be issued in accordance with the result of the special election held in said City and County on the 14th day of June, 1927, and the provisions of said Charter of said City and County and with Ordinance No. 7516 (New Series), and Resolution No. 27283 (New Series), as follows, to-wit:

That bonds of the City and County of San Francisco be issued to the amount of four million ($4,000,000) dollars for the purpose of the acquisition, construction and completion of a permanent improvement, to-wit: The construction, completion and equipment of permanent buildings in or adjacent to the Civic Center in the City and County of San Francisco, to be used as memorial halls for war veterans, and for educational, recreational, entertainment and other municipal purposes, and the purchase of all equipment and furnishings necessary for said buildings.

That such bonds shall be called "Memorial Halls Bonds," shall be 400 in number, and shall be numbered from 1 to 4000, both inclusive, and shall be payable two hundred thousand ($200,000) dollars thereof five years from the date of said bonds, beginning with the lowest number, and two hundred thousand ($200,000) dollars thereof of the next higher numbers on the same day in each succeeding year until all of said bonds shall be paid.

Section 2. All of the bonds issued as herein provided shall be of the form and character known as "serials." All of said bonds shall be dated September 1, 1927, shall bear interest at the rate of four and one-half per centum per annum, payable semi-annually on the first day of January and July of each year untill the maturity thereof; shall be of the denomination of $1,000 each, and the principal and interest thereof shall be payable in gold coin of the United States at the office of the Treasurer of said City and County, or, at the option of the holder, at the fiscal agency of said City and County in the City and State of New York.

Section 3. Said bonds shall be signed by the Mayor and by the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco, countersigned by the Auditor, and attested by the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors with the seal of said City and County.

Said bonds shall be in substantially the following form:

The United States of America.
State of California.
City and County of San Francisco.
Memorial Halls Bond.

No. —— $1,000.00

For value received, the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of California, hereby acknowledges itself indebted and promises to pay to the bearer, on the first day of ————, 19——, one thousand dollars, with interest thereon at the rate of 4½ per centum per annum, payable semi-annually January 1 and July 1, on presentation and surrender of the coupons hereto attached as they respectively become due, both principal and interest being payable in gold coin of the United States at the office of the Treasurer of said City and County, or, at the option of the holder, at the fiscal agency of said City and County of San Francisco in the City and State of New York.

This bond is issued under and pursuant to the Constitution and statutes of the State of California and the Charter of said City and County of San Francisco and amendments thereto, and under and pursuant to ordinances and proceedings of said City and County duly adopted and taken, and a vote and assent of more than two-thirds of all the qualified electors of said City and County voting at a special election duly and legally called and held for that purpose.

It is hereby certified, recited and declared that all acts, conditions and things required by law to exist, happen and be performed precedent to and in the issuance of this bond have existed, happened and been performed in due time, form and manner as required by law, and that the

amount of this bond, together with all other indebtedness of said City and County, does not exceed any limit prescribed by the Constitution or statutes of said State or Charter of said City and County, and that provision has been made as required by the Constitution and statutes of said State and the Charter of said City and County for the collection of an annual tax sufficient to pay the interest on this bond as it falls due, and also provision to constitute a sinking fund for the payment of the principal of this bond on or before maturity. The full faith and credit of said City and County are hereby pledged for the punctual payment of the principal and interest of this bond.

This bond may be converted into a registered bond upon presentation to the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco, in which event such Treasurer shall cut off and cancel the coupons of this bond, and shall sign a statement stamped, printed or written upon the back or face of the bond to the effect that this bond is registered in the name of the owner and that thereafter the interest and principal of this bond are payable to the registered owner. Thereafter and from time to time this bond may be transferred by such registered owner in person or by attorney duly authorized on presentation of this bond to the Treasurer, and the bond be again registered as before, a similar statement being stamped, printed or written thereon.

This bond is exempt from all taxation within the State of California.

In witness whereof, said City and County of San Francisco has caused this bond to be executed under its corporate seal, signed by its Mayor and Treasurer, and countersigned by its Auditor, and has caused the interest coupons hereto attached to be signed with the engraved or lithographed signature of its Treasurer, and this bond to be dated the first day of September, 1927.

.....
Mayor.

.....
Treasurer.

Countersigned:

.....
Auditor.

.....
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors. (Seal)

Section 4. Interest coupons shall be attached to each bond to the number of twice the number of years such bond will run until the maturity thereof, and the coupons shall be of such a sum as will amount to the interest due on such bond for six months and there shall be a coupon attached representing the amount of interest due from September 1, 1927, to January 1, 1928. Such coupons shall be numbered from one upwards and shall state the name and number of the bond to which it is attached. Such coupons shall bear the facsimile signature of the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco and be substantially in the following form:

Form of Coupon.

No. ——. $——.

On ——— 1, 19—, the City and County of San Francisco, California, will pay to bearer at the office of the Treasurer of said City and County, or at the option of the holder at the fiscal agency of the City and County of San Francisco, in the City and State of New York, ——— dollars ($——) in gold coin of the United States, being six months' interest then due on its "Memorial Halls" Bond, dated September 1, 1927, number ——.

.....
Treasurer.

The form of coupon for interest from September 1, 1927, to January 1, 1928, shall be of similar form as the above set forth form of coupon, with the exception that the coupon shall recite that it is four months' interest due on said bond.

Section 5. Any bond may be converted into a registered bond upon presentation to the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco, in which event such Treasurer shall cut off and cancel the coupons of this bond, and shall sign a statement stamped, printed or written upon the back or face of the bond to the effect that the bond is registered in the name of the owner and that thereafter the interest and principal of the bond are payable to the registered owner. Thereafter and from time to time the bond may be transferred by such registered owner in person or attorney duly authorized on presentation of the bond to the Treasurer, and the bond be again registered as before, a similar statement being stamped, printed or written thereon. Such registration shall be substantially in the following form:

Form of Registration.

San Francisco, ————, 19—

This bond is registered pursuant to Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, in the name of ———— and the interest and principal thereof are hereafter payable to such owner.

. . . . . .

Treasurer.

Section 6. For the purpose of providing for the payment of the principal and interest of said bonds, taxes shall be levied and collected as provided in Ordinance No. 7516 (New Series) and Resolution No. 27283 (New Series), and in furtherance of the purpose herein expressed the following fund is hereby created, to-wit: "Memorial Halls Bond Interest and Redemption Fund."

Section 7. The Board of Supervisors shall sell said bonds at such times and in such amounts as it may determine. The proceeds arising from the sale of Memorial Halls Bonds shall be placed in the treasury to the credit of the "Memorial Halls Construction Fund," which fund is hereby created, and shall be used exclusively for the purpose for which such bonds were issued.

Section 8. This ordinance shall take effect immediately. And the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors is hereby directed to advertise this bill and ordinance in the official newspaper as required by law.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Shannon—2.

Authorizing Plans, Etc., for the Improvement of San Jose Avenue Between Cotter Street and Ottawa Avenue.

Bill No. 8137, Ordinance No. 7649 (New Series), as follows:

Authorizing the preparation of plans and specifications for the improvement of portions of San Jose avenue between Cotter street and Ottawa avenue as hereinafter described, and ordering the improvement of portions of San Jose avenue between Cotter street and Ottawa avenue, as hereinafter described, in accordance with said plans and specifications prepared therefor; authorizing and directing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for said improvement of portions of San Jose avenue in accordance with the said plans and specifications.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized, instructed and empowered to prepare plans and specifications for the improvement of portions of San Jose avenue and to enter into contract for said improvement of portions of San Jose avenue in accordance with the plans and specifications prepared therefor, as follows, to-wit:

The southeasterly side of San Jose avenue between Cotter street and Capistrano avenue.

The northwesterly side of San Jose avenue in front of Balboa Park.

The northwesterly side of San Jose avenue between Ocean avenue and Niagara avenue.

San Jose avenue between the southerly line of Niagara avenue and the southerly line of Mount Vernon avenue.

The southeasterly side of San Jose avenue between the southerly line of Mount Vernon avenue and Ottawa avenue.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Kent, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—12.

Absent — Supervisors Bath, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, McSheehy, Shannon—6.

Authorizing Plans, Etc., for Extension of High Pressure System.

Bill No. 8138, Ordinance No. 7650 (New Series), as follows:

Authorizing the preparation of plans and specifications for the hauling and laying of extensions to the high-pressure water system for fire protection, and ordering the hauling and laying of extensions to the high-pressure water system for fire protection in accordance with said plans and specifications prepared therefor; authorizing and directing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for said hauling and laying of said extensions to the high-pressure water system for fire protection, and permitting progressive payments to be made during the course of said hauling and laying of said extensions.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized, instructed and empowered to prepare

plans and specifications for the hauling and laying of extensions to the high-pressure water system for fire protection connecting with the high-pressure water system for fire protection at Twenty-fifth and Harrison streets; thence along Twenty-fifth street to Potrero avenue; thence along Potrero avenue to Army street; thence along Army street to Evans avenue; thence along Evans avenue to meet the Butchertown pipe line at Evans avenue and Rankin street, and also to extend a loop through San Bruno avenue and through Napoleon street and to enter into contract for the hauling and laying of said extensions to the high-pressure water mains for fire protection in accordance with the plans and specifications prepared therefor.

Section 2. The said Board of Public Works is hereby authorized and permitted to incorporate in the contract for the said hauling and laying of high-pressure water mains for fire protection, conditions that progressive payments shall be made in the manner set forth in said specifications and, as provided by Section 21, Chapter 1, Article VI of the Charter.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Kent, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—12.

Absent — Supervisors Bath, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, McSheehy, Shannon—6.

Repealing Ordinance No. 7593 (New Series), Ordering the Improvement of South One-half of Anza Street.

Bill No. 8139, Ordinance No. 7651 (New Series), as follows:

Repealing Ordinance No. 7593 (New Series), ordering the improvement of the south one-half of Anza street between Forty-sixth avenue and Forty-seventh avenue by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks six feet in width where artificial stone sidewalks six feet or more in width have not already been constructed.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 7593 (New Series), ordering the improvement of the south one-half of Anza street between Forty-sixth avenue and Forty-seventh avenue by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks six feet in width where artificial stone sidewalks six feet or more in width have not already been constructed, is hereby repealed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Kent, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—12.

Absent — Supervisors Bath, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, McSheehy, Shannon—6.

Changing and Reestablishing Grades on Roosevelt Way.

Bill No. 8140, Ordinance No. 7652 (New Series), as follows:

Changing and reestablishing the official grades on Roosevelt way and adjacent streets as shown on map entitled "Grade Map of Roosevelt way and adjacent streets".

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors, on the written recommendation of the Board of Public Works, did, on the 6th day of May, 1927, by Resolution No. 27185 (New Series) declare its intention to change and reestablish the grades on Roosevelt way and adjacent streets as shown on map entitled "Grade Map of Roosevelt way and adjacent streets".

Whereas, said resolution was so published for ten days, and the Board of Public Works within ten days after the first publication of said resolution of intention caused notices of the passage of said resolution to be conspicuously posted along all streets specified in the resolution, in the manner and as provided by law; and

Whereas, more than forty days has elapsed since the first publication of said resolution of intention; therefore,

Be it ordained by the People of the City and Couunty of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The grades on the following named streets at the points hereinafter named and at the elevations above city base as hereinafter stated, are hereby changed and established as follows:

The grades on Roosevelt way and adjacent streets as shown on map entitled "Grade Map of Roosevelt way and adjacent streets" changed and established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Kent, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—12.

Absent — Supervisors Bath, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, McSheehy, Shannon—6.

Spur Track Permit, T. I. Butler Co.

Bill No. 8141, Ordinance No. 7653 (New Series), as follows:

Granting permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to T. I. Butler Co. to construct, maintain and operate a spur track in Harrison street between Nineteenth and Twentieth streets as hereinafter described.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, is hereby granted to T. I. Butler Co. to construct, maintain and operate a spur track in and along Harrison street, being particularly described as follows, to-wit:

Beginning at a point on the center line of the existing Southern Pacific Company main track in Harrison street, said point being distant southerly 90 feet, more or less, from the southerly line of Twentieth street produced, and distant easterly 41.2 feet from the westerly line of Harrison street; thence in a northeasterly direction through a No. 8 girder rail turnout to the right and curving to the right and left, crossing the intersection of Harrison street and Twentieth street for a distance of 195 feet, more or less, to a point in Harrison street, said point being distant westerly 10 feet from the easterly line of Harrison street and distant northerly 37 feet, more or less, from the northerly line of Twentieth street produced; thence in a northerly direction parallel to and distant westerly at right angles 10 feet from the easterly line of Harrison street for a distance of 175 feet, more or less, to end of track.

Said permission is granted subject to the provisions of Ordinance No. 69 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors, approved October 12, 1906, and the provisions and conditions of Section 8 thereof are hereby specifically contained in the permit hereby granted, and shall be construed as a part hereof as completely as though the same were written in this ordinance.

Provided, that said track shall be laid under the supervision and to the lines and grades as furnished by the City Engineer's office, and that any and all expense connected with the installation of the track, restoration of the pavement and any additional requirements for the surface drainage is to be paid for by the T. I. Butler Co.; provided, that T. I. Butler Co. shall erect and maintain all-night lighted arc lamps, to be placed where directed by the Lighting Committee of the Board of Supervisors.

Provided, that girder rail be used; that protection be given to the valves and fittings of the high-pressure system where the track crosses it; that the granite curb removed be hauled to the Corporation Yard; that the pavement disturbed be restored in good condition, and that all work be done under the supervision of the Board of Public Works.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Kent, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—12.

Absent — Supervisors Bath, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, McSheehy, Shannon—6.

Amending Traffic Ordinance, One-way Traffic, Sloat Boulevard.

Bill No. 8142, Ordinance No. 7654 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1857 (New Series), entitled "Regulating moving travel and traffic upon the streets and other public places of the City and County of San Francisco, and providing a punishment for any violation thereof," by adding a new section thereto to be numbered and designated Section 19-C.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1857 (New Series), the title of which is hereinabove recited, is hereby amended by adding a new section thereto and to be numbered and designated Section 19-C, as follows:

Section 19-C. It shall be unlawful for any owner, driver or operator of any motor or horsedrawn vehicle or animal to operate such vehicle or animal on any of the following named one-way traffic streets excepting in the direction as herein provided:

(a) Westbound on Sloat boulevard from Junipero Serra boulevard to the Great Highway, on the northerly side thereof only.

(b) Eastbound on Sloat boulevard from the Great Highway to Junipero Serra boulevard, on the southerly side thereof only.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Kent, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—12.

Absent — Supervisors Bath, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, McSheehy, Shannon—6.

Street Reconstruction Program, 1927-1928.

Bill No. 8146, Ordinance No. 7655 (New Series), as follows:

Authorizing and ordering the reconstruction and repair of certain accepted streets in the City and County of San Francisco under the supervision of the Board of Public Works of said City and County during the fiscal year ending June 30, 1928, and appropriating funds for the cost thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works of the City and County of San Francisco is hereby authorized and ordered to reconstruct and repair, under its supervision, those certain accepted streets in said City and County, particularly designated and described in Ordinance No. 7558 (New Series), approved June 6, 1927.

There is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended by the said Board of Public Works the sum of $100,350, payable out of Item No. 81, Budget 1927-1928, for the reconstruction and repair of the following streets, to-wit:

Clay street, Taylor to Jones streets, $4,860.

Drumm street, Jackson to Pacific streets, $4,500.

Ellis street, Polk street to Van Ness avenue, $3,600.

Fillmore street, Broadway to Green street, $6,300.

Filbert street, Hyde to Leavenworth streets, $4,500.

Jackson street, Stockton to Powell streets, $4,050.

Leavenworth street, Columbus avenue to Jefferson street, $3,600.

Polk street, Broadway to Vallejo street, $3,600.

Stockton street, Lombard to Bay streets, $10,800.

Sutter street, Broderick street to Presidio avenue (widening), $11,250.

Steiner street, Haight to Oak streets, $10,800.

Steiner street, Grove to Fulton streets, $3,150.

Taylor street, Green to Lombard streets, $13,500.

Twenty-fifth street, Fair Oaks to Dolores streets, $3,150.

Twenty-second street, Church to Dolores streets, $5,850.

Valparaiso street, Mason to Taylor streets, $3,240.

Octavia street, Jackson to Pacific streets, $3,600.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Kent, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—12.

Absent — Supervisors Bath, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, McSheehy, Shannon—6.

Repealing Portion of Ordinance No. 6662 (New Series) as Establishes Set-back Lines Along Portion of Edna Street.

Bill No. 8145, Ordinance No. 7656 (New Series), as follows:

Repealing so much of Ordinance No. 6662 (New Series) as establishes set-back lines along the westerly side of Edna street between Hearst and Flood avenues.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. So much of Ordinance No. 6662 (New Series), approved June 26, 1925, as establishes set-back lines along the westerly side of Edna street between Hearst and Flood avenues is hereby repealed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Kent, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—12.

Absent — Supervisors Bath, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, McSheehy, Shannon—6.

Amending Ordinance of Additional Positions.

Bill No. 8136, Ordinance No. 7658 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Subdivision (G) of Section 27 of Ordinance No. 5460 (New Series), known as the "Ordinance of Additional Positions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. That Subdivision (G) of Section 27 of Ordinance No. 5460 (New Series), known as the "Ordinance of Additional Positions," be and is hereby amended to read as follows:

(G) 20 inspectors, each at a salary of $3,000 a year.

Section 2. This ordinance to take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Shannon—2.

Providing for the Issuance and Redemption of Bernal Cut Bonds to the Amount of $1,400,000.

Bill No. 8144, Ordinance No. 7657 (New Series), as follows:

Providing for the issuance and redemption of bonds of the City

and County of San Francisco, to the amount of one million four hundred thousand ($1,400,000) dollars, for the acquisition, construction and completion of a permanent improvement, to-wit: A cut or excavation with approach fills, providing an improved route for public highway purposes in the City and County of San Francisco, known as the Bernal Cut, which improvement begins at or near the intersection of Randall and Dolores streets and extending southerly to a point at or near the intersection of San Jose avenue and Diamond street in the City and County of San Francisco, including approaches, structures, pavements, curbs, sidewalks, sewers, drainage facilities, the readjustment of intersecting and adjacent streets and other improvements, and the purchase of necessary lands, in accordance with and as authorized at a special election held in said City and County on the 14th day of June, 1927.

Whereas, a special election was held in the City and County of San Francisco on the 14th day of June, 1927, in accordance with the provisions and requirements of Ordinance No. 7512 (New Series), calling and providing for such election, and Resolution No. 27282 (New Series), giving notice thereof, reference to said ordinance and resolution for further particulars being here made; and

Whereas, it has been determined by Resolution No. 27643 (New Series), reference thereto for further particulars being here made, that at such special election more than two-thirds of the votes cast thereat were cast and counted as being in favor of and to authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness for the purpose and to the amount stated in the proposition submitted thereat; now, therefore,

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. That bonds of the City and County of San Francisco be issued in accordance with the result of the special election held in said City and County on the 14th day of June, 1927, and the provisions of said Charter of said City and County and with Ordinance No. 7512 (New Series), and Resolution No. 27282 (New Series), as follows, to-wit:

That bonds of the City and County of San Francisco be issued to the amount of one million four hundred thousand ($1,400,000) dollars for the purpose of the acquisition, construction and completion of a permanent improvement, to-wit: A cut or excavation with approach fills and other improvements providing an improved route for public highway purposes in the City and County of San Francisco known as the Bernal Cut, which improvement begins at or near the intersection of Randall and Dolores streets and extending southerly to a point at or near the intersection of San Jose avenue and Diamond street in the City and County of San rancisco.

That such bonds shall be called "Bernal Cut Bonds," shall be 1400 in number, and shall be numbered from 1 to 1400, both inclusive, and shall be payable seventy thousand dollars thereof five years from the date of said bonds, beginning with the lowest number, and seventy thousand dollars thereof of the next higher numbers on the same day in each succeeding year until all of said bonds shall be paid.

Section 2. All of the bonds issued as herein provided shall be of the form and character known as "serials." All of said bonds shall be dated September 1, 1927, shall bear interest at the rate of four and one-half per centum per annum, payable semi-annually on the first day of January and July of each year until the maturity thereof; shall be of the denomination of $1,000 each, and the principal and interest thereof shall be payable in gold coin of the United States at the office of the Treasurer of said City and County, or, at the option of the holder, at the fiscal agency of said City and County in the City and State of New York.

Section 3. Said bonds shall be signed by the Mayor and by the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco, countersigned by the Auditor, and attested by the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors with the seal of said City and County.

Said bonds shall be in substantially the following form:

The United States of America.
State of California.
City and County of San Francisco.
Bernal Cut Bond.

No. ——— $1,000.00

For value received, the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of California, hereby acknowledges itself indebted and promises to pay to the bearer, on the first day of ———, 19—, one thousand dollars, with interest thereon at the rate of 4½ per centum per annum, payable semi-annually January 1 and July 1, on presentation and surrender of the coupons hereto attached as they respectively become

due, both principal and interest being payable in gold coin of the United States at the office of the Treasurer of said City and County, or, at the option of the holder, at the fiscal agency of said City and County of San Francisco in the City and State of New York.

This bond is issued under and pursuant to the Constitution and statutes of the State of California and the Charter of said City and County of San Francisco and amendments thereto, and under and pursuant to ordinances and proceedings of said City and County duly adopted and taken, and a vote and assent of more than two-thirds of all the qualified electors of said City and County voting at a special election duly and legally called and held for that purpose.

It is hereby certified, recited and declared that all acts, conditions and things required by law to exist, happen and be performed precedent to and in the issuance of this bond have existed, happened and been performed in due time, form and manner as required by law, and that the amount of this bond, together with all other indebtedness of said City and County, does not exceed any limit prescribed by the Constitution or statutes of said State or Charter of said City and County, and that provision has been made as required by the Constitution and statutes of said State and the Charter of said City and County for the collection of an annual tax sufficient to pay the interest on this bond as it falls due, and also provision to constitute a sinking fund for the payment of the principal of this bond on or before maturity. The full faith and credit of said City and County are hereby pledged for the punctual payment of the principal and interest of this bond.

This bond may be converted into a registered bond upon presentation to the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco, in which event such Treasurer shall cut off and cancel the coupons of this bond, and shall sign a statement stamped, printed or written upon the back or face of the bond to the effect that this bond is registered in the name of the owner and that thereafter the interest and principal of this bond are payable to the registered owner. Thereafter and from time to time this bond may be transferred by such registered owner in person or by attorney duly authorized on presentatoin of this bond to the Treasurer, and the bond be again registered as before, a similar statement being stamped, printed or written thereon.

This bond is exempt from all taxation within the State of California.

In witness whereof, said City and County of San Francisco has caused this bond to be executed under its corporate seal, signed by its Mayor and Treasurer, and countersigned by its Auditor, and has caused the interest coupons hereto attached to be signed with the engraved or lithographed signature of its Treasurer, and this bond to be dated the first day of September, 1927.

....., Mayor.

....., Treasurer.

Countersigned:

....., Auditor.

....., Clerk of the Board of Supervisors.

(Seal)

Section 4. Interest coupons shall be attached to each bond to the number of twice the number of years such bond will run until the maturity thereof, and the coupons shall be of such a sum as will amount to the interest due on such bond for six months, and there shall be a coupon attached representing the amount of interest due from September 1st, 1927, to January 1st, 1928. Such coupons shall be numbered from one upwards and shall state the name and number of the bond to which it is attached. Such coupons shall bear the fac-simile signature of the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco and be substantially in the following form:

Form of Coupon.

No..... $.....

On.. .....1, 19...., the City and County of San Francisco, California, will pay to bearer at the office of the Treasurer of said City and County, or at the option of the holder of the fiscal agency of the City and County of San Francisco, in the State of New York, ... dollars ($.....) in gold coin of the United States, being six months' interest then due on its "Bernal Cut" bond dated September 1, 1927, number .....

....., Treasurer.

The form of coupon for interest from September 1st, 1927, to January 1st, 1928, shall be of similar form as the above set forth form of coupon, with the exception that the coupon shall recite that it is four months' interest due on said bond.

Section 5. Any bond may be con-

verted into a registered bond upon presentation to the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco, in which event such Treasurer shall cut off and cancel the coupons of this bond, and shall sign a statement stamped, printed or written upon the back or face of the bond to the effect that the bond is registered in the name of the owner and that thereafter the interest and principal of the bond are payable to the registered owner. Thereafter and from time to time the bond may be transferred by such registered owner in person or attorney duly authorized on presentation of the bond to the Treasurer, and the bond be again registered as before, a similar statement being stamped, printed or written thereon. Such registration shall be substantially in the following form:

Form of Registration.

San Francisco, ..., 19..

This bond is registered pursuant to Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, in the name of ....., and the interest and principal thereof are hereafter payable to such owner.

....., Treasurer.

Section 6. For the purpose of providing for the payment of the principal and interest of said bonds, taxes shall be levied and collected as provided in Ordinance No. 7512 (New Series) and Resolution No. 27282 (New Series), and in furtherance of the purpose herein expressed the following fund is hereby created, to-wit: "Bernal Cut Bond Interest and Redemption Fund."

Section 7. The Board of Supervisors shall sell said bonds at such times and in such amounts as it may determine. The proceeds arising from the sale of Bernal Cut bonds shall be placed in the treasury to the credit of the "Bernal Cut Construction Fund," which fund is hereby created, and shall be used exclusively for the purpose for which such bonds were issued.

Section 8. This ordinance shall take effect immediately. And the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors is hereby directed to advertise this bill and ordinance in the official newspaper, as required by law.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Shannon—2.

Action Deferred.

The following resolution was, on motion of Supervisor McSheehy, *laid over one week*:

Transferring the Sum of $42,508.21 From Twin Peaks Tunnel Assessment Fund to Municipal Railway Fund.

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $42,508.21 be and is hereby ordered transferred from the Twin Peaks Ridge Tunnel Assessment Fund to the Municipal Railway Fund and the Board of Public Works, Tax Collector, Auditor and Treasurer are hereby directed to make such entries in their official records and books of account as will correctly show such transfer; said transfer is for the purpose of reimbursing said Municipal Railway Fund for moneys advanced therefrom and used in tne construction of the Twin Peaks Tunnel project.

PRESENTATION OF BILLS AND ACCOUNTS.

Your Finance Committee, having examined miscellaneous demands not required by law to be passed to print, and amounting to $66,036.24, recommends same be allowed and ordered paid.

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Kent, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—12.

Absent — Supervisors Bath, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, McSheehy, Shannon—6.

NEW BUSINESS.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Authorizations.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts oe and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

Library Fund.

(1) American Building Maintenance Co., library janitor service (claim dated July 31, 1927), $700.

(2) Foster & Futernick Co., binding library books (claim dated July 31, 1927), $1,413.50.

(3) Librarian of Congress, library catalog cards (claim dated July 31, 1927), $750.

(4) G. E. Stechert & Co., library

books (claim dated July 31, 1927), $4,152.15.

County Road Fund.

(5) Chas. L. Harney, second payment, improvement south side of San Jose avenue between Gorham and Cotter streets, with intersections (claim dated Aug. 9, 1927), $2,250.

Relief Home Bonds, Issue 1923.

(6) John Reid, Jr., final payment, architectural services for new Relief Home (claim dated Aug. 9, 1927), $3,591.24.

(7) Department of Public Works, labor furnished for steam heating, etc., of new Relief Home (claim dated June 30, 1927), $1,780.

Special School Tax.

(8) Alta Electric Co., final payment, electrical work for addition to Commodore Sloat School (claim dated Aug. 9, 1927), $2,459.77.

(9) Granfield, Carrar & Carlin, final payment, grading for South Side High School (claim dated Aug. 10, 1927), $5,860.50.

(10) John Reid, Jr., second payment, architectural services for annex to Paul Revere School (claim dated Aug 9, 1927), $5,023.64.

(11) American Radiator Co., boiler and radiator for schools (claim dated Aug. 8, 1927), $527.89.

School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923.

(12) Solomon Bros. first and final payment, window and door shades for Edison School (claim dated Aug. 9, 1927), $960.25.

(13) Reliable Plumbing Co., third payment, mechanical equipment for Hearst-Moulder School (claim dated Aug. 9, 1927), $1,227.18.

(14) John Reid, Jr., ninth payment, architectural service on Mission (Everett) Junior High School (claim dated Aug. 9, 1927), $1,405.71.

(15) A. Lettich, third payment, plumbing work for Portola Junior High School (claim dated Aug. 9, 1927), $4,373.36.

County Road Fund.

(16) Equitable Asphalt Maintenance Co., royalty for work by Lutz surface heaters (claim dated June 30, 1927), $587.28.

Hetch Hetchy Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1925.

(For account of Hetch Hetchy water construction.)

(17) Associated Oil Co., fuel oil (claim dated Aug. 8, 1927), $894.25.

(18) Hercules Powder Co., explosives (claim dated Aug. 8, 1927), $9,078.92.

(19) A. Levy and J. Zentner Co., produce (claim dated Aug. 8, 1927), $569.69.

(20) Santa Cruz Portland Cement Co., cement (claim dated Aug. 8, 1927), $607.25.

(21) A. Guthrie & Co., Inc., eighth payment, construction of aqueduct tunnels, Foothill Division (claim dated Aug. 10, 1927), $49,964.76.

Municipal Railway Fund.

(22) American Brake Shoe and Foundry Co., brake shoes (claim dated Aug. 8, 1927), $696.19.

(23) Buckley & Curtin, street railroad guides (claim dated Aug. 8, 1927), $765.

(24) Hancock Bros., printing transfers (claim dated Aug. 8, 1927), $744.

(25) Westinghouse Electric and Manufacturing Co., electric railway parts (claim dated Aug. 9, 1927), $591.13.

Installation Fund (Department of Electricity).

(26) A. R. Edwards & Co., lenses for Dept. of Electricity (claim dated June 30, 1927), $1,073.15.

General Fund, 1926-1927.

(27) San Francisco Stove Works, iron castings for Dept. of Electricity (claim dated June 30, 1927), $553.48.

(28) Geo. H. Tay Co., pipe couplings for Dept. of Electricity (claim dated June 30, 1927), $1,157.79.

(29) Fay Improvement Co., grading and paving of Tompkins avenue (claim dated June 30, 1927), $792.

(30) John Spargo, final payment, construction of photograph gallery at Hall of Justice (claim dated June 30, 1927), $5,932.50.

(31) Department of Public Works, materials furnished for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated June 30, 1927), $1,285.07.

(32) Department of Public Works, labor furnished for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated June 30, 1927), $3,007.50.

General Fund, 1927-1928.

(33) California Petroleum Corporation, fuel oil, Laguna Honda Home (claim dated Aug. 11, 1927), $1,810.96.

(34) Del Monte Meat Co, meats, Laguna Honda Home (claim dated Aug. 11, 1927), $2,865.76.

(35) J. T. Freitas Co., Inc., eggs, Laguna Honda Home (claim dated Aug. 11, 1927), $1,106.16.

(36) Healy & Donaldson, tobacco, Laguna Honda Home (claim dated Aug. 11, 1927), $3,207.85.

(37) H. Moffat Co., meats, Laguna Honda Home (claim dated Aug. 11, 1927), $907.87.

(38) Morris & Co., butter, etc., Laguna Honda Home (claim dated Aug. 11, 1927), $1,467.63.

(39) C. Nauman & Co., potatoes,

Laguna Honda Home (claim dated Aug. 11, 1927), $885.34.

(40) Associated Charities, widows' pensions (claim dated Aug. 12, 1927), $8,722.65.

(41) Eureka Benevolent Society, widows' pensions (claim dated Aug. 12, 1927), $805.

(42) Little Children's Aid, widows' pensions (claim dated Aug. 12, 1927), $8,840.39.

(43) Boy's Aid Society, maintenance of minors (claim dated Aug. 9, 1927), $1,132.99.

(44) The Albertinum Orphanage, maintenance of minors (claim dated Aug. 9, 1927), $1,095.25.

(45) Roman Catholic Orphanage, maintenance of minors (claim dated Aug. 8, 1927), $3,349.60.

(46) St. Vincent's School, maintenance of minors (claim dated Aug. 9, 1927), $1,466.89.

(47) S. F. Protestant Orphanage, maintenance of minors (claim dated Aug. 9, 1927), $1,028.55.

(48) S. F. Nursery for Homeless Children, maintenance of minors (claim dated Aug. 6, 1927), $590.24.

(49) Little Children's Aid, maintenance of minors (claim dated Aug. 6, 1927), $13,096.50.

(50) Children's Agency, maintenance of minors (claim dated Aug. 9, 1927), $32,192.08.

(51) Eureka Benevolent Society, maintenance of minors (claim dated Aug. 9, 1927), $4,372.47.

(52) Spring Valley Water Co., water for public buildings (claim dated Aug. 9, 1927), $2,064.96.

(53) Eclipse Lime and Cement Co., cement for street repair (claim dated Aug. 9, 1927), $1,761.50.

(54) Symon Brothers, terms furnished for street cleaning (claim dated Aug. 10, 1927), $747.50.

(55) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., lighting public buildings (claim dated Aug. 10, 1927), $3,242.93.

(56) San Francisco Dairy Co., milk for Laguna Honda Home (claim dated Aug. 11, 1927), $2,381.62.

(57) Sperry Flour Co., flour for Laguna Honda Home (claim dated Aug. 11, 1927), $850.

(58) Spring Valley Water Co., water for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Aug. 11, 1927), $1,661.77.

(59) Spring Valley Water Co., water for Laguna Honda Home (claim dated Aug. 11, 1927), $751.12.

(60) E. B. Frick, room allowances for S. F. Hospital employees (claim dated Aug. 11, 1927), $2,548.05.

(61) San Francisco Bulletin, official advertising (claim dated Aug. 15, 1927), $1,349.04.

(62) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., street lighting for July (claim dated Aug. 15, 1927), $54,594.14.

(63) The Fay Improvement Co., improvement of Twenty-fourth avenue between Santiago and Taraval streets, at City property (claim dated Aug. 11, 1927), $3,975.

(64) Elliot Fisher Co., ten Elliot Fisher machines, for County Clerk (claim dated Aug. 15, 1927), $2,635.

(65) C. B. Eaton, constructing retaining walls on Virginia avenue (claim dated Aug. 10, 1927), $1,469.74.

(66) Christensen Construction Co., improvement of Thirty-first avenue between Ulloa and Vicente streets (claim dated Aug. 10, 1927), $1,575.

(67) Eaton & Smith, street and sewer construction, fronting City property (claim dated Aug. 10, 1927), $2,605.59.

(68) Federal Construction Co., improving street at Twenty-second and Wisconsin streets (claim dated Aug. 10, 1927), $602.46.

(69) The Fay Improvement Co., street improvement, at City property (claim dated Aug. 10, 1927), $6,118.30.

(70) Frank J. McHugh, improvement of Thirty-seventh avenue between Ulloa and Vicente streets (claim dated Aug. 10, 1927), $1,320.

(71) Municipal Construction Co., street improvements, at City property (claim dated Aug. 10, 1927), $2,278.77.

(72) Pacific States Construction Co., improvement of crossings at Wawona street and Twentieth and Twenty-first avenues (claim dated Aug. 10, 1927), $556.57.

(73) James T. Tobin, improvement of Baker street between Beach and Jefferson streets (claim dated Aug. 10, 1927), $1,211.

Special School Tax.

(74) Monson Bros., extra work on general construction of additional units for Galileo High School (claim dated Aug. 11, 1927), $1,698.42.

(75) Geo. H. Tay Co., porcelain urinals, etc., for schools (claim dated Aug. 11, 1927), $1,274.93.

Appropriations, Payment to Henry Becker et al. for Lands Required for Widening of Portola Drive and Opening of Bay Shore Boulevard.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized in payment out of appropriations heretofore made out of County Road Fund for the respective projects mentioned; being payments for properties required for the respective projects, to-wit:

(1) To Henry Becker and Anna

O. Becker, for property required for the widening of Portola drive, as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 27662, New Series (claim dated Aug. 10, 1927), $4,514.

(2) To Clara B. Erdin, for property required for the opening of the Bay Shore boulevard, as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 27625, New Series (claim dated Aug. 10, 1927), $2,438.

(3) To Attilio Beronio, for property required for the opening of the Bay Shore boulevard, as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 27625, New Series (claim dated Aug. 10, 1927), $4,900.

Appropriation, $100,000, Ocean Beach Highways.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $100,000 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of County Road Fund for the construction of highways along the Ocean Beach; same to be expended under the direction of the Park Commission.

Appropriation, $17,000, Grand View Avenue.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $17,000 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of County Road Fund for the improvement of Grand View avenue.

Appropriation, $311.20, Conform Work in Crossing of Newhall Street and Evans Avenue.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $311.20 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of "Street Work in Front of City Property," Budget Item No. 35, for construction of conform work in the crossings of Newhall street at Evans avenue and at Fairfax avenue.

Oil Tank Permits.

On motion of Supervisor Deasy:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits be and are hereby granted:

Oil Tanks.

Allen & Company, 55 Twenty-fifth avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

City and County of San Francisco (Adams School), Eddy street between Van Ness avenue and Polk street, 1500 gallons capacity.

City and County of San Francisco (Franklin School) Eighth street between Harrison and Bryant streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

City and County of San Francisco (Sutro School), Thirteenth avenue between California and Clement streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

City and County of San Francisco (Burnett School), Lane street and Newcomb avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

Wm. R. Clark, north side of Vallejo street, 180 feet west of Baker street, 1500 gallons capacity.

David Cohen, east side of Thirty-sixth avenue, 190 feet north of Cabrillo street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Arthur Dunne, 3864 Jackson street, 600 gallons capacity.

Il Trovatore Cafe, 520 Broadway, 600 gallons capacity.

Henry Ernst & Sons, east side of Hyde street, 85 feet south of Lombard street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Gilley Schmid, south side of Washington street, 130 feet east of Arguello boulevard, 1500 gallons capacity.

I. Preston, northeast corner of Thirty-second avenue and Cabrillo street, 1500 gallons capacity.

City and County of San Francisco (Junipero Serra School), Holly Park avenue and Highland avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

S. Salomon, 950 Pine street, 1500 gallons capacity.

C. Simpson, west side of San Buena Ventura way, 200 feet south of St. Francis boulevard, 1500 gallons capacity.

J. D. Zellerbach, 3580 Clay street, 1500 gallons capacity.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits shall become null and void.

Stable Permit.

On motion of Supervisor Byington:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Harry Clemansky be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred stable permit to accommodate twelve horses at premises 1174 Folsom street heretofore granted A. Rabinovitch by Resolution No. 11703 (New Series).

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Changing and Reestablishing Grades on Mariposa Street.

On motion of Supervisor Marks:

Bill No. 8147, Ordinance No. ——

(New Series), entitled "Changing and reestablishing the official grades on Mariposa street between Carolina and De Haro streets."

Changing and Establishing Grades on Thomas Avenue.

Also, Bill No. 8148, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), entitled "Changing and establishing the official grades on Thomas avenue between Griffith and Hawes streets; on Underwood avenue between Griffith and Ingalls streets; on Van Dyke avenue between Hawes and Jennings streets; on Wallace avenue between Hawes and Jennings streets; on Griffith street between Shafter and Underwood avenues; on Hawes street between Thomas and Wallace avenues, and on Ingalls street between Underwood and Yosemite avenues."

Changing and Reestablishing Grades on Montgomery Street.

Also, Bill No. 8149, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), entitled "Changing reestablishing the official grades on Montgomery street between Green street and Union street northerly line, and on Union street between Sansome street and the westerly line of Montgomery street."

Changing and Reestablishing Grades on Santiago Street.

Also, Bill No. 8150, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), entitled "Changing and reestablishing the official grades on Santiago street between the San Miguel Rancho line and Fourteenth avenue; on Twelfth avenue between the northerly line of Castenada avenue produced and a line parallel with Santiago street and 100 feet southerly therefrom, and on Funston avenue between lines parallel with Santiago street and respectively 75 feet northerly and 140 feet southerly therefrom."

Changing and Reestablishing Grades on Gaven Street.

Also, Bill No. 8151, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), entitled "Changing and reestablishing the official grades on Gaven street between San Bruno avenue and Merrill street, and on Barneveld avenue between Rickard and Sweeny streets."

Changing and Reestablishing Grades on Visitacion and Sunnydale Avenues.

Also, Bill No. 8152, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), entitled "Changgrades on Visitacion and Sunnydale ing and reestablishing the official avenues between Schwerin street and Spreckels street produced; on Ray, Britton, Loehr, Sawyer and Hahn streets between Leland avenue and a line parallel with Visitacion avenue and 263.67 southerly therefrom."

Rereferred.

The following bill was *rereferred to the City Planning Committee*:

Amending Zoning Ordinance.

Bill No. ——, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Section 3 of Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "An ordinance regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings, and the locations of buildings designed for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions," and adding thereto a new section to be known as Section 3a.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Section 3 of Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is recited as above, is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 3. First Residential District "A"—In a First Residential District "A" no building or premises shall be used and no building shall be constructed or altered which is arranged, intended or designed to be used for any purpose other than for:

1. Single family dwelling.
2. School.
3. Church.
4. Community clubhouse.
5. Nurseries, farms, truck gardens and green houses.
6. The usual accessories located on the same lot with these various buildings not involving the conduct of business, but including the office of a musician, physician or dentist, or other person authorized by law to practice medicine when situated in the same dwelling when used by such person as his or her place of residence, and a garage or group of garages containing space for passenger automobiles for the exclusive use of the tenants in the main building on the premises.

The Board of Supervisors may issue permits for the erection within a First Residential District "A" of a temporary building for commerce or industry incidental to the construction of a building or the development of a residential district, and they may also issue permits for the erection within such district of a stable for not more than two cows or two horses.

Section 2. A new section to be known as Section 3a is hereby added to Ordinance No. 5464 New Series), to read as follows:

Section 3a. First Residential District "B"—In a First Residential District "B" no building or premises shall be used and no building shall be constructed or altered which is arranged, intended or designed to be used for any purpose other than the purposes specified in Section 3 and those of a two-family dwelling.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect mimediately.

Appointment of Islais Creek Reclamation District Commissioners.

Supervisor Marks presented:

Resolution No. 27656 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the Board of Trustees of the Islais Creek Reclamation District, a reclamation district created by an act of the Legislature of the State of California, known as the Islais Creek Reclamation District Act, approved April 6, 1925, have reported to the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, in which City and County of San Francisco said district is wholly situated, their plans for the reclamation of the lands of said district, together with their estimates of the cost of the contemplated work of said district, as required by law, necessary for the reclamation of the lands of the district, in pursuance of such plans; and

Whereas, said estimated cost is the sum of one million six hundred twenty thousand one hundred fifty-two ($1,620,152), as follows:

Fill	$ 866,285
Rock bulkhead wall	493,867
Sewer	160,000
Incidentals	50,000
Engineering, inspection and collection	50,000
	$1,620,152

And it further appearing that no maintenance or repairs will be necessary in connection with the reclamation of said lands, and for that reason said estimate does not include any allowance for maintenance or repairs, and it is proper that an assessment in said amount of $1,620,152 be levied upon the lands within said district; and

Whereas, said plans and said estimates of said costs have been filed by the Board of Trustees of said Islais Creek Reclamation District with the County Clerk of said City and County of San Francisco in duplicate; and

Whereas, copies of said plans and said estimate of said costs, duly certified as true and correct copies thereof by said County Clerk, have been filed with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors of said City and County of San Francisco, together with a petition and request from said Board of Trustees of said Islais Creek Reclamation District that the Board of Supervisors of said City and County of San Francisco appoint three commissioners to view and assess upon the land within said district the said sum of $1,620,152 and to apportion the same according to the benefits that will accrue to each tract of land in said district, respectively, by reason of the expenditure of said sum of money so estimated as the cost of the contemplated works of said district, as aforesaid; and

Whereas, it becomes the duty of the Board of Supervisors of said City and County of San Francisco, under the law and under the circumstances aforesaid, to appoint three commissioners for the purposes aforesaid; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, By the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

H. W. Crozier, having his office at No. 58 Sutter street, and Walter H. Sullivan, having his office in the Alexander Building, and Louis A. Weidenmuller, having his office at No. 308 Montgomery street, all of said City and County of San Francisco, and being three fit and disinterested persons who have no interest in any real estate within said Islais Creek Reclamation District, be and the same are hereby appointed as commissioners to view and assess upon the land within said Islais Creek Reclamation District the sum of $1,620,152, the sum estimated as aforesaid as the cost of the contemplated works of said district, necessary for the reclamation of the lands of said district in pursuance of the plans of the Board of Trustees of said Islais Creek Reclamation District reported to the Board of Supervisors of said City and County of San Francisco, as aforesaid. The said commissioners shall apportion said sum of $1,620,152 according to the benefits that will accrue to each tract of land in said Islais Creek Reclamation District, respectively, by reason of the expenditure of said sum of money so estimated as the cost of the contemplated works of said district, as aforesaid, and shall estimate the same in gold coin of the United States.

Each of the said commissioners so appointed, as aforesaid, before entering upon his duties as such commissioner shall, pursuant to law, make and subscribe an oath that he is not in any manner in-

terested in any real estate within said Islais Creek Reclamation District, directly or indirectly, and that he will perform the duties of a commissioner to the best of his ability.

When said commissioners have made a list of the charges assessed against each tract of land in said Islais Creek Reclamation District, pursuant to law, and when said lists have been completed, the said commissioners shall file the same with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors of said City and County of San Francisco for such action thereon by the Board of Supervisors of said City and County of San Francisco as is appointed and provided by law.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Shannon—2.

Acceptance of Offers of R. A. Waldman et al. to Sell Properties Required for the Opening of Bernal Cut.

Supervisor McSheehy presented: Resolution No. 27657 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the owners of the following described lands situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and sought to be acquired by the City and County of San Francisco for the opening of Bernal Cut, extending from Mission and Randall streets, southwesterly along the Southern Pacific Company's right of way to the intersection of San Jose avenue and Circular avenue, have offered to convey the property desired by said City and County of San Francisco for the sums set forth opposite their names, viz.:

R. A. Waldman and Ellen R. Waldman, $7,600—Commencing at a point on the westerly line of Mission street, distant thereon 340.90 feet northerly from the northerly line of Charles street; running thence northerly and along said line of Mission street 25 feet; thence westerly and parallel with the northerly line of the French and Gilman Tract 58 feet, more or less, to the easterly line of the right of way of the Southern Pacific Company; thence southerly along said last mentioned line 25 feet, more or less, to a line drawn westerly parallel with the northerly line of the French and Gilman Tract from the point of commencement; thence easterly along said last named line, 58 feet, more or less, to the point of commencement.

Being part of Sam Brannan's piece of Islais & Salinas Tract. (As per written offer on file.)

Charles Kaupe, $1,300—Portion of Lot 3, Block 10, Fairmount Tract, as recorded in Map Book "2 A and B," pages 6 and 7, records of the City and County of San Francisco. (As per written offer on file.)

Whereas, the City Attorney has recommended the acceptance of the said offers and the acquisition of the property owned by said persons and offered to the City and County of San Francisco for the prices set forth opposite their names, which said prices are in accordance with the City's appraisement of the property; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the said offers of sale be accepted and the City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to examine the titles of said properties, and if the same are found in satisfactory condition, to accept in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco deeds conveying title thereto, and file the same for record with a copy of this resolution attached thereto as evidence of acceptance by the City and County of San Francisco, upon payment of the agreed purchase prices.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Kent, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—12.

Absent — Supervisors Bath, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, McSheehy, Shannon—6.

Acceptance of Offer of Alfred G. Dawes et al. to Sell Land Required for the Extension of Nineteenth Street.

Supervisor McSheehy presented: Resolution No. 27658 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the owners of the following described land sought to be acquired by the City and County of San Francisco for the extension of Nineteenth street from Yukon street to Mono street have offered to convey the property desired by the City and County of San Francisco for the sum set forth opposite their names, viz.:

Alfred G. Dawes and Rosa J. Dawes, $4,250—All of Lot 36, Block 2702, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco.

Whereas, the City Attorney has recommended the acceptance of the said offer and the acquisition of the property owned by said parties and offered to the City and County of

San Francisco for the price set forth, which said price is in accordance with the City's appraisement of the property; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the said offer of sale be accepted and the City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to examine the title of said property, and if the same is found in satisfactory condition to accept in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco a deed conveying title thereto and file the same for record with a copy of this resolution attached thereto as evidence of acceptance by the City and County of San Francisco, upon pay ment of the agreed purchase price.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Kent, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—12.

Absent — Supervisors Bath, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, McSheehy, Shannon—6.

Acceptance of Offer to Sell Land Required for the Construction of a Sewer From Mangels Avenue to Monterey Boulevard.

Also, Resolution No. 27659 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the owner of the following described land sought to be acquired by the City and County of San Francisco for the construction of a sewer from Mangels avenue to Monterey boulevard between Congo street and Baden street, has offered to convey the property desired by the City and County of San Francisco for the sum set forth opposite her name, viz.:

Charlotte M. Taylor, $1,500.

Parcel 1. Beginning at a point on the southerly line of Mangels avenue, distant thereon 275 feet westerly from the westerly line of Baden street, and running thence westerly along the southerly line of Mangels avenue 25 feet; thence at right angles southerly 100 feet; thence at right angles easterly 25 feet; thence at right angles northerly 100 feet to the southerly line of Mangels avenue and the point of beginning.

Parcel 2. Beginning at a point on the northerly line of Joost avenue, distant thereon 275 feet westerly from the westerly line of Baden street, and running thence westerly along the northerly line of Joost avenue 25 feet; thence at right angles northerly 100 feet; thence at right angles easterly 25 feet; thence at right angles southerly 100 feet to the northerly line of Joost avenue and the point of beginning.

Whereas, the City Attorney has recommended the acceptance of the said offer and the acquisition of the property owned by said person and offered to the City and County of San Francisco for the price set forth, which said price is in accordance with the City's appraisement of the property; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the said offer of sale be accepted and the City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to examine the title of said property, and if the same is found in satisfactory condition to accept in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco a deed conveying title thereto and file the same for record with a copy of this resolution attached thereto as evidence of acceptance by the City and County of San Francisco, upon payment of the agreed purchase price.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Kent, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—12.

Absent — Supervisors Bath, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, McSheehy, Shannon—6.

Acceptance of Offer of William C. R. Good et al. to Sell Land Required for the Widening of Portola Drive.

Also, Resolution No. 27660 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the owners of the following described land sought to be acquired by the City and County of San Francisco for the widening of Portola drive have offered to convey the property desired by the City and County of San Francisco for the sum set forth opposite their names, viz.:

William C. R. Good and Louise Good, $11,399.

That portion of Block No. 40 of Stanford Heights, as per map thereof recorded July 18, 1891, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, in Book "E" and "F" of Maps, pages 154 and 155, described as follows:

Beginning at a point on the southeasterly line of Portola drive, which is also the northwesterly boundary line of said Block 40, distant thereon 135 feet southwesterly from the southwesterly line of Stanford Heights avenue as said avenue and block are shown on said map, said point also being the most northerly corner of Lot No. 5 in said Block No. 40 and running thence

southwesterly along the northwesterly line of said block (being the southeasterly line of Portola drive) 200 feet to the most westerly corner of Lot No. 12 in said block; thence at a right angle southeasterly along the southwesterly line of Lot No. 12, 89.020 feet; thence deflecting to the left 82 deg. 36 min. 30 sec. and running northeasterly 70.318 feet; thence deflecting 88 deg. 47 min. 34 sec. to the rig' and running southeasterly 52.849 feet, more or less, to an angle point in the southeasterly line of Lot No. 10 in said block; thence deflecting to the left 141 deg. 41 min. 17 sec. and running northerly along the easterly boundary line of Lots Nos. 9 and 10, 51.320 feet to the most southerly corner of Lot No. 8 in said block; thence deflecting to the right 45 deg. 30 min. 13 sec. and running northeasterly along the southeasterly boundary line of Lots Nos. 8, 7, 6 and 5 in said block 99.989 feet to the most easterly corner of said Lot No. 5, and thence northwesterly 114 feet to the point of beginning.

Saving and excepting therefrom that portion lying westerly of a line drawn northeasterly from the most westerly corner of Lot 12 to a point on the northeasterly line of Lot 5, distant thereon 26 feet southeasterly from the most northerly corner of said Lot No. 5, which latter parcel is vested in H. M. Wreden and W. H. Metson.

The above sum includes, in addition to the payment for the above described land, all payment in full for damages to the improvements wholly or partially located on the above described parcel; said improvements to remain the property of the above owners and to be removed by them within one hundred and twenty (120) days after recordation of the deed conveying the above described parcel to the City and County of San Francisco.

It is understood and agreed that the remainin' property of the above owners will not be assessed for the proposed widening of Portola drive and for the proposed boulevard fronting the remaining property of the above owners on the east; said proposed improvements to include grading, sewers, curbs and paving.

Whereas, the City Attorney has recommended the acceptance of the said offer and the acquisition of the property owned by said persons and offered to the City and County of San Francisco for the price set forth opposite their names, which said price is in accordance with the City's appraisement of the property; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the said offer of sale be accepted and the City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to examine the title of said property, and if the same is found in satisfactory condition, to accept in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco a deed conveying title thereto and file the same for record with a copy of this resolution attached thereto as evidence of acceptance by the City and County of San Francisco, upon payment of the agreed purchase price.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Kent, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—12.

Absent — Supervisors Bath, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, McSheehy, Shannon—6.

Auditor and Recorder Directed to Cancel Assessments and Sales.

Also, Resolution No. 27661 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the Auditor has reported that the following described property is owned by the City and was sold to the State June 28, 1926, for the delinquent taxes of 1925, as assessed in the names of Leonard & Holt and has recommended that the same be cancelled, and the City Attorney having consented thereto; therefore,

Resolved, That the Auditor and Recorder be directed to cancel the assessments and sales covering the following properties:

Vol. 18, Block 2898, Lots 1 to 9, inclusive; sales Nos. 843 to 851, inclusive.

Vol. 18, Block 2907, Lots 1 to 9, inclusive; sales Nos. 853 to 861, inclusive.

Vol. 18, Block 2909, Lot 1; sale No. 862.

Vol. 36, Block 6608, Lots 2 to 8, inclusive; sales Nos. 1862 to 1868, inclusive.

Vol. 36, Block 6608, Lots 10 to 42, inclusive; sales Nos. 1869 to 1901, inclusive.

Vol. 36, Block 6608, Lots 45 to 47, inclusive; sales Nos. 1902-3-4.

Vol. 37, Block 6625, Lots 1 to 4, inclusive, and Lots 6 to 45, inclusive; sales Nos. 1918 to 1960, inclusive.

Vol. 37, Block 6626, Lots 1 to 48, inclusive; sales Nos. 1961 to 2006, inclusive.

Vol. 37, Block 6644, Lots 1 to 25, inclusive; sales Nos. 2010 to 2034, inclusive.

Vol. 37, Block 6644, Lots 28 to 30, inclusive; sales Nos. 2036-7-8.

Vol. 37, Block 6644, Lots 32 to 48,

inclusive; sales Nos. 2040 to 2056, inclusive.

The property also assessed for the year 1926.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Kent, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—12.

Absent — Supervisors Bath, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, McSheehy, Shannon—6.

Intention to Establish Set-back Lines No. 151.

Supervisor Hayden presented:

Resolution No. 27662 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the City Planning Commission has recommended that set-back lines be established along the streets hereinafter mentioned and as delineated upon maps accompanying said recommendations, to which reference is hereby made for further particulars; therefore,

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco to establish set-back lines as provided by Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), approved May 18, 1922, as follows:

Along the westerly side of Twenty-ninth avenue, commencing at a point 93 feet northerly from Noriega street and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly to Moraga street, said set-back line to be 9 feet; along the easterly side of Twenty-ninth avenue, commencing at a point 93 feet northerly from Noriega street and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 300 feet, said set-back line to be 9 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet.

Along both sides of Thirtieth avenue, commencing at points 93 feet northerly from Noriega street and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 6 feet; thence northerly to Moraga street, said set-back lines to be 9 feet.

Along the easterly side of Forty-fifth avenue between Santiago street and Rivera street, said set-back line to be 9 feet.

Along the northerly side of Farallones street, commencing at Orizaba avenue and running thence easterly to a point 280 feet westerly from Capitol avenue, said set-back line to be 10 feet; along the southerly side of Farallones street, commencing at a point 89 feet 1½ inches easterly from Orizaba avenue and running thence easterly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; thence easterly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence easterly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 9 feet; thence easterly 600 feet, said set-back line to be 12 feet; thence easterly 25 feet. said set-back line to be 9 feet; thence easterly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence easterly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet.

And notice is hereby given that Monday, the 19th day of September, 1927, at the hour of 11 o'clock a. m., at the chambers of the Board of Supervisors, City Hall, in said City and County of San Francisco, has been fixed as the time and place when and where any and all persons having any objections to the establishing of the proposed set-back lines may appear and present any objections which they may have to said set-back lines as set forth in this resolution of intention.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Kent, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—12.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, McSheehy, Shannon—6.

Intention to Establish Set-back Lines No. 152.

Also, Resolution No. 27663 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the City Planning Commission has recommended that set-back lines be established along the streets hereinafter mentioned and as delineated upon maps accompanying said recommendations, to which reference is hereby made for further particulars; therefore,

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco to establish set-back lines as provided by Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), approved May 18, 1922, as follows:

Along both sides of Fortieth avenue, commencing at points 100 feet northerly from Kirkham street and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 6 feet; thence northerly 300 feet, said set-back lines to be 9 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 3 feet.

Along both sides of Forty-first avenue, commencing at points 100 feet northerly from Kirkham street and running thence northerly 25

feet, said set-back lines to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 6 feet; thence northerly 300 feet, said set-back lines to be 9 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 3 feet.

Along both sides of Forty-second avenue, commencing at points 100 feet northerly from Kirkham street and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 6 feet; thence northerly 300 feet, said set-back lines to be 9 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 3 feet.

Along the easterly side of Forty-fourth avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Irving street and running thence northerly 275 feet, said set-back line to be 14 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 12 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 9 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet.

Along both sides of Thirty-sixth avenue, commencing at points 100 feet northerly from Judah street and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 6 feet; thence northerly 300 feet, said set-back lines to be 9 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 3 feet.

And notice is hereby given that Monday, the 19th day of September, 1927, at the hour of 11 o'clock a. m., at the chambers of the Board of Supervisors, City Hall, in said City and County of San Francisco, has been fixed as the time and place when and where any and all persons having and objections to the establishing of the proposed set-back lines may appear and present any objections which they may have to said set-back lines as set forth in this resolution of intention.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Kent, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—12.

Absent — Supervisors Bath, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, McSheehy, Shannon—6.

Extension of Time for the Improvement of Holladay Avenue.

Supervisor Marks presented:

Resolution No. 27664 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That United Improvement Co. is hereby granted an extension of ninety (90) days' time from and after August 14, 1927, within which to complete the contract for the improvement of Holladay avenue between Mayflower street and Esmeralda avenue, and Mayflower street between Holladay avenue and Bradford street. The work is well under way, delay being occasioned on account of the ground being full of water from last winter's rain.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Kent, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—12.

Absent — Supervisors Bath, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, McSheehy, Shannon—6.

Mayor to Sell at Public Auction Dwelling House on Grand View Avenue.

Also, Resolution No. 27665 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Mayor be and he is hereby authorized and directed to sell at public auction after at least five (5) days of published notice, the following described personal property owned by the City and County of San Francisco, to-wit:

Dwelling house and appurtenances situated on that certain piece or parcel of land required for the widening of Grand View avenue and known as No. 249 Grand View avenue.

The terms of said sale shall be cash upon delivery of bill of sale, said dwelling house to be removed by the purchaser within ten (10) days of purchase thereof.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Kent, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—12.

Absent — Supervisors Bath, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, McSheehy, Shannon—6.

Extension of Time for the Improvement of Moultrie Street.

Also, Resolution No. 27666 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That E. J. Treacy is hereby granted an extension of ninety (90) days' time from and after July 19, 1927, within which to complete the improvement of Moultrie street between Eugenia and Powhattan avenues. This extension is granted for the reason that the contractor is waiting for owners to install side sewers.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Kent, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—12.

Absent — Supervisors Bath, Gal-

lagher, Harrelson, Havenner, McSheehy, Shannon—6.

Award of Contract, Dry Goods and Wearing Apparel.

Supervisor Badaracco presented:

Resolution No. 27667 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of contract for furnishing dry goods and wearing apparel be hereby made on bids submitted July 18, 1927 (Proposal No. 304) and tabulation thereof on file in Bureau of Supplies, which is hereby made a part hereof, said matter having heretofore received full consideration in an open public meeting of the Supplies Committee and the awards herein approved by it are now made to the following, viz.:

1—D. N. & E. Walter & Co.; $200 bond.

2—Greenebaum, Weil & Michels; $200 bond.

4—Doctors & Nurses Outfitting Co.; no bond required.

6—Buckingham & Hecht; no bond required.

9—Carl Hunter & Co.; $100 bond.

11—Leighton-Jellett Co.; $200 bond.

12—Walton N. Moore Dry Goods Co.; $1,000 bond.

13—L. Dinkelspiel Co.; $1,000 bond.

14—Levi Strauss & Co.; $500 bond.

15—Goldstone Bros.; $100 bond.

16—N. & S. E. Kalischer; no bond required.

17—J. B. Crowley; no bond rerequired.

Note.—All above awards are made to the lowest bidder except when award is made in consideration of deliveries or on account of the quality as determined by such tests as required or recommended by the Purchaser of Supplies.

Resolved, That all other bids submitted thereon be rejected.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Kent, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—12.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, McSheehy, Shannon—6.

Granting Sixty Days' Leave of Absence to Men and Women Employees of the City and County and Repealing Resolution No. 27566 (New Series).

Supervisor Hayden presented:

Resolution No. 27668 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the Ninth Annual Convention of the American Legion is to be held in September this year in Paris, France; and

Whereas, the intrepid and supremely successful flight of America's premier ace, Col. Charles Lindbergh, and his cordial reception by the people of France has cemented stronger than ever the traditional bonds of friendship between the Republic of the United States and the Republic of France, resulting in a spirit of good feeling at a time when relations were in danger of becoming extremely critical, which situation has by the excellent judgment of America's diplomatic representatives in France been further improved; and

Whereas, the City of Chicago, in recognition of the great sacrifices of our American "doughboys" in their devotion to American ideals during the great World War, has voted unanimously a vacation of sixty (60) days with pay to the employees of the City of Chicago who, as members of the American Legion, desire to attend the convention in Paris; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the City of San Francisco in like manner grant a sixty (60) day vacation with pay to its men and women in the city employ whose devotion in the time of our country's need gave their all in her service and who now desire to attend this great and memorable reunion.

Further Resolved, That Resolution No. 27566 (New Series) is hereby repealed.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Hayden, Kent, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—12.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, McSheehy, Shannon—6.

Mayor to Enter Into Contract With Yehudi Menuhin, Boy Violinist, Soloist With San Francisco Symphony Orchestra.

Supervisor Havenner presented:

Resolution No. 27670 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors, upon the recommendation of the Auditorium Committee, authorizes his Honor the Mayor to enter into contract with Yehudi Menuhin, boy violinist, with the San Francisco Symphony Orchestra for the evening of February 23, 1928, at a concert to be given pursuant to the provisions of Paragraph 1 of Resolution No. 27535 (New Series), and providing that said Yehudi Menuhin, or his representative, be paid the fee of $2,000

for his services as solist at said concert.

This employment is in lieu of that of Hulda Lashanska, heretofore authorized in Paragraph 3 of Resolution No. 27571 (New Series), which paragraph of said resolution is hereby repealed.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—11.

Absent — Supervisors Bath, Colman Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Hayden, Shannon—7.

Lighting Resolution.

Supervisor Stanton presented:

Resolution No. 27671 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Pacific Gas and Electric Company be and it is hereby authorized and requested to install the following street lights:

Install 400 M. R.

Athens street between Rolph and Cordova streets.

Twenty-ninth avenue between Rivera and Santiago streets.

Clay street, north side, between Polk street and Van Ness avenue.

Remove Gas.

Clay street, south side, between Polk street and Van Ness avenue.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—11.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Hayden, Shannon—7.

Referred.

The following bill was *referred to the Streets Committee*:

Declaratory Ordinance, Public Highways.

Supervisor Marks presented:

Bill No. ——, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Determining and declaring that the public interest and necessity demand the construction and completion of certain permanent improvements, to-wit: Certain improved routes for public highway purposes in the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

A boulevard, to be known as the Bay Shore Highway, from Army street and San Bruno avenue along San Bruno avenue, widened to Cortland avenue, thence along a new right of way to Silver avenue and Charter Oak avenue; thence along Charter Oak avenue, widened to Paul avenue; thence along a route paralleling San Bruno avenue between San Bruno avenue and Third street to the vicinity of San Bruno avenue and Sunnydale avenue and the County line, or the most feasible route; a boulevard, to be known as the Alemany boulevard, from the vicinity of Cortland avenue and San Bruno avenue, thence in a southerly direction following the general course of the old Ocean Shore right of way and lying between San Jose avenue and Mission street to a connection with the Junipero Serra boulevard near Palmetto avenue, or the most feasible route; a boulevard, to be known as the Junipero Serra boulevard, from the vicinity of Sloat boulevard and Portola drive, thence in a general southerly direction, widening and straightening the existing roadway of the present Junipero Serra boulevard, to the County line near the vicinity of Belle avenue, or the most feasible route; a boulevard, to be known as the Sunset boulevard, commencing at Lincoln way between Thirty-sixth avenue and Thirty-seventh avenue and running in a southerly direction between said Thirty-sixth avenue and Thirty-seventh avenue to Sloat boulevard, or the most feasible route; a boulevard or Beach frontage improvement, to be known as the Esplanade, commencing in the vicinity of the Great Highway and Fulton street and running in a general southerly direction along and upon said Great Highway to Sloat boulevard, or the most feasible route; including approaches, structures, pavements, curbs, sidewalks, sewers, drainage facilities, the readjustment of intersecting and adjacent streets and other improvements, and the purchase of the necessary lands with respect to each of the said boulevards or beach frontage improvements.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby determined and specifically declared that the public interest and necessity demand the construction and completion of certain permanent improvements, to-wit:

Certain improved routes for public highway purposes in the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

A boulevard, to be known as the Bay Shore highway, from Army street and San Bruno avenue along San Bruno avenue, widened to Cortland avenue, thence along a new right of way to Silver avenue and Charter Oak avenue, thence along Charter Oak avenue, widened to Paul avenue, thence along a

route paralleling San Bruno avenue between San Bruno avenue and Third street to the vicinity of San Bruno avenue and Sunnydale avenue and the County line, or the most feasible route; a boulevard, to be known as the Alemany boulevard, from the vicinity of Cortland avenue and San Bruno avenue, thence in a southerly direction following the general course of the old Ocean Shore right of way, and lying between San Jose avenue and Mission street, to a connection with the Junipero Serra boulevard near Palmetto avenue, or the most feasible route; a boulevard, to be known as the Junipero Serra boulevard, from the vicinity of Sloat boulevard and Portola drive, thence in a general southerly direction, widening and straightening the existing roadway of the present Junipero Serra boulevard, to the County line near the vicinity of Belle avenue, or the most feasible route; a boulevard, to be known as the Sunset boulevard, commencing at Lincoln way between Thirty-sixth avenue and Thirty-seventh avenue and running in a southerly direction between said Thirty-sixth avenue and said Thirty-seventh avenue to Sloat boulevard, or the most feasible route; a boulevard or beach frontage improvement, to be known as the Esplanade, commencing in the vicinity of the Great Highway and Fulton street and running in a general southerly direction along and upon said Great Highway to Sloat boulevard, or the most feasible route; including approaches, structures, pavements, curbs, sidewalks, sewers, drainage facilities, the readjustment of intersecting adjacent streets and other improvements, and the purchase of the necessary lands with respect to each of the said boulevards or beach frontage improvements.

Section 2. The Board of Public Works is hereby directed to procure, through the City Engineer, and file with the Board of Supervisors, plans and estimates of cost of the original construction and completion of each of said public improvements as herein described.

Section 3. This ordinance shall be published for two weeks in the official newspaper and shall take effect immediately.

RECESS.

Whereupon, the Board at the hour of 12:40 o'clock p. m. took a recess until 2:30 o'clock p. m.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk.

REASSEMBLED—2:30 P. M.

The Board of Supervisors reassembled at 2:30 p. m.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Shannon—2.

Quorum present.

His Honor Mayor Rolph in the chair.

Civil Service Employees to Be Employed by James G. Stafford in Appraisal Work.

Supervisor Colman presented:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors did, by Resolution No. 27149 (New Series), adopted by said Board on the 13th day of June, 1927, authorize and empower the Finance Committee of the said Board of Supervisors to enter into a contract with James G. Stafford and associates to continue and complete the appraising of all taxable property subject to assessment within the City and County of San Francisco; and

Whereas, the conditions of said contract were not set forth in said resolution authorizing the entering into of said contract by said Finance Committee; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That in any contract entered into between said James G. Stafford and associates and the City and County of San Francisco it be provided that the City and County of San Francisco shall furnish to said James G. Stafford and associates all help of every kind and character which may be necessary for the doing of said work by said James G. Stafford and associates and that said James G. Stafford and associates shall furnish and provide the service of any and all experts necessary for the doing of said work; providing, however, that said James G. Stafford and associates shall be the sole judge of the amount and character of said help required for performing said services and, provided further, that the costs of said help shall be deducted from the contract price to be paid said James G. Stafford and associates for performing said

work, and provided further, that all of said help shall be employed pursuant to the provisions of Article XIII of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco.

The foregoing resolution *failed to carry* by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Harrelson, Hayden, Marks, Roncovieri, Stanton, Todd—9.

Noes—Supervisors Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt—7.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Shannon—2.

Whereupon, Supervisor Colman changed his vote from *aye* to *no* and gave notice of reconsideration.

Supervisor Hayden Called to Chair.

His Honor Mayor Rolph requested to be excused and called Supervisor Hayden to the chair.

Denying Gustave B. Henno Permission to Maintain a Cat and Dog Hospital.

Supervisor Byington presented:

Resolution No. 27653 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, in the exercise of the sound and reasonable discretion of the Board of Supervisors, permission is hereby denied to Gustave B. Henno to operate and maintain a dog and cat hospital on the southwest corner of Geary and Boyce streets.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Shannon—2.

Board of Education Requested to Furnish Information.

Supervisor Roncovieri presented:

Resolution No. 27669 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Board of Education be and is hereby requested to furnish this Board of Supervisors the information sought in the following questions within three days:

1. What is the total number of rooms at present used for teaching purposes,
   (a) For kindergarten pupils;
   (b) For elementary pupils;
   (c) For pupils of high school grade?
2. What is the total number of seats at present available,
   (a) For kindergarten pupils;
   (b) For elementary pupils;
   (c) For pupils of high school grade?
3. What is the total average daily attendance of *day* pupils of
   (a) Kindergarten grade;
   (b) Elementary grade;
   (c) High school grade?
4. What is the total "average number belonging" of *day* pupils of
   (a) Kindergarten grade;
   (b) Elementary grade;
   (c) High school grade?

Further Resolved, That the statistics above requested be given for the years ended June 30, 1924, 1925, 1926 and 1927.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Deasy, Shannon—3.

Garage Permit.

Presented by Supervisors Gallagher and McSheehy:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the application of H. Mortimer Smith for permission to operate and maintain a public garage, east side of Polk street, 61 feet 3 inches north of Broadway, be and the same is hereby denied.

The foregoing resolution was *refused adoption* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Gallagher, Kent, McSheehy, Stanton—5.

Noes—Supervisors Byington Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Todd—11.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Shannon—2.

Passed for Printing.

Whereupon, the following resolution was *passed for printing*:

On motion of Supervisor Deasy:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That H. Mortimer Smith be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at wlil of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate a public garage on the east side of Polk street, 61 feet 3 inches north of Broadway.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Communications.

The following communications were presented and read by the Clerk and ordered *filed*:

Bridge Matters.

Application of John P. O'Connell for a franchise for a toll bridge

between the City and County of San Francisco and the County of Alameda.

Beginning at or near and within three hundred (300) feet northerly or southerly of the intersection of the northern line of Pier 34 with the seawall at about the foot of Brannan street, in the City and County of San Francisco, and extending thence about north seventy-seven and one-half (77½) degrees east (true) to a point immediately southwest of and adjacent to the Southern Pacific Alameda Mole, and about six hundred (600) yards, more or less, east by south from the westerly extremity of said Mole, and thence about south seventy-two (72) degrees east (true) to the intersection of said line with the bank or shore of San Francisco Bay at its most westerly intersection with said line in the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California.

That the length of said bridge on said lines will be about seventeen thousand seven hundred (17,700) feet, more or less, on the first of said lines and seven thousand two hundred (7200) feet, more or less, on the second of said lines, making about twenty-four thousand nine hundred (24,900) feet, more or less, for the total length of said bridge, not including approaches; that the width of the roadway on said bridge will be one hundred (100) feet, or such other width as the Board of Supervisors may direct and require under Section 2873 of the Political Code.

Filed August 15, 1927.

Application of John P. O'Connell for a franchise for a toll bridge between the City and County of San Francisco and the County of Alameda.

Beginning at or near and within three hundred (300) feet northerly or southerly of the intersection of the northern line of Pier Forty-six (46) with the seawall at about the foot of Berry street in the City and County of San Francisco and extending thence about north seventy-two (72) degrees east (true) along a straight line to a point immediately southwest of and adjacent to the Southern Pacific Alameda Mole and about six hundred (600) yards, more or less, east by south from the westerly extremity of said Mole, and thence about south seventy-two (72) degrees east (true) to the intersection of said line with the bank or shore of San Francisco Bay at its most westerly intersection with said line in the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California.

That the length of said bridge on said lines will be about eighteen thousand two hundred (18,200) feet, more or less, on the first of said lines and seven thousand two hundred (7200) feet, more or less, on the second of said lines, making about twenty-five thousand four hundred (25,400) feet, more or less, for the total length of said bridge, not including approaches, that the width of the roadway on said bridge will be one hundred (100) feet or such other width as the Board of Supervisors may direct and require under Section 2873 of the Political Code.

Filed August 15, 1927.

Supervisor Marks moved that the foregoing applications be ordered placed on the calendar with the other bridge matters and that further consideration of same be continued until Monday, August 22, 1927, at 2 p. m.

Communication From Playground Commission Transmitting Copy of Letter to Grand Jury Relative to Camp Mather.

San Francisco, Calif.,
August 13, 1927.

To the Honorable Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco, California.

Gentlemen:

I am enclosing a copy of the letter sent to the Grand Jury on August 8th by the Playground Commission concerning our summer camp at Mather.

Very sincerely yours,
(Signed) Josephine D. Randall,
Superintendent of Recreation.

Referred to Education, Parks and Plaground Committee.

San Francisco, Calif.,
August 8, 1927.

Mr. Ernest L. Drury, Foreman Grand Jury, Room 480, City Hall, San Francisco, Calif.

Dear Sir:

Your communication of the 28th ultimo duly received and was not acknowledged sooner because the Playground Commission had not met before now. We note your comments, namely, that the quarters offered you on visiting our camp were in a disgraceful and an unsanitary condition and that you were told by Mr. Center, the superintendent, that reservations were being held for seventy-four guests, who were due the following afternoon.

We regret very much the inconvenience you experienced and feel that in justice to the camp you

should know the circumstances under which this city camp was created and the reason for the existence and occasional occupation of the bunkhouses on the east side of the track, which you were asked to occupy.

In 1924 the Board of Supervisors conceived the idea of utilizing the quarters vacated by the Hetch Hetchy lumber mill workers at Mather as a summer camp for the use of its citizens. This would serve two purposes: namely, a vacation in this wonderful country at a minimum cost and a way of acquainting our citizens with the Hetch Hetchy project and in this way and for this reason the summer camp was inaugurated and put under the management of the Playground Commission. The following year we purchased from the Yosemite National Park Company the lodge with modern cottages surrounding it and this now forms the main camp equipment.

As soon as our funds will permit we will erect additional cottages and tents and abandon the old houses. To have abandoned these bunkhouses at this time would have deprived many who were desirous of taking advantage of the camp and who were willing to put up with the primitive accommodations.

The Engineer's office informs us that the sanitary conditions there conform to the State Board of Health regulations for a mountain camp.

In reference to your understanding that any accommodations were being reserved for the arrival of seventy-four guests the following morning, that is a misunderstanding, as every cottage and tent was occupied that very night and the seventy-four were leaving the next morning, having completed their stay—their places being taken by another group.

We regret exceedingly this occurrence and trust we may be able to receive you at some future time under normal conditions.

Very sincerely yours,
The Playground Commission,
By Constant J. Auger (Signed)
Chairman Camp Committee.

Letter of Commendation Relative to Appropriating Funds for Expense of Opposing Telephone Rate Increase.

San Francisco,
August 11, 1927.

Board of Supervisors, City Hall,
San Francisco, California.

Gentlemen:

This is to advise your Board of the approbation by this Association of the action of your Board in appropriating funds for the expense of opposing before the Railroad Commission the application of the Pacific Telephone and Telegraph Company for an increase in toll rates in this city.

Sunset Transportation and Development Association.
(Signed) By C. F. Griffin, M. D.,
Secretary.

Read and *filed*.

Letter of Thanks for Appropriation for the Improvement of Palace of Fine Arts.

San Francisco, California,
August 13, 1927.

Board of Supervisors, City Hall,
San Francisco, Cal.

Honorable Gentlemen:

At the last meeting of the Marina Home Owners Protective Association some of our members stated that they had observed men at work clearing up the lake and grounds in front of the Palace of Fine Arts, and we also note that sometime ago you made an appropriation for improving the Palace of Fine Arts and grounds.

We wish to thank the Board of Supervisors for their interest in the improvement of the Palace of Fine Arts, and also their interest in the Marina District generally.

Sincerely yours,
Marina Home Owners Protective Association.
(Signed) By Mary F. Mattson,
Secretary.

Read and *filed*.

Invitation to Unveiling of Chaplain McKinnon Monument Committee.

San Francisco, Calif.,
August 13, 1927.

Board of Supervisors, City and County of San Francisco.

Honored Sirs:

You are respectfully invited to attend the unveiling of the monument of Chaplain Wm. D. McKinnon, 1st California Volunteer Infantry Regiment, in Golden Gate Park, Sunday, August 21, 1927, at 2 p. m. A place on the stand has been reserved for you.

Respectfully yours,
McKinnon Monument Committee.
(Signed) John P. Harkins,
Secretary Program Committee.

Read and invitation *accepted*.

Letter of Thanks to Board for Assistance and Cooperation in Securing $10 Per Month for Janitors and Janitresses of the School Department.

San Francisco, Cal.,
August 9, 1927.

The Honorable Mayor and Members of the Board of Supervisors, City and County of San Francisco.

Gentlemen:

Pursuant to action of the International Union of Building Service Employees, Janitors No. 9, the undersigned officers desire to express to you most sincere thanks and appreciation of our entire membership for your assistance and cooperation in securing an increase of ten dollars a month for janitors and janitresses employed in the School Department.

We appreciate the difficulties encountered both by you and the Board of Education in granting this increase and desire to express to you our sincere gratitude for what you have done in our behalf.

Expressing the hope that our organization and members may deserve your continued consideration and interest in the welfare of our members and all city employees, we beg to be

Respectfully,

(Signed) John R. Matheson, President.

G. Magnuson, Secretary (acting).

Read and *filed*.

ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business the Board at the hour of 6:20 p m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors, November 21, 1927.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated, and approved as above recited.

JOHN S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors.
City and County of San Francisco.

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Vol. 22—New Series No. 34

Monday, August 22, 1927

Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
337 Bush Street, S. F.

JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, AUGUST 22, 1927, 10 A. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, August 22, 1927, 10 a. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —17.

Absent—Supervisor Gallagher—1.

Quorum present.

His Honor Mayor Rolph in the chair.

APPROVAL OF JOURNALS.

The Journals of Proceedings of the meetings of May 2, 9 and 16, 1927, were considered read and approved.

ROLL CALL FOR PETITIONS FROM MEMBERS.

State Board of Equalization.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk and ordered entered in the Journal:

Sacramento, August 15, 1927.

To the Board of Supervisors and County Auditor of San Francisco.

Please take notice that on Monday, August 15, 1927, the State Board of Equalization adopted the following resolution and order:

Whereas, Chapter 668 of the Laws of 1927 (approved May 20, 1927) provides as follows: * * *

Now, therefore, the State Board of Equalization believes and thereupon adjudges and decrees that the State of California is and will be in receipt of sufficient revenues for its support and maintenance for the seventy-ninth fiscal year and that no deficiency or other ad valorem taxes need or shall be levied or collected for said fiscal year.

R. E. COLLINS,
Chairman State Board of Equalization.
DIXWELL L. PIERCE,
Secretary.

Mayor's Veto of Resolution No. 27644 (New Series) Granting Chinese Hospital Permission to Hold an Outdoor Celebration.

Mayor's Office.

August 19, 1927.

Honorable Board of Supervisors,
City Hall, San Francisco, Cal.

Gentlemen:

I am returning to you herewith, without my approval, Resolution No. 27644, adopted by your Honorable Board August 8, 1927, involving permission to the Chinese Hospital to hold an outdoor celebration, to be known as the "Feast of the Moon," October 8 to 16, 1927, inclusive, without the payment of the usual license fee.

I am handing you also herewith a letter written to me by the Tax Collector of the City and County of San Francisco, together with an opinion of the City Attorney, dated December 12, 1917, also placed in my hands by Tax Collector Edward F. Bryant. I am informed by the Tax Collector that his office must collect the tax involved should this carnival proceed. I am returning this resolution with my disapproval in view of the facts placed before me by the Tax Collector.

The attached opinion of former City Attorney George Lull on a very similar case advises that to grant such a permission to operate such shows without paying any license fees as required by ordinance of this City and County is illegal.

I would have been pleased to have joined your Honorable Board in granting the permission to aid the Chinese Hospital Fund if it were legal to do so, and I express the hope that these worthy Chinese citizens of ours may be helped in their hospital work by subscriptions from public-spirited, philanthropic citizens, because the charitable work they are doing would be ours without their help to us.

Respectfully,

JAMES ROLPH, JR.,
Mayor.

Resolution No. 27644 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That permission is hereby granted the Chinese Hospital to hold an outdoor celebration, known as "Feast of the Moon," in Portsmouth Park and Brenham place, October 8 to 16, 1927, inclusive, without payment of the usual license fee.

Adopted by the Board of Supervisors August 8, 1927.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—13.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Powers, Shannon—5.

J. S. DUNNNIGAN, Clerk.

Disapproved August 19, 1927.

JAMES ROLPH, JR., Mayor.

Consideration of the hereinabove subject matter was *continued until Monday, August* 29, 1927.

UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

Final Passage.

The following matters, heretofore passed for printing, were taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Authorizations.

Resolution No. 27672 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

Library Fund.

(1) American Building Maintenance Co., library janitor service (claim dated July 31, 1927), $700.

(2) Foster & Futernick Co., binding library books (claim dated July 31, 1927), $1,413.50.

(3) Librarian of Congress, library catalog cards (claim dated July 31, 1927), $750.

(4) G. E. Stechert & Co., library books (claim dated July 31, 1927), $4,152.15.

County Road Fund.

(5) Chas. L. Harney, second payment, improvement south side of San Jose avenue between Gorham and Cotter streets, with intersections (claim dated Aug. 9, 1927), $2,250.

Relief Home Bonds, Issue 1923.

(6) John Reid, Jr., final payment, architectural services for new Relief Home (claim dated Aug. 9, 1927), $3,591.24.

(7) Department of Public Works, labor furnished for steam heating, etc., of new Relief Home (claim dated June 30, 1927), $1,780.

Special School Tax.

(8) Alta Electric Co., final payment, electrical work for addition to Commodore Sloat School (claim dated Aug. 9, 1927), $2,459.77.

(9) Granfield, Carrar & Carlin, final payment, grading for South Side High School (claim dated Aug. 10, 1927), $5,860.50.

(10) John Reid, Jr., second payment, architectural services for annex to Paul Revere School (claim dated Aug 9, 1927), $5,023.64.

(11) American Radiator Co., boiler and radiator for schools (claim dated Aug. 8, 1927), $527.89.

School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923.

(12) Solomon Bros. first and final payment, window and door shades for Edison School (claim dated Aug. 9, 1927), $960.25.

(13) Reliable Plumbing Co., third payment, mechanical equipment for Hearst-Moulder School (claim dated Aug. 9, 1927), $1,227.18.

(14) John Reid, Jr., ninth payment, architectural service on Mission (Everett) Junior High School (claim dated Aug. 9, 1927), $1,405.71.

(15) A. Lettich, third payment, plumbing work for Portola Junior High School (claim dated Aug. 9, 1927), $4,373.36.

County Road Fund.

(16) Equitable Asphalt Maintenance Co., royalty for work by Lutz surface heaters (claim dated June 30, 1927), $587.28.

Hetch Hetchy Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1925.

(For account of Hetch Hetchy water construction.)

(17) Associated Oil Co., fuel oil (claim dated Aug. 8, 1927), $894.25.

(18) Hercules Powder Co., explosives (claim dated Aug. 8, 1927), $9,078.92.

(19) A. Levy and J. Zentner Co., produce (claim dated Aug. 8, 1927), $569.69.

(20) Santa Cruz Portland Cement Co., cement (claim dated Aug. 8, 1927), $607.25.

(21) A. Guthrie & Co., Inc., eighth payment, construction of aqueduct tunnels, Foothill Division (claim dated Aug. 10, 1927), $49,964.76.

Municipal Railway Fund.

(22) American Brake Shoe and Foundry Co., brake shoes (claim dated Aug. 8, 1927), $696.19.

(23) Buckley & Curtin, street railroad guides (claim dated Aug. 8, 1927), $765.

(24) Hancock Bros., printing transfers (claim dated Aug. 8, 1927), $744.

(25) Westinghouse Electric and Manufacturing Co., electric railway

parts (claim dated Aug. 9, 1927), $591.13.

Installation Fund (Department of Electricity).

(26) A. R. Edwards & Co., lenses for Dept. of Electricity (claim dated June 30, 1927), $1,073.15.

General Fund, 1926-1927.

(27) San Francisco Stove Works, iron castings for Dept. of Electricity (claim dated June 30, 1927), $553.48.

(28) Geo. H. Tay Co., pipe couplings for Dept. of Electricity (claim dated June 30, 1927), $1,157.79.

(29) Fay Improvement Co., grading and paving of Tompkins avenue (claim dated June 30, 1927), $792.

(30) John Spargo, final payment, construction of photograph gallery at Hall of Justice (claim dated June 30, 1927), $5,932.50.

(31) Department of Public Works, materials furnished for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated June 30, 1927), $1,285.07.

(32) Department of Public Works, labor furnished for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated June 30, 1927), $3,007.50.

General Fund, 1927-1928.

(33) California Petroleum Corporation, fuel oil, Laguna Honda Home (claim dated Aug. 11, 1927), $1,810.96.

(34) Del Monte Meat Co, meats, Laguna Honda Home (claim dated Aug. 11, 1927), $2,865.76.

(35) J. T. Freitas Co., Inc., eggs, Laguna Honda Home (claim dated Aug. 11, 1927), $1,106.16.

(36) Healy & Donaldson, tobacco, Laguna Honda Home (claim dated Aug. 11, 1927), $3,207.85.

(37) H. Moffat Co., meats, Laguna Honda Home (claim dated Aug. 11, 1927), $907.87.

(38) Morris & Co., butter, etc., Laguna Honda Home (claim dated Aug. 11, 1927), $1,467.63.

(39) C. Nauman & Co., potatoes. Laguna Honda Home (claim dated Aug. 11, 1927), $885.34.

(40) Associated Charities, widows' pensions (claim dated Aug. 12, 1927), $8,722.65.

(41) Eureka Benevolent Society, widows' pensions (claim dated Aug. 12, 1927), $805.

(42) Little Children's Aid, widows' pensions (claim dated Aug. 12, 1927), $8,840.39.

(43) Boy's Aid Society, maintenance of minors (claim dated Aug. 9, 1927), $1,132.99.

(44) The Albertinum Orphanage, maintenance of minors (claim dated Aug. 9, 1927), $1,095.25.

(45) Roman Catholic Orphanage, maintenance of minors (claim dated Aug. 8, 1927), $3,349.60.

(46) St. Vincent's School, maintenance of minors (claim dated Aug. 9, 1927), $1,466.89.

(47) S. F. Protestant Orphanage, maintenance of minors (claim dated Aug. 9, 1927), $1,028.55.

(48) S. F. Nursery for Homeless Children, maintenance of minors (claim dated Aug. 6, 1927), $590.24.

(49) Little Children's Aid, maintenance of minors (claim dated Aug. 6, 1927), $13,096.50.

(50) Children's Agency, maintenance of minors (claim dated Aug. 9, 1927), $32,192.08.

(51) Eureka Benevolent Society, maintenance of minors (claim dated Aug. 9, 1927), $4,372.47.

(52) Spring Valley Water Co., water for public buildings (claim dated Aug. 9, 1927), $2,064.96.

(53) Eclipse Lime and Cement Co., cement for street repair (claim dated Aug. 9, 1927), $1,761.50.

(54) Symon Brothers, terms furnished for street cleaning (claim dated Aug. 10, 1927), $747.50.

(55) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., lighting public buildings (claim dated Aug. 10, 1927), $3,242.93.

(56) San Francisco Dairy Co., milk for Laguna Honda Home (claim dated Aug. 11, 1927), $2,381.62.

(57) Sperry Flour Co., flour for Laguna Honda Home (claim dated Aug. 11, 1927), $850.

(58) Spring Valley Water Co., water for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Aug. 11, 1927), $1,661.77.

(59) Spring Valley Water Co., water for Laguna Honda Home (claim dated Aug. 11, 1927), $751.12.

(60) E. B. Frick, room allowances for S. F. Hospital employees (claim dated Aug. 11, 1927), $2,548.05.

(61) San Francisco Bulletin, official advertising (claim dated Aug. 15, 1927), $1,349.04.

(62) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., street lighting for July (claim dated Aug. 15, 1927), $54,594.14.

(63) The Fay Improvement Co., improvement of Twenty-fourth avenue between Santiago and Taraval streets, at City property (claim dated Aug. 11, 1927), $3,975.

(64) Elliot Fisher Co., ten Elliot Fisher machines, for County Clerk (claim dated Aug. 15, 1927), $2,635.

(65) C. B. Eaton, constructing retaining walls on Virginia avenue (claim dated Aug. 10, 1927), $1,469.74.

(66) Christensen Construction Co., improvement of Thirty-first avenue between Ulloa and Vicente streets (claim dated Aug. 10, 1927), $1,575.

(67) Eaton & Smith, street and

sewer construction, fronting City property (claim dated Aug. 10, 1927), $2,605.59.

(68) Federal Construction Co., improving street at Twenty-second and Wisconsin streets (claim dated Aug. 10, 1927), $602.46.

(69) The Fay Improvement Co., street improvement, at City property (claim dated Aug. 10, 1927), $6,118.30.

(70) Frank J. McHugh, improvement of Thirty-seventh avenue between Ulloa and Vicente streets (claim dated Aug. 10, 1927), $1,320.

(71) Municipal Construction Co., street improvements, at City property (claim dated Aug. 10, 1927), $2,278.77.

(72) Pacific States Construction Co., improvement of crossings at Wawona street and Twentieth and Twenty-first avenues (claim dated Aug. 10, 1927), $556.57.

(73) James T. Tobin, improvement of Baker street between Beach and Jefferson streets (claim dated Aug. 10, 1927), $1,211.

Special School Tax.

(74) Monson Bros., extra work on general construction of additional units for Galileo High School (claim dated Aug. 11, 1927), $1,698.42.

(75) Geo. H. Tay Co., porcelain urinals, etc., for schools (claim dated Aug. 11, 1927), $1,274.93.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Gallagher—1.

Appropriations, Payment to Henry Becker et al. for Lands Required for Widening of Portola Drive and Opening of Bay Shore Boulevard.

Resolution No. 27673 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized in payment out of appropriations heretofore made out of County Road Fund for the respective projects mentioned; being payments for properties required for the respective projects, to-wit:

(1) To Henry Becker and Anna O. Becker, for property required for the widening of Portola drive, as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 27662, New Series (claim dated Aug. 10. 1927), $4,514.

(2) To Clara B. Erdin, for property required for the opening of the Bay Shore boulevard, as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 27625, New Series (claim dated Aug. 10, 1927), $2,438.

(3) To Attilio Beronio, for property required for the opening of the Bay Shore boulevard, as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 27625, New Series (claim dated Aug. 10, 1927), $4,900.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Gallagher—1.

Appropriation, $100,000, Ocean Beach Highways.

Resolution No. 27674 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $100,000 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of County Road Fund for the construction of highways along the Ocean Beach; same to be expended under the direction of the Park Commission.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Gallagher—1.

Appropriation, $17,000, Grand View Avenue.

Resolution No. 27675 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $17,000 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of County Road Fund for the improvement of Grand View avenue.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Gallagher—1.

Appropriation, $311.20, Conform Work in Crossing of Newhall Street and Evans Avenue.

Resolution No. 27676 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $311.20 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of "Street Work in Front of City Property," Budget Item No. 35, for construction of conform work in the crossings of Newhall street at Evans avenue and at Fairfax avenue.

Ayes.— Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Gallagher—1.

Oil Tank Permits.

Resolution No. 27677 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits be and are hereby granted:

Oil Tanks.

Allen & Company, 55 Twenty-fifth Avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

City and County of San Francisco (Adams School), Eddy street between Van Ness avenue and Polk street, 1500 gallons capacity.

City and County of San Francisco (Franklin School) Eighth street between Harrison and Bryant streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

City and County of San Francisco (Sutro School), Thirteenth avenue between California and Clement streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

City and County of San Francisco (Burnett School), Lane street and Newcomb avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

Wm. R. Clark, north side of Vallejo street, 180 feet west of Baker street, 1500 gallons capacity.

David Cohen, east side of Thirty-sixth avenue, 190 feet north of Cabrillo street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Arthur Dunne, 3864 Jackson street, 600 gallons capacity.

Il Trovatore Cafe, 520 Broadway, 500 gallons capacity.

Henry Ernst & Sons, east side of Hyde street, 85 feet south of Lombard street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Gilley Schmid, south side of Washington street, 130 feet east of Arguello boulevard, 1500 gallons capacity.

I. Preston, northeast corner of Thirty-second avenue and Cabrillo street, 1500 gallons capacity.

City and County of San Francisco (Junipero Serra School), Holly Park avenue and Highland avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

S. Salomon, 950 Pine street, 1500 gallons capacity.

C. Simpson, west side of San Buena Ventura way, 200 feet south of St. Francis boulevard, 1500 gallons capacity.

J. D. Zellerbach, 3580 Clay street, 500 gallons capacity.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits shall become null and void.

A y e s — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Farrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Gallagher—1.

Transfer of Stable Permit.

Resolution No. 27678 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Harry Clemansky be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred stable permit to accommodate twelve horses at premises 1174 Folsom street heretofore granted A. Rabinovitch by Resolution No. 11703 (New Series).

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

A y e s — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Gallagher—1.

Garage Permit.

Resolution No. 27679 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That H. Mortimer Smith be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate a public garage on the east side of Polk street, 61 feet 3 inches north of Broadway.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

A y e s — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

No—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Absent—Supervisor Gallagher—1.

Changing and Reestablishing Grades on Mariposa Street.

Bill No. 8147, Ordinance No. 7658A (New Series), as follows:

Changing and reestablishing the official grades on Mariposa street between Carolina and De Haro streets.

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors, on the written recommendation of the Board of Public Works, did, on the 21st day of May, 1927, by Resolution No. 27247 (New Series), declare its intention to change and reestablish the grades on Mariposa street between Carolina and De Haro streets; and

Whereas, said resolution was so published for ten days, and the Board of Public Works within ten days after the first publication of said resolution of intention caused notices of the passage of said resolution to be conspicuously posted along all streets specified in the

resolution in the manner and as provided by law; and

Whereas, more than forty days has elapsed since the first publication of said resolution of intention; therefore,

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The grades on the following-named streets at the points hereinafter named and at the elevations above city base as hereinafter stated are hereby changed and established as follows:

Mariposa Street.

Southerly line of, at Carolina street westerly line, 15 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Northerly line of, at Carolina street westerly line, 14.60 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

12 feet southerly from the northerly line of, 20 feet westerly from Carolina street, 14.60 feet.

12 feet northerly from the southerly line of, 20 feet westerly from Carolina street, 15 feet.

De Haro Street.

30 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

On Mariposa street between Carolina and De Haro streets, changed and established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—18.

Changing and Establishing Grades on Thomas Avenue.

Bill No. 8148, Ordinance No. 7659 (New Series), as follows:

Changing and reestablishing the official grades on Thomas avenue between Griffith and Hawes streets, on Underwood avenue between Griffith and Ingalls streets, on Van Dyke avenue between Hawes and Jennings streets, on Wallace avenue between Hawes and Jennings streets, on Griffith street between Shafter and Underwood avenues, on Hawes street between Thomas and Wallace avenues, and on Ingalls street between Underwood and Yosemite avenues.

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors, on the written recommendation of the Board of Public Works, did, on the 13th day of June, 1927, by Resolution No. 27409 (New Series), declare its intention to change and reestablish the grades on Thomas avenue between Griffith and Hawes streets, on Underwood avenue between Griffith and Ingalls streets, on Van Dyke avenue between Hawes and Jennings streets, on Wallace avenue between Hawes and Jennings streets, on Griffith street between Shafter and Underwood avenues, on Hawes street between Thomas and Wallace avenues, and on Ingalls street between Underwood and Yosemite avenues; and

Whereas, said resolution was so published for ten days, and the Board of Public Works within ten days after the first publication of said resolution of intention caused notices of the passage of said resolution to be conspicuously posted along all streets specified in the resolution in the manner and as provided by law; and

Whereas, more than forty days has elapsed since the first publication of said resolution of intention; therefore,

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The grades on the following-named streets at the points hereinafter named and at the elevations above city base as hereinafter stated are hereby changed and established as follows:

Thomas Avenue.

Griffith street, 3.00 feet.

Hawes street, 8.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Underwood Avenue.

Griffith street, 2.00 feet.

300 feet westerly from Griffith street, 3.50 feet.

Hawes street, 2.00 feet.

Ingalls street, 5.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Van Dyke Avenue.

Hawes street, 1.00 foot.

300 feet westerly from Hawes street, 2.50 feet.

Ingalls street, 1.00 foot.

Jennings street, 4.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Wallace Avenue.

Hawes street, base.

300 feet westerly from Hawes street, 1.50 feet.

Ingalls street, base.

Jennings street, 4.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Griffith Street.

Shafter avenue, 6.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Thomas avenue, 3.00 feet.
Underwood avenue, 2.00 feet.

Hawes Street.

Thomas avenue, 8.00 feet.
Underwood avenue, 2.00 feet.
Van Dyke avenue, 1.00 feet.
Wallace avenue, base.

Ingalls Street.

Underwood avenue, 5.00 feet.
Van Dyke avenue, 1.00 foot.
Wallace avenue, base.
Yosemite avenue, base. (The same being the present official grade.)

On Thomas avenue between Griffith and Hawes streets, on Underwood avenue between Griffith and Ingalls streets, on Van Dyke avenue between Hawes and Jennings streets, on Wallace avenue between Hawes and Jennings streets, on Griffith street between Shafter and Underwood avenues, on Hawes street between Thomas and Wallace avenues, and on Ingalls street between Underwood and Yosemite avenues, changed and established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—18.

Changing and Reestablishing Grades on Montgomery Street.

Bill No. 8149, Ordinance No. 7560 (New Series), as follows:

Changing and reestablishing the official grades on Montgomery street between Green street and Union street northerly line and on Union street between Sansome street and the westerly line of Montgomery street.

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors, on the written recommendation of the Board of Public Works, did, on the 13th day of June, 1927, by Resolution No. 27407 (New Series), declare its intention to change and reestablish the grades on Montgomery street between Green street and Union street northerly line and on Union street between Sansome street and the westerly line of Montgomery street; and

Whereas, said resolution was so published for ten days, and the Board of Public Works within ten days after the first publication of said resolution of intention caused notices of the passage of said resolution to be conspicuously posted along all streets specified in the resolution in the manner and as provided by law; and

Whereas, more than forty days has elapsed since the first publication of said resolution of intention; therefore,

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The grades on the following-named streets at the points hereinafter named and at the elevations above city base as hereinafter stated are hereby changed and established as follows:

Montgomery Street.

Green street, 170.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

9 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 55.75 feet northerly from Green street, 181.15 feet.

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 55.75 feet northerly from Green street, 181.15 feet.

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 55.75 feet northerly from Green street, 181.15 feet.

9 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 55.75 feet northerly from Green street, 181.15 feet.

80.75 feet northerly from Green street, 208.79 feet.

10 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 103.75 feet northerly from Green street, 209.46 feet.

10 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 118.75 feet northerly from Green street, 210.42 feet.

10 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 133.75 feet northerly from Green street, 212.45 feet.

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

10 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 103.75 feet northerly from Green street, 209.46 feet.

10 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 118.75 feet northerly from Green street, 210.42 feet.

10 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 133.75 feet northerly from Green street, 212.45 feet.

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

10 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 71.26 feet southerly from Union street, 224.35 feet.

10 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 56.26 feet southerly from Union street, 225.61 feet.

10 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 41.26 feet southerly from Union street, 224.50 feet.

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

10 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 71.26 feet southerly from Union street, 224.35 feet.

10 feet westerly from the easterly

line of, 56.26 feet southerly from Union street, 225.61 feet.

10 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 41.26 feet southerly from Union street, 224.50 feet.

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

10 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 8.93 feet southerly from Union street, 219.33 feet.

10 feet easterly from the westerly line of, at Union street southerly line, 218.25 feet.

At a point 8.93 feet northwesterly (measured along the curb) from the last described point, 217.90 feet.

(The last three described being points on a compound vertical curve.)

10 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 41.26 feet southerly from Union street, 224.50 feet.

10 feet westerly from the easterly line of, at Union street southerly line, 218.50 feet.

At a point 14.92 feet northeasterly (measured along the curb) from the last described point, 217.90 feet.

(The last three described being points on a compound vertical curve.)

At a point 11.78 feet southeasterly (measured along the curb) from a point 6 feet westerly from the easterly line of Montgomery street at Union street northerly line, 217.60 feet.

6 feet westerly from the easterly line of, at Union street northerly line, 217.16 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

(The last two described being points on a compound vertical curve.)

At a point on the center of the arc of a fifteen-foot return to the northwest corner of Union street, 217.60 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

6 feet easterly from the westerly line of, at Union street northerly line, 217.16 feet.

(The last two described being points on a compound vertical curve.)

(The same being the present official grade.)

Union Street.

Northerly line of, at Sansome street westerly line, 14.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Southerly line of, at Sansome street westerly line, 15.50 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

On a line at a right angles to the northerly line of, 177.58 feet westerly from Sansome street, 168.50 feet.

On a line at right angles to the northerly line of, 226.92 feet easterly from Montgomery street, 188.00 feet.

Southerly line of, 6 feet westerly from Calhoun street easterly line, 188.00 feet.

Southerly line of, 6 feet easterly from Calhoun street westerly line, 188.00 feet.

At a point 14.92 feet northwesterly (measured along the curb) from the last described point, 188.00 feet.

At a point 14.92 feet northwesterly (measured along the curb) from the last described point, 188.69 feet.

At a point 14.92 feet westerly (measured along the curb) from the last described point 190.76 feet.

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

19 feet southerly from the northerly line of, 187.08 feet easterly from Montgomery street, 188.00 feet.

19 feet southerly from the northerly line of, 168.08 feet easterly from Montgomery street, 188.87 feet.

19 feet southerly from the northerly line of, 149.08 feet easterly from Montgomery street, 191.49 feet.

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

Northerly curb line of, 21.25 feet easterly from Montgomery street, 214.94 feet.

At a point 14.50 feet northwesterly (measured along the curb) from the last described point, 216.94 feet.

At a point 14.50 feet northwesterly (measured along the curb) from the last described point, 217.60 feet.

Northerly line of, 6 feet westerly from Montgomery street easterly line, 217.16 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

(The last four described being points on a compound vertical curve.)

Southerly curb line of, 23.92 feet easterly from Montgomery street, 215.14 feet.

At a point 14.92 feet southwesterly (measured along the curb) from the last described point, 217.21 feet.

At a point 14.92 feet southwesterly (measured along the curb) from the last described point, 217.90 feet.

Southerly line of, 10 feet westerly from Montgomery street easterly line, 218.50 feet.

(The last four described being points on a compound vertical curve.)

Southerly line of, 10 feet easterly

from Montgomery street westerly line, 218.25 feet.

At a point 8.93 feet northwesterly (measured along the curb) from the last described point, 217.90 feet.

At a point 23.00 feet northwesterly (measured along the curb) from the last described point, 216.90 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

(The last three described being points on a compound vertical curve.)

Northerly line of, 6 feet easterly from Montgomery street westerly line, 217.16 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

At a point on the center of the arc of a 15-foot return to the northwest corner of Montgomery street, 217.60 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

(The last two described being points on a compound vertical curve.)

On Montgomery street between Green street and Union street northerly line and on Union street between Sansome street and the westerly line of Montgomery street, changed and established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—18.

Changing and Reestablishing Grades on Santiago Street.

Bill No. 8150, Ordinance No. 7561 (New Series), as follows:

Changing and reestablishing the official grades on Santiago street between the San Miguel Rancho line and Fourteenth avenue; on Twelfth avenue between the northerly line of Castenada avenue produced and a line parallel with Santiago street and 100 feet southerly therefrom, and on Funston avenue between lines parallel with Santiago street and respectively 75 feet northerly and 140 feet southerly therefrom.

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors, on the written recommendation of the Board of Public Works, did, on the 13th day of June, 1927, by Resolution No. 2408 (New Series), declare its intention to change and reestablish the grades on Santiago street between the San Miguel Rancho line and Fourteenth avenue; on Twelfth avenue between the northerly line of Castenada avenue produced and a line parallel with Santiago street and 100 feet southerly therefrom, and on Funston avenue between lines parallel with Santiago street and respectively 75 feet northerly and 140 feet southerly therefrom.

Whereas, said resolution was so published for ten days, and the Board of Public Works within ten days after the first publication of said resolution of intention caused notices of the passage of said resolution to be conspicuously posted along all streets specified in the resolution in the manner and as provided by law; and

Whereas, more than forty days has elapsed since the first publication of said resolution of intention; therefore,

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The grades on the following-named streets at the points hereinafter named and at the elevations above city base as hereinafter stated are hereby changed and established as follows:

Santiago Street.

Northerly line of, at San Miguel Rancho line, 497 feet.

180 feet easterly from Twelfth avenue, 496.60 feet.

Southerly curb line of, at Twelfth avenue easterly line, 495.70 feet.

Northerly curb line of, at Twelfth avenue easterly line, 495.70 feet.

Northerly line of, at the easterly curb line of Twelfth avenue (point on vertical curve), 496.55 feet.

Northerly line of, at the westerly curb line of Twelfth avenue (point on vertical curve), 496.55 feet.

6 feet southerly from the northerly line of, at Twelfth avenue westerly line, 495.80 feet.

26 feet southerly from the northerly line of, at Twelfth avenue westerly line, 495.80 feet.

Southerly curb line of, 20 feet easterly from Twelfth avenue westerly line, 494.80 feet.

40 feet northerly from the southerly line of, 20 feet easterly from Twelfth avenue westerly line, 494.80 feet.

6 feet northerly from the southerly line of, 160 feet easterly from Funston avenue, 484.80 feet.

26 feet northerly from the southerly line of, 160 feet easterly from Funston avenue, 484.80 feet.

6 feet northerly from the southerly line of, at Funston avenue easterly line, 478 feet.

26 feet northerly from the south-

erly line of, at Funston avenue easterly line, 478 feet.

6 feet southerly from the northerly line of, at Funston avenue easterly line, 494 feet.

26 feet southerly from the northerly line of, at Funston avenue easterly line, 494 feet.

Northerly curb line of, at the center of a 20-foot radius curb return at the northeasterly corner of Funston avenue, 493.77 feet.

6 feet southerly from the northerly line of, at Funston avenue westerly line, 490 feet.

26 feet southerly from the northerly line of, at Funston avenue westerly line, 490 feet.

6 feet southerly from the northerly line of, 120 feet easterly from Fourteenth avenue, 479 feet.

26 feet southerly from the northerly line of, 120 feet easterly from Fourteenth avenue, 479 feet.

6 feet northerly from the southerly line of, 120 feet easterly from Fourteenth avenue, 467 feet.

26 feet northerly from the southerly line of, 120 feet easterly from Fourteenth avenue, 467 feet.

Northerly line of, at Fourteenth avenue, 461 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Southerly line of, at Fourteenth avenue, 459 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Twelfth Avenue.

Easterly line of, at Castenada avenue southerly line, 522.50 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

On the center of an arc with a 20-foot radius, connecting the easterly curb of Twelfth avenue with the southerly curb of Castenada avenue (point on vertical curve), 522.82 feet.

20 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 8 feet southerly from Castenada avenue, 521.07 feet.

12 feet westerly from the easterly line of, and 12 feet southerly from Castenada avenue northerly line produced (point on vertical curve), 524.28 feet.

12 feet southwesterly from last described point (measured along the curb), 524.55 feet.

On a line radial to the curve, 12 feet northwesterly from last described point, 524.55 feet.

Westerly line of, at Castenada avenue northerly line produced, 526.00 feet.

Westerly curb line of, 26 feet (measured along the curb) northerly from Castenada avenue southerly line produced, 524.55 feet.

17 feet (measured along the curb) southerly from the last described point, 523.84 feet.

20 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 8 feet southerly from Castenada avenue southerly line produced, 521.57 feet.

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

20 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 14 feet northerly from Santiago street, 498.57 feet.

15.71 feet (measured along the curb) southerly from the last described point (point on vertical curve), 496.55 feet.

20 feet westerly from the easterly line of, and 20 feet northerly from Santiago street, 499.33 feet.

20.95 feet (measured along the curb) southeasterly from the last described point, 496.55 feet.

Easterly line of, at Santiago street northerly curb line, 495.70 feet.

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

Westerly line of, 6 feet southerly from Santiago street northerly line, 495.80 feet.

Westerly line of, 26 feet southerly from Santiago street northerly line, 495.80 feet.

Easterly line of, at Santiago street southerly curb line, 495.70 feet.

20 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 40 feet southerly from Santiago street northerly line, 494.80 feet.

20 feet easterly from the westerly line of, at Santiago street southerly curb line, 494.80 feet.

85 feet southerly from Santiago street, 448.60 feet.

100 feet southerly from Santiago street, 448 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Funston Avenue.

75 feet northerly from Santiago street, 499.80 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

20 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 14 feet northerly from Santiago street, 492.90 feet.

20 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 14 feet northerly from Santiago street, 494.43 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

15.71 feet southeasterly from the last described point (measured along the curb), 493.77 feet.

Easterly line of, 6 feet southerly from Santiago street northerly line, 494 feet.

Westerly line of, 6 feet southerly from Santiago street northerly line, 490 feet.

Westerly line of, 26 feet southerly from Santiago street northerly line, 490 feet.

Easterly line of, 26 feet southerly from Santiago street northerly line, 494 feet.

Easterly line of, 26 feet northerly from Santiago street southerly line, 478 feet.

Easterly line of, 6 feet northerly from Santiago street southerly line, 478 feet.

40 feet southerly from Santiago street, 456.54 feet.

45 feet southerly from Santiago street, 456.50 feet.

140 feet southerly from Santiago street, 440.50 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

On Santiago street between the San Miguel Rancho line and Fourteenth avenue; on Twelfth avenue between the northerly line of Castenada avenue produced and a line parallel with Santiago street and 100 feet southerly therefrom; and on Funston avenue between lines parallel with Santiago street and respectively 75 feet northerly and 140 feet southerly therefrom changed and established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor and the present official grade of Castenada avenue at Twelfth avenue easterly line.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—18.

Changing and Reestablishing Grades on Gaven Street.

Bill No. 8151, Ordinance No. 7562 (New Series), as follows:

Changing and reestablishing the official grades on Gaven street between San Bruno avenue and Merrill street and on Barneveld avenue between Rickard and Sweeny streets.

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors, on the written recommendation of the Board of Public Works, did, on the 22d day of June, 1927, by Resolution No. 27443 (New Series), declare its intention to change and reestablish the grades on Gaven street between San Bruno avenue and Merrill street and on Barneveld avenue between Rickard and Sweeny streets.

Whereas, said resolution was so published for ten days, and the Board of Public Works within ten days after the first publication of said resolution of intention caused notices of the passage of said resolution to be conspicuously posted along all streets specified in the resolution in the manner and as provided by law; and

Whereas, more than forty days has elapsed since the first publication of said resolution of intention; therefore,

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The grades on the following-named streets at the points hereinafter named and at the elevations above city base as hereinafter stated are hereby changed and established as follows:

Gaven Street.

Northerly line of, at San Bruno avenue, 38 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Southerly line of, at San Bruno avenue, 40 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Barneveld avenue, 29 feet.

Merrill street, 40 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Barneveld Avenue.

Westerly line of, at Rickard avenue southerly line, 18 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Easterly line of, at Rickard avenue southerly line, 18.80 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Gaven street, 29 feet.

Sweeny street, 36 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

On Graven street between San Bruno avenue and Merrill street and on Barneveld avenue between Rickard and Sweeny streets changed and established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—18.

Changing and Reestablishing Grades on Visitacion and Sunnydale Avenues.

Bill No. 8152, Ordinance No. 7563 (New Series), as follows:

Changing and reestablishing the official grades on Visitacion and Sunnydale avenues between Schwerin street and Spreckels street produced; on Rey, Britton, Loehr, Sawyer and Hahn streets between Leland avenue and a line parallel with Visitacion avenue and 263.67 feet southerly therefrom.

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors, on the written recommendation of the Board of Public Works, did, on the 13th day of June, 1927, by Resolution No. 27341 (New Series), declare its intention to change and reestablish the grades on Visitacion and Sunnydale avenues between Schwerin street and Spreckels street produced; on Rey, Britton, Loehr, Sawyer and Hahn streets between Leland avenue and a line

parallel with Visitacion avenue and 263.67 feet southerly therefrom.

Whereas, said resolution was so published for ten days, and the Board of Public Works within ten days after the first publication of said resolution of intention caused notices of the passage of said resolution to be conspicuously posted along all streets specified in the resolution in the manner and as provided by law; and

Whereas, more than forty days has elapsed since the first publication of said resolution of intention; therefore,

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The grades on the following-named streets at the points hereinafter named and at the elevations above city base as hereinafter stated are hereby changed and established as follows:

Visitacion Avenue.

Spreckels street, 117 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Hahn street westerly line, 108 feet.

Hahn street easterly line, 107.08 feet.

Sawyer street westerly line, 104 feet.

Sawyer street easterly line, 102.39 feet.

Loehr street westerly line, 97 feet.

Loehr street easterly line, 93.53 feet.

Northerly line of, at Britton street westerly line, 82 feet.

Southerly line of, at Britton street westerly line, 81 feet.

Britton street easterly line, 79.47 feet.

Rey street westerly line, 71 feet.

Rey street easterly line, 69.39 feet.

Schwerin street, 64 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Sunnydale Avenue.

Schwerin street, 45 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Rey street easterly line produced, 52.69 feet.

Rey street westerly line produced, 55 feet.

Britton street easterly line produced, 60.22 feet.

Britton street westerly line produced, 61.78 feet.

Loehr street easterly line produced, 67 feet.

Loehr street westerly line produced, 68.31 feet.

Sawyer street easterly line produced, 72.66 feet.

Sawyer street westerly line produced, 73.97 feet.

Hahn street easterly line produced, 78.33 feet.

Hahn street westerly line produced, 79.63 feet.

Spreckels street if produced, 84 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Rey Street.

Easterly line of, at Leland avenue, 97 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Westerly line of, at Leland avenue, 100 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 250 feet northerly from Visitacion avenue, 87.38 feet.

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 200 feet northerly from Visitacion avenue, 82.60 feet.

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 150 feet northerly from Visitacion avenue, 79.05 feet.

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 250 feet northerly from Visitacion avenue, 86.85 feet.

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 200 feet northerly from Visitacion avenue, 82.47 feet.

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 150 feet northerly from Visitacion avenue, 79.05 feet.

(Vertical curve passing through the last described points.)

100 feet northerly from Visitacion avenue, 76.10 feet.

Easterly line of, at Visitacion avenue, 69.39 feet.

Westerly line of, at Visitacion avenues, 71 feet.

50 feet southerly from Visitacion avenue, 68.87 feet.

263.67 feet southerly from Visitacion avenue, 63.19 feet.

Britton Street.

Westerly line of, at Leland avenue southerly line, 117 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Easterly line of, at Leland avenue southerly line, 114 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

50 feet southerly from Leland avenue, 110.62 feet.

50 feet northerly from Visitacion avenue, 85.58 feet.

Easterly line of, at Visitacion avenue, 79.47 feet.

Westerly line of, at Visitacion avenue northerly line, 82 feet.

Westerly line of, at Visitacion avenue southerly line, 81 feet.

126.20 feet southerly from Visitacion avenue, 75 feet.

263.67 feet southerly from Visitacion avenue, 70.33 feet.

Loehr Street.

Leland avenue southerly line, 128 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 49.52 feet southerly from Leland avenue, 122.52 feet.

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 99.52 feet southerly from Leland avenue, 117.42 feet.

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 149.52 feet southerly from Leland avenue, 113.15 feet.

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 50 feet southerly from Leland avenue, 122.50 feet.

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 100 feet southerly from Leland avenue, 117.42 feet.

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 150 feet southerly from Leland avenue, 113.15 feet.

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 150 feet northerly from Visitacion avenue, 108.84 feet.

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 100 feet northerly from Visitacion avenue, 104.86 feet.

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 50 feet northerly from Visitacion avenue, 100.61 feet.

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 150 feet northerly from Visitacion avenue, 108.84 feet.

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 100 feet northerly from Visitacion avenue, 104.62 feet.

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 50 feet northerly from Visitacion avenue, 99.66 feet.

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

Easterly line of, at Visitacion avenue, 93.53 feet.

Westerly line of, at Visitacion avenue, 97 feet.

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 100 feet southerly from Visitacion avenue, 86.01 feet.

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 100 feet southerly from Visitacion avenue, 81.89 feet.

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 200 feet southerly from Visitacion avenue, 79.51 feet.

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 100 feet southerly from Visitacion avenue, 85.44 feet.

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 150 feet southerly from Visitacion avenue, 81.74 feet.

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 200 feet southerly from Visitacion avenue, 79.51 feet.

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

263.67 feet southerly from Visitacion avenue, 77.62 feet.

Sawyer Street.

Easterly line of, at Leland avenue southerly line, 132 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Westerly line of, at Leland avenue southerly line, 134 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

On a line at right angles to the easterly line of, 53.43 feet southerly from Leland avenue, 129.17 feet.

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 153.43 feet northerly from Visitacion avenue, 118.60 feet.

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 103.43 feet northerly from Visitacion avenue, 114.42 feet.

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 53.43 feet northerly from Visitacion avenue, 109.08 feet.

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 153.43 feet northerly from Visitacion avenue, 118.60 feet.

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 103.43 feet northerly from Visitacion avenue, 114.52 feet.

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 53.43 feet northerly from Visitacion avenue, 109.51 feet.

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

Easterly line of, at Visitacion avenue, 102.39 feet.

Westerly line of, at Visitacion avenue, 104 feet.

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 50 feet southerly from Visitacion avenue, 97.97 feet.

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 100 feet southerly from Visitacion avenue, 93.83 feet.

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 150 feet southerly from Visitacion avenue, 90.99 feet.

(Vertical curve passing through the las tthree described points.)

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 50 feet southerly from Visitacion avenue, 98.42 feet.

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 100 feet southerly from Visitacion avenue, 93.94 feet.

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 150 feet southerly from Visitacion avenue, 90.99 feet.

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

263.67 feet southerly from Visitacion avenue, 86 feet.

Hahn Street.

Leland avenue, southerly line, 142 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

100 feet northerly from Visitacion avenue, 117.31 feet.

Easterly line of, at Visitacion avenue, 107.08 feet.

Westerly line of, at Visitacion avenue, 108 feet.

50 feet southerly from Visitacion avenue, 104.98 feet.

263.67 feet southerly from Visitacion avenue, 94 feet.

On Visitacion and Sunnydale avenues between Schwerin street and Spreckels street produced; on Rey, Britton, Loehr, Sawyer and Hahn streets between Leland avenue and a line parallel with Visitacion avenue and 263.67 feet southerly therefrom changed and established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—18.

PRESENTATION OF BILLS AND ACCOUNTS.

Your Finance Committee, having examined miscellaneous demands not required by law to be passed to print and amounting to $42,255.61, recommends same be allowed and ordered paid by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—18.

NEW BUSINESS.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Authorizations.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

Park Fund.

(1) Frank Food Co., supplies for parks (claim dated Aug. 19, 1927), $603.89.

(2) National Ice Cream Co., ice cream for parks (claim dated Aug. 19, 1927), $639.21.

(3) National Ice Cream Co., ice cream for parks (claim dated Aug. 19, 1927), $1,715.89.

(4) San Francisco Dairy Co., milk for parks (claim dated Aug. 19, 1927), $923.44.

Hetch Hetchy Power Operative Fund.

(5) The Berger Manufacturing Co., metal lath for Moccasin Power House (claim dated Aug. 12, 1927), $621.96.

(6) Depreciation Fund, Hetch Hetchy Power Operative, reserve for depreciation, month of August (claim dated Aug. 12, 1927), $14,583.

(7) N. V. Edington, balsam wool, for Moccasin Power House (claim dated Aug. 12, 1927), $900.

(8) The Charles Nelson Co., lumber, Moccasin Power House (claim dated Aug. 12, 1927), $544.86.

(9) The Parafine Companies Inc., roofing materials, Moccasin Power House (claim dated Aug. 12, 1927), $511.57.

(10) Geo. H. Tay Co., plumbing supplies (claim dated Aug. 12, 1927), $607.62.

Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1910.

(11) Santa Cruz Portland Cement Co., cement for Mather Tourist Camp (claim dated Aug. 11, 1927), $555.20.

Hetch Hetchy Water Bonds, Issue 1925.

(12) Giant Powder Co. Con., explosives, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Aug. 13, 1927), $10,294.86.

(13) Standard Oil Co., gasoline, oils, etc., Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Aug. 13, 1927), $597.76.

(14) Standard Oil Co., gasoline, oil, etc., Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Aug. 13, 1927), $509.88.

(15) T. E. Connolly and J. M. DeLucca, seventh payment, construction of Hetch Hetchy Aqueduct tunnels in Foothill Division (claim dated Aug. 16, 1927), $34,067.60.

School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923.

(16) R. Brandlein & Co., primary tables for Dudley Stone and Sanchez schools (claim dated Aug. 16, 1927), $1,520.64.

(17) Geo. A. Applegarth, final payment, architectural services for Edison School (claim dated Aug. 17, 1927), $4,552.18.

(18) Anderson & Ringrose, seventh payment, general construction of additions to Sunnyside School (claim dated Aug. 16, 1927), $25,143.75.

Special School Tax.

(19) John Reid, Jr., final payment, architectural services for Commodore Sloat School (claim dated Aug. 16, 1927), $1,558.19.

Municipal Railway Fund.

(20) American Brake Shoe & Foundry Co., brake shoes for Municipal Railways (claim dated Aug. 13, 1927), $2,268.29.

(21) Crescent Oil Co., gasoline for Municipal Railways (claim dated Aug. 13, 1927), $1,595.25.

(22) San Francisco City Employees' Retirement System, for pensions, etc., for Municipal Railway employees (claim dated Aug. 5, 1927), $2,481.57.

Hetch Hetchy Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1925.

(23) Baker, Hamilton & Pacific Co., hardware for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Aug. 16, 1927), $3,842.57.

(24) Patrick Connolly and Patrick J. Connolly, payment for lands and easements in San Joaquin and Alameda counties, for account Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Aug. 16, 1927), $4,500.

(25) Federal Pipe & Supply Co., steel pipe for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Aug. 16, 1927), $1,537.60.

(26) Graybar Electric Co., crosarm bolts, braces, etc., for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Aug. 16, 1927), $554.41.

(27) Hales & Symons Inc., wedges for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Aug. 16, 1927), $760.50.

(28) Santa Fe Lumber Co., lumber for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Aug. 16, 1927), $690.

(29) State Compensation Insurance Fund, premium on insurance policy covering Hetch Hetchy employees (claim dated Aug. 16, 1927), $2,821.14.

(30) Westinghouse Electric & Mfg. Co., oil circuit breakers for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Aug. 16, 1927), $794.28.

Municipal Railway Fund.

(31) San Francisco City Employees' Retirement System, for pensions, etc., for Municipal Railway employees (claim dated Aug. 11, 1927), $7,073.80.

General Fund, 1927-1928.

(32) C. Nauman & Co., potatoes, etc., for County Jails (claim dated Aug. 10, 1927), $568.36.

(33) Langendorf Baking Co., bread for County Jails (claim dated Aug. 10, 1927), $863.91.

(34) Del Monte Meat Co., meats for County Jails (claim dated Aug. 10. 1927), $1,261.14.

(35) J. P. Holland Inc., excavating at Aquatic Park (claim dated Aug. 19, 1927), $777.15.

(36) Crescent Oil Co., gasoline, Police Dept. (claim dated Aug. 15, 1927), $721.78.

(37) Doherty Bros., one Ford truck for Police Dept. (claim dated Aug. 15, 1927), $683.50.

(38) James Rolph, Jr., Mayor's expenses (claim dated Aug. 22, 1927), $523.75.

(39) Recorder Printing & Publishing Co., printing and publishing Law-Motion-Trial Calendar (claim dated Aug. 22, 1927), $1,075.

(40) Sonoma State Home maintenane of feeble-minded (claim dated Aug. 22, 1927), $9,205.

(41) Chase & Rae, printing and publishing delinquent tax list and sales book (claim dated Aug. 11, 1927), $2,080.78.

(42) Carlisle & Co., printing, etc., for the Auditor (claim dated Aug. 11, 1927), $505.

(43) Coastwise Mercantile Co., groceries for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Aug. 18, 1927), $665.50.

(44) Geo. E. Plummer Co., foodstuffs for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Aug. 18, 1927), $862.50.

(45) Illinois Pacific Glass Co., bottles for Health Department (claim dated Aug. 18, 1927), $646.13.

(46) Haas Bros., canned fruit, Laguna Honda Home (claim dated Aug. 18, 1927), $796.

(47) Crescent Oil Co., gasoline for Fire Dept. (claim dated July 30, 1927), $781.56.

(48) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., gas and electricity for Fire Dept. (claim dated July 30, 1927), $1,725.01.

(49) Shell Company, fuel oil, Fire Dept. (claim dated July 30, 1927), $642.02.

(50) Spring Valley Water Co., water service for Fire Dept., installing hydrants (claim dated July 30, 1927), $2,993.91.

(51) Associated Construction Co., improvement of Fourteenth avenue between Ulloa and Vicente streets (claim dated Aug. 17, 1927), $1,480.

(52) Charles L. Harney, improvement of Twenty-fourth avenue between Moraga and Noriega streets (claim dated Aug. 17, 1927), $1,515.

(53) Charles L. Harney, improvement of Twenty-fifth avenue between Kirkham and Lawton streets (claim Aug. 17. 1927), $1,852.

(54) Frank J. McHugh, construction of concrete curbs, etc., on Thirtieth avenue between Anza and Balboa streets (claim dated Aug. 17, 1927), $4,800.

(55) Rudolph Theurkauf, expense incurred account of San Francisco exhibit at Reno Exposition (claim dated Aug. 22, 1927), $754.

(56) E. A. Bender, engineering services, month of August, 1927,

telephone rate investigation (claim dated Aug. 18, 1927), $350.

(57) Dion R. Holm, legal services, telephone rate investigation, month of August, 1927 (claim dated Aug. 18, 1927), $850.

Publicity and Advertising.

(58) California Development Assn., maintenance of map and information bureau, Ferry Building (claim dated Aug. 22, 1927), $1,000.

(59) San Francisco Convention and Tourist League, publicity and advertising of San Francisco (claim dated Aug. 22, 1927), $6,403.44.

(60) Thos. F. Boyle, expense incurred account Service Post delegation to American Legion convention at Santa Barbara August 1 to 5, 1927 (claim dated Aug. 22, 1927), $991.20.

(61) Jas. K. Fisk, Adjutant, American Legion, for float at Ninth Annual American Legion convention, Paris, France (claim dated Aug. 22, 1927), $1,200.

Appropriation, $3,000, to Meet Deficit Account, Summer Symphony Concerts.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $3,000 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of Publicity and Advertising, and authorized in payment to Thos. F. Boyle, Treasurer, Summer Symphony Concerts, to meet deficit on account of said concerts.

Appropriation, $11,841.48, to Cover Cost of Contract for Chairs, Mission Junior High School.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $11,841.48 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of School Bonds, Issue 1923, to cover the cost of contract for chairs to be installed in the Mission (Everett) Junior High School (Heywood-Wakefield Company).

Appropriations for the Improvement of Bay Street and of Davis Street.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of the County Road Fund, to-wit:

(1) For the improvement of Bay street between Laguna and Fillmore streets, $6,500.

(2) For the improvement of Davis street between Broadway and Vallejo street, including the crossing of Davis street and Broadway, $5,000.

Authorizing Plans and Specifications for a Chapel at the San Francisco Hospital.

Also, Bill No. 8160, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Authorizing the preparation of plans and specifications for the construction of a chapel at the San Francisco Hospital, and authorizing and directing the Board af Public Works to enter into contract for the construction of said chapel.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized, instructed and empowered to prepare plans and specifications for the construction of a chapel at the San Francisco Hospital, and to enter into contract for said construction in accordance with the plans and specifications prepared therefor.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Appropriation, $21,000, for Architectural Services, Park-Presidio School.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $21,000 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of Special School Tax, Fiscal Year 1927-1928, being payment for architectural services for the preparation of plans and specifications for the first unit of the Park-Presidio School, to be erected on block bounded by Twenty-ninth and Thirtieth avenues Geary and Clement streets.

Authorizing Payment of $1,500 to Charlotte M. Taylor for Land Required for Mangels Avenue Sewer Right of Way.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $1,500 be and the same is hereby authorized in payment out of "Extension and Reconstruction of Sewers," Budget Item No. 38, Fiscal Year 1927-1928, to Charlotte M. Taylor; being payment for property beginning at point on the southerly line of Mangels avenue, distant thereon 275 feet westerly from the westerly line of Baden street, and thence running westerly along the southerly line of Mangels avenue 25 feet; of uniform dimensions 25 x 100 feet; and property beginning at point on the northerly line of Joost avenue, distant thereon 275 feet westerly from the westerly line of Baden street, and running thence westerly along the northerly line of Joost avenue 25 feet; of uniform dimensions 25 x 100 feet; and being required for the construction of a

sewer from Mangels avenue to Monterey boulevard between Congo street and Baden street. (Claim dated Aug. 18, 1927.) As per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 27659 (New Series).

Authorizing Payment of $4,250 to Alfred G. Dawes et al. for Property Required for the Extension of Nineteenth Street.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $4,250 be and the same is authorized in payment out of General Fund, 1926-1927 (Budget Item No. 141), to Alfred G. Dawes and Rosa I. Dawes; being payment for all of Lot 36, Block 2702, as per the Assessor's Block Books; and as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 27658 (New Series); said property being required for the extension of Nineteenth street from Yukon to Mono streets. (Claim dated Aug. 18, 1927.)

Authorizing $11,399 in Payment to Wm. C. R. Good et al. for Land Required for Widening of Portola Drive.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $11,399 be and the same is hereby authorized in payment out of County Road Fund (appropriation heretofore made for Portola drive) to William C. R. Good and Louise Good; being payment for property beginning at point on the southeasterly line of Portola drive, distant thereon 135 feet southwesterly from the southwesterly line of Stanford Heights avenue; being a portion of Block No. 40 of Stanford Heights, and as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 27660 (New Series), and required for the widening of Portola drive. (Claim dated Aug. 18, 1927.)

Appropriation, $2,000, Payment to Gustave A. Olson et al. for Land Required for School Purposes.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $2,000 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of Special School Tax, and authorized in payment to Gustave A. Olson and Elina C. Olsen, his wife; being payment for lands required for school purposes; being Lots 736 and 738 in Gift Map No. 2, as per map thereof filed in the office of the County Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco. (Paul Revere School.)

Repealing so Much of Ordinance No. 7048 (New Series) as Establishes Set-back Lines Along Vicente Street Between Fourteenth and Fifteenth Avenues.

On motion of Supervisor Hayden:

Bill No. 8161, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Repealing so much of Ordinance No. 7048 (New Series) as establishes set-back lines along the northerly side of Vicente street between Fourteenth and Fifteenth avenues, and on the northerly side of Vicente street commencing at Seventeenth avenue and running thence easterly 97 feet.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. So much of Ordinance No. 7048 (New Series), approved April 16, 1926, as establishes set-back lines along the northerly side of Vicente street between Fourteenth and Fifteenth avenues, and along the northerly side of Vicente street, commencing at Seventeenth avenue and running thence easterly 97 feet, is hereby repealed.

Oil Tank Permits.

On motion of Supervisor Deasy:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits be and are hereby granted:

Oil Tanks.

C. M. Eaton, 3311 Pacific avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

J. Shanedling, south side of Vallejo street, 162 feet west of Broderick street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Dr. Morris Evans, 3224 Mission street, 1500 gallons capacity.

F. Jeddis, southwest corner of Sea Cliff avenue and Twenty-sixth avenue, 750 gallons capacity.

Dr. J. Nieto, 3933 Clay street, 600 gallons capacity.

Thomas Scoble, south side of Francisco street, 175 feet east of Divisadero street, 1500 gallons capecity.

Frank J. Klimm Co., southwest corner of Pemberton and Faute avenues, 1500 gallons capacity.

A. Sciaroni, 2655 Filbert street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Alex Cerveau, 1977 and 1947 Pine street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Roy Parks, southeast corner of Lombard and Webster streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

W. H. Knox, 2980 California street, 600 gallons capacity.

W. P. Hansen, 1410 Monterey boulevard, 600 gallons capacity.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within

six months, otherwise said permits shall become null and void.

Laundry Permit.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Jacques Noregue be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate a laundry at 659 McAllister street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Transfer of Garage Permit.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Underwriters Utilities Corporation be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred to it public garage permit heretofore granted Albert Rossi et al. by Resolution No. 20380 (New Series) for premises Nos. 547-555 Washington street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Automobile Supply Station Transfer.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That S. L. Smith and Robert I. Johnson be and are hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred to them automobile supply station permit heretofore granted Community Service Station Co. by Resolution No. 21064 (New Series) for premises at the northeast corner of Seventh and Folsom streets.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be eexrcised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Automobile Supply Station Transfer.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Phil-Don Service Station be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred to it automobile supply station permit heretofore granted Bay Counties Oil Co. by Resolution No. 24789 (New Series) for premises at the northeast corner of Page and Franklin streets.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Blasting Permit.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Granfield, Farrar & Carlin be and are hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to explode blasts while grading property on the west side of Third street between Bayview and Thornton avenues; provided said permittee shall execute and file a good and sufficient bond in the sum of $5,000, as fixed by the Board of Public Works and approved by his Honor the Mayor, in accordance with Ordinance No. 1204; provided, also, that said blasts shall be exploded only between the hours of 7 a. m. and 6 p. m., and that the work of blasting shall be performed to the satisfaction of the Board of Public Works; and that if any of the conditions of this resolution be violated by said Granfield, Farrar & Carlin, then the privileges and all the rights accruing thereunder shall immediately become null and void.

Underground District No. 23.

On motion of Supervisor Stanton:

Bill No. 8162, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Order No. 214 (Second Series), entitled "Providing for placing wires and conduits underground in the City and County of San Francisco," by adding a new section thereto to be known as Section 1r.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1r. An additional district to those heretofore described within which it shall be unlawful to maintain poles and overhead wires after January 1, 1928, is hereby designated, to-wit:

Underground District No. 23—Hayes street from Franklin to Laguna.

Appropriating $2,000 for Purpose of Participating in California State Fair, Sacramento.

On motion of Supervisor Kent:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the public Welfare Committee be and is hereby authorized to make the necessary arrangements for San Francisco's participation in the annual California State Fair, to be held in Sacramento September 3d to 11th, inclusive; and be it

Further Resolved, That the sum of $2,000 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of Budget Item No. 1023 (Appropriation 55), Publicity and Advertising, for this purpose.

Auditor and Treasurer to Transfer $82,152.53 From Depreciation Fund, Municipal Railway.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 27679-A (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, on January 13, 1919, the Board of Supervisors, by Resolution No. 16405 (New Series), transferred the sum of $82,152.53 from the funds of the Municipal Railway to the General Fund, 1918-1919, for the purpose of meeting obligations due to R. C. Storrie & Co. on the contract entered into between the City and County of San Francisco and said R. C. Storrie & Co. for the construction of the Twin Peaks ridge tunnel; and

Whereas, the preamble to said resolution clearly indicates the intent of taking this $82,152.53 from the Depreciation Fund; and

Whereas, the word "depreciation" was inadvertently omitted from the body of said resolution; and

Whereas, the Board of Public Works, following the intent rather than the letter of the resolution, charged this amount to the Depreciation Fund; and

Whereas, the Auditor and Treasurer, following the letter rather than the intent of the resolution, charged this amount to the Operative Fund, thereby causing a discrepancy in the above-mentioned amount between the Auditor and Treasurer on one hand and the Board of Public Works on the other; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Auditor and Treasurer be directed to transfer the sum of $82,152.53 from the Depreciation Fund to the credit of the Municipal Railway Operative Fund in order to bring about a complete reconciliation of the funds of the Municipal Railway between the Auditor, the Treasurer and the Board of Public Works.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—18.

Acceptance of Offer of W. S. Van Winkle to Sell for $100 Easement Required in Construction of Coast Range Division, Hetch Hetchy Aqueduct.

Also, Resolution No. 27680 (New Series), as follows:

Resolution No. 27680 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the City Engineer has recommended the purchase by the City and County of San Francisco from the following named owners of the following described easements situated in the County of Alameda, State of California, required in connection with the construction, maintenance and operation of the Coast Range Division of the Hetch Hetchy aqueduct, and a right of way for an electric transmission line, for the sum set forth opposite their names, viz.:

W. S. Van Winkle et al., $100—

Parcel 1. A right of way easement for two lines of towers over a portion of the southwest ¼ of Section 25, Township 3 South, Range 3 East, M. D. B. and M.

Parcel 2. A right of way easement for an electric transmission line and telephone line through the southwest ¼ of Section 25, Township 3 South, Range 3 East, M. D. B. and M. (As per written offer on file.)

Now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of the City Engineer, the above described offer of the above named property owners to sell to the City and County of San Francisco the above mentioned easements for the sum set forth opposite their names and upon the terms and conditions contained in said option be and the same is hereby accepted. Be it

Further Resolved, That the City Engineer is hereby authorized and directed to notify said persons of the acceptance of their said offer, and the City Attorney is authorized and directed to examine the title to said easements, and, if the same is found in satisfactory condition, to accept, in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, a deed conveying title thereto containing the conditions and reservations agreed upon in said offer, and to file the same for record with a copy of this resolution attached thereto as evidence of acceptance by the City and County of San Francisco upon payment of the above mentioned purchase price.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—18.

Accepting Offer of N. M. Freitas to Sell Land and Easements Required in Construction of Coast Range Division, Hetch Hetchy Aqueduct.

Also, Resolution No. 27681 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the City Engineer has recommended the purchase by the City and County of San Francisco from the following-named owner of

the following described land and easements situated in the County of San Joaquin, State of California, required in connection with the construction, maintenance and operation of the Coast Range Division of the Hetch Hetchy aqueduct, for the sum set forth opposite his name, viz.:

N. M. Freitas, $1,026—

Parcel 1: Portion of the east half of Section 35, Township 3 S., Range 4 E., M. D. B. and M., containing 4.6 acres, more or less, required for road purposes.

Parcel 2: Exclusive subsurface right of way easement for the construction, maintenance and use of an aqueduct tunnel or tunnels in and through Section 3 and the west half of Section 2, Township 4 S., Range 4 E., M. D. B. and M.

Parcel 3: The right to construct, maintain and operate electric transmission lines and telephone lines through Section 3, the west half of Section 2, Township 4 S., Range 4 E., M. D. B. and M., and through portion of Section 35, Township 3 S., Range 4 E., M. D. B. and M.

Parcel 4: The right to construct, maintain and operate a water pipe line in and through a portion of Section 35, Township 3 S., Range 4 E., M. D. B. and M.

(As per written offer on file.)

Now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of the City Engineer the above-described offer of the above-named property owner to sell to the City and County of San Francisco the above-mentioned land and easements for the sum set forth opposite his name and upon the terms and conditions contained in said option, be and the same is hereby accepted. Be it

Further Resolved, That the City Engineer is hereby authorized and directed to notify said person of the acceptance of his said offer, and the City Attorney is authorized and directed to examine the title to said land and easements, and, if the same is found in satisfactory condition, to accept, in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, a deed conveying title thereto, containing the conditions and reservations agreed upon in said offer, and to file same for record with a copy of this resolution attached thereto as evidence of acceptance by the City and County of San Francisco, upon payment of the above-mentioned purchase price.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—18.

Board of Public Works Authorized to Rent Portions of Sweeney Ranch in San Mateo County.

Also, Resolution No. 27682 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, upon recommendation of the City Engineer, the Board of Public Works is hereby authorized to rent to Ed Lynn for fifty and 00/100 dollars ($50.00) per month, on a month to month basis, all those portions of the Sweeney Ranch in San Mateo County purchased by the City and County of San Francisco for aqueduct and storage purposes.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—18.

Auditor and Recorder to Cancel Erroneous Assessments.

Also, Resolution No. 27683 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the Auditor has reported that the following assessment and sale was erroneous and was a double assessment and the taxes having been paid on the proper assessment, recommends that the assessment and sale for the unpaid portion of the assessment erroneously made be cancelled, and the City Attorney having consented to such cancellation, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Auditor and Recorder be directed to cancel the following assessment:

Lot 28, Block 482, in R. E. Vol. 3, page 92, assessed to American Bonding Company, Sale No. 131, June 28, 1926.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—18.

Accepting Offer of F. M. Sturgeon to Sell Certain Properties Required for Opening of Bernal Cut.

Also, Resolution No. 27684 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the owners of the following described lands situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and sought to be acquired by the City and County of San Francisco for the opening of Bernal Cut, extending from Mission and Randall streets southwesterly along the Southern Pacific Company's right of way to the intersection of San

Jose avenue and Circular avenue, have offered to convey the property desired by said City and County of San Francisco for the sums set forth opposite their names, viz.:

F. M. Sturgeon. $4,750—Lot 11, in Block 6689, as per the Assessor's Block Book of the City and County of San Francisco.

James G. Meehan, $2,500—All of Lot 41, Block 1, College Hill Tract, as recorded in Map Book "G", page 18, records of the City and County of San Francisco.

Saving and excepting therefrom the following described parcel: Beginning at a point on the northeasterly line of St. Mary's avenue, distant thereon 102.50 feet northwesterly from the northwesterly line of College avenue; thence northwesterly along said northeasterly line 4 feet; thence deflecting to the right 123 deg. 35 min. 55 sec. and running northeasterly 9.758 feet to the southeaterly boundary line of Lot 41 of said Block 1; thence deflecting to the right 156 deg. 10 min. 23 sec. and running southwesterly along said southeasterly line 8.247 feet to the northeasterly line of St. Mary's avenue and to the point of beginning.

(As per written offer on file.)

Whereas, the City Attorney has recommended the acceptance of the said offers and the acquisition of the properties owned by said persons and offered to the City of and County of San Francisco for the prices set forth opposite their names, which said prices are in accordance with the City's appraisement of the properties; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the said offers of sale be accepted and the City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to examine the titles of said properties, and if the same are found in satisfactory condition, to accept in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco deeds conveying title thereto, and file the same for record with a copy of this resolution attached thereto as evidence of acceptance by the City and County of San Francisco, upon payment of the agreed purchase prices.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—18.

Accepting Offer of Angele Van Beckh et al. to Sell Certain Land Required for Sewer Right of Way in Mangels Avenue.

Also, Resolution No. 27685 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the owners of the following described land sought to be acquired by the City and County of San Francisco for the construction of a sewer from Mangels avenue to Monterey boulevard, between Congo street and Baden street, have offered to convey the property desired by the City and County of San Francisco for the sum set forth opposite their names, viz.:

Angele Van Beckh and Ernest F. Van Beckh, $1,750—Beginning at a point on the northerly line of Monterey boulevard, distant thereon 200 feet westerly from the westerly line of Baden street and running thence westerly along the northerly line of Monterey boulevard 10 feet; thence at right angles northerly 100 feet; thence at right angles easterly 10 feet; thence at right angles southerly 100 feet to the northerly line of Monterey boulevard and the point of beginning. Being a portion of Lot 13, Block 6770, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco.

The above amount covers damage in full to the adjoining property and the improvements thereon.

Whereas, the City Attorney has recommended the acceptance of the said offer and the acquisition of the property owned by said persons and offered to the City and County of San Francisco for the price set forth, which said price is in accordance with the City's apraisement of the property; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the said offer of sale be accepted and the City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to examine the title of said property, and if the same is found in satisfactory condition, to accept in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, a deed conveying title thereto, and file the same for record with a copy of this resolution attached thereto as evidence of acceptance by the City and County of San Francisco, upon payment of the agreed purchase price.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—18.

Accepting Offer of Richard L. Plath to Sell Certain Land Required for the Opening of Alemany Boulevard.

Also, Resolution No. 27686 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the owner of the following described land situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and sought to be acquired by the City and County of San Francisco for the widening of Alemany boulevard, has offered to convey the property desired by said City and County of San Francisco for the sum set forth opposite his name, viz.:

Richard L. Plath, $50—Beginning at the point of intersection of the northwesterly line of Winnipeg avenue with the southwesterly line of Lawrence avenue, and running thence northwesterly along said southwesterly line 1.527 feet; thence southwesterly along a curve to the right of radius 2300 feet and central angle 2 deg. 32 min. 09 sec., the tangent to said curve being deflected 80 deg. 30 min. 09 sec. to the left from said southwesterly line of Lawrence avenue, for a distance of 101.800 feet to a point in the southwesterly line of the property of Richard L. Plath; thence southeasterly along said southwesterly line and parallel with the southwesterly line of Lawrence avenue 0.540 feet to the northwesterly line of the property of the City and County of San Francisco; thence northeasterly along said northwesterly line of the property of the City and County of San Francisco 77.62 feet to said northwesterly line of Winnipeg avenue; thence northeasterly thereon 25 feet to the point of beginning.

Being portions of Lots 11 and 12 in Block 6, as per West End Map No. 2, recorded in Map Book "2 A and B," page 47, records of the City and County of San Francisco.

Whereas, the City Attorney has recommended the acceptance of the said offer and the acquisition of the property owned by said person and offered to the City and County of San Francisco for the sum set forth, which said price is in accordance with the City's appraisement of the property; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the said offer of sale be accepted, and the City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to examine the title of said property, and if the same is found in satisfactory condition to accept in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco a deed conveying title thereto, and file the same for record with a copy of this resolution attached thereto as evidence of acceptance by the City and County of San Francisco, upon payment of the agreed purchase price.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—18.

Accepting Offer of Gustave Olson et al. to Sell Certain Land Required for School Purposes.

Also, Resolution No. 27687 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, an offer has been received from Gustave A. Olson and Elina C. Olson, his wife, to convey to the City and County of San Francisco certain land situate in the City and County of San Francisco, required for school purposes, and

Whereas, the price at which said parcel of land is offered is the reasonable value thereof; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the offer of the said owner to convey to the City and County of San Francisco a good and sufficient fee simple title to the following described land, free of all encumbrances, for the sum of $2,000, be and the same is hereby accepted, the said land being described as follows, to-wit:

Being Lots 736 and 738 in Gift Map No. 2, as per map thereof filed in the office of the County Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California.

The City Attorney is hereby directed to examine the title to said land and, if the same is found to be vested in the aforesaid owner, free of all encumbrances, and that the taxes up to and including the current fiscal year are paid, and that the so-called McEnerney title has been procured or sufficient money reserved for the purpose of procuring the same, to report the result of his examination to the Board of Supervisors, and also to cause a good and sufficient deed for said land to be executed and delivered to the City and County upon payment of the agreed purchase price as aforesaid. And the said deed to said land is hereby accepted.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—18.

Resolution of Intention to Establish Set-back Lines No. 153.

Supervisor Hayden presented:

Resolution No. 27688 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the City Planning Commission has recommended that set-back lines be established along the streets hereinafter mentioned and as delineated upon maps accompanying said recommendations, to which reference is hereby made for further particulars; therefore, be it

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco to establish set-back lines as provided by Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), approved May 18, 1922, as follows:

Along the westerly side of Twenty-sixth avenue, commencing at Moraga street and running thence northerly 450 feet, said set-back line to be 9 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; along the easterly side of Twenty-sixth avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Moraga street and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 300 feet, said set-back line to be 9 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet.

Along the westerly side of Twenty-first avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Noreiga street and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 275 feet, said set-back line to be 9 feet; thence northerly 37½ feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 37½ feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; along the easterly side of Twenty-first avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Noriega street and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 5 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 10 feet; thence northerly 275 feet, said set-back line to be 15 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 12 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 8 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 4 feet.

And notice is hereby given that Monday, the 26th day of September, 1927, at the hour of 11 o'clock a. m., at the chambers of the Board of Supervisors, City Hall, in said City and County of San Francisco, has been fixed as the time and place when and where any and all persons having any objections to the establishing of the proposed set-back lines may appear and present any objections which they may have to said set-back lines, as set forth in this resolution of intention.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—18.

Resolution of Intention to Establish Set-back Lines No. 154.

Supervisor Hayden presented:

Resolution No. 27689 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the City Planning Commission has recommended that set-back lines be established along the streets hereinafter mentioned and as delineated upon maps accompanying said recommendations, to which reference is hereby made for further particulars; therefore, be it

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco to establish set-back lines as provided by Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), approved May 18, 1922, as follows:

Along the westerly side of Twenty-ninth avenue, commencing at a point 75 feet northerly from Santiago street and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 5 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 7 feet; thence northerly 325 feet, said set-back line to be 9 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; along the easterly side of Twenty-ninth avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Santiago street and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly to Rivera street, said set-back line to be 9 feet.

Along the westerly side of Thirtieth avenue, commencing at a point 75 feet 8 inches northerly from Santiago street and running thence northerly 49 feet 4 inches, said set-back line to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly to Rivera street, said set-back line to be 9 feet; along the easterly side of Thirtieth avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Santiago street and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 300 feet, said set-back line to be 9 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet.

And notice is hereby given that Monday, the 26th day of September, 1927, at the hour of 11 o'clock a. m., at the chambers of the Board of Supervisors, City Hall, in said City and County of San Francisco, has been fixed as the time and place when and where any and all persons having any objections to the establishing of the proposed set-back lines may appear and present any objections which they may have to said set-back lines as set forth in this resolution of intention.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt. Shannon, Stanton, Todd—18.

Resolution of Intention to Establish Set-back Lines No. 155.

Supervisor Hayden prenested:

Resolution No. 27690 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the City Planning Commission has recommended that set-back lines be established along the streets hereinafter mentioned and as delineated upon maps accompanying said recommendations, to which reference is hereby made for further particulars, therefore, be it

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco to establish set-back lines as provided by Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), approved May 18, 1922, as follows:

Along the westerly side of Twenty-eighth avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Lawton street, and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 9 feet; thence northerly 325 feet, said set-back line to be 12 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 9 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; along the easterly side of Twenty-eighth avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Lawton street, and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 300 feet, said set-back line to be 9 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet.

Along the westerly side of Twenty-ninth avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Lawton street, and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 300 feet, said set-back line to be 9 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; along the easterly side of Twenty-ninth avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Lawton street, and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 2½ feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 5 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 7½ feet; thence northerly 325 feet, said set-back line to be 10 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back to be 7½ feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 5 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 2½ feet.

And notice is hereby given that Monday, the 26th day of September, 1927, at the hour of 11 o'clock a. m., at the chambers of the Board of Supervisors, City Hall, in said City and County of San Francisco, has been fixed as the time and place when and where any and all persons having any objections to the establishing of the proposed set-back lines may appear and present any objections which they may have to said set-back lines as set forth in this resolution of intention.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—18.

Resolution of Intention to Establish Set-back Lines No. 156.

Supervisor Hayden presented:

Resolution No. 27691 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the City Planning Commission has recommended that set-back lines be established along the streets hereinafter mentioned and as delineated upon maps accompanying said recommendations, to which reference is hereby made for further particulars; therefore,

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco to establish set-back lines as provided by Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), approved May 18, 1922, as follows:

Along the easterly side of Fifteenth avenue, commencing at Vicente street, and running thence

northerly 100 feet, said set-back line to be 15 feet.

Along the easterly side of Seventeenth avenue, commencing at Vicente street, and running thence northerly 100 feet, said set-back line to be 10 feet.

Along the westerly side of Fourteenth avenue, commencing at Vicente street, and running thence northerly to a point 100 feet southerly from Ulloa street, said set-back line to be 15 feet; along the easterly side of Fourteenth avenue, commencing at a point 150 feet northerly from Vicente street, and running thence northerly 37½ feet, said set-back line to be 5 feet; thence northerly 37½ feet, said set-back line to be 10 feet; thence northerly to a point 100 feet southerly from Ulloa street, said set-back line to be 15 feet.

And notice is hereby given that Monday, the 26th day of September, 1927, at the hour of 11 o'clock a. m., at the chambers of the Board of Supervisors, City Hall, in said City and County of San Francisco, has been fixed as the time and place when and where any and all persons having any objections to the establishing of the proposed set-back lines may appear and present any objections which they may have to said set-back lines as set forth in this resolution of intention.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—18.

Street Lights.

Supervisor Stanton presented:

Resolution No. 27692 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Pacific Gas and Electric Company be and it is hereby requested and authorized to remove, change and install the following street lights:

Remove Gas Lamps.

East and west sides Forty-seventh avenue between Judah and Kirkham streets.

Northeast and southwest corners Forty-seventh avenue and Kirkham street.

East and west sides Forty-seventh avenue between Kirkham and Lawton streets.

Northeast corner Forty-seventh avenue and Lawton street.

West side Forty-seventh avenue, first south of Lawton street.

West side Forty-seventh avenue, first north of Moraga street.

Northeast and southwest corners Forty-seventh avenue and Irving street.

East and west sides Forty-seventh avenue between Lincoln way and Irving street.

Front street between Vallejo and Green streets.

Front and Green streets.

Front street and Broadway.

Front street between Broadway and Vallejo street.

Front and Union streets.

Front and Commerce streets.

Install 400 *M. R.*

Southeast corner Twenty-ninth and Sanchez streets.

Richland avenue, third pole east of Leese street.

Thirtieth street between Mission street and San Jose avenue.

Dolores street between Day and Twenty-ninth streets.

Head street between Randolph and Sargent streets.

Judah street between Forty-third and Forty-fourth avenues, front of church.

Anderson avenue between Jarboe and Tompkins avenues.

Southeast corner Twenty-second avenue and Lawton and Moraga streets.

Front street and Broadway.

Front and Union streets.

Front and Green streets.

Forty-seventh avenue and Irving street.

Forty-seventh avenue between Lincoln way and Irving street.

Caine street and Ridge lane, opposite No. 18.

Corner Cayuga and Danton streets.

Forty-fifth avenue and Santiago street.

Forty-fifth avenue between Santiago and Rivera streets.

Forty-sixth avenue and Santiago street.

Forty-sixth avenue between Santiago and Rivera streets.

Forty-seventh avenue between Judah and Kirkham streets.

Corner Forty-seventh avenue and Kirkham street.

Forty-seventh avenue between Kirkham and Lawton streets.

Corner Forty-seventh avenue and Lawton street.

Forty-seventh avenue between Lawton and Moraga streets.

Install 400 *O. B. With Refractor.*

West side Seventh street between Market and Stevenson streets.

East side Seventh street between Market and Stevenson streets.

West side Seventh street between Jessie and Mission streets.

Change 400 *M. R. to* 400 *O. B.*

Southwest corner Seventh and Stevenson streets.

Southwest corner Seventh and Jessie street to northwest corner of Seventh and Jessie streets.

Change 400 *M. R.*

North side Richland avenue, west Murray street, first pole east, to corner Cayuga and Martine streets.

Change 600 *M. R. to* 400 *M. R.*

Corner Twenty-ninth and Church streets.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—18.

Also, Resolution No. 27693 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Pacific Gas and Electric Company be and it is hereby authorized and requested to change, remove and install street lights as follows:

Install 400 *C. P. O. B.*

North side Cortland avenue between Mission and Coleridge streets.

South side Cortland avenue, opposite Coleridge street.

Northwest corner Cortland avenue and Prospect street.

South side Cortland avenue, opposite Winfield street.

Northeast corner Cortland avenue and Elsie street.

South side Cortland avenue, opposite Bay View street.

Southeast corner Cortland avenue and Bocana street.

Northwest corner Cortland avenue and Bennington street.

South side Cortland avenue, opposite Wool street.

Northeast corner Cortland avenue and Andover street.

Southwest corner Cortland avenue and Moultrie street.

Northeast corner Cortland avenue and Anderson street.

Southwest corner Cortland avenue and Ellsworth street.

Northeast corner Cortland avenue and Gates street.

Southwest corner Cortland avenue and Folsom street.

Remove 250 *M. R.*

Cortland avenue, opposite Coleridge street.

Cortland avenue, opposite Prospect street.

Cortland avenue, opposite Elsie street.

Cortland avenue, opposite Bonview street.

Cortland avenue, opposite Winfield street.

Cortland avenue, opposite Bocana street.

Cortland avenue, opposite Bennington street.

Cortland avenue, opposite Andover street.

Cortland avenue, opposite Moultrie street.

Cortland avenue, opposite Anderson street.

Cortland avenue, opposite Ellsworth street.

Cortland avenue, opposite Gates street.

Cortland avenue opposite Wool street.

Cortland avenue, opposite Folsom street.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—18.

Board of Public Works Requested to Maintain Temporary Bus Line From Terminus of Municipal Street Railway "F" Line.

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Resolution No. 27694 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the residents and property owners of the Marina District have complained of inadequate car service and have petitioned the Board of Supervisors for an extension of the "F" line of the Municipal Railway from its present terminus at Chestnut and Scott streets into the territory which has been built up since the Panama-Pacific Exposition; and

Whereas, this proposed extension was included as one of the lines to have been constructed out of the bond issue submitted to the people on June 14 last, and is included in the proposed bond issue for Municipal Railway extensions to be voted at the election to be held on November 8, 1927; and

Whereas, it will not be possible, even though this bond issue passes, to provide any service during the coming winter, and the City Engineer has recommended as a temporary relief, until funds are provided for the construction of a permanent track, that one bus be operated in this territory; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Public Works be and is hereby requested to maintain and operate a bus as a temporary expedient, only, over a route to be jointly selected by the Superintendent of the Municipal Railways and the City Engineer.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner,

Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—18.

Intention to Change Grades.

Supervisor Marks presented:

Resolution No. 27695 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to change and establish grades on the following named streets, at the points hereinafter specified and at the elevations above city base, as hereinafter stated, in accordance with Resolution No. 98535 (Second Series) of the Board of Public Works adopted August 12, 1927, and written recommendation of said Board filed August 16, 1927, to-wit:

On Twenty-third street between Louisiana street produced and Third street; on Georgia and Michigan streets between Twenty-third and Twenty-fourth streets; on Illinois street between Twenty-third and Twenty-fifth streets, and on Twenty-fourth street between Michigan and Third streets.

The board of Supervisors hereby declares that no assessment district is necessary, as no damage will result from said change of grades, inasmuch as the streets are ungraded and there are no existing street improvements.

The Board of Public Works is hereby directed to cause to be conspicuously posted along the street or streets upon which such change or modification of grade or grades is contemplated, notice of the passage of this resolution of intention.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—18.

Extensions of Time.

Also, Resolution No. 27696 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That James T. Tobin is hereby granted an extension of ninety days' time from and after August 2, 1927, within which to complete the improvement of Olmstead street between Girard and Brussels streets, including the crossings of Olmstead and Goettingen streets, and Olmstead and Brussels streets. The work is well under way. Grading, catchbasins, etc., have been completed.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—18.

Also, Resolution No. 27697 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That C. B. Eaton is hereby granted an extension of ninety days' time from and after August 4, 1927, within which to complete the improvement of Prentiss street between Eugenia avenue and Powhattan avenue under public contract. The contractor was delayed by conditions of labor and material.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—18.

Also, Resolution No. 27698 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That E. J. Treacy is hereby granted an extension of sixty days' time from and after August 1, 1927, within which to complete the improvement of Evans avenue between Phelps and Quint streets, by grading, under public contract. The work is practically completed and this second extension is requested pending the acceptance.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—18.

Also, Resolution No. 27699 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Federal Construction Company is hereby granted the following extensions of time to complete street work:

Ninety days' time from and after August 7, 1927, to complete the contract for the improvement of Golden Gate Heights. The work is well under way.

Sixty days' time from and after August 9, 1927, to complete the contract for the improvement of Turk street between Masonic avenue and Parker avenue. The work is well under way.

Ninety days' time from and after August 9, 1927, to complete the contract for the improvement of Masonic avenue between McAllister and Turk streets. The work is completed, and the extension is requested so that the contractor may be properly protected during the period required for the acceptance of the work and the issuance of the necessary assessment.

Thirty days' time from and after August 9, 1927, to complete the contract for the improvement of

Turk street between Parker avenue and Willard street. The work is completed and the extension of time is requested in order that the contractor may be properly protected during the period required for acceptance and issuance of assessment.

Adopted by tre following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—18.

Name of Tomasa Street Changed to York Street.

Also, Resolution No. 27700 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the Board of Public Works did, by Resolution No. 97421 (Second Series), adopted May 23, 1927, recommend to the Board of Supervisors that the name of Tomasa street between Peralta and Holladay avenues be changed to York street; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the name of Tomasa street between Peralta and Holladay avenues be and is hereby changed to York street.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—18.

Name of Hamburg Street to Ridgewood Avenue.

Also, Resolution No. 27701 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the Board of Public Works did, by Resolution No. 98551 (Second Series), adopted August 15, 1927, recommend to the Board of Supervisors that the name of Hamburg street be changed to Ridgewood avenue; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the name of Hamburg street as the same is delineated upon the official map of the City and County of San Francisco is hereby changed to Ridgewood avenue.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—18.

Accepting Deeds to Lands for Surface Drainage Sewers.

Also, Resolution No. 27702 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the deeds from Lester Ulfelder, Cecelia Ulfelder, Benard Lowe and Selma G. Lowe and the Quality Enameling & Porcelaining Company for parcels of land necessary for surface drainage sewers, situated respectively on Peralta avenue and Bradford street, be and the same are hereby accepted, and the City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to file the said deeds for record, with a copy of this resolution attacred thereto as evidence of acceptance by the City and County of San Francisco.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—18.

Grade Maps Approved.

Also, Resolution No. 27703 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the Board of Public Works did, by Resolution No. 97111 (Second Series), approve Grade Map of a portion of Glen Park Terrace showing the establishment of grades on Mangels avenue from Baden street to Congo street; on Congo street from Mangels avenue to Martha avenue; on Martha avenue from Congo street to a line at right angles with its southerly line at its intersection with the westerly line of Baden street; on Stillings avenue from Congo street to Martha avenue; and on Nordhoff street from Stillings avenue to Mangels avenue; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Grade Map of a portion of Glen Park Terrace showing the establishment of grades on Mangels avenue from Baden street to Congo street; on Congo street from Mangels avenue to Martha avenue; on Martha avenue from Congo street to a line at right angles with its southerly line at its intersection with the westerly line of Baden street; on Stillings avenue from Congo street to Martha avenue; and on Nordhoff street from Stillings avenue to Mangels avenue be and the same is hereby approved.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—18.

Also, Resolution No. 27704 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, The Board of Public Works did, by Resolution No. 97005 (Second Series), approve Grade

Map of Marina Gardens Tract and adjacent streets, showing the establishment of grades in Marina Gardens Tract and adjacent streets; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Grade Map of Marina Gardens Tract and adjacent streets, showing the establishment of grades in Marina Gardens Tract and adjacent streets, be and the same is hereby approved.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—18.

Award of Contract, Machinery Equipment, Tools, School Department.

Supervisor Badaracco presented:

Resolution No. 27705 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of contract be hereby made for furnishing machinery equipment and tools for School Department on bids submitted July 25, 1927 (Proposal No. 316), and tabulation thereof on file in the Bureau of Supplies which is hereby made a part hereof; said matter having heretofore received full consideration in an open public meeting of the Supplies Committee and the awards herein approved by it are now made to the following:

Bid No.	Contractors.
1.	Stallman Supply Co.
2.	Holbrook, Merrill & Stetson.
3.	Dunham, Carrigan & Hayden Co.
4.	Overkamp Machinery Co.
5.	Smith, Booth, Usher Co.
6.	Yate American Machine Co.
7.	Flanagan Machinery Co.
8.	J. D. Wallace & Co.
9.	Eby Machinery Co.
10.	Herberts Machinery & Supply Co.
11.	A. J. Glesener Co.
12.	C. F. Bulotti Machinery Co.
13.	Baker, Hamilton & Pacific Co.
14.	C. W. Marwedel.
15.	Waterhouse, Leeter & Scovel Co.
16.	J. A. Fay & Egan Co.
17.	Pratt & Whitney Co.

Resolved, That no bonds be required on above contracts.

Note.—All above awards are made to the lowest bidder except when award is made in consideration of deliveries or on account of the quality as determined by such tests as required or recommended by the Purchaser of Supplies.

Resolved, That all other bids submitted thereon be rejected.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—18.

SPECIAL ORDER—11 A. M.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printings*:

Establishing Set-back Lines.

On motion of Supervisor Hayden:

Bill No. 8153, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Establishing set-back lines along portions of Arleta avenue, Lobos street, Sixteenth avenue and Eighteenth avenue.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows.

Section 1. It is hereby recited that on the 11th day of July, 1927, the Board of Supervisors adopted Resolution of Intention No. 134, to establish set-back lines along Arleta avenue, Lboos street, Sixteenth avenue and Eighteenth avenue, and fixed the 15th day of August, 1927, at 11 o'clock a. m., at the chambers of the Board of Supervisors, as the time and place for hearing objections thereto; that thereafter and more than 10 days prior to said hearing the said resolution was published and notices of the passage of said resolution were posted along the line of said streets, in time, form and manner required by Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series); that said hearing was held at the time and place aforesaid, and that all objections made at said hearing have been properly overruled.

Section 2. Pursuant to the foregoing recitals and in accordance with the provisions of said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), set-back lines are hereby established as follows:

Along the southwesterly side of Arleta avenue, commencing at a point 75 feet southeasterly from Delta street, and running thence southeasterly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; thence southeasterly 150 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence southeasterly to Rutland street, said set-back line to be 10 feet.

Along the southerly side of Lobos street, commencing at a point 100 feet easterly from Capitol avenue, and running thence easterly to a point 75 feet westerly from Plymouth avenue, said set-back line to be 15 feet.

Along the westerly side of Sixteenth avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Ulloa street, and running thence northerly to a point 100 feet southerly from Taraval street, said set-back line to be 10 feet; along the easterly side of Sixteenth avenue, commencing at Ulloa street, and running thence northerly to a point 100 feet southerly from Taraval street, said set-back line to be 8 feet.

Along the westerly side of Eighteenth avenue, commencing at Pacheco street, and running thence northerly 450 feet, said set-back line to be 10 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 2-3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 1-3 feet; along the easterly side of Eighteenth avenue between Pacheco street and Ortega street, said set-back line to be 15 feet.

As shown on the maps filed in the office of the Board of Supervisors and to which reference is hereby made for further particulars.

Section 3. No structures shall be erected in the area between said set-back lines and the street lines except as provided in said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), and the penalties for the violation of this ordinance shall be as fixed by the ordinance aforesaid.

Also, Bill No. 8154, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Establishing set-back lines along portions of Forty-first avenue, Forty-second avenue, Forty-third avenue, Forty-fourth avenue and Forty-fifth avenue.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby recited that on the 11th day of July, 1927, the Board of Supervisors adopted Resolution of Intention No. 135 to establish set-back lines along Forty-first avenue, Forty-second avenue, Forty-third avenue, Forty-fourth avenue and Forty-fifth avenue, and fixed the 15th day of August, 1927, at 11 o'clock a. m., at the chambers of the Board of Supervisors as the time and place for hearing objections thereto; that thereafter and more than 10 days prior to said hearing the said resolution was published and notices of the passage of said resolution were posted along the line of said streets in time, form and manner required by Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series); that said hearing was held at the time and place aforesaid, and that all objections made at said hearing have been properly overruled.

Section 2. Pursuant to the foregoing recitals and in accordance with the provisions of said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), set-back lines are hereby established as follows:

Along both sides of Forty-first avenue, commencing at points 100 feet northerly from Ulloa street and running thence northerly 350 feet, said set-back lines to be 9 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 3 feet.

Along both sides of Forty-second avenue, commencing at points 100 feet northerly from Ulloa street and running thence northerly 350 feet, said set-back lines to be 9 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 3 feet.

Along both sides of Forty-third avenue, commencing at points 100 feet northerly from Ulloa street and running thence northerly 350 feet, said set-back lines to be 9 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 3 feet.

Along both sides of Forty-fourth avenue, commencing at points 100 feet northerly from Ulloa street, and running thence northerly 350 feet, said set-back lines to be 9 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 3 feet.

Along both sides of Forty-fifth avenue, commencing at points 100 feet northerly from Ulloa street, and running thence northerly 350 feet, said set-back lines to be 9 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to 3 feet.

As shown on the maps filed in the office of the Board of Supedvisors and to which reference is hereby made for further particulars.

Section 3. No structures shall be erected in the area between said set-back lines and the street lines except as provided in said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), and the penalties for the violation of this ordinance shall be as fixed by the ordinance aforesaid.

Also, Bill No. 8155, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows::

Establishing set-back lines along portions of Funston avenue, Thirty-

eighth avenue, Thirty-ninth avenue and Fortieth avenue.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby recited that on the 11th day of July, 1927, the Board of Supervisors adopted Resolution of Intention No. 136, to establish set-back lines along Funston avenue, Thitry-eighth avenue, Thirty-ninth avenue and Fortieth avenue, and fixed the 15th day of August, 1927, at 11 o'clock a. m., at the chambers of the Board of Supervisors as the time and place for hearing objections thereto; that thereafter and more than 10 days prior to said hearing the said resolution was published and notices of the passage of said resolution were posted along the line of said streets, in time, form and manner required by Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series); that said hearing was held at the time and place aforesaid, and that all objections made at said hearing have been properly overruled.

Section 2. Pursuant to the foregoing recitals and in accordance with the provisions of said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), setback lines are hereby established as follows:

Along the westerly side of Funston avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Ulloa street, and running thence northerly to a point 100 feet southerly from Taraval street, said set-back line to be 15 feet; along the easterly side of Funston avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet southerly from Taraval street, and running thence southerly 300 feet, said set-back line to be 15 feet.

Along both sides of Thirty-eighth avenue, commencing at points 100 feet northerly from Ulloa street, and running thence northerly 350 feet, said set-back lines to be 9 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said setback lines to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 3 feet.

Along both sides of Thirty-ninth avenue, commencing at points 100 northerly from Ulloa street, and running thence northerly 350 feet, said set-back lines to be 9 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said setback lines to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 3 feet.

Along both sides of Fortieth avenue, commencing at points 100 feet northerly from Ulloa street, and running thence northerly 350 feet, said set-back lines to be 9 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 3 feet.

As shown on the maps filed in the office of the Board of Supervisors and to which reference is hereby made for further particulars.

Section 3. No structures shall be erected in the area between said setback lines and the street lines except as provided in said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), and the penalties for the violation of this ordinance shall be as fixed by the ordinance aforesaid.

Also, Bill No. 8156, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Establishing set-back lines along portions of Ulloa street, Twelfth avenue and Thirty-ninth avenue.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby recited that on the 18th day of July, 1927, the Board of Supervisors adopted Resolution of Intention No. 137, to establish set-back lines along Ulloa street, Twelfth avenue and Thirty-ninth avenue, and fixed the 22nd day of August, 1927, at 11 o'clock a. m., at the chambers of the Board of Supervisors, as the time and place for hearing objections thereto; that after and more than 10 days prior to said hearing the said resolution was published and notices of the passage of said resolution were posted along the lines of said streets, in time, form and manner required by Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series); that said hearing was held at the time and place aforesaid, and that all objections made at said hearing have been properly overruled.

Section 2. Pursuant to the foregoing recitals and in accordance with the provisions of said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series) setback lines are hereby established as follows:

Along both sides of Ulloa street between Forty-second avenue and Forty-third avenue, said set-back lines to be 8 feet.

Along both sides of Ulloa street between Forty-third avenue and Forty-fourth avenue, said set-back lines to be 8 feet.

Along both sides of Ulloa street between Forty-fourth avenue and Forty-fifth avenue, said set-back lines to be 8 feet.

Along the westerly side of Twelfth avenue, commencing at a point 50 feet northerly from Irving street, and running thence northerly to a point 100 feet southerly from Lincoln way, said set-back line to be 12 feet; along the easterly side of Twelfth avenue, commencing at a

point 100 feet northerly from Irving street, and running thence northerly to a point 150 feet southerly from Lincoln way, said set-back line to be 12 feet.

Along both sides of Thirty-ninth avenue, commencing at points 100 feet northerly from Taraval street, and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 6 feet; thence northerly to points 100 feet southerly from Santiago street, said set-back lines to be 9 feet.

As shown on the maps filed in the office of the Board of Supervisors and to which reference is hereby made for further particulars.

Section 3. No structures shall be erected in the area between said set-back lines and the street lines except as provided in said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), and the penalties for the violation of this ordinance shall be as fixed by the ordinance aforesaid.

Also, Bill No. 8157, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Establishing set-back lines along portions of Fortieth avenue, Forty-first avenue, Forty-second avenue, Forty-third avenue and Forty-fourth avenue.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby recited that on the 18th day of July, 1927, the Board of Supervisors adopted Resolution of Intention No. 138, to establish set-back lines along Fortieth avenue, Forty-first avenue, Forty-second avenue, Forty-third avenue and Forty-fourth avenue, and fixed the 22nd day of August, 1927, at 11 o'clock a. m., at the chambers of the Board of Supervisors, as the time and place for hearing objections thereto; that thereafter and more than 10 days prior to said hearing the said resolution was published and notices of the passage of said resolution were posted along the line of said streets, in time, form and manner required by Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series); that said hearing was held at the time and place aforesaid, and that all objections made at said hearing have been properly overruled.

Section 2. Pursuant to the foregoing recitals and in accordance with the provisions of said Ordinane No. 5636 (New Series), set-back lines are hereby established as follows:

Along both sides of Fortieth avenue, commencing at points 100 feet northerly from Taraval street, and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 6 feet, thence northerly to Santiago street, said set-back lines to be 9 feet.

Along the westerly side of Forty-first avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Taraval street, and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly to a point 100 feet southerly from Santiago street, said set-back line to be 9 feet; along the easterly side of Forty-first avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Taraval street, and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly to Santiago street, said set-back line to be 9 feet.

Along both sides of Forty-second avenue, commencing at points 100 feet northerly from Taraval street, and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 6 feet; thence northerly 350 feet, said set-back lines to be 9 feet.

Along both sides of Forty-third avenue, commencing at points 100 feet northerly from Taraval street, and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 6 feet; thence northerly 350 feet, said set-back lines to be 9 feet.

Along both sides of Forty-fourth avenue, commencing at points 100 feet northerly from Taraval street, and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 6 feet; thence northerly 350 feet, said set-back lines to be 9 feet.

As shown on the maps filed in the office of the Board of Supervisors and to which reference is hereby made for further particulars.

Section 3. No structures shall be erected in the area between said set-back lines and the street lines except as provided in said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), and the penalties for the violation of this ordinance shall be as fixed by the ordinance aforesaid.

Also, Bill No. 8158, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Establishing set-back lines along portions of Thirty-ninth avenue, Thirty-first avenue, Thirty-second avenue, Thirty-third avenue and Thirty-fourth avenue.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby recited that on the 18th day of July, 1927, the Board of Supervisors adopted Resolution of Intention No. 139, to establish set-back lines along Thirty-ninth avenue, Thirty-first avenue, Thirty-second avenue, Thirty-third avenue and Thirty-fourth avenue, and fixed the 22nd day of August, 1927, at 11 o'clock a. m., at the chambers of the Board of Supervisors, as the time and place for hearing objections thereto; that thereafter and more than 10 days prior to said hearing the said resolution was published and notices of the passage of said resolution were posted along the line of said streets, in time, form and manner required by Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series); that said hearing was held at the time and place aforesaid, and that all objections made at said hearing have been properly overruled.

Section 2. Pursuant to the foregoing recitals and in accordance with the provisions of said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), set-back lines are hereby established as follows:

Along both sides of Thirty-ninth avenue, commencing at points 100 feet northerly from Judah street, and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 6 feet; thence northerly 300 feet, said set-back lines to be 9 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 3 feet.

Along both sides of Thirty-first avenue, commencing at points 100 feet northerly from Kirkham street, and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 6 feet; thence northerly 300 feet, said set-back lines to be 9 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 3 feet.

Along both sides of Thirty-second avenue, commencing at points 100 feet northerly from Kirkham street, and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 6 feet; thence northerly 300 feet, said set-back lines to be 9 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 3 feet.

Along both sides of Thirty-third avenue, commencing at points 100 feet northerly from Kirkham street, and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 6 feet; thence northerly 300 feet, said set-back lines to be 9 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 3 feet.

Along the westerly side of Thirty-fourth avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Kirkham street, and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 275 feet, said set-back line to be 9 feet; thence northerly 37½ feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 37½ feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; along the easterly side of Thirty-fourth avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Kirkham street, and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 300 feet, said set-back line to be 9 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet.

As shown on the maps filed in the office of the Board of Supervisors and to which reference is hereby made for further particulars.

Section 3. No structures shall be erected in the area between said set-back lines and the street lines except as provided in said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), and the penalties for the violation of this ordinance shall be as fixed by the ordinance aforesaid.

Also, Bill No. 8159, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Establishing set-back lines along portions of Thirty-fifth avenue, Thirty-sixth avenue, Thirty-seventh avenue and Thirty-eighth avenue.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby recited that on the 18th day of July, 1927, the Board of Supervisors adopted Resolution of Intention No. 140, to establish set-back lines along Thirty-fifth avenue, Thirty-sixth avenue, Thirty-seventh avenue and Thirty-eighth avenue, and fixed the 22nd day of August, 1927, at 11 o'clock a. m., at the chambers of the Board of Superisors as the time and place for hearing objections thereto; that thereafter and more than 10 days prior to said hearing the said resolution was published and notices of the passage of said resolution were posted along the line of said streets, in time, form and manner required by Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series); that said hearing was held

at the time and place aforesaid, and that all objections made at said hearing have been properly overruled.

Section 2. Pursuant to the foregoing recitals and in accordance with the provisions of said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), setback lines are hereby established as follows:

Along the westerly side of Thirty-fifth avenue, commencing at Kirkham street, and running thence northerly 450 feet, said set-back line to be 9 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said setback line to be 3 feet; along the easterly side of Thirty-fifth avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Kirkham street, and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said setback line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 300 feet, said set-back line to be 9 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set back line to be 3 feet.

Along both sides of Thirty-sixth avenue, commencing at points 100 feet northerly from Kirkham street, and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 6 feet; thence northerly 300 feet, said set-back lines to be 9 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 3 feet.

Along both sides of Thirty-seventh avenue, commencing at points 100 feet northerly from Kirkham street, and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said setback lines to be 6 feet; thence northerly 300 feet, said set-back lines to be 9 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 3 feet.

Along both sides of Thirty-eighth avenue, commencing at points 100 feet northerly from Kirkham street, and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said setback lines to be 6 feet; thence northerly 300 feet, said set-back lines to be 9 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 3 feet.

As shown on the maps filed in the office of the Board of Supervisors and to which reference is hereby made for further particulars.

Section 3. No structures shall be erected in the area between said setback lines and the street lines except as provided in said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), and the penalties for the violation of this ordinance shall be as fixed by the ordinance aforesaid.

Supervisor McSheehy Called to Chair.

His Honor Mayor Rolph retired at the hour of 11:25 a. m. and called Supervisor McSheehy to the chair.

Mayor to Appoint Citizens' Committee for Reception to "Dole Fliers."

Supervisor Hayden presented:

Resolution No. 27706 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, his Honor Mayor James Rolph, Jr., has extended an invitation to the successful "Dole Fliers" who recently landed in Honolulu for a public reception by the people of San Francisco, and the invitation having been accepted; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Mayor appoint a committee of citizens to make the necessary arrangements for the reception above referred to.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—18.

Leave of Absence.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

August 22, 1927.

To the Honorable Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen:

Application has been made to me by the Honorable Andrew F. Mahoney, member of the Police Commission, for a leave of absence, with permission to absent himself from the State of California, for a period of two weeks, commencing August 22, 1927.

Will you please concur with me in granting this leave of absence?

Respectfully yours,

JAMES ROLPH, JR.,

Mayor.

Whereupon, the following resolution was *adopted*:

Resolution No. 27707 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Honorable Andrew F. Mahoney, member of the Police Commission, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of two weeks, commencing August 22, 1927, with permission to absent himself from the State.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy,

Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—18.

Action Deferred.

The following resolution was, on motion of Supervisor McSheehy, *laid over one week*:

Transferring Sum of $42,508.21 From Twin Peaks Ridge Tunnel Assessment Fund to the Municipal Railway Fund.

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $42,508.21 be and is hereby ordered transferred from the Twin Peaks Ridge Tunnel Assessment Fund to the Municipal Railway Fund, and the Board of Public Works, Tax Collector, Auditor and Treasurer are hereby directed to make such entries in their official records and books of account as will correctly show such transfer; said transfer is for the purpose of reimbursing said Municipal Railway Fund for moneys advanced therefrom and used in the construction of the Twin Peaks Tunnel project.

RECESS.

Whereupon, at the hour of 12:15 p. m. the Board took a recess until 2:30 p. m.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk.

REASSEMBLED—2:30 P. M.

The Board of Supervisors reassembled at the hour of 2:30 p. m.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Quorum present.

His Honor Mayor Rolph being absent, Supervisor McSheehy was called to the chair.

Building Law Amended.

Supervisor McSheehy moved for the suspension of the rules for the presentation of the following bill and reference to the Building Committee:

Bill No. ——, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordinance No. 1008 (New Series), known as the "Building Law of the City and County of San Francisco," is hereby amended as follows:

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

When a building is ready for lathing or sheathing on the inside the Building Inspector shall be notified. The Building Inspector shall cause such building to be inspected within twenty-four hours, and if found to comply with the ordinances covering such work shall place his approval on the permit card. Such approval shall not give any person permission to lath or conceal any part of the frame from view until notice of inspection is posted by the electrical inspector.

Referred to Building Committee.

BRIDGE HEARINGS.

Motions.

Supervisor Gallagher: I move you that while it is the policy of this Board that we favor a publicly-owned bay bridge, it is however, the sense of this Board that we favor immediate construction of said bridge by private capital, until the people may elect in the manner provided by law to take over said bridge and place it under public ownership and control.

* * *

Supervisor Marks: I move you that, as an amendment to the motion of Supervisor Gallagher, the City Attorney be requested to submit to this Board, at the earliest possible opportunity, a form of submission of the issue of public ownership and operation of the proposed bridge, or private ownership or operation of the proposed bridge, for action by the people at the earliest possible opportunity.

* * *

Supervisor Marks: I desire to present the following motion and calling attention to the fact that it does not call for anything other than asking the City Attorney to submit a proper form of proposal to us. I make the motion which heretofore has been outlined three or four times.

(Referring to motion set out in full above.)

The Chairman: Any objection? So ordered.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing motions were *continued until Monday, September* 19, 1927, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

SPECIAL ORDERS—2 P. M.

Bridge Hearings.

Thereupon, the following matters were taken up:

Applications for Franchises to Construct Brdges Across San Francisco Bay.

Application of Bay Cities Bridge Corporation for a franchise for a toll bridge between San Francisco and Alameda.

Proposed rail and highway crossing for San Francisco Bay from the foot of Sixteenth street, San Francisco, to the foot of Pacific avenue, Alameda.

Beginning at the intersection of the center line of Sixteenth street with the center line of Third (Kentucky) street, in the City and County of San Francisco, and extending thence easterly along the center line of Sixteenth street to the waterfront line; thence easterly along a straight line to a point on the center line of Pacific avenue, in the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, which point is one hundred feet westerly from the intersection of said center line of Pacific avenue with the center line of Bryant street.

That the length of said bridge is 27,750 feet and that the width of the roadway on said bridge is 38 feet.

Filed April 6, 1925.

DUDLEY D. SALES,
Attorney.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon further consideration of the foregoing application was continued until Monday, September 19, 1927, at 11 a. m., by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Application of Elbert W. Davis for a franchise for a toll bridge between San Francisco and Alameda.

Across San Francisco Bay, beginning at a point in the City and County of San Francisco on the bulkhead line of San Francisco Harbor between Piers 26 and 28, and running approximately north 67 degrees 45 minutes east 17.962 feet, more or less, to a point in the City of Oakland, County of Alameda, State of California, on the easterly shore of San Francisco Bay.

That the length of said bridge is to be 17,962 feet and that the breadth thereof is to be 65 feet, more or less.

Filed June 29, 1925.

JAMES M. OLIVER,
Attorney.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon further consideration of the foregoing application was continued until Monday, September 19, 1927, at 11 a. m., by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Application of John Bermingham, Jr., 250 Cervantes boulevard, for a franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge across San Francisco Bay between the City and County of San Francisco and the County of Alameda.

Beginning at the intersection of the center line of Seventh and Mission streets, in the City and County of San Francisco, along Seventh street to Seventh and Sixteenth; thence in a southeasterly direction to Nineteenth and Third; thence in a southerly direction along Third street to the intersection of Third street and Jerrold avenue and waterfront; thence proceeding in a northerly direction, crossing San Francisco Bay to a point from which a branch will extend 4500 feet easterly to the intersection of Pacific avenue and Bryant street, in the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California; thence from said aforementioned intersecting point proceeding in a northerly direction, crossing the Oakland Estuary, to the intersection of Peralta street and Seventh street, in the City of Oakland, County of Alameda, State of California.

That the total length of said bridge, approaches thereto and branch thereof, aforementioned, is 65,450 feet, that the width of said bridge is ninety (90) feet.

Filed September 28, 1925.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon further consideration of the foregoing application was continued until Monday, September 19, 1927, at 11 a. m., by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Application of A. M. Bergstrom for a franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge across San Francisco Bay between the City and County of San Francisco and the County of Alameda.

That the said toll bridge is to be located across the Bay of San Fran-

cisco, along the following described line, to-wit:

Beginning at a point in San Francisco at about the foot of Davidson avenue, on Hunter's Point, and running northerly sixty-two (62) degrees east four and four-tenths (4.4) miles; thence on a one (1) degree curve to the north one and six-tenths (1.6) miles to true north to a point on the easterly shore of the Bay of San Francisco at about the foot of Webster street, in the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California.

That the length of said bridge is to be six (6) miles and the breadth is to be sixty (60) feet, more or less.

Filed September 28, 1925.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon further consideration of the foregoing application was continued until Monday, September 19, 1927, at 11 a. m., by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Application of New York and San Francisco Development Company for franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge between San Francisco and Alameda.

Bridge to be constructed across San Francisco Bay from a point therein at or near the junction of Evans avenue and Boalt street, Hunter's Point, in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to or near the junction of South High street and Washington street, in the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California; that the length of said bridge is approximately six and one-half miles from shore line to shore line, and that its breadth or width is approximately from 60 to 90 feet.

Filed January 11, 1926.

ROTHCHILD & GOLDEN,
Attorneys.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon further consideration of the foregoing application was continued until Monday, September 19, 1927, at 11 a. m., by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Application of Roy C. Hackley for a franchise to construct a toll bridge between San Francisco, Pier 26, and Alameda County.

Beginning at a point in the City and County of San Francisco on the bulkhead line of San Francisco Harbor, south of and adjacent to Pier 26, and running approximately north 61 degrees east and fifty-three hundred feet, more or less; thence running approximately north 89 degrees east five thousand feet, more or less; thence running approximately north 59 degrees 30 minutes east eight thousand feet, more or less, to a point in the City of Oakland, County of Alameda, State of California, on the easterly shore of said San Francisco Bay.

That the length of said bridge is to be eighteen thousand three hundred feet (18,300) and that the breadth thereof is to be 78 feet, more or less.

Roy A. Bronson and E. D. Bronson, Jr., attorneys, 68 Post street.

Filed February 6, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon further consideration of the foregoing application was continued until Monday, September 19, 1927, at 11 a. m., by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Two applications of the Construction Company of North America for a franchise to construct and open a toll bridge between San Francisco and Alameda County.

Commencing at a point in said City and County of San Francisco near the corner of First and Bryant streets, on what is known as Rincon Hill, and running thence on less than a two-degree curve a distance of approximately 1700 lineal feet to the line of bulkhead wharf connecting Piers 30 and 32 on the waterfront of the said City and County of San Francisco; thence on a line approximately north 65 degrees east 21,000 lineal feet, more or less, to the Oakland Mole of the Southern Pacific Company in Alameda County.

That the length of said bridge is to be 4½ miles and that the width thereof is to be approximately 78 feet.

ROBERT J. McGAHIE,
Attorney, Mills Building.

First application filed February 15, 1926.

Second application filed April 5, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon further consideration of the foregoing application was continued until Monday, September 19, 1927, at 11 a. m., by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Application of Allan C. Rush for a franchise to construct a toll bridge between San Francisco and Alameda County.

Construction of a suspension bridge from the City and County of San Francisco over and across Goat Island to the east shore of the Bay of San Francisco, comprising three main double traffic track entrance therein, and being of a height of two hundred feet above high tide, between all bridge piers in the waters of San Francisco Channel, in which channel the bridge will be suspended over "but three piers," twenty-four hundred feet apart, thus in nowise impeding the traffic of the waters of the Bay.

Filed June 19, 1926.

ALLAN C. RUSH,
1632 McCullom street, Los Angeles, California.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon further consideration of the foregoing application was continued until Monday, September 19, 1927, at 11 a. m., by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Application of Robert Lee Dunn, 778 Monadnock Building, for a franchise to construct a toll bridge along the following described line, to-wit:

Beginning at the intersection of the center line of Second street with the center line of Berry street, in the City and County of San Francisco and extending thence easterly to the waterfront line; thence easterly along a straight line to the intersection of the center lines of Pacific avenue and Bryant street, City of Alameda, California.

Length of said bridge is twenty-six thousand feet; that the width of the roadway on said bridge is eighty feet.

Filed June 21, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon further consideration of the foregoing application was continued until Monday, September 19, 1927, at 11 a. m., by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Application of Edward A. Bertram for a franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge to be located, to-wit:

Beginning at Twelfth and Howard streets, San Francisco, by 1½ per cent viaduct to Beale and Howard streets; thence to Southern Pacific Mole, Oakland; thence by 1½ per cent viaduct to West Fourteenth street level, and Sixteeenth Street Railroad Station.

The length of said bridge proper is 21,000 feet and its width of roadway is 63 feet.

Filed June 21, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon further consideration of the foregoing application was continued until Monday, September 19, 1927, at 11 a. m., by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Application of R. S. Chew for franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge or bridges.

The said proposed bridge or bridges are to be located and are described as follows:

The westerly end of a bridge and the approaches thereto, which will connect with public streets, are to be located in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California (which location is in the county situated on the left bank descending of the Bay of San Francisco, across which said bridge is to be constructed), and at a point therein at or near the summit of an eminence known as Telegraph Hill; from this point the bridge will project easterly a distance of nine thousand feet (more or less) to the westerly side of Yerba Buena (or Goat) Island; thence easterly across said island a roadway or viaduct will be constructed for a distance of four thousand feet

(more or less) to its easterly side; from thence there will be projected in an easterly direction a distance of twenty-two thousand feet (more or less), a bridge structure, viaduct, causeway and/or fill to suitable connections with lines or railway and public highways in Alameda County, State of California.

Filed June 28, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon further consideration of the foregoing application was continued until Monday, September 19, 1927, at 11 a. m., by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Application of Inter-Cities Bridge Company for a franchise to construct, maintain and operate a toll bridge across the Bay of San Francisco and over the following route:

Beginning at the intersection of Broadway and Battery street, in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and extending thence easterly to the waterfront; thence on a line approximately north 60 degrees east to the westerly point of Yerba Buena or Goat Island; thence by contour meander to the easterly point of Yerba Buena or Goat Island; thence on a line approximately north 75 degrees to the foot of Yerba Buena street, in the City of Oakland, County of Alameda, State of California.

That the length of said bridge, inclusive of approximately 4100 feet on ground support over Yerba Buena or Goat Island, and 5670 feet to be supported by an earth fill mole in the approach to the said Oakland terminal, is to be approximately 27,000 feet. That the width of said bridge is to be approximately 120 feet, providing two 20-foot vehicular lanes and accommodations for four lines of electric transportation tracks.

Filed July 12, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon further consideration of the foregoing application was continued until Monday, September 19, 1927, at 11 a. m., by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Application of G. Lindenthal for franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge.

Said bridge is to be located along the following described line:

Commencing at a point in the center line of Jerrold avenue, in the City and County of San Francisco, about midway between Ship street and Dock street, in said City and County of San Francisco; thence on a curve easterly and northerly a distance of 30,000 feet, more or less, to the southerly foot of Webster street, in the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California.

The width of said bridge at the easterly end thereof for approximately 16,000 feet will be 75 feet, and the width of the remaining portion thereof will be approximately 40 feet. The length of said bridge will be approximately 30,000 feet.

Filed August 2, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon further consideration of the foregoing application was continued until Monday, September 19, 1927, at 11 a. m., by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Application of W. F. Holcomb for franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge across San Francisco Bay between the City and County of San Francisco and Alameda County.

Beginning at or near and within one hundred feet northerly or southerly of the intersection of the center line of Second street with the center line of Berry street, in the City and County of San Francisco and extending thence easterly to the waterfront line; thence easterly along a straight line to, at or near and within one hundred feet northerly or southerly of the intersection of the center lines of Pacific avenue and Bryant street, City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California.

That the length of said bridge will be twenty-six thousand feet; that the width of the roadway on said bridge will be eighty-nine feet.

Filed August 9, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon further consideration of the foregoing application was continued until Monday, September 19, 1927, at 11 a. m., by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Application of E. D. Bronson, Jr., for a franchise for a toll bridge across San Francisco Bay.

Filed August 16, 1926.

Beginning at a point in the City and County of San Francisco on the bulkhead line of San Francisco Harbor, south of and adjacent to Pier 26, and running north approximately 68 degrees east seventeen thousand nine hundred fifty feet (17,950'), more or less, to a point in the City of Oakland, County of Alameda, State of California, on the easterly shore of said San Francisco Bay; and the said toll bridge to be approximately seventeen thousand nine hundred fifty (17,950') feet in length and one hundred ten (110') feet in breadth, more or less.

Roy A. Bronson, E. D. Bronson, Jr., attorneys at law, 68 Post street, room 403, San Francisco, Cal.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon further consideration of the foregoing application was continued until Monday, September 19, 1927, at 11 a. m., by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Application of O. A. Ellis for franchise for two tunnels under the Bay of San Francisco from San Francisco to Oakland.

One of the tunnels will be for interurban street car traffic, with double track, and the San Francisco terminal between Third and Fourth streets on Harrison street.

The other tunnel will be for auto and railroad traffic, with San Francisco terminal between Second and Third streets on Harrison street.

The Oakland terminal will be at Seventh and Cedar streets.

Filed June 25, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon further consideration of the foregoing application was continued until Monday, September 19, 1927, at 11 a. m., by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Motion.

Supervisor Gallagher moved that further consideration of all bridge matters not heretofore heard by the Board be continued until Monday, September 19, 1927, at 11 o'clock a. m., to be heard.

Seconded by Supervisor Shannon.

Supervisor Kent moved as an amendment to the foregoing motion that further consideration on all bridge applications be continued until Monday, September 19, 1927, at 11 o'clock a. m.

Seconded by Supervisor Powers.

Whereupon, the roll was called on the amendment and *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri—5.

Noes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Hayden, Marks, Shannon, Stanton—10.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Schmidt, Todd—3.

Whereupon, the roll was called on Supervisor Gallagher's motion and *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Hayden, Kent, Marks, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—11.

Noes—Supervisors Havenner, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt—5.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Todd—2.

Application of H. S. Henion to construct a toll bridge along the following route, to-wit:

Beginning at the intersection of the center lines of Berry and Second streets, in the City and County of San Francisco California, thence southeasterly to the west end of Pier No. 46, on the San Francisco waterfront; thence easterly over said pier to its eastern end; thence easterly across the Bay of San Francisco to the point of intersection of the center line of Peralta street, in the City of Oakland, California, produced southerly to the center line of the vehicular roadway on the Alameda Mole, in the City of Alameda, California; thence northeasterly on the said produced center line of Peralta street to its intersection with the center line of Eighth street, in said City of Oakland, Alameda County, California.

That the length of said bridge is approximately thirty thousand feet;

that the width of said bridge is approximately eighty feet.

The above bridge will include an overhead approach, to extend from Second and Berry streets, San Francisco, to Rincon Hill or immediate vicinity, where abundant terminal facilities will be provided.

Filed March 14, 1927.

On motion of Supervisor Gallagher, further consideration of the foregoing application was continued until September 19, 1927, at 11 a. m., *to be heard*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Application of Frank E. Webb to construct a toll bridge along the following route, to-wit:

Said proposed toll bridge is to be located, builded and constructed across San Francisco Bay from a point exactly in longitude west 122 degrees 21 minutes 48.7 seconds and latitude north 37 degrees 43 minutes 33.75 seconds, in San Francisco County, State of California, Hunter's Point, in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to a point exactly in longitude west 122 degrees 15 minutes 2.6 seconds and latitude north 37 degrees 44 minutes 15.67 seconds in Alameda County, State of California; thence to the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California; that the length of said bridge is approximately 32,200 feet from shore line to shore line, and that its breadth or width is approximately from sixty (60) to ninety (90) feet, as shown by map attached and made a part hereof and marked "Exhibit B".

Bridge is to be of steel and concrete cantilever type, clearance from San Francisco side to be approximately one hundred and forty (140) feet. The main spans to be not less than seven hundred and fifty (750) feet nor more than seventeen hundred (1700) feet, and all spans and construction to be in accordance with the United States Government regulations and rulings.

Filed March 14, 1927.

On motion of Supervisor Gallagher, further consideration of the foregoing application was continued until September 19, 1927, at 11 a. m., *to be heard*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Application of Construction Company of North America, supplementing original plans—modified route has its westerly terminal on Rincon Hill.

Filed May 9, 1927.

On motion of Supervisor Gallagher, further consideration of the foregoing application was continued until September 19, 1927, at 11 a. m., *to be heard*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Amended application of Edward A Bertram for alternative line of bridge.

Filed May 9, 1927.

On motion of Supervisor Gallagher, further consideration of the foregoing application was continued until September 19, 1927, at 11 a. m., *to be heard*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Application of J. E. Pamplin to construct a toll bridge along the following route, to-wit:

Beginning at or near and within two hundred feet northerly or southerly of the intersection of the center line of Second street with the center line of Berry street, in the City and County of San Francisco, and extending thence easterly to the waterfront line; thence about north seventy-three (73) degrees east (true) along a straight line to a point immediately southwest of and adjacent to the Southern Pacific Alameda Mole, and about six hundred (600) yards, more or less, southeasterly from the northwesterly end of said mole, and thence about south seventy-two (72) degrees east (true) to a point on filled land in the City of Alameda about on the prolongation northwesterly of that portion of Santa Clara avenue which lies between Paru and Park streets, in the City

of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California.

That the length of said bridge will be about twenty-six thousand (26,000) feet, more or less; that the width of the roadway on said bridge wil be one hundred feet or such other width as the Board of Supervisors may direct and require under Section 2873 of the Political Code.

Filed May 9, 1927.

On motion of Supervisor Gallagher, further consideration of the foregoing application was continued until September 19, 1927, at 11 a. m., *to be heard*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Application of Roy C. Hackley to construct a toll bridge along the following route, to-wit:

Beginning at or near and within two hundred (200) feet of the intersection of the center line of Fremont street with the center line of Brannan street, in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and running north approximately eighty (80) degrees east ninteen thousand four hundred (19,400) feet, more or less, to a point in the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California, located approximately six hundred (600) feet south of the south training wall of the Oakland Estuary.

That the length of said bridge is to be approximately nineteen thousand four hundred (19.400) feet, and the breadth thereof is to be sixty (60) feet, more or less.

Filed May 16, 1927.

On motion of Supervisor Gallagher, further consideration of the foregoing application was continued until September 19, 1927, at 11 a. m., *to be heard*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Application of Edward A. Bertram, C. E., for permission to construct a toll bridge along an alternative line between San Francisco and Alameda counties.

Filed May 29, 1927.

On motion of Supervisor Gallagher, further consideration of the foregoing application was continued until September 19, 1927, at 11 a. m., *to be heard*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Application of Construction Company of North America to erect, maintain and operate and take tolls on a toll bridge over and acress San Francisco Bay, commencing at a point in the City and County of San Francisco near the corner of First and Bryant streets on what is known as Rincon Hil, and running thence northeasterly 19,100 lineal feet, more or less, to the southerly line of Alameda Mole of the Southern Pacific Company; thence north by east to the intersection of Seventh and Cedar streets in the City of Oakland, County of Alameda.

The length of said bridge is to be 4½ miles, the width thereof approximately 78 feet.

Filed June 20, 1927.

On motion of Supervisor Gallagher, further consideration of the foregoing application was continued until September 19, 1927, at 11 a. m., *to be heard*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Application of Roy C. Hackley for permission to construct a toll bridge across San Francisco Bay as follows:

Beginning at or near and within 200 feet of the intersection of the center line of Fremont street with the center line of Brannan street, in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and running north approximately 83 degrees east, 19,400 feet, more or less, to a point in the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California, located approximately 600 feet south of the south training wall of the Oakland Estuary.

The length of said bridge is to be approximately 19,400 feet and the width thereof is to be 60 feet, more or less.

Filed with Board of Supervisors Monday, June 27, 1927.

On motion of Supervisor Gallagher, further consideration of

the foregoing application was continued until September 19, 1927, at 11 a. m., *to be heard*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Application of City Planning and Building Company for a franchise and for authority to erect, construct, maintain and operate a submarine tunnel and the right, permission and authority to take tolls thereon for a period of fifty (50) years at the following location, to-wit:

Entering the ground at a point in the ground bounded by Fifth, Sixth, Bryant and Brannan streets, thence running underground easterly to a point on the northerly or northwest line of China Basin between Second and Third streets entering under the bay at a depth of 45 feet below low tide, sinking gradually to a depth of 50 feet below low tide, proceeding easterly to within 700 feet south of Seventh street at the shore line in Oakland, Alameda County, California.

The length of said submarine tunnel will be 21,120 feet under the water; said submarine tunnel will, in its inside dimensions, be 50 feet high and 68 feet in extreme width; that the same will have three floors, the upper floor for passenger automobiles, the main or central floor for railroad passenger cars, the lowest floor for automotive and heavy traffic trucks. Said tube will be divided perpendicularly into two halves, a right and a left half.

Filed Monday, July 25, 1927.

On motion of Supervisor Gallagher, further consideration of the foregoing application was continued until September 19, 1927, at 11 a. m., *to be heard*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Application of City Planning and Building Company for a franchise and for authority to erect, construct, maintain and operate a submarine tunnel and the right, permission and authority to take tolls thereon for a period of fifty (50) years at the following location, to-wit:

Entering the ground at a point in the block bounded by Sixth, Seventh, Hubbel and Irving streets, running thence underground in an easterly direction to a point where an extension of Fifteenth street strikes the Bay very close to El Dorado street; thence entering the Bay 45 feet below the level of the lowest tide and sinking gradually to a depth of 50 feet below the level of the lowest tide, and proceeding in an easterly direction and emerging at a point within 700 feet of a point where the center line of Lincoln avenue intersects the waters of the Bay of San Francisco in Alameda, Alameda County, State of California.

The length of said submarine tunnel to be 21 120 feet under water; said tunnel will be in its inside dimensions 50 feet high and 68 feet in extreme width; the same will have three floors, the upper floor for passenger automobiles and the main or central floor for railroad passenger cars, the lowest floor for automotive and heavy traffic trucks. Tube will be divided perpendicularly into two halves, a right and a left half.

Filed Monday, July 25, 1927.

On motion of Supervisor Gallagher, further consideration of the foregoing application was continued until September 19, 1927, at 11 a. m., *to be heard*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Application of City Planning and Building Company for a franchise and for authority to erect, construct, maintain and operate a submarine tunnel and the right, permission and authority to take tolls thereon for a period of fifty (50) years at the following locations, to-wit:

Entering the Bay at a point where the extension of the Marina boulevard extended easterly meets the Bay at Buchanan street close to Tonquin street, at a depth of at least 45 feet below the level of low tide and sinking gradually to a depth of at least 50 feet below the level of low tide, running thence in a general northerly direction to a point one mile south of the Town of Sausalito, Marin County, State of California; said submarine tunnel or tube will have a general curve in an easterly direction; that the length of said submarine tunnel will be 3,200 feet under water. Its inside dimensions to be 50 feet high and

68 feet in extreme width; the same will have three floors, the upper floor for passenger automobiles, the main or central floor for railroad passenger cars, the lower floor for automotive and heavy traffic trucks. The tunnel or tube will be divided perpendicularly into two halves, a right and a left half.

Filed Monday, July 25, 1927.

On motion of Supervisor Gallagher, further consideration of the foregoing application was continued until September 19, 1927, at 11 a. m., *to be heard*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Application of John P. O'Connell for a franchise for a toll bridge between the City and County of San Francisco and the County of Alameda.

Beginning at or near and within three hundred (300) feet northerly or southerly of the intersection of the northerly line of Pier 34 with the seawall at about the foot of Brannan street, in the City and County of San Francisco, and extending thence about north seventy-seven and one-half (77½) degrees east (true) to a point immediately southwest of and adjacent to the Southern Pacific Alameda Mole, and about six hundred (600) yards, more or less, east by south from the westerly extremity of said Mole, and thence about south seventy-two (72) degrees east (true) to the intersection of said line with the bank or shore of San Francisco Bay at its most westerly intersection with said line, in the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California.

That the length of said bridge on said lines will be about seventeen thousand seven hundred (17,700) feet, more or less, on the first of said lines and seven thousand two hundred (7,200) feet, more or less, on the second of said lines, making about twenty-four thousand nine hundred (24,900), more or less, feet for the total length of said bridge, not including approaches; that the width of the roadway on said bridge will be one hundred (100) feet or such other width as the Board of Supervisors may direct and require under Section 2873 of the Political Code.

Filed August 15, 1927.

On motion of Supervisor Gallagher, further consideration of the foregoing application was continued until September 19, 1927, at 11 a. m., *to be heard*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Application of John P. O'Connell for a franchise for a toll bridge between the City and County of San Francisco and the County of Alameda.

Beginning at or near and within three hundred (300) feet northerly or southerly of the intersection of the northern line of Pier Forty-six (46) with the seawall at about the foot of Berry street in the City and County of San Francisco and extending thence about north seventy-two (72) degrees east (true) along a straight line to a point immediately southwest of and adjacent to the Southern Pacific Mole, and about six hundred (600) yards, more or less, east by south from the westerly extremity of said Mole, and thence about south seventy-two (72) degrees east (true) to the intersection of said line with the bank or shore of San Francisco Bay at its most westerly intersection with said line, in the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California.

That the length of said bridge on said lines will be about eighteen thousand two hundred (18,200) feet, more or less, on the first of said lines and seven thousand two hundred (7,200) feet, more or less, on the second of said lines, making about twenty-five thousand four hundred (25,400), more or less, feet for the total length of said bridge, not including approaches; that the width of the roadway on said bridge will be one hundred (100) feet or such other width as the Board of Supervisors may direct and require under Section 2873 of the Political Code.

Filed August 15, 1927.

On motion of Supervisor Gallagher, further consideration of the foregoing application was continued until September 19, 1927, at 11 a. m., *to be heard*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

SPECIAL ORDER—3 P. M.

Garage Permit Withdrawn.

On motion of Supervisor Deasy:

Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That James Christie, Jack Coyne and Peter Coyne be and are hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to operate and maintain a public garage in building to be erected at the easterly corner of Fourteenth and West Portal avenues upon the property described as Lot 17 in Block 2989B and bounded partly by the southwesterly line of West Portal avenue and the northeasterly line of Fourteenth avenue.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

The foregoing resolution, heretofore passed for printing, was withdrawn by the attorney for the applicants.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Declaratory Ordinance, Municipal Railway Extensions.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon:

Bill No. 8163, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Determining and declaring that the public interest and necessity demand tre acquisition or construction of a public utility, to-wit:

A number of extensions to the existing Municipal Street Railway system over and along the public streets and private rights of way, said extensions to be made from the existing Municipal Railway track at Scott and Chestnut streets into the Marina Tract west of Scott street and north of Chestnut street; from the Municipal Railway track at Masonic avenue and Turk street into the Richmond District, connecting with the Municipal Railway tracks running to the Ocean Beach; from the Municipal Railway tracks on Masonic avenue at Turk street, easterly on Turk street to Market street connecting with the Municipal Railway tracks on Market street; a connecting crosstown service west of Twentieth avenue between the Judah street line of the Municipal Railway and the existing Municipal Railway line on Taraval street; from the Municipal Railway tracks at Forty-sixth avenue and Taraval street southerly to a terminal near Wawona street and Forty-seventh avenue; from the Municipal Railway tracks at Thirtieth and Church streets, southwesterly through the Bernal Cut, into the Excelsior District, at or near Excelsior street; thence southerly through the Excelsior District to a terminal at Geneva avenue from a connection with the Municipal Railway tracks on Market street extended to a point at or near Eureka street; thence southerly over Eureka street or other most feasible route to Twenty-third street; thence by the most feasible route to a terminus on Diamond street near Twenty-ninth street; an automobile bus service from the vicinity of Seventeenth street and Potrero avenue by the most feasible route to the vicinity of Twenty-third street and Wisconsin street; and for such other extensions and additions to the trackage and facilities of the said Municipal Railway system as may be deemed necessary, and to include equipment thereof, appurtenances thereto, the necessary buildings and structures and the purchase of necessary lands; and directing the Board of Public Works to procure and file plans and estimates of the cost thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby determined and specifically declared that the public interest and necessity demand the acquisition or construction of extensions to the existing Municipal Street Railway system, to-wit:

Extensions of the Municipal Street Railway tracks and service over and along public streets and rights of way, as follows:

From the existing Municipal Railway track at Scott and Chestnut streets into the Marina Tract, west of Scott street and north of Chestnut street; from the Municipal Railway track at Masonic avenue and Turk street into the Richmond District, connecting with the Municipal Railway tracks running to the Ocean Beach; from the Municipal Railway tracks on Masonic avenue at Turk street easterly on Turk street to Market street, connecting with the Municipal Railway tracks on Market street; a connecting crosstown service west of Twentieth avenue between the Judah street line of the Municipal Railway and the existing Municipal Railway tracks on Taraval street; from the Municipal Railway tracks at Forty-sixth avenue

and Taraval street southerly to a terminal near Wawona street and Forty-seventh avenue; from the Municipal Railway tracks at Thirtieth and Church streets southwesterly through the Bernal Cut into the Excelsior District, at or near Excelsior street; thence southerly through the Excelsior District to a terminal at Geneva avenue; from a connection with the Municipal Railway track on Market street extended to a point at or near Eureka street; thence southerly over Eureka street or other most feasible route to Twenty-third street; thence by the most feasible route to a terminus on Diamond street near Twenty-ninth street; an automobile bus service from the vicinity of Seventeenth street and Potrero avenue by the most feasible route to the vicinity of Twenty-third street and Wisconsin street; and for such extensions and additions to the trackage and facilities of the said Municipal Railway system as may be deemed necessary, and to include equipment thereof, appurtenances thereto, necessary buildings and structures and the purchase of necessary lands.

Section 2. The Board of Public Works is hereby directed to procure, through the City Engineer, and file with the Board of Supervisors plans and estimates of cost of original construction and completion of the extensions to the Municipal Street Railway as herein described.

Section 3. This ordinance shall be published for two weeks in the official newspaper and shall take effect immediately.

Declartory Ordinance, Public Highways.

On motion of Supervisor Marks:

Bill No. 8164, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Determining and declaring that the public interest and necessity demand the acquisition, construction and completion of certain permanent improvements, to-wit, certain improved routes for public highway purposes in the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

A boulevard to be known as the Bay Shore Highway from the vicinity of Army street, Potrero avenue and San Bruno avenue along San Bruno avenue widened to Cortland avenue, thence along a new right of way to Silver avenue and Charter Oak avenue; thence along Charter Oak avenue widened to Paul avenue; thence along a route paralleling San Bruno avenue between San Bruno avenue and Third street to the vicinity of San Bruno avenue and Sunnydale avenue and the County line, or the most feasible route or routes; a boulevard to be known as the Alemany boulevard from the vicinity of Cortland avenue and San Bruno avenue and the proposed Bay Shore Highway, thence in a southerly and westerly direction following the general course of the old Ocean Shore right of way to a connection with the Junipero Serra boulevard near Palmetto avenue, or the most feasible route or routes; a boulevard to be known as the Junipero Serra boulevard from the vicinity of Sloat boulevard and Portola drive, thence in a general southerly direction widening and straightening the existing roadway of the present Junipero Serra boulevard to the County line near the vicinity of Belle avenue, or the most feasible route or routes; a boulevard to be known as Nineteenth avenue extended southerly crossing old Ocean avenue and paralleling on each side the extension of the Municipal Railway running southerly and southeasterly to Junipero Serra boulevard, or the most feasible route or routes; a boulevard to be known as the Sunset boulevard, commencing at Lincoln way between Twentieth avenue and Thirty-seventh avenue and running in a southerly direction between said Twentieth avenue and said Thirty-seventh avenue, or such avenues included or extended, to Sloat boulevard, or the most feasible route or routes; a boulevard on Beach frontage improvement to be known as The Esplanade, commencing in the vicinity of the Great Highway and Fulton street and running in a general southerly direction along and upon said Great Highway to Lincoln way of the same type of construction as previously completed Esplanade; an improvement of the Great Highway from Lincoln way southerly to Sloat boulevard; a boulevard to be known as the Van Ness avenue extension from the vicinity of Mission street and Twelfth street extended southerly to Howard street and Thirteenth street, or the most feasible route or routes; including approaches, structures, pavements, curbs, sidewalks, sewers, drainage facilities, the readjustment of intersecting and adjacent streets and other improvements, and the purchase of the necessary lands with respect to each of the said boule-

vards or Beach frontage improvements.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby determined and specifically declared that the public interest and necessity demand the acquisition, construction and completion of certain permanent improvements, to-wit:

Certain improved routes for public highway purposes in the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

A boulevard to be known as the Bay Shore Highway from the vicinity of Army street, Potrero avenue and San Bruno avenue along San Bruno avenue widened to Cortland avenue, thence along a new right of way to Silver avenue and Charter Oak avenue; thence along Charter Oak avenue widened to Paul avenue; thence along a route paralleling San Bruno avenue between San Bruno avenue and Third street to the vicinity of San Bruno avenue and Sunnydale avenue and the County line, or the most feasible route or routes; a boulevard to be known as the Alemany boulevard from the vicinity of Cortland avenue and San Bruno avenue and the proposed Bay Shore Highway, thence in a southerly and westerly direction following the general course of the old Ocean Shore right of way to a connection with the Junipero Serra boulevard near Palmetto avenue, or the most feasible route or routes; a boulevard to be known as the Junipero Serra boulevard from the vicinity of Sloat boulevard and Portola drive, thence in a general southerly direction widening and straightening the existing roadway of the present Junipero Serra boulevard to the County line near the vicinity of Belle avenue, or the most feasible route or routes; a boulevard to be known as Nineteenth avenue extended southerly, crossing old Ocean avenue and paralleling on each side the extension of the Municipal Railway, running southerly and southeasterly to Junipero Serra boulevard, or the most feasible route or routes; a boulevard to be known as the Sunset boulevard, commencing at Lincoln way between Twentieth avenue and Thirty-seventh avenue and running in a southerly direction between said Twentieth avenue and said Thirty-seventh avenue, or such avenues included or extended, to Sloat boulevard, or the most feasible route or routes; a boulevard or Beach frontage improvement to be known as The Esplanade, commencing in the vicinity of the Great Highway and Fulton street and running in a general southerly direction along and upon said Great Highway to Lincoln way, of the same type of construction as the previously completed Esplanade; an improvement of the Great Highway from Lincoln way southerly to Sloat boulevard; a boulevard to be known as the Van Ness avenue extension from the vicinity of Mission street and Twelfth street extended southerly to Howard street and Thirteenth street, or the most feasible route or routes; including approaches, structures, pavements, curbs, sidewalks, sewers, drainage facilities, the readjustment of intersecting and adjacent streets and other improvements, and the purchase of the necessary lands with respect to each of the said boulevards or beach frontage improvements.

Section 2. The Board of Public Works is hereby directed to procure through the City Engineer, and place on file with the Board of Supervisors, plans and estimates of cost of the original acquisition, construction and completion of each of said public improvements as herein described.

Section 3. This ordinance shall be published for two weeks in the official newspaper, and shall take effect immediately.

SPECIAL ORDER—2 P. M.

Employment of Civil Service Employees in Appraisal Bureau.

Notice of Reconsideration.

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors did, by Resolution No. 27419 (New Series), adopted by said Board on the 13th day of June, 1927, authorize and empower the Finance Committee of the said Board of Supervisors to enter into a contract with James G. Stafford and associates to continue and complete the appraising of all taxable property subject to assessment within the City and County of San Francisco; and

Whereas, the conditions of said contract were not set forth in said resolution authorizing the entering into of said contract by said Finance Committee; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That in any contract entered into between said James G. Stafford and associates and the City and County of San Francisco it be provided that the City and County of San Francisco shall furnish to said James G. Stafford and

associates all help of every kind and character which may be necessary for the doing of said work by said James G. Stafford and associates, and that said James G. Stafford and associates shall furnish and provide the service of any and all experts necessary for the doing of said work; providing, however, that said James G. Stafford and associates shall be the sole judge of the amount and character of said help required for performing said services; and provided further, that the costs of said help shall be deducted from the contract price to be paid to said James G. Stafford and associates for performing said work; and provided further, that all of said help shall be employed pursuant to the provisions of Article XIII of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco.

The foregoing resolution, presented by Supervisor Jesse C. Colman, was *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Harrelson, Hayden, Marks, Roncovieri, Stanton, Todd—9.

Noes—Supervisors Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt—7.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Shannon—2.

Whereupon, Supervisor Colman changed his vote from *aye* to *no* and gave notice of reconsideration.

Supervisor Colman moved that the foregoing subject matter be postponed for one week, to be made a special order at 2 p. m.

Motion *carried*.

ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND MOTIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

Passed for Printing.

The following matter was *passed for printing*:

Amending Zoning Ordinace, Worcester Avenue.

On motion of Supervisor Hayden:

Bill No. 8165, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings, and the locations of buildings designed for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Section 13 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place the northwesterly side of Worcester avenue commencing at a point 167 feet 9 inches northwesterly from Beverly street and running thence northwesterly 106 feet 9 inches, and extending to the depth of the rear lot lines, in the Commercial District instead of the First Residential District.

Street Lights.

Supervisor Stanton presented:

Resolution No. 27708 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Pacific Gas and Electric Company be and it is hereby authorized and requested to remove and install the following street lights:

Remove 400 *M. R.*

Southwest corner Sutter and Webster streets.

South side Sutter street between Webster and Fillmore streets.

North side Sutter street between Fillmore and Steiner streets.

Southwest corner Sutter and Steiner streets.

Northwest corner Sutter and Pierce streets.

South side Sutter street between Pierce and Steiner streets.

Install 400 *M. R.*

Sweeny street between Barneveld and Merrill streets.

Wawona street between Ulloa and Taraval streets.

Elk avenue between Chenery and Bosworth streets.

Front of No. 60 Moffitt street.

Install 400 *C. P. O. B.*

Southwest corner Sutter and Webster streets.

South side Sutter street, east of Fillmore street.

North side Sutter street, west of Webster street.

South side Sutter street between Fillmore and Steiner streets.

North side Sutter street, west of Fillmore street.

North side Sutter street east of Steiner street.

Southwest corner Sutter and Steiner streets.

South side Sutter street, east of Pierce street.

North side Sutter street, west of Steiner street.

Northeast corner Sutter and Pierce streets.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco,

Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

In Memoriam, James H. Barry.

Supervisor Hayden presented:

Resolution No. 27709 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, death has called James H. Barry, well-known editor and journalist and a man who has been identified with the progress of San Francisco for many years, and has always worked with industry and zeal for the civic welfare and industrial advancement of San Francisco and the State of California; therefore,

Resolved, That it is with the deepest regret that we record his passing, and that we join with the entire community in its expression of sorrow, and that when this Board adjourns, it does so out of respect to his memory.

Unanimously adopted by a rising vote.

Dr. Hans Leschke and Municipal Chorus Complimented by Board.

Supervisor Hayden presented:

Resolution No. 27710 (New Series) as follows:

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors, in its good judgment has seen fit to appoint Dr. Hans Leschke director of the Municipal Chorus in the year 1924, and since that time he has organized a Municipal Chorus composed of our citizens who are interested in music; the many appearances of our Municipal Chorus in spring festivals, oratorio and symphony concerts has proven the worth of Dr. Leschke as a conductor, and it further shows that San Francisco has among its music loving citizens the material that goes to make up a high class Municipal Chorus, second to none in the country; and

Whereas, Dr. Hans Leschke had the distinctive honor of conducting the San Francisco Symphony Orchestra in conjunction with the Municipal Chorus in the Auditorium, Tuesday, August 16th, with an attendance of ten thousand people; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors specially compliments Dr. Hans Leschke and each and every member of the San Francisco Municipal Chorus for the almost perfect rendition of the many choral numbers on the program of August 16th, and with the hope that our chorus will continue in their good work, which serves as the community expression of the people of San Francisco from the standpoint of music.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Lighting Survey Report.

Supervisor Stanton presented the following report on the lighting survey and requested that same be made a part of the record:

August 22, 1927.

Hon. Wm. P. Stanton, Chairman,
Lighting Committee, City Hall.

Dear Sir.

In response to the request of the Committee, I submit the following report of the work I have been engaged upon with certain recommendations.

First: Classification of city streets for the purpose of establishing standards of lighting.

The great inequalities in the light now supplied to streets of similar character and the difficulty of fairly meeting requests for additional light by various sections of the city make it very necessary to adopt such classes and standards. The classifications usually adopted in other cities is based upon the arbitrary judgment of lighting experts or commissions which is unsatisfactory and cannot be supported against criticism.

From my study of the problem I am convinced that traffic conditions constitute the true basis for classification of street lighting. With the assistance of the San Francisco Traffic Bureau, the data collected by them having been put at my disposal by Dr. McClintock, I will be able to develop a rule based upon traffic counts and from that a scientific but practical classification of the streets. I should like the authority of the Committee to work out this problem with the assistance of the Traffic Bureau and officers.

Second: Selection of standard types of poles and fixtures.

This is desirable in order to give some degree of uniformity in appearance in the streets, especially where ornamental types of poles are installed. Comparatively little of this style of fixtures have been installed in San Francisco and as long as the City is lighted under a yearly contract with the lighting company it does not appear feasible to adopt standards which would unquestionably increase the cost to the City. This matter

should be left until plans for a municipal street lighting system are adopted.

Third: Use of new designs of refractors which give better illumination.

The specification in this year's contract provides for the installation of refractors in the ornamentia globes used on the business streets. In many instances better illumination can be given with a 400 C. P. lamp and refractor than is now being given with a 600 C. P. lamp without refractor, with a saving of approximately of $8 a year per lamp.

A practical demonstration is being arranged by the Pacific Gas & Electric Company which wil show the comparative lighting effects with different types of equipment, and upon the completion of this test the Committee will be in position to order the change of several hundred 600 C. P. lamps to 400 C. P. which will effect an annual saving of from $7,000 to $9,000. The use of these refractors will also enable better illumination to be provided in many cases with the same size lamps now in use.

Fourth: The turning out of all unnecessary lights at 12 o'clock midnight.

There are a number of locations where lights are closely spaced and where there is more light than necessary after midnight. A saving of approximately $11 a year per light can be made on 270 lights. Most of these, however, are lamps which it is proposed to change from 600 C. P. to 400 C. P. and therefore they have not yet been ordered out at 12 o'clock. This change will impose a heavy cost on the lighting company and the matter must be given consideration in connection with other and more important changes under consideration.

Fifth: Substitution of large incandescent lamps for the arc lamps on Market street and the Triangle District.

There are 1,048 magnetite arc lamps on these streets which were installed after the 1915 Exposition, where they had been in use. The development of large and efficient incandescent lamps since that time has made these arc lamps obsolete and as they are very expensive to maintain and are a constant source of trouble it is desirable to change them to incandescent lamps. Pacific Gas & Electric Company have a large investment in this equipment, but they have expressed to me their willingness to replace these lamps provided some satisfactory agreement can be reached with the City, and they are now preparing a proposal. The cost to the City of maintaing these magnetite lamps is $88,000 a year out of a total budget for 1926-27 of $630,000.

Sixth: Reduction in meter rates.

The charge for current supplied for street lighting by meter has been 2¾c per K. W. H. This is too high for such service and an effort has been made to reduce it to 1½c, which is being charged in some other cities. This rate, however, has not yet been filed with the Railroad Commission, and the Pacific Gas & Electric Company is not obligated to give it to San Francisco, but they have the matter under consideration and I recommend that the Committee make now a formal request upon them for this reduction which would result in saving to this City of approximately $3,000 a year.

Seventh: Adequate lighting on all important arteries.

It is recognized that the lighting on these streets should be greatly increased, but funds have not been available for this purpose before. The economies proposed will make it possible to do much to improve the conditions this year on the most important streets, and I should like the authority of the Committee to work this out with the traffic officers and San Francisco Traffic Survey after which an estimate of cost and definite recommendations for changes will be made.

Eighth: Installation of electric lights on poles of Municipal Railway extensions.

As suggested before the recent bond election, I now repeat the recommendation that the City install electric lights on trolley poles which may be erected on any extensions of the Municipal Street Railway lines. These fixtures will be the property of the City and current purchased by the meter or by the lamp hour until such time as the City may own a distributing system.

Ninth: Improvement in the lighting of long blocks in residence districts.

There are over 500 long blocks now lighted with but one 250 C. P. lamp which is very inadequate although better than many of the gas-lighted streets and considered fairly good for suburban towns. Up to the present time funds have not been available which would permit the use of larger lamps for this purpose, but by the economies

proposed these blocks can be given better lighting and I recommend that approximately 500 250 C. P. lights be changed to 400 C. P. at an additional total cost of $3,000 a year.

Tenth: Substitution of electric lights for all gas lamps in the City.

On August 1st of this year there were 4,312 gas lamps in San Francisco, all of which can be replaced with electric lights with a large increase in candle power and a substantial saving in the annual cost under the lighting contract. A systematic study has been made from the maps of the street lights supplied to the Committee by the Pacific Gas & Electric Company and a tentative layout adopted in the substitution of electric for gas lamps following throughout the City a similar treatment for all of the streets of like character. This study indicates that a total annual saving can be made of at least $25,000 a year by this substitution. The changes would require a large amount of work by the Pacific Gas & Electric Company and will probably occupy two years' time to carry out. I suggest that beginning next week the Committee authorize the changing of all gas lights in the various sections of the City, one by one, after consultation with the company as to the best program of carrying out the work. If desired, I can prepare within one week, a detailed report showing the exact number of lights to be substituted in each section and the estimated saving.

Eleventh: A municipally owned street lighting system.

San Francisco is well lighted only in spots and can never enjoy the distinction which is should have of being one of the best lighted cities in the country until the street lighting system is owned and operated by the municipality.

The lighting of city streets is distinctly a municipal function and should be entirely under the control of the City as certainly as the cleaning of the streets, the policing and traffic control, with which the lighting is closely related.

The cost under the present method of contracting yearly with the lighting company and the attempt to pay the entire cost of street lighting out of the general tax levy is rapidly increasing and will soon reach a prohibitive figure, especially if the City is as brilliantly lighted as it should be. The probable cost of a complete lighting system, including poles, fixtures and distribution lines, is from five to six million dollars. In studying this situation and in order to meet this problem in a practical way I have worked out a general plan which I believe can be utilized and will give the City a street lighting system and at a very moderate cost. This plan I described in my letter of July 12th. Briefly it consists of a division of ownership of the street lighting equipment between the City and the company, the City to purchase and to maintain the lighting, poles and fixtures, and the company to retain ownership of and maintenance of the distributing system. Hetch Hetchy power could be utilized for the lighting of the streets, to be transmitted from the Newark substation or other point of delivery over the distributing mains of the company to the lamps or special lighting circuits. The amount required for street lighting would be reserved at the Newark substation and not sold to the Pacific Gas & Electric Company, which would be paid for the use of their distributing system to transmit the street lighting energy. I have discussed this matter informally with Mr. Frank A. Leach, general manager and vice-president of the Pacific Gas & Electric Company and he is willing to take up the matter seriously if presented to them by the City for action. I should be glad to go into this matter further with the authority of the Committee and present estimated costs, both for purchase of equipment and installation of additional fixtures required with the estimated cost of operation under such a plan. Cooperation of the company is necessary in order to make up these figures as they depend chiefly upon records and data in their possession.

This plan offers, I believe, a satisfactory solution of the street lighting problem of San Francisco, and I hope to see it carried out in spite of many difficulties which I realize will be met.

Yours truly,

(Signed)

J. T. WHITTLESEY.

List of Tax Reductions.

Supervisor Havenner presented the following list of tax reductions, with the request that same be entered in the Journal; also that copy be transmitted to his Honor the Mayor:

New Potrero.

Block	Lot	1925	1926
4029	1	$18.59	$16.47
	2	18.17	16.10
	3	8.88	7.87
	4	23.75	21.05
	6	18.17	16.10
	7	18.17	16.10
	8	18.17	16.10
	9	18.17	16.10
	10	35.11	31.11
	11	61.54	60.94

Western Addition.

Block	Lot	1925	1926
652	1	$439.85	$389.79
	2	71.04	62.95
	3	108.41	96.08
	4	78.06	69.17
	5	162.93	144.39
	6	66.08	58.56
	7	295.51	261.87
	8	51.01	45.20
	9	94.17	83.45
	10	38.41	35.14

Horner's Addition.

Block	Lot	1925	1926
2651	2	$52.66	$46.67
	3	48.53	43.01
	4	53.07	47.04
	5	82.81	73.38
	6	36.14	32.03
	6a	9.50	8.42
	7b	46.67	41.36
	8	76.62	67.89
	9	5.37	4.76
	10	.62	.55

Richmond Addition.

Block	Lot	1925	1926
1564	1	$159.62	$141.46
	1a	93.54	82.90
	1b	93.54	82.90
	1c	93.54	82.90
	2	50.18	44.47
	3	34.69	30.74
	4	25.40	22.51
	5	24.37	20.68
	6	34.69	30.74
	7	97.67	86.56

100 *Vara District, South of Market.*

Block	Lot	1925	1926
3752	1	$392.15	$347.52
	2	420.64	372.77
	3	157.77	139.81
	4	121.42	107.60
	5	264.73	234.61
	6	264.73	234.61
	7	264.73	234.61
	8	731.42	648.19
	9	731.42	468.19
	11	251.93	223.26
	11a	557.55	494.10
	14	74.34	65.88
	15	115.64	102.48
	16	115.64	102.48

Mission District.

Block	Lot	1925	1926
3545	1	$350.84	$310.92
	2	67.53	59.84
	3	71.66	63.50
	4	84.05	74.48
	5	84.05	74.48
	6	106.76	94.61
	7	100.57	89.12
	8	53.07	47.03
	9	98.50	87.29
	10	96.44	85.46

University Mound.

Block	Lot	1925	1926
6052	3	$8.26	$7.32
	4	8.26	7.32
	5	3.10	2.75
	6	3.72	3.29
	7	16.11	14.27
	8	9.91	8.78
	8a	7.02	6.22
	10	10.94	9.70
	10a	6.61	5.86
	11	10.94	9.70

South San Francisco Homestead and Railroad Association.

Block	Lot	1925	1926
5256	1	$10.74	$9.52
	2	9.29	8.24
	3	8.67	7.69
	4	4.13	3.66
	5	3.10	2.75
	5a	3.72	3.29
	6	6.20	5.49
	6a	10.33	9.15
	7	4.13	3.66
	8	6.20	5.49

Excelsior Homestead.

Block	Lot	1925	1926
6275	1	$31.60	$28.00
	2	23.75	21.05
	3	10.33	9.15
	4	11.36	10.07
	5	23.75	21.05
	6	19.62	17.39
	7	19.62	17.39
	8	18.59	16.47
	9	21.68	19.22
	10	7.23	6.41

50 *Vara District, North of Market, East of Larkin.*

Block	Lot	1925	1926
190	1	$130.92	$116.02
	2	92.10	81.62
	8	412.17	365.27
	9	119.98	106.32
	11	138.56	122.79
	13	138.97	123.16
	14	138.97	123.16
	15	243.67	215.94
	20	66.91	59.29
	21	64.02	56.73

ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business, the Board at the hour of 7:15 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors November 21, 1927.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated, and approved as above recited.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors.
City and County of San Francisco.

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Vol. 22—New Series No. 35

Monday, August 29, 1927

Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
337 Bush Street, S. F.

Journal of Proceedings
Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, AUGUST 29, 1927, 10 A. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, August 29, 1927, 10 a. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Byington Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Hayden, Kent, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Todd—13.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Havenner, McSheehy, Stanton—5.

Quorum present.

His Honor Mayor Rolph being absent, Supervisor Shannon was called to the chair.

APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the previous meeting was laid over for approval until next meeting.

ROLL CALL FOR PETITIONS FROM MEMBERS.

Invitation to Attend Admission Day Celebration at Santa Cruz, California, September 9, 1927.

Communication, from the Native Sons and Native Daughters of the Golden West, inviting members of the Board of Supervisors to attend annual celebration of Admission Day at Santa Cruz, California, September 9, 1927.

Invitation *accepted*.

PRESENTATION OF PROPOSALS.

X-Ray Equipment.

Sealed proposals were received and opened at the hour of 11 a. m. this day for furnishing and installing X-ray equipment at the San Francisco Hospital and *referred to Supplies Committee*.

San Francisco to Be Designated the Home Port of the Major Portion of United States Battle Fleet.

Supervisor Shannon presented: Resolution No. 27751 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, San Francisco Bay is the natural harbor of the Pacific Coast, has the largest anchorage in the United States, and has the facilities for naval battle practice on a large scale; and

Whereas, San Francisco is recognized as a Navy City of the first importance, and in the event of war San Francisco Bay is the natural naval base of the United States on the West Coast; and

Whereas, the major portion of the provisioning of the United States fleet is done in San Francisco; and

Whereas, in transferring men of the Navy to and from the Hawaiian Islands, the Asiatic station and Samoa, San Francisco is the embarking and disembarking port of the principal transportation lines; therefore, be it

Resolved, That Senators Johnson and Shortridge and Representatives in Congress Welch and Kahn, and the Chamber of Commerce be requested to petition the Secretary of the Navy to designate San Francisco as the home port of the major portion of the battleship division and of a number of the smaller vessels of the United States battle fleet.

Adopted under suspension of the rules by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Hayden, Kent, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Todd—13.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Havenner, McSheehy, Stanton—5.

McLaren Park.

The following communication was read by the Clerk and ordered *referred to the Committee on Education, Parks and Playgrounds*:

San Francisco,
August 29, 1927.

Board of Supervisors, San Francisco.

Gentlemen:

As a taxpayer of San Francisco I respectfully request that the matter of purchase of the properties within the area of the John McLaren Park be opened up for discussion for following reasons:

(1) That a park comprising 100 to 150 acres in the location of the John McLaren Park would be a

liberal park area, and that would be equivalent to the Golden Gate Park area lying between First and Fourteenth avenues, Fulton street and Lincoln way. 550 acres is half the area of Golden Gate Park.

(2) That it will require at least 5 cents additional tax rate annually to maintain a park of 550 acres.

(3) That John McLaren Park will take in many homes and properties that will be needed for home sites for employees working in industrial plants in the immediate vicinity. It is infinitely more necessary to maintain these properties for home sites than to turn them into park and golf links.

(4) That the lands in John McLaren Park have been appraised at $1,200,000 and is looked upon by many property owners as confiscatory. For example: A lot directly outside the park is appraised at $250, whereas the lot inside the park, directly opposite and of same value, is appraised at $125. A woman owning a 100-foot lot and having paid $330 for sewer and grading is offered $200 for the 100 feet by the City.

Much of the property that has been purchased by the City has caused great dissatisfaction on part of the past owners. Going to court to get a square deal would work a hardship to most of the people owning property inside the park.

Respectfully submitted,
ADOLPH UHL.

Protest.

San Francisco,
August 26, 1927.

To the Honorable Board of Supervisors, City and County of San Francisco.

Gentlemen:

We, the undersigned, property owners in part of the land proposed to be included within the proposed McLaren Park, hereby protest to our property being included in said park, inasmuch as the appraisement of the property proposed to be taken is unfair.

John H. Grady, 82 Sutter street, and others.

Rereferred to Committee.

The following bills were, on motion of Supervisor Hayden, *rereferred to the City Planning Committee*:

Establishing Set-back Lines, Certain Streets.

Bill No. ——, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Establishing set-back lines along portions of Pacheco street, Fourteenth avenue, Fifteenth avenue, Sixteenth avenue and Thirty-ninth avenue.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby recited that on the 25th day of July, 1927, the Board of Supervisors adopted Resolution of Intention No. 141 to establish set-back lines along portions of Pacheco street, Fourteenth avenue, Fifteenth avenue, Sixteenth avenue and Thirty-ninth avenue, and fixed the 29th day of August, 1927, at 11 o'clock a. m., at the chambers of the Board of Supervisors as the time and place for hearing objections thereto; that thereafter and more than 10 days prior to said hearing the said resolution was published and notices of the passage of said resolution were posted along the line of said streets in time, form and manner required by Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series); that said hearing was held at the time and place aforesaid, and that all objections made at said hearing have been properly overruled.

Section 2. Pursuant to the foregoing recitals and in accordance with the provisions of said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), set-back lines are hereby established as follows:

Along the southwesterly side of Pacheco street, commencing at Aerial way and running thence northwesterly 331.644 feet, said set-back line to be 10 feet; along the northeasterly side of Pacheco street, commencing at Aerial way and running thence northwesterly to Cascade walk, said set-back line to be 10 feet.

Along the westerly side of Fourteenth avenue between Ortega way and Mount Lane, said set-back line to be 10 feet; along the easterly side of Fourteenth avenue between Aerial way and Ortega street, said set-back line to be 10 feet.

Along the westerly side of Fifteenth avenue and the southwesterly side of Noriega street, commencing at Ortega street and running thence northerly and northwesterly to Sixteenth avenue, said set-back line to be 10 feet; along the easterly side of Fifteenth avenue between Ortega street and Mount Lane, said set-back line to be 10 feet.

Along the westerly side of Fifteenth avenue between Pacheco street and Ortega street, said set-back line to be 10 feet; along the easterly side of Fifteenth avenue between Mandalay lane and Ortega

street, said set-back line to be 10 feet.

Along the westerly side of Sixteenth avenue between Noriega street and Moraga street, said set-back line to be 10 feet.

Along both sides of Thirty-ninth avenue, commencing at points 50 feet northerly from Kirkham street and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 2 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 4 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 6 feet; thence northerly 300 feet, said set-back lines to be 8 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 4 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 2 feet.

As shown on the maps filed in the office of the Board of Supervisors and to which reference is hereby made for further particulars.

Section 3. No structures shall be erected in the area between said set-back lines and the street lines except as provided in said Ordinance 5636 (New Series), and the penalties for the violation of this ordinance shall be as fixed by the ordinance aforesaid.

Bill No. ——, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Establishing set-back lines along portions of Forty-fifth avenue, Forty-sixth avenue, Forty-seventh avenue and London street.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby recited that on the 25th day of July, 1927, the Board of Supervisors adopted Resolution of Intention No. 143, to establish set-back lines along portions of Forty-fifth avenue, Forty-sixth avenue, Forty-seventh avenue and London street, and fixed the 29th day of August, 1927, at 11 o'clock a. m., at the chambers of the Board of Supervisors, as the time and place for hearing objections thereto; that thereafter and more than 10 days prior to said hearing the said resolution was published and notices of the passage of said resolution were posted along the line of said streets in time, form and manner required by Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series); that said hearing was held at the time and place aforesaid, and that all objections made at said hearing have been properly overruled.

Section 2. Pursuant to the foregoing recitals and in accordance with the provisions of said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), set-back lines are hereby established as follows:

Along both sides of Forty-fifth avenue, commencing at points 100 feet northerly from Taraval street and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 6 feet; thence northerly 300 feet, said set-back lines to be 9 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 3 feet.

Along both sides of Forty-sixth avenue, commencing at points 100 feet northerly from Taraval street and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 6 feet; thence northerly 300 feet, said set-back lines to be 9 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 3 feet.

Along the westerly side of Forty-seventh avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Taraval street and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly to Santiago street, said set-back line to be 9 feet; along the easterly side of Forty-seventh avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Taraval street and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 300 feet, said set-back line to be 9 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet.

Along the westerly side of Forty-sixth avenue, commencing at a point 300 feet northerly from Fulton street and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 10 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 8 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 175 feet, said set-back line to be 4 feet.

Along the northwesterly side of London street, commencing at a point 25 feet southwesterly from Persia avenue and running thence southwesterly 125 feet, said set-back line to be 14 feet.

As shown on the maps filed in the office of the Board of Supervisors and to which reference is hereby made for further particulars.

Section 3. No structures shall be erected in the area between said

set-back lines and the street lines except as provided in said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), and the penalties for the violation of this ordinance shall be as fixed by the ordinance aforesaid.

Bill No. ——, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Establishing set-back lines along portions of Fourteenth avenue, Sixteenth avenue, Parker avenue and Santiago street.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby recited that on the 25th day of July, 1927, the Board of Supervisors adopted Resolution of Intention No. 144, to establish set-back lines along portions of Fourteenth avenue, Sixteenth avenue, Parker avenue and Santiago street, and fixed the 29th day of August, 1927, at 11 o'clock a. m., at the chambers of the Board of Supervisors, as the time and place for hearing objections thereto; that thereafter and more than 10 days prior to said hearing the said resolution was published and notices of the passage of said resolution were posted along the line of said streets in time, form and manner required by Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series); that said hearing was held at the time and place aforesaid, and that all objections made at said hearing have been properly overruled.

Section 2. Pursuant to the foregoing recitals and in accordance with the provisions of said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), set-back lines are hereby established as follows:

Along the westerly side of Fourteenth avenue, commencing at a point 25 feet northerly from Geary street and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 5 feet; thence northerly 475 feet, said set-back line to be 10 feet.

Along the westerly side of Sixteenth avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Geary street and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 10 feet; thence northerly 75 feet, said set-back line to be 15 feet; thence northerly 75 feet, said set-back line to be 18 feet; thence northerly 50 feet, said set-back line to be 20 feet; thence northerly 75 feet, said set-back line to be 18 feet; thence northerly 50 feet, said set-back line to be 15 feet; thence northerly 75 feet, said set-back line to be 7½ feet; along the easterly side of Sixteenth avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Geary street and running thence northerly 350 feet, said set-back line to be 10 feet; thence northerly 80 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet.

Along the westerly side of Parker avenue, commencing at St. Rose's avenue and running thence northerly 50 feet, said set-back line to be 5 feet; thence northerly 225 feet, said set-back line to be 16 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 8 feet.

Along the northerly side of Santiago street, commencing at Eighteenth avenue and running thence easterly 157½ feet, said set-back line to be 10 feet; thence easterly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 2-3 feet; thence easterly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 1-3 feet.

As shown on the maps filed in the office of the Board of Supervisors and to which reference is hereby made for further particulars.

Section 3. No structures shall be erected in the area between said set-back lines and the street lines except as provided in said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), and the penalties for the violation of this ordinance shall be as fixed by the ordinance aforesaid.

Passed for Printing.

The following bill was *passed for printing*:

Set-back Lines Established.

On motion of Supervisor Hayden:

Bill No. 8179, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Establishing set-back lines along portions of Twenty-fourth avenue, Twenty-fifth avenue, Twenty-sixth avenue and Twenty-seventh avenue.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby recited that on the 25th day of July, 1927, the Board of Supervisors adopted Resolution of Intention No. 142 to establish set-back lines along portions of Twenty-fourth avenue, Twenty-fifth avenue, Twenty-sixth avenue and Twenty-seventh avenue, and fixed the 29th day of August, 1927, at 11 o'clock a. m., at the chambers of the Board of Supervisors, as the time and place for hearing objections thereto; that thereafter and more than 10 days prior to said hearing the said resolution was published and notices of the passage of said resolution were posted along the line of said streets in time, form and manner required by Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series); that said hearing was held at the time and place aforesaid, and that all objections made at said

hearing have been properly overruled.

Section 2. Pursuant to the foregoing recitals and in accordance with the provisions of said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), set-back lines are hereby established as follows:

Along both sides of Twenty-fourth avenue, commencing at points 100 feet northerly from Lawton street, and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 6 feet; thence northerly 300 feet, said set-back lines to be 9 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 3 feet.

Along both sides of Twenty-fifth avenue, commencing at points 100 feet northerly from Lawton street and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 6 feet; thence northerly 300 feet, said set-back lines to be 9 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 3 feet.

Along both sides of Twenty-sixth avenue, commencing at points 100 feet northerly from Lawton street and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 6 feet; thence northerly 300 feet, said set-back lines to be 9 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 3 feet.

Along both sides of Twenty-seventh avenue, commencing at points 100 feet northerly from Lawton street and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 6 feet; thence northerly 300 feet, said set-back lines to be 9 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 3 feet.

As shown on the maps filed in the office of the Board of Supervisors and to which reference is hereby made for further particulars.

Section 3. No structures shall be erected in the area between said set-back lines and the street lines, except as provided in said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), and the penalties for the violation of this ordinance shall be as fixed by the ordinance aforesaid.

UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

Final Passage.

The following matters, heretofore passed for printing, were taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Authorizations.

Resolution No. 27711 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

Park Fund.

(1) Frank Food Co., supplies for parks (claim dated Aug. 19, 1927), $603.89.

(2) National Ice Cream Co., ice cream for parks (claim dated Aug. 19, 1927), $639.21.

(3) National Ice Cream Co., ice cream for parks (claim dated Aug. 19, 1927), $1,715.89.

(4) San Francisco Dairy Co., milk for parks (claim dated Aug. 19, 1927), $923.44.

Hetch Hetchy Power Operative Fund.

(5) The Berger Manufacturing Co., metal lath for Moccasin Power House (claim dated Aug. 12, 1927), $621.96.

(6) Depreciation Fund, Hetch Hetchy Power Operative, reserve for depreciation, month of August (claim dated Aug. 12, 1927), $14,583.

(7) N. V. Edington, balsam wool, for Moccasin Power House (claim dated Aug. 12, 1927), $900.

(8) The Charles Nelson Co., lumber, Moccasin Power House (claim dated Aug. 12, 1927), $544.86.

(9) The Parafine Companies Inc., roofing materials, Moccasin Power House (claim dated Aug. 12, 1927), $511.57.

(10) Geo. H. Tay Co., plumbing supplies (claim dated Aug. 12, 1927), $607.62.

Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1910.

(11) Santa Cruz Portland Cement Co., cement for Mather Tourist Camp (claim dated Aug. 11, 1927), $555.20.

Hetch Hetchy Water Bonds, Issue 1925.

(12) Giant Powder Co. Con., explosives, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Aug. 13, 1927), $10,294.86.

(13) Standard Oil Co., gasoline, oils, etc., Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Aug. 13, 1927), $597.76.

(14) Standard Oil Co., gasoline, oil, etc., Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Aug. 13, 1927), $509.88.

(15) T. E. Connolly and J. M. DeLucca, seventh payment, construction of Hetch Hetchy Aqueduct tun-

nels in Foothill Division (claim dated Aug. 16, 1927), $34,067.60.

School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923.

(16) R. Brandlein & Co., primary tables for Dudley Stone and Sanchez schools (claim dated Aug. 16, 1927), $1,520.64.

(17) Geo. A. Applegarth, final payment, architectural services for Edison School (claim dated Aug. 17, 1927), $4,552.18.

(18) Anderson & Ringrose, seventh payment, general construction of additions to Sunnyside School (claim dated Aug. 16, 1927), $25,143.75.

Special School Tax.

(19) John Reid, Jr., final payment, architectural services for Commodore Sloat School (claim dated Aug. 16, 1927), $1,558.19.

Municipal Railway Fund.

(20) American Brake Shoe & Foundry Co., brake shoes for Municipal Railways (claim dated Aug. 13, 1927), $2,268.29.

(21) Crescent Oil Co., gasoline for Municipal Railways (claim dated Aug. 13, 1927), $1,595.25.

(22) San Francisco City Employees' Retirement System, for pensions, etc., for Municipal Railway employees (claim dated Aug. 5, 1927), $2,481.57.

Hetch Hetchy Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1925.

(23) Baker, Hamilton & Pacific Co., hardware for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Aug. 16, 1927), $3,842.57.

(24) Patrick Connolly and Patrick J. Connolly, payment for lands and easements in San Joaquin and Alameda counties, for account Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Aug. 16, 1927), $4,500.

(25) Federal Pipe & Supply Co., steel pipe for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Aug. 16, 1927), $1,537.60.

(26) Graybar Electric Co., crosarm bolts, braces, etc., for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Aug. 16, 1927), $554.41.

(27) Hales & Symons Inc., wedges for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Aug. 16, 1927), $760.50.

(28) Santa Fe Lumber Co., lumber for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Aug. 16, 1927), $690.

(29) State Compensation Insurance Fund, premium on insurance policy covering Hetch Hetchy employees (claim dated Aug. 16, 1927), $2,821.14.

(30) Westinghouse Electric & Mfg. Co., oil circuit breakers for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Aug. 16, 1927), $794.28.

Municipal Railway Fund.

(31) San Francisco City Employees' Retirement System, for pensions, etc., for Municipal Railway employees (claim dated Aug. 11, 1927), $7,073.80.

General Fund, 1927-1928.

(32) C. Nauman & Co., potatoes, etc., for County Jails (claim dated Aug. 10, 1927), $568.36.

(33) Langendorf Baking Co., bread for County Jails (claim dated Aug. 10, 1927), $863.91.

(34) Del Monte Meat Co., meats for County Jails (claim dated Aug. 10, 1927), $1,261.14.

(35) J. P. Holland Inc., excavating at Aquatic Park (claim dated Aug. 19, 1927), $777.15.

(36) Crescent Oil Co., gasoline, Police Dept. (claim dated Aug. 15, 1927), $721.78.

(37) Doherty Bros., one Ford truck for Police Dept. (claim dated Aug. 15, 1927), $683.50.

(38) James Rolph, Jr., Mayor's expenses (claim dated Aug. 22, 1927), $523.75.

(39) Recorder Printing & Publishing Co., printing and publishing Law-Motion-Trial Calendar (claim dated Aug. 22, 1927), $1,075.

(40) Sonoma State Home maintenane of feeble-minded (claim dated Aug. 22, 1927), $9,205.

(41) Chase & Rae, printing and publishing delinquent tax list and sales book (claim dated Aug. 11, 1927), $2,080.78.

(42) Carlisle & Co., printing, etc., for the Auditor (claim dated Aug. 11, 1927), $505.

(43) Coastwise Mercantile Co., groceries for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Aug. 18, 1927), $665.50.

(44) Geo. E. Plummer Co., foodstuffs for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Aug. 18, 1927), $862.50.

(45) Illinois Pacific Glass Co., bottles for Health Department (claim dated Aug. 18, 1927), $646.13.

(46) Haas Bros., canned fruit, Laguna Honda Home (claim dated Aug. 18, 1927), $796.

(47) Crescent Oil Co., gasoline for Fire Dept. (claim dated July 30, 1927), $781.56.

(48) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., gas and electricity for Fire Dept. (claim dated July 30, 1927), $1,725.01.

(49) Shell Company, fuel oil, Fire Dept. (claim dated July 30, 1927), $642.02.

(50) Spring Valley Water Co., water service for Fire Dept., installing hydrants (claim dated July 30, 1927), $2,993.91.

(51) Associated Construction Co.,

improvement of Fourteenth avenue between Ulloa and Vicente streets (claim dated Aug. 17, 1927), $1,480.

(52) Charles L. Harney, improvement of Twenty-fourth avenue between Moraga and Noriega streets (claim dated Aug. 17, 1927), $1,515.

(53) Charles L. Harney, improvement of Twenty-fifth avenue between Kirkham and Lawton streets (claim Aug. 17, 1927), $1,852.

(54) Frank J. McHugh, construction of concrete curbs, etc., on Thirtieth avenue between Anza and Balboa streets (claim dated Aug. 17, 1927), $4,800.

(55) Rudolph Theurkauf, expense incurred account of San Francisco exhibit at Reno Exposition (claim dated Aug. 22, 1927), $754.

(56) E. A. Bender, engineering services, month of August, 1927, telephone rate investigation (claim dated Aug. 18, 1927), $350.

(57) Dion R. Holm, legal services, telephone rate investigation, month of August, 1927 (claim dated Aug. 18, 1927), $850.

Publicity and Advertising.

(58) California Development Assn., maintenance of map and information bureau, Ferry Building (claim dated Aug. 22, 1927), $1,000.

(59) San Francisco Convention and Tourist League, publicity and advertising of San Francisco (claim dated Aug. 22, 1927), $6,403.44.

(60) Thos. F. Boyle, expense incurred account Service Post delegation to American Legion convention at Santa Barbara August 1 to 5, 1927 (claim dated Aug. 22, 1927), $991.20.

(61) Jas. K. Fisk, Adjutant, American Legion, for float at Ninth Annual American Legion convention, Paris, France (claim dated Aug. 22, 1927), $1,200.

(62) Rudolph Theurkauf, expense incurred account San Francisco exhibit at Reno Exposition (claim dated August 22, 1927), $754.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Hayden, Kent, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Todd —13.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Havenner, McSheehy, Stanton —5.

Appropriation, $11,841.48, to Cover Cost of Contract for Chairs, Mission Junior High School.

Resolution No. 27712 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $11,841.48 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of School Bonds, Issue 1923, to cover the cost of contract for chairs to be installed in the Mission (Everett) Junior High School (Heywood-Wakefield Company).

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Hayden, Kent, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Todd —13.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Havenner, McSheehy, Stanton —5.

Appropriations for the Improvement of Bay Street and of Davis Street.

Resolution No. 27713 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of the County Road Fund, to-wit:

(1) For the improvement of Bay street between Laguna and Fillmore streets, $6,500.

(2) For the improvement of Davis street between Broadway and Vallejo street, including the crossing of Davis street and Broadway, $5,000.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Hayden, Kent, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Todd —13.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Havenner, McSheehy, Stanton —5.

Appropriation, $21,000, for Architectural Services, Park-Presidio School.

Resolution No. 27714 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $21,000 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of Special School Tax, Fiscal Year 1927-1928, being payment for architectural services for the preparation of plans and specifications for the first unit of the Park-Presidio School, to be erected on block bounded by Twenty-ninth and Thirtieth avenues, Geary and Clement streets.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Hayden, Kent, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Todd —13.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Havenner, McSheehy, Stanton —5.

Authorizing Payment of $1,500 to Charlotte M. Taylor for Land Required for Mangels Avenue Sewer Right of Way.

Resolution No. 27715 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $1,500 be and the same is hereby author-

ized in payment out of "Extension and Reconstruction of Sewers," Budget Item No. 38, Fiscal Year 1927-1928, to Charlotte M. Taylor; being payment for property beginning at point on the southerly line of Mangels avenue, distant thereon 275 feet westerly from the westerly line of Baden street, and thence running westerly along the southerly line of Mangels avenue 25 feet; f uniform dimensions 25 x 100 feet; and property beginning at point on the northerly line of Joost avenue, distant thereon 275 feet westerly from the westerly line of Baden street, and running thence westerly along the northerly line of Joost avenue 25 feet; of uniform dimensions 25 x 100 feet; and being required for the construction of a sewer from Mangels avenue to Monterey boulevard between Congo street and Baden street. (Claim dated Aug. 18, 1927.) As per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 27659 (New Series).

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Hayden, Kent, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Todd —13.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Havenner, McSheehy, Stanton —5.

Authorizing Payment of $4,250 to Alfred G. Dawes et al. for Property Required for the Extension of Nineteenth Street.

Resolution No. 27716 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $4,250 be and the same is authorized in payment out of General Fund, 1926-1927 (Budget Item No. 141), to Alfred G. Dawes and Rosa I. Dawes; being payment for all of Lot 36, Block 2702, as per the Assessor's Block Books; and as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 27658 (New Series); said property being required for the extension of Nineteenth street from Yukon to Mono streets. (Claim dated Aug. 18, 1927.)

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Hayden, Kent, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Todd —13.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Havenner, McSheehy, Stanton —5.

Authorizing $11,399 in Payment to Wm. C. R. Good et al. for Land Required for Widening of Portola Drive.

Resolution No. 27717 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $11,399 be and the same is hereby authorized in payment out of County Road Fund (appropriation heretofore made for Portola drive) to William C. R. Good and Louise Good; being payment for property beginning at point on the southeasterly line of Portola drive, distant thereon 135 feet southwesterly from the southwesterly line of Stanford Heights avenue; being a portion of Block No. 40 of Stanford Heights, and as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 27660 (New Series), and required for the widening of Portola drive. (Claim dated Aug. 18, 1927.)

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Hayden, Kent, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Todd —13.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Havenner, McSheehy, Stanton —5.

Appropriation, $2,000, Payment to Gustave A. Olson et al. for Land Required for School Purposes.

Resolution No. 27718 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $2,000 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of Special School Tax, and authorized in payment to Gustave A. Olson and Elina C. Olsen, his wife; being payment for lands required for school purposes; being Lots 736 and 738 in Gift Map No. 2, as per map thereof filed in the office of the County Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco. (Paul Revere School.)

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Hayden, Kent, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Todd —13.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Havenner, McSheehy, Stanton —5.

Appropriation, $3,000, to Meet Deficit Account, Summer Symphony Concerts.

Resolution No. 27719 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $3,000 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of Publicity and Advertising, and authorized in payment to Thos. F. Boyle, Treasurer, Summer Symphony Concerts, to meet deficit on account of said concerts.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Hayden, Kent, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Todd —13.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Havenner, McSheehy, Stanton —5.

Oil Tank Permits.

Resolution No. 27720 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits be and are hereby granted:

Oil Tanks.

C. M. Eaton, 3311 Pacific avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

J. Shanedling, south side of Vallejo street, 162 feet west of Broderick street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Dr. Morris Evans, 3224 Mission street, 1500 gallons capacity.

F. Jeddis, southwest corner of Sea Cliff avenue and Twenty-sixth avenue, 750 gallons capacity.

Dr. J. Nieto, 3933 Clay street, 600 gallons capacity.

Thomas Scoble, south side of Francisco street, 175 feet east of Divisadero street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Frank J. Klimm Co., southwest corner of Pemberton and Faute avenues, 1500 gallons capacity.

A. Sciaroni, 2655 Filbert street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Alex Cerveau, 1977 and 1947 Pine street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Roy Parks, southeast corner of Lombard and Webster streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

W. H. Knox, 2980 California street, 600 gallons capacity.

W. P. Hansen, 1410 Monterey boulevard, 600 gallons capacity.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits shall become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Hayden, Kent, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Todd —13.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Havenner, McSheehy, Stanton —5.

Laundry Permit.

Resolution No. 27721 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Jacques Noregue be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate a laundry at 659 McAllister street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Hayden, Kent, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Todd —13.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Havenner, McSheehy, Stanton —5.

Transfer of Garage Permit.

Resolution No. 27722 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Underwriters Utilities Corporation be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred to it public garage permit heretofore granted Albert Rossi et al. by Resolution No. 20380 (New Series) for premises Nos. 547-555 Washington street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Hayden, Kent, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Todd —13.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Havenner, McSheehy, Stanton —5.

Automobile Supply Station Transfer.

Resolution No. 27723 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That S. L. Smith and Robert I. Johnson be and are hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred to them automobile supply station permit heretofore granted Community Service Station Co. by Resolution No. 21064 (New Series) for premises at the northeast corner of Seventh and Folsom streets.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Hayden, Kent, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Todd —13.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Havenner, McSheehy, Stanton —5.

Automobile Supply Station Transfer.

Resolution No. 27724 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Phil-Don Service Station be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred to it automobile supply station permit heretofore granted Bay Counties Oil Co. by Resolution No. 24789 (New Series) for premises at the northeast corner of Page and Franklin streets.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Hayden, Kent, Marks, Powers, Ron-

covieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Todd —13.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Havenner, McSheehy, Stanton —5.

Blasting Permit.

Resolution No. 27725 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Granfield, Farrar & Carlin be and are hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to explode blasts while grading property on the west side of Third street between Bayview and Thornton avenues; provided said permittee shall execute and file a good and sufficient bond in the sum of $5,000, as fixed by the Board of Public Works and approved by his Honor the Mayor, in accordance with Ordinance No. 1204; provided, also, that said blasts shall be exploded only between the hours of 7 a. m. and 6 p. m., and that the work of blasting shall be performed to the satisfaction of the Board of Public Works; and that if any of the conditions of this resolution be violated by said Granfield, Farrar & Carlin, then the privileges and all the rights accruing thereunder shall immediately become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Hayden, Kent, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Todd —13.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Havenner, McSheehy, Stanton —5.

Appropriating $2,000 for Purpose of Participating in California State Fair, Sacramento.

Resolution No. 27726 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the public Welfare Committee be and is hereby authorized to make the necessary arrangements for San Francisco's participation in the annual California State Fair, to be held in Sacramento September 3d to 11th, inclusive; and be it

Further Resolved, That the sum of $2,000 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of Budget Item No. 1023 (Appropriation 55), Publicity and Advertising, for this purpose.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman. Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Hayden, Kent, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Todd —13.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Havenner, McSheehy, Stanton —5.

Establishing Set-back Lines.

Bill No. 8153, Ordinance No. 7665 (New Series), as follows:

Establishing set-back lines along portions of Arleta avenue, Lobos street, Sixteenth avenue and Eighteenth avenue.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows.

Section 1. It is hereby recited that on the 11th day of July, 1927, the Board of Supervisors adopted Resolution of Intention No. 134, to establish set-back lines along Arleta avenue, Lobos street, Sixteenth avenue and Eighteenth avenue, and fixed the 15th day of August, 1927, at 11 o'clock a. m., at the chambers of the Board of Supervisors, as the time and place for hearing objections thereto; that thereafter and more than 10 days prior to said hearing the said resolution was published and notices of the passage of said resolution were posted along the line of said streets, in time, form and manner required by Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series); that said hearing was held at the time and place aforesaid, and that all objections made at said hearing have been properly overruled.

Section 2. Pursuant to the foregoing recitals and in accordance with the provisions of said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), set-back lines are hereby established as follows:

Along the southwesterly side of Arleta avenue, commencing at a point 75 feet southeasterly from Delta street, and running thence southeasterly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; thence southeasterly 150 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence southeasterly to Rutland street, said set-back line to be 10 feet.

Along the southerly side of Lobos street, commencing at a point 100 feet easterly from Capitol avenue, and running thence easterly to a point 75 feet westerly from Plymouth avenue, said set-back line to be 15 feet.

Along the westerly side of Sixteenth avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Ulloa street, and running thence northerly to a point 100 feet southerly from Taraval street, said set-back line to be 10 feet; along the easterly side of Sixteenth avenue, commencing at Ulloa street, and running thence northerly to a point 100 feet southerly from Taraval street, said set-back line to be 8 feet.

Along the westerly side of Eighteenth avenue, commencing at Pacheco street, and running thence northerly 450 feet, said set-back line to be 10 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 2-3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said

set-back line to be 3 1-3 feet; along the easterly side of Eighteenth avenue between Pacheco street and Ortega street, said set-back line to be 15 feet.

As shown on the maps filed in the office of the Board of Supervisors and to which reference is hereby made for further particulars.

Section 3. No structures shall be erected in the area between said set-back lines and the street lines except as provided in said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), and the penalties for the violation of this ordinance shall be as fixed by the ordinance aforesaid.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Hayden, Kent, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Todd —13.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Havenner, McSheehy, Stanton —5.

Bill No. 8154, Ordinance No. 7666 (New Series), as follows:

Establishing set-back lines along portions of Forty-first avenue, Forty-second avenue, Forty-third avenue, Forty-fourth avenue and Forty-fifth avenue.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby recited that on the 11th day of July, 1927, the Board of Supervisors adopted Resolution of Intention No. 135 to establish set-back lines along Forty-first avenue, Forty-second avenue, Forty-third avenue, Forty-fourth avenue and Forty-fifth avenue, and fixed the 15th day of August, 1927, at 11 o'clock a. m., at the chambers of the Board of Supervisors as the time and place for hearing objections thereto; that thereafter and more than 10 days prior to said hearing the said resolution was published and notices of the passage of said resolution were posted along the line of said streets in time, form and manner required by Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series); that said hearing was held at the time and place aforesaid, and that all objections made at said hearing have been properly overruled.

Section 2. Pursuant to the foregoing recitals and in accordance with the provisions of said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), set-back lines are hereby established as follows:

Along both sides of Forty-first avenue, commencing at points 100 feet northerly from Ulloa street and running thence northerly 350 feet, said set-back lines to be 9 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 3 feet.

Along both sides of Forty-second avenue, commencing at points 100 feet northerly from Ulloa street and running thence northerly 350 feet, said set-back lines to be 9 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 3 feet.

Along both sides of Forty-third avenue, commencing at points 100 feet northerly from Ulloa street and running thence northerly 350 feet, said set-back lines to be 9 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 3 feet.

Along both sides of Forty-fourth avenue, commencing at points 100 feet northerly from Ulloa street, and running thence northerly 350 feet, said set-back lines to be 9 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 3 feet.

Along both sides of Forty-fifth avenue, commencing at points 100 feet northerly from Ulloa street, and running thence northerly 350 feet, said set-back lines to be 9 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to 3 feet.

As shown on the maps filed in the office of the Board of Supedvisors and to which reference is hereby made for further particulars.

Section 3. No structures shall be erected in the area between said set-back lines and the street lines except as provided in said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), and the penalties for the violation of this ordinance shall be as fixed by the ordinance aforesaid.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Hayden, Kent, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Todd —13.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Havenner, McSheehy, Stanton —5.

Bill No. 8155, Ordinance No. 7667 (New Series), as follows:

Establishing set-back lines along portions of Funston avenue, Thirty-eighth avenue, Thirty-ninth avenue and Fortieth avenue.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby recited that on the 11th day of July, 1927, the Board of Supervisors adopted Resolution of Intention No. 136, to establish set-back lines along Funston avenue, Thitry-eighth avenue, Thirty-ninth avenue and Fortieth avenue, and fixed the 15th day of August, 1927, at 11 o'clock a. m., at the chambers of the Board of Supervisors as the time and place for hearing objections thereto; that thereafter and more than 10 days prior to said hearing the said resolution was published and notices of the passage of said resolution were posted along the line of said streets, in time, form and manner required by Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series); that said hearing was held at the time and place aforesaid, and that all objections made at said hearing have been properly overruled.

Section 2. Pursuant to the foregoing recitals and in accordance with the provisions of said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), setback lines are hereby established as follows:

Along the westerly side of Funston avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Ulloa street, and running thence northerly to a point 100 feet southerly from Taraval street, said set-back line to be 15 feet; along the easterly side of Funston avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet southerly from Taraval street, and running thence southerly 300 feet, said set-back line to be 15 feet.

Along both sides of Thirty-eighth avenue, commencing at points 100 feet northerly from Ulloa street, and running thence northerly 350 feet, said set-back lines to be 9 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said setback lines to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 3 feet.

Along both sides of Thirty-ninth avenue, commencing at points 100 northerly from Ulloa street, and running thence northerly 350 feet, said set-back lines to be 9 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said setback lines to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 3 feet.

Along both sides of Fortieth avenue, commencing at points 100 feet northerly from Ulloa street, and running thence northerly 350 feet, said set-back lines to be 9 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said setback lines to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 3 feet.

As shown on the maps filed in the office of the Board of Supervisors and to which reference is hereby made for further particulars.

Section 3. No structures shall be erected in the area between said setback lines and the street lines except as provided in said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), and the penalties for the violation of this ordinance shall be as fixed by the ordinance aforesaid.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Hayden, Kent, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Todd —13.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Havenner, McSheehy, Stanton —5.

Bill No. 8156, Ordinance No. 7668 (New Series), as follows:

Establishing set-back lines along portions of Ulloa street, Twelfth avenue and Thirty-ninth avenue.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby recited that on the 18th day of July, 1927, the Board of Supervisors adopted Resolution of Intention No. 137, to establish set-back lines along Ulloa street, Twelfth avenue and Thirtyninth avenue, and fixed the 22nd day of August, 1927, at 11 o'clock a. m., at the chambers of the Board of Supervisors, as the time and place for hearing objections thereto; that after and more than 10 days prior to said hearing the said resolution was published and notices of the passage of said resolution were posted along the lines of said streets, in time, form and manner required by Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series); that said hearing was held at the time and place aforesaid, and that all objections made at said hearing have been properly overruled.

Section 2. Pursuant to the foregoing recitals and in accordance with the provisions of said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series) setback lines are hereby established as follows:

Along both sides of Ulloa street between Forty-second avenue and Forty-third avenue, said set-back lines to be 8 feet.

Along both sides of Ulloa street between Forty-third avenue and Forty-fourth avenue, said set-back lines to be 8 feet.

Along both sides of Ulloa street between Forty-fourth avenue and Forty-fifth avenue, said set-back lines to be 8 feet.

Along the westerly side of Twelfth avenue, commencing at a point 50 feet northerly from Irving street, and running thence norther-

ly to a point 100 feet southerly from Lincoln way, said set-back line to be 12 feet; along the easterly side of Twelfth avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Irving street, and running thence northerly to a point 150 feet southerly from Lincoln way, said set-back line to be 12 feet.

Along both sides of Thirty-ninth avenue, commencing at points 100 feet northerly from Taraval street, and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 6 feet; thence northerly to points 100 feet southerly from Santiago street, said set-back lines to be 9 feet.

As shown on the maps filed in the office of the Board of Supervisors and to which reference is hereby made for further particulars.

Section 3. No structures shall be erected in the area between said set-back lines and the street lines except as provided in said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), and the penalties for the violation of this ordinance shall be as fixed by the ordinance aforesaid.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Hayden, Kent, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Todd —13.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Havenner, McSheehy, Stanton —5.

Bill No. 8157, Ordinance No. 7669 (New Series), as follows:

Establishing set-back lines along portions of Fortieth avenue, Forty-first avenue, Forty-second avenue, Forty-third avenue and Forty-fourth avenue.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby recited that on the 18th day of July, 1927, the Board of Supervisors adopted Resolution of Intention No. 138, to establish set-back lines along Fortieth avenue, Forty-first avenue, Forty-second avenue, Forty-third avenue and Forty-fourth avenue, and fixed the 22nd day of August, 1927, at 11 o'clock a. m., at the chambers of the Board of Supervisors, as the time and place for hearing objections thereto; that thereafter and more than 10 days prior to said hearing the said resolution was published and notices of the passage of said resolution were posted along the line of said streets, in time, form and manner required by Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series); that said hearing was held at the time and place aforesaid, and that all objections made at said hearing have been properly overruled.

Section 2. Pursuant to the foregoing recitals and in accordance with the provisions of said Ordinane No. 5636 (New Series), set-back lines are hereby established as follows:

Along both sides of Fortieth avenue, commencing at points 100 feet northerly from Taraval street, and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 6 feet, thence northerly to Santiago street, said set-back lines to be 9 feet.

Along the westerly side of Forty-first avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Taraval street, and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly to a point 100 feet southerly from Santiago street, said set-back line to be 9 feet; along the easterly side of Forty-first avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Taraval street, and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly to Santiago street, said set-back line to be 9 feet.

Along both sides of Forty-second avenue, commencing at points 100 feet northerly from Taraval street, and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 6 feet; thence northerly 350 feet, said set-back lines to be 9 feet.

Along both sides of Forty-third avenue, commencing at points 100 feet northerly from Taraval street, and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 6 feet; thence northerly 350 feet, said set-back lines to be 9 feet.

Along both sides of Forty-fourth avenue, commencing at points 100 feet northerly from Taraval street, and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 6 feet; thence northerly 350 feet, said set-back lines to be 9 feet.

As shown on the maps filed in the office of the Board of Supervisors and to which reference is hereby made for further particulars.

Section 3. No structures shall be erected in the area between said set-back lines and the street lines except as provided in said Ordinance

No. 5636 (New Series), and the penalties for the violation of this ordinance shall be as fixed by the ordinance aforesaid.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Hayden, Kent, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Todd —13.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Havenner, McSheehy, Stanton —5.

Bill No. 8158, Ordinance No. 7670 (New Series), as follows:

Establishing set-back lines along portions of Thirty-ninth avenue, Thirty-first avenue, Thirty-second avenue, Thirty-third avenue and Thirty-fourth avenue.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby recited that on the 18th day of July, 1927, the Board of Supervisors adopted Resolution of Intention No. 139, to establish set-back lines along Thirty-ninth avenue, Thirty-first avenue, Thirty-second avenue, Thirty-third avenue and Thirty-fourth avenue, and fixed the 22nd day of August, 1927, at 11 o'clock a. m., at the chambers of the Board of Supervisors, as the time and place for hearing objections thereto; that thereafter and more than 10 days prior to said hearing the said resolution was published and notices of the passage of said resolution were posted along the line of said streets, in time, form and manner required by Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series); that said hearing was held at the time and place aforesaid, and that all objections made at said hearing have been properly overruled.

Section 2. Pursuant to the foregoing recitals and in accordance with the provisions of said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), set-back lines are hereby established as follows:

Along both sides of Thirty-ninth avenue, commencing at points 100 feet northerly from Judah street, and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 6 feet; thence northerly 300 feet, said set-back lines to be 9 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 3 feet.

Along both sides of Thirty-first avenue, commencing at points 100 feet northerly from Kirkham street, and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 6 feet; thence northerly 300 feet, said set-back lines to be 9 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 3 feet.

Along both sides of Thirty-second avenue, commencing at points 100 feet northerly from Kirkham street, and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 6 feet; thence northerly 300 feet, said set-back lines to be 9 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 3 feet.

Along both sides of Thirty-third avenue, commencing at points 100 feet northerly from Kirkham street, and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 6 feet; thence northerly 300 feet, said set-back lines to be 9 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 3 feet.

Along the westerly side of Thirty-fourth avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Kirkham street, and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 275 feet, said set-back line to be 9 feet; thence northerly 37½ feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 37½ feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; along the easterly side of Thirty-fourth avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Kirkham street, and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 300 feet, said set-back line to be 9 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet.

As shown on the maps filed in the office of the Board of Supervisors and to which reference is hereby made for further particulars.

Section 3. No structures shall be erected in the area between said set-back lines and the street lines except as provided in said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), and the penalties for the violation of this ordinance shall be as fixed by the ordinance aforesaid.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Hayden, Kent, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Todd —13.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco,

Bath, Havenner, McSheehy, Stanton —5.

Bill No. 8159, Ordinance No. 7671 (New Series), as follows:

Establishing set-back lines along portions of Thirty-fifth avenue, Thirty-sixth avenue, Thirty-seventh avenue and Thirty-eighth avenue.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby recited that on the 18th day of July, 1927, the Board of Supervisors adopted Resolution of Intention No. 140, to establish set-back lines along Thirty-fifth avenue, Thirty-sixth avenue, Thirty-seventh avenue and Thirty-eighth avenue, and fixed the 22nd day of August, 1927, at 11 o'clock a. m., at the chambers of the Board of Superisors as the time and place for hearing objections thereto; that thereafter and more than 10 days prior to said hearing the said resolution was published and notices of the passage of said resolution were posted along the line of said streets, in time, form and manner required by Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series); that said hearing was held at the time and place aforesaid, and that all objections made at said hearing have been properly overruled.

Section 2. Pursuant to the foregoing recitals and in accordance with the provisions of said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), set-back lines are hereby established as follows:

Along the westerly side of Thirty-fifth avenue, commencing at Kirkham street, and running thence northerly 450 feet, said set-back line to be 9 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; along the easterly side of Thirty-fifth avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Kirkham street, and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 300 feet, said set-back line to be 9 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set back line to be 3 feet.

Along both sides of Thirty-sixth avenue, commencing at points 100 feet northerly from Kirkham street, and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 6 feet; thence northerly 300 feet, said set-back lines to be 9 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 3 feet.

Along both sides of Thirty-seventh avenue, commencing at points 100 feet northerly from Kirkham street, and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 6 feet; thence northerly 300 feet, said set-back lines to be 9 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 3 feet.

Along both sides of Thirty-eighth avenue, commencing at points 100 feet northerly from Kirkham street, and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 6 feet; thence northerly 300 feet, said set-back lines to be 9 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 3 feet.

As shown on the maps filed in the office of the Board of Supervisors and to which reference is hereby made for further particulars.

Section 3. No structures shall be erected in the area between said set-back lines and the street lines except as provided in said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), and the penalties for the violation of this ordinance shall be as fixed by the ordinance aforesaid.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Hayden, Kent, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Todd —13.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Havenner, McSheehy, Stanton —5.

Authorizing Plans and Specifications for a Chapel at the San Francisco Hospital.

Bill No. 8160, Ordinance No. 7664 (New Series), as follows:

Authorizing the preparation of plans and specifications for the construction of a chapel at the San Francisco Hospital, and authorizing and directing the Board af Public Works to enter into contract for the construction of said chapel.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized, instructed and empowered to prepare plans and specifications for the construction of a chapel at the San Francisco Hospital, and to enter into contract for said construction in accordance with the plans and specifications prepared therefor.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Hayden, Kent, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Todd —13.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Havenner, McSheehy, Stanton —5.

Repealing so Much of Ordinance No. 7048 (New Series) as Establishes Set-back Lines Along Vicente Street Between Fourteenth and Fifteenth Avenues.

Bill No. 8161, Ordinance No. 7673 (New Series), as follows:

Repealing so much of Ordinance No. 7048 (New Series) as establishes set-back lines along the northerly side of Vicente street between Fourteenth and Fifteenth avenues, and on the northerly side of Vicente street commencing at Seventeenth avenue and running thence easterly 97 feet.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. So much of Ordinance No. 7048 (New Series), approved April 16, 1926, as establishes setback lines along the northerly side of Vicente street between Fourteenth and Fifteenth avenues, and along the northerly side of Vicente street, commencing at Seventeenth avenue and running thence easterly 97 feet, is hereby repealed.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Hayden, Kent, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Todd —13.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Havenner, McSheehy, Stanton —5.

Underground District No. 23.

Bill No. 8162, Ordinance No. 7674 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Order No. 214 (Second Series), entitled "Providing for placing wires and conduits underground in the City and County of San Francisco," by adding a new section thereto to be known as Section 1r.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1r. An additional district to those heretofore described within which it shall be unlawful to maintain poles and overhead wires after January 1, 1928, is hereby designated, to-wit:

Underground District No. 23. Hayes street from Franklin to Laguna streets.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Hayden, Kent, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Todd —13.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Havenner, McSheehy, Stanton —5.

Amending Zoning Ordinace, Worcester Avenue.

Bill No. 8165, Ordinance No. 7672 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings, and the locations of buildings designed for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Section 13 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place the northwesterly side of Worcester avenue commencing at a point 167 feet 9 inches northwesterly from Beverly street and running thence northwesterly 106 feet 9 inches, and extending to the depth of the rear lot lines, in the Commercial District instead of the First Residential District.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Hayden, Kent, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Todd —13.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Havenner, McSheehy, Stanton —5.

PRESENTATION OF BILLS AND ACCOUNTS.

Your Finance Committee, having examined miscellaneous demands not required by law to be passed to print, and amounting to $59,891.40, recommends same be allowed and ordered paid.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Hayden, Kent, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Todd —13.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Havenner, McSheehy, Stanton —5.

NEW BUSINESS.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Authorizations.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

Park Fund.

(1) B. Rosenberg, balance, per contract, for alteration of Kezar Playfield (claim dated Aug. 26, 1927), $2,059.05.

(2) Standard Fence Company, installation of fencing at Mission Park (claim dated Aug. 26, 1927), $1,099.

(3) Standard Fence Company, installation of fencing, platforms, etc., at elephant course, Children's Playground (claim dated Aug. 26, 1927), $851.

(4) Langendorf Baking Company, bread furnished (claim dated Aug. 26, 1927), $835.93.

(5) A. G. Spalding & Bros., golf balls (claim dated Aug. 26, 1927), $871.20.

(6) Swift & Co., hams, etc. (claim dated Aug. 26, 1927), $650.63.

Tearing-up Streets Fund.

(7) California Pottery Company, side-sewer pipe (claim dated Aug. 20, 1927), $1,050.

Hetch Hetchy Water Bonds. Issue 1925.

(For account of Hetch Hetchy water construction.)

(8) Dodge, Sweeney & Co., groceries (claim dated Aug. 18, 1927), $644.37.

(9) Hercules Powder Company, explosives (claim dated Aug. 18, 1927), $827.04.

(10) Holbrook, Merrill & Stetson, ranges, drains, etc. (claim dated Aug. 18, 1927), $514.16.

(11) Ingersoll-Rand Co., machinery parts (claim dated Aug. 19, 1927), $4,065.08.

(12) H. R. Landfare Co., cedar poles (claim dated Aug. 18, 1927), $745.25.

(13) The Charles Nelson Co., lumber (claim dated Aug. 18, 1927), $568.70.

(14) Santa Fe Lumber Co., lumber (claim dated Aug. 18, 1927), $720.27.

(15) Westinghouse Electric and Manufacturing Company, pole line insulators (claim dated Aug. 23, 1927), $6,298.50.

Special School Tax.

(16) Edward F. Dowd, electrical fixtures for additional units to Galileo High School (claim dated Aug. 22, 1927), $4,395.

(17) E. K. Nelson, conditional acceptance payment, general construction of addition to Madison School (claim dated Aug. 24, 1927), $27,271.87.

School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923.

(18) John Reid, Jr., architectural services for Sherman School, fifth payment (claim dated Aug. 23, 1927), $967.03.

(19) John Reid, Jr., third payment, architectural services for Hearst-Moulder School (claim dated Aug. 23, 1927), $826.68.

(20) R. Brandlein & Co., primary tables for schools (claim dated Aug. 23, 1927), $3,041.28.

Municipal Railway Fund.

(21) J. E. French Co., one Graham Bros. truck for Municipal Railways (claim dated Aug. 22, 1927), $2,127.50.

(22) Hancock Bros., Inc., transfers (claim dated Aug. 23, 1927), $540.

(23) Pacific Gas & Electric Co., electric service, Municipal Railways (claim dated Aug. 22, 1927), $37,-444.74.

(24) Penny, Stephenson & Bossana, services rendered during July in audit of accounts of Municipal Railways (claim dated Aug. 22, 1927), $790.

(25) White Bros., lumber, Municipal Railways (claim dated Aug. 22, 1927), $550.

(26) Market Street Railway Co., electric power furnished Municipal Railways (claim dated Aug. 19, 1927), $3,341.07.

(27) Market Street Railway Co., reimbursement under agreement of December 12, 1918 (claim dated Aug. 19, 1927), $1,565.23.

Municipal Railway Depreciation Fund.

(28) Graybar Electric Company, conduit material for Municipal Railways (claim dated Aug. 23, 1927), $6,015.20.

Special School Tax.

(29) J. H. McCallum, lumber for schools (claim dated Aug. 24, 1927), $1,507.65.

General Fund, 1927-1928.

(30) C. Nauman & Co., potatoes, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Aug. 25, 1927), $732.65.

(31) California Petroleum Company, fuel oil, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Aug. 25, 1927), $2,139.80.

(32) Johnson & Johnson, gauze, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Aug. 25, 1927), $2,395.

(33) Herbert F. Dugan, surgical supplies, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Aug. 25, 1927), $795.55.

(34) Haas Bros., groceries, San

Francisco Hospital (claim dated Aug. 25, 1927), $588.35.

(35) J. A. Folger & Co., coffee, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Aug. 25, 1927), $540.

(36) Sussman, Wormser & Co., groceries, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Aug. 25, 1927), $1,429.20.

(37) Del Monte Meat Company, meats, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Aug. 25, 1927), $1,518.07.

(38) H. Moffat Co., meats, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Aug. 25, 1927), $1,824.98.

(39) California Meat Company, meats, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Aug. 25, 1927), $604.64.

(40) San Francisco Dairy Company, milk, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Aug. 25, 1927), $4,651.02.

(41) Morris & Co., butter, etc., San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Aug. 25, 1927), $1,812.53.

(42) J. T. Freitas Co., eggs, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Aug. 25, 1927), $1,400.30.

(43) Edward McRoskey Mattress Co., mattresses for Tubercular Preventorium (claim dated Aug. 25, 1927), $1,548.75.

(44) San Francisco Bulletin, official advertising (claim dated Aug. 29, 1927), $665.70.

(45) The Spring Valley Water Company, water used for street sprinkling (claim dated Aug. 19, 1927), $526.96.

(46) California Petroleum Corporation, fuel oil for Civic Center Power House (claim dated Aug. 19, 1927), $823.14.

(47) Symon Brothers, teams furnished for street cleaning (claim dated Aug. 19, 1927), $747.50.

(48) Eclipse Lime & Cement Co., cement for street repair (claim dated Aug. 23, 1927), $1,761.50.

(49) T. M. Hughes, document cases for County Clerk (claim dated Aug. 22, 1927), $2,235.40.

(50) A. Carlisle Co., printing for County Clerk (claim dated July 19, 1927), $547.95.

(51) Keystone Construction Co., improvement of Rutland street between Arleta and Harkness streets (claim dated Aug. 24, 1927), $5,000.

(52) E. J. & M. J. Treacy, grading of Hampshire street between Army and Twenty-fifth streets (claim dated Aug. 24, 1927), $1,583.33.

(53) Board of Park Commissioners, to reimburse Park Fund for beautification of Civic Center (claim dated Aug. 26, 1927), $894.55.

(54) Board of Park Commissioners, to reimurse Park Fund for labor and material for improvement of Larson Park (claim dated Aug. 26, 1927), $20,000.

County Road Fund.

(55) Keystone Construction Co., final payment for improvement of Douglass street between Twentieth and Twenty-first streets (claim dated Aug. 24, 1927), $8,690.33.

(56) James M. Smith, third payment, improvement of Castro street between Twenty-ninth and Thirtieth streets, etc. (claim dated Aug. 24, 1927), $2,750.

(57) James M. Smith, eighth payment, improvement of Market street extension, Mono to Ord streets (claim dated Aug. 24, 1927), $18,000.

General Fund, 1926-1927.

(58) Standard Underground Cable Co., cable for Department of Electricity (claim dated June 30, 1927), $4.724.60.

(59) Calfornia Metal & Radiator Works, Fire Department truck equipment (claim dated June 30, 1927), $646.

(60) Dow Pump & Diesel Engine Co., pump parts, Fire Department (claim dated June 30, 1927), $714.75.

(61) D. N. & E. Walter & Co., linoleum for Fire Department buildings (claim dated June 30, 1927), $877.13.

(62) Louis J. Cohn, first payment, sewer construction, Fleishhacker Playfield (claim dated Aug. 24, 1927), $2,888.75.

(63) Standard Oil Co., asphalt for street repair (claim dated June 30, 1927), $3,445.96.

(64) Louis T. Samuels, rent of premises, No. 333 Kearny street, month ending July 3, 1927), $1,185.30.

Publicity and Advertising.

(65) San Francisco Conention and Tourist League, printing and expense for account of the publicity and advertising of San Francisco (claim dated Aug. 29, 1927), $3,813.41.

General Fund, 1927-1928.

(66) Automatic Registering Machine Co., payment for voting machines, Department of Elections (claim dated Aug. 11, 1927), $32,150.

(67) Empire Planing Mill, millwork and fixtures furnished for account of City's departments installed in No. 333 Kearny street (claim dated Aug. 29, 1927), $789.55.

(Supervisor Powers requested to be recorded voting *no* on Item No. 64.)

Appropriations for Repair and Painting of Bridges, Etc.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned funds for the following purposes, to-wit:

County Road Fund.

(1) For the reconstruction of Bryant street from Ninth to Eleventh streets, $9,900.

Preventorium, San Mateo County—Budget Item No. 57.

(2) For the purchase of equipment for the Preventorium, including dry goods, furniture, groceries, etc., $6,025.29.

(3) For August payroll, nursing, culinary, grounds and teaming, $1,060.50.

Repair and Painting of Bridges—Budget Item No. 37.

(4) For cost of overhauling operating motors on Third and Channel streets bridge, and additional work on the electrically operated brakes with motors, $525.

Appropriations for the Publicity and Advertising of San Francisco.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of Publicity and Advertising, Appropriation 55, for the following purposes; being for the publicity and advertising of San Francisco, to-wit:

(1) For the expense of the City's participation in the Admission Day celebration, Septemer 9, 1927, at Santa Cruz, including for float, band and representatives, $1,335.

(2) For expense in connection with the 44th annual reunion of the "Old-Time Telegraphers and Historical Association," to be held in San Francisco October 4, 5 and 6, 1927, $550.

Appropriations, Joseph Collard et al., Being Payment for Properties Required for Paul Revere School.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside and appropriated out of Special School Tax, and authorized in payment to the hereinafter named claimants; being payments for properties required for the Paul Revere School, to-wit:

(1) To Joseph Collard, for Lot 26, Block 5728, Assessor's Map Book, with improvements, $1,500.

(2) To Mary E. Collard, for Lot 27, Block 5728, Assessor's Map Book, $800.

(Per acceptance of offers by Resolutions Nos. 27731 and 27732 (New Series).

Authorizing, Etc., the Reconstruction and Repair of Certain Accepted Streets.

Also, Bill No. 8166. Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Authorizing and ordering the reconstruction and repair of certain accepted streets in the City and County of San Francisco, under the supervision of the Board of Public Works of said City and County during the fiscal year ending June 30, 1928, and appropriating funds for the cost thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works of the City and County of San Francisco is hereby authorized and ordered to reconstruct and repair, under its supervision, those certain accepted streets in said City and County, particularly designated and described in Ordinance No. 7558 (New Series), approved June 6, 1927.

There is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended by the said Board of Public Works the sum of $13,860 payable out of Item No. 81, Budget of 1927-1928, for the reconstruction and repair of the following streets, to-wit:

Filbert street, Polk street to Van Ness avenue	$ 4,500
Jones street, Jackson to Pacific streets	1,800
Twenty-eighth street, Noe to Sanchez streets	3,060
Divisadero street, Green to Union streets	4,500
Total	$13.860

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Plans, Etc., for the Construction of an Annex to the Paul Revere School.

Also, Bill No. 8167, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the preparation of plans and specifications for and the construction of an annex to the Paul Revere School in accordance with the plans and specifications prepared therefor and approved by the Board of Education; said annex to be erected on City property situate on Folsom street and Tompkins avenue; authorizing and directing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for the construction of said annex.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized, instructed and empowered to prepare plans and specifications for and to enter into contract for the construction of an annex to the Paul Revere School in accordance with the plans and specifications prepared therefor and as approved by the Board of Education; said annex to be erected on City property situate on Folsom street and Tompkins avenue.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Plans, Etc., for the Construction and Extension of the Thirtieth Avenue Main Sewer.

Also, Bill No. 8168, Ordinance No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Authorizing the preparation of plans and specifications for the construction and extension of the Thirtieth avenue main sewer, Sunset District, Contract No. 5, and ordering the construction and extension of the Thirtieth avenue main sewer, Sunset District, Contract No. 5, in accordance with plans and specifications prepared therefor; authorizing and directing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for said sewer construction.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized, instructed and empowered to prepare plans and specifications for the construction and extension of the Thirtieth avenue main sewer, Sunset District, Contract No. 5, and to enter into contract for the construction and extension of the Thirtieth avenue main sewer, Sunset District, Contract No. 5, in accordance with plans and specifications prepared therefor.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Action Deferred.

The following resolution was, on motion, *laid over one week*:

Transfer of Funds From Twin Peaks Tunnel Assessment Fund to Municipal Railway Fund.

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $42,508.21 be and is hereby ordered transferred from the Twin Peaks Ridge Tunnel Assessment Fund to the Municipal Railway Fund, and the Board of Public Works, Tax Collector, Auditor and Treasurer are hereby directed to make such entries in their official records and books of account as will correctly show such transfer. Said transfer is for the purpose of reimbursing said Municipal Railway Fund for moneys advanced therefrom and used in the construction of the Twin Peaks Tunnel project.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Oil Tank Permits.

On motion of Supervisor Deasy:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits be and are hereby granted:

Oil Tanks.

Harry A. Allen, 2936 Divisadero street, 1500 gallons capacity.

L. H. Allyne, north side of Filbert street, 80 feet east of Divisadero street, 1500 gallons capacity.

W. F. Culberson, 30 West Clay street, 750 gallons capacity.

Henry Ernst & Son, north side of Delgado place, 120 feet east of Hyde street, 1500 gallons capacity.

A. J. Herzig, west side of Urbano drive 104 feet south of Moncada avenue, 750 gallons capacity.

A. J. Herzig, west side of Urbano drive, 160 feet south of Moncada avenue, 750 gallons capacity.

Jos. A. Maneggie, 722 Taylor street, 1500 gallons capacity.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits shall become null and void.

Transfer of Public Garage Permit.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Ben Goldberg be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred to him public garage permit heretofore granted M. Johnson by Resolution No. 24517 (New Series) for premises on the east side of Twentieth avenue, 125 feet north of Irving street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Laundry Permit.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Al Cerveau and Jos. Congrea be and are hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate a laundry at 1977 Pine street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Fixing Sidewalk Widths on Doric Alley.

On motion of Supervisor Marks:

Bill No. 8169, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered nine hundred and seventy-four.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Board of Public Works filed in this office August 19, 1927, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered nine hundred and seventy-four, to read as follows:

Section 974. The width of sidewalks on Doric alley between Jackson street and its southerly termination is hereby dispensed with and abolished.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect and be in force from and after its passage.

Fixing Sidewalk Widthts on Twenty-third Street.

Also, Bill No. 8170, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061 entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered nine hundred and seventy-five.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Board of Public Works filed in this office August 19, 1927, by adding thereto a new section, to be numbered nine hundred and seventy-five, to read as follows:

Section 975. The width of sidewalks on Twenty-third street, the northerly side of, between Third street and its easterly terminaton, shall be twelve (12) feet.

The width of sidewalks on Twenty-third street, the southerly side of, between Third street and its easterly termination, is hereby dispensed with and abolished.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect and be in force from and after its passage.

Fixing Sidewalk Widths on Fourteenth Avenue.

Also, Bill No. 8171, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered nine hundred and seventy-seven.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Board of Public Works filed in this office August 24, 1927, by adding thereto a new section, to be numbered nine hundred and seventy-seven, to read as follows:

Section 977. The width of sidewalks on Fourteenth avenue between Quintara street and a line 420 feet southerly from Quintara street shall be fifteen (15) feet.

The width of sidewalks on Fourteenth avenue between Rivera street and a line 100 feet northerly from Rivera street shall be twenty-four (24) feet.

The width of sidewalks on Fourteenth avenue between the lines respectively 100 feet northerly from Rivera street and 420 feet southerly from Quintara street, the outside line bounding the curb, shall be reverse curve connecting said points.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect and be in force from and after its passage.

Fixing Sidewalk Widths on Haight Street.

Also, Bill No. 8172, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered nine hundred and eighty-one.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Board of Public Works filed in this office August 24, 1927, by adding thereto a new section, to be

numbered nine hundred and eighty-one, to read as follows:

Section 981. The width of sidewalks on Haight street between Buchanan street and Baker street shall be twelve (12) feet.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect and be in force from and after its passage.

Fixing Sidewalk Widths on Duboce Avenue.

Also, Bill No. 8173, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered nine hundred and eighty.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Board of Public Works filed in this office August 24, 1927, by adding thereto a new section, to be numbered nine hundred and eighty, to read as follows:

Section 980. The width of sidewalks on Duboce avenue between Market street and the easterly line of Scott street produced southerly shall be twelve (12) feet.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect and be in force from and after its passage.

Fixing Sidewalk Widths on Irving Street.

Also, Bill No. 8174, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered nine hundred and seventy-eight.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Board of Public Works filed in this office August 24, 1927, by adding thereto a new section, to be numbered nine hundred and seventy-eight, to read as follows:

Section 978. The width of sidewalks on Irving street between Arguello boulevard and Ninth avenue shall be twelve (12) feet.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect and be in force from and after its passage.

Fixing Sidewalk Widths on Ninth Avenue.

Also, Bill No. 8175, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered nine hundred and seventy-nine.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Board of Public Works filed in this office August 24, 1927, by adding thereto a new section, to be numbered nine hundred and seventy-nine, to read as follows:

Section 979. The width of sidewalks on Ninth avenue between Irving street and Judah street shall be twelve (12) feet.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect and be in force from and after its passage.

Fixing Sidewalk Widths on Cayuga Avenue.

Also, Bill No. 8176, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered nine hundred and seventy-six.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Board of Public Works filed in this office August 19, 1927, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered nine hundred and seventy-six, to read as follows:

Section 976. The width of sidewalks on Cayuga avenue between Onondaga avenue and Oneida avenue shall be ten (10) feet.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect and be in force from and after its passage.

Blasting Permit.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Eaton & Smith are hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to explode blasts for the purpose of improving Twenty-fifth street between Rhode Island and De Haro streets, provided said permittee shall execute and file a good and sufficient bond in the sum of $5,000, as fixed by the Board of Public Works and approved by his Honor the Mayor, in accordance with Ordinance No. 1204; provided, also, that said blasts shall be exploded only between the hours of 7 a. m. and 6 p. m., and that the work of blasting shall be performed to the satisfaction of the Board of Public Works, and that if any of the conditions of this resolution be violated by said Eaton & Smith, then the privileges and all the rights accruing thereunder shall immediately become null and void.

Blasting Permit.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That H. V. Tucker Co. is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to explode blasts for the purpose of grading Joost avenue between Edna and Detroit streets, provided said permittee shall execute and file a good and sufficient bond in the sum of $5,000, as fixed by the Board of Public Works and approved by his Honor the Mayor, in accordance with Ordinance No. 1204; provided, also, that said blasts shall be exploded only between the hours of 7 a. m. and 6 p. m.. and that the work of blasting shall be performed to the satisfaction of the Board of Public Works, and that if any of the conditions of this resolution be violated by said H. V. Tucker Co., then the privileges and all the rights accruing thereunder shall immediately become null and void.

Regulating Moving Travel and Traffic of Motor Vehicles and Regulating Weights and Speed Thereof.

On motion of Supervisor Todd:

Bill No. 8177, Ordinance No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Regulating moving travel and traffic of motor vehicles and regulating weights and speed thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. It shall be unlawful for any motor or other vehicle to be operated on or over any public street on wheels, rollers or otherwise, except when transported in or on vehicles running exclusively on stationary rollers or tracks, in excess of a total weight, including load, of 30,000 pounds, when such motor or other vehicle is equipped with four wheels running on a highway, or in excess of a total weight, including load, of 40,000 pounds, when such motor or other vehicle shall be equipped with six wheels running on the highway, and with three axles not less than 96 inches apart; provided, however, that hauling or moving of a single article which, added to tare of vehicle, would make a greater weight than above named, shall not be prohibited.

Section 2. It shall be unlawful for any motor or other vehicle to be operated over any public highway the weight of which, including load, resting on the surface of the highway, exceeds seven hundred and fifty pounds upon any inch of the channel base width of tire; nor shall the weight on any one axle be in excess of twenty-two thousand pounds, nor on any one wheel of eleven thousand pounds.

Section 3. It shall be unlawful for the driver of a motor vehicle to drive the same upon any public highway in the City and County of San Francisco, except in conformity with the weights hereinabove specified, or at a speed in excess of the following:

When gross weight of vehicle and load is nine thousand pounds or more than twelve thousand pounds, maximum speed 20 miles per hour.

Over twelve thousand pounds but not over twenty-two thousand pounds, maximum speed 15 miles per hour.

Over twenty-two thousand pounds, maximum speed 12 miles per hour.

Section 4. Any person, firm or corporation violating any of the provisions of this ordinance shall be deemed guilty of a misdemeanor, and upon conviction thereof shall be fined for each and every offense not more than three hundred ($300) dollars, or by imprisonment for a term not exceeding three months in the County Jail of the City and County of San Francisco, or by both such fine and imprisonment.

Section 5. This ordinance shall

be in force and take effect immediately.

Appropriation, $300, to Defray Cost of Outlet Sewer in La Salle Avenue.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 27727 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $300 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of "Extension and Reconstruction of Sewers," Budget Item No. 38, to defray cost of extending the existing outlet sewer in La Salle avenue for a distance of approximately 300 feet northerly.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Hayden, Kent, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Todd —13.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Havenner, McSheehy, Stanton —5.

Acceptance of Statement of Market Street Railway Company.

Also, Resolution No. 27728 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the statements heretofore filed by the Market Street Railway Company, showing gross receipts from passenger fares for the month of July, 1927, upon which percentages in the following amounts are due the City and County under terms of franchises be and the same are hereby accepted, to-wit:

Parkside Transit Co., etc., $529.37.
Gough Street Railroad Co., $45.41.
Parnassus Avenue, etc., $298.19.

Further Resolved, That the Market Street Railway Company be and is hereby directed to deposit with the Treasurer of the City and County the hereinabove mentioned sums, the same to be placed to the credit of the General Fund.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Hayden, Kent, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Todd —13.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Havenner, McSheehy, Stanton —5.

Acceptance of Offer to Sell Land Required for the Opening of Bernal Cut.

Also, Resolution No. 27729 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the owners of the following described land situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and sought to be acquired by the City and County of San Francisco for the opening of Bernal Cut, extending from Mission and Randall streets southwesterly along the Southern Pacific Railroad right of way to the intersection of San Jose avenue and Circular avenue, has offered to convey the property desired by said City and County for the sum set forth opposite her name, viz.:

Ellen Treacy, $4,250—Commencing at a point on the southeasterly line of Arlington street, distant thereon three hundred and seventy-two (372) feet northeasterly from the northeasterly line of Miguel street, running thence northeasterly and along said southeasterly line of Arlington street twenty-five (25) feet; thence at right angles southeasterly eighty-eight (88) feet, more or less, to the Southern Pacific Company's right of way; thence southwesterly twenty-five (25) feet, more or less, to point three hundred and seventy-two (372) feet northeasterly from Miguel street, measured on a line drawn perpendicularly thereto; thence northwesterly parallel with Miguel street to the southeasterly line of Arlington street and the point of commencement.

Being a part of Block No. 36, Fairmount Tract, as per map thereof recorded March 12, 1864, in the office of the County Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California.

Whereas, the City Attorney has recommended the acceptance of the said offer and the acquisition of the property owned by said person and offered to the City and County of San Francisco for the price set forth opposite her name, which said price is in accordance with the City's appraisement of the property; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the said offer of sale be accepted and the City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to examine the title of said property, and, if the same is found in satisfactory condition, to accept, in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, a deed conveying title thereto, and file the same for record with a copy of this resolution attached thereto as evidence of acceptance by the City and County of San Francisco, upon payment of the agreed purchase price.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Hayden, Kent, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Todd —13.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Havenner, McSheehy, Stanton —5.

Acceptance of Offer to Sell Land Situate on the Northeasterly Line of the Blaine Deering and Samuels Tract.

Also, Resolution No. 27730 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, an offer has been received from Estate of Mary A. Tobin to convey to the City and County of San Francisco certain land situate on the northeasterly line of the Blaine Deering and Samuels Tract, distant northwesterly 1541 feet from the westerly line of Schwerin street; and

Whereas, the price at which said parcel of land is offered is the reasonable value thereof; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the offer of the said owner to convey to the City and County of San Francisco a good and sufficient fee simple title to the following described land, free of all encumbrances, for the sum of $45,260, be and the same is hereby accepted, the said land being described as follows, to-wit:

Beginning at a point on the northeasterly line of the Blaine Deering and Samuels Tract, as per map recorded in Map Book "L," pages 26 and 27, records of the City and County of San Francisco, said point being distant northwesterly along said northeasterly line 1541 feet from the westerly line of Schwerin street, and running thence northwesterly along said northeasterly line and along the prolongation thereof 3040.06 feet, more or less, to the easterly boundary line of the Crocker Amazon Tract, Subdivision No. 2; thence northeasterly along said easterly boundary and its extension northerly 327.36 feet, more or less, to its intersection with the westerly prolongation of a line drawn parallel with and distant northeasterly at right angles 324.06 feet from said northeasterly line of the Blaine Deering and Samuels Tract; thence southeasterly along the prolongation of said line so drawn and along said line 3044.68 feet, more or less, to a point distant northwesterly thereon 1541 feet from said westerly line of Schwerin street; thence southwesterly at right angles and parallel with said westerly line of Schwerin street 324.06 feet to the point of beginning.

The City Attorney is hereby directed to examine the title to said land, and if the same is found to be vested in the aforesaid owner, free of all encumbrances, and that the taxes up to and including the current fiscal year are paid, and that the so-called McEnerney title has been procured, or sufficient money reserved for the purpose of procuring the same, to report the result of his examination to the Board of Supervisors, and also to cause a good and sufficient deed for said land to be executed and delivered to the City and County upon payment of the agreed purchase price as aforesaid. And the said deed to said land is hereby accepted.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Hayden, Kent, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Todd —13.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Havenner, McSheehy, Stanton —5.

Acceptance of Offer to Sell Land Required for School Purposes.

Also, Resolution No. 27731 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, an offer has been received from Joseph Collard to convey to the City and County of San Francisco certain land, Block 5728, Lot 26, Assessor's Map Book, with improvements, required for school purposes; and

Whereas, the price at which said parcel of land is offered is the reasonable value thereof; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the offer of the said owner to convey to the City and County of San Francisco a good and sufficient fee simple title to the following described land, free of all encumbrances, for the sum of $1,500, be and the same is hereby accepted, the said land being described as follows, to-wit:

Block 5728, Lot 26, with improvements, Assessor's Map Book.

The City Attorney is hereby directed to examine the title to said land, and, if the same is found to be vested in the aforesaid owner, free of all encumbrances, and that the taxes up to and including the current fiscal year are paid, and that the so-called McEnerney title has procured or sufficient money reserved for the purpose of procuring the same, to report the result of his examination to the Board of Supervisors, and also to cause a good and sufficient deed for said land to be executed and delivered to the City and County upon payment of the agreed purchase price as aforesaid. And the said deed to said land is hereby accepted.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Hayden, Kent, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Todd —13.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Havenner, McSheehy, Stanton —5.

Acceptance of Offer to Sell Land Required for School Purposes.

Also, Resolution No. 27732 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, an offer has been received from Mary E. Collard to convey to the City and County of San Francisco certain land, Block 5728, Lot 27, Assessor's Map Book, required for school purposes; and

Whereas, the price at which said parcel of land is offered is the reasonable value thereof; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the offer of the said owner to convey to the City and County of San Francisco a good and sufficient fee simple title to the following described land, free of all encumbrances, for the sum of $800 be and the same is hereby accepted, the said land being described as follows, to-wit:

Block No. 5728, Lot No. 27, Assessor's Map Book.

The City Attorney is hereby directed to examine the title to said land, and, if the same is found to be vested in the aforesaid owner, free of all encumbrances, and that the taxes up to and including the current fiscal year are paid, and that the so-called McEnerney title has been procured or sufficient money reserved for the purpose of procuring the same, to report the result of his examination to the Board of Supervisors, and also to cause a good and sufficient deed for said land to be executed and delivered to the City and County upon payment of the agreed purchase price as aforesaid. And the said deed to said land is hereby accepted.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Hayden, Kent, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Todd —13.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Havenner, McSheehy, Stanton —5.

Intention to Establish Set-back Lines No. 157.

Supervisor Hayden presented:

Resolution No. 27733 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the City Planning Commission has recommended that set-back lines be established along the streets hereinafter mentioned and as delineated upon maps accompanying said recommendations, to which reference is hereby made for further particulars; therefore, be it

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco to establish set-back lines as provided by Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), approved May 18, 1922, as follows:

Along the westerly side of Ralston street, commencing at Sargent street and running thence southerly 100 feet, said set-back line to be 10 feet.

And notice is hereby given that Monday, the 3d day of Ocotber, 1927, at the hour of 11 o'clock a. m., at the chambers of the Board of Supervisors, City Hall, in said City and County of San Francisco, has been fixed as the time and place when and where any and all persons having any objections to the establishing of the proposed set-back lines may appear and present any objections which they may have to said set-back lines as set forth in this resolution of intention.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Hayden, Kent, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Todd —13.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Havenner, McSheehy, Stanton —5.

Intention to Establish Set-back Lines No. 158.

Also, Resolution No. 27734 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the City Planning Commission has recommended that set-back lines be established along the streets hereinafter mentioned and as delineated upon maps accompanying said recommendations, to which reference is hereby made for further particulars; therefore, be it

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco to establish set-back lines as provided by Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), approved May 18, 1922, as follows:

Along the westerly side of Twenty-seventh avenue, commencing at Santiago street and running thence northerly 450 feet, said set-back line to be 9 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; along the easterly side of Twenty-seventh avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Santiago street and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 300 feet, said set-back line to

be 9 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet.

Along the westerly side of Forty-fourth avenue, commencing at Santiago street and running thence northerly 450 feet, said set-back line to be 9 feet: thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; along the easterly side of Forty-fourth avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Santiago street and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 300 feet said set-back line to be 9 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet.

Along the westerly side of Forty-seventh avenue, commencing at Ulloa street and running thence northerly 500 feet, said set-back line to be 9 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; along the easterly side of Forty-seventh avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Ulloa street and running thence northerly 350 feet, said set-back line to be 9 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet.

Along the westerly side of Twenty-fourth avenue, commencing at Wawona street and running thence northerly 450 feet, said set-back line to be 9 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; along the easterly side of Twenty-fourth avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Wawona street and running thence northerly to a point 87 feet southerly from Vicente street, said set-back line to be 14½ feet.

And notice is hereby given that Monday, the 3d day of October, 1927, at the hour of 11 o'clock a. m., at the chambers of the Board of Supervisors, City Hall, in said City and County of San Francisco, has been fixed as the time and place when and where any and all persons having any objections to the establishing of the proposed set-back lines may appear and present any objections which they may have to said set-back lines as set forth in this resolution of intention.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Hayden, Kent, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Todd —13.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Havenner, McSheehy, Stanton —5.

Intention to Establish Set-back Lines No. 159.

Also, Resolution No. 27735 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the City Planning Commission has recommended that set-back lines be established along the streets hereinafter mentioned and as delineated upon maps accompanying said recommendations, to which reference is hereby made for further particulars; therefore, be it

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco to establish set-back lines as provided by Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), approved May 18, 1922, as follows:

Along the westerly side of Seventeenth avenue, commencing at Lawton street and running thence northerly to a point 79 feet southerly from Kirkham street, said set-back line to be 9 feet; along the easterly side of Seventeneth avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Lawton street and running thence northerly 30 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; thence northerly 30 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly to Kirkham street, said set-back line to be 9 feet.

Along botn sides of Thirty-fourth avenue between Kirkham street and Lawton street, said set-back lines to be 9 feet.

Along both sides of Fortieth avenue, commencing at points 100 feet northerly from Lawton street and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 6 feet; thence northerly 300 feet, said set-back lines to be 9 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 3 feet.

Along both sides of Twenty-seventh avenue, commencing at Moraga street and running thence northerly 450 feet, said set-back lines to be 9 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 3 feet.

Along the westerly side of Twen-

ty-sixth avenue, commencing at Santiago street and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 2 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 4 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 250 feet, said set-back line to be 8 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 4 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 2 feet; along the easterly side of Twenty-sixth avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Santiago street and running thence northerly 37½ feet, said set-back line to be 2 feet; thence northerly 37½ feet, said set-back line to be 4 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly to Rivera street, said set-back line to be 8 feet.

And notice is hereby given that Monday, the 3d day of October, 1927, at the hour of 11 o'clock a. m., at the chambers of the Board of Supervisors, City Hall, in said City and County of San Francisco, has been fixed as the time and place when and where any and all persons having any objections to the establishing of the proposed set-back lines may appear and present any objections which they may have to said set-back lines as set forth in this resolution of intention.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Hayden, Kent, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Todd —13.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Havenner, McSheehy, Stanton —5.

Conveying to Town of Redwood City an Easement Over Hetch Hetchy Aqueduct Right of Way for the Construction and Maintenance of a Public Highway.

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Resolution No. 27736 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the City and County of San Francisco, by deed dated November 16, 1922, and recorded November 18, 1922, in Book 56 of Official Records, page 229, San Mateo County Records, acquired from C. A. Cooper & Co. certain lands situated in the Town of Redwood City, County of San Mateo, State of California, which lands form part of the Hetch Hetchy aqueduct right of way; and

Whereas, it is a condition of said deed that certain land described as Parcel 3 in said deed be deeded to the Town of Redwood City for street purposes; and

Whereas, the use of said Parcel 3 for street purposes under the conditions hereinafter set forth will not interfere with the use of said Parcel 3 for the purposes of the Hetch Hetchy aqueduct; and

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, by Resolution No. 20328 (New Series), approved October 6, 1922, authorized the acceptance of said deed from C. A. Hooper & Co., subject to the condition above set forth; and

Whereas, the Board of Trustees of the Town of Redwood City, by resolution passed and adopted August 12, 1927, authorized the acceptance by the Town of Redwood City of a deed conveying an easement for the construction, maintenance and use of a public road or highway over said Parcel 3, subject to the conditions hereinafter set forth; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That, in compliance with the condition of said deed from C. A. Hooper & Co., and in accordance with the recommendation of the City Engineer, the City and County of San Francisco convey to the Town of Redwood City an easement for the construction, maintenance and use of a public road or highway over said Parcel 3, which parcel is described as follows:

A portion of Lot Four (4) as shown and designated on a map entitled "Map of Subdivision No. 2 of Eagle Hill Villa Tract, Redwood City, San Mateo County, California," which was filed in the office of the County Recorder of San Mateo County March 20, 1912, in Book 8 of Maps, at page 5; said portion of said Lot 4 being described as follows:

Beginning at the point of intersection fo the northwesterly line of Jefferson avenue with the northerly line of Avenue Del Ora, thence westerly 236.78 feet along the northerly line of Avenue Del Ora; thence continuing along said northerly line of Avenue Del Ora 244.22 feet on a curve to the right with a radius of 656.78 feet; thence south 84 degrees 13 minutes east 483.23 feet to a point in the northwesterly line of Jefferson avenue; thence southwesterly 13.59 feet along said northwesterly line of Jefferson avenue to the point of beginning.

Said conveyance shall be made subject to the following conditions, viz:

First: The hereinabove described land shall be accepted by the Board

of Trustees of the Town of Redwood City as dedicated to public use for street purposes for the widening of Avenue Del Ora.

Second: In constructing and maintaining a street over and across said land, the Town of Redwood City, its contractors and agents, shall not interfere with, remove or injure any structures placed upon or in said land by the City and County of San Francisco.

Third: Before commencing construction of a street on said land, the Town of Redwood City shall furnish to the City Engineer of the City and County of San Francisco such information as to its plans for construction as will enable him to determine whether any protective structure over the Hetch Hetchy aqueduct pipe line or lines in said land is necessary.

Fourth: The City and County of San Francisco expressly retains the right at any time to make excavations and do other work necessary in said land for the purposes of constructing, maintaining and replacing aqueduct pipe lines or conduits and appurtenances thereto in the future. Be it

Further Resolved, That the Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco are hereby authorized to execute a deed on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco conveying said easement hereinabove mentioned to the Town of Redwood City, subject to said conditions hereinabove set forth.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman. Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Hayden, Kent, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Todd —13.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Havenner, McSheehy, Stanton —5.

Change of Grades.

Supervisor Marks presented:

Resolution No. 27737 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to change and establish grades on the following named streets, at the points hereinafter specified and at the elevations above city base, as hereinafter stated, in accordance with Resolution No. 98534 (Second Series) of the Board of Public Works, adopted August 12, 1927, and written recommendation of said Board filed August 16, 1927, to-wit:

On Fourteenth avenue between Vicente and Wawona streets.

The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that no assessment district is necessary, as no damage will result from said change of grades, inasmuch as the streets are ungraded and there are no existing street improvements.

The Board of Public Works is hereby directed to cause to be conspicuously posted along the street or streets upon which such change or modification of grade or grades is contemplated, notice of the passage of this resolution of intention.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Hayden, Kent, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Todd —13.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Havenner, McSheehy, Stanton —5.

Change of Grades.

Also, Resolution No. 27738 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to change and establish grades on the following named streets, at the points hereinafter specified and at the elevations above city base, as hereinafter stated, in accordance with Resolution No. 98552 (Second Series) of the Board of Public Works, adopted August 15, 1927, and written recommendation of said Board filed August 17, 1927, to-wit:

On De Haro street between Seventeenth and Mariposa streets.

The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that no assessment district is necessary, as no damage will result from said change of grades, inasmuch as the streets are ungraded and there are no existing street improvements.

The Board of Public Works is hereby directed to cause to be conspicuously posted along the street or streets upon which such change or modification of grade or grades is contemplated, notice of the passage of this resolution of intention.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman. Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Hayden, Kent, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Todd —13.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Havenner, McSheehy, Stanton —5.

Authorizing Execution of a Deed.

Also, Resolution No. 27739 (New Series), as follows:

Authorizing the execution of a deed by the Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors to an affronting and adjacent property owner to a portion of street closed

by Resolution No. 27119 (New Series),

Whereas, this Board on the 11th day of April, 1927 (after proceedings theretofore had pursuant to the provisions of Chapter III of Article VI of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco), duly adopted Resolution No. 27119 (New Series), closing and abandoning a portion of Bay View street, as in said resolution described; and

Whereas, on the 22d day of April, 1927, said resolution was duly approved by the Mayor of the City and County of San Francisco; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That a portion of said street closed and abandoned as aforesaid should be conveyed by the City and County of San Francisco to Patrick J., James J. and Milton T. O'Brien; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Mayor of the City and County of San Francisco and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco be and they are hereby authorized and directed, acting for and on behalf of said City and County, in its name and under its corporate seal, to execute, acknowledge and deliver to said Patrick J., James J. and Milton T. O'Brien a deed conveying to said Patrick J., James J. and Milton T. O'Brien all of the right, title and interest of the City and County of San Francisco in and to the parcel of land situate in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, more particularly described as follows, to-wit:

Beginning at the point of intersection of the southerly line of Bay View street produced westerly with the southeasterly line of Newhall street, and running thence easterly along the southerly line of Bay View street produced westerly a distance of 14 feet 9 inches; thence deflecting 89 deg. 14 min. 10 sec. to the left and running northerly 16.262 feet; thence southwesterly on a curve to the left of 37.006-foot radius, tangent to a line deflected 120 deg. 45 min. 46 sec. to the left from the preceding course, central angle 34 deg. 44 min. 52 sec., a distance of 22.442 feet to tangency with the southeasterly line of Newhall street at the point of beginning.

Being a portion of Bay View street as closed and abandoned by Resolution No. 27119 (New Series).

Be it Further Resolved, That the Clerk of this Board is hereby directed to advertise this resolution in The Bulletin as required by law.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Hayden, Kent, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Todd —13.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Havenner, McSheehy, Stanton —5.

Authorizing Execution of a Deed.

Also, Resolution No. 27740 (New Series), as follows:

Authorizing the execution of a deed by the Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors to an affronting and adjacent property owner to a portion of street closed by Resolution No. 27119 (New Series).

Whereas, this Board, on the 11th day of April, 1927 (after proceedings theretofore had pursuant to the provisions of Chapter III of Article VI of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco), duly adopted Resolution No. 27119 (New Series), closing and abandoning a portion of Bay View street as in said resolution described; and

Whereas, on the 22d day of April, 1927, said resolution was duly approved by the Mayor of the City and County of San Francisco; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That a portion of said street closed and abandoned as aforesaid should be conveyed by the City and County of San Francisco to Cornelius P. and Margaret C. Scannell; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Mayor of the City and County of San Francisco and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco be and they are hereby authorized and directed, acting for and on behalf of said City and County, in its name and under its corporate seal, to execute, acknowledge and deliver to said Cornelius P. and Margaret C. Scannell a deed conveying to said Cornelius P. and Margaret C. Scannell all of the right, title and interest of the City and County of San Francisco, in and to the parcels of land, situate in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, more particularly described as follows, to-wit:

Beginning at a point on the southerly line of Bay View street produced westerly, distant thereon 92 feet westerly from the westerly line of Flora street, and running thence westerly along the southerly

line of Bay View street produced westerly 25 feet 2 inches; thence deflecting 90 deg. 45 min. 50 sec. to the right and running northerly 16.262 feet; thence easterly on a curve to the right of 37.006-foot radius, tangent to a line deflected 59 deg. 14 min. 14 sec. to the right from the preceding course, central angle 40 deg. 01 min. 59 sec., a distance of 25.856 feet; thence southerly at right angles with the southerly line of Bay View street produced westerly and along a line deflected 79 deg. 57 min. 57 sec. to the right from the tangent to the preceding curve, a distance of 20.652 feet to the point of beginning.

Being a portion of Bay View street as closed and abandoned by Resolution No. 27119 (New Series). Be it

Further Resolved, That the Clerk of this Board is hereby directed to advertise this resolution in The Bulletin as required by law.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Hayden, Kent, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Todd —13.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Havenner, McSheehy, Stanton —5.

Authorizing Execution of a Deed.

Also, Resolution No. 27741 (New Series), as follows:

Authorizing the execution of a deed by the Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors to an affronting and adjacent property owner to a portion of street closed by Resolution No. 27119 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, this Board on the 11th day of April, 1927 (after proceedings theretofore had pursuant to the provisions of Chapter III of Article VI of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco), duly adopted Resolution No. 27119 (New Series), closing and abandoning a portion of Bay View street as in said resolution described; and

Whereas, on the 22d day of April, 1927, said resolution was duly approved by the Mayor of the City and County of San Francisco; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That a portion of said street closed and abandoned as aforesaid should be conveyed by the City and County of San Francisco to Margaret McCabe; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Mayor of the City and County of San Francisco and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco be and they are hereby authorized and directed, acting for and on behalf of said City and County, in its name and under its corporate seal, to execute, acknowledge and deliver to said Margaret McCable a deed conveying to said Margaret McCabe all of the right, title and interest of the City and County of San Francisco, in and to the parcel of land, situate in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, more particularly described as follows, to-wit:

Beginning at a point on the southerly line of Bay View street, distant thereon 23.048 feet westerly from the westerly line of Flora street, and running thence westerly along the southerly line of Bay View street produced westerly 68.952 feet; thence at right angles northerly 20.652 feet; thence easterly on a curve to the right of 37.006-foot radius, tangent to a line deflected 100 deg. 02 min. 03 sec. to the right from the preceding course, central angle 21 deg. 24 min. 00 sec., a distance of 13.822 feet; thence continuing easterly on a reverse curve to the left of 107.572-foot radius, tangent to the preceding curve, central angle 31 deg. 26 min. 03 sec., a distance of 59.017 feet to tangency with the southerly line of Bay View street at the point of beginning.

Being a portion of Bay View street as closed and abandoned by Resolution No. 27119 (New Series). Be it

Further Resolved, That the Clerk of this Board is hereby directed to advertise this resolution in The Bulletin as required by law.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Hayden, Kent, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Todd —13.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Havenner, McSheehy, Stanton —5.

Extension of Time.

Also, Resolution No. 27742 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That L. J. Cohn is hereby granted an extension of sixty days' time from and after August 3, 1927, within which to complete the sewer in Fleishhacker Pool. This extension of time is granted for the reason that the contractor has been delayed in receiving shipments of cast iron pipe.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Hayden, Kent, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Todd —13.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Havenner, McSheehy, Stanton —5.

Extension of Time.

Also, Resolution No. 27743 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That M. J. Treacy is hereby granted an extension of sixty days' time from and after August 20, 1927, within which to complete the improvement of Peralta avenue between York street and Holladay avenue. This extension of time is granted for the reason that contractor has been delayed waiting for fill to settle.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Hayden, Kent, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Todd —13.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Havenner, McSheehy, Stanton —5.

Street Lights.

Supervisor Stanton presented:

Resolution No. 27744 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Pacific Gas and Electric Company be and it is hereby authorized and requested to install and remove street lights as follows:

Remove 400 *M. R.*

Twenty-sixth and Castro streets.
Clipper and Castro streets.
Castro street between Twenty-fifth and Jersey streets.
Castro street between Twenty-fourth and Jersey streets.

Remove 250 *M. R.*

Castro street between Clipper and Twenty-sixth streets.
Castro street between Clipper and Twenty-fifth streets.

Remove 600 *M. R.*

Castro and Jersey streets.
Castro and Twenty-fifth streets.

Install 400 *C. P. O. B. With Refractor.*

Northwest and southeast corners Twenty-sixth and Castro streets.
East and west sides Castro street between Twenty-sixth and Clipper streets.
Northwest and southeast corners Castro and Clipper streets.
East and west sides Castro street between Clipper and Twenty-fifth streets.
Northwest and southeast corners Twenty-fifth and Castro streets.
East and west sides Castro street between Twenty-fifth and Jersey streets.
Northwest and southeast corners Castro and Jersey streets.
East and west sides Castro street between Jersey and Twenty-fourth streets.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Hayden, Kent, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Todd— 13.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Havenner, McSheehy, Stanton —5.

Also, Resolution No. 27745 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Pacific Gas and Electric Company be and it is hereby authorized and requested to remove and install the following street lights:

Remove 250 *M. R.*

Church street between Twenty-fourth and Jersey streets.
Church street between Twenty-fifth and Jersey streets.
Church street between Twenty-sixth and Clipper streets.
Church street between Twenty-sixth and Army streets.
Church street between Army and Twenty-seventh streets.
Church street between Twenty-seventh and Duncan streets.
Church street between Twenty-eighth and Duncan streets.
Church street between Thirtieth and Day streets.

Remove 400 *M. R.*

Church street between Twenty-fifth and Clipper streets.
Church street between Twenty-eighth and Valley streets.

Remove 600 *M. R.*

Church and Jersey streets.
Church and Twenty-fifth streets.
Church and Clipper streets.
Church and Twenty-sixth streets.
Church and Army streets.
Church and Twenty-seventh streets.
Church and Duncan streets.
Church and Twenty-eighth streets.
Church and Valley streets.
Church street between Valley and Twenty-ninth streets.
Church and Twenty-ninth streets.
Church and Day streets.
Church and Thirtieth streets.

Install 400 *C. P. O. B. With Refractor.*

East and west sides Church street between Twenty-fourth and Jersey streets.
Northwest and southeast corners Church and Jersey streets.
East and west sides Church street

between Jersey and Twenty-fifth streets.

Northwest and southeast corners Church and Twenty-fifth streets.

East and west sides Church street between Twenty-fifth and Clipper streets.

Northwest and southeast corners Church and Clipper streets.

East and west sides Church street between Clipper and Twenty-sixth streets.

Northwest and southeast corners Church and Twenty-sixth streets.

East and west sides Church street between Twenty-sixth and Army streets.

Northwest and southeast corners Church and Army streets.

East side Church street between Army and Twenty-seventh streets.

Northwest and southeast corners Church and Twenty-seventh streets.

East and west sides Church street between Twenty-seventh and Duncan streets.

Northwest and southeast corners Church and Duncan streets.

East and west sides Church street between Twenty-eighth and Duncan streets.

Northwest and southeast corners Church and Twenty-eighth streets.

East and west sides Church street between Twenty-eighth and Valley streets.

Northwest and southeast corners Church and Valley streets.

East and west sides Church street between Valley and Twenty-ninth streets.

Northwest and southeast corners Church and Twenty-ninth streets.

East and west sides Church street between Twenty-ninth and Day streets.

Northwest and southeast corners Church and Day streets.

East and west sides Church street between Day and Thirtieth streets.

Northwest and southeast corners Church and Thirtieth streets.

West side Church street opposite St. Paul's Church.

Install 250 *C. P. O. B.*

West side Westgate drive between Ocean and Kenwood avenues, and between Kenwood and Upland drives, and between Upland and Westgate drives.

East side Westgate drive between Ocean avenue and Darien way where poles are erected.

Manor drive between Ocean avenue and Kenwood way.

Raven way between Ocean avenue and Fairfield way where poles are installed.

Keystone avenue between Ocean avenue and Kenwood way where poles are installed.

Upland drive between Westgate drive and third pole east of Manor drive.

Dairen way opposite Manor drive.

Dairen way east of Northgate drive where poles are installed.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Hayden, Kent, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Todd—13.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Havenner, McSheehy, Stanton—5.

Action Deferred.

The following bill was, on motion *laid over one week*:

Zone Change—Ingalls Street.

Bill No. ——, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings, and the locations of buildings designed for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Section 11 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place the southeasterly side of Ingalls street between Donner avenue and Egbert avenue, for a distance of 225 feet on Donner avenue and 300 feet on Egbert avenue, in the Light Industrial District instead of the First Residential District.

RECESS.

Whereupon, the Board at 12:15 p. m. took a recess until 2 p. m.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk.

REASSEMBLED—2 P. M.

The Board of Supervisors reassembled at 2 p. m.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Quorum present.

His Honor Mayor Rolph being absent, Supervisor Shannon was elected to preside.

Passed for Printing.

The following matter was *passed for printing*:

Granting Market Street Railway Company Permission to Operate Automobile Busses.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon:

Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Market Street Railway Company, a corporation, be and it is hereby granted permission, revocable at the will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate automobile busses over and on the hereinafter described route in the City and County of San Francisco, to-wit:

Commencing at the intersection of Mission and Maynard streets, thence along Maynard street to Craut street; thence along Craut street to Silver avenue; thence along Silver avenue to San Bruno avenue; thence along San Bruno avenue to Felton street; thence along Felton street to Bowdoin street; thence along Bowdoin street to Silver avenue; thence along Silver avenue to Mission street, and thence along Mission street to the intersection of Maynard street and the point of commencement.

That said permit be and the same is hereby granted upon the terms and conditions hereinafter set forth, and the acceptance or use of said permit by said Market Street Railway Company shall be deemed to be an assent to all of said terms and conditions, and to the holding of said permit subject thereto, which said terms and conditions are as follows:

(a) That nothing herein contained shall be considered to be a franchise granted to said Market Street Railway Company to operate said busses, nor shall the same ever become or ripen into a franchise, and that the permit herein granted shall at all times be revocable at the will of the Board of Supervisors, and upon the revocation of the same all rights and privileges herein granted shall cease and terminate, and the said permit or any of the privileges thereby granted, or exercised thereunder, shall not, in any proceeding instituted by the City of San Francisco to acquire the system of said Market Street Railway Company, be deemed an asset or thing of value to said system.

(b) That said busses will at all times be operated by skillful operators, and in the operation of the same the said Market Street Railway Company will comply with all the State and Municipal rules, laws and regulations.

(c) That on said busses operated under this permit a fare of five cents for each passenger shall be charged, which said fare when paid on a bus traveling toward Mission street lines and San Bruno avenue line of the Market Street Railway Company, shall entitle said passenger to a transfer to said Mission street and San Bruno avenue lines, provided further that said Market Street Railway Company shall issue to passengers on its Mission street lines and San Bruno avenue line transfers which shall be accepted in lieu of fare on said busses traveling over the routes herein described.

(d) That the permit herein granted shall not be assigned or transferred without the consent of the Board of Supervisors, and before the same shall become effective the Market Street Railway Company shall file with the Board of Supervisors a written acceptance of said permit, in which it shall agree to accept said permit subject to all of the conditions herein contained.

Street Lights.

Supervisor Stanton presented:

Resolution No. 27746 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Pacific Gas and Electric Company be and it is hereby authorized and requested to remove and install the following street lights:

Remove Gas.

West side Fillmore street, north of Clay street.

Remove 600 M. R.

Fillmore street south of Washington, Jackson and Clay streets.

Install 400 C. P. O. B. With Refractor.

Southeast corner Fillmore street at Clay, Washington and Jackson streets.

Northwest corner Fillmore street at Clay, Washington and Jackson streets.

East and west sides Fillmore street, north of Clay street.

East and west sides Fillmore street, south of Washington street.

East and west sides Fillmore street, north of Washington street.

East and west sides Fillmore street, south of Jackson street.

Remove Gas.

Ninth avenue opposite No. 1437.

West side Eleventh street, first north of Folsom street.

West side Eleventh street, first south of Howard street.

Southeast corner Eleventh and Kissling streets.

Install 400 *M. R.*

Eleventh and Kissling streets.

Eleventh street between Folsom and Kissling streets.

Adopted under suspension of the rules by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Also, Resolution No. 27747 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Pacific Gas and Electric Company be and it is hereby authorized and requested to remove and install the following street lights:

Remove 400 *M. R.*

Northwest corner Hayes and Larkin streets.

North side Hayes street, 99 feet west of Larkin street.

South Side Hayes street, 189 feet west of Larkin street.

North side Hayes street, 117 feet east of Polk street.

Northeast corner Polk and Hayes streets.

Southwest corner Polk and Hayes streets.

North side Hayes street, 198 feet west of Polk street.

South side Hayes street, 110 feet east of Van Ness avenue.

North side Hayes street, 123 feet west of Van Ness avenue.

South side Hayes street, 105 feet east of Franklin street.

Northeast corner Franklin and Hayes streets.

Southwest corner Hayes and Franklin streets.

North side Hayes street, 116 feet west of Franklin street.

South side Hayes street, 116 feet west of Franklin street.

North side Hayes street, 143 feet east of Gough street.

South side Hayes street, 176 feet east of Gough street.

Northeast corner Hayes and Gough streets.

Southwest corner Hayes and Gough streets.

South side Hayes street, 125 feet west of Gough street.

North side Hayes street, 153 feet west of Gough street.

South side Hayes street, 173 feet east of Octavia street.

North side Hayes street, 138 feet east of Octavia street.

Northeast corner Hayes and Octavia streets.

Southwest corner Hayes and Octavia streets.

North side Hayes street, 154 feet west of Octavia street.

South side Hayes street, 124 feet west of Octavia street.

North side Hayes street, 135 feet east of Laguna street.

South side Hayes street, 168 feet east of Laguna street.

Northeast corner Hayes and Laguna streets.

Southwest corner Hayes and Laguna streets.

North side Hayes street, 170 feet west of Laguna street.

Northeast corner Hayes and Buchanan streets.

North side Hayes street, 119 feet west of Buchanan street.

Northwest corner Hayes and Webster streets.

North side Hayes street, 159 feet west of Webster street.

Northeast corner Fillmore and Hayes streets.

Southwest corner Hayes and Fillmore streets.

Install 400 *C. P. O. B. With Refractor.*

Northwest corner Hayes and Larkin streets.

North side Hayes street, 99 feet west of Larkin street.

South side Hayes street, 189 feet west of Larkin street.

North side Hayes street, 117 feet east of Polk street.

Northeast corner Hayes and Polk streets.

Southwest corner Hayes and Polk streets.

North side Hayes street, 102 feet west of Polk street.

South side Hayes street, 110 feet east of Van Ness avenue.

North side Hayes street, 123 feet west of Van Ness avenue.

South side Hayes street, 143 feet east of Franklin street.

Northeast corner Hayes and Franklin streets.

Southeast corner Hayes and Franklin streets.

North side Hayes street, 116 feet west of Franklin street.

South side Hayes street, 116 feet west of Franklin street (12 o'clock lamp).

North side Hayes street 143 feet east of Gough street (12 o'clock lamp).

Northeast corner Hayes and Gough streets.

Southwest corner Hayes and Gough streets.

North side Hayes street, 120 feet west of Gough street.

South side Hayes street, 120 feet west of Gough street (12 o'clock lamp).

North side Hayes street, 173 feet east of Octavia street (12 o'clock lamp).
South side Hayes street, 173 feet east of Octavia street.
Northeast corner Hayes and Octavia streets.
Southwest corner Hayes and Octavia streets.
North side Hayes street, 124 feet west of Octavia street.
South side Hayes street, 124 feet west of Octavia street (12 o'clock lamp).
North side Hayes street, 168 feet east of Laguna street (12 o'clock lamp).
South side Hayes street, 168 feet east of Laguna street.
Northeast corner Hayes and Laguna streets.
Southwest corner Hayes and Laguna streets.
North side Hayes street, 116 feet west of Laguna street.
South side Hayes street, 167 feet east of Buchanan street.
Northeast corner Hayes and Buchanan streets.
Southwest corner Hayes and Buchanan streets.
North side Hayes street, 117 feet west of Buchanan street.
South side Hayes street, 169 feet east of Webster street.
Northeast corner Hayes and Webster streets.
Southwest corner Hayes and Webster streets.
North side Hayes street, 100 feet west of Webster street.
South side Hayes street, 159 feet east of Fillmore street.
Northeast corner Hayes and Fillmore streets.
Southwest corner Hayes and Fillmore streets.

Adopted under suspension of the rules by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Also, Resolution No. 27748 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Pacific Gas and Electric Company be and it is hereby authorized and requested to remove and install the following street lights:

Remove Gas.

East side Forty-first avenue, first south of Lincoln way.
East side Forty-second avenue, first and second south of Lincoln way.
West side Forty-second avenue, first south of Lincoln way.
Southeast corner Forty-second avenue and Irving street.
East side Forty-fifth avenue, first and second south of Lincoln way.
West side Forty-fifth avenue, first and second south of Lincoln way.
East and west sides Forty-fifth avenue, south of Kirkham street.
East and west sides Forty-seventh avenue, south of Judah street.
Northwest and southeast corners Forty-sixth avenue and Kirkham street.
North side Kirkham street, west of Forty-sixth avenue.
Twenty-seventh avenue, south of Lincoln way.
East and west sides Twenty-eighth avenue, south of Lincoln way.
East and west sides Twenty-ninth avenue, south of Lincoln way.

Install 400 *M. R.*

Forty-first avenue between Linway and Irving street.
Forty-second avenue between Linway and Irving street.
Forty-second avenue and Irving street.
Forty-fifth avenue between Linway and Irving street.
Forty-fifth avenue between Kirkham and Lawton streets.
Forty-seventh avenue between Judah and Kirkham streets.
Forty-sixth avenue and Kirkham street.
Kirkham street between Forty-sixth and Forty-seventh avenues.
Twenty-seventh, Twenty-eighth and Twenty-ninth avenues between Lincoln way and Irving street.

Remove Gas.

East and west sides Sixteenth and Eighteenth avenues between Lincoln way and Irving street.
East side Fifteenth avenue, first and second south of Lincoln way.
West side Fifteenth avenue, first and second south of Lincoln way.
East side Fourteenth avenue, first south of Lincoln way.
East side of Fourteenth avenue, first south of Lincoln way.
East side Eighteenth avenue, first and second south of Irving street.
West side Eighteenth avenue, first and second south of Irving street.
West side Fifteenth avenue, first and second south of Irving street.
East side Fifteenth avenue, first south of Irving street.

Install 400 *M. R.*

Fourteenth, Fifteenth, Sixteenth, Seventeenth and Eighteenth avenues between Lincoln way and Irving street.
Fifteenth and Eighteenth avenues between Irving and Judah streets.

Adopted under suspension of the rules by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, By-

ington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Also, Resolution No. 27749 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Pacific Gas and Electric Company be and it is hereby authorized and requested to remove and install the following street lights:

Install 600 *C. P. O. B.*

West side Guerrero street, north of Twentieth street.

East side Guerrero street, 220 feet south of Twenty-fourth street.

Change 250 *M. R. to* 600 *C. P. O. B.*

Northeast corner junction San Jose avenue and Guerrero street.

West side San Jose avenue, south of Valley street.

Change Location 600 *M. R. to* 600 *C. P. O. B.*

From northwest to northeast corner Guerrero and Nineteenth streets.

From west side to east side Guerrero street, 105 feet north of Twentieth street.

From west side to east side Guerrero street, 65 feet south of Twentieth street.

From west side to east side Guerrero street, 125 feet south of Twenty-first street.

From Guerrero street, 150 feet south of Twenty-second street, east of Guerrero street, north line of Alvarado street.

From west side Guerrero street, 190 feet south of Twenty-third street to east of Guerrero, north line of Elizabeth street.

From southwest to northeast corner Guerrero and Twenty-fourth streets.

From west side to east side Guerrero street, 195 feet north of Twenty-sixth street.

From south side Army street, west line of Guerrero street to west side Guerrero street, south line of Army street.

Change Location 400 *M. R. to* 600 *C. P. O. B.*

From west side to east side Guerrero street, 50 feet south of Twenty-fifth street.

From south side Twenty-sixth street, west line of Guerrero street to west side Guerrero street, south line of Twenty-sixth street.

From west side to east side Guerrero street, 100 feet north of Army street.

From southwest to northeast corner Guerrero and Twenty-seventh streets.

From west side to east side Guerrero street, south line of Duncan street.

All lights to be equipped with refractor No. 4274, satin finish.

Install 400 *C. P. O. B. With Refractor.*

South side Hayes street, between Larkin and Polk.

North side Hayes street, between Polk and Van Ness avenue.

South side Hayes street, between Polk and Van Ness avenue.

Remove Gas.

North side Fell street, 1st and 2d east of Scott.

South side Fell street, 1st east of Scott.

Install 400 *M. R.*

Fell street, between Scott and Pierce.

Adopted under suspension of the rules by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Passed for Printing.

The following matter was *passed for printing*:

Traffic Ordinance.

On motion of Supervisor Todd:

Bill No. 8178, Ordinance ——— (New Series), as follows:

An ordinance regulating traffic upon the public streets and repealing all ordinances inconsistent herewith.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

ARTICLE I.

Definitions.

Section 1. Whenever in this ordinance the following terms are used, they shall have the meanings respectively ascribed to them in this section:

Street—Every way set apart for public travel except alleyways, bridle paths and foot paths.

Roadway—That portion of a street between the regularly established curb lines.

Alley—A public highway with a roadway of less than 20 feet.

Sidewalk—That portion of a street between the curb lines and the adjacent property lines.

Intersection—The area embraced within the prolongation of the property lines of two or more streets which join at an angle, whether or not one such street crosses the other.

Crosswalk—That portion of the roadway included within the pro-

longation of curb and property lines at street intersections, and other places marked in accordance with the provisions of this ordinance.

Safety Zone—That marked portion of a roadway reserved for the exclusive use of pedestrians.

Loading Zone—That space adjacent to a curb reserved for the exclusive use of vehicles during the loading or unloading of passengers or materials.

Vehicle—Every device or animal by which any person or property is or may be transported or drawn upon a street, excepting devices moved by human power or used exclusively upon rails. For the purpose of this ordinance a bicycle shall be deemed a vehicle.

Street Car—Every device traveling exclusively upon rails when upon or crossing a street, other than devices propelled by steam.

Pedestrian—Any person afoot.

Operator—Any person who is in actual physical control of a vehicle or street car.

Traffic—Vehicles and street cars, either singly or together, while using any street for purposes of travel.

Central Traffic District—That portion of this City bounded by and including the following parts of streets:

Mission street from Fifth to First; First street from Mission to Market; Battery street from Market to Pine; Pine street from Battery to Sansome; Sansome street from Pine to California; California street from Sansome to Kearny; Kearny street from California to Sutter; Sutter street from Kearny to Stockton; Stockton street from Sutter to Post; Post street from Stockton to Powell; Powell street from Post to Market; Fifth street from Market to Mission.

Business District—The territory contiguous to a street when fifty per cent (50%) or more of the frontage thereon for a distance of three hundred (300) feet or more is occupied by buildings in use for retail or wholesale business; also any territory contiguous to a street which is immediately adjacent to or a continuation of a street within a business district when such territory is so designated by the Police Department.

Right of Way—The privilege of the immediate use of the street.

Park—To stand a vehicle for a period of time greater than is reasonably necessary for the actual loading or unloading of persons or materials.

Official Warning and Direction Signs—All warning and direction signs and signals not inconsistent with this ordinance heretofore and hereafter placed or erected under this ordinance or by authority of the Board of Supervisors.

ARTICLE II.

Authority of Police. Traffic Signs and Signals.

Section 2. Obedience to Police. Officers of the Police Department are hereby authorized to direct all traffic by means of visible or audible signal, and it shall be unlawful for any person to refuse or fail to comply with any lawful order, signal or direction of a traffic or police officer. It shall be unlawful for any person to direct or attempt to direct traffic unless authorized to do so by order of the Chief of Police.

Section 3. Signs. The Board of Supervisors shall by resolution determine and designate the character of all official warnings and direction signs and signals. Subject to this selection, the Police Department is hereby authorized, and as to those signs required hereunder it shall be its duty, to place and maintain or cause to be placed and maintained all official warning and direction signs and signals. All signs authorized and required hereunder for a particular purpose shall be uniform.

No provision of this ordinance for which signs are required shall be enforceable against the alleged violator if at the time and place of the alleged violation the sign herein required is not in proper position and sufficiently legible to be seen by an ordinarily observant person.

Section 4. Obedience to Traffic Signs. It shall be unlawful for any operator or pedestrian to disobey the instructions of any mechanical or electrical traffic signal, traffic sign or marks upon the street placed in accordance with the provisions of this ordinance, provided every sign or mark made by the use of paint upon the curb shall bear thereon the official emblem of the City of San Francisco.

No public utility or department in this City shall erect or place any barrier or sign unless of a type first approved by the Board of Supervisors. It shall be unlawful for any operator or pedestrian to disobey the instructions of any barrier or sign approved, as above provided, erected or placed by a public utility or by any department of this City.

Section 5. Traffic Stop and Go Signal Legend. Whenever traffic at any intersection is regulated by a stop and go mechanical or electrical signal, the following colors may be

used, and none other, and those colors herein authorized shall indicate as follows:

Red, or the word Stop, except in flashing signals, requires that traffic shall stop and remain standing.

Green, or the word Go, requires that traffic shall move and continue in motion, except when stopped for the purpose of avoiding an accident or in the event of other emergency or when stopped at the command of a police officer.

Amber shall indicate preparation for a change in the direction of traffic movement. When amber is shown no traffic shall enter the intersection until a green or "Go" signal is shown.

Bells. The ringing of a bell in connection with any mechanical or electrical traffic signal shall indicate preparation for a change in the direction of traffic movement. When such bell is sounded no traffic shall enter the intersection until a green or "Go" signal is shown.

Section 6. The Police Department Required to Establish Cross-walks. The Police Department is hereby authorized and required to establish and maintain and to designate upon the surface of the roadway, by appropriate devices, marks or white lines, cross-walks at all places where in its opinion there is particular danger to pedestrians crossing the roadway.

Section 7. Display of Unauthorized Signs Prohibited. It shall be unlawful for any person to place or maintain or to display any device, other than an official warning or direction sign or signal erected under competent authority, upon or in view of a street, which purports to be, or is an imitation of, or resembles, an official warning or direction sign or signal, or which attemps to direct the movement of traffic or the actions of operators and any such prohibited device shall be a public nuisance, and the Police Department may remove it, or cause it to be removed, without notice.

It shall be unlawful for any person to willfully deface, injure, move or interfere with any official warning or direction sign or signal.

Section 8. Emergency Vehicles Exempt from Certain Rules. The provisions of this ordinance regulating the movement, parking and standing of vehicles shall not apply to ambulances, vehicles of the Police Department, of the Sheriff's office, of the Fire Department or of a public utility while the driver of any such vehicle is engaged in the necessary performance of emergency duties.

ARTICLE III.

Pedestrians.

Section 9. Pedestrians's Right of Way at Intersections.

(a) It shall be unlawful for the operator of any vehicle or street car to drive into any crosswalk which is marked as provided in Section 6 of this ordinance while there is in such crosswalk upon the half of the roadway upon which such vehicle is traveling any pedestrian engaged in crossing the roadway until such pedestrian shall have passed beyond the path of said vehicle.

(b) It shall be unlawful for the operator of any vehicle to drive onto any unmarked crosswalk while there is in such crosswalk upon the half of the roadway upon which such vehicle is traveling any pedestrian engaged in crossing the roadway until such pedestrian shall have passed beyond the path of said vehicle, when the pedestrian shall indicate his intention to cross by a timely and continuous warning by holding up of his hand, palm out toward approaching traffic.

(c) The operator of a vehicle shall stop before entering any crosswalk when any other vehicle proceeding in the same direction is stopped at such crosswalk for the purpose of permitting a pedestrian to pass.

(d) The foregoing provisions of this section shall not apply at intersections where traffic is being directed by an officer or a traffic stop and go signal, at which intersections the rights of pedestrians and operators of vehicles shall be exercised under the direction of the officer or traffic signals.

Section 10. Pedestrian's Limited Right to Use of Roadway. When within the Central Traffic District or a Business District, no pedestrian shall cross a roadway other than by a crosswalk.

Outside of the Central Traffic District or a Business District no pedestrian shall cross a roadway other than by a route at right angles to the curb and when crossing at any place other than a crosswalk shall yield the right of way to all vehicles upon the roadway.

It shall be unlawful for any person to be in any roadway other than in a safety zone or crosswalk, provided that this provision shall not be construed to prevent the necessary use of a roadway by a pedestrian.

It shall be unlawful for any person to stand in a roadway for the purpose of or while soliciting a

right from the operator of any private vehicle.

Section 11. Pedestrians to Obey Signals. At intersections where traffic is directed by a traffic or police officer or by a traffic stop and go signal, it shall be unlawful for any pedestrian to cross the roadway other than with released traffic.

Section 12. Pedestrians Standing on Sidewalks. In the Central Traffic District or in any Business District it shall be unlawful for any pedestrian to stand on the sidewalk, except as near as is practicable to the building line or the curb line.

ARTICLE IV.

Rules for Driving.

Section 13. Method of Approach for Left Turn. The operator of a vehicle intending to turn to the left at an intersection or into an alley or driveway shall approach the point of turning in the line of traffic next to the center of the roadway.

Section 14. Method of Turning to Left at Intersections. The operator of a vehicle in turning left at an intersection shall pass to the right of the center of the intersection before turning, except that where markers have been placed upon the intersection boundary lines to be crossed by the vehicle, the operator shall pass to the right of such markers.

Section 15. Location of Turning Markers. The Police Department is hereby authorized, and as to those intersections mentioned in paragraph (1) of this section it shall be its duty, to place, or cause to be placed, turning markers in intersections as follows:

(1) Within intersections where either intersecting roadway is less than sixty (60) feet in width and in intersections where streets meet at other than right angles and in intersections where one street terminates in another, a marker shall be placed at every intersection of the medial line of each street, with the prolongation of the curb lines of the intersecting street, or as near the intersecting point of said lines as may be practicable.

(2) Intersections other than those mentioned in paragraph (1) may be marked as provided in paragraph (1), or a single marker may be placed within such intersection at the intersection of the medial lines of the intersecting streets.

Section 16. Left Turns Prohibited. The operator of a vehicle shall not between the hours of seven (7) a. m. and six (6) p. m. of any day, excepting Sundays and legal holidays, make a left turn upon any part of Market street between the easterly line of The Embarcadero and a prolongation of the easterly line of Eleventh street, nor from Ellis street into Stockton street, Golden Gate avenue into Taylor street, Geary street into Kearny street, Post street into Montgomery street.

Provided, however, that the operator of a vehicle upon any of the following streets may turn to the left into Market street: Sansome street, Sutter street, Grant avenue, O'Farrell street, Mason street, Turk street, Jones street, McAllister street, Fulton street, Leavenworth street. Signs shall be erected and maintained designating the provisions of this section.

Section 17. Method of Approach for Right Turn. The operator of a vehicle intending to turn to the right at an intersection or into an alley or driveway shall approach the point of turning in the line of traffic nearest the right-hand edge or curb of the street.

Section 18. When Right Turns May Be Made. Within the Central Traffic District a right turn may be made at controlled intersections only while a "Go" signal is given. Elsewhere, after making a full stop at the nearest intersection line a right turn may be made while either a "Go" or "Stop" signal is given, subject to the rights of pedestrians.

Section 19. Turning Around at Intersections Prohibited. The operator of a vehicle shall not within the Central Traffic District or any Business District between the hours of seven (7) a. m. and six (6) p. m. of any day, except Sundays or legal holidays, turn such vehicle at an intersection in a complete circle or so as to proceed in the opposite direction.

Section 20. Driving from Alleys. The operator of a vehicle emerging from an alley or driveway or garage shall stop such vehicle immediately prior to driving onto a sidewalk or onto the sidewalk area extending across an alleyway.

Section 21. Vehicles Shall Not Be Driven on Sidewalks Nor in Safety Zones. The operator of a vehicle shall not drive within any sidewalk area except at a permanent or temporary driveway, nor upon any portion of the roadway which is designated and marked as a safety zone.

The Police Department is hereby authorized to establish and maintain by signs, paint marks or other material, safety zones at such street

car stops and at such other places as in its discretion require such safety zones.

Section 22. Traffic Lanes. The Police Department is hereby authorized to mark traffic lanes upon the roadway where a regular alignment of traffic is necessary. Where such lanes have been marked and signs have been erected instructing the operators to keep in line it shall be unlawful for any operator to fail to keep his vehicle within the designated boundaries.

Section 23. Certain Vehivles Prohibited in Central Traffic District. (a) It shall be unlawful for the operator of any of the following vehicles to drive the same in the Central Traffic District between the hours of seven (7) a. m. and six (6) p. m. of any day except Sundays or legal holidays:

(1) Any vehicle so loaded that any part of its load extends more than three (3) feet to the front or more than ten (10) feet to the rear of said vehicle, or which with its load is more than eight and one-half (8½) feet in width.

(2) Any vehicle primarily designed or used for the purpose of advertising.

(3) Any vehicle used for the transportation of garbage, ashes or refuse.

(4) Any vehicle used primarily for the transportation of gasoline or fuel oil.

Section 24. Arterial Streets. The following streets and parts of streets are hereby declared to constitute arterial streets for the purpose of this section:

Van Ness avenue from the north line of Market street to the south line of North Point street.

Market street from the west line of Van Ness avenue to the east portal of Twin Peaks tunnel.

Valencia street from the south line of Market street to the mid-line of Mission street.

Mission street from the west line of Valencia street to the County line of San Francisco.

Bush street from the east line of Grant avenue to the east line of Presidio avenue.

Pine street from the east line of Grant avenue to the east line of Presidio avenue.

Post street from the west line of Van Ness avenue to the east line of Presidio avenue.

Geary street from the west line of Presidio avenue to the mid-line of Forty-second avenue.

Fulton street from the west line of Van Ness avenue to the east line of Great Highway.

Fell street from the west line of Van Ness avenue to the west line of Stanyan street.

Nineteenth avenue from the north line of Lincoln way to the south line of Sloat boulevard.

Sloat boulevard from the east line of Great Highway to the west line of Portola drive.

Junipero Serra boulevard from the County line of San Francisco to the west line of Portola drive.

Ocean avenue from the mid-line of Junipero Serra boulevard to the west line of Mission street.

Lincoln way from the east side line of Great Highway to the east line of Third avenue.

Division street from the east line of Eleventh street to the west line of Potrero avenue.

Eleventh street from the south line of Market street to the north line of Division street.

Potrero avenue from the south line of Division street to the west line of San Bruno avenue.

Army street from the west line of Third street to the east line of Mission street.

San Bruno avenue from the south line of Potrero avenue to the County line.

Silver avenue from the east line of Mission street to the west line of San Bruno avenue.

Great Highway from Point Lobos avenue to the south line of Sloat boulevard.

Third street from the south line of Channel street to the east line of San Bruno avenue.

Point Lobos avenue from the mid-line of Forty-second avenue to the Great Highway.

Twenty-fourth avenue from the south line of Geary street to the south line of Fulton street.

Twenty-fourth street from the east line of Dolores street to the west line of Hoffman avenue.

Cortland avenue from the east line of Mission street to the west line of San Bruno avenue.

Every operator of a vehicle or street car, cable car excepted, traversing any street intersecting any arterial street shall bring such vehicle or street car to a full stop at the place where such street meets the prolongation of the nearer property line of such arterial street, or at such other place as may be indicated by a sign erected in accordance with this section, subject, however, to the directions of any stop and go signal or police officer at such intersection.

The Police Department is hereby authorized and required to place and maintain, or cause to be placed

and maintained, upon each and every street intersecting an arterial street, and at or near the property line of the arterial street, suitable signs bearing the words "Arterial Stop."

Section 25. Restricted Traffic Streets. The following parts of streets are designated as restricted traffic streets: Bay street from the west line of Van Ness avenue to the east line of Laguna street, Francisco street from the west line of Van Ness avenue to the east line of Laguna street, Fell street from the west line of Baker street to the east line of Stanyan street, the center roadway of Funston avenue from the north line of Fulton street to the Presidio, the westerly drive of the Great Highway from the south line of Lincoln way to the north line of Sloat boulevard.

It shall be unlawful for the operator of any vehicle designed or used for the transportation of commodities or materials to operate said vehicle upon any restricted traffic street for a distance greater than one block or for any purpose other than to make a pick-up or delivery within said block.

Section 26. One Way Streets. Between the hours of seven (7) a. m. and six (6) p. m. of any day, excepting Sundays and legal holidays, it shall be unlawful for the operator of any vehicle to drive said vehicle in any part of any of the following streets except in the direction indicated herein:

Easterly in Stevenson street between First street and Ninth street.

Easterly in Jessie street between First street and Ninth street.

Westerly in Minna street between First street and Ninth street.

Westerly in Natoma street between First street and Ninth street.

Southerly in Annie street between Market street and Mission street.

Westerly in Halleck street between Front street and Leidesdorff street.

Westerly in Commercial street between The Embarcadero and Grant avenue.

Westerly in Merchant street between Front street and Kearny street.

Westerly in Sacramento street between The Embarcadero and Kearny street.

Easterly in Clay street between The Embarcadero and Kearny street.

Westerly in Washington street between The Embarcadero and Montgomery street.

Easterly in Jackson street between The Embarcadero and Columbus avenue.

Westerly in Oregon street between The Embarcadero and Battery street.

Southerly in Leidesdorff street between Clay street and Pine street.

Suitable signs shall be erected and maintained at all intersections of said parts of streets indicating the provisions of this section.

Section 27. Following Fire Apparatus Prohibited. It shall be unlawful for the operator of any vehicle, other than one on official business, to follow any fire apparatus traveling in response to a fire alarm closer than one block, or to prak any vehicle within the block where fire apparatus has stopped in answer to a fire alarm.

Section 28. Crossing Fire Hose. No street car or vehicle shall be driven over any unprotected hose of the Fire Department when laid down on any street, private driveway or street car track, without the consent of the Fire Chief or the assistant in command.

Section 29. Sirens and Horns. It shall be unlawful for the operator of any vehicle to sound any "sirens" or other device which makes an unusually loud noise, or to sound any horn for an unnecessary length of time or for any purpose other than for warnings which are a necessary incident to the operation of the vehicle.

Section 30. Bicycle Riding Restricted. It shall be unlawful to ride a bicycle upon any sidewalk. The rider of a bicycle upon a roadway shall ride as near as practicable within five (5) feet of the right-hand curb or edge of the roadway, except when passing a standing or other vehicle or making a left-hand turn at an intersection.

Section 31. Riding on Handle Bars Prohibited. It shall be unlawful for the operator of any bicycle or motorcycle when upon a street to carry any other person upon the bar, handle or tank of any such vehicle or for any person to so ride upon any such vehicle.

Section 32. Unlawful to Drive Through Processions. It shall be unlawful for the operator of any vehicle to drive between the vehicles comprising an authorized parade, or to drive between the vehicles comprising a funeral procession, provided that such vehicles are conspicuously so designated.

Section 33. Clinging to Moving Vehicles. It shall be unlawful for any person traveling upon any bicycle, motorcycle, or any toy ve-

hicle to cling to or attach himself or his vehicle to any other moving vehicle or street car upon any roadway.

Section 34. Use of Coasters, Roller Skates and Similar Devices Restricted. It shall be unlawful for any person upon roller skates or riding in or by means of any coaster, toy vehicle or similar device to go upon any roadway or upon any sidewalk in the Central Traffic District.

ARTICLE V.

Stopping, Standing and Parking.

Section 35. Stopping Prohibited in Specified Places. It shall be unlawful for the operator of a vehicle to stop such vehicle in any of the following places, except when necessary to avoid conflict with other traffic or in compliance with the direction of a police officer or traffic sign or signal:

(1) In an intersection;

(2) In a cross-walk;

(3) Between a safety zone and the adjacent curb or within twenty (20) feet of a point on the surb immediately opposite the end of a safety zone;

(4) Within twenty (20) feet of an intersecting roadway;

(5) Within twenty (20) feet of the entrance to any fire station.

Upon all streets within the Central Traffic District or any Business District the Police Department shall designate the provisions of paragraphs (3) and (4) of this section by placing and maintaining red paint or other red material upon the entire curb surface within such area omitting any cross-walk area.

Section 36. Standing for Loading Only in Certain Places. (a) During the times specified herein it shall be unlawful for an operator to stand a passenger vehicle for a period of time longer than is necessary for the loading or unloading of passengers, provided such loading or unloading shall not consume more than three minutes; nor for an operator to stand any commercial vehicle for a period of time longer than it is necessary to load, unload and deliver materials, provided that the loading, unloading and delivery of said materials shall not consume more than twenty minutes, in any of the following places:

(1) In any public alley in the Central Traffic District or in any Business District during any hour of any day;

(2) In any loading zone, between the hours of seven (7) a. m. and six (6) p. m. of any day;

(3) At any place not to exceed seventy-five (75) feet along the curb before the entrance to any hospital during any hour of any day;

(4) At any place not to exceed seventy-five (75) feet along the curb before the entrance to any police station during any hour of any day;

(5) At any place not to exceed seventy-five (75) feet along the curb before the entrance to any hotel during any hour of the day;

(6) At any place not to exceed one hundred (100) feet from the intersection of any street within Market street, from The Embarcadero to Van Ness avenue, between the hours of seven (7) a. m. and six (6) p. m. of any day;

(7) At any place not to exceed seventy-five (75) feet along the curb before the entrance to any public building between the hours of seven (7) a. m. and six (6) p. m. of any day except Sundays and holidays;

(8) At any place not to exceed seventy-five (75) feet along the curb trance to any theater during the hours said theater is open for business;

(9) At any place between the portal of any tunnel and the nearest street intersection between the hours of seven (7) a. m. and six (6) p. m. of any day;

(10) At any place where the grade of the roadway exceeds twenty (20) per cent.

(b) It shall be unlawful for any operator of a vehicle to stand said vehicle in a duly established taxicab stand, provided, however, that this provision shall not apply to the operators of duly licensed taxicabs authorized to occupy said stands.

(c) Suitable signs shall be placed and maintained by the Police Department to designate the provisions of this section. The Board of Supervisors shall designate the location of loading zones as defined by Section 1 of this ordinance, provided that, in the Central Traffic District or in any Business District, as herein defined, a curb space not to exceed fifty (50) per cent of the total curb length of any street between intersections may be reserved for loading zones. A curb space not to exceed one hundred (100) feet may be reserved temporarily or in emergency by the Police Department at any place where required by public convenience.

Section 37. Parking Prohibited on Certain Streets. Between the hours of seven (7) a. m. and six (6) p. m. of any day, excepting Sundays and legal holidays, it shall be unlawful for the operator of a vehicle to stop said vehicle for a period of time longer than is necessary for

the actual loading or unloading and delivery of passengers or materials in any of the following places: Stevenson street, Minna street, Natoma street, Ecker street, Shaw alley, Anthony street, Annie street, Aldrich alley, Sherwood place, Harlan street, Mark lane, Claude lane, Hardle place, Trinity street, Treasury place, Petrarch place, Beldon street, St. George alley, St. Anne street, Quincy street, Catham place, Monroe street, Fells or Fella place, Hooker alley, Mulford alley, Austin street, Hunt street, Opera alley, Holland court, Mint street, Mary street, Russ street, Julia street, Laskie street, Elim alley, Linden street, Opal place, Hobart alley, Ophir alley, Touchard street, Glasgow street or Anna lane, Cohen place, Willow street, Olive street, Antonio street, Steveloe place, Latham place, Dikeman place, Carlos street, Harlem alley, Emma street, Ivy street, Dale place, Redwood street, Dodge street, Elm street, Wagner alley, Gardner street (or) place, Ashburton place, Campton place, Agate alley, Fern street, Burritt street, Tillman alley, Cosmos place, Hemlock street, Ade court, Mabel alley, Amity alley, Myrtle street, Cedar street, Meacham place, Colin place, Maggie alley, Shannon street, Adlaide street, Derby street, Vermehr place, Anson place, Chelsea place, Bren place, Maiden lane, Jessie street, Montgomery street between Market street and California street, on the east side of Grant avenue between Bush street and Broadway, and on the north side of Pacific avenue between Columbus avenue and Van Ness avenue.

Signs shall be erected and maintained not more than one hundred fifty (150) feet apart in each block designating the provisions of this section.

Section 38. Parking Time Limited in the Central Traffic District. The operator of a vehicle shall not park such vehicle for longer than forty (40) minutes within the Central Traffic District between the hours of seven (7) a. m. and six (6) p. m. of any day, except Sundays or legal holidays, and subject to the special restrictions imposed by Sections 35, 36 and 37.

Signs shall be erected and maintained not more than one hundred fifty (150) feet apart in each block designating the provisions of this section.

Section 39. Parking Time Limited in Business Districts. The operator of a vehicle shall not park such vehicle for longer than sixty (60) minutes within any Business District between the hours of seven (7) a. m. and six (6) p. m. of any day, except Sundays or legal holidays, and spbject to the special restrictions imposed by Sections 35 and 36.

Signs shall be erected and maintained not more than one hundred fifty (150) feet apart in each block to designate the provisions of this section.

Section 40. Early Morning Parking Limited. It shall be unlawful for the operator of any vehicle to park said vehicle on any street for a period of time longer than one (1) hour between the hours of three (3) a. m. and five (5) a. m. of any day.

Section 41. Standing or Parking Close to Curb. The operator of a vehicle shall not stand or park such vehicle in a roadway other than parallel with the curb and with the two right-hand wheels of the vehicle within one (1) foot of the regularly established curb line, except that upon those streets which have been marked for angle parking, as provided in this section, vehicles shall be parked at the angle to the curb indicated by such marks.

The Police Department is hereby authrized to determine upon what streets angle parking shall be permitted and to indicate such places by the placing of white lines upon the surface of the roadway to indicate the proper angle for parking, provided that such lines shall not be placed upon, nor shall angle parking be permitted upon any street where such parking would diminish the width of the roadway available for travel to less than twenty (20) feet, nor upon any street where there is less than thirty (30) feet between the curb and the nearest rail of any street car track, nor upon any arterial street.

Section 42. Parking on Grades Regulated. It shall be unlawful for an operator to stand or park any vehicle upon any street, the grade of which exceeds five (5) per cent, without setting the brakes thereon and blocking the wheels of said vehicle by turning them against the curb, or by other means.

Section 43. Parking Vehicles for Sale. It shall be unlawful for the operator of any vehicle to park the same upon any street for the purpose of displaying it for sale, or to park any vehicle upon any street in the Central Traffic District or any Bushiness District from which said vehicle merchandise is being sold.

ARTICLE VI.

Street Cars.

Section 44. Rules Applicable to Vehicles Passing Street Cars. The driver of a vehicle overtaking any railway, interurban or street car,

stopped or about to stop for the purpose of receiving or discharging any passenger, shall bring such vehicle to a full stop at least ten (10) feet in the rear of such street car and remain stationary until any such passenger has boarded such car or reached a place of safety, except that where a safety zone has been established, or at an intersection where traffic is controlled by an officer or a traffic stop and go signal, a vehicle need not be brought to a full stop before passing any such railway, interurban or street car, but may proceed past such car at a speed not greater than is reasonable or proper and in no event greater than ten (10) miles an hour and with due caution for the safety of pedestrians.

Section 45. Driving on Street Car Tracks, Passing Safety Zones. (a) It shall be unlawful for the operator of any vehicle proceeding upon any street car tracks in front of a street car to fail to remove said vehicle from said tracks as soon as practicable after signal from the operator of said street car.

(b) On Market street from The Embarcadero to Van Ness avenue, it shall be unlawful to drive any vehicle to the left of any safety zone established at a regular street car stop.

It shall be unlwaful to drive any vehicle to the left of any safety zone established in the City if the roadway to the right of said safety zone is immediately available for travel.

Section 46. Street Car Speed. The operator of a street car upon a street shall operate the same at a careful and prudent speed, and subject to this limitation, may lawfully proceed at a speed not exceeding the following.

(1) Fifteen (15) miles per hour:

(a) In a Business District;

(b) When passing a school while persons are entering or leaving the grounds;

(c) When traversing curves where the operator does not have an unobstructed view along the track for a distance of two hundred (200) feet;

(d) When traversing an intersection of highways where the operator during the last one hundred (100) feet of his approach to the intersection does not have a clear and uninterrupted view of such intersection and of the traffic upon all of the highways entering such intersection for a distance of two hundred (200) feet from such intersection;

(2) Twenty (20) miles per hour in a residence district;

(3) Forty (40) miles per hour under other conditions.

Speeds in excess of those set forth above shall be taken as prima facie but not as conclusive evidence of a speed greater than is reasonable and proper.

Section 47. Boarding or Alighting from Street Cars or Vehicles. It shall be unlawful for any person to board or alight from a street car or vehicle while said street car or vehicle is in motion.

ARTICLE VII.

Penalties.

Section 48. Penalty. Any person violating any of the provisions of this ordinance shall be guilty of a misdemeanor, and upon conviction thereof, shall be punished for the first offense by a fine not to exceed fifty ($50.00) dollars, or by imprisonment in the City Jail for not more than five (5) days; for a second offense within a period of one (1) year from the date of the first offense by a fine not to exceed one hundred ($100.00) dollars, or by imprisonment in the City Jail for not more than ten (10) days, or by both such fine and imprisonment; for a third and each additional offense committed within one (1) year from the date of the first offense by a fine not to exceed three hundred ($300.00) dollars, or by imprisonment in the City Jail for not more than three (3) months, or by both such fine and imprisonment.

Section 49. Disposition of Fines and Forfeitures. All fines collected upon conviction of, and all forfeitures of bail collected upon default of any person charged with a violation of any of the provisions of this ordinance shall be paid into the treasury of the City and County of San Francisco, and placed temporarily to the credit of the Unappropriated Fee Fund, and after settlement of the said fund between the Treasurer and Auditor. deposited by the Treasurer in the General Fund. The Auditor shall furnish to the Board of Supervisors, on the first of each and every month, a statement showing the amount of all moneys collected during the previous month, under and by virture of this section.

Section 50. Effect of Ordinance. If any section, subsection, sentence, clause or phrase of this ordinance is for any reason held to be unconstitutional, such decision shall not affect the validity of the remaining portions of this ordinance. The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that it would have passed this ordinance and each section, subsection, sentence, clause and phrase thereof, irrespective of the fact that any one

or more sections, subsections, sentences, clauses or phrases be declared unconstitutional.

Section 51. Repeal. Ordinance No. 1857 (New Series), as amended, is hereby repealed, and all ordinances or parts of ordinances in conflict with or inconsistent with the provisions of this ordinance are hereby repealed, except that this repeal shall not affect or prevent the prosecution or punishment of any person for any act done or committed in violation of any ordinance hereby repealed prior to the taking effect of this ordinance.

Section 52. Publication of Ordinance. The Clerk of the Board of Supervisors shall certify to the passage of this ordinance and cause the same to be published.

His Honor Mayor Rolph in the Chair.

His Honor Mayor Rolph took the chair at the hour of 3:20 p. m.

Copy of Communication From His Honor the Mayor Relative to the Traffic Survey.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

San Francisco, Aug. 27, 1927.

Mr. Robert L. Bentley, Chairman San Francisco Traffic Survey Committee, 101 California street, San Francisco, California.

Dear Mr. Bentley:

With the greatest admiration of the work of San Francisco Traffic Survey Committee in making its important survey of traffic conditions in San Francisco, I am writing to thank you, as Chairman, and your associates on the committee, for the valuable service you have rendered your City. The complete array of facts compiled by Dr. Miller McClintock and his staff, under your direction, with the recommendations based thereon, will be permanently useful to San Francisco in promoting its growth and as far as possible insuring the convenience and safety of the people.

This work, moreover, has been done entirely by public subscription, with funds gladly placed in your hands because of the public confidence in the ability and public-spirited motives of the group comprising the committee. The thanks of the whole City, which I, as Mayor, am glad to express, is due each member of the Traffic Survey Committee, and, as well, all who contributed to its funds, and to Dr. McClintock and his staff.

It has occurred to me that such an effective group should not disband with the close of the work which it originally set out to do. There are many further problems in connection with the difficult traffic situation still to be solved. As Mayor I would welcome further suggestions looking to the best possible solution of these problems, and respectfully suggest that the committee continue its organization for their consideration, cooperating further, as it has so effectively in the past, with the City authorities for the good of San Francisco.

Very sincerely yours,

JAMES ROLPH, JR.,

Mayor.

Referred to Traffic and Finance Committee.

Establishment of Division of Street Traffic Engineering.

Supervisor Todd presented:

Bill No. ——, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Creating a division of street traffic engineering and prescribing the duties thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. There is hereby established a division of street traffic engineering, which shall be under the jurisdiction of the Board of Public Works and form a part of the Bureau of Engineering of the Department of Public Works. The division of street traffic engineering shall consist of a competent traffic engineer, to be appointed by the said Board of Public Works pursuant to law, and such other employees as said Board may deem necessary.

Section 2. It shall be the duty of the city traffic engineer to conduct studies of street traffic accidents and congestion, and of other conditions affecting the safe and convenient use of the streets; to collect facts regarding the effect and operation of regulations controlling street traffic; to prepare plans for the placing, maintenance and operation of traffic signs, signals and markings, and to perform such other duties as may from time to time be required by ordinance of the Board of Supervisors.

Referred to Committee on Finance and Traffic.

Privilege of the Floor.

Dr. Miller McClintock addressed the Board and explained briefly the various sections of the proposed traffic ordinance.

His Honor the Mayor retired at the hour of 5 p. m. and Supervisor Shannon was called to the chair.

Whereupon, Supervisor Todd presented the following:

Resolution No. 27750 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the San Francisco Traffic Survey Committee, composed of representative, public-spirited citizens,

has conducted during the past year a careful and comprehensive investigation of the street traffic control problem of the City and County of San Francisco; and

Whereas, the said Committee has presented to the Board of Supervisors a report containing recommendations for a system of traffic control and administration designed to reduce accidents and congestion upon the public streets; and

Whereas, the problem of traffic relief is one which is constantly growing and one that requires the continuing interest of informed representative of traffic and transportation groups; be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby expresses its appreciation to the citizens associated with the San Francisco Traffic Survey Committee for their contributions of money and energy to the relief of this pressing public problem, and to Dr. Miller McClintock of the Erskine Bureau of Harvard University and the members of the staff of the survey for their conscientious efforts in conducting the engineering investigations and in preparing the report; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby request the San Francisco Traffic Survey Committee to maintain its organization in order that the City may obtain the advantage of its future cooperation with public officials in the solution of the traffic problem.

Adopted under suspension of the rules by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

SPECIAL ORDER—2 P. M.

Notice of Reconsideration.

The following was taken up:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors did, by Resolution No. 27419 (New Series), adopted by said Board on the 13th day of June, 1927, authorize and empower the Finance Committee of the said Board of Supervisors to enter into a contract with James G. Stafford and associates to continue and complete the appraising of all taxable property subject to assessment within the City and County of San Francisco; and

Whereas, the conditions of said contract were not set forth in said resolution authorizing the entering into of said contract by said Finance Committee; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That in any contract entered into between said James G. Stafford and associates and the City and County of San Francisco it be provided that the City and County of San Francisco shall furnish to said James G. Stafford and associates all help of every kind and character which may be necessary for the doing of said work by said James G. Stafford and associates, and that said James G. Stafford and associates shall furnish and provide the service of any and all experts necessary for the doing of said work; providing, however, that said James G. Stafford and associates shall be the sole judge of the amount and character of said help required for performing said services; and provided further, that the costs of said help shall be deducted from the contract price to be paid said James G. Stafford and associates for performing said work, and provided further, that all of said help shall be employed pursuant to the provisions of Article XIII of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco.

The foregoing resolution, presented by Supervisor Jesse C. Colman, was *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Harrelson, Hayden, Marks, Roncovieri, Stanton, Todd—9.

Noes—Supervisors Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt—7.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Shannon—2.

Whereupon, Supervisor Colman changed his vote from *aye* to *no* and gave notice of reconsideration.

Motion.

Supervisor Colman, seconded by Supervisor Harrelson, moved for reconsideration.

Motion *carried*.

Whereupon, Supervisor Colman moved the adoption of the following resolution:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That in the performance of the work of appraising the taxable improvements in the City and County of San Francisco, under any contract that may be entered into with James G. Stafford and associates, the following procedure be adhered to as far as practicable by the parties to said contract:

(a) That said James G. Stafford

and associates classify all nontechnical positions in its organization with reference to the duties to be performed and the approximate number of positions in each classification.

(b) That such classification be filed with the Civil Service Commission.

(c) That said Civil Service Commission shall report to the Finance Committee of this Board as to whether said Commission has upon its register a list of eligibles who have taken and passed a competitive examination that will qualify them to fill the position and discharge the duties set forth in the classification mentioned in paragraph "a".

(d) In the event that there are such eligibles, said James G. Stafford and associates shall request the Civil Service Commission to certify such eligibles as will be necessary to perform the duties described in said classification and as required by Section 9 of Article XIII of the Charter, and employment shall be given by said James G. Stafford and associates to such certificated eligibles for a probation period of one week. If at the end of said probationary period of one week the service of said employee be deemed satisfactory, then the Finance Committee shall place the name of said employee (should he so desire) upon the payroll of the City and County, and his compensation from the date of his employment shall be paid by the City and County of San Francisco and the amount thereof shall be deducted from any sum due to said James G. Stafford and associates.

(e) In the event that the Civil Service Commission shall report that there is no list or register of eligibles as described in paragraph "c", or when any eligible list is exhausted, said James G. Stafford and associates may appoint any person qualified to perform the required duties.

(f) That the compensation of all employees shall be fixed by said James G. Stafford and associates subject to any provision in the contract relative thereto.

(g) That said James G. Stafford and associates shall have the right to discharge any employee for incompetence, willful disobedience, inattention to duties or for conduct prejudicial to the morale of the organization, or upon the completion of the service required

(h) That said James G. Stafford and associates shall have the right to select a reasonable number of experts as may be necessary to perfect a unified and effective organization and afford the means of instructing other employees in the details of the work to be performed.

Motion.

Supervisor Colman moved the suspension of the rules and the privilege of the floor to Mr. Greenblatt and Mr. O'Connor, representing the Civil Service employees, who spoke in favor of the foregoing resolution.

Mr. Greenblatt and *Mr. O'Connor* addressed the Board in opposition to the foregoing resolution.

Whereupon, Supervisor Hayden moved to amend by substituting the following resolution:

Resolution No. 27752 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That in the performance of the work of appraising the taxable improvements in the City and County of San Francisco, under any contract that may be entered into with James G. Stafford and associates, the following procedure be adhered to by the parties to said contract:

(a) That said James G. Stafford and associates file, in the manner specified in Article XIII of the Charter, with the Civil Service Commission a requisition setting forth the duties of each employee to be engaged by them on the work of reappraisal, and that all said requisitions be filled, as far as the Civil Service Commission has available lists therefor, in the manner specified by said article of the Charter; and that said James G. Stafford and associates employ no others on said work in any other manner.

(b) That the foregoing does not apply to positions which are expert in their nature, provided, however, that the Civil Service Commission shall decide whether positions claimed to be expert are expert positions.

(c) That the compensation of all employees shall be fixed by said James G. Stafford and associates subject to any provision in the contract relative thereto, and the amount thereof shall be paid by the City and County and deducted from the contract price.

(d) That said James G. Stafford and associates shall have the right to discharge any employee upon the grounds and in the manner specified by Article XIII of the Charter.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Byington, Deasy—3.

Leave of Absence, Supervisor Harrelson.

The following was presented and *adopted* by the following vote:

Resolution No. 27753 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Hon. W. H. Harrelson, member of the Board of Supervisors, be and he is hereby granted a leave of absence of thirty (30) days from August 31, 1927, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Byington, Deasy—3.

UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

Final Passage.

The following matters, heretofore passed for printing, were taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Declaratory Ordinance, Municipal Railway Extensions.

Bill No. 8163, Ordinance No. 7675 (New Series), as follows:

Determining and declaring that the public interest and necessity demand tre acquisition or construction of a public utility, to-wit:

A number of extensions to the existing Municipal Street Railway system over and along the public streets and private rights of way, said extensions to be made from the existing Municipal Railway track at Scott and Chestnut streets into the Marina Tract west of Scott street and north of Chestnut street; from the Municipal Railway track at Masonic avenue and Turk street into the Richmond District, connecting with the Municipal Railway tracks running to the Ocean Beach; from the Municipal Railway tracks on Masonic avenue at Turk street, easterly on Turk street to Market street connecting with the Municipal Railway tracks on Market street; a connecting crosstown service west of Twentieth avenue between the Judah street line of the Municipal Railway and the existing Municipal Railway line on Taraval street; from the Municipal Railway tracks at Forty-sixth avenue and Taraval street southerly to a terminal near Wawona street and Forty-seventh avenue; from the Municipal Railway tracks at Thirtieth and Church streets, southwesterly through the Bernal Cut, into the Excelsior District, at or near Excelsior street; thence southerly through the Excelsior District to a terminal at Geneva avenue from a connection with the Municipal Railway tracks on Market street extended to a point at or near Eureka street; thence southerly over Eureka street or other most feasible route to Twenty-third street; thence by the most feasible route to a terminus on Diamond street near Twenty-ninth street; an automobile bus service from the vicinity of Seventeenth street and Potrero avenue by the most feasible route to the vicinity of Twenty-third street and Wisconsin street; and for such other extensions and additions to the trackage and facilities of the said Municipal Railway system as may be deemed necessary, and to include equipment thereof, appurtenances thereto, the necessary buildings and structures and the purchase of necessary lands; and directing the Board of Public Works to procure and file plans and estimates of the cost thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby determined and specifically declared that the public interest and necessity demand the acquisition or construction of extensions to the existing Municipal Street Railway system, to-wit:

Extensions of the Municipal Street Railway tracks and service over and along public streets and rights of way, as follows:

From the existing Municipal Railway track at Scott and Chestnut streets into the Marina Tract, west of Scott street and north of Chestnut street; from the Municipal Railway track at Masonic avenue and Turk street into the Richmond District, connecting with the Municipal Railway tracks running to the Ocean Beach; from the Municipal Railway tracks on Masonic avenue at Turk street easterly on Turk street to Market street, connecting with the Municipal Railway tracks on Market street; a connecting crosstown service west of Twentieth avenue between the Judah street line of the Municipal Railway and the existing Municipal Railway tracks on Taraval street; from the Municipal Railway tracks at Forty-sixth avenue and Taraval street southerly to a terminal near Wawona street and Forty-seventh avenue; from the Municipal Railway tracks at Thirtieth and Church streets southwesterly through the Bernal Cut

into the Excelsior District, at or near Excelsior street; thence southerly through the Excelsior District to a terminal at Geneva avenue; from a connection with the Municipal Railway track on Market street extended to a point at or near Eureka street; thence southerly over Eureka street or other most feasible route to Twenty-third street; thence by the most feasible route to a terminus on Diamond street near Twenty-ninth street; an automobile bus service from the vicinity of Seventeenth street and Potrero avenue by the most feasible route to the vicinity of Twenty-third street and Wisconsin street; and for such extensions and additions to the trackage and facilities of the said Municipal Railway system as may be deemed necessary, and to include equipment thereof, appurtenances thereto, necessary buildings and structures and the purchase of necessary lands.

Section 2. The Board of Public Works is hereby directed to procure, through the City Engineer, and file with the Board of Supervisors plans and estimates of cost of original construction and completion of the extensions to the Municipal Street Railway as herein described.

Section 3. This ordinance shall be published for two weeks in the official newspaper and shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Byington, Deasy—3.

Declaratory Ordinance, Public Highways.

Bill No. 8164, Ordinance No. 7676 (New Series), as follows:

Determining and declaring that the public interest and necessity demand the acquisition, construction and completion of certain permanent improvements, to-wit, certain improved routes for public highway purposes in the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

A boulevard to be known as the Bay Shore Highway from the vicinity of Army street, Potrero avenue and San Bruno avenue along San Bruno avenue widened to Cortland avenue, thence along a new right of way to Silver avenue and Charter Oak avenue; thence along Charter Oak avenue widened to Paul avenue; thence along a route paralleling San Bruno avenue between San Bruno avenue and Third street to the vicinity of San Bruno avenue and Sunnydale avenue and the County line, or the most feasible route or routes; a boulevard to be known as the Alemany boulevard from the vicinity of Cortland avenue and San Bruno avenue and the proposed Bay Shore Highway, thence in a southerly and westerly direction following the general course of the old Ocean Shore right of way to a connection with the Junipero Serra boulevard near Palmetto avenue, or the most feasible route or routes; a boulevard to be known as the Junipero Serra boulevard from the vicinity of Sloat boulevard and Portola drive, thence in a general southerly direction widening and straightening the existing roadway of the present Junipero Serra boulevard to the County line near the vicinity of Belle avenue, or the most feasible route or routes; a boulevard to be known as Nineteenth avenue extended southerly crossing old Ocean avenue and paralleling on each side the extension of the Municipal Railway running southerly and southeasterly to Junipero Serra boulevard, or the most feasible route or routes; a boulevard to be known as the Sunset boulevard, commencing at Lincoln way between Twentieth avenue and Thirty-seventh avenue and running in a southerly direction between said Twentieth avenue and said Thirty-seventh avenue, or such avenues included or extended, to Sloat boulevard, or the most feasible route or routes; a boulevard on Beach frontage improvement to be known as The Esplanade, commencing in the vicinity of the Great Highway and Fulton street and running in a general southerly direction along and upon said Great Highway to Lincoln way of the same type of construction as previously completed Esplanade; an improvement of the Great Highway from Lincoln way southerly to Sloat boulevard; a boulevard to be known as the Van Ness avenue extension from the vicinity of Mission street and Twelfth street extended southerly to Howard street and Thirteenth street, or the most feasible route or routes; including approaches, structures, pavements, curbs, sidewalks, sewers, drainage facilities, the readjustment of intersecting and adjacent streets and other improvements, and the purchase of the necessary lands with respect to each of the said boule-

vards or Beach frontage improvements.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby determined and specifically declared that the public interest and necessity demand the acquisition, construction and completion of certain permanent improvements, to-wit:

Certain improved routes for public highway purposes in the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

A boulevard to be known as the Bay Shore Highway from the vicinity of Army street, Potrero avenue and San Bruno avenue along San Bruno avenue widened to Cortland avenue, thence along a new right of way to Silver avenue and Charter Oak avenue; thence along Charter Oak avenue widened to Paul avenue; thence along a route paralleling San Bruno avenue between San Bruno avenue and Third street to the vicinity of San Bruno avenue and Sunnydale avenue and the County line, or the most feasible route or routes; a boulevard to be known as the Alemany boulevard from the vicinity of Cortland avenue and San Bruno avenue and the proposed Bay Shore Highway, thence in a southerly and westerly direction following the general course of the old Ocean Shore right of way to a connection with the Junipero Serra boulevard near Palmetto avenue, or the most feasible route or routes; a boulevard to be known as the Junipero Serra boulevard from the vicinity of Sloat boulevard and Portola drive, thence in a general southerly direction widening and straightening the existing roadway of the present Junipero Serra boulevard to the County line near the vicinity of Belle avenue, or the most feasible route or routes; a boulevard to be known as Nineteenth avenue extended southerly, crossing old Ocean avenue and paralleling on each side the extension of the Municipal Railway, running southerly and southeasterly to Junipero Serra boulevard, or the most feasible route or routes; a boulevard to be known as the Sunset boulevard, commencing at Lincoln way between Twentieth avenue and Thirty-seventh avenue and running in a southerly direction between said Twentieth avenue and said Thirty-seventh avenue, or such avenues included or extended, to Sloat boulevard, or the most feasible route or routes; a boulevard or Beach frontage improvement to be known as The Esplanade, commencing in the vicinity of the Great Highway and Fulton street and running in a general southerly direction along and upon said Great Highway to Lincoln way, of the same type of construction as the previously completed Esplanade; an improvement of the Great Highway from Lincoln way southerly to Sloat boulevard; a boulevard to be known as the Van Ness avenue extension from the vicinity of Mission street and Twelfth street extended southerly to Howard street and Thirteenth street, or the most feasible route or routes; including approaches, structures, pavements, curbs, sidewalks, sewers, drainage facilities, the readjustment of intersecting and adjacent streets and other improvements, and the purchase of the necessary lands with respect to each of the said boulevards or beach frontage improvements.

Section 2. The Board of Public Works is hereby directed to procure through the City Engineer, and place on file with the Board of Supervisors, plans and estimates of cost of the original acquisition, construction and completion of each of said public improvements as herein described.

Section 3. This ordinance shall be published for two weeks in the official newspaper, and shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Byington, Deasy—3.

Declaratory Ordinance, Extending Moccasin Creek Power Line.

On motion of Supervisor Havenner:

Bill No. 8180, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Determining and declaring that the public interest and necessity demand the acquisition, construction and extension of a public utility, to-wit: The extension of the Moccasin Creek power line of the Hetch Hetchy Water and power project of the City and County of San Francisco from its present terminal near Newark, Alameda County, California, over, under, across or around the Bay of San Francisco, thence by the most feasible route into the City and County of San Francisco for the purpose of transmitting electric energy generated at Moccasin Creek power plant

into the City and County of San Francisco. To construct such transmission line, equipment thereof, appurtenances thereto, to acquire all necessary rights of way, permits, easements, purchase of necessary lands, buildings and terminal facilities, including a stepdown terminal substation within or without the confines of the City and County with necessary equipment therefor, and directing the Board of Public Works to procure and file with the Board of Supervisors plans and estimates of the cost thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby determined and specifically declared that the public interest and necessity demand the acquisition, construction and extension of the transmission line of the Moccasin Creek power line of the Hetch Hetchy water and power project of the City and County of San Francisco from its present terminal near Newark, Alameda County, California, over, under, across or around the Bay of San Francisco, thence by the most feasible route into the City and County of San Francisco for the purpose of transmitting electric energy generated at the Moccasin Creek power plant into the City and County of San Francisco and to construct such transmission line, equipment thereof, appurtenances thereto, to acquire all necessary rights of way, permits, easements, purchase of necessary lands, buildings and terminal facilities including a stepdown terminal substation to be erected or acquired within or without the confines of the City and County of San Francisco with the necessary equipment therefor and necessary lands.

Section 2. The Board of Public Works is hereby directed to procure, through the City Engineer, and file with the Board of Supervisors, plans and estimates of cost of original construction and completion of the extension of the Moccasin Creek transmission line of the Hetch Hetchy water and power project as herein described.

Section 3. This ordinance shall be published for two weeks in the official newspaper and shall take effect immediately.

Motion.

Supervisor Harrelson, seconded by Supervisor Colman, moved that immediately following the words "necessary equipment therefor" the following words be added: "together with a distributing system and substation necessary to serve the Municipal Railway system, its electric power and lighting requirements and also electric power and lighting requirements of the territory contiguous to the distributing lines and substations of the Municipal Railway system."

Whereupon, the amendment was *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Harrelson, Hayden—3.

Noes—Supervisors Badaracco, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—11.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Byington, Deasy, Marks—4.

Passed for Printing.

Whereupon, the foregoing bill was *passed for printing* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—13.

Noes—Supervisors Colman, Harrelson—2.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Byington, Deasy—3.

Passed for Printing.

The following matter was *passed for printing*:

Tax Rate.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy:

Bill No. 8181, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Providing revenue and levying taxes for City and County purposes for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1928.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Under and pursuant to the provisions of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco and of the laws of the State of California, and in conformity therewith, a tax is hereby levied for City and County purposes, including special City and County school building purposes, for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1928, on all the property, real and personal, in the City and County of San Francisco, except such property as is by law exempt from taxation, in the sum of three and sixty-six hundredths ($3.66) dollars on each one hundred dollars valuation of said taxable property as the same appears upon the assessment roll of said City and County for said fiscal year, which said sum of three and sixty-six hundredths ($3.66) dollars on each one hundred dollars valua-

tion as aforesaid is hereby apportioned to the funds and accounts and for the purposes designated as follows:

For the General Fund, to meet all expenses of the City and County not otherwise herein provided for, a rate of..... $.83393

For the General Fund, to meet the cost of the construction and repairs to streets, sewers and buildings for the Detention Home and for the Police, Fire, Health and School departments, other than the special school building tax hereinafter provided for, the rate of.... .23852

For the General Fund, to meet the cost of elections and to pay the demands, salaries, expenses or other obligations imposed upon the City and County by legislative or constitutional enactment of the State of California or of the United States, and demands, salaries, expenses or other obligations imposed upon the City and County by direct vote of the people of the City and County, other than the items herein specifically provided for, the rate of ..... .80080

For the City and County Elementary School Fund, the minimum City and County school tax for the elementary schools to equal the estimated minimum for such purposes furnished to the Board of Supervisors by the Superintendent of Schools, in accordance with the provisions of Section 1817 of the Political Code of the State, the rate of... .17640

For the City and County High School Fund, the minimum City and County high school tax to equal the estimated minimum for such purpose furnished to the Board of Supervisors by the Superintendent of Schools in accordance with the provisions of Section 1764 of the Political Code of the State ..... .10611

For a special school tax for the School Building Fund, the rate of..... .15330

For the Common School Fund, in addition to the minimum amounts for elementary schools and high schools, and the special school tax for School Building Fund hereinbefore provided, the rate of .. .. .41148

For the Library Fund, to meet the cost of maintaining public libraries and the purchase of books therefor, the rate of.... .03621

For the Park Fund, to pay for the maintenance of parks, squares and public grounds, the rate of.. .10000

For the Firemen's Relief and Pension Fund, the rate of ..... .04853

For special tax levied for publicity and advertising, pursuant to Subdivision 33 of Section 4041 of the Political Code of the State, the rate of..... .01788

For Retirement System for City employees..... .10778

For Playground Fund..... .05000

For M. H. de Young Memorial Museum Fund.... .00900

For California Palace of the Legion of Honor Fund... .00900

For maintenance of the blind, Stautes 1919, Chapter 144..... .00217

For maintenance of Steinhart Aquarium..... .00638

For the respective funds to redeem and pay the interest on bonds sold prior to November 8, 1910, as follows:

Children's Playground Bond Redemption and Interest Fund, issue 1904..... .00320

Golden Gate Park and Presidio Extension Bond Redemption and Interest Fund, issue 1904..... .00143

Mission Park Bond Redemption and Interest Fund, issue 1904..... .00126

Fire Protection Bond Redemption and Interest Fund, issue 1908..... .02738

Sewer Bond Redemption and Interest Fund, issue 1908 .. .. .01659

School Bond Redemption and Interest Fund, issue 1908 .. .. .01954

Hospital Bond Redemption and Interest Fund, issue 1908 .. .. .00760

Hall of Justice Bond Redemption and Interest Fund, issue 1908..... .00430

Garbage Disposal Bond Redemption and Interest Fund, issue 1908.....	.00517
For the respective funds to redeem and pay the interest on bonds sold since November 8, 1910, as follows:	
Street Bond Redemption and Interest Fund, issue 1904	.005334
School Bond Redemption and Interest Fund, issue 1918	.037306
Library Bond Redemption and Interest Fund, issue 1904	.008093
Fire Protection Bond Redemption and Interest Fund, issue 1908.....	.007633
Sewer Bond Redemption and Interest Fund, issue 1908	.010474
School Bond Redemption and Interest Fund, issue 1908	.016580
Hospital Bond Redemption and Interest Fund, issue 1908	.007000
Hall of Justice Bond Redemption and Interest Fund, issue 1908.....	.002596
Polytechnic High School Bond Redemption and Interest Fund, issue 1910..	.004540
Water Bond Redemption and Interest Fund, issue 1910	.098500
City Hall Bond Redemption and Interest Fund, issue 1912	.067450
Exposition Bond Redemption and Interest Fund, issue 1912.....	.040730
Hospital-Jail Completion Bond Redemption and Interest Fund, issue 1913..	.015589
School Bond, 1923 issue, Interest Fund	.094494
Relief Home Bond, 1923 issue, Interest Fund.....	.025521
To pay an aliquot part equal to one-tenth of the whole of each of the final judgments against the City and County of San Francisco	.02420
Total	$3.66

Notice of Reconsideration.

The following matter was taken up:

H. J. Mallen, Automobile Parking Station.

Resolution presented by Supervisor Gallagher on June 20, 1927, granting H. J. Mallen permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate automobile parking station on west side of Taylor street, south of Eddy street, which resolution was refused passage by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, Powers, Todd—9.

Noes—Supervisors Byington, Deasy, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton—7.

Absent—Supervisors Badaracco, Bath—2.

Supervisor Gallagher moved suspension of the rules and reconsideration of the vote by which the foregoing resolution was refused passage.

Motion *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Gallager, Harrelson, McSheehy, Powers, Shannon, Todd—7.

Noes—Supervisors Badaracco, Roncovieri, Schmidt—3.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Byington, Deasy, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, Stanton—8.

Whereupon, Supervisor Gallagher moved that H. J. Mallen be granted permission to maintain and operate an automobile parking station on the west side of Taylor street, south of Eddy street.

Seconded by Supervisor Powers.

Whereupon, the roll was called with the following result:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Todd—9.

Noes—Supervisors Badaracco, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton—5.

Abent—Supervisors Bath, Byington, Deasy, Powers—4.

Whereupon, the chair announced the motion *lost*.

Supervisor Gallagher then moved for a call of the house, and rescinding of the vote by which the foregoing motion was refused passage.

Motion *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—12.

Noes—Supervisors Badaracco, Roncovieri, Schmidt—3.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Byington, Deasy—3.

Whereupon, Supervisor Gallagher moved, seconded by Supervisor Powers, that H. J. Mallen be granted permission to maintain and operate an automobile parking station on the west side of Taylor street, south of Eddy street.

Motion *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Haydent, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Todd—10.

Noes—Supervisors Badaracco, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton—5.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Byington, Deasy—3.

Before the vote was announced by the chair, Supervisor Badaracco changed his vote from *no* to *aye* and gave notice of reconsideration at the next meeting.

Appropriation, $50,000, First Unit of Fillmore Street Sewer.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, there is in the Budget for the Fiscal Year 1927-1928 Item No. 38, calling for an expenditure of $200,000 for the extenion and reconstruction of sewers; and

Whereas, for a number of years the overflow of the Fillmore street sewer has caused untold damage; therefore, be it

Resolved, That $50,000 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of Budget Item No. 38, "Extension and Reconstruction of Sewers," for the reconstruction of the first unit of the Fillmore street sewer, commencing at Division and Tenth streets and running northerly along Tenth street.

Referred to Streets Committee.

ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business the Board at the hour of 8:30 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors November 28, 1927.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated, and approved as above recited.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors.
City and County of San Francisco.

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Vol. 22—New Series No. 36

Tuesday, September 6, 1927

Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
337 Bush Street, S. F.

JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

TUESDAY, SEPTEMBER 6, 1927, 10 A. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Tuesday, September 6, 1927, 10 a. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Hayden, Kent, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Harrelson, Havenner, McSheehy—3.

(The Clerk explained that Supervisors Harrelson, Havenner and McSheehy were in Redwood City on San Mateo bridge matters.)

Quorum present.

His Honor Mayor Rolph presiding.

APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the preceding meeting was laid over until next meeting for approval.

ROLL CALL FOR PETITIONS FROM MEMBERS.

Enforcement of Initiative Garbage Ordinance.

The following was presented and read:

Communication, from Dr. Wm. C. Hassler, Health Officer, calling attention to the fact that at last election an intiative ordinance was passed changing the existing garbage ordinance of the Board of Supervisors, and that it is his understanding that the initiative ordinance is not legally operative until accepted by the Board of Supervisors and the Health Office notified to enforce same.

Referred to Health Committee with request to report next Monday.

Resolution of San Mateo County for Joint Highway District.

The following matter was read by the Clerk:

Resolution of the Board of Supervisors of San Mateo County, proposing to create a joint highway district for a state highway between Camino Real and the state highway from the present Junipero Serra boulevard in San Francisco County and extending into San Mateo County.

Referred to Streets Committee.

Reception to Helen Wills, America's Tennis Champion.

Communication, from his Honor Mayor Rolph, transmitting letter from Californians Inc. suggesting suitable reception to Miss Helen Wills, America's tennis champion.

Referred to Public Welfare Committee.

Report of San Francisco Municipal Airport, Mills Field.

The following was presented, read and ordered *filed*:

August 31, 1927.

To the Honorable Board of Supervisors, Airport Committee, Hon. Milo F. Kent, Chairman:

The following report, covering the number of planes arriving and the number of passengers carried from Mills Field San Francisco Municipal Airport for the months of May, June, July and August are as follows:

May, 13 planes, 15 passengers; June, 19 planes, 17 passengers; July, 57 planes, 85 passengers; August, 374 planes, 601 passengers.

Planes arrived from and departed to the following points from May 1, 1927, to August 31, 1927:

Redwood City, Los Angeles, Fresno, Sausalito, Eureka, Oakland, San Carlos, Crissy Field, San Mateo, Visalia, Guerneville, San Diego, Eugene, Ore., Stockton, Santa Monica, Riverside, Carmel, Washington, D. C., Seattle, Wash., Sacramento, Myrtle Point, Ore., Bakersfield, Santa Rosa, Salinas, Del Monte, San Jose, Elmhurst, Kentfield, Petaluma, Medford, Ore., Portland, Ore., New York, N. Y.

The following various types of planes arrived and departed from Mills Field from May 1, 1927, to August 31, 1927. (The pilot is not included as a passenger):

Type of Plane	No. of Passengers	No. of Motors
Travel Air.....	2	1
American Eagle.	2	1
Purcell	2	1
Curtiss R.....	4	1
Buhl-Verville	2	1
Bach	3	1
Eaglerock	2	1
Fokker	12	3
De Haviland.....	1	1
Consolidated	1	1
Douglas	1	1
Lockheed	4	1
Breese	4	1
Curtiss J N.....	1	1
International	3	1
Roamair	2	1
Standard	2	1
Swallow	2	1
Stearman	2	1
Travel Air Transport	4	1
Fokker Universal	4	1

During the month of August, 1927, $97 was collected for field rental. This amount is for transient pilots and for regular base operators.

Respectfully submitted,
FRANK A. FLYNN,
Superintendent.
By BARTLETT STEPHENS,
Assistant Superintendent.

United States Department of Agriculture, Weather Bureau, San Francisco Municipal Airport, Mills Field, Cal., August 31, 1927.

Weather Conditions for the Month of August.

Excellent flying weather prevailed at Mills Field throughout the month of August. There was no fog on the field and visibility was fine. So-called "high fog" was present over the field on a few occasions but at sufficient height that its presence did not affect the availability of the field for either arriving or departing planes.

The prevailing wind direction was west; in fact, the wind blew from some westerly quarter 96 per cent of the time. The constancy of the wind at Mills Field is shown in the following table:

Direction	Per cent of time prevailing	Average velocity (miles per hr.)
West 42		11
West northwest..26		13
West southwest..16		10
Northwest 8		12
Southwest 4		8

Total, 96 per cent; average 11 miles per hour. During the remaining 4 per cent of the time light winds blew from directions other than those listed.

E. E. EKLUND,
Meteorologist.

Report of Education, Parks and Playgrounds Committee on McLaren Park Purchases.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

September 1, 1927.

Public Lands Committee, Board of Supervisors, City Hall.

Gentlemen:

Inasmuch as the purchase of lands for public purposes comes under the jurisdiction of your committee, the Committee on Education, Parks and Playgrounds refers to your committee, for its consideration, the attached communication from Mr. Adolph Uhl, requesting that the matter of the purchase of properties within the area of the John McLaren Park be opened up for discussion, and giving his reasons therefor; also, protest, signed by 60 property owners against the inclusion of their property in the John McLaren Park on the ground that the appraisement of their property proposed to be taken is unfair.

The Education, Parks and Playgrounds Committee makes the following recommendations to your committee:

1. That a special night meeting be held to which all dissatisfied parties be invited, as well as all the Supervisors; that the protesting property owners be given an opportunity to voice their complaints against the appraisals of their property; that the City's appraisers be present to defend their appraisements.

2. That in the purchase of property for the John McLaren Park preference and priority, wherever possible, be given to the small property owners.

3. That the necessary legal steps be taken immediately to place the entire area of the John McLaren Park under condemnation.

JOHN W. ROGERS,
Clerk, Committee on Education, Parks and Playgrounds.

Relative to Employment of Delos F. Wilcox by City Attorney for Market Street Railway Investigation.

The following was read by the Clerk:

September 6, 1927.

To the Public Utilities Committee and to the Finance Committee of the Board of Supervisors.

Gentlemen:

Pursuant to Resolution No. 27648,

adopted by the Honorable Board of Supervisors, I have made a preliminary investigation relative to the privately owned street railways in San Francisco. This investigation has embraced only the manner in which I may be able to fully advise your Board as to matters covered in the above resolution.

I find that the City Engineer has in his possession data, which can be brought down to date, and from which it will be possible to determine with a fair degree of accuracy the physical value of the properties of the railroads. A statement of the earnings of these roads can be obtained from the Railroad Commission.

I have had the opportunity of conferring with Mr. Delos F. Wilcox relative to the more technical portions of the investigation which you desire to be made. Mr. Wilcox has offered to make the following studies:

1. Make an analysis of franchise expirations covering all privately owned street railways, and report same with maps and summaries by dates and descriptions, together with physical properties covered by each franchise, with an approximate assignment of value of the same based upon valuations heretofore made by the City Engineer or the State Railroad Commission, and report the present condition of said properties.

2. Make an analysis of car mileage and passenger traffic on all privately owned street railway systems, said analysis to be made by operated routes supported as near as possible by franchise expirations, with special estimates for each particular route where portions of the line are operated under franchises expiring different dates.

3. Make an analysis of routing and trackage of all street railways in the city, for the purpose of determining what lines or parts of lines could be dispensed with upon the expiration of existing franchises or upon the unification of all lines under municipal ownership.

4. Make an analysis of the effects of the expiration of existing franchises upon the value and serviceability of routes or parts of routes for which the franchises do not expire until later dates.

5. Make an analysis of the effect of the unification and expansion of the street railway systems of the city under municipal ownership and operation upon the cost of service, with particular reference to the possibility of retaining the five-cent fare under those conditions.

6. Make an analysis of the valuations heretofore made by the City Engineer and the Railroad Commission, with respect to the propriety of the general rules of valuation, with particular reference to the present price trends, intangibleness, depreciation, and the effect of the approaching expiration of franchises.

7. Recommendation of the plan deemed most practicable under all circumstances for the acquisition of all or surh parts of the street railway properties now privately owned as may be required to establish a complete and unified system of street railways under municipal ownership. This will involve a consideration of the relative merits of negotiation and condemnation at the present time, as well as at the expiration of the principal franchises, and will also involve the recommendotion of any temporary agreement deemed proper between the City and the railway companies providing for the operation of said railways between the date of the expiration of their respective franchises and the date of the taking over of said railways by the City.

Mr. Wilcox estimates that this work can be completed within a period of sixty days from and after the date of its commencement, and asks a fee of $10,000, which shall include all expenses incident to the investigation, said amount to be payable as follows: On September 15, 1927, $2,000; October 1, 1927, $2,000; October 15, 1927, $2,000; upon filing the report, $4,000.

Should your Board desire this investigation made by Mr. Wilcox I will be pleased to have the necessary appropriation made to cover his expenses and the requisite authority to employ him.

Sincerely yours,

JNO. J. O'TOOLE,
City Attorney.

Ordered *filed*.

Report of Attorney for Auditor on Board of Education Tax Levy.

The following was read by the Clerk:

San Francisco, Cal.,
September 1, 1927.

Mr. Thos. F. Boyle, Auditor, City and County of San Francisco.

Dear Sir:

In response to your request for an opinion in re a communication received from the Board of

Education, wherein they make a demand that you levy a tax to cover a deficiency in the school budget which they state has been denied them by the Board of Supervisors, I beg to advise you that I have given this matter an exhaustive study and submit herein my opinion concerning the same.

I am firmly convinced that it is no part of the duties or functions of the City Auditor of San Francisco to levy any such tax as requested by the Board of Education, nor has the Auditor any such right, authority or jurisdiction in such matters.

The duties of the Auditor of the City and County of San Francisco are clearly defined in the Charter, and nowhere therein do I find any command for him to make any such tax levy or even authorizing him to do so. The only color for such a contention is found in a single sentence at the conclusion of Section 1612a of the Political Code, and the only expression of the appellate courts I can find upon the question of an interpretation of the school tax laws recently enacted by the legislature and adopted by the people is found in the case of McDonald v. Richards, decided by the Appellate Court on July 24, 1926, and found in 50 Cal. App. Dec. 690. This case, which was never appealed to the Supreme Court, was a mandamus proceeding brought against the Auditor of Butte County to compel her, as said auditor, to make a special tax levy against the taxable property located within a certain high school district to raise a certain amount of money which the Board of Supervisors had stricken out of the budget presented by the board of school trustees. The case involved directly the consideration of section 1612a of the Political Code and section 6 of article IX of the State Constitution, as amended in 1920, and indirectly section 1 of article III and section 12 of article XI of the Constitution.

The Appellate Court did not decide that it was the duty of the auditor to levy a tax. That point seems to have been passed over or evaded, for the Appellate Court, while giving judgment for the auditor, held:

"The facts before us show that the time has long since gone by when any authority exists to order any change in the tax levy already made. The different sections of the Political Code relating to levying of county taxes, the making out of the assessment rolls by the different ministerial officers having to do therewith, show that the power to direct any other assessment has ceased to exist, and that any levy, if it were possible in this proceeding for this court to so order, would but lead to confusion, and that under such circumstances mandamus will not issue. . . .

"Nor can we order the Board of Supervisors of the County of Butte to include within the tax rate to be levied for the present year a sufficient increase in rate to cover the deficit caused by the improper exercise of their legal duties in making the tax levy for the year beginning June 30, 1925, and ending June 30, 1926, for the simple reason, if for no other, that the Board of Supervisors of the County of Butte is not a party to this proceeding. We are therefore limited simply to affirming the judgment and it is so ordered."

If it were the sole duty of the Auditor to make the levy upon the failure of the Board of Supervisors, why should it be necessary to make the Board of Supervisors a party to the action?

In another part of the same decision we find these words:

"Section 6 of article IX of the Constitution (amendment of 1920) limits the legislature in providing for the levying of taxes to the Board of Supervisors, while without this provision it might be argued that some other portion of the county machinery might be seized upon for the purposes of levying a special high school tax. The people of the state have seen fit to adopt the amendment in such form as to limit the legislature in making provision for the levying of taxes to the Boards of Supervisors of the respective counties, and such function cannot, therefore, be exercised by some administrative officer," as is now being attempted in San Francisco by the Board of Education.

I cannot find in said section 6 of article IX of the Constitution any reference to the Auditor making a tax levy upon the refusal or neglect of the Supervisors so to do, nor in any event. Every reference to a tax levy in said section 6 of article IX provides for the levying of school district taxes by the Board of Supervisors, and not by the Auditor. In the absence of such authority given by the people to the legislature to impose such a duty or function upon the Auditor, I am convinced that the legislature exceeded its

authority in attempting in section 1612a of the Political Code to impose a duty upon the Auditor of levying a school tax upon the neglect or refusal of the Board of Supervisors to do so. and to that extent, at least, section 1612a of the Political Code is unconstitutional. The proper remedy of the Board of Education would seem to me to be a petition for a writ of mandamus to compel the Board of Supervisors to grant them that which they claim has been illegally withheld from them, and not against the Auditor, whose name has been illegally and improperly used in section 1216a of the Political Code.

The power to levy a tax is purely a legislative act and is no part of the duties or functions of an Auditor, and the people in adopting section 6 of article IX of the Constitution properly placed the power to impose a duty upon the Board of Supervisors in regard to levying any tax. It would seem to follow that the power to levy the tax cannot be vested in any other authority of the local corporation than the body in which is vested the legislative power of such municipal corporation, viz.: the Board of Supervisors. At all events I believe it could not vest such power in an administrative officer such as the Auditor. See McCabe v. Carpenter, 102 Cal. 469.

The Board of Supervisors has for months been investigating this matter. They are the legislative body of our municipality, and under our system of government the legislative body always controls the purse-strings. They have all the data and evidence necessary for a proper determination of this question. Nobody other than the Supervisors can levy school taxes. If the contention of the Board of Education is correct, I believe that it would be tantamount to a nullification of the provisions of the Charter with relation to the preparation of the annual budget by the Board of Supervisors and would reduce the Auditor and the Board of Supervisors, who are directly responsible to the people, to the subordinate position of mere "rubber stamps" to carry out the whim or caprice or unchecked policies of an appointive board like the Board of Education.

If the Board of Supervisors has no discretion in the matter of levying school taxes, it is difficult to understand how the Auditor, who is but an administrative officer, could be authorized to perform the duty required of him under the decision of the Supreme Court in the case of McCabe v. Carpenter, 102 Cal. 469.

There are many other legal reasons I could cite, borne out by abundant authorities, which have convinced me that the Auditor is not the proper person to levy such a tax as proposed.

For the time being I deem the foregoing reasons to be sufficient for refusing to comply with the request of the Board of Education.

Respectfully,

FRANK L. FENTON,
Attorney for Auditor.

Report of the Advisory Committee to the Board of Equalization.

The following was read by the Clerk:

August 29, 1927.

To the Honorable, the Board of Supervisors, City and County of San Francisco, San Francisco, California.

Gentlemen:

In accordance with Resolution No. 25878, dated June 29th, 1926, the text of which is as follows:

"Whereas, for the purpose of making a scientific appraisal of the taxable real property of the City and County in order that the Board of Supervisors may perform the duties imposed by law upon said Board as a board of equalization, it is essential to create an advisory committee, including experts in valuation matters;

"Resolved, That the following persons are hereby designated as such committee: Andrew J. Gallagher, Paul Scharrenberg, Philip Paschel, B. A. Banker, M. H. Gates, Henry Boyen, Paul A. Sinsheimer, the same to act in conjunction with the Finance Committee of this Board and with the Assessor, the entire number to constitute the Advisory Committee of the Board of Equalization; and, be it

"Further Resolved, That said committee be empowered to hold public hearings for the purpose of ascertaining the true values of real property subject to taxation and to report the result of its investigation to the Assessor and to the Board of Equalization on or before July 1, 1927." (Adopted by the board of Supervisors, June 28, 1926.)

We herewith hand you the results of our investigation and appraisal of the real estate of the City and

County of San Francisco for your guidance.

Yours very truly,
The Advisory Committee to the Board of Equalization.
M. D. ASHE,
Acting Secretary.

Accompanying are the forty-five volumes of summary sheets.
Ordered *filed*.

Relative to Naming San Francisco Home Port for Battleship Fleet.

The followni communications were presented, read by the Clerk and ordered *filed*.

San Francisco, Calif.
September 3, 1927.

Mr. John S. Dunnigan, Clerk San Francisco Board Supervisors, San Francisco California, Calif.

Dear Sir:

Your letter of August 30, 1927, containing a copy of a resolution adopted by the Board of Supervisors on Monday, August 29, 1927, requesting the United States Senators from California and Representatives in Congress from this City and County to petition the Secretary of the Navy to designate San Francisco as the home port of the major portion of the United States battleship fleet, received.

In answer, will say that I have taken this matter up with the Secretary of the Navy as requested in the resolution and will, when I return to Washington, continue my efforts, as I have in past years, to make San Francisco harbor attractive not only for the vessels of the United States battleship fleet, but also for the merchant marine and world's commerce as well.

Yours very truly,
RICHARD J. WELCH.
M. C.

September 1. 1927.

J. S. Dunnigan, Esq., Clerk, Board of Supervisors, City and County of San Francisco, 235 City Hall, San Francisco, California.

My dear Mr. Dunnigan:

I wish to acknowledge receipt of your letter of August 30, embodying the resolution unanimously adopted by the Board of Supervisors at the regular meeting of Monday, August 29, 1927, urging that San Francisco be designated as the home port of the major portion of the battleship division and of a number of the smaller vessels of the United States battle fleet.

I am transmitting the resolution to the Secretary of the Navy, together with my recommendation and a request that the proposition be given every consideration.

With best wishes, I am
Very truly yours,
FLORENCE P. KAHN.
M. C.

---

757 Mills Building,
September 2, 1927.

Mr. J. S. Dunnigan, Clerk, Board of Supervisors, City of San Francisco, California.

Dear Mr. Dunnigan:

This will acknowledge receipt of your letter of August 30 enclosing resolutions passed by the Board of Supervisors urging that San Francisco be designated as the home port of the Pacific fleet. Thank you for sending them to me.

Sincerely,
HIRAM W. JOHNSON.

---

512 de Young Building,
San Francisco, California.
August 31, 1927.

Mr. J. S. Dunnigan, Clerk, Room 235 City Hall, San Francisco, California.

My dear Friend:

I beg to acknowledge receipt of your communication of August 30th embodying resolutions adopted by unanimous vote by the Board of Supervisors at the regular meeting on Monday, August 29, 1927. I shall transmit to the Secretary of the Navy the wishes of the Board of Supervisors as expressed in the resolution above referred to.

Assuring you that it has given me pleasure to serve you, I beg to remain

Sincerely yours,
SAMUEL M. SHORTRIDGE.

August 31st, 1927.

Mr. John W. Rogers, Chief Assistant Clerk, Board of Supervisors, Room 235 City Hall, San Francisco.

Dear Mr. Rogers:

Thank you for sending us copy of the resolution introduced by Supervisor Warren Shannon and adopted by the Board on August 29th on the designation of San Francisco as the home port of the major portion of the battleship division.

A committee has been appointed to make representations to the Secretary on behalf of the Chamber of Commerce, as suggested in the resolution adopted by the Board of Supervisors.

Yours very truly,
ROBERT NEWTON LYNCH,
Vice-President.

Communication From City Attorney Relative to Mandate Compelling Board of Supervisors to Levy Tax for School Purposes.

The following was read by the Clerk:

September 6, 1927.

Hon. Board of Supervisors, City Hall, City.

Gentlemen:

Please be advised that the Board of Education has made application to the Supreme Court for a writ of mandate compelling the Board of Supervisors to levy a sufficient tax to produce the entire sum demanded by the Board of Education for the support of the San Francisco schools for the current year.

The acting Chief Justice of the Court has advised me that this writ will be heard on Wednesday, September 7th, at 2 p. m., and that the Court will endeavor to arrive at a decision in the matter by Monday, the 12th inst.

In view of the filing of this petition, which will lead to a definite settlement of the questions involved between the Board of Education and your Board, I would strongly recommend that you postpone further action on the adoption of your tax levying ordinance until Monday, September 12th. I hope by that date the Supreme Court will have arrived at a decision in the matter and if it is favorable to your Board you can finally make your tax levy on that date, and should the decision be otherwise the ordinance may be amended so as to permit a final passage on the 19th.

Sincerely yours,

JNO. J. O'TOOLE,
City Attorney.

SET-BACK LINE HEARINGS— 11 A. M.

Hearings of objections to the establishment of set-back lines along portions of Sixteenth avenue, Parker avenue and Santiago street.

No objection being offered, the following bill was *passed for printing*:

Bill No. 8187, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Establishing set-back lines along portions of Sixteenth avenue, Parker avenue and Santiago street.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby recited that on the 25th day of July, 1927, the Board of Supervisors adopted Resolution of Intention No. 144, to establish set-back lines along portions of Sixteenth avenue, Parker avenue and Santiago street, and fixed the 29th day of August, 1927, at 11 o'clock a. m., at the chambers of the Board of Supervisors, as the time and place for hearing objections thereto; that thereafter and more than 10 days prior to said hearing the said resolution was published and notices of the pasage of said resolution were posted along the line of said streets, in time, form and manner required by Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series); that said hearing was held at the time and place aforesaid, and that all objections made at said hearing have been properly overruled.

Section 2. Pursuant to the foregoing recitals and in accordance with the provisions of said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), set-back lines are hereby established as follows:

Along the westerly side of Sixteenth avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Geary street, and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 10 feet; thence northerly 75 feet, said set-back line to be 15 feet; thence northerly 75 feet, said set-back line to be 18 feet; thence norterly 50 feet, said set-back line to be 20 feet; thence northerly 75 feet, said set-back line to be 18 feet; thence northerly 50 feet, said set-back line to be 15 feet; thence northerly 75 feet, said set-back line to be 7½ feet; along the easterly side of Sixteenth avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Geary street, and running thence northerly 350 feet, said set-back line to be 10 feet; thence northerly 80 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet.

Along the westerly side of Parker avenue, commencing at St. Rose's avenue and running thence northerly 50 feet, said set-back line to be 5 feet; thence northerly 225 feet, said set-back line to be 16 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 8 feet.

Along the northerly side of Santiago street, commencing at Eighteenth avenue and running thence easterly 157½ feet, said set-back line to be 10 feet; thence easterly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 2-3 feet; thence easterly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 1-3 feet.

As shown on the maps filed in the office of the Board of Supervisors and to which reference is hereby made for further particulars.

Section 3. No structures shall be erected in the area between said set-back lines and the street lines except as provided in said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), and

the penalties for the violation of this ordinance shall be as fixed by the ordinance aforesaid.

Hearing of objections to the establishment of set-back lines along portions of Araga street, Campbell street, Twenty-first, Twenty-second and Twenty-third avenues.

No objection being offered, the following bill was *passed for printing*:

Bill No. 8188, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Establishing set-back lines along portions of Araga street, Campbell street, Twenty-first, Twenty-second and Twenty-third avenues.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby recited that on the 1st day of August, 1927, the Board of Supervisors adopted Resolution of Intention No. 148 to establish set-back lines along Araga and Campbell streets, Twenty-first, Twenty-second and Twenty-third avenues, and fixed the 5th day of September, 1927, at 11 o'clock a. m., at the chambers of the Board of Supervisors, as the time and place for hearing objections thereto; that thereafter and more than ten days prior to said hearing the said resolution was published and notices of the passage of said resolution were posted along the line of said streets, in time, form and manner required by Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series); that said hearing was held at the time and place aforesaid, and that all objections made at said hearing have been properly overruled.

Section 2. Pursuant to the foregoing recitals and in accordance with the provisions of said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), set-back lines are hereby established as follows:

Along the northwesterly side of Araga street, commencing at Havelock street and running thence northeasterly to a point 80 feet southwesterly from Paulding street, said set-back line to be 5 feet.

Along the northeasterly side of Campbell street, commencing at a point 25 feet southeasterly from Delta street and running thence southeaterly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 2-3 feet; thence southeasterly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 7 1-3 feet; thence southeasterly to Rutland street, said set-back line to be 11 feet; along the southwesterly side of Campbell street, commencing at a point 50 feet southeasterly from Delta street and running thence southeasterly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 4 feet; thence southeasterly to Rutland street, said set-back line to be 8 feet.

Along the easterly line of Twenty-first avenue, commencing at a point 148 feet 8 inches northerly from Rivera street and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 2½ feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 5 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 7½ feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 10 feet; thence northerly 76 feet 4 inches, said set-back line to be 12½ feet; thence northerly 175 feet, said set-back line to be 15 feet.

Along both sides of Twenty-second avenue, commencing at points 93 feet northerly from Noriega street and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 6 feet; thence northerly 300 feet, said set-back lines to be 9 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 3 feet.

Along the westerly line of Twenty-third avenue, commencing at a point 93 feet northerly from Noriega street and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly to Moraga street, said set-back line to be 9 feet; along the easterly side of Twenty-third avenue, commencing at a point 93 feet northerly from Noriega street and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 300 feet, said set-back line to be 9 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet.

As shown on the maps filed in the office of the Board of Supervisors and to which reference is hereby made for further particulars.

Section 3. No structures shall be erected in the area between said set-back lines and the street lines except as provided in said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), and the penalties for the violation of this ordinance shall be as fixed by the ordinance aforesaid.

Hearing of objections to the establishment of set-back lines along portions of Fell street, Thirty-seventh and Thirty-eighth avenues.

No objection being offered, the following bill was *passed for printing*:

Bill No. 8189, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Establishing set-back lines along portions of Fell street, Thirty-seventh and Thirty-eighth avenues.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby recited that on the 1st day of August, 1927, the Board of Supervisors adopted Resolution of Intention No. 145, to establish set-back lines along Fell street and Thirty-seventh and Thirty-eighth avenues, and fixed the 5th day of September, 1927, at 11 o'clock a. m., at the chambers of the Board of Supervisors, as the time and place for hearing objections thereto; that thereafter and more than ten days prior to said hearing the said resolution was published and notices of the passage of said resolution were posted along the line of said streets, in time, form and manner required by Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series); that said hearing was held at the time and place aforesaid, and that all objections made at said hearing have been properly overruled.

Section 2. Pursuant to the foregoing recitals, and in accordance with the provisions of said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), set-back lines are hereby established as follows:

Along the easterly side of Thirty-seventh avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Taraval street, and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 300 feet, said set-back line to be 9 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet.

Along the westerly side of Thirty-eighth avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Taraval street, and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 300 feet, said set-back line to be 9 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet.

Along the northerly side of Fell street, commencing at a point 31 feet 3 inches easterly from Masonic avenue and running thence easterly 325 feet, said set-back line to be 16 feet.

As shown on the maps filed in the office of the Board of Supervisors, and to which reference is hereby made for further particulars.

Section 3. No structures shall be erected in the area between said set-back lines and the street lines, except as provided in said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), and the penalties for the violation of this ordinance shall be as fixed by the ordinance aforesaid.

Hearing of objections to the establishment of set-back lines along portions of Twenty-first, Twenty-second and Twenty-fourth avenues.

No objection being offered, the following bill was *passed for printing*:

Bill No. 8190, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Establishing set-back lines along portions of Twenty-first, Twenty-second and Twenty-fourth avenues.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby recited that on the 1st day of August, 1927, the Board of Supervisors adopted Resolution of Intention No. 147, to establish set-back lines along Twenty-first, Twenty-second and Twenty-fourth avenues, and fixed the 5th day of September, 1927, at 11 o'clock a. m., at the chambers of the Board of Supervisors, as the time and place for hearing objections thereto; that thereafter and more than ten days prior to said hearing the said resolution was published and notices of the passage of said resolution were posted along the line of said streets, in time, form and manner required by Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series); that said hearing was held at the time and place aforesaid, and that all objections made at said hearing have been properly overruled.

Section 2. Pursuant to the foregoing recitals, and in accordance with the provisions of said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), set-back lines are hereby established as follows:

Along the westerly side of Twenty-first avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Ortega street, and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 315 feet, said set-back line to 9 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; along the easterly side of Twenty-first avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Ortega street, and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 300 feet, said set-back line to

be 9 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet.

Along the westerly side of Twenty-first avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Quintara street, and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 300 feet, said set-back line to be 9 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; along the easterly side of Twenty-first avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Quintara street, and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 350 feet, said set-back line to be 9 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet.

Along the westerly side of Twenty-second avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Moraga street, and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly to Lawton street, said set-back line to be 9 feet; along the easterly side of Twenty-second avenue, commencing at Moraga street, and running thence northerly 500 feet, said set-back line to be 2 feet.

Along the westerly side of Forty-fourth avenue, commencing at a point 85 feet northerly from Fulton street, and running thence northerly to Cabrillo street, said set-back line to be 5 feet.

As shown on the maps filed in the office of the Board of Supervisors, and to which reference is hereby made for further particulars.

Section 3. No structures shall be erected in the area between said set-back lines and the street lines, except as provided in said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), and the penalties for the violation of this ordinance shall be as fixed by the ordinance aforesaid.

Hearing of objections to the establishment of set-back lines along portions of Seventeenth, Twentieth and Twenty-third avenues.

No objection being offered, the following bill was *passed for printing*:

Bill No. 8191, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Establishing set-back lines along portions of Seventeenth, Twentieth and Twenty-third avenues.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby recited that on the 1st day of August, 1927, the Board of Supervisors adopted Resolution of Intention No. 146, to establish set-back lines along Seventeenth, Twentieth and Twenty-third avenues, and fixed the 5th day of September, 1927, at 11 o'clock a. m., at the chambers of the Board of Supervisors, as the time and place for hearing objections thereto; that thereafter and more than ten days prior to said hearing the said resolution was published and notices of the passage of said resolution were posted along the line of said streets, in time, form and manner required by Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series); that said hearing was held at the time and place aforesaid, and that all objections made at said hearing have been properly overruled.

Section 2. Pursuant to the foregoing recitals, and in accordance with the provisions of said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), set-back lines are hereby established as follows:

Along the westerly side of Seventeenth avenue, commencing at a point 87 feet 3 inches northerly from Rivera street, and running thence northerly to a point 100 feet southerly from Quintara street, said set-back line to be 11½ feet; along the easterly side of Seventeenth avenue, commencing at a point 83 feet 7 inches northerly from Rivera street, and running thence northerly 436 feet 5 inches, said set-back line to be 15 feet; thence northerly to Quintara street, said set-back line of the 7 feet.

Along the easterly side of Twentieth avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Rivera street, and running thence northerly 32½ feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly to Quintara street, said set-back line to be 9 feet.

Along the westerly side of Twenty-third avenue, commencing at a point 50 feet northerly from Moraga street, and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 400 feet, said set-back line to be 9 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; along the easterly side of Twenty-

third avenue, commencing at Moraga street, and running thence northerly 100 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 375 feet, said set-back line to be 9 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet.

As shown on the maps filed in the office of the Board of Supervisors, and to which reference is hereby made for further particulars.

Section 3. No structures shall be erected in the area between said set-back lines and the street lines, except as provided in said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), and the penalties for the violation of this ordinance shall be as fixed by the ordinance aforesaid.

PRESENTATION OF PROPOSALS.

Visible Filing Equipment for School Department.

Sealed proposals were received and opened at the hour of 11 a. m. for furnishing visible filing equipment for School Department and *referred to the Supplies Committee.*

NOTICE OF RECONSIDERATION.

The following matter was taken up on motion of reconsideration:

Mallen Parking Station Permit.

Resolution presented by Supervisor Gallagher on August 29th granting H. J. Mallen permission, revocable at the will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an automobile parking station on the west side of Taylor street south of Eddy street, which resolution was passed for printing by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Todd—10.

Noes — Supervisors Badaracco, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton—5.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Byington, Deasy—3.

Supervisor Badaracco changed his vote from *no* to *aye* and gave notice of reconsideration.

Reconsidered.

The following proceedings on the foregoing matter were had this day:

Supervisor Badaracco, seconded by Supervisor Deasy, moved that the Mallen permit be reconsidered.

Amendment.

Supervisor Gallagher moved as an amendment that matter be postponed one week.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Powers, Todd—7.

Noes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Deasy, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton —9.

Absent — Supervisors Harrelson, Kent—2.

Amendment *lost* and vote on resolution ordered *reconsidered.*

Motion to Finally Pass.

Supervisor Badaracco moved the final passage of the resolution.

Point of Order.

Supervisor Gallagher raised the point of order that this resolution is under "Call of the House" and should not be considered until all members are present.

Chairman (Bath) ruled point of order not well taken.

Supervisor Gallagher appealed from the decision of the Chair. *Withdrawn.*

Supervisor Gallagher asked for a call of the house.

Overruled by Chair—matter already decided, cannot be considered twice.

Supervisor Gallagher appealed from the decision of the Chair.

Supervisor Hayden puts the question: "Shall the decision of the Chair be the decision of the Board," and the roll was called with the following result:

Appeal *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

No—Supervisor Gallagher—1.

Absent — Supervisors Harrelson, Kent—2.

Reconsideration.

Whereupon, the roll was taken on the motion to reconsider and the same *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Deasy, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton—10.

Noes—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Powers, Todd—6.

Absent — Supervisors Harrelson, Kent—2.

Motion to Postpone.

Supervisor Gallagher moved to lay over one week.

Motion *lost* by the following vote.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Powers, Todd—6.

Noes.— Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Deasy, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton—10.

Absent — Supervisors Harrelson, Kent—2.

Refused Passage to Print.

Whereupon, the roll was called on passage to print and the same was *defeated* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Todd—8.

Noes—Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Deasy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton—8.

Absent—Supervisors Harrelson, Kent—2.

City Attorney to Protect City's Interest in Proposed New Water Main Down the Peninsula.

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Resolution No. 27783 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, in the matter of the pending application of the Spring Valley Water Company to the Railroad Commission for a supplemental order authorizing the construction of an additional pipe line from Lake San Andreas to the Laguna Honda Reservoir and in addition thereto the construction of certain additions to Stanford Heights Reservoir, and an additional pipe line therefrom to the Presidio Tank, at a total cost of approximately $2,200,000, which said sum is to be amortized out of existing water rates during the present option of the City to purchase the Spring Valley property, that the City Attorney be and he is hereby authorized to consent that said addition and improvements are necessary in order to furnish the inhabitants of San Francisco with an adequate supply of water. And be it also

Resolved, That the City Attorney should contend that said improvements when made and the costs thereof fully amortized should become the property of the City whether the City's option to purchase the Spring Valley property is exercised or not, and that the City Attorney should further contend before the said Railroad Commission for a more equitable division of the surplus earnings of the company between the City and the company than is asked for in the aforesaid application.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd.—12.

Absent—Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Deasy, Harrelson, Kent.—6.

UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

Final Passage.

The following matters, heretofore passed for printing, were taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Authorizations.

Resolution No. 27755 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

Park Fund.

(1) B. Rosenberg, balance, per contract, for alteration of Kezar Playfield (claim dated Aug. 26, 1927), $2,059.05.

(2) Standard Fence Company, installation of fencing at Mission Park (claim dated Aug. 26, 1927), $1,099.

(3) Standard Fence Company, installation of fencing, platforms, etc., at elephant course, Children's Playground (claim dated Aug. 26, 1927), $851.

(4) Langendorf Baking Company, bread furnished (claim dated Aug. 26, 1927), $835.93.

(5) A. G. Spalding & Bros., golf balls (claim dated Aug. 26, 1927), $871.20.

(6) Swift & Co., hams, etc. (claim dated Aug. 26, 1927), $650.63.

Tearing-up Streets Fund.

(7) California Pottery Company, side-sewer pipe (claim dated Aug. 20, 1927), $1,050.

Hetch Hetchy Water Bonds, Issue 1925.

(For account of Hetch Hetchy water construction.)

(8) Dodge, Sweeney & Co., groceries (claim dated Aug. 18, 1927), $644.37.

(9) Hercules Powder Company, explosives (claim dated Aug. 18, 1927), $827.04.

(10) Holbrook, Merrill & Stetson, ranges, drains, etc. (claim dated Aug. 18, 1927), $514.16.

(11) Ingersoll-Rand Co., machinery parts (claim dated Aug. 19, 1927), $4,065.08.

(12) H. R. Landfare Co., cedar poles (claim dated Aug. 18, 1927), $745.25.

(13) The Charles Nelson Co., lumber (claim dated Aug. 18, 1927), $568.70.

(14) Santa Fe Lumber Co., lumber (claim dated Aug. 18, 1927), $720.27.

(15) Westinghouse Electric and Manufacturing Company, pole line insulators (claim dated Aug. 23, 1927), $6,298.50.

Special School Tax.

(16) Edward F. Dowd, electrical

fixtures for additional units to Galileo High School (claim dated Aug. 22, 1927), $4,395.

(17) E. K. Nelson, conditional acceptance payment, general construction of addition to Madison School (claim dated Aug. 24, 1927), $27,271.87.

School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923.

(18) John Reid, Jr., architectural services for Sherman School, fifth payment (claim dated Aug. 23, 1927), $967.03.

(19) John Reid, Jr., third payment, architectural services for Hearst-Moulder School (claim dated Aug. 23, 1927), $826.68.

(20) R. Brandlein & Co., primary tables for schools (claim dated Aug. 23, 1927), $3,041.28.

Municipal Railway Fund.

(21) J. E. French Co., one Graham Bros. truck for Municipal Railways (claim dated Aug. 22, 1927), $2,127.50.

(22) Hancock Bros., Inc., transfers (claim dated Aug. 23, 1927), $540.

(23) Pacific Gas & Electric Co., electric service, Municipal Railways (claim dated Aug. 22, 1927), $37,444.74.

(24) Penny, Stephenson & Bossana, services rendered during July in audit of accounts of Municipal Railways (claim dated Aug. 22, 1927), $790.

(25) White Bros., lumber, Municipal Railways (claim dated Aug. 22, 1927), $550.

(26) Market Street Railway Co., electric power furnished Municipal Railways (claim dated Aug. 19, 1927), $3,341.07.

(27) Market Street Railway Co., reimbursement under agreement of December 12, 1918 (claim dated Aug. 19, 1927), $1,565.23.

Municipal Railway Depreciation Fund.

(28) Graybar Electric Company, conduit material for Municipal Railways (claim dated Aug. 23, 1927), $6,015.20.

Special School Tax.

(29) J. H. McCallum, lumber for schools (claim dated Aug. 24, 1927), $1,507.65.

General Fund, 1927-1928.

(30) C. Nauman & Co., potatoes, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Aug. 25, 1927), $732.65.

(31) California Petroleum Company, fuel oil, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Aug. 25, 1927), $2,139.80.

(32) Johnson & Johnson, gauze, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Aug. 25, 1927), $2,395.

(33) Herbert F. Dugan, surgical supplies, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Aug. 25, 1927), $795.55.

(34) Haas Bros., groceries, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Aug. 25, 1927), $588.35.

(35) J. A. Folger & Co., coffee, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Aug. 25, 1927), $540.

(36) Sussman, Wormser & Co., groceries, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Aug. 25, 1927), $1,429.20.

(37) Del Monte Meat Company, meats, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Aug. 25, 1927), $1,518.07.

(38) H. Moffat Co., meats, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Aug. 25, 1927), $1,824.98.

(39) California Meat Company, meats, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Aug. 25, 1927), $604.64.

(40) San Francisco Dairy Company, milk, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Aug. 25, 1927), $4,651.02.

(41) Morris & Co., butter, etc., San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Aug. 25, 1927), $1,812.53.

(42) J. T. Freitas Co., eggs, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Aug. 25, 1927), $1,400.30.

(43) Edward McRoskey Mattress Co., mattresses for Tubercular Preventorium (claim dated Aug. 25, 1927), $1,548.75.

(44) San Francisco Bulletin, official advertising (claim dated Aug. 29, 1927), $665.70.

(45) The Spring Valley Water Company, water used for street sprinkling (claim dated Aug. 19, 1927), $526.96.

(46) California Petroleum Corporation, fuel oil for Civic Center Power House (claim dated Aug. 19, 1927), $823.14.

(47) Symon Brothers, teams furnished for street cleaning (claim dated Aug. 19, 1927), $747.50.

(48) Eclipse Lime & Cement Co., cement for street repair (claim dated Aug. 23, 1927), $1,761.50.

(49) T. M. Hughes, document cases for County Clerk (claim dated Aug. 22, 1927), $2,235.40.

(50) A. Carlisle Co., printing for County Clerk (claim dated July 19, 1927), $547.95.

(51) Keystone Construction Co., improvement of Rutland street between Arleta and Harkness streets (claim dated Aug. 24, 1927), $5,000.

(52) E. J. & M. J. Treacy, grading of Hampshire street between Army and Twenty-fifth streets (claim dated Aug. 24, 1927), $1,583.33.

(53) Board of Park Commission-

ers, to reimburse Park Fund for beautification of Civic Center (claim dated Aug. 26, 1927), $894.55.

(54) Board of Park Commissioners, to reimurse Park Fund for labor and material for improvement of Larson Park (claim dated Aug. 26, 1927), $20,000.

County Road Fund.

(55) Keystone Construction Co., final payment for improvement of Douglass street between Twentieth and Twenty-first streets (claim dated Aug. 24, 1927), $8,690.33.

(56) James M. Smith, third payment, improvement of Castro street between Twenty-ninth and Thirtieth streets, etc. (claim dated Aug. 24, 1927), $2,750.

(57) James M. Smith, eighth payment, improvement of Market street extension, Mono to Ord streets (claim dated Aug. 24, 1927), $18,000.

General Fund, 1926-1927.

(58) Standard Underground Cable Co., cable for Department of Electricity (claim dated June 30, 1927), $4.724.60.

(59) Calfornia Metal & Radiator Works, Fire Department truck equipment (claim dated June 30, 1927), $646.

(60) Dow Pump & Diesel Engine Co., pump parts, Fire Department (claim dated June 30, 1927), $714.75.

(61) D. N. & E. Walter & Co., linoleum for Fire Department buildings (claim dated June 30, 1927), $877.13.

(62) Louis J. Cohn, first payment, sewer construction, Fleishhacker Playfield (claim dated Aug. 24, 1927), $2,888.75.

(63) Standard Oil Co., asphalt for street repair (claim dated June 30, 1927), $3,445.96.

(64) Louis T. Samuels, rent of premises, No. 333 Kearny street, month ending July 3, 1927), $1,185.30.

Publicity and Advertising.

(65) San Francisco Conention and Tourist League, printing and expense for account of the publicity and advertising of San Francisco (claim dated Aug. 29, 1927), $3,813.41.

General Fund, 1927-1928.

(66) Automatic Registering Machine Co., payment for voting machines, Department of Elections (claim dated Aug. 11, 1927), $32,150.

(67) Empire Planing Mill, millwork and fixtures furnished for account of City's departments installed in No. 333 Kearny street (claim dated Aug. 29, 1927), $789.55.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers. Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Supervisor Powers voted "no" on Item 64 only.

Absent — Supervisors Gallagher, Harrelson—2.

Appropriations for Repair and Painting of Bridges, Etc.

Resolution No. 27756 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned funds for the following purposes, to-wit:

County Road Fund.

(1) For the reconstruction of Bryant street from Ninth to Eleventh streets, $9,900.

Preventorium, San Mateo County—Budget Item No. 57.

(2) For the purchase of equipment for the Preventorium, including dry goods, furniture, groceries, etc., $6,025.29.

(3) For August payroll, nursing, culinary, grounds and teaming, $1,060.50.

Repair and Painting of Bridges—Budget Item No. 37.

(4) For cost of overhauling operating motors on Third and Channel streets bridge, and additional work on the electrically operated brakes with motors, $525.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers. Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent — Supervisors Gallagher, Harrelson—2.

Appropriations for the Publicity and Advertising of San Francisco.

Resolution No. 27757 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of Publicity and Advertising, Appropriation 55, for the following purposes; being for the publicity and advertising of San Francisco, to-wit:

(1) For the expense of the City's participation in the Admission Day celebration, Septemer 9, 1927, at Santa Cruz, including for float, band and representatives, $1,335.

(2) For expense in connection with the 44th annual reunion of the "Old-Time Telegraphers and Historical Association," to be held in San Francisco October 4, 5 and 6, 1927, $550.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers. Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent — Supervisors Gallagher, Harrelson—2.

Appropriations, Joseph Collard et al., Being Payment for Properties Required for Paul Revere School.

Resolution No. 27758 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside and appropriated out of Special School Tax, and authorized in payment to the hereinafter named claimants; being payments for properties required for the Paul Revere School, to-wit:

(1) To Joseph Collard, for Lot 26, Block 5728, Assessor's Map Book, with improvements, $1,500.

(2) To Mary E. Collard, for Lot 27, Block 5728, Assessor's Map Book, $800.

(Per acceptance of offers by Resolutions Nos. 27731 and 27732 (New Series).

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers. Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent — Supervisors Gallagher, Harrelson—2.

RECESS.

At 12:15 p. m. the Board took a recess until 2 p. m.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk.

REASSEMBLED—2 P. M.

The Board of Supervisors reassembled at 2 p. m.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton —16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Todd —2.

Quorum present.

His Honor Mayor Rolph presiding.

Tax Levy, 1927-1928.

The following matter, heretofore passed for printing, was taken up:

Bill No. 8181, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Providing revenue and levying taxes for City and County purposes for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1928.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Under and pursuant to the provisions of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco and of the laws of the State of California, and in conformity therewith, a tax is hereby levied for City and County purposes, including special City and County school building purposes, for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1928, on all the property, real and personal, in the City and County of San Francisco, except such property as is by law exempt from taxation, in the sum of three and sixty-six hundredths ($3.66) dollars on each one hundred dollars valuation of said taxable property as the same appears upon the assessment roll of said City and County for said fiscal year, which said sum of three and sixty-six hundredths ($3.66) dollars on each one hundred dollars valuation as aforesaid is hereby apportioned to the funds and accounts and for the purposes designated as follows:

For the General Fund, to meet all expenses of the City and County not otherwise herein provided for, a rate of..... $.83393

For the General Fund, to meet the cost of the construction and repairs to streets, sewers and buildings for the Detention Home and for the Police, Fire, Health and School departments, other than the special school building tax hereinafter provided for, the rate of.... .23852

For the General Fund, to meet the cost of elections and to pay the demands, salaries, expenses or other obligations imposed upon the City and County by legislative or constitutional enactment of the State of California or of the United States, and demands, salaries, expenses or other obligations imposed upon the City and County by direct vote of the people of the City and County. other than the items herein specifically provided for, the rate of ..... .80080

For the City and County Elementary School Fund, the minimum City and County school tax for the elementary schools to equal the estimated minimum for such purposes furnished to the Board of Supervisors by the Superintendent of Schools, in accordance with the provisions of Section 1817 of the Political Code of the State, the rate of... .17640

For the City and County High School Fund, the minimum City and County high school tax to equal the estimated minimum for such purpose furnished to the Board of Supervisors by the Superintendent of Schools in accordance with the provisions of Section 1764 of the Political Code of the State ..... .10611

For a special school tax for the School Building Fund, the rate of..... .15330

For the Common School Fund, in addition to the minimum amounts for elementary schools and high schools, and the special school tax for School Building Fund hereinbefore provided, the rate of .. .. .41148

For the Library Fund, to meet the cost of maintaining public libraries and the purchase of books therefor, the rate of.... .03621

For the Park Fund, to pay for the maintenance of parks, squares and public grounds, the rate of.. .10000

For the Firemen's Relief and Pension Fund, the rate of ..... .04853

For special tax levied for publicity and advertising, pursuant to Subdivision 33 of Section 4041 of the Political Code of the State, the rate of..... .01788

For Retirement System for City employees..... .10778

For Playground Fund..... .05000

For M. H. de Young Memorial Museum Fund.... .00900

For California Palace of the Legion of Honor Fund... .00900

For maintenance of the blind. Stautes 1919, Chapter 144..... .00217

For maintenance of Steinhart Aquarium..... .00638

For the respective funds to redeem and pay the interest on bonds sold prior to November 8, 1910, as follows:

Children's Playground Bond Redemption and Interest Fund, issue 1904..... .00320

Golden Gate Park and Presidio Extension Bond Redemption and Interest Fund, issue 1904..... .00143

Mission Park Bond Redemption and Interest Fund, issue 1904..... .00126

Fire Protection Bond Redemption and Interest Fund, issue 1908..... .02738

Sewer Bond Redemption and Interest Fund, issue 1908 .. .. .01659

School Bond Redemption and Interest Fund, issue 1908 .. .. .01954

Hospital Bond Redemption and Interest Fund, issue 1908 .. .. .00760

Hall of Justice Bond Redemption and Interest Fund, issue 1908..... .00430

Garbage Disposal Bond Redemption and Interest Fund, issue 1908..... .00517

For the respective funds to redeem and pay the interest on bonds sold since November 8, 1910, as follows:

Street Bond Redemption and Interest Fund, issue 1904 ..... .005334

School Bond Redemption and Interest Fund, issue 1918 .. .. .037306

Library Bond Redemption and Interest Fund, issue 1904 .. .. .008093

Fire Protection Bond Redemption and Interest Fund, issue 1908..... .007633

Sewer Bond Redemption and Interest Fund, issue 1908 .. .. .010474

School Bond Redemption and Interest Fund, issue 1908 .. .. .016580

Hospital Bond Redemption and Interest Fund, issue 1908 .. .. .007000

Hall of Justice Bond Redemption and Interest Fund, issue 1908..... .002596

Polytechnic High School Bond Redemption and Interest Fund, issue 1910.. .004540

Water Bond Redemption and Interest Fund, issue 1910 .. .. .098500

City Hall Bond Redemption and Interest Fund, issue 1912	.067450
Exposition Bond Redemption and Interest Fund, issue 1912	.040730
Hospital-Jail Completion Bond Redemption and Interest Fund, issue 1913	.015589
School Bond, 1923 issue, Interest Fund	.094494
Relief Home Bond, 1923 issue, Interest Fund	.025521
To pay an aliquot part equal to one-tenth of the whole of each of the final judgments against the City and County of San Francisco	.02420
Total	$3.66

Proposed Amendment.

Supervisor Colman, seconded by Supervisor Bath, moved to amend by increasing item $.15330 to $.2682, and item $.41148 to $.42266.

Motion.

Supervisor Byington moved to lay over one week.

Writ of mandamus presented and read.

Whereupon, Supervisor Byington withdraws his motion temporarily.

Thereupon, Supervisor Colman requested permission to withdraw his motion with the understanding that he may introduce it next week.

Supervisor Havenner objected and asked for the roll call.

Whereupon, Supervisor Colman's motion *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Hayden, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—14.

Noes—Supervisors Havenner, Kent, McSheehy—3.

Absent—Supervisor Harrelson—1.

Action Deferred.

Whereupon, the foregoing bill was, on motion of Supervisor Byington, *laid over for final passage until one week from today.*

UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

Final Passage.

The following matters heretofore passed for printing, were taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Oil Tank Permits.

Resolution No. 27759 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits be and are hereby granted:

Oil Tanks.

Harry A. Allen, 2936 Divisadero street, 1500 gallons capacity.

L. H. Allyne, north side of Filbert street, 80 feet east of Divisadero street, 1500 gallons capacity.

W. F. Culberson, 30 West Clay street, 750 gallons capacity.

Henry Ernst & Son, north side of Delgado place, 120 feet east of Hyde street, 1500 gallons capacity.

A. J. Herzig, west side of Urbano drive 104 feet south of Moncada avenue, 750 gallons capacity.

A. J. Herzig, west side of Urbano drive, 160 feet south of Moncada avenue, 750 gallons capacity.

Jos. A. Maneggie, 722 Taylor street, 1500 gallons capacity.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits shall become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Harrelson—1.

Transfer of Public Garage Permit.

Resolution No. 27760 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Ben Goldberg be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred to him public garage permit heretofore granted M. Johnson by Resolution No. 24517 (New Series) for premises on the east side of Twentieth avenue, 125 feet north of Irving street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Harrelson—1.

Laundry Permit.

Resolution No. 27761 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Al Cerveau and Jos. Congrea be and are hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate a laundry at 1977 Pine street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers Ronco-

vieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Harrelson—1.

Granting Market Street Railway Company Permission to Operate Automobile Busses.

Resolution No. 27762 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Market Street Railway Company, a corporation, be and it is hereby granted permission, revocable at the will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate automobile busses over and on the hereinafter described route in the City and County of San Francisco, to-wit:

Commencing at the intersection of Mission and Maynard streets, thence along Maynard street to Craut street; thence along Craut street to Silver avenue; thence along Silver avenue to San Bruno avenue; thence along San Bruno avenue to Felton street; thence along Felton street to Bowdoin street; thence along Bowdoin street to Silver avenue; thence along Silver avenue to Mission street, and thence along Mission street to the intersection of Maynard street and the point of commencement.

That said permit be and the same is hereby granted upon the terms and conditions hereinafter set forth, and the acceptance or use of said permit by said Market Street Railway Company shall be deemed to be an assent to all of said terms and conditions, and to the holding of said permit subject thereto, which said terms and conditions are as follows:

(a) That nothing herein contained shall be considered to be a franchise granted to said Market Street Railway Company to operate said busses, nor shall the same ever become or ripen into a franchise, and that the permit herein granted shall at all times be revocable at the will of the Board of Supervisors, and upon the revocation of the same all rights and privileges herein granted shall cease and terminate, and the said permit or any of the privileges thereby granted, or exercised thereunder, shall not, in any proceeding instituted by the City of San Francisco to acquire the system of said Market Street Railway Company, be deemed an asset or thing of value to said system.

(b) That said busses will at all times be operated by skillful operators, and in the operation of the same the said Market Street Railway Company will comply with all the State and Municipal rules, laws and regulations.

(c) That on said busses operated under this permit a fare of five cents for each passenger shall be charged, which said fare when paid on a bus traveling toward Mission street lines and San Bruno avenue line of the Market Street Railway Company, shall entitle said passenger to a transfer to said Mission street and San Bruno avenue lines, provided further that said Market Street Railway Company shall issue to passengers on its Mission street lines and San Bruno avenue line transfers which shall be accepted in lieu of fare on said busses traveling over the routes herein described.

(d) That the permit herein granted shall not be assigned or transferred without the consent of the Board of Supervisors, and before the same shall become effective the Market Street Railway Company shall file with the Board of Supervisors a written acceptance of said permit, in which it shall agree to accept said permit subject to all of the conditions herein contained.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—12.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Deasy, Harrelson, Kent—6.

Blasting Permit.

Resolution No. 27763 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Eaton & Smith are hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to explode blasts for the purpose of improving Twenty-fifth street between Rhode Island and De Haro streets, provided said permittee shall execute and file a good and sufficient bond in the sum of $5,000, as fixed by the Board of Public Works and approved by his Honor the Mayor, in accordance with Ordinance No. 1204; provided, also, that said blasts shall be exploded only between the hours of 7 a. m. and 6 p. m., and that the work of blasting shall be performed to the satisfaction of the Board of Public Works, and that if any of the conditions of this resolution be violated by said Eaton & Smith, then the privileges and all the rights accruing thereunder shall immediately become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—12.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Deasy, Harrelson, Kent—6.

Blasting Permit.

Resolution No. 27764 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That H. V. Tucker Co. is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to explode blasts for the purpose of grading Joost avenue between Edna and Detroit streets, provided said permittee shall execute and file a good and sufficient bond in the sum of $5,000, as fixed by the Board of Public Works and approved by his Honor the Mayor, in accordance with Ordinance No. 1204; provided, also, that said blasts shall be exploded only between the hours of 7 a. m. and 6 p. m., and that the work of blasting shall be performed to the satisfaction of the Board of Public Works, and that if any of the conditions of this resolution be violated by said H. V. Tucker Co., then the privileges and all the rights accruing thereunder shall immediately become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —12.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Deasy, Harrelson, Kent—6.

Authorizing, Etc., the Reconstruction and Repair of Certain Accepted Streets.

Bill No. 8166, Ordinance No. 7677 (New Series), as follows:

Authorizing and ordering the reconstruction and repair of certain accepted streets in the City and County of San Francisco, under the supervision of the Board of Public Works of said City and County during the fiscal year ending June 30, 1928, and appropriating funds for the cost thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works of the City and County of San Francisco is hereby authorized and ordered to reconstruct and repair, under its supervision, those certain accepted streets in said City and County, particularly designated and described in Ordinance No. 7558 (New Series), approved June 6, 1927.

There is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended by the said Board of Public Works the sum of $13,860 payable out of Item No. 81, Budget of 1927-1928, for the reconstruction and repair of the following streets, to-wit:

Filbert street, Polk street to Van Ness avenue	$ 4,500
Jones street, Jackson to Pacific streets	1,800
Twenty-eighth street, Noe to Sanchez streets	3,060
Divisadero street, Green to Union streets	4,500
Total	$13,860

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent — Supervisors Gallagher, Harrelson—2.

Plans, Etc., for the Construction of an Annex to the Paul Revere School.

Bill No. 8167, Ordinance No. 7678 (New Series), as follows: :

Ordering the preparation of plans and specifications for and the construction of an annex to the Paul Revere School in accordance with the plans and specifications prepared therefor and approved by the Board of Education; said annex to be erected on City property situate on Folsom street and Tompkins avenue; authorizing and directing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for the construction of said annex.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized, instructed and empowered to prepare plans and specifications for and to enter into contract for the construction of an annex to the Paul Revere School in accordance with the plans and specifications prepared therefor and as approved by the Board of Education; said annex to be erected on City property situate on Folsom street and Tompkins avenue.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent — Supervisors Gallagher, Harrelson—2.

Plans, Etc., for the Construction and Extension of the Thirtieth Avenue Main Sewer.

Bill No. 8168, Ordinance No. 7679 (New Series), as follows:

Authorizing the preparation of plans and specifications for the construction and extension of the Thirtieth avenue main sewer, Sunset District, Contract No. 5, and ordering the construction and extension

of the Thirtieth avenue main sewer, Sunset District, Contract No. 5, in accordance with plans and specifications prepared therefor; authorizing and directing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for said sewer construction.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized, instructed and empowered to prepare plans and specifications for the construction and extension of the Thirtieth avenue main sewer, Sunset District, Contract No. 5, and to enter into contract for the construction and extension of the Thirtieth avenue main sewer, Sunset District, Contract No. 5, in accordance with plans and specifications prepared therefor.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers. Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent — Supervisors Gallagher, Harrelson—2.

Set-back Lines Established.

Bill No. 8179, Ordinance No. 7680 (New Series), as follows:

Establishing set-back lines along portions of Twenty-fourth avenue, Twenty-fifth avenue, Twenty-sixth avenue and Twenty-seventh avenue.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby recited that on the 25th day of July, 1927, the Board of Supervisors adopted Resolution of Intention No. 142 to establish set-back lines along portions of Twenty-fourth avenue, Twenty-fifth avenue, Twenty-sixth avenue and Twenty-seventh avenue, and fixed the 29th day of August, 1927, at 11 o'clock a. m., at the chambers of the Board of Supervisors, as the time and place for hearing objections thereto; that thereafter and more than 10 days prior to said hearing the said resolution was published and notices of the passage of said resolution were posted along the line of said streets in time, form and manner required by Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series); that said hearing was held at the time and place aforesaid, and that all objections made at said hearing have been properly overruled.

Section 2. Pursuant to the foregoing recitals and in accordance with the provisions of said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), set-back lines are hereby established as follows:

Along both sides of Twenty-fourth avenue, commencing at points 100 feet northerly from Lawton street, and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 6 feet; thence northerly 300 feet, said set-back lines to be 9 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 3 feet.

Along both sides of Twenty-fifth avenue, commencing at points 100 feet northerly from Lawton street and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 6 feet; thence northerly 300 feet, said set-back lines to be 9 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 3 feet.

Along both sides of Twenty-sixth avenue, commencing at points 100 feet northerly from Lawton street and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 6 feet; thence northerly 300 feet, said set-back lines to be 9 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 3 feet.

Along both sides of Twenty-seventh avenue, commencing at points 100 feet northerly from Lawton street and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 6 feet; thence northerly 300 feet, said set-back lines to be 9 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 3 feet.

As shown on the maps filed in the office of the Board of Supervisors and to which reference is hereby made for further particulars.

Section 3. No structures shall be erected in the area between said set-back lines and the street lines except as provided in said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), and the penalties for the violation of this ordinance shall be as fixed by the ordinance aforesaid.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Harrelson—1.

Declaratory Ordinance, Extending Moccasin Creek Power Line.

Bill No. 8180, Ordinance No. 7681 (New Series), as follows:

Determining and declaring that the public interest and necessity demand the acquisition, construction and extension of a public utility, to-wit: The extension of the Moccasin Creek power line of the Hetch Hetchy Water and power project of the City and County of San Francisco from its present terminal near Newark, Alameda County, California, over, under, across or around the Bay of San Francisco, thence by the most feasible route into the City and County of San Francisco for the purpose of transmitting electric energy generated at Moccasin Creek power plant into the City and County of San Francisco. To construct such transmission line, equipment thereof, appurtenances thereto, to acquire all necessary rights of way, permits, easements, purchase of necessary lands, buildings and terminal facilities, including a stepdown terminal substation within or without the confines of the City and County with necessary equipment therefor, and directing the Board of Public Works to procure and file with the Board of Supervisors plans and estimates of the cost thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby determined and specifically declared that the public interest and necessity demand the acquisition, construction and extension of the transmission line of the Moccasin Creek power line of the Hetch Hetchy water and power project of the City and County of San Francisco from its present terminal near Newark, Alameda County, California, over, under, across or around the Bay of San Francisco, thence by the most feasible route into the City and County of San Francisco for the purpose of transmitting electric energy generated at the Moccasin Creek power plant into the City and County of San Francisco and to construct such transmission line, equipment thereof, appurtenances thereto, to acquire all necessary rights of way, permits, easements, purchase of necessary lands, buildings and terminal facilities including a stepdown terminal substation to be erected or acquired within or without the confines of the City and County of San Francisco with the necessary equipment therefor and necessary lands.

Section 2. The Board of Public Works is hereby directed to procure, through the City Engineer, and file with the Board of Supervisors, plans and estimates of cost of original construction and completion of the extension of the Moccasin Creek transmission line of the Hetch Hetchy water and power project as herein described.

Section 3. This ordinance shall be published for two weeks in the official newspaper and shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton—16.

No—Supervisor Colman—1.

Absent—Supervisor Harrelson—1.

Fixing Sidewalk Widths on Doric Alley.

Bill No. 8169, Ordinance No. 7682 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered nine hundred and seventy-four.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Board of Public Works filed in this office August 19, 1927, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered nine hundred and seventy-four, to read as follows:

Section 974. The width of sidewalks on Doric alley between Jackson street and its southerly termination is hereby dispensed with and abolished.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect and be in force from and after its passage.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —12.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Deasy, Harrelson, Kent—6.

Fixing Sidewalk Widthts on Twenty-third Street.

Bill No. 8170, Ordinance No. 7683 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061 entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18,

1903, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered nine hundred and seventy-five.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Board of Public Works filed in this office August 19, 1927, by adding thereto a new section, to be numbered nine hundred and seventy-five, to read as follows:

Section 975. The width of sidewalks on Twenty-third street, the northerly side of, between Third street and its easterly terminaton, shall be twelve (12) feet.

The width of sidewalks on Twenty-third street, the southerly side of, between Third street and its easterly termination, is hereby dispensed with and abolished.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect and be in force from and after its passage.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —12.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Deasy, Harrelson, Kent—6.

Fixing Sidewalk Widths on Fourteenth Avenue.

Bill No. 8171, Ordinance No. 7684 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered nine hundred and seventy-seven.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Board of Public Works filed in this office August 24, 1927, by adding thereto a new section, to be numbered nine hundred and seventy-seven, to read as follows:

Section 977. The width of sidewalks on Fourteenth avenue between Quintara street and a line 420 feet southerly from Quintara street shall be fifteen (15) feet.

The width of sidewalks on Fourteenth avenue between Rivera street and a line 100 feet northerly from Rivera street shall be twenty-four (24) feet.

The width of sidewalks on Fourteenth avenue between the lines respectively 100 feet northerly from Rivera street and 420 feet southerly from Quintara street, the outside line bounding the curb, shall be reverse curve connecting said points.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect and be in force from and after its passage.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —12.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Deasy, Harrelson, Kent—6.

Fixing Sidewalk Widths on Haight Street.

Bill No. 8172, Ordinance No. 7685 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered nine hundred and eighty-one.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Board of Public Works filed in this office August 24, 1927, by adding thereto a new section, to be numbered nine hundred and eighty-one, to read as follows:

Section 981. The width of sidewalks on Haight street between Buchanan street and Baker street shall be twelve (12) feet.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect and be in force from and after its passage.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —12.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Deasy, Harrelson, Kent—6.

Fixing Sidewalk Widths on Duboce Avenue.

Bill No. 8173, Ordinance No. 7686 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of

Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered nine hundred and eighty.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Board of Public Works filed in this office August 24, 1927, by adding thereto a new section, to be numbered nine hundred and eighty to read as follows:

Section 980. The width of sidewalks on Duboce avenue between Market street and the easterly line of Scott street produced southerly shall be twelve (12) feet.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect and be in force from and after its passage.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —12.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Deasy, Harrelson, Kent—6.

Fixing Sidewalk Widths on Irving Street.

Bill No. 8174, Ordinance No. 7687 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered nine hundred and seventy-eight.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Board of Public Works filed in this office August 24, 1927, by adding thereto a new section, to be numbered nine hundred and seventy-eight, to read as follows:

Section 978. The width of sidewalks on Irving street between Arguello boulevard and Ninth avenue shall be twelve (12) feet.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect and be in force from and after its passage.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —12.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Deasy, Harrelson, Kent—6.

Fixing Sidewalk Widths on Ninth Avenue.

Bill No. 8175, Ordinance No. 7688 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered nine hundred and seventy-nine.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Board of Public Works filed in this office August 24, 1927, by adding thereto a new section, to be numbered nine hundred and seventy-nine, to read as follows:

Section 979. The width of sidewalks on Ninth avenue between Irving street and Judah street shall be twelve (12) feet.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect and be in force from and after its passage.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —12.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Deasy, Harrelson, Kent—6.

Fixing Sidewalk Widths on Cayuga Avenue.

Bill No. 8176, Ordinance No. 7689 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered nine hundred and seventy-six.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Board of Public Works filed in this office August 19, 1927, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered nine hundred and seventy-six, to read as follows:

Section 976. The width of sidewalks on Cayuga avenue between Onondaga avenue and Oneida avenue shall be ten (10) feet.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect and be in force from and after its passage.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —12.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Deasy, Harrelson, Kent—6.

Regulating Moving Travel and Traffic of Motor Vehicles and Regulating Weights and Speed Thereof.

Bill No. 8177, Ordinance No. 7690 (New Series), as follows:

Regulating moving travel and traffic of motor vehicles and regulating weights and speed thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. It shall be unlawful for any motor or other vehicle to be operated on or over any public street on wheels, rollers or otherwise, except when transported in or on vehicles running exclusively on stationary rollers or tracks, in excess of a total weight, including load, of 30,000 pounds, when such motor or other vehicle is equipped with four wheels running on a highway, or in excess of a total weight, including load, of 40,000 pounds, when such motor or other vehicle shall be equipped with six wheels running on the highway, and with three axles not less than 96 inches apart; provided, however, that hauling or moving of a single article which, added to tare of vehicle, would make a greater weight than above named, shall not be prohibited.

Section 2. It shall be unlawful for any motor or other vehicle to be operated over any public highway the weight of which, including load, resting on the surface of the highway, exceeds seven hundred and fifty pounds upon any inch of the channel base width of tire; nor shall the weight on any one axle be in excess of twenty-two thousand pounds, nor on any one wheel of eleven thousand pounds.

Section 3. It shall be unlawful for the driver of a motor vehicle to drive the same upon any public highway in the City and County of San Francisco, except in conformity with the weights hereinabove specified, or at a speed in excess of the following:

When gross weight of vehicle and load is nine thousand pounds or more than twelve thousand pounds, maximum speed 20 miles per hour.

Over twelve thousand pounds but not over twenty-two thousand pounds, maximum speed 15 miles per hour.

Over twenty-two thousand pounds, maximum speed 12 miles per hour.

Section 4. Any person, firm or corporation violating any of the provisions of this ordinance shall be deemed guilty of a misdemeanor, and upon conviction thereof shall be fined for each and every offense not more than three hundred ($300) dollars, or by imprisonment for a term not exceeding three months in the County Jail of the City and County of San Francisco, or by both such fine and imprisonment.

Section 5. This ordinance shall be in force and take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —12.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Deasy, Harrelson, Kent—6.

Traffic Ordinance.

Bill No. 8178, Ordinance No. 7691 (New Series) as follows:

An ordinance regulating traffic upon the public streets and repealing all ordinances inconsistent herewith.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

ARTICLE I.

Definitions.

Section 1. Whenever in this ordinance the following terms are used, they shall have the meanings respectively ascribed to them in this section:

Street—Every way set apart for public travel except alleyways, bridle paths and foot paths.

Roadway—That portion of a street between the regularly established curb lines.

Alley—A public highway with a roadway of less than 20 feet.

Sidewalk—That portion of a street between the curb lines and the adjacent property lines.

Intersection—The area embraced within the prolongation of the property lines of two or more streets which join at an angle, whether or not one such street crosses the other.

Crosswalk—That portion of the

roadway included within the prolongation of curb and property lines at street intersections, and other places marked in accordance with the provisions of this ordinance.

Safety Zone—That marked portion of a roadway reserved for the exclusive use of pedestrians.

Loading Zone—That space adjacent to a curb reserved for the exclusive use of vehicles during the loading or unloading of passengers or materials.

Vehicle—Every device or animal by which any person or property is or may be transported or drawn upon a street, excepting devices moved by human power or used exclusively upon rails. For the purpose of this ordinance a bicycle shall be deemed a vehicle.

Street Car—Every device traveling exclusively upon rails when upon or crossing a street, other than devices propelled by steam.

Pedestrian—Any person afoot.

Operator—Any person who is in actual physical control of a vehicle or street car.

Traffic—Vehicles and street cars, either singly or together, while using any street for purposes of travel.

Central Traffic District—That portion of this City bounded by and including the following parts of streets:

Mission street from Fifth to First; First street from Mission to Market; Battery street from Market to Pine; Pine street from Battery to Sansome; Sansome street from Pine to California; California street from Sansome to Kearny; Kearny street from California to Sutter; Sutter street from Kearny to Stockton; Stockton street from Sutter to Post; Post street from Stockton to Powell; Powell street from Post to Market; Fifth street from Market to Mission.

Business District—The territory contiguous to a street when fifty per cent (50%) or more of the frontage thereon for a distance of three hundred (300) feet or more is occupied by buildings in use for retail or wholesale business; also any territory contiguous to a street which is immediately adjacent to or a continuation of a street within a business district when such territory is so designated by the Police Department.

Right of Way—The privilege of the immediate use of the street.

Park—To stand a vehicle for a period of time greater than is reasonably necessary for the actual loading or unloading of persons or materials.

Official Warning and Direction Signs—All warning and direction signs and signals not inconsistent with this ordinance heretofore and hereafter placed or erected under this ordinance or by authority of the Board of Supervisors.

ARTICLE II.

Authority of Police. Traffic Signs and Signals.

Section 2. Obedience to Police. Officers of the Police Department are hereby authorized to direct all traffic by means of visible or audible signal, and it shall be unlawful for any person to refuse or fail to comply with any lawful order, signal or direction of a traffic or police officer. It shall be unlawful for any person to direct or attempt to direct traffic unless authorized to do so by order of the Chief of Police.

Section 3. Signs. The Board of Supervisors shall by resolution determine and designate the character of all official warnings and direction signs and signals. Subject to this selection, the Police Department is hereby authorized, and as to those signs required hereunder it shall be its duty, to place and maintain or cause to be placed and maintained all official warning and direction signs and signals. All signs authorized and required hereunder for a particular purpose shall be uniform.

No provision of this ordinance for which signs are required shall be enforceable against the alleged violator if at the time and place of the alleged violation the sign herein required is not in proper position and sufficiently legible to be seen by an ordinarily observant person.

Section 4. Obedience to Traffic Signs. It shall be unlawful for any operator or pedestrian to disobey the instructions of any mechanical or electrical traffic signal, traffic sign or marks upon the street placed in accordance with the provisions of this ordinance, provided every sign or mark made by the use of paint upon the curb shall bear thereon the official emblem of the City of San Francisco.

No public utility or department in this City shall erect or place any barrier or sign unless of a type first approved by the Board of Supervisors. It shall be unlawful for any operator or pedestrian to disobey the instructions of any barrier or sign approved, as above provided, erected or placed by a public utility or by any department of this City.

Section 5. Traffic Stop and Go Signal Legend. Whenever traffic at any intersection is regulated by a stop and go mechanical or electrical

signal, the following colors may be used, and none other, and those colors herein authorized shall indicate as follows:

Red, or the word Stop, except in flashing signals, requires that traffic shall stop and remain standing.

Green, or the word Go, requires that traffic shall move and continue in motion, except when stopped for the purpose of avoiding an accident or in the event of other emergency or when stopped at the command of a police officer.

Amber shall indicate preparation for a change in the direction of traffic movement. When amber is shown no traffic shall enter the intersection until a green or "Go" signal is shown.

Bells. The ringing of a bell in connection with any mechanical or electrical traffic signal shall indicate preparation for a change in the direction of traffic movement. When such bell is sounded no traffic shall enter the intersection until a green or "Go" signal is shown.

Section 6. The Police Department Required to Establish Cross-walks. The Police Department is hereby authorized and required to establish and maintain and to designate upon the surface of the roadway, by appropriate devices, marks or white lines, cross-walks at all places where in its opinion there is particular danger to pedestrians crossing the roadway.

Section 7. Display of Unauthorized Signs Prohibited. It shall be unlawful for any person to place or maintain or to display any device, other than an official warning or direction sign or signal erected under competent authority, upon or in view of a street, which purports to be, or is an imitation of, or resembles, an official warning or direction sign or signal, or which attemps to direct the movement of traffic or the actions of operators and any such prohibited device shall be a public nuisance, and the Police Department may remove it, or cause it to be removed, without notice.

It shall be unlawful for any person to willfully deface, injure, move or interfere with any official warning or direction sign or signal.

Section 8. Emergency Vehicles Exempt from Certain Rules. The provisions of this ordinance regulating the movement, parking and standing of vehicles shall not apply to ambulances, vehicles of the Police Department, of the Sheriff's office, of the Fire Department or of a public utility while the driver of any such vehicle is engaged in the necessary performance of emergency duties.

ARTICLE III.

Pedestrians.

Section 9. Pedestrians's Right of Way at Intersections.

(a) It shall be unlawful for the operator of any vehicle or street car to drive into any crosswalk which is marked as provided in Section 6 of this ordinance while there is in such crosswalk upon the half of the roadway upon which such vehicle is traveling any pedestrian engaged in crossing the roadway until such pedestrian shall have passed beyond the path of said vehicle.

(b) It shall be unlawful for the operator of any vehicle to drive onto any unmarked crosswalk while there is in such crosswalk upon the half of the roadway upon which such vehicle is traveling any pedestrian engaged in crossing the roadway until such pedestrian shall have passed beyond the path of said vehicle, when the pedestrian shall indicate his intention to cross by a timely and continuous warning by holding up of his hand, palm out toward approaching traffic.

(c) The operator of a vehicle shall stop before entering any crosswalk when any other vehicle proceeding in the same direction is stopped at such crosswalk for the purpose of permitting a pedestrian to pass.

(d) The foregoing provisions of this section shall not apply at intersections where traffic is being directed by an officer or a traffic stop and go signal, at which intersections the rights of pedestrians and operators of vehicles shall be exercised under the direction of the officer or traffic signals.

Section 10. Pedestrian's Limited Right to Use of Roadway. When within the Central Traffic District or a Business District, no pedestrian shall cross a roadway other than by a crosswalk.

Outside of the Central Traffic District or a Business District no pedestrian shall cross a roadway other than by a route at right angles to the curb and when crossing at any place other than a crosswalk shall yield the right of way to all vehicles upon the roadway.

It shall be unlawful for any person to be in any roadway other than in a safety zone or crosswalk, provided that this provision shall not be construed to prevent the necessary use of a roadway by a pedestrian.

It shall be unlawful for any person to stand in a roadway for the purpose of or while soliciting a

right from the operator of any private vehicle.

Section 11. Pedestrians to Obey Signals. At intersections where traffic is directed by a traffic or police officer or by a traffic stop and go signal, it shall be unlawful for any pedestrian to cross the roadway other than with released traffic.

Section 12. Pedestrians Standing on Sidewalks. In the Central Traffic District or in any Business District it shall be unlawful for any pedestrian to stand on the sidewalk, except as near as is practicable to the building line or the curb line.

ARTICLE IV.

Rules for Driving.

Section 13. Method of Approach for Left Turn. The operator of a vehicle intending to turn to the left at an intersection or into an alley or driveway shall approach the point of turning in the line of traffic next to the center of the roadway.

Section 14. Method of Turning to Left at Intersections. The operator of a vehicle in turning left at an intersection shall pass to the right of the center of the intersection before turning, except that where markers have been placed upon the intersection boundary lines to be crossed by the vehicle, the operator shall pass to the right of such markers.

Section 15. Location of Turning Markers. The Police Department is hereby authorized, and as to those intersections mentioned in paragraph (1) of this section it shall be its duty, to place, or cause to be placed, turning markers in intersections as follows:

(1) Within intersections where either intersecting roadway is less than sixty (60) feet in width and in intersections where streets meet at other than right angles and in intersections where one street terminates in another, a marker shall be placed at every intersection of the medial line of each street, with the prolongation of the curb lines of the intersecting street, or as near the intersecting point of said lines as may be practicable.

(2) Intersections other than those mentioned in paragraph (1) may be marked as provided in paragraph (1), or a single marker may be placed within such intersection at the intersection of the medial lines of the intersecting streets.

Section 16. Left Turns Prohibited. The operator of a vehicle shall not between the hours of seven (7) a. m. and six (6) p. m. of any day, excepting Sundays and legal holidays, make a left turn upon any part of Market street between the easterly line of The Embarcadero and a prolongation of the easterly line of Eleventh street, nor from Ellis street into Stockton street, Golden Gate avenue into Taylor street, Geary street into Kearny street, Post street into Montgomery street.

Provided, however, that the operator of a vehicle upon any of the following streets may turn to the left into Market street: Sansome street, Sutter street, Grant avenue, O'Farrell street, Mason street. Turk street, Jones street, McAllister street, Fulton street, Leavenworth street. Signs shall be erected and maintained designating the provisions of this section.

Section 17. Method of Approach for Right Turn. The operator of a vehicle intending to turn to the right at an intersection or into an alley or driveway shall approach the point of turning in the line of traffic nearest the right-hand edge or curb of the street.

Section 18. When Right Turns May Be Made. Within the Central Traffic District a right turn may be made at controlled intersections only while a "Go" signal is given. Elsewhere, after making a full stop at the nearest intersection line a right turn may be made while either a "Go" or "Stop" signal is given, subject to the rights of pedestrians.

Section 19. Turning Around at Intersections Prohibited. The operator of a vehicle shall not within the Central Traffic District or any Business District between the hours of seven (7) a. m. and six (6) p. m. of any day, except Sundays or legal holidays, turn such vehicle at an intersection in a complete circle or so as to proceed in the opposite direction.

Section 20. Driving from Alleys. The operator of a vehicle emerging from an alley or driveway or garage shall stop such vehicle immediately prior to driving onto a sidewalk or onto the sidewalk area extending across an alleyway.

Section 21. Vehicles Shall Not Be Driven on Sidewalks Nor in Safety Zones. The operator of a vehicle shall not drive within any sidewalk area except at a permanent or temporary driveway, nor upon any portion of the roadway which is designated and marked as a safety zone.

The Police Department is hereby authorized to establish and maintain by signs, paint marks or other material, safety zones at such street

car stops and at such other places as in its discretion require such safety zones.

Section 22. Traffic Lanes. The Police Department is hereby authorized to mark traffic lanes upon the roadway where a regular alignment of traffic is necessary. Where such lanes have been marked and signs have been erected instructing the operators to keep in line it shall be unlawful for any operator to fail to keep his vehicle within the designated boundaries.

Section 23. Certain Vehivles Prohibited in Central Traffic District. (a) It shall be unlawful for the operator of any of the following vehicles to drive the same in the Central Traffic District between the hours of seven (7) a. m. and six (6) p. m. of any day except Sundays or legal holidays:

(1) Any vehicle so loaded that any part of its load extends more than three (3) feet to the front or more than ten (10) feet to the rear of said vehicle, or which with its load is more than eight and one-half (8½) feet in width.

(2) Any vehicle primarily designed or used for the purpose of advertising.

(3) Any vehicle used for the transportation of garbage, ashes or refuse.

(4) Any vehicle used primarily for the transportation of gasoline or fuel oil.

Section 24. Arterial Streets. The following streets and parts of streets are hereby declared to constitute arterial streets for the purpose of this section:

Van Ness avenue from the north line of Market street to the south line of North Point street.

Market street from the west line of Van Ness avenue to the east portal of Twin Peaks tunnel.

Valencia street from the south line of Market street to the mid-line of Mission street.

Mission street from the west line of Valencia street to the County line of San Francisco.

Bush street from the east line of Grant avenue to the east line of Presidio avenue.

Pine street from the east line of Grant avenue to the east line of Presidio avenue.

Post street from the west line of Van Ness avenue to the east line of Presidio avenue.

Geary street from the west line of Presidio avenue to the mid-line of Forty-second avenue.

Fulton street from the west line of Van Ness avenue to the east line of Great Highway.

Fell street from the west line of Van Ness avenue to the west line of Stanyan street.

Nineteenth avenue from the north line of Lincoln way to the south line of Sloat boulevard.

Sloat boulevard from the east line of Great Highway to the west line of Portola drive.

Junipero Serra boulevard from the County line of San Francisco to the west line of Portola drive.

Ocean avenue from the mid-line of Junipero Serra boulevard to the west line of Mission street.

Lincoln way from the east side line of Great Highway to the east line of Third avenue.

Division street from the east line of Eleventh street to the west line of Potrero avenue.

Eleventh street from the south line of Market street to the north line of Division street.

Potrero avenue from the south line of Division street to the west line of San Bruno avenue.

Army street from the west line of Third street to the east line of Mission street.

San Bruno avenue from the south line of Potrero avenue to the County line.

Silver avenue from the east line of Mission street to the west line of San Bruno avenue.

Great Highway from Point Lobos avenue to the south line of Sloat boulevard.

Third street from the south line of Channel street to the east line of San Bruno avenue.

Point Lobos avenue from the mid-line of Forty-second avenue to the Great Highway.

Twenty-fourth avenue from the south line of Geary street to the south line of Fulton street.

Twenty-fourth street from the east line of Dolores street to the west line of Hoffman avenue.

Cortland avenue from the east line of Mission street to the west line of San Bruno avenue.

Every operator of a vehicle or street car, cable car excepted, traversing any street intersecting any arterial street shall bring such vehicle or street car to a full stop at the place where such street meets the prolongation of the nearer property line of such arterial street, or at such other place as may be indicated by a sign erected in accordance with this section, subject, however, to the directions of any stop and go signal or police officer at such intersection.

The Police Department is hereby authorized and required to place and maintain, or cause to be placed

and maintained, upon each and every street intersecting an arterial street, and at or near the property line of the arterial street, suitable signs bearing the words "Arterial Stop."

Section 25. Restricted Traffic Streets. The following parts of streets are designated as restricted traffic streets: Bay street from the west line of Van Ness avenue to the east line of Laguna street, Francisco street from the west line of Van Ness avenue to the east line of Laguna street, Fell street from the west line of Baker street to the east line of Stanyan street, the center roadway of Funston avenue from the north line of Fulton street to the Presidio, the westerly drive of the Great Highway from the south line of Lincoln way to the north line of Sloat boulevard.

It shall be unlawful for the operator of any vehicle designed or used for the transportation of commodities or materials to operate said vehicle upon any restricted traffic street for a distance greater than one block or for any purpose other than to make a pick-up or delivery within said block.

Section 26. One Way Streets. Between the hours of seven (7) a. m. and six (6) p. m. of any day, excepting Sundays and legal holidays, it shall be unlawful for the operator of any vehicle to drive said vehicle in any part of any of the following streets except in the direction indicated herein:

Easterly in Stevenson street between First street and Ninth street.

Easterly in Jessie street between First street and Ninth street.

Westerly in Minna street between First street and Ninth street.

Westerly in Natoma street between First street and Ninth street.

Southerly in Annie street between Market street and Mission street.

Westerly in Halleck street between Front street and Leidesdorff street.

Westerly in Commercial street between The Embarcadero and Grant avenue.

Westerly in Merchant street between Front street and Kearny street.

Westerly in Sacramento street between The Embarcadero and Kearny street.

Easterly in Clay street between The Embarcadero and Kearny street.

Westerly in Washington street between The Embarcadero and Montgomery street.

Easterly in Jackson street between The Embarcadero and Columbus avenue.

Westerly in Oregon street between The Embarcadero and Battery street.

Southerly in Leidesdorff street between Clay street and Pine street.

Suitable signs shall be erected and maintained at all intersections of said parts of streets indicating the provisions of this section.

Section 27. Following Fire Apparatus Prohibited. It shall be unlawful for the operator of any vehicle, other than one on official business, to follow any fire apparatus traveling in response to a fire alarm closer than one block, or to prak any vehicle within the block where fire apparatus has stopped in answer to a fire alarm.

Section 28. Crossing Fire Hose. No street car or vehicle shall be driven over any unprotected hose of the Fire Department when laid down on any street, private driveway or street car track, without the consent of the Fire Chief or the assistant in command.

Section 29. Sirens and Horns. It shall be unlawful for the operator of any vehicle to sound any "sirens" or other device which makes an unusually loud noise, or to sound any horn for an unnecessary length of time or for any purpose other than for warnings which are a necessary incident to the operation of the vehicle.

Section 30. Bicycle Riding Restricted. It shall be unlawful to ride a bicycle upon any sidewalk. The rider of a bicycle upon a roadway shall ride as near as practicable within five (5) feet of the right-hand curb or edge of the roadway, except when passing a standing or other vehicle or making a left-hand turn at an intersection.

Section 31. Riding on Handle Bars Prohibited. It shall be unlawful for the operator of any bicycle or motorcycle when upon a street to carry any other person upon the bar, handle or tank of any such vehicle or for any person to so ride upon any such vehicle.

Section 32. Unlawful to Drive Through Processions. It shall be unlawful for the operator of any vehicle to drive between the vehicles comprising an authorized parade, or to drive between the vehicles comprising a funeral procession, provided that such vehicles are conspicuously so designated.

Section 33. Clinging to Moving Vehicles. It shall be unlawful for any person traveling upon any bicycle, motorcycle, or any toy ve-

hicle to cling to or attach himself or his vehicle to any other moving vehicle or street car upon any roadway.

Section 34. Use of Coasters, Roller Skates and Similar Devices Restricted. It shall be unlawful for any person upon roller skates or riding in or by means of any coaster, toy vehicle or similar device to go upon any roadway or upon any sidewalk in the Central Traffic District.

ARTICLE V.

Stopping, Standing and Parking.

Section 35. Stopping Prohibited in Specified Places. It shall be unlawful for the operator of a vehicle to stop such vehicle in any of the following places, except when necessary to avoid conflict with other traffic or in compliance with the direction of a police officer or traffic sign or signal:

(1) In an intersection;

(2) In a cross-walk;

(3) Between a safety zone and the adjacent curb or within twenty (20) feet of a point on the surb immediately opposite the end of a safety zone;

(4) Within twenty (20) feet of an intersecting roadway;

(5) Within twenty (20) feet of the entrance to any fire station.

Upon all streets within the Central Traffic District or any Business District the Police Department shall designate the provisions of paragraphs (3) and (4) of this section by placing and maintaining red paint or other red material upon the entire curb surface within such area omitting any cross-walk area.

Section 36. Standing for Loading Only in Certain Places. (a) During the times specified herein it shall be unlawful for an operator to stand a passenger vehicle for a period of time longer than is necessary for the loading or unloading of passengers, provided such loading or unloading shall not consume more than three minutes; nor for an operator to stand any commercial vehicle for a period of time longer than it is necessary to load, unload and deliver materials, provided that the loading, unloading and delivery of said materials shall not consume more than twenty minutes, in any of the following places:

(1) In any public alley in the Central Traffic District or in any Business District during any hour of any day;

(2) In any loading zone, between the hours of seven (7) a. m. and six (6) p. m. of any day;

(3) At any place not to exceed seventy-five (75) feet along the curb before the entrance to any hospital during any hour of any day;

(4) At any place not to exceed seventy-five (75) feet along the curb before the entrance to any police station during any hour of any day;

(5) At any place not to exceed seventy-five (75) feet along the curb before the entrance to any hotel during any hour of the day;

(6) At any place not to exceed one hundred (100) feet from the intersection of any street within Market street, from The Embarcadero to Van Ness avenue, between the hours of seven (7) a. m. and six (6) p. m. of any day;

(7) At any place not to exceed seventy-five (75) feet along the curb before the entrance to any public building between the hours of seven (7) a. m. and six (6) p. m. of any day except Sundays and holidays;

(8) At any place not to exceed seventy-five (75) feet along the curb trance to any theater during the hours said theater is open for business;

(9) At any place between the portal of any tunnel and the nearest street intersection between the hours of seven (7) a. m. and six (6) p. m. of any day;

(10) At any place where the grade of the roadway exceeds twenty (20) per cent.

(b) It shall be unlawful for any operator of a vehicle to stand said vehicle in a duly established taxicab stand, provided, however, that this provision shall not apply to the operators of duly licensed taxicabs authorized to occupy said stands.

(c) Suitable signs shall be placed and maintained by the Police Department to designate the provisions of this section. The Board of Supervisors shall designate the location of loading zones as defined by Section 1 of this ordinance, provided that, in the Central Traffic District or in any Business District, as herein defined, a curb space not to exceed fifty (50) per cent of the total curb length of any street between intersections may be reserved for loading zones. A curb space not to exceed one hundred (100) feet may be reserved temporarily or in emergency by the Police Department at any place where required by public convenience.

Section 37. Parking Prohibited on Certain Streets. Between the hours of seven (7) a. m. and six (6) p. m. of any day, excepting Sundays and legal holidays, it shall be unlawful for the operator of a vehicle to stop said vehicle for a period of time longer than is necessary for

the actual loading or unloading and delivery of passengers or materials in any of the following places: Stevenson street, Minna street, Natoma street, Ecker street, Shaw alley, Anthony street, Annie street, Aldrich alley, Sherwood place, Harlan street, Mark lane, Claude lane, Hardle place, Trinity street, Treasury place, Petrarch place, Beldon street, St. George alley, St. Anne street, Quincy street, Catham place, Monroe street, Fells or Fella place, Hooker alley, Mulford alley, Austin street, Hunt street, Opera alley, Holland court, Mint street, Mary street, Russ street, Julia street, Laskie street, Elim alley, Linden street, Opal place, Hobart alley, Ophir alley, Touchard street, Glasgow street or Anna lane, Cohen place, Willow street, Olive street, Antonio street, Steveloe place, Latham place, Dikeman place, Carlos street, Harlem alley, Emma street, Ivy street, Dale place, Redwood street, Dodge street, Elm street, Wagner alley, Gardner street (or) place, Ashburton place, Campton place, Agate alley, Fern street, Burritt street, Tillman alley, Cosmos place, Hemlock street, Ade court, Mabel alley, Amity alley, Myrtle street, Cedar street, Meacham place, Colin place, Maggie alley, Shannon street, Adlaide street, Derby street, Vermehr place, Anson place, Chelsea place, Bren place, Maiden lane, Jessie street, Montgomery street between Market street and California street, on the east side of Grant avenue between Bush street and Broadway, and on the north side of Pacific avenue between Columbus avenue and Van Ness avenue.

Signs shall be erected and maintained not more than one hundred fifty (150) feet apart in each block designating the provisions of this section.

Section 38. Parking Time Limited in the Central Traffic District. The operator of a vehicle shall not park such vehicle for longer than forty (40) minutes within the Central Traffic District between the hours of seven (7) a. m. and six (6) p. m. of any day, except Sundays or legal holidays, and subject to the special restrictions imposed by Sections 35, 36 and 37.

Signs shall be erected and maintained not more than one hundred fifty (150) feet apart in each block designating the provisions of this section.

Section 39. Parking Time Limited in Business Districts. The operator of a vehicle shall not park such vehicle for longer than sixty (60) minutes within any Business District between the hours of seven (7) a. m. and six (6) p. m. of any day, except Sundays or legal holidays, and spbject to the special restrictions imposed by Sections 35 and 36.

Signs shall be erected and maintained not more than one hundred fifty (150) feet apart in each block to designate the provisions of this section.

Section 40. Early Morning Parking Limited. It shall be unlawful for the operator of any vehicle to park said vehicle on any street for a period of time longer than one (1) hour between the hours of three (3) a. m. and five (5) a. m. of any day.

Section 41. Standing or Parking Close to Curb. The operator of a vehicle shall not stand or park such vehicle in a roadway other than parallel with the curb and with the two right-hand wheels of the vehicle within one (1) foot of the regularly established curb line, except that upon those streets which have been marked for angle parking, as provided in this section, vehicles shall be parked at the angle to the curb indicated by such marks.

The Police Department is hereby authrized to determine upon what streets angle parking shall be permitted and to indicate such places by the placing of white lines upon the surface of the roadway to indicate the proper angle for parking, provided that such lines shall not be placed upon, nor shall angle parking be permitted upon any street where such parking would diminish the width of the roadway available for travel to less than twenty (20) feet, nor upon any street where there is less than thirty (30) feet between the curb and the nearest rail of any street car track, nor upon any arterial street.

Section 42. Parking on Grades Regulated. It shall be unlawful for an operator to stand or park any vehicle upon any street, the grade of which exceeds five (5) per cent, without setting the brakes thereon and blocking the wheels of said vehicle by turning them against the curb, or by other means.

Section 43. Parking Vehicles for Sale. It shall be unlawful for the operator of any vehicle to park the same upon any street for the purpose of displaying it for sale, or to park any vehicle upon any street in the Central Traffic District or any Bushiness District from which said vehicle merchandise is being sold.

ARTICLE VI.

Street Cars.

Section 44. Rules Applicable to Vehicles Passing Street Cars. The

driver of a vehicle overtaking any railway, interurban or street car, stopped or about to stop for the purpose of receiving or discharging any passenger, shall bring such vehicle to a full stop at least ten (10) feet in the rear of such street car and remain stationary until any such passenger has boarded such car or reached a place of safety, except that where a safety zone has been established, or at an intersection where traffic is controlled by an officer or a traffic stop and go signal, a vehicle need not be brought to a full stop before passing any such railway, interurban or street car, but may proceed past such car at a speed not greater than is reasonable or proper and in no event greater than ten (10) miles an hour and with due caution for the safety of pedestrians.

Section 45. Driving on Street Car Tracks, Passing Safety Zones. (a) It shall be unlawful for the operator of any vehicle proceeding upon any street car tracks in front of a street car to fail to remove said vehicle from said tracks as soon as practicable after signal from the operator of said street car.

(b) On Market street from The Embarcadero to Van Ness avenue, it shall be unlawful to drive any vehicle to the left of any safety zone established at a regular street car stop.

It shall be unlwaful to drive any vehicle to the left of any safety zone established in the City if the roadway to the right of said safety zone is immediately available for travel.

Section 46. Street Car Speed. The operator of a street car upon a street shall operate the same at a careful and prudent speed, and subject to this limitation, may lawfully proceed at a speed not exceeding the following.

(1) Fifteen (15) miles per hour:

(a) In a Business District;

(b) When passing a school while persons are entering or leaving the grounds;

(c) When traversing curves where the operator does not have an unobstructed view along the track for a distance of two hundred (200) feet;

(d) When traversing an intersection of highways where the operator during the last one hundred (100) feet of his approach to the intersection does not have a clear and uninterrupted view of such intersection and of the traffic upon all of the highways entering such intersection for a distance of two hundred (200) feet from such intersection;

(2) Twenty (20) miles per hour in a residence district;

(3) Forty (40) miles per hour under other conditions.

Speeds in excess of those set forth above shall be taken as prima facie but not as conclusive evidence of a speed greater than is reasonable and proper.

Section 47. Boarding or Alighting from Street Cars or Vehicles. It shall be unlawful for any person to board or alight from a street car or vehicle while said street car or vehicle is in motion.

ARTICLE VII.

Penalties.

Section 48. Penalty. Any person violating any of the provisions of this ordinance shall be guilty of a misdemeanor, and upon conviction thereof, shall be punished for the first offense by a fine not to exceed fifty ($50.00) dollars, or by imprisonment in the City Jail for not more than five (5) days; for a second offense within a period of one (1) year from the date of the first offense by a fine not to exceed one hundred ($100.00) dollars, or by imprisonment in the City Jail for not more than ten (10) days, or by both such fine and imprisonment; for a third and each additional offense committed within one (1) year from the date of the first offense by a fine not to exceed three hundred ($300.00) dollars, or by imprisonment in the City Jail for not more than three (3) months, or by both such fine and imprisonment.

Section 49. Disposition of Fines and Forfeitures. All fines collected upon conviction of, and all forfeitures of bail collected upon default of any person charged with a violation of any of the provisions of this ordinance shall be paid into the treasury of the City and County of San Francisco, and placed temporarily to the credit of the Unappropriated Fee Fund, and after settlement of the said fund between the Treasurer and Auditor, deposited by the Treasurer in the General Fund. The Auditor shall furnish to the Board of Supervisors, on the first of each and every month, a statement showing the amount of all moneys collected during the previous month, under and by virture of this section.

Section 50. Effect of Ordinance. If any section, subsection, sentence, clause or phrase of this ordinance is for any reason held to be unconstitutional, such decision shall not affect the validity of the remaining portions of this ordinance. The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that it would have passed this ordinance and each section, subsection, sen-

tence, clause and phrase thereof, irrespective of the fact that any one or more sections, subsections, sentences, clauses or phrases be declared unconstitutional.

Section 51. Repeal. Ordinance No. 1857 (New Series), as amended, is hereby repealed, and all ordinances or parts of ordinances in conflict with or inconsistent with the provisions of this ordinance are hereby repealed, except that this repeal shall not affect or prevent the prosecution or punishment of any person for any act done or committed in violation of any ordinance hereby repealed prior to the taking effect of this ordinance.

Section 52. Publication of Ordinance. The Clerk of the Board of Supervisors shall certify to the passage of this ordinance and cause the same to be published.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —12.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Deasy, Harrelson, Kent—6.

PRESENTATION OF BILLS AND ACCOUNTS.

Your Finance Committee, having examined miscellaneous demands not required by law to be passed to print, and amounting to $57,122.27, recommends same be allowed and ordered paid.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —12.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Deasy, Harrelson, Kent—6.

NEW BUSINESS.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Authorizations.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

Park Fund.

(1) J. P. Holland, Inc., crushed rock for parks (claim dated Sept. 2, 1927), $750.

(2) J. P. Holland, Inc., crushed rock for parks (claim dated Sept. 2, 1927), $1.590.

California Palace of the Legion of Honor—Appropriation No. 61.

(3) American Railway Express Co., express charges for account of Carl W. Hamilton exhibit from New York (claim dated Aug. 26, 1927), $2,069.66.

Maintenance of Aquarium—Appropriation No. 57.

(4) California Academy of Sciences, maintenance of Steinhart Aquarium (claim dated Sept. 6, 1927), $4,123.70.

Fire Department Land and Construction Fund.

(5) H. H. Larsen Co., first payment, general construction of Fire Department Engine House No. 18 (claim dated Aug. 30, 1927), $3,677.25.

School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1918.

(6) Empire Planing Mill, model stands furnished for high schools (claim dated Aug. 30, 1927), $848.51.

School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923.

(7) R. Brandlein & Co., tables and chairs for schools (claim dated Aug. 30, 1927), $6,481.96.

(8) Monarch Iron Works, wrought iron gates for Henry Durant School (claim dated Aug. 25, 1927), $985.

(9) Joseph & Stone, fifth payment, architectural services for Portola Junior High School (claim dated Aug. 30, 1927), $1,165.07.

(10) A. Lettich, fourth payment, plumbing work for Portola Junior High School (claim dated Aug. 30, 1927), $3,301.34.

(11) O. Munson, fifth payment, general construction of Portola Junior High School (claim dated Aug. 31, 1927), $30,172.50.

(12) Pacific Electrical Construction Co., second payment electrical work for Portola Junior High School (claim dated Aug. 30, 1927), $2,967.83.

(13) The Scott Co., second payment, mechanical equipment for Portola Junior High School (claim dated Aug. 30, 1927), $4,507.13.

(14) Mahony Bros., seventh payment, general construction of Sherman School (claim dated Aug. 30, 1927), $13,427.77.

(15) Alta Electric Co., fourth payment, electrical work for Mission (Everett) Junior High School (claim dated Aug. 30, 1927), $2,236.87.

(16) Latourette-Fical Co., ninth payment, plumbing and gas-fitting for Mission (Everett) Junior High School (claim dated Aug. 30, 1927), $3,280.76.

(17) R. W. Moller, twelfth payment, general construction of Mission (Everett) Junior High School (claim dated Aug. 30, 1927), $23,226.63.

(18) Thos. Skelly, third payment, plumbing work for addition to Sunnyside School (claim dated Aug. 30, 1927), $2,139.90.

(19) L. Flatland, third payment, electrical work, addition to Sunnyside School (claim dated Aug. 30, 1927), $1,725.94.

(20) Thos. Skelly, fifth payment, mechanical equipment for addition to Sunnyside School (claim dated Aug. 30, 1927), $4.092.79.

(21) Edward F. Dowd, second payment, electrical work for Hearst-Moulder School (claim dated Aug. 30, 1927), $1,497.29.

Special School Tax.

(22) W. P. Fuller & Co., linseed oil, etc., for school bulidings (claim dated Aug. 29, 1927), $993.50.

(23) J. H. McCallum, lumber for school buildings (claim dated Aug. 29, 1927), $607.88.

(24) J. E. O'Mara, final payment, plumbing work for addition to Madison School (claim dated Aug. 30, 1927), $1,528.50.

(25) L. Flatland, final payment, electrical work for addition to Madison School (claim dated Aug. 29, 1927), $1,708.37.

(26) Heywood-Wakefield Co., first and final payment, chairs for additional units to Galileo High School (claim dated Aug. 29, 1927), $1,264.80.

(27) MacDonald & Kahn, first payment, general construction of the South Side High School (claim dated Aug. 29, 1927), $26,932.50.

(28) Newberry-Pearce Electric Co., first payment, electric work for South Side High School (claim dated Aug. 29, 1927), $1,410.

(29) A. Lettich, first payment, plumbing work for South Side High School (claim dated Aug. 30, 1927), $2,779.73.

County Road Fund.

(30) Market Street Railway Co., work performed for account of Market street extension (claim dated Aug. 31, 1927), $10,706.

(31) Federal Construction Co., improvement of Turk street between Parker avenue and Willard street (claim dated Aug. 31, 1927), $8,391.72.

Municipal Railway Fund.

(32) American Steel Foundries, steel car wheels, (claim dated Aug. 29, 1927), $1,464.

(33) Hancock Bros., Inc., printing Municipal Railway transfers (claim dated Aug. 29, 1927), $720.

(34) Ohio Brass Co., Municipal Railway cross-overs (claim dated Aug. 29, 1927), $559.63.

Municipal Railway Depreciation Fund.

(35) Bethlehem Steel Corporation, final payment for track materials, Contract No. 150 (claim dated Aug. 31, 1927), $2,239.

Municipal Railway Depreciation Reserve Fund.

(36) Fageol Motors Co., one 6-cylinder, 29-passenger street car type automobile bus for Municipal Railways (claim dated Aug. 31, 1927), $8,812.50.

Hetch Hetchy Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1925.

(37) Gilmore Iron & Steel Co., galvanized sheet iron, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Aug. 27, 1927), $556.07.

(38) Western Pipe & Steel Co., air pipe, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Aug. 27, 1927), $1,068.

(39) The Giant Powder Co., Con., explosives, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Aug. 29, 1927), $806.63.

(40) George H. Tay Co., steel pipe, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Aug. 29, 1927), $8,881.29.

(41) Independent Lumber Co., lumber, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Aug. 24, 1927), $665.80.

(42) O. G. Peterson, explosives, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Aug. 24, 1927), $816.

(43) Bald Eagle Meat Market, meats, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Aug. 31, 1927), $780.

(44) Hales & Symons, Inc., lumber, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Aug. 31, 1927), $1,169.67.

(45) H. R. Landfare Co., cedar poles for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Aug. 31, 1927), $2,915.30.

(46) G. Poggi, auto truck (Graham Bros.) for Hetch Hetch construction (claim dated Aug. 31, 1927), $900.

(47) California Stucco Products Co., stucco for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Aug. 25, 1927), $562.50.

(48) Graybar Electric Co., Inc., electric material, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Aug. 25, 1927), $662.23.

(49) The General Fireproofing Building Products, steel sash, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Aug. 25, 1927), $2,283.73.

(50) Western Lime & Cement

Co., plaster, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Aug. 25, 1927), $513.70.

Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1910.

(51) Grinnell Company of the Pacific, galvanized pipe (claim dated Aug. 29, 1927), $2,248.46.

General Fund, 1926-1927.

(52) John Spargo, final payment, construction of additions in lightwell at Hall of Justice (claim dated Aug. 31, 1927), $3,092.62.

(53) F. W. Varney, payment in full, construction of floodlight housing and meterological stations, Municipal Airport (claim dated Aug. 31, 1927), $1,576.20.

(54) Julien P. Friez & Sons, four anemographs and supports for Municipal Airport (claim dated Aug. 23, 1927), $2,929.80.

(55) M. J. Treacy, improvement of Peralta avenue, York street to Holladay avenue and Tomasa street, third payment (claim dated Aug. 31, 1927), $4,500.

General Fund, 1927-1928.

(56) Daniel J. O'Brien, police contingent expense for September (claim dated Sept. 1, 1927), $750.

(57) Howard Automobile Co., six Buick roadsters for Fire Department (claim dated Aug. 31, 1927), $8.040.

(58) Spring Valley Water Co., water service for Fire Department hydrants (claim dated Aug. 31, 1927), $14,661.80.

(59) Tire Service Co., tires for Fire Department (claim dated Aug. 31, 1927), $1,347.11.

(60) Wm. Bateman, oak cabinet, office of Tax Collector (claim dated Sept. 6, 1927), $1,000.

(61) Pacific Nash Motor Co., one Nash roadster, Department of Public Works (claim dated Aug. 5, 1927), $1,572.50.

(62) Crown Oil Co., gasoline and oil for street cleaning (claim dated Aug. 27, 1927), $571.49.

(63) San Francisco Bulletin, official advertising (claim dated Sept. 6, 1927), $1,811.34.

(64) California Printing Co., two-cent envelopes for Tax Collector (claim dated Aug. 29, 1927), $937.20.

Robinson Bequest Interest Fund.

(65) James Rolph, Jr., for relief of destitute women and children (claim dated Sept. 6, 1927), $1,180.

Publicity and Advertising—Appropriation No. 55.

(66) Rudolph Theurkauf and Wm. A. Theurkauf, final payment for construction of San Francisco exhibit at Transcontinental Highway Exposition, Reno, Nevada, for the publicity and advertising of San Francisco (claim dated Sept. 6, 1927), $5,460.20.

(67) California Development Association, for the maintenance of San Francisco Map, and for Information Bureau at the Ferry Building, for the publicity and advertising of San Francisco (claim dated Sept. 6, 1927), $1,000.

Appropriation, $1,750, Payment to Angele Van Beckh et al. for Sewer Right of Way.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $1,750 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of "Extension and Reconstruction of Sewers," Budget Item No. 38, and authorized in payment to Angelo Van Beckh and Ernest V. Van Beckh; being payment for property required for the construction of a sewer from Mangels avenue to Monterey boulevard between Congo and Baden streets, to-wit:

Beginning at a point on the westerly line of Monterey boulevard, distant thereon 200 feet westerly from the westerly line of Baden street, thence westerly on the northerly line of Monterey boulevard 10 feet; thence at right angles northerly 100 feet; thence at right angles easterly 10 feet; thence at right angles southerly 100 feet. Being portion of Lot 13, Block 6770, as per the Assessor's Map Book; as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 27685 (New Series).

Appropriation, $600, Repairs, Third Street Bridge.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New eries), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $600 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of "Repair and Painting of Bridges," Budget Item No. 37, for investigation in connection with the existing strust and the replacement thereof on the Third street and Channel bridge.

Accepting Offer of E. C. Mills et al. to Sell Land Required for Market Street Extension.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 27765 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the following owners of property adjacent to Market street extension have offered to release the City and County of San Francisco, its contractors or agents, from all claim or claims of damages to their property or the build-

ings thereon caused by the establishment of grades on Market street extension and the grading and construction of Market street extension to the proposed official grade, and the grading and repaving of adjacent streets to said Market street extension.

Whereas, the City Attorney has recommended the acceptance of the said offers as per the following terms, namely:

E. C. Mills and Ida Ruth Mills, $1,000—All that certain piece or parcel of land situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and known as Lot 29 in Block 2659, as per the Assessor's Block Book of the City and County of San Francisco, and also known as No. 341 Corbett avenue.

Mary J. Archer, $100—All that certain piece or parcel of land situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and known as Lot 11 in Block 2656, as per the Assessor's Block Book of the City and County of San Francisco, and also known as Nos. 3032-3034 Market street.

Resolved, That the said offers be accepted and the City Attorney be authorized to close negotiations and superintend the payment of money to the above-mentioned persons upon the receipt of the proper releases.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —12.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Deasy, Harrelson, Kent—6.

Accepting Offer of Vicenzo Zolli et al. to Sell Land Required for Widening of Silver Avenue.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 27766 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the owners of the following described lands situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and sought to be acquired by the City and County of San Francisco for the widening of Silver avenue, have offered to convey the properties desired by said City and County of San Francisco for the sums set opposite their names, viz.:

Vincenzo Zolli and Teresa Zolli, $760—Beginning at a point on the southerly line of Silver avenue, distant northeasterly thereon 175 feet from the northeasterly line of Ledyard street, and running thence northeasterly along said southeasterly line 25 feet to the southwesterly line of Scotia street; thence at right angles southeasterly along said southwesterly line 16.5 feet; thence at right angles southwesterly, parallel to said southeasterly line of Silver avenue 25 feet; thence at right angles northwesterly 16.5 feet to the southeasterly line of Silver avenue and the point of beginning. Being a portion of Lot 2, as per map entitled "Map of the property of Henry Winkle, Esq.," recorded in Map Book "2 A and B," page 147, records of the City and County of San Francisco.

It is hereby understood and agreed that $150 of the above amount is in full payment for a parcel of land 25x25, in the rear of the remaining property of Vincenzo Zolli and Teresa Zolli, adjoining the parcel hereinabove mentioned, and Vincenzo Zolli and Teresa Zolli hereby agree to pay the said sum of $150 for the same; and this offer is contingent upon the City's agents purchasing for the said sum of $150 the above-mentioned parcel of land.

Mary Barilli, $760—Beginning at a point on the southeasterly line of Silver avenue, distant northeasterly thereon 75 feet from the northeasterly line of Ledyard street, and running thence northeasterly along said southeasterly line 25 feet; thence at right angles southeasterly 16.5 feet; thence at right angles southwesterly, parallel to said southeasterly line of Silver avenue 25 feet; thence at right angles northwesterly 16.5 feet to the southeasterly line of Silver avenue and the point of beginning. Being a portion of Lot 1, as per map entitled "Map of the property of Henry Winkle, Esq.," recorded in Map Book "2 A and B," page 147, records of the City and County of San Francisco.

It is hereby understood and agreed that $150 of the above amount is in full payment for a parcel of land 25x25, in the rear of the remaining property of Mary Barilli, adjoining the parcel hereinabove mentioned, and Mary Barilli hereby agrees to pay the said sum of $150 for the same; and this offer in contingent upon the City's agents purchasing for the said sum of $150 the above-mentioned parcel of land.

Hannah Greenbaum, $665—Beginning at a point on the southeasterly line of Silver avenue, distant northeasterly thereon 150 feet from the northeasterly line of Ledyard street, and running thence

northeasterly along said southeasterly line 25 feet; thence at right angles southeasterly 16.5 feet; thence at right angles southwesterly, parallel to said southeasterly line of Silver avenue 25 feet; thence at right angles northwesterly 16.5 feet to the southeasterly line of Silver avenue and the point of beginning. Being a portion of Lot 2, as per map entitled "Map of the property of Henry Winkle, Esq.," recorded in Map Book "2 A and B," page 147, records of the City and County of San Francisco.

It is hereby understood and agreed that $150 of the above amount is in full payment for a parcel of land 25x25, in the rear of the remaining property of Hannah Greenbaum, adjoining the parcel hereinabove mentioned, and Hannah Greenbaum hereby agrees to pay the said sum of $150 for the same; and this offer is contingent upon the City's agents purchasing for the said sum of $150 the above-mentioned parcel of land.

Michael Majka, $80—Beginning at a point on the southeasterly line of Silver avenue, distant northeasterly thereon 100 feet from the northeasterly line of Ledyard street, and running thence northeasterly along said southeasterly line of Silver avenue 25 feet; thence at right angles southeasterly 16.5 feet; thence at right angles southwesterly, parallel to said southeasterly line of Silver avenue 25 feet; thence at right angles northwesterly 16.5 feet to the southeasterly line of Silver avenue and the point of beginning. Being a portion of Lot 2 of map entitled "Map of the property of Henry Winkle, Esq.," recorded in Map Book "2 A and B," page 147, records of the City and County of San Francisco.

It is hereby understood and agreed that $150 of the above amount is in full payment for a parcel of land 25x25, in the rear of the remaining property of Michael Majka, adjoining the parcel hereinabove mentioned, and Michael Majka hereby agrees to pay the said sum of $150 for the same; and this offer is contingent upon the City's agents purchasing for the said sum of $150 the above-mentioned parcel of land.

John P. Magee and Rose Magee, $665—Beginning at a point of the southeasterly line of Silver avenue, distant northeasterly thereon 50.333 feet from the northeasterly line of Ledyard street, and running thence northeasterly along said southeasterly line 24.667 feet; thence at right angles southeasterly 16.5 feet; thence at right angles southwesterly, parallel to said southeasterly line of Silver avenue 24.667 feet; thence at right angles northwesterly 16.5 feet to the southeasterly line of Silver avenue and the point of beginning. Being a portion of Lot 1, as per map entitled "Map of the property of Henry Winkle, Esq.," recorded in Map Book "2 A and B," page 147, records of the City and County of San Francisco.

It is hereby understood and agreed that $150 of the above amount is in full payment for a parcel of land 24.667x25, in the rear of the remaining property of John P. Magee and Rose Magee, adjoining the parcel hereinabove mentioned, and John P. Magee and Rose Magee hereby agree to pay the said sum of $150 for the same; and this offer is contingent upon the City's agents purchasing for the said sum of $150 the above-mentioned parcel of land.

Fred Muzio and Tackla Muzio, $725—Beginning at a point on the southeasterly line of Silver avenue, distant northeasterly thereon 125 feet from the northeasterly line of Ledyard street, and running thence northeasterly along said southeasterly line 25 feet; thence at right angles southeasterly 16.5 feet; thence at right angles southwesterly, parallel to said southeasterly line of Silver avenue 25 feet; feet; thence at right angles northwesterly 16.5 feet to the southeasterly line of Silver avenue and the point of beginning. Being a portion of Lot 2 of map entitled "Map of the property of Henry Winkle, Esq.," recorded in Map Book "2 A and B," page 147, records of the City and County of San Francisco.

It is hereby understood and agreed that $150 of the above amount is in full payment for a parcel of land 25 x 25, in the rear of the remaining property of Fred Muzio and Tackla Muzio, adjoining the parcel hereinabove mentioned, and Fred Muzio and Tackla Muzio hereby agree to pay the said sum of $150 for the same; and this offer is contingent upon the City's agents purchasing for the said sum of $150 the above-mentioned parcel of land.

Wm. D. Coryell and Roselia Coryell, $775—Beginning at the point of intersection of the northeasterly line of Ledyard street and the southeasterly line of Silver avenue, and running thence northeasterly along said southeasterly line 28.5 feet; thence at right angles south-

easterly 16.5 feet; thence at right angles southwesterly parallel to said southeasterly line of Silver avenue 28.5 feet to the northeasterly line of Ledyard street; thence at right angles northwesterly along said northeasterly line 16.5 feet to the southeasterly line of Silver avenue and the point of beginning. Being a portion of Lot 1, as per "Map of the property of Henry Winkle, Esq.," recorded in Map Book "2 A and B," page 147, records of the City and County of San Francisco.

It is hereby understood and agreed that $150 of the above amount is in full payment for a parcel of land 28.5 x 25, in the rear of the remaining property of Wm. D. Coryell and Roselia Coryell, adjoining the parcel hereinabove mentioned, and Wm. D. Coryell and Roselia Coryell hereby agree to pay the said sum of $150 for the same; and this offer is contingent upon the City's agents purchasing for the sum of $150 the above-mentioned parcel of land.

Thos. S. Kristovich and Marko M. Kristovich, $730—Beginning at a point on the southeasterly line of Silver avenue, distant northeasterly thereon 28.5 feet from the northeasterly line of Ledyard street, and running thence northeasterly along said southeasterly line 21.833 feet; thence at right angles southeasterly 16.5 feet; thence at right angles southwesterly parallel to said southeasterly line of Silver avenue 21.833 feet; thence at right angles northwesterly 16.5 feet to the southeasterly line of Silver avenue and the point of beginning. Being a portion of Lot 1, as per map entitled "Map of the property of Henry Winkle, Esq.," recorded in Map Book "2 A and B," page 147, records of the City and County of San Francisco.

It is hereby understood and agreed that $150 of the above amount is in full payment for a parcel of land 21.833 x 25, in the rear of the remaining property of Thos. S. Kristovich and Marko M. Kristovich, adjoining the parcel hereinabove mentioned, and Thos. S. Kristovich and Marko M. Kristovich hereby agree to pay the said sum of $150 for the same; and this offer is contingent upon the City's agents purchasing for the said sum of $150 the above-mentioned parcel of land.

Whereas, the City Attorney has recommended the acceptance of the said offers and the acquisition of the properties owned by said persons and offered to the City and County of San Francisco for the sums set forth opposite their names, which said prices are in accordance with the City's appraisement of the properties; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the said offers of sale be accepted, and the City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to examine the titles of said properties, and if the same are found in satisfactory condition to accept in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco deeds conveying title thereto and file the same for record with a copy of this resolution attached thereto as evidence of acceptance by the City and County of San Francisco, upon payment of the agreed purchase prices.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —12.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Deasy, Harrelson, Kent—6.

Accepting Offer of I. M. Sommer et al. to Sell Certain Land Required for Alemany Boulevard.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 27767 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the provisions of the Act of May 1, 1911, the City and County of San Francisco enter into an agreement with I. M. Sommer and Fanny R. Sommer, by the terms of which said I. M. Sommer and Fanny R. Sommer shall agree to convey to the City and County of San Francisco a strip of land described as follows:

Parcel 1. All that portion of Lot 166, Academy Tract, as per map thereof recorded in Map Book "C and D," page 123, records of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, which is lying northwesterly from a circular curve of 950-foot radius, tangent to the southeasterly line of Alemany avenue, south of Tingley street, and also tangent to a line parallel with and distant 22 feet at right angles southeasterly from the tangent on the southeasterly boundary of that certain parcel of land designated as "36 A" in that certain deed recorded at page 55 of Volume 1083, Official Records, records of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California.

Parcel 2. All that portion of the parcel of land formerly property of the Risso Estate Company and conveyed to I. M. Sommer, which is lying northwesterly from a circular curve of 950-foot radius, tangent to the southeasterly line of Alemany avenue, south of Tingley street, and also tangent to a line parallel with

and distant 22 feet at right angles southeasterly from the tangent on the southeasterly boundary of that certain parcel of land designated as "36 A" in that certain deed recorded at page 55, Volume 1083, Official Records, records of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and also all the portion of said lands deeded to I. M. Sommer which is lying northwesterly from said 22-foot offset tangent.

Parcel 3. Beginning at the most southerly corner of Lot 217 of Spring Valley Homestead as per map thereof recorded in Map Book "C and D," page 149, records of the City and County of San Francisco; thence northeasterly along the southeasterly boundary lines of Lots 217 and 218 of said map a distance of 50 feet; thence at right angles northwesterly 4.463 feet; thence deflecting to the left 90 deg. 46 min. and running southwesterly 45.726 feet; thence continuing southwesterly along a curve to the left. tangent to preceding course, radius 1050 feet, central angle 0 deg. 14 min. 00 sec. a distance of 4.278 feet to the southwesterly boundary line of said Lot 217; thence deflecting to the left 89 deg. 00 min. 00 sec. from the tangent to said curve of preceding course and running southeasterly along said southwesterly line 3.785 feet to the point of beginning. Being portions of said Lots 217 and 218 of said Spring Valley Homestead.

Parcel 4. Beginning at the most southerly corner of Lot 244 of Spring Valley Homestead as per map thereof recorded in Map Book "C and D," page 149, records of the City and County of San Francisco; thence northeasterly along the southeasterly boundary lines of Lots 244 and 245 of said map 50 feet; thence at right angles northwesterly 13.496 feet; thence deflecting to the left 90 deg. 46 min. and running southwesterly 50.0045 feet to the southwesterly boundary line of said Lot 244; thence deflecting to the left 89 deg. 14 min. and running southeasterly along said southwesterly line 12.827 feet to the point of beginning. Being portions of said Lots 244 and 245 of said Spring Valley Homestead.

And in exchange for which said conveyance the City and County will agree to pay to said I. M. Sommer and Fanny R. Sommer the sum of $2,138 and close as a public street, subject to reservation mentioned below, and convey to the said I. M. Sommer and Fanny R. Sommer that portion of Alemany boulevard, more particularly described as follows:

All that portion of Alemany avenue lying southeasterly of a circular curve of 950-foot radius, tangent to the southeasterly line of Alemany avenue, south of Tingley street, and also tangent to a line parallel with and distant 22 feet at right angles southeasterly from the southeasterly boundary of that certain parcel of land designated as "36 A" in that certain deed recorded at page 55 of Volume 1083, Official Records, records of City and County of San Francisco, State of California.

And also convey to said I. M. Sommer and Fanny R. Sommer the following parcels of land acquired from the Ocean Shore Railway Company and not necessary for street or boulevard purposes, described as follows:

All of those parcels of land, now property of the City and County of San Francisco, designated as 36 A and 37 in that certain deed, recorded at page 55 of Volume 1083, Official Records, records of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, which are lying southeasterly from a circular curve of 950-foot radius, tangent to the southeasterly line of Alemany avenue, south of Tingley street, and also tangent to a line parallel with and distant 22 feet at right angles southeasterly from the southeasterly boundary of the aforesaid parcel 36 A.

Such conveyances shall contain a reservation for street purposes over the portion of Alemany avenue described above until such time as the Alemany boulevard is constructed adjoining the above described portion of Alemany avenue, and upon the completion of said construction of the portion of Alemany boulevard said easement shall become null and void. Be it

Further Resolved, That the City Attorney be and he is hereby directed to prepare the necessary agreement in accordance with this resolution, and the Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors are hereby authorized and directed to execute such agreement, when so prepared, in accordance with the terms hereinbefore set forth.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —12.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Deasy, Harrelson, Kent—6.

Accepting Offer of James O'Connor to Sell Certain Land Required for Opening for Bernal Cut.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 27768 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the owners of the following described lands, situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and sought to be acquired by the City and County of San Francisco for the opening of Bernal Cut, extending from Mission and Randall streets southwesterly along the Southern Pacific Company's right of way to the intersection of San Jose avenue and Circular avenue, have offered to convey the property desired by said City and County of San Francisco for the sums set forth opposite their names, viz.:

James O'Connor, $6,150—Lot 14 in Block 6689, as per the Assessor's Block Book of the City and County of San Francisco.

Max Binghammer and Marie Binghammer, $1,100—Beginning at a point on the northerly line of Bosworth street, distant thereon 251.947 feet westerly from the westerly line of Rousseau street; thence westerly along said northerly line 50 feet to the easterly boundary line of the property now or formerly owned by Chas. J. and Joseph J. Powers; thence at right angles northerly along said easterly line 96.714 feet to the southeasterly boundary line of the Southern Pacific Company's right of way; thence nortneasterly along said southeasterly line 50.401 feet to the westerly boundary line of the property now or formerly owned by Robert Kelly; thence southerly along said westerly line 103.029 feet to the northerly line of Bosworth street and the point of beginning.

Saving and excepting therefrom the following described parcel:

Beginning at a point on the northerly line of Bosworth street, distant thereon 251.947 feet westerly from the westerly line of Rousseau street; thence westerly along said northerly line 50 feet to the easterly boundary line of the property now or formerly owned by Chas. J. and Joseph J. Powers; thence at right angles northerly along said easterly line 61.853 feet; thence deflecting 83 deg. 04 min. 28 sec. to the right and running northeasterly 50.368 feet to the westerly boundary line of the property now or formerly owned by Robert Kelly; thence deflecting 96 deg. 55 min. 32 sec. to the right and running southerly 67.927 feet to the northerly line of Bosworth street and the point of beginning. Being a portion of the Islais and Salinas Water Works Tract.

Whereas, the City Attorney has recommended the acceptance of the said offers and the acquisition of the property owned by said persons and offered to the City and County of San Francisco for the prices set forth opposite their names, which said prices are in accordance with the City's appraisement of the property; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the said offers of sale be accepted and the City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to examine the titles of said properties, and if the same are found in satisfactory condition to accept in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco deeds conveying title thereto, and file the same for record with a copy of this resolution attached thereto as evidence of acceptance by the City and County of San Francisco, upon payment of the agreed purchase prices.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —12.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Deasy, Harrelson, Kent—6.

Passed for Printing.

The following matter was *passed for printing*:

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy:

Plans, Etc., Flag Case.

Bill No. 8182, Ordinance No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Authorizing the preparation of plans and specifications for and ordering the construction of a flag case in accordance with said plans and specifications prepared therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized, instructed and empowered to prepare plans and specifications for a flag case, and to enter into contract for the construction of a flag case in accordance with the plans and specifications prepared therefor.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Action Deferred.

The following resolution was on motion *laid over one week*:

Transfer of Twin Peaks Tunnel Fund.

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $42,508.21 be and is hereby ordered transferred from the Twin Peaks Ridge Tunnel Assessment Fund to the Municipal Railway Fund and the Board of Public Works, Tax Collector, Auditor and Treasurer are hereby directed to make such

entries in their official records and books of account as will correctly show such transfer; said transfer is for the purpose of reimbursing said Municipal Railway Fund for moneys advanced therefrom and used in the construction of the Twin Peaks Tunnel project.

Action Deferred.

The following bill was *laid over two weeks*:

Amending Zoning Ordinance, Ingalls Street.

Bill No. ——, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings, and the locations of buildings designed for specific purposes, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Section 11 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place the southeasterly side of Ingalls street between Donner avenue and Egbert avenue, for a distance of 225 feet on Donner avenue and 200 feet on Egbert avenue, in the Light Industrial District instead of the First Residential District.

Passed for Printing.

The following bill was *passed for printing*:

On motion of Supervisor Hayden:

Amending Zoning Ordinance, Ocean Avenue.

Bill No. 8183, Ordinance No.—— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings and the locations of buildings designed for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Section 12 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place the northerly side of Ocean avenue, commencing at a point 88.98 feet westerly from Mission street and running thence westerly 50 feet and extending through to Bauer street, in the Commercial District instead of in the First Residential District.

Rereferred.

The following matter was *rereferred to the City Planning Committee*:

Amending Zoning Ordinance, Brighton Avenue.

Bill No. ——, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings, and the locations of buildings designed for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of Son Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Section 13 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place the westerly side of Brighton avenue, commencing at a point 323 feet 4 inches southerly from Ocean avenue and running thence southerly 50 feet, in the Commercial District instead of the First Residential District.

Report of Education, Parks and Playgrounds Committee.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

September 2, 1927.

Board of Supervisors, City Hall.

Gentlemen: The Committee on Education, Parks and Playgrounds recommends the adoption of a resolution on today's calendar, introduced by Supervisor Marks some time ago, requesting the Committee on Education, Parks and Playgrounds to investigate the feasibility of using the vacant school lot between Twelfth and Thirteenth avenues, Geary and Anza streets, for playground purposes until such time as a decision is reached as to the ultimate use of said lot, and to report to the Board whether or not said lot can, by slight expenditure, be thus utilized.

If this resolution is adopted and it meets with the Board's approval, the Committee will inquire of the Playground Commission if it is willing to put this lot in condition and use it for playground purposes. If the Playground Commission in-

dicates its willingness to do so, the Committee will then recommend that the matter be taken up with the Board of Education to secure its consent to the temporary use of the lot as a playground.

Respectfully submitted,
ANDREW J. GALLAGHER,
W. P. STANTON,
LOUIS F. BYINGTON,
Committee on Education, Parks and Playgrounds.

Playground, Block, Geary and Anza Streets, Twelfth and Thirteenth Avenues.

Whereupon, the following resolution was presented and *adopted*:

Resolution No. 27769 (New Series), as follows:

Be it Resolved, That the Committee on Education, Parks and Playgrounds be and it is hereby requested to investigate the feasibility of using the vacant City lot between Twelfth and Thirteenth avenues and Geary and Anza streets for playground purposes until further decision is reached as to ultimate use of said lot, and report to the Board whether or not said lot by slight expenditure can be thus utilized.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —12.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Deasy, Harrelson, Kent—6.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Oil and Boiler Permits.

On motion of Supervisor Deasy:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits be and are hereby granted:

Oil Tanks.

Hickok & Hickok, rear of 540 Brannan street, 1500 gallons capacity.

A. Ferrera, northeast corner of Mission and Harrington streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

E. A. Cornely, Inc., 127 Jordan avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

C. R. Davis, 3736 Jackson street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Mrs. S. Andriano, northeast corner of Union and Stockton streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

Joost Bros., northeast corner of Golden Gate avenue and Baker street, 1500 gallons capacity.

W. G. Bailey, northwest corner of Parnassus avenue and Willard street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Dr. L. Emge, 109 Edgewood avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

Henry Ernst & Sons, 2519 Pacific avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

A. L. Kerr, south side of Jackson street, 250 feet west of Laurel street, 1500 gallons capacity.

J. B. Keenan, 2646 Union street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Anglo London Paris National Bank, 112 Pine street, 1500 gallons capacity.

E. V. Lacey, north side of Filbert street, 150 feet east of Van Ness avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

E. La Franconi, east side of Avila way 125 feet south of Capra way, 600 gallons capacity.

T. R. Smith, north side of Fell street, 112 feet west of Pierce street, 1500 gallons capacity.

P. Zlardi, north side of Eve street, 40 feet west of San Bruno avenue, 600 gallons capacity.

Chas. J. U. Koenig, south side of Magellan avenue, 535 feet east of Pacheco street, 750 gallons capacity.

A. T. Morris, south side of Balboa street, 108 feet east of Ninth avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

Marion Realty Co., northeast corner of Pacific avenue and Fillmore street, 1500 gallons capacity.

J. L. Webster, southeast corner of California and Broderick streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

A. F. Heure, west side of Fourth avenue, 42 feet south of Irving street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Monson Bros., northwest corner of Chestnut and Fillmore streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

O. A. Schaning, east side of Santa Clara avenue, 150 feet south of San Anselmo avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

L. W. Decker, north side of Chestnut street, 100 feet east of Laguna street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Hind Building Co., north side of Oak street, 100 feet east of Stanyan street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Boilers.

Cavanaugh Overall Factory, 350 Eleventh street, 100 horsepower.

H. Moffatt Co., Third street and Arthur avenue, 50 horsepower.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six month, otherwise said permits shall become null and void.

Garage Permits.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That J. P. Bacigalupi be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board

of Supervisors, to have transferred to him public garage permit heretofore granted B. C. Allyn, Jr., by Resolution No. 18718 (New Series) for premises at 925 Powell street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That A. R. Tembey be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred to him public garage permit heretofore granted Irwin Cary by Resolution No. 17858 (New Series) for premises at 1415 Gough street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That F. E. Morgan be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate a public garage on the lower floors and basement of building to be known as "Four Fifty Sutter street."

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Automobile Supply Station Permit.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That California Petroleum Corporation be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an automobile supply station on the northeast corner of Lombard and Fillmore streets.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Blasting Permit.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Eaton & Smith be and are hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to explode blasts on private property on Elsie street between Coso and Esmeralda avenues, provided said permittee shall execute and file a good and sufficient bond in the sum of $5,000, as fixed by the Board of Public Works and approved by his Honor the Mayor, in accordance with Ordinance No. 1204 (New Series); provided, also, that said blasts shall be exploded only between the hours of 7 a. m. and 6 p. m., and that the work of blasting shall be performed to the satisfaction of the Board of Public Works, and that if any of the conditions of this resolution be violated by said Eaton & Smith, then the privileges and all rights accruing thereunder shall immediately become null and void.

Amending Ordinance No. 5613, Outdoor Carnivals.

On motion of Supervisor Schmidt:

Bill No. 8184, Ordinance No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Section 2 of Ordinance No. 5613 (New Series), imposing a license tax on itinerant shows, carnivals and concessions, regulating the manner of maintaining or conducting same and providing a penalty for violation thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Section 2 of Ordinance No. 5613 (New Series), the title of which is recited above, is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 2. The term itinerant show or exhibition shall be construed to mean any outdoor carnival, show or concession exhibiting or operating temporarily under a tent, or in the open, except such as come within the provisions of Ordinance No. 5240 (New Series) or Section 34 of Ordinance No. 5132 (New Series).

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Taxicab Permits.

Supervisor Schmidt presented:

Resolution No. 27770 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following taxicab permits be and they are hereby approved:

California Special Cab Company, transfer from 211 O'Farrell street to 207 O'Farrell street.

Red Top Cab Company, 400 Eddy street, 388 Jones street, 1414 Turk street.

Leon Galy, 620 Post street.

Yellow-Checker Cab Company, 12 Mason street, 20-22 Ellis street, 205 Powell street.

Clifford H. Pinkham, 57 Powell street.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —12.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Deasy, Harrelson, Kent—6.

Joint Highway District, Santa Cruz, Approved.

Supervisor Marks presented:

Resolution No. 27771 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, a certified copy of a resolution adopted by the Board of Supervisors of the County of Santa Cruz, proposing the creation of a Joint Highway District, has been received by this Board, which resolution is as follows:

"Resolved, by the Board of Supervisors of the County of Santa Cruz, State of California, as follows:

"(a) That the public interest requires the construction of a public highway the general location and course of which shall be commencing within the County of Santa Cruz at a point of connection with a main public highway already existing and extending northerly as closely as practicable to the shore line of the Pacific Ocean, through the County of San Mateo and into the County of San Franisco, to a suitable point connecting with a main highway within said county.

"(b) That the Counties of Santa Cruz, San Mateo and San Francisco are hereby named as the counties interested in and which will be benefited by such highway construction.

"(c) That it is proposed to create a Joint Highway District composed of the counties herein named as provided by an Act of the Legislature of the State of California entitled 'An Act providing for the creation, organization and government of Joint Highway District composed of two or more counties of the State of California,' approved April 5, 1917.

"The County Clerk of the County of Santa Cruz is hereby directed to transmit to the Clerks of the Boards of Supervisors of the Counties of San Mateo and San Francisco certified copies of this resolution."

Whereas, it is deemed advisable that such Joint Highway District be created and organized; therefore, be it

Resolved, by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, that the proposition to create and organize a Joint Highway District as proposed in the foregoing resolution be given a tentative approval.

Further Resolved, That Resolution No. 27468 (New Series), approved July 5, 1927, is hereby repealed.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —12.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Deasy, Harrelson, Kent—6.

Action Deferred.

The following resolution was *laid over one week*:

Supervisor Badaracco Appointed Director of Joint Highway District.

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, pursuant to proceedings heretofore taken by this Board of Supervisors in the matter of the creation of a Joint Highway District composed of the Counties of San Francisco, San Mateo and Santa Cruz, Supervisor John B. Badaracco is hereby named and appointed as director of said proposed Joint Highway District to represent the City and County of San Francisco.

Approving Map, Geneva Terraces.

Supervisor Marks presented:

Resolution No. 27772 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the Board of Public Works did, by Resolution No. 98805 (Second Series), approve a map of "Subdivision No. 1, Geneva Terraces, San Francisco, California;" now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the map of "Subdivision No. 1. Geneva Terraces, San Francisco, California," is hereby approved.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —12.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Deasy, Harrelson, Kent—6.

Approving Bond, Geneva Terraces.

Supervisor Marks presented:

Resolution No. 27773 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the bond filed with this Board of Supervisors by Baldwin & Howell, a California corporation, as principal, and Sam Isralsky and F. C. Dutton as sureties, dated the 3d day of August, 1927, in the sum of one thousand ($1,000) dollars, which sum is fixed by this Board and conditioned for the payment of all taxes which are now a lien but not yet payable against the property as shown on map entitled "Subdivision No. 1, Geneva Terraces, San Francisco, California," is hereby approved.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —12.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco,

Bath, Byington, Deasy, Harrelson, Kent—6.

Map of Geneva Terraces Accepted.

Supervisor Marks presented:

Resolution No. 27774 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the deed executed on the 3d day of August, 1927, between Baldwin & Howell, a California corporation, and the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, to lands for the opening of streets as shown on map entitled "Subdivision No. 1, Geneva Terraces, San Francisco, California," approved by the Board of Public Works by Resolution No. 98805 (Second Series), is hereby accepted.

Further Resolved, That the lands covered by said deed are hereby declared open public streets.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —12.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Deasy, Harrelson, Kent—6.

Map of Widening of Castro Street Approved.

Supervisor Marks presented:

Resolution No. 27775 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the Board of Public Works did, by Resolution No. 98669 (Second Series), approve a map showing the widening of Castro street from Twenty-ninth street to Thirtieth street; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the map showing the widening of Castro street from Twenty-ninth street to Thirtieth street is hereby approved and the parcels shown hatched thereon are hereby declared to be open public streets to be known by the names as shown on said map.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —12.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Deasy, Harrelson, Kent—6.

Extension of Time, Federal Construction Company.

Supervisor Marks presented:

Resolution No. 27776 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Federal Construction Company is hereby granted an extension of ninety days' time from and after August 14, 1927, within which to complete the improvement of the crossings of Forty-second, Forty-third, Forty-fourth, Forty-fifth and Forty-sixth avenues with Ulloa street. This extension of time is granted for the reason that the contractor has been delayed by labor and material markets.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —12.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Deasy, Harrelson, Kent—6.

Extension of Time, Municipal Construction Company.

Supervisor Marks presented:

Resolution No. 27777 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Municipal Construction Company is hereby granted an extension of ninety days' time from and after August 30, 1927, within which to complete the improvement of Brussels street between Campbell and Wilde avenues, and of Campbell avenue between Brussels street and San Bruno avenue. The contractor has been delayed in securing the necessary materials to start the work.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —12.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Deasy, Harrelson, Kent—6.

Intention to Change Grades.

Supervisor Marks presented:

Resolution No. 27778 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to change and establish grades on the following named streets, at the points hereinafter specified and at the elevations above city base as hereinafter stated, in accordance with Resolution No. 98741 (Second Series) of the Board of Public Works, adopted August 26, 1927, and written recommendation of said Board, filed August 30, 1927, to-wit:

On Lane street between Palou and Quesada avenues.

The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that no assessment district is necessary as no damage will result from said change of grades, inasmuch as the streets are ungraded and there are no existing street improvements.

The Board of Public Works is hereby directed to cause to be conspicuously posted along the street

or streets upon which such change or modification of grade or grades is contemplated notice of the passage of this resolution of intention.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —12.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Deasy, Harrelson, Kent—6.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Repealing Ordinance No. 7456 (New Series), Fourteenth Avenue.

On motion of Supervisor Marks:

Bill No. 8185, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Repealing that portion of Ordinance No. 7456 (New Series), approved April 1, 1927, providing for the full acceptance of the roadway of Fourteenth avenue between Rivera and Santiago streets.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. That portion of Ordinance No. 7456 (New Series) providing for the full acceptance of the roadway of Fourteenth avenue between Rivera and Santiago streets is hereby repealed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Repealing Ordinance No. 7597 (New Series), Jerrold Avenue.

Also, Bill No. 8186, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Repealing Ordinance No. 7597 (New Series), approved July 15, 1927, ordering the improvement of Jerrold avenue between Phelps and Quint streets, including the crossing of Jerrold avenue and Phelps street.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 7597 (New Series) ordering the improvement of Jerrold avenue between Phelps and Quint streets, including the crossing of Jerrold avenue and Phelps street, is hereby repealed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Street Lights.

Supervisor Stanton presented:

Resolution No. 27779 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Pacific Gas and Electric Company be and it is hereby authorized to remove and install street lights as follows:

Remove 400 *M. R.*

Polk and Pacific streets.
Polk and Chestnut streets.
Polk and Francisco streets.
Polk and Bay streets.
Polk and North Point streets.

Remove 250 *M. R.*

Polk street between Chestnut and Francisco streets.

Polk street between Francisco and Bay streets.

Remove 600 *M. R.*

Polk street between Greenwich and Lombard streets.

Polk street between Lombard and Chestnut streets.

Polk street between Filbert and Greenwich streets.

Polk street between Union and Filbert streets.

Polk street between Green and Union streets.

Polk street between Vallejo and Green street.

Polk street between Broadway and Vallejo street.

Polk street between Pacific street and Broadway.

Polk street and Broadway.
Polk and Vallejo streets.
Polk and Green streets.
Southeast and northwest corners Polk and Union streets.
Polk and Filbert streets.
Polk and Greenwich streets.
Polk and Lombard streets.

Discontinue.

Forty-eight 210-watt lamps on Polk street between Sutter and Pacific streets.

Sixteen 200-watt lamps on Polk street between Sutter and Pacific streets.

Install 600 *C. P.*

From the south side of Sutter street to Union street, six 600 C. P. lights, Washington style globe, ornamental trolley pole bracket placed six per block. Alternate lights to burn on 12 o'clock circuit.

From Union street to North Point street, three 600 C. P. lights, Washington style globe, ornamental trolley pole bracket, three per block, two on each corner and one in center of block.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —12.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Deasy, Harrelson, Kent—6.

Street Lights, New Montgomery Street.

Also, Resolution No. 27780 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Pacific Gas and Electric Company be and it is

hereby authorized and requested to install street lights as follows:

Install 600 C. P. With Washington Style Globe Equipped With Holophane Refractor No. 4634 on Standard. To Be Approved by Committee.

East and west sides New Montgomery street between Market and Stevenson streets.

East and west sides New Montgomery street, first south of Stevenson street.

Northeast and northwest corners New Montgomery and Jessie streets.

East and west sides New Montgomery street between Jessie and Mission streets.

Northwest and southeast corners New Montgomery and Mission streets.

West side New Montgomery street between Mission and Minna streets.

Northeast corner New Montgomery and Minna streets.

West side New Montgomery street between Minna and Natoma streets.

Northeast corner New Montgomery and Natoma streets.

West side New Montgomery street between Natoma and Howard streets.

Northeast corner New Montgomery and Howard streets.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —12.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Deasy, Harrelson, Kent—6.

Street Lights, Teresita Boulevard.

Also, Resolution No. 27781 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Pacific Gas and Electric Company be and it is hereby authorized and requested to install street lights as follows:

Install 400 M. R., Mira Loma Park.

Southwest corner Juanita way and Fowler street.

North side curve junction of Fowler street and Teresita boulevard.

Southeast corner Teresita boulevard and Evelyn street.

Southeast corner Teresita boulevard and Reposa way.

Northwest corner Teresita boulevard and Arroyo way.

Southwest corner Teresita boulevard and Rio Court.

North side Teresita boulevard between Las Palmas avenue and Stanford Heights avenue.

Southwest corner Teresita boulevard and Stellings street.

North side Teresita boulevard, approximately 325 feet from Las Vegas avenue, as per map.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —12.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Deasy, Harrelson, Kent—6.

Street Lights, Fourth Street.

Also, Resolution No. 27782 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Pacific Gas and Electric Company be and it is hereby authorized and requested to install street lights as follows:

Install 300-Watt Electroliers.

East side Fourth street, first south of Market street.

West side Fourth street, first south of Market street.

Northeast corner Fourth and Stevenson streets.

Southwest corner Fourth street and Pioneer place.

East side Fourth street between Stevenson and Jessie streets.

Northeast corner Fourth and Jessie streets.

Southwest corner Fourth and Jessie streets.

Northwest corner Fourth and Mission streets.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —12.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Deasy, Harrelson, Kent—6.

Geary Street Lighting.

A large delegation from the Geary Street Merchants' Association, headed by R. H. Norton, president; Sam M. Markowitz, secretary; Ed. A. Kenney, P. M. McGushin, Carl N. Sachs, Wm. Klabunde, Mrs. M. K. Goodlet, C. E. Rankin and many others, appeared before the Board to request favorable action on a better lighting system for Geary street.

Mr. Markowitz and other speakers said that Supervisor McSheehy had promised "$13,000 to light up Geary street." Supervisor McSheehy denied that he had mentioned any specific sum.

The Lighting Committee announced that it had $6,300 on hand for the lighting system proposed, and a resolution was offered, asking the Finance Committee to add $4,700, making a total of $11,000, to provide six 600-watt lights to a block from Presidio avenue to Forty-eighth avenue.

Motion *lost* by the following vote:
Ayes — Supervisors Gallagher, Hayden, Marks, Roncovieri, Shannon, Todd—6.
Noes—Supervisors Colman, Havenner, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Stanton—6.
Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Deasy, Harrelson, Kent—6.

Notice of Reconsideration.

Whereupon, Supervisor Shannon changed his vote from "aye" to "no" and gave notice for reconsideration at next meeting.

During the discussion of the foregoing matter Supervisor Gallagher objected to any member leaving the meeting until it was settled.

Supervisor Havenner moved that those who had departed without permission be censored.

Motion *lost* by the following vote:
Ayes — Supervisors Gallagher, Havenner, Powers, Schmidt—4.
Noes—Supervisors Colman, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—8.
Absent — Supervisors Badarasso, Bath, Byington, Deasy, Harrelson, Kent—6.

Stand of Colors in Rotunda.

Supervisor Colman presented:
Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, Service Post No. 97, American Legion, is composed exclusively of policemen and firemen of San Francisco, a majority of whom are veterans of the World War, and therefore entitled to the best the City can give; and

Whereas, it has been suggested that the national flag, the Legion flag and post colors be augmented by two more flags, one a city flag and one symbolizing the Police and Fire Departments; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors deems it fitting that Service Post No. 97, American Legion, be granted the courtesy of having its colors housed alongside those of the 363d Infantry in a suitable case, to be placed in the rotunda of the City Hall; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Public Welfare Committee be requested to find ways and means of obtaining moneys necessary to cover the cost of such presentation and installation.

Referred to Public Welfare Committee.

Improvement of Lyon Street.

Supervisor Todd presented:
Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $6,036.88 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of County Road Fund for the improvement of Lyon street from the northerly line of Chestnut street to the northerly line of Bay street, fronting the United States Military Reservation.

Referred to Finance Committee.

Notice to Taxpayer of Appraisal of His Property.

Supervisor Roncovieri presented:
Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the taxpayers, the parties most interested and affected by the report of the Bureau of Appraisal, have no convenient method of ascertaining the effect of the report on their respective properties; and

Whereas, no special representation of such taxpayers is before this Board; and

Whereas, it would be a task of utmost magnitude, difficulty and loss of time for such taxpayers individually to have recourse for examination to the official report filed in the office of the Clerk of this Board, especially in view of access thereto only during business hours; therefore, be it

Resolved, That this Board provide a means of notifying every taxpayer of the valuation placed on his property by the Bureau of Appraisal as per report filed with this Board and with the Assessor; and

Further Resolved, That to every tax bill of the current fiscal year there be attached by the Tax Collector, a "unit value map" showing the valuation placed by the Bureau of Appraisal on every lot in each block wherein is situate the property in such tax bill described.

Referred to Finance Committee.

ADJOURNMENT.

Whereupon, the Board at 6:25 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors November 28, 1927.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated, and approved as above recited.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors.
City and County of San Francisco.

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Vol. 22—New Series No. 37

Monday, September 12, 1927

Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
337 Bush Street, S. F.

JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, SEPTEMBER 12, 1927, 10 A. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, September 12, 1927, 10 a. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—18.

Quorum present.

His Honor Mayor Rolph presiding.

APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the previous meeting was laid over for approval until next meeting.

ROLL CALL FOR PETITIONS FROM MEMBERS.

Plans and Estimates of Cost, Municipal Railway Extensions and Other Bond Projects.

The Clerk read:

Communication, from Board of Public Works, transmitting plans, etc., and estimates of cost of construction of proposed Municipal Railway extensions, to be constructed under bond issue.

Ordered *filed*.

Also, transmitting plans and estimates of cost of proposed highways and boulevards, to be constructed under bond issue.

Ordered *filed*.

Also, transmitting plans, etc., and estimates of cost of constructing Newark transmission line under proposed bond issue.

Ordered *filed*.

Protest Against Northwestern Pacific Railroad Auto Ferry.

Communication, from Marina District Improvement Association, protesting against establishment of auto ferry by the Northwestern Pacific Railroad at the foot of Webster street in the Marina District.

Referred to Public Utilities Committee.

Convention of League of California Municipalities at San Bernardino.

Communication, from Mayor, transmitting communication from Mayor of San Bernardino relative to annual convention of League of California Municipalities and asking support in bringing it to San Bernardino next year.

Clerk to acknowledge and communication referred to Supervisor Shannon, chairman of the delegation.

Leave of Absence, Supervisor F. R. Havenner.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

San Francisco, Cal.,
September 12, 1927.

Hon. Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen:

Application has been made to me by Hon. Franck R. Havenner, member of your Board, for leave of absence, with permission to leave the State of California for a period of thirty days, commencing this day.

I hereby request that you concur with me in granting said leave of absence.

Yours very truly,
JAMES ROLPH, JR.,
Mayor.

Whereupon, the following resolution was presented and *adopted*:

Resolution No. 27792 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Hon. Franck R. Havenner, member of the Board of Supervisors, be and he is hereby granted a leave of absence of thirty days from September 12, 1927, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—14.

Absent — Supervisors Byington, Deasy, Harrelson, Marks—4.

Opinion of City Attorney That Board of Supervisors Should Levy Tax for Amount Required by Board of Education Budget.

The following was presented, read

and ordered *spread in the record*:

September 12, 1927.

Hon. Board of Supervisors, City Hall, City.

Dear Sirs:

I am in receipt of the following telegram from the Clerk of the Supreme Court, which court is now in session at Los Angeles:

"Applications of Griffin and Jackson for mandate are denied, opinion by Justice Langdon, all concurring except Justice Preston, who dissents. In the matter of the application of Esberg, it is ordered that the peremptory writ issue as prayed for, opinion by Justice Shenk, all concurring. Opinions will be transmitted by mail at once to my San Francisco office, please notify that office and advise the newspapers.

B. GRANT TAYLOR,
Clerk of the Supreme Court."

The application of Griffin, referred to in the telegram, was the application against the Auditor to compel the audit of the demand of Griffin at the salary fixed by the Board of Election Commissioners.

The application of Jackson was the action brought by the Election Commissioners against the Board of Supervisors to compel an increased levy of taxes to meet the amount of the budget as submitted by the Election Commission. The effect of the decision of the court in this matter is that the Board of Supervisors need not levy any additional tax to meet the demands of the Election Commission.

The matter of the application of Esberg is the application of the Board of Education to compel the Board of Supervisors to levy a tax which will produce the amount demanded in the budget filed by that Board.

Thus far the opinions of the court have not been transmitted, but in view of the fact that the clerk has advised me that the writ has been issued as prayed for, I take it that this writ directs the Board to levy such a tax as will produce the total amount asked for by the Board of Education in the respective budgets which they have filed with your Board.

In view of the issuance of this writ I believe that it is the duty of your Board to levy a tax which will produce the total amount asked for by the Board of Education in their budgets as filed with you on August 13, 1927.

Respectfully,

JNO. J. O'TOOLE,
City Attorney.

PRESENTATION OF PROPOSALS.

Sealed proposals were received and opened at the hour of 11 a. m. for furnishing underground cable for the Department of Electricity and *referred to the Supplies Committee.*

SET-BACK LINE HEARINGS—11 A. M.

Hearing of objections to the establishment of set-back lines along portions of Fifteenth, Twentieth, Twenty-fourth and Twenty-fifth avenues.

No objection being offered, the following bill was *passed for printing*:

Bill No. 8192, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Establishing set-back lines along portions of Fifteenth, Twentieth, Twenty-fourth and Twenty-fifth avenues.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby recited that on the 8th day of August, 1927, the Board of Supervisors adopted Resolution of Intention No. 150, to establish set-back lines along portions of Fifteenth, Twentieth, Twenty-fourth and Twenty-fifth avenues, and fixed the 12th day of September, 1927, at 11 o'clock a. m., at the chambers of the Board of Supervisors as the time and place for hearing objections thereto; that thereafter and more than 10 days prior to said hearing the said resolution was published and notices of the passage of said resolution were posted along the line of said streets, in time, form and manner required by Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series); that said hearing was held at the time and place aforesaid, and that all objections made at said hearing have been properly overruled.

Section 2. Pursuant to the foregoing recitals and in accordance with the provisions of said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), set-back lines are hereby established as follows:

Along the easterly side of Fifteenth avenue, commencing at Ulloa street and running thence northerly to a point 132 feet 6 inches southerly from Taraval street, said set-back line to be 2½ feet.

Along the westerly side of Twentieth avenue, commencing at a point 50 feet northerly from Noriega street and running thence northerly to Moraga street, said set-back line to 12 feet; along the easterly side of Twentieth avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet north-

erly from Noriega street and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly to Moraga street, said set-back line to be 9 feet.

Along the westerly side of Twenty-fourth avenue, commencing at Moraga street and running thence northerly 450 feet, said set-back line to be 9 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; along the easterly side of Twenty-fourth avenue, commencing at a point 25 feet northerly from Moraga street and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 2 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 4 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 400 feet, said set-back line to be 8 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 4 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 2 feet.

Along both sides of Twenty-fifth avenue, commencing at Moraga street and running thence northerly 450 feet, said set-back lines to be 9 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 3 feet.

As shown on the maps filed in the office of the Board of Supervisors and to which reference is hereby made for further particulars.

Section 3. No structures shall be erected in the area between said set-back lines and the street lines except as provided in said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series) and the penalties for the violation of this ordinance shall be as fixed by the ordinance aforesaid.

Hearing of objections to the establishment of set-back lines along portions of Twenty-fourth, Twenty-fifth, Twenty-sixth, Twenty-seventh and Twenty-eighth avenues.

No objection being offered, the following bill was *passed for printing*:

Bill No. 8193, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Establishing set-back lines along portions of Twenty-fourth, Twenty-fifth, Twenty-sixth, Twenty-seventh and Twenty-eighth avenues.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby recited that on the 8th day of August, 1927, the Board of Supervisors adopted Resolution of Intention No. 149, to establish set-back lines along Twenty-fourth, Twenty-fifth, Twenty-sixth, Twenty-seventh and Twenty-eighth avenues, and fixed the 12th day of September, 1927, at 11 o'clock a. m., at the chambers of the Board of Supervisors as the time and place for hearing objections thereto; that thereafter and more than 10 days prior to said hearing the said resolution was published and notices of the passage of said resolution were posted along the line of said streets, in time, form and manner required by Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series); that said hearing was held at the time and place aforesaid, and that all objections made at said hearing have been properly overruled.

Section 2. Pursuant to the foregoing recitals and in accordance with the provisions of said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), set-back lines are hereby established as follows:

Along both sides of Twenty-fourth avenue, commencing at points 93 feet northerly from Noriega street and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 6 feet; thence northerly to Moraga street, said set-back lines to be 9 feet.

Along both sides of Twenty-fifth avenue, commencing at points 93 feet northerly from Noriega street and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 6 feet; thence northerly to Moraga street, said set-back lines to be 9 feet.

Along both sides of Twenty-sixth avenue, commencing at points 93 feet northerly from Noriega street and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 6 feet; thence northerly to Moraga street, said set-back lines to be 9 feet.

Along both sides of Twenty-seventh avenue, commencing at points 93 feet northerly from Noriega street and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 6 feet; thence northerly to Moraga street, said set-back lines to be 9 feet.

Along the westerly side of Twenty-eighth avenue, commencing at a point 93 feet northerly from Noriega street and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line

to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 300 feet, said set-back line to be 9 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; along the easterly side of Twenty-eighth avenue, commencing at a point 93 feet northerly from Noriega street and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly to Moraga street, said set-back line to be 9 feet.

As shown on the maps filed in the office of the Board of Supervisors and to which reference is hereby made for further particulars.

Section 3. No structures shall be erected in the area between said set-back lines and the stret lines except as provided in said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), and the penalties for the violation of this ordinance shall be as fixed by the ordinance aforesaid.

Hearing of objections to the establishment of set-back lines along portions of Forty-fifth, Forty-sixth and Forty-seventh avenues.

No objection being offered, the following bill was *passed for printing*:

Bill No. 8194, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Establishing set-back lines along portions of Forty-fifth avenue, Forty-sixth avenue and Forty-seventh avenue.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby recited that on the 25th day of July, 1927, the Board of Supervisors adopted Resolution of Intention No. 143 to establish set-back lines along portions of Forty-fifth avenue, Forty-sixth avenue and Forty-seventh avenue, and fixed the 29th day of August, 1927, at 11 o'clock a. m., at the chambers of the Board of Supervisors, as the time and place for hearing objections thereto; that thereafter and more than 10 days prior to said hearing the said resolution was published and notices of the passage of said resolution were posted along the line of said streets in time, form and manner required by Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series); that said hearing was held at the time and place aforesaid, and that all objections made at said hearing have been properly overruled.

Section 2. Pursuant to the foregoing recitals and in accordance with the provisions of said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), set-back lines are hereby established as follows:

Along both sides of Forty-fifth avenue, commencing at points 100 feet northerly from Taraval street and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 6 feet; thence northerly 300 feet, said set-back lines to be 9 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 3 feet.

Along both sides of Forty-sixth avenue, commencing at points 100 feet northerly from Taraval street and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 6 feet; thence northerly 300 feet, said set-back lines to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 3 feet.

Along the westerly side of Forty-seventh avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Taraval street and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly to Santiago street, said set-back line to be 9 feet; along the easterly side of Forty-seventh avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Taraval street and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 300 feet, said set-back line to be 9 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet..

Along the westerly side of Forty-sixth avenue, commencing at a point 300 feet northerly from Fulton street and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 10 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 175 feet, said set-back line to be 4 feet.

As shown on the maps filed in the office of the Board of Supervisors and to which reference is hereby made for further particulars.

Section 3. No structures shall be erected in the area between said set-back lines and the street lines except as provided in said Ordinace No. 5636 (New Series), and the penalties for the violation of

this ordinance shall be as fixed by the ordinance aforesaid.

Hearing of objections to the establishment of set-back lines along portions of Pacheco street, Fourteenth, Fifteenth, Sixteenth and Thirty-ninth avenues.

No objection being offered, the following bill was *passed for printing*:

Bill No. 8195, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Establishing set-back lines along portions of Pacheco street, Fourteenth avenue, Fifteenth avenue, Sixteenth avenue and Thirty-ninth avenue.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby recited that on the 25th day of July, 1927, the Board of Supervisors adopted Resolution of Intention No. 141 to establish set-back lines along portions of Pacheco street, Fourteenth avenue, Fifteenth avenue, Sixteenth avenue and Thirty-ninth avenue, and fixed the 29th day of August, 1927, at 11 o'clock a. m., at the chambers of the Board of Supervisors as the time and place for hearing objections thereto; that thereafter and more than 10 days prior to said hearing the said resolution was published and notices of the passage of said resolution were posted along the line of said streets in time, form and manner required by Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series); that said hearing was held at the time and place aforesaid, and that all objections made at said hearing have been properly overruled.

Section 2. Pursuant to the foregoing recitals and in accordance with the provisions of said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), set-back lines are hereby established as follows:

Along the southwesterly side of Pacheco street, commencing at Aerial way and running thence northwesterly 231.644 feet, said set-back line to be 10 feet; along the northeasterly side of Pacheco street, commencing at Aerial way and running thence northwesterly to Cascade walk, said set-back line to be 10 feet.

Along the westerly side of Fourteenth avenue between Ortega street and Mount Lane, said set-back line to be 10 feet; along the easterly side of Fourteenth avenue between Aerial way and Ortega street, said set-back line to be 10 feet.

Along the westerly side of Fifteenth avenue and the southwesterly side of Noriega street, commencing at Ortega street and running thence northerly and northwesterly to Sixteenth avenue, said set-back line to be 10 feet; along the easterly side of Fifteenth avenue between Ortega street and Mount Lane, said set-back line to be 10 feet.

Along the westerly side of Sixteenth avenue between Noriega street and Moraga street, said set-back line to be 10 feet.

Along both sides of Thirty-ninth avenue, commencing at points 50 feet northerly from Kirkham street and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 2 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 4 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 6 feet; thence northerly 300 feet, said set-back lines to be 8 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 4 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 2 feet.

As shown on the maps filed in the office of the Board of Supervisors and to which reference is hereby made for further particulars.

Section 3. No structures shall be erected in the area between said set-lback lines and the street lines except as provided in said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), and the penalties for the violation of this ordinance shall be as fixed by the ordinance aforesaid.

Hearing of objections to the establishment of set-back lines along portions of Noriega and Ortega streets.

No objection being offered, the following bill was *passed for printing*:

Bill No. 8196, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Establishing set-back lines along portions of Noriega and Ortega streets.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby recited that on the 5th day of July, 1927, the Board of Supervisors adopted Resolution of Intention No. 133, to establish set-back lines along Noriega and Orega streets, and fixed the 1st day of August, 1927, at 11 o'clock a. m., at the chambers of the Board of Supervisors as the time and place for hearing objections thereto; that thereafter and more than 10 days prior to said hearing the said resolution was published and notices of the passage of said resolution were posted

along the line of said streets, in time, form and manner required by Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series); that said hearing was held at the time and place aforesaid, and that all objections made at said hearing have been properly overruled.

Section 2. Pursuant to the foregoing recitals and in accordance with the provisions of said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), set-back lines are hereby established as follows:

Along the southwesterly side of Noriega street between Eleventh avenue and Grant way, said set-back line to be 10 feet.

Along the northerly side of Noriega street, commencing at a point 95.618 feet westerly from the point formed by the intersection of the westerly line of Twelfth avenue if produced southerly with the northerly line of Noriega street if produced easterly, and running thence to Funston avenue, said set-back line to be 10 feet; along the northerly line of Noriega street, commencing at Funston avenue and running thence westerly along the northerly line of Noriega street and northerly along the easterly line of Fourteenth avenue to Moraga street, said set-back line of be 10 feet; along the southerly side of Noriega street between Grant way and Fourteenth avenue, said set-back line to be 10 feet.

Along the southwesterly side of Ortega street between Eleventh avenue and Cascade walk, said set-back line to be 10 feet; along the northeasterly side of Ortega street between Eleventh avenue and Grant way, said set-back line to be 10 feet.

Along the southerly side of Ortega street between Cascade walk and Fourteenth avenue, said set-back line to be 10 feet; along the northerly side of Ortega street between Grant way and Fourteenth avenue, said set-back line to be 10 feet.

As shown on the maps filed in the office of the Board of Supervisors and to which reference is hereby made for further particulars.

Section 3. No structures shall be erected in the area between said set-back lines and the street lines except as provided in said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), and the penalties for the violation of this ordinance shall be as fixed by the ordinance aforesaid.

UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

None.

NEW BUSINESS.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Authorizations.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

Park Fund.

(1) J. P. Holland, Inc., rock furnished Golden Gate Park (claim dated Sept. 8, 1927), $1,057.50.

(2) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., gas and electric service for parks (claim dated Sept. 8, 1927), $4,851.86.

(3) Spring Valley Water Co., water service for parks (claim dated Sept. 8, 1927), $4,823.17.

(4) State Compensation Insurance Fund, premium on compensation insurance of employees (claim dated Sept. 8, 1927), $523.45.

(5) Vinnie H. Schwerin (wife of Edward H. Schwerin), payment for Lot 27 in Block 18, Crocker Amazon Tract, with pump and appurtenances, required for park purposes (claim dated Sept. 8, 1927), $6,000.

Auditorium Fund.

(6) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., gas and electric service furnished Civic Auditorium (claim dated Sept. 1, 1927), $665.

Sunset Tunnel Assessment Fund.

(7) Leonard F. Youdall and R. G. Clifford, fifteenth pament, construction of tunnel and appurtenances under Buena Vista Park (claim dated Sept. 7, 1927), $71,068.50.

Special School Tax.

(8) Knittle Bros., final pament, mechanical equipment for addition to Madison School (claim dated Sept. 7, 1927), $2,917.55.

County Road Fund.

(9) James M. Smith, final payment, improvement of Castro street, Twenty-ninth to Thirtieth streets (claim dated Sept. 7, 1927), $618.90.

(10) Schultz Construction Co., second payment, improvement of Grand View avenue, etc. (claim dated Sept. 7, 1927), $12,000.

Municipal Railway Fund.

(11) Harry Saunders, first payment, compensation insurance (claim dated Aug. 31, 1927), $532.65.

(12) Westinghouse Electric & Mfg. Co., electric railway equip-

ment (claim dated Sept. 7, 1927), $554.25.

(13) San Francisco City Employees Retirement System, for account of pensions, etc., for Municipal Railway employees (claim dated Sept. 2, 1927), $503.03.

Municipal Railway Depreciation Fund.

(14) Robert W. Jamison, first payment, furnishing and installing concrete poles for Sunset line of Municipal Railways (claim dated Sept. 6, 1927), $9,750.

Hetch Hetchy Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1925.

(15) John T. Brooks, stove wood (claim dated Sept. 2, 1927), $1,400.

(16) Garfield & Co., one 6-ton Plymouth locomotive (claim dated Sept. 2, 1927), $2,950.

(17) Hales & Symons, Inc., lumber (claim dated Sept. 2, 1927), $1,673.43.

(18) Ingersoll-Rand Co. of California, machinery parts (claim dated Sept. 2, 1927), $571.56.

(19) J. F. Mitchell Machine & Gear Works, machinery parts (claim dated Sept. 2, 1927), $551.80.

(20) Santa Cruz Portland Cement Co., cement (claim dated Sept. 2, 1927), $1,214.50.

(21) Standard Oil Co., gasoline (claim dated Sept. 2, 1927), $610.32.

(22) Western Pipe & Steel Co., air pipe (claim dated Sept. 2, 1927), $1,068.

School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923.

(23) John Reid, Jr., tenth payment, architectural services for Mission (Everett) Junior High School (claim dated Sept. 7, 1927), $1,161.16.

(24) R. Brandlein & Co., tables for the Alvarado School (claim dated Sept. 6, 1927), $760.32.

General Fund, 1926-1927.

(25) Latourette-Fical Co., third payment for lighting of hangar and field, etc., Municipal Airport (claim dated Sept. 6, 1927), $2,275.

General Fund, 1927-1928.

(26) Remington-Rand Business Service, Inc., filing equipment, Board of Supervisors (claim dated Sept. 12, 1927), $632.80.

(27) California Rock Co., gravel for street repair (claim dated Sept. 6, 1927), $1,853.13.

(28) Eclipse Lime & Cement Co., cement for street repair (claim dated Sept. 6, 1927), $3,598.88.

(29) Eclipse Lime & Cement Co., cement for street repair (claim dated Sept. 6, 1927), $1,680.20.

(30) Equitable Asphalt Maintenance Co., asphalt street resurfacing during July (claim dated Sept. 6, 1927), $708.

(31) The Spring Valley Water Co., water service for street repair (claim dated Sept. 6, 1927), $1,292.04.

(32) Western Rock Products Co., sand for street repair (claim dated Sept. 6, 1927), $2,124.64.

(33) The Spring Valley Water Company, water for public buildings (claim dated Sept. 6, 1927), $1,866.14.

(34) James Rolph, Jr., September incidentals, Mayor's office (claim dated Sept. 12, 1927), $523.75.

(35) The Recorder Printing & Publishing Company, printing and publishing the Law and Motion and Trial Calendars (claim dated Sept. 12, 1927), $1,075.

(36) San Francisco Society for the Prevention of Cruelty to Animals, impounding, feeding, etc., of animals (claim dated Sept. 12, 1927), $1,250.

(37) The White Company, one White auto truck for Health Department (claim dated Sept. 8, 1927), $2,400.

(38) J. H. Zemansky, postage stamps for sample ballots (claim dated Sept. 8, 1927), $7,200.

Action Deferred.

The following resolution was *laid over one week*:

Transfer of Municipal Railway Funds.

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $42,508.21 be and is hereby ordered transferred from the Twin Peaks Ridge Tunnel Assessment Fund to the Municipal Railway Fund and the Board of Public Works, Tax Collector, Auditor and Treasurer are hereby directed to make such entries in their official records and books of account as will correctly show such transfer; said transfer is for the purpose of reimbursing said Municipal Railway Fund for moneys advanced therefrom and used in the construction of the Twin Peaks Tunnel project.

Passed for Printing.

The following bill was *passed for printing*:

Amending Zoning Ordinance, Brighton Avenue.

On motion of Supervisor Hayden:

Bill No. 8197, Ordinance No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings, and the locations of buildings designed for specific uses, and estab-

lishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Section 13 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place the westerly side of Brighton avenue, commencing at a point 323 feet 4 inches southerly from Ocean avenue and running thence southerly 50 feet, in the Commercial District instead of the First Residential District.

Action Deferred.

The following matter was *laid over one week*:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), Eighteenth Street and Potrero Avenue.

Bill No. ——, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings, and the locations of buildings designed for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Section 9 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place the southwest corner of Eighteenth street and Potrero avenue, for a distance of 100 feet on Eighteenth street and a distance of 100 feet on Potrero avenue, in the Light Industrial District instead of the Second Residential District.

Location of Fairfax Wheelan Drinking Fountain in Golden Gate Park.

Supervisor Hayden presented:

Resolution No. 27784 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Park Commission be respectfully requested to find a location in the Children's Playground in Golden Gate Park for a drinking fountain in memory of the late Fairfax Wheelan, founder of the Homeless Children Agency of the Native Sons and Daughters of the Golden West.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Hayden, Kent, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Harrelson, Havenner, McSheehy—3.

Passed for Printing.

the following matters were *passed for printing*:

Oil Permits.

On motion of Supervisor Deasy:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits be and the same are hereby granted:

Oil Tanks.

Max Breitman, 1287 Twelfth avenue, 750 gallons capacity.

T. J. Draffin, northeast corner Balboa street and Thirty-fourth avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

J. D. Hannah, west line Capp street, 125 feet south of Twenty-first street, 1500 gallons capacity.

J. D. Hannah, west line of Capp street, 150 feet south of Twenty-first street, 1500 gallons capacity.

F. Harnett, south side of Sutter street, 150 feet east of Fillmore street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Daniel Hayes, northeast corner of Scott and Fulton streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

W. H. Hutton, 55 Polk street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Joseph F. Kirschling, south line of California street, 58 feet west of Parker avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

E. V. Lavey, south side of Geary street, 140 feet west of Larkin street, 1500 gallons capacity.

E. Lindberg, north side of Francisco street, 75 feet west of Larkin street, 750 gallons capacity.

R. E. Sbarboro, south side of Jackson street, 171 feet west of Laurel street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Thos. R. Smith, 38 McLaren avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

M. Stulsaft Investment Company, 835 Bush street, 1500 gallons capacity.

E. Trainor, north side of Fulton street, 119 feet west of Third avenue, 750 gallons capacity.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits shall become null and void.

Transfer of Garage Permit.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Alvin Liebes be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred

public garage permit heretofore granted Smith & Tembey by Resolution No. 25489 (New Series) for premises at 640 O'Farrell street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Accepting Offer of California Pacific Title and Trust Company to Sell Land Required for John McLaren Park.

Supervisor Powers presented:

Resolution No. 27785 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, an offer has been received from California Pacific Title and Trust Company to sell to the City and County of San Francisco certain land required for Park purposes (John McLaren Park); and

Whereas, the price at which said parcels of land are offered is the reasonable value thereof; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the offer of the said owner to convey to the City and County of San Francisco a good and sufficient fee simple title to the following described land, free of all encumbrances, for the sum of $14,568.60, be and the same is hereby accepted, the said land being described as follows, to-wit:

Parcel 1. Lot No. 4 in Block No. 37, as per a certain map marked "Official Map of the Sunnyvale Homestead Association," surveyed May, 1871, by Vitus Wackenreuder, approved and adopted at a meeting of the Board of Trustees held June 7, 1871, which said map is on file in the office of the County Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, in Book "D" of Maps, and recorded in said Recorder's office September 20, 1876, in Map Book No. 1, pages 163 and 164.

Parcel 2. Lots Nos. 7 and 8 in Block No. 13 of Reis Tract, as per map recorded May 19, 1904, in Liber 1 of Maps, at pages 241 and 242, records of the office of the County Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and the boundaries of said tract are fully described in a grant by Ferdinand Reis to Julius C. Reis, dated May 17, 1904, and recorded May 19, 1904, in Liber 2053 of Deeds, page 264.

Parcel 3. Lot No. 55 in Block No. 13, Reis Tract, as per map recorded May 19, 1904, in Liber 1 of Maps, pages 241 and 242, records of the County Recorder's office of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California.

Parcel 4. Lots Nos. 5 and 6 in Block No. 13 of Reis Tract, as per map recorded May 19, 1904, in Liber 1 of Maps, pages 241 and 242, records of the office of the County Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California.

Parcel 5. Beginning at a point on the northwesterly line of Bacon street, distant thereon 60 feet northeasterly from the northeasterly line of Oxford street, and running thence northeasterly along said line of Bacon street 60 feet; thence at a right angle northwesterly 100 feet; thence at a right angle southwesterly 60 feet, and thence at a right angle southeasterly 100 feet to the point of beginning. Being a portion of Lot 5 in Block 93, University Mound, as per map filed May 1, 1863, in Book "C" and "D" of Maps, page 59, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California.

Parcel 6. Beginning at the point of intersection of the southwesterly line of Ion street and the southeasterly line of Wayland street, and running thence southeasterly along said southwesterly line of Ion street 400 feet to the northwesterly line of Woolsey street; thence at a right angle southwesterly along the said northwesterly line of Woolsey street 240 feet to the northeasterly line of Buell street; thence at a right angle northwesterly along the said northeasterly line of Buell street 400 feet to the southeasterly line of Wayland street; thence at a right angle northeasterly along the said southeasterly line of Wayland street 240 feet to the point of beginning. Being Lots 1 to 8, inclusive, in Block No. 170 of University Extension Homestead Association, as per map thereof filed January 30, 1871, in Book 2 "A" and "B" of Maps, page 139, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California.

Parcel 7. Beginning at a point on the southwesterly line of Buell (formerly Grant) street, distant thereon 200 feet southeasterly from the southeasterly line of Woolsey street, and running thence southeasterly along the said southwesterly line of Buell street 100 feet; thence at a right angle southwesterly 240 feet to the northeasterly line of Saratoga street; thence at a right angle northwesterly along said northeasterly line of Saratoga street 100 feet; thence at a right angle northeasterly 240 feet to the point of beginning. Being Lots 3 and 6 in Block 175 of University

Extension Homestead Association, as per map thereof filed January 30, 1871, in Book 2 "A" and "B" of Maps, page 139, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California.

Parcel 8. Beginning at the point of intersection of the northeasterly line of Buell street (formerly Grant street) and the southeasterly line of Woolsey street, and running thence southeasterly along the said northeasterly line of Buell street 300 feet; thence at a right angle northeasterly 240 feet to the southwesterly line of Ion street; thence at a right angle northwesterly along the said southwesterly line of Ion street 300 feet to the southeasterly line of Woolsey street; thence at a right angle southwesterly along the said southeasterly line of Woolsey street 240 feet to the point of beginning. Being Lots 1, 2, 3, 6, 7 and 8, in Block 176 of University Extension Homestead Association, as per map thereof filed January 30, 1871, in Book 2 "A" and "B", page 139, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California.

The City Attorney is hereby directed to examine the title to said lands, and, if the same are found to be vested in the aforesaid owner, free of all encumbrances, and that the taxes up to and including the current fiscal year are paid, and that the so-called McEnerney title has been procured or sufficient money reserved for the purpose of procuring the same, to report the result of his examination to the Board of Supervisors, and also to cause a good and sufficient deed for said land to be executed and delivered to the City and County upon payment of the agreed purchase price as aforesaid. And the said deed to said land is hereby accepted.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Hayden, Kent, Marks, Powerts, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Harrelson, Havenner, McSheehy—3.

Action Deferred.

The following matter was *laid over two weeks*:

Supervisor Badaracco Appointed Director of Joint Highway District.

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, pursuant to proceedings heretofore taken by this Board of Supervisors in the matter of the creation of a joint highway district composed of the counties of San Francisco, San Mateo and Santa Cruz, Supervisor John B. Badaracco is hereby named and appointed as director of said proposed joint highway district to represent the City and County of San Francisco.

Award of Contract, Union Machine Company.

Supervisor Badaracco presented:

Resolution No. 27786 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That a second extension of time is hereby granted, upon recommendation of the Board of Fire Commissioners, to Union Machine Company upon contract awarded by Resolution No. 26769 (New Series), approved February 11, 1927 (Proposal No. 270), for furnishing two water towers and monitor batteries complete; said second extension of time being for thirty calendar days from August 31, 1927.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Hayden, Kent, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Harrelson, Havenner, McSheehy—3.

Award of Contract, Filing Equipment, School Department.

Supervisor Badaracco presented:

Resolution No. 27787 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of contract be hereby made to H. S. Crocker Co., Inc., on bid submitted September 6, 1927 (Quotation No. 1084), for furnishing visible filing equipment for School Department, as follows, viz.:

(1) Lot of visible card cabinets and 200,000 filing cards for the sum of $16,698.80.

(2) Lot of 200,000 extra filing cards for the sum of $1,350.

Resolved, That a bond in the sum of $4,000 be required for the faithful performance of said contract.

Resolved, That all other bids submitted thereon be rejected.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Hayden, Kent, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Harrelson, Havenner, McSheehy—3.

RECESS.

Whereupon, at 11:30 a. m. the Board took a recess until 2 p. m.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk.

REASSEMBLED—2 P. M.

The Board of Supervisors reassembled at 2 p. m.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—18.

Quorum present.

His Honor Mayor Rolph presiding.

Reception to Wm. Hale Thompson of Chicago.

The Chairman: Gentlemen of the Board and my fellow citiezns, 3 o'clock was the hour set apart by his Honor William Hale Thompson, the Mayor of the second largest city in the United States, and destined to become the largest city in the United States—Chicago—as the time when we might have the opportunity of bidding him welcome here in the official chambers of the City and County of San Francisco.

It has been my pleasure on two different occasions in the past to be seated alongside of his Honor, the Mayor of Chicago, in the legislative chambers of the Council of the City of Chicago. We feel highly honored today that he should be seated here alongside of the Mayor of this City here in the City of San Francisco. We have arranged a program. The members of the Board will say a few words, and we are here to welcome him as the guest of the City. This is not his first visit to San Francisco. I have met him three times here in San Francisco since I have been Mayor: first:, during the World Exposition of 1915, when he came to dedicate the Illinois Building and the large exhibit of the City of Chicago, and on that particular day when he arrived in the morning he received word of the capsizing of the ferry steamer "Eastland" with 1700 children and picnickers aboard, 830 odd losing their lives in that disaster, and while I assisted in his welcome to San Francisco in the morning, the exercises were postponed on that day and he left that evening to return to Chicago to take up the work of sorrow that was caused by that sad accident. He came later to San Francisco and participated in the dedication of the Illinois Building and the Chicago exhibit therein, and he has been here on another occasion. Many of you, my fellow colleagues, have visited him in the legislative chambers of the City of Chicago. We have always received a warm handshake from this man, one of the best known officials in the United States.

He is here with us now for but a day. He has come here to tell us about flood control of the Mississippi River district. He has come here to tell us about the horrors of that disaster, to which you generously contributed and our fellow citizens contributed away beyond our quota. He has come here to tell us about America first, to instill, inculcate and develop to the highest degree America and America's problems to be solved by Americans.

He is one of the outstanding figures in the United States, and we are proud that he should have chosen San Francisco as one of the cities that he has in his itinerary to visit, and we welcome him to this, our City Hall. (Applause.)

Mr. Mayor, you delivered a wonderful address today before the business men of this community. Your words were carried through the microphone and over the air to all who were listening in on the radio. It was a great message, and you will hear today from the Supervisors that were there, as well as myself, that it was a message that we were very glad to receive.

You have not been in this City Hall before. It is practically a new building. I like to tell people in our modest way that its dome is 37 feet loftier than the dome of the Capitol at Washington. (Applause.) It is a building that we take pride in. It is an American building, and when its dome rises 37 feet loftier than the nation's Capitol Building at Washington, it is America first with us.

I welcome you here in the name of the people of this City. I welcome you here upon the invitation of the Board of Supervisors, hoping that you would visit us and do us that honor. I welcome the members of your party that are with us today, the Honorable William H. Lorrimer, a former United States Senator from Illinois. (Applause.) I welcome Mr. W. H. Stuart, representative of the Chicago American. (Applause.) I welcome Mr. Robert E. Crowe, the states attorney of

Cook County, Illinois (applause); these gentlemen are all well known to you, my fellow citizens and colleagues. I welcome Mr. Charles S. Burres, a member of the executive committee, Flood Control Conference (applause). Mr. Burres is associated with Mr. William B. Joyce, chairman of the National Surety Company, the largest in all the world, who are represented here in San Francisco and which company bears a very fine name in this community. I introduce and welcome the Honorable Thomas H. Curran, chairman of the Appropriations Committee of the Illinois House of Representatives. (Applause.) He occupies the same position in the House of Representatives as the Honorable James B. McSheehy here does as Chairman of the Finance Committee of this Board (applause). I welcome Mr. James Dougherty, representing the Chicago Tribune (applause); I welcome four of the finest of Chicago's Police Department, its department quartet (applause); I welcome Mr. William Swissler, Chicago attorney (applause); I welcome Mr. Harry Ash, Assistant Attorney-General (applause). Have I left anyone out? Is the representaitve of the Chicago Daily News present? Mr. Roach. (Applause.) He just arrived today, just left President Coolidge up in the Black Hills of South Dakota, and while the Daily News has been very hostile to Mayor Thompson in the past, they have become very friendly to him now and are now giving him great support. The Daily News in Chicago might be like the Daily News in San Francisco (laughter). These are the representatives of the press in San Francisco, Mayor Thompson. (Applause.)

Now, before we go on to any speech-making I would like to call upon our orchestra to play, "Chicago, the Land of the Free, and the Home of the Brave." (Orchestral selection.) Our next number on the program will be "America First," by the Chicago police quartet.

(Quartet selection followed by encore number.)

Supervisor Gallagher, on behalf of the Board, would you express a welcome for the Board of Supervisors?

Supervisor Gallagher: Your Honor, Mayor Thompson, gentlemen of Mayor Thompson's party, my colleagues and my fellow citizens: I did not expect to be called upon to express a welcome to his Honor the Mayor this day because I knew that in your good self might well be left the doing of that pleasant task, but Mayor Thompson and his party are welcome to San Francisco in more ways than the ordinary welcomes are extended. The man who adopts as his slogan for the people of this country to look up to and to follow, a slogan of "America first," surely deserves a welcome wherever Americans assemble.

Between the City of Chicago and the City of San Francisco there has always existed—for no particular reason, unless it be that they are very largely our own kind of people—a very warm feeling of friendship. I know of no time when San Francisco may have been battling for what it thought was its rights, and California too, in the halls of Congress and the Senate but that when asked Chicago gave a favorable answer to our needs and a response that stood behind that answer that meant something.

I read somewhere very recently that in the fight somewhere on the Boulder Canyon dam project in the interest, I believe, of the State of California—and I am a little bit cloudy on the facts for I just happened to read it—the Honorable Mayor of Chicago saw fit to send or to bring, as I recollect the story, a train load of people to the defense and in behalf of the State of California to that fight. I recollect that when we were fighting in the halls of Congress for the right to hold the International Exposition the help. Recently a Congressman from Chicago, from Cook County I believe it is, Congressman Britton, formerly a resident of California and of San Francisco, made it known in the press of the country that in our fight to overrule, if we must, the dictum of the Secretary of the Navy that we could not have a transbay bridge where we desired that it should be placed, without solicitation directly on our part—probably due to the fact that he was an old Californian and probably due to that Chicago spirit which embraces most of the things that are good and most of the things that are healthful to the people of this country—Congressman Britton announced that he then and there enlisted himself in our fight to obtain from Congress and the Senate the right to construct a bridge where we, the people of San Francisco and the Bay communities felt that it should be constructed. So that many more instances of the kind, and during the Exposition of 1915, are matters in which the people of Illinois, and particularly the people of Chicago, evince their interest in

our well being, and in our Exposition by placing therein exhibits that were worthy of any state of this nation and of any city in the country.

After all, Mr. Mayor, both Mayors and gentlemen, we are just people. We are just a great family that stretches clear across this nation four ways. There are a great many differences, of course, between us as communities, but after all we are just, as I like to express it "folks," and I like to regard the people of Chicago as just plain folks.

There is another landmark in the progress of this Mayor of Chicago that has not been unnoticed by the great many thinking people throughout this country, and I have no doubt in parts of the world. Every once in a while in every community in this country there rears its head that vicious thing, that dangerous thing that is called religious bigotry. It is a thing that makes itself known at times, generally speaking, when elections are on or when issues are up and where it has no place and where men who ordinarily in everyday life agree on every other problem. Then this thing called religious bigotry rears its head and makes itself felt. Happily in most instances it is dissipated by defeat, but still it is always present.

There are a great many Americans, Mayor Thompson of Chicago, who are not a part of your community, who are not natives of your state or of your splendid city, who have not overlooked the fact that you raised your strong voice and your strong arm in the City of Chicago and in other places against the monster of religious bigotry, and I am thankful to God you were able to beat it to the dust, and I hope as long as you remain Mayor of Chicago it will stay where you put it in the last election. (Applause.)

For a Mayor of a city to take it upon himself to visit the cities of the country and call attention, with the strength that is with him both mentally, physically and as the representative of a great constituency, for him to travel throughout this great country with the mission that brings Mayor Thompson, is an undertaking worthy of any man's time and worthy of the praise of Americans throughout the country.

Mayor Thompson is calling the attention of this United States of America to the fact that because of our national neglect or because of our national short-sightedness, if I may say it, peoples in communities that are removed from us but who can suffer just as we can suffer and as we did suffer, people who have the right to life, liberty and happiness and the support of the government for those things, are made to suffer, as he thinks, unnecessarily if we but as a nation will see the problem as he sees it and as others have seen it, and will meet the problem and master it, and I congratulate you, sir, upon your mission. I have read of your further program, and it is a very broad one indeed, and we do feel even in this western end of the United States of America glad to know that you have taken the time from what must be very onorous duties in your home city to call the attention of the country to this need.

Personally, and as the son of an Irish father and mother I want now to take this opportunity, as will be taken by others, I am sure, who are more qualified to thank the Mayor of Chicago in better fashion for his consistent fight, for his consistent stand, for his potential influence on behalf of the people of Ireland in their struggle for liberty. What he did has not gone unnoticed and, while you may not meet them, Mayor Thompson, while they may not be able to clasp your hand, because, after all, it is difficult for plain people to reach the mighty, however democratic they are, there are in San Francisco a great many people in whose bodies flows the same stream of blood as flows in mine, who are very grateful to you for that fight, and in my own humble way, as a member of this Board of Supervisors, I express as best I can this appreciation. We have had visiting us many distinguished citizens, we have had the honor of entertaining notables from all over the world. We have had men who have accomplished much, whether it be international, national, in a state sense or locally. I want to assure you, the Mayor of Chicago, that you are as welcome, if not more so, than a great many people we have welcomed, and I want to assure you that our hearts are open; I want to assure your party that San Francisco wants Chicago to know that we as a city are grateful for the help you have extended in the past. I feel satisfied that the people of California are thankful and not unmindful of the aid extended to them, and I trust that when Mayor Thompson returns to his own city, as I hope

he will in good health and strength to enable him to accomplish the tasks that there await him, that he will tell the people of Chicago that out here in the West, in San Francisco, there exists for his people the friendliest possible feeling that can exist between a people of two communities. I thank you very much. (Applause.)

The Chairman: Mr. Mayor, you paid a beautiful tribute today to the pioneers that braved the storms and tempests, and you spoke of your own ancestors leaving Boston, Massachusetts, and coming around Cape Horn in a little brigantine. I feel that I should call upon a native son of California, born in one of our smaller counties, in the little town of Downieville, California, and ask him to make a reply to what I tell him was a beautiful tribute paid by you to the pioneers of this State of California. He is one of the outstanding sons of one of the native sons and native daughters of the Golden West. Supervisor Lewis F. Byington.

Supervisor Byington: Mr. Mayors and fellow citizens, I believe that those who are gathered here came to hear the Mayor of Chicago and not to any great extent the Supervisors or the citizens assembled here.

The words uttered by the Mayor, and I heard them myself, did appeal to all native sons and all citizens of California, because we believe that anybody who responds to the sentiment of the early days of California responds to the sentiment of the most historic state in the Union, because we love to think that brought here by the early Spanish padres who came from Mexico was the great spirit of hospitality, and I don't think that there is any Mayor in this country that displays that spirit of hospitality better than Mayor Rolph who presides over this City. (Applause.)

His heart warms to the people of every land, and no matter where a prominent man comes from, or woman, either, the arms of the Mayor are extended to embrace both the leading men and the leading women of all the nations of the world, and I was particularly interested in the work of the Mayor of Chicago. When it comes to a matter of flood control of the great waters of the Mississippi Valley the people of California ought to stand behind the Mayor of Chicago and ought to stand behind the people of the entire Mississippi Valley. We have a great problem of flood control in this State. The Mayor of Chicago stated that his father, many years ago, entered this greatest harbor in the world—that's true—and one of the most historic harbors in the world, and coming down into that harbor are the waters of two of the great rivers of this country, the Sacramento and the San Joaquin, and there are no more fruitful valleys in all the world than the valleys of the Sacramento and the valleys of the San Joaquin, and they need protection from floods. As to the Sacramento Valley, I myself have seen, standing on the Capitol Building at Sacramento, the floodwaters stretching all the way from the Sierra Nevadas to the Coast Range, and if the power of the federal government had been properly exercised fifty years ago in controlling the waters of the Sacramento Valley then that valley would be ten times as productive as it is today, and I hope that the Mayor of Chicago, Mayor Thompson, heading the delegation for the relief of the Mississippi Valley, will also add his great efforts towards the protection of the valleys of the San Joaquin and Sacramento of this great State of California.

Someone spoke of bridging the bay. I have not any doubt but what if we had the enterprise of the people who have come from Chicago that the bay would be bridged within two years, because bridging the bay connects the markets of Chicago with the markets of this great city standing by the Golden Gate. Everything that brings Chicago and brings New York a little bit closer to the great city of San Francisco ought to have the endorsement of the entire nation. We of California have looked forward for a great many years to the meeting of the waters of Lake Michigan with the waters of the great Mississippi in order that your trade might extend down that great valley and into the Gulf of Mexico and through the Panama Canal, and bring the trade of Chicago a little nearer to the marts of trade here in California.

I echo the spirit that was spoken of by Supervisor Gallagher in regard to America first. We are all Americans and we are all glad to say "America first." I want to see my country first in the respect and love not alone of the people of California and of the United States but great enough to deserve the respect and the love of the nations all around the world. We ought to be an example to the nations of the world of good government and of respect for the law.

I hope that we out here by the Pacific will recall the great enterprise of Chicago, and that when some future Mayor, perhaps the child of the present Mayor, comes here to the Golden Gate that we will have a population around the Bay of San Francisco, the greatest harbor in the world, exceeding the four millions of people of Chicago, and that we can then say to Chicago, "You have our best wishes that you may be the second city in America." Out here overlooking the Pacific Ocean we want San Francisco, historical San Francisco, to be the first city of this great United States of America, so that we can welcome Chicago's Mayor in the future as the Mayor of the second city of the greatest nation of the world. (Applause.)

The Chairman: Mr. Burres, I want you to meet Mr. George Allen, of San Francisco. He is the Pacific Coast representative of the National Surety Company.

Professor Sapiro, would you give us a little music, please.

(Orchestra selection.)

Mr. Mayor, there opens this morning in this city the national convention of the Exchange Clubs of the United States, and the band came from Long Beach, California, with the Exchange Club of Long Beach, and they have come here to give a serenade to San Francisco's Mayor, but I am asking them to give the serenade to you, sir, and the band is coming in to give you that serenade. (Applause.)

(Band plays march, "National Emblem," and as an encore number, "I Love You, California.")

The Chairman: Gentlemen of Long Beach, and you, Mr. Clarke, the leader of the Long Beach Municipal Band, you have very generously thought of coming here to this gathering this afternoon to do honor to the city through its Mayor by serenading him at this time. I know that you are here in our city to participate tonight at the great convention of the Exchange Clubs of the United States. I will bid you a welcome there tonight, but in coming here this afternoon you have come when we have as our special guest of honor His Honor Mayor William Hale Thompson, the Mayor of the great city of Chicago. You have done me the honor of a serenade. Will you now do His Honor Mayor Thompson the honor of a joint serenade with me before I thank you and before he thanks you for this courtesy of yours this afternoon? Can you play "Illinois"?

Mr. Clarke: We have not the music here. How would "Stars and Stripes" go?

The Chairman: Fine. I would like to say that Mr. Clarke was first aide to John Philip Sousa, so he knows his onions.

(Band plays "Stars and Stripes Forever.")

Now, Mr. Clarke, will you and your wonderful band do honor to His Honor the Mayor of Chicago with an encore. Please.

(Band plays encore number.)

A Representative of the Exchange Club: Mr. Mayor from San Francisco and Mr. Mayor of Chicago, it does not look just right, your not being members of the Exchange Club. (Presenting badges to Mayor Thompson and Mayor Rolph.)

The Chairman: Mr. Clarke, the Mayor of Chicago and myself deeply appreciate this honor you have done us both today. The Mayor will speak for himself in just a moment. May I ask your permission and you grant it for the members of your band to remain while Mayor Thompson of Chicago delivers his address? Will you do that?

Mr. Clarke: Surely.

The Chairman: Thank you. Mayor Thompson, Mr. Clarke is a former Chicagoan, and now that I have him seated with the Mayor of Chicago will the members of the band just kindly step into places that you may find along those windows there? Some of you come inside here, and I am going to present you to the Mayor of Chicago now. Will the members of the delegation from Long Beach to the Exchange Club convention also come in?

My colleagues of the Board of Supervisors of San Francisco, gentlemen accompanying His Honor the Mayor of Chicago, my fellow-citizens of San Francisco: May I at this time present to you all our guest of honor, His Honor Mayor William Hale Thompson of Chicago. (Applause.) Your rising at this time, your applause, your actions speak a greater welcome to him than the words which we have expressed today. If it may meet with your approval, Your Honor, and you feel so inclined, I know that every one present who is gathered here today to do honor to you, even thought it may be in a small way, would be very glad and mighty happy to hear a word from you. (Applause.)

Mayor Thompson: Mr. Mayor, gentlemen of the Board and fellow-citizens: I sometimes think that I should not take the necessary time

away from the affairs of Chicago to make trips like this, but every time I come to San Francisco, as well as the other cities, but particularly to your city, and meet your Mayor, I learn enough to make it worth while for all the time I have wasted in the office while I have been gone. As he indicated to you, it was our privilege to have him address our City Council, as we call it, on two different occasions, and the members of the Board of Aldermen as well as the Mayor of Chicago learned something from the wisdom of the words of your great Mayor.

We think we have got a lot of vision at home. We don't think we are afraid, if you will pardon the slang expression, of the cords; that when it comes to civic improvement we have accomplished a lot, but we have not done anything in Chicago like your Mayor or like your Board has done in regard to this wonderful civic center, of which this great building is a part, and although our ideals soar very high we have never had the fortitude to feel that we could go 37 feet higher with our municipal buildings than they did with the dome of the Capitol of the country. (Laughter.) However, congratulate you. Every time I come here I see something new. I see a greater and a better city.

I never knew before that they had such a wonderful band at Long Beach. My coffee has grown cold at the breakfast table on more than one occasion while I was reading the photogravure section of some of the large papers presenting the bathing beauties at Long Beach, and I have always wanted to go to Long Beach (laughter), but since I have heard this band I have got a real excuse to go to see the bathing beauties. Mr. Clarke will be interested to know—and strange as it is—as I watched him in his magnificent leadership of this magnificent band, I said to myself, "How like the great Sousa." When I heard the Sousa tunes played with that same great snap of that great master my mind went back to the thought of how small the world is. It was only last week, Mr. Clarke, at the Minnesota State Fair at Minneapolis, that I heard the great Sousa himself, and now I feel that we have been entertained twice by Sousa, once in Minneapolis and next by his very able representative of Long Beach in San Francisco.

I would like to talk to you about things not serious and what we have in common commercially, and I did tell the business men's organization today, but when the band or the orchestra here played "Dixie," considering the mission that we are on, to help and encourage Uncle Sam to prevent another flood such as the recent one that drove six hundred thousand people from their homes in the Mississippi Valley and caused the loss of a billion dollars, which affects your section proportionately as it does ours, and as it does every city in America, I cannot help having the thought that our little mission, with Bill Thompson, a northern Republican, and his reception in San Francisco, warm as the greeting has been in every city that we have been privileged to visit, there was none just as warm as this, that we are doing more to wipe out the Mason-Dixon line forever than anything that has been done in this country in the last half century. We know no north or south; we know not Democrat, Republicans, Farmer-Laborites; we just know Americans, no matter what blood may flow in their veins or what their mother country may have been, and we are all guided, as I view it, when we are guided properly, by the advice of the fathers by those who framed the Declaration of Independence that made us free, and by those who gave us our Constitution to protect us for all time. (Applause.) Those great patriots and those great Presidents made us the mighty nation that we are today, but I regret to say that there are those amongst us who presume to know more than George Washington, the father of our country, or Thomas Jefferson or the other twenty-six Presidents that followed Washington. They left to us in no uncertain words the course of the ship of state of this great United States of America forever. So I am going to broach seriously on the America first thought and what Bill Thompson's conception of that ideal really is.

There are those who talk about the League of Nations. There are those who talk about the World Court. There are those who talk about the association of nations, and other forms of entanglements of this nation with the affairs of Europe, but George Washington said in his farewell address in words to me inspired by God Almighty, so far into the future did he look: "It shall be for the best interests for all time for this nation to maintain friendly relations with all European nations, but entangling alliances with none." Thomas Jefferson said in his inaugural address: "Peace, commerce and honest friendship with all na-

tions—entangling alliances with none." That was on March 4, 1801. And that great American doctrine has been upheld and subscribed to by twenty-seven Presidents, irrespective of political party. Now, so far as Bill Thompson is concerned, the advice of those twenty-seven great men is a good enough doctrine for me. (Applause.) And because I had the courage to be an American and stand up and say so, the pro-British press of the United States of America has called me about all the names there are in the dictionary, until they ran out, but they can call Bill Thompson what they please and they can print all the falsehoods that they want to. I still believe in George Washington, Thomas Jefferson and Abraham Lincoln, and so will conduct myself. But the propaganda goes on.

Now I want to apologize for what I am going to read. First, that there should be a history in the United States of America that contains these words, and, second, that this history should be used in the University of Chicago, and, thirdly, that there should have been a program arranged within the last four years that in order for the 17,000 public school teachers in Chicago to secure a higher marking and an increased pay they had to pass through a course in which this history was a part of the curriculum. The purpose being what? To install in the minds and the hearts of the school children of today a hatred for those who gave us our freedom and a love for those who would have held us in bondage and if they ever get a chance make us a colony of Great Britain again. This history permits Iowa to share in the disgrace because it was written by a professor at the University of Iowa. The name of it is: "New Viewpoints in American History," by Schlesinger. Page 160, chapter 7, under the caption of the American Revolution, it says: "When the representatives of George the Fifth rendered homage a few years ago at the tomb of the disloyalist and rebel of a former century, George Washington, the minds of many Americans reverted with a sense of bewilderment to the times when the other King George was guiding the destinies of the British nation. The fact is that the average American still accepts without qualification or question the partisan justifications of the struggle for independence which have come down from the actual participants in the affair of the American side." Or, in other words, they criticized the fact that we take our learning from the teachings of Washington and those who made us fee. If we did not respect their memories and their teachings, in God's name whose would we, "These accounts, colored by the emotions and imaginings of the times, and designed to arouse the colonists to a warlike pitch against the British government, have formed the basis of the statements in our school text books and have served to perpetuate the judgment of the American Revolution, which no fair-minded historian can accept today." Or, in other words, they say the histories in the public schools of the nation have got to be changed, and we had that going on in Chicago, and we had a superintendent of schools by the name of McAndrews who took out of the public school histories George Washington's picture, took out the name of Montgomery, Stone, Sullivan and the other Irish gentlemen, took out the name of Kosciuszko, the great Polish general, took out the names of all of those men along with Washington's picture, and filled it up with a lot of British trash to be instilled in the hearts and minds of the school children of Chicago. Just what was the purpose? I think you understand without my going into an explanation. Well, to make a long story short, Bill Thompson made America first a plank of his platform and promised the people, if elected Mayor, George Washington's picture would go back along with all those others, and Mr. McAndrews would go out of the schools. (Applause.) Well, Bill Thompson was elected last year, George Washington is back and Mr. McAndrews is out. (Applause.)

Now you may say that all of that is a local Chicago affair. It would be, my friends, if in Washington, the Capitol of our country, at this time according to our own federal government reports if there were not four hundred organizations or agencies disseminating intrigue and propaganda against our flag and our Constitution. They used to say to me, "Why inject a national issue in a municipal campaign?" I said that my worst political enemies gave me credit while Mayor before of increasing property values in Chicago by half a billion dollars through street widening projects and other municipal improvements. Before I was elected Mayor first we never

had builded in any one year $100,000,000 worth of new buildings. Before I went out, after serving the people eight years, we were building $325,000,000 worth of new buildings in Chicago each year, and I am pleased to report to you that our building permits up to date show that we are going to build $400,000,000 worth of new buildings in Chicago this year. Why should I fight for water ways that we might trade with Frisco and other valley points as well as the markets of the world? For the purpose of doubling our factories and payrolls? Well, there is a propaganda going on in this country to put the international flag above Old Glory; or, in other words, if Chicago is going to prosper, if San Francisco and the other cities and states of this nation and the people thereof are going to prosper, our first duty is to preserve our flag and our rights under the Constitution of the United States. (Applause.) Over in Europe, in many instances, they pay $15 a week for the same kind of labor that we pay $15 a day for over here. Many of them are out of work. Every man responsible for the condition of his people wants jobs for everybody. If we can ever create a condition when there are a hundred more jobs than there are men to fill them we can all rest very easy, because that means a demand for labor and that everyone is getting well paid. But they are out of work over there by the million, and they are getting $15 a week when they work. How can we pay $15 a day? How can we manufacture in our factories the same class of goods that they manufacture over there at $15 a week? Because of the high protective tariff law. How do we keep that law there? By the votes of the people of the United States, in the election of Congressmen and Senators and Presidents who stand for those principles.

Suppose you are in the League of Nations or World Court where Great Britain has seven votes to our one when they call the roll and all the countries over there that are suffering have an equal vote with ours, when the Congress of the United States and the President get through making laws for our benefit, as I understand it it is submitted to the will of the League, or, in other words, the Crown Prince of Europe will tell us whether we can have it or not, and if they say we cannot have it we don't get it. In other words, the international flag that flies above Old Glory will prevail. In other words, suppose they call the roll and wipe out the American tariff. Well, the tariff will come down; the American factories will close and Europe will go to work and we will suffer; and I say "God forbid," and as long as there is breadth in Bill Thompson I'll fight for our Constitution and our flag at the mast, for the principles that nobody can give us orders from anywhere (applause) and do everything in my power to encourage the opportunities of our people to secure work at good wages, and when we get down to that then Bill Thompson and Mayor Rolph have a very common cause in which we can help the people of our cities and benefit each other, and to that end I fought to make Chicago a seaport town with a 9-foot channel from Chicago to New Orleans via the Mississippi.

Thanks to the President of the United States, to the votes of the Congressmen from California and elsewhere—and I am proud to say that in Illinois every Congressmen, be he Democrat or Republican, voted as a unit for our waterway—that will be built. There was no politics and there should have been none. It is an American issue for the benefit of the American people, without regard to politics or, as my good friend, Supervisor Gallagher, said, religion or anything else. So it was that the President signed that legislation that was passed at the last session after having been defeated many times in the last fifty years, and it became a law on the 21st of last January, and in January, 1929, on a 9-foot channel up the Mississippi to Chicago, a steamer whistle from New Orleans is going to be heard, and when that steamboat whistle blows in Chicago it means that we can trade with you and you can trade with us at $7 a ton freight. And I believe that means prosperty for us all. As I said at the noon meeting today, I want to congratulate the good people of San Francisco for their generous response to the care of the suffering people in the Mississippi Valley. You went over the top of your quota promptly; you raised your quota and went over again, and that's what Chicago did, and we did the right thing when we did it, but the more important thing is: we can stand here and talk and the band can play and the newspapers can print generous stories, we can all have a good time, but some-

where at some time they are going to call the roll. You have a lot of debates, a lot of discussion and, as you have referred to today, fireworks occasionally, as we do in our City Council, but that is all wiped out when the roll is called and from that time on the majority has spoken and it all gets down to the roll call. Well, they are going to call the roll in Washington as to whether or not the recommendation of the President of the United States is to be concurred in by the Congress that forever more Uncle Sam will see to it that the necessary work is done in the Mississippi Valley to prevent another catistrophe, and that is what brings me here, and the conclusion of it all is the vote of your Congressmen and your Senators.

I think I do not exaggerate when I say that the state of Abraham Lincoln, that in part I represent, no matter what her political faith may be, when they call the roll in Congress, will vote as a solid unit. I am not so sure that California will not do likewise. In fact, I will be surprised if she does not, if I am right in my interpretation of this matter. I have been going through the valley, I have been discussing with the people the importance of the valley and the people of the valley, and on a page near the back of this booklet, entitled "The Pivot of the Nations," it says that in the Mississippi Valley is furnished sixty-six per cent of the area of the United States, sixty-four per cent of the population, seventy per cent of all farm crops, fifty-six per cent of all wages, fifty-eight per cent of manufacturers' products, sixty per cent of the national wealth—in other words, they pay the taxes—sixty-five per cent of the popular vote, sixty-four per cent of the electoral vote, in other words, they can say, "If we are united we can name the President"; fifty-five per cent of the United States Senators, sixty-five per cent of the Congressmen—the only thing they are short on is savings—forty-six per cent of the savings deposits, eighty per cent of the wheat, ninety-three per cent of the corn, eighty-four per cent of the cotton; I could scarcely believe this when I read it in the government report from which this is all taken; ninety-six per cent of the coal, of all of the coal mined every year being mined in the Mississippi Valley; ninety-three per cent of the iron ore; seventy per cent of the petroleum, eighty per cent of the cattle, sixty-three per cent of the sheep, ninety-one per cent of the swine, sixty-two per cent of the wool, seventy-three per cent of the railway mileage, seventy per cent of the college students. In other words, if that valley was organized they could get anything they needed within reason; and while I have been talking to the people of the valley I have said just before I came amongst you that the heart beats of the valley, as I understand it, are sympathetic with the heart beats of the Pacific Coast, and added to what we produce if we had all that you produce and add your power to our power there would not be talk very long about Boulder Canyon or the Sacramento River or anything else you might need. We have got the things we need; you have got the things you you need, and if we have a little team work we will all get all we want. (Applause.)

I am not going to detain you longer. Your Mayor spoke about my trip to your Pan-American Exposition. That was my first opportunity to know him well, and I not only admire him, but marvel and realize my own shortcomings. I came to your town with four special trains with 1200 people in them, and he was at the depot and tried to shake hands with every one of them, and he jumped from that train and from our trains to other special trains and he was greeting and meeting people as I never saw any Mayor do before, and I made it my business—I watched him for about helf an hour to learn how to greet people as they arrived in Chicago. (Laughter.)

Many people have spoken, and always do, for that matter, of the great hospitality of California and that no place is it better exemplified than by the hospitality of the chief executive of the great City of San Francisco. (Applause.) We are happy to have come to you again. We always have a good time here.

We hope that we have left some thoughts with you that may benefit us all, and that we may cooperate more closely in the future, and especially when they call the roll in Congress. I thank you. (Aplause.)

The Chairman: Mr. Mayor, I thank you for the compliment you have just paid me, but I think I speak for everybody present when I say that you are in a class by yourself. You have left some wonderful thoughts here with us today. It gives us a fine feeling to be in close touch with the Mayor of that great City of Chicago. There are

three big cities in the United States: New York, Chicago and San Francisco. To get the touch of your three millions of people, to hear of the problems that you have there, to hear of your spending $600,000,000, to hear of New York spending about a billion dollars, why it is just nothing. We spend $33,000,000 here every year. We just don't know how to spend it. We have to go over it about forty times to know whether we are going to spend it or not. But to get the touch of the big East and know what is going on in this great nation of ours, you have brought it home very forcibly to us today.

Now, let us get organized, gentlemen of the Board of Supervisors, and my fellow citizens, and I don't know of any better way of getting organized and showing unity in service, showing unity in action, than that of hearing the band play, and Mr. Clarke has told me that he would play a piece of music written especially for this occasion by John Philip Sousa, revised and edited by Mr. Clarke, entitled "Give the Lights to Geary Street." (Laughter and applause.) After the Long Beach band plays, "Give the Lights to Geary Street" our own municipal orchestra will play "The Star Spangled Banner." Now, Mr. Clarke, "Give the Lights to Geary Street."

(Band selection.)

Thank you, Mr. Clarke, and thank you all, gentlemen of the municipal band of Long Beach and gentlemen of the delegation.

Supervisor Hayden: Before we conclude these ceremonies in connection with the reception to his Honor William Hale Thompson, the Mayor of Chicago, I wish to introduce a resolution which, in a practical way, will express the sentiments of the people of San Francisco through this Board of Supervisors by way of endorsement of the work already started by the Chicago Municipal Flood Control Conference. I would like to have the resolution read.

Federal Assistance for Mississippi Flood Menace.

Supervisor Hayden presented:

Resolution No. 27790 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the time is here for the Federal Government to attack the flood problem in a broad and comprehensive way because of the present Mississippi Valley disaster, the greatest of its kind in the Nation's peace-time history, resulting in an incalculable amount of damage to life and property; and

Whereas, the need for a comprehensive plan of National Flood Control is made apparent by this disaster and there are in general three major proposals for flood relief set up by competent authorities, viz.: levees, spillways or by-pass outlets and storage reservoirs, all of which should be considered; and

Whereas, it is contended that this problem cannot be adequately met by the application of any single remedy and that levees, spillways and reservoirs should be used in combination where practicable, together with such additional remedies as may from time to time be developed; and

Whereas, floods in the Mississippi Basin have not only brought disaster to those immediately concerned, but have resulted in economic loss to the whole Nation, and the people of this country now demand that effective and permanent remedies be applied and they will willingly approve the expenditures of the public money necessary to this end; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco does hereby declare that the control waters of the Mississippi River and all its tributaries is a national problem and that the sole responsibility therefor should be assumed by the National Government; and be it further

Resolved, That we urge immediate and effective relief be extended to all present sufferers; that the measures which may be recommended by existing Federal agencies for relief to the lower valley so as to protect it against a recurrence of the present disaster, be carried out promptly and that the necessary appropriations therefor be made; and further

Resolved, That our Representatives in Congress be requested to give this matter their immediate and active support.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Hayden, Kent, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Harrelson, Havenner, McSheehy—3.

Supervisor Hayden: Mr. Chairman, I ask suspension of the rules and adoption of the resolution.

Supervisor Gallagher: I second the motion.

Supervisor Hayden: In doing so I merely wish to say that the resolution reiterates the principles enunciated by the Chicago Municipal Flood Control Conference held June

24, 1927, or further emphasizes, Mr. Mayor of Chicago, the sympathy that the people of San Francisco have with the flood problems of the Mississippi Valley, and to further impress upon your minds and upon the minds of the delegation visiting us from Chicago that the message you have brought to the Board of Supervisors and to the people of San Francisco has borne fruit by reason of this legislative act which put San Francisco, through its Board of Supervisors, on record as being in hearty accord with the mission on which you are passing through the various cities and states of the United States.

The Chairman: Gentlemen, it has been moved and seconded that the rules be suspended and the resolution adopted. All in favor please say "aye."

(Chorus of "ayes.")

Contrary, "no."

(No response.) The ayes have it and the motion is unanimously carried.

Mr. Sapiro, will you play now "Auld Lang Syne."

(Orchestra plays.)

After the "Star-Spangled Banner" has been played I wish to appoint Supervisor Gallagher, Supervisor Byington, Supervisor Hayden and Supervisor McSheehy a committee to escort Mayor Thompson and his party as the representatives of Chicago to their automobiles, and I will accompany them and Supervisor Shannon will take the ch ir while I am away.

Motion.

Supervisor Gallagher moved that today's proceedings be printed and a copy sent to Mayor Wm. Hale Thompson.

Motion *carried.*

(At 4:45 p. m. his Honor the Mayor retired with Mayor Thompson of Chicago and Supervisor Shannon was called to the chair.)

SPECIAL ORDER—2 P. M.

Geary Street Lighting—Notice of Reconsideration.

Supervisor Shannon gave notice that he would move at this meeting for a reconsideration of the vote whereby proposed amendments to the resolution offered by the Lighting Committee providing for a new system of street lighting on Geary street, and moved by Supervisor Todd, were defeated.

Motion.

Supervisor Shannon moved for a reconsideration of the vote whereby Supervisor Todd's proposed amendments to Geary street lighting was defeated.

So ordered.

Substitute Resolution.

Supervisor Stanton presented the following resolution as a substitute for that offered last week and the same was *adopted* by the following vote:

Resolution No. 27788 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Pacific Gas and Electric Company be and it is hereby authorized and instructed to remove, install and change the following street lights:

Remove 600 *M. R.*

South side Geary street, east of Twenty-fourth avenue.
North side Geary street, west of Twenty-fourth avenue.
South side Geary street, east of Twenty-fifth avenue.
North side Geary street, west of Twenty-fifth avenue.
North side Geary street, east of Twenty-sixth avenue.
South side Geary street, west of Twenty-sixth avenue.
South side Geary street, east of Twenty-seventh avenue.
North side Geary street, west of Twenty-seventh avenue.
North side Geary street, east of Twenty-eighth avenue.
South side Geary street, west of Twenty-eighth avenue.
North side Geary street, 130 feet west of Twenty-second avenue.
South side Geary street, east of Presidio avenue.
South side Geary street, 265 feet east of Masonic avenue.
South side Geary street, west of Masonic avenue.
North side Geary street, east of Emerson.
North side Geary street, east of Wood.
South side Geary street, east of Wood.
South side Geary street, east of Collins.
North side Geary street, west of Collins.
North side Geary street, east of Blake.
South side Geary street, east of Blake.
South side Geary street, west of Cook.
South side Geary street, west of Boyce.
North side Geary street, west of Boyce.
South side Geary street, west of Parker.
South side Geary street, west of Johnston.
North side Geary street, east of Commonwealth.
South side Geary street, 100 feet east of Henderson.

South side Geary street, east of Henderson.
South side Geary street, 100 feet west of Henderson.
North side Geary street, west of Jordan.
South side Geary street, 100 feet west of Jordan.
South side Geary street, east of Palm.
North side Geary street, west of Palm.
North side Geary street, east of Arguello boulevard.
South side Geary street, west of Arguello boulevard.
South side Geary street, east of Second avenue.
North side Geary street, west of Second avenue.
South side Geary street, east of Third avenue.
North side Geary street, west of Third avenue.
North side Geary street, east of Fourth avenue.
South side Geary street, west of Fourth avenue.
North side Geary street, east of Fifth avenue.
South side Geary street, west of Fifth avenue.
South side Geary street, east of Sixth avenue.
North side Geary street, west of Sixth avenue.
South side Geary street, east of Seventh avenue.
North side Geary street, west of Seventh avenue.
South side Geary street, east of Eighth avenue.
North side Geary street, west of Eighth avenue.
North side Geary street, 75 feet west of Eighth avenue.
North side Geary street, east of Ninth avenue.
South side Geary street, west of Ninth avenue.
North side Geary street, east of Tenth avenue.
South side Geary street, west of Tenth avenue.
South side Geary street, east of Eleventh avenue.
North side Geary street, west of Eleventh avenue.
Geary street at Twenty-ninth, Thirtieth, Thirty-first, Thirty-second, Thirty-fourth, Thirty-fifth, Thirty-sixth, Thirty-seventh, Thirty-eighth, Thirty-ninth, Fortieth, Forty-first, Forty-second, Forty-third and Forty-fifth avenues.

Remove 400 *M. R.*

Southeast corner Geary street and Thirty-third avenue.
Geary street at Forty-fourth, Forty-sixth and Forty-eighth avenues.

Install 600 *C. P. O. B. With Refractor.*

Northeast and northwest corners Geary street, Presidio avenue to Forty-eighth avenue.
Southwest and southeast corners Geary street, from Presidio avenue to Forty-eighth avenue.
North side Geary street, between Presidio and Forty-eighth avenues (one to each block).
South side Geary street, from Presidio to Forty-eighth avenue (one to each block).

All Geary street lamps to be equipped with holophane refractor No. 4274, with satin finish, as selected.

A y e s — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—18.

TAX LEVY—1927-1928.

The following entitled bill, laid over from last meeting, was taken up:

Bill No. 8181, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), entitled, "Providing revenue and levying taxes for City and County purposes for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1928."

Amendment to Tax Levy.

Supervisor McSheehy presented an amendment to bill heretofore presented:

Insert in Section 1, after the words "To pay an aliquot part equal to one-tenth of the whole of each of the final judgments against the City and County of San Francisco .02420," the following:

"For an additional special district school tax levied as per budget submitted by the Board of Education and to comply with the writ of mandate of the Supreme Court of the State of California, issued on September 12th, 1927, to produce an additional sum for the support of the high and elementary schools of the City and County of San Francisco, and for the building fund of said schools .1400."

Thereupon change total $3.66 to $3.80.

Amendment *adopted* by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—18.

Tax Levy.

Whereupon, the following bill, as amended, was *passed for printing* by the following vote:

Bill No. 8181, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Providing revenue and levying taxes for City and County purposes for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1928.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Under and pursuant to the provisions of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco and of the laws of the State of California, and in conformity therewith, a tax is hereby levied for City and County purposes, including special City and County school building purposes, for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1928, on all the property, real and personal, in the City and County of San Francisco, except such property as is by law exempt from taxation, in the sum of three and eighty hundredths ($3.80) dollars on each one hundred dollars valuation of said taxable property as the same appears upon the assessment roll of said City and County for said fiscal year, which said sum of three and eighty hundredths ($3.80) dollars on each one hundred dollars valuation as aforesaid is hereby apportioned to the funds and accounts and for the purposes designated as follows:

For the General Fund, to meet all expenses of the City and County not otherwise herein provided for, a rate of..... $.83393

For the General Fund, to meet the cost of the construction and repairs to streets, sewers and buildings for the Detention Home and for the Police, Fire, Health and School departments, other than the special school building tax hereinafter provided for, the rate of.... .23852

For the General Fund, to meet the cost of elections and to pay the demands, salaries, expenses or other obligations imposed upon the City and County by legislative or constitutional enactment of the State of California or of the United States, and demands, salaries, expenses or other obligations imposed upon the City and County by direct vote of the people of the City and County, other than the items herein specifically provided for, the rate of ..... .80080

For the City and County Elementary School Fund, the minimum City and County school tax for the elementary schools to equal the estimated minimum for such purposes furnished to the Board of Supervisors by the Superintendent of Schools, in accordance with the provisions of Section 1817 of the Political Code of the State, the rate of... .17640

For the City and County High School Fund, the minimum City and County high school tax to equal the estimated minimum for such purpose furnished to the Board of Supervisors by the Superintendent of Schools in accordance with the provisions of Section 1764 of the Political Code of the State ..... .10611

For a special school tax for the School Building Fund, the rate of..... .15330

For the Common School Fund, in addition to the minimum amounts for elementary schools and high schools, and the special school tax for School Building Fund hereinbefore provided, the rate of .. .. .41148

For the Libary Fund, to meet the cost of maintaining public libraries and the purchase of books therefor, the rate of.... .03621

For the Park Fund, to pay the maintenance of parks, squares and public grounds, the rate of..... .10000

For the Firemen's Relief and Pension Fund, the rate of ..... .04853

For special tax levied for publicity and advertising, pursuant to Subdivision 33 of Section 4041 of the Political Code of the State, the rate of..... .01788

For Retirement System for City employees ..... .10778

For Playground Fund..... .05000

For M. H. de Young Memorial Museum Fund.... .00900

For California Palace of the Legion of Honor Fund... .00900

For maintenance of the blind. Statutes 1919, Chapter 144 ..... .00217

For maintenance of Steinhart Aquarium ..... .00638

For the respective funds to redeem and pay the interest on bonds sold prior to November 8, 1910, as follows:

Children's Playground Bond Redemption and Interest Fund, issue 1904..... .00320

Golden Gate Park and Presidio Extension Bond Redemption and Interest Fund, issue 1904..... .00143

Mission Park Bond Redemption and Interest Fund, issue 1904 ..... .00126

Fire Protection Bond Redemption and Interest Fund, issue 1908..... .02738

Sewer Bond Redemption and Interest Fund, issue 1908 .. .. .01659

School Bond Redemption and Interest Fund, issue 1908 .. .. .01954

Hospital Bond Redemption and Interest Fund, issue 1908 .. .. .00760

Hall of Justice Bond Redemption and Interest Fund, issue 1908 ..... .00430

Garbage Disposal Bond Redemption and Interest Fund, issue 1908..... .00517

For the respective funds to redeem and pay the interest on bonds sold since November 8, 1910, as follows:

Street Bond Redemption and Interest Fund, issue 1904 ..... .005334

School Bond Redemption and Interest Fund, issue 1918 .. .. .037306

Library Bond Redemption and Interest Fund, issue 1904 .. .. .008093

Fire Protection Bond Redemption and Interest Fund, issue 1908..... .007633

Sewer Bond Redemption and Interest Fund, issue 1908 .. .. .010474

School Bond Redemption and Interest Fund, issue 1908 .. .. .016580

Hospital Bond Redemption and Interest Fund, issue 1908 .. .. .007000

Hall of Justice Bond Redemption and Interest Fund, issue 1908..... .002596

Polytechnic High School Bond Redemption and Interest Fund, issue 1910.. .004540

Water Bond Redemption and Interest Fund, issue 1910 ..... .098500

City Hall Bond Redemption and Interest Fund, issue 1912 .. .. .067450

Exposition Bond Redemption and Interest Fund, issue 1912 ..... .040730

Hospital-Jail Completion Bond Redemption and Interest Fund, issue 1913.. .015589

School Bond, 1923 issue, Interest Fund ..... .094494

Relief Home Bond, 1923 issue, Interest Fund..... .025521

To pay an aliquot part equal to one-tenth of the whole of each of the final judgments against the City and County of San Francisco .. .. .02420

For an additional special district school tax levied as per budget submitted by the Board of Education and to comply with the writ of mandate of the Supreme Court of the State of California, issued on September 12, 1927, to produce an additional sum for the support of the high and elementary schools of the City and County of San Francisco, and for the building fund of said schools ..... .1400

Total $3.80

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—18.

Motion.

Supervisor Havenner: I desire to move at this time that the City Attorney be requested to prepare instructions to guide the Tax Collector and Auditor in entering the special tax which we have adopted today on the tax roll and tax bills. I make tnis motion at the suggestion and request of both the Tax Collector and the Auditor. They would like to have legal instructions from the City Attorney as to how to handle the tax.

Seconded by Supervisor McSheehy.

Chairman: There being no objection it is *so ordered.*

Economical School Construction Policy.

Supervisor Roncovieri presented:

Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, this Board of Supervisors desires to proceed in a fair and sane manner to establish a true standard cost of economical school building construction, with the strict understanding that all school buildings shall be safe, sanitary and fireproof; and

Whereas, the entire cost of a school building should not exceed the standard cost of all the rooms used for class instruction except in very special conditions and circumstances; and

Whereas, this standard cost per classroom should include the cost of all the other accessory and necessary rooms, halls, etc., usually a part of school buildings; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the policy of this Board in respect to appropriations for new school buildings is to insist that all moneys so appropriated must be used to produce such a type of building as will secure for the people the greatest possible accommodations for the pupils of the schools, conformable with the highest principles of safety and sanitation; that all accessories not essential to the proper education of the pupils should not be indulged in; that the cost of construction be in accord with the needs for economy rather than lavishness; that the Building Committee of this Board be and is hereby requested to confer with the Board of Education for the purpose of arriving at a reasonable standard cost of school buildings on the basis of the unit cost of each classroom used for class instruction, and that the Building Committee report its findings back to this Board.

Referred to Buildings Committee.

Transfer of Civic Center Site for Federal Building.

Supervisor Power presented:

Resolution No. 27789 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco should transfer, without monetary consideration, to the government of the United States a site on the northeast corner of Hyde and Fulton streets, in the Civic Center, to be used by the government of the United States for the erection thereon of a Federal Building, and that the Board of Supervisors should submit to the electors of San Francisco, at the earliest possible date an amendment to the Charter, authorizing the transfer of said site to the Government of the United States without monetary consideration, upon condition that a Federal Building be erected thereon; be it

Further Resolved, That the foregoing declaration of policy be submitted to the electors of the City and County of San Francisco at the general municipal election to be held on November 8, 1927.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Hayden, Kent, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Harrelson, Havenner, McSheehy—3.

Relative to Redemption of Future Bond Issues.

Supervisor Roncovieri presented:

Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, certain great public improvements and projects, because of the permanence of their construction and the everlasting necessity and use for them, are not only of immense value to the present generation, but even of greater and more lasting benefit to many generations to come; and,

Whereas, the present generation owes it not alone to itself but to posterity to foresee the needs of the larger population that the future holds in store for greater San Francisco, and consequently must build and complete these great public improvements and projects in larger and more expensive manner and to a greater extent than the needs of the present generation require; and

Whereas, it is only just and equitable that each future generation that will derive prosperity and happiness from the foresight of the present generation should help the present generation to carry the financial burden of these projects and great public improvements; and

Whereas, this financial burden will become lighter and lighter upon each succeeding generation as the population and the assessment rolls of this city increase along the years to come; therefore, be it

Resolved, That bond issues hereafter to be submitted for the approval of the people shall provide "that redemption of such bonds shall begin in not more than eighteen years and shall be completed in not more than seventy-five years from the date of the issue," as permitted by Section 10 of Article XII of the Charter; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Finance Committee of this Board be and is hereby requested to report to this Board at as early a date as possible before the submission to the people of any further bond issues, its recommendations as to the policy of issuing "callable bonds" or "serial bonds" or a combination of both "callable" and "serial" bonds.

Referred to Finance Committee.

Temporary Military Possession of Airport.

Supervisor Kent presented:

Resolution No. 27791 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors be and they are hereby authorized to enter into an informal agreement in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco with a detachment of United States troops from the Presidio at San Francisco for the rental of Mills Field, San Francisco Airport, on September 16, 1927, at a rental of $1 for the time of occupancy.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Hayden, Kent, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Harrelson, Havenner, McSheehy—3.

ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business the Board at 7:30 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors November 28, 1927.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated, and approved as above recited.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors.
City and County of San Francisco.

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Vol. 22—New Series No. 38

Monday, September 19, 1927

Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
337 Bush Street, S. F.

JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, SEPTEMBER 19, 1927, 10 A. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, September 19, 1927, 10 a. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—18.

Quorum present.

His Honor Mayor Rolph presiding.

APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the previous meeting was laid over for approval until next meeting.

ROLL CALL FOR PETITIONS FROM MEMBERS.

Leave of Absence, Director Mott, Board of Education.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

San Francisco, Cal.,
September 15, 1927.

Honorable Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco, California.

Gentlemen:

Application has been made to me by Director Mott, member of the Board of Education, for leave of absence with permission to leave the State of California for a fortnight, commencing Friday, September 16, 1927.

I hereby request that you concur with me in granting said leave of absence.

Yours very truly,
JAMES ROLPH, JR.,
Mayor.

Whereupon, the following resolution was presented and *adopted*:

Resolution No. 27819 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Mrs. Ernest J. Mott, member of the Board of Education, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of fourteen days, commencing Friday, September 16, 1927, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Letter From Hans Leschke, Director of Municipal Chorus, in Appreciation of Resolution of Commendation.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

September 14, 1927.

Board of Supervisors, City and County of San Francisco.

Gentlemen:

It was with much gratification that I received the copy of Resolution No. 27710 (New Series), which your Honorable Board was kind enough to pass in commendation of such service as I have been able to render in my capacity as Director of the Municipal Chorus of San Francisco.

Inasmuch as the work and the pleasure of coordinating the talent of your citizenry into a choral body is almost reward enough, the resolution comes as a very much valued addition.

With much appreciation of your gracious act.

Sincerely yours,
HANS LESCHKE, Ph. D.,
755 Twenty-first avenue, San Francisco, California.

Ordered *spread in Journal.*

Estimate for the Improvement of Camp Mather.

Supervisor Gallagher presented an itemized statement of estimates of cost for improving conditions at Camp Mather Municipal Playground in the total sum of $24,642.22.

Read by the Clerk and ordered *referred to the Finance Committee.*

Invitation to Third Semi-annual Redwood Empire Caravan.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication, from Redwood Empire Association, inviting the

Board of Supervisors to participate in its Third Semi-annual Redwood Empire Caravan, which leaves Santa Rosa, Saturday, October 8, 1927, for a six-day tour of the north of the Bay counties of the Redwood Empire, following a different itinerary to that of a year ago, as per schedule enclosed.

Referred to Public Welfare and Publicity Committee.

Letter of Appreciation for Cooperation in the State Fair, 1927.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication, from the California State Agricultural Society, expressing the appreciation of its Board of Directors for the cooperation shown by the Board of Supervisors of San Francisco in the California State Fair of 1927 at Sacramento, and commending the efficient and courteous treatment shown everybody by Mrs. Mabel Johnson, who represented San Francisco County on the occasion.

Ordered *filed.*

Appropriation Requested for Soil for Heroes' Memorial Grove.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication, from Mrs. Clare Jones, secretary-treasurer of San Francisco Chapter, Gold Star Mothers, requesting an appropriation of $3,000 for the purchase of proper soil in the Heroes' Memorial Grove, Golden Gate Park, it being alleged that the trees already planted are starving for want of soil nutriment.

Referred to the Finance Committee.

Communication From Congresswoman Kahn Relative to City's Obligation Under Raker Act.

The following was read by the Clerk:

September 8, 1927.

Warren Shannon, Esquire, Chairman Public Utilities Committee, Board of Supervisors, City and County of San Francisco, City Hall, San Francisco, California.

My dear Mr. Shannon:

I have been following with considerable interest the discussions in connection with the obligations of the City of San Francisco under the so-called Raker Act. After reviewing the various proceedings that finally culminated in the passage of this act, and having in mind as well several projects for which our City in the immediate future may be looking to Congress for friendly consideration, I venture to draw to the attention of your good self and your colleagus, Messrs. Havenner and Badaracco, on the Public Utilities Committee, a few angles of the situation which may or may not have occurred to you, but which, I believe, are pertinent and worthy of serious deliberation at this time.

First, I wish to submit for your perusal an editorial from the August 11 edition of the Stockton Record, together with copy of a letter which has come to my notice, addressed to Mr. Elmer Reynolds by Congressman Louis T. Cramton of Michigan, who happens to be chairman of the subcommittee handling the Interior Department appropriatins.

If others view the matter in the same way as does Congressman Cramton, you can readily see that it would be a very difficult matter for San Francisco to carry through, for instance, a bill for the construction of a bridge across San Francisco Bay.

When all the facts have been taken into consideration, I believe that the Secretary of the Interior has been reasonable in his demands on the City of San Francisco. As you know, he could have insisted on a road across the rock face of the cliff on the north side of the reservoir, which would have cost the City many hundreds of thousands of dollars. He has, however, only asked for a trail which will cost but $43,000. According to the data I have before me, the tentative figures of the projects requested by the Secretary of the Interior are as follows:

Project No. 1—	
Transfer City owned lands to United States, 2,632.94 acres.	
Project No. 2—	
Construction trail north side Hetch Hetchy Reservoir and to Tiltill Valley and to Lake Vernon, 21 miles; estimated cost	$ 43,500
Project No. 3—	
Construction Harden Lake Road, 12 miles; estimated cost	480,000
Project No. 4—	
Construction Lake Eleanor Road, 14 miles; estimated cost	800,000
Project No. 5—	
Reconstruction and surfacing Hetch Hetchy Road, 9 miles; estimated cost	270,000
Surveys	35,000
	$1,628,500

Personally, I believe it is our duty, if at all possible, to anticipate and ward off anything that might tend to cause the City of

San Francisco to be discussed in unfavorable terms. If San Francisco talked, as the records show, in terms of one million dollars in 1912 when it was campaigning for Hetch Hetchy and now quibbles over an additional $628,500, in view of the tremendous problem the grant of Hetch Hetchy solved for our City and the enormous benefits we have derived therefrom, I feel confident that upon the slightest provocation at least seemingly just criticism would be forthcoming. I frankly believe that the City of San Francisco would be standing in its own light if it should take an attitude in opposition to the request of the Secretary of the Interior. I might add that the proposed Hetch Hetchy road development by the City of San Francisco is a relatively small part of the large plans that the Secretary of the Interior has for road development in Yosemite.

With assurances of my appreciation of any consideration you may give the foregoing, and with kindest personal regards, I am,

Sincerely yours,

(Signed)

FLORENCE P. KAHN, M. C.

Sept. 19, 1927—*Referred to Finance and Public Utilities Committee. Copy to City Engineer with request for recommendation within* 10 *days.*

(Clipping attached and copy of letter from Louis T. Cranton.)

Statement of the Chairman of the Finance Committee.

The following was read into the record by Supervisor McSheehy:

To the Honorable, the Board of Supervisors.

Gentlemen:

On Septemmber 12 a mandate of the Supreme Court compelled this Board to raise the funds demanded by the Board of Education, after hearing arguments for and against the request. The result of that raise is that we are faced with a tax rate of $3.80 against the rate of $3.66.

In November, 1922, the citizens of San Francisco, by a vote of more than 6 to 1, bound themselves with a $12,000,000 bond issue for the purpose of erecting new schools. Since that date the Board of Supervisors have allowed $2,700,000 in their budgets, also for school construction purposes, making a total of $14,700,000.

The Board of Education made up a program which was never interfered with by the Board of Supervisors in any manner or form.

This program as of April 30, 1926, called for the erection of some 30 school buildings, which have cost as low as $5,237.52 for the Cabrillo classrooms, and as high as $26,118.40 for a classroom in the High School of Commerce. The average cost of some 844 rooms is $13,778.74.

The cities of Oakland and Los Angeles have erected similar classrooms at an average cost of $9,670. Why this difference?

The sum of $13,777,738 has been expended for school construction in the past four years.

When this work was started the daily average attendance in our public schools was 54,432 pupils. The daily average attendance during the past year was 62,166.

According to the average cost of $215 per desk or seat in Oakland and Los Angeles, this huge sum of money should properly house 64,082 pupils, which is larger than our average daily attendance.

But according to reports submitted by the Board of Education at budget-making time to the Finance Committee, they claimed that the huge sum of $13,600,000 provided 23,000 seats, but that 10,000 seats were lost through abandonment of some schools and change of residence.

This thirteen million dollars shows a cost per seat of $591.35. But Oakland and Los Angeles show a cost of but $215 per seat. Why this difference of $376 per seat? Does it cost any more for construction here in San Francisco than it does in these other cities? Rates of wages, cost of materials are all the same. In fact, some materials cost less in San Francisco than they do in Los Angeles. And also this thirteen million dollars represents a cost of a classroom of 45 seats at $26,610.75.

In the same report there was shown that there are some eleven schools abandoned. Some of these schools were built since the fire and were practically new. No great attempt has been made by the Board of Education to dispose of the valuable properties so abandoned. I would like to ask the Board of Education, as business men. if they would allow the abandonment of their private property with no attempt to sell the same. Why not treat the City's property the same as one would treat his own private property?

As chairman of the Finance Committee, I feel that a great injustice has been done the taxpayers of this City and County by the request made of the Supreme Court of the State of California by the Board of Education, compelling the Board

of Supervisors to raise the tax rate 14 cents for some $900,000. I am not criticising in any manner or form the decision of the Supreme Court. I personally feel that they could have rendered no other decision as the law now reads. But I do criticise the Board of Education in taking advantage of the state law which has been on the statute books for six years.

We, in this year's budget, were allowing the Board of Education the largest sum of money in the history of San Francisco. We were allowing enough money to build 120 classrooms at $10,000 per room, and if you allow the City average cost of $13,778, they could have built 88 classrooms.

What do they want all this money for? For classrooms? By their own figures they had, June 30, 74,130 seats for 62,000 pupils. They had 65 classrooms without desks. They had 191 rooms for lectures, laboratories and economics. And they had 73 rooms for shops and manual training.

With all this available space I doubt if the extra million dollars will go into classrooms.

Members of the Board of Supervisors, in closing I have just this to say: In 1920 it cost San Francisco $82.79 a pupil. In 1926 it cost $174.89, an increase of 100 per cent. Ten years ago we had a daily average attendance of 47,666 pupils; today we have a daily average attendance of 62,166 pupils, showing an increase of about 32 per cent. Ten years ago it cost the City of San Francisco $2,385,000 to maintain its schools; today it costs $9,685,414—four and one-half times as much.

I made a statement over the radio on last Wednesday evening and the theme of that statement was the unbalanced program of the Board of Education. I reiterate the same statement today. The program of the Board of Education is unbalanced and calls for an immediate and drastic change of policy, to the end that each child in this City may have fair and equal opportunities and San Francisco a system of schools second to none in the United States.

Sept. 19, 1927—Presented and read by Supervisor McSheehy with request that statement be printed in Journal.

SET-BACK LINE HEARINGS—11 A. M.

Hearing of objections to the establishment of set-back lines along portions of Twenty-ninth, Thirtieth and Forty-fifth avenues and Farallones street.

No objection being offered the following bill was *passed for printing*:

Bill No. 8226, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Establishing set-back lines along portions of Twenty-ninth, Thirtietn and Forty-fifth avenues and Farallones street.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby recited that on the 15th day of August, 1927, the Board of Supervisors adopted Resolution of Intention No. 151 to establish set-back lines along Twenty-ninth, Thirtieth and Forty-fifth avenues and Farallones street, and fixed the 19th day of September, 1927, at 11 o'clock a. m., at the chambers of the Board of Supervisors as the time and place for hearing objections thereto; that thereafter and more than ten days prior to said hearing the said resolution was published and notices of the passage of said resolution were posted along the line of said streets in time, form and manner required by Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series); that said hearing was held at the time and place aforesaid, and that all objections made at said hearing have been properly overruled.

Section 2. Pursuant to the foregoing recitals and in accordance with the provisions of said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), set-back lines are hereby established as follows:

Along the westerly side of Twenty-ninth avenue, commencing at a point 93 feet northerly from Noriega street and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly to Moraga street, said set-back line of be 9 feet; along the easterly side of Twenty-ninth avenue, commencing at a point 93 feet northerly from Noriega street and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 fet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 300 feet, said set-back line of be 9 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet.

Along both sides of Thirtieth avenue, commencing at points 93 feet northerly from Noriega street and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 6 feet; thence north-

erly to Moraga street, said set-back lines to be 9 feet.

Along the easterly side of Forty-fifth avenue between Santiago street and Rivera street, said set-back line to be 9 feet.

Along the northerly side of Farallones street, commencing at Orizaba avenue and running thence easterly to a point 280 feet westerly from Capitol avenue, said set-back line to be 10 feet; along the southerly side of Farallones street, commencing at a point 89 feet 1½ inches easterly from Orizaba avenue and running thence easterly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; thence easterly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence easterly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 9 feet; thence easterly 600 feet, said set-back line to be 12 feet; thence easterly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 9 feet; thence easterly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence easterly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet.

As shown on the maps filed in the office of the Board of Supervisors and to which reference is hereby made for further particulars.

Section 3. No structures shall be erected in the area between said set-back lines and the street lines except as provided in said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), and the penalties for the violation of this ordinance shall be as fixed by the ordinance aforesaid.

Hearing of objections to the establishment of set-back lines along portions of Thirty-sixth, Fortieth, Forty-first, Forty-second and Forty-fourth avenues.

No objection being offered the following bill was *passed for printing*:

Bill No. 8227, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Establishing set-back lines along portions of Thirty-sixth, Fortieth, Forty-first, Forty-second and Forty-fourth avenues.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby recited that on the 15th day of August 1927, the Board of Supervisors adopted Resolution of Intention No. 152, to establish set-back lines along Thirty-sixth, Fortieth, Forty-first, Forty-second and Forty-fourth avenues, and fixed the 19th day of September, 1927, at 11 o'clock a. m., at the chambers of the Board of Supervisors as the time and place for hearing objections thereto; that thereafter and more than ten days prior to said hearing the said resolution was published and notices of the passage of said resolution were posted along the line of said streets in time, form and manner required by Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series); that said hearing was held at the time and place aforesaid, and that all objections made at said hearing have been properly overruled.

Section 2. Pursuant to the foregoing recitals and in accordance with the provisions of said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), set-back lines are hereby established as follows:

Along both sides of Fortieth avenue, commencing at points 100 feet northerly from Kirkham street and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 6 feet; thence northerly 300 feet, said set-back lines to be 9 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 3 feet.

Along both sides of Forty-first avenue, commencing at points 100 feet northerly from Kirkham street and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 6 feet; thence northerly 300 feet, said set-back lines to be 9 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 3 feet.

Along both sides of Forty-second avenue, commencing at points 100 feet northerly from Kirkham street and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 6 feet; thence northerly 300 feet, said set-back lines to be 9 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 3 feet.

Along the easterly side of Forty-fourth avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Irving street and running thence northerly 275 feet, said set-back line to be 14 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 12 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 9 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet.

Along both sides of Thirty-sixth avenue, commencing at points 100 feet northerly from Judah street and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 6 feet; thence northerly 300 feet, said set-back

lines to be 9 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 3 feet.

As shown on the maps filed in the office of the Board of Supervisors and to which reference is hereby made for further particulars.

Section 3. No structures shall be erected in the area between said set-back lines and the street lines except as provided in said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), and the penalties for the violation of this ordinance shall be as fixed by the ordinance aforesaid.

PRESENTATION OF PROPOSALS.

Lithographing, Bernal Cut and Memorial Hall Bonds.

Sealed proposals were received and opened at the hour of 11 a. m. for lithographing Bernal Cut Bonds and Memorial Hall Bonds and *referred to the Supplies Committee.*

Forage and Farinaceous Products.

Sealed proposals were received and opened at the hour of 11 a. m. for furnishing forage and farinaceous products during the term commencing October 1, 1927, and ending September 30, 1928, and *referred to the Supplies Committee.*

UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

Final Passage.

The following matters heretofore passed for printing were taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Authorizations.

Resolution No. 27793 (New Series) as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

Park Fund.

(1) J. P. Holland, Inc., crushed rock for parks (claim dated Sept. 2, 1927), $750.

(2) J. P. Holland, Inc., crushed rock for parks (claim dated Sept. 2, 1927), $1.590.

California Palace of the Legion of Honor—Appropriation No. 61.

(3) American Railway Express Co., express charges for account of Carl W. Hamilton exhibit from New York (claim dated Aug. 26, 1927), $2,069.66.

Maintenance of Aquarium—Appropriation No. 57.

(4) California Academy of Sciences, maintenance of Steinhart Aquarium (claim dated Sept. 6, 1927), $4,123.70.

Fire Department Land and Construction Fund.

(5) H. H. Larsen Co., first payment, general construction of Fire Department Engine House No. 18 (claim dated Aug. 30, 1927), $3,677.25.

School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1918.

(6) Empire Planing Mill, model stands furnished for high schools (claim dated Aug. 30, 1927), $848.51.

School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923.

(7) R. Brandlein & Co., tables and chairs for schools (claim dated Aug. 30, 1927), $6,481.96.

(8) Monarch Iron Works, wrought iron gates for Henry Durant School (claim dated Aug. 25, 1927), $985.

(9) Joseph & Stone, fifth payment, architectural services for Portola Junior High School (claim dated Aug. 30, 1927), $1,165.07.

(10) A. Lettich, fourth payment, plumbing work for Portola Junior High School (claim dated Aug. 30, 1927), $3,301.34.

(11) O. Munson, fifth payment, general construction of Portola Junior High School (claim dated Aug. 31, 1927), $30,172.50.

(12) Pacific Electrical Construction Co., second payment electrical work for Portola Junior High School (claim dated Aug. 30, 1927), $2,967.83.

(13) The Scott Co., second payment, mechanical equipment for Portola Junior High School (claim dated Aug. 30, 1927), $4,507.13.

(14) Mahony Bros., seventh payment, general construction of Sherman School (claim dated Aug. 30, 1927), $13,427.77.

(15) Alta Electric Co., fourth payment, electrical work for Mission (Everett) Junior High School (claim dated Aug. 30, 1927), $2,236.87.

(16) Latourette-Fical Co., ninth payment, plumbing and gas-fitting for Mission (Everett) Junior High School (claim dated Aug. 30, 1927), $3,280.76.

(17) R. W. Moller, twelfth payment, general construction of Mission (Everett) Junior High School (claim dated Aug. 30, 1927), $23,226.63.

(18) Thos. Skelly, third payment, plumbing work for addition to Sunnyside School (claim dated Aug. 30, 1927), $2,139.90.

(19) L. Flatland, third payment, electrical work, addition to Sunnyside School (claim dated Aug. 30, 1927), $1,725.94.

(20) Thos. Skelly, fifth payment, mechanical equipment for addition to Sunnyside School (claim dated Aug. 30, 1927), $4.092.79.

(21) Edward F. Dowd, second payment, electrical work for Hearst-Moulder School (claim dated Aug. 30, 1927), $1,497.29.

Special School Tax.

(22) W. P. Fuller & Co., linseed oil, etc., for school bulidings (claim dated Aug. 29, 1927), $993.50.

(23) J. H. McCallum, lumber for school buildings (claim dated Aug. 29, 1927), $607.88.

(24) J. E. O'Mara, final payment, plumbing work for addition to Madison School (claim dated Aug. 30, 1927), $1,528.50.

(25) L. Flatland, final payment, electrical work for addition to Madison School (claim dated Aug. 29, 1927), $1,708.37.

(26) Heywood-Wakefield Co., first and final payment, chairs for additional units to Galileo High School (claim dated Aug. 29, 1927), $1,264.80.

(27) MacDonald & Kahn, first payment, general construction of the South Side High School (claim dated Aug. 29, 1927), $26,932.50.

(28) Newberry-Pearce Electric Co., first payment, electric work for South Side High School (claim dated Aug. 29, 1927), $1,410.

(29) A. Lettich, first payment, plumbing work for South Side High School (claim dated Aug. 30, 1927), $2,779.73.

County Road Fund.

(30) Market Street Railway Co., work performed for account of Market street extension (claim dated Aug. 31, 1927), $10,706.

(31) Federal Construction Co., improvement of Turk street between Parker avenue and Willard street (claim dated Aug. 31, 1927), $8,391.72.

Municipal Railway Fund.

(32) American Steel Foundries, steel car wheels (claim dated Aug. 29, 1927), $1,464.

(33) Hancock Bros., Inc., printing Municipal Railway transfers (claim dated Aug. 29, 1927), $720.

(34) Ohio Brass Co., Municipal Railway cross-overs (claim dated Aug. 29, 1927), $559.63.

Municipal Railway Depreciation Fund.

(35) Bethlehem Steel Corporation, final payment for track materials. Contract No. 150 (claim dated Aug. 31, 1927), $2,239.

Municipal Railway Depreciation Reserve Fund.

(36) Fageol Motors Co., one 6-cylinder, 29-passenger street car type automobile bus for Municipal Railways (claim dated Aug. 31, 1927), $8,812.50.

Hetch Hetchy Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1925.

(37) Gilmore Iron & Steel Co., galvanized sheet iron, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Aug. 27, 1927), $556.07.

(38) Western Pipe & Steel Co., air pipe, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Aug. 27, 1927), $1,068.

(39) The Giant Powder Co., Con., explosives, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Aug. 29, 1927), $806.63.

(40) George H. Tay Co., steel pipe, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Aug. 29, 1927), $8,881.29.

(41) Independent Lumber Co., lumber, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Aug. 24, 1927), $665.80.

(42) O. G. Peterson, explosives, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Aug. 24, 1927), $816.

(43) Bald Eagle Meat Market, meats, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Aug. 31, 1927), $780.

(44) Hales & Symons, Inc., lumber, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Aug. 31, 1927), $1,169.67.

(45) H. R. Landfare Co., cedar poles for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Aug. 31, 1927), $2,915.30.

(46) G. Poggi, auto truck (Graham Bros.) for Hetch Hetch construction (claim dated Aug. 31, 1927), $900.

(47) California Stucco Products Co., stucco for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Aug. 25, 1927), $562.50.

(48) Graybar Electric Co., Inc., electric material, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Aug. 25, 1927), $662.23.

(49) The General Fireproofing Building Products, steel sash, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Aug. 25, 1927), $2,283.73.

(50) Western Lime & Cement Co., plaster, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Aug. 25, 1927), $513.70.

Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1910.

(51) Grinnell Company of the Pacific, galvanized pipe (claim dated Aug. 29, 1927), $2,248.46.

General Fund. 1926-1927.

(52) John Spargo, final payment, construction of additions in light-

well at Hall of Justice (claim dated Aug. 31, 1927), $3,092.62.

(53) F. W. Varney, payment in full, construction of floodlight housing and meterological stations, Municipal Airport (claim dated Aug. 31, 1927), $1,576.20.

(54) Julien P. Friez & Sons, four anemographs and supports for Municipal Airport (claim dated Aug. 23, 1927), $2,929.80.

(55) M. J. Treacy, improvement of Peralta avenue, York street to Holladay avenue and Tomasa street, third payment (claim dated Aug. 31, 1927), $4,500.

General Fund, 1927-1928.

(56) Daniel J. O'Brien, police contingent expense for September (claim dated Sept. 1, 1927), $750.

(57) Howard Automobile Co., six Buick roadsters for Fire Department (claim dated Aug. 31, 1927), $8.040.

(58) Spring Valley Water Co., water service for Fire Department hydrants (claim dated Aug. 31, 1927), $14,661.80.

(59) Tire Service Co., tires for Fire Department (claim dated Aug. 31, 1927), $1,347.11.

(60) Wm. Bateman, oak cabinet, office of Tax Collector (claim dated Sept. 6, 1927), $1,000.

(61) Pacific Nash Motor Co., one Nash roadster, Department of Public Works (claim dated Aug. 5, 1927), $1,572.50.

(62) Crown Oil Co., gasoline and oil for street cleaning (claim dated Aug. 27, 1927), $571.49.

(63) San Francisco Bulletin, official advertising (claim dated Sept. 6, 1927), $1,811.34.

(64) California Printing Co., two-cent envelopes for Tax Collector (claim dated Aug. 29, 1927), $937.20.

Robinson Bequest Interest Fund.

(65) James Rolph, Jr., for relief of destitute women and children (claim dated Sept. 6, 1927), $1,180.

Publicity and Advertising—Appropriation No. 55.

(66) Rudolph Theurkauf and Wm. A. Theurkauf, final payment for construction of San Francisco exhibit at Transcontinental Highway Exposition, Reno, Nevada, for the publicity and advertising of San Francisco (claim dated Sept. 6, 1927), $5,460.20.

(67) California Development Association, for the maintenance of San Francisco Map, and for Information Bureau at the Ferry Building, for the publicity and advertising of San Francisco (claim dated Sept. 6, 1927), $1,000.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Byington, Kent—2.

Resolution No. 27794 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

Park Fund.

(1) J. P. Holland, Inc., rock furnished Golden Gate Park (claim dated Sept. 8, 1927), $1,057.50.

(2) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., gas and electric service for parks (claim dated Sept. 8, 1927), $4,851.86.

(3) Spring Valley Water Co. water service for parks (claim dated Sept. 8, 1927), $4,823.17.

(4) State Compensation Insurance Fund, premium on compensation insurance of employees (claim dated Sept. 8, 1927), $523.45.

(5) Vinnie H. Schwerin (wife of Edward H. Schwerin), payment for Lot 27 in Block 18, Crocker Amazon Tract, with pump and appurtenances, required for park purposes (claim dated Sept. 8, 1927), $6,000.

Auditorium Fund.

(6) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., gas and electric service furnished Civic Auditorium (claim dated Sept. 1, 1927), $665.

Sunset Tunnel Assessment Fund.

(7) Leonard F. Youdall and R. G. Clifford, fifteenth pament, construction of tunnel and appurtenances under Buena Vista Park (claim dated Sept. 7, 1927), $71,068.50.

Special School Tax.

(8) Knittle Bros., final pament, mechanical equipment for addition to Madison School (claim dated Sept. 7, 1927), $2,917.55.

County Road Fund.

(9) James M. Smith, final payment, improvement of Castro street, Twenty-ninth to Thirtieth streets (claim dated Sept. 7, 1927), $618.90.

(10) Schultz Construction Co., second payment, improvement of Grand View avenue, etc. (claim dated Sept. 7, 1927), $12,000.

Municipal Railway Fund.

(11) Harry Saunders, first payment, compensation insurance (claim dated Aug. 31, 1927), $532.65.

(12) Westinghouse Electric & Mfg. Co., electric railway equipment (claim dated Sept. 7, 1927), $554.25.

(13) San Francisco City Employees Retirement System, for account of pensions, etc., for Municipal Railway employees (claim dated Sept. 2, 1927), $503.03.

Municipal Railway Depreciation Fund.

(14) Robert W. Jamison, first payment, furnishing and installing concrete poles for Sunset line of Municipal Railways (claim dated Sept. 6, 1927), $9,750.

Hetch Hetchy Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1925.

(15) John T. Brooks, stove wood (claim dated Sept. 2, 1927), $1,400.

(16) Garfield & Co., one 6-ton Plymouth locomotive (claim dated Sept. 2, 1927), $2,950.

(17) Hales & Symons, Inc., lumber (claim dated Sept. 2, 1927), $1,673.43.

(18) Ingersoll-Rand Co. of California, machinery parts (claim dated Sept. 2, 1927), $571.56.

(19) J. F. Mitchell Machine & Gear Works, machinery parts (claim dated Sept. 2, 1927), $551.80.

(20) Santa Cruz Portland Cement Co., cement (claim dated Sept. 2, 1927), $1,214.50.

(21) Standard Oil Co., gasoline (claim dated Sept. 2, 1927), $610.32.

(22) Western Pipe & Steel Co., air pipe (claim dated Sept. 2, 1927), $1,068.

School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923.

(23) John Reid, Jr., tenth payment, architectural services for Mission (Everett) Junior High School (claim dated Sept. 7, 1927), $1,161.16.

(24) R. Brandlein & Co., tables for the Alvarado School (claim dated Sept. 6, 1927), $760.32.

General Fund, 1926-1927.

(25) Latourette-Fical Co., third payment for lighting of hangar and field, etc., Municipal Airport (claim dated Sept. 6, 1927), $2,275.

General Fund, 1927-1928.

(26) Remington-Rand Business Service, Inc., filing equipment, Board of Supervisors (claim dated Sept. 12, 1927), $632.80.

(27) California Rock Co., gravel for street repair (claim dated Sept. 6, 1927), $1,853.13.

(28) Eclipse Lime & Cement Co., cement for street repair (claim dated Sept. 6, 1927), $3,598.88.

(29) Eclipse Lime & Cement Co., cement for street repair (claim dated Sept. 6, 1927), $1,680.20.

(30) Equitable Asphalt Maintenance Co., asphalt street resurfacing during July (claim dated Sept. 6, 1927), $708.

(31) The Spring Valley Water Co., water service for street repair (claim dated Sept. 6, 1927), $1,292.04.

(32) Western Rock Products Co., sand for street repair (claim dated Sept. 6, 1927), $2,124.64.

(33) The Spring Valley Water Company, water for public buildings (claim dated Sept. 6, 1927), $1,866.14.

(34) James Rolph, Jr., September incidentals, Mayor's office (claim dated Sept. 12, 1927), $523.75.

(35) The Recorder Printing & Publishing Company, printing and publishing the Law and Motion and Trial Calendars (claim dated Sept. 12, 1927), $1,075.

(36) San Francisco Society for the Prevention of Cruelty to Animals, impounding, feeding, etc., of animals (claim dated Sept. 12, 1927), $1,250.

(37) The White Company, one White auto truck for Health Department (claim dated Sept. 8, 1927), $2,400.

(38) J. H. Zemansky, postage stamps for sample ballots (claim dated Sept. 8, 1927), $7,200.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Byington, Kent—2.

Appropriation, $1,750, Payment to Angele Van Beckh et al. for Sewer Right of Way.

Resolution No. 27795 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $1,750 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of "Extension and Reconstruction of Sewers," Budget Item No. 38, and authorized in payment to Angelo Van Beckh and Ernest V. Van Beckh; being payment for property required for the construction of a sewer from Mangels avenue to Monterey boulevard between Congo and Baden streets, to-wit:

Beginning at a point on the westerly line of Monterey boulevard, distant thereon 200 feet westerly from the westerly line of Baden street, thence westerly on the northerly line of Monterey boulevard 10 feet; thence at right angles northerly 100 feet; thence at right angles easterly 10 feet; thence at right angles southerly

100 feet. Being portion of Lot 13, Block 6770, as per the Assessor's Map Book; as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 27685 (New Series).

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Byington, Kent—2.

Appropriation, $600, Repairs, Third Street Bridge.

Resolution No. 27796 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $600 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of "Repair and Painting of Bridges," Budget Item No. 37, for investigation in connection with the existing strust and the replacement thereof on the Third street and Channel bridge.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Byington, Kent—2.

Oil and Boiler Permits.

Resolution No. 27832 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits be and are hereby granted:

Oil Tanks.

Hickok & Hickok, rear of 540 Brannan street, 1500 gallons capacity.

A. Ferrera, northeast corner of Mission and Harrington streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

E. A. Cornely, Inc., 127 Jordan avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

C. R. Davis, 3736 Jackson street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Mrs. S. Andriano, northeast corner of Union and Stotckton streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

Joost Bros., northeast corner of Golden Gate avenue and Baker street, 1500 gallons capacity.

W. G. Bailey, northwest corner of Parnassus avenue and Willard street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Dr. L. Emge, 109 Edgewood avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

Henry Ernst & Sons, 2519 Pacific avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

A. L. Kerr, south side of Jackson street, 250 feet west of Laurel street, 1500 gallons capacity.

J. B. Keenan, 2646 Union street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Anglo London Paris National Bank, 112 Pine street, 1500 gallons capacity.

E. V. Lacey, north side of Filbert street, 150 feet east of Van Ness avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

E. La Franconi, east side of Avila way 125 feet south of Capra way, 600 gallons capacity.

T. R. Smith, north side of Fell street, 112 feet west of Pierce street, 1500 gallons capacity.

P. Zlardi, north side of Eve street, 40 feet west of San Bruno avenue, 600 gallons capacity.

Chas. J. U. Koenig, south side of Magellan avenue, 535 feet east of Pacheco street, 750 gallons capacity.

A. T. Morris, south side of Balboa street, 108 feet east of Ninth avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

Marian Realty Co., northeast corner of Pacific avenue and Fillmore street, 1500 gallons capacity.

J. L. Webster, southeast corner of California and Broderick streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

A. F. Heure, west side of Fourth avenue, 42 feet south of Irving street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Monson Bros., northwest corner of Chestnut and Fillmore streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

O. A. Schaning, east side of Santa Clara avenue, 150 feet south of San Anselmo avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

L. W. Decker, north side of Chestnut street, 100 feet east of Laguna street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Hind Building Co., north side of Oak street, 100 feet east of Stanyan street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Boilers.

Cavanaugh Overall Factory, 350 Eleventh street, 100 horsepower.

H. Moffatt Co., Third street and Arthur avenue, 50 horsepower.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six month, otherwise said permits shall become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Byington—1.

Oil Permits.

Resolution No. 27797 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits be and the same are hereby granted:

Oil Tanks.

Max Breitman, 1287 Twelfth avenue, 750 gallons capacity.

T. J. Draffin, northeast corner

Balboa street and Thirty-fourth avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

J. D. Hannah, west line Capp street, 125 feet south of Twenty-first street, 1500 gallons capacity.

J. D. Hannah, west line of Capp street, 150 feet south of Twenty-first street, 1500 gallons capacity.

F. Harnett, south side of Sutter street, 150 feet east of Fillmore street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Daniel Hayes, northeast corner of Scott and Fulton streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

W. H. Hutton, 55 Polk street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Joseph F. Kirschling, south line of California street, 58 feet west of Parker avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

E. V. Lavey, south side of Geary street, 140 feet west of Larkin street, 1500 gallons capacity.

E. Lindberg, north side of Francisco street, 75 feet west of Larkin street, 750 gallons capacity.

R. E. Sbarboro, south side of Jackson street, 171 feet west of Laurel street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Thos. R. Smith, 38 McLaren avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

M. Stulsaft Investment Company, 835 Bush street, 1500 gallons capacity.

E. Trainor, north side of Fulton street, 119 feet west of Third avenue, 750 gallons capacity.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits shall become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—18.

Transfer of Garage Permits.

Resolution No. 27798 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That J. P. Bacigalupi be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred to him public garage permit heretofore granted B. C. Allyn, Jr., by Resolution No. 18718 (New Series) for premises at 925 Powell street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—18.

Resolution No. 27799 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That A. R. Tembey be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred to him public garage permit heretofore granted Irwin Cary by Resolution No. 17858 (New Series) for premises at 1415 Gough street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—18.

Garage Permits.

Resolution No. 27800 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That F. E. Morgan be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate a public garage on the lower floors and basement of building to be known as "Four Fifty Sutter street."

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—18.

Automobile Supply Station Permit.

Resolution No. 27801 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That California Petroleum Corporation be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an automobile supply station on the northeast corner of Lombard and Fillmore streets.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—18.

Transfer of Garage Permit.

Resolution No. 27802 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Alvin Liebes be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred public garage permit heretofore granted Smith & Tembey by Reso-

lution No. 25489 (New Series) for premises at 640 O'Farrell street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—18.

Blasting Permit.

Resolution No. 27803 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Eaton & Smith be and are hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to explode blasts on private property on Elsie street between Coso and Esmeralda avenues, provided said permittee shall execute and file a good and sufficient bond in the sum of $5,000, as fixed by the Board of Public Works and approved by his Honor the Mayor, in accordance with Ordinance No. 1204 (New Series); provided, also, that said blasts shall be exploded only between the hours of 7 a. m. and 6 p. m., and that the work of blasting shall be performed to the satisfaction of the Board of Public Works, and that if any of the conditions of this resolution be violated by said Eaton & Smith, then the privileges and all rights accruing thereunder shall immediately become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—18.

City Attorney Authorized to Employ Delos F. Wilcox for Investigation Regarding the Franchises and Properties of the Privately Owned Street Railways in San Francisco.

Resolution No. 27804 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the City Attorney be and he is hereby authorized to employ Delos F. Wilcox for the purpose of making an analysis and investigation regarding the franchises and properties of the privately owned street railways in San Francisco, and to furnish such additional information to the City Attorney as set forth in the letter of said City Attorney addressed to this Board on the date hereof, at a cost of ten thousand ($10,000) dollars, payable to said Delos F. Wilcox as follows, to-wit: $2,000 on September 15, 1927; $2,000 on October 1, 1927; $2,000 on October 15, 1927, and $4,000 upon the completion and filing of said report. That the compensation herein specified is to be in full payment for all services rendered by said Wilcox or anyone acting under him, and the said work should be completed within approximately sixty (60) days from date of his employment. Be it

Further Resolved, That the sum of ten thousand ($10,000) dollars be and the same is hereby appropriated from the moneys of the General Fund not otherwise appropriated, for the purpose of defraying the expenses of the employment of said Wilcox.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—18.

Plans, Etc., Flag Case.

Bill No. 8182, Ordinance No. 7692 (New Series), as follows:

Authorizing the preparation of plans and specifications for and ordering the construction of a flag case in accordance with said plans and specifications prepared therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized, instructed and empowered to prepare plans and specifications for a flag case, and to enter into contract for the construction of a flag case in accordance with the plans and specifications prepared therefor.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Byington, Kent—2.

Tax Levy.

Bill No. 8181, Ordinance No. 7693 (New Series), as follows:

Providing revenue and levying taxes for City and County purposes for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1928.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Under and pursuant to the provisions of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco and of the laws of the State of California, and in conformity therewith, a tax is hereby levied for City and County purposes, including special City and County school building purposes, for the

fiscal year ending June 30, 1928, on all the property, real and personal, in the City and County of San Francisco, except such property as is by law exempt from taxation, in the sum of three and eighty hundredths ($3.80) dollars on each one hundred dollars valuation of said taxable property as the same appears upon the assessment roll of said City and County for said fiscal year, which said sum of three and eighty hundredths ($3.80) dollars on each one hundred dollars valuation as aforesaid is hereby apportioned to the funds and accounts and for the purposes designated as follows:

For the General Fund, to meet all expenses of the City and County not otherwise herein provided for, a rate of..... $.83393

For the General Fund, to meet the cost of the construction and repairs to streets, sewers and buildings for the Detention Home and for the Police, Fire, Health and School departments, other than the special school building tax hereinafter provided for, the rate of.... .23852

For the General Fund, to meet the cost of elections and to pay the demands, salaries, expenses or other obligations imposed upon the City and County by legislative or constitutional enactment of the State of California or of the United States, and demands, salaries, expenses or other obligations imposed upon the City and County by direct vote of the people of the City and County, other than the items herein specifically provided for, the rate of ..... .80080

For the City and County Elementary School Fund, the minimum City and County school tax for the elementary schools to equal the estimated minimum for such purposes furnished to the Board of Supervisors by the Superintendent of Schools, in accordance with the provisions of Section 1817 of the Political Code of the State, the rate of... .17640

For the City and County High School Fund, the minimum City and County high school tax to equal the estimated minimum for such purpose furnished to the Board of Supervisors by the Superintendent of Schools in accordance with the provisions of Section 1764 of the Political Code of the State ..... .10611

For a special school tax for the School Building Fund, the rate of..... .15330

For the Common School Fund, in addition to the minimum amounts for elementary schools and high schools, and the special school tax for School Building Fund hereinbefore provided, the rate of .. .. .41148

For the Library Fund, to meet the cost of maintaining public libraries and the purchase of books therefor, the rate of.... .03621

For the Park Fund, to pay the maintenance of parks, squares and public grounds, the rate of..... .10000

For the Firemen's Relief and Pension Fund, the rate of ..... .04853

For special tax levied for publicity and advertising, pursuant to Subdivision 33 of Section 4041 of the Political Code of the State, the rate of..... .01788

For Retirement System for City employees ..... .10778

For Playground Fund..... .05000

For M. H. de Young Memorial Museum Fund.... .00900

For California Palace of the Legion of Honor Fund... .00900

For maintenance of the blind, Statutes 1919, Chapter 144 ..... .00217

For maintenance of Steinhart Aquarium ..... .00638

For the respective funds to redeem and pay the interest on bonds sold prior to November 8, 1910, as follows:

Children's Playground Bond Redemption and Interest Fund, issue 1904..... .00320

Golden Gate Park and Presidio Extension Bond Redemption and Interest Fund, issue 1904..... .00143

Mission Park Bond Redemption and Interest Fund, issue 1904 ..... .00126

Fire Protection Bond Redemption and Interest Fund, issue 1908..... .02738

Sewer Bond Redemption and Interest Fund, issue 1908 .. .. .01659
School Bond Redemption and Interest Fund, issue 1908 .. .. .01954
Hospital Bond Redemption and Interest Fund, issue 1908 .. .. .00760
Hall of Justice Bond Redemption and Interest Fund, issue 1908 ..... .00430
Garbage Disposal Bond Redemption and Interest Fund, issue 1908..... .00517
For the respective funds to redeem and pay the interest on bonds sold since November 8, 1910, as follows:
Street Bond Redemption and Interest Fund, issue 1904 ..... .005334
School Bond Redemption and Interest Fund, issue 1918 .. .. .037306
Library Bond Redemption and Interest Fund, issue 1904 .. .. .008093
Fire Protection Bond Redemption and Interest Fund, issue 1908..... .007633
Sewer Bond Redemption and Interest Fund, issue 1908 .. .. .010474
School Bond Redemption and Interest Fund, issue 1908 .. .. .016580
Hospital Bond Redemption and Interest Fund, issue 1908 .. .. .007000
Hall of Justice Bond Redemption and Interest Fund, issue 1908..... .002596
Polytechnic High School Bond Redemption and Interest Fund, issue 1910.. .004540
Water Bond Redemption and Interest Fund, issue 1910 ..... .098500
City Hall Bond Redemption and Interest Fund, issue 1912 .. .. .067450
Exposition Bond Redemption and Interest Fund, issue 1912 ..... .040730
Hospital-Jail Completion Bond Redemption and Interest Fund, issue 1913.. .015589
School Bond, 1923 issue, Interest Fund ..... .094494
Relief Home Bond, 1923 issue, Interest Fund..... .025521
To pay an aliquot part equal to one-tenth of the whole of each of the final judgments against the City and County of San Francisco .. .. .02420
For an additional special district school tax levied as per budget submitted by the Board of Education and to comply with the writ of mandate of the Supreme Court of the State of California, issued on September 12, 1927, to produce an additional sum for the support of the high and elementary schools of the City and County of San Francisco, and for the building fund of said schools ..... .1400

Total $3.80

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—18.

Amending Zoning Ordinance, Ocean Avenue.

Bill No. 8183, Ordinance No. 7694 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings and the locations of buildings designed for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Section 12 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place the northerly side of Ocean avenue, commencing at a point 88.98 feet westerly from Mission street and running thence westerly 50 feet and extending through to Bauer street, in the Commercial District instead of in the First Residential District.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Byington, Kent—2.

Establishing Set-back Lines, Certain Streets.

Bill No. 8187, Ordinance No. 7695 (New Series), as follows:

Establishing set-back lines along portions of Sixteenth avenue, Parker avenue and Santiago street.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby recited that on the 25th day of July, 1927, the Board of Supervisors adopted Resolution of Intention No. 144, to establish set-back lines along portions of Sixteenth avenue, Parker avenue and Santiago street, and fixed the 29th day of August, 1927, at 11 o'clock a. m., at the chambers of the Board of Supervisors, as the time and place for hearing objections thereto; that thereafter and more than 10 days prior to said hearing the said resolution was published and notices of the pasage of said resolution were posted along the line of said streets, in time, form and manner required by Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series); that said hearing was held at the time and place aforesaid, and that all objections made at said hearing have been properly overruled.

Section 2. Pursuant to the foregoing recitals and in accordance with the provisions of said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), set-back lines are hereby established as follows:

Along the westerly side of Sixteenth avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Geary street, and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 10 feet; thence northerly 75 feet, said set-back line to be 15 feet; thence northerly 75 feet, said set-back line to be 18 feet; thence norterly 50 feet, said set-back line to be 20 feet; thence northerly 75 feet, said set-back line to be 18 feet; thence northerly 50 feet, said set-back line to be 15 feet; thence northerly 75 feet, said set-back line to be 7½ feet; along the easterly side of Sixteenth avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Geary street, and running thence northerly 350 feet, said set-back line to be 10 feet; thence northerly 80 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet.

Along the westerly side of Parker avenue, commencing at St. Rose's avenue and running thence northerly 50 feet, said set-back line to be 5 feet; thence northerly 225 feet, said set-back line to be 16 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 8 feet.

Along the northerly side of Santiago street, commencing at Eighteenth avenue and running thence easterly 157½ feet, said set-back line to be 10 feet; thence easterly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 2-3 feet; thence easterly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 1-3 feet.

As shown on the maps filed in the office of the Board of Supervisors and to which reference is hereby made for further particulars.

Section 3. No structures shall be erected in the area between said set-back lines and the street lines except as provided in said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), and the penalties for the violation of this ordinance shall be as fixed by the ordinance aforesaid.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Byington, Kent—2.

Bill No. 8188, Ordinance No. 7696 (New Series), as follows:

Establishing set-back lines along portions of Araga street, Campbell street, Twenty-first, Twenty-second and Twenty-third avenues.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby recited that on the 1st day of August, 1927, the Board of Supervisors adopted Resolution of Intention No. 148 to establish set-back lines along Araga and Campbell streets, Twenty-first, Twenty-second and Twenty-third avenues, and fixed the 5th day of September, 1927, at 11 o'clock a. m., at the chambers of the Board of Supervisors, as the time and place for hearing objections thereto; that thereafter and more than ten days prior to said hearing the said resolution was published and notices of the passage of said resolution were posted along the line of said streets, in time, form and manner required by Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series); that said hearing was held at the time and place aforesaid, and that all objections made at said hearing have been properly overruled.

Section 2. Pursuant to the foregoing recitals and in accordance with the provisions of said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), set-back lines are hereby established as follows:

Along the northwesterly side of Araga street, commencing at Havelock street and running thence northeasterly to a point 80 feet southwesterly from Paulding street, said set-back line to be 5 feet.

Along the northeasterly side of Campbell street, commencing at a point 25 feet southeasterly from Delta street and running thence southeasterly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 2-3 feet; thence south-

easterly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 7 1-3 feet; thence southeasterly to Rutland street, said set-back line to be 11 feet; along the southwesterly side of Campbell street, commencing at a point 50 feet southeasterly from Delta street and running thence southeasterly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 4 feet; thence southeasterly to Rutland street, said set-back line to be 8 feet.

Along the easterly line of Twenty-first avenue, commencing at a point 148 feet 8 inches northerly from Rivera street and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 2½ feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 5 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 7½ feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 10 feet; thence northerly 76 feet 4 inches, said set-back line to be 12½ feet; thence northerly 175 feet, said set-back line to be 15 feet.

Along both sides of Twenty-second avenue, commencing at points 93 feet northerly from Noriega street and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 6 feet; thence northerly 300 feet, said set-back lines to be 9 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 3 feet.

Along the westerly line of Twenty-third avenue, commencing at a point 93 feet northerly from Noriega street and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly to Moraga street, said set-back line to be 9 feet; along the easterly side of Twenty-third avenue, commencing at a point 93 feet northerly from Noriega street and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 300 feet, said set-back line to be 9 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet.

As shown on the maps filed in the office of the Board of Supervisors and to which reference is hereby made for further particulars.

Section 3. No structures shall be erected in the area between said set-back lines and the street lines except as provided in said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), and the penalties for the violation of this ordinance shall be as fixed by the ordinance aforesaid.

A y e s — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, H a v e n n e r, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

A b s e n t—Supervisors Byington, Kent—2.

Bill No. 8189, Ordinance No. 7697 (New Series), as follows:

Establishing set-back lines along portions of Fell street, Thirty-seventh and Thirty-eighth avenues.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby recited that on the 1st day of August, 1927, the Board of Supervisors adopted Resolution of Intention No. 145, to establish set-back lines along Fell street and Thirty-seventh and Thirty-eighth avenues, and fixed the 5th day of September, 1927, at 11 o'clock a. m., at the chambers of the Board of Supervisors, as the time and place for hearing objections thereto; that thereafter and more than ten days prior to said hearing the said resolution was published and notices of the passage of said resolution were posted along the line of said streets, in time, form and manner required by Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series); that said hearing was held at the time and place aforesaid, and that all objections made at said hearing have been properly overruled.

Section 2. Pursuant to the foregoing recitals, and in accordance with the provisions of said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), set-back lines are hereby established as follows:

Along the easterly side of Thirty-seventh avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Taraval street, and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 300 feet, said set-back line to be 9 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet.

Along the westerly side of Thirty-eighth avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Taraval street, and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 300 feet, said set-back line to be 9 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet.

Along the northerly side of Fell street, commencing at a point 31 feet 3 inches easterly from Masonic avenue. and running thence easterly 325 feet, said set-back line to be 16 feet.

As shown on the maps filed in the office of the Board of Supervisors, and to which reference is hereby made for further particulars.

Section 3. No structures shall be erected in the area between said set-back lines and the street lines, except as provided in said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), and the penalties for the violation of this ordinance shall be as fixed by the ordinance aforesaid.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Byington, Kent—2.

Bill No. 8190, Ordinance No. 7698 (New Series), as follows:

Establishing set-back lines along portions of Twenty-first, Twenty-second and Twenty-fourth avenues.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby recited that on the 1st day of August, 1927, the Board of Supervisors adopted Resolution of Intention No. 147, to establish set-back lines along Twenty-first, Twenty-second and Twenty-fourth avenues, and fixed the 5th day of September, 1927, at 11 o'clock a. m., at the chambers of the Board of Supervisors, as the time and place for hearing objections thereto; that thereafter and more than ten days prior to said hearing the said resolution was published and notices of the passage of said resolution were posted along the line of said streets, in time, form and manner required by Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series); that said hearing was held at the time and place aforesaid, and that all objections made at said hearing have been properly overruled.

Section 2. Pursuant to the foregoing recitals, and in accordance with the provisions of said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), set-back lines are hereby established as follows:

Along the westerly side of Twenty-first avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Ortega street, and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 315 feet, said set-back line to 9 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; along the easterly side of Twenty-first avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Ortega street, and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 300 feet, said set-back line to be 9 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet.

Along the westerly side of Twenty-first avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Quintara street, and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 300 feet, said set-back line to be 9 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; along the easterly side of Twenty-first avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Quintara street, and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 350 feet, said set-back line to be 9 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet.

Along the westerly side of Twenty-second avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Moraga street, and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly to Lawton street, said set-back line to be 9 feet; along the easterly side of Twenty-second avenue, commencing at Moraga street, and running thence northerly 500 feet, said set-back line to be 2 feet.

Along the westerly side of Forty-fourth avenue, commencing at a point 85 feet northerly from Fulton street, and running thence northerly to Cabrillo street, said set-back line to be 5 feet.

As shown on the maps filed in the office of the Board of Supervisors, and to which reference is hereby made for further particulars.

Section 3. No structures shall be erected in the area between said set-back lines and the street lines, except as provided in said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), and the penalties for the violation of

this ordinance shall be as fixed by the ordinance aforesaid.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Byington, Kent—2.

Bill No. 8191, Ordinance No. 7699 (New Series), as follows:

Establishing set-back lines along portions of Seventeenth, Twentieth and Twenty-third avenues.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby recited that on the 1st day of August, 1927, the Board of Supervisors adopted Resolution of Intention No. 146, to establish set-back lines along Seventeenth, Twentieth and Twenty-third avenues, and fixed the 5th day of September, 1927, at 11 o'clock a. m., at the chambers of the Board of Supervisors, as the time and place for hearing objections thereto; that thereafter and more than ten days prior to said hearing the said resolution was published and notices of the passage of said resolution were posted along the line of said streets, in time, form and manner required by Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series); that said hearing was held at the time and place aforesaid, and that all objections made at said hearing have been properly overruled.

Section 2. Pursuant to the foregoing recitals, and in accordance with the provisions of said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), set-back lines are hereby established as follows:

Along the westerly side of Seventeenth avenue, commencing at a point 87 feet 3 inches northerly from Rivera street, and running thence northerly to a point 100 feet southerly from Quintara street, said set-back line to be 11½ feet; along the easterly side of Seventeenth avenue, commencing at a point 83 feet 7 inches northerly from Rivera street, and running thence northerly 436 feet 5 inches, said set-back line to be 15 feet; thence northerly to Quintara street, said set-back line of the 7 feet.

Along the easterly side of Twentieth avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Rivera street, and running thence northerly 32½ feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly to Quintara street, said set-back line to be 9 feet.

Along the westerly side of Twenty-third avenue, commencing at a point 50 feet northerly from Moraga street, and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 400 feet, said set-back line to be 9 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; along the easterly side of Twenty-third avenue, commencing at Moraga street, and running thence northerly 100 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 375 feet, said set-back line to be 9 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet.

As shown on the maps filed in the office of the Board of Supervisors, and to which reference is hereby made for further particulars.

Section 3. No structures shall be erected in the area between said set-back lines and the street lines, except as provided in said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), and the penalties for the violation of this ordinance shall be as fixed by the ordinance aforesaid.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Byington, Kent—2.

Amending Zoning Ordinance, Brighton Avenue.

Bill No. 8197, Ordinance No. 7700 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings, and the locations of buildings designed for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Section 13 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place the westerly side of Brighton avenue, commencing at a point 323 feet 4 inches southerly from Ocean avenue and running thence southerly 50 feet, in

the Commercial District instead of the First Residential District.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Byington, Kent—2.

Establishing Set-back Lines, Certain Streets.

Bill No. 8192, Ordinance No. 7701 (New Series), as follows:

Establishing set-back lines along portions of Fifteenth, Twentieth, Twenty-fourth and Twenty-fifth avenues.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby recited that on the 8th day of August, 1927, the Board of Supervisors adopted Resolution of Intention No. 150, to establish set-back lines along portions of Fifteenth, Twentieth, Twenty-fourth and Twenty-fifth avenues, and fixed the 12th day of September, 1927, at 11 o'clock a. m., at the chambers of the Board of Supervisors as the time and place for hearing objections thereto; that thereafter and more than 10 days prior to said hearing the said resolution was published and notices of the passage of said resolution were posted along the line of said streets, in time, form and manner required by Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series); that said hearing was held at the time and place aforesaid, and that all objections made at said hearing have been properly overruled.

Section 2. Pursuant to the foregoing recitals and in accordance with the provisions of said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), set-back lines are hereby established as follows:

Along the easterly side of Fifteenth avenue, commencing at Ulloa street and running thence northerly to a point 132 feet 6 inches southerly from Taraval street, said set-back line to be 2½ feet.

Along the westerly side of Twentieth avenue, commencing at a point 50 feet northerly from Noriega street and running thence northerly to Moraga street, said set-back line to 12 feet; along the easterly side of Twentieth avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Noriega street and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly to Moraga street, said set-back line to be 9 feet.

Along the westerly side of Twenty-fourth avenue, commencing at Moraga street and running thence northerly 450 feet, said set-back line to be 9 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; along the easterly side of Twenty-fourth avenue, commencing at a point 25 feet northerly from Moraga street and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 2 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 4 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 400 feet, said set-back line to be 8 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 4 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 2 feet.

Along both sides of Twenty-fifth avenue, commencing at Moraga street and running thence northerly 450 feet, said set-back lines to be 9 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 3 feet.

As shown on the maps filed in the office of the Board of Supervisors and to which reference is hereby made for further particulars.

Section 3. No structures shall be erected in the area between said set-back lines and the street lines except as provided in said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series) and the penalties for the violation of this ordinance shall be as fixed by the ordinance aforesaid.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Byington, Kent—2.

Bill No. 8193, Ordinance No. 7702 (New Series), as follows:

Establishing set-back lines along portions of Twenty-fourth, Twenty-fifth, Twenty-sixth, Twenty-seventh and Twenty-eighth avenues.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby recited that on the 8th day of August, 1927, the Board of Supervisors adopted Resolution of Intention No. 149, to establish set-back lines along Twenty-fourth, Twenty-fifth, Twenty-sixth, Twenty-seventh and Twenty-eighth avenues, and fixed the 12th

day of September, 1927, at 11 o'clock a. m., at the chambers of the Board of Supervisors as the time and place for hearing objections thereto; that thereafter and more than 10 days prior to said hearing the said resolution was published and notices of the passage of said resolution were posted along the line of said streets, in time, form and manner required by Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series); that said hearing was held at the time and place aforesaid, and that all objections made at said hearing have been properly overruled.

Section 2. Pursuant to the foregoing recitals and in accordance with the provisions of said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), setback lines are hereby established as follows:

Along both sides of Twenty-fourth avenue, commencing at points 93 feet northerly from Noriega street and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 6 feet; thence northerly to Moraga street, said set-back lines to be 9 feet.

Along both sides of Twenty-fifth avenue, commencing at points 93 feet northerly from Noriega street and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 6 feet; thence northerly to Moraga street, said set-back lines to be 9 feet.

Along both sides of Twenty-sixth avenue, commencing at points 93 feet northerly from Noriega street and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 6 feet; thence northerly to Moraga street, said set-back lines to be 9 feet.

Along both sides of Twenty-seventh avenue, commencing at points 93 feet northerly from Noriega street and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 6 feet; thence northerly to Moraga street, said set-back lines to be 9 feet.

Along the westerly side of Twenty-eighth avenue, commencing at a point 93 feet northerly from Noriega street and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 300 feet, said set-back line to be 9 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; along the easterly side of Twenty-eighth avenue, commencing at a point 93 feet northerly from Noriega street and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly to Moraga street, said set-back line to be 9 feet.

As shown on the maps filed in the office of the Board of Supervisors and to which reference is hereby made for further particulars.

Section 3. No structures shall be erected in the area between said set-back lines and the stret lines except as provided in said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), and the penalties for the violation of this ordinance shall be as fixed by the ordinance aforesaid.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Byington, Kent—2.

Bill No. 8194, Ordinance No. 7703 (New Series), as follows:

Establishing set-back lines along portions of Forty-fifth avenue, Forty-sixth avenue and Forty-seventh avenue.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby recited that on the 25th day of July, 1927, the Board of Supervisors adopted Resolution of Intention No. 143 to establish set-back lines along portions of Forty-fifth avenue, Forty-sixth avenue and Forty-seventh avenue, and fixed the 29th day of August, 1927, at 11 o'clock a. m., at the chambers of the Board of Supervisors, as the time and place for hearing objections thereto; that thereafter and more than 10 days prior to said hearing the said resolution was published and notices of the passage of said resolution were posted along the line of said streets in time, form and manner required by Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series); that said hearing was held at the time and place aforesaid, and that all objections made at said hearing have been properly overruled.

Section 2. Pursuant to the foregoing recitals and in accordance with the provisions of said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), setback lines are hereby established as follows:

Along both sides of Forty-fifth

avenue, commencing at points 100 feet northerly from Taraval street and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 6 feet; thence northerly 300 feet, said set-back lines to be 9 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 3 feet.

Along both sides of Forty-sixth avenue, commencing at points 100 feet northerly from Taraval street and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 6 feet; thence northerly 300 feet, said set-back lines to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 3 feet.

Along the westerly side of Forty-seventh avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Taraval street and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly to Santiago street, said set-back line to be 9 feet; along the easterly side of Forty-seventh avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Taraval street and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 300 feet, said set-back line to be 9 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet.

Along the westerly side of Forty-sixth avenue, commencing at a point 300 feet northerly from Fulton street and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 10 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 175 feet, said set-back line to be 4 feet.

As shown on the maps filed in the office of the Board of Supervisors and to which reference is hereby made for further particulars.

Section 3. No structures shall be erected in the area between said set-back lines and the street lines except as provided in said Ordinace No. 5636 (New Series), and the penalties for the violation of this ordinance shall be as fixed by the ordinance aforesaid.

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Ronco-vieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Byington, Kent—2.

Bill No. 8195, Ordinance No. 7704 (New Series), as follows:

Establishing set-back lines along portions of Pacheco street, Fourteenth avenue, Fifteenth avenue, Sixteenth avenue and Thirty-ninth avenue.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby recited that on the 25th day of July, 1927, the Board of Supervisors adopted Resolution of Intention No. 141 to establish set-back lines along portions of Pacheco street, Fourteenth avenue, Fifteenth avenue, Sixteenth avenue and Thirty-ninth avenue, and fixed the 29th day of August, 1927, at 11 o'clock a. m., at the chambers of the Board of Supervisors as the time and place for hearing objections thereto; that thereafter and more than 10 days prior to said hearing the said resolution was published and notices of the passage of said resolution were posted along the line of said streets in time, form and manner required by Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series); that said hearing was held at the time and place aforesaid, and that all objections made at said hearing have been properly overruled.

Section 2. Pursuant to the foregoing recitals and in accordance with the provisions of said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), set-back lines are hereby established as follows:

Along the southwesterly side of Pacheco street, commencing at Aerial way and running thence northwesterly 231.644 feet, said set-back line to be 10 feet; along the northeasterly side of Pacheco street, commencing at Aerial way and running thence northwesterly to Cascade walk, said set-back line to be 10 feet.

Along the westerly side of Fourteenth avenue between Ortega street and Mount Lane, said set-back line to be 10 feet; along the easterly side of Fourteenth avenue between Aerial way and Ortega street, said set-back line to be 10 feet.

Along the westerly side of Fifteenth avenue and the southwesterly side of Noriega street, commencing at Ortega street and running thence northerly and northwesterly to Sixteenth avenue, said set-back line to be 10 feet; along the easterly side of Fifteenth avenue between Ortega street and

Mount Lane, said set-back line to be 10 feet.

Along the westerly side of Sixteenth avenue between Noriega street and Moraga street, said set-back line to be 10 feet.

Along both sides of Thirty-ninth avenue, commencing at points 50 feet northerly from Kirkham street and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 2 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 4 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 6 feet; thence northerly 300 feet, said set-back lines to be 8 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 4 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 2 feet.

As shown on the maps filed in the office of the Board of Supervisors and to which reference is hereby made for further particulars.

Section 3. No structures shall be erected in the area between said set-lback lines and the street lines except as provided in said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), and the penalties for the violation of this ordinance shall be as fixed by the ordinance aforesaid.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Byington, Kent—2.

Bill No. 8196, Ordinance No. 7705 (New Series), as follows:

Establishing set-back lines along portions of Noriega and Ortega streets.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby recited that on the 5th day of July, 1927, the Board of Supervisors adopted Resolution of Intention No. 133, to establish set-back lines along Noriega and Orega streets, and fixed the 1st day of August, 1927, at 11 o'clock a. m., at the chambers of the Board of Supervisors as the time and place for hearing objections thereto; that thereafter and more than 10 days prior to said hearing the said resolution was published and notices of the passage of said resolution were posted along the line of said streets, in time, form and manner required by Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series); that said hearing was held at the time and place aforesaid, and that all objections made at said hearing have been properly overruled.

Section 2. Pursuant to the foregoing recitals and in accordance with the provisions of said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), set-back lines are hereby established as follows:

Along the southwesterly side of Noriega street between Eleventh avenue and Grant way, said set-back line to be 10 feet.

Along the northerly side of Noriega street, commencing at a point 95.618 feet westerly from the point formed by the intersection of the westerly line of Twelfth avenue if produced southerly with the northerly line of Noriega street if produced easterly, and running thence to Funston avenue, said set-back line to be 10 feet; along the northerly line of Noriega street, commencing at Funston avenue and running thence westerly along the northerly line of Noriega street and northerly along the easterly line of Fourteenth avenue to Moraga street, said set-back line of be 10 feet; along the southerly side of Noriega street between Grant way and Fourteenth avenue, said set-back line to be 10 feet.

Along the southwesterly side of Ortega street between Eleventh avenue and Cascade walk, said set-back line to be 10 feet; along the northeasterly side of Ortega street between Eleventh avenue and Grant way, said set-back line to be 10 feet.

Along the southerly side of Ortega street between Cascade walk and Fourteenth avenue, said set-back line to be 10 feet; along the northerly side of Ortega street between Grant way and Fourteenth avenue, said set-back line to be 10 feet.

As shown on the maps filed in the office of the Board of Supervisors and to which reference is hereby made for further particulars.

Section 3. No structures shall be erected in the area between said set-back lines and the street lines except as provided in said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), and the penalties for the violation of this ordinance shall be as fixed by the ordinance aforesaid.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Byington—1.

Amending Ordinance No. 5613, Outdoor Carnivals.

Bill No. 8184, Ordinance No. 7706 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Section 2 of Ordinance No. 5613 (New Series), imposing a license tax on itinerant shows, carnivals and concessions, regulating the manner of maintaining or conducting same and providing a penalty for violation thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Section 2 of Ordinance No. 5613 (New Series), the title of which is recited above, is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 2. The term itinerant show or exhibition shall be construed to mean any outdoor carnival, show or concession exhibiting or operating temporarily under a tent, or in the open, except such as come within the provisions of Ordinance No. 5240 (New Series) or Section 34 of Ordinance No. 5132 (New Series).

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—18.

Repealing Ordinance No. 7456 (New Series), Fourteenth Avenue.

Bill No. 8185, Ordinance No. 7707 (New Series), as follows:

Repealing that portion of Ordinance No. 7456 (New Series), approved April 1, 1927, providing for the full acceptance of the roadway of Fourteenth avenue between Rivera and Santiago streets.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. That portion of Ordinance No. 7456 (New Series) providing for the full acceptance of the roadway of Fourteenth avenue between Rivera and Santiago streets is hereby repealed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—18.

Repealing Ordinance No. 7597 (New Series), Jerrold Avenue.

Bill No. 8186, Ordinance No. 7708 (New Series), as follows:

Repealing Ordinance No. 7597 (New Series), approved July 15, 1927, ordering the improvement of Jerrold avenue between Phelps and Quint streets, including the crossing of Jerrold avenue and Phelps street.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 7597 (New Series) ordering the improvement of Jerrold avenue between Phelps and Quint streets, including the crossing of Jerrold avenue and Phelps street, is hereby repealed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—18.

PRESENTATION OF BILLS AND ACCOUNTS.

Your Finance Committee, having examined miscellaneous demands not required by law to be passed to print, and amounting to $74,763.54, recommends same be allowed and ordered paid.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—18.

Action Deferred.

The following matter was *laid over one week*:

Transfer of Municipal Railway Fund.

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $42,508.21 is hereby transferred from the Twin Peaks Ridge Tunnel Assessment Fund to the Municipal Railway Fund, and the Board of Public Works, Tax Collector, Auditor and Treasurer are hereby directed to make such entries in their official records and books of account as will correctly show such transfer. Said transfer is for the purpose of reimbursing said Municipal Railway Fund for moneys advanced therefrom and used in the construction of the Twin Peaks Tunnel project.

Rereferred.

The following bill was *rereferred to the City Planning Committee*:

Amending Zoning Ordinance, Ingalls Street.

Bill No. ——, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings, and the location of buildings de-

signed for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Section 11 of the Use of Property Zone map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place the southeasterly side of Ingalls street between Donner avenue and Egbert avenue, for a distance of 225 feet on Donner avenue and 300 feet on Egbert avenue, in the Light Industrial District instead of the First Residential District.

Action Deferred.

The following bill was *laid over one week*:

Amending Zoning Ordinance, Eighteenth Street and Potrero Avenue.

Bill No. ——, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings, and the location of buildings designed for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Section 9 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place the southwest corner of Eighteenth street and Potrero avenue, for a distance of 100 feet on Eighteenth street and a distance of 100 feet on Potrero avenue, in the Light Industrial District instead of the Second Residential District.

NEW BUSINESS.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Authorizations.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

Library Fund.

(1) American Building Maintenance Co., janitor service for public libraries (claim dated Aug. 31, 1927), $810.

(2) San Francisco News Co., library books (claim dated Aug. 31, 1927), $2,296.54.

Park Fund.

(3) Montague Pipe & Steel Co., pipe for parks (claim dated Aug. 31, 1927), $2,021.24.

(4) Pacific Gas & Electric Co., gas and electric service for parks (claim dated Sept. 16, 1927), $4,526.43.

Playground Fund.

(5) Spring Valley Water Co., water for parks (claim dated Sept. 7, 1927), $1,442.75.

(6) Board of Public Works, labor and materials furnished for playgrounds during July (claim dated Sept. 7, 1927), $572.38.

Hetch Hetchy Operative Revenue Fund.

(7) H. G. Butler, services in connection with severance damage for valuation of San Francisco electric properties (claim dated Sept. 14, 1927), $787.50.

(8) John J. Dailey, legal services for September, in valuation of San Francisco electric properties (claim dated Sept. 14, 1927), $850.

(9) Railroad Commission of the State of California, expense of valuation of San Francisco electric properties during September, 1927 (claim dated Sept. 19, 1927), $1,000.

Hetch Hetchy Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1927.

(10) Edison Storage Battery Supply Co., battery cells, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Sept. 7, 1927), $2,967.69.

(11) T. E. Connolly and J. M. De Lucca, eighth payment, construction of Hetch Hetchy aqueduct tunnels, Foothill Division (claim dated Sept. 14, 1927), $30,864.63.

(12) A. Guthrie & Co., Inc., ninth payment, construction of Hetch Hetchy aqueduct tunnels, Foothill Division (claim dated Sept. 14, 1927), $50,052.29.

California Palace Legion of Honor —(Appropriation 61).

(13) American Railway Express Co., expressage on exhibits (claim dated Sept. 16, 1927), $2,108.46.

Municipal Railway Fund.

(14) San Francisco City Employees Retirement System, pensions, etc., Municipal Railway employees (claim dated Sept. 7, 1927), $7,468.36.

(15) American Smelting & Re-

fining Co., copper trolley wire (claim dated Sept. 7, 1927), $2,051.20.

Special School Tax.

(16) S. W. Band, final payment, plumbing and gas-fitting, additional units, Galileo High School (claim dated Sept. 13, 1927), $7,762.50.

(17) D. N. & E. Walter & Co., first and final payment, window and door shades, additional units, Galileo High School (claim dated Sept. 13, 1927), $1,272.20.

General Fund, 1926-1927.

(18) Pearson & Johnson, second payment, moving club-houses at foot of Van Ness avenue (claim dated Sept. 16, 1927), $4,804.60.

(19) W. Q. Wright, Ralph A. Beebee and Albert A. Peters, progress payment, dredging the yacht harbor (claim dated Sept. 16, 1927), $5,906.25.

(20) Monson Bros., first payment, repairs and underpinning of the Palace of Fine Arts (claim dated Sept. 16, 1927), $5,464.13.

(21) Frank J. McHugh, sixth payment, construction of sewer in westerly Sunset District, Contract 3 (claim dated Sept. 13, 1927), $11,-250.

General Fund, 1927-1928.

(22) Associated Charities, widows' pensions (claim dated Sept. 15, 1927), $8,560.73.

(23) Eureka Benevolent Society, widows' pensions (claim dated Sept. 16, 1927), $1,023.23.

(24) Little Children's Aid, widows' pensions (claim dated Sept. 16, 1927), $8,704.02.

(25) Dion R. Holm, expense of trip to Oregon and Washington in matter of telephone rate litigation (claim dated Sept. 14, 1927), $509.35.

(26) Dion R. Holm, legal services for September, tedlephone rate litigation (claim dated Sept. 14, 1927), $850.

(27) N. Randall Ellis, engineering services, telephone rate litigation (claim dated Sept. 14, 1927), $750.

(28) Crescent Oil Co., gasoline for Police Department (claim dated Sept. 12, 1927), $826.07.

(29) Spring Valley Water Co., water for street cleaning (claim dated Sept. 13, 1927), $643.03.

(30) Eclipse Lime & Cement Co., cement for sewer repairs (claim dated Sept. 13, 1927), $897.01.

(31) The Stuart S. Smith Co., one Elgin sweeper, Department of Public Works (claim dated Aug. 25, 1927), $7,375 50.

(32) Pacific Gas & Electric Co., lighting public buildings (claim dated Sept. 13, 1927), $3,784.51.

(33) James M. Smith, second payment, construction of San Francisco Municipal Airport (claim dated Sept. 14, 1927), $5,400.

(34) Shell Company, fuel oil, Fire Department (claim dated Aug. 31, 1927), $733.72.

(35) Crescent Oil Co., gasoline, Fire Department (claim dated Aug. 31, 1927), $876.89.

(36) M. Greenberg's Sons, hydrant parts, etc., Fire Department (claim dated Aug. 31, 1927), $677.44.

(37) Pacific Gas & Electric Co., gas and electric service, Fire Department (claim dated Aug. 31, 1927), $1,932.75.

(38) Spring Valley Water Co., installing hydrants and water service, Fire Department (claim dated Aug. 31, 1927), $2,077.77.

(39) E. B. Frick, room allowances for San Francisco Hospital employees (claim dated Sept. 15, 1927), $2,712.28.

(40) Ralphs Pugh Co., sheeting, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Sept. 15, 1927), $880.25.

(41) Eastman Kodak Stores, Inc., dental films, etc., San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Sept. 15, 1927), $2,294.20.

(42) Langendorf Baking Co., bread, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Sept. 15, 1927), $1,-264.87.

(43) Scatena-Galli Fruit Co., fruit, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Sept. 15, 1927), $725.02.

(44) Marshall-Newell Supply Co., valves, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Sept. 15, 1927), $1,-032.37.

(45) California Petroleum Co., fuel oil, Laguna Honda Home (claim dated Sept. 15, 1927), $1,-780.39.

(46) Del Monte Meat Co., meats, Laguna Honda Home (claim dated Sept. 15, 1927), $3,109.85.

(47) L. Dinkelspiel Co., dry goods, Laguna Honda Home (claim dated Sept. 15, 1927), $1,207.18.

(48) J. T. Freitas Co., eggs, Laguna Honda Home (claim dated Sept. 15, 1927), $1,682.62.

(49) H. Moffatt Co., meats, Laguna Honda Home (claim dated Sept. 15, 1927), $853.51.

(50) Morris & Co., butter, etc., Laguna Honda Home (claim dated Sept. 15, 1927), $1,782.21.

(51) San Francisco Dairy Co., milk, Laguna Honda Home (claim dated Sept. 15, 1927), $2,453.48.

(52) Sperry Flour Co., flour, Laguna Honda Home (claim dated Sept. 15, 1927), $680.

(53) Louis Strauss, men's suits,

Laguna Honda Home (claim dated Sept. 15, 1927), $810.

(54) Levi Strauss & Co., underwear, Laguna Honda Home (claim dated Sept. 15, 1927), $1,117.50.

(55) Sussman, Wormser Co., sugar, Laguna Honda Home (claim dated Sept. 15, 1927), $585.

(56) S. H. Tyler & Son, coffee, Laguna Honda Home (claim dated Sept. 15, 1927), $538.80.

(57) Spring Valley Water Co., water, Laguna Honda Home (claim dated Sept. 15, 1927), $786.33.

(58) Pacific Gas & Electric Co., street lighting for August (claim dated Sept. 19, 1927), $54,711.97.

(59) Renner Foundation Co., removing aprons and approaches to boathouses, etc., Yacht Harbor (claim dated Sept. 16, 1927), $1,200.

(60) Renner Foundation Co., removing aprons and approaches to boathouses, etc., Yacht Harbor (claim dated Sept. 16, 1917), $1,725.

(61) Preston School of Industry, maintenance of minors (claim dated Sept. 15, 1927), $605.16.

(62) Preston School of Industry, maintenance of minors (claim dated Sept. 15, 1927), $552.90.

(63) St. Vincent's School, maintenance of minors (claim dated Sept. 15, 1927), $1,565.31.

(64) Protestant Orphanage, maintenance of minors (claim dated Sept. 15, 1927), $998.15.

(65) San Francisco Nursery for Homeless Children, maintenance of minors (claim dated Sept. 15, 1927), $548.56.

(66) Roman Catholic Orphanage, maintenance of minors (claim dated Sept. 15, 1927), $3,575.62.

(67) Boys' Aid Society, maintenance of minors (claim dated Sept. 15, 1927), $1,235.44.

(68) The Albertinum Orphanage, maintenance of minors (claim dated Sept. 15, 1927), $1,380.59.

(69) Little Children's Aid, maintenance of minors (claim dated Sept. 15, 1927), $12,516.63.

(70) Eureka Benevolent Society, maintenance of minors (claim dated Sept. 15, 1927), $4,076 30.

(71) Children's Agency, maintenance of minors (claim dated Sept. 15, 1927), $31,979.72.

(72) Symon Bros., team hire for street cleaning (claim dated Sept. 15, 1927), $805.

Publicity and Advertising (Appropriation 55).

(73) Rudolph Theurkuaf, designing, building, decorating and maintaining San Francisco exhibit at State Fair, Sacramento (claim dated Sept. 19, 1927), $1,963.60.

Appropriations Out of County Road Fund for Boulevards.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside and appropriated out of County Road Fund and authorized in payment to the hereinafter named persons; being payments for properties and damages to properties as hereinafter described, to-wit:

(1) To Vincenzo Zolli and Teresa Zolli for property required for the widening of Silver avenue, as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 27766 (New Series), $760.

(2) To Mary Barilli for property required for the widening of Silver avenue, as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 27766 (New Series), $760.

(3) To Hannah Greenbaum for property required for the widening of Silver avenue, as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 27766 (New Series), $665.

(4) To Michael Pajka for property required for the widening of Silver avenue, as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 27766 (New Series), $680.

(5) To John P. Magee and Rose Magee for property required for the widening of Silver avenue, as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 27766 (New Series), $665.

(6) To Fred Muzio and Tackla Muzio for property required for the widening of Silver avenue, as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 27766 (New Series), $725.

(7) To Wm. D. Coryell and Roselia Coryell for property required for the widening of Silver avenue, as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 27766 (New Series), $775.

(8) To I. M. Sommer and Fanny R. Sommer for property required for the opening of Alemany boulevard, as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 27767 (New Series), $2,138.

(9) To E. C. Mills and Ida Ruth Mills for release of damages in connection with acquisition of property required for the extension of Market street, as per Resolution No. 27765 (New Series), $1,000.

(10) To Thos. S. Kristovich and Marko M. Kristovich for property required for the widening of Silver avenue, as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 27766 (New Series), $730.

Appropriation, $14,568.60, for Property Required for McLaren Park.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $14,568.60 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of "Purchase of Lands for Public Purposes in Mission District," Budget Item No. 70, and authorized in payment to the California Pacific T tle & Trust Company; being payment for properties required for the John McLaren Park as set forth in acceptance of offers by Resolution No. 27785 (New Series). (Claim dated September 19. 1927.)

Establishing Set-back Lines on Seventeenth, Fourteenth, Twelfth and Funston Avenues.

On motion of Supervisor Hayden:

Bill No. 8228, Ordinance No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 6542 (New Series), establishing set-back lines along portions of Seventeenth avenue, Fourteenth avenue, Funston avenue and Twelfth avenue.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Section 2 of Ordinance No. 6542 (New Series), the title of which is recited above, pertaining to the establishment of set-back lines along portions of Fourteenth avenue between Taraval and Ulloa streets is hereby amended so as to real as follows:

Along the westerly side of Fourteenth avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Ulloa street and running thence northerly to a point 100 feet southerly from Taraval street, said set-back line to be 15 feet; along the easterly side of Fourteenth avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Ulloa street and running thence northerly 125 feet, said set-back line to be 15 feet; thence northerly 90 feet, said set-back line to be 14 feet; thence northerly 185 feet, said set-back line to be 15 feet.

Oil Tank Permits.

On motion of Supervisor Deasy:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits be and are hereby granted:

Oil Tanks.

J. Bessett, south side Fifteenth street, 25 feet west of Caledonia street, 1500 gallons capacity.

John Casty, southwest corner Turk and Broderick streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

Henry Ernst & Sons, 2540 Jackson street, 1500 gallons capacity.

J. S. Hay, 1656 California street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Hoffman & McGahey, north side California street, 75 feet west o Broderick street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Moffman & McGahey, west side Broderick street, 75 feet north o. California street, 1500 gallons capacity.

C. F. Horning, east line Gough street, 40 feet north of Hickory street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Edward Jose, west side Gough street, 50 feet north of Broadway, 1500 gallons capacity.

South Side High School, Onondaga and Cayuga avenues and Otsego street, 300 gallons capacity.

L. Lawrence, south side Balboa street, 50 feet west of Forty-seven avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

P. Loustau, 618 Bush street, 1500 gallons capacity.

A. Raymond, southwest corner Waller street and Alpine Terrace, 1500 gallons capacity.

A. Romanio, north side Jefferson street, 135 feet west of Scott street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Stock-Maas & Sauer, north lin Sacramento street, 100 feet east of Franklin street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Andrew B. Talbot, north side Pacific avenue, 185 feet east of L street, 1500 gallons capacity.

W. R. Voorhies, southwest corner Jackson street and Arguello boulevard, 1500 gallons capacity.

I. Weinstein, 174 Ellis street, 1500 gallons capacity.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits shall become null and void.

Laundry Permit.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Jean Lecay be and he is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to operate and maintain hand laundry at 607 Geary street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Transfer of Garage Permit.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Candido Lucci be and he is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred to him public garage permit heretofore granted E. E. Lunstedt by Resolution No. 25942 (New Series) for premises at 520 Chestnut street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Garage Permit.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That W. F. Harrison be and he is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate a public garage at premises 2243 Bush street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Transfer of Automobile Supply Station Permit.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Shell Company of California be and it is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred to it automobile supply station permit heretofore granted H. J. Mallen by Resolution No. 26066 (New Series) for premises at southeast corner of Ocean avenue and Plymouth avenue.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Street Lights.

Supervisor Stanton presented:

Resolution No. 27833 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Pacific Gas and Electric Company be and it is hereby authorized and requested to remove and install the following street lights:

Remove Gas Lamps.

Fell street, first and second east of Scott street.

South side Fell street between Scott and Pierce streets.

West side Fifth street, first and second south of Bryant street.

East side Fifth street, first and second south of Bryant street.

Northwest and southeast corners Fifth and Brannan streets.

East side Ninth avenue, first and second south of Judah street.

West side Ninth avenue, first and second south of Judah street.

Northeast and southwest corners Ninth avenue and Kirkham street.

North side Oak street, first west of Laguna street.

South side Oak street, first and second west of Laguna street.

Northeast and southwest corners Oak and Buchanan streets.

Northwest corner Noe and Hill streets.

North and south sides Hill street between Noe and Castro streets.

West side Castro street, first and second south of Twenty-second street.

East side Castro street, south of Twenty-first street.

Northeast and southwest corners Folsom and Twenty-sixth streets.

South side Twenty-sixth street between Folsom and Shotwell streets.

Northeast and southwest corners Twenty-sixth and Shotwell streets.

North side Twenty-sixth street between Shotwell and Howard streets.

Remove Double Inverted Gas.

Dolores and Nineteenth streets, front of church.

Install 400 C. P. O. B. With Refractor.

Northwest and southeast corners Diamond and Chenery streets.

West side Diamond street between Chenery and Bosworth streets.

East side Diamond street between Chenery and Bosworth streets.

Northwest and southeast corners Diamond and Bosworth streets.

West side Diamond stret between Bosworth street and Circular avenue.

Northeast corner Diamond street and Circular avenue.

Remove 250 M. R.

Diamond street between Bosworth street and Circular avenue.

Diamond street between Bosworth and Chenery streets.

Remove 400 M. R.

Corner Diamond and Chenery streets.

Corner Diamond and Bosworth streets.

Install 250 M. R.

Hill street between Noe and Castro streets.

Twenty-sixth and Shotwell streets.

Twenty-sixth and Virgil streets.

Twenty-sixth and Horace streets.

Install 400 M. R.

Northeast corner San Jose avenue and Twenty-ninth street.

Chestnut street between Webster and Fillmore streets.

Corner Lawrence avenue and Huron street.

Dolores and Cumberland streets (front of church).

Hearst avenue, 125 feet west of Edna street (front of church).

San Jose avenue between Sadowa and Broad streets.

San Jose avenue between Sagamore and Sadowa streets.

Arago street between Paulding and Havelock streets.

Juanita way between Rex avenue and Evelyn way.

Forty-sixth avenue between Arago street and Sutro Heights avenue.

Nantucket street and San Jose avenue.

Eighteenth avenue between Pacheco and Quintara streets.

Fell street between Pierce and Scott streets.

Fifth street between Bryant and Brannan streets.

Fifth and Brannan streets.

Fifth street between Bluxome and Townsend streets.

Oak and Buchanan streets.

Oak street between Laguna and Buchanan streets.

Ninth avenue and Kirkham street.

Ninth avenue between Judah and Kirkham streets.

Noe and Hill streets.

Install 600 *M. R.*

Corner Twenty-sixth and Folsom streets.

Castro street between Twenty-first and Twenty-second streets, opposite Hill street.

Install 600 *C. P. on Electroliers, to Be Installed by the Pacific Gas and Electric Company and the Western Sugar Refining Company.*

Southeast corner Twenty-third and Third streets.

Northwest corner Twenty-third and Illinois streets.

Northwest corner Twenty-third and Michigan streets.

North side Twenty-third street, opposite Georgia street.

Remove 400-*Watt Lamp.*

Northeast corner Twenty-third and Illinois streets.

South side Twenty-third street, first east of Michigan street.

Adopted by the followni vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—18.

Masquerade Ball Permit.

Supervisor Schmidt presented:

Resolution No. 27806 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That permission is hereby granted the Samuel M. Shortridge Nonpartisan League of the State of California to conduct a masquerade ball in Polk Hall of the Civic Auditorium, Monday, evening, November 7, 1927, upon payment of the usual license fee.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—18.

Mayor to Sell Buildings on School Property.

Supervisor Power presented:

Resolution No. 27807 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That his Honor the Mayor be and he is hereby requested to sell at public auction in accordance with the provisions of the Charter the following certain old school buildings belonging to the City and County of San Francisco and recommended for sale by the Board of Education in communication dated September 13, 1927, to-wit:

(1) Old James Lick School, southwest corner of Twenty-fifth and Noe streets. The main building only for sale for removal.

(2) Old Lafayette School (latterly known as "Anza"), east side of Thirty-seventh avenue between Geary and Anza streets. All buildings (other than portables) to be sold and the site cleared.

(3) Old Rochambeau School, Twenty-fifth avenue, east side, between Lake and California streets. Building to be sold for removal and the site cleared.

(4) Old Roosevelt School, east side of Arguello boulevard, north of Geary street. Main building to be sold for removal.

Board of Public Works requested to prepare specifications for the sale of said buildings.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—18.

Fixing Monday, September 26, 1927, for Hearing Appeal From Assessment for Improvement of Twenty-eighth and of Castro Streets.

Supervisor Marks presented:

Resolution No. 27808 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Monday, September 26, 1927, is hereby fixed as the time for hearing the appeal of Clarence B. Eaton from the assessment issued by the Board of Public Works on August 3, 1927, for the improvement of Twenty-eighth street between Castro and Noe streets where not already so improved; and the improvement of Castro street between Twenty-eighth and Valley streets where not already improved and the improvement of the cross-

ing of Twenty-eighth and Castro streets by grading, paving, etc.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—18.

Approving Map of Westwood Highlands.

Supervisor Marks presented:

Resolution No. 27809 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the Board of Public Works did, by Resolution No. 98954 (Second Series), approve a map of Subdivision of Westwood Highlands, Blocks 3004B, 3005B, 3005C, 3005D, and portions of Blocks 2973, 3038 and 3054, San Francisco, California; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the map of Subdivision of Westwood Highlands, Blocks 3004B, 3005B, 3005C, 3005D, and portions of Blocks 2973, 3038 and 3054, San Francisco, California, is hereby approved.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—18.

Approving Bond, Westwood Highlands Subdivision.

Supervisors Marks presented:

Resolution No. 27810 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the bond filed with this Board of Supervisors by Residential Development Company of San Francisco, a California corporation, as principals, and F. C. Dutton and Sam Isralsky, as sureties, dated the 11th day of August, 1927, in the sum of two thousand ($2,000) dollars, which sum is fixed by this Board and conditioned for the payment of all taxes which are now a lien but not yet payable against the property as shown on map entitled "Map of Subdivision of Westwood Highlands, Blocks 3004b, 3005b, 3005c, 3005d and portions of Blocks 2973, 3038 and 3054, San Francisco, California," is hereby approved.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—18.

Open Public Streets Declared, Westwood Highlands.

Supervisor Marks presented:

Resolution No. 27811 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the deed executed on the 11th day of August, 1927, between Residential Development Company of San Francisco, a California corporation, and the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, to lands for the opening of streets as shown on map entitled "Map of Subdivision of Westwood Highlands, Blocks 3004b, 3005b, 3005c, 3005d, and portions of Blocks 2973, 3038 and 3054, San Francisco, California," approved by the Board of Public Works by Resolution No. 98954 (Second Series), is hereby accepted.

Further Resolved, That the lands covered by said deed are hereby declared open public streets.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—18.

Map Approved, Ridge Lane Widening.

Supervisor Marks presented:

Resolution No. 27812 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the Board of Public Works did, by Resolution No. 98800 (Second Series) approve a map showing the widening of Ridge lane between Josiah avenue and Howth street; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the map showing the widening of Ridge lane between Josiah avenue and Howth street is hereby approved.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—18.

Open Public Streets Declared, Ridge Lane.

Supervisor Marks presented:

Resolution No. 27813 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the deed executed on the 24th day of August, 1927, between Hortense C. Darling and the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, to lands for the opening of streets as indicated by hatched lines on map entitled "Map showing the widening of Ridge lane between Josiah avenue and Howth street," approved by the Board of Public Works by Resolution No. 98800 (Second Series), is hereby accepted.

Further Resolved, That the lands covered by said deed are hereby declared open public streets.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy,

Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—18.

Intention to Change Grades.

Supervisor Marks presented:

Resolution No. 27814 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to change and establish grades on the following named streets, at the points hereinafter specified and at the elevations above city base, as hereinafter stated, in accordance with Resolution No. 98964 (Second Series) of the Board of Public Works adopted September 12, 1927, and written recommendation of said Board filed September 14, 1927, to-wit:

On Harrison street between Fifteenth street (from the west) and Sixteenth street, and on Fifteenth street between Alabama and Harrison streets, be changed and established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor and the present official grade of Fifteenth street at Alabama street.

The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that no assessment district is necessary, as no damage will result from said change of grades, inasmuch as the streets are ungraded and there are no existing street improvements.

The Board of Public Works is hereby directed to cause to be conspicuously posted along the street or streets upon which such change or modification of grade or grades is contemplated, notice of the passage of this resolution of intention.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—18.

Also, Resolution No. 27815 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to change and establish grades on the following named streets, at the points hereinafter specified and at the elevations above city base, as hereinafter stated, in accordance with Resolution No. 98963 (Second Series) of the Board of Public Works adopted September 12, 1927, and written recommendation of said Board filed September 13, 1927, to-wit:

On Twentieth street between Vermont and Utah streets, and on San Bruno avenue between Twentieth street and a line parallel with and 54.18 feet northerly therefrom, be changed and established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that no assessment district is necessary, as no damage will result from said change of grades, inasmuch as the streets are ungraded and there are no existing street improvements.

The Board of Public Works is hereby directed to cause to be conspicuously posted along the street or streets upon which such change or modification of grade or grades is contemplated, notice of the passage of this resolution of intention.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—18.

Also, Resolution No. 27816 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to change and establish grades on the following named streets, at the points hereinafter specified and at the elevations above city base, as hereinafter stated, in accordance with Resolution No. 98770 (Second Series) of the Board of Public Works adopted August 29, 1927, and written recommendation of said Board filed September 1, 1927, to-wit:

On Foerster street between Thirty-third street and a line parallel with the northerly line of Mangels avenue and 14.50 feet southerly therefrom; on Mangels avenue along the northerly line of, between points respectively 10 feet easterly and 10 feet westerly from Foerster street, and on Melrose avenue between the easterly and westerly lines of Foerster street, be changed and established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor. Slope adjacent to sidewalk not to exceed 2 to 1.

The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that no assessment district is necessary, as no damage will result from said change of grades, inasmuch as the streets are ungraded and there are no existing street improvements.

The Board of Public Works is hereby directed to cause to be conspicuously posted along the street or streets upon which such change or modification of grade or grades

is contemplated, notice of the passage of this resolution of intention.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—18.

Passed for Printing.

The following resolution was *passed for printing*:

Blasting Permit .

On motion of Supervisor Marks:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Granfield, Farrar & Carlin be and are hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to explode blasts on property situate on Brussels street near Dwight way, provided said permittee shall execute and file a good and sufficient bond in the sum of $5,000, as fixed by the Board of Public Works and approved by his Honor the Mayor, in accordance with Ordinance No. 1204; provided, also, that said blasts shall be exploded only between the hours of 7 a. m. and 6 p. m., and that the work of blasting shall be performed to the satisfaction of the Board of Public Works, and that if any of the conditions of this resolution be violated by said Granfield, Farrar & Carlin, then the privileges and all rights accruing thereunder shall immediately become null and void.

Extension of Time, Federal Construction Company.

Supervisor Marks presented:

Resolution No. 27817 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Federal Construction Co., is hereby granted and extension of ninety days' time from and after September 21, 1927, within which to complete the improvement of Delta street between Tioga and Wilde avenues. The work is partially completed.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—18.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Spur Track Permit, Southern Pacific Company.

On motion of Supervisor Marks:

Bill No. 8198, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 6935 (New Series), granting permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to Southern Pacific Company to construct, maintain and operate spur tracks upon and along certain streets in the vicinity of Seventeenth and Harrison streets, as hereinafter described.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1 of Ordinance No. 6935 (New Series), approved January 8, 1927, is hereby amended so as to read as follows:

Section 1. Permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, is hereby granted to Southern Pacific Company, a corporation, to construct, maintain and operate a spur track as follows:

Cross-over Track: Beginning at a point on the center line of an existing Southern Pacific Company track in Harrison street, distant southerly thereon 200 feet, more or less, from the southerly line of Sixteenth street produced, and distant westerly 43 feet, more or less, from the easterly line of Harrison street; thence in a southwesterly direction through a switch turnout curving to the right and through a switch turnout curving to the left a distance of 140 feet, more or less, to a point in the center line of an existing Southern Pacific Company track in Harrison street, distant southerly thereon 340 feet, more or less, from the southerly line of Sixteenth street and distant westerly 56 feet, more or less, from the easterly line of Harrison street.

Also, beginning at a point on the center line of an existing Southern Pacific Company track in Harrison street, distant northerly 500 feet, more or less, from the northerly line of Eighteenth street, and distant easterly 7 feet, more or less, from the westerly line of Harrison street; thence through a switch turnout to the left a distance of 60 feet, more or less, to a point on the westerly line of Harrison street, distant northerly thereon 435 feet from the northerly line of Eighteenth street.

Track No. 1: Beginning at a point on the existing side-track on Harrison street, said point being distant northerly thereon 181.0 feet, more or less, from the easterly production of the northerly line of Seventeenth street as said Seventeenth street now exists on the westerly side of said Harrison street; thence southwesterly on a curve concave to the right, having a radius of 252.354 feet, a distance

of 53.59 feet to a point; thence southwesterly on a tangent for a distance of 109.5 feet, more or less, to a point on the proposed new southerly line of Seventeenth street, distant thereon westerly 1.00 feet, more or less, from the westerly line of Harrison street; thence continuing into private property.

Also, beginning at a point on the above described center line, said point being distant northeasterly 78.5 feet, more or less, from the proposed southerly line of Seventeenth street; thence southwesterly on a curve concave to the right, having a radius of 252.354 feet, a distance of 53.59 feet to a point; thence southwesterly on a tangent for a distance of 36.5 feet, more or less, to a point on the proposed southerly line of Seventeenth street, said point being distant southwesterly thereon 17.5 feet, more or less, from the westerly line of Harrison street; thence continuing into private property.

Also, beginning at a point on the above described center line, said point being distant northeasterly thereon 36.5 feet from the proposed southerly line of Seventeenth street; thence southwesterly on a curve concave to the right, having a radius of 252.354 feet, a distance of 31.56 feet to a point; thence southwesterly on a tangent for a distance of 9.00 feet, more or less, to a point on the proposed southerly line of Seventeenth street, said point being distant southwesterly thereon 22.00 feet, more or less, from the westerly line of Harrison street; thence continuing into private property.

Also, beginning at a point on the first above described center line, said point being distant northeasterly thereon 24.5 feet, more or less, from the proposed new southerly line of Seventeenth street; thence southwesterly on a curve concave to the right, having a redius of 252.354 feet, a distance of 25.5 feet, more or less, to a point on the proposed new southerly line of Seventeenth street, said point being distant southwesterly thereon 2.00 feet, more or less, from the westerly line of Harrison street; thence continuing into private property.

Tracks No. 10 and No. 11: Beginning at a point in the existing side track on Harrison street; said point being distant northerly thereon 122.5 feet, more or less, from the easterly production of the northerly line of Seventeenth street, as said Seventeenth street now exists on the westerly side of said Harrison street; thence southwesterly on a curve concave to the right, having a radius of 252.354 feet, a distance of 31.56 feet to a point; thence southwesterly on a tangent for a distance of 80.00 feet, more or less, to a point; thence southwesterly on a curve concave to the right, having a radius of 1432.47 feet, a distance of 21.25 feet to a point; thence southwesterly on a tangent for a distance of 199.00 feet, more or less, to a point on the westerly line of Harrison street, distant southerly thereon 43.00 feet, more or less, from the southerly line of Seventeenth street; thence continuing into private property.

Also, beginning at a point on the above described center line; said point being distant northeasterly thereon 72.00 feet, more or less, from the westerly line of Harrison street; thence southwesterly on a curve concave to the right, having a radius of 252.354 feet, a distance of 31.56 feet to a point; thence southwesterly on a tangent for a distance of 14.00 feet, more or less, to a point on the westerly line of Harrison street, said point being distant southerly thereon 16.0 feet, more or less, from the southerly line of Seventeenth street; thence continuing into private property.

Said permission is granted subject to the provisions of Ordinance No. 69 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors, approved October 12, 1906, and the provisions and conditions of Section 8 thereof are hereby specifically contained in the permit hereby granted and shall be construed as a part hereof as complete as though the same were written in this ordinance.

Provided, that said spur track shall be laid under the supervision and to the lines and grades as furnished by the City Engineer's office; and that any and all expenses connected with the installation of the track, restoration of the pavement and any additional requirements for the surface drainage be paid for by the Southern Pacific Company.

Provided, that Southern Pacific Company shall erect and maintain all-night lighted arc lamps to be placed where directed by the Lighting Committee of the Board of Supervisors

Provided, girder rails shall be used; that all granite curbs removed be hauled to the Corporation Yard.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Fixing Sidewalk Widths on Foerster Street.

Also, Bill No. 8199, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by amending Section four hundred and seventy thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Board of Public Works, filed in this office August 30, 1927, by amending Section four hundred and seventy thereof, to read as follaws:

Section 470. The width of sidewalks on Foerster street between Thirty-third street and Mangels avenue shall be as shown on that certain map entitled "Map of Foerster street between Thirty-third street and Mangels avenue," showing the location of street and curb lines and the width of sidewalks.

The width of sidewalks on Foerster street between Mangels avenue and its southerly termination shall be fifteen (15) feet.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk width shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ordering Street Work.

Also, Bill No. 8200, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1, The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors September 13, 1927, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco. does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in twenty installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be six months from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

For the improvement of Mount Vernon avenue between the westerly line of Getz street and the westerly line of Getz street produced northerly and Howth street, including the intersection of Getz street and Williar street, by grading to official line and grade; by the construction of a 12-inch ironstone pipe sewer with the necessary Y branches, side sewers, and two brick manholes along the center line of Mount Vernon avenue between the center line of Getz street produced and the westerly line of Howth street; by the construction of an 8-inch ironstone pipe sewer along the center line of Williar street produced between the northerly and center lines of Mount Vernon avenue; by the construction of an 8-inch ironstone pipe sewer along the center line of Getz street between the southerly line of Grafton avenue produced and the center line of Mount Vernon avenue; by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks on the angular corners of the above mentioned intersections; by the construction of brick catchbasins with appurtenances and 10-inch ironstone pipe culverts, one on the itntersection of Getz street and two on the intersection of Williar avenue; and by the construction of a concrete pavement on the roadway thereof; and the improvement of Grafton avenue between the westerly line of Getz street produced northerly and Harold avenue by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of a concrete pavement on the roadway thereof and by performing the necessary conform work on the crossing of Grafton avenue and Harold avenue, consisting of con-

structing concrete curbs and artificial stone sidewalks on the northeast and southeast angular corners; constructing one brick catchbasin with appurtenances and 10-inch ironstone pipe culvert and connecting same with the existing catchbasins.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Also, Bill No. 8201, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1, The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors September 13, 1927, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in twenty installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be six months from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of the northeasterly one-half of Farragut avenue, between Huron avenue and a line parallel with and 404 feet northwesterly from Huron avenue, by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of the necessary side sewers, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and a 1½-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface, from Huron avenue to a line parallel with and 250 feet northwesterly from Huron avenue, and by the construction of a concrete pavement on the remainder of the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Also Bill No. 8202, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1, The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors September 13, 1927, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in three installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Oliver street from Mission street to Brunswick street; Brunswick street from Whittier street to Oliver street; the west one-half of Sears street from Sickles avenue to Regent street extended; the east one-half of Winnipeg avenue from Sickles avenue to Regent street extended; Farallones street from Plymouth avenue to San Jose avenue; by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks, six feet

in width, where artificial stone sidewalks six feet or more in width have not already been constructed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Also, Bill No. 8203, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1, The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors September 13, 1927, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in four installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of the crossing of Mangels avenue and Baden street and the crossing of Mangels avenue and Congo street, by grading to official line and grade; by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of the necessary catchbasins with accompanying 10-inch ironstone pipe culverts; by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks on the angular corners thereof; by the construction of 8-inch and 12-inch ironstone pipe sewetrs with accompanying manholes; and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and a 1½-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface, on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Also, Bill No. 8204, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1, The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors September 13, 1927, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Thor avenue between Chenery street and the southerly line of Surrey street by grading to official line and grade; by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of an 8-inch ironstone pipe sewer with Y branches, side sewers and brick manholes, along the center line thereof from a point 20 feet southeasterly from the southeasterly line of Surrey street to the northerly line of Chenery street, and by the construction of a concrete pavement on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Also, Bill No. 8205, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1, The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors September 13, 1927, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in three installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Murray street between Highland avenue and Crescent avenue; Holly Park Circle between Highland avenue and Park street; Holly Park Circle between Elsie street and Bocana street; Tompkins avenue between Anderson street and Gates street; Eugenia avenue between Ellsworth street and Folsom street; Ellsworth street between Jarboe avenue and Thompkins avenue; Bocana street between Eugenia avenue and Cortland avenue; Ellert street between Andover street and Bennington street; Gates street between Eugenia avenue and Cortland avenue; Prospect avenue between Virginia avenue and Esmeralda avenue, and Eugenia avenue between Elsie street and Bonview street, by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks six feet in width where artificial stone sidewalks six feet or more in width have not already been constructed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Also, Bill No. 8206, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1, The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors September 13, 1927, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Sussex street between Diamond and Conrad streets, including the intersection of Van Buren and Sussex streets, by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks of the full official width on the angular corners thereof; by the construction of one manhole; by the construction of one catchbasin with accompanying 10-inch ironstone pipe culvert; and by

the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and a 1½-inch asphaltic wearing surface, on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Also, Bill No. 8207, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1, The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors September 13, 1927, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in three installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Lake View avenue from San Jose avenue to Margaret avenue by the construc- of artificial stone sidewalks, six feet in width, where artificial stone sidewalks six feet or more in width have not already been constructed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Also, Bill No. 8208, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1, The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors September 13, 1927, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in three installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Wilde avenue from San Bruno avenue to Delta street, by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks six (6) feet in width, where artificial stone sidewalks six (6) feet or more in width have not already been constructed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Also, Bill No. 8209, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1, The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors September 13, 1927, having recommended the or-

dering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in three installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

For the construction of bulkheads on the west line of Eighth avenue from the southerly line of Cabrillo street to a line parallel with and one hundred (100) feet southerly therefrom; and on the south line of Cabrillo street, from the west line of Eighth avenue to a line parallel with and eighty-two (82) feet six (6) inches westerly therefrom, where openings occur in the existing bulkhead, and the removal of sand from the adjacent sidewalk.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Also, Bill No. 8210, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1, The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors September 8, 1927, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in three installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Thirty-second avenue between Balboa street and Cabrillo street, by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks six (6) feet in width, where artificial stone sidewalks six (6) feet or more in width have not already been constructed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Also, Bill No. 8211, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1, The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors September 8, 1927, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors,

pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in three installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Fortieth avenue between Irving and Judah streets by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks six (6) feet in width, where artificial stone sidewalks six (6) feet or more in width have not already been constructed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Also, Bill No. 8212, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1, The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors September 13, 1927, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in three installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

For the construction of a bulkhead and the removal of sand from the sidewalk and roadway of the westerly one-half of Thirty-third avenue from the southerly line of Anza street to a line parallel with and one hundred (100) feet southerly therefrom.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Also, Bill No. 8213, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1, The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors September 13, 1927, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in three installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

For the construction of a bulkhead and the removal of sand from the sidewalk and roadway of the westerly one-half of Forty-fourth avenue from a line parallel with

and one hundred and five (105) feet south of the south line of Anza street to a line parallel with and one hundred fifty-five (155) feet southerly from the south line of Anza street.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Also, Bill No. 8214, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1, The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors September 8, 1927, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in three installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Ortega street from Ninth avenue to Tenth avenue, and of Lawton street from Eleventh avenue to Twelfth avenue, by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks six (6) feet in width, where artificial stone sidewalks six (6) feet or more in width have not already been constructed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Also, Bill No. 8215, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1, The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors September 13, 1927, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in three installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

For the construction of a bulkhead and the removal of sand from the sidewalk and roadway on the westerly line of Forty-third avenue from a line parallel with and one hundred (100) feet north of the north line of Judah street to a line parallel with and one hundred and fifty (150) feet north of the north line of Judah street.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Also, Bill No. 8216, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of

the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors September 8, 1927, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assesment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in three installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Twenty-sixth avenue between Judah and Kirkham streets by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks six (6) feet in width where artificial stone sidewalks six (6) feet or more in width have not already been constructed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Also, Bill No. 8217, Ordinance, No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors September 13, 1927, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in three installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Goethe street between De Long street and San Jose avenue by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks six (6) feet in width, where artificial stone sidewalks six (6) feet or more in width have not already been constructed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Also, Bill No. 8218, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors September 13, 1927, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its

office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in three installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Winfield street from Coso avenue to Esmeralda avenue by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks six (6) feet in width, where artificial stone sidewalks six (6) feet or more in width have not already been constructed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Also, Bill No. 8219, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors September 13, 1927, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in three installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Prospect avenue between Coso avenue and Esmeralda avenue by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks six (6) feet or more in width, where artificial stone sidewalks six (6) feet or more in width have not already been constructed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Also, Bill No. 8220, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors September 13, 1927, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in three installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Folsom street

between Cortland avenue and Powhattan avenue by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks five (5) feet in width, where artificial stone sidewalks five (5) feet or more in width have not already been constructed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Also, Bill No. 8221, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors September 13, 1927, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in three installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Tara street between Geneva avenue and Ocean avenue by the construction of artificial stone or bitumen sidewalks six (6) feet in width, where artificial stone or bitumen sidewalks six (6) feet or more in width have not already been constructed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Also, Bill No 8222, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors September 13, 1927, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in three installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Forty-third avenue between Geary and Anza streets by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks six feet in width, where artificial stone sidewalks six feet or more in width have not already been constructed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Also, Bill No. 8223, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication

filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors September 13, 1927, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in three installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Silver avenue from Craut street extended to Madison street extended, Silver avenue from Bowdoin street extended to Merrill street extended by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks six (6) feet in width, where artificial stone sidewalks six feet or more in width have not already been constructed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Fixing Sidewalk Widths on Twentieth Street.

Also Bill No. 8224, Ordinance No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered nine hundred and eighty-two.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and it is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Board of Public Works, filed in this office September 13, 1927, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered nine hundred and eighty-two, to read as follows:

Section 982. The width of sidewalks on Twentieth street between Vermont street and Rhode Island street shall be as shown on that certain map entitled "Map of Twentieth street between Vermont street and Rhode Island street," showing the location of street and curb lines and the width of sidewalks.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect and be in force from and after its passage.

Rereferred.

The following resolution was *rereferred to the Streets Committee*:

Closing and Abandoning Portions of Certain Streets.

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the public interest requires that certain portions of Bancroft avenue, Carroll avenue, Donner avenue, Newhall street and Mendell street be closed up and abandoned as hereinafter described; be it

Further Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to close up and abandon all those certain portions of

(1) Bancroft avenue lying between the southeasterly line of Newhall street and a line drawn parallel with and distant 60 feet westerly at right angles from the westerly line of the right of way of the Southern Pacific Company, Bay Shore Branch;

(2) Carroll avenue lying between the easterly line of the Haley Purchase (as per map thereof recorded in Map Book "C" and "D", page 99, Records of the City and County of San Francisco) and a line drawn parallel with and distant 60 feet westerly at right angles from said westerly line of the Southern Pacific Railroad Company's right of way, Bay Shore Branch;

(3) Donner avenue lying between said easterly line of the Haley Purchase and the northerly line of the property conveyed to the Pacific Improvement Company by deed recorded in Deed Book 1104, page 77, Records of the City and County of San Francisco;

(4) Newhall street lying between the southerly line of Armstrong avenue and said northerly line of said property so conveyed to the Pacific Improvement Company;

(5) Mendell street lying between the northerly line of Donner avenue

and a line drawn parallel with and distant 60 feet westerly at right angles from said westerly line of the right of way of the Southern Pacific Railroad Company, Bay Shore Branch.

Said closing up and abandonment of said portions of said streets shall be done and made in the manner and in accordance with the provisions of Section 2 of Chapter III of Article VI of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, as amended, and the sections of said chapter and article following said Section 2. Be it

Further Resolved, That there are no costs or expenses to be incurred in connection with said closing and abandoning.

And the Clerk is hereby directed to transmit to the Board of Public Works a certified copy of this resolution and the Board of Public Works is hereby directed to give notice of said contemplated closing and abandonment of said portions of Bancroft avenue, Carroll avenue, Donner avenue, Newhall street and Mendell street in the manner provided by law, and to cause notice to be published in "The San Francisco Bulletin" as required by law.

Award of Contract, Underground Cable for Department of Electricity.

Supervisor Badaracco presented:

Resolution No. 27818 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of contract is hereby made to Standard Under-Ground Cable Co. on bid submitted September 12, 1927 (Proposal No. 321), for furnishing the following, viz.: Underground cable for Department of Electricity:

5000 feet 11-pair cable at $0.225 per lineal foot.

10,000 feet 6-pair cable at $0.166 per lineal foot.

5000 feet 3-pair cable at $0.128 per lineal foot.

Resolved, That a bond in the sum of $800 be required for the faithful performance of said contract;

Resolved, That all other bids submitted hereon be rejected.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—18.

Municipal Railway Extension Bonds Campaign Committee Granted Use of Auditorium.

Supervisor Havenner presented:

Resolution No. 27823 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Municipal Railway Extension Bond Campaign Committee be and it is hereby granted permission to use the Main Hall, Exposition Auditorium, on Monday evening, October 17, 1927, for the purpose of interesting the citizens of San Francisco and inducing them to vote for the Municipal Railway bonds at the general election on November 8, 1927. Being a public mass meeting this is granted without the payment of the usual fee.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Street Lights.

Supervisor Stanton presented:

Resolution No. 27824 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Pacific Gas and Electric Company be and it is hereby authorized and requested to remove and install the following street lights:

Remove Gas.

Fillmore street between Broadway and Vallejo street.

Northeast and southwest corners Filbert and Hyde streets.

Northwest and southeast corners Filbert and Leavenworth streets.

North side Filbert street, first east of Hyde street.

South side Filbert street, first and second east of Hyde street.

Northeast and southwest corners Jackson and Powell and Jackson and Stone streets.

Northeast corner Jackson street and Trenton place.

Northwest corner Jackson street and Jackson place.

Trenton place, south of Jackson street.

East and west sides Steiner street between Fulton and Grove streets.

Taylor street between Lombard street and Columbus avenue.

East and west sides Taylor street, first west of Filbert street.

Northeast and southwest corners Filbert and Taylor streets.

Aladdin place, north of Vallejo street.

Northwest and southeast corners Walnut and Jackson streets.

Northwest and southeast corners Walnut and Washington streets.

East and west sides Walnut street, first south of Jackson street.

Northeast and southwest corners Sacramento and Jones streets.

Sacramento street, opposite Lysett place.

Southwest corner Sacramento street and Leroy place.

Sacramento street, opposite Golden court.

Northwest and southeast corners Sacramento and Leavenworth streets.

Southwest corner Sacramento street and Kimball place.

North and south sides Sacramento street, east of Hyde street.

Kimball place, south of Sacramento street.

Kimball place, north of Sacramento street.

Golden court, south of Sacramento street.

Leroy place, south of Sacramento street.

Davis street and Broadway.

Davis and Chambers streets.

Northwest and southeast corners Larkin and Jackson streets.

Northwest and southeast corners Larkin at Washington and Clay and Sacramento streets.

East and west sides Larkin street, first south of Jackson, Washington, Clay, Sacramento and California streets.

East and west sides Larkin street between Union and Green streets.

Green and Vallejo streets.

Vallejo street and Broadway.

Broadway and Pacific street.

Pacific and Jackson streets.

Southeast corner Larkin and Vallejo streets.

Northwest and southeast corners Larkin street and Broadway.

Larkin and Jackson streets.

East side Seventh street, first and second south of Howard street.

West side Seventh street, first and second south of Howard street.

East side Seventh street, first north of Harrison street.

East side Seventh street, first and second north of Bryant street.

West side Seventh street, first and second north of Bryant street.

East and west sides Seventh street, first north of Townsend street.

Northwest and southeast corners Seventh and Bryant streets.

Leroy place, first and second north of Sacramento street.

Northwest and southeast corners Taylor and Clay streets.

Northeast and southwest corners Jones and Clay streets.

South side Clay street, first and second west of Taylor street.

North side Clay street, first west of Taylor street.

Fillmore street between Vallejo and Green streets.

Install 400 *M. R.*

Walnut street at the corner of Jackson, Washington, Sacramento, Jones, Leroy, Leavenworth and Kimball streets.

Davis and Chambers streets.

Larkin street at Jackson, Washington, Clay, Sacramento streets and Broadway.

Clay street at Taylor and Jones streets.

Clay street between Taylor and Jones streets.

Fillmore street between Vallejo and Green streets.

Fillmore street between Broadway and Vallejo street.

Filbert street at Hyde and Leavenworth streets.

Filbert street between Hyde and Leavenworth streets.

Jackson street at Powell, Stone and Trenton streets.

Jackson and Jackson place.

Steiner street between Fulton and Grove streets.

Taylor street between Lombard street and Columbus avenue.

Taylor and Valparaiso streets.

Taylor and Filbert streets.

Aladdin place, north of Taylor street.

Steiner street between Haight and Page streets.

Steiner street between Page and Oak streets.

Steiner and Oak streets.

Twenty-fifth street between Fair Oaks and Dolores streets.

Seventh street, south of Howard street.

Seventh street, north of Folsom street.

Seventh street, north of Harrison street.

Seventh street, north of Bryant street.

Seventh street, south of Harrison street.

Twenty-fifth street between Green and Fair Oaks streets.

Twenty-second and Chattanooga streets.

Twenty-second street between Dolores and Chattanooga streets.

Octavia street between Jackson street and Pacific avenue.

Mason and Valparaiso streets.

Valparaiso street between Mason and Taylor streets.

Taylor and Valparaiso streets.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Accepting Offer of Truman T. Copeland and Wife to Use of Well, Etc., for Seco Substation.

Supervisor Stanton presented:

Resolution No. 27825 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, in accordance with a written offer on file, Truman T. Copeland and Anna Copeland, his wife, of the County of Alameda, State of California, have offered to grant to the City and County of San Francisco the right to develop, take and use water from a certain well located in the northeast quarter of the northwest quarter of Section 27, Township 3 South, Range 3 East, M. D. B. and M., and the right to construct and operate a windmill or other pumping appliance at said well, together with a tank of not less than 10,000 gallons capacity, and the right to construct and maintain a water pipe line from said well to the lands of the City, known as Seco Substation, for the period of ten (10) years from September 3, 1927; said City and County also to have the right to use and pass over what was known as the old county road in the northwest quarter of Section 27, Township 3 South, Range 3 East, M. D. B. and M., for the following considerations:

That Truman T. Copeland and Anna Copeland shall have the right to install a water trough at the tank above mentioned, and the right of way in perpetuity for ingress and egress to and from their dwelling house over the land of the City and County of San Francisco in the southwest quarter of Section 27, Township 3 South, Range 3 East, M. D. B. and M., from the north side of County Road No. 2688 through what is known as the Seco Substation Tract; and at the expiration of the above mentioned ten years the windmill, water pipe line, tank and other appliances shall revert to and become the property of Truman T. Copeland and Anna Copeland, his wife.

It is hereby understood and agreed that the City and the said Truman T. Copeland and Anna Copeland shall each have a right to one-half of all the water produced from the above described well, all as per terms of said written offer on file. Now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the said offer of Truman T. Copeland and Anna Copeland, his wife, is hereby accepted, and the City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to prepare the necessary papers and supervise the signing of the same.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Dr. Hassler Appointed Alcohol Agent for City and County of San Francisco.

Supervisor Byington presented:

Resolution No. 27826 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That William C. Hassler, Health Officer, Department of Public Health of the City and County of San Francisco, be appointed as a representative of the Department of Public Health with full power to purchase and sign all necessary documents and papers for the obtaining and purchasing of tax free alcohol for the Central Office of the Department of Public Health, the Laguna Honda Home, the San Francisco Hospital, the Emergency Hospitals and the San Francisco Health Farm near Redwood City, California.

This resolution to be in force from date of its passage.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

City Engineer to Lease Land for Storage Purposes From Southern Pacific Company.

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Resolution No. 27827 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, Upon recommendation of the City Engineer, that the Board of Public Works is authorized to lease from Southern Pacific Company, a corporation, for a period of five (5) years, a tract of land at Livermore, Alameda County, California, required for construction, maintenance and operation of office buildings, shops, temporary buildings for storing materials, roadways, and for open storage purposes in connection with the construction of the Hetch Hetchy aqueduct in the Coast Range Division, paying as rental for the use of said property the sum of ninety and 00/100 dollars ($90.00) per year, payable yearly in advance.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Pow-

ers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Accepting Offer of Frank Rodrigues to Sell Use of Spring on His Property and Required for Use of City.

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Resolution No. 27828 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, in accordance with a written offer on file, Frank J. Rodrigues, a single man, of the County of Alameda, State of California, has offered to grant to the City and County of San Francisco the right to develop and use all the water produced from a certain spring located in the northeast quarter of Section 8, Township 4 South, Range 3 East, for the period of eight years (8) from the 1st day of May, 1927, and the right to maintain a water pipe line from said spring, for the sum of fifty and 00/100 dollars ($50.00) per annum, payable in advance from the 1st day of May of each year, and the further consideration that at the expiration of said eight (8) years the water pipe line and other appliances shall become the property of said Frank J. Rodrigues. Now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the said offer of Frank J. Rodrigues is hereby accepted, and the City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to prepare the necessary papers and supervise the signing of the same.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Accepting Offer of Patrick Connolly for Use of Water From Spring on His Property Required for Purposes of City Engineer.

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Resolution No. 27829 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, in accordance with a written offer on file, Patrick Connolly, of the County of Alameda, State of California, has offered to grant to the City and County of San Francisco, for a period of ten (10) years from the 10th day of September, 1927, the right to develop, take and use the surplus water from a certain spring in the southwest quarter of Section 6, Township 4 South, Range 4 East, M. D. B. and M., and known as Laurel spring, and the right to maintain a water pipe line from said spring, for the following considerations:

That the City and County of San Francisco shall clean out said Laurel spring and develop the same to its maximum capacity, and install on the water pipe line two (2) water troughs with float attachment, for the use of said Patrick Connolly's stock, and at the end of the above mentioned period of ten (10) years all improvements shall revert to said Patrick Connolly. Now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the said offer of Patrick Connolly is hereby accepted, and the City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to prepare the necessary papers and supervise the signing of the same.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

School Street Roping Permit.

Supervisor Todd presented:

Resolution No. 27830 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That permission is hereby granted to the Rev. O. Trinchieri, rector of S. S. Peter and Paul's Church, to rope off from 8:30 a. m. to 9 a. m., 10 a. m. to 10:45 a. m. and from 12 noon to 1 p. m. each school day, the roadway of Filbert street from Powell to Stockton streets, in order that the children attending S. S. Peter and Paul's Parochial School may be more adequately protected from the dangers of vehicular travel and may utilize that portion of said street between the said hours as a playground.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Board of Public Works to Furnish List of Firms Furnishing Millwork for School Buildings.

Supervisor Gallagher presented:

Resolution No. 27831 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Board of Public Works file, at the earliest date, the names and locations of factories of those firms who performed millwork in school buildings for the last five years.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers,

Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Resurfacing Third Street Over Existing Brick Pavement.

Supervisor Gallagher presented: Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, considerable saving in cost of street reconstruction and repair has resulted from the fact that bitumen coverings have been placed over existing basalt block pavements; therefore,

Resolved, That, through the Streets Committee of this Board, the Board of Public Works be requested to resurface Third street between Brannan and Townsend streets, and to report the result of a bitumen surface on an existing brick pavement.

Referred to Streets Committee.

Plans and Estimates of Cost, Boulevards Bond Issue.

Supervisor McSheehy presented: Resolution No. 27805 (New Series), as follows:

Reciting that plans and estimates of cost of the original construction and completion of permanent improvements, to-wit: Certain improved routes for public highway purposes in the City and County of San Francisco as follows: A boulevard to be known as the Bayshore Highway from the vicinity of Army street, Potrero avenue and San Bruno avenue along San Bruno avenue widened to Cortland avenue, thence along a new right of way to Silver avenue and Charter Oak avenue, thence along Charter Oak avenue widened to Paul avenue, thence along a route paralleling San Bruno avenue between San Bruno avenue and Third street to the vicinity of San Bruno avenue and Sunnydale avenue and the County Line, or the most feasible route or routes; a boulevard to be known as the Alemany boulevard from the vicinity of Cortland avenue and San Bruno avenue, and the proposed Bayshore Highway, thence in a southerly and westerly direction following the general course of the old Ocean Shore right of way to a connection with the Junipero Serra boulevard near Palmetto avenue, or the most feasible route or routes; a boulevard to be known as the Junipero Serra boulevard from the vicinity of Sloat boulevard and Portola drive, thence in a general southerly direction widening and straightening the existing roadway of the present Junipero Serra boulevard to the County Line near the vicinity of Belle avenue, or the most feasible route or routes; a boulevard to be known as Nineteenth avenue extended southerly across old Ocean avenue and paralleling on each side the extension of the Municipal Railway running southerly and southeasterly to Junipero Serra boulevard, or the most feasible route or routes; a boulevard to be known as the Sunset boulevard commencing at Lincoln way between Twentieth avenue and Thirty-seventh avenue and running in a southerly direction between said Twentieth avenue and said Thirty-seventh avenue, or such avenues included or extended, to Sloat boulevard, or the most feasible route or routes; a boulevard or Beach frontage improvement to be known as the Esplanade commencing in the vicinity of the Great Highway and Fulton street and running in a general southerly direction along and upon said Great Highway to Lincoln way of the same type of construction as the previously completed Esplanade; an improvement of the Great Highway from Lincoln way southerly to Sloat boulevard; a boulevard to be known as the Van Ness avenue extension from the vicinity of Mission street and Twelfth street extended southerly to Howard street and Thirteenth street or the most feasible route or routes; including approaches, structures, pavements, curbs, sidewalks, sewers, drainage facilities, the readjustment of intersecting and adjacent streets and other improvements, and the purchase of the necessary lands with respect to each of the said boulevards or Beach frontage improvements.

Resolved, by the Board of Supervisors as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby recited that the Board of Public Works, on the 8th day of September, 1927, placed on file with the Board of Supervisors plans and estimates of the cost of the original construction and completion by the City and County of San Francisco of the following described permanent improvements, to-wit:

Certain improved routes for public highway purposes in the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

A boulevard to be known as the Bayshore Highway from the vicinity of Army street, Potrero avenue and San Bruno avenue along San Bruno avenue widened to Cortland avenue; thence along a new right of way to Silver avenue and Charter Oak avenue; thence along Charter Oak avenue widened to Paul avenue; thence along a route paral-

leling San Bruno avenue between San Bruno avenue and Third street to the vicinity of San Bruno avenue and Sunnydale avenue and the County Line, or the most feasible route or routes; a boulevard to be known as the Alemany boulevard from the vicinity of Cortland avenue and San Bruno avenue, and the proposed Bayshore Highway; thence in a southerly and westerly direction following the general course of the old Ocean Shore right of way to a connection with the Junipero Serra boulevard near Palmetto avenue, or the most feasible route or routes; a boulevard to be known as the Junipero Serra boulevard from the vicinity of Sloat boulevard and Portola drive; thence in a general southerly direction widening and straightening the existing roadway of the present Junipero Serra boulevard to the County Line near the vicinity of Belle avenue, or the most feasible route or routes; a boulevard to be known as Nineteenth avenue extended southerly, crossing old Ocean avenue and paralleling on each side the extension of the Municipal Railway, running southerly and southeasterly to Junipero Serra boulevard, or the most feasible route or routes; a boulevard to be known as the Sunset boulevard commencing at Lincoln Highway between Twentieth avenue and Thirty-seventh avenue and running in a southerly direction between said Twentieth avenue and said Thirty-seventh avenue, or such avenues included or extended, to Sloat boulevard, or the most feasible route or routes; a boulevard or beach frontage improvement to be known as the Esplanade, commencing in the vicinity of the Great Highway and Fulton street and running in a general southerly direction along and upon said Great Highway to Lincoln way, of the same type of construction as the previously completed Esplanade; an improvement of the Great Highway from Lincoln way southerly to Sloat boulevard; a boulevard to be known as the Van Ness avenue extension from the vicinity of Mission street and Twelfth street extended southerly to Howard street and Thirteenth street, or the most feasible route or routes; including approaches, structures, pavements, curbs, sidewalks, sewers, drainage facilities, the readjustment of intersecting and adjacent streets and other improvements, and the purchase of the necessary lands with respect to each of the said boulevards or beach frontage improvements.

That the total estimated cost of such permanent improvements is the sum of nine million three hundred and eighty thousand $9,380,000) dollars. That said plans and estimates contain sufficient information to enable the Board of Supervisors to take further proceedings to cause the construction of the permanent improvements and to make the declarations herein contained.

Section 2. It is hereby determined and declared that of the said sum of nine million three hundred and eighty thousand ($9,380,000) dollars, no part can be paid out of the annual revenue of the City and County in addition to the other necsary expenses thereof or other funds derived from taxes levied for that purpose, and will require the incurring of a bonded debt to the amount of nine million three hundred and eighty thousand ($9,380,000) dollars for the purpose herein recited.

Section 3. This resolution shall take effect immediately.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—18.

Motion—Bridge Hearing.

Supervisor Gallagher moved that Bridge Hearings, Special Order for 11 a. m., be taken up at 2:30 p. m. in the afternoon session.

So ordered.

RECESS.

Thereupon, the Board at 12:45 p. m. took a recess until 2 p. m.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk.

REASSEMBLED—2 P. M.

The Board of Supervisors reassembled at 2 p. m.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—18.

Quorum present.

His Honor Mayor Rolph being absent, Supervisor McSheehy was elected to preside.

Calling and Providing for Special Election for Issuance of Boulevard Bonds.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Bill No. 8225, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Calling and providing for a special election to be held in the City and County of San Francisco on Tuesday, the 8th day of November, 1927, for the purpose of submitting to the voters of the City and County of San Francisco a proposition to incur a bonded debt of the City and County of San Francisco to the amount of nine million three hundred and eighty thousand ($9,380,000) dollars for the acquisition, construction and completion of t he permanent improvements, to-wit:

Certain improved routes for public highway purposes in the City and County of San Francisco as follows: A boulevard to be known as the Bay Shore Highway, from the vicinity of Army street, Potrero avenue and San Bruno avenue, along San Bruno avenue widened to Cortland avenue, thence along a new right of way to Silver avenue and Charter Oak avenue; thence along Charter Oak avenue widened to Paul avenue; thence along a route paralleling San Bruno avenue between San Bruno avenue and Third street to the vicinity of San Bruno avenue and Sunnydale avenue and the County line, or the most feasible route or routes; a boulevard to be known as the Alemany boulevard from the vicinity of Cortland avenue and San Bruno avenue, and the proposed Bay Shore Highway, thence in a southerly and westerly direction following the general course of the old Ocean Shore right of way to a connection with the Junipero Serra boulevard near Palmetto avenue, or the most feasible route or routes; a boulevard to be known as the Junipero Serra boulevard from the vicinity of Sloat boulevard and Portola drive, thence in a general southerly direction widening and straightening the existing roadway of the present Junipero Serra boulevard to the County line near the vicinity of Belle avenue, or the most feasible route or routes; a boulevard to be known as Nineteenth avenue extended southerly crossing old Ocean avenue and paralleling on each side the extension of the Municipal Railway running southerly and southeasterly to Junipero Serra boulevard, or the most feasible route or routes; a boulevard to be known as the Sunset boulevard commencing at Lincoln way between Twentieth avenue and Thirty-seven avenue and running in a southerly direction between said Twentieth avenue and said Thirty-seventh avenue, or such avenues included or extended, to Sloat boulevard, or the most feasible route or routes; a boulevard or beach frontage improvement to be known as the Esplanade, commencing in the vicinity of the Great Highway and Fulton street and running in a general southerly direction along and upon said Great Highway to Lincoln way of the same type of construction as the previously completed Esplanade; an improvement of the Great Highway from Lincoln way southerly to Sloat boulevard; a boulevard to be known as the Van Ness avenue extension from the vicinity of Mission street and Twelfth street extended southerly to Howard street and Thirteenth street, or the most feasible route or routes; including approaches, structures, pavements, curbs, sidewalks, sewers, drainage facilities, the readjustment of intersecting and adjacent streets and other improvements, and the purchase of the necessary lands with respect to each of the said boulevards or beach frontage improvements.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. A special election is hereby called and ordered to be held in the City and County of San Francisco on Tuesday, the 8th day of November, 1927, for the purpose of submitting to the electors of said City and County the following proposition, to-wit: To incur a bonded debt of the City and County of San Francisco to the amount of nine million three hundred and eighty thousand ($9,380,000) dollars for the purpose of the acquisition, construction and completion of certain permanent improvements, to-wit:

Certain improved routes for public highway purposes in the City and County of San Francisco as follows: A boulevard to be known as the Bay Shore Highway from the vicinity of Army street, Potrero avenue and San Bruno avenue, along San Bruno avenue widened to Cortland avenue, thence along a new right of way to Silver avenue and Charter Oak avenue, thence along Charter Oak avenue widened to Paul avenue, thence along a route paralleling San Bruno avenue between San Bruno avenue and Third street to the vicinity of San Bruno avenue and Sunnydale avenue and the County line, or the most feasible route or routes; a boulevard to be known as the Alemany boulevard from the vicinity of Cortland avenue and Sun Bruno avenue, and the

proposed Bay Shore Highway, thence in a southerly and westerly direction following the general course of the old Ocean Shore right of way to a connection with the Junipero Serra boulevard near Palmetto avenue, or the most feasible route or routes; a boulevard to be known as the Junipero Serra boulevard from the vicinity of Sloat boulevard and Portola drive, thence in a general southerly direction widening and straightening the existing roadway of the present Junipero Serra boulevard to the County line near the vicinity of Belle avenue, or the most feasible route or routes; a boulevard to be known as Nineteenth axenue extended southerly crossing old Ocean avenue and paralleling on each side the extension of the Municipal Railway running southerly and southeasterly to Junipero Serra boulevard, or the most feasible route or routes; a boulevard to be known as the Sunset boulevard commencing at Lincoln way between Twentieth avenue and Thirty-seventh avenue and running in a southerly direction between said Twentieth avenue and said Thirty-seventh avenue, or such avenues included or extended, to Sloat boulevard, or the most feasible route or routes; a boulevard or beach frontage improvement to be known as the Esplanade commencing in the vicinity of the Great Highway and Fulton street and running in a general southerly direction along and upon said Great Highway to Lincoln way of the same type of construction as the previously completed Esplanade; an improvement of the Great Highway from Lincoln way southerly to Sloat boulevard; a boulevard to be known as the Van Ness avenue extension from the vicinity of Mission street and Twelfth street extended southerly to Howard street and Thirteenth street, or the most feasible route or routes; including approaches, structures, pavements, curbs, sidewalks, sewers, drainage facilities, the readjustment of intersecting and adjacent streets and other improvements, and the purchase of the necessary lands with respect to each of the said boulevards or beach frontage improvements.

Section 2. The estimated cost of the acquisition, construction and completion of the permanent improvements described herein was by plans and estimates of the Board of Public Works procured through the City Engineer and filed with the Board of Supervisors on the 8th day of September, 1927, and was and is fixed by Resolution No. 27805 (New Series) in the sum of nine million three hundred and eighty thousand ($9,380,000) dollars.

Section 3. By Resolution No. 27805 (New Series) it was declared that no part of the said sum of nine million three hundred and eighty thousand ($9,380,000) dollars could be paid out of the annual revenue of the City and County in addition to the other necessary expenses thereof, or other funds derived from taxes levied for that purpose, and will require the incurring of a bonded debt to the amount of nine million three hundred and eighty thousand ($9,380,000) dollars for the purposes herein recited. The method and manner of payment of the estimated cost of said described permanent improvements are by the issuance of bonds of the City and County of San Francisco to the amount required therefor and the application of the proceeds arising from the sale thereof to defray the cost of the acquisition, construction and completion of said permanent improvements herein described.

Section 4. The special election hereby called and ordered to be held shall be held and conducted, and the votes thereat received and canvassed and the returns thereof made and the result thereof ascertained, determined and declared as herein provided and according to the laws of the State of California providing for and governing elections in the City and County of San Francisco, and the polls for such election shall be and remain open during the time required by said laws.

Section 5. The ballots to be used at said special election shall be such as may be required by law to be used thereat, and in addition to any other matter to be required by law to be printed thereon shall appear thereon the following:

"Boulevard Bond Issue"—To vote for the proposition and thereby authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness to the amount of and for the purpose stated in said proposition stamp a cross (X) in the blank space to the right of the word "yes." To vote against the proposition and thereby refuse to authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness to the amount of and for the purpose stated in such proposition stamp a cross (X) in the blank space to the right of the word "no." Also said ballot shall have printed thereon the following: To incur a bonded debt of the City and County of San Francisco to the amount of nine million three hundred and eighty thousand ($9,380,000) dollars for the acquisition, construction and completion of permanent improvements, to-wit:

YES	
NO	

Certain improved routes for publice highway purposes in the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

A boulevard to be known as the Bay Shore Highway from the vicinity of Army street, Potrero avenue and San Bruno avenue, along San Bruno avenue widened to Cortland avenue, thence along a new right of way to Silver avenue and Charter Oak avenue, thence along Charter Oak avenue widened to Paul avenue, thence along a route paralleling San Bruno avenue between San Bruno avenue and Third street to the vicinity of San Bruno avenue and Sunnydale avenue and the County line, or the most feasible route or routes; a boulevard to be known as the Alemany boulevard from the vicinity of Cortland avenue and the proposed Bay Shore Highway, thence in a southerly and westerly direction following the general course of the old Ocean Shore right of way to a connection with the Junipero Serra boulevard near Palmetto avenue, or the most feasible route or routes; a boulevard to be known as the Junipero Serra boulevard from the vicinity of Sloat boulevard and Portola drive, thence in a general southerly direction widening and straightening the existing roadway of the present Junipero Serra boulevard to the County line near the vicinity of Belle avenue, or the most feasible route or routes; a boulevard to be known as Nineteenth avenue extended southerly crossing old Ocean avenue and paralleling on each side the extension of the Municipal Railway running southerly and southeasterly to Junipero Serra boulevard, or the most feasible route or routes; a boulevard to be known as the Sunset boulevard commencing at Lincoln way between Twentieth avenue and Thirty-seventh avenue and running in a southerly direction between said Twentieth avenue and said Thirty-seventh avenue, or such avenues included or extended, to Sloat boulevard, or the most feasible route or routes; a boulevard or beach frontage improvement to be known as the Esplanade, commencing in the vicinity of the Great Highway and Fulton street and running in a general southerly direction along and upon said Great Highway to Lincoln way, of the same type of construction as the previously completed Esplanade; an improvement of the Great Highway from Lincoln way southerly to Sloat boulevard; a boulevard to be known as the Van Ness avenue extension from the vicinity of Mission street and Twelfth street extended southerly to Howard street and Thirteenth street, or the most feasible route or routes; including approaches, structures, pavements, curbs, sidewalks, sewers, drainage facilities, the readjustment of intersecting and adjacent streets and other improvements, and the purchase of the necessary lands with respect to each of the said boulevards or beach frontage improvements.

Bonds issued for such purpose shall bear interest at the rate of four and one-half per cent (4½%) per annum, payable semi-annually.

Section 6. Where voting machines are used at said special election the said voting machines shall be so arranged that any qualified elector may vote for the proposition by pulling down a lever over the word "yes" under or near a statement of the proposed proposition appearing on cardboard, paper, or other material placed on the front of the machine and said act shall constitute a vote for the proposition, and by pulling down a lever over the word "no" under or near a statement of the proposed proposition appearing on cardboard, paper, or other material placed on the front of the machine shall constitute a vote against the proposition. Said voting machines and the preparation of the same are to be used in accordance with the provisions of Chapter 96 of the Statutes of 1923.

Each cross (X) stamped in the square to the right of the word "yes" appearing on the printed ballot, where printed ballots are used, shall constitute a vote in favor of and to authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness for the purpose set forth in the proposition; and each cross (X) stamped in the square to the right of the word "no" shall be counted as a vote not in favor of, and a refusal to authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness for the purpose set forth in the proposition.

Section 7. The election precincts and the numbers, names and boundaries thereof for said special election, and the places of voting, and the officers to conduct such election, and all other necessary proceedings in that behalf, shall be respectively defined, designated, selected, appointed and had by the Board of

Election Commissioners of the City and County of San Francisco, and said Board is hereby authorized and directed to procure and provide all supplies that may be necessary to properly and lawfully conduct said special election.

When the polls are closed the officers of election shall count the ballots cast at such election, and convass the votes cast respectively for and against the proposition herein stated and make return thereof in time, form and manner required for the counting, canvassing and returning of votes cast at other municipal elections held in the City and County of San Francisco. The Board of Election Commissions shall, as soon as the said returns and ballots have been received by said Board, canvass said returns and declare the result thereof in the manner provided by law for canvassing returns and declaring results in other elections, and shall also certify said results to the Board of Supervisors.

Section 8. If, at such special election, it shall appear that two-thirds of all the votes cast thereat were in favor of and authorized the incurring of a bonded debt for the purpose set forth in said proposition, then such proposition shall be deemed to have been accepted by the electors and bonds will be issued to defray the cost of the permanent improvements described therein. Such bonds shall be in the form and character known as "serials". All of said bonds shall be dated November 1, 1927, shall bear interest at the rate of 4½ per centum per annum, payable semi-annually, shall be of the denomination of one thousand dollars each, and the principal and interest thereof shall be payable in gold coin of the United States. Said bonds shall be called "Boulevard Bond Issue."

Bonds issued for the purpose stated shall be numbered from 1 to 9380, both inclusive, and shall be payable four hundred and sixty-nine thousand ($469,000) dollars thereof five years from the date of said bonds, beginning with the lowest numbers, and four hundred and sixty-nine thousand ($469,000) dollars thereof of the next higher numbers on the same day in each succeeding year until all of said bonds shall be paid.

Section 9. Said bonds and coupons shall be in substantially the following form:

The United States of America.
State of California.
City and County of San Francisco.
Boulevard Bond Issue.

No. ——— $1,000.00.

For value received, the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, organized and existing under the laws of the State of California, hereby acknowledges itself indebted and promises to pay to the bearer, on the first day of ———, 19—, one thousand dollars, with interest thereon at the rate of 4½ per centum per annum, payable semi-annually January 1 and July 1, on presentation and surrender of the coupons hereto attached as they respectively become due, both principal and interest being payable in gold coin of the United States at the office of the Treasurer of said City and County, or, at the option of the holder, at the fiscal agency of said City and County of San Francisco in the City and State of New York.

This bond is issued under and pursuant to the Constitution and statutes of the State of California and the Charter of said City and County of San Francisco and amendments thereto, and under and pursuant to ordinances and proceedings of said City and County duly adopted and taken, and a vote and assent of more than two-thirds of all the qualified electors of said City and County voting at a special election duly and legally called and held for that purpose.

It is hereby certified, recited and declared that all acts, conditions and things required by law to exist, happen and be performed precedent to and in the issuance of this bond have existed, happened and been performed in due time, form and manner as required by law, and that the amount of this bond, together with all other indebtedness of said City and County, does not exceed any limit prescribed by the Constitution or statutes of said State or Charter of said City and County, and that provision has been made as required by the Constiution and statutes of said State and the Charter of said City and County for the collection of an annual tax sufficient to pay the interest on this bond as it falls due, and also provision to constitute a sinking fund for the payment of the principal of this bond on or before maturity. The full faith and credit of said City and County are hereby pledged for the punctual payment of the principal and interest of this bond.

This bond may be converted into a registered bond upon presentation to the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco, in which event such Treasurer shall cut off and cancel the coupons of this bond, and shall sign a statement stamped,

printed or written upon the back or facet of the bond to the effect that this bond is registered in the name of the owner and that thereafter the interest and principal of this bond are payable to the registered owner. Thereafter and from time to time this bond may be transferred by such registered owner in person or by attorney duly authorized on presentation of this bond to the Treasurer, and the bond be again registered as before, a similar statement being stamped, printed or written thereon.

This bond is exempt from all taxation within the State of California.

In witness whereof, said City and County of San Francisco has caused this bond to be executed under its corporate seal, signed by its Mayor and Treasurer, and countersigned by its Auditor, and has caused the interest coupons hereto attached to be signed with the engraved or lithographed signature of its Treasurer, and this bond to be dated the first day of November, 1927.

.....
Mayor.

.....
Treasurer.

Countersigned:

.....
Auditor.

.....
Clerk of Board of Supervisors. (Seal)

Form of Coupon.

No. ——— $———

On ——— 1, 19—, the City and County of San Francisco, California, will pay to bearer at the office of the Treasurer of said City and County, or at the option of the holder at the fiscal agency of the City and County of San Francisco, in the City and State of New York, ——— dollars ($———) in gold coin of the United States, being six months' interest then due on its bond dated November 1, 1927, number ———.

.....
Treasurer.

Form of Registration.

San Francisco, ———, 19—

This bond is registered pursuant to Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, in the name of———— and the interest and principal thereof are hereafter payable to such owner.

.....
Treasurer.

Section 10. The amount of tax levy to be made for the payment for said nine million three hundred and eighty thousand dollars bonds issued under said proposition shall be the sum of four hundred twenty-two thousand one hundred dollars for the first five years from the date of said bonds to pay the annual interest on said bonds, and in season to pay such interest as it becomes due, and for the sixth year after the date of said bonds the sum of four hundred thousand nine hundred and ninety-five dollars to pay the interest on such of said bonds as remain outstanding after the four hundred and sixty-nine thousand dollars thereof due five years from their date have been paid, and for the seventh year after the date of said bonds the sum of three hundred seventy-nine thousand eight hundred and ninety dollars to pay and in season to pay the interest on such of said bonds as remain outstanding after the four hundred sixty-nine thousand dollars thereof due six years from their date have been paid, and so on, a sum each year for seventeen succeeding years until said bonds are all paid, sufficient for interest and in season to pay interest on all of said bonds outstanding, which sum for interest will diminish each year by the amount of twenty-one thousand one hundred and five dollars by reason of the payment each year beginning five years from date of said bonds of four hundred sixty-nine thousand dollars of said bonds, and the sum of four hundred sixty-nine thousand dollars each year beginning four years from the date of said bonds to pay and in season to pay the principal of such bonds as they respectively become due, and continuing each succeeding year for nineteen years until the principal of all of said bonds has been paid.

The purpose and intent of the foregoing tax provisions are, and it is hereby expressly provided, that at the time of levying the municipal tax, and in the manner provided for such tax levy, the Supervisors shall levy and collect annually a tax sufficient to pay the annual interest on such bonds, and also such part of the bonded indebtedness as will fall due within the succeeding fiscal year. Such taxes shall be in addition to all other taxes levied for municipal purposes, and shall be collected at the same time and in the same manner as other municipal taxes are collected.

Section 11. This ordinance shall be published for at least ten days in the official newspaper, and at the expiration of said ten days notice of such special election shall

be given and published as required by law.

Section 12. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Passed for printing by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—18.

Police Department Commended for Handling Crowds on Lindbergh Day.

Supervisor Colman presented:

Resolution No. 27820 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, San Francisco, on Lindbergh Day, Friday, September 16, 1927, witnessed one of the greatest public demonstrations in our history, with practically every man, woman and child in the City participating; and

Whereas, immense throngs assembled on Market street and in the Civic Center to see and hear Colonel Charles A. Lindbergh, and their assemblage was conducted in an orderly, courteous and efficient manner witnout one serious accident due to improper policing; therefore, be it

Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors, speaking for the community at large, extends its gratitude to and expresses its sincere commendation of the officers and men of the San Francisco Police Department who handled the Lindbergh Day crowds so ably and in keeping with their nation-wide reputation for efficiency.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—18.

Soliciting Offers for Existing Street Railway Lines.

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Resolution No. 27821 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco does hereby solicit offers for the sale to the said City and County of San Francisco of any existing railway lines or railway system, including equipment thereof, appurtenances thereto, the necessary buildings and structures and the necessary lands within the City and County of San Francisco paralleling or operating on or near the following described routes within said City and County:

From the existing Municipal Railway track at Scott and Chestnut streets into the Marina Tract, west of Scott street and north of Chestnut street; from the Municipal Railway track at Masonic avenue and Turk street into the Richmond District, connecting with the Municipal Railway tracks running to the Ocean Beach, from the Municipal Railway tracks on Masonic avenue at Turk street, easterly on Turk street to Market street connecting with the Municipal Railway tracks on Market street; a connecting cross-town service west of Twentieth avenue between the Judah street line of the Municipal Railway and the existing Municipal Railway line on Taraval street, from the Municipal Railway tracks at Forty-sixth avenue and Taraval street, southerly to a terminal near Wawona street and Forty-seventh avenue; from the Municipal Railway tracks at Thirtieth and Church streets, southwesterly through the Bernal Cut, into the Excelsior District at or near Excelsior avenue; thence southerly through the Excelsior District to a terminal at Geneva avenue; from a connection with the Municipal Railway tracks on Market street extended to a point at or near Eureka street; thence southerly over Eureka street or other most feasible route to Twenty-third street; thence by the most feasible route to a terminus on Diamond street near Twenty-ninth street; an automobile bus service from the vicinity of Seventeenth street and Potrero avenue by the most feasible route to the vicinity of Twenty-third street and Wisconsin street.

Any owner or owners of any such railway lines or railway system operating along the routes herein described within the City and County of San Francisco are hereby invited to submit proposals or offers in writing to the Board of Supervisors for the sale of the same to the City and County, and such proposals shall be filed with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors at any time prior to 11 o'clock a. m. on the 26th day of September, 1927.

It is hereby announced and declared to be the purpose and intention of the Board of Supervisors to consider any and all proposals or offers that may be made for the sale of any such railway lines or railway system operating along the routes herein described at 11 o'clock a. m. on the 26th day of September, 1927,

and before submitting the proposals to the electors for the acquisition by original construction or condemnation of such railway lines or railway system operating along the routes described, in order that the electors may have the benefit of acquiring same at the lowest possible cost thereof.

Section 2. The Clerk of the Board of Supervisors is hereby directed to publish in the official newspaper for the period of five days a notice in substantially the following form:

"Notice soliciting offers for the sale of railway lines or railway system to the City and County of San Francisco.

To the owner or owners of any railway line or railway system paralleling or operating on or near the following described routes within said City and County:

From the existing Municipal Railway track at Scott and Cestnut streets into the Marina Tract, west of Scott street and north of Chestnut street; from the Municipal Railway track at Masonic avenue and Turk street into the Richmond District, connecting with the Municipal Railway tracks running to the Ocean Beach; from the Municipal Railway tracks on Masonic avenue at Turk street, easterly on Turk street to Market street, connecting with the Municipal Railway tracks on Market street; a connecting cross-town service west of Twentieth avenue between the Judah street line of the Municipal Railway and the existing Municipal Railway tracks on Taraval street; from the Municipal Railway tracks at Forty-sixth avenue and Taraval street, southerly to a terminal near Wawona street and Forty-seventh avenue; from the Municipal Railway tracks at Thirtieth and Church streets, southwesterly through the Bernal Cut into the Excelsior District at or near Excelsior avenue; thence southerly through the Excelsior District to a terminal at Geneva avenue; from a connection with the Municipal Railway tracks on Market street extended to a point at or near Eureka street; thence southerly over Eureka street or other most feasible route to Twenty-third street; thence by the most feasible route to a terminus on Diamond street near Twenty-ninth street; an automobile bus service from the vicinity of Seventeenth street and Potrero avenue by the most feasible route to the vicinity of Twenty-third and Wisconsin streets.

You are hereby solicited and invited to submit to the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco prior to 11 o'clock a. m. on the 26th day of September, 1927, an offer or offers in writing to sell to the said City and County any railway lines or railway system, equipment thereof, appurtenances thereto, necessary buildings and structures and necessary lands.

This notice is given in compliance with the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco and Resolution No. 27821 (New Series), adopted September 26, 1927, and your attention is called to said resolution for further particulars.

Dated September 19, 1927.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk."

Section 3. The Clerk of the Board of Supervisors is hereby directed to cause a copy of the aforesaid notice to be mailed, with postage prepaid thereon, to the Market Street Railway Company, addressed to them at their place of business in San Francisco, California.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath—2.

(*Clerk was instructed to have Mayor sign bond matters at once and return.*)

Explanation.

Supervisor Badaracco appeared later in the meeting and declared that if he were present that he would have voted in favor of all the preceding bond matters.

Soliciting Offers for Existing Transmission Lines.

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Resolution No. 27822 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco does hereby solicit offers for the sale to the said City and County of San Francisco of any existing transmission line for the transmission of electric energy from the present terminal of the Moccasin Creek power line of the Hetch Hetchy water and power project of the City and County of San Francisco, from its present terminal near Newark in the County of Alameda, State of California, over, under, across or around the Bay of San Francisco, and thence into the City and County of San Francisco.

Any owner or owners of any such transmission line as herein described, transmitting electric energy from said Town of Newark in the County of Alameda, over, under, across or around the Bay of San Francisco, into the City and County of San Francisco, are hereby invited to submit proposals or offers, in writing, to the Board of Supervisors for the sale of the same to the City and County, and such proposals or offers shall be filed with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors at any time prior to the 26th day of September, 1927, at 11 o'clock a. m. of said day.

It is hereby announced and declared to be the purpose and intention of the Board of Supervisors to consider any and all proposals or offers that may be made to the City and County of San Francisco for the sale of any such transmission line as herein referred to, on the 26th day of Septembmer, 1927, at 11 o'clock a. m., or as soon thereafter as the matter can be heard by said Board, and before submitting the proposal to the electors of said City and County for the acquisition by original construction or condemnation of such transmission line or lines, in order that the electors may have the benefit of acquiring the same at the lowest possible cost thereof.

Section 2. The Clerk of the Board of Supervisors is hereby directed to publish in the official newspaper for the period of five (5) days, a notice in substantially the following form:

"Notice soliciting offers for the sale of transmission line or lines from the Town of Newark in the County of Alameda, over, under, across or around the Bay of San Francisco, and thence into the City and County of San Francisco.

To the owner or owners of any transmission line for the transmission of electric energy from the Town of Newark in the County of Alameda, and thence over, under, across or around the Bay of San Francisco, and into the City and County of San Francisco:

You are hereby solicited and invited to submit to the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, prior to the 26th day of September, 1927, at 11 o'clock a. m. of said day, an offer or offers, in writing, to sell to the said City and County of San Francisco, any transmission line for the transmission of electric energy from the Town of Newark in the County of Alameda, and thence over, under, across or around the Bay of San Francisco, and into the City and County of San Francisco, together with all and singular the appurtenances of said transmission line, including the necessary rights of way and easements for the maintenance of said transmission line within or without the City and County of San Francisco.

This notice is given in compliance with the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, and Resolution No. 27822 (New Series), adopted September 19th, 1927, and your attention is called to the said resolution for further particulars.

Dated September 19, 1927.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk."

Section 3. The Clerk of the said Board of Supervisors is hereby directed to cause a copy of the aforesaid notice to be mailed to the Pacific Gas and Electric Company and the Great Western Power Company at their respective offices in the City and County of San Francisco.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Haydent, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—14.

Noes—Supervisors Colman, Harrelson—2.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath—2.

SPECIAL ORDERS—11 A. M.

BRIDGE HEARINGS.

The following matters, made a Special Order for 11 a. m., and deferred until 2:30 p. m. on motion of Supervisor Gallagher, were taken up at the latter hour:

Motions.

The following motions continued from last meeting were taken up:

Supervisor Gallagher: I move you that while it is the policy of this Board that we favor a publicly-owned bay bridge, it is, however, the sense of this Board that we favor immediate construction of said bridge by private capital, until the people may elect in the manner provided by law to take over said bridge and place it under public ownership and control.

Supervisor Marks: I move you that, as an amendment to the motion of Supervisor Gallagher, the City Attorney be requested to submit to this Board, at the earliest possible opportunity, a form of submission of the issue of public ownership and operation of the proposed bridge, or private ownership or operation of the proposed bridge,

for action by the people at the earliest possible opportunity.

* * * * *

Supervisor Marks: I desire to present the following motion and calling attention to the fact that it does not call for anything other than asking the City Attorney to submit a proper form of proposal to us. I make the motion which heretofore has been outlined three or four times. (Referring to motion set out in full above.)

The Chairman: Any objection? So ordered.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing motions were *continued until Monday, September* 26, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Applications for Franchises to Construct Bridges Across San Francisco Bay.

Thereupon, the following matters were taken up:

Application of Bay Cities Bridge Corporation for a franchise for a toll bridge between San Francisco and Alameda.

Proposed rail and highway crossing for San Francisco Bay from the foot of Sixteenth street, San Francisco, to the foot of Pacific avenue, Alameda.

Beginning at the intersection of the center line of Sixteenth street with the center line of Third (Kentucky) street, in the City and County of San Francisco, and extending thence easterly along the center line of Sixteenth street to the waterfront line; thence easterly along a straight line to a point on the center line of Pacific avenue, in the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, which point is one hundred feet westerly from the intersection of said center line of Pacific avenue with the center line of Bryant street.

That the length of said bridge is 27,750 feet and that the width of the roadway on said bridge is 38 feet.

Filed April 6, 1925.

DUDLEY D. SALES,
Attorney.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, September* 26, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Application of Elbert W. Davis for a franchise for a toll bridge between San Franicsco and Alameda.

Across San Francisco Bay, beginning at a point in the City and County of San Francisco on the bulkhead line of San Francisco Harbor between Piers 26 and 28, and running approximately north 67 degrees 45 minutes east 17,962 feet, more or less, to a point in the City of Oakland, County of Alameda, State of California, on the easterly shore of San Francisco Bay.

That the length of said bridge is to be 17,962 feet and that the breadth thereof is to be 65 feet, more or less.

Filed June 29, 1925.

JAMES M. OLIVER,
Attorney.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, September* 26, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Application of John Bermingham, Jr., 250 Cervantes boulevard, for a franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge across San Francisco Bay between the City and County of San Francisco and the County of Alameda.

Beginning at the intersection of the center line of Seventh and Mission streets, in the City and County of San Francisco, along Seventh street to Seventh and Sixteenth; thence in a southeasterly direction to Nineteenth and Third; thence in a southerly direction along Third street to the intersection of Third street and Jerrold avenue and waterfront; thence proceeding in a northerly direction, crossing San Francisco Bay to a point from which a branch will extend 4500 feet easterly to the intersection of Pacific avenue and Bryant street, in the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California; thence from said aforementioned intersecting point proceeding in a northerly direction, crossing the Oakland Estuary, to the intersection of Peralta street and Seventh street, in

the City of Oakland, County of Alameda, State of California.

That the total length of said bridge, approaches thereto and branch thereof, aforementioned, is 65,450 feet, that the width of said bridge is ninety (90) feet.

Filed September 28, 1925.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, September* 26, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Application of A. M. Bergstrom for a franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge across San Francisco Bay between the City and County of San Francisco and the County of Alameda.

That the said toll bridge is to be located across the Bay of San Francisco along the following described line, to-wit:

Beginning at a point in San Francisco at about the foot of Davidson avenue, on Hunter's Point, and running northerly sixty-two (62) degrees east four and four-tenths (4.4) miles; thence on a one (1) degree curve to the north one and six-tenths (1.6) miles to true north to a point on the easterly shore of the Bay of San Francisco at about the foot of Webster street, in the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California.

That the length of said bridge is to be six (6) miles and the breadth is to be sixty (60) feet, more or less.

Filed September 28, 1925.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, September* 26, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Application of New York and San Francisco Development Company for franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge between San Francisco and Alameda

Bridge to be constructed across San Francisco Bay from a point therein at or near the junction of Evans avenue and Boalt street, Hunter's Point, in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to or near the junction of South High street and Washington street, in the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California; that the length of said bridge is approximately six and one-half miles from shore line to shore line, and that its breadth or width is approximately from 60 to 90 feet.

Filed January 11, 1926.

ROTHCHILD & GOLDEN,
Attorneys.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, September* 26, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Application of Roy C. Hackley for a franchise to construct a toll bridge between San Francisco, Pier 26, and Alameda County.

Beginning at a point in the City and County of San Francisco on the bulkhead line of San Francisco Harbor, south of and adjacent to Pier 26, and running approximately north 61 degrees east and fifty-three hundred feet, more or less; thence running approximately north 89 degrees east five thousand feet, more or less; thence running approximately north 59 degrees 30 minutes east eight thousand feet, more or less, to a point in the City of Oakland, County of Alameda, State of California, on the easterly shore of said San Francisco Bay.

That the length of said bridge is to be eighteen thousand three hundred feet (18,300) and that the breadth thereof is to be 78 feet, more or less.

Roy A. Bronson and E. D. Bronson, Jr., attorneys, 68 Post street.

Filed February 6, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, September* 26, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Two applications of the Construction Company of North America for a franchise to construct and

open a toll bridge between San Francisco and Alameda County.

Commencing at a point in said City and County of San Francisco near the corner of First and Bryant streets, on what is known as Rincon Hill, and running thence on less than a two-degree curve a distance of approximately 1700 lineal feet to the line of bulkhead wharf connecting Piers 30 and 32 on the waterfront of the said City and County of San Francisco; thence on a line approximately north 65 degrees east 21,000 lineal feet, more or less, to the Oakland Mole of the Southern Pacific Company in Alameda County.

That the length of said bridge is to be 4½ miles and that the width thereof is to be approximately 78 feet.

ROBERT J. McGAHIE,
Attorney, Mills Building.

First application filed February 15, 1926.

Second application field April 5, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, September* 26, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Application of Allan C. Rush for a franchise to construct a toll bridge between San Francisco and Alameda County.

Construction of a suspension bridge from the City and County of San Francisco over and across Goat Island to the east shore of the Bay of San Francisco, comprising three main double traffic track entrance therein, and being of a height of two hundred feet above high tide, between all bridge piers in the waters of San Francisco Channel, in which channel the bridge will be suspended over "but three piers," twenty-four hundred feet apart, thus in nowise impeding the traffic of the waters of the Bay.

Filed June 19, 1926.

ALLAN C. RUSH,
1632 McCullom street, Los Angeles, California.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, September* 26, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Application of Robert Lee Dunn, 778 Monadnock Building, for a franchise to construct a toll bridge along the following described line, to-wit:

Beginning at the intersection of the center line of Second street with the center line of Berry street, in the City and County of San Francisco and extending thence easterly to the waterfront line; thence easterly along a straight line to the intersection of the center lines of Pacific avenue and Bryant street, City of Alameda, California.

Length of said bridge is twenty-six thousand feet; that the width of the roadway on said bridge is eighty feet.

Filed June 21, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, September* 26, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Application of Edward A. Bertram for a franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge to be located, to-wit:

Beginning at Twelfth and Howard streets, San Francisco, by 1½ per cent viaduct to Beale and Howard streets; thence to Southern Pacific Mole, Oakland; thence by 1½ per cent viaduct to West Fourteenth street level, and Sixteenth Street Railroad Station.

The length of said bridge proper is 21,000 feet and its width of roadway is 63 feet.

Filed June 21, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, September* 26, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman. Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Application of R. S. Chew for franchise to construct, operate and

maintain a toll bridge or bridges.

The said proposed bridge or bridges are to be located and are described as follows:

The westerly end of a bridge and the approaches thereto, which will connect with public streets, are to be located in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California (which location is in the county situated on the left bank descending of the Bay of San Francisco, across which said bridge is to be constructed), and at a point therein at or near the summit of an eminence known as Telegraph Hill; from this point the bridge will project easterly a distance of nine thousand feet (more or less) to the westerly side of Yerba Buena (or Goat) Island; thence easterly across said island a roadway or viaduct will be constructed for a distance of four thousand feet (more or less) to its easterly side; from thence there will be projected in an easterly direction a distance of twenty-two thousand feet (more or less), a bridge structure, viaduct, causeway and/or fill to suitable connections with lines or railway and public highways in Alameda County, State of California.

Filed June 28, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, September* 26, 1927, *at 2 p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Application of Inter-Cities Bridge Company for a franchise to construct, maintain and operate a toll bridge across the Bay of San Francisco and over the following route:

Beginning at the intersection of Broadway and Battery street, in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and extending thence easterly to the waterfront; thence on a line approximately north 60 degrees east to the westerly point of Yerba Buena or Goat Island; thence by contour meander to the easterly point of Yerba Buena or Goat Island; thence on a line approximately north 75 degrees to the foot of Yerba Buena street, in the City of Oakland, County of Alameda, State of California.

That the length of said bridge, inclusive of approximately 4100 feet on ground support over Yerba Buena or Goat Island, and 5670 feet to be supported by an earth fill mole in the approach to the said Oakland terminal, is to be approximately 27,000 feet. That the width of said bridge is to be approximately 120 feet, providing two 20-foot vehicular lanes and accommodations for four lines of electric transportation tracks.

Filed July 12, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, September* 26, 1927, *at 2 p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Application of G. Lindenthal for franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge.

Said bridge is to be located along the following described line:

Commencing at a point in the center line of Jerrold avenue, in the City and County of San Francisco, about midway between Ship street and Dock street, in said City and County of San Francisco; thence on a curve easterly and northerly a distance of 30,000 feet, more or less, to the southerly foot of Webster street, in the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California.

The width of said bridge at the easterly end thereof for approximately 16,000 feet will be 75 feet, and the width of the remaining portion thereof will be approximately 40 feet. The length of said bridge will be approximately 30,000 feet.

Filed August 2, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, September* 26, 1927, *at 2 p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Application of W. F. Holcomb for franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge across San Francisco Bay between the City and County of San Francisco and Alameda County.

Beginning at or near and within one hundred feet northerly or southerly of the intersection of the

center line of Second street with the center line of Berry street, in the City and County of San Francisco and extending thence easterly to the waterfront line; thence easterly along a straight line to, at or near and within one hundred feet northerly or southerly of the intersection of the center lines of Pacific avenue and Bryant street, City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California.

That the length of said bridge will be twenty-six thousand feet; that the width of the roadway on said bridge will be eighty-nine feet.

Filed August 9, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, September* 26, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Application of E. D. Bronson, Jr., for a franchise for a toll bridge across San Francisco Bay.

Filed August 16, 1926.

Beginning at a point in the City and County of San Francisco on the bulkhead line of San Francisco Harbor, south of and adjacent to Pier 26, and running north approximately 68 degrees east seventeen thousand nine hundred fifty feet (17,950'), more or less, to a point in the City of Oakland, County of Alameda, State of California, on the easterly shore of said San Francisco Bay; and the said toll bridge to be approximately seventeen thousand nine hundred fifty (17,950') feet in length and one hundred ten (110') feet in breadth, more or less.

Roy A. Bronson, E. D. Bronson, Jr., attorneys at law, 68 Post street, Room 403, San Francisco, California.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, September* 26, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Tunnels.

Application of O. A. Ellis for franchise for two tunnels under the Bay of San Francisco from San Francisco to Oakland.

One of the tunnels will be for interurban street car traffic, with double track, and the San Francisco terminal between Third and Fourth streets on Harrison street.

The other tunnel will be for auto and railroad traffic, with San Francisco terminal between Second and Third streets on Harrison street.

The Oakland terminal will be at Seventh and Cedar streets.

Filed June 25, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, September* 26, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Application of H. S. Henion to construct toll bridge along the following route, to-wit :

Beginning at the intersection of the center lines of Berry and Second streets, in the City and County of San Francisco, California, thence southeasterly to the west end of Pier No. 46, on the San Francisco waterfront; thence easterly over said pier to its eastern end; thence easterly across the Bay of San Francisco to the point of intersection of the center line of Peralta street, in the City of Oakland, California, produced southerly to the center line of the vehicular roadway on the Alameda Mole, in the City of Alameda, California; thence northeasterly on the said produced center line of Peralta street to its intersection with the center line of Eighth street, in said City of Oakland, Alameda County, California.

That the length of said bridge is approximately thirty thousand feet; that the width of said bridge is approximately eighty feet.

The above bridge will include an overhead approach, to extend from Second and Berry streets, San Francisco, to Rincon Hill or immediate vicinity, where abundant terminal facilities will be provided.

Filed March 14, 1927.

Supervisor Gallagher moved that Mr. Henion be heard.

The Chair (Supervisor McSheehy) asked if Mr. Henion was present and ready to proceed.

No response.

Whereupon, on motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, September* 26, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Application of Frank E. Webb to construct toll bridge along the following route, to-wit:

Said proposed toll bridge is to be located, builded and constructed across San Francisco Bay from a point exactly in longitude west 122 degrees 21 minutes 48.7 seconds and latitude north 37 degrees 43 minutes 33.75 seconds in San Francisco County, State of California, Hunter's Point, in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to a point exactly in longitude west 122 degrees 15 minutes 2.6 seconds and latitude north 37 degrees 44 minutes 15.67 seconds in Alameda County, State of California; thence to the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California; that the length of said bridge is approximately 32,200 feet from shore line to shore line, and that its breadth or width is approximately from sixty (60) to ninety (90) feet, as shown by map attached and made a part hereof and marked "Exhibit B."

Bridge is to be of steel and concrete cantilever type, clearance from San Francisco side to be approximately one hundred and forty (140) feet. The main spans to be not less than seven hundred and fifty (750) feet nor more than seventeen hundred (1700) feet, and all spans and construction to be in accordance with the United States Government regulations and rulings.

Filed March 14, 1927.

The Chair (Supervisor McSheehy) asked if Mr. Webb was present and ready to proceed.

No response.

Whereupon, on motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, September* 26, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Communication from Construction Company of North America, supplementing original plans—modified route has its westerly terminal on Rincon Hill.

Filed May 9, 1927.

The Chair (Supervisor McSheehy) asked if the representative of the Construction Company of North America was present and ready to proceed.

No response.

Whereupon, on motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, September* 26, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Amended application of Edward A. Bertram for alternative line of bridge.

Filed May 9, 1927.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, September* 26, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Application of J. E. Pamplin to construct toll bridge along the following route, to-wit:

Beginning at or near and within two hundred feet northerly or southerly of the intersection of the center line of Second street with the center line of Berry street, in the City and County of San Francisco, and extending thence easterly to the waterfront line; thence about north seventy-three (73) degrees east (true) along a straight line to a point immediately southwest of and adjacent to the Southern Pacific Alameda Mole, and about six hundred (600) yards, more or less, southeasterly from the northwesterly end of said mole, and thence about south seventy-two (72) degrees east (true) to a point on filled land in the City of Alameda about on the prolongation northwesterly of that portion of Santa Clara avenue which lies between Paru and Park streets, in the City and Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California.

That the length of said bridge will be about twenty-six thousand (26,000) feet, more or less; that the width of the roadway on said bridge will be one hundred feet or such other width as the Board of Supervisors may direct and require under Section 2873 of the Political Code.

Filed May 9, 1927—*Continued until May* 23, 1927.

The Chair (Supervisor McSheehy)

asked if Mr. J. E. Pamplin or his representative was present and ready to proceed.

Colonel Rand appeared and was granted the privilege of the floor and was heard at length.

James Hanley, attorney representing applicant was also heard.

Whereupon, on motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, September* 26, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

A y e s — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Application of Roy C. Hackley to construct a toll bridge along the following route, to-wit:

Beginning at or near and within two hundred feet (200′) of the intersection of the center line of Fremont street with the center line of Brannan street, in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and running north approximately eighty degrees (80°) east nineteen thousand four hundred feet (19,400′), more or less, to a point in the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California, located approximately six hundred feet (600′) south of the south training wall of the Oakland Estuary.

That the length of said bridge is to be approximately nineteen thousand four hundred feet (19,400′), and that the breadth thereof is to be sixty feet (60′), more or less.

Filed May 16, 1927.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, September* 26, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

A y e s — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Application of Construction Company of North America to erect, maintain and operate and take tolls on a toll bridge over and across San Francisco Bay, commencing at a point in the City and County of San Francisco near the corner of First and Bryant streets on what is known as Rincon Hill, and running thence northeasterly 19.100 lineal feet, more or less, to the southerly line of Alameda Mole of the Southern Pacific Company; thence north by east to the intersection of Seventh and Cedar streets in the City of Oakland, County of Alameda.

The length of said bridge is to be 4½ miles, the width thereof approximately 78 feet.

Filed June 20, 1927, and *ordered on calendar July* 25, 1927.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, September* 26, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

A y e s — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Application of Roy C. Hackley for permission to construct a toll bridge across San Francisco Bay as follows:

Beginning at or near and within 200 feet of the intersection of the center line of Fremont street with the center line of Brannan street, in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and running north approimately 83 degrees east, 19,400 feet, more or less, to a point in the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California, located approximately 600 feet south of the south training wall of the Oakland Estuary.

The length of said bridge is to be approimately 19,400 feet and the width thereof is to be 60 feet, more or less.

Filed with Board of Supervisors Monday, June 27, 1927.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, September* 26, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

A y e s — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Application of City Planning and Building Company for a franchise and for authority to erect, construct, maintain and operate a submarine tunnel and the right, permission and authority to make tolls thereon for a period of fifty (50) years at the following location, to-wit:

Entering the ground at a point in the ground bounded by Fifth, Sixth, Bryant and Brannan streets, thence running underground easterly to a point on the northerly or northwest line of China Basin between Second and Third streets entering under the Bay at a depth of 45 feet below

low tide, sinking gradually to a depth of 50 feet below low tide, proceeding easterly to within 700 feet south of Seventh street at the shore line in Oakland, Alameda County, California.

The length of said submarine tunnel will be 21,120 feet under the water; said submarine tunnel will, in its inside dimensions, be 50 feet high and 68 feet in etreme width; that the same will have three floors, the upper floor for passenger automobiles, the main or central floor for railroad passenger cars, the lowest floor for automotive and heavy traffic trucks. Said tube will be divided perpendicularly into two halves, a right and a left half.

Filed Monday, July 25, 1927.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, September* 26, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

A y e s — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Application of City Planning and Building Company for a franchise and for authority to erect, construct, maintain and operate a submarine tunnel and the right, permission and authority to make tolls thereon for a period of fifty (50) years at the following location, to-wit:

Entering the ground at a point in the block bounded by Sixth, Sevnth, Hubbel and Irving streets, running thence underground in an easterly direction to a point where an extension of Fifteenth street strikes the Bay very close to El Dorado street; thence entering the Bay 45 feet below the level of the lowest tide and sinking gradually to a depth of 50 feet below the level of the lowest tide. and proceeding in an easterly direction and emerging at a point within 700 feet of a point where the center line of Lincoln avenue intersects the waters of the Bay of San Francisco in Alameda, Alameda County, State of California.

The length of said submarine tunnel to be 21,120 feet under water; said tunnel will be in its inside dimensions 50 feet high and 68 feet in extreme width; the same will have three floors, the upper floor for passenger automobiles and the main or central floor for railroad passenger cars, the lowest floor for automotive and heavy traffic trucks. Tube will be divided perpendicularly into two halves, a right and a left half.

Filed Monday, July 25, 1927.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, September* 26, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

A y e s — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Application of City Planning and Building Company for a franchise and for authority to erect, construct, maintain and operate a submarine tunnel and the right, permission and authority to make tolls thereon for a period of fifty (50) years at the following locations, to-wit:

Entering the Bay at a point where the extension of the Marina boulevard extended easterly meets the Bay at Buchanan street close to Tonquin street, at a depth of at least 45 feet below the level of low tide and sinking gradually to a depth of at least 50 feet below the level of low tide, running thence in a general northerly direction to a point one mile south of the Town of Sausalito, Marin County, State of California; said submarine tunnel or tube will have a general curve in an easterly direction; that the length of said submarine tunnel will be 3,200 feet under water. Its inside dimensions to be 50 feet high and 68 feet in extreme width; the same will have three floors, the upper floor for passenger automobiles, the main or central floor for railroad passenger cars, the lower floor for automotive and heavy traffic trucks. The tunnel or tube will de divided perpendicularly into two halves, a right and a left half.

Filed Monday, July 25, 1927.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, September* 26, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

A y e s — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Application of John P. O'Connell for a franchise for a toll bridge between the City and County of San Francisco and the County of Alameda.

Beginning at or near and within three hundred (300) feet northerly or southerly of the intersection of the northerly line of Pier 34 with the seawall at about the foot of

Brannan street, in the City and County of San Francisco, and extending thence about north seventy-seven and one-half (77½) degrees east (true) to a point immediately southwest of and adjacent to the Southern Pacific Alameda Mole, and about six hundred (600) yards, more or less, east by south from the westerly extremity of said Mole, and thence about south seventy-two (72) degrees east (true) to the intersection of said line with the bank or shore of San Francisco Bay at its most westerly intersection with said line, in the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California.

That the length of said bridge on said lines will be about seventeen thousand seven hundred (17,700) feet, more or less, on the first of said lines and seven thousand two hundred (7,200) feet, more or less, on the second of said lines, making about twenty-four thousand nine hundred (24,900), more or less, feet for the total length of said bridge, not including approaches; that the width of the roadway on said bridge will be one hundred (100) feet or such other width as the Board of Supervisors may direct and require under Section 2873 of the Political Code.

Filed August 15, 1927.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, September* 26, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Application of John P. O'Connell for a franchise for a toll bridge between the City and County of San Francisco and the County of Alameda.

Beginning at or near and within three hundred (300) feet northerly or southerly of the intersection of the northern line of Pier Forty-six (46) with the seawall at about the foot of Berry street in the City and County of San Francisco and extending thence about north seventy-two (72) degrees east (true) along a straight line to a point immediately southwest of and adjacent to the Southern Pacific Alameda Mole, and about six hundred (600) yards, more or less, east by south from the westerly extremity of said Mole, and thence about south seventy-two (72) degrees east (true) to the intersection of said line with the bank or shore of San Francisco Bay at its most westerly intersection with said line, in the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California.

That the length of said bridge on said lines will be about eighteen thousand two hundred (18,200) feet, more or less, on the first of said lines and seven thousand two hundred (7,200) feet, more or less, on the second of said lines, making about twenty-five thousand four hundred (25,400), more or less, feet for the total length of said bridge, not including approaches; that the width of the roadway on said bridge will be one hundred (100) feet or such other width as the Board of Supervisors may direct and require under Section 2873 of the Political Code.

Filed August 15, 1927.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, September* 26, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business, the Board at 5:45 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors November 28, 1927.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated, and approved as above recited.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors.
City and County of San Francisco.

Vol. 22—New Series No. 39

Monday, September 26, 1927

Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
337 Bush Street, S. F.

JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, SEPTEMBER 26, 1927, 10 A. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, September 26, 1927, 10 a. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Shannon—2.

Quorum present.

His Honor Mayor Rolph appeared at 10 a. m. and excused himself at 10:20, stating that he had important business in his office.

Supervisor McSheehy was elected to preside.

APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the previous meeting was laid over until the next meeting.

ROLL CALL FOR PETITIONS FROM MEMBERS.

Request for Appropriation for Decorating Streets on the Occasion of the Celebration of the Removal of the Montgomery Street Car Line.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication, from the North Central Improvement Association requesting that the City contribute $250 for the purpose of supplying banners, flags and slogans to fittingly celebrate the removal of the Montgomery street car line on October 5, 1927, when the last car will pass over said street.

Referred to Public Welfare and Publicity Committee.

Letter of Appreciation, Police Department.

The following was presented, read and ordered *filed*:

Communication, from Chief of Police D. J. O'Brien acknowledging receipt of letter with reference to resolution of the Board of Supervisors thanking the Police Department for the efficiency and courtesy with which the crowds were handled on Lindbergh day.

Invitation to Attend Celebration of the Removal of the Montgomery Street Car Line.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication, from the North Central Improvement Association inviting the Board of Supervisors to participate in the celebration of the removal of the Montgomery street car line on October 5, 1927, in conjunction with the property owners and business houses on said street. Directors of the association will be glad to meet the Supervisors at Post and Leavenworth streets at 12:15 p. m. on the date mentioned.

Invitation *accepted.*

Down Town Association on Expiring Street Railway Franchises.

Communication, from Down Town Association transmitting resolution of its Board of Directors in regard to expiring street railway franchises.

Referred to Public Utilities and *Finance Committees*, jointly.

Home Industry Mill Work in San Francisco Schools.

The following was presented by Supervisor Gallagher and read by the Clerk:

Communication, from United Brotherhood of Carpenters and Joiners of America Millmen's Union No. 42 expressing their keen interest in the resolution presented by Supervisor Gallagher, No. 27831 (New Series), on September 19, 1927, calling upon the Board of Public Works to report the names and locations of mill firms that have been supplying material to public schools of San Francisco during the past five years, and soliciting the City's interest in behalf of local firms.

The Clerk was directed to ask the Board of Public Works if it has a report on his resolution, No. 27831 (New Series), requesting the said Board to give names and location of mill firms that have been supplying materials to the schools for the past five years, and if not, why not.

Annual Meeting Redwood Empire Association.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication, from the Redwood Empire Association inviting attendance of the Board of Supervisors at the annual meeting of the Redwood Empire Association, which will be held at Santa Rosa on October 6, 7, 8, 1927.

Ordered *filed*.

Appeal From Assessment.

Communication, from Eaton & Smith appealing from the assessment issued for the improvement of Twenty-eighth street between Castro and Noe streets, the crossing of Twenty-eighth and Castro streets, and Castro street between Twenty-eighth and Valley streets, issued on August 3, 1927, on the ground that the grading quantities allowed did not properly represent the amount of material removed.

Whereupon, on motion of Supervisor Marks, the appeal was denied and the assessment confirmed, and the following resolution was presented and *adopted* by the following vote:

Appeal Denied and Assessment Confirmed.

Supervisor Marks presented:

Resolution No. 27834 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the appeal of Clarence B. Eaton from the assessment issued by the Board of Public Works on August 3, 1927, for the improvement of Twenty-eighth street between Castro and Noe streets, where not already so improved, and the improvement of Castro street between Twenty-eighth and Valley streets, where not already so improved, and the improvement of the crossing of Twenty-eighth and Castro streets by grading, paving, etc., be and the same is hereby denied and the assessment confirmed.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Shannon—2.

San Francisco's Participation in State Fair.

Supervisor Kent presented:

Report on participation in California State Fair, Sacramento, September 3-10, inclusive:

The reaction from maintaining a booth at the State Fair at Sacramento has never been so great or so effective as this year. During Sunday and Monday, September 4 and 5, an average of 3000 per hour visited the booth over a period of twelve consecutive hours. This was the largest attendance at any booth at the Fair, excepting that of the Fish and Game Commission, but their attendance did not cover as long a period as ours. Over the second holiday, September 9, we averaged 1800 per hour. At all times there was a steady stream of people coming and going, but the above was the peak. The "Sacramento Union" gave us a six-inch column article on our attendance.

Literature on San Francisco and environs was distributed personally to visitors. This literature was secured through the trunk line railroads, bus lines, Redwood and Pacific highways, American Toll Bridge Co., Shell Oil, Tamalpais Railroad, Golden Gate Ferry, Convention and Tourist League and Chamber of Commerce; 63,800 pamphlets and booklets were distributed.

Mrs. Johnson was in charge of the booth and was assisted by Mrs. Whitmore, Miss Jackson and Miss Lehman, all trained information clerks. Mrs. Johnson remained the full week, and at all times had one assistant in Sacramento with her, the week being divided between the other three girls. The two on duty at the booth worked thirteen hours each day, dividing it in shifts during the lighter hours, and both being on duty during the heavy ones.

Among the outstanding people visiting the booth were: Governor Young, Senator Herbert C. Jones, Congressman and Mrs. Richard Welch, Frederick Koster, Supervisors Milo Kent and Franck R. Havenner, Chas. Knight and Norman Sloan from the California Development Association, Leonard Gary from the Bureau of Foreign and Domestic Commerce and many Eastern visitors.

The exhibit, which was built by Rudolph and William Theurkauf, who built the Reno exhibit, consisted of a miniature bridge 35 feet long and a miniature airport, portraying the two major projects the City of San Francisco is trying to put over. The bridge was perfectly and completely constructed in detail, electrically lighted and spanning real water with warships, tugs, sail boats and other craft floating around. Crossing the bridge were automobiles and trucks. The airport boasted a hangar, runway and four aeroplanes, one kept in motion by means of a motor. The spotlights and electrical arrangement in the booth made it stand out in startling comparison with any other booth at the Fair; one could hear

the whirr of the motor and see the bridge from across the building, as well as from the balcony, and this is probably what started the crowds coming our way. Once started, others automatically followed. Back of the bridge was a huge photographic skyline of Gabriel Moulin, 6x40. The crowds visiting this exhibit as compared to those visiting the "still" exhibits proved conclusively that it is moving objects that attract, and the writer would recommend that next year this idea be carried out in greater detail and in a larger space. A really wonderful portrayal of San Francisco and her industries could be given if sufficient time is allowed to work out details and a larger space can be secured.

Respectfully submitted,

M. T. JOHONSON,
Manager, Hospitality Bureau.

Read and ordered *spread in the Journal.*

SET-BACK LINE HEARINGS.

Hearing of objections to the establishment of set-back lines along portions of Twenty-first and Twenty-sixth avenues, fixed for the hour of 11 a. m. this day.

No objection being offered, the following bill was *passed for printing*:

Bill No. 8229, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Establishing set-back lines along portions of Twenty-first and Twenty-sixth avenues.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby recited that on the 22d day of August, 1927, the Board of Supervisors adopted Resolution of Intention No. 153, to establish set-back lines along Twenty-first and Twenty-sixth avenues, and fixed the 26th day of September, 1927, at 11 o'clock a. m., at the chambers of the Board of Supervisors, as the time and place for hearing objections thereto; that thereafter and more than ten days prior to said hearing the said resolution was published and notices of the passage of said resolution were posted along the line of said streets, in time, form and manner required by Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series); that said hearing was held at the time and place aforesaid, and that all objections made at said hearing have been properly overruled.

Section 2. Pursuant to the foregoing recitals and in accordance with the provisions of said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), set-back lines are hereby established as follows:

Along the westerly side of Twenty-sixth avenue, commencing at Moraga street and running thence northerly 450 feet, said set-back line to be 9 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; along the easterly side of Twenty-sixth avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Moraga street and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 300 feet, said set-back line to be 9 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet.

Along the westerly side of Twenty-first avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Noriega street and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 275 feet, said set-back line to be 9 feet; thence northerly 37½ feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 37½ feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; along the easterly side of Twenty-first avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Noriega street and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 5 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 10 feet; thence northerly 275 feet, said set-back line to be 15 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 12 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 8 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 4 feet.

As shown on the maps filed in the office of the Board of Supervisors and to which reference is hereby made for further particulars.

Section 3. No structures shall be erected in the area between said set-back lines and the street lines except as provided in said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), and the penalties for the violation of this ordinance shall be as fixed by the ordinance aforesaid.

Hearing of objections to the establishment of set-back lines along portions of Twenty-ninth and Thirtieth avenues, fixed for the hour of 11 a. m. this day.

No objection being offered, the following bill was *passed for printing*:

Bill No. 8230, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Establishing set-back lines along portions of Twenty-ninth and Thirtieth avenues.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby recited that on the 22d day of August, 1927, the Board of Supervisors adopted Resolution of Intention No. 154, to establish set-back lines along Twenty-ninth and Thirtieth avenues, and fixed the 26th day of September, 1927, at 11 o'clock a. m., at the chambers of the Board of Supervisors, as the time and place for hearing objections thereto; that thereafter and more than ten days prior to said hearing the said resolution was published and notices of the passage of said resolution were posted along the line of said streets, in time, form and manner required by Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series); that said hearing was held at the time and place aforesaid, and that all objections made at said hearing have been properly overruled.

Section 2. Pursuant to the foregoing recitals and in accordance with the provisions of said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), setback lines are hereby established as follows:

Along the westerly side of Twenty-ninth avenue, commencing at a point 75 feet northerly from Santiago street and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 5 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 7 feet; thence northerly 325 feet, said setback line to be 9 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; along the easterly side of Twenty-ninth avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Santiago street and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly to Rivera street, said set-back line to be 9 feet.

Along the westerly side of Thirtieth avenue, commencing at a point 75 feet 8 inches northerly from Santiago street and running thence northerly 49 feet 4 inches, said set-back line to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly to Rivera street, said set-back line to be 9 feet; along the easterly side of Thirtieth avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Santiago street and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 300 feet, said setback line to be 9 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet.

As shown on the maps filed in the office of the Board of Supervisors and to which reference is hereby made for further particulars.

Section 3. No structures shall be erected in the area between said set-back lines and the street lines except as provided in said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), and the penalties for the violation of this ordinance shall be as fixed by the ordinance aforesaid.

Hearing of objections to the establishment of set-back lines along portions of Fourteenth, Fifteenth and Seventeenth avenues, fixed for the hour of 11 a. m. this day.

No objection being offered, the following bill was *passed for printing*:

Bill No. 8231, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Establishing set-back lines along portions of Fourteenth, Fifteenth and Seventeenth avenues.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby recited that on the 22d day of August, 1927, the Board of Supervisors adopted Resolution of Intention No. 156, to establish set-back lines along Fourteenth, Fifteenth and Seventeenth avenues, and fixed the 26th day of September, 1927, at 11 o'clock a. m., at the chambers of the Board of Supervisors, as the time and place for hearing objections thereto; that thereafter and more than ten days prior to said hearing the said resolution was published and notices of the passage of said resolution were posted along the line of said streets, in time, form and manner required by Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series); that said hearing was held at the time and place aforesaid, and that all objections made at said hearing have been properly overruled.

Section 2. Pursuant to the foregoing recitals and in accordance with the provisions of said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), setback lines are hereby established as follows:

Along the easterly side of Fifteenth avenue, commencing at Vicente street and running thence

northerly 100 feet, said set-back line to be 15 feet.

Along the easterly side of Seventeenth avenue, commencing at Vicente street and running thence northerly 100 feet, said set-back line to be 10 feet.

Along the westerly side of Fourteenth avenue, commencing at Vicente street and running thence northerly to a point 100 feet southerly from Ulloa street, said set-back line to be 15 feet; along the easterly side of Fourteenth avenue, commencing at a point 150 feet northerly from Vicente street and running thence northerly 37½ feet, said set-back line to be 5 feet; thence northerly 37½ feet, said set-back line to be 10 feet; thence northerly to a point 100 feet southerly from Ulloa street, said set-back line to be 15 feet.

As shown on the maps filed in the office of the Board of Supervisors and to which reference is hereby made for further particulars.

Section 3. No structures shall be erected in the area between said set-back lines and the street lines except as provided in said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), and the penalties for the violation of this ordinance shall be as fixed by the ordinance aforesaid.

Hearing of objections to the establishment of set-back lines along portions of Twenty-eighth and Twenty-ninth avenues, fixed for the hour of 11 a. m. this day.

No objection being offered, the following bill was *passed for printing*:

Bill No. 8232, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Establishing set-back lines along portions of Twenty-eighth and Twenty-ninth avenues.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby recited that on the 22d day of August, 1927, the Board of Supervisors adopted Resolution of Intention No. 155, to establish set-back lines along Twenty-eighth and Twenty-ninth avenues, and fixed the 26th day of September, 1927, at 11 o'clock a. m., at the chambers of the Board of Supervisors, as the time and place for hearing objections thereto; that thereafter and more than ten days prior to said hearing the said resolution was published and notices of the passage of said resolution were posted along the line of said streets, in time, form and manner required by Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series); that said hearing was held at the time and place aforesaid, and that all objections made at said hearing have been properly overruled.

Section 2. Pursuant to the foregoing recitals and in accordance with the provisions of said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series); set-back lines are hereby established as follows:

Along the westerly side of Twenty-eighth avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Lawton street and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 9 feet; thence northerly 325 feet, said set-back line to be 12 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 9 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; along the easterly side of Twenty-eighth avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Lawton street and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 300 feet, said set-back line to be 9 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet.

Along the westerly side of Twenty-ninth avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Lawton street and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 300 feet, said set-back line to be 9 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; along the easterly side of Twenty-ninth avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Lawton street and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 2½ feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 5 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 7½ feet; thence northerly 325 feet, said set-back line to be 10 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 7½ feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 5 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 2½ feet.

As shown on the maps filed in the office of the Board of Supervisors and to which reference is

hereby made for further particulars.

Section 3. No structures shall be erected in the area between said setback lines and the street lines, except as provided in said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), and the penalties for the violation of this ordinace shall be as fixed by the ordinance aforesaid.

Consideration of Mayor's Veto.

The following matter was *continued one week*:

Consideration of Mayor's veto of Resolution No. 27722 (New Series), granting the Underwriters Utilities Corporation permission to have transferred to it public garage permit heretofore granted Albert Rossi et al., by Resolution No. 20380 (New Series) at 547-555 Washington street.

Question: Shall the resolution pass notwithstanding the objections of his Honor the Mayor?

PRESENTATION OF PROPOSALS.

Laundry Equipment for Laguna Honda Home.

Sealed proposals were received and opened at the hour of 11 a. m. for furnishing laundry equipment for Laguna Honda Home and *referred to the Supplies Committee.*

UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

Final Passage.

The following matters, heretofore passed for printing, were taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Authorizations.

Resolution No. 27835 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

Library Fund.

(1) American Building Maintenance Co., janitor service for public libraries (claim dated Aug. 31, 1927), $810.

(2) San Francisco News Co., library books (claim dated Aug. 31, 1927), $2,296.54.

Park Fund.

(3) Montague Pipe & Steel Co., pipe for parks (claim dated Aug. 31, 1927), $2,021.24.

(4) Pacific Gas & Electric Co., gas and electric service for parks (claim dated Sept. 16, 1927), $4,526.43.

Playground Fund.

(5) Spring Valley Water Co., water for parks (claim dated Sept. 7, 1927), $1,442.75.

(6) Board of Public Works, labor and materials furnished for playgrounds during July (claim dated Sept. 7, 1927), $572.38.

Hetch Hetchy Operative Revenue Fund.

(7) H. G. Butler, services in connection with severance damage for valuation of San Francisco electric properties (claim dated Sept. 14, 1927), $787.50.

(8) John J. Dailey, legal services for September, in valuation of San Francisco electric properties (claim dated Sept. 14, 1927), $850.

(9) Railroad Commission of the State of California, expense of valuation of San Francisco electric properties during September, 1927 (claim dated Sept. 19. 1927), $1,000.

Hetch Hetchy Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1927.

(10) Edison Storage Battery Supply Co., battery cells, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Sept. 7, 1927), $2,967.69.

(11) T. E. Connolly and J. M. De Lucca, eighth payment, construction of Hetch Hetchy aqueduct tunnels, Foothill Division (claim dated Sept. 14, 1927), $30,864.63.

(12) A. Guthrie & Co., Inc., ninth payment, construction of Hetch Hetchy aqueduct tunnels, Foothill Division (claim dated Sept. 14, 1927), $50,052.29.

California Palace Legion of Honor —(Appropriation 61).

(13) American Railway Express Co., expressage on exhibits (claim dated Sept. 16, 1927), $2,108.46.

Municipal Railway Fund.

(14) San Francisco City Employees Retirement System, pensions, etc., Municipal Railway employees (claim dated Sept. 7, 1927), $7,468.36.

(15) American Smelting & Refining Co., copper trolley wire (claim dated Sept. 7, 1927), $2,051.20.

Special School Tax.

(16) S. W. Band, final payment, plumbing and gas-fitting, additional units, Galileo High School (claim dated Sept. 13, 1927), $7,762.50.

(17) D. N. & E. Walter & Co., first and final payment, window and door shades, additional units, Galileo High School (claim dated Sept. 13, 1927), $1,272.20.

General Fund, 1926-1927.

(18) Pearson & Johnson, second payment, moving club-houses at foot of Van Ness avenue (claim dated Sept. 16, 1927), $4,804.60.

(19) W. Q. Wright, Ralph A. Beebee and Albert A. Peters, progress payment, dredging the yacht harbor (claim dated Sept. 16, 1927), $5,906.25.

(20) Monson Bros., first payment, repairs and underpinning of the Palace of Fine Arts (claim dated Sept. 16, 1927), $5,464.13.

(21) Frank J. McHugh, sixth payment, construction of sewer in westerly Sunset District, Contract 3 (claim dated Sept. 13, 1927), $11,-250.

General Fund, 1927-1928.

(22) Associated Charities, widows' pensions (claim dated Sept. 15, 1927), $8,560.73.

(23) Eureka Benevolent Society, widows' pensions (claim dated Sept. 16, 1927), $1,023.23.

(24) Little Children's Aid, widows' pensions (claim dated Sept. 16, 1927), $8,704.02.

(25) Dion R. Holm, expense of trip to Oregon and Washington in matter of telephone rate litigation (claim dated Sept. 14, 1927), $509.35.

(26) Dion R. Holm, legal services for September, tedlephone rate litigation (claim dated Sept. 14, 1927), $850.

(27) N. Randall Ellis, engineering services, telephone rate litigation (claim dated Sept. 14, 1927), $750.

(28) Crescent Oil Co., gasoline for Police Department (claim dated Sept. 12, 1927), $826.07.

(29) Spring Valley Water Co., water for street cleaning (claim dated Sept. 13, 1927), $643.03.

(30) Eclipse Lime & Cement Co., cement for sewer repairs (claim dated Sept. 13, 1927), $897.01.

(31) The Stuart S. Smith Co., one Elgin sweeper, Department of Public Works (claim dated Aug. 25, 1927), $7,375.50.

(32) Pacific Gas & Electric Co., lighting public buildings (claim dated Sept. 13, 1927), $3,784.51.

(33) James M. Smith, second payment, construction of San Francisco Municipal Airport (claim dated Sept. 14, 1927), $5,400.

(34) Shell Company, fuel oil, Fire Department (claim dated Aug. 31, 1927), $733.72.

(35) Crescent Oil Co., gasoline, Fire Department (claim dated Aug. 31, 1927), $876.89.

(36) M. Greenberg's Sons, hydrant parts, etc., Fire Department (claim dated Aug. 31, 1927), $677.44.

(37) Pacific Gas & Electric Co., gas and electric service, Fire Department (claim dated Aug. 31, 1927), $1,932.75.

(38) Spring Valley Water Co., installing hydrants and water service, Fire Department (claim dated Aug. 31, 1927), $2,077.77.

(39) E. B. Frick, room allowances for San Francisco Hospital employees (claim dated Sept. 15, 1927), $2,712.28.

(40) Ralphs Pugh Co., sheeting, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Sept. 15, 1927), $880.25.

(41) Eastman Kodak Stores, Inc., dental films, etc., San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Sept. 15, 1927), $2,294.20.

(42) Langendorf Baking Co., bread, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Sept. 15, 1927), $1,-264.87.

(43) Scatena-Galli Fruit Co., fruit, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Sept. 15, 1927), $725.02.

(44) Marshall-Newell Supply Co., valves, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Sept. 15, 1927), $1,-032.37.

(45) California Petroleum Co., fuel oil, Laguna Honda Home (claim dated Sept. 15, 1927), $1,-780.39.

(46) Del Monte Meat Co., meats, Laguna Honda Home (claim dated Sept. 15, 1927), $3,109.85.

(47) L. Dinkelspiel Co., dry goods, Laguna Honda Home (claim dated Sept. 15, 1927), $1,207.18.

(48) J. T. Freitas Co., eggs, Laguna Honda Home (claim dated Sept. 15, 1927), $1,682.62.

(49) H. Moffatt Co., meats, Laguna Honda Home (claim dated Sept. 15. 1927). $853.51.

(50) Morris & Co., butter, etc., Laguna Honda Home (claim dated Sept. 15, 1927), $1,782.21.

(51) San Francisco Dairy Co., milk, Laguna Honda Home (claim dated Sept. 15, 1927), $2,453.48.

(52) Sperry Flour Co., flour, Laguna Honda Home (claim dated Sept. 15, 1927), $680.

(53) Louis Strauss, men's suits, Laguna Honda Home (claim dated Sept. 15, 1927), $810.

(54) Levi Strauss & Co., underwear, Laguna Honda Home (claim dated Sept. 15, 1927), $1,117.50.

(55) Sussman, Wormser Co., sugar, Laguna Honda Home (claim dated Sept. 15, 1927), $585.

(56) S. H. Tyler & Son, coffee, Laguna Honda Home (claim dated Sept. 15, 1927), $538.80.

(57) Spring Valley Water Co., water, Laguna Honda Home (claim dated Sept. 15, 1927), $786.33.

(58) Pacific Gas & Electric Co., street lighting for August (claim dated Sept. 19, 1927), $54,711.97.

(59) Renner Foundation Co., removing aprons and approaches to boathouses, etc., Yacht Harbor (claim dated Sept. 16, 1927), $1,-200.

(60) Renner Foundation Co., removing aprons and approaches to

boathouses, etc., Yacht Harbor (claim dated Sept. 16, 1917), $1,725.

(61) Preston School of Industry, maintenance of minors (claim dated Sept. 15, 1927), $605.16.

(62) Preston School of Industry, maintenance of minors (claim dated Sept. 15, 1927), $552.90.

(63) St. Vincent's School, maintenance of minors (claim dated Sept. 15, 1927), $1,565.31.

(64) Protestant Orphanage, maintenance of minors (claim dated Sept. 15, 1927), $998.15.

(65) San Francisco Nursery for Homeless Children, maintenance of minors (claim dated Sept. 15, 1927), $548.56.

(66) Roman Catholic Orphanage, maintenance of minors (claim dated Sept. 15, 1927), $3,575.62.

(67) Boys' Aid Society, maintenance of minors (claim dated Sept. 15, 1927), $1,235.44.

(68) The Albertinum Orphanage, maintenance of minors (claim dated Sept. 15, 1927), $1,380.59.

(69) Little Children's Aid, maintenance of minors (claim dated Sept. 15, 1927), $12,516.63.

(70) Eureka Benevolent Society, maintenance of minors (claim dated Sept. 15, 1927), $4,076.30.

(71) Children's Agency, maintenance of minors (claim dated Sept. 15, 1927), $31,979.72.

(72) Symon Bros., team hire for street cleaning (claim dated Sept. 15, 1927), $805.

Publicity and Advertising (Appropriation 55).

(73) Rudolph Theurkuaf, designing, building, decorating and maintaining San Francisco exhibit at State Fair, Sacramento (claim dated Sept. 19, 1927), $1,963.60.

A y e s — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—16

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Shannon—2.

Appropriations Out of County Road Fund for Boulevards.

Resolution No. 27836 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside and appropriated out of County Road Fund and authorized in payment to the hereinafter named persons; being payments for properties and damages to properties as hereinafter described, to-wit:

(1) To Vincenzo Zolli and Teresa Zolli for property required for the widening of Silver avenue, as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 27766 (New Series), $760.

(2) To Mary Barilli for property required for the widening of Silver avenue, as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 27766 (New Series), $760.

(3) To Hannah Greenbaum for property required for the widening of Silver avenue, as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 27766 (New Series), $665.

(4) To Michael Pajka for property required for the widening of Silver avenue, as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 27766 (New Series), $680.

(5) To John P. Magee and Rose Magee for property required for the widening of Silver avenue, as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 27766 (New Series), $665.

(6) To Fred Muzio and Tackla Muzio for property required for the widening of Silver avenue, as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 27766 (New Series), $725.

(7) To Wm. D. Coryell and Roselia Coryell for property required for the widening of Silver avenue, as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 27766 (New Series), $775.

(8) To I. M. Sommer and Fanny R. Sommer for property required for the opening of Alemany boulevard, as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 27767 (New Series), $2,138.

(9) To E. C. Mills and Ida Ruth Mills for release of damages in connection with acquisition of property required for the extension of Market street, as per Resolution No. 27765 (New Series), $1,000.

(10) To Thos. S. Kristovich and Marko M. Kristovich for property required for the widening of Silver avenue, as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 27766 (New Series), $730.

A y e s — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—16

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Shannon—2.

Appropriation, $14,568.60, for Property Required for McLaren Park.

Resolution No. 27837 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $14,568.60 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of "Purchase of Lands for Public Purposes in Mission District," Budget Item No. 70, and authorized in payment to the California Pacific Title & Trust Company; being payment for properties required for the John

McLaren Park as set forth in acceptance of offers by Resolution No. 27785 (New Series). (Claim dated September 19. 1927.)

A y e s — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Shannon—2.

Oil Tank Permits.

Resolution No. 27838 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits be and are hereby granted:

Oil Tanks.

J. Bessett, south side Fifteenth street, 25 feet west of Caledonia street, 1500 gallons capacity.

John Casty, southwest corner Turk and Broderick streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

Henry Ernst & Sons, 2540 Jackson street, 1500 gallons capacity.

J. S. Hay, 1656 California street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Hoffman & McGahey, north side California street, 75 feet west of Broderick street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Hoffman & McGahey, west side Broderick street, 75 feet north of California street, 1500 gallons capacity.

C. F. Horning, east line Gough street, 40 feet north of Hickory street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Edward Jose, west side Gough street, 50 feet north of Broadway, 1500 gallons capacity.

South Side High School, Onondaga and Cayuga avenues and Otsego street, 300 gallons capacity.

L. Lawrence, south side Balboa street, 50 feet west of Forty-seven avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

P. Loustau, 618 Bush street, 1500 gallons capacity.

A. Raymond, southwest corner Waller street and Alpine Terrace, 1500 gallons capacity.

A. Romanio, north side Jefferson street, 135 feet west of Scott street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Stock-Maas & Sauer, north line Sacramento street, 100 feet east of Franklin street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Andrew B. Talbot, north side Pacific avenue, 185 feet east of Lyon street, 1500 gallons capacity.

W. R. Voorhies, southwest corner Jackson street and Arguello boulevard, 1500 gallons capacity.

I. Weinstein, 174 Ellis street, 1500 gallons capacity.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits shall become null and void.

A y e s — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Shannon—2.

Laundry Permit.

Resolution No. 27839 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Jean Lecay be and he is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to operate and maintain hand laundry at 607 Geary street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

A y e s — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Shannon—2.

Transfer of Garage Permit.

Resolution No. 27840 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Candido Lucci be and he is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred to him public garage permit heretofore granted E. E. Lunstedt by Resolution No. 25942 (New Series) for premises at 520 Chestnut street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

A y e s — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Shannon—2.

Transfer of Automobile Supply Station Permit.

Resolution No. 27841 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Shell Company of California be and it is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred to it automobile supply station permit heretofore granted H. J. Mallen by Resolution No. 26066 (New Series) for premises at southeast corner of Ocean avenue and Plymouth avenue.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

A y e s — Supervisors Badaracco.

Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Shannon—2.

Blasting Permit .

Resolution No. 27842 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Granfield, Farrar & Carlin be and are hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to explode blasts on property situate on Brussels street near Dwight way, provided said permittee shall execute and file a good and sufficient bond in the sum of $5,000, as fixed by the Board of Public Works and approved by his Honor the Mayor, in accordance with Ordinance No. 1204; provided, also, that said blasts shall be exploded only between the hours of 7 a. m. and 6 p. m., and that the work of blasting shall be performed to the satisfaction of the Board of Public Works, and that if any of the conditions of this resolution be violated by said Granfield, Farrar & Carlin, then the privileges and all rights accruing thereunder shall immediately become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Shannon—2.

Establish Set-back Lines, Certain Streets.

Bill No. 8226, Ordinance No. 7709 (New Series), as follows:

Establishing set-back lines along portions of Twenty-ninth, Thirtieth and Forty-fifth avenues and Farallones street.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby recited that on the 15th day of August, 1927, the Board of Supervisors adopted Resolution of Intention No. 151 to establish set-back lines along Twenty-ninth, Thirtieth and Forty-fifth avenues and Farallones street, and fixed the 19th day of September, 1927, at 11 o'clock a. m., at the chambers of the Board of Supervisors as the time and place for hearing objections thereto; that thereafter and more than ten days prior to said hearing the said resolution was published and notices of the passage of said resolution were posted along the line of said streets in time, form and manner required by Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series); that said hearing was held at the time and place aforesaid, and that all objections made at said hearing have been properly overruled.

Section 2. Pursuant to the foregoing recitals and in accordance with the provisions of said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), set-back lines are hereby established as follows:

Along the westerly side of Twenty-ninth avenue, commencing at a point 93 feet northerly from Noriega street and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly to Moraga street, said set-back line of be 9 feet; along the easterly side of Twenty-ninth avenue, commencing at a point 93 feet northerly from Noriega street and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 fet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 300 feet, said set-back line of be 9 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet.

Along both sides of Thirtieth avenue, commencing at points 93 feet northerly from Noriega street and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 3 feet thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 6 feet; thence northerly to Moraga street, said set-back lines to be 9 feet.

Along the easterly side of Forty-fifth avenue between Santiago street and Rivera street, said set-back line to be 9 feet.

Along the northerly side of Farallones street, commencing at Orizaba avenue and running thence easterly to a point 280 feet westerly from Capitol avenue, said set-back line to be 10 feet; along the southerly side of Farallones street, commencing at a point 89 feet 1½ inches easterly from Orizaba avenue and running thence easterly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; thence easterly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence easterly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 9 feet; thence easterly 600 feet, said set-back line to be 12 feet; thence easterly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 9 feet; thence easterly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence easterly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet.

As shown on the maps filed in the office of the Board of Supervisors and to which reference is hereby made for further particulars.

Section 3. No structures shall be

erected in the area between said set-back lines and the street lines except as provided in said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), and the penalties for the violation of this ordinance shall be as fixed by the ordinance aforesaid.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Shannon—2.

Bill No. 8227, Ordinance No. 7710 (New Series), as follows:

Establishing set-back lines along portions of Thirty-sixth, Fortieth, Forty-first, Forty-second and Forty-fourth avenues.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby recited that on the 15th day of August, 1927, the Board of Supervisors adopted Resolution of Intention No. 152, to establish set-back lines along Thirty-sixth, Fortieth, Forty-first, Forty-second and Forty-fourth avenues, and fixed the 19th day of September, 1927, at 11 o'clock a. m., at the chambers of the Board of Supervisors as the time and place for hearing objections thereto; that thereafter and more than ten days prior to said hearing the said resolution was published and notices of the passage of said resolution were posted along the line of said streets in time, form and manner required by Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series); that said hearing was held at the time and place aforesaid, and that all objections made at said hearing have been properly overruled.

Section 2. Pursuant to the foregoing recitals and in accordance with the provisions of said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), set-back lines are hereby established as follows:

Along both sides of Fortieth avenue, commencing at points 100 feet northerly from Kirkham street and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 6 feet; thence northerly 300 feet, said set-back lines to be 9 feet; thence northrly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 3 feet.

Along both sides of Forty-first avenue, commencing at points 100 feet northerly from Kirkham street and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 6 feet; thence northerly 300 feet, said set-back lines to be 9 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 3 feet.

Along both sides of Forty-second avenue, commencing at points 100 feet northerly from Kirkham street and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 6 feet; thence northerly 300 feet, said set-back lines to be 9 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 3 feet.

Along the easterly side of Forty-fourth avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Irving street and running thence northerly 275 feet, said set-back line to be 14 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 12 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 9 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet.

Along both sides of Thirty-sixth avenue, commencing at points 100 feet northerly from Judah street and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 6 feet; thence northerly 300 feet, said set-back lines to be 9 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 3 feet.

As shown on the maps filed in the office of the Board of Supervisors and to which reference is hereby made for further particulars.

Section 3. No structures shall be erected in the area between said set-back lines and the street lines except as provided in said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), and the penalties for the violation of this ordinance shall be as fixed by the ordinance aforesaid.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Shannon—2.

Spur Track Permit, Southern Pacific Company.

Bill No. 8198, Ordinance No. 7711 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 6935 (New Series), granting permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to Southern Pacific Company to construct, maintain and operate spur tracks upon and

along certain streets in the vicinity of Seventeenth and Harrison streets, as hereinafter described.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1 of Ordinance No. 6935 (New Series), approved January 8, 1927, is hereby amended so as to read as follows:

Section 1. Permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, is hereby granted to Southern Pacific Company, a corporation, to construct, maintain and operate a spur track as follows:

Cross-over Track: Beginning at a point on the center line of an existing Southern Pacific Company track in Harrison street, distant southerly thereon 200 feet, more or less, from the southerly line of Sixteenth street produced, and distant westerly 43 feet, more or less, from the easterly line of Harrison street; thence in a southwesterly direction through a switch turnout curving to the right and through a switch turnout curving to the left a distance of 140 feet, more or less, to a point in the center line of an existing Southern Pacific Company track in Harrison street, distant southerly thereon 340 feet, more or less, from the southerly line of Sixteenth street and distant westerly 56 feet, more or less, from the easterly line of Harrison street.

Also, beginning at a point on the center line of an existing Southern Pacific Company track in Harrison street, distant northerly 500 feet, more or less, from the northerly line of Eighteenth street, and distant easterly 7 feet, more or less, from the westerly line of Harrison street; thence through a switch turnout to the left a distance of 60 feet, more or less, to a point on the westerly line of Harrison street, distant northerly thereon 435 feet from the northerly line of Eighteenth street.

Track No. 1: Beginning at a point on the existing side-track on Harrison street, said point being distant northerly thereon 181.0 feet, more or less, from the easterly production of the northerly line of Seventeenth street as said Seventeenth street now exists on the westerly side of said Harrison street; thence southwesterly on a curve concave to the right, having a radius of 252.354 feet, a distance of 53.59 feet to a point; thence southwesterly on a tangent for a distance of 109.5 feet, more or less, to a point on the proposed new southerly line of Seventeenth street, distant thereon westerly 1.00 feet, more or less, from the westerly line of Harrison street; thence continuing into private property.

Also, beginning at a point on the above described center line, said point being distant northeasterly 78.5 feet, more or less, from the proposed southerly line of Seventeenth street; thence southwesterly on a curve concave to the right, having a radius of 252.354 feet, a distance of 53.59 feet to a point; thence southwesterly on a tangent for a distance of 36.5 feet, more or less, to a point on the proposed southerly line of Seventeenth street, said point being distant southwesterly thereon 17.5 feet, more or less, from the westerly line of Harrison street; thence continuing into private property.

Also, beginning at a point on the above described center line, said point being distant northeasterly thereon 36.5 feet from the proposed southerly line of Seventeenth street; thence southwesterly on a curve concave to the right, having a radius of 252.354 feet, a distance of 31.56 feet to a point; thence southwesterly on a tangent for a distance of 9.00 feet, more or less, to a point on the proposed southerly line of Seventeenth street, said point being distant southwesterly thereon 22.00 feet, more or less, from the westerly line of Harrison street; thence continuing into private property.

Also, beginning at a point on the first above described center line, said point being distant northeasterly thereon 24.5 feet, more or less, from the proposed new southerly line of Seventeenth street; thence southwesterly on a curve concave to the right, having a redius of 252.354 feet, a distance of 25.5 feet, more or less, to a point on the proposed new southerly line of Seventeenth street, said point being distant southwesterly thereon 2.00 feet, more or less, from the westerly line of Harrison street; thence continuing into private property.

Tracks No. 10 and No. 11: Beginning at a point in the existing side track on Harrison street; said point being distant northerly thereon 122.5 feet, more or less, from the easterly production of the northerly line of Seventeenth street, as said Seventeenth street now exists on the westerly side of said Harrison street; thence southwesterly on a curve concave to the right, having a radius of 252.354 feet, a distance of 31.56 feet to a point; thence southwesterly on a tangent for a distance of 80.00 feet, more

or less, to a point; thence southwesterly on a curve concave to the right, having a radius of 1432.47 feet, a distance of 21.25 feet to a point; thence southwesterly on a tangent for a distance of 199.00 feet, more or less, to a point on the westerly line of Harrison street, distant southerly thereon 43.00 feet, more or less, from the southerly line of Seventeenth street; thence continuing into private property.

Also, beginning at a point on the above described center line; said point being distant northeasterly thereon 72.00 feet, more or less, from the westerly line of Harrison street; thence southwesterly on a curve concave to the right, having a radius of 252.354 feet, a distance of 31.56 feet to a point; thence southwesterly on a tangent for a distance of 14.00 feet, more or less, to a point on the westerly line of Harrison street, said point being distant southerly thereon 16.0 feet, more or less, from the southerly line of Seventeenth street; thence continuing into private property.

Said permission is granted subject to the provisions of Ordinance No. 69 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors, approved October 12, 1906, and the provisions and conditions of Section 8 thereof are hereby specifically contained in the permit hereby granted and shall be construed as a part hereof as complete as though the same were written in this ordinance.

Provided, that said spur track shall be laid under the supervision and to the lines and grades as furnished by the City Engineer's office; and that any and all expenses connected with the installation of the track, restoration of the pavement and any additional requirements for the surface drainage be paid for by the Southern Pacific Company.

Provided, that Southern Pacific Company shall erect and maintain all-night lighted arc lamps to be placed where directed by the Lighting Committee of the Board of Supervisors

Provided, girder rails shall be used; that all granite curbs removed be hauled to the Corporation Yard.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Shannon—2.

Fixing Sidewalk Widths on Foerster Street.

Bill No. 8199, Ordinance No. 7712 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by amending Section four hundred and seventy thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Board of Public Works, filed in this office August 30, 1927, by amending Section four hundred and seventy thereof, to read as follaws:

Section 470. The width of sidewalks on Foerster street between Thirty-third street and Mangels avenue shall be as shown on that certain map entitled "Map of Foerster street between Thirty-third street and Mangels avenue," showing the location of street and curb lines and the width of sidewalks.

The width of sidewalks on Foerster street between Mangels avenue and its southerly termination shall be fifteen (15) feet.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk width shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Shannon—2.

Ordering Street Work.

Bill No. 8200, Ordinance No. 7713 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors September 13, 1927, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said

City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco. does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in twenty installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be six months from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

For the improvement of Mount Vernon avenue between the westerly line of Getz street and the westerly line of Getz street produced northerly and Howth street, including the intersection of Getz street and Williar street, by grading to official line and grade; by the construction of a 12-inch ironstone pipe sewer with the necessary Y branches, side sewers, and two brick manholes along the center line of Mount Vernon avenue between the center line of Getz street produced and the westerly line of Howth street; by the construction of an 8-inch ironstone pipe sewer along the center line of Williar street produced between the northerly and center lines of Mount Vernon avenue; by the construction of an 8-inch ironstone pipe sewer along the center line of Getz street between the southerly line of Grafton avenue produced and the center line of Mount Vernon avenue; by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks on the angular corners of the above mentioned intersections; by the construction of brick catchbasins with appurtenances and 10-inch ironstone pipe culverts, one on the itntersection of Getz street and two on the intersection of Williar avenue; and by the construction of a concrete pavement on the roadway thereof; and the improvement of Grafton avenue between the westerly line of Getz street produced northerly and Harold avenue by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of a concrete pavement on the roadway thereof and by performing the necessary conform work on the crossing of Grafton avenue and Harold avenue, consisting of constructing concrete curbs and artificial stone sidewalks on the northeast and southeast angular corners; constructing one brick catchbasin with appurtenances and 10-inch ironstone pipe culvert and connecting same with the existing catchbasins.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Shannon—2.

Bill No. 8201, Ordinance No. 7714 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1, The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors September 13, 1927, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in twenty installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be six months from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred

payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of the northeasterly one-half of Farragut avenue, between Huron avenue and a line parallel with and 404 feet northwesterly from Huron avenue, by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of the necessary side sewers, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and a 1½-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface, from Huron avenue to a line parallel with and 250 feet northwesterly from Huron avenue, and by the construction of a concrete pavement on the remainder of the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Shannon—2.

Bill No. 8202, Ordinance No. 7715 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors September 13, 1927, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in three installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Oliver street from Mission street to Brunswick street; Brunswick street from Whittier street to Oliver street; the west one-half of Sears street from Sickles avenue to Regent street extended; the east one-half of Winnipeg avenue from Sickles avenue to Regent street extended; Farallones street from Plymouth avenue to San Jose avenue; by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks, six feet in width, where artificial stone sidewalks six feet or more in width have not already been constructed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Shannon—2.

Bill No. 8203, Ordinance No. 7716 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors September 13, 1927, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the

said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in four installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of the crossing of Mangels avenue and Baden street and the crossing of Mangels avenue and Congo street, by grading to official line and grade; by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of the necessary catchbasins with accompanying 10-inch ironstone pipe culverts; by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks on the angular corners thereof; by the construction of 8-inch and 12-inch ironstone pipe sewetrs with accompanying manholes; and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and a 1½-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface, on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Shannon—2.

Bill No. 8204, Ordinance No. 7717, (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors September 13, 1927, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Thor avenue between Chenery street and the southerly line of Surrey street by grading to official line and grade; by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of an 8-inch ironstone pipe sewer with Y branches, side sewers and brick manholes, along the center line thereof from a point 20 feet southeasterly from the southeasterly line of Surrey street to the northerly line of Chenery street, and by the construction of a concrete pavement on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Shannon—2.

Bill No. 8205, Ordinance No. 7718 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors September 13, 1927, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its

office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in three installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Murray street between Highland avenue and Crescent avenue; Holly Park Circle between Highland avenue and Park street; Holly Park Circle between Elsie street and Bocana street; Tompkins avenue between Anderson street and Gates street; Eugenia avenue between Ellsworth street and Folsom street; Ellsworth street between Jarboe avenue and Thompkins avenue; Bocana street between Eugenia avenue and Cortland avenue; Ellert street between Andover street and Bennington street; Gates street between Eugenia avenue and Cortland avenue; Prospect avenue between Virginia avenue and Esmeralda avenue, and Eugenia avenue between Elsie street and Bonview street, by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks six feet in width where artificial stone sidewalks six feet or more in width have not already been constructed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—16

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Shannon—2.

Bill No. 8206, Ordinance No. 7719 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1, The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors September 13, 1927, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Sussex street between Diamond and Conrad streets, including the intersection of Van Buren and Sussex streets, by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks of the full official width on the angular corners thereof; by the construction of one manhole; by the construction of one catchbasin with accompanying 10-inch ironstone pipe culvert; and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and a 1½-inch asphaltic wearing surface, on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Shannon—2.

Bill No. 8207, Ordinance No. 7720 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1, The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors September 13, 1927, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in three installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Lake View avenue from San Jose avenue to Margaret avenue by the construc- of artificial stone sidewalks, six feet in width, where artificial stone sidewalks six feet or more in width have not already been constructed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Shannon—2.

Bill No. 8208, Ordinance No. 7721 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1, The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors September 13, 1927, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in three installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Wilde avenue from San Bruno avenue to Delta street, by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks six (6) feet in width, where artificial stone sidewalks six (6) feet or more in width have not already been constructed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Shannon—2.

Bill No. 8209, Ordinance No. 7722 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1, The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors September 13, 1927, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improve-

ment Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in three installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

For the construction of bulkheads on the west line of Eighth avenue from the southerly line of Cabrillo street to a line parallel with and one hundred (100) feet southerly therefrom; and on the south line of Cabrillo street, from the west line of Eighth avenue to a line parallel with and eighty-two (82) feet six (6) inches westerly therefrom, where openings occur in the existing bulkhead, and the removal of sand from the adjacent sidewalk.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—16

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Shannon—2.

Bill No. 8210, Ordinance No. 7723 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors September 8, 1927, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in three installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Thirty-second avenue between Balboa street and Cabrillo street, by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks six (6) feet in width, where artificial stone sidewalks six (6) feet or more in width have not already been constructed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—16

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Shannon—2.

Bill No. 8211, Ordinance No. 7724 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors September 8, 1927, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under

the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in three installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Fortieth avenue between Irving and Judah streets by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks six (6) feet in width, where artificial stone sidewalks six (6) feet or more in width have not already been constructed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallaghe Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Shannon—2.

Bill No. 8212, Ordinance No. 7725 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1, The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors September 13, 1927, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in three installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

For the construction of a bulkhead and the removal of sand from the sidewalk and roadway of the westerly one-half of Thirty-third avenue from the southerly line of Anza street to a line parallel with and one hundred (100) feet southerly therefrom.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Shannon—2.

Bill No. 8213, Ordinance No. 7726 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1, The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors September 13, 1927, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors,

pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in three installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

For the construction of a bulkhead and the removal of sand from the sidewalk and roadway of the westerly one-half of Forty-fourth avenue from a line parallel with and one hundred and five (105) feet south of the south line of Anza street to a line parallel with and one hundred fifty-five (155) feet southerly from the south line of Anza street.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Shannon—2.

Bill No. 8214, Ordinance No. 7727 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1, The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors September 8, 1927, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in three installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Ortega street from Ninth avenue to Tenth avenue, and of Lawton street from Eleventh avenue to Twelfth avenue, by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks six (6) feet in width, where artificial stone sidewalks six (6) feet or more in width have not already been constructed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Shannon—2.

Bill No. 8215, Ordinance No. 7728 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1, The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors September 13, 1927, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement

Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in three installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

For the construction of a bulkhead and the removal of sand from the sidewalk and roadway on the westerly line of Forty-third avenue from a line parallel with and one hundred (100) feet north of the north line of Judah street to a line parallel with and one hundred and fifty (150) feet north of the north line of Judah street.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton. Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Shannon—2.

Bill No. 8216, Ordinance No. 7729 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1, The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors September 8, 1927, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assesment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in three installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Twenty-sixth avenue between Judah and Kirkham streets by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks six (6) feet in width where artificial stone sidewalks six (6) feet or more in width have not already been constructed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Shannon—2.

Bill No. 8217, Ordinance No. 7730 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1, The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors September 13, 1927, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in three

installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Goethe street between De Long street and San Jose avenue by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks six (6) feet in width, where artificial stone sidewalks six (6) feet or more in width have not already been conconstructed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Shannon—2.

Bill No. 8218, Ordinance No. 7731 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors September 13, 1927, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in three installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Winfield street from Coso avenue to Esmeralda avenue by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks six (6) feet in width, where artificial stone sidewalks six (6) feet or more in width have not already been constructed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Shannon—2.

Bill No. 8219, Ordinance No. 7732 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors September 13, 1927, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in three installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on

all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Prospect avenue between Coso avenue and Esmeralda avenue by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks six (6) feet or more in width, where artificial stone sidewalks six (6) feet or more in width have not already been constructed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Shannon—2.

Bill No. 8220, Ordinance No. 7733 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors September 13, 1927, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in three installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Folsom street between Cortland avenue and Powhattan avenue by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks five (5) feet in width, where artificial stone sidewalks five (5) feet or more in width have not already been constructed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Shannon—2.

Bill No. 8221, Ordinance No. 7734 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors September 13, 1927, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in three installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Tara street between Geneva avenue and Ocean avenue by the construction of artificial stone or bitumen sidewalks six (6) feet in width, where artificial stone or bitumen sidewalks six (6)

feet or more in width have not already been constructed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—16

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Shannon—2.

Bill No. 8222, Ordinance No. 7735 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors September 13, 1927, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in three installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Forty-third avenue between Geary and Anza streets by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks six feet in width, where artificial stone sidewalks six feet or more in width have not already been constructed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Shannon—2.

Bill No. 8223, Ordinance No. 7736 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors September 13, 1927, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in three installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Silver avenue from Craut street extended to Madison street extended, Silver avenue from Bowdoin street extended to Merrill street extended by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks six (6) feet in width, where artificial stone sidewalks six feet or more in width have not already been constructed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Shannon—2.

Fixing Sidewalk Widths on Twentieth Street.

Bill No. 8224, Ordinance No. 7737 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered nine hundred and eighty-two.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and it is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Board of Public Works, filed in this office September 13, 1927, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered nine hundred and eighty-two, to read as follows:

Section 982. The width of sidewalks on Twentieth street between Vermont street and Rhode Island street shall be as shown on that certain map entitled "Map of Twentieth street between Vermont street and Rhode Island street," showing the location of street and curb lines and the width of sidewalks.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect and be in force from and after its passage.

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Shannon—2.

Calling and Providing for Special Election for Issuance of Boulevard Bonds.

Bill No. 8225, Ordinance No. 7738 (New Series), as follows:

Calling and providing for a special election to be held in the City and County of San Francisco on Tuesday, the 8th day of November, 1927, for the purpose of submitting to the voters of the City and County of San Francisco a proposition to incur a bonded debt of the City and County of San Francisco to the amount of nine million three hundred and eighty thousand ($9,380,000) dollars for the acquisition, construction and completion of the permanent improvements, to-wit:

Certain improved routes for public highway purposes in the City and County of San Francisco as follows: A boulevard to be known as the Bay Shore Highway, from the vicinity of Army street, Potrero avenue and San Bruno avenue, along San Bruno avenue widened to Cortland avenue, thence along a new right of way to Silver avenue and Charter Oak avenue; thence along Charter Oak avenue widened to Paul avenue; thence along a route paralleling San Bruno avenue between San Bruno avenue and Third street to the vicinity of San Bruno avenue and Sunnydale avenue and the County line, or the most feasible route or routes; a boulevard to be known as the Alemany boulevard from the vicinity of Cortland avenue and San Bruno avenue, and the proposed Bay Shore Highway, thence in a southerly and westerly direction following the general course of the old Ocean Shore right of way to a connection with the Junipero Serra boulevard near Palmetto avenue, or the most feasible route or routes; a boulevard to be known as the Junipero Serra boulevard from the vicinity of Sloat boulevard and Portola drive, thence in a general southerly direction widening and straightening the existing roadway of the present Junipero Serra boulevard to the County line near the vicinity of Belle avenue, or the most feasible route or routes; a boulevard to be known as Nineteenth avenue extended southerly crossing old Ocean avenue and paralleling on each side the extension of the Municipal Railway running southerly and southeasterly to Junipero Serra boulevard, or the most feasible route or routes; a boulevard to be known as the Sunset boulevard commencing at Lincoln way between Twentieth avenue and Thirty-seven avenue and running in a southerly direction between said Twentieth avenue and said Thirty-seventh avenue, or such avenues included or extended, to Sloat boulevard, or the most feasible route or routes; a boulevard or beach frontage improvement to be known as the Esplanade, commencing in the vicinity of the Great Highway and Fulton street and running in a general southerly direction along and upon said Great Highway to Lincoln way of the same type of construction as the previously completed Esplanade; an improvement of the Great Highway from Lincoln way southerly to Sloat boulevard; a boulevard to be known as the Van Ness avenue extension from the vicinity of Mission street and Twelfth street extended southerly to Howard street and Thirteenth street, or the most feasible route or routes; including approaches, struc-

tures, pavements, curbs, sidewalks, sewers, drainage facilities, the readjustment of intersecting and adjacent streets and other improvements, and the purchase of the necessary lands with respect to each of the said boulevards or beach frontage improvements.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. A special election is hereby called and ordered to be held in the City and County of San Francisco on Tuesday, the 8th day of November, 1927, for the purpose of submitting to the electors of said City and County the following proposition, to-wit: To incur a bonded debt of the City and County of San Francisco to the amount of nine million three hundred and eighty thousand ($9,380,000) dollars for the purpose of the acquisition, construction and completion of certain permanent improvements, to-wit:

Certain improved routes for public highway purposes in the City and County of San Francisco as follows: A boulevard to be known as the Bay Shore Highway from the vicinity of Army street, Potrero avenue and San Bruno avenue, along San Bruno avenue widened to Cortland avenue, thence along a new right of way to Silver avenue and Charter Oak avenue, thence along Charter Oak avenue widened to Paul avenue, thence along a route paralleling San Bruno avenue between San Bruno avenue and Third street to the vicinity of San Bruno avenue and Sunnydale avenue and the County line, or the most feasible route or routes; a boulevard to be known as the Alemany boulevard from the vicinity of Cortland avenue and Sun Bruno avenue, and the proposed Bay Shore Highway, thence in a southerly and westerly direction following the general course of the old Ocean Shore right of way to a connection with the Junipero Serra boulevard near Palmetto avenue, or the most feasible route or routes; a boulevard to be known as the Junipero Serra boulevard from the vicinity of Sloat boulevard and Portola drive, thence in a general southerly direction widening and straightening the existing roadway of the present Junipero Serra boulevard to the County line near the vicinity of Belle avenue, or the most feasible route or routes; a boulevard to be known as Nineteenth axenue extended southerly crossing old Ocean avenue and paralleling on each side the extension of the Municipal Railway running southerly and southeasterly to Junipero Serra boulevard, or the most feasible route or routes; a boulevard to be known as the Sunset boulevard commencing at Lincoln way between Twentieth avenue and Thirty-seventh avenue and running in a southerly direction between said Twentieth avenue and said Thirty-seventh avenue, or such avenues included or extended, to Sloat boulevard, or the most feasible route or routes; a boulevard or beach frontage improvement to be known as the Esplanade commencing in the vicinity of the Great Highway and Fulton street and running in a general southerly direction along and upon said Great Highway to Lincoln way of the same type of construction as the previously completed Esplanade; an improvement of the Great Highway from Lincoln way southerly to Sloat boulevard; a boulevard to be known as the Van Ness avenue extension from the vicinity of Mission street and Twelfth street extended southerly to Howard street and Thirteenth street, or the most feasible route or routes; including approaches, structures, pavements, curbs, sidewalks, sewers, drainage facilities, the readjustment of intersecting and adjacent streets and other improvements, and the purchase of the necessary lands with respect to each of the said boulevards or beach frontage improvements.

Section 2. The estimated cost of the acquisition, construction and completion of the permanent improvements described herein was by plans and estimates of the Board of Public Works procured through the City Engineer and filed with the Board of Supervisors on the 8th day of September, 1927, and was and is fixed by Resolution No. 27805 (New Series) in the sum of nine million three hundred and eighty thousand ($9,380,000) dollars.

Section 3. By Resolution No. 27805 (New Series) it was declared that no part of the said sum of nine million three hundred and eighty thousand ($9,380,000) dollars could be paid out of the annual revenue of the City and County in addition to the other necessary expenses thereof, or other funds derived from taxes levied for that purpose, and will require the incurring of a bonded debt to the amount of nine million three hundred and eighty thousand ($9.380,000) dollars for the purposes herein recited. The method and manner of payment of the estimated cost of said described permanent improvements are by the issuance of bonds of the City and County of San Francisco to the amount required therefor and the

application of the proceeds arising from the sale thereof to defray the cost of the acquisition, construction and completion of said permanent improvements herein described.

Section 4. The special election hereby called and ordered to be held shall be held and conducted, and the votes thereat received and canvassed and the returns thereof made and the result thereof ascertained, determined and declared as herein provided and according to the laws of the State of California providing for and governing elections in the City and County of San Francisco, and the polls for such election shall be and remain open during the time required by said laws.

Section 5. The ballots to be used at said special election shall be such as may be required by law to be used thereat, and in addition to any other matter to be required by law to be printed thereon shall appear thereon the following:

"Boulevard Bond Issue"—To vote for the proposition and thereby authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness to the amount of and for the purpose stated in said proposition stamp a cross (X) in the blank space to the right of the word "yes." To vote against the proposition and thereby refuse to authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness to the amount of and for the purpose stated in such proposition stamp a cross (X) in the blank space to the right of the word "no." Also said ballot shall have printed thereon the following: To incur a bonded debt of the City and County of San Francisco to the amount of nine million three hundred and eighty thousand ($9,380,000) dollars for the acquisition, construction and completion of permanent improvements, to-wit:

YES	
NO	

Certain improved routes for public highway purposes in the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

A boulevard to be known as the Bay Shore Highway from the vicinity of Army street, Potrero avenue and San Bruno avenue, along San Bruno avenue widened to Cortland avenue, thence along a new right of way to Silver avenue and Charter Oak avenue, thence along Charter Oak avenue widened to Paul avenue, thence along a route paralleling San Bruno avenue between San Bruno avenue and Third street to the vicinity of San Bruno avenue and Sunnydale avenue and the County line, or the most feasible route or routes; a boulevard to be known as the Alemany boulevard from the vicinity of Cortland avenue and the proposed Bay Shore Highway, thence in a southerly and westerly direction following the general course of the old Ocean Shore right of way to a connection with the Junipero Serra boulevard near Palmetto avenue, or the most feasible route or routes; a boulevard to be known as the Junipero Serra boulevard from the vicinity of Sloat boulevard and Portola drive, thence in a general southerly direction widening and straightening the existing roadway of the present Junipero Serra boulevard to the County line near the vicinity of Belle avenue, or the most feasible route or routes; a boulevard to be known as Nineteenth avenue extended southerly crossing old Ocean avenue and paralleling on each side the extension of the Municipal Railway running southerly and southeasterly to Junipero Serra boulevard, or the most feasible route or routes; a boulevard to be known as the Sunset boulevard commencing at Lincoln way between Twentieth avenue and Thirty-seventh avenue and running in a southerly direction between said Twentieth avenue and said Thirty-seventh avenue, or such avenues included or extended, to Sloat boulevard, or the most feasible route or routes; a boulevard or beach frontage improvement to be known as the Esplanade, commencing in the vicinity of the Great Highway and Fulton street and running in a general southerly direction along and upon said Great Highway to Lincoln way, of the same type of construction as the previously completed Esplanade; an improvement of the Great Highway from Lincoln way southerly to Sloat boulevard; a boulevard to be known as the Van Ness avenue extension from the vicinity of Mission street and Twelfth street extended southerly to Howard street and Thirteenth street, or the most feasible route or routes; including approaches, structures, pavements, curbs, sidewalks, sewers, drainage facilities, the readjustment of intersecting and adjacent streets and other improvements, and the purchase of the necessary lands with respect to each of the said boulevards or beach frontage improvements.

Bonds issued for such purpose shall bear interest at the rate of four and one-half per cent (4½%) per annum, payable semi-annually.

Section 6. Where voting machines are used at said special election the said voting machines shall be so arranged that any qualified elector may vote for the proposition by pulling down a lever over the word "yes" under or near a statement of the proposed proposition appearing on cardboard, paper, or other material placed on the front of the machine and said act shall constitute a vote for the proposition, and by pulling down a lever over the word "no" under or near a statement of the proposed proposition appearing on cardboard, paper, or other material placed on the front of the machine shall constitute a vote against the proposition. Said voting machines and the preparation of the same are to be used in accordance with the provisions of Chapter 96 of the Statutes of 1923.

Each cross (X) stamped in the square to the right of the word "yes" appearing on the printed ballot, where printed ballots are used, shall constitute a vote in favor of and to authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness for the purpose set forth in the proposition; and each cross (X) stamped in the square to the right of the word "no" shall be counted as a vote not in favor of, and a refusal to authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness for the purpose set forth in the proposition.

Section 7. The election precincts and the numbers, names and boundaries thereof for said special election, and the places of voting, and the officers to conduct such election, and all other necessary proceedings in that behalf, shall be respectively defined, designated, selected, appointed and had by the Board of Election Commissioners of the City and County of San Francisco, and said Board is hereby authorized and directed to procure and provide all supplies that may be necessary to properly and lawfully conduct said special election.

When the polls are closed the officers of election shall count the ballots cast at such election, and convass the votes cast respectively for and against the proposition herein stated and make return thereof in time, form and manner required for the counting, canvassing and returning of votes cast at other municipal elections held in the City and County of San Francisco. The Board of Election Commissions shall, as soon as the said returns and ballots have been received by said Board, canvass said returns and declare the result thereof in the manner provided by law for canvassing returns and declaring results in other elections, and shall also certify said results to the Board of Supervisors.

Section 8. If, at such special election, it shall appear that two-thirds of all the votes cast thereat were in favor of and authorized the incurring of a bonded debt for the purpose set forth in said proposition, then such proposition shall be deemed to have been accepted by the electors and bonds will be issued to defray the cost of the permanent improvements described therein. Such bonds shall be in the form and character known as "serials". All of said bonds shall be dated November 1, 1927, shall bear interest at the rate of 4½ per centum per annum, payable semi-annually, shall be of the denomination of one thousand dollars each, and the principal and interest thereof shall be payable in gold coin of the United States. Said bonds shall be called "Boulevard Bond Issue."

Bonds issued for the purpose stated shall be numbered from 1 to 9380, both inclusive, and shall be payable four hundred and sixty-nine thousand ($469,000) dollars thereof five years from the date of said bonds, beginning with the lowest numbers, and four hundred and sixty-nine thousand ($469,000) dollars thereof of the next higher numbers on the same day in each succeeding year until all of said bonds shall be paid.

Section 9. Said bonds and coupons shall be in substantially the following form:

The United States of America.
State of California.
City and County of San Francisco.
Boulevard Bond Issue.

No. ——— $1,000.00.

For value received, the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, organized and existing under the laws of the State of California, hereby acknowledges itself indebted and promises to pay to the bearer, on the first day of ———, 19—, one thousand dollars, with interest thereon at the rate of 4½ per centum per annum, payable semi-annually January 1 and July 1, on presentation and surrender of the coupons hereto attached as they respectively become due, both principal and interest being payable in gold coin of the United States at the office of the Treasurer of said City and County, or, at the option of the holder, at the fiscal agency of said City and County of San Francisco in the City and State of New York.

This bond is issued under and pur-

suant to the Constitution and statutes of the State of California and the Charter of said City and County of San Francisco and amendments thereto, and under and pursuant to ordinances and proceedings of said City and County duly adopted and taken, and a vote and assent of more than two-thirds of all the qualified electors of said City and County voting at a special election duly and legally called and held for that purpose.

It is hereby certified, recited and declared that all acts, conditions and things required by law to exist, happen and be performed precedent to and in the issuance of this bond have existed, happened and been performed in due time, form and manner as required by law, and that the amount of this bond, together with all other indebtedness of said City and County, does not exceed any limit prescribed by the Constitution or statutes of said State or Charter of said City and County, and that provision has been made as required by the Constiution and statutes of said State and the Charter of said City and County for the collection of an annual tax sufficient to pay the interest on this bond as it falls due, and also provision to constitute a sinking fund for the payment of the principal of this bond on or before maturity. The full faith and credit of said City and County are hereby pledged for the punctual payment of the principal and interest of this bond.

This bond may be converted into a registered bond upon presentation to the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco, in which event such Treasurer shall cut off and cancel the coupons of this bond, and shall sign a statement stamped, printed or written upon the back or facet of the bond to the effect that this bond is registered in the name of the owner and that thereafter the interest and principal of this bond are payable to the registered owner. Thereafter and from time to time this bond may be transferred by such registered owner in person or by attorney duly authorized on presentation of this bond to the Treasurer, and the bond be again registered as before, a similar statement being stamped, printed or written thereon.

This bond is exempt from all taxation within the State of California.

In witness whereof, said City and County of San Francisco has caused this bond to be executed under its corporate seal, signed by its Mayor and Treasurer, and countersigned by its Auditor, and has caused the interest coupons hereto attached to be signed with the engraved or lithographed signature of its Treasurer, and this bond to be dated the first day of November, 1927.

.....
Mayor.

.....
Treasurer.

Countersigned:

.....
Auditor.

.....
Clerk of Board of Supervisors. (Seal)

Form of Coupon.

No. ——— $———

On ——— 1, 19—, the City and County of San Francisco, California, will pay to bearer at the office of the Treasurer of said City and County, or at the option of the holder at the fiscal agency of the City and County of San Francisco, in the City and State of New York, ——— dollars ($———) in gold coin of the United States, being six months' interest then due on its bond dated November 1, 1927, number ———.

.....
Treasurer.

Form of Registration.

San Francisco, ———, 19—

This bond is registered pursuant to Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, in the name of——————— and the interest and principal thereof are hereafter payable to such owner.

.....
Treasurer.

Section 10. The amount of tax levy to be made for the payment for said nine million three hundred and eighty thousand dollars bonds issued under said proposition shall be the sum of four hundred twenty-two thousand one hundred dollars for the first five years from the date of said bonds to pay the annual interest on said bonds, and in season to pay such interest as it becomes due, and for the sixth year after the date of said bonds the sum of four hundred thousand nine hundred and ninety-five dollars to pay the interest on such of said bonds as remain outstanding after the four hundred and sixty-nine thousand dollars thereof due five years from their date have been paid, and for the seventh year after the date of said bonds the sum of three hundred seventy-nine thousand eight hundred and ninety dollars to pay and in season to pay the interest on such of said bonds as

remain outstanding after the four hundred sixty-nine thousand dollars thereof due six years from their date have been paid, and so on, a sum each year for seventeen succeeding years until said bonds are all paid, sufficient for interest and in season to pay interest on all of said bonds outstanding, which sum for interest will diminish each year by the amount of twenty-one thousaid one hundred and five dollars by reason of the payment each year beginning five years from date of said bonds of four hundred sixty-nine thousand dollars of said bonds, and the sum of four hundred sixty-nine thousand dollars each year beginning four years from the date of said bonds to pay and in season to pay the principal of such bonds as they respectively become due, and continuing each succeeding year for nineteen years until the principal of all of said bonds has been paid.

The purpose and intent of the foregoing tax provisions are, and it is hereby expressly provided, that at the time of levying the municipal tax, and in the manner provided for such tax levy, the Supervisors shall levy and collect annually a tax sufficient to pay the annual interest on such bonds, and also such part of the bonded indebtedness as will fall due within the succeeding fiscal year. Such taxes shall be in addition to all other taxes levied for municipal purposes, and shall be collected at the same time and in the same manner as other municipal taxes are collected.

Section 11. This ordinance shall be published for at least ten days in the official newspaper, and at the expiration of said ten days notice of such special election shall be given and published as required by law.

Section 12. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Shannon—2.

PRESENTATION OF BILLS AND ACCOUNTS.

Demands not required by law to be passed to print, and amounting to $52,881.83, were allowed and ordered paid by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Shannon—2.

NEW BUSINESS.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Authorizations.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy:

Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

Park Fund.

(1) J. P. Holland, Inc., rock for parks (claim dated Sept. 23, 1927), $2,092.50.

(2) J. P. Holland, Inc., rock for parks (claim dated Sept. 23, 1927), $1,882.50.

(3) Langendorf Baking Co., bread for parks (claim dated Sept. 23, 1927), $582.42.

(4) Montague Range and Furnace Co., fry plates, warmers, counter lining, etc., for parks (claim dated Sept. 23, 1927), $739.50.

(5) Spring Valley Water Co., water service for parks and squares (claim dated Sept. 23, 1927), $4,256.03.

(6) National Ice Cream Co., ice cream for parks (claim dated Sept. 23, 1927), $1,444.28.

(7) San Francisco Dairy Co., milk for parks (claim dated Sept. 23, 1927), $761.79.

Hetch Hetchy Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1925.

(8) California Corrugated Culvet Co., culverts, etc., Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Sept. 19, 1927), $2,014.57.

(9) Christenson Lumber Co, lumber, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Sept. 19, 1927), $931.37.

(10) Edison Storage Battery Supply Co, battery cells, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Sept. 19, 1927), $4,804.50.

(11) Ingersoll-Rand Co. of California, grinder, pistons, etc., Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Sept. 19, 1927), $572.61.

(12) J. H. McCallum, lumber, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Sept. 19, 1927), $556.37.

(13) The Charles Nelson Co., lumber, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Sept. 19, 1927), $1,835.17.

(14) M. M. O'Shaughnessy, reimbursesent of revolving fund expeditures, Hetch Hetchy construction

(claim dated Sept. 19, 1927), $1,-708.95.

(15) John A. Roeblings Sons Co. of California, copper wire, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Sept. 19, 1927), $1,417.88.

(16) Santa Fe Lumber Co, lumber, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Sept. 19, 1927), $1,-495.98.

(17) Santa Cruz Portland Cement Co., cement, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Sept 19, 1927), $3,643.50.

(18) Safety Cable Co., cable for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Sept. 19, 1927), $2,069.60.

(19) Simonds Machinery Co., pipe casing, rod, etc., Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Sept. 19, 1927), $612.41.

(20) Allis-Chalmers Mfg. Co., first payment, furnishing and delivering electric transformers, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Sept. 19, 1927), $54,093.75.

(21) Champion Switch Co., first payment, furnishing and delivering switches for Seco Substation, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Sept. 19, 1927), $4,236.

(22) H. B. Squires Co., first payment, furnishing and delivering switch gears and lightning arresters for Seco Substation, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Sept. 19, 1927), $30,450.

(23) N. M. Freitas, payment for land and easements through and over land in San Joaquin County required for Coast Division, Hetch Hetchy construction, per Resolution No. 27681 (New Series) (claim dated Sept. 20, 1927), $1,026.

(24) H. R. Landfare Co., cedar poles, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Sept. 20, 1927), $5,-537.30.

(25) Payne's Bolt Works, rods and machine bolts, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Sept. 20, 1927), $568.54.

(26) John A. Roeblings Sons Co. of California, copper wire, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Sept. 20, 1927), $866.45.

Special School Tax.

(27) H. Ankers, first payment, painting and alterations, old building of High School of Commerce (claim dated Sept. 20, 1927), $670.31.

School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923.

(28) Alfred S. Gough, furnishing and installing additional cupboards, LeConte School (claim dated Sept. 17, 1927), $990.

(29) Alfred S. Gough, furnishing and installing additional cupboards, Douglas Everett (Sanchez) School (claim dated Sept. 17, 1927), $990.

(30) Alfred S. Gough, furnishing and installing additional cupboards, Alvarado School (claim dated Sept. 17, 1927), $825.

(31) Reliable Plumbing Co., fourth payment, mechanical equipment for the Hearst-Moulder School (claim dated Sept. 21, 1927), $1,-425.88.

(32) Joseph & Stone, sixth payment, architectural service for the Portola Junior High School (claim dated Sept. 21, 1927), $982.78.

Hetch Hetchy Power Operative Fund.

(33) Depreciation Fund, Hetch Hetchy Power Operative, for depreciation reserve, as specified in Section 16, Article XII of the Charter, for September (claim dated Sept. 17, 1927), $14,584.

(34) Hipolito Company, roller screens for Moccasin cottages (claim dated Sept. 17, 1927), $876.60.

(35) The Charles Nelson Co., lumber for Moccasin Power House (claim dated Sept. 17, 1927), $1,-184.83.

Municipal Railway Depreciation Fund.

(36) United States Steel Products Co., full payment, furnishing and delivering track special work for Municipal Railways (claim dated Sept. 19, 1927), $42,897.10.

Municipal Railway Fund.

(37) Market Street Railway Co., electric power furnished Municipal Railways (claim dated Sept. 14, 1927), $3,385.50.

(38) Market Street Railway Co., reimbursement for August, under agreement of December 12, 1918 (claim dated Sept. 14, 1927), $1,-609.61.

(39) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., electricity furnished Municipal Railways during August (claim dated Sept. 14, 1927), $38,178.12.

(40) Crescent Oil Co., gasoline furnished Municipal Railways (claim dated Sept. 20, 1927), $1,-711.97.

General Fund, 1926-1927.

(41) San Francisco Bulletin, official advertising (claim dated Sept. 21, 1927), $688.28.

(42) San Francisco Bulletin, official advertising (claim dated Sept. 26, 1927), $2,917.08.

(43) Howard Automobile Co., six Buick autos for Police Department (claim dated Sept. 19, 1927), $7,-580.

(44) Dudley B. Perkins, eight motorcycles for Police Department (claim dated Sept. 19, 1927), $2,-873.

(45) Board of Park Commissioners, to reimburse Park Fund for material and labor furnished and performed in construction of tennis courts at Balboa Park (claim dated Sept. 23, 1927), $1,009.65.

(46) Board of Park Commissioners, to reimburse Park Fund for water and labor in the beautification of the Civic Center (claim dated Sept. 23, 1927), $1,215.63.

(47) Board of Park Commissioners, to reimburse Park Fund for labor performed in construction of the Park-Presidio bridle path (claim dated Sept. 23, 1927), $633.

(48) Schwabacher-Frey Stationery Co., for printing furnished the Department of Elections (claim dated Sept. 22, 1927), $2,625.

(49) Reid Bros., hospital furniture for the Tubercular Sanitarium (claim dated Sept. 16, 1927), $1,117.75.

(50) D. N. & E. Walter Co., linoleum, etc., for the Tubercular Sanitarium (claim dated Sept. 16, 1927), $3,727.20.

Appropriations for Improvement of Castro Street; Repairs to Central Police Station and San Francisco Hospital.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 27843 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned funds for the following purposes, to-wit:

County Road Fund.

(1) For the improvement of Castro street between Twenty-ninth and Thirtieth streets, additional to enable final payment, $468.90.

Repairs to Public Buildings—Budget Item 59.

(2) For cost of removing iron grills from windows of the assembly room, Central Police Station, $150.

(3) For cost of construction of galvanized iron shelter to be constructed over hair picker at the San Francisco Hospital, $200.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Shannon—2.

Passed for Printing

The following bill was *passed for printing*:

Plans, Etc., Fillmore Street Sewer.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy:

Bill No. 8233, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the preparation of plans and specifications for and the reconstruction of the first unit of the Fillmore street sewer, commencing at Division and Tenth streets and running northerly along Tenth street, in accordance with the plans and specifications prepared therefor; authorizing and directing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for said sewer reconstruction, and permitting progress payments to be made during the course of the work.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized, instructed and empowered to prepare plans and specifications for the reconstruction of the first unit of the Fillmore street sewer, commencing at Division and Tenth streets and running northerly along Tenth street, and to enter into contract for the reconstruction of said Fillmore street sewer, first unit, in accordance with the plans and specifications prepared therefor.

Section 2. The said Board of Public Works is hereby authorized and permitted to incorporate in the contract for the said sewer reconstruction conditions that progessive payments shall be made in the manner set forth in said specifications and as provided by Section 21, Chapter I, Article VI of the Charter.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Vacation Compensation for Transferred Chauffeur, Police Department.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 27844 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $80 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of Budget Item No. 1011 (Emergency Hospitals—Vacation and Relief) to the credit of Budget Item No. 694, Appropriation 42A (Patrol Drivers, Police Department), to provide vacation compensation for transferred chauffeur.

(Request of Department of Public Health dated September 13, 1927.)

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Shannon—2.

Passed for Printing.

The following resolution was *passed for printing*:

Appropriations for Reconstructing Railway Tracks, West Portal Avenue; Sinks, San Francisco Hospital, and for Traffic Signals.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy:

Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned funds for the following purposes, to-wit:

General Fund, 1927-1928.

(1) For cost of reconstructing railway tracks on West Portal avenue between Ulloa street and Fifteenth avenue, preparatory to paving, and the removal of curbs and safety stations adjacent to the tracks, $16,000.

Repairs to Public Buildings, Budget Item No. 59.

(2) For cost of furnishing and installing seventeen sinks in the diet laboratories of the San Francisco Hospital, $1,550.

Traffic Signsl, Etc., Budget Item No. 69.

(3) For the purchase of materials, the construction and installation of additional traffic signals by the Department of Electricity, $5,000.

Auditor Authorized to Transfer Budget Items to Cover Salary Increases.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 27846 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, pursuant to Ordinance No. 7558 (New Series), and in accordance with Section 2 thereof, the Auditor is hereby authorized and requested to make transfers of requisite amounts to meet increased amounts set opposite the following budget items:

Superior Court.

Budget Item No. 277—Assistant secretary, $3,000.

Public Defender.

Budget Item No. 202—Public Defender, $8,000.

Board of Health.

Budget Item No. 785—Four social service workers at $1,980 each, $7,920.

Budget Item No. 909—Two anaesthetists at $1,800 each, $3,600.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Shannon—2.

Accepting Offers to Sell Property Required for Bernal Cut.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 27846 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the owners of the following described lands situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and sought to be acquired by the City and County of San Francisco for the opening of Bernal Cut, extending from Mission and Randall streets southwesterly along the Southern Pacific Company's right of way to the intersection of San Jose avenue and Circular avenue, have offered to convey the property desired by said City and County of San Francisco for the sums set forth opposite their names, viz.:

Joseph L. Taaffe and Elizabeth Taaffe, $850—All of Lot 5, Block 6693, according to the Assessor's Block Book of the City and County of San Francisco.

James Sullivan and Mary J. Sullivan, $4,900—Lots 6 and 7 in Block 6689, as per the Assessor's Block Book of the City and County of San Francisco.

Whereas, the City Attorney has recommended the acceptance of the said offers and the acquisition of the property owned by said persons and offered to the City and County of San Francisco for the prices set forth opposite their names, which said prices are in accordance with the City's appraisement of the property; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the said offers of sale be accepted, and the City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to examine the titles of said properties, and if the same are found in satisfactory condition, to accept in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco deeds conveying title thereto, and file the same for record with a copy of this resolution attached thereto as evidence of acceptance by the City and County of San Francisco, upon payment of the agreed purchase prices.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Shannon—2.

Release From Claim of Damage, Market Street Extension.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 27847 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the following owners of property adjacent to the Market street extension have offered to release the City and County of San Francisco, its contractors or agents, from all claim or claims of damages to their property or the buildings thereon caused by the establishment of grades on Market street extension and the grading and construction of Market street extension to the proposed official grade and the grading and repaving of adjacent streets to said Market street extension.

Whereas, the City Attorney has recommended the acceptance of the said offer as per the following terms, namely:

Charles W. Troyer and Agnes E. Troyer, $500—All that certain piece or parcel of land situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and known as Lot 28 in Block 2659, as per the Assessor's Block Book of the City and County of San Francisco, and also known as No. 347 Corbett avenue.

Resolved, That the said offer be accepted and the City Attorney be authorized to close negotiations and superintend the payment of money to the above mentioned persons upon the receipt of the proper releases.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Shannon—2.

Accepting Offer to Sell Property Required for the Extension of Mullen Avenue.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 27848 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the owner of the following described land situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and sought to be acquired by the City and County of San Francisco for the extension of Mullen avenue, has offered to convey the property desired by said City and County of San Francisco for the sum set forth opposite his name, viz.:

Jos. A. Duarte, $1—Beginning at a point on the northwesterly line of Wolfe street, distant thereon 92.71 feet northeasterly from the easterly line of Peralta avenue, said point being also the most southerly corner of Lot 1350 as per map entitled "Gift Map No. 3," and recorded in Map Book "2 A and B," page 15, records of the City and County of San Francisco; running thence northeasterly along said northwesterly line 7.558 feet; thence southwesterly and westerly along a curve to the right, tangent to the preceding course, with a radius of 12 feet and a central angle of 39 deg. 02 min. 08 sec., a distance of 8.176 feet to a point on the southwesterly line of said Lot 1350, distant thereon 2.679 feet northwesterly from the northwesterly line of Wolfe street; thence deflecting to the left 129 deg. 02 min. 08 sec. from the tangent to said curve of preceding course and running southeasterly along said southwesterly line 2.679 feet to the point of beginning. Being a portion of said Lot 1350.

Whereas, the City Attorney has recommended the acceptance of the said offer and the acquisition of the property owned by said person and offered to the City and County of San Francisco for the sum set forth, which said price is in accordance with the City's appraisement of the property; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the said offer of sale be accepted, and the City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to examine the title of said property and, if the same is found in satisfactory condition, to accept, in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, a deed conveying title thereto and file the same for record with a copy of this renolution attached thereto as evidence of acceptance by the City and County of San Francisco, upon payment of the agreed purchase price.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Shannon—2.

Accepting Offer to Sell Property Required for Market Street Extension.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 27849 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the following owners of property adjacent to the Market street extension have offered to release the City and County of San Francisco, its contractors or agents, from all claim or claims of damages to their property or the buildings thereon caused by the establishment of grades on Market street extension and the grading

and construction of Market street extension to the proposed official grade and the grading and repaving of adjacent streets to said Market street extension; and

Whereas, the City Attorney has recommended the acceptance of the said offer as per the following terms, namely:

Velma G. Ryan and Ralph A. Ryan, $300—All that certain piece or parcel of land situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and known as Lot 28, in Block 2754, as per the Assessor's Block Book of the City and County of San Francisco, and also known as No. 3633 Market street.

Resolved, That the said offer be accepted and the City Attorney be authorized to close negotiations and superintend the payment of money to the above-mentioned persons upon the receipt of the proper releases.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Shannon—2.

Action Deferred.

The following resolution was *laid over one week*:

Transfer of Twin Peaks Tunnel Fund to Municipal Railway Fund.

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $42,508.21 be and is hereby transferred from the Twin Peaks Ridge Tunnel Assessment Fund to the Municipal Railway Fund, and the Board of Public Works, Tax Collector, Auditor and Treasurer are hereby directed to make such entries in their official records and books of account as will correctly show such transfer; said transfer is for the purpose of reimbursing said Municipal Railway Fund for moneys advanced therefrom and used in the construction of the Twin Peaks Tunnel project.

Action Deferred.

The following bill was *laid over one week*:

Amend Zoning Ordinance, Ocean Avenue.

Bill No. ——, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings, and the locations of buildings designed for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Section 13 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place the northerly side of Ocean avenue between Fairfield way and Sanfranbank avenue, extending to a depth of 200 feet along Fairfield way and to a depth of 202.388 feet along Sanfranbank avenue, also the northerly side of Ocean avenue between Sanfranbank avenue and Manor drive, extending to a depth of 105 feet along Sanfranbank avenue and to a depth of 110.167 feet along Manor drive, in the Commercial District instead of in the First Residential District.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Amending Set-Back Line Ordinance.

On motion of Supervisor Hayden:

Bill No. 8235, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Section 2 of Ordinance No. 7074 (New Series), approved May 6, 1926, entitled "Establishing set-back lines along portions of Thirty-first avenue, Santiago street, Ulloa street, Fourteenth avenue, Sixteenth avenue and Marina boulevard."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. That portion of Section 2 of Ordinance No. 7074 (New Series), the title of which is recited above, pertaining to the establishment of set-back lines along portions of Fourteenth avenue, is hereby amended so as to read as follows:

Along the westerly side of Fourteenth avenue, commencing at Santiago street and running thence northerly to a point 100 feet southerly from Rivera street, said set-back line to be 2½ feet; along the easterly side of Fourteenth avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Santiago street and running thence northerly to a point 100 feet southerly from Rivera street, said set-back line to be 12 feet.

Amending Zoning Ordinance, Eighteenth Street and Potrero Avenue.

Also, Bill No. 8234, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings, and the locations of buildings designed for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Section 9 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place the southwest corner of Eighteenth stret and Potrero avenue, for a distance of 100 feet on Eighteenth street and a distance of 100 feet on Potrero avenue, in the Light Industrial District instead of the Second Residential District.

Oil and Boiler Permits.

On motion of Supervisor Deasy:

Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits be and the same are hereby granted:

Oil Tanks.

Mrs. Mary F. Barron, 2232 Green street, 1500 gallons capacity.

John Dowds, 1534 Fillmore street, 600 gallons capacity.

C. L. Feusier, southeast corner of Green and Leavenworth streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

V. Gulmes, south side of Grove street, 130 feet west of Broderick street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Hearst-Moulder School, south side of Oak street, 40 feet east of Webster street, 1500 gallons capacity.

I. Johnson, south side of Carl street, 57 feet west of Willard street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Louis Johnson, east side of Villemar avenue, 135 feet north of Sea Cliff avenue, 750 gallons capacity.

S. S. Kaufman, 3222 Jackson street, 1500 gallons capacity.

E. V. Lacey, south side of Pine street, 100 feet east of Stockton street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Alex Levison, northeast corner of Jackson street and Adele place, 1500 gallons capacity.

Mary McDomati, east side of Capp street, 50 feet north of Twenty-first street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Mrs. E. M. Pollard, north side of Lombard street, 68 feet 9 inches east of Polk street, 1500 gallons capacity.

A. J. Pon, northeast corner of Montalvo and Magellan avenues, 1500 gallons capacity.

H. J. Rock, west side of Twentieth avenue, 100 feet north of Taraval street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Alfred Solari, 1185 Pine street, 1500 gallons capacity.

H. R. Sorensen, northwest corner of Duboce avenue and Divisadero street, 1500 gallons capacity.

David H. Steiner, 2998 Pacific avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

T. B. Strand, south side of Union street, 160 feet east of Octavia street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Dr. C. Weil, 1144 Larkin street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Angela M. White, 1641 Washington street, 1000 gallons capacity.

Boilers.

California Fig Products Co., 122 Front street, 15-horsepower.

G. Capdeville, 1401 Woolsey street, 25-horsepower.

Hickok & Hickok, 540 Brannan street, 80-horsepower.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits shall become null and void.

Transfer of Garage Permit.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That A. G. Curtis is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred public garage permit heretofore granted E. V. Lacey and B. E. Vukicevich by Resolution No. 26455 (New Series) for premises on the north side of Latham place, 77 feet 6 inches west of Mason street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Street Lights.

Supervisor Stanton presented:

Resolution No. 27850 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Pacific Gas and Electric Company be and it is hereby authorized and requested to remove and install the following street lights:

Remove Gas Lamps.

Northwest corner Leavenworth and Chestnut streets.

East side Leavenworth street, first south of Chestnut street.

East side Leavenworth street, first north of Lombard street.

Northeast and southwest corners Octavia and Laguna streets.

South side Shipley street between Eliza and Fourth streets.

North side Shipley street, first east of Fourth street.

Install 250 *M. R.*

Raleigh street off Paulding street.

Install 400 *M. R.*

South side Shipley street, opposite Alice street.

Northeast corner Lombard and Octavia streets.

Southwest corner Leavenworth and Chestnut streets.

On pole front of 2335 Leavenworth street.

Thirtieth avenue and Cabrillo street.

Sacramento street between Battery and Front streets.

Sacramento street between Front and Davis streets.

Sacramento street between Davis and Drumm streets.

Sacramento street between Drumm and Market streets.

Cotter street between San Jose avenue and Cayuga street.

One light between Sunnydale avenue and County line.

One light between Sunnydale avenue and Visitacion avenue.

One light between Visitacion and Leland avenues.

One light between Leland and Raymond avenues.

One light between Raymond avenue and Arleta street.

Cook street between Geary street and St. Rose's avenue.

Install 400 *C. P. O. B. Wth Refractor.*

Southwest corner First avenue and Balboa street.

North and south sides Balboa street between First and Second avenues.

Northeast and southwest corners Balboa street and Second avenue.

North and south sides Balboa street between Second and Third avenues.

Northeast and southwest corners Balboa street and Third avenue.

North and south sides Balboa street between Third and Fourth avenues.

Northeast and southwest corners Balboa street and Fourth avenue.

North and south sides Balboa street between Fourth and Fifth avenues.

Northeast and southwest corners Balboa street and Fifth avenue.

North and south sides Balboa street between Fifth and Sixth avenues.

Northeast and southwest corners Balboa street and Sixth avenue.

North and south sides Balboa street and Sixth avenue.

Northeast and southwest corners Balboa street and Seventh avenue.

North and south sides Balboa street between Seventh and Eighth avenues.

Northeast and southwest corners Balboa street and Eighth avenue.

Remove 600 *C. P. M. R.*

North side Balboa street, each block, First to Eighth avenues.

Remove 400 *C. P. M. R.*

Northwest corner Balboa street and Second avenue.

Northwest corner Balboa street and Fourth avenue.

Install 600 *C. P. Ornamental Brackets.*

Trolley pole southwest corner Duboce avenue and Market street.

On trolley poles (6 to block) on Kearny street from Bush street to Columbus avenue.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Shannon—2.

Invitation of Redwood Empire Association Accepted.

Supervisor Kent presented:

Resolution No. 27851 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the Redwood Empire Association has invited the Board of Supervisors to officially participate in the annual meeting of the Inter-County Redwood Empire Association, to be held at Santa Rosa Friday, October 7, 1927, and also to participate in the third semi-annual Redwood Empire caravan, which leaves Santa Rosa Saturday morning, October 8th, for a six-day tour of the north of bay counties of the Redwood Empire; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors accept the invitation of the Redwood Empire Association and that the chairman of the Public Welfare and Publicty Committee be authorized to select members of the Board to officially represent the Board of Supervisors at both these events.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Shannon—2.

Election Commissioners Requested to Donate Election Booths for Airport.

Supervisor Kent presented:

Resolution No. 27852 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the Board of Election Commissioners recently loaned nine voting booths for use at the Mills Field Municipal Airport in connection with the Dole flight, reception to Col. Charles A. Lindbergh and the Pacific Air Derby; and

Whereas, it is necessary that these booths be kept at the Airport for similar use in the future; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Election Commissioners be requested to donate these election booths, without cost, to the San Francisco Municipal Airport.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Shannon—2.

Home Industry to Be Favored by Airport.

Resolution No. 27853 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Board of Public Works and the Superintendent of the Municipal Airport be requested, in the purchase of supplies and materials of every description for use at the San Francisco Municipal Airport, to rigidly adhere to the policy of giving preference to home industry to the end that our local firms and manufacturers may be encouraged and our own citizens afforded employment.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Shannon—2.

American Legion Flag-Stand in Rotunda.

Supervisor Colman presented:

Resolution No. 27854 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, Service Post No. 97, American Legion, is composed exclusively of policemen and firemen of San Francisco, a majority of whom are veterans of the World War and therefore entitled to the best the City can give; and

Whereas, it has been suggested that the national flag, the Legion flag and post colors be augmented by two more flags, one a City flag and one symbolizing the police and fire departments; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors deems it fitting that Service Post No. 97, American Legion, be granted the courtesy of having its colors housed alongside those of the 363d Infantry in a suitable case to be placed in the rotunda of the City Hall; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Public Welfare Committee be requested to find ways and means of obtaining moneys necessary to cover the cost of such presentation and installation.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Shannon—2.

Supervisor Badaracco Appointed Director Joint Highway District.

Supervisor Marks presented:

Resolution No. 27855 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, pursuant to proceedings heretofore taken by this Board of Supervisors in the matter of the creation of a Joint Highway District, composed of the counties of San Francisco, San Mateo and Santa Cruz, Supervisor John B. Badaracco is hereby named and appointed as director of said proposed Joint Highway District to represent the City and County of San Francisco.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Shannon—2.

School Street Roping Permit.

Supervisor Marks presented:

Resolution No. 27856 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That permission is hereby granted to the Rev. Martin P. Ryan, pastor St. Vincent De Paul Church, 2320 Green street, to rope off from 12 noon to 1 p. m. each school day the roadway of Green street from Steiner street to Pierce street, in order that the children attending St. Vincent De Paul Parochial School may be more adequately protected from the dangers

of vehicular travel and may utilize that portion of said street between the said hours as a playground.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Shannon—2.

Award of Contract, X-Ray Equipment.

Supervisor Badaracco presented:

Resolution No. 27857 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of contract be hereby made to R. L. Scherer Co. on bid submitted August 29, 1927 (Proposal No. 320), for furnishing and installing X-ray equipment for San Francisco Health Farm for the sum of $4,886.35.

Resolved, That a bond in the sum of $1,000 be required for the faithful performance of said contract.

Resolved, That all other bids submitted hereon be rejected.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Shannon—2.

RECESS.

Thereupon the Board at 12:15 p. m. took a recess until 2 p. m.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk.

REASSEMBLED—2 P. M.

The Board of Supervisors reassembled at 2 p. m.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Shannon—2.

Quorum present.

His Honor Mayor Rolph presiding.

SPECIAL ORDERS—2 P. M.
BRIDGE HEARINGS.

Motions.

The following matters were taken up:

Supervisor Gallagher: I move you that while it is the policy of this Board that we favor a publicly-owned bay bridge, it is however, the sense of this Board that we favor immediate construction of said bridge by private capital, until the people may elect in the manner provided by law to take over said bridge and place it under public ownership and control.

* * *

Supervisor Marks: I move you that, as an amendment to the motion of Supervisor Gallagher, the City Attorney be requested to submit to this Board, at the earliest possible opportunity, a form of submission of the issue of public ownership and operation of the proposed bridge, or private ownership or operation of the proposed bridge, for action by the people at the earliest possible opportunity.

* * *

Supervisor Marks: I desire to present the following motion and calling attention to the fact that it does not call for anything other than asking the City Attorney to submit a proper form of proposal to us. I make the motion which heretofore has been outlined three or four times.

(Referring to motion set out in full above.)

The Chairman: Any objection? So ordered.

On motion of Supervisor Gallagher, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, October* 3, 1927, *at* 2:30 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Shannon—2.

Applications for Franchises to Construct Brdges Across San Francisco Bay.

The following matters were taken up:

Application of Bay Cities Bridge Corporation for a franchise for a toll bridge between San Francisco and Alameda.

Proposed rail and highway crossing for San Francisco Bay from the foot of Sixteenth street, San Francisco, to the foot of Pacific avenue, Alameda.

Beginning at the intersection of the center line of Sixteenth street with the center line of Third (Kentucky) street, in the City and County of San Francisco, and extending thence easterly along the center line of Sixteenth street to the waterfront line; thence easterly along a straight line to a point on the center line of Pacific avenue, in the City of Alameda, County of Ala-

meda, which point is one hundred feet westerly from the intersection of said center line of Pacific avenue with the center line of Bryant street.

That the length of said bridge is 27,750 feet and that the width of the roadway on said bridge is 38 feet.

Filed April 6, 1925.

DUDLEY D. SALES,
Attorney.

On motion of Supervisor Gallagher, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, October* 3, 1927, *at* 2:30 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Shannon—2.

Application of Elbert W. Davis for a franchise for a toll bridge between San Francisco and Alameda.

Across San Francisco Bay, beginning at a point in the City and County of San Francisco on the bulkhead line of San Francisco Harbor between Piers 26 and 28, and running approximately north 67 degrees 45 minutes east 17.962 feet, more or less, to a point in the City of Oakland, County of Alameda, State of California, on the easterly shore of San Francisco Bay.

That the length of said bridge is to be 17.962 feet and that the breadth thereof is to be 65 feet, more or less.

Filed June 29, 1925.

JAMES M. OLIVER,
Attorney.

On motion of Supervisor Gallagher, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, October* 3, 1927, *at* 2:30 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Shannon—2.

Application of John Bermingham, Jr., 250 Cervantes boulevard, for a franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge across San Francisco Bay between the City and County of San Francisco and the County of Alameda.

Beginning at the intersection of the center line of Seventh and Mission streets, in the City and County of San Francisco, along Seventh street to Seventh and Sixteenth; thence in a southeasterly direction to Nineteenth and Third; thence in a southerly direction along Third street to the intersection of Third street and Jerrold avenue and waterfront; thence proceeding in a northerly direction, crossing San Francisco Bay to a point from which a branch will extend 4500 feet easterly to the intersection of Pacific avenue and Bryant street, in the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California; thence from said aforementioned intersecting point proceeding in a northerly direction, crossing the Oakland Estuary, to the intersection of Peralta street and Seventh street, in the City of Oakland, County of Alameda, State of California.

That the total length of said bridge, approaches thereto and branch thereof, aforementioned, is 65,450 feet, that the width of said bridge is ninety (90) feet.

Filed September 28, 1925.

On motion of Supervisor Gallagher, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, October* 3, 1927, *at* 2:30 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Shannon—2.

Application of A. M. Bergstrom for a franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge across San Francisco Bay between the City and County of San Francisco and the County of Alameda.

That the said toll bridge is to be located across the Bay of San Francisco, along the following described line, to-wit:

Beginning at a point in San Francisco at about the foot of Davidson avenue, on Hunter's Point, and running northerly sixty-two (62) degrees east four and four-tenths (4.4) miles; thence on a one (1) degree curve to the north one and six-tenths (1.6) miles to true north to a point on the easterly shore of the Bay of San Francisco at about the foot of Webster street, in the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California.

That the length of said bridge is to be six (6) miles and the breadth is to be sixty (60) feet, more or less.

Filed September 28, 1925.

On motion of Supervisor Gallagher, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, October* 3, 1927, *at* 2:30 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—16

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Shannon—2.

Application of New York and San Francisco Development Company for franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge between San Francisco and Alameda.

Bridge to be constructed across San Francisco Bay from a point therein at or near the junction of Evans avenue and Boalt street, Hunter's Point, in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to or near the junction of South High street and Washington street, in the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California; that the length of said bridge is approximately six and one-half miles from shore line to shore line, and that its breadth or width is approximately from 60 to 90 feet.

Filed January 11, 1926.

ROTHCHILD & GOLDEN,
Attorneys.

On motion of Supervisor Gallagher, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, October* 3, 1927, *at* 2:30 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Shannon—2.

Application of Roy C. Hackley for a franchise to construct a toll bridge between San Francisco, Pier 26, and Alameda County.

Beginning at a point in the City and County of San Francisco on the bulkhead line of San Francisco Harbor, south of and adjacent to Pier 26, and running approximately north 61 degrees east and fifty-three hundred feet, more or less; thence running approximately north 89 degrees east five thousand feet, more or less; thence running approximately north 59 degrees 30 minutes east eight thousand feet, more or less, to a point in the City of Oakland, County of Alameda, State of California, on the easterly shore of said San Francisco Bay.

That the length of said bridge is to be eighteen thousand three hundred feet (18,300) and that the breadth thereof is to be 78 feet, more or less.

Roy A. Bronson and E. D. Bronson, Jr., attorneys, 68 Post street.

Filed February 6, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor Gallagher, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, October* 3, 1927, *at* 2:30 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Shannon—2.

Two applications of the Construction Company of North America for a franchise to construct and open a toll bridge between San Francisco and Alameda County.

Commencing at a point in said City and County of San Francisco near the corner of First and Bryant streets, on what is known as Rincon Hill, and running thence on less than a two-degree curve a distance of approximately 1700 lineal feet to the line of bulkhead wharf connecting Piers 30 and 32 on the waterfront of the said City and County of San Francisco; thence on a line approximately north 65 degrees east 21,000 lineal feet, more or less, to the Oakland Mole of the Southern Pacific Company in Alameda County.

That the length of said bridge is to be 4½ miles and that the width thereof is to be approximately 78 feet.

ROBERT J. McGAHIE,
Attorney, Mills Building.

First application filed February 15, 1926.

Second application filed April 5, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor Gallagher, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, October* 3, 1927, *at* 2:30 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Shannon—2.

Application of Allan C. Rush for a franchise to construct a toll bridge between San Francisco and Alameda County.

Construction of a suspension bridge from the City and County of San Francisco over and across Goat Island to the east shore of the Bay of San Francisco, comprising three main double traffic track entrance therein, and being of a height of two hundred feet above high tide, between all bridge piers in the waters of San Francisco Channel, in which channel the

bridge will be suspended over "but three piers," twenty-four hundred feet apart, thus in nowise impeding the traffic of the waters of the Bay.

Filed June 19, 1926.

ALLAN C. RUSH,
1632 McCullom street, Los Angeles, California.

On motion of Supervisor Gallagher, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, October* 3, 1927, *at* 2:30 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton. Todd—16

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Shannon—2.

Application of Robert Lee Dunn, 778 Monadnock Building, for a franchise to construct a toll bridge along the following described line, to-wit:

Beginning at the intersection of the center line of Second street with the center line of Berry street, in the City and County of San Francisco and extending thence easterly to the waterfront line; thence easterly along a straight line to the intersection of the center lines of Pacific avenue and Bryant street, City of Alameda, California.

Length of said bridge is twenty-six thousand feet; that the width of the roadway on said bridge is eighty feet.

Filed June 21, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor Gallagher, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, October* 3, 1927, *at* 2:30 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton. Todd—16

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Shannon—2.

Application of Edward A. Bertram for a franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge to be located, to-wit:

Beginning at Twelfth and Howard streets, San Francisco, by 1½ per cent viaduct to Beale and Howard streets; thence to Southern Pacific Mole, Oakland; thence by 1½ per cent viaduct to West Fourteenth street level, and Sixteeenth Street Railroad Station.

The length of said bridge proper is 21,000 feet and its width of roadway is 63 feet.

Filed June 21, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor Gallagher, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, October* 3, 1927, *at* 2:30 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Shannon—2.

Application of R. S. Chew for franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge or bridges.

The said proposed bridge or bridges are to be located and are described as follows:

The westerly end of a bridge and the approaches thereto, which will connect with public streets, are to be located in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California (which location is in the county situated on the left bank descending of the Bay of San Francisco, across which said bridge is to be constructed), and at a point therein at or near the summit of an eminence known as Telegraph Hill; from this point the bridge will project easterly a distance of nine thousand feet (more or less) to the westerly side of Yerba Buena (or Goat) Island; thence easterly across said island a roadway or viaduct will be constructed for a distance of four thousand feet (more or less) to its easterly side; from thence there will be projected in an easterly direction a distance of twenty-two thousand feet (more or less), a bridge structure, viaduct, causeway and/or fill to suitable connections with lines or railway and public highways in Alameda County, State of California.

Filed June 28, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor Gallagher, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, October* 3, 1927, *at* 2:30 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—16

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Shannon—2.

Application of Inter-Cities Bridge Company for a franchise to construct, maintain and operate a toll bridge across the Bay of San Francisco and over the following route:

Beginning at the intersection of Broadway and Battery street, in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and extending thence easterly to the waterfront; thence on a line approximately north 60 degrees east

to the westerly point of Yerba Buena or Goat Island; thence by contour meander to the easterly point of Yerba Buena or Goat Island; thence on a line approximately north 75 degrees to the foot of Yerba Buena street, in the City of Oakland, County of Alameda, State of California.

That the length of said bridge, inclusive of approximately 4100 feet on ground support over Yerba Buena or Goat Island, and 5670 feet to be supported by an earth fill mole in the approach to the said Oakland terminal, is to be approximately 27,000 feet. That the width of said bridge is to be approximately 120 feet, providing two 20-foot vehicular lanes and accommodations for four lines of electric transportation tracks.

Filed July 12, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor Gallagher, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, October* 3, 1927, *at* 2:30 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

A y e s — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Shannon—2.

Application of G. Lindenthal for franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge.

Said bridge is to be located along the following described line:

Commencing at a point in the center line of Jerrold avenue, in the City and County of San Francisco, about midway between Ship street and Dock street, in said City and County of San Francisco; thence on a curve easterly and northerly a distance of 30.000 feet, more or less, to the southerly foot of Webster street, in the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California.

The width of said bridge at the easterly end thereof for approximately 16,000 feet will be 75 feet, and the width of the remaining portion thereof will be approximately 40 feet. The length of said bridge will be approximately 30,000 feet.

Filed August 2, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor Gallagher, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, October* 3, 1927, *at* 2:30 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

A y e s — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Shannon—2.

Application of W. F. Holcomb for franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge across San Francisco Bay between the City and County of San Francisco and Alameda County.

Beginning at or near and within one hundred feet northerly or southerly of the intersection of the center line of Second street with the center line of Berry street, in the City and County of San Francisco and extending thence easterly to the waterfront line; thence easterly along a straight line to, at or near and within one hundred feet northerly or southerly of the intersection of the center lines of Pacific avenue and Bryant street, City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California.

That the length of said bridge will be twenty-six thousand feet; that the width of the roadway on said bridge will be eighty-nine feet.

Filed August 9, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor Gallagher, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, October* 3, 1927, *at* 2:30 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

A y e s — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—16

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Shannon—2.

Application of E. D. Bronson, Jr., for a franchise for a toll bridge across San Francisco Bay.

Filed August 16, 1926.

Beginning at a point in the City and County of San Francisco on the bulkhead line of San Francisco Harbor, south of and adjacent to Pier 26, and running north approximately 68 degrees east seventeen thousand nine hundred fifty feet (17,950′), more or less, to a point in the City of Oakland, County of Alameda, State of California, on the easterly shore of said San Francisco Bay; and the said toll bridge to be approximately seventeen thousand nine hundred fifty (17,950′) feet in length and one hundred ten (110′) feet in breadth, more or less.

Roy A. Bronson, E. D. Bronson, Jr., attorneys at law, 68 Post street, room 403, San Francisco, Cal.

On motion of Supervisor Gallagher, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, October* 3, 1927, *at* 2:30 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

A y e s — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher,

Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Shannon—2.

Application of O. A. Ellis for franchise for two tunnels under the Bay of San Francisco from San Francisco to Oakland.

One of the tunnels will be for interurban street car traffic, with double track, and the San Francisco terminal between Third and Fourth streets on Harrison street.

The other tunnel will be for auto and railroad traffic, with San Francisco terminal between Second and Third streets on Harrison street.

The Oakland terminal will be at Seventh and Cedar streets.

Filed June 25, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor Gallagher, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, October* 3, 1927, *at* 2:30 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Shannon—2.

Application of H. S. Henion to construct a toll bridge along the following route, to-wit:

Beginning at the intersection of the center lines of Berry and Second streets, in the City and County of San Francisco California, thence southeasterly to the west end of Pier No. 46, on the San Francisco waterfront; thence easterly over said pier to its eastern end; thence easterly across the Bay of San Francisco to the point of intersection of the center line of Peralta street, in the City of Oakland, California, produced southerly to the center line of the vehicular roadway on the Alameda Mole, in the City of Alameda, California; thence northeasterly on the said produced center line of Peralta street to its intersection with the center line of Eighth street, in said City of Oakland, Alameda County, California.

That the length of said bridge is approximately thirty thousand feet; that the width of said bridge is approximately eighty feet.

The above bridge will include an overhead approach, to extend from Second and Berry streets, San Francisco, to Rincon Hill or immediate vicinity, where abundant terminal facilities will be provided.

Filed March 14, 1927.

On motion of Supervisor Gallagher, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, October* 3, 1927, *at* 2:30 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Shannon—2.

Application of Frank E. Webb to construct a toll bridge along the following route, to-wit:

Said proposed toll bridge is to be located, builded and constructed across San Francisco Bay from a point exactly in longitude west 122 degrees 21 minutes 48.7 seconds and latitude north 37 degrees 43 minutes 33.75 seconds, in San Francisco County, State of California, Hunter's Point, in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to a point exactly in longitude west 122 degrees 15 minutes 2.6 seconds and latitude north 37 degrees 44 minutes 15.67 seconds in Alameda County, State of California; thence to the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California; that the length of said bridge is approximately 32,200 feet from shore line to shore line, and that its breadth or width is approximately from sixty (60) to ninety (90) feet, as shown by map attached and made a part hereof and marked "Exhibit B".

Bridge is to be of steel and concrete cantilever type, clearance from San Francisco side to be approximately one hundred and forty (140) feet. The main spans to be not less than seven hundred and fifty (750) feet nor more than seventeen hundred (1700) feet, and all spans and construction to be in accordance with the United States Government regulations and rulings.

Filed March 14, 1927.

On motion of Supervisor Gallagher, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, October* 3, 1927, *at* 2:30 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Shannon—2.

Communication from Construction Company of North America, supplementing original plans—modified route has its westerly terminal on Rincon Hill.

Filed May 9, 1927.

On motion of Supervisor Galla-

gher, further consideration of the foregoing communication was *continued until Monday, October* 3, 1927, *at* 2:30 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

A y e s — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Shannon—2.

Amended application of Edward A Bertram for alternative line of bridge.

Filed May 9, 1927.

On motion of Supervisor Gallagher, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, October* 3, 1927, *at* 2:30 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

A y e s — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Shannon—2.

Application of J. E. Pamplin to construct a toll bridge along the following route, to-wit:

Beginning at or near and within two hundred feet northerly or southerly of the intersection of the center line of Second street with the center line of Berry street, in the City and County of San Francisco, and extending thence easterly to the waterfront line; thence about north seventy-three (73) degrees east (true) along a straight line to a point immediately southwest of and adjacent to the Southern Pacific Alameda Mole, and about six hundred (600) yards, more or less, southeasterly from the northwesterly end of said mole, and thence about south seventy-two (72) degrees east (true) to a point on filled land in the City of Alameda about on the prolongation northwesterly of that portion of Santa Clara avenue which lies between Paru and Park streets, in the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California.

That the length of said bridge will be about twenty-six thousand (26,000) feet, more or less; that the width of the roadway on said bridge wil be one hundred feet or such other width as the Board of Supervisors may direct and require under Section 2873 of the Political Code.

Filed May 9, 1927.

Privilege of the Floor.

Mr. Hanley was granted the privilege of the floor and addressed the Board:

Mr. Chairman and Members of the Board: Continuing our presentation on the Pamplin bridge application we wish to introduce at this time some formal papers in relation to the matter which we consider jurisdictional. We offer in evidence the paper dated May 9, 1927, and in which J. E. Pamplin, the applicant, makes certain applications and representations and at this time we may consider it read.

Supervisor Gallagher: I suggest the attorney state it.

Mr. Hanley: It is an application on behalf of Pamplin and then describes, by metes and bounds, that section of the line on the San Francisco side connecting with the line from Alameda County which has already been detailed to you by Colonel Rand in the presentation, and then shows the notice of the application and the printing of the same. First, it was printed in one paper, as shown by the application. It was received and filed by your Clerk, J. S. Dunnigan, on May 9, 1927. We will hand it to the reporter and ask that it be marked as an exhibit and considered read. Proof of publication of this application, both upon the Oakland side—and upon the Alameda side—and upon the San Francisco side. We offer in evidence proof of publication signed by Mr. Sandell as chief publisher and so forth of the Commercial Daily News, and giving the day and dates of the publication, as required by law, for toll bridges. Consider that the next number. We offer in evidence the affidavit of publication as appearing in the Berkeley Daily Gazette, published at and for the times required by law, and specifically for the dates set forth in the affidavit, and ask that that be considered read and filed with the others. We offer in evidence the service of the notice upon the ferries by registered mail, as accompanied by the affidavit of Eugene A. Cochran, dated May 9, 1927, and ask that that affidavit, together with the notice attached to the affidavit be considered in evidence and read. We offer the affidavit of A. Manuel to the notice to the various parties and corporations who are required under the statute to be notified, giving the day and dates of the publication and service upon the various people upon certain days in April, 1927. That has been duly verified, accompanied by the notice of J. E. Pamplin, the applicant.

The Chairman: Is there any ob-

jection to these affidavits being considered read and placed on record? (Bringing down the gavel.)

Mr. Hanley: Now, we offer before this Board a letter, on the stationery of Freeman, Smith & Camp Company, bankers, under date of May 20, 1927, and ask it be made a part of the record. It reads: "J. E. Pamplin, 798 Monadnock Building, San Francisco. Dear Sir: Referring to your greater San Francisco bridge project extending from Rincon Hill, San Francisco, to the Southern Pacific Alameda Mole, we wish to advise you that we consider this project financially feasible and practicable. As representatives of the syndicate composed of Eastern and local bond houses, subject to the usual investigation, we assure you that we will underwrite the necessary financing to build the bridge, which will be contracted in definite form upon obtaining necessary franchises and War Department permits, on usual basis and terms for public service corporations of this nature.

Very truly yours,
Freeman, Smith & Camp.
By Mr. Smith."

We will offer in evidence the writing and letter to Mr. Pamplin, dated May 20, 1927, addressed to J. E. Pamplin at 798 Monadnock Building, San Francisco, California.

"Dear Sir: Referring to J. E. Pamplin's application for franchise to construct a bridge across San Francisco Bay to be known as the Greater San Francisco Bridge, we will execute the necessary bonds required of you under the provisions of law relative to your securing a franchise.

Very truly yours,
Metropolitan Casualty Insurance Company of New York,
By the Deputy Attorney-in-Fact."

I think that completes, gentlemen, the formal jurisdictional points to be raised and Colonel Rand will now address you upon the subject that he left off the other day, and I will supplement it by such other legal matters as will be necessary.

Colonel Rand, also representing J. E. Pamplin, was heard as to the merits of the Pamplin plan in overcoming the objections of the Navy Department.

On motion of Supervisor Gallagher, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, October* 3, 1927, *at* 2:30 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Shannon—2.

Application of Roy C. Hackley to construct a toll bridge along the following route, to-wit:

Beginning at or near and within two hundred (200) feet of the intersection of the center line of Fremont street with the center line of Brannan street, in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and running north approximately eighty (80) degrees east ninteen thousand four hundred (19,400) feet, more or less, to a point in the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California, located approximately six hundred (600) feet south of the south training wall of the Oakland Estuary.

That the length of said bridge is to be approximately nineteen thousand four hundred (19,400) feet, and the breadth thereof is to be sixty (60) feet, more or less.

Filed May 16, 1927.

On motion of Supervisor Gallagher, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, October* 3, 1927, *at* 2:30 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Shannon—2.

Amended application of Edward A. Bertram for alternative line of bridge.

Filed May 9, 1927.

On motion of Supervisor Gallagher, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, October* 3, 1927, *at* 2:30 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Shannon—2.

Application of Construction Company of North America to erect, maintain and operate and take tolls on a toll bridge over and acress San Francisco Bay, commencing at a point in the City and County of San Francisco near the corner of First and Bryant streets on what is known as Rincon Hil, and running thence northeasterly 19,100 lineal feet, more or less, to the southerly line of Alameda Mole of the South-

ern Pacific Company; thence north by east to the intersection of Seventh and Cedar streets in the City of Oakland, County of Alameda.

The length of said bridge is to be 4½ miles, the width thereof approximately 78 feet.

Filed June 20, 1927.

On motion of Supervisor Gallagher, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, October* 3, 1927, *at* 2:30 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

A y e s — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Shannon—2.

Application of Roy C. Hackley for permission to construct a toll bridge across San Francisco Bay as follows:

Beginning at or near and within 200 feet of the intersection of the center line of Fremont street with the center line of Brannan street, in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and running north approximately 83 degrees east, 19,400 feet, more or less, to a point in the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California, located approximately 600 feet south of the south training wall of the Oakland Estuary.

The length of said bridge is to be approximately 19,400 feet and the width thereof is to be 60 feet, more or less.

Filed with Board of Supervisors Monday, June 27, 1927.

On motion of Supervisor Gallagher, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, October* 3, 1927, *at* 2:30 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

A y e s — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Shannon—2.

Application of City Planning and Building Company for a franchise and for authority to erect, construct, maintain and operate a submarine tunnel and the right, permission and authority to take tolls thereon for a period of fifty (50) years at the following location, to-wit:

Entering the ground at a point in the ground bounded by Fifth, Sixth, Bryant and Brannan streets, thence running underground easterly to a point on the northerly or northwest line of China Basin between Second and Third streets entering under the bay at a depth of 45 feet below low tide, sinking gradually to a depth of 50 feet below low tide, proceeding easterly to within 700 feet south of Seventh street at the shore line in Oakland, Alameda County, California.

The length of said submarine tunnel will be 21,120 feet under the water; said submarine tunnel will, in its inside dimensions, be 50 feet high and 68 feet in extreme width; that the same will have three floors, the upper floor for passenger automobiles, the main or central floor for railroad passenger cars, the lowest floor for automotive and heavy traffic trucks. Said tube will be divided perpendicularly into two halves, a right and a left half.

Filed Monday, July 25, 1927.

On motion of Supervisor Gallagher, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, October* 3, 1927, *at* 2:30 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

A y e s — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Shannon—2.

Application of City Planning and Building Company for a franchise and for authority to erect, construct, maintain and operate a submarine tunnel and the right, permission and authority to take tolls thereon for a period of fifty (50) years at the following location, to-wit:

Entering the ground at a point in the block bounded by Sixth, Seventh, Hubbel and Irving streets, running thence underground in an easterly direction to a point where an extension of Fifteenth street strikes the Bay very close to El Dorado street; thence entering the Bay 45 feet below the level of the lowest tide and sinking gradually to a depth of 50 feet below the level of the lowest tide, and proceeding in an easterly direction and emerging at a point within 700 feet of a point where the center line of Lincoln avenue intersects the waters of the Bay of San Francisco in Alameda, Alameda County, State of California.

The length of said submarine tunnel to be 21 120 feet under water; said tunnel will be in its inside dimensions 50 feet high and 68 feet in extreme width; the same will have three floors, the upper floor for passenger automobiles and the main or central floor for railroad passenger cars, the lowest floor for

automotive and heavy traffic trucks. Tube will be divided perpendicularly into two halves, a right and a left half.

Filed Monday, July 25, 1927.

On motion of Supervisor Gallagher, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, October 3, 1927, at 2:30 p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Shannon—2.

Application of City Planning and Building Company for a franchise and for authority to erect, construct, maintain and operate a submarine tunnel and the right, permission and authority to take tolls thereon for a period of fifty (50) years at the following locations, to-wit:

Entering the Bay at a point where the extension of the Marina boulevard extended easterly meets the Bay at Buchanan street close to Tonquin street, at a depth of at least 45 feet below the level of low tide and sinking gradually to a depth of at least 50 feet below the level of low tide, running thence in a general northerly direction to a point one mile south of the Town of Sausalito, Marin County, State of California; said submarine tunnel or tube will have a general curve in an easterly direction; that the length of said submarine tunnel will be 3,200 feet under water. Its inside dimensions to be 50 feet high and 68 feet in extreme width; the same will have three floors, the upper floor for passenger automobiles, the main or central floor for railroad passenger cars, the lower floor for automotive and heavy traffic trucks. The tunnel or tube will be divided perpendicularly into two halves, a right and a left half.

Filed Monday, July 25, 1927.

On motion of Supervisor Gallagher, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, October 3, 1927, at 2:30 p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Shannon—2.

Application of John P. O'Connell for a franchise for a toll bridge between the City and County of San Francisco and the County of Alameda.

Beginning at or near and within three hundred (300) feet northerly or southerly of the intersection of the northerly line of Pier 34 with the seawall at about the foot of Brannan street, in the City and County of San Francisco, and extending thence about north seventy-seven and one-half (77½) degrees east (true) to a point immediately southwest of and adjacent to the Southern Pacific Alameda Mole, and about six hundred (600) yards, more or less, east by south from the westerly extremity of said Mole, and thence about south seventy-two (72) degrees east (true) to the intersection of said line with the bank or shore of San Francisco Bay at its most westerly intersection with said line, in the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California.

That the length of said bridge on said lines will be about seventeen thousand seven hundred (17,700) feet, more or less, on the first of said lines and seven thousand two hundred (7,200) feet, more or less, on the second or said lines, making about twenty-four thousand nine hundred (24,900), more or less, feet for the total length of said bridge, not including approaches; that the width of the roadway on said bridge will be one hundred (100) feet or such other width as the Board of Supervisors may direct and require under Section 2873 of the Political Code.

Filed August 15, 1927.

On motion of Supervisor Gallagher, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, October 3, 1927, at 2:30 p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Shannon—2.

Application of John P. O'Connell for a franchise or a toll bridge between the City and County of San Francisco and the County of Alameda.

Beginning at or near and within three hundred (300) feet northerly or southerly of the intersection of the northern line of Pier Forty-six (46) with the seawall at about the foot of Berry street, in the City and County of San Francisco, and extending thence about north seventy-two (72) degrees east (true) along a straight line to a point immediately southwest of and adjacent to the Southern Pacific Alameda Mole, and about six hundred (600) yards, more or less, east by south from the west-

erly extremity of said Mole, and thence about south seventy-two (72) degrees east (true) to the intersection of said line with the bank or shore of San Francisco Bay at its most westerly intersection with said line, in the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California.

That the length of said bridge on said lines will be about eighteen thousand two hundred (18,200) feet, more or less, on the first of said lines and seven thousand two hundred (7,200) feet, more or less, on the second of said lines, making about twenty-five thousand four hundred (25,400), more or less, feet for the total length of said bridge, not including approaches; that the width of the roadway on said bridge will be one hundred (100) feet or such other width as the Board of Supervisors may direct and require under Section 2873 of the Political Code.

Filed August 15, 1927.

On motion of Supervisor Gallagher, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, October 3, 1927, at 2:30 p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Shannon—2.

Application of Transbay Development Corporation for a franchise to construct, maintain and operate and take tolls on a toll bridge over and across the Bay of San Francisco from the City and County of San Francisco to the cities of Oakland and Alameda, Alameda County.

The approximate location of said bridge is as follows: That said proposed toll bridge is to be located, constructed, operated and maintained across San Francisco Bay between Rincon Hill in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and the cities of Oakland and Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California, the termini in Oakland to be at or near the intersection of Seventh and Cedar streets and the foot of Peralta street, and in Alameda at a point on the mainland about five hundred feet southerly from the base of the Alameda Mole; the bridge proper to extend from a point at or near the intersection of Second and Harrison streets, San Francisco, in a generally northeasterly direction on a bearing about north seventy-eight degrees east (true) to a point about eighteen hundred feet inland from the outer end of the Alameda Mole and approximately five hundred feet southerly from the center line of the double track of the Southern Pacific Company; the approaches on the eastern shore to extend from the point last mentioned generally parallel to and about five hundred feet distant from and on the southerly side of the double track of the Southern Pacific Company; on said mole to the mainland in the City of Alameda, and with branches commencing at a point approximately thirty-two hundred feet from the point of beginning of the approaches and running to Seventh and Cedar streets and the foot of Peralta street in the City of Oakland; the approaches on the San Francisco side to be in continuation of the bridge proper from Second and Harrison streets or that vicinity, along Harrison, Fremont, Tehama, Seventh, Bryant and Second streets, and/or elsewhere as the public convenience may require; the length of the bridge proper to be approximately three and eight (3.8) tenths miles and of the bridge and approaches from five and three-quarters (5¾) miles to six and three-quarters (6¾) miles approximately; that the breadth of the proposed bridge is to be forty-two feet in the clear as a minimum, the actual breadth to be determined according to best engineering practice to conform to the lenth of spans demanded by foundation conditions and by the requirements of the War Department.

Filed September 27, 1927.

On motion of Supervisor Gallagher, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, October 3, 1927, at 2:30 p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Shannon—2.

Reciting That Plans, Estimates of Cost, Etc., of Proposed Municipal Railway Extension Under Bond Issue Have Been Filed.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 27858 (New Series), as follows:

Reciting that plans and estimates of cost of the acquisition, construction and completion of the public utility, to-wit: The extension of the Municipal Railway lines or railway system, including equipment thereof, appurtenances thereto, the necessary lands within the City and County of San Francisco, paralleling or operating on or near

the following described routes within said City and County:

From the existing Municipal Railway track at Scott and Chestnut streets into the Marina Tract, west of Scott street and north of Chestnut street; from the Municipal Railway track at Masonic avenue and Turk street into the Richmond District, connecting with the Municipal Railway tracks running to the Ocean Beach; from the Municipal Railway tracks on Masonic avenue at Turk street, easterly on Turk street to Market street, connecting with the Municipal Railway tracks on Market street; a connecting cross-town service west of Twentieth avenue between the Judah street line of the Municipal Railway and the existing Municipal Railway line on Taraval street; from the Municipal Railway tracks at Forty-sixth avenue and Taraval street, southerly to a terminal near Wawona street and Forty-seventh avenue; from the Municipal Railway tracks at Thirtieth and Church streets, southwesterly through the Bernal Cut, into the Excelsior District at or near Excelsior avenue, thence southerly through the Excelsior District to a terminal at Geneva avenue; from a connection with the Municipal Railway tracks on Market street extended to a point at or near Eureka street; thence southerly over Eureka street or other most feasible route to Twenty-third street; thence by the most feasible route to a terminus on Diamond street near Twenty-ninth street; an automobile bus service from the vicinity of Seventeenth street and Potrero avenue by the most feasible route to the vicinity of Twenty-third street and Wisconsin street, and for such other extensions and additions to the trackage and facilities of the said Municipal Railway system as may be deemed necessary, have been filed with the Board of Supervisors by the Board of Public Works, and declaring that the estimated cost thereof cannot be paid from the annual revenue or any other funds derived from taxes levied for that purpose, but that all of said estimated cost will require the incurring of a bonded debt, and no offers of sale of existing utilities have been made.

Resolved, by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby recited that the Board of Public Works, on the 8th day of September, 1927, placed on file with the Board of Supervisors plans and estimates of the cost of the original construction and completion by the City and County of San Francisco of a public utility, to-wit:

From the existing Municipal Railway track at Scott and Chestnut streets into the Marina Tract, west of Scott street and north of Chestnut street; from the Municipal Railway track at Masonic avenue and Turk street into the Richmond District, connecting with the Municipal Railway tracks running to the Ocean Beach; from the Municipal Railway tracks on Masonic avenue at Turk street, easterly on Turk street to Market street, connecting with the Municipal Railway tracks on Market street; a connecting cross-town service west of Twentieth avenue between the Judah street line of the Municipal Railway and the existing Municipal Railway line on Taraval street; from the Municipal Railway tracks at Forty-sixth avenue and Taraval street, southerly to a terminal near Wawona street and Forty-seventh avenue; from the Municipal Railway tracks at Thirtieth and Church streets, southwesterly through the Bernal Cut, into the Excelsior District at or near Excelsior avenue; thence southerly through the Excelsior District to a terminal at Geneva avenue; from a connection with the Municipal Railway tracks on Market street extended to a point at or near Eureka street; thence southerly over Eureka street or other most feasible route to Twenty-third street; thence by the most feasible route to a terminus on Diamond street near Twenty-ninth street; an automobile bus service from the vicinity of Seventeenth street and Potrero avenue by the most feasible route to the vicinity of Twenty-third street and Wisconsin street, and for such other extensions and additions to the trackage and facilities of said Municipal Railway system as may be deemed necessary, and to include equipment thereof, appurtenances thereto, necessary buildings and structures and the purchase of necessary lands.

That the total estimated cost of the extension of said Municipal Railway lines, land, buildings and equipment is the sum of four million six hundred thousand ($4,600,000) dollars; that said plans and estimates contain sufficient information to enable the Board of Supervisors to take further proceedings to secure said described public utility and to make the declarations herein contained.

Section 2. It is hereby determined and declared that of the said sum of four million six hundred

thousand ($4,600,000) dollars no part can be paid out of the annual revenue of the City and County in addition to the other necessary expenses thereof or other funds derived from taxes levied for that purpose, and will require the incurring of a bonded debt to the amount of four million six hundred thousand ($4,600,000) dollars for the purposes herein recited.

Section 3. It is hereby determined and declared that due notice soliciting offers for the sale to the said City and County of San Francisco of any existing railway lines or railway system, including equipment thereof, appurtenances thereto, the necessary buildings and structures and the necessary lands, was given in accordance with Resolution No. 27821 (New Series), and that no offer of sale of any such railway lines or railway system was made to the said City and County.

Section 4. This resolution shall take effect immediately.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Shannon—2.

Passed for Printing.

The following bill was *passed for printing* by the following vote:

Calling and Providing for Special Election for Bonds for Municipal Railway Extensions.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy:

Bill No. 8236, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Calling and providing for a special election to be held in the City and County of San Francisco on Tuesday, the 8th day of November, 1927, for the purpose of submitting to the voters of the City and County of San Francisco a proposition to incur a bonded debt of the City and County of San Francisco to the amount of four million six hundred thousand ($4,600,000) dollars for the acquisition, construction and completion of a public utility-to-wit: A number of extensions to the existing Municipal Street Railway system over and along the public streets and private rights of way, said extensions to be made from the existing Municipal Railway track at Scott and Chestnut streets into the Marina Tract, west of Scott street and north of Chestnut street; from the Municipal Railway track at Masonic avenue and Turk street into the Richmond District, connecting with the Municipal Railway tracks running to the Ocean Beach; from the Municipal Railway tracks on Masonic avenue at Turk street, easterly on Turk street to Market street, connecting with the Municipal Railway tracks on Market street; a connecting cross-town service west of Twentieth avenue between the Judah street line of the Municipal Railway and the existing Municipal Railway line on Taraval street; from the Municipal Railway tracks at Forty-sixth avenue and Taraval street, southerly to a terminal near Wawona street and Forty-seventh avenue; from the Municipal Railway tracks at Thirtieth and Church streets, southwesterly through the Bernal Cut into the Excelsior District at or near Excelsior avenue; thence southerly through the Excelsior District to a terminal at Geneva avenue; from a connection with the Municipal Railway tracks on Market street extended to a point at or near Eureka street; thence southerly over Eureka street or other most feasible route to Twenty-third street; thence by the most feasible route to a terminus on Diamond street near Twenty-ninth street; an automobile bus service from the vicinity of Seventeenth street and Potrero avenue by the most feasible route to the vicinity of Twenty-third street and Wisconsin street, and for such other extensions and additions to the trackage and facilities of said Municipal Railway system as may be deemed necessary, and to include equipment thereof, appurtenances thereto, the necessary buildings and structures and the purchase of necessary lands.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. A special election is hereby called and ordered to be held in the City and County of San Francisco on Tuesday, the 8th day of November, 1927, for the purpose of submitting to the electors of said City and County the following proposition, to-wit: To incur a bonded debt of the City and County of San Francisco to the amount of four million six hundred thousand ($4,600,000) dollars for the purpose of the acquisition, construction and completion of a public utility, to-wit:

A number of extensions to the existing Municipal Street Railway system over and along the public streets and private rights of way, said extensions to be made from the existing Municipal Railway track at Scott and Chestnut streets into the Marina Tract, west of Scott street and north of Chest-

nut street; from the Municipal Railway track at Masonic avenue and Turk street into the Richmond District, connecting with the Municipal Railway tracks running to the Ocean Beach; from the Municipal Railway tracks on Masonic avenue at Turk street, easterly on Turk street to Market street connecting with the Municipal Railway tracks on Market street; a connecting cross-town service west of Twentieth avenue between the Judah street line of the Municipal Railway and the existing Municipal Railway line on Taraval street; from the Municipal Railway tracks at Forty-sixth avenue and Taraval street, southerly to a terminal near Wawona street and Forty-seventh avenue; from the Municipal Railway tracks at Thirtieth and Church streets, southwesterly through the Bernal Cut into the Excelsior District at or near Excelsior avenue; thence southerly through the Excelsior District to a terminal at Geneva avenue; from a connection with the Municipal Railway tracks on Market street extended to a point at or near Eureka street; thence southerly over Eureka street or other most feasible route to Twenty-third street; thence by the most feasible route to a terminus on Diamond street near Twenty-ninth street; an automobile bus service from the vicinity of Seventeenth street and Potrero avenue by the most feasible route to the vicinity of Twenty-third street and Wisconsin street, and for such other extensions and additions to the trackage and facilities of the said Municipal Railway system as may be deemed necessary, and to include equipment thereof, appurtenances thereto, the necessary buildings and structures and the purchase of necessary lands.

Section 2. The estimated cost of the acquisition, construction and completion of the public utility described herein was by plans and estimates of the Board of Public Works, procured through the City Engineer, and filed with the Board of Supervisors on the 8th day of September, 1927, and was and is fixed by Resolution No. 27858 (New Series), in the sum of four million six hundred thousand ($4,600,000) dollars.

Section 3. By Resolution No. 27858 (New Series), it was declared that no part of the said sum of four million six hundred thousand ($4,600,000) dollars could be paid out of the annual revenue of the City and County in addition to the other necessary expenses thereof, or other funds derived from taxes levied for that purpose, and will require the incurring of a bonded debt to the amount of four million six hundred thousand ($4,600,000) dollars for the purposes herein recited. The method and manner of payment of the estimated cost of said described public utility are by the issuance of bonds of the City and County of San Francisco to the amount required therefor and the application of the proceeds arising from the sale thereof to defray the cost of the acquisition, construction and completion of said public utility herein described.

Section 4. The special election hereby called and ordered to be held shall be held and conducted, and the votes thereat received and canvassed and the returns therof made and the results thereof ascertained, determined and declared as herein provided and according to the laws of the State of California providing for and governing elections in the City and County of San Francisco, and the polls for such election shall be and remain open during the time required by said laws.

Section 5. The ballots to be used at said special election shall be such as may be required by law to be used thereat, and in addition to any other matter to be required by law to be printed thereon shall appear thereon the following:

"Municipal Railway Extension Bonds"—To vote for the proposition and thereby authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness to the amount of and for the purpose stated in said proposition stamp a cross (X) in the blank space to the right of the word "Yes." To vote against the proposition and thereby refuse to authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness to the amount of and for the purpose stated in such proposition stamp a cross (X) in the blank space to the right of the word "No." Also said ballot shall have printed thereon the following: To incur a bonded debt for the City and County of San Francisco to the amount of four million six hundred thousand ($4,600,000) dollars for the acquisition, construction and completion of a public utility, to-wit:

YES	
NO	

A number of extensions to the existing Municipal Street Railway System over and along the public streets and private rights of way,

said extensions to be made from the existing Municipal Railway track at Scott and Chestnut streets into the Marina Tract, west of Scott street and north of Chestnut street; from the Municipal Railway track at Masonic avenue and Turk street into the Richmond District, connecting with the Municipal Railway tracks running to the Ocean Beach; from the Municipal Railway tracks on Masonic avenue at Turk street, easterly on Turk street to Market street connecting with the Municipal Railway tracks on Market street; a connecting crosstown service west of Twentieth avenue between the Judah street line of the Municipal Railway and the existing Municipal Railway line on Taraval street; from the Municipal Raiway tracks at Forty-sixth avenue and Taraval street, southerly to a terminal near Wawona street and Forty-seventh avenue; from the Municipal Railway tracks at Thirtieth and Church streets, southwesterly through the Bernal Cut, into the Excelsior District at or near Excelsior avenue; thence southerly thorugh the Excelsior District to a terminal at Geneva avenue; from a connection with the Municipal Railway tracks on Market street extended to a point at or near Eureka street; thence southerly over Eureka street or other most feasible route to Twenty-third street: thence by the most feasible route to a terminus on Diamond street near Twenty-ninth street; an automobile bus service from the vicinity of Seventeenth street and Potrero avenue by the most feasible route to the vicinity of Twenty-third street and Wisconsin street, and for such other extensions and additions to the trackage and facilities of the said Municipal Railway system as may be deemed necessary.

Bonds issued for such purpose shall bear interest at the rate of four and one-half per cent (4½%) per annum, payable semi-annually.

Section 6. Where voting machines are used at said special election the said voting machines shall be so arranged that any qualified elector may vote for the proposition by pulling down a lever over the word "Yes" under or near a statement of the proposed proposition appearing on cardboard, paper or other material placed on the front of the machine, and said act shall constitute a vote for the proposition, and by pulling down a lever over the word "No" under or near a statement of the proposed proposition appearing on cardboard, paper or other material placed on the front of the machine, shall constitute a vote against the proposition. Said voting machines and the preparation of the same are to be used in accordance with the provisions of Chapter 96 of the Statutes of 1923.

Each cross (X) stamped in the square to the right of the word "Yes" appearing on the printed ballot, where printed ballots are used, shall constitute a vote in favor of and to authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness for the purpose set forth in the proposition, and each cross (X) stamped in the square to the right of the word "No" shall be counted as a vote not in favor of and a refusal to authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness for the purpose set forth in the proposition.

Section 7. The election precincts and the numbers, names and boundaries thereof for said special election, and the places of voting, and the officers to conduct such election, and all other necessary proceedings in that behalf, shall be respectively defined, designated, selected, appointed and had by the Board of Election Commissioners of the City and County of San Francisco, and said Board is hereby authorized and directed to procure and provide all supplies that may be necessary to properly and lawfully conduct said special election.

When the polls are closed the officers of election shall count the ballots cast at such election and canvass the votes cast respectively for and against the proposition herein stated and make return thereof in time, form and manner required for the counting, canvassing and returning of votes cast at other municipal elections held in the City and County of San Francisco. The Board of Election Commissioners shall, as soon as the said returns and ballots have been received by said Board, canvass said returns and declare the result thereof in the manner provided by law for canvassing returns and declaring results in other elections, and shall also certify said results to the Board of Supervisors.

Section 8. If, at such special election, it shall appear that two-thirds of all the votes cast thereat were in favor of and authorized the incurring of a bonded debt for the purpose set forth in said proposition, then such proposition shall be deemed to have been accepted by the electors and bonds will be issued to defray the cost of the public utility described therein. Such bonds shall be of the form and

character known as "serials." All of said bonds shall be dated November 1, 1927, shall bear interest at the rate of 4½ per centum per annum, payable semi-annually, shall be of the denomination of one thousand dollars each, and the principal and interest thereof shall be payable in gold coin of the United States. Said bonds shall be called "Municipal Railway Extension Bonds."

Bonds issued for the purpose stated shall be numbered from 1 to 4600, both inclusive, and shall be payable one hundred and eighty-four thousand ($184,000) dollars thereof eight years from the date of said bonds, beginning with the lowest number, and one hundred and eighty-four thousand ($184,000) dollars thereof the next higher numbers on the same day in each succeeding year until all of said bonds shall be paid.

Section 9. Said bonds and coupons shall be in substantially the following form:

The United States of America.
State of California.
City and County of San Francisco.
"Municipal Railway Extension Bond."

No. ——. $1,000.00

For value received, the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, organized and existing under the laws of the State of California, hereby acknowledges itself indebted and promises to pay to the bearer, on the first day of ————, 19—, one thousand dollars, with interest thereon at the rate of 4½ per centum per annum, payable semi-annually May 1 and November 1, on presentation and surrender of the coupons hereto attached as they respectively become due, both principal and interest being payable in gold coin of the United States at the office of the Treasurer of said City and County, or, at the option of the holder, at the fiscal agency of said City and County of San Francisco in the City and State of New York.

This bond is issued under and pursuant to the Constitution and statutes of the State of California and the Charter of said City and County of San Francisco and amendments thereto, and under and pursuant to ordinances and proceedings of said City and County duly adopted and taken, and a vote and assent of more than two-thirds of all the qualified electors of said City and County voting at a special election duly and legally called and held for that purpose.

It is hereby certified, recited and declared that all acts, conditions and things required by law to exist, happen and be performed precedent to and in the issuance of this bond have existed, happened and been performed in due time, form and manner as required by law, and that the amount of this bond, together with all other indebtedness of said City and County, does not exceed any limit prescribed by the Constitution or statutes of said State or Charter of said City and County, and that provision has been made as required by the Constitution and statutes of said State and the Charter of said City and County for the collection of an annual tax sufficient to pay the interest on this bond as it falls due, and also provision to constitute a sinking fund for the payment of the principal of this bond on or before maturity. The full faith and credit of said City and County are hereby pledged for the punctual payment of the principal and interest of this bond.

This bond may be converted into a registered bond upon presentation to the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco, in which event such Treasurer shall cut off and cancel the coupons of this bond and shall sign a statement stamped, printed or written upon the back or face of the bond to the effect that this bond is registered in the name of the owner and that thereafter the interest and principal of this bond are payable to the registered owner. Thereafter and from time to time this bond may be transferred by such registered owner in person or by attorney duly authorized on presentation of this bond to the Treasurer, and the bond be again registered as before, a similar statement being stamped, printed or written thereon.

This bond is exempt from all taxation within the State of California.

In witness whereof, said City and County of San Francisco has caused this bond to be executed under its corporate seal, signed by its Mayor and Treasurer, and countersigned by its Auditor, and has caused the interest coupons hereto attached to be signed with the engraved or lithographed signature of its Treasurer, and this bond to be dated the first day of November, 1927.

....., Mayor.

....., Treasurer.

Countersigned:

....., Auditor.

....., Clerk of the Board of Supervisors.

(Seal)

Form of Coupon.

No.———— $————

On ——— 1, 19—, the City and County of San Francisco, California, will pay to bearer at the office of the Treasurer of said City and County, or at the option of the holder at the fiscal agency of the City and County of San Francisco in the City and State of New York, ———— dollars ($———) in gold coin of the United States, being six months' interest then due on its bond dated November 1, 1927, number ——.

....., Treasurer.

Form of Registration.

San Francisco, ———, 19—.

This bond is registered pursuant to Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, in the name of ————, and the interest and principal thereof are hereafter payable to such owner.

....., Treasurer.

Section 10. The amount of tax levy to be made for the payment of said four million six hundred thousand dollars bonds shall be the sum of two hundred and seven thousand dollars for the first eight years from the date of said bonds to pay the annual interest on said bonds, and in season to pay such interest as it becomes due, and for the ninth year after the date of said bonds the sum of one hundred ninety-eight thousand seven hundred and twenty dollars to pay and in season to pay the interest on such of said bonds as remain outstanding after the one hundred eighty-four thousand dollars thereof due eight years from their date have been paid, and for the tenth year after the date of said bonds the sum of one hundred ninety thousand four hundred forty dollars to pay and in season to pay the interest on such of said bonds as remain outstanding after the one hundred eighty-four thousand dollars thereof due nine years from their date have been paid, and so on, a sum each year for twenty-two succeeding years until said bonds are all paid, sufficient for interest and in season to pay interest on all of said bonds outstanding, which sum for interest will diminish each year by the amount of eight thousand two hundred eighty dollars by reason of the payment each year beginning eight years from the date of said bonds of one hundred eighty-four thousand dollars of said bonds, and the sum of one hundred eighty-four thousand dollars each year beginning seven years from the date of said bonds to pay and in season to pay the principal of such bonds as they respectively become due, and continuing each succeeding year for twenty-four years until the principal of all of said bonds has been paid.

The purpose and intent of the foregoing tax provisions are, and it is hereby expressly provided, that at the time of levying the municipal tax, and in the manner provided for such tax levy, the Supervisors shall levy and collect annually a tax sufficient to pay the annual interest on such bonds, and also such part of the bonded indebtedness as will fall due within the succeeding fiscal year. Such taxes shall be in addition to all other taxes levied for municipal purposes and shall be collected at the same time and in the same manner as other municipal taxes are collected.

Section 11. This ordinance shall be published for at least ten days in the official newspaper and at the expiration of said ten days' notice of such special election shall be given and published as required by law.

Section 12. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Shannon, Todd—3.

Reciting That Plans, Estimates of Cost for Construction of Power Line From Newark Have Been Filed.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 27859 (New Series), as follows:

Reciting that plans and estimates of cost of the acquisition, construction and completion of the public utility, to-wit:

The extension of the Moccasin Creek power line of the Hetch Hetchy water and power project of the City and County of San Francisco from its present terminal near Newark, Alameda County, California, over, under, across or around the Bay of San Francisco, thence by the most feasible route into the City and County of San Francisco for the purpose of transmitting electric energy generated at Moccasin Creek power plant into the City and County of San Francisco. To construct such transmission line, equipment thereof, appurtenances thereto, to acquire all necessary rights of way, permits, easements,

purchase of necessary lands, buildings and terminal facilities, including a stepdown terminal substation within or without the confines of the City and County with necessary equipment therefor, have been filed with the Board of Supervisors by the Board of Public Works, and declaring that the estimated cost thereof cannot be paid from the annual revenue or other funds derived from taxes levied for that purpose, but that all of said estimated cost will required the incurring of a bonded debt, and no offers of sale or existing utilities have been made.

Resolved by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby recited that the Board of Public Works, on the 10th day of September, 1927, placed on file with the Board of Supervisors plans and estimates of the cost of the original construction and completion by the City and County of San Francisco of a public utility, to-wit:

The extension of the Moccasin Creek power line of the Hetch Hetchy water and power project of the City and County of San Francisco from its present terminal near Newark, Alameda County, California, over, under, across or around the Bay of San Francisco, thence by the most feasible route into the City and County of San Francisco for the purpose of transmitting electric energy generated at Moccasin Creek power plant into the City and County of San Francisco. To construct such transmission line, equipment thereof, appurtenances thereto, to acquire all necessary rights of way, permits, easements, purchase of necessary lands, buildings and terminal facilities, including a stepdown terminal substation within or without the confines of the City and County with necessary equipment therefor. That the total estimated cost of the acquisition, construction and extension of the transmission line is the sum of two million ($2,000,000) dollars; that said plans and estimates contain sufficient information to enable the Board of Supervisors to take further proceedings to secure said described public utility and to make the declarations herein contained.

Section 2. It is hereby determined and declared that of the said sum of two million ($2,000,000) dollars no part can be paid out of the annual revenue of the City and County in addition to the other necessary expenses thereof or other funds derived from taxes levied for that purpose, and will require the incurring of a bonded debt to the amount of two million ($2,000,000) dollars for the purposes herein recited.

Section 3. It is hereby determined and declared that due notice soliciting offers for the sale to the said City and County of San Francisco of any existing transmission line was given in accordance with Resolution No. 27822 (New Series), and that no offer of sale of any such transmission line was made to the said City and County.

Section 4. This resolution shall take effect immediately.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—14.

Noes—Supervisors Colman, Harrelson—2.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Shannon—2.

Passed for Printing.

The following matter was *passed for printing* by the following vote:

Calling and Providing for a Special Election for a Bond Issue for Construction of Municipal Power Line From Newark.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy:

Bill No. 8237, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Calling and providing for a special election to be held in the City and County of San Francisco on Tuesday, the eighth day of November, 1927, for the purpose of submitting to the voters of the City and County of San Francisco a proposition to incur a bonded debt of the City and County of San Francisco to the amount of two million ($2,000,000) dollars for the acquisition, construction and completion of a public utility, to-wit:

The extension of the Moccasin Creek power line of the Hetch Hetchy water and power project of the City and County of San Francisco from its present terminal near Newark, Alameda County, California, over, under across or around the Bay of San Francisco, thence by the most feasible route into the City and County of San Francisco for the purpose of transmitting electric energy generated at Moccasin Creek power plant into the City and County of San Francisco. To construct such transmission line, equipment thereof, appurtenances thereto, to acquire all necessary rights of way, permits, easements, purchase of necessary lands, buildings and terminal facilities, including a step-

down terminal substation within or without the confines of the City and County with necessary equipment therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. A special election is hereby called and ordered to be held in the City and County of San Francisco on Tuesday, the eighth day of November, 1927, for the purpose of submitting to the electors of said City and County the following proposition, to-wit: To incur a bonded debt of the City and County of San Francisco to the amount of two million ($2,000,000) dollars for the purpose of the acquisition, construction and completion of a public utility, to-wit:

The extension of the Moccasin Creek power line of the Hetch Hetchy water and power project of the City and County of San Francisco from its present terminal near Newark, Alameda County, California, over, under, across or around the Bay of San Francisco, thence by the most feasible route into the City and County of San Francisco for the purpose of transmitting electric energy generated at Moccasin Creek power plant into the City and County of San Francisco. To construct such transmission line, equipment thereof, appurtenances thereto, to acquire all necessary rights of way, permits, easements, purchase of necessary lands, buildings and terminal facilities, including a step-down terminal substation within or without the confines of the City and County with the necessary equipment therefor.

Section 2. The estimated cost of the acquisition, construction and completion of the public utility described herein was by plans and estimates of the Board of Public Works, procured through the City Engineer and filed with the Board of Supervisors on the 10th day of September, 1927, and was and is fixed by Resolution No. 27859 (New Series), in the sum of two million ($2,000,000) dollars.

Section 3. By Resolution No. 27859 (New Series) it was declared that no part of the said sum of two million ($2,000,000) dollars could be paid out of the annual revenue of the City and County in addition to the other necessary expenses thereof, or other funds derived from taxes levied for that purpose, and will require the incurring of a bonded debt to the amount of two million ($2,000,000) dollars for the purposes herein recited. The method and manner of payment of the estimated cost of said described public utility are by the issuance of bonds of the City and County of San Francisco to the amount required therefor and the application of the proceeds arising from the sale thereof to defray the cost of the acquisition, construction and completion of said public utility herein described.

Section 4. The special election hereby called and ordered to be held shall be held and conducted, and the votes thereat received and canvassed and the returns thereof made and the result thereof ascertained, determined and declared as herein provided and according to the laws of the State of California providing for and governing elections in the City and County of San Francisco, and the polls for such election shall be and remain open during the time required by said laws.

Section 5. The ballots to be used at said special election shall be such as may be required by law to be used thereat, and in addition to any other matter required by law to be printed thereon shall appear thereon the following:

"Transmission Line Bonds"—To vote for the proposition and thereby authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness to the amount of and for the purpose stated in said proposition stamp a cross (X) in the blank space to the right of the word "Yes." To vote against the proposition and thereby refuse to authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness to the amount of and for the purpose stated in such proposition stamp a cross (X) in the blank space to the right of the word "No." Also said ballot shall have printed thereon the following: To incur a bonded debt of the City and County of San Francisco to the amount of two million ($2,000,000) dollars for the acquisition, construction and completion of a public utility, to-wit:

YES	
NO	

The extension of the Moccasin Creek Power Line of the Hetch Hetchy Water and Power Project of the City and County of San Francisco from its present terminal near Newark, Alameda County, California, over, under,

across or around the Bay of San Francisco, thence by the most feasible route into the City and County of San Francisco for the purpose of transmitting electric energy generated at Moccasin Creek Power Plant into the City and County of San Francisco. To construct such transmission line, equipment thereof, appurtenances thereto, to acquire all necessary rights of way, permits, easements, purchase of necessary lands, buildings and terminal facilities, including a stepdown terminal substation within or without the confines of the City and County with necessary equipment therefor.

Bonds issued for such purpose shall bear interest at the rate of four and one-half per cent (4½%) per annum, payable semi-annually.

Section 6. Where voting machines are used at said special election the said voting machines shall be so arranged that any qualified elector may vote for the proposition by pulling down a lever over the word "Yes" under or near a statement of the proposed proposition appearing on cardboard, paper or other material placed on the front of the machine and said act shall constitute a vote for the proposition, and by pulling down a lever over the word "No" under or near a statement of the proposed proposition appearing on cardboard, paper or other material placed on the front of the machine shall constitute a vote against the proposition. Said voting machines and the preparation of the same are to be used in accordance with the provisions of Chapter 96 of the Statutes of 1923.

Each cross (X) stamped in the square to the right of the word "Yes" appearing on the printed ballot, where printed ballots are used, shall constitute a vote in favor of, and to authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness for the purpose set forth in the proposition, and each cross (X) stamped in the square to the right of the word "No" shall be counted as a vote not in favor of and a refusal to authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness for the purpose set forth in the proposition.

Section 7. The election precincts and the numbers, names and boundaries thereof for said special election, and the places of voting, and the officers to conduct such election, and all other necessary proceedings in that behalf, shall be respectively defined, designated, selected, appointed and had by the Board of Election Commissioners of the City and County of San Francisco, and said Board is hereby authorized and directed to procure and provide all supplies that may be necessary to properly and lawfully conduct said special election.

When the polls are closed the officers of election shall count the ballots cast at such election and canvass the votes cast respectively for and against the proposition herein stated and make return thereof in time, form and manner required for the counting, canvassing and returning of votes cast at other municipal elections held in the City and County of San Francisco. The Board of Election Commissioners shall, as soon as the said returns and ballots have been received by said Board, canvass said returns and declare the result thereof in the manner provided by law for canvassing returns and declaring results in other elections, and shall also certify said results to the Board of Supervisors.

Section 8. If, at such special election, it shall appear that two-thirds of all the votes cast thereat were in favor of and authorized the incurring of a bonded debt for the purpose set forth in said proposition, then such proposition shall be deemed to have been accepted by the electors and bonds will be issued to defray the cost of the public utility described therein. Such bonds shall be of the form and character known as "serials." All of said bonds shall be dated November 1, 1927, shall bear interest at the rate of 4½ per centum per annum, payable semi-annually, shall be of the denomination of one thousand dollars each, and the principal and interest thereof shall be payable in gold coin of the United States.

Said bonds shall be called "Transmission Line Bonds."

Bonds issued for the purpose stated shall be numbered from 1 to 2000, both inclusive, and shall be payable eighty thousand ($80,000) dollars thereof five years from the date of said bonds, beginning with the lowest numbers, and eighty thousand ($80,000) dollars thereof of the next higher numbers on the same day in each succeeding year until all of said bonds shall be paid.

Section 9. Said bonds and coupons shall be in substantially the following form:

The United States of America,
State of California,
City and County of San Francisco.
"Transmission Line Bond."

No. ——— $1,000.00

For value received, the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, organized and existing under the laws of the State of California, hereby acknowledges itself indebted and promises to pay to the bearer, on the first day of ————, 19——, one thousand dollars, with interest thereon at the rate of 4½ per centum per annum, payable semi-annually May 1 and November 1, on presentation and surrender of the coupons hereto attached as they respectively become due, both principal and interest being payable in gold coin of the United States at the office of the Treasurer of said City and County, or, at the option of the holder, at the fiiscal agency of said City and County of San Francisco in the City and State of New York.

This bond is issued under and pursuant to the Constitution and statutes of the State of California and the Charter of said City and County of San Francisco and amendments thereto, and under and pursuant to ordinances and proceedings of said City and County duly adopted and taken, and a vote and assent of more than two-thirds of all the qualified electors of said City and County voting at a special election duly and legally called and held for that purpose.

It is hereby certified, recited and declared that all acts, conditions and things required by law to exist, happen and be performed precedent to and in the issuance of this bond have existed, happened and been performed in due time, form and manner as required by law, and that the amount of this bond, together with all other indebtedness of said City and County, does not exceed any limit prescribed by the Constitution or statutes of said State or Charter of said City and County, and that provision has been made as required by the Constitution and statutes of said State and the Charter of said City and County for the collection of an annual tax sufficient to pay the interest on this bond as it falls due, and also provision to constitute a sinking fund for the payment of the principal of this bond on or before maturity. The full faith and credit of said City and County are hereby pledged for the punctual payment of the principal and interest of this bond.

This bond may be converted into a registered bond upon presentation to the Terasurer of the City and County of San Francisco, in which event such Treasurer shall cut off and cancel the coupons of this bond, and shall sign a statement stamped, printed or written upon the back or face of the bond to the effect that this bond is registered in the name of the owner and that thereafter the interest and principal of this bond are payable to the registered owner. Thereafter and from time to time this bond may be transferred by such registered owner in person or by attorney duly authorized on presentation of this bond to the Treasurer, and the bond be again registered as before, a similar statement being stamped, printed or written thereon.

This bond is exempt from all taxation within the State of California.

In witness whereof, said City and County of San Francisco has caused this bond to be executed under its corporate seal, signed by its Mayor and Treasurer, and countersigned by its Auditor, and has caused the interest coupons hereto attached to be signed with the engraved or lithographed signature of its Treasurer, and this bond to be dated the first day of November, 1927.

.....,
Mayor.

.....,
Treasurer.

Countersigned:

.....,
Auditor.

.....,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors.
(Seal)

Form of Coupon.

No. ——— $———

On ———— 1, 19—, the City and County of San Francisco, California, will pay to bearer at the office of the Treasurer of said City and County, or at the option of the holder, at the fiscal agency of the City and County of San Francisco, in the City and State of New York, ——— dollars ($——) in gold coin of the United States, being six months' interest then due on its bond dated November 1, 1927, number ——.

.....,
Treasurer.

Form of Registration.

San Francisco, ——, 19—.

This bond is registered pursuant to Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, in the name of ——— and the interest and principal thereof are hereafter payable to such owner.

.....,
Treasurer.

Section 10. The amount of tax levy to be made for the payment of said two million dollars bonds shall be the sum of ninety thousand dollars for the first five years from the date of said bonds to pay the annual interest on said bonds, and in season to pay such interest as it becomes due, and for the sixth year after the date of said bonds the sum of eighty-six thousand four hundred dollars to pay and in season to pay the interest on such of said bonds as remain outstanding after the eighty thousand dollars thereof due five years from their date have been paid, and for the seventh year after the date of said bonds the sum of eighty-two thousand eight hundred dollars to pay and in season to pay the interest on such of said bonds as remain outstanding after the eighty thousand dollars thereof due six years from their date have been paid, and so on, a sum each year for twenty-two succeeding years until said bonds are all paid, sufficient for interest and in season to pay interest on all of said bonds outstanding, which such for interest will diminish each year by the amount of three thousand six hundred dollars by reason of the payment each year beginning five years from the date of said bonds of eighty thousand dollars of said bonds, and the sum of eighty thousand dollars each year beginning four years from the date of said bonds to pay and in season to pay the principal of such bonds as they respectively become due, and continuing each succeeding year for twenty-four years until the principal of all of said bonds has been paid.

The purpose and intent of the foregoing tax provisions are and it is hereby expressly provided that at the time of levying the municipal tax, and in the manner provided for such tax levy, the Supervisors shall levy and collect annually a tax sufficient to pay the annual interest on such bonds, and also such part of the bonded indebtedness as will fall due within the succeeding fiscal year. Such taxes shall be in addition to all other taxes levied for municipal purposes, and shall be collected at the same time and in the same manner as other municpal taxes are collected.

Section 11. This ordinance shall be published for at least ten days in the official newspaper, and at the expiration of said ten days' notice of such special election shall be given and published as required by law.

Section 12. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton—13.

Noes—Supervisors Colman, Harrelson—2.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Shannon, Todd—3.

ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND MOTIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

Intention to Close Certain Streets.

Supervisor Marks presented:

Resolution No. 27860 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the public interest requires that certain portions of Bancroft avenue, Carroll avenue, Donner avenue, Newhall street and Mendell street be closed up and abandoned as hereinafter described.

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to close up and abandon all those certain portions of:

(1) Bancroft avenue lying between the southeasterly line of Newhall street and a line drawn parallel with and distant 60 feet westerly at right angles from the westerly line of the right of way of the Southern Pacific Company, Bay Shore branch;

(2) Carroll avenue lying between the easterly line of the Haley Purchase (as per map thereof recorded in Map Book "C and D," page 99, records of the City and County of San Francisco) and a line drawn parallel with and distant 60 feet westerly at right angles from said westerly line of the Southern Pacific Railroad Company's right of way, Bay Shore branch;

(3) Donner avenue lying between said easterly line of the Haley Purchase and the northerly line of the property conveyed to the Pacific Improvement Company by deed recorded in Deed Book 1104, page 77, records of the City and County of San Francisco;

(4) Newhall street lying between the southerly line of Armstrong avenue and said northerly line of said property so conveyed to the Pacific Improvement Company;

(5) Mendell street lying between the northerly line of Donner avenue and a line drawn parallel with and distant 60 feet westerly at right angles from said westerly line of the right of way of the Southern Pacific Railroad Company, Bay Shore branch;

Said closing up and abandonment of said portions of said streets shall be done and made in the manner and in accordance with the provisions of Section 2 of Chapter III of Article VI of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, as amended, and the sections of said chapter and article following said Section 2. Be it

Further Resolved, That there are no costs or expenses to be incurred in connection with said closing and abandoning.

And the Clerk is hereby directed to transmit to the Board of Public Works a certified copy of this resolution and the Board of Public Works is hereby directed to give notice of said contemplated closing and abandonment of said portions of Bancroft avenue, Carroll avenue, Donner avenue, Newhall street and Mendell street in the manner provided by law, and to cause notice to be published in "The San Francisco Bulletin" as required by law.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Shannon—2.

Closing and Abandoning Certain Portions of Armstrong Avenue and Bancroft Avenue as Hereinafter Described.

Supervisor Marks presented:

Resolution No. 27861 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, This Board has, by Resolution No. 27630 (New Series), declared its intention to close and abandon certain portions of Armstrong avenue and Bancroft avenue in the City and County of San Francisco, hereinafter more particularly described; and

Whereas, proper notice of said resolution and of said proposed closing and abandonment of said portions of Armstrong avenue and Bancroft avenue as hereinafter described was duly given by the Board of Public Works of said City and County of San Francisco by publication and posting in the manner provided by Section 2, Chapter III, Article VI and the sections of said chapter and article following said Section 2 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco; and

Whereas, more than ten days have elapsed after the expiration of the time of publication of said notice; and

Whereas, no objection or objections to the said closing and abandonment of said portions of Armstrong avenue and Bancroft avenue was or were made or delivered to the Clerk of this Board within said period of ten days or at all; and

Whereas, the said work is for the closing up of said portions of Armstrong avenue and Bancroft avenue, and it appears to this Board that no assessment is necessary therefor; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the said portions of Armstrong avenue and Bancroft avenue be and the same are hereby closed and abandoned as public streets of the City and County of San Francisco. The said portions of said streets hereinafter referred to and closed and abandoned as public streets are more particularly described as follows, to-wit:

All those certain portions of:

(1) Armstrong avenue lying between the southerly line of Williams avenue and the northwesterly line of Newhall street.

(2) Bancroft avenue lying between the easterly line of Haley Purchase, as per map thereof recorded in Map Book "C and D," page 99, records of the City and County of San Francisco, and the northwesterly line of Newhall street. Be it

Further Resolved, That the Clerk of this Board transmit a certified copy of this resolution to the Board of Public Works and that the Board of Public Works be instructed to proceed thereafter as required by law and the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco; and the Clerk of this Board is hereby directed to advertise this resolution in The Bulletin as required by law.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—16

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Shannon—2.

Mayor to Sell Property on Tompkins Street.

Supervisor Power presented:

Resolution No. 27862 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That his Honor the Mayor be and he is hereby authorized and requested to sell at public auction, in accordance with provisions of the Charter, the certain frame buildings situate on property recently purchased by the City for school purposes, being the southerly line of Tompkins street from Banks to Prentiss streets, having a frontage of 140 feet by a uniform depth of 225 feet.

The Board of Public Works is requested to prepare specifications for the removal of the building from said premises by the successful bidder.

Adopted by the following vote:

A y e s — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Shannon—2.

Conference and Progress Report on War Memorial Project.

Supervisor Colman presented:

Resolution No. 27863 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the people of San Francisco, in June, 1927, voted $4,000,000 in bonds to assure the completion of the War Memorial group of buildings as a fitting tribute to the veterans of all wars in defense of our Nation; and

Whereas, there has apparently been a delay in getting the War Memorial project under way, and the delay has aroused the interest of the community at large; therefore, be it

Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors, in a spirit of friendship and fairness to the citizens of San Francisco and to the trustees, call together the trustees of the War Memorial and all others interested, at the earliest possible date to report progress and to confer on methods for the immediate consummation of the War Memorial plan.

Adopted by the following vote:

A y e s — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Shannon—2.

Clerk to Advertise Sale of Bernal Cut Bonds.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 27864 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Clerk be directed to advertise that on the 17th day of October, 1927, the Board of Supervisors will receive sealed proposals for the purchase of the following bonds of the City and County of San Francisco:

Seven hundred thousand dollars of Bernal Cut Bonds, issue of July 1, 1927, comprising 35 bonds of $1,000 denomination maturing each year from 1932 to 1951, inclusive.

The Finance Committee shall fix the terms and conditions of sale.

Adopted by the following vote:

A y e s — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Shannon—2.

Award of Contract, Lithographing Bonds.

Supervisor Badaracco presented:

Resolution No. 27865 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of contract be hereby made for lithographic bonds on bids submitted September 19, 1927 (Proposal No. 319), as follows, viz.:

Item No. 1—To Halpin Lithograph Company, Inc., for lithographing 1,400 Bernal Cut bonds for the sum of $480.

Item No. 2—To Knight-Counihan Co., for lithographing 4,000 Memorial Halls bonds for the sum of $789.

Resolved, That bonds for the faithful performance of said contracts be required as follows, viz.:

Halpin Lithograph Company, Inc., bond of $100.

Knight-Counihan Co., bond of $200.

Resolved, That all other bids submitted hereon be rejected.

Adopted by the following vote:

A y e s — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—16

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Shannon—2.

Commemoration of the Centennial of the Death of St. Francis of Assisi.

Supervisor Byington presented:

Resolution No. 27866 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the Seventh Centenary of the death of St. Francis of Assisi is being commemorated this year in the capitals of the world, there is a special reason why the City of San Francisco should celebrate the centenary of her patron Saint.

The relationship between his native country, Italy, Mother of Arta and Cradle of Civilization, and our beloved California is evidenced in the monuments that preserve in memory the Genius, the Spirituality and Spirit of Sacrifice of the Order of St. Francis.

His love of nature as expressed in his immortal "Canticle of the Sun," his love of the animal life, as part of God's handiwork, found a beautiful setting in our beloved California.

Seven hundred years have elapsed since his death, yet his personality has grown in the admiration of all the people of the world because his positive virtues, his love of man, his spirit of obedience and love of poverty have appealed to the Supreme passion of the souls of men.

Therefore, in recognition of the debt of gratitude which the City of San Francisco and California owe to the genius of St. Francis of Assisi; be it

Resolved, by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, that the twenty-second day of November be designated as the appropriate time for the celebration, and that the Mayor be requested to appoint a committee of citizens to provide a suitable program in cooperation with private societies or associations.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Shannon—2.

Install Street Lights.

Supervisor Stanton presented:

Resolution No. 27867 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved ,That the Pacific Gas and Electric Company be and it hereby is authorized and requested to change street lamps on Mission street from Sixteenth street to Army street (126 lights) to new type of globe of 400 watts each in lieu of the 300-watt lamps as at present.

Also, remove gas lamps on Willow avenue, west of Buchanan street, for the reason that said Willow avenue is about to be closed.

Also, remove 300-watt lamps on New Montgomery street at Jessie, Minna and Mission streets.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—11.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Hayden, Shannon—7.

Restoration of Lost Record.

Supervisor Byington presented:

Resolution No. 27868 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, word has been received that certain official records, taken from the archives of the City and County of San Francisco by Harry Meiggs, have been located in Lima, Peru; and

Whereas, this information is of sufficient importance to warrant investigation, as these records should not only be made a part of the official archives of the City and County, but are also of great historical value; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Clerk of this Board he directed to request the State Department of the Federal Government to negotiate for their return through the usual diplomatic channels.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—11.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Hayden, Shannon—7.

ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business, the Board at 5:45 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors November 28, 1927.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated, and approved as above recited.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors.
City and County of San Francisco.

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Vol. 22—New Series No. 40

Monday, October 3, 1927

Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
337 Bush Street, S. F.

JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, OCTOBER 3, 1927, 10 A. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, October 3, 1927, 10 a. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Shannon—2.

Quorum present.

His Honor Mayor Rolph appeared at 10 a. m. and retired at 10:10 a. m., stating that he had urgent business to attend to in his office.

Supervisor McSheehy was elected to preside.

APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the last meeting was laid over for approval until next meeting.

ROLL CALL FOR PETITIONS FROM MEMBERS.

Action Deferred.

The following matter was *continued for two weeks*:

Consideration of Mayor's Veto.

Consideration of Mayor's veto of Resolution No. 27722 (New Series), granting the Underwriters Utilities Corporation permission to have transferred to it public garage permit heretofore granted Albert Rossi et al. by Resolution No. 20380 (New Series) at 547-555 Washington street.

Question: Shall the resolution pass notwithstanding the objection of his Honor the Mayor?

PRESENTATION OF PROPOSALS.

Foodstuffs.

Sealed proposals were received and opened at the hour of 11 a. m. this day for furnishing foodstuffs during 4-months' term, viz.: November, December, 1927, and January, February, 1928, and *referred to the Supplies Committee.*

Compiling "Summary Sheet for Report on Registration of Minors."

Sealed proposals were received and opened at the hour of 11 a. m. this day for compiling "Summary sheet for report on regisatration of minors" for School Department, and *referred to the Supplies Committee.*

SET-BACK HEARINGS—11 A. M.

Hearing of objections to the establishment of set-back lines along portions of Ralston street.

No objection being offered the following bill was *passed for printing*:

Bill No. 8238, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Establishing set-back lines along portions of Ralston street.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby recited that on the 29th day of August, 1927, the Board of Supervisors adopted Resolution of Intention No. 157, to establish set-back lines along Ralston street, and fixed the 3d day of October, 1927, at 11 o'clock a. m., at the chambers of the Board of Supervisors, as the time and place for hearing objections thereto; that thereafter and more than ten days prior to said hearing the said resolution was published and notices of the passage of said resolution were posted along the line of said street, in time, form and manner required by Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series); that said hearing was held at the time and place aforesaid, and that all objections made at said hearing have been properly overruled.

Section 2. Pursuant to the foregoing recitals and in accordance with the provisions of said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), setback lines are hereby established as follows:

Along the westerly side of Ralston street, commencing at Sargent street and running thence south-

erly 100 feet, said set-back line to be 10 feet.

As shown on the maps filed in the office of the Board of Supervisors and to which reference is hereby made for further particulars.

Section 3. No structures shall be erected in the area between said set-back lines and the street lines except as provided in said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), and the penalties for the violation of this ordinance shall be as fixed by the ordinance aforesaid.

Hearing of objections to the establishment of set-back lines along portions of Twenty-fourth, Twenty-seventh, Forty-fourth and Forty-seventh avenues.

No objection being offered the following bill was *passed for printing*:

Bill No. 8239, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Establishing set-back lines along portions of Twenty-fourth, Twenty-seventh, Forty-fourth and Forty-seventh avenues.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby recited that on the 29th day of August, 1927, the Board of Supervisors adopted Resolution of Intention No. 158, to establish set-back lines along Twenty-fourth, Twenty-seventh, Forty-fourth and Forty-seventh avenues, and fixed the 3d day of October, 1927, at 11 o'clock a. m., at the chambers of the Board of Supervisors, as the time and place for hearing objections thereto; that thereafter and more than ten days prior to said hearing the said resolution was published and notices of the passage of said resolution were posted along the line of said streets, in time, form and manner required by Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series); that said hearing was held at the time and place aforesaid, and that all objections made at said hearing have been properly overruled.

Section 2. Pursuant to the foregoing recitals and in accordance with the provisions of said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), set-back lines are hereby established as follows:

Along the westerly side of Twenty-seventh avenue, commencing at Santiago street and running thence northerly 450 feet, said set-back line to be 9 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; along the easterly side of Twenty-seventh avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Santiago street and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 300 feet, said set-back line to be 9 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet.

Along the westerly side of Forty-fourth avenue, commencing at Santiago street and running thence northerly 450 feet, said set-back line to be 9 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; along the easterly side of Forty-fourth avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Santiago street and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 300 feet, said set-back line to be 9 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet.

Along the westerly side of Forty-seventh avenue, commencing at Ulloa street and running thence northerly 500 feet, said set-back line to be 9 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; along the easterly side of Forty-seventh avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Ulloa street and running thence northerly 350 feet, said set-back line to be 9 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet.

Along the westerly side of Twenty-fourth avenue, commencing at Wawona street, and running thence northerly 450 feet, said set-back line to be 9 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; along the easterly side of Twenty-fourth avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Wawona street, and running thence northerly to a point 87 feet southerly from Vicente street, said set-back line to be 14½ feet.

As shown on the maps filed in the office of the Board of Supervisors and to which reference is hereby made for further particulars.

Section 3. No structures shall be erected in the area between said set-back lines and the street lines except as provided in said Ordinance

No. 5636 (New Series), and the penalties for the violation of this ordinance shall be fixed by the ordinance aforesaid.

Hearing of objections to the establishment of set-back lines along portions of Seventeenth, Twenty-sixth, Twenty-seventh, Thirty-fourth and Fortieth avenues.

No objection being offered the following bill was *passed for printing*:

Bill No. 8240, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Establishing set-back lines along portions of Seventeenth, Twenty-sixth, Twenty-seventh, Thirty-fourth and Fortieth avenues.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby recited that on the 29th day of August, 1927, the Board of Supervisors adopted Resolution of Intention No. 159, to establish set-back lines along Seventeenth, Twenty-sixth, Twenty-seventh, Thirty-fourth and Fortieth avenues, and fixed the 3rd day of October, 1927, at 11 o'clock a. m., at the chambers of the Board of Supervisors, as the time and place for hearing objections thereto; that thereafter and more than ten days prior to said hearing the said resolution was published and notices of the passage of said resolution were posted along the line of said streets, in time, form and manner required by Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series); that said hearing was held at the time and place aforesaid, and that all objections made at said hearing have been properly overruled.

Section 2. Pursuant to the foregoing recitals and in accordance with the provisions of said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), setback lines are hereby established as follows:

Along the westerly side of Seventeenth avenue, commencing at Lawton street, and running thence northerly to a point 79 feet southerly from Kirkham street, said setback line to be 9 feet; along the easterly side of Seventeenth avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Lawton street, and running thence northerly 30 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; thence northerly 30 feet, said setback line to be 6 feet; thence northerly to Kirkham street, said setback line to be 9 feet.

Along both sides of Thirty-fourth avenue between Kirkham street and Lawton street, said set-back lines to be 9 feet.

Along both sides of Fortieth avenue, commencing at points 100 feet northerly from Lawton street, and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said setback lines to be 6 feet; thence northerly 300 feet, said set-back lines to be 9 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said setback lines to be 3 feet.

Along both sides of Twenty-seventh avenue, commencing at Moraga street, and running thence northerly 450 feet, said set-back lines to be 9 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 3 feet.

Along the westerly side of Twenty-sixth avenue, commencing at Santiago street, and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 2 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 4 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 8 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 4 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 2 feet; along the easterly side of Twenty-sixth avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Santiago street, and running thence northerly 37½ feet, said setback line to be 2 feet; thence northerly 37½ feet, said set-back line to be 4 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly to Rivera street, said set-back line to be 8 feet.

As shown on the maps filed in the office of the Board of Supervisors and to which reference is hereby made for further particulars.

Section 3. No structures shall be erected in the area between said set-back lines and the street lines except as provided in said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), and the penalties for the violation of this ordinance shall be as fixed by the ordinance aforesaid.

UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

Final Passage.

The following matters, heretofore passed for printing, were taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Authorizations.

Resolution No. 27869 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

Park Fund.

(1) J. P. Holland, Inc., rock for parks (claim dated Sept. 23, 1927), $2,092.50.

(2) J. P. Holland, Inc., rock for parks (claim dated Sept. 23, 1927), $1,882.50.

(3) Langendorf Baking Co., bread for parks (claim dated Sept. 23, 1927), $582.42.

(4) Montague Range and Furnace Co., fry plates, warmers, counter lining, etc., for parks (claim dated Sept. 23, 1927), $739.50.

(5) Spring Valley Water Co., water service for parks and squares (claim dated Sept. 23, 1927), $4,256.03.

(6) National Ice Cream Co., ice cream for parks (claim dated Sept. 23, 1927), $1,444.28.

(7) San Francisco Dairy Co., milk for parks (claim dated Sept. 23, 1927), $761.79.

Hetch Hetchy Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1925.

(8) California Corrugated Culvet Co., culverts, etc., Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Sept. 19, 1927), $2,014.57.

(9) Christenson Lumber Co, lumber, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Sept. 19, 1927), $931.37.

(10) Edison Storage Battery Supply Co, battery cells, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Sept. 19, 1927), $4,804.50.

(11) Ingersoll-Rand Co. of California, grinder, pistons, etc., Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Sept. 19, 1927), $572.61.

(12) J. H. McCallum, lumber, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Sept. 19, 1927), $556.37.

(13) The Charles Nelson Co., lumber, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Sept. 19, 1927), $1,835.17.

(14) M. M. O'Shaughnessy, reimbursesent of revolving fund expeditures, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Sept. 19, 1927), $1,708.95.

(15) John A. Roeblings Sons Co. of California, copper wire, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Sept. 19, 1927), $1,417.88.

(16) Santa Fe Lumber Co, lumber, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Sept. 19, 1927), $1,495.98.

(17) Santa Cruz Portland Cement Co., cement, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Sept 19, 1927), $3,643.50.

(18) Safety Cable Co., cable for Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Sept. 19, 1927), $2,069.60.

(19) Simonds Machinery Co., pipe casing, rod, etc., Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Sept. 19, 1927), $612.41.

(20) Allis-Chalmers Mfg. Co., first payment, furnishing and delivering electric transformers, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Sept. 19, 1927), $54,093.75.

(21) Champion Switch Co., first payment, furnishing and delivering switches for Seco Substation, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Sept. 19, 1927), $4,236.

(22) H. B. Squires Co., first payment, furnishing and delivering switch gears and lightning arresters for Seco Substation, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Sept. 19, 1927), $30,450.

(23) N. M. Freitas, payment for land and easements through and over land in San Joaquin County required for Coast Division, Hetch Hetchy construction, per Resolution No. 27681 (New Series) (claim dated Sept. 20, 1927), $1,026.

(24) H. R. Landfare Co., cedar poles, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Sept. 20, 1927), $5,537.30.

(25) Payne's Bolt Works, rods and machine bolts, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Sept. 20, 1927), $568.54.

(26) John A. Roeblings Sons Co. of California, copper wire, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Sept. 20, 1927), $866.45.

Special School Tax.

(27) H. Ankers, first payment, painting and alterations, old building of High School of Commerce (claim dated Sept. 20, 1927), $670.31.

School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923.

(28) Alfred S. Gough, furnishing and installing additional cupboards, LeConte School (claim dated Sept. 17, 1927), $990.

(29) Alfred S. Gough, furnishing and installing additional cupboards, Douglas Everett (Sanchez) School (claim dated Sept. 17, 1927), $990.

(30) Alfred S. Gough, furnishing and installing additional cupboards, Alvarado School (claim dated Sept. 17, 1927), $825.

(31) Reliable Plumbing Co., fourth payment, mechanical equipment for the Hearst-Moulder School (claim dated Sept. 21, 1927), $1,425.88.

(32) Joseph & Stone, sixth payment, architectural service for the Portola Junior High School (claim dated Sept. 21, 1927), $982.78.

Hetch Hetchy Power Operative Fund.

(33) Depreciation Fund, Hetch Hetchy Power Operative, for depreciation reserve, as specified in

Section 16, Article XII of the Charter, for September (claim dated Sept. 17, 1927), $14,584.

(34) Hipolito Company, roller screens for Moccasin cottages (claim dated Sept. 17, 1927), $876.60.

(35) The Charles Nelson Co., lumber for Moccasin Power House (claim dated Sept. 17, 1927), $1,-184.83.

Municipal Railway Depreciation Fund.

(36) United States Steel Products Co., full payment, furnishing and delivering track special work for Municipal Railways (claim dated Sept. 19, 1927), $42,897.10.

Municipal Railway Fund.

(37) Market Street Railway Co., electric power furnished Municipal Railways (claim dated Sept. 14, 1927), $3,385.50.

(38) Market Street Railway Co., reimbursement for August, under agreement of December 12, 1918 (claim dated Sept. 14, 1927), $1,-609.61.

(39) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., electricity furnished Municipal Railways during August (claim dated Sept. 14, 1927), $38,178.12.

(40) Crescent Oil Co., gasoline furnished Municipal Railways (claim dated Sept. 20, 1927), $1,-711.97.

General Fund, 1926-1927.

(41) San Francisco Bulletin, official advertising (claim dated Sept. 21, 1927), $688.28.

(42) San Francisco Bulletin, official advertising (claim dated Sept. 26, 1927), $2,917.08.

(43) Howard Automobile Co., six Buick autos for Police Department (claim dated Sept. 19, 1927), $7,-580.

(44) Dudley B. Perkins, eight motorcycles for Police Department (claim dated Sept. 19, 1927), $2,-873.

(45) Board of Park Commissioners, to reimburse Park Fund for material and labor furnished and performed in construction of tennis courts at Balboa Park (claim dated Sept. 23, 1927), $1,009.65.

(46) Board of Park Commissioners, to reimburse Park Fund for water and labor in the beautification of the Civic Center (claim dated Sept. 23, 1927), $1,215.63.

(47) Board of Park Commissioners, to reimburse Park Fund for labor performed in construction of the Park-Presidio bridle path (claim dated Sept. 23, 1927), $633.

(48) Schwabacher-Frey Stationery Co., for printing furnished the Department of Elections (claim dated Sept. 22, 1927), $2,625.

(49) Reid Bros., hospital furniture for the Tubercular Sanitarium (claim dated Sept. 16, 1927), $1,-117.75.

(50) D. N. & E. Walter Co., linoleum, etc., for the Tubercular Sanitarium (claim dated Sept. 16, 1927), $3,727.20.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Shannon—2.

Appropriations for Reconstructing Railway Tracks, West Portal Avenue; Sinks, San Francisco Hospital, and for Traffic Signals.

Resolution No. 27870 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned funds for the following purposes, to-wit:

General Fund, 1927-1928.

(1) For cost of reconstructing railway tracks on West Portal avenue between Ulloa street and Fifteenth avenue, preparatory to paving, and the removal of curbs and safety stations adjacent to the tracks, $16,000.

Repairs to Public Buildings, Budget Item No. 59.

(2) For cost of furnishing and installing seventeen sinks in the diet laboratories of the San Francisco Hospital, $1,550.

Traffic Signsl, Etc., Budget Item No. 69.

(3) For the purchase of materials, the construction and installation of additional traffic signals by the Department of Electricity, $5,000.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Shannon—2.

Oil and Boiler Permits.

Resolution No. 27871 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits be and the same are hereby granted:

Oil Tanks.

Mrs. Mary F. Barron, 2232 Green street, 1500 gallons capacity.

John Dowds, 1534 Fillmore street, 600 gallons capacity.

C. L. Feusier, southeast corner of Green and Leavenworth streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

V. Gulmes, south side of Grove street, 130 feet west of Broderick street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Hearst-Moulder School, south side of Oak street, 40 feet east of Webster street, 1500 gallons capacity.

I. Johnson, south side of Carl street, 57 feet west of Willard street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Louis Johnson, east side of Villemar avenue, 135 feet north of Sea Cliff avenue, 750 gallons capacity.

S. S. Kaufman, 3222 Jackson street, 1500 gallons capacity.

E. V. Lacey, south side of Pine street, 100 feet east of Stockton street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Alex Levison, northeast corner of Jackson street and Adele place, 1500 gallons capacity.

Mary McDomati, east side of Capp street, 50 feet north of Twenty-first street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Mrs. E. M. Pollard, north side of Lombard street, 68 feet 9 inches east of Polk street, 1500 gallons capacity.

A. J. Pon, northeast corner of Montalvo and Magellan avenues, 1500 gallons capacity.

H. J. Rock, west side of Twentieth avenue, 100 feet north of Taraval street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Alfred Solari, 1185 Pine street, 1500 gallons capacity.

H. R. Sorensen, northwest corner of Duboce avenue and Divisadero street, 1500 gallons capacity.

David H. Steiner, 2998 Pacific avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

T. B. Strand, south side of Union street, 160 feet east of Octavia street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Dr. C. Weil, 1144 Larkin street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Angela M. White, 1641 Washington street, 1000 gallons capacity.

Boilers.

California Fig Products Co., 122 Front street, 15-horsepower.

G. Capdeville, 1401 Woolsey street, 25-horsepower.

Hickok & Hickok, 540 Brannan street, 80-horsepower.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits shall become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Shannon—2.

Transfer of Garage Permit.

Resolution No. 27872 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That A. G. Curtis is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred public garage permit heretofore granted E. V. Lacey and B. E. Vukicevich by Resolution No. 26455 (New Series) for premises on the north side of Latham place, 77 feet 6 inches west of Mason street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Shannon—2.

Plans, Etc., Fillmore Street Sewer.

Bill No. 8233, Ordinance No. 7739 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the preparation of plans and specifications for and the reconstruction of the first unit of the Fillmore street sewer, commencing at Division and Tenth streets and running northerly along Tenth street, in accordance with the plans and specifications prepared therefor; authorizing and directing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for said sewer reconstruction, and permitting progress payments to be made during the course of the work.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized, instructed and empowered to prepare plans and specifications for the reconstruction of the first unit of the Fillmore street sewer, commencing at Division and Tenth streets and running northerly along Tenth street, and to enter into contract for the reconstruction of said Fillmore street sewer, first unit, in accordance with the plans and specifications prepared therefor.

Section 2. The said Board of Public Works is hereby authorized and permitted to incorporate in the contract for the said sewer reconstruction conditions that progessive payments shall be made in the manner set forth in said specifications and as provided by Section 21, Chapter I, Article VI of the Charter.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent,

Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Shannon—2.

Amending Set-Back Line Ordinance.

Bill No. 8235, Ordinance No. 7740 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Section 2 of Ordinance No. 7074 (New Series), approved May 6, 1926, entitled "Establishing set-back lines along portions of Thirty-first avenue, Santiago street, Ulloa street, Fourteenth avenue, Sixteenth avenue and Marina boulevard."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. That portion of Section 2 of Ordinance No. 7074 (New Series), the title of which is recited above, pertaining to the establishment of set-back lines along portions of Fourteenth avenue, is hereby amended so as to read as follows:

Along the westerly side of Fourteenth avenue, commencing at Santiago street and running thence northerly to a point 100 feet southerly from Rivera street, said set-back line to be 2½ feet; along the easterly side of Fourteenth avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Santiago street and running thence northerly to a point 100 feet southerly from Rivera street, said set-back line to be 12 feet.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Shannon—2.

Amending Zoning Ordinance, Eighteenth Street and Potrero Avenue.

Bill No. 8234, Ordinance No. 7741 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings, and the locations of buildings designed for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Section 9 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place the southwest corner of Eighteenth stret and Potrero avenue, for a distance of 100 feet on Eighteenth street and a distance of 100 feet on Potrero avenue, in the Light Industrial District instead of the Second Residential District.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Shannon—2.

Establishing Set-back Lines, Certain Streets.

Bill No. 8229, Ordinance No. 7742 (New Series), as follows:

Establishing set-back lines along portions of Twenty-first and Twenty-sixth avenues.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby recited that on the 22d day of August, 1927, the Board of Supervisors adopted Resolution of Intention No. 153, to establish set-back lines along Twenty-first and Twenty-sixth avenues, and fixed the 26th day of September, 1927, at 11 o'clock a. m., at the chambers of the Board of Supervisors, as the time and place for hearing objections thereto; that thereafter and more than ten days prior to said hearing the said resolution was published and notices of the passage of said resolution were posted along the line of said streets, in time, form and manner required by Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series); that said hearing was held at the time and place aforesaid, and that all objections made at said hearing have been properly overruled.

Section 2. Pursuant to the foregoing recitals and in accordance with the provisions of said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), set-back lines are hereby established as follows:

Along the westerly side of Twenty-sixth avenue, commencing at Moraga street and running thence northerly 450 feet, said set-back line to be 9 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; along the easterly side of Twenty-sixth avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Moraga street and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 300 feet, said set-back line to

be 9 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet.

Along the westerly side of Twenty-first avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Noriega street and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 275 feet, said set-back line to be 9 feet; thence northerly 37½ feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 37½ feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; along the easterly side of Twenty-first avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Noriega street and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 5 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 10 feet; thence northerly 275 feet, said set-back line to be 15 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 12 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 8 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 4 feet.

As shown on the maps filed in the office of the Board of Supervisors and to which reference is hereby made for further particulars.

Section 3. No structures shall be erected in the area between said set-back lines and the street lines except as provided in said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), and the penalties for the violation of this ordinance shall be as fixed by the ordinance aforesaid.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Shannon—2.

Bill No. 8230, Ordinance No. 7743 (New Series), as follows:

Establishing set-back lines along portions of Twenty-ninth and Thirtieth avenues.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby recited that on the 22d day of August, 1927, the Board of Supervisors adopted Resolution of Intention No. 154, to establish set-back lines along Twenty-ninth and Thirtieth avenues, and fixed the 26th day of September, 1927, at 11 o'clock a. m., at the chambers of the Board of Supervisors, as the time and place for hearing objections thereto; that thereafter and more than ten days prior to said hearing the said resolution was published and notices of the passage of said resolution were posted along the line of said streets, in time, form and manner required by Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series); that said hearing was held at the time and place aforesaid, and that all objections made at said hearing have been properly overruled.

Section 2. Pursuant to the foregoing recitals and in accordance with the provisions of said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), set-back lines are hereby established as follows:

Along the westerly side of Twenty-ninth avenue, commencing at a point 75 feet northerly from Santiago street and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 5 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 7 feet; thence northerly 325 feet, said set-back line to be 9 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; along the easterly side of Twenty-ninth avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Santiago street and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly to Rivera street, said set-back line to be 9 feet.

Along the westerly side of Thirtieth avenue, commencing at a point 75 feet 8 inches northerly from Santiago street and running thence northerly 49 feet 4 inches, said set-back line to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly to Rivera street, said set-back line to be 9 feet; along the easterly side of Thirtieth avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Santiago street and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 300 feet, said set-back line to be 9 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet.

As shown on the maps filed in the office of the Board of Supervisors and to which reference is hereby made for further particulars.

Section 3. No structures shall be erected in the area between said set-back lines and the street lines except as provided in said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), and the penalties for the violation of

this ordinance shall be as fixed by the ordinance aforesaid.

A y e s — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Shannon—2.

Bill No. 8232, Ordinance No. 7744 (New Series), as follows:

Establishing set-back lines along portions of Twenty-eighth and Twenty-ninth avenues.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby recited that on the 22d day of August, 1927, the Board of Supervisors adopted Resolution of Intention No. 155, to establish set-back lines along Twenty-eighth and Twenty-ninth avenues, and fixed the 26th day of September, 1927, at 11 o'clock a. m., at the chambers of the Board of Supervisors, as the time and place for hearing objections thereto; that thereafter and more than ten days prior to said hearing the said resolution was published and notices of the passage of said resolution were posted along the line of said streets, in time, form and manner required by Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series); that said hearing was held at the time and place aforesaid, and that all objections made at said hearing have been properly overruled.

Section 2. Pursuant to the foregoing recitals and in accordance with the provisions of said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series); setback lines are hereby established as follows:

Along the westerly side of Twenty-eighth avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Lawton street and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said setback line to be 9 feet; thence northerly 325 feet, said set-back line to be 12 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 9 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said setback line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; along the easterly side of Twenty-eighth avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Lawton street and running thence northerly 25 feet, said setback line to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 300 feet, said set-back line to be 9 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said setback line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet.

Along the westerly side of Twenty-ninth avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Lawton street and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 300 feet, said setback line to be 9 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; along the easterly side of Twenty-ninth avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Lawton street and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 2½ feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 5 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said setback line to be 7½ feet; thence northerly 325 feet, said set-back line to be 10 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 7½ feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 5 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 2½ feet.

As shown on the maps filed in the office of the Board of Supervisors and to which reference is hereby made for further particulars.

Section 3. No structures shall be erected in the area between said setback lines and the street lines, except as provided in said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), and the penalties for the violation of this ordinace shall be as fixed by the ordinance aforesaid.

A y e s — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Shannon—2.

Bill No. 8231, Ordinance No. 7745 (New Series), as follows:

Establishing set-back lines along portions of Fourteenth, Fifteenth and Seventeenth avenues.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby recited that on the 22d day of August, 1927, the Board of Supervisors adopted Resolution of Intention No. 156, to establish set-back lines along Fourteenth, Fifteenth and Seventeenth avenues, and fixed the 26th day of September, 1927, at 11 o'clock a. m., at the chambers of the Board of Supervisors, as the time and place

for hearing objections thereto; that thereafter and more than ten days prior to said hearing the said resolution was published and notices of the passage of said resolution were posted along the line of said streets, in time, form and manner required by Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series); that said hearing was held at the time and place aforesaid, and that all objections made at said hearing have been properly overruled.

Section 2. Pursuant to the foregoing recitals and in accordance with the provisions of said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), setback lines are hereby established as follows:

Along the easterly side of Fifteenth avenue, commencing at Vicente street and running thence northerly 100 feet, said set-back line to be 15 feet.

Along the easterly side of Seventeenth avenue, commencing at Vicente street and running thence northerly 100 feet, said set-back line to be 10 feet.

Along the westerly side of Fourteenth avenue, commencing at Vicente street and running thence northerly to a point 100 feet southerly from Ulloa street, said set-back line to be 15 feet; along the easterly side of Fourteenth avenue, commencing at a point 150 feet northerly from Vicente street and running thence northerly 37½ feet, said set-back line to be 5 feet; thence northerly 37½ feet, said setback line to be 10 feet; thence northerly to a point 100 feet southerly from Ulloa street, said set-back line to be 15 feet.

As shown on the maps filed in the office of the Board of Supervisors and to which reference is hereby made for further particulars.

Section 3. No structures shall be erected in the area between said set-back lines and the street lines except as provided in said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), and the penalties for the violation of this ordinance shall be as fixed by the ordinance aforesaid.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Shannon—2.

Establishing Set-back Lines on Seventeenth, Fourteenth, Twelfth and Funston Avenues.

Bill No. 8228, Ordinance No. 7746 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 6542 (New Series), establishing set-back lines along portions of Seventeenth avenue, Fourteenth avenue, Funston avenue and Twelfth avenue.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Section 2 of Ordinance No. 6542 (New Series), the title of which is recited as above, pertaining to the establishment of set-back lines along portions of Fourteenth avenue between Taraval and Ulloa streets is hereby amended so as to read as follows:

Along the westerly side of Fourteenth avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Ulloa street and running thence northerly to a point 100 feet southerly from Taraval street, said set-back line to be 15 feet; along the easterly side of Fourteenth avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Ulloa street and running thence northerly 125 feet, said set-back line to be 15 feet; thence northerly 90 feet, said set-back line to be 14 feet; thence northerly 185 feet, said set-back line to be 15 feet.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Shannon—2.

Calling and Providing for Special Election for Bonds for Municipal Railway Extensions.

Bill No. 8236, Ordinance No. 7747 (New Series), as follows:

Calling and providing for a special election to be held in the City and County of San Francisco on Tuesday, the 8th day of November, 1927, for the purpose of submitting to the voters of the City and County of San Francisco a proposition to incur a bonded debt of the City and County of San Francisco to the amount of four million six hundred thousand ($4,600,000) dollars for the acquisition, construction and completion of a public utility-to-wit: A number of extensions to the existing Municipal Street Railway system over and along the public streets and private rights of way, said extensions to be made from the existing Municipal Railway track at Scott and Chestnut streets into the Marina Tract, west of Scott street and north of Chestnut street; from the Municipal Railway track at Masonic avenue and Turk street into the Richmond District, connecting with the Municipal Railway tracks running to the Ocean Beach; from the Municipal Railway tracks on Masonic avenue at Turk street,

easterly on Turk street to Market street, connecting with the Municipal Railway tracks on Market street; a connecting cross-town service west of Twentieth avenue between the Judah street line of the Municipal Railway and the existing Municipal Railway line on Taraval street; from the Municipal Railway tracks at Forty-sixth avenue and Taraval street, southerly to a terminal near Wawona street and Forty-seventh avenue; from the Municipal Railway tracks at Thirtieth and Church streets, southwesterly through the Bernal Cut into the Excelsior District at or near Excelsior avenue; thence southerly through the Excelsior District to a terminal at Geneva avenue; from a connection with the Municipal Railway tracks on Market street extended to a point at or near Eureka street; thence southerly over Eureka street or other most feasible route to Twenty-third street; thence by the most feasible route to a terminus on Diamond street near Twenty-ninth street; an automobile bus service from the vicinity of Seventeenth street and Potrero avenue by the most feasible route to the vicinity of Twenty-third street and Wisconsin street, and for such other extensions and additions to the trackage and facilities of said Municipal Railway system as may be deemed necessary, and to include equipment thereof, appurtenances thereto, the necessary buildings and structures and the purchase of necessary lands.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. A special election is hereby called and ordered to be held in the City and County of San Francisco on Tuesday, the 8th day of November, 1927, for the purpose of submitting to the electors of said City and County the following proposition, to-wit: To incur a bonded debt of the City and County of San Francisco to the amount of four million six hundred thousand ($4,600,000) dollars for the purpose of the acquisition, construction and completion of a public utility, to-wit:

A number of extensions to the existing Municipal Street Railway system over and along the public streets and private rights of way, said extensions to be made from the existing Municipal Railway track at Scott and Chestnut streets into the Marina Tract, west of Scott street and north of Chestnut street; from the Municipal Railway track at Masonic avenue and Turk street into the Richmond District, connecting with the Municipal Railway tracks running to the Ocean Beach; from the Municipal Railway tracks on Masonic avenue at Turk street, easterly on Turk street to Market street connecting with the Municipal Railway tracks on Market street; a connecting cross-town service west of Twentieth avenue between the Judah street line of the Municipal Railway and the existing Municipal Railway line on Taraval street; from the Municipal Railway tracks at Forty-sixth avenue and Taraval street, southerly to a terminal near Wawona street and Forty-seventh avenue; from the Municipal Railway tracks at Thirtieth and Church streets, southwesterly through the Bernal Cut into the Excelsior District at or near Excelsior avenue; thence southerly through the Excelsior District to a terminal at Geneva avenue; from a connection with the Municipal Railway tracks on Market street extended to a point at or near Eureka street; thence southerly over Eureka street or other most feasible route to Twenty-third street; thence by the most feasible route to a terminus on Diamond street near Twenty-ninth street; an automobile bus service from the vicinity of Seventeenth street and Potrero avenue by the most feasible route to the vicinity of Twenty-third street and Wisconsin street, and for such other extensions and additions to the trackage and facilities of the said Municipal Railway system as may be deemed necessary, and to include equipment thereof, appurtenances thereto, the necessary buildings and structures and the purchase of necessary lands.

Section 2. The estimated cost of the acquisition, construction and completion of the public utility described herein was by plans and estimates of the Board of Public Works, procured through the City Engineer, and filed with the Board of Supervisors on the 8th day of September, 1927, and was and is fixed by Resolution No. 27858 (New Series), in the sum of four million six hundred thousand ($4,600,000) dollars.

Section 3. By Resolution No. 27858 (New Series), it was declared that no part of the said sum of four million six hundred thousand ($4,600,000) dollars could be paid out of the annual revenue of the City and County in addition to the other necessary expenses thereof, or other funds derived from taxes levied for that purpose, and will require the incurring of a bonded debt to the amount of four million six hundred

thousand ($4,600,000) dollars for the purposes herein recited. The method and manner of payment of the estimated cost of said described public utility are by the issuance of bonds of the City and County of San Francisco to the amount required therefor and the application of the proceeds arising from the sale thereof to defray the cost of the acquisition, construction and completion of said public utility herein described.

Section 4. The special election hereby called and ordered to be held shall be held and conducted, and the votes thereat received and canvassed and the returns therof made and the results thereof ascertained, determined and declared as herein provided and according to the laws of the State of California providing for and governing elections in the City and County of San Francisco, and the polls for such election shall be and remain open during the time required by said laws.

Section 5. The ballots to be used at said special election shall be such as may be required by law to be used thereat, and in addition to any other matter to be required by law to be printed thereon shall appear thereon the following:

"Municipal Railway Extension Bonds"—To vote for the proposition and thereby authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness to the amount of and for the purpose stated in said proposition stamp a cross (X) in the blank space to the right of the word "Yes." To vote against the proposition and thereby refuse to authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness to the amount of and for the purpose stated in such proposition stamp a cross (X) in the blank space to the right of the word "No." Also said ballot shall have printed thereon the following: To incur a bonded debt for the City and County of San Francisco to the amount of four million six hundred thousand ($4,600,000) dollars for the acquisition, construction and completion of a public utility, to-wit:

YES	
NO	

A number of extensions to the existing Municipal Street Railway System over and along the public streets and private rights of way, said extensions to be made from the existing Municipal Railway track at Scott and Chestnut streets into the Marina Tract, west of Scott street and north of Chestnut street; from the Municipal Railway track at Masonic avenue and Turk street into the Richmond District, connecting with the Municipal Railway tracks running to the Ocean Beach; from the Municipal Railway tracks on Masonic avenue at Turk street, easterly on Turk street to Market street connecting with the Municipal Railway tracks on Market street; a connecting crosstown service west of Twentieth avenue between the Judah street line of the Municipal Railway and the existing Municipal Railway line on Taraval street; from the Municipal Raiway tracks at Forty-sixth avenue and Taraval street, southerly to a terminal near Wawona street and Forty-seventh avenue; from the Municipal Railway tracks at Thirtieth and Church streets, southwesterly through the Bernal Cut, into the Excelsior District at or near Excelsior avenue; thence southerly thorugh the Excelsior District to a terminal at Geneva avenue; from a connection with the Municipal Railway tracks on Market street extended to a point at or near Eureka street; thence southerly over Eureka street or other most feasible route to Twenty-third street: thence by the most feasible route to a terminus on Diamond street near Twenty-ninth street; an automobile bus service from the vicinity of Seventeenth street and Potrero avenue by the most feasible route to the vicinity of Twenty-third street and Wisconsin street, and for such other extensions and additions to the trackage and facilities of the said Municipal Railway system as may be deemed necessary.

Bonds issued for such purpose shall bear interest at the rate of four and one-half per cent (4½%) per annum, payable semi-annually.

Section 6. Where voting machines are used at said special election the said voting machines shall be so arranged that any qualified elector may vote for the proposition by pulling down a lever over the word "Yes" under or near a statement of the proposed proposition appearing on cardboard, paper or other material placed on the front of the machine, and said act shall constitute a vote for the proposition, and by pulling down a lever over the word "No" under or near a statement of the proposed proposition appearing on cardboard, paper or other material placed on the front of the machine, shall constitute a vote against the proposition. Said voting machines

and the preparation of the same are to be used in accordance with the provisions of Chapter 96 of the Statutes of 1923.

Each cross (X) stamped in the square to the right of the word "Yes" appearing on the printed ballot, where printed ballots are used, shall constitute a vote in favor of and to authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness for the purpose set forth in the proposition, and each cross (X) stamped in the square to the right of the word "No" shall be counted as a vote not in favor of and a refusal to authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness for the purpose set forth in the proposition.

Section 7. The election precincts and the numbers, names and boundaries thereof for said special election, and the places of voting, and the officers to conduct such election, and all other necessary proceedings in that behalf, shall be respectively defined, designated, selected, appointed and had by the Board of Election Commissioners of the City and County of San Francisco, and said Board is hereby authorized and directed to procure and provide all supplies that may be necessary to properly and lawfully conduct said special election.

When the polls are closed the officers of election shall count the ballots cast at such election and canvass the votes cast respectively for and against the proposition herein stated and make return thereof in time, form and manner required for the counting, canvassing and returning of votes cast at other municipal elections held in the City and County of San Francisco. The Board of Election Commissioners shall, as soon as the said returns and ballots have been received by said Board, canvass said returns and declare the result thereof in the manner provided by law for canvassing returns and declaring results in other elections, and shall also certify said results to the Board of Supervisors.

Section 8. If, at such special election, it shall appear that two-thirds of all the votes cast thereat were in favor of and authorized the incurring of a bonded debt for the purpose set forth in said proposition, then such proposition shall be deemed to have been accepted by the electors and bonds will be issued to defray the cost of the public utility described therein. Such bonds shall be of the form and character known as "serials." All of said bonds shall be dated November 1, 1927, shall bear interest at the rate of 4½ per centum per annum, payable semi-annually, shall be of the denomination of one thousand dollars each, and the principal and interest thereof shall be payable in gold coin of the United States. Said bonds shall be called "Municipal Railway Extension Bonds."

Bonds issued for the purpose stated shall be numbered from 1 to 4600, both inclusive, and shall be payable one hundred and eighty-four thousand ($184,000) dollars thereof eight years from the date of said bonds, beginning with the lowest number, and one hundred and eighty-four thousand ($184,000) dollars thereof the next higher numbers on the same day in each succeeding year until all of said bonds shall be paid.

Section 9. Said bonds and coupons shall be in substantially the following form:

The United States of America.
State of California.
City and County of San Francisco.
"Municipal Railway Extension Bond."

No. ——. $1,000.00

For value received, the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, organized and existing under the laws of the State of California, hereby acknowledges itself indebted and promises to pay to the bearer, on the first day of ——————, 19—, one thousand dollars, with interest thereon at the rate of 4½ per centum per annum, payable semi-annually May 1 and November 1, on presentation and surrender of the coupons hereto attached as they respectively become due, both principal and interest being payable in gold coin of the United States at the office of the Treasurer of said City and County, or, at the option of the holder, at the fiscal agency of said City and County of San Francisco in the City and State of New York.

This bond is issued under and pursuant to the Constitution and statutes of the State of California and the Charter of said City and County of San Francisco and amendments thereto, and under and pursuant to ordinances and proceedings of said City and County duly adopted and taken, and a vote and assent of more than two-thirds of all the qualified electors of said City and County voting at a special election duly and legally called and held for that purpose.

It is hereby certified, recited and declared that all acts, conditions and things required by law to exist,

happen and be performed precedent to and in the issuance of this bond have existed, happened and been performed in due time, form and manner as required by law, and that the amount of this bond, together with all other indebtedness of said City and County, does not exceed any limit prescribed by the Constitution or statutes of said State or Charter of said City and County, and that provision has been made as required by the Constitution and statutes of said State and the Charter of said City and County for the collection of an annual tax sufficient to pay the interest on this bond as it falls due, and also provision to constitute a sinking fund for the payment of the principal of this bond on or before maturity. The full faith and credit of said City and County are hereby pledged for the punctual payment of the principal and interest of this bond.

This bond may be converted into a registered bond upon presentation to the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco, in which event such Treasurer shall cut off and cancel the coupons of this bond and shall sign a statement stamped, printed or written upon the back or face of the bond to the effect that this bond is registered in the name of the owner and that thereafter the interest and principal of this bond are payable to the registered owner. Thereafter and from time to time this bond may be transferred by such registered owner in person or by attorney duly authorized on presentation of this bond to the Treasurer, and the bond be again registered as before, a similar statement being stamped, printed or written thereon.

This bond is exempt from all taxation within the State of California.

In witness whereof, said City and County of San Francisco has caused this bond to be executed under its corporate seal, signed by its Mayor and Treasurer, and countersigned by its Auditor, and has caused the interest coupons hereto attached to be signed with the engraved or lithographed signature of its Treasurer, and this bond to be dated the first day of November, 1927.

....., Mayor.

....., Treasurer.

Countersigned:

....., Auditor.

....., Clerk of the Board of Supervisors.

(Seal)

Form of Coupon.

No.——— $———

On ——— 1, 19—, the City and County of San Francisco, California, will pay to bearer at the office of the Treasurer of said City and County, or at the option of the holder at the fiscal agency of the City and County of San Francisco in the City and State of New York, ——— dollars ($———) in gold coin of the United States, being six months' interest then due on its bond dated November 1, 1927, number ——.

....., Treasurer.

Form of Registration.

San Francisco, ———, 19—.

This bond is registered pursuant to Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, in the name of ———, and the interest and principal thereof are hereafter payable to such owner.

....., Treasurer.

Section 10. The amount of tax levy to be made for the payment of said four million six hundred thousand dollars bonds shall be the sum of two hundred and seven thousand dollars for the first eight years from the date of said bonds to pay the annual interest on said bonds, and in season to pay such interest as it becomes due, and for the ninth year after the date of said bonds the sum of one hundred ninety-eight thousand seven hundred and twenty dollars to pay and in season to pay the interest on such of said bonds as remain outstanding after the one hundred eighty-four thousand dollars thereof due eight years from their date have been paid, and for the tenth year after the date of said bonds the sum of one hundred ninety thousand four hundred forty dollars to pay and in season to pay the interest on such of said bonds as remain outstanding after the one hundred eighty-four thousand dollars thereof due nine years from their date have been paid, and so on, a sum each year for twenty-two succeeding years until said bonds are all paid, sufficient for interest and in season to pay interest on all of said bonds outstanding, which sum for interest will diminish each year by the amount of eight thousand two hundred eighty dollars by reason of the payment each year beginning eight years from the date of said bonds of one hundred eighty-four

thousand dollars of said bonds, and the sum of one hundred eighty-four thousand dollars each year beginning seven years from the date of said bonds to pay and in season to pay the principal of such bonds as they respectively become due, and continuing each succeeding year for twenty-four years until the principal of all of said bonds has been paid.

The purpose and intent of the foregoing tax provisions are, and it is hereby expressly provided, that at the time of levying the municipal tax, and in the manner provided for such tax levy, the Supervisors shall levy and collect annually a tax sufficient to pay the annual interest on such bonds, and also such part of the bonded indebtedness as will fall due within the succeeding fiscal year. Such taxes shall be in addition to all other taxes levied for municipal purposes and shall be collected at the same time and in the same manner as other municipal taxes are collected.

Section 11. This ordinance shall be published for at least ten days in the official newspaper and at the expiration of said ten days' notice of such special election shall be given and published as required by law.

Section 12. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Shannon—2.

Calling and Providing for a Special Election for a Bond Issue for Construction of Municipal Power Line From Newark.

Bill No. 8237, Ordinance No. 7748 (New Series), as follows:

Calling and providing for a special election to be held in the City and County of San Francisco on Tuesday, the eighth day of November, 1927, for the purpose of submitting to the voters of the City and County of San Francisco a proposition to incur a bonded debt of the City and County of San Francisco to the amount of two million ($2,000,000) dollars for the acquisition, construction and completion of a public utility, to-wit:

The extension of the Moccasin Creek power line of the Hetch Hetchy water and power projcet of the City and County of San Francisco from its present terminal near Newark, Alameda County, California, over, under across or around the Bay of San Francisco, thence by the most feasible route into the City and County of San Francisco for the purpose of transmitting electric energy generated at Moccasin Creek power plant into the City and County of San Francisco. To construct such transmission line, equipment thereof, appurtenances thereto, to acquire all necessary rights of way, permits, easements, purchase of necessary lands, buildings and terminal facilities, including a step-down terminal substation within or without the confines of the City and County with necessary equipment therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. A special election is hereby called and ordered to be held in the City and County of San Francisco on Tuesday, the eighth day of November, 1927, for the purpose of submitting to the electors of said City and County the following proposition, to-wit: To incur a bonded debt of the City and County of San Francisco to the amount of two million ($2,000,000) dollars for the purpose of the acquisition, construction and completion of a public utility, to-wit:

The extension of the Moccasin Creek power line of the Hetch Hetchy water and power project of the City and County of San Francisco from its present terminal near Newark, Alameda County, California, over, under, across or around the Bay of San Francisco, thence by the most feasible route into the City and County of San Francisco for the purpose of transmitting electric energy generated at Moccasin Creek power plant into the City and County of San Francisco. To construct such transmission line, equipment thereof, appurtenances thereto, to acquire all necessary rights of way, permits, easements, purchase of necessary lands, buildings and terminal facilities, including a step-down terminal substation within or without the confines of the City and County with the necessary equipment therefor.

Section 2. The estimated cost of the acquisition, construction and completion of the public utility described herein was by plans and estimates of the Board of Public Works, procured through the City Engineer and filed with the Board of Supervisors on the 10th day of September, 1927, and was and is fixed by Resolution No. 27859 (New Series), in the sum of two million ($2,000,000) dollars.

Section 3. By Resolution No. 27859 (New Series) it was declared that no part of the said sum of two million ($2,000,000) dollars could be paid out of the annual revenue of the City and County in addition to the other necessary expenses thereof, or other funds derived from taxes levied for that purpose, and will require the incurring of a bonded debt to the amount of two million ($2,000,000) dollars for the purposes herein recited. The method and manner of payment of the estimated cost of said described public utility are by the issuance of bonds of the City and County of San Francisco to the amount required therefor and the application of the proceeds arising from the sale thereof to defray the cost of the acquisition, construction and completion of said public utility herein described.

Section 4. The special election hereby called and ordered to be held shall be held and conducted, and the votes thereat received and canvassed and the returns thereof made and the result thereof ascertained, determined and declared as herein provided and according to the laws of the State of California providing for and governing elections in the City and County of San Francisco, and the polls for such election shall be and remain open during the time required by said laws.

Section 5. The ballots to be used at said special election shall be such as may be required by law to be used thereat, and in addition to any other matter required by law to be printed thereon shall appear thereon the following:

"Transmission Line Bonds"—To vote for the proposition and thereby authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness to the amount of and for the purpose stated in said proposition stamp a cross (X) in the blank space to the right of the word "Yes." To vote against the proposition and thereby refuse to authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness to the amount of and for the purpose stated in such proposition stamp a cross (X) in the blank space to the right of the word "No." Also said ballot shall have printed thereon the following: To incur a bonded debt of the City and County of San Francisco to the amount of two million ($2,000,000) dollars for the acquisition, construction and completion of a public utility, to-wit:

YES	
NO	

The extension of the Moccasin Creek Power Line of the Hetch Hetchy Water and Power Project of the City and County of San Francisco from its present terminal near Newark, Alameda County, California, over, under, across or around the Bay of San Francisco, thence by the most feasible route into the City and County of San Francisco for the purpose of transmitting electric energy generated at Moccasin Creek Power Plant into the City and County of San Francisco. To construct such transmission line, equipment thereof, appurtenances thereto, to acquire all necessary rights of way, permits, easements, purchase of necessary lands, buildings and terminal facilities, including a stepdown terminal substation within or without the confines of the City and County with necessary equipment therefor.

Bonds issued for such purpose shall bear interest at the rate of four and one-half per cent (4½%) per annum, payable semi-annually.

Section 6. Where voting machines are used at said special election the said voting machines shall be so arranged that any qualified elector may vote for the proposition by pulling down a lever over the word "Yes" under or near a statement of the proposed proposition appearing on cardboard, paper or other material placed on the front of the machine and said act shall constitute a vote for the proposition, and by pulling down a lever over the word "No" under or near a statement of the proposed proposition appearing on cardboard, paper or other material placed on the front of the machine shall constitute a vote against the proposition. Said voting machines and the preparation of the same are to be used in accordance with the provisions of Chapter 96 of the Statutes of 1923.

Each cross (X) stamped in the square to the right of the word "Yes" appearing on the printed ballot, where printed ballots are used, shall constitute a vote in favor of, and to authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness for the purpose set forth in the proposition, and each cross (X)

stamped in the square to the right of the word "No" shall be counted as a vote not in favor of and a refusal to authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness for the purpose set forth in the proposition.

Section 7. The election precincts and the numbers, names and boundaries thereof for said special election, and the places of voting, and the officers to conduct such election, and all other necessary proceedings in that behalf, shall be respectively defined, designated, selected, appointed and had by the Board of Election Commissioners of the City and County of San Francisco, and said Board is hereby authorized and directed to procure and provide all supplies that may be necessary to properly and lawfully conduct said special election.

When the polls are closed the officers of election shall count the ballots cast at such election and canvass the votes cast respectively for and against the proposition herein stated and make return thereof in time, form and manner required for the counting, canvassing and returning of votes cast at other municipal elections held in the City and County of San Francisco. The Board of Election Commissioners shall, as soon as the said returns and ballots have been received by said Board, canvass said returns and declare the result thereof in the manner provided by law for canvassing returns and declaring results in other elections, and shall also certify said results to the Board of Supervisors.

Section 8. If, at such special election, it shall appear that two-thirds of all the votes cast thereat were in favor of and authorized the incurring of a bonded debt for the purpose set forth in said proposition, then such proposition shall be deemed to have been accepted by the electors and bonds will be issued to defray the cost of the public utility described therein. Such bonds shall be of the form and character known as "serials." All of said bonds shall be dated November 1, 1927, shall bear interest at the rate of 4½ per centum per annum, payable semi-annually, shall be of the denomination of one thousand dollars each, and the principal and interest thereof shall be payable in gold coin of the United States.

Said bonds shall be called "Transmission Line Bonds."

Bonds issued for the purpose stated shall be numbered from 1 to 2000, both inclusive, and shall be payable eighty thousand ($80,000) dollars thereof five years from the date of said bonds, beginning with the lowest numbers, and eighty thousand ($80,000) dollars thereof of the next higher numbers on the same day in each succeeding year until all of said bonds shall be paid.

Section 9. Said bonds and coupons shall be in substantially the following form:

The United States of America,
State of California,
City and County of San Francisco.
"Transmission Line Bond."

No. ——— $1,000.00

For value received, the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, organized and existing under the laws of the State of California, hereby acknowledges itself indebted and promises to pay to the bearer, on the first day of ————, 19——, one thousand dollars, with interest thereon at the rate of 4½ per centum per annum, payable semi-annually May 1 and November 1, on presentation and surrender of the coupons hereto attached as they respectively become due, both principal and interest being payable in gold coin of the United States at the office of the Treasurer of said City and County, or, at the option of the holder, at the fiscal agency of said City and County of San Francisco in the City and State of New York.

This bond is issued under and pursuant to the Constitution and statutes of the State of California and the Charter of said City and County of San Francisco and amendments thereto, and under and pursuant to ordinances and proceedings of said City and County duly adopted and taken, and a vote and assent of more than two-thirds of all the qualified electors of said City and County voting at a special election duly and legally called and held for that purpose.

It is hereby certified, recited and declared that all acts, conditions and things required by law to exist, happen and be performed precedent to and in the issuance of this bond have existed, happened and been performed in due time, form and manner as required by law, and that the amount of this bond, together with all other indebtedness of said City and County, does not exceed any limit prescribed by the Constitution or statutes of said State or Charter of said City and County, and that provision has been made

as required by the Constitution and statutes of said State and the Charter of said City and County for the collection of an annual tax sufficient to pay the interest on this bond as it falls due, and also provision to constitute a sinking fund for the payment of the principal of this bond on or before maturity. The full faith and credit of said City and County are hereby pledged for the punctual payment of the principal and interest of this bond.

This bond may be converted into a registered bond upon presentation to the Terasurer of the City and County of San Francisco, in which event such Treasurer shall cut off and cancel the coupons of this bond, and shall sign a statement stamped, printed or written upon the back or face of the bond to the effect that this bond is registered in the name of the owner and that thereafter the interest and principal of this bond are payable to the registered owner. Thereafter and from time to time this bond may be transferred by such registered owner in person or by attorney duly authorized on presentation of this bond to the Treasurer, and the bond be again registered as before, a similar statement being stamped, printed or written thereon.

This bond is exempt from all taxation within the State of California.

In witness whereof, said City and County of San Francisco has caused this bond to be executed under its corporate seal, signed by its Mayor and Treasurer, and countersigned by its Auditor, and has caused the interest coupons hereto attached to be signed with the engraved or lithographed signature of its Treasurer, and this bond to be dated the first day of November, 1927.

.....
Mayor.

.....,
Treasurer.

Countersigned:

.....,
Auditor.

.....,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors.
(Seal)

Form of Coupon.

No. ——— $———

On ———— 1, 19—, the City and County of San Francisco, California, will pay to bearer at the office of the Treasurer of said City and County, or at the option of the holder, at the fiscal agency of the City and County of San Francisco, in the City and State of New York, ——— dollars ($——) in gold coin of the United States, being six months' interest then due on its bond dated November 1, 1927, number ——.

.....,
Treasurer.

Form of Registration.

San Francisco, ——, 19—.

This bond is registered pursuant to Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, in the name of ——— and the interest and principal thereof are hereafter payable to such owner.

.....,
Treasurer.

Section 10. The amount of tax levy to be made for the payment of said two million dollars bonds shall be the sum of ninety thousand dollars for the first five years from the date of said bonds to pay the annual interest on said bonds, and in season to pay such interest as it becomes due, and for the sixth year after the date of said bonds the sum of eighty-six thousand four hundred dollars to pay and in season to pay the interest on such of said bonds as remain outstanding after the eighty thousand dollars thereof due five years from their date have been paid, and for the seventh year after the date of said bonds the sum of eighty-two thousand eight hundred dollars to pay and in season to pay the interest on such of said bonds as remain outstanding after the eighty thousand dollars thereof due six years from their date have been paid, and so on, a sum each year for twenty-two succeeding years until said bonds are all paid, sufficient for interest and in season to pay interest on all of said bonds outstanding, which such for interest will diminish each year by the amount of three thousand six hundred dollars by reason of the payment each year beginning five years from the date of said bonds of eighty thousand dollars of said bonds, and the sum of eighty thousand dollars each year beginning four years from the date of said bonds to pay and in season to pay the principal of such bonds as they respectively become due, and continuing each succeeding year for twenty-four years until the principal of all of said bonds has been paid.

The purpose and intent of the foregoing tax provisions are and it is hereby expressly provided that at the time of levying the municipal tax, and in the manner provided for such tax levy, the Supervisors shall levy and collect annually a tax suf-

ficient to pay the annual interest on such bonds, and also such part of the bonded indebtedness as will fall due within the succeeding fiscal year. Such taxes shall be in addition to all other taxes levied for municipal purposes, and shall be collected at the same time and in the same manner as other municpal taxes are collected.

Section 11. This ordinance shall be published for at least ten days in the official newspaper, and at the expiration of said ten days' notice of such special election shall be given and published as required by law.

Section 12. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—14.

Noes—Supervisors Colman, Harrelson—2.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Shannon—2.

PRESENTATION OF BILLS AND ACCOUNTS.

Your Finance Committee, having examined miscellaneous demands not required by law to be passed to print, and amounting to $63,436.11, recommends same be allowed and ordered paid.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Shannon—2.

Urgent Necessity.

League of California Municipalities, City and County dues, $70.

Edna Nelson et al., compensation insurance, $83.32.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Shannon—2.

NEW BUSINESS.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Authorizations.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

Park Fund.

(1) J. P. Holland, rock furnished parks (claim dated Sept. 30, 1927), $2,632.50.

(2) E. Kahler & Co., repainting seats in Kezar Stadium (claim dated Sept. 30, 1927), $1,400.

Tearing-Up Streets Fund.

(3) California Pottery Co., sewer pipe, Dept. of Public Works (claim dated Sept. 22, 1927), $1,050.

Special School Tax.

(4) Fess System Company, burners and motor for Girls' High School (claim dated Sept. 24, 1927), $544.63.

(5) W. S. Ray Mfg. Co., fuel oil burner and tank, Junipero Serra School (claim dated Sept. 24, 1927), $2,843.

(6) Park Commissioners, care of school grounds (claim dated Sept. 27, 1927), $2,600.

(7) Symon Brothers, wrecking and removing school building, Bush street between Gough and Octavia (claim dated Sept. 27, 1927), $700.

Publicity and Advertising (Appropriation 55).

(8) Capital Decorating & Mfg. Co., decorating Market, Geary and Mason streets and the Auditorium, for account of National Exchange Club convention, for the publicity and advertising of San Francisco (claim dated Oct. 3, 1927), $850.

(9) Capital Decorating & Mfg. Co., decorations to Market street, autos and Municipal Airport, for account of reception to Colonel Charles Lindbergh, for the publicity and advertising of San Francisco (claim dated Oct. 3, 1927), $670.

(10) Swan the Painter, painting and lettering Municipal Airport hangar, San Mateo County, for the publicity and advertising of San Francisco (claim dated Oct. 3, 1927), $550.

Hetch Hetchy Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1925.
(For account of Hetch Hetchy water construction)

(11) Western Pipe & Steel Co., airpipe, etc. (claim dated Sept. 24, 1927), $2,136.

(12) Earle C. Anthony, Inc., one Packard touring auto (claim dated Sept. 27, 1927), $2,873.95.

(13) Golden State and Miners' Iron Works, one motor-driven hoist (claim dated Sept. 27, 1927), $3,685.

(14) State Compensation Insurance Fund, premium on insurance of Hetch Hetchy employees (claim dated Sept. 27, 1927), $3,016.86.

School Bonds, Issue 1918.

(15) L. C. Smith & Corona Typewriters, Inc., typewriters furnished

the Galileo High School (claim dated Sept. 27, 1927), $2,800.

Municipal Railway Fund.

(16) American Brake Shoe & Foundry Co., brake shoes for Municipal Railways (claim dated Sept. 26, 1927), $2,323.23.

California Palace of the Legion of Honor—Appropriation No. 61.

(17) Carl W. Hamilton, exhibition of Italian renaissance, California Palace Legion of Honor (claim dated Sept. 30, 1927), $1,181.27.

General Fund, 1927-1928.

(18) Langendorf Baking Co., bread, County Jails (claim dated Sept. 26, 1927), $853.73.

(19) Del Monte Meat Co., meats, County Jails (claim dated Sept. 26, 1927), $1,527.87.

(20) Howard Automobile Co., one Buick auto for Department of Electricity (claim dated Aug. 31, 1927), $1,000.

(21) C. W. Marwedel, aluminum for Department of Electricity (claim dated Aug. 31, 1927), $715.08.

(22) Daniel J. O'Brien, police contingent expense for October (claim dated Oct. 1, 1927), $750.

(23) The Fay Improvement Co., construction of asphalt tennis courts at Balboa Park (claim dated Sept. 30, 1927), $1,218.

(24) Standard Fence Co., construction of fence at S. F. Municipal Airport (claim dated Oct. 3, 1927), $1,011.

(25) Crown Oil Co., gasoline and oil for street cleaning (claim dated Sept. 22, 1927), $570.30.

(26) James A. Nelson, Inc., 100 cans for street cleaning (claim dated Sept. 22, 1927), $525.

(27) Eclipse Lime & Cement Co., cement for street repair (claim dated Sept. 22, 1927), $1,799.44.

(28) California Rock Co., gravel for street repair (claim dated Sept. 24, 1927), $2,039.98.

(29) Eclipse Lime & Cement Co., cement for street repair (claim dated Sept. 24, 1927), $935.60.

(30) Union Oil Co. of California, asphalt for street repair (claim dated Sept. 26, 1927), $2,502.86.

(31) Western Rock Products Co., sand for street repair (claim dated Sept. 26, 1927), $2,766.87.

(32) California Petroleum Corporation, fuel oil for Civic Center Power House (claim dated Sept. 24, 1927), $922.65.

(33) Spring Valley Water Co., water service, Fire Department hydrants (claim dated Sept. 30, 1927), $14,681.50.

(34) George H. Trask, steel lockers for S. F. Tubercular Preventorium (claim dated Sept. 29, 1927), $575.

(35) L. Dinkelspiel Co., dry goods, S. F. Tubercular Preventorium (claim dated Sept. 29, 1927), $858.80.

General Fund, 1926-1927.

(36) Otis Elevator Co., repairs to elevators, Hall of Justice (claim dated Sept. 28, 1927), $1,529.

Maintenance of Aquarium—Appropriation 57.

(37) California Academy of Sciences, for the maintenance of the Steinhart Aquarium, September (claim dated Oct. 3, 1927), $4,190.06.

Appropriations for Purchase of Bernal Cut Properties.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following be and the same are hereby set aside and appropriated out of Bernal Cut Bonds, Issue 1927, and authorized in payment to the hereinafter named persons; being payments for properties required for the opening of Bernal Cut, to-wit:

(1) Joseph L. Taaffe and Elizabeth Taaffe, for property as per description and acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 27846, New Series (claim dated Sept. 27, 1927), $850.

(2) James Sullivan and Mary J. Sullivan, for property as per description and acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 27846, New Series (claim dated Sept. 27, 1927), $4,900.

(3) James O'Connor, for property as per description and acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 27768, New Series (claim dated Sept. 27, 1927), $6,150.

(4) Max Binghammer and Marie Binghammer, for property as per description and acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 27768, New Series (claim dated Sept. 27, 1927), $1,100.

(5) George Marzolf and Catherine Marzolf, for property as per description and acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 27583, New Series (claim dated Sept. 1, 1927), $6,000.

(6) Lucius L. Solomons and Helen F. Solomons, for property as per description and acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 27583, New Series (claim dated Sept. 1, 1927), $605.

(7) A. H. Postley and Kathryn Postley, for property as per description and acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 27583, New Series (claim dated Sept. 1, 1927), $950.

(8) Charles Schmalz and Helen E. Schmalz, for property as per description and acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 27583, New Series (claim dated Sept. 1, 1927), $3,000.

(9) John F. McGowan, for prop-

erty as per description and acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 27623, New Series (claim dated Sept. 1, 1927), $2,400.

(10) John Scafturos and Lily Scafturos, for property as per description and acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 27623, New Series (claim dated Sept. 1, 1927), $7,500.

(11) James McManus and Mary McManus, for property as per description and acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 27623, New Series (claim dated Sept. 1, 1927), $5,060.

(12) Wilber B. Cook and Dora L. Cook, for property as per description and acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 27624, New Series (claim dated Sept. 1, 1927), $4,500.

(13) R. A. Waldman and Ellen R. Waldman, for property as per description and acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 27657, New Series (claim dated Sept. 1, 1927), $7,600.

(14) Charles Kaupe, for property as per description and acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 27657, New Series (claim dated Sept. 1, 1927), $1,300.

(15) F. M. Sturgeon, for property as per description and acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 27684, New Series (claim dated Sept. 1, 1927), $4,750.

(16) James G. Meehan, for property as per description and acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 27684, New Series (claim dated Sept. 1, 1927), $2,500.

(17) Ellen Treacy, for property as per description and acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 27729, New Series (claim dated Sept. 1, 1927), $4,250.

(18) Eva C. Trost, for property as per description and acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 27583, New Series (claim dated Sept. 1, 1927), $5,475.

(19) Karen Jensen, for property as per description and acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 27583, New Series (claim dated Sept. 1, 1927), $4,000.

(20) Mabel T. Day, for property as per description and acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 27583, New Series (claim dated Sept. 1, 1927). $4,250.

(21) John P. Sullivan and Annie E. Sullivan, for property as per description and acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 27583, New Series (claim dated Sept. 1, 1927), $4,600.

(22) Katie Noblitt, for property as per description and acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 27583, New Series (claim dated Sept. 1, 1927), $4,600.

(23) Johannes Wulff and Helene Wulff, for property as per description and acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 27583, New Series (claim dated Sept. 1, 1927), $4,600.

(24) Percy J. Crouch, for property as per description and acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 27583, New Series (claim dated Sept. 1, 1927), $3,750.

(25) Alice McDonald, for property as per description and acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 27583, New Series (claim dated Sept. 1, 1927), $4,200.

(26) William F. Farren, for property as per description and acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 27583, New Series (claim dated Sept. 1, 1927), $5,200.

(27) Frank W. Dierking and Jessamine B. Dierking, for property as per description and acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 27583, New Series (claim dated Sept. 1, 1927), $4,900.

(28) Charles G. Arnold and Elsa Arnold, for property as per description and acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 27583, New Series (claim dated Sept. 1, 1927), $900.

(29) James J. Tuohey and Anna Tuohey, for property as per description and acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 27583, New Series (claim dated Sept. 1, 1927), $2,750.

(30) Emily Rydman and Ellis A. Rydman, for property as per description and acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 27583, New Series (claim dated Sept. 1, 1927), $7,660.

(31) Salvatore Cubio and Mary Cubio, for property as per description and acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 27583, New Series (claim dated Sept. 1, 1927), $5,250.

(32) Carrie Hanchett Howe, for property as per description and acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 27583, New Series (claim dated Sept. 1, 1927), $2,700.

(33) Frank W. Perks and Eva E. Perks, for property as per description and acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 27583, New Series (claim dated Sept. 1, 1927), $2,600.

(34) Josephine M. Britt, for property as per description and acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 27583, New Series (claim dated Sept. 1, 1927), $3,250.

(35) Frank F. Gehrig and Eleanor Horgan, for property as per description and acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 27583, New Series (claim dated Sept. 1, 1927), $4,100.

Appropriation, $532.22, Transfer of Health Department.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 27873 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $532.22 be and the same is hereby authorized to be transferred from Budget Item No. 996, San Francisco Hospital, to the credit of Budget Item No. 844, Laguna Honda Home; and the sum of $492.08 is authorized transferred from Budget Item No. 1012, Emergency Hospitals, to the credit of Budget Item No. 843, Laguna Honda Home; being reimbursements for services and supplies furnished.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Shannon, Stanton—3.

Passed for Printing.

The following resolution was *passed for printing*:

Appropriations, Preventorium and Street Signs.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned funds for the following purposes, to-wit:

Preventorium, San Mateo County—Budget Item No. 57.

(1) For the purchase of White truck, X-ray equipment, window shades, wire cloth, medical supplies, groceries, etc., and for payroll for the maintenance of the Preventorium during the month of September, $9,990.18.

Street Signs—Budget Item No. 71.

(2) For the cost of furnishing, delivering and erecting street signs, inspection, etc., $9,000.

Rereferred.

The following resolution was *rereferred to the Finance Committee*:

Appropriation, $1,186, Rental of 333 Kearny Street.

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $1,186 be and the same is hereby authorized in payment to A. P. Jacobs out of General Fund, 1927-1928; being payment of rent for premises No. 333 Kearny street for the month of July 3 to August 3, 1927 (claim dated July 11, 1927).

Appropriation, $9,600, Coroner's Deputy Drivers.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 27874 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, pursuant to Ordinance No. 7558 (New Series), and in accordance with Section 2 thereof, the Auditor is hereby authorized and directed to make transfer of requisite amount to meet increased amount set opposite the following Budget Item:

Coroner.

Budget Item No. 342—4 assistant deputy drivers at $2,400 each, $9,600.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Shannon, Stanton—3.

Accepting Offer of E. C. Apperson to Sell Property Required for Hetch Hetchy Aqueduct.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 27875 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the City Engineer has recommended the purchase by the City and County of San Francisco from the following named owner of the following described easements over land situated in the County of Alameda, State of California, required in connection with the construction, maintenance and operation of the Coast Range Division of the Hetch Hetchy aqueduct, for the sum set forth opposite his name, viz.:

E. C. Apperson, $500.

Parcel 1: Subsurface right of way easement for the construction, maintenance and use of aqueduct tunnel or tunnels in and through Lot No. VII, as said lot is shown and designated on a map entitled "Map of Partition of the Sunol Portion of the Rancho el Valle de San Jose, Alameda Co.," recorded December 22, 1871, in Liber 75 of Deeds, page 253, Alameda County Records. Said right of way to be 250 feet in width.

Parcel 2: A right of way easement to construct, maintain and operate electric transmission and telephone lines along, upon and over the surface of the lands hereinabove referred to in the description of Parcel 1. Said right of way to be 60 feet in width.

(As per written offer on file.)

Now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of the City Engineer, the above-described offer of the above-named property owner to sell to the City and County of San Francisco the above-mentioned easements for the sum set forth opposite his name and upon the terms and conditions in said op-

tion, be and the same is hereby accepted; be it

Further Resolved, That the City Engineer is hereby authorized and directed to notify said party of the acceptance of his said offer, and the City Attorney is authorized and directed to examine the title to said easements, and, of the same is found in satisfactory condition to accept, in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, a deed conveying title thereto, containing the conditions and reservations agreed upon in said offer, and to file the same for record with a copy of this resolution attached thereto as evidence of acceptance by the City and County of San Francisco, upon payment of the above-mentioned purchase price.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Shannon, Stanton—3.

Accepting Offer of Sara Stankey et al. to Sell Land Required for Hetch Hetchy Aqueduct.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 27876 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the City Engineer has recommended the purchase by the City and County of San Francisco from the following named owners of the following described easement over land situated in the County of Alameda, State of California, required in connection with the construction, maintenance and operation of the Coast Range Division of the Hetch Hetchy aqueduct, for the sum set forth opposite their names, viz.:

Sara Stankey and Joseph Stankey, $75—Subsurface right of way easement for the construction, maintenance and use of aqueduct tunnel or tunnels in and through the south half of the south half of Section 2, Township 4 South, Range 3 East, M. D. B. and M.

(As per written offer on file.)

Now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of the City Engineer, the above-described offer of the above-named property owners to sell to the City and County of San Francisco the above-mentioned easement for the sum set forth opposite their names and upon the terms and conditions in said option, be and the same is hereby accepted; be it

Further Resolved, That the City Engineer is hereby authorized and directed to notify said parties of the acceptance of their said offer, and the City Attorney is authorized and directed to examine the title to said easement, and, if the same is found in satisfactory condition, to accept, in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, a deed conveying title thereto, containing the conditions and reservations agreed upon in said offer, and to file the same for record with a copy of this resolution attached thereto as evidence of acceptance by the City and County of San Francisco, upon payment of the above-mentioned purchase price.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Shannon, Stanton—3.

Authorizing Settlement of Claim of W. J. Phelan Against City and County of San Francisco for Medical Treatment of Industrial Injury.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 27877 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the City Attorney be and he is hereby authorized to enter into compromise agreement before the Industrial Accident Commission with W. J. Phelan, an employee of said City and County of San Francisco, providing for the payment by said City and County to said W. J. Phelan of the sum of six hundred ($600) dollars as and in full satisfaction and release of all claims for medical treatment and expense by said W. J. Phelan against the City and County, resulting from that certain personal injury suffered by said W. J. Phelan on or about the 19th day of February, 1925, while in the employ of said City and County of San Francisco, and arising under the Workmen's Compensation, Insurance and Safety Act of California of 1917, and amendments thereto.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Shannon, Stanton—3.

Authorizing Settlement of Claim of City and County of San Francisco Against Municipal Construction Company.

Also, Resolution No. 27878 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the City Attorney be and he is hereby authorized to

accept for and on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco the sum of two hundred forty-two and 30/100 dollars ($242.30) in full payment and satisfaction of all claims of said City and County of San Francisco against the Municipal Construction Company, as and for expenditures and payment made by said City and County to William H. Williams, Jr., an employee of said City and County for injuries sustained by said William H. Williams, Jr., in the course of his employment on June 16, 1926, at the City and County of San Francisco, which said payment of two hundred forty-two and 30/100 dollars ($242.30) to the said City and County by said Municipal Construction Company shall release and satisfy all claims of said City and County against said Municipal Construction Company for the expenditures and payments made by said City and County to William H. Williams, Jr., as and for aforesaid injury.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Shannon, Stanton—3.

Accepting Offer of R. H. Cosgrove to Sell Property Required for Bernal Heights Boulevard.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 27879 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the owners of the following described lands sought to be acquired by the City and County of San Francisco for the opening of Bernal Heights boulevard have offered to convey the properties desired by the City and County of San Francisco for the sums set forth opposite their names, viz.:

Ruth H. Cosgove, $1,014—

Parcel 1. All of Lots 1143, 1144, 1145 and 1146 of Gift Map No. 3, as per map thereof recorded in Map Book "2 A and B," page 15, records of the City and County of San Francisco.

Parcel 2. Beginning at the point of intersection of the westerly line of Bradford street and the southerly line of Lot 1150 of Gift Map No. 3, as per map thereof recorded in Map Book "2 A and B," page 15, records of the City and County of San Francisco, running thence northerly along said westerly line of Bradford street 25 feet to the northerly line of said Lot 1150; thence at right angles westerly along said northerly line 7.169 feet; thence southeasterly along a curve to the right, tangent to a line deflected to the left 115 deg. 15 min. 22 sec. from the preceding course, with a radius of 75 feet and a central angle of 19 deg. 54 min. 03 sec., a distance of 26.050 feet to the southerly line of said Lot 1150; thence deflecting to the left 84 deg. 38 min. 41 sec. from the tangent to said curve of preceding course and running easterly along said southerly line 0.327 feet to the westerly line of Bradford street and the point of beginning. Being a portion of aforementioned Lot 1150.

Adela H. Back et al., $1,500—

All of Lots 1093, 1094, 1095, and 1096, as per Gift Map No. 3, recorded December 31, 1861, in Map Book "2 A and B," page 15, records of the City and County of San Francisco.

Whereas, the City Attorney has recommended the acceptance of the said offers and the acquisition of the properties owned by said persons and offered to the City and County of San Francisco for the prices set forth, which said prices are in accordance with the City's appraisement of the properties; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the said offers of sale be accepted and the City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to examine the titles of said properties, and if the same are found in satisfactory condition to accept in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco deeds conveying title thereto, and file the same for record with a copy of this resolution attached thereto as evidence of acceptance by the City and County of San Francisco upon payment of the agreed purchase prices.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Shannon, Stanton—3.

Accepting Offer of Sarah Weinstein to Sell Land Required for Bay Shore Boulevard.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 27880 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the owner of the following described land, situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and sought to be acquired by the City and County of San Francisco for the opening of Bay Shore boulevard, has offered to convey the property desired by said City and County of San Francisco for the sum set forth opposite her name, viz.:

Sarah Weinstein, $600—

Parcel 1. Beginning at a point on the westerly line of Steuben street, distant northerly thereon 9.201 feet from the southerly boundary of Lot 152 of Silver Terrace Homestead Association, as recorded in Map Book "C and D," page 155, records of the City and County of San Francisco, thence northerly along said westerly line 15.799 feet to the northerly boundary of said Lot 152; thence at right angles westerly 8.721 feet; thence deflecting to the right 118 deg. 53 min. 52 sec. and running southeasterly 18.046 feet to the westerly line of Steuben street and the point of beginning. Being a portion of said Lot 152.

Parcel 2. Beginning at a point on the northeasterly line of Fitzgerald avenue, distant southeasterly thereon 25 feet from the easterly line of Charter Oak avenue, thence northwesterly along said northeasterly line 25 feet to the easterly line of Charter Oak avenue; thence northerly along said easterly line 31.042 feet, more or less, to the southeasterly line of Phelps street; thence northeasterly along said southeasterly line 30.997 feet to a point distant 25 feet at right angles easterly from the northerly prolongation of the easterly line of Charter Oak avenue; thence deflecting to the right 126 deg. 14 min. 30 sec. and running southerly parallel with said prolongation and said easterly line 62.039 feet, more or less, to the southeasterly boundary line of Lot 23, Block 525, as per map "Plan of the Haley Purchase," recorded in Map Book "C and D," page 99, records of the City and County of San Francisco; thence deflecting to the right 53 deg. 45 min. 30 sec. and running southwesterly along said southeasterly line 12.672 feet to the northeasterly line of Fitzgerald avenue and the point of beginning. Being portions of Lots 23 and 24 of said Block 525.

Whereas, the City Attorney has recommended the acceptance of the said offer and the acquisition of the property owned by said person and offered to the City and County of San Francisco for the price set forth, which said price is in accordance with the City's appaisement of the property; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the said offer of sale be accepted and the City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to examine the title of said property, and, if the same is found in satisfactory condition, to accept, in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, a deed conveying title thereto, and file the same for record with a copy of this resolution attached thereto as evidence of acceptance by the City and County of San Francisco, upon payment of the agreed purchase price.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Shannon, Stanton—3.

City Attorney to Compromise Suit.

Supervisor McSheehy presented: Resolution No. 27881 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, Beata Brunsell was injured while attempting to board a Municipal street car on Geary and Stockton streets on the 28th day of April, 1926, and has brought suit against the City and County of San Francisco in the sum of $2,500; and

Whereas, City Attorney John J. O'Toole has this day recommended a compromise and settlement of said suit; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the City Attorney is hereby authorized and empowered to compromise and settle said suit in the sum of $400, said suit being entitled "Beata Brunsell vs. City and County of San Francisco," and numbered 174200 upon the files of the Superior Court of the State of California, in and for the City and County of San Francisco.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Shannon, Stanton—3.

Passed for Printing.

The following bill was *passed for printing*:

Reconstruction, Greenwich Street.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy:

Bill No. 8241, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Authorizing and ordering the reconstruction and repair of Greenwich street from Hyde strreet easterly under the supervision of the Board of Public Works of the City and County of San Francisco, and appropriating $4,050 out of Budget Item No. 81, Fiscal Year 1927-1928, for the reconstruction and repair of said Greenwich street.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works of the City and County of San Francisco is hereby authorized and ordered to reconstruct and re-

pair, under its supervision, Greenwich street from Hyde street easterly.

Section 2. There is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended by the said Board of Public Works the sum of $4,050 out of Budget Item No. 81, Fiscal Year 1927-1928, for the reconstruction and repair of said Greenwich street from Hyde street easterly.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Transfer of Twin Peaks Tunnel Fund To Municipal Railway Fund.

The following was ordered *off the Calendar*:

Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $42,508.21 be and is hereby transferred from the Twin Peaks Ridge Tunnel Assessment Fund to the Municipal Railway Fund, and the Board of Public Works, Tax Collector, Auditor and Treasurer are hereby directed to make such entries in their official records and books of account as will correctly show such transfer; said transfer is for the purpose of reimbursing said Municipal Railway Fund for moneys advanced therefrom and used in the construction of the Twin Peaks Tunnel project.

Passed for Printing.

The following bill was *passed for printing*:

Creating Division of Street Traffic Engineering.

On motion of Supervisor Todd:

Bill No. 8243, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Creating a division of street traffic engineering and prescribing the duties thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. There is hereby established a division of street traffic engineering, which shall be under the jurisdiction of the Board of Public Works, and form a part of the Bureau of Engineering of the Department of Public Works. The division of street traffic engineering shall consist of a competent traffic engineer, who shall be known as the City Traffic Engineer, to be appointed by the said Board of Public Works pursuant to law, and the compensation for the services of such engineer is hereby fixed in the sum of three thousand ($3,000) dollars per annum, payable monthly.

Section 2. It shall be the duty of the City Traffic Engineer to conduct studies of street traffic accidents and congestion, and of other conditions affecting the safe and convenient use of the streets; to collect facts regarding the effect and operation of regulations controlling street traffic, and to plan with and otherwise assist the Police Department and Board of Supervisors in the placing, maintenance and operation of traffic signs, signals and markings, and to perform such other duties as may from time to time be required by ordinance of the Board of Supervisors.

Resolution of Intention to Establish Set-Back Lines No. 160.

Supervisor Hayden presented:

Resolution No. 27882 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the City Planning Commission has recommended that setback lines be established along the streets hereinafter mentioned and as delineated upon maps accompanying said recommendations, to which reference is hereby made for further particulars; therefore, be it

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco to establish set-back lines as provided by Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), approved May 18, 1922, as follows:

Along the westerly side of Twenty-ninth avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Fulton street and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 300 feet, said setback line to be 9 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; along the easterly side of Twenty-ninth avenue between Fulton street and Cabrillo street, said set-back line to be 9 feet.

Along both sides of Thirtieth avenue, commencing at points 100 feet northerly from Fulton street and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said setback lines to be 6 feet; thence northerly to Cabrillo street, said set-back lines to be 9 feet.

Along the easterly side of Fourteenth avenue, commencing at a point 50 feet southerly from Ulloa street and running thence southerly 50 feet, said set-back line to be 15 feet.

And notice is hereby given that Monday, the 24th day of October, 1927, at the hour of 11 o'clock a. m., at the chambers of the Board of Supervisors, City Hall, in said City and County of San Francisco, has been fixed as the time and place

when and where any and all persons having any objections to the establishing of the proposed set-back lines may appear and present any objections which they may have to said set-back lines, as set forth in this resolution of intention.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Shannon, Stanton—3.

Resolution of Intention to Establish Set-Back Lines No. 161.

Supervisor Hayden presented:

Resolution No. 27883 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the City Planning Commission has recommended that set-back lines be established along the streets hereinafter mentioned and as delineated upon maps accompanying said recommendations, to which reference is hereby made for further particulars; therefore, be it

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco to establish set-back lines as provided by Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), approved May 18, 1922, as follows:

Along the westerly side of Twenty-eighth avenue between Rivera street and Santiago street, said set-back line to be 9 feet; along the easterly side of Twenty-eighth avenue, commencing at Santiago street and running thence northerly 450 feet, said set-back line to be 9 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet.

Along both side of Thirty-second avenue, commencing at points 75 feet northerly from Santiago street and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 6 feet; thence northerly to Rivera street, said set-back lines to be 9 feet.

Along the westerly side of Thirty-third avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Santiago street and running thence northerly 37 feet 9 nches, said set-back line to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly to Rivera street, said set-back line to be 9 feet; along the easterly side of Thirty-third avenue, commencing at a point 75 feet northerly from Santiago street and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly to Rivera street, said set-back line to be 9 feet.

Along both sides of Thirty-fifth avenue, commencing at points 100 feet northerly from Santiago street and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 6 feet; thence northerly to Rivera street, said set-back lines to be 9 feet.

And notice is hereby given that Monday, the 24th day of October, 1927, at the hour of 11 o'clock a. m., at the chambers of the Board of Supervisors, City Hall, in said City and County of San Francisco, has been fixed as the time and place when and where any and all persons having any objections to the establishing of the proposed set-back lines may appear and present any objections which they may have to said set-back lines as set forth in this resolution of intention.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Shannon, Stanton—3.

Resolution of Intention to Establish Set-Back Lines No. 162.

Supervisor Hayden presented:

Resolution No. 27884 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the City Planning Commission has recommended that set-back lines be established along the streets hereinafter mentioned and as delineated upon maps accompanying said recommendations, to which reference is hereby made for further particulars; therefore, be it

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco to establish set-back lines as provided by Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), approved May 18, 1922, as follows:

Along the westerly side of Thirty-fourth avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Santiago street and running thence northerly 29 feet 3 inches, said set-back line to be 3 feet; thence northerly 29 feet 3 inches, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly to Rivera street, said set-back line to be 9 feet; along the easterly side of Thirty-fourth avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Santiago street and running thence northerly 26 feet 10½ inches, said set-back line to be 3 feet; thence northerly 26 feet 10½ inches, said set-back line to be 6

feet; thence northerly to Rivera street, said set-back line to be 9 feet.

Along the westerly side of Thirty-sixth avenue between Rivera street and Santiago street, said set-back line to be 9 feet; along the easterly side of Thirty-sixth avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Santiago street and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly to Rivera street, said set-back line to be 9 feet.

Along the westerly side of Thirty-seventh avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Santiago street and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 300 feet, said set-back line to be 9 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; along the easterly side of Thirty-seventh avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Santiago street, said set-back line to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly to Rivera street, said set-back line to be 9 feet.

And notice is hereby given that Monday, the 24th day of October, 1927, at the hour of 11 o'clock a. m., at the chambers of the Board of Supervisors, City Hall, in said City and County of San Francisco, has been fixed as the time and place when and where any and all persons having any objections to the establishing of the proposed set-back lines may appear and present any objections which they may have to said set-back lines as set forth in this resolution of intention.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Shannon, Stanton—3.

Resolution of Intention to Establish Set-Back Lines No. 163.

Supervisor Hayden presented:

Resolution No. 27885 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the City Planning Commission has recommended that set-back lines be established along the streets hereinafter mentioned and as delineated upon maps accompanying said recommendations, to which reference is hereby made for further particulars; therefore, be it

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco to establish set-back lines as provided by Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), approved May 18, 1922, as follows:

Along the westerly side of Thirty-eighth avenue, commencing at Santiago street and running thence northerly 450 feet, said set-back line to be 9 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; along the easterly side of Thirty-eighth avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Santiago street and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 300 feet, said set-back line to be 9 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet.

Along the westerly side of Thirty-ninth avenue between Rivera street and Santiago street, said set-back line to be 9 feet; along the easterly side of Thirty-ninth avenue, commencing at Santiago street and running thence northerly 450 feet, said set-back line to be 9 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet.

Along the westerly side of Fortieth avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Santiago street and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly to Rivera street, said set-back line to be 9 feet; along the easterly side of Fortieth avenue, commencing at Santiago street and running thence northerly 450 feet, said set-back line to be 9 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet.

And notice is hereby given that Monday, the 24th day of October, 1927, at the hour of 11 o'clock a. m., at the chambers of the Board of Supervisors, City Hall, in said City and County of San Francisco, has been fixed as the time and place when and where any and all persons having any objections to the establishing of the proposed set-back lines may appear and present any objections which they may have to said set-back lines as set forth in this resolution of intention.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco,

Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Shannon, Stanton—3.

Resolution of Intention to Establish Set-Back Lines No. 164.

Supervisor Hayden presented:

Resolution No. 27886 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the City Planning Commission has recommended that set-back lines be established along the streets hereinafter mentioned and as delineated upon maps accompanying said recommendations, to which reference is hereby made for further particulars; therefore, be it

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco to establish set-back lines as provided by Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), approved May 18, 1922, as follows:

Along the westerly side of Fotty-first avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Santiago street and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 300 feet, stid set-back line to be 9 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; along the easterly side of Forty-first avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Santiago street and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly to Rivera street, said set-back line to be 9 feet.

Along both sides of Forty-second avenne, commencing at points 100 feet northerly from Santiago street and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 6 feet; thence northerly to Rivera street, said set-back lines to be 9 feet.

Along the westerly side of Forty-third avenue between Rivera street and Santiago street, said set-back line to be 9 feet; along the easterly side of Forty-third avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Santiago street and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly to Rivera street, said set-back line to be 9 feet.

And notice is hereby given that Monday, the 24th day of October, 1927, at the hour of 11 o'clock a. m., at the chambers of the Boaord of Supervisors, City Hall, in said City and County of San Francisco, has been fixed as the time and place when and where any and all persons having any objections to the establishing of the proposed set-back lines may appear and present any objections which they may have to said set-back lines as set forth in this resolution of intention.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Shannon, Stanton—3.

Resolution of Intention to Establish Set-Back Lines No. 165.

Supervisor Hayden presented:

Resolution No. 27887 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the City Planning Commission has recommended that set-back lines be established along the streets hereinafter mentioned and as delineated upon maps accompanying said recommendations, to which reference is hereby made for further particulars; therefore, be it

Resolved, Thai it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco to establish set-back lines as provided by Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), approved May 18, 1922, as follows:

Along the southwesterly side of Teddy avenue between Delta street and Rutland street, said set-back line to be 12½ feet.

Along the westerly side of Twenty-fifth avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Santiago street and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 300 feet, said set-back line to be 9 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; along the easterly side of Twenty-fifth avenue between Rivera street and Santiago street, said set-back line to be 9 feet.

Along the westerly side of Twenty-seventh avenue, commencing at Fulton street and running thence northerly 475 feet, said set-back line to be 9 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; along the easterly side of Twenty-seventh avenue between Fulton street and Ca-

brillo street, said set-back line to be 9 feet.

Along both sides of Twenty-eighth avenue, commencing at Fulton street and running thence northerly 450 feet, said set-back lines to be 9 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 3 feet.

And notice is hereby given that Monday, the 24th day of October, 1927, at the hour of 11 o'clock a. m., at the chambers of the Board of Supervisors, City Hall, in said City and County of San Francisco, has been fixed as the time and place when and where any and all persons having any objections to the establishing of the proposed set-back lines may appear and present any objections which they may have to said set-back lines as set forth in this resolution of intention.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Shannon, Stanton—3.

Amending Zoning Ordinance, Ocean Avenue.

The following matter was taken up:

Bill No. ——, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings, and the locations of buildings designed for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Section 13 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place the northerly side of Ocean avenue between Fairfield way and Sanfranbank avenue, extending to a depth of 200 feet along Fairfield way and to a depth of 202.388 feet along Sanfanbank avenue, also the northerly side of Ocean avenue between Sanfranbank avenue and Manor drive, extending to a depth of 105 feet along Sanfranbank avenue and to a depth of 110.167 feet along Manor drive, in the Commercial District instead of in the First Residential District.

Privilege of the Floor.

Messrs. Francis, Dickey and *Kelly* were heard in opposition.

Mr. Jas. Ryan was heard in favor of the proposed amendment.

Rereferred.

Supervisor Powers moved rereference to the City Planning Committee.

Motion *carried.*

Denying Laundry Permit.

Supervisor Byington presented:

Resolution No. 27888 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, in the exercise of the sound and reasonable discretion of the Board of Supervisors, permission is hereby denied G. Trianssi to maintain and operate a laundry at 1944 Clement street.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Shannon, Stanton—3.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Garage Permit.

On motion of Supervisor Byington:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Ira C. Malnburg be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate a public garage on the north side of Taraval street, 57 feet 6 inches east of Twenty-ninth avenue.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Laundry Permit.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Benjamin Raujol be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate a hand laundry at 254 Third street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Automobile Parking Station Permit.

On motion of Supervisor Deasy:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Wm. W. Haley be and is hereby granted permission

revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an automobile parking station on the north side of McAllister street, 37 feet 6 inches east of Hyde street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Transfer of Garage Permit.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Ruel H. Rinehart be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred to him public garage permit heretofore granted for premises at 727 Valencia street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Parking Station Permit.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That W. C. and Chas. Ashley be and are hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an automobile parking station on the west side of Valencia street, 30 feet north of Sixteenth street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Oil Tank Permits.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits be and are hereby granted:

Oil Tanks.

Lang Realty Co., south side of Broadway, 50 feet west of Jones street, 1500 gallons capacity.

G. Holt, east side of Pierce street, 62 feet 6 inches north of Alhambra street, 1500 gallons capacity.

A. Schudel, east side of Church street, 50 feet north of Twenty-sixth street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Fleischmann Co., southeast corner of Eleventh and Kissling streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

W. R. Voorhies, south side Jackson street, 50 feet east of Arguello boulevard, 1500 gallons capacity.

Mrs. John Robertson, 3370 Washington street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Mrs. Gussie Hyman, southeast corner of Lyon and Chestnut streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits shall become null and void.

Street Lights.

Supervisor Stanton presented:

Resolution No. 27889 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Pacific Gas and Electric Company be and it is hereby authorized and requested to remove and install the following street lights:

Install 400 M. R.

Twenty-sixth avenue between Rivera and Santiago streets.

Corner Washington and Walnut streets.

Washington street between Presidio avenue and Walnut street.

Ninth avenue and Lawton street.

Ninth avenue and Moraga street.

Fortieth avenue between Irving and Judah streets.

North side Jackson street, opposite Doric alley.

Twenty-seventh street and Stanford Heights avenue.

Twenty-eighth street and Stanford Heights avenue.

Lee avenue between Grafton and Holloway avenues.

East side Acton street, 215 feet south of Mission street.

Corbett avenue between Ord street and Corbin place.

Kissling street, east of Eleventh street.

Dolores and Nineteenth streets (in front of church).

Remove Gas Lamps.

Northeast and southwest corners Ninth avenue and Lawton street.

East side Ninth avenue, first and second south of Lawton street.

West side Ninth avenue, first and second south of Lawton street.

Northeast and southwest corners Ninth avenue and Moraga street.

Northwest and southeast corners Washington and Walnut streets.

South side Washington street between Presidio avenue and Walnut street.

North side Washington street, first and second west of Presidio avenue.

Southwest corner Jackson street and Doric alley.

East side Eleventh street, 110 feet north of Folsom street.

East side Kissling street, first and second east of Eleventh street.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Shannon, Stanton—3.

Taxicab Permit Approved.

Supervisor Schmidt presented:

Resolution No. 27890 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following permits for taxicab stands are hereby approved:

White Top Cab Company, 608 Jones street; 1901 Post street, 196 Battery street; 1977 Mission street.

Red Top Cab Company, 2985 Mission street.

Yellow-Checker Cab Company, 397 Pine street; 704 Market street, transfer from 917 Market street.

Blue Bird Cab Company, 62 Taylor street.

Adopted by the following vote:

A y e s — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Shannon, Stanton—3.

Masquerade Ball Permit.

Supervisor Schmidt presented:

Resolution No. 27891 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That permission is hereby granted San Francisco Lodge No. 26, Loyal Order of Moose, to conduct a masquerade ball in Main Hall of the Civic Auditorium, Saturday evening, October 15, 1927, upon payment of the usual license fee.

Adopted by the following vote:

A y e s — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Shannon, Stanton—3.

Accepting Offer Joseph Dal Poggetto to Sell Land Required for School Purposes.

Supervisor Powers presented:

Resolution No. 27892 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, an offer has been received from Joseph Dal Poggetto to sell to the City and County of San Francisco certain land situate on the westerly line of Twenty-third avenue, distant 225 feet northerly from Santiago street, required for school purposes; and

Whereas, the price at which said parcel of land is offered is the reasonable value thereof; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the offer of the said owner to convey to the City and County of San Francisco a good and sufficient fee simple title to the following described land, free of all encumbrances, for the sum of $1,100, be and the same is hereby accepted, the said land being described as follows, to-wit:

Commencing at a point on the westerly line of Twenty-third avenue, distant thereon 225 feet northerly from the northerly line of Santiago street, running thence northerly along said westerly line of Twenty-third avenue 25 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 120 feet; thence at a right angle southerly 25 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 120 feet to the westerly line of Twenty-third avenue and point of commencement. Being a portion of Outside Land Block 1099, also known as Block 2326 on Assessor's Map Book.

The City Attorney is hereby directed to examine the title to said land and, if the same is found to be vested in the aforesaid owner, free of all encumbrances, and that the taxes up to and including the current fiscal year are paid, and that the so-called McEnerney title has been procured or sufficient money reserved for the purpose of procuring the same, to report the result of his examination to the Board of Supervisors, and also to cause a good and sufficient deed for said land to be executed and delivered to the City and County upon payment of the agreed purchase price as aforesaid. And the said deed to said land is hereby accepted.

Adopted by the following vote:

A y e s — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Shannon, Stanton—3.

Intention to Close Portion of Holyoke Street.

Supervisor Marks presented:

Resolution No. 27893 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the public interest requires that all that portion of Holyoke street lying between the northerly line of Felton street and the southerly line of Silliman street be closed and abandoned. Be it further

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to close and abandon all that portion of Holyoke street lying between the northerly line of Felton street and the southerly line of Silliman street, said closing up and abandonment of said portion of Holyoke street above described shall be done and made in the manner and in accordance with the provisions of Section 2, Chapter 3, of Article 6, of the Charter as amended and the

sections of said chapter and article following Section 2. Be it

Further Resolved, That the damage, cost and expense of said closing and abandonment of said portion of Holyoke street as above described be paid out of the revenue of the City and County of San Francisco.

And the Clerk is hereby directed to transmit to the Board of Public Works a certified copy of this resolution and the Board of Public Works is hereby directed to give notice of said contemplated closing and abandonment of said street in the manner provided by law, and to cause notice to be published in the San Francisco Bulletin as required by law.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Shannon, Stanton—3.

Extension of Time.

Supervisor Marks presented:

Resolution No. 27894 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Clarence B. Eaton is hereby granted the following extensions of time to complete street work, viz.:

Ninety days' time from and after September 20, 1927, within which to complete the improvement of Treat avenue between Sixteenth and Seventeenth streets, including the intersection of Treat avenue and Harrison street. The work was delayed on account of not having grades established for tracks.

Ninety days' time from and after September 20, 1927, within which to complete the street work on Twentieth street between Rhode Island and Vermont streets. The work is completed with the exception of asphalt covering; extension required pending acceptance of the work.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Shannon, Stanton—3.

Acquisition of Land for Opening and Widening Melrose, Kenyon and Stanford Heights Avenues and Twenty-third Street.

Supervisor Marks presented:

Resolution No. 27895 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the following named owners of certain lands, situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, which said lands are required by the City and County of San Francisco for the opening and widening of Melrose, Kenyon, Stanford Heights avenues and Twenty-third street, have offered to quitclaim unto the City and County the lands hereinafter described, viz.:

1. Portions of certain lots in blocks of Sunnyside, as per map thereof filed April 6, 1891, in Book 2 "A" and "B" of Maps, pages 140 to 143, inclusive, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California.

Monika Stentz—Southern half of Melrose avenue, which adjoins Lots 19 and 22, Block 7, Sunnyside, on the north.

Theresa Richardson — Southerly half of Melrose avenue, which adjoins Lot 38 and easterly half of Lot 35, Block 7, Sunnyside, on the north.

Bertha Guepper—Southerly half of Melrose avenue, which adjoins Lots 27 and 30, Block 7, Sunnyside, on the north.

Andrew Daggett and Sadie Daggett—Southerly half of Melrose avenue, which adjoins Lot 31 and the westerly half of Lot 34, Block 7, Sunnyside, on the north.

Charles Rosenberg — Parcel 1. Southerly half of Melrose avenue, which adjoins the easterly half of Lot 34 and the westerly half of Lot 35, Block 7, Sunnyside, on the north.

Parcel 2. Southerly half of Melrose avenue, which adjoins Lot 23, Block 7, Sunnyside, on the north.

2. Portions of certain lots in subdivision of Block 130 of Sunnyside Addition No. 1, as per map thereof filed November 16, 1896, in Book "E" and "F" of Maps, page 54, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California.

Mary C. Williams and Charles Williams—Westerly half of Kenyon avenue, which adjoins Lot 7, subdivision of Block 130, Sunnyside Addition No. 1, on the east.

Mary F. Hopkins—Westerly half of Kenyon avenue, which adjoins Lot 6, subdivision of Block 130, Sunnyside Addition No. 1, on the east.

Meyer Bros., a corporation—Westerly half of Kenyon avenue, which adjoins Lot 6, subdivision of

Block 130, Sunnyside Addition No. 1, on the east.

3. Portions of certain lots in subdivision of Block 123 of Sunnyside Addition No. 1, as per map thereof filed January 28, 1923, in Book "E" and "F" of Maps, page 143, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California.

Elizabeth Gay—Southerly half of Thirty-third street, which adjoins Lot 1, subdivision of Block 123 of Sunnyside Addition No. 1, on the north.

Anna Menchen—Easterly half of Stanford Heights avenue, which adjoins Lots 50 to 52, inclusive, subdivision of Block 123 of Sunnyside Addition No. 1, on the west, and all of southerly half of Thirty-third street, which adjoins Lots 52 to 56, inclusive, subdivision of Block 123 of Sunnyside Addition No. 1, on the north.

Verena Schudel—Easterly half of Stanford Heights avenue, which adjoins Lot 49, subdivision of Block 123 of Sunnyside Addition No. 1, on the west.

Whereas, the City Attorney has recommended the acceptance of the said offers to quitclaim said property to the City and County of San Francisco; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the said offers be accepted, and the City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to accept, in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, quitclaim deeds conveying title of the above named persons to said property, and file the same for record with a copy of this resolution attached thereto as evidence of acceptance by the City and County of San Francisco.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Shannon, Stanton—3.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Ordering Street Work.

On motion of Supervisor Marks:

Bill No. 8244, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors September 26, 1927, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in twenty installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be six months from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Roosevelt way from the southeasterly line of Buena Vista Terrace to Seventeenth street, and of Seventeenth street from the southeasterly line of Roosevelt way produced to Clayton street, including the intervening crossings and the necessary conform and approach work on streets adjacent thereto, by the construction of the following:

Class "A" concrete in stairs, copings and fences, including the furnishing and placing of reinforcing steel for same, and including all excavation and backfill therefor; three-pipe railing on stairs; redwood headers; asphalt pavement with binder course; vertical fiber brick pavement; relaid basalt block pavement using old blocks; concrete pavement; artificial stone sidewalks; concrete curbs; reset granite curbs; brick manhole with appurtenances; brick catchbasins with appurtenances; 10-inch ironstone pipe culverts; any appurte-

nant grading; all in accordance with plans A-6126, A-6131, A-6132, A-6133, A-6134 and A-6135 on file in the office of the City Engineer.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Fixing Sidewalk Widths on Thirtieth Avenue.

Also, Bill No. 8245, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section, to be numbered nine hundred and eighty-four.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Board of Public Works, filed in this office September 29, 1927, by adding thereto a new section, to be numbered nine hundred and eighty-four, to read as follows:

Section 984. The width of sidewalks on Thirtieth avenue between Judah and Taraval streets shall be ten (10) feet.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect and be in force from and after its passage.

Award of Contract, Forage, etc.

Supervisor Badaracco presented:

Resolution No. 27896 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of contract be hereby made on bids submitted September 19, 1927 (Proposal No. 318), as follows, viz.: for furnishing forage and farinaceous products that may be ordered from time to time during the term commencing October 1, 1927, and ending September 30, 1928:

Forage.

Item No. 401—Barley, rolled, per ton, $46; Albers Bros. Milling Co.

Item No. 402—Bran, wheat, per ton, $37; Albers Bros. Milling Co.

Item No. 404—Corn, per cwt., $2.40; Albers Bros. Milling Co.

Item No. 405(a)—Hay, alfalfa, per ton, $27.50; Berringer & Russell.

Item No. 405(a)—Hay, oat, tame, per ton, $27.50; Berringer & Russell.

Item No. 405(c)—Hay, wheat, per ton, $27.50; Berringer & Russell.

Item No. 406—Middlings, wheat, per ton, $51; Albers Bros. Milling Co.

Item No. 408—Oats, feed, per cwt., $2.40; Berringer & Russell.

Item No. 409—Straw, barley, per cwt., 90 cents; Berringer & Russell.

Item No. 410—Wheat, recleaned, per cwt., $2.60; Albers Bros. Milling Co.

Farinaceous Products.

Item No. 60—Barley, pearl, per lb., $0.061; Alberts Bros. Milling Co.

Item No. 62—Corn meal, per lb., $0.0285; Pacific Milling Co.

Item No. 63—Cracker meal, per lb., $0.075; Independent Cracker & Biscuit Co.

Item No. 64—Crackers, soda, per lb., $0.0925; Haas Bros.

Item No. 65—Farina, per lb., $0.044; Pacific Milling Co.

Item No. 66—Flour, wheat, "Bell" brand, per bbl., $6.48; Sharp Flour Co.

Item No. 67—Hominy, coarse, per lb., $0.033; Albers Bros. Milling Co.

Item No. 68—Oatmeal, steel cut, per lb., $0.045; Albers Bros. Milling Co.

Item No. 69—Oats, cereal, rolled, per lb., $0.043; Pacific Milling Co.

Item No. 71—Peas, split, per lb., $0.079; Pacific Milling Co.

Item No. 72—Rice, on sample No. 2, per lb., $0.0519; Haas Bros.

Item No. 73(a)—Tapioca, pearl, per lb., $0.0575; Albers Bros. Milling Co.

Item No. 73(b)—Tapioca, sago size, per lb., $0.055; Albers Bros. Milling Co.

Item No. 74—Wheat, rolled, per lb., $0.032; Pacific Milling Co.

Resolved, That bonds be required for the faithful performance of contract, as follows, viz.:

Albers Bros. Milling Co., bond required $500.

Berringer & Russell, bond required $2,000.

Independent Cracker & Biscuit Co., no bond required.

Pacific Milling Co., bond required $500.

Haas Bros., bond required $500.

Sharp Flour Co., bond required $2,000.

Resolved, That all other bids submitted hereon be rejected.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Shannon, Stanton—3.

Passed for Printing.

The following bill was *passed for printing*:

Providing for the Issuance and Redemption of Bernal Cut Bonds.

On motion of Supervisor Marks:

Bill No. 8246, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Providing for the issuance and redemption of bonds of the City and County of San Francisco to the amount of one million four hundred thousand ($1,400,000) dollars for the acquisition, construction and completion of a permanent improvement, to-wit: A cut, or excavation, with approach fills, providing an improved route for public highway purposes in the City and County of San Francisco, known as the Bernal Cut, which improvement begins at or near the intersection of Randall and Dolores streets and extending southerly to a point at or near the intersection of San Jose avenue and Diamond street, in the City and County of San Francisco, including approaches, structures, pavements, curbs, sidewalks, sewers, drainage facilities, the readjustment of intersecting and adjacent streets and other improvements, and the purchase of necessary lands in accordance with and as authorized at a special election held in said City and County on the 14th day of June, 1927.

Whereas, a special election was held in the City and County of San Francisco on the 14th day of June, 1927, in accordance with the provisions and requirements of Ordinance No. 7512 (New Series), calling and providing for such election, and Resolution No. 27282 (New Series), giving notice thereof, reference to said ordinance and resolution for further ptrticulars being here made; and

Whereas, it has been determined by Resolution No. 27643 (New Series), reference thereto for further particulars being here made, that at such special election more than two-thirds of the votes cast thereat were cast and counted as being in favor of and to authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness for the purpose and to the amount stated in the proposition submitted thereat; now, therefore,

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. That bonds of the City and County of San Francisco be issued in accordance with the result of the special election held in said City and County on the 14th day of June, 1927, and the provisions of said Charter of said City and County and with Ordinance No. 7512 (New Series) and Resolution No. 27282 (New Series), as follows, to-wit:

That bonds of the City and County of San Francisco be issued to the amount of one million four hundred thousand ($1,400,000) dollars for the purpise of the acquisition, construction and completion of a permanent improvement, to-wit: A cut, or excavation with approach fills, and other improvements providing an improved route for public highway purposes in the City and County of San Francisco known as the Bernal Cut, which imprivement begins at or near the intersection of Randall and Dolores streets and extending southerly to a point at or near the intersection of San Jose avenue and Diamond street, in the City and County of San Francisco.

That such bonds shall be called "Bernal Cut Bonds," shall be 1400 in number, and shall be numbered from 1 to 1400, both inclusive, and shall be payable seventy thousand dollars thereof five years from the date of said bonds, beginning with the lowest number, and seventy thousand dollars thereof of the next higher numbers on the same day in each succeeding year until all of said bonds shall be paid.

Section 2. All of the bonds issued as herein provided shall be of the form and character known as "serials." All of said bonds shall be dated July 1, 1927, shall bear interest at the rate of four and one-half per centum per annum, payable semi-annually on the first day of January and July of each year until the maturity thereof; shall be of the denomination of $1,000 each, and the principal and interest thereof shall be payable in gold coin of the United States at the office of the Treasurer of said City and County, or, at the option of the holder, at the fiscal agency of said City and County in the City and State of New York.

Section 3. Said bonds shall be signed by the Mayor and by the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco, countersigned by the Auditor, and attested by the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors with the seal of said City and County.

Said bonds shall be in substantially the following form:

The United States of America.
State of California.
City and County of San Francisco.
Bernal Cut Bond.

No. —— $1,000.00

For value received, the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, organized and existing under the laws of the State

of California, hereby acknowledges itself indebted and promises to pay to the bearer, on the first day of ———, 19—, one thousand dollars, with interest thereon at the rate of 4½ per centum per annum, payable semi-annually January 1 and July 1, on presentation and surrender of the coupons hereto attached as they respectively become due, both principal and interest being payable in gold coin of the United States at the office of the Treasurer of said City and County, or, at the option of the holder, at the fiscal agency of said City and County of San Francisco in the City and State of New York.

This bond is issued under and pursuant to the Constitution and statutes of the State of California and the Charter of said City and County of San Francisco, and amendments thereto, and under and pursuant to ordinances and proceedings of said City and County duly adopted and taken, and a vote and assent of more than two-thirds of all the qualified electors of said City and County voting at a special election duly and legally called and held for that purpose.

It is hereby certified, recited and declared that all acts, conditions and things required by law to exist, happen and be performed precedent to and in the issuance of this bond have existed, happened and been performed in due time, form and manner as required by law, and that the amount of this bond, together with all other indebtedness of said City and County, does not exceed any limit prescribed by the Constitution or statutes of said State or Charter of said City and County, and that provision has been made as required by the Constitution and statutes of said State and the Charter of said City and County for the collection of an annual tax sufficient to pay the interest on this bond as it falls due, and also provision to constitute a sinking fund for the payment of the principal of this bond on or before maturity. The full faith and credit of said City and County are hereby pledged for the punctual payment of the principal and interest of this bond.

This bond may be converted into a registered bond upon presentation to the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco, in which event such Treasurer shall cut off and cancel the coupons of this bond, and shall sign a statement stamped, printed or written upon the back or face of the bond to the effect that this bond is registered in the name of the owner and that thereafter the interest and principal of this bond are payable to the registered owner. Thereafter and from time to time this bond may be transferred by such registered owner in person or by attorney duly authorized on presentation of this bond to the Treasurer, and the bond be again registered as before, a similar statement being stamped, printed or written thereon.

This bond is exempt from all taxation within the State of California.

In witness whereof, said City and County of San Francisco has caused this bond to be executed under its corporate seal, signed by its Mayor and Treasurer, and countersigned by its Auditor, and has caused the interest coupons hereto attached to be signed with the engraved or lithographed signature of its Treasurer, and this bond to be dated the first day of July, 1927.

.....,
Mayor.

.....,
Treasurer.

Countersigned:

.....,
Auditor.

(Seal)

.....,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors.

Section 4. Interest coupons shall be attached to each bond to the number of twice the number of years such bonds will run until the maturity thereof, and the coupons shall be of such a sum as will amount to the interest due on such bond for six months. Such coupons shall be numbered from one upwards and shall state the name and number of the bond to which it is attached. Such coupons shall bear the facsimile signature of the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco and be substantially in the following form:

Form of Coupon.

No. ——— $———

On ——— 1, 19—, the City and County of San Francisco, California, will pay to bearer at the office of the Treasurer of said City and County, or, at the option of the holder, at the fiscal agency of the City and County of San Francisco in the City and State of New York, ——— dollars ($———) in gold coin of the United States, being six months' interest then due on its "Bernal Cut" bond dated July 1, 1927, number ———

.....,
Treasurer.

Section 5. Any bond may be converted into a registered bond upon

presentation to the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco, in which event such Treasurer shall cut off and cancel the coupons of this bond, and shall sign a statement stamped, printed or written upon the back or face of the bond to the effect that the bond is registered in the name of the owner and that thereafter the interest and principal of the bond are payable to the registered owner. Thereafter and from time to time the bond may be transferred by such registered owner in person or attorney duly authirized on presentation of the bond to the Treasurer, and the bond be again registered as before, a similar statement being stamped, printed or written thereon. Such registration shall be substantially in the following form:

Form of Registration.

San Francisco, ————, 19—

This bond is registered pursuant to Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, in the name of ———————— and the interest and principal thereof are hereafter payable to such owner.

....., Treasurer.

Section 6. For the purpose of providing for the payment of the principal and interest of said bonds taxes shall be levied and collected as privided in Ordinance No. 7512 (New Series) and Resolution No. 27282 (New Series), and in furtherance of the purpose herein expressed the following fund is hereby created, to-wit: "Bernal Cut Bond Interest and Redemption Fund."

Section 7. The Board of Supervisors shall sell said bonds at such times and in such amounts as it may determine. The proceeds arising from the sale of Bernal Cut Bonds shall be placed in the treasury to the credit of the "Bernal Cut Construction Fund," which fund is hereby created, and shall be used exclusively for the purpose for which such bonds were issued.

Section 8. This ordinance shall take effect immediately. And the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors is hereby directed to advertise this bill and ordinance in the official newspaper, as required by law.

Section 9. All bills or ordinances in conflict herewith are hereby expressly repealed.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Shannon—3.

Ingleside Heights Park.

Supervisor Gallagher resented:

Resolution No, —— (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the Ingleside Improvement Club, acting on behalf of the residents and property owners of the Ingleside district, has requested that the land on the top of Ingleside Heights be purchased for a public park; and

Whereas, the Committee on Education, Parks and Playgrounds referred this request to the Board of Park Commissioners for its recommendation; and

Whereas, the Board of Park Commissioners referred the subject matter to Superintendent John McLaren for his report and recommendation; and

Whereas, Superintendent McLaren, in a letter under date of Septembmer 21, 1927, reports that the view from this land is very interesting and most beautiful, and as there is no other park land in the immediate neighborhood, recommends that five acres of land on the highest point of Ingleside Heights be purchased and reserved for a public park; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Finance Committee be requested to give favorable consideration to this matter at the time of preparing the next budget.

Referred to Finance Committee.

Citizens Committee, Armistice Day.

Supervisor Colman presented:

Resolution No. 27897 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Mayor be authorized and he is respectfully requested to appoint a committee of patriotic citizens to make and carry out a program for the appropriate celebration of Armistice Day, November 11, 1927.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Shannon—2.

RECESS.

Whereupon, at 12:15 the Board took a recess until 2 p. m.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk.

REASSEMBLED—2 P. M.

The Board of Supervisors reassembled at 2 p. m.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Shannon—2.

Quorum present.

His Honor Mayor Rolph being absent, Supervisor McSheehy was elected to preside.

Mayor's Veto, Employment of Delos F. Wilcox by City Attorney.

The following was presented, read and on motion *laid over one week*. Special order 11 a. m.

San Francisco, Cal.,
September 29, 1927.

Honorable Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco, California.

Gentlemen:

I return herewith Resolution No. 27804 (New Series), authorizing the City Attorney to employ Delos F. Wilcox for the purpose of making an analysis and investigation regarding the franchises and properties of the privately owned street railways in San Francisco, and to furnish certain additional information to the City Attorney as set forth in a communication to your Board, and appropriating $10,000 for the purpose of defraying the expenses of employment of said Wilcox, which I disapprove. My objections to this resolution are based on the following grounds:

First: The street railway problem in San Francisco is one of the most important and complex issues that is before the people of San Francisco at this time, in view of the large mileage of franchises of the Market Street Railway Company expiring in 1929 and the years shortly following.

The final determination of the problem will be made by the citizens of this City at the polls, and in order that the citizenship may vote intelligently on the proposition which may be submitted to them, a complete report should be prepared containing a full analysis of the possible solutions of the railway problem, with specific recommendations. This report, in my judgment, would best be made by a board of experts, three in number, selected for outstanding technical ability in the construction, valuation, operation and management of street railways, as well as franchise matters—men in whose ability, sound judgment and impartiality the public can place full confidence.

From my observation the Board of Supervisors should in this matter take action similar to that adopted in the matter of the bay bridge. That procedure resulted in a clear and well-defined review of the subject matter, and the report has been, according to all indications, very satisfactory to the public. In this recommendation I am not reflecting on Mr. Wilcox, who I understand is a man of high standing and keen intelligence; but, from all information obtainable by me, he is not a man of practical experience in the construction, management and operation of street railways. Mr. Wilcox is an enthusiast on municipal ownership; but this is no longer an issue in San Francisco. Our Charter commits the City to municipal ownership; this is the basic principle of our government, commanding the obedience of all officials.

What is required is not a further discussion of a principle already settled, but a practical demonstration by the best experts of how the physical and legal problems confronting the City can be solved. The legal problems can be disposed of by the City Attorney. The physical problems should be clarified by engineering experts of the greatest skill and experience. A plan outlined by a board of this character would receive the confidence and support of the people. I therefore recommend to your Honorable Board that you provide for such a board of experts.

Respectfully yours,
JAMES ROLPH, JR.,
Mayor.

Resolution No. 27804 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the City Attorney be and he is hereby authorized to employ Delos F. Wilcox for the purpose of making an analysis and investigation regarding the franchises and properties of the privately owned street railways in San Francisco, and to furnish such additional information to the City Attorney as set forth in the letter of said City Attorney addressed to this Board on the date hereof, at a cost of ten thousand ($10,000) dollars, payable to said Delos F. Wilcox as follows, to-wit: $2,000 on September 15, 1927; $2,000 on October 1, 1927; $2,000 on October 15, 1927, and $4,000 upon the completion and filing of said report. That the com-

pensation herein specified is to be in full payment for all services rendered by said Wilcox or anyone acting under him, and the said work should be completed within approximately sixty (60) days from date of his employment. Be it

Further Resolved, That the sum of ten thousand ($10,000) dollars be and the same is hereby appropriated from the moneys of the General Fund not otherwise appropriated, for the purpose of defraying the expenses of the employment of said Wilcox.

Finally passed—Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, September 19, 1927.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—18.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk.

Motion.

Supervisor Colman moved that copies of Mayor's veto and City Attorney's letter be sent to members.

So ordered.

Supervisor Havenner moved that copy of resolution authorizing investigation by City Attorney and resolution providing for employment of Wilcox be also sent.

So ordered.

SPECIAL ORDER—3:00 P. M.

Bids for Fire Lot.

Bids were received at the chambers of the Board of Supervisors, second floor in the City Hall, City and County of San Francisco, State of California, at the hour of 3 o'clock p. m. on Monday, October 3, 1927, for the sale of the following described parcel of land, owned and held by the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation. Said parcel of land is situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and more particularly described as follows, to-wit:

Commencing at a point on the westerly line of Stockton street, distant thereon 115 feet southerly from the southerly line of Broadway, running thence southerly and along said westerly line of Stockton street 22 feet 6 inches; thence at a right angle westerly 80 feet to the easterly line of Cordelia street; thence at a right angle northerly along said line of Cordelia street 22 feet 6 inches; thence at a right angle easterly 80 feet to the westerly line of Stockton street and point of commencement. Being part of 50 Vara Block No. 133.

Said parcel of land will be sold on the above mentioned date at public auction, duly authorized by the said ordinance, to the person making the highest cash bid therefor, such sale, however, to be subject to confirmation by the Board of Supervisors, in accordance with law. A deposit in the sum of ten (10) per cent of the amount bid will be required of the successful bidder before the sale can be made.

Highest Bid.

The highest bid was made by Mr. Debs in the sum of $5,850, which was *referred to the Committee on Public Buildings and Lands.* A cash deposit of $600 was put up with the Clerk by Mr. Debs.

SPECIAL ORDERS—2 P. M.

BRIDGE HEARINGS.

The following matters were taken up:

Motions.

Supervisor Gallagher: I move you that while it is the policy of this Board that we favor a publicly-owned bay bridge, it is, however, the sense of this Board that we favor immediate construction of said bridge by private capital, until the people may elect in the manner provided by law to take over said bridge and place it under public ownership and control.

Supervisor Marks: I move you that, as an amendment to the motion of Supervisor Gallagher, the City Attorney be requested to submit to this Board, at the earliest possible opportunity, a form of submission of the issue of public ownership and operation of the proposed bridge, or private ownership or operation of the proposed bridge, for action by the people at the earliest possible opportunity.

* * * * *

Supervisor Marks: I desire to present the following motion and calling attention to the fact that it does not call for anything other than asking the City Attorney to submit a proper form of proposal to us. I make the motion which heretofore has been outlined three or four times. (Referring to motion set out in full above.)

The Chairman: Any objection? So ordered.

On motion of Supervisor Gallagher, further consideration of the foregoing motions were *continued until Monday, October* 10, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent,

Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Shannon—2.

Applications for Franchises to Construct Bridges Across San Francisco Bay.

Thereupon, the following matters were taken up:

Application of Bay Cities Bridge Corporation for a franchise for a toll bridge between San Francisco and Alameda.

Proposed rail and highway crossing for San Francisco Bay from the foot of Sixteenth street, San Francisco, to the foot of Pacific avenue, Alameda.

Beginning at the intersection of the center line of Sixteenth street with the center line of Third (Kentucky) street, in the City and County of San Francisco, and extending thence easterly along the center line of Sixteenth street to the waterfront line; thence easterly along a straight line to a point on the center line of Pacific avenue, in the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, which point is one hundred feet westerly from the intersection of said center line of Pacific avenue with the center line of Bryant street.

That the length of said bridge is 27,750 feet and that the width of the roadway on said bridge is 38 feet.

Filed April 6, 1925.

DUDLEY D. SALES,
Attorney.

On motion of Supervisor Gallagher, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, October* 10, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Shannon—2.

Application of Elbert W. Davis for a franchise for a toll bridge between San Francisco and Alameda.

Across San Francisco Bay, beginning at a point in the City and County of San Francisco on the bulkhead line of San Francisco Harbor between Piers 26 and 28, and running approximately north 67 degrees 45 minutes east 17,962 feet, more or less, to a point in the City of Oakland, County of Alameda, State of California, on the easterly shore of San Francisco Bay.

That the length of said bridge is to be 17,962 feet and that the breadth thereof is to be 65 feet, more or less.

Filed June 29, 1925.

JAMES M. OLIVER,
Attorney.

On motion of Supervisor Gallagher, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, October* 10, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Shannon—2.

Application of John Bermingham, Jr., 250 Cervantes boulevard, for a franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge across San Francisco Bay between the City and County of San Francisco and the County of Alameda.

Beginning at the intersection of the center line of Seventh and Mission streets, in the City and County of San Francisco, along Seventh street to Seventh and Sixteenth; thence in a southeasterly direction to Nineteenth and Third; thence in a southerly direction along Third street to the intersection of Third street and Jerrold avenue and waterfront; thence proceeding in a northerly direction, crossing San Francisco Bay to a point from which a branch will extend 4500 feet easterly to the intersection of Pacific avenue and Bryant street, in the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California; thence from said aforementioned intersecting point proceeding in a northerly direction, crossing the Oakland Estuary, to the intersection of Peralta street and Seventh street, in the City of Oakland, County of Alameda, State of California.

That the total length of said bridge, approaches thereto and branch thereof, aforementioned, is 65,450 feet, that the width of said bridge is ninety (90) feet.

Filed September 28, 1925.

On motion of Supervisor Gallagher, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, October* 10, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Shannon—2.

Application of A. M. Bergstrom for a franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge across San Francisco Bay between the City and County of San Francisco and the County of Alameda.

That the said toll bridge is to be located across the Bay of San Francisco along the following described line, to-wit:

Beginning at a point in San Francisco at about the foot of Davidson avenue, on Hunter's Point, and running northerly sixty-two (62) degrees east four and four-tenths (4.4) miles; thence on a one (1) degree curve to the north one and six-tenths (1.6) miles to true north to a point on the easterly shore of the Bay of San Francisco at about the foot of Webster street, in the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California.

That the length of said bridge is to be six (6) miles and the breadth is to be sixty (60) feet, more or less.

Filed September 28, 1925.

On motion of Supervisor Gallagher, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, October* 10, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Shannon—2.

Application of New York and San Francisco Development Company for franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge between San Francisco and Alameda.

Bridge to be constructed across San Francisco Bay from a point therein at or near the junction of Evans avenue and Boalt street, Hunter's Point, in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to or near the junction of South High street and Washington street, in the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California; that the length of said bridge is approximately six and one-half miles from shore line to shore line, and that its breadth or width is approximately from 60 to 90 feet.

Filed January 11, 1926.

ROTHCHILD & GOLDEN,
Attorneys.

On motion of Supervisor Gallagher, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, October* 10, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Shannon—2.

Application of Roy C. Hackley for a franchise to construct a toll bridge between San Francisco, Pier 26, and Alameda County.

Beginning at a point in the City and County of San Francisco on the bulkhead line of San Francisco Harbor, south of and adjacent to Pier 26, and running approximately north 61 degrees east and fifty-three hundred feet, more or less; thence running approximately north 89 degrees east five thousand feet, more or less; thence running approximately north 59 degrees 30 minutes east eight thousand feet, more or less, to a point in the City of Oakland, County of Alameda, State of California, on the easterly shore of said San Francisco Bay.

That the length of said bridge is to be eighteen thousand three hundred feet (18,300) and that the breadth thereof is to be 78 feet, more or less.

Roy A. Bronson and E. D. Bronson, Jr., attorneys, 68 Post street.

Filed February 6, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor Gallagher, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, October* 10, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Shannon—2.

Two applications of the Construction Company of North America for a franchise to construct and open a toll bridge between San Francisco and Alameda County.

Commencing at a point in said City and County of San Francisco near the corner of First and Bryant streets, on what is known as Rincon Hill, and running thence on less than a two-degree curve a distance of approximately 1700 lineal feet to the line of bulkhead wharf connecting Piers 30 and 32 on the waterfront of the said City and County of San Francisco; thence on a line approximately north 65 degrees east 21,000 lineal feet, more or less, to the Oakland Mole of the

Southern Pacific Company in Alameda County.

That the length of said bridge is to be 4½ miles and that the width thereof is to be approximately 78 feet.

ROBERT J. McGAHIE,
Attorney, Mills Building.

First application filed February 15, 1926.

Second application field April 5, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor Gallagher, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, October* 10, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

A y e s — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Shannon—2.

Application of Allan C. Rush for a franchise to construct a toll bridge between San Francisco and Alameda County.

Construction of a suspension bridge from the City and County of San Francisco over and across Goat Island to the east shore of the Bay of San Francisco, comprising three main double traffic track entrance therein, and being of a height of two hundred feet above high tide, between all bridge piers in the waters of San Francisco Channel, in which channel the bridge will be suspended over "but three piers," twenty-four hundred feet apart, thus in nowise impeding the traffic of the waters of the Bay.

Filed June 19, 1926.

ALLAN C. RUSH,
1632 McCullom street, Los Angeles, California.

On motion of Supervisor Gallagher, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, October* 10, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

A y e s — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Shannon—2.

Application of Robert Lee Dunn, 778 Monadnock Building, for a franchise to construct a toll bridge along the following described line, to-wit:

Beginning at the intersection of the center line of Second street with the center line of Berry street, in the City and County of San Francisco and extending thence easterly to the waterfront line; thence easterly along a straight line to the intersection of the center lines of Pacific avenue and Bryant street, City of Alameda, California.

Length of said bridge is twenty-six thousand feet; that the width of the roadway on said bridge is eighty feet.

Filed June 21, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor Gallagher, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, October* 10, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

A y e s — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Shannon—2.

Application of Edward A. Bertram for a franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge to be located, to-wit:

Beginning at Twelfth and Howard streets, San Francisco, by 1½ per cent viaduct to Beale and Howard streets; thence to Southern Pacific Mole, Oakland; thence by 1½ per cent viaduct to West Fourteenth street level, and Sixteenth Street Railroad Station.

The length of said bridge proper is 21,000 feet and its width of roadway is 63 feet.

Filed June 21, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor Gallagher, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, October* 10, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

A y e s — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Shannon—2.

Application of R. S. Chew for franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge or bridges.

The said proposed bridge or bridges are to be located and are described as follows:

The westerly end of a bridge and the approaches thereto, which will connect with public streets, are to be located in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California (which location is in the county situated on the left bank descending of the Bay of San Francisco, across which said bridge is to be constructed), and at a point therein at or near the summit of an eminence known as Telegraph

Hill; from this point the bridge will project easterly a distance of nine thousand feet (more or less) to the westerly side of Yerba Buena (or Goat) Island; thence easterly across said island a roadway or viaduct will be constructed for a distance of four thousand feet (more or less) to its easterly side; from thence there will be projected in an easterly direction a distance of twenty-two thousand feet (more or less), a bridge structure, viaduct, causeway and/or fill to suitable connections with lines or railway and public highways in Alameda County, State of California.

Filed June 28, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor Gallagher, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, October* 10, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Shannon—2.

Application of Inter-Cities Bridge Company for a franchise to construct, maintain and operate a toll bridge across the Bay of San Francisco and over the following route:

Beginning at the intersection of Broadway and Battery street, in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and extending thence easterly to the waterfront; thence on a line approximately north 60 degrees east to the westerly point of Yerba Buena or Goat Island; thence by contour meander to the easterly point of Yerba Buena or Goat Island; thence on a line approximately north 75 degrees to the foot of Yerba Buena street, in the City of Oakland, County of Alameda, State of California.

That the length of said bridge, inclusive of approximately 4100 feet on ground support over Yerba Buena or Goat Island, and 5670 feet to be supported by an earth fill mole in the approach to the said Oakland terminal, is to be approximately 27,000 feet. That the width of said bridge is to be approximately 120 feet, providing two 20-foot vehicular lanes and accommodations for four lines of electric transportation tracks.

Filed July 12, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor Gallagher, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, October* 10, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Shannon—2.

Application of G. Lindenthal for franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge.

Said bridge is to be located along the following described line:

Commencing at a point in the center line of Jerrold avenue, in the City and County of San Francisco, about midway between Ship street and Dock street, in said City and County of San Francisco; thence on a curve easterly and northerly a distance of 30,000 feet, more or less, to the southerly foot of Webster street, in the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California.

The width of said bridge at the easterly end thereof for approximately 16,000 feet will be 75 feet, and the width of the remaining portion thereof will be approximately 40 feet. The length of said bridge will be approximately 30,000 feet.

Filed August 2, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor Gallagher, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, October* 10, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Shannon—2.

Application of W. F. Holcomb for franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge across San Francisco Bay between the City and County of San Francisco and Alameda County.

Beginning at or near and within one hundred feet northerly or southerly of the intersection of the center line of Second street with the center line of Berry street, in the City and County of San Francisco and extending thence easterly to the waterfront line; thence easterly along a straight line to, at or near and within one hundred feet northerly or southerly of the intersection of the center lines of Pacific avenue and Bryant street, City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California.

That the length of said bridge will be twenty-six thousand feet;

that the width of the roadway on said bridge will be eighty-nine feet.

Filed August 9, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor Gallagher, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, October* 10, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Shannon—2.

Application of E. D. Bronson, Jr., for a franchise for a toll bridge across San Francisco Bay.

Filed August 16, 1926.

Beginning at a point in the City and County of San Francisco on the bulkhead line of San Francisco Harbor, south of and adjacent to Pier 26, and running north approximately 68 degrees east seventeen thousand nine hundred fifty feet (17,950'), more or less, to a point in the City of Oakland, County of Alameda, State of California, on the easterly shore of said San Francisco Bay; and the said toll bridge to be approximately seventeen thousand nine hundred fifty (17,950') feet in length and one hundred ten (110') feet in breadth, more or less.

Roy A. Bronson, E. D. Bronson, Jr., attorneys at law, 68 Post street, Room 403, San Francisco, California.

On motion of Supervisor Gallagher, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, October* 10, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Shannon—2.

Tunnels.

Application of O. A. Ellis for franchise for two tunnels under the Bay of San Francisco from San Francisco to Oakland.

One of the tunnels will be for interurban street car traffic, with double track, and the San Francisco terminal between Third and Fourth streets on Harrison street.

The other tunnel will be for auto and railroad traffic, with San Francisco terminal between Second and Third streets on Harrison street.

The Oakland terminal will be at Seventh and Cedar streets.

Filed June 25, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor Gallagher, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, October* 10, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Shannon—2.

Application of H. S. Henion to construct toll bridge along the following route, to-wit :

Beginning at the intersection of the center lines of Berry and Second streets, in the City and County of San Francisco, California, thence southeasterly to the west end of Pier No. 46, on the San Francisco waterfront; thence easterly over said pier to its eastern end; thence easterly across the Bay of San Francisco to the point of intersection of the center line of Peralta street, in the City of Oakland, California, produced southerly to the center line of the vehicular roadway on the Alameda Mole, in the City of Alameda, California; thence northeasterly on the said produced center line of Peralta street to its intersection with the center line of Eighth street, in said City of Oakland, Alameda County, California.

That the length of said bridge is approximately thirty thousand feet; that the width of said bridge is approximately eighty feet.

The above bridge will include an overhead approach, to extend from Second and Berry streets, San Francisco, to Rincon Hill or immediate vicinity, where abundant terminal facilities will be provided.

Filed March 14, 1927.

On motion of Supervisor Gallagher, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, October* 10, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Shannon—2.

Application of Frank E. Webb to construct toll bridge along the following route, to-wit:

Said proposed toll bridge is to be located, builded and constructed across San Francisco Bay from a point exactly in longitude west 122 degrees 21 minutes 48.7 seconds and latitude north 37 degrees 43 minutes 33.75 seconds in San Francisco County, State of California, Hunter's Point, in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to a point exactly in longitude west

122 degrees 15 minutes 2.6 seconds and latitude north 37 degrees 44 minutes 15.67 seconds in Alameda County, State of California; thence to the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California; that the length of said bridge is approximately 32,200 feet from shore line to shore line, and that its breadth or width is approximately from sixty (60) to ninety (90) feet, as shown by map attached and made a part hereof and marked "Exhibit B."

Bridge is to be of steel and concrete cantilever type, clearance from San Francisco side to be approximately one hundred and forty (140) feet. The main spans to be not less than seven hundred and fifty (750) feet nor more than seventeen hundred (1700) feet, and all spans and construction to be in accordance with the United States Government regulations and rulings.

Filed March 14, 1927.

On motion of Supervisor Gallagher, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, October* 10, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

A y e s — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Shannon—2.

Communication from Construction Company of North America, supplementing original plans—modified route has its westerly terminal on Rincon Hill.

Filed May 9, 1927.

On motion of Supervisor Gallagher, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, October* 10, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

A y e s — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Shannon—2.

Amended application of Edward A. Bertram for alternative line of bridge.

Filed May 9, 1927.

On motion of Supervisor Gallagher, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, October* 10, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

A y e s — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Shannon—2.

Application of J. E. Pamplin to construct toll bridge along the following route, to-wit:

Beginning at or near and within two hundred feet northerly or southerly of the intersection of the center line of Second street with the center line of Berry street, in the City and County of San Francisco, and extending thence easterly to the waterfront line; thence about north seventy-three (73) degrees east (true) along a straight line to a point immediately southwest of and adjacent to the Southern Pacific Alameda Mole, and about six hundred (600) yards, more or less, southeasterly from the northwesterly end of said mole, and thence about south seventy-two (72) degrees east (true) to a point on filled land in the City of Alameda about on the prolongation northwesterly of that portion of Santa Clara avenue which lies between Paru and Park streets, in the City and Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California.

That the length of said bridge will be about twenty-six thousand (26,000) feet, more or less; that the width of the roadway on said bridge will be one hundred feet or such other width as the Board of Supervisors may direct and require under Section 2873 of the Political Code.

Filed May 9, 1927—*Continued until May* 23, 1927.

Privilege of the Floor.

Col. L. H. Rand was granted the privilege of the floor in regard to the application of his clientt. He urged the Board to adopt a policy of building the transbay bridge by a private corporation in lieu of the proposed publicly constructed bridge.

Whereupon, on motion of Supervisor Gallagher, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, October* 10, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

A y e s — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Shannon—2.

Application of Roy C. Hackley to construct a toll bridge along the following route, to-wit:

Beginning at or near and within two hundred feet (200') of the intersection of the center line of Fremont street with the center line of Brannan street, in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and running north approximately eighty degrees (80°)

east nineteen thousand four hundred feet (19,400'), more or less, to a point in the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California, located approximately six hundred feet (600') south of the south training wall of the Oakland Estuary.

That the length of said bridge is to be approximately nineteen thousand four hundred feet (19,400'), and that the breadth thereof is to be sixty feet (60'), more or less.

Filed May 16, 1927.

On motion of Supervisor Gallagher, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, October* 10, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

A y e s — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Shannon—2.

Application of Construction Company of North America to erect, maintain and operate and take tolls on a toll bridge over and across San Francisco Bay, commencing at a point in the City and County of San Francisco near the corner of First and Bryant streets on what is known as Rincon Hill, and running thence northeasterly 19.100 lineal feet, more or less, to the southerly line of Alameda Mole of the Southern Pacific Company; thence north by east to the intersection of Seventh and Cedar streets in the City of Oakland, County of Alameda.

The length of said bridge is to be 4½ miles, the width thereof approximately 78 feet.

Filed June 20, 1927, and *ordered on calendar July* 25, 1927.

On motion of Supervisor Gallagher, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, October* 10, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

A y e s — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Shannon—2.

Application of Roy C. Hackley for permission to construct a toll bridge across San Francisco Bay as follows:

Beginning at or near and within 200 feet of the intersection of the center line of Fremont street with the center line of Brannan street, in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and running north approimately 83 degrees east, 19,400 feet, more or less, to a point in the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California, located approximately 600 feet south of the south training wall of the Oakland Estuary.

The length of said bridge is to be approimately 19,400 feet and the width thereof is to be 60 feet, more or less.

Filed with Board of Supervisors Monday, June 27, 1927.

On motion of Supervisor Gallagher, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, October* 10, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

A y e s — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Shannon—2.

Application of City Planning and Building Company for a franchise and for authority to erect, construct, maintain and operate a submarine tunnel and the right, permission and authority to make tolls thereon for a period of fifty (50) years at the following location, to-wit:

Entering the ground at a point in the ground bounded by Fifth, Sixth, Bryant and Brannan streets, thence running underground easterly to a point on the northerly or northwest line of China Basin between Second and Third streets entering under the Bay at a depth of 45 feet below low tide, sinking gradually to a depth of 50 feet below low tide, proceeding easterly to within 700 feet south of Seventh street at the shore line in Oakland, Alameda County, California.

The length of said submarine tunnel will be 21,120 feet under the water; said submarine tunnel will, in its inside dimensions, be 50 feet high and 68 feet in etreme width; that the same will have three floors, the upper floor for passenger automobiles, the main or central floor for railroad passenger cars, the lowest floor for automotive and heavy traffic trucks. Said tube will be divided perpendicularly into two halves, a right and a left half.

Filed Monday, July 25, 1927.

On motion of Supervisor Gallagher, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, October* 10, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

A y e s — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Shannon—2.

Application of City Planning and Building Company for a franchise and for authority to erect, construct, maintain and operate a submarine tunnel and the right, permission and authority to make tolls thereon for a period of fifty (50) years at the following location, to-wit:

Entering the ground at a point in the block bounded by Sixth, Sevnth, Hubbel and Irving streets, running thence underground in an easterly direction to a point where an extension of Fifteenth street strikes the Bay very close to El Dorado street; thence entering the Bay 45 feet below the level of the lowest tide and sinking gradually to a depth of 50 feet below the level of the lowest tide. and proceeding in an easterly direction and emerging at a point within 700 feet of a point where the center line of Lincoln avenue intersects the waters of the Bay of San Francisco in Alameda, Alameda County, State of California.

The length of said submarine tunnel to be 21,120 feet under water; said tunnel will be in its inside dimensions 50 feet high and 68 feet in extreme width; the same will have three floors, the upper floor for passenger automobiles and the main or central floor for railroad passenger cars, the lowest floor for automotive and heavy traffic trucks. Tube will be divided perpendicularly into two halves, a right and a left half.

Filed Monday, July 25, 1927.

On motion of Supervisor Gallagher, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, October* 10, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

A y e s — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Shannon—2.

Application of City Planning and Building Company for a franchise and for authority to erect, construct, maintain and operate a submarine tunnel and the right, permission and authority to make tolls thereon for a period of fifty (50) years at the following locations, to-wit:

Entering the Bay at a point where the extension of the Marina boulevard extended easterly meets the Bay at Buchanan street close to Tonquin street, at a depth of at least 45 feet below the level of low tide and sinking gradually to a depth of at least 50 feet below the level of low tide, running thence in a general northerly direction to a point one mile south of the Town of Sausalito, Marin County, State of California; said submarine tunnel or tube will have a general curve in an easterly direction; that the length of said submarine tunnel will be 3,200 feet under water. Its inside dimensions to be 50 feet high and 68 feet in extreme width; the same will have three floors, the upper floor for passenger automobiles, the main or central floor for railroad passenger cars, the lower floor for automotive and heavy traffic trucks. The tunnel or tube will de divided perpendicularly into two halves, a right and a left half.

Filed Monday. July 25, 1927.

On motion of Supervisor Gallagher, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, October* 10, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

A y e s — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Shannon—2.

Application of John P. O'Connell for a franchise for a toll bridge between the City and County of San Francisco and the County of Alameda.

Beginning at or near and within three hundred (300) feet northerly or southerly of the intersection of the northerly line of Pier 34 with the seawall at about the foot of Brannan street, in the City and County of San Francisco, and extending thence about north seventy-seven and one-half (77½) degrees east (true) to a point immediately southwest of and adjacent to the Southern Pacific Alameda Mole, and about six hundred (600) yards, more or less, east by south from the westerly extremity of said Mole, and thence about south seventy-two (72) degrees east (true) to the intersection of said line with the bank or shore of San Francisco Bay at its most westerly intersection with said line, in the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California.

That the length of said bridge on said lines will be about seventeen thousand seven hundred (17,700) feet, more or less, on the first of said lines and seven thousand two hundred (7,200) feet, more or less, on the second of said lines, making about twenty-four thousand nine hundred (24,900), more or less, feet for the total length of said bridge, not including approaches; that the width of the roadway on said bridge will be one hundred (100) feet or such other width as the Board of Supervisors may direct and require

under Section 2873 of the Political Code.

Filed August 15, 1927.

On motion of Supervisor Gallagher, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, October* 10, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Shannon—2.

Application of John P. O'Connell for a franchise for a toll bridge between the City and County of San Francisco and the County of Alameda.

Beginning at or near and within three hundred (300) feet northerly or southerly of the intersection of the northern line of Pier Forty-six (46) with the seawall at about the foot of Berry street in the City and County of San Francisco and extending thence about north seventy-two (72) degrees east (true) along a straight line to a point immediately southwest of and adjacent to the Southern Pacific Alameda Mole, and about six hundred (600) yards, more or less, east by south from the westerly extremity of said Mole, and thence about south seventy-two (72) degrees east (true) to the intersection of said line with the bank or shore of San Francisco Bay at its most westerly intersection with said line, in the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California.

That the length of said bridge on said lines will be about eighteen thousand two hundred (18,200) feet, more or less, on the first of said lines and seven thousand two hundred (7,200) feet, more or less, on the second of said lines, making about twenty-five thousand four hundred (25,400), more or less, feet for the total length of said bridge, not including approaches; that the width of the roadway on said bridge will be one hundred (100) feet or such other width as the Board of Supervisors may direct and require under Section 2873 of the Political Code.

Filed August 15, 1927.

On motion of Supervisor Gallagher, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday. October* 10, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Shannon—2.

Application of Transbay Development Corporation for a franchise to construct, maintain and operate and take tolls on a toll bridge over and across the Bay of San Francisco from the City and County of San Francisco to the cities of Oakland and Alameda, Alameda County.

The approximate location of said bridge is as follows: That said proposed toll bridge is to be located, constructed, operated and maintained across San Francisco Bay between Rincon Hill in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and the cities of Oakland and Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California, the termini in Oakland to be at or near the intersection of Seventh and Cedar streets and the foot of Peralta street, and in Alameda at a point on the mainland about five hundred feet southerly from the base of the Alameda Mole; the bridge proper to extend from a point at or near the intersection of Second and Harrison streets, San Francisco, in a generally northeasterly direction on a bearing about north seventy-eight degrees east (true) to a point about eighteen hundred feet inland from the outer end of the Alameda Mole and approximately five hundred feet southerly from the center line of the double track of the Southern Pacific Company; the approaches on the eastern shore to extend from the point last mentioned generally parallel to and about five hundred feet distant from and on the southerly side of the double track of the Southern Pacific Company; on said mole to the mainland in the City of Alameda, and with branches commencing at a point approximately thirty-two hundred feet from the point of beginning of the approaches and running to Seventh and Cedar streets and the foot of Peralta street in the City of Oakland; the approaches on the San Francisco side to be in continuation of the bridge proper from Second and Harrison streets or that vicinity, along Harrison, Fremont, Tehama, Seventh, Bryant and Second streets, and/or elsewhere as the public convenience may require; the length of the bridge proper to be approximately three and eight (3.8) tenths miles and of the bridge and approaches from five and three-quarters (5¾) miles to six and three-quarters (6¾) miles approximately; that the breadth of the proposed bridge is to be forty-two feet in the clear as

a minimum, the actual breadth to be determined according to best engineering practice to conform to the length of spans demanded by foundation conditions and by the requirements of the War Department.

Filed September 27, 1927.

On motion of Supervisor Gallagher, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, October* 10, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Shannon—2.

ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND MOTIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

Employment of Myra Mortimer, Symphony Concert Artist.

Supervisor Havenner presented:

Resolution No. 27898 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors, upon the recommendation of the Auditorium Committee, authorizes his Honor the Mayor to enter into contract with Myra Mortimer, or her representative, with the San Francisco Symphony Orchestra for her service as soloist on the evening of December 8, 1927, at concert to be given pursuant to the provisions of Paragraph 1 of Resolution No. 27535 (New Series), and providing that said Myra Mortimer, or her representative, be paid the fee of $500 for her services.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Shannon, Stanton—3.

Passed for Printing.

The following bill was *passed for printing*:

Providing for Issuance and Redemption of War Memorial Bonds.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy:

Bill No. 8247, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Providing for the issuance and redemption of bonds of the City and County of San Francisco, to the amount of four million ($4,000,000) dollars, for the acquisition, construction and completion of a permanent improvement, to-wit, the construction, completion and equipment of permanent buildings in or adjacent to the Civic Center in the City and County of San Francisco, to be used as Memorial Halls for war veterans, and for educational, recreational, entertainment and other municipal purposes, and the purchase of all equipment and furnishings necessary for said buildings, in accordance with and as authorized at a special election held in said City and County on the 14th day of June, 1927.

Whereas, a special election was held in the City and County of San Francisco on the 14th day of June, 1927, in accordance with the provisions and requirements of Ordinance No. 7516 (New Series), calling and providing for such election, and Resolution No. 27283 (New Series), giving notice thereof, reference to said ordinance and resolution for further particulars being here made; and

Whereas, it has been determined by Resolution No. 27642 (New Series), reference thereto for further particulars being here made, that at such special special election more than two-thirds of the votes cast thereat were cast and counted as being in favor of and to authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness for the purpose and to the amount stated in the proposition submitted thereat; now, therefore,

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. That bonds of the City and County of San Francisco be issued in accordance with the result of the special election held in said City and County on the 14th day of June, 1927, and the provisions of said Charter of said City and County and with Ordinance No. 7516 (New Series), and Resolution No. 27283 (New Series), as follows, to-wit:

That bonds of the City and County of San Francisco be issued to the amount of four million ($4,000,000) dollars for the purpose of the acquisition, construction and completion of a permanent improvement, to-wit, the construction, completion and equipment of permanent buildings in or adjacent to the Civic Center in the City and County of San Francisco, to be used as memorial halls for war veterans, and for educational, recreational, entertainment and other municipal

purposes, and the purchase of all equipment and furnishings necessary for said buildings.

That such bonds shall be called "Memorial Hall Bonds," shall be 4,000 in number and shall be numbered from 1 to 4,000, both inclusive, and shall be payable two hundred thousand ($200,000) dollars thereof five years from the date of said bonds, beginning with the lowest number, and two hundred thousand ($200,000) dollars thereof of the next higher numbers on the same day in each succeeding year until all of said bonds shall be paid.

Section 2. All of the bonds issued as herein provided shall be of the form and character known as "serials." All of said bonds shall be dated July 1, 1927, shall bear interest at the rate of four and one-half per centum per annum, payable semi-annually on the first day of January and July of each year until the maturity thereof; shall be of the denomination of $1,000 each, and the principal and interest thereof shall be payable in gold coin of the United States at the office of the Treasurer of said City and County, or, at the option of the holder, at the fiscal agency of said City and County in the City and State of New York.

Section 3. Said bonds shall be signed by the Mayor and by the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco, countersigned by the Auditor, and attested by the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors with the seal of said City and County.

Said bonds shall be in substantially the following form:

THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA.

State of California.

City and County of San Francisco.

MEMORIAL HALLS BOND.

No. —— $1,000.00

For value received, the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of California, hereby acknowledges itself indebted and promises to pay to the bearer on the first day of ————, 19—, one thousand dollars, with interest thereon at the rate of 4½ per centum per annum, payable semi-annually January 1 and July 1, on presentation and surrender of the coupons hereto attached as they respectively become due, both principal and interest being payable in gold coin of the United States at the office of the Treasurer of said City and County, or, at the option of the holder, at the fiscal agency of said City and County of San Francisco in the City and State of New York.

This bond is issued under and pursuant to the Constitution and statutes of the State of California and the Charter of said City and County of San Francisco and amendments thereto, and under and pursuant to ordinances and proceedings of said City and County duly adopted and taken, and a vote and assent of more than two-thirds of all the qualified electors of said City and County voting at a special election duly and legally called and held for that purpose.

It is hereby certified, recited and declared that all acts, conditions and things required by law to exist, happen and be performed precedent to and in the issuance of this bond have existed, happened and been performed in due time, form and manner as required by law, and that the amount of this bond, together with all other indebtedness of said City and County, does not exceed any limit prescribed by the Constitution or statutes of said State or Charter of said City and County, and that provision has been made as required by the Constitution and statutes of said State and the Charter of said City and County for the collection of an annual tax sufficient to pay for the interest on this bond as it falls due, and also provision to constitute a sinking fund for the payment of the principal of this bond on or before maturity. The full faith and credit of said City and County are hereby pledged for the punctual payment of the principal and interest of this bond.

This bond may be converted into a registered bond upon presentation to the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco, in which event such Treasurer shall cut off and cancel the coupons of this bond, and shall sign a statement stamped, printed or written upon the back or face of the bond to the effect that this bond is registered in the name of the owner and that thereafter the interest and principal of this bond are payable to the registered owner. Thereafter and from time to time this bond may be transferred by such registeed owner in person or by attorney duly authorized on presentation of this bond to the Treasurer, and the bond be again registered as before, a sim-

ilar statement being stamped, printed or written thereon.

This bond is exempt from all taxation within the State of California.

In witness whereof, said City and County of San Francisco has caused this bond to be executed under its corporate seal, signed by its Mayor and Treasurer, and countersigned by its Auditor, and has caused the interest coupons hereto attached to be signed with the engraved or lithographed signature of its Treasurer, and this bond to be dated the first day of July, 1927.

....., Mayor.

....., Treasurer.

Countersigned:

....., Auditor,

....., Clerk of the Board of Supervisors. (Seal)

Section 4. Interest coupons shall be attached to each bond to the number of twice the number of years such bond will run until the maturity thereof, and the coupons shall be of such a sum as will amount to the interest due on such bond for six months. Such coupons shall be numbered from one upwards and shall state the name and number of the bond to which it is attached. Such coupons shall bear the facsimile signature of the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco and be substantially in the following form:

FORM OF COUPON.

No. ——— $———

On ——— 1, 19—, the City and County of San Francisco, California, will pay to bearer at the office of the Treasurer of said City and County, or, at the option of the holder, at the fiscal agency of the City and County of San Francisco in the City and State of New York, ——— dollars ($———) in gold coin of the United States, being six months' interest then due on its "Memorial Halls" Bond dated July 1, 1927, number ———

....., Treasurer.

Section 5. Any bond may be converted into a registered bond upon presentation to the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco, in which event such Treasurer shall cut off and cancel the coupons of this bond, and shall sign a statement stamped, printed or written upon the back or face of the bond to the effect that the bond is registered in the name of the owner and that hereafter the interest and principal of the bond are payable to the registered owner. Thereafter and from time to time the bond may be transferred by such registered owner in person or attorney duly authorized on presentation of the bond to the Treasurer, and the bond be again registered as before, a similar statement being stamped, printed or written thereon. Such registration shall be substantially in the following form:

FORM OF REGISTRATION.

San Francisco, ———, 19—.

This bond is registered pursuant to Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, in the name of ——— and the interest and principal thereof are hereafter payable to such owner.

....., Treasurer.

Section 6. For the purpose of providing for the payment of the principal and interest of said bonds, taxes shall be levied and collected as provided in Ordinance No. 7516 (New Series) and Resolution No. 27283 (New Series), and in furtherance of the purpose herein expressed the following fund is hereby created, to-wit, "Memorial Halls Bond Interest and Redemption Fund."

Section 7. The Board of Supervisors shall sell said bonds at such times and in such amounts as it may determine. The proceeds arising from the sale of Memorial Halls Bonds shall be placed in the treasury to the credit of the "Memorial Halls Construction Fund," which fund is hereby created, and shall be used exclusively for the purpose for which such bonds were issued.

Section 8. This ordinance shall take effect immediately. And the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors is hereby directed to advertise this bill and ordinance in the official newspaper, as required by law.

Section 9. All bills or ordinances in conflict herewith are hereby expressly repealed.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Shannon, Stanton—3.

Relative to 333 Kearny Street Lease.

The matter of the cancellation of the lease of 333 Kearny street, put on the clip by the Clerk, was taken up.

Motion.

Supervisor Gallagher moved that the Building Committee be given one week to negotiate modifications of the lease, eliminating District Attorney and Grand Jury space and space not occupied or if not, that it be the sense of the Board that the lease be cancelled.

So ordered.

Mr. E. Eastman appeared in the foregoing matter for the owner of the building and was heard at length.

Campaign Committee for Bond Issues.

Supervisor Havenner moved that the Public Utilities and Streets Committee, in cooperation with the Mayor, appoint a Citizens Committee to take charge of the campaign for bond issues of Municipal Railway extensions, Moccasin Creek Power Line and the boulevards.

So ordered.

Municipal Band Banner.

Supervisor Gallagher moved that the Municipal Band be provided with a suitable banner for parade purposes.

So ordered.

ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business, the Board at 6 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors December 5, 1927.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated, and approved as above recited.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors.
City and County of San Francisco.

Vol. 22—New Series
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY
No. 41

Monday, October 10, 1927

Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
337 Bush Street, S. F.

JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, OCTOBER 10, 1927, 10 A. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, October 10, 1927, 10 a. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Gallagher, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Kent—3.

Quorum present.

His Honor Mayor Rolph presiding.

APPROVAL OF JOURNALS.

The Journals of Proceedings of the meetings of May 25 and 31, 1927, were considered read and *approved.*

ROLL CALL FOR PETITIONS FROM MEMBERS.

Endorsement of Employment of Delos F. Wilcox as Street Railway Expert.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication, from Gene Watts Blood, secretary Excelsior Peoples Improvement Association, advising that said association at its last meeting endorsed the employment of Delos F. Wilcox as street railway expert.

Ordered *filed.*

Board Thanked for Removal of Car Tracks From Montgomery Street.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication, from the North Central Improvement Club, extending its appreciation for assistance rendered in the removal of car tracks from Montgomery street.

Ordered *filed.*

Mayor's Initiative Ordinance for the Acquisition of Privately Owned Street Railway Systems.

The following was presented, read and ordered *spread in the Journal,* and *referred to the Public Utilities Committee*:

Mayor's Office, San Francisco.
October 10, 1927.

Honorable Board of Supervisors,
City Hall, San Francisco, California.

Gentlemen:

I hand you herewith copy of an ordinance which I have submitted to the voters of the City and County of San Francisco, and providing for the preliminary proceedings to be taken for the absorption of the privately owned street railways by the City.

It is regrettable that a matter so vital to the welfare, comfort and happiness of the people as the acquisition of the privately owned street railway systems of this City and their amalgamation with the present Municipal Railways should be made a matter of political contention.

This question is the concern of the whole people. They pay the bills and should be heard in the determination of all questions involved.

In order to remove this subject from the field of politics, I, under the power vested in me as Mayor by the Charter, have submitted to the people of San Francisco for their decision at the coming election this ordinance, of which I am sending you a copy herewith.

Respectfully yours,
JAMES ROLPH, JR.,
Mayor.

I, James Rolph, Jr., Mayor of the City and County of San Francisco, by virtue of the power vested in me by the Charter of said City and County, hereby propose the following ordinance for submission to the electors at the municipal general election to be held in the City and County of San Francisco on the 8th day of November, 1927:

Ordinance providing for the employment by the Board of Public Works of three assistants to the City Engineer to examine and analyze all franchises under which privately owned street railways are operated in the City and County of San Francisco; to determine the respective dates of expiration of said franchises; to fix the value thereof, if any, and the value of

all other properties, including rolling stock, trackage and equipments operated, owned or controlled and used or usable in the operation of said privately owned street railways, including the Market Street Railway System situate in San Francisco and in the County of San Mateo, and to formulate and report to the Board of Supervisors a plan and recommendations for the acquisition and operation of said properties by the City and County of San Francisco.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Three assistants to the City Engineer shall be employed by the Board of Public Works to examine and analyze all franchises under which privately owned street railways are operated in the City and County of San Francisco; to determine the respective dates of expiration of said franchises; to fix the value thereof, if any, and the value of all other properties, including rolling stock, trackage and equipments operated, owned or controlled and used or usable in the operation of said privately owned street railways, including the Market Street Railway system situate in San Francisco and in the County of San Mateo; to formulate a plan and recommendations for the acquisition and operation of said properties by the City and County of San Francisco, and to report to the Board of Public Works their findings and recommendations within ninety (90) days from the date of their appointment.

Section 2. One of said assistants must have had experience in the construction or operation of street railways and another must be a civil engineer with traction experience. None of said assistants shall be directly or indirectly interested, as officer, stockholder, bondholder, pledgeholder, agent or employee in the Market Street Railway Company or in other privately owned street railways in the State of California or elsewhere, or in any holding or other corporation which is in any manner affiliated with any such privately owned street railway.

Section 3. A board consisting of the President of the University of California, the President of Leland Stanford Jr. University, the President of Santa Clara University and the President of St. Mary's College shall present to the Board of Public Works the names of six persons at least two of whom have had experience in the construction or operation of street railways and two of whom are civil engineers with traction experience and two of whom are citizens of San Francisco. From the names so presented the Board of Public Works shall select three assistants to the City Engineer, one of whom has had experience in the construction or operation of street railways; one of whom shall be a civil engineer with traction experience, and one of whom shall be a resident of the City and County of San Francisco, with or without technical training. No person shall be appointed assistant who is disqualified under Section 2 hereof, or who is opposed to the ownership, control and operation of street railways by the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 4. Said assistants shall within ninety days after their appointment file their report and recommendations with the Board of Public Works; provided, however, that any report or recommendation made by said assistants shall provide for the continued maintenance of a five-cent fare and the prevailing half fare for school children.

Section 5. Said assistants shall make such other and further examinations, analyses, investigations, reports and recommendations upon the subject of the acquisition and operation by the City and County of San Francisco of privately owned street railway systems as in their judgment shall seem proper.

Section 6. Said assistants in the performance of their duties shall receive the cooperation of the City Engineer and the City Attorney of San Francisco.

Section 7. This ordinance shall have the same force and effect as if passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco under the provisions of Section 8, Chapter 1, Article VI of the City Charter.

Section 8. There shall be appropriated from the moneys in the General Fund not otherwise appropriated adequate compensation for the services to be rendered by said assistants and for their traveling expenses and all other expenses incurred in the performance of their duties.

Section 9. If for any reason the said assistants shall not be ready to file their report and recommendations within ninety days after their appointment the Board of Public Works may extend the time within which such report and recommendations shall be filed.

Section 10. This ordinance shall take effect upon the date of the

official declaration of the result of said municipal general election.

JAMES ROLPH, JR.,
Mayor of the City and County of San Francisco.

San Francisco, October 8, 1927.

Relative to Creation of Joint Highway District.

The following was read by the Clerk:

Redwood City, California,
October 7, 1927.

Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, 235 City Hall, San Francisco, California.

Gentlemen:

At a meeting of the Board of Supervisors, held on Monday, October 3, your Resolution No. 27771 (New Series), adopted by your Board on September 16, was presented, read and filed.

The Board is pleased that tentative approval was given to the creation of a Joint Highway District, and they have authorized Chairman J. W. Poole to act as a director to represent San Mateo County.

Very truly yours,
Elizabeth M. Kneese,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors.

Referred to Streets Committee.

Relative to Roads and Trails in Hetch Hetchy Valley.

Supervisor Shannon moved that the City Attorney be authorized to transmit to the Secretary of the Interior the letter in reference to the City's obligation to construct roads and trails in Hetch Hetchy Valley, which matter was considered in the Board on August 8, 1927.

Motion *carried.*

CONSIDERATION OF MAYOR'S VETO.

Employment of Delos F. Wilcox, Municipal Railway Expert.

Consideration of the veto of his Honor the Mayor of Resolution No. 27804 (New Series), authorizing the City Attorney to employ Delos F. Wilcox for the purpose of making an analysis and investigation regarding the franchises and properties of the privately-owned street railways in San Francisco, and to furnish certain additional information to the City Attorney as set forth in a communication to your Board, and appropriating $10,000 to defray the expense of said employment.

Motion.

Supervisor Colman moved that Assistant Engineer Nelson Eckart be granted the privilege of the flood. Seconded by Supervisor Byington.

Supervisor Havenner objected that Mr. Eckart is not a representative of the City Attorney's office, and that it would be "improper for him, who has played no part in this whole proceeding, to come here and criticize the recommendation of the City Attorney, another branch of the City government.

Amendment.

Supervisor Schmidt moved as an amendment to Supervisor Colman's motion that Assistant Engineer Eckart be granted the privilege of the floor, but that his discussion be confined to engineering facts. Seconded by Supervisor Byington.

Amendment *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton—11.

Noes—Supervisors Badaracco, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, Powers, Shannon, Todd—7.

Privilege of the Floor.

The discussion in part follows:

Mr. Eckart: To the point that is made by Mr. Havenner it seems to me not to be directly to the question. The report which Mr. Wilcox is about to make, or which he has been employed to make, is strictly an engineering report. He is going to make an analysis of the franchise expirations, with maps, and summarize a description of the physical properties covered by each franchise, with an estimate of the valuation of the same, based upon an evaluation heretofore made. He is going to make a study of car mileage and passenger traffic on all the privately owned street railway systems, said analysis to be made by operative routes, supported as near as possible by franchise expirations. Now, if that is not a matter of engineering and street railway operation, I do not know what it is. He is going to make special estimates for each particular route where portions of the line are operated under franchises expiring on different dates. He is going to make an analysis of the routing and trackage of all the street railways in the City for the purpose of determining what lines or parts of lines can be dispensed with upon the expiration of the existing franchises. Why, that is clearly an operating problem, a part of the duties of an engineer or a street railway operator. It is not the part of an attorney, nor the part of an advisor to an attorney.

Supervisor Schmidt: May I ask on that point, through the Chair, has Mr. Wilcox ever given the same information outlined there that you

have just read to other great cities in the United States?

Mr. Eckart: I am not answering that question.

Supervisor Schmidt: Well, has he?

Mr. Eckart: I could not tell you because I am talking to this particular question, and the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco provides that all engineering data, or data relative to public utilities, shall be furnished to the Board of Supervisors by the Board of Public Works and not by any expert brought in by the Board of Supervisors to give that advice.

Supervisor Schmidt: But you have not answered my question, has he given the same advice?

The Chairman: He has answered that question, he says he cannot tell you.

Supervisor Schmidt: He don't want to, I guess. (Applause.)

Mr. Eckart: He may have.

Supervisor Havenner: How about the employment of Mr. Ellis by the City Attorney for engineering advice in connection with the evaluation of the properties——

Mr. Eckart (interrupting): I would refer you to the City Attorney on that. I would prefer not to raise——

Supervisor Powers (interrupting): When you mentioned the Board of Public Works, do you mean that we should receive the information from the Board of Public Works, or would they hire the talent on the outside?

Mr. Eckart: The Charter provides——

Supervisor Powers (interrupting): Could any of the Commissioners of the Board of Public Works give us any information?

Mr. Eckart: The Charter provides——

Supervisor Powers (interrupting): Could any of the Commissions of the Board of Public Works give us any information?

Mr. Eckart: That is not pertinent to the question.

Supervisor Havenner: I do not want to be in the position of obstructing Mr. Eckart, but Mr. Eckart is making a legal argument, he is presenting an argument here to prove to us that we have no legal right to do what the City Attorney advises us we can do, and I submit that that is not his proper function before this Board, he is not presenting engineering information at all, he is discussing the Charter, and he is not qualified to advise us on the Charter.

The Chairman: He has not had much chance to present anything yet.

Mr. Eckert: The Supervisor persists in asking me legal questions.

Supervisor McSheehy: May I say to the members of the Board simply this: Rule 40 allows Mr. Eckart a certain time, why not allow him to finish, and then when he has finished we can ask him some questions.

Supervisor Schmidt: Let us begin all over again.

Supervisor McSheehy: He is allowed ten minutes according to our rule. Allow him that and then ask him questions afterwards and we will get along faster.

Mr. Eckart: Mr. Havenner insists that I am talking on legal questions, and then he persists in asking me legal questions.

Supervisor Havenner: That is the only thing you have discussed, I am not asking you anything else.

Mr. Eckart: He is going to "make an analysis of the effect of expansion and unification of the street railway systems of the City under municipal ownership and operation upon the cost of service, with particular reference to the possibility of retaining the five-cent fare." Still more engineering advice. He is going to "make an analysis of the valuations heretofore made by the City Engineer and the Railroad Commission with respect to the propriety of the general rules of valuation." And he is going to "make recommendations of the plan deemed most practicable under all circumstances, for the acquisition of all or such parts of the street railway properties now privately owned as may be required to establish a complete and unified system of street railways under municipal ownership." All of which is strictly engineering and an operating matter. Now, the City Engineer has been brought into this matter——

Supervisor Havenner: By whom?

Mr. Eckart: By the press and by the members of the Board of Supervisors.

Supervisor Havenner: And the Mayor.

Supervisor Harrelson: Now, Mr. Chairman——

The Chairman (interrupting): As far as I can, as presiding officer, I would like to assist Mr. Eckart to present his matter without interruption.

Supervisor Harrelson: I have not said a word, but I object to any member of this Board heckling Mr. Eckart any further. (Applause.)

The Chairman: When I referred to interruption, I did not mean you,

Mr. Harrelson. I think Mr. Eckart should be permitted, unless there is some particular question that should be asked at a particular time, to proceed. Now, you try to proceed, Mr. Eckart, and we will see if we cannot help you along.

Mr. Eckart: Now, I do not want to go into the question of Mr. Wilcox's qualifications for making this report, except to state that the work to be performed is almost purely engineering work. Mr. Havenner just this morning has stated that Mr. Wilcox is not an engineer. Now, as going further to the right of the Board—and this is, as I say, where the Board of Public Works and the Engineering Department is vitally interested, and that is that the matter is fully covered under Section 10, Article 6 of the Charter, which provides that "all examinations, plans and estimates required by the Board of Supervisors in connection with any public improvement or utilities shall be made by the Board of Public Works, and it shall, when requested to do so, furnish information and data for use of the Board of Supervisors." "And further authority is vested in the Board of Public Works to carry out these powers and, under such ordinances as the Supervisors may enact, contract for work to be performed, or for expert technical or professional services to be rendered." Now, I would refer you to an opinion of the City Attorney, rendered December 15, 1926, relative to the employment of engineers by the Board of Supervisors in the Bay District——

The Chairman (interrupting): Let me interrupt you. I think you are not permitted, under the motion, to discuss——

Supervisor Schmidt (interrupting): He is going far afield.

The Chairman: Let me state it, Mr. Schmidt, I think I can express myself without your assistance. I think, Mr. Eckart, frankly, under the motion as it stands, you are not permitted to discuss the legal question connected with the employment of Mr. Wilcox, and whether or not this Board of Supervisors could authorize the City Attorney to employ him. Now, I am merely stating the way the matter stands under the motion, and I think that is the correct statement.

Supervisor Byington: He is not discussing it from a legal standpoint, it is a matter of fact.

Mr. Eckart: I will proceed, but the Board will stop me, I presume, if I go too far in setting forth any of these facts, but I am going to endeavor to present clearly to this Board, as clearly as I can, the viewpoint of the City Engineer's office and the Board of Public Works, relative to the employment of Mr. Wilcox.

The Chairman: As to whether or not this Board has the right to employ him legally?

Mr. Eckart: I say, I am going to do that unless I am stopped.

The Chairman: Well, I am stopping you now, Mr. Eckart, because, as the motion stands—and I am merely expressing the condition—you are to discuss the engineering facts connected with the employment of Mr. Wilcox, and you are not going into the question of whether that employment legally should be made by this Board, or by some other department.

Mr. Eckart: Do you object to my reading an opinion of the City Attorney on the matter?

The Chairman: I do no object at all Mr. Eckart, I am merely the instrument of the Board as the presiding officer, and I am telling you how the motion stands.

* * * * *

Mr. Eckart: I would refer you to an opinion of the City Attorney rendered on December 15, 1926, relative to the employment by the Board of Supervisors of engineers in the Bay Bridge matter, from which I quote: "The resolution deals first with the retaining by the Board of Supervisors of Engineers . . . Your attention is directed to Section 10, Article 6 of the Charter, reading as follows: (Reading.) I am of the opinion that the construction of a bridge such as you have under consideration at the present time, or the selection of a site therefor, is a public improvement, and that, under the plain language of the Charter quoted, 'all examinations, plans and estimates for the same be made by engineers.' It is a well settled rule of law"—now get this—"that a county board is without authority to employ or contract with a person to perform acts which are a part of the official duties imposed by law upon another official. This principle has been sustained by the Supreme Court of this State in numerous cases." And then he cites the cases. I also would refer you to another opinion of the City Attorney, dated December 30th, in which he advised you that you were without power to employ an engineer to make a survey of the City's lighting system, which is likewise a function of the Board of Public Works, and, notwithstanding that

opinion, I understand this same expert is in the employ of the City at the present time. Now, I contend that the attempted employment of Mr. Wilcox by the City Attorney, for the purpose of furnishing information and data to the Board of Supervisors on matters relative to the extension, acquisition or operation of street railways, is an evasion of the principle of the Charter above quoted, and that, if the Board of Supervisors desires to have the information furnished them, such as has been outlined in the letter of the City Attorney to the Board referred to and made a part of the resolution setting forth what Mr. Wilcox proposes to do for the $10,000 fee, I submit that your Board should adopt an ordinance requesting the Board of Public Works to furnish such information and authorize them to employ, upon the certification as to the necessity therefor by the City Engineer, such experts as may be necessary for this purpose. And the employment of these experts might well, as has been suggested, be done in the same way in which they were chosen for the bridge work.

Now, there is another phase of the subject that I would like to touch on, and that is, that in 1911 the City employed a Mr. Bion J. Arnold, one of the foremost traction engineers in the United States, to make an investigation on the street railway situation in San Francisco, and to recommend such action to the Board of Supervisors relative to the transportation facilities. And the scope of this report proposed by Mr. Wilcox is somewhat similar to that which Mr. Arnold undertook. It is true, some of the work recommended by Mr. Arnold has been carried through, such as the Twin Peaks tunnel and the Stockton street tunnel, but there are other matters that Mr. Wilcox proposes to delve into, which were not considered by Mr. Arnold, and I would refer to his proposed evaluation work. It took Mr. Arnold and the staff over fifteen months to compile this report, at a cost of approximately $62,000, of which $50,000 was chargeable to car routing and allied matters. The other expenses being charged to the tunnel studies. Now, Mr. Wilcox proposes to complete his report within sixty days. Now, I won't say that Mr. Wilcox cannot complete a report within sixty days, but I contend that any report made in that sixty-day period will be more or less superficial. Mr. Havenner in a published statement and today before the Board says that all that the Board of Supervisors is now seeking is just such expert advice with respect to our legal rights when the franchises expire, and he goes on, "And having obtained this advice, which is essential immeditely, the Board will be in a position to determine the policy of procedure which may involve, as the Mayor suggests, some additional advice on engineering and operating problems. Mr. Wilcox has not been employed, as the Mayor mistakenly infers, to make an evaluation of the Market Street Railroad properties. This has already been done by our City Engineer and by the State Railroad Commission, and there is no intent to take these engineering problems out of the hands of recognied experts on that subject. The City has its own corps of experts in matters of construction and operation in the City Engineer's office, and the operating staff of the Municipal Railway and their services will be enlisted for a final solution of the problem and submitted to the people." This, however, does not seem to be in accord with the proposition as outlined in the resolution and the letter of the City Attorney. Reference is made to that because the resolution and the letter point out, clearly, that the work to be undertaken by Mr. Wilcox is fundamentally and essentially, from start to finish, an engineering problem. It is not a legal problem, except in a very small sense, if at all, and with that I will submit that the Board has no right to employ Mr. Wilcox.

* * * * *

City Attorney John J. O'Toole.

City Attorney O'Toole: Mr. Supervisor, I have often told Mr. Eckart that as a lawyer he was a wonderful engineer, and as to my knowledge of engineering, I have to rely entirely upon my qualifications as a lawyer. Now, as to the right of this Board to get this particular information, and get it through the City Attorney's office, if they desire to do it, I think there is no question whatsoever. Mr. Eckart has directed the attention to two opinions given, one to this Board and, I think, the other one to the Auditor, from my office. I wrote both of those opinions. One of them was relative to the construction of a bridge across the Bay. True, it carried with it a study practically of some traffic conditions, but those were merely incidental. I advised the Board at that time, I thought that that was an engineering matter under Section 10, Article 6,

dealing with the Board of Public Works, and was a matter within the jurisdiction of the Board of Public Works. In the case of Mr. Whittlesey, a resolution was passed by this Board authorizing the Lighting Committee to employ an engineer to make a study relative, I think it was, to getting a new lighting system in San Francisco, clearly an engineering matter, and I ruled in that particular case that it was a matter within the jurisdiction of the Board of Public Works, and if the Supervisors wanted that information, they would have to get it through the Board of Public Works. Now, this matter which is engaging the attention of my office at the present time and the attention of your Board at the present moment, is not entirely an engineering matter, it is not entirely a legal matter. As to the legal matters I feel qualified to advise the Board as to the rights of the City, as far as those rights involve the determination of legal matters, and I am not asking for any assistance from anyone except the deputies in my office on that particular point. On matters that are purely engineering, I have stated in my letter that I am perfectly willing to reply upon the engineer and take the data which he has before him. But there are matters which will have to be determined and concluded by this Board, and have to be determined by myself before I can make a full report to this Board, under the resolution, which has never been revealed, referring the matter to me, and those are matters that are neither engineering matters nor are they legal matters. They are matters, or rather possibly conclusions, that can be drawn from both the legal and the engineering matters that will be determined. I can advise the Board, or I can advise anyone who is working with me here, be it Mr. Wilcox or anyone else, when a certain franchise will expire, what properties will belong to the companies and what properties will belong to the City on the date of the expiration of that franchise. The City Engineer is competent and I understand he has that information to tell me, or tell whoever is working with me, what the value of those properties are. But there are certain economic conclusions to be drawn from both of the other facts that have been determined from a legal standpoint and from an engineering standpoint, and those are matters that I think are neither the duties of an engineer nor a lawyer, and I think a man of Mr. Wilcox's type is competent to perform them. For instance, we will take—I will just take a moment to give you one instance, we will take the Haight street car line. The franchise of the Haight street car line from Market and Gough streets to Stanyan street will expire either some time in 1929, or 1932, the exact time has not been determined by my office. When that franchise has expired the Market Street Railway will not have the right to operate cars over that line. But at the present time the Market Street Railway Company is operating cars over that line, not only as far as Stanyan street, but from Stanyan street to the beach, and they have a franchise I think for that additional line for some twelve years. Now, one of the things that this Board will want to be advised upon is, what is the best to do with that Haight street franchise from Gough and Market streets to Stanyan street? Can it be operated at all without operating it directly to the beach? Should we enter into some negotiations to acquire the unexpired portion of that franchise? Would it be for the better interests of the City to start condemnation proceedings to determine how much traffic that car line can carry from Market and Haight streets to Stanyan street? Would it be profitable to the City to operate that much of it, or could they make some transfer arrangements and permit the Market Street Railway Company to conduct their road from Stanyan street to the beach for the remainder of that particular franchise? Now, those are matters that I believe, and I think I am correct in my belief, I think it is sound as a legal proposition, that are neither legal nor engineering, and it was for that reason that I suggested to the Board the propriety of employing Mr. Wilcox.

Supervisor Havenner: Mr. Chairman: On account of the importance of this issue I want to read a statement, which will set forth clearly all of the moves that have been made in this proceeding, so that the record may be accurate.

"The Mayor's attempt to veto the resolution unanimously adopted by this Board of Supervisors, authorizing the City Attorney to employ Dr. Delos F. Wilcox for the purpose of making an analysis and investigation regarding the franchises and properties of the pri-

vately owned street railways in San Francisco, and to furnish additional information to the City Attorney, is based upon three main arguments:

First: That the sole report upon the possible solutions of the local street railroad problem, and the specific recommendations with respect to such solutions, which should be considered by the Board of Supervisors must be prepared by a board of three expert engineers.

Second: That Dr. Wilcox, while 'a man of high standing and keen intelligence,' does not possess practical experience in the construction, management and operation of street railways, and is therefore not qualified to render the expert services for which he was engaged by the City Attorney.

Third: That Dr. Wilcox is 'an enthusiast on municipal ownership;' that municipal ownership is no longer an issue in San Francisco; and that no further discussion of municipal ownership is required in the study of how the City shall deal with the expiring franchises of the Market Street Railway Company.

I will deal with these arguments seriatim.

First: The Finance and Public Utilities Committees were authorized by this Board of Supervisors to make a study and survey of the whole problem of street railway transportation in San Francisco, with particular consideration of the approaching expiration of certain important street railway franchises, and to report to the Board a program of recommendation for the acquisition of all privately owned street car lines in the City and County and their consolidation with the Municipal Railway under a system of municipal ownership and operation."

I want to emphasize now that we are committed, by the very resolution of policy under which this procedure started, to municipal ownership and operation of these lines.

"After numerous conferences upon the question of procedure in this all important investigation, the Finance and Public Utilities Committees recommended to the Board of Supervisors that the initial step which should logically be taken was a study by the City Attorney of all of the legal problems surrounding the expiration of the franchises."

I would like to bring this particularly to the attention of Supervisor Harrelson, that this was recommended to the Board of Supervisors, "That the initial step which should logically be taken was a study by the City Attorney of all of the legal problems surrounding the expiration of the franchises. This study should be undertaken first, it was explained, in order that the Board might be fully advised of all of the legal courses of action which would be open to the City upon the expiration of the franchises and in the interim." We made it plain that this was not the final report on which we were going to base our recommendations, but we believed that this was essential first. I have no doubt that we will require several subsequent reports before an election can be held next year and the submission of a policy made to the people. "In complete approval of this recommendation the Board of Supervisors, on August 8, 1927, adopted, without a dissenting vote, Resolution No. 27648 (New Series), authorizing the City Attorney to make this initial study. On August 19, 1927, Mayor James Rolph, Jr., affixed his signature to this resolution, thereby giving his official approval to the very plan of procedure which he now seeks to invalidate. I move you now, Mr. Chairman, that Resolution No. 27648 (New Series) be made a part of this record."

The Chairman: Any objection? (Dropping the gavel.)

Resolution No. 27648 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, many of the franchises under and by virtue of which the privately owned street railway companies operate their street cars over the streets of San Francisco will expire during the early part of the year 1929; and

Whereas, the City and County of San Francisco is by its Charter committed to the acquisition of its public utilities, and that we, the Board of Supervisors of San Francisco, believe that all of the street railways of San Francisco should be municipally owned and operated; and

Whereas, that, unless some immediate steps are taken to make provision for the operation of the lines of the said privately owned street railways at the expiration of their present franchises, the people of San Francisco may be left without adequate means of transportation; and

Whereas, we believe that if the physical properties of all of the said street railways can be acquired at their fair and reasonable value, hav-

ing due regard for the limited existence of the respective franchises under which the same are operated, that the City should acquire the same, either at the date of the expiration of said franchises, or in the interim between the present time and the date of said expiration of said franchises; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the City Attorney be and he is hereby directed to make a full and complete research of all matters pertaining to the expiration of the franchises under which the privately owned railway companies operate in the City and County of San Francisco, as well as what rights and properties will revert to the City upon the expiration of said franchises, as well as the rights and properties which will remain in possession of the respective street railway companies at the date of the expiration of said franchises, and also where certain street lines are operated under more than one franchise, what effect the expiration of one of said franchises will have on the operation of that particular line.

And further, that said City Attorney advise as to what action, if any, shall be necessary to compel the said railway companies to cease operation of the said cars on the expiration of their respective franchises, or under what conditions, if any, the City might enter into a temporary agreement with said companies for the continued operation of their said street railways after the expiration of said franchises, and further, whether it will be possible for the City to purchase the property of said railway companies, either at the date of the expiration of said franchises, or in the interim between the present time and the date of said expiration, and if so, to cooperate with the Public Utilities and Finance Committees in reporting to this Board, at what figure, and if said properties cannot be purchased by agreement with said respective companies, can the same be taken under condemnation proceedings, and if such proceedings are commenced, what particular properties can be taken thereunder; and be it

Further Resolved, That said City Attorney report to this Board as soon as said investigation can be made.

Adopted by the Board of Supervisors August 8, 1927.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—13.

Absent — Supervisors Bath, Colman, Deasy, Powers, Shannon—5.

Approved August 19, 1927.

JAMES ROLPH, JR.,
Mayor.

Supervisor Havenner: Subsequently, acting under the authority thus granted by the Supervisors and the Mayor, the City Attorney reported to the Board an outline of the studies of the franchise problems which he deemed to be essential, and suggested the need of expert assistance in conducting this study. He proposed the name of Dr. Delos F. Wilcox, a recognized expert of national experience and reputation on franchise and public utilities problems, and the Board of Supervisors, by unanimous vote, with all of the eighteen members present, adopted Resolution No. 27804 (New Series), authorizing the City Attorney to employ Dr. Wilcox. All of this was done in accordance with the express authority previously granted by the Board of Supervisors and approved by the Mayor. And I want to say, parenthetically, Mr. Chairman, that I was amazed by the confession of Supervisor Byington that he sat here and voted on such an important issue as this without knowing what he was voting for.

I move you now, Mr. Chairman, that the letter of the City Attorney addressed to the Public Utilities Committee and the Finance Committee of this Board, under date of September 6, 1927, suggesting the employment of Dr. Wilcox and outlining the scope of the report which he desired him to make, be made a part of this record.

The Chairman: No objection? (Dropping the gavel.)

San Francisco, Sept. 6, 1927.

To the Public Utilities Committee and to the Finance Committee of the Board of Supervisors.

Gentlemen:

Pursuant to Resolution No. 27648 adopted by the Honorable Board of Supervisors, I have made a preliminary investigation relative to the privately owned street railways in San Francisco. This investigation has embraced only the manner in which I may be able to fully advise your Board as to matters covered in the above resolution.

I find that the City Engineer has in his possession data which can be brought down to date, and from which it will be possible to determine with a fair degree of accuracy the physical value of the properties of the railroads. A statement of the earnings of these roads can be obtained from the Railroad Commission.

I have had the opportunity of conferring with Mr. Delos F. Wilcox relative to the more technical portions of the investigation which you desire to be made. Mr. Wilcox has offered to make the following studies:

1. Make an analysis of franchise expirations covering all privately owned street railways, and report same, with maps and summaries by dates and descriptions, together with physical properties covered by each franchise, with an approximate assignment of value of the same based upon valuations heretofore made by the City Engineer or the State Railroad Commission, and report the present condition of said properties.

2. Make an analysis of car mileage and passenger traffic on all privately owned street railway systems, said analysis to be made by operated routes supported as near as possible by franchise expirations, with special estimates for each particular route where portions of the line are operated under franchises expiring different dates.

3. Make an analysis of routing and trackage of all street railways in the city, for the purpose of determining what lines or parts of lines could be dispensed with upon the expiration of existing franchises or upon the unification of all lines under municipal ownership.

4. Make an analysis of the effects of the expiration of existing franchises upon the value and serviceability of routes or parts of routes for which franchises do not expire until late dates.

5. Make an analysis of the effect of the unification and expansion of the street railway systems of the city under municial ownership and operation upon the cost of service, with particular reference to the possibility of retaining the five-cent fare under these conditions.

6. Make an analysis of the valuations heretofore made by the City Engineer and the Railroad Commission, with respect to the propriety and the general rules of valuation, with particular reference to the present price trends, intangibleness, depreciation and the effect of the approaching expiration of franchises.

7. Make recommendations of the plan deemed most practicable under all circumstances for the acquisition of all or such parts of the street railway properties now privately owned as may be required to establish a complete and unified system of street railways under municipal ownership. This will involve a consideration of the relative merits of negotiation and condemnation at the present time, as well as at the expiration of the principal franchises, and will also involve the recommendation of any temporary agreement deemed proper between the City and the railway companies providing for the operation of said railways between the date of the expiration of their respective franchises and the date of the taking over of said railways by the City.

Mr. Wilcox estimates that this work can be completed within a period of sixty days from and after the date of its commencement, and asks a fee of $10,000, which shall include all expenses incident to the investigation, said amount to be payable as follows: On September 15, 1927, $2,000; October 1, 1927, $2,000; October 15, 1927, $2,000; upon filing the report, $4,000.

Should the Board desire this investigation made by Mr. Wilcox, I will be pleased to have the necessary appropriation made to cover his expenses, and the requisite authority to employ him.

Sincerely yours,

(Signed) JNO. J. O'TOOLE."

Supervisor Havenner: "Also, Resolution No. 27804 (New Series), authorizing the employment of Mr. Wilcox."

The Chairman: Such will be the order.

"Resolution No. 27804 (New Series).

Resolved, That the City Attorney be and he is hereby authorized to employ Delos F. Wilcox for the purpose of making an analysis and investigation regarding the franchises and properties of the privately owned street railways in San Francisco, and to furnish such additional information to the City Attorney as set forth in the letter of said City Attorney addressed to this Board on the date hereof, at a cost of ten thousand ($10,000) dollars, payable to said Delos F. Wilcox as follows, to-wit: $2,000 on September 15, 1927; $2,000 on October 1, 1927; $2,000 on October 15, 1927, and $4,000 upon the completion and filing of said report. That the compensation herein specified is to be in full payment for all services rendered by said Wilcox or anyone acting under him, and the said work should be completed within approximately sixty (60) days from date of his employment; be it

Further Resolved, That the sum of ten thousand ($10,000) dollars be and the same is hereby appropriated from the moneys of the General Fund not otherwise appro-

priated for the purpose of defraying the expenses of the employment of said Wilcox."

Supervisor Havenner: "I desire to call your particular attention now to the fact that at the same time the City Attorney was authorized to make his study of the legal problems surrounding the expiration of the franchises this Board of Supervisors adopted a motion, submitted by me, authorizing the City Engineer to bring down to date his physical evaluation of the properties of the privately owned street railways, which was made several years ago." I want to call that again to the attention of Supervisor Harrelson. Simultaneously, we took action, calling upon the City Engineer's office to furnish us this information, which we recognized they were qualified as experts under the Charter to furnish.

Supervisor Hayden: At that point there, was not there some question about the appropriation?

Supervisor Havenner: I am coming to that in a moment. This evaluation, as you all know, was made several years ago. "This procedure was suggested by the City Attorney in his letter of September 6, which I have just made a part of this record, and the action of the Board was tantamount to a declaration of policy that, at the proper time, an additional expert report, compiled by engineers, on the physical value of the properties to be taken over by the City will be required by the Board for its guidance in dealing with the whole problem. Action on the request of the City Engineer for funds for the revision of his evaluation has been temporarily deferred, pending advice from the City Attorney as to the proper data for the new evaluation." Now, I fell that we should have that advice from the City Attorney, because he is going to advise us of our legal rights, so that we may determine upon a course of action and upon a declaration of policy. Until he gives us that advice and we decide upon the lines along which we want to proceed, we cannot tell the City Engineer what data we desire the new evaluation to be made. And so we must wait for this advice.

"The contention of the Mayor, however, that the sole advice which this Board of Supervisors shall receive in its consideration of this great problem must come from a board of engineering experts, who shall be chosen without regard to their attitude toward the principle of municipal ownership, is preposterous. The policy which this Board of Supervisors will eventually adopt, for submission to the people, in the effort to solve this tremendous question, will be of vital importance to the future development and welfare of our whole community, and we shall demand and obtain in orderly, legal fashion all of the advice of various kinds which may be necessary. The responsibility for the plan which this Board will finally present to the people rests upon the members of this Board, and upon them alone, and the Mayor has no authority to prevent the submission of any plan which we may approve. His attempt now to interfere with our study of the problem, and to restrict or deny any advice which we desire and have a right to obtain, is wholly arrogant and mischievous and is without moral or legal justification.

Second: The allegation that Dr. Wilcox has no practical experience in street railway problems and is not qualified to give San Francisco expert advice concerning the expiring traction franchises is so absurdly false that it ought not to be necessary to degnify it with a serious reply, but in order that the record may be complete, I desire to read now an outline of the distinguished career of Dr. Wilcox, which I have compiled and verified, which will dispose of this vicious canard permanently."

I desire to say to the members of the Board that this outline of the career of Dr. Wilcox was published in the public press here on Saturday a week ago, and I assume that most of the members of the Board have read it. I have no desire to take up your time, but if there is any member of the Board who is not familiar with the matter it can be read. I will say, in effect, that it sketches the career of Dr. Wilcox from the time of his college education, and gives in detail his employment as an expert on public utility and franchise problems for the cities of New York, Newark, the Associated Municipalities of New Jersey, Scranton, Pennsylvania; Kalamazoo, Michigan; Cleveland, Ohio; Detroit, Michigan; Minneapolis, Minnesota; Jacksonville, Florida; San Antonio, Texas; Denver, Colorado; Los Angeles, California, and other cities. And I move you now, Mr. Chairman, that this outline of the career of Dr. Wilcox be made a part of the record.

The Chairman: Any objection? (Dropping the gavel.)

"The Board of Supervisors of San Francisco, with the Mayor's approval, employed Mr. Wilcox as a franchise expert to assist in the

preparation of a charter amendment designed to provide additional street car facilities for the Panama Pacific Exposition.

Mr. Wilcox served in that capacity as a member of an official committee consisting, in addition to himself, of Bion J. Arnold and E. A. Wolcott, now president of the Civil Service Commission.

Mr. Wilcox' preeminent standing as a scholar in the problems of municipal government cannot be challenged. He has made a specialty of the study of municipal government, and for the ten years following his graduation from Columbia University his lifework consisted almost entirely of an investigation of civic and municipal problems, with employment in New York, Cleveland, Grand Rapids and Detroit. During that period his attention gradually became focused upon street railways and other public utilities because of the importance of their problems in civic life.

New York Post.

In 1907 he was called to New York City to be made chief of the bureau of franchises of the public service commission for the first district of New York State, which had been just organized by Governor Hughes. That commisison had jurisdiction over railroads, street railways and gas and electric companies, with a greater total capitalization than was subject to the jurisdiction of any other state commission in the country.

Also, this commission, as successor to the old board of rapid transit railroad commissioners, had the function of laying out, constructing, designing and leasing for operation the subways of New York City. While Wilcox was with this commission the dual subway system was planned and contracts for its construction and operation were let, which ultimately involved the expenditure of about $400,000,000. Wilcox was connected with the commission for five and a half years and resigned in June, 1913, to go into private practice as a consulting franchise and public utility expert for cities. During the ensuing year he was employed as an expert to make franchise and street railway surveys in the cities of Newark, Norfolk, Akron, Canton and Kansas City, Mo. He was also an expert in the Denver Union water case.

Valuation Expert.

Next Mr. Wilsox was employed by the City of New York as an expert in the valuation of private water companies and became deputy commissioner of water supply, gas and electricity for the City of New York in October, 1914, and held this position until the end of the Mitchel administration at the end of 1917. His work while there consisted of the valuation of private water plants and the operation, subject to the commissioners, of the municipal water supply system of New York, representing an investment of $350,000,000.

During this period Mr. Wilcox was occasionally employed on outside expert work, including a survey of the state and local regulation in California and other coast states for the National Civic Federation in 1912. He was also employed during the same year for the drafting of a franchise charter amendment for the City and County of San Francisco. Some years later he gave expert testimony before the board of arbitration on the San Francisco-Oakland Terminal Railway Company wage case. He also participated in the preparation of the Montreal Street Railway settlement effected by the Montreaal Tramways Commission in 1917.

Recent Commissions.

Since 1918 Mr. Wilcox has been exclusively engaged in doing expert work for cities and other public bodies, including the following:

City of New York, traction investigation and water condemnation case.

Newark and associated municipalities of New Jersey, as chief expert before the New Jersey Utilities Commission in a case involving street railway rates for 140 cities and towns.

Scranton, Pa., city expert in the Scranton Railway rate case and the Hyde Park gas case, both involving testimony before the Pennsylvania Public Service Commission.

Kalamazoo, Mich., analysis of cost of street railway transportation, with recommendation of policy and testimony before the Michigan Public Utilities Commission.

Cleveland, Ohio, testimony before a board of arbitration concerning the rate of return of the Cleveland Railway Company.

Detroit, Mich., consultation with respect to the planning of an independent street railway system by Mayor Couzens and subsequently for the analysis of the service at cost franchise proposed by the Detroit United Railways prior to the acquisition of the lines by the city.

Minneapolis, Minn., valuation of

Minneapolis Street Railway Company and testimony before the Minneapolis Commission in a street railway rate proceeding. Also in the evaluation of the Minneapolis gas properties and particularly in the city's negotiation of a new rate ordinance regulating the price of gas.

Jacksonville, Florida, testimony before the Florida Railway Commission in the Jacksonville Traction case.

San Antonio, Texas, participation in the evaluation of waterworks before their acquisition by the city. Later a preliminary investigation of the street railway problem for this city.

Denver, Colo., valuation of the properties of the Denver Tramway Company and testimony before a special master in the Federal District Court. After this work was completed, as a result of a change in the city administration, the city abandoned the valuation fight, and a federal judge who had not heard the testimony, notwithstanding that it was received by the special master, ruled it out, on the motion of the company, on the ground that Wilcox was not a trained engineer and, never having built or operated a railroad, was not competent to make a reproduction cost valuation of street railway properties and testify concerning the same in a federal court. The city having abandoned the valuation fight no appeal was taken with respect to the exclusion of this testimony.

Los Angeles, report upon city's transportation policy and an analysis of valuation of the Los Angeles Railway Company. Testimony concerning the same before the California Railroad Commission in rate proceedings still pending.

Mr. Wilcox testified before the Federal Electric Railways Commission, appointed by President Woodrow Wilson, and was employed by that commission as an expert to analyze and submit recommendations. This involved a study of testimony and exhibits submitted by electric railway companies, public service commissions and other public bodies in all parts of the country.

Standard Author.

Mr. Wilcox has served as chairman of the franchise and public utilities committee of the National Municipal League. He was a member of the league committee which drafted a model charter, including the franchise and public utilities policy contained therein. He is the author of a standard two-volume work on municipal franchises and many other books on municipal problems.

Supervisor Colman: May I ask you, while you are working up his record, you remember my remarks relative to the decision in the State of Colorado.

Supervisor Havenner: I will deal with that in a moment.

This recital of the record and notable life-work of Dr. Wilcox must wipe out, in the mind of any fair person, all doubt as to his qualifications to give the most valuable expert assistance to our City in the tangled street railway problem which will soon confront us.

But the supporters of this amazing veto, ignoring all of the facts about Dr. Wilcox and his record, have persistently and maliciously—I do not mean that with respect to the members of this Board, but I do make that statement with regard to certain propaganda that has been circulated in the community—asserted in a certain portion of the local public press that Dr. Wilcox is not an expert and that he knows nothing whatever about street railway franchise problems. They base these mendacious statements upon the action of a federal judge in Denver, Colorado, who threw out the testimony of Dr. Wilcox concerning the value of the Denver traction properties, on the ground that he was not an engineer by profession and that he had never been employed by a private street railway company to build or operate a street railway. Dr. Wilcox told me that after the special master who had been appointed by that court had received his testimony at great length as an expert with respect to the evaluation of those properties the case got into court—I will go into a little history there. There was a municipal election on in Denver at that time when the question of the evaluation of the street railroad companies was an outstanding issue. The old administration had been contending for a revision downward of the evaluation of that property and had employed Dr. Wilcox as an expert. The election took place while the case was being tried. The incumbent administration was defeated. The new administration had taken the opposite side of the question. They never appealed the action of the federal court in rejecting the testimony of Dr. Wilcox, which, as I said before, had previously been accepted by his own special master. His disqualification was theoretically on the ground that nobody but a profesisonal en-

gineer was qualified to give testimony in court concerning the valuation of street railroad properties.

In this connection I desire to read into the record an excerpt from an editorial published in the San Francisco Examiner on Wednesday, October 5, 1927.

In 1924, Circuit Judge Robert E. Lewis of Colorado gave a decision favoring the street car company in the Denver Tramway case. This decision, in which the judge rejected Dr. Wilcox's testimony, is being made much of by those who oppose his employment by this City.

It is sufficient to point out that the law firm of Hughes and Dorsey then was, and for many years had been, general counsel for the Denver Tramway Company or its receiver; and that Judge Lewis was the father-in-law of Attorney Berrien Hughes, junior member of that firm.

Also Judge Lewis' son, Mason A. Lewis, was senior member of the law firm of Lewis and Grant, counsel for the Bondholders' Protective Committee of the Denver Tramway Company.

These facts, which should have disqualified Judge Lewis, were not brought out by the attorneys representing the City of Denver. I want to recall to your attention again that the election was held, that the opposition to, the case in its original aspect was in power, and no question of appeal was raised by the new administration. Now was Judge Lewis' remark, during the time of the litigation, that anybody who favored municipal ownership of the street car system in Denver did not have "three grains of sense in his head."

The Chairman: Who said that?

Supervisor Havenner: The judge. The judge's decision gave the Denver Tramway Company a rate of return on the capital investment that is seven times per passenger that which car riders must bear on the San Francisco Municipal Railways.

Those who cite such a case as detrimental to Dr. Wilcox's record are guilty of overlooking or suppressing the above facts.

The Chairman: That will be admitted.

Supervisor Havenner: I may add, prior to disqualifying Dr. Wilcox as an evalation expert on the grounds above set forth, the Colorado judge read into the official record of the case a lengthy history of Dr. Wilcox's life work, corresponding very closely to the one which I have just recited. I will take the liberty at this time of quoting a comment made by our City Attorney, the Hon. John J. O'Toole, at a recent joint meeting of the Finance and Public Utilities Committees of this Board. After reading to the committee members, from the official published federal report of the case, the record of Dr. Wilcox included therein, Mr. O'Toole said: "Although the court technically disqualified Dr. Wilcox, I must say that in the records he qualified him as an expert more completely than I have ever known any other expert to be qualified by any court."

At this time it is proper to call to the attention of the members of this Board the fact that in 1912 the Board of Supervisors of San Francisco, with the approval of Mayor Rolph, invited Dr. Wilcox to come to this City and employed him as an expert in the preparation of a charter amendment dealing with street railway franchises. Two of our present colleagues, Supervisors Gallagher and Hayden, were members of the Board at that time and voted for the employment of Dr. Wilcox.

Fifteen years ago Mayor Rolph thought so highly of Dr. Wilsox and his experience and qualifications that he officially invited him to San Francisco and employed him as an expert on street railway franchises. During all of the intervening years Dr. Wilcox has been almost constantly employed in similar work by leading municipalities and public bodies in all parts of the country, and, of course, his experience and qualifications have been vastly augmented. But today Mayor Rolph, either having forgotten all about this action of long ago, or having been persuaded by some mysterious influence to ignore it, says, in effect, that Mr. Wilcox has had no experience and cannot qualify as an expert.

Third: The Mayor indicts Dr. Wilcox for being "an enthusiast on municipal ownership" and asserts that municipal ownership is no longer an issue in San Francisco.

Municipal ownership is, perhaps more than ever before, a critical issue in San Francisco today. All of the staggering influences of unlimited wealth and political power controlled by the allied electric power and traction trusts of America are being mobilized here in a desperate effort to deal a death blow to the cause of municipal ownership in our city. The billion

dollar Byllesby combine has swallowed up the Market Street Railway Company and is reputed to have acquired a huge interest in the Pacific Gas and Electric Company. It has observed, with great satisfaction, that our municipally owned power lines—parenthetically I may say, under the policy recommended by our City Engineer's office—have been directed into the Pacific Gas and Electric station at Newark, and that the municipal power is being operated and sold by that company. It is determined that our Municipal Railway shall be merged with the Market Street Railway and operated under private management, or else that the City shall pay an extortionate price for the private lines whose franchises are soon to expire. Public ownership—yes, so far as it is an accomplished fact through the expenditure of the people's money—but private management and operation. That is the new slogan of the enemies of true municipal ownership. And, if any of you have any doubt that municipal ownership is going to be a burning issue here next year, I will make the prophecy to you now and will be glad to be called to account for it a year from now.

The employment of Dr. Wilcox, "an enthusiast on municipal ownership" and "a man of high standing and keen intelligence," in the words of our Mayor, has caused acute alarm to the Byllesby interests and their allies. They have turned for comfort to the Mayor, and his veto message could not have pleased them more if it had been written by their own publicity bureau. He says municipal ownership is no longer an issue in San Francisco. They hope he is right, and are going to do everything in their power to translate his words into reality next year.

The five-cent fare and the future of our Municipal Railway are at stake in the fight that is ahead of us. The people of San Francisco understand this. They demand that we seek advice from experts who are friendly to municipal ownership. They will not permit any member of this Board to remain in office who changes his vote and joins the Mayor in his effort to oust Dr. Wilcox. This veto must be defeated.

Supervisor Shannon: Call the roll.

Supervisor Schmidt: I was going to ask that you call the absentee members in.

The Chairman: Call Supervisor Bath and Supervisor Badaracco.

Supervisor Shannon: I would suggest that the Chair state the question.

The Chairman: Call Supervisor Bath. The question before the Board is, gentlemen, shall Resolution No. 27804 (New Series), authorizing the City Attorney to employ Delos F. Wilcox, become effective notwithstanding the Mayor's veto. A vote "aye" overrules the Mayor's veto, a vote "no" sustains the Mayor's veto. Call the roll.

Whereupon, the foregoing veto was taken up and *passed*, notwithstanding the objections of his Honor the Mayor, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Noes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Harrelson—3.

UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

Final Passage.

The following matters, heretofore passed for printing, were taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Authorizations.

Resolution No. 27899 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

Park Fund.

(1) J. P. Holland, rock furnished parks (claim dated Sept. 30, 1927), $2,632.50.

(2) E. Kahler & Co., repainting seats in Kezar Stadium (claim dated Sept. 30, 1927), $1,400.

Tearing-Up Streets Fund.

(3) California Pottery Co., sewer pipe, Dept. of Public Works (claim dated Sept. 22, 1927), $1,050.

Special School Tax.

(4) Fess System Company, burners and motor for Girls' High School (claim dated Sept. 24, 1927), $544.63.

(5) W. S. Ray Mfg. Co., fuel oil burner and tank, Junipero Serra School (claim dated Sept. 24, 1927), $2,843.

(6) Park Commissioners, care of school grounds (claim dated Sept. 27, 1927), $2,600.

(7) Symon Brothers, wrecking and removing school building, Bush street between Gough and Octavia (claim dated Sept. 27, 1927), $700.

Publicity and Advertising (Appropriation 55).

(8) Capital Decorating & Mfg. Co., decorating Market, Geary and Mason streets and the Auditorium, for account of National Exchange Club convention, for the publicity and advertising of San Francisco (claim dated Oct. 3, 1927), $850.

(9) Capital Decorating & Mfg. Co., decorations to Market street, autos and Municipal Airport, for account of reception to Colonel Charles Lindbergh, for the publicity and advertising of San Francisco (claim dated Oct. 3, 1927), $670.

(10) Swan the Painter, painting and lettering Municipal Airport hangar, San Mateo County, for the publicity and advertising of San Francisco (claim dated Oct. 3, 1927), $550.

Hetch Hetchy Water Construction Fund. Bond Issue 1925.

(For account of Hetch Hetchy water construction)

(11) Western Pipe & Steel Co., airpipe, etc. (claim dated Sept. 24, 1927), $2,136.

(12) Earle C. Anthony, Inc., one Packard touring auto (claim dated Sept. 27, 1927), $2,873.95.

(13) Golden State and Miners' Iron Works, one motor-driven hoist (claim dated Sept. 27, 1927), $3,685.

(14) State Compensation Insurance Fund, premium on insurance of Hetch Hetchy employees (claim dated Sept. 27, 1927), $3,016.86.

School Bonds, Issue 1918.

(15) L. C. Smith & Corona Typewriters, Inc., typewriters furnished the Galileo High School (claim dated Sept. 27, 1927), $2,800.

Municipal Railway Fund.

(16) American Brake Shoe & Foundry Co., brake shoes for Municipal Railways (claim dated Sept. 26, 1927), $2,323.23.

California Palace of the Legion of Honor—Appropriation No. 61.

(17) Carl W. Hamilton, exhibition of Italian renaissance, California Palace Legion of Honor (claim dated Sept. 30, 1927), $1,181.27.

General Fund, 1927-1928.

(18) Langendorf Baking Co., bread, County Jails (claim dated Sept. 26, 1927), $853.73.

(19) Del Monte Meat Co., meats, County Jails (claim dated Sept. 26, 1927), $1,527.87.

(20) Howard Automobile Co., one Buick auto for Department of Electricity (claim dated Aug. 31, 1927), $1,000.

(21) C. W. Marwedel, aluminum for Department of Electricity (claim dated Aug. 31, 1927), $715.08.

(22) Daniel J. O'Brien, police contingent expense for October (claim dated Oct. 1, 1927), $750.

(23) The Fay Improvement Co., construction of asphalt tennis courts at Balboa Park (claim dated Sept. 30, 1927), $1,218.

(24) Standard Fence Co., construction of fence at S. F. Municipal Airport (claim dated Oct. 3, 1927), $1,011.

(25) Crown Oil Co., gasoline and oil for street cleaning (claim dated Sept. 22, 1927), $570.30.

(26) James A. Nelson, Inc., 100 cans for street cleaning (claim dated Sept. 22, 1927), $525.

(27) Eclipse Lime & Cement Co., cement for street repair (claim dated Sept. 22, 1927), $1,799.44.

(28) California Rock Co., gravel for street repair (claim dated Sept. 24, 1927), $2,039.98.

(29) Eclipse Lime & Cement Co., cement for street repair (claim dated Sept. 24, 1927), $935.60.

(30) Union Oil Co. of California, asphalt for street repair (claim dated Sept. 26, 1927), $2,502.86.

(31) Western Rock Products Co., sand for street repair (claim dated Sept. 26, 1927), $2,766.87.

(32) California Petroleum Corporation, fuel oil for Civic Center Power House (claim dated Sept. 24, 1927), $922.65.

(33) Spring Valley Water Co., water service, Fire Department hydrants (claim dated Sept. 30, 1927), $14,681.50.

(34) George H. Trask, steel lockers for S. F. Tubercular Preventorium (claim dated Sept. 29, 1927), $575.

(35) L. Dinkelspiel Co., dry goods, S. F. Tubercular Preventorium (claim dated Sept. 29, 1927), $858.80.

General Fund, 1926-1927.

(36) Otis Elevator Co., repairs to elevators, Hall of Justice (claim dated Sept. 28, 1927), $1,529.

Maintenance of Aquarium—Appropriation 57.

(37) California Academy of Sciences, for the maintenance of the Steinhart Aquarium, September (claim dated Oct. 3, 1927), $4,190.06.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, **Todd—18.**

Appropriations, Preventorium and Street Signs.

Resolution No. 27900 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and author-

ized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned funds for the following purposes, to-wit:

Preventorium, San Mateo County—Budget Item No. 57.

(1) For the purchase of White truck, X-ray equipment, window shades, wire cloth, medical supplies, groceries, etc., and for payroll for the maintenance of the Preventorium during the month of September, $9,990.18.

Street Signs—Budget Item No. 71.

(2) For the cost of furnishing, delivering and erecting street signs, inspection, etc., $9,000.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—18.

Appropriations for Purchase of Bernal Cut Properties.

Resolution No. 27901 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following be and the same are hereby set aside and appropriated out of Bernal Cut Bonds, Issue 1927, and authorized in payment to the hereinafter named persons; being payments for properties required for the opening of Bernal Cut, to-wit:

(1) Joseph L. Taaffe and Elizabeth Taaffe, for property as per description and acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 27846, New Series (claim dated Sept. 27, 1927), $850.

(2) James Sullivan and Mary J. Sullivan, for property as per description and acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 27846, New Series (claim dated Sept. 27, 1927), $4,900.

(3) James O'Connor, for property as per description and acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 27768, New Series (claim dated Sept. 27, 1927), $6,150.

(4) Max Binghammer and Marie Binghammer, for property as per description and acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 27768, New Series (claim dated Sept. 27, 1927), $1,100.

(5) George Marzolf and Catherine Marzolf, for property as per description and acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 27583, New Series (claim dated Sept. 1, 1927), $6,000.

(6) Lucius L. Solomons and Helen F. Solomons, for property as per description and acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 27583, New Series (claim dated Sept. 1, 1927), $605.

(7) A. H. Postley and Kathryn Postley, for property as per description and acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 27583, New Series (claim dated Sept. 1, 1927), $950.

(8) Charles Schmalz and Helen E. Schmalz, for property as per description and acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 27583, New Series (claim dated Sept. 1, 1927), $3,000.

(9) John F. McGowan, for property as per description and acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 27623, New Series (claim dated Sept. 1, 1927), $2,400.

(10) John Scafturos and Lily Scafturos, for property as per description and acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 27623, New Series (claim dated Sept. 1, 1927), $7,500.

(11) James McManus and Mary McManus, for property as per description and acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 27623, New Series (claim dated Sept. 1, 1927), $5,060.

(12) Wilber B. Cook and Dora L. Cook, for property as per description and acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 27624, New Series (claim dated Sept. 1, 1927), $4,500.

(13) R. A. Waldman and Ellen R. Waldman, for property as per description and acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 27657, New Series (claim dated Sept. 1, 1927), $7,600.

(14) Charles Kaupe, for property as per description and acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 27657, New Series (claim dated Sept. 1, 1927), $1,300.

(15) F. M. Sturgeon, for property as per description and acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 27684, New Series (claim dated Sept. 1, 1927), $4,750.

(16) James G. Meehan, for property as per description and acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 27684, New Series (claim dated Sept. 1, 1927), $2,500.

(17) Ellen Treacy, for property as per description and acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 27729, New Series (claim dated Sept. 1, 1927), $4,250.

(18) Eva C. Trost, for property as per description and acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 27583, New Series (claim dated Sept. 1, 1927), $5,475.

(19) Karen Jensen, for property as per description and acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 27583, New Series (claim dated Sept. 1, 1927), $4,000.

(20) Mabel T. Day, for property as per description and acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 27583, New Series (claim dated Sept. 1, 1927), $4,250.

(21) John P. Sullivan and Annie E. Sullivan, for property as per description and acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 27583, New Series (claim dated Sept. 1, 1927), $4,600.

(22) Katie Noblitt, for property as per description and acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 27583, New Series (claim dated Sept. 1, 1927), $4,600.

(23) Johannes Wulff and Helene Wulff, for property as per description and acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 27583, New Series (claim dated Sept. 1, 1927), $4,600.

(24) Percy J. Crouch, for property as per description and acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 27583, New Series (claim dated Sept. 1, 1927), $3,750.

(25) Alice McDonald, for property as per description and acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 27583, New Series (claim dated Sept. 1, 1927), $4,200.

(26) William F. Farren, for property as per description and acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 27583, New Series (claim dated Sept. 1, 1927), $5,200.

(27) Frank W. Dierking and Jessamine B. Dierking, for property as per description and acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 27583, New Series (claim dated Sept. 1, 1927), $4,900.

(28) Charles G. Arnold and Elsa Arnold, for property as per description and acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 27583, New Series (claim dated Sept. 1, 1927), $900.

(29) James J. Tuohey and Anna Tuohey, for property as per description and acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 27583, New Series (claim dated Sept. 1, 1927), $2,750.

(30) Emily Rydman and Ellis A. Rydman, for property as per description and acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 27583, New Series (claim dated Sept, 1, 1927), $7,660.

(31) Salvatore Cubio and Mary Cubio, for property as per description and acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 27583, New Series (claim dated Sept. 1, 1927), $5,250.

(32) Carrie Hanchett Howe, for property as per description and acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 27583, New Series (claim dated Sept. 1, 1927), $2,700.

(33) Frank W. Perks and Eva E. Perks, for property as per description and acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 27583, New Series (claim dated Sept. 1, 1927), $2,600.

(34) Josephine M. Britt, for property as per description and acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 27583, New Series (claim dated Sept. 1, 1927), $3,250.

(35) Frank F. Gehrig and Eleanor Horgan, for property as per description and acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 27583, New Series (claim dated Sept. 1, 1927), $4,100.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—18.

Appropriation, $1,186, Rental of 333 Kearny Street.

Resolution No. 27902 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $1,186 be and the same is hereby authorized in payment to A. P. Jacobs out of General Fund, 1927-1928; being payment of rent for premises No. 333 Kearny street for the month of July 3 to August 3, 1927 (claim dated July 11, 1927).

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—18.

Garage Permit.

Resolution No. 27903 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Ira C. Malnburg be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate a public garage on the north side of Taraval street, 57 feet 6 inches east of Twenty-ninth avenue.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Kent—3.

Laundry Permit.

Resolution No. 27904 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Benjamin Raujol be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate a hand laundry at 254 Third street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Kent—3.

Transfer of Garage Permit.

Resolution No. 27905 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Ruel H. Rinehart be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred to him public garage permit heretofore granted for premises at 727 Valencia street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Kent—3.

Parking Station Permit.

Resolution No. 27906 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That W. C. and Chas. Ashley be and are hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an automobile parking station on the west side of Valencia street, 30 feet north of Sixteenth street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Kent—3.

Oil Tank Permits.

Resolution No. 27907 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits be and are hereby granted:

Oil Tanks.

Lang Realty Co., south side of Broadway, 50 feet west of Jones street, 1500 gallons capacity.

G. Holt, east side of Pierce street, 62 feet 6 inches north of Alhambra street, 1500 gallons capacity.

A. Schudel, east side of Church street, 50 feet north of Twenty-sixth street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Fleischmann Co., southeast corner of Eleventh and Kissling streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

W. R. Voorhies, south side Jackson street, 50 feet east of Arguello boulevard, 1500 gallons capacity.

Mrs. John Robertson, 3370 Washington street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Mrs. Gussie Hyman, southeast corner of Lyon and Chestnut streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits shall become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Kent—3.

Reconstruction, Greenwich Street.

Bill No. 8241, Ordinance No. 7749 (New Series), as follows:

Authorizing and ordering the reconstruction and repair of Greenwich street from Hyde strreet easterly under the supervision of the Board of Public Works of the City and County of San Francisco, and appropriating $4,050 out of Budget Item No. 81, Fiscal Year 1927-1928, for the reconstruction and repair of said Greenwich street.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works of the City and County of San Francisco is hereby authorized and ordered to reconstruct and repair, under its supervision, Greenwich street from Hyde street easterly.

Section 2. There is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended by the said Board of Public Works the sum of $4,050 out of Budget Item No. 81, Fiscal Year 1927-1928, for the reconstruction and repair of said Greenwich street from Hyde street easterly.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—18.

Providing for the Issuance and Redemption of Bernal Cut Bonds.

Bill No. 8246, Ordinance No. 7750 (New Series), as follows:

Providing for the issuance and redemption of bonds of the City and County of San Francisco to the amount of one million four hundred thousand ($1,400,000) dollars for the acquisition, construction and completion of a permanent improvement, to-wit: A cut, or excavation, with approach fills, providing an improved route for public highway purposes in the City and County of San Francisco, known as the Bernal Cut, which improvement begins at or near the intersection

of Randall and Dolores streets and extending southerly to a point at or near the intersection of San Jose avenue and Diamond street, in the City and County of San Francisco, including approaches, structures, pavements, curbs, sidewalks, sewers, drainage facilities, the readjustment of intersecting and adjacent streets and other improvements, and the purchase of necessary lands in accordance with and as authorized at a special election held in said City and County on the 14th day of June, 1927.

Whereas, a special election was held in the City and County of San Francisco on the 14th day of June, 1927, in accordance with the provisions and requirements of Ordinance No. 7512 (New Series), calling and providing for such election, and Resolution No. 27282 (New Series), giving notice thereof, reference to said ordinance and resolution for further ptrticulars being here made; and

Whereas, it has been determined by Resolution No. 27643 (New Series), reference thereto for further particulars being here made, that at such special election more than two-thirds of the votes cast thereat were cast and counted as being in favor of and to authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness for the purpose and to the amount stated in the proposition submitted thereat; now, therefore,

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. That bonds of the City and County of San Francisco be issued in accordance with the result of the special election held in said City and County on the 14th day of June, 1927, and the provisions of said Charter of said City and County and with Ordinance No. 7512 (New Series) and Resolution No. 27282 (New Series), as follows, to-wit:

That bonds of the City and County of San Francisco be issued to the amount of one million four hundred thousand ($1,400,000) dollars for the purpise of the acquisition, construction and completion of a permanent improvement, to-wit: A cut, or excavation with approach fills, and other improvements providing an improved route for public highway purposes in the City and County of San Francisco known as the Bernal Cut, which imprivement begins at or near the intersection of Randall and Dolores streets and extending southerly to a point at or near the intersection of San Jose avenue and Diamond street, in the City and County of San Francisco.

That such bonds shall be called "Bernal Cut Bonds," shall be 1400 in number, and shall be numbered from 1 to 1400, both inclusive, and shall be payable seventy thousand dollars thereof five years from the date of said bonds, beginning with the lowest number, and seventy thousand dollars thereof of the next higher numbers on the same day in each succeeding year until all of said bonds shall be paid.

Section 2. All of the bonds issued as herein provided shall be of the form and character known as "serials." All of said bonds shall be dated July 1, 1927, shall bear interest at the rate of four and one-half per centum per annum, payable semi-annually on the first day of January and July of each year until the maturity thereof; shall be of the denomination of $1,000 each, and the principal and interest thereof shall be payable in gold coin of the United States at the office of the Treasurer of said City and County, or, at the option of the holder, at the fiscal agency of said City and County in the City and State of New York.

Section 3. Said bonds shall be signed by the Mayor and by the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco, countersigned by the Auditor, and attested by the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors with the seal of said City and County.

Said bonds shall be in substantially the following form:

The United States of America.
State of California.
City and County of San Francisco.
Bernal Cut Bond.

No. —— $1,000.00

For value received, the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, organized and existing under the laws of the State of California, hereby acknowledges itself indebted and promises to pay to the bearer, on the first day of ———, 19—, one thousand dollars, with interest thereon at the rate of 4½ per centum per annum, payable semi-annually January 1 and July 1, on presentation and surrender of the coupons hereto attached as they respectively become due, both principal and interest being payable in gold coin of the United States at the office of the Treasurer of said City and County, or, at the option of the holder, at the fiscal agency of said City and County of San Francisco in the City and State of New York.

This bond is issued under and pursuant to the Constitution and statutes of the State of California

and the Charter of said City and County of San Francisco, and amendments thereto, and under and pursuant to ordinances and proceedings of said City and County duly adopted and taken, and a vote and assent of more than two-thirds of all the qualified electors of said City and County voting at a special election duly and legally called and held for that purpose.

It is hereby certified, recited and declared that all acts, conditions and things required by law to exist, happen and be performed precedent to and in the issuance of this bond have existed, happened and been performed in due time, form and manner as required by law, and that the amount of this bond, together with all other indebtedness of said City and County, does not exceed any limit prescribed by the Constitution or statutes of said State or Charter of said City and County, and that provision has been made as required by the Constitution and statutes of said State and the Charter of said City and County for the collection of an annual tax sufficient to pay the interest on this bond as it falls due, and also provision to constitute a sinking fund for the payment of the principal of this bond on or before maturity. The full faith and credit of said City and County are hereby pledged for the punctual payment of the principal and interest of this bond.

This bond may be converted into a registered bond upon presentation to the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco, in which event such Treasurer shall cut off and cancel the coupons of this bond, and shall sign a statement stamped, printed or written upon the back or face of the bond to the effect that this bond is registered in the name of the owner and that thereafter the interest and principal of this bond are payable to the registered owner. Thereafter and from time to time this bond may be transferred by such registered owner in person or by attorney duly authorized on presentation of this bond to the Treasurer, and the bond be again registered as before, a similar statement being stamped, printed or written thereon.

This bond is exempt from all taxation within the State of California.

In witness whereof, said City and County of San Francisco has caused this bond to be executed under its corporate seal, signed by its Mayor and Treasurer, and countersigned by its Auditor, and has caused the interest coupons hereto attached to be signed with the engraved or lithographed signature of its Treasurer, and this bond to be dated the first day of July, 1927.

....., Mayor.

....., Treasurer.

Countersigned:

....., Auditor.

(Seal)

....., Clerk of the Board of Supervisors.

Section 4. Interest coupons shall be attached to each bond to the number of twice the number of years such bonds will run until the maturity thereof, and the coupons shall be of such a sum as will amount to the interest due on such bond for six months. Such coupons shall be numbered from one upwards and shall state the name and number of the bond to which it is attached. Such coupons shall bear the facsimile signature of the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco and be substantially in the following form:

Form of Coupon.

No. ——— $———

On ——— 1, 19—, the City and County of San Francisco, California, will pay to bearer at the office of the Treasurer of said City and County, or, at the option of the holder, at the fiscal agency of the City and County of San Francisco in the City and State of New York, ——— dollars ($———) in gold coin of the United States, being six months' interest then due on its "Bernal Cut" bond dated July 1, 1927, number ———

....., Treasurer.

Section 5. Any bond may be converted into a registered bond upon presentation to the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco, in which event such Treasurer shall cut off and cancel the coupons of this bond, and shall sign a statement stamped, printed or written upon the back or face of the bond to the effect that the bond is registered in the name of the owner and that thereafter the interest and principal of the bond are payable to the registered owner. Thereafter and from time to time the bond may be transferred by such registered owner in person or attorney duly authirized on presentation of the bond to the Treasurer, and the bond be again registered as before, a similar statement being stamped, printed or written thereon. Such registration

shall be substantially in the following form:

Form of Registration.

San Francisco, ————, 19—

This bond is registered pursuant to Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, in the name of ———— and the interest and principal thereof are hereafter payable to such owner.

....., Treasurer.

Section 6. For the purpose of providing for the payment of the principal and interest of said bonds taxes shall be levied and collected as privided in Ordinance No. 7512 (New Series) and Resolution No. 27282 (New Series), and in furtherance of the purpose herein expressed the following fund is hereby created, to-wit: "Bernal Cut Bond Interest and Redemption Fund."

Section 7. The Board of Supervisors shall sell said bonds at such times and in such amounts as it may determine. The proceeds arising from the sale of Bernal Cut Bonds shall be placed in the treasury to the credit of the "Bernal Cut Construction Fund," which fund is hereby created, and shall be used exclusively for the purpose for which such bonds were issued.

Section 8. This ordinance shall take effect immediately. And the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors is hereby directed to advertise this bill and ordinance in the official newspaper, as required by law.

Section 9. All bills or ordinances in conflict herewith are hereby expressly repealed.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—18.

Providing for Issuance and Redemption of War Memorial Bonds.

Bill No. 8247, Ordinance No. 7751 (New Series), as follows:

Providing for the issuance and redemption of bonds of the City and County of San Francisco, to the amount of four million ($4,000,000) dollars, for the acquisition, construction and completion of a permanent improvement, to-wit, the construction, completion and equipment of permanent buildings in or adjacent to the Civic Center in the City and County of San Francisco, to be used as Memorial Halls for war veterans, and for educational, recreational, entertainment and other municipal purposes, and the purchase of all equipment and furnishings necessary for said buildings, in accordance with and as authorized at a special election held in said City and County on the 14th day of June, 1927.

Whereas, a special election was held in the City and County of San Francisco on the 14th day of June, 1927, in accordance with the provisions and requirements of Ordinance No. 7516 (New Series), calling and providing for such election, and Resolution No. 27283 (New Series), giving notice thereof, reference to said ordinance and resolution for further particulars being here made; and

Whereas, it has been determined by Resolution No. 27642 (New Series), reference thereto for further particulars being here made, that at such special special election more than two-thirds of the votes cast thereat were cast and counted as being in favor of and to authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness for the purpose and to the amount stated in the proposition submitted thereat; now, therefore,

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. That bonds of the City and County of San Francisco be issued in accordance with the result of the special election held in said City and County on the 14th day of June, 1927, and the provisions of said Charter of said City and County and with Ordinance No. 7516 (New Series), and Resolution No. 27283 (New Series), as follows, to-wit:

That bonds of the City and County of San Francisco be issued to the amount of four million ($4,000,000) dollars for the purpose of the acquisition, construction and completion of a permanent improvement, to-wit, the construction, completion and equipment of permanent buildings in or adjacent to the Civic Center in the City and County of San Francisco, to be used as memorial halls for war veterans, and for educational, recreational, entertainment and other municipal purposes, and the purchase of all equipment and furnishings necessary for said buildings.

That such bonds shall be called "Memorial Hall Bonds," shall be 4,000 in number and shall be numbered from 1 to 4,000, both inclusive, and shall be payable two hundred thousand ($200,000) dollars thereof five years from the date of

said bonds, beginning with the lowest number, and two hundred thousand ($200,000) dollars thereof of the next higher numbers on the same day in each succeeding year until all of said bonds shall be paid.

Section 2. All of the bonds issued as herein provided shall be of the form and character known as "serials." All of said bonds shall be dated July 1, 1927, shall bear interest at the rate of four and one-half per centum per annum, payable semi-annually on the first day of January and July of each year until the maturity thereof; shall be of the denomination of $1,000 each, and the principal and interest thereof shall be payable in gold coin of the United States at the office of the Treasurer of said City and County, or, at the option of the holder, at the fiscal agency of said City and County in the City and State of New York.

Section 3. Said bonds shall be signed by the Mayor and by the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco, countersigned by the Auditor, and attested by the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors with the seal of said City and County.

Said bonds shall be in substantially the following form:

THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA.
State of California.
City and County of San Francisco.
MEMORIAL HALLS BOND.

No. —— $1,000.00

For value received, the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of California, hereby acknowledges itself indebted and promises to pay to the bearer on the first day of ————, 19—, one thousand dollars, with interest thereon at the rate of 4½ per centum per annum, payable semi-annually January 1 and July 1, on presentation and surrender of the coupons hereto attached as they respectively become due, both principal and interest being payable in gold coin of the United States at the office of the Treasurer of said City and County, or, at the option of the holder, at the fiscal agency of said City and County of San Francisco in the City and State of New York.

This bond is issued under and pursuant to the Constitution and statutes of the State of California and the Charter of said City and County of San Francisco and amendments thereto, and under and pursuant to ordinances and proceedings of said City and County duly adopted and taken, and a vote and assent of more than two-thirds of all the qualified electors of said City and County voting at a special election duly and legally called and held for that purpose.

It is hereby certified, recited and declared that all acts, conditions and things required by law to exist, happen and be performed precedent to and in the issuance of this bond have existed, happened and been performed in due time, form and manner as required by law, and that the amount of this bond, together with all other indebtedness of said City and County, does not exceed any limit prescribed by the Constitution or statutes of said State or Charter of said City and County, and that provision has been made as required by the Constitution and statutes of said State and the Charter of said City and County for the collection of an annual tax sufficient to pay for the interest on this bond as it falls due, and also provision to constitute a sinking fund for the payment of the principal of this bond on or before maturity. The full faith and credit of said City and County are hereby pledged for the punctual payment of the principal and interest of this bond.

This bond may be converted into a registered bond upon presentation to the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco, in which event such Treasurer shall cut off and cancel the coupons of this bond, and shall sign a statement stamped, printed or written upon the back or face of the bond to the effect that this bond is registered in the name of the owner and that thereafter the interest and principal of this bond are payable to the registered owner. Thereafter and from time to time this bond may be transferred by such registeed owner in person or by attorney duly authorized on presentation of this bond to the Treasurer, and the bond be again registered as before, a similar statement being stamped, printed or written thereon.

This bond is exempt from all taxation within the State of California.

In witness whereof, said City and County of San Francisco has caused this bond to be executed under its corporate seal, signed by its Mayor and Treasurer, and countersigned by its Auditor, and has caused the interest coupons hereto attached to be signed with the engraved or lithographed signature of its Treas-

urer, and this bond to be dated the first day of July, 1927.

....., Mayor.

....., Treasurer.

Countersigned:

....., Auditor,

....., Clerk of the Board of Supervisors. (Seal)

Section 4. Interest coupons shall be attached to each bond to the number of twice the number of years such bond will run until the maturity thereof, and the coupons shall be of such a sum as will amount to the interest due on such bond for six months. Such coupons shall be numbered from one upwards and shall state the name and number of the bond to which it is attached. Such coupons shall bear the facsimile signature of the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco and be substantially in the following form:

FORM OF COUPON.

No. ——— $———

On ——— 1, 19—, the City and County of San Francisco, Califirnia, will pay to bearer at the office of the Treasurer of said City and County, or, at the option of the holder, at the fiscal agency of the City and County of San Francisco in the City and State of New York, ——— dollars ($———) in gold coin of the United States, being six months' interest then due on its "Memorial Halls" Bond dated July 1, 1927, number ———

....., Treasurer.

Section 5. Any bond may be converted into a registered bond upon presentation to the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco, in which event such Treasurer shall cut off and cancel the coupons of this bond, and shall sign a statement stamped, printed or written upon the back or face of the bond to the effect that the bond is registered in the name of the owner and that hereafter the interest and principal of the bond are payable to the registered owner. Thereafter and from time to time the bond may be transferred by such registered owner in person or attorney duly authorized on presentation of the bond to the Treasurer, and the bond be again registered as before, a similar statement being stamped, printed or written thereon. Such registration shall be substantially in the following form:

FORM OF REGISTRATION.

San Francisco, ———, 19—.

This bond is registered pursuant to Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, in the name of ——— and the interest and principal thereof are hereafter payable to such owner.

....., Treasurer.

Section 6. For the purpose of providing for the payment of the principal and interest of said bonds, taxes shall be levied and collected as provided in Ordinance No. 7516 (New Series) and Resolution No. 27283 (New Series), and in furtherance of the purpose herein expressed the following fund is hereby created, to-wit, "Memorial Halls Bond Interest and Redemption Fund."

Section 7. The Board of Supervisors shall sell said bonds at such times and in such amounts as it may determine. The proceeds arising from the sale of Memorial Halls Bonds shall be placed in the treasury to the credit of the "Memorial Halls Construction Fund," which fund is hereby created, and shall be used exclusively for the purpose for which such bonds were issued.

Section 8. This ordinance shall take effect immediately. And the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors is hereby directed to advertise this bill and ordinance in the official newspaper, as required by law.

Section 9. All bills or ordinances in conflict herewith are hereby expressly repealed.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—18.

Widening of Valencia Street.

Bill No. 8242, Ordinance No. 7752 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the widening of Valencia street from Market street to Twentieth street by reducing the width of the sidewalks from 15 feet to 12 feet, resetting of the curbs, angular corners and catchbasins, paving and repaving of the additional street area, and approving specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized, instructed and empowered to enter into contract for the widening of Valencia street from Market street

to Twentieth street by reducing the width of the sidewalks from 15 feet to 12 feet, resetting of the curbs, angular corners and catchbasins, the paving and repaving of the additional street area, in accordance with specifications prepared therefor and which specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —13.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Kent, McSheehy, Roncovieri —5.

Creating Division of Street Traffic Engineering.

Bill No. 8243, Ordinance No. 7753 (New Series), as follows:

Creating a division of street traffic engineering and prescribing the duties thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. There is hereby established a division of street traffic engineering, which shall be under the jurisdiction of the Board of Public Works, and form a part of the Bureau of Engineering of the Department of Public Works. The division of street traffic engineering shall consist of a competent traffic engineer, who shall be known as the City Traffic Engineer, to be appointed by the said Board of Public Works pursuant to law, and the compensation for the services of such engineer is hereby fixed in the sum of three thousand ($3,000) dollars per annum, payable monthly.

Section 2. It shall be the duty of the City Traffic Engineer to conduct studies of street traffic accidents and congestion, and of other conditions affecting the safe and convenient use of the streets; to collect facts regarding the effect and operation of regulations controlling street traffic, and to plan with and otherwise assist the Police Department and Board of Supervisors in the placing, maintenance and operation of traffic signs, signals and markings, and to perform such other duties as may from time to time be required by ordinance of the Board of Supervisors.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Kent—3.

Establishing Set-back Lines, Certain Streets.

Bill No. 8238, Ordinance No. 7754 (New Series), as follows:

Establishing set-back lines along portions of Ralston street.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby recited that on the 29th day of August, 1927, the Board of Supervisors adopted Resolution of Intention No. 157, to establish set-back lines along Ralston street, and fixed the 3d day of October, 1927, at 11 o'clock a. m., at the chambers of the Board of Supervisors, as the time and place for hearing objections thereto; that thereafter and more than ten days prior to said hearing the said resolution was published and notices of the passage of said resolution were posted along the line of said street, in time, form and manner required by Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series); that said hearing was held at the time and place aforesaid, and that all objections made at said hearing have been properly overruled.

Section 2. Pursuant to the foregoing recitals and in accordance with the provisions of said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), set-back lines are hereby established as follows:

Along the westerly side of Ralston street, commencing at Sargent street and running thence southerly 100 feet, said set-back line to be 10 feet.

As shown on the maps filed in the office of the Board of Supervisors and to which reference is hereby made for further particulars.

Section 3. No structures shall be erected in the area between said set-back lines and the street lines except as provided in said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), and the penalties for the violation of this ordinance shall be as fixed by the ordinance aforesaid.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Kent—3.

Bill No. 8239, Ordinance No. 7755 (New Series), as follows:

Establishing set-back lines along portions of Twenty-fourth, Twenty-seventh, Forty-fourth and Forty-seventh avenues.

Be it ordained by the People of

the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby recited that on the 29th day of August, 1927, the Board of Supervisors adopted Resolution of Intention No. 158, to establish set-back lines along Twenty-fourth, Twenty-seventh, Forty-fourth and Forty-seventh avenues, and fixed the 3d day of October, 1927, at 11 o'clock a. m., at the chambers of the Board of Supervisors, as the time and place for hearing objections thereto; that thereafter and more than ten days prior to said hearing the said resolution was published and notices of the passage of said resolution were posted along the line of said streets, in time, form and manner required by Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series); that said hearing was held at the time and place aforesaid, and that all objections made at said hearing have been properly overruled.

Section 2. Pursuant to the foregoing recitals and in accordance with the provisions of said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), setback lines are hereby established as follows:

Along the westerly side of Twenty-seventh avenue, commencing at Santiago street and running thence northerly 450 feet, said set-back line to be 9 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; along the easterly side of Twenty-seventh avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Santiago street and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 300 feet, said set-back line to be 9 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet.

Along the westerly side of Forty-fourth avenue, commencing at Santiago street and running thence northerly 450 feet, said set-back line to be 9 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; along the easterly side of Forty-fourth avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Santiago street and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 300 feet, said set-back line to be 9 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet.

Along the westerly side of Forty-seventh avenue, commencing at Ulloa street and running thence northerly 500 feet, said set-back line to be 9 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; along the easterly side of Forty-seventh avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Ulloa street and running thence northerly 350 feet, said set-back line to be 9 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet.

Along the westerly side of Twenty-fourth avenue, commencing at Wawona street, and running thence northerly 450 feet, said set-back line to be 9 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; along the easterly side of Twenty-fourth avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Wawona street, and running thence northerly to a point 87 feet southerly from Vicente street, said set-back line to be 14½ feet.

As shown on the maps filed in the office of the Board of Supervisors and to which reference is hereby made for further particulars.

Section 3. No structures shall be erected in the area between said set-back lines and the street lines except as provided in said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), and the penalties for the violation of this ordinance shall be fixed by the ordinance aforesaid.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Kent—3.

RECESS.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon the Board recessed until 2 p. m.

REASSEMBLED—2 P. M.

The Board of Supervisors reassembled at 2 p. m.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Hav-

enner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Kent—3.

Quorum present.

Supervisor McSheehy presiding.

UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

Final Passage.

The following matters, heretofore passed for printing, were taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Establishing Set-back Lines, Certain Streets.

Bill No. 8240, Ordinance No. 7756 (New Series), as follows:

Establishing set-back lines along portions of Seventeenth, Twenty-seventh, Thirty-fourth and Fortieth avenues.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby recited that on the 29th day of August, 1927, the Board of Supervisors adopted Resolution of Intention No. 159, to establish set-back lines along Seventeenth, Twenty-sixth, Twenty-seventh, Thirty-fourth and Fortieth avenues, and fixed the 3rd day of October, 1927, at 11 o'clock a. m., at the chambers of the Board of Supervisors, as the time and place for hearing objections thereto; that thereafter and more than ten days prior to said hearing the said resolution was published and notices of the passage of said resolution were posted along the line of said streets, in time, form and manner required by Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series); that said hearing was held at the time and place aforesaid, and that all objections made at said hearing have been properly overruled.

Section 2. Pursuant to the foregoing recitals and in accordance with the provisions of said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), set-back lines are hereby established as follows:

Along the westerly side of Seventeenth avenue, commencing at Lawton street, and running thence northerly to a point 79 feet southerly from Kirkham street, said set-back line to be 9 feet; along the easterly side of Seventeenth avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Lawton street, and running thence northerly 30 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; thence northerly 30 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly to Kirkham street, said set-back line to be 9 feet.

Along both sides of Thirty-fourth avenue between Kirkham street and Lawton street, said set-back lines to be 9 feet.

Along both sides of Fortieth avenue, commencing at points 100 feet northerly from Lawton street, and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 6 feet; thence northerly 300 feet, said set-back lines to be 9 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 3 feet.

Along both sides of Twenty-seventh avenue, commencing at Moraga street, and running thence northerly 450 feet, said set-back lines to be 9 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 3 feet.

As shown on the maps filed in the office of the Board of Supervisors and to which reference is hereby made for further particulars.

Section 3. No structures shall be erected in the area between said set-back lines and the street lines except as provided in said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), and the penalties for the violation of this ordinance shall be as fixed by the ordinance aforesaid.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Kent—3.

Ordering Street Work.

Bill No. 8244, Ordinance No. 7757 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors September 26, 1927, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications

prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in twenty installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be six months from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Roosevelt way from the southeasterly line of Buena Vista Terrace to Seventeenth street, and of Seventeenth street from the southeasterly line of Roosevelt way produced to Clayton street, including the intervening crossings and the necessary conform and approach work on streets adjacent thereto, by the construction of the following:

Class "A" concrete in stairs, copings and fences, including the furnishing and placing of reinforcing steel for same, and including all excavation and backfill therefor; three-pipe railing on stairs; redwood headers; asphalt pavement with binder course; vertical fiber brick pavement; relaid basalt block pavement using old blocks; concrete pavement; artificial stone sidewalks; concrete curbs; reset granite curbs; brick manhole with appurtenances; brick catchbasins with appurtenances; 10-inch ironstone pipe culverts; any appurtenant grading; all in accordance with plans A-6126, A-6131, A-6132, A-6133, A-6134 and A-6135 on file in the office of the City Engineer.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Kent—3.

Fixing Sidewalk Widths on Thirtieth Avenue.

Bill No. 8245, Ordinance No. 7758 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section, to be numbered nine hundred and eighty-four.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Board of Public Works, filed in this office September 29, 1927, by adding thereto a new section, to be numbered nine hundred and eighty-four, to read as follows:

Section 984. The width of sidewalks on Thirtieth avenue between Judah and Taraval streets shall be ten (10) feet.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect and be in force from and after its passage.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Kent—3.

PRESENTATION OF BILLS AND ACCOUNTS.

Demands not required by law to be passed to print, and amounting to $57,222.75, were allowed and ordered paid by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Kent—3.

Urgent Necessity.

Spring Valley Water Co., water for horse troughs, $47.18.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Kent—3.

NEW BUSINESS.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Authorizations.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1918.

(1) Burroughs Adding Machine Co., calculating machines, High School of Commerce (claim dated Oct. 4, 1927), $1,950.

Sunset Tunnel Assessment Fund.

(2) Leonard F. Youdall and R. G. Clifford (Youdall Construction Co.), sixteenth payment, construction of tunnel, etc., under Buena Vista Park (claim dated Oct. 4, 1927), $42,313.50.

Fire Department Land and Construction Fund.

(3) H. H. Larsen Co., second payment, general construction of Fire Dept. Engine House No. 18 (claim dated Oct. 5, 1927), $1,548.38.

Hetch Hetchy Power Operative Fund.

(4) Christenson Lumber Co., lumber for Moccasin houses (claim dated Sept. 30, 1927), $590.15.

(5) Pacific States Electric Co., water heaters, Demco panels, etc., Moccasin houses (claim dated Sept. 30, 1927), $589.05.

(6) Richards Hardwood Lumber Co., Inc., oak flooring, Moccasin houses (claim dated Sept. 30, 1927), $548.10.

(7) Westinghouse Electric and Mfg. Co., transformers and parts, Moccasin Power House (claim dated Sept. 30. 1927), $608.26.

Hetch Hetchy Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1925.

For Construction of Hetch Hetchy Water Supply.

(8) City Coal Co., coal (claim dated Oct. 5, 1927), $640.

(9) Hercules Powder Co., explosives (claim dated Oct. 5, 1927), $12,636.56.

(10) Santa Fe Lumber Co., lumber (claim dated Oct. 5, 1927), $2,481.20.

(11) Santa Cruz Portland Cement Co., cement (claim dated Oct. 5, 1927), $1,214.50.

(12) Soule Steel Co., reinforcing steel (claim dated Oct. 5, 1927), $543.91.

(13) Bethlehem Shipbuilding Corporation, Ltd., six crankshafts (claim dated Oct. 4, 1927), $516.

(14) A. M. Castle & Co., galvanized sheet iron, etc. (claim dated Sept. 30, 1927), $1,224.09.

(15) Grinnell Company of the Pacific, black pipe (claim dated Oct. 4, 1927), $20,851.62.

(16) Hill & Morton, Inc., lumber (claim dated Oct. 4, 1927), $3,076.30.

(17) Ingersoll-Rand Co. of Cal., machine parts (claim dated Oct. 3, 1927), $697.40.

(18) H. R. Landfare Co., telephone poles, etc. (claim dated Sept. 30, 1927), $1,213.

(19) The Charles Nelson Co., lumber (claim dated Sept. 30, 1927), $916.61.

(20) M. M. O'Shaughnessy, revolving fund expenditures, per vouchers (claim dated Oct. 3, 1927), $3,000.

(21) Santa Cruz Portland Cement Co., cement (claim dated Oct. 4, 1927), $3,643.50.

Park Fund.

(22) J. P. Holland, Inc., rock for parks (claim dated Sept. 20, 1927), $997.50.

(23) Krogh Pump & Machinery Co., one pump, etc., for parks (claim dated Oct. 7, 1927), $1,568.

Special School Tax.

(24) A. Lettich, second payment, plumbing for South Side High School (claim dated Oct. 5, 1927), $2,351.02.

(25) MacDonald & Kahn, second payment, general construction of South Side High School (claim dated Oct. 5, 1927), $30,472.50.

(26) Newberry-Pearce Electric Co., second payment, electric work for South Side High School (claim dated Oct. 5, 1927), $1,215.

County Road Fund.

(27) Schultz Construction Co., third payment, improvement of Grand avenue, including portion of Market street (claim dated Oct. 5, 1927), $4,500.

School Construction Fund, .Bond Issue 1923.

(28) J. B. Demaria et al., refund of prorated taxes paid on Lot 1, Block 920, Divisadero and Beach streets, required for school purposes (claim dated Oct. 10, 1927), $938.06.

(29) Alfred S. Gough, extra work for library, Mission High School (claim dated Sept. 29, 1927), $621.

(30) Latourette-Fical Co., tenth payment, plumbing, etc., for Mission (Everett) Junior High School (claim dated Oct. 5, 1927), $1,863.93.

(31) R. W. Moller, thirteenth payment, general construction of Mission (Everett) Junior High School (claim dated Oct. 5, 1927), $39,674.29.

(32) A. Lettich, fifth payment, plumbing for Portola Junior High School (claim dated Oct. 5, 1927), $3,822.58.

(33) O. Monson, sixth payment,

general construction of Portola Junior High School (claim dated Oct. 5, 1927), $22,935.

(34) Scott Company, third payment, mechanical equipment, Portola Junior High School (claim dated Oct. 5, 1927), $3,887.57.

(35) R. Flatland, first payment, electrical work, Sherman School (claim dated Oct. 5, 1927), $1,443.46.

(36) Scott Company, second payment, mechanical equipment, Sherman School (claim dated Oct. 5, 1927), $4,514.29.

Playground Fund.

(37) Spring Valley Water Co., water for playgrounds (claim dated Oct. 5, 1927), $1,386.78.

General Fund, 1926-1927.

(38) Frank J. McHugh, seventh payment, construction of sewers in westerly Sunset District, Section B, Contract 3 (claim dated Oct. 5, 1927), $11,250.

Publicity and Advertising—Appropriation 55.

(39) Pelicano-Rossi & Co., floral float (aeroplane) furnished for Admission Day celebration, Santa Cruz, for the publicity and advertising of San Francisco (claim dated Oct. 10. 1927), $750.

(40) San Francisco Convention and Tourist League, printing, etc., for publicity and advertising of San Francisco (claim dated Oct. 10, 1927), $1,097.

(41) Sperry Flour Co., flour for the Laguna Honda Home (claim dated Oct. 6, 1927), $795.43.

(42) S. H. Tyler & Son, coffee for the Laguna Honda Home (claim dated Oct. 6, 1927), $538.80.

(43) Spring Valley Water Co., water for Health Department buildings (claim dated Oct. 6, 1927), $1,884.53.

(44) Seabury & Johnson, bandages for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Oct. 6, 1927), $603.

(45) Victor X-Ray Corporation, X Ray supplies, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Oct. 6, 1927), $1,791.80.

(46) Libby, McNeill & Libby, apricots, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Oct. 6, 1927), $1,012.50.

(47) Langendorf Baking Co., bread, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Oct. 6, 1927), $1,289.78.

(48) Haas Bros., groceries, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Oct. 6, 1927), $2,309.16.

(49) Del Monte Meat Co., meats, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Oct. 6, 1927), $1,267.52.

(50) California Meat Co., meats, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Oct. 6, 1927), $752.67.

(51) Morris & Company, butter, etc., San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Oct. 6, 1927), $2,119.81.

(52) H. Moffatt & Co., meats, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Oct. 6, 1927), $1,399.80.

(53) J. T. Freitas & Co., eggs, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Oct. 6, 1927), $1,847.40.

(54) San Francisco Dairy Co., milk, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Oct. 6, 1927), $4,513.01.

(55) Scatena Galli Fruit Co., fruit, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Oct. 6, 1927), $694.

(56) A. Levy & J. Zentner Co., fruit, etc., San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Oct. 6, 1927), $737.55.

(57) California Petroleum Co., fuel oil, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Oct. 6, 1927), $2,208.22.

(58) San Francisco Society for the Prevention of Cruelty to Animals, impounding, feeding, etc., of animals for September (claim dated Oct. 10, 1927), $1,250.

(59) Sonoma State Home, maintenance of feebleminded, September (claim dated Oct. 10, 1927), $9,285.

(60) San Francisco Bulletin, official advertising (claim dated Oct. 10, 1927), $1,770.48.

(61) Standard Fence Co., fences constructed at Balboa Park (claim dated Oct. 7, 1927), $1,150.

(62) Monroe Calculating Machine Co., calculating machines for office of the Assessor (claim dated Oct. 10, 1927), $585.

Appropriation, $1,395, for Purchase of Iron Pipe for Municipal Water Extensions.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $1,395 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of "Urgent Necessity," Budget Item No. 25, for the purchase of 580 feet of 6-inch iron pipe for installation in Alpha street between Raymond and Teddy avenues; being an extension to the Municipal Water Works, and required for fire protection purposes.

Appropriations for Refund of Tax Judgments.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside and appropriated out of "Tax Judgments," Appropriation 59, and authorized in payment to the hereinafter named persons; being payments of one-tenth of amounts of judgments, including interest, and as approved by the City Attorney, to-wit:

(1) To Arthur J. Hearst, attorney for judgment creditors (A. A. Smith et al.), as per schedules attached (claim dated Sept. 30, 1927), $12,163.07.

(2) To Arthur J. Hearst, attorney for judgment creditors (Pacific Telephone & Telegraph Co. et al), as per schedule attached (claim dated Sept. 30, 1927), $2,877.93.

Appropriations for Repainting Street Signs.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Sries), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $1,000 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of "Street Signs," Budget Item No. 71, for the painting and repairing of street signs during the fiscal year 1927-1928.

Appropriation, $1,100, Payment to Jos. Dal Poggetto for Land Required for School Purposes.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $1,100 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923, and authorized in payment to Joseph Dal Poggetto; being payment for lands situate and commencing at a point on the westerly line of Twenty-third avenue, distant thereon 225 feet northerly from the northerly line of Santiago street, running thence northerly along the westerly line of Twenty-third avenue 25 feet; thence westerly 120 feet; thence southerly 25 feet; thence easterly 120 feet; per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 27892 (New Series). Property required for school purposes.

Appropriations for Land for Boulevard Purposes.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of County Road Fund (appropriations heretofore made) in payment to the hereinafter named persons; being payments for properties required for boulevard purposes, to-wit:

(1) Ruth H. Cosgrove, for property required for the opening of the Bernal Heights boulevard, as per acceptance of offer and description by Resolution No. 27879, New Series (claim dated Oct. 5, 1927), $1,014.

(2) Adele H. Back, Wilhelmine H. Eichler and Henriette W. Bertelsen, for property required for Bernal Heights boulevad, as per acceptance of offer and description by Resolution No. 27879, New Series (claim dated Oct. 5, 1927), $1,500.

(3) Sarah Weinstein, for property required for the opening of the Bay Shore boulevard, as per acceptance of offer and description by Resolution No. 27880, New Series (claim dated Oct. 5, 1927), $600.

Appropriations for Work in Front of City Property and for Sewer Catchbasin in Mariposa Street.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 27908 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned funds for the following purposes, to-wit:

Street Work in Front of City Property—Budget Item No. 35.

(1) For cost of repaving of roadway at entrance to Isolation Department of San Francisco Hospital, $240.

(2) For cost of constructing sidewalk on the San Jose avenue side of the Balboa Primary School, San Jose avenue near Geneva avenue, $350.

(3) For cost of setting back existing anguar corners at the northwesterly intersection of Harding boulevard and Point Lobos avenue, and the reconstruction of the curbs, pavement and sidewalks, $175.

Extension and Reconstruction of Sewers—Budget Item No. 38.

(4) For cost of constructing catchbasin in front of City property on Mariposa street, opposite intersection of Wisconsin street, $152.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Kent—3.

Accepting Offer of Wm. Campbell to Sell for $6,750 Certain Land Required for Extension of Nineteenth Street.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 27909 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the owners of the following described land, sought to be acquired by the City and County of San Francisco for the extension of Nineteenth street from Yukon street to Mono street, have offered to convey the property desired by the City and County of San Francisco for the sum set forth opposite their names, viz.:

William Campbell and Helen Campbell, $6,750—All of Lot 43a, in Block 2702, as per the Assessor's Block Book of the City and County of San Francisco.

Whereas, the City Attorney has recommended the acceptance of the said offer and the acquisition of the

property owned by said parties and offered to the City and County of San Francisco for the price set forth, which said price is in accordance with the City's appraisement of the property; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the said offer of sale be accepted, and the City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to examine the title of said property, and, if the same is found in satisfactory condition, to accept in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco a deed conveying title thereto, and file the same for record with a copy of this resolution attached thereto as evidence of acceptance by the City and County of San Francisco upon payment of the agreed purchase price.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Kent—3.

Accepting Offer of Dennis Murphy et al. to Sell for $3,000 Certain Land Required for Extension and Widening of Market Street.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 27910 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the owners of the following described land, sought to be acquired by the City and County of San Francisco for the extending and widening of Market street, have offered to convey the property desired by the City and County of San Francisco for the sum set forth opposite their names, viz.:

Dennis Murphy and Hannah Murphy, $3,000—Beginning at a point distant 69.882 feet at right angles northerly from the northerly line of Eighteenth street and distant 575 feet at right angles westerly from the westerly line of Hattie street, said point being on the easterly boundary line of Lot No. 24 of Block "B" of Park Lane Tract, and running thence northerly parallel with Hattie street along the easterly boundary line of said lot a distance of 9.493 feet to the northerly boundary line of said lot; thence deflecting 95 deg. 00 min. 02 sec. to the left and running westerly along said northerly boundary line 25.096 feet to the westerly boundary line of said lot; thence deflecting 84 deg. 59 min. 58 sec. to the left and running southerly parallel with Hattie street along the westerly boundary line of said lot 21.750 feet; thence northeasterly on a curve to the right of 1235-foot radius, tangent to a line deflected 120 deg. 41 min. 28 sec. to the left from the preceding course, central angle 1 deg. 20 min. 22 sec., a distance of 28.871 feet to the point of beginning. Being portion of Lot No. 24 of Block "B" of Park Lane Tract.

The above-mentioned amount includes damages in full to the adjoining lot and the building located thereon caused or to be caused by the future establishment of a grade on Market street and the grading and construction of Market street.

Whereas, the City Attorney has recommended the acceptance of the said offer and the acquisition of the property owned by said persons and offered to the City and County of San Francisco for the sum set forth, which said price is in accordance with the City's appraisement of the property; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the said offer of sale be accepted and the City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to examine the title to said property, and, if the same is found in satisfactory condition, to accept in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco a deed conveying title thereto, and file the same for record with a copy of this resolution attached thereto as evidence of acceptance by the City and County of San Francisco upon payment of the agreed purchase price.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Kent—3.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Oil and Boiler Permits.

On motion of Supervisor Deasy:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits be and the same are hereby granted:

Oil Tanks.

A. J. Herzig, 64 Sanfranbank street, 500 gallons capacity.

E. C. Gunn, north side of Chestnut street, 75 feet east of Baker street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Walworth California Company, south side of Tehama street, 25 feet east of Second street, 1500 gallons capacity.

F. H. Walker, 225 San Anselmo avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

J. T. Pigott, 3343 Washington street, 750 gallons capacity.

Boilers.

The California Poultry Company, Inc., 315 Washington street, 5-horsepower.

J. S. Hay, 1651 Sixteenth street, 6-horsepower.

Mission Pork Store, 3019 Sixteenth street, 5-horsepower.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits shall become null and void.

Denying Permit for Home for Aged and Infirm.

Supervisor Byington presented:

Resolution No. 27911 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That in the exercise of the sound and reasonable discretion of the Board of Supervisors, permission is hereby denied Jane Scheurer to maintain and operate a home for the aged and infirm at 1521 Masonic avenue.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Kent—3.

Street Lights.

Supervisor Stanton presented:

Resolution No. 27912 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Pacific Gas and Electric Company be and it is hereby authorized and requested to install, remove and change street lights as follows:

Remove Gas Lamps.

Washington street, in front of premises No. 3370.

Ninth avenue, in front of garage entrance, No. 1631.

Guy place between First and Second streets, Folsom and Harrison streets.

North and south sides Lansing street, west of First street.

Install 400 *M. R.*

Twenty-sixth avenue between Rivera and Santiago streets.

Fortieth avenue between Irving and Judah streets.

Twenty-seventh street and Stanford Heights avenue.

Twenty-eighth street and Stanford Heights avenue.

Lee avenue between Holloway and Grafton avenues.

East side Acton street, 215 feet south of Mission street.

Corbett avenue between Hattie street and Corbin place.

Twenty-fifth avenue between Lawton and Moraga streets.

Palou avenue between Griffith and Hawes streets.

Guy place and First street.

Thirtieth street between Noe and Castro streets.

Remove 400 *M. R.*

Northeast corner Balboa street, at Third, Fifth, Sixth, Seventh and Eighth avenues.

East and west sides Kearny street from Bush street to the south line of Columbus avenue.

Remove 300-*Watt Lamp.*

East side Kearny street, south line of Columbus avenue.

Remove 600 C. P. lamps on Divisadero street from Page to Sacramento streets (71).

Install 400 C. P. O. B. six to block (18 blocks, 108 lamps) on every trolley pole, equipped with Refractor No. 4634, each alternate light to be turned off at midnight.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt. Shannon, Stanton, Todd —15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Kent—3.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Ordering Street Work.

On motion of Supervisor Marks:

Bil No. 8248, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors September 13, 1927, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement

Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in three installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

For the construction of a bulkhead and the removal of sand from the sidewalk and roadway on the westerly one-half of Thirty-fifth avenue from the southerly line of Kirkham street to a line parallel with and 300 feet northerly therefrom.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Also, Bill No. 8249, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works, in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors September 13, 1927, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

The construction of a bulkhead on the westerly line of Thirty-fifth avenue from the southerly line of Irving street to a line parallel with and 300 feet southerly therefrom, and the removal of sand from the roadway and sidewalk area adjacent thereto.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Conditional Acceptance, Certain Streets.

Also, Bill No. 8250, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Providing for conditional acceptance of the roadway of Bowdoin street between Silver avenue and Hale street, and the intersection of Bowdoin and Hale streets; Forty-seventh avenue between Quintara and Rivera streets; Garfield street between the easterly line of Head street and the westerly line of Vernon street, including the crossings of Garfield street and Head street, Victoria street, Arch street and Vernon street; Hampshire street between Twenty-fifth and Army streets, and the intersection of Hampshire and Twenty-sixth streets; Hale street between Bowdoin and Boylston streets, and the crossing of Hale and Boylston streets; Kirkham street between Thirty-eighth and Thirty-ninth avenues; Kirkham street between Forty-second and Forty-third avenues, and the crossing of Kirkham street and Forty-second avenue; Laura street between Huron avenue and Alemany boulevard; Lawton street between Forty-seventh and Forty-eighth avenues; Munich street between Brazil and Persia avenues; Mount Vernon avenue between Tara and Louisburg streets; Moraga street between Twenty-seventh and Twenty-eighth avenues; Mariposa street between Arkansas and Connecticut streets; Lakeview avenue between Plymouth and Brighton avenues; Quintara street between Forty-sixth and Forty-seventh avenues; Quintara street between Forty-seventh and Forty-eighth avenues; Reddy street between Williams and Thornton avenues; Santiago street between Forty-sixth and Forty-seventh avenues; Thirty-eighth avenue between Judah and Kirkham streets; Twenty-sixth avenue between Rivera and Santiago streets; Tompkins avenue between Prentiss and Banks streets; Tompkins avenue between Folsom and Banks streets; Thirtieth avenue between Anza and Balboa streets; Turk street between Parker avenue and Willard street; Twenty-fifth avenue between Santiago and Taraval streets; Twenty-ninth avenue between Kirkham and Lawton streets; Thirty-eighth avenue between Vicente and Wawona streets; Twenty-seventh avenue between Rivera and Santiago streets; Thirtieth avenue between Cabrilo and Fulton streets; Twenty-eighth avenue between Lawton and Moraga streets; Ulloa street between Forty-fourth and Forty-fifth avenues; Ulloa street between Forty-fifth and Forty-sixth avenues; Vicente street between Twenty-first and Twenty-

second avenues; Vicente street between Thirty-seventh and Thirty-eighth avenues; Ward street between Brussels and Girard streets, and the crossing of Ward street and Girard street; crossing of Kirkham street and Thirty-eighth avenue; crossing of Kirkham street and Thirty-ninth avenue; crossing of Forty-sixth avenue and Quintara street; crossing of Twenty-eighth avenue and Moraga street; crossing of Twenty-second avenue and Vicente street; crossing of Forty-seventh avenue and Quintara street; crossing of Avalon avenue and Athens street.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The roadways of the following named streets, including the curbs on both sides thereof, having been constructed to the satisfaction of the Board of Public Works and of the Board of Supervisors, are hereby conditionally accepted by the City and County of San Francisco (except those portions required by law to be kept in order by the railroad company having tracks thereon), in accordance with the provisions of Section 23, Chapter 2, Article VI of the Charter, said roadways having been paved with asphaltic concrete and curbs laid thereon, and are in good condition throughout, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein, to-wit:

Bowdoin street between Silver avenue and Hale street, and the intersection of Bowdoin and Hale streets; Forty-seventh avenue between Quintara and Rivera streets; Garfield street between the easterly line of Head street and the westerly line of Vernon street, including the crossings of Garfield street and Head street, Victoria street, Arch street and Vernon street; Hampshire street between Twenty-fifth and Army streets, and the intersection of Hampshire and Twenty-sixth streets; Hale street between Bowdoin and Boylston streets and the crossing of Hale and Boylston streets; Kirkham street between Thirty-eighth and Thirty-ninth avenues; Kirkham street between Forty-second and Forty-third avenues, and the crossing of Kirkham street and Forty-second avenue; Laura street between Huron avenue and Alemany boulevard; Lawton street between Forty-seventh and Forty-eighth avenues; Munich street between Brazil and Persia avenues; Mount Vernon avenue between Tara and Louisburg streets; Moraga street between Twenty-seventh and Twenty-eighth avenues; Mariposa street between Arkansas and Connecticut streets; Lakeview avenue between Plymouth and Brighton avenues; Quintara street between Forty-sixth and Forty-seventh avenues; Quintara street between Forty-seventh and Forty-eighth avenues; Reddy street between Williams and Thornton avenues; Santiago street between Forty-sixth and Forty-seventh avenues; Thirty-eighth avenue between Judah and Kirkham streets; Twenty-sixth avenue between Rivera and Santiago streets; Tompkins avenue between Prentiss and Banks streets; Tompkins avenue between Folsom and Banks streets; Thirtieth avenue between Anza and Balboa streets; Turk street between Parker avenue and Willard street; Twenty-fifth avenue between Santiago and Taraval streets; Twenty-ninth avenue between Kirkham and Lawton streets; Thirty-eighth avenue between Vicente and Wawona streets; Twenty-seventh avenue between Rivera and Santiago streets; Thirtieth avenue between Cabrillo and Fulton streets; Twenty-eighth avenue between Lawton and Moraga streets; Ulloa street between Forty-fourth and Forty-fifth avenues; Ulloa street between Forty-fifth and Forty-sixth avenues; Vicente street between Twenty-first and Twenty-second avenues; Vicente street between Thirty-seventh and Thirty-eighth avenues; Ward street between Brussels and Girard streets, and the crossing of Ward street and Girard street; crossing of Kirkham street and Thirty-eighth avenue; crossing of Kirkham street and Thirty-ninth avenue; crossing of Forty-sixth avenue and Quintara street; crossing of Twenty-eighth avenue and Moraga street; crossing of Twenty-second avenue and Vicente street; crossing of Forty-seventh avenue and Quintara street; crossing of Avalon avenue and Athens street.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Full Acceptance, Certain Streets.

Also, Bill No. 8252, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Providing for full acceptance of the roadway of Crestlake drive between the easterly line of El Mirasol place and the northerly line of Wawona street, including the intersections of El Mirasol drive, Constano way, Yorba street and Wawona street; Yorba street between

Crestlake drive and Thirty-fourth avenue and the intersections of Yorba street and Thirty-fourth avenue and El Mirasol place between Crestlake drive and Sloat boulevard, except that portion thereof reserved for parking, and the intersection of El Mirasol place and Sloat boulevard; the upper and lower roadways of Canyon drive between South Hill boulevard and the county line, and the crossing of Canyon drive and South Hill boulevard; Diamond street between the northerly line of Army street and the southerly line of Twenty-eighth street, including the crossing of Diamond and Army streets, Twenty-seventh street, Duncan street and Twenty-eighth street; El Plazuela between Junipero Serra boulevard and its easterly termination; Francisco street between Baker and Lyon streets; Lyon street between Chestnut and Francisco streets; Lyon street between Francisco and Bay streets and the crossing of Lyon and Bay streets; crossing of Lyon and Francisco streets.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The roadways of the following named streets, including the curbs on both sides thereof, having been constructed to the satisfaction of the Board of Public Works and of the Board of Supervisors, are hereby fully accepted by the City and County of San Francisco (except those portions required by law to be kept in order by the railroad company having tracks thereon), in accordance with the provisions of Section 23, Chapter 2, Article VI of the Charter, said roadways having been paved with asphaltic concrete and curbs laid thereon, and are in good condition throughout, and have sewers, gas and water mains laid therein, to-wit:

Crestlake drive between the easterly line of El Mirasol place and the northerly line of Wawona street, including the intersections of El Mirasol drive, Constano way, Yorba street and Wawona street; Yorba street between Crestlake drive and Thirty-fourth avenue, and the intersections of Yorba street and Thirty-fourth avenue and El Mirasol place between Crestlake drive and Sloat boulevard, except that portion thereof reserved for parking, and the intersection of El Mirasol place and Sloat boulevard; the upper and lower roadways of Canyon drive between South Hill boulevard and the county line and the crossing of Canyon drive and South Hill boulevard; Diamond street between the northerly line of Army street and the southerly line of Twenty-eighth street, including the crossing of Diamond and Army streets, Twenty-seventh street, Duncan street and Twenty-eighth street; El Plazuela between Junipero Serra boulevard and its easterly termination; Francisco street between Baker and Lyon streets; Lyon street between Chestnut and Francisco streets; Lyon street between Francisco and Bay streets and the crossing of Lyon and Bay streets; crossing of Lyon and Francisco streets.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Conditional Acceptance, Certain Streets.

Also, Bill No. 8253, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Providing for conditional acceptance of the roadway of Avalon avenue between Vienna and Athens streets; Baden street between Monterey boulevard and Hearst avenue; Eighteenth street between Kansas and Rhode Island streets; Monticello street between Worcester and Sargent streets; Pollard street between Vallejo street and its northerly termination; Valley street between Noe and Castro streets; crossing of Ralston and Sargent streets.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The roadways of the following named streets, including the curbs on both sides thereof, having been constructed to the satisfaction of the Board of Public Works and of the Board of Supervisors, are hereby conditionally accepted by the City and County of San Francisco (except those portions required by law to be kept in order by the railroad company having tracks thereon), in accordance with the provisions of Section 23, Chapter 2, Article VI of the Charter, said roadways having been paved with concrete and surbs laid thereon, and are in good condition throughout, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein, to-wit:

Avalon avenue between Vienna and Athens streets; Baden street between Monterey boulevard and Hearst avenue; Eighteenth street between Kansas and Rhode Island streets; Monticello street between Worcester and Sargent streets; Pollard street between Valley street and its northerly termination; Valley street between Noe and Castro streets; crossing of Ralston and Sargent streets.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Fixing Monday, October 24, for Hearing Appeal of Property Owners Against Assessment for Improvement of Twenty-eighth Street Between Diamond and Castro Streets.

Supervisor Marks presented:

Resolution No. 27913 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Monday, October 24, 1927, is hereby fixed as the time for hearing the appeal of property owners from the assessment issued by the Board of Public Works on August 18, 1927, for the improvement of Twenty-eighth street between Diamond and Castro streets.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Kent—3.

Extensions of Time.

Supervisor Marks presented:

Resolution No. 27914 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Schultz Construction Company is hereby granted an extension of ninety days' time from and after August 10, 1927, within which to complete the improvement of Grand View avenue between Elizabeth and Stanton streets. The work has been delayed by the City obtaining rights of way.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Kent—3.

Also, Resolution No. 27915 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That M. J. Lynch be and he is hereby granted an extension of ninety days' time from and after September 27, 1927, within which to complete the improvement of San Bruno avenue between Leland avenue and Visitacion avenue, under public contract. The contractor has been delayed by the installation of sidesewers.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Kent—3.

Accepting Offer of H. N. Wreden to Sell Land Required for Widening of Portola Drive.

Supervisor Marks presented:

Resolution No. 27916 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the following named owners of certain land situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, which said land is required by the City and County of San Francisco in connection with the widening of Portola drive, have offered to quitclaim unto said City and County the land hereinafter described for the sum set forth opposite their names, viz.:

H. M. Wreden, Emma Wreden and W. H. Metson, $1—Portion of Block No. 40 of Stanford Heights, as per map thereof recorded July 18, 1891, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, in Book "E" and "F" of Maps, pages 154 and 155.

Whereas, the City Attorney has recommended the acceptance of the said offer to quitclaim said property to the City and County of San Francisco; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the said offer be accepted, and the City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to accept in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco a quitclaim deed conveying title of the above named persons to said property, and file the same for record with a copy of this resolution attached thereto as evidence of acceptance by the City and County of San Francisco.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Kent—3.

Intention to Change Grades.

Supervisor Marks presented:

Resolution No. 27917 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to change and establish grades on the following named streets, at the points hereinafter specified and at the elevations above city base, as hereinafter stated, in accordance with Resolution No. 99138 (Second Series) of the Board of Public Works adopted September 28, 1927, and written recommendation of said Board filed September 29, 1927, to-wit:

On Thrift street between Capitol and Orizaba avenues.

The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that no assessment district is necessary, as no damage will result from said change of grades, inasmuch as the streets are ungraded and there are no existing street improvements.

The Board of Public Works is hereby directed to cause to be conspicuously posted along the street or streets upon which such change or modification of grade or grades is contemplated, notice of the passage of this resolution of intention.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Kent—3.

Also, Resolution No. 27918 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to change and establish grades on the following named streets, at the points hereinafter specified and at the elevations above city base, as hereinafter stated, in accordance with Resolution No. 99203 (Second Series) of the Board of Public Works adopted October 3, 1927, and written recommendation of said Board filed October 5, 1927, to-wit:

Brussels Street.

Dwight street southerly line, 119 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Westerly line of, 20 feet southerly from Dwight street, 128 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Westerly line of, 125 feet southerly from Dwight street, 143.56 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Westerly line of, 175 feet southerly from Dwight street, 148.24 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Westerly line of, 225 feet southerly from Dwight street, 147.40 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

12 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 125 feet southerly from Dwight street, 137.57 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

12 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 160 feet southerly from Dwight street, 141.02 feet.

12 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 195 feet southerly from Dwight street, 140.98 feet.

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

10 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 125 feet southerly from Dwight street, 137.57 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

10 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 160 feet southerly from Dwight street, 141.02 feet.

10 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 195 feet southerly from Dwight street, 140.98 feet.

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

Westerly line of, 100 feet northerly from Olmstead street, 142.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

12 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 75 feet northerly from Olmstead street, 134.33 feet.

10 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 75 feet northerly from Olmstead street, 134.33 feet.

Westerly line of, 15 feet northerly from Olmstead street, 139.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

20 feet easterly from the westerly line of, at Olmstead street northerly line, 132.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

10 feet westerly from the easterly line of, at Olmstead street northerly line, 130.50 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

On Brussels street between Dwight and Olmstead streets, be changed and established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that no assessment district is necessary as no damage will result from said change of grades, inasmuch as the streets are ungraded and there are no existing street improvements.

The Board of Public Works is hereby directed to cause to be conspicuously posted along the street or streets upon which such change or modification of grade or grades is contemplated notice of the passage of this resolution of intention.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Kent—3.

Also, Resolution No. 27919 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to

change and establish grades on the following named streets, at the points hereinafter specified and at the elevations above city base, as hereinafter stated, in accordance with Resolution No. 99202 (Second Series) of the Board of Public Works adopted October 3, 1927, and written recommendation of said Board filed October 5, 1927, to-wit:

Nineteenth Street.

Arkansas street, 106.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

12 feet southerly from the northerly line of, 100 feet westerly from Arkansas street, 86.66 feet.

12 feet southerly from the northerly line of, 150 feet westerly from Arkansas street, 77.66 feet.

12 feet southerly from the northerly line of, at Wisconsin street easterly line, 70.00 feet.

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

12 feet northerly from the southerly line of, 100 feet westerly from Arkansas street, 86.66 feet.

12 feet northerly from the southerly line of, 150 feet westerly from Arkansas street, 77.91 feet.

12 feet northerly from the southerly line of, at Wisconsin street easterly line, 71.00 feet.

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

Northerly line of, 15 feet westerly from Wisconsin street easterly line, 69.50 feet.

Southerly line of, 15 feet westerly from Wisconsin street easterly line, 71.50 feet.

Northerly line of, 15 feet easterly from Wisconsin street westerly line, 69.50 feet.

Southerly line of, 15 feet easterly from Wisconsin street westerly line, 71.50 feet.

12 feet southerly from the northerly line of, at Wisconsin street westerly line, 70.00 feet.

12 feet northerly from the southerly line of, at Wisconsin street westerly line, 71.00 feet.

100 feet westerly from Wisconsin street, 72.00 feet.

Carolina street, 74.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Wisconsin Street.

60 feet southerly from Eighteenth street, 19.50 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, at Nineteenth street northerly line, 69.50 feet.

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, at Nineteenth street northerly line, 69.50 feet.

Easterly line of, 12 feet southerly from Nineteenth street northerly line, 70.00 feet.

Westerly line of, 12 feet southerly from Nineteenth street northerly line, 70.00 feet.

Easterly line of, 12 feet northerly from Nineteenth street southerly line, 71.00 feet.

Westerly line of, 12 feet northerly from Nineteenth street southerly line, 71.00 feet.

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, at Nineteenth street southerly line, 71.50 feet.

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, at Nineteenth street southerly line, 71.50 feet.

Twentieth street, 176.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

On Nineteenth street between Arkansas and Carolina streets, and on Wisconsin street between a line parallel with Eighteenth street and 60 feet southerly therefrom and Twentieth street, be changed and established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that no assessment district is necessary, as no damage will result from said change of grades, inasmuch as the streets are ungraded and there are no existing street improvements.

The Board of Public Works is hereby directed to cause to be conspicuously posted along the street or streets upon which such change or modification of grade or grades is contemplated, notice of the passage of this resolution of intention.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Kent—3.

Also, Resolution No. 27920 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to change and establish grades on the following named streets at the points hereinafter specified and at the elevations above city base, as hereinafter stated, in accordance with Resolution No. 99201 (Second Series) of the Board of Public Works adopted October 3, 1927, and written recommendation of said Board filed October 5, 1927, to-wit:

Wisconsin Street.

Twenty-third street southerly line, 259.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

110.06 feet southerly from Twenty-third street, 241.39 feet.

160.06 feet southerly from Twenty-third street, 234.64 feet.

210.06 feet southerly from Twenty-third street, 230.40 feet.

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

550 feet southerly from Twenty-third street, 210.05 feet.

600 feet southerly from Twenty-third street, 205.80 feet.

650 feet southerly from Twenty-third street, 199.06 feet.

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

Twenty-fifth street northerly line, 164.50 feet.

Twenty-fifth street southerly line, 150.00 feet. (The came being the present official grade.)

Twenty-fifth Street.

Northerly line of, at Arkansas street westerly line, 133.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Southerly line of, at Arkansas street westerly line, 130.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Northerly line of, at Wisconsin street, 164.50 feet.

Southerly line of, at Wisconsin street, 150.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Carolina street, 180.00 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

On Wisconsin street between Twenty-third street and the southerly line of Twenty-fifth street, and on Twenty-fifth street between the westerly line of Arkansas street and Carolina street, be changed and established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that no assessment district is necessary, as no damage will result from said change of grades, inasmuch as the streets are ungraded and there are no existing street improvements.

The Board of Public Works is hereby directed to cause to be conspicuously posted along the street or streets upon which such change or modification of grade or grades is contemplated, notice of the passage of this resolution of intention.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Kent—3.

Also, Resolution No. 27921 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to change and establish grades on the following named streets, at the points hereinafter specified and at the elevations above city base, as hereinafter stated, in accordance with Resolution No. 99137 (Second Series) of the Board of Public Works adopted September 28, 1927, and written recommendation of said Board filed September 29, 1927, to-wit:

On Montana street between the easterly line of Faxon avenue produced and Orizaba avenue; on Faxon avenue between Thrift and Montana streets, and on Orizaba avenue between Sargent street northerly line produced and Montana street northerly line produced.

The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that no assesment district is necessary, as no damages will result from said change of grades, inasmuch as the streets are ungraded and there are no existing street improvements.

The Board of Public Works is hereby directed to cause to be conspicuously posted along the street or streets upon which such change or modification of grade or grades is contemplated, notice of the passage of this resolution of intention.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Kent—3.

Passed for Printing.

The following bill was *passed for printing*:

Fixing Sidewalk Widths on Harrison Street.

On motion of Supervisor Marks:

Bill No. 8254, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by amending Section Seventy-eight thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Board of Public Works filed in this office September 16, 1927, by amending Section Seventy-eight thereof, to read as follows:

Section 78. The width of sidewalks on Harrison street between Steuart street and Alameda street shall be fifteen (15) feet.

The width of sidewalks on Harrison street, the westerly side of, between Alameda street and Sixteenth street, shall be fifteen (15) feet.

The width of sidewalks on Harrison street, the easterly side of, between Alameda street and Sixteenth street, are hereby dispensed with and abolished.

The width of sidewalks on Harrison street, the westerly side of, between Sixteenth street and Seventeenth street, shall be fifteen (15) feet.

The width of sidewalks on Harrison street, the easterly side of, between Sixteenth street and Seventeenth street, are hereby dispensed with and abolished.

The width of sidewalks on Harrison street, the easterly side of, between Seventeenth street and Eighteenth street, shall be fifteen (15) feet.

The width of sidewalks on Harrison street, the westerly side of, between Seventeenth street and Eighteenth street, are hereby dispensed with and abolished.

The width of sidewalks on Harrison street between Eighteenth street and Nineteenth street shall be fifteen (15) feet.

The width of sidewalks on Harrison street, the easterly side of, between Ninteenth street and Twentieth street, shall be fifteen (15) feet.

The width of sidewalks on Harrison street, the westerly side of, between Nineteenth street and Twentieth street, are hereby dispensed with and abolished.

The width of sidewalks on Harrison street between Twentieth street and Precita avenue shall be fifteen (15) feet.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect and be in force from and after its passage.

And the Clerk is hereby directed to advertise this bill and ordinance in The Bulletin newspaper, as required by law.

Extension of Time for Furnishing Water Towers and Monitor Batteries.

Supervisor Badaracco presented: Resolution No. 27922 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That a third extension of time is hereby granted, upon recommendation of the Board of Fire Commissions, to Union Machine Company upon contract awarded by Resolution No. 26769 (New Series), approved February 11, 1927 (Proposal No. 270), for furnishing two water towers and monitor batteries complete; said third extension of time being for thirty calendar days from September 30, 1927.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Kent—3.

ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND MOTIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

City Attorney to Prepare Legal Procedure for Creation of Peninsula Consolidation Commission.

Supervisor Gallagher presented: Resolution No. 27923 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, it is the firm conviction of those citizens who have given the most thought to the matter, that the future growth and greatness of San Francisco lies in the southern direction and in the Peninsula area; and

Whereas, industrial development in San Francisco is a policy to which this Board of Supervisors and our constituents are enthusiastically pledged; and

Whereas, the imperative need of through rapid transportation to and from the Peninsula is manifest in order to keep pace with San Francisco and Peninsula growth; and

Whereas, a comprehensive survey to determine the best means to accomplish such industrial development and rapid transit is necessary; and

Whereas, such survey and expert advice may best function under official auspices; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the City Attorney is requested to prepare proper legal procedure for submission to this Board creating a commission whose duty it will be to make a study and survey and submit recommendations for the industrial development of and rapid transit to and from San Francisco and the Peninsula territory.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy.

Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—18.

Appropriation, $495, Payment of Claim of Jas. G. Stafford for Work of Appraisal of Taxable Improvements.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 27925 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $495 be and the same is hereby authorized to be expended out of Budget Item No. 56, 1927-1928 ("Continuing and completing the appraisal of all taxable property," etc.), in payment of the claim of James G. Stafford and associates for services rendered between September 14 and October 8, 1927, under the contract for the appraisal of taxable improvements in the City and Couunty, authorized by Resolution No. 27419 (New Series), and being first payment.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Kent—3.

Recreation Park for Mission Stadium.

Supervisor Gallagher presented:

Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the baseball park at Valencia between Fourteenth and Fifteenth streets offers an ideal spot for a playground and stadium for the children and the students of the populous Mission district, who are now compelled to seek recreation centers and stadiums in other parts of the City; and

Whereas, the lessees of this site, the San Francisco Baseball Club and the Mission Baseball Club, have acquired another site at Sixteenth and Bryant streets, which they are anxious to improve immediately so as to give San Francisco a Class A baseball park; and

Whereas, the lease on the Valencia street grounds will not expire for eight or nine years but may at any time be sold and the land diverted to other purposes, thereby preventing the City from acquiring this last playground site in the Mission district proper; and

Whereas, the lessees have indicated their willingness to meet with the properly constituted authorities and transfer said lease to the City and County of San Francisco under the identical terms and provisions which said lessees now enjoy and to further assign the right and title to all improvements located on said lease without cost to the City, after the completion of the new baseball park; and

Whereas, when once acquired under lease, the land can eventually be purchased under condemnation or outright sale by the City and County of San Francisco and dedicated as a stadium and playground; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby expresses its approval of such a plan to provide a stadium for the Mission district and that the Finance Committee of this Board, and the Playground Commission of the City and County of San Francisco, and the City and County Attorney be requested to meet forthwith with the owners and lessees of said property, or their authorized agents, to the end that such steps may be immediately taken as will insure this leasehold and eventually this property to the children and students of the Mission district.

Referred to Committees on Finance, Building and Education, Parks and Playgrounds.

Notice of Special Election for Boulevard Bonds.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 27924 (New Series), as follows:

Giving notice of a special election to be held in the City and County of San Francisco on Tuesday, the 8th day of November, 1927, for the purpose of submitting to the voters of the City and County of San Francisco a proposition to incur a bonded debt of the City and County of San Francisco to the amount of nine million three hundred and eighty thousand ($9,380,000) dollars for the acquisition, construction and completion of the permanent improvements, to-wit: Certain improved routes for public highway purposes in the City and County of San Francisco as follows: A boulevard to be known as the Bayshore highway, from the vicinity of Army street, Potrero avenue and San Bruno avenue, along San Bruno avenue widened to Cortland avenue, thence along a new right of way to Silver avenue and Charter Oak avenue, thence along Charter Oak avenue widened to Paul avenue, thence along a riute paralleling San Bruno avenue between San Bruno avenue and Third street, to the vicinity of San Bruno avenue and Sunnydale avenue and the county line, or the most feasible route or routes; a boulevard to be known as the Alemany boulevard, from the vicinity of Cortland avenue and San Bruno avenue and the

proposed Bayshore highway, thence in a southerly and westerly direction, following the general course of the old Ocean Shore right of way to a connection with the Junipero Serra boulevard near Palmetto avenue, or the most feasible route or routes; a boulevard to be known as the Junipero Serra boulevard, from the vicinity of Sloat boulevard and Portola drive, thence in a general southerly direction, widening and straightening the existing roadway of the present Junipero Serra boulevard, to the county line near the vicinity of Belle avenue, or the most feasible route or routes; a boulevard to be known as Nineteenth avenue extended southerly, crossing old Ocean avenue and paralleling on each side the extension of the Municipal Railway running southerly and southeasterly to Junipero Serra boulevard, or the most feasible route or routes; a boulevard to be known as the Sunset boulevard, commencing at Lincoln way between Twentieth avenue and Thirty-seventh avenue and running in a southerly direction between said Twentieth avenue and said Thirty-seventh avenue, or such avenues included or extended, to Sloat boulevard, or the most feasible route or routes; a boulevard or beach frontage improvement to be known as The Esplanade, commencing in the vicinity of the Great Highway and Fulton street and running in a general southerly direction along and upon said Great Highway to Lincoln way, of the same type of construction as the previously completed Esplanade; an improvement of the Great Highway from Lincoln way southerly to Sloat boulevard; a boulevard to be known as the Van Ness avenue extension, from the vicinity of Mission street and Twelfth street extended southerly to Howard street and Thirteenth street, or the most feasible route or routes; including approaches, structures, pavements, curbs, sidewalks, sewers, drainage facilities, the readjustment of intersecting and adjacent streets and other improvements, and the purchase of the necessary lands with respect to each of the said boulevards or beach frontage improvements.

Resolved, by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Notice is hereby given that, pursuant to the laws of the State of California, the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco and the provisions of Bill No. 8225, Ordinance No. 7738 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors, passed September 26, 1927, reference to said ordinance for further particulars being here made, a special election will be held in the City and County of San Francisco on Tuesday, the eighth day of November, 1927, for the purpose of submitting to the electors of said City and County the following proposition, to-wit: The acquisition, construction and completion of permanent improvements, to-wit: Certain improved routes for public highway purposes in the City and County of San Francisco as follows: A boulevard to be known as the Bay Shore highway, from the vicinity of Army street, Potrero avenue and San Bruno avenue, along San Bruno avenue widened to Cortland avenue, thence along a new right of way to Silver avenue and Charter Oak avenue, thence along Charter Oak avenue widened to Paul avenue, thence along a route paralleling San Bruno avenue between San Bruno avenue and Third street, to the vicinity of San Bruno avenue and Sunnydale avenue and the county line, or the most feasible route or routes; a boulevard to be known as the Alemany boulevard, from the vicinity of Cortland avenue and San Bruno avenue and the proposed Bay Shore Highway, thence in a southerly and westerly direction, following the general course of the old Ocean Shore right of way, to a connection with the Junipero Serra boulevard near Palmetto avenue, or the most feasible route or routes; a boulevard to be known as the Junipero Serra boulevard, from the vicinity of Sloat boulevard and Portola drive, thence in a general southerly direction, widening and straightening the existing roadway of the present Junipero Serra boulevard, to the county line near the vicinity of Belle avenue, or the most feasible route or routes; a boulevard to be known as Nineteenth avenue extended southerly, crossing old Ocean avenue and paralleling on each side the extension of the Municipal Railway running southerly and southeasterly to Junipero Serra boulevard, or the most feasible route or routes; a boulevard to be known as the Sunset boulevard, commencing at Lincoln way between Twentieth avenue and Thirty-seventh avenue and running in a southerly direction between said Twentieth avenue and said Thirty-seventh avenue, or such avenues included or extended, to Sloat boulevard, or the most feasible route or routes; a boulevard or beach frontage improvement to be known as The Esplanade, commencing in the vicinity

of the Great Highway and Fulton street and running in a general southerly direction along and upon said Great Highway to Lincoln way, of the same type of construction as the previously completed Esplanade; an improvement of the Great Highway from Lincoln way southerly to Sloat boulevard; a boulevard to be known as the Van Ness avenue extension, from the vicinity of Misison street and Twelfth street extended southerly to Howard street and Thirteenth street, or the most feasible route or routes, including approaches, structures, pavements, curbs, sidewalks, sewers, drainage facilities, the readjustment of intersecting and adjacent streets and other improvements, and the purchase of the necessary lands with respect to each of the said boulevards or beach frontage improvements, and the incurring of a bonded indebtedness of the City and County of San Francisco to the amount of nine million three hundred and eighty thousand ($9,380,000) dollars for the acquisition, construction and completion of the permanent improvements described herein.

Section 2. If, at such special election, it shall appear that two-thirds of all the votes cast thereat were in favor of and authorized the incurring of a bonded debt for the purpose set forth in the proposition described in Section 1 of this resolution, and then such proposition shall be deemed to have been accepted by the electors and bonds will be issued to defray the cost of the permanent improvements described therein. Such bonds shall be of the form and character known as "serials." All of said bonds shall be dated November 1, 1927, shall bear interest at the rate of 4½ per centum per annum, payable semi-annually, shall be of the denomiination of one thousand dollars each, and the principal and interest thereof shall be payable in gold coin of the United States. Said bonds shall be called "Boulevard Bond Issue."

Bonds issued for the purpose stated shall be numbered from 1 to 9380, both inclusive, and shall be payable four hundred and sixty-nine thousand ($469,000) dollars thereof five years from the date of said bonds, beginning with the lowest number, and four hundred and sixty-nine thousand ($469,000) dollars thereof of the next higher numbers on the same day in each succeeding year until all of said bonds shall be paid.

Section 3. Said bonds and coupons shall be in substantially the following form:

The United States of America.
State of California.
City and County of San Francisco.
"Boulevard Bond Issue."

No. ——— $1,000.00

For value received, the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of California, hereby acknowledges itself indebted and promises to pay to the bearer, on the first day of ———, 19—, one thousand dollars, with interest thereon at the rate of 4½ per centum per annum, payable semi-annually May 1 and November 1, on presentation and surrender of the coupons hereto attached as they respectively become due, both principal and interest being payable in gold coin of the United States at the office of the Treasurer of said City and County, or, at the option of the holder, at the fiscal agency of said City and County of San Francisco in the City and State of New York.

This bond is issued under and pursuant to the Constitution and statutes of the State of California and the Charter of said City and County of San Francisco and amendments thereto, and under and pursuant to ordinances and proceedings of said City and County duly adopted and taken, and a vote and assent of more than two-thirds of all the qualified electors of said City and County voting at a special election duly and legally called and held for that purpose.

It is hereby certified, recited and declared that all acts, conditions and things required by law to exist, happen and be performed precedent to and in the issuance of this bond have existed, happened and been performed in due time, form and manner as required by law, and that the amount of this bond, together with all other indebtedness of said City and County, does not exceed any limit prescribed by the Constitution or statutes of said State or Charter of said City and County, and that provision has been made as required by the Constitution and statutes of said State and the Charter of said City and County for the collection of an annual tax sufficient to pay the interest on this bond as it falls due, and also provision to constitute a sinking fund for the payment of the principal of this bond on or before maturity. The full faith and credit of said City and County are hereby pledged for the punctual payment of the principal and interest of this bond.

This bond may be converted into a registered bond upon presentation to the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco, in which event such Treasurer shall cut off and cancel the coupons of this bond, and shall sign a statement stamped, printed or written upon the back or face of the bond to the effect that this bond is registered in the name of the owner and that thereafter the interest and principal of this bond are payable to the registered owner. Thereafter and from time to time this bond may be transferred by such registered owner in person or by attorney duly authorized on presentatoin of this bond to the Treasurer, and the bond be again registered as before, a similar statement being stamped, printed or written thereon.

This bond is exempt from all taxation within the State of California.

In witness whereof, said City and County of San Francisco has caused this bond to be executed under its corporate seal, signed by its Mayor and Treasurer, and countersigned by its Auditor, and has caused the interest coupons hereto attached to be signed with the engraved or lithographed signature of its Treasurer, and this bond to be dated the first day of September, 1927.

....., Mayor.

....., Treasurer.

Countersigned:

....., Auditor.

....., Clerk of the Board of Supervisors.

(Seal)

Form of Coupon.

No..... $.....

On.. .....1, 19...., the City and County of San Francisco, California, will pay to bearer at the office of the Treasurer of said City and County, or at the option of the holder at the fiscal agency of the City and County of San Francisco, in the State of New York, ... dollars ($.....) in gold coin of the United States, being six months' interest then due on its bond dated November 1, 1927, number.....

....., Treasurer.

Form of Registration.

San Francisco, ..., 19..

This bond is registered pursuant to Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, in the name of ....., and the interest and principal thereof are hereafter payable to such owner.

....., Treasurer.

Section 4. The amount of tax levy to be made for the payment for said nine million three hundred and eighty thousand dollars bonds issued under said proposition shall be the sum of four hundred twenty-two thousand one hundred dollars for the first five years from the date of said bonds to pay the annual interest on said bonds, and in season to pay such interest as it becomes due, and for the sixth year after the date of said bonds the sum of four hundred thousand nine hundred and ninety-five dollars to pay, and in season to pay the interest on such of said bonds as remain outstanding after the four hundred and sixty-nine thousand dollars thereof due five years from their date have been paid, and for the seventh year after the date of said bonds the sum of three hundred seventy-nine thousand eight hundred and ninety dollars to pay and in season to pay the interest on such of said bonds as remain outstanding after the four hundred sixty-nine thousand dollars thereof due six years from their date have been paid, and so on, a sum each year for seventeen succeeding years until said bonds are all paid, sufficient for interest and in season to pay interest on all of said bonds outstanding, which sum for interest will diminish each year by the amount of twenty-one thousand one hundred and five dollars by reason of the payment each year beginning five years from the date of said bonds of four hundred sixty-nine thousand dollars of said bonds, and the sum of four hundred sixty-nine thousand dollars each year beginning four years from the date of said bonds to pay and in season to pay the principal of such bonds as they respectively become due, and continuing each succeeding year for nineteen years until the principal of all of said bonds has been paid.

The purpose and intent of the foregoing tax provisions are, and it is hereby expressly provided, that at the time of levying the municipal tax, and in the manner provided for such tax levy, the Supervisors shall levy and collect annually a tax sufficient to pay the annual interest on such bonds, and also such part of the bonded indebtedness as will fall due within the succeeding fiscal year. Such taxes shall be in addition to all other taxes levied for municipal purposes, and shall be collected at the same time and in the same manner as other municipal taxes are collected.

Section 5. The special election

hereby called and ordered to be held shall be held and conducted, and the votes thereat received and canvassed and the returns thereof made and the result thereof ascertained, determined and declared as herein provided and according to the laws of the State of California providing for and governing elections in the City and County of San Francisco, and the polls for such election shall be and remain open during the time required by said laws.

The ballots to be used at said special election shall be such as may be required by law to be used thereat, and, in addition to any other matter required by law to be printed thereon, shall appear thereon the following:

"BOULEVARD BOND ISSUE."

To incur a bonded debt of the City and County of San Francisco to the amount of nine million three hundred and eighty thousand ($9,380,000) dollars for the acquisition, construction and completion of permanent improvements, to-wit: Certain improved routes for public highway purposes in the City and County of San Francisco as follows: A boulevard to be known as the Bay Shore Highway from the vicinity of Army street, Portrero avenue and San Bruno avenue along San Bruno avenue widened to Cortland avenue, thence along a new right of way to Silver avenue and Charter Oak avenue; thence along Charter Oak avenue widened to Paul avenue; thence along a route paralleling San Bruno avenue between San Bruno avenue and Third street to the vicinity of San Bruno avenue and Sunnydale avenue and the county line, or the most feasible route or routes; a boulevard to be known as the Alemany boulevard from the vicinity of Cortland avenue and San Bruno avenue, and the proposed Bay Shore highway, thence in a southerly and westerly direction following the general course of the Old Ocean Shore right of way to a connection with the Junipero Serra boulevard near Palmetto avenue, or the most feasible route or routes; a boulevard to be known as the Juniepro Serra boulevard from the vicinity of Sloat boulevard and Portola drive, thence in a general southerly direction widening and straightening the existing roadway of the present Junipero Serra boulevard to the county line near the vicinity of Belle avenue, or the most feasible route or routes; a boulevard to be known as Nineteenth avenue extended southerly crossing old Ocean avenue and paralleling on each side the extension of the Municipal Railway running southerly and southeasterly to Junipero Serra boulevard, or the most feasible route or routes; a boulevard to be known as the Sunset boulevard commencing at Lincoln way between Twentieth avenue and Thirty-seventh avenue and running in a southerly direction between said Twentieth avenue and said Thirty-seventh avenue, or such avenues included or extended, to Sloat boulevard, or the most feasible route or routes; a boulevard or beach frontage improvement to be known as the Esplanade commencing in the vicinity of the Great Highway and Fulton street and running in a general southerly direction along and upon said Great Highway to Lincoln way of the same type of construction as the previously completed Esplanade; an improvement of the Great Highway from Lincoln way southerly to Sloat boulevard; a boulevard to be known as the Van Ness avenue extension from the vicinity of Mission street and Twelfth street extended southerly to Howard street and Thirteenth street, or the most feasible route or routes, including approaches, structures, pavements, curbs, sidewalks, sewers, drainage facilities, the readjustment of intersecting and adjacent streets and other improvements, and the purchase of the necessary lands with respect to each of the said boulevards or beach frontage improvements.

To vote for the proposition and thereby authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness to the amount of and for the purpose stated in said proposition stamp a cross (X) in the blank space to the right of the word "Yes." To vote against the proposition and thereby refuse to authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness to the amount of and for the purpose stated in such proposition stamp a cross (X) in the blank space to the right of the word "No."

YES	
NO	

Bonds issued for the acquisition, construction and completion of the permanent improvements herein described shall bear interest at the rate of 4½ per centum per annum, payable semi-annually.

Section 6. Where voting machines are used at said special elec-

tion the said voting machines shall be so arranged that any qualified elector may vote for the proposition by pulling down a lever over the word "Yes" under or near a statement of the proposed proposition appearing on cardboard, paper, or other material placed on the front of the machine and said act shall constitute a vote for the proposition, and by pulling down a lever over the word "No" under or near a statement of the proposed proposition appearing on cardboard, paper, or other material placed on the front of the machine shall constitute a vote against the propositton. Said voting machines and the preparation of the same are to be used in accordance with the provisions of Chapter 96 of the Statutes of 1923.

Each cross (X) stamped in the square to the right of the word "Yes" appearing on the printed ballot, where printed ballots are used, shall constitute a vote in favor of and to authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness for the purpose set forth in the proposition and each cross (X) stamped in the square to the right of the word "No" shall be counted as a vote not in favor of, and a refusal to authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness for the purpose set forth in the proposition.

Section 7. The election precincts and the numbers, names and boundaries thereof for said special election, and the places of voting, and the officers to conduct such election, and all other necessary proceedings in that behalf, shall be respectively defined, designated, selected, appointed and had by the Board of Election Commissioners of the City and County of San Francisco, and said Board is hereby authorized and directed to procure and provide all supplies that may be necessary to properly and lawfully conduct such special election.

When the polls are closed the officers of election shall count the ballots cast at such election, and canvass the votes cast respectively for and against the proposition herein stated and make return thereof in time, form and manner required for the counting, canvassing and returning of votes cast at other municipal elections held in the City and County of San Francisco. The Board of Election Commissioners shall, as soon as the said returns and ballots have been received by said Board, canvass said returns and declare the result thereof in the manner provided by law for canvassing returns and declaring results in other elections and shall also certify said results to the Board of Supervisors.

Section 8. This resolution shall be published for at least fourteen times in the official newspaper, and shall take effect immediately.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—18.

Fixing Sidewalk Widths on Valencia Street Between Market and Mission Streets.

Supervisor Marks presented:

Bill No. 8251, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061 entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by amending Section one hundred and sixty-seven thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061 entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Board of Public Works, filed in this office October 10, 1927, by amending Section one hundred and sixty-seven thereof, to read as follows:

Section 167. The width of sidewalks on Valencia street between Market street and Mission street shall be twelve (12) feet.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect and be in force from and after its passage.

Protest of Valencia street property owners was read by the Clerk.

Privilege of the Floor.

Messrs. Mirske and *Atkinson* were granted the privilege of the floor and heard in opposition.

Fred Brann was heard in favor.

His Honor Mayor Rolph was also heard in opposition to the widening.

Passed for Printing.

Whereupon, the foregoing bill was *passed for printing* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Kent—3.

Accepting Offer of Edwin T. Peterson to Sell Property on Thirty-first Avenue Required for School Purposes.

Supervisor Powers presented:

Resolution No. 27926 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, an offer has been received from Edwin T. Peterson to sell to the City and County of San Francisco certain land situate on the east line of Thirty-first avenue north of Balboa street, required for school purposes; and

Whereas, the price at which said parcel of land is offered is the reasonable value thereof; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the offer of the said owner to convey to the City and County of San Francisco a good and sufficient fee simple title to the following described land, free of all encumbrances, for the sum of $7,750 be and the same is hereby accepted, the said land being described as follows:

Commencing at a point on the easterly line of Thirty-first avenue, distant thereon ninety-nine (99) feet five (5) inches northerly from the point formed by the intersection of the said easterly line of Thirty-first avenue with the northerly line of Balboa street, running thence northerly along the said easterly line of Thirty-first avenue seventy-five (75) feet; thence at a right angle easterly one hundred and twenty (120) feet; thence at a right angle southerly seventy-five (75) feet, and thence at a right angle westerly one hundred and twenty (120) feet to the easterly line of Thirty-first avenue and the point of commencement. Being a portion of Outside Land Block No. 313, on Assessor's Map Book.

The City Attorney is hereby directed to examine the title to said land, and, if the same is found to be vested in the aforesaid owner, free of all encumbrances, and that the taxes up to and including the current fiscal year are paid, and that the so-called McEnerney title has been procured or sufficient money reserved for the purpose of procuring the same, to report the result of his examination to the Board of Supervisors, and also to cause a good and sufficient deed for said land to be executed and delivered to the City and County upon payment of the agreed purchase price as aforesaid. And the said deed to said land is hereby accepted.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Kent—3.

Thomson, Wood & Hoffman to Pass Upon Validity of Bernal Cut Bonds.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 27927 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, by the vote of the people the bonds in the sum of one million four hundred thousand dollars for the completion of the Bennal Cut have been authorized to be issued; and

Whereas, to make the bonds attractive to purchasers in the Eastern market and to do so requires the approval of the bond issue by a recognized Eastern law firm specializing in such matters; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the law firm of Thomson, Wood & Hoffman of New York City, State of New York, be and it is hereby employed to pass upon the validity of the proceedings authorizing the issuance of the Bernal Cut Bonds; and, be it

Further Resolved, That out of any moneys realized from the sale of said bonds the firm of Thomson, Wood & Hoffman be paid for their services in passing upon said bonds the sum of seven hundred dollars.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Kent—3.

Report of Public Buildings Committee on Sale of Stockton Street School Lot.

The following was presented by Supervisor Powers and read by the Clerk:

San Franisco, October 10, 1927.

To the Honorable the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, City Hall.

Gentlemen:

Your Committee on Public Buildings and Lands, to which the matter of bid presented in open session of the Board, Monday, October 3, 1927, for purchase of the certain city land, situate westerly line of Stockton street, 115 feet south of Broadway, was referred, would respectfully report thereon as follows:

In accordance with provisions of the Charter appraisals of the value of the certain property offered for

sale was obtained through competent appraisers and regularly submitted by your official Board of Appraisal with the amount fixed at $10,000.

In session of your Board the only bid received for the purchase of said property was from Edward Debs in the sum of $5,850, deposited therewith, cash (currency), the sum of $600. The bid of said Edward Debs has been duly considered by your Committee and it cannot recommend the sale to him for the amount bid, the sum being extremely low in accordance with the appraised value of the land.

Your Committee, therefore, would recommend that the bid of said Edward Debs be rejected, and the Clerk of this Board be authorized to refund the sum of $600 heretofore deposited by said Debs.

Respectfully submitted.

CHAS. J. POWERS,
Chairman;
LEWIS F. BYINGTON,
C. J. DEASY,
Committee.

Bid Rejected.

Thereupon, *Supervisor Powers* moved that the bid of Mr. Debs be rejected and his deposit returned.

Motion *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Kent—3.

Motion.

Supervisor Schmidt moved that the Finance Committee seek conference with his Honor the Mayor with reference to sale or lease of unnecessary or unsuitable school lands.

So ordered.

SPECIAL ORDERS—2 P. M. BRIDGE HEARINGS.

Motions.

The following matters, laid over from a previous meeting, were taken up:

Supervisor Gallagher: I move you that while it is the policy of this Board that we favor a publicly-owned bay bridge, it is however, the sense of this Board that we favor immediate construction of said bridge by private capital, until the people may elect in the manner provided by law to take over said bridge and place it under public ownership and control.

* * *

Supervisor Marks: I move you that, as an amendment to the motion of Supervisor Gallagher, the City Attorney be requested to submit to this Board, at the earliest possible opportunity, a form of submission of the issue of public ownership and operation of the proposed bridge, or private ownership or operation of the proposed bridge, for action by the people at the earliest possible opportunity.

* * *

Supervisor Marks: I desire to present the following motion and calling attention to the fact that it does not call for anything other than asking the City Attorney to submit a proper form of proposal to us. I make the motion which heretofore has been outlined three or four times.

(Referring to motion set out in full above.)

The Chairman: Any objection? So ordered.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing motions was *continued until Monday, October* 17, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Kent—3.

Applications for Franchises to Construct Brdges Across San Francisco Bay.

The following matters were taken up:

Application of Bay Cities Bridge Corporation for a franchise for a toll bridge between San Francisco and Alameda.

Proposed rail and highway crossing for San Francisco Bay from the foot of Sixteenth street, San Francisco, to the foot of Pacific avenue, Alameda.

Beginning at the intersection of the center line of Sixteenth street with the center line of Third (Kentucky) street, in the City and County of San Francisco, and extending thence easterly along the center line of Sixteenth street to the waterfront line; thence easterly along a straight line to a point on the center line of Pacific avenue, in the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, which point is one hundred feet westerly from the intersection of said center line of Pacific avenue with the center line of Bryant street.

That the length of said bridge is 27,750 feet and that the width of

the roadway on said bridge is 38 feet.

Filed April 6, 1925.

DUDLEY D. SALES,
Attorney.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, October* 17, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Kent—3.

Application of Elbert W. Davis for a franchise for a toll bridge between San Francisco and Alameda.

Across San Francisco Bay, beginning at a point in the City and County of San Francisco on the bulkhead line of San Francisco Harbor between Piers 26 and 28, and running approximately north 67 degrees 45 minutes east 17,962 feet, more or less, to a point in the City of Oakland, County of Alameda, State of California, on the easterly shore of San Francisco Bay.

That the length of said bridge is to be 17,962 feet and that the breadth thereof is to be 65 feet, more or less.

Filed June 29, 1925.

JAMES M. OLIVER,
Attorney.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, October* 17, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Kent—3.

Application of John Bermingham, Jr., 250 Cervantes boulevard, for a franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge across San Francisco Bay between the City and County of San Francisco and the County of Alameda.

Beginning at the intersection of the center line of Seventh and Mission streets, in the City and County of San Francisco, along Seventh street to Seventh and Sixteenth; thence in a southeasterly direction to Nineteenth and Third; thence in a southerly direction along Third street to the intersection of Third street and Jerrold avenue and waterfront; thence proceeding in a northerly direction, crossing San Francisco Bay to a point from which a branch will extend 4500 feet easterly to the intersection of Pacific avenue and Bryant street, in the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California; thence from said aforementioned intersecting point proceeding in a northerly direction, crossing the Oakland Estuary, to the intersection of Peralta street and Seventh street, in the City of Oakland, County of Alameda, State of California.

That the total length of said bridge, approaches thereto and branch thereof, aforementioned, is 65,450 feet, that the width of said bridge is ninety (90) feet.

Filed September 28, 1925.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, October* 17, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Kent—3.

Application of A. M. Bergstrom for a franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge across San Francisco Bay between the City and County of San Francisco and the County of Alameda.

That the said toll bridge is to be located across the Bay of San Francisco, along the following described line, to-wit:

Beginning at a point in San Francisco at about the foot of Davidson avenue, on Hunter's Point, and running northerly sixty-two (62) degrees east four and four-tenths (4.4) miles; thence on a one (1) degree curve to the north one and six-tenths (1.6) miles to true north to a point on the easterly shore of the Bay of San Francisco at about the foot of Webster street, in the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California.

That the length of said bridge is to be six (6) miles and the breadth is to be sixty (60) feet, more or less.

Filed September 28, 1925.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, October* 17, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri,

Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Kent—3.

Application of New York and San Francisco Development Company for franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge between San Francisco and Alameda.

Bridge to be constructed across San Francisco Bay from a point therein at or near the junction of Evans avenue and Boalt street, Hunter's Point, in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to or near the junction of South High street and Washington street, in the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California; that the length of said bridge is approximately six and one-half miles from shore line to shore line, and that its breadth or width is approximately from 60 to 90 feet.

Filed January 11, 1926.

ROTHCHILD & GOLDEN,
Attorneys.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, October* 17, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Kent—3.

Application of Roy C. Hackley for a franchise to construct a toll bridge between San Francisco, Pier 26, and Alameda County.

Beginning at a point in the City and County of San Francisco on the bulkhead line of San Francisco Harbor, south of and adjacent to Pier 26, and running approximately north 61 degrees east and fifty-three hundred feet, more or less; thence running approximately north 89 degrees east five thousand feet, more or less; thence running approximately north 59 degrees 30 minutes east eight thousand feet, more or less, to a point in the City of Oakland, County of Alameda, State of California, on the easterly shore of said San Francisco Bay.

That the length of said bridge is to be eighteen thousand three hundred feet (18,300) and that the breadth thereof is to be 78 feet, more or less.

Roy A. Bronson and E. D. Bronson, Jr., attorneys, 68 Post street.

Filed February 6, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, October* 17, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Kent—3.

Two applications of the Construction Company of North America for a franchise to construct and open a toll bridge between San Francisco and Alameda County.

Commencing at a point in said City and County of San Francisco near the corner of First and Bryant streets, on what is known as Rincon Hill, and running thence on less than a two-degree curve a distance of approximately 1700 lineal feet to the line of bulkhead wharf connecting Piers 30 and 32 on the waterfront of the said City and County of San Francisco; thence on a line approximately north 65 degrees east 21,000 lineal feet, more or less, to the Oakland Mole of the Southern Pacific Company in Alameda County.

That the length of said bridge is to be 4½ miles and that the width thereof is to be approximately 78 feet.

ROBERT J. McGAHIE,
Attorney, Mills Building.

First application filed February 15, 1926.

Second application filed April 5, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, October* 17, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Kent—3.

Application of Allan C. Rush for a franchise to construct a toll bridge between San Francisco and Alameda County.

Construction of a suspension bridge from the City and County of San Francisco over and across Goat Island to the east shore of the Bay of San Francisco, comprising three main double traffic track entrance therein, and being of a height of two hundred feet above high tide, between all bridge piers in the waters of San Francisco Channel, in which channel the

bridge will be suspended over "but three piers," twenty-four hundred feet apart, thus in nowise impeding the traffic of the waters of the Bay.

Filed June 19, 1926.

ALLAN C. RUSH,
1632 McCullom street, Los Angeles, California.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, October* 17, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Kent—3.

Application of Robert Lee Dunn, 778 Monadnock Building, for a franchise to construct a toll bridge along the following described line, to-wit:

Beginning at the intersection of the center line of Second street with the center line of Berry street, in the City and County of San Francisco and extending thence easterly to the waterfront line; thence easterly along a straight line to the intersection of the center lines of Pacific avenue and Bryant street, City of Alameda, California.

Length of said bridge is twenty-six thousand feet; that the width of the roadway on said bridge is eighty feet.

Filed June 21, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, October* 17, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Kent—3.

Application of Edward A. Bertram for a franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge to be located, to-wit:

Beginning at Twelfth and Howard streets, San Francisco, by 1½ per cent viaduct to Beale and Howard streets; thence to Southern Pacific Mole, Oakland; thence by 1½ per cent viaduct to West Fourteenth street level, and Sixteenth Street Railroad Station.

a The length of said bridge proper street 1,000 feet and its width of street and Jerrold feet.
front; thence proceed 26.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, October* 17, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Kent—3.

Application of R. S. Chew for franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge or bridges.

The said proposed bridge or bridges are to be located and are described as follows:

The westerly end of a bridge and the approaches thereto, which will connect with public streets, are to be located in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California (which location is in the county situated on the left bank descending of the Bay of San Francisco, across which said bridge is to be constructed), and at a point therein at or near the summit of an eminence known as Telegraph Hill; from this point the bridge will project easterly a distance of nine thousand feet (more or less) to the westerly side of Yerba Buena (or Goat) Island; thence easterly across said island a roadway or viaduct will be constructed for a distance of four thousand feet (more or less) to its easterly side; from thence there will be projected in an easterly direction a distance of twenty-two thousand feet (more or less), a bridge structure, viaduct, causeway and/or fill to suitable connections with lines or railway and public highways in Alameda County, State of California.

Filed June 28, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, October* 17, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Kent—3.

Application of Inter-Cities Bridge Company for a franchise to construct, maintain and operate a toll bridge across the Bay of San Francisco and over the following route:

Beginning at the intersection of Broadway and Battery street, in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and ex-

tending thence easterly to the waterfront; thence on a line approximately north 60 degrees east to the westerly point of Yerba Buena or Goat Island; thence by contour meander to the easterly point of Yerba Buena or Goat Island; thence on a line approximately north 75 degrees to the foot of Yerba Buena street. in the City of Oakland, County of Alameda, State of California.

That the length of said bridge, inclusive of approximately 4100 feet on ground support over Yerba Buena or Goat Island, and 5670 feet to be supported by an earth fill mole in the approach to the said Oakland terminal, is to be approximately 27,000 feet. That the width of said bridge is to be approximately 120 feet, providing two 20-foot vehicular lanes and accommodations for four lines of electric transportation tracks.

Filed July 12, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, October* 17, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Kent—3.

Application of G. Lindenthal for franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge.

Said bridge is to be located along the following described line:

Commencing at a point in the center line of Jerrold avenue, in the City and County of San Francisco, about midway between Ship street and Dock street, in said City and County of San Francisco; thence on a curve easterly and northerly a distance of 30,000 feet. more or less, to the southerly foot of Webster street, in the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California.

The width of said bridge at the easterly end thereof for approximately 16,000 feet will be 75 feet, and the width of the remaining portion thereof will be approximately 40 feet. The length of said bridge will be approximately 30,000 feet.

Filed August 2, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, October* 17, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath Kent—3.

Application of W. F. Holcomb for franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge across San Francisco Bay between the City and County of San Francisco and Alameda County.

Beginning at or near and within one hundred feet northerly or southerly of the intersection of the center line of Second street with the center line of Berry street, in the City and County of San Francisco and extending thence easterly to the waterfront line; thence easterly along a straight line to, at or near and within one hundred feet northerly or southerly of the intersection of the center lines of Pacific avenue and Bryant street, City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California.

That the length of said bridge will be twenty-six thousand feet; that the width of the roadway on said bridge will be eighty-nine feet.

Filed August 9, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, October* 17, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath Kent—3.

Application of E. D. Bronson, Jr., for a franchise for a toll bridge across San Francisco Bay.

Filed August 16, 1926.

Beginning at a point in the City and County of San Francisco on the bulkhead line of San Francisco Harbor, south of and adjacent to Pier 26, and running north approximately 68 degrees east seventeen thousand nine hundred fifty feet (17,950'), more or less, to a point in the City of Oakland, County of Alameda, State of California, on the easterly shore of said San Francisco Bay; and the said toll bridge to be approximately seventeen thousand nine hundred fifty (17,950') feet in length and one hundred ten (110') feet in breadth, more or less.

Roy A. Bronson, E. D. Bronson, Jr., attorneys at law, 68 Post street, room 403, San Francisco, Cal.

On motion of Supervisor Shan-

non, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, October* 17, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Kent—3.

Application of O. A. Ellis for franchise for two tunnels under the Bay of San Francisco from San Francisco to Oakland.

One of the tunnels will be for interurban street car traffic, with double track, and the San Francisco terminal between Third and Fourth streets on Harrison street.

The other tunnel will be for auto and railroad traffic, with San Francisco terminal between Second and Third streets on Harrison street.

The Oakland terminal will be at Seventh and Cedar streets.

Filed June 25, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, October* 17, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Kent—3.

Application of H. S. Henion to construct a toll bridge along the following route, to-wit:

Beginning at the intersection of the center lines of Berry and Second streets, in the City and County of San Francisco California, thence southeasterly to the west end of Pier No. 46, on the San Francisco waterfront; thence easterly over said pier to its eastern end; thence easterly across the Bay of San Francisco to the point of intersection of the center line of Peralta street, in the City of Oakland, California, produced southerly to the center line of the vehicular roadway on the Alameda Mole, in the City of Alameda, California; thence northeasterly on the said produced center line of Peralta street to its intersection with the center line of Eighth street, in said City of Oakland, Alameda County, California.

That the length of said bridge is approximately thirty thousand feet: that the width of said bridge is approximately eighty feet.

The above bridge will include an overhead approach, to extend from Second and Berry streets, San Francisco, to Rincon Hill or immediate vicinity, where abundant terminal facilities will be provided.

Filed March 14, 1927.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, October* 17, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Kent—3.

Application of Frank E. Webb to construct a toll bridge along the following route, to-wit:

Said proposed toll bridge is to be located, builded and constructed across San Francisco Bay from a point exactly in longitude west 122 degrees 21 minutes 48.7 seconds and latitude north 37 degrees 43 minutes 33.75 seconds, in San Francisco County, State of California, Hunter's Point, in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to a point exactly in longitude west 122 degrees 15 minutes 2.6 seconds and latitude north 37 degrees 44 minutes 15.67 seconds in Alameda County, State of California; thence to the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California; that the length of said bridge is approximately 32,200 feet from shore line to shore line, and that its breadth or width is approximately from sixty (60) to ninety (90) feet, as shown by map attached and made a part hereof and marked "Exhibit B".

Bridge is to be of steel and concrete cantilever type, clearance from San Francisco side to be approximately one hundred and forty (140) feet. The main spans to be not less than seven hundred and fifty (750) feet nor more than seventeen hundred (1700) feet, and all spans and construction to be in accordance with the United States Government regulations and rulings.

Filed March 14, 1927.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, October* 17, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri,

Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Kent—3.

Communication from Construction Company of North America, supplementing original plans—modified route has its westerly terminal on Rincon Hill.

Filed May 9, 1927.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing communication was *continued until Monday, October* 17, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Kent—3.

Amended application of Edward A Bertram for alternative line of bridge.

Filed May 9, 1927.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, October* 17, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Kent—3.

Application of J. E. Pamplin to construct a toll bridge along the following route, to-wit:

Beginning at or near and within two hundred feet northerly or southerly of the intersection of the center line of Second street with the center line of Berry street, in the City and County of San Francisco, and extending thence easterly to the waterfront line; thence about north seventy-three (73) degrees east (true) along a straight line to a point immediately southwest of and adjacent to the Southern Pacific Alameda Mole, and about six hundred (600) yards, more or less, southeasterly from the northwesterly end of said mole, and thence about south seventy-two (72) degrees east (true) to a point on filled land in the City of Alameda about on the prolongation northwesterly of that portion of Santa Clara avenue which lies between Paru and Park streets, in the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California.

That the length of said bridge will be about twenty-six thousand (26,000) feet, more or less; that the width of the roadway on said bridge wil be one hundred feet or such other width as the Board of Supervisors may direct and require under Section 2873 of the Political Code.

Filed May 9, 1927.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, October* 17, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Kent—3.

Application of Roy C. Hackley to construct a toll bridge along the following route, to-wit:

Beginning at or near and within two hundred (200) feet of the intersection of the center line of Fremont street with the center line of Brannan street, in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and running north approximately eighty (80) degrees east ninteen thousand four hundred (19,400) feet, more or less, to a point in the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California, located approximately six hundred (600) feet south of the south training wall of the Oakland Estuary.

That the length of said bridge is to be approximately nineteen thousand four hundred (19,400) feet, and the breadth thereof is to be sixty (60) feet, more or less.

Filed May 16, 1927.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, October* 17, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Kent—3.

Application of Construction Company of North America to erect, maintain and operate and take tolls on a toll bridge over and acress San Francisco Bay, commencing at a point in the City and County of San Francisco near the corner of First and Bryant streets on what is known as Rincon Hil, and running thence northeasterly 19,100 lineal

feet, more or less, to the southerly line of Alameda Mole of the Southern Pacific Company; thence north by east to the intersection of Seventh and Cedar streets in the City of Oakland, County of Alameda.

The length of said bridge is to be 4½ miles, the width thereof approximately 78 feet.

Filed June 20, 1927.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, October* 17, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Kent—3.

Application of Roy C. Hackley for permission to construct a toll bridge across San Francisco Bay as follows:

Beginning at or near and within 200 feet of the intersection of the center line of Fremont street with the center line of Brannan street, in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and running north approximately 83 degrees east, 19,400 feet, more or less, to a point in the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California, located approximately 600 feet south of the south training wall of the Oakland Estuary.

The length of said bridge is to be approximately 19,400 feet and the width thereof is to be 60 feet, more or less.

Filed with Board of Supervisors Monday, June 27, 1927.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, October* 17, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Kent—3.

Application of City Planning and Building Company for a franchise and for authority to erect, construct, maintain and operate a submarine tunnel and the right, permission and authority to take tolls thereon for a period of fifty (50) years at the following location, to-wit:

Entering the ground at a point in the ground bounded by Fifth, Sixth, Bryant and Brannan streets, thence running underground easterly to a point on the northerly or northwest line of China Basin between Second and Third streets entering under the bay at a depth of 45 feet below low tide, sinking gradually to a depth of 50 feet below low tide, proceeding easterly to within 700 feet south of Seventh street at the shore line in Oakland, Alameda County, California.

The length of said submarine tunnel will be 21,120 feet under the water; said submarine tunnel will, in its inside dimensions, be 50 feet high and 68 feet in extreme width; that the same will have three floors, the upper floor for passenger automobiles, the main or central floor for railroad passenger cars, the lowest floor for automotive and heavy traffic trucks. Said tube will be divided perpendicularly into two halves, a right and a left half.

Filed Monday, July 25, 1927.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, October* 17, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Kent—3.

Application of City Planning and Building Company for a franchise and for authority to erect, construct, maintain and operate a submarine tunnel and the right, permission and authority to take tolls thereon for a period of fifty (50) years at the following location, to-wit:

Entering the ground at a point in the block bounded by Sixth, Seventh, Hubbel and Irving streets, running thence underground in an easterly direction to a point where an extension of Fifteenth street strikes the Bay very close to El Dorado street; thence entering the Bay 45 feet below the level of the lowest tide and sinking gradually to a depth of 50 feet below the level of the lowest tide, and proceeding in an easterly direction and emerging at a point within 700 feet of a point where the center line of Lincoln avenue intersects the waters of the Bay of San Francisco in Alameda, Alameda County, State of California.

The length of said submarine tunnel to be 21 120 feet under water; said tunnel will be in its inside dimensions 50 feet high and 68 feet

in extreme width; the same will have three floors, the upper floor for passenger automobiles and the main or central floor for railroad passenger cars, the lowest floor for automotive and heavy traffic trucks. Tube will be divided perpendicularly into two halves, a right and a left half.

Filed Monday, July 25, 1927.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, October* 17, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Kent—3.

Application of City Planning and Building Company for a franchise and for authority to erect, construct, maintain and operate a submarine tunnel and the right, permission and authority to take tolls thereon for a period of fifty (50) years at the following locations, to-wit:

Entering the Bay at a point where the extension of the Marina boulevard extended easterly meets the Bay at Buchanan street close to Tonquin street, at a depth of at least 45 feet below the level of low tide and sinking gradually to a depth of at least 50 feet below the level of low tide, running thence in a general northerly direction to a point one mile south of the Town of Sausalito, Marin County, State of California; said submarine tunnel or tube will have a general curve in an easterly direction; that the length of said submarine tunnel will be 3,200 feet under water. Its inside dimensions to be 50 feet high and 68 feet in extreme width; the same will have three floors, the upper floor for passenger automobiles, the main or central floor for railroad passenger cars, the lower floor for automotive and heavy traffic trucks. The tunnel or tube will be divided perpendicularly into two halves, a right and a left half.

Filed Monday, July 25. 1927.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, October* 17, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Kent—3.

Application of John P. O'Connell for a franchise for a toll bridge between the City and County of San Francisco and the County of Alameda.

Beginning at or near and within three hundred (300) feet northerly or southerly of the intersection of the northerly line of Pier 34 with the seawall at about the foot of Brannan street, in the City and County of San Francisco, and extending thence about north seventy-seven and one-half (77½) degrees east (true) to a point immediately southwest of and adjacent to the Southern Pacific Alameda Mole, and about six hundred (600) yards, more or less, east by south from the westerly extremity of said Mole, and thence about south seventy-two (72) degrees east (true) to the intersection of said line with the bank or shore of San Francisco Bay at its most westerly intersection with said line, in the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California.

That the length of said bridge on said lines will be about seventeen thousand seven hundred (17,700) feet, more or less, on the first of said lines and seven thousand two hundred (7,200) feet, more or less, on the second or said lines, making about twenty-four thousand nine hundred (24,900), more or less, feet for the total length of said bridge, not including approaches; that the width of the roadway on said bridge will be one hundred (100) feet or such other width as the Board of Supervisors may direct and require under Section 2873 of the Political Code.

Filed August 15, 1927.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, October* 17, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath. Kent—3.

Application of John P. O'Connell for a franchise or a toll bridge between the City and County of San Francisco and the County of Alameda.

Beginning at or near and within three hundred (300) feet northerly or southerly of the intersection of the northern line of Pier Forty-six (46) with the seawall at about the

foot of Berry street, in the City and County of San Francisco, and extending thence about north seventy-two (72) degrees east (true) along a straight line to a point immediately southwest of and adjacent to the Southern Pacific Alameda Mole, and about six hundred (600) yards, more or less, east by south from the westerly extremity of said Mole, and thence about south seventy-two (72) degrees east (true) to the intersection of said line with the bank or shore of San Francisco Bay at its most westerly intersection with said line, in the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California.

That the length of said bridge on said lines will be about eighteen thousand two hundred (18,200) feet, more or less, on the first of said lines and seven thousand two hundred (7,200) feet, more or less, on the second of said lines, making about twenty-five thousand four hundred (25,400), more or less, feet for the total length of said bridge, not including approaches; that the width of the roadway on said bridge will be one hundred (100) feet or such other width as the Board of Supervisors may direct and require under Section 2873 of the Political Code.

Filed August 15, 1927.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, October* 17, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Kent—3.

Application of Transbay Development Corporation for a franchise to construct, maintain and operate and take tolls on a toll bridge over and across the Bay of San Francisco from the City and County of San Francisco to the cities of Oakland and Alameda, Alameda County.

The approximate location of said bridge is as follows: That said proposed toll bridge is to be located, constructed, operated and maintained across San Francisco Bay between Rincon Hill in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and the cities of Oakland and Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California, the termini in Oakland to be at or near the intersection of Seventh and Cedar streets and the foot of Peralta street, and in Alameda at a point on the mainland about five hundred feet southerly from the base of the Alameda Mole; the bridge proper to extend from a point at or near the intersection of Second and Harrison streets, San Francisco, in a generally northeasterly direction on a bearing about north seventy-eight degrees east (true) to a point about eighteen hundred feet inland from he outer end of the Alameda Mole and approximately five hundred feet southerly from the center line of the double track of the Southern Pacific Company; the approaches on the eastern shore to extend from the point last mentioned generally parallel to and about five hundred feet distant from and on the southerly side of the double track of the Southern Pacific Company; on said mole to the mainland in the City of Alameda, and with branches commencing at a point approximately thirty-two hundred feet from the point of beginning of the approaches and running to Seventh and Cedar streets and the foot of Peralta street in the City of Oakland; the approaches on the San Francisco side to be in continuation of the bridge proper from Second and Harrison streets or that vicinity, along Harrison, Fremont, Tehama, Seventh, Bryant and Second streets, and/or elsewhere as the public convenience may require; the length of the bridge proper to be approximately three and eight (3.8) tenths miles and of the bridge and approaches from five and three-quarters (5¾) miles to six and three-quarters (6¾) miles approximately; that the breadth of the proposed bridge is to be forty-two feet in the clear as a minimum, the actual breadth to be determined according to best engineering practice to conform to the lenth of spans demanded by foundation conditions and by the requirements of the War Department.

Filed September 27, 1927.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, October* 17, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Kent—3.

ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business the Board at 6:30 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors December 5, 1927.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated, and approved as above recited.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors.
City and County of San Francisco.

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Vol. 22—New Series No. 42

Monday, October 17, 1927

Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
337 Bush Street, S. F.

JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, OCTOBER 17, 1927, 10 A.M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, October 17, 1927, 10 a. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Roncovieri—1.

Quorum present.

His Honor Mayor Rolph presiding.

APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the meeting of June 6, 1927, was considered read and approved.

ROLL CALL FOR PETITIONS FROM MEMBERS.

Geo. H. Rostron Appointed Director of Joint Highway District, Santa Cruz to San Francisco.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Certified copy of Supervisors' Order, Board of Supervisors, Santa Cruz County, appointing *George H. Rostron* to act as a director of the Joint Highway District, comprising counties of Santa Cruz, San Mateo and San Francisco.

Referred to Streets Committee.

CONSIDERATION OF MAYOR'S VETO.

The following matter was ordered dropped from the calendar:

Consideration of Mayor's veto of Resolution No. 27722 (New Series), granting the Underwriters Utilities Corporation permission to have transferred to it public garage permit heretofore granted Albert Rossi et al. by Resolution No. 20380 (New Series) at 547-555 Washington street.

Question: Shall the resolution pass notwithstanding the objections of his Honor the Mayor?

PRESENTATION OF PROPOSALS.

Chemical Engine Fire Hose for Fire Department.

Sealed proposals were received and opened at the hour of 11 a. m. for furnishing 1-inch chemical engine fire hose for Fire Department and *referred to Supplies Committee.*

Fire Hose for Fire Department.

Sealed proposals to be received and opened at the hour of 11 a. m. for furnishing 1½-inch and 2¾-inch fire hose for Fire Department and *referred to Supplies Committee.*

Roller Bandages, Absorbent Cotton, Gauze, Lint, Oiled Muslin, Adhesive Plaster.

Sealed proposals to be received and opened at the hour of 11 a. m. for furnishing roller bandages, absorbent cotton, gauze, lint, oiled muslin and adhesive plaster and *referred to Supplies Committee.*

UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

None.

PRESENTATION OF BILLS AND ACCOUNTS.

Your Finance Committee, having examined miscellaneous demands not required by law to be passed to print, and amounting to the sum of $57,715.45, recommends same be allowed and ordered paid.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent — Supervisor Roncovieri —1.

Urgent Necessity.

The Tablet & Ticket Co., maintenance of directory, City Hall, October 1, 1927, to October 1, 1928, $90.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent — Supervisor Roncovieri —1.

NEW BUSINESS.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Authorizations.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

Library Fund.

(1) American Building Maintenance Co., janitor service (claim dated Sept. 30, 1927), $810.

(2) Lewald Coal Co., fuel (claim dated Sept. 30, 1927), $632.15.

(3) G. E. Stechert & Co., library books (claim dated Sept. 30, 1927), $6,568.77.

(4) San Francisco News Co., library books (claim dated Sept. 30, 1927), $3,124.91.

(5) Houghton, Mifflin & Co., library books (claim dated Sept. 30, 1927), $529.52.

Park Fund.

(6) George H. Tay Co., pipe, etc., for parks (claim dated Oct. 14, 1927), $757.13.

(7) Spring Valley Water Co., water for parks (claim dated Oct. 14, 1927), $4,065.56.

(8) Adrian J. Schoorl, bulbs for parks (claim dated Oct. 14, 1927), $2,082.50.

Special School Tax.

(9) O. Monson, final payment, general construction of additional units to Galileo High School (claim dated Oct. 8, 1927), $1,000.

(10) Park Commissioners, maintenance of school grounds for September (claim dated Oct. 10, 1927), $1,300.

County Road Fund.

(11) Eclipse Lime & Cement Co., cement for repairs to streets (claim dated Oct. 10, 1927), $880.70.

School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923.

(12) Anderson & Ringrose, extra work for construction of Sunnyside School (claim dated Oct. 6, 1927), $569.25.

(13) Anderson & Ringrose, sixth payment, general construction of Hearst-Moulder School (claim dated Oct. 10, 1927), $35,067.97.

(14) Mahony Bros., eighth payment, general construction of Sherman School (claim dated Oct. 8, 1927), $11,098.72.

(15) Pacific Electric Construction Co., third payment, electrical work for Portola Junior High School (claim dated Oct. 8, 1927), $1,597.05.

(16) John Reid, Jr., final payment, architectural services for gymnasium and athletic field, Galileo High School (claim dated Oct. 10, 1927), $1,259.54.

(17) S. Heiman, sixth payment, architectural services for addition to Sunnyside School (claim dated Oct. 10, 1927), $1,475.85.

(18) John Reid, Jr., eleventh payment, architectural services for Mission (Everett) Junior High School (claim dated Oct. 10, 1927), $1,284.91.

Municipal Railway Fund.

(19) Pacific Gas & Electric Co., electric service, Municipal Railways (claim dated Oct. 11, 1927), $36,371.08.

(20) San Francisco City Employees' Retirement System, pensions for Municipal Railway employees (claim dated Oct. 10, 1927), $7,050.02.

(21) Crescent Oil Co., gasoline, Municipal Railways (claim dated Oct. 10, 1927), $1,685.54.

(22) Westinghouse Electric & Mfg Co., electric parts and supplies, Municipal Railways (claim dated Oct. 10, 1927), $1,027.24.

(23) Zellerbach Paper Co., trolley cord, Municipal Railways (claim dated Oct. 10, 1927), $786.

Municipal Railway Depreciation Fund.

(24) Robt. W. Jamison, second payment, trolley poles for Sunset line of Municipal Railways (claim dated Oct. 7, 1927), $7,455.

Hetch Hetchy Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1925.

(25) Bald Eagle Market, meats, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Oct. 10, 1927), $2,388.51.

(26) Hales & Symons, Inc., lumber, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Oct. 7, 1927), $2,027.39.

(27) Independent Lumber Co., lumber, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Oct. 8, 1927), $834.97.

(28) Shell Company fo California, gasoline and oil, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Oct. 8, 1927), $619.01.

(29) Soule Steel Co., steel bars, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Oct. 10, 1927), $5,592.81.

Hetch Hetchy Operative Revenue Fund.

(30) John J. Dailey, legal services, valuation of San Francisco electric properties, month of October (claim dated Oct. 13, 1927), $850.

(31) H. G. Butler, services in connection with severance damages, valuation of San Francisco electric

properties (claim dated Oct. 4, 1927), $587.50.

(32) Railroad Commission of the State of California, October expense for valuation of San Francisco electric properties (claim dated Oct. 17, 1927), $1,000.

General Fund, 1927-1928.

(33) N. Randall Ellis, engineering services, October, in telephone rate litigation (claim dated Oct. 13, 1927), $750.

(34) Dion R. Holm, legal services, October, in telephone rate litigation (claim dated Oct. 13, 1927), $850.

(35) J. H. Zemansky, Registrar, postage for sample ballots (claim dated Oct. 20, 1927), $1,100.

(36) J. P. Holland, Inc., rock for bridle path (claim dated Oct. 14, 1927), $944.

(37) Pearson & Johnson, final payment, moving boathouses at foot of Van Ness avenue (claim dated Oct. 14, 1927), $1,315.40.

(38) Associated Charities, widows' pensions (claim dated Oct. 14, 1927), $8,329.03.

(39) Eureka Benevolent Society, widows' pensions (claim dated Oct. 14, 1927), $1,119.48.

(40) Little Children's Aid widows' pensions (claim dated Oct. 14, 1927), $8,868.98.

(41) Crescent Oil Co., gasoline for Police Department (claim dated Oct. 10, 1927), $786.13.

(42) J. H. McCallum, lumber for sewer repairs (claim dated Oct. 7, 1927), $1,254.58.

(43) Spring Valley Water Co., water for public buildings (claim dated Oct. 7, 1927), $1,923.42.

(44) California Petroleum Corporation, fuel oil, etc., for Fire Department (claim dated Sept. 30, 1927), $1,700.74.

(45) Crescent Oil Co., gasoline for Fire Department (claim dated Sept. 30, 1927), $767.55.

(46) Dan P. Maher Paint Co., varnish, etc., for Fire Department (claim dated Sept. 30, 1927), $729.36.

(47) Pacific Gas & Electric Co., gas and electricity for Fire Department (claim dated Sept. 30, 1927), $1,831.61.

(48) The Seagrave Corporation, apparatus parts, Fire Department (claim dated Sept. 30, 1927), $980.45.

(49) Spring Valley Water Co., water furnished and hydrants installed for Fire Department (claim dated Sept. 30, 1927), $1,845.31.

(50) Tire Service Company, tires and tubes for Fire Department (claim dated Sept. 30, 1927), $710.44.

(51) The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company, printing and publishing Law-Motion-Trial Calendar (claim dated Oct. 10, 1927), $1,075.

(52) Sonoma State Home, maintenance of feeble-minded, month of September (claim dated Oct. 17, 1927), $9,665.

(53) Pacific Gas & Electric Co., street lighting for September (claim dated Oct. 17, 1927), $52,993.

(54) Del Monte Meat Co., meats for Laguna Honda Home (claim dated Sept. 30, 1927), $4,195.65.

(55) Fred L. Hilmer Co., eggs for Laguna Honda Home (claim dated Sept. 30, 1927), $1,409.70.

(56) Morris & Company, butter, etc., Laguna Honda Home (claim dated Sept. 30, 1927), $1,419.36.

(57) San Francisco Dairy Co., milk for Laguna Honda Home (claim dated Sept. 30, 1927), $2,377.69.

(58) Standard Fisheries, fish for Laguna Honda Home (claim dated Sept. 30, 1927), $611.23.

(59) The White Company, one White auto chassis for the San Francisco Health Farm (claim dated Oct. 7, 1927), $2,430.

(60) Rucker-Fuller Desk Co., tables, etc., for San Francisco Health Farm (claim dated Oct. 7, 1927), $621.40.

(61) San Francisco Bulletin, official advertising (claim dated Oct. 17, 1927), $2,216.28.

(62) Delos F. Wilcox, first, second and third payments of $2,000 each, as per Resolution No. 27804 (New Series), for expert services rendered in analysis and investigation of Market Street Railway Company's franchises and properties as authorized by said Resolution No. 27804 (New Series) (claim dated Oct. 17, 1927), $6,000.

Publicity and Advertising (Appropriation 55).

(63) Capital Decorating & Mfg. Co., decorations to Market street for account of reception to and entertainment of midshipmen of the U. S. Navy, for the publicity and advertising of San Francisco (claim dated Oct. 17, 1927), $800.

(64) California Development Association, for maintenance of San Francisco exhibit and information bureau in the Ferry Building, months of September and October, 1927, for the publicity and advertising of San Francisco (claim dated Oct. 17, 1927), $2,000.

Appropriation, $1,500, Maintenance at Food Show.

On motion of Supervisor Kent:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the annual Food Show will be held in the Civic Auditorium

October 22 to 30, 1927, inclusive; and

Whereas, the City and County of San Francisco has been invited to participate in said Food Show; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Public Welfare Committee be and it is hereby authorized to arrange for the installation at the coming Food Show of the exhibit which San Francisco had at the Reno Exposition; be it

Further Resolved, That the sum of $1,500 be and it is hereby set aside and appropriated out of Advertising and Publicity Fund to defray the cost of the installation and maintenance of this exhibit at the Food Show.

Appropriation, $3,650, Payment to J. Atkinson for Land Required for School Purposes.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $3,650 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923, and authorized in payment to J. Atkinson; being payment for property commencing at point on the easterly line of Banks street, distant thereon 200 feet southerly from the southerly line of Tompkins avenue, running thence southerly along said easterly line of Banks street 25 feet; thence at right angle easterly 70 feet; thence at right angle northerly 25 feet; thence at right angle westerly 70 feet; as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 27464 (New Series), and required for school purposes.

Appropriation, $7,750, Payment to Edwin T. Peterson for Land Required for School Purposes.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $7,750 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923, and authorized in payment to Edwin T. Peterson; being payment for property required for school purposes, to-wit:

Commencing on the easterly line of Thirty-first avenue, distant thereon 99 feet and 5 inches northerly from the northerly line of Balboa street, running thence northerly on the easterly line of Thirty-first avenue 75 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 120 feet; thence at a right angle southerly 75 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 120 feet; as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 27926 (New Series).

Appropriation, $3,000, Payment to Dennis Murphy and Hannah Murphy for Land Required for School Purposes.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $3,000 be and the same is hereby authorized in payment out of County Road Fund (appropriation heretofore made) to Dennis Murphy and Hannah Murphy; being payment for property required for the widening and extending of Market street, as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 27910, New Series (claim dated October 13, 1927).

Appropriation, $6,750, Payment to Wm. Campbell for Land Required for the Extension of Nineteenth Street.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $6,750 be and the same is hereby authorized in payment out of "Nineteenth Street, Extension to Mono," Budget Item No. 141, General Fund 1926-1927, to William Campbell and Helen Campbell; being payment for property required for the extension of Nineteenth street, as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 27909, New Series (claim dated Oct. 13, 1927).

Appropriation, $2,550, for Filing Equipment, etc., for Traffic Bureau.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $2,550 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of "Traffic Signals," etc., Budget Item No. 69, for the purchasing and installing of filing cabinets, cabinet work and equipment for the Traffic Bureau.

Appropriation, $18,000, Payment to Susanna Plieshivih for Injuries Received on Municipal Railway.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $18,000 be and the same is hereby authorized to be expended out of the Municipal Railway Accident Reserve Fund in payment to Susanna Plieshivih; being full payment to said Susanna Plieshivih for personal injuries and damages sustained by her as a result of that certain accident which took place on or about the 25th day of February, 1926, while a passenger on Municipal car of the "K" line. (Claim dated Oct. 11, 1927.)

Appropriation, $500, Payment to Elizabeth Christena Squier for Land Required for School Purposes.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 27928 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $500 be and the same is authorized in payment out of School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923, to Elizabeth Christena Squier; being payment for property commencing at a point on the westerly line of Prentiss street, distant thereon 200 feet southerly from the southerly line of Tompkins avenue, running thence southerly along the westerly line of Prentiss street 25 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 70 feet; thence at a right angle northerly 25 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 70 feet; as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 27463 (New Series), and required for school purposes.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent — Supervisor Roncovieri —1.

Accepting Offer of Geo. N. Parez to Sell for $3,040.50 Certain Land Required for Alemany Boulevard.

Supervisor Marks presented:

Resolution No. 27929 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the owner of the following described land situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and sought to be acquired by the City and County of San Francisco for an approach to Alemany boulevard, has offered to convey the property desired by said City and County of San Francisco for the sum set forth opposite his name, viz.:

George N. Parez, $3,040.50—Beginning at a point on the southwesterly line of that certain parcel of land conveyed by Antonio Tiscornia to George N. Parez by deed recorded March 17, 1927, in Book 1440, page 35, Official Records, in the Recorder's office of the City and County of San Francisco, said point of beginning being distant thereon north 42 deg. 2 min. 55 sec. west 72.506 feet from the most westerly corner of Lot No. 4 in Block 10, West End Map No. 1, as per map thereof filed May 1, 1863, in Book "A" and "B" of Maps, page 45, in the office of the Recorder of said City and County of San Francisco, running thence north 42 deg. 2 min. 55 sec. west along said southwesterly line 81.919 feet to the most westerly corner of said parcel of land conveyed by Antonio Tiscornia to George N. Parez; thence north 47 deg. 57 min. 5 sec. east along the northwesterly line of said parcel of land 0.028 feet to the southwesterly line of Block 6965B of "Subdivision No. 1 Geneva Terraces, San Francisco, Cal.," as per map thereof filed September 16, 1927, in Book "L," pages 47 and 48, Official Records, in the Recorder's office of said City and County of San Francisco; thence south 41 deg. 53 min. 40 sec. east along the southwesterly line of said Block 6965B, 1.795 feet; thence along the southeasterly boundary line of said Subdivision No. 1 Geneva Terraces, the following courses and distances: Easterly along the arc of a curve to the left with a radius of 10 feet tangent to the preceding course 16.206 feet; northeasterly along the arc of a curve to the right with a radius of 1030 feet tangent to the preceding course 154.471 feet; northeasterly along the arc of a curve to the left with a radius of 10 feet tangent to the preceding course 11.011 feet; north 56 deg. 4 min. 31 sec. east 53.123 feet; easterly along the arc of a curve to the left whose center bears north 22 deg. 3 min. 54 sec. east 10 feet from last named point 10.033 feet; northeasterly along the arc of a curve to the right with a radius of 460 feet tangent to the preceding course 120.909 feet; northeasterly along the arc of a curve to the left with a radius of 20 feet tangent to the preceding course 30.638 feet, and northerly along the arc of a curve to the right with a radius of 430 feet tangent to the preceding course 22.25 feet to a point on the southeasterly line of Lot 3 of Block 14 of said West End Map No. 1, distant thereon south 45 deg. 2 min. 41 sec. west 26.501 feet from its intersection with the northeasterly line of said Lot 3; thence north 45 deg. 2 min. 41 sec. east 26.501 feet to the most easterly corner of said Lot 3; north 49 deg. 29 min. 56 sec. east along the southeasterly line of Lot 2 of said Block 14, 42.407 feet; thence southeasterly along the arc of a curve to the left whose center bears north 79 deg. 41 min. 16 sec. east 370 feet from last named point 180.714 feet to a point on the northwesterly line of Lot 8 of Block 11 of said West End Map No. 1, distant northeasterly thereon 1.075 feet from the most westerly corner of said Lot 8; thence south 53 deg. 18

min. 26 sec. west 1.075 feet to the most westerly corner of said Lot 8; thence north 75 deg. 32 min. 25 sec. west 108.229 feet to the most northerly corner of Lot 1 of Block 10 of said West End Map No. 1; thence south 57 deg. 52 min. 11 sec. west 80.247 feet to the northwesterly line of that certain parcel of land conveyed by George N. Parez and Winifred F. Parez, his wife, to C. W. Clarke Co., by deed recorded July 29, 1927, in Book 1516, page 131, Official Records, in the Recorder's office of said City and County of San Francisco; thence southwesterly along said last named northwesterly line the following courses and distances: Southwesterly along the arc of a curve to the left whose center bears south 30 deg. 33 min. 25 sec. east 400 feet from last named point 30.221 feet; south 55 deg. 6 min. 51 sec. west tangent to the preceding course 51.873 feet; southwesterly along the arc of a curve to the left with a radius of 970 feet tangent to the preceding course 170.797 feet; southwesterly along the arc of a curve to the left with a radius of 10 feet tangent to the preceding course 15.197 feet to the point of beginning.

Containing 30,405 square feet of land.

Whereas, the City Attorney has recommended the acceptance of the said offer and the acquisition of the property owned by said person and offered to the City and County of San Francisco for the sum set forth, which said price is in accordance with the City's appraisement of the property; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the said offer of sale be accepted, and the City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to examine the title of said property, and if the same is found in satisfactory condition to accept in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco a deed conveying title thereto, and file the same for record with a copy of this resolution attached thereto as evidence of acceptance by the City and County of San Francisco, upon payment of the agreed purchase price.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent — Supervisor Roncovieri —1.

Accepting Offer of Frank Chianelli to Sell for $8,500 Certain Land Required for Opening Bay Shore Boulevard.

Supervisor Marks presented:

Resolution No. 27930 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the owners of the following described lands, situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and sought to be acquired by the City and County of San Francisco for the opening of Bay Shore boulevard, have offered to convey the property desired by said City and County of San Francisco for the sum set forth opposite their names, viz.:

Frank Chianelli and Rosa Chianelli, $8,500—All of Lots Nos. 39, 40, 41, 42 and 43 in Block 5466 of the Assessor's Block Book of the City and County of San Francisco.

Whereas, the City Attorney has recommended the acceptance of the said offer and the acquisition of the property owned by said persons and offered to the City and County of San Francisco for the price set forth, which said price is in accordance with the City's apraisement of the property; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the said offer of sale be accepted, and the City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to examine the title of said property, and if the same is found in satisfactory condition, to accept in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco a deed conveying title thereto, and file the same for record with a copy of this resolution attached thereto as evidence of acceptance by the City and County of San Francisco, upon payment of the agreed purchase price.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Harrelson, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent — Supervisor Roncovieri —1.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Providing for Coroner's Employees.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy:

Bill No. 8255, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Subdivision (c) of Section 25 of Ordinance No. 5460 (New Series), known as the "Ordinance of Additional Positions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Subdivision (c) of Section 25 of Ordinance No. 5460 (New Series), known as the "Ordinance of Additional Positions," is hereby amended to read as follows:

(c) Four assistant deputies and drivers, each at a salary of $2,400 a year.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect on and after its passage.

Oil Tank Permits.

On motion of Supervisor Deasy:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits be and are hereby granted:

Oil Tanks.

Louis R. Anderson, west side of Fillmore street, 75 feet north of Chestnut street, 1500 gallons capacity.

A. M. Breese, 374 Parnassus avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

Buschke & Johnson, west side of Scott street, 30 feet north of Bay street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Pete Capdevile, 1401 Woolsey street, 1500 gallons capacity.

C. P. Conklin, northeast corner of Fifteenth avenue and Balboa street, 1500 gallons capacity.

A. L. De Luca, west side of Twentieth avenue, 225 feet south of Geary street, 1500 gallons capacity.

R. P. Doolin, north side of McLaren avenue, 50 feet west of Twenty-eighth avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

Mrs. Law, east side of Thirtieth avenue, 75 feet south of Anza street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Mary H. Lewis, 1637 Hyde street, 1500 gallons capacity.

C. T. Magill, southwest corner of Tenth avenue and Judah street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Frank Ornig, 1830 Polk street, 600 gallons capacity.

L. V. Parmelee, 51 Yerba Buena avenue, 600 gallons capacity.

J. G. Roberts, 2919 Pacific avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

C. A. Rodogerts, west side of Avila street, 75 feet north of Cervantes boulevard, 1500 gallons capacity.

Stoneson Bros., 48 Maywood drive, 750 gallons capacity.

Van Clay Builders, south side of Clay street, 100 feet west of Van Ness avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

M. Zelinsky, south side of California street, 120 feet east of Laguna street, 1500 gallons capacity.

M. Zelinsky, south side of California street, 81 feet east of Laguna street, 1500 gallons capacity.

M. Zelinsky, south side of California street, 160 feet east of Laguna street, 1500 gallons capacity.

M. Zelinsky, south side of California street, 200 feet east of Laguna street, 1500 gallons capacity.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits shall become null and void.

Laundry Permit.

On motion of Supervisor Byington:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That M. Trianissi be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate a laundry on the south side of Cabrillo street, 115 feet west of Forty-fifth avenue.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Street Lights.

Supervisor Stanton presented:

Resolution No. 27931 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Pacific Gas and Electric Company be and it is hereby authorized and requested to remove and install the following street lights:

Remove 250 *M. R.*

San Bruno avenue between Olmstead and Dwight streets.

San Bruno avenue between Dwight and Woolsey streets.

San Bruno avenue and Dwight street.

Remove 400 *M. R.*

San Bruno and Silver avenues.

East and west sides San Bruno avenue between Silver avenue and Silliman street.

San Bruno avenue and Silliman street.

East and west sides San Bruno avenue between Silliman street and Thornton avenue.

San Bruno avenue and Felton, Burrows, Bacon and Wayland streets.

East and west sides San Bruno avenue between Felton and Burrows streets.

East and west sides San Bruno avenue between Burrows and Bacon streets.

East and west sides San Bruno avenue between Bacon and Wayland streets.

Install 400 *M. R.*

San Bruno avenue and Sweeny street.

San Bruno avenue between Woolsey and Dwight streets.

San Bruno avenue and Dwight street.

San Bruno avenue between Dwight and Olmstead streets.

San Bruno avenue between Olmstead and Mansell streets.
San Bruno avenue between Mansell and Ordway streets.
San Bruno avenue between Ordway and Ware streets.
San Bruno avenue, opposite Nelson street.
Ninth avenue between Lawton and Moraga streets.
Rondel place, second pole south of Sixteenth street.

Install 400 *C. P. O. B.*

San Bruno and Silver avenues.
East side San Bruno avenue, first and second south of Silver avenue.
West side San Bruno avenue, first south of Silver avenue.
San Bruno avenue and Silliman street.
East and west sides San Bruno avenue, south of Silliman street.
Northeast corner of San Bruno and Thornton avenues.
Northwest corner of San Bruno avenue and Felton street.
West side San Bruno avenue, south of Felton street.
East side San Bruno avenue, first and second south of Felton street.
Northwest corner of San Bruno avenue and Burrows street.
East side San Bruno avenue, first and second south of Burrows street.
West side San Bruno avenue, first south of Burrows street.
Northwest corner of San Bruno avenue and Bacon street.
East side San Bruno avenue, first and second south of Bacon street.
West side San Bruno avenue, first south of Bacon street.
Northwest corner San Bruno avenue and Wayland street.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Roncovieri —1.

San Francisco Accepts Invitation to Participate in Pasadena's Tournament of Roses.

Supervisor Kent presented:

Resolution No. 27932 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, our sister city of Pasadena has extended a cordial invitation to the City of San Francisco to participate in the Pasadena Tournament of Roses and particularly requests that San Francisco be represented in the floral parade which is to take place at Pasadena on Monday, January 2, 1928, which event will be witnessed by a great concourse of people; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors accepts the invitation of the City of Pasadena to participate in the Pasadena Tournament of Roses and the Public Welfare and Publicity Committee is authorized to make the necessary arrangements for San Francisco to be represented in the parade by an appropriate float.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Roncovieri —1.

Award of Contract, Statistical Service Bureau.

Supervisor Badaracco presented:

Resolution No. 27933 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of contract be hereby made to Statistical Service Bureau on bid submitted October 3, 1927 (Proposal No. 327) for compiling "Summary sheet for report of registration of minors" for School Department within 50 days for the sum of $2,682. Extra charge for questionnaires in excess of 180,000, price per M, $14.90.

Resolved, That a bond in the sum of $500 be required for the faithful performance of said contract.

Resolved, That all other bids submitted hereon be rejected.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Harrelson, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent — Supervisor Roncovieri —1.

Giving Notice of Special Election for Moccasin Creek Power Line Bonds.

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Resolution No. 27935 (New Series), as follows:

Giving notice of a special election to be held in the City and County of San Francisco, on Tuesday, the eighth day of November, 1927, for the purpose of submitting to the voters of the City and County of San Francisco a proposition to incur a bonded debt of the City and County of San Francisco to the amount of two million ($2,000,000) dollars for the acquisition, construction and completion of a public utility, to-wit:

The extension of the Moccasin Creek power line of the Hetch

Hetchy water and power project of the City and County of San Francisco from its present terminal near Newark, Alameda County, California, over, under, across or around the Bay of San Francisco, thence by the most feasible route into the City and County of San Francisco for the purpose of transmitting electric energy generated at Moccasin Creek power plant into the City and County of San Francisco. To construct such transmission line, equipment thereof, appurtenances thereto, to acquire all necessary rights of way, permits, easements, purchase of necessary lands, buildings and terminal facilities, including a step-down terminal substation within or without the confines of the City and County with necessary equipment therefor.

Resolved, by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Notice is hereby given that pursuant to the laws of the State of California, the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco and the provisions of Bill No. 8237, Ordinance No. 7748 (New Series), of the Board of Supervisors, passed October 3, 1927, reference to said ordinance for further particulars being here made, a special election will be held in the City and County of San Francisco, on Tuesday, the eighth day of November, 1927, for the purpose of submitting to the electors of said City and County the following proposition, to-wit: The acquisition, construction and completion of a public utility, to-wit:

The extension of the Moccasin Creek power line of the Hetch Hetchy water and power project of the City and County of San Francisco from its present terminal near Newark, Alameda County, California, over, under, across or around the Bay of San Francisco, thence by the most feasible route into the City and County of San Francisco for the purpose of transmitting electric energy generated at Moccasin Creek power plant into the City and County of San Francisco. To construct such transmission line, equipment thereof, appurtenances thereto, to acquire all necessary rights of way, permits, easements, purchase of necessary lands, buildings and terminal facilities, including a step-down terminal substation within or without the confines of the City and County with necessary equipment therefor, and the incurring of a bonded indebtedness of the City and County of San Francisco to the amount of two million ($2,000,000) dollars for the acquisition, construction and completion of the public utility described herein.

Section 2. If, at such special election, it shall appear that two-thirds of all the votes cast thereat were in favor of and authorized the incurring of a bonded debt for the purpose set forth in the proposition described in Section 1 of this resolution, then such proposition shall be deemed to have been accepted by the electors and bonds will be issued to defray the cost of the public utility described therein. Such bonds shall be of the form and character known as "serials." All of said bonds shall be dated November 1, 1927, shall bear interest at the rate of 4½ per centum per annum, paybale semi-annually, shall be of the denomination of one thousand dollars each, and the principal and interest thereof shall be payable in gold coin of the United States. Said bonds shall be called "Transmission Line Bonds."

Bonds issued for the purpose stated shall be numbered from 1 to 2000, both inclusive, and shall be payable eighty thousand ($80,000) dollars thereof five years from the date of said bonds, beginning with the lowest number, and eighty thousand ($80,000) dollars thereof of the next higher numbers on the same day in each succeeding year until all of said bonds shall be paid.

Section 3. Said bonds and coupons shall be in substantially the following form:

The United States of America.
State of California.
City and County of San Francisco.
"Transmission Line Bonds."

No. ——— $1,000.00

For value received, the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, organized and existing under the laws of the State of California, hereby acknowledges itself indebted and promises to pay to the bearer, on the first day of ———, 19——, one thousand dollars, with interest thereon at the rate of 4½ per centum per annum, payable semi-annually May 1 and November 1, on presentation and surrender of the coupons hereto attached as they respectively become due, both principal and interest being payable in gold coin of the United States at the office of the Treasurer of said City and County, or, at the option of the holder, at the fiscal agency of said City and County of San Francisco in the City and State of New York.

This bond is issued under and pursuant to the Constitution and

statutes of the State of California and the Charter of said City and County of San Francisco and amendments thereto, and under and pursuant to ordinances and proceedings of said City and County duly adopted and taken, and a vote and assent of more than two-thirds of all the qualified electors of said City and County voting at a special election duly and legally called and held for that purpose.

It is hereby certified, recited and declared that all acts, conditions and things required by law to exist, happen and be performed precedent to and in the issuance of this bond have existed, happened and been performed in due time, form and manner as required by law, and that the amount of this bond, together with all other indebtedness of said City and County, does not exceed any limit prescribed by the Constitution or statutes of said State or Charter of said City and County, and that provision has been made as required by the Constitution and statutes of said State and the Charter of said City and County for the collection of an annual tax sufficient to pay the interest on this bond as it falls due, and also provision to constitute a sinking fund for the payment of the principal of this bond on or before maturity. The full faith and credit of said City and County are hereby pledged for the punctual payment of the principal and interest of this bond.

This bond may be converted into a registered bond upon presentation to the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco, in which event such Treasurer shall cut off and cancel the coupons of this bond and shall sign a statement stamped, printed or written upon the back or face of the bond to the effect that this bond is registered in the name of the owner and that thereafter the interest and principal of this bond are payable to the registered owner. Thereafter and from time to time this bond may be transferred by such registered owner in person or by attorney duly authorized on presentation of this bond to the Treasurer, and the bond be again registered as before, a similar statement being stamped, printed or written thereon.

This bond is exempt from all taxation within the State of California.

In witness whereof, said City and County of San Francisco has caused this bond to be executed under its corporate seal, signed by its Mayor and Treasurer, and countersigned by its Auditor, and has caused the interest coupons hereto attached to be signed with the engraved or lithographed signature of its Treasurer, and this bond to be dated the first day of November, 1927.

.....
Mayor.

.....
Treasurer.

Countersigned:

.....
Auditor.

(Seal)

.....
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors.

Form of Coupon.

No. ——— $———

On ..... 1, 19...., the City and County of San Francisco, California, will pay to bearer at the office of the Treasurer of said City and County, or at the option of the holder at the fiscal agency of the City and County of San Francisco, in the City and State of New York, ... dollars ($.....) in gold coin of the United States, being six months' interest then due on its bond dated November 1, 1927, numbered .....

.....
Treasurer.

Form of Registration.

San Francisco, ..., 19..

This bond is registered pursuant to Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, in the name of ..... and the interest and principal thereof are hereafter payable to such owner.

.....
Treasurer.

Section 4. The amount of tax levy to be made for the payment of said two million dollars bonds shall be the sum of ninety thousand dollars for the first five years from the date of said bonds to pay the annual interest on said bonds, and in season to pay such interest as it becomes due, and for the sixth year after the date of said bonds the sum of eighty-six thousand four hundred dollars to pay and in season to pay the interest on such of said bonds as remain outstanding after the eighty thousand dollars thereof due five years from their date have been paid, and for the seventh year after the date of said bonds the sum of eighty-two thousand eight hundred dollars to pay and in season to pay the interest on such of said bonds as remain outstanding after the eighty thousand dollars thereof due six years from their date have been paid, and so on, a sum each year for twenty-two succeeding years until said bonds are all paid, sufficient

for interest and in season to pay interest on all of said bonds outstanding, which sum for interest will diminish each year by the amount of three thousand six hundred dollars by reason of the payment each year beginning five years from the date of said bonds of eighty-thousand dollars of said bonds, and the sum of eighty thousand dollars each year beginning four years from the date of said bonds to pay and in season to pay the principal of such bonds as they respectively become due, and continuing each succeeding year for twenty-four years until the principal of all of said bonds has been paid.

The purpose and intent of the foregoing tax provisions are, and it is hereby expressly provided, that at the time of levying the municipal tax, and in the manner provided for such tax levy, the Supervisors shall levy and collect annually a tax sufficient to pay the annual interest on such bonds, and also such part of the bonded indebtedness as will fall due within the succeeding fiscal year. Such taxes shall be in addition to all other taxes levied for municipal purposes, and shall be collected at the same time and in the same manner as other municipal taxes are collected.

Section 5. The special election hereby called and ordered to be held shall be held and conducted, and votes thereat received and canvassed, and the returns thereof made, and the result thereof ascertained, determined and declared as herein provided and according to the laws of the State of California providing for and governing elections in the City and County of San Francisco, and the polls for such election shall be and remain open during the time required by said laws.

The ballots to be used at said special election shall be such as may be required by law to be used thereat, and, in addition to any other matter required by law to be printed thereon, shall appear thereon the following:

"Transmission Line Bonds."—To incur a bonded debt of the City and County of San Francisco to the amount of two million ($2,000,000) dollars for the acquisition, construction and completion of a public utility, to-wit:

The extension of the Moccasin Creek power line of the Hetch Hetchy water and power project of the City and County of San Francisco from its present terminal near Newark, Alameda County, California, over, under, across or around the Bay of San Francisco, thence by the most feasible route into the City and County of San Francisco for the purpose of transmitting electric energy generated at Moccasin Creek power plant into the City and County of San Francisco. To construct such transmission line, equipment thereof, appurtenances thereto, to acquire all necessary rights of way, permits, easements, purchase of necessary lands, buildings and terminal facilities, including a step-down terminal substation within or without the confines of the City and County with necessary equipment therefor.

To vote for the proposition and thereby authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness to the amount of and for the purpose stated in said proposition stamp a cross (X) in the blank space to the right of the word "Yes." To vote against the proposition and thereby refuse to authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness to the amount of and for the purpose stated in said proposition stamp a cross (X) in the blank space to the right of the word "No."

YES	
NO	

Bonds issued for the acquisition, construction and completion of the public utility herein described shall bear interest at the rate of 4½ per centum per annum, payable semi-annually.

Section 6. Where voting machines are used at said special election the said voting machines shall be so arranged that any qualified elector may vote for the proposition by pulling down a lever over the word "Yes" under or near a statement of the proposed proposition appearing on cardboard, paper or other material placed on the front of the machine and said act shall constitute a vote for the proposition, and by pulling down a lever over the word "No" under or near a statement of the proposed proposition appearing on cardboard, paper or other material placed on the front of the machine shall constitute a vote against the proposition. Said voting machines and the preparation of the same are to be used in accordance with the provisions of Chapter 96 of the Statutes of 1923.

Each cross (X) stamped in the square to the right of the word

"Yes" appearing on the printed ballot, where printed ballots are used, shall constitute a vote in favor of, and to authorize, the incurring of a bonded indebtedness for the purpose set forth in the proposition, and each cross (X) stamped in the square to the right of the word "No" shall be counted as a vote not in favor of, and a refusal to authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness for the purpose set forth in the proposition.

Section 7. The election precincts and the numbers, names and boundaries thereof for said special election, and the places of voting, and the officers to conduct such election, and all other necessary proceedings in that behalf, shall be respectively defined, designated, selected, appointed and had by the Board of Election Commisioners of the City and County of San Francisco, and said Board is hereby authorized and directed to procure and provide all supplies that may be necessary to properly and lawfully conduct said special election.

When the polls are closed the officers of election shall count the ballots cast at such election and canvass the votes cast respectively for and against the proposition herein stated and make return thereof in time, form and manner required for the counting, canvassing and returning of votes cast at other municipal elections held in the City and County of San Francisco. The Board of Election Commissioners shall, as soon as the said returns and ballots have been received by said Board, canvass said returns and declare the result thereof in the manner provided by law for canvassing returns and declaring results in other elections, and shall also certify said results to the Board of Supervisors.

Section 8. This resolution shall be published for at least fourteen times in the official newspaper and shall take effect immediately.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors, Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Noes—Supervisors Colman, Harrelson—2.

Absent — Supervisor Roncovieri —1.

Giving Notice of Special Election for Bonds for Municipal Railway Extensions.

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Resolution No. 27936 (New Series), as follows:

Giving notice of a special election to be held in the City and County of San Francisco, on Tuesday, the eighth day of November, 1927, for the purpose of submitting to the voters of the City and County of San Francisco a proposition to incur a bondded debt of the City and County of San Francisco to the amount of four million six hundred thousand ($4,600,000) dollars for the acquisition, construction and completion of a public utility, to-wit:

A number of extensions to the existing Municipal Street Railway system over and along the public streets and private rights of way, said extensions to be made from the existing Municipal Railway track at Scott and Chestnut streets into the Marina Tract, west of Scott street and north of Chestnut street; from the Municipal Railway track at Masonic avenue and Turk street into the Richmond District, connecting with the Municipal Railway tracks running to the Ocean Beach; from the Municipal Railway tracks on Masonic avenue at Turk street, easterly on Turk street to Market street connecting with the Municipal Railway tracks on Market street; a connecting crosstown service west of Twentieth avenue between the Judah street line of the Municipal Railway and the existing Municipal Railway line on Taraval street; from the Municipal Railway tracks at Forty-sixth avenue and Taraval street, southerly to a terminal near Wawona street and Forty-seventh avenue; from the Municipal Railway tracks at Thirteenth and Church streets, southwesterly through the Bernal Cut, into the Excelsior District at or near Excelsior avenue; thence southerly through the Excelsior District to a terminal at Geneva avenue; from a connection with the Municipal Railway tracks on Market street extended to a point at or near Eureka street; thence southerly over Eureka street or other most feasible route to Twenty-third street, thence by the most feasible route to a terminus on Diamond street near Twenty-ninth street; an automobile bus service from the vicinity of Seventeenth street and Potrero avenue by the most feasible route to the vicinity of Twenty-third street and Wisconsin street, and for such other extensions and additions to the trackage and facilities of the said Municipal Railway system as may be deemed necessary, and to include equipment thereof, appurtenances thereto, the necessary buildings and structures and the purchase of necessary lands.

Resolved, by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. Notice is hereby given that pursuant to the laws of the State of California, the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco and the provisions of Bill No. 8236, Ordinance No. 7747 (New Series), of the Board of Supervisors, passed October 3, 1927, reference to said ordinance for further particulars being here made, a special election will be held in the City and County of San Francisco, on Tuesday, the eighth day of November, 1927, for the purpose of submitting to the electors of said City and County the following proposition, to-wit: The acquisition, construction and completion of a public utility, to-wit, a number of extensions to the existing Municipal Street Railway system over and along the public streets and private rights of way, said extensions to be made from the existing Municipal Railway track at Scott and Chestnut streets into the Marina Tract, west of Scott street and north of Chestnut street; from the Municipal Railway track at Masonic avenue and Turk street into the Richmond District, connecting with the Municipal Railway tracks running to the Ocean Beach; from the Municipal Railway tracks on Masonic avenue at Turk street, easterly on Turk street to Market street connecting with the Municipal Railway tracks on Market street; a connecting crosstown service west of Twentieth avenue between the Judah street line of the Municipal Railway and the existing Municipal Railway line on Taraval street; from the Municipal Railway tracks at Forty-sixth avenue and Taraval street, southerly to a terminal near Wawona street and Forty-seventh avenue; from the Municipal Railway tracks at Thirtieth and Church streets, southwesterly through the Bernal Cut, into the Excelsior District at or near Excelsior avenue; thence southerly through the Excelsior District to a terminal at Geneva avenue; from a connection with the Municipal Railway tracks on Market street extended to a point at or near Eureka street; thence southerly over Eureka street or other most feasible route to Twenty-third street; thence by the most feasible route to a terminus on Diamond street near Twenty-ninth street; an automobile bus service from the vicinity of Seventeenth street and Potrero avenue by the most feasible route to the vicinity of Twenty-third street and Wisconsin street, and for such other extensions and additions to the trackage and facilities of the said Municipal Railway system as may be deemed necessary and to include equipment thereof, appurtenances thereto, the necessary buildings and structures and the purchase of necessary lands, and the incurring of a bonded indebtedness of the City and County of San Francisco to the amount of four million six hundred thousand ($4,600,000) dollars for the acquisition, construction and completion of the public utility described herein.

Section 2. If, at such special election, it shall appear that two-thirds of all the votes cast thereat were in favor of and authorized the incurring of a bonded debt for the purpose set forth in the proposition described in Section 1 of this resolution, then such proposition shall be deemed to have been accepted by the electors and bonds will be issued to defray the cost of the public utility described therein. Such bonds shall be for the form and character known as "serials." All of said bonds shall be dated November 1, 1927, shall bear interest at the rate of 4½ per centum per annum, payable semi-annually, shall be of the denomination of one thousand dollars each, and the principal and interest thereof shall be payable in gold coin of the United States. Said bonds shall be called "Municipal Railway Extension Bonds."

Bonds issued for the purpose stated shall be numbered from 1 to 4600, both inclusive, and shall be payable one hundred and eighty-four thousand ($184,000) dollars thereof eight years from the date of said bonds, beginning with the lowest number, and one hundred and eighty-four thousand ($184,000) dollars thereof of the next higher numbers on the same day in each succeeding year until all of said bonds shall be paid.

Section 3. Said bonds and coupons shall be in substantiaily the following form:

The United States of America.
State of California.
City and County of San Francisco.
"Municipal Railway Extension Bonds."

No. ———— $1,000.00

For value received, the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of California, hereby acknowledges itself indebted and promises to pay

to the bearer, on the first day of ....., 19.., one thousand dollars, with interest thereon at the rate of 4½ per centum per annum, payable semi-annually, May 1 and November 1, on presentation and surrender of the coupons hereto attached as they respectively become due, both principal and interest being payable in gold coin of the United States at the office of the Treasurer of said City and County, or, at the option of the holder, at the fiscal agency of said City and County of San Francisco in the City and State of New York.

This bond is issued under and pursuant to the Constitution and statutes of the State of California and the Charter of said City and County of San Francisco and amendments thereto, and under and pursuant to ordinances and proceedings of said City and County duly adopted and taken, and a vote and assent of more than two-thirds of all the qualified electors of said City and County voting at a special election duly and legally called and held for that purpose.

It is hereby certified, recited and declared that all acts, conditions and things required by law to exist, happen and be performed precedent to and in the issuance of this bond have existed, happened and been performed in due time, form and manner as required by law and that the amount of this bond, together with all other indebtedness of said City and County, does not exceed any limit prescribed by the Constitution or statutes of said State or Charter of said City and County, and that provision has been made as required by the Constitution and statutes of said State and the Charter of said City and County for the collection of an annual tax sufficient to pay the interest on this bond as it falls due, and also provision to constitute a sinking fund for the payment of the principal of this bond on or before maturity. The full faith and credit of said City and County are hereby pledged for the punctual payment of the principal and interest of this bond.

This bond may be converted into a registered bond upon presentation to the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco, in which event such Treasurer shall cut off and cancel the coupons of this bond, and shall sign a statement stamped, printed or written upon the back or face of the bond to the effect that this bond is registered in the name of the owner and that thereafter the interest and principal of this bond are payable to the registered owner. Thereafter and from time to time this bond may be transferred by such registered owner in person or by attorney duly authorized on presentation of this bond to the Treasurer, and the bond be again registered as before, a similar statement being stamped, printed or written thereon.

This bond is exempt from all taxation within the State of California.

In witness whereof, said City and County of San Francisco has caused this bond to be executed under its corporate seal, signed by its Mayor and Treasurer, and countersigned by its Auditor, and has caused the interest coupons hereto attached to be signed with the engraved or lithographed signature of its Treasurer, and this bond to be dated the first day of November, 1927.

.....,
Mayor.

.....,
Treasurer.

Countersigned:

.....,
Auditor.

.....,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors. (Seal)

Form of Coupon.

No. ——— $———

On ..... 1, 19...., the City and County of San Francisco, California, will pay to bearer at the office of the Treasurer of said City and County, or at the option of the holder at the fiscal agency of the City and County of San Francisco, in the City and State of New York, ... dollars ($.....) in gold coin of the United States, being six months' interest then due on its bond dated November 1, 1927, number .....

.....,
Treasurer.

Form of Registration.

San Francisco, ..., 19....

This bond is registered pursuant to Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, in the name of ..... and the interest and principal thereof are hereafter payable to such owner.

.....,
Treasurer.

Section 4. The amount of tax levy to be made for the payment of said four million six hundred thousand dollars bonds shall be the sum of two hundred and seven thousand dollars for the first eight years from the date of said bonds to pay the annual interest on said bonds,

and in season to pay such interest as it becomes due, and for the ninth year after the date of said bonds the sum of one hundred ninety-eight thousand seven hundred and twenty dollars to pay and in season to pay the interest on such of said bonds as remain outstanding after the one hundred eighty-four thousand dollars thereof due eight years from their date have been paid, and for the tenth year after the date of said bonds the sum of one nundred ninety thousand four hundred forty dollars to pay and in season to pay the interest on such of said bonds as remain outstanding after the one hundred eighty-four thousand dollars thereof due nine years from their date have been paid, and so on, a sum each year for twenty-two succeeding years until said bonds are all paid, sufficient for interest and in season to pay interest on all of said bonds outstanding, which sum for interest will diminish each year by the amount of eight thousand two hundred eighty dollars by reason of the payment each year beginning eight years from the date of said bonds of one hundred eighty-four thousand dollars of said bonds and the sum of one hundred eighty-four thousand dollars each year beginning seven years from the date of said bonds to pay and in season to pay the principal of such bonds as they respectively become due, and continuing each succeeding year for twenty-four years until the principal of all of said bonds has been paid.

The purpose and intent of the foregoing tax provisions are, and it is hereby expressly provided, that at the time of levying the municipal tax, and in the manner provided for such tax levy, the Supervisors shall levy and collect annually a tax sufficient to pay the annual interest on such bonds, and also such part of the bonded indebtedness as will fall due within the succeeding fiscal year. Such taxes shall be in addition to all other taxes levied for municipal purposes, and shall be collected at the same time and in the same manner as other municipal taxes are collected.

Section 5. The special election hereby called and ordered to be held and conducted, and the votes thereat received and canvassed, and the returns thereof made, and the result thereof ascertained, determined and declared as herein provided and according to the laws of the State of California providing for and governing elections in the City and County of San Francisco, and the polls for such election shall be and remain open during the time required by said laws.

The ballots to be used at said special election shall be such as may be required by law to be used thereat, and, in addition to any other matter required by law to be printed thereon, shall appear thereon the following:

"Municipal Railway Extension Bonds"—To incur a bonded debt of the City and County of San Francisco to the amount of four million six hundred thousand ($4,600,000) dollars for the acquisition, construction and completion of a public utility, to-wit:

A number of extensions to the existing Municipal Street Railway system over and along the public streets and private rights of way, said extensions to be made from the existing Municipal Railway track at Scott and Chestnut streets into the Marina Tract, west of Scott street and north of Chestnut street; from the Municipal Railway track at Masonic avenue and Turk street into the Richmond District, connecting with the Municipal Railway tracks running to the Ocean Beach; from the Municipal Railway tracks on Masonic avenue at Turk street, easterly on Turk street to Market street connecting with the Municipal Railway tracks on Market street; a connecting crosstown service west of Twentieth avenue between the Judah street line of the Municipal Railway and the existing Municipal Railway line on Taraval street from the Municipal Railway tracks at Forty-sixth avenue and Taraval streets southerly to a terminal near Wawona street and Forty-seventh avenue; from the Municipal Railway tracks at Thirtieth and Church streets southwesterly through the Bernal Cut, into the Excelsior District at or near Excelsior avenue, thence southerly through the Excelsior District to a terminal at Geneva avenue; from a connection with the Municipal Railway tracks on Market street extended to a point at or near Eureka street, thence southerly over Eureka street or other most feasible route to Twenty-third street; thence by the most feasible route to a terminus on Diamond street near Twenty-ninth street; an automobile bus service from the vicinity of Seventeenth street and Potrero avenue by the most feasible route to the vicinity of Twenty-third street and Wisconsin street, and for such other extensions and additions to the

trackage and facilities of the said Municipal Railway system as may be deemed necessary, and to include equipment thereof, appurtenances thereto, the necessary buildings and structures and the purchase of necessary lands.

To vote for the proposition and thereby authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness to the amount of and for the purpose stated in said proposition stamp a cross (X) in the blank space to the right of the word "Yes." To vote against the proposition and thereby refuse to authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness to the amount of and for the purpose stated in such proposition stamp a cross (X) in the blank space to the right of the word "No."

YES	
NO	

Bonds issued for the acquisition, construction and completion of the public utility herein described shall bear interest at the rate of 4½ per centum per annum, payable semi-annually.

Section 6. Where voting machines are used at said special election the said voting machines shall be so arranged that any qualified elector may vote for the proposition by pulling down a lever over the word "Yes" under or near a statement of the proposed proposition appearing on cardboard, paper or other material placed on the front of the machine and said act shall constitute a vote for the proposition, and by pulling down a lever over the word "No" under or near a statement of the proposed proposition appearing on cardboard, paper or other material placed on the front of the machine shall constitute a vote against the proposition. Said voting machines and the preparation of the same are to be used in accordance with the provisions of Chapter 96 of the Statutes of 1923.

Each cross (X) stamped in the square to the right of the word "Yes" appearing on the printed ballot, where printed ballots are used, shall constitute a vote in favor of, and to authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness for the purpose set forth in the proposition, and each cross (X) stamped in the square to the right of the word "No" shall be counted as a vote not in favor of, and a refusal to authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness for the purpose set forth in the proposition.

Section 7. The election precincts and the numbers, names and boundaries thereof for said special election, and the places of voting, and the officers to conduct such election, and all other necessary proceedings in that behalf, shall be respectively defined, designated, selected, appointed and had by the Board of Election Commissioners of the City and County of San Francisco, and said Board is hereby authorized and directed to procure and provide all supplies that may be necessary to properly and lawfully conduct said special election.

When the polls are closed the officers of election shall count the ballots cast at such election and canvass the votes cast respectively for and against the proposition herein stated and make return thereof in time, form and manner required for the counting, canvassing and returning of votes cast at other municipal elections held in the City and County of San Francisco. The Board of Election Commissioners shall, as soon as the said returns and ballots have been received by said Board, canvass said returns and declare the result thereof in the manner provided by law for canvassing returns and declaring results in other elections, and shall also certify said results to the Board of Supervisors.

Section 8. This resolution shall be published for at least fourteen times in the official newspaper and shall take effect immediately.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Harrelson, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent — Supervisor Roncovieri —1.

Citizens' Reception Committee, Prince William of Sweden.

Supervisor McSheehy presented: Resolution No. 27937 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, there is soon to arrive in San Francisco the distinguished representative of a friendly foreign nation in the person of His Excellency, Prince William of Sweden; and

Whereas, both by virtue of the comity that has existed between the Swedish people and the people of the United States and because of the large number of men and women of Swedish birth and descent

who have become citizens of this City, the people of San Francisco have reason to do honor to this distinguished visitor; be it

Resolved, That his Honor be and he hereby is authorized to appoint a Citizens' Committee of one hundred members to make arrangements for the reception of this distinguished visitor.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Roncovieri —1.

Request to Suspend Business on Armistice Day.

Supervisor Colman presented:

Resolution No. 27938 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, inasmuch as Armistice Day, November 11th, has been declared a legal holiday by the Governor of California; and

Whereas, the American Legion is observing this day with a parade and patriotic ceremonies; therefore, be it

Resolved, That in recognition of the services rendered to their country by the members of the American Legion during the World War, we, the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, do hereby request all business and industrial establishments of the City to close on said day in order to permit their employees to participate in said observance.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Roncovieri —1.

Appropriations, Work in Front of City Property.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 27939 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of "Street Work in Front of City Property," Budget Item No. 35, for street improvements, City's obligation, to-wit:

(1) For improvement of the crossing of Kirkham street with Thirtieth and Thirty-first avenues, $251.25.

(2) For alteration to premises No. 2601 McAllister street necessary and incidental to the extension of Stanyan street from Fulton to McAllister streets, $245.

(3) For improvement of Thirtieth street between Castro and Noe streets, $500.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent — Supervisor Roncovieri —1.

Award of Contract, Rebinding Books, School Department.

Supervisor Badaracco presented:

Resolution No. 27934 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of contract be hereby made to Foster & Futernick Co. for rebinding books for School Department that may be ordered from time to time during the term commencing at date and ending June 30, 1928, on bid submitted October 10, 1927 (Proposal No. 326), at the following rates, viz.:

Text Books.

(Line No. 6)—50 to 99 copies of same title, standard size, $0.65 each; extra size, $0.80 each.

(Line No. 10)—500 and over copies of same title, standard size, $0.55 each.

Library Books, Fictions, etc.

(Line No. 2)—8 inches in height, $0.85 each.

Magazines—Periodicals.

(Line No. 1)—10 inches in height, $1.75 each.

(Line No. 2)—12 inches in height, $1.90 each.

Resolved, That a bond in the sum of $500 be required for the faithful performance of said contract.

Resolved, That all other bids submitted hereon be rejected.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Harrelson, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent — Supervisor Roncovieri —1.

RECESS.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon the Board recessed until 2 p. m.

REASSEMBLED—2 P. M.

The Board of Supervisors reassembled at 2 p. m.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy Gallagher, Harelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Shannon, Todd—3.

Quorum present.

Hih Honor Mayor Rolph presiding.

War Memorial.

Supervisor Colman referred to his recent resolution for a conference relative to speedy construction of War Memorial Buildings.

Supervisor Schmidt moved that the Board of Supervisors and the Mayor meet a week from tomorrow at 2 p. m., for the proposed conference.

So ordered.

State Convention on Uniform Traffic Laws.

Supervisor Gallagher moved that a committee of five be appointed by the Mayor to attend State Convention on Uniform Traffic Laws at Los Angeles November 18 and 19, 1927.

So ordered.

(*Supervisors Todd, Schmidt, Gallagher, Shannon and Hayden appointed at a subsequent meeting.*)

Reception to Mayor and Supervisors of Los Angeles.

Mayor Geo. E. Cryer of Los Angeles, Supervisors Geo. B. McClellan, Fred S. Beatty and wife, Howard Davis, P. M. Hall and W. J. Sanborn, constituting a good-will delegation, visited the Board and were heartily welcomed by his Honor Mayor Rolph and by the members of the Board. Each of the visiting officials expressed delight with the City and the reception accorded them. The Long Beach Municipal Band afforded a brilliant program of music.

Supervisor Powers responded.

BRIDGE MATTERS.

The following was read and ordered *filed*:

San Francisco, Oct. 8, 1927.

Mr. J. S. Dunnigan, Clerk Board of Supervisors, San Francisco.

Dear Sir:

Referring to my application for toll bridge filed June 27, 1927, please be advised that I would much prefer having a hearing on this application deferred until after the November election.

My reason for making this request is that in the event the voters of San Francisco vote favorably upon the proposition for the construction of a transbay bridge by public capital I believe all private franchise applications of necessity would be null and void.

Trusting that the Board of Supervisors in its wisdom will find it possible to postpone action on this matter, I am,

Respectfully yours,

ROY C. HACKLEY.

SPECIAL ORDERS—2 P. M.

BRIDGE HEARINGS.

The following matters were taken up:

Motions.

Supervisor Gallagher: I move you that while it is the policy of this Board that we favor a publicly-owned bay bridge, it is, however, the sense of this Board that we favor immediate construction of said bridge by private capital, until the people may elect in the manner provided by law to take over said bridge and place it under public ownership and control.

Supervisor Marks: I move you that, as an amendment to the motion of Supervisor Gallagher, the City Attorney be requested to submit to this Board, at the earliest possible opportunity, a form of submission of the issue of public ownership and operation of the proposed bridge, or private ownership or operation of the proposed bridge, for action by the people at the earliest possible opportunity.

* * * * *

Supervisor Marks: I desire to present the following motion and calling attention to the fact that it does not call for anything other than asking the City Attorney to submit a proper form of proposal to us. I make the motion which heretofore has been outlined three or four times. (Referring to motion set out in full above.)

The Chairman: Any objection? So ordered.

On motion of Supervisor Gallagher, further consideration of the foregoing motions was *continued until Monday, October* 24, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Shannon, Todd—3.

Applications for Franchises to Construct Bridges Across San Francisco Bay.

Thereupon, the following matters were taken up:

Application of Bay Cities Bridge Corporation for a franchise for a toll bridge between San Francisco and Alameda.

Proposed rail and highway crossing for San Francisco Bay from the foot of Sixteenth street, San Francisco, to the foot of Pacific avenue, Alameda.

Beginning at the intersection of the center line of Sixteenth street with the center line of Third (Kentucky) street, in the City and County of San Francisco, and extending thence easterly along the center line of Sixteenth street to the waterfront line; thence easterly along a straight line to a point on the center line of Pacific avenue, in the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, which point is one hundred feet westerly from the intersection of said center line of Pacific avenue with the center line of Bryant street.

That the length of said bridge is 27,750 feet and that the width of the roadway on said bridge is 38 feet.

Filed April 6, 1925.

DUDLEY D. SALES,
Attorney.

On motion of Supervisor Gallagher, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, October* 24, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Shannon, Todd—3.

Application of Elbert W. Davis for a franchise for a toll bridge between San Francisco and Alameda.

Across San Francisco Bay, beginning at a point in the City and County of San Francisco on the bulkhead line of San Francisco Harbor between Piers 26 and 28, and running approximately north 67 degrees 45 minutes east 17,962 feet, more or less, to a point in the City of Oakland, County of Alameda, State of California, on the easterly shore of San Francisco Bay.

That the length of said bridge is to be 17,962 feet and that the breadth thereof is to be 65 feet, more or less.

Filed June 29, 1925.

JAMES M. OLIVER,
Attorney.

On motion of Supervisor Gallagher, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, October* 24, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Shannon, Todd—3.

Application of John Bermingham, Jr., 250 Cervantes boulevard, for a franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge across San Francisco Bay between the City and County of San Francisco and the County of Alameda.

Beginning at the intersection of the center line of Seventh and Mission streets, in the City and County of San Francisco, along Seventh street to Seventh and Sixteenth; thence in a southeasterly direction to Nineteenth and Third; thence in a southerly direction along Third street to the intersection of Third street and Jerrold avenue and waterfront; thence proceeding in a northerly direction, crossing San Francisco Bay to a point from which a branch will extend 4500 feet easterly to the intersection of Pacific avenue and Bryant street, in the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California; thence from said aforementioned intersecting point proceeding in a northerly direction, crossing the Oakland Estuary, to the intersection of Peralta street and Seventh street, in the City of Oakland, County of Alameda, State of California.

That the total length of said bridge, approaches thereto and branch thereof, aforementioned, is 65,450 feet, that the width of said bridge is ninety (90) feet.

Filed September 28, 1925.

On motion of Supervisor Gallagher, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, October* 24, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Shannon, Todd—3.

Application of A. M. Bergstrom for a franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge across San Francisco Bay between the City and County of San Francisco and the County of Alameda.

That the said toll bridge is to be located across the Bay of San Francisco along the following described line, to-wit:

Beginning at a point in San Francisco at about the foot of Davidson avenue, on Hunter's Point, and running northerly sixty-two (62) degrees east four and four-tenths (4.4) miles; thence on a one (1) degree curve to the north one and six-tenths (1.6) miles to true north to a point on the easterly shore of the Bay of San Francisco at about the foot of Webster street, in the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California.

That the length of said bridge is to be six (6) miles and the breadth is to be sixty (60) feet, more or less.

Filed September 28, 1925.

On motion of Supervisor Gallagher, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, October* 24, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

A y e s — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Shannon, Todd—3.

Application of New York and San Francisco Development Company for franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge between San Francisco and Alameda.

Bridge to be constructed across San Francisco Bay from a point therein at or near the junction of Evans avenue and Boalt street, Hunter's Point, in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to or near the junction of South High street and Washington street, in the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California; that the length of said bridge is approximately six and one-half miles from shore line to shore line, and that its breadth or width is approximately from 60 to 90 feet.

Filed January 11, 1926.

ROTHCHILD & GOLDEN,
Attorneys.

On motion of Supervisor Gallagher, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, October* 24, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

A y e s — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Shannon, Todd—3.

Application of Roy C. Hackley for a franchise to construct a toll bridge between San Francisco, Pier 26, and Alameda County.

Beginning at a point in the City and County of San Francisco on the bulkhead line of San Francisco Harbor, south of and adjacent to Pier 26, and running approximately north 61 degrees east and fifty-three hundred feet, more or less; thence running approximately north 89 degrees east five thousand feet, more or less; thence running approximately north 59 degrees 30 minutes east eight thousand feet, more or less, to a point in the City of Oakland, County of Alameda, State of California, on the easterly shore of said San Francisco Bay.

That the length of said bridge is to be eighteen thousand three hundred feet (18,300) and that the breadth thereof is to be 78 feet, more or less.

Roy A. Bronson and E. D. Bronson, Jr., attorneys, 68 Post street.

Filed February 6, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor Gallagher, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, October* 24, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

A y e s — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Shannon, Todd—3.

Two applications of the Construction Company of North America for a franchise to construct and open a toll bridge between San Francisco and Alameda County.

Commencing at a point in said City and County of San Francisco near the corner of First and Bryant streets, on what is known as Rincon Hill, and running thence on less than a two-degree curve a distance of approximately 1700 lineal feet to the line of bulkhead wharf connecting Piers 30 and 32 on the waterfront of the said City and County of San Francisco; thence on a line approximately north 65 degrees east 21,000 lineal feet, more or less, to the Oakland Mole of the Southern Pacific Company in Alameda County.

That the length of said bridge is to be 4½ miles and that the width thereof is to be approximately 78 feet.

ROBERT J. McGAHIE,
Attorney, Mills Building.

First application filed February 15, 1926.

Second application field April 5, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor Gallagher, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, October* 24, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Shannon, Todd—3.

Application of Allan C. Rush for a franchise to construct a toll bridge between San Francisco and Alameda County.

Construction of a suspension bridge from the City and County of San Francisco over and across Goat Island to the east shore of the Bay of San Francisco, comprising three main double traffic track entrance therein, and being of a height of two hundred feet above high tide, between all bridge piers in the waters of San Francisco Channel, in which channel the bridge will be suspended over "but three piers," twenty-four hundred feet apart, thus in nowise impeding the traffic of the waters of the Bay.

Filed June 19, 1926.

ALLAN C. RUSH,
1632 McCullom street, Los Angeles, California.

On motion of Supervisor Gallagher, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, October* 24, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Shannon, Todd—3.

Application of Robert Lee Dunn, 778 Monadnock Building, for a franchise to construct a toll bridge along the following described line, to-wit:

Beginning at the intersection of the center line of Second street with the center line of Berry street, in the City and County of San Francisco and extending thence easterly to the waterfront line; thence easterly along a straight line to the intersection of the center lines of Pacific avenue and Bryant street, City of Alameda, California.

Length of said bridge is twenty-six thousand feet; that the width of the roadway on said bridge is eighty feet.

Filed June 21, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor Gallagher, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, October* 24, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Shannon, Todd—3.

Application of Edward A. Bertram for a franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge to be located, to-wit:

Beginning at Twelfth and Howard streets, San Francisco, by 1½ per cent viaduct to Beale and Howard streets; thence to Southern Pacific Mole, Oakland; thence by 1½ per cent viaduct to West Fourteenth street level, and Sixteenth Street Railroad Station.

The length of said bridge proper is 21,000 feet and its width of roadway is 63 feet.

Filed June 21, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor Gallagher, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, October* 24, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Shannon, Todd—3.

Application of R. S. Chew for franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge or bridges.

The said proposed bridge or bridges are to be located and are described as follows:

The westerly end of a bridge and the approaches thereto, which will connect with public streets, are to be located in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California (which location is in the county situated on the left bank descending of the Bay of San Francisco, across which said bridge is to be constructed), and at a point therein at or near the summit of an eminence known as Telegraph Hill; from this point the bridge will project easterly a distance of nine thousand feet (more or less) to the westerly side of Yerba Buena (or Goat) Island; thence easterly across said island a roadway or viaduct will be constructed for a distance of four thousand feet (more or less) to its easterly side; from thence there will be projected in an easterly direction a distance of twenty-two thousand feet (more or less), a bridge structure, via-

duct, causeway and/or fill to suitable connections with lines or railway and public highways in Alameda County, State of California.

Filed June 28, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor Gallagher, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, October 24, 1927, at 2 p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Shannon, Todd—3.

Application of Inter-Cities Bridge Company for a franchise to construct, maintain and operate a toll bridge across the Bay of San Francisco and over the following route:

Beginning at the intersection of Broadway and Battery street, in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and extending thence easterly to the waterfront; thence on a line approximately north 60 degrees east to the westerly point of Yerba Buena or Goat Island; thence by contour meander to the easterly point of Yerba Buena or Goat Island; thence on a line approximately north 75 degrees to the foot of Yerba Buena street, in the City of Oakland, County of Alameda, State of California.

That the length of said bridge, inclusive of approximately 4100 feet on ground support over Yerba Buena or Goat Island, and 5670 feet to be supported by an earth fill mole in the approach to the said Oakland terminal, is to be approximately 27,000 feet. That the width of said bridge is to be approximately 120 feet, providing two 20-foot vehicular lanes and accommodations for four lines of electric transportation tracks.

Filed July 12, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor Gallagher, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, October 24, 1927, at 2 p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Shannon, Todd—3.

Application of G. Lindenthal for franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge.

Said bridge is to be located along the following described line:

Commencing at a point in the center line of Jerrold avenue, in the City and County of San Francisco, about midway between Ship street and Dock street, in said City and County of San Francisco; thence on a curve easterly and northerly a distance of 30,000 feet, more or less, to the southerly foot of Webster street, in the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California.

The width of said bridge at the easterly end thereof for approximately 16,000 feet will be 75 feet, and the width of the remaining portion thereof will be approximately 40 feet. The length of said bridge will be approximately 30,000 feet.

Filed August 2, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor Gallagher, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, October 24, 1927, at 2 p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Shannon, Todd—3.

Application of W. F. Holcomb for franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge across San Francisco Bay between the City and County of San Francisco and Alameda County.

Beginning at or near and within one hundred feet northerly or southerly of the intersection of the center line of Second street with the center line of Berry street, in the City and County of San Francisco and extending thence easterly to the waterfront line; thence easterly along a straight line to, at or near and within one hundred feet northerly or southerly of the intersection of the center lines of Pacific avenue and Bryant street, City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California.

That the length of said bridge will be twenty-six thousand feet; that the width of the roadway on said bridge will be eighty-nine feet.

Filed August 9, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor Gallagher, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, October 24, 1927, at 2 p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Shannon, Todd—3.

Application of E. D. Bronson, Jr., for a franchise for a toll bridge across San Francisco Bay.

Filed August 16, 1926.

Beginning at a point in the City and County of San Francisco on the bulkhead line of San Francisco Harbor, south of and adjacent to Pier 26, and running north approximately 68 degrees east seventeen thousand nine hundred fifty feet (17,950'), more or less, to a point in the City of Oakland, County of Alameda, State of California, on the easterly shore of said San Francisco Bay; and the said toll bridge to be approximately seventeen thousand nine hundred fifty (17,950') feet in length and one hundred ten (110') feet in breadth, more or less.

Roy A. Bronson, E. D. Bronson, Jr., attorneys at law, 68 Post street, Room 403, San Francisco, California.

On motion of Supervisor Gallagher, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, October* 24, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri Schmidt, Stanton—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Shannon, Todd—3.

Tunnels.

Application of O. A. Ellis for franchise for two tunnels under the Bay of San Francisco from San Francisco to Oakland.

One of the tunnels will be for interurban street car traffic, with double track, and the San Francisco terminal between Third and Fourth streets on Harrison street.

The other tunnel will be for auto and railroad traffic, with San Francisco terminal between Second and Third streets on Harrison street.

The Oakland terminal will be at Seventh and Cedar streets.

Filed June 25, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor Gallagher, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, October* 24, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Shannon, Todd—3.

Application of H. S. Henion to construct toll bridge along the following route, to-wit :

Beginning at the intersection of the center lines of Berry and Second streets, in the City and County of San Francisco, California, thence southeasterly to the west end of Pier No. 46, on the San Francisco waterfront; thence easterly over said pier to its eastern end; thence easterly across the Bay of San Francisco to the point of intersection of the center line of Peralta street, in the City of Oakland, California, produced southerly to the center line of the vehicular roadway on the Alameda Mole, in the City of Alameda, California; thence northeasterly on the said produced center line of Peralta street to its intersection with the center line of Eighth street, in said City of Oakland, Alameda County, California.

That the length of said bridge is approximately thirty thousand feet; that the width of said bridge is approximately eighty feet.

The above bridge will include an overhead approach, to extend from Second and Berry streets, San Francisco, to Rincon Hill or immediate vicinity, where abundant terminal facilities will be provided.

Filed March 14, 1927.

On motion of Supervisor Gallagher, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, October* 24, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Shannon, Todd—3.

Application of Frank E. Webb to construct toll bridge along the following route, to-wit:

Said proposed toll bridge is to be located, builded and constructed across San Francisco Bay from a point exactly in longitude west 122 degrees 21 minutes 48.7 seconds and latitude north 37 degrees 43 minutes 33.75 seconds in San Francisco County, State of California, Hunter's Point, in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to a point exactly in longitude west 122 degrees 15 minutes 2.6 seconds and latitude north 37 degrees 44 minutes 15.67 seconds in Alameda County, State of California; thence to the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California; that the length of said bridge is approximately 32,200 feet from shore line to shore line, and that its breadth or width is approximately from sixty (60) to ninety (90) feet, as shown by map attached and made a part hereof and marked "Exhibit B."

Bridge is to be of steel and concrete cantilever type, clearance from San Francisco side to be approximately one hundred and forty (140) feet. The main spans to be not less than seven hundred and fifty (750) feet nor more than seventeen hundred (1700) feet, and all spans and construction to be in accordance with the United States Government regulations and rulings.

Filed March 14, 1927.

On motion of Supervisor Gallagher, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, October* 24, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Shannon, Todd—3.

Communication from Construction Company of North America, supplementing original plans—modified route has its westerly terminal on Rincon Hill.

Filed May 9, 1927.

On motion of Supervisor Gallagher, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, October* 24, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Shannon, Todd—3.

Amended application of Edward A. Bertram for alternative line of bridge.

Filed May 9, 1927.

On motion of Supervisor Gallagher, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, October* 24, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Shannon, Todd—3.

Application of J. E. Pamplin to construct toll bridge along the following route, to-wit:

Beginning at or near and within two hundred feet northerly or southerly of the intersection of the center line of Second street with the center line of Berry street, in the City and County of San Francisco, and extending thence easterly to the waterfront line; thence about north seventy-three (73) degrees east (true) along a straight line to a point immediately southwest of and adjacent to the Southern Pacific Alameda Mole, and about six hundred (600) yards, more or less, southeasterly from the northwesterly end of said mole, and thence about south seventy-two (72) degrees east (true) to a point on filled land in the City of Alameda about on the prolongation northwesterly of that portion of Santa Clara avenue which lies between Paru and Park streets, in the City and Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California.

That the length of said bridge will be about twenty-six thousand (26,000) feet, more or less; that the width of the roadway on said bridge will be one hundred feet or such other width as the Board of Supervisors may direct and require under Section 2873 of the Political Code.

Filed May 9, 1927.

On motion of Supervisor Gallagher, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, October* 24, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Shannon, Todd—3.

Application of Roy C. Hackley to construct a toll bridge along the following route, to-wit:

Beginning at or near and within two hundred feet (200′) of the intersection of the center line of Fremont street with the center line of Brannan street, in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and running north approximately eighty degrees (80°) east nineteen thousand four hundred feet (19,400′), more or less, to a point in the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California, located approximately six hundred feet (600′) south of the south training wall of the Oakland Estuary.

That the length of said bridge is to be approximately nineteen thousand four hundred feet (19,400′), and that the breadth thereof is to be sixty feet (60′) more or less.

Filed May 16, 1927.

On motion of Supervisor Gallagher, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, October* 24, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Shannon, Todd—3.

Application of Construction Company of North America to erect, maintain and operate and take tolls on a toll bridge over and across San Francisco Bay, commencing at a point in the City and County of San Francisco near the corner of First and Bryant streets on what is known as Rincon Hill, and running thence northeasterly 19.100 lineal feet, more or less, to the southerly line of Alameda Mole of the Southern Pacific Company; thence north by east to the intersection of Seventh and Cedar streets in the City of Oakland, County of Alameda.

The length of said bridge is to be 4½ miles, the width thereof approximately 78 feet.

Filed June 20, 1927.

On motion of Supervisor Gallagher, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, October* 24, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

A y e s — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Shannon, Todd—3.

Application of Roy C. Hackley for permission to construct a toll bridge across San Francisco Bay as follows:

Beginning at or near and within 200 feet of the intersection of the center line of Fremont street with the center line of Brannan street, in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and running north approimately 83 degrees east, 19,400 feet, more or less, to a point in the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California, located approximately 600 feet south of the south training wall of the Oakland Estuary.

The length of said bridge is to be approimately 19,400 feet and the width thereof is to be 60 feet, more or less.

Filed with Board of Supervisors Monday, June 27, 1927.

On motion of Supervisor Gallagher, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, October* 24, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

A y e s — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Shannon, Todd—3.

Application of City Planning and Building Company for a franchise and for authority to erect, construct, maintain and operate a submarine tunnel and the right, permission and authority to make tolls thereon for a period of fifty (50) years at the following location, to-wit:

Entering the ground at a point in the ground bounded by Fifth, Sixth, Bryant and Brannan streets, thence running underground easterly to a point on the northerly or northwest line of China Basin between Second and Third streets entering under the Bay at a depth of 45 feet below low tide, sinking gradually to a depth of 50 feet below low tide, proceeding easterly to within 700 feet south of Seventh street at the shore line in Oakland, Alameda County, California.

The length of said submarine tunnel will be 21,120 feet under the water; said submarine tunnel will, in its inside dimensions, be 50 feet high and 68 feet in etreme width; that the same will have three floors, the upper floor for passenger automobiles, the main or central floor for railroad passenger cars, the lowest floor for automotive and heavy traffic trucks. Said tube will be divided perpendicularly into two halves, a right and a left half.

Filed Monday, July 25, 1927.

On motion of Supervisor Gallagher, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, October* 24, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

A y e s — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Shannon, Todd—3.

Application of City Planning and Building Company for a franchise and for authority to erect, construct, maintain and operate a submarine tunnel and the right, permission and authority to make tolls thereon for a period of fifty (50) years at the following location, to-wit:

Entering the ground at a point in the block bounded by Sixth, Sevnth, Hubbel and Irving streets, running thence underground in an easterly direction to a point where an extension of Fifteenth street strikes the Bay very close to El Dorado street; thence entering the Bay 45 feet below the level of the lowest tide and sinking gradually to a depth of 50 feet below the level of the lowest tide. and proceeding in an easterly direction and emerging at a point within 700 feet of a point where the center line of Lincoln avenue intersects the waters of the Bay of San Francisco in Ala-

meda, Alameda County, State of California.

The length of said submarine tunnel to be 21,120 feet under water; said tunnel will be in its inside dimensions 50 feet high and 68 feet in extreme width; the same will have three floors, the upper floor for passenger automobiles and the main or central floor for railroad passenger cars, the lowest floor for automotive and heavy traffic trucks. Tube will be divided perpendicularly into two halves, a right and a left half.

Filed Monday, July 25, 1927.

On motion of Supervisor Gallagher, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, October* 24, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri Schmidt, Stanton—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Shannon, Todd—3.

Application of City Planning and Building Company for a franchise and for authority to erect, construct, maintain and operate a submarine tunnel and the right, permission and authority to make tolls thereon for a period of fifty (50) years at the following locations, to-wit:

Entering the Bay at a point where the extension of the Marina boulevard extended easterly meets the Bay at Buchanan street close to Tonquin street, at a depth of at least 45 feet below the level of low tide and sinking gradually to a depth of at least 50 feet below the level of low tide, running thence in a general northerly direction to a point one mile south of the Town of Sausalito, Marin County, State of California; said submarine tunnel or tube will have a general curve in an easterly direction; that the length of said submarine tunnel will be 3,200 feet under water. Its inside dimensions to be 50 feet high and 68 feet in extreme width; the same will have three floors, the upper floor for passenger automobiles, the main or central floor for railroad passenger cars, the lower floor for automotive and heavy traffic trucks. The tunnel or tube will de divided perpendicularly into two halves, a right and a left half.

Filed Monday, July 25, 1927.

On motion of Supervisor Gallagher, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, October* 24, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Shannon, Todd—3.

Application of John P. O'Connell for a franchise for a toll bridge between the City and County of San Francisco and the County of Alameda.

Beginning at or near and within three hundred (300) feet northerly or southerly of the intersection of the northerly line of Pier 34 with the seawall at about the foot of Brannan street, in the City and County of San Francisco, and extending thence about north seventy-seven and one-half (77½) degrees east (true) to a point immediately southwest of and adjacent to the Southern Pacific Alameda Mole, and about six hundred (600) yards, more or less, east by south from the westerly extremity of said Mole, and thence about south seventy-two (72) degrees east (true) to the intersection of said line with the bank or shore of San Francisco Bay at its most westerly intersection with said line, in the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California.

That the length of said bridge on said lines will be about seventeen thousand seven hundred (17,700) feet, more or less, on the first of said lines and seven thousand two hundred (7,200) feet, more or less, on the second of said lines, making about twenty-four thousand nine hundred (24,900), more or less, feet for the total length of said bridge, not including approaches; that the width of the roadway on said bridge will be one hundred (100) feet or such other width as the Board of Supervisors may direct and require under Section 2873 of the Political Code.

Filed August 15, 1927.

On motion of Supervisor Gallagher, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, October* 24, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Shannon, Todd—3.

Application of John P. O'Connell for a franchise for a toll bridge between the City and County of San Francisco and the County of Alameda.

Beginning at or near and within three hundred (300) feet northerly or southerly of the intersection of the northern line of Pier Forty-six

(46) with the seawall at about the foot of Berry street in the City and County of San Francisco and extending thence about north seventy-two (72) degrees east (true) along a straight line to a point immediately southwest of and adjacent to the Southern Pacific Alameda Mole, and about six hundred (600) yards, more or less, east by south from the westerly extremity of said Mole, and thence about south seventy-two (72) degrees east (true) to the intersection of said line with the bank or shore of San Francisco Bay at its most westerly intersection with said line, in the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California.

That the length of said bridge on said lines will be about eighteen thousand two hundred (18,200) feet, more or less, on the first of said lines and seven thousand two hundred (7,200) feet, more or less, on the second of said lines, making about twenty-five thousand four hundred (25,400), more or less, feet for the total length of said bridge, not including approaches; that the width of the roadway on said bridge will be one hundred (100) feet or such other width as the Board of Supervisors may direct and require under Section 2873 of the Political Code.

Filed August 15, 1927.

On motion of Supervisor Gallagher, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, October* 24, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Shannon, Todd—3.

Application of Transbay Development Corporation for a franchise to construct, maintain and operate and take tolls on a toll bridge over and across the Bay of San Francisco from the City and County of San Francisco to the cities of Oakland and Alameda, Alameda County.

The approximate location of said bridge is as follows: That said proposed toll bridge is to be located, constructed, operated and maintained across San Francisco Bay between Rincon Hill in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and the cities of Oakland and Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California, the termini in Oakland to be at or near the intersection of Seventh and Cedar streets and the foot of Peralta street, and in Alameda at a point on the mainland about five hundred feet southerly from the base of the Alameda Mole; the bridge proper to extend from a point at or near the intersection of Second and Harrison streets, San Francisco, in a generally northeasterly direction on a bearing about north seventy-eight degrees east (true) to a point about eighteen hundred feet inland from the outer end of the Alameda Mole and approximately five hundred feet southerly from the center line of the double track of the Southern Pacific Company; the approaches on the eastern shore to extend from the point last mentioned generally parallel to and about five hundred feet distant from and on the southerly side of the double track of the Southern Pacific Company; on said mole to the mainland in the City of Alameda, and with branches commencing at a point approximately thirty-two hundred feet from the point of beginning of the approaches and running to Seventh and Cedar streets and the foot of Peralta street in the City of Oakland; the approaches on the San Francisco side to be in continuation of the bridge proper from Second and Harrison streets or that vicinity, along Harrison, Fremont, Tehama, Seventh, Bryant and Second streets, and/or elsewhere as the public convenience may require; the length of the bridge proper to be approximately three and eight (3.8) tenths miles and of the bridge and approaches from five and three-quarters (5¾) miles to six and three-quarters (6¾) miles approximately; that the breadth of the proposed bridge is to be forty-two feet in the clear as a minimum, the actual breadth to be determined according to best engineering practice to conform to the length of spans demanded by foundation conditions and by the requirements of the War Department.

Filed September 27, 1927.

On motion of Supervisor Gallagher, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, October* 24, 1927, *at* 2 *p. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Shannon, Todd—3.

Communication from War Department.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

War Department, Washington.

In reply refer to E. D. 6371 (San Fran. (City-Co.) Alameda Co.—San Fran. B.—San Fran.-Oakland—65/2.

October 11, 1927.

Honorable James B. McSheehy, Chairman of Delegation from the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, San Francisco, Calif.

Dear Mr. McSheehy:

Careful consideration has been given to the request orally presented by you and your associates of the delegation from the San Francisco Board of Supervisors in the office of the Chief of Engineers on June 21, 1927, that Department authority be given for the construction of a bridge over San Francisco Bay.

It is noted that the presentation was largely directed toward showing the extent of local sentiment favoring a bridge, and that but little attention was given to the effects on navigation of a crossing at any of the sites proposed.

These effects, however, are the features of the matter which the Federal Government must specially consider. Their importance, both locally and nationally, must be recognized in any thorough and comprehensive study of the subject.

San Francisco Bay is at present the most important harbor on the Pacific Coast. The growing importance of the Pacific Ocean makes the preservation of this harbor a matter of the highest concern to the National Government. Its value for commercial purposes as well as for national defense requires that nothing be done to impair its full usefulness. It may be compared to the harbor of New York on the Atlantic Coast. At New York the means of crossing the vital parts of the harbor toward the mainland have been provided by tunnels and ferries and not by bridges.

The existing policy as to the bridging of San Francisco Bay is intended to protect this harbor from serious injury and preserve it for future use. The way is left open for the construction of tunnels, and for bridges in those locations where the usefulness of the harbor will not be greatly impaired. The communications between San Francisco and the east side of the Bay can be improved without obstructing the harbor with a bridge in a location where its presence will be a constant menace to navigation and a handicap to national defense. The objections on the latter ground are brought out in a letter from the Secretary of the Navy under date of July 13, 1927, copy herewith.

Extensive growth is expected on the Pacific Coast and the country tributary thereto. The number of potentially great harbors on the Pacific Coast is limited and the distances between them are large. San Francisco must be looked on therefore as a potentially great national harbor.

Any doubt as to whether a bridge would interfere with the proper development of navigation in this port should be resolved in favor of the national interest of navigation rather than the advantage to local highway traffic. The Department is ready to further any improvement in communications which will not conflict with the major duty of preserving the navigable capacity of San Francisco Bay for the future needs of the nation.

Under the existing policy plans can be approved for a bridge from Hunters Point to Alameda, only 4 miles south of the site proposed. Such a crossing would save a great distance as compared with the present land route at the southern end of the Bay, and would undoubtedly reduce the congestion on the ferries.

In view of this situation, plans for a bridge north of the Hunters Point-Alameda line will not meet with approval.

Yours very truly,

DWIGHT F. DAVIS,
Secretary of War.

The Clerk: Do you want the accompanying communication read?

Supervisor Stanton: Let us hear it.

The Clerk: Under date of July 13, 1927. (Reading.)

Department of the Navy.
Office of the Secretary.
Washington, July 13, 1927.

My dear Mr. Secretary:

Receipt is acknowledged of your letter 6371 of 1 July, 1927, requesting information as to whether the Navy Department has changed its view as expressed in our letter of 7 July, 1926, concerning the construction of a bridge across San Francisco Bay and with particular reference to the project submitted by the Board of Supervisors of San Francisco for a bridge from Rincon Hill, San Francisco, to Alameda Mole.

The representatives of the Board of Supervisors and other representatives of San Francisco were accorded an informal hearing by the Secretary of the Navy on the 22d ultimo and presented their case

for a modification of the existing policy to permit the construction of the bridge in "Location No. 1," as recommended by the Board of Engineers which made the investigation for the City of San Francisco. This Department has considered carefully the statements made at that time and has also considered the record of the informal hearing held before the Chief of Engineers, War Department, on the same subject on 21 June. This Department recognizes that a demand exists in San Francisco from a large number of its citizens and from citizens of the other cities on the Bay for improved transit facilities and the Navy Department is desirous of meeting this demand if it can be done without interfering seriously with the national defense.

From the record of the informal hearing held by the War Department, it appears to the Secretary of the Navy that the proponents of the transbay bridge who appeared before your department are not fully aware of the necessities of the fleet in time of national emergency and that they lack a full understanding of the importance of a large, unobstructed harbor for fleet operations in time of war. The Navy Department is charged with the preparation of the fleet for war and would be derelict in its duty if it consented to any action on the part of any city that would curtail the mobility of the fleet or unduly restrict its readiness to meet any emergency.

San Francisco Bay is, from its natural advantages and its location at the center of our Pacific Coast, certain to be the major continental fleet base for any extensive campaign in the Pacific. It will be the point where the fleet will concentrate at the beginning of a war and will be the base for most of the services of supply for the fleet operating in the Pacific. The present area set aside in San Francisco Bay as a naval anchorage is approximately 4½ square miles in extent and in this area there are berths for 69 large ships or for 268 small ships, such as destroyers. There are at present on the navy list 18 battleships, 10 light cruisers 1st line, 11 cruisers 2d line, 11 light cruisers 2d line, 1 aircraft carrier 2d line, 4 mine layers 2d line, 9 destroyer tenders, 9 submarine tenders, 1 aircraft tender, 3 repair ships, 5 store ships, 5 colliers, 19 oilers, 2 ammunition ships, 6 cargo ships, 2 transports, 3 hospital ships, a total of 119 ships, which will require large ship berths. In addition there are 284 destroyers, 121 submarines and more than 100 more small vessels such as gunboats and mine sweepers, etc., which could occupy the small ship berths. While all of these vessels would not be in San Francisco Bay at one time, there would be many of them, as well as a large number of other ships not at present on the navy list that would be required for fleet service. Up to the present time no fleet concentration of this magnitude has been undertaken, but in the event of a major war it would be necessary to exert the full power of the United States fleet on an enemy, and the present assigned anchorages in San Francisco Bay would be far from adequate to take care of the combatant ships. The fleet and its auxiliaries would occupy all available anchorages within the entire Bay, not only the present naval anchorage but the areas north and south of it. A bridge across San Francisco Bay in the location proposed by the Board of Engineers would cut directly across that part of the harbor most suitable for anchoring capital ships and would necessitate a large part of the fleet anchoring below the bridge, with the inconvenience and danger that would arise in passing between the piers and under the bridge. The proposed height of 150 feet above mean low water would require that every vessel, except the very small ones, house topmasts, and thus put radio sets out of commission each time the bridge is passed. For peace operations this would be an inconvenience, and in time of war, when communication with all ships might be vital, it would make the bridge most objectionable from the naval point of view.

The proponents of the bridge have stated that the Navy Department has not objected to the bridges across the East River, whcih have a clear height of 135 feet. Conditions there are quite different. The fleet anchorage in New York harbor is in the North River, access to which is not impeded by any bridge. The bridges over the East River have to be passed only by ships going to and from the New York Navy Yard at times when they are either about to undergo repairs or have just completed them. The Navy Department would certainly object to a bridge across the Narrows of New York harbor, which would require all ships coming in and out to house topmasts.

The proposed San Francisco bridge has two spans of 1150 feet

each, center to center of piers, and a large number of shorter spans on the Alameda side of the present naval anchorage. Each pier of this bridge becomes a menace to navigation. Each will have to be large and solid to support the weight of the bridge and thus each will be a rocky island that must be avoided in clear weather as well as in the frequent fogs that blanket the Bay. The strong currents and high winds in the Bay add to the danger of collision with the piers with consequent damage to ships and to the bridge.

Another factor which must be considered in connection with the proposed bridge is the danger that heavy ships may drag their anchors while anchored above or below the bridge and drift down on the bridge piers. There have been many occasions in the past when anchors have failed to hold in San Francisco Bay during heavy blows. A 35,000-ton battleship dragging down on a pier foundation would suffer considerable damage and might destroy a section of the bridge.

The large number of ferries running across from San Francisco to Alameda, Berkeley, Oakland and the other Bay cities do interfere with the movements of merchant vessels and naval vessels entering the harbor and it is recognized that there is a danger of collision always existing in foggy weather. The bridge would reduce the ferry traffic but would substitute for the movable obstructions a group of fixed, permanent, solid dangers to shipping moving in the Bay.

The erection of the proposed bridge would interfere seriously with access to the dry dock at Hunters Point, which is the only one south of Puget Sound available for large commercial and naval vessels. While this Department has no intention of criticizing the plan of the proposed bridge in detail, it appears that a bascule or lift span with a horizontal width of 200 feet, center to center of piers, would be of no value to ships like the S. S. Leviathan, America, George Washington, or U. S. S. California and West Virginia. Two hundred feet between bridge pier centers would allow much less clear width than two hundred feet and it is doubted if any ships of this size would attempt to go through the draw.

A serious objection to the bridge in the proposed location has been brought to your attention in previous correspondence. During the war the wrecking of such a structure by bombs or by an act of nature might completely bottle up such naval force and commercial shipping as were in the harbor south of the bridge and thus prevent ingress to and egress from that important part of the harbor.

The Navy Department considers that the requirements of national defense are such that the erection of a bridge in the site proposed by the Board of Engineers would interfere seriously with the operations of the fleet and, therefore, considers that the view expressed in the Navy Department letter of 7 July, 1926, has not been changed and recommends that the War Department adhere to its policy as expressed on 7 October, 1921.

Sincerely yours,
(Sgd.) CURTIS D. WILBUR,
Secretary of the Navy.

The Mayor: What is your pleasure?

Supervisor McSheehy: You have heard the reading of the letters, members of the Board, and I would move at this time that we hold this meeting of the Special Bridge Committee in the Mayor's office, Friday morning, October 21, 1927, at 10 o'clock, to answer these communications.

Supervisor Havenner: Consider them.

Supervisor McSheehy: Consider them would be a better word.

Supervisor Gallagher: Do I understand that that would be shaping yourself up for whatever future action would be taken?

Supervisor McSheehy: Yes.

Supervisor Gallagher: If Mr. McSheehy would permit me this thought: I want to say that I think that whoever, and I suppose it will be your Bridge Committee, has to undertake the job of securing for San Francisco what it ought to have in the matter of bridge location, as decided upon by the Board, you have got a very large task ahead of you, and in view of the fact that Congress meets in December, if that is where you have to go, and it looks like it, I want to say, as one member of the Board, that I want to, wholeheartedly and enthusiastically, get behind your efforts, and help them along in whatever humble way I can as a member of the Board, but I do ask for as expeditious action as is possible, in view of the struggle I presume that necessarily confronts us. A little bit later in this meeting I am going to submit a proposed telegram that affects the jurisdiction of the War Department, if it is found advisable to do it. And I am glad to see that Mr. McSheehy is calling a meeting,

and I think you ought to try with your colleagues to be ready Friday, with not too much haste, it is true, but you ought to try to be ready Friday with a recommended program of future action on the bridge proposition, to be dealt with by your conference and to be dealt with officially by the Board as soon as possible. If you are going to Congress with this fight—and it looks as if you will have to go to Congress—we will have to get ready pretty quickly, and, as I say, my attitude is to be wholeheartedly behind whatever your committee has laid out to do.

Supervisor McSheehy: I would ask that we hold a meeting, and I will have the Clerk notify all of the members, in your office Mr. Mayor, 10 o'clock Friday morning, to consider the communications.

Supervisor Byington: Any member of the Board may be present at the meeting?

Supervisor McSheehy: O course, Supervisor, by all means.

Supervisor Havenner: I would like to move at this time that the City Attorney be requested to prepare and submit to this Board, if possible during the present month or as soon thereafter as is feasible, a draft of the proposed bill to be submitted to Congress, as has already been authorized.

Supervisor Gallagher: I will second that.

The Mayor: All in favor will please say "aye."

Supervisor Stanton: What is that?

The Mayor: The City Attorney is directed to prepare a bill to be submitted to Congress.

Supervisor Havenner: That he be requested to prepare that draft, if possible, during the present month or as soon thereafter as may be.

Supervisor Stanton: I want to object to that.

The Mayor: There is a motion before the Board that the City Attoroney be requested to prepare a bill, as early as possible, to be submitted to your Committee, so that you can consider it and have it ready for presentation to Congress when Congress convenes.

Supervisor McSheehy: I will gladly second that.

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Roncovieri—9.

No—Supervisor Stanton—1.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Colman, Hayden, Marks, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Todd—8.

Supervisor Stanton: I object to that because I think it is an unnecessary delay. It is a dead certainty that you will never get any action at this Congress. I tell just as firm today as I felt when I stood on the floor before many times and told you that the War Department, in my opinion, would never permit a bridge this side of Hunters Point. And from listening to the reading of the communications they are almost identical to the things that I have told the Board of Supervisors. The reasons that I gave the Board of Supervisors for thinking that they would not permit a bridge this side of Hunters Point. I feel that they are firm in their action.

Motion.

Supervisor Havenner: I would move that the Bridge Committee consider the plan followed by the organization of the Panama Pacific International Exposition Company with reference to the use of the same plan for the construction of a bay bridge and confer with public-spirited citizens here and across the Bay and report back to this Board in the very near future the feasibility of same.

Motion *carried*.

Motion.

Supervisor Gallagher: I would move you, Mr. Mayor, that the following telegram be sent to the Secretary of War:

"Regarding your last week's announcement of attitude towards San Francisco Bay Bridge proposition, we submit following requests and information as to basis of same. Submitted to our electorate for determination on the eighth proximo are two questions relative bridges intelligent action on which depends on further information here sought that we may act advisedly in our further steps.

"Please advise us in some detail as to the extent of the authority in the premises exercised by you and particularly what changes in Federal statute have extended the Departmental powers since Chief Justice Taft, then Secretary of War, with the weight of almost contemporaneous interpretation of the 1899 enactment decided in 1905 in an almost identical situation in the city of Boston that those were not broad enough to permit your department to prohibit a bridge on a single state water, but that such was for the determination of the competent local authorities, and so acted."

Amendment.

Supervisor McSheehy moved as an amendment that the proposed

telegram be referred to the Special Bridge Committee.

So ordered.

Taxicab Stand Permits Approved.

Supervisor Schmidt presented:

Resolution No. 27940 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following permits for taxicab stands are hereby approved:

Wm. F. Hendricks, 691 Broadway.

Louis E. Hendricks, 684 Broadway.

Red Top Cab Company, 486 Ellis street.

White Top Cab Company, 52 Grant avenue.

Yellow-Checker Cab Company, 2293 Mission street.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Harrelson, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent — Supervisor Roncovieri —1.

Employment of Ernest Davis, Symphony Artist.

Supervisor Havenner presented:

Resolution No. 27941 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors, upon the recommendation of the Auditorium Committee, authorize his Honor the Mayor to enter into contract with Ernest Davis, or his representative, for his service as soloist on the evening of December 8, 1927, at a concert to be given by the San Francisco Symphony Orchestra pursuant to the provisions of Paragraph 1 of Resolution No. 27535 (New Series), and providing that said Ernest Davis, or his representative, be paid the fee of $750 for his services.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Harrelson, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent — Supervisor Roncovieri —1.

Leave of Absence, Alfred Ehrman.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

San Francisco, Cal.,
October 10, 1927.

Hon. Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen:

Application has been made to me by Hon. Alfred Ehrman, member of the Board of Fire Commissioners, for a sixty-day extension of his leave of absence with permission to leave the State of California, dating from October 23, 1927.

I hereby request that you concur with me in granting such leave of absence.

Yours very truly,
JAMES ROLPH, JR.,
Mayor.

Whereupon, the following resolution was presented and *adopted* by the following vote:

Resolution No. 27942 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Hon. Alfred Ehrman, member of the Board of Fire Commissioners, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of sixty days, commencing October 23, 1927, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Roncovieri —1.

Leave of Absence, Lawrence Arnstein.

The following was read by the Clerk:

October 6, 1927.

Honorable James Rolph, Jr., Mayor City and County of San Francisco, California.

Dear Sir:

In accordance with the provisions of Section 3, Article XVI of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, I herewith respectfully request permission to absent myself from the City and County of San Francisco and the State of California for a period of two weeks from October 7, 1927.

In conformity with Charter provisions I have this day forwarded a similar communication to the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Yours very truly,
LAWRENCE ARNSTEIN,
Member Board of Health.

San Francisco, Cal.,
October 13, 1927.

Honorable Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco, California.

Gentlemen:

Application has been made to me by Mr. Lawrence Arnstein for a leave of absence, with permission to leave the State of California, for a period of two weeks, commencing October 7, 1927.

I hereby request that you concur

with me in granting said leave of absence.

Very truly yours,
JAMES ROLPH, JR.,
Mayor.

Whereupon, the following resolution was presented and *adopted* by the following vote:

Resolution No. 27943 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Hon. Lawrence Arnstein, member of the Board of Health, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of two weeks from October 7, 1927, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Roncovieri —1.

Leave of Absence, Health Officer Wm. C. Hassler.

October 11, 1927.

Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco, California.

Gentlemen:

In accordance with the provisions of Section 3, Atricle XVI of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, I herewith respectfully request permission to absent myself from the City and County of San Francisco and the State of California for a period of 30 days from October 12, 1927, for the purpose of attending as a representative of the City of San Francisco the 56th annual meeting of the American Public Health Association, to be held in the City of Cincinnati, Ohio, during the month of October, 1927.

In conformity with the Charter provisions, I have this day forwarded a similar communication to his Honor James Rolph Jr., Mayor, City and County of San Francisco.

Very truly yours,
WM. C. HASSLER,
Health Officer.

San Francisco, Cal.,
October 13, 1927.

Honorable Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco, California.

Gentlemen:

Application has been made to me by Dr. Wm. C. Hassler, member of the Board of Health, for a leave of absence with permission to leave the State of California for a period of thirty days, commencing October 12, 1927.

I hereby request that you concur with me in granting said leave of absence.

Very truly yours,
JAMES ROLPH, JR.,
Mayor.

Whereupon, the following resolution was presented and *adopted* by the following vote:

Resolution No. 27944 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Dr. William C. Hassler, Health Officer, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of thirty days, commencing October 12, 1927, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Roncovieri —1.

PRESENTATION OF PROPOSALS.

Bernal Cut Bonds.

Proposals for the purchase of certain bonds of the City of San Francisco, State of California, were received by the Board of Supervisors up to the hour of 3 o'clock p. m., and opened by said Board at said time.

The bonds offered are described as follows:

Seven hundred thousand dollars four and one-half per cent Bernal Cut Bonds, Issue of July 1, 1927, comprising 35 bonds of $1,000 denomination, maturing each year from 1932 to 1951, inclusive.

The said described bonds bear interest at the rate of four and one-half per centum per annum, payable semi-annually, and shall not be sold at a price less than the par value thereof, together with accrued interest thereon at date of delivery.

Bidders may bid for the whole or any part of the bonds here offered, and when a less amount than the whole amount offered is bid on, the bidder shall state the year or years of maturity thereof.

The bonds offered are tax exempt, State and Federal.

Delivery of the bonds to the purchaser will be made within ten days from the date of award or within such time thereafter as may be agreed upon by the purchaser and Finance Committee of the Board of Supervisors.

All proposals for the purchase of such bonds shall be accompanied by a deposit of five per cent of the amount bid, in lawful money of the

United States, or by a deposit of certified check, payable to J. S. Dunnigan, Clerk of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County, for a like sum, provided that no deposit need exceed the sum of $10,000 and that no deposit need be given by the State of California, which money or check shall be forfeited by the bidder in case he fails to accept and pay for the bond bid for by him if his bid is accepted.

Bids.

The following proposals were received and *referred to the Finance Committee*:

(1) Anglo London Paris Co. for Anglo London Paris Co, Bank of Italy, First National Bank of New York, Eldredge & Co., Kissel, Kinnicut & Co., Redmond & Co., The Detroit Co., $722,613.

(2) Phelps, Fenn & Co., Anglo-California Trust Co, $724,528.

(3) Blyth, Witter & Co., Crocker First National Bank, $724,113.

(4) Harris Trust & Savings Bank, $723,219.

(5) Stone & Webster & Blodgett, Inc., $724,871.

(6) A. B. Leach & Co., Ames, Emerich & Co., Hill, Joiner & Co., Schwabacher & Co., E. R. Gundelfinger, Inc., $721,070.

(7) Bond & Goodwin & Tucker, Inc., E. H. Rollins & Sons, Wm. Cavalier & Co., by Bond & Goodwin & Tucker, Inc., $723,107.

(8) Morris Mather & Co., New York City, and Bickell, Tietjen & Co., San Francisco, premium of $19,383 on $700,000 issue.

(9) Howe, Snow & Co., $721,623.

(10) Bankers Trust Co., Peirce, Fair & Co., $726,039.30.

(11) Dean Witter & Co., Heller, Bruce & Co., Wells Fargo Bank & Union Trust Co., Wells, Dickey & Co., by Dean Witter & Co., $725,309.

(12) R. H. Moulton & Co., syndicate managers, $722,194.

(13) The National City Co., $721,769.30.

(14) Guaranty Co. and Remick, Hodges & Co., New York, by Canadian Bank of Commerce, San Francisco, $723,233.

(Prior to the opening of the foregoing bids a representative of Harris Trust and Savings Bank identified his bid and requested permission to correct it. No objection being offered it was so ordered.)

Hetch Hetchy Power Transmission Line Statement to Voters.

Supervisor Havenner presented the following statement, which, on his motion, was *ordered sent to the Registrar of Voters for distribution with sample ballots*:

"Bring Hetch Hetchy power into San Francisco and let the people use it. Votes Yes on Number 3.

To the Voters of San Francisco:

The bond issue for $2,000,000 to finish the "missing link" in the City's Hetch Hetchy transmission line, will give the people a proper market for their own power supply.

As long as the City's unfinished line halts at Newark, as at present, Hetch Hetchy Power must go unused or else be sold to the Pacific Gas and Electric Company. Being the only possible ouyer at Newark the Pacific Gas and Electric Company can and does dictate the price and the terms. The City is helpless.

Finish the line—bring it on into the City itself, as was the original intention—and the people are given a chance against the power monopoly and its costly rates.

The people's power system is located in the Sierra Nevada mountains. When it became known that the City Engineer planned to run the transmission line only to Newark—where the "P. G. and E." had just built a new substation—a wave of indignation swept San Francisco.

This bond issue is the people's first opportunity to correct the bad condition that was then created.

It is because the line is unfinished that the city is receiving only $2,000,000 a year for a huge power output which the Pacific Gas and Electric Company transmits but forty miles and then sells to our people for little less than $9,000,000 a year.

Completion of our own transmission line is the essential first step that must be made before this foolish and unsound arrangement can be remedied.

The original Hetch Hetchy plans which this city laid before Congress involved a complete transmission line into the city, not one that halts at a private power station.

And Congress never intended that the waters of Yosemite National Park should be turned into a generating source for any private corporation. The grant was made expressly to the people of this city, for their own beneficial use.

As long as we remain in our present position—which is indefensible in principle as well as financially—we are open to just such criticisms as have been made recently from Federal sources, which are rightly dissatisfied with the uses to which we are putting the Hetch Hetchy grant.

That private power interests would like to see this grant forfeited and leased to themselves, is obvious.

Completion of the transmission line, in conformity with the city's original program, ought not to be delayed.

Opposition to this relatively small bond issue comes from power company sources, which do not want the city enabled to compete with them in the electrical fields. No sooner had the people of Los Angeles voted to bring their own power into their city, than the private companies slashed rates 12 per cent. It is the presence of the Municipal Railways in San Francisco that keeps carfares to five cents on the private lines.

Similar benefits may be expected to follow through the decision of San Francisco's voters to carry the Hetch Hetchy power line to its proper destination and make the current ready for use.

The question is raised as to what will be done with the power when the line is finished and the step-down station ready.

If this power is worth $2,000,000 a year at Newark, it is worth considerably more in San Francisco with other users available. The gradual diversion of the city's power supply to municipal functions is also made possible and will occur as a natural and gradual consequence.

Hetch Hetchy power belongs to the city and the public, not to any private corporation.

Only by completing the public transmission line can the city and the public make the rightful and profitable use of the power plant which their moneys have constructed.

CITIZEN'S CAMPAIGN COMMITTEE APPOINTED BY THE MAYOR AND BOARD OF SUPERVISORS.

HENRY F. BOYEN,
Chairman.

Vote Yes on Number 3."

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Cabinet Shop Permit.

On motion of Supervisor Deasy:

Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Royal Mill and Cabinet Shop be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate a cabinet shop on Keith street between Yosemite and Armstrong avenues.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Amending Zoning Ordinance, Eighteenth, Mariposa, Wisconsin and Carolina Streets.

On motion of Supervisor Hayden:

Bill No. 8256, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings, and the locations of buildings designed for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Section 9 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place the block bounded by Eighteenth street, Mariposa street, Carolina street and Wisconsin street in the Light Industrial District instead of the Second Residential District.

General Contractors to File List of Subcontractors in the Interest of Local Industry.

Supervisor Gallagher presented:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, there is a rule by the Board of Public Works that when a contract is let by said Board to a general contractor, that said general contractor shall, within a specified time, file with the Board of Public Works a list of proposed subcontractors on any job on which he has been the successful bidder.

And whereas, this rule has been constantly violated and led to abuse and bad practice, to-wit: that said general contractors have used the violation of this rule to peddle subcontracts in various places to the detriment and against the best interests of local business firms;

And whereas, in the case of the mill owners who have their factories in San Francisco this practice has resulted in over seventy-five per cent of the mill work of these school buildings and others being let to factories outside of San Francisco;

And whereas, the Charter expressly states that, other things being equal, a mandate that home industry shall be preferred in the mat-

ter of work being done by and for the City and County of San Francisco;

And whereas, fifty per cent of the mill work in the buildings constructed by the Board of Public Works is for labor and it is obvious that local labor should be employed on said work; wherefore, be it

Resolved, by the Board of Directors of the Southern Promotion Association in a regular meeting assembled this 22d day of September, 1927, that we request:

First: That a ruling requiring general contractors to file a proposed list of subcontractors within a specified time with the Board of Public Works be changed to read "that before a general contract is awarded to the general contractor, that a list of his subcontractors be filed with the Board of Public Works," and that this ruling then be enforced to the letter.

Second: That the Board of Public Works order that, in so far as it is possible and with no equivocation, all mill work be awarded to factories within the limits of the City and County of San Francisco.

Third: That the mill work under the supervision of the Board of Public Works be segregated from the rest of the work and that said bids on said work be taken separately. And be it

Further Resolved, That the Bureau of Architecture of the Board of Public Works be instructed to allow no deviation from the above unless by special permission of the Board of Public Works in regular meeting assembled and all the interested parties be notified.

Referred to Public Buildings Committee.

Death of John P. Horgan, Chief Building Inspector.

Supervisor Deasy presented:

Resolution No. 27945 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, in the death of John P. Horgan, the Chief Building Inspector of the City and County of San Francisco, the City has lost the service of one of its most faithful, competent and efficient employees, who for more than twenty-seven years has filled a position of the highest responsibility in such a manner as to merit the commendation of everyone brought in contact with his office and win the esteem of all with whom he has been associated; and

Whereas, his kindly disposition, his affectionate nature and the sterling integrity that characterized his every action as a public official and citizen won him the regard, the esteem and the love of all whom knew him as boy, as man and as husband and father; therefore, be it

Resolved, That we, the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, deeply deplore the loss which our City has suffered in his death, that we recall with pride his faithful services as a public official and his high integrity as a man and extend to his family our heartfelt sympathy, and that when this Board adjourns it does so out of respect to his memory.

Unanimously adopted by rising vote.

Masquerade Ball Permit.

Supervisor Schmidt presented:

Resolution No. 27946 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That permission is hereby granted the Samuel L. Shortridge Non-Partisan League of the State of California to conduct a masquerade ball in Polk Hall of the Civic Auditorium Monday evening, November 7, 1927, during usual hours, upon payment of the usual license fee.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent — Supervisor Roncovieri —1.

ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business the Board at 6:15 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors December 5, 1927.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated, and approved as above recited.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors.
City and County of San Francisco.

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Vol. 22—New Series No. 43

Monday, October 24, 1927

Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
337 Bush Street, S. F.

JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, OCTOBER 24, 1927, 10 A. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, October 24, 1927, 10 a. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—14.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Kent, Marks, Roncovieri—4.

Quorum present.

His Honor Mayor Rolph presided.

APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the meeting of June 13, 1927, was considered read and approved.

ROLL CALL FOR PETITIONS FROM MEMBERS.

Marina Motor Bus.

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Petition, of John Bermingham, Jr., and sixty-six others, residents of northern portion of the Marina District, for a "clean and reasonably easy-riding motor bus for the Marina District.

Referred to the Public Utilities Committee.

Protest, Set-Back Lines.

Protest, of E. Dowling, against establishment of set-back lines on Twenty-eighth and Twenty-ninth avenues between Fulton and Cabrillo streets.

Referred to the City Planning Committee.

SET-BACK LINE HEARINGS, 11 A. M.

Hearing of objections to establishment of set-back lines along portions of Fourteenth and Twenty-ninth avenues.

Supervisor Hayden asked that Twenty-ninth and Thirtieth avenues be rereferred to committee.

So ordered.

Whereupon, the following bill was presented and *passed for printing*:

Bill No. 8257, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Establishing set-back lines along portions of Fourteenth avenue.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby recited that on the 3rd day of October, 1927, the Board of Supervisors adopted Resolution of Intention No. 160, to establish set-back lines along Fourteenth avenue, and fixed the 24th day of October, 1927, at 11 o'clock a. m., at the chambers of the Board of Supervisors, as the time and place for hearing objections thereto; that thereafter and more than ten days prior to said hearing the said resolution was published and notices of the passage of said resolution were posted along the line of said streets, in time, form and manner required by Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series; that said hearing was held at the time and place aforesaid, and that all objections made at said hearing have been properly overruled.

Section 2. Pursuant to the foregoing recitals, and in accordance with the provisions of said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), set-back lines are hereby established as follows:

Along the easterly side of Fourteenth avenue, commencing at a point 50 feet southerly from Ulloa street and running thence southerly 50 feet, said set-back line to be 15 feet.

As shown on the maps filed in the office of the Board of Supervisors, and to which reference is hereby made for further particulars.

Section 3. No structures shall be erected in the area between said set-back lines and the street lines, except as provided in said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), and the penalties for the violation of this ordinance shall be as fixed by the ordinance aforesaid.

Hearing of objections to establishment of set-back lines along portions of Twenty-eighth, Thirty-second, Thirty-third and Thirty-fifth avenues.

Supervisor Hayden requested that Twenty-eighth avenue be rereferred to committee.

So ordered.

Whereupon, the following bill was presented and *passed for printing*:

Bill No. 8258, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Establishing set-back lines along portions of Thirty-second, Thirty-third and Thirty-fifth avenues.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby recited that on the 3rd day of October, 1927, the Board of Supervisors adopted Resolution of Intention No. 161, to establish set-back lines along Thirty-second, Thirty-third and Thirty-fifth avenues, and fixed the 24th day of October, 1927, at 11 o'clock a. m., at the chambers of the Board of Supervisors, as the time and place for hearing objections thereto; that thereafter and more than ten days prior to said hearing the said resolution was published and notices of the passage of said resolution were posted along the line of said streets, in time, form and manner required by Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series); that said hearing was held at the time and place aforesaid, and that all objections made at said hearing have been properly overruled.

Section 2. Pursuant to the foregoing recitals, and in accordance with the provisions of said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), set-back lines are hereby established as follows:

Along both sides of Thirty-second avenue, commencing at points 75 feet northerly from Santiago street and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, set set-back lines to be 6 feet; thence northerly to Rivera street, said set-back lines to be 9 feet.

Along the westerly side of Thirty-hird avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Santiago street and running thence northerly 37 feet 9 inches, said set-back line to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly to Rivera street, said set-back line to be 9 feet; along the easterly side of Thirty-third avenue, commencing at a point 75 feet northerly from Santiago street and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly to Rivera street, said set-back line to be 9 feet.

Along both sides of Thirty-fifth avenue, commencing at points 100 feet northerly from Santiago street and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 6 feet; thence northerly to Rivera street, said set-back lines to be 9 feet.

As shown on the maps filed in the office of the Board of Supervisors, and to which reference is hereby made for further particulars.

Section 3. No structures shall be erected in the area between said set-back lines and the street lines, except as provided in said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), and the penalties for the violation of this ordinance shall be as fixed by the ordinance aforesaid.

Hearing of objections to establishment of set-back lines along portions of Thirty-fourth, Thirty-sixth and Thirty-seventh avenues.

No objection being offered, the following bill was presented and *passed for printing*:

Bill No. 8259, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Establishing set-back lines along portions of Thirty-fourth, Thirty-sixth and Thirty-seventh avenues.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby recited that on the 3rd day of October, 1927, the Board of Supervisors adopted Resolution of Intention No. 162, to establish set-back lines along Thirty-fourth, Thirty-sixth and Thirty-seventh avenues, and fixed the 24th day of October, 1927, at 11 o'clock a. m., at the chambers of the Board of Supervisors, as the time and place for hearing objections thereto; that thereafter and more than ten days prior to said hearing the said resolution was published and notices of the passage of said resolution were posted along the line of said streets, in time, form and manner required by Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series); that said hearing was held at the time and place aforesaid, and that all objections made at said hearing have been properly overruled.

Section 2. Pursuant to the foregoing recitals and in accordance with the provisions of said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), set-back lines are hereby established as follows:

Along the westerly side of Thirty-fourth avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Santiago street and running thence northerly 29 feet 3 inches, said set-back line to be 3 feet; thence northerly 29 feet 3 inches, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly to Rivera street, said set-back line to

be 9 feet; along the easterly side of Thirty-fourth avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from santiago street and running thence northerly 26 feet 10½ inches, said set-back line to be 3 feet; thence northerly 26 feet 10½ inches, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly to Rivera street, said set-back line to be 9 feet.

Along the westerly side of Thirty-sixth avenue between Rivera street and Santiago street, said set-back line to be 9 feet; along the easterly side of Thirty-sixth avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Santiago street and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly to Rivera street, said set-back line to be 9 feet.

Along the westerly side of Thirty-seventh avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Santiago street and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 300 feet, said set-back line to be 9 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; along the easterly side of Thirty-seventh avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Santiago street, said set-back line to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly to Rivera street, said set-back line to be 9 feet.

As shown on the maps filed in the office of the Board of Supervisors and to which reference is hereby made for further particulars.

Section 3. No structures shall be erected in the area between said set-back lines and the street lines except as provided in said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), and the penalties for the violation of this ordinance shall be as fixed by the ordinance aforesaid.

Hearing of objections to the establishment of set-back lines along portions of Thirty-eighth and Fortieth avenues.

No objection being offered the following bill was presented and *passed for printing*:

Bill No. 8260, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Establishing set-back lines along portions of Thirty-eighth and Fortieth avenues.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby recited that on the 3rd day of October, 1927, the Board of Supervisors adopted Resolution of Intention No. 163, to establish set-back lines along Thirty-eighth and Fortieth avenues, and fixed the 24th day of October, 1927, at 11 o'clock a. m., at the chambers of the Board of Supervisors, as the time and place for hearing objections thereto; that thereafter and more than ten days prior to said hearing the said resolution was published and notices of the passage of said resolution were posted along the line of said streets, in time, form and manner required by Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series); that said hearing was held at the time and place aforesaid, and that all objections made at said hearing have been properly overruled.

Section 2. Pursuant to the foregoing recitals and in accordance with the provisions of said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), set-back lines are hereby established as follows:

Along the westerly side of Thirty-eighth avenue, commencing at Santiago street and running thence northerly 450 feet, said set-back line to be 9 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; along the easterly side of Thirty-eighth avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Santiago street and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 300 feet, said set-back line to be 9 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet.

Along the westerly side of Fortieth avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Santiago street and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly to Rivera street, said set-back line to be 9 feet; along the easterly side of Fortieth avenue, commencing at Santiago street and running thence northerly 450 feet, said set-back line to be 9 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet.

As shown on the maps filed in the office of the Board of Supervisors and to which reference is hereby made for further particulas.

Section 3. No structures shall be erected in the area between said set-back lines and the street lines except as provided in said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), and the pen-

alties for the violation of this ordinance shall be as fixed by the ordinance aforesaid.

Hearing of objections to the establishment of set-back lines along portions of Forty-first, Forty-second and Forty-third avenues.

No objection being offered the following bill was presented and *passed for printing*:

Bill No. 8261, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Establishing set-back lines along portions of Forty-first, Forty-second and Forty-third avenues.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby recited that on the 3d day of October, 1927, the Board of Supervisors adopted Resolution of Intention No. 164, to establish set-back lines along Forty-first, Forty-second and Forty-third avenues, and fixed the 24th day of October, 1927, at 11 o'clock a. m., at the chambers of the Board of Supervisors, as the time and place for hearing objections thereto; that thereafter and more than ten days prior to said hearing the said resolution was published and notices of the passage of said resolution were posted along the line of said streets, in time, form and manner required by Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series); that said hearing was held at the time and place aforesaid, and that all objections made at said hearing have been properly overruled.

Section 2. Pursuant to the foregoing recitals and in accordance with the provisions of said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), set-back lines are hereby established as follows:

Along the westerly side of Forty-first avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Santiago street and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 300 feet, said set-back line to be 9 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; along the easterly side of Forty-first avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Santiago street and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly to Rivera street, said set-back line to be 9 feet.

Along both sides of Forty-second avenue, commencing at points 100 feet northerly from Santiago street and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 6 feet; thence northerly to Rivera street, said set back lines to be 9 feet.

Along the westerly side of Forty-third avenue between Rivera street and Santiago street, said set-back line to be 9 feet; along the easterly side of Forty-third avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Santiago street and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly to Rivera street, said set-back line to be 9 feet.

As shown on the maps filed in the office of the Board of Supervisors and to which reference is hereby made for further particulars.

Section 3. No structures shall be erected in the area between said set-back lines and the street lines except as provided in said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), and the penalties for the violation of this ordinance shall be as fixed by the ordinance aforesaid.

Hearing of objections to the establishment of set-back lines along portions of Teddy, Twenty-fifth and Twenty-eighth avenues.

Supervisor Hayden requested that Twenty-eighth avenue be rereferred to committee.

So ordred.

No objection being offered the following bill was presented and *passed for printing*:

Bill No. 8262, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Establishing set-back lines along portions of Teddy and Twenty-fifth avenues.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby recited that on the 3d day of October, 1927, the Board of Supervisors adopted Resolution of Intention No. 165, to establish set-back lines along Teddy and Twenty-fifth avenues, and fixed the 24th day of October, 1927 at 11 o'clock a. m., at the chambers of the Board of Supervisors, as the time and place for hearing objections thereto; that thereafter and more than ten days prior to said hearing the said resolution was published and notices of the passage of said resolution were posted along the line of said streets, in time, form and manner required by Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series); that said hearing was held at the time and place aforesaid, and

that all objections made at said hearing have been properly overruled.

Section 2. Pursuant to the foregoing recitals and in accordance with the provisions of said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), setback lines are hereby established as follows:

Along the southwesterly side of Teddy avenue between Delta street and Rutland street, said set-back line to be 12.5 feet.

Along the westerly side of Twenty-fifth avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Santiago street and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 300 feet, said setback line to be 9 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; along the easterly side of Twenty-fifth avenue between Rivera street and Santiago street, said set-back line to be 9 feet.

As shown on the maps filed in the office of the Board of Supervisors and to which reference is hereby made for further particulars.

Section 3. No structures shall be erected in the area between said set-back lines and the street lines except as provided in said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), and the penalties for the violation of this ordinance shall be as fixed by the ordinance aforesaid.

PRESENTATION OF PROPOSALS.

Motor-Driven Four-Wheel Tractors for Fire Department.

Sealed proposals were received and opened at the hour of 11 a. m. for furnishing motor-driven four-wheel tractors for Fire Department and *referred to the Supplies Committee.*

Motor-Driven Combined Pumping Engines and Hose Wagons for Fire Department.

Sealed proposals were received and opened at the hour of 11 a. m. for furnishing motor-driven combined pumping engines and hose wagons for Fire Department and *referred to the Supplies Committee.*

Hearing of Appeal, Twenty-eighth Street.

Hearing of appeal of property owners from the assessment issued by the Board of Public Works on August 18, 1927, for the improvement of Twenty-eighth street between Diamond and Castro streets.

Whereupon, the following resolution was presented and *adopted*:

Resolution No. 27988 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the appeal of property owners from the assessment issued by the Board of Public Works on August 18, 1927, for the improvement of Twenty-eighth street between Diamond and Castro streets is hereby sustained and the Board of Public Works is hereby directed to issue a new assessment.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Roncovieri—2.

UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

Final Passage.

The following matters, heretofore passed for printing, were taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Authorizations.

Resolution No. 27947 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1918.

(1) Burroughs Adding Machine Co., calculating machines, High School of Commerce (claim dated Oct. 4, 1927), $1,950.

Sunset Tunnel Assessment Fund.

(2) Leonard F. Youdall and R. G. Clifford (Youdall Construction Co.), sixteenth payment, construction of tunnel, etc., under Buena Vista Park (claim dated Oct. 4, 1927), $42,313.50.

Fire Department Land and Construction Fund.

(3) H. H. Larsen Co., second payment, general construction of Fire Dept. Engine House No. 18 (claim dated Oct. 5, 1927), $1,548.38.

Hetch Hetchy Power Operative Fund.

(4) Christenson Lumber Co., lumber for Moccasin houses (claim dated Sept. 30, 1927), $590.15.

(5) Pacific States Electric Co., water heaters, Demco panels, etc., Moccasin houses (claim dated Sept. 30, 1927), $589.05.

(6) Richards Hardwood Lumber Co., Inc., oak flooring, Moccasin houses (claim dated Sept. 30, 1927), $548.10.

(7) Westinghouse Electric and Mfg. Co., transformers and parts,

Moccasin Power House (claim dated Sept. 30, 1927), $608.26.

Hetch Hetchy Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1925.

For Construction of Hetch Hetchy Water Supply.

(8) City Coal Co., coal (claim dated Oct. 5, 1927), $640.

(9) Hercules Powder Co., explosives (claim dated Oct. 5, 1927), $12,636.56.

(10) Santa Fe Lumber Co., lumber (claim dated Oct. 5, 1927), $2,481.20.

(11) Santa Cruz Portland Cement Co., cement (claim dated Oct. 5, 1927), $1,214.50.

(12) Soule Steel Co., reinforcing steel (claim dated Oct. 5, 1927), $543.91.

(13) Bethlehem Shipbuilding Corporation, Ltd., six crankshafts (claim dated Oct. 4, 1927), $516.

(14) A. M. Castle & Co., galvanized sheet iron, etc. (claim dated Sept. 30, 1927), $1,224.09.

(15) Grinnell Company of the Pacific, black pipe (claim dated Oct. 4, 1927), $20,851.62.

(16) Hill & Morton, Inc., lumber (claim dated Oct. 4, 1927), $3,076.30.

(17) Ingersoll-Rand Co. of Cal., machine parts (claim dated Oct. 3, 1927), $697.40.

(18) H. R. Landfare Co., telephone poles, etc. (claim dated Sept. 30, 1927), $1,213.

(19) The Charles Nelson Co., lumber (claim dated Sept. 30, 1927), $916.61.

(20) M. M. O'Shaughnessy, revolving fund expenditures, per vouchers (claim dated Oct. 3, 1927), $3,000.

(21) Santa Cruz Portland Cement Co., cement (claim dated Oct. 4, 1927), $3,643.50.

Park Fund.

(22) J. P. Holland, Inc., rock for parks (claim dated Sept. 20, 1927), $997.50.

(23) Krogh Pump & Machinery Co., one pump, etc., for parks (claim dated Oct. 7, 1927), $1,568.

Special School Tax.

(24) A. Lettich, second payment, plumbing for South Side High School (claim dated Oct. 5, 1927), $2,351.02.

(25) MacDonald & Kahn, second payment, general construction of South Side High School (claim dated Oct. 5, 1927), $30,472.50.

(26) Newberry-Pearce Electric Co., second payment, electric work for South Side High School (claim dated Oct. 5, 1927), $1,215.

County Road Fund.

(27) Schultz Construction Co., third payment, improvement of Grand avenue, including portion of Market street (claim dated Oct. 5, 1927), $4,500.

School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923.

(28) J. B. Demaria et al., refund of prorated taxes paid on Lot 1, Block 920, Divisadero and Beach streets, required for school purposes (claim dated Oct. 10, 1927), $938.06.

(29) Alfred S. Gough, extra work for library, Mission High School (claim dated Sept. 29, 1927), $621.

(30) Latourette-Fical Co., tenth payment, plumbing, etc., for Mission (Everett) Junior High School (claim dated Oct. 5, 1927), $1,863.93.

(31) R. W. Moller, thirteenth payment, general construction of Mission (Everett) Junior High School (claim dated Oct. 5, 1927), $39,674.29.

(32) A. Lettich, fifth payment, plumbing for Portola Junior High School (claim dated Oct. 5, 1927), $3,822.58.

(33) O. Monson, sixth payment, general construction of Portola Junior High School (claim dated Oct. 5, 1927), $22,935.

(34) Scott Company, third payment, mechanical equipment, Portola Junior High School (claim dated Oct. 5, 1927), $3,887.57.

(35) R. Flatland, first payment, electrical work, Sherman School (claim dated Oct. 5, 1927), $1,443.46.

(36) Scott Company, second payment, mechanical equipment, Sherman School (claim dated Oct. 5, 1927), $4,514.29.

Playground Fund.

(37) Spring Valley Water Co., water for playgrounds (claim dated Oct. 5, 1927), $1,386.78.

General Fund, 1926-1927.

(38) Frank J. McHugh, seventh payment, construction of sewers in westerly Sunset District, Section B, Contract 3 (claim dated Oct. 5, 1927), $11,250.

Publicity and Advertising—Appropriation 55.

(39) Pelicano-Rossi & Co., floral float (aeroplane) furnished for Admission Day celebration, Santa Cruz, for the publicity and advertising of San Francisco (claim dated Oct. 10. 1927), $750.

(40) San Francisco Convention and Tourist League, printing, etc., for publicity and advertising of San Francisco (claim dated Oct. 10, 1927), $1,097.

(41) Sperry Flour Co., flour for the Laguna Honda Home (claim dated Oct. 6, 1927), $795.43.

(42) S. H. Tyler & Son, coffee for the Laguna Honda Home (claim dated Oct. 6, 1927), $538.80.

(43) Spring Valley Water Co.,

water for Health Department buildings (claim dated Oct. 6, 1927), $1,-884.53.

(44) Seabury & Johnson, bandages for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Oct. 6, 1927), $603.

(45) Victor X-Ray Corporation, X Ray supplies, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Oct. 6, 1927), $1,-791.80.

(46) Libby, McNeill & Libby, apricots, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Oct. 6, 1927), $1,012.50.

(47) Langendorf Baking Co., bread, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Oct. 6, 1927), $1,289.78.

(48) Haas Bros., groceries, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Oct. 6, 1927), $2,309.16.

(49) Del Monte Meat Co., meats, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Oct. 6, 1927), $1,267.52.

(50) California Meat Co., meats, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Oct. 6, 1927), $752.67.

(51) Morris & Company, butter, etc., San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Oct. 6, 1927), $2,119.81.

(52) H. Moffatt & Co., meats, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Oct. 6, 1927), $1,399.80.

(53) J. T. Freitas & Co., eggs, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Oct. 6, 1927), $1,847.40.

(54) San Francisco Dairy Co., milk, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Oct. 6, 1927), $4,513.01.

(55) Scatena Galli Fruit Co., fruit, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Oct. 6, 1927), $694.

(56) A. Levy & J. Zentner Co., fruit, etc., San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Oct. 6, 1927), $737.55.

(57) California Petroleum Co., fuel oil, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Oct. 6, 1927), $2,208.22.

(58) San Francisco Society for the Prevention of Cruelty to Animals, impounding, feeding, etc., of animals for September (claim dated Oct. 10, 1927), $1,250.

(59) Sonoma State Home, maintenance of feebleminded, September (claim dated Oct. 10, 1927), $9,285.

(60) San Francisco Bulletin, official advertising (claim dated Oct. 10, 1927), $1,770.48.

(61) Standard Fence Co., fences constructed at Balboa Park (claim dated Oct. 7, 1927), $1,150.

(62) Monroe Calculating Machine Co., calculating machines for office of the Assessor (claim dated Oct. 10, 1927), $585.

Ayes— Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Roncovieri—2.

Authorizations.

Resolution No. 27948 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

Library Fund.

(1) American Building Maintenance Co., janitor service (claim dated Sept. 30, 1927), $810.

(2) Lewald Coal Co., fuel (claim dated Sept. 30, 1927), $632.15.

(3) G. E. Stechert & Co., library books (claim dated Sept. 30, 1927), $6,568.77.

(4) San Francisco News Co., library books (claim dated Sept. 30, 1927), $3,124.91.

(5) Houghton, Mifflin & Co., library books (claim dated Sept. 30, 1927), $529.52.

Park Fund.

(6) George H. Tay Co., pipe, etc., for parks (claim dated Oct. 14, 1927), $757.13.

(7) Spring Valley Water Co., water for parks (claim dated Oct. 14, 1927), $4,065.56.

(8) Adrian J. Schoorl, bulbs for parks (claim dated Oct. 14, 1927), $2,082.50.

Special School Tax.

(9) O. Monson, final payment, general construction of additional units to Galileo High School (claim dated Oct. 8, 1927), $1,000.

(10) Park Commissioners, maintenance of school grounds for September (claim dated Oct. 10, 1927), $1,300.

County Road Fund.

(11) Eclipse Lime & Cement Co., cement for repairs to streets (claim dated Oct. 10, 1927), $880.70.

School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923.

(12) Anderson & Ringrose, extra work for construction of Sunnyside School (claim dated Oct. 6, 1927), $569.25.

(13) Anderson & Ringrose, sixth payment, general construction of Hearst-Moulder School (claim dated Oct. 10, 1927), $35,067.97.

(14) Mahony Bros., eighth payment, general construction of Sherman School (claim dated Oct. 8, 1927), $11,098.72.

(15) Pacific Electric Construction Co., third payment, electrical work for Portola Junior High School (claim dated Oct. 8, 1927), $1,597.05.

(16) John Reid, Jr., final payment, architectural services for gymnasium and athletic field, Galileo High School (claim dated Oct. 10, 1927), $1,259.54.

(17) S. Heiman, sixth payment, architectural services for addition to Sunnyside School (claim dated Oct. 10, 1927), $1,475.85.

(18) John Reid, Jr., eleventh payment, architectural services for Mission (Everett) Junior High School (claim dated Oct. 10, 1927), $1,284.91.

Municipal Railway Fund.

(19) Pacific Gas & Electric Co., electric service, Municipal Railways (claim dated Oct. 11, 1927), $36,371.08.

(20) San Francisco City Employees' Retirement System, pensions for Municipal Railway employees (claim dated Oct. 10, 1927), $7,050.02.

(21) Crescent Oil Co., gasoline, Municipal Railways (claim dated Oct. 10, 1927), $1,685.54.

(22) Westinghouse Electric & Mfg Co., electric parts and supplies, Municipal Railways (claim dated Oct. 10, 1927), $1,027.24.

(23) Zellerbach Paper Co., trolley cord, Municipal Railways (claim dated Oct. 10, 1927), $786.

Municipal Railway Depreciation Fund.

(24) Robt. W. Jamison, second payment, trolley poles for Sunset line of Municipal Railways (claim dated Oct. 7, 1927), $7,455.

Hetch Hetchy Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1925.

(25) Bald Eagle Market, meats, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Oct. 10, 1927), $2,388.51.

(26) Hales & Symons, Inc., lumber, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Oct. 7, 1927), $2,027.39.

(27) Independent Lumber Co., lumber, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Oct. 8, 1927), $834.97.

(28) Shell Company fo California, gasoline and oil, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Oct. 8, 1927), $619.01.

(29) Soule Steel Co., steel bars, Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Oct. 10, 1927), $5,592.81.

Hetch Hetchy Operative Revenue Fund.

(30) John J. Dailey, legal services, valuation of San Francisco electric properties, month of October (claim dated Oct. 13, 1927), $850.

(31) H. G. Butler, services in connection with severance damages, valuation of San Francisco electric properties (claim dated Oct. 4, 1927), $587.50.

(32) Railroad Commission of the State of California, October expense for valuation of San Francisco electric properties (claim dated Oct. 17, 1927), $1,000.

General Fund, 1927-1928.

(33) N. Randall Ellis, engineering services, October, in telephone rate litigation (claim dated Oct. 13, 1927), $750.

(34) Dion R. Holm, legal services, October, in telephone rate litigation (claim dated Oct. 13, 1927), $850.

(35) J. H. Zemansky, Registrar, postage for sample ballots (claim dated Oct. 20, 1927), $1,100.

(36) J. P. Holland, Inc., rock for bridle path (claim dated Oct. 14, 1927), $944.

(37) Pearson & Johnson, final payment, moving boathouses at foot of Van Ness avenue (claim dated Oct. 14, 1927), $1,315.40.

(38) Associated Charities, widows' pensions (claim dated Oct. 14, 1927), $8,329.03.

(39) Eureka Benevolent Society, widows' pensions (claim dated Oct. 14, 1927), $1,119.48.

(40) Little Children's Aid widows' pensions (claim dated Oct. 14, 1927), $8,868.98.

(41) Crescent Oil Co., gasoline for Police Department (claim dated Oct. 10, 1927), $786.13.

(42) J. H. McCallum, lumber for sewer repairs (claim dated Oct. 7, 1927), $1,254.58.

(43) Spring Valley Water Co., water for public buildings (claim dated Oct. 7, 1927), $1,923.42.

(44) California Petroleum Corporation, fuel oil, etc., for Fire Department (claim dated Sept. 30, 1927), $1,700.74.

(45) Crescent Oil Co., gasoline for Fire Department (claim dated Sept. 30, 1927), $767.55.

(46) Dan P. Maher Paint Co., varnish, etc., for Fire Department (claim dated Sept. 30, 1927), $729.36.

(47) Pacific Gas & Electric Co., gas and electricity for Fire Department (claim dated Sept. 30, 1927), $1,831.61.

(48) The Seagrave Corporation, apparatus parts, Fire Department (claim dated Sept. 30, 1927), $980.45.

(49) Spring Valley Water Co., water furnished and hydrants installed for Fire Department (claim dated Sept. 30, 1927), $1,845.31.

(50) Tire Service Company, tires and tubes for Fire Department (claim dated Sept. 30, 1927), $710.44.

(51) The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company, printing and publishing Law-Motion-Trial Calendar (claim dated Oct. 10, 1927), $1,075.

(52) Sonoma State Home, maintenance of feeble-minded, month of September (claim dated Oct. 17, 1927), $9,665.

(53) Pacific Gas & Electric Co., street lighting for September (claim dated Oct. 17, 1927), $52,993.

(54) Del Monte Meat Co., meats for Laguna Honda Home (claim dated Sept. 30, 1927), $4,195.65.

(55) Fred L. Hilmer Co., eggs for Laguna Honda Home (claim dated Sept. 30, 1927), $1,409.70.

(56) Morris & Company, butter, etc., Laguna Honda Home (claim dated Sept. 30, 1927), $1,419.36.

(57) San Francisco Dairy Co., milk for Laguna Honda Home (claim dated Sept. 30, 1927), $2,-377.69.

(58) Standard Fisheries, fish for Laguna Honda Home (claim dated Sept. 30, 1927), $611.23.

(59) The White Company, one White auto chassis for the San Francisco Health Farm (claim dated Oct. 7, 1927), $2,430.

(60) Rucker-Fuller Desk Co., tables, etc., for San Francisco Health Farm (claim dated Oct. 7, 1927), $621.40.

(61) San Francisco Bulletin, official advertising (claim dated Oct. 17, 1927), $2,216.28.

(62) Delos F. Wilcox, first, second and third payments of $2,000 each, as per Resolution No. 27804 (New Series), for expert services rendered in analysis and investigation of Market Street Railway Company's franchises and properties as authorized by said Resolution No. 27804 (New Series) (claim dated Oct. 17, 1927), $6,000.

Publicity and Advertising (Appropriation 55).

(63) Capital Decorating & Mfg. Co., decorations to Market street for account of reception to and entertainment of midshipmen of the U. S. Navy, for the publicity and advertising of San Francisco (claim dated Oct. 17, 1927), $800.

(64) California Development Association, for maintenance of San Francisco exhibit and information bureau in the Ferry Building, months of September and October, 1927, for the publicity and advertising of San Francisco (claim dated Oct. 17, 1927), $2,000.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Roncovieri—2.

(Supervisor Byington voted *no* on Item No. 63 only.)

Appropriation, $1,395, for Purchase of Iron Pipe for Municipal Water Extensions.

Resolution No. 27949 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $1,395 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of "Urgent Necessity," Budget Item No. 25, for the purchase of 580 feet of 6-inch iron pipe for installation in Alpha street between Raymond and Teddy avenues; being an extension to the Municipal Water Works, and required for fire protection purposes.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Roncovieri—2.

Appropriations for Refund of Tax Judgments.

Resolution No. 27950 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside and appropriated out of "Tax Judgments," Appropriation 59, and authorized in payment to the hereinafter named persons; being payments of one-tenth of amounts of judgments, including interest, and as approved by the City Attorney, to-wit:

(1) To Arthur J. Hearst, attorney for judgment creditors (A. A. Smith et al.), as per schedules attached (claim dated Sept. 30, 1927), $12,-163.07.

(2) To Arthur J. Hearst, attorney for judgment creditors (Pacific Telephone & Telegraph Co. et al), as per schedule attached (claim dated Sept. 30, 1927), $2,877.93.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Roncovieri—2.

Appropriations for Repainting Street Signs.

Resolution No. 27951 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $1,000 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of "Street Signs," Budget Item No. 71, for the painting and repairing of street signs during the fiscal year 1927-1928.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Roncovieri—2.

Appropriation, $1,100, Payment to Jos. Dal Porgetto for Land Required for School Purposes.

Resolution No. 27952 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $1,100

be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923, and authorized in payment to Joseph Dal Poggetto; being payment for lands situate and commencing at a point on the westerly line of Twenty-third avenue, distant thereon 225 feet northerly from the northerly line of Santiago street, running thence northerly along the westerly line of Twenty-third avenue 25 feet; thence westerly 120 feet; thence southerly 25 feet; thence easterly 120 feet; per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 27892 (New Series). Property required for school purposes.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Roncovieri—2.

Appropriations for Land for Boulevard Purposes.

Resolution No. 27953 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of County Road Fund (appropriations heretofore made) in payment to the hereinafter named persons; being payments for properties required for boulevard purposes, to-wit:

(1) Ruth H. Cosgrove, for property required for the opening of the Bernal Heights boulevard, as per acceptance of offer and description by Resolution No. 27879, New Series (claim dated Oct. 5, 1927), $1,014.

(2) Adele H. Back, Wilhelmine H. Eichler and Henriette W. Bertelsen, for property required for Bernal Heights boulevad, as per acceptance of offer and description by Resolution No. 27879, New Series (claim dated Oct. 5, 1927), $1,500.

(3) Sarah Weinstein, for property required for the opening of the Bay Shore boulevard, as per acceptance of offer and description by Resolution No. 27880, New Series (claim dated Oct. 5, 1927), $600.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Roncovieri—2.

Appropriation, $1,500, Maintenance at Food Show.

Resolution No. 27954 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the annual Food Show will be held in the Civic Auditorium October 22 to 30, 1927, inclusive; and

Whereas, the City and County of San Francisco has been invited to participate in said Food Show; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Public Welfare Committee be and it is hereby authorized to arrange for the installation at the coming Food Show of the exhibit which San Francisco had at the Reno Exposition; be it

Further Resolved, That the sum of $1,500 be and it is hereby set aside and appropriated out of Advertising and Publicity Fund to defray the cost of the installation and maintenance of this exhibit at the Food Show.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Roncovieri—2.

Appropriation, $3,650, Payment to J. Atkinson for Land Required for School Purposes.

Resolution No. 27955 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $3,650 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923, and authorized in payment to J. Atkinson; being payment for property commencing at point on the easterly line of Banks street, distant thereon 200 feet southerly from the southerly line of Tompkins avenue, running thence southerly along said easterly line of Banks street 25 feet; thence at right angle easterly 70 feet; thence at right angle northerly 25 feet; thence at right angle westerly 70 feet; as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 27464 (New Series), and required for school purposes.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Roncovieri—2.

Appropriation, $7,750, Payment to Edwin T. Peterson for Land Required for School Purposes.

Resolution No. 27956 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $7,750 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923, and authorized in payment to Edwin T. Peterson; being payment for property required for school purposes, to-wit:

Commencing on the easterly line

of Thirty-first avenue, distant thereon 99 feet and 5 inches northerly from the northerly line of Balboa street, running thence northerly on the easterly line of Thirty-first avenue 75 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 120 feet; thence at a right angle southerly 75 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 120 feet; as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 27926 (New Series).

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Roncovieri—2.

Appropriation, $3,000, Payment to Dennis Murphy and Hannah Murphy for Land Required for School Purposes.

Resolution No. 27957 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $3,000 be and the same is hereby authorized in payment out of County Road Fund (appropriation heretofore made) to Dennis Murphy and Hannah Murphy; being payment for property required for the widening and extending of Market street, as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 27910, New Series (claim dated October 13, 1927).

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Roncovieri—2.

Appropriation, $6,750, Payment to Wm. Campbell for Land Required for the Extension of Nineteenth Street.

Resolution No. 27958 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $6,750 be and the same is hereby authorized in payment out of "Nineteenth Street, Extension to Mono," Budget Item No. 141, General Fund 1926-1927, to William Campbell and Helen Campbell; being payment for property required for the extension of Nineteenth street, as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 27909, New Series (claim dated Oct. 13, 1927).

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Roncovieri—2.

Appropriation, $2,550, for Filing Equipment, etc., for Traffic Bureau.

Resolution No. 27959 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $2,550 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of "Traffic Signals," etc., Budget Item No. 69, for the purchasing and installing of filing cabinets, cabinet work and equipment for the Traffic Bureau.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Roncovieri—2.

Appropriation, $18,000, Payment to Susanna Plieshivih for Injuries Received on Municipal Railway.

Resolution No. 27960 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $18,000 be and the same is hereby authorized to be expended out of the Municipal Railway Accident Reserve Fund in payment to Susanna Plieshivih; being full payment to said Susanna Plieshivih for personal injuries and damages sustained by her as a result of that certain accident which took place on or about the 25th day of February, 1926, while a passenger on Municipal car of the "K" line. (Claim dated Oct. 11, 1927.)

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Roncovieri—2.

Oil and Boiler Permits.

Resolution No. 27961 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits be and the same are hereby granted:

Oil Tanks.

A. J. Herzig, 64 Sanfranbank street, 500 gallons capacity.

E. C. Gunn, north side of Chestnut street, 75 feet east of Baker street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Walworth California Company, south side of Tehama street, 25 feet east of Second street, 1500 gallons capacity.

F. H. Walker, 225 San Anselmo avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

J. T. Pigott, 3343 Washington street, 750 gallons capacity.

Boilers.

The California Poultry Company, Inc., 315 Washington street, 5-horsepower.

J. S. Hay, 1651 Sixteenth street, 6-horsepower.

Mission Pork Store, 3019 Sixteenth street, 5-horsepower.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within

six months, otherwise said permits shall become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Roncovieri—2.

Oil Tank Permits.

Resolution No. 27962 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits be and are hereby granted:

Oil Tanks.

Louis R. Anderson, west side of Fillmore street, 75 feet north of Chestnut street, 1500 gallons capacity.

A. M. Breese, 374 Parnassus avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

Buschke & Johnson, west side of Scott street, 30 feet north of Bay street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Pete Capdevile, 1401 Woolsey street, 1500 gallons capacity.

C. P. Conklin, northeast corner of Fifteenth avenue and Balboa street, 1500 gallons capacity.

A. L. De Luca, west side of Twentieth avenue, 225 feet south of Geary street, 1500 gallons capacity.

R. P. Doolin, north side of McLaren avenue, 50 feet west of Twenty-eighth avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

Mrs. Law, east side of Thirtieth avenue, 75 feet south of Anza street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Mary H. Lewis, 1637 Hyde street, 1500 gallons capacity.

C. T. Magill, southwest corner of Tenth avenue and Judah street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Frank Ornig, 1830 Polk street, 600 gallons capacity.

L. V. Parmelee, 51 Yerba Buena avenue, 600 gallons capacity.

J. G. Roberts, 2919 Pacific avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

C. A. Rodogerts, west side of Avila street, 75 feet north of Cervantes boulevard, 1500 gallons capacity.

Stoneson Bros., 48 Maywood drive, 750 gallons capacity.

Van Clay Builders, south side of Clay street, 100 feet west of Van Ness avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

M. Zelinsky, south side of California street, 120 feet east of Laguna street, 1500 gallons capacity.

M. Zelinsky, south side of California street, 81 feet east of Laguna street, 1500 gallons capacity.

M. Zelinsky, south side of California street, 160 feet east of Laguna street, 1500 gallons capacity.

M. Zelinsky, south side of California street, 200 feet east of Laguna street, 1500 gallons capacity.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits shall become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Roncovieri—2.

Laundry Permit.

Resolution No. 27963 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That M. Trianissi be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate a laundry on the south side of Cabrillo street, 115 feet west of Forty-fifth avenue.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Roncovieri—2.

Cabinet Shop Permit.

Resolution No. 27964 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Royal Mill and Cabinet Shop be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate a cabinet shop on Keith street between Yosemite and Armstrong avenues.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Roncovieri—2.

Providing for Coroner's Employees.

Bill No. 8255, Ordinance No. 7759 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Subdivision (c) of Section 25 of Ordinance No. 5460 (New Series), known as the "Ordinance of Additional Positions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Subdivision (c) of Section 25 of Ordinance No. 5460 (New Series), known as the "Ordinance of Additional Positions," is hereby amended to read as follows:

(c) Four assistant deputies and

drivers, each at a salary of $2,400 a year.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect on and after its passage.

Ayes— Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Roncovieri—2.

Amending Zoning Ordinance, Eighteenth, Mariposa, Wisconsin and Carolina Streets.

Bill No. 8256, Ordinance No. 7760 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings, and the locations of buildings designed for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes. and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Section 9 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place the block bounded by Eighteenth street, Mariposa street, Carolina street and Wisconsin street in the Light Industrial District instead of the Second Residential District.

Ayes— Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Roncovieri—2.

Ordering Street Work.

Bill No. 8248, Ordinance No. 7761 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors September 13, 1927, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in three installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

For the construction of a bulkhead and the removal of sand from the sidewalk and roadway on the westerly one-half of Thirty-fifth avenue from the southerly line of Kirkham street to a line parallel with and 300 feet northerly therefrom.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes— Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Roncovieri—2.

Bill No. 8249, Ordinance No. 7762 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works, in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors September 13, 1927, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works. and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its of-

fice, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

The construction of a bulkhead on the westerly line of Thirty-fifth avenue from the southerly line of Irving street to a line parallel with and 300 feet southerly therefrom, and the removal of sand from the roadway and sidewalk area adjacent thereto.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes— Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Roncovieri—2.

Conditional Acceptance, Certain Streets.

Bill No. 8250, Ordinance No. 7763 (New Series), as follows:

Providing for conditional acceptance of the roadway of Bowdoin street between Silver avenue and Hale street, and the intersection of Bowdoin and Hale streets; Forty-seventh avenue between Quintara and Rivera streets; Garfield street between the easterly line of Head street and the westerly line of Vernon street, including the crossings of Garfield street and Head street, Victoria street, Arch street and Vernon street; Hampshire street between Twenty-fifth and Army streets, and the intersection of Hampshire and Twenty-sixth streets; Hale street between Bowdoin and Boylston streets, and the crossing of Hale and Boylston streets; Kirkham street between Thirty-eighth and Thirty-ninth avenues; Kirkham street between Forty-second and Forty-third avenues, and the crossing of Kirkham street and Forty-second avenue; Laura street between Huron avenue and Alemany boulevard; Lawton street between Forty-seventh and Forty-eighth avenues; Munich street between Brazil and Persia avenues; Mount Vernon avenue between Tara and Louisburg streets; Moraga street between Twenty-seventh and Twenty-eighth avenues; Mariposa street between Arkansas and Connecticut streets; Lakeview avenue between Plymouth and Brighton avenues; Quintara street between Forty-sixth and Forty-seventh avenues; Quintara street between Forty-seventh and Forty-eighth avenues; Reddy street between Williams and Thornton avenues; Santiago street between Forty-sixth and Forty-seventh avenues; Thirty-eighth avenue between Judah and Kirkham streets; Twenty-sixth avenue between Rivera and Santiago streets; Tompkins avenue between Prentiss and Banks streets; Tompkins avenue between Folsom and Banks streets; Thirtieth avenue between Anza and Balboa streets; Turk street between Parker avenue and Willard street; Twenty-fifth avenue between Santiago and Taraval streets; Twenty-ninth avenue between Kirkham and Lawton streets; Thirty-eighth avenue between Vicente and Wawona streets; Twenty-seventh avenue between Rivera and Santiago streets; Thirtieth avenue between Cabrillo and Fulton streets; Twenty-eighth avenue between Lawton and Moraga streets; Ulloa street between Forty-fourth and Forty-fifth avenues; Ulloa street between Forty-fifth and Forty-sixth avenues; Vicente street between Twenty-first and Twenty-second avenues; Vicente street between Thirty-seventh and Thirty-eighth avenues; Ward street between Brussels and Girard streets, and the crossing of Ward street and Girard street; crossing of Kirkham street and Thirty-eighth avenue; crossing of Kirkham street and Thirty-ninth avenue; crossing of Forty-sixth avenue and Quintara street; crossing of Twenty-eighth avenue and Moraga street; crossing of Twenty-second avenue and Vicente street; crossing of Forty-seventh avenue and Quintara street; crossing of Avalon avenue and Athens street.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The roadways of the following named streets, including the curbs on both sides thereof, having been constructed to the satisfaction of the Board of Public Works and of the Board of Supervisors, are hereby conditionally accepted by the City and County of San Francisco (except those portions required by law to be kept in order by the railroad company having tracks thereon), in accordance with the provisions of Section 23, Chapter 2, Article VI of the Charter, said roadways having been paved with asphaltic concrete and curbs laid thereon, and are in good condition throughout, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein, to-wit:

Bowdoin street between Silver avenue and Hale street, and the intersection of Bowdoin and Hale streets; Forty-seventh avenue be-

tween Quintara and Rivera streets; Garfield street between the easterly line of Head street and the westerly line of Vernon street, including the crossings of Garfield street and Head street, Victoria street, Arch street and Vernon street; Hampshire street between Twenty-fifth and Army streets, and the intersection of Hampshire and Twenty-sixth streets; Hale street between Bowdoin and Boylston streets and the crossing of Hale and Boylston streets; Kirkham street between Thirty-eighth and Thirty-ninth avenues; Kirkham street between Forty-second and Forty-third avenues, and the crossing of Kirkham street and Forty-second avenue; Laura street between Huron avenue and Alemany boulevard; Lawton street between Forty-seventh and Forty-eighth avenues; Munich street between Brazil and Persia avenues; Mount Vernon avenue between Tara and Louisburg streets; Moraga street between Twenty-seventh and Twenty-eighth avenues; Mariposa street between Arkansas and Connecticut streets; Lakeview avenue between Plymouth and Brighton avenues; Quintara street between Forty-sixth and Forty-seventh avenues; Quintara street between Forty-seventh and Forty-eighth avenues; Reddy street between Williams and Thornton avenues; Santiago street between Forty-sixth and Forty-seventh avenues; Thirty-eighth avenue between Judah and Kirkham streets; Twenty-sixth avenue between Rivera and Santiago streets; Tompkins avenue between Prentiss and Banks streets; Tompkins avenue between Folsom and Banks streets; Thirtieth avenue between Anza and Balboa streets; Turk street between Parker avenue and Willard street; Twenty-fifth avenue between Santiago and Taraval streets; Twenty-ninth avenue between Kirkham and Lawton streets; Thirty-eighth avenue between Vicente and Wawona streets; Twenty-seventh avenue between Rivera and Santiago streets; Thirtieth avenue between Cabrillo and Fulton streets; Twenty-eighth avenue between Lawton and Moraga streets; Ulloa street between Forty-fourth and Forty-fifth avenues; Ulloa street between Forty-fifth and Forty-sixth avenues; Vicente street between Twenty-first and Twenty-second avenues; Vicente street between Thirty-seventh and Thirty-eighth avenues; Ward street between Brussels and Girard streets, and the crossing of Ward street and Girard street; crossing of Kirkham street and Thirty-eighth avenue; crossing of Kirkham street and Thirty-ninth avenue; crossing of Forty-sixth avenue and Quintara street; crossing of Twenty-eighth avenue and Moraga street; crossing of Twenty-second avenue and Vicente street; crossing of Forty-seventh avenue and Quintara street; crossing of Avalon avenue and Athens street.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Roncovieri—2.

Full Acceptance, Certain Streets.

Bill No. 8252, Ordinance No. 7764 (New Series), as follows:

Providing for full acceptance of the roadway of Crestlake drive between the easterly line of El Mirasol place and the northerly line of Wawona street, including the intersections of El Mirasol drive, Constano way, Yorba street and Wawona street; Yorba street between Crestlake drive and Thirty-fourth avenue and the intersections of Yorba street and Thirty-fourth avenue and El Mirasol place between Crestlake drive and Sloat boulevard, except that portion thereof reserved for parking, and the intersection of El Mirasol place and Sloat boulevard; the upper and lower roadways of Canyon drive between South Hill boulevard and the county line, and the crossing of Canyon drive and South Hill boulevard; Diamond street between the northerly line of Army street and the southerly line of Twenty-eighth street, including the crossing of Diamond and Army streets, Twenty-seventh street, Duncan street and Twenty-eighth street; El Plazuela between Junipero Serra boulevard and its easterly termination; Francisco street between Baker and Lyon streets; Lyon street between Chestnut and Francisco streets; Lyon street between Francisco and Bay streets and the crossing of Lyon and Bay streets; crossing of Lyon and Francisco streets.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The roadways of the following named streets, including the curbs on both sides thereof, having been constructed to the satisfaction of the Board of Public Works and of the Board of Super-

visors, are hereby fully accepted by the City and County of San Francisco (except those portions required by law to be kept in order by the railroad company having tracks thereon), in accordance with the provisions of Section 23, Chapter 2, Article VI of the Charter, said roadways having been paved with asphaltic concrete and curbs laid thereon, and are in good condition throughout, and have sewers, gas and water mains laid therein, to-wit:

Crestlake drive between the easterly line of El Mirasol place and the northerly line of Wawona street, including the intersections of El Mirasol drive, Constano way, Yorba street and Wawona street; Yorba street between Crestlake drive and Thirty-fourth avenue, and the intersections of Yorba street and Thirty-fourth avenue and El Mirasol place between Crestlake drive and Sloat boulevard, except that portion thereof reserved for parking, and the intersection of El Mirasol place and Sloat boulevard; the upper and lower roadways of Canyon drive between South Hill boulevard and the county line and the crossing of Canyon drive and South Hill boulevard; Diamond street between the northerly line of Army street and the southerly line of Twenty-eighth street, including the crossing of Diamond and Army streets, Twenty-seventh street, Duncan street and Twenty-eighth street; El Plazuela between Junipero Serra boulevard and its easterly termination; Francisco street between Baker and Lyon streets; Lyon street between Chestnut and Francisco streets; Lyon street between Francisco and Bay streets and the crossing of Lyon and Bay streets; crossing of Lyon and Francisco streets.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes— Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Roncovieri—2.

Conditional Acceptance, Certain Streets.

Bill No. 8253, Ordinance No. 7765 (New Series), as follows:

Providing for conditional acceptance of the roadway of Avalon avenue between Vienna and Athens streets; Baden street between Monterey boulevard and Hearst avenue; Eighteenth street between Kansas and Rhode Island streets; Monticello street between Worcester and Sargent streets; Pollard street between Vallejo street and its northerly termination; Valley street between Noe and Castro streets; crossing of Ralston and Sargent streets.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The roadways of the following named streets, including the curbs on both sides thereof, having been constructed to the satisfaction of the Board of Public Works and of the Board of Supervisors, are hereby conditionally accepted by the City and County of San Francisco (except those portions required by law to be kept in order by the railroad company having tracks thereon), in accordance with the provisions of Section 23, Chapter 2, Article VI of the Charter, said roadways having been paved with concrete and surbs laid thereon, and are in good condition throughout, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein, to-wit:

Avalon avenue between Vienna and Athens streets; Baden street between Monterey boulevard and Hearst avenue; Eighteenth street between Kansas and Rhode Island streets; Monticello street between Worcester and Sargent streets; Pollard street between Valley street and its northerly termination; Valley street between Noe and Castro streets; crossing of Ralston and Sargent streets.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes— Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Roncovieri—2.

Fixing Sidewalk Widths on Harrison Street.

Bill No. 8254, Ordinance No. 7766 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by amending Section Seventy-eight thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Board of Public Works filed in this office September 16, 1927, by amending Section Seventy-eight thereof, to read as follows:

Section 78. The width of side-

walks on Harrison street between Steuart street and Alameda street shall be fifteen (15) feet.

The width of sidewalks on Harrison street, the westerly side of, between Alameda street and Sixteenth street, shall be fiften (15) feet.

The width of sidewalks on Harrison street, the easterly side of, between Alameda street and Sixteenth street, are hereby dispensed with and abolished.

The width of sidewalks on Harrison street, the westerly side of, between Sixteenth street and Seventeenth street, shall be fifteen (15) feet.

The width of sidewalks on Harrison street, the easterly side of, between Sixteenth street and Seventeenth street, are hereby dispensed with and abolished.

The width of sidewalks on Harrison street, the easterly side of, between Seventeenth street and Eighteenth street, shall be fifteen (15) feet.

The width of sidewalks on Harrison street, the westerly side of, between Seventeenth street and Eighteenth street, are hereby dispensed with and abolished.

The width of sidewalks on Harrison street between Eighteenth street and Nineteenth street shall be fifteen (15) feet.

The width of sidewalks on Harrison street, the easterly side of, between Ninteenth street and Twentieth street, shall be fifteen (15) feet.

The width of sidewalks on Harrison street, the westerly side of, between Nineteenth street and Twentieth street, are hereby dispensed with and abolished.

The width of sidewalks on Harrison street between Twentieth street and Precita avenue shall be fifteen (15) feet.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect and be in force from and after its passage.

Ayes— Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Roncovieri—2.

Fixing Sidewalk Widths on Valencia Street Between Market and Mission Streets.

Bill No. 8251, Ordinance No. 7767 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061 entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by amending Section one hundred and sixty-seven thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061 entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Board of Public Works, filed in this office October 10, 1927, by amending Section one hundred and sixty-seven thereof, to read as follows:

Section 167. The width of sidewalks on Valencia street between Market street and Mission street shall be twelve (12) feet.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect and be in force from and after its passage.

Ayes— Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Roncovieri—2.

PRESENTATION OF BILLS AND ACCOUNTS.

Your Finance Committee, having examined miscellaneous demands not required by law to be passed to print, and amounting to $86,665.58, recommends same be allowed and ordered paid.

Ayes— Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Roncovieri—2.

NEW BUSINESS.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Authorizations.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

Park Fund.

(1) Pacific Gas & Electric Co., gas and electric service for parks

(claim dated Oct. 21, 1927), $3,955.28.

(2) Crescent Oil Co., gasoline for parks (claim dated Oct. 21, 1927), $523.65.

(3) Langendorf Baking Co., bread for parks (claim dated Oct. 21, 1927), $723.63.

(4) National Ice Cream Co., ice cream for parks (claim dated Oct. 21, 1927), $1,680.40.

(5) San Francisco Dairy Co., milk, etc., for parks (claim dated Oct. 21, 1927), $756.65.

School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1918.

(6) Underwood Typewriter Co., typewriters for Portola Junior High School (claim dated Oct. 18, 1927), $1,120.

Hetch Hetchy Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1925.

(7) S. C. Carosio Co., truck hire (claim dated Oct. 14, 1927), $705.25.

(8) General Electric Co., electrical equipment (claim dated Oct. 14, 1927), $2,047.

(9) The Giant Powder Co., Con., explosives (claim dated Oct. 14, 1927), $6,622.12.

(10) R. A. Hansen, fuel oil, etc. (claim dated Oct. 14, 1927), $571.10.

(11) Hales & Symons, Inc., mining wedges, etc. (claim dated Oct. 14, 1927), $1,159.81.

(12) T. M. Hughes, oak chunk wood (claim dated Oct. 14, 1927), $1,875.

(13) H. R. Landfare Co., cedar poles (claim dated Oct. 14, 1927), $856.80.

(14) The Charles Nelson Co., lumber (claim dated Oct. 15, 1927), $2,061.65.

(15) Western Pipe & Steel Co., air pipe (claim dated Oct. 14, 1927), $3.204.

(16) The White Company, White truck parts (claim dated Oct. 15, 1927), $563.15.

(17) Wilsey, Bennett Co., butter, eggs and cheese (claim dated Oct. 14, 1927), $1,002.40.

(18) The Cutler-Hammer Mfg. Co., one lifting magnet (claim dated Oct. 21, 1927), $640.

(19) Hercules Powder Co., explosives (claim dated Oct. 19, 1927), $2.152.05.

(20) Ingersoll Rand Co. of California, machinery parts (claim dated Oct. 19, 1927), $889.62.

(21) J. E. Knapp Co., one compound compressor (claim dated Oct. 18, 1927), $2,500.

(22) J. H. McCallum, lumber (claim dated Oct. 19, 1927), $620.54.

(23) Pope & Talbot, lumber (claim dated Oct. 19, 1927), $1,114.42.

(24) State Compensation Insurance Fund, premium on insurance of Hetch Hetchy employees (claim dated Oct. 19, 1927), $3,554.76.

(25) Santa Fe Lumber Co., lumber (claim dated Oct. 17, 1927), $657.97.

(26) T. E. Connolly and J. M. De Lucca, ninth payment, construction of aqueduct tunnels, Foothill Division (claim dated Oct. 13, 1927), $27,136.52.

(27) A. Guthrie & Co., Inc., tenth payment, construction of aqueduct tunnels, Foothill Division (claim dated Oct. 13, 1927), $42,454.57.

Special School Tax.

(28) Fess System Co., one No. 2 HRSB turbine for Adams School (claim dated Oct. 18, 1927), $1,171.62.

(29) J. H. McCallum, lumber for schools (claim dated Oct. 18, 1927), $937.57.

County Road Fund.

(30) Eclipse Lime & Cement Co., cement for repairs to streets (claim dated Oct. 18, 1927), $880.70.

Municipal Railway Fund.

(31) Market Street Railway Co., electric power furnished Municipal Railways (claim dated Oct. 17, 1927), $3,218.55.

(32) Market Street Railway Co., reimbursement per agreement of December 12, 1918 (claim dated Oct. 17, 1927), $1,508.55.

Municipal Railway Depreciation Fund.

(33) Mack-International Motor Truck Corporation, three 25-passenger Mack busses for Municipal Railways (claim dated Oct. 19, 1927), $21,996.90.

(34) Market Street Railway Co., repairs to tracks of Municipal Railways (claim dated Oct. 17, 1927), $1,691.19.

Fire Department Land and Construction Fund.

(35) Frederick H. Meyer, final payment, architectural services, Fire Department Engine House No. 19 (claim dated Oct. 14, 1927), $1,182.35.

School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923.

(36) John Reid, Jr., sixth payment, architectural services for Sherman School (claim dated Oct. 14, 1927), $731.62.

(37) John Reid, Jr., fourth payment, architectural services for Hearst-Moulder School (claim dated Oct. 14, 1927), $911.79.

(38) Reliable Plumbing Co., fifth payment, mechanical equipment for Hearst-Moulder School (claim dated Oct. 19, 1927), $1,112.45.

Hetch Hetchy Power Operative Fund.

(39) Depreciation Fund, Hetch Hetchy power operative, as depreciation reserve, per Charter provision (claim dated Oct. 13, 1927), $14,583.

General Fund.

(40) Langendorf Baking Co., bread, County Jails (claim dated Oct. 13, 1927), $838.16.

(41) Del Monte Meat Co., meats, County Jails (claim dated Oct. 13, 1927), $1,321.74.

(42) Board of Park Commissioners, reimbursement for construction of bridle path (claim dated Oct. 21, 1927), $1,528.80.

(43) Board of Park Commissioners, reimbursement for construction of convenience stations in Kezar Stadium and McCoppin Square (claim dated Oct. 21, 1927), $1,470.63.

(44) Board of Park Commissioners, reimbursement for beautification of Civic Center (claim dated Oct. 21, 1927), $871.30.

(45) J. H. McCallum, lumber for handball courts, Golden Gate Park (claim dated Oct. 21, 1927), $628.02.

(46) Board of Park Commissioners, reimbursement for construction of handball courts (claim dated Oct. 21, 1927), $954.87.

(47) James Rolph, Jr., for October incidental expense (claim dated Oct. 24, 1927), $523.75.

(48) The Spring Valley Water Co., water for sprinkling streets (claim dated Oct. 11, 1927), $652.39.

(49) Equitable Asphalt Maintenance Co., asphalt, street resurfacing (claim dated Oct. 11, 1927), $904.36.

(50) Symon Brothers, team furnished for street cleaning (claim dated Oct. 15, 1927), $1,434.60.

(51) California Petroleum Corporation, fuel oil, Civic Center Power House (claim dated Oct. 14, 1927), $691.76.

(52) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., lighting, public buildings (claim dated Oct. 18, 1927), $3,653.83.

(53) St. Vincent's School, maintenance of minors (claim dated Oct. 19, 1927), $1,826.78.

(54) The Boy's Aid Society, maintenance of minors (claim dated Oct. 19, 1927), $1,226.47.

(55) S. F. Protestant Orphanage, maintenance of minors (claim dated Oct. 19, 1927), $953.81.

(56) Roman Catholic Orphanage, maintenance of minors (claim dated Oct. 19, 1927), $3,473.15.

(57) The Albertinum School, maintenance of minors (claim dated Oct. 19, 1927), $1,377.75.

(58) Little Children's Aid, maintenance of minors (claim dated Oct. 19, 1927), $13,229.17.

(59) The Children's Agency, maintenance of minors (claim dated Oct. 19, 1927), $31,755.79.

(60) Eureka Benevolent Society, maintenance of minors (claim dated Oct. 19, 1927), $4,083.81.

(61) E. B. Frick, San Francisco Hospital employees' room allowance (claim dated Oct. 20, 1927), $2,813.19.

(62) Folgers Coffee, coffee, S. F. Hospital (claim dated Oct. 20, 1927), $540 .

(63) Standard Fisheries, fish, S. F. Hospital (claim dated Oct. 20, 1927), $572.20.

(64) San Francisco Dairy Co., milk, S. F. Hospital (claim dated Oct. 20, 1927), $4,456.69.

(65) California Petroleum Co., fuel oil, S. F. Hospital (claim dated Oct. 20, 1927), $2,108.19.

(66) Spring Valley Water Co., water for Laguna Honda Home (claim dated Oct. 20, 1927), $773.37.

(67) Spring Valley Water Co., water for S. F. Hospital (claim dated Oct. 20, 1927), $1,724.17.

(68) California Petroleum Corporation, fuel oil, Laguna Honda Home (claim dated Oct. 20, 1927), $1,713.40.

(69) James J. Maher, expense of E. A. Wolcott and self attending Civil Service Convention, Buffalo, N. Y. (claim dated Oct. 20, 1927), $1,000.

(70) H. Moffat Co., meat, Laguna Honda Home (claim dated Oct. 20, 1927), $1,217.09.

(71) Pendleton Woolen Mills, blankets for Preventorium, San Mateo County (claim dated Oct. 20, 1927), $890.57.

(72) San Francisco Bulletin, official advertising (claim dated Oct. 24, 1927), $1,522.77.

(73) A. P. Jacobs, rent of premises No. 333 Kearny street, August 3 to November 3, three months, at $1,186 (claim dated Oct. 24, 1927), $3,558.

(74) James G. Stafford and associates, second payment, contract for appraisal of taxable improvements (claim dated Oct. 22, 1927), $2,490.75.

Playground Fund.

(75) Yosemite Park & Curry Co., services of 14-passenger car and driver, June and July (claim dated Oct. 19, 1927), $996.

(76) Yosemite Park & Curry Co., services of 14-passenger car and driver (claim dated Oct. 19, 1927), $781.50.

(77) John J. Carmody, part payment for construction at Excelsior Playground (claim dated Oct. 19, 1927), $750.

(78) Klawans & McMullin, playground supplies (claim dated Oct. 19, 1927), $1,280.

Appropriation, $8,500, Payment to Frank Chianelli et al. for Property Required for the Opening of the Bay Shore Boulevard.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $8,500 be and the same is hereby authorized in payment out of County Road Fund, appropriation heretofore made, to Frank Chianelli and Rosa Chianelli; being payment for property described in acceptance of offer as per Resolution No. 27930 (New Series), and required for the opening of the Bay Shore boulevard. (Claim dated Oct. 19, 1927.)

Refund Tax Judgment.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside and appropriated out of "Tax Judgments," Appropriation 59, and authorized in payment to the hereinafter named persons; being one-tenth of amount of judgments, including interest, and as approved by the City Attroney, to-wit:

(1) To Hugo D. Newhouse as attorney for judgment creditors, per schedule attached (claim dated Nov. 22, 1927), $19,397.58.

(2) To Pillsbury, Madison & Sutro as attorneys for judgment creditors, per schedule attached (claim dated Oct. 18, 1927), $3,125.12.

Appropriation, $65,000, for Construction of Hangar No. 2, Municipal Airport.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series, as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $65,000 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of the General Fund, Fiscal Year 1927-1928, for the following constructions and improvements at the San Francisco Municipal Airport, Mills Field, to-wit:

For the construction of Hangar No. 2; for the construction of a comfort station, and for the improving of existing entrance by widening the approach fill to a 50-foot shoulder radius, the placing of a guard rail on the existing bridge and on the road approach, and the placing of rock surfacing.

Appropriation, $180,585.20, Construction of Annex, Paul Revere School.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of Special School Tax, Budget Item No. 1, Fiscal Year 1927-1928, for the construction of annex to the Paul Revere School, to-wit:

General construction (Frank Reilly contract), $135,720.

Electrical work (Alta Elec. Co. contract), $10,800.

Plumbing and gas-fitting (Thos. Skelly contract), $8,700.

Mechanical equipment (A. Lettich contract), $14,200.

Architectural fees, $1,165.20.

Possible extras, incidentals and inspection, $10,000.

Total, $180,585.20.

Appropriations Out of County Road Fund for Improvement of Boulevards and Streets.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of the County Road Fund for the improvement of the following boulevards and streets, to-wit:

(1) Junipero Serra boulevard, $100,000.

(2) Presidio avenue, Geary to California streets, $10,000.

(3) Twenty-third avenue, Anza to Cabrillo streets, $6,000.

(4) Faith street between Holladay and San Bruno avenues, $5,250.

(5) Holladay avenue from Peralta to Adam streets, $25,000.

(6) Capital avenue between Lakeview and Thrift streets, $1,000.

(7) Montgomery street between Green and Union streets, $7,256.52.

(8) Crossing at Bay and Lyon streets, $367.12.

(9) Lyon street, Chestnut to Bay streets, $5,669.76.

(10) Buena Vista Terrace from Buena Vista avenue to Roosevelt way, $3,200.

(11) Washington street, Montgomery to Sansome streets, $3,500.

(12) Marina boulevard, northerly side, $13,000.

(13) San Jose avenue between Ocean and Geneva avenues, $1,346.14.

(14) Twenty-ninth street between Castro and Diamond streets, $7,000.

Total, $188,589.54.

Appropriation for Sewer Work, New Smokestack in Civic Center Power House and Improvement of Park Street.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and author-

ized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned funds for the following purposes, to-wit:

Extension and Reconstruction of Sewers—Budget Item No. 38.

(1) For the cost of construction of the Thirtieth avenue sewer, Sunset District, Contract No. 5, awarded to Federal Construction Co., $28,982.60.

(2) For inspection and extras on said sewer construction, $2,317.40.

Repairs to Public Buildings—Budget Item No. 59.

(3) For cost of constructing a new smokestack on the Civic Center Power House, $1,200.

Street Reconstruction—Budget Item No. 81.

(4) For the improvement of Park street between Leese and Mission streets (Eaton & Smith), $1,800.

Appropriation, $1,085, Publicity and Advertising, National Association of Refrigerating Engineers.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $1,085 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of Publicity and Advertising, Appropriation 55, to the credit of Auditorium Fund toward the expense of Auditorium rental by the convention of the National Association of Practical Refrigerating Engineers; for the publicity and advertising of San Francisco.

Appropriation, $2,500, to Cover Deficit in Operating Expense, Municipal Water Works.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $2,500 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of the General Fund, Fiscal Year 1927-1928, to the credit of the "Water Works Fund" to meet deficit in the operating expense of the Municipal Water Works.

Appropriation, $3,479.68, Publicity and Advertising, Redwood Empire Association.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $3,479.68 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of Publicity and Advertising, Appropriation 55, in payment to the Redwood Empire Association, for expense of advertising, printing, etc., for the publicity and advertising of San Francisco.

Appropriation, $125, for Grading Joost Avenue.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 27965 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $125 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of Street Work in front of City Property, Budget Item No. 35, for the grading of full width of Joost avenue between the easterly and westerly lines of Edna street produced.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes— Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Roncovieri—2.

Appropriation, Repairs to Equipment, Board of Public Works.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 27966 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside out of the hereinafter designated appropriations, to the credit of Appropriation 30A, Budget Item No. 449; being reimbursement of the Division of Stores and Yards, for expenditures in connection with repairs to equipment for the different indicated bureaus of the Department of Public Works, to-wit:

From Appropriation 33C, Budget Item 526, Street Repair, $1,301.63.

From Appropriation 35B, Budget Item 545, Street Cleaning, $1.031.62.

From Appropriation 36B, Budget Item 558, Sewer Repairs, $387.

From Appropriation 38A, Budget Item 565, Auto Maintenance, $211.50.

From Appropriation 32B, Budget Item 495, Engineering, $31.50.

From Appropriation 3. Budget Item 71, Street Signs, $46.13.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes— Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Roncovieri—2.

Auditor to Transfer Funds, Horticultural Commission.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 27967 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, pursuant to Ordinance No. 7558 (New Series), and in accordance with Section 2 thereof, the Auditor is hereby authorized and requested to make transfer of requisite amount to meet increased amount set opposite the following

budget item: Horticultural Commission, No. 354, one Horticultural Commissioner, $4,800.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes— Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Roncovieri—2.

Accepting Offer of Rearden T. Lyons et al. to Sell Certain Land Required for Widening of Portola Drive.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 27968 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the owners of the following described land situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and sought to be acquired by the City and County of San Francisco for the widening of Portola drive, have offered to convey the property desired by said City and County for the considerations mentioned below, viz.:

Rearden T. Lyons and Maude H. Lyons, $1 and the further considerations set forth.

Parcel 1. Commencing at a point on the easterly line of Burnett avenue, distant thereon 6.283 feet northerly from the northerly line of Corbett avenue, as shown on map entitled "San Miguel Rancho," recorded in Map Book "G." at page 153, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California; thence running easterly along the northerly and westerly line of Corbett avenue the following courses and distances, 22.163 feet; thence on a curve to the left, radius 65 feet, central angle 26 deg. 30 min. 30.063 feet; thence on a tangent to the preceding curve 42.26 feet; thence on a curve to the left, radius 140 feet, central angle 77 deg. 21 min. 49 sec., 189.035 feet; thence tangent to the preceding curve 86.517 feet; thence leaving the westerly line of Corbett avenue and deflecting 85 deg. 07 min. 47 sec. to the left 30.109 feet; thence deflecting to the left 94 deg. 52 min. 13 sec. 20.642 feet; thence on a curve to the right, radius 200 feet, 285 feet, more or less, to the easterly line of Burnett avenue; thence southerly along the easterly line of Burnett avenue 11 feet, more or less, to the point of commencement.

As a further consideration it is understood and agreed that the following described parcel of land will be graded level with the proposed Portola drive:

Parcel "A". Commencing at a point on the easterly line of Burnett avenue, distant thereon 17 feet, more or less, from the northerly line of Corbett avenue, as shown on map entitled "San Miguel Rancho," recorded in Map Book "G", at page 153, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California; thence northerly along the easterly line of Burnett avenue 45 feet; thence northerly to a point on the northerly property line of Adelheit Strassburger, distant thereon 45 feet westerly from the westerly line of Corbett avenue; thence easterly along the said property line 15 feet; thence deflecting to the right 85 deg. 07 min. 47 sec. 20.642 feet; thence on a curve to the right, radius 200 feet, 285 feet, more or less, to the easterly line of Burnett avenue and the point of commencement.

As a further consideration it is understood and agreed that the remaining property of Rearden T. and Maude H. Lyons adjoining the above described Parcel "A" will be graded to a one-to-one slope.

The above mentioned grading is to be done at the time of the construction of Portola drive fronting the above-described Parcel "A".

Whereas, the City Attorney has recommended the acceptance of the said offer and the acquisition of the property owned by said persons and offered to the City and County of San Francisco for the considerations herein set forth; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the said offer of sale be accepted, and the City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to examine the title of said property, and, if the same is found in satisfactory condition, to accept, in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, a deed conveying title thereto, and file the same for record with a copy of this resolution attached thereto as evidence of acceptance by the City and County of San Francisco.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes— Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Roncovieri—2.

Authorizing Settlement of Claim of United States Government Against the Municipal Railway of San Francisco for Revenue Tax Upon Automobile Busses of Said Railway.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 27969 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the Collector of Internal Revenue, at San Francisco, State of California, under and by virtue of the Internal Revenue Act of 1921, has assessed upon the operation of automobile busses, by the Municipal Railway of San Francisco, a tax and penalty in the amount of thirteen hundred and seventy-seven ($1377) dollars, for the period from July 1, 1920, to June 30, 1924; and

Whereas, the City Attorney has negotiated with said Collector of Internal Revenue a compromise of said claim by the said Municipal Railway paying to the said Collector of Internal Revenue a sum of nine hundred ($900) dollars as and for a full settlement of said claim of said Collector of Internal Revenue against said Municipal Railway of San Francisco; and

Whereas, it is necessary as a condition precedent that said tax be paid before an application for exemption from said tax be entertained or considered by the Department of Internal Revenue; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the City Attorney be and he is hereby authorized to enter into a compromise agreement with the Collector of Internal Revenue, at San Francisco, providing for the payment by the Municipal Railway of San Francisco to said Collector of Internal Revenue of the sum of nine hundred ($900) dollars as and in full settlement of claim of said Collector of Internal Revenue for the payment of thirteen hundred and seventy-seven ($1377) dollars as and for tax and penalty on the operation of automobile busses by Municipal Railway of San Francisco for the period from July 1, 1920, to June 30, 1924, and further, the City Attorney is hereby directed to prosecute the application for exemption from said tax, and if said application for exemption is denied, to institute and prosecute a suit for the collection of a refund of amount herein authorized to be paid.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes— Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Roncovieri—2.

Mayor to Sell Property on Bay Shore Boulevard and Bernal Cut Rights of Way.

Supervisor McSheehy presented: Resolution No. 27970 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Mayor be and he is hereby authorized and directed to sell at public auction after at least five (5) days of published notice, the following described personal property owned by the City and County of San Francisco, to-wit:

Dwelling houses and appurtenances situated on those certain pieces or parcels of land required for opening of Bay Shore boulevard and known as 1601 San Bruno avenue, 1611 San Bruno avenue, 1727 San Bruno avenue and 1819 San Bruno avenue.

Dwelling houses and appurtenances situated on those certain pieces or parcels of land required for the opening of Bernal Cut and known as 2975 Diamond street, 2981, 2983 and 2985 Diamond street.

The terms of said sale shall be cash upon delivery of bill of sale, said dwelling houses and appurtenances to be removed by the purchasers within thirty (30) days of purchase thereof.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes— Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Roncovieri—2.

Accepting Offer of August G. Lang to Sell Certain Property Required for Widening of Alemany Boulevard.

Supervisor McSheehy presented: Resolution No. 27971 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the owner of the following described lands situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and sought to be acquired by the City and County of San Francisco for the widening of Alemany boulevard, has offered to convey the property desired by said City and County of San Francisco for the sum set forth opposite his name, viz.:

Aug. J. Lang, $1,750.

Parcel 1. Beginning at a point on the westerly line of Chester avenue, distant thereon 287.006 feet southerly from the southerly line of Palmetto avenue, thence southerly along said westerly line 59.595 feet; thence northwesterly, westerly and southwesterly along a curve to the left, tangent at last described point to preceding course, said curve having a radius of 12 feet and a central angle of 137 deg. 56 min. 14 sec., a distance of 28.890 feet; thence southwesterly along a curve to the left, tangent at last described point to preceding course, radius 1372.685 feet, central angle 3 deg. 38 min.

10 sec., a distance of 87.054 feet to the northerly boundary line of the property of Martha J. Lane; thence deflecting to the right 51 deg. 34 min. 11 sec. from the tangent to said curve at last described point and running westerly along said northerly line 23.534 feet; thence deflecting to the right 90 deg. 00 min. 59 sec. and running northerly 2.489 feet to the southeasterly boundary line of the property of the City and County of San Francisco; thence northeasterly along said southeasterly line, said line being a curve to the right, tangent to a line which deflects to the right 37 deg. 53 min. 33 sec. from the preceding course and having a radius of 1392.685 feet and a central angle of 6 deg. 18 min. 20 sec., a distance of 153.266 feet to the westerly line of Chester avenue and the point of beginning.

Being a portion of Block IV of Ocean View Park, as recorded in Map Book "G", pages 36 and 37, records of the City and County of San Francisco.

Parcel 2. Beginning at a point on the westerly boundary line of Ocean View Park, distant thereon 177.775 feet southerly from the southerly line of Palmetto avenue, thence southerly along said westerly line 99.857 feet to the northwesterly boundary line of the property of the City and County of San Francisco; thence northeasterly along a curve to the right, tangent at last described point to a line which deflects to the left 138 deg. 16 min. 14 sec. from the preceding course, radius 1472.685 feet, central angle 3 deg. 50 min. 02 sec., a distance of 98.546 feet; thence southwesterly, westerly and northwesterly along a curve to the right, tangent at last described point to the preceding course, radius 40 feet, central angle 134 deg. 26 min. 11 sec., a distance of 93.854 feet to tangency with the westerly boundary line of Ocean View Park and the point of beginning.

Being a portion of Block IV, Ocean View Park, as recorded in Map Book "G", page 37, records of the City and County of San Francisco.

Parcel 3. Beginning at a point on the easterly line of Chester avenue, distant thereon 266.407 feet southerly from the southerly line of Palmetto avenue; thence northerly along said easterly line 16.407 feet to the southerly boundary line of the property of the Ocean Shore Railroad Company; thence at right angles easterly along said southerly line 17.449 feet; thence southwesterly along a curve to the left, tangent to a line which deflects to the right 137 deg. 15 min. 43 sec. from preceding course at last described point, said curve having a radius of 1372.685 feet, central angle 0 deg. 59 min. 59 sec., a distance of 23.951 feet to the easterly line of Chester avenue and the point of beginning.

Being a portion of Lot 42, Block 3, Ocean View Park, as recorded in Map Book "G", pages 36 and 37, records of the City and County of San Francisco.

Parcel 4. Beginning at a point on the southerly line of Palmetto avenue, distant thereon 70.043 feet easterly from the easterly line of St. Charles avenue; thence westerly along said southerly line 20.043 feet to the easterly boundary line of the property of Isola Franceschi; thence at right angles southerly along said easterly line 9.787 feet; thence deflecting to the left 116 deg. 01 min. 37 sec. and running northeasterly 22.305 feet to the southerly line of Palmetto avenue and the point of beginning.

Being portion of Lot 3, Block 2, Ocean View Park, as recorded in Map Book "G", pages 36 and 37, records of the City and County of San Francisco.

Parcel 5. Beginning at a point on the easterly line of St. Charles avenue, distant thereon 39.297 feet northerly from the northerly line of Palmetto avenue; thence southerly along said easterly line 19.214 feet to the northwesterly boundary line of the property of the City and County of San Francisco; thence deflecting to the left 116 deg. 01 min. 37 sec. and running northeasterly 19.214 feet; thence southwesterly, westerly, northwesterly and northerly along a curve to the right, tangent to the preceding course, at the last described point, radius 12 feet, central angle 116 deg. 01 min. 37 sec., a distance of 24.301 feet to tangency with the easterly line of St. Charles avenue and the point of beginning.

Being portion of Block VI, Ocean View Park, as recorded in Map Book "G", page 36, records of the City and County of San Francisco.

Whereas, the City Attorney has recommended the acceptance of the said offer and the acquisition of the property owned by said person and offered to the City and County of San Francisco for the sum set forth, which said price is in accordance with the City's appraisement of the property; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the said offer of sale be accepted and the City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to examine the title of said property, and if the same is found

in satisfactory condition, to accept in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco a deed conveying title thereto, and file the same for record with a copy of this resolution attached thereto as evidence of acceptance by the City and County of San Francisco, upon payment of the agreed purchase price.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Roncovieri—2.

Accepting Offer of Maria Boscacci to Sell Certain Lands Required for the Improvement of the Corner of Orizaba Avenue and Minerva Street.

Supervisor McSheehy presented: Resolution No. 27972 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the owners of the following described lands, situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and sought to be acquired by the City and County of San Francisco for the improvement of the southeast corner of Orizaba avenue and Minerva street, have offered to convey the property desired by said City and County of San Francisco for the sums set forth opposite their names, viz.:

Maria Boscacci, $50—Beginning at a point on the easterly line of Orizaba avenue, distant thereon 25 feet southerly from the southerly line of Minerva street, and running thence southerly along said easterly line 23.437 feet; thence northerly and northeasterly along a curve to the right, tangent to preceding course, radius 35.816 feet, central angle 40 deg. 52 min. 19 sec., a distance of 25.549 feet to a point in a line drawn easterly at right angles to Orizaba avenue through the point of beginning; thence deflecting to the left 130 deg. 52 min. 19 sec. from the tangent to curve of preceding course, and running westerly along said last mentioned line 8.733 feet to the easterly line of Orizaba avenue and the point of beginning. Being a portion of Lot 1, Block "O", Railroad Homestead Association, as recorded in Map Book "C" and "D", page 111, records of City and County of San Francisco.

The above amount includes damages in full to the improvements now partially located on the above described property; said improvements to be relocated by the undersigned within sixty (60) days after the acceptance of this offer by the City and County of San Francisco.

M. F. Taylor and Marie I. Taylor, $1,200—Beginning at the point of intersection of the easterly line of Orizaba avenue and the southerly line of Minerva street, and running thence easterly along said southerly line 19 feet; thence southwesterly along a curve to the right, tangent to a line deflected to the right 91 deg. 30 min. 29 sec. from the preceding course, radius 38 feet, central angle 40 deg. 32 min. 18 sec., a distance of 26.886 feet; thence continuing southwesterly along a curve to the left, tangent to curve of preceding course, radius 35.816 feet, central angle 1 deg. 10 min. 28 sec., a distance of 0.734 feet to a point distant 25 feet at right angles southerly from the southerly line of Minerva street; thence deflecting to the right 49 deg. 07 min. 41 sec. from the tangent to said curve of preceding course, and running westerly and parallel to said southerly line of Minerva street 8.733 feet to the easterly line of Orizaba avenue; thence at right angles northerly along said easterly line 25 feet to the southerly line of Minerva street and the point of beginning. Being a portion of Lot 1, Block "O", Railroad Homestead Association, as recorded in Map Book "C" and "D", page 111, records of City and County of San Francisco.

The above amount includes damages in full to the improvements now partially located on the above described property; said improvements to be relocated by the undersigned within sixty (60) days after the acceptance of this offer by the City and County of San Francisco.

Whereas, the City Attorney has recommended the acceptance of the said offers and the acquisition of the property owned by said persons and offered to the City and County of San Francisco for the prices set forth opposite their names, which said prices are in accordance with the City's appraisement of the property; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the said offers of sale be accepted, and the City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to examine the titles of said properties, and, if the same are found in satisfactory condition, to accept, in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, deeds conveying title thereto, and file the same for record with a copy of this resolution attached thereto as evidence of acceptance by the City and County of San Francisco, upon payment of the agreed purchase prices.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher,

Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Roncovieri—2.

Accepting Offer of I. M. Sommer et al. to Sell Lands Required for Widening of Alemany Boulevard.

Supervisor McSheehy presented: Resolution No. 27973 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the deed of I. M. Sommer and Fanny R. Sommer conveying certain lands situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, in accordance with the terms of Resolution No. 27767 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors, be and is hereby accepted, and a copy of this resolution be attached to said deed as evidence of its acceptance by the City and County of San Francisco. The lands described in said deed are required by the City and County of San Francisco in connection with the widening of Alemany boulevard.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Roncovieri—2.

Passed for Printing.

The following bills were *passed for printing*:

Ordering Reconstruction and Repair of Certain Accepted Streets.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy:

Bill No. 8263, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Authorizing and ordering the reconstruction and repair of certain accepted streets in the City and County of San Francisco under the supervision of the Board of Public Works of said City and County during the fiscal year ending June 30, 1928, and appropriating funds for the cost thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works of the City and County of San Francisco is hereby authorized and ordered to reconstruct and repair, under its supervision, those certain accepted streets in said City and County particularly designated and described in Ordinance No. 7558 (New Series), approved June 6, 1927.

There is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended by the said Board of Public Works the sum of $67,860, payable out of Item No. 81, Budget of 1927-1928, for the reconstruction and repair of the following streets, to-wit:

(1) California street, from Mason street to Van Ness avenue, $28,000.

(2) Hayes street, Franklin to Laguna streets, $8,000.

(3) Haight street, Scott to Baker streets, $13,700.

(4) Haight street, Buchanan to Scott streets, $10,560.

(5) Larkin street, Bay to North Point streets, $4,000.

(6) Twenty-first avenue, Cabrillo to Fulton streets, $3,600.

Total, $67,860.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Authorizing Plans and Specifications, Second Unit of South Side High School.

Also, Bill No. 8264, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Authorizing the preparation of plans and specifications for the second unit of the South Side (Balboa) High School and ordering the construction of the second unit of the South Side (Balboa) High School, to be constructed on City property on site bounded by Cayuga, Otsego, Oneida and Onondaga avenues, in accordance with said plans and specifications prepared therefor and as approved by the Board of Education.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized, instructed and empowered to prepare plans and specifications for the second unit of the South Side (Balboa) High School, and to enter into contract for the construction of the second unit of the South Side (Balboa) High School, to be constructed on City property on site bounded by Cayuga, Otsego, Oneida and Onondaga avenues in accordance with said plans and specifications prepared therefor and as approved by the Board of Education.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Authorizing Plans and Specifications, Addition to Bernal Junior High School.

Also, Bill No. 8265, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Authorizing the preparation of plans and specifications for an addition to the Bernal Junior High School, and ordering the construction of an addition to the Bernal Junior High School, to be con-

structed on City property located at Cortland, Moultrie, Jarboe and Andover streets, in accordance with said plans and specifications prepared therefor and as approved by the Board of Education.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized, instructed and empowered to prepare plans and specifications for an addition to the Bernal Junior High School, and to enter into contract for the construction of an addition to the Bernal Junior High School, to be constructed on City property located at Cortland, Moultrie, Jarboe and Andover streets, in accordance with said plans and specifications prepared therefor and as approved by the Board of Education.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Authorizing Plans and Specifications, Marina Elementary School.

Also, Bill No. 8266, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Authorizing the preparation of plans and specifications for the Marina Elementary School, and ordering the construction of the Marina Elementary School, to be constructed on City property in block bounded by Beach, Divisadero and North Point streets, in accordance with said plans and specifications prepared therefor and as approved by the Board of Education.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized, instructed and empowered to prepare plans and specifications for the Marina Elementary School, and to enter into contract for the construction of the Marina Elementary School, to be constructed on City property bounded by Beach, Divisadero and North Point streets, in accordance with the plans and specifications prepared therefor and as approved by the Board of Education.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Authorizing Plans and Specifications, Addition to Edward Robeson Taylor School.

Also, Bill No. 8267, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Authorizing the preparation of plans and specifications for an addition to the Edward Robeson Taylor School, and ordering the construction of an addition to the Edward Robeson Taylor School, to be constructed on City property on site bounded by Bacon, Goettingen, Burrows and Somerset streets, in accordance with said plans and specifications prepared therefor and as approved by the Board of Education.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized, instructed and empowered to prepare plans and specifications for an addition to the Edward Robeson Taylor School, and to enter into contract for the construction of an addition to the Edward Robeson Taylor School, to be constructed on City property on site bounded by Bacon, Goettingen, Burrows and Somerset streets, in accordance with said plans and specifications prepared therefor and as approved by the Board of Education.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Authorizing Plans and Specifications, Polytechnic High School.

Also, Bill No. 8268, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Authorizing the preparation of plans and specifications for an addition to the Polytechnic High School, and ordering the construction of an addition to the Polytechnic High School, to be constructed on City property in block bounded by Frederick, Willard, Arguello and Stanyan streets, in accordance with said plans and specifications prepared therefor and as approved by the Board of Education.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized, instructed and empowered to prepare plans and specifications for an addition to the Polytechnic High School, and to enter into contract for the construction of an addition to the Polytechnic High School, to be constructed on City property in block bounded by Frederick, Willard, Arguello and Stanyan streets, in accordance with said plans and specifications prepared therefor and as approved by the Board of Education.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Accepting Bid of Bankers Trust Company, Peirce, Fair & Company, for $700,000 Bernal Cut Bonds.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 27974 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, after due notice given as provided by the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco that sealed proposals for the pur-

chase of certain bonds of said City and County, to-wit:

Bernal Cut Bonds, issue of July 1, 1927, to the amount of $700,000, would be opened and considered on Monday, the 17th day of October, 1927; and

Whereas, sundry bids were received and opened in accordance with the aforesaid notice of sale, and the same having been duly considered; therefore,

Resolved, That the bid of Bankers Trust Co., Peirce, Fair & Co. for said $700,000 Bernal Cut Bonds, comprising thirty-five bonds of $1,000 denomination of each year's maturity, 1932 to 1951, inclusive, be and the same is hereby accepted and said bonds are hereby struck off and sold to said Bankers Trust Co., Peirce, Fair & Co. for the price bid therefor, to-wit, $726,039.30 and accrued interest thereon at the date of delivery.

That the Finance Committee be directed to arrange for the delivery of said bonds.

That all other bids for said bonds be rejected and the Clerk is hereby directed to return certified checks accompanying the same.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes— Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Roncovieri—2.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Oil Tank Permits.

On motion of Supervisor Deasy:

Resolution No. —— (New Se-Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits be and are hereby granted:

Oil Tanks.

Joe Baslie, 1361 Kearny street, 600 gallons capacity.

Bauer Cooperage Co., 2345 Keith street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Mrs. J. Behrendt, 547 Page street, 1500 gallons capacity.

E. M. Dougherty, 3320 Baker street, 750 gallons capacity.

San Francisco Examiner, 350 Folsom street, 1500 gallons capacity.

L. T. Frank, 1827 Vallejo street, 1500 gallons capacity.

E. Galey, northwest corner Clay street and Presidio avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

A. C. Hamerton, north side of Fulton street, 95 feet east of Baker street, 1500 gallons capacity.

P. Marconi, south side of Beach street, 150 feet west of Fillmore street, 1500 gallons capacity.

G. Murray, east side of Cervantes boulevard, 175 feet south of Beach street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Dr. J. E. Nast, 3783 Twentieth street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Steve and Konstantinos Sarantitis, south side of Seventeenth street, 196 feet east of Valencia street, 1500 gallons capacity.

A. J. Wilbe, north side of Monterey boulevard, 145 feet east of San Eliso avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

C. Winkler, 2715 Filbert street, 600 gallons capacity.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits shall become null and void.

Laundry Permit.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That J. Claverie be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate a laundry at 1066 Oak street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Transfer of Garage Permit.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That McLachlan & Finley be and are hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred to them public garage permit heretofore granted Dunleavy & Monlia by Resolution No. 19904 (New Series) for premises at 3512 Sixteenth street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Automobile Supply Station Permit.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Lawrence Curtin be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an automobile supply station on the northwest corner of Raymond avenue and San Bruno avenue.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Blasting Permits.

On motion of Supervisor Marks:

Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Sibley Grading & Teaming Co. be and are hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to explode blasts on property at the

southwest corner of Eighteenth and Missouri streets, provided said permittee shall execute and file a good and sufficient bond in the sum of $5,000 as fixed by the Board of Public Works and approved by his Honor the Mayor, in accordance with Ordinance No. 1204; provided, also, that said blasts shall be exploded only between the hours of 7 a. m. and 6 p. m. and that the work of blasting shall be performed to the satisfaction of the Board of Public Works, and that if any of the conditions of this resolution be violated by said Sibley Grading & Teaming Co., then the privileges and all rights accruing thereunder shall immediately become null and void.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Granfield, Farrar & Carlin be and are hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to explode blasts on property situate at Romain street and Corbett road, provided said permitee shall execute and file a good and sufficient bond in the sum of $5,000 as fixed by the Board of Public Works and approved by his Honor the Mayor, in accordance with Ordinance No. 1204; provided, also, that said blasts shall be exploded only between the hours of 7 a. m. and 6 p. m., and that the work of blasting shall be performed to the satisfaction of the Board of Public Works, and that if any of the conditions of this resolution be violated by said Granfield, Farrar & Carlin, then the privileges and all rights accruing thereunder shall immediately become null and void.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That J. P. Holland, Inc., be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to explode blasts on property situate on the north side of Greenwich street, 150 feet west of Polk street, provided said permittee shall execute and file a good and sufficient bond in the sum of $15,000, as fixed by the Board of Public Works and approved by his Honor the Mayor, in accordance with Ordinance No. 1204; provided, also, that said blasts shall be exploded only between the hours of 7 a. m. and 6 p. m., and that the work of blasting shall be performed to the satisfaction of the Board of Public Works, and that if any of the conditions of this resolution be violated by said J. P. Holland, Inc., then the privileges and all rights accruing thereunder shall immediately become null and void.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That J. P. Holland is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to explode blasts on Wisconsin street between Twenty-third and Twenty-fifth streets during the grading of the street, provided said permittee shall execute and file a good and sufficient bond in the sum of $5,000, as fixed by the Board of Public Works and approved by his Honor the Mayor, in accordance with Ordinance No. 1204; provided, that said blasts shall be exploded only between the hours of 7 a. m. and 6 p. m., and that the work of blasting shall be performed to the satisfaction of the Board of Public Works, and that if any of the conditions of this resolution be violated by said J. P. Holland, then the privileges and all the rights accruing thereunder shall immediately become null and void.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That W. Q. Wright, Ralph A. Beebee and A. A. Peters are hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to explode blasts on slag pile in the Marina Yacht Harbor at the foot of Broderick street in connection with dredging, provided said permittees shall execute and file a good and sufficient bond in the sum of $5,000, as fixed by the Board of Public Works and approved by his Honor the Mayor, in accordance with Ordinance No. 1204 (New Series); provided, also, that said blasts shall be exploded only between the hours of 7 a. m. and 6 p. m., and that the work of blasting shall be performed to the satisfaction of the Board of Public Works, and that if any of the conditions of this resolution be violated by said W. Q. Wright, Ralph A. Beebee and A. A. Peters, then the privileges and all the rights accruing thereunder shall immediately become null and void.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Municipal Construction Company is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to explode blasts on Brussels street between Campbell and Wilde avenues, and on Campbell avenue between Brussels street and San Bruno avenue, provided said permittee shall execute a good and sufficient bond in the sum of $5,000, as fixed by

the Board of Public Works and approved by his Honor the Mayor, in accordance with Ordinance No. 1204; provided, also, said blasts shall be exploded only between the hours of 7 a. m. and 6 p. m., and that the work of blasting shall be performed to the satisfaction of the Board of Public Works, and that if any of the conditions of this resolution be violated by said Municipal Construction Company, then the privileges and all the rights accruing thereunder shall immediately become null and void.

Fixing Sidewalk Widths on Montana Street.

On motion of Supervisor Marks:

Bill No. 8269, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by amending Sections three hundred and fifty-eight and three hundred and fifty-nine thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Board of Public Works, filed in this office October 7, 1927, by amending Sections three hundred and fifty-eight and three hundred and fifty-nine thereof, to read as follows:

Section 358. The width of sidewalks on Montana street, the northerly side of, between Orizaba avenue and Faxon avenue shall be twenty (20) feet.

The width of sidewalks on Montana street, the southerly side of, between Orizaba avenue and Faxon avenue shall be ten (10) feet.

The width of sidewalks on Montana street between Faxon avenue and Summit avenue shall be ten (10) feet.

Section 359. The width of sidewalks on Thrift street, the northerly side of, between Orizaba avenue and Capitol avenue shall be twenty (20) feet.

The width of sidewalks on Thrift street, the southerly side of, between Orizaba avenue and Capitol avenue shall be ten (10) feet.

The width of sidewalks on Thrift street between Capitol avenue and Summit avenue shall be ten (10) feet.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect and be in force from and after its passage.

Extension of Time.

Supervisor Marks presented:

Resolution No. 27975 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Federal Construction Company is hereby granted the following extensions of time to complete street work under public contract, viz.:

Ninety days' time from and after October 8, 1927, within which to complete the improvement of Turk street between Masonic and Parker avenues. The work has been completed, the extension is granted to protect contract during period of acceptance and issuance of assessment.

Ninety days' time from and after October 31, 1927, within which to complete the improvement of Bay street between Laguna and Fillmore streets. The work is progressing.

Ninety days' time from and after October 6, 1927, within which to complete the improvement of Kirkham street between Forty-first and Forty-second avenues. The work is progressing.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Roncovieri—2.

Passed for Printing.

The following bills were *passed for printing*:

Ordering Street Work.

On motion of Supervisor Marks:

Bill No. 8270, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works, in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors April 13, 1927, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared

therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in twenty installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be six months from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Faith street between San Bruno avenue and Holladay avenue, and the improvement of Holladay avenue between Faith street and Costa street, including the crossings of Holladay avenue and Costa street and of Holladay avenue and Faith street, by grading to official line and grade; by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of the necessary side-sewers; by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks; by the construction of the necessary brick catchbasins with appurtenances and 10-inch ironstone pipe culverts on the above-mentioned crossings; by the construction of an 8-inch ironstone pipe sewer with the necessary Y branches, side-sewers, and one brick manhole along the center line of Faith street between San Bruno avenue and a point 20 feet easterly from Holladay avenue, with the necessary side-sewers; by the construction of a concrete pavement on Faith street between San Bruno avenue and Holladay avenue, with the necessary conform pavement on the intersection of San Bruno avenue; and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and a 1½-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface on Holladay avenue between Costa street and Faith street, including the crossings of Holladay avenue and Faith street and of Holladay avenue and Costa street.

The method of assessment for said improvements determined and declared by the Board of Public Works by its Resolution No. 96763 (Second Series) is hereby confirmed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Also, Bill No. 8271, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors October 11, 1927, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Twenty-sixth avenue between Moraga and Noriega streets, where not already improved, by the construction of concrete curbs and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and a 1½-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Also, Bill No. 8272, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors October 11, 1927, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Division street between Bryant street and Florida street, and the improvement of Treat avenue between Florida street and Alameda street, and the improvement of Alameda street between Harrison street and Treat avenue, including that portion of the intervening intersections that lie between the property lines of the above mentioned streets and avenues, and except that portion required by law to be paved by the railroad company having tracks thereon, by grading to official line and grade; by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of redwood headers; by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks of the full official width on Alameda street between Harrison street and Treat avenue on the northerly side and Alabama street on the southerly side; by the construction of brick catchbasins with appurtenances and 10-inch ironstone pipe culverts; by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation, a 1½-inch asphaltic concrete binder course and a 1-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall taken effect immediately.

Also, Bill No. 8273, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors October 11, 1927, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Twenty-seventh avenue between Judah and Kirkham streets where not already improved, and of Fourteenth avenue between Rivera and Santiago streets where not already improved, by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of side-sewers, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and a 1½-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Also, Bill No. 8274, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors October 11, 1927, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in twenty installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be six months from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Congdon street between Silver avenue and Maynard street where not already so improved, by the construction of concrete curbs where not already constructed, and by the construction of a concrete pavement on the roadway thereof where not already constructed.

Section 2. This ordanince shall take effect immediately.

Also, Bill No. 8275, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors October 11, 1927, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in twenty installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be six months from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Florence street between Broadway and Vallejo street, by grading to official line and grade; by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of reinforced concrete walls and steps; by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks; by the construction of an 8-inch ironstone pipe sewer, Y branches, side-sewers, brick manholes and lamp hole; by the construction of a storm-water inlet with culvert; by the construction of a concrete pavement; by performing the necessary conform work, and by the furnishing and installing a red warning reflector.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Extensions of Time.

Supervisor Marks presented:

Resolution No. 27976 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Federal Construction Company is hereby granted an extension of ninety days' time from and after October 6, 1927, within

which to complete the improvement of Kirkham street between Forty-eighth avenue and the Great Highway. This extension of time is granted for the reason that the curbing has been completed.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes— Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Roncovieri—2.

Also, Resolution No. 27977 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Frank J. McHugh is hereby granted an extension of ninety days' time from and after September 30, 1927, within which to complete the improvement of the westerly Sunset District sewer, Forty-third avenue main, Section "B". This extension of time is granted for the reason that the work is progressing satisfactorily.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes— Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Roncovieri—2.

Also, Resolution No. 27978 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, it is desirable to improve Wisconsin street between Twenty-third and Twenty-fifth streets by the grading, sewering and paving of same; and

Whereas, the proprty owners thereon have agreed to bear the expense of said grading and sewering; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the City and County pledges itself to bear the expense of the paving of said two blocks, estimated to cost $17,000, when the street is in proper condition to be paved.

The expense of said paving to be borne out of the County Road Fund.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes— Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Roncovieri—2.

Passed for Printing.

The following bills were *passed for printing*:

Spur Track Permits.

On motion of Supervisor Marks:

Bill No. 8276, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Granting permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to Pacific Can Company, a corporation, to construct, maintain and operate railroad tracks upon, along and across certain streets and alleys in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, in the locations hereinafter described.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, is hereby granted to Pacific Can Company, a corporation, to construct, maintain and operate standard gauge railroad tracks upon, along and across certain streets and alleys in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, the center lines of said proposed tracks being particularly described as follows, to-wit:

Beginning at a point in the center line of the existing drill track at the intersection of an unnamed street, Mendell street and Bancroft avenue, said point being distant 4 feet northerly from the northerly line of Bancroft avenue produced; thence in a northwesterly direction on a curve to the left, crossing portion of said unnamed street and continuing into, across and along Armstrong avenue to a point on the southerly line of Armstrong avenue, distant easterly thereon 46 feet, more or less, from the easterly line of Newhall street.

Also, beginning at a point on the above-described center line, said point being distant northerly at right angles 50 feet, more or less, from the southerly line of Armstrong avenue and distant easterly 228 feet, more or less, from the westerly line of Newhall street; thence in a westerly direction through a No. 7 turnout to the right a distance of 240 feet, more or less, to a point in the westerly line of Newhall street, distant southerly thereon 272 feet, fore or less, from the southerly line of Williams avenue.

Beginning at a point in the easterly line of Newhall street, distant southerly thereon 23 feet, more or less, from the southerly line of Armstrong avenue; thence in a westerly direction across Newhall street to a point in the westerly line of Newhall street, distant southerly thereon 300 feet from the southerly line of Williams avenue.

Said permission is granted subject to the provisions of Ordinance No. 69 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors, approved October 12, 1906, and the provisions and conditions of Section 8 thereof are hereby specifically contained in the permit hereby granted and shall be construed as a part hereof as completely as though the same were written in this ordinance.

Provided, that said tracks shall be laid under the supervision and to the lines and grades as furnished by the City Engineer's office, and that any and all expense connected with the installation of the tracks and any additional requirements for the surface drainage shall be paid for by the Pacific Can Company.

Provided, said Pacific Can Company, a corporation, shall erect and maintain all-night lighted arc lamps, to be placed where directed by the Lighting Committee of the Board of Supervisors.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Also, Bill No. 8277, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Granting permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to The Western Pacific Railroad Company, a corporation, to construct, maintain and operate a spur track over and across Eighteenth street, and across and along Wisconsin street as hereinafter described.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, is hereby granted to The Western Pacific Railroad Company, a corporation, to construct, maintain and operate a spur track over and across and along Wisconsin street as follows:

Beginning at a point in the center line of the most easterly existing track of applicant, said point being at the intersection of said center line with the southerly line of Eighteenth street, distant easterly thereon approximately 3 feet from the easterly line of Wisconsin street; thence northwesterly along a switch to the right approximately 72 feet, crossing said easterly line of Wisconsin street approximately 5 feet northerly from the southerly line of said Eighteenth street, also crossing the northerly line of said Eighteenth street approximately 30 feet westerly from the easterly line of said Wisconsin street; thence continuing northwesterly 42.58 feet; thence northwesterly along a 20-degree curve to the right, having a radius of 286.84 feet, a distance of 121 feet to a point distant 10 feet easterly from the westerly line of said Wisconsin street; thence in a direct line northerly 10 feet easterly from and parallel with said westerly line of Wisconsin street a distance of approximately 245.42 feet to point of termination at the south line of Mariposa street; a total length of 481.00 feet.

Said permission is granted subject to the provisions of Ordinance No. 69 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors, approved October 12, 1906, and the provisions and conditions of Section 8 thereof are hereby specifically contained in the permit hereby granted and shall be construed as a part hereof as completely as though the same were written in this ordinance.

Provided, that said track shall be laid under the supervision and to the lines and grades as furnished by the City Engineer's office, and that any and all expense connected with the installation of the track, restoration of the pavement and any additional requirements for the surface drainage is to be paid for by The Western Pacific Railroad Company; provided, that The Western Pacific Railroad Company shall erect and maintain all-night lighted arc lamps to be placed where directed by the Lighting Committee of the Board of Supervisors.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Method of Reassessment Approved.

Supervisor Marks presented:

Resolution No. 27979 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the method of assessment for the improvement of Faith street between San Bruno avenue and Holladay avenue, and the improvement of Holladay avenue between Faith street and Costa street, including the crossings of Holladay avenue and Costa street, and of Holladay avenue and Faith street, in accordance with Resolution No. 96763 (Second Series) of the Board of Public Works, be and the same is hereby confirmed.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Roncovieri—2.

Award of Contracts.

Supervisor Badaracco presented:

Resolution No. 27980 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of contract be hereby made to Troy Laundry Machinery Co., Inc., on bid submitted September 26, 1927 (Proposal No. 324), for furnishing the following, viz: Laundry equipment for Laguna Honda Home. One 40-inch direct motor drive extractor with automatic timing control and safety stop; equipped with 3-phase, 220-volt A. C. motor; "Troy Trojan." Contractor to furnish all necessary switches, starting box, etc., place in position and connect up ready for operation. To furnish and install

above complete within 15 days for the sum of $2,615.

Note: All above awards are made to the lowest bidder, except when award is made in consideration of deliveries or on account of the quality as determined by such tests as required or recommended by the Purchaser of Supplies.

Resolved, That a bond in the sum of $500 be required for the faithful performance of said contract.

Resolved, That all other bids submitted hereon be rejected.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Roncovieri—2.

Also, Resolution No. 27981 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of contract be hereby made to Pioneer Rubber Mills in conformity with the recommendation of the Board of Fire Commissioners on bid submitted October 17, 1927 (Proposal No. 328), for furnishing the following, viz.: 2,000 feet 1-inch chemical fire engine hose for Fire Department, more fully described as follows, viz.: For furnishing, subject to test and all other provisions of the specifications, 1-inch internal diameter rubber chemical engine fire hose, five-ply, with couplings attached. To be delivered f. o. b. Corporation Yard, Fire Department, 313 Francisco street, at the rate of $0.40 per foot.

Resolved, That a bond in the sum of $200 be required for the faithful performance of said contract.

Resolved, That all other bids submitted hereon be rejected.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Roncovieri—2.

Also, Resolution No. 27982 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of contract be hereby amde to Pioneer Rubber Mills in conformity with the recommendation of the Board of Fire Commissioners on bid submitted October 17, 1927 (Proposal No. 329), for furnishing the following, viz.:

5000 feet 1½-inch fire hose for Fire Department, more fully described as follows, viz.:

For furnishing and delivering 5000 feet of 1½-inch, internal diameter circular woven 2-ply or jacket, cotton, rubber-lined fire hose in 50-foot lengths, each with couplings attached as specified and all in full accordance with the specifications and delivered f. o. b. Corporation Yard, San Francisco Fire Department, 313 Francisco street, at the rate of $0.395 per foot.

Resolved, That a bond in the sum of $500 be required for the faithful performance of said contract.

Resolved, That all other bids submitted hereon be rejected.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Roncovieri—2.

Also, Resolution No. 27983 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of contract be hereby made to Pioneer Rubber Mills in conformity with the recommendation of the Board of Fire Commissioners on bid submitted October 17, 1927 (Proposal No. 330), for furnishing the following, viz.: 10,000 feet 2¾-inch fire hose for Fire Department, more fully described as follows, viz.: For furnishing and delivering 10,000 feet of 2¾-inch internal diameter, circular woven, two-ply or jacket, cotton, rubber lined fire hose in 50-foot lengths, each with couplings attached as specified, and in accordance with the specifications and delivered f. o. b. Corporation Yard, Fire Department, 313 Francisco street, at the rate of $0.6975 per foot.

Resolved, That a bond in the sum of $2,000 be required for the faithful performance of said contract.

Resolved, That all other bids submitted hereon be rejected.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Roncovieri—2.

Also, Resolution No. 27984 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of contract be hereby made on hospital supplies for Department of Public Health on bids submitted October 17, 1927 (Proposal No. 333), as follows, viz.:

Item No. 1. 1100 rolls bandages, roller gauze, 44x40 mesh, 1x10 yards; $0.83 per roll; Herbert F. Dugan, contractor.

Item No. 2. 1500 pounds cotton, absorbent, plain; best grade, long fiber, absolutely free from seeds and

other particles, in 1-pound packages, "Emergency"; $0.34 per pound; Herbert F. Dugan, contractor.

Item No. 3. 50 rolls gauze, plain, absorbent; in 100-yard rolls, 24x20 mesh; $3.80 per roll; Herbert F. Dugan, contractor.

Item No. 4. 850 rolls gauze, plain; in 100-yard rolls, 16x20 mesh; $3.05 per roll; Herbert F. Dugan, contractor.

Item No. 5. 224 pounds lint, absorbent, in 1-pound cartons; $0.96 per carton; Johnson & Johnson, contractors.

Item No. 6. 41 rolls muslin, oiled, in 5-yard rolls; $3.80 per roll; Seabury & Johnson, contractors.

Item No. 7. 350 rolls plaster, adhesive, zinc oxide, in rolls 12 inches by 5 yards; $0.79¾ per roll; J. Theo. Erlin Company, contractor.

Note: All above awards are made to the lowest bidder, except when award is made in consideration of deliveries or on account of the quality as determined by such tests as required or recommended by the Purchaser of Supplies.

Resolved, That no bonds be required as said supplies are for immediate delivery.

Resolved, That all other bids submitted hereon be rejected.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes— Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Roncovieri—2.

Also, Resolution No. 27985 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of contract be hereby made on bids sumbitted October 17, 1927 (Proposal No. 334), for furnishing the following, viz.: X-ray films for San Francisco Hospital:

Item No. 1. 12 boxes radiotized dental films, 2 dozen to a box; $0.82 per box; Victor X-Ray Corporation, contractor.

Item No. 2. 180 dozen Superspeed X-ray films, 8x10, Eastman's; $2.22 per dozen; Kahn & Co., contractor.

Item No. 3. 216 dozen Superspeed X-ray films, 11x14, Eastman's; $4.49 per dozen; Kahn & Co., contractor.

Item No. 4. 216 dozen Superspeed X-ray films, 14x17, Eastman's; $6.91 per dozen; Kahn & Co., contractor.

Resolved, That no bonds be required on said contracts.

Resolved, That all other bids submitted hereon be rejected.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes— Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Roncovieri—2.

RECESS.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon the Board recessed until 2 p. m.

REASSEMBLED—2 P. M.

The board of Supervisors reassembled at 2 p. m.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Harrelson, Powers—3.

His Honor Mayor Rolph being absent, Supervisor McSheehy presided.

Quorum present.

Report of Special Bridge Committee.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

San Francisco, October 24, 1927.

To the Board of Supervisors, City and County of San Francisco.

Gentlemen:

The Special Bridge Committee met in the office of his Honor the Mayor on Friday, October 21, 1927, and had under consideration the letter of the Secretary of War reaffirming ruling that no bridge would be authorized north of Hunter's Point.

After discussion of the subject matter it was moved, seconded and carried that City Attorney O'Toole, City Engineer O'Shaughnessy and Judge Matt I. Sullivan be authorized and requested to prepare draft of bill to be introduced in Congress. The bill after being drawn will be reported to the committee and thence to the Board of Supervisors.

The committee will meet at the call of the chair.

Respectfully submitted,

JAS. B. McSHEEHY,
Chairman.

Towel Service at Police Station.

Supervisor Badaracco presented: Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, requests have reached the chairman of the Supplies Committee that towels be furnished the Police and Fire Departments at the various stations; and

Whereas, the Supplies Committee has no funds at its disposal for such purpose; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Police and Fire Commissions be requested to

furnish paper towels to the uniformed forces so that they may enjoy the same comforts as other municipal employees; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Finance Committee of this Board appropriate to said departments sufficient funds in case there is a deficiency for this purpose in departmental funds.

Referred to Finance Committee.

Moving Picture Machine Ordinance.

Supervisor Deasy presented:

Bill No. ——, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Regulating the installation and operation of motion picture machines, spotlights and other electrical projection apparatus, including the handling and use of films or other inflammable material in connection with such apparatus, in theaters, halls and other public assembly rooms or places; providing for the appointment of an inspector, prescribing his powers and duties, and fixing his compensation; regulating the issuance and revocation of permits required hereunder; providing for the payment of license fees and the manner of collecting same; establishing a fund, to be known as the Motion Picture House Inspection Fund, against which to charge all costs of operation and enforcement hereof, and prescribing the manner of disbursement from said fund; and providing penalties for the violation of this ordinance.

Referred to Fire Committee.

Passsed for Printing.

The following matter was *passed for printing*:

Conveyance of Lands to United States Government for Privileges Granted Under Raker Act.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon:

Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, is the owner of the hereinafter described real property; and

Whereas, by the Act of Congress of December 19, 1913, commonly known as the "Raker Act," the Government of the United States ceded and granted to the City and County of San Francisco certain rights and privileges in the Yosemite National Park; and

Whereas, the people of the City and County of San Francisco did, through its Board of Supervisors, by ordinance duly adopted on the 12th day of January, 1914, and approved by the Mayor of the City and County of San Francisco on the 13th day of January, 1914, accept the said grant from the said Government of the United States, subject to all the terms and conditions set forth in said Raker Act, which said ordinance has never been revoked, annulled, amended or set aside, and now remains in full force and effect as a valid ordinance and enactment of the people of the City and County of San Francisco; and

Whereas, it was provided in said Act that in consideration of the granting of said rights and privileges to the City and County of San Francisco, that the said City and County should convey to the United States by proper conveyance a good and sufficient title, free from all liens and incumbrances of any nature whatever, all tracts of land which were at the time of the passage and approval of said Act, owned by the City and County of San Francisco within the Yosemite National Park or in that part of the National Forest adjacent to said park, and which said lands were not actually required for use by the said City and County of San Francisco under the provisions of said Act; and

Whereas, the hereinafter described land is situate within the National Forest Reserve adjacent to Yosemite National Park, and was owned by the City and County of San Francisco at the time of the adoption and approval of said Raker Act; and

Whereas, said hereinafter described land is no longer required by the City and County for use under the provisions of the said Raker Act, or for use in connection with any development made by the said City and County under the terms and conditions contained in said Act; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That for the purpose of complying with the terms and conditions contained in the said Raker Act, and for the purpose of fulfilling the conditions thereof, that the City and County of San Francisco convey to the United States of America, by a proper conveyance, and free and clear from all liens and incumbrances of any nature whatever, those certain tracts or parcels of land hereinbefore referred to, and situate, lying and being in the County of Tuolumne, State of California, and particularly described as follows:

All of Section Sixteen (16), Township One (1) North, Range Nineteen (19) East, Mount Diablo Base and Meridian.

And be it

Further Resolved, That the Mayor of the City and County of San Fran-

cisco and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors of said City and County be and they are hereby authorized, empowered and directed to make, execute and deliver a good and sufficient deed conveying all and singular the above-described property, free and clear of all incumbrances, to the United States of America.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, is the owner of the hereinafter described real property; and

Whereas, by the Act of Congress of December 19, 1913, commonly known as the Raker Act, the Government of the United States ceded and granted to the City and County of San Francisco certain rights and privileges in the Yosemite National Park; and

Whereas, the people of the City and County of San Francisco did, through its Board of Supervisors, by ordinance duly adopted on the 12th day of January, 1914, and approved by the Mayor of the City and County of San Francisco on the 13th day of January, 1914, accept the said grant from the said government of the United States, subject to all the terms and conditions set forth in said Raker Act, which said ordinance has never been revoked, annulled, amended or set aside, and now remains in full force and effect as a valid ordinance and enactment of the people of the City and County of San Francisco; and

Whereas, it was provided in said Act that in consideration of the granting of said rights and privileges to the City and County of San Francisco that the said City and County should convey to the United States, by proper conveyance, a good and sufficient title, free from all liens and incumbrances of any nature whatever, all tracts of land which were at the time of the passage and approval of said Act owned by the City and County of San Francisco within the Yosemite National Park, or in that part of the National Forest adjacent to said Park, and which said lands were not actually required for use by the said City and County of San Francisco under the provisions of said Act; and

Whereas, the hereinafter described land is situate within Yosemite National Park, and was owned by the City and County of San Francisco at the time of the adoption and approval of said Raker Act; and

Whereas, said hereinafter described land is no longer required by the City and County for use under the provisions of the said Raker Act, or for use in connection with any development made by the said City and County under the terms and conditions contained in said Act; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That for the purpose of complying with hte terms and conditions contained in the said Raker Act, and for the purpose of fulfilling the conditions thereof, that the City and County of San Francisco convey to the United States of America, by a proper conveyance, and free and clear from all liens and incumbrances of any nature whatever, those certain tracts or parcels of land hereinbefore referred to and situate, lying and being in the County of Tuolumne, State of California, and particularly described as follows, to-wit:

Parcel 1. The south half of the northwest quarter, the northeast quarter of the northwest quarter, and the northwest quarter of the southwest quarter of Section Thirty-two (32), Township One (1) North, Range Twenty (20) East, Mount Diablo Base and Meridian.

Parcel 2. The southwest quarter of the northeast quarter, and the south half of the northwest quarter of Section Five (5), Township One (1) North, Range Twenty-one (21) East, Mount Diablo Base and Meridian. And be it

Further Resolved, That the Mayor of the City and County of San Francisco, and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors of said City and County, be and they are hereby authorized, empowered and directed to make, execute and deliver a good and sufficient deed conveying all and singular the above described property, free and clear of all incumbrances, to the United States of America.

City Attorney to Advise Secretary of Agriculture of Willingness to Convey Land and to Advise That Other Land Is Required for Use of City Under Raker Act.

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Resolution No. 27986 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, in response to the request of Hon. W. M. Jardine, Secretary of Agriculture, that the City convey to the United States certain lands situate in the Stanislaus National Forest, pursuant to the provisions of the Raker Act, and that the City Attorney be authorized to advise the Secretary of Agriculture of the willingness of the City to

make a conveyance of Section 16 in Township 1 North, Range 19 East, M. D. B. and M., and to further notify said Secretary that the other parcel of property which the said Secretary asks be conveyed at this time is actually required for use by the City under the provisions of the Raker Act.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Roncovieri—2.

Action Deferred—Masquerade Ball Permit.

A proposed resolution granting Samuel Shortridge Non-Partisian Club permission to hold all-night masquerade ball in Polk Hall, Civic Auditorium, was *laid over one week* and Clerk directed to get report from Mrs. Bull of San Francisco Police Department as to character of dances heretofore given by this organization.

(*Messrs. Peoples* and *McLarmore*, representing colored organizations, appeared in protest against foregoing resolution.)

Election Booth Location.

A communication, presented by Supervisor Hayden, in re location of election booth at Twenty-first and Bryant streets, was *referred to the Election Commission.*

Grand Jury to Investigate Lease of 333 Kearny Street.

Supervisor Havenner, under question of personal privilege, was granted the privilege of the floor and moved that the Grand Jury be requested to investigate rumors to the effect that some City official received or participated in profits of 333 Kearny street and that the following persons be summoned as witnesses: Sheriff Thos. Finn, Ray Benjamin, Ralph McLeran, Louis Lurie, Treasurer of Republican County Committee; also, that the Clerk be directed to notify the District Attorney and the Secretary of the Grand Jury forthwith.

Motion *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—13.

Noes—Supervisors Byington, Colman—2.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Harrelson, Roncovieri—3.

Golden Gate Life Saving Crew Commended.

Supervisor Colman presented:

Resolution No. 27987 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, Captain Theodore Roberge and his gallant command of the Golden Gate Life Saving Station against tremendous odds rescued the members of the ill-fated vessel "Coos Bay" at Land's End yesterday; and

Whereas, the crews of Point Bonita and Fort Point Life Saving Stations aided splendidly in this humane feat of daring and have won the gratitude and admiration of members and families of the ship's crew and the entire citizenry of San Francisco; therefore, be it

Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors goes on record commending the bravery of the gallant life-saving crews and directs that copies of this resolution of commendation be forwarded to the Commander of each Life Saving Station and to the proper governmental offices in San Francisco and Washington in charge of the Coast Guard Service.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton. Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Roncovieri—2.

Funds for Salaries of Four Additional Sergeants.

Supervisor Schmidt presented:

Resolution No. 27989 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, pursuant to Ordinance No. 7558 (New Series), and in accordance with Section 2 thereof, the Auditor of the City and County is hereby authorized and requested to make transfer of requisite amount to provide for four additional sergeants of Police, Police Department, beginning November 1, 1927.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—18.

Passed for Printing.

The following resolution was *passed for printing*:

Appropriation, $4,000, Publicity, Armistice Day.

On motion of Supervisor Colman:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $4,000 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of "Publicity and Advertising," Appropriation 55, for the observance of Armistice Day, November 11, 1927.

BRIDGE HEARINGS.

Motions.

Supervisor Gallagher: I move you that while it is the policy of this Board that we favor a publicly-owned bay bridge, it is, however, the sense of this Board that we favor immediate construction of said bridge by private capital, until the people may elect in the manner provided by law to take over said bridge and place it under public ownership and control.

Supervisor Marks: I move you that, as an amendment to the motion of Supervisor Gallagher, the City Attorney be requested to submit to this Board, at the earliest possible opportunity, a form of submission of the issue of public ownership and operation of the proposed bridge, or private ownership or operation of the proposed bridge, for action by the people at the earliest possible opportunity.

* * * * *

Supervisor Marks: I desire to present the following motion and calling attention to the fact that it does not call for anything other than asking the City Attorney to submit a proper form of proposal to us. I make the motion which heretofore has been outlined three or four times. (Referring to motion set out in full above.)

The Chairman: Any objection? So ordered.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing motions was *continued until Monday, October* 31, 1927, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Byington, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—12.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Harrelson, Hayden, Roncovieri—6.

SPECIAL ORDERS—2 P. M.

BRIDGE HEARINGS.

Applications for Franchises to Construct Bridges Across San Francisco Bay.

Thereupon, the following matters were taken up:

Application of Bay Cities Bridge Corporation for a franchise for a toll bridge between San Francisco and Alameda.

Proposed rail and highway crossing for San Francisco Bay from the foot of Sixteenth street, San Francisco, to the foot of Pacific avenue, Alameda.

Beginning at the intersection of the center line of Sixteenth street with the center line of Third (Kentucky) street, in the City and County of San Francisco, and extending thence easterly along the center line of Sixteenth street to the waterfront line; thence easterly along a straight line to a point on the center line of Pacific avenue, in the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, which point is one hundred feet westerly from the intersection of said center line of Pacific avenue with the center line of Bryant street.

That the length of said bridge is 27,750 feet and that the width of the roadway on said bridge is 38 feet.

Filed April 6, 1925.

DUDLEY D. SALES,
Attorney.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, October* 31, 1927, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Byington, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—12.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Harrelson, Hayden, Roncovieri—6.

Application of Elbert W. Davis for a franchise for a toll bridge between San Franicsco and Alameda.

Across San Francisco Bay, beginning at a point in the City and County of San Francisco on the bulkhead line of San Francisco Harbor between Piers 26 and 28, and running approximately north 67 degrees 45 minutes east 17,962 feet, more or less, to a point in the City of Oakland, County of Alameda, State of California, on the easterly shore of San Francisco Bay.

That the length of said bridge is to be 17,962 feet and that the breadth thereof is to be 65 feet, more or less.

Filed June 29, 1925.

JAMES M. OLIVER,
Attorney.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, October* 31, 1927, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Byington, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent,

Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—12.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Harrelson, Hayden, Roncovieri—6.

Application of John Bermingham, Jr., 250 Cervantes boulevard, for a franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge across San Francisco Bay between the City and County of San Francisco and the County of Alameda.

Beginning at the intersection of the center line of Seventh and Mission streets, in the City and County of San Francisco, along Seventh street to Seventh and Sixteenth; thence in a southeasterly direction to Nineteenth and Third; thence in a southerly direction along Third street to the intersection of Third street and Jerrold avenue and waterfront; thence proceeding in a northerly direction, crossing San Francisco Bay to a point from which a branch will extend 4500 feet easterly to the intersection of Pacific avenue and Bryant street, in the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California; thence from said aforementioned intersecting point proceeding in a northerly direction, crossing the Oakland Estuary, to the intersection of Peralta street and Seventh street, in the City of Oakland, County of Alameda, State of California.

That the total length of said bridge, approaches thereto and branch thereof, aforementioned, is 65,450 feet, that the width of said bridge is ninety (90) feet.

Filed September 28, 1925.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, October* 31, 1927, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Byington, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—12.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Harrelson, Hayden, Roncovieri—6.

Application of A. M. Bergstrom for a franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge across San Francisco Bay between the City and County of San Francisco and the County of Alameda.

That the said toll bridge is to be located across the Bay of San Francisco along the following described line, to-wit:

Beginning at a point in San Francisco at about the foot of Davidson avenue, on Hunter's Point, and running northerly sixty-two (62) degrees east four and four-tenths (4.4) miles; thence on a one (1) degree curve to the north one and six-tenths (1.6) miles to true north to a point on the easterly shore of the Bay of San Francisco at about the foot of Webster street, in the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California.

That the length of said bridge is to be six (6) miles and the breadth is to be sixty (60) feet, more or less.

Filed September 28, 1925.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, October* 31, 1927, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Byington, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—12.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Harrelson, Hayden, Roncovieri—6.

Application of New York and San Francisco Development Company for franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge between San Francisco and Alameda

Bridge to be constructed across San Francisco Bay from a point therein at or near the junction of Evans avenue and Boalt street, Hunter's Point, in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to or near the junction of South High street and Washington street, in the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California; that the length of said bridge is approximately six and one-half miles from shore line to shore line, and that its breadth or width is approximately from 60 to 90 feet.

Filed January 11, 1926.

ROTHCHILD & GOLDEN,
Attorneys.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, October* 31, 1927, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Byington, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—12.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Harrelson, Hayden, Roncovieri—6.

Application of Roy C. Hackley for a franchise to construct a toll bridge between San Francisco, Pier 26, and Alameda County.

Beginning at a point in the City and County of San Francisco on the bulkhead line of San Francisco

Harbor, south of and adjacent to Pier 26, and running approximately north 61 degrees east and fifty-three hundred feet, more or less; thence running approximately north 89 degrees east five thousand feet, more or less; thence running approximately north 59 degrees 30 minutes east eight thousand feet, more or less, to a point in the City of Oakland, County of Alameda, State of California, on the easterly shore of said San Francisco Bay.

That the length of said bridge is to be eighteen thousand three hundred feet (18,300) and that the breadth thereof is to be 78 feet, more or less.

Roy A. Bronson and E. D. Bronson, Jr., attorneys, 68 Post street.

Filed February 6, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, October* 31, 1927, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Byington, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—12.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Harrelson, Hayden, Roncovieri—6.

Two applications of the Construction Company of North America for a franchise to construct and open a toll bridge between San Francisco and Alameda County.

Commencing at a point in said City and County of San Francisco near the corner of First and Bryant streets, on what is known as Rincon Hill, and running thence on less than a two-degree curve a distance of approximately 1700 lineal feet to the line of bulkhead wharf connecting Piers 30 and 32 on the waterfront of the said City and County of San Francisco; thence on a line approximately north 65 degrees east 21,000 lineal feet, more or less, to the Oakland Mole of the Southern Pacific Company in Alameda County.

That the length of said bridge is to be 4½ miles and that the width thereof is to be approximately 78 feet.

ROBERT J. McGAHIE,
Attorney, Mills Building.

First application filed February 15, 1926.

Second application field April 5, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, October* 31, 1927, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Byington, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—12.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Harrelson, Hayden, Roncovieri—6.

Application of Allan C. Rush for a franchise to construct a toll bridge between San Francisco and Alameda County.

Construction of a suspension bridge from the City and County of San Francisco over and across Goat Island to the east shore of the Bay of San Francisco, comprising three main double traffic track entrance therein, and being of a height of two hundred feet above high tide, between all bridge piers in the waters of San Francisco Channel, in which channel the bridge will be suspended over "but three piers," twenty-four hundred feet apart, thus in nowise impeding the traffic of the waters of the Bay.

Filed June 19, 1926.

ALLAN C. RUSH,
1632 McCullom street, Los Angeles, California.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, October* 31, 1927, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Byington, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—12.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Harrelson, Hayden, Roncovieri—6.

Application of Robert Lee Dunn, 778 Monadnock Building, for a franchise to construct a toll bridge along the following described line, to-wit:

Beginning at the intersection of the center line of Second street with the center line of Berry street, in the City and County of San Francisco and extending thence easterly to the waterfront line; thence easterly along a straight line to the intersection of the center lines of Pacific avenue and Bryant street, City of Alameda, California.

Length of said bridge is twenty-six thousand feet; that the width of the roadway on said bridge is eighty feet.

Filed June 21, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, October* 31, 1927, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Byington,

Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—12.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Harrelson, Hayden, Roncovieri—6.

Application of Edward A. Bertram for a franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge to be located, to-wit:

Beginning at Twelfth and Howard streets, San Francisco, by 1½ per cent viaduct to Beale and Howard streets; thence to Southern Pacific Mole, Oakland; thence by 1½ per cent viaduct to West Fourteenth street level, and Sixteenth Street Railroad Station.

The length of said bridge proper is 21,000 feet and its width of roadway is 63 feet.

Filed June 21, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, October* 31, 1927, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Byington, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—12.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Harrelson, Hayden, Roncovieri—6.

Application of R. S. Chew for franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge or bridges.

The said proposed bridge or bridges are to be located and are described as follows:

The westerly end of a bridge and the approaches thereto, which will connect with public streets, are to be located in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California (which location is in the county situated on the left bank descending of the Bay of San Francisco, across which said bridge is to be constructed), and at a point therein at or near the summit of an eminence known as Telegraph Hill; from this point the bridge will project easterly a distance of nine thousand feet (more or less) to the westerly side of Yerba Buena (or Goat) Island; thence easterly across said island a roadway or viaduct will be constructed for a distance of four thousand feet (more or less) to its easterly side; from thence there will be projected in an easterly direction a distance of twenty-two thousand feet (more or less), a bridge structure, viaduct, causeway and/or fill to suitable connections with lines or railway and public highways in Alameda County, State of California.

Filed June 28, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, October* 31, 1927, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Byington, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—12.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Harrelson, Hayden, Roncovieri—6.

Application of Inter-Cities Bridge Company for a franchise to construct, maintain and operate a toll bridge across the Bay of San Francisco and over the following route:

Beginning at the intersection of Broadway and Battery street, in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and extending thence easterly to the waterfront; thence on a line approximately north 60 degrees east to the westerly point of Yerba Buena or Goat Island; thence by contour meander to the easterly point of Yerba Buena or Goat Island; thence on a line approximately north 75 degrees to the foot of Yerba Buena street, in the City of Oakland, County of Alameda, State of California.

That the length of said bridge, inclusive of approximately 4100 feet on ground support over Yerba Buena or Goat Island, and 5670 feet to be supported by an earth fill mole in the approach to the said Oakland terminal, is to be approximately 27,000 feet. That the width of said bridge is to be approximately 120 feet, providing two 20-foot vehicular lanes and accommodations for four lines of electric transportation tracks.

Filed July 12, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, October* 31, 1927, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Byington, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—12.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Harrelson, Hayden, Roncovieri—6.

Application of G. Lindenthal for franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge.

Said bridge is to be located along the following described line:

Commencing at a point in the center line of Jerrold avenue, in the City and County of San Fran-

cisco, about midway between Ship street and Dock street, in said City and County of San Francisco; thence on a curve easterly and northerly a distance of 30,000 feet, more or less, to the southerly foot of Webster street, in the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California.

The width of said bridge at the easterly end thereof for approximately 16,000 feet will be 75 feet, and the width of the remaining portion thereof will be approximately 40 feet. The length of said bridge will be approximately 30,000 feet.

Filed August 2, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, October* 31, 1927, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Byington, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—12.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Harrelson, Hayden, Roncovieri—6.

Application of W. F. Holcomb for franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge across San Francisco Bay between the City and County of San Francisco and Alameda County.

Beginning at or near and within one hundred feet northerly or southerly of the intersection of the center line of Second street with the center line of Berry street, in the City and County of San Francisco and extending thence easterly to the waterfront line; thence easterly along a straight line to, at or near and within one hundred feet northerly or southerly of the intersection of the center lines of Pacific avenue and Bryant street, City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California.

That the length of said bridge will be twenty-six thousand feet; that the width of the roadway on said bridge will be eighty-nine feet.

Filed August 9, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, October* 31, 1927, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Byington, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—12.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Harrelson, Hayden, Roncovieri—6.

Application of E. D. Bronson, Jr., for a franchise for a toll bridge across San Francisco Bay.

Filed August 16, 1926.

Beginning at a point in the City and County of San Francisco on the bulkhead line of San Francisco Harbor, south of and adjacent to Pier 26, and running north approximately 68 degrees east seventeen thousand nine hundred fifty feet (17,950'), more or less, to a point in the City of Oakland, County of Alameda, State of California, on the easterly shore of said San Francisco Bay; and the said toll bridge to be approximately seventeen thousand nine hundred fifty (17,950') feet in length and one hundred ten (110') feet in breadth, more or less.

Roy A. Bronson, E. D. Bronson, Jr., attorneys at law, 68 Post street, Room 403, San Francisco, California.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, October* 31, 1927, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Byington, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—12.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Harrelson, Hayden, Roncovieri—6.

Tunnels.

Application of O. A. Ellis for franchise for two tunnels under the Bay of San Francisco from San Francisco to Oakland.

One of the tunnels will be for interurban street car traffic, with double track, and the San Francisco terminal between Third and Fourth streets on Harrison street.

The other tunnel will be for auto and railroad traffic, with San Francisco terminal between Second and Third streets on Harrison street.

The Oakland terminal will be at Seventh and Cedar streets.

Filed June 25, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, October* 31, 1927, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Byington, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—12.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Harrelson, Hayden, Roncovieri—6.

Application of H. S. Henion to construct toll bridge along the following route, to-wit :

Beginning at the intersection of the center lines of Berry and Second

streets, in the City and County of San Francisco, California, thence southeasterly to the west end of Pier No. 46, on the San Francisco waterfront; thence easterly over said pier to its eastern end; thence easterly across the Bay of San Francisco to the point of intersection of the center line of Peralta street, in the City of Oakland, California, produced southerly to the center line of the vehicular roadway on the Alameda Mole, in the City of Alameda, California; thence northeasterly on the said produced center line of Peralta street to its intersection with the center line of Eighth street, in said City of Oakland, Alameda County, California.

That the length of said bridge is approximately thirty thousand feet; that the width of said bridge is approximately eighty feet.

The above bridge will include an overhead approach, to extend from Second and Berry streets, San Francisco, to Rincon Hill or immediate vicinity, where abundant terminal facilities will be provided.

Filed March 14, 1927.

Privilege of the Floor.

H. S. Henion was granted the privilege of the floor and heard as to the necessity of selecting a definite location in preparing the proposed Congressional bill. He mentioned seven objections to the proposed site.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, October* 31, 1927, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Byington, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—12.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Harrelson, Hayden, Roncovieri—6.

Application of Frank E. Webb to construct toll bridge along the following route, to-wit:

Said proposed toll bridge is to be located, builded and constructed across San Francisco Bay from a point exactly in longitude west 122 degrees 21 minutes 48.7 seconds and latitude north 37 degrees 43 minutes 33.75 seconds in San Francisco County, State of California, Hunter's Point, in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to a point exactly in longitude west 122 degrees 15 minutes 2.6 seconds and latitude north 37 degrees 44 minutes 15.67 seconds in Alameda County, State of California; thence to the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California; that the length of said bridge is approximately 32,200 feet from shore line to shore line, and that its breadth or width is approximately from sixty (60) to ninety (90) feet, as shown by map attached and made a part hereof and marked "Exhibit B."

Bridge is to be of steel and concrete cantilever type, clearance from San Francisco side to be approximately one hundred and forty (140) feet. The main spans to be not less than seven hundred and fifty (750) feet nor more than seventeen hundred (1700) feet, and all spans and construction to be in accordance with the United States Government regulations and rulings.

Filed March 14, 1927.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, October* 31, 1927, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Byington, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—12.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Harrelson, Hayden, Roncovieri—6.

Communication from Construction Company of North America, supplementing original plans—modified route has its westerly terminal on Rincon Hill.

Filed May 9, 1927.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, October* 31, 1927, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Byington, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—12.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Harrelson, Hayden, Roncovieri—6.

Amended application of Edward A. Bertram for alternative line of bridge.

Filed May 9, 1927.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, October* 31, 1927, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Byington, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—12.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Harrelson, Hayden, Roncovieri—6.

Application of J. E. Pamplin to construct toll bridge along the following route, to-wit:

Beginning at or near and within two hundred feet northerly or south-

erly of the intersection of the center line of Second street with the center line of Berry street, in the City and County of San Francisco, and extending thence easterly to the waterfront line; thence about north seventy-three (73) degrees east (true) along a straight line to a point immediately southwest of and adjacent to the Southern Pacific Alameda Mole, and about six hundred (600) yards, more or less, southeasterly from the northwesterly end of said mole, and thence about south seventy-two (72) degrees east (true) to a point on filled land in the City of Alameda about on the prolongation northwesterly of that portion of Santa Clara avenue which lies between Paru and Park streets, in the City and Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California.

That the length of said bridge will be about twenty-six thousand (26,000) feet, more or less; that the width of the roadway on said bridge will be one hundred feet or such other width as the Board of Supervisors may direct and require under Section 2873 of the Political Code.

Filed May 9, 1927.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, October* 31, 1927, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Byington, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—12.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Harrelson, Hayden, Roncovieri—6.

Application of Roy C. Hackley to construct a toll bridge along the following route, to-wit:

Beginning at or near and within two hundred feet (200′) of the intersection of the center line of Fremont street with the center line of Brannan street, in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and running north approximately eighty degrees (80°) east nineteen thousand four hundred feet (19,400′), more or less, to a point in the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California, located approximately six hundred feet (600′) south of the south training wall of the Oakland Estuary.

That the length of said bridge is to be approximately nineteen thousand four hundred feet (19,400′), and that the breadth thereof is to be sixty feet (60′) more or less.

Filed May 16, 1927.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, October* 31, 1927, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Byington, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—12.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Harrelson, Hayden, Roncovieri—6.

Application of Construction Company of North America to erect, maintain and operate and take tolls on a toll bridge over and across San Francisco Bay, commencing at a point in the City and County of San Francisco near the corner of First and Bryant streets on what is known as Rincon Hill, and running thence northeasterly 19.100 lineal feet, more or less, to the southerly line of Alameda Mole of the Southern Pacific Company; thence north by east to the intersection of Seventh and Cedar streets in the City of Oakland, County of Alameda.

The length of said bridge is to be 4½ miles, the width thereof approximately 78 feet.

Filed June 20, 1927.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, October* 31, 1927, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Byington, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—12.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Harrelson, Hayden, Roncovieri—6.

Application of Roy C. Hackley for permission to construct a toll bridge across San Francisco Bay as follows:

Beginning at or near and within 200 feet of the intersection of the center line of Fremont street with the center line of Brannan street, in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and running north approimately 83 degrees east, 19,400 feet, more or less, to a point in the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California, located approximately 600 feet south of the south training wall of the Oakland Fstuary.

The length of said bridge is to be approximately 19,400 feet and the width thereof is to be 60 feet, more or less.

Filed with Board of Supervisors Monday, June 27, 1927.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, October* 31, 1927, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Byington, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent,

Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—12.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Harrelson, Hayden, Roncovieri—6.

Application of City Planning and Building Company for a franchise and for authority to erect, construct, maintain and operate a submarine tunnel and the right, permission and authority to make tolls thereon for a period of fifty (50) years at the following location, to-wit:

Entering the ground at a point in the ground bounded by Fifth, Sixth, Bryant and Brannan streets, thence running underground easterly to a point on the northerly or northwest line of China Basin between Second and Third streets entering under the Bay at a depth of 45 feet below low tide, sinking gradually to a depth of 50 feet below low tide, proceeding easterly to within 700 feet south of Seventh street at the shore line in Oakland, Alameda County, California.

The length of said submarine tunnel will be 21,120 feet under the water; said submarine tunnel will, in its inside dimensions, be 50 feet high and 68 feet in etreme width; that the same will have three floors, the upper floor for passenger automobiles, the main or central floor for railroad passenger cars, the lowest floor for automotive and heavy traffic trucks. Said tube will be divided perpendicularly into two halves, a right and a left half.

Filed Monday, July 25, 1927.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, October* 31, 1927, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Byington, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—12.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Harrelson, Hayden, Roncovieri—6.

Application of City Planning and Building Company for a franchise and for authority to erect, construct, maintain and operate a submarine tunnel and the right, permission and authority to make tolls thereon for a period of fifty (50) years at the following location, to-wit:

Entering the ground at a point in the block bounded by Sixth, Seventh, Hubbell and Irving streets, running thence underground in an easterly direction to a point where an extension of Fifteenth street strikes the Bay very close to El Dorado street; thence entering the Bay 45 feet below the level of the lowest tide and sinking gradually to a depth of 50 feet below the level of the lowest tide. and proceeding in an easterly direction and emerging at a point within 700 feet of a point where the center line of Lincoln avenue intersects the waters of the Bay of San Francisco in Alameda, Alameda County, State of California.

The length of said submarine tunnel to be 21,120 feet under water; said tunnel will be in its inside dimensions 50 feet high and 68 feet in extreme width; the same will have three floors, the upper floor for passenger automobiles and the main or central floor for railroad passenger cars, the lowest floor for automotive and heavy traffic trucks. Tube will be divided perpendicularly into two halves, a right and a left half.

Filed Monday, July 25, 1927.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, October* 31, 1927, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Byington, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—12.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Harrelson, Hayden, Roncovieri—6.

Application of City Planning and Building Company for a franchise and for authority to erect, construct, maintain and operate a submarine tunnel and the right, permission and authority to make tolls thereon for a period of fifty (50) years at the following locations, to-wit:

Entering the Bay at a point where the extension of the Marina boulevard extended easterly meets the Bay at Buchanan street close to Tonquin street, at a depth of at least 45 feet below the level of low tide and sinking gradually to a depth of at least 50 feet below the level of low tide, running thence in a general northerly direction to a point one mile south of the Town of Sausalito, Marin County, State of California; said submarine tunnel or tube will have a general curve in an easterly direction; that the length of said submarine tunnel will be 3,200 feet under water. Its inside dimensions to be 50 feet high and 68 feet in extreme width; the same will have three floors, the upper floor for passenger automobiles, the main or central floor for railroad passenger cars, the lower floor for automotive and heavy traffic trucks. The tunnel or tube will de divided perpendicularly into two halves, a right and a left half.

Filed Monday, July 25, 1927.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, October* 31, 1927, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Byington, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—12.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Htrrelson, Hayden, Roncovieri—6.

Application of John P. O'Connell for a franchise for a toll bridge between the City and County of San Francisco and the County of Alameda.

Beginning at or near and within three hundred (300) feet northerly or southerly of the intersection of the northerly line of Pier 34 with the seawall at about the foot of Brannan street, in the City and County of San Francisco, and extending thence about north seventy-seven and one-half (77½) degrees east (true) to a point immediately southwest of and adjacent to the Southern Pacific Alameda Mole, and about six hundred (600) yards, more or less, east by south from the westerly extremity of said Mole, and thence about south seventy-two (72) degrees east (true) to the intersection of said line with the bank or shore of San Francisco Bay at its most westerly intersection with said line, in the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California.

That the length of said bridge on said lines will be about seventeen thousand seven hundred (17,700) feet, more or less, on the first of said lines and seven thousand two hundred (7,200) feet, more or less, on the second of said lines, making about twenty-four thousand nine hundred (24,900), more or less, feet for the total length of said bridge, not including approaches; that the width of the roadway on said bridge will be one hundred (100) feet or such other width as the Board of Supervisors may direct and require under Section 2873 of the Political Code.

Filed August 15, 1927.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, October* 31, 1927, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Byington, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—12.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Htrrelson, Hayden, Roncovieri—6.

Application of John P. O'Connell for a franchise for a toll bridge between the City and County of San Francisco and the County of Alameda.

Beginning at or near and within three hundred (300) feet northerly or southerly of the intersection of the northern line of Pier Forty-six (46) with the seawall at about the foot of Berry street in the City and County of San Francisco and extending thence about north seventy-two (72) degrees east (true) along a straight line to a point immediately southwest of and adjacent to the Southern Pacific Alameda Mole, and about six hundred (600) yards, more or less, east by south from the westerly extremity of said Mole, and thence about south seventy-two (72) degrees east (true) to the intersection of said line with the bank or shore of San Francisco Bay at its most westerly intersection with said line, in the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California.

That the length of said bridge on said lines will be about eighteen thousand two hundred (18,200) feet, more or less, on the first of said lines and seven thousand two hundred (7,200) feet, more or less, on the second of said lines, making about twenty-five thousand four hundred (25,400), more or less, feet for the total length of said bridge, not including approaches; that the width of the roadway on said bridge will be one hundred (100) feet or such other width as the Board of Supervisors may direct and require under Section 2873 of the Political Code.

Filed August 15, 1927.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, October* 31, 1927, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Byington, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—12.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Htrrelson, Hayden, Roncovieri—6.

Application of Transbay Development Corporation for a franchise to construct, maintain and operate and take tolls on a toll bridge over and across the Bay of San Francisco from the City and County of San Francisco to the cities of Oakland and Alameda, Alameda County.

The approximate location of said bridge is as follows: That said proposed toll bridge is to be located, constructed, operated and maintained across San Francisco Bay between Rincon Hill in the

City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and the cities of Oakland and Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California, the termini in Oakland to be at or near the intersection of Seventh and Cedar streets and the foot of Peralta street, and in Alameda at a point on the mainland about five hundred feet southerly from the base of the Alameda Mole; the bridge proper to extend from a point at or near the intersection of Second and Harrison streets, San Francisco, in a generally northeasterly direction on a bearing about north seventy-eight degrees east (true) to a point about eighteen hundred feet inland from the outer end of the Alameda Mole and approximately five hundred feet southerly from the center line of the double track of the Southern Pacific Company; the approaches on the eastern shore to extend from the point last mentioned generally parallel to and about five hundred feet distant from and on the southerly side of the double track of the Southern Pacific Company; on said mole to the mainland in the City of Alameda, and with branches commencing at a point approximately thirty-two hundred feet from the point of beginning of the approaches and running to Seventh and Cedar streets and the foot of Peralta street in the City of Oakland; the approaches on the San Francisco side to be in continuation of the bridge proper from Second and Harrison streets or that vicinity, along Harrison, Fremont, Tehama, Seventh, Bryant and Second streets, and/or elsewhere as the public convenience may require; the length of the bridge proper to be approximately three and eight (3.8) tenths miles and of the bridge and approaches from five and three-quarters (5¾) miles to six and three-quarters (6¾) miles approximately; that the breadth of the proposed bridge is to be forty-two feet in the clear as a minimum, the actual breadth to be determined according to best engineering practice to conform to the length of spans demanded by foundation conditions and by the requirements of the War Department.

Filed September 27, 1927.

On motion of Supervisor Shannon, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, October* 31, 1927, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Byington, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—12.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Colman, Htrrelson, Hayden, Roncovieri—6.

Death of Supervisor Edwin G. Bath.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco learns with deep regret of the passnig of Supervisor Edwin G. Bath, whose honorable service on the Board endeared him to his colleagues and to the people of San Francisco. Supervisor Bath's career was full of honor, integrity and probity. His passing is a distinct loss to the City of San Francisco.

ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business, the Board at the hour of 4:45 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors December 12, 1927.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated, and approved as above recited.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors.
City and County of San Francisco.

SAN FRANCISCO PUBLIC LIBRARY

Vol. 22—New Series No. 44

Monday, October 31, 1927

Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
337 Bush Street, S. F.

JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, OCTOBER 31, 1927, 10 A. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, October 31, 1927, 10 a. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—14.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Roncovieri, Hayden—4.

Quorum present.

His Honor Mayor Rolph presiding.

APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the meeting of June 20, 1927, was considered read and approved.

ROLL CALL FOR PETITIONS FROM MEMBERS.

Death of Captain Henry Gleeson, Traffic Squad.

Supervisor Schmidt presented:

Resolution No. 28028 (New Series), as follows:

The Board of Supervisors learns with regret of the passing of Police Captain Henry Gleeson, who for many years faithfully and honorably served the City of San Francisco. The Board feels that a severe loss has been sustained by the people of this community. Since 1888 Henry Gleeson, by his character and ability, performed outstanding service in the Police Department. The members of the Board feel a deep and personal loss and extend to his bereaved family profound sympathy.

The Board adjourned out of respect to his memory.

Adopted unanimously by rising vote.

Names of Gold Star Mothers to Be Inscribed on War Memorial Tablet.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 27990 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, funds have been provided and plans are practically completed for the erection of a building as a memorial to the heroic men who fell in the defense of the country in the World War; and

Whereas, the supreme sacrifice of these brave men was mourned by all their countrymen, yet none felt it so keenly as their mothers, who could only find solace in the fact that they, too, had made the greatest sacrifice at their command in giving their sons to the cause of humanity; therefore, be it

Resolved, That San Francisco honor these Gold Star Mothers by inscribing their names on a suitable tablet in the War Memorial Building; and be it

Further Resolved, That the trustees of the War Memorial be requested to place a suitable tablet in the War Memorial Building with the names of all of San Francisco's Gold Star Mothers inscribed thereon as a tribute to the sacrifice they made for their country.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd —13.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Hayden, Roncovieri, Shannon —5.

Committee to Secure National Republican Convention.

Supervisor Colman presented:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, San Francisco is inviting the delegates of the Republican National Committee to hold the 1928 national convention of the Republican party here; and

Whereas, the entire citizenry of San Francisco points with exceeding pride to the efficiency with which the 1920 Democratic convention was staged in this City, and can assure delegates that this successful meeting will be equaled, if not surpassed, should San Francisco be selected; and

Whereas, the National Republican party will meet in Washington on December 6, 1927, to arrange for the 1928 convention of the Republican party; therefore, be it

Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors, representing the entire populace of the City of San Francisco, goes on record for the appointment of a special committee or representative to appear with the San Francisco Chamber of Commerce and the San Francisco Hotel Association next December in Washington to present our plea that San Francisco be chosen as the 1928 convention city.

Referred to Mayor Rolph.

Assessor Sent for.

Supervisor McSheehy requested Clerk to send for Assessor and have him present sometime during this meeting.

Amendment to Zoning Ordinance, Nineteenth Avenue and Sloat Boulevard.

Supervisor Marks presented a proposed bill providing for changing zone at Nineteenth avenue and Sloat boulevard from First to Second Residential in order to permit the construction at said intersection, he said, of a proposed $1,000,000 Christian Science Home. Maps were filed also and the entire matter *referred to the City Planning Commission.*

Discussion in re 333 Kearny Street, Etc.

Supervisor Havenner: Now, Mr. Chairman, on last Monday this Board adopted a motion, requesting the Grand Jury to investigate certain rumors that were in circulation with relation to the ownership of the building at 333 Kearny street, a portion of which has been leased by this Board for over a year. Since that time you, Mr. Mayor, have made a statement in the public press that, at one time, the building was owned by a firm of which you were a member, I believe, and that subsequently, upon its transfer to former Supervisor Ralph McLeran, that an agreement was in existence between the firm which you represented and Mr. McLeran for a division of any of the net profits that might result from the sale of the building. On the same day in the public press that your statement was published, Mr. McLeran made a statement in which he said, in effect, if I remember the quotation correctly, that you had never had a dime's worth of interest in the building, and denied that any such agreement was in existence. Now, you will probably remember that I, personally, assumed a good deal of responsibility in connection with the lease of the premises at 333 Kearny street. My two colleagues on the Finance Committee opposed that lease, not on the ground of any question concerning its ownership but on various general grounds. I was the third member of the Finance Committee, and I had been also a member of the special committee appointed by this Board to recommend the leasing of quarters for city departments that had previously been housed in the Hall of Justice. I recommended and joined with the committee in recommending the lease of these quarters at 333 Kearny street. My two colleagues of the Finance Committee opposed that lease and they opposed it—and it was their right to do so—at the time of budget making and declined to recommend any provision to this Board for the appropriation of money through the budget for the payment of that lease during the last fiscal year. I, personally, not being in agreement with my colleagues at that time on that matter, and believing that the recommendation for the leasing of 333 Kearny street had been entered into in good faith by this Board, I, personally, on the floor of the Board, amended the budget and provided for the appropriation to pay for that rental. I therefore feel a considerable personal responsibility with respect to the integrity of that lease, and since these statements have been published, since our last meeting, in conference with the City Attorney, I have been advised that it was our duty to have a record of the termination of any agreement between yourself or any other city official, and the owner of that building, or the coowner of that building, with respect to any division of profits that might result from the sale of that building, merely in order to clear this up. And I am informed by the City Attorney that it ought to be our duty to do it. I desire to announce now, with the consent of my colleagues on the Finance Committee, that the Finance Committee, under the authority vested in it by the provisions of Section 3, Chapter 2, Article 2 of the Charter, will conduct an investigation, merely to establish definitely the termination of any agreement that might have existed with respect to the ownership of that building, so that, when the matter comes before the Board—and it is now

pending before the Board—I and the other members of this Board will be advised whether there is any legal reason why we should not proceed with this lease. If there is not, so far as I am concerned, I see no reason for changing my previous vote. If there is, it is my right, and it is the right of the Board to be advised of it, and we ought to have the record definitely settled. And that will be the purpose of this investigation, and the City Attorney will be asked to prepare the necessary subpoenas to bring witnesses before the Finance Committee and to require their testimony on this matter.

City Attorney O'Toole: May I have the privilege of the floor: I think, Mr. Havenner, that when you said that I advised you that it was your duty, I think I said I thought that the committee had that privilege.

Supervisor Havenner: I will take the responsibility on myself then. You said it was our right.

City Attorney O'Toole: Yes.

Supervisor Havenner: I think it is our duty so long as there is any question that has not been definitely settled.

Supervisor Byington: I did not get the motion myself.

Supervisor Havenner: There is not a motion, I merely served notice on behalf of the Finance Committee that we will, under our Charter authority, conduct this investigation to determine the date of the termination of any agreement.

Supervisor Byington: I merely want to say, in regard to the statement made by Mr. Havenner, that the Building Committee consists of Mr. Powers, Mr. Deasy and myself. Considerable has been said here about there being a suspicion in reference to a lease. I have sat now on that committee for about ten months, and I think Mr. Deasy will bear me out, we have discussed, from the very beginning, as to whether or not the District Attorney's office should return to the Hall of Justice. Mr. Powers, Mr. Deasy and myself went down to the Hall of Justice and looked over the Hall of Justice to see if there were accommodations there sufficient for the District Attorney's office and for the Probation Officer. We brought that up from time to time. I myself have expressed the opinion that the District Attorney should be in the Hall of Justice. They spoke about canceling the lease. I think Mr. Deasy will bear me out that during nearly the year that we have sat on that committee the chairman of the committee never said a word about there being anything irregular in reference to the lease, never a word was brought before that committee, and I never heard of it until Mr. Havenner rose here on the floor of this Board last Monday and made the statement. It seems to me that, among gentlemen, there ought to be openness and sincerity. If anybody connected with the Building Committee knew that there was anything irregular he owed it to his colleagues on the committee to state that frankly and openly. It should have been done six or eight months ago. It never was done. I was taken by surprise by the remarks of Mr. Havenner at the last meeting of this Board, and I say that that is not a fair, open and outstanding and manly way of conducting the business of this legislative body.

Supervisor Powers: Mr. Chairman, as chairman of the Building Committee there was a special committee appointed before I took office. I had been against the District Attorney moving out of the Hall of Justice from the time of the inception of the bill. I did not know that there were any papers between officials. I had heard a rumor of it but I do not go on rumors. I will never bring anything up in this Board of Supervisors that I cannot prove. I was surprised to read in the statement of Mayor Rolph when it came out last week that his firm had some sort of an agreement with former Supervisor McLeran. I knew nothing about that until the statement came out in the paper last week. If I had known it, I would have brought it up in committee, which the committee knows and every member of this Board of Supervisors knows that I was thoroughly against the District Attorney moving out of the Hall of Justice. I knew there was ample floor space in the Hall of Justice for the District Attorney to attend to his business there.

If anybody in the city government had any information that is coming out today they should have come in and given it to the chairman of the Building Committee, so that I could have brought it out and told the truth to my colleagues on the Board and to the citizens of San Francisco. Bringing it up as a campaign issue today, anybody that will say that I brought that up as a campaign issue, it is the falsest remark that was ever

uttered out of anybody's lips, because I have been on my feet here, week in and week out, for twenty months. How could I bring it up as a political issue when I have been on my feet for twenty months, every month, fighting against the rent of that building down there? I have been honest and sincere in that bill as any man that ever walked in two shoes. I did not want the District Attorney to move, and in my first term as a member of this Board of Supervisors, I was on the Building Committee, and when that bill came up I said: "Let us renovate that building down there that we can make accommodations for the officials that are down there," but we could never get the money to do it. And I challenge anybody to tell me that I brought this up as a campaign issue because I have been battling it for twenty months.

The Mayor: Well, it is very strange, Mr. Powers and Mr. Havenner, that on the 16th day of May, 1927, when you were both present, that Mr. McSheehy submitted a full and complete report, which is printed in the record of the Board of Supervisors, showing all the transfers of that property, and to come in here today and to say that you never knew anything about it, when you were present, is simply ridiculous.

Supervisor Havenner: I never heard, and I do not think any member of this Board ever heard any direct statement that there was an agreement in existence with respect to the division of the profits of that building after it was transferred to Mr. McLeran. I defended the lease to Mr. McLeran because Mr. McLeran was no longer a member of this Board, and although I had been opposed to him politically, and had been opposed by him in a very bitter political way, I did not think that that could influence my recommendations here. I was convinced at the time that these were the best quarters offered to us, and so I voted in favor of it. But there was never any official information in the hands of this Board as to the existence of any agreement for the division of profits that might result from the sale of that building until last Tuesday or Wednesday morning when this statement was published.

The Mayor: You peddled this all around for months, all of you, and all of you knew it, and everyone of you knew it.

Supervisor Havenner: We did not know it. I heard the rumors and so stated here last Monday, but I did not know that any such thing was in existence. I had never been told what the terms of any agreement were. I heard the rumor that there was an agreement. You know, Mr. Mayor, very well that, if that agreement had been revealed to this Board at the time the Board was entering into this lease, it would have been the subject of a very careful and thorough discussion here on the floor of the Board. It could not have failed to be. We would have required to be shown that the agreement no longer existed. That was our duty under the Charter. We did not know it then and I never knew it with any authority, or authority enough to state it, until you published the statement.

The Mayor: Well, I told the truth.

Supervisor Havenner: That is true. All we want to do now is to find out when that agreement was terminated, and that is our duty.

Bridge Matters Deferred.

Bridge hearings, fixed for 11 a. m., were, on motion, *laid over until afternoon session at* 2:30 *p. m.*

RECESS.

Thereupon, the Board at 1:20 p. m. took a recess until 2:30 p. m.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk.

REASSEMBLED—2:30 P. M.

The Board of Supervisors reassembled at 2:30 p. m.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—14.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Roncovieri, Shannon—4.

Quorum present.

His Honor Mayor Rolph presiding.

UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

Final Passage.

The following matters, heretofore passed for printing, were taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Authorizations.

Resolution No. 27991 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following

amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

Park Fund.

(1) Pacific Gas & Electric Co., gas and electric service for parks (claim dated Oct. 21, 1927), $3,955.28.

(2) Crescent Oil Co., gasoline for parks (claim dated Oct. 21, 1927), $523.65.

(3) Langendorf Baking Co., bread for parks (claim dated Oct. 21, 1927), $723.63.

(4) National Ice Cream Co., ice cream for parks (claim dated Oct. 21, 1927), $1,680.40.

(5) San Francisco Dairy Co., milk, etc., for parks (claim dated Oct. 21, 1927), $756.65.

School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1918.

(6) Underwood Typewriter Co., typewriters for Portola Junior High School (claim dated Oct. 18, 1927), $1,120.

Hetch Hetchy Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1925.

(7) S. C. Carosio Co., truck hire (claim dated Oct. 14, 1927), $705.25.

(8) General Electric Co., electrical equipment (claim dated Oct. 14, 1927), $2,047.

(9) The Giant Powder Co., Con., explosives (claim dated Oct. 14, 1927), $6,622.12.

(10) R. A. Hansen, fuel oil, etc. (claim dated Oct. 14, 1927), $571.10.

(11) Hales & Symons, Inc., mining wedges, etc. (claim dated Oct. 14, 1927), $1,159.81.

(12) T. M. Hughes, oak chunk wood (claim dated Oct. 14, 1927), $1,875.

(13) H. R. Landfare Co., cedar poles (claim dated Oct. 14, 1927), $856.80.

(14) The Charles Nelson Co., lumber (claim dated Oct. 15, 1927), $2,061.65.

(15) Western Pipe & Steel Co., air pipe (claim dated Oct. 14, 1927), $3.204.

(16) The White Company, White truck parts (claim dated Oct. 15, 1927), $563.15.

(17) Wilsey, Bennett Co., butter, eggs and cheese (claim dated Oct. 14, 1927), $1,002.40.

(18) The Cutler-Hammer Mfg. Co., one lifting magnet (claim dated Oct. 11, 1927), $640.

(19) Hercules Powder Co., explosives (claim dated Oct. 19, 1927), $2.152.05.

(20) Ingersoll Rand Co. of California, machinery parts (claim dated Oct. 19, 1927), $889.62.

(21) J. E. Knapp Co., one compound compressor (claim dated Oct. 18, 1927), $2,500.

(22) J. H. McCallum, lumber (claim dated Oct. 19, 1927), $620.54.

(23) Pope & Talbot, lumber (claim dated Oct. 19, 1927), $1,114.42.

(24) State Compensation Insurance Fund, premium on insurance of Hetch Hetchy employees (claim dated Oct. 19, 1927), $3,554.76.

(25) Santa Fe Lumber Co., lumber (claim dated Oct. 17, 1927), $657.97.

(26) T. E. Connolly and J. M. De Lucca, ninth payment, construction of aqueduct tunnels, Foothill Division (claim dated Oct. 13, 1927), $27,136.52.

(27) A. Guthrie & Co., Inc., tenth payment, construction of aqueduct tunnels, Foothill Division (claim dated Oct. 13, 1927), $42,454.57.

Special School Tax.

(28) Fess System Co., one No. 2 HRSB turbine for Adams School (claim dated Oct. 18, 1927), $1,171.62.

(29) J. H. McCallum, lumber for schools (claim dated Oct. 18, 1927), $937.57.

County Road Fund.

(30) Eclipse Lime & Cement Co., cement for repairs to streets (claim dated Oct. 18, 1927), $880.70.

Municipal Railway Fund.

(31) Market Street Railway Co., electric power furnished Municipal Railways (claim dated Oct. 17, 1927), $3,218.55.

(32) Market Street Railway Co., reimbursement per agreement of December 12, 1918 (claim dated Oct. 17, 1927), $1,508.55.

Municipal Railway Depreciation Fund.

(33) Mack-International Motor Truck Corporation, three 25-passenger Mack busses for Municipal Railways (claim dated Oct. 19, 1927), $21,996.90.

(34) Market Street Railway Co., repairs to tracks of Municipal Railways (claim dated Oct. 17, 1927), $1,691.19.

Fire Department Land and Construction Fund.

(35) Frederick H. Meyer, final payment, architectural services, Fire Department Engine House No. 19 (claim dated Oct. 14, 1927), $1,182.35.

School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923.

(36) John Reid, Jr., sixth payment; architectural services for Sherman School (claim dated Oct. 14, 1927), $731.62.

(37) John Reid, Jr., fourth pay-

ment, architectural services for Hearst-Moulder School (claim dated Oct. 14, 1927), $911.79.

(38) Reliable Plumbing Co., fifth payment, mechanical equipment for Hearst-Moulder School (claim dated Oct. 19, 1927), $1,112.45.

Hetch Hetchy Power Operative Fund.

(39) Depreciation Fund, Hetch Hetchy power operative, as depreciation reserve, per Charter provision (claim dated Oct. 13, 1927), $14,583.

General Fund.

(40) Langendorf Baking Co., bread, County Jails (claim dated Oct. 13, 1927), $838.16.

(41) Del Monte Meat Co., meats, County Jails (claim dated Oct. 13, 1927), $1,321.74.

(42) Board of Park Commissioners, reimbursement for construction of bridle path (claim dated Oct. 21, 1927), $1,528.80.

(43) Board of Park Commissioners, reimbursement for construction of convenience stations in Kezar Stadium and McCoppin Square (claim dated Oct. 21, 1927), $1,470.63.

(44) Board of Park Commissioners, reimbursement for beautification of Civic Center (claim dated Oct. 21, 1927), $871.30.

(45) J. H. McCallum, lumber for handball courts, Golden Gate Park (claim dated Oct. 21, 1927), $628.02.

(46) Board of Park Commissioners, reimbursement for construction of handball courts (claim dated Oct. 21, 1927), $954.87.

(47) James Rolph, Jr., for October incidental expense (claim dated Oct. 24, 1927), $523.75.

(48) The Spring Valley Water Co., water for sprinkling streets (claim dated Oct. 11, 1927), $652.39.

(49) Equitable Asphalt Maintenance Co., asphalt, street resurfacing (claim dated Oct. 11, 1927), $904.36.

(50) Symon Brothers, team furnished for street cleaning (claim dated Oct. 15, 1927), $1,434.60.

(51) California Petroleum Corporation, fuel oil, Civic Center Power House (claim dated Oct. 14, 1927), $691.76.

(52) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., lighting, public buildings (claim dated Oct. 18, 1927), $3,653.83.

(53) St. Vincent's School, maintenance of minors (claim dated Oct. 19, 1927), $1,826.78.

(54) The Boy's Aid Society, maintenance of minors (claim dated Oct. 19, 1927), $1,226.47.

(55) S. F. Protestant Orphanage, maintenance of minors (claim dated Oct. 19, 1927), $953.81.

(56) Roman Catholic Orphanage, maintenance of minors (claim dated Oct. 19, 1927), $3,473.15.

(57) The Albertinum School, maintenance of minors (claim dated Oct. 19, 1927), $1,377.75.

(58) Little Children's Aid, maintenance of minors (claim dated Oct. 19, 1927), $13,229.17.

(59) The Children's Agency, maintenance of minors (claim dated Oct. 19, 1927), $31,755.79.

(60) Eureka Benevolent Society, maintenance of minors (claim dated Oct. 19, 1927), $4,083.81.

(61) E. B. Frick, San Francisco Hospital employees' room allowance (claim dated Oct. 20, 1927), $2,813.19.

(62) Folgers Coffee, coffee, S. F. Hospital (claim dated Oct. 20, 1927), $540 .

(63) Standard Fisheries, fish, S. F. Hospital (claim dated Oct. 20, 1927), $572.20.

(64) San Francisco Dairy Co., milk, S. F. Hospital (claim dated Oct. 20, 1927), $4,456.69.

(65) California Petroleum Co., fuel oil, S. F. Hospital (claim dated Oct. 20, 1927), $2,108.19.

(66) Spring Valley Water Co., water for Laguna Honda Home (claim dated Oct. 20, 1927), $773.37.

(67) Spring Valley Water Co., water for S. F. Hospital (claim dated Oct. 20, 1927), $1,724.17.

(68) California Petroleum Corporation, fuel oil, Laguna Honda Home (claim dated Oct. 20, 1927), $1,713.40.

(69) James J. Maher, expense of E. A. Wolcott and self attending Civil Service Convention, Buffalo, N. Y. (claim dated Oct. 20, 1927), $1,000.

(70) H. Moffat Co., meat, Laguna Honda Home (claim dated Oct. 20, 1927), $1,217.09.

(71) Pendleton Woolen Mills, blankets for Preventorium, San Mateo County (claim dated Oct. 20, 1927), $890.57.

(72) San Francisco Bulletin, official advertising (claim dated Oct. 24, 1927), $1,522.77.

(73) A. P. Jacobs, rent of premises No. 333 Kearny street, August 3 to November 3, three months, at $1,186 (claim dated Oct. 24, 1927), $3,558.

(74) James G. Stafford and associates, second payment, contract for appraisal of taxable improvements (claim dated Oct. 22, 1927), $2,490.75.

Playground Fund.

(75) Yosemite Park & Curry Co., services of 14-passenger car and driver, June and July (claim dated Oct. 19, 1927), $996.

(76) Yosemite Park & Curry Co., services of 14-passenger car and

driver (claim dated Oct. 19, 1927), $781.50.

(77) John J. Carmody, part payment for construction at Excelsior Playground (claim dated Oct. 19, 1927), $750.

(78) Klawans & McMullin, playground supplies (claim dated Oct. 19, 1927), $1,280.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—14.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Roncovieri, Shannon—4.

Appropriation, $8,500, Payment to Frank Chianelli et al. for Property Required for the Opening of the Bay Shore Boulevard.

Resolution No. 27992 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $8,500 be and the same is hereby authorized in payment out of County Road Fund, appropriation heretofore made, to Frank Chianelli and Rosa Chianelli; being payment for property described in acceptance of offer as per Resolution No. 27930 (New Series), and required for the opening of the Bay Shore boulevard. (Claim dated Oct. 19, 1927.)

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—14.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Roncovieri, Shannon—4.

Refund Tax Judgment.

Resolution No. 27993 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside and appropriated out of "Tax Judgments," Appropriation 59, and authorized in payment to the hereinafter named persons; being one-tenth of amount of judgments, including interest, and as approved by the City Attroney, to-wit:

(1) To Hugo D. Newhouse as attorney for judgment creditors, per schedule attached (claim dated Nov. 22, 1927), $19,397.58.

(2) To Pillsbury, Madison & Sutro as attorneys for judgment creditors, per schedule attached (claim dated Oct. 18, 1927), $3,125.12.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—14.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Roncovieri, Shannon—4.

Appropriation, $65,000, for Construction of Hangar No. 2, Municipal Airport.

Resolution No. 27994 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $65,000 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of the General Fund, Fiscal Year 1927-1928, for the following constructions and improvements at the San Francisco Municipal Airport, Mills Field, to-wit:

For the construction of Hangar No. 2; for the construction of a comfort station, and for the improving of existing entrance by widening the approach fill to a 50-foot shoulder radius, the placing of a guard rail on the existing bridge and on the road approach, and the placing of rock surfacing.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—14.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Roncovieri, Shannon—4.

Appropriation, $180,585.20, Construction of Annex, Paul Revere School.

Resolution No. 27995 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of Special School Tax, Budget Item No. 1, Fiscal Year 1927-1928, for the construction of annex to the Paul Revere School, to-wit:

General construction (Frank Reilly contract), $135,720.

Electrical work (Alta Elec. Co. contract), $10,800.

Plumbing and gas-fitting (Thos. Skelly contract), $8,700.

Mechanical equipment (A. Lettich contract), $14,200.

Architectural fees, $1,165.20.

Possible extras, incidentals and inspection, $10,000.

Total, $180,585.20.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—14.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Roncovieri, Shannon—4.

Appropriations Out of County Road Fund for Improvement of Boulevards and Streets.

Resolution No. 27996 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of the County Road Fund for the improve-

ment of the following boulevards and streets, to-wit:

(1) Junipero Serra boulevard, $100,000.

(2) Presidio avenue, Geary to California streets, $10,000.

(3) Twenty-third avenue, Anza to Cabrillo streets, $6,000.

(4) Faith street between Holladay and San Bruno avenues, $5,250.

(5) Holladay avenue from Peralta to Adam streets, $25,000.

(6) Capital avenue between Lakeview and Thrift streets, $1,000.

(7) Montgomery street between Green and Union streets, $7,256.52.

(8) Crossing at Bay and Lyon streets, $367.12.

(9) Lyon street, Chestnut to Bay streets, $5,669.76.

(10) Buena Vista Terrace from Buena Vista avenue to Roosevelt way, $3,200.

(11) Washington street, Montgomery to Sansome streets, $3,500.

(12) Marina boulevard, northerly side, $13,000.

(13) San Jose avenue between Ocean and Geneva avenues, $1,346.14.

(14) Twenty-ninth street between Castro and Diamond streets, $7,000.

Total, $188,589.54.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—14.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Roncovieri, Shannon—4.

Appropriation for Sewer Work, New Smokestack in Civic Center Power House and Improvement of Park Street.

Resolution No. 27997 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned funds for the following purposes, to-wit:

Extension and Reconstruction of Sewers—Budget Item No. 38.

(1) For the cost of construction of the Thirtieth avenue sewer, Sunset District, Contract No. 5, awarded to Federal Construction Co., $28,982.60.

(2) For inspection and extras on said sewer construction, $2,317.40.

Repairs to Public Buildings—Budget Item No. 59.

(3) For cost of constructing a new smokestack on the Civic Center Power House, $1,200.

Street Reconstruction—Budget Item No. 81.

(4) For the improvement of Park street between Leese and Mission streets (Eaton & Smith), $1,800.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—14.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Roncovieri, Shannon—4.

Appropriation, $2,500, to Cover Deficit in Operating Expense, Municipal Water Works.

Resolution No. 27999 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $2,500 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of the General Fund, Fiscal Year 1927-1928, to the credit of the "Water Works Fund" to meet deficit in the operating expense of the Municipal Water Works.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—14.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Roncovieri, Shannon—4.

Appropriation, $3,479.68, Publicity and Advertising, Redwood Empire Association.

Resolution No. 28000 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $3,479.68 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of Publicity and Advertising, Appropriation 55, in payment to the Redwood Empire Association, for expense of advertising, printing, etc., for the publicity and advertising of San Francisco.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—14.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Roncovieri, Shannon—4.

Oil Tank Permits.

Resolution No. 28001 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits be and are hereby granted:

Oil Tanks.

Joe Baslie, 1361 Kearny street, 600 gallons capacity.

Bauer Cooperage Co., 2345 Keith street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Mrs. J. Behrendt, 547 Page street, 1500 gallons capacity.

E. M. Dougherty, 3320 Baker street, 750 gallons capacity.

San Francisco Examiner, 350 Folsom street, 1500 gallons capacity.

L. T. Frank, 1827 Vallejo street, 1500 gallons capacity.

E. Galey, northwest corner Clay street and Presidio avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

A. C. Hamerton, north side of Fulton street, 95 feet east of Baker street, 1500 gallons capacity.

P. Marconi, south side of Beach street, 150 feet west of Fillmore street, 1500 gallons capacity.

G. Murray, east side of Cervantes boulevard, 175 feet south of Beach street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Dr. J. E. Nast, 3783 Twentieth street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Steve and Konstantinos Sarantitis, south side of Seventeenth street, 196 feet east of Valencia street, 1500 gallons capacity.

A. J. Wilbe, north side of Monterey boulevard, 145 feet east of San Eliso avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

C. Winkler, 2715 Filbert street, 600 gallons capacity.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits shall become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—14.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Roncovieri, Shannon—4.

Laundry Permit.

Resolution No. 28002 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That J. Claverie be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate a laundry at 1066 Oak street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—14.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Roncovieri, Shannon—4.

Transfer of Garage Permit.

Resolution No. 28003 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That McLachlan & Finley be and are hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred to them public garage permit heretofore granted Dunleavy & Monlia by Resolution No. 19904 (New Series) for premises at 3512 Sixteenth street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—14.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Roncovieri, Shannon—4.

Blasting Permits.

Resolution No. 28004 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Sibley Grading & Teaming Co. be and are hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to explode blasts on property at the southwest corner of Eighteenth and Missouri streets, provided said permittee shall execute and file a good and sufficient bond in the sum of $5,000 as fixed by the Board of Public Works and approved by his Honor the Mayor, in accordance with Ordinance No. 1204; provided, also, that said blasts shall be exploded only between the hours of 7 a. m. and 6 p. m. and that the work of blasting shall be performed to the satisfaction of the Board of Public Works, and that if any of the conditions of this resolution be violated by said Sibley Grading & Teaming Co., then the privileges and all rights accruing thereunder shall immediately become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—14.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Roncovieri, Shannon—4.

Resolution No. 28005 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Granfield, Farrar & Carlin be and are hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to explode blasts on property situate at Romain street and Corbett road, provided said permitee shall execute and file a good and sufficient bond in the sum of $5,000 as fixed by the Board of Public Works and approved by his Honor the Mayor, in accordance with Ordinance No. 1204; provided, also, that said blasts shall be exploded only between the hours of 7 a. m. and 6 p. m., and that the work of blasting shall be performed to the satisfaction of the Board of Public Works, and that if any of the conditions of this resolution be violated by said Granfield, Farrar & Carlin, then the privileges and all

rights accruing thereunder shall immediately become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—14.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Roncovieri, Shannon—4.

Resolution No, 28006 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That J. P. Holland, Inc., be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to explode blasts on property situate on the north side of Greenwich street, 150 feet west of Polk street, provided said permittee shall execute and file a good and sufficient bond in the sum of $15,000, as fixed by the Board of Public Works and approved by his Honor the Mayor, in accordance with Ordinance No. 1204; provided, also, that said blasts shall be exploded only between the hours of 7 a. m. and 6 p. m., and that the work of blasting shall be performed to the satisfaction of the Board of Public Works, and that if any of the conditions of this resolution be violated by said J. P. Holland, Inc., then the privileges and all rights accruing thereunder shall immediately become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—14.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Roncovieri, Shannon—4.

Conveyance of Lands to United States Government for Privileges Granted Under Raker Act.

Resolution No. 28007 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, is the owner of the hereinafter described real property; and

Whereas, by the Act of Congress of December 19, 1913, commonly known as the "Raker Act," the Government of the United States ceded and granted to the City and County of San Francisco certain rights and privileges in the Yosemite National Park; and

Whereas, the people of the City and County of San Francisco did, through its Board of Supervisors, by ordinance duly adopted on the 12th day of January, 1914, and approved by the Mayor of the City and County of San Francisco on the 13th day of January, 1914, accept the said grant from the said Government of the United States, subject to all the terms and conditions set forth in said Raker Act, which said ordinance has never been revoked, annulled, amended or set aside, and now remains in full force and effect as a valid ordinance and enactment of the people of the City and County of San Francisco; and

Whereas, it was provided in said Act that in consideration of the granting of said rights and privileges to the City and County of San Francisco, that the said City and County should convey to the United States by proper conveyance a good and sufficient title, free from all liens and incumbrances of any nature whatever, all tracts of land which were at the time of the passage and approval of said Act, owned by the City and County of San Francisco within the Yosemite National Park or in that part of the National Forest adjacent to said park, and which said lands were not actually required for use by the said City and County of San Francisco under the provisions of said Act; and

Whereas, the hereinafter described land is situate within the National Forest Reserve adjacent to Yosemite National Park, and was owned by the City and County of San Francisco at the time of the adoption and approval of said Raker Act; and

Whereas, said hereinafter described land is no longer required by the City and County for use under the provisions of the said Raker Act, or for use in connection with any development made by the said City and County under the terms and conditions contained in said Act; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That for the purpose of complying with the terms and conditions contained in the said Raker Act, and for the purpose of fulfilling the conditions thereof, that the City and County of San Francisco convey to the United States of America, by a proper conveyance, and free and clear from all liens and incumbrances of any nature whatever, those certain tracts or parcels of land hereinbefore referred to, and situate, lying and being in the County of Tuolumne, State of California, and particularly described as follows:

All of Section Sixteen (16), Township One (1) North, Range Nineteen (19) East, Mount Diablo Base and Meridian.

And be it

Further Resolved, That the Mayor of the City and County of San Francisco and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors of said City and County

be and they are hereby authorized, empowered and directed to make, execute and deliver a good and sufficient deed conveying all and singular the above-described property, free and clear of all incumbrances, to the United States of America.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—14.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Roncovieri, Shannon—4.

Resolution No. 28008 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, is the owner of the hereinafter described real property; and

Whereas, by the Act of Congress of December 19, 1913, commonly known as the Raker Act, the Government of the United States ceded and granted to the City and County of San Francisco certain rights and privileges in the Yosemite National Park; and

Whereas, the people of the City and County of San Francisco did, through its Board of Supervisors, by ordinance duly adopted on the 12th day of January, 1914, and approved by the Mayor of the City and County of San Francisco on the 13th day of January, 1914, accept the said grant from the said government of the United States, subject to all the terms and conditions set forth in said Raker Act, which said ordinance has never been revoked, annulled, amended or set aside, and now remains in full force and effect as a valid ordinance and enactment of the people of the City and County of San Francisco; and

Whereas, it was provided in said Act that in consideration of the granting of said rights and privileges to the City and County of San Francisco that the said City and County should convey to the United States, by proper conveyance, a good and sufficient title, free from all liens and incumbrances of any nature whatever, all tracts of land which were at the time of the passage and approval of said Act owned by the City and County of San Francisco within the Yosemite National Park, or in that part of the National Forest adjacent to said Park, and which said lands were not actually required for use by the said City and County of San Francisco under the provisions of said Act; and

Whereas, the hereinafter described land is situate within Yosemite National Park, and was owned by the City and County of San Francisco at the time of the adoption and approval of said Raker Act; and

Whereas, said hereinafter described land is no longer required by the City and County for use under the provisions of the said Raker Act, or for use in connection with any development made by the said City and County under the terms and conditions contained in said Act; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That for the purpose of complying with hte terms and conditions contained in the said Raker Act, and for the purpose of fulfilling the conditions thereof, that the City and County of San Francisco convey to the United States of America, by a proper conveyance, and free and clear from all liens and incumbrances of any nature whatever, those certain tracts or parcels of land hereinbefore referred to and situate, lying and being in the County of Tuolumne, State of California, and particularly described as follows, to-wit:

Parcel 1. The south half of the northwest quarter, the northeast quarter of the northwest quarter, and the northwest quarter of the southwest quarter of Section Thirty-two (32), Township One (1) North, Range Twenty (20) East, Mount Diablo Base and Meridian.

Parcel 2. The southwest quarter of the northeast quarter, and the south half of the northwest quarter of Section Five (5), Township One (1) North, Range Twenty-one (21) East, Mount Diablo Base and Meridian. And be it

Further Resolved, That the Mayor of the City and County of San Francisco, and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors of said City and County, be and they are hereby authorized, empowered and directed to make, execute and deliver a good and sufficient deed conveying all and singular the above described property, free and clear of all incumbrances, to the United States of America.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—14.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Roncovieri, Shannon—4.

Blasting Permits.

Resolution No. 28009 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That J. P. Holland is hereby granted permission, revo-

cable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to explode blasts on Wisconsin street between Twenty-third and Twenty-fifth streets during the grading of the street, provided said permittee shall execute and file a good and sufficient bond in the sum of $5,000, as fixed by the Board of Public Works and approved by his Honor the Mayor, in accordance with Ordinance No. 1204; provided, that said blasts shall be exploded only between the hours of 7 a. m. and 6 p. m., and that the work of blasting shall be performed to the satisfaction of the Board of Public Works, and that if any of the conditions of this resolution be violated by said J. P. Holland, then the privileges and all the rights accruing thereunder shall immediately become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—14.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Roncovieri, Shannon—4.

Resolution No. 28010 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That W. Q. Wright, Ralph A. Beebee and A. A. Peters are hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to explode blasts on slag pile in the Marina Yacht Harbor at the foot of Broderick street in connection with dredging, provided said permittees shall execute and file a good and sufficient bond in the sum of $5,000, as fixed by the Board of Public Works and approved by his Honor the Mayor, in accordance with Ordinance No. 1204 (New Series); provided, also, that said blasts shall be exploded only between the hours of 7 a. m. and 6 p. m., and that the work of blasting shall be performed to the satisfaction of the Board of Public Works, and that if any of the conditions of this resolution be violated by said W. Q. Wright, Ralph A. Beebee and A. A. Peters, then the privileges and all the rights accruing thereunder shall immediately become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—14.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Roncovieri, Shannon—4.

Resolution No. 28011 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Municipal Construction Company is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to explode blasts on Brussels street between Campbell and Wilde avenues, and on Campbell avenue between Brussels street and San Bruno avenue, provided said permittee shall execute a good and sufficient bond in the sum of $5,000, as fixed by the Board of Public Works and approved by his Honor the Mayor, in accordance with Ordinance No. 1204; provided, also, said blasts shall be exploded only between the hours of 7 a. m. and 6 p. m., and that the work of blasting shall be performed to the satisfaction of the Board of Public Works, and that if any of the conditions of this resolution be violated by said Municipal Construction Company, then the privileges and all the rights accruing thereunder shall immediately become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—14.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Roncovieri, Shannon—4.

Ordering Reconstruction and Repair of Certain Accepted Streets.

Bill No. 8263, Ordinance No. 7768 (New Series), as follows:

Authorizing and ordering the reconstruction and repair of certain accepted streets in the City and County of San Francisco under the supervision of the Board of Public Works of said City and County during the fiscal year ending June 30, 1928, and appropriating funds for the cost thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works of the City and County of San Francisco is hereby authorized and ordered to reconstruct and repair, under its supervision, those certain accepted streets in said City and County particularly designated and described in Ordinance No. 7558 (New Series), approved June 6, 1927.

There is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended by the said Board of Public Works the sum of $67,860, payable out of Item No. 81, Budget of 1927-1928, for the reconstruction and repair of the following streets, to-wit:

(1) California street, from Mason street to Van Ness avenue, $28,000.

(2) Hayes street, Franklin to Laguna streets, $8,000.

(3) Haight street, Scott to Baker streets, $13,700.

(4) Haight street, Buchanan to Scott streets, $10,560.

(5) Larkin street, Bay to North Point streets, $4,000.

(6) Twenty-first avenue, Cabrillo to Fulton streets, $3,600.

Total, $67,860.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—14.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Roncovieri, Shannon—4.

Authorizing Plans and Specifications, Second Unit of South Side High School.

Bill No. 8264, Ordinance No. 7769 (New Series), as follows:

Authorizing the preparation of plans and specifications for the second unit of the South Side (Balboa) High School and ordering the construction of the second unit of the South Side (Balboa) High School, to be constructed on City property on site bounded by Cayuga, Otsego, Oneida and Onondaga avenues, in accordance with said plans and specifications prepared therefor and as approved by the Board of Education.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized, instructed and empowered to prepare plans and specifications for the second unit of the South Side (Balboa) High School, and to enter into contract for the construction of the second unit of the South Side (Balboa) High School, to be constructed on City property on site bounded by Cayuga, Otsego, Oneida and Onondaga avenues in accordance with said plans and specifications prepared therefor and as approved by the Board of Education.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—14.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Roncovieri, Shannon—4.

Authorizing Plans and Specifications, Addition to Bernal Junior High School.

Bill No. 8265, Ordinance No. 7770 (New Series), as follows:

Authorizing the preparation of plans and specifications for an addition to the Bernal Junior High School, and ordering the construction of an addition to the Bernal Junior High School, to be constructed on City property located at Cortland, Moultrie, Jarboe and Andover streets, in accordance with said plans and specifications prepared therefor and as approved by the Board of Education.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized, instructed and empowered to prepare plans and specifications for an addition to the Bernal Junior High School, and to enter into contract for the construction of an addition to the Bernal Junior High School, to be constructed on City property located at Cortland, Moultrie, Jarboe and Andover streets, in accordance with said plans and specifications prepared therefor and as approved by the Board of Education.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—14.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Roncovieri, Shannon—4.

Authorizing Plans and Specifications, Marina Elementary School.

Bill No. 8266, Ordinance No. 7771 (New Series), as follows:

Authorizing the preparation of plans and specifications for the Marina Elementary School, and ordering the construction of the Marina Elementary School, to be constructed on City property in block bounded by Beach, Divisadero and North Point streets, in accordance with said plans and specifications prepared therefor and as approved by the Board of Education.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized, instructed and empowered to prepare plans and specifications for the Marina Elementary School, and to enter into contract for the construction of the Marina Elementary School, to be constructed on City property bounded by Beach, Divisadero and North Point streets, in accordance with the plans and specifications prepared therefor and as approved by the Board of Education.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks,

McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—14.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Roncovieri, Shannon—4.

Authorizing Plans and Specifications, Addition to Edward Robeson Taylor School.

Bill No. 8267, Ordinance No. 7772 (New Series), as follows:

Authorizing the preparation of plans and specifications for an addition to the Edward Robeson Taylor School, and ordering the construction of an addition to the Edward Robeson Taylor School, to be constructed on City property on site bounded by Bacon, Goettingen, Burrows and Somerset streets, in accordance with said plans and specifications prepared therefor and as approved by the Board of Education.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized, instructed and empowered to prepare plans and specifications for an addition to the Edward Robeson Taylor School, and to enter into contract for the construction of an addition to the Edward Robeson Taylor School, to be constructed on City property on site bounded by Bacon, Goettingen, Burrows and Somerset streets, in accordance with said plans and specifications prepared therefor and as approved by the Board of Education.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—14.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Roncovieri, Shannon—4.

Authorizing Plans and Specifications, Polytechnic High School.

Bill No. 8268, Ordinance No. 7773 (New Series), as follows:

Authorizing the preparation of plans and specifications for an addition to the Polytechnic High School, and ordering the construction of an addition to the Polytechnic High School, to be constructed on City property in block bounded by Frederick, Willard, Arguello and Stanyan streets, in accordance with said plans and specifications prepared therefor and as approved by the Board of Education.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized, instructed and empowered to prepare plans and specifications for an addition to the Polytechnic High School, and to enter into contract for the construction of an addition to the Polytechnic High School, to be constructed on City property in block bounded by Frederick, Willard, Arguello and Stanyan streets, in accordance with said plans and specifications prepared therefor and as approved by the Board of Education.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—14.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Roncovieri, Shannon—4.

Establishing Set-Back Lines.

Bill No. 8257, Ordinance No. 7774 (New Series), as follows:

Establishing set-back lines along portions of Fourteenth avenue.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby recited that on the 3rd day of October, 1927, the Board of Supervisors adopted Resolution of Intention No. 160, to establish set-back lines along Fourteenth avenue, and fixed the 24th day of October, 1927, at 11 o'clock a. m., at the chambers of the Board of Supervisors, as the time and place for hearing objections thereto; that thereafter and more than ten days prior to said hearing the said resolution was published and notices of the passage of said resolution were posted along the line of said streets, in time, form and manner required by Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series; that said hearing was held at the time and place aforesaid, and that all objections made at said hearing have been properly overruled.

Section 2. Pursuant to the foregoing recitals, and in accordance with the provisions of said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), setback lines are hereby established as follows:

Along the easterly side of Fourteenth avenue, commencing at a point 50 feet southerly from Ulloa street and running thence southerly 50 feet, said set-back line to be 15 feet.

As shown on the maps filed in the office of the Board of Supervisors, and to which reference is hereby made for further particulars.

Section 3. No structures shall be erected in the area between said set-back lines and the street lines, except as provided in said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), and the

penalties for the violation of this ordinance shall be as fixed by the ordinance aforesaid.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—14.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Roncovieri, Shannon—4.

Bill No. 8259, Ordinance No. 7775 (New Series), as follows:

Establishing set-back lines along portions of Thirty-fourth, Thirty-sixth and Thirty-seventh avenues.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby recited that on the 3rd day of October, 1927, the Board of Supervisors adopted Resolution of Intention No. 162, to establish set-back lines along Thirty-fourth, Thirty-sixth and Thirty-seventh avenues, and fixed the 24th day of October, 1927, at 11 o'clock a. m., at the chambers of the Board of Supervisors, as the time and place for hearing objections thereto; that thereafter and more than ten days prior to said hearing the said resolution was published and notices of the passage of said resolution were posted along the line of said streets, in time, form and manner required by Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series); that said hearing was held at the time and place aforesaid, and that all objections made at said hearing have been properly overruled.

Section 2. Pursuant to the foregoing recitals and in accordance with the provisions of said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), set-back lines are hereby established as follows:

Along the westerly side of Thirty-fourth avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Santiago street and running thence northerly 29 feet 3 inches, said set-back line to be 3 feet; thence northerly 29 feet 3 inches, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly to Rivera street, said set-back line to be 9 feet; along the easterly side of Thirty-fourth avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from santiago street and running thence northerly 26 feet 10½ inches, said set-back line to be 3 feet; thence northerly 26 feet 10½ inches, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly to Rivera street, said set-back line to be 9 feet.

Along the westerly side of Thirty-sixth avenue between Rivera street and Santiago street, said set-back line to be 9 feet; along the easterly side of Thirty-sixth avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Santiago street and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly to Rivera street, said set-back line to be 9 feet.

Along the westerly side of Thirty-seventh avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Santiago street and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 300 feet, said set-back line to be 9 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; along the easterly side of Thirty-seventh avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Santiago street, said set-back line to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly to Rivera street, said set-back line to be 9 feet.

As shown on the maps filed in the office of the Board of Supervisors and to which reference is hereby made for further particulars.

Section 3. No structures shall be erected in the area between said set-back lines and the street lines except as provided in said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), and the penalties for the violation of this ordinance shall be as fixed by the ordinance aforesaid.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—14.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Roncovieri, Shannon—4.

Bill No. 8260, Ordinance No. 7776 (New Series), as follows:

Establishing set-back lines along portions of Thirty-eighth and Fortieth avenues.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby recited that on the 3rd day of October, 1927, the Board of Supervisors adopted Resolution of Intention No. 163, to establish set-back lines along Thirty-eighth and Fortieth avenues, and fixed the 24th day of October, 1927, at 11 o'clock a. m., at the chambers of the Board of Supervisors, as the time and place for hearing objections thereto; that thereafter and more than ten days prior to said hearing the said resolution was published and notices of the passage of said resolution were posted along the line of said streets, in time, form and manner required by Ordi-

nance No. 5636 (New Series); that said hearing was held at the time and place aforesaid, and that all objections made at said hearing have been properly overruled.

Section 2. Pursuant to the foregoing recitals and in accordance with the provisions of said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), setback lines are hereby established as follows:

Along the westerly side of Thirty-eighth avenue, commencing at Santiago street and running thence northerly 450 feet, said set-back line to be 9 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said setback line to be 3 feet; along the easterly side of Thirty-eighth avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Santiago street and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said setback line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 300 feet, said set-back line to be 9 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet.

Along the westerly side of Fortieth avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Santiago street and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly to Rivera street, said set-back line to be 9 feet; along the easterly side of Fortieth avenue, commencing at Santiago street and running thence northerly 450 feet, said set-back line to be 9 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet.

As shown on the maps filed in the office of the Board of Supervisors and to which reference is hereby made for further particulas.

Section 3. No structures shall be erected in the area between said setback lines and the street lines except as provided in said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), and the penalties for the violation of this ordinance shall be as fixed by the ordinance aforesaid.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—14.

Absent—Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Roncovieri, Shannon—4.

Bill No. 8261, Ordinance No. 7777 (New Series), as follows:

Establishing set-back lines along portions of Forty-first, Forty-second and Forty-third avenues.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby recited that on the 3d day of October, 1927, the Board of Supervisors adopted Resolution of Intention No. 164, to establish set-back lines along Forty-first, Forty-second and Forty-third avenues, and fixed the 24th day of October, 1927, at 11 o'clock a. m., at the chambers of the Board of Supervisors, as the time and place for hearing objections thereto; that thereafter and more than ten days prior to said hearing the said resolution was published and notices of the passage of said resolution were posted along the line of said streets, in time, form and manner required by Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series); that said hearing was held at the time and place aforesaid, and that all objections made at said hearing have been properly overruled.

Section 2. Pursuant to the foregoing recitals and in accordance with the provisions of said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), setback lines are hereby established as follows:

Along the westerly side of Forty-first avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Santiago street and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 300 feet, said setback line to be 9 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; along the easterly side of Forty-first avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Santiago street and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said setback line to be 6 feet; thence northerly to Rivera street, said set-back line to be 9 feet.

Along both sides of Forty-second avenue, commencing at points 100 feet northerly from Santiago street and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said setback lines to be 6 feet; thence northerly to Rivera street, said set back lines to be 9 feet.

Along the westerly side of Forty-third avenue between Rivera street and Santiago street, said set-back line to be 9 feet; along the easterly side of Forty-third avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Santiago street and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line

to be 6 feet; thence northerly to Rivera street, said set-back line to be 9 feet.

As shown on the maps filed in the office of the Board of Supervisors and to which reference is hereby made for further particulars.

Section 3. No structures shall be erected in the area between said set-back lines and the street lines except as provided in said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), and the penalties for the violation of this ordinance shall be as fixed by the ordinance aforesaid.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—14.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Roncovieri, Shannon—4.

Bill No. 8262, Ordinance No. 7778 (New Series), as follows:

Establishing set-back lines along portions of Teddy and Twenty-fifth avenues.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby recited that on the 3d day of October, 1927, the Board of Supervisors adopted Resolution of Intention No. 165, to establish set-back lines along Teddy and Twenty-fifth avenues, and fixed the 24th day of October, 1927 at 11 o'clock a. m., at the chambers of the Board of Supervisors, as the time and place for hearing objections thereto; that thereafter and more than ten days prior to said hearing the said resolution was published and notices of the passage of said resolution were posted along the line of said streets, in time, form and manner required by Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series); that said hearing was held at the time and place aforesaid, and that all objections made at said hearing have been properly overruled.

Section 2. Pursuant to the foregoing recitals and in accordance with the provisions of said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), set-back lines are hereby established as follows:

Along the southwesterly side of Teddy avenue between Delta street and Rutland street, said set-back line to be 12.5 feet.

Along the westerly side of Twenty-fifth avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Santiago street and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 300 feet, said set-back line to be 9 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; along the easterly side of Twenty-fifth avenue between Rivera street and Santiago street, said set-back line to be 9 feet.

As shown on the maps filed in the office of the Board of Supervisors and to which reference is hereby made for further particulars.

Section 3. No structures shall be erected in the area between said set-back lines and the street lines except as provided in said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), and the penalties for the violation of this ordinance shall be as fixed by the ordinance aforesaid.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—14.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Roncovieri, Shannon—4.

Fixing Sidewalk Widths on Montana Street.

Bill No. 8269, Ordinance No. 7779 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by amending Sections three hundred and fifty-eight and three hundred and fifty-nine thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Board of Public Works, filed in this office October 7, 1927, by amending Sections three hundred and fifty-eight and three hundred and fifty-nine thereof, to read as follows:

Section 358. The width of sidewalks on Montana street, the northerly side of, between Orizaba avenue and Faxon avenue shall be twenty (20) feet.

The width of sidewalks on Montana street, the southerly side of, between Orizaba avenue and Faxon avenue shall be ten (10) feet.

The width of sidewalks on Montana street between Faxon avenue and Summit avenue shall be ten (10) feet.

Section 359. The width of sidewalks on Thrift street, the northerly side of, between Orizaba avenue and Capitol avenue shall be twenty (20) feet.

The width of sidewalks on Thrift street, the southerly side of, between Orizaba avenue and Capitol avenue shall be ten (10) feet.

The width of sidewalks on Thrift street between Capitol avenue and Summit avenue shall be ten (10) feet.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect and be in force from and after its passage.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—14.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Roncovieri, Shannon—4.

Ordering Street Work.

Bill No. 8270, Ordinance No. 7780 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works, in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors April 13, 1927, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in twenty installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be six months from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Faith street between San Bruno avenue and Holladay avenue, and the improvement of Holladay avenue between Faith street and Costa street, including the crossings of Holladay avenue and Costa street and of Holladay avenue and Faith street, by grading to official line and grade; by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of the necessary side-sewers; by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks; by the construction of the necessary brick catchbasins with appurtenances and 10-inch ironstone pipe culverts on the above-mentioned crossings; by the construction of an 8-inch ironstone pipe sewer with the necessary Y branches, side-sewers, and one brick manhole along the center line of Faith street between San Bruno avenue and a point 20 feet easterly from Holladay avenue, with the necessary side-sewers; by the construction of a concrete pavement on Faith street between San Bruno avenue and Holladay avenue, with the necessary conform pavement on the intersection of San Bruno avenue; and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and a 1½-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface on Holladay avenue between Costa street and Faith street, including the crossings of Holladay avenue and Faith street and of Holladay avenue and Costa street.

The method of assessment for said improvements determined and declared by the Board of Public Works by its Resolution No. 96763 (Second Series) is hereby confirmed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—14.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Roncovieri, Shannon—4.

Bill No. 8271, Ordinance No. 7781 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors October 11, 1927, having recommended the ordering of the following street work,

the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Twenty-sixth avenue between Moraga and Noriega streets, where not already improved, by the construction of concrete curbs and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and a 1½-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—14.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Roncovieri, Shannon—4.

Bill No. 8272, Ordinance No. 7782 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors October 11, 1927, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Division street between Bryant street and Florida street, and the improvement of Treat avenue between Florida street and Alameda street, and the improvement of Alameda street between Harrison street and Treat avenue, including that portion of the intervening intersections that lie between the property lines of the above mentioned streets and avenues, and except that portion required by law to be paved by the railroad company having tracks thereon, by grading to official line and grade; by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of redwood headers; by the contruction of artificial stone sidewalks of the full official width on Alameda street between Harrison street and Treat avenue on the northerly side and Alabama street on the southerly side; by the construction of brick catchbasins with appurtenances and 10-inch ironstone pipe culverts; by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation, a 1½-inch asphaltic concrete binder course and a 1-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall taken effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—14.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Roncovieri, Shannon—4.

Bill No. 8273, Ordinance No. 7783 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors October 11, 1927, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Twenty-seventh avenue between Judah and Kirkham streets where not already improved, and of Fourteenth avenue between Rivera and Santiago streets where not already improved, by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of side-sewers, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and a 1½-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—14.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Roncovieri, Shannon—4.

Bill No. 8274, Ordinance No. 7784 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors October 11, 1927, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in twenty installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be six months from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Congdon street between Silver avenue and Maynard street where not already so improved, by the construction of concrete curbs where not already constructed, and by the construction of a concrete pavement on the roadway thereof where not already constructed.

Section 2. This ordanince shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks,

McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—14.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Roncovieri, Shannon—4.

Bill No. 8275, Ordinance No. 7785 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors October 11, 1927, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in twenty installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be six months from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Florence street between Broadway and Vallejo street, by grading to official line and grade; by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of reinforced concrete walls and steps; by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks; by the construction of an 8-inch ironstone pipe sewer, Y branches, side-sewers, brick manholes and lamp hole; by the construction of a storm-water inlet with culvert; by the construction of a concrete pavement; by performing the necessary conform work, and by the furnishing and installing a red warning reflector.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—14.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Roncovieri, Shannon—4.

Spur Track Permits.

Bill No. 8276, Ordinance No. 7786 (New Series), as follows:

Granting permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to Pacific Can Company, a corporation, to construct, maintain and operate railroad tracks upon, along and across certain streets and alleys in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, in the locations hereinafter described.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, is hereby granted to Pacific Can Company, a corporation, to construct, maintain and operate standard gauge railroad tracks upon, along and across certain streets and alleys in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, the center lines of said proposed tracks being particularly described as follows, to-wit:

Beginning at a point in the center line of the existing drill track at the intersection of an unnamed street, Mendell street and Bancroft avenue, said point being distant 4 line of Bancroft avenue produced; thence in a northwesterly direction on a curve to the left, crossing portion of said unnamed street and continuing into, across and along Armstrong avenue to a point on the southerly line of Armstrong avenue, distant easterly thereon 46 feet, more or less, from the easterly line of Newhall street.

Also, beginning at a point on the above-described center line, said point being distant northerly at right angles 50 feet, more or less, from the southerly line of Armstrong avenue and distant easterly 228 feet, more or less, from the westerly line of Newhall street; thence in a westerly direction through a No. 7 turnout to the right a distance of 240 feet, more or less, to a point in the westerly line of Newhall street, distant southerly thereon 272 feet, fore or less, from the southerly line of Williams avenue.

Beginning at a point in the easterly line of Newhall street, distant southerly thereon 23 feet, more or less, from the southerly line of Armstrong avenue; thence in a westerly direction across Newhall street to

a point in the westerly line of Newhall street, distant southerly thereon 300 feet from the southerly line of Williams avenue.

Said permission is granted subject to the provisions of Ordinance No. 69 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors, approved October 12, 1906, and the provisions and conditions of Section 8 thereof are hereby specifically contained in the permit hereby granted and shall be construed as a part hereof as completely as though the same were written in this ordinance.

Provided, that said tracks shall be laid under the supervision and to the lines and grades as furnished by the City Engineer's office, and that any and all expense connected with the installation of the tracks and any additional requirements for the surface drainage shall be paid for by the Pacific Can Company.

Provided, said Pacific Can Company, a corporation, shall erect and maintain all-night lighted arc lamps, to be placed where directed by the Lighting Committee of the Board of Supervisors.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—14.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Roncovieri, Shannon—4.

Bill No. 8277, Ordinance No. 7787 (New Series), as follows:

Granting permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to The Western Pacific Railroad Company, a corporation, to construct, maintain and operate a spur track over and across Eighteenth street, and across and along Wisconsin street as hereinafter described.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, is hereby granted to The Western Pacific Railroad Company, a corporation, to construct, maintain and operate a spur track over and across and along Wisconsin street as follows:

Beginning at a point in the center line of the most easterly existing track of applicant, said point being at the intersection of said center line with the southerly line of Eighteenth street, distant easterly thereon approximately 3 feet from the easterly line of Wisconsin street; thence northwesterly along a switch to the right approximately 72 feet, crossing said easterly line of Wisconsin street approximately 5 feet northerly from the southerly line of said Eighteenth street, also crossing the northerly line of said Eighteenth street approximately 30 feet westerly from the easterly line of said Wisconsin street; thence continuing northwesterly 42.58 feet; thence northwesterly along a 20-degree curve to the right, having a radius of 286.84 feet, a distance of 121 feet to a point distant 10 feet easterly from the westerly line of said Wisconsin street; thence in a direct line northerly 10 feet easterly from and parallel with said westerly line of Wisconsin street a distance of approximately 245.42 feet to point of termination at the south line of Mariposa street; a total length of 481.00 feet.

Said permission is granted subject to the provisions of Ordinance No. 69 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors, approved October 12, 1906, and the provisions and conditions of Section 8 thereof are hereby specifically contained in the permit hereby granted and shall be construed as a part hereof as completely as though the same were written in this ordinance.

Provided, that said track shall be laid under the supervision and to the lines and grades as furnished by the City Engineer's office, and that any and all expense connected with the installation of the track, restoration of the pavement and any additional requirements for the surface drainage is to be paid for by The Western Pacific Railroad Company; provided, that The Western Pacific Railroad Company shall erect and maintain all-night lighted arc lamps to be placed where directed by the Lighting Committee of the Board of Supervisors.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—14.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Roncovieri, Shannon—4.

Action Deferred.

The following matter was *continued one week*:

Establishing Set-Back Lines, Thirty-second, Thirty-third and Thirty-fifth Avenues.

Bill No. 8258, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Establishing set-back lines along portions of Thirty-second, Thirty-third and Thirty-fifth avenues.

PRESENTATION OF BILLS AND ACCOUNTS.

Your Finance Committee, having examined miscellaneous demands

not required by law to be passed to print, and amounting to $53,117.30, recommends same be allowed and ordered paid.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—14.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Roncovieri, Shannon—4.

NEW BUSINESS.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Authorizations.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

Tearing-up Streets Fund.

(1) California Pottery Co., pipe for side-sewers (claim dated Oct. 22, 1927), $525.

Auditorium Fund.

(2) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., gas and electricity for Auditorium (claim dated Oct. 22, 1927), $728.76

Tubercular Sanitarium Fund.

(3) Latourette-Fical Co., final payment, plumbing for Tubercular Sanitarium (claim dated Oct. 24, 1927), $700.

County Road Fund.

(4) James M. Smith, ninth payment, improvement of Market street extension from Mono to Ord streets (claim dated Oct. 26, 1927), $4,250.

(5) Schultz Construction Co., fourth payment, improvement of Grand View avenue, including portion of Market street (claim dated Oct. 26, 1927), $21,000.

(6) A. E. Hennessey, part payment, improvement of Turk street from Masonic avenue to Parker street (claim dated Oct. 26, 1927), $28,178.48.

(7) Park Commissioners, labor furnished for improvement of Masonic avenue, McAllister to Turk streets (claim dated Oct. 26, 1927), $980.

(8) The Fay Improvement Co., improvement of Lyon street from Bay to Chestnut streets, fronting Presidio Reservation (claim dated Oct. 26, 1927), $5,669.76.

(9) Pacific States Construction Co., improvement of San Jose avenue between Ocean and Geneva avenues (claim dated Oct. 26, 1927), $1,296.26.

De Young Museum (Appropriation 60.)

(10) Chas. Christensen Co., furnishing and installing Atchison revolving door at de Young Museum (claim dated Oct. 28, 1927), $1,400.

(11) Dr. Ernest Forbes, thirty-three pieces of ancient gold artifacts for de Young Museum (claim dated Oct. 28, 1927), $5,500.

Installation Fund (Department of Electricity.)

(12) Steiger & Kerr Stove & Foundry Co., iron castings, Department of Electricity (claim dated Sept. 30, 1927), $549.78.

Special School Tax.

(13) The Mission Marble Works, marble construction, High School of Commerce (claim dated Oct. 24, 1927), $1,738.

Municipal Railway Fund.

(14) Frank F. Bodler, ten Johnson fare boxes (claim dated Oct. 19, 1927), $975.

(15) Bureau of Street Repair (Budget Item No. 525), Department of Public Works, asphalt repairs to railroad right of way (claim dated Oct. 20, 1927), $935.52.

Municipal Railway Depreciation Fund.

(16) Market Street Railway Co., Municipal Railway portion of repairs on The Embarcadero (claim dated Oct. 19, 1927), $777.20.

Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1925.

(17) Edward R. Bacon Co., Jaeger concrete mixer (claim dated Oct. 20, 1927), $1,455.

(18) California Corrugated Culvert Co., Armco corrugated culverts (claim dated Oct. 20, 1927), $1,525.59.

(19) Ingersoll-Rand Co. of California, four jackhammers, complete (claim dated Oct. 20, 1927), $780.

(20) M. M. O'Shaughnessy, revolving fund expenditures, per vouchers (claim dated Oct. 20, 1927), $1,750.

(21) John A. Roebling's Sons Co. of California, steel rope, etc. (claim dated Oct. 20, 1927), $1,228.57.

(22) Santa Fe Lumber Company, lumber (claim dated Oct. 20, 1927), $1,233.75.

(23) United States Rubber Co., rubber coats, boots and hats (claim dated Oct. 20, 1927), $835.31.

(24) Western Pipe and Steel Company, air pipe (claim dated Oct. 20, 1927), $1,068.

(25) Wilsey-Bennett Co., butter and eggs (claim dated Oct. 20, 1927), $563.66.

Publicity and Advertising (Appropriation 55).

(26) Capital Decorating & Mfg. Co., decorations on Market street

and Auditorium, for account of Labor Day celebration (claim dated Oct. 24, 1927), $525.

School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923.

(27) Acme Construction Co., acceptance payment, general construction of addition to High School of Commerce (claim dated Oct. 26, 1927), $22,680.18.

General Fund, 1927-1928.

(28) Herbert F. Dugan, surgical supplies, S. F. Hospital (claim dated Oct. 27, 1927), $1,322.33.

(29) H. Moffat Company, meat, S. F. Hospital (claim dated Oct. 27, 1927), $1,795.83.

(30) The White Co., one "White" truck, S. F. Hospital (claim dated Oct. 27, 1927), $2,430.

(31) Langendorf Baking Co., bread, S. F. Hospital (claim dated Oct. 27, 1927), $1,252.70.

(32) Morris & Co., butter, S. F. Hospital (claim dated Oct. 27, 1927), $2,082.98.

(33) L. Dinkelspiel Co., dry goods, S. F. Hospital (claim dated Oct. 27, 1927), $1,562.04.

(34) Del Monte Meat Co., meats, S. F. Hospital (claim dated Oct. 27, 1927), $1,734.69.

(35) J. T. Freitas Co., eggs, S. F. Hospital (claim dated Oct. 27, 1927), $2,289.87.

(36) Walton N. Moore Dry Goods Co., gowns, S. F. Hospital (claim dated Oct. 27, 1927), $895.75.

(37) Levi Strauss & Co., spreads, S. F. Hospital (claim dated Oct. 27, 1927), $1,754.93.

(38) Greenebaum, Weil & Michaels, shirts, S. F. Hospital (claim dated Oct. 27, 1927), $594.50.

(39) Edward McRoskey Mattress Co., mattresses for Laguna Honda Home (claim dated Oct. 27, 1927), $1,080.

(40) The Simmons Co., beds for Tubercular Sanitarium (claim dated Oct. 27, 1927), $1,532.75.

(41) A. Carlisle & Co., loose-leaf book covers for the Recorder (claim dated Oct. 25, 1927), $1,925.

(42) Pacific States Electric Co., copper wire, Department of Electricity (claim dated Sept. 30, 1927), $672.57.

(43) Daniel J. O'Brien, police contingent expense (claim dated Nov. 1, 1927), $750.

(44) Henry D. Dewell, second payment, engineering superintendence on repairs to Palace of Fine Arts (claim dated Oct. 28, 1927), $500.

(45) Monson Bros., second payment, repairs to underpinning of Palace of Fine Arts (claim dated Oct. 28, 1927), $22.599.07.

(46) The Wright Corporation, second payment, dredging Yacht Harbor (claim dated Oct. 28, 1927), $4,781.25.

(47) Symon Bros., team hire, street cleaning (claim dated Oct. 21, 1927), $747.50.

(48) School repairs, Budget Item No. 1, reimbursements for stock withdrawals during July, August and September (claim dated Oct. 25, 1927), $1,608.10.

(49) J. E. French Co., one Graham Bros. auto truck for Fire Department (claim dated Oct. 27, 1927), $940.

(50) Spring Valley Water Co., water service for hydrants, October (claim dated Oct. 27, 1927), $14,686.40.

(51) San Francisco Bulletin, official advertising (claim dated Oct. 24, 1927), $622.68.

(52) Napa State Hospital, maiintenance of criminal insane (claim dated Oct. 31, 1927), $600.

(53) James G. Stafford and associates, third payment on contract for appraisal of taxable property (claim dated Oct. 31, 1927), $2,094.75.

(54) R. Brandlein & Co., examination tables for Civil Service Commission (claim dated Oct. 24, 1927), $740.

(55) Remington Rand Business Service, Inc., filing cases for office of the Auditor (claim dated Oct. 31, 1927), $848.

Appropriations.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned funds for the following purposes, to-wit:

Special School Tax—Budget Item No. 1.

(1) For cost of painting the Paul Revere School, per award of contract to D. Zelinsky & Sons, $5,990.

School Bonds, Issue 1923.

(2) For elevator construction for the Portola Junior High School, per award of contract to Spencer Elevator Company, $3,225.

(3) For stage fittings and draperies (American Studios), Mission (Everett) Junior High School, $7,050.

(3a) For refrigerating plant (Frigidaire Corporation), Mission (Everett) Junior High School, $950.

Preventorium, San Mateo County—Budget Item No. 57.

(4) For cost of building concrete road from present road to entrance of Commissary Department, including concrete floors and foundations for garage, $2,300.

(5) For construction of garage building for two cars, $530.

(6) For addition to old office, $864.

(7) For purchase of supplies and equipment and October payroll (all for account of Tubercular Preventorium, San Mateo Counay), $4,952.17.

Repairs to Public Buildings—Budget Item No. 59.

(8) For cost of installing 16 electro-mechanical interlocks on four front elevators, Hall of Justice, $524.

Appropriations, Land for Alemany Boulevard and Improvement of the Southeast Corner of Orizaba Avenue and Minerva Street.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside and appropriated out of County Road Fund and authorized in payment to the hereinafter named persons; being payments for properties required for boulevard purposes, to-wit:

(1) To Aug. J. Lang, Jr., for property required for opening of the Alemany boulevard, as per description and acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 27971 (New Series) (claim dated Oct. 25, 1927), $1,750.

(2) To M. F. Taylor and Marie I. Taylor, for property required to improve southeast corner of Orizaba avenue and Minerva street, as per description and acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 27972 (New Series) (claim dated Oct. 25, 1927), $1,200.

Plans, etc., for Additions to Jefferson School.

Also, Bill No. 8278, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Authorizing the preparation of plans and specifications for additions and alterations to the Jefferson School, and ordering the construction of additions and alterations to the Jefferson School, to be erected on the east side of Nineteenth avenue between Irving and Judah streets, in accordance with the plans and specifications prepared therefor and as approved by the Board of Education.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized, instructed and empowered to prepare plans and specifications for additions and alterations to the Jefferson School, and to enter into contract for the construction of additions and alterations to the Jefferson School, to be erected on the east side of Nineteenth avenue between Irving and Judah streets, in accordance with the plans and specifications prepared therefor and as approved by the Board of Education.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Appropriations for Alterations and Repairs to Public Buildings.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 28012 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of "Repairs to Public Buildings," Budget Item No. 59, for the following purposes, to-wit:

(1) For cost of furnishing and installing counter in office of Sheriff, City Hall, $160.

(2) For remodeling of Nurses' Call System, installation of transom chains on all metal sash, and installation of lead pans in shower rooms of Children's Ward, southeast (Tubercular) wing of San Francisco Hospital, $500.

(3) For installation of an electric fan in the police garage, Hall of Justice, $235.75.

(4) For installation of pump in basement of Juvenile Detention Home building, $296.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—14.

Absent—Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Roncovieri, Shannon—4.

Appropriation, $200 for Rugs, Laguna Honda Home.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 28013 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $200 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of "Preventorium, San Mateo County," Budget Item No. 57, to the credit of Laguna Honda Home, Budget Item No. 844, to reimburse for rugs furnished.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—14.

Absent—Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Roncovieri, Shannon—4.

Resolution of Intention to Establish Set-back Lines No. 166.

Supervisor Hayden presented:

Resolution No. 28014 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the City Planning Commission has recommended that set-

back lines be established along the streets hereinafter mentioned and as delineated upon maps accompanying said recommendations, to which reference is hereby made for further particulars; therefore, be it

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco to establish set-back lines as provided by Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), approved May 18, 1922, as follows:

Along the northeasterly side of Lawton street, commencing at Fifteenth avenue and running thence southeasterly 520.353 feet, said set-back line to be 10 feet; along the southwesterly side of Lawton street between Fifteenth avenue and Funston avenue, said set-back line to be 10 feet.

Along the westerly side of Sixteenth avenue between Lawton street and Moraga street, said set-back line to be 10 feet.

Along the westerly side of Sixteenth avenue between Lawton street and Carl way, said set-back line to be 10 feet; along the easterly side of Sixteenth avenue between Lomita way and Lawton street, said set-back line to be 10 feet.

Along the westerly side of Thirty-second avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Balboa street and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 300 feet, said set-back line to be 9 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet.

Along the easterly side of Ridgewood avenue between Joost avenue and Mangels avenue, said set-back line to be 10 feet.

And notice is hereby given that Monday, the 5th day of December, 1927, at the hour of 11 o'clock a. m., at the chambers of the Board of Supervisors, City Hall, in said City and County of San Francisco, has been fixed as the time and place when and where any and all persons having any objections to the establishing of the proposed set-back lines may appear and present any objections which they may have to said set-back lines, as set forth in this resolution of intention.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—14.

Absent—Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Roncovieri, Shannon—4.

Resolution of Intention to Establish Set-back Lines No. 167.

Supervisor Hayden presented:

Resolution No. 28015 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the City Planning Commission has recommended that set-back lines be established along the streets hereinafter mentioned and as delineated upon maps accompanying said recommendations, to which reference is hereby made for further particulars; therefore, be it

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco to establish set-back lines as provided by Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), approved May 18, 1922, as follows:

Along the westerly side of Thirty-second avenue, commencing at Anza street and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 2 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 4 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 8 feet; thence northerly 300 feet, said set-back line to be 10 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 8 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 4 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 2 feet.

Along the westerly side of Twenty-first avenue, commencing at Wawona street and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 2 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 4 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 8 feet; thence northerly 300 feet, said set-back line to be 10 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 8 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 4 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 2 feet.

Along the easterly side of Twenty-sixth avenue, commencing at Vicente street and running thence southerly 350 feet, said set-back line to be 15 feet.

Along the westerly side of Forty-seventh avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Santiago street and running thence northerly to Rivera street, said set-back line to be 9 feet; along the easterly side of Forty-seventh avenue between Santiago street and Rivera street, said set-back line to be 9 feet.

And notice is hereby given that

Monday, the 5th day of December, 1927, at the hour of 11 o'clock a. m., at the chambers of the Board of Supervisors, City Hall, in said City and County of San Francisco, has been fixed as the time and place when and where any and all persons having any objections to the establishing of the proposed set-back lines may appear and present any objections which they may have to said set-back lines as set forth in this resolution of intention.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—14.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Roncovieri, Shannon—4.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Oil and Boiler Permits.

On motion of Supervisor Deasy:

Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits be and are hereby granted:

Oil Tanks.

L. Carrosio, northeast corner of Filbert and Mason streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

F. H. Evers, 24 Seventeenth avenue, 600 gallons capacity.

F. Goodman, 1056 Fulton street, 1500 gallons capacity.

H. Harris, north side of Post street, 150 feet east of Leavenworth street, 1500 gallons capacity.

M. Jorgensen, north side of Francisco street, 95 feet east of Baker street, 1500 gallnos capacity.

H. Kaufmann, 30 Fifth avenue, 600 gallons capacity.

Miss G. King, No. 1 Russian Hill place, 1500 gallons capacity.

Frank J. Klimm Co., northwest corner of Buchanan and Moulton streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

Frank J. Klimm Co., 135 Carl street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Ed. Lachman, north side of El Camino Del Mar, 50 feet east of Thirtieth avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

Manning & Baldwin, Inc., south side of Chestnut street, 168 feet 9 inches east of Broderick street, 1500 gallons capacity.

E. G. Meyers, 2840 Jackson street, 1500 gallons capacity.

F. C. Moore, east side of Pierce street, 100 feet south of Capra way, 1500 gallons capacity.

Frank B. Newman, 909 Anza street, 1500 gallons capacity.

C. M. Ousset, 479 Fourteenth avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

Roman Catholic Archbishop of San Francisco, northeast corner of Geary street and Twenty-fourth avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

Harry L. Sandow, south side of Oak street, 106 feet east of Cole street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Henry Simons, east side of Pierce street, 55 feet north of Toledo way, 1500 gallons capacity.

Mrs. Edna Stemple, west side of Mason street, 68 feet 9 inches north of Jackson street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Stoneson Bros., northeast corner of El Verano and Fernwood avenues, 1500 gallons capacity.

T. I. Strand, east side of Twenty-sixth avenue, 150 feet south of Cabrillo street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Miss Mary Willard, 864 Francisco street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Boilers.

Bauer Cooperage Co., 2345 Keith street, 50-horsepower.

K. Sarantitis, 3335 Seventeenth street, 25-horsepower.

T. F. Schnier Co., 165 Main street, 15-horsepower.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits shall become null and void.

Transfer of Garage Permit.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Sharp & Geheran be and are hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred to them public garage permit heretofore granted Joe Pasqualetti for premises at 1250 McAllister street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercisd within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Automobile Supply Station.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That California Petroleum Corporation be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an automobile supply station on the west side of Corbett avenue, near Burnett avenue.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Blasting Permit.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That A. C. Macomber be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to explode blasts

while blasting old ships, at foot of Bay Shore avenue, provided said permittee shall execute and file a good and sufficient bond in the sum of $5,000, as fixed by the Board of Public Works and approved by his Honor the Mayor, in accordance with Ordinance No. 1204; provided, also, that said blasts shall be exploded only between the hours of 7 a. m. and 6 p. m., and that the work of blasting shall be performed to the satisfaction of the Board of Public Works, and that if any of the conditions of this resolution be violated by said A. C. Macomber, then the privileges and all rights accruing thereunder shall immediately become null and void.

Street Lights.

Supervisor Stanton presented:

Resolution No. 28016 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Pacific Gas and Electric Company be and it is hereby authorized and requested to remove and install street lights as follows:

Remove Gas Lamps.

Ninth avenue, west side, first south of Ortega street.

Ninth avenue, east side, first and second south of Ortega street.

Northeast and southwest corners Ninth avenue and Ortega street.

Northeast and southwest corners Ninth avenue and Pacheco street.

Install 400 *M. R.*

Ninth avenue and Pacheco street.

Ninth avenue and Ortega street.

Ninth avenue between Pacheco and Ortega streets.

Northeast corner Duboce avenue and Guerrero street.

Pearl street, first pole north of Duboce avenue.

North side of Chestnut street between Culebra Terrace and Polk street.

North side of Chesenut street on Fairway, second pole east of Culebra Terrace.

Twenty-ninth avenue between Kirkham and Lawton streets.

Install 600 *M. R.*

Ulloa street and Lenox way.

West side Ulloa street, north of Claremont way.

Remove Gas Lamps.

North side Twenty-fifth street, 83 feet west of Guerrero street.

South side Twenty-fifth street, 160 feet west of Guerrero street.

North side Twenty-fifth street, 125 feet west of Fair Oaks street.

North side Twenty-second street, 140 feet west of Dolores street.

Northwest and southeast corners Twenty-second and Chattanooga streets.

East side Steiner street, south of Oak street.

West side Steiner street, south of Page street.

Northwest and southeast corners Steiner and Oak streets.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—14.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Roncovieri, Shannon—4.

Also, Resolution No. 28017 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Pacific Gas and Electric Company be and it is hereby authorized and requested to remove and install the following street lights:

Install 400 *C. P. Globe Top Iron Pole.*

West side Grant avenue between Columbus avenue and Vallejo street.

Southeast corner Grant avenue and Vallejo street.

Northwest corner Grant avenue and Vallejo street.

East side Grant avenue, 183 feet north of Vallejo street.

West side Grant avenue, 91 feet south of Green street.

Southeast corner Grant avenue and Green street.

Northwest corner Grant avenue and Green street.

East side Grant avenue, 183 feet north of Green street.

West side Grant avenue, 87 feet south of Union street.

Southeast corner Grant avenue and Union street.

Northwest corner Grant avenue and Union street.

Northeast corner Grant avenue and Nobles alley.

West side Grant avenue, 95 feet south of Filbert street.

Southeast corner Grant avenue and Filbert street.

Remove Single-Top Gas Lamps.

Southeast corner Grant avenue and Hinkley street.

Northwest and southwest corners Grant avenue and Vallejo street.

East side Grant avenue, 183 feet north of Vallejo street.

West side Grant avenue, 91 feet south of Green street.

Northwest and southeast corners Grant avenue and Green street.

East side Grant avenue, 183 feet north of Green street.

West side Grant avenue, 87 feet south of Union street.

West side Grant avenue, 95 feet south of Union street.
Nobles alley, 85 feet east of Grant avenue.

Remove 400 *M. R.*

Southwest corner Grant avenue and Green street.
Southwest corner Grant avenue and Union street.
Northwest corner Grant avenue and Filbert street.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—14.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Roncovieri, Shannon—4.

Also, Resolution No. 28018 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Pacific Gas and Electric Company be and it is hereby authorized and requested to remove and install the following street lights:

Remove Gas Lamps.

North side Lancing street, first west of First street.
South side Lansing street, first west of First street.
East side First street, 30 feet south of Boston place.
East side First street, first south of Folsom street.
West side First street, first south of Folsom street.
Front of 1920 Ninth avenue.
East side Gough street, first north of Broadway.
North side Oak street between Webster and Fillmore streets.
South side Oak street, first and second east of Fillmore street.
Northwest and southeast corners Webster and Oak streets.
West side Columbia Square between Harrison and Folsom streets.

Install 400 *M. R.*

South side Lansing street between First and Essex streets.
Corner Lansing and First streets.
Burnham and Twenty-fourth streets.
Natoma street between First and Fremont streets.
Ortega street and Twenty-first avenue.
Lenox way between Ulloa and Taraval streets.
North side Oak street between Webster and Fillmore streets.
Southeast corner Webster and Fillmore streets.
Columbia Square between Harrison and Folsom streets.

Install 600 *C. P. O. B.*

East corner Columbus avenue and Greenwich street and Washington Square.
East side Columbus avenue between Lombard and Greenwich streets.
Southwest corner Columbus avenue and Lombard street.
Northeast corner Columbus avenue and Lombard street.
East side Columbus avenue between Lombard and Chestnut streets.
Southeast corner Columbus avenue and Chestnut street.
Southwest corner Columbus avenue and Chestnut street.

Remove 600 *M. R.*

Southwest corner Chestnut street and Columbus avenue.
Southwest corner Mason street and Columbus avenue.
Northeast corner Mason street and Columbus avenue.
Northwest corner Lombard street and Columbus avenue.
West side Columbus avenue between Lombard and Chestnut streets.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—14.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Roncovieri, Shannon—4.

Also, Resolution No. 28019 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Pacific Gas and Electric Company be and it is hereby authorized and requested to remove and install the following street lights:

Remove 400 *M. R.*

Eighteenth avenue and Taraval street.
Twentieth avenue and Taraval street.
Twenty-first avenue and Taraval street.
Twenty-second avenue and Taraval street.
Twenty-third avenue and Taraval street.

Remove 600 *M. R.*

Nineteenth avenue and Taraval street.

Install 400 *C. P. O. B.*

Southwest corner Eighteenth avenue and Taraval street.
North side Taraval street between Eighteenth and Nineteenth avenues.
Northeast and southwest corners Nineteenth avenue and Taraval street.
North side Taraval street between Nineteenth and Twentieth avenues.
Northeast and southwest corners

Twentieth avenue and Taraval street.

North side Taraval street between Twentieth and Twenty-first avenues.

Northeast and southwest corners Twenty-first avenue and Taraval street.

South side Taraval street between Twenty-first and Twenty-second avenues.

Northeast and southwest corners Twenty-second avenue and Taraval street.

South side Taraval street between Twenty-second and Twenty-third avenues.

Northeast and southwest corners Twenty-third avenue.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—14.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Roncovieri, Shannon—4.

Rereferred.

The following matter was *rereferred to the Police Committee*:

Approving Taxicab Stand Permits.

Supervisor Schmidt presented:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following permits for taxicab stands are hereby approved:

Yellow-Checker Cab Company, 1394 Turk street.

White Top Cab Company, 67 Powell street; 164 Powell street.

Gray Cab Company, 207 O'Farrell street.

Accepting Offer of George N. Parez to Sell Certain Lands Required for School Purposes.

Supervisor Powers presented:

Resolution No. 28020 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, an offer has been received from George N. Parez and Winifred F. Parez, his wife, to sell to the City and County of San Francisco certain land required for school purposes; and

Whereas, the price at which said parcel of land is offered is the reasonable value thereof; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the offer of the said owners to convey to the City and County of San Francisco a good and sufficient fee simple title to the following described land, free of all encumbrances, for the sum of $3,876, be and the same is hereby accepted, the said land being described as follows, to-wit:

Beginning at the most easterly corner of Lot 1, Block 14 of West End Map No. 1, as per map thereof filed May 1, 1863, in Book 2 "A" and "B" of Maps, page 45, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California; running thence south 55 deg. 3 min. 14 sec. west along the southeasterly line of said Lot 1 77.41 feet to the most southerly corner of said Lot 1; thence south 49 deg. 29 min. 56 sec. west along the southeasterly line of Lot 2 of said Block 14 31.838 feet to a point which is distant north 79 deg. 41 min. 16 sec. east 60 feet from the easterly line of Block 6965A hereinafter described; thence northerly and parallel with the easterly line of said Block 6965A of "Subdivision No. 1 Geneva Terraces, San Francisco, Cal.," as per map thereof filed Septembmer 16, 1927, in Book "L", pages 47 and 48 of official records in the Recorder's office of said City and County of San Francisco, and distant therefrom 60 feet easterly at right angles along the arc of a curve to the right whose center bears north 79 deg. 41 min. 16 sec. east 370 feet from last-named point 1.069 feet; thence northerly and parallel with the easterly line of said Block 6965A along the arc of a curve to the left with a radius of 430 feet tangent to the preceding course 137.4 feet; thence northerly along the arc of a curve to the right with a radius of 80.1023 feet tangent to the preceding course 12.511 feet to a point on the northeasterly line of said Lot 1; thence south 61 deg. east along the northeasterly line of said Lot 1 157.958 feet to the point of beginning. Being part of Lots 1 and 2 of Block 14 of said West End Map No. 1.

The City Attorney is hereby directed to examine the title to said land and, if the same is found to be vested in the aforesaid owners, free of all encumbrances, and that the taxes up to and including the current fiscal year are paid, and that the so-called McEnerney title has been procured, or sufficient money reserved for the purpose of procuring the same, to report the result of his examination to the Board of Supervisors, and also to cause a good and sufficient deed for said land to be executed and delivered to the City and County upon payment of the agreed purchase price as aforesaid. And the said deed to said land is hereby accepted.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks,

McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—14.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Roncovieri, Shannon—4.

Accepting Offer From Meleva Murisen to Sell Land Required for School Purposes.

Supervisor Powers presented:

Resolution No. 28021 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, an offer has been received from Meleva Murisen to sell to the City and County of San Francisco certain land and improvements situate on the east line of Thirty-first avenue, distant 100 feet southerly from Geary street, required for school purposes; and

Whereas, the price at which said parcel of land is offered is the reasonable value thereof; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the offer of the said owner to convey to the City and County of San Francisco a good and sufficient fee simple title to the following described land, free of all encumbrances, for the sum of $3,250, be and the same is hereby accepted, the said land being described as follows, to-wit:

Commencing at a point on the easterly line of Thirty-first avenue, distant thereon 100 feet southerly from the southerly line of Geary street; running thence southerly along said easterly line of Thirty-first avenue 25 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 60 feet; thence at a right angle northerly 25 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 60 feet to the easterly line of Thirty-first avenue and point of commencement. Being a portion of Outside Land Block No. 254, also known as Block 1514 on Assessor's Map Book.

The City Attorney is hereby directed to examine the title to said land, and, if the same is found to be vested in the aforesaid owner, free of all encumbrances, and that the taxes up to and including the current fiscal year are paid, and that the so-called McEnerney title has been procured or sufficient money reserved for the purpose of procuring the same, to report the result of his examination to the Board of Supervisors, and also to cause a good and sufficient deed for said land to be executed and delivered to the City and County upon payment of the agreed purchase price as aforesaid. And the said deed to said land is hereby accepted.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—14.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Roncovieri, Shannon—4.

City Attorney to Aabndon Condemnation Proceedings for Land on Lombard Street Heretofore Recommended for School Purposes.

Supervisor Powers presented:

Resolution No. 28022 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the City Attorney be and is hereby authorized and requested to abandon condemnation proceedings for the acquisition of the certain land situated on the north line of Lombard street, distant thereon 101 feet 6 inches easterly from Mason street, being Lot No. 15, 50 vara block 64, heretofore recommended by the Board of Education for school purposes, and subsequently rescinded by said Board in accordance with its communication dated October 19, 1927.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—14.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Roncovieri, Shannon—4.

Action Deferred.

The following matter was *laid over one week.*

City to Lease Property at Bush and Dupont Streets for Fire Department Purposes.

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, on February 29, 1908, the City entered into a lease with the McDonough Estate, owner of the certain land commencing at a point on the northerly line of Bush street, distant thereon 110 feet easterly from the easterly line of Dupont street, running thence easterly along the northerly line of Bush street 27 feet 6 inches; thence at a right angle northerly 115 feet; thence at a right angle westerly parallel with Bush street 27 feet 6 inches; thence at a right angle southerly 115 feet to the northerly line of Bush street and point of commencement. Being a portion of 50 Vara Lot No. 94, for the purpose of erecting a fire house thereon and did erect a building on said land to be and since has been maintained and operated by the Fire Department for Fire Department purposes; and

Whereas, a communication has been received from the Pacific Telephone and Telegraph Company advising that it has purchased the said land hereinbefore described and is successor in interest to the McDonough Estate, and setting

forth its willingness to continue the lease of the said property to the City and County of San Francisco for a period of ten (10) years from and after the expiration of the present lease at a monthly rental of $200; and

Whereas, it is found necessary to continue the use of said premises by the City for Fire Department purposes; therefore, be it

Resolved, That his Honor the Mayor and the Clerk of this Board be and are hereby authorized and directed to enter into lease with the Pacific Telephone and Telegraph Company for the certain hereinabove described premises for a period of five (5) years from and after February 29, 1928, at a monthly rental of $200, the City to have an option to exercise the continuance of the said lease for a period of five (5) years additional at the same rental, being $200 per month; and be it

Further Resolved, That the City Attorney be and is hereby requested to prepare a lease in conformity with the provisions of this resolution.

Accepting Offer of James J. Sullivan et al. to Sell for $4,000 Certain Property on Onondaga Avenue Required for School Purposes.

Supervisor Powers presented:

Resolution No. 28023 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, an offer has been received from James J. and Mary Sullivan to convey to the City and County of San Francisco certain land on the southerly line of Onondaga avenue, 137 feet easterly from Otsego avenue, required for school purposes; and

Whereas, the price at which said parcel of land is offered is the reasonable value thereof; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the offer of the said owners to convey to the City and County of San Francisco a good and sufficient fee simple title to the following described land, free of all encumbrances, for the sum of $4,000 be and the same is hereby accepted, the said land being described as follows, to-wit:

Commencing at a point on the southwesterly line of Onondaga avenue, distant thereon 137 feet southeasterly from the southeasterly line of Otsego avenue, running thence southeasterly along said southwesterly line of Onondaga avenue 50 feet; thence at a right angle southeasterly 121 feet 6 inches; thence at a right angle northwesterly 50 feet; thence at a right angle northeasterly 121 feet 6 inches to the southwesterly line of Onondaga avenue and point of commencement. Being a portion of Block 16, West End Map No. 1, also known as Lot 37, Block 6958 on Assessor's Map Book.

The City Attorney is hereby directed to examine the title to said land and, if the same is found to be vested in the aforesaid owners, free of all encumbrances, and that the taxes up to and including the current fiscal year are paid, and that the so-called McEnerney title has been procured, or sufficient money reserved for the purpose of procuring the same, to report the result of his examination to the Board of Supervisors, and also to cause a good and sufficient deed for said land to be executed and delivered to the City and County upon payment of the agreed purchase price as aforesaid. And the said deed to said land is hereby accepted.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—14.

Absent—Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Roncovieri, Shannon—4.

Passed for Printing.

The following bill was *passed for printing*:

Amending Building Law Relative to Interior Lathing and Sheathing.

On motion of Supervisor Powers:

Bill No. 8279, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordinance No. 1008 (New Series), known as the Building Law of the City and County of San Francisco, is hereby amended as follows:

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. When a building is ready for lathing or sheathing on the inside the Building Inspector shall be notified. The Building Inspector shall cause such building to be inspected within twenty-four hours and if found to comply with the ordinances covering such work shall place his approval on the permit card. Such approval shall not give any person permission to lath or conceal any part of the frame from view until notice of inspection is posted by the Electrical Inspector.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Extension of Time, Grading Airport.

Supervisor Kent presented:

Resolution No. 28024 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recomendation of the Board of Public Works, James M. Smith is

hereby granted an extension of thirty (30) days' time from and after October 31, 1927, within which to complete the contract for grading at the Mills Field Municipal Airport, Contract No. 8, on account of the desire not to proceed with the seeding of the grass until the first rains. (First extension.)

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—14.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Roncovieri, Shannon—4.

Rereferred.

The following matter was *rereferred to Supplies Committee*:

Award of Contract, Pottery, Crockery, Etc.

Supervisor Badaracco presented:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of contract be hereby made to Charles Brown & Sons on bid submitted October 10, 1927 (Proposal No. 323), for furnishing the following, viz.:

Crockery: To be vitrified porcelain hotel crockery, "Shenango." Every article to bear the impress of the manufacturer. The descriptions given below relate to "Shenango Pottery Company's Price List No. 14," issued April 1, 1922.

Part I—For San Francisco Hospital: Vitrified china, first selection, two green line decoration.

(Item No.; quantity required; article; catalogue page No.; No. in catalogue; price per dozen 90-day delivery.)

1—300 dozen cups, coffee, Phila. welded handle (25 4-140), $2.23.

2—300 dozen fruits, coupe, roll edge 5⅛ inch (18 Chad), $1.33.

3—100 dozen plates, flat, roll edge, 9 inch (21 11-261), $3.34.

4—100 dozen saucers, Phila., ex. tea, roll edge (24 Rap), $1.53.

5—200 dozen plates, flat, roll edge, 6⅝ inch (21 11-261), $1.83.

6—50 dozen salad bowls, indv. 6 inch (22 8-220), $2.98.

7—5 dozen sugar bowls, covered, 30s globe, 17 oz. (23 3-95), $6.72.

8—5 dozen celery trays, 10⅞ inch (13 9-237), $9.49.

9—5 dozen shell pickles, No. 2, 8½ inch (21 9-236), $5.69.

10—50 dozen soup bowls, half thick, 5⅛ inch (12 5-181), $3.03.

11—6 dozen pitchers, 6s, roll edge, 3 quarts (19 3-107), $31.63.

Note: Above dimensions are over all.

Part II—For Laguna Honda Home: Vitrified china, first selection, plain white.

12—50 dozen cups, coffee, Phila. weld. hdle (25 4-140), $2.03.

13—50 dozen saucers, Sontum, roll edge (24 Rapid), 99c.

14—50 dozen plates, flat, roll edge, over all 9 inch (21 11-261), $2.14.

15—100 dozen soup bowls, 30s, low foot, 6 inch over all (12 5-170), $1.95.

16—50 dozen custards, wheat, unhandled, 6 ounce (16 2-67), $1.71.

Memo: Total value, $3,059.28.

Resolved, That a bond in the sum of $500 be required for the faithful performance of said contract.

Note: All above awards are made to the lowest bidder except when award is made in consideration of deliveries or on account of the quality as determined by such tests as required or recommended by the Purchaser of Supplies.

Resolved, That all other bids submitted hereon be rejected.

ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND MOTIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

Passed for Printing.

The following matter was *passed for printing*:

Amending Zoning Ordinance, Irving Street Between Thirtieth and Twenty-ninth Avenues.

On motion of Supervisor Marks:

Bill No. 8280, Ordinance No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Section 2 of Ordinance No. 6450 (New Series), entitled "Establishing set-back lines along portions of Capitol avenue, Thirty-ninth avenue, Thirty-second avenue and Irving street."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. So much of Section 2 of Ordinance No. 6450 (New Series), approved December 23, 1924, the title of which is as recited above, as pertains to the establishment of set-back lines along the southerly side of Irving street between Thirtieth and Twenty-ninth avenues is hereby repealed.

Accepting Offer of Spring Valley Water Company to Sell Easements for Hetch Hetchy Aqueduct.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 28025 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the Spring Valley Water Company has offered to convey to the City and County of San Francisco right of way easements for the Hetch Hetchy aqueduct tunnel or tunnels and for an electric transmission line and telephone line to be used in connection therewith,

over and across lands of said corporation in Alameda County, State of California, hereinafter described, subject only to conditions looking to the protection of the company's property against damage, said land being described as follows:

A strip of land situate, lying and being in the County of Alameda, State of California, to-wit:

All that portion of the north half of Section 13, Township 4 South, Range 2 East, the northeast quarter of Section 14, Township 4 South, Range 2 East, and the northwest quarter of Section 18, Township 4 South, Range 3 East, M. D. B. and M., included within a strip of land 250 feet in width, 25 feet northwesterly (measured at right angles) and 225 feet southeasterly (measured at right angles) from the following described line and said line produced southwesterly and northeasterly:

Beginning at a point in the south line of the northeast quarter of said Section 14, distant along said line north 88 deg. 05 min. west 768 feet from the quarter section corner common to said Sections 13 and 14, running thence north 74 deg. 58 min. 45 sec. east 2112.8 feet to a concrete monument set in the ground; thence continuing north 74 deg. 58 min. 45 sec. east 6700 feet, more or less, to a point in the east line of the northwest quarter of said Section 18.

The easterly end boundary of said 250-foot strip of land is the east line of the northwest quarter of said Section 18. The westerly end boundary of said strip is the south line of the northeast quarter of said Section 14.

In the above description it is assumed that an existing fence corner marks the quarter section corner common to said Sections 13 and 14, and that an existing fence marks the south line of said northeast quarter of Section 14.

Whereas, the City Engineer recommends the acceptance of this deed and the conditions attached thereto as being for the best interests of the Hetch Hetchy project; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the City and County of San Francisco does hereby accept said deed and the Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors are hereby authorized to execute the agreement embodied therein, accepting the conditions thereof in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—14.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Roncovieri, Shannon—4.

Passed for Printing.

The following matter was *passed for printing*:

Aprropriation, $2,500, Observance of Armistice Day.

Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $2,500 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of "Publicity and Advertising," Appropriation 55, for the expense of observance of Armistice Day, November 11, 1927.

Subcontracts on City Work.

Supervisor Powers presented:

Resolution No. 28026 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, Section 1 of Chapter III of Article II of the Charter, among other things, provides:

"Every contract for work to be performed within the State of California at the expense of the City and County or paid for out of moneys deposited in the Treasury, whether such work is to be done within or outside the limits of the City and County, and whether such work be done directly by or under such contract duly awarded, or indirectly by or under subcontract, subpartnership, day labor, station work, piece work, or any other arrangement whatsoever, must provide: (1) ——; (2) ——; (3) that preference in the performance of labor under such contract or other arrangement shall be given to persons who shall have actually resided in the City and County and shall have so resided for the period of one year next preceding the date of their engagement to perform labor thereunder"; and

Whereas, under the present practice of the Board of Public Works in the construction of municipal buildings, the failure of the said Board of Public Works to take separate bids and enter into an original contract for mill work on said public buildings, tends to a disregard of the aforesaid provision of the Charter, for the reason that when original contractors are permitted to make a subcontract for said mill work, subcontracts are oftentimes made with firms whose place of manufacture is outside the City and County of San Francisco, which said firms naturally employ persons who are nonresidents of the

said City of San Francisco; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That for the purpose of more strictly complying with the aforesaid provision of the Charter, that the Board of Public Works be and it is hereby requested to discontinue the practice of permitting original contractors constructing public buildings to enter into subcontracts for mill work to be used in the construction of said buildings, and that hereafter said Board of Public Works, in all cases where the estimated cost of the mill work to be used in any public building shall exceed the sum of five thousand ($5,000) dollars, be requested to invite original bids for said mill work and to enter into an original contract for said mill work to be used on said building; and be it

Further Resolved, That a copy of this resolution be forthwith transmitted to the Board of Public Works.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—14.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Roncovieri, Shannon—4.

Citizens' Committee, National Memorial Grove.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 28027 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors, with the approval of the Mayor, has authorized the establishment of a National Memorial Grove in Golden Gate Park to be dedicated to the mothers of all soldiers who have served in the wars of this republic; and

Whereas, the Mayor has appointed a Citizens' Committee to take charge of the dedication of this National Memorial Grove, and at the request of this Citizens' Committee Mr. John McLaren, Superintendent of Golden Gate Park, has set aside a tract of land in Golden Gate Park as the site for this memorial grove; and

Whereas, the Governors of every State in the American Union have been requested to donate a tree, representative of their states, to be planted in this memorial grove, and a large number of trees representing many states in the Union have already been received in response to this request; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That Sunday, November 27, 1927, at the hour of 2 o'clock p. m. be and is hereby fixed as the official time for the dedication of this National Memorial Grove.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—13.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Hayden, Roncovieri, Shannon—5.

Contractors on Public Work to Supply List of Subcontractors to Board of Public Works.

Supervisor Gallagher presented:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, there is a rule of the Board of Public Works that when a contract is let by said Board to a general contractor, that said general contractor shall, within a specified time, file with the Board of Public Works, a list of proposed subcontractors on any job on which he has been the successful bidder; and

Whereas, this rule has been constantly violated and led to abuse and bad practice, to-wit, that said general contractors have used the violation of this rule to peddle subcontracts in various places to the detriment and against the best interest of local business firms; and

Whereas, in the case of the mill owners who have their factories in San Francisco this practice has resulted in over seventy-five per cent of the mill work of these school buildings and others being let to factories outside of San Francisco; and

Whereas, the Charter expressly states, that other things being equal, a mandate that home industry shall be preferred in the matter of work being done by and for the City and County of San Francsico; and

Whereas, fifty per cent of the mill work in the buildings constructed by the Board of Public Works is for labor and it is obvious that local labor should be employed on said work; therefore, be it

Resolved, By the board of directors of the Southern Promotion Association, in a regular meeting assembled this 22d day of September, 1927, that we request:

First: That a ruling requiring general contractors to file a proposed list of subcontractors within a specified time with the Board of Public Works be changed to read "that before a general contract is awarded to the general contractor that a list of his subcontractors be filed with the Board of Public

Works" and that this ruling then be enforced to the letter;

Second: That the Board of Public Works order that, in so far as it is possible, and with no equivocation, all mill work be awarded to factories within the limits of the City and County of San Francisco;

Third: That the mill work, under the supervision of the Board of Public Works, be segregated from the rest of the work and that said bids on said work be taken separately; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Bureau of Architecture of the Board of Public Works be instructed to allow no deviation from the above unless by special permission of the Board of Public Works, in regular meeting assembled, and all the interested parties be notified.

Referred to Public Buildings Committee.

French Warship Reception.

Supervisor Colman moved that the Mayor be authorized to appoint a committee to arrange for the reception of the French warship, which is about due in port.

So ordered.

Cortland Avenue Car Service.

Supervisor Schmidt moved that the Public Utilities Committee get in touch with the Market Street Railway for the purpose of having its five-minute service on Cortland avenue continued from 5 to 9:30 p. m. each evening as heretofore.

Referred to Public Utilities Committee.

Political Advertising on Official Cars.

Supervisor Havenner called attention to political advertising on machaines owned or leased by city employees, and moved that the Clerk be directed to ask Civil Service Commission whether or not it is against the Civil Service regulations regarding political activities.

So ordered.

Traffic Obstruction, Third and King Streets.

Supervisor Gallagher requested that the Streets Committee investigate delays caused by switching Southern Pacific cars at Third and King streets. One train, he said, occupied the crossing from 10:45 p. m. to 11 p. m. last night, preventing commuters getting their trains.

Drifting Sand, Thirty-third Avenue Between Irving and Judah Streets.

Supervisor Gallagher called attention to complaint as to condition caused by drfiting sand on Thirty-third avenue between Irving and Judah streets, and requested that Streets Committee give matter consideration.

So ordered.

Additional Land for Marina.

Supervisor Gallagher moved that Park Commission be asked to report on project for additional land for Marina, also that Clerk again request City Planning Committee and City Planning Commission in re rezoning five blocks at the Marina.

So ordered.

Proposed Zoning Ordinance Amendment, Nineteenth Avenue and Sloat Boulevard.

Supervisor Marks presented:

Bill No. ——, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries, and buildings, and the location of buildings designed for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is recited above, is hereby amended as follows:

Section 6 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place the following described property in the Second Residential District and classification instead of in the First Residential District and classification, to-wit:

Commencing at the point of intersection of the westerly line of Fifteenth avenue and the southerly line of Wawona street, running thence westerly along the southerly line of Wawona street as it now exists after the closing and vacating of certain portions of said street to the easterly line of Nineteenth avenue; thence southerly along the said easterly line of Nineteenth avenue 842 feet; thence at a right angle to Nineteenth avenue and parallel with the northerly line of Sloat boulevard and 110 feet northerly therefrom 571 feet; thence at a right angle northerly 268.344 feet to a point which is distant 600 feet southerly from the former southerly line of Wawona street as the same existed prior to the vacation of any portion thereof; thence easterly and parallel with the southerly line of Wawona street 302.74 feet; thence north 57 deg. east 272.25 feet to a point known as Station 24 of the Rancho San Miguel; thence northeasterly along the northwest-

erly line of said Rancho San Miguel 208.867 feet, more or less, to the westerly line of Fifteenth avenue; thence northerly along the westerly line of Fifteenth avenue 266.70 feet to the southerly line of Wawona street and the point of beginning.

Referred to City Planning Commission, with map, with request same be sent to City Planning Committee for speedy hearing.

Communication from Chief of Police in re Masquerade Ball Permit, Samuel Shortridge Non-Partisan Club.

The following was read by the Clerk:

October 29, 1927.

J. S. Dunnigan, Clerk, the Board of Supervisors, the City Hall, San Francisco.

Dear Sir:

Replying to your communication of October 25th, 1927, in re the application of Samuel L. Shortridge Non-Partisan Club to run a masquerade ball on November 7th, 1927, from 8 p. m. to 5 a. m., permit me to advise that the matter was referred by me to Captain John J. Casey, commanding the Bush Street Police District, and the following is a copy of the report submitted by him, which is self-explanatory:

"Herewith follows a report as required by the provisions of the above mentioned subject:

"That on August 14, 1926, a masquerade ball was held at the Civic Auditorium by the said organization, and on this occasion a police detail of nine members was assigned to supervise the conduct of the said ball. While no outward evidence of any violation of the law or ordinances of this City and County were observed, still from the condition of the patrons it was evident to the officers that liquor was partaken of from flasks concealed on the persons of unknown parties who patronized this event, and said patrons while departing from said Auditorium at the breaking up of this affair on the early hours of Sunday morning reflected no credit to the management of this so-called organization.

"Samuel Mash, attorney at law, conducted the ball referred to, and is the applicant for the permit for the ball to be held on November 7th, 1927, at the said Auditorium. Said Samuel Mash is at the present time bound over from Police Court No. 2 to the Superior Court on charges of pandering, on complaint of one Marie Howard, a white woman, address 1848 Buchanan street, premises under the control of the said Mash.

"During the testimony of the said Marie Howard at the trial in said Police Court, it was brought out that said Mash had received from said Marie Howard the sum of $90 on the morning after the ball (Sunday at the said address, said money being the proceeds of prostitution as practiced by said Marie Howard at the said address for the night previous and early Sunday morning. Mrs. Jack Williams, known as Helen, was a witness to this transaction, as evidenced by her testimony in this case.

"No information can be obtained that this so-called Samuel Shortright Club is in existence, except in the imagination of the said Samuel Mash, colored, an attorney at law."

Very truly yours,

D. J. O'BRIEN,
Chief of Police.

Privilege of the Floor.

W. J. McLamore and *M. Peoples*, representing colored organization, protest permit to the above mentioned organization, also Mrs. McLaughlin, representing Women's Club.

Permit Revoked.

Supervisor Havenner moved that Resolutions No. 27806 and No. 27946, granting permits to the Samuel Shortridge Non-Partisan Club to conduct masquerade ball in Polk Hall be revoked.

Motion *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—14.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Hayden, Shannon—4.

Supervisor McSheehy moved that Auditorium Committee investigate ball given by Mr. McLamore's organization, and if no service was rendered that money be refunded.

BRIDGE HEARINGS.

Privilege of the Floor.

Colonel Rand, representing the Pamplin application, was granted the privilege of the floor. He declared that it was impossible for the attorney, Mr. Hanley, to be present today, and that he was there simply to submit the case growing out of the facts that he has not completely covered as he would like to have them covered. We want to point out simply that our application was originally advertised on March 26, 1927, before the Board of Engineers was appointed by this Board of Supervisors, and was submitted on May 9, 1927. At our original hearing, he said, we introduced the jurisdictional matters

which puts us clearly before this Board. We want to emphasize the fact that this is a hearing somewhat in the nature of a judicial proceeding, and we earnestly urge that, under the provisions of the code which entitled anybody to be urged, that our hearing be not suspended for further action but that anybody who wants to be heard in protest on our hearing be heard and the hearing included, and with that, for Mr. Hanley, I submit the case.

* * * * *

In reply to Supervisor Marks' objection to this procedure he said in part: "The Supervisor is slightly in error. On October 26th of last year, at the termination of the first nineteen hearings, Mr. Dunnigan was directed to call the roll of all of the petitions and ask in the audience whether anybody wanted to be heard. No one responded as wanting to be heard and there was a formal motion made that all hearings were then concluded and the matter has since been continued simply for more consideration. As I say, the Board can actually reopen the hearings, I presume, at any time, but the hearing is now—we are supposed to conclude now. If anybody comes up later we must have some opportunity to rebut what they may say. The first thing to establish is the jurisdiction. * * * Simply to prevent the expense of our keeping continually on the job, we think we are entitled to a conclusion of this hearing at some time and that the time for the conclusion of that hearing is when we get through. Mr. Marks can vote against it and we cannot insist that any Supervisor make the motion that the hearing be concluded, but we can ask that."

The Mayor: What are the objections to concluding it? Why can't it get in the same status as all the other bridge matters?

* * * * *

Colonel Rand: We want to be put in the same status as the first nineteen which have been heard. I think Mr. Dunnigan will recall the fact. As a matter of record there was a definite motion made after he called the roll of every application, asking for any protests, there was a definite motion made that the hearings be closed and it was carried and we would like to be put in the same status as the other hearings.

The Mayor: All in favor of placing Colonel Rand's petition, the Pamplin application, in the same position as the other first nineteen hearings, please say "aye." Contrary minded, "no." The "ayes" have it and it is so ordered.

* * * * *

Mr. Montgomery K. Miller: Mr. Mayor and Gentlemen of the Board: "I desire offhand to call your attention to the fact that the H. S. Henion application was first published in January, this year, in the regular procedure of publication the application was formally filed on March 14 of this year. That was several weeks prior to the Board of Engineers assembling in this city. It was two months prior to their report being issued. Therefore it comes clearly within the province of having been an original application so far as the Board of Consulting Engineers is concerned. Mr. H. S. Henion, in whose name the application was filed, is my attorney. He is a well-known lawyer of Oakland, having lived there all his life, and one of the leading practitioners on that side of the Bay. For private reasons I filed the application, of which I am the author, the engineer and the sole owner, in his name."

He declared that it was essential that a definite, precise location be submitted to Congress for the proposed bridge, and that up to the present moment that has not been done. The line recommended by the Board of Consulting Engineers and the line of my application is not a final location, although it has been so construed, generally speaking. That line is only a preliminary survey. It indicates a zone for guidance in determining the factors in the problem which a final location must solve. The report of the Board of Engineers in substance so states. He proceeded and endeavored to demonstrate that there is only one location possible which fills the bill, and that his selection provides as fully as possible for all the factors in the problem, and that any other location which may be suggested can instantly be shown not to.

* * * * *

The action taken by the City Council of Alameda is really the keynote to the final selection of a bridge location, and I have here a copy of the resolution passed by the Alameda Council which I desire to put into the record:

"Resolution No. 1148.

Approving application of City and County of San Francisco for a permit for San Francisco Bay bridge.

Whereas, there is pending before the United States War Department an application for the construction of a transbay bridge between the

City and County of San Francisco and the County of Alameda; and

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco has approved as a site for the easterly terminal of said bridge a location on the Alameda Mole south of San Antonio Estuary; and

Whereas, it was heretofore reported to the Council of the City of Alameda that such terminal might interfere with projects for harbor development by the City of Alameda on the south shore of said San Antonio Estuary, and this Council appointed a committee to confer with the officials of San Francisco for the purpose of adjusting bridge plans to avoid such interference; and

Whereas, that committee reports that after conference with the officials of San Francisco in the matter the said officials have stated that the plans for said easterly terminal are at the present time general within a certain zone and subject to adjustment in order to meet the needs of the Alameda waterfront development and said officials have agreed that final plans will be so adjusted; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, by the Council of the City of Alameda that it does hereby give approval to the proposition of a transbay bridge and endorses the application of the City and County of San Francisco before the War Department for a permit for such bridge, provided it does not interfere with the harbor development plans of Alameda.

I, the undersigned, hereby certify that the foregoing resolution was duly and regularly introduced and adopted by the Council of the City of Alameda in special meeting assembled on the 14th day of June, 1927, by the following vote, to-wit:

Ayes: Calcutt, Latham, Noble and President Otis (4).

Noes: Councilman Neiss (1).

Absent: None.

In testimony whereof, I have hereunto set my hand and affixed the official seal of said city this 15th day of June, 1927.

(Seal) ————————,

City Clerk of the City of Alameda."

* * * * *

"I offer to execute an option to the City, under which the bridge may be taken over at any time it desires, at cost plus 6 per cent for the original investment period. The financing to be conservative as possible, free from promotion profits, and subject to approval by any large financial institution named by and acting for the city government.

I also offer to execute said option, together with an assignment of the franchise, to any trustee named by the city government.

This will enable the city government itself to arrange the financing, as a temporary private enterprise, controlled, however, by the city, and be taken over later as a publicly owned property. The grantee, as a reward for producing the best plan for a bay bridge, to have a contract with the city, on the usual fee basis, for detailing and supervising construction of the bridge (in line with the procedure when the S. F. City Hall was built), the fee to include all costs of a complete engineering organization comprising nationally known experts, which, obviously, will be necessary in any case.

Under either of these proposals, the city may proceed at once to do the only thing the law now provides for—to grant a franchise—and at the same time retain absolute control of the project, under conditions which permit of either private or public ownership.

I respectfully contend that the Henion project is the only one pending which fully provides for all the factors involved in the problem of joining Rincon Hill and West Oakland and is therefore entitled to a franchise on merit.

In combination with the above offers as to financing, etc., it places in your hands the ideal programme for bridging the bay on the best possible location, at minimum cost and without any delay."

* * * * *

"1514 Central Bank Building,
Oakland, California.
May 11, 1927.

To the Honorable Board of Supervisors, City and County of San Francisco, Cal.

Gentlemen:

The report of the Board of Engineers on Bay Bridge implies that the 'First Choice' location line, as shown on the map, is flexible and intended to cover a zone within or close to which a final exact location shall be made. In this connection, I beg to submit for consideration the enclosed map and advantages claimed in behalf of the H. S. Henion bridge franchise application.

First: It joins the same terminals in West Oakland and San Francisco as the 'First Choice' line, within practically the same distance,

therefore, is economically within the 'First Choice' zone.

Second: It clears the charted 'Naval Anchorage' by considerable margin, which the 'First Choice' line cuts in two and unnecessarily destroys.

Third: It leaves 3000 feet more open water distance between Goat Island and the bridge for anchorage and traffic lanes than the 'First Choice' line. During storms and foggy weather ships approaching Oakland Estuary not infrequently drift southerly, as far as the 'First Choice' line. This vitally important area of the Bay should not be unnecessarily restricted.

Fourth: The Henion location crosses the S. F. Waterfront at Pier 46, a natural and correct crossing, because it leaves the entire waterfront of San Francisco, north of China Basin, unobstructed by bridge piers, whereas the 'First Choice' line unnecessarily cuts off eight of the most important docks. China Basin affords excellent maneuvering space for vessels passing to and from wharves south of the bridge.

Fifth: From Pier 46, the Henion route, by an overhead approach, will carry traffic to a terminal at or near Second and Harrison streets, via Second street, thus avoiding the impracticable diagonal crossing of several blocks of private property, as proposed by said 'First Choice' line.

Sixth: The cause of protest by Alameda against the 'First Choice' line is overcome by the Henion location, as it leaves ample area between the Mole and proposed bridge for future harbor development, for naval base or municipal use, without vessels passing under the bridge. The docks, as suggested, 300 feet wide and 1000 feet long, provide 14,000 lineal feet of berthing space, also B, 500 lineal feet of quay wall berthing space, also 1800 lineal feet of frontage for drydocks or wharves. Relatively, this is seven times more berthing space than at Mare Island Navy Yard and three times greater than the berthing space owned by Oakland (only one-half of which is now in service). The 5000 acres adjacent on the south may connect with the docks by rail or roadways passing under the bridge.

Seventh: An approach to the crossing of the Estuary by bridge or tube must be by a curve of long radius, not less than 2500 feet, as per the Henion location, to facilitate safe rapid transit by electric trains and automobiles. A curve of short radius, as per 'First Choi line, would impede traffic, dangerous to life and property a impair the usefulness of the wh bridge structure.

Eighth: A location from Pier direct to Pacific avenue, Alame is about one mile longer betwe terminals than the Henion locatio This extra mile would increase co of transportation about 16 per ce

Ninth: The Henion location the only one offered which ful provides for all the above impo ant factors. It is economical within the zone recommended, a all things considered, it is respec fully claimed to be as nearly possible, a hundred per cent perfe bridge location for joining Rinc Hill and West Oakland.

Yours truly,

(Signed) H. S. HENION, Attorney.

(Signed) M. K. MILLER, Civil Engineer."

"33 Bacon Bldg., Oakland, Cal.
August 30, 1927.

To the Hon. Board of Supervisor City and County of San Fra cisco.

Gentlemen:

A bay bridge on the first choic 'line' would have made it impossibl for the naval fleet, in the bay las week, to have anchored within th chartered reserved naval anchorag A bridge on the H. S. Henion loca tion, which clears the reserve anchorage, would not have inte fered at all.

Secretary Wilbur unquestionably therefore, would have far less ob jection to a bridge on the Henio route than on the first choice 'line'

The Board of Engineers' repor plainly states the first choice 'line indicates a zone within which a exact final location shall be made The public however, and probably the Naval Department, consider the first choice 'line' to be the exac final first choice location. The Henion location is within the zone It joins the same terminals in San Francisco and Oakland within the same distance as the first choic line and is one-half mile further south. That half mile of ope water space is of vital importance to the Navy and all bay traffic, also to the City of Alameda, also to the waterfront of San Francisco. The difference in the two routes, may be a (the) determining factor in a yes or no decision by the Naval Department. Under the circum stances is it not supremely import

ınt that the best exact location within the 'first choice zone' be immediately presented to the War and Navy Departments before a ruling s announced?

In previous communications, eight specific objections to the first choice line', each one vital, have been pointed out. Alameda will not permit a bridge to be built on that line' because it entirely cuts off its western waterfront. It cuts squarely through the reserved naval anchorage and destroys it. There are six additional engineering objections to that 'line'.

On the contrary, the H. S. Henion project is the only one pending which entirely eliminates each and all of the objections. It is the only possible perfect bridge location within the first choice zone. It was applied for several weeks before the Board of Engineers was appointed. It is the 'best' plan before the Board. Its paramount advantages cannot be controverted. It is fairly entitled to recognition as such. San Francisco and the public needs the Henion route.

Granting of a franchise to Henion (to be transferred back to the City of San Francisco in advance by the owner, on a fee basis, in line with the procedure in building the City Hall) will instantly clear the road for action. It will overcome two to five years required for legislation and formation of a bridge district. It will enhance a public ownership program. The city may immediately arrange private bridge construction financing, through a trustee, and upon completion of the bridge turn it over to a district, if a district be formed. Everything is gained—nothing lost—by the procedure above suggested.

Yours truly,

M. K. MILLER,
Civil Engineer.

(Author, engineer and sole owner of the H. S. Henion Bay Bridge Franchise Application.)

* * * * *

Colonel Rand: Mr. Mayor and Gentlemen: Simply as a citizen and taxpayer, and not interested in the bridge proposition at all, I want to register a protest against further consideration by the Board of Supervisors of the Henion application, based on the fact that it asks for authority utterly beyond the authority of this Board to grant, namely, the crossing of the Oakland Estuary. I simply make that as a matter of record protest at the present time. I also desire to point out that at every other bridge hearing it has been considered as a matter of testimony, and myself and other applicants had himself and his witnesses put under oath, and there was no oath administered in this case to Mr. Miller.

Mr. Miller: I will take the oath now.

(Mr. Montgomery K. Miller was sworn by the Clerk to testify to the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, and that his prior statement has been the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth.)

Supervisor Marks: I move you that all statements made by the witness be considered as having been given under oath, the oath now having been administered.

There being no objection, the Mayor declared the motion carried.

Supervisor Marks: I want to say also that I do not remember Mr. Rand taking the oath.

Colonel Rand: When I came forward on the Pamplin application I took the oath.

Supervisor Schmidt: I am going to move the privilege of the floor to Mr. Webb. I think he has been here all afternoon and should be heard at this time.

Frank E. Webb, having been first duly sworn, made the following statement:

Mr. Mayor and Gentlemen of the Board: I would like to say that I understand this Board has taken a —laid down a policy to build a bridge somewhere around Rincon Hill. I want to state that our application went in at a point right below the drydocks near Oakdale avenue, across and up into Bay Farm Island. If at any time this Board finds that they want to take up and go into the details and are interested in Hunters Point and will so notify me I will bring back all of our experts and show in detail exactly what we will do. Suffice it to say we have the borings in and around all this territory, Hunters Point and below; we have test piles, and we have soundings. My application was properly filed and legally filed; notices are on file. The bridge as laid out by our people is perfectly satisfactory and unofficially acceptable to the War and Navy Departments. The bridge here would take up only two of the naval anchorages. As to finances, the people interested in the project as laid out are ready to finance any kind of a bridge that we want, whether it be for vehicular rapid transit or transcontinental, and if any time, as I say, this Board is interested enough in Hunters Point

we are ready to show everything. We simply want to be on record.

Supervisor Gallagher: You have filed your petition in the regular way, have you?

Mr. Webb: Yes, sir.

Supervisor Gallagher: The Board must eventually, whatever action may be finally taken, must eventually hear your petition, and I am wondering at your appearance on the floor today.

Mr. Webb: I am on the floor today simply to put it in legally that we have appeared, and to state that the application was filed; the map of location was filed; the advertisement legally was filed.

Supervisor Gallagher: Does not that show on the record?

Mr. Webb: Yes, sir.

Supervisor Gallagher: That's what I am saying. We will reach you in due time.

Mr. Webb: Yes, sir.

Supervisor Gallagher: Are you worried?

Mr. Webb: Not a bit, because I don't think the Board as it stands now are going to get beyond the place. I think they will probably go to Washington and spend a nice little time, but there is no committee, to my mind, in Congress that will ever pass a bridge that is not acquiesced in by the War and Navy Departments. You would have to go before these committees and satisfy them. Now, the point is raised here that some people put in an application after they had spoken for public ownership. That was not my case. I did wait, however, to see all applications in, and I did go to Washington to see if they were satisfactory, and I was told that they were not, and after a careful study I found out what was satisfactory. The Navy anticipate unless they can have docks and yards over in here (indicating) they will have to build at least one or two more docks. The Navy pays $50.000 a year for the privilege of using these docks, and that's the only place this side of Puget Sound they can put in a capital ship and anchor. The naval anchorage out at Rincon Hill takes in a 600-foot circle to anchor one capital ship in. They have a lane below that and the naval anchorage runs exactly below here to this point right there (indicating), and putting in a bridge from Oakdale avenue runs down to what is known as Shag Rock. The Navy has stated emphatically that they will not allow any bridge that interferes with the waterfront of Alameda. They hope and expect to build a naval base on the Alameda side. I think that's all I have to say, Mr. Mayor and gentlemen, unless somebody wants to ask me questions.

Motions.

Whereupon the following matters were taken up:

Supervisor Gallagher: I move you that while it is the policy of this Board that we favor a publicly-owned bay bridge, it is however, the sense of this Board that we favor immediate construction of said bridge by private capital, until the people may elect in the manner provided by law to take over said bridge and place it under public ownership and control.

* * *

Supervisor Marks: I move you that, as an amendment to the motion of Supervisor Gallagher, the City Attorney be requested to submit to this Board, at the earliest possible opportunity, a form of submission of the issue of public ownership and operation of the proposed bridge, or private ownership or operation of the proposed bridge, for action by the people at the earliest possible opportunity.

* * *

Supervisor Marks: I desire to present the following motion and calling attention to the fact that it does not call for anything other than asking the City Attorney to submit a proper form of proposal to us. I make the motion which heretofore has been outlined three or four times.

(Referring to motion set out in full above.)

The Chairman: Any objection? So ordered.

Supervisor Gallagher moved that further consideration of the foregoing be continued until 11 a. m., November 21, 1927.

Motion *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Stanton—11.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Hayden, Kent, Roncovieri, Shannon, Todd—7.

Applications for Franchises to Construct Bridges Across San Francisco Bay.

The following matters were taken up:

Application of Bay Cities Bridge Corporation for a franchise for a toll bridge between San Francisco and Alameda.

Proposed rail and highway crossing for San Francisco Bay from the foot of Sixteenth street, San Fran-

cisco, to the foot of Pacific avenue, Alameda.

Beginning at the intersection of the center line of Sixteenth street with the center line of Third (Kentucky) street, in the City and County of San Francisco, and extending thence easterly along the center line of Sixteenth street to the waterfront line; thence easterly along a straight line to a point on the center line of Pacific avenue, in the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, which point is one hundred feet westerly from the intersection of said center line of Pacific avenue with the center line of Bryant street.

That the length of said bridge is 27,750 feet and that the width of the roadway on said bridge is 38 feet.

Filed April 6, 1925.

DUDLEY D. SALES,
Attorney.

On motion of Supervisor Gallagher, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, November* 21, 1927, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Stanton—11.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Hayden, Kent, Roncovieri, Shannon, Todd—7.

Application of Elbert W. Davis for a franchise for a toll bridge between San Francisco and Alameda.

Across San Francisco Bay, beginning at a point in the City and County of San Francisco on the bulkhead line of San Francisco Harbor between Piers 26 and 28, and running approximately north 67 degrees 45 minutes east 17,962 feet, more or less, to a point in the City of Oakland, County of Alameda, State of California, on the easterly shore of San Francisco Bay.

That the length of said bridge is to be 17,962 feet and that the breadth thereof is to be 65 feet, more or less.

Filed June 29, 1925.

JAMES M. OLIVER,
Attorney.

On motion of Supervisor Gallagher, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, November* 21, 1927, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Stanton—11.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Hayden, Kent, Roncovieri, Shannon, Todd—7.

Application of John Bermingham, Jr., 250 Cervantes boulevard, for a franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge across San Francisco Bay between the City and County of San Francisco and the County of Alameda.

Beginning at the intersection of the center line of Seventh and Mission streets, in the City and County of San Francisco, along Seventh street to Seventh and Sixteenth; thence in a southeasterly direction to Nineteenth and Third; thence in a southerly direction along Third street to the intersection of Third street and Jerrold avenue and waterfront; thence proceeding in a northerly direction, crossing San Francisco Bay to a point from which a branch will extend 4500 feet easterly to the intersection of Pacific avenue and Bryant street, in the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California; thence from said aforementioned intersecting point proceeding in a northerly direction, crossing the Oakland Estuary, to the intersection of Peralta street and Seventh street, in the City of Oakland, County of Alameda, State of California.

That the total length of said bridge, approaches thereto and branch thereof, aforementioned, is 65,450 feet, that the width of said bridge is ninety (90) feet.

Filed September 28, 1925.

On motion of Supervisor Gallagher, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, November* 21, 1927, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Stanton—11.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Hayden, Kent, Roncovieri, Shannon, Todd—7.

Application of A. M. Bergstrom for a franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge across San Francisco Bay between the City and County of San Francisco and the County of Alameda.

That the said toll bridge is to be located across the Bay of San Francisco, along the following described line, to-wit:

Beginning at a point in San Francisco at about the foot of Davidson avenue, on Hunter's Point, and running northerly sixty-two (62) degrees east four and four-tenths (4.4) miles; thence on a one (1) degree curve to the north one and six-tenths (1.6) miles to true north to a point on the easterly shore of the Bay of San Francisco at about the foot of Webster

street, in the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California.

That the length of said bridge is to be six (6) miles and the breadth is to be sixty (60) feet, more or less.

Filed September 28, 1925.

On motion of Supervisor Gallagher, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, November* 21, 1927, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Stanton—11.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Hayden, Kent, Roncovieri, Shannon, Todd—7.

Application of New York and San Francisco Development Company for franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge between San Francisco and Alameda.

Bridge to be constructed across San Francisco Bay from a point therein at or near the junction of Evans avenue and Boalt street, Hunter's Point, in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to or near the junction of South High street and Washington street, in the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California; that the length of said bridge is approximately six and one-half miles from shore line to shore line, and that its breadth or width is approximately from 60 to 90 feet.

Filed January 11, 1926.

ROTHCHILD & GOLDEN,
Attorneys.

On motion of Supervisor Gallagher, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, November* 21, 1927, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Stanton—11.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Hayden, Kent, Roncovieri, Shannon, Todd—7.

Application of Roy C. Hackley for a franchise to construct a toll bridge between San Francisco, Pier 26, and Alameda County.

Beginning at a point in the City and County of San Francisco on the bulkhead line of San Francisco Harbor, south of and adjacent to Pier 26, and running approximately north 61 degrees east and fifty-three hundred feet, more or less; thence running approximately north 89 degrees east five thousand feet, more or less; thence running approximately north 59 degrees 30 minutes east eight thousand feet, more or less, to a point in the City of Oakland, County of Alameda, State of California, on the easterly shore of said San Francisco Bay.

That the length of said bridge is to be eighteen thousand three hundred feet (18,300) and that the breadth thereof is to be 78 feet, more or less.

Roy A. Bronson and E. D. Bronson, Jr., attorneys, 68 Post street.

Filed February 6, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor Gallagher, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, November* 21, 1927, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Stanton—11.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Hayden, Kent, Roncovieri, Shannon, Todd—7.

Two applications of the Construction Company of North America for a franchise to construct and open a toll bridge between San Francisco and Alameda County.

Commencing at a point in said City and County of San Francisco near the corner of First and Bryant streets, on what is known as Rincon Hill, and running thence on less than a two-degree curve a distance of approximately 1700 lineal feet to the line of bulkhead wharf connecting Piers 30 and 32 on the waterfront of the said City and County of San Francisco; thence on a line approximately north 65 degrees east 21,000 lineal feet, more or less, to the Oakland Mole of the Southern Pacific Company in Alameda County.

That the length of said bridge is to be 4½ miles and that the width thereof is to be approximately 78 feet.

ROBERT J. McGAHIE,
Attorney, Mills Building.

First application filed February 15, 1926.

Second application filed April 5, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor Gallagher, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, November* 21, 1927, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Stanton—11.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Hayden, Kent, Roncovieri, Shannon, Todd—7.

Application of Allan C. Rush for a franchise to construct a toll bridge between San Francisco and Alameda County.

Construction of a suspension bridge from the City and County of San Francisco over and across Goat Island to the east shore of the Bay of San Francisco, comprising three main double traffic track entrance therein, and being of a height of two hundred feet above high tide, between all bridge piers in the waters of San Francisco Channel, in which channel the bridge will be suspended over "but three piers," twenty-four hundred feet apart, thus in nowise impeding the traffic of the waters of the Bay.

Filed June 19, 1926.

ALLAN C. RUSH,
1632 McCullom street, Los Angeles, California.

On motion of Supervisor Gallagher, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, November* 21, 1927, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Stanton—11.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Hayden, Kent, Roncovieri, Shannon, Todd—7.

Application of Robert Lee Dunn, 778 Monadnock Building, for a franchise to construct a toll bridge along the following described line, to-wit:

Beginning at the intersection of the center line of Second street with the center line of Berry street, in the City and County of San Francisco and extending thence easterly to the waterfront line; thence easterly along a straight line to the intersection of the center lines of Pacific avenue and Bryant street, City of Alameda, California.

Length of said bridge is twenty-six thousand feet; that the width of the roadway on said bridge is eighty feet.

Filed June 21, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor Gallagher, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, November* 21, 1927, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Stanton—11.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Hayden, Kent, Roncovieri, Shannon, Todd—7.

Application of Edward A. Bertram for a franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge to be located, to-wit:

Beginning at Twelfth and Howard streets, San Francisco, by 1½ per cent viaduct to Beale and Howard streets; thence to Southern Pacific Mole, Oakland; thence by 1½ per cent viaduct to West Fourteenth street level, and Sixteeenth Street Railroad Station.

The length of said bridge proper is 21,000 feet and its width of roadway is 63 feet.

Filed June 21, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor Gallagher, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, November* 21, 1927, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Stanton—11.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Hayden, Kent, Roncovieri, Shannon, Todd—7.

Application of R. S. Chew for franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge or bridges.

The said proposed bridge or bridges are to be located and are described as follows:

The westerly end of a bridge and the approaches thereto, which will connect with public streets, are to be located in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California (which location is in the county situated on the left bank descending of the Bay of San Francisco, across which said bridge is to be constructed), and at a point therein at or near the summit of an eminence known as Telegrapn Hill; from this point the bridge will project easterly a distance of nine thousand feet (more or less) to the westerly side of Yerba Buena (or Goat) Island; thence easterly across said island a roadway or viaduct will be constructed for a distance of four thousand feet (more or less) to its easterly side; from thence there will be projected in an easterly direction a distance of twenty-two thousand feet (more or less), a bridge structure, viaduct, causeway and/or fill to suitable connections with lines or railway and public highways in Alameda County, State of California.

Filed June 28, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor Gallagher, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, November* 21, 1927, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Stanton—11.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Hayden, Kent, Roncovieri, Shannon, Todd—7.

Application of Inter-Cities Bridge Company for a franchise to construct, maintain and operate a toll bridge across the Bay of San Francisco and over the following route:

Beginning at the intersection of Broadway and Battery street, in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and extending thence easterly to the waterfront; thence on a line approximately north 60 degrees east to the westerly point of Yerba Buena or Goat Island; thence by contour meander to the easterly point of Yerba Buena or Goat Island; thence on a line approximately north 75 degrees to the foot of Yerba Buena street, in the City of Oakland, County of Alameda, State of California.

That the length of said bridge, inclusive of approximately 4100 feet on ground support over Yerba Buena or Goat Island, and 5670 feet to be supported by an earth fill mole in the approach to the said Oakland terminal, is to be approximately 27,000 feet. That the width of said bridge is to be approximately 120 feet, providing two 20-foot vehicular lanes and accommodations for four lines of electric transportation tracks.

Filed July 12, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor Gallagher, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, November* 21, 1927, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Stanton—11.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Hayden, Kent, Roncovieri, Shannon, Todd—7.

Application of G. Lindenthal for franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge.

Said bridge is to be located along the following described line:

Commencing at a point in the center line of Jerrold avenue, in the City and County of San Francisco, about midway between Ship street and Dock street, in said City and County of San Francisco; thence on a curve easterly and northerly a distance of 30,000 feet, more or less, to the southerly foot of Webster street, in the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California.

The width of said bridge at the easterly end thereof for approximately 16,000 feet will be 75 feet, and the width of the remaining portion thereof will be approximately 40 feet. The length of said bridge will be approximately 30,000 feet.

Filed August 2, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor Gallagher, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, November* 21, 1927, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Stanton—11.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Hayden, Kent, Roncovieri, Shannon, Todd—7.

Application of W. F. Holcomb for franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge across San Francisco Bay between the City and County of San Francisco and Alameda County.

Beginning at or near and within one hundred feet northerly or southerly of the intersection of the center line of Second street with the center line of Berry street, in the City and County of San Francisco and extending thence easterly to the waterfront line; thence easterly along a straight line to, at or near and within one hundred feet northerly or southerly of the intersection of the center lines of Pacific avenue and Bryant street, City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California.

That the length of said bridge will be twenty-six thousand feet; that the width of the roadway on said bridge will be eighty-nine feet.

Filed August 9, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor Gallagher, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, November* 21, 1927, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Stanton—11.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Hayden, Kent, Roncovieri, Shannon, Todd—7.

Application of E. D. Bronson, Jr., for a franchise for a toll bridge across San Francisco Bay.

Filed August 16, 1926.

Beginning at a point in the City and County of San Francisco on the bulkhead line of San Francisco Harbor, south of and adjacent to Pier 26, and running north approximately 68 degrees east seventeen thousand nine hundred fifty feet (17,950′), more or less, to a point in the City of Oakland, County of Alameda, State of California, on the

easterly shore of said San Francisco Bay; and the said toll bridge to be approximately seventeen thousand nine hundred fifty (17,950') feet in length and one hundred ten (110') feet in breadth, more or less.

Roy A. Bronson, E. D. Bronson, Jr., attorneys at law, 68 Post street, room 403, San Francisco, Cal.

On motion of Supervisor Gallagher, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, November* 21, 1927, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Stanton—11.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Hayden, Kent, Roncovieri, Shannon, Todd—7.

Tunnels.

Application of O. A. Ellis for franchise for two tunnels under the Bay of San Francisco from San Francisco to Oakland.

One of the tunnels will be for interurban street car traffic, with double track, and the San Francisco terminal between Third and Fourth streets on Harrison street.

The other tunnel will be for auto and railroad traffic, with San Francisco terminal between Second and Third streets on Harrison street.

The Oakland terminal will be at Seventh and Cedar streets.

Filed June 25, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor Gallagher, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, November* 21, 1927, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Stanton—11.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Hayden, Kent, Roncovieri, Shannon, Todd—7.

Application of H. S. Henion to construct a toll bridge along the following route, to-wit:

Beginning at the intersection of the center lines of Berry and Second streets, in the City and County of San Francisco California, thence southeasterly to the west end of Pier No. 46, on the San Francisco waterfront; thence easterly over said pier to its eastern end; thence easterly across the Bay of San Francisco to the point of intersection of the center line of Peralta street, in the City of Oakland, California, produced southerly to the center line of the vehicular roadway on the Alameda Mole, in the City of Alameda, California; thence northeasterly on the said produced center line of Peralta street to its intersection with the center line of Eighth street, in said City of Oakland, Alameda County, California.

That the length of said bridge is approximately thirty thousand feet; that the width of said bridge is approximately eighty feet.

The above bridge will include an overhead approach, to extend from Second and Berry streets, San Francisco, to Rincon Hill or immediate vicinity, where abundant terminal facilities will be provided.

Filed March 14, 1927.

On motion of Supervisor Gallagher, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, November* 21, 1927, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Stanton—11.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Hayden, Kent, Roncovieri, Shannon, Todd—7.

Application of Frank E. Webb to construct a toll bridge along the following route, to-wit:

Said proposed toll bridge is to be located, builded and constructed across San Francisco Bay from a point exactly in longitude west 122 degrees 21 minutes 48.7 seconds and latitude north 37 degrees 43 minutes 33.75 seconds, in San Francisco County, State of California, Hunter's Point, in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to a point exactly in longitude west 122 degrees 15 minutes 2.6 seconds and latitude north 37 degrees 44 minutes 15.67 seconds in Alameda County, State of California; thence to the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California; that the length of said bridge is approximately 32,200 feet from shore line to shore line, and that its breadth or width is approximately from sixty (60) to ninety (90) feet, as shown by map attached and made a part hereof and marked "Exhibit B".

Bridge is to be of steel and concrete cantilever type, clearance from San Francisco side to be approximately one hundred and forty (140) feet. The main spans to be not less than seven hundred and fifty (750) feet nor more than seventeen hundred (1700) feet, and all spans and construction to be in accordance with the United States Government regulations and rulings.

Filed March 14, 1927.

On motion of Supervisor Gallagher, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, November* 21, 1927, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Stanton—11.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Hayden, Kent, Roncovieri, Shannon, Todd—7.

Communication from Construction Company of North America, supplementing original plans—modified route has its westerly terminal on Rincon Hill.

Filed May 9, 1927.

On motion of Supervisor Gallagher, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, November* 21, 1927, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Stanton—11.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Hayden, Kent, Roncovieri, Shannon, Todd—7.

Amended application of Edward A Bertram for alternative line of bridge.

Filed May 9, 1927.

On motion of Supervisor Gallagher, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, November* 21, 1927, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Stanton—11.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Hayden, Kent, Roncovieri, Shannon, Todd—7.

Application of J. E. Pamplin to construct a toll bridge along the following route, to-wit:

Beginning at or near and within two hundred feet northerly or southerly of the intersection of the center line of Second street with the center line of Berry street, in the City and County of San Francisco, and extending thence easterly to the waterfront line; thence about north seventy-three (73) degrees east (true) along a straight line to a point immediately southwest of and adjacent to the Southern Pacific Alameda Mole, and about six hundred (600) yards, more or less, southeasterly from the northwesterly end of said mole, and thence about south seventy-two (72) degrees east (true) to a point on filled land in the City of Alameda about on the prolongation northwesterly of that portion of Santa Clara avenue which lies between Paru and Park streets, in the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California.

That the length of said bridge will be about twenty-six thousand (26,000) feet, more or less; that the width of the roadway on said bridge wil be one hundred feet or such other width as the Board of Supervisors may direct and require under Section 2873 of the Political Code.

Filed May 9, 1927.

On motion of Supervisor Gallagher, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, November* 21, 1927, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Stanton—11.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Hayden, Kent, Roncovieri, Shannon, Todd—7.

Application of Roy C. Hackley to construct a toll bridge along the following route, to-wit:

Beginning at or near and within two hundred (200) feet of the intersection of the center line of Fremont street with the center line of Brannan street, in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and running north approximately eighty (80) degrees east ninteen thousand four hundred (19,400) feet, more or less, to a point in the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California, located approximately six hundred (600) feet south of the south training wall of the Oakland Estuary.

That the length of said bridge is to be approximately nineteen thousand four hundred (19,400) feet, and the breadth thereof is to be sixty (60) feet, more or less.

Filed May 16, 1927.

On motion of Supervisor Gallagher, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, November* 21, 1927, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Stanton—11.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Hayden, Kent, Roncovieri, Shannon, Todd—7.

Application of Construction Company of North America to erect, maintain and operate and take tolls on a toll bridge over and across San Francisco Bay, commencing at a

point in the City and County of San Francisco near the corner of First and Bryant streets on what is known as Rincon Hil, and running thence northeasterly 19,100 lineal feet, more or less, to the southerly line of Alameda Mole of the Southern Pacific Company; thence north by east to the intersection of Seventh and Cedar streets in the City of Oakland, County of Alameda.

The length of said bridge is to be 4½ miles, the width thereof approximately 78 feet.

Filed June 20, 1927.

On motion of Supervisor Gallagher, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, November* 21, 1927, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Stanton—11.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Hayden, Kent, Roncovieri, Shannon, Todd—7.

Application of Roy C. Hackley for permission to construct a toll bridge across San Francisco Bay as follows:

Beginning at or near and within 200 feet of the intersection of the center line of Fremont street with the center line of Brannan street, in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and running north approximately 83 degrees east, 19,400 feet, more or less, to a point in the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California, located approximately 600 feet south of the south training wall of the Oakland Estuary.

The length of said bridge is to be approximately 19,400 feet and the width thereof is to be 60 feet, more or less.

Filed with Board of Supervisors Monday, June 27, 1927.

On motion of Supervisor Gallagher, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, November* 21, 1927, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Stanton—11.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Hayden, Kent, Roncovieri, Shannon, Todd—7.

Application of City Planning and Building Company for a franchise and for authority to erect, construct, maintain and operate a submarine tunnel and the right, permission and authority to take tolls thereon for a period of fifty (50) years at the following location, to-wit:

Entering the ground at a point in the ground bounded by Fifth, Sixth, Bryant and Brannan streets, thence running underground easterly to a point on the northerly or northwest line of China Basin between Second and Third streets entering under the bay at a depth of 45 feet below low tide, sinking gradually to a depth of 50 feet below low tide, proceeding easterly to within 700 feet south of Seventh street at the shore line in Oakland, Alameda County, California.

The length of said submarine tunnel will be 21,120 feet under the water; said submarine tunnel will, in its inside dimensions, be 50 feet high and 68 feet in extreme width; that the same will have three floors, the upper floor for passenger automobiles, the main or central floor for railroad passenger cars, the lowest floor for automotive and heavy traffic trucks. Said tube will be divided perpendicularly into two halves, a right and a left half.

Filed Monday, July 25, 1927.

On motion of Supervisor Gallagher, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, November* 21, 1927, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Stanton—11.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Hayden, Kent, Roncovieri, Shannon, Todd—7.

Application of City Planning and Building Company for a franchise and for authority to erect, construct, maintain and operate a submarine tunnel and the right, permission and authority to take tolls thereon for a period of fifty (50) years at the following location, to-wit:

Entering the ground at a point in the block bounded by Sixth, Seventh, Hubbel and Irving streets, running thence underground in an easterly direction to a point where an extension of Fifteenth street strikes the Bay very close to El Dorado street; thence entering the Bay 45 feet below the level of the lowest tide and sinking gradually to a depth of 50 feet below the level of the lowest tide, and proceeding in an easterly direction and emerging at a point within 700 feet of a point where the center line of Lincoln avenue intersects the waters of the Bay of San Francisco in Alameda, Alameda County, State of California.

The length of said submarine tunnel to be 21 120 feet under water; said tunnel will be in its inside dimensions 50 feet high and 68 feet in extreme width; the same will have three floors, the upper floor for passenger automobiles and the main or central floor for railroad passenger cars, the lowest floor for automotive and heavy traffic trucks. Tube will be divided perpendicularly into two halves, a right and a left half.

Filed Monday, July 25, 1927.

On motion of Supervisor Gallagher, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, November* 21, 1927, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Stanton—11.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Hayden, Kent, Roncovieri, Shannon, Todd—7.

Application of City Planning and Building Company for a franchise and for authority to erect, construct, maintain and operate a submarine tunnel and the right, permission and authority to take tolls thereon for a period of fifty (50) years at the following locations, to-wit:

Entering the Bay at a point where the extension of the Marina boulevard extended easterly meets the Bay at Buchanan street close to Tonquin street, at a depth of at least 45 feet below the level of low tide and sinking gradually to a depth of at least 50 feet below the level of low tide, running thence in a general northerly direction to a point one mile south of the Town of Sausalito, Marin County, State of California; said submarine tunnel or tube will have a general curve in an easterly direction; that the length of said submarine tunnel will be 3,200 feet under water. Its inside dimensions to be 50 feet high and 68 feet in extreme width; the same will have three floors, the upper floor for passenger automobiles, the main or central floor for railroad passenger cars, the lower floor for automotive and heavy traffic trucks. The tunnel or tube will be divided perpendicularly into two halves, a right and a left half.

Filed Monday, July 25, 1927.

On motion of Supervisor Gallagher, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, November* 21, 1927, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Stanton—11.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Hayden, Kent, Roncovieri, Shannon, Todd—7.

Application of John P. O'Connell for a franchise for a toll bridge between the City and County of San Francisco and the County of Alameda.

Beginning at or near and within three hundred (300) feet northerly or southerly of the intersection of the northerly line of Pier 34 with the seawall at about the foot of Brannan street, in the City and County of San Francisco, and extending thence about north seventy-seven and one-half (77½) degrees east (true) to a point immediately southwest of and adjacent to the Southern Pacific Alameda Mole, and about six hundred (600) yards, more or less, east by south from the westerly extremity of said Mole, and thence about south seventy-two (72) degrees east (true) to the intersection of said line with the bank or shore of San Francisco Bay at its most westerly intersection with said line, in the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California.

That the length of said bridge on said lines will be about seventeen thousand seven hundred (17,700) feet, more or less, on the first of said lines and seven thousand two hundred (7,200) feet, more or less, on the second or said lines, making about twenty-four thousand nine hundred (24,900), more or less, feet for the total length of said bridge, not including approaches; that the width of the roadway on said bridge will be one hundred (100) feet or such other width as the Board of Supervisors may direct and require under Section 2873 of the Political Code.

Filed August 15, 1927.

On motion of Supervisor Gallagher, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, November* 21, 1927, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Stanton—11.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Hayden, Kent, Roncovieri, Shannon, Todd—7.

Application of John P. O'Connell for a franchise or a toll bridge between the City and County of San Francisco and the County of Alameda.

Beginning at or near and within three hundred (300) feet northerly or southerly of the intersection of the northern line of Pier Forty-six

(46) with the seawall at about the foot of Berry street, in the City and County of San Francisco, and extending thence about north seventy-two (72) degrees east (true) along a straight line to a point immediately southwest of and adjacent to the Southern Pacific Alameda Mole, and about six hundred (600) yards, more or less, east by south from the westerly extremity of said Mole, and thence about south seventy-two (72) degrees east (true) to the intersection of said line with the bank or shore of San Francisco Bay at its most westerly intersection with said line, in the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California.

That the length of said bridge on said lines will be about eighteen thousand two hundred (18,200) feet, more or less, on the first of said lines and seven thousand two hundred (7,200) feet, more or less, on the second of said lines, making about twenty-five thousand four hundred (25,400), more or less, feet for the total length of said bridge, not including approaches; that the width of the roadway on said bridge will be one hundred (100) feet or such other width as the Board of Supervisors may direct and require under Section 2873 of the Political Code.

Filed August 15, 1927.

On motion of Supervisor Gallagher, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, November* 21, 1927, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Stanton—11.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Hayden, Kent, Roncovieri, Shannon, Todd—7.

Application of Transbay Development Corporation for a franchise to construct, maintain and operate and take tolls on a toll bridge over and across the Bay of San Francisco from the City and County of San Francisco to the cities of Oakland and Alameda, Alameda County.

The approximate location of said bridge is as follows: That said proposed toll bridge is to be located, constructed, operated and maintained across San Francisco Bay between Rincon Hill in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and the cities of Oakland and Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California, the termini in Oakland to be at or near the intersection of Seventh and Cedar streets and the foot of Peralta street, and in Alameda at a point on the mainland about five hundred feet southerly from the base of the Alameda Mole; the bridge proper to extend from a point at or near the intersection of Second and Harrison streets, San Francisco, in a generally northeasterly direction on a bearing about north seventy-eight degrees east (true) to a point about eighteen hundred feet inland from he outer end of the Alameda Mole and approximately five hundred feet southerly from the center line of the double track of the Southern Pacific Company; the approaches on the eastern shore to extend from the point last mentioned generally parallel to and about five hundred feet distant from and on the southerly side of the double track of the Southern Pacific Company; on said mole to the mainland in the City of Alameda, and with branches commencing at a point approximately thirty-two hundred feet from the point of beginning of the approaches and running to Seventh and Cedar streets and the foot of Peralta street in the City of Oakland; the approaches on the San Francisco side to be in continuation of the bridge proper from Second and Harrison streets or that vicinity, along Harrison, Fremont, Tehama, Seventh, Bryant and Second streets, and/or elsewhere as the public convenience may require; the length of the bridge proper to be approximately three and eight (3.8) tenths miles and of the bridge and approaches from five and three-quarters (5¾) miles to six and three-quarters (6¾) miles approximately; that the breadth of the proposed bridge is to be forty-two feet in the clear as a minimum, the actual breadth to be determined according to best engineering practice to conform to the lenth of spans demanded by foundation conditions and by the requirements of the War Department.

Filed September 27, 1927.

On motion of Supervisor Gallagher, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, November* 21, 1927, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Stanton—11.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Hayden, Kent, Roncovieri, Shannon, Todd—7.

Relative to Assessor's Statement to Voters.

Supervisor McSheehy: I have several matters that I wish to present. Mr. Mayor and members of the Board, I am going to rise to a

question of personal privilege. The Assessor is not here and it is a quarter after five. You have done what you could, and different ones likewise have done what they could in trying to locate him. I don't know why the search should not have been successful because it seems to me he is an easy man to locate. I heard he was out visiting the fire houses, and if that was so it would seem possible to have located him, because naturally there is a telephone from one fire house to another, and a telephone call would locate him in that way. But he is not here and I am going to, as I say, arise to a question of personal privilege, and I have a statement to make which I have prepared in writing. (Reading statement.)

Supervisor Byington: Pardon me just a moment before you continue the reading of the statement. I want to know if the Supervisor intended to say that he was speaking on a question of personal privilege. I say that owing to the fact that Supervisor Havenner a week ago arose here and stated that he had arisen on a question of personal privilege, and it was not at all a question of personal privilege. He started out upon a false assumption. A question of personal privilege is where an attack has been made upon a person. Now Mr. Havenner a week ago did not arise to protest against an attack made upon him, but he arose to attack somebody else. Now the question is, is Supervisor McSheehy stating that he has been personally attacked?

Supervisor McSheehy: Positively. I positively state that, Mr. Supervisor.

Supervisor Byington: I was a little afraid of the precedent set by your colleague that you were not going to proceed upon that basis.

Supervisor McSheehy: I am. (Continuing reading.) Now I am going to read this circular to you, and here is a circular that has been prepared in the Assessor's office. It is given a certain legal standing by having been numbered; it is taken from the assessment roll, and it is to be sent out to all taxpayers throughout the entire city or to a great number of them. I will read it to you. (Reading circular.) Now, members of this Board, I have risen to a question of personal privilege. In doing so I have had this thought in mind: that the Assessor is about to send out this circular, which is misleading, and which places this Board in a very embarrassing position. First, this Board unanimously went on record and appointed this Appraisal Committee; it was not McSheehy. I never introduced the resolution into this Board. I will not attempt to hold any brief on that particular line, for you all know it without my telling you, but nevertheless it is stated in here, and it is an erroneous statement and one that never should have been made, and secondly this Board has made an appropriation of $65,000 for a specific purpose, and the work has been well done. We have here now an appraisal that means something to us as a Board of Supervisors and as a Board of Equalization, for we sit as such Board of Equalization yearly, something that we never had in the past in this Board; and this Board made another appropriation of $125,000, and you have entered into a contract with the same firm for practically that entire amount, $121,000 and some odd hundred dollars, for the purpose of making an appraisal of all the buildings here in San Francisco. Now I say that an Assessor who wilfully puts out propaganda of this kind to mislead the taxpayers of San Francisco, should be, if there is such a thing, penalized in some manner or form because it is not fair to this Board to attempt to discredit this Board in a matter of this kind. Assessors might come and assessors might go, as they will come and as they will go, but this Board has gone on for twenty-five years since the Charter came into effect, and it has sat every year as a Board of Equalization, and it has never had a thing to equalize really, because it has never had any data to go by. I have sat here for ten years, year in and year out, and have heard people come in and protest in reference to their assessments. We had then one of two things to do: one was to refer it to the Assessor and the other to take it under advisement, and 95 of the cases out of 100 we took under advisement, and then when the hearings were closed a motion was made that all matters taken under advisement be disallowed. That has been the procedure year after year after year. This Board has taken it unto itself to make this appropriation, and when you did it you did it with honest intent, and I do not think the present Assessor should attempt to circularize this City in an effort to hand out such propaganda, because it simply places this Board in a false light, and I hope the Board will do something this afternoon on that

rticular matter. I bring it to you this form.

Supervisor Havenner: Mr. Chairman, I understand we cannot find he Assessor, and I am not very uch surprised that we cannot find im. I asked this morning before ccess that he be requested to come ere in response to another matter at has been brought to the attenon of the Board. I assume that here is not anything that the oard can do with the suggestion Ir. McSheehy has made, but I ould simply advise him to take is matter to the postal authoriies. They are very ready to proeed with respect to any improper se of the mails, and that circular clearly intended to deceive the oters of San Francisco. It makes comparison between the present ssessments on the assessment roll hich are admittedly some arbirary percentage of the true value f the property, and the Assessor ust admit that he has some true dea of the value of the property r he could not in any legal or logial fashion arrive at a percentage or assessment purposes, but he ets up and is going to set up in his printed circular, giving by lot nd block the property in San Franisco, a comparison between the resent assessment, which we know nd everyone knows, is merely ome arbitrary percentage of true alue, and the value through which he appraisal has set it up. Now bviously it is an attempt to deeive the voter by making him beeve that we are going to raise his ssessments. I never saw such an ttempt. It is the most deceptive nd false kind of comparison that ould possibly be made, and I sugest to the Supervisors that we ake this matter up with the postal uthorities and call it to their atention. There can be no question bout the falsity and the intent to eceive through this comparison nd it ought not to be permitted to o out of the office of an official of is City and County. However, he Assessor is not here. I intended o ask the Assessor if he came here o explain to this Board by what gal authority he places an assessent on the present assessment roll r the past fiscal year—and I know has been there for some time in he past and I know it is there now, ecause I saw it there—of $5,000 a lot belonging to the Southern acific Company that it is apraised for $744,000, and I did when is matter was first brought to my ttention at a meeting of the Fiance Committee last Friday refer his to the City Attorney with a request for an opinion as to whether there was any legal authority for placing this surely nominal assessment of this very valuable piece of property, and in effect doing what appears to be the making of a rebate in taxes to the Southern Pacific Company, which would in this particular instance, if you assessed this particular lot at the same percentage of the appraised value that the adjoining lot is assessed at, which is about 49 per cent, and which shows that our appraisal figures are not far out of line in that particular district, because the Assessor's own assessment amounts on the adjoining lot to 49 per cent of the so-called Stafford appraisal figures, if this vacant lot were assessed at 49 per cent when it should be paying taxes into the treasury of the City and County of San Francisco, this year amounting to about $13,500. It is paying taxes into the treasury this year of $190. So this nominal assessment amounts to a rebate of taxes to the Southern Pacific Company of over $13,000 this year alone. The City Attorney, I believe, has gone, but he advised me, and I am perfectly willing to have the Board corroborate this statement, he advised me there is no authority in law anywhere which would justify an assessor in making an arbitrary reduction of this kind, and he also advised me there is on the statute books, and has been for several years, I believe, a law which provides that when for any reason the owner of property escapes the taxes that should be levied on that property that he can be required in the ensuing fiscal year to be assessed for double the true value of his property, and the City can collect taxes on such valuation. I am going to let this matter go for the present because I am advised that the City Attorney has left, but I intend to ask him at the next meeting of the Board what procedure is necessary to bring out this double assessment of the true value for next year, and to require the Southern Pacific Company to pay to this City double the amount of taxes that it should be paying this year.

Supervisor Byington: I am not interested in the Assessor's fight. I do not intend to be heard as taking sides one way or the other, but the point made in regard to the Assessor taking the appraisal put upon the property of this City by Mr. Stafford—that is, if he puts in one column his assessment of property and in the adjoining column

the assessment made by Mr. Stafford, I cannot see how there is anything false about that.

Supervisor Havenner: You have it wrong there. May I ask—Mr. Stafford has made no assessment. He has no authority to do that.

Supervisor Byington: The placing of valuations, then.

Supervisor Havenner: He has acted through the cooperation of the citizens everywhere and has presented to us a report of the true value of all the land in San Francisco. The point I am making is that the Assessor, of course, does not contend that his present assessments are the true value of the property. We all know that is not so. But he said that his assessment, which is some kind of an arbitrary percentage which he has authorized to go upon the books, he sets that up and in the other column the true value as fixed by the Board of Supervisors.

Supervisor Byington: Mr. Stafford's valuation?

Supervisor Havenner: Yes, and he endeavors obviously to create in the minds of the property owners the impression that this Board is going to raise that assessment to the true value.

Supervisor Marks: How does he label those figures?

Supervisor Byington: That's the way I understand it.

Supervisor Havenner: Yes.

Supervisor Byington: But I want to say that if I were running for Assessor I would have the right to get out a circular stating that the valuation that I have placed upon the various lots in San Francisco were so and so and I would have the right to make the statement that Mr. Stafford, employed by the City, appraises this property as he has done, and to put the figures side by side and send them out to the world. There can be no false statement in that.

Supervisor Havenner: It would be a misleading statement.

Supervisor Byington: I don't think it would be misleading, because the Assessor of the City and County is following the same course pursued by other assessors.

Supervisor Havenner: I hope not.

Supervisor Byington: They do put a million value upon property. You read that in some counties property is assessed at about 60 per cent of its selling price and in other counties 50 per cent and in others 70 per cent, and so on. Appraisements differ, of course, as to the percentage upon which it is based of the selling value of property in the various counties, but the Assessor has the right to use any data he pleases in making a comparison. Now Mr. McSheehy has the right to say that certain property out in the Western Addition, for example, is assessed so much by the Assessor and that its true value or selling value is so much; he has that right and has made comparisons in regard to property down town. Those things are not false statements, and for that reason I don't think we can dub it a false statement sent out by anyone. Here he presents side by side the official figures of the Assessor, within the other column the assessment made by Mr. Stafford.

Supervisor Havenner: Mr. Supervisor, you must admit as man to man that if you were making that statement to me you must admit my right to ask you what is your appraisal of the true value of the property. You are using our estimate of the true value of the property and you have no right to compare it with anything but your own true estimate of value. That is what he has not done.

Supervisor Byington: I have a right to make it with anything I please.

Supervisor Havenner: Well, I will say for you that I do not think you would do it. I would ask you the question: "What is your appraisal of the true value of this property? You are setting up mine. Will you please give me yours, or will you tell us what percentage of your appraisal of the true value this assessment represents?" But he has not done that, and he is attempting to create in the minds of the property owners that this Board of Supervisors will raise the present assessment that he has arbitrarily set up, to that estimate of the true value, and this Board has never adopted such a policy and has no right to do so. We have done the only thing we could do, the appraising of values as adopted by this Board, and I hope that the Assessor is going to use this appraisal of actual values as the basis for equalizing the present assessment roll. But I contend—I do not think anybody will contend it is a fair comparison without any explanation, because there is no explanation there certainly.

Supervisor Byington: I saw in a newspaper the other day something to the effect that some property in a down town district is assessed for probably 20 per cent of its value, whereas out in the home dis-

tricts, it is assessed at 70 or 80 per cent of its value. I don't know whether that is true or not. It was a statement thrown out to the world. Now the same thing in regard to this matter. He takes official figures in both cases and puts them down. The only thing I find to object to is that I do not think we should dub it a false statement.

Supervisor McSheehy: Mr. Byington, I ask you this (handing paper to Supervisor Byington): Here is this year. He calls this valuation of this land for assessment purposes set up by the McSheehy Reappraisement Bureau. I never thought at any time—that is here (indicating)—and then he goes on stating—(conversation between Supervisor McSheehy and Byington not heard by reporter).

Supervisor Byington: I really never approved it.

Supervisor McSheehy: But you voted for it.

Supervisor Byington: It was passed before I came here.

Supervisor McSheehy: Irrespective of what you say, you voted for the budget and it went through and the tax rates. I do not want to get into any argument on that, but here is a condition which is rather hard for me to talk concerning, but I claim this entire Board is being attacked here and the expenditure of $300,000 in round sums of the people's money is being attacked, and we are told by the present Assessor that it was simply an idle act and a wastage of public funds, and you must acknowledge as a member of this Board and as an attorney that we have sat here as a Board of Equalization and have never functioned as such at all. We have actually been a joke as a Board of Equalization, because we have had nothing to function with We simply had to take the Assessor's figures. Now as a man of wisdom and as an attorney and as one who has given a great deal of thought to political economy, you know what a Board of Equalization is intended for. It is to try to correct. We are all human, just the same as other people, and in the case of a Board of Equalization its function is a matter of correction. That has been the function of our Board of Equalization. The Real Estate Bureau year in and year out made efforts to have a survey made, and it was this Board and this one only that was elected on an economy platform, which found ways and means of making an appropriation of $200,000 in round figures for this purpose, and I say that irrespective of everything else it is not fair to this Board for a man to issue a circular of that kind.

Supervisor Byington: I don't know. It is not as bad as some circulars I have seen. I read a circular sent out last night that charges this administration with graft. I never heard a falser statement than that. I think the administration has been free from graft. Yet that was a statement contained in the circular which was handed to me last night.

Supervisor Harrelson: I believe in fair play even at election time. I do not like, as a member of this Board, some of these statements that have been made. I have been sitting as a member of the Board of Equalization for the last four years. That 90 per cent of the property is paying the burden of the other 10 per cent I do not believe, and I do not believe that Mr. McSheehy does. Nevertheless, he is credited with having made that statement. I do not like to hear it said that, "I reduced your taxation." I sat here as a member of this Board and voted in favor of taking $2,000,000 from the P. G. & E. for our electrical power. I should be given credit with our colleagues, who voted that, and Mr. McSheehy voted against it. Why doesn't he tell all the people all the truth? I would not say a word except that Mr. McSheehy comes in and condemns our Assessor. I know nothing about this statement. I am not interested, but I don't believe in these unfair tactics in a political campaign. (Applause.) Now, so far as the piece of property that has been referred to and its appraisal, reference was made to the property owned by the Southern Pacific Company and rented by the City as a storage yard for our high pressure pipe. I know something about that piece of property. Now, as I understand it, a very nominal rent is being enjoyed for its use by the City. The Southern Pacific Company no doubt in the event of a fully assessed valuation would be very glad to charge us more rental for the property, and in the event we did not pay the rental we could be asked to leave that property. In that event, of course, it could be occupied by spur tracks and become operating property of the utility, and in that event would not be assessed by the municipality but would pay directly to the State on a percentage of their gross revenues. That is the way I view that, Mr. Havenner, but I may be wrong. I believe that is a fair statement, and I do not like to hear one man condemn another, but

neither do I care to listen to all of this bunk.

Supervisor Havenner: Mr. Chairman, when it comes to buncombe, I want to read a letter from the City Attorney which was just received, and there is not any buncombe about it.

Supervisor Harrelson: I was not saying there was any bunk about your statements regarding the property, but I understand those are the facts as I have stated them.

Supervisor Harrelson: That may be true, but it is, in an indirect way, paying a rebate to the Southern Pacific Company, which is illegal. That is the thing I contend against. If you wanted to enter into a lease with the Southern Pacific Company for the use of this property, I don't think you would pay them $13,500 for vacant lands to store pipe on that has not been used for seventeen years.

Supervisor Harrelson: What do we pay?

Supervisor Havenner: $1 a year, but by this method we rebate to them taxes amounting this year to $13,500.

Supervisor Harrelson: The point I made was that we would pay the necessary rental or it would become a part of their operating system and we would not receive any taxes whatever for they would go to the State. It is right in the spur track district.

Supervisor Havenner: Yes, if you let them.

Supervisor Harrelson: We could not prevent that.

Supervisor Havenner: The fact is we are paying a tremendous rental for that property, and somebody, either the City or State, ought to get that. We are not getting it now. They are receiving a rebate, a rental this year of $13,500 for the use of that vacant land to store this pipe that has been laying there seventeen years, and I don't think anybody on this Board is going to contend that we ought to be paying that. It might cost a lot of money to store or dispose of that pipe properly—I think the City Engineer's office estimates it might cost forty or fifty thousand dollars or some such amount—but that only represents three years' rental of this property at the present rate of rebate. We don't know how much longer it will lay there. It would pay us to move that pipe off onto our own property and save the amount of the taxes. As I have said, I have a letter from the City Attorney addressed to the Finance Committee which reads as follows: (Reading letter referred to).

Supervisor Schmidt: Might I ask through the Chair: You stated that this pipe has been there seventeen years. What is the true value of the pipe itself?

Supervisor Havenner: I have no idea. It may be the subject of a great deal of discussion by a candidate in the present campaign. I have not any idea. The fact remains that if we want to keep this pipe we have a lot of vacant land ourselves, and there is no reason why we should continue to pay $13,500 yearly rental for storing it somewhere, even if it does cost us a considerable amount of money to move it somewhere else.

Supervisor Schmidt: The only point is I was trying to find out why ths pipe was bought seventeen years ago and has not been used, what they intended to do with it.

The Mayor: I hate to take up your time, my fellow colleagues, but that bunk has been published all over this City. I have answered it so many times that some of these brainless people who do not know anything else keep on asking it. The pipe went through Dr. Taylor's administration and then Mr. McCarthy's administration, and when it came to me we had it and there was no money to do anything except stop the leaks in the Twin Peaks reservoir, and the pipe was stored down there because we did not know where else to put it. If you want to look at our budget I have it here so that you can see it. We got a million dollar reduction in insurance rates for the pipe that was laid.

Supervisor Schmidt: I was not referring to you, because it was seventeen years ago and I don't think you are responsible for it, but what are we going to do with it? For in time it will eat itself up.

The Mayor: It cost $35 a ton and it is worth $58 a ton now. When the City wants to use it and put it in the outlying districts you will have it to put in the ground and use in the high pressure system, and in the meantime we have the goods on hand with which to put down new pipes.

Supervisor McSheehy: How many tons, Mr. Mayor?

The Mayor: I don't know. There are 17 miles of it.

Supervisor Schmidt: The only thing I was going to say that in the amount of money we are losing on taxable property in over seventeen years of time we could buy three times the amount of pipe we have now, even under the report you made that it is increasing and is double in value.

The Mayor: I have been trying to find out just exactly what the proposition was, but on June 2, 1913, we exchanged our three blocks of land down there in the Southern Pacific freight yards for four or five or six blocks of land which made the Aquatic Park, and we got some $68,000 plus the blocks for the Aquatic Park, and they agreed to store the pipe there for—I think there was no charge on it. There was an exchange and the properties were appraised, and you can look at the records of the Board of Supervisors of 1916 and 1917, and this is a matter that I think ought to be looked into, because I think it was in the agreement at the time of the exchange.

Supervisor Havenner: I have been advised by the Clerk that this property is not embraced in that transfer.

The Clerk: It is not a part of that trade.

The Mayor: Certainly they were. That is mentioned right in the deed. I just read it while I have been seated here. It gives to us by the State of California as tidelands and——

Supervisor Havenner: I am talking about the land where the pipe is stored.

The Mayor: That belongs to the Southern Pacific Company.

Supervisor Havenner: That did not enter into this.

The Mayor: Yes, it did, because they agreed to give us storage of the pipe piled there. It is a part of the transaction, and they permit us to put it there without any cost to the City. It is a part of the transfer.

Supervisor McSheehy: Do I understand you now that it is in writing? I would like to run this down, and I do not want to ask you any questions, but if a statement is made I like to have it substantiated. Do I understand that in writing we agreed with the Southern Pacific Railroad Company for a transfer of what is known as the South Beach Lands for the Aquatic Park property; that is to say, we were to receive such and such property and in that we were to store our pipe on this particular lot and that in return for that they should pay a nominal tax on $5,000 a year?

The Mayor: No, I never said anything about that.

Supervisor McSheehy: I thought you said it was a part of the agreement.

The Mayor: I said the moving of the pipe from our property to that storage was a part of the agreement.

Supervisor McSheehy: There must be something in this——

The Mayor: I don't know. You ought to look this up. I have not had a chance. I have been going around so fast. Here is the whole thing. I have dug it up for you.

Supervisor McSheehy: But, Mr. Mayor, I do not propose at this time——

Supervisor Gallagher: I think what the Mayor is aiming to say, is this, that as a part of their agreement in the exchange of the lands for the Aquatic Park the company agreed that we should have storage at a certain rate. That really did not exempt them from proper taxation of the land, but held them to a proper rental for that. Do you see the point?

Supervisor McSheehy: Yes.

Supervisor Gallagher: I remember at the time I was on the Board some mention of our being allowed storage there at a nominal rental.

Supervisor McSheehy: That is very true.

The Mayor: You can take the pipe off tomorrow if you want to.

Supervisor McSheehy: I do not want to enter into it, but it has always been a question and I want to answer Supervisor Harrelson with some figures as to just how the City came out on the deal, but I have heard it questioned a great many times that the City did not get anything like an even break on that particular deal. It is gone and past and I do not want to bring it up, but I would like to know if anything in writing was made on this. I am talking about the Assessor, and him only, and I hope that I will be permitted to go on with that. A question has been asked about my unfairness in this matter. There are people in the audience who have views of their own and are entitled to use their hands at any time they wish, and I would never deprive them of that, but I think they should know something about the subject before they draw conclusions, and if the Assessor is actually guilty of allowing these particularly favored assessments I think the people of San Francisco should know it.

The Mayor: So do I.

Supervisor McSheehy: I think they should know it.

The Mayor: So do I.

Supervisor McSheehy: Now, if this Board has entered into an agreement, very well. Then the

Assessor will be bound exactly by that agreement in this matter and he should be exonerated if he is innocent, but if he is not he should be held responsible, because this City has spent $13,000 a year in rental there. We all know that we have idle property lying all over our City, and we know the tonnage there. I don't care if it is 200 or 2000 tons, it can be removed for $1.50 or $2 a ton, perhaps, and it can, therefore, be removed for a very small sum to other parts of our property, and I say that it should be done. It is an economic loss, and no one company should be allowed a rental of that kind. I say that and I mean it, and I know that if things take a change a week from Tuesday that immediately there will be a change in that particular handling of the City's money.

The Mayor: Mr. McSheehy, I am trying to help you out.

Supervisor McSheehy: I am not saying a word. I am trying to—all right, Mr. Mayor.

The Mayor: Let me say that I have taken no part in this in twelve years, and since 1915 to 1927 is a long time to remember the details of any transaction.

Supervisor McSheehy: Yes, I know.

The Mayor: But we traded our blocks of land there for the Aquatic Park, the blocks of land upon which the Southern Pacific Company is paying taxes. Now we have given up our blocks of land down there upon which the Southern Pacific Company is paying taxes, and we are not paying any taxes for the land at the Aquatic Park. We have five or six or seven blocks there—I don't remember just how many—and the property was appraised at $457,000. Now there were certain arrangements made for the storage of the pipe for our disposal of those two or three blocks of land and to take this other in exchange. Now here is a report of the whole business. Look up the minutes of the records of the Board in June of 1915 and you will get all of the details. That's all.

Supervisor Havenner: Now, Mr. Mayor, it is not all. It does not make any difference whether this Board or you or anybody else entered into that agreement. We are advised by the City Attorney that we had no right to do it, that there is no right to authorize the Assessor to place a nominal assessment.

The Mayor: We did not do anything of the kind.

Supervisor Havenner: Well, he has appraised this property for $5,000.

The Mayor: I don't know that even. It is not my business.

Supervisor Havenner: I refer you to the record and it has been corroborated. There is no dispute about that. The Assesor has admitted it.

The Mayor: I think we have made a good many million dollars in getting an aquatic park for the children of San Francisco.

Supervisor Havenner: $13,500 a year to store pipe, and the City Attorney advises us clearly that we have the right to insist upon the provisions of the law, and so far as I am concerned, I will insist upon it.

The Mayor: Why not do it? Nobody is stopping you.

Supervisor Colman: I would like to know if there is anything before us. If there is I would like to discuss it, and if there is not I do not care to. If there is nothing before us, let us finish the day's session or invite these gentlemen before the Board, if they so desire. These are busy times and everybody is willing to stay and do his duty, but if there is nothing to come before us let us call it a day. Mr. Mayor, is there anything before the Board?

Supervisor Kent: I am going to give you something to chew over in a minute.

Supervisor Colman: Mr. Mayor, I am glad to handle anything that Mr. Kent hands me. Is there anything before the Board now?

The Mayor: Nothing, except Mr. McSheehy's request that the Board do something about this. That's all.

Supervisor McSheehy: Mr. Kent, I understand, is making a motion.

Supervisor Kent: May I have the floor, Colonel? I want to make a motion. That the Board condemn the circular that has just been read as coming from the campaign office for the present Assessor as untrue and misleading as a statement of the action of this Board. Now if I can get a second to that I will discuss it.

Supervisor Havenner: I will second the motion.

Supervisor Kent: I tried very earnestly to keep out of all argument as far as possible, and for two years I have gotten along very well, I hope. I don't want to enter into the discussion from a personal standpoint. I see it as a matter of business. Being on the Finance

Committee, I will say, that the present committee has tried very hard to do its work honestly and efficiently. In handling our various affairs of the City the problem of finances enters into the committee's deliberations not only as to the budget set up, but from time to time we find that things have been done with no degree of efficiency and again at times we may anticipate that things should be done a little better than they have been done heretofore. Now, this particular problem of the assessment roll of the City and County of San Francisco has come up before the entire Board. Before the present personnel was really in office—I can refer back some three or four years ago when I happened to be a member of the Real Estate Board of Directors, representing the affiliated membership, and during that period as a director there seemed to be a consensus of opinion in the Real Estate Board that there were certain inequalities of assessment on the Assessor's books, and we were very friendly with the former Assessor, and he seemed to be at an attitude to cooperate with us in our investigations and he solicited a reappraisal of the San Francisco properties. The San Francisco Real Estate Board at that time was very anxious to go ahead and hand the Assesor, or be instrumental in handing the Assessor, a reappraisement of the San Francisco properties. At one time at a meeting we invited the former chairman of the Finance Committee and another member of the Finance Committee to sit in with us and advise us as to what was the best method of procedure. We were told at that time that in order to accomplish the desired results of the reappraisement, certain legislative acts would have to be inaugurated in the State Legislature, and that it would cost approximately $350,000 to conduct such an appraisement and that perhaps the fruits of our efforts would be some five years off from the date of completion or at least from the date of starting, so it put it quite off in the distance and sort of made it a prohibitory proposition. Nothing further was done. The next step that I know about in the matter—and I am dealing only with facts, as I say, and not with personalities—the proposition came up before the present Finance Committee of a reappraisal of San Francisco properties and we did find after consulting authorities—and the history of it I will not attempt to go into here—but what we have done so far has been correct. We have been able to employ an expert, whose methods are being used in various cities by similar experts, and with a great deal of appreciation and recommendation and approval and use, and we were able in one year's time to absolutely get a true valuation on a true inventory of the San Francisco real property, which we had never had on the books before, and this year we are able to go ahead and get an appraisal on the improved properties and it gives us at the end of this year the entire true values of each and every parcel of land. Now at no time did we enter into any personalities in the matter and the expert's methods have furnished maps that as finished now show that properties are very unequal in their assessed valuations throughout the City. So I believe that if we do not carry this motion, stating the fact here that we will in that way and by that failure sort of condemn our former action, which was thoroughly a business proposition, and which enabled us to show where the City stood from an inventory standpoint and as to true values. The figures are so complete and so graded and classified that any reasonable system of assessment can use them as a basis for equalizing the various inequalities. So really I would hope that the Board would substantiate its own actions through the last period of two years, you may say, when this thing has been in front of us. I am sorry that it comes up at this time and, as I say, I have dealt with only the facts. I think Mr. McSheehy will bear me out that I have not done anything in his campaign that would merit his wonderful approval; I think he has perhaps found me much in a state of lethargy as far as the campaign is concerned and, as I say, I make this motion now and hope the Board will carry it in order to reiterate our contention that we are doing right with an important piece of work.

Supervisor Marks: May I ask Supervisor Kent, in order that the matter may be made applicable only to the Board of Supervisors, whether he would be willing that action on his motion would be deferred, say for two weeks? In other words, I understand you to make it very clear that your motion is merely for the purpose of putting the Supervisors in the

proper light, and you regret the fact that it comes up at this time. Would it not, therefore, be best that we defer action on it until the election is over?

Supervisor Kent: I am sorry, but I don't think I can accede to your request, because I have an idea that I know just where you stand in this campaign.

Supervisor Marks: Mr. Mayor

Supervisor Kent: And I am not in sympathy with your idea of postponement.

Supervisor Marks: I would like to take the floor to discuss this if Mr. Kent does not mind a statement. Mr. Mayor and gentlemen of the Board of Supervisors, I take it—if I may have the attention of the Board for just a moment, Mr. Harrelson— I take it that any citizen who is honest and honorable has the right to submit his candidacy to the people of San Francisco for any office in the gift of the people and to present his candidacy upon the basis of any merit he may possess or any lack of merit that his opponent may have. But I absolutely resent, Mr. Mayor, as a member of this Board, the use of this Board of Supervisors officially as an instrumentality for any political candidate and against any other candidate. If Mr. Kent is sincere, and I know he is in everything he does, if he is sincere in his statement that the purpose of this motion is to clear this Board of Supervisors of any criticism growing out of this circular, that it is perfectly proper that this Board act upon that after the heat of the campaign has passed away. If, on the other hand, it is intended as a campaign move for any candidate or against any other candidate, then I do not think this Board of Supervisors should permit itself to be used for purposes of that kind. I therefore move you that action on the motion of Supervisor Kent be deferred for a period of two weeks.

Supervisor Deasy: I do not want to get into any mixup between candidates for the Assessor's office, and I think this matter should go over until after election. I will stand up now and second that motion. I think it is a bad time to bring it up. I don't know if Mr. Wolden got this circular out or who did, and before I vote on it I would like to find out. I second Supervisor Marks' motion.

The Mayor: The question is on Mr. Kent's motion.

Supervisor Kent: No, on the——

Supervisor Marks: On my motion to postpone action two weeks.

Supervisor McSheehy: I am placed in rather an embarrassing position here, and I have been in such positions so many times, but I don't think I was ever in the position that I am in right now, but I want to appeal to the Board and Supervisors Marks and Deasy and other members of the Board. You have here the circular that is to go out and will be sent through the mails and a part of it has been broadcast through the press today and in yesterday's papers and in today's paper. I have here a clipping from the San Francisco Examiner which is almost a verbatim statement of it in a general way and I have also clippings from the Chronicle. All right. I will not go into the details of that but, members of this Board, I want to be fair with the Board and I like to be fair in all things and I would like the Board to be fair with me. I have tried to be fair ever since I have been on the Board and with the members of the Board and I simply say to the Board here this afternoon that this circular will be sent around—I am very sure of it, as sure as I am standing here—this will be sent around to the voters of San Francisco, and for what purpose? Of course No. 1, to discredit me; and of course No. 2 to discredit this Board; and No. 3, it places this Board in the position that its expenditure of almost $200,000 is an expenditure for which the people of San Francisco, the taxpayers, have never received value. Why? Because the Assessor is not in accord with what you have done. If you attempt this afternoon to postpone this, very well; then you discredit this Board. There are no ifs or ands about it. It is all right to say that this matter has been brought up before this Board for political purposes, but I will say that as far as I am concerned I would never have brought this matter up if it had not been presented in the way it has been with the purpose of deceiving the people of San Francisco. I believe in fighting on fair lines and I have always tried to fight fairly and I always will and I fear no man and I take things as they occur to me. I have not been and am not gifted with a flow of English language to cloud issues. I am rather a plain-spoken fellow. All who know me know that. And I say this afternoon not to postpone this matter, because I think you are doing a great injustice to the taxpayers of San Francisco; I think you are doing an injustice to yourselves. The matter of vot-

ing this motion will have you place yourselves on record as being opposed to this particular circular, and that's all there is to it. It is the people, and they only, who will do their own thinking a week from next Tuesday, and certainly any action of this Board will not do that for them. In a very few words the issue is simply on these lines: if the people of San Francisco—and I will be a little personal now if the people of San Francisco and the majority of them agree with the present Assessor and his ways of assessing property, then very well, he will continue; if the people of San Francisco agree with what I have been promising them, I will keep my promises to the people. I have kept them before and I will do so again, and I know what I am talking about. I have stood in this Board year in and year out and have told the people of San Francisco of the extravagances that were going on here in this Board, and I have fought battles alone on this Board and voted alone, and some of the members here today know how I have voted alone, and they know I never came in here and simply criticized a former budget that I did not bring in an amendment to it, and it often took me days and weeks to prepare it, and I showed what savings could be made, and I can easily show where savings can be made in our assessment, and it will reflect—and I say it again—a saving to 90 per cent of the taxpayers. I did not want to bring matters of that kind out. I do not think it is the proper place to do that, but I say here to you this afternoon that a document of this kind should be censured to the extent of this Board's interest in it. You can postpone this if you wish, of course, but I hope the motion will be voted down.

The Mayor: What do you think about the document sent out about the Mayor?

Supervisor McSheehy: I would say to you, Mr. Mayor, that if you brought that document in here and there were matters in it pertaining to this Board, wherein this Board was being discredited, that the document, whoever sent it out, should be censured. Fairness is right and justice is right, and that's all there is to it and you cannot make anything different out of it. I don't say you attempted to cloud this issue about the matter of leasing that lot where the pipe is, but let me say this to those who were so ready to clap their hands a minute ago, that the lot you have described is no portion of the transfer. It is an entirely separate lot where that pipe is stored. It is a separate lot entirely and has nothing to do with the transfer of the property. It is in between Fifth and Sixth streets and the other between Sixth and Seventh. They are a block apart.

Supervisor Marks: Just two years ago, prior to the election of 1925, when the complexion, you might say, of this Board was quite different so far as the members were concerned, Mr. McSheehy was then a member of a minority group and he made his campaign upon certain issues. His statement of those issues was not in accord with the statement of issues of the then majority group. I am wondering whether Mr. McSheehy two weeks prior to the election of 1925, or ten days prior to that election, would have favored a motion in this Board of Supervisors made by that majority group that his campaign then while he was in the minority should be condemned as false and misleading, or whether he would have permitted this Board of Supervisors to take a vote upon the issue of condemning his campaign utterances in 1925. Of course he would not.

Supervisor McSheehy: You are answering my question.

Supervisor Marks: I say that it is not proper to bring into this Board of Supervisors as an official body the proposition of opposition to or condemning or lauding men who are themselves candidates for office. This is a campaign document. There is undoubtedly a desire on the part of this campaign document to lead the people to believe that the Stafford appraisal figures will be taken as the assessment figures, which is probably not exactly accurate. They are asked to draw certain conclusions from this document, and Mr. McSheehy has his means of combatting this matter. He has the ordinary avenues of campaigning and publicity available to him to call the attention of the public to any inaccuracies in that statement, but I submit fairly and sincerely to the members of this Board that this is not the proper place to bring up this matter, and it is not a proper use of this Board; that it should not do anything to further the candidacy of anyone or to condemn the candidacy of anyone else. As I have said, if there is anything in this document which reflects upon the entire Board of Supervisors—which I do not recall hearing—if

there is anything there which must be corrected, and if there is anything there that must be cleared by Mr. Kent's motion, we can do that just as well on the 9th day of November as we can do it on the 31st of October, and I do earnestly urge upon this Board of Supervisors that in justice to itself it put this thing over until the election has passed. (Applause.)

Supervisor Havenner: I am forced to confess that I am unable to follow the logic of that. If the Supervisor has any idea that this Board ever could properly take action upon statements of this kind, I cannot imagine why he should get up and urge that it be postponed for political reasons. If he does not think that we ought to take any action in a matter of this kind he ought to oppose it now, but you are apparently simply asking us to postpone it for political reasons until after the campaign is over, and I would like to say to you that the action you are finding fault with, the practice by legislative bodies of investigating actions that reflect on the integrity of the legislative body, or investigating anything that they think is wrong in connection with the government, is a constant practice by the Congress of the United States. If you object to investigation or to actions of condemnation or approval in this matter, because they might possibly be construed as having some political complexion, then in order to be consistent you ought to send back a memorial to the Congress of the United States and ask them to cut out about half of the work they are constantly doing. The question is whether this is a reflection upon the work done by this Board, which I believe you will concede to have been done in good faith, and if that is true why then we ought to act now. If you think there is no reflection why we ought never to act. I can't see how possibly you can justify your argument that we ought to postpone it until after the political campaign.

Supervisor Marks: I cannot answer for anything the Congress of the United States may do, but I venture to say that if somebody were sufficiently horrible to present half the things being done, that somebody referred to might receive the approval of the public rather than its condemnation. I have read this document over again and I fail to find there any reflection upon the Board of Supervisors as an entity. This refers almost entirely to Mr. James B. McSheehy. Let us assume that the statements with respect to Mr. McSheehy as made by Mr. Wolden are abusive, if I may use that word; let us assume that the statements criticize him and that there are in the statements certain pictures relative to his official conduct as an individual or his utterances with respect to this campaign. I repeat, Mr. Mayor, that an investigation by an official committee of this Board and a report brought back to this Board, and action taken upon that report differs quite a good deal from a motion that will condemn the statement made by one who is seeking reelection. If we do that we have just as much right to officially here draw up a ticket of candidates from the Mayor down to the lowest office. No one would stand for that. And to do just the converse, prepare a statement of whom we would like to see defeated and to condemn that ticket, that is the same thing. I think this Board will not bring credit upon itself, and I say it sincerely, by taking part in the election in this respect. I think this Board has enough to do to maintain itself as a legislative body. We have our right to individual preferences in the election of a Mayor and of an Assessor or anyone else, but when we make these selections we do it as individuals; we do not act as Supervisors, and if we do act individually and also as Supervisors we have no right to enter into the candidacy of any person in any way as a Board of Supervisors. Mr. Havenner says he does not follow my reasoning. I think that it should be obvious. This is brought in here not in a time of calm, when we can sit down carefully and deliberately and weigh the statements made. It is brought in just a few days before the election. It is brought in here for the obvious purpose of discrediting the candidacy of someone who has submitted himself to the people, and the people themselves have the only right to discredit that person and this Board of Supervisors does not possess that right. We are not given by the people any right to condemn public officials, and I think we should keep within our legislative function and preserve our own household clean right here without condemning public officials or any act or anything said which is purely a campaign utterance and which anyone has a right to say so long as he refrains from making actionable allusions.

Supervisor Gallagher: I would

like to be excused. I have an engagement at 6 o'clock.

The Mayor: The only motion before the Board is on postponement of this matter for two weeks. Is there any other motion? What is the motion?

Supervisor Kent: Am I throttled now, or can I go ahead?

Supervisor Gallagher: May I be excused?

Supervisor Kent: No, Andy. Remain awhile. I have a few choice remarks. I don't believe your statement is correct and I will say so.

Supervisor Byington: I submit that the Supervisor has spoken once and I have not spoken, so I think under the rules,——

Supervisor Kent: That's what I asked, whether I was throttled, I just wanted to know. I am not quite as good as you boys on all of this stuff. If I were a lawyer I could cope with you, but I am something like Mr. McSheehy, straight out from the shoulder, and I am not shown——

Supervisor Byington: That's all we want, a plain and outspoken treatment of these matters.

Supervisor Kent: Let me thank you for those remarks.

Supervisor Byington: I am not particularly interested in this matter, but I will say that I cannot vote for the motion as made. I cannot vote in favor of approving or condemning the sending out of something brought up here. Somebody has produced a circular and said it has been sent out and Mr. Kent made a motion that we condemn this circular as containing false and some other kind of statements.

Supervisor McSheehy: The sending of it out.

Supervisor Byington: I am opposed to that motion, because that will spread around that we had taken sides in regard to this fight.

Supervisor Kent: I don't think so.

Supervisor Byington: That's the way it occurs to me. It would be easy to say we had condemned one candidate for sending out these statements and——

Supervisor Kent: No, I did not say that.

Supervisor Byington: I am not ready to vote for the motion.

Supervisor Kent: Mr. Chairman, my motion was that we condemn the circular. Here on the reverse side of this document its says "the plan", and it goes on with several paragraphs, and one of them here is to the effect that the first step was the appropriation by McSheehy of $65,000. Now that sort of makes either a Czar of Mr. McSheehy on the Board of Supervisors and deprives me of the right of thinking or the right of voting for myself and likewise the rest of us, and that is untrue. Then it goes on to the effect that the second time he appropriated $125,000. It makes no other announcement than that Mr. McSheehy was supreme in this thing as chairman of the Finance Committee. The chairman of the Finance Committee has no bed of roses when it came to trying to get this Board to do certain things, and the Finance Committee has had to fight for its budget set-up, and if it was not correct and if it was not sincere we would not have gotten it through this Board. The Board, I am sure, saw our budget in the proper light and that was the Board's action. It was not a one-man proposition, and surely there were no niggers in the wood pile, because I tell you that down in the financial district, in real estate row, it is absolutely something that was prayed for, and I don't like to see it, as a matter of business, be allowed to go by because it works on the psychology of the property when they view the circular. I have had so much experience with taxpayers and in the office that I have been with for some twenty odd years, and which perhaps pays more taxes for clients than any other office, and at one time was perhaps the largest this side of Chicago when one of the former members was living, the office of George H. Umbsen & Company was as large as perhaps three or four of the other offices put together, and we know that taxpayers, a great many of them, have a hard time reading their tax bills or anything pertaining to them. They do not grasp true values and assessed values. They do not get the distinction that you and I do because we have run across them and have been able to accumulate our ideas. I know that the majority of taxpayers today do not understand the fiscal year proposition. You can take nine out of ten taxpayers today and they will tell you that their taxes when they pay their first installment, which is due any time now, I believe, and delinquent on the first or last Monday in December, whichever it is, they absolutely figure that that is the date it is due. They do not figure that the first installment goes for the last half of the calendar year. To this

day they cannot separate the date of payment from the date that the taxes are delinquent and the date they are due. We have that experience day after day. Yet these things are explained over and over to the taxpayer. I tnink any real estate man will bear me out that the average taxpayer is absolutely in a quandary with his tax bills. I think the taxpayer will absolutely fall for a thing like this and that it is absolutely misleading.

Supervisor Gallagher: I desire to move you as a substitute motion one which I think may be found agreeable. I move you, therefore, that in view of the fact that our attention has been called to a document signed by the Wolden Campaign Committee and that while we realize the license available to a candidate in a political campaign, and we do not wish our action to be considered as any part of the campaign, that we do, however, respectfully call to the Assessor's attention and to his campaign committee the fact that this statement, if distributed, is misleading and not in accordance with the record; that if this statement has not been distributed we respectfully request the Assessor's, Mr. Wolden's Campaign Committee, or himself, to correct it in accordance with the record, and if it has been distributed we respectfully request him to issue a statement correcting it in accordance with the official record of this Board of Supervisors. If I get a second to that I would like to explain it.

Supervisor Powers: I will second it.

Supervisor Havenner: May I ask the Supervisor if he would not consent to make it just a little more explicit: to make here the request upon the Wolden Campaign Committee that in their setup of a comparison of values in this circular they make a comparison between the Assessor's estimate of the true value of the property, setting forth in each instance the percentage on which it is made, as against the comparative figures of the Stafford appraisal.

Supervisor Gallagher: I have no objection. That would be strictly in accordance with the record. I share Mr. Marks' fear of the motion as made by Supervisor Kent. I am afraid that the severe motion made by Mr. Kent, a motion containing the word "condemn" at this stage would be misunderstood. I feel that while we cannot take cognizance of statements made in a political campaign this document nevertheless is misleading if not, to say the least, incorrect. Mr. McSheehy is not the author of the Appraisal Bureau resolution. The author was Mr. Havenner. Mr. McSheehy was a member of the board constituted by this Board of Supervisors and his actions which are intended to be conveyed by this circular, if it is sent out, I should consider a reflection upon myself for two reasons: No. 1: I do not wish to give Mr. McSheehy the whole credit for establishing the Appraisal Board; and, No. 2, I want some credit myself, because I think it is a job that we can be proud of. No. 3: I do not want Mr. Wolden at any time to send out statements that in respect to the record of other men not involved in any campaign here, are not only misleading but incorrect. I am satisfied if this is conveyed to Mr. Wolden indicating that we desire that any communication of this character which will be confusing and misleading and probably cause for unnecessary furore, that if it is called to his attention, that to our minds the statement is not in strict accordance with the record, that he will take steps to correct it.

Supervisor Marks: May I ask you a question, Mr. Gallagher?

Supervisor Gallagher: Yes.

Supervisor Marks: You and Mr. Colman are the only ones, as I look around now, who are candidates for reelection present here now?

Supervisor Gallagher: Correct. I learn that to my regret. We are unfortunately held with our noses to the bolting post here.

Supervisor Marks: I am simply trying to indicate to the Board that it should not take any action that will result in taking sides in a political campaign.

Supervisor Colman: I think I will vote "no" even on Mr. Gallagher's motion, and in all friendship. I think that as to the various candidates I have attempted and believe I have maintained an attitude of neutrality in the campaigns of those other than my own political campaign. I have taken no part in the matter to which Mr. McSheehy has spoken. I opposed one week ago the investigation by the Grand Jury of the matter presented by Mr. Havenner; not that I am opposed to investigations and not that I want to hide anything, but I think it is—I thought and I still think, and without wanting to arouse any ill-will, I think in all

fairness this is purely a political matter. Mr. McSheehy, by bringing this up, forces me to say certain things which I would never mention but which I must mention in support of my statement. In stating that one side of this fight has been unfair, in connection with that I want to say that in the course of my going around in the evenings, as happens as all the members know, I have gone on a circuit and several times have waited for Mr. McSheehy to finish talking before I was called upon—three or four times, I think—and we know each other's talks practically by heart. I have listened to Mr. McSheehy's talks and I have never expressed any public opinion about them, but in my own humble opinion in private his statements have been most unfair. I do not care to argue the matter, but he has made statements with which I certainly do not agree, and I cannot understand how one candidate should expect this Board of Supervisors to come out and condemn or try to stop or do anything to another candidate concerning whom it is stated that he is about to send out a certain communication to the voters, and we do not even know he is going to send it out. I do not doubt it, but we do not know it definitely. The man who is getting it out is given no opportunity—he was given an opportunity for his office was called at 1:15, but he was out—possibly campaigning—and I presume some of my colleagues are engaged this afternoon or were this morning on the same errand, and it is plainly in my humble opinion a political move and I will not be a party to it. I certainly will not be a party and I would not be a party to it if Mr. Wolden were to come in here on the other hand with a proposition that we in any way help him at the expense of my colleague, and most certainly I will not be a party to any action by this Board. This Board is not a political body, and this Board is not organized for the purpose of, by any of its actions, favoring or hindering men in their candidacies, and I would be the last one to have them do that. We are not here for such purposes. We are not here to take part in the mayoralty fight or in the Assessor's fight or any political matter, and I think as a body we would cheapen the dignity of the Board by bringing matters such as this before us at this time. I do not question Mr. Kent's sincerity, and I know that you do not, and I think that Mr. Marks has handled this in a very sound way. If Mr. Marks is desirous of correcting any reflection that has been cast upon the Board he can still do that on the 9th day of November with much better grace and with a much better feeling for all the people of San Francisco than he can do eight days before the election, and you cannot help but admit that any action one way or the other has a definite bearing upon the people that will condemn one side or the other, but to be perfectly fair about this matter we cannot as a body enter into it at this time. In the Supervisors' campaigns we make three minute talks and just talk about ourselves and nobody else; no issues and nothing personal; never has one Supervisor mentioned another's name in any way, but Mr. McSheehy is unfair because, Mr. Mayor, I have heard him make statements that would, in my opinion, more greatly misrepresent the issues to those people who are listening to these talks, statements that I and his colleagues cannot reconcile, statements that I who have taken part in the discussions and in the events to which he refers, cannot possibly reconcile, matters which called upon to give an interpretation of what he has said would convey an entirely different conclusion than the statements of Mr. McSheehy convey. I do not criticise him for it, because I realize that these things take place, and I am not his moral censor and I have no criticism of him whatever, but it is nevertheless unfair to bring this matter up. It does not belong here at this time any more than, in my opinion, the matter that came before us last week belonged before us at that particular time, and whereas I realize Mr. Gallagher's intention is to smooth it over somewhat, I still think the principle is just the same regarding your motion as Mr. Kent's, so I am going to vote "no".

Supervisor Todd: Question.

Supervisor Byington: I merely want to say this: I consider the whole thing out of order. If in Congress a member arose with a circular and moved that we condemn the circular which was about to be distributed, I have not any doubt in the world the Speaker would rule it out of order, and I raise the point here that such a motion is clearly out of order and is not within the jurisdiction of this Board to pass a resolution con-

demning the circular or approving it.

Supervisor Todd: Question.

Supervisor McSheehy: Has the Supervisor raised the point of order and passed it up to the chairman to decide the point of order?

Supervisor Byington: That is what I have done.

Supervisor McSheehy: And your reason for the point of order is that the motion is out of order?

Supervisor Byington: Yes.

Supervisor McSheehy: Naming as your reasons——

Supervisor Byington: My reasons are that the powers of the Board of Supervisors are determined by the Charter. It is purely a legislative body and has no power except to pass resolutions and ordinances as provided for by the Charter, and there is nothing that can be found in the Charter that authorizes us to pass a motion or resolution condemning the issuance of a circular. If it would not be in order in Congress it would not be in order here.

Supervisor Havenner: The present motion does not condemn.

Supervisor Byington: It would be the same thing.

Supervisor Gallagher: There is no condemnation in my motion whatever.

Supervisor Byington: We have no power for the same reason——

Supervisor Gallagher: Do I understand you to contend that we are without power to address the Assessor on this?

Supervisor Byington: Yes.

Supervisor Gallagher: Every precedent of the Board for forty years would cry you down on that.

Supervisor Byington: I don't care what the precedent has been in such matters. Nine-tenths of them have been illegal. We are doing things every day that are illegal and the Board of Supervisors has no power to pass a resolution condemning any circular sent out by anyone.

Supervisor McSheehy: Mr. Chairman, you are placed in rather an embarrassing position.

The Mayor: Mr. McSheehy, let me tell you that I decline to rule on the point of order.

Supervisor Byington: Then I withdraw the point of order.

Supervisor Marks: What is the question now?

Supervisor Gallagher: As to my motion. The reporter will read it.

The Mayor: What is the motion? Can you state it again, Mr. Gallagher?

Supervisor Gallagher: My motion upon the document purporting to be gotten out by Mr. Wolden's campaign committee and which has been brought to our attention, is that we respectfully send word to the campaign committee and the Assessor that the document is not in accordance with the written record. And, No. 1: That at the same time we realize the license and liberties of a political campaign; but are taking no sides. No. 2: That inasmuch as it is misleading in its statement and might cause confusion, we respectfully request that the Assessor's campaign committee or the Assessor, if these have not been distributed, to go by the record in reference to the same; or if they have been distributed to correct the misleading features of the same. To which Supervisor Havenner added words to the effect that if the Assessor's valuation was to be set up as per the Assessor's books and percentages, that it ought also to be set up as per the Appraisal Board's records and percentages. Is that correct?

Supervisor Havenner: No, if the comparison was to include the present assessments, that it also set up the Assessor's estimate of the true value of the property in each instance, because that should be the basis of comparison with the Stafford appraisal, which is a true value appraisal.

Supervisor Schmidt: Let's go.

The Mayor: Call the roll, Mr. Clerk.

Roll call.

Supervisor Badaracco: Absent.
Supervisor Byington: No.
Supervisor Colman: No.
Supervisor Deasy: No.
Supervisor Gallagher: Aye.
Supervisor Harrelson: No.
Supervisor Havenner: Aye.
Supervisor Hayden: Absent.
Supervisor Kent: Aye.
Supervisor Marks: No.
Supervisor McSheehy: Aye.
Supervisor Powers: Aye.
Supervisor Roncovieri: Absent.
Supervisor Schmidt: Aye.
Supervisor Shannon: Absent.
Supervisor Stanton: Aye.
Supervisor Todd: Aye.

The Clerk: 8 ayes; 5 noes; 4 absent.

ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business the Board at 7:20 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors December 12, 1927.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated, and approved as above recited.

JOHN S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors.
City and County of San Francisco.

Vol. 22—New Series
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY
No. 45

Monday, November 7, 1927

Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
337 Bush Street, S. F.

JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, NOVEMBER 7, 1927, 10 A. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, November 7, 1927, 10 a. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

His Honor Mayor Rolph appeared at 10 a. m. The Clerk called the roll at his request, and there being no quorum present, the Mayor at 10:20 a. m. retired from the chambers, declaring that he had important matters to attend to in his office.

CALLING THE ROLL

Subsequently, the roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—13.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Gallagher, Roncovieri, Shannon—4.

Quorum present.

Supervisor Kent was elected to preside.

APPROVAL OF JOURNALS.

The Journals of Proceedings of July 5 and 11, 1927, were considered read and approved.

ROLL CALL FOR PETITIONS FROM MEMBERS.

Amended Bridge Application, Allan C. Rush.

The following matter was presented and read by the Clerk:

Amended application of Allan C. Rush for a trans-bay bridge between San Francisco and Oakland, Alameda County.

Said bridge to be located at the starting point at or near what is known as Rincon Hill, in the City of San Francisco, and from which named point, extending over and across what is known as San Francisco Channel of the Bay of San Francisco, this channel having an approximate width of 11,088 feet, to Goat Island (Yerba Buena) in a suspension bridge with a height of not less than 200 feet, measuring from the floor and base of said bridge down to and above high tide, supported in its entirety by four cables resting over five bridge piers, one pier at or near Rincon Hill, three piers in the waters of San Francisco Channel and one pier on the westerly shore end of Goat Island (Yerba Buena), numbered respectively Nos. 1, 2, 3, 4 and 5, with Pier No. 5 ending on said island.

Filed by E. L. Vandair Nailen November 7, 1927, *and continued for hearing until November* 21, 1927, *at* 11 *a. m.*

Traffic Conference, League of California Municipalities.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication, from League of California Municipalities, advising of its second annual convention at Hotel Alexandria, Los Angeles, on November 18, 19 and 20, 1927, for the purpose of considering the uniform traffic ordinance recently adopted by the League and other matters.

Referred to Traffic Committee.

Communication From Grand Court of California, Foresters of America, Relative to Memorial Drinking Fountain in Golden Gate Park.

The following was read and *ordered filed*:

San Francisco, Calif.,
November 1, 1927.

Honorable Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco,
San Francisco, California.

Hon. Members of the Board:

I desire to announce to your Honorable Board that Sunday, December 4, 1927, at 2 p. m., the Grand Court of California, Foresters of America, will dedicate a beautiful drinking fountain now being erected in the Children's Playground of Golden Gate Park as a tribute to the memory of the 200 members of our Order in California who gave their lives in the late World War.

I have the honor and pleasure therefore at this time to extend to every member of your Honorable Board a cordial invitation to be

present and participate with us in the dedication and presentation of this gift to Golden Gate Park.

With the assurance of our highest esteem, I beg to remain, on behalf of our Grant Court Memorial Committee,

Very respectfully yours,
(Signed) JOSEPH B. REBOLI,
Grand Secretary.

Civil Service Commission on Participation in Politics.

The following was presented, read and *ordered filed*:

October 27, 1927.

Hon. Board of Supervisors, City Hall.

Gentlemen:

In reply to your letter of October 25, 1927, asking to be informed as to whether or not "the carrying of political advertising on machines rented to the City by Civil Service employees is a violation of ordinance, charter or Civil Service rules" we beg leave to call to your attention our Rule 31, which reads as follows:

"RULE 31.

"*Participation in Politics.*

"Persons in the Classified Civil Service, while retaining the right to vote as they please and to express privately their opinions on all political subjects, shall take no active part in political management or in political campaigns involving the election of any person to office, except that this rule shall not be held to debar any such person from being a candidate for public office. Violation of this rule shall be deemed an act of insubordination and a ground for suspension or dismissal in accordance with the provisions of Section 12, Article XIII of the Charter."

If the machines referred to in your said letter are operated by Civil Service employees as well as rented by them to the City we believe that the employees involved are violating the spirit, if not the letter, of the provisions of the above Rule 31. If the employees involved are members of the Police or Fire departments they are violating the provisions of the City Charter. We know of no city ordinance that prohibits participation in politics by city employees.

Yours respectfully,
CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION.
JAS. J. MAHER, Secretary.

Havenner's Statement for the Record.

San Francisco, November 7, 1927.

Mr. Chairman, I rise to a question of personal privilege. At the last meeting of this Board of Supervisors the Mayor of San Francisco formally charged that my election to the office of Supervisor was illegal, that my present occupancy of the office was illegal and that the votes which I have cast as a member of this Board of Supervisors were illegal and would invalidate all of the bond issues and other financial transactions of the City and County government in which I have participated. In support of these charges the Mayor declared that I had not been an elector of the City and County of San Francisco for the five years next preceding my election, as required by the Charter, and in support of this charge he cited the fact that I was not registered to vote in San Francisco during the two years prior to 1923. At the time the Mayor made this charge to you, my colleagues on the Board of Supervisors, I replied that registration was not necessary in order to preserve the legal status of an elector. The Mayor declared that registration was essential, that he had been so advised by the Registrar of Voters of this City and County, and that the Registrar of Voters had further advised him that in his opinion my election was clearly illegal.

It seems almost incredible that the Registrar of Voters of San Francisco should be so ignorant of the laws of this State which define the qualifications of an elector as to have made the statements attributed to him by the Mayor. However, in justice to myself and for your information, my colleagues on the Board of Supervisors, I desire to state now that I made a most careful and exhaustive study of my legal status as an elector and my qualifications as a legal resident of San Francisco before I filed my candidacy for the office of Supervisor two years ago. I was advised then by some of the most competent and experienced attorneys in this City, including the Chief Deputy and Attorney for the Department of Elections in this City and County, Mr. Cameron King, that I was clearly within my legal rights in becoming a candidate for Supervisor, and that my election would be sustained by law. I desire now to read to you the requirements of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco which define the qualifications of a Supervisor.

I desire now to read into the record the decision of the Supreme Court of the State of California

covering all of the issues that have been raised by the Mayor with respect to the qualifications of an elector and the qualifications of a Supervisor. This case is Bergevin vs. Curtz, 127 Cal. Reports, page 86, judgment rendered by the court sitting in bank.

I desire now to read into the record the official numbers of my income tax statements for the years 1919 and 1920, which are on record in the office of the Collector of Internal Revenue in San Francisco. The number of my income tax statement for the year 1919 is 618,-419. This was filed by me in the office of the Collector of Internal Revenue on March 15, 1920. The record shows that on that date I made affidavit that my legal residence was at 845 Bush street, San Francisco. The number of my income tax statement for 1920 is 25,-519. The record shows that at the time I paid this income tax I made affidavit my legal residence was 715 Bush street. This affidavit was filed in the office of the Collector of Internal Revenue on March 15, 1921. Both of these statements were subscribed and sworn to by me long before I ever dreamed of running for Supervisor in San Francisco. Never thereafter did I establish a legal residence anywhere else than in San Francisco and it has always been my intent to remain a resident of this City and County.

The law and the facts which I have submitted to you herewith prove conclusively that the charges made by the Mayor of this City against me were false and I believe them to have been made maliciously as a political reprisal for certain actions of mine while a member of this Board of Supervisors. Speaking again on the question of personal privilege, I declare the charges made by the Mayor to be false, malicious and slanderous, and I defy him and his political bosses, Mr. Herbert Fleishhacker, Mr. Gavin McNab and the Market Street Railroad Company to test the charge in court.

In re Charge of Illegality of Election of Supervisor Franck R. Havenner.

Supervisor Schmidt: I do not believe there is anything before the Board.

The Clerk: The Street Committee presents the resolution——

Supervisor Colman (interrupting): I just want to make a very brief statement: that I do not want to take any part in any of this matter that Mr. Havenner brought out, and as to this "boss" stuff that he brings up, I think that is all bunk. But, however, independent of all that, this is the way I feel on this question: Mr. Havenner was elected by the will of the people of San Francisco and I reserve my right, of course, to differ with Mr. Havenner as vigorously as I think the occasion needs on any matter of public policy that may come up. On the other hand, I hope that Mr. Havenner is seated here legally, and I hope Mr. Havenner will continue to sit out the term to which he was elected by the will of the people of San Francisco. I would like to be excused, I want to go to an appointment. (Applause.)

Supervisor Byington: I was going to say that the statement of the Mayor was predicated upon the fact, as I understand it, that he had scanned the directories of this city, or had them examined, and they did not show that the Supervisor had been a resident of San Francisco. That is involved as well as the matter of an elector which I, prior to the reading of that decision, believed meant a man who was registered as distinguished from a man who was a resident. Now, you must be both a resident and an elector, apparently, of the City and County of San Francisco. The Mayor, I think, in all fairness, stated that the directories of this City, both telephone and business directories, did not show that Mr. Havenner was a resident of San Francisco within the five years required under the Charter. Now, for that reason, I do not think that it was improper for the Mayor to make that statement, that is, if I had found out that Mr. Havenner had been registered in the City of Oakland, for instance, for two years, then the following two or three years in the City of Berkeley, if I then scanned the registeries of San Francisco, and the directories, and found that he had never been in the directory, either the telephone or the business directory, it seems to me that it would be a fair conclusion on my part that he was not qualified, under the provisions of the Charter. So I do not think that the facts show that there was any malice on the part of the Mayor. (Applause.)

Supervisor Havenner: Mr. Chairman, I want to say to the Supervisor that the Mayor knew, and so stated, that during those two years I was physically in Washington, that I was employed there as an employee of the United States Senate, a government employee. I

spent all of that time there on that service. It was not physically possible for me to come back to San Francisco during those two years, and I was not here physically at any time. But I did change my home and all of my personal effects and, with a contribution of my money, moved them from Berkeley to San Francisco in 1919, and, naturally, my name was not in the city directory because I was not physically here.

Supervisor Byington: Then I would say this, as a matter of law: it is presumed that your residence, that is, if you went to Washington and you were a resident of Berkeley when you left here, or a resident of Oakland, you could not have claimed San Francisco as your residence until you returned to take up a residence here. Now, if I looked in the directory and found that a man was a resident of Berkeley in 1920, and he went to Washington as a secretary of a Senator or a Congressman, the law presumes that he retain the residence of the place from whence he went, and it was to be presumed in law if you were a resident of Berkeley or Oakland in 1920, and then went to Washington and remained there two or three years, that you were still a resident of either Berkeley or Oakland where you were registered. You could not mentally, when you were in Washington, having claimed your residence in Berkeley, say that your residence was in San Francisco because residence is both a mental and a physical act, you must be in the place or have been in the place with the intention to make that your residence. So I say, the presumption of the Mayor was perfectly fair. Now, there are decisions too in other States to the effect that an elector—here is the distinction between a voter, an elector and a resident. The voter is a man who has voted in other states—the elector is the man who has registered and does not vote, the resident may neither be registered nor have voted.

Supervisor Havenner: But I made an affidavit, as I have read to you, at that time, long before.

Supervisor Byington: He did not know anything about those taxations.

Supervisor Havenner: I understand you to be arguing on the question of fact.

Supervisor Byington: No, I am arguing on the question of your stating that the Mayor, in your opinion, made a malicious statement. From the facts here, I would have reasonably made the same deduction that you did, and I do not think there is the least bit of evidence——

Supervisor Havenner (interrupting): Would you have made the statement publicly before investigating or inquiring of me?

Supervisor Byington: I would have said, from my looking up the matter of the residence, that I did not believe that the Supervisor had been a resident of San Francisco at that time, and I would not have said it maliciously.

Supervisor Havenner: I have submitted the facts, and I am willing to have them tested.

Supervisor Schmidt: That is what I was going to state, the court is not here, let us go on with our business.

In re Circular Mailed by Assessor to Voters.

After the transaction of other business the following proceedings were had in relation to a circular mailed by the Assessor to voters:

Supervisor McSheehy: Mr. Chairman, as you know, I would like to rise to a question of personal privilege at this time, and I will be as brief as possible. I have prepared it in writing, the statement that I have to make. "The action of the Assessor in sending out to voters a fake tax bill containing fake facts and figures did incalculable damage to the citizens' efforts to bring about savings in taxes for the people. We have worked on this Board long and hard to save our people money in taxes; we have striven to show to the world that we are getting our fiscal matters in a healthy condition, so that outside capital may be attracted. We are doing today what Los Angeles did twelve years ago. We are doing today through the action of this Board what the people of Alameda have already ordered through direct vote of the people. Along comes the Assessor and, with fraud and deceit, tries to kick the people's work into a cocked hat. The fact that I am a candidate for Assessor is not going to keep me from seeing that the Assessor's false and misleading statements are recalled. Consistency demands it. I read this at this time on this floor because I knew that the present Assessor was losing the taxpayers' money when he should be saving it. I would be a coward now if I did not stand up and insist that the Assessor recall and correct his misleading and bogus tax bills as this Board has requested him to do. Gentlemen, he is laughing at this Board and the work that we

have tried to do for tax savings; he is laughing at the people who are paying him his salary. This Board asked him to recall and correct the faked appraisal bills he has sent out. He met our request by sending out faked bills and tax statements. They were worse than the first. This is an attack on the integrity of this Board and an insult to the people. If we are going to let this man lie about one of our most important public works, namely, the work of tax saving; if we are going to let him mislead the people as by official acts that we have found out he has misled them, we might just as well quit our jobs. His figures are false and he knows it. His statement was false and he knew it. This Board found that both were false. I repeat that he should be made to retract.

That is rather a severe statement, members of the Board, to make, yet it is positively correct and is true. Every line of it is true. I have here, and I dare say every member of this Board has received this during the week, a circular headed "Land Reappraisal." It is in the form of a circular that has been sent to every taxpayer. It has a serial number and it is misleading. Now, this particular one says "Assessed valuation of this land, as set out by Assessor Wolden, $250 valuation of this land for assessment purposes, set by the McSheehy reappraisal bureau $1,000." Now, my friends, at least my colleagues, I have said my friends, to be very frank with you, because, during the week I have addressed a great many bodies of people, and no matter where I went I brought up this particular document because I feel that this Board was entirely ignored last Monday when, by a resolution of this Board, the Assessor was instructed not to send out this document. Now, he sent it out, and he sent it out for but one purpose and that purpose was to mislead. It was a political trick. You may say, all is fair in war and politics, well, perhaps all is fair in war and politics to some degree, but deception is never fair, at any place or at any time, and it is deceiving when one sees "Valuation of this land for investment purposes by the McSheehy Reappraisal Bureau." There never was a McSheehy Reappraisal Bureau, and therefore, when a man attempts to send out a document of this kind, and he a city official, I feel that that man is not treating the citizens of San Francisco right, because a document of that kind, where it is positively untrue and a statement that is not right, I say it is misleading, and I say the Assessor should be censured for it. A week ago today we passed a resolution requesting him not to send this out. Now, members of the Board, at this time I would ask that the Assessor be sent for, and I would ask that the Assessor be sent for because I, personally, want to ask him why he ignored the resolution of this Board. Would you instruct the Sergeant-at-Arms?

The Chairman: The Sergeant-at-Arms will call for the Assessor.

Supervisor Marks: Is that just on the demand of an individual, or is this a legislative body?

Supervisor Schmidt: I will second the motion to have the Assessor sent for.

Supervisor McSheehy: I will make it as a motion, that the Assessor be sent for and asked why he did not respect the resolution passed last Monday. I will make that as a motion.

The Chairman: Do I hear a second?

Supervisor Powers: I second the motion.

The Chairman: You have heard the question, are you ready to take a vote?

Supervisor Byington: Of course, I am not concerned in the fight of anybody, so far as the action of the Board of Supervisors is concerned, but I cannot vote in favor of a motion that I consider out of order, that is, we have no right to send for any public official and ask him to come and make a statement. I do not know of any power by which we can send for an official and, in my opinion, it is entirely out of order. It would not make any difference to me who the official was, I would raise the point of order that he was out of order. We can courteously ask any public official to come here and make a statement, but we have no right to order anybody here. Now, if he wants to request the official to appear, why that is up to him.

Supervisor McSheehy: I do not wish to get into a debate similar to last Monday's on this matter. Do I understand, Supervisor, that you have no objection if the Chairman requests the Assessor to come here this afternoon or now?

Supervisor Byington: No, I have not any objection if, at the request of any member of this Board, he desires a public official to come here and we can send word that he is requested to come here.

Supervisor McSheehy: I will change my language then, with the consent of the second, I would re-

quest that the Sergeant-at-Arms ask the Assessor, if he is in, to come here.

Supervisor Marks: For what purpose, Mr. McSheehy?

Supervisor McSheehy: For this purpose: this Board passed a resolution last Monday—I will have the Clerk read the resolution—this Board passed a resolution last Monday and it requested the Assessor to comply with a certain wish of this Board. The Assessor ignored that entirely, and I want to ask him the reasons why he ignored it, that is all.

Supervisor Marks: Gentlemen, I am going to protest against any move, whether it be denominated a demand or a request, that Mr. Wolden be asked to come into the Board of Supervisors and engage in a political debate with Mr. McSheehy concerning the respective candidacies of the two men. That is the long and short of this move. This, as I said last Monday, and I repeat now, is a legislative body, we are not concerned with the fate or success of any candidate for public office, except as individuals. And I think it is highly improper for this Board to be taking up its time, which should be devoted to the City's business, to engage in some debate between Mr. McSheehy and Mr. Wolden, or the adherents of Mr. McSheehy and the adherents of Mr. Wolden as to who should be elected Assessor at tomorrow's election, and, therefore, whether it is politely put or impolitely put to Mr. Wolden the effect is to be the same. We are to issue certain criticisms and strictures as to Mr. Wolden's campaign utterances, and we are to give a clean bill of health to Mr. McSheehy's campaign utterances, and I think it is not reflecting credit upon this Board. I therefore will vote against any move, no matter in what language it is framed, that will bring about a political discussion in the Board today concerning the election tomorrow. (Applause.)

Supervisor Powers: I would like to ask you a question: Do you know of any document that ever went through the city government called "The McSheehy Appraisal"?

Supervisor Marks: No, and I am inclined to agree with you, Mr. Powers, that the appraisal is more properly called "The Stafford Appraisal."

Supervisor Powers: No city official should send out any document called "The McSheehy Appraisal" without adding the names of the other seventeen members of the Board of Supervisors.

Supervisor Marks: It is just as inaccurate as Mr. McSheehy's statement all over San Francisco that he reduced the taxes, I mean by that, not as to whether there was or was not a reduction of taxes, but I thought all along, Supervisor Powers, that you and I and a few other men who were elected two years ago in the month of November had, I am quite sure that we all had, a vote on the reduction of taxes.

Supervisor Powers: I agree with you on that.

Supervisor Marks: I do not know that rules of this Board have been so amended that, despite the fact that there were eighteen members, that one man by his vote would have the effect of reducing the taxes of all the citizens of San Francisco. And I will demonstrate to you, moreover Mr. Powers—and I am not debating with you as you know—but I think we can demonstrate, if we have the campaign statement of Mr. McSheehy, or rather the statement that is contained in the official pamphlet that goes out to the people, I think I will demonstrate that what Mr. McSheehy said, in Mr. Wolden's statement, is an unfair and untrue and false set-up of figures is practically in the same set-up that Mr. McSheehy has in his statement. I will demonstrate that to you.

Supervisor Powers: Don't you think if this Board of Supervisors passed a resolution last Monday ordering a city official here, don't you think he ought to appear?

Supervisor Marks: We have no right to order a city official here except to answer to our Board concerning official city matters in which the whole people, regardless of their political point of view, may be interested. But we have no right to order Mr. McSheehy's antagonist in this election to appear before a body of which he is a member, and order him, upon his motion, to come in here and face his opponent in a political campaign, and to be subjected to perhaps abuse and attack and subjected to statements in this Board to go out to the people of San Francisco as arguments against his election. Why, it is the most preposterous thing I have ever seen in a legislative body, and you know, Supervisor Powers, as I said last Monday——

Supervisor Powers (interrupting): We all don't agree on that.

Supervisor Marks: I know we do not agree, but I am merely expressing my point of view, and I gladly respect yours, Mr. Powers.

Last Monday I said and I will repeat it now, that two years ago Mr. McSheehy was in a minority on this Board and, prior to the election, he issued certain campaign statements against the then majority.

Supervisor Powers: Didn't that help you on your election?

Supervisor Marks: You and I do not seem to meet. What I am trying to get to——

Supervisor McSheehy (interrupting): May I rise to a point of order; if Supervisor Marks wishes to ask Supervisor Powers a question, all right, and if Supervisor Powers wishes to ask Supervisor Marks a question, all right, but which ever one of the two gentlemen has the floor, why let them make their own statement.

Supervisor Marks: Then I will ask Supervisor Powers this question.

Supervisor Powers: Make it a question and do not make it a lawyer's statement.

Supervisor Marks: I will try to couch it in English that may be clear to you, Mr. Powers. Well, I could not ask Mr. Powers the question, he is not a candidate in this election and, after all, this is not a legislative matter——

Supervisor McSheehy (interrupting): Ask me any question.

Supervisor Marks: Mr. McSheehy, if a motion had been made two years ago prior to the election of 1925, by some one then in the majority group, to condemn your campaign utterances and have this Board, as a Board, go on record in the condemnation of the campaign utterances of James B. McSheehy, who was then a candidate for election to the office of Assessor, would you have tolerated that for a moment?

Supervisor McSheehy: I would answer that to this degree, Supervisor, first of all, I am not condemning the utterances of any member of this Board, that is No. 1.

Supervisor Marks: He is a public official.

Supervisor McSheehy: And, secondly, if the particular party made certain statements that were true, positively true, why, that is another matter. Supervisor Marks, it is a hard matter to overcome the truth. The truth is the truth and I will take your word now, and that is simply this: your own statement, a second ago, you made the statement yourself that there is no such a thing as a "McSheehy Appraisal."

Supervisor Marks: Officially.

Supervisor McSheehy: Officially, there is no such a thing, but yet this official sent this out. Now, secondly, Supervisor Marks, let us be consistent one with the other, requests are made here, day in and day out, for officials to come here and give this Board information, and I am asking you to, with all fairness to Supervisor Byington, in all fairness, and Supervisor Byington is fair enough in this matter, to request, and that is all I am doing, is requesting the Assessor to come here. Now, I want to say this to you, Supervisor Marks, if you think that I am going to propound questions to the Assessor that will embarrass him you have another guess coming.

Supervisor Marks: What is your purpose in bringing him here?

Supervisor McSheehy: Just simply to ask him the question now, that is all, and now you, as an attorney—and I am not saying you are his attorney, but you as an attorney—and you as a Supervisor, naturally, are going to object. Now, I do not want anything from the chair in any decision only what is fair, and I have no desire—now I have gone through this campaign with Mr. Wolden and I have never embarrassed Mr. Wolden. If anyone has been embarrassed, I am the man that has been embarrassed, but I am not going to attempt at this ninety-sixth hour to embarrass Mr. Wolden. I am not inclined to embarrass people.

Supervisor Schmidt: I would like to see the chair make a ruling upon this matter so we can get through this calendar.

Supervisor Marks: I am raising no point of order, I am arguing against the motion.

Supervisor Schmidt: Supervisor Marks has taken the floor at least five times.

Supervisor Marks: I am going to take the floor ten times if this Board is going to be used in a political campaign.

The Chairman: I have got you two times on the same subject.

Supervisor Marks: Now, Mr. Chairman——

The Chairman (interrupting): I will do the same with everybody.

Supervisor Marks: We are going to debate this as to the propriety of bringing this into the Board at all. I think it is not proper here at all. Mr. McSheehy says that that statement, sent out by Mr. Wolden, is false. If he will read his campaign statement sent out to every voter, he will see that it follows

the same formula that Mr. Wolden follows, only he selected figures that were favorable to him. Now, I think this Board has no business to take part in a political campaign. I am raising no point of order.

The Chairman: Does the chair understand correctly that this request must go to a vote?

Supervisor Marks: Yes.

Supervisor Harrelson: I am exceedingly sorry that the Supervisor has injected this motion into the proceedings before the Board this morning. I think they are entirely out of order and have no place in our deliberations. I recall two years ago when we were branded as the "McLeran Board of Supervisors." I recall very distinctly that some of my colleagues, including myself, were caricautred in the newspapers, and I was at the end of a tow line, the other end of it was hitched to Ralph McLeran. Now, I did not believe that that was exactly a fair statement, but I heard no motion on this Board to condemn the newspapers for making such a statement. I am not concerned in anybody's campaign for a political office at this time, either for or against Supervisor McSheehy or Assessor Wolden. I think these proceedings are entirely out of order and should have no place in our deliberations this morning, and I am absolutely opposed to their being injected into the proceedings at this time.

Supervisor Colman: It seems to me that if there is any merit in Supervisor McSheehy's motion, if he feels that the people have been mislead in anyway regarding the appraisal, there is ample time after the election when that time comes up, then the question may be worthy of consideration, but, with due respect to the gentleman, it seems to me—I would rather he would say, Mr. Chairman, we have no quorum without——

The Chairman (interrupting): It goes into the record.

Supervisor Colman: I was saying that if the Supervisor is actuated entirely by the desire to put the matter clearly before the voters in case there is any misunderstanding in the figures there is still ample time. But coming as it does now, on the eve of an election, it seems quite plain to me, at least, that it is a political matter and it does not belong before the Board of Supervisors. I would not object at any time to requesting the presence of an official, but to be a party to this would be to be a party to one side of a question and of a candidate's campaign. I would not ask it for myself as a candidate, and I certainly will not allow the Board to be used in that way. I voted against the resolution last Monday, I voted against all matters that I thought were of a political nature that would attempt to use the legislative body of the city in a manner that would reflect discredit upon it. So this seems quite plain to me that this is a question that has to do with one man's candidacy over another, and I am going to vote no.

Supervisor Schmidt: Mr. Chairman, a motion was passed last week requesting Assessor Wolden to be present here and he failed to respond to that request, or he happened to be out. Now, I believe that, as long as the motion has been passed, let the request be made by the Board, and if Mr. Wolden desires to com here, and if he don't, all well and good. Now, I see where Mr. Marks has sent out for a statement of Mr. McSheehy's that is going to be brought in on the Board which contradicts the very stand that he has stated. Now, I say that I was one Supervisor who helped to reduce the taxes, and I think the record so shows. And I do not believe that Mr. McSheehy, if he has used it, should have used it, and he should have included the entire eighteen members of the Board. But I believe in being fair on this thing, but if we are going to talk on one side, let us get Mr. Wolden up here and let us get his views. I do not believe Mr. Wolden has the authority to say that it is a McSheehy appraisal. Everyone of us voted for that appraisal. I think that statement there is wrong. I have not taken sides one way or another in the campaign for Assessor. I have in another campaign, but I am stating this: that I believe that if he stated that it is a McSheehy appraisal it is false and wrong and it is not a proper thing to send through the mails to the voters. If Mr. McSheehy stated that he was the only one that reduced taxes, I say that is wrong also. Let us get them both on record as to what took place.

Supervisor Byington: I merely want to state, in regard to my explanation of my vote. It is said by people here that we frequently send for public officials. Of course, if there is a matter, regularly upon the calendar here, business properly before this Board, and some Supervisor does not understand it,

then it is proper to send for the official and ask him to come here to explain what is regularly upon the calendar, but we have not anything on the calendar that this motion appertains to, and I myself am opposed to the Board making the request. If he wants to make it as an individual, he may do so. As one member of the Board who voted against sending for Mr. Wolden last week, I think this is not a matter that belongs before this Board. That was last week. I think this is a bad mixup for this Board to get into, and, therefore, I am going to vote against the request of the Supervisor.

Supervisor McSheehy: I do not want to take up the time of this Board at this particular time.

Supervisor Schmidt: Supervisor McSheehy, the message has gone out. I do not think any great good can come about by having us waste some of our time here. I know, like I stated on the floor a moment ago, the statement was false when he quoted it as to the "McSheehy Appraisal". Now, I do not know what good you are going to accomplish by keeping our Board in action here and sending for Assessor Wolden when, most likely, he won't respond anyhow. If he does, I think he is foolish. But there is no good going to come out of this thing. I voted for the resolution last week, just merely for the quotation that was made on the "McSheehy Appraisal". But the mail has gone out, you cannot bring it back any more, and it is just a matter of good time being lost on the Board. I believe that Supervisor McSheehy should let this thing go by and let us get along with the work of the Board.

The Chairman: If the chair understands correctly, why Mr. McSheehy can close on behalf of his motion.

Supervisor McSheehy: May I say this, and I say this in all fairness, and I would particularly——

The Chairman (interrupting): You are closing?

Supervisor McSheehy: I say this to you. I would particularly like to address myself to Supervisor Byington, just taking his own words in it. Now, all I am doing is requesting. I am simply requesting, Supervisors, and I say this to you as a colleague on this Board, and I will take Supervisor Marks' own words for it, and I do not care about getting in a debate, because if we do it will be a long debate and perhaps it will get none of us any place, but all I am doing here this morning is simply requesting. Now, here is the resolution that was passed: "The attention of the Board of Supervisors was called yesterday to a printed circular bearing the typed signature 'Wolden Campaign Committee.'" This was passed by the Board of Supervisors last Monday. "Which the Board was informed is about to be sent out to the voters of San Francisco. On motion of Supervisor Gallagher the Board requested that your correct misleading statements in such circular before it is sent out, or if it has been sent out, to send out correct statements. Also was added the request that in comparative statements between the official assessments and the Stafford appraisal that you publish the Assessor's true values upon which assessments are based. As soon as the stenographer transcribes the statement made, I will forward it to you for your information, and to indicate the corrections the Board deems you should make. Sincerely yours, John Dunnigan."

Now, members of the Board, this was sent to Mr. Wolden last week. I told you at that time I did not know, I had information to the extent that this paper would be sent, and I did not know and I could not make a positive statement. But during the week he sent them out. The following morning, the very following morning they were sent out, because friends of mine received them, and during the week they were sent out for the entire week. The man might have sent out 50,000, I have no knowledge of the amount, but I imagine there was something like that sent out. Now, what am I asking here this morning? I am simply requesting that the Assessor be sent for and I want to ask him why he ignored the purport of this resolution. Now that is all I have to say. Now, I am not going to attempt to embarrass the Assessor. If the Assessor cannot be found, I cannot help that. We are sending for him and that is all I am asking for, and there is scarcely a Monday goes by that something is not asked for from some city official, the Auditor, the City Attorney and sometimes the Treasurer, the Chief of Police, they have all been sent for, for information. Now, why have certain Supervisors gotten up and tried to call this an entirely political move? I happen to be a candidate for the office. It just happens to be, and certain Supervisors that are right here today—I do not know their attitude now—but I do know in the

past they were friendly to my candidacy, in fact, I spoke to some of them before I ever entered the contest. But you have nothing to deal with but this and this is a Board matter. Now, that is all I am requesting. Supervisor Byington, in a friendly manner, said that he would have no objection to a request being made, but not a demand.

Supervisor Byington: I said you, as an individual, might make a request, but I could not vote in favor of a request from the Board.

Supervisor McSheehy: I will take your language and modify my motion that we request it.

Supervisor Marks: That you request, Mr. Byington said, you as an individual make all the requests you want, but this Board, as a legislative body, cannot make any such request.

Supervisor McSheehy: I made it as a motion and it has been duly seconded, and if the majority of this Board votes for it, of course, the Assessor will be requested to come here.

Supervisor Marks: There is no question about that, if you have the votes, you can put through the motion.

The Chairman: Call the roll.

Supervisor Badaracco——

The Chairman (interrupting): One second, does everybody understand what they are voting on? There are some late arrivals—go ahead.

(Roll call continues.)

Supervisor Byington: No.
Supervisor Colman: No.
Supervisor Badaracco: Absent.
Supervisor Deasy: No.
Supervisor Gallagher: Absent.
Supervisor Harrelson: No.
Supervisor Hayden: No.
Supervisor Havenner: Yes.
Supervisor Kent: Yes.
Supervisor Marks: No.
Supervisor McSheehy: Yes.
Supervisor Powers: Yes.
Supervisor Roncovieri: Absent.
Supervisor Schmidt: Yes.
Supervisor Shannon: Absent.
Supervisor Stanton: Yes.
Supervisor Todd: Yes.

Supervisor Marks: I change my vote no to aye.

Mr. Chairman: Announce the results.

The Clerk: Eight ayes.

Supervisor Marks: And I give notice that on the next legislative day I shall move to reconsider the vote whereby this motion was carried. Announce the result, Mr. Clerk.

The Clerk: Eight ayes, five noes.

Supervisor Schmidt: I believe that is a very unfair procedure to block a motion on this Board. Now, I believe that if Supervisor McSheehy is right, that he should refer to last week's resolution whereby this Board went on record, with no motion for reconsideration, and ask, from last week's resolution, that he be sent for here today, following up the resolution of last Monday.

Supervisor Powers: I want to concur in those remarks.

The Chairman: What have you to offer anything that can remedy it?

Supervisor Powers: It is all right, some day chickens come home to roost at all times. The shoe might be on the other foot some day, Supervisor, and when the shoe is on the other foot, not particularly with Supervisor McSheehy, there is no such thing in any resolution that was ever passed before the Board of Supervisors as the "McSheehy Appraisal." It was the Stafford Appraisal that each and everyone of us voted for. To make this statement that what this Board of Supervisors does on the eve of any election—he should be censored for it and be censored by this legislative body because there is no such thing on the books as "McSheehy Appraisal." Some day the shoe might be on the other foot, not because it is on McSheehy's foot today, but it might happen to any other individual of our colleagues here that he would have the same legitimate kick coming, and some day chickens come home to roost; it might be on the other foot.

Supervisor Marks: What is the next matter, Mr. Chairman?

The Chairman: There is nothing before the Board.

Supervisor Havenner: I just want to call attention to the fact that by Supervisor Marks' quite remarkable interference in this matter, which is entirely in order and entirely in support of a previous action of this Board, he is very inconsistent. The Supervisor, as I understand it, interposed the objection that no investigation ought to be made by this Board of a matter affecting the action of this Board, and a very important action of this Board, because a political campaign is in progress. But the Supervisor has been industriously investigating another department of the city government himself all during the time that this campaign has been in existence. I heartily approve of that action, but he is absolutely inconsistent in blocking this move now, and if there ever

was a political move made on this Board, it is the one that he has just made.

Supervisor Marks: If we are going to indulge in some post mortem as to the result of the last vote, I propose to take part in the discussion.

The Chairman: As long as you have been mentioned, go ahead.

Supervisor Marks: May I have a copy of that statement of Mr. Wolden's? I propose to show this Board that Mr. Wolden's statement, if false, is absolutely proof that Mr. McSheehy's statement, sent out at public expense to every voter in San Francisco, is false, and I propose not to make up any figures, but to give Mr. McSheehy's own figuers. Mr. McSheehy, on page 13 of the campaign statement that he sent to every voter as the argument in favor of his election, says this ——

Supervisor McSheehy (interrupting): May I correct you? In the first place I paid for that statement and it is not at the public expense.

Supervisor Marks: You paid a nominal amount.

Supervisor McSheehy: I paid the amount requested by the Registrar of Voters.

Supervisor Marks: You paid a nominal amount.

Supervisor McSheehy: So I would say at this time, let the speaker tell the truth, and I ask that in the very first part of it.

Supervisor Schmidt: Mr. Chairman, may I have the floor?

The Chairman: Mr. Marks has the floor.

Supervisor Schmidt: You do not know what I am going to say.

The Chairman: Mr. Supervisor Schmidt, sit down. Go ahead.

Supervisor Marks: Now, Mr. McSheehy wants me to tell the truth, and I cannot guarantee the truth of these statements except that they are made by Mr. McSheehy, and I assume that he is stating the facts taken from the public records. He says, "Incompetence and political favoritism lead to such inequitable assessments as these: No. 1, the St. Francis Hotel, improved, appraised, $6,420,000; assessed $2,413,000, about 37 2/3 per cent." Mr. Wolden, taking this particular case, says, "Assessed valuation as set by Wolden, $250; valuation of this land for assessment purposes set by McSheehy Reappraisal Bureau"—we will refer to that as the Stafford Reappraisal Bureau——

Supervisor McSheehy: You refer to it as the statement calls for.

Supervisor Marks: I am saying you want to——

Supervisor Havenner (interrupting): You voted for it.

Supervisor Marks: Now, I am going to finish this statement.

The Chairman: Go ahead, you are pretty good at it, go ahead.

Supervisor Marks: "Valuation of this land for assessment purposes set by McSheehy Reappraisal Bureau, $1,000." The result, the intention there of Mr. Wolden is to call the attention of the people to the fact that the assessed valuation is $250, and that in the Reappraisal Bureau it was set down for $1,000, showing a disparity between the two figures. Mr. McSheehy——

Supervisor Havenner (interrupting): What was that you just quoted? Is that the St. Francis Hotel?

Supervisor Marks: Yes—no; the two parcels I am showing you, the two methods pursued by the two men. They sent out to the voters two comparative series of figures, in one case the appraisal as against the present assessment, and in Mr. McSheehy's case the appraisal as against the present assessment, showing a disparity in each case. The Emporium, according to Mr. McSheehy's statement, is appraised for $7,016,000, assessed $2,368,000, about 33 2/3 per cent. In other words, the assessment is only two-thirds of what the appraisal is.

Supervisor Havenner: One-third.

Supervisor Marks: One-third. Mr. Wolden, in one statement, sets out, as I understand it, the assessed valuation of the land, according to the official assessment, as against the figures set out by the Reappraisal Bureau.

Supervisor Stanton: What objection have you to let Mr. Wolden come up and make his own explanation?

Supervisor Marks: I would not have taken the floor again if it were not for the fact that Mr. Powers called attention to the fact that some chickens are coming home to roost. Mr. Havenner had some comments to make upon that after the vote had been carried, after the vote had been put. Now, I submit, Mr. Chairman, that the thing that Mr. McSheehy tried to convey to the voters is that, taking several large holdings, the assessment is out of proportion to the appraisal, and compared those two sets of figures, and that is precisely what Mr. Wolden has done. Now, that being the case, Mr. Chairman, I ask you frankly, and members of the Board, frankly as men, there

is no result of a legislative nature to take place resulting from this vote. And for Mr. McSheehy to say that it is a mere circumstance and coincidence that he happens to be a candidate, eight or nine hours before the polls open for voting, or twenty-four hours before they are opened for voting, I say it is using this Board without due regard to its real legislative character.

The Chairman: If there is nothing before the Board, we will proceed with the calendar business.

Supervisor McSheehy: At this time, since my good friend Supervsor Marks, the attorney for the Assessor, so zealously defending the Assessor—I only wish that he was defending the Assessor before some large block of voters because his defense is so poor that I feel that he would not be a really proper attorney for the Assessor. But as he has attempted to defend the Assessor in the way that he is defending hm here this morning, and as he has drawn around himself and taken advantage of a technicality pertaining to our rules, especially in the absence of the majority of this Board, in rising and reconsidering the motion, I want to say that it is anything but good sportsmanship on his part, but that it is simply the part of a sore loser who is endeavoring to draw around him every subterfuge that he can to protect his client. And I simply say this, therefore, sometimes you have to deal with fire, no matter how hard you try, and not use subterfuges and use methods that others will continually use. Different men have different ways of fighting. Some fight right out in front and some fight from underneath. So the Supervisor has at this time taken upon himself the advantage that he has as a Supervisor, in rising and voting, at least in rising to a vote of reconsideration. Therefore, as he has taken that advantage, I will make a personal request, as a member of the Board of Supervisors, that Mr. Wolden be requested—now I am not even going to use the word "demand." I am going to take Supervisor Byington's modified word, the fair way, and I want to say that the Supervisor, and I won't even attempt to use that harsh word "demand," and I will simply request, as a member of the Board of Supervisors, that Mr. Wolden be sent for. I make that as a request, Mr. Chairman, and you, if you wish as presiding officer, can ask the Sergeant-at-Arms to request him to come. . . .

The Chairman: For the information of the Board, Mr. McSheehy, the Sergeant-at-Arms brings back word that the Assessor is not available and that he is out somewhere looking at property.

Supervisor McSheehy: Can I ask that the Sergeant-at-Arms again request, if he is out, that he be telephoned to, if he has left with his office word where he can be located?

The Chairman: All right.

UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

Final Passage.

The following matters, heretofore passed for printing, were taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Authorizations.

Resolution No. 28029 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

Tearing-up Streets Fund.

(1) California Pottery Co., pipe for side-sewers (claim dated Oct. 22, 1927), $525.

Auditorium Fund.

(2) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., gas and electricity for Auditorium (claim dated Oct. 22, 1927), $728.76

Tubercular Sanitarium Fund.

(3) Latourette-Fical Co., final payment, plumbing for Tubercular Sanitarium (claim dated Oct. 24, 1927), $700.

County Road Fund.

(4) James M. Smith, ninth payment, improvement of Market street extension from Mono to Ord streets (claim dated Oct. 26, 1927), $4,250.

(5) Schultz Construction Co., fourth payment, improvement of Grand View avenue, including portion of Market street (claim dated Oct. 26, 1927), $21,000.

(6) A. E. Hennessey, part payment, improvement of Turk street from Masonic avenue to Parker street (claim dated Oct. 26, 1927), $28,178.48.

(7) Park Commissioners, labor furnished for improvement of Masonic avenue, McAllister to Turk streets (claim dated Oct. 26, 1927), $980.

(8) The Bay Improvement Co., improvement of Lyon street from Bay to Chestnut streets, fronting Presidio Reservation (claim dated Oct. 26, 1927), $5,669.76.

(9) Pacific States Construction Co., improvement of San Jose avenue between Ocean and Geneva avenues (claim dated Oct. 26, 1927), $1,296.26.

De Young Museum (Appropriation 60.)

(10) Chas. Christensen Co., furnishing and installing Atchison revolving door at de Young Museum (claim dated Oct. 28, 1927), $1,400.

(11) Dr. Ernest Forbes, thirty-three pieces of ancient gold artificats for de Young Museum (claim dated Oct. 28, 1927), $5,500.

Installation Fund (Department of Electricity.)

(12) Steiger & Kerr Stove & Foundry Co., iron castings, Department of Electricity (claim dated Sept. 30, 1927), $549.78.

Special School Tax.

(13) The Mission Marble Works, marble construction, High School of Commerce (claim dated Oct. 24, 1927), $1,738.

Municipal Railway Fund.

(14) Frank F. Bodler, ten Johnson fare boxes (claim dated Oct. 19, 1927), $975.

(15) Bureau of Street Repair (Budget Item No. 525), Department of Public Works, asphalt repairs to railroad right of way (claim dated Oct. 20, 1927), $935.52.

Municipal Railway Depreciation Fund.

(16) Market Street Railway Co., Municipal Railway portion of repairs on The Embarcadero (claim dated Oct. 19, 1927), $777.20.

Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1925.

(17) Edward R. Bacon Co., Jaeger concrete mixer (claim dated Oct. 20, 1927), $1,455.

(18) California Corrugated Culvert Co., Armco corrugated culverts (claim dated Oct. 20, 1927), $1,525.59.

(19) Ingersoll-Rand Co. of California, four jackhammers, complete (claim dated Oct. 20, 1927), $780.

(20) M. M. O'Shaughnessy, revolving fund expenditures, per vouchers (claim dated Oct. 20, 1927), $1,750.

(21) John A. Roebling's Sons Co. of California, steel rope, etc. (claim dated Oct. 20, 1927), $1,228.57.

(22) Santa Fe Lumber Company, lumber (claim dated Oct. 20, 1927), $1,233.75.

(23) United States Rubber Co., rubber coats, boots and hats (claim dated Oct. 20, 1927), $835.31.

(24) Western Pipe and Steel Company, air pipe (claim dated Oct. 20, 1927), $1,068.

(25) Wilsey-Bennett Co., butter and eggs (claim dated Oct. 20, 1927), $563.66.

Publicity and Advertising (Appropriation 55).

(26) Capital Decorating & Mfg. Co., decorations on Market street and Auditorium, for account of Labor Day celebration (claim dated Oct. 24, 1927), $525.

School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923.

(27) Acme Construction Co., acceptance payment, general construction of addition to High School of Commerce (claim dated Oct. 26, 1927), $22,680.18.

General Fund, 1927-1928.

(28) Herbert F. Dugan, surgical supplies, S. F. Hospital (claim dated Oct. 27, 1927), $1,322.33.

(29) H. Moffat Company, meat, S. F. Hospital (claim dated Oct. 27, 1927), $1,795.83.

(30) The White Co., one "White" truck, S. F. Hospital (claim dated Oct. 27, 1927), $2,430.

(31) Langendorf Baking Co., bread, S. F. Hospital (claim dated Oct. 27, 1927), $1,252.70.

(32) Morris & Co., butter, S. F. Hospital (claim dated Oct. 27, 1927), $2,082.98.

(33) L. Dinkelspiel Co., dry goods, S. F. Hospital (claim dated Oct. 27, 1927), $1,562.04.

(34) Del Monte Meat Co., meats, S. F. Hospital (claim dated Oct. 27, 1927), $1,734.69.

(35) J. T. Freitas Co., eggs, S. F. Hospital (claim dated Oct. 27, 1927), $2,289.87.

(36) Walton N. Moore Dry Goods Co., gowns, S. F. Hospital (claim dated Oct. 27, 1927), $895.75.

(37) Levi Strauss & Co., spreads, S. F. Hospital (claim dated Oct. 27, 1927), $1,754.93.

(38) Greenebaum, Weil & Michaels, shirts, S. F. Hospital (claim dated Oct. 27, 1927), $594.50.

(39) Edward McRoskey Mattress Co., mattresses for Laguna Honda Home (claim dated Oct. 27, 1927), $1,080.

(40) The Simmons Co., beds for Tubercular Sanitarium (claim dated Oct. 27, 1927), $1,532.75.

(41) A. Carlisle & Co., loose-leaf book covers for the Recorder (claim dated Oct. 25, 1927), $1,925.

(42) Pacific States Electric Co., copper wire, Department of Electricity (claim dated Sept. 30, 1927), $672.57.

(43) Daniel J. O'Brien, police contingent expense (claim dated Nov. 1, 1927), $750.

(44) Henry D. Dewell, second payment, engineering superintendence on repairs to Palace of Fine Arts (claim dated Oct. 28, 1927), $500.

(45) Monson Bros., second payment, repairs to underpinning of Palace of Fine Arts (claim dated Oct. 28, 1927), $22,599.07.

(46) The Wright Corporation, second payment, dredging Yacht

Harbor (claim dated Oct. 28, 1927), $4,781.25.

(47) Symon Bros., team hire, street cleaning (claim dated Oct. 21, 1927), $747.50.

(48) School repairs, Budget Item No. 1, reimbursements for stock withdrawals during July, August and September (claim dated Oct. 25, 1927), $1,608.10.

(49) J. E. French Co., one Graham Bros. auto truck for Fire Department (claim dated Oct. 27, 1927), $940.

(50) Spring Valley Water Co., water service for hydrants, October (claim dated Oct. 27, 1927), $14,686.40.

(51) San Francisco Bulletin, official advertising (claim dated Oct. 24, 1927), $622.68.

(52) Napa State Hospital, maintenance of criminal insane (claim dated Oct. 31, 1927), $600.

(53) James G. Stafford and associates, third payment on contract for appraisal of taxable property (claim dated Oct. 31, 1927), $2,094.75.

(54) R. Brandlein & Co., examination tables for Civil Service Commission (claim dated Oct. 24, 1927), $740.

(55) Remington Rand Business Service, Inc., filing cases for office of the Auditor (claim dated Oct. 31, 1927), $848.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd —13.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher, Roncovieri, Shannon—5.

Appropriations.

Resolution No. 28030 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned funds for the following purposes, to-wit:

Special School Tax—Budget Item No. 1.

(1) For cost of painting the Paul Revere School, per award of contract to D. Zelinsky & Sons, $5,990.

School Bonds, Issue 1923.

(2) For elevator construction for the Portola Junior High School, per award of contract to Spencer Elevator Company, $3,225.

(3) For stage fittings and draperies (American Studios), Mission (Everett) Junior High School, $7,050.

(3a) For refrigerating plant (Frigidaire Corporation), Mission (Everett) Junior High School, $950.

Preventorium, San Mateo County—Budget Item No. 57.

(4) For cost of building concrete road from present road to entrance of Commissary Department, including concrete floors and foundations for garage, $2,300.

(5) For construction of garage building for two cars, $530.

(6) For addition to old office, $864.

(7) For purchase of supplies and equipment and October payroll (all for account of Tubercular Preventorium, San Mateo Counay), $4,952.17.

Repairs to Public Buildings—Budget Item No. 59.

(8) For cost of installing 16 electro-mechanical interlocks on four front elevators, Hall of Justice, $524.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd —13.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher, Roncovieri, Shannon—5.

Appropriations, Land for Alemany Boulevard and Improvement of the Southeast Corner of Orizaba Avenue and Minerva Street.

Resolution No. 28031 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside and appropriated out of County Road Fund and authorized in payment to the hereinafter named persons; being payments for properties required for boulevard purposes, to-wit:

(1) To Aug. J. Lang, Jr., for property required for opening of the Alemany boulevard, as per description and acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 27971 (New Series) (claim dated Oct. 25, 1927), $1,750.

(2) To M. F. Taylor and Marie I. Taylor, for property required to improve southeast corner of Orizaba avenue and Minerva street, as per description and acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 27972 (New Series) (claim dated Oct. 25, 1927), $1,200.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd —13.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher, Roncovieri, Shannon—5.

Aprropriation, $2,500, Observance of Armistice Day.

Resolution No. 28032 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $2,500

be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of "Publicity and Advertising," Appropriation 55, for the expense of observance of Armistice Day, November 11, 1927.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd —13.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher, Roncovieri, Shannon—5.

Oil and Boiler Permits.

Resolution No. 28033 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits be and are hereby granted:

Oil Tanks.

L. Carrosio, northeast corner of Filbert and Mason streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

F. H. Evers, 24 Seventeenth avenue, 600 gallons capacity.

F. Goodman, 1056 Fulton street, 1500 gallons capacity.

H. Harris, north side of Post street, 150 feet east of Leavenworth street, 1500 gallons capacity.

M. Jorgensen, north side of Francisco street, 95 feet east of Baker street, 1500 gallnos capacity.

H. Kaufmann, 30 Fifth avenue, 600 gallons capacity.

Miss G. King, No. 1 Russian Hill place, 1500 gallons capacity.

Frank J. Klimm Co., northwest corner of Buchanan and Moulton streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

Frank J. Klimm Co., 135 Carl street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Ed. Lachman, north side of El Camino Del Mar, 50 feet east of Thirtieth avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

Manning & Baldwin, Inc., south side of Chestnut street, 168 feet 9 inches east of Broderick street, 1500 gallons capacity.

E. G. Meyers, 2840 Jackson street, 1500 gallons capacity.

F. C. Moore, east side of Pierce street, 100 feet south of Capra way, 1500 gallons capacity.

Frank B. Newman, 909 Anza street, 1500 gallons capacity.

C. M. Ousset, 479 Fourteenth avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

Roman Catholic Archbishop of San Francisco, northeast corner of Geary street and Twenty-fourth avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

Harry L. Sandow, south side of Oak street, 106 feet east of Cole street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Henry Simons, east side of Pierce street, 55 feet north of Toledo way, 1500 gallons capacity.

Mrs. Edna Stemple, west side of Mason street, 68 feet 9 inches north of Jackson street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Stoneson Bros., northeast corner of El Verano and Fernwood avenues, 1500 gallons capacity.

T. I. Strand, east side of Twenty-sixth avenue, 150 feet south of Cabrillo street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Miss Mary Willard, 864 Francisco street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Boilers.

Bauer Cooperage Co., 2345 Keith street, 50-horsepower.

K. Sarantitis, 3335 Seventeenth street, 25-horsepower.

T. F. Schnier Co., 165 Main street, 15-horsepower.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits shall become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd —13.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher, Roncovieri, Shannon—5.

Transfer of Garage Permit.

Resolution No. 28034 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Sharp & Geheran be and are hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred to them public garage permit heretofore granted Joe Pasqualetti for premises at 1250 McAllister street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercisd within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd —13.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher, Roncovieri, Shannon—5.

Automobile Supply Station.

Resolution No. 28035 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That California Petroleum Corporation be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an automobile supply station on the west side of Corbett avenue, near Burnett avenue.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy,

Powers, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd —13.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher, Roncovieri, Shannon—5.

Blasting Permit.

Resolution No. 28036 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That A. C. Macomber be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to explode blasts while blasting old ships at foot of Bay Shore avenue, provided said permittee shall execute and file a good and sufficient bond in the sum of $5,000, as fixed by the Board of Public Works and approved by his Honor the Mayor, in accordance with Ordinance No. 1204; provided, also, that said blasts shall be exploded only between the hours of 7 a. m. and 6 p. m., and that the work of blasting shall be performed to the satisfaction of the Board of Public Works, and that if any of the conditions of this resolution be violated by said A. C. Macomber, then the privileges and all rights accruing thereunder shall immediately become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd —13.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher, Roncovieri, Shannon—5.

Plans, etc., for Additions to Jefferson School.

Bill No. 8278, Ordinance No. 7788 (New Series), as follows:

Authorizing the preparation of plans and specifications for additions and alterations to the Jefferson School, and ordering the construction of additions and alterations to the Jefferson School, to be erected on the east side of Nineteenth avenue between Irving and Judah streets, in accordance with the plans and specifications prepared therefor and as approved by the Board of Education.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized, instructed and empowered to prepare plans and specifications for additions and alterations to the Jefferson School, and to enter into contract for the construction of additions and alterations to the Jefferson School, to be erected on the east side of Nineteenth avenue between Irving and Judah streets, in accordance with the plans and specifications prepared therefor and as approved by the Board of Education.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd —13.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher, Roncovieri, Shannon—5.

Establishing Set-back Lines, Thirty-second, Thirty-third and Thirty-fifth Avenues.

Bill No. 8258, Ordinance No. 7789 (New Series), as follows:

Establishing set-back lines along portions of Thirty-second, Thirty-third and Thirty-fifth avenues.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby recited that on the 3rd day of October, 1927, the Board of Supervisors adopted Resolution of Intention No. 161, to establish set-back lines along Thirty-second, Thirty-third and Thirty-fifth avenues, and fixed the 24th day of October, 1927, at 11 o'clock a. m., at the chambers of the Board of Supervisors, as the time and place for hearing objections thereto; that thereafter and more than ten days prior to said hearing the said resolution was published and notices of the passage of said resolution were posted along the line of said streets, in time, form and manner required by Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series); that said hearing was held at the time and place aforesaid, and that all objections made at said hearing have been properly overruled.

Section 2. Pursuant to the foregoing recitals, and in accordance with the provisions of said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), set-back lines are hereby established as follows:

Along both sides of Thirty-second avenue, commencing at points 75 feet northerly from Santiago street and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, set set-back lines to be 6 feet; thence northerly to Rivera street, said set-back lines to be 9 feet.

Along the westerly side of Thirty-third avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Santiago street and running thence northerly 37 feet 9 inches, said set-back line to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly to Rivera street, said set-back line to be 9 feet; along the easterly side of Thirty-third avenue, commencing at a point 75 feet

northerly from Santiago street and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly to Rivera street, said set-back line to be 9 feet.

Along both sides of Thirty-fifth avenue, commencing at points 100 feet northerly from Santiago street and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 6 feet; thence northerly to Rivera street, said set-back lines to be 9 feet.

As shown on the maps filed in the office of the Board of Supervisors, and to which reference is hereby made for further particulars.

Section 3. No structures shall be erected in the area between said set-back lines and the street lines, except as provided in said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), and the penalties for the violation of this ordinance shall be as fixed by the ordinance aforesaid.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd —13.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher, Roncovieri, Shannon—5.

Amending Zoning Ordinance, Irving Street Between Thirtieth and Twenty-ninth Avenues.

Bill No. 8280, Ordinance No. 7790 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Section 2 of Ordinance No. 6450 (New Series), entitled "Establishing set-back lines along portions of Capitol avenue, Thirty-ninth avenue, Thirty-second avenue and Irving street."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. So much of Section 2 of Ordinance No. 6450 (New Series), approved December 23, 1924, the title of which is as recited above, as pertains to the establishment of set-back lines along the southerly side of Irving street between Thirtieth and Twenty-ninth avenues is hereby repealed.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd —13.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher, Roncovieri, Shannon—5.

Amending Building Law Relative to Interior Lathing and Sheathing.

Bill No. 8279, Ordinance No. 7791 (New Series), as follows:

Ordinance No. 1008 (New Series), known as the Building Law of the City and County of San Francisco, is hereby amended as follows:

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. When a building is ready for lathing or sheathing on the inside the Building Inspector shall be notified. The Building Inspector shall cause such building to be inspected within twenty-four hours and if found to comply with the ordinances covering such work shall place his approval on the permit card. Such approval shall not give any person permission to lath or conceal any part of the frame from view until notice of inspection is posted by the Electrical Inspector.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd —13.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher, Roncovieri, Shannon—5.

PRESENTATION OF BILLS AND ACCOUNTS.

Demands not required by law to be passed to print, and amounting to $51,845.58, were allowed and ordered paid by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd —13.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher, Roncovieri, Shannon—5.

Action Deferred.

The following items were *laid over one week*:

Urgent Necessity.

Edna Nelson et al., compensation insurance, $83.32.

Spring Valley Water Co., water, horse troughs, $49.01.

NEW BUSINESS.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Authorizations.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

Aquarium Fund—(Appropriation 57).

(1) California Academy of Sciences, maintenance of Steinhart Aquarium, October, 1927 (claim dated Nov. 7, 1927), $4,965.35.

Park Fund.

(2) Sevin Vincent Seed Co., grass for parks (claim dated Nov. 4, 1927), $692.29.

(3) Berringer & Russell, hay and oats for parks (claim dated Nov. 4, 1927), $699.47.

Sunset Tunnel Assessment Fund.

(4) Leonard F. Youdall and R. G. Clifford, seventeenth payment, construction of Duboce-Sunset tunnel (claim dated Nov. 2, 1927), $36,229.80.

Fire Department Land and Construction Fund.

(5) Oscar Aaron, first payment, plumbing and gas-fitting work for Fire Department Engine House No. 18 (claim dated Nov. 2, 1927), $550.68.

(6) H. H. Larsen Co., third payment, general construction of Fire Department Engine House No. 18 (claim dated Nov. 2, 1927), $5,422.50.

Special School Tax.

(7) Knittle Bros., first payment, mechanical equipment for South Side High School (claim dated Oct. 31, 1927), $5,019.93.

(8) A. Lettich, third payment, plumbing work for South Side High School (claim dated Nov. 2, 1927), $2,668.13.

(9) MacDonald & Kahn, third payment, general construction of South Side High School (claim dated Nov. 2, 1927), $36,091.99.

(10) Samuel Lightner Hyman and A. Appleton, final payment, architectural services for addition to Madison School (claim dated Nov. 2, 1927), $1,251.74.

(11) Wm. Bateman, millwork for schools (claim dated Oct. 29, 1927), $1,099.

(12) Wm. Bateman, millwork for schools (claim dated Oct. 29, 1927), $1,284.50.

(13) Fess System Co., burner and motor unit for Franklin School (claim dated Oct. 29, 1927), $727.42.

(14) W. P. Fuller & Co., paint supplies for schools (claim dated Oct. 29, 1927), $623.

(15) The Lawson Roofing Co., repair to and coverings for school roofs (claim dated Oct. 29, 1927), $745.

(16) J. H. McCallum, lumber for schools (claim dated Oct. 29, 1927), $626.34.

(17) J. H. McCallum, lumber for schools (claim dated Oct. 29, 1927), $661.16.

(18) Bureau of Street Repair, asphalt repairs to school yards (claim dated Oct. 29, 1927), $682.54.

Municipal Railway Fund.

(19) Jesse Shay, lathing and plastering at Geary street Municipal Railway barn (claim dated Nov. 2, 1927), $580.

(20) The Service Company, tires and tubes and retreading, Municipal Railways (claim dated Nov. 2, 1927), $711.70.

School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923.

(21) Mahony Bros., ninth payment, general construction of Sherman School (claim dated Nov. 1, 1927), $22.074.94.

(22) R. Flatland, second payment, electrical work for Sherman School (claim dated Nov. 1, 1927), $1,683.72.

(23) The Scott Co., third payment, mechanical equipment for Sherman School (claim dated Nov. 2, 1927), $1,750.76.

(24) Alta Electric Co., fifth payment, electrical work for Everett Junior High School (claim dated Oct. 31, 1927), $3,348.75.

(25) Latourette-Fical Co., eleventh payment, plumbing work for Mission (Everett) Junior High School (claim dated Nov. 1, 1927), $1,798.69.

(26) R. W. Moller, fourteenth payment, general construction of Everett Junior High School (claim dated Nov. 2, 1927), $55,639.79.

(27) Scott Company, sixth payment, mechanical equipment for Everett Junior High School (claim dated Nov. 2, 1927), $4,650.

(28) O. Monson, seventh payment, general construction of Portola Junior High School (claim dated Nov. 2, 1927), $22,795.35.

(29) Scott Company, fourth payment, mechanical equipment for Portola Junior High School (claim dated Nov. 1, 1927), $3,170.62.

(30) Pacific Electrical Construction Co., fourth payment, electrical work for Portola Junior High School (claim dated Nov. 1, 1927), $614.77.

County Road Fund.

(31) J. P. Holland, Inc., loam, etc., for Great Highway (claim dated Nov. 4, 1927), $1,213.13.

(32) J. P. Holland, Inc., loam, etc., for Great Highway (claim dated Nov. 4, 1927), $1,913.33.

(33) Charles L. Harney, final payment, improvement southeasterly side of San Jose avenue between Gorham and Cotter streets (claim dated Nov. 2, 1927), $1,222.09.

Hetch Hetchy Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1925.

(34) Bald Eagle Meat Market,

meats (claim dated Oct. 26, 1927), $508.65.

(35) The Giant Powder Co., Con., explosives (claim dated Oct. 26, 1927), $6,430.

(36) James Graham Mfg. Co., stoves, pipe, etc. (claim dated Oct. 26, 1927), $781.76.

(37) R. A. Hansen, fuel oil, etc. (claim dated Oct. 26, 1927), $587.

(38) Joshua Hendy Iron Works, eight heavy sheaves (claim dated Oct. 26, 1927), $1,334.40.

(39) Palmer & McBryde, rental of tractor (claim dated Oct. 26, 1927), $511.87.

(40) Santa Fe Lumber Co., lumber (claim dated Oct. 26, 1927), $691.83.

(41) Shell Co. of California, gasoline and oils (claim dated Oct. 26, 1927), $626.70.

(42) Geo. H. Tay Co., hot water boilers (claim dated Oct. 26, 1927), $537.

(43) Wilsey-Bennett Co., butter, etc. (claim dated Oct. 26, 1927), $888.14.

General Fund.

(44) San Francisco Bulletin, official advertising (claim dated Nov. 7, 1927), $1,044.90.

(45) Buckley & Curtin, printing building description and computation sheets (claim dated Oct. 31, 1927), $996.50.

(46) Neal, Stratford & Kerr, printing for County Clerk (claim dated July 19, 1927), $623.09.

(47) Phillips & Van Orden Co., printing for Department of Elections (claim dated Nov. 3, 1927), $1,494.

(48) The Recorder Printing and Publishing Co., printing for Department of Elections (claim dated Nov. 3, 1927), $4,576.

(49) W. S. Dickey Clay Mfg. Co., tiling for handball court, Golden Gate Park (claim dated Nov. 4, 1927), $753.75.

(50) The Turner Co., labor and material for convenience station, Kezar Stadium (claim dated Nov. 4, 1927), $1,115.03.

Appropriations for Municipal Railway Extensions and Betterments.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of Municipal (Old) Depreciation Fund, for the following purposes, to-wit:

For Ocean View extension of Municipal Railways, additional to appropriation by Resolution No. 25025 (New Series), $414.31.

For purchase of land for Municipal Railway car shop, additional to appropriation by Resolution No. 24147 (New Series), $440.

For addition to Seventeenth street car barn, additional to appropriation by Resolution No. 24245 (New Series), $290.85.

Total, $1,145.16.

Further Resolved, That the following amounts, representing balances in appropriations made out of the Municipal Railway (Old) Depreciation Fund for Municipal Railway purposes, be and the same are hereby authorized and directed to be returned to the Municipal Railway (Old) Depreciation Fund, to-wit:

From appropriation by Resolution No. 21808 (New Series), Embarcadero subway, $1,682.47.

From appropriation by Resolution No. 21808 (New Series), track special work, $198.

From appropriation by Resolution No. 24657 (New Series), track special work, $1,188.54.

From appropriation by Resolution No. 25374 (New Series), double track crossing, Columbus avenue and Kearny street, $405.40.

Total, $3,474.41.

Appropriation, $20,222, Construction of Chapel, San Francisco Hospital.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $20,222 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of "Chapel at San Francisco Hospital," Budget Item No. 63, for cost of construction of chapel at San Francisco Hospital, including inspection and extras. (Award to J. A. Grant at $18,722.)

Appropriation, $43,000, Extension No. 6, Auxiliary Water Supply System.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $43,000 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of General Fund, 1927-1928, for the cost of Extension No. 6 to the Auxiliary Water Supply System (high pressure) from Harrison and Twenty-fifth streets to Evans avenue and Rankin street, including inspection and extras. (Award to Clarence B. Eaton at $37,778.04.)

Appropriation, Laguna Honda Home, Laundry Equipment, and Improvement of Harrison Street.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of the

hereinafter mentioned funds for the following purposes, to-wit:

Laguna Honda Home Equipment—Budget Item No. 60

(1) For purchase of Dodge dump truck, for use of Laguna Honda Home, $1,410.

(2) For purchase of 40-inch laundry extractor, for use of Laguna Honda Home, $2,615.

County Road Fund.

(3) For improvement of Harrison street between First and Sterling streets by scarifying, covering with broken rock and oiling, $1,000.

Reconstruction and Repair of Accepted Streets.

Also, Bill No. 8281, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Authorizing and ordering the reconstruction and repair of certain accepted streets in the City and County of San Francisco under the supervision of the Board of Public Works of said City and County during the fiscal year ending June 30, 1928, and appropriating funds for the cost thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works of the City and County of San Francisco is hereby authorized and ordered to reconstruct and repair, under its supervision, those certain accepted streets in said City and County, particularly designated and described in Ordinance No. 7558 (New Series), approved June 6, 1927.

There is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended by the said Board of Public Works the sum of $20,520, payable out of Budget Item No. 81, Fiscal Year 1927-1928, for the reconstruction and repair of the following streets, to-wit:

Castro street, Market to Sixteenth streets, $7,020.

Clark street, Drumm to Front streets, $3,600.

Clay street, Stockton to Powell streets, $3,600.

Oregon street, Drumm to Front streets, $3,600.

Alpine Terrace, Waller street to Duboce avenue, $1,350.

Buchanan street, Green to Union streets (center strip), $1,350.

Total, $20,520.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Accepting Offer of Jean Plat et al. to Sell Certain Property Required for Opening of Bernal Cut.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 28037 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the owners of the following described lands situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and sought to be acquired by the City and County of San Francisco for the opening of Bernal Cut, extending from Mission and Randall streets southwesterly along the Southern Pacific Company's right of way to the intersection of San Jose avenue and Circular avenue, have offered to convey the property desired by said City and County of San Francisco for the sums set forth opposite their names, viz.:

Jean Plat and Lucie Plat, $10,000 —Lots 1 and 2, Block 6689, as per the Assessor's Block Books of the City and County of San Francisco.

James G. Meehan, $1—A portion of Lot 41, Block 1, College Hill Tract, as recorded in Map Book "G," page 18, records of the City and County of San Francisco, described as follows, to-wit:

Beginning at a point on the northeasterly line of St. Mary's avenue, distant thereon 102.50 feet northwesterly from the northwesterly line of College avenue; thence northwesterly along said northeasterly line 4 feet; thence deflecting to the right 123 deg. 35 min. 55 sec. and running northeasterly 9.758 feet to the southeasterly boundary line of Lot 41 of said Block 1; thence deflecting to the right 156 deg. 10 min. 23 sec. and running southwesterly along said southeasterly line 8.247 feet to the northeasterly line of St. Mary's avenue and the point of beginning.

Whereas, the City Attorney has recommended the acceptance of the said offers and the acquisition of the property owned by said persons and offered to the City and County of San Francisco for the prices set forth opposite their names, which said prices are in accordance with the City's appraisement of the property; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the said offers of sale be accepted and the City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to examine the titles of said properties, and if the same are found in satisfactory condition, to accept in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco deeds conveying title thereto, and file the same for record with a copy of this resolution attached thereto as evidence of acceptance by the City and County of San Francisco, upon payment of the agreed purchase prices.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner,

Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd —13.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher, Roncovieri, Shannon—5.

Auditor to Transfer Police Department Salary Funds.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 28038 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, pursuant to Ordinance No. 7558 (New Series) and in accordance with Section 2 thereof, the Auditor is hereby authorized and requested to make transfers of requisite amounts to meet increased amounts set opposite the following budget items:

Police Department.

Budget Item 684—68 detective sergeants at $2,760 a year, $182,700.

Budget Item 694—27 patrol drivers at $2,400 each a year, $64,280.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd —13.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher, Roncovieri, Shannon—5.

Auidtor to Cancel Certificates of Sale of Property Erroneously Sold to the State for Nonpayment of Taxes.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 28039 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the Tax Collector and Auditor have reported that the taxes on the second installment for the year 1926 on the following described property were paid on February 11, 1927, but through error were not stamped "Paid" on the Assessment Roll and that the property was sold to the State of California on June 27, 1927, Sale No. 976; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Auditor be directed to cancel Sale No. 976 in accordance with the provisions of Sections 3776 and 3805 of the Political Code, of the following property:

Lot No. 26, Block No. 2779, Vol. No. 17, page 183, assessed to Aurelia Molteni.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd —13.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher, Roncovieri, Shannon—5.

Passed for Printing.

The following resolution was *passed for printing*:

Oil Tank Permits.

On motion of Supervisor Deasy:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits be and the same are hereby granted:

Oil Tanks.

Dr. E. J. Best, 879 Santa Rita avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

Mrs. Clara Carli, northwest corner of Mission and Theresa streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

Mrs. Bessie Cooley, northeast corner of Alhambra street and Mallorca way, 1500 gallons capacity.

Richard Johnstone, 797 Head street, 600 gallons capacity.

Mary Mahony, north side of Vallejo street, 90 feet west of Webster street, 1500 gallons capacity.

R. Mattrucci, south side of Lyon street, 125 feet east of Chestnut street, 1500 gallons capacity.

W. S. Schaffer, 164 Seacliff avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

J. Schwartz, 166 Merced avenue, 600 gallons capacity.

L. and G. Stoff, west side of Nineteenth avenue, 150 feet south of California street, 1500 gallons capacity.

P. W. Wood, west side of Scott street, 25 feet south of North Point street, 1500 gallons capacity.

P. W. Wood, south side of Washington street, 61 feet east of Baker street, 1500 gallons capacity.

P. W. Wood, north side of Russia street, 60 feet east of Edinburgh street, 1500 gallons capacity.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits shall become null and void.

Taxicab Stand Permits.

Supervisor Schmidt presented:

Resolution No. 28040 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following permits for taxicab stands are hereby approved:

Yellow - Checker Cab Company, 1394 Turk street.

White Top Cab Company, 67 Powell street and 164 Powell street.

Gray Cab Company, 207 O'Farrell street.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—13.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher, Roncovieri, Shannon—5.

Accepting Offer of Henry Ruffoni to Sell Land on Funston Avenue Required for School Purposes.

Supervisor Powers presented:

Resolution No. 28041 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, an offer has been received from Henry Ruffoni to sell to the City and County of San Francisco certain land on the easterly line of Funston (Thirteenth) avenue, distant 161 feet 7 inches north from Lawton street, required for school purposes; and

Whereas, the price at which said parcel of land is offered is the reasonable value thereof; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the offer of the said owner to convey to the City and County of San Francisco a good and sufficient fee simple title to the following described land, free of all encumbrances, for the sum of $4,000 be and the same is hereby accepted, the said land being described as follows, to-wit:

Commencing at a point on the easterly line of Funston (Thirteenth) avenue, distant thereon 161 feet 7 inches northerly from the northerly line of Lawton street, running thence northerly along said easterly line of Funston (Thirteenth) avenue 38 feet 5 inches; thence at a right angle easterly 120 feet; thence at a right angle southerly 38 feet 5 inches; thence at a right angle westerly 120 feet to the easterly line of Funston (Thirteenth) avenue and point of commencement. Being a portion of Outside Land Block 780.

The City Attorney is hereby directed to examine the title to said land and, if the same is found to be vested in the aforesaid owner, free of all encumbrances, and that the taxes up to and including the current fiscal year are paid, and that the so-called McEnerney title has been procured or sufficient money reserved for the purpose of procuring the same, to report the result of his examination to the Board of Supervisors and also to cause a good and sufficient deed for said land to be executed and delivered to the City and County upon payment of the agreed purchase price as aforesaid. And the said deed to said land is hereby accepted.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd —13.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher, Roncovieri, Shannon—5.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Fixing Sidewalk Widths on Roanoke Street.

On motion of Supervisor Marks:

Bill No. 8282, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered nine hundred and eighty-three.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Board of Public Works, filed in this office October 19, 1927, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered nine hundred and eighty-three, to read as follows:

Section 983. The width of sidewalks on Roanoke street between Bemis street and Laidley street shall be ten (10) feet.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect and be in force from and after its passage.

Fixing Sidewalk Widths on Presidio Avenue.

Also, Bill No. 8283, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by amending section five hundred and thirty-seven thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Board of Public Works, filed in this office October 28, 1927, by amending section five hundred and thirty-seven thereof, to read as follows:

Section 537. The width of sidewalks on Presidio avenue between Geary street and California street shall be ten (10) feet.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect and be in force from and after its passage.

Fixing Sidewalk Widths on Grant Avenue.

Also, Bill No. 8284, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by amending section eight hundred and six thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Board of Public Works, filed in this office October 28, 1927, by amending section eight hundred and six thereof, to read as follows:

Section 806. The width of sidewalks on Grant avenue between Bush street and Columbus avenue shall be ten (10) feet.

The width of sidewalks on Grant avenue between Columbus avenue and Filbert street shall be seven (7) feet.

The width of sidewalks on Grant avenue between Filbert street and Bay street shall be ten (10) feet.

The width of sidewalks on Grant avenue, the easterly side of, between Bay street and The Embarcadero shall be ten (10) feet.

The width of sidewalks on Grant avenue, the westerly side of, between Bay street and Beach street are hereby dispensed with and abolished.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect and be in force from and after its passage.

Repealing Ordinance No. 7732 (New Series), Prospect Avenue.

Also, Bill No. 8285, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Repealing Ordinance No. 7732 (New Series), ordering the improvement of Prospect avenue between Coso avenue and Esmeralda avenue.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 7732 (New Series), ordering the improvement of Prospect avenue between Coso avenue and Esmeralda avenue by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks six (6) feet or more in width where artificial stone sidewalks six (6) feet or more in width have not already been constructed, is hereby repealed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Repealing Ordinance No. 7728 (New Series), Bulkhead and Sand Removal, Forty-third Avenue.

Also, Bill No. 8286, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Repealing Ordinance No. 7728 (New Series), ordering the construction of a bulkhead and the removal of sand from the sidewalk and roadway on the westerly line of Forty-third avenue from a line parallel with and one hundred feet north of the north line of Judah street to a line parallel with and one hundred and fifty feet north of the north line of Judah street.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 7728 (New Series), ordering the construction of a bulkhead and the removal of sand from the sidewalk and roadway on the westerly line of Forty-third avenue from a line parallel with and one hundred (100) feet north of the north line of Judah street to a line parallel with and one hundred and fifty (150) feet north of the north line of Judah street, is hereby repealed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Spur Track Permit, Atchison, Topeka and Santa Fe Railway Company.

Also, Bill No. 8287, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Granting permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to the Atchison, Topeka and Santa Fe Railway Company to construct, maintain and operate a spur track in and across Rhode Island street and across and along Fifteenth street as hereinafter described.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, is hereby granted to the Atchison, Topeka and Santa Fe Railway Company to construct, maintain and operate a spur track in and across Rhode Island street and across and along Fifteenth street as follows:

Beginning at a point in the center line of an existing track of the Atchison, Topeka and Santa Fe Railway Company and Southern Pacific Company in Rhode Island street in the City and County of San Francisco, said point lying 16.00 feet easterly from the western line of said Rhode Island street and 129.13 feet northerly from the northern line of Fifteenth street produced across said Rhode Island street, thence southerly over and along said Rhode Island street on a curve to the left having a radius

of 235.65 feet, a distance of 45.09 feet, to a point lying 58.63 feet westerly from the eastern line of said Rhode Island street and 84.05 feet northerly from the said northern line of Fifteenth street produced across said Rhode Island street; thence southeasterly over and along said Rhode Island street and said Fifteenth street on a curve to the left having a radius of 171.02 feet, a distance of 283.10 feet, to a point lying 24.15 feet northerly from the southern line of said Fifteenth street and 37.22 feet westerly from the western line of De Haro street produced across said Fifteenth street; thence easterly over and along said Fifteenth street and crossing said De Haro street on a curve to the right having a radius of 171.02 feet, a distance of 54.40 feet, to a point in the center line of an existing track of the A., T. and S. F. Railway Co. and S. P. Company in the center line of said Fifteenth street, said point lying 17.07 feet easterly from the said western line of De Haro street produced across said Fifteenth street.

Said permission is granted subject to the provisions of Ordinance No. 69 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors, approved October 12, 1906, and the provisions and conditions of Section 8 thereof are hereby specifically contained in the permit hereby granted, and shall be construed as a part hereof as completely as though the same were written in this ordinance.

Provided that said track shall be laid under the supervision and to the lines and grades as furnished by the City Engineer's office, and that any and all expense connected with the installation of the track, restoration of the pavement and any additional requirements for the surface drainage, is to be paid for by the Atchison, Topeka and Santa Fe Railway Company; provided that the Atchison, Topeka and Santa Fe Railway Company shall erect and maintain all-night lighted arc lamps, to be placed where directed by the Lighting Committee of the Board of Supervisors.

Provided that said Atchison, Topeka and Santa Fe Railway Company comply with its franchise obligation to pave Fifteenth street between Eighth and Rhode Island streets when requested by the Board of Public Works. It is expressly understood and made a part of this permit that the granting of this spur track privilege does not release said company from the franchise provision that when the curbs are constructed said company, upon demand, will pave the street with a standard pavement.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Blasting Permit.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Municipal Construction Company be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to explode blasts on the crossing of Hamilton and Burrows streets, provided said permittee shall execute and file a good and sufficient bond in the sum of $2,500 as fixed by the Board of Public Works and approved by his Honor the Mayor, in accordance with the provisions of Ordinance No. 1204 (New Series); provided, also, that said blasts shall be exploded only between the hours of 7 a. m. and 6 p. m., and that the work of blasting shall be performed to the satisfaction of the Board of Public Works, and that if any of the conditions of this resolution be violated by said Municipal Construction Company, then the privileges and all the rights accruing thereunder shall immediately become null and void.

Spur Track Permit, Michel & Pfeffer Iron Works.

Also, Bill No. 8288, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Granting permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to Michel & Pfeffer Iron Works to construct, maintain and operate a spur track across San Bruno avenue north of Alameda street, as hereinafter described.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, is hereby granted to the Michel & Pfeffer Iron Works to construct, maintain and operate a spur track across San Bruno avenue, north of Alameda street, as follows:

Beginning at a point in the easterly line of San Bruno avenue, said point being distant northerly 231 feet from the northerly line of Alameda street; thence in a westerly direction and crossing San Bruno avenue, to a point on the westerly line of San Bruno avenue, said point being distant northerly 216 feet from the northerly line of Alameda street.

Said permission is granted subject to the provisions of Ordinance No. 69 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors, approved October 12, 1906, and the provisions and con-

ditions of Section 8 thereof are hereby specifically contained in the permit hereby granted and shall be construed as a part hereof as completely as though the same were written in this ordinance.

Provided, that said spur track shall be laid under the supervision and to the lines and grades as furnished by the City Engineer's office, and that any and all expenses connected with the installation of the track, restoration of the pavement, and any additional requirements for the surface drainage be paid for by the Michel & Pfeffer Iron Works.

Provided, that girder rail be used between the property lines of the street; that any curb removed be hauled to the Corporation Yard; that catchbasins be provided and connected with the main sewer; that provision be made to reinforce the sewers where the proposed spur will cross, there being two sewers in this street; that the existing pavement be restored, and that all work be done under the direction and supervision of the Board of Public Works.

Provided, that the Michel & Pfeffer Iron Works shall erect and maintain all-night lighted arc lamps to be placed where directed by the Lighting Committee of the Board of Supervisors.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Spur Track Permit, Atchison, Topeka and Santa Fe Railway Company.

Also, Bill No. 8289, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Granting permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to The Atchison, Topeka and Santa Fe Railway Company to construct, maintain and operate a spur track in and along Twenty-fifth street and across Tennessee street, as hereinafter described.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, is hereby granted to The Atchison, Topeka and Santa Fe Railway Company to construct, maintain and operate a spur track in and along Twenty-fifth street and across Tennessee street, as follows:

Beginning at a point in the center line of an existing track of The Atchison, Topeka and Santa Fe Railway Company in Twenty-fifth street, in the City and County of San Francisco, said point lying 62.98 feet westerly from the western line of Tennessee street produced across said Twenty-fifth street and 10.00 feet northerly from the southern line of said Twenty-fifth street; thence easterly over and along said Twenty-fifth street and crossing Tennessee street on a curve to the left having a radius of 237.88 feet, a distance of 105.04 feet to a point lying 39.02 feet easterly from the said western line of Tennessee street produced across said Twenty-fifth street and 28.75 feet southerly from the northern line of said Twenty-fifth street produced across said Tennessee street; thence on a curve to the right having a radius of 237.88 feet, a distance of 114.04 feet to a point lying 70.22 feet easterly from the eastern line of said Tennessee street produced across said Twenty-fifth street and 1.00 foot southerly from the said northern line of Twenty-fifth street; thence parallel with and distant 1.00 foot southerly from the said northern line of Twenty-fifth street, a distance of 129.78 feet to the western line of Third street produced across said Twenty-fifth street.

Said permission is granted subject to the provisions of Ordinance No. 69 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors, approved October 12, 1906, and the provisions and conditions of Section 8 thereof are hereby specifically contained in the permit hereby granted and shall be construed as a part hereof as completely as though the same were written in this ordinance.

Provided, that said track shall be laid under the supervision and to the lines and grades as furnished by the City Engineer's office, and that any and all expense connected with the installation of the track, restoration of the pavement and any additional requirements for the surface drainage, is to be paid for by The Atchison, Topeka and Santa Fe Railway Company; provided that The Atchison, Topeka and Santa Fe Railway Company shall erect and maintain all-night lighted arc lamps to be placed where directed by the Lighting Committee of the Board of Supervisors.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Extensions of Time.

Supervisor Marks presented:

Resolution No. 28042 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That James M. Smith is hereby granted an extension of ninety days' time from and after October 7, 1927, within which to complete the improvement of Stanley street between St. Charles avenue and Junipero Serra boulevard.

The work is well under way and it is estimated that the contract will be completed within thirty days.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd —13.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher, Roncovieri, Shannon—5.

Also, Resolution No. 28043 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That M. J. Treacy is hereby granted an extension of ninety days' time from and after October 19, 1927, within which to complete the improvement of Peralta avenue between Holladay avenue and York street. The work is practically completed and extension is requested pending acceptance of the work and the issuance of the assessment.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd —13.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher, Roncovieri, Shannon—5.

Also, Resolution No. 28044 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That The Fay Improvement Company is hereby granted an extension of sixty days' time from and after November 17, 1927, within which to complete the improvement of Armstrong avenue between Third and Keith streets, including crossing of Armstrong avenue and Keith street. Contractor has been delayed by condition of material market.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd —13.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher, Roncovieri, Shannon—5.

Also, Resolution No. 28045 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Federal Construction Company is hereby granted an extension of ninety days' time from and after October 23, 1927, within which to complete the improvement of Vermont street between Twentieth and Twenty-second streets. Contractor has been delayed by hardness of rock excavation.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd —13.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher, Roncovieri, Shannon—5.

Also, Resolution No. 28046 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That C. B. Eaton is hereby granted the following extensions of time to complete street work, viz.:

Ninety days' time from and after November 20, 1927, within which to complete the improvement of Thornton avenue between Reddy and Diana streets. This extension of time is granted for the reason that the work is nearly completed.

Ninety days' time from and after November 20, 1927, within which to complete the improvement of Circular avenue between Staples and Marston avenues. This extension of time is granted for the reason that the work is under way, and has been delayed on account of the construction of a wall by the Southern Pacific Company to protect their right of way.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd —13.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher, Roncovieri, Shannon—5.

Also, Resolution No. 28047 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Municipal Construction Company is hereby granted an extension of ninety days' time from and after November 5, 1927, within which to complete the improvement of the crossings of Vicente street with Thirty-fifth, Thirty-sixth, Thirty-seventh, Thirty-eighth, Thirty-ninth, Fortieth, Forty-first, Forty-second, Forty-third and Forty-fourth avenues under public contract. The contractor requires additional time for fill to settle.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd —13.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher, Roncovieri, Shannon—5.

Also, Resolution No. 28048 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That T. M. Gallagher is hereby granted an extension of ninety days' time from and after

October 24, 1927, to complete the improvement of Fulton street between Forty-fourth and Forty-seventh avenues. The work has been completed and this extension is requested pending acceptance of the work.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd —13.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher, Roncovieri, Shannon—5.

Intention to Change Grades.

Supervisor Marks presented:

Resolution No. 28049 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to change and establish grades on the following named streets, at the points hereinafter specified and at the elevations above city base, as hereinafter stated, in accordance with Resolution No. 99446 (Second Series) of the Board of Public Works, adopted October 21, 1927, and written recommendation of said Board, filed October 24, 1927, to-wit:

Roanoke Street.

At the intersection of Bemis street, southerly line (for Bemis street), 322 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

At intersection of Bemis street, southerly line (for Roanoke street), 316 feet.

50 feet northwesterly from Laidley street, 282.64 feet.

Northerly corner of Laidley street, 273 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Westerly corner of Laidley street, 271 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

On Roanoke street between Bemis and Laidley streets be changed and established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that no assessment district is necessary, as no damage will result from said change of grades, inasmuch as the streets are ungraded and there are no existing street improvements.

The Board of Public Works is hereby directed to cause to be conspicuously posted along the street or streets upon which such change or modification of grade or grades is contemplated, notice of the passage of this resolution of intention.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd —13.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher, Roncovieri, Shannon—5.

Conveying Device, Permit.

Supervisor Marks presented:

Resolution No. 28050 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors is hereby granted National Ice and Cold Storage Company of California to install and maintain a conveying device from a building of the National Ice and Cold Storage Company of California, located on the east side of Gaines street at Union street to a building of the National Ice and Cold Storage Company of California on the west side of Gaines street at Union street. The said device is to be used for the purpose of conveying shipping orders and similar papers from one office to another. Provided said conveying device shall be erected under the supervision and to the satisfaction of the Board of Public Works, Department of Electricity and Fire Department.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman. Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd —13.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher, Roncovieri, Shannon—5.

Award of Contract, Foodstuffs.

Supervisor Badaracco presented:

Resolution No. 28051 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of contract for furnishing foodstuffs during the 4-months' term, November, December, 1927, and January, February, 1928, be made on bids submitted October 3, 1927 (Proposal No. 322), and tabulation thereof on file in Bureau of Supplies, which is hereby made a part hereof; said matter having heretofore received full consideration in a meeting of the Supplies Committee and the awards herein approved by it are now made to the following, viz,:

Bid No. 1—Western Meat Company, bond required, $500.

Bid No. 4 — O'Brien, Spotorno, Mitchell & Compagno Bros., no bond required.

Bid No. 7—California Meat Co., bond required, $500.

Bid No. 8—Fred L. Hilmer Co., bond required, $200.

Bid No. 10—Morris & Co., no bond required.

Bid No. 11—J. T. Freitas Co., bond required, $1,000.

Bid No. 12—Haas Brothers, bond required, $100.

Bid No. 13—McCarthy Bros., bond required, $500.

Bid No. 14—South San Francisco Packing and Provision Co., bond required, $200.

Bid No. 15—St. Charles Market, bond required, $200.

Bid No. 16 — Baumgarten Bros., bond required, $200.

Bid No. 17 — Libby, McNeill & Libby, no bond required.

Bid No. 19—Western California Fish Co., bond required, $200.

Bid No. 20—Bay City Market, no bond required.

Bid No. 21—Edw. P. McAuliffe, no bond required.

Bid No. 23—Smith, Lynden & Co., bond required, $100.

Bid No. 24—F. E. Booth Co., bond required, $500.

Bid No. 26—S. H. Tyler & Son., bond required, $100.

Bid No. 27—The Best Foods Inc., no bond required.

Bid No. 28 — Golden State Milk Products Co., no bond required.

Bid No. 29—Del Monte Meat Co., bond required, $2,000.

Note: All above awards are made to the lowest bidder except when award is made in consideration of deliveries or on account of the quality as determined by such tests as required or recommended by the Purchaser of Supplies.

Resolved, That all other bids submitted hereon be rejected.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd —13.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher, Roncovieri, Shannon—5.

ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND MOTIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

Opening of Fraser Street Rescinded.

Supervisor Marks presented:

Resolution No. 28052 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the arrangement of streets in Gift Map No. 4 does not require the opening of Fraser street from Newcomb avenue and Toland street to Jerrold avenue west of Toland street; and

Resolved, That Resolution No. 25507 (New Series), adopted April 20, 1926, which is the resolution of intention to open said Fraser street, and Resolution No. 25736 (New Series), adopted June 12, 1926, which is the resolution ordering the opening of said Fraser street, be and are hereby rescinded.

Adopted by thd following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd —13.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Bath, Gallagher, Roncovieri, Shannon—5.

ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business, the Board at 12 noon adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors December 19, 1927.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated, and approved as above recited.

JOHN S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors.
City and County of San Francisco.

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Vol. 22—New Series No. 46

Monday, November 14, 1927

Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
337 Bush Street, S. F.

JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, NOVEMBER 14, 1927, 10 A. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, November 14, 1927, 10 a. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —16.

Absent—Supervisor Gallagher—1.

Quorum present.

His Honor Mayor Rolph presiding.

APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of July 18, 1927, was considered read and approved.

ROLL CALL FOR PETITIONS FROM MEMBERS.

Mayor Rolph Receives Ovation.

His Honor Mayor Rolph, who was reelected to the office of Mayor last Tuesday for the fifth time by a tremendous majority, was tendered a rousing ovation when the Municipal Band, augmented to 100 pieces from the Musicians' Union, led by Phil Sapiro, marched into the chambers to serenade him and the victors of the recent election. The band played "Smiles" and was received with resounding applause and great enthusiasm by the crowd which filled the chambers and the corridor.

Mayor Rolph and his "running mate" of the recent campaign, W. J. ("Dick") Fitzgerald, Sheriff-elect, were greeted with hearty applause and cheers from the big crowd. The rostrum was heaped high with flowers.

A prophecy that the next four years would also be a record-breaker for city achievements was made by Supervisor Jesse C. Colman.

Phil Sapiro addressed his congratulations to the Mayor, wishing him continued success, as did Walter Webber, president of the Musicians' Union, who dwelt on the wonderful vote of confidence which his Honor the Mayor received at the hands of the people.

Mayor Rolph, in responding to the greetings, said:

"The people demonstrated by their record vote that they wanted progress, action and achievements at the City Hall, and this Board can do its share to follow the mandate.

"The election is over, and I hope we are all gentlemen enough to follow the true instincts of a gentleman, who has been called a man who never remembers the morning after what happened the night before."

The Mayor voiced his thanks to the 90,900 citizens who had voted for him and thanked the newspapers that had supported his cause. He then introduced Sheriff-elect Fitzgerald, who was given an ovation.

Supervisors McSheehy and Shannon paid their compliments and congratulations to the Mayor and made pleas for cooperation between the Board and the administration, pledging their aid to progress.

Supervisor McSheehy incidentally denied that he contemplated resigning from the Board, but declared that he would not act again as chairman of the Finance Committee.

Calling upon Supervisor Shannon, the Mayor referred to him as "top man." Supervisor Shannon said:

"The work of your administration, Mr. Mayor, has been one of a constructive nature. We must learn to grow with San Francisco; we must give serious consideration to the proper appropriations for the things that are constructive. Keeping taxes down is a fine thing, but if San Francisco is going to be the city that we all hope for it, we must see to it that the proper appropriations are made for the constructive things."

Report of Delos F. Wilcox on Expiring Street Railway Franchises.

Communication, from City Attorney, transmitting report of Delos F. Wilcox on expiring franchises of Market Street Railway Company.

Referred to Finance and Public Utilities Committee.

Committee to Attend Traffic Convention in Los Angeles.

His Honor the Mayor appointed the following committee to attend the Traffic Convention in Los Angeles, convening next week: Supervisors Chas. F. Todd, chairman; Walter J. Schmidt, A. J. Gallagher, Warren Shannon and J. Emmet Hayden.

Letter of Appreciation for Services Rendered "Coos Bay."

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication, from F. C. Billard, Rear Admiral, U. S. Coast Guard, Commandant, acknowledging with appreciation the resolution adopted by the Board of Supervisors commending the bravery of the Fort Point Coast Guard crews in rescuing crew of the wrecked steamship "Coos Bay" on October 22, 1927.

Ordered *filed*.

Mission Stadium.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication, from the San Francisco Labor Council, advising that at its meeting held November 4, by unanimous vote, said organization endorsed the resolution presented by Supervisor Gallagher providing for the acquisition by the City of the recreation grounds at Fifteenth and Valencia streets for the purpose of a future stadium for the Mission district.

Referred to Finance and Education, Parks and Playgrounds Committees.

Tax Collector Bryant Requests Audit of His Books.

Supervisor McSheehy read the following communication:

City and County of San Francisco.
Tax Collector's Office.

November 14, 1927.

Finance Committee, Board of Supervisors, San Francisco.

Gentlemen:

No doubt you have read of the rumors of irregularities in some department of our municipal government in connection with the recent election.

Certain newspapers have seen fit to inferentially connect my name with this unpleasant matter. Should their position be properly defined they have done a great public service. Otherwise they have done me a very serious injustice.

I think in fairness to all concerned, namely: the people of San Francisco, the National Surety Company, writers of my bond, the newspapers and myself, that a firm of certified public accountants be employed at once to audit my books, and I might further suggest that should your funds not permit this audit that I will personally defray the expenses connected therewith.

Very truly yours,
(Signed)
EDWARD F. BRYANT,
Tax Collector.

Mayor Requests an Investigation of Rumors That City Moneys Have Been Improperly Used During the Political Campaign.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Mayor's Office.
San Francisco, Cal.,
November 14, 1927.

The Honorable Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco, California.

Gentlemen:

"On the street" and through the columns of the press it is commonly rumored that City moneys may have been improperly used during the recent campaign.

I have no personal knowledge that this is so, but to clarify the atmosphere of these rumors I recommend to your Honorable Board that an appropriation of five thousand dollars ($5,000) be immediately set apart by the Finance Committee for the employment of certified public accountants to examine all offices wherein public funds are held in trust for the City and County of San Francisco.

I make these recommendations under Section 2, Chapter I of Article IV, entitled "Mayor's Duties":

"The Mayor shall vigilantly observe the official conduct of all public officers and the manner in which they execute their duties and fulfill their obligations. The books, records and official papers of all departments, officers and persons in the employ of the City and County shall at all times be open to his inspection and examination. He shall take special care that the books and records of all departments, boards, officers and persons are kept in legal and proper form."

Section 3 of Section 44, Chapter II of Article II, entitled "Finance Committee—Duties and Powers":

"The Board of Supervisors shall appoint from its members a committee consisting of three, to be denominated Finance Committee,

and shall fill all vacancies in the committee. The committee shall investigate the transactions and accounts of all officers having the collection, custody or disbursement of public money, or having the power to approve, allow or audit demands on the treasury; shall have free access to any records, books and papers in all public offices; shall have power to administer oaths and affirmations, and to examine witnesses, and to compel their attendance before them by subpoena. The committee may at any time visit any of the public offices and make its examinations and investigations therein without hindrance."

Section 1, Chapter III of Article III, entitled "Custody of Public Moneys":

"All moneys arising from taxes, licenses, fees, fines, penalties and forfeitures, and all moneys which may be collected or received by any officer of the City and County, or any department thereof, in his official capacity, for the performance of any official duty, and all moneys accruing to the City and County from any source, and all moneys directed by law or this Charter to be paid or deposited in the treasury, shall be paid into the treasury. All officers or persons collecting or receiving such money must pay the same into the treasury. No officer or person other than the Treasurer shall pay out or disburse such moneys, or any part thereof, upon any allowance, claim or demand."

Honest and upright public officials against whom the slightest rumor is directed are entitled to full clearance so that once and for all their integrity may be established and these rumors may be downed.

Respectfully,
(Signed)
JAMES ROLPH, JR.,
Mayor.

Motion.

Supervisor McSheehy moved that the Finance Committee make audit requested by Tax Collector Bryant and his Honor the Mayor.

Referred to Finance Committee.

Conference of Newly-Elected Supervisors.

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Resolution No. 28053 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Clerk is hereby directed to invite the Supervisors remaining in office after January 1, 1928, and those recently re-elected and elected, to meet in conference Tuesday, November 29, 1927, at 2:30 p. m., in Room 228, City Hall, for the purpose of arranging committee assignments and such revision of the rules as may be deemed necessary.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisor Gallagher—1.

PRESENTATION OF PROPOSALS.

Turkeys.

Sealed proposals were received and opened at the hour of 11 a. m. for furnishing turkeys for Thanksgiving and *referred to the Supplies Committee.*

UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

None.

PRESENTATION OF BILLS AND ACCOUNTS.

Demands not required by law to be passed to print, and amounting to $78,054.53, were allowed and ordered paid by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent—Supervisor Gallagher—1.

NEW BUSINESS.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Authorizations.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

Park Fund.

(1) J. P. Holland, Inc., truck hire for parks (claim dated Nov. 10, 1927), $687.50.

Library Fund.

(2) San Francisco News Co., library books (claim dated Oct. 31, 1927), $1,644.71.

(3) American Building Maintenance Co., library janitor service (claim dated Oct. 31, 1927), $810.

(4) Maundrell & Bowen, painting, etc., of libraries (claim dated Oct. 31, 1927), $1,440.50.

(5) Foster & Futernick Co., library book binding (claim dated Oct. 31, 1927), $4,077.80.

(6) G. E. Stechert & Co., library books (claim dated Oct. 31, 1927), $2,798.71.

General Fund, 1926-1927.

(7) Roy Lind, second payment, construction of Hangar No. 1, S. F. Municipal Airport (claim dated Nov. 9, 1927), $10,000.

County Road Fund.

(8) J. P. Holland, Inc., loam for the Great Highway (claim dated Nov. 10, 1927), $1,005.21.

Special School Tax.

(9) R. Ankers, final payment, painting addition to High School of Commerce (claim dated Nov. 7, 1927), $704.69.

(10) Miller & Pflueger, architectural services for addition to the Jefferson School (claim dated Nov. 7, 1927), $4,500.

Municipal Railway Fund.

(11) American Brake Shoe & Foundry Co., railway car brake shoes (claim dated Nov. 7, 1927), $2,363.01.

Municipal Railway Depreciation Fund.

(12) Robt. W. Jamison, first payment, electric conductors, etc., for Sunset line of Municipal Railways (claim dated Nov. 9, 1927), $3,000.

(13) Robt. W. Jamison, third payment, reinforced concrete poles for Sunset line of Municipal Railways (claim dated Nov. 9, 1927), $4,245.

Hetch Hetchy Power Operative Fund.

(14) A. McSweeney, taxes on Hetch Hetchy water property in San Mateo County for year 1927-1928 (claim dated Nov. 9, 1927), $1,248.24.

(15) J. G. White, taxes on Hetch Hetchy water property in Tuolumne County for year 1927-1928 (claim dated Nov. 9, 1927), $9,280.40.

School Construction Fund, Bond Issue, 1923.

(16) Anderson & Ringrose, seventh payment, general construction of Hearst-Moulder School (claim dated Nov. 9, 1927), $23,542.51.

(17) Anderson & Ringrose, conditional acceptance, general construction of additions to Sunnyside School (claim dated Nov. 7, 1927), $52,851.25.

(18) John Reid, Jr., seventh payment, architectural services for Sherman School (claim dated Nov. 7, 1927), $612.23.

(19) John Reid, Jr., twelfth payment, architectural services for Mission (Everett) Junior High School (claim dated Nov. 7, 1927), $1,888.20.

(20) Alfred S. Gough, drawing board cases for new Mission High School (claim dated Nov. 4, 1927), $780.

Hetch Hetchy Power Operative Fund.

(21) C. S. Abbott, taxes on Hetch Hetchy water property within Modesto Irrigation District, Stanislaus County, for year 1927-1928 (claim dated Nov. 3, 1927), $826.80.

(22) Ed. Whitmore, taxes on Hetch Hetchy water property in Stanislaus County, for year 1927-1928 (claim dated Nov. 5, 1927), $890.

School Bonds, Issue 1918.

(23) Wiley B. Allen Co., pianos and stools, Mission High School (claim dated Nov. 9, 1927), $522.58.

Special School Tax.

(24) A. Lettich Co., urinals for schools (claim dated Nov. 9, 1927), $620.20.

(25) Park Commissioners, care of school grounds for October (claim dated Nov. 9, 1927), $1,300.

Hetch Hetchy Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1925.

(26) Associated Oil Co., fuel oil, etc. (claim dated Nov. 7, 1927), $505.21.

(27) Bald Eagle Meat Market, meats (claim dated Nov. 7, 1927), $2,803.69.

(28) S. C. Carosio Company, truck hire (claim dated Nov. 7, 1927), $507.50.

(29) Dodge, Sweeney & Co., groceries (claim dated Nov. 7, 1927), $602.12.

(30) General Electric Co., oil filter press (claim dated Nov. 7, 1927), $520.50.

(31) The Giant Powder Co., Con., explosives (claim dated Nov. 7, 1927), $1,374.38.

(32) Hales & Symons, Inc., lime and lumber (claim dated Nov. 7, 1927), $655.78.

(33) Hanifrens Garage, truck hire (claim dated Nov. 7, 1927), $868.

(34) R. A. Hansen, fuel oil, etc. (claim dated Nov. 7, 1927), $520.90.

(35) Hercules Powder Co., explosives (claim dated Nov. 7, 1927), $6,493.91.

(36) Independent Lumber Co., cement, lumber, etc. (claim dated Nov. 4, 1927), $1,122.07.

(37) A. Levy & J. Zentner Co., fruits, etc. (claim dated Nov. 7, 1927), $658.61.

(38) W. J. Rushing, milk, etc. (claim dated Nov. 7, 1927), $514.10.

(39) Santa Cruz Portland Cement Co., cement (claim dated Nov. 4, 1927), $1,214.50.

(40) Western Pipe & Steel Co., air pipe (claim dated Nov. 7, 1927), $3,204.

(41) Wilsey, Bennett Co., foodstuffs (claim dated Nov. 7, 1927), $1,252.33.

(42) State Compensation Insurance Fund, premium on insurance of Hetch Hetchy employees (claim dated Nov. 7, 1927), $3,663.18.

Municipal Railway Fund.

(43) San Francisco City Employees Retirement System, pensions, etc., for Municipal Railway employees (claim dated Nov. 4, 1927), $632.06.

General Fund, 1927-1928.

(44) The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company, printing Law-Motion-Trial Calendar for October (claim dated Nov. 14, 1927), $1,075.

(45) San Francisco Bulletin, official advertising, Board of Supervisors (claim dated Nov. 17, 1927), $3,344.22.

(46) C. J. Waterhouse & Sons Co., toilet stalls, Kezar Stadium convenient station (claim dated Nov. 10, 1927), $675.

(47) Associated Charities, widows' pensions (claim dated Nov. 11, 1927), $8,680.05.

(48) Eureka Benevolent Society, widows' pensions (claim dated Nov. 11, 1927), $1,117.50.

(49) Little Children's Aid, widows' pensions (claim dated Nov. 11, 1927), $8,976.84.

(50) California Rock Co., gravel for street repair (claim dated Nov. 4, 1927), $2,355.70.

(51) Eclipse Lime & Cement Co., cement for street repair (claim dated Nov. 4, 1927), $5,325.15.

(52) Union Oil Co. of California, asphalt for street repair (claim dated Nov. 4, 1927), $3,974.72.

(53) Western Rock Products Co., sand for street repair (claim dated Nov. 4, 1927), $2,932.38.

(54) Spring Valley Water Co., water for public buildings (claim dated Nov. 4, 1927), $1,838.23.

(55) Equitable Asphalt Maintenance Co., asphalt street resurfacing (claim dated Nov. 1, 1927), $1,065.64.

(56) Crown Oil Co., gasoline for street cleaning equipment (claim dated Nov. 1, 1927), $566.35.

(57) W. S. Dickey Clay Mfg. Co., street paving brick (claim dated Nov. 9, 1927), $1,160.66.

(58) Symon Brothers, team hire for street cleaning (claim dated Nov. 9, 1927), $747.50.

(59) General Electric Co., repairs to motor, Fire Department (claim dated June 30, 1927), $997.79.

(60) Edward Lowe Motors Co., one Lincoln car, Fire Department (claim dated June 30, 1927), $5,139.56.

(61) The Juvenile Court, Juvenile Court expense for October (claim dated Nov. 9, 1927), $573.39.

(62) James M. Smith, first payment, extension of Stanley street sewer (claim dated Nov. 9, 1927), $3,750.

(63) Walton N. Moore Dry Goods Co., dry goods for Laguna Honda Home (claim dated Nov. 10, 1927), $2,517.28.

(64) Philadelphia Shoe Co., shoes for Laguna Honda Home (claim dated Nov. 10, 1927), $622.05.

(65) Sussman, Wormser Co., groceries, Laguna Honda Home (claim dated Nov. 10, 1927), $682.58.

(66) Spring Valley Water Co., water for Laguna Honda Home (claim dated Nov. 10, 1927), $909.24.

(67) A. McSweeney, taxes for 1927-1928 on Tubercular Preventorium property (claim dated Nov. 10, 1927), $535.90.

Authorizing Payment to Symphony Soloist Alex Brailowsky.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $1,000 be and the same is hereby authorized in payment out of Auditorium Fund to Alex Brailowsky for services as soloist, guest artist, rendered at Pop Concert November 1, 1927.

Accepting Offers of Mileva Muresian et al. to Sell Property Required for School Purposes.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923, and authorized in payment to the hereinafter named persons; being payments for properties required for school purposes, to-wit:

(1) To Mileva Muresian, for land and improvements, commencing at point on easterly line of Thirty-first avenue, distant thereon 100 feet southerly from the southerly line of Geary street, running thence southerly along said easterly line of Thirty-first avenue 25 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 60 feet; thence at a right angle northerly 25 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 60 feet; as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 28021, New Series (claim dated Nov. 21, 1927), $3,250.

(2) To James J. and Mary Sullivan, for land commencing at point on the southwesterly line of Onondaga avenue, distant thereon 137 feet southeasterly from the southeasterly line of Otsego avenue, running thence southeasterly along said southwesterly line of Onondaga avenue 50 feet; thence at right angle southeasterly 121 feet 6 inches;

thence at right angle northwesterly 50 feet; thence at right angle northeasterly 121 feet 6 inches; as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 28023, New Series (claim dated Nov. 14, 1927), $4,000.

(3) To George N. Parez and Winifred F. Parez, his wife, for land beginning at the most easterly corner of Lot 1, Block 14, of West End Map No. 1, as per map thereof filed May 1, 1863, in Book 2 "A" and "B" of Maps, page 45, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, and as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 28020, New Series (claim dated Nov. 14, 1927), $3,876.

Oil and Boiler Permits.

On motion of Supervisor Deasy:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits be and are hereby granted:

Oil Tanks.

Louis Anderson, southwest corner of Jefferson and Scott streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

I. Araga, south side of Jackson street, 228 feet west of Powell street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Associated Charities, 1948 Pacific avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

Bank of Italy, southeast corner of California and Montgomery streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

E. P. Bergerot, east side of Yerba Buena avenue between Santa Paula and Santa Clara avenues, 1500 gallons capacity.

Geo. L. Burtt, 195 Jordan avenue, 600 gallons capacity.

John J. Carmody, west side of Howard street, 175 feet north of Twenty-second street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Warren D. Clark, 3500 Clay street, 750 gallons capacity.

G. Devincenzi, west side of Jones street, 100 feet south of Union street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Henry Ernst & Sons, 240 Ivy avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

Harris Bakery, 742 Irving street, 600 gallons capacity.

M. Hutcheson, south side of Santa Ana avenue, 50 feet west of St. Francis boulevard, 750 gallons capacity.

Felix Kahn, 200 Maple street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Frank J. Klimm Co., east side of Octavia street, 150 feet north of Francisco street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Manning & Baldwin, west side of Twenty-fifth avenue, 100 feet south of Cabrillo street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Marian Realty Co., northeast corner of Broadway and Franklin street, 1500 gallons capacity.

McCormick Realty Co., southwest corner of Scott and Bay streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

James L. McLaughlin, north side of Jackson street, 50 feet west of Spruce street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Geo. A. Wara, east side of Twenty-sixth avenue, 100 feet south of Cabrillo street, 1500 gallons capacity.

P. W. Wood, north side of Beach street, 36 feet east of Retiro way, 1500 gallons capacity.

Boiler.

Republic Laundry, 517 First avenue, 20 horsepower.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits shall become null and void.

Transfer of Garage Permits.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Sierra Oil Co., Inc., be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred public garage permit heretofore granted for premises on the southeast corner of Franklin and Bush streets.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That W. H. Curtis be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred public garage permit heretofore granted J. E. Sullivan for premises at 525 Jones street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Transfer of Automobile Parking Station Permit.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That H. L. Beabes be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred to him automobile parking station permit heretofore granted Finneran and Cissell by Resolution No. 25063 (New Series) for premises at the southeast corner of Ninth and Stevenson streets.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Transfer of Automobile Supply Station Permit.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That C. Mygard be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred to him automobile supply station permit heretofore granted L. J. Cosgrove by Resolution No. 24575 (New Series) for premises on the northeast corner of Mission and Florentine streets.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Transfer of Garage Permits.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Howard K. Hall be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred to him public garage permit heretofore granted Sam Schiller by Resolution No. 20080 (New Series) for premises at 2020 Van Ness avenue.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Chas. McManus be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred to him public garage permit heretofore granted Fleming & Nott by Resolution No. 22823 (New Series) for premises at 6620 Geary street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Fixing Sidewalk Widths on Twenty-third Street.

On motion of Supervisor Marks:

Bill No. 8290, Ordinance No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by amending Section nine hundred and seventy-five thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Board of Public Works, filed in this office November 1, 1927, by amending Section nine hundred and seventy-five thereof, to read as follows:

Section 975. The width of sidewalks on Twenty-third street, the northerly side of, between Third street and its easterly termination shall be twelve (12) feet.

The width of sidewalks on Twenty-third street, the southerly side of, between Third street and Michigan street shall be twelve (12) feet.

The width of sidewalks on Twenty-third street, the southerly side of, between Michigan street and its easterly termination is hereby dispensed with and abolished.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect and be in force from and after its passage.

Ordering Street Work.

Also, Bill No. 8291, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors October 11, 1927, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in three installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

For the construction of artificial stone sidewalks six feet in width on Irving street between Fifteenth and Sixteenth avenues; Fortieth avenue between Lincoln way and Irving street; Twenty-fifth avenue between Judah and Kirkham streets; Thirty-second avenue between Geary and Anza streets, where artificial stone sidewalks six feet or more in width have not already been constructed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Changing Grades, Certain Streets.

Also, Bill No. 8292, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Changing and reestablishing the official grades on Hampshire street between Sixteenth and Seventeenth streets.

Also, Bill No. 8293, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Changing and reestablishing the official grades on Nineteenth street between the west boundary line of Clover Heights Tract and Yukon street; on Yukon street between Caselli avenue and Short street; on Eagle street between Yukon street and a line at right angles to the northerly line of, 20.80 feet westerly from Mono street, and on Short street between Yukon and Market streets, as shown on map entitled "Grade map of a portion of Market Street Homestead showing the change and establishment of official grades on Nineteenth street between the west boundary line of Clover Heights Tract and Yukon street; on Yukon street between Caselli avenue and Short street; on Eagle street between Yukon street and a line at right angles to the northerly line of, 20.80 feet westerly from Mono street, and on Short street between Yukon and Market streets."

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors, on the written recommendation of the Board of Public Works, did, on the 15th day of July, 1927, by Resolution No. 27490 (New Series), declare its intention to change and reestablish the grades on Nineteenth street between the west boundary line of Clover Heights Tract and Yukon street, etc.; and

Whereas, said resolution was so published for ten days, and the Board of Public Works within ten days after the first publication of said resolution of intention caused notices of the passage of said resolution to be conspicuously posted along all streets specified in the resolution, in the manner and as provided by law; and

Whereas, more than forty days has elapsed since the first publication of said resolution of intention; therefore

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The grades on the following named streets, at the points hereinafter named and at the elevations above city base as hereinafter stated, are hereby changed and established as follows:

Nineteenth street between the west boundary line of Clover Heights Tract and Yukon street; on Yukon street between Caselli avenue and Short street; on Eagle street between Yukon street and a line at right angles to the northerly line of, 20.80 feet westerly from Mono street, and on Short street between Yukon and Market streets, as shown on map entitled "Grade Map of a portion of Market Street Homestead, showing the change and establishment of official grades on Nineteenth street between the west boundary line of Clover Heights Tract and Yukon street; on Yukon street between Caselli avenue and Short street; on Eagle street between Yukon street and a line at right angles to the northerly line of, 20.80 feet westerly from Mono street, and on Short street between Yukon and Market streets."

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Also, Bill No. 8294, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), entitled:

Changing and reestablishing the official grades on Fourteenth avenue between the southerly line of Rivera street and a line parallel with the northerly line of Rivera street and 180 feet northerly therefrom, and on Rivera street between the westerly line of Fourteenth avenue and Funston avenue.

Also, Bill No. 8295, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), entitled:

Changing and reestablishing the official grades on Twenty-third street between Louisiana street produced and Third street; on Georgia and Michigan streets between Twenty-third and Twenty-fourth streets; on Illinois street between Twenty-third and Twenty-fifth streets, and on Twenty-fourth street between Michigan and Third streets.

Conditional Acceptance, Certain Streets.

Also, Bill No. 8296, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Providing for conditional acceptance of the roadway of Allison street between Morse and Brunswick streets; Bauer street between

Cayuga avenue and Alemany boulevard; Diamond street between Sussex and Moffitt streets, and the intersection of Diamond and Arbor streets; Eighteenth street between De Haro and Carolina streets, and the crossing of Eighteenth and Carolina streets; Gambier street between Pioche and Silliman streets; Gambier srteet between Silver avenue and Pioche street; Gambier street between Silliman and Felton streets; Harvard street between Pioche and Silliman streets, and the crossing of Harvard and Pioche streets, and the crossing of Harvard and Silliman streets; Harvard street between Silver avenue and Pioche street; Italy avenue between Mission and London streets; Lane street between Fairfax and Galvez avenues; Pope street between Brunswick and Hanover streets, and the crossings of Pope street and Brunswick street, Allison and Morse streets, and Allison and Brunswick streets; Romain street between Market street and Grand View avenue; Vermont street between Nineteenth and Twentieth streets; crossing of Gambier street and Pioche street, Silliman street and Felton street.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The roadways of the following named streets, including the curbs on both sides thereof, having been constructed to the satisfaction of the Board of Public Works and of the Board of Supervisors, are hereby conditionally accepted by the City and County of San Francisco (except those portions required by law to be kept in order by the railroad company having tracks thereon), in accordance with the provisions of Section 23, Chapter 2, Article VI of the Charter, said roadways having been paved with alsphaltic concrete and concrete curbs laid thereon, and are in good condition throughout, to-wit:

Allison street between Morse and Brunswick streets, paved with concrete and concrete curbs have been laid thereon, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Baur street between Cayuga avenue and Alemany boulevard, paved with concrete and concrete curbs laid thereon, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Diamond street between Sussex and Moffitt streets and the intersection of Diamond and Arbor streets, paved with concrete and concrete curbs laid thereon, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Eighteenth street between De Haro and Carolina streets and the crossing of Eighteenth and Carolina streets, paved with asphaltic concrete and asphaltic concrete pavement with a fourteen-foot central strip of concrete pavement, concrete curbs have been laid thereon, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein.

Gambier street between Pioche and Silliman streets, paved with concrete and concrete curbs laid thereon, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Gambier street between Silver avenue and Pioche and Gambier street between Silliman and Felton streets, paved with asphaltic concrete with a fourteen-foot central strip of concrete pavement, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Harvard street between Pioche and Silliman and the crossing of Harvard and Pioche streets and the crossing of Harvard and Silliman streets, paved with asphaltic concrete, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Harvard street between Silver avenue and Pioche street, paved with concrete and concrete curbs have been laid thereon, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Italy avenue between Mission and London streets, paved with concrete and concrete curbs have been laid thereon, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Lane street between Fairfax and Galvez avenues, paved with concrete and concrete curbs have been laid thereon, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Pope street between Brunswick and Hanover streets and the crossings of Pope street and Brunswick, Allison and Morse streets and Allison and Brunswick streets, paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs have been laid thereon, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Romain street between Market street and Grand View avenue, paved with concrete and concrete curbs have been laid thereon, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Vermont street between Nine-

teenth and Twentieth streets, paved with asphaltic concrete and granite curbs have been laid thereon, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Crossings of Gammbier street and Pioche street, Silliman and Felton streets.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Also, Bill No. 8297, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Providing for conditional acceptance of the roadway of Carolina street between Eighteenth and Mariposa streets; Eighteenth avenue between Rivera and Santiago streets, and the crossing of Eighteenth avenue and Santiago street; eighteenth avenue between Moraga and Noriega streets; Fortieth avenue between Kirkham and Lawton streets; Foote avenue between Huron and Ellington avenues; Hollister avenue between Ingalls and Jennings streets; Hollister avenue between Hawes and Ingalls streets; Keith street between Palou and Quesada avenues; Lawton street between Forty-eighth avenue and Great Highway; Mariposa street between Carolina and De Haro streets; Moraga street between Forty-seventh and Forty-eighth avenues; Moraga street between Forty-eighth avenue and Great Highway; Newhall street between Fairfax and Galvez avenues; Olmstead street between Girard and Brussels streets; Pioche street between Gambier and Harvard streets; Phelps street between Carroll and Donner avenues; Persia avenue between Munich and Prague streets; San Juan avenue between Cayuga and Capistrano avenues; Turk street between Masonic and Parker avenues; Santiago street between Twenty-seventh and Twenty-eighth avenues; Thirty-eighth avenue between Ulloa and Vicente streets; Vicente street between Thirty-ninth and Fortieth avenues; crossing of Cayuga avenue and San Juan avenue; crossing of Forty-seventh avenue and Ortega street; crossing of Forty-sixth avenue and Pacheco street; crossing of Forty-seventh avenue and Pacheco street; crossing of Hollister avenue and Ingalls street; crossing of Hawes street and Hollister avenue; crossing of Mariposa and Carolina streets; crossing of Thirty-fourth avenue and Santiago street; crossing of Olmstead and Brussels streets; crossing of Olmstead and Gottingen streets.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The roadways of the following named streets, including the curbs on both sides thereof, having been constructed to the satisfaction of the Board of Public Works and of the Board of Supervisors, are hereby conditionally accepted by the City and County of San Francisco (except those portions required by law to be kept in order by the railroad company having tracks thereon), in accordance with the provisions of Section 23, Chapter 2, Article VI of the Charter, said roadways having been paved with asphaltic concrete and curbs laid thereon, and are in good condition, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein, to-wit:

Carolina street between Eighteenth and Mariposa streets.

Eighteenth avenue between Rivera and Santiago streets and the crossing of Eighteenth avenue and Santiago street.

Eighteenth avenue between Moraga and Noriega streets.

Fortieth avenue between Kirkham and Lawton streets.

Foote avenue between Huron and Ellington avenues.

Hollister avenue between Ingalls and Jennings streets.

Hollister avenue between Hawes and Ingalls streets.

Keith street between Palou and Quesada avenues.

Lawton street between Forty-eighth avenue and Great Highway.

Mariposa street between Carolina and De Haro streets.

Moraga street between Forty-seventh and Forty-eighth avenues.

Moraga street between Forty-eighth avenue and Great Highway.

Newhall street between Fairfax and Galvez avenues.

Olmstead street between Girard and Brussels streets.

Pioche street between Gambier and Harvard streets.

Phelps street between Carroll and Donner avenues.

Persia avenue between Munich and Prague streets.

San Juan avenue between Cayuga and Capistrano avenues.

Turk street between Masonic and Parker avenues.

Santiago street between Twenty-seventh and Twenty-eighth avenues.

Thirty-eighth avenue between Ulloa and Vicente streets.

Vicente street between Thirty-ninth and Fortieth avenues.

Crossing of Cayuga avenue and San Juan avenue.

Crossing of Forty-seventh avenue and Ortega street.

Crossing of Forty-sixth avenue and Pacheco street.

Crossing of Forty-seventh avenue and Pacheco street.

Crossing of Hollister avenue and Ingalls street.

Crossing of Hawes street and Hollister avenue.

Crossing of Mariposa and Carolina streets.

Crossing of Thirty-fourth avenue and Santiago street.

Crossing of Olmstead and Brussels streets.

Crossing of Olmstead and Goettingen streets.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Closing and Abandoning All That Portion of Holyoke Street Lying Between the Northerly Line of Felton Street and the Southerly Line of Silliman Street.

Supervisor Marks presented:

Resolution No. 28054 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, this Board has, by Resolution No. 27893 (New Series), declared its intention to close up and abandon all that portion of Holyoke street lying between the northerly line of Felton street and the southerly line of Silliman street situated in the City and County of San Francisco and hereinafter more particularly described; and

Whereas, proper notice of said resolution and of said proposed closing and abandoning of said Holyoke street was duly given by the Board of Public Works of said City and County of San Francisco by publication and posting in the manner as provided by the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco; and

Whereas, more than ten days have elapsed after the exipration of the publication of said notice, and no objections to the closing and abandonment of said Holyoke street were made or delivered to the Clerk of this Board within said period of ten days or at all; and

Whereas, it is the opinion of this Board that the public interests and convenience will be conserved by the closing and abandonment of said Holyoke street; and

Whereas, in and by said Resolution No. 27893 (New Series) this Board did declare that the damages, costs and expenses of closing said street shall be paid out of the revenues of the City and County of San Francisco; and

Whereas, the said work is for closing all that portion of Holyoke street lying between the northerly line of Felton street and the southerly line of Silliman street, and it appears to this Board that no assessment is necessary; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That said closing and abandonment of all that portion of Holyoke street lying between the northerly line of Felton street and the southerly line of Silliman street be and the same is hereby ordered, and that said Holyoke street be and the same is hereby closed and abandoned as a public street. The said portion of Holyoke street hereinabove referred to is more particularly bounded and described as follows, to-wit:

All that portion of Holyoke street lying between the northerly line of Felton street and the southerly line of Silliman street.

Be it Further Resolved, That the Clerk of this Board transmit a certified copy of this resolution to the Board of Public Works, and that the Board of Public Works be instructed to proceed thereafter as required by law and the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco; and that the Clerk of this Board is hereby directed to advertise this resolution in The Bulletin as required by law.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Extension of Time.

Supervisor Marks presented:

Resolution No. 28055 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That M. J. Lynch is hereby granted an extension of ninety days' time from and after October 26, 1927, within which to complete the improvement of France avenue between Mission and London streets. Contractor has been delayed by necessity of completing other street work.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Approving Grade Map, Roosevelt Way.

Supervisor Marks presented:

Resolution No. 28056 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the Board of Public Works did, by Resolution No. 96723 (Second Series), approve grade map of Roosevelt way and adjacent streets; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That grade map of

Roosevelt way and adjacent streets be and the same is hereby approved.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Intention to Close Portion of Alemany Avenue.

Supervisor Marks presented:

Resolution No. 28057 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That public interest requires that a certain portion of Alemany avenue be closed up and abandoned as hereinafter described. Be it

Further Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to close up and abandon all that certain portion of Alemany avenue described as follows, to-wit:

Beginning at a point on the southeasterly line of Alemany avenue, distant thereon 10.126 feet northeasterly from the angle point in said southeasterly line which is northeast of Tingley street, and running thence northeasterly along said southeasterly line 156.057 feet to the southwesterly boundary line of the Islais and Salinas Water Works Tract; thence deflecting to the left 114 deg. 57 min. 31 sec. and running northwesterly along said southwesterly line 55.150 feet to the northwesterly line of Alemany avenue; thence deflecting to the left 65 deg. 02 min. 29 sec. and runing southwesterly along said northwesterly line 22.062 feet; thence southwesterly along a curve to the left, tangent to a line deflected to the left 20 deg. 38 min. 13 sec. from the preceding course, with a radius of 950 feet and a central angle of 7 deg. 19 min. 56 sec., a distance of 121.573 feet to the southeasterly line of Alemany avenue and the point of beginning.

Said closing up and abandonment of said portion of said street shall be done and made in the manner and in accordance with the provisions of Section 2 of Chapter III of Article VI of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, as amended, and the sections of said chapter and article following said Section 2. Be it

Further Resolved, That there are no costs or expenses to be incurred in connection with said closing and abandoning.

And the Clerk is hereby directed to transmit to the Board of Public Works a certified copy of this resolution, and the Board of Public Works is hereby directed to give notice of said contemplated closing and abandonment of said portion of Alemany avenue in the manner provided by law, and to cause notice to be published in "The San Francisco Bulletin" as required by law.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Intention to Change Grades.

Supervisor Marks presented:

Resolution No. 28058 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to change and establish grades on the following named streets at the points hereinafter specified and at the elevations above city base as hereinafter stated, in accordance with Resolution No. 99352 (Second Series) of the Board of Public Works, adopted October 14, 1927, and written recommendation of said Board, filed October 18, 1927, to-wit:

Woolsey Street.

15 feet northerly from the southerly line of, at Charter Oak avenue westerly line, 40.35 feet.

15 feet southerly from the northerly line of, at Charter Oak avenue westerly line, 39.97 feet.

100 feet westerly from Charter Oak avenue, 45.40 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

On Woolsey street between Charter Oak avenue and a line parallel with and 100 feet westerly therefrom be changed and established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that no assessment district is necessary, as no damage will result from said change of grades, inasmuch as the streets are ungraded and there are no existing street improvements.

The Board of Public Works is hereby directed to cause to be conspicuously posted along the street or streets upon which such change or modification of grade or grades is contemplated, notice of the passage of this resolution of intention.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Also, Resolution No. 28059 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to change and establish grades on the following named streets at the points hereinafter specified and at the elevations above city base as hereinafter stated, in accordance with Resolution No. 99351 (Second Series) of the Board of Public Works, adopted October 14, 1927, and written recommendation of said Board, filed October 18, 1927, to-wit:

Persia Avenue.

Dublin street easterly line, 328 feet.

Dublin street westerly line, 326 feet.

Prague street easterly line, 312 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Dublin Street.

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 118.01 feet northerly from Persia avenue, 334.80 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

55 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 118.01 feet northerly from Persia avenue, 335.80 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Westerly line of, at Persia avenue, 326 feet.

Easterly line of, at Persia avenue, 328 feet..

300 feet southerly from Persia avenue, 333 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

On Persia avenue between the easterly line of Dublin street and the easterly line of Prague street, and on Dublin street between lines parallel with Persia avenue and respectively 118.01 feet northerly therefrom and 300 feet southerly therefrom, be changed and established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that no assessment district is necessary, as no damage will result from said change of grades, inasmuch as the streets are ungraded and there are not existing street improvements.

The Board of Public Works is hereby directed to cause to be conspicuously posted along the street or streets upon which such change or modification of grade or grades is contemplated, notice of the passage of this resolution of intention.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Supervisor Badaracco Named Director of Joint Highway District.

Supervisor Marks presented:

Resolution No. 28060 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, pursuant to proceedings heretofore taken by this Board of Supervisors in the matter of the creation of a Joint Highway District, composed of the counties of San Francisco, San Mateo and Santa Cruz, Supervisor John B. Badaracco is hereby named and appointed as director of said proposed Joint Highway District to represent the City and County of San Francisco; and be it

Further Resolved, That Resolution No. 27855 (New Series), approved October 7, 1927, is hereby repealed.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Passed for Printing.

The following resolution was *passed for printing*:

Blasting Permit.

On motion of Supervisor Marks:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That J. O'Shea, Incorporated, be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to explode blasts on Montgomery street between Union and Green streets, provided said permittee shall execute and file a good and sufficient bond in the sum of ten thousand ($10,000) dollars, as fixed by the Board of Public Works and approved by his Honor the Mayor, in accordance with Ordinance No. 1204 (New Series); provided, also, that said blasts shall be exploded only betwen the hours of 7 a. m. and 6 p. m., and that the work of blasting shall be performed to the satisfaction of the Board of Public Works, and if any of the conditions of this resolution be violated by said J. O'Shea, Incorporated, then the privileges and all rights accruing thereunder shall immediately become null and void.

ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business the Board at 11:55 a. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors December 19, 1927.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated, and approved as above recited.

JOHN S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors.
City and County of San Francisco.

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Vol. 22—New Series No. 47

Monday, November 21, 1927

Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
337 Bush Street, S. F.

JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, NOVEMBER 21, 1927, 10 A. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, November 21, 1927, 10 a. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Stanton—11.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Gallagher, Hayden, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Todd—7.

(*Supervisor Powers* was reported ill, and *Supervisor Todd* out of town.)

Quorum present.

His Honor Mayor Rolph presiding.

APPROVAL OF JOURNALS.

The Journals of Proceedings of July 25, August 1, 8 and 15 were considered read and approved.

ROLL CALL FOR PETITIONS FROM MEMBERS.

Telegrams.

The following were presented and read by the Clerk:

Fresno, Cal., Nov. 21, 1927.

John S. Dunnigan, Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco.

Supervisors Schmidt, Shannon, Todd, Hayden and Gallagher will be unable to be present today and ask to be excused.

Gallagher for the delegation.

Los Angeles, Cal., Nov. 21, 1927.

John Dunnigan, Clerk, Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco, Cal.

Detained on traffic meeting, impossible to attend Monday meeting.

CHAS. F. TODD.

Grand Jury Special Committee on 333 Kearny Street Investigation.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

November 10, 1927.

To the Honorable Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Gentlemen:

The Grand Jury of the City and County of San Francisco acknowledge receipt of your communication of October 24, inclosing resolutions adopted by your Board alleging that an officer of this City and County had been guilty of participating in rentals derived from the premises located at 333 Kearny street, which premises are now under lease to the City and County, and in which resolutions you request that this Gand Jury make such investigation as would be meet and proper in the premises, and if the allegations contained in the said resolutions were found to be true, that lawful proceedings be taken to bring the guilty officer or officers before a court by indictment.

The Gand Jury, pursuant to your request, appointed a special committee of its members to make such investigation of the charges set out in your resolution, which committee interrogated numerous citizens alleged to have some knowledge of the alleged offense.

The committee, after diligent inquiry, reported to the Grand Jury, at its regular meeting of November 9, 1927, that no one of the witnesses cited to appear before it had any information of any offense having been committed as intimated in your resolutions. The Grand Jury by unanimous vote approved the report of the special committee.

(Sgd.) JOHN WHICHER, Foreman.
EDW. B. BAROD, Secretary.

Ordered *filed*.

Directors of Joint Highway District Appointed.

The following were read by the Clerk:

Proceedings of Board of Super-

visors of Santa Cruz appointing George H. Rostron as a member of the board of directors of the Joint Highway District, composed of Santa Cruz, San Mateo and San Francisco counties.

Also, proceedings of Board of Supervisors of San Mateo County naming Supervisor J. W. Poole as a member of the Joint Highway District, composed of Santa Cruz, San Mateo and San Francisco counties.

Referred to Streets Committee.

Relative to "Weed-Klamath Falls" Joint Highway District

The following was read by the Clerk:

Proceedings of Board of Supervisors of Siskiyou County amending resolution of said county passed June 7, 1927, for the formation of a joint highway district from Weed to Klamath Falls road.

Referred to Streets Committee.

Leave of Absence, Mrs. E. J. Mott.

The following was read by the Clerk:

San Francisco, Cal.,
October 27, 1927.

Hon. Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen:

Application has been made to me by Mrs. Ernest J. Mott, member of the Board of Education, for leave of absence, with permission to leave the State of California for the period October 31 to November 3, 1927.

I hereby request that you concur with me in granting said leave of absence.

Yours very truly,
JAMES ROLPH, JR.,
Mayor.

Whereupon, the following resolution was presented and *adopted* by the following vote:

Resolution No. 28102 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Mrs. Ernest J. Mott, member of the Board of Education, is hereby granted a leave of absence for the period October 31 to November 3, 1927, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Stanton—11.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Gallagher, Hayden, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Todd—7.

Relative to Bids for Flood Lighting City Hall.

The following was read by the Clerk:

San Francisco, Cal.,
November 18, 1927.

Honorable Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco, California.

Gentlemen:

May I respectfully call the attention of your Honorable Board to the matter of a request for an ordinance calling for bids on the lighting of the City Hall which was made by the Bureau of Architecture some months ago.

Informal bids were received by the Bureau of Architecture but the Lighting Committee did not approve the bid which the Bureau recommended. The entire matter was then referred to the Building Committee with the request of the Bureau that an ordinance be passed calling for formal bids.

The sum of $16,000 has been set aside in the budget for the present fiscal year as Item 53a, to take care of this work which is a necessary part of the beautification of the City Hall and the Civic Center.

I will appreciate the prompt action of your Honorable Board on this matter to the end that we may proceed with the work.

Respectfully,
JAMES ROLPH, JR.,
Mayor.

Referred to Public Buildings Committee.

Amendment to Zoning Ordinance Reclassifying Property on Wawona Street.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication, from the City Planning Commission, reclassifying property lying south of Wawona street between Fifteenth avenue and Nineteenth avenue, and easterly from Nineteenth avenue between Wawona street and a point 110 feet northeast from Sloat boulevard, from the First to the Second Residential District.

Passed for Printing.

Whereupon, the following bill was *passed for printing*:

Bill No. 8300, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings, and the location of buildings designed for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is recited above, is hereby amended as follows:

Section 6 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place the following described property in the Second Residential District and Classification instead of in the First Residential District and Classification, to-wit:

Commencing at the point of intersection of the westerly line of Fifteenth avenue and the southerly line of Wawona street, running thence westerly along the southerly line of Wawona street as it now exists after the closing and vacating of certain portions of said street, to the easterly line of Nineteenth avenue; thence southerly along the said easterly line of Ninetenth avenue 842 feet; thence at a right angle to Nineteenth avenue and parallel with the northerly line of Sloat boulevard and 110 feet northerly therefrom 571 feet; thence at a right angle northerly 268.344 feet to a point which is distant 600 feet southerly from the former southerly line of Wawona street as the same existed prior to the vacation of any portion thereof; thence easterly and parallel with the southerly line of Wawona street 302.74 feet; thence north 57 degrees east 272.25 feet to a point known as Station 24 of the Rancho San Miguel; thence northeasterly along the northwesterly line of said Rancho San Miguel 208.867 feet, more or less, to the westerly line of Fifteenth avenue; thence northerly along the westerly line of Fifteenth avenue 266.70 feet to the southerly line of Wawona street and the point of beginning.

UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

Final Passage.

The following matters, heretofore passed for printing, were taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Authorizations.

Resolution No. 28061 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

Aquarium Fund—(Appropriation 57).

(1) California Academy of Sciences, maintenance of Steinhart Aquarium, October, 1927 (claim dated Nov. 7, 1927), $4,965.35.

Park Fund.

(2) Sevin Vincent Seed Co., grass for parks (claim dated Nov. 4, 1927), $692.29.

(3) Berringer & Russell, hay and oats for parks (claim dated Nov. 4, 1927), $699.47.

Sunset Tunnel Assessment Fund.

(4) Leonard F. Youdall and R. G. Clifford, seventeenth payment, construction of Duboce-Sunset tunnel (claim dated Nov. 2, 1927), $36,229.80.

Fire Department Land and Construction Fund.

(5) Oscar Aaron, first payment, plumbing and gas-fitting work for Fire Department Engine House No. 18 (claim dated Nov. 2, 1927), $550.68.

(6) H. H. Larsen Co., third payment, general construction of Fire Department Engine House No. 18 (claim dated Nov. 2, 1927), $5,422.50.

Special School Tax.

(7) Knittle Bros., first payment, mechanical equipment for South Side High School (claim dated Oct. 31, 1927), $5,019.93.

(8) A. Lettich, third payment, plumbing work for South Side High School (claim dated Nov. 2, 1927), $2,668.13.

(9) MacDonald & Kahn, third payment, general construction of South Side High School (claim dated Nov. 2, 1927), $36,091.99.

(10) Samuel Lightner Hyman and A. Appleton, final payment, architectural services for addition to Madison School (claim dated Nov. 2, 1927), $1,251.74.

(11) Wm. Bateman, millwork for schools (claim dated Oct. 29, 1927), $1,099.

(12) Wm. Bateman, millwork for schools (claim dated Oct. 29, 1927), $1,284.50.

(13) Fess System Co., burner and motor unit for Franklin School (claim dated Oct. 29, 1927), $727.42.

(14) W. P. Fuller & Co., paint supplies for schools (claim dated Oct. 29, 1927), $623.

(15) The Lawson Roofing Co., repair to and coverings for school roofs (claim dated Oct. 29, 1927), $745.

(16) J. H. McCallum, lumber for schools (claim dated Oct. 29, 1927), $626.34.

(17) J. H. McCallum, lumber for schools (claim dated Oct. 29, 1927), $661.16.

(18) Bureau of Street Repair, asphalt repairs to school yards (claim dated Oct. 29, 1927), $682.54.

Municipal Railway Fund.

(19) Jesse Shay, lathing and plastering at Geary street Municipal

Railway barn (claim dated Nov. 2, 1927), $580.

(20) The Service Company, tires and tubes and retreading, Municipal Railways (claim dated Nov. 2, 1927), $711.70.

School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923.

(21) Mahony Bros., ninth payment, general construction of Sherman School (claim dated Nov. 1, 1927), $22.074.94.

(22) R. Flatland, second payment, electrical work for Sherman School (claim dated Nov. 1, 1927), $1,683.72.

(23) The Scott Co., third payment, mechanical equipment for Sherman School (claim dated Nov. 2, 1927), $1,750.76.

(24) Alta Electric Co., fifth payment, electrical work for Everett Junior High School (claim dated Oct. 31, 1927), $3,348.75.

(25) Latourette-Fical Co., eleventh payment, plumbing work for Mission (Everett) Junior High School (claim dated Nov. 1, 1927), $1,798.69.

(26) R. W. Moller, fourteenth payment, general construction of Everett Junior High School (claim dated Nov. 2, 1927), $55,639.79.

(27) Scott Company, sixth payment, mechanical equipment for Everett Junior High School (claim dated Nov. 2, 1927), $4,650.

(28) O. Monson, seventh payment, general construction of Portola Junior High School (claim dated Nov. 2, 1927), $22,795.35.

(29) Scott Company, fourth payment, mechanical equipment for Portola Junior High School (claim dated Nov. 1, 1927), $3,170.62.

(30) Pacific Electrical Construction Co., fourth payment, electrical work for Portola Junior High School (claim dated Nov. 1, 1927), $614.77.

County Road Fund.

(31) J. P. Holland, Inc., loam, etc., for Great Highway (claim dated Nov. 4, 1927), $1,213.13.

(32) J. P. Holland, Inc., loam, etc., for Great Highway (claim dated Nov. 4, 1927), $1,913.33.

(33) Charles L. Harney, final payment, improvement southeasterly side of San Jose avenue between Gorham and Cotter streets (claim dated Nov. 2, 1927), $1,222.09.

Hetch Hetchy Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1925.

(34) Bald Eagle Meat Market, meats (claim dated Oct. 26, 1927), $508.65.

(35) The Giant Powder Co., Con., explosives (claim dated Oct. 26, 1927), $6,430.

(36) James Graham Mfg. Co., stoves, pipe, etc. (claim dated Oct. 26, 1927), $781.76.

(37) R. A. Hansen, fuel oil, etc. (claim dated Oct. 26, 1927), $587.

(38) Joshua Hendy Iron Works, eight heavy sheaves (claim dated Oct. 26, 1927), $1,334.40.

(39) Palmer & McBryde, rental of tractor (claim dated Oct. 26, 1927), $511.87.

(40) Santa Fe Lumber Co., lumber (claim dated Oct. 26, 1927), $691.83.

(41) Shell Co. of California, gasoline and oils (claim dated Oct. 26, 1927), $626.70.

(42) Geo. H. Tay Co., hot water boilers (claim dated Oct. 26, 1927), $537.

(43) Wilsey-Bennett Co., butter, etc. (claim dated Oct. 26, 1927), $888.14.

General Fund.

(44) San Francisco Bulletin, official advertising (claim dated Nov. 7, 1927), $1,044.90.

(45) Buckley & Curtin, printing building description and computation sheets (claim dated Oct. 31, 1927), $996.50.

(46) Neal, Stratford & Kerr, printing for County Clerk (claim dated July 19, 1927), $623.09.

(47) Phillips & Van Orden Co., printing for Department of Elections (claim dated Nov. 3, 1927), $1,494.

(48) The Recorder Printing and Publishing Co., printing for Department of Elections (claim dated Nov. 3, 1927), $4,576.

(49) W. S. Dickey Clay Mfg. Co., tiling for handball court, Golden Gate Park (claim dated Nov. 4, 1927), $753.75.

(50) The Turner Co., labor and material for convenience station, Kezar Stadium (claim dated Nov. 4, 1927), $1,115.03.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Stanton—11.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Gallagher, Hayden, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Todd—7.

Resolution No. 28062 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

Park Fund.

(1) J. P. Holland, Inc., truck hire for parks (claim dated Nov. 10, 1927), $687.50.

Library Fund.

(2) San Francisco News Co., li-

brary books (claim dated Oct. 31, 1927), $1,644.71.

(3) American Building Maintenance Co., library janitor service (claim dated Oct. 31, 1927), $810.

(4) Maundrell & Bowen, painting, etc., of libraries (claim dated Oct. 31, 1927), $1,440.50.

(5) Foster & Futernick Co., library book binding (claim dated Oct. 31, 1927), $4,077.80.

(6) G. E. Stechert & Co., library books (claim dated Oct. 31, 1927), $2,798.71.

General Fund, 1926-1927.

(7) Roy Lind, second payment, construction of Hangar No. 1, S. F. Municipal Airport (claim dated Nov. 9, 1927), $10,000.

County Road Fund.

(8) J. P. Holland, Inc., loam for the Great Highway (claim dated Nov. 10, 1927), $1,005.21.

Special School Tax.

(9) R. Ankers, final payment, painting addition to High School of Commerce (claim dated Nov. 7, 1927), $704.69.

(10) Miller & Pflueger, architectural services for addition to the Jefferson School (claim dated Nov. 7, 1927), $4,500.

Municipal Railway Fund.

(11) American Brake Shoe & Foundry Co., railway car brake shoes (claim dated Nov. 7, 1927), $2,363.01.

Municipal Railway Depreciation Fund.

(12) Robt. W. Jamison, first payment, electric conductors, etc., for Sunset line of Municipal Railways (claim dated Nov. 9, 1927), $3,000.

(13) Robt. W. Jamison, third payment, reinforced concrete poles for Sunset line of Municipal Railways (claim dated Nov. 9, 1927), $4,245.

Hetch Hetchy Power Operative Fund.

(14) A. McSweeney, taxes on Hetch Hetchy water property in San Mateo County for year 1927-1928 (claim dated Nov. 9, 1927), $1,248.24.

(15) J. G. White, taxes on Hetch Hetchy water property in Tuolumne County for year 1927-1928 (claim dated Nov. 9, 1927), $9,280.40.

School Construction Fund, Bond Issue, 1923.

(16) Anderson & Ringrose, seventh payment, general construction of Hearst-Moulder School (claim dated Nov. 9, 1927), $23,542.51.

(17) Anderson & Ringrose, conditional acceptance, general construction of additions to Sunnyside School (claim dated Nov. 7, 1927), $52,851.25.

(18) John Reid, Jr., seventh payment, architectural services for Sherman School (claim dated Nov. 7, 1927), $612.23.

(19) John Reid, Jr., twelfth payment, architectural services for Mission (Everett) Junior High School (claim dated Nov. 7, 1927), $1,888.20.

(20) Alfred S. Gough, drawing board cases for new Mission High School (claim dated Nov. 4, 1927), $780.

Hetch Hetchy Power Operative Fund.

(21) C. S. Abbott, taxes on Hetch Hetchy water property within Modesto Irrigation District, Stanislaus County, for year 1927-1928 (claim dated Nov. 3, 1927), $826.80.

(22) Ed. Whitmore, taxes on Hetch Hetchy water property in Stanislaus County, for year 1927-1928 (claim dated Nov. 5, 1927), $890.

School Bonds, Issue 1918.

(23) Wiley B. Allen Co., pianos and stools, Mission High School (claim dated Nov. 9, 1927), $522.58.

Special School Tax.

(24) A. Lettich Co., urinals for schools (claim dated Nov. 9, 1927), $620.20.

(25) Park Commissioners, care of school grounds for October (claim dated Nov. 9, 1927), $1,300.

Hetch Hetchy Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1925.

(26) Associated Oil Co., fuel oil, etc. (claim dated Nov. 7, 1927), $505.21.

(27) Bald Eagle Meat Market, meats (claim dated Nov. 7, 1927), $2,803.69.

(28) S. C. Carosio Company, truck hire (claim dated Nov. 7, 1927), $507.50.

(29) Dodge, Sweeney & Co., groceries (claim dated Nov. 7, 1927), $602.12.

(30) General Electric Co., oil filter press (claim dated Nov. 7, 1927), $520.50.

(31) The Giant Powder Co., Con., explosives (claim dated Nov. 7, 1927), $1,374.38.

(32) Hales & Symons, Inc., lime and lumber (claim dated Nov. 7, 1927), $655.78.

(33) Hanifrens Garage, truck hire (claim dated Nov. 7, 1927), $868.

(34) R. A. Hansen, fuel oil, etc. (claim dated Nov. 7, 1927), $520.90.

(35) Hercules Powder Co., explosives (claim dated Nov. 7, 1927), $6,493.91.

(36) Independent Lumber Co.,

cement, lumber, etc. (claim dated Nov. 4, 1927), $1,122.07.

(37) A. Levy & J. Zentner Co., fruits, etc. (claim dated Nov. 7, 1927), $658.61.

(38) W. J. Rushing, milk, etc. (claim dated Nov. 7, 1927), $514.10.

(39) Santa Cruz Portland Cement Co., cement (claim dated Nov. 4, 1927), $1,214.50.

(40) Western Pipe & Steel Co., air pipe (claim dated Nov. 7, 1927), $3,204.

(41) Wilsey, Bennett Co., foodstuffs (claim dated Nov. 7, 1927), $1,252.33

(42) State Compensation Insurance Fund, premium on insurance of Hetch Hetchy employees (claim dated Nov. 7, 1927), $3,663.18.

Municipal Railway Fund.

(43) San Francisco City Employees Retirement System, pensions, etc., for Municipal Railway employees (claim dated Nov. 4, 1927), $632.06.

General Fund, 1927-1928.

(44) The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company, printing Law-Motion-Trial Calendar for October (claim dated Nov. 14, 1927), $1,075.

(45) San Francisco Bulletin, official advertising, Board of Supervisors (claim dated Nov. 17, 1927), $3,344.22.

(46) C. J. Waterhouse & Sons Co., toilet stalls, Kezar Stadium convenient station (claim dated Nov. 10, 1927), $675.

(47) Associated Charities, widows' pensions (claim dated Nov. 11, 1927), $8,680.05.

(48) Eureka Benevolent Society, widows' pensions (claim dated Nov. 11, 1927), $1,117.50.

(49) Little Children's Aid, widows' pensions (claim dated Nov. 11, 1927), $8,976.84.

(50) California Rock Co., gravel for street repair (claim dated Nov. 4, 1927), $2,355.70.

(51) Eclipse Lime & Cement Co., cement for street repair (claim dated Nov. 4, 1927), $5,325.15.

(52) Union Oil Co. of California, asphalt for street repair (claim dated Nov. 4, 1927), $3,974.72.

(53) Western Rock Products Co., sand for street repair (claim dated Nov. 4, 1927), $2,932.38.

(54) Spring Valley Water Co., water for public buildings (claim dated Nov. 4, 1927), $1,838.23.

(55) Equitable Asphalt Maintenance Co., asphalt street resurfacing (claim dated Nov. 1, 1927), $1,065.64.

(56) Crown Oil Co., gasoline for street cleaning equipment (claim dated Nov. 1, 1927), $566.35.

(57) W. S. Dickey Clay Mfg. Co., street paving brick (claim dated Nov. 9, 1927), $1,160.66.

(58) Symon Brothers, team hire for street cleaning (claim dated Nov. 9, 1927), $747.50.

(59) General Electric Co., repairs to motor, Fire Department (claim dated June 30, 1927), $997.79.

(60) Edward Lowe Motors Co., one Lincoln car, Fire Department (claim dated June 30, 1927), $5,139.56.

(61) The Juvenile Court, Juvenile Court expense for October (claim dated Nov. 9, 1927), $573.39.

(62) James M. Smith, first payment, extension of Stanley street sewer (claim dated Nov. 9, 1927), $3,750.

(63) Walton N. Moore Dry Goods Co., dry goods for Laguna Honda Home (claim dated Nov. 10, 1927), $2,517.28.

(64) Philadelphia Shoe Co., shoes for Laguna Honda Home (claim dated Nov. 10, 1927), $622.05.

(65) Sussman, Wormser Co., groceries, Laguna Honda Home (claim dated Nov. 10, 1927), $682.58.

(66) Spring Valley Water Co., water for Laguna Honda Home (claim dated Nov. 10, 1927), $909.24.

(67) A. McSweeney, taxes for 1927-1928 on Tubercular Preventorium property (claim dated Nov. 10, 1927), $535.90.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Stanton—11.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Gallagher, Hayden, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Todd—7.

Appropriations for Municipal Railway Extensions and Betterments.

Resolution No. 28063 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of Municipal (Old) Depreciation Fund, for the following purposes, to-wit:

For Ocean View extension of Municipal Railways, additional to appropriation by Resolution No. 25025 (New Series), $414.31.

For purchase of land for Municipal Railway car shop, additional to appropriation by Resolution No. 24147 (New Series), $440.

For addition to Seventeenth street car barn, additional to appropriation by Resolution No. 24245 (New Series), $290.85.

Total, $1,145.16.

Further Resolved, That the following amounts, representing balances in appropriations made out of the Municipal Railway (Old) Depreciation Fund for Municipal Railway

purposes, be and the same are hereby authorized and directed to be returned to the Municipal Railway (Old) Depreciation Fund, to-wit:

From appropriation by Resolution No. 21808 (New Series), Embarcadero subway, $1,682.47.

From appropriation by Resolution No. 21808 (New Series), track special work, $198.

From appropriation by Resolution No. 24657 (New Series), track special work, $1,188.54.

From appropriation by Resolution No. 25374 (New Series), double track crossing, Columbus avenue and Kearny street, $405.40.

Total, $3,474.41.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Stanton—11.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Gallagher, Hayden, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Todd—7.

Appropriation, $20,222, Construction of Chapel, San Francisco Hospital.

Resolution No. 28064 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $20,222 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of "Chapel at San Francisco Hospital," Budget Item No. 63, for cost of construction of chapel at San Francisco Hospital, including inspection and extras. (Award to J. A. Grant at $18,722.)

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Stanton—11.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Gallagher, Hayden, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Todd—7.

Appropriation, $43,000, Extension No. 6, Auxiliary Water Supply System.

Resolution No. 28065 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $43,000 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of General Fund, 1927-1928, for the cost of Extension No. 6 to the Auxiliary Water Supply System (high pressure) from Harrison and Twenty-fifth streets to Evans avenue and Rankin street, including inspection and extras. (Award to Clarence B. Eaton at $37,778.04.)

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Stanton—11.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Gallagher, Hayden, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Todd—7.

Appropriation, Laguna Honda Home, Laundry Equipment, and Improvement of Harrison Street.

Resolution No. 28066 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned funds for the following purposes, to-wit:

Laguna Honda Home Equipment—Budget Item No. 60

(1) For purchase of Dodge dump truck, for use of Laguna Honda Home, $1,410.

(2) For purchase of 40-inch laundry extractor, for use of Laguna Honda Home, $2,615.

County Road Fund.

(3) For improvement of Harrison street between First and Sterling streets by scarifying, covering with broken rock and oiling, $1,000.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Stanton—11.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Gallagher, Hayden, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Todd—7.

Authorizing Payment to Symphony Soloist Alex Brailowsky.

Resolution No. 28067 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $1,000 be and the same is hereby authorized in payment out of Auditorium Fund to Alex Brailowsky for services as soloist, guest artist, rendered at Pop Concert November 1, 1927.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Stanton—11.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Gallagher, Hayden, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Todd—7.

Accepting Offers of Mileva Muresian et al. to Sell Property Required for School Purposes.

Resolution No. 28068 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923, and authorized in payment to the hereinafter named persons; being payments for properties required for school purposes, to-wit:

(1) To Mileva Muresian, for land and improvements, commencing at point on easterly line of Thirty-first avenue, distant thereon 100 feet southerly from the southerly line of Geary street, running thence south-

erly along said easterly line of Thirty-first avenue 25 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 60 feet; thence at a right angle northerly 25 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 60 feet; as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 28021, New Series (claim dated Nov. 21, 1927), $3,250.

(2) To James J. and Mary Sullivan, for land commencing at point on the southwesterly line of Onondaga avenue, distant thereon 137 feet southeasterly from the southeasterly line of Otsego avenue, running thence southeasterly along said southwesterly line of Onondaga avenue 50 feet; thence at right angle southeasterly 121 feet 6 inches; thence at right angle northwesterly 50 feet; thence at right angle northeasterly 121 feet 6 inches; as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 28023, New Series (claim dated Nov. 14, 1927), $4,000.

(3) To George N. Parez and Winifred F. Parez, his wife, for land beginning at the most easterly corner of Lot 1, Block 14, of West End Map No. 1, as per map thereof filed May 1, 1863, in Book 2 "A" and "B" of Maps, page 45, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, and as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 28020, New Series (claim dated Nov. 14, 1927), $3,876.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Stanton—11.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Gallagher, Hayden, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Todd—7.

Oil Tank Permits.

Resolution No. 28069 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits be and the same are hereby granted:

Oil Tanks.

Dr. E. J. Best, 879 Santa Rita avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

Mrs. Clara Carli, northwest corner of Mission and Theresa streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

Mrs. Bessie Cooley, northeast corner of Alhambra street and Mallorca way, 1500 gallons capacity.

Richard Johnstone, 797 Head street, 600 gallons capacity.

Mary Mahony, north side of Vallejo street, 90 feet west of Webster street, 1500 gallons capacity.

R. Mattrucci, south side of Lyon street, 125 feet east of Chestnut street, 1500 gallons capacity.

W. S. Schaffer, 164 Seacliff avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

J. Schwartz, 166 Merced avenue, 600 gallons capacity.

L. and G. Stoff, west side of Nineteenth avenue, 150 feet south of California street, 1500 gallons capacity.

P. W. Wood, west side of Scott street, 25 feet south of North Point street, 1500 gallons capacity.

P. W. Wood, south side of Washington street, 61 feet east of Baker street, 1500 gallons capacity.

P. W. Wood, north side of Russia street, 60 feet east of Edinburgh street, 1500 gallons capacity.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits shall become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Stanton—11.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Gallagher, Hayden, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Todd—7.

Oil and Boiler Permits.

Resolution No. 28070 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits be and are hereby granted:

Oil Tanks.

Louis Anderson, southwest corner of Jefferson and Scott streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

I. Araga, south side of Jackson street, 228 feet west of Powell street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Associated Charities, 1948 Pacific avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

Bank of Italy, southeast corner of California and Montgomery streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

E. P. Bergerot, east side of Yerba Buena avenue between Santa Paula and Santa Clara avenues, 1500 gallons capacity.

Geo. L. Burtt, 195 Jordan avenue, 600 gallons capacity.

John J. Carmody, west side of Howard street, 175 feet north of Twenty-second street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Warren D. Clark, 3500 Clay street, 750 gallons capacity.

G. Devincenzi, west side of Jones street, 100 feet south of Union street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Henry Ernst & Sons, 240 Ivy avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

Harris Bakery, 742 Irving street, 600 gallons capacity.

M. Hutcheson, south side of Santa Ana avenue, 50 feet west of St. Francis boulevard, 750 gallons capacity.

Felix Kahn, 200 Maple street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Frank J. Klimm Co., east side of Octavia street, 150 feet north of Francisco street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Manning & Baldwin, west side of Twenty-fifth avenue, 100 feet south of Cabrillo street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Marian Realty Co., northeast corner of Broadway and Franklin street, 1500 gallons capacity.

McCormick Realty Co., southwest corner of Scott and Bay streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

James L. McLaughlin, north side of Jackson street, 50 feet west of Spruce street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Geo. A. Wara, east side of Twenty-sixth avenue, 100 feet south of Cabrillo street, 1500 gallons capacity.

P. W. Wood, north side of Beach street, 36 feet east of Retiro way, 1500 gallons capacity.

Boiler.

Republic Laundry, 517 First avenue, 20 horsepower.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits shall become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Stanton—11.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Gallagher, Hayden, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Todd—7.

Transfer of Garage Permits.

Resolution No. 28071 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Sierra Oil Co., Inc., be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred public garage permit heretofore granted for premises on the southeast corner of Franklin and Bush streets.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Stanton—11.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Gallagher, Hayden, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Todd—7.

Resolution No. 28072 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That W. H. Curtis be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred public garage permit heretofore granted J. E. Sullivan for premises at 525 Jones street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Stanton—11.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Gallagher, Hayden, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Todd—7.

Transfer of Automobile Parking Station Permit.

Resolution No. 28073 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That H. L. Beabes be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred to him automobile parking station permit heretofore granted Finneran and Cissell by Resolution No. 25063 (New Series) for premises at the southeast corner of Ninth and Stevenson streets.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Stanton—11.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Gallagher, Hayden, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Todd—7.

Transfer of Automobile Supply Station Permit.

Resolution No. 28074 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That C. Nygard be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred to him automobile supply station permit heretofore granted L. J. Cosgrove by Resolution No. 24575 (New Series) for premises on the northeast corner of Mission and Florentine streets.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Stanton—11.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Gallagher, Hayden, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Todd—7.

Transfer of Garage Permits.

Resolution No. 28075 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Howard K. Hall be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred to him public garage permit heretofore granted Sam Schiller by Resolution No. 20080 (New Series) for premises at 2020 Van Ness avenue.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within

six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Stanton—11.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Gallagher, Hayden, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Todd—7.

Resolution No. 28076 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Chas. McManus be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred to him public garage permit heretofore granted Fleming & Nott by Resolution No. 22823 (New Series) for premises at 6620 Geary street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Stanton—11.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Gallagher, Hayden, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Todd—7.

Blasting Permit.

Resolution No. 28077 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Municipal Construction Company be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to explode blasts on the crossing of Hamilton and Burrows streets, provided said permittee shall execute and file a good and sufficient bond in the sum of $2,500 as fixed by the Board of Public Works and approved by his Honor the Mayor, in accordance with the provisions of Ordinance No. 1204 (New Series); provided, also, that said blasts shall be exploded only between the hours of 7 a. m. and 6 p. m., and that the work of blasting shall be performed to the satisfaction of the Board of Public Works, and that if any of the conditions of this resolution be violated by said Municipal Construction Company, then the privileges and all the rights accruing thereunder shall immediately become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Stanton—11.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Gallagher, Hayden, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Todd—7.

Blasting Permit.

Resolution No. 28104 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That J. O'Shea, Incorporated, be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to explode blasts on Montgomery street between Union and Green streets, provided said permittee shall execute and file a good and sufficient bond in the sum of ten thousand ($10,000) dollars, as fixed by the Board of Public Works and approved by his Honor the Mayor, in accordance with Ordinance No. 1204 (New Series); provided, also, that said blasts shall be exploded only between the hours of 7 a. m. and 6 p. m., and that the work of blasting shall be performed to the satisfaction of the Board of Public Works, and if any of the conditions of this resolution be violated by said J. O'Shea, Incorporated, then the privileges and all rights accruing thereunder shall immediately become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Stanton—11.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Gallagher, Hayden, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Todd—7.

Reconstruction and Repair of Accepted Streets.

Bill No. 8281, Ordinance No. 7792 (New Series), as follows:

Authorizing and ordering the reconstruction and repair of certain accepted streets in the City and County of San Francisco under the supervision of the Board of Public Works of said City and County during the fiscal year ending June 30, 1928, and appropriating funds for the cost thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works of the City and County of San Francisco is hereby authorized and ordered to reconstruct and repair, under its supervision, those certain accepted streets in said City and County, particularly designated and described in Ordinance No. 7558 (New Series), approved June 6, 1927.

There is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended by the said Board of Public Works the sum of $20,520, payable out of Budget Item No. 81, Fiscal Year 1927-1928, for the reconstruction and repair of the following streets, to-wit:

Castro street, Market to Sixteenth streets, $7,020.

Clark street, Drumm to Front streets, $3,600.

Clay street, Stockton to Powell streets, $3,600.

Oregon street, Drumm to Front streets, $3,600.

Alpine Terrace, Waller street to Duboce avenue, $1,350.

Buchanan street, Green to Union streets (center strip), $1,350.

Total, $20,520.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Stanton—11.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Gallagher, Hayden, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Todd—7.

Fixing Sidewalk Widths on Roanoke Street.

Bill No. 8282, Ordinance No. 7793 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered nine hundred and eighty-three.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Board of Public Works, filed in this office October 19, 1927, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered nine hundred and eighty-three, to read as follows:

Section 983. The width of sidewalks on Roanoke street between Bemis street and Laidley street shall be ten (10) feet.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect and be in force from and after its passage.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Stanton—11.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Gallagher, Hayden, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Todd—7.

Fixing Sidewalk Widths on Presidio Avenue.

Bill No. 8283, Ordinance No. 7794 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by amending section five hundred and thirty-seven thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Board of Public Works, filed in this office October 28, 1927, by amending section five hundred and thirty-seven thereof, to read as follows:

Section 537. The width of sidewalks on Presidio avenue between Geary street and California street shall be ten (10) feet.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect and be in force from and after its passage.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Stanton—11.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Gallagher, Hayden, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Todd—7.

Fixing Sidewalk Widths on Grant Avenue.

Bill No. 8284, Ordinance No. 7795 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by amending section eight hundred and six thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Board of Public Works, filed in this office October 28, 1927, by amending section eight hundred and six thereof, to read as follows:

Section 806. The width of sidewalks on Grant avenue between Bush street and Columbus avenue shall be ten (10) feet.

The width of sidewalks on Grant avenue between Columbus avenue and Filbert street shall be seven (7) feet.

The width of sidewalks on Grant avenue between Filbert street and Bay street shall be ten (10) feet.

The width of sidewalks on Grant avenue, the easterly side of, between Bay street and The Embarcadero shall be ten (10) feet.

The width of sidewalks on Grant avenue, the westerly side of, between Bay street and Beach street are hereby dispensed with and abolished.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect and be in force from and after its passage.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Stanton—11.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Gallagher, Hayden, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Todd—7.

Repealing Ordinance No. 7732 (New Series), Prospect Avenue.

Bill No. 8285, Ordinance No. 7796 (New Series), as follows:

Repealing Ordinance No. 7732 (New Series), ordering the improvement of Prospect avenue between Coso avenue and Esmeralda avenue.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 7732 (New Series), ordering the improvement of Prospect avenue between Coso avenue and Esmeralda avenue by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks six (6) feet or more in width where artificial stone sidewalks six (6) feet or more in width have not already been constructed, is hereby repealed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Stanton—11.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Gallagher, Hayden, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Todd—7.

Repealing Ordinance No. 7728 (New Series), Bulkhead and Sand Removal, Forty-third Avenue.

Bill No. 8286, Ordinance No. 7797 (New Series), as follows:

Repealing Ordinance No. 7728 (New Series), ordering the construction of a bulkhead and the removal of sand from the sidewalk and roadway on the westerly line of Forty-third avenue from a line parallel with and one hundred feet north of the north line of Judah street to a line parallel with and one hundred and fifty feet north of the north line of Judah street.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 7728 (New Series), ordering the construction of a bulkhead and the removal of sand from the sidewalk and roadway on the westerly line of Forty-third avenue from a line parallel with and one hundred (100) feet north of the north line of Judah street to a line parallel with and one hundred and fifty (150) feet north of the north line of Judah street, is hereby repealed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Stanton—11.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Gallagher, Hayden, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Todd—7.

Spur Track Permit, Atchison, Topeka and Santa Fe Railway Company.

Bill No. 8287, Ordinance No. 7798 (New Series), as follows:

Granting permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to the Atchison, Topeka and Santa Fe Railway Company to construct, maintain and operate a spur track in and across Rhode Island street and across and along Fifteenth street as hereinafter described.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, is hereby granted to the Atchison, Topeka and Santa Fe Railway Company to construct, maintain and operate a spur track in and across Rhode Island street and across and along Fifteenth street as follows:

Beginning at a point in the center line of an existing track of the Atchison, Topeka and Santa Fe Railway Company and Southern Pacific Company in Rhode Island street in the City and County of San Francisco, said point lying 16.00 feet easterly from the western line of said Rhode Island street and 129.13 feet northerly from the northern line of Fifteenth street produced across said Rhode Island street, thence southerly over and along said Rhode Island street on a curve to the left having a radius of 235.65 feet, a distance of 45.09 feet, to a point lying 58.63 feet westerly from the eastern line of said Rhode Island street and 84.05 feet northerly from the said northern line of Fifteenth street produced across said Rhode Island street; thence southeasterly over and along said Rhode Island street and said Fifteenth street on a curve to the left having a radius of 171.02 feet, a distance of 283.10 feet, to a point lying 24.15 feet northerly from the southern line of said Fifteenth street and 37.22 feet westerly from the western line of De Haro street produced across said Fifteenth street; thence easterly over and along said Fifteenth street and

crossing said De Haro street on a curve to the right having a radius of 171.02 feet, a distance of 54.40 feet, to a point in the center line of an existing track of the A., T. and S. F. Railway Co. and S. P. Company in the center line of said Fifteenth street, said point lying 17.07 feet easterly from the said western line of De Haro street produced across said Fifteenth street.

Said permission is granted subject to the provisions of Ordinance No. 69 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors, approved October 12, 1906, and the provisions and conditions of Section 8 thereof are hereby specifically contained in the permit hereby granted, and shall be construed as a part hereof as completely as though the same were written in this ordinance.

Provided that said track shall be laid under the supervision and to the lines and grades as furnished by the City Engineer's office, and that any and all expense connected with the installation of the track, restoration of the pavement and any additional requirements for the surface drainage, is to be paid for by the Atchison, Topeka and Santa Fe Railway Company; provided that the Atchison, Topeka and Santa Fe Railway Company shall erect and maintain all-night lighted arc lamps, to be placed where directed by the Lighting Committee of the Board of Supervisors.

Provided that said Atchison, Topeka and Santa Fe Railway Company comply with its franchise obligation to pave Fifteenth street between Eighth and Rhode Island streets when requested by the Board of Public Works. It is expressly understood and made a part of this permit that the granting of this spur track privilege does not release said company from the franchise provision that when the curbs are constructed said company, upon demand, will pave the street with a standard pavement.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Stanton—11.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Gallagher, Hayden, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Todd—7.

Spur Track Permit, Michel & Pfeffer Iron Works.

Bill No. 8288, Ordinance No. 7799 (New Series), as follows:

Granting permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to Michel & Pfeffer Iron Works to construct, maintain and operate a spur track across San Bruno avenue north of Alameda street, as hereinafter described.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, is hereby granted to the Michel & Pfeffer Iron Works to construct, maintain and operate a spur track across San Bruno avenue, north of Alameda street, as follows:

Beginning at a point in the easterly line of San Bruno avenue, said point being distant northerly 231 feet from the northerly line of Alameda street; thence in a westerly direction and crossing San Bruno avenue, to a point on the westerly line of San Bruno avenue, said point being distant northerly 216 feet from the northerly line of Alameda street.

Said permission is granted subject to the provisions of Ordinance No. 69 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors, approved October 12, 1906, and the provisions and conditions of Section 8 thereof are hereby specifically contained in the permit hereby granted and shall be construed as a part hereof as completely as though the same were written in this ordinance.

Provided, that said spur track shall be laid under the supervision and to the lines and grades as furnished by the City Engineer's office, and that any and all expenses connected with the installation of the track, restoration of the pavement, and any additional requirements for the surface drainage be paid for by the Michel & Pfeffer Iron Works.

Provided, that girder rail be used between the property lines of the street; that any curb removed be hauled to the Corporation Yard; that catchbasins be provided and connected with the main sewer; that provision be made to reinforce the sewers where the proposed spur will cross, there being two sewers in this street; that the existing pavement be restored, and that all work be done under the direction and supervision of the Board of Public Works.

Provided, that the Michel & Pfeffer Iron Works shall erect and maintain all-night lighted arc lamps to be placed where directed by the Lighting Committee of the Board of Supervisors.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrel-

son, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Stanton—11.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Gallagher, Hayden, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Todd—7.

Spur Track Permit, Atchison, Topeka and Santa Fe Railway Company.

Bill No. 8289, Ordinance No. 7800 (New Series), as follows:

Granting permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to The Atchison, Topeka and Santa Fe Railway Company to construct, maintain and operate a spur track in and along Twenty-fifth street and across Tennessee street, as hereinafter described.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, is hereby granted to The Atchison, Topeka and Santa Fe Railway Company to construct, maintain and operate a spur track in and along Twenty-fifth street and across Tennessee street, as follows:

Beginning at a point in the center line of an existing track of The Atchison, Topeka and Santa Fe Railway Company in Twenty-fifth street, in the City and County of San Francisco, said point lying 62.98 feet westerly from the western line of Tennessee street produced across said Twenty-fifth street and 10.00 feet northerly from the southern line of said Twenty-fifth street; thence easterly over and along said Twenty-fifth street and crossing Tennessee street on a curve to the left having a radius of 237.88 feet, a distance of 105.04 feet to a point lying 39.02 feet easterly from the said western line of Tennessee street produced across said Twenty-fifth street and 28.75 feet southerly from the northern line of said Twenty-fifth street produced across said Tennessee street; thence on a curve to the right having a radius of 237.88 feet, a distance of 114.04 feet to a point lying 70.22 feet easterly from the eastern line of said Tennessee street produced across said Twenty-fifth street and 1.00 foot southerly from the said northern line of Twenty-fifth street; thence parallel with and distant 1.00 foot southerly from the said northern line of Twenty-fifth street, a distance of 129.78 feet to the western line of Third street produced across said Twenty-fifth street.

Said permission is granted subject to the provisions of Ordinance No. 69 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors, approved October 12, 1906, and the provisions and conditions of Section 8 thereof are hereby specifically contained in the permit hereby granted and shall be construed as a part hereof as completely as though the same were written in this ordinance.

Provided, that said track shall be laid under the supervision and to the lines and grades as furnished by the City Engineer's office, and that any and all expense connected with the installation of the track, restoration of the pavement and any additional requirements for the surface drainage, is to be paid for by The Atchison, Topeka and Santa Fe Railway Company; provided that The Atchison, Topeka and Santa Fe Railway Company shall erect and maintain all-night lighted arc lamps to be placed where directed by the Lighting Committee of the Board of Supervisors.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Stanton—11.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Gallagher, Hayden, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Todd—7.

Fixing Sidewalk Widths on Twenty-third Street.

Bill No. 8290, Ordinance No. 7801 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by amending Section nine hundred and seventy-five thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Board of Public Works, filed in this office November 1, 1927, by amending Section nine hundred and seventy-five thereof, to read as follows:

Section 975. The width of sidewalks on Twenty-third street, the northerly side of, between Third street and its easterly termination shall be twelve (12) feet.

The width of sidewalks on Twenty-third street, the southerly side of, between Third street and Michigan street shall be twelve (12) feet.

The width of sidewalks on Twenty-third street, the southerly side of, between Michigan street and its easterly termination is hereby dispensed with and abolished.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect and be in force from and after its passage.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Stanton—11.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Gallagher, Hayden, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Todd—7.

Ordering Street Work.

Bill No. 8291, Ordinance No. 7802 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors October 11, 1927, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in three installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

For the construction of artificial stone sidewalks six feet in width on Irving street between Fifteenth and Sixteenth avenues; Fortieth avenue between Lincoln way and Irving street; Twenty-fifth avenue between Judah and. Kirkham streets; Thirty-second avenue between Geary and Anza streets, where artificial stone sidewalks six feet or more in width have not already been constructed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Stanton—11.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Gallagher, Hayden, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Todd—7.

Changing Grades, Certain Streets.

Bill No. 8292, Ordinance No. 7803 (New Series), as follows:

Changing and reestablishing the official grades on Hampshire street between Sixteenth and Seventeenth streets.

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors, on the written recommendation of the Board of Public Works, did, on the 12th day of August, 1927, by Resolution No. 27597 (New Series), declare its intention to change and reestablish the grades on Hampshire street between Sixteenth and Seventeenth streets.

Whereas, said resolution was so published for ten days, and the Board of Public Works within ten days after the first publication of said resolution of intention caused notices of the passage of said resolution to be conspicuously posted along all streets specified in the resolution, in the manner and as provided by law; and

Whereas, more than forty days has elapsed since the first publication of said resolution of intention; therefore,

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The grades on the following named streets at the points hereinafter named and at the elevations above city base as hereinafter stated, are hereby changed and established as follows:

Hampshire Street.

Sixteenth street, 53.00 feet. The same being the present official grade.)

30 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 58 feet southerly from Sixteenth street, 75.00 feet.

30 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 58 feet southerly from Sixteenth street, 75.00 feet.

35 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 334 feet northerly from Seventeenth street, 75.00 feet.

25 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 314 feet northerly from Seventeenth street, 75.72 feet.

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 314 feet northerly from Seventeenth street, 75.72 feet.

Easterly curb line of, cut by a

line at right angles to, the westerly line of, 294 feet northerly from Seventeenth street, 76.43 feet.

25 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 274 feet northerly from Seventeenth street, 77.14 feet.

17 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 250 feet northerly from Seventeenth street, 78.00 feet.

25 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 250 feet northerly from Seventeenth street, 78.00 feet.

17 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 156.85 feet northerly from Seventeenth street, 77.30 feet.

17 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 116.85 feet northerly from Seventeenth street, 75.84 feet.

17 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 76.85 feet northerly from Seventeenth street, 72.08 feet.

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

25 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 156.85 feet northerly from Seventeenth street, 77.30 feet.

25 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 116.85 feet northerly from Seventeenth street, 75.84 feet.

25 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 76.85 feet northerly from Seventeenth street, 72.08 feet.

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

25 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 156.85 feet northerly from Seventeenth street, 77.30 feet.

25 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 116.85 feet northerly from Seventeenth street, 75.91 feet.

25 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 76.85 feet northerly from Seventeenth street, 72.34 feet.

(Vertical curve passing through the last three described points.)

On Hampshire street between Sixteenth and Seventeenth streets changed and established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor and the present official grade on Seventeenth street at Hampshire street.

Section 2. This ordinanme shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Stanton—11.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Gallagher, Hayden, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Todd—7.

Bill No. 8293, Ordinance No. 7804 (New Series), as follows:

Changing and reestablishing the official grades on Nineteenth street between the west boundary line of Clover Heights Tract and Yukon street; on Yukon street between Caselli avenue and Short street; on Eagle street between Yukon street and a line at right angles to the northerly line of, 20.80 feet westerly from Mono street, and on Short street between Yukon and Market streets, as shown on map entitled "Grade map of a portion of Market Street Homestead showing the change and establishment of official grades on Nineteenth street between the west boundary line of Clover Heights Tract and Yukon street; on Yukon street between Caselli avenue and Short street; on Eagle street between Yukon street and a line at right angles to the northerly line of, 20.80 feet westerly from Mono street, and on Short street between Yukon and Market streets."

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors, on the written recommendation of the Board of Public Works, did, on the 15th day of July, 1927, by Resolution No. 27490 (New Series), declare its intention to change and reestablish the grades on Nineteenth street between the west boundary line of Clover Heights Tract and Yukon street, etc.; and

Whereas, said resolution was so published for ten days, and the Board of Public Works within ten days after the first publication of said resolution of intention caused notices of the passage of said resolution to be conspicuously posted along all streets specified in the resolution, in the manner and as provided by law; and

Whereas, more than forty days has elapsed since the first publication of said resolution of intention; therefore

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The grades on the following named streets, at the points hereinafter named and at the elevations above city base as hereinafter stated, are hereby changed and established as follows:

Nineteenth street between the west boundary line of Clover Heights Tract and Yukon street; on Yukon street between Caselli avenue and Short street; on Eagle street between Yukon street and a line at right angles to the northerly line of, 20.80 feet westerly from Mono street, and on Short street between Yukon and Market streets, as shown on map entitled "Grade Map of a portion of Market Street Homestead, showing the change and establishment of official grades on Nineteenth street between the west boundary line of Clover Heights Tract and Yukon street; on Yukon street between Caselli avenue and Short street; on Eagle street between Yukon street and a line at

right angles to the northerly line of, 20.80 feet westerly from Mono street, and on Short street between Yukon and Market streets."

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Stanton—11.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Gallagher, Hayden, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Todd—7.

Bill No. 8294, Ordinance No. 7805 (New Series), as follows:

Changing and reestablishing the official grades on Fourteenth avenue between the southerly line of Rivera street and a line parallel with the northerly line of Rivera street and 180 feet northerly therefrom, and on Rivera street between the westerly line of Fourteenth avenue and Funston avenue.

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors, on the written recommendation of the Board of Public Works, did, on the 12th day of August, 1927, by Resolution No. 27594 (New Series), declare its intention to change and reestablish the grades on Fourteenth avenue between the southerly line of Rivera street and a line parallel with the northerly line of Rivera street and 180 feet northerly therefrom, and on Rivera street between the westerly line of Fourteenth avenue and Funston avenue.

Whereas, said resolution was so published for ten days, and the Board of Public Works within ten days after the first publication of said resolution of intention caused notices of the passage of said resolution to be conspicuously posted along all streets specified in the resolution in the manner and as provided by law; and

Whereas, more than forty days has elapsed since the first publication of said resolution of intention; therefore,

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The grades on the following named streets at the points hereinafter named and at the elevations above city base as hereinafter stated, are hereby changed and established as follows:

Fourteenth Avenue.

15 feet easterly from the westerly line of, 180 feet northerly from Rivera street, 554.83 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

15 feet westerly from the easterly line of, 180 feet northerly from Rivera street, 555.97 feet.

Westerly line of, at Rivera street, 522 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

16 feet westerly from the easterly line of, at Rivera street northerly line, 523.54 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Easterly line of, at Rivera street northerly line, 531.50 feet.

Easterly line of, at Rivera street southerly line, 524 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

On Fourteenth avenue between the southerly line of Rivera street and a line parallel with the northerly line of Rivera street and 180 feet northerly therefrom, and on Rivera street between the westerly line of Fourteenth avenue and Funston avenue, changed and established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor and the present official grades on Funston avenue at Rivera street.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Stanton—11.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Gallagher, Hayden, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Todd—7.

Bill No. 8295, Ordinance No. 7806 (New Series), as follows:

Changing and reestablishing the official grades on Twenty-third street between Louisiana street produced and Third street; on Georgia and Michigan streets between Twenty-third and Twenty-fourth streets; on Illinois street between Twenty-third and Twenty-fifth streets, and on Twenty-fourth street between Michigan and Third streets.

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors, on the written recommendation of the Board of Public Works, did, on the 2nd day of September, 1927, by Resolution No. 27695 (New Series), declare its intention to change and reestablish the grades on Twenty-third street between Louisiana street produced and Third street; on Georgia and Michigan streets between Twenty-third and Twenty-fourth streets; on Illinois street between Twenty-third and Twenty-fifth streets, and on Twenty-fourth street between Michigan and Third streets.

Whereas, said resolution was so published for ten days, and the Board of Public Works within ten days after the first publication of said resolution of intention caused notices of the passage of said reso-

lution to be conspicuously posted along all streets specified in the resolution in the manner and as provided by law; and

Whereas, more than forty days has elapsed since the first publication of said resolution of intention; therefore,

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The grades on the following named streets at the points hereinafter named and at the elevations above city base as hereinafter stated, are hereby changed and established as follows:

Twenty-third Street.

200 feet easterly from Georgia street produced, 10 feet.

12 feet southerly from the northerly line of, 150 feet easterly from Georgia street produced, 11 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

12 feet southerly from the northerly line of, 50 feet easterly from Georgia street produced 11.50 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

12 feet southerly from the northerly line of, at Georgia street produced, 11.50 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Southerly line of, at Georgia street, 11 feet.

Southerly line of, 100 feet easterly from Michigan street, 11.50 feet.

12 feet southerly from the northerly line of, at Michigan street produced, 11.50 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Southerly line of, at Michigan street, 10.75 feet.

50 feet easterly from Illinois street, 11.50 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Northerly line of, at Illinois street, 10.70 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

12 feet southerly from the northerly line of, at Illinois street, 10.58 feet.

Southerly line of, at Illinois street, 10.58 feet.

Third street, 11 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Georgia Street.

Westerly line of, 12 feet southerly from Twenty-third street northerly line, 11.50 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Easterly line of, 12 feet southerly from Twenty-third street northerly line, 11.50 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Twenty-third street southerly line, 11 feet.

Twenty-fourth street, 6 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Michigan Street.

Westerly line of, 12 feet southerly from Twenty-third street northerly line, 11.50 feet. The same being the present official grade.)

Easterly line of, 12 feet southerly from Twenty-third street northerly line, 11.50 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Twenty-third street southerly line, 10.75 feet.

Twenty-fourth street, 7 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Illinois Street.

Twenty-third street northerly line, 10.70 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Westerly line of, 12 feet southerly from Twenty-third street northerly line, 10.58 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Easterly line of, 12 feet southerly from Twenty-third street northerly line, 10.58 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Twenty-third street southerly line, 10.58 feet.

Twenty-fourth street, 8.80 feet.

Twenty-fifth street, 7 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Twenty-fourth Street.

Michigan street, 7 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

Illinois street, 8.80 feet.

Third street, 8 feet. (The same being the present official grade.)

On Twenty-third street between Louisiana street produced and Third street; on Georgia and Michigan streets between Twenty-third and Twenty-fourth streets; on Illinois street between Twenty-third and Twenty-fifth streets, and on Twenty-fourth street between Michigan and Third streets changed and established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Stanton—11.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Gallagher, Hayden, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Todd—7.

Conditional Acceptance, Certain Streets.

Bill No. 8296, Ordinance No. 7807 (New Series), as follows:

Providing for conditional acceptance of the roadway of Allison street between Morse and Brunswick streets; Bauer street between Cayuga avenue and Alemany boulevard; Diamond street between Sussex and Moffitt streets, and the

intersection of Diamond and Arbor streets; Eighteenth street between De Haro and Carolina streets, and the crossing of Eighteenth and Carolina streets; Gambier street between Pioche and Silliman streets; Gambier srteet between Silver avenue and Pioche street; Gambier street between Silliman and Felton streets; Harvard street between Pioche and Silliman streets, and the crossing of Harvard and Pioche streets, and the crossing of Harvard and Silliman streets; Harvard street between Silver avenue and Pioche street; Italy avenue between Mission and London streets; Lane street between Fairfax and Galvez avenues; Pope street between Brunswick and Hanover streets, and the crossings of Pope street and Brunswick street, Allison and Morse streets, and Allison and Brunswick streets; Romain street between Market street and Grand View avenue; Vermont street between Nineteenth and Twentieth streets; crossing of Gambier street and Pioche street, Silliman street and Felton street.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The roadways of the following named streets, including the curbs on both sides thereof, having been constructed to the satisfaction of the Board of Public Works and of the Board of Supervisors, are hereby conditionally accepted by the City and County of San Francisco (except those portions required by law to be kept in order by the railroad company having tracks thereon), in accordance with the provisions of Section 23, Chapter 2, Article VI of the Charter, said roadways having been paved with alsphaltic concrete and concrete curbs laid thereon, and are in good condition throughout, to-wit:

Allison street between Morse and Brunswick streets, paved with concrete and concrete curbs have been laid thereon, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Baur street between Cayuga avenue and Alemany boulevard, paved with concrete and concrete curbs laid thereon, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Diamond street between Sussex and Moffitt streets and the intersection of Diamond and Arbor streets, paved with concrete and concrete curbs laid thereon, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Eighteenth street between De Haro and Carolina streets and the crossing of Eighteenth and Carolina streets, paved with asphaltic concrete and asphaltic concrete pavement with a fourteen-foot central strip of concrete pavement, concrete curbs have been laid thereon, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein.

Gambier street between Pioche and Silliman streets, paved with concrete and concrete curbs laid thereon, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Gambier street between Silver avenue and Pioche and Gambier street between Silliman and Felton streets, paved with asphaltic concrete with a fourteen-foot central strip of concrete pavement, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Harvard street between Pioche and Silliman and the crossing of Harvard and Pioche streets and the crossing of Harvard and Silliman streets, paved with asphaltic concrete, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Harvard street between Silver avenue and Pioche street, paved with concrete and concrete curbs have been laid thereon, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Italy avenue between Mission and London streets, paved with concrete and concrete curbs have been laid thereon, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Lane street between Fairfax and Galvez avenues, paved with concrete and concrete curbs have been laid thereon, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Pope street between Brunswick and Hanover streets and the crossings of Pope street and Brunswick, Allison and Morse streets and Allison and Brunswick streets, paved with asphaltic concrete and concrete curbs have been laid thereon, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Romain street between Market street and Grand View avenue, paved with concrete and concrete curbs have been laid thereon, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Vermont street between Nineteenth and Twentieth streets, paved with asphaltic concrete and granite curbs have been laid thereon, sew-

ers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein.

Crossings of Gammbier street and Pioche street, Silliman and Felton streets.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Stanton—11.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Gallagher, Hayden, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Todd—7.

Bill No. 8297, Ordinance No. 7808 (New Series), as follows:

Providing for conditional acceptance of the roadway of Carolina street between Eighteenth and Mariposa streets; Eighteenth avenue between Rivera and Santiago streets, and the crossing of Eighteenth avenue and Santiago street; eighteenth avenue between Moraga and Noriega streets; Fortieth avenue between Kirkham and Lawton streets; Foote avenue between Huron and Ellington avenues; Hollister avenue between Ingalls and Jennings streets; Hollister avenue between Hawes and Ingalls streets; Keith street between Palou and Quesada avenues; Lawton street between Forty-eighth avenue and Great Highway; Mariposa street between Carolina and De Haro streets; Moraga street between Forty-seventh and Forty-eighth avenues; Moraga street between Forty-eighth avenue and Great Highway; Newhall street between Fairfax and Galvez avenues; Olmstead street between Girard and Brussels streets; Pioche street between Gambier and Harvard streets; Phelps street between Carroll and Donner avenues; Persia avenue between Munich and Prague streets; San Juan avenue between Cayuga and Capistrano avenues; Turk street between Masonic and Parker avenues; Santiago street between Twenty-seventh and Twenty-eighth avenues; Thirty-eighth avenue between Ulloa and Vicente streets; Vicente street between Thirty-ninth and Fortieth avenues; crossing of Cayuga avenue and San Juan avenue; crossing of Forty-seventh avenue and Ortega street; crossing of Forty-sixth avenue and Pacheco street; crossing of Forty-seventh avenue and Pacheco street; crossing of Hollister avenue and Ingalls street; crossing of Hawes street and Hollister avenue; crossing of Mariposa and Carolina streets; crossing of Thirty-fourth avenue and Santiago street; crossing of Olmstead and Brussels streets; crossing of Olmstead and Gottingen streets.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The roadways of the following named streets, including the curbs on both sides thereof, having been constructed to the satisfaction of the Board of Public Works and of the Board of Supervisors, are hereby conditionally accepted by the City and County of San Francisco (except those portions required by law to be kept in order by the railroad company having tracks thereon), in accordance with the provisions of Section 23, Chapter 2, Article VI of the Charter, said roadways having been paved with asphaltic concrete and curbs laid thereon, and are in good condition, sewers and gas mains have been laid therein, no water mains have been laid therein, to-wit:

Carolina street between Eighteenth and Mariposa streets.

Eighteenth avenue between Rivera and Santiago streets and the crossing of Eighteenth avenue and Santiago street.

Eighteenth avenue between Moraga and Noriega streets.

Fortieth avenue between Kirkham and Lawton streets.

Foote avenue between Huron and Ellington avenues.

Hollister avenue between Ingalls and Jennings streets.

Hollister avenue between Hawes and Ingalls streets.

Keith street between Palou and Quesada avenues.

Lawton street between Forty-eighth avenue and Great Highway.

Mariposa street between Carolina and De Haro streets.

Moraga street between Forty-seventh and Forty-eighth avenues.

Moraga street between Forty-eighth avenue and Great Highway.

Newhall street between Fairfax and Galvez avenues.

Olmstead street between Girard and Brussels streets.

Pioche street between Gambier and Harvard streets.

Phelps street between Carroll and Donner avenues.

Persia avenue between Munich and Prague streets.

San Juan avenue between Cayuga and Capistrano avenues.

Turk street between Masonic and Parker avenues.

Santiago street between Twenty-seventh and Twenty-eighth avenues.

Thirty-eighth avenue between Ulloa and Vicente streets.

Vicente street between Thirty-ninth and Fortieth avenues.

Crossing of Cayuga avenue and San Juan avenue.
Crossing of Forty-seventh avenue and Ortega street.
Crossing of Forty-sixth avenue and Pacheco street.
Crossing of Forty-seventh avenue and Pacheco street.
Crossing of Hollister avenue and Ingalls street.
Crossing of Hawes street and Hollister avenue.
Crossing of Mariposa and Carolina streets.
Crossing of Thirty-fourth avenue and Santiago street.
Crossing of Olmstead and Brussels streets.
Crossing of Olmstead and Goettingen streets.
Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Stanton—11.
Absent—Supervisors Bath, Gallagher, Hayden, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Todd—7.

PRESENTATION OF BILLS AND ACCOUNTS.

Demands not required by law to be passed to print, and amounting to $87,017.27, were allowed and ordered paid by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Stanton—11.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Gallagher, Hayden, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Todd—7.

Action Deferred.

The following items were *laid over one week*:

Urgent Necessity.

Edna Nelson et al., compensation insurance, $83.32.
Spring Valley Water Co., water, horse troughs, $49.01.

NEW BUSINESS.

Passed for Printing.

The following matter was *passed for printing*:

Authorizations.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy:

Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

Park Fund.

(1) J. P. Holland, Inc., services of shovel and crew for park (claim dated Nov. 18, 1927), $780.
(2) Pacific Gas & Electric Co., gas and electric service for parks (claim dated Nov. 18, 1927), $3,729.54.
(3) Spring Valley Water Co., water service for parks (claim dated Nov. 18, 1927), $3,128.71.
(4) Langendorf Baking Co., bread, etc., for parks (claim dated Nov. 18, 1927), $762.94.
(5) National Ice Cream Co., ice cream for parks (claim dated Nov. 18, 1927), $1,332.02.
(6) San Francisco Dairy Co., milk, etc., for parks (claim dated Nov. 18, 1927), $638.24.

General Fund, 1926-1927.

(7) Frank J. McHugh, eighth payment, construction of sewers and appurtenances, Sunset District, Contract 3, Section b (claim dated Nov. 14, 1927), $12,000.

Tearing-Up Streets Fund.

(8) California Pottery Co., sidesewer pipe (claim dated Nov. 14, 1927), $525.

Fire Department Land and Construction Fund.

(9) H. H. Larsen Co., extra work in construction of Fire Department Engine House No. 18 (claim dated Nov. 15, 1927), $1,525.

Hetch Hetchy Operative Revenue Fund.

(10) John J. Dailey, legal services, month of November, in valuation of San Francisco electric properties (claim dated Nov. 17, 1927), $850.
(11) H. G. Butler, services rendered in connection with severance damage report, valuation of San Francisco electric properties (claim dated Nov. 17, 1927), $537.50.
(12) Railroad Commission of State of California, November expense of valuation of San Francisco electric properties (claim dated Nov. 21, 1927), $1,000.

Hetch Hetchy Power Operative Fund.

(13) Depreciation Fund, Hetch Hetchy Power Operative, as reserve for depreciation, per Charter, for November (claim dated Nov. 15, 1927), $14,583.

Auditorium Fund.

(14) Pacific Gas & Electric Co., gas and electric service for the Auditorium (claim dated Nov. 15, 1927), $508.40.

California Palace of the Legion of Honor—Appropriation No. 61.

(15) Carl W. Hamilton, New York, for exhibition of modern paintings (claim dated Nov. 18, 1927), $1,000.

School Bonds, Issue 1918.

(16) Remington Rand Business Service, Inc., chairs for Galileo High School (claim dated Nov. 15, 1927), $1,041.

Special School Tax.

(17) W. P. Fuller & Co., paint supplies for schools (claim dated Nov. 10, 1927), $798.

(18) J. H. McCallum, lumber for schools (claim dated Nov. 10, 1927), $663.13.

Municipal Railway Fund.

(19) Pacific Gas & Electric Co., electricity furnished Municipal Railways (claim dated Nov. 15, 1927), $37,795.49.

(20) San Francisco City Employees Retirement System, for pensions, etc., for Municipal Railway employees (claim dated Nov. 9, 1927), $7,303.13.

Municipal Railway Depreciation Fund.

(21) Standard Underground Cable Co., first and final payment, electric cables for Municipal Railways (claim dated Nov. 16, 1927), $2,177.98.

Municipal Railway Accident Reserve Fund.

(22) Mrs. Pauline Delaney, compromise settlement of claim for personal injuries, etc., against the Municipal Railways (claim dated Nov. 15, 1927), $1,000.

(23) William Marshall and Hilda Marshall, his wife, compromise settlement of claim for personal injuries, etc., against the Municipal Railways (claim dated Nov. 16, 1927), $600.

County Road Fund.

(24) Municipal Construction Co., improvement of crossing of San Jose and Mt. Vernon avenues (claim dated Nov. 15, 1927), $510.

School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923.

(25) John Reid, Jr., fifth payment, architectural services for Hearst-Moulder School (claim dated Nov. 14, 1927), $591.72.

Hetch Hetchy Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1925.

(26) T. E. Connolly and J. M. DeLucca, tenth payment, construction of aqueduct tunnels in Foothill Division (claim dated Nov. 14, 1927), $29,956.25.

(27) A. Guthrie & Co., Inc., eleventh payment, construction of aqueduct tunnels in Foothill Division (claim dated Nov. 14, 1927), $44,179.19.

(28) Hercules Powder Co., explosives (claim dated Nov. 14, 1927), $2,297.62.

(29) George H. Tay Co., pipe and fittings (claim dated Nov. 14, 1927), $569.28.

(30) United Materials Co., Lumnite cement (claim dated Nov. 14, 1927), $612.50.

Publicity and Advertising (Appropriation 55).

(31) Rudolph G. Theurkauf & Bro., for transporting, cleaning, setting up and maintaining San Francisco "Reno exhibit" at San Francisco Food Show, Auditorium, and dismanteling, including photography and electric work (claim dated Nov. 21, 1927), $1,573.

(32) California Development Association, expense of maintaining San Francisco Exhibit and Information Bureau, Ferry Buliding, for the publicity and advertising of San Francisco (claim dated Nov. 21, 1927), $1,000.

(33) Californians, Incorporated, for expense of publicity and advertising of San Francisco (claim dated Nov. 21, 1927), $25,000.

General Fund, 1926-1927.

(34) Union Machine Co., two water towers and monitor batteries, complete, for Fire Department (claim dated June 30, 1927), $22,600.

General Fund, 1927-1928.

(35) Dion R. Holm, legal services for November, in matter of telephone rate litigation (claim dated Nov. 17, 1927), $850.

(36) Paul J. Ost, engineering services, October and November, in matter of telephone rate litigation (claim dated Nov. 17, 1927), $600.

(37) N. Randall Ellis, engineering service for November, in matter of telephone rate litigation (claim dated Nov. 17, 1927), $750.

(38) J. H. McCallum, lumber for convenience stations (claim dated Nov. 18, 1927), $547.28.

(39) American La France Co., Fire Department apparatus parts (claim dated Oct. 31, 1927), $847.10.

(40) Crescent Oil Co., gasoline for Fire Department (claim dated Oct. 31, 1927), $839.22.

(41) Harron, Rickard & McCone Co., two engine lathes for Fire Department (claim dated Oct. 31, 1927), $4,200.

(42) Pacific Gas & Electric Co., gas and electricity furnished Fire Department (claim dated Oct. 31, 1927), $1,943.96.

(43) Spring Valley Water Co., water and hydrants furnished Fire Department (claim dated Oct. 31, 1927), $2,305.04.

(44) Tire Service Co., tires for Fire Department (claim dated Oct. 31, 1927), $1,151.70.

(45) White Brothers, lumber for

Fire Department (claim dated Oct. 31, 1927), $513.90.

(46) Sonoma State Home, maintenance of feeble-minded (claim dated Nov. 21, 1927), $9,625.

(47) A. P. Jacobs, rent of premises No. 333 Kearny street, November 3 to December 3, 1927, $1,186.

(48) California State Automobile Association, 500 Carter arterial stops for traffic regulation (claim dated Nov. 21, 1927), $8,750.

(49) Pacific Gas & Electric Co., October street lighing (claim dated Nov. 21, 1927), $54,939.97.

(50) James G. Stafford and associates, fourth payment, appraisal of taxable improvements (claim dated Nov. 14, 1927), $2,795.

(51) Delos Wilcox, final payment for analysis and investigation regarding franchises and properties of privately owned railways, per Resolution No. 27804, New Series (claim dated Nov. 21, 1927), $4,000.

(52) Protestant Orphanage, maintenance of minors (claim dated Nov. 16, 1927), $940.

(53) San Francisco Nursery for Homeless Children (claim dated Nov. 16, 1927), $558.14.

(54) Roman Catholic Orphanage, maintenance of minors (claim dated Nov. 16, 1927), $3,418.23.

(55) The Albertinum Orphanage, maintenance of minors (claim dated Nov. 16, 1927), $1,403.94.

(56) St. Vincent's School, maintenance of minors (claim dated Nov. 16, 1927), $1,883.11.

(57) Boys' Aid Society, maintenance of minors (claim dated Nov. 16, 1927), $1,352.32.

(58) Little Children's Aid, maintenance of minors (claim dated Nov. 16, 1927), $12,325.36.

(58) Children's Agency, maintenance of minors (claim dated Nov. 16, 1927), $33,200.40.

(60) Eureka Benevolent Society, maintenance of minors (claim dated Nov. 16, 1927), $4,206.82.

(61) Preston School of Industry, maintenance of minors (claim dated Nov. 16, 1927), $544.66.

(62) Preston School of Industry, maintenance of minors (claim dated Nov. 16, 1927), $612.90.

(63) E. B. Frick, room allowances for San Francisco Hospital employees (claim dated Nov. 17, 1927), $2,729.05.

(64) J. A. Folger & Co., coffee, S. F. Hospital (claim dated Nov. 17, 1927), $546.75.

(65) California Meat Co., meats, S. F. Hospital (claim dated Nov. 17, 1927), $740.51.

(66) Scatena-Galli Fruit Co., fruit, S. F. Hospital (claim dated Nov. 17, 1927), $632.01.

(67) L. Lagomarsino & Co., vegetables, S. F. Hospital (claim dated Nov. 17, 1927), $500.14.

(68) Spring Valley Water Co., water for S. F. Hospital (claim dated Nov. 17, 1927), $1,627.40.

(69) California Petroleum Co., fuel oil, Laguna Honda Home (claim dated Nov. 17, 1927), $1,747.22.

(70) Del Monte Meat Co., meats, Laguna Honda Home (claim dated Nov. 17, 1927), $3,526.54.

(71) Fred L. Hilmer Co., eggs, Laguna Honda Home (claim dated Nov. 17, 1927), $1,409.72.

(72) H. Moffatt Co., meat, Laguna Honda Home (claim dated Nov. 17, 1927), $1,045.76.

(73) Morris & Co., butter, Laguna Honda Home (claim dated Nov. 17, 1927), $1,280.76.

(74) C. Nauman & Co., potatoes, Laguna Honda Home (claim dated Nov. 17, 1927), $516.50.

(75) San Francisco Dairy Co., milk, Laguna Honda Home (claim dated Nov. 17, 1927), $2,474.30.

(76) Sharp Flour Co., flour, Laguna Honda Home (claim dated Nov. 17, 1927), $1,198.80.

(77) Standard Fisheries, fish, Laguna Honda Home (claim dated Nov. 17, 1927), $530.46.

(78) S. H. Tyler & Son, coffee, Laguna Honda Home (claim dated Nov. 17, 1927), $538.80.

(79) J. E. French Co., one auto truck, Laguna Honda Home (claim dated Nov. 17, 1927), $1,410.

(80) Duddy & Kibbe Printing Co., printing 250,000 four-page folders, Bonds No. 3 (claim dated Nov. 21, 1927), $935.

(81) Kleiber Motor Co., one auto sedan, Board of Supervisors (claim dated Nov. 21, 1927), $3,025.

(*Supervisor Byington requested that he be recorded as voting no on Item No.* 51, *same being in his opinion illegal.*)

Action Deferred.

The following resolution was *laid over one week*:

Appropriation, $506.30, for Law Books Furnished Department No. 12, Superior Court.

Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $506.30 be and the same is hereby authorized in payment out of Urgent Necessity, Budget Item No. 25, to Bancroft-Whitney Company for law books furnished Department No. 12 of the Superior Court. (Claim dated Nov. 21, 1927.)

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Appropriation, $4,000, Payment to Henry Ruffoni for Land on Funston Avenue Required for School Purposes.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy:

Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $4,000 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923, and authorized in payment to Henry Ruffoni; being payment for land commencing at a point on the easterly line of Funston avenue, distant thereon 161 feet 7 inches northerly from the northerly line of Lawton street; running thence northerly along said easterly line of Funston avenue 38 feet and 5 inches; thence at a right angle easterly 120 feet; thence at a right angle southerly 38 feet and 5 inches; thence at a right angle westerly 120 feet; as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 28041 (New Series), and required for school purposes.

Appropriation, $10,000, Payment to Jean Plat and Lucie Plat for Property Required for Opening of Bernal Cut.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $10,000 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of Bernal Cut Bonds, Issue 1927, and authorized in payment to Jean Plat and Lucie Plat; being payment for property required for the opening of Bernal Cut; said property being particularly described in acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 28037 (New Series).

Appropriations Out of County Road Fund for Improvement of Turk Street, Mission Viaduct and Alemany Boulevard.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of County Road Fund for the following purposes, to-wit:

(1) For the improvement of Turk street between Masonic and Parker avenues, additional for completion and final payment, $9,649.78.

(2) For the resurfacing of the Mission street viaduct, uncompleted portion, $5,000.

(3) For paving of additional width of 20 feet on new street to be opened between Alemany boulevard and Silver avenue, $6,000.

Appropriation, $1,161.61, Laboratory Equipment and Storage Cabinet for Laguna Honda Home.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $1,161.61 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of "Laguna Honda Home Equipment," Budget Item No. 60, for the purchase of six 2-door steel storage cabinets and laboratory equipment for the Laguna Honda Home.

Appropriation, $3,000, Publicity and Advertising, Pacific Foreign Trades and Travel Exposition.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $3,000 be and is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of "Publicity and Advertising," Budget Item No. 55, for the benefit of the Pacific Foreign Trades and Travel Exposition, in furthering and advertising the advantages of this port at said exposition.

Appropriation, $2,000, Christmas Eve Celebration.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Public Welfare and Publicity Committee be and it is hereby authorized to make the necessary arrangements for and to take charge of the customary official Municipal Christmas Eve Celebration; be it

Further Resolved, That the sum of $2,000 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of Publicity and Advertising, Appropriation No. 55, to cover the expense of said celebration.

Settlement of Judgment in Sum of $5,250 in Payment to Nina M. Stephens for Land Required for School Purposes.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, on November 15, 1927, a judgment was rendered in that certain action entitled "City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, vs. Nina M. Stephens, also known as Nina M. Jacobson, and also known as Nina R. Jacobson," numbered 147465, in the Superior Court of the State of California, in and for the City and County of San Francisco, for the taking and acquiring by plaintiff, City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, in eminent domain, for school purposes, those certain lots, pieces or parcels of land, situate, lying and being in

the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, owned by said defendant above named, and described as follows, to-wit:

Parcel 1. Beginning at a point on the northerly line of Bacon street, distant thereon 60 feet easterly from the northeasterly corner of Bacon and Goettingen streets, and running thence easterly along said northerly line of Bacon street 30 feet; thence at a right angle northerly 100 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 30 feet, and thence at a right angle southerly 100 feet to the point of beginning.

Being Lot 17, in Block 19, as per map of property of the Railroad Avenue Extension Homestead Association, filed June 24, 1871, in Book "E" and "F" of Maps, at page 47, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California.

Parcel 2. Beginning at a point on the northerly line of Bacon street, distant thereon 90 feet easterly from the northeasterly corner of Bacon and Goettingen streets, and running thence easterly along said northerly line of Bacon street 30 feet; thence at a right angle northerly 100 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 30 feet, and thence at a right angle southerly 100 feet to the point of beginning.

Being Lot 18, in Block 19, as per map of property of the Railroad Avenue Extension Homestead Association, filed June 24, 1871, in Book "E" and "F" of Maps, at page 47, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California.

Therefore, be it Resolved, That the sum of $5,250 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923, and authorized in payment to said Nina M. Stephens, also known as Nina M. Jacobson, and also known as Nina R. Jacobson, in full payment of said judgment for the acquisition of said lands hereinabove described.

Appropriations for Work in Front of City Property and Reconstruction and Extension of Sewers.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 28078 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter designated funds for the following purposes, to-wit:

Street Work in Front of City Property—Budget Item No. 35.

(1) For cost of constructing sidewalk fronting the Bernal School, Lane street between Oakdale and Newcomb avenues, $435.

(2) For cost of opening the roadway of Laidley street between Mateo and Roanoke streets, and placing broken rock thereon, $200.

Extension and Reconstruction of Sewers—Budget Item 38.

(3) For cost of constructing necessary drains and overflow to relieve storm water conditions at outlet of the Ingleside sewer; by Bureau of Building Repair, $500.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Stanton—11.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Gallagher, Hayden, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Todd—7.

Passed for Printing.

The following bill was *passed for printing*:

Authorizing Plans, Etc., for Sewage Pump, Pine Lake Park Pumping Station.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy:

Bill No. 8298, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Authorizing the preparation of plans and specifications for and ordering the furnishing and installing of a sewage pump and appurtenances for the Pine Lake Park pumping station, in accordance with said plans and specifications prepared therefor; authorizing and directing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for said furnishing and installing of sewage pump and appurtenances.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized, instructed and empowered to prepare plans and specifications for the furnishing and installing of a sewage pump and appurtenances for the Pine Lake Park pumping station, and to enter into contract for the said furnishing and installing of a sewage pump and appurtenances for the Pine Lake Park pumping station in accordance with said plans and specifications prepared therefor.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Accepting Statements of Market Street Railway Company of City's Percentage of Gross Receipts.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 28079 (New Series), as follows::

Resolved, That the statements of the Market Street Railway Company showing gross receipts from passenger fares for the months of August and September, 1927, upon which percentages in the following amounts are due the City and County under terms of franchises, be and the same are hereby accepted, to-wit:

August.

Parkside Transit, Wawona, Taraval, etc., $540.95.

Gough street, $47.06.

Parnassus avenue, etc., $324.52.

September.

Parkside Transit, Wawona, Taraval, etc., $541.74.

Gough street, $45.90.

Parnassus avenue, etc., $310.33.

Further Resolved, That the Market Street Railway Company is hereby directed to deposit with the Treasurer of the City and County the hereinabove mentioned sums, the same to be placed to the credit of the General Fund.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Stanton—11.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Gallagher, Hayden, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Todd—7.

Also, Resolution No. 28080 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the statement of the Market Street Railway Company for the year ending December 31, 1926, showing franchise percentages due the City and County from passenger receipts in the sum of $51,651.27, be and the same is hereby accepted; and

Further Resolved, That the Market Street Railway Company is hereby directed to deposit said sum of $51,651.27 with the Treasurer of the City and County, the same to be placed to the credit of the General Fund.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Stanton—11.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Gallagher, Hayden, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Todd—7.

City Attorney to Compromise for $750, Claim of Dorothy Hansen vs. City and County.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 28081 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the City Attorney be and he is hereby authorized to compromise and settle for the sum of seven hundred and fifty ($750) dollars the case of Dorothy Hansen v. City and County of San Francisco, for the death of Catherine Hansen on the 17th day of April, 1926, at the intersection of Jordan avenue and Geary street.

(Care of Municipal Railways.)

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Stanton—11.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Gallagher, Hayden, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Todd—7.

Transfer of Appropriations Covering Repairs to Equipment.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 28082 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside out of the hereinafter mentioned appropriations and budget items to the credit of Appriation 30A, Budget Item No. 449; being reimbursement for expenditures in connection with repairs to equipment, to-wit:

From Appropriation 33c, Budget Item 525, $1,063.13.

From Appropriation 35b, Budget Item 545, $1,136.25.

From Appropriation 36b, Budget Item 558, $495.

From Appropriation 38a, Budget Item 565, $137.25.

From Appropriation 32b, Budget Item 495, $41.62.

From Appropriation 3, Budget Item 71, $30.38.

From Appropriation 30b, Budget Item 456, $51.75.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Stanton—11.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Gallagher, Hayden, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Todd—7.

Mayor to Sell Property on Bernal Cut Right of Way.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 28083 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Mayor be and he is hereby authorized and directed to sell at public auction after at least five (5) days of published notice, the following described personal property owned by the City and County of San Francisco, to-wit:

Dwelling houses and appurtenances situated on those certain pieces or parcels of land required for opening of Bernal Cut, and known as 33 Arlington street, 35

Arlington street, 37 Arlington street, 43 Arlington street, 39 Arlington street, 33 College avenue, 45 College avenue.

The terms of said sale shall be cash upon delivery of bill of sale, said dwelling houses and appurtenances to be removed by the purchasers within thirty (30) days of purchase thereof.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Stanton—11.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Gallagher, Hayden, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Todd—7.

Also, Resolution No. 28084 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Mayor be and he is hereby authorized and directed to sell at public auction after at least five (5) days of published notice, the following described personal property owned by the City and County of San Francisco, to-wit:

Dwelling houses and appurtenances situated on those certain pieces or parcels of land required for opening of Bernal Cut, and known as 381 Arlington street, 31 Arlington street.

The terms of said sale shall be cash upon delivery of bill of sale, said dwelling houses and appurtenances to be removed by the purchasers within thirty (30) days of purchase thereof.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Stanton—11.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Gallagher, Hayden, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Todd—7.

Resolution of Intention to Establish Set-back Lines No. 168.

Supervisor Hayen presented:

Resolution No. 28085 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the City Planning Commission has recommended that setback lines be established along the streets hereinafter mentioned and as delineated upon maps accompanying said recommendations, to which reference is hereby made for further particulars; therefore, be it

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco to establish set-back lines as provided by Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), approved May 18, 1922, as follows:

Along the westerly side of Twenty-seventh avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Fulton street and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 325 feet, said setback line to be 9 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; along the easterly side of Twenty-seventh avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Fulton street and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said setback line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 300 feet, said set-back line to be 9 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said setback line to be 3 feet.

Along both sides of Thirty-ninth avenue, commencing at Santiago street and running thence northerly 450 feet, said set-back lines to be 9 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back lines to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said setback lines to be 3 feet.

Along the westerly side of Thirtieth avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Fulton street and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly to Cabrillo street, said set-back line to be 9 feet; along the easterly side of Thirtieth avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Fulton street and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said setback line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 300 feet, said set-back line to be 9 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said setback line to be 3 feet.

And notice is hereby given that Tuesday, the 2d day of January, 1928, at the hour of 11 o'clock a. m., at the chambers of the Board of Supervisors, City Hall, in said City and County of San Francisco, has been fixed as the time and place when and where any and all persons having any objections to the establishing of the proposed setback lines may appear and present any objections which they may have to said set-back lines as set forth in this resolution of intention.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Stanton—11.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Galla-

gher, Hayden, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Todd—7.

Resolution of Intention to Establish Set-back Lines No. 169.

Also, Resolution No. 28086 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the City Planning Commission has recommended that setback lines be established along the streets hereinafter mentioned and as delineated upon maps accompanying said recommendations, to which reference is hereby made for further particulars; therefore, be it

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco to establish set-back lines as provided by Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), approved May 18, 1922, as follows:

Along the northerly side of Beach street, commencing at a point 100 feet easterly from Baker street and running thence easterly 50 feet, said set-back line to be 2 feet; thence easterly 125 feet, said setback line to be 7 feet; thence easterly 30 feet, said set-back line to be 4½ feet; thence easterly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 2 feet; along the southerly side of Beach street, commencing at a point 50 feet easterly from Baker street and running thence easterly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; thence easterly 260 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence easterly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet.

Along the northerly side of Jefferson street between Baker and Broderick streets, said set-back line to be 5 feet.

Along the southerly side of Marina boulevard between Lyon and Baker streets, said set-back line to be 5 feet.

Along the westerly side of Baker street, commencing at Jefferson street and running thence northerly to a point 100 feet southerly from Marina boulevard, said setback line to be 5 feet.

Along the easterly side of Lyon street, commencing at Jefferson street and running thence northerly to a point 100.068 feet southerly from Marina boulevard, said set-back line to be 5 feet.

And notice is hereby given that Tuesday, the 2d day of January, 1928, at the hour of 11 o'clock a. m., at the chambers of the Board of Supervisors, City Hall, in said City and County of San Francisco, has been fixed as the time and place when and where any and all persons having any objections to the establishing of the proposed setback lines may appear and present any objections which they may have to said set-back lines as set forth in this resolution of intention.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Stanton—11.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Gallagher, Hayden, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Todd—7.

Resolution of Intention to Establish Set-back Lines No. 170.

Also, Resolution No. 28087 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas the City Planning Commission has recommended that setback lines be established along the streets hereinafter mentioned and as delineated upon maps accompanying said recommendations, to which reference is hereby made for further particulars; therefore, be it

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco to establish set-back lines as provided by Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), approved May 18, 1922, as follows:

Along the westerly side of Forty-sixth avenue between Quintara street and Pacheco street, said setback line to be 9 feet; along the easterly side of Forty-sixth avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Quintara street and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said setback line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 300 feet, said set-back line to be 9 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said setback line to be 3 feet.

Along both sides of Forty-sixth avenue between Rivera and Quintara streets, said set-back lines to be 9 feet.

Along the westerly side of Forty-sixth avenue, commencing at a point 69 feet northerly from Santiago street and running thence northerly to Rivera street, said setback line to be 9 feet.

Along both sides of Byxbee street, commencing at points 100 feet northerly from Shields street and running thence northerly to points 100 feet southerly from Garfield street, said set-back lines to be 10 feet.

And notice is hereby given that Tuesday, the 2d day of January, 1928, at the hour of 11 o'clock a. m., at the chambers of the Board of Supervisors, City Hall, in said City and County of San Francisco, has been fixed as the time and place

when and where any and all persons having any objections to the establishing of the proposed set-back lines may appear and present any objections which they may have to said set-back lines as set forth in this resolution of intention.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Stanton—11.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Gallagher, Hayden, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Todd—7.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Oil Tank Permits.

On motion of Supervisor Deasy:

Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits be and are hereby granted:

Oil Tanks.

T. A. Belmont, west side of Thirty-first avenue, 95 feet north of Clement street, 1500 gallons capacity.

V. Gullmes, south side of Grove street, 78 feet west of Broderick street, 1500 gallons capacity.

M. Hearold, east side of San Benito way, 150 feet north of Upland drive, 1500 gallons capacity.

A. and H. Levinson, 132-134 Presidio avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

M. H. Levy, 186 Commonwealth avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

Dr. E. Mahan, southwest corner of Anza street and Twenty-eighth avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

Camillo Nordi, north side of Chestnut street, 137 feet west of Broderick street, 750 gallons capacity.

Max Ostrow, 118 Twenty-second avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

Frank Smith, north side of Cervantes boulevard, 125 feet west of Avila way, 1500 gallons capacity.

Percy W. Treat, 560 Tenth avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

P. W. Wood, south side of Ellis street, 50 feet west of Anna lane, 1500 gallons capacity.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits shall become null and void.

Parking Station Permit.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That John O. Wardrup be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain an automobile parking station on the southeasterly line of Mission street, 73 feet 2½ inches northeasterly from Fifth street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Street Lights.

Supervisor Stanton presented:

Resolution No. 28088 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Pacific Gas and Electric Company be and it is hereby authorized and requested to remove and install the following street lights:

Remove 600 *M. R.*

Northwest corner Marina boulevard and Buchanan street.

North side Marina boulevard, first east of Webster street.

Northeast corner Marina boulevard and Webster street.

Northeast corner Marina boulevard and Fillmore street.

North side Marina boulevard, first east of Steiner street.

North side Marina boulevard, first west of Steiner street.

Northeast corner Marina boulevard and Pierce street.

North side Marina boulevard, first east of Scott street.

Northwest corner Marina boulevard and Scott street.

Northeast corner Marina boulevard and Divisadero street.

North side Marina boulevard, first west of Divisadero street.

Northeast corner Marina boulevard and Broderick street.

North side Marina boulevard, first west of Broderick street.

Remove 250 *M. R.*

Northeast corner Marina boulecard and Lyon street.

Install Type C Electroliers.

Northwest corner Marina boulevard and Buchanan street.

North side Marina boulevard, first and second west of Buchanan street.

Northeast corner Marina boulevard and Webster street.

North side Marina boulevard, first and second west of Webster street.

Northwest corner Marina boulevard and Fillmore street.

North side Marina boulevard, first west of Fillmore street.

North side Marina boulevard, first east of Steiner street.

North side Marina boulevard, first west of Steiner street.

North side Marina boulevard, first east of Pierce street.

Northeast corner Marina boulevard and Pierce street.

North side Marina boulevard, first west of Pierce street.

North side Marina boulevard, first east of Scott street.

North side Marina boulevard, first west of Scott street.

North side Marina boulevard, first east of Divisadero street.

North side Marina boulevard, first west of Divisadero street.

North side Marina boulevard, first east of Broderick street.

North side Marina boulevard, first west of Broderick street.

North side Marina boulevard, first east of Baker street.

North side Marina boulevard, first west of Baker street.

Southwest corner Marina boulevard and Buchanan street.

South side Marina boulevard, first and second west of Buchanan street.

South side Marina boulevard, first, second and third east of Fillmore street.

Southeast corner Marina boulevard and Fillmore street.

South side Marina boulevard, first east of Fillmore street.

South side Marina boulevard, first west of Fillmore street.

South side Marina boulevard, first east of Casa way.

Southeast corner Marina boulevard and Casa way.

Southwest corner Marina boulevard and Casa way.

North side Marina boulevard, east line of Broderick street.

South side Marina boulevard, first west of Avila street.

South side Marina boulevard, first west of Pierce street.

South side Marina boulevard, first east of Cervantes boulevard.

Southeast corner Marina boulevard and Cervantes boulevard.

Southwest corner Marina boulevard and Scott street.

South side Marina boulevard, first west of Scott street.

Southeast corner Marina boulevard and Divisadero street.

South side Marina boulevard, first west of Divisadero street.

South side Marina boulevard, first east of Broderick street.

South side Marina boulevard, first west of Broderick street.

South side Marina boulevard, first east of Baker street.

Southwest corner Marina boulevard and Baker street.

Southeast corner Marina boulevard and Lyon street.

Installations to be made while underground is being constructed. Wattage to be determined later.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Stanton—11.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Gallagher, Hayden, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Todd—7.

Also, Resolution No. 28089 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Pacific Gas and Electric Company be and it is hereby authorized and requested to remove and install street lights as follows:

Remove Gas.

Alma and Cole streets.

Cole street between Alma and Grattan streets.

Nineteenth and Collingwood streets.

Nineteenth street between Collingwood and Castro streets.

Nineteenth street between Collingwood and Diamond streets.

East side Noe street between Hancock and Eighteenth streets.

West side Noe street between Eighteenth and Nineteenth streets.

East side Noe street between Hancock and Nineteenth streets.

North side Hancock street, first and second east of Noe street.

South side Hancock street between Noe and Sanchez streets.

Southwest corner Hancock and Sanchez streets.

East side Sanchez street, first north of Hancock street.

West side Baker street between Pacific avenue and Jackson street.

East side Baker street between Pacific avenue and Broadway.

West side Baker street between Pacific avenue and Broadway.

Install 250 *M. R.*

Service street off Steiner street between Greenwich and Lombard streets.

Install 250 *C. P.*

Poles 21 and 22, Brentwood drive.

Install 600 *M. R.*

Embarcadero between Washington and Jackson streets.

Install 400 *M. R.*

Farallones street between Capitol and Orizaba avenues.

Lobos street between Capitol and Orizaba avenues.

San Juan and Cayuga avenues.

Bronte street and Jarboe avenue.

Harvard and Silliman streets.

Rolph street between Madrid and Morse streets.

Forty-sixth avenue and Moraga street.

Josiah avenue between Ridge lane and Lakeview avenue.

Cole street between Alma and Grattan streets.

Alma and Cole streets.

Cole street between Alma and Rivoli streets.

Nineteenth and Collingwood streets.

Nineteenth street between Collingwood and Castro streets.

Nineteenth street between Collingwood and Diamond streets.

West side Noe street between Eighteenth and Nineteenth streets.

Southeast corner Hancock and Sanchez streets.

South side Hancock street betweeen Noe and Sanchez streets.

Eighteenth street between Clover and Danvers streets.

Hyde street between Broadway and Union street.

Baker street between Pacific avenue and Broadway.

Baker street between Pacific avenue and Jackson street.

Waller street between Central and Masonic avenues.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Stanton—11.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Gallagher, Hayden, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Todd—7.

Masquerade Ball Permit.

Supervisor Schmidt presented:

Resolution No. 28090 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That permission is hereby granted Otto Knock to conduct a masquerade ball in the Majestic Ballroom, Wednesday evening, November 23, 1927, upon payment of the usual license fee.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Stanton—11.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Gallagher, Hayden, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Todd—7.

Action Deferred.

The following resolution was taken up and, on motion, *laid over one week*:

Mayor to Enter Lease With Pacific Telephone and Telegraph Company for Lot Used by Fire Department.

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Mayor and the Clerk of this Board be and are hereby authorized and directed to enter into lease with the Pacific Telephone and Telegraph Company for the premises used by the Fire Department and situated on Bush street east of Dupont street for a period of five years from and after February 29, 1928, at a monthly rental of $200, the City to have an option to exercise the continuance of the said lease for a period of five years additional at the same rental, being $200 per month.

City Attorney to Dismiss Condemnation Proceedings.

Supervisor Powers presented:

Resolution No. 28091 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of the City Attorney, by communication filed November 14, 1927, said City Attorney be and is hereby authorized and requested to dismiss court proceedings in action, in re: City and County of San Francisco vs. Florence G. Herold, in Superior Court, being No. 172328, for the acquisition by the City and County of certain property for the reason that a deed has been executed and delivered by the defendant to the City and County for the property described in the complaint of said action.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Stanton—11.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Gallagher, Hayden, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Todd—7.

Also Resolution No. 28092 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of the City Attorney, by communication filed November 14, 1927, said City Attorney be and is hereby authorized and requested to dismiss court proceedings in action, in re: City and County of San Francisco vs. Urbais, in Superior Court, being No. 183895, for the acquisition by the City and County of certain property, for the reason that a deed has been executed and delivered by the defendant to the City and County for the property described in the complaint of said action.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Stanton—11.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Gallagher, Hayden, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Todd—7.

City Attorney to Commence Condemnation Proceedings for the Acquisition of Land on Francisco Street and on Stockton Street Required for School Purposes.

Supervisor Powers presented:

Resolution No. 28093 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, By the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, that public

interest and necessity require the acquisition by the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, of the following properties situated in the City and County of San Francisco, and more particularly described as follows, to-wit:

Commencing at a point on the northerly line of Francisco street, distant thereon 206 feet 3 inches easterly from the intersection of the northerly line of Francisco street with the easterly line of Powell street, running thence easterly along the northerly line of Francisco street 118 feet 9 inches to the westerly line of Worden street; thence at right angles northerly along said westerly line of Worden street 125 feet; thence at right angles westerly 50 feet; thence at right angles northerly 12½ feet; thence at right angles westerly 68 feet 9 inches; thence at right angles southerly 137 feet 6 inches to the northerly line of Francisco street and point of commencement. Being a portion of Block No. 40 on Assessor's Map Book.

Also, commencing at a point on the westerly line of Stockton street, distant thereon 50 feet northerly from the intersection of the westerly line of Stockton street with the northerly line of Francisco street, running thence northerly along the westerly line of Stockton street 75 feet; thence at right angles westerly 68 feet 6 inches to the easterly line of Worden street; thence at right angles southerly along the easterly line of Worden street 75 feet; thence at right angles easterly 68 feet 6 inches to the westerly line of Stockton street and the point of commencement. Being a portion of Block No. 40 on Assessor's Map Book.

Be it Further Resolved, That said properties are suitable, adaptable, necessary and required for the public use of said City and County of San Francisco, to-wit: For school purposes. It is necessary that a fee simple title be taken for such use. The City Attorney is hereby ordered and directed to commence proceedings in eminent domain against the owners of said tracts of land and of any and all interests therein or claims thereto for condemnation thereof for the public use of said City and County of San Francisco.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Stanton—11.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Gallagher, Hayden, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Todd—7.

City Attorney to Commence Condemnation Proceedings for the Acquisition of Land on Arguello Boulevard Required for School Purposes.

Also, Resolution No. 28094 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That public interest and necessity require the acquisition by the City and County of San Francisco of the following described land, and any and all rights and claims thereto, for school purposes, to-wit:

Commencing at a point on the easterly line of Arguello boulevard, 440 feet 7 inches northerly from the northerly line of Geary street, running thence northerly along said easterly line of Arguello boulevard 25 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 120 feet; thence at a right angle southerly 25 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 120 feet to the easterly line of Arguello boulevard and point of commencement. Being a portion of Western Addition Block 647, also known as Lot 32, Block 1061, on Assessor's Map Book.

That all the land, rights and claims above described are hereby declared to be suitable, adaptable and necessary for a public use by the City and County of San Francisco.

The City Attorney is hereby instructed to commence proceedings against the owner or owners of said lots, pieces or parcels of land inclosed within said hereinabove description, and any and all rights and claims thereto and interest therein for the condemnation thereof for the use of the City and County of San Francisco as aforesaid, and to prosecute such proceedings to a speedy termination.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Stanton—11.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Gallagher, Hayden, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Todd—7.

Accepting Offer of W. W. Haley to Sell Land on Twelfth Avenue Required for School Purposes.

Also, Resolution No. 28095 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, an offer has been received from W. W. Haley to sell to the City and County of San Francisco certain land required for school purposes; and

Whereas, the price at which said parcel of land and improvements is

offered is the reasonable value thereof; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the offer of the said owner to convey to the City and County of San Francisco a good and sufficient fee simple title to the following described land, free of all encumbrances, for the sum of $20,137.50, be and the same is hereby accepted, the said land being described as follows, to-wit:

Commencing at a point on the westerly line of Twelfth avenue, distant thereon 90 feet northerly from the northerly line of Lawton street; thence westerly and parallel to the northerly line of Lawton street 120 feet; thence at a right angle northerly 7 feet to a line drawn from a point on the easterly line of Funston avenue, distant thereon 102 feet 11 inches northerly from the northerly line of Lawton street to a point on the westerly line of Twelfth avenue, distant thereon 91 feet northerly from the northerly line of Lawton street; thence westerly along a line so drawn 120 feet 3 inches to the easterly line of Funston avenue; thence northerly along said line of Funston avenue 28 feet 8 inches; thence at a right angle easterly 120 feet; thence at a right angle northerly 93 feet 5 inches; thence at a right angle easterly 120 feet to the westerly line of Twelfth avenue; thence southerly along said line of Twelfth avenue 135 feet to the point of commencement. Being part of Outside Land Block No. 780.

Also, commencing at a point on the easterly line of Funston avenue, distant thereon 131 feet 7 inches northerly from the northerly line of Lawton street; running thence northerly and along said line of Funston avenue 30 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 120 feet; thence at a right angle southerly 30 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 120 feet to the point of commencement. Being part of Outside Land Block No. 780.

Also, commencing at a point on the westerly line of Twelfth avenue, distant thereon 30 feet northerly from the northerly line of Lawton street; running thence northerly and along said line of Twelfth avenue 30 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 95 feet; thence at a right angle southerly 30 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 95 feet to the point of commencement. Being part of Outside Land Block No. 780.

The City Attorney is hereby directed to examine the title to said land, and, if the same is found to be vested in the aforesaid owner, free of all encumbrances, and that the taxes up to and including the current fiscal year are paid, and that the so-called McEnerney title has been procured or sufficient money reserved for the purpose of procuring the same, to report the result of his examination to the Board of Supervisors, and also to cause a good and sufficient deed for said land to be executed and delivered to the City and County upon payment of the agreed purchase price as aforesaid. And the said deed to said land is hereby accepted.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Stanton—11.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Gallagher, Hayden, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Todd—7.

Award of Contract, Turkeys.

Supervisor Badaracco presented:

Resolution No. 28096 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of contract be hereby made to O'Brien, Spotorno, Mitchell & Compagno Bros. on bid submitted November 14, 1927 (Proposal No. 338), for furnishing the following, viz.:

4357 pounds of turkey, required by public institutions for Thanksgiving, at $0.46 per pound.

Resolved, That no bond be required.

Resolved, That all other bids submitted thereon be rejected.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Stanton—11.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Gallagher, Hayden, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Todd—7.

ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND MOTIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

Schedule for Bond Issue, Highway and Boulevard Construction.

Supervisor Marks presented:

Resolution No. 28097 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the people of San Francisco on November 8, 1927, approved by the requisite vote a bond issue in the sum of $9,380,000 for the construction of certain boulevards and highways as follows: The Bay Shore Highway, the Alemany boulevard, the Junipero Serra boulevard, Nineteenth avenue extended, the Sunset boulevard, the Esplanade,

the Great Highway and the Van Ness avenue extension; and

Whereas, it is highly desirable that action be taken without delay or interruption to provide for the sale of said bonds, and the commencement and completion of all of said projects; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Mayor, the City Engineer and the Superintendent of Parks be requested to recommend to the Board of Supervisors a schedule for the construction of said highways and boulevards, the order and sequence thereof, the amounts of funds required and the periods when the same should be made available and such other elements of a complete program as shall bring about the speedy, uninterrupted and economic completion of said projects.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Stanton—11.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Gallagher, Hayden, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Todd—7.

Accepting Offer of Willms Land and Cattle Company to Sell Land for Hetch Hetchy Right of Way.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 28098 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the City Engineer has recommended the purchase by the City and County of San Francisco from the following named owner of the following described easement over land situated in the County of Tuolumne, State of California, required in connection with the construction, maintenance and operation of the Foothill Division of the Hetch Hetchy aqueduct, for the sum set forth opposite its name, viz.:

Willms Land and Cattle Company, $75—The right to construct, maintain, patrol and operate a telephone line, along, upon and over surface of ground in and through southeast quarter of Section 35, Township 1 South, Range 12 East, and Section 2, Township 2 South, Range 12 East, M. D. B. and M. (As per written offer on file.)

Now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of the City Engineer, the above described offer of the above named property owner to sell to the City and County of San Francisco the above mentioned easement for the sum set forth opposite its name and upon the terms and conditions in said option be and the same is hereby accepted. Be it

Further Resolved, That the City Engineer is hereby authorized and directed to notify said party of the acceptance of its said offer and the City Attorney is authorized and directed to examine the title to said easement, and if the same is found in satisfactory condition, to accept in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco a deed conveying title thereto, containing the conditions and reservations agreed upon in said offer and to file the same for record with a copy of this resolution attached thereto as evidence of acceptance by the City and County of San Francisco, upon payment of the above mentioned purchase price.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Stanton—11.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Gallagher, Hayden, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Todd—7.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Appropriation, $4,000, Seventh Centenary of St. Francis of Assisi.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $4,000 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of General Fund, fiscal year 1927-1928, for expense in connection with the celebration of the seventh centenary of the death of Saint Francis of Assisi.

Plans, Etc., Flood-lighting City Hall.

On motion of Supervisor Byington:

Bill No. 8299, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Authorizing the preparation of plans and specifications for the flood-lighting of the City Hall and ordering the flood-lighting of the City Hall in accordance with the plans and specifications prepared therefor; authorizing and directing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for said flood-lighting of the City Hall.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized, instructed and empowered to prepare plans and specifications for the flood-lighting of the City Hall, which flood-lighting is hereby ordered, and to enter into contract for said flood-lighting of the City

Hall in accordance with the plans and specifications prepared therefor.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Appropriation Pledge for Republican Convention.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 28099 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the unanimous sentiment of the people of San Francisco is in favor of holding the Republican National Convention, 1928, in this City and the invitation is to be presented to the Republican National Committee at its forthcoming meeting in December; and

Whereas, the holding of this convention in this City would be of inestimable value, and to obtain these convention guarantees of expense must be made; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors, the Mayor concurring, pledges itself to provide at the proper time $50,000 towards defraying the expenses of the Republican National Convention should it be held in San Francisco.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Stanton—11.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Gallagher, Hayden, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Todd—7.

Extension of Time, Youdall Construction Company.

Supervisor Marks presented:

Resolution No. 28100 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Youdall Construction Company be and is hereby granted an extension of 45 days' time from and after November 9, 1927, on its contract for the construction of the tunnel under Buena Vista Park. This extension of time is granted for the reason that the contractor was delayed awaiting removal of buildings from the right of way.

(Communication from Board of Public Works, filed November 15, 1927.)

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Stanton—11.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Gallagher, Hayden, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Todd—7.

Pedestrian Underpasses.

Supervisor Kent presented:

Resolution No. 28101 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the down-town pedestrian traffic is manifestly growing daily with increasing numbers of shoppers traversing the main retail business thoroughfares; and

Whereas, the recently instituted pedestrian traffic regulations necessitate crossing only at marked lanes which are necessary as a proper safeguard against accidents; and

Whereas, due to this safety regulation many thousands of persons in their daily pursuits of business are retarded and halted in their pedestrian travel; and

Whereas, many thousands are compelled because of safety measures to walk out of their way to cross thoroughfares when their destinations are on the opposite side of the street in the middle of a block; and

Whereas, it is the opinion of this Board that there should be no unnecessary delays to pedestrian traffic or handicaps to business generally and that San Francisco should be entrenched with progressive facilities equal to other cities of the Nation so that its citizens may enjoy the full pursuits of their business; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the City Engineer be herewith instructed to prepare estimates of cost and plans of construction for distinct pedestrian "under-passes" for Market street, to be located, to-wit:

1. To connect the north side of Market street with the south side of Market street midway between Kearny and Montgomery streets;

2. To connect the north side of Market street with the south side of Market street from the gore corner of O'Farrell and Market streets;

3. To connect the gore corner of Market and Stockton streets with the gore corner of Market and Ellis streets;

4. To connect the north side of Market street with the south side of Market street from the northeast corner of Powell and Market streets;

And that it be

Further Resolved, by this Board of Supervisors that the City Engineer's office proceed with compiling such data and preparing such plans without delay for an early report to this Board, and that such "underpasses" be of sufficient width to care for the present heavy pedestrian traffic and such increased traffic as will be estimated many years to come.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Stanton—11.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Gal-

lagher, Hayden, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Todd—7.

Accepting Deed, Monterey Heights.

Supervisor Marks presented:

Resolution No. 28103 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the deed executed on the 17th day of October, 1927, between C. S. Allred and Maggie L. Allred, his wife; Anglo-California Trust Company, a corporation; Anglo-California Securities Co., a corporation; Western American Realty Company, a corporation, and Residential Development Company of San Francisco, a corporation, and the City and County of San Francisco, to lands as shown on map entitled, "Map of Blocks 3040, 3041, 4043 and part of Blocks 3039, 3042, 3047 and 3077, Monterey Heights, San Francisco, California," approved by the Board of Public Works by Resolution No. 99761 (Second Series), is hereby accepted.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Stanton—11.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Gallagher, Hayden, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Todd—7.

Approving Map, Monterey Heights.

Supervisor Marks presented:

Resolution No. 28105 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the Board of Public Works did, by Resolution No. 99761 (Second Series), approve a map of Blocks 3040, 3041, 3043 and part of Blocks 3039, 3042, 3047 and 3077, Monterey Heights, San Francisco, California; now, therefore,

Resolved, That the map of Blocks 3040, 3041, 3043 and part of Blocks 3039, 3042, 3047 and 3077, Monterey Heights, San Francisco, California, is hereby approved.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Stanton—11.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Gallagher, Hayden, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Todd—7.

Street Lights.

Supervisor Stanton presented:

Resolution No. 28106 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Pacific Gas and Electric Company be and it is hereby authorized and requested to remove and install the following street lights:

Install 400 *M. R.*

Southwest corner Turk and Ashbury streets.

South side Turk street between Ashbury and Clayton streets.

Southwest corner Turk and Clayton streets.

South side Turk street between Clayton and Cole streets.

Southwest corner Turk and Cole streets.

South side Turk street between Cole street and Parker avenue.

Southeast corner Turk street and Parker avenue.

South side Turk street between Masonic avenue and Ashbury street.

South side Turk street between Baker and North Stanyan streets.

Southwest corner Turk and North Stanyan streets.

South side Turk street between North Stanyan and Willard streets.

Southwest corner Turk and Willard streets.

Remove 250 *M. R.*

South side Turk street between Masonic avenue and Ashbury street.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Stanton—11.

Absent—Supervisors Bath, Gallagher, Hayden, Powers, Schmidt, Shannon, Todd—7.

BRIDGE HEARINGS.

Motions.

The following matters were taken up:

Supervisor Gallagher: I move you that while it is the policy of this Board that we favor a publicly-owned bay bridge, it is, however, the sense of this Board that we favor immediate construction of said bridge by private capital, until the people may elect in the manner provided by law to take over said bridge and place it under public ownership and control.

* * * * *

Supervisor Marks: I move you that, as an amendment to the motion of Supervisor Gallagher, the City Attorney be requested to submit to this Board, at the earliest possible opportunity, a form of submission of the issue of public ownership and operation of the proposed bridge, or private ownership or operation of the proposed bridge, for action by the people at the earliest possible opportunity.

* * * * *

Supervisor Marks: I desire to present the following motion and calling attention to the fact that it does not call for anything other than asking the City Attorney to

submit a proper form of proposal to us. I make the motion which heretofore has been outlined three or four times. (Referring to motion set out in full above.)

The Chairman: Any objection? So ordered.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy, further consideration of the foregoing motions was *continued until Monday, January* 23, 1928, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

SPECIAL ORDERS—2 P. M.

Bridge Hearings.

Thereupon, the following matters were taken up:

Applications for Franchises to Construct Bridges Across San Francisco Bay.

Application of Bay Cities Bridge Corporation for a franchise for a toll bridge between San Francisco and Alameda.

Proposed rail and highway crossing for San Francisco Bay from the foot of Sixteenth street, San Francisco, to the foot of Pacific avenue, Alameda.

Beginning at the intersection of the center line of Sixteenth street with the center line of Third (Kentucky) street, in the City and County of San Francisco, and extending thence easterly along the center line of Sixteenth street to the waterfront line; thence easterly along a straight line to a point on the center line of Pacific avenue, in the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, which point is one hundred feet westerly from the intersection of said center line of Pacific avenue with the center line of Bryant street.

That the length of said bridge is 27,750 feet and that the width of the roadway on said bridge is 38 feet.

Filed April 6, 1925.

DUDLEY D. SALES,
Attorney.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, January* 23, 1928, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Application of Elbert W. Davis for a franchise for a toll bridge between San Francisco and Alameda.

Across San Francisco Bay, beginning at a point in the City and County of San Francisco on the bulkhead line of San Francisco Harbor between Piers 26 and 28, and running approximately north 67 degrees 45 minutes east 17,962 feet, more or less, to a point in the City of Oakland, County of Alameda, State of California, on the easterly shore of San Francisco Bay.

That the length of said bridge is to be 17,962 feet and that the breadth thereof is to be 65 feet, more or less.

Filed June 29, 1925.

JAMES M. OLIVER,
Attorney.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, January* 23, 1928, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Application of John Bermingham, Jr., 250 Cervantes boulevard, for a franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge across San Francisco Bay between the City and County of San Francisco and the County of Alameda.

Beginning at the intersection of the center line of Seventh and Mission streets, in the City and County of San Francisco, along Seventh street to Seventh and Sixteenth; thence in a southeasterly direction to Nineteenth and Third; thence in a southerly direction along Third street to the intersection of Third street and Jerrold avenue and waterfront; thence proceeding in a northerly direction, crossing San Francisco Bay to a point from which a branch will extend 4500 feet easterly to the intersection of Pacific avenue and Bryant street, in the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California; thence from said aforementioned intersecting point proceeding in a northerly direction, crossing the Oakland Estuary, to the intersection of Peralta street and Seventh street, in

the City of Oakland, County of Alameda, State of California.

That the total length of said bridge, approaches thereto and branch thereof, aforementioned, is 65,450 feet, that the width of said bridge is ninety (90) feet.

Filed September 28, 1925.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, January* 23, 1928, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Application of A. M. Bergstrom for a franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge across San Francisco Bay between the City and County of San Francisco and the County of Alameda.

That the said toll bridge is to be located across the Bay of San Francisco along the following described line, to-wit:

Beginning at a point in San Francisco at about the foot of Davidson avenue, on Hunter's Point, and running northerly sixty-two (62) degrees east four and four-tenths (4.4) miles; thence on a one (1) degree curve to the north one and six-tenths (1.6) miles to true north to a point on the easterly shore of the Bay of San Francisco at about the foot of Webster street, in the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California.

That the length of said bridge is to be six (6) miles and the breadth is to be sixty (60) feet, more or less.

Filed September 28, 1925.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, January* 23, 1928, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Application of New York and San Francisco Development Company for franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge between San Francisco and Alameda

Bridge to be constructed across San Francisco Bay from a point therein at or near the junction of Evans avenue and Boalt street, Hunter's Point, in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to or near the junction of South High street and Washington street, in the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California; that the length of said bridge is approximately six and one-half miles from shore line to shore line, and that its breadth or width is approximately from 60 to 90 feet.

Filed January 11, 1926.

ROTHCHILD & GOLDEN,
Attorneys.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, January* 23, 1928, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Application of Roy C. Hackley for a franchise to construct a toll bridge between San Francisco, Pier 26, and Alameda County.

Beginning at a point in the City and County of San Francisco on the bulkhead line of San Francisco Harbor, south of and adjacent to Pier 26, and running approximately north 61 degrees east and fifty-three hundred feet, more or less; thence running approximately north 89 degrees east five thousand feet, more or less; thence running approximately north 59 degrees 30 minutes east eight thousand feet, more or less, to a point in the City of Oakland, County of Alameda, State of California, on the easterly shore of said San Francisco Bay.

That the length of said bridge is to be eighteen thousand three hundred feet (18,300) and that the breadth thereof is to be 78 feet, more or less.

Roy A. Bronson and E. D. Bronson, Jr., attorneys, 68 Post street.

Filed February 6, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, January* 23, 1928, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Two applications of the Construction Company of North America for a franchise to construct and open a toll bridge between San Francisco and Alameda County.

Commencing at a point in said City and County of San Francisco near the corner of First and Bryant streets, on what is known as Rincon Hill, and running thence on less than a two-degree curve a distance of approximately 1700 lineal feet to the line of bulkhead wharf connecting Piers 30 and 32 on the waterfront of the said City and County of San Francisco; thence on a line approximately north 65 degrees east 21,000 lineal feet, more or less, to the Oakland Mole of the Southern Pacific Company in Alameda County.

That the length of said bridge is to be 4½ miles and that the width thereof is to be approximately 78 feet.

ROBERT J. McGAHIE,
Attorney, Mills Building.

First application filed February 15, 1926.

Second application field April 5, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, January* 23, 1928, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Application of Allan C. Rush for a franchise to construct a toll bridge between San Francisco and Alameda County.

Construction of a suspension bridge from the City and County of San Francisco over and across Goat Island to the east shore of the Bay of San Francisco, comprising three main double traffic track entrance therein, and being of a height of two hundred feet above high tide, between all bridge piers in the waters of San Francisco Channel, in which channel the bridge will be suspended over "but three piers," twenty-four hundred feet apart, thus in nowise impeding the traffic of the waters of the Bay.

Filed June 19, 1926.

ALLAN C. RUSH,
1632 McCullom street, Los Angeles, California.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, January* 23, 1928, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Application of Robert Lee Dunn, 778 Monadnock Building, for a franchise to construct a toll bridge along the following described line, to-wit:

Beginning at the intersection of the center line of Second street with the center line of Berry street, in the City and County of San Francisco and extending thence easterly to the waterfront line; thence easterly along a straight line to the intersection of the center lines of Pacific avenue and Bryant street, City of Alameda, California.

Length of said bridge is twenty-six thousand feet; that the width of the roadway on said bridge is eighty feet.

Filed June 21, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, January* 23, 1928, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Application of Edward A. Bertram for a franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge to be located, to-wit:

Beginning at Twelfth and Howard streets, San Francisco, by 1½ per cent viaduct to Beale and Howard streets; thence to Southern Pacific Mole, Oakland; thence by 1½ per cent viaduct to West Fourteenth street level, and Sixteenth Street Railroad Station.

The length of said bridge proper is 21,000 feet and its width of roadway is 63 feet.

Filed June 21, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, January* 23, 1928, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Application of R. S. Chew for franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge or bridges.

The said proposed bridge or bridges are to be located and are described as follows:

The westerly end of a bridge and the approaches thereto, which will connect with public streets, are to be located in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California (which location is in the county situated on the left bank descending of the Bay of San Francisco, across which said bridge is to be constructed), and at a point therein at or near the summit of an eminence known as Telegraph Hill; from this point the bridge will project easterly a distance of nine thousand feet (more or less) to the westerly side of Yerba Buena (or Goat) Island; thence easterly across said island a roadway or viaduct will be constructed for a distance of four thousand feet (more or less) to its easterly side; from thence there will be projected in an easterly direction a distance of twenty-two thousand feet (more or less), a bridge structure, viaduct, causeway and/or fill to suitable connections with lines or railway and public highways in Alameda County, State of California.

Filed June 28, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, January* 23, 1928, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Application of Inter-Cities Bridge Company for a franchise to construct, maintain and operate a toll bridge across the Bay of San Francisco and over the following route:

Beginning at the intersection of Broadway and Battery street, in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and extending thence easterly to the waterfront; thence on a line approximately north 60 degrees east to the westerly point of Yerba Buena or Goat Island; thence by contour meander to the easterly point of Yerba Buena or Goat Island; thence on a line approximately north 75 degrees to the foot of Yerba Buena street, in the City of Oakland, County of Alameda, State of California.

That the length of said bridge, inclusive of approximately 4100 feet on ground support over Yerba Buena or Goat Island, and 5670 feet to be supported by an earth fill mole in the approach to the said Oakland terminal, is to be approximately 27,000 feet. That the width of said bridge is to be approximately 120 feet, providing two 20-foot vehicular lanes and accommodations for four lines of electric transportation tracks.

Filed July 12, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, January* 23, 1928, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Application of G. Lindenthal for franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge.

Said bridge is to be located along the following described line:

Commencing at a point in the center line of Jerrold avenue, in the City and County of San Francisco, about midway between Ship street and Dock street, in said City and County of San Francisco; thence on a curve easterly and northerly a distance of 30,000 feet, more or less, to the southerly foot of Webster street, in the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California.

The width of said bridge at the easterly end thereof for approximately 16,000 feet will be 75 feet, and the width of the remaining portion thereof will be approximately 40 feet. The length of said bridge will be approximately 30,000 feet.

Filed August 2, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, January* 23, 1928, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Application of W. F. Holcomb for franchise to construct, operate and maintain a toll bridge across San Francisco Bay between the City

and County of San Francisco and Alameda County.

Beginning at or near and within one hundred feet northerly or southerly of the intersection of the center line of Second street with the center line of Berry street, in the City and County of San Francisco and extending thence easterly to the waterfront line; thence easterly along a straight line to, at or near and within one hundred feet northerly or southerly of the intersection of the center lines of Pacific avenue and Bryant street, City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California.

That the length of said bridge will be twenty-six thousand feet; that the width of the roadway on said bridge will be eighty-nine feet.

Filed August 9, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, January* 23, 1928, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Application of E. D. Bronson, Jr., for a franchise for a toll bridge across San Francisco Bay.

Filed August 16, 1926.

Beginning at a point in the City and County of San Francisco on the bulkhead line of San Francisco Harbor, south of and adjacent to Pier 26, and running north approximately 68 degrees east seventeen thousand nine hundred fifty feet (17,950'), more or less, to a point in the City of Oakland, County of Alameda, State of California, on the easterly shore of said San Francisco Bay; and the said toll bridge to be approximately seventeen thousand nine hundred fifty (17,950') feet in length and one hundred ten (110') feet in breadth, more or less.

Roy A. Bronson, E. D. Bronson, Jr., attorneys at law, 68 Post street, Room 403, San Francisco, California.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, January* 23, 1928, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Tunnels.

Application of O. A. Ellis for franchise for two tunnels under the Bay of San Francisco from San Francisco to Oakland.

One of the tunnels will be for interurban street car traffic, with double track, and the San Francisco terminal between Third and Fourth streets on Harrison street.

The other tunnel will be for auto and railroad traffic, with San Francisco terminal between Second and Third streets on Harrison street.

The Oakland terminal will be at Seventh and Cedar streets.

Filed June 25, 1926.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, January* 23, 1928, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Application of H. S. Henion to construct toll bridge along the following route, to-wit :

Beginning at the intersection of the center lines of Berry and Second streets, in the City and County of San Francisco, California, thence southeasterly to the west end of Pier No. 46, on the San Francisco waterfront; thence easterly over said pier to its eastern end; thence easterly across the Bay of San Francisco to the point of intersection of the center line of Peralta street, in the City of Oakland, California, produced southerly to the center line of the vehicular roadway on the Alameda Mole, in the City of Alameda, California; thence northeasterly on the said produced center line of Peralta street to its intersection with the center line of Eighth street, in said City of Oakland, Alameda County, California.

That the length of said bridge is approximately thirty thousand feet; that the width of said bridge is approximately eighty feet.

The above bridge will include an overhead approach, to extend from Second and Berry streets, San Francisco, to Rincon Hill or immediate vicinity, where abundant terminal facilities will be provided.

Filed March 14, 1927.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, January* 23, 1928, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco,

Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Application of Frank E. Webb to construct toll bridge along the following route, to-wit:

Said proposed toll bridge is to be located, builded and constructed across San Francisco Bay from a point exactly in longitude west 122 degrees 21 minutes 48.7 seconds and latitude north 37 degrees 43 minutes 33.75 seconds in San Francisco County, State of California, Hunter's Point, in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, to a point exactly in longitude west 122 degrees 15 minutes 2.6 seconds and latitude north 37 degrees 44 minutes 15.67 seconds in Alameda County, State of California; thence to the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California; that the length of said bridge is approximately 32,200 feet from shore line to shore line, and that its breadth or width is approximately from sixty (60) to ninety (90) feet, as shown by map attached and made a part hereof and marked "Exhibit B."

Bridge is to be of steel and concrete cantilever type, clearance from San Francisco side to be approximately one hundred and forty (140) feet. The main spans to be not less than seven hundred and fifty (750) feet nor more than seventeen hundred (1700) feet, and all spans and construction to be in accordance with the United States Government regulations and rulings.

Filed March 14, 1927.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, January* 23, 1928, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Communication from Construction Company of North America, supplementing original plans—modified route has its westerly terminal on Rincon Hill.

Filed May 9, 1927.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, January* 23, 1928, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Amended application of Edward A. Bertram for alternative line of bridge.

Filed May 9, 1927.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, January* 23, 1928, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Application of J. E. Pamplin to construct toll bridge along the following route, to-wit:

Beginning at or near and within two hundred feet northerly or southerly of the intersection of the center line of Second street with the center line of Berry street, in the City and County of San Francisco, and extending thence easterly to the waterfront line; thence about north seventy-three (73) degrees east (true) along a straight line to a point immediately southwest of and adjacent to the Southern Pacific Alameda Mole, and about six hundred (600) yards, more or less, southeasterly from the northwesterly end of said mole, and thence about south seventy-two (72) degrees east (true) to a point on filled land in the City of Alameda about on the prolongation northwesterly of that portion of Santa Clara avenue which lies between Paru and Park streets, in the City and Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California.

That the length of said bridge will be about twenty-six thousand (26,000) feet, more or less; that the width of the roadway on said bridge will be one hundred feet or such other width as the Board of Supervisors may direct and require under Section 2873 of the Political Code.

Filed May 9, 1927.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, January* 23, 1928, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Application of Roy C. Hackley to construct a toll bridge along the following route, to-wit:

Beginning at or near and within two hundred feet (200') of the intersection of the center line of Fremont street with the center line of Brannan street, in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and running north approximately eighty degrees (80°) east nineteen thousand four hundred feet (19,400'), more or less, to a point in the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California, located approximately six hundred feet (600') south of the south training wall of the Oakland Estuary.

That the length of said bridge is to be approximately nineteen thousand four hundred feet (19,400'), and that the breadth thereof is to be sixty feet (60'), more or less.

Filed May 16, 1927.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, January* 23, 1928, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Application of Construction Company of North America to erect, maintain and operate and take tolls on a toll bridge over and across San Francisco Bay, commencing at a point in the City and County of San Francisco near the corner of First and Bryant streets on what is known as Rincon Hill, and running thence northeasterly 19.100 lineal feet, more or less, to the southerly line of Alameda Mole of the Southern Pacific Company; thence north by east to the intersection of Seventh and Cedar streets in the City of Oakland, County of Alameda.

The length of said bridge is to be 4½ miles, the width thereof approximately 78 feet.

Filed June 20, 1927.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, January* 23, 1928, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Application of Roy C. Hackley for permission to construct a toll bridge across San Francisco Bay as follows:

Beginning at or near and within 200 feet of the intersection of the center line of Fremont street with the center line of Brannan street, in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and running north approimately 83 degrees east, 19,400 feet, more or less, to a point in the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California, located approximately 600 feet south of the south training wall of the Oakland Estuary.

The length of said bridge is to be approximately 19,400 feet and the width thereof is to be 60 feet, more or less.

Filed with Board of Supervisors Monday, June 27, 1927.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, January* 23, 1928, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Application of City Planning and Building Company for a franchise and for authority to erect, construct, maintain and operate a submarine tunnel and the right, permission and authority to make tolls thereon for a period of fifty (50) years at the following location, to-wit:

Entering the ground at a point in the ground bounded by Fifth, Sixth, Bryant and Brannan streets, thence running underground easterly to a point on the northerly or northwest line of China Basin between Second and Third streets entering under the Bay at a depth of 45 feet below low tide, sinking gradually to a depth of 50 feet below low tide, proceeding easterly to within 700 feet south of Seventh street at the shore line in Oakland, Alameda County, California.

The length of said submarine tunnel will be 21,120 feet under the water; said submarine tunnel will, in its inside dimensions, be 50 feet high and 68 feet in etreme width; that the same will have three floors, the upper floor for passenger automobiles, the main or central floor for railroad passenger cars, the lowest floor for automotive and heavy traffic trucks. Said tube will be divided perpendicularly into two halves, a right and a left half.

Filed Monday, July 25, 1927.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, January* 23, 1928, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Application of City Planning and Building Company for a franchise and for authority to erect, construct, maintain and operate a submarine tunnel and the right, permission and authority to make tolls thereon for a period of fifty (50) years at the following location, to-wit:

Entering the ground at a point in the block bounded by Sixth, Seventh, Hubbell and Irving streets, running thence underground in an easterly direction to a point where an extension of Fifteenth street strikes the Bay very close to El Dorado street; thence entering the Bay 45 feet below the level of the lowest tide and sinking gradually to a depth of 50 feet below the level of the lowest tide. and proceeding in an easterly direction and emerging at a point within 700 feet of a point where the center line of Lincoln avenue intersects the waters of the Bay of San Francisco in Alameda, Alameda County, State of California.

The length of said submarine tunnel to be 21,120 feet under water; said tunnel will be in its inside dimensions 50 feet high and 68 feet in extreme width; the same will have three floors, the upper floor for passenger automobiles and the main or central floor for railroad passenger cars, the lowest floor for automotive and heavy traffic trucks. Tube will be divided perpendicularly into two halves, a right and a left half.

Filed Monday, July 25, 1927.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, January* 23, 1928, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Application of City Planning and Building Company for a franchise and for authority to erect, construct, maintain and operate a submarine tunnel and the right, permission and authority to make tolls thereon for a period of fifty (50) years at the following locations, to-wit:

Entering the Bay at a point where the extension of the Marina boulevard extended easterly meets the Bay at Buchanan street close to Tonquin street, at a depth of at least 45 feet below the level of low tide and sinking gradually to a depth of at least 50 feet below the level of low tide, running thence in a general northerly direction to a point one mile south of the Town of Sausalito, Marin County, State of California; said submarine tunnel or tube will have a general curve in an easterly direction; that the length of said submarine tunnel will be 3,200 feet under water. Its inside dimensions to be 50 feet high and 68 feet in extreme width; the same will have three floors, the upper floor for passenger automobiles, the main or central floor for railroad passenger cars, the lower floor for automotive and heavy traffic trucks. The tunnel or tube will de divided perpendicularly into two halves, a right and a left half.

Filed Monday, July 25, 1927.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, January* 23, 1928, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Application of John P. O'Connell for a franchise for a toll bridge between the City and County of San Francisco and the County of Alameda.

Beginning at or near and within three hundred (300) feet northerly or southerly of the intersection of the northerly line of Pier 34 with the seawall at about the foot of Brannan street, in the City and County of San Francisco, and extending thence about north seventy-seven and one-half (77½) degrees east (true) to a point immediately southwest of and adjacent to the Southern Pacific Alameda Mole, and about six hundred (600) yards, more or less, east by south from the westerly extremity of said Mole, and thence about south seventy-two (72) degrees east (true) to the intersection of said line with the bank or shore of San Francisco Bay at its most westerly intersection with said line, in the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California.

That the length of said bridge on

said lines will be about seventeen thousand seven hundred (17,700) feet, more or less, on the first of said lines and seven thousand two hundred (7,200) feet, more or less, on the second of said lines, making about twenty-four thousand nine hundred (24,900), more or less, feet for the total length of said bridge, not including approaches; that the width of the roadway on said bridge will be one hundred (100) feet or such other width as the Board of Supervisors may direct and require under Section 2873 of the Political Code.

Filed August 15, 1927.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, January 23, 1928, at 11 a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Application of John P. O'Connell for a franchise for a toll bridge between the City and County of San Francisco and the County of Alameda.

Beginning at or near and within three hundred (300) feet northerly or southerly of the intersection of the northern line of Pier Forty-six (46) with the seawall at about the foot of Berry street in the City and County of San Francisco and extending thence about north seventy-two (72) degrees east (true) along a straight line to a point immediately southwest of and adjacent to the Southern Pacific Alameda Mole, and about six hundred (600) yards, more or less, east by south from the westerly extremity of said Mole, and thence about south seventy-two (72) degrees east (true) to the intersection of said line with the bank or shore of San Francisco Bay at its most westerly intersection with said line, in the City of Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California.

That the length of said bridge on said lines will be about eighteen thousand two hundred (18,200) feet, more or less, on the first of said lines and seven thousand two hundred (7,200) feet, more or less, on the second of said lines, making about twenty-five thousand four hundred (25,400), more or less, feet for the total length of said bridge, not including approaches; that the width of the roadway on said bridge will be one hundred (100) feet or such other width as the Board of Supervisors may direct and require under Section 2873 of the Political Code.

Filed August 15, 1927.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, January 23, 1928, at 11 a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Application of Transbay Development Corporation for a franchise to construct, maintain and operate and take tolls on a toll bridge over and across the Bay of San Francisco from the City and County of San Francisco to the cities of Oakland and Alameda, Alameda County.

The approximate location of said bridge is as follows: That said proposed toll bridge is to be located, constructed, operated and maintained across San Ffancisco Bay between Rincon Hill in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and the cities of Oakland and Alameda, County of Alameda, State of California, the termini in Oakland to be at or near the intersection of Seventh and Cedar streets and the foot of Peralta street, and in Alameda at a point on the mainland about five hunared feet southerly from the base of the Alameda Mole; the bridge proper to extend from a point at or near the intersection of Second and Harrison streets, San Francisco, in a generally northeasterly direction on a bearing about north seventy-eight degrees east (true) to a point about eighteen hundred feet inland from the outer end of the Alameda Mole and approximately five hundred feet southerly from the center line of the double track of the Southern Pacific Company; the approaches on the eastern shore to extend from the point last mentioned generally parallel to and about five hundred feet distant from and on the southerly side of the double track of the Southern Pacific Company; on said mole to the mainland in the City of Alameda, and with branches commencing at a point approximately thirty-two hundred feet from the point of beginning of the approaches and running to Seventh and Cedar streets and the foot of Peralta street in the City of Oakland; the approaches on the San Francisco side to be in continua-

tion of the bridge proper from Second and Harrison streets or that vicinity, along Harrison, Fremont, Tehama, Seventh, Bryant and Second streets, and/or elsewhere as the public convenience may require; the length of the bridge proper to be approximately three and eight (3.8) tenths miles and of the bridge and approaches from five and three-quarters (5¾) miles to six and three-quarters (6¾) miles approximately; that the breadth of the proposed bridge is to be forty-two feet in the clear as a minimum, the actual breadth to be determined according to best engineering practice to conform to the length of spans demanded by foundation conditions and by the requirements of the War Department.

Filed September 27, 1927.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, January* 23, 1928, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Amended application of Allan C. Rush for a transbay bridge between San Francisco and Oakland, Alameda County:

Said bridge to be located at the starting point at or near what is known as Rincon Hill, in the City of San Francisco, and from which named point, extending over and across what is known as San Francisco Channel of the Bay of San Francisco, this channel having an approximate width of 11,088 feet, to Goat Island (Yerba Buena) in a suspension bridge with a height of not less than 200 feet measuring from the floor and base of said bridge down to and above high tide, supported in its entirety by four cables resting over five bridge piers, one pier at or near Rincon Hill, three piers in the waters of San Francisco Channel, and one pier on the westerly shore end of Goat Island (Yerba Buena) numbered respectively Nos. 1, 2, 3, 4 and 5 with Pier No. 5 ending on said Island.

Filed by E. L. Vandair Nailen, November 7, 1927, *and continued for hearing until November* 21, 1927, *at* 11 *a. m.*

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy, further consideration of the foregoing application was *continued until Monday, January* 23, 1928, *at* 11 *a. m.*, by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Absent—Supervisor Bath—1.

Printing of Delos F. Wilcox's Report on Street Railway Franchises.

Supervisor McSheehy moved that the Clerk be authorized to have printed 200 copies of Delos F. Wilcox's report on street railway franchises to be distributed in his discretion.

So ordered.

ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business, the Board at the hour of 11:35 a. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors December 19, 1927.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated, and approved as above recited.

JOHN S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors.
City and County of San Francisco.

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Vol. 22—New Series No. 48

Monday, November 28, 1927

Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
337 Bush Street, S. F.

Journal of Proceedings
Board of Supervisors

The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
444 Bush Street, S. F.

JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, NOVEMBER 28, 1927, 10 A. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, November 28, 1927, 10 a. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Quorum present.

His Honor Mayor Rolph presiding.

APPROVAL OF JOURNALS.

The Journals of Proceedings of the meetings of August 22, 29, September 6, 12, 19, 26, 1927, were considered read and approved.

ROLL CALL FOR PETITIONS FROM MEMBERS.

Administration Building, Board of Health.

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Communication, from Wilson and Filmer, Inc., submitting for approval piece of property on the northwest corner of Hayes and Polk streets, running through to Ivy, consisting of 60 feet on Hayes by 120 feet on Polk, as an admirable location for the proposed administration building for the Board of Health. $125,000 is the stated price.

Referred to Finance Committee.

Fireproof Roofing Ordinance Enactment Requested.

Supervisor Hayden presented:

Communication, from Thomas R. Murphy, Chief Engineer San Francisco Fire Department, calling attention to his request for an extension of the fireproof roofing limits, declaring that a serious menace occurs, and citing the Ewing Field fire of July 14, 1926, and urging action on the proposed measure at the earliest possible date.

Referred to Public Buildings Committee.

Statement of Votes Cast at General Municipal Election.

The following were presented and ordered *filed*:

Official statement of votes cast at the municipal election held in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, November 8, 1927, for Mayor, Police Judges, District Attorney, Assessor, Auditor, County Clerk, Sheriff, Coroner, Supervisors and confirmation of member of Board of Education; also, declaring of policy, bond issues and ordinances.

Board of Election Commissioners.

Commissioner John Hermann presented the following resolution and moved its adoption:

Resolved, That at the general municipal election held in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, upon the 8th day of November, A. D. 1927, the following named persons were each elected to the respective office set opposite their respective names as hereinafter specified, and each of such persons is hereby declared to have been so elected to such respective public office for a full term:

James Rolph, Jr., Mayor.
Lile T. Jacks, Police Judge.
Joseph M. Golden, Police Judge.
Matthew Brady, District Attorney.
Russell L. Wolden, Assessor.
Thos. F. Boyle, Auditor.
H. I. Mulcrevy, County Clerk.
W. J. Fitzgerald, Sheriff.
Thos. B. W. Leland, Coroner.
Warren Shannon, member Board of Supervisors.
Alfred Roncovieri, member Board of Supervisors.
John B. Badaracco, member Board of Supervisors.
J. M. Toner, member Board of Supervisors.
Jesse C. Colman, member Board of Supervisors.
Fred Suhr, member Board of Supervisors.

Andrew J. Gallagher, member Board of Supervisors.

J. Emmet Hayden, member Board of Supervisors.

Frank J. McGovern, member Board of Supervisors.

November 23, 1927.

Adopted by the following vote:

Commissioners Chas. J. Collins, J. W. Jackson, John Hermann—aye.

Commissioners Jas. K. Prior, Jr., John E. Bohm—absent.

State of California, City and County of San Francisco—ss.

I, J. H. Zemansky, Registrar of Voters of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and Secretary of the Board of Election Commissioners in and for said City and County, hereby certify the foregoing to be a true and full copy of a resolution adopted by the Board of Election Commissioners on November 23, 1927, on file in the office of the Registrar of Voters.

Attest my hand and seal this 28th day of November, 1927.

(Seal) J. H. ZEMANSKY,

Registrar of Voters and Secretary of the Board of Election Commissioners.

Leave of Absence, Supervisor Warren Shannon.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

San Francisco, Cal.,
November 26, 1927.

To the Honorable Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco, California.

Gentlemen:

Application has been made to me by Honorable Warren Shannon, member of the Board of Supervisors, for a leave of absence, with permission to absent himself from the State of California for a period of thirty days, commencing November 28, 1927.

Will you please concur with me in granting this leave of absence?

Respectfully yours,
JAMES ROLPH, JR.,
Mayor.

November 26, 1927.

Honorable Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco, California.

Gentlemen:

In pursuance of Resolution 26713 (New Series), passed on January 17, 1927, Resolution 28099 (New Series), passed on November 21, 1927, and the resolution referred to me by your Honorable Board on October 31, 1927, I hereby appoint Honorable Warren Shannon as the representative of the City of San Francisco, with authority to proceed to Washington and officially invite the members of the Republican National Committee to hold their 1928 convention in San Francisco.

Supervisor Shannon will accompany Honorable Robert W. Shingle, National Committeeman from Hawaii, and will work as the City's representative with Mr. William H. Crocker, Republican National Committeeman from California, with whom Honorable Robert W. Shingle is also cooperating.

Respectfully,
JAMES ROLPH, JR.,
Mayor.

Read and ordered *spread in Journal.*

Whereupon, the following resolution was presented and *adopted* by the following:

Resolution No. 28107 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Hon. Warren Shannon, member of the Board of Supervisors, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of thirty days, commencing November 28, 1927, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Leave of Absence, F. Dohrmann, Jr., Member Board of Education.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

San Francisco, Cal.,
November 23, 1927.

To the Honorable Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco, California.

Gentlemen:

Application has been made to me by Hon. F. Dohrmann, Jr., member of the Board of Education, for a leave of absence, with permission to absent himself from the State of California for a period of sixty days, commencing November 23, 1927.

Will you please concur with me in granting this leave of absence?

Respectfully yours,
JAMES ROLPH, JR.,
Mayor.

Whereupon, the following resolution was presented and *adopted* by the following vote:

Resolution No. 28108 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Hon. F. Dohrmann, Jr., member of the Board of Education, is hereby granted a leave

of absence, for a period of sixty days, commencing November 23, 1927, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Opinion of City Attorney In re Market Street Railway v. City and County of San Francisco.

November 26, 1927.

Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco, California.

Gentlemen:

Prior to July 10, 1917, an agreement existed between the predecessors in interest of the Market Street Railway Company and the Municipal Railway, under the terms of which the Municipal Railway and the Market Street Railway would pay on a 50 per cent basis the cost of repairing and maintaining the intersections of tracks and overhead crossings where the City tracks crossed those owned by the Market Street Railway. On or about the 10th day of July 1917, the Market Street Railway Company terminated this agreement, claiming that as the Municipal Railway was junior to it that the City should pay all of the expenses of maintaining and repairing the crossings. For a period of approximately two years the Market Street Railway failed to present claims within the time required by the Charter for money expended by them in maintaining and repairing the crossings. At times the Market Street Railway would do the work and at other times the Municipal Railway would do the work.

The Market Street Railway since July 10, 1917, has consistently been billed by the Municipal Railway for one-half of the cost of the work done by the Municipal Railway. The Market Street Railway has refused to pay any claims submitted by the Municipal Railway for this class of work, and beginning the 5th day of May, 1921, and at intervals of six months thereafter to date, the Market Street Railway has filed suit to recover from the City the full amount of money expended by them for repairs and maintenance of crossings. A few months ago the first case filed by the Market Street Railway, concerning the subject of this letter, was tried, and this office briefed all cases that they could find in the United States, and some in England relating to the rights of a junior railway, at intersections, with a senior railway. There are no cases that we were able to find that determined the precise question in California, and there is no existing statute defining the right of junior and senior railways.

The case tried in the local Superior Court on this matter, wherein we set up a counterclaim against the demand of the Market Street Railway, alleging that from July, 1917, to June, 1926, the City had expended $47,000 plus in repairing and maintaining intersections, and that one-half of that sum, or $23,000 plus, was due and owing from the Market Street Railway. After trial the decision rendered by the court was adverse to the City, and as far as the suit tried was concerned we are called upon to pay the full amount of the cost of maintaining and repairing the intersections. Our research of the law indicates that possibly the Superior Court is correct in its decision.

Since judgment was entered in favor of the Market Street Railway we have negotiated with that company concerning the taking care of the cost of maintenance of crossings in the future. We have obtained from the Market Street Railway an agreement, that is subject to your approval, to the effect that the City will pay up the full amount of the claims filed for the repair work described in this letter, with interest thereon at the rate of 6 per cent per annum from the date the claims were filed, plus $2,735 for bills for which claims were not filed. In filing claims and suit, the Market Street Railway Company has asked for 7 per cent on all unpaid bills.

In consideration of our paying the foregoing claims, the Market Street Railway has indicated its willingness to enter into a contract with the City whereby they will agree to pay 25 per cent of the cost of repairing and maintaining crossings where the Municipal Railway and Market Street Railway tracks and trolleys cross, the adjustment of these bills to be made as of September 15, 1927.

The proposed settlement of this litigation has been discussed with the members of the Board of Public Works who deal mostly with municipal railway affairs and the Superintendent of the Municipal Railway who have expressed themselves as being favorable to entering into a contract as herein described, and the payment to the Market Street Railway of their claims on the basis stated.

If the proposed settlement of this litigation, which has been pending for almost seven years, meets with your approval, I suggest that the attached resolution, authorizing the compromise of this matter be adopted by your Board, and we will thereupon prepare and submit to you a contract between the Board of Public Works and the Market Street Railway Company, covering the matter for the future.

Yours truly,

JOHN J. O'TOOLE,
City Attorney.
By DION R. HOLM,
Assistant City Attorney.

Read and *referred to Finance Committee.*

Resolution.

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, there is now pending in the Superior Court of the State of California, in and for the City and County of San Francisco, a number of suits brought by the Market Street Railway Company against the City and County and Board of Public Works to recover the cost of the upkeep, maintenance and repairs of tracks, overhead crossings and intersections of the Municipal Railway and the Market Street Railway; and

Whereas, there is now claimed due, by reason of the said litigation by the Market Street Railway Company, the sum of thirty-four thousand eighty-four and 65/100 ($34,084.65) dollars, which sum includes interest at the rate of 6 per cent per annum from the date of filing claims for the cost of the upkeep, maintenance and repairs of tracks, overhead crossings and intersections of the Municipal Railway with that of the Market Street Railway, and there is further claimed due by the Market Street Railway the sum of two thousand seven hundred thirty-five and 14/100 ($2,735.14) dollars for additional work done for the upkeep, maintenance and repairs of tracks, overhead crossings and intersections of the Municipal Railway with that of the Market Street Railway, which sums represent interest and principal of the amounts claimed due; and

Whereas, it has been represented that the Market Street Railway Company, beginning on the 15th day of September, 1927, and thereafter so long as it shall operate the railway within the City and County of San Francisco, will agree to pay from said date 25 per cent of the cost of the upkeep, maintenance and repairs of the tracks, overhead crossings and intersections where the Municipal Railway crosses the tracks of the Market Street Railway; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the City Attorney be and he is hereby authorized to settle all pending cases and claims filed by the Market Street Railway against the City and County of San Francisco for the cost of the upkeep, maintenance and repairs of the tracks, overhead crossings and intersections where the Municipal Railway crosses the Market Street Railway, for the sum of thirty-six thousand eight hundred nineteen and 79/100 ($36,819.79) dollars, which said sum is to be accepted by the said Market Street Railway in full for all suits filed and claims for the said cost of the upkeep, maintenance and repairs of the tracks, overhead crossings and intersections where the Municipal Railway crosses the Market Street Railway, which settlement is to include all claims and demands for said work up to and including the 15th day of September, 1927. And be it

Further Resolved, That the said City Attorney is authorized to make the settlement herein described, provided he obtains a suitable contract to be executed between the Market Street Railway Company and the Board of Public Works, who is hereby authorized and directed to enter into such contract that is approved by the said City Attorney, whereby the Market Street Railway Company, its successors or assigns who shall continue to operate street cars over, upon and along any streets in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and wherever such street railway lines are intersected or crossed by the street railway lines of the City and County, agree to pay from and after the 15th day of September, 1927, 25 per cent of the cost of all labor and materials used or furnished, and superintendence of work for the cost of the upkeep, maintenance and repairs of the tracks, overhead crossings and intersections of the Municipal Railway with the Market Street Railway.

This resolution shall take effect immediately.

PRESENTATION OF PROPOSALS.

Paints and Glass.

Sealed proposals were received by the Board of Supervisors and opened at the hour of 11 a. m. for furnishing class 9 paints, painters' supplies and glass and *referred to the Supplies Committee.*

Linseed Oil, Etc.

Sealed proposals were received by the Board of Supervisors and opened at the hour of 11 a. m. for furnishing linseed oil, shellac, turpentine, lead, litharge, mineral brown and putty and *referred to the Supplies Committee.*

UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

None.

PRESENTATION OF BILLS AND ACCOUNTS.

Your Finance Committee, having examined miscellaneous demands not required by law to be passed to print, and amounting to $65,588.29, recommends sam be allowed and ordered paid.

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Urgent Necessity.

Edna Nelson, compensation insurance, $83.32.

Spring Valley Water Co., water, horse troughs, $49.01.

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

NEW BUSINESS.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Authorizations.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

Park Fund.

(1) J. E. French Co., one auto truck for park purposes (claim dated Nov. 25, 1927), $1,270.

(2) Berringer & Russell, hay, etc., for parks (claim dated Nov. 25, 1927), $790.77.

Playground Fund.

(3) Curley Bates Co., playground recreational supplies (claim dated Nov. 16, 1927), $525.30.

(4) Klawans & McMullin, playground recreational supplies (claim dated Nov. 16, 1927), $1,120.

(5) Spring Valley Water Co., water for park purpoes (claim dated Nov. 16, 1927), $1,252.61.

Municipal Railway Fund.

(6) Crescent Oil Co., gasoline for Municipal Railways (claim dated Nov. 16, 1927), $1,680.33.

(7) Hancock Bros., Inc., printing for Municipal Railways (claim dated Nov. 16, 1927), $1,250.

(8) Market Street Railway Co., October reimbursement under agreement of Dec. 12, 1918 (claim dated Nov. 16, 1927), $1,583.88.

(9) Market Street Railway Co., electric power furnished Municipal Railways (claim dated Nov. 16, 1927), $3,361.36.

(10) Bureau of Street Repair, Board of Public Works, asphalt repairs to right of way of Municipal Railways (claim dated Nov. 16, 1927), $1,155.70.

Hetch Hetchy Water Bonds, Issue 1925.

(11) Kaiser Paving Co., machinery rentals, hauling, repairs and materials (claim dated Nov. 17, 1927), $1,578.12.

(12) Shell Co. of California, gasoline, etc. (claim dated Nov. 17, 1927), $512.

(13) Wilsey, Bennett Co., foodstuffs (claim dated Nov. 17, 1927), $1,130.47.

County Road Fund.

(14) J. P. Holland, Inc., loam for Great Highway (claim dated Nov. 25, 1927), $511.

(15) Board of Park Commissioners, reimbursement for expenditures for improvement of the Great Highway (claim dated Nov. 25, 1927), $9,648.05.

Special School Tax.

(16) Dowd-Seid Electric Co., electrical fixtures, addition to Madison School (claim dated Nov. 18, 1927), $609.

(17) J. H. McCallum, lumber for schools (claim dated Nov. 18, 1927), $637.85.

(18) Symon Bros., wrecking and removing Columbia School building (claim dated Nov. 18, 1927), $700.

School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923.

(19) Thos. Skelly, final payment, mechanical equipment for addition to Sunnyside School (claim dated Nov. 23, 1927), $5,867.42.

(20) Thos. Skelly, final payment, plumbing system for additions to Sunnyside School (claim dated Nov. 23, 1927), $3,261.93.

(21) American Painting and Decorating Co., first payment, painting for Portola Jr. High School (claim dated Nov. 23, 1927), $2,271.91.

(22) John Reid, Jr., final payment, architectural services for the

addition to High School of Commerce (claim dated Nov. 21, 1927), $937.44.

Hetch Hetchy Water Bonds, Issue 1925.

(23) Hales & Symons, Inc., mining wedges, etc., Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Nov. 21, 1927), $1,271.44.

General Fund, 1927-1928.

(24) Langendorf Baking Co., bread for County Jails (claim dated Nov. 22, 1927), $818.36.

(25) Del Monte Meat Co., meat for County Jails (claim dated Nov. 22, 1927), $1,501.77.

(26) Overland Freight Transfer Co., moving voting machines (claim dated Nov. 23, 1927), $3,483.90.

(27) Levison Printing Co., printing maps for Dept. of Elections (claim dated Nov. 17, 1927), $1,717.90.

(28) Levison Printing Co., printing tally lists for Dept. of Elections (claim dated Nov. 17, 1927), $868.

(29) Board of Park Commissioners, to reimburse for expenditures in beautification of Civic Center (claim dated Nov. 25, 1927), $1,335.96.

(30) Board of Park Commissioners, to reimburse for expenditures for account of construction of handball courts (claim dated Nov. 25, 1927), $1,269.77.

(31) Board of Park Commissioners, to reimburse for expenditures for construction of bridle path (claim dated Nov. 25, 1927), $1,588.88.

(32) Board of Bark Commissioners, to reimburse for expenditures for construction of convenience stations (claim dated Nov. 25, 1927), $2,099.52.

(33) N. Clark & Sons, sewer pipe for sewer repair (claim dated Nov. 18, 1927), $584.55.

(34) The Spring Valley Water Co., water for sprinkling streets (claim dated Nov. 18, 1927), $673.70.

(35) California Petroleum Corporation, fuel oil, Civic Center Power House and Hall of Justice (claim dated Nov. 18, 1927), $1,219.36.

(36) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., gas and electricity for lighting public buildings (claim dated Nov. 18, 1927), $3,856.12.

(37) Symon Brothers, team hire for street cleaning (claim dated Nov. 21, 1927), $747.50.

(38) Eclipse Lime and Cement Co., cement for street repair (claim dated Nov. 21, 1927), $1,779.44.

(39) Union Oil Co. of California, asphalt for street repair (claim dated Nov. 21, 1927), $4,015.23.

(40) Municipal Railway Operative Fund, for materials furnished and used for reconstruction of Municipl Railway tracks (claim dated Nov. 21, 1927), $2,806.89.

(41) California Rock Co., gravel for street repair (claim dated Nov. 23, 1927), $1,924.37.

(42) Eclipse Lime and Cement Co., cement for street repair (claim dated Nov. 23, 1927), $1,734.40.

(43) Western Rock Products Co., sand for street repair (claim dated Nov. 23, 1927), $2,972.31.

(44) Crown Oil Co., gasoline and oil for street cleaning (claim dated Nov. 23, 1927), $648.45.

(45) James M. Smith, second payment, construction of extension to Stanley street sewer (claim dated Nov. 23, 1927), $2,590.

(46) Troy Laundry Machinery Co., one extractor for Laguna Honda Home (claim dated Oct. 31, 1927), $2,615.

(47) H. F. Dugan, drug sundries for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Oct. 31, 1927), $4,707.69.

(48) Kahn & Co., X-ray films for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Oct. 31, 1927), $2,862.

(49) Leighton-Jellett Co., dry goods, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Oct. 31, 1927), $533.60.

(50) Pratt-Low Preserving Co., canned goods for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Oct. 31, 1927), $1,322.72.

(51) Langendorf Baking Co., bread for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Oct. 31, 1927), $1,291.

(52) Haas Brothers, groceries for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Oct. 31, 1927), $533.14.

(53) Del Monte Meat Co., meat for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Oct. 31, 1927), $1,353.31.

(54) H. Moffat Co., meat for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Oct. 31, 1927), $1,595.03.

(55) J. T. Freitas Co., eggs for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Oct. 31, 1927), $2,892.74.

(56) San Francisco Dairy Co., milk, etc., for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Oct. 31, 1927), $4,700.11.

(57) Morris & Co., butter for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Oct. 31, 1927), $2,212.65.

(58) C. Naumann & Co., potatoes, etc., for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Oct. 31, 1927), $508.80.

(59) L. Dinkelspiel Co., sheets for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Oct. 31, 1927), $2,073.80.

(60) California Petroleum Corporation, fuel oil for San Francisco Hos-

pital (claim dated Oct. 31, 1927), $2,175.44.

(61) Agatha B. Mullinax, Industrial Accident Commission award, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Nov. 19, 1927), $544.55.

(62) Howard Automobile Co., one Buick auto for Dept. of Public Health (claim dated Nov. 18, 1927), $1,345.25.

(63) Crescent Oil Co., gasoline for Police Dept. (claim dated Nov. 21, 1927), $869.77.

Hetch Hetchy Operative Revenue Fund.

(64) E. F. Scattergood, services and expenses as consulting engineer and expert witness in valuation proceedings of San Francisco electric properties before the California Railroad Commission (claim dated Nov. 18, 1927), $2,141.27.

(65) W. F. Durand, in full for services as consulting engineer and expert witness in valuation proceedings of San Francisco electric properties before the California Railroad Commission (claim dated Nov. 18, 1927), $1,250.

School Bonds, Issue 1918.

(66) Yates American Machine Co., shop equipment for Galileo High School (claim dated Nov. 22, 1927), $1,506.50.

Appropriation, $4,000, Payment to Edward Nessier et al. for Land for Ocean View Playground.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $4,000 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of Playground Fund and authorized in payment to Edward Nessier and Josephine Nessier; being payment for property required for the Ocean View Playground (claim dated Nov. 1, 1927).

Appropriations, Tax Refund Judgments.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside and appropriated out of Tax Judgments—Appropriation 59, and authorized in payment to the hereinafter named persons; being one-tenth of judgments including interest, and as approved by the City Attorney, to-wit:

(1) To Vogelsang & Brown, attorneys for judgment creditors, as per schedule attached (claim dated Nov. 28, 1927), $2,949.73.

(2) To R. H. Morrow, as attorney for judgment creditor, George Howard Thompson (claim dated Dec. 9, 1927), $762.73.

(3) To Drown, Leicester & Drown, attorneys for judgment creditors (claim dated Dec. 4, 1927), $38,406.59.

Appropriation, $506.30, Law Books, Superior Court.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $506.30 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of Urgent Necessity, Budget Item No. 25, in payment to Bancroft-Whitney Company for law books furnished Department No. 12 of the Superior Court (claim dated Nov. 21, 1927).

Appropriation, $20,137.50, Payment to W. W. Haley, for Land on Twelfth Avenue for School Purposes.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $20,137.50 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923, and authorized in payment to W. W. Haley; being payment for three pieces of property on Twelfth avenue westerly line, commencing 90 feet northerly from northerly line of Lawton street; thence westerly 120 feet, and of irregular dimensions; for property on easterly line of Funston avenue, commencing 131 feet 7 inches northerly from the northerly line of Lawton street; thence northerly on Funston avenue 30 feet; thence easterly 120 feet; thence southerly 30 feet; thence westerly 120 feet; and for property on westerly line of Twelfth avenue, commencing 30 feet northerly from the northerly line of Lawton street; thence northerly on Twelfth avenue 30 feet; thence westerly 95 feet; thence southerly 30 feet; thence easterly 95 feet; as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 28095 (New Series), and required for school purposes.

Plans, Etc., Undercrossing of Skyline Boulevard at Fleishhacker Pool.

Also, Bill No. 8301, Ordinance No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Authorizing the preparation of plans and specifications for the extension of the undercrossing beneath the Skyline boulevard adjacent to the Fleishhacker Pool; and ordering the construction of the extension of the undercrossing beneath the Skyline boulevard adjacent to the Fleischhacker Pool in accordance with the plans and specifications prepared therefor; authorizing and directing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for said construction.

Be it ordained by the People of

the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized, instructed and empowered to prepare plans and specifications for the construction of extension of the undercrossing beneath the Skyline boulevard adjacent to the Fleishhacker Pool, and to enter into contract for said construction of extension of the undercrossing beneath the Skyline boulevard adjacent to the Fleishhacker Pool, which is hereby ordered in accordance with said plans and specifications prepared therefor.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Plans, Etc., Comfort Station, at Mills Field Airport.

Also, Bill No. 8302, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Authorizing the preparation of plans and specifications for the construction of a comfort station at the San Francisco Mills Field Airport, San Mateo County, and ordering the construction of a comfort station at the San Francisco Mills Field Airport in accordance with the plans and specifications prepared therefor; authorizing and directing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for the construction of said comfort station.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized, instructed and empowered to prepare plans and specifications for a comfort station at the San Francisco Mills Field Airport, San Mateo County, and to enter into contract for the construction of a comfort station at the San Francisco Mills Field Airport, San Mateo County, which is hereby ordered, in accordance with the said plans and specifications prepared therefor.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Appropriation, $169,616.90, Bond Interest and Redemption, Municipal Railway.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $169,616.90 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of the old Depreciation Fund of the Municipal Railways to the credit of the Municipal Railway Operative Fund, for the payment of bond interest and redemptions payable on or before January, 1928.

Auditor and Treasurer to Transfer Municipal Railway Funds.

Supervisor McSheehy presented: Resolution No. 28109 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Auditor and Treasurer of the City and County be and are hereby directed to transfer in season to pay interest and principal on Geary Street Railway Bonds, Market Street Railway Bonds and Municipal Railway Bonds to become due on or before January 1, 1928, the following sums or so much thereof as may be necessary, to-wit:

From the Municipal Railway Fund the sum of $14,962.50 to the credit of the Geary Street Railway Bond Interest Fund, and the sum of $675 to the credit of the Market Street Railway Bond Interest Fund, 1910, and the sum of $65,000 to the credit of the Municipal Railway Bond Interest Fund, 1913, and the sum of $100,000 to the credit of the Municipal Railway Bond Redemption Fund, 1913.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Appropriation, $300, for Sheet Metal Work at San Francisco Hospital.

Supervisor McSheehy presented: Resolution No. 28110 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $300 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of "Repairs to Public Buildings," Budget Item No. 59, for expense of sheet metal work required at the San Francisco Hospital.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Appropriation, $193.17, for Ambulance Service, Mills Airport.

Supervisor McSheehy presented: Resolution No. 28111 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $193.17 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of "Municipal Airport," Budget Item No. 55, to the credit of Emergency Hospitals (Appropriation 47-A, Budget Item No. 1011) to reimburse for expenditures made for account of ambulance service rendered to the Municipal Airport at Mills Field.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Oil Tank Permits.

On motion of Supervisor Deasy:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits be and are hereby granted:

Oil Tanks.

Louis R. Anderson, northeast corner of Beach and Divisadero streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

P. L. Bannon, 1150 Cabrillo street, 600 gallons capacity.

Mrs. Bessie Cooley, northwest corner of Beach and Divisadero streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

Wm. Doyle, 170 Duboce avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

E. J. Gorman, northeast corner of Beach and Broderick streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

Healey Bros., east side of Divisadero street, 75 feet north of Francisco street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Healey Bros., south side of Bay street, 168 feet 9 inches east of Baker street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Alvin Heyman, 37 Presidio Terrace, 1500 gallons capacity.

M. Hutcheson, west side of Santa Ana avenue, 75 feet north of St. Francis boulevard, 1500 gallons capacity.

Johanas & McCarthy, south side of Fifteenth street, 225 feet west of Church street, 1500 gallons capacity.

L. Kendall, 25 Seventeenth avenue, 600 gallons capacity.

L. A. Norris, 2264 Hyde street, 1500 gallons capacity.

M. J. Reeves, 959 Natoma street, 600 gallons capacity.

F. Rosenberg, south side of Lake street, 90 feet east of Twenty-sixth avenue, 750 gallons capacity.

Patrick Rowaner, southeast corner of Mason and Washington streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

Stein & Kreig, west side of Twenty-fourth avenue, 75 feet south of Anza street, 1500 gallons capacity.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits shall become null and void.

Automobile Supply Station Permit.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (Nem Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Fahey & Rich be and are hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an automobile supply station on the northwest corner of Sickles and Winnipeg avenues.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Foodstuffs Ordinance Amended.

On motion of Supervisor Byington:

Bill No. 8303, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Section 6 of Ordinance No. 2917 (New Series), entitled "Regulating the manufacture, handling, care and sale of foodstuffs within the City and County of San Francisco," approved September 22, 1914.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Amending Section 6 of Ordinance No. 2917 (New Series), the title of which is as recited above, to read as follows:

Section 6. No person, firm or corporation engaged in the manufacture, handling or sale of foodstuffs shall require, permit or allow any person suffering from any communicable disease to work, lodge, sleep or remain within or upon the premises.

It shall be unlawful for any person to bring into, or from any person, firm or corporation to allow, any dog or dogs, or cat or cats, to enter any place of busines designated in this ordinance.

It shall be unlawful for any person, firm or corporation to display on the street, or in the open air, food products liable to be injured, infected or polluted, without adequate protection from dirt, flies, animals or insects.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Action Deferred.

The following matter was *laid over one week*:

Board of Public Works to Call for Bids for Disposal of Refuse.

Bill No. ——, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Authorizing the Board of Public Works to prepare specifications and proposals and to receive bids for the disposal of the refuse of the City and County of San Francisco by dumping at sea, in accordance with Article VI, Chapter I, Section 9, Subdivision 7, of the Charter.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public

Works is hereby authorized, instructed and empowered to prepare specifications and proposals and to receive bids for the disposal of the refuse of the City and County of San Francisco under alternative propositions as follows:

Proposition A. The City and County of San Francisco to select site, construct wharf and pier and furnish loading equipment; the contractor to furnish necessary equipment for taking refuse at sea.

Proposition B. The contractor to furnish an adequate and serviceable loading wharf and equipment and the necessary equipment for taking the refuse to sea.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Denying Stable Permit.

Supervisor Byington presented:

Resolution No. 28112 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, in the exercise of the sound and reasonable discretion of the Board of Supervisors, permission is hereby denied L. E. Eddy to operate and maintain a stable for two horses at 1833 Lombard street.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Passed for Printing.

The following bill was *passed for printing*:

Vacation Ordinance, Per Diem Men.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy:

Bill No. 8304, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Providing for and designating holidays of per diem employees of the Department of Public Works in the City and County of San Francisco and repealing Ordinance No. 7309 (New Series).

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Department of Public Works of the City and County of San Francisco shall recognize as holidays for per diem employees the following days only: Every Sunday, New Year's Day, Decoration Day, Independence Day, Labor Day, Admission Day, Thanksgiving Day and Christmas Day; provided that, in addition to the foregoing holidays, which are generally recognized by private employers in every trade, the Department of Public Works shall recognize also Washington's Birthday as a holiday for those per diem employees, mechanics and their helpers, who work at trades in which there is a general custom to recognize said day as a holiday. If any of the said holidays shall fall upon Sunday the following Monday shall be a holiday.

Section 2. The provisions of this ordinance shall not apply to platform men of the Municipal Railway or to other employees of public utilities actually necessary for the operation of the same.

Section 3. Ordinance No. 7309 (New Series) is hereby repealed.

Section 4. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Mayor and Clerk to Enter Lease With Pacific Telephone and Telegraph Company for Fire Lot.

Supervisor Powers presented:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, on February 29, 1908, the City entered into a lease with the McDonough Estate, owner of the certain land commencing at a point on the northerly line of Bush street, distant thereon 110 feet easterly from the easterly line of Dupont street, running thence easterly along the northerly line of Bush street 27 feet 6 inches; thence at a right angle northerly 115 feet; thence at a right angle westerly parallel with Bush street 27 feet 6 inches; thence at a right angle southerly 115 feet to the northerly line of Bush street and point of commencement. Being a portion of 50 Vara Lot No. 94, for the purpose of erecting a fire house thereon and did erect a building on said land to be and since has been maintained and operated by the Fire Department for Fire Department purposes; and

Whereas, a communication has been received from the Pacific Telephone and Telegraph Company advising that it has purchased the said land hereinbefore described and is successor in interest to the McDonough Estate, and setting forth its willingness to continue the lease of the said property to the City and County of San Francisco for a period of ten (10) years from and after the expiration of the present lease at a monthly rental of $200; and

Whereas, it is found necessary to continue the use of said premises by the City for Fire Department purposes; therefore, be it

Resolved, That his Honor the Mayor and the Clerk of this Board be and are hereby authorized and directed to enter into lease with the Pacific Telephone and Telegraph

Company for the certain hereinabove described premises for a period of five (5) years from and after February 29, 1928, at a monthly rental of $200, the City to have an option to exercise the continuance of the said lease for a period of five (5) years additional at the same rental, being $200 per month; and be it

Further Resolved, That the City Attorney be and is hereby requested to prepare a lease in conformity with the provisions of this resolution.

Referred to the Public Buildings Committee.

ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND MOTIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

Congressional Bill for Bay Bridge Recommended by City Attorney.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Honorable Board of Supervisors,
City Hall, San Francisco, Calif.

Gentlemen:

I herewith submit to you a proposed bill to be presented to the coming Congress of the United States granting permission to the City and County of San Francisco to construct or authorize the construction of a transbay bridge from Rincon Hill, in the City and County of San Francisco, to the south Mole of San Antonio Estuary, in the County of Alameda, in conformity with Plan No. 2, as reported by the Board of Bridge Engineers. With the bill is a resolution to be adopted by your Board should the bill meet with your approval. The resolution gives approval to the bill and requests its passage by Congress. This bill is presented to you in conformity with a resolution heretofore adopted by your Board, and was prepared after a consultation between the Bridge Committee, Honorable Hiram W. Johnson, Honorable Florence P. Kahn, Honorable Richard J. Welch, Honorable Matt I. Sullivan representing the Mayor, and myself. Those who actively participated in the preparation of the bill were Supervisors McSheehy and Havenner, Honorable M. M. O'Shaughnessy, Honorable Matt I. Sullivan and myself.

Sincerely,
JOHN J. O'TOOLE,
City Attorney.

Resolved, That the annexed bill granting permission to the City and County of San Francisco to construct and maintain a transbay bridge from Rincon Hill, in the City and County of San Francisco, to the south Mole of San Antonio Estuary, in the County of Alameda, be and the same is hereby given the approval of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco. And be it

Further Resolved, That the Congress of the United States to convene on the first Monday of December, 1927, be and it is hereby requested to pass said bill as presented.

Proposed Congressional Bill for Bay Bridge.

An Act granting consent to the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, its successors and assigns, to construct, maintain and operate a bridge across the Bay of San Francisco from Rincon Hill to the south Mole of the San Antonio Estuary, in the County of Alameda, in said State.

Section 1. Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled, that the consent of Congress is hereby granted to the City and County of San Francisco, its successors and assigns to construct, maintain and operate a bridge across the Bay of San Francisco, and approaches thereto, from Rincon Hill, in the City and County of San Francisco, to a point near the south Mole of San Antonio Estuary, in the County of Alameda, in said State, in accordance with the plan described as Bridge Location No. 1 in the report and plans of Robert Ridgway, Arthur Talbot and John D. Galloway, Board of Engineers, filed in the office of the Board of Public Works of the City and County of San Francisco on the 5th day of May, 1927.

Section 2. The vertical clearance of said bridge above mean high water shall be no less than 150 feet, as shown in and by said report and plans of said Board of Engineers.

Section 3. The City and County of San Francisco may assign the right hereby granted to a Bridge and Highway District organized under the laws of the State of California, or a governmental agency heretofore or hereafter created by the United States or the State of California, or to a person, firm or private corporation who will give satisfactory guaranty that the work of constructing said bridge shall be diligently prosecuted, and that after completion said bridge shall be maintained and operated in accordance with law.

Section 4. In the event of the assignment of the right hereby

granted to a person, firm or private corporation, and the construction by the assignee or successor in interest of said bridge and appurtenances thereto, the City and County of San Francisco, or a Bridge and Highway District or a governmental agency heretofore or hereafter created by the United States or the State of California, with authority to construct, maintain or operate a bridge, shall have the right, after the lapse of ten years from date of completion of said bridge to purchase the same and all real or personal property appurtenant to or used in connection therewith; provided, however, that the City and County of San Francisco shall have a right to purchase preferred and prior to that of any Bridge and Highway District or any governmental agency. The purchase price shall be the reasonable value at the time of purchase of said bridge and its approaches and all real and personal property appurtenant thereto or used in connection therewith. Said value shall be determined in the manner provided by the law in force at the time of purchase; provided, however, in fixing the price nothing shall be allowed for franchise or going concern value, good will or prospective revenues or profits.

Section 5. Before an assignment by the City and County of San Francisco of the right hereby granted, the proposition to make such assignment shall be submitted to the voters of the City and County of San Francisco at a general election, or a special election called for the purpose. If a majority of the voters voting upon the proposition shall favor the assignment, such assignment shall be accordingly made, subject to the provisions of this act.

Section 6. Before commencing the construction of said bridge the builder thereof shall submit to the Secretary of War, for his approval, plans and specifications for its construction, together with such drawings of the proposed construction and such map of the proposed location as may be required for a full understanding of the subject; provided, however, no objection shall be made by the Secretary of War to the height or location of said bridge, which height and and location shall be approved, if found to be in accordance with the report and plan of said Board of Engineers.

Section 7. Upon the completion of said bridge and appurtenances thereto, in accordance with said report and plan of said Board of Engineers, the same shall be deemed and treated as a lawfully constructed bridge, notwithstanding the provisions of that certain act of Congress, approved March 23, 1906, Chapter 1130, entitled, "An Act to regulate the construction of bridges over navigable waters." The consent hereby given shall be a conclusive determination by Congress that said bridge, constructed in accordance with the report and plan of said Board of Engineers, is not an obstruction to the free navigation of the waters of the Bay of San Francisco.

Section 8. The work of constructing said bridge shall be commenced within two years from date of the passage of this act, and shall be completed within five years from date of commencement; provided, however, the Secretary of War may in his discretion extend the time for the commencement or completion of said work.

This act shall take effect immediately.

Amendment Proposed.

Supervisor Gallagher: I would propose an amendment, and it is done roughly and perhaps it might be bolstered up, I propose the following amendment: "And be it further resolved, that if any amendment of verbiage, construction or revision of this proposed bill is found advisable or necessary, that the representative of this Board at Washington, after conference with and approval of our two United States Senators and our two Congressmen from this District, may be permitted to make such change, or revision, provided approval of this Board is had thereon.

Supervisor Badaracco: I second the motion.

Supervisor Marks: City Attorney O'Toole has handed me this, Mr. Mayor: "Be it further resolved, that said James B. McSheehy be and he is hereby given authority to consent to any minor changes in the wording of said bill, provided that said amendments do not change the tenor or the effect of said bill."

Supervisor Gallagher: I would be very glad to have that substituted for mine and will withdraw my own in its favor.

Correction.

Supervisor Marks: The last line on page 2. the word "provided, however, no objection shall be made by the Secretary of War to the height or location of said bridge." I think, Mr. Mayor, the words "provided, however," are somewhat confusing

because that might be interpreted to mean that the construction can commence only provided that no objection shall be made by the Secretary of War, whereas what is intended is a prohibition against any objection being made. If the words "provided, however," came out, and if the sentence merely read "no objection shall be made by the Secretary of War to the height or location of said bridge," that would very clearly, I think, Mr. O'Toole, be a prohibition, whereas the words "provided, however," might mean if no objection is made by the Secretary of War. It is the last line on page 2. The way it reads now—it is merely a matter of language—"Before commencing the construction of said bridge, the builder thereof shall submit to the Secretary of War, for his approval, plans and specifications for its construction, together with such drawings of the proposed construction and such map of the proposed location as may be required for a full understanding of the subject, provided, however, no objection shall be made by the Secretary of War to the height or location of said bridge." Now, that may be construed to mean that construction may start if no objection is made by the Secretary of War, which is not the intention. The intent is to prohibit the Secretary of War from making an objection. Strike out the words "provided, however," and the sentence there and then make it read "No objection shall be made by the Secretary of War to the height or location of said bridge." There is a direct prohibition—a new sentence. But the words "provided, however," should come out.

Supervisor Shannon: How about inserting the word "that"—"provided, however, that no objection?"

City Attorney O'Toole: I think that would mean the same thing. I think Supervisor Marks' observation is correct there, and with the consent of those who were present at the meeting this morning I would recommend that "provided, however," be eliminated.

Whereupon, City Attorney agreed to revise the bill after conference with Supervisors McSheehy, Havenner and Harrelson and City Engineer O'Shaughnessy along the lines suggested and report back in afternoon.

RECESS.

Whereupon, at 12:30 p. m. the Board took a recess until 2 p. m.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk.

REASSEMBLED—2 P. M.

The Board of Supervisor reassembled at 2 p. m.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Todd—13.

Absent—Supervisors Harrelson, Kent, Shannon, Stanton—4.

Quorum present.

His Honor Mayor Rolph presiding.

Bridge Matters.

City Attorney O'Toole submitted re draft of proposed Congressional bill for San Francisco Bridge permit, which was *laid over until next meeting.*

Supervisor McSheehy Delegated to Present San Francisco Bay Bridge Congressional Bill.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Supervisor James B. McSheehy be and he is hereby authorized and directed to proceed to the City of Washington, in the District of Columbia, and then and there present to Congress of the United States a bill presented to the Board of Supervisors granting permission to the City and County of San Francisco to construct a transbay bridge from the City and County of San Francisco to the County of Alameda; and be it

Further Resolved, That said James B. McSheehy be and he is hereby given authority to consent to all minor changes in the wording of said bill which he may deem proper, provided that said changes do not change the tenor or effect of said bill; and be it

Further Resolved, That James B. McSheehy be and he is hereby authorized and directed to use all honorable means and efforts to obtain favorable action of said Congress on said bill; and be it

Further Resolved, That the sum of five thousand dollars ($5,000) be and is hereby appropriated from the money in the Treasury of the City and County of San Francisco not otherwise appropriated to defray his expenses to and from said City of Washington and while remaining at said City and engaged in the presentation or in the urging of the passage of this bill.

Passed for printing by the following vote:

Ayes— Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Todd —12.

Absent—Supervisors Harrelson, Kent, Shannon, Stanton—4.

Excused from Voting—Supervisor McSheehy—1.

Relative to Repaving Rhode Island Street Between Sixteenth and Seventeenth Streets.

Supervisor Gallagher presented:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Honorable, the Board of Public Works, be and is hereby requested to recommend legislation providing for (at the earliest possible date) the paving of Rhode Island street between Sixteenth and Seventeenth streets.

Referred to Streets Committee.

Street Lights.

Supervisor Stanton presented:

Resolution No. 28113 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Pacific Gas and Electric Company be and it is hereby authorized and requested to remove and install street lights as follows:

Remove Single-Top Gasolier.

North side Greenwich street, first and second east of Hyde street.

South side Greenwich street, first, second and third east of Hyde street.

Remove Single-Top Gas Lamps.

North side Bonita street, first west of Polk street.

South side Bonita street, first west of Polk street.

Change to 250 *M. R. to* 400 *M. R.*

Trumbull street, east of Craut street.

Install 400 *M. R.*

North side of Greenwich street, second and fourth pole east of Hyde street.

Twenty-fourth avenue and Lawton street.

Twenty-fourth avenue and Moraga street.

Corner Craut and Trumbull streets.

Corner Congdon and Trumbull streets.

Bonita street off Polk street.

Change 400 *M. R. to* 600 *C. P.*

Ashbury and Frederick streets.

Install 400 *C. P. on Iron Column. Same as Covered by Resolution No.* 27484.

North side California street between Mason and Cushman streets.

South side California street, opposite Cushman street.

Northeast and southwest corners California and Mason streets.

North and south sides California street, west of Taylor street.

Northeast and southwest corners California and Taylor streets.

Remove 600 *M. R.*

Southeast corner California and Mason streets.

Southeast corner California and Taylor streets.

California and Cushman streets.

California street between Taylor and Jones streets.

Install 600 *C. P. O. B.*

West side Columbus avenue between Taylor and Lombard streets.

Northwest corner Columbus avenue and Lombard street.

West side Columbus avenue between Jansen and Greenwich streets.

Northwest corner Columbus avenue and Mason street.

Southwest corner Columbus avenue and Greenwich street.

Southeast corner Columbus avenue and Mason street.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Mayor to Contract With Herbert Gould, Symphony Concert Artist.

Supervisor Havenner presented:

Resolution No. 28114 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors, upon the recommendation of the Auditorium Committee, authorizes his Honor the Mayor to enter into contract with Herbert Gould or his representatives for his service as soloist on the evening of December 8, 1927, at a concert to be given by the San Francisco Symphony Orchestra pursuant to the provisions of Paragraph 1 of Resolution No. 27535 (New Series), and providing that said Herbert Gould or his representatives be paid the fee of $600 for his services.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Chas. F. Todd Appointed Delegate to Weed-Klamath Falls Highway Association Meeting.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 28115 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Supervisor Chas. F. Todd is hereby appointed to represent the City and County of San Francisco at the meeting of the Weed-Klamath Falls Highway Association to be held in Red Bluff about the middle of December to consider ways and means of completing the road between Weed and Klamath Falls, Oregon.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Sunset Boulevard Location Hearing.

Supervisor Marks: I move you that the Streets Committee set date for hearing before the Board of Supervisor, in committee of the whole, be instructed to hold a hearing for the purpose of determining upon and recommending to the Board the location of the Sunset boulevard included in the Highway Bond Issue approved on November 8th.

I further move you that the Mayor, the City Engineer, the Park Superintendent and interested civic organizations and citizens be invited to submit their recommendations to the Streets Committe in connection therewith.

Supervisor Gallagher added "members of the Board be invited."

Supervisor Gallagher suggested "hearing in Committee of the Whole."

Motion *carried*.

Report of Finance Committee on Salary Increases.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

November 28, 1927.

Board of Supervisors, City and County of San Francisco.

Gentlemen:

During the budget proceedings, May, 1927, various motions offered to increase salaries were referred to the Finance Committee. The Board decided not to increase the budget appropriations, but to defer action on these proposals until the definite amount of revenue from outside sources could be obtained.

Unforeseen expenditures against the General Fund and passed by the Board are:

Airport	$ 65,000
Telephone rate investigation	37,500
Market Street Railway survey	10,000
Bureau of Engineering	41,098
St. Francis celebration	4,000
Montgomery street	10,000
High pressure system extensions	43,000
County line water works	2,500
Railway tracks, West Portal avenue	16,000
Total	$229,098

In the first four months of the fiscal year there is a deficit of $58,000 in the Health Department, caused by the increase of patients in the San Francisco Hospital and the Relief Home. The Health Department estimates that the deficit for the remainder of the fiscal year will be $102,912. These deficits may be met by credits from unexpended appropriations at the close of the fiscal year and by withholding some appropriations for things that can be done next year.

The motions referred to the Finance Committee call for an increase in salaries amounting to $121,826. Since the filing of these motions the Finance Committee has received written communications from various employees and organizations requesting salary increases amounting to $118,270, making a total of $240,096 per annum.

The Finance Committee tenders this financial report and it feels that the Board should exercise its will and judgment as to how far it desires to consider the requests of the different employees.

FRANCK P. HAVENNER.
JAS. B. McSHEEHY.
MILO F. KENT.

Motion.

Supervisor Gallagher moved that the Finance Committee investigate and report all expected surpluses in any of the funds of this year's budget, any funds not now appropriated for which appropriation was set aside in the budget, and such other items as in their judgment may wait for later appropriation.

Motion *carried*.

Action Deferred.

Whereupon, the foregoing report was *laid over one week*.

Temporary Office Building Permit.

Supervisor Hayden presented:

Resolution No. 28116 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That F. W. Varney be and is hereby granted permission to erect and maintain for a period of six months a temporary office building on the southwest corner

of Geneva avenue and Cayuga avenue for the purpose of real estate development.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Todd—13.

Absent — Supervisors Harrelson, Kent, Shannon, Stanton—4.

City Planning Commission to Investigate Advisability of Rezoning Marina Property.

Supervisor Gallagher presented:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the City Planning Commission be and is hereby directed to investigate and report upon the advisability of changing the property bounded on the north by Lewis street, on the east by Laguna street, on the south by the Marina boulevard and on the west by Webster street from the Light Industrial District to the First Residential District.

Referred to the City Planning Commission and City Planning Committee.

Maddux Air Lines Endorsed.

Supervisor Kent presented:

Resolution No. 28117 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the Maddux Air Lines, operating between Los Angeles and San Diego on a recent occasion, through the courtesy and cooperation of J. L. Maddux, flew one of their all-metal tri-motored Fords to San Francisco with thirteen passengers, exclusive of the pilot, for the purpose of making an inspection of Mills Field, San Francisco's Municipal Airport; and

Whereas, it is the intention of Mr. J. L. Maddux of the Maddux Air Lines to establish, within 30 days, a regular schedule day-light operation by air, the transportation of passengers, mail and express between Los Angeles and San Francisco; and

Whereas, in order that the citizens of San Francisco receive the full benefit of the transportation service afforded by the Maddux Air Lines that said Maddux Air Lines be invited to use Mills Field as their northern terminal; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, through its Airport Committee, extend to Mr. J. L. Maddux of the Maddux Air Lines all the aid, cooperation and assistance that is within their power to extend in order that Mills Field, San Francisco's Municipal Airport will be used by them as the northern terminal of their Los Angeles-San Francisco operation. Be it

Further Resolved, That a copy of this resolution be forwarded to Mr. J. L. Maddux of the Maddux Air Lines, Figueroa at Twenty-first street, Los Angeles, California.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—17.

Finance and Public Utilities Committees to Investigate on Return for Mocassin Creek Power.

Supervisor Havenner: Mr. Chairman, I have been advised, by engineers and other citizens of San Francisco who have made a study of electric light and power problems, that the price which San Francisco is now receiving for its Mocassin Creek power through the agency contract might well be inquired into at this time; that since 1925, when the agency contract was made, large blocks of power which were not then absorbed have been absorbed by the power markets in this section of the State, and that, if our power was worth what we are now receiving for it, two million odd dollars, in 1925, it would seem to be reasonable to assume that it is worth more on the present market. I desire to move that the Finance and Public Utilities Committees be authorized to inquire into the price which we are now receiving for our power under the contract, and to report to this Board whether, in their judgment, it might seem advisable to enter into negotiations for a more favorable price.

So *ordered*.

Gold Star Mothers Request Appropriation.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

November 28, 1927.

To the Honorable Board of Supervisors.

Gentlemen:

The San Francisco Gold Star Mothers are very desirous of having "Heroes' Grove," in Golden Gate Park, completed. This grove was dedicated over eight years ago, and while other groves have been improved "Heroes' Grove" is a wilderness of weeds and stunted, undernourished trees.

Therefore the San Francisco Gold Star Mothers do hereby petition this Honorable Board to instruct the Park Commissioners, the Finance Committee, or whom it

may concern, to supply an appropriate amount of money to have the following improvements completed:

First: A huge boulder of California granite whereon the names of all deceased soldiers of the late World War whose homes were in San Francisco City and County may be inscribed on a bronze plate, the same to be properly protected from the elements.

Second: A convenience station with drinking fountain, basin, bowl and toilets.

Third: Permanent driveway and pedestrian paths, also directing sign posts.

Fourth: A permanent Gold Star flower bed, flowers of which to be changed according to the seasons of the year; grass plots, poppies and dahlias; in fact, we wish this to be a beautiful spot where all patriotic citizens of San Francisco City and County may point with reverence and pride to visitors and friends and thereby invoke patriotism in our growing boys and girls.

We hope and trust that you will see fit to grant our request as this project is very near and dear to every Gold Star Mother.

Sincerely yours,

Mrs. Clara Jones,
Secretary and Treasurer.
Mrs. Leah H. Davis, President.
Etta Carlson, Trustee.
Nora Wright, Trustee.
Winifred Borkhero, Trustee.

Referred to Finance and Education, Parks and Playground Committees jointly. (Invite Park Commission to attend Finance Committee's meeting next Friday.)

ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business the Board at 5:10 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors December 27, 1927.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated, and approved as above recited.

JOHN S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors.
City and County of San Francisco.

Vol. 22—New Series SAN FRANCISCO PUBLIC LIBRARY No.

Monday, December 5, 1927

Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
337 Bush Street, S. F.

Journal of Proceedings
Board of Supervisors
City and County of San Francisco

JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, DECEMBER 5, 1927, 10 A. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, December 5, 1927, 10 a. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Kent, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—14.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Marks, Shannon—3.

Quorum present.

His Honor Mayor Rolph presiding.

APPROVAL OF JOURNALS.

The Journals of Proceedings of October 3, 10 and 17, 1927, were considered read and approved.

ROLL CALL FOR PETITIONS FROM MEMBERS.

Appointment of James I. Herz, Supervisor, Vice Edwin G. Bath, Deceased.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

San Francisco, Cal.,
December 5, 1927.

Honorable Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco,
San Francisco, California.

Gentlemen:

I am very happy to officially inform the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco that I have this day appointed James I. Herz, the fighting Sergeant-Major of the famous 363d Infantry, San Francisco's own regiment of the Ninety-first (Wild West) Division to the office of Supervisor to fill the unexpired term of the late Edwin G. Bath.

I pay this compliment to Supervisor Herz because he is one of the outstanding characters of the younger generation of our city.

In naming him I wish to compliment the veterans of the city whom he so well represents. He left the University of California in his third year as a brilliant student to spend two years in service. He was in the thick of the fighting, fought in the St. Mihiel, the Meuse-Argonne and the Ypres-Lys offensives with his famous division and came home with the Distinguished Service Cross of the United States, the Military Medal of France, which corresponds to our Congressional Medal of Honor, the Belgian Cross of War and a personal citation for bravery from General Pershing.

Supervisor Herz is the President of the Ninety-first Division Association, is a past President of the 363d Infantry Association, and in private life is a member of the San Francisco Stock Exchange with a clean and admirable record.

I wish that this appointment might be for a longer term as I know that the city would be most fortunate to have this progressive young business man of education and ability serving the public for years to come as a member of your Honorable Board.

Very sincerely yours,
JAMES ROLPH, JR.,
Mayor.

Ordered *filed*.

Supervisors Hayden and *Kent* were appointed by the Chair to escort Supervisor Herz to his chair.

Supervisor Herz was welcomed to the Board by Supervisor Colman, who referred to the new Supervisor's war record as a member of the 363d Infantry, San Francisco's Own.

Supervisor Kent and other members of the Board and Jos. Kuhn, President, 363d Infantry, and Bob. Munson, President, 347th Field Artillery, representing San Francisco organizations in the World War, also spoke. Mrs. Alfred McLaughlin of the San Francisco Center, speaking on behalf of the women of the City, said:

"We are glad to see Mr. Herz take his seat on this Board, which represents one of the largest corporations in the State. The voters of San Francisco should arouse themselves to the necessity of putting business men on this Board."

Mrs. Leon and Mrs. Annie Scanlon also expressed their good wishes and congratulations.

Supervisor Byington, in welcoming Supervisor Herz and complimenting Mrs. McLaughlin on her remarks, said:

"This Board also needs a woman on it, and I nominate Mrs. McLaughlin for the position."

Supervisor Gallagher asked Supervisor Herz, as a war veteran, to give his attention to the completion of War Memorial projects, and promised his whole-hearted cooperation and support.

Supervisor Herz, in responding to the greetings, thanked Mayor Rolph for the honor bestowed on him and asked that the numerous floral pieces that decorated his seat be sent to Letterman General Hospital "to cheer the lot of many war buddies."

Mayor Rolph, in compliment to the new Supervisor, invited Mrs. Herz and the Supervisor's father and mother to occupy seats on the rostrum.

Whereupon, Supervisor Herz was declared duly inducted in the office of Supervisor of the City and County of San Francisco.

Relative to Stoppage of Work on Judah Street Municipal Railway.

Supervisor Gallagher and *Supervisor-elect Toner* called to the attention of the Board the stoppage of work on the proposed Judah street Municipal Railway extension.

Auditor Boyle explained his position in refusing to sign the contract by saying that his attorney advised him against such action on account of the appeal that had been taken. In order not to stop the work, he said, that he would take a bond to protect his bondsmen and sign the contract.

City Attorney O'Toole declared that he had thought it better to follow the matter up in the appeal as being the most expeditious way and hoped to have it on the court calendar in the middle of January.

Motion.

Supervisor Gallagher: I am going to move you that the Mayor and the Board of Public Works stand requested to exercise their energy and to do whatever acts are to them legal and proper to assist the City Attorney, the Auditor and the contractor on the job in order to get this work under way again at the earliest possible moment.

Seconded by Supervisor Harrelson.

Motion *carried.*

Statement of Supervisor Havenner In re "Bulletin" Attack on Members of the Board of Supervisors.

The following was presented by Supervisor Havenner as a question of personal privilege and *ordered spread in Journal of Proceedings*:

Recently we have witnessed a series of false, vicious and malicious attacks made upon members of this Board of Supervisors. They have appeared in the columns of the San Francisco Bulletin. Usually they have been signed by Wm. M. Hines, the publisher of this journal.

In a recent issue this man, who has ambitions personally to control the government of the City and County of San Francisco, took occasion without the slightest shadow of foundation to publish a libelous attack on the majority of the members of this Board, including myself.

It may be good policy for a man occupying public office to avoid the hostility of a newspaper. In my opinion, however, this is the type of policy dictated by cowardice, and marks those who adopt it as being unfit and lacking in the necessary courage honestly to discharge the obligations of a public trust.

We have in San Francisco decent newspapers. They may not always agree with us and we may not always agree with them. On matters of public policy it is the right and the duty of a decent newspaper to criticise public officials, basing the criticism on just grounds and on facts.

It is recognized among decent newspapermen, however, and I should have some familiarity with the tenets of the profesission—for I have spent half of my life in the work—that the use of a newspaper as an organ for the dissemination of malicious and false statements is improper and unjustifiable.

I have said that we have decent newspapers in San Francisco. We have. Some of them have criticized me severely. I still respect them, as I always have. They have a right to their opinion and I have a right to mine.

In San Francisco, however, we have one institution which, while nominally a newspaper, in fact is the kept organ and journal of big interests which desire to profit improperly at the public expense.

This journal, at least temporarily, is under the direction of a man of no particular distinction as a newspaperman, who at the present time is rattling around in a position

which should be filled by a man of real capability.

This man, because of his lack of ability, is crude in carrying out the orders of his masters. He knows only one method—that of false attacks and the outworn policy of attempting to intimidate public officials by the vicious use of a newspaper.

You may ask me why I say the San Francisco Bulletin is a kept organ.

I will tell you.

You may be interested to know why the San Francisco Bulletin so savagely attacks every attempt to bring Hetch Hetchy power into San Francisco and distribute it through a municipally-owned distribution system.

You may be interested to know why the San Francisco Bulletin viciously and savagely attacks every public official who fights honestly for public ownership of a municipal distribution system for hydro-electric energy.

You may be interested to know why, during the recent campaign, the San Francisco Bulletin so savagely and viciously attacked the proposed bond issue for a transmission line.

Let me tell you the reason.

The San Francisco Bulletin, during the lifetime of the late Wiggington Creed, president of the Pacific Gas and Electric Company, was partially owned by Mr. Wiggington Creed and is now partially owned by the estate of Mr. Wiggington Creed.

Does this make clear to you why the San Francisco Bulletin is so peculiarly solicitous for the interests of the people of San Francisco who are paying tribute to the Pacific Gas and Electric Company?

The San Francisco Bulletin, among newspapers, is of that type which is so shameless that it dares not tell the public who really owns it.

Do you desire to know why the San Francisco Bulletin so savagely and viciously attacked the appointment of Delos Wilcox, who was employed as an expert adviser to the City in relation to the Market Street Railway purchase?

I think I can enlighten you.

The Market Street Railway Company is owned by the Byllesby Corporation. I am credibly informed that the Byllesby Corporation has acquired recently a large interest in the Pacific Gas and Electric Company, of which Mr. Wiggington Creed was president, and with which now the Byllesbys have an identity of interest.

I do not think we need to waste a great deal of time to find out why this journal, professedly devoted to the interests of the people, is so solicitous for the Market Street Railway.

Look further into the ownership of the San Francisco Bulletin and note the connections of the sugar trust, represented by Mr. Wallace Alexander and the interests with which Mr. Wallace Alexander is allied. Also the steamship trust, as represented by Mr. Stanley Dollar.

I might go farther.

However, my purpose is to make plain the reason for the mud-slinging opposition to municipal ownership of this alleged newspaper, which I understand, like every other kept sheet in the history of journalism, is a financial liability to its owners.

I can speak with some authority and considerable experience about the San Francisco Bulletin, because, for the better part of ten years, I was connected with the editorial department of that paper, when it was an independent, respectable journal, under a different ownership and management. During that long period of years I had the honor to be entrusted with much of the important work of the editorial department of the paper. The present publisher of the Bulletin has seen fit, in slurring terms, to charge me with general incompetency. That may be his sincere opinion, but in justice to myself I think it should be pointed out that he has no personal knowledge of the subject, and I would be glad to refer all those who may be interested to the previous owner and the previous publisher of the paper, who can give them first-hand information about my work.

Every honest public official in the City of San Francisco should welcome the hostility of the San Francisco Bulletin when its present ownership is known.

I do not believe that any official of San Francisco, pledged to honest municipal distribution of hydro-electric energy, can accept with equanimity or without suspicion the support of a sheet partially owned by the Pacific Gas and Electric Company.

His Honor Mayor Rolph expressed his opposition to the remarks of Supervisor Havenner as did Supervisor Colman, who moved that the remarks be expunged from the rec-

ord. Seconded by Supervisor Hayden.

Motion *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Harrelson, Hayden, Herz, Marks—6.

Noes—Supervisors Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd —9.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Powers, Shannon—3.

Thereupon the roll was called on Supervisor Havenner's motion to insert in the record, with the following result:

Ayes—Supervisors Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd —9.

Noes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Harrelson, Hayden, Herz, Marks—6.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Powers, Shannon—3.

Chair (Mayor Rolph) declared the motion *lost*, not having received 10 votes.

Supervisor Gallagher appealed from the decision of the chair and in support of his position read Rule No. 37 as follows:

"Except when otherwise provided by these rules, the Charter or law, a majority vote of the members present shall be necessary for the adoption of any motion."

Motion to Adjourn.

Supervisor McSheehy moved to adjourn.

Motion *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Hayden, Harrelson, Herz, McSheehy—6.

Noes—Supervisors Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Kent, Marks, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—9.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Powers, Shannon—3.

Whereupon, the roll being called on the appeal, the question was put "Shall the ruling of the chair stand as the decision of the Board?" and the chair was *overruled* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Harrelson—3.

Noes—Supervisors Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Herz, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—12.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Powers, Shannon—3.

Protest, Mallen Parking Station.

Communication, from M. Truco, manager, Hotel Raford, protesting granting permit to H. J. Mallen to conduct parking station adjoining Hotel Raford at Turk and Taylor streets.

Also, *communication*, from L. Chapin, proprietor and manager, Grand Hotel, opposing permit to H. J. Mallen to conduct parking station on Taylor street between Turk and Eddy streets.

Over one week.

Adoption of Uniform Traffic Ordinance Commended.

The following was read and ordered *filed*:

San Francisco,
December 5, 1927.

To the Board of Supervisors:

The women of San Francisco and California have watched with a great deal of interest the installation of the uniform traffic ordinance in San Francisco under the supervision of the Traffic Survey Committee.

In November, 1925, Mrs. D. E. F. Easton, State Chairman, Safety Department of the California Development Association, appeared before the Board and asked consideration of the establishment of the uniform ordinance. Since that time the Traffic Survey Committee brought in a report recommending similar action.

We wish, at this time, to commend and congratulate the official family for their untiring efforts in bringing this to pass. You will be glad to know that San Francisco's official adoption of the uniform ordinance makes the fifty-fourth city in California to take part in the program which the women have been working for in the past two years.

San Francisco's action at this time is of tremendous significance. Many municipalities, which have been waiting to see the action of their larger sister, will now fall in line.

May we, at this reason of the year, extend to you our sincere compliments at this happy conclusion of the constructive action which will mean the saving of many lives in the State of California.

Very truly yours,
HELEN HOLT,
Director, California Public Safety Conference. Chairman, Safety Department, City and County Federation of Women's Clubs.

Invitation to Attend Unveiling of Lincoln Monument.

The following was read and *accepted*:

December 1, 1927.

To the Honorable Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco, California.

Gentlemen:

The Lincoln Monument League extends to you a most cordial invitation to assist in dedicating and unveiling the statue of Abraham Lincoln in the Civic Center of San Francisco, at 2 o'clock p. m., February 13, 1928.

Hoping for your acceptance,

Very truly yours,
FRANK C. STOVER,
Secretary.

Leave of Absence, Mayor James Rolph, Jr.

The following was read and *granted*:

San Francisco, Cal.,
December 2, 1927.

Hon. Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen:

As Mayor of the City and County of San Francisco, I hereby make application for a leave of absence, with permission to leave the State of California for a period of one day, Saturday, December 3, 1927.

Yours very truly,
JAMES ROLPH, JR.,
Mayor.

PRESENTATION OF PROPOSALS.

Print Shop Equipment for School Department.

Sealed proposals were received and opened at the hour of 11 a. m. for furnishing print shop equipment for School Department and *referred to the Supplies Committee.*

Microscopes for School Department.

Sealed proposals were received and opened at the hour of 11 a. m. for furnishing microscopes for School Department and *referred to the Supplies Committee.*

Pay Checks (Lithographed Warrants).

Sealed proposals were received and opened at the hour of 11 a. m. for furnishing pay checks (lithographed warrants) and *referred to the Supplies Committee.*

Salary Report.

Consideration of report on salary increases presented November 28, 1927, and laid over one week.

RECESS.

Whereupon, at 1:30 p. m. the Board took a recess until 3:30 p. m.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk.

REASSEMBLED—3:30 P. M.

The Board of Supervisors reassembled at 3:30 p. m.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Herz, Kent, Marks, McSheehy, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—18.

Quorum present.

His Honor Mayor Rolph presiding.

UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

Final Passage.

The following matters, heretofore passed for printing, were taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Authorizations.

Resolution No. 28119 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

Park Fund.

(1) J. P. Holland, Inc., services of shovel and crew for park (claim dated Nov. 18, 1927), $780.

(2) Pacific Gas & Electric Co., gas and electric service for parks (claim dated Nov. 18, 1927), $3,729.54.

(3) Spring Valley Water Co., water service for parks (claim dated Nov. 18, 1927), $3,128.71.

(4) Langendorf Baking Co., bread, etc., for parks (claim dated Nov. 18, 1927), $762.94.

(5) National Ice Cream Co., ice cream for parks (claim dated Nov. 18, 1927), $1,332.02.

(6) San Francisco Dairy Co., milk, etc., for parks (claim dated Nov. 18, 1927), $638.24.

General Fund, 1926-1927.

(7) Frank J. McHugh, eighth payment, construction of sewers and appurtenances, Sunset District, Contract 3, Section b (claim dated Nov. 14, 1927), $12,000.

Tearing-Up Streets Fund.

(8) California Pottery Co., sidesewer pipe (claim dated Nov. 14, 1927), $525.

Fire Department Land and Construction Fund.

(9) H. H. Larsen Co., extra work in construction of Fire Department Engine House No. 18 (claim dated Nov. 15, 1927), $1,525.

Hetch Hetchy Operative Revenue Fund.

(10) John J. Dailey, legal services, month of November, in valuation of San Francisco electric properties (claim dated Nov. 17, 1927), $850.

(11) H. G. Butler, services rendered in connection with severance damage report, valuation of San Francisco electric properties (claim dated Nov. 17, 1927), $537.50.

(12) Railroad Commission of State of California, November expense of valuation of San Francisco electric properties (claim dated Nov. 21, 1927), $1,000.

Hetch Hetchy Power Operative Fund.

(13) Depreciation Fund, Hetch Hetchy Power Operative, as reserve for depreciation, per Charter, for November (claim dated Nov. 15, 1927), $14,583.

Auditorium Fund.

(14) Pacific Gas & Electric Co., gas and electric service for the Auditorium (claim dated Nov. 15, 1927), $508.40.

California Palace of the Legion of Honor—Appropriation No. 61.

(15) Carl W. Hamilton, New York, for exhibition of modern paintings (claim dated Nov. 18, 1927), $1,000.

School Bonds, Issue 1918.

(16) Remington Rand Business Service, Inc., chairs for Galileo High School (claim dated Nov. 15, 1927), $1,041.

Special School Tax.

(17) W. P. Fuller & Co., paint supplies for schools (claim dated Nov. 10, 1927), $798.

(18) J. H. McCallum, lumber for schools (claim dated Nov. 10, 1927), $663.13.

Municipal Railway Fund.

(19) Pacific Gas & Electric Co., electricity furnished Municipal Railways (claim dated Nov. 15, 1927), $37,795.49.

(20) San Francisco City Employees Retirement System, for pensions, etc., for Municipal Railway employees (claim dated Nov. 9, 1927), $7,303.13.

Municipal Railway Depreciation Fund.

(21) Standard Underground Cable Co., first and final payment, electric cables for Municipal Railways (claim dated Nov. 16, 1927), $2,177.98.

Municipal Railway Accident Reserve Fund.

(22) Mrs. Pauline Delaney, compromise settlement of claim for personal injuries, etc., against the Municipal Railways (claim dated Nov. 15, 1927), $1,000.

(23) William Marshall and Hilda Marshall, his wife, compromise settlement of claim for personal injuries, etc., against the Municipal Railways (claim dated Nov. 16, 1927), $600.

County Road Fund.

(24) Municipal Construction Co., improvement of crossing of San Jose and Mt. Vernon avenues (claim dated Nov. 15, 1927), $510.

School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923.

(25) John Reid, Jr., fifth payment, architectural services for Hearst-Moulder School (claim dated Nov. 14, 1927), $591.72.

Hetch Hetchy Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1925.

(26) T. E. Connolly and J. M. DeLucca, tenth payment, construction of aqueduct tunnels in Foothill Division (claim dated Nov. 14, 1927), $29,956.25.

(27) A. Guthrie & Co., Inc., eleventh payment, construction of aqueduct tunnels in Foothill Division (claim dated Nov. 14, 1927), $44,179.19.

(28) Hercules Powder Co., explosives (claim dated Nov. 14, 1927), $2,297.62.

(29) George H. Tay Co., pipe and fittings (claim dated Nov. 14, 1927), $569.28.

(30) United Materials Co., Lumnite cement (claim dated Nov. 14, 1927), $612.50.

Publicity and Advertising (Appropriation 55).

(31) Rudolph G. Theurkauf & Bro., for transporting, cleaning, setting up and maintaining San Francisco "Reno exhibit" at San Francisco Food Show, Auditorium, and dismanteling, including photography and electric work (claim dated Nov. 21, 1927), $1,573.

(32) California Development Association, expense of maintaining San Francisco Exhibit and Information Bureau, Ferry Building, for the publicity and advertising of San Francisco (claim dated Nov. 21, 1927), $1,000.

(33) Californians, Incorporated, for expense of publicity and advertising of San Francisco (claim dated Nov. 21, 1927), $25,000.

General Fund, 1926-1927.

(34) Union Machine Co., two water towers and monitor batteries, complete, for Fire Department (claim dated June 30, 1927), $22,600.

General Fund, 1927-1928.

(35) Dion R. Holm, legal services for November, in matter of telephone rate litigation (claim dated Nov. 17, 1927), $850.

(36) Paul J. Ost, engineering services, October and November, in matter of telephone rate litigation

(claim dated Nov. 17, 1927), $600.

(37) N. Randall Ellis, engineering service for November, in matter of telephone rate litigation (claim dated Nov. 17, 1927), $750.

(38) J. H. McCallum, lumber for convenience stations (claim dated Nov. 18, 1927), $547.28.

(39) American La France Co., Fire Department apparatus parts (claim dated Oct. 31, 1927), $847.10.

(40) Crescent Oil Co., gasoline for Fire Department (claim dated Oct. 31, 1927), $839.22.

(41) Harron, Rickard & McCone Co., two engine lathes for Fire Department (claim dated Oct. 31, 1927), $4,200.

(42) Pacific Gas & Electric Co., gas and electricity furnished Fire Department (claim dated Oct. 31, 1927), $1,943.96.

(43) Spring Valley Water Co., water and hydrants furnished Fire Department (claim dated Oct. 31, 1927), $2,305.04.

(44) Tire Service Co., tires for Fire Department (claim dated Oct. 31, 1927), $1,151.70.

(45) White Brothers, lumber for Fire Department (claim dated Oct. 31, 1927), $513.90.

(46) Sonoma State Home, maintenance of feeble-minded (claim dated Nov. 21, 1927), $9,625.

(47) A. P. Jacobs, rent of premises No. 333 Kearny street, November 3 to December 3, 1927, $1,186.

(48) California State Automobile Association, 500 Carter arterial stops for traffic regulation (claim dated Nov. 21, 1927), $8,750.

(49) Pacific Gas & Electric Co., October street lighing (claim dated Nov. 21, 1927), $54,939.97.

(50) James G. Stafford and associates, fourth payment, appraisal of taxable improvements (claim dated Nov. 14, 1927), $2,795.

(51) Delos Wilcox, final payment for analysis and investigation regarding franchises and properties of privately owned railways, per Resolution No. 27804, New Series (claim dated Nov. 21, 1927), $4,000.

(52) Protestant Orphanage, maintenance of minors (claim dated Nov. 16, 1927), $940.

(53) San Francisco Nursery for Homeless Children (claim dated Nov. 16, 1927), $558.14.

(54) Roman Catholic Orphanage, maintenance of minors (claim dated Nov. 16, 1927), $3,418.23.

(55) The Albertinum Orphanage, maintenance of minors (claim dated Nov. 16, 1927), $1,403.94.

(56) St. Vincent's School, maintenance of minors (claim dated Nov. 16, 1927), $1,883.11.

(57) Boys' Aid Society, maintenance of minors (claim dated Nov. 16, 1927), $1,352.32.

(58) Little Children's Aid, maintenance of minors (claim dated Nov. 16, 1927), $12,325.36.

(59) Children's Agency, maintenance of minors (claim dated Nov. 16, 1927), $33,200.40.

(60) Eureka Benevolent Society, maintenance of minors (claim dated Nov. 16, 1927), $4,206.82.

(61) Preston School of Industry, maintenance of minors (claim dated Nov. 16, 1927), $544.66.

(62) Preston School of Industry, maintenance of minors (claim dated Nov. 16, 1927), $612.90.

(63) E. B. Frick, room allowances for San Francisco Hospital employees (claim dated Nov. 17, 1927), $2,729.05.

(64) J. A. Folger & Co., coffee, S. F. Hospital (claim dated Nov. 17, 1927), $546.75.

(65) California Meat Co., meats, S. F. Hospital (claim dated Nov. 17, 1927), $740.51.

(66) Scatena-Galli Fruit Co., fruit, S. F. Hospital (claim dated Nov. 17, 1927), $632.01.

(67) L. Lagomarsino & Co., vegetables, S. F. Hospital (claim dated Nov. 17, 1927), $500.14.

(68) Spring Valley Water Co., water for S. F. Hospital (claim dated Nov. 17, 1927), $1,627.40.

(69) California Petroleum Co., fuel oil, Laguna Honda Home (claim dated Nov. 17, 1927), $1,747.22.

(70) Del Monte Meat Co., meats, Laguna Honda Home (claim dated Nov. 17, 1927), $3,526.54.

(71) Fred L. Hilmer Co., eggs, Laguna Honda Home (claim dated Nov. 17, 1927), $1,409.72.

(72) H. Moffatt Co., meat, Laguna Honda Home (claim dated Nov. 17, 1927), $1,045.76.

(73) Morris & Co., butter, Laguna Honda Home (claim dated Nov. 17, 1927), $1,280.76.

(74) C. Nauman & Co., potatoes, Laguna Honda Home (claim dated Nov. 17, 1927), $516.50.

(75) San Francisco Dairy Co., milk, Laguna Honda Home (claim dated Nov. 17, 1927), $2,474.30.

(76) Sharp Flour Co., flour, Laguna Honda Home (claim dated Nov. 17, 1927), $1,198.80.

(77) Standard Fisheries, fish, Laguna Honda Home (claim dated Nov. 17, 1927), $530.46.

(78) S. H. Tyler & Son, coffee, Laguna Honda Home (claim dated Nov. 17, 1927), $538.80.

(79) J. E. French Co., one auto truck, Laguna Honda Home (claim dated Nov. 17, 1927), $1,410.

(80) Duddy & Kibbe Printing Co., printing 250,000 four-page folders,

Bonds No. 3 (claim dated Nov. 21, 1927), $935.

(81) Kleiber Motor Co., one auto sedan, Board of Supervisors (claim dated Nov. 21, 1927), $3,025.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Herz, Kent, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—14.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Marks, Powers, Shannon—4.

(*Supervisor Byington voted "no" on Item No. 51 only.*)

Explanation of Vote.

Supervisor Byington requested that he be recorded as voting *no* on Item 51 of the foregoing resolution, and offered the following explanation for the record:

Convinced that the opinion of the City Attorney upholding the validity of Resolution No. 27804 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors, authorizing the said City Attorney to employ Delos F. Wilcox for the purpose of making an analysis and investigation regarding the franchises and properties of the privately owned street railways in San Francisco, is not sustained by law, I desire to present my views in opposition to the soundness of said opinion and to show that it is unsupported by reason or authority.

Said opinion, in effect nullifies two provisions of the City Charter and, we submit, is in direct conflict with two opinions theretofore rendered by said City Attorney in analogous cases. I refer to the opinion holding that the Board of Supervisors had no legal authority to employ an engineer to make "a survey for street lighting system", and his opinion that said Board had no legal authority to employ an engineer to make "examinations, plans and estimates" for a bridge, upon the ground that the said survey, examinations and plans had to do with a public utility and public improvement and, under the plain language of the Charter, must be made by engineers appointed by the Board of Public Works.

It may be said in the beginning that while the argument has been stressed that the information Mr. Wilcox was to furnish was not of an engineering character, but of an economic character, whatever that may mean, the appellation given to it is entirely immaterial as the Charter specifically provides that "all examinations, plans and estimates" required by the Supervisors in connection with "any public improvements or utilities" must be made by the Board of Public Works, through the City Engineer. It follows that such examinations, plans and estimates cannot be made by any other person, no matter what you designate him. Unquestionably, Mr. Wilcox was appointed to make examinations and estimates (the authorizing resolution calls it "an analysis and investigation") in connection with the acquisition by the City of a public utility.

We also submit that if the Board of Supervisors has no legal authority to employ, the Board cannot delegate the authority to employ to the City Attorney or to any other official.

The Charter further specifically provides that when any officer (and the City Attorney is an officer) shall require additional deputies, clerks or employees application must be made to the Mayor, who must investigate as to the necessity therefor and recommend to the Supervisors the authorization of the appointment.

Resolution No. 27804 authorizes the City Attorney to employ Mr. Wilcox, so he falls under the designation "employee" used in the Charter, and the Mayor never recommended the authorization.

We quote the resolution authorizing the appointment, and also the provisions of the Charter applicable in this case:

Resolution No. 27804: "Resolved, That the City Attorney be and he is hereby authorized to employ Delos F. Wilcox for the purpose of making an analysis and investigation regarding the franchises and properties of the privately owned street railways in San Francisco, and to furnish such additional information to the City Attorney as set forth in the letter of said City Attorney addressed to this Board on the date hereof at a cost of ten thousand dollars, payable to said Delos F. Wilcox as follows. . . ."

The "additional information" required to be furnished, as set forth in said letter, calls, among other things, for an analysis of values of franchises based upon valuations heretofore made by the City Engineer or State Railroad Commission, and of car mileage and trackage; and an analysis of the valuations heretofore made by the City Engineer with respect to price trends and depreciation, and to make recommendation of plans for the acquisition of all or such part of street railway properties privately owned as may be required to establish a complete and unified system

of street railways under municipal ownership.

Such "analysis and investigation", we submit, certainly has to do with the acquisition of a "public improvement and utility" as provided for in the Charter, and the Charter unequivocally imposes on the Board of Public Works, through the City Engineer, the duty to make all such investigations, plans and estimates.

Section 10, Chapter 1, Article VI, provides: "All examinations, plans and estimates required by the Supervisors in connection with any public improvements or utilities, shall be made by the Board of Public Works and it shall, when requested to do so, furnish information and data for the use of the Supervisors."

Section 1, Article XII, provides that in the acquisition, construction or completion of any public utility, the Board of Supervisors must procure from the Board of Public Works, through the City Engineer, plans and estimates.

The Charter provisions governing the appointment of employees by the City Attorney, or other official, is as follows:

Section 35, Article XVI: "When any officer, board or department shall require additional deputies, clerks or employees, application shall be made to the Mayor therefor, and upon such application the Mayor shall make an investigation as to the necessity for such additional assistance, and if he finds the same necessary he may recommend to the Supervisors to authorize the appointment of such additional deputies, clerks or employees; and thereupon the Supervisors, by an affirmative vote of not less than fourteen members, may authorize such appointments and provide for the compensation of such appointees, subject to the limitations contained in this Charter, and subject to the provisions of Article XIII thereof."

Section 5, Article XVI. "No department, board or officer shall, under any circumstances, employ more subordinates than are specifically provided for in this Charter or buy supplies beyond the sum furnished therefor by the Supervisors."

The City Attorney has, heretofore, rendered two opinions on the interpretation of said Section 10.

On December 15, 1926, in reply to an inquiry from the Board of Supervisors as to the right of the Board to select an engineer to prepare plans and specifications for a proposed bridge and to allow him a commission for his work, the amount to be fixed by the Board, the City Attorney rendered an opinion in which, after quoting said Section 10, Chapter 1, Article VI, of the Charter, he said: "I am of the opinion that the construction of a bridge, such as you have under consideration at the present time, or the selection of a site therefor, is a public improvement, and that under the plain language of the Charter quoted, all examinations, plans and estimates for the same must be made by the engineers appointed by the Board of Public Works." "It is a well settled rule of law that a county board is without power to employ or contract with a person to perform acts which are a part of the official duties imposed by law upon another official. This principle has been sustained by the Supreme Court of this State in numerous cases."

He then cites Ventura County v. Clay, 112 Cal. 65, which held that the Board of Supervisors had no power to appoint a private individual to collect licenses when the Tax Collector of the county was charged with the duty of so doing.

Also, Denman v. Webster, 139 Cal. 452, holding that the San Francisco Board of Education had no power to employ special counsel to represent them as, under the Charter, the City Attorney was charged with the duty of representing the Board.

And Rafael v. Boyle, 31 Cal. App. 623, wherein the court denied the right of the Civil Service Commission of San Francisco to employ special counsel, for the reason that it was the duty of the City Attorney to represent the Commission.

(These latter two cases were decided under the provisions of our municipal Charter.)

The City Attorney then proceeds: "You are, therefore, advised that if your Board desires any examinations or estimates made in connection with the application for bridge franchises, which are now pending before you, the same must be made by engineers employed by the Board of Public Works."

Mr. Wilcox was certainly employed to make examinations and estimates in connection with franchises, and clearly, under this opinion of the City Attorney, his employment was illegal.

Again, on December 30, 1926, in reply to a question as to the legality of the appointment of an engineer by the Board of Supervisors for the purpose of making a survey for a proposed new street lighting for San Francisco, the City Attorney

again quoted said Section 10 of the Charter, and stated:

"I am of the opinion that a survey for a proposed new street lighting system involves the making of an examination, plans and estimates for a public improvement, and, therefore, under the Charter provisions the same should be made by the Board of Public Works." "It is a well settled principle of law that it is not within the power of a county board to employ or contract with a person to perform acts which are a part of the official duties imposed by statute on another county officer. It would appear to me that Section 10 of the Charter, above quoted, very clearly imposes upon the Board of Public Works the duty of making examinations, plans and estimates for any public improvement."

He then cites Modoc County v. Spencer & Raker, 103 Cal. 498, to the effect that a Board of Supervisors could not employ counsel to assist in the prosecution of a criminal case; that as the District Attorney was charged by law with the prosecution of criminal cases, it was beyond the power of the Board to retain special counsel in the premises.

He also cites and relies upon Ventura County v. Clay, and Rafael v. Boyle, heretofore referred to in the bridge case.

It is impossible to distinguish between the bridge case, the street lighting system case and the street railway or Wilcox case, so far as the application of the provision of the Charter is concerned, that "All examinations, plans and estimates required by the Supervisors in connection with any public improvements or utilities shall be made by the Board of Public Works."

In the bridge case it was sought to employ some person to make plans and specifications for a bridge; in the street lighting case to make a survey for a street lighting system, and in the Wilcox case to make an "analysis and investigation" of the franchises and properties of a street railway system. An "analysis and investigation" and a "survey", we submit, amount to the same thing, and one appertained to a public improvement and utility as much as the other did.

It is useless to try to draw a distinction between information of an "engineering character" and an "economic character", as the City Attorney does.

It is also unquestionable, under the authorities, that if the Board of Supervisors cannot make the appointment, the Board cannot delegate authority to the City Attorney to make it.

It is true that the Board of Public Works, under express provision of the Charter, Section 2, Chapter 1, Article VI, is given power to employ clerks, engineers, deputies and workmen, but the City Attorney and other officials are expressly prohibited from doing so.

Now, nearly a year after the City Attorney renders these opinions that appointments by the Board of Supervisors of certain persons to make examination and report on two public utilities would be illegal, along comes an exactly analogous case of the appointment of a person to make an analysis and investigation of another public utility and to make a report thereon, and the City Attorney, completely abandoning his prior position, forgets his line of reasoning and the authorities cited to sustain it, and renders a directly contrary opinion.

We submit that the authorites cited in the Wilcox case have no bearing on the point at issue.

The first case he refers to is Smith v. Mayor of Sacramento, 13 Cal. 531, decided in 1859, and holding that the Common Council of Sacramento, under the terms of the old Charter of that city, had the right to employ an attorney to defend the city's title to certain real property.

The Charter of Sacramento had no provisions similar to those quoted from our Charter, and undoubtedly under the restrictions of our Charter the Board of Supervisors could not so have appointed.

The same may be said of the case of Hornblower v. Duden (1868), 35 Cal. 664.

Harris v. Gibbons, 114 Cal. 418, merely decides that under the County Government Act the Board of Supervisors of Modoc County had authority to employ an expert to examine the accounts of county officers.

In Haley v. McVay, Auditor, 70 Cal. App. 438, it was decided that the Board had the right to employ a person to make a cruise or estimate of the standing timber in the county, the estimate to be used when the Supervisors sat as a board of equalization.

Skidmore v. West, Treasurer, 186 Cal. 212, is to the same effect, applying the terms of the Political Code to defining powers of county boards of supervisors.

Unquestionably there are no pro-

visions in the County Government Act, or in the sections of the Political Code, referred to in the cases last cited by the City Attorney, similar to the sections of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco herein quoted.

None of the cases cited in the Wilcox case have the least bearing on the provisions of our Charter mapping out the procedure to be followed in the acquisition of a public utility, or in the appointment of additional clerks, deputies or employees by the City Attorney, for the City Charter specifically provides that where an official needs additional clerks, deputies or employees the application for leave to appoint must, in the first instance, be made to the Mayor and if he deems the necessity exists he may recommend that the Supervisors authorize the appointment. No power rests with the Board or with the City Attorney to make appointments without the recommendation of the Mayor. This course was not followed in the Wilcox case.

I venture the opinion that if the legality of appointments made by the City Attorney, or by other officials, merely under a resolution passed by the Board of Supervisors, without application to and recommendation by the Mayor, is ever brought before the courts, the said appointment will be declared illegal and the approval of the Auditor of claims for services thereunder and payment thereof by the Treasurer will be held to have been unauthorized and in no way binding on the City.

The language of the court in the case of County of Modoc v. Spencer & Raker, 103 Cal. 498, is applicable here:

"It is said that what was done here has been frequently done by boards of supervisors in other counties. We are not disposed to question these assertions, nor the perfect good faith of the board in this instance. Many acts done by boards and officers in the supposed discharge of their duty and in the best of faith do not find sanction in the law, and for a time pass current because unchallenged; but the fact cannot weigh in favor of their validity when eventually called into question. The action of the board, being without authority was void, and created no legal claim against the county."

Respectfully submitted,
LEWIS F. BYINGTON.

Ordered *spread in the Journal.* (Copy to City Attorney.)

Authorizations.

Resolution No. 28120 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

Park Fund.

(1) J. E. French Co., one auto truck for park purposes (claim dated Nov. 25, 1927), $1,270.

(2) Berringer & Russell, hay, etc., for parks (claim dated Nov. 25, 1927), $790.77.

Playground Fund.

(3) Curley Bates Co., playground recreational supplies (claim dated Nov. 16, 1927), $525.30.

(4) Klawans & McMullin, playground recreational supplies (claim dated Nov. 16, 1927), $1,120.

(5) Spring Valley Water Co., water for park purpoes (claim dated Nov. 16, 1927), $1,252.61.

Municipal Railway Fund.

(6) Crescent Oil Co., gasoline for Municipal Railways (claim dated Nov. 16, 1927), $1,680.33.

(7) Hancock Bros., Inc., printing for Municipal Railways (claim dated Nov. 16, 1927), $1,250.

(8) Market Street Railway Co., October reimbursement under agreement of Dec. 12, 1918 (claim dated Nov. 16, 1927), $1,583.88.

(9) Market Street Railway Co., electric power furnished Municipal Railways (claim dated Nov. 16, 1927), $3,361.36.

(10) Bureau of Street Repair, Board of Public Works, asphalt repairs to right of way of Municipal Railways (claim dated Nov. 16, 1927), $1,155.70.

Hetch Hetchy Water Bonds, Issue 1925.

(11) Kaiser Paving Co., machinery rentals, hauling, repairs and materials (claim dated Nov. 17, 1927), $1,578.12.

(12) Shell Co. of California, gasoline, etc. (claim dated Nov. 17, 1927), $512.

(13) Wilsey, Bennett Co., foodstuffs (claim dated Nov. 17, 1927), $1,130.47.

County Road Fund.

(14) J. P. Holland, Inc., loam for Great Highway (claim dated Nov. 25, 1927), $511.

(15) Board of Park Commissioners, reimbursement for expenditures for improvement of the Great Highway (claim dated Nov. 25, 1927), $9,648.05.

Special School Tax.

(16) Dowd-Seid Electric Co., elec-

trical fixtures, addition to Madison School (claim dated Nov. 18, 1927), $609.

(17) J. H. McCallum, lumber for schools (claim dated Nov. 18, 1927), $637.85.

(18) Symon Bros., wrecking and removing Columbia School building (claim dated Nov. 18, 1927), $700.

School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923.

(19) Thos. Skelly, final payment, mechanical equipment for addition to Sunnyside School (claim dated Nov. 23, 1927), $5,867.42.

(20) Thos. Skelly, final payment, plumbing system for additions to Sunnyside School (claim dated Nov. 23, 1927), $3,261.93.

(21) American Painting and Decorating Co., first payment, painting for Portola Jr. High School (claim dated Nov. 23, 1927), $2,271.91.

(22) John Reid, Jr., final payment, architectural services for the addition to High School of Commerce (claim dated Nov. 21, 1927), $937.44.

Hetch Hetchy Water Bonds, Issue 1925.

(23) Hales & Symons, Inc., mining wedges, etc., Hetch Hetchy construction (claim dated Nov. 21, 1927), $1,271.44.

General Fund, 1927-1928.

(24) Langendorf Baking Co., bread for County Jails (claim dated Nov. 22, 1927), $818.36.

(25) Del Monte Meat Co., meat for County Jails (claim dated Nov. 22, 1927), $1,501.77.

(26) Overland Freight Transfer Co., moving voting machines (claim dated Nov. 23, 1927) $3,483.90.

(27) Levison Printing Co., printing maps for Dept. of Elections (claim dated Nov. 17, 1927), $1,717.90.

(28) Levison Printing Co., printing tally lists for Dept. of Elections (claim dated Nov. 17, 1927), $868.

(29) Board of Park Commissioners, to reimburse for expenditures in beautification of Civic Center (claim dated Nov. 25, 1927), $1,335.96.

(30) Board of Park Commissioners, to reimburse for expenditures for account of construction of handball courts (claim dated Nov. 25, 1927), $1,269.77.

(31) Board of Park Commissioners, to reimburse for expenditures for construction of bridle path (claim dated Nov. 25, 1927), $1,588.88.

(32) Board of Bark Commissioners, to reimburse for expenditures for construction of convenience stations (claim dated Nov. 25, 1927), $2,099.52.

(33) N. Clark & Sons, sewer pipe for sewer repair (claim dated Nov. 18, 1927), $584.55.

(34) The Spring Valley Water Co., water for sprinkling streets (claim dated Nov. 18, 1927), $673.70.

(35) California Petroleum Corporation, fuel oil, Civic Center Power House and Hall of Justice (claim dated Nov. 18, 1927), $1,219.36.

(36) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., gas and electricity for lighting public buildings (claim dated Nov. 18, 1927), $3,856.12.

(37) Symon Brothers, team hire for street cleaning (claim dated Nov. 21, 1927), $747.50.

(38) Eclipse Lime and Cement Co., cement for street repair (claim dated Nov. 21, 1927), $1,779.44.

(39) Union Oil Co. of California, asphalt for street repair (claim dated Nov. 21, 1927), $4,015.23.

(40) Municipal Railway Operative Fund, for materials furnished and used for reconstruction of Municipl Railway tracks (claim dated Nov. 21, 1927), $2,806.89.

(41) California Rock Co., gravel for street repair (claim dated Nov. 23, 1927), $1,924.37.

(42) Eclipse Lime and Cement Co., cement for street repair (claim dated Nov. 23, 1927), $1,734.40.

(43) Western Rock Products Co., sand for street repair (claim dated Nov. 23, 1927), $2,972.31.

(44) Crown Oil Co., gasoline and oil for street cleaning (claim dated Nov. 23, 1927), $648.45.

(45) James M. Smith, second payment, construction of extension to Stanley street sewer (claim dated Nov. 23, 1927), $2,590.

(46) Troy Laundry Machinery Co., one extractor for Laguna Honda Home (claim dated Oct. 31, 1927), $2,615.

(47) H. F. Dugan, drug sundries for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Oct. 31, 1927), $4,707.69.

(48) Kahn & Co., X-ray films for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Oct. 31, 1927), $2,862.

(49) Leighton-Jellett Co., dry goods, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Oct. 31, 1927), $533.60.

(50) Pratt-Low Preserving Co., canned goods for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Oct. 31, 1927), $1,322.72.

(51) Langendorf Baking Co., bread for San Francisco Hospital

(claim dated Oct. 31, 1927), $1,291.

(52) Haas Brothers, groceries for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Oct. 31, 1927), $533.14.

(53) Del Monte Meat Co., meat for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Oct. 31, 1927), $1,353.31.

(54) H. Moffat Co., meat for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Oct. 31, 1927), $1,595.03.

(55) J. T. Freitas Co., eggs for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Oct. 31, 1927), $2,892.74.

(56) San Francisco Dairy Co., milk, etc., for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Oct. 31, 1927), $4,700.11.

(57) Morris & Co., butter for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Oct. 31, 1927), $2,212.65.

(58) C. Naumann & Co., potatoes, etc., for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Oct. 31, 1927), $508.80.

(59) L. Dinkelspiel Co., sheets for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Oct. 31, 1927), $2,073.80.

(60) California Petroleum Corporation, fuel oil for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Oct. 31, 1927), $2,175.44.

(61) Agatha B. Mullinax, Industrial Accident Commission award, San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Nov. 19, 1927), $544.55.

(62) Howard Automobile Co., one Buick auto for Dept. of Public Health (claim dated Nov. 18, 1927), $1,345.25.

(63) Crescent Oil Co., gasoline for Police Dept. (claim dated Nov. 21, 1927), $869.77.

Hetch Hetchy Operative Revenue Fund.

(64) E. F. Scattergood, services and expenses as consulting engineer and expert witness in valuation proceedings of San Francisco electric properties before the California Railroad Commission (claim dated Nov. 18, 1927), $2,141.27.

(65) W. F. Durand, in full for services as consulting engineer and expert witness in valuation proceedings of San Francisco electric properties before the California Railroad Commission (claim dated Nov. 18, 1927), $1,250.

School Bonds, Issue 1918.

(66) Yates American Machine Co., shop equipment for Galileo High School (claim dated Nov. 22, 1927), $1,506.50.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Herz, Kent, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—14.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Marks, Powers, Shannon—4.

Appropriation, $4,000, Payment to Edward Nessier et al. for Land for Ocean View Playground.

Resolution No. 28121 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $4,000 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of Playground Fund and authorized in payment to Edward Nessier and Josephine Nessier; being payment for property required for the Ocean View Playground (claim dated Nov. 1, 1927).

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Herz, Kent, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—14.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Marks, Powers, Shannon—4.

Appropriations, Tax Refund Judgments.

Resolution No. 28122 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside and appropriated out of Tax Judgments—Appropriation 59, and authorized in payment to the hereinafter named persons; being one-tenth of judgments including interest, and as approved by the City Attorney, to-wit:

(1) To Vogelsang & Brown, attorneys for judgment creditors, as per schedule attached (claim dated Nov. 28, 1927), $2,949.73.

(2) To R. H. Morrow, as attorney for judgment creditor, George Howard Thompson (claim dated Dec. 9, 1927), $762.73.

(3) To Drown, Leicester & Drown, attorneys for judgment creditors (claim dated Dec. 4, 1927), $38,406.59.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Herz, Kent, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—14.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Marks, Powers, Shannon—4.

Appropriation, $20,137.50, Payment to W. W. Haley, for Land on Twelfth Avenue for School Purposes.

Resolution No. 28125 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $20,137.50 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923, and authorized in payment to W. W. Haley; being payment for three pieces of property on Twelfth avenue westerly line, commencing 90 feet northerly from northerly line of Lawton street; thence westerly 120 feet, and of irregular dimensions; for property on easterly line of Funston ave-

nue, commencing 131 feet 7 inches northerly from the northerly line of Lawton street; thence northerly on Funston avenue 30 feet; thence easterly 120 feet; thence southerly 30 feet; thence westerly 120 feet; and for property on westerly line of Twelfth avenue, commencing 30 feet northerly from the northerly line of Lawton street; thence northerly on Twelfth avenue 30 feet; thence westerly 95 feet; thence southerly 30 feet; thence easterly 95 feet; as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 28095 (New Series), and required for school purposes.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Herz, Kent, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—14.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Marks, Powers, Shannon—4.

Appropriation, $169,616.90, Bond Interest and Redemption, Municipal Railway.

Resolution No. 28126 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $169,616.90 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of the old Depreciation Fund of the Municipal Railways to the credit of the Municipal Railway Operative Fund, for the payment of bond interest and redemptions payable on or before January, 1928.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Herz, Kent, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—14.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Marks, Powers, Shannon—4.

Appropriation, $4,000, Payment to Henry Ruffoni for Land on Funston Avenue Required for School Purposes.

Resolution No. 28127 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $4,000 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923, and authorized in payment to Henry Ruffoni; being payment for land commencing at a point on the easterly line of Funston avenue, distant thereon 161 feet 7 inches northerly from the northerly line of Lawton street; running thence northerly along said easterly line of Funston avenue 38 feet and 5 inches; thence at a right angle easterly 120 feet; thence at a right angle southerly 38 feet and 5 inches; thence at a right angle westerly 120 feet; as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 28041 (New Series), and required for school purposes.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Herz, Kent, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—14.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Marks, Powers, Shannon—4.

Appropriation, $10,000, Payment to Jean Plat and Lucie Plat for Property Required for Opening of Bernal Cut.

Resolution No. 28128 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $10,000 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of Bernal Cut Bonds, Issue 1927, and authorized in payment to Jean Plat and Lucie Plat; being payment for property required for the opening of Bernal Cut; said property being particularly described in acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 28037 (New Series).

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Herz, Kent, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—14.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Marks, Powers, Shannon—4.

Appropriations Out of County Road Fund for Improvement of Turk Street, Mission Viaduct and Alemany Boulevard.

Resolution No. 28129 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of County Road Fund for the following purposes, to-wit:

(1) For the improvement of Turk street between Masonic and Parker avenues, additional for completion and final payment, $9,649.78.

(2) For the resurfacing of the Mission street viaduct, uncompleted portion, $5,000.

(3) For paving of additional width of 20 feet on new street to be opened between Alemany boulevard and Silver avenue, $6,000.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Herz, Kent, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—14.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Marks, Powers, Shannon—4.

Appropriation, $1,161.61, Laboratory Equipment and Storage Cabinet for Laguna Honda Home.

Resolution No. 28130 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $1,161.61 be and the same is hereby

set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of "Laguna Honda Home Equipment," Budget Item No. 60, for the purchase of six 2-door steel storage cabinets and laboratory equipment for the Laguna Honda Home.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Herz, Kent, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—14.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Marks, Powers, Shannon—4.

Appropriation, $3,000, Publicity and Advertising, Pacific Foreign Trades and Travel Exposition.

Resolution No. 28131 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $3,000 be and is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of "Publicity and Advertising," Budget Item No. 55, for the benefit of the Pacific Foreign Trades and Travel Exposition, in furthering and advertising the advantages of this port at said exposition.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Herz, Kent, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—14.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Marks, Powers, Shannon—4.

Appropriation, $2,000, Christmas Eve Celebration.

Resolution No. 28132 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Public Welfare and Publicity Committee be and it is hereby authorized to make the necessary arrangements for and to take charge of the customary official Municipal Christmas Eve Celebration; be it

Further Resolved, That the sum of $2,000 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of Publicity and Advertising, Appropriation No. 55, to cover the expense of said celebration.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Herz, Kent, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—14.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Marks, Powers, Shannon—4.

Settlement of Judgment in Sum of $5,250 in Payment to Nina M. Stephens for Land Required for School Purposes.

Resolution No. 28133 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, on November 15, 1927, a judgment was rendered in that certain action entitled "City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, vs. Nina M. Stephens, also known as Nina M. Jacobson, and also known as Nina R. Jacobson," numbered 147465, in the Superior Court of the State of California, in and for the City and County of San Francisco, for the taking and acquiring by plaintiff, City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, in eminent domain, for school purposes, those certain lots, pieces or parcels of land, situate, lying and being in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, owned by said defendant above named, and described as follows, to-wit:

Parcel 1. Beginning at a point on the northerly line of Bacon street, distant thereon 60 feet easterly from the northeasterly corner of Bacon and Goettingen streets, and running thence easterly along said northerly line of Bacon street 30 feet; thence at a right angle northerly 100 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 30 feet, and thence at a right angle southerly 100 feet to the point of beginning.

Being Lot 17, in Block 19, as per map of property of the Railroad Avenue Extension Homestead Association, filed June 24, 1871, in Book "E" and "F" of Maps, at page 47, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California.

Parcel 2. Beginning at a point on the northerly line of Bacon street, distant thereon 90 feet easterly from the northeasterly corner of Bacon and Goettingen streets, and running thence easterly along said northerly line of Bacon street 30 feet; thence at a right angle northerly 100 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 30 feet, and thence at a right angle southerly 100 feet to the point of beginning.

Being Lot 18, in Block 19, as per map of property of the Railroad Avenue Extension Homestead Association, filed June 24, 1871, in Book "E" and "F" of Maps, at page 47, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California.

Therefore, be it Resolved, That the sum of $5,250 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923, and authorized in payment to said Nina M. Stephens, also known as Nina M. Jacobson, and also known as Nina R. Jacobson, in full payment of said judgment for the acquisition of said lands hereinabove described.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Herz, Kent, Mc-

Sheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—14.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Marks, Powers, Shannon—4.

Appropriation, $4,000, Seventh Centenary of St. Francis of Assisi.

Resolution No. 28134 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $4,000 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of General Fund, fiscal year 1927-1928, for expense in connection with the celebration of the seventh centenary of the death of Saint Francis of Assisi.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Herz, Kent, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—14.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Marks, Powers, Shannon—4.

Supervisor McSheehy Delegated to Present San Francisco Bay Bridge Congressional Bill.

Resolution No. 28135 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Supervisor James B. McSheehy be and he is hereby authorized and directed to proceed to the City of Washington, in the District of Columbia, and then and there present to Congress of the United States a bill presented to the Board of Supervisors granting permission to the City and County of San Francisco to construct a transbay bridge from the City and County of San Francisco to the County of Alameda; and be it

Further Resolved, That said James B. McSheehy be and he is hereby given authority to consent to all minor changes in the wording of said bill which he may deem proper, provided that said changes do not change the tenor or effect of said bill; and be it

Further Resolved, That James B. McSheehy be and he is hereby authorized and directed to use all honorable means and efforts to obtain favorable action of said Congress on said bill; and be it

Further Resolved, That the sum of five thousand dollars ($5,000) be and is hereby appropriated from the money in the Treasury of the City and County of San Francisco not otherwise appropriated to defray his expenses to and from said City of Washington and while remaining at said City and engaged in the presentation or in the urging of the passage of said bill.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Herz, Kent, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—14.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Marks, Powers, Shannon—4.

Oil Tank Permits.

Resolution No. 28136 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits be and are hereby granted:

Oil Tanks.

T. A. Belmont, west side of Thirty-first avenue, 95 feet north of Clement street, 1500 gallons capacity.

V. Gullmes, south side of Grove street, 78 feet west of Broderick street, 1500 gallons capacity.

M. Hearold, east side of San Benito way, 150 feet north of Upland drive, 1500 gallons capacity.

A. and H. Levinson, 132-134 Presidio avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

M. H. Levy, 186 Commonwealth avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

Dr. E. Mahan, southwest corner of Anza street and Twenty-eighth avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

Camillo Nordi, north side of Chestnut street, 137 feet west of Broderick street, 750 gallons capacity.

Max Ostrow, 118 Twenty-second avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

Frank Smith, north side of Cervantes boulevard, 125 feet west of Avila way, 1500 gallons capacity.

Percy W. Treat, 560 Tenth avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

P. W. Wood, south side of Ellis street, 50 feet west of Anna lane, 1500 gallons capacity.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits shall become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Herz, Kent, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—14.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Marks, Powers, Shannon—4.

Oil Tank Permits.

Resolution No. 28137 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits be and are hereby granted:

Oil Tanks.

Louis R. Anderson, northeast corner of Beach and Divisadero streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

P. L. Bannon, 1150 Cabrillo street, 600 gallons capacity.

Mrs. Bessie Cooley, northwest corner of Beach and Divisadero streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

Wm. Doyle, 170 Duboce avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

E. J. Gorman, northeast corner of Beach and Broderick streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

Healey Bros., east side of Divisadero street, 75 feet north of Francisco street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Healey Bros., south side of Bay street, 168 feet 9 inches east of Baker street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Alvin Heyman, 37 Presidio Terrace, 1500 gallons capacity.

M. Hutcheson, west side of Santa Ana avenue, 75 feet north of St. Francis boulevard, 1500 gallons capacity.

Johanas & McCarthy, south side of Fifteenth street, 225 feet west of Church street, 1500 gallons capacity.

L. Kendall, 25 Seventeenth avenue, 600 gallons capacity.

L. A. Norris, 2264 Hyde street, 1500 gallons capacity.

M. J. Reeves, 959 Natoma street, 600 gallons capacity.

F. Rosenberg, south side of Lake street, 90 feet east of Twenty-sixth avenue, 750 gallons capacity.

Patrick Rowaner, southeast corner of Mason and Washington streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

Stein & Kreig, west side of Twenty-fourth avenue, 75 feet south of Anza street, 1500 gallons capacity.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits shall become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Herz, Kent, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—14.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Marks, Powers, Shannon—4.

Parking Station Permit.

Resolution No. 28138 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That John O. Wardrup be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain an automobile parking station on the southeasterly line of Mission street, 73 feet 2½ inches northeasterly from Fifth street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Herz, Kent, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—14.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Marks, Powers, Shannon—4.

Automobile Supply Station Permit.

Resolution No. 28139 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Fahey & Rich be and are hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an automobile supply station on the northwest corner of Sickles and Winnipeg avenues.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Herz, Kent, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—14.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Marks, Powers, Shannon—4.

Plans, Etc., Undercrossing of Skyline Boulevard at Fleishhacker Pool.

Bill No. 8301, Ordinance No. 7809 (New Series), as follows:

Authorizing the preparation of plans and specifications for the extension of the undercrossing beneath the Skyline boulevard adjacent to the Fleishhacker Pool; and ordering the construction of the extension of the undercrossing beneath the Skyline boulevard adjacent to the Fleischhacker Pool in accordance with the plans and specifications prepared therefor; authorizing and directing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for said construction.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized, instructed and empowered to prepare plans and specifications for the construction of extension of the undercrossing beneath the Skyline boulevard adjacent to the Fleishhacker Pool, and to enter into contract for said construction of extension of the undercrossing beneath the Skyline boulevard adjacent to the Fleishhacker Pool, which is hereby ordered in accordance with said plans and specifications prepared therefor.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Herz, Kent, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—14.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Marks, Powers, Shannon—4.

Plans, Etc., Comfort Station, at Mills Field Airport.

Bill No. 8302, Ordinance No. 7810 (New Series), as follows:

Authorizing the preparation of plans and specifications for the construction of a comfort station at the San Francisco Mills Field Air-

port, San Mateo County, and ordering the construction of a comfort station at the San Francisco Mills Field Airport in accordance with the plans and specifications prepared therefor; authorizing and directing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for the construction of said comfort station.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized, instructed and empowered to prepare plans and specifications for a comfort station at the San Francisco Mills Field Airport, San Mateo County, and to enter into contract for the construction of a comfort station at the San Francisco Mills Field Airport, San Mateo County, which is hereby ordered, in accordance with the said plans and specifications prepared therefor.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Herz, Kent, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—14.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Marks, Powers, Shannon—4.

Authorizing Plans, Etc., for Sewage Pump, Pine Lake Park Pumping Station.

Bill No. 8298, Ordinance No. 7811 (New Series), as follows:

Authorizing the preparation of plans and specifications for and ordering the furnishing and installing of a sewage pump and appurtenances for the Pine Lake Park pumping station, in accordance with said plans and specifications prepared therefor; authorizing and directing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for said furnishing and installing of sewage pump and appurtenances.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized, instructed and empowered to prepare plans and specifications for the furnishing and installing of a sewage pump and appurtenances for the Pine Lake Park pumping station, and to enter into contract for the said furnishing and installing of a sewage pump and appurtenances for the Pine Lake Park pumping station in accordance with said plans and specifications prepared therefor.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Herz, Kent, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—14.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Marks, Powers, Shannon—4.

Vacation Ordinance, Per Diem Men.

Bill No. 8304, Ordinance No. 7812 (New Series), as follows:

Providing for and designating holidays of per diem employees of the Department of Public Works in the City and County of San Francisco and repealing Ordinance No. 7309 (New Series).

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Department of Public Works of the City and County of San Francisco shall recognize as holidays for per diem employees the following days only: Every Sunday, New Year's Day, Decoration Day, Independence Day, Labor Day, Admission Day, Thanksgiving Day and Christmas Day; provided that, in addition to the foregoing holidays, which are generally recognized by private employers in every trade, the Department of Public Works shall recognize also Washington's Birthday as a holiday for those per diem employees, mechanics and their helpers, who work at trades in which there is a general custom to recognize said day as a holiday. If any of the said holidays shall fall upon Sunday the following Monday shall be a holiday.

Section 2. The provisions of this ordinance shall not apply to platform men of the Municipal Railway or to other employees of public utilities actually necessary for the operation of the same.

Section 3. Ordinance No. 7309 (New Series) is hereby repealed.

Section 4. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Herz, Kent, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—14.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Marks, Powers, Shannon—4.

Plans, Etc., Flood-lighting City Hall.

Bill No. 8299, Ordinance No. 7813 (New Series), as follows:

Authorizing the preparation of plans and specifications for the flood-lighting of the City Hall and ordering the flood-lighting of the City Hall in accordance with the plans and specifications prepared therefor; authorizing and directing the Board of Public Works to enter

into contract for said flood-lighting of the City Hall.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized, instructed and empowered to prepare plans and specifications for the flood-lighting of the City Hall, which flood-lighting is hereby ordered, and to enter into contract for said flood-lighting of the City Hall in accordance with the plans and specifications prepared therefor.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Herz, Kent, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—14.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Marks, Powers, Shannon—4.

Amendment to Zoning Ordinance Reclassifying Property on Wawona Street.

Bill No. 8300, Ordinance No. 7814 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings, and the location of buildings designed for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is recited above, is hereby amended as follows:

Section 6 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place the following described property in the Second Residential District and Classification instead of in the First Residential District and Classification, to-wit:

Commencing at the point of intersection of the westerly line of Fifteenth avenue and the southerly line of Wawona street, running thence westerly along the southerly line of Wawona street as it now exists after the closing and vacating of certain portions of said street, to the easterly line of Nineteenth avenue; thence southerly along the said easterly line of Ninetenth avenue 842 feet; thence at a right angle to Nineteenth avenue and parallel with the northerly line of Sloat boulevard and 110 feet northerly therefrom 571 feet; thence at a right angle northerly 268.344 feet to a point which is distant 600 feet southerly from the former southerly line of Wawona street as the same existed prior to the vacation of any portion thereof; thence easterly and parallel with the southerly line of Wawona street 302.74 feet; thence north 57 degrees east 272.25 feet to a point known as Station 24 of the Rancho San Miguel; thence northeasterly along the northwesterly line of said Rancho San Miguel 208.867 feet, more or less, to the westerly line of Fifteenth avenue; thence northerly along the westerly line of Fifteenth avenue 266.70 feet to the southerly line of Wawona street and the point of beginning.

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Herz, Kent, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—12.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Harrelson, Marks, Powers, Shannon—6.

Action Deferred.

The following resolution was *laid over one week*:

Appropriation, Law Books, Superior Court.

Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $506.30 be and the same is hereby authorized in payment out of Urgent Necessity, Budget Item No. 25, to Bancroft-Whitney Company for law books furnished Department No. 12 of the Superior Court. (Claim dated Nov. 21, 1927.)

Automobile Parking Station, Wm. W. Haley.

Supervisor Deasy presented:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Wm. W. Haley be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an automobile parking station on the north side of McAllister street, 37 feet 6 inches east fo Hyde street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Privilege of the Floor.

M. L. Rose, representing the Argyle Apartments, was granted the privilege of the floor and protested the granting of the permit.

John P. Doran, attorney for the applicant, was heard in favor of the resolution.

Action Deferred.

Whereupon, the foregoing resolution was, on motion, *laid over one week*.

Garage Permit, W. F. Harrison.

Supervisor Deasy presented:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That W. F. Harrison be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate public garage at premises 2243 Bush street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Privilege of the Floor.

Arthur Barendt, Mrs. J. B. Cabossell and *Mrs. Ida Walcom* and others opposed the granting of the permit.

M. Carmichael, owner of the property; *A. E. Dencken, Edw. Delany* and others favored the granting of the permit.

Action Deferred.

Whereupon, the foregoing resolution was *laid over one week.*

Foodstuffs Ordinance Amendment.

Supervisor Byington presented:

Bill No. ——, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Section 6 of Ordinance No. 2917 (New Series), entitled "Regulating the manufacture, handling, care and sale of foodstuffs within the City and County of San Francisco," approved September 22, 1914.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Amending Section 6 of Ordinance No. 2917 (New Series), the title of which is as recited above, to read as follows:

Section 6. No person, firm or corporation engaged in the manufacture, handling or sale of foodstuffs shall require, permit or allow any person suffering from any communicable disease to work, lodge, sleep or remain within or upon the premises.

It shall be unlawful for any person to bring into, or from any person, firm or corporation to allow, any dog or dogs, or cat or cats, to enter any place of busines designated in this ordinance.

It shall be unlawful for any person, firm or corporation to display on the street, or in the open air, food products liable to be injured, infected or polluted, without adequate protection from dirt, flies, animals or insects.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Action Deferred.

After discussion the foregoing bill was, on motion, *laid over until December 12, 1927, and made a Special Order for 11:30 a. m. (Health Officer to be notified to attend.)*

PRESENTATION OF BILLS AND ACCOUNTS.

Demands not required by law to be passed to print, and amounting to $61,575.96, were allowed and ordered paid by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Herz, Kent, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—12.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Harrelson, Marks, Powers, Shannon—6.

NEW BUSINESS.

Passed for Printing.

The following resolution was *passed for printing*:

Authorizations.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

Park Fund.

(1) Standard Fence Co., chain link fence for parks (claim dated Dec. 2, 1927), $1,175.

Steinhart Aquarium (Appropriation 57.)

(2) California Academy of Sciences, maintenance of Steinhart Aquarium for November (claim dated Dec. 5, 1927), $3,659.73.

Tearing-up Streets Fund.

(3) California Pottery Co., sewer pipe for side-sewers (claim dated Nov. 26, 1927), $525.

Fire Department Land and Construction Fund.

(4) O. Aaron, second payment, plumbing and heating, Fire Department Engine House No. 18 (claim dated Nov. 28, 1927), $514.98.

(5) H. H. Larsen, fourth payment, general construction, Fire Department Engine House No. 18 (claim dated Nov. 28, 1927), $5,733.75.

School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923.

(6) R. Flatland, third payment, electrical work, Sherman School (claim dated Nov. 29, 1927), $2,158.43.

(7) Mahony Bros., tenth payment, general construction of Sherman School (claim dated Nov. 29, 1927), $17,134.80.

(8) Scott Co., fourth payment, mechanical equipment for Sherman

School (claim dated Nov. 30, 1927), $3,000.63.

(9) L. Flatland, final payment, electrical work for addition to Sunnyside School (claim dated Nov. 29, 1927), $2,616.87.

(10) Latourette-Fical Co., twelfth payment, plumbing for Mission (Everett) Junior High School (claim dated Nov. 30, 1927), $6,506.62.

(11) R. W. Moller, fifteenth payment, general construction of Mission (Everett) Junior High School (claim dated Nov. 29, 1927), $47,209.11.

(12) A. Lettich, sixth payment, plumbing work for Portola Junior High School (claim dated Nov. 29, 1927), $2,998.08.

(13) Scott Co., fifth payment, mechanical equipment for Portola Junior High School (claim dated Nov. 30, 1927), $1,213.41.

(14) Joseph & Stone, seventh payment, architectural services for Portola Junior High School (claim dated Nov. 29, 1927), $1,411.75.

(15) O. Monson, eighth payment, general construction for Portola Junior High School (claim dated Nov. 29, 1927), $25,112.85.

(16) Edward F. Dowd, third payment, electrical work for Hearst-Moulder School (claim dated Nov. 30, 1927), $1,742.13.

Tax Judgments—(Appropriation 59).

(17) Chas. A. Gray, attorney for judgment creditors, payment of one-tenth amount final judgment, including interest, as approved by City Attorney (claim dated Dec. 5, 1927), $759.76.

Municipal Railway Fund.

(18) American Brake Shoe & Foundry Co., brake shoes (claim dated Nov. 23, 1927), $691.31.

(19) Kahn & Keville, tires and tubes (claim dated Nov. 23, 1927), $658.

(20) Panama Lamp & Commercial Co., electric lamps (claim dated Nov. 23, 1927), $1,020.36.

(21) R. W. Jamison, trolley wheels and fender parts (claim dated Nov. 29, 1927), $955.

(22) Westinghouse Electric & Mfg. Co., electric railway supplies (claim dated Nov. 29, 1927), $963.20.

Municipal Railway Depreciation Fund.

(23) J. G. Brill, third payment, street car trucks (claim dated Nov. 29, 1927), $11,281.31.

(24) Westinghouse Electric & Mfg. Co., fifth payment, street car motor equipment (claim dated Nov. 30, 1927), $22,566.35.

(25) Westinghouse Traction Brake Co., second payment, car air brake equipment (claim dated Nov. 30, 1927), $6,796.05.

(26) Eaton & Smith, final payment, construction of Sunset line of Municipal Railways (claim dated Dec. 1, 1927), $3,375.

County Road Fund.

(27) Bureau of Street Repair, materials and supplies used for reconstruction of Harrison street, Sixth to Eleventh streets (claim dated Nov. 29, 1927), $5,249.22.

(28) Bureau of Street Repair, materials, etc., for reconstruction of Third street, Berry to Eighteenth streets (claim dated Nov. 29, 1927), $8,708.55.

(29) Bureau of Street Repair, materials, etc., for reconstruction of Seventh street, Mission to Brannan streets (claim dated Nov. 29, 1927), $1,829.94.

(30) Bureau of Street Repair, materials, etc., for reconstruction of Mason street, Pine to California streets (claim dated Nov. 29, 1927), $904.11.

(31) Bureau of Street Repair, materials, etc., for reconstruction of Jackson street, Powell to Mason streets (claim dated Nov. 29, 1927), $1,516.38.

(32) Bureau of Street Repair, materials, etc., for reconstruction of Greenwich street, Polk to Larkin streets (claim dated Nov. 29, 1927), $1,057.38.

(33) Bureau of Street Repair, materials, etc., for reconstruction of Washington street, Mason to Taylor streets (claim dated Nov. 29, 1927), $1,418.88.

(34) Bureau of Street Repair, materials, etc., for reconstruction work on Mission viaduct (claim dated Nov. 29, 1927), $834.60.

(35) J. P. Holland, Inc., loam furnished for improvement of Great Highway (claim dated Dec. 2, 1927), $511.73.

(36) J. P. Holland, Inc., manure furnished for improvement of Great Highway (claim dated Dec. 2, 1927), $662.31.

School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1918.

(37) The Gunn Furniture Co., desks for Galileo High School (claim dated Nov. 29, 1927), $1,300.

Hetch Hetchy Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1925.

(38) Allis-Chalmers Mfg. Co., second and final payment, furnishing and delivering electric transformers for Seco substation (claim dated Nov. 29, 1927), $18,031.25.

(39) Champion Switch Co., second and final payment, furnishing and delivering disconnecting switches for Seco substation (claim dated Nov. 29, 1927), $1,412.

(40) H. B. Squires Co., second payment, furnishing and delivering switch gear and lighting arresters for Seco substation (claim dated Nov. 29, 1927), $6,090.

(41) Bethlehem Shipbuilding Corporation, furnishing transformer carrier (claim dated Nov. 25, 1927), $1,195.97.

(42) Haas Brothers, groceries (claim dated Nov. 25, 1927), $632.42.

(43) Ingersoll-Rand Co. of California, air compressors and machine parts (claim dated Nov. 25, 1927), $13,433.70.

(44) J. H. McCallum, lumber (claim dated Nov. 25, 1927), $1,466.52.

(45) Santa Fe Lumber Co., lumber (claim dated Nov. 25, 1927), $655.50.

(46) G. W. Thomas Drayage & Rigging Co., unloading materials from cars and hauling to Seco substation (claim dated Nov. 25, 1927), $1,800.

(47) Westinghouse Electric & Mfg. Co., motors and hoisting equipment (claim dated Nov. 25, 1927), $3,607.40.

(48) The White Co., repairs, etc., to White auto truck (claim dated Nov. 25, 1927), $793.05.

(49) Allis-Chalmers Mfg. Co., machinery parts (claim dated Nov. 28, 1927), $2,152.18.

(50) Pacific Coast Steel Co., structural steel (claim dated Nov. 28, 1927), $4,070.73.

Special School Tax.

(51) A. Lettich, fourth payment, plumbing work for South Side High School (claim dated Nov. 29, 1927), $2,141.69.

(52) MacDonald & Kahn, fourth payment, general construction of South Side High School (claim dated Nov. 28, 1927), $24,327.64.

(53) Newberry, Pearce Electric Co., third payment, electric work for South Side High School (claim dated Nov. 28, 1927), $2,733.75.

(54) Bureau of Street Repair, for asphalt surfacing of school yards (claim dated Nov. 28, 1927), $677.96.

General Fund, 1927-1928.

(55) San Francisco Bulletin, official advertising (claim dated Dec. 5, 1927), $1,167.96.

(56) San Francisco Society for Prevention of Cruelty to Animals, impounding, feeding, etc., of animals for months of October and November, 1927 (claim dated Dec. 5, 1927), $2,500.

(57) James G. Stafford and associates, fifth payment, contract for appraisal of taxable improvements (claim dated Dec. 1, 1927), $3,440.25.

(58) Standard Underground Cable Co., cable for Department of Electricity (claim dated Oct. 31, 1927), $559.74.

(59) Steiger & Kerr Stove and Foundry Co., iron castings for Department of Electricity (claim dated Oct. 31, 1927), $549.89.

(60) Daniel J. O'Brien, police contingent expense (claim dated Dec. 1, 1927), $750.

(61) Berringer & Russell, hay, etc., for Police Department (claim dated Dec. 1, 1927), $724.60.

(62) Neal, Stratford & Kerr, printing for Departeent of Elections (claim dated Dec. 1, 1927), $2,422.20.

(63) California Printing Co., printing for Department of Elections (claim dated Dec. 1, 1927), $778.

(64) Spring Valley Water Co., water service for Fire Department hydrants (claim dated Nov. 30, 1927), $14,724.40.

(65) Garcia & Maggini Co., dried fruit, Laguna Honda Home (claim dated Nov. 25, 1927), $848.64.

(66) O'Brien, Spotorno & Mitchell, turkeys for Laguna Honda Home (claim dated Nov. 25, 1927), $1,165.64.

(67) Pratt-Low Preserving Co., tomatoes for Laguna Honda Home (claim dated Nov. 25, 1927), $647.40.

(68) Herbert F. Dugan, drug sundries for Emergency Hospitals (claim dated Nov. 22, 1927), $527.

Auditorium Fund.

(69) Musical Association of San Francisco, services of San Francisco Symphony Orchestra at Auditorium November 1, 1927 (claim dated Dec. 5, 1927), $2,000.

Auditor and Recorder to Cancel Erroneous Assessments.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 28140 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the Auditor has reported that the following described property was erroneously assessed and sold to the State of California on June 27, 1927, Sale No. 1860, and recommends that said assessment and sale be cancelled, and the City Attorney having consented thereto; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Auditor and Recorder be directed to cancel, as provided in Sections 3776 and 3804a of the Political Code, the following assessment and sale:

Volume 33, page 128, Lot 25, Block 6090, assessed to Jacob F. Kern.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Herz, Kent, Mc-

Sheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—14.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Marks, Powers, Shannon—4.

Accepting Offer of John McGraw et al. to Sell Certain Property Required for Bernal Heights Boulevard.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 28141 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the owners of the following described lands, sought to be acquired by the City and County of San Francisco for the opening of Bernal Heights boulevard, have offered to convey the properties desired by the City and County of San Francisco for the sums set forth opposite their names, viz:

John McGraw, $50—Beginning at the point of intersection of the easterly line of Bradford street and the southerly line of Lot 1206 of Gift Map No. 3, as per map thereof recorded in Map Book "2 A and B," page 15, records of the City and County of San Francisco, and running thence northerly along said easterly line 25 feet to the northerly line of said Lot 1206; thence at right angles easterly along said northerly line 10 feet; thence at right angles southerly, parallel to said easterly line 25 feet to the southerly line of said Lot 1206; thence at right angles westerly along said southerly line 10 feet to the easterly line of Bradford street and the point of beginning.

Being a portion of said Lot 1206 of said Gift Map No. 3.

Gustave Niederholzer, $25 — Beginning at the point of intersection of the easterly line of Carver street and the northerly line of Lot 1147 of Gift Map No. 3, as per map thereof recorded in Map Book "2 A and B," page 15, records of the City and County of San Francisco, and running thence southerly along said easterly line 19.584 feet; thence northeasterly along a curve to the right, tangent to a line deflected to the left 150 deg. 04 min. 25 sec. from the preceding course, with a radius of 75 feet and a central angle of 19 deg. 32 min. 16 sec., a distance of 25.575 feet to said northerly line of said Lot 1147; thence deflecting to the left 139 deg. 27 min. 51 sec. from the tangent to said curve of preceding course and running westerly along said northerly line 16.256 feet to the easterly line of Carver street and the point of beginning.

Being a portion of said Lot 1147 of said Gift Map No. 3.

Whereas, the City Attorney has recommended the acceptance of the said offers and the acquisition of the properties owned by said persons and offered to the City and County of San Francisco for the prices set forth, which said prices are in accordance with the City's appraisement of the properties; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the said offers of sale be accepted and the City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to examine the titles of said properties, and if the same are found in satisfactory condition to acccept in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco deeds conveying title thereto, and file the same for record with a copy of this resolution attached thereto as evidence of acceptance by the City and County of San Francisco upon payment of the agreed purchase prices.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Herz, Kent, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—14.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Marks, Powers, Shannon—4.

Appropriation, $161.56 for Improvement of Crossing of Montgomery and Union Streets.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 28142 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $161.56 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of Extension and Reconstruction of Main Sewers, for sewer work in connection with improvement of crossing of Montgomery and Union streets.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Herz, Kent, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—14.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Marks, Powers, Shannon—4.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Appropriations, Reconstruction of Market Street Railway Tracks; Equipment, Tubercular Preventorium; Traffic Signals, and Improvement of Munich Street.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned funds for the following purposes, to-wit:

County Road Fund.

(1) For reconstruction of tracks

of Market Street Railway Company and installation of new rail by Market Street Railway Company at Sloat and Junipero Serra boulevards for the improvement of the roadway thereat, $1,226.

Preventorium, San Mateo County—Budget Item No. 57.

(2) For the purchase of equipment and supplies, and for the payment of employees at the Tubercular Preventorium, San Mateo County, month of November, 1927, $5,602.06.

Traffic Signals, Etc., Budget Item No. 69.

(3) For the construction and installation of traffic signals by the Department of Electricity, $5,000.

Street Work in Front of City Property—Budget Item No. 35.

(4) For the improvement of Munich street between Persia and Russia avenues, including damages to properties, $750.

Oil Tank Permits.

On motion of Supervisor Deasy:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits be and are hereby granted:

Oil Tanks.

Eugene Auregury, northwest corner of Parker avenue and Jefferson street, 750 gallons capacity.

M. Clancy, east side of Polk street, 85 feet north of Turk street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Dreamland Auditorium, Inc., northwest corner of Steiner and Post streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

Frank Fink, 783 Bush street, 850 gallons capacity.

J. Faramoschi, north side of Filbert street, 130 feet east of Leavenworth street, 1500 gallons capacity.

F. S. Merschen, west side of Nineteenth avenue, 60 feet south of Santiago street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Mrs. E. E. O'Connor, 308 Parnassus avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

W. S. Ray Manufacturing Co., northeast corner of Broadway and Gough street, 1500 gallons capacity.

A. J. Ratto, east side of San Bruno avenue, 50 feet north of Burrows street, 1500 gallons capacity.

R. J. Stempel, north side of Twenty-fourth street, 175 feet east of Howard street, 1500 gallons capacity.

International Supply Co., 917 Bryant street, 750 gallons capacity.

S. Levin, 2836 Lyon street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Boilers.

Simon Mattress Co., north side of Fifteenth street, 100 feet west of San Bruno avenue, 4 horsepower.

A. Haritsis, 1820 Folsom street, 20 horsepower.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits shall become null and void.

Action Deferred.

The following matter was *laid over one week and made a Special Order for* 11 *a. m.*:

Automobile Parking Station Permit.

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Howard J. Mallen be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an automobile parking station on the west side of Taylor street, 112 feet 8 inches north of Turk street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Automobile Supply Station Permit.

On motion of Supervisor Deasy:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Mrs. Peter W. McGlade be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an automobile supply station on the northeast corner of Twenty-fifth and Howard streets.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Laundry Permit.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That James L. Patterson be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain a hand laundry at 411 Haight street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Automobile Supply Station Permit.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Pacific Gillespie System, Inc., be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an automobile supply station on the northwest corner of Howard street and Holland Court.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within

six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Automobile Parking Station Permit.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Pacific Gillespie System, Inc., be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an automobile parking station on the north side of Howard street, approximately 112 feet west of Holland Court.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Garage Permit.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Pacific Gillespie System, Inc., be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate a public garage on the north side of Howard street, approximately 175 feet west of Holland Court (in rear).

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Transfer of Automobile Supply Station Permits.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Pacific Gillespie System, Inc., be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred to it automobile supply station permit heretofore granted Associated Oil Company for premises on the northeast corner of Van Ness avenue and Pine street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Crown Oil Company be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred to it automobile supply station permit heretofore granted Shell Company of California for premises on the northwest corner of Valencia and Army streets.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Automobile Supply Station Permit.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That A. G. Sala be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an automobile supply station on the southwest corner of Mission and Ottawa streets.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Laundry Permit.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That A. Haritsis be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate a laundry at 1820 Folsom street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Amendment to License Ordinance.

On motion of Supervisor Schmidt:

Bill No. 8306, Ordinance No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Section 74 of Ordinance No. 5132 (New Series), entitled "Imposing license taxes on certain businesses, callings, trades or employments within the City and County of San Francisco," in effect July 1, 1920,

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Section 74 of Ordinance No. 5132 (New Series), the title of which is recited above, is amended so as to read as follows:

Section 74. For every person, firm or corporation conducting, managing or carrying on or engaging in any of the businesses, professions or occupations hereinafter in this section enumerated, the annual gross receipts of which business amount to less than $3,000, $3 per quarter; $3,000 and less than $5,000, $4.50 per quarter; $5,000 and less than $7,500, $6 per quarter; $7,500 and less than $10,000, $7.50 per quarter; $10,000 and less than $15,000, $11.25 per quarter; $15,000 and less than $20,000, $15 per quarter; $20,000 and less than $25,000, $18.75 per quarter; $25,000 and less than $30,000, $22.50 per quarter; $30,000 and less than $40,000, $30 per quarter; $40,000 and less than $50,000, $37.50 per quarter; $50,000 and less than $60,000, $45 per quarter; $60,000 and less than $70,000, $52.50 per quarter; $70,000 and less than $80,000, $60 per quarter; $80,000 and less than $90,000, $67.50 per quarter; $90,000 and less than $100,000, $75 per quarter; $100,000 and less than $150,000, $112.50 per quarter; $150,000 and over, $125 per quarter.

The license provided for in this section shall be paid by every person, firm or corporation conducting, managing or carrying on or engaged in any of the following businesses, professions or occupations: Advertising counsel, appraiser, architect, attorney-at-law, auditor, accountant, bail bond brokers, chiropodist, chiropractor, civil, electrical or mechanical engineer, dentist, designer or illustrator, drugless practitioner, engraver, geologist, hair dressing and manicuring parlor, interpreter, insurance adjuster, landscape gardener, lapidary, midwife, naturopath, optician, optometrist, oculist, osteopath or osteopathist, physician, surgeon, veterinary.

No license shall be issued under the provisions of this section to any person to practice as a physican and surgeon, osteopath, naturopath, drugless practitioner, chiropractor, chiropodist, midwife or veterinary medicine, or any branch thereof, or to practice hairdressing or manicuring in the City and County of San Francisco unless such person makes affidavit that he possesses an unexpired and unrevoked license entitling the applicant to practice, from the duly authorized State Board of Medical Examiners of the State of California, California State Board of Chiropractors, California State Board of Veterinarians, California State Board of Cosmetology, or from any other State board granting certificates to practice the professions or employments enumerated in this section.

The Tax Collector shall, before issuing any license to engage in the business or occupation of a veterinary under the provisions of this section, require every applicant therefor to make affidavit that he is the person named in the license issued by the Board of Examiners in veterinary medicine or the Board of Medical Examiners of the State of California.

That nothing in this section contained shall be deemed or construed as applying to any person engaged in any of the professions or occupations hereinbefore enumerated, solely as an employee of any other person, firm or corporation conducting, managing or carrying on any such business, occupation or profession in the City and County of San Francisco.

Action Deferred.

The following matter was *laid over one week*:

Board of Public Works to Contract for Refuse Disposal.

Bill No. ——, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Authorizing the Board of Public Works to prepare specifications and proposals and to receive bids for the disposal of the refuse of the City and County of San Francisco by dumping at sea, in accordance with Article VI, Chapter I, Section 9, Subdivision 7 of the Charter.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized, instructed and empowered to prepare specifications and proposals and to receive bids for the disposal of the refuse of the City and County of San Francisco under alternative propositions as follows:

Proposition A. The City and County of San Francisco to select site, construct wharf and pier and furnish loading equipment; the contractor to furnish necessary equipment for taking refuse to sea.

Proposition B. The contractor to furnish an adequate and serviceable loading wharf and equipment and the necessary equipment for taking the refuse to sea.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Masquerade Ball Permit.

Supervisor Schmidt presented:

Resolution No. 28143 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That permission is hereby granted Independent Order of Red Men (widows and orphans) to conduct a masquerade ball at California Hall, Polk and Turk streets, Saturday evening, January 7, 1928, upon payment of the usual license fee.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Herz, Kent, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—14.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Marks, Powers, Shannon—4.

Taxicab Permits Approved.

Supervisor Schmidt presented:

Resolution No. 28144 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following permits for taxicab stands are hereby approved:

Gray Cab Company, 488 Pine street.

Yellow-Checker Cab Company, 5622 Geary street; 1025 Fillmore street.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson,

Havenner, Hayden, Herz, Kent, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—14.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Marks, Powers, Shannon—4.

Accepting Offer of W. J. Mahoney to Sell Land at Quintara Street and Twenty-fourth Avenue Required for School Purposes.

Supervisor Powers presented:

Resolution No. 28145 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, an offer has been received from W. J. Mahoney to convey to the City and County of San Francisco certaina land on the southeast corner of Quintara street and Twenty-fourth avenue, required for school purposes; and

Whereas, the price at which said parcel of land is offered is the reasonable value thereof; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the offer of the said owner to convey to the City and County of San Francisco a good and sufficient fee simple title to the following described land, free of all encumbrances, for the sum of $12,500, be and the same is hereby accepted, the said land being described as follows, to-wit:

Commencing at a point formed by the intersection of the southerly line of Quintara street with the easterly line of Twenty-fourth avenue, running thence easterly along said southerly line of Quintara street 120 feet; thence at a right angle southerly 225 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 120 feet to the easterly line of Twenty-fourth avenue; thence northerly along the easterly line of Twenty-fourth avenue 150 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 95 feet; thence at a right angle northerly 25 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 95 feet to the easterly line of Twenty-fourth avenue; thence northerly along the easterly line of Twenty-fourth avenue 50 feet to the southerly line of Quintara street and point of commencement. Being a portion of Block 2194 on Assessor's Map Book.

The City Attorney is hereby directed to examine the title to said land and, if the same is found to be vested in the aforesaid owner, free of all encumbrances, and that the taxes up to and including the current fiscal year are paid, and that the so-called McEnerney title has been procured or sufficient money reserved for the purpose of procuring the same, to report the result of his examination to the Board of Supervisors, and also to cause a good and sufficient deed for said land to be executed and delivered to the City and County upon payment of the agreed purchase price as aforesaid. And the said deed to said land is hereby accepted.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Herz, Kent, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—14.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Marks, Powers, Shannon—4.

Accepting Offer of Charles and Delia Kollmar to Sell Land on Thirtieth Avenue Required for School Purposes.

Also, Resolution No. 28146 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, an offer has been received from Charles and Delia Kollmar to convey to the City and County of San Francisco certain land on west line of Thirtieth avenue, 125 feet distant from Anza street, required for school purposes; and

Whereas, the price at which said parcel of land is offered is the reasonable value thereof; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the offer of the said owners to convey to the City and County of San Francisco a good and sufficient fee simple title to the following described land, free of all encumbrances, for the sum of $4,500, be and the same is hereby accepted, the said land being described as follows, to-wit:

Commenecing at a point on the westerly line of Thirtieth avenue, distant thereon 125 feet northerly from the northerly line of Anza street, running thence northerly and along said line of Thirtieth avenue 50 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 120 feet; thence at a right angle southerly 50 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 120 feet to the point of commencement. Being part of Outside Land Block No. 254.

The City Attorney is hereby directed to examine the title to said land and, if the same is found to be vested in the aforesaid owners, free of all encumbrances, and that the taxes up to and including the current fiscal year are paid, and that the so-called McEnerney title has been procured or sufficient money reserved for the purpose of procuring the same, to report the result of his examination to the Board of Supervisors, and also to cause a good and sufficient deed for said land to be executed and delivered to the City and County upon payment of the agreed purchase price as aforesaid. And the said deed to said land is hereby accepted.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Herz, Kent, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—14.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Marks, Powers, Shannon—4.

Accepting Offer of Gus Green et al. to Sell Property Required for School Purposes.

Also, Resolution No. 28147 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, an offer has been received from Gus and Valerie Green to convey to the City and County of San Francisco certain land at the northwest corner of Balboa street and Thirty-first avenue, required for school purposes; and

Whereas, the price at which said parcel of land is offered is the reasonable value thereof; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the offer of the said owners to convey to the City and County of San Francisco a good and sufficient fee simple title to the following described land, free of all encumbrances, for the sum of $12,500, be and the same is hereby acccepted, the said land being described as follows, to-wit:

Commencing at the point of intersection of the northerly line of Balboa street and the westerly line of Thirty-first avenue, running thence westerly and along said line of Balboa street 83 feet; thence at a right angle northerly 87 feet 2 inches; thence at a right angle easterly 83 feet to the westerly line of Thirty-first avenue; thence at a right angle southerly along said line of Thirty-first avenue 87 feet 2 inches to the point of commencement. Being part of Outside Land Block No. 314.

The City Attorney is hereby directed to examine the title to said land and, if the same is found to be vested in the aforesaid owners, free of all encumbrances, and that the taxes up to and including the current fiscal year are paid, and that the so-called McEnerney title has been procured, or sufficient money reserved for the purpose of procuring the same, to report the result of his examination to the Board of Supervisors, and also to cause a good and sufficient deed for said land to be executed and delivered to the City and County upon payment of the agreed purchase price as aforesaid. And the said deed to said land is hereby accepted.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Herz, Kent, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—14.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Marks, Powers, Shannon—4.

Legal Proceedings to Establish Expiration of Street Railway Franchises.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 28148 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, it is claimed by the holders of certain franchises to operate street railways on and over the streets in San Francisco, that said franchises do not expire until various dates in the year 1932; and

Whereas, it is claimed by the City and County of San Francisco that said franchises will expire during the year 1929; and

Whereas, it is a matter of great moment and importance that the correct dates of the expiration of said franchises should be determined at the earliest possible date; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the City Attorney be and he is hereby authorized and directed to institute legal proceedings under the section of the Code of Civil Procedure providing for declaratory relief, to the end that a legal determination may be had as to the exact dates of the expiration of said franchises hereinbefore referred to; and be it

Further Resolved, That the City Attorney be further requested to institute and prosecute said litigation with all possible speed.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Herz, Kent, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—14.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Marks, Powers, Shannon—4.

Extensions of Time.

Supervisor Marks presented:

Resolution No. 28149 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Federal Construction Company is hereby granted an extension of ninety days' time from and after November 12, 1927, within which to complete contract for improvement of the crossings of Forty-second, Forty-third, Forty-fourth, Forty-fifth and Forty-sixth avenues with Ulloa street. This extension of time is granted for the reason that the work is well under way.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Herz, Kent, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—14.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Marks, Powers, Shannon—4.

Also, Resolution No. 28150 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Municipal Construction Company is hereby granted an extension of ninety days' time from and after November 28, 1927, within which to complete the improvement of Brussels street between Campbell and Wilde avenues, and Campbell avenue between Brussels street and San Bruno avenue. This extension of time is granted for the reason that contractor was delayed by necessity for blasting hard rock encountered in grading operations.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Herz, Kent, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd— 14.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Marks, Powers, Shannon—4.

Also, Resolution No. 28151 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That E. J. Treacy is hereby granted an extension of forty days' time from and after November 21, 1927, within which to complete the improvement of the intersections of Peralta avenue with Franconia and Florida streets. This extension of time is granted for the reason that work was delayed by recent rains. The grading, curbs, sidewalks, concrete pavement, etc., have been completed.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Herz, Kent, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—14.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Marks, Powers, Shannon—4.

Also, Resolution No. 28152 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That D. C. McCabe is hereby granted the following extensions of time:

Sixty days from and after November 18, 1927, within which to complete the improvement of Forty-seventh avenue between Kirkham and Lawton streets. This extension of time is granted for the reason that contractor was delayed waiting for a building to be completed and building material removed from the street.

Sixty days' time from and after November 18, 1927, within which to complete the improvement of Eighth avenue between Noriega and Ortega streets. Contractor delayed account waiting for fill to settle.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Herz, Kent, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—14.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Marks, Powers, Shannon—4.

Also, Resolution No. 28153 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Municipal Construction Company is hereby granted an extension of ninety days' time from and after November 22, 1927, within which to complete the improvement of the crossing of Burrows and Hamilton streets; of Hamilton street between Burrows and Felton streets, and of Burrows street between Hamilton and Holyoke streets, where not already improved, under public contract. The contractor has been delayed through the installation by public service corporations of mains, poles, etc.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Herz, Kent, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—14.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Marks, Powers, Shannon—4.

Also, Resolution No. 28154 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Federal Construction Company is hereby granted an extension of ninety days' time from and after August 30, 1927, within which to complete the improvement of San Jose avenue between Goethe street and the County line, including Goethe street between the County line and San Jose avenue. The work is completed with the exception of the asphaltic concrete covering.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Herz, Kent, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton. Todd—14.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Marks, Powers, Shannon—4.

Also, Resolution No. 28155 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Federal Construction Company is hereby granted an extension of ninety days' time from and after November 5, 1927, within which to complete the improvement of Golden Gate Heights under public contract. This extension is granted for the reason that the work is practically completed.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Col-

man, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Herz, Kent, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—14.

Absent—Supervisors Badaracco, Marks, Powers, Shannon—4.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Ordering Street Work.

On motion of Supervisor Marks:

Bill No. 8307, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors November 10, 1927, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in three installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Farallones street from Plymouth avenue to Capitol avenue; Howth street from Ocean avenue to Geneva avenue; Louisburg street from Geneva avenue to Mount Vernon avenue; Concord street from Cross street to Morse street; Sadowa street from Plymouth avenue to San Jose avenue, by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks six (6) feet in width where artificial stone sidewalks six (6) feet or more in width have not already been constructed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Also, Bill No. 8308, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors November 10, 1927, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in three installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of the south one-half of Bonita street from the westerly line of Polk street to a line parallel with and eighty-four (84) feet six (6) inches west of the west line of Polk street, by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks of the full official width.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Also, Bill No. 8309, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of cer-

tain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors November 10, 1927, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in three installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of the west one-half of Larkin street from the north line of Turk street to a line parallel with and one hundred and thirty-seven (137) feet six (6) inches north of the north line of Turk street; and the improvement of the north one-half of Turk street from the west line of Larkin street to a line parallel with and one hundred and thirty-seven (137) feet six (6) inches west of the west line of Larkin street, by the construction of full width artificial stone sidewalks where artificial stone sidewalks are not in repair or to official grade.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Also, Bill No. 8310, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors November 10, 1927, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in twenty installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be six months from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Cowden street between Wilde avenue and Harkness avenue, including the crossing of Cowden street and Harkness avenue, by grading to official line and grade; by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks on the angular corners of the above mentioned crossing; by the construction of brick catchbasins with 10-inch ironstone pipe culverts on the above mentioned crossing; by the construction of side-sewers, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and a 1½-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Also, Bill No. 8311, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors November 10, 1927, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements respectively, may be paid in twenty installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be six months from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Prentiss street between Crescent avenue and Tompkins avenue, including the crossing of Prentiss street and Ogden avenue, and the crossing of Prentiss street and Tompkins avenue, by grading to official line and grade; by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks on the angular corners of the above mentioned crossings; by the construction of brick catchbasins and appurtenances and 10-inch ironstone pipe culverts—three on each of the above mentioned crossings; by the construction of side-sewers; by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and a 1½-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface on the crossing of Prentiss street and Tompkins avenue, and by the construction of a concrete pavement between the northerly line of Crescent avenue and the southerly line of Tompkins avenue.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Also, Bill No. 8312, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors November 10, 1927, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in three installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of the south one-half of Hayes street between Van Ness avenue and Polk street, by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks of the full official width where artificial stone or bituminous sidewalks of the full official width have not already been constructed or are not to the official grade.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Sanfranbank Avenue Changed to Lakewood Avenue.

Supervisor Marks presented:

Resolution No. 28124 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the Board of Public Works did, on October 19, 1927, recommend to the Board of Supervisors that the name of Sanfranbank avenue be changed to Lakewood avenue; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the name of Sanfranbank avenue, as it is laid down and delineated on the official map of the City and County of San Francisco, be changed to Lakewood avenue.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Herz, Kent, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—14.

Absent—Supervisors Badaracco, Marks, Powers, Shannon—4.

Passed for Printing.

The following resolution was *passed for printing*:

Appropriation, $17,998.90, Payment to L. F. Youdall and R. G. Clifford for Sunset Tunnel Construction.

On motion of Supervisor McSheehy:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $17,998.90 be and the same is hereby authorized in payment out of the Sunset Tunnel Assessment Fund to Leonard F. Youdall and R. G. Clifford, being eighteenth progressive payment for construction of tunnel and appurtenances under Buena Vista Park, Duboce avenue route, Sunset tunnel. (Claim dated Dec. 3, 1927.)

Appropriation, $5,000, National Outdoor and Olympic Try-Out Swimming Championships.

Supervisor Kent presented:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $5,000 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of "Publicity and Advertising," Appropriation 55, and authorized in payment to the Citizens' Committee, Hon. Thos. F. Boyle, Treasurer, of the National Outdoor and Olympic Try-out Swimming Championships, towards expense of holding the National Outdoor and Olympic Try-out Swimming Championships to be held in San Francisco June 7, 8, 9 and 10, 1928; for the publicity and advertising of San Francisco.

Referred to Public Welfare Committee.

ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND MOTIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

Street Lights.

Supervisor Stanton presented:

Resolution No. 28156 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Pacific Gas and Electric Company be and it is hereby authorized and requested to install street lights as follows:

Install 250 *C. P.*

Miraloma drive, standards Nos. 1, 2, 14, 18 and 24.

North side Juanita way, 173 feet west of Evelyn way.

South side Juanita way, 341 feet west of Evelyn way.

North side Juanita way, 160 feet east of Del Sur avenue.

Southeast corner Del Sur avenue and Juanita way.

North side Juanita way, 145 feet west of Del Sur avenue.

South side Juanita way, 327 feet west of Del Sur avenue.

South side Juanita way, 100 feet east of Rex avenue.

North side Juanita way, 165 feet east of Rex avenue.

Southwest corner Evelyn way and Juanita way.

Install 400 *M. R.*

Thirty-fourth avenue between Ulloa and Vicente streets.

Install 400 *C. P. O. B. With Refractor.*

South side Hayes street, second west of Franklin street.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Herz, Kent, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton—12.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Harrelson, Marks, Powers, Shannon, Todd—6.

Declaring Result of Bond Election for Municipal Railway Extension and Moccasin Creek Power Line.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 28157 (New Series), as follows:

Declaring the result of the election held on the eighth day of November, 1927, for the purpose of authorizing the incurring of a bonded debt of the City and County of San Francisco to the amount of nine million three hundred and eighty thousand ($9,380,000) dollars for the acquisition, construction and completion of permanent improvements consisting of certain improved routes for public highway purposes in the City and County of San Francisco, and the incurring

of a bonded debt of the City and County of San Francisco to the amount of four million six hundred thousand ($4,600,000) dollars for the purpose of the acquisition, construction and completion of a public utility, to-wit: A number of extensions to the existing Municipal Street Railway system over and along the public streets and private rights of way within the City and County of San Francisco, and the incurring of a bonded debt of the City and County of San Francisco to the amount of two million ($2,000,000) dollars for the acquisition, construction and completion of a public utility, to-wit: The extension of the Moccasin Creek power line of the Hetch Hetchy water and power project of the City and County of San Francisco.

Whereas, pursuant to the provisions of Ordinance No. 7738 (New Series), calling a special election, and Resolution No. 27924 (New Series), giving notice of the same, and Ordinance No. 7748 (New Series), calling a special election, and Resolution No. 27935 (New Series), giving notice of the same, and pursuant to the provisions of Ordinance No. 7747 (New Series), calling a special election, and Resolution No. 27936 (New Series) giving notice of the same, a special election was held in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, on the eighth day of November, 1927, whereat was submitted to and determined by the qualified voters of said City and County, the following propositions:

To incur a bonded debt of the City and County of San Francisco to the amount of nine million three hundred and eighty thousand ($9,380,000) dollars for the acquisition, construction and completion of permanent improvements consisting of certain improved routes for public highway purposes in the City and County of San Francisco.

To incur a bonded debt of the City and County of San Francisco to the amount of four million six hundred thousand ($4,600,000) dollars for the purpose of the acquisition, construction and completion of a public utility consisting of a number of extensions to the existing Municipal Street Railway system over and along the public streets and private rights of way within the City and County of San Francisco.

To incur a bonded debt of the City and County of San Francisco to the amount of two million ($2,000,000) dollars for the acquisition, construction and completion of a public utility consisting of the extension of the Moccasin Creek power line of the Hetch Hetchy water and power project of the City and County of San Francisco.

Whereas, said election was held and conducted in form and manner and in every respect as required by law, and the returns thereof canvassed and the result thereon determined and declared as required by law; and

Whereas, the Board of Election Commissioners of said City and County filed on November 25, 1927, in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors its certificate showing the facts above recited and setting forth in detail the total number of votes cast at such election and the number of votes cast and counted for and against the aforesaid propositions; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, by the Board of Supervisors as follows:

1. It is hereby declared that the special election held on the eighth day of November, 1927, was held and conducted, and the votes cast and counted thereat, and the returns thereof made and the result thereof determined and declared, in all respects, and in time, form and manner as required by the laws of the State of California and the Charter and ordinances of the City and County of San Francisco.

2. It is hereby declared that the total number of votes cast at the special election for the Boulevard Bond Issue was 118,505.

3. It is hereby declared that of the votes so cast 92,867 were cast and counted as being in favor of said proposition, to-wit: The Boulevard Bond Issue, and that 25,638 votes were cast and counted as being against and not in favor of said proposition.

4. It is hereby declared that the total number of votes cast at said special election for the Municipal Railway Extension Bonds was 118,449.

5. It is hereby declared that of the votes so cast 68,484 were cast and counted as being in favor of said proposition, to-wit, the Municipail Railway Extension Bonds, and that 49,965 votes were cast and counted as being against and not in favor of said proposition.

6. It is hereby declared that the total number of votes cast at said special election for the Transmission Line Bonds was 113,547.

7. It is hereby declared that of the votes so cast 57,607 were cast

and counted as being in favor of said proposition, to-wit, the Transmission Line Bonds, and that 55,940 votes were cast and counted as being against and not in favor of said proposition.

8. It is hereby declared and determined that more than two-thirds (2-3) of all the votes cast at the special election held on the eighth day of November, 1927, were cast and counted as being in favor of the Boulevard Bond Issue, and were sufficient to authorize and did authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness to the amount of nine million three hundred and eighty thousand ($9,380,000) dollars and for the purpose stated in said proposition.

9. It is hereby declared and determined that less than two-thirds (2-3) of all the votes cast at the special election held on the eighth day of November, 1927, were cast and counted as being in favor of the incurring of a bonded debt in the sum of four million six hundred thousand ($4,600,000) dollars for the Municipal Railway Extension Bonds.

10. It is hereby declared and determined that less than two-thirds (2-3) of all the votes cast at said special election held on the eighth day of November, 1927, were cast and counted as being in favor of the issuance of two million ($2,000,000) dollars of Transmission Line Bonds.

11. The Clerk of the Board of Supervisors is hereby directed to advertise this resolution in the official newspaper according to law.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Herz, Kent, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton—12.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Harrelson, Marks, Powers, Shannon, Todd—6.

Passed for Printing.

The following bill was *passed for printing*:

Amendment to Traffic Ordinance.

On motion of Supervisor Todd:

Bill No. 8305, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

An ordinance repealing Paragraph 4 of Section 23; amending Paragraph 4 of Section 35; Paragraph 6 of Section 36, and Sections 37, 38 and 39 of Ordinance No. 7691 (New Series), entitled "An ordinance regulating traffic upon the public streets and repealing all ordinances inconsistent herewith."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Paragraph 4 of Section 23 is hereby repealed.

Section 2. Paragraph 4 of Section 35 is hereby amended to read as follows: "Within twenty (20) feet of an intersection."

Section 3. Paragraph 6 of Section 36 is hereby amended to read as follows: "At any place not to exceed one hundred (100) feet from the intersection of any street with the southerly property line of Market street, from The Embarcadero to Van Ness avenue between the hours of seven (7) a. m. and six (6) p. m. of any day; at any place not to exceed one hundred (100) feet from the prolongation of the northerly or westerly property lines, at intersections immediately adjacent to Market street, of those streets intersecting Market street from the northerly side, from The Embarcadero to Van Ness avenue between the hours of seven (7) a. m. and six (6) p. m. of any day".

Section 4. Section 37 is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 37. Parking Prohibited on Certain Streets. Between the hours of seven (7) a. m. and six (6) p. m. of any day, excepting Sundays and legal holidays, it shall be unlawful for the operator of a vehicle to stop said vehicle for a period of time longer than is necessary for the actual loading or unloading and delivery of passengers or materials in any of the following places: Stevenson street, Minna street, Natoma street, Ecker street, Shaw alley, Anthony street, Annie street, Aldrich alley, Sherwood place, Harlan street, Mark lane, Claude lane, Hardie place, Trinity street, Treasury place, Petrarch place, Beldon street, St. George alley, St. Anne street, Quincy street, Chatham place, Monroe street, Fells or Fella place, Hooker alley, Mulford alley, Austin street, Hunt street, Opera alley, Holland court, Mint street, Mary street, Russ street, Julia street, Laskie street, Elim alley, Linden street, Opal place, Hobart alley, Ophir alley, Touchard street, Glasgow street or Anna lane, Cohen place, Willow street, Olive street, Antonio street, Steveloe place, Latham place, Dikeman place, Carlos street, Harlem alley, Emma street, Ivy street, Dale place, Redwood street, Dodge street, Elm street, Wagner alley, Gardner street (or) place, Ashburton place, Campton place, Agate alley, Fern street, Burritt street, Tillman alley, Cosmos place, Hemlock street, Ade

court, Mabel alley, Amity alley, Myrtle street, Cedar street, Meacham place, Colin place, Maggie alley, Shannon street, Adlaide street, Derby street, Vermehr place, Anson place, Chelsea place, Bren place, Maiden lane, Jessie street, Montgomery street between Market street and California street, on the east side of Grant avenue between Bush street and Broadway, and on the north side of Pacific avenue between Columbus avenue and Van Ness avenue.

"Signs shall be erected and maintained not more than two hundred (200) feet apart in each block designating the provisions of this section."

Section 5. Section 38 is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 38. Parking Time Limited in the Central Traffic District. The operator of a vehicle shall not park such vehicle for longer than forty (40) minutes within the Central Traffic District between the hours of seven (7) a. m. and six (6) p. m. of any day, except Sundays or legal holidays, and subject to the special restrictions imposed by Sections 35, 36 and 37.

"Signs shall be erected and maintained not more than two hundred (200) feet apart in each block designating the provisions of this section."

Section 6. Section 39 is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 39. Parking Time Limited in Business Districts. The operator of a vehicle shall not park such vehicle for longer than sixty (60) minutes within any Business District between the hours of seven (7) a. m. and six (6) p. m. of any day, except Sundays or legal holidays, and subject to the special restrictions imposed by Sections 35 and 36.

"Signs shall be erected and maintained not more than two hundred (200) feet part in each block to designate the provisions of this section."

Section 7. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Leave of Absence, Supervisor James B. McSheehy.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

San Francisco, Cal.,
November 28, 1927.

Honorable Board of Supervisors,
City Hall, San Francisco, California.

Gentlemen:

Application has been made to me by Hon. James B. McSheehy, member of the Board of Supervisors, for a leave of absence, with permission to absent himself from the State of California, for a period of sixty days, commencing November 28, 1927.

Will you please concur with me in granting this leave of absence?

Respectfully,
JAMES ROLPH, Jr.,
Mayor.

Whereupon, the following resolution was presented and *adopted* by the following vote:

Resolution No. 28158 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Hon. James B. McSheehy member of the Board of Supervisors, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of sixty days, commencing November 28, 1927, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Herz, Kent, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton—12.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Harrelson, Marks, Powers, Shannon, Todd—6.

Thomson, Wood & Hoffman to Pass on Validity of War Memorial Bonds.

Supervisor McSheehy presented:

Resolution No. 28159 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, by the vote of the people Memorial Halls Bonds in the sum of four million ($4,000,000) dollars, for the acquisition, construction and completion of a permanent improvement, to-wit, the construction, completion and equipment of permanent buildings in or adjacent to the Civic Center in the City and County of San Francisco to be used as Memorial Halls for war veterans, and for educational, recreational and entertainment and other municipal purposes, and the purchase of all equipment and furnishings necessary for said buildings, have been authorized to be issued; and

Whereas, to make the bonds attractive in the eastern market and to do so requires the approval of the bond issue by a recognized law firm specializing in said matters; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the law firm of Thomson, Wood & Hoffman of New York City, State of New York, be and it is hereby employed to pass upon the validity of the proceedings authorizing the issuance of the Memorial Halls Bonds; and be it

Further Resolved, That out of any moneys realized from the sale of said bonds the firm of Thomson, Wood & Hoffman be paid for their

services in passing upon the legality of said bond issue the sum of two thousand ($2,000) dollars.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Herz, Kent, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—14.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Marks, Powers, Shannon—4.

Board of Health to Employ Expert Hospital Administrator.

Supervisor Byington presented:

Resolution No. 28123 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Department of Public Health be and is hereby granted permission to employ an expert hospital administrator to act as superintendent of the San Francisco Hospital, to take effect January 1, 1928.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Herz, Kent, McSheehy, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—14.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Marks, Powers, Shannon—4.

Sunday Holiday in Honor of Gold Star Mothers.

Mrs. L. Hinkel was granted the privilege of the floor on motion of Supervisor Havenner, and asked that some day, a Sunday preferably, be set aside to honor Gold Star mothers. She thanked his Honor the Mayor for assistance and use of his car to attend Memorial Grove dedication, also, the Board of Supervisors for assistance rendered.

Supervisor Hayden moved that Supervisors Herz, Kent, Schmidt and Colman be appointed as a special committee to carry out request.

So ordered.

Relative to Salary Increases.

The Chairman: Members of the Board, may I make this statement from the chair as the chairman of the Finance Committee? I think you are all interested in this, which is in reference to the salaries: Members of the Board, this morning I spent the greater portion of the early part of this morning going over the books with Mr. Williams and Mr. Conlon and this condition exists today: With reference to the money in the Good Roads Fund that is unexpended, we have allocated certain sums of money for street reconstruction in the Good Roads Fund, and in the bond issue that was passed some three weeks ago you have passed a bond issue for the Alemany boulevard, the Junipero Serra boulevard and the Bay Shore boulevard, and in the allocation of the tentative—I will not say allocation—the tentative sums of money for these three porjects amount to $400,000 in round sums, and that money is now to be expended as a bond issue. Therefore, it leaves in the Good Roads Fund $400,000. Now, if the Board wishes to use that money entirely for reconstruction of streets, why, of course, then there would be a credit in the General Fund. I make this statement to the Board and it is up to the Board to act upon the matter.

Supervisor Schmidt: As to the Good Roads Fund, we would have to allow that for the budget appropriation, wouldn't we? We cannot use the Good Roads Fund for salaries.

The Chairman: No, not for anything but reconstruction of streets.

Supervisor Schmidt: If we charged those funds allotted in the budget for reconstruction and maintenance of streets and diverted the Good Roads Fund into the Good Roads project and then use that money from the budget allotment as an increase in salaries——

The Chairman (interrupting): The thought I had was; first, if there was any surplus, pay certain deficits that exist today. There are deficits that exist.

Supervisor Schmidt: Wasn't it brought down to $6,000?

The Chairman: I think you will find it is a little more. Whatever deficits exist should be taken care of first.

Supervisor Gallagher: I understand that the Finance Committee will face deficits of over $400,000, including the amount they have in the last set-up. What you are indicating, Mr. Chairman, is that in view of the fact that approximately $300,000 was appropriated for highway purposes——

The Chairman (interrupting): $400,000.

Supervisor Gallagher: Yes, not for streets but for highway purposes. Perhaps you may be able to meet the deficits of the Board of Health, which I understand amount to $102,000, and the Board of Public Works, which I think amounts to $97,000, by reallocating funds. I am of the opinion that next week we will have a report of your committee on the reallocation of those funds to meet deficits. However, on

the subject matter of wage increases, that is an entirely different matter. Does your committee intend to deal with the proposition of reallocation of funds as presented by the bond issue and with the use of this money already allocated to highway construction, or does it not?

The Chairman: I would say, yes, sir, it does. I took it up with the Clerk this morning and with Mr. Williams this morning.

Supervisor Gallagher: Your committee will make a report on that?

The Chairman: Yes, we will.

Supervisor Gallagher: All right. When the time comes to——

The Chairman (interrupting): We will make a report on the facts as the facts are today.

Supervisor Gallagher: I will say to Mr. Schmidt that when the committee does that, I think I will be able to show, as a member of the Board, that not because of reallocation but because they are able to meet deficits which they did not think they would be able to meet, there will be sufficient funds to meet legitimate wage increases. I do not intend for a moment to indicate that the Committee or the Board is going to run wild, but I think there are certain deserved increases that should be made to meet what others are paying for similar work. I think you will find that money can be found for that. And I would wait on the wage matter, because I do not want it to interfere with the reallocation until you have made your report.

The Chairman: Is there anything further on this matter?

Supervisor Todd: It is my understanding that you are going to take part of this $309,000 and pass the money out to the Good Roads Fund to take care of that?

The Chairman: May I make this statement to the Board? In the allocation this year, in round sums, and these are all round, $1,300,000 had included in that allocation certain major highways, including the Alemany boulevard, the Junipero Serra boulevard and the Bay Shore Highway, which approximately amount to about $400,000. Now, by bond issue the people of the City and County of San Francisco three weeks ago have voted to do this work as a direct bond issue. Therefore, you cannot take this money from the Good Roads Fund and use it for bond purposes. Therefore, you have that in there as a surplus. Now, this Board can, if it wishes to, allocate this money for street reconstruction, and we will give in the report in writing to this Board during the week, a direct set-up of the exact amount of these funds. We have to get in touch with the Board of Public Works as to just what contracts are out against this fund.

Supervisor Schmidt: You will itemize it?

The Chairman: Oh, yes, and give you a report in writing. I would say that this matter is governed entirely under the tentative report that I am making here today, and that will be touched on in our written report to you.

Supervisor Todd: I want to ask a question: Could $60,000, under the matter referred to you by the Street Committee last week, be borrowed from one of these funds and be turned over to the City Engineer so that he can go ahead with his construction work while we are perfecting the bond issue?

The Chairman: The Clerk has just really answered that question. He has just called attention to the fact that we cannot take amounts from one fund and then pay back out of the bond issue.

Supervisor Todd: I am advised by Mr. Healy that if we did this work now we could probably save 50 per cent on account of grading being done in that subdivision; that we could do it for about half the price we usually have to pay for yardage.

The Chairman: I am advised it is not legal to transfer money from the Good Roads Fund to the bond fund and then expect the bond fund to return the money. I am advised that is not legal. If we have to ask the City Attorney's advice on it we will do so.

Supervisor Todd: I would be glad if you would do so, because we will lose that money otherwise.

The Chairman: The members of the Board will receive a report on this matter before the next meeting.

Supervisor Colman: As a matter of information for my own use, I wish Mr. Gallagher and Mr. Stanton would furnish me with a list of the positions which they both have claimed heretofore on the floor of the Board are paid less than on the outside. The statement was made that the Charter provides that we shall pay as well as they are paid on the outside. If there are any such positions, I would like to have a list of them, to allow me to find out if that is the fact, because I certainly have repeatedly stated,

and am prepared to increase any wages up to the point that is paid outside for similar work. I asked the President of the Labor Council for that information last Tuesday.

Supervisor Gallagher: If Mr. Stanton cannot furnish it to you I will.

Supervisor Colman: I wish you would.

Supervisor Stanton: I was going to make a motion in regard to these salary raises, if I am permitted, which I think may possibly clear up the question that the Supervisor has asked.

The Chairman: I will recognize you, Supervisor Stanton.

Supervisor Stanton: I move that the Finance Committee be instructed to hold a meeting Wednesday night to hear the different city employees or their representatives who have asked for a raise in salary.

Supervisor Schmidt: I will second the motion.

Supervisor Stanton: At that time —at 8 o'clock in these chambers— and we will have the interested parties here to explain their own case.

The Chairman: The motion is for the Finance Committee to hold the meeting?

Supervisor Stanton: Yes.

Supervisor Gallagher: I would add to that that the Finance Committee be requested to hold such hearing and that all the members of the Board of Supervisors be especially invited to come.

Supervisor Schmidt: I will second the motion.

The Chairman: Any objection? (There was no objection.) *So ordered.*

ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business the Board of Supervisors, at 6:30 p. m., adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors December 27, 1927.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated, and approved as above recited.

JOHN S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors.
City and County of San Francisco.

Vol. 22—New Series
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY
No. 50

Monday, December 12, 1927

Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
337 Bush Street, S. F.

Journal of Proceedings
Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, DECEMBER 12, 1927, 10 A. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, December 12, 1927, 10 a. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Herz, Kent, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, McSheehy, Shannon—3.

Quorum present.

His Honor Mayor Rolph presiding.

APPROVAL OF JOURNALS.

The Journals of Proceedings of October 24 and October 31, 1927, were considered read and approved.

ROLL CALL FOR PETITIONS FROM MEMBERS.

Telegram From Supervisor Shannon.

The following were presented and read by the Clerk:

December 10, 1927.

Hon. Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco.

Gentlemen:

Enclosed is a telegram which the Mayor received from Supervisors. At the Mayor's instruction a telegram was sent to Supervisor Shannon, copy of which is enclosed.

Yours very truly,

(Signed)

EDWARD RAINEY,

Executive Secretary to the Mayor.

Washington, D. C., Dec. 10, 1927.

Mayor Jas. Rolph, City Hall.

Leaving Washington for New York, any instructions regard Democratic Convention wire Commodore Hotel; Shingle and myself send regards. Very cold here.

WARREN SHANNON.

San Francisco, Dec. 10, 1927.

Warren Shannon, Commodore Hotel, New York, N. Y.

Mayor taking up Democratic Convention matter with Board on Monday. All send regards.

EDWARD RAINEY,

Executive Secretary to the Mayor.

W. W. Haley Parking Station Approved.

The following was read by the Clerk:

Petition, of Mrs. J. Brasquier and others, approving the application of W. W. Haley for a parking station permit on north side of McAllister street near Hyde.

Read and subject matter *laid over thirty days.*

Zone Change, Twenty-sixth Avenue and El Camino del Mar Approved.

Communication, filed by Miss Nace, containing list of property owners signed to statement that they are not opposed to rezoning southwest corner Twenty-sixth avenue and El Camino del Mar, some of whom afterward withdrew their names or sold their property.

"Messiah" Production Lauded.

Supervisor Havenner presented:

Communication, from Roberta Leitch, of Los Gatos, congratulating Mayor Rolph and the Auditorium Committee on the superlative quality of this year's production of the "Messiah," led by Alfred Hertz, and the exceptionally fine work of the chorus under Dr. Hans Lischke.

Read and *filed.*

Protest, Zone Change, Ocean Avenue.

Protest, of Dr. and Mrs. H. A. Magee and other property owners, against changing the north side of Ocean avenue, from Fairfield way to Sanfranbank avenue, from First Residential District to Commercial District.

Over one week.

Extension of Fireproof Roofing Limits Approved.

Communication, from Fillmore Merchants and Improvement Association, endorsing recommendation

of Fire Chief Thos. R. Murphy for the extension of the fireproof roofing limits in the Western Addition.

Also, *communication* from Lions Club, approving proposed shingle ordinance.

Over one week.

Proposed Salary Increases.

The report of the Finance Committee on proposed salary increases was *continued one week.*

Leave of Absence, Mrs. Ernest J. Mott.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

San Francisco, Cal.,
December 7, 1927.

Honorable Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco, California.

Gentlemen:

Application has been made to me by Mrs. Ernest J. Mott, member of the Board of Education, for a leave of absence, with permission to leave the State of California, for a period of two weeks, commencing December 9, 1927.

I hereby request that you concur with me in granting this leave of absence.

Very sincerely yours,
JAMES ROLPH, JR.,
Mayor.

Whereupon, the following resolution was presented and *adopted*:

Resolution No. 28160 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Mrs. Ernest J. Mott, member of the Board of Education, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of two weeks, commencing December 9, 1927, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Herz, Kent, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Badaracco, McSheehy, Shannon—3.

Authorizing Settlement of Claim of Guy L. Whiteman Against City and County of San Francisco for Medical Treatment of Industrial Injury.

Supervisor Havenner presented:

Resolution No. 28202 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the City Attorney be and he is hereby authorized to enter into compromise agreement, before the Industrial Accident Commission, with Guy L. Whiteman, an employee of said City and County of San Francisco, providing for the payment by said City and County to said Guy L. Whiteman of the sum of four hundred ($400) dollars as and in full satisfaction and release of all claims for medical treatment and expense by said Guy L. Whiteman against said City and County, resulting from that certain personal injury suffered by said Guy L. Whiteman on or about the 14th day of August, 1924, while in the employ of said City and County of San Francisco, and arising under the Workmen's Compensation Insurance and Safety Act of California, of 1917, and amendments thereto.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Herz, Kent, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Badaracco, McSheehy, Shannon—3.

Mayor to Appoint a Special Committee on Obtaining Democratic Convention.

Supervisor Hayden presented:

Resolution No. 28161 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, San Francisco is inviting the delegates of the Democratic National Committee to hold the 1928 national convention of the Democratic party here; and

Whereas, the entire citizenry of San Francisco points with exceeding pride to the efficiency with which the 1920 Democratic convention was staged in this city, and can assure delegates that this successful meeting will be equaled, if not surpassed, should San Francisco be again selected; and

Whereas, the Democratic National Committee will meet in Washington, D. C., on January 12, 1928, to arrange for the 1928 convention of their party; therefore, be it

Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors requests and authorizes his Honor the Mayor to appoint a special committee or representative of this Board to appear with the San Francisco Chamber of Commerce, the San Francisco Hotel Association and the San Francisco Convention and Tourist League in Washington on January 12, 1928, to present our invitation that San Francisco be chosen as the 1928 convention city.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Herz, Kent, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Badaracco, McSheehy, Shannon—3.

Leave of Absence, Supervisor Charles F. Todd.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

San Francisco, Cal.,
December 12, 1927.

To the Honorable Board of Supervisors, City Hall, San Francisco, California.

Gentlemen:

Application has been made to me by Hon. Chas. F. Todd, member of the Board of Supervisors, for a leave of absence, with permission to absent himself from the State of California, for a period of thirty days, commencing December 12.

Will you please concur with me in granting this leave of absence?

Respectfully yours,
JAMES ROLPH, JR.,
Mayor.

Whereupon, the following resolution was presented and *adopted*:

Resolution No. 28204 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Chas. F. Todd is hereby granted a leave of absence of thirty days from December 12, 1927, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Herz, Kent, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Badaracco, McSheehy, Shannon—3.

SET-BACK LINE HEARINGS—11 A. M.

Hearing of objections to the establishment of set-back lines along portions of Sixteenth, Thirty-second and Ridgewood avenues.

Bill No. 8313, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Establishing set-back lines along portions of Sixteenth avenue, Thirty-second avenue and Ridgewood avenue.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby recited that on the 31st day of October, 1927, the Board of Supervisors adopted Resolution of Intention No. 166, to establish set-back lines along portions of Sixteenth, avenue, Thirty-second avenue and Ridgewood avenue, and fixed the 5th day of December, 1927, at 11 o'clock a. m., at the chambers of the Board of Supervisors as the time and place for hearing objections thereto; that thereafter and more than ten days prior to said hearing the said resolution was published and notices of the passage of said resolution were posted along the line of said streets, in time, form and manner required by Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series); that said hearing was held at the time and place aforesaid, and that all objections made at said hearing have been properly overruled.

Section 2. Pursuant to the foregoing recitals and in accordance with the provisions of said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), set-back lines are hereby established as follows:

Along the westerly side of Sixteenth avenue between Lawton street and Moraga street, said set-back line to be 10 feet.

Along the westerly side of Sixteenth avenue between Lawton street and Carl way, said set-back line to be 10 feet; along the easterly side of Sixteenth avenue between Lomita way and Lawton street, said set-back line to be 10 feet.

Along the westerly side of Thirty-second avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Balboa street and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 300 feet, said set-back line to be 9 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet.

Along the easterly side of Ridgewood avenue between Joost avenue and Mangels avenue, said set-back line to be 10 feet.

As shown on the maps filed in the office of the Board of Supervisors and to which reference is hereby made for further particulars.

Section 3. No structures shall be erected in the area between said set-back lines and the street lines except as provided in said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), and the penalties for the violation of this ordinance shall be as fixed by the ordinance aforesaid.

Hearing of objections to the establishment of set-back lines along portions of Twenty-first, Twenty-sixth, Thirty-second and Forty-seventh avenues.

Also, Bill No. 8314, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Establishing set-back lines along portions of Thirtysecond avenue, Twenty-first avenue, Twenty-sixth avenue and Forty-seventh avenue.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby recited that on the 31st day of October, 1927, the Board of Supervisors adopted Resolution of Intention No. 167, to establish set-back lines along portions of Thirty-second avenue, Twenty-first avenue, Twenty-sixth avenue and Forty-seventh avenue, and fixed the 5th day of December, 1927, at 11 o'clock a. m., at the chambers of the Board of Supervisors, as the time and place for hearing objections thereto; that thereafter and more than ten days prior to said hearing the said resolution was published and notices of the passage of said resolution were posted along the line of said streets, in time, form and manner required by Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series); that said hearing was held at the time and place aforesaid, and that all objections made at said hearing have been properly overruled.

Section 2. Pursuant to the foregoing recitals and in accordance with the provisions of said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), set-back lines are hereby established as follows:

Along the westerly side of Thirty-second avenue, commencing at Anza street, and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 2 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 4 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 8 feet; thence northerly 300 feet, said set-back line to be 10 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 8 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 4 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 2 feet.

Along the westerly side of Twenty-first avenue, commencing at Wawona street, and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 2 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 4 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 8 feet; thence northerly 300 feet, said set-back line to be 10 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 8 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 4 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 2 feet.

Along the easterly side of Twenty-sixth avenue, commencing at Vicente street, and running thence southerly 350 feet, said set-back line to be 15 feet.

Along the westerly side of Forty-seventh avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Santiago street, and running thence northerly to Rivera street, said set-back line to be 9 feet; along the easterly side of Forty-seventh avenue between Santiago street and Rivera street, said set-back line to be 9 feet.

As shown on the maps filed in the office of the Board of Supervisors and to which reference is hereby made for further particulars.

Section 3. No structures shall be erected in the area between said set-back lines and the street lines except as provided in said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), and the penalties for the violation of this ordinance shall be as fixed by the ordinance aforesaid.

PRESENTATION OF PROPOSALS.

Sealed proposals were received and opened at the hour of 11 a. m. for laundering towels for School Department, spring term 1928, and *referred to Supplies Committee.*

UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

Final Passage.

The following matters, heretofore passed for printing, were taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Authorizations.

Resolution No. 28163 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

Park Fund.

(1) Standard Fence Co., chain link fence for parks (claim dated Dec. 2, 1927), $1,175.

Steinhart Aquarium (Appropriation 57.)

(2) California Academy of Sciences, maintenance of Steinhart Aquarium for November (claim dated Dec. 5, 1927), $3,659.73.

Tearing-up Streets Fund.

(3) California Pottery Co., sewer pipe for side-sewers (claim dated Nov. 26, 1927), $525.

Fire Department Land and Construction Fund.

(4) O. Aaron, second payment, plumbing and heating, Fire Department Engine House No. 18 (claim dated Nov. 28, 1927), $514.98.

(5) H. H. Larsen, fourth payment, general construction, Fire Department Engine House No. 18 (claim dated Nov. 28, 1927), $5,733.75.

School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923.

(6) R. Flatland, third payment, electrical work, Sherman School (claim dated Nov. 29, 1927), $2,-158.43.

(7) Mahony Bros., tenth payment, general construction of Sherman School (claim dated Nov. 29, 1927), $17,134.80.

(8) Scott Co., fourth payment, mechanical equipment for Sherman School (claim dated Nov. 30, 1927), $3,000.63.

(9) L. Flatland, final payment, electrical work for addition to Sunnyside School (claim dated Nov. 29, 1927), $2,616.87.

(10) Latourette-Fical Co., twelfth payment, plumbing for Mission (Everett) Junior High School (claim dated Nov. 30, 1927), $6,-506.62.

(11) R. W. Moller, fifteenth payment, general construction of Mission (Everett) Junior High School (claim dated Nov. 29, 1927), $47,-209.11.

(12) A. Lettich, sixth payment, plumbing work for Portola Junior High School (claim dated Nov. 29, 1927), $2,998.08.

(13) Scott Co., fifth payment, mechanical equipment for Portola Junior High School (claim dated Nov. 30, 1927), $1,213.41.

(14) Joseph & Stone, seventh payment, architectural services for Portola Junior High School (claim dated Nov. 29, 1927), $1,411.75.

(15) O. Monson, eighth payment, general construction for Portola Junior High School (claim dated Nov. 29, 1927), $25,112.85.

(16) Edward F. Dowd, third payment, electrical work for Hearst-Moulder School (claim dated Nov. 30, 1927), $1,742.13.

Tax Judgments—(Appropriation 59).

(17) Chas. A. Gray, attorney for judgment creditors, payment of one-tenth amount final judgment, including interest, as approved by City Attorney (claim dated Dec. 5, 1927), $759.76.

Municipal Railway Fund.

(18) American Brake Shoe & Foundry Co., brake shoes (claim dated Nov. 23, 1927), $691.31.

(19) Kahn & Keville, tires and tubes (claim dated Nov. 23, 1927), $658.

(20) Panama Lamp & Commercial Co., electric lamps (claim dated Nov. 23, 1927), $1,020.36.

(21) R. W. Jamison, trolley wheels and fender parts (claim dated Nov. 29, 1927), $955.

(22) Westinghouse Electric & Mfg. Co., electric railway supplies (claim dated Nov. 29, 1927), $963.20.

Municipal Railway Depreciation Fund.

(23) J. G. Brill, third payment, street car trucks (claim dated Nov. 29, 1927), $11,281.31.

(24) Westinghouse Electric & Mfg. Co., fifth payment, street car motor equipment (claim dated Nov. 30, 1927), $22,566.35.

(25) Westinghouse Traction Brake Co., second payment, car air brake equipment (claim dated Nov. 30, 1927), $6,796.05.

(26) Eaton & Smith, final payment, construction of Sunset line of Municipal Railways (claim dated Dec. 1, 1927), $3,375.

County Road Fund.

(27) Bureau of Street Repair, materials and supplies used for reconstruction of Harrison street, Sixth to Eleventh streets (claim dated Nov. 29, 1927), $5,249.22.

(28) Bureau of Street Repair, materials, etc., for reconstruction of Third street, Berry to Eighteenth streets (claim dated Nov. 29, 1927), $8,708.55.

(29) Bureau of Street Repair, materials, etc., for reconstruction of Seventh street, Mission to Brannan streets (claim dated Nov. 29, 1927), $1,829.94.

(30) Bureau of Street Repair, materials, etc., for reconstruction of Mason street, Pine to California streets (claim dated Nov. 29, 1927), $904.11.

(31) Bureau of Street Repair, materials, etc., for reconstruction of Jackson street, Powell to Mason streets (claim dated Nov. 29, 1927), $1,516.38.

(32) Bureau of Street Repair, materials, etc., for reconstruction of Greenwich street, Polk to Larkin streets (claim dated Nov. 29, 1927), $1,057.38.

(33) Bureau of Street Repair, materials, etc., for reconstruction of Washington street, Mason to Taylor streets (claim dated Nov. 29, 1927), $1,418.88.

(34) Bureau of Street Repair, materials, etc., for reconstruction work on Mission viaduct (claim dated Nov. 29, 1927), $834.60.

(35) J. P. Holland, Inc., loam furnished for improvement of Great Highway (claim dated Dec. 2, 1927), $511.73.

(36) J. P. Holland, Inc., manure furnished for improvement of Great Highway (claim dated Dec. 2, 1927), $662.31.

School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1918.

(37) The Gunn Furniture Co.,

desks for Galileo High School (claim dated Nov. 29, 1927), $1,300.

Hetch Hetchy Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1925.

(38) Allis-Chalmers Mfg. Co., second and final payment, furnishing and delivering electric transformers for Seco substation (claim dated Nov. 29, 1927), $18,031.25.

(39) Champion Switch Co., second and final payment, furnishing and delivering disconnecting switches for Seco substation (claim dated Nov. 29, 1927), $1,412.

(40) H. B. Squires Co., second payment, furnishing and delivering switch gear and lighting arresters for Seco substation (claim dated Nov. 29, 1927), $6,090.

(41) Bethlehem Shipbuilding Corporation, furnishing transformer carrier (claim dated Nov. 25, 1927), $1,195.97.

(42) Haas Brothers, groceries (claim dated Nov. 25, 1927), $632.42.

(43) Ingersoll-Rand Co. of California, air compressors and machine parts (claim dated Nov. 25, 1927), $13,433.70.

(44) J. H. McCallum, lumber (claim dated Nov. 25, 1927), $1,466.52.

(45) Santa Fe Lumber Co., lumber (claim dated Nov. 25, 1927), $655.50.

(46) G. W. Thomas Drayage & Rigging Co., unloading materials from cars and hauling to Seco substation (claim dated Nov. 25, 1927), $1,800.

(47) Westinghouse Electric & Mfg. Co., motors and hoisting equipment (claim dated Nov. 25, 1927), $3,607.40.

(48) The White Co., repairs, etc., to White auto truck (claim dated Nov. 25, 1927), $793.05.

(49) Allis-Chalmers Mfg. Co., machinery parts (claim dated Nov. 28, 1927), $2,152.18.

(50) Pacific Coast Steel Co., structural steel (claim dated Nov. 28, 1927), $4,070.73.

Special School Tax.

(51) A. Lettich, fourth payment, plumbing work for South Side High School (claim dated Nov. 29, 1927), $2,141.69.

(52) MacDonald & Kahn, fourth payment, general construction of South Side High School (claim dated Nov. 28, 1927), $24,327.64.

(53) Newberry, Pearce Electric Co., third payment, electric work for South Side High School (claim dated Nov. 28, 1927), $2,733.75.

(54) Bureau of Street Repair, for asphalt surfacing of school yards (claim dated Nov. 28, 1927), $677.96.

General Fund, 1927-1928.

(55) San Francisco Bulletin, official advertising (claim dated Dec. 5, 1927), $1,167.96.

(56) San Francisco Society for Prevention of Cruelty to Animals, impounding, feeding, etc., of animals for months of October and November, 1927 (claim dated Dec. 5, 1927), $2,500.

(57) James G. Stafford and associates, fifth payment, contract for appraisal of taxable improvements (claim dated Dec. 1, 1927), $3,440.25.

(58) Standard Underground Cable Co., cable for Department of Electricity (claim dated Oct. 31, 1927), $559.74.

(59) Steiger & Kerr Stove and Foundry Co., iron castings for Department of Electricity (claim dated Oct. 31, 1927), $549.89.

(60) Daniel J. O'Brien, police contingent expense (claim dated Dec. 1, 1927), $750.

(61) Berringer & Russell, hay, etc., for Police Department (claim dated Dec. 1, 1927), $724.60.

(62) Neal, Stratford & Kerr, printing for Departeent of Elections (claim dated Dec. 1, 1927), $2,422.20.

(63) California Printing Co., printing for Department of Elections (claim dated Dec. 1, 1927), $778.

(64) Spring Valley Water Co., water service for Fire Department hydrants (claim dated Nov. 30, 1927), $14,724.40.

(65) Garcia & Maggini Co., dried fruit, Laguna Honda Home (claim dated Nov. 25, 1927), $848.64.

(66) O'Brien, Spotorno & Mitchell, turkeys for Laguna Honda Home (claim dated Nov. 25, 1927), $1,165.64.

(67) Pratt-Low Preserving Co., tomatoes for Laguna Honda Home (claim dated Nov. 25, 1927), $647.40.

(68) Herbert F. Dugan, drug sundries for Emergency Hospitals (claim dated Nov. 22, 1927), $527.

Auditorium Fund.

(69) Musical Association of San Francisco, services of San Francisco Symphony Orchestra at Auditorium November 1, 1927 (claim dated Dec. 5, 1927), $2,000.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Herz, Kent, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Badaracco, McSheehy, Shannon—3.

Appropriations, Reconstruction of Market Street Railway Tracks; Equipment, Tubercular Preventorium; Traffic Signals, and Improvement of Munich Street.

Resolution No. 28164 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned funds for the following purposes, to-wit:

County Road Fund.

(1) For reconstruction of tracks of Market Street Railway Company and installation of new rail by Market Street Railway Company at Sloat and Junipero Serra boulevards for the improvement of the roadway thereat, $1,226.

Preventorium, San Mateo County—Budget Item No. 57.

(2) For the purchase of equipment and supplies, and for the payment of employees at the Tubercular Preventorium, San Mateo County, month of November, 1927. $5,602.06.

Traffic Signals, Etc., Budget Item No. 69.

(3) For the construction and installation of traffic signals by the Department of Electricity, $5,000.

Street Work in Front of City Property—Budget Item No. 35.

(4) For the improvement of Munich street between Persia and Russia avenues, including damages to properties, $750.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Herz, Kent, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, McSheehy, Shannon—3.

Action Deferred.

The following matter was *laid over one week*:

Appropriation, Law Books, Superior Court.

Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $506.30 be and the same is hereby authorized in payment out of Urgent Necessity, Budget Item No. 25, to Bancroft-Whitney Company for law books furnished Department No. 12 of the Superior Court. (Claim dated Nov. 21, 1927.)

Final Passage.

The following matters, heretofore passed for printing, were taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Appropriation, $17,998.90, Payment to L. F. Youdall and R. G. Clifford for Sunset Tunnel Construction.

Resolution No. 28166 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $17,998.90 be and the same is hereby authorized in payment out of the Sunset Tunnel Assessment Fund to Leonard F. Youdall and R. G. Clifford, being eighteenth progressive payment for construction of tunnel and appurtenances under Buena Vista Park, Duboce avenue route, Sunset tunnel. (Claim dated Dec. 3, 1927.)

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Herz, Kent, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, McSheehy, Shannon—3.

Oil Tank Permits.

Resolution No. 28167 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits be and are hereby granted:

Oil Tanks.

Eugene Auregury, northwest corner of Parker avenue and Jefferson street, 750 gallons capacity.

M. Clancy, east side of Polk street, 85 feet north of Turk street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Dreamland Auditorium, Inc., northwest corner of Steiner and Post streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

Frank Fink, 783 Bush street, 850 gallons capacity.

J. Faramoschi, north side of Filbert street, 130 feet east of Leavenworth street, 1500 gallons capacity.

F. S. Merschen, west side of Nineteenth avenue, 60 feet south of Santiago street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Mrs. E. E. O'Connor, 308 Parnassus avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

W. S. Ray Manufacturing Co., northeast corner of Broadway and Gough street, 1500 gallons capacity.

A. J. Ratto, east side of San Bruno avenue, 50 feet north of Burrows street, 1500 gallons capacity.

R. J. Stempel, north side of Twenty-fourth street, 175 feet east of Howard street, 1500 gallons capacity.

International Supply Co., 917 Bryant street, 750 gallons capacity.

S. Levin, 2836 Lyon street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Boilers.

Simon Mattress Co., north side of Fifteenth street, 100 feet west of San Bruno avenue, 4 horsepower.

A. Haritsis, 1820 Folsom street, 20 horsepower.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits shall become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Herz, Kent, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, McSheehy, Shannon—3.

Automobile Supply Station Permit.

Resolution No. 28168 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Mrs. Peter W. McGlade be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an automobile supply station on the northeast corner of Twenty-fifth and Howard streets.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Herz, Kent, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, McSheehy, Shannon—3.

Laundry Permit.

Resolution No. 28169 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That James L. Patterson be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain a hand laundry at 411 Haight street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Herz, Kent, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, McSheehy, Shannon—3.

Automobile Supply Station Permit.

Resolution No. 28170 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Pacific Gillespie System, Inc., be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an automobile supply station on the northwest corner of Howard street and Holland Court.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Herz, Kent, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, McSheehy, Shannon—3.

Automobile Parking Station Permit.

Resolution No. 28171 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Pacific Gillespie System, Inc., be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an automobile parking station on the north side of Howard street, approximately 112 feet west of Holland Court.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Herz, Kent, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, McSheehy, Shannon—3.

Garage Permit.

Resolution No. 28172 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Pacific Gillespie System, Inc., be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate a public garage on the north side of Howard street, approximately 175 feet west of Holland Court (in rear).

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Herz, Kent, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, McSheehy, Shannon—3.

Transfer of Automobile Supply Station Permits.

Resolution No. 28173 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Pacific Gillespie System, Inc., be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred to it automobile supply station permit heretofore granted Associated Oil Company for premises on the northeast corner of Van Ness avenue and Pine street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Herz, Kent,

Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, McSheehy, Shannon—3.

Resolution No. 28174 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Crown Oil Company be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred to it automobile supply station permit heretofore granted Shell Company of California for premises on the northwest corner of Valencia and Army streets.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Herz, Kent, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, McSheehy, Shannon—3.

Automobile Supply Station Permit.

Resolution No. 28175 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That A. G. Sala be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an automobile supply station on the southwest corner of Mission and Ottawa streets.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Herz, Kent, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, McSheehy, Shannon—3.

Laundry Permit.

Resolution No. 28176 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That A. Haritsis be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate a laundry at 1820 Folsom street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Herz, Kent, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, McSheehy, Shannon—3.

Action Deferred.

On motion of Supervisor Havenner, the following matter was *continued for thirty days.* Captain Goff and Mr. McClintock to be called in conference to establish policy respecting this and similar matters, to-wit:

Automobile Parking Station, Wm. W. Haley.

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Wm. W. Haley be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an automobile parking station on the north side of McAllister street, 37 feet 6 inches east of Hyde street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

A. Barendt was heard in opposition to the foregoing.

Final Passage.

The following resolution, heretofore passed for printing was taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Garage Permit, W. F. Harrison.

Resolution No. 28165 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That W. F. Harrison be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate public garage at premises 2243 Bush street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Herz, Kent, Marks, Powers, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—14.

No—Supervisor Roncovieri—1.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, McSheehy, Shannon—3.

RECESS.

Whereupon, at 12:30 p. m., the Board took a recess until 3 p. m.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk.

REASSEMBLED—3 P. M.

The Board of Supervisors reassembled at 3 p. m.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Herz, Kent, Marks,

Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, McSheehy, Shannon—3.

Quorum present.

His Honor Mayor Rolph presiding.

Action Deferred.

The following matter was *laid over one week*:

Foodstuffs Ordinance Amendment.

Bill No. ——, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Section 6 of Ordinance No. 2917 (New Series), entitled "Regulating the manufacture, handling, care and sale of foodstuffs within the City and County of San Francisco," approved September 22, 1914.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Amending Section 6 of Ordinance No. 2917 (New Series), the title of which is as recited above, to read as follows:

Section 6. No person, firm or corporation engaged in the manufacture, handling or sale of foodstuffs shall require, permit or allow any person suffering from any communicable disease to work, lodge, sleep or remain within or upon the premises.

It shall be unlawful for any person to bring into, or for any person, firm or corporation to allow, any dog or dogs, or cat or cats, to enter any place of busines designated in this ordinance.

It shall be unlawful for any person, firm or corporation to display on the street, or in the open air, food products liable to be injured, infected or polluted, without adequate protection from dirt, flies, animals or insects.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Final Passage.

The following matters, heretofore passed for printing, were taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Amendment to License Tax Ordinance.

Bill No. 8306, Ordinance No. 7815 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Section 74 of Ordinance No. 5132 (New Series), entitled "Imposing license taxes on certain businesses, callings, trades or employments within the City and County of San Francisco," in effect July 1, 1920.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Section 74 of Ordinance No. 5132 (New Series), the title of which is recited above, is amended so as to read as follows:

Section 74. For every person, firm or corporation conducting, managing or carrying on or engaging in any of the businesses, professions or occupations hereinafter in this section enumerated, the annual gross receipts of which business amount to less than $3,000, $3 per quarter; $3,000 and less than $5,000, $4.50 per quarter; $5,000 and less than $7,500, $6 per quarter; $7,500 and less than $10,000, $7.50 per quarter; $10,000 and less than $15,000, $11.25 per quarter; $15,000 and less than $20,000, $15 per quarter; $20,000 and less than $25,000, $18.75 per quarter; $25,000 and less than $30,000, $22.50 per quarter; $30,000 and less than $40,000, $30 per quarter; $40,000 and less than $50,000, $37.50 per quarter; $50,000 and less than $60,000, $45 per quarter; $60,000 and less than $70,000, $52.50 per quarter; $70,000 and less than $80,000, $60 per quarter; $80,000 and less than $90,000, $67.50 per quarter; $90,000 and less than $100,000, $75 per quarter; $100,000 and less than $150,000, $112.50 per quarter; $150,000 and over, $125 per quarter.

The license provided for in this section shall be paid by every person, firm or corporation conducting, managing or carrying on or engaged in any of the following businesses, professions or occupations: Advertising counsel, appraiser, architect, attorney-at-law, auditor, accountant, bail bond brokers, chiropodist, chiropractor, civil, electrical or mechanical engineer, dentist, designer or illustrator, drugless practitioner, engraver, geologist, hair dressing and manicuring parlor, interpreter, insurance adjuster, landscape gardener, lapidary, midwife, naturopath, optician, optometrist, oculist, osteopath or osteopathist, physician, surgeon, veterinary.

No license shall be issued under the provisions of this section to any person to practice as a physican and surgeon, osteopath, naturopath, drugless practitioner, chiropractor, chiropodist, midwife or veterinary medicine, or any branch thereof, or to practice hairdressing or manicuring in the City and County of San Francisco unless such person makes affidavit that he possesses an unexpired and unrevoked license entitling the applicant to practice, from the duly authorized State Board of Medical Examiners of the State of California, California State Board of Chiropractors, California State Board of Veteri-

narians, California State Board of Cosmetology, or from any other State board granting certificates to practice the professions or employments enumerated in this section.

The Tax Collector shall, before issuing any license to engage in the business or occupation of a veterinary under the provisions of this section, require every applicant therefor to make affidavit that he is the person named in the license issued by the Board of Examiners in veterinary medicine or the Board of Medical Examiners of the State of California.

That nothing in this section contained shall be deemed or construed as applying to any person engaged in any of the professions or occupations hereinbefore enumerated, solely as an employee of any other person, firm or corporation conducting, managing or carrying on any such business, occupation or profession in the City and County of San Francisco.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Herz, Kent, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, McSheehy, Shannon—3.

Ordering Street Work.

Bill No. 8307, Ordinance No. 7816 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors November 10, 1927, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in three installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Farallones street from Plymouth avenue to Capitol avenue; Howth street from Ocean avenue to Geneva avenue; Louisburg street from Geneva avenue to Mount Vernon avenue; Concord street from Cross street to Morse street; Sadowa street from Plymouth avenue to San Jose avenue, by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks six (6) feet in width where artificial stone sidewalks six (6) feet or more in width have not already been constructed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Herz, Kent, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, McSheehy, Shannon—3.

Bill No. 8308, Ordinance No. 7817 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors November 10, 1927, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors,

pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in three installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of the south one-half of Bonita street from the westerly line of Polk street to a line parallel with and eighty-four (84) feet six (6) inches west of the west line of Polk street, by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks of the full official width.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Herz, Kent, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, McSheehy, Shannon—3.

Bill No. 8309, Ordinance No. 7818 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors November 10, 1927, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in three installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of the west one-half of Larkin street from the north line of Turk street to a line parallel with and one hundred and thirty-seven (137) feet six (6) inches north of the north line of Turk street; and the improvement of the north one-half of Turk street from the west line of Larkin street to a line parallel with and one hundred and thirty-seven (137) feet six (6) inches west of the west line of Larkin street, by the construction of full width artificial stone sidewalks where artificial stone sidewalks are not in repair or to official grade.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Herz, Kent, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, McSheehy, Shannon—3.

Bill No. 8310, Ordinance No. 7819 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors November 10, 1927, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifi-

cations are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in twenty installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be six months from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Cowden street between Wilde avenue and Harkness avenue, including the crossing of Cowden street and Harkness avenue, by grading to official line and grade; by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks on the angular corners of the above mentioned crossing; by the construction of brick catchbasins with 10-inch ironstone pipe culverts on the above mentioned crossing; by the construction of side-sewers, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and a 1½-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Herz, Kent, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, McSheehy, Shannon—3.

Bill No. 8311, Ordinance No. 7820 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors November 10, 1927, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements respectively, may be paid in twenty installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be six months from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Prentiss street between Crescent avenue and Tompkins avenue, including the crossing of Prentiss street and Ogden avenue, and the crossing of Prentiss street and Tompkins avenue, by grading to official line and grade; by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks on the angular corners of the above mentioned crossings; by the construction of brick catchbasins and appurtenances and 10-inch ironstone pipe culverts—three on each of the above mentioned crossings; by the construction of side-sewers; by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and a 1½-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface on the crossing of Prentiss street and Tompkins avenue, and by the construction of a concrete pavement between the northerly line of Crescent avenue and the southerly line of Tompkins avenue.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Herz, Kent, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, McSheehy, Shannon—3.

Bill No. 8312, Ordinance No. 7821 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the

City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors November 10, 1927, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in three installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of the south one-half of Hayes street between Van Ness avenue and Polk street, by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks of the full official width where artificial stone or bituminous sidewalks of the full official width have not already been constructed or are not to the official grade.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Herz, Kent, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, McSheehy, Shannon—3.

Amendment to Traffic Ordinance.

Bill No. 8313, Ordinance No. 7822 (New Series), as follows:

An ordinance repealing Paragraph 4 of Section 23; amending Paragraph 4 of Section 35; Paragraph 6 of Section 36, and Sections 37, 38 and 39 of Ordinance No. 7691 (New Series), entitled "An ordinance regulating traffic upon the public streets and repealing all ordinances inconsistent herewith."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Paragraph 4 of Section 23 is hereby repealed.

Section 2. Paragraph 4 of Section 35 is hereby amended to read as follows: "Within twenty (20) feet of an intersection."

Section 3. Paragraph 6 of Section 36 is hereby amended to read as follows: "At any place not to exceed one hundred (100) feet from the intersection of any street with the southerly property line of Market street, from The Embarcadero to Van Ness avenue between the hours of seven (7) a. m. and six (6) p. m. of any day; at any place not to exceed one hundred (100) feet from the prolongation of the northerly or westerly property lines, at intersections immediately adjacent to Market street, of those streets intersecting Market street from the northerly side, from The Embarcadero to Van Ness avenue between the hours of seven (7) a. m. and six (6) p. m. of any day".

Section 4. Section 37 is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 37. Parking Prohibited on Certain Streets. Between the hours of seven (7) a. m. and six (6) p. m. of any day, excepting Sundays and legal holidays, it shall be unlawful for the operator of a vehicle to stop said vehicle for a period of time longer than is necessary for the actual loading or unloading and delivery of passengers or materials in any of the following places: Stevenson street, Minna street, Natoma street, Ecker street, Shaw alley, Anthony street, Annie street, Aldrich alley, Sherwood place, Harlan street, Mark lane, Claude lane, Hardie place, Trinity street, Treasury place, Petrarch place, Beldon street, St. George alley, St. Anne street, Quincy street, Chatham place, Monroe street, Fells or Fella place, Hooker alley, Mulford alley, Austin street, Hunt street, Opera alley, Holland court, Mint street, Mary street, Russ street, Julia street, Laskie street, Elim alley, Linden street, Opal place, Hobart alley, Ophir alley, Touchard street, Glasgow street or Anna lane, Cohen place, Willow street, Olive street, Antonio street, Steveloe place, Latham place, Dike-

man place, Carlos street, Harlem alley, Emma street, Ivy street, Dale place, Redwood street, Dodge street, Elm street, Wagner alley, Gardner street (or) place, Ashburton place, Campton place, Agate alley, Fern street, Burritt street, Tillman alley, Cosmos place, Hemlock street, Ade court, Mabel alley, Amity alley, Myrtle street, Cedar street, Meacham place, Colin place, Maggie alley, Shannon street, Adlaide street, Derby street, Vermehr place, Anson place, Chelsea place, Bren place, Maiden lane, Jessie street, Montgomery street between Market street and California street, on the east side of Grant avenue between Bush street and Broadway, and on the north side of Pacific avenue between Columbus avenue and Van Ness avenue.

"Signs shall be erected and maintained not more than two hundred (200) feet apart in each block designating the provisions of this section."

Section 5. Section 38 is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 38. Parking Time Limited in the Central Traffic District. The operator of a vehicle shall not park such vehicle for longer than forty (40) minutes within the Central Traffic District between the hours of seven (7) a. m. and six (6) p. m. of any day, except Sundays or legal holidays, and subject to the special restrictions imposed by Sections 35, 36 and 37.

"Signs shall be erected and maintained not more than two hundred (200) feet apart in each block designating the provisions of this section."

Section 6. Section 39 is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 39. Parking Time Limited in Business Districts. The operator of a vehicle shall not park such vehicle for longer than sixty (60) minutes within any Business District between the hours of seven (7) a. m. and six (6) p. m. of any day, except Sundays or legal holidays, and subject to the special restrictions imposed by Sections 35 and 36.

"Signs shall be erected and maintained not more than two hundred (200) feet part in each block to designate the provisions of this section."

Section 7. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Herz, Kent, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Badaracco, McSheehy, Shannon—3.

PRESENTATION OF BILLS AND ACCOUNTS.

Demands not required by law to be passed to print, and amounting to $57,781.15, were allowed and ordered paid by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Herz, Kent, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Badaracco, McSheehy, Shannon—3.

Action Deferred.

The following items were *continued one week*:

Urgent Necessity.

Edna Nelson et al., compensation insurance, $104.15.

Spring Valley Water Company, water for horse troughs, $38.15.

NEW BUSINESS.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Authorizations.

On motion of Supervisor Havenner:

Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

Tearing-Up Streets Fund.

(1) California Pottery Co., sidesewer pipe (claim dated Dec. 5, 1927), $525.

(2) General Fund, Budget Item 3-76, to reimburse for hauling expenditures (claim dated Dec. 1, 1927), $2,448.

Hetch Hetchy Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1925.

(For accounty of Hetch Hetchy Water Construction.)

(3) Forderer Cornice Works, galvanized iron skylights (claim dated Dec. 6, 1927), $587.

(4) Hales & Symons, Inc., lumber (claim dated Dec. 6, 1927) $1,208.03.

(5) Hercules Powder Co., explosives (claim dated Dec. 6, 1927), $5,656.88.

(6) Ingersoll-Rand Co. of California, machinery parts (claim dated Dec. 6, 1927), $672.62.

(7) J. H. McCallum, lumber (claim dated Dec. 6, 1927), $639.51.

(8) O. Rock, truck hire (claim dated Dec. 6, 1927), $923.48.

(9) Santa Cruz Portland Cement

Co., cement (claim dated Dec. 6, 1927), $504.

(10) Sangamo Electric Co., meters and transformers (claim dated Dec. 6, 1927), $1,209.30.

(11) Santa Fe Lumber Co., lumber (claim dated Dec. 6, 1927), $724.41.

(12) United States Rubber Co., slickers and hats (claim dated Dec. 6, 1927), $869.75.

(13) Bald Eagle Meat Market, meats (claim dated Dec. 1, 1927), $1,081.02.

(14) Baker, Hamilton & Pacific Co., galvanized iron and hardware (claim dated Nov. 30, 1927), $1,805.63.

(15) Crane Co., lavatories, valves, etc. (claim dated Nov. 30, 1927), $856.79.

(16) A. M. Castle & Co., iron and steel (claim dated Nov. 30, 1927), $752.06.

(17) Gardner Electric Mfg. Co., repairing transformers (claim dated Nov. 30, 1927), $595.

(18) A. H. Gibbons, truck rental (claim dated Nov. 30, 1927), $912.

(19) The Giant Powder Co., Con., explosives (claim dated Nov. 30, 1927), $7,832.63.

(20) Delbert Hansen, truck hire (claim dated Nov. 30, 1927), $632.50.

(21) Ingersoll-Rand Co. of California, machinery parts (claim dated Nov. 30, 1927), $6,313.05.

(22) Ingersoll-Rand Co. of California, machinery parts (claim dated Nov. 30, 1927), $540.15.

(23) Santa Cruz Portland Cement Co., cement (claim dated Nov. 30, 1927), $607.25.

(24) Santa Fe Lumber Co., lumber (claim dated Nov. 30, 1927), $1,387.72.

(25) Wilsey Bennett Co., food supplies (claim dated Nov. 30, 1927), $600.23.

(26) R. A. Hansen, fuel oil, etc. (claim dated Dec. 7, 1927), $1,707.70.

Special School Tax.

(27) Knittle Bros., second payment, mechanical equipment for South Side High School (claim dated Dec. 6, 1927), $3,512.29.

Auditorium Fund.

(28) Selby C. Oppenheimer, services of Nina Morgana, soloist for production of "The Messiah" (claim dated Dec. 12, 1927), $600.

(29) J. L. Stuart Mfg. Co., theatrical sloat for organ curtain, Auditorium (claim dated Nov. 2, 1927), $695.

Sunset Tunnel Assessment Fund.

(30) Leonard F. Youdall and R. G. Clifford, extra work performed at west end of Sunset-Duboce avenue tunnel (claim dated Dec. 7, 1927), $5,050.47.

(31) Leonard F. Youdall and R. G. Clifford, nineteenth payment, construction of tunnel, etc., under Buena Vista Park (claim dated Dec. 7, 1927), $200,000.

Municipal Railway Depreciation Fund.

(32) Pacific States Electric Co., first payment, furnishing and delivering electric cables, Section C, Contract 156 (claim dated Dec. 5, 1927), $5,194.44.

(33) Robert W. Jamison, second payment, installing electric conductors and appurtenances, Contract 158 (claim dated Dec. 5, 1927), $975.

Municipal Railway Accident Reserve Fund.

(34) F. Boeken, reimbursement of contingent fund for expenditures, per vouchers (claim dated Dec. 1, 1927), $549.50.

Municipal Railway Fund.

(35) Pacific Gas & Electric Co., furnishing and installing new 1,000,000 and new 500,000 C. M. cables, changes and connections for Municipal Railways (claim dated Dec. 1, 1927), $3,624.74.

(36) Fageol Motors Co., balance due on two six-cylinder auto street car safety coaches (claim dated Dec. 6, 1927), $2,529.26.

(37) Mack International Motor Truck Corporation, balance due on two 29-passenger Mack Duralyte busses (claim dated Dec. 6, 1927), $2,545.14.

School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923.

(38) Anderson & Ringrose, eighth payment, general construction of Hearst-Moulder School (claim dated Dec. 5, 1927), $26,122.50.

(39) John Reid, Jr., thirteenth payment, architectural services for Mission (Everett) Junior High School (claim dated Dec. 5, 1927), $1,289.18.

(40) John Reid, Jr., eighth payment, architectural services for Sherman School (claim dated Dec. 5, 1927), $535.05.

(41) John Reid, Jr., third payment, architectural services for South Side High School (claim dated Dec. 7, 1927), $1,083.

Park Fund.

(42) The McGilvray Raymond Granite Co., repairing and repainting band stand, Golden Gate Park (claim dated Dec. 9, 1927), $602.95.

County Road Fund.

(43) A. E. Hennessey, improvement of Turk street between Masonic and Parker avenues (claim dated Dec. 6, 1927), $9,649.78.

General Fund. 1927-1928.

(44) Irvine & Jachens, drivers'

badges (licenses) (claim dated Dec. 12, 1927), $562.50.

(45) George H. Trask, book cases for Recorder (claim dated Dec. 12, 1927), $519.30.

(46) Fred J. Wilson, installation of Police Department communication system (claim dated Dec. 6, 1927), $9,996.83.

(47) James Rolph, Jr., Mayor's incidentals for months of November and December (claim dated Dec. 12, 1927), $1,047.50.

(48) Acme Gravel Co., gravel for street repair (claim dated Dec. 1, 1927), $813.43.

(49) Acme Gravel Co., gravel for street repair (claim dated Nov. 1, 1927), $873.69.

(50) Stephenson Construction Co., first payment, construction of San Francisco Municipal Airport, Contract 9 (claim dated Dec. 6, 1927), $1,875.

(51) James M. Smith, third payment, construction of San Francisco Municipal Airport, Contract 8 (claim dated Dec. 7, 1927), $3,000.

(52) Federal Construction Co., first payment, improvement of Bay street, Laguna to Fillmore streets (claim dated Dec. 6, 1927), $9,900.

(53) Frank J. McHugh, ninth payment, construction of sewers and appurtenances in westerly Sunset District, Section B, Contract 3 (claim dated Dec. 6, 1927), $13,500.

(54) Eclipse Lime & Cement Co., cement for street repair (claim dated Dec. 6, 1927), $3,901.35.

(55) Union Oil Co. of California, asphalt for street repair (claim dated Dec. 6, 1927), $4,001.91.

(56) Symon Bros., team hire, street cleaning (claim dated Dec. 6, 1927), $713.

(57) Spring Valley Water Co., water furnished public buildings (claim dated Dec. 6, 1927), $1,744.40.

(58) San Francisco Bulletin, official advertising, Board of Public Works (claim dated Dec. 7, 1927), $642.18.

(59) San Francisco Bulletin, official advertising, Board of Supervisors (claim dated Dec. 12, 1927), $835.14.

(60) Recorder Printing and Publishing Co., printing the Law-Motion-Trial Calendar for November (claim dated Dec. 12, 1927), $1,075.

(61) Hood & Strong, services rendered in audit of offices of Tax Collector and of Treasurer (claim dated Dec. 12, 1927), $1,937.50.

(62) Sonoma State Home, maintenance of feeble-minded (claim dated Dec. 12, 1927), $9,605.

(63) Pacific Gas & Electric Co., street lighting for November (claim dated Dec. 12, 1927), $53,396.47.

(64) Sussman-Wormser Co., sugar, Laguna Honda Home (claim dated Dec. 8, 1927), $2,281.60.

(65) California Petroleum Corporation, fuel oil, Laguna Honda Home (claim dated Dec. 8, 1927), $1,616.10.

(66) Del Monte Meat Co., meats for Laguna Honda Home (claim dated Dec. 8, 1927), $6,154.69.

(67) Fred L. Hilmer Co., cheese and eggs, Laguna Honda Home (claim dated Dec. 8, 1927), $1,919.58.

(68) McCarthy Bros., coffee for Laguna Honda Home (claim dated Dec. 8, 1927), $535.

(69) J. T. Freitas Co., butter and eggs, Laguna Honda Home (claim dated Dec. 8, 1927), $1,795.96.

(70) Sharp Flour Co., flour for Laguna Honda Home (claim dated Dec. 8, 1927), $1,296.

(71) Dion R. Holm, legal services, month of December, telephone rate litigation (claim dated Dec. 8, 1927), $850.

(72) N. Randall Ellis, engineering services, month of December, telephone rate litigation (claim dated Dec. 8, 1927), $750.

Hetch Hetchy Operative Revenue Fund.

(73) John J. Daley, legal services, month of December, valuation of San Francisco electric properties (claim dated Dec. 8, 1927), $850.

(74) H. G. Butler, services in connection with severance damage, the valuation of San Francisco electric properties (claim dated Dec. 8, 1927), $668.75.

Playground Fund.

(75) J. A. Grant, stucco work at the Excelsior Playground (claim dated Dec. 7, 1927), $1,050.

(76) Board of Public Works, labor and materials in connection with construction of swimming pool at Mather, California (claim dated Dec. 7, 1927), $2,459.36.

Municipal Railway Fund.

(77) Hancock Bros., Inc., printing of railway transfers (claim dated Dec. 7, 1927), $744.

(78) Kahn & Keville, tires and tubes for Municipal Railway (claim dated Dec. 7, 1927), $658.

(79) San Francisco City Employees Retirement System, for pensions, etc., of Municipal Railway employees (claim dated Dec. 1, 1927), $520.82.

(80) Bureau of Street Repair, for asphalt repairs to right of way of Municipal Railways (claim dated Dec. 7, 1927), $2,139.48.

Auditorium Fund.

(81) Ernest Davis, services as tenor soloist, "The Messiah", December 8, 1927 (claim dated Dec. 12, 1927), $750.

(82) Herbert Gould, services as baritone soloist, "The Messiah", December 8, 1927 (claim dated Dec. 12, 1927), $600.

Publicity and Advertising.

(83) California Development Association, for services and maintenance of San Francisco Exhibit and Information Bureau at the Ferry Building, month of December; for the publicity and advertising of San Francisco (claim dated Dec. 12, 1927), $1,000.

General Fund, 1927-1928.

(84) Associated Charities, widows' pensions (claim dated Dec. 16, 1927), $8,434.74.

(85) Eureka Benevolent Society, widows' pensions (claim dated Dec. 16, 1927), $1,187.50.

(86) Little Children's Aid, widows' pensions (claim dated Dec. 16, 1927), $8,741.73.

Hetch Hetchy Operative Revenue Fund.

(87) Railroad Commission of the State of California, for December expense of valuation of San Francisco electric properties (claim dated Dec. 12, 1927), $2,000.

General Fund, 1927-1928.

(88) M. J. Treacy, improvement of Peralta street from York street to Holladay avenue (claim dated Dec. 7, 1927), $4,305.

Appropriations, Extension and Reconstruction of Sewers, Repair and Painting of Bridges, Lighting Airport, San Francisco Hospital Chapel and Removing Sand From Thirty-eighth Avenue.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned funds for the following purposes, to-wit:

Extension and Reconstruction of Sewers—Budget Item No. 38.

(1) For the construction of sewer on Edward street between Arguello boulevard and Willard street, and sewer wing at intersection of Edward street and Arguello boulevard, $1,189.13.

(2) For construction of sewer and catchbasins from easterly termination of Ver Mehr place to existing sewer in Kearny street, $670.

(3) For construction of extension of Stanley street sewer from St. Charles avenue to Junipero Serra boulevard; additional, $475.

Repair and Painting of Bridges—Budget Item No. 37.

(4) For overhauling of the main strut guide bearings on the Islais Creek bridge, $2,000.

Municipal Airport—Budget Item 55.

(5) For cost of lighting of hangar and field, Municipal Airport, Contract 5; to enable final payment, $1,635.45.

Chapel at San Francisco Hospital—Budget Item 63.

(6) For cost of installation of seats in chapel at San Francisco Hospital (A. S. Gough contract), $1,795.

General Fund, 1927-1928.

(7) For cost of removing sand from Thirty-eighth avenue between Taraval and Santiago streets, $1,350.

Appropriations Out of County Road Fund for Main Street, Jefferson Street, Crossing of North Point Street and Columbus Avenue, Crossing of Garfield and Ramsell Streets.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of County Road Fund for the reconstruction of the following streets, to-wit:

(1) Main street, from Folsom street to The Embaracadero, $20,000.

(2) Jefferson street, from Leavenworth to Hyde streets, $1,350.

(3) Intersection of North Point street and Columbus avenue, $450.

(4) Crossing of Garfield and Ramsell streets, $1,300.

Appropriation, $5,000, National Outdoor and Olympic Try-out Swimming Championships.

The following matter, heretofore referred to the Public Welfare Committee and thence recommended to the Finance Committee, was *passed for printing* on latter's recommendation:

Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $5,000 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of "Publicity and Advertising," Appropriation 55, and authorized in payment to the Citizens Committee, Hon. Thos. F. Boyle, Treasurer, of the National Outdoor and Olympic Try-Out Swimming Championships, towards expense of holding the National Outdoor and Olympic Try-Out Swimming Championships to be held in San Francisco June 7, 8, 9 and 10, 1928, for the publicity and advertising of San Francisco.

Appropriation, $2,500, Expense of Joint Highway District, Scenic Highway from San Francisco to Santa Cruz.

On motion of Supervisor Havenner:

Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $2,500 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of County Road Fund as San Francisco's proportion of expense by the Joint Highway District, composed of the Counties of San Francisco, San Mateo and Santa Cruz, for preliminary surveys and studies for a proposed scenic highway from San Francisco to Santa Cruz, along the Ocean shore.

Appropriation, $9,460, Reconstruction of Montgomery Street From Post to Washington Streets.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $9,460 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of County Road Fund for the expense of reconstruction of Montgomery street from Post to Washington streets, including removal of railroad tracks, poles and overhead work, in accordance with provisions of Resolution No. 27459 (New Series).

Appropriating $1,050 Out of Playground Fund for Land on Arkansas Street Required for Playground Purposes.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $1,050 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of Playground Fund, and authorized in payment to Thomas G. Packham and Elizabeth D. Packham; being payment for land commencing at a point on the easterly line of Arkansas street, distant thereon 225 feet northerly from point formed by intersection of said easterly line of Arkansas street with northerly line of Twenty-third street; running thence northerly along the easterly line of Arkansas street 50 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 100 feet; thence at right angle southerly 50 feet; thence at right angle westerly 100 feet. Being portion of Potrero Nuevo Block No. 227; and required for playground purposes.

Transfer of Funds to Reimburse Accounts in Connection With Repairs to Equipment for Various Bureaus.

Supervisor Havenner presented:

Resolution No. 28177 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside from the hereinafter designated appropriations to the credit of Appropriation 30-A, Budget Item No. 449 (Bureau of Stores and Yards); being reimbursements for account of expenditures in connection with repairs to equipment for the various Bureaus, to-wit:

From Appropriation 33-C, Budget Item 525 (Street Repair), $612.

From Appropriation 35-B, Budget Item 545 (Street Cleaning), $419.63.

From Appropriation 36-B, Budget Item 558 (Sewer Repair), $178.87.

From Appropriation 38-A, Budget Item 565 (Auto Maintenance), $59.63.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Herz, Kent, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Badaracco, McSheehy, Shannon—3.

Appropriation Out of Traffic Signal Account to Bureau of Building Repair for Reimbursement of Moneys Spent for Labor, Material and Supplies, Painting Curbs.

Supervisor Havenner presented:

Resolution No. 28178 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside and appropriated out of "Traffic Signals," Budget Item No. 69, to the credit of Bureau of Building Repair, Appropriation 29-A, Budget Items 417 and 433, to-wit:

To Budget Item 417, the sum of $414, and to Budget Item 433, the sum of $362.60.

Being reimbursement for labor, materials and supplies for the painting of curbs for traffic purposes in compliance with provisions of Ordinance No. 7691 (New Series).

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Herz, Kent, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Badaracco, McSheehy, Shannon—3.

Accepting Offer of Charles V. Maschio et al. to Sell Certain Property, Market Street Homestead Association, Required for the Widening of Market Street.

Supervisor Havenner presented:

Resolution No. 28179 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the owners of the following described land sought to be

acquired by the City and County of San Francisco for the extending and widening of Market street, have offered to convey the property desired by the City and County of San Francisco for the sum set forth opposite their names, viz.:

Charles V. Marschio and Albert Maschio, $2,000—Beginning at the point of intersection of the northerly line of Eagle street with the southeasterly line of Caselli avenue, and running thence northeasterly along the southeasterly line of Caselli avenue 61.240 feet; thence deflecting 81 deg. 13 min. 29 sec. to the right and running southeasterly 56.999 feet; thence southeasterly on a curve to the left of 50-foot radius, tangent to the preceding course, central angle 21 deg. 49 min. 02 sec., a distance of 19.039 feet to the northerly line of Eagle street; thence westerly along the northerly line of Eagle street 106.402 feet to the point of beginning.

Being portion of Lot No. 1 in Block No. 11, Market Street Homestead Association.

It is hereby understood and agreed that the remaining portion of the property of Charles V. Maschio and Albert Maschio will not be assessed for the improvement of Market street as widened and extended, or of Eagle street or of Caselli avenue. It is also understood that the above amount includes damages in full to the remaining portion of said Lot 1 caused or to be caused by the establishment of a grade on Market street, Eagle street or Caselli avenue in connection with the widening and extending of Market street.

Whereas, the City Attorney has recommended the acceptance of the said offer and the acqisition of the property owned by said persons and offered to the City and County of San Francisco for the sum set forth, which said price is in accordance with the City's appraisement of the property; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the said offer of sale be accepted and the City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to examine the title of said property, and if the same is found in satisfactory condition, to accept in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco a deed conveying title thereto, and file the same for record with a copy of this resolution attached thereto as evidence of acceptance by the City and County of San Francisco, upon payment of the agreed purchase price. And be it

Further Resolved, That the City Attorney be and he is hereby authorized to dismiss the action heretofore commenced for the condemnation of the above named property upon the delivery of said deed by Charles V. Maschio and Albert Maschio.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Herz, Kent, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Badaracco, McSheehy, Shannon—3.

Accepting Offer of Sidney H. Smith to Sell Certain Land in Fairmount Tract Required for Bernal Cut.

Supervisor Havenner presented:

Resolution No. 28180 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the owners of the following described land situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and sought to be acquired by the City and County of San Francisco for the opening of Bernal Cut, extending from Mission and Randall streets, southwesterly along the Southern Pacific Company's right of way to the intersection of San Jose avenue and Circular avenue, have offered to convey the property desired by said City and County of San Francisco for the sum set forth opposite their names, viz.:

Sidney H. Smith and Annie Smith, $4,000—Beginning at a point on the northeasterly line of Mateo street, distant thereon 78 feet southeasterly from the southeasterly line of Arlington street; thence southeasterly along said northeasterly line of Mateo street 21.833 feet to the northwesterly boundary line of the property now or formerly owned by Bruno and Madeliene Bandoni; thence at right angles northeasterly along said northwesterly line 91.00 feet to the southwesterly boundary line of the property now or formerly owned by Edna F. Dill; thence at right angles northwesterly along said southwesterly line 21.833 feet to the southeasterly boundary line of the property now or formerly owned by Theresa M. Wilson; thence at right angles southwesterly along said southeasterly line and the southeasterly boundary line of the property now or formerly owned by Samuel and Susie Mahn, Edna F. Dill and Timothy and Catherine Costello, a distance of 91.00 feet to the northeasterly line of Mateo street and the point of beginning.

Being a portion of Lot 1, Block 10, Fairmount Tract, as recorded

in Map Book 2 "A" and "B", pages 6 and 7, records of the City and County of San Francisco.

The building located on the above described property is to remain the property of said Sidney H. and Annie Smith, and is to be removed by them within 60 days after recordation of deed conveying the above described property to the City and County of San Francisco.

Whereas, the City Attorney has recommended the acceptance of the said offer and the acquisition of the property owned by said persons and offered to the City and County of San Francisco for the price set forth opposite their names, which said price is in accordance with the City's appraisement of the property; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the said offer of sale be accepted and the City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to examine the title of said property, and if the same is found in satisfactory condition, to accept in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco deed conveying title thereto, and file the same for record with a copy of this resolution attached thereto as evidence of acceptance by the City and County of San Francisco, upon payment of the agreed purchase price.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Herz, Kent, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Badaracco, McSheehy, Shannon—3.

Accepting Offer of John J. Ford et al. to Sell Certain Land Required for Bernal Heights Boulevard.

Supervisor Havenner presented:

Resolution No. 28181 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the owners of the following described lands sought to be acquired by the City and County of San Francisco for the opening of Bernal Heights boulevard, have offered to convey the properties desired by the City and County of San Francisco for the sums set forth opposite their names, viz.:

John J. Ford, $1—Beginning at the point of intersection of the westerly line of Bradford street and the northerly line of Lot 1152 of Gift Map No. 3, as per map thereof recorded in Map Book "2 A and B," page 15, records of the City and County of San Francisco, and running thence southerly along said westerly line 7 feet; thence northerly along a curve to the left, tangent to the preceding course with a radius of 75 feet and a central angle of 5 deg. 21 min. 19 sec., a distance of 7.010 feet to the northerly line of said Lot 1152; thence deflecting to the right 95 deg. 21 min. 19 sec. from the tangent to said curve of preceding course and running easterly along said northerly line 0.327 feet to the westerly line of Bradford street and the point of beginning. Being a portion of said Lot 1152 of said Gift Map No. 3.

David Salfield, $10—Beginning at the point of intersection of the easterly line of Bradford street with the southerly line of Mayflower street, and running thence easterly along said southerly line 3.876 feet; thence deflecting to the right 98 deg. 48 min. 46 sec. and running southerly 25.299 feet to the easterly line of Bradford street and the southwesterly corner of Lot 1210 of Gift Map No. 3, as per map thereof recorded in Map Book "2 A and B" page 15, records of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California; thence northerly along said easterly line 25 feet to the southerly line of Mayflower street and the point of beginning. Being a portion of aforementioned Lot 1210 of said Gift Map No. 3.

H. Kessler, $200—All of Lot 1148 of Gift Map No. 3, as per map thereof recorded in Map Book "2 A and B", page 15, records of the City and County of San Francisco.

Whereas, the City Attorney has recommended the acceptance of said offers and the acquisition of the properties owned by said persons and offered to the City and County of San Francisco for the prices set forth, which said prices are in accordance with the City's appraisement of the properties; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the said offers of sale be accepted and the City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to examine the title of said properties, and if the same are found in satisfactory condition to accept in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco deeds conveying title thereto, and file the same for record with a copy of this resolution attached thereto as evidence of acceptance by the City and County of San Francisco, upon payment of the agreed purchase prices.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Herz, Kent,

Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Badaracco, McSheehy, Shannon—3.

City Attorney Authorized to Compromise for $500 Action of Dante Scarpa Against City and County.

Supervisor Havenner presented:

Resolution No. 28182 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That John J. O'Toole, City Attorney, be and he is hereby authorized to compromise and settle for the sum of five hundred ($500) dollars, that certain action filed in the Superior Court of the State of California, in and for the City and County of San Francisco, numbered 174976 on the records thereof, entitled "Dante Scarpa, plaintiff, vs. City and County of San Francisco, defendant."

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Herz, Kent, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Badaracco, McSheehy, Shannon—3.

City Attorney Authorized to Compromise for $750 Claim of Dorothey Hansen Against City and County.

Supervisor Havenner presented:

Resolution No. 28183 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That John J. O'Toole, City attorney, be and he is hereby authorized to compromise and settle for the sum of seven hundred and fifty ($750) dollars, that certain action filed in the Superior Court of the State of California, in and for the City and County of San Francisco, numbered 180343 on the records thereof, entitled "Dorothey Hansen, plaintiff, vs. City and County of San Francisco, defendant."

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Herz, Kent, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Badaracco, McSheehy, Shannon—3.

Passed for Printing.

The following bills were *passed for printing*:

Ordering Construction of Bernal Cut.

On motion of Supervisor Havenner:

Bill No. 8515, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the improvement known as the Bernal Cut, which consists of a cut or excavation with approach fills, providing an improved route for public highway purposes in the City and County of San Francisco which begins at or near the intersection of Randall and Dolores streets and extending southerly to a point at or near the intersection of San Jose avenue and Diamond street in the City and County of San Francisco, including approaches, structures, pavements, curbs, sidewalks, sewers, drainage facilities, the readjustment of intersecting and adjacent streets and other improvements; authorizing, directing and empowering the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for said improvement in accordance with plans and specifications prepared therefor, approving and adopting said plans and specifications, and authorizing progressive payments to be made during the construction of the improvement known as the Bernal Cut.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized, instructed and empowered to enter into contract for the improvement known as the Bernal Cut, which consists of a cut or excavation with approach fills, providing an improved route for public highway purposes in the City and County of San Francisco which begins at or near the intersection of Randall and Dolores streets and extending southerly to a point at or near the intersection of San Jose avenue and Diamond street in the City and County of San Francisco, including approaches, structures, pavements, curbs, sidewalks, sewers, drainage facilities, the readjustment of intersecting and adjacent streets and other improvements, in accordance with plans and specifications prepared therefor, approving and adopting said plans and specifications.

Section 2. The said Board of Public Works is hereby authorized and permitted to incorporate a condition in said contract for the improvement known as the Bernal Cut that progressive payments shall be made in the manner set forth in said specifications on file in the office of said Board of Public Works.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Plans, etc., for Pipe and Tie-rod Anchors for Third Street Bridge.

Also, Bill No. 8316, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Authorizing the preparation of plans and specifications for the con-

struction of pipe and tie-rod anchors for the Third street and Channel bridge, and ordering the construction of pipe and tie-rod anchors for the Third street and Channel bridge in accordance with said plans and specifications prepared therefor; authorizing and directing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for said construction. The cost of said construction to be borne out of "Urgent Necessity," Budget Item No. 25, 1926-1927.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized, instructed and empowered to prepare plans and specifications for the construction of pipe and tie-rod anchors for the Third street and Channel bridge, which construction is hereby ordered, and to enter into contract for said construction of pipe and tie-rod anchors for the Third street and Channel bridge in accordance with said plans and specifications prepared therefor.

Section 2. The cost of said construction to be borne out of "Urgent Necessity," Budget Item No. 25, 1926-1927.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect immediatley.

Auditor Directed to Cancel Veterans' Assessments.

Supervisor Havenner presented:

Resolution No. 28184 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the following described property has been acquired by the Veterans' Welfare Board of the State of California and has been sold to the State for delinquent taxes, and the Auditor has recommended that said assessments and sales be canceled, and the City Attorney having consented thereto, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Aditor be directed to cancel, as provided in Sections 3776 and 3804-A of the Political Code, the following assessments and sales:

Taxes, 1926—Vol. 12, Lot 10, Block 1832, Aug. J. Lang, Jr., sale No. 584; Vol. 39, Lot 32, Block 6994, Eugene and F. Clark, sale No. 2346; Vol. 40, Lot 3, Block 7142, G. W. and E. P. Newson, sale No. 2467.

Assessments, 1927—Vol. 6, Lot 10, Block 913, Niels Schultz; Vol. 10, Lot 3-B, Block 1459, Alice Davin; Vol. 10, Lot 41, Block 1501, F. Carroll Reed; Vol. 10, Lot 31, Block 1506, L. B. Donovan; Vol. 10, Lot 13, Block 1519; Geo. and B. Cathrell; Vol. 11, Lot 4-A, Block 1571, Jno. C. and B. M. Silver; Vol. 11, Lot 35, Block 1586, Eugene V. and C. Quinn; Vol. 12, Lot 33, Block 1617, Michael V. Hogan; Vol. 12, Lot 37, Block 1688, H. G. and R. M. Brown; Vol. 13, Lot 31, Block 1710, Jos. C. and S. Binder; Vol. 14, Lot 18, Block 1838, Lawrence E. Taylor; Vol. 16, Lot 15-A, Block 2126, Alvin H. and N. E. Storch; Vol. 16, Lot 2-L, Block 2197, Victor and H. Rose; Vol. 17, Lot 4, Block 2344-B, Jas. A. Arnott; Vol. 17, Lot 39, Block 2345-A, Jas A. Arnott; Vol. 17, Lot 25, Block 2366, Chas. and R. Schwarz; Vol. 17, Lot 35, Block 2398, L. O. and N. M. Wiltz; Vol. 17, Lot 7-A, Block 2407, H. W. and E. F. Armburst; Vol. 17, Lot 19, Block 2412, Wm. H. and M. E. Rees; Vol. 17, Lot 24-B, Block 2417, John and M. A. Ryan; Vol. 17, Lot 8-B, Block 2416, Carl, G. E. and F. Gellert, Vol. 20, Lot 3, Block 2985, Mercantile Trust Co.; Vol. 20, Lot 27, Block 2982, Meyer Bros.; Vol. 22, Lot 22, Block 3184, Gordon W. Morris et al.; Vol. 36, Lot 2, Block 6045, Heyman Bros.; Vol. 36, Lot 9, Block 6076, H. and L. M. Strong et al.; Vol. 38, Lot 25, Block 6277, Fred G. and H. B. Pfeifer; Vol. 38, Lot 11, Block 6280, Dominic Tiscornia; Vol. 38, Lot 13, Block 6406, Fred G. Pfeifer; Vol. 38, Lot 36, Block 6415, Arthur McGown; Vol. 38, Lot 17, Block 6441, Emil Peterson; Vol. 38, Lot 12, Block 6449, Richard A. and E. A. Maher; Vol. 38, Lot 9, Block 6480, Gus G. and Ellen Johnson; Vol. 40, Lot 20, Block 6694, Elizabeth McHugh; Vol. 41, Lot 45, Block 6937, Chas. E. and M. M. LaPlant; Vol. 42, Lot 4, Block 6987, Louis J. and A. L. Moran; Vol. 42, Lot 2-C, Block 7056, F. C. Wolport; Vol. 42, Lot 34, Block 6993, Andrew J. and Betty Benson; Vol. 43, Lot 13, Block 7110-B, Rudolph Mohr, Jr., et al.; Vol. 43, Lot 4, Block 7142, H. and S. Herrguth.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Herz, Kent, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Badaracco, McSheehy, Shannon—3.

Passed for Printing:

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Appropriations, Tax Judgments.

On motion of Supervisor Havenner:

Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside and appropriated out

of Tax Judgments, Appropriation 59, and authorized in payment to the hereinafter named persons; being one-tenth of amount of judgments, including interest, and as approved by the City Attorney, to-wit:

(1) To Garret W. McEnerney, attorney for judgment creditors, per schedule attached (claim dated Dec. 9, 1927), $10,812.31.

(2) To Leslie E. Burks and John F. Barnett, attorneys for judgment creditors, per schedule attached (claim dated Dec. 9, 1927), $893.56.

(3) To Leslie E. Burks and John F. Barnett, attorneys for judgment creditors, per schedule attached (claim dated Dec. 9, 1927), $12,413.48.

Appropriations, Lands for School Purposes.

Also, Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside and appropriated out of School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923, and authorized in payment to the hereinafter named persons; being payments for properties required for school purposes, to-wit:

(1) To W. J. Mahoney, for land on the southeast corner of Quintara street and Twenty-fourth avenue; as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 28145 (New Series) (claim dated Dec. 12, 1927), $12,500.

(2) To Charles and Delia Kollmar, for land on west line of Thirtieth avenue, 125 feet distant from Anza street, 50x120 feet; as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 28146 (New Series) (claim dated Dec. 12, 1927), $4,500.

(3) To Gus and Valerie Green, for land at the northwest corner of Balboa street and Thirty-first avenue, 83x87 feet 2 inches; as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 28147 (New Series) (claim dated Dec. 12, 1927), $12,500.

Amending Zoning Ordinance, Van Dyke Avenue Between Ingalls and Jennings Streets.

On motion of Supervisor Hayden:

Bill No. 8317, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings, and the locations of buildings designated for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Sections 10 and 11 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, are hereby ordered changed so as to place the northeasterly side of Van Dyke avenue between Ingalls street and Jennings street, for a depth of 100 feet, in the Light Industrial District instead of the First Residential District, as now zoned.

Action Deferred.

The following bill was *laid over one week and made a Special Order for* 11 *a. m.*:

Amending Zoning Ordinance, Ocean Avenue Between Fairfield Way and Sanfranbank Avenue.

Bill No. ——, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings, and the locations of buildings designated for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Section 13 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place the northerly side of Ocean avenue between Fairfield way and Sanfranbank avenue, extending to a depth of 200 feet along Fairfield way to a depth of 202.388 feet along Sanfranbank avenue, also the northerly side of Ocean avenue between Sanfranbank avenue and Manor drive, extending to a depth of 105 feet along Sanfranbank avenue to a depth of 110.167 feet along Manor drive, in the Commercial District instead of the First Residential District.

(*Mrs. W. B. Bowers* and *E. G. Finsler* were heard in opposition to the foregoing.)

Action Deferred.

The following resolution was *laid over one week*:

Denial of Application to Change Zone, Southwest Corner of Twenty-sixth Avenue and El Camino del Mar, to Second Residential.

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, in the exercise of sound and reasonable discretion of the Board of Supervisors, the application of Miss M. Nace to have the southwest corner of Twenty-sixth avenue and El Camino del Mar placed in the Second Residential instead of in the First Residential District is hereby denied.

(*Mr. Dolger, Mrs. Wilkensen, L. B. Hammond, Mrs. Mack, Dr. H. Gunn, Mrs. Chas. B. Porter* and others were heard in opposition to the foregoing.)

Passed for Printing.

The following resolution was *passed for printing*:

Oil Tank Permits.

On motion of Supervisor Deasy:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits be and the same are hereby granted:

Oil Tanks.

V. Bjors, south side of Turk street, 75 feet west of Scott street, 1500 gallons capacity.

C. K. Cally, south side of Anza street, 100 feet west of Fortieth avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

C. K. Cally, south side of Anza street, 130 feet west of Fortieth avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

M. De Carlo, 6242 California street, 1500 gallons capacity.

P. Fennell, north side of Army street, 250 feet east of Mission street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Green Hospital, southwest corner Bush and Octavia streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

H. Hammer, north side of Capra way, 100 feet east of Pierce street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Neal Henry, 1726 Grove street, 1500 gallons capacity.

A. R. Larsen, northwest corner of Diamond and Elizabeth streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

Dr. Geo. Lyman, 3673 Jackson street, 1500 gallons capacity.

J. Prout, north side Magellan avenue, 150 feet west of Dorantes, 600 gallons capacity.

Royal Theater, north side of California street, 100 feet west of Polk street, 1500 gallons capacity.

H. A. Scholten, 421 Baker street, 1500 gallons capacity.

H. B. Sperry, north side West Clay Park, 300 feet east of Twenty-fourth avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

Washington Theater, 1741 Powell street, 600 gallons capacity.

M. Wilms, northeast corner Alverano way and Monterey boulevard, 1500 gallons capacity.

O. Wolf, 1150 Balboa street, 600 gallons capacity.

Boiler.

G. Triaussi, Cabrillo street between Forty-fifth and Forty-sixth avenues.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits shall become null and void.

Action Deferred.

The following matter was *continued for thirty days*:

Auto Parking Station Permit, Howard J. Mallen.

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Howard J. Mallen be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate an automobile parking station on the west side of Taylor street, 112 feet 8 inches north of Turk street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Referred.

The following matter was *referred to the Public Health Committee*:

Board of Public Works to Prepare Plans, Etc., and Receive Bids for Refuse Disposal.

Bill No. ——, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Authorizing the Board of Public Works to prepare specifications and proposals and to receive bids for the disposal of the refuse of the City and County of San Francisco by dumping at sea, in accordance with Article VI, Chapter I, Section 9, Subdivision 7 of the Charter.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized, instructed and empowered to prepare specifications and proposals and to receive bids for the disposal of the refuse of the City and County of San Francisco under alternative propositions as follows:

Proposition A. The City and County of San Francisco to select site, construct wharf and pier and furnish loading equipment; the contractor to furnish necessary equipment for taking refuse to sea.

Proposition B. The contractor to furnish an adequate and serviceable

loading wharf and equipment and the necessary equipment for taking the refuse to sea.

Section 2. This ordinance shall tak eeffect immediately.

Street Lights.

Supervisor Stanton presented:

Resolution No. 28185 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Pacific Gas and Electric Company be and it is hereby authorized and requested to remove and install street lights as follows:

Remove Gas Lamps.

East side Fifth street north of Folsom street.

Northwest corner Fifth and Clementina streets.

West side Fifth street, north of Tehama street.

East side Fifth street, north of Howard street.

Front of 1818 Ninth avenue.

Remove 600 *M. R.*

East side Fifth street, first south of Market street.

East side Fifth street, first north of Jessie street.

Northeast corner Fifth and Minna streets.

Southwest corner Fifth and Stevenson streets.

West side Fifth street, first north of Mission street.

Southwest corner Fifth and Mission streets.

Northwest corner Fifth and Natoma streets.

Install 600 *C. P. Ornamental Brackets.*

West side Fifth street, first pole north of Stevenson street.

Southwest corner Fifth and Stevenson streets.

East and west sides Fifth street, first pole south of Stevenson street.

East side Fifth street, first pole north of Jessie street.

Southwest corner Fifth street and Mint avenue.

East and west sides Fifth street, first pole south of Jessie street.

Northwest corner Fifth and Mission streets.

Southwest corner Fifth and Mission streets.

East side Fifth streets, first south of Mission street.

Northeast and northwest corners Fifth and Minna streets.

East side Fifth street, first south of Minna street.

Northwest corner Fifth and Natoma streets.

East side Fifth street, second north of Howard street.

Northwest and southeast corners Fifth and Howard streets.

West side Fifth street, first north of Howard street.

East side Fifth street, first south of Tehama street.

West side Fifth street, first north of Clementina street.

East side Fifth street, first south of Clementina street.

West side Fifth street, first north of Folsom street.

Install 400 *M. R.*

Forty-fifth avenue between Taraval and Santiago streets.

Rhode Island and Twentieth streets.

Laidley street between Thirtieth and Noe streets.

Noe street between Thirtieth and Laidley streets.

Sacramento street between Mason and Cushman streets.

Twenty-third avenue and Moraga street.

Twenty-fifth avenue and Moraga street.

South side Clay street, front of No. 2635.

Sixteenth avenue and Ortega street.

Thirty-ninth avenue between Judah and Kirkham streets.

Thirty-ninth avenue and Judah street.

Thirty-ninth avenue between Irving and Judah streets.

Thirtieth avenue and Anza street.

Twenty-fourth avenue and Santiago street.

Change 250 *M. R. to* 400 *M. R.*

San Carlos avenue and Sycamore street.

Remove 400 *M. R.*

Northeast corner Diamond street and Circular avenue.

Remove 600 *M. R.*

Northeast corner Haight and Baker streets.

Install 400 *C. P. O. B.*

Church street between Twenty-seventh and Army streets.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Herz, Kent, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Badaracco, McSheehy, Shannon—3.

Passed for Printing.

The following bill was *passed for printing*:

Amending Order No. 214, Underground Wires and Conduits.

On motion of Supervisor Stanton:

Bill No. 8318, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Order No. 214 (Second Series), entitled "Providing for placing wires and conduits under-

ground in the City and County of San Francisco," by adding a new section thereto, to be known as Section 1s.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1s. An additional district to those heretofore described within which it shall be unlawful to maintain poles and overhead wires after April 1, 1928, is hereby designated, to-wit:

Underground District No. 24—Buena Vista avenue, from the southerly line of Park Hill avenue to the easterly line of Upper Terrace (approximately 1275 feet).

Approval of Taxicab Stand Permits.

Supervisor Schmidt presented:

Resolution No. 28186 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following permits for taxicab stands are hereby approved:

Aetna Meter Cab Company, 509 O'Farrell street; Gray Cab Company, 108 Taylor street; Western Cab Company, 1215 McAllister street; Yellow-Checker Cab Company, 2291 Third street, 256 Pine street and 2246 Lombard street.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Herz, Kent, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Badaracco, McSheehy, Shannon—3.

Action Deferred.

The following bill was, on motion, *laid over one week and made a Special Order first after recess*:

Fireproof Roofing Limits.

Bill No. ——, Ordinances No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Section 4 of Ordinance No. 1008 (New Series), approved December 22, 1909, and known as "The Building Law," relating to the fireproof roonng limits.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Section 4 of Ordinance No. 1008 (New Series), approved December 22, 1909, is hereby amended to read as follows:

Fireproof Roofing Limits.

Section 4. The roofs of all buildings hereafter constructed within the limits hereinafter in this section described shall consist of fireproof materials, and whenever the covering of the roof or roofs of any building or buildings heretofore constructed within the said limits, shall, in the judgment of the Board of Public Works, be or become damaged through fire, decay or otherwise, to the exent of twenty (20) per centum of the value of the said covering of the roof or roofs, then the said covering of the roof or roofs shall be reconstructed of or replaced with fireproof materials. Said fireproof materials shall consist of the same materials required for the roof covering of all buildings erected within the fire limits of the City and County.

Subsection 1. Said limits shall be bounded by a line commencing at the intersection of the shore line of the Bay of San Francisco with the easterly boundary of the Presidio Reservation; thence southerly and westerly along the boundary line of the Presidio Reservation to the shore line of the Pacific Ocean; thence along the shore line of the Pacific Ocean westerly and southerly to its intersection with the County line; thence easterly along said County line to its intersection with Mission street; thence along the center line of Mission street to its intersection with Twenty-fifth street; thence easterly along the center line of Twenty-fifth street to the center line of Potrero avenue; thence along the center line of Potrero avenue to the center line of Division street; thence along the center line of Division street to the center line of King street; thence northeasterly along the center line of King street to the center line of Seventh street; thence southerly along the center line of Seventh street to the center line of Channel street; thence northerly along the center line of Channel street to the shore line of the Bay of San Francisco; thence following the shore line of the Bay of San Francisco to the point of commencement.

Subsection 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Repealing Ordinance No. 7727 (New Series), Providing for the Improvement of Ortega and Lawton Streets.

On motion of Supervisor Marks:

Bill No. 8319, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Repealing Ordinance No. 7727 (New Series), approved October 7, 1927, ordering the improvement of Ortega street from Ninth to Tenth avenues and of Lawton street from Eleventh to Twelfth avenues.

Be it ordained by the People o the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 7727

(New Series), ordering the improvement of Ortega street from Ninth to Tenth avenues and of Lawton street from Eleventh to Twelfth avenues, by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks six (6) feet in width, is hereby repealed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Fixing Sidewalk Widths on Certain Streets.

Also, Bill No. 8320, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks", approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto new sections to be numbered ten hundred and two to ten hundred and seven, inclusive.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks", approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Board of Public Works, filed in this office November 17, 1927, by adding thereto new sections to be numbered ten hundred and two to ten hundred and seven, inclusive, to read as follows:

Section 1002. The width of sidewalks on Justin drive between College avenue and its southerly termination southerly from Genebern way shall be fifteen (15) feet.

Section 1003. The width of sidewalks on Benton avenue between southerly termination southerly from Genebern way and its easterly termination easterly from Justin drive shall be twelve (12) feet.

Section 1004. The width of sidewalks on Murray street between Mission street and Justin drive shall be fifteen (15) feet.

The width of sidewalks on Murray street between Justin drive and Crescent avenue shall be twelve (12) feet.

Section 1005. The width of sidewalks on Genebern way between Justin drive and College avenue shall be fifteen (15) feet.

Section 1006. The width of sidewalks on College avenue between Crescent avenue and Justin drive shall be fourteen (14) feet.

Section 1007. The width of sidewalks on Agnon avenue between Justin drive and Crescent avenue shall be twelve (12) feet.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change in walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Changing Grades, Certain Streets.

Also, Bill No. 8321, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Changing and reestablishing the official grades on Fourteenth avenue between Vicente and Wawona streets.

Also, Bill No. 8322, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Changing and reestablishing the official grades on Lane street between Palou and Quesada avenues.

Also, Bill No. 8323, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Changing and reestablishing the official grades on De Haro street between Seventeenth and Mariposa streets.

Also, Bill No. 8324, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Changing and reestablishing the official grades on Foerster street between Thirty-third street and a line parallel with the northerly line of Mangels avenue and 14.50 feet southerly therefrom; on Mangels avenue along the northerly line of, between points respectively 10 feet easterly and 10 feet westerly from Foerster street, and on Melrose avenue between the easterly and westerly lines of Foerster street.

Also, Bill No. 8325, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Changing and reestablishing the official grades on Twentieth street between Vermont and Utah streets, and on San Bruno avenue between Twentieth street and a line parallel with and 54.18 feet northerly therefrom.

Also, Bill No. 8326, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Changing and reestablishing the official grades on Harrison street between Fifteenth street (from the west) and Sixteenth street, and on Fifteenth street between Alabama and Harrison streets.

Spur Track Permit, Western Pacific Railroad Company.

Also, Bill No. 8327, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Granting permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to Western Pacific Railroad Company, a corporation, to construct, maintain and operate a spur track on Langton street between Bryant and Brannan streets, as hereinafter described.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, is hereby granted to the Western Pacific Railroad Company, a corpor-

ation, to construct, maintain and operate a spur track, as follows:

Beginning at a point of switch in center line of existing track of the Western Pacific Railroad Company in Langton street, distant southeasterly thereon 64.0 feet from the southeasterly line of Bryant street, thence southeasterly along a turnout to the right a distance of 63.7 feet; thence along a 20-degree curve to the right, having a radius of 286.54 feet, a distance of 14.33 feet; thence continuing southeasterly 57.6 feet; thence along a 25-degree curve to the left, having a radius of 229.26 feet, a distance of 40.0 feet, to a point distant 8½ feet northeasterly, measured at a right angle to the southwesterly line of said Langton street; thence southeasterly 8½ feet from and parallel with said line of Langton street, a distance of 112.57 feet, to a point of termination.

Said permission is granted subject to the provisions of Ordinance No. 69 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors, approved October 12, 1906, and the provisions and conditions of Section 8 thereof are hereby specifically contained in the permit hereby granted and shall be construed as a part hereof as completely as though the same were written in this ordinance.

Provided, that said spur track shall be laid under the supervision and to the lines and grades as furnished by the City Engineer's office; that girder rail be used in construction; and that any and all expenses connected with the installation of the track, restoration of the pavement and any additional requirements for the surface drainage be paid for by the Western Pacific Railroad Company.

Provided, that the Western Pacific Railroad Company shall erect and maintain all-night lighted arc lamps, to be placed where directed by the Lighting Committee of the Board of Supervisors.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Fixing Sidewalk Widths, Certain Streets.

Also, Bill No. 8328, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto new sections to be numbered nine hundred and eighty-five to one thousand and one, inclusive.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Board of Public Works filed in this office November 17, 1927, by adding thereto new sections to be numbered nine hundred and eighty-five to one thousand and one, inclusive.

Section 985. The width of sidewalks on Santiago street between Fifteenth avenue and Sixteenth avenue shall be six (6) feet.

The width of sidewalks on Santiago street between Sixteenth avenue and Seventeenth avenue shall be eleven (11) feet.

Section 986. The width of sidewalks on Fifteenth avenue between Rivera street and Santiago street shall be twelve (12) feet.

Section 987. The width of sidewalks on Cecilia avenue between Rivera street and Sixteenth avenue shall be twelve (12) feet.

Section 988. The width of sidewalks on Sixteenth avenue between Rivera street and Cecilia avenue shall be twelve (12) feet.

The width of sidewalks on Sixteenth avenue between Cecilia avenue and Taraval street shall be fifteen (15) feet.

Section 989. The width of sidewalks on Fillmore street between Chestnut street and the Marina boulevard shall be twenty (20) feet.

Section 990. The width of sidewalks on the Marina boulevard between Fillmore street and Scott street shall be twenty (20) feet.

Section 991. The width of sidewalks on Casa way between the Marina boulevard and Retiro way shall be six (6) feet.

Section 992. The width of sidewalks on Rico way between Retiro way and Avila street shall be six (6) feet.

Section 993. The width of sidewalks on Avila street between Prado street and Casa way shall be six (6) feet.

The width of sidewalks on Avila street between Chestnut street and Prado street shall be five (5) feet.

Section 994. The width of sidewalks on Retiro way between Beach street and Fillmore street shall be six (6) feet.

Section 995. The width of sidewalks on Cervantes boulevard between Scott street and Fillmore street shall be fifteen (15) feet.

Section 996. The width of sidewalks on Prado street between Scott street and Cervantes boulevard shall be twelve (12) feet.

Section 997. The width of sidewalks on Capra way between Scott street and Mallorca way shall be five (5) feet.

Section 998. The width of sidewalks on Alhambra street between Scott street and Cervantes boulevard shall be fifteen (15) feet.

Section 999. The width of sidewalks on Mallorca way between Beach street and Alhambra street shall be six (6) feet.

The width of sidewalks on Mallorca way between Alhambra street and Chestnut street shall be eight (8) feet.

Section 1000. The width of sidewalks on Toledo way between Pierce street and Mallorca way shall be eight (8) feet.

Section 1001. The width of sidewalks on Pierce street between Beach street and Chestnut street shall be eight (8) feet.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Also, Bill No. 8329, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered ten hundred and nine.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Board of Public Works filed in this office November 30, 1927, by adding thereto a new section, to be numbered ten hundred and nine, to read as follows:

Section 1009. The width of sidewalks on Charter Oak avenue between Augusta street and Helena street shall be thirty (30) feet.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect and be in force from and after its passage.

Also, Bill No. 8330, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered ten hundred and eight.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Board of Public Works filed in this office November 17, 1927, by adding thereto a new section, to be numbered ten hundred and eight, to read as follows:

Section 1008. The width of sidewalks on Otsego avenue between Onondaga avenue and Seneca avenue shall be ten (10) feet.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Approving Map, Portion Market Street Homestead, Nineteenth Street Between Clover Heights and Yukon Street.

Supervisor Marks presented:

Resolution No. 28187 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the Board of Public Works did, by Resolution No. 97925 (Second Series), approve grade map of a portion of Market Street Homestead showing the change and establishment of official grades on Nineteenth street between the west boundary line of Clover Heights Tract and Yukon street; on Yukon street between Caselli avenue and Short street; on Eagle street between Yukon street and a line at right angles to the northerly line of, 20.80 feet westerly from Mono street, and on Short street between Yukon and Market streets; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That grade map of a portion of Market Street Homestead showing the change and establishment of official grades on Nineteenth street between the west boundary line of Clover Heights Tract and Yukon street; on Yukon street between Caselli avenue and Short street; on Eagle street betwen Yukon street and a line at right angles to the northerly line of, 20.80 feet westerly from Mono street, and on Short street between Yukon and Market streets be and the same is hereby approved.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Herz, Kent, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Badaracco, McSheehy, Shannon—3.

Cancellation of Certificate of Sale, Assessment for Widening of Circular Avenue.

Supervisor Marks presented:

Resolution No. 28188 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the Board of Public Works has reported that certain lots struck off and sold to the City and County of San Francisco, as purchaser, at an auction sale August 30, 1917, in connection with the assessment for the widening of Circular avenue between Diamond street and Sunnyside avenue, have been redeemed and the full redemption price has been paid; therefore, be it

Resolved, That, in acordance with the recommendation of said Board of Public Works, the original certificate of sale of the said lots of land be and the same are hereby cancelled. Certificate and subdivision numbers on report and plat on file in the office of the Board of Public Works.

Certificate No. 158, Subdivision No. 590; Certificate No. 159, Subdivision No. 591; Certificate No. 166, Subdivision No. 610; Certificate No. 285, Subdivision No. 1218; Certificate No. 18, Subdivision No. 100; Certificate No. 126, Subdivision No. 406.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Herz, Kent, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Badaracco, McSheehy, Shannon—3.

Cancellation of Certificate of Sale, City Land Association Tract Street Extensions.

Supervisor Marks presented:

Resolution No. 28189 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the Board of Public Works reported that certain lots struck off and sold to the City and County of San Francisco, as purchaser, at an auction sale September 3, 1914, in connection with the assessment for the extension of streets in the City Land Association into Holloway avenue, have been redeemed and the full redemption price has been paid; therefore, be it

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of said Board of Public Works, the original certificate of sale of the following lots of land be and they are hereby cancelled:

Certificate and lot numbers on report and plat on file in the office of the Board of Public Works: Certificate No. 14, Lot No. 22; Certificate No. 61, Lot No. 22; Certificate No. 62, Lot No. 23; Certificate No. 100, Lot No. 47; Certificate No. 101, Lot No. 48; Certificate No. 97, Lot No. 43; Certificate No. 98, Lot No. 44; Certificate No. 99, Lot No. 45; Certificate No. 47, Lot No. 68; Certificate No. 48, Lot No. 69.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Herz, Kent, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Badaracco, McSheehy, Shannon—3.

Passed for Printing.

The following bill was *passed for printing*:

Repealing Ordinance No. 7729 (New Series), Ordering Sidewalk Construction, Twenty-sixth Avenue.

On motion of Supervisor Marks:

Bill No. 8331, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Repealing Ordinance No. 7729 (New Series), approved October 7, 1927, ordering the construction of sidewalks on Twenty-sixth avenue between Judah and Kirkham streets, where not already laid.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 7729 (New Series), approved October 7, 1927, ordering the construction of sidewalks on Twenty-sixth avenue between Judah and Kirkham streets where not already laid is hereby repealed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Accepting Deed for Streets and Alleys, Miraloma Park.

Supervisor Marks presented:

Resolution No. 28190 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the deed executed on the 15th day of September, 1927, between California Pacific Title and Trust Company, a corporation, and the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, to lands in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, described as follows, to-wit:

Parcels 1 to 42, both inclusive, as said parcels are shown and delineated upon that certain licensed surveyor's map prepared by E. Elmore Hutchison, licensed surveyor, said map being composed of four sheets and bearing the title "Plat showing 42 parcels of land in Miraloma Park to be deeded to City and County of San Francisco by California Pacific Title and Trust Company for opening of streets and alleys," which map has been filed for record in the office of the County Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco on September 14, 1927, recorded in Book L, pages 43 to 46, of Maps, reference being made

to said map for the particular location of the 42 parcels of land hereby conveyed, said map being referred to and made a part of this deed the same as if it were fully set forth herein, is hereby accepted.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Herz, Kent, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—14.

Absent—Supervisors Badaracco, McSheehy, Shannon—3.

(Supervisor Marks requested that he be recorded as excused from voting inasmuch as the applicant is his client.)

Accepting Deed, Stanford Heights Lot.

Supervisor Marks presented:

Resolution No. 28191 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the deed executed on the 28th day of October, 1927, between Spring Valley Water Company, a corporation, and the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, to lands described as follows, to-wit:

That portion of Block 68, Stanford Heights, as per map thereof filed July 18, 1891, in Book "E" and "F" of Maps, pages 154 and 155, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, described as follows, to-wit:

Beginning at the southeasterly corner of Block 68, Stanford Heights, thence along the southerly line of said Block 68 south 85 deg. 24 min. 56 sec. west 56.044 feet; thence north 24 deg. 44 min. east 88.295 feet; thence along the arc of a curve to the left with a radius of 100 feet tangent to the preceding course northeasterly 51.169 feet to the easterly line of said Block 68; thence along the easterly line of said Block 68 south 4 deg. 35 min. 4 sec. east 125.952 feet to the point of beginning, is hereby accepted.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Herz, Kent, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—14.

Absent—Supervisors Badaracco, McSheehy, Shannon—3.

(Supervisor Marks requested that he be recorded as excused from voting inasmuch as the applicant is his client.)

Approval of Bond, Meyer Bros., for Payment of Taxes, Miraloma Park.

Supervisor Marks presented:

Resolution No. 28192 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the bond filed with this Board by Meyer Bros., a California corporation, as principal, and Theodore G. Mayer and G. H. Winter, as sureties, in the sum of five hundred ($500) dollars, which sum is hereby fixed by this Board and conditioned for the payment of all taxes which are now a lien but not yet payable against any property described in deed dated September 15, 1927, executed by California Pacific Title and Trust Company, a corporation, and a deed dated October 28, 1927, executed by Spring Valley Water Company, a corporation, which said deeds convey to said City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, lands therein described for street purposes, is hereby approved.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Herz, Kent, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—14.

Absent—Supervisors Badaracco, McSheehy, Shannon—3.

(Supervisor Marks requested that he be recorded as excused from voting inasmuch as the applicant is his client.)

Accepting Deeds for Lands for Opening Streets, Castle Manor Subdivision.

Supervisor Marks presented:

Resolution No. 28193 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the deed executed on the 27th day of October, 1927, between I. M. Sommer and Fanny R. Sommer, his wife, and Gensler-Lee Investment Corporation, and the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, to lands for the opening of streets as shown on map of Castle Manor, San Francisco, California, which are not already public streets approved by the Board of Public Works by Resolution No. 99859 (Second Series), is hereby accepted.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Herz, Kent, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Badaracco, McSheehy, Shannon—3.

Passed for Printing.

The following resolution was *passed for printing*:

City Attorney to Commence Condemnation Proceedings for Lands in Jones' Addition, Fairmount Tract, for Bernal Cut Purposes.

Supervisor Marks presented:

Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, that public interest and necessity require the acquisition by the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, of the following property, situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, more particularly described as follows, to-wit:

Lot No. 35, Jones' Addition to Fairmount Tract, as per map thereof recorded July 27, 1892, in Book "E" and "F" of Maps, page 169, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California. Be it

Further Resolved, That said property is suitable, adaptable, necessary and required for the public use of the City and County of San Francisco, to-wit: For the opening of Bernal Cut. It is necessary that a fee simple title be taken for such use.

The City Attorney is hereby ordered and directed to commence proceedings in eminent domain against the owners of said tract of land and of any and all interests therein or claims thereto for the condemnation thereof for the public use of said City and County of San Francisco, as aforesaid.

This resolution shall be published in the official newspaper, with the ayes and noes, for at least five successive days, Sundays and legal holidays excepted, before the final action upon the same.

Intention to Change Grades.

Supervisor Marks presented:

Resolution No. 28194 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to change and establish grades on the following named streets at the points hereinafter specified and at the elevations above city base as hereinafter stated, in accordance with Resolution No. 99957 (Second Series) of the Board of Public Works, adopted November 30, 1927, and written recommendation of said Board filed December 2, 1927, to-wit:

On Vallejo street between Kearny and Montgomery streets.

The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that no assessment district is necessary, as no damage will result from said change of grades, inasmuch as the streets are ungraded and there are no existing street improvements.

The Board of Public Works is hereby directed to cause to be conspicuously posted along the street or streets upon which such change or modification of grade or grades is contemplated, notice of the passage of this resolution of intention.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Herz, Kent, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Badaracco, McSheehy, Shannon—3.

Intention to Change Grades.

Supervisor Marks presented:

Resolution No. 28195 (New Series) as follows:

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to change and establish grades on the following named streets at the points hereinafter specified and at the elevations above city base as hereinafter stated, in accordance with Resolution No. 99733 (Second Series) of the Board of Public Works, adopted November 14, 1927, and written recommendation of said Board filed November 17, 1927, to-wit:

On Stanyan street between the northerly line of McAllister street produced easterly and the southerly line of Fulton street; on McAllister street between the easterly line of Stanyan street produced northerly and a line parallel with Parsons street and 67.50 feet easterly therefrom, and on Fulton street at Stanyan street.

Resolution No. 18153 (New Series) is hereby repealed.

The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that no assessment district is necessary, as no damage will result from said change of grades, inasmuch as the streets are ungraded and there are no existing street improvements.

The Board of Public Works is hereby directed to cause to be conspicuously posted along the street or streets upon which such change or modification of grade or grades is contemplated, notice of the passage of this resolution of intention.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Herz, Kent, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Badaracco, McSheehy, Shannon—3.

Extensions of Time.

Supervisor Marks presented:

Resolution No. 28196 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Peter McHugh is hereby granted the following extensions of time to complete street work, viz.:

Ninety days' time from and after January 4, 1928, within which to complete the improvement of Forty-fourth avenue between Kirkham and Lawton streets where not already improved.

Ninety days' time from and after January 4, 1928, within which to complete the improvement of the crossings of Forty-third avenue and Kirkham street, and Forty-third avenue and Lawton street.

These extensions of time are granted for the reason that the work is well under way.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Herz, Kent, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Badaracco, McSheehy, Shannon—3.

Tentative Approval of Joint Highway District, Weed-Klamath Falls District.

Supervisor Marks presented:

Resolution No. 28197 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, a certified copy of a resolution adopted by the Board of Supervisors of the County of Siskiyou, proposing the creation of a Joint Highway District, has been received by this Board, which resolution is as follows:

"Resolved, by the Board of Supervisors of the County of Siskiyou, State of California:

(a) That public interest requires the construction of a public highway from a point on the California-Oregon line where the highway leading from Klamath Falls, Oregon, intersects said line; thence in a southerly direction to Weed, Siskiyou County, California, to intersect the Pacific Highway at Weed, California, which said highway will be constructed in the County of Siskiyou, State of California;

(b) That the Counties of Siskiyou, Shasta, Tehama, Butte, Sutter, Glenn, Colusa, Yolo, Contra Costa, Alameda, Sacramento and San Francisco, all in the State of California, are interested in and will be benefited by said highway construction;

(c) That for the purpose of constructing said highway it is proposed to create a Joint Highway District composed of the counties above named."

Whereas, it is deemed advisable that such Joint Highway District be created and organized; therefore, be it

Resolved, by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, that the proposition to create and organize a Joint Highway District, as proposed in the foregoing resolution, be given a tentative approval.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Herz, Kent, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Badaracco, McSheehy, Shannon—3.

Approval of Map, Castle Manor Subdivision.

Supervisor Marks presented:

Resolution No. 28198 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the Board of Public Works did, by Resolution No. 99859 (Second Series), approve a map of Castle Manor, San Francisco, California; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the map of Castle Manor, San Francisco, California, is hereby approved.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Herz, Kent, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Badaracco, McSheehy, Shannon—3.

Approval of Bonds to Secure Payment of Taxes, Castle Manor Subdivision.

Supervisor Marks presented:

Resolution No. 28199 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the bond filed with this Board by I. M. Sommer, as principal, and B. Heskins and Leon Schneider, as sureties, in the sum of one thousand ($1,000) dollars, which sum is hereby fixed by this Board and conditioned for the payment of all taxes which are now a lien but not yet payable against the property as shown on map of Castle Manor, San Francisco, is hereby approved.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Herz, Kent, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Badaracco, McSheehy, Shannon—3.

Action Deferred.

The following resolution was *laid over one week*:

Award of Contract, Crockery.

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of contract be hereby made to Charles Brown & Sons on bid submitted October 10, 1927 (Proposal No. 323), for furnishing the following, viz.:

Crockery: To be vitrified porcelain hotel crockery, "Shenango." Every article to bear the impress of the manufacturer. The descriptions given below relate to "Shenango Pottery Company's Price List No. 14," issued April 1, 1922.

Part I—For San Francisco Hospital: Vitrified china, first selection, two green line decoration.

(Item No.; quantity required; article; catalogue page No.; No. in catalogue; price per dozen 90-day delivery.)

1—300 dozen cups, coffee, Phila. welded handle (25 4-140), $2.23.

2—300 dozen fruits, coupe, roll edge 5⅛ inch (18 Chad), $1.33.

3—100 dozen plates, flat, roll edge, 9 inch (21 11-261), $3.34.

4—100 dozen saucers, Phila., ex. tea, roll edge (24 Rap), $1.53.

5—200 dozen plates, flat, roll edge, 6⅝ inch (21 11-261), $1.83.

6—50 dozen salad bowls, indv. 6 inch (22 8-220), $2.98.

7—5 dozen sugar bowls, covered, 30s globe, 17 oz. (23 3-95), $6.72.

8—5 dozen celery trays, 10⅞ inch (13 9-237), $9.49.

9—5 dozen shell pickles, No. 2, 8½ inch (21 9-236), $5.69.

10—50 dozen soup bowls, half thick, 5⅛ inch (12 5-181), $3.03.

11—6 dozen pitchers, 6s, roll edge, 3 quarts (19 3-107), $31.63.

Note: Above dimensions are over all.

Part II—For Laguna Honda Home: Vitrified china, first selection, plain white.

12—50 dozen cups, coffee, Phila. weld. hdle (25 4-140), $2.03.

13—50 dozen saucers, Sontum, roll edge (24 Rapid), 99c.

14—50 dozen plates, flat, roll edge, over all 9 inch (21 11-261), $2.14.

15—100 dozen soup bowls, 30s, low foot, 6 inch over all (12 5-170), $1.95.

16—50 dozen custards, wheat, unhandled, 6 ounce (16 2-67), $1.71.

Memo: Total value, $3,059.28.

Resolved, That a bond in the sum of $500 be required for the faithful performance of said contract.

Note: All above awards are made to the lowest bidder except when award is made in consideration of deliveries or on account of the quality as determined by such tests as required or recommended by the Purchaser of Supplies.

Resolved, That all other bids submitted hereon be rejected.

Award of Contract, Lithographing Paychecks.

Supervisor Badaracco presented:

Resolution No. 28200 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of contract be hereby made to Halpin Lithograph Company, Inc., on bid submitted December 5, 1927 (Proposal No. 341), for furnishing the following, viz.: 218,466 pay checks (lithographed warrants), for the sum of $660.

Resolved, That a bond in the sum of $200 be required for the faithful performance of said contract.

Resolved, That all other bids submitted thereon be rejected.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Herz, Kent, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Badaracco, McSheehy, Shannon—3.

Action Deferred.

The following resolution was *laid over one week*:

Award of Contract, Pedestrian Lane Markers.

Resolution No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of contract be hereby made to Welded Products Company, Inc., on bid submitted June 20, 1927 (Proposal No. 307), for furnishing the following, viz.: 60,000 pedestrian lane markers, either 12-gauge, at $0.0725 each, or 10-gauge, at $0.078 each, as per samples submitted and as the Traffic Committee of the Board of Supervisors may determine.

Resolved, That said contractor shall be required to sign a contract to be drawn by the City Attorney and said contractor shall file same with a bond in the sum of $1,000 for the faithful performance of this contract.

Note—All above awards are made to the lowest bidder except where award is made in consideration of deliveries or on account of the quality as determined by such tests as required or recommended by the Purchaser of Supplies.

Resolved, That all other bids submitted hereon be rejected.

Board of Public Works to Erect Barrier on 20-foot Strip of City Property, Rear of Pantages Theater.

Supervisor Havenner presented:

Resolution No. 28162 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the City and County of San Francisco is the owner of certain real property situated in the Civic Center, east of Hyde street, and lying between the southerly line of Fulton street as extended and the property line of privately-owned property having its frontage on Market street; and

Whereas, the owners of said parcels of property fronting on Market street claim the right of ingress and egress over and on the property of the City and County of San Francisco hereinbefore mentioned; and

Whereas, action should be forthwith taken to prevent the owners of said property acquiring any right of ingress or egress over the said property hereinbefore referred to; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Public Works be, and it is hereby directed to forthwith, by the erection of a proper barrier upon the above described property belonging to the City and County, prevent the owners of the said parcels of property fronting on Market street from having ingress or egress over the property of the City and County of San Francisco, to or from their buildings or property fronting on Market street.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Herz, Kent, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Badaracco, McSheehy, Shannon—3.

Board of Public Works to Prepare Plans, etc., and Make Demand on Wm. B. Wagnon That Pantages Building Conform Architecturally With Civic Center.

Supervisor Havenner presented:

Resolution No. 28201 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the City and County of San Francisco, on the 26th day of February, 1925, conveyed to William B. Wagnon a certain lot or tract of land lying within the Civic Center of the City and County of San Francisco; and

Whereas, said conveyance was made to said Wagnon upon the express understanding thta said Wagnon would finish the construction of the building commonly known and designated as the Pantages Building in conformity with plans and specifications to be prepared under the direction of the Board of Public Works so that the said building when completed would in general effect conform with the architecture of the municipal buildings within the Civic Center; and

Whereas, said William B. Wagnon has constructed said building and has not completed the same so that the architecture thereof will conform with the municipal buildings in said Civic Center; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Public Works be, and it is hereby directed, to prepare plans and specifications so that the architecture of the said Pantages Building may be made to conform with the architecture of the municipal buildings in the Civic Center, and that when said plans and specifications are completed that said Board of Public Works make demand upon said William B. Wagnon that he forthwith proceed with the work and labor on said Pantages Building in accordance with the said plans and specifications, so that the architecture of said building may be made to conform with the architecture of the municipal buildings in said Civic Center.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Herz, Kent, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Badaracco, McSheehy, Shannon—3.

Passed for Printing.

The following bill was *passed for printing*:

Boulevard Bonds.

On motion of Supervisor Havenner:

Bill No. 8332, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Providing for the issuance and redemption of bonds of the City and County of San Francisco to the amount of nine million three hundred and eighty thousand ($9,380,000) dollars for the acquisition, construction and completion of the permanent improvements, to-wit: Certain improved routes for public highway purposes in the City and County of San Francisco, including approaches, structures, pavements, curbs, sidewalks, sewers, drainage facilities, the readjustment of intersecting and adjacent streets and other improvements, and the purchase of the necessary lands with respect to each of the boulevards.

Whereas, a special election was held in the City and County of San

Francisco on the 8th day of November, 1927, in accordance with the provisions and requirements of Ordinance No. 7738 (New Series), calling and providing for such election, and Resolution No. 27924 (New Series), giving notice thereof, reference to said ordinance and resolution for further particulars being here made; and

Whereas, it has been determined by Resolution No, 28157 (New Series), reference thereto for further particulars being here made, that at such special election more than two-thirds of the votes cost thereat were cast and counted as being in favor of and to authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness for the purpose and to the amount stated in the proposition submitted thereat;

Now, therefore, be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. That bonds of the City and County of San Francisco be issued in accordance with the result of the special election held in said City and County on the 8th day of November, 1927, and the provisions of said Charter of said City and County and with Ordinance No. 7738 (New Series) and Resolution No. 28157 (New Series), as follows, to-wit:

That bonds of the City and County of San Francisco be issued to the amount of nine million three hundred and eighty thousand ($9,380,000) dollars for the purpose of the acquisition, construction and completion of a permanent improvement, to-wit: Certain improved routes for public highway purposes in the City and County of San Francisco, as follows: A boulevard to be known as the Bay Shore Highway from the vicinity of Army street, Potrero avenue and San Bruno avenue along San Bruno avenue widened to Cortland avenue, thence along a new right of way to Silver avenue and Charter Oak avenue, thence along Charter Oak avenue widened to Paul avenue, thence along a route paralleling San Bruno avenue between San Bruno avenue and Third street to the vicinity of San Bruno avenue and Sunnydale avenue and the county line, or the most feasible route or routes; a boulevard to be known as Alemany boulevard from the vicinity of Cortland avenue and San Bruno avenue and the proposed Bay Shore Highway, thence in a southerly and westerly direction following the general course of the old Ocean Shore right of way to a connection with the Junipero Serra boulevard near Palmetto avenue, or the most feasible route or routes; a boulevard to be known as the Junipero Serra boulevard from the vicinity of Sloat boulevard and Portola drive, thence in a general southerly direction widening and straightening the existing roadway of the present Junipero Serra boulevard to the county line near the vicinity of Belle avenue, or the most feasible route or routes; a boulevard to be known as Nineteenth avenue extended southerly crossing old Ocean Avenue and paralleling on each side the extension of the Municipal Railway running southerly and southeasterly to Junipero Serra boulevard, or the most feasible route or routes; a boulevard to be known as the Sunset boulevard commencing at Lincoln way between Twentieth avenue and Thirty-seventh avenue and running in a southerly direction between said Twentieth avenue and said Thirty-seventh avenue, or such avenues included or extended, to Sloat boulevard, or the most feasible route or routes; a boulevard or beach frontage improvement to be known as the Esplanade commencing in the vicinity of the Great Highway and Fulton street and running in a general southerly direction along and upon said Great Highway to Lincoln way of the same type of construction as the previously completed Esplanade; an improvement of the Great Highway from Lincoln way southerly to Sloat boulevard; a boulevard to be known as the Van Ness avenue extension from the vicinity of Mission street and Twelfth street extended southerly to Howard street and Thirteenth street, or the most feasible route or routes, including approaches, structures, pavements, curbs, sidewalks, sewers, drainage facilities, the readjustment of intersecting and adjacent streets and other improvements, and the purchase of the necessary lands with respect to each of the said boulevards or beach frontage improvements.

Bonds issued for the purpose stated shall be called "Boulevard Bond Issue," and numbered from 1 to 9380, both inclusive, and shall be payable four hundred and sixty-nine thousand ($469,000) dollars thereof five years from the date of said bonds, beginning with the lowest number, and four hundred and sixty-nine thousand ($469,000) dollars thereof of the next higher numbers on the same day in each succeeding year until all of said bonds shall be paid.

Section 2. All of the bonds is-

sued as herein provided shall be of the form and character known as "serials." All of said bonds shall be dated November 1, 1927, shall bear interest at the rate of four and one-half per centum per annum, payable semi-annually on the first day of May and November of each year until the maturity thereof; shall be of the denomination of $1,000 each, and the principal and interest thereof shall be payable in gold coin of the United States at the office of the Treasurer of said City and County, or, at the option of the holder, at the fiscal agency of said City and County in the City and State of New York.

Section 3. Said bonds shall be signed by the Mayor and by the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco, countersigned by the Auditor, and attested by the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors with the seal of eaid City and County.

Said bonds shall be in substantially the following form:

The United States of America.
State of California.
City and County of San Francisco.
Boulevard Bond Issue.

No. $1,000.00

For value received, the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, organized and existing under the laws of the State of California, hereby acknowledges itself indebted and promises to pay to the bearer, on the first day of ———, 19—, one thousand dollars, with interest thereon at the rate of 4½ per centum per annum, payable semi-annually May 1 and November 1, on presentation and surrender of the coupons hereto attached as they respectively become due, both principal and interest being payable in gold coin of the United States at the office of the Treasurer of said City and County, or, at the option of the holder, at the fiscal agency of said City and Couty of San Francisco in the City and State of New York.

This bond is issued under and pursuant to the Constitution and statutes of the State of California and the Charter of said City and County of San Francisco and amendments thereto, and under and pursuant to ordinances and proceedings of said City and County duly adopted and taken, and a vote and assent of more than two-thirds of all the qualified electors of said City and County voting at a special election duly and legally called and held for that purpose.

It is hereby certified, recited and declared that all acts, conditions and things required by law to exist, happen and be performed precedent to and in the issuance of this bond have existed, happened and been performed in due time, form and manner as required by law, and that the amount of this bond, together with all other indebtedness of said City and County, does not exceed any limit prescribed by the Constitution or statutes of said State or Charter of said City and County, and that provision has been made as required by the Constitution and statutes of said State and the Charter of said City and County for the collection of an annual tax sufficient to pay the interest on this bond as it falls due, and also provision to constitute a sinking fund for the payment of the principal of this bond on or before maturity. The full faith and credit of said City and County are hereby pledged for the punctual payment of the principal and interest of this bond.

This bond may be converted into a registered bond upon presentation to the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco, in which event such Treasurer shall cut off and cancel the coupons of this bond, and shall sign a statement stamped, printed or written upon the back or face of the bond to the effect that this bond is registered in the name of the owner and that thereafter the interest and principal of this bond are payable to the registered owner. Thereafter and from time to time this bond may be transferred by such registered owner in person or by attorney duly authorized on presentation of this bond to the Treasurer, and the bond be again registered as before, a similar statement being stamped, printed or written thereon.

This bond is exempt from all taxation within the State of California.

In witness whereof, said City and County of San Francisco has caused this bond to be executed under its corporate seal, signed by its Mayor and Treasurer, and countersigned by its Auditor, and has caused the interest coupons hereto attached to be signed with the engraved or lithographed signature of its Treasurer, and this bond to be dated the first day of November, 1927.

....., Mayor.

....., Treasurer.

Countersigned:

....., Auditor.

....., Attest: Clerk of the Board of Supervisors. (Seal)

Section 4. Interest coupons shall be attached to each bond to the number of twice the number of years such bond will run until the maturity thereof, and the coupons shall be of such a sum as will amount to the interest due on such bond for six months. Such coupons shall be numbered from one upwards and shall state the name and number of the bond to which it is attached. Such coupons shall bear the facsimile signature of the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco and be substantially in the following form:

FORM OF COUPON.

No. —— $——

On ————1, 19—, the City and County of San Francisco, California, will pay to the bearer at the office of the Treasurer of said City and County, or at the option of the holder at the fiscal agency of the City and County of San Francisco, in the City and State of New York, ———— dollars ($——) in gold coin of the United States, being six months' interest then due on its "Boulevard Bond Issue," dated November 1, 1927, number ———.

.....,
Treasurer.

Section 5. Any bond may be converted into a registered bond upon presentation to the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco, in which event such Treasurer shall cut off and cancel the coupons of this bond, and shall sign a statement stamped, printed or written upon the back or face of the bond to the effect that the bond is registered in the name of the owner and that thereafter the interest and principal of the bond are payable to the registered owner. Thereafter and from time to time the bond may be transferred by such registered owner in person or attorney duly authorized on presentation of the bond to the Treasurer, and the bond be again registered as before, a similar statement being stamped, printed or written thereon. Such registration shall be substantially in the following form:

FORM OF REGISTRATION.

San Francisco, ———, 19—

This bond is registered pursuant to Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, in the name of———— and the interest and principal thereof are hereafter payable to such owner.

.....,
Treasurer.

Section 6. For the purpose of providing for the payment of the principal and interest of said bonds, taxes shall be levied and collected as provided in Ordinance No. 7733 (New Series) and Resolution No. 27924 (New Series), and in furtherance of the purpose herein expressed the following fund is hereby created, to-wit: "Boulevard Bond Issue Interest and Redemption Fund."

Section 7. The Board of Supervisors shall sell said bonds at such times and in such amounts as it may determine. The proceeds arising from the sale of Boulevard Bond Issue shall be placed in the treasury to the credit of the "Boulevard Bond Issue Construction Fund," which shall be used exclusively for the purpose for which said bonds were issued.

Section 8. This ordinance shall take effect immediately. And the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors is hereby directed to advertise this bill and ordinance in the official newspaper, as required by law.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Herz, Kent, Marks, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—13.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Harrelson, McSheehy, Powers, Shannon—5.

Spring Valley Water Company Subdivision.

Supervisor Gallagher requested that when subdivision of Spring Valley Merced property is up that it be given careful consideration with a view to protecting the City's rights in the matter of streets and boulevards.

Refund of Hetch Hetchy Contract Taxes.

Supervisor Gallagher, with reference to a published statement in the Daily News to the effect that the City is not getting all it should from the Hetch Hetchy power contract, requested that the Finance Committee, at an early date, investigate and report upon the matter.

Supervisor Havenner declared that a study is now being made of the contract and taxes paid on gross income and that the City Attorney has been asked to advise whether or not the City is entitled to a refund of the taxes.

Death of Mrs. Lucretia I. Henkel, Founder of National Memorial Grove.

Supervisor Gallagher presented:

Resolution No. 28205 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, Almighty God in his infinite wisdom has called to eternal rest Mrs. Lucretia I. Henkel,

founder of the movement to establish the National Memorial Grove in Golden Gate Park in honor of the mothers of American soldiers of all wars; and

Whereas, her years of untiring and unselfish efforts in behalf of this most worthy and patriotic movement were finally crowned with success when many patriotic and veteran organizations participated in the dedicatory ceremonies attending the planting of trees from each state in the Union which were held in National Memorial Grove in Golden Gate Park on November 27th last; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors express its sincere sympathy and regret at the passing of this loyal and patriotic woman; and be it

Further Resolved, That copies of this resolution be forwarded to members of Mrs. Henkel's family and that when the Board adjourns it do so out of respect to her memory.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Herz, Kent, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Badaracco, McSheehy, Shannon—3.

(Supervisors Gallagher, Havenner, Herz, Kent, Colman and Schmidt were appointed as a committee to attend the funeral and represent the Board of Supervisors.)

Mayor's Unity Celebration, Civic Auditorium.

Supervisor Herz presented:

Resolution No. 28203 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That his Honor the Mayor be authorized to appoint a Citizens' Committee to arrange for a Unity Celebration in the City Hall, Civic Center, and Civic Auditorium, on the evening of Tuesday, January 3, 1928, without charge, for a "Get-together" of all the people of San Francisco, to usher in a great era for the advancement and improvement of our City—San Francisco.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Herz, Kent, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Badaracco, McSheehy, Shannon—3.

ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business, the Board at 6:30 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors January 3, 1928.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated, and approved as above recited.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors.
City and County of San Francisco.

PUBLIC LIBRARY

Vol. 22—New Series No. 51

Monday, December 19, 1927

Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
337 Bush Street, S. F.

JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MONDAY, DECEMBER 19, 1927, 10 A. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Monday, December 19, 1927, 10 a. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Herz, Kent, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton—14.

Absent — Supervisors Harrelson, McSheehy, Shannon, Todd—4.

Quorum present.

His Honor Mayor Rolph presiding.

APPROVAL OF JOURNALS.

The Journals of Proceedings of November 7 and 14, 1927, were considered read and approved.

ROLL CALL FOR PETITIONS FROM MEMBERS.

Telegram in re Democratic Convention.

Supervisor Hayden presented:

Chicago, Illinois, Dec. 19, 1927.

Hon. J. Emmet Hayden, City Hall.

George E. Brennan, Democratic National Committeeman, Illinois, favorably disposed toward San Francisco for many reasons. Chicago is not after convention, which he doubts. He gave me much valuable information which I will give to committee on my return Friday. Suggest this be read at Board meeting.

WARREN SHANNON.

Ordered *filed.*

Telegram in re Bridge Bill.

Washington, D. C., Dec. 16, 1927.

Franck R. Havenner, Supervisor, City Hall.

Following statement issued today by Senator: "The first meeting of the Commerce Committee was held today and I brought to the attention of the members the San Francisco Bridge Bill. A subcommittee on bridges was appointed, consisting of Dale, La Follette and Sheppard before whom, in the first instance, the San Francisco bridge matter will come. I explained to the committee as a whole the bill and briefly adverted to the opposition of the Navy Department. The bill, in its regular course, will be submitted for an opinion to the United States Engineers and to the Navy Department, but the committee acquiesced in my request that response should be full and in detail and that thereafter the opportunity should be accorded San Francisco to present not only its testimony in behalf of the measure but in refutation of the position which the Navy Department has taken."

M. CONNOR.

Ordered *filed.*

Electric Traffic Signal Request.

Petition, from Kent G. Winton and other business men, requesting installation of electric traffic signals be installed at Sixth and Howard streets.

Referred to Traffic Committee.

Movie Industry for San Francisco.

Supervisor Havenner presented:

Communication, from Pallas Photo-Plays, Inc., advising that said corporation intends, during the year 1928, to make twelve feature motion pictures with the background laid in San Francisco and vicinity and bespeaking cooperation of officials.

Referred to Public Welfare Committee.

Use of Vacant School Lands.

Supervisor Schmidt renewed his request that the Finance Committee confer with his Honor the Mayor with a view to profitable use of vacant or unnecessary school lands.

Supervisor Havenner requested Clerk to arrange for conference with Mayor.

Pasadena Tournament.

Supervisor Kent requested leave of absence to attend Pasadena Tournament of Roses two days in

advance of the event for purposes of investigation.

So ordered.

Relative to Alleged Withdrawal of Protest of Dominican Fathers, Harrison Garage.

Communication, from the Dominican Fathers, advising that Mr. Bateman was mistaken in his letter to Supervisor Hayden when he stated that the Dominican Fathers had withdrawn their protest for a garage at 2243 Bush street.

Read and ordered *filed.*

Letter of Appreciation, Colonel C. A. Lindbergh.

Communication, from his Honor the Mayor, transmitting letter from C. A. Lindbergh, expressing appreciation for medal and honor conferred as honorary citizen of San Francisco on the occasion of his recent flight.

Read and ordered *filed.*

Christmas Party, Shriners Crippled Children.

Communication, from Fred W. Roth, advising that the Exchange Clubs of San Francisco with other service clubs is giving a Christmas party to crippled children from the Shriners Hospital at the Clift Hotel at noon Tuesday, December 20, 1927, and inviting the Board of Supervisors to attend.

Read and *accepted.*

Withdrawal of Application for Zone Change.

Communication, from M. Nace, requesting permission to withdraw application for zone change at southwest corner Twenty-sixth avenue and El Camino Del Mar.

Request *granted.*

Congressional Investigation of Relations of Pacific Telephone and Telegraph Company, American Telephone and Telegraph Company and Western Electric Company.

Supervisor Havenner presented:

Resolution No. 28206 (New Serits), as follows:

Whereas, the Pacific Telephone and Telegraph Company has petitioned the Railroad Commission of the State of California to increase the telephone rates in San Francisco, Oakland, Berkeley, Alameda, Piedmont and other communities about San Francisco Bay; and

Whereas, this Board of Supervisors is advised by the City Attorney that the rates asked by the said Pacific Telephone and Telegraph Company are believed to be unfair and exorbitant, and that the rates paid in the communities referred to should be decreased instead of increased, and could be decreased and allow the Pacific Telephone and Telegraph Company to make a fair return on the capital it has invested, if the said Pacific Telephone and Telegraph Company operated its business without paying to the American Telephone and Telegraph Company of New York any percentage of its gross income yearly and be relieved of the necessity of purchasing all their supplies from the Western Electric Company, Incorporated, of the State of New York; and

Whereas, the Pacific Telephone and Telegraph Company operate and do business in the states of California, Washington, Oregon, Idaho and Nevada, and this Board is advised by the City Attorney in the states of Washington and Oregon have caused the people to pay excessive rates for telephone service, and will, if not restrained, seek to impose unjust, discriminatory and unfair telephone rates in all communities where they are engaged in business; now, therefore, be it Resolved:

Section 1. That Congress cause a federal investigation to be conducted of the affairs of the Pacific Telephone and Telegraph Company, the American Telephone and Telegraph Company and the Western Electric Company for the purpose of ascertaining if the relations between these companies constitute a monopoly in the selling of telephone service throughout the states of California, Washington, Oregon, Idaho and Nevada, and if they do so constitute a monopoly that action be taken by Congress to initiate a proper proceeding to terminate the relations between the American Telephone and Telegraph Company, Western Electric Company and the Pacific Telephone and Telegraph Company.

Section 2. The City Attorney is hereby directed to forward a copy of this resolution to United States Senators Hiram W. Johnson and Samuel M. Shortridge and all members of the House of Representatives from California, that they may take the necessary steps to cause the investigation hereby requested, and that the City Attorney is further directed to send copies of this resolution to all large cities in the states of California, Washington, Oregon, Idaho and Nevada, with the request that those cities pass resolutions by their Boards of Supervisors, Councilmen, Trustees or other governing boards, and that

such resolutions be forwarded to their representatives in Congress.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Herz, Kent, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton—14.

Absent — Supervisors Harrelson, McSheehy, Shannon, Todd—4.

UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

Final Passage.

The following matters, heretofore passed for printing, were taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Authorizations.

Resolution No. 28207 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

Tearing-Up Streets Fund.

(1) California Pottery Co., sidesewer pipe (claim dated Dec. 5, 1927), $525.

(2) General Fund, Budget Item 3-76, to reimburse for hauling expenditures (claim dated Dec. 1, 1927), $2,448.

Hetch Hetchy Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1925.

(For accounty of Hetch Hetchy Water Construction.)

(3) Forderer Cornice Works, galvanized iron skylights (claim dated Dec. 6, 1927), $587.

(4) Hales & Symons, Inc., lumber (claim dated Dec. 6, 1927) $1,208.03.

(5) Hercules Powder Co., explosives (claim dated Dec. 6, 1927), $5,656.88.

(6) Ingersoll-Rand Co. of California, machinery parts (claim dated Dec. 6, 1927), $672.62.

(7) J. H. McCallum, lumber (claim dated Dec. 6, 1927), $639.51.

(8) O. Rock, truck hire (claim dated Dec. 6, 1927), $923.48.

(9) Santa Cruz Portland Cement Co., cement (claim dated Dec. 6, 1927), $504.

(10) Sangamo Electric Co., meters and transformers (claim dated Dec. 6, 1927), $1,209.30.

(11) Santa Fe Lumber Co., lumber (claim dated Dec. 6, 1927), $724.41.

(12) United States Rubber Co., slickers and hats (claim dated Dec. 6, 1927), $869.75.

(13) Bald Eagle Meat Market, meats (claim dated Dec. 1, 1927), $1,081.02.

(14) Baker, Hamilton & Pacific Co., galvanized iron and hardware (claim dated Nov. 30, 1927), $1,805.63.

(15) Crane Co., lavatories, valves, etc. (claim dated Nov. 30, 1927), $856.79.

(16) A. M. Castle & Co., iron and steel (claim dated Nov. 30, 1927), $752.06.

(17) Gardner Electric Mfg. Co., repairing transformers (claim dated Nov. 30, 1927), $595.

(18) A. H. Gibbons, truck rental (claim dated Nov. 30, 1927), $912.

(19) The Giant Powder Co., Con., explosives (claim dated Nov. 30, 1927), $7,832.63.

(20) Delbert Hansen, truck hire (claim dated Nov. 30, 1927), $632.50.

(21) Ingersoll-Rand Co. of California, machinery parts (claim dated Nov. 30, 1927), $6,313.05.

(22) Ingersoll-Rand Co. of California, machinery parts (claim dated Nov. 30, 1927), $540.15.

(23) Santa Cruz Portland Cement Co., cement (claim dated Nov. 30, 1927), $607.25.

(24) Santa Fe Lumber Co., lumber (claim dated Nov. 30, 1927), $1,387.72.

(25) Wilsey Bennett Co., food supplies (claim dated Nov. 30, 1927), $600.23.

(26) R. A. Hansen, fuel oil, etc, (claim dated Dec. 7, 1927), $1,707.70.

Special School Tax.

(27) Knittle Bros., second payment, mechanical equipment for South Side High School (claim dated Dec. 6, 1927), $3,512.29.

Auditorium Fund.

(28) Selby C. Oppenheimer, services of Nina Morgana, soloist for production of "The Messiah" (claim dated Dec. 12, 1927), $600.

(29) J. L. Stuart Mfg. Co., theatrical sloat for organ curtain, Auditorium (claim dated Nov. 2, 1927), $695.

Sunset Tunnel Assessment Fund.

(30) Leonard F. Youdall and R. G. Clifford, extra work performed at west end of Sunset-Duboce avenue tunnel (claim dated Dec. 7, 1927), $5,050.47.

(31) Leonard F. Youdall and R. G. Clifford, nineteenth payment, construction of tunnel, etc., under Buena Vista Park (claim dated Dec. 7, 1927), $200,000.

Municipal Railway Depreciation Fund.

(32) Pacific States Electric Co., first payment, furnishing and delivering electric cables, Section C, Contract 156 (claim dated Dec. 5, 1927), $5,194.44.

(33) Robert W. Jamison, second payment, installing electric con-

ductors and appurtenances, Contract 158 (claim dated Dec. 5, 1927), $975.

Municipal Railway Accident Reserve Fund.

(34) F. Boeken, reimbursement of contingent fund for expenditures, per vouchers (claim dated Dec. 1, 1927), $549.50.

Municipal Railway Fund.

(35) Pacific Gas & Electric Co., furnishing and installing new 1,000,000 and new 500,000 C. M. cables, changes and connections for Municipal Railways (claim dated Dec. 1, 1927), $3,624.74.

(36) Fageol Motors Co., balance due on two six-cylinder auto street car safety coaches (claim dated Dec. 6, 1927), $2,529.26.

(37) Mack International Motor Truck Corporation, balance due on two 29-passenger Mack Duralyte busses (claim dated Dec. 6, 1927), $2,545.14.

School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923.

(38) Anderson & Ringrose, eighth payment, general construction of Hearst-Moulder School (claim dated Dec. 5, 1927), $26,122.50.

(39) John Reid, Jr., thirteenth payment, architectural services for Mission (Everett) Junior High School (claim dated Dec. 5, 1927), $1,289.18.

(40) John Reid, Jr., eighth payment, architectural services for Sherman School (claim dated Dec. 5, 1927), $535.05.

(41) John Reid, Jr., third payment, architectural services for South Side High School (claim dated Dec. 7, 1927), $1,083.

Park Fund.

(42) The McGilvray Raymond Granite Co., repairing and repainting band stand, Golden Gate Park (claim dated Dec. 9, 1927), $602.95.

County Road Fund.

(43) A. E. Hennessey, improvement of Turk street between Masonic and Parker avenues (claim dated Dec. 6, 1927), $9,649.78.

General Fund, 1927-1928.

(44) Irvine & Jachens, drivers' badges (licenses) (claim dated Dec. 12, 1927), $562.50.

(45) George H. Trask, book cases for Recorder (claim dated Dec. 12, 1927), $519.30.

(46) Fred J. Wilson, installation of Police Department communication system (claim dated Dec. 6, 1927), $9,996.83.

(47) James Rolph, Jr., Mayor's incidentals for months of November and December (claim dated Dec. 12, 1927), $1,047.50.

(48) Acme Gravel Co., gravel for street repair (claim dated Dec. 1, 1927), $813.43.

(49) Acme Gravel Co., gravel for street repair (claim dated Nov. 1, 1927), $873.69.

(50) Stephenson Construction Co., first payment, construction of San Francisco Municipal Airport, Contract 9 (claim dated Dec. 6, 1927), $1,875.

(51) James M. Smith, third payment, construction of San Francisco Municipal Airport, Contract 8 (claim dated Dec. 7, 1927), $3,000.

(52) Federal Construction Co., first payment, improvement of Bay street, Laguna to Fillmore streets (claim dated Dec. 6, 1927), $9,900.

(53) Frank J. McHugh, ninth payment, construction of sewers and appurtenances in westerly Sunset District, Section B, Contract 3 (claim dated Dec. 6, 1927), $13,500.

(54) Eclipse Lime & Cement Co., cement for street repair (claim dated Dec. 6, 1927), $3,901.35.

(55) Union Oil Co. of California, asphalt for street repair (claim dated Dec. 6, 1927), $4,001.91.

(56) Symon Bros., team hire, street cleaning (claim dated Dec. 6, 1927), $713.

(57) Spring Valley Water Co., water furnished public buildings (claim dated Dec. 6, 1927), $1,744.40.

(58) San Francisco Bulletin, official advertising, Board of Public Works (claim dated Dec. 7, 1927), $642.18.

(59) San Francisco Bulletin, official advertising, Board of Supervisors (claim dated Dec. 12, 1927), $835.14.

(60) Recorder Printing and Publishing Co., printing the Law-Motion-Trial Calendar for November (claim dated Dec. 12, 1927), $1,075.

(61) Hood & Strong, services rendered in audit of offices of Tax Collector and of Treasurer (claim dated Dec. 12, 1927), $1,937.50.

(62) Sonoma State Home, maintenance of feeble-minded (claim dated Dec. 12, 1927), $9,605.

(63) Pacific Gas & Electric Co., street lighting for November (claim dated Dec. 12, 1927), $53,396.47.

(64) Sussman-Wormser Co., sugar, Laguna Honda Home (claim dated Dec. 8, 1927), $2,281.60.

(65) California Petroleum Corporation, fuel oil, Laguna Honda Home (claim dated Dec. 8, 1927), $1,616.10.

(66) Del Monte Meat Co., meats for Laguna Honda Home (claim dated Dec. 8, 1927), $6,154.69.

(67) Fred L. Hilmer Co., cheese and eggs, Laguna Honda Home (claim dated Dec. 8, 1927), $1,919.58.

(68) McCarthy Bros., coffee for

Laguna Honda Home (claim dated Dec. 8, 1927), $535.

(69) J. T. Freitas Co., butter and eggs, Laguna Honda Home (claim dated Dec. 8, 1927), $1,795.96.

(70) Sharp Flour Co., flour for Laguna Honda Home (claim dated Dec. 8, 1927), $1,296.

(71) Dion R. Holm, legal services, month of December, telephone rate litigation (claim dated Dec. 8, 1927), $850.

(72) N. Randall Ellis, engineering services, month of December, telephone rate litigation (claim dated Dec. 8, 1927), $750.

Hetch Hetchy Operative Revenue Fund.

(73) John J. Daley, legal services, month of December, valuation of San Francisco electric properties (claim dated Dec. 8, 1927), $850.

(74) H. G. Butler, services in connection with severance damage, the valuation of San Francisco electric properties (claim dated Dec. 8, 1927), $668.75.

Playground Fund.

(75) J. A. Grant, stucco work at the Excelsior Playground (claim dated Dec. 7, 1927), $1,050.

(76) Board of Public Works, labor and materials in connection with construction of swimming pool at Mather, California (claim dated Dec. 7, 1927), $2,459.36.

Municipal Railway Fund.

(77) Hancock Bros., Inc., printing of railway transfers (claim dated Dec. 7, 1927), $744.

(78) Kahn & Keville, tires and tubes for Municipal Railway (claim dated Dec. 7, 1927), $658.

(79) San Francisco City Employees Retirement System, for pensions, etc., of Municipal Railway employees (claim dated Dec. 1, 1927), $520.82.

(80) Bureau of Street Repair, for asphalt repairs to right of way of Municipal Railways (claim dated Dec. 7, 1927), $2,139.48.

Auditorium Fund.

(81) Ernest Davis, services as tenor soloist, "The Messiah", December 8, 1927 (claim dated Dec. 12, 1927), $750.

(82) Herbert Gould, services as baritone soloist, "The Messiah", December 8, 1927 (claim dated Dec. 12, 1927), $600.

Publicity and Advertising.

(83) California Development Association, for services and maintenance of San Francisco Exhibit and Information Bureau at the Ferry Building, month of December; for the publicity and advertising of San Francisco (claim dated Dec. 12, 1927), $1,000.

General Fund, 1927-1928.

(84) Associated Charities, widows' pensions (claim dated Dec. 16, 1927), $8,434.74.

(85) Eureka Benevolent Society, widows' pensions (claim dated Dec. 16, 1927), $1,187.50.

(86) Little Children's Aid, widows' pensions (claim dated Dec. 16, 1927), $8,741.73.

Hetch Hetchy Operative Revenue Fund.

(87) Railroad Commission of the State of California, for December expense of valuation of San Francisco electric properties (claim dated Dec. 12, 1927), $2,000.

General Fund, 1927-1928.

(88) M. J. Treacy, improvement of Peralta street from York street to Holladay avenue (claim dated Dec. 7, 1927), $4,305.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Herz, Kent, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Gallagher, McSheehy, Shannon—3.

Appropriations, Extension and Reconstruction of Sewers, Repair and Painting of Bridges, Lighting Airport, San Francisco Hospital Chapel and Removing Sand From Thirty-eighth Avenue.

Resolution No. 28208 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned funds for the following purposes, to-wit:

Extension and Reconstruction of Sewers—Budget Item No. 38.

(1) For the construction of sewer on Edward street between Arguello boulevard and Willard street, and sewer wing at intersection of Edward street and Arguello boulevard, $1,189.13.

(2) For construction of sewer and catchbasins from easterly termination of Ver Mehr place to existing sewer in Kearny street, $670.

(3) For construction of extension of Stanley street sewer from St. Charles avenue to Junipero Serra boulevard; additional, $475.

Repair and Painting of Bridges—Budget Item No. 37.

(4) For overhauling of the main strut guide bearings on the Islais Creek bridge, $2,000.

Municipal Airport—Budget Item 55.

(5) For cost of lighting of hangar and field, Municipal Airport, Con-

tract 5; to enable final payment, $1,635.45.

Chapel at San Francisco Hospital—Budget Item 63.

(6) For cost of installation of seats in chapel at San Francisco Hospital (A. S. Gough contract), $1,795.

General Fund, 1927-1928.

(7) For cost of removing sand from Thirty-eighth avenue between Taraval and Santiago streets, $1,350.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Herz, Kent, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Gallagher, McSheehy, Shannon—3.

Appropriations Out of County Road Fund for Main Street, Jefferson Street, Crossing of North Point Street and Columbus Avenue, Crossing of Garfield and Ramsell Streets.

Resolotion No. 28209 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of County Road Fund for the reconstruction of the following streets, to-wit:

(1) Main street, from Folsom street to The Embaracadero, $20,000.

(2) Jefferson street, from Leavenworth to Hyde streets, $1,350.

(3) Intersection of North Point street and Columbus avenue, $450.

(4) Crossing of Garfield and Ramsell streets, $1,300.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Herz, Kent, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Gallagher, McSheehy, Shannon—3.

Appropriation, $2,500, Expense of Joint Highway District, Scenic Highway from San Francisco to Santa Cruz.

Resolotion No. 28210 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $2,500 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of County Road Fund as San Francisco's proportion of expense by the Joint Highway District, composed of the Counties of San Francisco, San Mateo and Santa Cruz, for preliminary surveys and studies for a proposed scenic highway from San Francisco to Santa Cruz, along the Ocean shore.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Herz, Kent, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Gallagher, McSheehy, Shannon—3.

Appropriation, $9,460, Reconstruction of Montgomery Street From Post to Washington Streets.

Resolution No. 28211 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $9,460 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of County Road Fund for the expense of reconstruction of Montgomery street from Post to Washington streets, including removal of railroad tracks, poles and overhead work, in accordance with provisions of Resolution No. 27459 (New Series).

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Herz, Kent, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Gallagher, McSheehy, Shannon—3.

Appropriating $1,050 Out of Playground Fund for Land on Arkansas Street Required for Playground Purposes.

Resolution No. 28212 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $1,050 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of Playground Fund, and authorized in payment to Thomas G. Packham and Elizabeth M. Packham; being payment for land commencing at a point on the easterly line of Arkansas street, distant thereon 225 feet northerly from point formed by intersection of said easterly line of Arkansas street with northerly line of Twenty-third street; running thence northerly along the easterly line of Arkansas street 50 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 100 feet; thence at right angle southerly 50 feet; thence at right angle westerly 100 feet. Being portion of Potrero Nuevo Block No. 2[illegible] and required for playground purposes.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Herz, Kent, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Gallagher, McSheehy, Shannon—3.

Appropriations, Tax Judgments.

Resolution No. 28213 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are here-

by set aside and appropriated out of Tax Judgments, Appropriation 59, and authorized in payment to the hereinafter named persons; being one-tenth of amount of judgments, including interest, and as approved by the City Attorney, to-wit:

(1) To Garret W. McEnerney, attorney for judgment creditors, per schedule attached (claim dated Dec. 9, 1927), $10,812.31.

(2) To Leslie E. Burks and John F. Barnett, attorneys for judgment creditors, per schedule attached (claim dated Dec. 9, 1927), $893.56.

(3) To Leslie E. Burks and John F. Barnett, attorneys for judgment creditors, per schedule attached (claim dated Dec. 9, 1927), $12,413.48.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Herz, Kent, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Gallagher, McSheehy, Shannon—3.

Appropriations, Lands for School Purposes.

Resolution No. 28214 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside and appropriated out of School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923, and authorized in payment to the hereinafter named persons; being payments for properties required for school purposes, to-wit:

(1) To W. J. Mahoney, for land on the southeast corner of Quintara street and Twenty-fourth avenue; as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 28145 (New Series) (claim dated Dec. 12, 1927), $12,500.

(2) To Charles and Delia Kollmar, for land on west line of Thirtieth avenue, 125 feet distant from Anza street, 50x120 feet; as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 28146 (New Series) (claim dated Dec. 12, 1927), $4,500.

(3) To Gus and Valerie Green, for land at the northwest corner of Balboa street and Thirty-first avenue, 83x87 feet 2 inches; as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 28147 (New Series) (claim dated Dec. 12, 1927), $12,500.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Herz, Kent, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Gallagher, McSheehy, Shannon—3.

Appropriation, Law Books, Superior Court.

Resolution No. 28215 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $506.30 be and the same is hereby authorized in payment out of Urgent Necessity, Budget Item No. 25, to Bancroft-Whitney Company for law books furnished Department No. 12 of the Superior Court. (Claim dated Nov. 21, 1927.)

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Herz, Kent, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Gallagher, McSheehy, Shannon—3.

Oil Tank Permits.

Resolution No. 28216 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits be and the same are hereby granted:

Oil Tanks.

V. Bjors, south side of Turk street, 75 feet west of Scott street, 1500 gallons capacity.

C. K. Cally, south side of Anza street, 100 feet west of Fortieth avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

C. K. Cally, south side of Anza street, 130 feet west of Fortieth avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

M. De Carlo, 6242 California street, 1500 gallons capacity.

P. Fennell, north side of Army street, 250 feet east of Mission street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Green Hospital, southwest corner Bush and Octavia streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

H. Hammer, north side of Capra way, 100 feet east of Pierce street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Neal Henry, 1726 Grove street, 1500 gallons capacity.

A. R. Larsen, northwest corner of Diamond and Elizabeth streets, 1500 gallons capacity.

Dr. Geo. Lyman, 3673 Jackson street, 1500 gallons capacity.

J. Prout, north side Magellan avenue, 150 feet west of Dorantes, 600 gallons capacity.

Royal Theater, north side of California street, 100 feet west of Polk street, 1500 gallons capacity.

H. A. Scholten, 421 Baker street, 1500 gallons capacity.

H. B. Sperry, north side West Clay Park, 300 feet east of Twenty-fourth avenue, 1500 gallons capacity.

Washington Theater, 1741 Powell street, 600 gallons capacity.

M. Wilms, northeast corner Alverano way and Monterey boulevard, 1500 gallons capacity.

O. Wolf, 1150 Balboa street, 600 gallons capacity.

Boiler.

G. Triaussi, Cabrillo street between Forty-fifth and Forty-sixth avenues.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits shall become null and void.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Herz, Kent, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Gallagher, McSheehy, Shannon—3.

City Attorney to Commence Condemnation Proceedings for Lands in Jones' Addition, Fairmount Tract, for Bernal Cut Purposes.

Resolution No. 28217 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, that public interest and necessity require the acquisition by the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, of the following property, situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, more particularly described as follows, to-wit:

Lot No. 35, Jones' Addition to Fairmount Tract, as per map thereof recorded July 27, 1892, in Book "E" and "F" of Maps, page 169, in the office of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California. Be it

Further Resolved, That said property is suitable, adaptable, necessary and required for the public use of the City and County of San Francisco, to-wit: For the opening of Bernal Cut. It is necessary that a fee simple title be taken for such use.

The City Attorney is hereby ordered and directed to commence proceedings in eminent domain against the owners of said tract of land and of any and all interests therein or claims thereto for the condemnation thereof for the public use of said City and County of San Francisco, as aforesaid.

This resolution shall be published in the official newspaper, with the ayes and noes, for at least five successive days, Sundays and legal holidays excepted, before the final action upon the same.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Herz, Kent, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Gallagher, McSheehy, Shannon—3.

Ordering Construction of Bernal Cut.

Bill No. 8315, Ordinance No. 7823 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the improvement known as the Bernal Cut, which consists of a cut or excavation with approach fills, providing an improved route for public highway purposes in the City and County of San Francisco which begins at or near the intersection of Randall and Dolores streets and extending southerly to a point at or near the intersection of San Jose avenue and Diamond street in the City and County of San Francisco, including approaches, structures, pavements, curbs, sidewalks, sewers, drainage facilities, the readjustment of intersecting and adjacent streets and other improvements; authorizing, directing and empowering the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for said improvement in accordance with plans and specifications prepared therefor, approving and adopting said plans and specifications, and authorizing progressive payments to be made during the construction of the improvement known as the Bernal Cut.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized, instructed and empowered to enter into contract for the improvement known as the Bernal Cut, which consists of a cut or excavation with approach fills, providing an improved route for public highway purposes in the City and County of San Francisco which begins at or near the intersection of Randall and Dolores streets and extending southerly to a point at or near the intersection of San Jose avenue and Diamond street in the City and County of San Francisco, including approaches, structures, pavements, curbs, sidewalks, sewers, drainage facilities, the readjustment of intersecting and adjacent streets and other improvements, in accordance with plans and specifications prepared therefor, approving and adopting said plans and specifications.

Section 2. The said Board of Public Works is hereby authorized and permitted to incorporate a condition in said contract for the improvement known as the Bernal Cut that progressive payments

shall be made in the manner set forth in said specifications on file in the office of said Board of Public Works.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Herz, Kent, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Gallagher, McSheehy, Shannon—3.

Plans, etc., for Pipe and Tie-rod Anchors for Third Street Bridge.

Bill No. 8316, Ordinance No. 7824 (New Series), as follows:

Authorizing the preparation of plans and specifications for the construction of pipe and tie-rod anchors for the Third street and Channel bridge, and ordering the construction of pipe and tie-rod anchors for the Third street and Channel bridge in accordance with said plans and specifications prepared therefor; authorizing and directing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for said construction. The cost of said construction to be borne out of "Urgent Necessity," Budget Item No. 25, 1926-1927.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized, instructed and empowered to prepare plans and specifications for the construction of pipe and tie-rod anchors for the Third street and Channel bridge, which construction is hereby ordered, and to enter into contract for said construction of pipe and tie-rod anchors for the Third street and Channel bridge in accordance with said plans and specifications prepared therefor.

Section 2. The cost of said construction to be borne out of "Urgent Necessity," Budget Item No. 25, 1926-1927.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect immediatley.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Herz, Kent, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Gallagher, McSheehy, Shannon—3.

Boulevard Bonds.

Bill No. 8332, Ordinance No. 7825 (New Series), as follows:

Providing for the issuance and redemption of bonds of the City and County of San Francisco to the amount of nine million three hundred and eighty thousand ($9,380,000) dollars for the acquisition, construction and completion of the permanent improvements, to-wit: Certain improved routes for public highway purposes in the City and County of San Francisco, including approaches, structures, pavements, curbs, sidewalks, sewers, drainage facilities, the readjustment of intersecting and adjacent streets and other improvements, and the purchase of the necessary lands with respect to each of the boulevards.

Whereas, a special election was held in the City and County of San Francisco on the 8th day of November, 1927, in accordance with the provisions and requirements of Ordinance No. 7738 (New Series), calling and providing for such election, and Resolution No. 27924 (New Series), giving notice thereof, reference to said ordinance and resolution for further particulars being here made; and

Whereas, it has been determined by Resolution No, 28157 (New Series), reference thereto for further particulars being here made, that at such special election more than two-thirds of the votes cost thereat were cast and counted as being in favor of and to authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness for the purpose and to the amount stated in the proposition submitted thereat;

Now, therefore, be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. That bonds of the City and County of San Francisco be issued in accordance with the result of the special election held in said City and County on the 8th day of November, 1927, and the provisions of said Charter of said City and County and with Ordinance No. 7738 (New Series) and Resolution No. 28157 (New Series), as follows, to-wit:

That bonds of the City and County of San Francisco be issued to the amount of nine million three hundred and eighty thousand ($9,380,000) dollars for the purpose of the acquisition, construction and completion of a permanent improvement, to-wit: Certain improved routes for public highway purposes in the City and County of San Francisco, as follows: A boulevard to be known as the Bay Shore Highway from the vicinity of Army street, Potrero avenue and San Bruno avenue along San Bruno avenue widened to Cortland avenue, thence along a new right of way to Silver avenue and Charter Oak avenue, thence along Charter Oak avenue widened to Paul avenue, thence along a route paralleling San Bruno

avenue between San Bruno avenue and Third street to the vicinity of San Bruno avenue and Sunnydale avenue and the county line, or the most feasible route or routes; a boulevard to be known as Alemany boulevard from the vicinity of Cortland avenue and San Bruno avenue and the proposed Bay Shore Highway, thence in a southerly and westerly direction following the general course of the old Ocean Shore right of way to a connection with the Junipero Serra boulevard near Palmetto avenue, or the most feasible route or routes; a boulevard to be known as the Junipero Serra boulevard from the vicinity of Sloat boulevard and Portola drive, thence in a general southerly direction widening and straightening the existing roadway of the present Junipero Serra boulevard to the county line near the vicinity of Belle avenue, or the most feasible route or routes; a boulevard to be known as Nineteenth avenue extended southerly crossing old Ocean Avenue and paralleling on each side the extension of the Municipal Railway running southerly and southeasterly to Junipero Serra boulevard, or the most feasible route or routes; a boulevard to be known as the Sunset boulevard commencing at Lincoln way between Twentieth avenue and Thirty-seventh avenue and running in a southerly direction between said Twentieth avenue and said Thirty-seventh avenue, or such avenues included or extended, to Sloat boulevard, or the most feasible route or routes; a boulevard or beach frontage improvement to be known as the Esplanade commencing in the vicinity of the Great Highway and Fulton street and running in a general southerly direction along and upon said Great Highway to Lincoln way of the same type of construction as the previously completed Esplanade; an improvement of the Great Highway from Lincoln way southerly to Sloat boulevard; a boulevard to be known as the Van Ness avenue extension from the vicinity of Mission street and Twelfth street extended southerly to Howard street and Thirteenth street, or the most feasible route or routes, including approaches, structures, pavements, curbs, sidewalks, sewers, drainage facilities, the readjustment of intersecting and adjacent streets and other improvements, and the purchase of the necessary lands with respect to each of the said boulevards or beach frontage improvements.

Bonds issued for the purpose stated shall be called "Boulevard Bond Issue," and numbered from 1 to 9380, both inclusive, and shall be payable four hundred and sixty-nine thousand ($469,000) dollars thereof five years from the date of said bonds, beginning with the lowest number, and four hundred and sixty-nine thousand ($469,000) dollars thereof of the next higher numbers on the same day in each succeeding year until all of said bonds shall be paid.

Section 2. All of the bonds issued as herein provided shall be of the form and character known as "serials." All of said bonds shall be dated November 1, 1927, shall bear interest at the rate of four and one-half per centum per annum, payable semi-annually on the first day of May and November of each year until the maturity thereof; shall be of the denomination of $1,000 each, and the principal and interest thereof shall be payable in gold coin of the United States at the office of the Treasurer of said City and County, or, at the option of the holder, at the fiscal agency of said City and County in the City and State of New York.

Section 3. Said bonds shall be signed by the Mayor and by the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco, countersigned by the Auditor, and attested by the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors with the seal of eaid City and County.

Said bonds shall be in substantially the following form:

The United States of America.
State of California.
City and County of San Francisco.
Boulevard Bond Issue.

No. $1,000.00

For value received, the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, organized and existing under the laws of the State of California, hereby acknowledges itself indebted and promises to pay to the bearer, on the first day of ———, 19—, one thousand dollars, with interest thereon at the rate of 4½ per centum per annum, payable semi-annually May 1 and November 1, on presentation and surrender of the coupons hereto attached as they respectively become due, both principal and interest being payable in gold coin of the United States at the office of the Treasurer of said City and County, or, at the option of the holder, at the fiscal agency of said City and Couty of San Francisco in the City and State of New York.

This bond is issued under and

pursuant to the Constitution and statutes of the State of California and the Charter of said City and County of San Francisco and amendments thereto, and under and pursuant to ordinances and proceedings of said City and County duly adopted and taken, and a vote and assent of more than two-thirds of all the qualified electors of said City and County voting at a special election duly and legally called and held for that purpose.

It is hereby certified, recited and declared that all acts, conditions and things required by law to exist, happen and be performed precedent to and in the issuance of this bond have existed, happened and been performed in due time, form and manner as required by law, and that the amount of this bond, together with all other indebtedness of said City and County, does not exceed any limit prescribed by the Constitution or statutes of said State or Charter of said City and County, and that provision has been made as required by the Constitution and statutes of said State and the Charter of said City and County for the collection of an annual tax sufficient to pay the interest on this bond as it falls due, and also provision to constitute a sinking fund for the payment of the principal of this bond on or before maturity. The full faith and credit of said City and County are hereby pledged for the punctual payment of the principal and interest of this bond.

This bond may be converted into a registered bond upon presentation to the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco, in which event such Treasurer shall cut off and cancel the coupons of this bond, and shall sign a statement stamped, printed or written upon the back or face of the bond to the effect that this bond is registered in the name of the owner and that thereafter the interest and principal of this bond are payable to the registered owner. Thereafter and from time to time this bond may be transferred by such registered owner in person or by attorney duly authorized on presentation of this bond to the Treasurer, and the bond be again registered as before, a similar statement being stamped, printed or written thereon.

This bond is exempt from all taxation within the State of California.

In witness whereof, said City and County of San Francisco has caused this bond to be executed under its corporate seal, signed by its Mayor and Treasurer, and countersigned by its Auditor, and has caused the interest coupons hereto attached to be signed with the engraved or lithographed signature of its Treasurer, and this bond to be dated the first day of November, 1927.

....., Mayor.

....., Treasurer.

Countersigned:

....., Auditor.

....., Attest: Clerk of the Board of Supervisors. (Seal)

Section 4. Interest coupons shall be attached to each bond to the number of twice the number of years such bond will run until the maturity thereof, and the coupons shall be of such a sum as will amount to the interest due on such bond for six months. Such coupons shall be numbered from one upwards and shall state the name and number of the bond to which it is attached. Such coupons shall bear the facsimile signature of the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco and be substantially in the following form:

FORM OF COUPON.

No. —— $——

On ————1, 19—, the City and County of San Francisco, California, will pay to the bearer at the office of the Treasurer of said City and County, or at the option of the holder at the fiscal agency of the City and County of San Francisco, in the City and State of New York, ———— dollars ($——) in gold coin of the United States, being six months' interest then due on its "Boulevard Bond Issue," dated November 1, 1927, number ———.

....., Treasurer.

Section 5. Any bond may be converted into a registered bond upon presentation to the Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco, in which event such Treasurer shall cut off and cancel the coupons of this bond, and shall sign a statement stamped, printed or written upon the back or face of the bond to the effect that the bond is registered in the name of the owner and that thereafter the interest and principal of the bond are payable to the registered owner. Thereafter and from time to time the bond may be transferred by such registered owner in person or attorney duly authorized on presentation of the bond to the Treasurer, and the bond be again registered as before, a similar statement being stamped, printed or written thereon. Such registration

shall be substantially in the following form:

FORM OF REGISTRATION.

San Francisco, ———, 19—

This bond is registered pursuant to Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, in the name of————— and the interest and principal thereof are hereafter payable to such owner.

....., Treasurer.

Section 6. For the purpose of providing for the payment of the principal and interest of said bonds, taxes shall be levied and collected as provided in Ordinance No. 7733 (New Series) and Resolution No. 27924 (New Series), and in furtherance of the purpose herein expressed the following fund is hereby created, to-wit: "Boulevard Bond Issue Interest and Redemption Fund."

Section 7. The Board of Supervisors shall sell said bonds at such times and in such amounts as it may determine. The proceeds arising from the sale of Boulevard Bond Issue shall be placed in the treasury to the credit of the "Boulevard Bond Issue Construction Fund," which shall be used exclusively for the purpose for which said bonds were issued.

Section 8. This ordinance shall take effect immediately. And the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors is hereby directed to advertise this bill and ordinance in the official newspaper, as required by law.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Herz, Kent, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Gallagher, McSheehy, Shannon—3.

Amending Zoning Ordinance, Van Dyke Avenue Between Ingalls and Jennings Streets.

Bill No. 8317, Ordinance No. 7826 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings, and the locations of buildings designated for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Sections 10 and 11 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, are hereby ordered changed so as to place the northeasterly side of Van Dyke avenue between Ingalls street and Jennings street, for a depth of 100 feet, in the Light Industrial District instead of the First Residential District, as now zoned.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Herz, Kent, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Gallagher, McSheehy, Shannon—3.

Establishing Set-back Lines, Certain Streets.

Bill No. 8313, Ordinance No. 7827 (New Series), as follows:

Establishing set-back lines along portions of Sixteenth avenue, Thirty-second avenue and Ridgewood avenue.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby recited that on the 31st day of October, 1927, the Board of Supervisors adopted Resolution of Intention No. 166, to establish set-back lines along portions of Sixteenth, avenue, Thirty-second avenue and Ridgewood avenue, and fixed the 5th day of December, 1927, at 11 o'clock a. m., at the chambers of the Board of Supervisors as the time and place for hearing objections thereto; that thereafter and more than ten days prior to said hearing the said resolution was published and notices of the passage of said resolution were posted along the line of said streets, in time, form and manner required by Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series); that said hearing was held at the time and place aforesaid, and that all objections made at said hearing have been properly overruled.

Section 2. Pursuant to the foregoing recitals and in accordance with the provisions of said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), set-back lines are hereby established as follows:

Along the westerly side of Sixteenth avenue between Lawton street and Moraga street, said set-back line to be 10 feet.

Along the westerly side of Sixteenth avenue between Lawton street and Carl way, said set-back line to be 10 feet; along the easterly side of Sixteenth avenue between Lomita way and Lawton street, said set-back line to be 10 feet.

Along the westerly side of Thirty-second avenue, commencing at

a point 100 feet northerly from Balboa street and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 300 feet, said set-back line to be 9 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 3 feet.

Along the easterly side of Ridgewood avenue between Joost avenue and Mangels avenue, said set-back line to be 10 feet.

As shown on the maps filed in the office of the Board of Supervisors and to which reference is hereby made for further particulars.

Section 3. No structures shall be erected in the area between said set-back lines and the street lines except as provided in said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), and the penalties for the violation of this ordinance shall be as fixed by the ordinance aforesaid.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Herz, Kent, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Gallagher, McSheehy, Shannon—3.

Bill No. 8314, Ordinance No. 7828 (New Series), as follows:

Establishing set-back lines along portions of Thirty-second avenue, Twenty-first avenue, Twenty-sixth avenue and Forty-seventh avenue.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby recited that on the 31st day of October, 1927, the Board of Supervisors adopted Resolution of Intention No. 167. to establish set-back lines along portions of Thirty-second avenue, Twenty-first avenue, Twenty-sixth avenue and Forty-seventh avenue, and fixed the 5th day of December, 1927, at 11 o'clock a. m., at the chambers of the Board of Supervisors, as the time and place for hearing objections thereto; that thereafter and more than ten days prior to said hearing the said resolution was published and notices of the passage of said resolution were posted along the line of said streets, in time, form and manner required by Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series); that said hearing was held at the time and place aforesaid, and that all objections made at said hearing have been properly overruled.

Section 2. Pursuant to the foregoing recitals and in accordance with the provisions of said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), set-back lines are hereby established as follows:

Along the westerly side of Thirty-second avenue, commencing at Anza street, and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 2 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 4 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 8 feet; thence northerly 300 feet, said set-back line to be 10 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 8 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 4 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 2 feet.

Along the westerly side of Twenty-first avenue, commencing at Wawona street, and running thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 2 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 4 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 8 feet; thence northerly 300 feet, said set-back line to be 10 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 8 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 6 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 4 feet; thence northerly 25 feet, said set-back line to be 2 feet.

Along the easterly side of Twenty-sixth avenue, commencing at Vicente street, and running thence southerly 350 feet, said set-back line to be 15 feet.

Along the westerly side of Forty-seventh avenue, commencing at a point 100 feet northerly from Santiago street, and running thence northerly to Rivera street, said set-back line to be 9 feet; along the easterly side of Forty-seventh avenue between Santiago street and Rivera street, said set-back line to be 9 feet.

As shown on the maps filed in the office of the Board of Supervisors and to which reference is hereby made for further particulars.

Section 3. No structures shall be erected in the area between said set-back lines and the street lines except as provided in said Ordinance No. 5636 (New Series), and the penalties for the violation of this ordinance shall be as fixed by the ordinance aforesaid.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Herz, Kent, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Gallagher, McSheehy, Shannon—3.

Amending Order No. 214, Underground Wires and Conduits.

Bill No. 8318, Ordinance No. 7829 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Order No. 214 (Second Series), entitled "Providing for placing wires and conduits underground in the City and County of San Francisco," by adding a new section thereto, to be known as Section 1s.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1s. An additional district to those heretofore described within which it shall be unlawful to maintain poles and overhead wires after April 1, 1928, is hereby designated, to-wit:

Underground District No. 24—Buena Vista avenue, from the southerly line of Park Hill avenue to the easterly line of Upper Terrace (approximately 1275 feet).

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Herz, Kent, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Gallagher, McSheehy, Shannon—3.

Action Deferred.

The following bill was *laid over one week*:

Foodstuffs Ordinance Amendment.

Bill No. ——, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Section 6 of Ordinance No. 2917 (New Series), entitled "Regulating the manufacture, handling, care and sale of foodstuffs within the City and County of San Francisco," approved September 22, 1914.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Amending Section 6 of Ordinance No. 2917 (New Series), the title of which is as recited above, to read as follows:

Section 6. No person, firm or corporation engaged in the manufacture, handling or sale of foodstuffs shall require, permit or allow any person suffering from any communicable disease to work, lodge, sleep or remain within or upon the premises.

It shall be unlawful for any person to bring into, or for any person, firm or corporation to allow, any dog or dogs, or cat or cats, to enter any place of busines designated in this ordinance.

It shall be unlawful for any person, firm or corporation to display on the street, or in the open air, food products liable to be injured, infected or polluted, without adequate protection from dirt, flies, animals or insects.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Final Passage.

The following matters, heretofore passed for printing, were taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Repealing Ordinance No. 7727 (New Series), Providing for the Improvement of Ortega and Lawton Streets.

Bill No. 8319, Ordinance No. 7831 (New Series), as follows:

Repealing Ordinance No. 7727 (New Series), approved October 7, 1927, ordering the improvement of Ortega street from Ninth to Tenth avenues and of Lawton street from Eleventh to Twelfth avenues.

Be it ordained by the People o the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 7727 (New Series), ordering the improvement of Ortega street from Ninth to Tenth avenues and of Lawton street from Eleventh to Twelfth avenues, by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks six (6) feet in width, is hereby repealed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Herz, Kent, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Gallagher, McSheehy, Shannon—3.

Fixing Sidewalk Widths on Certain Streets.

Bill No. 8320, Ordinance No. 7832 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks", approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto new sections to be numbered ten hundred and two to ten hundred and seven, inclusive.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks", approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Board of Public Works, filed in this office November 17, 1927, by adding thereto new sections to be numbered ten hundred and two to ten hundred and seven, inclusive, to read as follows:

Section 1002. The width of side-

walks on Justin drive between College avenue and its southerly termination southerly from Genebern way shall be fifteen (15) feet.

Section 1003. The width of sidewalks on Benton avenue between southerly termination southerly from Genebern way and its easterly termination easterly from Justin drive shall be twelve (12) feet.

Section 1004. The width of sidewalks on Murray street between Mission street and Justin drive shall be fifteen (15) feet.

The width of sidewalks on Murray street between Justin drive and Crescent avenue shall be twelve (12) feet.

Section 1005. The width of sidewalks on Genebern way between Justin drive and College avenue shall be fifteen (15) feet.

Section 1006. The width of sidewalks on College avenue between Crescent avenue and Justin drive shall be fourteen (14) feet.

Section 1007. The width of sidewalks on Agnon avenue between Justin drive and Crescent avenue shall be twelve (12) feet.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change in walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Herz, Kent, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Gallagher, McSheehy, Shannon—3.

Changing Grades, Certain Streets.

Bill No. 8321, Ordinance No. 7833 (New Series), as follows:

Changing and reestablishing the official grades on Fourteenth avenue between Vicente and Wawona streets.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Herz, Kent, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Gallagher, McSheehy, Shannon—3.

Bill No. 8322, Ordinance No. 7834 (New Series), as follows:

Changing and reestablishing the official grades on Lane street between Palou and Quesada avenues.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Herz, Kent, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Gallagher, McSheehy, Shannon—3.

Bill No. 8323, Ordinance No. 7835 (New Series), as follows:

Changing and reestablishing the official grades on De Haro street between Seventeenth and Mariposa streets.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Herz, Kent, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Gallagher, McSheehy, Shannon—3.

Bill No. 8324, Ordinance No. 7836 (New Series), as follows:

Changing and reestablishing the official grades on Foerster street between Thirty-third street and a line parallel with the northerly line of Mangels avenue and 14.50 feet southerly therefrom; on Mangels avenue along the northerly line of, between points respectively 10 feet easterly and 10 feet westerly from Foerster street, and on Melrose avenue between the easterly and westerly lines of Foerster street.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Herz, Kent, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Gallagher, McSheehy, Shannon—3.

Bill No. 8325, Ordinance No. 7837 (New Series), as follows:

Changing and reestablishing the official grades on Twentieth street between Vermont and Utah streets, and on San Bruno avenue between Twentieth street and a line parallel with and 54.18 feet northerly therefrom.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Herz, Kent, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Gallagher, McSheehy, Shannon—3.

Bill No. 8326, Ordinance No. 7838 (New Series), as follows:

Changing and reestablishing the official grades on Harrison street between Fifteenth street (from the west) and Sixteenth street, and on Fifteenth street between Alabama and Harrison streets.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Herz, Kent, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Gallagher, McSheehy, Shannon—3.

Spur Track Permit, Western Pacific Railroad Company.

Bill No. 8327, Ordinance No. 7839 (New Series), as follows:

Granting permission, revocable at

will of the Board of Supervisors, to Western Pacific Railroad Company, a corporation, to construct, maintain and operate a spur track on Langton street between Bryant and Brannan streets, as hereinafter described.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, is hereby granted to the Western Pacific Railroad Company, a corporation, to construct, maintain and operate a spur track, as follows:

Beginning at a point of switch in center line of existing track of the Western Pacific Railroad Company in Langton street, distant southeasterly thereon 64.0 feet from the southeasterly line of Bryant street, thence southeasterly along a turnout to the right a distance of 63.7 feet; thence along a 20-degree curve to the right, having a radius of 286.54 feet, a distance of 14.33 feet; thence continuing southeasterly 57.6 feet; thence along a 25-degree curve to the left, having a radius of 229.26 feet, a distance of 40.0 feet, to a point distant 8½ feet northeasterly, measured at a right angle to the southwesterly line of said Langton street; thence southeasterly 8½ feet from and parallel with said line of Langton street, a distance of 112.57 feet, to a point of termination.

Said permission is granted subject to the provisions of Ordinance No. 69 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors, approved October 12, 1906, and the provisions and conditions of Section 8 thereof are hereby specifically contained in the permit hereby granted and shall be construed as a part hereof as completely as though the same were written in this ordinance.

Provided, that said spur track shall be laid under the supervision and to the lines and grades as furnished by the City Engineer's office; that girder rail be used in construction; and that any and all expenses connected with the installation of the track, restoration of the pavement and any additional requirements for the surface drainage be paid for by the Western Pacific Railroad Company.

Provided, that the Western Pacific Railroad Company shall erect and maintain all-night lighted arc lamps, to be placed where directed by the Lighting Committee of the Board of Supervisors.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Herz, Kent, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Gallagher, McSheehy, Shannon—3.

Fixing Sidewalk Widths, Certain Streets.

Bill No. 8328, Ordinance No. 7840 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto new sections to be numbered nine hundred and eighty-five to one thousand and one, inclusive.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Board of Public Works filed in this office November 17, 1927, by adding thereto new sections to be numbered nine hundred and eighty-five to one thousand and one, inclusive.

Section 985. The width of sidewalks on Santiago street between Fifteenth avenue and Sixteenth avenue shall be six (6) feet.

The width of sidewalks on Santiago street between Sixteenth avenue and Seventeenth avenue shall be eleven (11) feet.

Section 986. The width of sidewalks on Fifteenth avenue between Rivera street and Santiago street shall be twelve (12) feet.

Section 987. The width of sidewalks on Cecilia avenue between Rivera street and Sixteenth avenue shall be twelve (12) feet.

Section 988. The width of sidewalks on Sixteenth avenue between Rivera street and Cecilia avenue shall be twelve (12) feet.

The width of sidewalks on Sixteenth avenue between Cecilia avenue and Taraval street shall be fifteen (15) feet.

Section 989. The width of sidewalks on Fillmore street between Chestnut street and the Marina boulevard shall be twenty (20) feet.

Section 990. The width of sidewalks on the Marina boulevard between Fillmore street and Scott street shall be twenty (20) feet.

Section 991. The width of sidewalks on Casa way between the Marina boulevard and Retiro way shall be six (6) feet.

Section 992. The width of sidewalks on Rico way between Retiro way and Avila street shall be six (6) feet.

Section 993. The width of side-

walks on Avila street between Prado street and Casa way shall be six (6) feet.

The width of sidewalks on Avila street between Chestnut street and Prado street shall be five (5) feet.

Section 994. The width of sidewalks on Retiro way between Beach street and Fillmore street shall be six (6) feet.

Section 995. The width of sidewalks on Cervantes boulevard between Scott street and Fillmore street shall be fifteen (15) feet.

Section 996. The width of sidewalks on Prado street between Scott street and Cervantes boulevard shall be twelve (12) feet.

Section 997. The width of sidewalks on Capra way between Scott street and Mallorca way shall be five (5) feet.

Section 998. The width of sidewalks on Alhambra street between Scott street and Cervantes boulevard shall be fifteen (15) feet.

Section 999. The width of sidewalks on Mallorca way between Beach street and Alhambra street shall be six (6) feet.

The width of sidewalks on Mallorca way between Alhambra street and Chestnut street shall be eight (8) feet.

Section 1000. The width of sidewalks on Toledo way between Pierce street and Mallorca way shall be eight (8) feet.

Section 1001. The width of sidewalks on Pierce street between Beach street and Chestnut street shall be eight (8) feet.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Herz, Kent, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Gallagher, McSheehy, Shannon—3.

Bill No. 8329, Ordinance No. 7841 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered ten hundred and nine.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Board of Public Works filed in this office November 30, 1927, by adding thereto a new section, to be numbered ten hundred and nine, to read as follows:

Section 1009. The width of sidewalks on Charter Oak avenue between Augusta street and Helena street shall be thirty (30) feet.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect and be in force from and after its passage.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Herz, Kent, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Gallagher, McSheehy, Shannon—3.

Bill No. 8330, Ordinance No. 7842 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered ten hundred and eight.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Board of Public Works filed in this office November 17, 1927, by adding thereto a new section, to be numbered ten hundred and eight, to read as follows:

Section 1008. The width of sidewalks on Otsego avenue between Onondaga avenue and Seneca avenue shall be ten (10) feet.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Herz, Kent, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Gallagher, McSheehy, Shannon—3.

Repealing Ordinance No. 7729 (New Series), Ordering Sidewalk Construction, Twenty-sixth Avenue.

Bill No. 8331, Ordinance No. 7843 (New Series), as follows:

Repealing Ordinance No. 7729 (New Series), approved October 7, 1927, ordering the construction of sidewalks on Twenty-sixth avenue between Judah and Kirkham streets, where not already laid.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 7729 (New Series), approved October 7, 1927, ordering the construction of sidewalks on Twenty-sixth avenue between Judah and Kirkham streets where not already laid is hereby repealed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Herz, Kent, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Gallagher, McSheehy, Shannon—3.

PRESENTATION OF BILLS AND ACCOUNTS.

Demands not required by law to be passed to print, and amounting to $91,739.11, were allowed and ordered paid by the following vote:

Urgent Necessity.

Edna Nelson et al., compensation insurance, $104.15.

Spring Valley Water Co., water, horse troughs, $38.15.

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Herz, Kent, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Gallagher, McSheehy, Shannon—3.

NEW BUSINESS.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Authorizations.

On motion of Supervisor Havenner:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

Park Fund.

(1) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., gas and electric service for parks (claim dated Dec. 16, 1927), $1,311.87.

(2) Spring Valley Water Co., water service for parks (claim dated Dec. 16, 1927), $932.28.

Hetch Hecthy Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1925.

(3) (For account of Hetch Hetchy Water construction.)

(4) California Corrugated Culvert Co., culverts (claim dated Dec. 13, 1927), $583.79.

(5) Allis-Chalmers Mfg. Co., steel spider, etc. (claim dated Dec. 13, 1927), $652.85.

(6) Hercules Powder Co., explosives (claim dated Dec. 13, 1927), $5,977.03.

(7) Hill & Morton, Inc., lumber (claim dated Dec. 13, 1927), $671.64.

(8) Palace Hardware Co., kitchen ware (claim dated Dec. 13, 1927), $645.57.

(9) Wilsey, Bennett Co., eggs and cheese (claim dated Dec. 13, 1927), $621.60.

(10) Bald Eagle Meat Market, meats (claim dated Dec. 8, 1927), $1,479.18.

(11) Standard Oil Co. of California, gasoline, oils, etc. (claim dated Dec. 8, 1927), $562.19.

(12) State Compensation Insurance Fund, insurance premium on Hetch Hetchy employees (claim dated Dec. 8, 1927), $4,235.32.

(13) T. E. Connolly and J. M. DeLucca, eleventh payment, construction of aqueduct tunnels, Foothill Division (claim dated Dec. 13, 1927), $27,764.63.

(14) A. Guthrie & Co., Inc., twelfth payment, construction of aqueduct tunnels, Foothill Division (claim dated Dec. 13, 1927), $42,-206.14.

Special School Tax.

(15) Park Commissioners, reimbursement for care of school grounds (claim dated Dec. 8, 1927), $1,300.

(16) John Reid, Jr., first payment, architectural services for Park-Presidio Junior High School (claim dated Dec. 14, 1927), $4,200.

School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1918.

(17) R. Brandlein & Co., drawing tables for Galileo High School (claim dated Dec. 6, 1927), $4,013.90.

(18) R. Brandlein & Co., cafeteria tables for Mission High School (claim dated Dec. 6, 1927), $3,722.35.

School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923.

(19) Rucker-Fuller Desk Co., desks for school buildings (claim dated Dec. 6, 1927), $2,031.30.

(20) John Reid, Jr., sixth payment, architectural services for Hearst-Moulder School (claim dated Dec. 13, 1927), $668.75.

Municipal Railway Fund.

(21) American Brake Shoe & Foundry Co., brake shoes for Municipal Railways (claim dated Dec. 12, 1927), $2,189.45.

(22) Crescent Oil Co., gasoline for

Municipal Railways (claim dated Dec. 12, 1927), $1,672.74.

(23) San Francisco City Employees Retirement System, pensions, etc., for Municipal Railway employees (claim dated Dec. 7, 1927), $7,247.49.

(24) Market Street Railway Co., expene in connection with operation of cars at Ferry terminals, November (claim dated Dec. 13, 1927), $3,666.99.

(25) Market Street Railway Co., reimbursement under agreement of December 12, 1918, for November (claim dated Dec. 13, 1927), $1,529.30.

(26) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., electricity furnished Municipal Railways for November (claim dated Dec. 13, 1927), $37,538.57.

Hetch Hetchy Power Operative Fund.

(27) State Compensation Insurance Fund, premium on policy of insurance for Hetch Hetchy employees (claim dated Dec. 12, 1927), $530.54.

(28) Pelton Water Wheel Co., parts and fittings for turbine pump, etc. (claim dated Dec. 13, 1927), $1,343.

General Fund, 1926-1927.

(29) Alfred I. Coffey, second payment, architectural services for Sunset Police Station (claim dated Dec. 13, 1927), $2,400.

General Fund, 1927-1928.

(30) Board of Park Commissioners, reimbursement for construction of convenience stations (claim dated Dec. 16, 1927), $860.88.

(31) Board of Park Commissioners, reimbursement for construction of bridle path (claim dated Dec. 16, 1927), $1,198.10.

(32) Board of Park Commissioners, reimbursement for Civic Center beautifications (claim dated Dec. 16, 1927), $788.05.

(33) Dalziel-Moller Co., enameled sinks for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Dec. 12, 1927), $884.

(34) California Petroleum Corporation, fuel oil for Civic Center Power House (claim dated Dec. 12, 1927), $1,154.06.

(35) Latourette-Fical Co., fourth and final payment, lighting hangar at S. F. Municipal Airport (claim dated Dec. 13, 1927), $1,635.45.

(36) Roman Catholic Orphanage, maintenance of minors (claim dated Dec. 9, 1927), $3,336.56.

(37) The Albertinum Orphanage, maintenance of minors (claim dated Dec. 8, 1927), $1,400.

(38) S. F. Nursery for Homeless Children, maintenance of minors (claim dated Dec. 9, 1927), $520.

(39) S. F. Protestant Orphanage, maintenance of minors (claim dated Dec. 8, 1927), $940.

(40) St. Vincent's School, maintenance of minors (claim dated Dec. 9, 1927), $1,919.45.

(41) Boys' Aid Society, maintenance of minors (claim dated Dec. 9, 1927), $1,383.52.

(42) Eureka Benevolent Society, maintenance of minors (claim dated Dec. 9, 1927), $4,170.66.

(43) Little Children's Aid, maintenance of minors (claim dated Dec. 9, 1927), $12,802.82.

(44) Children's Agency, maintenance of minors (claim dated Dec. 12, 1927), $33,608.48.

(45) Preston School of Industry, maintenance of minors (claim dated Dec. 14, 1927), $605.34.

(46) A. J. Norman, room allowances for account of San Francisco Hospital employees (claim dated Dec. 15, 1927), $2,738.40.

(47) McCarthy Bros., coffee for S. F. Hospital (claim dated Dec. 15, 1927), $668.75.

(48) Smith, Lynden Co., groceries, S. F. Hospital (claim dated Dec. 15, 1927), $1,115.25.

(49) Sussman, Wormser Co., groceries, S. F. Hospital (claim dated Dec. 15, 1927), $2,887.10.

(50) O'Brien, Spotorno & Mitchell, turkeys, S. F. Hospital (claim dated Dec. 15, 1927), $714.84.

(51) Scatena Galli Fruit Co., vegetables, S. F. Hospital (claim dated Dec. 15, 1927), $507.71.

(52 Walton N. Moore Dry Goods Co., dry goods, S. F. Hospital (claim dated Dec. 15, 1927), $3,369.09.

(53) Joseph Hagan & Sons, burial of indigent dead (claim dated Dec. 15, 1927), $545.

(54) Spring Valley Water Co., water for S. F. Hospital (claim dated Dec. 15, 1927), $1,363.13.

(55) American La France Fire Engine Co., Fire Department apparatus parts (claim dated Nov. 30, 1927), $1,004.65.

(56) E. D. Bullard Co., Fire Department smoke helmets (claim dated Nov. 30, 1927), $839.77.

(57) California Petroleum Corporation, fuel oil, Fire Department (claim dated Nov. 30, 1927), $1,354.66.

(58) Crescent Oil Co., gasoline for Fire Department (claim dated Nov. 30, 1927), $827.43.

(59) H. S. Crocker Co., stationery for Fire Department (claim dated Nov. 30, 1927), $540.34.

(60) Hanlon Drydock & Shipbuilding Co., Inc., repairs to fire boats (claim dated Nov. 30, 1927), $1,127.85.

(61) Spring Valley Water Co., water furnished and hydrant installation for Fire Department (claim dated Nov. 30, 1927), $2,065.83.

(62) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., gas and electricity for Fire Department (claim dated Nov. 30, 1927), $2,147.28.

(63) Tire Service Co., tires for Fire Department (claim dated Nov. 30, 1927), $1,051.93.

(63) San Francisco Bulletin, official advertising (claim dated Dec. 19, 1927), $553.50.

(64) Phillips Baking Co., bread for County Jails (claim dated Dec. 15, 1927), $709.69.

(65) Del Monte Meat Co., meats for County Jails (claim dated Dec. 15, 1927), $1,390.93.

(66) James G. Stafford and associates, sixth payment, contract for appraisal for taxable improvements; per Resolution No. 27419, New Series (claim dated Dec. 15, 1927), $4,801.25.

Municipal Railway Fund.

(67) Collector of Internal Revenue, U. S. tax on municipal busses for period July 1, 1920, to June 30, 1924 (claim dated Dec. 15, 1927), $900.

Publictiy and Advertising (Appropriation 55).

(68) San Francisco Convention and Tourist League, printing, advertising, etc., for the publicity and advertising of San Francisco (claim dated Dec. 19, 1927), $2,590.05.

Appropriations, Refund of Tax Judgments.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside and appropriated out of Tax Judgments—Appropriation 59, and authorized in payment to the hereinafter named persons as attorneys and agents for judgment creditors; being one-tenth of amounts of judgments, including interest, and as approved by the City Attorney, to-wit:

(1) To Cushing & Cushing, attorneys for judment creditors, as per schedule attached (claim dated Dec. 13, 1927), $32,097.70.

(2) To Alexander D. Keyes, attorney for judgment creditors, as per schedule attached (claim dated Dec. 16, 1927), $9,412.33.

(3) To Garret W. McEnerney, attorney for judgment creditors, as per schedule attached (claim dated Dec. 9, 1927), $425.35.

Appropriation, $2,000, Payment to Chas. V. Maschio et al. for Property Required for Widening and Extending of Market Street.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $2,000 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of County Road Fund, and authorized in payment to Charles V. Maschio and Albert Maschio; being payment for property beginning at point of intersection of northerly line of Eagle street with southeasterly line of Caselli avenue; being of irregular dimensions, and as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 28179 (New Series), required for widening and extending of Market street.

Appropriation, $4,000, Payment to Sidney H. Smith et al. for Property Required for Bernal Cut Boulevard.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $4,000 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of Bernal Cut Bonds and authorized in payment to Sidney H. Smith and Annie Smith; being payment for property beginning at a point on the northeasterly line of Mateo street, distant thereon 78 feet southeasterly from the southeasterly line of Arlington street; thence southeasterly 21.833 feet; thence at right angles northeasterly 91.00 feet; being of regular dimensions; as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 28180 (New Series), required for opening of Bernal Cut boulevard.

Appropriations.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned funds for the following purposes, to-wit:

School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923.

(1) For cost of electrical fixtures to be installed in the Mission (Everett) Junior High School, as per award of contract to Alta Electric Co., $8,825.

(2) For architect fees for the Portola Junior High School, Girard, Bacon, Goettingen and Burrows streets, $8,000.

Special School Tax.

(3) For cost of electrical fixtures to be installed in the South Side High School (first unit), On-

ondaga avenue, as per award to Alta Electric Co., $2,549.

Extension and Reconstruction of Sewers—Budget Item 38.

(4) For cost of constructing sanitary sewers with accompanying manholes and Y branches in Forty-eighth avenue between Rivera and Taraval streets and on Taraval street between Forty-seventh and Forty-eighth avenues, $2,800.

General Fund, 1927-1928.

(5) For cost of installation of water mains as extension to the Municipal Water Works in San Bruno avenue between Wilde avenue and Le Conte street, $3,200.

County Road Fund Appropriations, Reconstruction of Various Streets.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of County Road Fund for the reconstruction of the following streets, to-wit:

(1) Cherry street, from Sacramento to California streets, $3,150.

(2) Francisco street, from Powell to Taylor streets, $3,600.

(3) Kearny street, from Broadway to Vallejo street, $2,700.

(4) Kearny street, from Union to Filbert streets, $2,700.

(5) Maple street, from Sacramento to California streets, $3,150.

(6) Mason street, from Union to Greenwich streets, $6,480.

(7) Maiden lane, from Kearny to Stockton streets, $1,350.

(8) Pierce street, from Greenwich to Filbert streets, $3,600.

(9) Spruce street, from Sacramento to California streets, $3,150.

(10) Vanderwater street, from Mason to Powell streets, $1,800.

(11) Walnut street, from Washington to Jackson streets, $3,150.

(12) Washington street, from Jones to Taylor streets, $3,150.

(13) Washington street, from Leavenworth to Hyde streets, $3,600.

(14) Grant avenue, from Greenwich to Chestnut streets, $4,050.

(15) Ninth street, from Market street southerly, $8,100.

(16) Polk street, from Golden Gate avenue to McAllister street, $3,600.

(17) Potrero avenue, from Sixteenth street southerly, $67,500.

(18) Webster street, from Sutter to Pine streets, $7,200.

(19) Laguna street, from Bay street northerly, $2,700.

(20) Washington street, from Kearny to Stockton streets, $8,100.

(21) Folsom street, from Fourteenth to Fifteenth streets, $4,500.

Total, $147,330.

Explanation of Vote.

Supervisor Marks requested for the record that he be recorded as voting *No* on all items except 14, 15, 16, 17, 18. On final passage, he said, he would seek to obtain these out of the Budget. If he were present when the foregoing resolution was passed would have offered such an amendment. He denounced the resolution as a raid on the Street Fund, an attempt to deplete the small sum for this work to make up deficits in departments.

Appropriation, $500, Flood Lighting, City Hall.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $500 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of Repairs to Public Buildings, Budget Item No. 59, for purchase of necessary lamps for use in connection with the City Hall Flood Lighting System.

Transfer of County Road Fund for Improvement of Harrison Street.

Supervisor Havenner presented:

Resolution No. 28218 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $15,365.87, unexpended balance of appropriation out of County Road Fund for the improvement of Harrison street from Sixth to Eleventh streets, be and the same is hereby authorized and directed to be credited to County Road Fund proper.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Herz, Kent, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Gallagher, McSheehy, Shannon—3.

RECESS.

Whereupon, at 12:30 p. m. the Board took a recess until 3 p. m.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk.

REASSEMBLED—3 P. M.

The Board of Supervisors reassembled at 3 p. m.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Herz, Kent, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton—15.

Absent — Supervisors McSheehy, Shannon, Todd—3.

His Honor Mayor Rolph being absent, Supervisor Herz was elected to preside.

SPECIAL ORDER.

The following bill, made a Special Order first after recess, was taken up:

Fireproof Roofing Limits.

Bill No. ——, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Section 4 of Ordinance No. 1008 (New Series), approved December 22, 1909, and known as "The Building Law," relating to the fireproof roonng limits.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Section 4 of Ordinance No. 1008 (New Series), approved December 22, 1909, is hereby amended to read as follows:

Fireproof Roofing Limits.

Section 4. The roofs of all buildings hereafter constructed within the limits hereinafter in this section described shall consist of fireproof materials, and whenever the covering of the roof or roofs of any building or buildings heretofore constructed within the said limits, shall, in the judgment of the Board of Public Works, be or become damaged through fire, decay or otherwise, to the extent of twenty (20) per centum of the value of the said covering of the roof or roofs, then the said covering of the roof or roofs shall be reconstructed of or replaced with fireproof materials. Said fireproof materials shall consist of the same materials required for the roof covering of all buildings erected within the fire limits of the City and County.

Subsection 1. Said limits shall be bounded by a line commencing at the intersection of the shore line of the Bay of San Francisco with the easterly boundary of the Presidio Reservation; thence southerly and westerly along the boundary line of the Presidio Reservation to the shore line of the Pacific Ocean; thence along the shore line of the Pacific Ocean westerly and southerly to its intersection with the County line; thence easterly along said County line to its intersection with Mission street; thence along the center line of Mission street to its intersection with Twenty-fifth street; thence easterly along the center line of Twenty-fifth street to the center line of Potrero avenue; thence along the center line of Potrero avenue to the center line of Division street; thence along the center line of Division street to the center line of King street; thence northeasterly along the center line of King street to the center line of Seventh street; thence southerly along the center line of Seventh street to the center line of Channel street; thence northerly along the center line of Channel street to the shore line of the Bay of San Francisco; thence following the shore line of the Bay of San Francisco to the point of commencement.

Subsection 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Privilege of the Floor.

Chas. Murphy, John H. McCallum, Jas. B. Arnott, L. V. Riddle, J. Kelly, G. A. Williams, F. E. Carlson, Fred Rodd and *J. T. Prince* protested against the ordinance.

Clarence Heller, representing San Francisco Building Owners and Managers Association, and *Chief Thos. Murphy* of the Fire Department were heard in its favor.

Refused Passage.

Whereupon, the roll was called and the bill *refused passage* by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, Herz, Kent, Marks, Roncovieri —9.

Noes—Supervisors Deasy, Gallagher, Powers, Schmidt, Stanton —5.

Absent — Supervisors Harrelson, McSheehy, Shannon, Todd—4.

Notice of Reconsideration.

Before the result of the foregoing vote was announced Supervisor Marks changed his vote and gave notice that he would move for a reconsideration at next meeting.

Action Deferred.

The following resolution, laid over from last meeting, was taken up and again *laid over one week*:

Rescinding Appropriations for Street Reconstruction and Improvement.

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That so much of the hereinafter designated resolutions and ordinances as set aside and appropriate specific amounts as hereinafter set forth for street reconstruction and improvement be and

the same are hereby rescinded and repealed, to-wit:

(1) The sum of $3,600 for the improvement of Davis street, Pacific to Broadway, by Resolution No. 27652 (New Series); and

(2) The sum of $14,000 for the improvement of Larkin street, Pacific street to Union street, by Resolution No. 27652 (New Series); and

(3) The sum of $5,000 for the improvement of Davis street between Broadway and Vallejo street, including crossing of Davis street and Broadway, by Resolution No. 27713 (New Series); and

(4) The sum of $10,000 for the improvement of Presidio avenue, Geary to California streets, by Resolution No. 27996 (New Series); and

(5) The sum of $4,500 for reconstruction of Drumm street, Jackson to Pacific streets, by Ordinance No. 7655 (New Series); and

(6) The sum of $13,700 for reconstruction of Haight street, Scott to Baker streets, by Ordinance No. 7768 (New Series).

Accepting Offer of Peter Moy Company to Sell Land and Easements Required for Hetch Hetchy Aqueduct Construction.

Supervisor Havenner presented:

Resolution No. 28219 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the City Engineer has recommended the purchase by the City and County of San Francisco from the following named owner of the following described land and easements, situated in the County of San Joaquin, State of California, required in connection with the construction, maintenance and operaiton of the Coast Range Division of the Hetch Hetchy aqueduct, for the sum set forth opposite its name, viz.:

Peter Moy Company, $5,515—

Parcel 1: Portion of Section 33, Township 3 South, Range 5 East, M. D. B. and M., containing 51.4 acres, more or less, required for camp site and dump ground.

Parcel 2: Exclusive subsurface right of way easement for the construction, maintenance and use of an aqueduct tunnel or tunnels in and through Lot 1 and the southeast quarter of Section 32; and all of Section 33 (except Parcel 1 hereinabove mentioned), Township 3 South, Range 5 East, M. D. B. and M.

Parcel 3: The right to construct, maintain and operate electric transmission line and telephone line through Lot 1 and the southeast quarter of Section 32; and all of Section 33 (except Parcel 1 hereinabove mentioned), Township 3 South, Range 5 East, M. D. B. and M. (As per written offer on file.)

Now, therefore, be it Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of the City Engineer, the above described offer of the above named property owner to sell to the City and County of San Francisco the above mentioned land and easements for the sum set forth opposite its name, and upon the terms and conditions contained in said option, be and the same is hereby accepted; be it

Further Resolved, That the City Engineer is hereby authorized and directed to notify said Peter Moy Company of the acceptance of its said offer, and the City Attorney is authorized and directed to examine the title to said land and easements, and, if the same is found in satisfactory condition, to accept in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco a deed conveying title thereto, containing the conditions and reservations agreed upon in said offer, and to file the same for record with a copy of this resolution attached thereto as evidence of acceptance by the City and County of San Francisco upon payment of the above mentioned purchase price.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Herz, Kent, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent—Supervisors Gallagher, McSheehy, Shannon—3.

Accepting Statement of Market Street Railway Company.

Supervisor Havenner presented:

Resolution No. 28220 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the statements heretofore filed by the Market Street Railway Company showing gross receipts from passenger fares for the month of October, 1927, upon which percentages in the following amounts are due the City and County under terms of franchises, be and the same are hereby accepted, to-wit:

Parkside Transit Co., etc., $569.32.

Gough street, $49.04.

Parnassus avenue, etc., $328.71.

Further Resolved, That the Market Street Railway Company be and is directed to deposit with the Treasurer of the City and County the hereinabove mentioned sums, the same to be placed to the credit of the General Fund.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Herz, Kent, Marks,

Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton—13.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Harrelson, McSheehy, Shannon, Todd —5.

Purchaser of Supplies Directed to Call for Bids for Printing Lithographed Bonds, Boulevard Bond Issue.

Supervisor Havenner presented: Resolution No. 28221 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Purchaser of Supplies is directed to call for bids for printing lithographed bonds, Boulevard Bond Issue.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Herz, Kent, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton—13.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Harrelson, McSheehy, Shannon, Todd —5.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Appropriation, $5,000, National Outdoor and Olympic Try-Out Swimming Championships.

On motion of Supervisor ———: Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $5,000 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of "Publicity and Advertising," Appropriation 55, and authorized in payment to the Citizens Committee, Hon. Thos. F. Boyle, Treasurer, of the National Outdoor and Olympic Try-Out Swimming Championships, towards expense of holding the National Outdoor and Olympic Try-Out Swimming Championships to be held in San Francisco June 7, 8, 9 and 10, 1928, for the publicity and advertising of San Francisco.

Improvement of Playground Property, Twenty-sixth and Douglass Streets.

Also, Bill No. 8333, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Authorizing the preparation of plans and specifications for the improvement of City property at Twenty-sixth and Douglass streets, and ordering the improvement of City property at Twenty-sixth and Douglass streets by the construction of a protecting wall and fences and of additional playground facilities, in accordance with said plans and specifications prepared therefor, and to enter into contract for said improvement.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized, instructed and empowered to prepare plans and specifications for the improvement of City property at Twenty-sixth and Douglass streets by the construction of a protecting wall and fences and of additional playground facilities, and to enter into contract for said improvement of City property at Twenty-sixth and Douglass streets, in accordance with the plans and specifications prepared therefor.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Thomson, Wood and Hoffman to Pass on Validity of Boulevard Bonds.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, for the purpose of making the Boulevard Bond issue more attractive to Eastern purchasers; and

Whereas, said bonds are made and considered as better investments when the approval of an Eastern firm of attorneys is given to the validity of the issue; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the City Attorney be and he is hereby instructed to engage the law firm of Thomson, Wood & Hoffman, New York, to pass upon the validity of the proceedings taken in the Boulevard Bond issue, and that the City Attorney be authorized to pay said firm of Thomson, Wood & Hoffman the sum of thirty-five hundred ($3,500) dollars for rendering their opinion.

Amending Zoning Ordinance, Ocean Avenue.

The following matter, laid over from last meeting, was taken up:

Bill No. ——, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and Establishing the Locations of Trades, Industries and Buildings, and the Locations of Buildings Designated for Specific Uses, and Establishing the Boundaries for Said Purposes, and Providing Penalties for the Violation of Its Provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Section 13 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place the northerly side of Ocean avenue between Fairfield way and Sanfranbank avenue,

extending to a depth of 200 feet along Fairfield way to a depth of 202.388 feet along Sanfranbank avenue, also the northerly side of Ocean avenue between Sanfranbank avenue and Manor drive, extending to a depth of 105 feet along Sanfranbank avenue and to a depth of 110.167 feet along Manor drive, in the Commercial District instead of the First Residential District.

Attorney Young, representing applicant, *P. Kelly* and *J. Ryan* were heard in favor of the foregoing.

Mrs. Grace Olsen and *Mrs. W. B. Bauer* were heard against the proposed change.

Action Deferred.

Whereupon, the matter was *laid over one week.*

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Amending Zoning Ordinance, Chester Avenue.

On motion of Supervisor Hayden:

Bill No. 8334, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings, and the locations of buildings designed for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Section 13 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place the westerly side of Chester avenue, commencing at a point 125 feet northerly from Randolph street, and running thence northerly 50 feet and extending to the rear lot line, in the Commercial District instead of the First Residential District.

Amending Zoning Ordinance, Masonic and St. Rose's Avenues.

Also, Bill No. 8335, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings, and the locations of buildings designed for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Section 2 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place the northwest corner of Masonic avenue and Saint Rose's avenue, for a distance of 60 feet on Saint Rose's avenue and 100 feet on Masonic avenue, in the Commercial District instead of the Second Residential District.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect on date of its approval and shall continue for the period of ninety (90) days thereafter, at which time it shall cease to be in effect and the premises hereinabove described shall revert to and be in the Second Residential District.

Amending Zoning Ordinance, De Haro and Twenty-second Streets.

Also, Bill No. 8336, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings and the locations of buildings designed for specific uses and establishing the boundaries for said purposes and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is recited above, is hereby amended as follows:

Section 9 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place the southwest corner of De Haro street and Twenty-second street, for a distance of 25 feet on De Haro street and 100 feet on Twenty-second street, in the Commercial District instead of the Second Residential District.

Oil and Boiler Permits.

On motion of Supervisor Deasy:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits be and are hereby granted:

Oil Tanks.

The Elliott Building Co., west side of Fillmore street, 275 feet south of Beach street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Henry Ernst & Sons, northwest

corner of Third avenue and Balboa street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Henry Ernst & Sons, south side of Fell street, 150 feet east of Laguna street, 1500 gallons capacity.

L. Robert, 3161 Sixteenth street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Mrs. J. L. Hess, 2914 Clay street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Walter T. Varney, 61 San Andreas way, 1500 gallons capacity.

W. R. Voorhies, west side of Laurel street, 100 feet north of Washington street, 750 gallons capacity.

Boilers.

Pacific Gillespie System, Inc., northeast corner of Pine street and Van Ness avenue, 30 horsepower.

Pacific Gillespie System, Inc., northwest corner of Howard street and Holland court, 30 horsepower.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits shall become null and void.

Automobile Supply Station Permit.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Alfred Kremholler be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred to him automobile supply station permit heretofore granted M. A. Whitelock by Resolution No. 25161 (New Series) for premises on the northeast corner of Howard and Beale streets.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Mayor Requested to Sell at Public Auction Frame Building Known as No. 320 Bacon Street.

Supervisor Power presented:

Resolution No. 28222 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That his Honor the Mayor be and he is hereby authorized and requested to sell at public auction, in accordance with provisions of the Charter, the certain frame building situate on land recently acquired for school purposes and known as No. 320 Bacon street.

The Board of Works is requested to fix conditions for removal of the building by the purchaser.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Herz, Kent, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton—13.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Harrelson, McSheehy, Shannon, Todd —5.

Accepting Offer of Mildred A. Breitman to Sell for $2,250 Certain Land Situate East Line of Funston Avenue, Required for School Purposes.

Also, Resolution No. 28223 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, an offer has been received from Mildred A. Breitman to sell to the City and County of San Francisco certain land situate on the east line of Funston avenue (Thirteenth), 200 feet north from Lawton street, required for school purposes; and

Whereas, the price at which said parcel of land is offered is the reasonable value thereof; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the offer of the said owner to convey to the City and County of San Francisco a good and sufficient fee simple title to the following described land, free of all encumbrances, for the sum of $2,250, be and the same is hereby accepted, the said land being described as follows, to-wit:

Commencing at a point on the easterly line of Funston avenue, distant thereon 200 feet southerly from Lawton street, running thence northerly along said easterly line of Funston avenue 25 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 120 feet; thence at a right angle southerly 25 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 120 feet to the easterly line of Funston avenue and point of commencement. Being a portion of Outside Land Block No. 780.

The City Attorney is hereby directed to examine the title to said land and, if the same is found to be vested in the aforesaid owner, free of all encumbrances, and that the taxes up to and including the current fiscal year are paid, and that the so-called McEnerney title has been procured or sufficient money reserved for the purpose of procuring the same, to report the result of his examination to the Board of Supervisors, and also to cause a good and sufficient deed for said land to be executed and delivered to the City and County upon payment of the agreed purchase price as aforesaid. And the said deed to said land is hereby accepted.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Herz, Kent, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton—13.

Absent—Supervisors Colman. Harrelson, McSheehy, Shannon, Todd —5.

Approval of Map, Laguna Honda Boulevard.

Supervisor Marks presented:

Resolution No. 28224 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the Board of Public Works did, by Resolution No. 100049 (Second Series), approve a map showing the opening of Laguna Honda boulevard from Noriega street southeasterly to Laguna Honda Home; also the opening of a seventy-foot roadway from Laguna Honda boulevard easterly to the De Choiseul property (Sutro estate); now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the map showing the opening of Laguna Honda boulevard from Noriega street southeasterly to Laguna Honda Home; also the opening of a seventy-foot roadway from Laguna Honda boulevard easterly to the De Choiseul property (Sutro estate) is hereby approved.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Herz, Kent, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton—13.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Harrelson, McSheehy, Shannon, Todd—5.

Closing and Abandoning Certain Streets.

Also, Resolution No. 28225 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the public interest requires that Italy avenue, Amazon avenue, Munich street, Prague street, Dublin street, Griffin street, Pierson street and La Grande avenue be closed and abandoned in part as hereinafter described. Be it

Further Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to close up and abandon all those certain portions of

(1) Italy avenue between the southeasterly line of Moscow street and its present southeasterly termination;

(2) Amazon avenue between the southeasterly line of Moscow street, northeast of Amazon avenue produced southwesterly, and its present southeasterly termination;

(3) Munich street between the southwesterly line of Amazon avenue and the northeasterly line of Geneva avenue;

(4) Prague street between the southwesterly line of Amazon avenue and the northeasterly line of Geneva avenue;

(5) Dublin street between the southwesterly line of Amazon avenue and the northeasterly line of Geneva avenue;

(6) Griffin street between the southwesterly line of Amazon avenue and the northeasterly line of Geneva avenue;

(7) Pierson street between the southwesterly line of Amazon avenue and the northeasterly line of Geneva avenue;

(8) La Grande avenue between the southwesterly line of Amazon avenue and the northeasterly line of Geneva avenue.

Said closing up and abandonment of said portions of said streets shall be done and made in the manner and in accordance with the provisions of Section 2 of Chapter III of Article VI of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco as amended, and the sections of said chapter and article following said Section 2; be it

Further Resolved, That there are no costs or expenses to be incurred in connection with said closing and abandoning.

And the Clerk is hereby directed to transmit to the Board of Public Works a certified copy of this resolution, and the Board of Public Works is hereby directed to give notice of said contemplated closing and abandonment of said portions of Italy avenue, Amazon avenue, Munich street, Prague street, Dublin street, Griffin street, Pierson street and La Grande avenue in the manner provided by law, and to cause notice to be published in "The San Francisco Bulletin" as required by law.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Herz, Kent, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton—13.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Harrelson, McSheehy, Shannon, Todd—5.

Action Deferred.

The following resolution was *laid over one week*:

Granting Sterling Furniture Company Permission to Erect Bridge Over Stevenson Street.

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Sterling Furniture Company, a corporation, is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to erect and maintain a six (6) foot nine (9) inch clear width bridge eighteen (18) feet above the street, extending from the rear of the third floor of the building No. 1049 Market street to the second floor of the building No. 551 Stevenson street, provided, however, said bridge shall only be erected after a permit therefor is issued by the

Board of Public Works, and upon plans approved by and under the supervision and to the satisfaction of the Board of Public Works. Provided, further, that any right or privilege hereby given to erect or maintain such bridge is given subject to the right of the Board of Supervisors to revoke the same at any time.

Changing and Establishing Grades on Twenty-eighth Avenue Between Rivera and Santiago Streets.

Supervisor Marks presented:

Resolution No. 28226 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors to change and establish grades on the following named streets, at the points hereinafter specified and at the elevations above city base, as hereinafter stated, in accordance with Resolution No. 100093 (Second Series) of the Board of Public Works, adopted December 9, 1927, and written recommendation of said Board filed December 12, 1927, to-wit:

On Twenty-eighth avenue between Rivera and Santiago streets be changed and established to conform to true gradients between the grade elevations above given therefor.

The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that no assessment district is necessary as no damage will result from said change of grades, inasmuch as the streets are ungraded and there are no existing street improvements.

The Board of Public Works is hereby directed to cause to be conspicuously posted along the street or streets upon which such change or modification of grade or grades is contemplated, notice of the passage of this resolution of intention.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Herz, Kent, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton—13.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Harrelson, McSheehy, Shannon, Todd —5.

Closing and Abandoning Certain Portion of Alemany Avenue.

Also, Resolution No. 28227 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, this Board has, by Resolution No. 28057 (New Series), declared its intention to close up and abandon that certain portion of Alemany avenue situated in the City and County of San Francisco and hereinafter more particularly described; and

Whereas, proper notice of said resolution and of said proposed closing and abandoning of a portion of Alemany avenue was duly given by the Board of Public Works of said City and County of San Francisco by publication and posting in the manner provided by the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco; and

Whereas, more than ten (10) days have elapsed after the expiration of the publication of said notice, and no objections to the closing and abandonment of said portion of Alemany avenue were made or delivered to the Clerk of this Board within said period of ten (10) days, or at all; and

Whereas, it is the opinion of this Board that the public interests and convenience will be conserved by the closing and abandonment of a portion of Alemany avenue; and

Whereas, in and by said Resolution No. 28057 (New Series), this Board did declare that the damages, costs and expenses of closing said street shall be paid out of the revenues of the City and County of San Francisco; and

Whereas, the said work is for closing of a portion of said Alemany avenue as hereinafter described, and it appears to this Board that no assessment is necessary; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That said closing and abandonment of that certain portion of Alemany avenue be and the same is hereby ordered and that the said portion of Alemany avenue be and the same is hereby closed and abandoned as a public street. The said portion of Alemany avenue hereinabove referred to is more particularly bounded and described as follows, to-wit:

Beginning at a point on the southeasterly line of Alemany avenue, distant thereon 10.126 feet northeasterly from the angle point in said southeasterly line which is northeast of Tingley street, and running thence northeasterly along said southeasterly line 156.057 feet to the southwesterly boundary line of the Islais and Salinas Water Works Tract; thence deflecting to the left 114 deg. 57 min. 31 sec. and running northwesterly along said southwesterly line 55.150 feet to the northwesterly line of Alemany avenue; thence deflecting to the left 65 deg. 02 min. 29 sec. and running southwesterly along said northwesterly line 22.062 feet; thence southwesterly along a curve to the left, tangent to a line deflected to the left 20. deg. 38 min. 13 sec. from the

preceding course, with a radius of 950 feet and a central angle of 7 deg. 19 min. 56 sec., a distance of 121.573 feet to the southeasterly line of Alemany avenue and the point of beginning.

Be it Further Resolved, That the Clerk of this Board transmit a certified copy of this resolution to the Board of Public Works and that the Board of Public Works be instructed to proceed thereafter as required by law and the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco; and the Clerk of this Board is hereby directed to advertise this resolution in "The Bulletin" as required by law.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Herz, Kent, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton—13.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Harrelson, McSheehy, Shannon, Todd —5.

Extension of Time, Federal Construction Company.

Also, Resolution No. 28228 (New eries), as follows:

Resolved, That Federal Construction Company is hereby granted an extension of ninety days' time from and after December 20, 1927, within which to complete the improvement of Delta street between Wilde and Tioga avenues, where not already improved. The work is completed with the exception of the covering.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Herz, Kent, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton—13.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Harrelson, McSheehy, Shannon, Todd —5.

Extension of Time, A. G. Raisch.

Also, Resolution No. 28229 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That A. G. Raisch is hereby granted an extension of ninety days' time from and after January 5, 1928, within which to complete the improvement of Morse street between Guttenberg and Concord streets, including the intersection of Florentine street. A survey has been ordered by the City Engineer.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Herz, Kent, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton—13.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Harrelson, McSheehy, Shannon, Todd —5.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Fixing Sidewalk Widths, Sierra Street.

On motion of Supervisor Marks:

Bill No. 8338, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by amending Section seven hundred and sixteen thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Board of Public Works filed in this office December 9, 1927, by amending Section seven hundred and sixteen thereof, to read as follows:

Section 716. The width of sidewalks on Sierra street between Missouri street and Texas street shall be ten (10) feet.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect and be in force from and after its passage.

Fixing Sidewalk Widths, Josiah Avenue.

Also, Bill No. 8339, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by amending Section five hundred and twenty-eight thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Board of Public Works filed in this office December 13, 1927, by amending Section five hundred twenty-eight thereof, to read as follows:

Section 528. The width of sidewalks on Josiah avenue between Summit street and Lakeview avenue shall be ten (10) feet.

The width of sidewalks on Josiah avenue between Lakeview avenue and Ridge lane shall be as shown on that certain map entitled "Map of Josiah avenue between Lakeview avenue and Ridge lane," showing

the location of street and curb lines and the width of sidewalks.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect and be in force from and after its passage.

Establishing Grades on Thrift Street Between Capitol and Orizaba Avenues.

Also, Bill No. 8340, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Changing and reestablishing grades on Thrift street between Capitol and Orizaba avenues.

Changing and Reestablishing Grades, Certain Streets.

Also, Bill No. 8341, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Changing and reestablishing grades on Nineteenth street between Arkansas and Carolina streets, and on Wisconsin street between a line parallel with Eighteenth street and 60 feet southerly therefrom and Twentieth street.

Also, Bill No. 8342, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Changing and reestablishing the official grades on Brussels street between Dwight and Olmstead streets.

Also, Bill No. 8343, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Changing and reestablishing grades on Wisconsin street between Twenty-third street and the southerly line of Twenty-fifth street, and on Twenty-fifth street between the westerly line of Arkansas street and Carolina street.

Also, Bill No. 8344, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Changing and reestablishing grades on Montana street between the easterly line of Faxon avenue produced and Orizaba avenue; on Faxon avenue between Thrift and Montana streets, and on Orizaba avenue between Sargent street northerly line produced and Montana street northerly line produced.

Ordering Street Work, Various Streets.

Also, Bill No. 8345, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors December 6, 1927, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in three installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of the south one-half of Geary street from Ninth avenue to Tenth avenue; the west one-half of Broderick street from McAllister street to Golden Gate avenue, and the north one-half of McAllister street from Pierce street to Scott street, by the construction of full width artificial stone sidewalks, where artificial stone sidewalks are not already constructed to the full official width or are not to official grade.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Also, Bill No. 8346, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors December 8, 1927, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity

with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Thirtieth street between Diamond and Castro streets, by the construction of the following ironstone pipe sewers and appurtenances:

An 8-inch with Y branches, two manholes and three lamp holes along the center line of Thirtieth street from a point 500 feet westerly from Castro street to a point 156 feet westerly from Castro street and a 12-inch with Y branches from the last described point to the existing manhole in Castro street.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Also, Bill No. 8347, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors December 6, 1927, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in twenty installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be six months from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Pennsylvania street between Nineteenth street and Twentieth street, including the crossing of Pennsylvania street and Twentieth street, by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks on the angular corners of the above mentioned crossing; by the construction of the necessary sidesewers, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and a 1½-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface, on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Also, Bill No. 8348, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors December 6, 1927, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of

Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in three installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of the west one-half of Twenty-first avenue from the southerly line of Lincoln way to a line parallel with and one hundred (100) feet south of the southerly line of Lincoln way and from a line parallel with and two hundred (200) feet south from the southerly line of Lincoln way to a line parallel with and two hundred and twenty-five (225) feet south of the southerly line of Lincoln way, by the construction of bulkheads and the removal of sand from the sidewalk and roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Also, Bill No. 8349, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors December 6, 1927, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Twenty-seventh avenue between Kirkham and Lawton streets, where not already improved, by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of side-sewers, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch asphaltic concrete foundation and a 1½-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Also, Bill No. 8350, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors December 6, 1927, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for

the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in twenty installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Esmeralda avenue between Elsie street and Winfield street, including the crossing of Esmeralda avenue and Elsie street, by grading to official line and grade.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Also, Bill No. 8351, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors December 6, 1927, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Forty-fifth avenue between Wawona street and Sloat boulevard, where not already improved, by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of concrete gutters 2 feet in width adjacent to the above-mentioned curbs; by the construction of an 8-inch ironstone pipe sewer with accompanying manhole, Y branches and side-sewers, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and a 1½-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface, on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Also, Bill No. 8352, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors December 6, 1927, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in three installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Balboa street between Thirty-first avenue and Thirty-third avenue; Noriega street between Eighth avenue and

Ninth avenue; Thirty-first avenue between Balboa street and Cabrillo street, by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks six feet in width where artificial stone or bitumen sidewalks six feet or more in width have not already been constructed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Also, Bill No. 8353, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors December 6, 1927, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in twenty installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be six months from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Thornton avenue between Pomona street and Flora street, where not already so improved, by the construction of concrete curbs, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and a 1½-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Also, Bill No. 8354, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors December 6, 1927, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in three installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Howth street between Geneva avenue and Mt. Vernon avenue, by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks six feet in width where artificial stone or bitumen sidewalks six feet or more in width have not already been constructed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Also, Bill No. 8355, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication

filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors December 6, 1927, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in three installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Third street from Revere avenue extended to Key avenue, and Lane street from Yosemite avenue to Van Dyke avenue, and Keith street from the intersection of the southeasterly line of Keith street with the southeasterly line of Third street extended to the southeasterly line of Third street extended, being that portion of the intersection of Third and Keith streets with the lines of Third street extended, and Kirkwood avenue between Mendell street and Third street, by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks six feet in width, where artificial stone or bitumen walks six feet or more in width have not already been constructed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Also, Bill No. 8356, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors December 6, 1927, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in three installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of the west one-half of Willard street between McAllister street and Golden Gate avenue, by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks six feet in width where artificial stone or bitumen sidewalks six feet or more in width have not already been constructed or where walks are not to official grade.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Also, Bill No. 8357, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors December 6, 1927, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Fran-

cisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in three installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Laidley street between Castro street and Roanoke street; Chenery street between Castro and Diamond street; Castro street between Chenery street and Sussex street extended; Montcalm street between Alabama street and Peralta avenue, by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks six feet in width where artificial stone or bitumen sidewalks six feet or more in width have not already been constructed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Also, Bill No. 8358, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors December 6, 1927, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in twenty installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be six months from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Trumbull street between Congdon street and a line 300 feet easterly from Congdon street, where not already so improved, by the construction of concrete curbs, where not already constructed; by the construction of a side-sewer, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and a 1½-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface, on the roadway thereof, where not already constructed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Also, Bill No. 8359, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors December 6, 1927, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and

County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in three installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Sixteenth avenue between Ulloa street and Vicente street; Eighteenth avenue between Santiago street and Taraval street; Ulloa street between Twenty-second avenue and Twenty-third avenue; Fifteenth avenue between Taraval street and Ulloa street, by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks six feet in width where artificial stone or bitumen sidewalks six feet or more in width have not already been constructed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Also, Bill No. 8337, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors December 6, 1927, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in three installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of the north one-half of Chestnut street between Fillmore street and Mallorca way; Jefferson street between Scott street and Divisadero street; Beach street between Scott street and Divisadero street; Baker street between Chestnut street and Lombard street; Scott street between Bay street and Marina boulevard; North Point street between Scott street and Divisadero street; Bay street between Scott street and Divisadero street, by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks six feet in width where artificial stone sidewalks six feet or more in width have not already been constructed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Award of Contract, Crockery.

Supervisor Badaracco presented:

Resolution No. 28240 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of contract be hereby made to Charles Brown & Sons on bid submitted October 10, 1927 (Proposal No. 323), for furnishing the following, viz.:

Crockery: To be vitrified porcelain hotel crockery, "Shenango." Every article to bear the impress of the manufacturer. The descriptions given below relate to "Shenango Pottery Company's Price List No. 14," issued April 1, 1922.

Part I—For San Francisco Hospital: Vitrified china, first selection, two green line decoration.

(Item No.; quantity required; article; catalogue page No.; No. in catalogue; price per dozen; 90-day delivery.)

1—300 dozen cups, coffee, Phila. welded handle (25 4-140), $2.23.

2—300 dozen fruits, coupe, roll edge 5⅛ inch (18 Clad), $1.33.

3—100 dozen plates, flat, roll edge, 9 inch (21 11-261), $3.34.

4—100 dozen saucers, Phila., ex. tea, roll edge (24 Rap), $1.53.

5—200 dozen plates, flat, roll edge, 6⅝ inch (21 11-261), $1.83.

6—50 dozen salad bowls, indv. 6 inch (22 8-220), $2.98.

7—5 dozen sugar bowls, covered, 30s globe, 17 oz. (23 3-95), $6.72.

8—5 dozen celery trays, 10⅞ inch (13 9-237), $9.49.

9—5 dozen shell pickles, No. 2, 8¼ inch (21 9-236), $5.69.

10—50 dozen soup bowls, half thick, 5⅛ inch (12 5-181), $3.03.

11—6 dozen pitchers, 6s, roll edge, 3 quarts (19 3-107), $31.63.

Note: Above dimensions are over all.

Part II—For Laguna Honda Home: Vitrified china, first selection, plain white.

12—50 dozen cups, coffee, Phila. weld. hdle (25 4-140), $2.03.

13—50 dozen saucers, Sontum, roll edge (24 Rapid), 99c.

14—50 dozen plates, flat, roll edge, over all 9 inch (21 11-261), $2.14.

15—100 dozen soup bowls, 30s, low foot, 6 inch over all (12 5-170), $1.95.

16—50 dozen custards, wheat, unhandled, 6 ounce (16 2-67), $1.71.

Memo: Total value, $3,059.28.

Resolved, That a bond in the sum of $500 be required for the faithful performance of said contract.

Note: All above awards are made to the lowest bidder except when award is made in consideration of deliveries or on account of the quality as determined by such tests as required or recommended by the Purchaser of Supplies.

Resolved, That all other bids submitted hereon be rejected.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Herz, Kent, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton—13.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Harrelson, McSheehy, Shannon, Todd —5.

Award of Contract, Pedestrian Lane Markers.

Supervisor Badaracco presented: Resolution No. 28230 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of contract be hereby made to Welded Products Company, Inc., on bid submitted June 20, 1927 (Proposal No. 307), for furnishing the following, viz.: 60,000 pedestrian lane markers, either 12-gauge, at $0.0725 each, or 10-gauge, at $0.078 each, as per samples submitted and as the Traffic Committee of the Board of Supervisors may determine.

Resolved, That said contractor shall be required to sign a contract to be drawn by the City Attorney and said contractor shall file same with a bond in the sum of $1,000 for the faithful performance of this contract.

Note—All above awards are made to the lowest bidder except where award is made in consideration of deliveries or on account of the quality as determined by such tests as required or recommended by the Purchaser of Supplies.

Resolved, That all other bids submitted hereon be rejected.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Herz, Kent, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton—13.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Harrelson, McSheehy, Shannon, Todd —5.

Award of Contract, Hardware, Etc.

Supervisor Badaracco presented: Resolution No. 28231 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of contract is hereby made for furnishing supplies in the following classes, viz.:

Class 7, hardware and castings; class 11, lumber, that may be ordered from time to time during the term commencing January 1 and ending June 30, 1928, on bids submitted November 21, 1927 (Proposal No. 337) and tabulation thereof on file in the Bureau of Supplies, which is hereby made a part hereof; said matter having heretofore received full consideration in an open public meeting of the Supplies Committee and the awards herein approved by it are now made to the following, viz.:

Bid No. 2—Pope & Talbot, amount of bond required $500.

Bid No. 3—Kortick Mfg. Co., no bond required.

Bid No. 4—Levenson Company, amount of bond required $100.

Bid No. 5—J. H. McCallum, amount of bond required $2,000.

Bid No. 6—Steiger & Kerr Stove and Foundry Co., amount of bond required $500.

Bid No. 7—M. Greenberg's Sons, amount of bond required $500.

Bid No. 8—Dunham, Carrigan & Hayden Co., amount of bond required $100.

Bid No. 9—General Machinery and Supply Co., no bond required.

Bid No. 11—Minnesota Mining and Mfg. Co., no bond required.

Bid No. 15—Baker, Hamilton & Pacific Co., no bond required.

Bid No. 16—Joost Bros., Inc., no bond required.

Bid No. 17—Marshall Newell Supply Co., no bond required.

Bid No. 18—A. J. Glesener Co., no bond required.

Bid No. 19—Dan P. Maher Paint Co., no bond required.

Bid No. 22—Waterhouse, Lester, Scovel Co., amount of bond required $100.

Bid No. 24—Holbrook, Merrill & Stetson, no bond required.

Note: All above awards are made to the lowest bidder, except when award is made in consideration of deliveries or on account of the quality as determined by such tests as required or recommended by the Purchaser of Supplies.

Resolved, That all other bids submitted thereon be rejected.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Herz, Kent, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton—13.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Harrelson, McSheehy, Shannon, Todd —5.

Award of Contract, Print Shop Equipment.

Supervisor Badaracco presented:

Resolution No. 28232 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of contract is hereby made on print shop equipment for School Department for the following schools: John Swett Junior High School, Crocker Junior High School, Hamilton Junior High School on bids submitted December 5, 1927 (Proposal No. 339), and tabulation thereof on file in Bureau of Supplies, which is hereby made a part hereof, said matter having heretofore received full consideration in an open public meeting of the Supplies Committee and the awards herein approved by it are now made to the following, viz.:

American Type Founders Company and Miller Saw-Trimmer Company.

Resolved, That no bonds be required as said equipment is for immediate delivery by the original manufacturers.

Resolved, That all other bids submitted hereon be rejected.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Herz, Kent, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton—13.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Harrelson, McSheehy, Shannon, Todd —5.

Award of Contract, Athletic Goods.

Supervisor Badaracco presented:

Resolution No. 28233 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of contract be hereby made for furnishing athletic goods on bids submitted November 21, 1927 (Proposal No. 325), as follows, viz.:

General Specifications:

Quantities: It is stipulated that the City has the option of increasing the quantities herein stated 20 per cent provided that the City exercises said option within 15 days after the official award of contract.

Awards: Awards herein are all made on sample submitted by the bidders:

Part I—For School Department.
(*Spring Term*, 1928.)

Delivery: February 1, 1928, to Room 4, Mission Grammar School, Mission street near Sixteenth street.

Item No. 1—20 dozen baseballs (Wilson No. 150), $13.50 per dozen; Klawans & McMullin.

Item No. 2—10 dozen bats, baseball (W&D98, Traynor), $11.76 per dozen; A. J. Reach, Wright & Ditson, Inc.

Item No. 3—2 dozen masks, catchers' (W 345), $34.20 per dozen; Klawans & McMullin.

Item No. 4—1 dozen mitts, catchers' (Wilson 510), $34.20 per dozen; Klawans & McMullin.

Item No. 5—2 dozen gloves, first basemen's (Wilson 564), $25.20 per dozen; Klawans & McMullin.

Part II—For Playground Commission.
(Requirements for six months' period.)

Delivery: To Playgrounds storeroom, basement City Hall; to begin February 1, 1928.

All goods for immediate delivery except when the specifications provide otherwise.

Item No. 6—200 gross jackstones, silver or copper finish, 2 gross to a box (No. 28), $0.31¾ per gross; California Notion & Toy Co.

Item No. 7—15 dozen jackballs, rubber, small (No. 0139), $0.33 per dozen; California Notion & Toy Co.

Item No. 8—10 dozen handballs (Rawlings RO, "Irish Standard"), $3.35 per dozen; C. F. Weber & Co.

Item No. 9—4 dozen inflators (No. 1), $10 per dozen; A. G. Spalding & Bros.

Item No. 10—5 dozen whistles, nickel-plated ("Police Special" No. 314), $2.65 per dozen; Baker, Hamilton & Pacific Co.

Item No. 11—6 dozen tennis nets, tarred, 30-thread; reinforced on top 42-6x3-3, $108 per dozen; Klawans & McMullin.

Item No. 13—100 dozen tennis balls, deliver 20 dozen at a time ("Pennsylvania Championship"), $3.85 per dozen; Phil B. Bekeart Co.

Item No. 14—10 dozen frames for tennis rackets (two awards)

("Griffin"), $45 per dozen; C. F. Weber & Co.; ("California Flash"), $30 per dozen; Phil B. Bekeart Co.

Item No. 15—3 dozen nets for volley balls; 27 feet, 12-thread reg. (No. IX), $16.80 per dozen; A. G. Spalding & Bros.

Item No. 16—3 dozen nets for volley balls; 27 feet, 12-thread reg. (No. 20 W.), $27 per dozen; A. J. Reach, Wright & Ditson, Inc.

Item No. 17—4 dozen bladders for volley balls (No. A), $6.60 per dozen; A. G. Spalding & Bros.

Item No. 18—10 dozen basketballs (Reach 1½ Regulation), $60 per dozen; A. J. Reach, Wright & Ditson, Inc.

Item No. 19—5 dozen bladders for basket balls (No. OM), $9.60 per dozen; A. G. Spalding & Bros.

Item No. 20—10 dozen soccer balls, chrome (two awards) (Reach No. SE "Champion"), $66 per dozen; A. J. Reach, Wright & Ditson, Inc.; (Sportcraft MR No. 30C), $75 per dozen; Klawans & McMullin.

Item No. 21—5 dozen bladders for soccer balls (No. A), $6.60 per dozen; A. G. Spalding & Bros.

Item No. 22—10 dozen American footballs (Gunnison leather official Interscholastic OR), $44.80 per dozen; Klawans & McMullin.

Item No. 23—5 dozen bladders for footballs (No. RV), $7.10 per dozen; A. G. Spalding & Bros.

Item No. 24—75 dozen baseballs, regulation, best grade (deliver 20 dozen at a time) (W 150), $13.50 per dozen; Klawans & McMullin.

Item No. 25—10 dozen playground balls, out-seam 9-inch; kapok center (9 O. P. B.), $6.60 per dozen; A. G. Spalding & Bros.

Item No. 26—80 dozen playground balls, out-seam 12-inch; kapok center (deliver 20 dozen at a time) (two awards) (12 O. P. B.), $12.40 per dozen; A. G. Spalding & Bros. (S 443), $10.35 per dozen; Ellery Arms Co.

Item No. 27—40 dozen bats, regulation baseball; Louisville Slugger (W&D Major League, Spec. No. 98, Model L), $11.76 per dozen; A. J. Reach, Wright & Ditson, Inc.

Item No. 28—Bats, indoor baseball, Louisville Junior (no award).

Item No. 29—4 dozen lacers with wooden handles (DM No. 2), $0.70 per dozen; Curley-Bates Co.

Item No. 30—2 dozen sets bases, canvas bags, unfilled (for indoor baseball) (A 36), $20.40 per dozen; Klawans & McMullin.

Item No. 31—2 dozen sets bases, white canvas bags, stuffed (for outdoor regulation baseball) (Reach E 3), $52 per dozen sets; A. J. Reach, Wright & Ditson, Inc.

Item No. 32—Mitts, catchers' (no award).

Item No. 33—1 dozen chest protectors, baseball (No. XP), $36 per dozen; A. G. Spalding & Bros.

Item No. 34—Mitts, first basemen's (no award).

Item No. 35—2 dozen score books, baseball (No. 2), $3 per dozen; A. G. Spalding & Bros.

Item No. 36—2 dozen score books, basketball (No. 2), $3.60 per dozen; A. J. Reach, Wright & Ditson, Inc.

Item No. 37—1 dozen home plates (No. 717), $79.20 per dozen; Klawans & McMullin.

Item No. 38—1 dozen pitchers' plates (D-M No. 2), $60 per dozen; Curley-Bates Co.

Item No. 39—1 dozen croquet sets; 8 balls (No. B), $54 per dozen sets; California Notion & Toy Co.

Resolved, That bonds for the faithful performance of said contracts be not required, as above is for definite quantities for immediate delivery.

Note: All above awards are made to the lowest bidded except where award is made in consideration of deliveries or on account of the quality as determined by such tests as required or recommended by the Purchaser of Supplies.

Resolved, That all other bids submitted thereon be rejected.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Herz, Kent, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton—13.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Harrelson, McSheehy, Shannon, Todd—5.

ROLL COLL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND MOTIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

Award of Contract, Turkeys.

Supervisor Badaracco presented:

Resolution No. 28234 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of contract be hereby made to O'Brien, Spotorno, Mitchell & Compagno Bros., on bid submitted December 19, 1927 (Proposal No. 345), for furnishing the following, viz.:

4385 pounds of turkey, required by public institutions for Christmas, at $0.395 per pound.

Resolved, That no bond be required.

Resolved, That all other bids submitted thereon be rejected.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Badaracco, Byington, Deasy, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Herz, Kent, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton —13.

Absent—Supervisors Colman, Harrelson, McSheehy, Shannon, Todd —5.

Park Commission to Recommend Improvement at Marina.

Supervisor Gallagher presented: Resolution No. 28236 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the Honorable the Board of Park Commissioners has advised the public that they are studying, preparatory to making their recommendations, those certain blocks of land (some of which is submerged) lying north and east of Marina boulevard; and

Whereas, there is a growing public sentiment for the acquirement of these lands for public purposes; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the said Board of Park Commissioners is requested to submit their recommendations at as early a date as possible, to the end that such preliminary work as is necessary may be performed prior to the adoption of the next budget.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Herz, Kent, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton—10.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Marks, McSheehy, Shannon, Todd—8.

Accepting Offer of J. H. Edwards et al. to Sell Certain Land Required for Duboce Tunnel.

Supervisor Havenner presented: Resolution No. 28237 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the owners of the following described subsurface right of way easements, situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and sought to be acquired by said City and County for the construction of Duboce tunnel, have offered to convey said easements desired by said City and County of San Francisco for the sums set forth opposite their names, viz.:

J. H. Edwards and Mary Edwards, $48—Commencing at a point on the southerly line of Dubove avenue, distant thereon fifty-two (52) feet six (6) inches westerly from the point formed by the intersection of the said southerly line of Duboce avenue wtih the westerly line of Castro street, and running thence westerly along the said southerly line of Duboce avenue twenty-five (25) feet; thence at a right angle southerly twenty-three and one hundred and sixty-eight thousandths (23.168) feet; thence deflecting 99 deg. 48 min. 12 sec. to the left and running easterly twenty-five and three hundred and seventy thousandths (25.370) feet; thence at right angles southerly eighteen and eight hundred and forty-eight thousandths (18.848) feet to the southerly line of Duboce avenue and the point of commencement; being a portion of Mission Block No. 120.

Mary Hurley, $76—

Parcel 1. Commencing at a point on the southerly line of Duboce avenue, distant thereon twenty-seven (27) feet six (6) inches westerly from the westerly line of Castro street, and running thence westerly along the southerly line of Duboce avenue twenty-five (25) feet; thence at right angfles southerly eighteen and eight hundred forty-eight thousandths (18.848) feet; thence deflecting 99 deg. 48 min. 12 sec. to the left and running easterly twenty-five and three hundred seventy thousandths (25.370) feet; thence northerly at right angles with Duboce avenue fourteen and five hundred twenty-eight thousandths (14.528) feet to the point of commencement; being a portion of Mission Block No. 120.

Parcel 2. Commencing at the point of intersection of the westerly line of Castro street with the southerly line of Duboce avenue. and running thence westerly along the southerly line of Duboce avenue twenty-seven (27) feet six (6) inches; thence at right angles southerly fourteen and five hundred twenty-eight thousandths (14.528) feet; thence deflecting 99 deg. 48 min. 12 sec. to the left and running easterly twenty-seven and nine hundred eight thousandths (27.908) feet to a point on the westerly line of Castro street, distant thereon nine and seven hundred seventy-seven thousandths (9.777) feet southerly from the southerly line of Duboce avenue; thence northerly along the westerly line of Castro street nine and seven hundred seventy-seven thousandths (9.777) feet to the point of commencement; being a portion of Mission Block No. 120.

Whereas, the City Attorney has recommended the acceptance of the said offer and the acquisition of the easements owned by said parties and offered to the City and County

of San Francisco for the sums set forth, which said prices are in accordance with the City's appraisement of the easements. Now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the said offers of sale be accepted and the City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to examine the titles of said easements, and, if the same are found in satisfactory condition, to accept, in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, deeds conveying title thereto, and file the same for record with a copy of this resolution attached thereto as evidence of acceptance by the City and County of San Francisco upon payment of the agreed purchase prices.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Herz, Kent, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton—10.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Marks, McSheehy, Shannon, Todd—8.

Clerk to Give Notice of Lease of City Land in San Mateo County.

Supervisor Byington presented:

Resolution No. 28238 (New Series,) as follows:

Whereas, the City and County of San Francisco is the owner of the hereinafter described real property; and

Whereas, it is deemed to be for the best interests of the City and County of San Francisco that the said property be leased; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Clerk of this Board be and he is hereby authorized to give notice that the hereinafter described property will be leased for the term of three years, to the person or persons offering the highest and best monthly rent therefor, which said notice shall specify a time and place where bids or offers for the leasing of said premises will be received by the Board of Supervisors, and that a lease of said property will be entered into by the City and County of San Francisco and the person or persons offering the highest and best rental therefor, subject to the authorization by ordinance adopted by two-thirds of the members of the Board of Supervisors and approved by the Mayor. Be it

Further Resolved, That said notice be published for three weeks before the date fixed for the receiving of bids or offers for the leasing of said property, in the official newspaper of the City and County of San Francisco.

That the following is a description of the real property herein referred to:

A parcel of land in the County of San Mateo, State of California, described as follows:

Beginning at Engineer Station "PD" 1043+42.22 of the center line survey of the existing Hetch Hetchy Aqueduct 60-inch pipe line; thence running south 45 deg. west 390 feet, more or less, to the northeasterly side line of Pulgas road; thence northwesterly, northerly, easterly and southeasterly along the side line of Pulgas road, following the curvature thereof, to a point which bears north 45 deg. east 60 feet, more or less, from said Engineer Station; thence south 45 deg. west 60 feet, more or less, to the point of beginning.

Containing 5.8 acres, more or less.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Herz, Kent, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton—10.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Marks, McSheehy, Shannon, Todd—8.

Mayor to Appoint Committee on Reception to Notre Dame Glee Club.

Supervisor Byington presented:

Resolution No. 28239 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That his Honor the Mayor be and he is hereby respectfully requested to appoint a Committee of Citizens to arrange for the reception of the University of Notre Dame Glee Club on their arrival in San Francisco, and to further cooperate with a committee of the Native Sons of the Golden West and the Native Daughters of the Golden West for the purpose of making a marked success of the concert in the Auditorium on Tuesday, January 3, 1928, the proceeds of which concert are to be used for the Restoration Fund of Mission Santa Clara.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Herz, Kent, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton—10.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Marks, McSheehy, Shannon, Todd—8.

Judah Street Improvement Out of Urgent Necessity Fund.

Supervisor Herz presented:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, Reuben G. Hunt has commenced an action, which is now on appeal in the Supreme Court of the State of California, and which

said action has prevented the continuance of the work of constructing the Municipal Railway line to be constructed on Duboce avenue and on Judah street in the Sunset District; and

Whereas, the discontinuance of this work has created an emergency situation in the Sunset-Parkside District, in which a great portion of Judah street is left torn up and in a dangerous condition, much to the damage of the property owners and advancement of our City; and

Whereas, the delay of the completion of the Judah street carline is causing irreparable damage to the property owners of the Sunset-Parkside District, most of whom were assessed to pay for the Duboce tunnel through which this carline is to run; and

Whereas, there appears to be no possibility of adjusting the suit and permitting the work to go on for at least sixty days unless money is provided from another fund; therefore, be it

Resolved, That this Honorable Board of Supervisors does hereby authorize the Auditor to draw warrants for the continuance of this work from the Urgent Necessity Fund, provided for by this Board for such exigencies; and, be it

Further Resolved, That this Board push through this resolution as quickly as possible to alleviate the distress caused by the delaying of this work on Judah street.

Privilege of the Floor.

Norman Wells and *John Calish* for Callaghan were heard in favor.

Referred to Finance Committee.

Death of John Tuohy.

Supervisor Gallagher presented:

Resolution No. 28235 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, in the death of John Tuohy in Oakland on December 12, 1927, a master builder of this Pacific Coast, a Titan in our vast scheme of development of this western world, was called to his eternal reward.

Facing as we do the imminent development of the Orient and of the Latin countries to the south, and the great need of this type of industrial leadership, a loss indeed deplorable has been suffered by all the communities around the Bay of San Francisco in the passing of this man, whose talent in development work and whose broad enterprise has been felt all over the Pacific Coast—an eminently constructive man, still in the prime of life, whose perfect work brought to himself great distinction and to his confreres great material success and future promise—a man whose modest, retiring, simple and generous character was strikingly singular considering his vast enterprise and outstanding ability.

Coming to this Coast in 1904, he was engaged with the Columbus Engineering Company in Portland, later with the Columbia plants at Pittsburg, California, then with the Best Steel Casting Company at Elmhurst, and for the past fifteen years superintendent and largely the owner of this great foundry enterprise, as also of the Caterpillar Tractor Company; therefore, be it

Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors extends to the City of Oakland, of which John Tuohy was an admired and loved citizen and one of the foremost builders of its industrial prosperity, the sincere condolence of San Francisco in the untimely death of this truly great and worthy citizen;

Further Resolved, That this Board of Supervisors extends its deepest sympathy to the bereaved family in the loss of a father whose outstanding character and ability has won for him the admiration, esteem and affection of all who knew him;

Further Resolved, That when this Board adjourns it does so out of respect to the memory of John Tuohy.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Gallagher, Havenner, Hayden, Herz, Kent, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton—10.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Deasy, Harrelson, Marks, McSheehy, Shannon, Todd—8.

ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business the Board, at 7 p. m., adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors January 3, 1928.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated, and approved as above recited.

JOHN S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors.
City and County of San Francisco.

Vol. 22—New Series No. 52

Tuesday, December 27, 1927

Journal of Proceedings Board of Supervisors

City and County of San Francisco

The Recorder Printing and Publishing Company
337 Bush Street, S. F.

JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

TUESDAY, DECEMBER 27, 1927, 10 A. M.

In Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Tuesday, December 27, 1927, 10 a. m.

The Board of Supervisors met in regular session.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Herz, Kent, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Marks, McSheehy—3.

Quorum present.

His Honor Mayor Rolph presiding.

APPROVAL OF JOURNAL.

The Journal of Proceedings of the meeting of December 5, 1927, was considered read and approved.

ROLL CALL FOR PETITIONS FROM MEMBERS.

Leave of Absence, Supervisor J. Emmet Hayden.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

San Francisco, Cal.,
December 26, 1927.

Honorable Board of Supervisors,
City Hall, San Francisco, California.

Gentlemen:

Application has been made to me by Hon. J. Emmet Hayden, member of your Board, for a leave of absence with permission to leave the State of California for a period of sixty days, beginning January 1, 1928.

I respectfully request that you concur with me in granting said leave of absence.

Respectfully,
JAMES ROLPH, JR.,
Mayor.

Whereupon, the following resolution was *adopted*:

Resolution No. 28269 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Hon. J. Emmet Hayden, member of the Board of Supervisors, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of sixty days, commencing January 1, 1928, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Herz, Kent, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Marks, McSheehy—3.

Leave of Absence, Supervisor Warren Shannon.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

San Francisco, Cal.,
December 26, 1927.

Honorable Board of Supervisors,
City Hall, San Francisco, California.

Gentlemen:

Application has been made to me by Hon. Warren Shannon, member of your Board, for a leave of absence with permission to leave the State of California for a period of sixty days, beginning January 1, 1928.

I respectfully request that you concur with me in granting said leave of absence.

Respectfully,
JAMES ROLPH, JR.,
Mayor.

Whereupon, the following resolution was *adopted*:

Resolution No. 28270 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That, in accordance with the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, Hon. Warren Shannon, member of the Board of Supervisors, is hereby granted a leave of absence for a period of sixty days, commencing January 1, 1928, with permission to leave the State.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Herz, Kent, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Marks, McSheehy—3.

Supervisors Hayden and Shannon Appointed to Represent San Francisco in Obtaining Democratic Convention.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

San Francisco, Cal.,
December 26, 1927.

Honorable Board of Supervisors,
City Hall, San Francisco, California.

Gentlemen:

In conformity with Resolution No. 28161 (New Series), I have appointed Supervisors J. Emmet Hayden and Warren Shannon to represent the City before the Democratic National Committee, to invite the Democratic party to hold its 1928 convention in San Francisco.

Respectfully,
JAMES ROLPH, JR.,
Mayor.

Mayor's Veto.

The following was read by the Clerk:

Resolution No. 28196 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Peter McHugh is hereby granted the following extensions of time to complete street work, viz.:

Ninety days' time from and after January 4, 1928, within which to complete the improvement of Forty-fourth avenue between Kirkham and Lawton streets where not already improved.

Ninety days' time from and after January 4, 1928, within which to complete the improvement of the crossing of Forty-third avenue and Kirkham street and Forty-third avenue and Lawton street.

These extensions of time are granted for the reason that the work is well under way.

Adopted—Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Dec. 12, 1927.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Herz, Kent, Marks, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Stanton, Todd.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, McSheehy, Powers, Shannon.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk.

Disapproved, San Francisco, Dec. 23, 1927.

JAMES ROLPH, JR.,
Mayor.

The reasons given for granting the extensions of time are no reasons at all. The work should have been finished on time, as conditions were perfect. This contractor is notoriously slow in finishing his contracts and keeps continuously seeking extensions.

J. R., JR.

Dec. 27, 1927—Veto Read. Action continued for one week.

Shingle Ordinance Approved.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication, from the Sunset Transportation and Development Association, earnestly requesting the Board to vote favorably on the fire-proof shingling ordinance now pending.

Ordered *filed.*

Also, *communication*, from the Building Owners and Managers Association of San Francisco, transmitting copy of resolution of said organization favoring the proposed fire-proof shingle ordinance.

Ordered *filed.*

City Hall Post Office.

Communication, from Harry L. Todd, Acting Postmaster, transmitting copy of letter sent to Supervisor Chas. J. Powers, chairman of the Building Committee, relative to obtaining accommodations for a well-equipped post office in the City Hall building, and which he will be glad to place at the disposal of the municipal departments housed in the building if he can obtain the necessary space.

Referred to Public Buildings Committee.

Wool Industry for San Francisco.

Supervisor Kent presented:

Communication, from the California Wool Growers Association, transmitting bills paid by said association covering their annual convention held in San Francisco on November 3 and 4, 1927, and stating that more wool is shipped through the Port of San Francisco than any other Pacific Coast port; calling attention to the importance of this trade and requesting the cooperation of the Board of Supervisors in making San Francisco the wool center of the entire west.

Referred to Public Welfare Committee.

Date on Which Newly Elected Members of Board of Supervisors Shall Assume Office.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Commication, from City Attorney John J. O'Toole, advising as to date on which duly elected members of the Board of Supervisors shall assume office.

Ordered *filed.*

San Francisco Produce and Provision Terminal.

His Honor the Mayor presented the following:

Communication, from W. H. Kearney, President, San Francisco Produce and Provision Terminal, addressed to his Honor the Mayor,

calling attention to its plans for the immediate expenditure of $6,000,000 in the construction in San Francsico of the world's most palatial and sanitary produce and provision terminal, situate on an eighteen-acre tract adjoining the U. S. Government Transport Docks, which is being financed by eastern capital that had been attracted to California enterprises and expressing the hope that San Francisco will be glad of the opportunity to welcome this great project in the interest of commercial progress of the City and will receive the cooperation of all City officials.

Also, *communication*, of a later date, calling attention of Frank C. Jordan, Secretary of State, that protests against the proposed $6,000,000 produce and provision terminal are such that he has determined to abandon the site in San Francisco and move to Oakland.

Referred to City Planning Committee.

Relative to Rezoning Ocean Avenue Between Fairfield Way and Lakeview Avenue.

The following was presented and read by the Clerk:

Communication, from the Ingleside Terrace Association advising that its board of directors has acted favorably upon the application of Fernando Nelson & Sons to rezone the north line of Ocean avenue from Fairfield way to the easterly boundary of Balboa Terrace into the commercial area, and makes that recommendation to the Board of Supervisors.

Also, *communication*, from Dr. and Mrs. H. A. Mager and numerous others, residents of the Ingleside Terrace and Mount Davidson Manor tracts, protesting the proposed rezoning of the said north side of Ocean avenue between Fairfield way and Manor drive from first residential to commercial zone.

Also, *communication*, from N. A. Dickery and numerous others, residents and property owners of the district affected, registering their objections to any further rezoning of Ocean avenue west of Victoria street on the one side and Fairfield way on the other, and stating that the commercial area on Ocean avenue is adquate at the present time.

Also, *communication*, from Ocean Avenue Commercial Club declaring that the organization has gone on record as approving the rezoning of Ocean avenue on the north side between Fairfield way and Manor drive.

Ordered *filed.*

Alternative Design, Bay Dridge.

Communication, from Inter-Cities Bridge Company transmitting an alternative design for a bridge across San Francisco Bay, on the Goat Island location.

Read and matter *continued until January* 23, 1928.

Phelan Plaque for O'Shaughnessy Dam.

Supervisor Byington presented:

Resolution No. 28241 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, in his capacity as Mayor of the City and County of San Francisco, the Honorable James D. Phelan rendered invaluable service in the acquisition of the Hetch Hetchy water system for said City and County by making the original filings for water rights on the great watershed of the Sierra Nevadas which constitute the said system, and by his continued energy and zeal in carrying forward the initial work; therefore, be it

Resolved, That to commemorate his said services a bronze tablet bearing his name and appropriately acknowledging his constructive work in the acquisition of said municipal water system be placed in a suitable place on the O'Shaughnessy Dam.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Herz, Kent, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Marks, McSheehy—3.

Portsmouth Square to Be Changed to Portsmouth Plaza.

Also, Resolution No. 28242 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, Portsmouth Square, being the block bounded by Washington, Kearny and Clay streets and Brenham place, in the City and County of San Francisco, and which was known as "La Plaza" in the days when the first commercial settlement, then called Yerba Buena, was established on the Bay of San Francisco, is one of the most historic spots in the State of California, on and around which the most stirring events of the "days of '49" and the periods preceding and following the discovery of gold in California were enacted; and

Whereas, the names borne by historic places should, as far as possible, be preserved, and an account of the events linked with such spots preserved on monuments or tablets; be it

Resolved, That the Board of Park Commissioners be and they are hereby requested to change the

name of the block of land now known as Portsmouth Square to Portsmouth Plaza; and be it

Further Resolved, That the Mayor is requested to appoint a committee of three to arrange to appropriately mark said plaza by means of a bronze tablet, or tablets, on which will be set forth a record of the historic events connected with said spot, around which grew up the City of San Francisco.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Herz, Kent, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Marks, McSheehy—3.

PRESENTATION OF PROPOSALS.

Gymnasium Equipment for School Department.

Sealed proposals were received and opened at the hour of 11 a. m. for furnishing gymnasium equipment for School Department and *referred to Supplies Committee.*

UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

Final Passage.

The following matters, heretofore passed for printing, were taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Authorizations.

Resolution No. 28243 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

Park Fund.

(1) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., gas and electric service for parks (claim dated Dec. 16, 1927), $1,311.87.

(2) Spring Valley Water Co., water service for parks (claim dated Dec. 16, 1927), $932.28.

Hetch Hecthy Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1925.

(3) (For account of Hetch Hetchy Water construction.)

(4) California Corrugated Culvert Co., culverts (claim dated Dec. 13, 1927), $583.79.

(5) Allis-Chalmers Mfg. Co., steel spider, etc. (claim dated Dec. 13, 1927), $652.85.

(6) Hercules Powder Co., explosives (claim dated Dec. 13, 1927), $5,977.03.

(7) Hill & Morton, Inc., lumber (claim dated Dec. 13, 1927), $671.64.

(8) Palace Hardware Co., kitchen ware (claim dated Dec. 13, 1927), $645.57.

(9) Wilsey, Bennett Co., eggs and cheese (claim dated Dec. 13, 1927), $621.60.

(10) Bald Eagle Meat Market, meats (claim dated Dec. 8, 1927), $1,479.18.

(11) Standard Oil Co. of California, gasoline, oils, etc. (claim dated Dec. 8, 1927), $562.19.

(12) State Compensation Insurance Fund, insurance premium on Hetch Hetchy employees (claim dated Dec. 8, 1927), $4,235.32.

(13) T. E. Connolly and J. M. DeLucca, eleventh payment, construction of aqueduct tunnels, Foothill Division (claim dated Dec. 13, 1927), $27,764.63.

(14) A. Guthrie & Co., Inc., twelfth payment, construction of aqueduct tunnels, Foothill Division (claim dated Dec. 13, 1927), $42,206.14.

Special School Tax.

(15) Park Commissioners, reimbursement for care of school grounds (claim dated Dec. 8, 1927), $1,300.

(16) John Reid, Jr., first payment, architectural services for Park-Presidio Junior High School (claim dated Dec. 14, 1927), $4,200.

School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1918.

(17) R. Brandlein & Co., drawing tables for Galileo High School (claim dated Dec. 6, 1927), $4,013.90.

(18) R. Brandlein & Co., cafeteria tables for Mission High School (claim dated Dec. 6, 1927), $3,722.35.

School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923.

(19) Rucker-Fuller Desk Co., desks for school buildings (claim dated Dec. 6, 1927), $2,031.30.

(20) John Reid, Jr., sixth payment, architectural services for Hearst-Moulder School (claim dated Dec. 13, 1927), $668.75.

Municipal Railway Fund.

(21) American Brake Shoe & Foundry Co., brake shoes for Municipal Railways (claim dated Dec. 12, 1927), $2,189.45.

(22) Crescent Oil Co., gasoline for Municipal Railways (claim dated Dec. 12, 1927), $1,672.74.

(23) San Francisco City Employees Retirement System, pensions, etc., for Municipal Railway employees (claim dated Dec. 7, 1927), $7,247.49.

(24) Market Street Railway Co., expene in connection with operation of cars at Ferry terminals, November (claim dated Dec. 13, 1927), $3,666.99.

(25) Market Street Railway Co., reimbursement under agreement of December 12, 1918, for November (claim dated Dec. 13, 1927), $1,529.30.

(26) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., electricity furnished Municipal Railways for November (claim dated Dec. 13, 1927), $37,538.57.

Hetch Hetchy Power Operative Fund.

(27) State Compensation Insurance Fund, premium on policy of insurance for Hetch Hetchy employees (claim dated Dec. 12, 1927), $530.54.

(28) Pelton Water Wheel Co., parts and fittings for turbine pump, etc. (claim dated Dec. 13, 1927), $1,343.

General Fund, 1926-1927.

(29) Alfred I. Coffey, second payment, architectural services for Sunset Police Station (claim dated Dec. 13, 1927), $2,400.

General Fund, 1927-1928.

(30) Board of Park Commissioners, reimbursement for construction of convenience stations (claim dated Dec. 16, 1927), $860.88.

(31) Board of Park Commissioners, reimbursement for construction of bridle path (claim dated Dec. 16, 1927), $1,198.10.

(32) Board of Park Commissioners, reimbursement for Civic Center beautifications (claim dated Dec. 16, 1927), $788.05.

(33) Dalziel-Moller Co., enameled sinks for San Francisco Hospital (claim dated Dec. 12, 1927), $884.

(34) California Petroleum Corporation, fuel oil for Civic Center Power House (claim dated Dec. 12, 1927), $1,154.06.

(35) Latourette-Fical Co., fourth and final payment, lighting hangar at S. F. Municipal Airport (claim dated Dec. 13, 1927), $1,635.45.

(36) Roman Catholic Orphanage, maintenance of minors (claim dated Dec. 9, 1927), $3,336.56.

(37) The Albertinum Orphanage, maintenance of minors (claim dated Dec. 8, 1927), $1,400.

(38) S. F. Nursery for Homeless Children, maintenance of minors (claim dated Dec. 9, 1927), $520.

(39) S. F. Protestant Orphanage, maintenance of minors (claim dated Dec. 8, 1927), $940.

(40) St. Vincent's School, maintenance of minors (claim dated Dec. 9, 1927), $1,919.45.

(41) Boys' Aid Society, maintenance of minors (claim dated Dec. 9, 1927), $1,383.52.

(42) Eureka Benevolent Society, maintenance of minors (claim dated Dec. 9, 1927), $4,170.66.

(43) Little Children's Aid, maintenance of minors (claim dated Dec. 9, 1927), $12,802.82.

(44) Children's Agency, maintenance of minors (claim dated Dec. 12, 1927), $33,608.48.

(45) Preston School of Industry, maintenance of minors (claim dated Dec. 14, 1927), $605.34.

(46) A. J. Norman, room allowances for account of San Francisco Hospital employees (claim dated Dec. 15, 1927), $2,738.40.

(47) McCarthy Bros., coffee for S. F. Hospital (claim dated Dec. 15, 1927), $668.75.

(48) Smith, Lynden Co., groceries, S. F. Hospital (claim dated Dec. 15, 1927), $1,115.25.

(49) Sussman, Wormser Co., groceries, S. F. Hospital (claim dated Dec. 15, 1927), $2,887.10.

(50) O'Brien, Spotorno & Mitchell, turkeys, S. F. Hospital (claim dated Dec. 15, 1927), $714.84.

(51) Scatena Galli Fruit Co., vegetables, S. F. Hospital (claim dated Dec. 15, 1927), $507.71.

(52 Walton N. Moore Dry Goods Co., dry goods, S. F. Hospital (claim dated Dec. 15, 1927), $3,369.09.

(53) Joseph Hagan & Sons, burial of indigent dead (claim dated Dec. 15, 1927), $545.

(54) Spring Valley Water Co., water for S. F. Hospital (claim dated Dec. 15, 1927), $1.363.13.

(55) American La France Fire Engine Co., Fire Department apparatus parts (claim dated Nov. 30, 1927), $1,004.65.

(56) E. D. Bullard Co., Fire Department smoke helmets (claim dated Nov. 30, 1927), $839.77.

(57) California Petroleum Corporation, fuel oil, Fire Department (claim dated Nov. 30, 1927), $1,354.66.

(58) Crescent Oil Co., gasoline for Fire Department (claim dated Nov. 30, 1927), $827.43.

(59) H. S. Crocker Co., stationery for Fire Department (claim dated Nov. 30, 1927), $540.34.

(60) Hanlon Drydock & Shipbuilding Co., Inc., repairs to fire boats (claim dated Nov. 30, 1927), $1,127.85.

(61) Spring Valley Water Co., water furnished and hydrant installation for Fire Department (claim dated Nov. 30, 1927), $2,065.83.

(62) Pacific Gas and Electric Co., gas and electricity for Fire Department (claim dated Nov. 30, 1927), $2,147.28.

(63) Tire Service Co., tires for Fire Department (claim dated Nov. 30, 1927), $1,051.93.

(63) San Francisco Bulletin,

official advertising (claim dated Dec. 19, 1927), $553.50.

(64) Phillips Baking Co., bread for County Jails (claim dated Dec. 15, 1927), $709.69.

(65) Del Monte Meat Co., meats for County Jails (claim dated Dec. 15, 1927), $1,390.93.

(66) James G. Stafford and associates, sixth payment, contract for appraisal for taxable improvements; per Resolution No. 27419, New Series (claim dated Dec. 15, 1927), $4,801.25.

Municipal Railway Fund.

(67) Collector of Internal Revenue, U. S. tax on municipal busses for period July 1, 1920, to June 30, 1924 (claim dated Dec. 15, 1927), $900.

Publictiy and Advertising (Appropriation 55).

(68) San Francisco Convention and Tourist League, printing, advertising, etc., for the publicity and advertising of San Francisco (claim dated Dec. 19, 1927), $2,590.05.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Herz, Kent, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Marks, McSheehy—3.

Appropriations, Refund of Tax Judgments.

Resolution No. 28244 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside and appropriated out of Tax Judgments—Appropriation 59, and authorized in payment to the hereinafter named persons as attorneys and agents for judgment creditors; being one-tenth of amounts of judgments, including interest, and as approved by the City Attorney, to-wit:

(1) To Cushing & Cushing, attorneys for judment creditors, as per schedule attached (claim dated Dec. 13, 1927), $32,097.70.

(2) To Alexander D. Keyes, attorney for judgment creditors, as per schedule attached (claim dated Dec. 16, 1927), $9,412.33.

(3) To Garret W. McEnerney, attorney for judgment creditors, as per schedule attached (claim dated Dec. 9, 1927), $425.35.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Herz, Kent, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Marks, McSheehy—3.

Appropriation, $2,000, Payment to Chas. V. Maschio et al. for Property Required for Widening and Extending of Market Street.

Resolution No. 28245 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $2,000 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of County Road Fund, and authorized in payment to Charles V. Maschio and Albert Maschio; being payment for property beginning at point of intersection of northerly line of Eagle street with southeasterly line of Caselli avenue; being of irregular dimensions, and as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 28179 (New Series), required for widening and extending of Market street.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Herz, Kent, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Marks, McSheehy—3.

Appropriation, $4,000, Payment to Sidney H. Smith et al. for Property Required for Bernal Cut Boulevard.

Resolution No. 28246 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $4,000 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of Bernal Cut Bonds and authorized in payment to Sidney H. Smith and Annie Smith; being payment for property beginning at a point on the northeasterly line of Mateo street, distant thereon 78 feet southeasterly from the southeasterly line of Arlington street; thence southeasterly 21.833 feet; thence at right angles northeasterly 91.00 feet; being of regular dimensions; as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 28180 (New Series), required for opening of Bernal Cut boulevard.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Herz, Kent, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Marks, McSheehy—3.

Appropriations.

Resolution No. 28247 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned funds for the following purposes, to-wit:

School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923.

(1) For cost of electrical fixtures to be installed in the Mission (Ev-

erett) Junior High School, as per award of contract to Alta Electric Co., $8,825.

(2) For architect fees for the Portola Junior High School, Girard, Bacon, Goettingen and Burrows streets, $8,000.

Special School Tax.

(3) For cost of electrical fixtures to be installed in the South Side High School (first unit), Onondaga avenue, as per award to Alta Electric Co., $2,549.

Extension and Reconstruction of Sewers—Budget Item 38.

(4) For cost of constructing sanitary sewers with accompanying manholes and Y branches in Forty-eighth avenue between Rivera and Taraval streets and on Taraval street between Forty-seventh and Forty-eighth avenues, $2,800.

General Fund, 1927-1928.

(5) For cost of installation of water mains as extension to the Municipal Water Works in San Bruno avenue between Wilde avenue and Le Conte street, $3,200.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Herz, Kent, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Marks, McSheehy—3.

County Road Fund Appropriations, Reconstruction of Various Streets.

Resolution No. 28248 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of County Road Fund for the reconstruction of the following streets, to-wit:

(1) Cherry street, from Sacramento to California streets, $3,150.

(2) Francisco street, from Powell to Taylor streets, $3,600.

(3) Kearny street, from Broadway to Vallejo street, $2,700.

(4) Kearny street, from Union to Filbert streets, $2,700.

(5) Maple street, from Sacramento to California streets, $3,150.

(6) Mason street, from Union to Greenwich streets, $6,480.

(7) Maiden lane, from Kearny to Stockton streets, $1,350.

(8) Pierce street, from Greenwich to Filbert streets, $3,600.

(9) Spruce street, from Sacramento to California streets, $3,150.

(10) Vanderwater street, from Mason to Powell streets, $1,800.

(11) Walnut street, from Washington to Jackson streets, $3,150.

(12) Washington street, from Jones to Taylor streets, $3,150.

(13) Washington street, from Leavenworth to Hyde streets, $3,600.

(14) Grant avenue, from Greenwich to Chestnut streets, $4,050.

(15) Ninth street, from Market street southerly, $8,100.

(16) Polk street, from Golden Gate avenue to McAllister street, $3,600.

(17) Potrero avenue, from Sixteenth street southerly, $67,500.

(18) Webster street, from Sutter to Pine streets, $7,200.

(19) Laguna street, from Bay street northerly, $2,700.

(20) Washington street, from Kearny to Stockton streets, $8,100.

(21) Folsom street, from Fourteenth to Fifteenth streets, $4,500.

Total, $147,330.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Herz, Kent, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Marks, McSheehy—3.

Appropriation, $500, Flood Lighting, City Hall.

Resolution No. 28249 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $500 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of Repairs to Public Buildings, Budget Item No. 59, for purchase of necessary lamps for use in connection with the City Hall Flood Lighting System.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Herz, Kent, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Marks, McSheehy—3.

Appropriation, $5,000, National Outdoor and Olympic Try-Out Swimming Championships.

Resolution No. 28250 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $5,000 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of "Publicity and Advertising," Appropriation 55, and authorized in payment to the Citizens Committee, Hon. Thos. F. Boyle, Treasurer, of the National Outdoor and Olympic Try-Out Swimming Championships, towards expense of holding the National Outdoor and Olympic Try-Out Swimming Championships to be held in San Francisco June 7, 8, 9 and 10, 1928, for the publicity and advertising of San Francisco.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Herz, Kent,

Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Marks, McSheehy—3.

Thomson, Wood and Hoffman to Pass on Validity of Boulevard Bonds.

Resolution No. 28251 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, for the purpose of making the Boulevard Bond issue more attractive to Eastern purchasers; and

Whereas, said bonds are made and considered as better investments when the approval of an Eastern firm of attorneys is given to the validity of the issue; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the City Attorney be and he is hereby instructed to engage the law firm of Thomson, Wood & Hoffman, New York, to pass upon the validity of the proceedings taken in the Boulevard Bond issue, and that the City Attorney be authorized to pay said firm of Thomson, Wood & Hoffman the sum of thirty-five hundred ($3,500) dollars for rendering their opinion.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Herz, Kent, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Marks, McSheehy—3.

Oil and Boiler Permits.

Resolution No. 28252 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits be and are hereby granted:

Oil Tanks.

The Elliott Building Co., west side of Fillmore street, 275 feet south of Beach street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Henry Ernst & Sons, northwest corner of Third avenue and Balboa street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Henry Ernst & Sons, south side of Fell street, 150 feet east of Laguna street, 1500 gallons capacity.

L. Robert, 3161 Sixteenth street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Mrs. J. L. Hess, 2914 Clay street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Walter T. Varney, 61 San Andreas way, 1500 gallons capacity.

W. R. Voorhies, west side of Laurel street, 100 feet north of Washington street, 750 gallons capacity.

Boilers.

Pacific Gillespie System, Inc., northeast corner of Pine street and Van Ness avenue, 30 horsepower.

Pacific Gillespie System, Inc., northwest corner of Howard street and Holland court, 30 horsepower.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits shall become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Herz, Kent, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Marks, McSheehy—3.

Automobile Supply Station Permit.

Resolution No. 28253 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Alfred Kremholler be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred to him automobile supply station permit heretofore granted M. A. Whitelock by Resolution No. 25161 (New Series) for premises on the northeast corner of Howard and Beale streets.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Herz, Kent, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Marks, McSheehy—3.

Improvement of Playground Property, Twenty-sixth and Douglass Streets.

Bill No. 8333, Ordinance No. 7844 (New Series), as follows:

Authorizing the preparation of plans and specifications for the improvement of City property at Twenty-sixth and Douglass streets, and ordering the improvement of City property at Twenty-sixth and Douglass streets by the construction of a protecting wall and fences and of additional playground facilities, in accordance with said plans and specifications prepared therefor, and to enter into contract for said improvement.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized, instructed and empowered to prepare plans and specifications for the improvement of City property at Twenty-sixth and Douglass streets by the construction of a protecting wall and fences and of additional playground facilities, and to enter into contract for said improvement of City property at Twenty-sixth and Douglass streets, in accordance with the plans and specifications prepared therefor.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Herz, Kent, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Marks, McSheehy—3.

Amending Zoning Ordinance, Chester Avenue.

Bill No. 8334, Ordinance No. 7845 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings, and the locations of buildings designed for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Section 13 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place the westerly side of Chester avenue, commencing at a point 125 feet northerly from Randolph street, and running thence northerly 50 feet and extending to the rear lot line, in the Commercial District instead of the First Residential District.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Herz, Kent, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Marks, McSheehy—3.

Amending Zoning Ordinance, Masonic and St. Rose's Avenues.

Bill No. 8335, Ordinance No. 7846 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings, and the locations of buildings designed for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Section 2 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place the northwest corner of Masonic avenue and Saint Rose's avenue, for a distance of 60 feet on Saint Rose's avenue and 100 feet on Masonic avenue, in the Commercial District instead of the Second Residential District.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect on date of its approval and shall continue for the period of ninety (90) days thereafter, at which time it shall cease to be in effect and the premises hereinabove described shall revert to and be in the Second Residential District.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Herz, Kent, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Marks, McSheehy—3.

Amending Zoning Ordinance, De Haro and Twenty-second Streets.

Bill No. 8336, Ordinance No. 7847 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings and the locations of buildings designed for specific uses and establishing the boundaries for said purposes and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is recited above, is hereby amended as follows:

Section 9 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place the southwest corner of De Haro street and Twenty-second street, for a distance of 25 feet on De Haro street and 100 feet on Twenty-second street, in the Commercial District instead of the Second Residential District.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Herz, Kent, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Marks, McSheehy—3.

Action Deferred.

The following matter was *laid over one week*:

Foodstuffs Ordinance Amendment.

Bill No. ——, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Section 6 of Ordinance No. 2917 (New Series), entitled "Regulating the manufacture, handling, care and sale of food-

stuffs within the City and County of San Francisco," approved September 22, 1914.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Amending Section 6 of Ordinance No. 2917 (New Series), the title of which is as recited above, to read as follows:

Section 6. No person, firm or corporation engaged in the manufacture, handling or sale of foodstuffs shall require, permit or allow any person suffering from any communicable disease to work, lodge, sleep or remain within or upon the premises.

It shall be unlawful for any person to bring into, or for any person, firm or corporation to allow, any dog or dogs, or cat or cats, to enter any place of busines designated in this ordinance.

It shall be unlawful for any person, firm or corporation to display on the street, or in the open air, food products liable to be injured, infected or polluted, without adequate protection from dirt, flies, animals or insects.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Final Passage.

The following matters, heretofore passed for printing, were taken up and *finally passed* by the following vote:

Fixing Sidewalk Widths, Sierra Street.

Bill No. 8338, Ordinance No. 7848 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by amending Section seven hundred and sixteen thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Board of Public Works filed in this office December 9, 1927, by amending Section seven hundred and sixteen thereof, to read as follows:

Section 716. The width of sidewalks on Sierra street between Missouri street and Texas street shall be ten (10) feet.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect and be in force from and after its passage.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Herz, Kent, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Marks, McSheehy—3.

Fixing Sidewalk Widths, Josiah Avenue.

Bill No. 8339, Ordinance No. 7849 (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, by amending Section five hundred and twenty-eight thereof.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1061, entitled "Regulating the Width of Sidewalks," approved December 18, 1903, be and is hereby amended in accordance with the communication of the Board of Public Works filed in this office December 13, 1927, by amending Section five hundred twenty-eight thereof, to read as follows:

Section 528. The width of sidewalks on Josiah avenue between Summit street and Lakeview avenue shall be ten (10) feet.

The width of sidewalks on Josiah avenue between Lakeview avenue and Ridge lane shall be as shown on that certain map entitled "Map of Josiah avenue between Lakeview avenue and Ridge lane," showing the location of street and curb lines and the width of sidewalks.

Section 2. Any expense caused by the above change of walk widths shall be borne by the property owners.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect and be in force from and after its passage.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Herz, Kent, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Marks, McSheehy—3.

Establishing Grades on Thrift Street Between Capitol and Orizaba Avenues.

Bill No. 8340, Ordinance No. 7850 (New Series), entitled, "Changing and reestablishing grades on Thrift street between Capitol and Orizaba avenues."

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Herz, Kent, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Marks. McSheehy—3.

Changing and Reestablishing Grades, Certain Streets.

Bill No. 8341, Ordinance No. 7851 (New Series), entitled, "Changing and reestablishing grades on Nineteenth street between Arkansas and Carolina streets, and on Wisconsin street between a line parallel with Eighteenth street and 60 feet southerly therefrom and Twentieth street."

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Herz, Kent, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Marks, McSheehy—3.

Bill No. 8342, Ordinance No. 7852 (New Series), entitled, "Changing and reestablishing the official grades on Brussels street between Dwight and Olmstead streets."

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Herz, Kent, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Marks, McSheehy—3.

Bill No. 8343, Ordinance No. 7853 (New Series), entitled, "Changing and reestablishing grades on Wisconsin street between Twenty-third street and the southerly line of Twenty-fifth street, and on Twenty-fifth street between the westerly line of Arkansas street and Carolina street."

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Herz, Kent, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Marks, McSheehy—3.

Bill No. 8344, Ordinance No. 7854 (New Series), entitled, "Changing and reestablishing grades on Montana street between the easterly line of Faxon avenue produced and Orizaba avenue; on Faxon avenue between Thrift and Montana streets, and on Orizaba avenue between Sargent street northerly line produced and Montana street northerly line produced."

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Herz, Kent, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Marks, McSheehy—3.

Ordering Street Work, Various Streets.

Bill No. 8345, Ordinance No. 7855 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors December 6, 1927, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in three installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of the south one-half of Geary street from Ninth avenue to Tenth avenue; the west one-half of Broderick street from McAllister street to Golden Gate avenue, and the north one-half of McAllister street from Pierce street to Scott street, by the construction of full width artificial stone sidewalks, where artificial stone sidewalks are not already constructed to the full official width or are not to official grade.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Herz, Kent, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Marks, McSheehy—3.

Bill No. 8346, Ordinance No. 7856 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of cer-

tain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors December 8, 1927, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Thirtieth street between Diamond and Castro streets, by the construction of the following ironstone pipe sewers and appurtenances:

An 8-inch with Y branches, two manholes and three lamp holes along the center line of Thirtieth street from a point 500 feet westerly from Castro street to a point 156 feet westerly from Castro street and a 12-inch with Y branches from the last described point to the existing manhole in Castro street.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Herz, Kent, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Marks, McSheehy—3.

Bill No. 8347, Ordinance No. 7857 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors December 6, 1927, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in twenty installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be six months from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Pennsylvania street between Nineteenth street and Twentieth street, including the crossing of Pennsylvania street and Twentieth street, by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks on the angular corners of the above mentioned crossing; by the construction of the necessary sidesewers, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and a 1½-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface, on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Herz, Kent,

Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Marks, McSheehy—3.

Bill No. 8348, Ordinance No. 7858 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors December 6, 1927, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in three installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of the west one-half of Twenty-first avenue from the southerly line of Lincoln way to a line parallel with and one hundred (100) feet south of the southerly line of Lincoln way and from a line parallel with and two hundred (200) feet south from the southerly line of Lincoln way to a line parallel with and two hundred and twenty-five (225) feet south of the southerly line of Lincoln way, by the construction of bulkheads and the removal of sand from the sidewalk and roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Herz, Kent, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Marks, McSheehy—3.

Bill No. 8349, Ordinance No. 7859 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors December 6, 1927, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Twenty-seventh avenue between Kirkham and Lawton streets, where not already improved, by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of side-sewers, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch asphaltic concrete foundation and a 1½-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Herz, Kent, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Marks, McSheehy—3.

Bill No. 8350, Ordinance No. 7860 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors December 6, 1927, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in twenty installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Esmeralda avenue between Elsie street and Winfield street, including the crossing of Esmeralda avenue and Elsie street, by grading to official line and grade.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Herz, Kent, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Marks, McSheehy—3.

Bill No. 8351, Ordinance No. 7861 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors December 6, 1927, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in ten installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Forty-fifth avenue between Wawona street and Sloat boulevard, where not already improved, by the construction of concrete curbs; by the construction of concrete gutters 2 feet in width adjacent to the above-mentioned curbs; by the construction of an 8-inch ironstone pipe sewer with accompanying manhole, Y branches and side-sewers, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and a 1½-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface, on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Col-

man, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Herz, Kent, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Marks, McSheehy—3.

Bill No. 8352, Ordinance No. 7862 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors December 6, 1927, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in three installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Balboa street between Thirty-first avenue and Thirty-third avenue; Noriega street between Eighth avenue and Ninth avenue; Thirty-first avenue between Balboa street and Cabrillo street, by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks six feet in width where artificial stone or bitumen sidewalks six feet or more in width have not already been constructed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Herz, Kent, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Marks, McSheehy—3.

Bill No. 8353, Ordinance No. 7863 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors December 6, 1927, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in twenty installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be six months from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Thornton avenue between Pomona street and Flora street, where not already so improved, by the construction of concrete curbs, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and a 1½-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface on the roadway thereof.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Herz, Kent,

Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Marks, McSheehy—3.

Bill No. 8354, Ordinance No. 7864 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors December 6, 1927, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1913 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in three installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Howth street between Geneva avenue and Mt. Vernon avenue, by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks six feet in width where artificial stone or bitumen sidewalks six feet or more in width have not already been constructed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Herz, Kent, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Marks, McSheehy—3.

Bill No. 8355, Ordinance No. 7865 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors December 6, 1927, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in three installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Third street from Revere avenue extended to Key avenue, and Lane street from Yosemite avenue to Van Dyke avenue, and Keith street from the intersection of the southeasterly line of Keith street with the southeasterly line of Third street extended to the southeasterly line of Third street extended, being that portion of the intersection of Third and Keith streets with the lines of Third street extended, and Kirkwood avenue between Mendell street and Third street, by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks six feet in width, where artificial stone or bitumen walks six feet or more in width have not already been constructed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Herz, Kent, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Marks, McSheehy—3.

Bill No. 8356, Ordinance No. 7866 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors December 6, 1927, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in three installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of the west one-half of Willard street between McAllister street and Golden Gate avenue, by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks six feet in width where artificial stone or bitumen sidewalks six feet or more in width have not already been constructed or where walks are not to official grade.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Herz, Kent, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Marks, McSheehy—3.

Bill No. 8357, Ordinance No. 7867 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors December 6, 1927, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in three installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Laidley street between Castro street and Roanoke street; Chenery street between Castro and Diamond street; Castro street between Chenery street and Sussex street extended; Montcalm street between Alabama street and Peralta avenue, by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks six feet in width where artificial stone or bitumen sidewalks six feet or more in width have not already been constructed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Herz, Kent,

Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Marks, McSheehy—3.

Bill No. 8358, Ordinance No. 7868 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors December 6, 1927, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in twenty installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be six months from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Trumbull street between Congdon street and a line 300 feet easterly from Congdon street, where not already so improved, by the construction of concrete curbs, where not already constructed; by the construction of a side-sewer, and by the construction of an asphaltic concrete pavement, consisting of a 6-inch concrete foundation and a 1½-inch asphaltic concrete wearing surface, on the roadway thereof, where not already constructed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Herz, Kent, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Marks, McSheehy—3.

Bill No. 8359, Ordinance No. 7869 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors December 6, 1927, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in three installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of Sixteenth avenue between Ulloa street and Vicente street; Eighteenth avenue between Santiago street and Taraval street; Ulloa street between Twenty-second avenue and Twenty-third avenue; Fifteenth avenue between Taraval street and Ulloa street, by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks six feet in width where artificial stone or bitumen sidewalks six feet or more in width have not already been constructed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Col-

man, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Herz, Kent, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Marks, McSheehy—3.

Bill No. 8337, Ordinance No. 7870 (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the performance of certain street work to be done in the City and County of San Francisco, approving and adopting specifications therefor.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works in written communication filed in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors December 6, 1927, having recommended the ordering of the following street work, the same is hereby ordered to be done in the City and County of San Francisco in conformity with the provisions of the Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, said work to be performed under the direction of the Board of Public Works, and to be done in accordance with the specifications prepared therefor by said Board of Public Works, and on file in its office, which said plans and specifications are hereby approved and adopted.

That said Board of Supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of Part II of the said Street Improvement Ordinance of 1918 of said City and County of San Francisco, does hereby determine and declare that the assessment to be imposed for the said contemplated improvements, respectively, may be paid in three installments; that the period of time after the payment of the first installment when each of the succeeding installments must be paid is to be one year from the time of the payment of the preceding installment, and that the rate of interest to be charged on all deferred payments shall be seven per centum per annum.

The improvement of the north one-half of Chestnut street between Fillmore street and Mallorca way; Jefferson street between Scott street and Divisadero street; Beach street between Scott street and Divisadero street; Baker street between Chestnut street and Lombard street; Scott street between Bay street and Marina boulevard; North Point street between Scott street and Divisadero street; Bay street between Scott street and Divisadero street, by the construction of artificial stone sidewalks six feet in width where artificial stone sidewalks six feet or more in width have not already been constructed.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Herz, Kent, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Marks, McSheehy—3.

PRESENTATION OF BILLS AND ACCOUNTS.

Your Finance Committee, having examined miscellaneous demands not required by law to be passed to print, and amounting to $59,490.83, recommends same be allowed and ordered paid.

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Herz, Kent, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Marks, McSheehy—3.

NEW BUSINESS.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Authorizations.

On motion of Supervisor Havenner:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby authorized to be expended out of the hereinafter mentioned accounts in payment to the following named claimants, to-wit:

Library Fund.

(1) American Building Maintenance Co., library janitor service (claim dated Nov. 30, 1927), $810.

(2) Foster & Futernick Co., binding library books (claim dated Nov. 30, 1927), $1,703.45.

(3) Technical Book Co., library books (claim dated Nov. 30, 1927), $622.24.

(4) G. E. Stechert & Co., library books (claim dated Nov. 30, 1927), $2,535.58.

(5) G. E. Stechert & Co., library periodicals (claim dated No. 30, 1927), $1,017.13.

(6) San Francisco News Co., library books (claim dated Nov. 30, 1927), $2,901.41.

Park Fund.

(7) Berringer & Russell, hay, etc., for parks (claim dated Dec. 23, 1927), $788.87.

Auditorium Fund.

(8) Louise Bennett, for services of professional singers for "The

Messiah" (claim dated Dec. 27, 1927), $690.

(9) Pacific Gas & Electric Co., gas and electric service for Auditorium (claim dated Dec. 14, 1927), $1,123.28.

School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1918.

(10) The Eby Machinery Co., machinery for Galileo High School (claim dated Dec. 20, 1927), $1,022.

(11) C. F. Weber & Co., chairs and cabinet for Commerce and Galileo High Schools (claim dated Dec. 20, 1927), $1,386.42.

Hetch Hetchy Water Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1925.

(12) Joshua Hendy Iron Works, four double drum hoists (claim dated Dec. 19, 1927), $9,337.50.

(13) A. H. Gibbons, truck rental (claim dated Dec. 14, 1927), $681.

(14) Independent Lumber Co., cement, wedges, etc. (claim dated Dec. 14, 1927), $819.30.

(15) Kaiser Paving Co., machinery parts, work and rental (claim dated Dec. 14, 1927), $1,531.35.

(16) O. Rock, truck rental and concrete mix (claim dated Dec. 14, 1927), $685.50.

(17) San Joaquin Valley Meat Co., meats furnished (claim dated Dec. 14, 1927), $609.18.

(18) Shell Company of California, gasoline, oils, etc. (claim dated Dec. 14, 1927), $689.04.

Hetch Hetchy Power Operative Fund.

(19) Depreciation Fund, Hetch Hetchy Power Operative, as reserve for depreciation, according to Charter (claim dated Dec. 16, 1927), $14,584.

County Road Fund.

(20) Sewer Department, Board of Public Works, for materials and supplies furnished and used in reconstruction of Harrison street from Sixth to Eleventh streets (claim dated Dec. 17, 1927), $681.72.

School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923.

(21) Park Commissioners, planting grounds for the Edison School (claim dated Dec. 16, 1927), $600.

(22) Anderson & Ringrose, final payment, general construction of additions to Sunnyside School (claim dated Dec. 21, 1927), $1,000.

(23) S. Heiman, final payment, architectural services for additions to Sunnyside School (claim dated Dec. 21, 1927), $1,064.49.

(24) Spencer Elevator Co., first payment, elevator construction for Portola Junior High School (claim dated Dec. 20, 1927), $604.70.

Municipal Railway Fund.

(25) Westinghouse Electric & Mfg. Co., electric railway parts (claim dated Dec. 16, 1927), $982.68.

Municipal Railway Accident Reserve Fund.

(26) Dorothy Hansen, in full payment for account of all claims and demands relating to the death of Katherine Hansen (claim dated Dec. 15, 1927), $750.

(27) J. P. Johnston, compromise agreement for account of personal injuries and damages arising out of accident (claim dated Dec. 20, 1927), $3,129.55.

General Fund, 1927-1928.

(28) Patrick & Co., license plates (claim dated Dec. 26, 1927), $2,409.75.

(29) Kahn & Keville, batteries and tires for Police Department (claim dated Dec. 19, 1927), $579.29.

(30) Crescent Oil Co., gasoline for Police Department (claim dated Dec. 19, 1927), $883.68.

(31) Berringer & Russell, hay, etc., for Police Department (claim dated Dec. 19, 1927), $1,201.27.

(32) Symon Bros., team hire for street cleaning (claim dated Dec. 20, 1927), $747.50.

(33) Municipal Railway Operative Fund, materials furnished for reconstruction of railway tracks on West Portal avenue (claim dated Dec. 17, 1927), $4,297.63.

(34) Spring Valley Water Co., water for street cleaning (claim dated Dec. 15, 1927), $671.97.

(35) Equitable Asphalt Maintenance Co., asphalt resurfacing by Lutz machines (claim dated Dec. 15, 1927), $1,154.92.

(36) Pacific Gas & Electric Co., lighting public buildings (claim dated Dec. 15, 1927), $4,860.07.

(37) James G. Stafford and associates, seventh payment on contract for appraisal of taxable improvements (claim dated Dec. 31, 1927), $4,874.20.

Appropriation, $2,250, for Property Required for School Purposes.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $2,250 be and the same is hereby set aside and appropriated out of School Construction Fund, Bond Issue 1923, and authorized in payment to Mildred A. Breitman; being payment for lands required for school purposes and situate on the easterly line of Funston avenue, commencing 200 feet southerly from Lawton street, running thence northerly on the easterly line of Funston avenue 25 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 120 feet;

thence at a right angle southerly 25 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 120 feet; as per acceptance of offer by Resolution No. 28223 (New Series).

Appropriations for Architectural Services and Boring Test-holes, Certain Schools.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following amounts be and the same are hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of Special School Tax, 1927-1928, for the expense of architectural services for the hereinafter named schools, and for boring of test-holes on school lands, to-wit:

(1) For the addition to the Edward Robeson Taylor School, $4,500.

(2) For the Marina Elementary School, $6,000.

(3) For the addition to the Polytechnic High School, $7,500.

(4) For the second unit of South Side (Balboa) High School, $15,000.

(5) For the Roosevelt Junior High School, $24,000.

(6) For boring test holes, $1,500.

Appropriation, $2,200, for Safety Zone Buttons.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $2,200 be and the same is hereoy set aside. appropriated and authorized to be expended out of "Traffic Signals," etc., for the installing of additional safety zone buttons under Contract No. 1, E. J. Treacy, contractor.

Appropriation, Navigation Lights, Etc., Third Street Bridge.

Supervisor Havenner presented:

Resolution No. 28254 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the sum of $400 be and the same is hereby set aside, appropriated and authorized to be expended out of "Repair and Painting of Bridges," Budget Item No. 37, for the installing of eight navigation lights, 4 red and 4 green, in accordance with government regulation, on the Third street bridge over the channel.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Herz, Kent, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Marks, McSheehy—3.

Accepting Offer of Charles J. Magistra et al. to Sell Certain Lands Required for School Purposes.

Also, Resolution No. 28255 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the owners of the following described lands, situated in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California, and sought to be acquired by the City and County of San Francisco for the opening of Bernal Cut, extending from Mission and Randall streets southwesterly along the Southern Pacific Company's right of way to the intersection of San Jose avenue and Circular avenue, have offered to convey the property desired by said City and County of San Francisco for the sum set forth opposite their names, viz.:

Charles A. Magistra and Mary Magistra, $2,000—

Parcel 1: All of Lot 16 of Jones' Addition to Fairmount, as per map thereof recorded in Map Book "E" and "F", page 169, records of the City and County of San Francisco, saving and excepting therefrom the following described parcel of land:

Beginning at the point of intersection of the northwesterly line of Jones' Addition to Fairmount and the westerly line of Natick street, and running thence southwesterly along said northwesterly line 30.130 feet; thence at right angles southeasterly 16.417 feet to the southeasterly prolongation of the northeasterly line of Wilder street; thence deflecting to the left 35 deg. 46 min. 50 sec. and running southeasterly along said prolongation 2.173 feet; thence southeasterly, easterly, northeasterly and northerly along a curve to the left, tangent to the preceding course with a radius of 10 feet and a central angle of 109 deg. 25 min. 40 sec., a distance of 19.099 feet to its point of tangency on the southerly prolongation of the westerly line of Natick street; thence northerly along said prolongation 21.967 feet to the point of beginning.

Parcel 2: Beginning at the point of intersection of the northwesterly line of Jones' Addition to Fairmount and the westerly line of Natick street, and running thence southwesterly along said northwesterly line 30.130 feet; thence at right angles southeasterly 16.417 feet to the southeasterly prolongation of the northeasterly line of Wilder street; thence deflecting to the left 35 deg. 46 min. 50 sec. and running southeasterly along said prolongation 2.173 feet; thence southeasterly, easterly, northeasterly and northerly along a curve to the left, tangent to the preceding course with a radius of 10 feet and a central angle of 109 deg. 25 min. 40 sec., a distance of 19.099 feet to its point of tangency on the southerly pro-

longation of the westerly line of Natick street; thence northerly along said prolongation 21.967 feet to the point of beginning.

Being a portion of Lot 16 of Jones' Addition to Fairmount, as per map thereof recorded in Map Book "E" and "F", page 169, records of the City and County of San Francisco.

Whereas, the City Attorney has recommended the acceptance of the said offer and the acquisition of the properties owned by said persons and offered to the City and County of San Francisco for the price set forth, which said price is in accordance with the City's appraisement of the properties; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the said offer of sale be accepted and the City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to examine the title of said properties, and if the same is found in satisfactory condition to accept in behalf of the City and County of San Francisco a deed conveying title thereto, and file the same for record with a copy of this resolution attached thereto as evidence of acceptance by the City and County of San Francisco upon payment of the agreed purchase price; and be it

Further Resolved, That in order to facilitate the possible exchange or sale of Parcel 2, purchased from said Charles A. and Mary Magistra, the deed to said Parcel 2 be taken in the name of the Title Insurance and Guaranty Company, a corporation, to be held in trust by said company for the City and County of San Francisco, and under the directions of said City and County.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Herz, Kent, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Marks, McSheehy—3.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Amending Zoning Ordinance, Nineteenth Avenue.

On motion of Supervisor Hayden:

Bill No. 8360, Ordinance No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings, and the locations of buildings designed for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Section 6 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place the westerly side of Nineteenth avenue, commencing at a point 110 feet northerly from Sloat boulevard, and running thence northerly to Wawona street and extending to a depth of 120 feet, in the Second Residential District instead of in the First Residential District.

Oil Permits.

On motion of Supervisor Deasy:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the following revocable permits be and are hereby granted:

Oil Tanks.

Domestic Engineering Corporation, 340 Urbano drive, 500 gallons capacity.

A. J. Herzig, southeast corner of Urbano drive and Alviso way, 1500 gallons capacity.

R. O. Hoffman, east side of Octavia street, 150 feet south of Fulton street, 1500 gallons capacity.

M. P. Jorgensen, south side of North Point street, 118 feet east of Baker street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Geo. McLean, 1562 Vallejo street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Pring & Lesswing, east side of Pierce street, 200 feet south of Capra way, 1500 gallons capacity.

Stock, Maas & Sauer, southwest corner of Van Ness avenue and Clay street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Stock, Maas & Sauer, west side of Van Ness avenue, 72 feet 8 inches north of Sacramento street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Stock, Maas & Sauer, west side of Van Ness avene, 72 feet south of Clay street, 1500 gallons capacity.

Mrs. W. Webber, 3663 Baker street, 720 gallons capacity.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permits shall become null and void.

Transfer of Garage Permit.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Brown & Rehs be and are hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred public garage permit heretofore granted for premises on the north-

west corner of Ellis and Pierce streets.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Garage Permit.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Fred E. Palmer be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to maintain and operate a public garage on the north side of Sacramento street, 55 feet west of Davis street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Transfer of Garage Permit.

Also, Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Smith Bros. be and are hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to have transferred to them public garage permit heretofore granted to Ed. E. Shapiro by Resolution No. 22688 (New Series) for premises at 527-529 Gough street.

The rights granted under this resolution shall be exercised within six months, otherwise said permit shall become null and void.

Amending Building Law Relative to Patent Chimneys.

On motion of Supervisor Powers:

Bill No. 8362, Ordinance No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 1008 (New Series), known as the "Building Law" of the City and County of San Francisco, by adding a new section thereto and to be numbered 244-A.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 1008 (New Series), known as the "Building Law," is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 244-A. All patent chimneys shall be built up from the floor on which they are used, and in no case shall a stovepipe enter the bottom of a patent chimney, and when erected on the inside of a building they shall rest upon an iron plate not less than one-quarter of an inch in thickness, covered by not less than eight inches of brickwork or concrete construction subject to approval of the Board of Public Works. Patent chimneys built on the inside of a building shall have a smoke and fireproof opening near the bottom, extending through to the finished face of the wall or partition, to permit the cleaning of the chimney.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Street Lights.

Supervisor Stanton presented:

Resolution No. 28257 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Pacific Gas and Electric Company be and it is hereby authorized and requested to remove and install street lights as follows:

Remove Double Inverted Gas.

South side Golden Gate avenue, first west of Taylor street.

North side Golden Gate avenue, first west of Taylor street.

North side Golden Gate avenue, first and second west of Jones street.

South side Golden Gate avenue, first west of Jones street.

South side Golden Gate avenue, first and second west of Leavenworth street.

North side Golden Gate avenue, first west of Leavenworth street.

North side Golden Gate avenue, first and second west of Hyde street.

South side Golden Gate avenue, first west of Hyde street.

North side Golden Gate avenue, first west of Larkin street.

South side Golden Gate avenue, first and second west of Larkin street.

North side Golden Gate avenue, first west of Polk street.

South side Golden Gate avenue, first west of Polk street.

Northeast and southwest corners Golden Gate avenue and Jones and Hyde streets.

Northwest and southeast corners Golden Gate avenue and Leavenworth streets.

Remove 400 *M. R.*

North side Lombard street, 14 feet east of Columbus avenue.

Install 600 *C. P. Type "C" Electrolier With Refractor.*

North side Golden Gate avenue, 137 feet west of Taylor, Jones, Leavenworth, Hyde, Larkin and Polk streets.

South side Golden Gate avenue, 275 feet west of Taylor, Jones, Leavenworth, Hyde, Larkin and Polk streets.

Northeast and southwest corners Golden Gate avenue and Jones, Leavenworth, Hyde, Larkin and Polk streets.

Southwest corner Golden Gate avenue and Market street.

South side Golden Gate avenue, west of Jones street (in front of church).

Change from 400 C. P. O. B. as

ordered by Resolution No. 27745 (New Series), on Church street between Jersey street and Thirtieth street, to 600 C. P. O. B.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Herz, Kent, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Marks, McSheehy—3.

Also, Resolution No. 28258 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Pacific Gas and Electric Company be and it is hereby authorized and requested to remove and install street lights as follows:

Remove 400 *M. R.*

Corner Irving street and Thirteenth, Fourteenth, Fifteenth, Sixteenth, Seventeenth and Eighteenth avenues.

Irving street between Thirteenth and Fourteenth avenues.

Remove 600 *M. R.*

Corner Irving street and Fourteenth, Fifteenth and Nineteenth avenues.

Irving street between Fourteenth and Fifteenth avenues.

Install 400 *M. R.*

Twenty-sixth street between Guerrero and Fair Oaks streets.

Blanche street between Twenty-second and Twenty-third streets.

South side Clay street between Stockton and Powell streets.

Install 600 *C. P. Ornamental Brackets.*

Southwest corner Irving street and Thirteenth avenue.

North side Irving street between Thirteenth and Fourteenth avenues.

South side of Irving street between Thirteenth and Fourteenth avenues (front of church).

Northeast and southwest corners Irving street and Fourteenth avenue.

North side Irving street between Fourteenth and Fifteenth avenues.

Southeast and northwest corners Irving street and Fifteenth avenue.

South side Irving street between Fifteenth and Sixteenth avenues.

Northeast and southwest corners Irving street and Sixteenth avenue.

North side Irving street between Sixteenth and Seventeenth avenues.

Northwest and southeast corners Irving street and Seventeenth avenue.

South side Irving street between Seventeenth and Eighteenth avenues.

Northeast and southwest corners Irving street and Eighteenth avenue.

North side Irving street between Eighteenth and Nineteenth avenues.

Southeast corner Irving street and Nineteenth avenue.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Herz, Kent, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Marks, McSheehy—3.

Also, Resolution No. 28259 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Pacific Gas and Electric Company be and it is hereby authorized and requested to remove and install street lights as follows:

Remove Single-Top Gas Lamps.

East and west sides Ross alley between Washington and Jackson streets.

East and west sides Spofford alley between Clay and Washington streets.

East and west side Waverly place between Clay and Washington streets.

East and west sides Wentworth place between Washington and Jackson streets.

East and west sides Branham place between Washington and Clay streets.

Washington street at Branham place, Wentworth, Waverly, Ross and Spofford alleys.

North side Washington street west of Grant avenue.

North side Washington street west of Ross alley.

Install 250 *M. R.*

Spofford and Waverly alleys between Clay and Washington streets.

Ross and Wentworth alleys between Washington and Jackson streets.

Install 400 *M. R.*

Branham place between Washington and Clay streets.

Install 400 *C. P. Type "C" Electrolier.*

East side Washington street opposite Wentworth alley.

North side Washington street between Kearny and Branham place.

North side Washington street opposite Waverly place.

South side Washington street and Spofford alley.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Herz, Kent, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Marks, McSheehy—3.

Also, Resolution No. 28260 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Pacific Gas and Electric Company be and it is hereby authorized to remove, change and install street lights as follows:

Remove Gas Lamps.

Northwest and southeast corners Wabster and Green streets.

Webster street between Green and Union streets.

East side Buchanan street, first south of Lombard street.

Southwest corner Moulton and Webster streets.

Northwest and southeast corners Moulton and Webster streets.

Northwest and southeast corners Webster and Lombard streets.

North side Lombard street between Webster and Fillmore streets.

South side Lombard street, first west of Webster street.

South side Lombard street, first east of Fillmore street.

North and south sides Valparaiso street west of Mason street.

North and south sides Redwood street between Laguna and Buchanan streets.

Northwest and southeast corners Redwood and Laguna streets.

Change 400 *M. R.*

Fulton street, change northwest corner to northeast corner Twenty-fourth avenue.

Fulton street, change northwest corner to northeast corner Forty-seventh avenue.

West side Broderick street between North Point and Beach to east side.

Install 400 *M. R.*

Southwest corner Thirtieth avenue and Fulton street, entrance to Golden Gate Park.

Southwest corner Thirty-sixth avenue and Fulton street, entrance to Golden Gate Park.

Southwest corner Forty-third avenue and Fulton street, entrance to Golden Gate Park.

Southwest corner Forty-seventh avenue and Fulton street, entrance to Golden Gate Park.

Southwest corner La Playa and Fulton streets.

Webster and Green streets.

Webster street between Green and Union streets.

Southwest corner Buchanan and Moulton streets.

Moulton street between Buchanan and Webster streets.

Southeast corner Moulton and Webster streets.

Moulton street between Webster and Fillmore streets.

Webster and Lombard streets.

Lombard street between Webster and Fillmore streets.

North side Lake street between Third and Fourth avenues.

Northwest corner Broderick and North Point streets.

Redwood street between Laguna and Buchanan streets.

Redwood and Laguna streets.

Southeast corner Larkin and North Point streets.

Opposite No. 42 Ord court.

Rose street between Gough and Market streets.

Install 600 *M. R.*

Southeast corner Seventh, Sixteenth and Mississippi streets.

Change 250 *M. R. to* 400 *M. R.*

Fourteenth avenue between Cabrillo and Fulton streets.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Herz, Kent, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Marks, McSheehy—3.

Also, Resolution No. 28261 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That the Pacific Gas and Electric Company be and it is hereby authorized and instructed to remove, install and change the following street lights:

Install 600 *C. P. O. B. With Refractor.*

Northeast and northwest corners Point Lobos avenue, Forty-second avenue to Forty-eighth avenue.

Southwest and southeast corners Point Lobos avenue, from Forty-second avenue to Forty-eighth avenue.

North side Point Lobos avenue between Forty-second and Forty-eighth avenues (one to each block).

South side Point Lobos avenue between Forty-second and Forty-eighth avenues (one to each block).

All lamps to be equipped with Holophane refractor No. 4274, with satin finish, as selected.

Resolution No. 27788 (New Series), in so much as it refers to Geary street, west of Forty-second avenue, is hereby repealed.

Remove 600 M. R. on Point Lobos avenue from Forty-second to Forty-seventh avenues, inclusive, and one 400 M. R. on the corner of Point Lobos and Forty-eighth avenues.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Herz, Kent, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Marks, McSheehy—3.

Passed for Printing.

The following resolution was *passed for printing*:

Stevenson Street Bridge Permit.

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Sterling Furniture Company, a corporation, be and is hereby granted permission, revocable at will of the Board of Supervisors, to erect and maintain a six (6) foot nine (9) inch clear width bridge eighteen (18) feet above the street, extending from the rear of the third floor of the building No. 1049 Market street to the second floor of the building No. 551 Stevenson street; provided, however, said bridge shall only be erected after a permit therefor is issued by the Board of Public Works and upon plans approved by and under the supervision and to the satisfaction of the Board of Public Works. Provided, further, that any right or privilege hereby given to erect or maintain such bridge is given subject to the right of the Board of Supervisors to revoke the same at any time.

Award of Contract, Garbage Cans.

Supervisor Badaracco presented: Resolution No. 28262 (New Series, as follows:

Resolved, That award of contract be hereby made to Forderer Cornice Works on bid submitted December 19, 1927 (Proposal No. 346), for furnishing the following, viz.: 200 galvanized garbage cans for School Department, at $5.80 each.

Resolved, That a bond in the sum of $200 be required for the faithful performance of said contract.

Resolved, That all other bids submitted hereon be rejected.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Herz, Kent, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Marks, McSheehy—3.

Award of Contract, Tobacco.

Also, Resolution No. 28263 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of contract be hereby made on bids submitted December 19, 1927 (Proposal No. 344), as follows, viz.:

Tobacco.

Item No. 1. 5,000 pounds of smoking, cut plug, Geo. Washington, in 2-ounce packages (for Laguna Honda Home); $0.52 per pound; Healey & Donaldson.

Item No. 2. 2,000 pounds of chewing, pressed natural leaf, Humming Bird, in 16-ounce plugs (for Laguna Honda Home); $0.415 per pound; Healty & Donaldson.

Item No. 3. 50 gross of granulated, in 1-ounce bags, "Buffalo" or equal (for County Jail) · $5.18 per gross; Healey & Donaldson.

Cigarettes for Park.

Item No. 4. 100,000 Camel, retailing at $0.15; $5.75 per M; Healey & Donaldson.

Item No. 5. 200,000 Chesterfield, retailing at $0.15; $5.75 per M; Healey & Donaldson.

Item No. 6. 100,000 Lucky Strike, retailing at $0.15; $5.6889 per M; American Tobacco Co. of the Pacific Coast, Inc.

Item No. 7. 3000 Fatima, retailing at $0.20; $7.20 per M· Glaser Bros.

Item No. 8. 5,000 Tareyton, retailing at $0.25; $9.45 per M; Glaser Bros.

Item No. 9. 5,000 Marlboro, retailing at $0.20; $7.56 per M; Glaser Bros.

Delivery to be made to points designated in purchase orders, as same may be issued from time to time during the six months' period commencing January 1 and ending June 30, 1928, for such lots as may be required by the Park Commissioners.

Cigars for Park.

Item No. 10. 1000 Natividad, Panatella, 100s; $6.243 per C; Bauer Hess.

Item No. 11. 600 Natividad, Ideal, 50s; $9.134 per C; Bauer Hess.

Item No. 12. 1200 Optimo, St. Francis, 50s; $9.124 per C; Bauer Hess.

Item No. 13. 750 Optimo, Kings, 50s; $11.045 per C; Bauer Hess.

Item No. 14. 750 Optimo, Puritanos, 50s; $7.203 per C; Bauer Hess.

Item No. 15. 1000 Roi Tan, Panatella, 50s; $7.13 per C; H. L. Judell & Co.

Item No. 16. 600 Roi Tan, Broadway, 50s; $9.13 per C; H. L. Judell & Co.

Item No. 17. 500 El Primo, Delmonico, 50s; $7.35 per C; Staple Cigar Co.

Item No. 18. 1000 El Primo, Cabinets, 50s; $9.31 per C; Staple Cigar Co.

Item No. 19. 500 Chancellor, Liberty, 50s; $7.13 per C; H. L. Judell & Co.

Item No. 20. 500 Chancellor, Invincible, 50s; $9.13 per C; H. L. Judell & Co.

Item No. 21. 500 Robt. Burns, Panatella, 50s; $7.13 per C; General Cigar Co., Inc.

Item No. 22. 500 Robt. Burns,

Perfecto, 50s; $9.03 per C; General Cigar Co., Inc.

Item No. 23. 1000 Van Dyke, 10c, 50s; $7.13 per C; General Cigar Co., Inc.

Item No. 24. 1500 White Owls, 2 for 15c, 50s; $5.085 per C; General Cigar Co., Inc.

Delivery to be made to points designated in purchase orders, as same may be issued from time to time during the six months' period commencing January 1 and ending June 30, 1928, for such lots as may be required by the Park Commissioners.

Resolved, That bonds for the faithful performance of contract be required as follows, viz.:

Staple Cigar Co., no bond required.

Glaser Bros., no bond required.

Healey & Donalson, $1,000.

General Cigar Co., Inc., no bond required.

H. L. Judell & Co., no bond required.

Bauer Hess, $100.

American Tobacco Co. of the Pacific Coast, Inc., $100.

Note—All above awards are made to the lowest bidder, except where award is made in consideration of deliveries or on account of the quality as determined by such tests as required or recommended by the Purchaser of Supplies.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Herz, Kent, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Marks, McSheehy—3.

Award of Contract, Laundering Towels.

Also, Resolution No. 28264 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of contract be hereby made to San Francisco Laundry Association on bid submitted December 12, 1927 (Proposal No. 342), for laundering towels for School Department, spring term, 1928, at the rate of 70 cents per hundred.

Resolved, That a bond in the sum of $300 be required for faithful performance of contract.

Resolved, That all other bids submitted hereon be rejected.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Herz, Kent, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Marks, McSheehy—3.

Award of Contract, Castings.

Also, Resolution No. 28265 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of contract be hereby made on bids submitted December 20, 1927 (Quotation No. 1177), for furnishing castings as same may be ordered from time to time during the semi-annual term commencing January 1 and ending June 30, 1928, as follows, viz.:

Item No. 792 (a). Brake shoes for Municipal Railway; $0.365 per pound f. o. b. spur, $0.0375 per pound f. o. b. barns; American Brake Shoe & Foundry Co. of California.

Item No. 792 (b). Allowance for old shoes returned: $11 per ton f. o. b. spur, $9 per ton f. o. b. barns; American Brake Shoe & Foundry Co. of California.

Item No. 794. Castings, iron, gray; (a) $0.04⅛ per pound, (b) allowance for junk $18 per ton; Enterprise Foundry Co.

Item No. 797. Castings, steel, machine; (a) $0.18 per pound, (b) allowance for junk, $0.005 per pound; Enterprise Foundry Co.

Resolved, That for the faithful performance of contract bonds be required as follows, viz.: American Brake Shoe & Foundry Co. of California, $1,000; Enterprise Foundry Co., $200.

Note—Above awards are supplemental to awards made by Resolution No. 28231 (Proposal No. 337) adopted December 19, 1927.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Herz, Kent, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Marks, McSheehy—3.

Award of Contract, Athletic Goods.

Also, Resolution No. 28266 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That award of contract be hereby made for furnishing athletic goods on bids submitted November 21, 1927 (Proposal No. 325), as follows, viz.:

For Playground Commission.

Item No. 4. Two dozen mitts, catcher's, "Wilson 510"; $34.20 per dozen; Klawans & McMullin.

Item No. 5. Two dozen mitts, baseman's, "Wilson 564"; $25.20 per dozen; Klawan & McMullin.

Note—Above award is supplemental to an award heretofore made by Resolution No. 28233 adopted December 19, 1927.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson,

Havenner, Hayden, Herz, Kent, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Marks, McSheehy—3.

Notice of Reconsideration—Fireproof Roofing Limits Ordinance.

In accordance with notice given at last meeting, Supervisor Marks moved for a reconsideration of the vote whereby the following ordinance was refused passage to print at the meeting of December 19, 1927, to-wit:

Bill No. ——, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), entitled "Amending Section 4 of Ordinance No. 1008 (New Series), approved December 22, 1909, and known as "The Building Law,' relating to the firepoof roofing limits."

December 19, 1927, *refused passage to print* by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Badaracco, Colman, Havenner, Hayden, Herz, Kent, Marks, Roncovieri—9.

Noes—Supervisors Deasy, Gallagher, Powers, Schmidt, Stanton —5.

Absent — Supervisors McSheehy, Shannon, Todd—4.

Motion *carried.*

Amendment to the Proposed Shingle Ordinance.

Wood Shingles.

"All wooden shingles used for roofing purposes shall be not less than five shingles to two inches (5/2) in thickness at the butt (U. S. Government standard).

Wooden shingles shall be laid—for shingles sixtten inches (16) long not to exceed five inches (5) exposed to the weather, for shingles eighteen inches (18) long not to exceed five and one-half inches (5½) exposed to the weather and for shingles twenty-four inches (24) long not to exceed seven and one-half inches (7½) exposed to the weather; all wooden shingles shall be nailed firmly with copper, zinc, zinc-coated or commercially pure iron nails of at least twelve and one-half gauge (12½) and not less than one and one-quarter inches (1¼) long. Each shingle shall be nailed with at least two nails driven substantially.

Shingles shall be used on the roofs of detached buildings only and shall not be used on roofs of less than 113 (30 degrees) pitch.

Whenever the covering of the roof or roofs of any building or buildings heretofore constructed within the said limits shall, in the judgment of the Board of Public Works, be or become damaged through fire, decay or otherwise to the extent of forty (40) per centum of the value of the said covering of the roof or roofs, then the said covering of the existing roof or roofs shall be reconstructed of or replaced with materials hereinbefore specified in this section, and shall apply to such roofs as now exist within the district defined herein."

Referred to Public Building Committee.

Amendment to the Proposed Ordinance Extending the Fire Limits Within the City and County of San Francisco.

"Shingles may be used on the roofs of detached buildings only and shall not be used on roofs of less than 1/3 (33 1/3 degrees) pitch. For the purpose of this ordinance a detached building is hereby defined as one that is not less than six feet (6) at any point from any other building."

Referred to Public Building Committee.

RECESS.

Whereupon, at 12:30 p. m., the Board took a recess until 3 p. m.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk.

REASSEMBLED—3 P. M.

The Board of Supervisors resembled at 3 p. m.

CALLING THE ROLL.

The roll was called and the following Supervisors were noted present:

Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Herz, Kent, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Marks, McSheehy—3.

Quorum present.

His Honor Mayor Rolph being absent, Supervisor Gallagher was elected to preside.

Consideration of Fireproof Roofing Ordinance.

The Board of Supervisors proceeded and continued consideration of the proposed fireproof roofing ordinance, together with amendments offered before recess, to-wit:

Fireproof Roofing Ordinance Amendment.

The following revised bill was presented in lieu of the foregoing entitled bill:

Bill No. 8363, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Section 4 of Ordinance No. 1008 (New Series), approved December 22, 1909, and known as "The Building Law," relating to the fireproof roofing limits.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Section 4 of Ordinance No. 1008 (New Series), approved December 22, 1909, is hereby amended to read as follows:

Fireproof Roofing Limits.

Section 4. The roofs of all buildings hereafter constructed within the limits hereinafter in this section described shall consist of fire-resistant materials, and whenever the covering of the roof or roofs of any building or buildings heretofore constructed within the said limits, shall, in the judgment of the Board of Public Works, be or become damaged through fire, decay or otherwise, to the extent of twenty (20) per centum of the area of the said covering of the roof or roofs, then the covering of the roof or roofs shall be reconstructed of or replaced with fire-resistant materials. Said fire-resistant materials shall consist of the same materials required for the roof coverings of all buildings erected within the fire limits of the City and County.

Subsection 1. Said limits shall be bounded by a line commencing at the intersection of the shore line of the Bay of San Francisco with the easterly boundary of the Presidio Reservation; thence southerly and westerly along the boundary line of the Presidio Reservation to the shore line of the Pacific Ocean; thence along the shore line of the Pacific Ocean westerly and southerly to its intersection with the County line; thence easterly along said County line to its intersection with Mission street; thence along the center line of Mission street to its intersection with Twenty-fifth street; thence easterly along the center line of Twenty-fifth street to the center line of Potrero avenue; thence along the center line of Potrero avenue to the center line of Division street; thence along the center line of Division street to the center line of King street; thence northeasterly along the center line of King street to the center line of Seventh street; thence southerly along the center line of Seventh street to the center line of Channel street; thence northerly along the center line of Channel street to the shore line of the Bay of San Francisco; thence following the shore line of the Bay of San Francisco to the point of commencement.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Proposed Amendment.

Supervisor Schmidt moved, with respect to the percentage of destroyed roof that must be replaced with fire-resistant materials, that it be fixed at 40 per cent instead of 20 per cent.

Amendment *lost* by the following vote.

Ayes—Supervisors Deasy, Gallagher, Powers, Schmidt, Stanton—5.

Noes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Herz, Kent, Marks, Roncovieri, Shannon, Todd—11.

Absent—Supervisors Badaracco, McSheehy—2.

Whereupon, the foregoing bill was *passed for printing* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Herz, Kent, Marks, Roncovieri, Shannon, Todd—11.

Noes—Supervisors Deasy, Gallagher, Powers, Schmidt, Stanton—5.

Absent—Supervisors Badaracco, McSheehy—2.

ROLL CALL FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS, BILLS AND MOTIONS NOT CONSIDERED OR REPORTED UPON BY A COMMITTEE.

Board of Education to Adopt a Rule Forbidding Children to Be Released Without Parents' Consent.

Supervisor Schmidt presented:

Resolution No. 28267 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, recent accounts in the public press recite numerous occasoins in which strangers have called at schools and have taken away with them children in attendance at said schools without the knowledge or consent of the parents of the children; and

Whereas, according to public reports, this occurred in the case of Marian Parker, the Los Angeles school girl, resulting in one of the most brutal murders in the history of our country; and, also, recently a child was taken from the Oakland schools and has disappeared; therefore, be it

Resolved, for the protection of the childhood of our city, and in order to prevent any similar occurrence in San Francisco, the Board of Education is respectfully requested to adopt a rule forbidding the teachers in the public schools to allow children to leave the schools with strangers except on the specific authorization of the parent or parents of said children.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Herz, Kent, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Marks, McSheehy—3.

Masquerade Ball Permit.

Supervisor Schmidt presented:

Resolution No. 28268 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That permission is hereby granted San Francisco Schwaben Verein to conduct a masquerade ball at California Hall, Polk and Turk streets, Saturday evening, January 14, 1928, upon payment of the usual license fee.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Herz, Kent, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Marks, McSheehy—3.

$50,000 Pledged for Democratic Convention.

Supervisor Hayden presented:

Resolution No. 28271 (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the unanimous sentiment of the people of San Francisco is in favor of holding the Democratic National Convention, 1928, in this City, and the invitation is to be presented to the Democratic National Committee at its forthcoming meeting in January; and

Whereas, the holding of this convention in this City would be of inestimable value, and to obtain these convention guarantees of expense must be made; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Board of Supervisors, the Mayor concurring, pledges itself to provide at the proper time $50,000 towards defraying the expenses of the Democratic National Convention should it be held in San Francisco.

Adopted by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Herz, Kent, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—15.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, Marks, McSheehy—3.

Declaratory Ordinance, Completion of Hetch Hetchy Project.

Supervisor Shannon presented:

Bill No. 8364, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Determining and declaring that public interest and necessity demand the construction and completion of a public utility, to-wit: Aqueduct tunnels, pipe lines and other structures and appurtenances, including the acquisition of lands necessary for the completion of the Hetch Hetchy project for the conveyance of water from the Lake Eleanor Tuolumne system, situate within the State of California, to the City and County of San Francisco for domestic and municipal purposes, and directing the Board of Public Works to procure through the City Engineer and file plans and estimates of the cost of original construction and completion of such public utility.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco, as follows:

Section 1. It is hereby specifically declared and determined that the public interest and necessity demand the construction and completion of a public utility, to-wit, aqueduct tunnels, pipe lines and other structures and appurtenances, including lands necessary for the completion of the Lake Eleanor Tuolumne system, also known as the Hetch Hetchy project, for the conveying of water from the Tuolumne river and its tributaries situate within the State of California to the City and County of San Francisco for domestic and municipal purposes. Said Lake Eleanor Tuolumne system is hereby designated as an available source of a sufficient supply of good pure water for said City and County and its inhabitants.

Section 2. The Board of Public Works is hereby directed immediately to procure through the City Engineer and to file with the Board of Supervisors plans and estimates of the cost of the original construction and completion of said aqueduct tunnels, pipe lines and other structures and appurtenances, including lands.

Section 3. Said aqueduct tunnels, pipe lines and other structures and appurtenances to be constructed and completed, and said lands to be acquired as herein determined and declared, shall be used and operated as part of and in connection with said Lake Eleanor Tuolumne system, also known as and called the Hetch Hetchy project.

Section 4. This ordinance is the first of a series of ordinances to be adopted by the Board of Supervisors relating to and designed to secure the acquisition, construction and completion of the public utility herein named.

Section 5. This ordinance shall be published in the official newspaper for fourteen days after its approval.

Section 6. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Passed for printing by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson,

Havenner, Hayden, Herz, Kent, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—16.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, McSheehy—2.

Street Repair and Reconstruction Items Repealed.

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That so much of the hereinafter designated resolutions and ordinances as set aside and appropriate specific amounts as hereinafter set forth for street reconstruction and improvement be and the same are hereby rescinded and repealed. to-wit:

(1) The sum of $3,600 for the improvement of Davis street, Pacific to Broadway, by Resolution No. 27652 (New Series); and

(2) The sum of $14,400 for the improvement of Larkin street, Pacific street to Union street, by Resolution No. 27652 (New Series); and

(3) The sum of $5,000 for the improvement of Davis street between Broadway and Vallejo street, including crossing of Davis street and Broadway, by Resolution No. 27713 (New Series); and

(4) The sum of $10,000 for the improvement of Presido avenue, Geary to California streets, by Resolution No. 27996 (New Series); and

(5) The sum of $4,500 for reconstruction of Drumm street, Jackson to Pacific streets, by Ordinance No. 7655 (New Series); and

(6) The sum of $13,700 for reconstruction of Haight street, Scott to Baker streets, by Ordinance No. 7768 (New Series).

Privilege of the Floor.

Clyde Healy, Assistant City Engineer, was sent for and explained on behalf of Superintendent of Streets King—who was unable to attend on account of death in his family—the inability of the Board of Public Works to do the work outlined immediately for which reason appropriations were being temporarily withheld.

Supervisor Todd moved to strike out Items 1, 3 and 4.

No objection.

Supervisor Marks moved that Items 5 and 6 be eliminated.

Motion *lost* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Hayden, Herz, Marks—4.

Noes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner. Kent, Powers, Roncovieri. Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd —12.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, McSheehy—2.

Whereupon, the following resolution as amended, was *adopted* by the following vote:

Resolution No. 26256 (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That so much of the hereinafter designated resolutions and ordinances as set aside and appropriate specific amounts as hereinafter set forth for street reconstruction and improvement be and the same are hereby rescinded and repealed, to-wit:

(1) The sum of $14,400 for the improvement of Larkin street, Pacific street to Union street, by Resolution No. 27652 (New Series);

(2) The sum of $4,500 for reconstruction of Drumm street. Jackson to Pacific streets by Ordinance No. 7655 (New Series); and

(3) The sum of $13,700 for reconstruction of Haight street, Scott to Baker streets, by Ordinance No. 7768 (New Series).

Ayes—Supervisors Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Havenner, Hayden, Herz, Kent, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—14.

Noes — Supervisors Byington, Marks—2.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, McSheehy—2.

Amending Zoning Ordinance, Ocean Avenue.

The following matters was taken up:

Bil' No. 8361, Ordinance No. —— (Nev Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings, and the locations of buildings designated for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the Peor'e of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Section 13 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place the northerly side of Ocean avenue between Fairfield way and Sanfranbank avenue, extending to a depth of 200 feet along Fairfield way to a depth of 202.388 feet along Sanfranbank avenue, also the northerly side of Ocean avenue between Sanfranbank avenue and Manor drive, extending to a depth of 105 feet along Sanfranbank avenue and to a depth

of 110.167 feet along Manor drive, in the Commercial District instead of the First Residential District.

Privilege of the Floor.

Fernando Nelson, Mrs. Bowen, Mr. Rose, Mrs S. E. Onorato and *Mr. Lavin* were heard on the pending matter.

Passed for Printing.

Whereupon, the foregoing bill was *passed for printing* by the following vote:

Ayes — Supervisors Byington, Deasy, Gallagher, Hayden, Kent, Marks, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—10.

Noes—Supervisors Colman, Harrelson, Havenner, Herz, Powers, Roncovieri—6.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, McSheehy—2.

Passed for Printing.

The following matters were *passed for printing*:

Improvement of Holladay Avenue.

On motion of Supervisor Marks:

Bill No. 8365, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Ordering the improvement of Holladay avenue from Peralta avenue to Adam street; authorizing the preparation of plans and specifications for said work and directing the Board of Public Works to enter into contract for said work in accordance with said plans and specifications prepared therefor, and permitting progressive payments to be made during the progress of said work.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized, instructed and empowered to prepare plans and specifications and enter into contract for the improvement of Holladay avenue from Peralta avenue to Adams street in accordance with said plans and specifications prepared therefor.

Section 2. The Board of Public Works is hereby authorized, instructed and empowered to incorporate in the contract for said work conditions that progressive payments shall be made in the manner set forth in said specifications and as provided by the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

Supervisors Hayden and Shannon Delegated to Represent San Francisco in Securing 1928 Democratic Convention.

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Resolved, That Supervisors J. Emmet Hayden and Warren Shannon be and are hereby authorized and directed to proceed to the City of Washington, District of Columbia, as representatives of the City and County of San Francisco to assist in securing the holding of the 1928 Democratic National Convention in San Francisco; and be it

Further Resolved That the sum of $4,500 be and is hereby appropriated from funds in the Treasury of the City and County of San Francisco not otherwise appropriated, to defray the expenses of said representatives.

Proposed Transfer of County Roads Fund for Completion of Judah Street Municipal Railway Extension.

Supervisor Herz presented:

Resolution No. ——— (New Series), as follows:

Whereas, the injunction suit of a taxpayer has held up the completion of the Judah street extension of the Municipal Railway; and

Whereas, this suit has caused great hardship and an emergency condition in the Sunset-Parkside District; and

Whereas, this injunction suit is based upon the question of the legality of financing the work from the Depreciation Fund of the Municipal Railway; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the sum of $100,000 be transferred from the Good Roads Fund to be made available for the immediate completion of a part of this work.

Referred to the Finance Committee.

Rezoning Judah Street.

Supervisor Hayden presented:

Bill No. ——, Ordinance No. —— (New Series), as follows:

Amending Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), entitled, "Regulating and establishing the location of trades, industries and buildings, and the locations of buildings designed for specific uses, and establishing the boundaries for said purposes, and providing penalties for the violation of its provisions."

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco as follows:

Section 1. Ordinance No. 5464 (New Series), the title of which is above recited, is hereby amended as follows:

Sections 5 and 6 of the Use of Property Zone Map, constituting a part of said ordinance, is hereby ordered changed so as to place both sides of Judah street between the westerly line of Nineteenth avenue and the easterly line of Twenty-second avenue and extending to the depth of the rear lot line in the

Commercial District instead of in the Second Residential District.

Over one week. Special Order, 3 p. m.

Lincoln Day Citizens' Committee.

Supervisor Havenner moved that his Honor the Mayor be authorized to appoint a citizens' committee to arrange for the observance of Lincoln's birthday on the afternoon of February 13, 1928, and that the Auditorium be made available for that time.

Motion *carried.*

Report of Finance Committee on Salary Increases.

The following was read by hte Clerk:

San Francisco,
December 27, 1927.

To the Honorable, the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, City Hall, San Francisco, California.

Gentlemen:

Your Finance Committee recently submitted to you a report upon the financial condition of the City and County government in connection with various requests for increase of compensation for City and County employees. This report indicated that because of abnormal increase in the number of patients in the City and County Hospital and the inmates of the Laguna Honda Home and by reason of emergency appropriations for matters of vital importance a deficit would occur in the General Fund at the end of the present fiscal year unless a policy of retrenchment and careful conservation of the budget funds is immediately adopted by your Honorable Board. A careful recheck of this report has been made in the interim by the Chief Bookkeeper for the Board of Supervisors, Mr. Cyril Williams, and your Finance Committee desires to reiterate at this time its insistence upon the necessity for a policy of careful economy during the remainder of the fiscal year.

At the request of members of the Board of Supervisors, your Finance Committee, after filing its previous financial report, held a public hearing at which all applicants for increased compensation were given an opportunity to present their requests. The requests submitted would have required an appropriation of approximately $243,000 per annum in excess of the present budget provisions.

In view of the fact that we are confronted with the certainty of a deficit at the end of this fiscal year unless the public funds are very carefully conserved, your Finance Committee feels that its responsibility to meet, in some fashion, the necessary expenditures for the care of our sick and for other essential functions of our municipal government, it cannot properly recommend at this time any emergency appropriations for increased compensation for employees.

We desire to call your attention, however, to the fact that it is the established policy of our government to pay its employees a wage which shall not be less than the accepted scale of compensation for similar services in private employment. At the public hearing held by your Finance Committee representatives of organized labor submitted oral and documentary statements to prove that certain City and County employees are now receiving a lower wage than is now being customarily paid for the same class of work by private employers. Your Committee is willing to recommend that adjustments of compensation to remedy this condition be authorized whenever the state of the City and County treasury will warrant it. We will submit to you at this time an itemized list of employees and their present compensations, which the representatives of organized labor contend should be so adjusted.

In most instances the requests for increased compensation referred by your Honorable Board to the Finance Committee, particularly those affecting clerical employees, were based upon the argument that differentials of compensation which have existed in the past should now be reestablished. Your Committee feels that it cannot recommend any adjustments of this character until the work of reclassifying all employments under the City and County government and the general standardization of municipal salaries, which has been authorized by Charter amendment and is now in progress under the direction of the Civil Service Commission, is completed. This Board of Supervisors has made two appropriations to carry out this very important work, and we are advised by the Civil Service Commission that it will be ready to report upon the reclassification of City and County employments by the first of February next. In view of the fact that we have been instructed by the people to do this work and that a considerable sum of money has been expended to carry it out intelligently and scientifically we be-

lieve that it would be improper and unwise at this time to report upon any readjustments of this character which might interfere with the work already done and the recommendation so soon to be submitted by the Civil Service Commission.

Respectfully submitted,
FINANCE COMMITTEE.
(Signed)
FRANCK R. HAVENNER,
Chairman.
MILO F. KENT.

Present and Requested Salaries.
Sheriff.

Budget Item No. 258—2 cooks, at $2,100, $4,200; asking $2,340—$4,680; increase, $480.

Law Library.

Budget Item No. 332 — Bookbinder, $2,100; asking $2,400—$2,400; increase, $300.

Bureau of Building Repair.

Budget Item No. 390—Foreman plumber, $3,000; asking $3,300—$3,300; increase, $300.

Bureau of Building Repair.

Budget Item No. 391—Foreman cement finisher, $3,000; asking $3,300—$3,300; increase, $300.

Budget Item No. 392—Foreman carpenter, $3,000; asking $3,300—$3,300; increase, $300.

Budget Item No. 393—Foreman tinner, $3,000; asking $3,300—$3,300; increase, $300.

Budget Item No. 394—Foreman wireman, $3,000; asking $3,300—$3,300; increase, $300.

Budget Item No. 407—5 assistant chief engineers at $2,520, $12,600; asking $2,640—$13,200; increase, $600.

Budget Item No. 408—Engineer, part time relief and vacation, $2,520; asking $2,640—$2,640; increase, $120.

Budget Item No. 421—2 tinners, at $9 per day, $5,400; asking $9.50 per day—$5,700; increase, $300.

Budget Item No. 427—Steamfitter, at $10 per day, $3,000; asking $11 per day—$3,300; increase, $300.

Municipal Asphalt Plant—300 Days.

Budget Item No. 503—2 firemen, at $1,980, $3,960; asking $2,220—$4,440; increase $480.

Budget Item No. 504—Foreman, at $9.50 per day, $2,850; asking $10 per day—$3,000; increase, $150.

Budget Item No. 506—Asphalt dryerman, at $8 per day, $2,400; asking $8.50 per day—$2,550; increase, $150.

Budget Item No. 507—2 asphalt mixermen, at $8 per day, $4,800; asking $8.50 per day—$5,100; increase, $300.

Budget Item No. 508—Asphalt mixerman, at $8.50 per day, $2,550; asking $9 per day—$2,700; increase, $150.

Budget Item No. 509—2 asphalt finishers, at $7.50 per day, $4,500; asking $8 per day—$4,800; increase, $300.

Budget Item No. 510—3 asphalt workers, at $7 per day, $6,300; asking $7.50 per day—$6,750; increase, $450.

Asphalt Maintenance and Repair—Surface Heaters—Four Machines—280 Days.

Budget Item No. 512—2 foremen, 300 days, at $8.50 per day, $5,100; asking $9 per day—$5,400; increase, $300.

Budget Item No. 513—16 finishers, at $7.50 per day, $33,600; asking $8 per day—$35,840; increase, $2,240.

Budget Item No. 514—24 asphalt workers, at $7 per day, $47,040; asking $7.50 per day—$50,400; increase, $3,360.

Budget Item No. 515—7 engineers, at $9 per day, $17,540; asking $9.50 per day—$18,620; increase, $980.

Budget Item No. 516—10 chauffeurs, at $7 per day, $19,600; asking $7.50 per day—$21,000; increase, $1,400.

Budget Item No. 520—2 granite cutters, at $9 per day, $5,040; asking $9.50 per day—$5,320; increase, $280.

Maintenance and Operation of Bridges.

Budget Item No. 527—9 engineers, at $2,520 each a year, $22,680; asking $2,640—$23,760; increase, $1,080.

Budget Item No. 528—2 engineers, relief and vacation, at $2,520, $5,040; asking $2,640—$5,280; increase, $240.

Street Cleaning Department.

Budget Item No. 540—19 chauffeurs at $7 per day, $39,900; asking $7.50 per day—$42,750; increase, $2,850.

Budget Item No. 541—14 gang foremen at $6.50 per day, $27,300; asking $7 per day—$29,400; increase, $2,100.

Bureau of Sewer Repair.

Budget Item No. 554—3 chauffeurs at $7 per day, $6,300; asking $7.50 per day—$6,750; increase, $450.

Budget Item No. 555—25 sewermen at $6.50 per day, $48,750; asking $7 per day—$52,500; increase, $3,750.

Budget Item No. 557—18 sewer cleaners at $8 per day, $43,200; asking $8.50 per day—$45,900; increase, $2,700.

Department of Electricity.

Budget Item No. 580—Cable

splicer at $9 per day, $2,700; asking $9.50 per day—$2,850; increase, $150.

Budget Item No. 581—Batteryman, $2,700; asking $2,880—$2,880; increase, $180.

Budget Item No. 584—Foreman laborer, $2,100; asking $2,340—$2,340; increase, $240.

Budget Item No. 587—3 foremen linemen at $2,700, $8,100; asking $2,880—$8,640; increase, $540.

Budget Item No. 588—14 linemen at $2,400 each, $33,600; asking $2,580—$36,120; increase, $2,520.

Budget Item No. 591—Repairer, $2,460; asking $2,640—$2,640; increase, $180.

Police Department.

Budget Item No. 698—Cook, $2,100; asking $2,340—$2,340; increase, $240.

San Francisco Hospital.

Budget Item No. 978—Night cook, $1,080; asking $1,380—$1,380; increase, $300.

Budget Item No. 982—16 waiters and waitresses at $1,140, $18,240; asking $1,320—$21,120; increase, $2,880.

Increase per year, $34,540.

Increase for half year, $17,270.

Motion.

Supervisor Stanton, seconded by Supervisor Shannon, moved that the Finance Committee bring in next week necessary resolution providing for increases on the foregoing items where warranted to meet wages paid in private employment.

Motion *carried* by the following vote:

Ayes—Supervisors Byington, Colman, Deasy, Gallagher, Harrelson, Hayden, Herz, Marks, Powers, Roncovieri, Schmidt, Shannon, Stanton, Todd—14.

Absent — Supervisors Badaracco, McSheehy—2.

Excused from voting—Supervisors Havenner, Kent—2.

ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business, the Board at 7:05 p. m. adjourned.

J. S. DUNNIGAN,
Clerk.

Approved by the Board of Supervisors January 9, 1928.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 3402 (New Series) of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, I, John S. Dunnigan, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the Journal of Proceedings of said Board of the date thereon stated and approved as above recited.

JOHN S. DUNNIGAN,

Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,
City and County of San Francisco.